

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-FOURTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 9, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the floor, will you please retire to the gallery. All those not entitled to the floor, will you please go to the gallery. All those not entitled to the floor, will you please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order and the members will please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer this morning by Reverend Jack O'Brien, the Clerk. No... no, let's back up. How about Representative Corneal Davis. Will you lead us in prayer, Corneal? You've been unfrocked Mr. Clerk."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let us pray. As the Holy Spirit leads me, pray with me. O God is the Spirit, and they that worship Him must worship Him in spirit and in truth. Eternal God, our Father, thou are perfect, who shade abide with Thee in Thy Holy Tabernacle and who shall walk with Thee in Thy Holy hills. He that walketh upright, he that waketh righteousness, and he that speaketh the truth in his heart, help us before men and before Thee to walk uprightly and above all help us to work righteousness and then give us the courage to always speak the very truth in our heart. For this Nation and for all of those in authority we pray, not because we're perfect but because we confess our faults. O Lord, guide them and lead them, unfold your arms of love and protection around them and give them that kind of peace the world cannot give or take away. We pray in the name of Jesus. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: Roll call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representative, to wit: Senate Bills Nos. 471, 472, 633, 634, 763, 813, 1496, 1499 passed by the Senate



JUN 9 1975

2

June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate, Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the House in the passage of Bills with the following titles to wit. House Bills No. 47, 71, 90, 145, 182, 230, 304, 322, 419, 442, and 553 passed by the Senate June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives passage of the Bills with the following titles to wit. House Bill 91 together with amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate, Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill with the following title to wit. House Bill 216 together with an amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives with the passage of the Bill with the following title to wit. House Bill 372 together with an amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of a Bill with the following title to wit. House Bill 325 together with an amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

with the following title to wit. House Bill 533 together with an amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 6, 1975 Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives passage of the Bill with the following title to wit. House Bill 532 together with an amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill with the following title to wit. House Bill 526 together with an amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 6, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."

O'Brien: "Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriation I to which Senate Bill 1121 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriation I to which House Bills 802, 995, and 1342 were referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 91. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 707. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 772. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 870. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 891. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1097. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act Creating the Metropolitan





JUN 9 1975

4

East, Metro-East Exhibition Performing Arts Authority.  
Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1111, A Bill for  
an Act to amend an Act establishing a Joint Legislative  
Reference Bureau. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill  
1125. A Bill for an Act authorizing Attendance the National  
Conference of State Legislators. Second Reading of the Bill.  
Senate Bill 1310. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act Creating  
Capitol City Planning Commission. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of  
the House. I would like to have the record show that  
Representative McDonald is absent due to illness."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, the record  
will so show. Representative Shea, are there any excused  
absences on the Democratic Side? Representative Mann's  
mother died. Representative Jaffe and Grieman are attending  
the services. Anything else. Any objection to the journal  
so showing excused absences in those instances? Hearing  
none the journal will so show. Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker. I have just been  
informed that Representative Tuerk is absent due to a death  
in the family."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? The journal indicating the  
excused absence of Representative Tuerk. Representative  
Walsh is nodding yes. Any objection? No, he has no  
objection. Constitutional amendments, #31."

O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution and Constitutional Amendment  
#31. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th  
General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate  
concurring herein that there shall be submitted to the  
electors of this state at the General Election next occurring  
at least 6 months following the adoption of this resolution,  
a proposition to amend paragraph A, Section C of Article 9  
of the Constitution to read as follows: 'Article 9, Section  
3: Limitations on Income Taxation, A) Any tax on or measured



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

5

by income shall be a non-graduated rate at any one time there may be no more than one such tax imposed by the state for state purposes on individuals and one such tax so imposed on Corporations and any such tax imposed on individuals the rate shall not be more than one-half the rate imposed by the tax on corporations. B) Laws imposing taxes on or measured by income may adopt by reference provision of the laws in regulations of the United States as they then exist or thereafter may be changed for the purpose of determining the income upon which the tax is imposed. Schedule: This amendment to the Constitution applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1976. Second Reading of the Constitutional Amendment. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Shea, can you come here a minute? House Bills, Second Reading. House Bills, Second Reading appear House Bill 1996. Representative Younge is not here, pass that one. 2036, Representative Ryan, is he here? Take that one out. 3002, Shea, 3002."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3002. A Bill for an Act making an Appropriation to the State Board of Elections. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment, amends House Bill 3002 on page 1, line 7, so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "I move for the adoption of the Committee amendment. When this Bill was put in, it was approximately 4-1/2 million dollars, this reduces the amount appropriated by almost a million dollars and I would move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3002. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3038. Committee on Higher Ed."



JUN 9 1975

Who's the vice-chairman of that? Representative Stone?  
He's not here either, is he? Are there any amendments on  
that Representative...er...Mr. Clerk? 3038."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3038. A Bill for an Act to provide  
for Grants for Family Practice Residency Programs to the  
Council for Family Practice Residency Programs. Second  
Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 30...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Have copies of 3038 been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Could we have some on this side? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "3039."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3039. A Bill for an Act making an  
Appropriation to the Council of Family Practice Residency  
Program. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3040."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3040. A Bill for an Act to amend the  
Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No  
committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3041."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3041. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois  
Savings and Loan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No  
committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3042."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3042. A Bill for an Act to amend the  
Credit Union Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee  
amendments."



JUN 9 1975

7

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3043."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3043. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3044."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3044. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3045."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3045. A Bill for an Act to amend an act in relation to the rate of interest and other charges connected with sales on credit or lending of money. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3046."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3046. A Bill for an Act to amend the Credit Union Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3047."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3047. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3048."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3048. A Bill for an Act to amend the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

8

School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3049."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3049. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Regulation of Business and Vocational Schools. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3050."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3050. A Bill for an Act to amend the Captiol Bond Act and School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "3051. Third Reading on that."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3051. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3052."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3052."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will you take 3052 out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3053."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3053. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3055."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3055. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act Creating the Violent Crimes Study Commission. Second



Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3056."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3056. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3057."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3057. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3058."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3058. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Is our...Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, the Bills that were just read are committee Bills and presumably substitute Bills because they were not referred to a committee and therefore are identical to other Bills that have been introduced this sessions, is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "Identical is similar to the same subject matter, I haven't chased them, I really don't know."

Walsh: "Well, would it be possible, since...uh...I guess the last Bill we have is 3036, there are about 22 of them after that, would it be possible for the Clerk to indicate on the calendar what Bills they are identical to or similar."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Walsh knows at the times those Bills were



JUN 9 1975

introduced we went through each one up on the podium and some of them were posted by subject matter."

Walsh: "That's no help at all. The only thing that I can see that would be helpful since they have not been printed is to have a reference to the Bills that there similar to."

Shea: "Well as I say Mr. Walsh, some of them are not similar to other Bills. They were posted by subject matter."

Walsh: "Do you mean to say Mr. Speaker that..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk tells me that we can put a remark on the calendar 'substitute for' and identify the Bill that it's a substitute for."

Walsh: "Well, yea, ok, the Majority Leader brings up a point that posted by subject matter and then introduced, was that the...was that a part of your ruling the other day that you post by subject matter."

Speaker Redmond: "It was a posting so that the public had full knowledge of the subject matter of the hearing, then they could be advanced to the order of Second Reading."

Walsh: "How can subject matter be...uh...inclusive enough to inform the public on their various interests? The only thing that could possibly be of any benefit would be an identical Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "I disagree with you. You've heard the representation by the Clerk that we will identify them at Third Reading to show what Bills they are substitute for."

Walsh: "Well, that certainly would be...uh...that would be helpful."

Speaker Redmond: "We will do it sir. Senate Bills... Supplemental Calendar, there's...uh...I'll be right back to you...House Bill 3060."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3060."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Uh...Thank you Mr. Speaker...uh...I wonder if it would be possible to indicate not only on Third Reading, but when the Bills appear on the calendar for your cross-



JUN 9 1975

reference."

Speaker Redmond: "Anytime it appears on the calendar."

Washburn: "Ok."

Speaker Redmond: "However it appears."

Washburn: "Fine."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3060. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond; "Third Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 55. Representative Kosinski here? Hold that one, we'll hold 98. 173. Oh pardon me, 55, I've been overruled and underlined."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 55. A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amends Senate Bill 55 on page 1, in each of line 1 and 5 be deleting section 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't have a copy of the amendment here, it was a committee amendment...uh...the Bill has amended was accepted by the committee, I recommend acceptance of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman's moved the adoption of the amendment #1 to Senate Bill 55. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third Reading."

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "173, Marovitz. Take that out of the record. 175, Representative White. Take that out of the record. I don't see Representative White, is he here? Representative White here?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 175. White. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



JUN 9 1975

No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 204, Representative Porter, here? Representative Porter, 204?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 204. Porter. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Title Registration Law. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amends Senate Bill 204 in the House on page 1, be deleting lines 1 through 3 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Earlier in the Session, we passed and sent over to the Senate a Bill that would simply provide that any motor vehicle titled in two names or more would be presumed to be held in joint tenancy with the right of survivorship, unless it was otherwise indicated on the certificate of title. The present law is that...uh...for two or more individuals unless their designated husband and wife on the certificate, it's presumed to be held in tenancy in common. The Senate meanwhile, had passed and sent over..."

Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized persons leave the floor."

Porter: "...a bill that...uh...would provide that if two names are on a title and they are, in fact, husband and wife where they're designated so are not it presumed to be in joint tenancy. The Judiciary Committee in considering this decided that the Senate Bill ought to be put in the same condition as the House Bill, so that any two names on a certificate of title would be considered to be held in joint tenancy with the right of survivorship and if parties didn't intend that, they could indicate otherwise on the title. And...uh...this passed out of Judiciary Committee. and I would move the adoption of House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 204."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman moved the adoption of amendment



#1 to Senate Bill 204. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 235."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 235. Hill. A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "A fiscal note was requested on this, has it been furnished yet? Representative Hill, your aware of that? Take it out of the record. 302."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 302. Richmond. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor? We'll have to hold that. Representative Richmond here? Take it out of the record. Representative Maragos here? 343."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 343. A Bill for an Act to provide for the Ordinary and Contingent Expense for the Commission of Savings and Loan. Second Reading of the Bill. Two committee amendments. Amendment #1..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor. 343."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 343 on page 1, line 23 by striking \$1,019,000 and inserting in lieu thereof \$999,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move for the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 343."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 343. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill 343 on page 1, by deleting line 24 and inserting in lieu thereof the following, Section 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move for the



adoption of amendment #2 to Senate Bill 343."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "May we have an explanation of the amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you explain the amendment Representative Taylor?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will you take it out of the record for a moment, let me get my records on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Read the amendment then, maybe we can..."

O'Brien: "On amendment #2, amends Senate Bill 343 on page 1, by deleting line 24 and inserting in lieu thereof the following, Section 2; 'no funds appropriated in this act may be expended in violation of the rules and regulations of the Illinois Fair Employment Practice Commission or the Federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.' Section 3; 'This Act takes effect July 1, 1975.'"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does that suffice Representative Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Well, I have no objection to the insertion but I do wonder what the deletion was, and we can't tell that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, this amendment has been applied to a number of appropriation bills, I did not personally draft the amendment myself, but the Department did, I did accept the amendment and it deals with the Fair Employment Practice Act."

Speaker Redmond: "What was taken out of the Bill, do you know?"

Taylor: "No."

O'Brien: "Removed the effective date of July 1, 1975 and then re-inserts it in Section 3."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #2 to Senate Bill 343. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Any more amendments? That amendment was adopted."

O'Brien: "No further amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "No further amendments? Third Reading.  
344."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 344. Taylor. A Bill for an Act to  
Provide for the Ordinary and Contingent Expense of the  
Commission of Banks and Trust Companies. Second Reading  
of the Bill. Two committee amendments. Amendment #1,  
amends Senate 344 on page 1, line 16 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of amendment #1  
to Senate Bill 344."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of  
amendment #1 to Senate Bill 344. All in favor indicate...  
Representative Downs."

Downs: "What is the amendment about?"

Taylor: "The amendment just...uh...doesn't take any money  
aways, it takes...uh...\$16,000 and divides that with  
travel would be \$14,100 and ....would be \$2,000. The money  
is still there, we just...uh...re-itemizing this money.  
That's all."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of  
the amendment. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye',  
those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have ~~it~~ the amendment is  
adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 344, on page 1,  
by deleting line 25 and inserting in lieu thereof the  
following, Section 2, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This amendment  
is the same as the other amendment to Senate Bill 343 and  
I move for the adoption to Senate Bill 344."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of  
amendment #2 to Senate Bill 344. All in favor indicate by  
saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the  
amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "355. Third Reading."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 355. Keller."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments? We'll have to hold that one.  
Take it out of the record. 381."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 381. Kane. A Bill for an Act to amend  
the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee  
amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 392."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 392. A Bill for an Act to amend the  
Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No  
committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 406."

O'Brien: "There's an amendment on 406."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment on that. Representative Laurino  
here? Pass that one. 488."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 488. Londrigan. A Bill for an Act to  
Provide for the Ordinary and Contingent Expense for the  
Military and Naval Department. Second Reading of the Bill.  
Two committee amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill  
488 on page 2, line 20, by deleting and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "I move the adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman moves the adoption of amendment  
#1 to Senate Bill 488. All in favor indicate by saying  
'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is  
adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 488 on page 4 by deleting  
Section 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following,  
Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "I move the adoption of amendment #2."



JUN 9 1975

17

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman moves the adoption of amendment #2 to Senate Bill 488. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye, opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 506."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 506. Londrigan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 512. Representative Maragos. 530."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 530. Ebbesen. A Bill for an Act to Provide for Grants for the Employment of Additional Students by Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 543. McClain on the floor? Pass that one. 558."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 558. Epton. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Two committee amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 558 on page 9, line 33 by deleting the following words and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "I'm sorry Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't have my copy of the amendment here."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the amendment, it's a short amendment."

O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 558 on page 9, line 33 by deleting the following words, 'no group legal expense policy may be written to insure any group which designates any specific attorney or firm of attorneys to perform the legal services provided under the policy.'"



9A X Epton: "Thank you very much. This was inserted at the request of the Department of Insurance. This is a new group concept of pre-paid legal insurance. And they wanted to avoid having either a closed panel or an open panel, and this removes the Senate amendment which previously insisted on open panel. The Department of Insurance would prefer to try it both ways and see, as a result of their experience, how it comes out and I move the adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 558. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. 596. Representative Tuerk. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 558 by deleting on page 9, line 5 and 6 and inserting in lieu thereof the following, quote 'sickness and accident insurance, underlined, are group annuities, are group pre-paid legal expense insurance' end of quotes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "The Senate sponsor and the Department of Insurance wanted to include those institutions, those categories which would be unable to get group insurance. I move the adoption of amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman moves the adoption of amendment #2 to Senate Bill 558. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 596."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 596. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amends Senate Bill 596 on page 1, line 1 and 8, so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of amendment



#1, committee amendment approved by the Pension-Laws Commission and also the committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 596. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? There's been a fiscal note requested on this, by whom, Representative are you aware of that?"

Epton: "No but I'll get one."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold it on Second Reading until we get it. 608. Representative Jones not on the floor. Take that one out of the record. 617."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 617. Beaupre. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to Regulate the Employment of Children. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amends Senate Bill 617 on page 1, by deleting line 19 through 21 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have the amendment handy could we go back to it in a minute."

Speaker Redmond: "Ok, we'll hold it. Read it, maybe it's a short amendment I understand."

O'Brien: "Inserting in lieu thereof the following, 'nothing in this act applies to a minor fourteen or fifteen years of age doing the task during the part of the year from June 1 through September 15, in an occupational-vocational or educational program funded by the Comprehensive Training Act.'"

Beaupre: "Uh...yes, Mr. Speaker the amendment, after the Bill passed the Senate it was discovered by the Department that unless this language was inserted that the Department would have no jurisdiction whatsoever over minors and that was not the intent of the Bill and...uh...I would move the adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment





#1 to Senate Bill 617. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Are you aware that there is a second amendment, Representative Beaupre? It appears identical, this is your amendment."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was offered in committee by Representative Geo-Karis, amendment #2 is submitted by Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I'd move to table amendment #2 if they are identical amendments, there is some confusion I believe."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved..."

Beaupre: "No?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #1 mentions 14 and 15 years of age, amendment #2 applies to a minor engaged..."

Beaupre: "Maybe we'd better take it out of record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take the Bill out of the record. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 596. Senate Bill 596. Fiscal note has been furnished."

O'Brien: "The Bill's been read a second time, amendment #1 adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 663."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 663. Washburn. A Bill for an Act to Provide for the Ordinary and Contingent Expenses of the Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. Two committee amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 663 on page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee amendment #1 was agreed to by all committee members. It reduces the line item appropriation for personal services by \$267,530 and I would move for it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 663. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted."

O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 663 by inserting between



Section 8, so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 would have the Bill so that it would conform with the Fair Employment Practices Act and I would move for its adoption... er, Amendment #2, rather. I think this Amendment's being attached to most Appropriations Bills, at least, and I would move for it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the adoption Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 663. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 666."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 666. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense to the Illinois Legislative Council. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 692."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 692. A Bill for an Act to amend the boat registration and safety act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 693."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 693. A Bill for an Act to amend the motor fuel tax law. This Bill is being held for a fiscal note. "

Speaker Redmond: "...Request for a fiscal note has been filed. Realize that, Representative Houlihan? Okay. Take that one out of the record. 694."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 694...Request for a fiscal note filed on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "894. Representative Schisler. Is there



an Amendment on this, Representative..."

Jack O'Brien: "Request for a fiscal note."

Speaker Redmond: "Request for a fiscal note on 694 have you ...are you aware of that, Representative Schisler?"

Schisler: "Well, I'm asleep at the switch here, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "We're calling 694 and we find that there has been a request for a fiscal note. We have to hold it on Second Reading until the fiscal note is furnished..."

Schisler: "Can you take it out of the record for a minute?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Schisler: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "703."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 703. Lundy. A Bill for an Act to amend the civil administrative code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 749. Out of the record. 751."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 751. Porter. A Bill for an Act to amend the public community college act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment...One Committee Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter."

Jack O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 751."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Request of the Sponsor. 786. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 834. Representative McClain here? Out of the record. 850."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 850. Merlo. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to official bonds. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 883."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 883. A Bill for an Act to amend



an Act relating to number appointment, qualifications and duties of magistrates. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 955."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 955. A Bill for an Act to amend the vehicle code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1048."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1048. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1009."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1009. A Bill for an Act to amend the vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1058."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1058. A Bill for an Act to amend the vehicle code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third REading. 1120. Representative Madigan, is he on the Floor? 1120."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1120. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to compensation to Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1120 on page 2, line 8 by deleting Speaker and inserting in lieu thereof,



Rules Committee."

JUN 9 1975

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. 1120."

Madigan: "...Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1120 simply provides that the Rule making powers shall be vested in the Rules Committee of the House of Representatives rather than the Speaker. I move for the adoption..."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1161."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1161. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employee's Group Insurance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1293."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1293. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to mechanic's liens. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D'Arco."

Jack O'Brien: "...Amends Senate Bill 1293 as amended in the 4th paragraph of section 5 beginning, 'it shall be the duty' by deleting the last sentence of the paragraph."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D'Arco."

D'Arco: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to table that Amendment at this time..."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has...any objections? The Amendment's tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. D'Arco. Amends Senate Bill 1293 on page 2 by deleting 20 to 23 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'Prior receipt of the notice.'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D'Arco."

D'Arco: "This is merely a technical Amendment and I would



JUN 9 1975

ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1293. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1311."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1311. A Bill for an Act to amend the space needs act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1497."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1497. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation of Spanish-speaking people study commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1497 on page 1 by deleting lines 10 and 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk on the Floor? 1497."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 1497 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Jack O'Brien: "...Section 2. No funds appropriated in this Act may be expended in violation of rules and regulations of the Illinois Fair Employment Practice Commission. There's a Federal Equal Opportunity Commission."

Polk: "Yes. That was...that Amendment was handed to me by Mrs. Catania and I have no problems with it and I move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk, moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1497. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it. Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? No further Amendments. Third Reading. On the supplemental calendar appears Senate Bill 728. Representative Ebbesen on the Floor?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 728. A Bill for an Act to amend the school code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 881. Is there a Floor Amendment on 728? Representative..."

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Representative Ebbesen. Amends Senate Bill 728 on page 1 by deleting line 14 and inserting in lieu thereof section 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this is nothing more than a...Amendment that was discussed in Committee and...to clear up some of the language. And I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 728. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "881. Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 881."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve district. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer."

Jack O'Brien: "Amend Senate Bill 881 on page 2 by deleting lines 25 and 26 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We have two Amendments that are to go on this Bill. One is prepared on the Clerk's desk, the other one is coming up. Could we take this out of the record for a minute?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record."



Jack O'Brien: "There's two Committee Amendments and one Floor Amendment on this."

Speaker Redmond: "On 881? 910. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 943. Representative Campbell on the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 943..."

Speaker Redmond: "He doesn't appear to be on the Floor."

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to divorce. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 302. Representative Richmond on the Floor? 302."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 302. A Bill for an Act to amend the school code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is the Sponsor of the Floor Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Richmond. Amends Senate Bill 302 on page 1 line 17 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond. Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 302 was suggested in Committee hearing and it merely changes the language of the Bill to apply to both sexes. I...it's a very perfunctory type of Amendment, I move for its approval...move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 302. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "No further Amendments. Third Reading. Representative Maragos on the Floor? Representative





Keller. Laurino. Maragos. McClain. Jones. House Bills Third Reading. Third Reading...all these appropriation Bills have been called before. I assume Representative Mugalian does not want his called. Is that correct? How about Von Boeckman on 783? Representative Von Boeckman there? Take that out. 899, Representative Duff. Hold that one. 1360. Representative Kozubowski here? Hold...pass that one. Pass 1552. 2103, Representative Chapman. Representative Chapman on the Floor? Hold 2103. Von Boeckman. 783."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 783. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this was a Bill that we had up last week and all of the objections were satisfied so I'd appreciate passage of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Anderson: "Representative Von Boeckman on the 29th we did the Commissioner a letter where our staff had several questions that they wanted answered. Well, that Monday we phoned him and he said he didn't receive the letter so we hand delivered a copy of the letter to him and as of today we have not had our questions answered. I wondered if you'd hold it for a day?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I...I really I think...we have taken 300...\$331,000 out of the budget, I've held it twice now and I don't know whether...hold it any longer would justify it or not. I...I feel in my own mind that they have cut the budget considerably, the question was raised about the two commissioners, this does not...this is not involved in this budget. And there was another little question by Representative Mann and he's satisfied with



his question and I think we could go on and on in holding up this Bill but it...the Representative...the Director is in Springfield right now, Mel Rosenbloom, I...if you'd want to get a hold of him today and talk to him I'd still like to pass this Bill out today."

Anderson: "Well, if we could get a hold of him maybe we'd get this settled in a few minutes, the questions we have."

Von Boeckman: "Well, what...what are the questions, I...I... mean we had a good hearing in the Committee."

Anderson: "Well, we want to know about..."

Von Boeckman: "If there's any objections, I'm sure that it has to go to the Senate, I'm sure you could solve the problems over there if you have any."

Speaker Redmond: "Let the record show that Representative Schlickman has some questions and handed them to Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "No, he handed them back to me. I handed them to him. All right, Jim, if you want to go ahead with it, I'm going to vote against it but go ahead."

Von Boeckman: "Well, that's your prerogative. I think this is..."

Speaker Redmond: "...want to proceed."

Von Boeckman: "I think this is a Bill that ~~one~~ of...the Bills that really helped the working men and women of the State of Illinois and I think they have a good program scheduled for the ensuing year and I...I think Director Rosenbloom has done a pretty good job and I'd just like to see the Bill go out."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. We'll put the questions then. The question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, an explanation of my vote. There were a number of questions which were asked in the Appropriations Committee of the Director of this Department and I'm not certain that they were answered really to everyone's satisfaction on that Committee. I regret that Representative Von Boeckman did



to a great detail in filling out a report. Very procedural Move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 184. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading On Senate Bill 162 appears ...or Senate Bill Third Reading appears Senate Bill 162. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I'd like to take this Bill back to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave is granted. 162 on the order of Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Shea. Amends Senate Bill 162 on page 8 lines 24 and 25 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment does two things. It requires competitive bidding for the sale of these bonds..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ekbesen, for what purpose do you arise? I don't understand...we had wireless when I was a boy scout, we didn't understand semaphore. Representative Shea."

Shea: "The Amendment does two things. It amends the Bill requiring competitive bidding for the sale of bonds. And the second thing, it reduces from 25% to 15% the amount that one municipality or unit of government can have of the whole pie and I'd move for the adoption of the Amendment. This was an Amendment I agreed to put on in Committee for Representative Tipword and other Members of the Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 162. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The



Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bill Third Reading appears Senate Bill 506, I've...which we have just moved to Third Reading. I've been advised by the Clerk that a fiscal note has been requested for... Senate Bill 506, so we'll have to remove...return it to the order of Second Reading. Representative Londrigan, are you aware of that? Fiscal note has been requested for 506 which we weren't aware of so it's returned back to the order of Second Reading. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 969. Is that correct Representative Hart? Been requested that it be returned to the order of Second Reading from Third. Any objections? Leave granted. Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah, yes..."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Hart. Amends Senate Bill 969 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Hart: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment would prohibit the diminution of minimum...maximum sentences without the approval of the Director of the Department of Corrections. This has the approval of the Department of Corrections. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow is that satisfactory? Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 969. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On the...House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 1360. Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1360 would appropriate the sum of \$59,759,000 for the ordinary and contingent



expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. This is a \$5,000,000 increase from last year's appropriation. I would ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. On page 3, House Bill. The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor...oh..."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1360. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor will vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 ayes, no nays. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1552."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1552. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations of the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1552 makes certain reappropriations for the permanent improvements to the Capital Development Board payable from the Capital Development Bond Funds, the School Construction Bond Fund and General Revenue Fund. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen...the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this 110 aye, no nay and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 476. Representative Shea on the Floor? 2580. Take that one out. 2580, Representative Deuster, is he on the Floor? Take it out. 2790. Representative Washburn on the Floor? Take it out. 2942, Representative Brinkmeier. Take it out of the record. 3005. Representative Tipsword. 3005."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3005. A Bill for an Act making



appropriation for certain claims against the State of Illinois in conformity with awards made by the Court of Claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 3005, it is the appropriation Bill to satisfy the judgments of the Court of Claims during the past year which are due and payable by the State of Illinois. I move for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 ayes, no nay and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 3035."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3035. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, this is a Committee Bill and the chief Sponsor of that Committee is...for that particular piece of legislation is Representative Calvo and I would like to yield to him if he's on the Floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Calvo. I believe the rules provide that any Member of the Committee may present it. Representative Skinner. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it's fine to go to Bills that we do not have in the digest because the digests have not been delivered on time but when we get over the number of 3,000, I think, they are not in the digest. And the digest will not be available until tomorrow so we will have to take them almost on faith or try to find the Bills. Would it be possible to wait until we get the digest?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, we can wait until tomorrow on this and I'd like to appoint you to chase the printer."

Skinner: "Oh. Such a job. Such a job."

Speaker Redmond: "You'll have the full power of the Clerk



and the Speaker."

Skinner: "Such as it is."

Speaker Redmond: "Amen. Senate Bills Third Reading. Senate Bill 56. Take that out of the record. 73, I believe Representative Grieman is...Representative Hart, can you handle 56? 56. 5...Representative Hart."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 56. A Bill for an Act..."

Hart: "What I was going to tell you was that I've been requested to handle 73 for Representative Grieman. I'll take a look at 56. If it's not controversial, I'll be glad to do it. Oh. Yeah. I can handle Senate Bill 56."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 56. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "This Bill amends the State Policeman's Retirement System to provide for two widows who were intended to be included in an Act that we passed here four years ago. Subsequent to the passage of the Act, the two widows had applied for their pensions and have been turned down although there is an Attorney General's opinion to the point that they are qualified for it. So this Bill, in view of the fact that the situation is at an impasse would provide by way of a catchup for these two widows of state policemen to qualify for the retirement benefits as the widow of a policeman killed in action. I move for the adoption of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, this Amendment was placed in consideration, or the Bill was placed in consideration and ...done the same thing several weeks ago and after discussing this particular...Amendment that takes care of these widows with Members of the Pension Committee and Pension Board, they felt that there was no problem with this and...and the Committee...some of the Committee Members have taken the position that they thought that this would be a good Bill to take care of the problem that Representative Hart has outlined. And I would suggest that we support this



particular Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representativ Duff."

Duff: "You know, Mr. Speaker, I looked around the House through that entire conversation and I couldn't find out who was talking..."

Speaker Redmond: "That was Representative Mudd and Representative Hart before that."

Duff: "Okay. Thank you. Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor answer a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Duff: "Representative Hart, all the conversation about the Amendment made me wonder whether or not the Bill has been substantially changed."

Hart: "Yes it has. The Bill...the Bill as it came, 56, was the same as House Bill 988 which was Sponsored by Representative Mudd in the House and which is pending in the Senate. I had discussed with the State Police people putting on this Amendment on 988 and also with Representative Mudd And they stated that they would have preferred it to go on Senate Bill 56 rather than 988, so that was the procedure that was adopted. ...Senate Bill 56 now is only...applies to this particular pension problem and 988 is the...is the Bill that's described as being 56 in the Senate..."

Duff: "Thank you."

Hart: "...We tabled everything after the enacting clause on 56 and put this Amendment on it to do what I...I've described."

Duff: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Nothing. I...I just...I wasn't paying attention. What does this Amendment do to the Bill, does it...they still retire at 50 years of age?"

Hart: "No, that...all that is taken out of the Bill. That is still in House Bill 988 which passed the House and..."

Fleck: "Well, what's the problem you're solving with this Bill?"

Hart: "There are two widows of policemen killed in action..."





Fleck: "Okay, that's all..."

Hart: "...which...which were, you know..."

Fleck: "...forget it, forget it."

Hart: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 aye, 1 nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 73, Representative Hart."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 73. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the adoption of persons. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm handling this Bill for Representative Grieman at his request and it provides, very simply as the digest suggests, that there will no longer be any necessity for consent of parents when someone wants to adopt an adult. I think it's a good Bill. There was an Amendment placed on the Bill the other day to clean up the language of a consent form in the statutes that was adopted two years ago...that...that Amendment to the consent form was approved by the Department of Children and Family Services, there were some people that I talked to, in it, who worked on the original Bill. And I think both of these are fairly noncontroversial and I think... it's a good Bill and should pass. I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 aye, 1 no. The Bill having received the constitutional majority...hereby declared passed. 155."



Jack O'Brien: "House...Senate Bill 155. A Bill for an Act to amend the river conservancy district act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 155 amends the river conservancy district act. It extends from 20 to 60 days the time for appointment of initial trustees after the establishment of a conservancy district. We had a series of bills in regard to this to give the county boards time enough to meet; and most of them meet once a month; and that's the purpose of this to extend the time. I urge its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well, I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of questions. The other day when this Bill was called I asked you to talk about it. We came over and I talked to you about Amendment #1, Committee Amendment, which would change the appointment in multicounty districts..."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I have had a request to take this out of the record so I could talk to Mr. Hart about it."

Hart: "Okay. That's good. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Order of business Committee Reports, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which were referred House Bills 1764, 1835, 1866 and 2719 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3062 and recommend that the original Bills lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which were referred House Bills 1764, 1835, 1866 and 2719 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3062 and recommend that the original Bills lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction to First Reading. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if as a matter of convenience



those of us who are handling Committee Bills can be put on the Bill in parentheses behind the Committee's name so that the Bills will appear on our computer printout thereby keeping track of where they are at."

Speaker Redmond: "I think it's a good idea. I see no reason why we can't."

Beaupre: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "...Fleck."

Fleck: "What is...did he request that Committee Bills, all the Members of the Committee be put on..."

Speaker Redmond: "No, no, that there be a parentheses with the name of the man that's handling it so that he can be identified."

Fleck: "Oh, all right."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk. Man or woman, I've been cautioned not to be chauvinist. You're right, Representative Deuster."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3062. Committee on Insurance. A Bill for an Act to add section 36 to the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3063. Committee on Insurance. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3064. Mulcahey. A Bill for an Act to transfer certain funds in the fiscal year 1975 appropriations for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3065. Revenue Committee. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "...Business, Senate Bills Third Reading. We cannot call 162 it was just amended today. 179. Representative Porter on the Floor? Take that out of the record. 183. Representative Sangmeister on the Floor? Take that out. 207. Representative Phillips, no, it's Daniels."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 207. Daniels. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to compensation to Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 207 in its amended form provides for payment of compensation to Members of the General Assembly to be either monthly or yearly per your selection. We have deleted the provision which allows for payment on a every two year...or once for a two year Session and we also in accordance with Representative Fleck's request have settled the problem regarding your estate in the event that you only take a portion of your salary payable as compensation. The unpaid balance will then be paid to your surviving spouse or if there be none to your estate. And that basically is in accordance with the present law but we changed and deleted the portion dealing with 'a half' and inserted the word a portion' in there. Now, Representative Hudson's House Bill 834 deleting the provision for receiving two years at once as previously passed this House and this Bill I think corrects one of the problems in the other Bill that passed in that...can now receive payment of salary on monthly basis if you request. And I ask for your favorable vote. And I'll answer any questions if there are any."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I just wanted as a matter of record to indicate my support for this Bill, because it's identical to House Bill 1915 which the Executive Committee saw fit to shoot down when I had it before that Committee and...but here it is now in...in good shape on Third Reading and I want to add my support to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question. Question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 103 aye, 2 nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 208 "

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 208. Beaupre. A Bill for an Act



to amend the election..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I'm the House Sponsor of that Bill, Mr. Speaker, and I would appreciate..."

Speaker Redmond: "208."

Schlickman: "...its being taken out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Beaupre is so much younger than you I don't see how they made that mistake. 209. Hold that one at the request of the Sponsor which is Representative Randolph. 214. Representative Maragos. Take that out of the record. 220, take out of the record. 221, out of the record. 222."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 222. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative R. K. Hoffman. I hear somebody. Representative Berman."

Berman: "What...when you're done with this I wanted to get... when you're done with this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. 222."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 222 amends the park district code, provides a one year limitation period for contesting the annexation of any territory. It puts into the statutory provision a date certain of which, directly or indirectly, any attempts would be made legally to disannex and is something that the code requires, came out of the Senate no dissenting votes. Came out of the House Committee, no dissenting votes. And I would ask for an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Question is, shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye. Those opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? ...will take the record. On this question there's 105 aye, no nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 234. Representative Berman, pardon me."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, we had just passed Senate Bill 207 and that was a Bill that there was some debate on last week



regarding compensation and some tax consequences. I tried to pay attention but I really didn't hear any debate on that Bill and I'm not sure that that Bill was given the kind of attention that it deserves and I would like to ask for leave to reconsider the vote by which that Bill just so we can get back and ask some questions and understand what the Bill does to us and for us."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to consider the vote by which Senate Bill 209 passed. 207 passed."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has been moved...has moved the vote by which Senate Bill 207 was passed be reconsidered. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed no. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. 207. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Ah, yes Mr...how many votes does this require?"

Speaker Redmond: "I think it's the majority, isn't it? 89."

Berman: "Well, that's why I wanted to have my vote explained before we took the roll. Jack, has the roll been taken yet? All right. The board still open? All right. The reason I asked that this vote be reconsidered and Representative Lauer follow through on the request, there were questions raised last week regarding certain tax consequences to all of us as regards passage of this Bill. Regrettably I don't think that that...those same questions that were asked last week were raised today when that Bill was called on Third Reading. The Bill maybe perfectly fine but I don't recall any of the questions that were raised, and I think they are proper, raised today. All I want to do is have the Bills properly debated on the Floor of this House that's why I suggested and Representative Lauer moved that Senate Bill 207 be reconsidered. The Bill maybe fine but I...I...if it's my money and your money I think we ought to know what we're voting upon. I'd like to see just a few more green lights, get back to Senate Bill 207 and debate it properly



on the Floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Just in answer to the previous speaker's question, I did answer that question, the presentation of the Bill. The question of the tax problem was answered by an Amendment that I worked out through the Comptroller's Office so that if you were to die and only have received a portion of your pay, that the balance of your pay would go to your surviving spouse or the estate, if you have no surviving spouse. Now that is the current law as it is today and all that we did to make that correction proper was to remove the law that says one half of your pay and insert in there a portion. So that question has been answered. I answered that in the presentation on the Bill and would just suggest, you know, the question that you had had been answered already."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, I'd like to roll call to be taken and then I've got some other questions besides that one on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. ...Have all voted who wish? Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I concur with Mr. Berman. I must have been absent from my desk, I don't recall the vote. So I would like to go through this again."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. On this there's 95 ayes, 29 nays. And the vote will be...the motion carries and the vote by which 207 is reconsidered. The Bill is now before us. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, would the Sponsor yield to some questions. Is that...are we back to the proper order now? All right. Representative Daniels, and again I apologize, I may not have been paying..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Procedure...Mr. Speaker. As



long as the gentleman has asked to have his Bill reconsidered, totally, I would like to request that he be given the opportunity to open and close as in a proper debate so that those who were not paying attention to his opening remarks ten minutes ago might now hear his explanation of the Bill before he has to respond to questions."

Speaker Redmond: "I think your point is well taken. Representative Phillips to explain the vote. I don't know, I get you two fellows mixed up. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 207 amends the General Assembly compensation act and if the Bill were to pass and become law the basic effect would be as follows. That you could no longer take your pay all at once for the two year term. You could do one of the two following. You could take it monthly or you could take it yearly, per your selection and choice. Also as far as the question that was previously brought up, we have prepared an Amendment which would say that in the event of your death and you had only taken a portion of your salary, the balance of that salary would go to your surviving spouse or if you have none then to your estate which is basically the way that the current law is today. As I explained previously, House Bill 834, had passed this Body previously which eliminated the ability to take two years salary at once and that's pending in the Senate. This Bill, I think, creates...or settles a problem that might be incumbent on that Bill because under this Bill, if it passes and becomes law, you could take your salary monthly if you desire, by filing a request with the Comptroller otherwise you would be paid on an annual basis. If there's any questions I'll be happy to answer them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Does the Bill in its original form preclude payment of two years? ...Up front."

Daniels: "No it doesn't. The Amendment...the Amendment was





brought into line with a Bill that has previously passed this Body, House Bill 834, and the addition of the monthly salary if you so requested...."

Fleck: "Okay. Okay. Let me get this straight. As far as I can read the Amendment, I...I see some tax ramifications might be cleared up. However, now as I, the Bill is presently, a Member has the option of taking two years; one year salary, two year salary in monthly installments or one year salary at a time in monthly installments."

Daniels: "One year in monthly installments."

Fleck: "You can't get two years?"

Daniels: "You can not get two years at once."

Fleck: "All right. So that...that portion is knocked out."

Daniels: "That's correct."

Fleck: "Okay. Now, I want to ask you as an attorney, Lee, what if a fellow dies prior to receiving his second years' compensation and he didn't file his request with the Comptroller's Office that he would like to have that in monthly installments. Now we're back to the tax ramifications of the Bill again."

Daniels: "He would...he would receive, or his spouse or estate receive the full balance of his compensation according to the Comptroller's Office. So the tax ramifications would be nil because...well, not nil, but the resulting effect would be his estate or his surviving spouse would receive all the balance of his salary."

Fleck: "Would they receive it monthly? If he didn't declare monthly he would...they would receive it one lump sum, the estate."

Daniels: "Correct."

Fleck: "That's correct. Now what if the week after he files his statement that he wants to take it monthly and then he dies or gets run over by a truck or God knows what, will the estate receive the payments monthly or will they receive a lump sum payment. And the reason I raise this question..."

Daniels: "They'd receive a lump sum payment. The balance of



the compensation he had coming."

Fleck: "They will receive the unpaid balance. Okay. Answers my question."

Speaker Redmond: "...Berman."

Berman: "Will the Sponsor yield? Representative Daniels, the way the Bill reads now as amended, we will have if this Bill is passed and signed into law two choices. One, we can take one year salary in a lump sum or two, we can take our salary in monthly installments. Is that correct?"

Daniels: "That's basically correct but keep in mind that you could intermingle that. In other words, you could take your first year of salary in one lump sum and then in the second year you could file a request to take that monthly. Or you could reverse it and take the first year monthly and the second year in one lump sum or you could take it all monthly for the two years."

Berman: "All right. This addresses itself to the tax problem of the entitlement of the second year and perhaps having to pay taxes on it."

Daniels: "That's correct. The basic reason for not being able to take your two year salary at once is to settle the IRS question which is bothering all of us that they may come in and say to us that if you're at all entitled to take that that they could tax you on that two years at once. In other words, the constructive receipt theory and that's the basic reason for eliminating that so that we don't have that situation coming up."

Berman: "And the Amendment regarding the death would mean that anything...any part of the two years' salary will be payable to the widow."

Daniels: "Any portion of the salary remaining would be payable to the widow or to the estate."

Berman: "How does this affect pension and...insurance benefits? Now right now they take out all of the pension benefits on our check when we draw at the first Session of the year. Will this be amortized over the monthly or will,



how will that be handled?"

Daniels: "As I understand, it would be amortized. I worked this Amendment out with Les Jones of the Comptroller's Office and he said that this was the way to do it and as I understand it would be amortized in that regard."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I still think that there may be an income tax problem. I don't think that the Internal Revenue Service or the Federal Government is bound by what the Comptroller may or may not do in the situation of a legislator passing away or resigning before he has received his entire years' pay when he has elected to take it on a monthly basis. I suggest that if a person dies, say in the second month of his term and he's on a monthly basis, that Uncle Sam can very clearly on the constructive receipt doctrine tax him and his estate for one year's income. The payment to his estate can also be treated in another fashion and possibly result income in respect of a decedent or... or tax to both the estate and to the...and to the legislator. I believe his constructive receipt doctrine does not depend upon what Illinois may or may not do as to balance of the payment. And I suggest some further thought may be necessary to avoid that problem."

Daniels: "Well, just in comment or response to that statement made by Representative Mugalian, I...I believe you'll find that there will be a tax to the estate because the estate or the surviving spouse would in fact receive the money. Because any portion of the compensation left over would be paid over."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I wonder if I could just...bend the rules slightly? The balance may not be paid to his widow. He may not have a widow. It may be paid to distant relatives or to his estate so that the income tax problems would definitely be different but I think in any event, Uncle Sam can



JUN 9 1975

48

charge the descendant for the full years income and also charge the estate of either for income in respect to the descendant or possibly...uh...certainly for estate and income tax purposes. So I think we should not assume the balance is paid to widow, there may not be a widow."

Daniels: "Well, I think that an answer to that question if that's the way it exists today is a possibility and I don't think we have the precise answer to that and that's something we have to leave to the regulation and would be impossible to resolved based on our debate because that is in existence today. We are not changing that possibility under the purposed law right now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Yea, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do feel that Senate Bill 207 is a step in the right direction. We legislators have been criticized time again, time again if we take our pay two years in advance I for one have had to take it one year in advance. I think the argument made by the prior speaker is answered by this Bill because if we do not have the right to take our pay two years in advance then we are limited to the pay being registered as internal revenue items in the year in which it is collected or accrued and I think this Bill serves the question and I think it's high time we tried to improve our image as legislators and do the best we can to be paid on a monthly basis if we so desire, after all the Governor gets paid on a monthly basis and the other State Officers get paid on a monthly basis and there's no reason why we should have any extra privileges in this matter and I urge your support of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The last speaker on this subject apparently didn't listen to the sponsor. He didn't label this as the good guys



JUN 9 1975

49

against the bad guys Bill at all. He said the purpose of the Bill, as I understood him, primary motivation was to protect the legislature against the chance that the IRS may insert the doctrine of constructive possession. Now viewed from that light, rather than being the good guys against the bad guys, another classic example of the legislature moving with all deliberate speed or as fast as they can to protect themselves against possible liability. And if you took that particular theory, Ladies and Gentlemen, you'd have to conclude that you had to cast a red ballot a red vote as Representative Duff and I did the first time around, if you want to be labeled among the good guys. Now I want to say to you as I've said before in regard to taking the two years in advance, that's the most nonsensical position that the press has ever created, they are just green-eyed with envy there isn't one of those fine gentlemen up in the box that wouldn't accept their pay six years in advance if they had an alternative and for them to create the herring that somehow this is crime and corruption is just an assault on the mentality on the members of this House. It's existed since time immemorial that you could have it two years in advance, it's one of the emolument of the office. I see no reason to change it, I think when it's called again, if you'll all vote red you'll have the right vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I hadn't intended to speak on this particular issue until I listened to the preceding comments. And I think that that is the most ridiculous position that I have ever heard, if there is one thing that irritates some of my constituents back home is the ability of the members of the General Assembly to take their pay not merely one year but two years in advance. And I'm going to submit to you that this is a thorn in the side of the constituents, that they would be



JUN 9 1975

50

very happy to see excised of this thorn and...uh...what Representative Daniels has here is a reasonable bill it does take into consideration the tax aspect of the issue, also certain other considerations which I have mentioned in addition, adds...uh...adds an option that we do not now have of taking the Bill...taking our pay on a monthly basis if we wish. It seems to me to be a reasonable approach and one that we can support...uh..with a great deal of confidence and a great deal of pride as being in the interest, best interest totally of those that we...uh...represent who are interested in business like procedures here in the House and by the way I have a feeling that the...the...uh...option of taking the salary two years in advance may very well have stemmed from years past when we met in a, sort of a on again, year on, year off basis back in the old days when the salary was considerably less than it is now and it made sense perhaps back in those days, the early days of the legislature to do...to take our pay this way. I do not think that it makes sense any more nor is it in the best interest of those we represent so I would urge your favorable consideration of what I think is a very reasonable and businesslike approach to this problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker I didn't intend to address myself to this but because one speaker previously referred an attitude on the Bill of mine, or at least inferred it, I think it's important to clear it up. Yes, I voted one of the two votes that voted no of the first roll call on this Bill but for quite different reasons than the Representative from Lawrence County would have indicated. I happen to agree with what Representative Mugalian said...uh...I would vote for a Bill which mandated either monthly payments or two year payments but I am and always have been, as long as I have been in the House, absolutely convinces that any time Internal Revenue Service wants to apply the doctrine of



JUN 9 1975

constructive receipt to the legislative pay, they can and I am just as certain that some day they will. And when that occasion occurs those persons that have deferred their income will find that they are responsible for the entire taxation of the entire two year period in the year of constructive receipt. For my own part, I don't mind if the press wants to suggest it's venality to take the pay raise all in the first year and I'll frankly say that I always have and the reason I always have is the very reason that Representative Mugalian suggested. I am personally convinced that the present status of the law is that we do receive constructive receipts of our pay in the first year. I would remind the members of the House who perhaps haven't thought deeply on this that the reason for the original passage of the two year...uh...receipt in one is in the days when we had bi-annual legislature and we didn't have annual budgets so when the legislature came to Springfield some hundred years ago for their six month session under one budget it was entirely appropriate that all appropriations applied to that two year period. There was no deceit or venality or design on the part of the Legislature that originally passed that law. We're now burdened with a historical problem that we can change. The trouble with this Bill is it does not make it mandatory which I would vote for, it makes it optional and that leaves us in the same bag that we've been in all these years."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Uh...thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think this legislation is something we all should support. I think that as it has been brought out here back years ago when the legislators drew \$2,000 a year or thereabouts, that's when this was started, something that just carried on and we haven't kept up with the times and I think this is alot of hogwash talking about the taxes because I'm not too smart and I'm not an attorney but



can see how it's going to effect anybody on taxes and I think the sponsor of the Bill is explained that very well and therefore I think it's a piece of legislation that everyone of us should support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker , Members of the House. There's one area, which to me, is still somewhat cloudy and I wish the sponsor would direct himself to this question, if he answered it, I missed it. And that is the question of the pension? I think the hypothetical question was posed that if you were taking your pay on month to month basis and you died after a month or two of the first year, what would be your pension benefits relative to the remaining year of that month of that year and the following year? I don't know enough about the pension laws to even take a hazardous guess. Are your rights as a pensioner nailed down as of the time the money is extracted or is there a contractual relationship from year to year or legislators looked upon in terms of two year intervals in terms of their pension benefits. I think those questions are serious and should be answered because your ~~talking~~ about widows and such as that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think what we're providing for in this Bill is tying the legislature up again in the name of..uh...clean and honest politicians, we're tying our own hands and...uh...we're getting involved in almost the same thing that the legislature in many other communities got involved in as far as ethics...uh...legislation. I for one would be happy to incorporate an idea to give the benefit of...uh...good to both sides. I'd be happy to hold anybody's salary for two years and give it to them a month at a time and deliver it in person, I might even invest their funds in some road bonds and help get the Governor's accelerated program."





Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker this issue having been properly debated  
I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman moves the previous question,  
the question being should the main question be put? All  
those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.  
...of those voting. Representative Fleck. Representative  
Fleck. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
All voted who wish? Hanahan 'aye'. On this question  
99 'ayes', 5 'no', the motion carries. Representative  
Daniels to close."

Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 207,  
let me see if we can go through this very carefully so that  
I can answer the questions that were brought up and primarily  
brought up by one of previous Representatives. Senate Bill  
207, if it were to become law, eliminates the ability to  
take your compensation all at once for a two year period,  
however, what you may do is take it monthly or yearly or  
any combination thereof. You could intermingle that so you  
could take the first year's salary all at once, in the  
second year you could take it monthly if you filed that  
request with the Comptroller or you could take the first  
year's monthly and the second year for a yearly basis.  
That's a determination you could make yourself. As far  
as the questions dealing with the estate, as I understand  
one of the previous speakers, Representative Mugalian,  
he was concerned that that provision of the existing law  
should be eliminated all together, that is if you were to  
die you could receive a port...or the balance of the comp-  
ensation for that two year period. I have not dealt with  
that question because I think that the purpose of the  
Bill in its present form is to deal with the issue of being  
paid and I have left it in the law as it is today that any  
portion that you have not yet received would be paid to  
your surviving spouse, if you have one, or if none, over to



your estate and that's basically the way the law is today. As to the question brought up with...by Representative Washburn regarding the pension laws, I'm not a pension law expert but I did touch on that briefly with the Comptroller's Office. It is my understanding, rightly or wrongly, but it is my understanding speaking for myself that the balance of your compensation being paid to your estate would then deduct the amount of money that you would have to pay under your pension. That would be calculated as of that basis or in other words that it would be on the two year basis because that's the basis on which you were elected as far as the payment of your compensation. So I don't see any problem there. I encourage that you look at this Bill carefully as you are doing at the present time and I think that you will find that it deals primarily with the issues presented in the debate; that is, whether or not we should one, receive two years compensation at once and number two the primary motivation of the Bill dealing with the constructive receipt theory. That is, that you cannot receive the two years and consequently the IRS could not tax you on that basis. Now if that's the case and if you accept that as a problem then I think, certainly, that you can accept very easily the theory that you should be paid monthly or yearly, per your own choice, and that's what the Bill deals with. It's very simple in concept and I urge and solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my aye vote. This Bill is in two parts. Part one provides that we may take one year's salary at a time. Now that's a principle which has been adopted previously by this House and I call your attention that back in the '40's the General Assembly did something similar to avoid being



taxed on two year's salary in one year. Subsequently, there's been the development of the constructive receipt doctrine which I think makes this necessary both for the benefit of Legislators as well as constituting sound public policy. Now with regard to the other part, that is totally, absolutely discretionary with the Member. You can take it monthly or not and of course in making the determination as to whether or not you're going to take it monthly you're going to make a determination as to the effect. Nothing is being imposed upon us by this monthly arrangement it's simply discretionary and as the Sponsor of the Bill has called to your attention that part of the Bill has not only been approved by the Comptroller but is supported by the Comptroller. And I would encourage and solicit an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker in briefly explaining my yes vote, it seems to me that the thrust and the main purpose of this Legislation is just to help out some of the Members who may wish to let the Comptroller do the monthly financing for them a little bit. I think many Members at the beginning you take year's salary, you put it into a savings account or somewhere else then through the course of the year as you need it why you put it into a checking account, every once in a while you may get mixed up and not realize how much money is left or where it is or what you might either end out with more money than you thought at the end of the year or you may run short. This is simply to provide every Member with a little simple option of just notifying the Comptroller and say please pay me on a monthly basis so this is one of the things I don't have to worry about. It seems to me that this is a convenience, a normal convenience for Members, and we would be rather foolish to deprive ourselves of this thing. I would urge an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."



Mugalian: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I believe that the problem that I raised by my question during debate may have been illusory. I think I made the suggestion that there might possibly be two income taxes imposed upon this payment. Upon reflection I'm fairly sure that that is not the case. Insofar as an inheritance or estate tax is concerned I think there is no problem there. If...if one person...if a person dies after receiving one monthly payment, the balance may be taxable to his estate. But if on the other hand he invests... he takes the entire payment in advance and invests that in a \$15,000 note that also will be subject to estate or inheritance tax in his estate. Therefore I think there is no detriment to the Members of the General Assembly regardless of which option they...they exercise and I think the Bill itself is helpful to all of us and is a step in the right direction and accordingly I vote aye."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck, to explain his vote."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a few moments ago when this Bill first came up I voted for it but I...on reflection I think there are some serious problems that were raised up by the Members. I think the way the Bill is now it's a classic example of hip shooting at a serious bonafide problem. I think it's absolutely ridiculous that the Members should have an opportunity to take two year's salary and there is a problem, tax problem, with constructive receipt in that because he has the option, he might be taxed for the second year if he doesn't take it. Now this also presents an option because as the Bill reads it says the Members shall receive compensation at x number dollars a year. Then it goes on to give the option to take monthly if he so desires, so in my mind there's a clearly a constructive receipt problem for tax ramifications. Now the problem of the pensions I think is also a bonafide



problem. If a Member is going to have a pension vest in his fourth term and he doesn't make contribution to that pension and he might die in office during that fourth term what are the ramifications on his pension? Will he receive it for the full term which he didn't survive or for the year which he didn't survive. This is a problem. There's also a problem, as you all know, when we receive our checks there's a tremendous amount of money which is withdrawn on the first of the year whenever we receive it that we don't even recognize, realize or even take possession of, that goes into our pension fund which draws interest. I don't know how much interest will be lost in the General Assembly's Pension Fund by virtue of the fact of that tremendous lump sum contribution that each Member makes to the Fund is not there to draw interest on the Pension Fund investment. So in this regard I think the present form of the Bill, maybe if it goes on postponed with some further amendments to straighten out what are problems, real problems, then maybe we can vote for it and I would maybe a present vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones, to explain his vote."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I'll repeat what I said when the Bill was first up on passage today that the basic part of this Bill is the same as House Bill 1950 which I introduced and...got lost in Executive Committee and it was on discharge motion that made it Black Friday when everything else went down the drain. But this is a result of my request...inquiry to the Comptroller's Office whether or not they could...could receive my pay by the month if on request and they said no not under statute, so you need legislation to provide for that. And that's all this does is provide for those who wish to do it that way can so indicate to the Comptroller's Office and I urge your aye vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain



his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think it's a bad Bill. It's bad because it takes away from those Members who have an option of receiving one or two years. It has been this way since time immemorial and I have to reject the arguments of those who argue that they simply aren't competent enough to keep up with their own check-books. If we're going to sit down here in Springfield and spend millions and millions of dollars of the taxpayers money and then to argue that we aren't capable of taking a yearly salary and pro rating it over 12 months is utterly ludicrous. You can go to any bank, any trust institution, any savings and loan and I'm sure that they will pay you your money in a monthly sum. It's utterly ridiculous and what you're asking the Members to give away is an option and that is to take both years if they choose, in one year. Now there maybe those times that there might be something in terms of a business investment, a personal investment or perhaps just personal obligations which make it mandatory for you to have your entire sum in two years. I think that it's up to the Members now, they can take one year or two. The law as it stands is good, it's appropriate and to tinker with it is to simply put in another dog and cat bill which really amounts to nothing. This Bill simply ought to be defeated and sent back to its grave."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, to explain his vote."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...I hope I'm going to be very brief but I've heard a lot of talk today about the effect that this Bill is going to have upon the Legislature. But I think there is one effect it would have upon the Legislature that hasn't been mentioned and that effect is how we are viewed by our constituents. I think that this is one of the biggest issues that I face when I go into my district. Why are you people taking your salary and investing it and



earning more money when that money could go into the General Fund and be invested and earn money for the taxpayers. I think that we have an image problem and let's face up to it and admit it to ourselves. We do not have the best image in the State. We could vote for this Bill and we could get our payment on a monthly basis the way everyone of our constituents probably does it and redeem ourselves to a small degree for something they see as a big ripoff. And I'm not so sure that they aren't correct when they see it as a big ripoff because I, for one, do invest this money and the State could be doing this and maybe just maybe cutting back on the amount that we're taxing our taxpayers. I think that's very important. I think for that reason it deserves a yes vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan, to explain his vote." Could we have some order please."

Londrigan: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, two biggest complaints I hear locally about the Legislature are first, the Legislators that continually exceed the speed limit and not more than just a few miles. There's nothing we of the Legislature can do about this problem, that's up to the individual Legislacor. But here we address ourselves to a problem where we can improve our image; there's no reason whatsoever that we should obtain two years of our salary at the same time when no one else can. We have seen that under this Bill that also overcomes a possible tax problem that we have. So we might possibly receive a benefit from this Bill, but as I say, we are being criticized constantly and continually about this problem. Why don't we do ourselves a favor and vote it through and I would suggest an aye vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Coles, Mr. Coffey, to explain his vote."

Coffey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to speak to my green light there and explain my vote. In my district and the people I've talked to



feel that we should receive our pay the same as they do. They get paid monthly, they feel that we should get paid monthly. Personally I don't care whether they pay me monthly, daily or yearly, or...or however they pay but I think we ought to have the option to pay...to collect our money as...as our constituents would like for us to collect. ...With this Bill we can either collect this yearly or we can collect it monthly, it gives us that option and if we want to...if our constituents ask or request that we collect this money this way I think we ought to have that right. And here earlier...two different ...colleagues here speak of the bookkeeping that this saves, well I...I personally agree with one of my other colleagues that mentioned that if we can't take care of our own bookkeeping then maybe we shouldn't be here. And I think we ought to be able to manage this money whether we collect it monthly or yearly or every two years. So I would like to urge some more green lights up there and... let's collect our money like many of our constituents do and collect it monthly."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. D'Arco, to explain his vote."

D'Arco: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Bill was brought back because it was thought that there were some...unanswered questions about the tax consequences of this Bill that were not brought out when it was previously before this House. The constructive receipt doctrine was discussed again and no new knowledge was brought forth from the previous time that this Bill was before the House. The pension consequences of the Bill were discussed again and no new knowledge was brought forth from the previous time this Bill was discussed before this House so we passed this Bill the previous time for the same reasons that we're failing to pass this Bill this time and it seems ludicrous to me that a Bill that passed for good reason on a previous occasion should fail for those same reasons on this





occasion and I vote aye."

JUN 9 1975

Bradley: "We'd like to...we have an announcement. All of you on your desks have received a copy of the Bills that will be heard in the Senate, for your information those hearings start some of them at 2:30 this afternoon so you might want to take a look and see if some of those Bills are yours, if you have to get over there. The gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman, to explain his vote."

Schuneman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. By way of explanation of my vote, it seems to me that we're hearing a lot of very cumbersome conversation this afternoon. We're talking about a lot of things that have very little, if anything, to do with this Bill. It seems to me that this Legislature has a chance this afternoon to improve the prestige of this House. One of the things that we're belabored about, that we're criticized for, is the opportunity that we have to take our salary in a manner which is different from everybody else that works for the State of Illinois and I'd like to simply point out that the money that we can take on an annual basis or a two year basis is money which belongs to the people of the State of Illinois. That we should not take that money until we've earned the money and here's our chance to vote for that. Now if this Bill passes, those of you who want to continue to take your salary on a one year or two year basis, as I understand it, can still do it but you will also have the option of taking the money on a monthly basis as your constituents do and I'd urge some more green lights up there."

Bradley: "The gentle...gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have many letters and many people in my district are quite opposed to the present pay plan and I earlier introduced a Bill that would make it mandatory that



JUN 9 1975

62

everybody got paid once a month. Now the Comptroller has found out that it's only going to cost a quarter more a month to issue this extra check for us to get paid monthly and/or if you want, yearly. But this Bill will save the taxpayers of Illinois money and it will improve our image and I think that this Bill should pass. I certainly can't understand the red lights up there or the present. And I would urge some people to switch their votes and let's get this Bill passed and do a little bit about improving the image and get paid once a month or yearly as most people do. I can think of hardly any other profession that gets paid in advance as the Illinois Legislators do and I think it's only right that we should also at least have that option of being paid monthly or yearly. I urge a green vote on this Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, to...rise for what purpose sir?"

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker instead of taking further time of the House right now why don't we put this on postponed consideration, we'll bring it up for your...but I'd like a roll call now."

Bradley: "The gentleman asks leave to have it on postponed consideration, hearing no objections we'll put on..."

Daniels: "...take the roll call."

Bradley: "It seems as though that they're having trouble getting leave...are there objections to placing on postponed consideration, we've allowed that to happen be... the gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, on a point of order."

Hart: "Well, I think under the rules of the House, the gentleman has the absolute right to place the Bill on postponed consideration. It's the first time it's been debated in that...in a sense that...that it was defeated or not defeated, so it doesn't matter whether or not there are objections raised. If he wants to do that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

I think he has the right and that's the rule."

Bradley: "That's why we putting it on postponed consideration. It's his Bill. Senate Bill..."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 234....who's that, Jack Hill..."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record, request of the Sponsor. Senate Bill 241."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 241..."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 271."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 271..."

Bradley: "Mr. Williams on the Floor?"

Selcke: "Not here."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record."

Selcke: "...Out of the record."

Bradley: "290."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 290. Kozubowski."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record. 304. Mr. Tispword, for what purpose do you arise?"

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I'm handling 290."

Bradley: "Senate Bill 290. Read the Bill."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 290. An Act making appropriations Judicial Inquiry Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 290 appropriates \$216,624.00 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judicial Inquiry Board which is a...a constitutional board set up by our new constitution. I would move for the adoption and passage of this Bill."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate Bill 290 pass. All those in favor will signify...the gentlemen from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask Representative Tipsword a question."

Bradley: "He indicates that he'll yield."

Giorgi: "That Inquiry Board...did you dump any judges last year or did anybody get penalized for wrongdoing last year, Mr. Tipsword?"



Tipsword: "Yes, I understand that...there were...if I understand the testimony correctly, there were six judges that were...were censured during the past year."

Giorgi: "Censured? No one was decimated or removed..."

Tipsword: "I don't think there was anyone decimated, no sir."

Giorgi: "You mean that Board spent almost \$216,000 to censure six judges?"

Tipsword: "I think, however, there were...there was some excess of 200 different...there...there was one in one of the representative's districts here that was removed. That I...who I didn't know about personally and those statistics are regrettably were not made available to me but I understand also there were in excess of 200 cases that were handled by this Board and inquired into fully and completely."

Giorgi: "One last question. Are there any laymen on the Board that aren't members of bar associations?"

Tipsword: "Yes, there are laymen on the Board. Half the membership is laymen."

Giorgi: "Thank you."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not the question is shall Senate Bill...290 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? ...Representative Kennedy will push my green light for me, I'd appreciate it. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 120 ayes, 4 nays, 1 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 304. Mr. Terzich on the Floor? Take it out of the record. 305 out of the record. Senate Bill 321. McPartlin on the Floor?"

Selcke: "No."

Bradley: "Here's Mr. Terzich on Senate Bill 304."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 304. A Bill for an Act making appropriation ...Illinois State Board of Investment. Third Reading of the Bill."



Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich. It appears that the Chair might have been in error in saying that list of Bills was on your desk, evidently the list of Bills will be on your desk very shortly. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich. ...That's the Senate Bills or House Bills that will be heard in Senate Committee."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to hear House Bills 304 and 305 since they are...Senate Bills 304 and 305 since they are companion Bills."

Bradley: "The gentleman asks to have leave to hear 304 and 305, Senate Bills together. Does he have leave? Leave being granted the Clerk will read 305."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 305. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the deferred compensation program which we passed last year which will enable all State employees to participate in this program and this appropriation is simply a set up cost of \$63,000 and House Bill 305 will simply pay back this appropriation. And I would urge your support of this...these two Bills."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not the question is shall Senate Bills 304 and 305 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Borchers, aye. Mr. Borchers, aye. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 ayes, no nays, 1 voting present. This Bill having received the const... ..these Bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 325. Keller. Can anybody take that Bill for...take it out of the record. 335, Senate Bill 335."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 335. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."



Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker..."

Selcke: "Third Reading of the Bill."

Schraeder: "...this Amendment...is entirely acceptable to everybody. We have had no complaints. However, what it does when somebody files...wants to file a complaint with the Board of Review instead of after such lists are filed is after they receive notice. It gives them a little extra time and in most cases the people that want to have their assessment re-evaluated don't even know that the list have been filed. This does give them that extra time after the filing and the publication and I don't know any objections. And I move its adoption."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "Does the Bill still provide that assessments are to be made at market value?"

Schraeder: "The...no, it's based upon the price it will bring at a fair voluntary sale, not estimate."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to close."

Schraeder: "Just a favorable vote."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 335. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? ...record. On this question there are 113 ayes, 1 nay, 2 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. I think it would be a little quicker if ever...we could have the attention we'll...evidently this has been done before, we'll read the House Bills that are going to be heard at 2:30 in Senate Executive today. We'll read the Sponsor and he can pick up the Bill. Maragos, Lundy, McMasters, Schraeder, Garmisa, two for Katz, Garmisa, Giglio, Terzich, Deavers has four, Waddell, Barnes, J. D. Jones, Hirschfeld, Flinn and Kourell. To be heard

today in 2:30 in Senate Agriculture will be Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Brummet, Van Dwyne, Mautino, Matijevich, Luft, Pouncey, Fennessey, John Dunn, Tipsword, Farley, Chapman, Pierce, Brinkmeier, Von Boeckman, Brummet, Geo-Karis, Mulcahey, Getty, Houlihan J. M., Leon, McPartlin, Schneider, Keller, Fennessey, Polk and Pierce. To be heard today in Senate Labor and Commerce at 2:30, Hanahan, Brochers, Kelly, Griesheimer, Giorgi, Brummet, Chapman, Calvo, Giglio, Stone, and Hirschfeld, Pierce. Telczer, Maragos, Giorgi, Hart, Rigney, Hill, Catania, Farley and Lechowicz. There's four copies of this at the Clerk's desk if you'd like to come up and check them. Senate Bill 342."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 342. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Institute for Environmental Quality. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 342 is...is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Institution of Environmental Qualities appropriation \$1,500,000...\$1,500,000. I move for the adoption of..."

Bradley: "Any discussion?"

Taylor: "I solicit your vote for Senate Bill 342."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If none the gentleman moves for the favorable roll call of Senate Bill 342. The question is shall Senate Bill 342 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 107 ayes, 3 nays, none voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 345."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 345. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Pollution Control Board. Third Reading of the Bill."



Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will you please take that Bill out of the record, please?"

Bradley: "At the request of the Sponsor, take it out of the record. Senate Bill 375."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 375. An Act authorizing Secretary... Department of Transportation to convey certain property. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that reverts back property taken by the Department of Transportation in 1951 to heirs of Roy Van Arsdall. This passed out of the Committee 12 to 2. This was a Bill that was previously handled by Representative Hoffman last year which passed the House. I ask your support for this measure."

Bradley: "Any discussion, if not the question is shall Senate Bill 375 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. ...All voted who wish? ...All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 93 ayes, 4 nays, 10 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 419. Mr. Matijevich, 419."

Selcke: "...Bill 419. An Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 419 is a Bill which would provide for a 50% reduction for senior citizens 62 and over from the Department of Conservation relating to camping fees. It was amended...an agreed amendment by the Department of Conservation. And I would appreciate your favorable support."

Bradley: "Any discussion? If not the question is shall... Pardon me, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."





Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "Has the Department of Conservation advised as to what loss of revenue this Bill would result in?"

Matijevich: "Yes, Gene, and you failed to look at the fiscal note. I moved it to Third without you even looking at it but you requested it. But it's somewhere in the neighborhood of 19,000 to 32,000 which is probably small amount to help our senior citizens."

Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "Certainly may, sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, the amount involved apparently involved is relatively small but I do question the policy or practice of the State to reduce fees to provide relief simply on the basis of age alone. It seems to me that the factor that should be involved is the one of need and for that reason I shall vote no."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Friedrich: "Now what is the criteria? If you have a...ten kids and a fella 62 years old, they all get in for half price. Is that the idea?"

Matijevich: "No...no the Senate Amend...House Amendment took care of that Representative Friedrich. You could only bring only one other than the adult with you to qualify."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Bradley: "Further discussion. The gentleman wish to close?"

Matijevich: "No, I would just appreciate your favorable support. I...I would agree with Representative Schlickman if we could draft a Bill relating to need, I'd be for it but it would be most difficult to draft that type of Bill. And because it would be so difficult I think this in the alternative would help our senior citizens and I request your favorable support."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 419 pass. All



those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 119 ayes, 2 nays, none voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 432. Take it out of the record. Mr. Terzich on Senate Bill 442. If he hasn't spilled his coffee."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 442. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 442 amends the state universities retirement system article of the Pension Code. It provides that the trustees are not liable for act done or omitted in good faith and provides that the system where the State shall indemnify for any liability including expenses incurred except in cases of willful misconduct. And I would urge support of this Bill."

Bradley: "Any discussion. If not the question is shall Senate Bill 4...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "Is there any limitation on the indemnification by the State?"

Terzich: "No...it's accordingly what it is that if...this excludes them for willful misconduct plus the fact that it states that the System believes that the protection can be obtained either through the...this legislation or by...purchasing a mission and error insurance up to 35,000 for each \$1,000,000 invested."

Schlickman: "One other question, if I may. Do we provide for waiver of liability and indemnification for the negligent act of any other group of State employees?"

Terzich: "Ah, let's see. ...Oh, it states that the Federal Pension Reform Bill provides that participants can bring suit against the trustees or private pensions and the



State University Retirement System believes that this practice will apply to all systems. And of course it did come out of the Committee without any dissenting vote as well as the Senate 51 to nothing. This is simply that if a trustee makes an...honest mistake, Gene, that he's going to have some protection, you know, from some people.... (unintelligible) bringing suit against the individual. And it does exclude him, for example, if...if there's any embezzlement or any willful conduct with regard to the Fund."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now as I understand it and I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Bradley: "He indicates that he'll yield."

Hart: "You're...you're providing in this Bill that the trustees are not liable for certain acts. Now what kind of acts are you talking about?"

Terzich: "Well, here again, that if...let's assume that one of the participants disagrees with the way they invest their money or things of this nature, Bob, this is basically what it amounts to, they can bring..."

Hart: "I'm not...I'm not...named that...Bob, it's Dick."

Terzich: "Dick. I'm sorry about that." Okay.

Hart: "Okay."

Terzich: "You remind me of a Bob."

Hart: "Uh, yeah. Well, I know that...movie star..."

Terzich: "No, a good example of this, let's assume that if the trustees..."

Hart: "Robert Redman, maybe, you mean."

Terzich: "...if they interpret the statutes or anything in error and suits brought against them you know, what... what defense do they have?"

Hart: "Well,...the way I understand this Bill, it's...it's that under the Federal Pension Reform Act, it's been held that participants could bring suit against the trustees



for errors in judgment and I think the question that we have to decide is whether or not it's going to be the public policy of the State of Illinois to relieve its people it appoints to act from their errors. And the point that Representative Schlickman was bringing out is...is...is this consistent with the public policy of Illinois? And I don't believe that it is. I think that if there is a way that this...these actions can be insured over by this plan or any other plan that that would be a better approach than to provide by statute which I think there's a great deal of questionable constitutionality for anyway...that whatever they do they don't have to be responsible for. So in speaking on the Bill..."

Bradley: "Proceed, sir."

Hart: "I...I believe that the Legislature ought to take a very close look at the concept that is being espoused by this Bill. People who act in a fiduciary or other judgmental character presumably have a higher degree of competence than...than others. That's one of the reason why they would be appointed to a Board such as this and if it's the position under the Pension Reform Act and I think that Pension Act was...did provide a great deal of reform that it provide that the participants can sue the trustees for errors in judgment and I don't believe it's a proper thing for the State of Illinois to come along and attempt to relieve the trustees of their retirement systems, be it this one and then we'll have another one from...for different ones until pretty soon we'll have legislation relieving all the trustees from their responsibilities to the participants. And...whether or not it's constitutional or not of course it's not for this Body ultimately to decide but I certainly think there is a great question about it. But the best way to decide that would be to reject this Bill because I just don't believe it's good public policy for the Legislature to go...to go around providing a law relieving people in a fiduciary or



trusteeship...responsible position from their actions toward their members. As I understand it, this Bill has not been approved by the Pension Laws Commission and the Sponsor can correct me if that is...that is true. As I understand it, they took no position on the Bill and I think that's the position that the Legislature should take. And that was to take another look at it and not pass it at this time."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Deavers: "Representative Terzich, did the Department of Labor, Department of Internal Revenue give a ruling on this fund to whether or not they had to apply...with the new Federal restrictions?"

Terzich: "I don't know, Gil."

Deavers: "I think that's probably what we ought to determine before we do anything else whether or not that they fall under the new "Ares" Act of 74. And I think that this is...otherwise we're setting a very dangerous precedent that everybody will be in wanting the same type of bonding power...not bonding power but...power."

Terzich: "...Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take this out of the record and get that information..."

Bradley: "Gentlemen takes...ask take it out of the record. And we will take Senate Bill...442 out of the record. Senate Bill 447."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 447. A Bill for an Act to create...to amend...to add section 3.2 an Act...create sanitary district and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it's a...really a shame we have to take more time, this was on the consent calendar, it had passed out of the Committee unanimously, all it does is change the designation of the Board of Trustees of the Sanitary District to the Board



of Commissioners. I ask for a favorable roll call."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Uh...Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the gentleman would yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will sir."

Hill: "What is the import of something like this? Why is it necessary?"

Williams: "I guess it takes an act of the legislature to do this and like I say, it was on the consent calendar, third reading, third day and all of a sudden somebody took it off. I don't know why but it passed out unanimously and it should be."

Hill: "Why do they need this? Would it give them more bonding power? Would it give them more authority to enact additional taxes on the people up there? What is the significance of it?"

Williams: "Jack, the only answer that I get to that is that they prefer to be called a Board of Commissioners rather than a Board of Trustees. That's the only answer I could get."

Hill: "Well Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I can't possibly see why such a Bill and so insignificant as the sponsor says it is...uh...should even be considered. It seems to me if we would start voting down Bills like this that the possibility exists that we would have more time to spend on important pieces of legislation. If this is all we have to do down here is to change a few words in a piece...in the statute, waste our time, spin our wheels on something like this, I think it's just terrible. I would suggest, and certainly I have nothing against the House sponsor, but I suggest that we put alot of green...er...red lights up there and explain to the Senate that we don't want to handle Bills like this that doesn't have any import whatsoever. We could be on something more important than this and I would suggest that you vote against this piece of legislation."



JUN 9 1975

75

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I don't...

I, too, don't know who took this off the consent calendar but I do have to agree with the gentleman who previously spoken. It appears to be an insignificant measure but on the other hand in Cook County we have two units of government that are very important to us. One is the Sanitary District and the other is the Board of Commissioners. And I should hate to see the...uh...the officials of two very important units of government in Cook both referred to as Commissioners. Let's maintain the distinction 'Trustees' in one hand, 'Commissioners' in the other to make for better identification and accountability. I would encourage a 'no' vote, also."

Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams to close."

Williams: "I would just say this, it apparently takes an act of the legislature, apparently this is a designation that they would prefer and I ask for your favorable vote."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 447 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Deavers 'aye'. Representative Kennedy will put Bradley on 'aye' back there. Holewinski 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne because your light is flashing, do you wish recognition? Have all voted who wish? On this question, Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 89 'ayes, 9 'nays', 11 voting present, this Bill having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I'm not going to vote for a verification but when the Speaker sits up there and asks in the neighborhood of five or six or seven different times, it seems to me that he's playing games



with the Speakership and I certainly would suggest that you don't do this in the future."

Bradley: "Senate Bill 448."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 448. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 4 of an Act to Create Sanitary District. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. This, again, was on the consent calendar, although this has more to it than the other. What this does, the, actually the law as it is now provides for the election of the President and Vice-President of the Board of Trustees but there really is no mechanism for filling vacancies. And what this Bill does is it will allow the Board of Commissioners of the Metropolitan Sanitary District...uh...by rule to...uh...fill a vacancy when such exists in these offices. I ask for your favorable roll call."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I wonder if the sponsor would yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Hill: "In Senate Bill 447, you changed the name of the Board and then in 448 you go back to Trustees...uh...couldn't it be possible that you would need an amendment on this piece of legislation before it would become legal?"

Williams: "Well, I just referred to them as the Board of Commissioners since we just passed 447. But...uh..."

Hill: "But in the Bill it says Trustees."

Williams: "Yes."

Hill: "And there is no such thing any more if the previous Bill is signed into law."

Williams: "Well, that would be changed then and it would automatically change any reference to the Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Sanitary District to a Board of Commissioners. So I would imagine that's what 447..."

Hill: "But if a Bill signed into law after 447 with the word





JUN 9 1975

77

Trustees in it that then would become part of the statutes of the State of Illinois and you would no longer have Trustee on that board."

Williams: "They would be Commissioners and from that point on they would be the Board of Commissioners and the Metropolitan Sanitary District would be able to determine by rule the filling of the vacancy of the President and Vice-President."

Bradley: "Mr. Hill are you completed? The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "If the sponsor would yield for a question? Who feels... who fills the vacancies at the present time?"

Williams: "Well, there is no provision and ...uh...this will allow the Board to...uh...determine by rule, you know. how those vacancies will be filled."

Simms: "I thought it was..."

Williams: "I believe this is something that has to be done by constitution now, if I'm not mistaken."

Simms: "Now, in the past didn't the Governor fill those vacancies?"  
It was my understanding, in the past, the Governor filled any vacancies on the Metropolitan Sanitary District."

Williams: "The information that I have, Representative Simms, is that there is no mechanism at the present time for filling those vacancies."

Simms: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, may I ask a question of the sponsor?"

Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Skinner: "Is there any provision in this law that says a member of given political party must be replaced by a member of the same party."

Williams: "I don't believe there's anything like that."

Skinner: "If I may address the Bill, then?"

Bradley: "Proceed sir."

Skinner: "I didn't agree with the Bill that we passed that allowed County Board members to be replaced by the precinct committee-



JUN 9 1975

78

man of the party of the candidate...of the Board member in question. But if we're going to be consistent and take power away from Boards and give it to party structures, it seems to me that this Bill is inconsistent with that Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In Senate Bill 448, we're not talking about how a vacancy will be filled but we're talking about when a vacancy does exist and by this Bill it would be left to the rules of the Board to make that determination. And I just wonder...uh... death, sure that would be a vacancy...um...but by this Bill the Board would also be given the authority to make the determination that three absences from the Board meeting would constitute...uh...vacancy or...uh...physical disability...uh...would constitute a vacancy without any definition on its part on what constitutes physical disability. I think that while it is advisable to make a determination to when a vacancy does exist, in such an important office as Chairman of the Board of the Metropolitan Sanitary District or Vice-Chairman or Chairman of the Committee in Finance but I think the criteria for determining when a vacancy exists should be legislatively rather than left to the rules of the body and for that reason, while I appreciate the sense of the Bill, I think it's a poorly drafted Bill, one that should be defeated."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. There seems to be a certain degree of misinformation concerning the exact purpose of this Bill. The Board of Trustees of the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District consists of nine members who are all elected within Cook County. Once these people are elected to the Board they meet among themselves and from their own members they select a President, a Vice-President and a Chairman of the Committee on Finance.



JUN 9 1975

79

So that when the Bill refers to the method of filling a vacancy in the office of Presidency, the Vice-Presidency or the Chairmanship of the Committee on Finance, we are not talking about a situation where someone dies or resigns from the Board and then someone is brought from the outside to fill the position of President, on the contrary, we are talking about a situation which occurred very recently when President John Eagan failed to run for re-election, his position on the Board terminated but under the current statutory set-up it was not possible for the Board to select its new President and Vice-President until approximately a month and a half later. So that the District went for period of a month and a half to two months without any legal designation as to who the President was. The intent of this Bill is to attempt to provide in the future that that type of situation will not arise again. We are not talking about filling the vacancy on the Board, that, as Representative Simms stated I believe, is filled by action of the Governor. We are talking about a meeting of all the Trustees to select from their numbers who shall be the next President, Vice-President, Chairman on the Committee of Finance. I would request a favorable roll call."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams to close."

Williams: "I would just ask for a favorable roll call."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 448 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye, those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Have all voted who wish? It's still open. Have all voted who wish? Open it up. Yes sir, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Taken the record, announced the roll call."

Bradley: "We made an error up here, we're going to open the machine up again, Mr. Hill. The question is shall Senate Bill 448 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

80

On this question there are 93 'ayes', 3 'nays', 7 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 449.

Alright, Senate Bill 459. Mr. Tipsword."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 459. A Bill for an Act to add Section 5.1 to an Act relating to the Health and Safety of Persons Employed Vesting in the Industrial Commission Power and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman. This is a good samaritan act for employers who provide first aid service to anyone who is hurt on the job. The good samaritan aspects do not extend, however, to professional medical personnel hired by employers to provide care to their employees who might be injured. This relates only to negligence and if there is any willful or wanton conduct why then the liability would still apply. I move for the adoption of Senate Bill 459."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate Bill 459 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye, those opposed by voting 'nay'. All voted who wish? Hill 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', no 'nays', one voting 'present'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 479. Laurino, Mr. Laurino on the floor? Senate Bill 485."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 485. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Uh...yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is not the same kind of Bill as others but this requires that three copies of certain regulations for the issuance of construction or re-construction, etc. are to be on file in the municipal clerks office for 30 days. The present law



JUN 9 1975

says 15 days, 30 days they feel would give the public more time to review the regulations. It's a good Bill I ask for your favorable roll call."

Bradley: "Discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate Bill 485 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', no 'nays, none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 501. Mr. Brummet back there? Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 514."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 514. Richmond. Is he here?"

Bradley: "Yes, he's here."

Selcke: "An Act making Appropriation to the Teachers Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 514 makes appropriations to the Teachers Retirement System for the Fiscal year beginning July 1, 1975. This Bill passed in the Senate 54-0 and passed out unanimously in the House Appropriations Committee II. And it provides for the funding of \$900,000 appropriation to the annual supplementary payment which is authorized in 1961 to those aged and permanently disabled teachers who were at least 80...er...60 years of age before June 30, 1961 and whose retirement allowance was less than \$50 for each year of creditable service but not to exceed the total annual allowance of \$2250. And then the second part of it requests \$653,600 as the system share of funds distributed from the State Pension Fund under the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Poverty Act, or otherwise known as the....Fund. The third is the appropriation of \$7,700,000 requested in Section 2 and its an amount to provide inflationary protection to the poorest and oldest retirees. These provisions became effective



JUN 9 1975

82

on October 1, 1974 and applied to those members receiving a retirement allowance on June 30, 1973 and any qualified member retiring after that date. If there are any questions I will attempt to answer them."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen. No further discussion, if not the question is, unless the gentleman wishes to close."

Richmond: "I would just appreciate an affirmative vote."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 514 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting aye, those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 522. Mr. Malloy back there. Mr. Malloy on the floor? Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 524."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 524. A Bill for an Act Making an Appropriation to the Board of Trustees of State Universities Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 524 appropriates \$156,700 from the State Pension Fund to the Board of Trustees to the State Universities Retirement System for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1975. These funds are also from the ...funds or Uniformed Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Bradley: "Any discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate Bill 524 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority...Ebbesen 'aye'...this Bill having



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 529."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 529."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record by request of the sponsor. 541, Senate Bill 541. Mr. White. Read the Bill."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 541. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record. Mr. Berman on the floor? 561, 562, 609 out of the record. Senate Bill 612. Dan Houlihan. Out of the record. 626, Mr. Beaupre. 636, Mr. Keller. Mr. Walsh on Senate Bill 638."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 638. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could consider Senate Bill 638, 639, 640 together. They're all the recommendation of the House-Senate Committee on Eavesdropping."

Bradley: "The gentleman asks leave to hear Senate Bills 638, 639, 640 together. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, the Clerk will read Senate Bill 639 and 640."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 639. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 640. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh on these Bills."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The...uh...Senate Bills 638 and 640 provide that any individual or any corporation or employee of a utility company who discovers an eavesdropping device must notify the State's Attorney...uh...who, in turn, must notify the subscriber if the State's Attorney knows the device to be illegal. Senate Bill 639 is the same as a Bill that passed through here earlier. It changes the penalty from a misdemeanor, class I misdemeanor to a class IV felony on a first offense of the conviction of eavesdropping,



a class III felony on a second offense. And I solicit your support."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I have one question and I think that was the amendment you put on the other day. Why is it you require the phone company to notify the State's Attorney and then allow the State's Attorney or have him discretion in informing the subscriber of the tap?"

Walsh: "Well the Judiciary II Committee felt that that would be a good idea. The way the Bill was written before the amendment it provided that the utility company or any individual, not necessarily...uh...with a utility company inform the State's Attorney and the subscriber...uh... without any sequence...uh...if they did not know the device to be legal the Judiciary II Committee felt that... uh...the person who discovered the device really wouldn't know whether it was legal or not but the State's Attorney would and so they thought that it would be wise to have a sequence and require that the State's Attorney be notified and if the device was found to be illegal then the State's Attorney is required to notify the subscriber."

Shea: "What are the legal eavesdropping permitted under our present statute?"

Walsh: "Well as I understand it, it's a...uh...a...device that's set up pursuant to a court order."

Shea: "Well I could understand but my concern is what if the federal government is operating an electronic surveillance how can the State's Attorney's office determine if that's a legal or an illegal surveillance?"

Wals: "Well I would suppose that the federal government ought to notify the State's Attorney if they are setting up an eavesdropping surveillance...uh...because there's no requirement in this Bill and again this question was brought up at the Judiciary II meeting...uh...it didn't seem necessary





JUN 9 1975

85

to notify the District Attorney as well as the State's Attorney...uh...I would suppose...I would imagine there would be some cooperation between the State's Attorney's office and the Federal Attorney."

Shea: "No, but what I'm concerned about is if either the Federal government or the State's Attorneys office is either part of an illegal wiretap and that...uh...you know, the poor subscriber is sitting there as...uh...you just let the fox watch the hen house."

Walsh: "Are you suggesting that the State's Attorney would be involved in an illegal wiretap."

Shea: "There have been allegations that both the Federal government and State's Attorney's office in various counties have engaged in illegal wiretapping. I know of no case but I am concerned as you are, no doubt, you know, just...I want to make sure that everything that is possible is being done. It's come to my attention in light of several cases in the federal district court lately that United States Federal government has conducted illegal electronic surveillances. Now we're saying to the State's Attorney here that if there has been an electronic surveillance, the phone company informs the State's Attorney then it seems to lead, the way I read the language, it seems to leave within the discretion of the State's Attorney whether he should inform the subscriber or not and is that the way the Bill reads now?"

Walsh: "No, the Bill does not read that. The State's Attorney is required to inform the subscriber if he does not inform the subscriber if the wiretap is illegal, if he does not inform him then the State's Attorney is guilty of a Business offense and subject to a \$500 fine."

Shea: "Well, let's see. Is it, the Bill now in its amended form, and I'm just looking at a copy of the amendment again, is it incumbent upon the State's Attorney to notify the subscriber in all cases?"

Walsh: "Yes, sir, that's...now in all cases provided the eavesdropping is illegal. If the...if the eavesdropping is legal then he is not required to inform the subscriber, but if it is illegal, he is required to inform him."

Shea: "Where...where does it differentiate between legal and illegal?"

Walsh: "...I...I don't have a copy of the 630...are you looking 638 and 640, they're identical and you'll find the language on the last...the second to the last line, I believe. Second to last line of the Amendment is inserted in the second to last line."

Shea: "Well, there is no insert in this copy of the Amendment."

Walsh: "...I wonder if you could take this out of the record, Mr. Speaker and I'll discuss it with the Majority Leader."

Bradley: "Want to take all three of those out of the record, then?"

Walsh: "Yeah, please."

Bradley: "Take all...take them out of the record. Senate Bill 642. Take it out of the record, at the request of the Sponsor. Senate Bill 645. Mr. Giglio on the Floor? Giglio.."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Bradley: "...Senate Bill...read the Bill, Mr..."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 645. Giglio. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautic Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this was the Bill that we brought up with...the other day and we brought it back to Second Reading with that Amendment. That's the one that we wanted to put in a provision that people that fly and work on airplanes the same provisions that people have under the law when they drive an automobile and the allowance of the people at the airport, the security guards and the policemen would have the same authority to stop these people from flying their planes



or working on the aircraft if they are under the influence of liquor or under the influence of drugs. If there are any questions I'd be more than happy to answer if not, I would ask for your favorable support."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "...I'm...unfortunately wasn't here when this Bill was debated the other day. Would like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Hart: "Now what is...the Bill provide now with the Amendment."

Giglio: "That...with the Amendment, Dick, what it does...it says that if anybody is taking drugs because he some sickness, he's under the auspices of...a doctor and he needs...say someone who has epilepsy or if you have a cold, you know and you take codeine or something. Of course<sup>if</sup>/it goes beyond anybody that knows or willfully knows or finds out that somebody does have too much or he feels he's not qualified, say work on the airplane, then that person has the authority not to let him do so."

Hart: "Well, what about implied consent, is that still in there?"

Giglio: "Pardon?"

Hart: "The implied consent legislation, has that been incorporated in this Act? You know, the digest says "provides... This is before the Amendment and why I can't really understand exactly what the Amendment ..."

Giglio: "Well, I think the digest<sup>I think</sup>./the digest may be a little different now because when it started out in the Senate it was about a five or six page Bill and if you look at the Bill now they gutted it after the enacting clause and it's only a four...a four line Bill with three or four sections and then the Amendment on was just to protect those that are...under doctor's care or they have to take drugs."

Hart: "Well, what about under the influence of alcohol? How does this Bill affect that?"

Giglio: "Well, if you are a pilot you're not supposed to be



up there anyway, but...if you are...somebody, say a security guard at the Peoria airport sees somebody gets off the barstool...after a few drinks he's got the authority to...to go up to him and stop him from getting in that aircraft and going up in the air."

Hart: "Would this apply to a...to a pilot that...flying?"

Giglio: "Well, yes, he would...number one, he shouldn't fly anyway."

Hart: "Well, I know that. But we...many people do...a few..."

Giglio: "...He was caught...he was caught. Then he'd be charged with the punishment under the Act."

Hart: "If he was caught, would he have to comply with the provisions of the implied consent legislation as we have it in Illinois for drivers of automobiles?"

Giglio: "I would...I would say yes, Dick."

Hart: "Well,...how is he going to be arrested?"

Giglio: "Well, just like anybody else would be arrested, I guess.

If somebody sees that he's got glary eyes or he does something dangerous up in that aircraft and they call him up when...when he flies down. The tower can call him up. Or if he walks into the lounge there or...or wherever he's going and somebody knows that he was a pilot that just got off that plane, they can notify somebody and they'll nab him."

Hart: "...Would...would you take it out of the record so I can take a look at the Bill, please?"

Giglio: "Sure."

Hart: "Thank you."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 651."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 651. Merlo. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Board of Trustees of the General Assembly Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the appropriation Bill for the General Assembly Retirement



System in the amount of \$680, 700. It's an increase \$84,100 over last Session. This represents the contributions made by the State of Illinois which together with the Member's contributions and interest income will meet the cost of maintaining our General Assembly Retirement System and I ask approval of the Bill."

Bradley: "Further discussion. If not the question is shall Senate Bill 651 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Bradley, aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 ayes, no nays, none voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 698. Mr. Ebbesen. Senate Bill 698."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 69..."

Bradley: "I'm sorry. Senate Bill 676. Mr. Turek. We skipped one. Mr. Turek on the floor? All right then, Senate Bill 698."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 698. Ebbesen... A Bill for an Act to repeal an Act providing for the establishment and maintenance and operation of the Illinois Telecommunications Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill merely amends the School Code and it does repeal the obsolete provisions of the Illinois Telecommunications Commission and transfers really those... the same authority and...and power to the State Board of Education. And I would...it came out of the Senate unanimously and also out of the Senate...House Committee on Education unanimously and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Bradley: "Discussion. If not, the question is shall Senate Bill 698 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. ...aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the



record. On this question there are 115 ayes, 1 nay, 5 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 704. Mr. Schisler."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 704. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 704 simply says that by July 1, 1977 the Illinois Scholarship Commission shall have an office in Chicago and one in Springfield. And I move for the adoption of the Bill."

Bradley: "Discussion. If not, the question is...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "Why?"

Schisler: "Why? I would say to the distinguished gentleman that I want the Illinois Scholarship Commission to be more responsive to the needs of the State of Illinois, specifically to the...to the students that apply for scholarships. I think there needs to be some common sense injected into this Commission."

Schlickman: "What's the relationship between location and accountability?"

Schisler: "Well, who goes to Deerfield?"

Schlickman: "Well, we do have correspondence and we have telephones."

Schisler: "Well, it's pretty difficult with an individual and a body as arrogant as they are on the telephone and I've had...in ten years...in political life this is the most arrogant group of people that I have ever dealt with. And it's damn funny that now we have a Bill in that they have been romancing me, they have been trying to straighten out problems in my district and if we have an office in Springfield where we can go over and kick the door in



once in a while if we need to and deal with them head on I think that our constituents will be better served."

Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "Proceed, sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill as Amended would require the Scholarship Commission to have two offices, one in Chicago and I assume that would include, Deerfield, and one in Springfield. What we're suggesting here is that there be duplication of physical facilities of a Commission thereby increasing the cost of the operation of that Commission and I can imagine... I cannot imagine...I cannot imagine that by this office having...by this Commission having two offices without any further provisions in the Bill that there would be an increase of accountability if this is what the Sponsor is looking for. And it seems to me that for those reasons this Bill should be defeated and there should be a no vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, the gentleman put an Amendment this Bill the other day that required that the principle office of the State Scholarship Commission be in Springfield. There was great objection to that Amendment and he has now removed the...objectionable Amendment so that now the Bill merely provides, as I understand it, that there shall be an office in Springfield. The Scholarship Commission has stated in Committee that they are presently in the process of opening...Springfield office. They have absolutely no objection to this Bill in its present form and I would ask that we give it a favorable vote."

Bradley: "The lady from Lake, Mrs. Reed, who must be from Deerfield or something."

Reed: "That's exactly right. I simply wanted to comment that Deerfield sent me down to romance Representative Schisler so that we can keep that office in Deerfield. It's the only State office that's in Lake County. We



do agree however with the previous speaker the fact that we have until 1977 to establish some branches makes the Scholarship Commission in favor of this Bill with Senate Amendment #2 on it."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan." The lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "...Mr. Speaker would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Dyer: "All right. It is not clear to me in what...exactly what form this Bill is now. There were two amendments listed in the digest and then I understand from a previous speaker that one of those amendments was removed. However, I have in my hand an Amendment, it has...Representative Mautino's name at the top, ...it was apparently suggested saying that the principle office of the Commission shall be located in Springfield. Is that Amendment still on the Bill or is...that been removed?"

Schisler: "I would say to the distinguished lady that it's in exact form that it came out of the Higher Education Committee. That answers your question."

Dyer: "...May I speak to the Bill then?"

Bradley: "Proceed."

Dyer: "I think that the Members of the General Assembly should think very...very carefully about this. There's nothing wrong with a permission a...a may bill permitting the Scholarship Commission to have field offices in Chicago or Springfield or Rockford or Peoria or down at the southern end of the State, Belleville, wherever it might improve communications. There's nothing wrong with that. However, I think if...if you require that by a certain date offices shall be opened in two places Chicago and Springfield in...in addition to the office in...in Deerfield then you have to take into account the expense that we're talking about...the minimum expense suggested in the staff analysis I have is that you're going to run into \$756,000 just...just opening up these field offices





and moving the equipment, the telecommunications equipment and the computer stuff, that you would be, if your aim is to improve communications. I think what the gentleman is getting at is that frequently we are frustrated. That middle income people do not receive the help they need in putting their children through college. This is a legitimate frustration for all of us in the time of inflation, but I suggest that the remedy is to perhaps work with the Scholarship Commission to change the guidelines and the financial requirements rather than to talk about additional expense of requiring offices to be opened in two new places by a certain date. I think it's a counterproductive Bill and I really would urge a no vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a good Bill. I don't understand what the seat of state government here in Springfield and other major offices in Chicago being the metropolitan center why we have an office in Deerfield, Illinois that's almost inaccessible to the average Legislator and their constituency. Now I don't know how many of your constituents can get up to Deerfield, Illinois but mine that are interested in trying to find out about scholarships for their kids just don't have any public transportation to get up there. I think this is an extremely good Bill."

Bradley: "The...the gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of this Bill. If you realize that all the related...almost all the related educational boards are located in Springfield, the Board of Higher Education, the Board of Regents, the Board of Governors, Junior College Board and the Student Government Association all have their offices here and they are all related to this problem of scholarships and they're very...attended an all day meeting of the Student Association as to their problems that Mrs. Dyer addressed herself to and she also attended there, that we can



resolve some of these problems in a lot better way and a better administration of this office if it did have an office in Springfield. In talking to Dr. Boyd about this at one time he said one of the rationale is for...the Deerfield location was that the big percentage of their applicants came from the Chicago area. Well, the Chicago office would accommodate so I...I...solicit your eye vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, rise in support of Senate Bill 704 and I suggest that there's a lot of rhetoric about what could happen and what can't happen but let me suggest that the Bill does not pertain to anything like that. We're talking about administrating and I notice the lady from DuPage was alluding to an awful lot but that same question could be asked about...no matter where the offices are going to be located. The discussion under Senate Bill 704 should be restricted to the issue of where we would like the Commission offices to be. That's the issue. Nothing else. Not how they function or who functions with who and all the other stuff I've heard about on debate here. We're talking about allowing State government to function in the State Capitol in the principle city and that's all that this Bill does and I urge a favorable vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise to support Senate Bill 704. I can't think of any one part of government that causes me more problems other than unemployment compensation than does this department. And I concur with what the gentleman from Fulton County has indicated, it would be just super if we had an office that we could knock on that door and if necessary do as he indicated and kick it down occasionally and point out that we have problems that we would like to have some answers. And I sincerely would appreciate your support."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland."



Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The gentleman...moves the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor will say aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it. The gentleman with the...close, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "...favorable roll call, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 704 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Opposed by voting nay. The lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite, to explain her vote."

Satterthwaite: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to ask a question because I am not clear at this point whether there have been House Amendments to the Senate Bill or whether the Bill stands with only the Senate Amendment. And I'd like clarification so I'd know how to vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I simply want to add my support to the concept of this proposal. Even prior to the time of coming to Springfield I'd have to be very critical to the way this office has been administered. I worked as a high school counselor for a couple of years and I assure you that they are less than cooperative and I would say that the...having them closer to us and to people in...Chicago area as well so they are really accessible would be certainly a benefit to all of the families who are seeking some financial aid for their...their future college students."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, to answer the question by Mrs. Satterthwaite, I hope."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill went through the Higher Education Committee with no Amendment. It came to the floor and was amended here in the House on Second Reading and was advanced to Third Reading. The gentleman the next day then recalled the Bill from Third to Second Reading, took the offensive amendment off and the Bill now stands in the exact condition it was when



it came out of the House Higher Education Committee.

I believe it's a good Bill and deserves your support."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt, to explain his vote."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...it really amazed to see 94 votes on such an atrocious Bill up on the Board. If this Bill is...is...if this is needed to have this Commission in two cities then it's needed in every city in the land...in the state. Now it would seem to me if the only way we can operate State government is to have an agency where we can kick at the door this is one hell of a way to operate. I hope that this Bill is...fails and it's really unfortunate to see what's going on."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco, to explain his vote."

Lucco: "Thank...thank you Mr. Speaker. I was just going to rise in support of the Bill but I believe we're all right."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Well, unlike the...well let me put it this way, I ...I would like to respond directly to the Majority Leader, there is no way that one can get from county to Lake county without going to downtown Chicago. But I've seen the map in the Tribune of the new RTA route and you can get from his district to Deerfield. So I think we can leave it where it is."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 104 ayes, 6 nays, 14 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 709."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 709. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Yes. Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 709 just provides that the maturity date



of bonds by a corporate authority may be raised to a... 40 years from date of issue. The present law is now 20 years so you could go anywhere from 20 to 40. It's a good Bill. I ask for a favorable roll call."

Bradley: "Discussion. If not the question is...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "...Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I wonder if the Sponsor would explain to us the reason for doubling the period of time within which bonds may be issued."

Williams: "It's permissive and the corporate authorities of a particular municipality may have a reason depending on the size of the issue to want to extend that time from 20 to 40 years. It's is permissive legislation, it's up to local corporate authorities to make that decision."

Mugalian: "Well, rather than ask another question, if I make speak to the Bill just for a moment."

Bradley: "I'm not sure that I understand the answer. The 20 year period is permissive...to merely respond that the new 40 year period is permissive does not seem to me to answer the question as to the need for some municipal financing to authorize permissively bond for 40 years. My principle in voting down here is ~~if~~ I don't see a good reason for adding a new Bill or making an amendment to our State statutes that we shouldn't do so."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this Bill. Number one, it's going to increase the cost of capital improvement by doubling the term for the payment of the bond. And number two I think it's going to encourage present generations to pass off to later generations the cost of these improvements. Now I don't appreciate the fiscal deficiency that's contained in the Bill for those reasons. And I'd encourage a no vote."

Bradley: "The gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Williams, wish to close?"

Williams: "I'd ask for a favorable roll call."



Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 709 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "You know, I don't have any objections whatsoever if one of my smaller cities wants to become a home rule city by passing a referendum and I really don't think that we in the Legislature ought to give every little hick town the ability to let bonds for 40 years without any vote of the people or anything. It just doesn't make sense."

Bradley: "The lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, every town then that is not a home rule town as explained in my vote, would you consider it a hick town? I...I submit that isn't fair. I think if these bonds are given 40 years in municipalities it gives them a more salability for the needs of the municipalities. I certainly urge an aye vote on this."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 65 ayes, 20 no's, 13 voting present. This Bill having failed to receive a constitutional majority is hereby declared lost. Senate Bill 727. Oh pardon...pardon me. With leave of the House we'll go to Committee Reports. The purpose for the...doing this is we have to get some of these Bills read and printed so with leave we'll read the Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mrs. Chapman from the Committee on Human Resources to which was referred House Bill 2697 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3066. Recommends the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute bill do pass. Mrs. Chapman from the Committee on Human Resources to which was referred House



JUN 9 1975

99

Bill 2102 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3067 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute pass - do pass. Mrs. Chapman from the Committee on Human Resources to which was referred House Bill 2093 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being 3068. Recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 1554 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3069 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 2168 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3070 recommend the original bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 2169 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3071 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which House Bill 2231 was referred reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3072 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 2232 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3073 and recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 2265 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3074 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 2279 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3075 recommended the original Bill lie on the Table



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee to which was referred 2578 reported the substitute therefore being House Bill 3076 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee to which was referred House Bill 2789 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3077, recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass."

Bradley: "Introduction to First Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3066, Committee on Human Resources, a Bill for an Act to add sections to an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3067, Committee on Human Resources, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Health Finance Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3068, Committee on Human Resources, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3069, A Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3070. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Comptroller's Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3071. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the State Finance Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3072. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act relating to the merit employment of the Office of Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3073. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act providing for hearings for the Judicial Inquiry Board. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3074. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act to end sections of an Act to establish State Tuberculosis Sanitariums. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3075. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act to provide for the financing of the Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Fund. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3076. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act





JUN 9 1975

to amend the Capital Development Board Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3077. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act to allow employees of one additional assistant majority leader and one additional assistant majority leader in the House of Representatives. First Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 727.

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 727. Hanahan. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Conservation of Soil and Water Resources. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in 1971 the General Assembly passed legislation requiring county and soil and water conservation districts to make all natural resources information available to counties or municipalities that were preparing zoning ordinances or variances from zoning ordinances. At the time the legislation was passed in 1971, it was thought that the soil and water conservation district had authority to charge for this service. An Attorney General's opinion raised the question whether or not it could. Senate Bill 727 clarifies the law to insure that soil and water conservation districts can charge for the service. The districts had no taxing power and it's the only way they can be compensated by the passage of 727 without any problem. I urge a favorable vote on this Bill."

Bradley: "Further discussion. If not the question is shall Senate Bill 727 pass. All those in favor will vote... will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? ...all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 ayes, no nays, 1 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Berman on the Floor? Senate Bill 740. Take it out of the record. Mr. Capparelli, Senate Bill 742. Mr. Capparelli on the Floor? Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 742. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Senate Bill 742, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, requires School District Board of Education to let contracts of \$5,000. Right now they can take a bid of \$2500 and this increases it from \$2500 to \$5000 that they can let out without contract. We also amended this Bill last week to include the downstate school districts and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Bradley: "Further discussion. Mr...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "The Sponsor yield? He indicates that he'll yield."

Bradley: "He indicates that he'll yield."

Schlickman: "Well, all other units of local government, the maximum limit for noncompetitive bidding is 1500 or 2500..."

Capparelli: "I'm sure...they'll be coming in also Mr. Schlickman because things have gone up and what they could get for \$2500 five years ago they can't do it today and I think this is reasonable and really ask for a favorable vote on this."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, if I may..."

Bradley: "Certainly may, sir."

Schlickman: "Previously in this Session there were Bills that we voted upon with regards to the maximum limitation for noncompetitive bidding and at that point...at that time the point was made that there should be uniformity. And as I recall the Bill or Bills as they passed, one including township government, reaffirmed the maximum of 1500. I don't think that the Chicago School District should be allowed an exemption to that uniform feeling of 1500 and therefore I would urge a no vote."

Bradley: "Further discussion. The gentleman with the...close."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Schlickman is right in what he says but you just take emergency repair for school



JUN 9 1975

facilities today, \$5,000 is more realistic than \$2500. Today you get a few broken windows and a...some damage to the brick work, they'd have to let these bids out; it'd be months before this is repaired. This is really reasonable legislation today with inflated prices. And this was amended to include the downstaters and I don't see no...problem. And I'd ask for a favorable roll call."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 742 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish. ...All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe, to explain his vote."

McAuliffe: "...Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in support of this Bill, explain my yes vote. I have a school in my district where one of the ceilings was about to fall in and people from the PTA asked me to come over and look at it and when I did I went down-stairs and talked to the principal of the school and he said that they had trouble because of the bidding rules; that they couldn't get an emergency repair job done on it because of the bidding rules. I'm sure that by raising it to \$5,000 it would cover a lot of these type of emergencies where they have trouble in the plumbing, where the wash-rooms don't work properly and it's not really sanitary for the kids to go in there. This particular classroom I was referring to they had to have all the children removed from the classroom and put them in another class-room so that it would be safe. And I would urge an aye vote on this bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the law permits any governmental agency to respond to emergencies without dollar limits. That's an established part of the law. What this Bill would do is double the amount of money which would...involve in...way of com-



1975

petitive bids. We're just opening up the door to abuse at all levels of government. The fact that the downstate school districts are now included in this Bill doesn't really help it, perhaps it hurts it. In some school districts \$5,000 is a very substantial part of their budget. And I suggest that this is not a good government Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, to explain his vote."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is an excellent Bill. I think the economic facts of life have now come home to us what was \$2500 several years ago is just not \$2500 anymore. It seems to me that \$5,000 is a reasonable limitation. The Bill applies equally to the City of Chicago and all the downstate school districts. I think it's an excellent Bill and I would urge an aye vote for it."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. D'Arco, to explain his vote." The Clerk...have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 94 ayes, 25 nays, 23 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 778."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 778. Gene Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, G. L."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on Senate Bill 778 deletes out obsolete language in the section of the School Code dealing with Teacher Education Scholarships. As those of you who have been here sometime will remember we eliminated the awarding of scholarships for teaching some years ago. We did that by not deleting the language but by adding a paragraph which held Section A of the section inoperative. Senate Bill 778 strikes out both sections so there is no reference to it at all in the



JUN 9 1975

105

School Code. In the Senate they took out all of the language forgetting that we do have 250 scholarships that we award for people who are going into the teaching of Special Ed and Senate Amendment #1 put that language back in which is presently in the law. So that the effect of 778 is the deletion of obsolete language. I would appreciate your support."

Bradley: "Discussion. If not the question is shall Senate Bill 778 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. ..All voted who wish? ...The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 ayes, 1 nay, none voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 779. Lauer, aye, on the last Bill. ...Representative Berman. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 779. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Bill had been debated, I think, it was on Friday. This is a Bill that...addresses itself to allowing a little more leeway, timewise, for the Chicago Board of Education in hearing...dismissal hearings for teachers. The Bill is a permissive Bill that allows the Board of Education of the City of Chicago only to appoint attorneys to hear the dismissal hearings. The question has been raised regarding whether an attorney should be specified as it is in the Bill; in checking further over the weekend the response that I received was that attorneys are desirable because it will avoid the possible error in these hearings that could result in further court litigation. We hope that we can utilize attorneys as these hearing officers in order to prevent the possibility of lawsuits coming about after the Board of Education determines whether there should or should not be a dismissal. So I appreciate the questions that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

arose on Friday. We did check them out. The Board would prefer from a point of view of time, efficiency and ultimate dollar savings to have the prerogative to hold... have attorneys as hearing officers for these dismissals. I would appreciate your affirmative vote on 779."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Winnebago, the Assistant Majority Leader, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "...Mr...Berman, does your court...court reporting... provide for these hearings also?"

Berman: "It's not in this Bill and I'd...not sure..."

Giorgi: "Well, how...how do you guard against error? Do you tell me then the attorneys have phenomenal memories or something?"

Berman: "...These hearing officers make recommendations to the full Board. At the present time the Board is the one that's charged with the procedures for these dismissals. What this Bill seeks to do is to allow a hearing officer to sit in on these hearings rather than Members of the Board."

Giorgi: "Yes. Yes. I understand that. But you tell me you don't use licensed...you don't use court reporters?"

Berman: "No. I said I can't give you an answer. I just don't know the answer."

Giorgi: "Is this the recommendation of the School Problems Commission or would this evolve from..."

Berman: "No, this is a request from the...from the Chicago Board of Education and as I indicated Friday there was no opposition voiced from the Chicago Teacher's Union to this proposal."

Giorgi: "One last question. If some of the attorneys here vote for this would there be a conflict of interest if they could become hearing officers also?"

Berman: "Unless there's a commitment already to hire them, I don't think so."

Giorgi: "Say that again."

Berman: "I said if there...unless there is already a commitment



JUN 9 1975

to hire them, I..."

Giorgi: "Well, wouldn't there almost be a conflict of interest now in the event we pass this legislation that some of the attorneys in the House can see themselves as hearing officers. Wouldn't they have to abstain from voting for this Bill..."

Berman: "No."

Giorgi: "...Because of a proposed conflict maybe?"

Berman: "No. I don't think that we abide by that."

Giorgi: "Would a Legislator be considered a suitable hearing officer?"

Berman: "It said impartial. I don't know if Legislators are impartial."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Will the gentleman yield to a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Waddell: "What is the allowable rate per day for these services and what is the allowable rate for expense as to a hearing officer?"

Berman: "It is not specified. This will be determined by the Chicago Board of Education...paid...payable out of their funds."

Waddell: "In other words there is no bounds that this can be adhered to that they can pay whatever they want to?"

Berman: "It's not set forth in the Bill. That's like any other expenditure that they would determine."

Bradley: "The gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Sponsor yield for a question please?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he would."

Anderson: "The American Arbitration Association, to belong to that and be a hearing officer, do you have to be an attorney?"

Berman: "You asked a question, sir? I'm advised that the answer is no."

Anderson: "I'm going to vote against this because of that. I don't think the person hearing the case has to be an attorney."



Bradley: "The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Ebbesen: "Representative, I...apologize, you probably indicated this in your opening remarks but does this just apply to the City of Chicago?"

Berman: "Yes."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to close."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill is to try to alleviate some of the time requirements imposed upon the Members of the Chicago Board, they've requested this Bill as a permissive Bill to allow attorneys to act as hearing officers. I think it is important because it will number one save them their time and number two we've heard no objections from the Chicago Teacher's Union...as to this Bill, number three we hope that it will expedite and bring some equity and fairness into these hearings to improve the present system. I would urge a yes vote."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 779 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I arise in support of this Bill. Number one it's a time honored procedure in units of government to provide for hearings to be conducted by an administrative officer then to make recommendations to the governing body. Number two just as we require people who teach to be certified teachers, when it comes to matters of due process involving hearing on what a person did or did not do, in light of laws, rules and regulations, we're involved with the matter of evidence, cross examination and so on. As the gentleman, the Sponsor of this Bill indicated, by having an attorney we have a person who is qualified by virtue of education





and experience and we insure that the integrity of the decision of the Board based on the recommendation...findings and recommendations of the hearing officer and attorney will more likely be upheld and sustained. And I think it's interesting that he did comment that the Chicago Teacher's Union has not expressed any opposition to this Bill and if they did have any reservations, I'm sure they would have expressed it. And I would encourage an aye vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to explain his vote."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I think that...some of these people that are not voting...or not voting green, I'm not sure I understand the logic behind it. Let me point out that if a hearing is held at the present time without this Bill, it requires the Chicago Board or Members themselves to sit in on this hearing. If there is a ruling that's adverse to the teacher, they have the right under the existing law, to take an administrative review through the court. What we're trying to do by this Bill is number one, expedite that hearing so that the personal involvement, personal time commitment of the Members of the Chicago Board can be somewhat alleviated. Many of you have read the time commitment and the problems administratively that these people have. We want to give them the opportunity to do the job on even more important areas than sitting in on the hearing. Secondly, the reason for the attorney's need is because a court with a judge who is a lawyer sooner or later is going to have the opportunity if there is error at these hearings of reviewing this case. And by not requiring an attorney, all we're doing here is inviting additional expense, additional time both on the part of the teacher and the Board, perhaps on the part of the Union which could be alleviated by this request. We're not imposing any requirements here as far as anything that would be burdensome to the teacher. In fact, I'm sure that they would



probably encourage this because they would have an impartial hearing officer who's familiar with the rules of evidence to rule on these things...on their case. So I would urge you to take a second look at this, let's try to get 11, 12 or 13 more green lights at least on this good Bill."

Bradley: "The lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "...I think, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm...regret I was in and out of a Committee Meeting of the Senate and I...I...understand correctly this Bill will allow, as explain my vote, the hearing to be held by either an impartial hearing officer who is a licensed lawyer or a duly authorized committee. Now, if I understand that correctly. I think my problem with it is that it hasn't been clear to me who appoints the committee or the hearings officer and that is why I am voting present. I have mixed emotions about it."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 82 ayes, 22 no's, 23 voting present. This Bill having ...gentleman has asked to be placed on postponed consideration. Senate Bill 794. "

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 794. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Transit...or Chicago's Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 794 provides that the Chicago Sanitary District provides for the appointment of a deputy chief engineer, assistant chief engineer and head assistant attorneys. The superintendent has stated that to assure maximum cooperation / <sup>and</sup> initiative and responsibility on part of such persons, the experience has demonstrated that such an examination process is not satisfactory for achieving this objective, a more suitable selection is the appointment



JUN 9 1975

by the general superintendent upon recommendation of department heads. This was approved by the Civic Federation and a similar Bill passed out of the House 121 to 6 and I would urge your favorable support."

Bradley: "Discussion. If not the question is shall Senate Bill 794 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? ...All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 ayes, 7 nays, 9 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 7...Waddell...Caldwell, aye. Madison, aye. Senate Bill 796."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 796. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mardulli."

Nardulli: "Members of the House, Senate Bill 796, provides for the Sanitary District Article of the Pension Code to increase the children's monthly annuity from \$60 to \$80 per month for half-orphans and \$90 to \$120 a month for full orphans as of January 1976. I request an aye vote."

Bradley: "Discussion. If not, the question is shall Senate Bill 796 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye, those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 119 ayes, 3 nays, 5 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 797."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 797. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. McLendon."

McLendon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Under this Bill the maximum requirement annuity payable to a surviving spouse is increased from \$400 to \$500 a month. This proposal was presented to the Illinois Pension Laws



in a formal hearing prior to the introduction of the Bill and was recommended for approval by the General Assembly. The actuary for that system certified that the cost effects of this change would be \$300,000 per year and could be absorbed by the tax rate presently in effect for that Fund. The proposal is in accord with the Commission policy and is in conformity with the recommendations of other Chicago systems. I ask for a favorable vote."

Bradley: "Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall Senate Bill 797 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 ayes, 2 nays, 10 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 798."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 798. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 798 is identical to House Bill 1534 which passed out of the House, oh not long ago. Senate Bill 798 amends the Sanitary District Article of the Pension Code. Increases term annuity for employees and surviving spouse/60 to \$100 per month. Reduces qualifying period of eligibility for child's annuity from four to two years permits an employee to make an application for duties and disability benefits within two years of previous claim for same injury. Requires that an employee submit a... medical examination within 30 days of application of duty or ordinary disability benefits. Permits an employee to repay refund or contribution upon reemployment after one year of service and increases from 5% to 6% the increased assessed of such repayment. The Pension Laws Commission approved this Bill. It came out of the Senate Committee 13 to nothing. And passed on that Floor 44 to



nothing."

Bradley: "Further discussion. The gentleman wish to close.

If not the question is...the gentleman wish to close?"

White: "I have closed."

Bradley: "He has closed. The question is shall Senate Bill 798 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. Barnes, E. M., aye. ...All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 120 ayes, no nays, 2 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill...Geo-Karis, aye. Senate Bill 804. Mr. Farley, back ...take it out of the record. Senate Bill 829, E. L...."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record. How about 830, Dan? Take them all out of the record down to Senate Bill 837."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 837. Totten. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Mobile Home Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 837 amends the Illinois Mobile Home Safety Act by adding manufacturing housing to the jurisdiction of the Act and it provides for a code of standards and requirements for manufactured homes in addition to the code for mobile homes. It's...the Bill is supported by the manufactured housing industry, the mobile home industry, the Manufactured Housing Institute, the Illinois Mobile Home Association and the Department of Public Health who will administer it. It passed out of Committee 14 to nothing and I appreciate your favorable vote."

Bradley: "Discussion. If none, the question is shall Senate Bill 837 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, to explain his vote."



Grotberg: "I just wanted to explain my present vote on this.

I see it creates an advisory council being sponsored by Mr. Totten. So strange that he would sponsor..."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 122 ayes, no nays, 3 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared. Senate Bill 845."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 845. A Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Senate Bill 845 amends the Personnel Code whereby after an appeal, if the employee is reinstated, the employee shall receive full compensation for the time off work. This provides for repayment for periods in which an employee has been suspended or discharged and later found to be innocent of charges, reinstated. It provides that monies earned by the employee during the vacated shall not be included in the sum for repayment. And I would urge support of this Bill."

Bradley: "Discussion. If none, the question is shall Senate Bill 845 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 ayes, 1 nay, 3 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 859. Mr. Lechowicz there?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 859. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the State finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, at Mr. Simms desk."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 859 amends the Act, the Finance Act, to abolish the Department of Personnel training revolving fund. This is a recommendation of the Economic and Fiscal Commission and this Bill passed



JUN 9 1975

115

56 to 1 in the Senate. It came out without a dissenting vote in the House. And it will save the taxpayers \$5,000. Ask for your support in this Bill."

Bradley: "Discussion. If none, the question is shall Senate Bill 859 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 129 ayes, no no's, none voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 885."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 885. Ron Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman, R."

S X  
^  
Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 885 amend<sup>S</sup> the Park District Code and adds Section 5-38 to provide for a levy of additional taxes .05% for planning, establishing and maintaining recreational programs. This requires a referendum. Came out of the Senate with no dissenting votes. Came out of the House Committee with no dissenting votes. And I would ask for an aye vote."

Bradley: "Discussion. If none, the question is shall Senate Bill 885 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The question...the Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 128 ayes, 1 voting...1 nay, 1 present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 894."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 894. Terzich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 900. Mr. Kozubowski."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 900."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record. 904. Senate Bill 904.  
Miss Geo-Karis."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 904. A Bill for an Act to amend  
an Act in relation to State finance. Third Reading of  
the Bill."

Bradley: "The lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, on Senate Bill  
904."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,  
...Senate Bill 904 is a Bill eliminates the original repeal  
date of the Finance Act. It was October 1, 1975 and this  
Bill was amended and the repeal date is extending it to  
September 30, 1976. And I ask for favorable consideration."

Bradley: "Discussion. If none, the question is, shall Senate  
Bill 904 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting  
...the gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Would the lady yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "She indicates that she will."

Kempiners: "She indicated what the Bill with...with regard  
in...effective but what...what is the provision in the Act  
that we're extending until that date?"

Geo -Karis: "All right. It's the...the Bill is an Act to  
repeal Section 3 of the finance...State Finance Act and  
the...the Act was...is to be repealed as of September 30,  
1976. That's the way the Bill was and then it was amended  
to be repealed as of September 30..."

Kempiners: "I understand that part of it, but what is it  
that we're...we're extending. I mean what's the..."

Geo-Karis: "This particular Section of the Finance Act  
establishes a procedure of paying its expenditures from  
two or more funds. And specifically the Section allows  
the Treasurer to draw from the funds from which the greater  
proportion of these expenditures made with a semi-annual  
reconciliation being made by order of the Comptroller.  
Now the Senate Bill...this Bill was amended from...because  
the original Bill was...that was passed in '74 had a





repeal date of October 1, 1975. So now what we have done ...the Senate has done is file this Bill which changes the repeal date to September 30, 1976. There is no appropriation associated with this legislation. It simply allows the repeal date of this particular Section to be extended one year from the original deadline."

Kempiners: "And basically what we're doing is letting the..."

Geo-Karis: "Giving another trial period for this procedure."

Kempiners: "Okay, thank you."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, just as I put my hand up I got the answer I wanted from the lady."

Bradley: "Further discussion? The lady wish to close?"

Geo-Karis: "No. Just what I...wish a favorable vote..."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 904 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Have all voted who wish? Bradley, aye. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 112 ayes, 4 nays, 4 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 911."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 911. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code and the Animal Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, G. L."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 911 came to Senator Philips from the Humane Society in DuPage County and what it...what it does is it provides that any dead animals found on the highway by the Highway Department, they will notify the administrator of the Animal Control Act who will make a reasonable effort to notify the owner. We adopted two amendments to the Bill in the House, one which provided that veterinarians would not have the power of collecting fees or fines unless they worked for the county. And the second



one, at the request of Members of the Committee on County and Township, to delete some language which said evidence...other evidences of identification other than tags, so now the Bill reads that only identification provided by tags. And I ask for your support of this Bill."

Bradley: "Discussion? If none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 9....some of these lights are not flashing and I don't know that you're seeking recognition. The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Cunningham and I have got a question."

Bradley: "Mr. Cunningham indicates he doesn't have one, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, that's what he says now. He's chicken. We were curious...we were curious as to suppose the party was a dead, diseased bowsher, for example, wishes to...wishes to have the body. What about that?"

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, you wish to reply?"

Borchers: "Just a matter of curiosity."

Peters: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Peters: "Representative Hoffman, I'm sorry, you might have explained it but why we do have to have the State Department of Transportation filling out forms and notify a county they found a dead dog?"

Hoffman: "The...as I indicated the source of this Bill is the Humane Society in the County and what we're asking, we don't have to provide for any forms, a telephone call or anything else will do just to notify the...Administrator of the Animal Control Act who will then make a reasonable effort to notify the owner. All it is, it's for the owner it's not for the Highway Department."

Peters: "Doesn't require a coroner's inquest, does it?"

Hoffman: "Ah, not yet."

Bradley: "Mr. Sims would like to respond. Further discussion? If not, the gentleman wish to close? The gentleman



wish to close, Mr. Hoffman?"

Hoffman: "I would like 89 affirmative votes."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 911 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting nay. Clerk. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, do you wish to explain your vote, sir?"

Deuster: "Yes. Yes. Very briefly. I'll require...Harry, you don't have to fool around with this dead dog too much but just take a pair of tinsnips or pliers and cut the tag off. I think it's just kind of a decent, humane thing, the Highway people pick up somebody's poor dog out there, been hit by a car, they just clip the tag off. They don't have to fool around with the dog at all, but just notify the owner and it's a simple administrative act that will be merciful and kind and I speak as one who had some people come by and take my cat once...the only reason we know they took the cat is the neighbor lady saw them take the cat and leave a note in the mailbox. So I think it's a nice thing if you love an animal, whether it's a dog or a cat or anything else, if it is killed out on the highway that somebody would notify you. And I urge more aye votes. Thank you."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, to explain his vote."

Downs: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I see the votes are coming on there, I just wanted to make the same appeal. We just lost a dearly loved cat in our household and we spent two weeks, agonizing, trying to find out what had happened and this might have prevented a lot of grief for my children and to ourselves. But thank you for the vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in my area we don't have dogs tagged out in the rural area because they've been dumped off because the people didn't want them. And all we're



going to do is have men working for the Department of Transportation going out and picking up dead dogs to see whether they've got tags on so they can be reported and a lot of paper work. There's a lot of dogs running loose that are strictly strays. I think this is a know-nothing Bill and probably one of the worst ones the Senate's had and they've had some lousy ones."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 103 ayes, 9 nays 13 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 913. Mr. Daniels."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 913. A Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, or from DuPage, I'm sorry, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Senate Bill 913 amends the Sanitary District Act and permits the issuance of revenue bonds or levy special assessments for the construction, improvement and extension of waterworks. It also increases the maximum interest rate payable on bonds from 6% to 8% and it would delete some of the contractual obligations that all the money received in a referendum be applied for that referendum purpose. If left over, could be applied to a referendum that has been held prior to that. And I ask your favorable vote for the same and if there are any questions I'll be happy to answer them."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyers."

Meyer: "Question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "He indicates that he will yield."

Meyer: "Are these all revenue bonds or are some general obligation through the special assessment route?"

Daniels: "Well, the synopsis itself refers to all revenue bonds or levy of special assessments for the construction and so it would be all revenue bonds if we're dealing with...brings it in line with other governmental



authorities. It's basically the same thing that applied before." Other governmental units..."

Meyer: "Well...I don't have the Bill in front of me, but the issuance of special assessments would indicate a...a pledge of real property and that is...those are general obligation bonds, not revenue bonds."

Bradley: "Gentleman wish to respond? "

Daniels: "This special assessment bonds is the only thing that the Bill refers to Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "...To me, I...I think this calls for the issuance of general obligation bonds if they're going for special assessments."

Daniels: "Why don't we take that out for a minute and we'll get back to that then. Hold that. Can you hold that?"

Bradley: "Take it out...we'll take it out of the record. Senate Bill 932."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 932. Schoeberlein. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act...provide for a law in relation to counties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 932 has been amended with two Amendments in the Senate. ...Amendment #1 calls for a referendum no less than 20 days and no more than 90 days after submission and after passage of a resolution by the county board. Senate Amendment #2 calls for a .10 assessment or tax the first and a .05 the second year and thereafter it would be .15 each year. I would not handle this Bill if the referendum was not applied to the Bill. And if there are no questions, I would ask full support to help the sheriff's department in the counties outside of Cook County."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not the question is shall Senate Bill 932 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. ...All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? ...All voted



who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 ayes, 3 nays, 13 voting present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 942."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 942. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the employment of children. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purpose of an amendment."

Bradley: "Gentleman have leave? Return it to Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 942 on page 2 by deleting lines 4 and 5 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers, on Amendment #1."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, what this Amendment does is bring this Bill into conformity with the Federal Labor Department whereby minor children can only work between the hours of 7 a. m. and 9 p. m. from June 1st to Labor Day and then the rest of the year from 7 p. m. and 7 a. m. I move for the favorable adoption."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 942. All in favor will signify..."

the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters. On Amendment #1, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Peters: "Representative Deavers, does this in anyway change the listing in the digest of the Senate Amendment #1, the provision that no female under 16 shall be employed where employment requires her to stand, et cetera, et cetera?"

Deavers: "No, it has...has nothing to do with the Senate Amendment."

Peters: "All right."

Bradley: "The question is then the adoption of Amendment #1



All those in favor will signify by saying aye. Those opposed by saying no. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Bradley: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 944. Take it out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 945 out of the record. 53. Senate Bill 965."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 965. Schlickman."

Bradley: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 968. Downs."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 968. Downs. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the use of human tissue, blood or organs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the effect of this Act is to extend the repealer section of the Act relating to the use of human tissues and blood transfusions, specifically. It extends the Act which is a waiver of liability as presently exists under the law and it extends it on the basis that the original law was passed to provide the hospitals time to go to an all volunteer system of blood donation and to develop test to discover the existence of...of hepatitis in the blood and I move for your favorable consideration of this Bill."

Bradley: "Discussion. If not, the...question...the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Downs, would you yield to a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will, sir."

Ryan: "Can you tell me again what this Bill does now and exactly what it's about?"

Downs: "The original Bill in the Senate was an effort to repeal the repealer of the waiver of liability...provision with regard to the blood transfusion being a...a sale of a service rather than a product. There had been a Supreme Court case which had found a hospital liable for a hepatitis that was in blood, condition which it was not



JUN 9 1975

124

possible to determine. And so in order to provide the profession with the opportunity to develop tests to guard against this and to go to a volunteer system of obtaining blood it was felt that the alternative was an impossible situation insofar as blood transfusion. And it was amended in the Senate to simply extend the repealer of the waiver of liability for two years instead of to repeal it."

Ryan: "Then it's effective 'til when the...first of July 1978?"

Downs: "Yes."

Ryan: "This has nothing to do with the experimentation of aborted human fetus' does it?"

Downs: "No."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Kempiners: "Was...was this law originally passed as a result of the efforts being made to an all volunteer blood donation program that everybody said could be done and they discovered that it could not be done?"

Downs: "It's my understanding that that was apparently the basis of the original law and I understand some close... something like a 98% amount of the blood is in fact now from volunteers. It's simply a higher quality blood, so what they have now...state they are unable to yet perfect/a reliable test to learn of the presence of the hepatitis disease in the blood and the Sponsorship of the Bill therefore is the Medical Society, the hospitals, and the Department of Public Health."

Kempiners: "...Right...I...okay, you made this clear. This has been around for the last three Sessions of the General Assembly and the answer is still the same, they don't have a reliable test. And this is a good...this is a good Bill and I would strongly endorse the yes vote.

Bradley: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Tipsword: "Would the gentleman yield for a question, please?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Tipsword: "As I recall, Representative Downs, a part of this is to get rid of the purchase of blood. The... the kinds of clinics that were set up in skid row areas have...have those been eliminated or are the purchases now all being taken care of at hospitals and medically supervised clinics?"

Downs: "Yes, substantially so, about 98% of the blood I have... I am informed is volunteer."

Tipsword: "Well, but is...is still 2% coming from these clinics...that are on skid row, purchasing from the winos and that sort of..."

Downs: "Yes. Well, I don't know, it's purchase blood and the inference is that that is among the purchase of it, yes."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Bradley: "Further discussion. The gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have a question for the Sponsor."

Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield, sir."

Leinenweber: "With the advent...as you point out, all the way from 98 to 99% of volunteer blood, is this enough of a problem to extend this broad immunity, do you think? Is there enough of a problem to warrant this now? I mean the idea behind the repealer was that after a period of time, the problem would decrease to the extent where it wouldn't be necessary to continue this immunity. Now we've been told that the immunity will be granted until there is an absolute foolproof method devised to eliminate hepatitis but nevertheless people, presumably, on occasion do contract hepatitis and if it's a small enough number it would seem to me that the hospitals might have some responsibility here. Is there enough of a problem to warrant this immunity being continued?"

Downs: "I don't know where the threshold is. I think the original motive for the Bill was the assumption that



if we got to all volunteer blood then the problem would be substantially, if not completely, solved. What has happened, obviously, is we have gotten some 98% volunteer blood but there is still a problem and that is...some way we could only discover when we got to all volunteer blood then we found what percentage of the blood samples had the hepatitis and what followed from that was the discovery that we could only detect the hepatitis presently in 30 to 35% of the cases. So now that has become the problem, is developing a better means and I can only represent that on the basis of the information supplied me, it still is considered a severe problem to the...to the profession, to the hospitals, to the medical society that they still would urge the existence of the waiver for some additional period of time."

Leinenweber: "Well, very briefly, it does seem that we...in trying to meet what apparently was the problem at one time we have totally shifted the burden onto the patient. In other words, if the patient contracts hepatitis that is completely his tough luck where the law prior has been that the burden/<sup>would be</sup> shifted to the hospital and presumably onto the insurance. I don't know whether or not it continues to be such a problem to warrant this broad and sweeping immunity but the Bill goes for another two years and I suppose be back asking for two more at that point."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Much has been made of the need for continuing the effectiveness of this law because of the matter of blood. But I point out to the Membership that we're not only talking about blood but we're also talking about corneas, we're talking about bones and organs for other human tissue for the purpose of injecting, transfusing or transplanting and I don't see how we could justify a continuation of...of immunity from liability when



JUN 9 1975  
127

we're talking...the only cause seems to be in the matter of blood which I think is debatable. And it seems to me that by the continuation of this law and its effectiveness we're just going to put off that day when patients have the right to receive blood, bones, etc which are conducive to their health and we'll simply be encouraging negligence on the part of those providing...these aids to human life so I would suggest a no vote on this Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, D. L."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I also rise in opposition to the Bill. I would point out to the Membership that this is... would now be the third extension for this repealer. I would also point out as was admitted in Committee that the position of the Department of Public Health which is the genesis for this...extension of the repealer was that the repealer should be eliminated entirely. I think a reasonable cause can be made for an extension but I think a much more reasonable period would be one year. Otherwise we're going to be in a situation that two years from now we're going to have the same procedure, I'm afraid, advocated by the Department of Public Health. I think two years is too long in view of the fact that this would now be the third extension. I think one year would be more reasonable, unless the Bill is in that posture I'm going to vote no on the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman, R...R Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The question has been moved...the question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor will signify by saying aye. Opposed by saying no. The ayes have it and the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, to close."

Downs: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well, the Hospital Association, the American Red Cross, the Illinois State Medical Society are in support of this Bill. I urge your favorable consideration of it."



The problem has been with the blood. There has not been the problem with regard to disease, etc., with the other tissues and organs involved and if in two years they come back and want a further extension I will not support it and I would vote against that myself. But I think this extension is reasonable and I urge your ...favorable consideration. Thank you."

Bradley: "The question is shall Senate Bill 968 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. And those opposed by voting no. And while we're taking the vote there's a young lady in the aisle here that has about 6 or 8 cups of coffee that somebody would claim it would help her out. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? ...all voted who wish? The gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners to explain his vote."

Kempiners: "If I could very briefly Mr. Speaker. There have been some questions asked about this that haven't been really been aimed at the heart of the problem. The heart of the problem is not whether blood is bought or whether it's volunteer. The heart of the problem is that a test for hepatitis that has been developed is only effective 30% of the time and what you're doing if you don't extend this exemption from liability is saying that a hospital and a physician who uses this test but a patient happens to contract hepatitis anyway that they are liable. And I don't think anybody could legitimately stand up and say these people ought to be liable when the only available test is effective at that percent of the time. We're basically saying that even though you can't do anything about it you're going to be the one financially responsible for a person contracting hepatitis and I don't think that that's a defensible position. I would encourage you to vote for this two year extension."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, we have 83 ayes, 23 no's



ll voting'present. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs. Put the Bill on postponed consideration. Senate Bill 980."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 980."

Bradley: "Mr. Shea."

O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act...Senate Bill 980. Shea. A Bill for an Act to Foster Career Prosecutors. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, the majority leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

At the time, that this Bill was on second reading, Ms. Catania had an amendment. I told her that I think I'd be opposed but I did tell her that I would give her the opportunity to try to amend it. So at this time with leave of the House I'd like to take it back to the order of second reading...uh...so Ms. Catania could offer her amendment."

Bradley: "Take the Bill back to second reading." The Bill now being on second reading. The lady from Cook, Ms. Catania."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Catania, amends Senate Bill 980 on page 1, line 1 by adding the word 'prosecutors' and so forth."

Bradley: "The lady from Cook, Ms. Catania, on the amendment."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and members of the House.

What this amendment does, very simply, is to add Assistant Public Defenders to this Bill. The Bill provides that the state will supplement the income for Assistant State's Attorneys and feeling that the lawyers who serve the public are equally entitled to this supplementary income I am offering this amendment to include Assistant Public Defenders who are extremely important in the defense of indigents to this Bill. I ask for your support of this amendment to Senate Bill 980."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, the majority leader, Mr. Shea."



JUN 9 1975

130

Shea: "I'm wondering if the sponsor would yield to a question?"

Bradley: "She indicates that she will."

Shea: "The cost of the Bill for the career prosecutor's office could run as high as 9.8 million dollars based on the number of Assistant State's Attorneys throughout the state. Could you tell me how many public defenders presently are in the State of Illinois, assistant public defenders?"

Catania: "In the fiscal note that I drafted, we determined that the additional cost for this amendment would be approximately five million dollars. There are no exact figures on precisely how many public defenders there are, but according to Mr. Jim Daugherty, Head of the Public Defender's Office in Cook County, there are slightly less than half as many Assistant Public Defenders as there are Assistant State's Attorneys in Illinois."

Shea: "I'd like to speak to the amendment, please?"

Bradley: "Proceed sir."

Shea: "The cost of the Bill, as it is, is about 9.8 million dollars. The amendment would be another five million, now what we're trying to do is upgrade law enforcement within the State of Illinois. I'm sympathetic with the lady's position but I think that it would make it just to the point that this Bill would be a back breaker and I'm sorry but I'm forced to oppose the amendment."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld, on the amendment."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the amendment. As a former Assistant Public Defender myself, I would say this to you, there's nobody and I mean nobody in the State of Illinois, that is underpaid more than the Assistant Public Defenders of this State. There's also nobody that has more work to do and that includes the State's Attorneys. Because the State's Attorney, while they prosecute all the cases, the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

Assistant Public Defender and Public Defender are given every dog criminal case that comes before every county. Now every county doesn't have an assistant public defender but if you would take the opportunity to read some of the Supreme Court decisions in the State of Illinois that are critical of the defense work done in criminal cases, you'll find time after time that the Supreme Court is castigating some young assistant public defender. And the reason these young people are being castigating is not because they are not going to be competent attorneys but most of them are right out of law school and they can be hired cheaply, particularly at a time when there is a surplus of attorneys. And so the counties hire the cheapest people they can get to serve in this rôle, and frankly while I'm very prosecution minded and very much a law and order man when I come to my voting record, it seems to me that justice delayed is justice denied and one of the big reasons for the delay right now is because we cannot get competent assistant public defenders and your never going to get them as long as they only make three, four, five or six thousand dollars a year. They're not competitive with the private law practice in the State of Illinois. I believe what the sponsor of this amendment is trying to do is to say nothing more than this 'if we're going to spend money upgrading the prosecution and making the salaries competitive with private law practice, at least to a certain extent, then we ought to spend the same amount of money to upgrade the defense' because if this country truly believes that your innocent until your proved guilty then they ought to be able to pay enough for a decent person to get a decent cent. And I think it's a very reasonable amendment, five million dollars is a pittance for this state to spend for adequate defense of indigents in the State of Illinois. And it's certainly a pittance when we consider the money that we throw away down here on project after project which do not deal with people's



JUN 9 1975

lives or the fact that they may be incarcerated for weeks, months, or years. I think it's a wonderful amendment and I think the sponsor should be commended for putting it on the Bill or attempting to and I would hope, very much, that we would give her an 'aye' vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, the Minority Whip, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I completely agree with what the previous speaker said but I think that the sponsor of the Bill's purpose to upgrade law enforcement in Illinois is commendable. I wish he were running for public defender. I think that if we are going to, indeed, have totally career minded experiences public defenders we are going to have to pay them well. I wish everybody in this room could walk into the crowded calendar court of Cook County, for example, or one of your larger counties and see the tremendous pressures the public defenders have to work under with their overload. Young inexperienced people often times are put into a situation where they have to be in a plea situation or a plea bargaining situation who cannot cope with the overload. The State always has the options and decisions, it seems to me, under the calendar, frequently with cooperation with the courts, necessarily so to control the calendar. But the simple fact of the matter is that if we are going to have a true system of justice we have to be equally concerned with good defense as well as good prosecution. If you want true fair trials, you have to have a high professional level of advocacy on both sides. I think the amendment is very commendably. The price that it has on it is almost less than half the price tag on the Bill. To be sure it is expensive and I am absolutely sure that the day will come when we will be very glad that we have had these two causes put together. I am not speaking against the Bill in any way shape or form, I think it is an excellent one. I think the amendment is equally



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



JUN 9 1975

excellent."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I, too, want to commend the majority leader for doing what I think is something that absolutely must be done if we are going to upgrade the criminal justice system and that's to supplement prosecutors salaries throughout the state. I think it is an admirable undertaking but I think equally as admirable is Ms. Catania's suggestion that perhaps we bend over a little bit more and give an assistant a direction of defense as Mr. Hirschfeld and Mr. Douglas pointed out so ably. Now we spend a lot of time in this session, heck of a lot of time, dealing with the whole criminal justice system and no matter which way we turn we face up to the basic hard proposition that dollars are necessary if we are going to upgrade the system. If we need more judges, and we do, it means money. If we need more courtrooms, and we do, it's money. If we want to streamline the Grand Jury System and that sort of thing it's money. If we're going to protect witnesses, it's money. If we're going to compensate victims who have been suffered from criminal activity, it's money. No matter how you look at it, it's money. And you've got to face up to that hard fact. We do have the adversary system. We must have first rate prosecutors, we must have first rate defense counsel. It's a panorama, it's a continuum and you can't break it off at any place and be penurious or the whole system is going to break down. I want to end by saying that I do commend the majority leader for his bill. I sincerely wish that he would withdraw his opposition to this very worthwhile amendment because if it does not pass and frankly if the Bill does not pass then the criminal justice system in this state will be crippled for another few years."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."



**The appearance of the   1   images**

**following this page is due to**

**Poor Quality Original Documents**

**and not the scanning or filming processes.**

**Com Microfilm Company  
(217) 525-5860**

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Representative Hirschfeld has said, I too, as you know very well, support the State's Attorneys quite well and my conscience is biting me a little bit on this one because Jerry's Senate Bill is, of course, an excellent bill and I certainly hope 980 passes but in all fairness ~~and~~ the practice of criminal law in the State of Illinois needs professionals on both sides for the purpose of ~~the~~ proper administration of justice and I would hardly ~~support~~ the amendment and also the Bill."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, Gene Hoffman"

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves the previous question. The question is ~~shall~~ the main question be put. All those in favor will say ~~aye~~ opposed will say no. The ayes have it. The lady from ~~Illinois~~ Ms. Catania to close."

Catania: "Thank you Speaker and Members of the House. Those who have ~~ever~~ support of this Bill have put it much better ~~than~~ I could and they've given you all the details necessary ~~to~~ I think. What we are talking about here is the balance ~~of~~ the legal system. We certainly do need to have excellent professional prosecutors and we also need to have ~~excellent~~ professional defenders and I ask for your support."

Bradley: "The question is shall amendment #1 be adopted. All those in favor ~~shall~~ all vote aye, all those in favor shall say aye, those ~~opposed~~ shall say no. The...we'll have a roll call. ~~Those~~ those in favor will signify by voting aye those opposed ~~will~~ voting no on amendment #1 to Senate Bill 980. Have all ~~voted~~ who wish? The gentleman from Cook, the majority ~~leader~~, Mr. Shea to explain his vote."

Shea: "Well Mr. ~~Speaker~~ and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, think ~~that~~ Ms. Catania has merit to it because two or three ~~sessions~~ down here I tried to create an appellate defense ~~office~~ in the State. Instead of doing



it piece-meal like we have now with various defenders projects but by the same token, I just feel that this is not the proper vehicle to do this. If she's interested in doing it, I wish she would have put in her own bill because I am fearful that by this amendment it will kill the Bill."

Bradley: "The lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer to explain her vote."

Willer: "Yes, I would like to explain my yes vote. I find the argument that the Majority Leader gave in the first place against this saying it's a good idea but...uh...it just might be the straw that breaks the camel's back evidently. I don't think that this really...um...is fair argument I agree with all the arguments that were given before the vote was taken and I would just say that five million dollars is alot of money but if we can vote over two million dollars for something called Chadwick Slab without blinking an eye I would think we could vote 5 million dollars for something that really furthers the cause of justice in our state."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines to explain his vote."

Gaines: "I wish to appeal to the members of the House to give an aye vote to this amendment because one of the serious problems we have is swift and sure justice. And when you don't have adequate public defenders you cannot have swift and sure justice and the guilty as well as the innocent will suffer. So I feel that we have well-funded assistant public defenders will enable the justice to be done including justice with mercy and real justice."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty to explain his vote."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I think that there is no question but that all of us sitting here today know that if we're going to have justice we have to have justice equally on both sides. And that's really all that this amendment is asking for. It's saying that we



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

have  
 should have career prosecutors but we should/career public  
 defenders too. That the state and society, indeed, owe  
 as much input into the defense of indigents in the interest  
 of the criminal justice system as it does to the prosecution.  
 Indeed, indeed, intelligent public defenders who know what  
 they're doing, who know how to properly analyze and handle  
 a case can in many instances, more properly and more  
 quickly defend a man when it's appropriate have a jury  
 trial and when it's not know that this is the time to  
 plead him guilty. He can do the job better and justice is  
 better served if we have those career men. And I would  
 certainly ask that those who are voting red on this give  
 consideration to equal justice for all."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters to explain his  
 vote."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House.  
 I'm not sure that I am on the right Bill, I'm looking  
 in the digest..."

Bradley: "We're on an amendment."

Peters: "Yes, Senate Bill 980 on the amendment and what  
 we're talking about is providing for certain fixed contri-  
 butions by the State...uh...to be made to certain peoples  
 and now we're adding public defenders. I'm not sure where  
 we get all the human cry about the caliber of justice and  
 the need for the state to expend money in this regard...uh...  
 I think we have a situation mixed here where we're talking  
 about the state adding the salary and equating a higher  
 salary with a higher degree of justice. I don't if that's  
 a true equate I think I voted no and I think I voted  
 correctly."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff to explain his vote."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House.  
 The respected Majority Leader has indeed tried to establish  
 and appellate defender...an appellate defender system in  
 Illinois which is a necessary thing. It's also a fact that



JUN 9 1975

137

the United States Supreme Court as long ago as 1972 came up with a decision called Arter Singer vs. California. In which they said the state had the obligation...the obligation, not only to provide defense for felons, people accused of felony but also for people accused of misdemeanors which they might go to jail. Now many of the counties in Illinois have not even been able to afford to implement that kind of system. It's true this doesn't provide for more public defenders but you all know that higher salaries will, in fact, provide for more professional, more experienced people who will be willing to stay on. It is a good Bill as it stands, it'll be a far superior Bill if we can add this to it. I don't see any reason in the world why we can't have the same degree of advocacy on the defense as well as the prosecution. I'm reminded to the days when I used to be in the Navy and I used to go on a court martial. We always had the best officers put on their as prosecutors and they always put the beginners on there in defense. Our system of justice in this nation can't afford that kind of imbalance. Many of us here are aware of the Commission on Maximal Standards Criminal Justice Standards and Goals which very clearly has come out as a part of the national study with a absolute statement for the total need for improving the quality of defense of the indigent in this nation. Here's our chance here in Illinois to do it. It's a shame in a certain way that the sponsor of the Bill who's in favor of the subject is indeed so highly respected and powerful in this body that he can decide that somebody should put in another Bill rather than mess up his. This is a good subject, Representative Shea, you know it. We can pass your Bill with this amendment on it, I'm sure of it and I think you are too. I wish you could adjust your opinion sir so that we could in fact pass a high quality piece of legislation out of this House to improve the criminal justice system both prosecutorially and in defense."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bradley: "The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk, to explain his vote."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, despite the fact that the freshman Legislator from the Sixth District castigated the Chadwick slab, I won't hold her responsible for the \$2,000,000 that Wolf Road is going to get in her district and I will vote aye."

Bradley: "The lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania, to explain her vote."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just wanted to point out that I'm not the freshman Legislator who castigated the Chadwick slab. I do ask for some more green lights up there. I think that if we are sending a Bill to the Governor asking for improvement in the justice system we need to send a balanced Bill to the Governor. As I said before I think that adequate reimbursement for prosecutors is very important just as adequate reimbursement for Members of the General Assembly is important. We know that as we increase the salaries of the Members of the General Assembly we induce highly qualified people to run for Membership in this Body. As we increase salaries of prosecutors and defenders we induce highly qualified people to come to those positions to prosecute and to defend and to take care of reducing the horrendous spiraling crime situation that we have right now in the State of Illinois. And Mr. Speaker, I am respectfully am going to request a verification of the negative votes."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't usually rise twice on the same Bill even when it's my own but it seems to me that the distinguished Majority Leader certainly has enough clout to prevail upon the Governor, who we all know is a reasonable man, to believe that what is sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander. Now let me tell



the people of this House who's serving as the assistant public defender. In my area, for example, probably better than 75% of the staff is made up of University of Illinois law students. And they don't receive any money. They do a great job. But how much time can a law student take to serve as assistant public defender? Very little if he's going to get an education because the law is a jealous mistress and it requires most of his time. Who else is serving as an assistant public defender? Well, most of the people that are serving are receiving very little salary so they practice law on the side. Now in answer to the gentleman from Cook who raised the question of money let me say this to you. If you were a young struggling attorney, where would you give your priorities of time? Would you give it to your job as assistant public defender which is going to pay you about \$3,000 a year or would you spend most of your time in your private law practice where you know you might be able to make 12 or \$15,000 on the side? I think the answer is self evident. You're going to spend as much time as you can on private practice and as little time as possible serving as assistant public defender. The simple fact of the matter is that the assistant public defenders offices are woefully understaffed and woefully underpaid. And I don't think \$5,000,000 is a lot of money. I think it's a drop in the bucket. Now if you'll look at the state's attorneys office for just a moment, you'll find out if you're state's attorney you're prohibited from practicing law on the side. And it's very easy to understand why because you're paid enough as a state's attorney that you can afford to live on what you're making as state's attorney. And that is exactly the question that is raised by Mrs. Catania in this Amendment. Are we going to pay these people enough so they can afford to do the work that the Supreme Court says that we're required to do, are we going to leave



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



on...on a niggardly salary making only a pittance where they will spend their time with their private affluent client and let the poor people go down the tube. It's just that simple an aye vote is a vote for the poor people. It's a vote for equal justice under law and I frankly would be surprised if a single lawyer could vote no on this Bill if he truly supported the concept of equal justice under the law."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The...have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take...have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we... 70 ayes, 72 nays, 1 voting present. The lady has asked for verification of the negative votes. We'll verify the affirmative first. The lady request the polling of the absentees. The Clerk will call the absentees."

Selcke: "Arnell, Jane Barnes, Bluthardt, Brandt, Choate, Collins, DiPrima, Ewing, Friedrich, Greiman, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Huff, Jaffe, Emil Jones, LaFleur, Leon, MacDonald, Mahar, Mann, McLendon, McPartlin, Palmer, Pierce, Rayson, Rose, Ryan, Schneider, Schuneman, Stearney, E. G. Steele, Tuerk, Winchester and Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "We will now verify the affirmative roll call."

Selcke: "Anderson, Beaupre, Borchers, Byers, Caldwell, Carroll, Catania, Chapman, Cunningham, Daniels, Davis, Deuster, Downs, Duff, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, ...es, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giorgi, Hart, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Dave Jones, Katz, Keller, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, Lauer, Leinenweber, Lucco, Lundy, Madison, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, Meyer, Mudd, Mugalian, O'Daniel, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Reed, Richmond, Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schoeberlein, Sharp, Skinner, Cissy Stiehl, Stubblefield, Telcser, Tipsword, Wall, Walsh, Washburn, Washington, Willer, Williams, Young."

Bradley: "What purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon, arise?"

Leon: "How am I recorded?"



Bradley: "How is he recorded?" JUN 9 1975

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Leon: "Vote me no please."

Bradley: "Are there questions of the affirmative vote? The gentleman from Cook, Majority Leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Is Mr. Borchers on the Floor?"

Bradley: "Mr. Borchers on the Floor? He's out of his seat. How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Shea: "Mr. Byers on the Floor?"

Bradley: "Mr. Byers on the Floor? He's in the back of the room."

Shea: "Mr. Epton?"

Bradley: "Mr. Epton on the Floor? He's not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Shea: "Is Mr. Deuster on the Floor?"

Bradley: "Mr. Deuster on the Floor? He's not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Shea: "Mr. Klosak."

Bradley: "Mr. Klosak? Klosak is in the back of the room."

Shea: "Mr. Lauer."

Bradley: "Mr. Lauer in the Chambers? Not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Shea: "Mr. O'Daniel."

Bradley: "Mr. O'Daniel? Is he in the Chambers? Not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Shea: "Mr. Schisler."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bradley: "Mr. Schisler in the Chambers? He's in his seat."

Shea: "Mr. Sharp."

Bradley: "Mr. Sharp, is he in the Chambers? He's not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Shea: "Mr. Katz."

Bradley: "Mr. Katz is here."

Shea: "Houlihan, Jim."

Bradley: "Jim Houlihan on the Floor? He's not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Shea: "G. L. Hoffman."

Bradley: "There he is, right over there."

Shea: "That's all the questions, thank you."

Bradley: "Thank you. The Clerk will call the..."

Shea: "Could you tell me what there is now in affirmative votes?"

Bradley: "On the affirmatives, have we got, Jim Houlihan is back on the floor, place him back on the roll."

Shea: "Mr. Skinner, there?"

Bradley: "Mr. Skinner here? Is he in the Chambers? He's in the back of the room, back of the Chambers. There are 64 ayes and 73 nays. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Klosak, ...what purpose do you arise?"

Klosak: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "I think they left you on..."

Selcke: "The...the gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Klosak: "Please change that to nay."

Bradley: "Change the gentleman's vote to no. Jones...Emil Jones wants to be recorded as voting no. What is that... you were...Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The count now..."

Bradley: "...Purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAvoy, rise?"

McAvoy: "How am I recorded?"



Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

McAvoy: "Change that to no."

Bradley: "Change his vote to no. Mr. Wall, the gentleman from Cook what purpose..."

Wall: "Change mine to no."

Bradley: "Change his vote to no. Mr. Grotberg, wishes to be recorded as voting aye. ...from not voting to aye."

Selcke: "Grotberg...no he's voting no."

Bradley: "Grotberg from voting no to aye. I don't think Mr. Borchers was taken off of the roll."

Selcke: "Yes, he was taken off."

Bradley: "Put him back on. For what purpose does the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, arise?"

Ryan: "I'd like to be recorded as aye, please."

Bradley: "Ryan votes aye. Put Deuster's back on the roll. Speaker votes no. There are now 65 verified aye votes. And 70 no votes...75 nay votes. The Clerk will call the negative votes."

Selcke: "Jane Barnes, Beatty, Berman, Birchler, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Coffey, Craig, D'Arco, Darrow, Deavers, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Hill, Ron Hoffman, Hudson, Jacobs, Kane, Kelly, Klosak, Kozinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Londrigan, Luft, Madigan, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McAuliffe, McGrew, McMaster, Merlo, Miller, Molloy, Mulcahey, Nardulli, Neff, Patrick, Peters, Pouncey, Rigney, Schlickman, Schraeder, Sevcik, Shea, Simms, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Totten, Van Duyne, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, White, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Question of the negative votes. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, based on the representation of the Sponsor of Senate Bill 980 that this Amendment would kill the Bill, I'd like to be changed from nay to aye."



Bradley: "Gentleman has request to...change his vote from no to aye. Record him as voting aye. The gentleman from...Miss Catania and Mr. Walsh's desk with questions on the negative vote."

Catania: "Representative Boyle."

Bradley: "Representative Boyle in the Chambers? Not in his chair. How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Berman."

Bradley: "Representative Berman is in the back of the Chamber."

Catania: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Bradley: "Representative Brinkmeier is in his chair."

Catania: "Representative Calvo."

Bradley: "Representative Calvo is standing next to his seat."

Catania: "Representative Coffey."

Bradley: "Representative Coffey, is he in this Chamber?"

Representative Coffey, how is he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Catania: "Representative D'Arco."

Bradley: "Representative D'Arco in the Chambers? He's in the back Chambers, center aisle."

Catania: "Representative Marovitz."

Bradley: "Put Representative Coffey back on the roll he's here at the...Speaker's table. Pardon."

Catania: "Representative Marovitz."

Bradley: "Representative Marovitz in the Chambers? Representative Marovitz is not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Garmisa."

Bradley: "Representative Garmisa is in his chair."

Catania: "Representative Jacobs."

Bradley: "Representative Jacobs in the Chambers? Not in his



chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Yourell."

Bradley: "Representative Yourell in the Chambers? That's  
Ewell in the Chambers, Yourell is not in his chair. How  
is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Maragos."

Bradley: "Representative Maragos is in his chair."

Catania: "Representative Patrick."

Bradley: "Representative Patrick is in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Bradley: "Representative Von Boeckman, is he in the Chambers?  
Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record. Von Boeckman..."

Catania: "Representative Hudson."

Bradley: "Representative Hudson...he's living in his chair."

Catania: "Representative Leon."

Bradley: "Representative Leon in the Chambers? Back to...aisle."

Catania: "Representative Ron Hoffman."

Bradley: "Representative Ron Hoffman. Is he in the Chambers?  
Not in his chair. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Friedland."

Bradley: "Representative Friedland, how is the gentleman  
recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Totten."

Bradley: "Representative Totten in the Chambers? Representative  
Boyle has returned. Put him back on the roll. ...no...  
Representative Totten is not in his seat. How is the



gentleman recorded?"

JUN 9 1875

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Winchester."

Bradley: "Representative Winchester, how is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Bradley: "The gentleman...turn Mr. Winchester on, he wishes to be recorded as voting aye."

Catania: "Representative Leverenz."

Bradley: "Representative Leverenz in his chair? Is he in the Chambers? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record."

Catania: "Representative Schraeder."

Bradley: "Representative Schraeder in the Chambers. Not in his chair, how is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the record. Further questions? For what purpose does the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre, arise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Beaupre: "I'd like to change that aye to no."

Bradley: "Change it from aye to no. For what purpose does the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Steele, arise?"

Steele: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Steele: "Please record me as aye."

Bradley: "Record him as aye."

Catania: "Representative Deavers."

Bradley: "Representative Deavers is in the back of the room... rear of the room. Further questions of the negative vote? Von...Boeckman is back in his chair, put him back on the



roll. ...Further questions?"

Catania: "No, I think that's all."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate. Wishes to be recorded as voting no. The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, I...you all ready, I think...do you want to change your vote? From no to aye."

Selcke: "...Does anybody else want to change?"

Bradley: "We'll give the Clerk a second to add up the total. On this question there are 68...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. McLendon, wishes to be recorded as voting no. Are there any other changes? ...The Clerk will take the record...on this question...this question there are 68 ayes, and there are 68 nays and this Amendment is...the gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Rigney, wishes to change his vote from no to aye. The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, wishes to change his vote from aye to no. On this question we now..."

Selcke: "Wait a minute...wait a minute...yea, 68 - 68."

Bradley: "We go back to 68 - 68, this Amendment having failed to receive a majority is hereby declared...O'Daniels... Oh, Mr. Peters, I'm sorry, for what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Peters: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Peters: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The Amendment is lost."

Speaker Redmond: "...3. Representative Shea. Any further Amendments? No further Amendments. Third Reading. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could I get the Bill called please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Tomorrow."

Shea: "There were no Amendments offered to it, it was on Third Reading, I brought it back..."

Speaker Redmond: "Beg your pardon. Give me the Bill. 980. Representative Walsh."

Selcke: "...Bill..."





Walsh: "Even though there were no Amendments, it was brought back to Second Reading and it had been the practice, Mr. Speaker, to hold the Bill for..."

Speaker Redmond: "The practice was that if there was a change that we would hold it for 24 hours, since there was no change we'll call it on Third Reading. All right...there was no change."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 980. An Act to foster career prosecutors and improve the criminal justice in this State and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and gentlemen of the House, I think everybody knows what's in this Bill, it provides for a supplement to the state's attorney, the assistant state's attorney who...throughout the State of Illinois the approximate cost of all of the assistant state's attorney were to receive the supplement would be almost \$9.8 million and I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Is the approximate cost of \$9.8 million in the budget?"

Shea: "Not to my knowledge."

Schlickman: "Is there an appropriation?"

Shea: "Is there an appropriation? Senator Roe told me there was. It's his Bill. I can't tell you."

Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, when I first saw a copy of Senate Bill 980, I read by the synopsis that it created the Career Prosecutor's Act of 1975. When I found that to be a rather exciting measure, so I opened the pages looking for provisions providing for merit selection and I found none. I looked in the Bill for provisions dealing with tenure. And I found none. And



I looked for provisions providing for continuing education and I found none. What I found, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, is simply a Bill providing for salary increases at the expense of the...State General Revenue Fund. Now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think it's fair to say and I think most Members can agree with this that money alone does not insure qualifications and money alone does not provide for increased dedication to duty. I think, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that what we have in this Bill called the Career Prosecutor's Act of 1975 is a subterfuge, it's window dressing, it's simply another diversion from the State's General Revenue Fund at a time when we can ill afford to take any more money from the General Revenue Fund to the detriment of the other needs of this State. And I therefore would encourage and solicit a no vote on Senate Bill 980."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; those opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? We'll take the record. Garmisa, aye. Clerk will take the record. On this question, 78 ayes, 21 no. The Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority...Representative Ebbesen, no...is hereby declared lost. 983."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 983. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 8 of Court Reporter's Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer. Take it out of the record. There's a change in our program for tonight. The parliamentarian is caught up in Cook County with the election contest so...and the Cities and Villages have scheduled a dinner for tonight so we'll work tonight until about 6:30 - quarter to seven and then adjourn for the evening but we will have Rules for tomorrow and I request that we don't schedule anything else for tomorrow evening so that we can have the Rules. Representative Madigan. It's in the... These are in the morning, 8 o'clock on,



if we have to make some kind of adjustment why we can do it but we're scheduled for a Floor Session tomorrow afternoon. 1 o'clock, yeah. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of an announcement the Appropriations I Committee met this morning and was supposed to reconvene tonight, I'd like to make an announcement that the Appropriations I Committee will not reconvene tonight. The meeting will be held on Wednesday morning at 8 o'clock in Room 114. That's June the 11th at 8 o'clock in the morning, we'll not meet tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "985. Representative G. L. Hoffman."

Selcke: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "985."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 985..."

Speaker Redmond: "Hoffman raised his hand, I thought the House was on fire. Take that out of the record. Now Representative G. L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, in reference to your announcement in regards to the Rules, there has been some discussion among Members and I would just like to throw it out for consideration of the Speaker, the Rules Committee, to some of us it doesn't make much sense at this stage of the game to get involved with the Rules, particularly with 60 Amendments proposed and all of this legislation ahead of us. I think perhaps a more propitious time to deal with permanent Rules might be some later date, we've been operating for 5 1/2 months now on temporary Rules and it doesn't seem to some of us to make a lot of sense to take up...this time and it might be well for us to reflect on our last 5 1/2 months of operating without the Rules and under the temporary Rules to make some rather significant changes in the way we operate the House. And so, I for one at least, raise some questions about whether it makes sense to deal with the Rules at this stage of the Legislative game."



Speaker Redmond: "The Speaker has the same reservations and maybe we can resolve it tomorrow but I didn't want it thought that we didn't at least consider it, so why don't we think it over and maybe we'll jointly come to some conclusion tomorrow."

Hoffman: "Thank you, I feel better."

Speaker Redmond: "986."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 986. Friedland. Is he here? Is he here, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Not here. Out of the record. Out of the record. 1005."

Selcke: "House Bill 1005. Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell on the Floor? Out of the record. 1030."

Selcke: "House Bill 1030. Washington."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1032. Representative Shea. Shea, 1032...."

Selcke: "Amend Illinois Highway Code. House...Senate Bill 1032. Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was put in at the request of the Department of Transportation. What it would do presently on relocation payments, there's a limitation of \$15,000 and on runt supplements \$4,000. This would allow the County Highway Department, or the State Department of Transportation or counties to go over that in rare exceptional cases. I know of no opposition to the Bill and I'd appreciate a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye-opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk...All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 113 ayes, 2 no and the Bill having received the constitutional majority...hereby declared passed. 1065."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1065. E. G. Steele. A Bill for an Act



to provide for the perpetuation of land survey monuments.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steele, E. G. Steele."

Steele: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1065 provides for the preservation of public monuments. Those monuments which have been placed at government expense and mark section lines and quarter section lines, at present time surveying crews locate these monuments they are kept more or less as a matter of their own private record. This Bill would require that these monuments which have been placed at government expense should be filed as a matter of public record when they're located by surveyors. It passed Committee by 17 to 0. I know of no opposition and I would ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question 128 ayes, no no, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 100...1066. Representative Pierce in the Chambers?"

Selcke: "Senate...Senate Bill 1066."

Speaker Redmond: "...Hold that one. Take that one out of the record. 1070."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1070. Geo-Karis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Selcke: "A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 2, 4, 7, 11 and support the Illinois Air Carriers Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that was recommended by the Joint Meetings and of the Illinois Board of Aeronautics / the Illinois Legislative Advisory Board to the Board of Aeronautics and ...what it does in essence is that it authorizes the Board to issue temporary certificates of public convenience and necessity, not to exceed one year, in order to permit



an air carrier to accept new markets and explore the economic feasibility of expanding air carrier service to more communities without incurring the delay and expense of full certification. And I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Representative Downs."

Downs: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I am voting present and in explaining my vote I am voting present because I am concerned whether the synopsis is correct. Which does it delete Ford regulations of persons receiving instructions. However, my present vote is not going to make a significant difference on that Board so I think it's academic and I won't take the House's time."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 aye, no nay, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1084."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1084. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative White, aye, on that last one. 1084. G. L. Hoffman. G. L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1084 deals with the State Board of Education. As you may remember House Bill 661 which I handled during the last Session established the State Board of Education, what this Bill does is remove some of the language in reference to interim powers they had prior to January of 1975. Clarifies the fact that we were talking about 6 year terms and provides that people will serve their 6 year term until a...a successor is appointed or confirmed which is fairly consistent with our dealing with commissions. It changes the language to refer to a chair person for the Board as opposed to chairman and provides that the State Superintendent of Education will serve as the Executive Officer of the Board which is really clarifying language



and that is the...basically the extent of the Bill and I would ask for your support of Senate Bill 1084."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Kozubowski, aye. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 aye, 1 no. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1085."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1085. Kane. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill simply reduces the percentage of tax money that collectors retain from 1½ to a half percent for our distribution to local units of government. There is no opposition to the Bill, I'd ask for an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question 127 ayes, 7 nays and the Bill having received...constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1087."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1087. Brinkmeier. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, presently there are approximately 7,000 temporary classroom facilities being utilized in Illinois and the School Code does not require either the Regional Superintendent or the Illinois Office of Education to inspect these temporary facilities during the first five years after construction. Now under the existing regulations after five years, then the Illinois Office of Education must inspect them annually. But in the interval and since most of these temporary facilities are of strange construction which is not permitted on a permanent basis under the existing Code, these facilities



are not being...inspected at all and of course there is always a danger for the youngsters attending school. Now what this Bill would do, it would require that the Regional Superintendent would inspect the temporary as well as the permanent facilities during the first five years. This Bill passed out of the Senate Education Committee without a dissenting vote. It didn't receive a dissenting vote in the House Education Committee and I solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Simms."

Simms: "Have a question of the Sponsor if he'll yield."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Simms: "Bob, does this pertain to only public schools or nonpublic schools as well?"

Brinkmeier: "Public schools as I understand it."

Simms: "Just public."

Brinkmeier: "As I understand it, yes."

Simms: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Meyer: "Does this Bill apply to the City of Chicago mobile?"

Brinkmeier: "Yes, I believe it does. There are approximately 7,000 of these temporary facilities in the State of Illinois today so I'm sure they're..."

Meyer: "This does apply to mobile?"

Brinkmeier: "Yes."

Meyer: "And when are they going to inspect them?"

Brinkmeier: "At the present time they...do not have to be inspected and...therein lies the problem. Temporary facilities are not required to be inspected during the first five years of their use and as you know most of them are...the frame material which is highly...probably highly combustible and probably isn't too safe. Now what we're saying is that when the Regional Superintendent as he inspects the permanent buildings each year, that he





simply inspects the temporary as well to be sure that they are safe."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions?"

Meyer: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor indicate by voting aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 aye, no nay and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1090."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1090. Just a minute. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Bill was put in at the request of the Illinois Office of Education. It has been reviewed by the School Board Association and they have no opposition to the Bill. What it does, it gives the certified teachers, it allows them to be hired before the certified substitute. It came out of the Senate Education Committee without a no vote; 21 to nothing out of the Elementary and Secondary Committee of the House and I urge your yes vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Walsh: "The question that I heard brought up on this Bill was what about the situation where you have a teacher who specializes in history and a vacancy in physical education would this require that / certified teacher be hired before someone who is not certified but who...has some expertise in physical education?"

Anderson: "If he..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "If he or she should have a certified teaching degree, yes; not a certified substitute degree. It gives a certified teacher the jump on the certified substitute."



As you know, a certified substitute, all they have to do is have a B. A. degree and they can teach anywhere from kindergarden through 12th grade with no teaching background at all as far as courses in college are concerned."

Walsh: "Well,.....to the Bill, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Walsh: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems..."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the gentleman order."

Walsh: "It seems to me that this, like so many of the Bills that we have place a...an unreasonable restriction upon the school board and the requirement that they do something that we here, the Great Schoolboard in the Sky, as we have been referred to order these school boards to hire a teacher who is not qualified to teach a particular subject even though we have available someone who is far better qualified but...unfortunately not certified. So I...I would suggest a no vote. I don't see any particular need for this and I can see where it would do some harm in that kids would be taught by someone who is not as well qualified as someone else who is available. So I urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative G. L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let me point out that this Bill amends Section 21-9 of Chapter 122 of the Illinois Revised Statutes and deals specifically with substitute certificates. That means someone coming in to substitute for a regular teacher. This has nothing to do with employment of regular teachers. And what it does is that the teacher of the substitute who is hired will be the most qualified person available. That's all it says. It says you will hire a substitute who is fully certified if they are available and if they're not you hire the next down the line. Now any reasonable, responsible school board would probably approach it that way but as you know the State has the responsibility for setting standards and giving the...given the state of



dearth of the...or the excess, I should say, of teachers available. This seems to be a reasonable and proper change in terms of upgrading the qualifications of those who are dealing with...young people and I would encourage your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have a question for the Sponsor. Representative Anderson, as I understand, the usual procedure if a teacher suppose calls in sick of a morning then the school board for the school administration does the best job they can to find someone on the substitute list to come in and take the place. Now would they have to exhaust all of the certified teachers in a particular community or county or what before they could go to the substitute list, if this Bill is passed."

Anderson: "Whoever is on their roll if they have certified teachers on the roll they'd have to go down that roll first before they'd get a certified substitute, that's correct."

Leinenweber: "Now, Mr. Speaker, very, very briefly on the Bill, it seems to me that this...one of the importance for the normal substitute teacher requirement is a certain amount of flexibility which will enable the school administration to hire the best individual suited for a particular class as quickly as possible. I think when we put a qualification like this we are going to hamstring the administration of the schools and I would have to urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, one of the previous speakers forgot, this is the little boys and girls speech he gave last week. If certification has any value at all, it relates to the capabilities of the teacher who supposedly had gone a...an approved statewide program and approved student teaching program and had then also been eligible to teach. Now this is the thing that



ought to be understood; all you're asking is that before you undertake the temporary or part time or substitute employment, rather substitute employment, before anyone else is considered, if there are qualified substitutes who have a certificate, they should be considered first. You're talking about the person who has been certified by the State through the approved program. I would solicit an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

McClain: "Representative Anderson, would this also apply to private schools?"

Anderson: "No, just public schools."

McClain: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? ...All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ray, aye. Shea, aye. Ewell. On this question, 118 ayes, 10 no. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1105."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1105. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative G. L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the synopsis of the Bill is correct except that we have added one Amendment in the House to provide that the Comptroller, the Director of Finance, can designate another officer or employee from the same Agency to serve on the State Employees Retirement System Board. However, this person must be designated for at least a year's period of time. This is requested by the Pension Committee here in the House, we adopted it here on the Floor and I would ask for your support of Senate Bill 1105."



Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 aye, 1 no. And the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1106. ...106, Representative Merlo."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1106. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to State employee compensation claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1106 gives the Department of Finance Division of Risk Management statutory authority for the handling of Workmen's Compensation Programs for the State of Illinois Employees. This program was originally with the Department of Personnel but however it has the support of both the Department of Personnel and the Bureau of the Budget. They agreed that the expertise within the Department of Finance is much better. The exchange was proposed by the General Assembly State Property Insurance Study Commission and is supported by the Department of Finance or the Department of Personnel and the Department of Finance. And I will appreciate your support of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question: 131 aye, no nay. And the Bill having received the constitutional majority ...hereby declared passed. 1122."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1122. Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out of the record, request of the Sponsor. All right. 1139. Representative Mahar I guess is still in that election contest. 1147."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1147. Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Selcke: "A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation



to court of spouse and...dependent children and so forth.  
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent or leave of the House to hear Senate Bill 944, Senate Bill 945, Senate Bill 953, Senate Bill 1005, Senate Bill 1122 and 1147...considered as a package. These are all Legislative Advisory Committee recommended Bills. They were on the consent calendar and I have talked to the people who had objections but rather than going...that will remove their objection from them but rather going to...consent calendar I'd rather call them as one package as agreed to by all the Legislative Advisory Committee and also the Department of Public Aid."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the gentleman have leave? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just a parliamentary question. Are we going to be able to cast different votes on each one of these if we come up to request?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. Yes. You'll come up to the podium and indicate. Hearing no objections, consent...leave is granted to hear - what was it - 1147, 944, 945, 953, 1005, 1147, 1122 as a package. Representative Campbell."

Selcke: "Let me read them first."

Campbell: "That was 1005 rather than 1405."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 944. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 945. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 953. An Act to amend Section 8 of an Act concerning fees and salaries, henceforth. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill... 1005. An Act in relation to medical payments by the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1122. An Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1147. An Act to revise the law in relation to support...spouses



and dependent children and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions?"

Campbell: "Yes. Yes, Mr. Speaker, these are all Bills that were listed on the memorandum sheet that I sent to you today. As I say they have been approved by the Legislative Advisory Committee and by the Department of Public Aid. They passed the Senate 40 to 1. Out of Counties and Townships 13 to nothing. And I'd appreciate your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Further discussion? Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Sponsor yield for a question? Representative Campbell, on that list you sent around today there is ...four Bills that didn't come up, is that correct?"

Campbell: "There are 5 Bills that didn't come up."

Anderson: "Five. 943, 946, 947, 951 and 1460. Is that correct?"

Campbell: "That's correct."

Anderson: "Thank you, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "...further. Representative Giorgi.

Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Giorgi: "Are these Bills approved by the Department of Public Aid and the Children and Family Services that they affect, Charlie?"

Campbell: "They're approved by the Department of Public Aid. Now the Department of Children and Family Services I believe, has no objection. There was one Bill to which they objected and that was the one where we requested other Departments to come in before the Legislative Advisory Committee that had a clear and direct impact on policy or administration of the fiscal procedures. And those objections have been cleared up."

Giorgi: "Was there any fiscal notes asked for in any Bills?"

Campbell: "I didn't hear you, Zeke?"

Giorgi: "Is there any fiscal notes asked for in any of these



JUN 9 1975

163

bills?"

Campbell: "There is no money involved in any of these."

Giorgi: "But they are not all on the same subject, right?"

Campbell: "They are all related to the Department of Public Aid."

Giorgi: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Lucco"

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I was a member of the Committee on Counties and Townships that heard these Bills very thoroughly and I certainly would recommend their passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further...Representative Caldwell:"

Caldwell: "Uh...I wanted to ask the sponsor a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Caldwell: "Representative Campbell, I discussed one Bill that had...is that Bill...that Bill is not included."

Campbell: "That is not included."

Caldwell: "Thank you."

Campbell: "In fact, that one hasn't come out of committee yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterwaihthe."

Satterwaihthe: "Would the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Satterwaihthe: "Can you tell me, have any of these Bills been amended in the House?"

Campbell: "Have they what?"

Satterwaihthe: "Been amended in the House?"

Campbell: "They...uh...were amended in committee but no amendments were offered on the floor."

Satterwaihthe: "Would you designate to me which those Bills are that have been amended on the House...in the House?"

Campbell: "Uh...yes, 944 and that was to...uh...uh...uh...to take care of any objections from the Department of Public Health simply said have a clear and direct impact on policy administration or fiscal procedure. And that was their amendment which we accepted."

Satterwaihthe: "And none of the other Bills have been amended





JUN 9 1975

here?"

Campbell: "Uh...just a minute."

Speaker Redmond: "Calendar indicates that 944 and 945..."

Campbell: "945 was also amended and this simply added after the word 'regular' or 'special' that was pertaining to a meeting."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions?"

Campbell: "953 was not amended."

Satterwaithe: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Question is shall...Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "One quick question of the sponsor, Mr. Speaker. What was the re-definition of this court obligation of spouses toward each other for parents toward their children?"

9...1147. The synopsis says re-defines support obligations toward parents and parents toward their children."

Campbell: "I'll go into a bit of explanation of 1147. This Bill amends numerous sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code which pertains to the establishment and enforcement of child and spouse support obligations on behalf of Public Aid recipients. It also amends related acts, the Divorce Act, the Separate Maintenance Act, the Non-Support of Spouse and Child Act, to clarify the procedures under this Act which permits payment directly to the Illinois Department of Support Payment supported in behalf of the recipient. It was amended to state that these collected will be deposited in the Public Assistance Recovery Trust Fund rather than the Special Purposes Fund. That fees collected will be reasonable rather than based on income and that a parent is not liable for a child under age 21. If it can be determined that a minor age 18 or over has established a consistent pattern of independent living arrangements, the parent is not liable for that child. I might also say that after three committee amendments the Bill had to be called back to Second Reading and those



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

amendments tabled and amendments #4, #5, #6 were adopted. The original amendments were tabled because they were not adopted in correct order."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Question is shall these Bills pass. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Anyone desire to vote differently on any of the Bills come down to the Clerk's well and indicate the way you desire to vote on individual Bills. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 116 'aye', no 'nay'. These Bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. 1152."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1152. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Uh...Thank you Mr. Speaker. I wonder if we could have leave to hear 1153. These...uh...Bills...uh...aren't necessarily companion Bills but they do relate to the same subject."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the gentleman have leave? What was the number of the Bill?"

Neff: "1153 as well as 1152."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. Representative Neff."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1153. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Neff: "Uh...thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1152 is to try to get the state together to get a 20-year master plan. We've been kinda running helter skelter on any type of...uh...long range program. Now...uh...under this type of legislation that the DOT would be required to publish and deliver to the Governor and General Assembly by July 1, 1976 and every five years thereafter a formerly approved 20-year plan, for all types of transportation. Senate Bill 1153 is an



JUN 9 1975

effort, and these Bills are for the Legislature, they're written up for that purpose...uh...is to require the DOT to give a list of improvements to be presented within one month of the Governor's budget. Now we've...uh...set this up so to give them plenty of time on this. Right now the law says that this must be presented to the General Assembly...uh...by June the 30th. Next year, they would be required...uh...uh...report on the first Wednesday of June and thereof the following year the first Wednesday in May and then from thereafter it would be the first Wednesday in 1978. This would give the Legislators an opportunity to know what the plans are for that year and I...I would hope that we would have a favorable vote on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Question is shall these Bills pass? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "On Senate Bill 1152, what is the effective date of the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "The effective date of the Bill is July 1, 1976...uh...Ted."

Lechowicz: "Well, it says it requires a master plan for the Department of Transportation and a written submission of the General Assembly and 20 year plan every 5 years and annual updates, I really don't understand the working of that Bill. That's why I asked for the effective date. When is the first report up?"

Neff: "Uh...Ted, that would be every five years they would update it but they would have a 20 year plan; now, I don't think there's going to be any problem because in their March newsletter they said they would have a plan this year, yet, so I think we're asking for it this time is not going to put any bind on them and we've been going helter skelter...uh...on any kind of a long range plan and I think it will be a help to us as legislators and...uh...also to DOT."



Lechowicz: "Well, I don't know about that helter skelter. What about the...uh...are there any penalty provisions in the Bill?"

Neff: "Any what?"

Lechowicz: "Any penalty provisions in the Bill?"

Neff: "No, there is not."

Lechowicz: "Then, really, the Bill doesn't mean anything."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Based....I'd like to ask a question on 1153, if I may?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Neff: "Yes."

Lechowicz: "Are there any penalties in that Bill because what you are doing is requiring that the road program be submitted to the General Assembly almost at the same time that the budget is being request and I think it is a good provision. I was wondering what are...if there are any teeth in the Bill itself?"

Neff: "Uh...no, this came out of the Senate...uh...Senator Harris sponsored it and the leadership over there and to my knowledge it has no teeth in it, Ted."

Lechowicz: "Alright, I'll give it an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 100 'ayes', 6 'nays'. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1160."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1160. A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out of the record. 1165."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1165. An Act to Vacate Extinguished Ban Release Easements for Highway Purposes, Cumberland County. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 1152 and 1153 were heard together if I didn't say, these Bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. 1165, Representative Keller"



**The appearance of the   |   images**

**following this page is due to**

**Poor Quality Original Documents**

**and not the scanning or filming processes.**

**Com Microfilm Company  
(217) 525-5860**

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of ~~of the~~ House.

This is...just vacates a highway easement to ~~to attract~~ land in Cumberland County and I'd appreciate a ~~fa~~ favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Ready for the ~~a~~ question?"

Question is shall this Bill pass. Represent ~~ative~~ Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Is the state receiving any consider ~~ation~~ for this vacation?"

Keller: "For this vacation? Uh...you asked some ~~thing~~ there Gene, I really don't know, I don't have the ~~Bill~~ here in front of me and I...best as I remember there ~~is no~~ compensation. Oh, wait just a minute, Jack ~~has~~ that now. \$1400. There is, excuse me."

Schlickman: "Is this based on an appraisal?"

Keller: "I think it's based on the evaluation ~~of the~~ land when they took it from the owner, he had the ~~first~~ right to take it back."

Schlickman: "May I ask one more question, Mr. ~~Speaker~~?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Does the Department of Transportation ~~support~~ this Bill?"

Keller: "Yes."

Schlickman: "OK, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? ~~Question~~ shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote 'aye', ~~Opposed~~ vote 'no'. All voted who wish? Clerk will take the ~~record~~ 124 'ayes', no 'nay's, the Bill having received the ~~constitutional~~ majority is hereby declared passed. 1173."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1173. An Act to Vacate ~~Extinguished~~ Ban Release Easements for Highway Purposes, Way ~~the~~ County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative O'Daniels."

O'Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. ~~Senate~~ Bill 1173 creates an Act to vacate a highway easement ~~held~~ by the D



in Wayne County upon payment of \$200. This is a little strip of land that is twenty feet wide and four hundred foot long and it was acquired back in 1954 during the re-surfacing process on Route 15."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 131 'aye', no 'nay'. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1181."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1181. Shea. A Bill for an Act Making an Appropriation for the Painting of the Portrait of the President of the Senate, Cecil A. Partee. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill authorizes \$2500 for the painting of the picture of the President of the Senate. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to rise and ask this question but Representative Shea, isn't there already one President... uh...photograph...painting of the President...uh...President of the State Senate, are they starting a new practice now?"

Shea: "There was a painting when he was President Pro-Tem of the Senate in 1971."

Simms: "Well, I thought it has been the practice with the President of the Senate as well as the Speakers of the House as they add each term...uh...to their individual records that they, an addition is placed as far a notation on the bottom of the picture rather than having an additional portrait. I just raise this point, because in the case of some Presidents of the Senate or some Speakers of the House, you might have five or six pictures, it could be a possibility. I just raised that point."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."



JUN 9 1975

Shea: "I'd appreciate a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 'aye', 1 'no'. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1184."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1184. An Act to amend Section II of an Act to Authorize for a Period of Time Public Corporation Issued Bonds and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House."

I would like to point out the calendar description is not exactly accurate, the Senate amendment really what this does is it raises the interest rate from 7 to 8% on the...uh... amount of interest on bonds and other securities issued by a governmental unit. 8% has been, generally, a compromise figure in all the amendments requiring any adjustments on the interest rate and I move for a favorable roll call on Senate Bill 1184."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "What is meant by public corporations?"

Williams: "Well...uh...municipalities and so forth."

Schlickman: "This Bill as it was originally introduced was a repealer."

Williams: "Uh...yes, now actually what this does...the Senate amendment...do you have the Senate amendment, Representative Schlickman? The Senate Amendment to it actually...uh... deletes the repeal and the repealer and it...uh...adds the word 'amend' of Section II and then Section II says notwithstanding the provisions of any other law to the contrary, any public corporation may agree or contract to pay interest on bonds or other evidence of indebtedness





and tax anticipation warrant issued pursuant to law  
at an interest rate or rates not greater than 8% per annum "

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for a  
question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Dunn: "Perhaps I wasn't listening closely enough, is there or  
is there not a limitation on the interest rate for which  
bonds can be sold under this Bill?"

Williams: "At the present time, it's 7% and this would amend  
it to 8%."

Dunn: "The synopsis is incomplete, it indicates no limitations."

Williams: "Right, right, the synopsis is not correct. The  
Senate amendment repealed everything after the enacting  
clause."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Question is ...  
Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if I might ask the sponsor a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner: "Is this a Bill to try to make all the types of  
debts that public governments have...that governments  
have at 8% to equalize all the maximums at one level?"

Williams: "Well, this has been kind of any of these amendments  
that we've heard here in the last couple of weeks has all  
been to raise it to 8%. The reason for this, there was  
just a recent bond issue in...where was it...Country Club  
Hills and they had a bond issue of \$3,450,000, it was a  
revenue bond issue and they even took out insurance and  
they had a AAA rating and even with that the lowest bid  
on it was at 7.2 so no one is picking these up at 7."

Skinner: "I'm wondering why you include tax anticipation  
warrants because in my experience as County Treasurer when  
the rates were substantially higher than they are now, I  
don't think I ever had to pay more than 5% which was in



effect 10% for the banks that they were in the highest tax bracket. Why do you think the tax anticipation warrants have to be so high?"

Williams: "Well this seems to be going along with about what every, you know everything is going at."

Skinner: "You mean there is no differential, you think, in the...the interest that would have to be paid between a long term bond and a short term tax anticipation warrant? One would not be more secure than the other and therefore warrant a lower interest maximum perhaps?"

Williams: "Apparently that's not what's happening. They're just not picking these up and this came from a legal opinion here from the Municipal League, from Ancell, Glink, Diamond and Murphy, and they cite some recent cases here just within the last month or two and they're just not picking them up at 7%. They're coming in around 7.2, 7.3 something like that."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know how to speak on the issue really because I haven't been...I haven't had any indication from my area that there's any need to raise the interest rate and certainly on tax anticipation warrants there hasn't been but there may be a different situation different parts of the State."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Representative Williams, does...apply to the Illinois Housing Development Authority which presently can issue bonds at 8 1/2%? And the various industrial development authorities of this State which are at 8 1/2%?"

Williams: "The present statute on this is 7% and this raises it to 8%. It says any public corporation, a public corporation would be a municipality."

Meyer: "Well, do you think you could take this out of the record for a second because this House is spoken...I can name two, the Illinois Housing Development Authority...the interest rate was raised 8 1/2% by...by the House and the Senate and you're lowering it. I've got a Bill



in...relating to Municipal Industrial Development Authority which is at 8 1/2%."

Williams: "I don't believe that this would be the same..."

Meyer: "They're public corporations."

Williams: "I don't know what chapter yours is amending, this amends Chapter 74."

Meyer: "If you'd take it out just for a second, Jack."

Williams: "Yeah, I'll take it out of the record for a moment."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 1186."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1186. Kornowicz. Have you got it? A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "1186. Representative Kornowicz."

Selcke: "Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to impel gas companies to pay interest on deposits and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Kornowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen and Members of the Committee. This Bill 1186 amends an Act to compel the gas companies to pay the interest on deposits, changes the interest rate on deposits from 5 to 7%. I move for...for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Question for the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "What's the reason to raise it from 5 to 7. Is there any other convenient method for amounts of money, deposits of this size where they can get more than 4 1/2 to 5% now?"

Kornowicz: "Well, this has been agreed by the...gas company and this is a consumer Bill and we feel that they should receive 7% from their return."

Leinenweber: "You say, we, who is that?"

Kornowicz: "I'm talking about the...the Committee and also the...the Senate which voted on it when we got it...to a very favorable vote."

Leinenweber: "Well, what are the size of the deposits that



JUN 9 1975

are commonly held?"

Kornowicz: "Well, I mean...the various States throughout the country they...they're running around 7% and this has been checked out. We asked for 8% and it was agreed for 7%."

Leinenweber: "Very briefly on the Bill, I don't really see any reason why we ought to pass this Bill that purports to raise the cost of the gas company holding a deposit, it certainly raises it far beyond what the market rate according to savings and loan and the other thrift institutions pay on money. Increased cost of course goes into the cost of doing business and is passed on to the customers of the gas companies. So I really think you're making an accounting entry here but probably raising a little bit because of the...of the additional requirements of book-keeping and so forth. So I really don't see any reason for this Bill. Either vote...urge a present or...vote no."

Kornowicz: "In answer to that, I mean after all the gas companies receive the deposits which is in the vicinity of \$25 and more and they're holding that type of money and I think the...the consumers...is...should receive that 7%. I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in support of Senate Bill 1186. This House has passed a number of Bills in the general area of concern, one if you recall when a tenant deposits a security deposit with the landlord, in turn that Bill had passed where the landlord would have to pay the interest on the security deposit as required by law. And another Bill that passed out of this General Assembly was again in reference to escrow accounts, it provided a fair equity back to the consumer. This is a consumer piece of legislation. I think it doesn't impose any kind of a hardship as far as on the gas companies is concerned it's within the prevailing rate of interest and I encourage an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions or discussions?"



JUN 9 1975

Representative Meyers."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would also like to speak in favor of this Bill. They passed Bills out of here to raise the interest rates for our banks and savings and loans to 9 1/2% and this is a chance to help the people that pay these deposits. It's only raising it 1% from 7 to 8. And I would also encourage an aye vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, as one on the Public Utilities who heard this Bill, the gas companies themselves said this was all right. There is no opposition whatsoever to this Bill. And it roared through Committee and let's get it out of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record: On this question...Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 aye, 3 no. And the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1258."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1258. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 24-1.1 and so forth the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Kozubowski on the Floor? Representative Kozubowski. Take 1258, 1259, 1260 out of the record. 1285."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1285. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. Take 1285, 1289, 1291 out of the record. The Sponsor is not on the Floor. 13...1301 out of the record. 1369. Representative McGrew on the Floor."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1369. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."



JUN 9 1975

176

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 16... 1369 was voted out of the Senate 33 to 5. The House has passed a similar Bill Sponsored by Representative Mugalian and he and I are Sponsors of this Bill and we would ask for any questions and move for a considerable... favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Lauer: "Yes. By certification, Representative McGrew, you mean to say...has to be certified according to the State standards as teachers do?"

McGrew: "Not according to the same standards, there's similar procedure for certification, yes. They're certified school nurses."

Lauer: "What are the requirements that...that they would have to meet to be certified as school nurses?"

McGrew: "They're...have to have first of all a nursing degree. Secondly, a year's practice or similar thing to substitute teaching where they would have practice in the schools."

Lauer: "They would or would not have practice as school nurses?"

McGrew: "Not necessarily."

Lauer: "Not necessarily. And if they did not have practice they would still have to go through the certification process?"

McGrew: "That is correct."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Lauer: "It seems to me Mr. Speaker that...this is a Bill that unless a nurse is going to specifically handle classes is completely uncalled for. The purpose of the school nurse is to take care of the students in the...in the situation of illness, emergency accident or something of the sort until such time as they can be...until the student can be transported to a...regular health care



JUN 9 1975

facility or until a physician can be called. Unless there is a teaching procedure here it seems to me that this is further cluttering up of the certification standards and of the school...and I certainly would recommend a vote against this Bill....Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Borchers, do you desire recognition? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker,..."

Speaker Redmond: "I can't see Representative Schlickman somebody is standing in the way."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've always thought that a nurse was a nurse was a nurse and by this Bill adding an additional requirement for qualifications of a school nurse we are engaging in licensing. And it has been pointed out to this House previously licensing with a grandfather clause which is the case here simply sets up a monopoly and says as an inhibition on the free enterprise system. I think where licensing is bad in other cases, it's likewise bad here. The Sponsor has indicated nothing relative to...how children and schools would benefit by this additional requirement. It seems to me that it should be sufficient that the nurse be a registered nurse and that no additional requirements be made of that person. And for that reason I join with the gentleman from Logan in opposing this poor Bill and encouraging a nay vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is not...it's not...understanding to say that a nurse is a nurse is a nurse. There are surgical nurses, there are all...all different categories of nurses. All ready the State...70% of the school nurses in this State have the certification requirements. Other persons in nursing...in school nursing are taking the courses that will certify them to do the kind of work that school nurses do. It is a special kind of training



that's necessary not only to deal with children, as you know doctors specialize and they're called pediatricians, but it's also to be aware of the special public health problems that are involved in school situations. The purpose of this Bill is to upgrade the standards for those who will...hold these/very responsible positions. This Bill is prospective and those who are all ready school nurses need not qualify but is expected that almost all of them will want to. This is identical to a Bill that passed this Chamber by a very large margin about three weeks ago."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "One question. How many certified school nurses are there, Representative McGrew?" Are there enough to go around all these schools?"

McGrew: "Yes, there are."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, actually, just to clarify one point that one of the previous speakers made, actually what is going to be required if this Bill passes is that no school can employ a school nurse unless this nurse has a bachelor's degree. Now it doesn't have to be any special training in education, it doesn't have to be any special training above the RN but it just needs a bachelor's degree. It seems to me this is another example of locking the little red school house door so a person that's a qualified registered nurse, but because this person might have been the supervising nurse in a major hospital for say ten years in the operating room, but because this nurse has devoted all her time to nursing and has not gone on to get a BA degree that she would not be qualified to become a school nurse. And I think if schools are to teach democracy they should practice it and we should not be here saying that a registered nurse also has to have ...a 120 hours in a...in a college of her choice and I





...I think this Bill should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Roll call. I can't tell whether that's 2/3rds of those voting. Roll call. Requires 2/3rds of those voting. Have all voted who wish. Clerk will take the record. This question there's 107 ayes, 3 no. The motion carries. Representative Gaines, aye. Representative McGrew to close."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The only two objections that have been raised has said first of all that all nurses are the same. We feel quite obviously that the nurses should have a little bit of training in the field of health as well as dealing with the children and the paper work involved with the school systems. Secondly I found it rather ironic the only two gentlemen that objected to the licensing procedure were the same two gentlemen that hold certification from the Bar Association and don't seem to object to the restriction there. So I would ask for a favorable aye vote on...Senate Bill 1869."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to point out that this is identical to the House Bill that passed here 114 votes and I would ask that we get the necessary votes on the Board to pass this good Bill. It has the...agreement of the Nurses Association, the Office of Public...excuse me, the Office of Education and I would ask for the necessary 89..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Takes 89.

Representative Gaines, aye. Merlo, aye. Marovitz, aye. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.



On this question 103 ayes, 21 no. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1184. Are you ready on that one now? 1184. Representative Williams."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1184..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's been read a Third time. Was taken out of the record for...some discussion between Representative Williams and Representative Meyer, if I memory.. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. The objection has been removed and I would ask for a favorable roll call on Senate Bill 1184."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 aye, 6 nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of business is the Speaker's table and on the Speaker's table the order of priority appears House Joint Resolution 32."

Selcke: "What page is that?"

Speaker Redmond: "32. Representative Peters, I understand will have... 20. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution...Joint Resolution #32 is in fact offered by Representative Sevcik on behalf of the Legislative Investigating Commission and it does address itself to the problems that the Commission found in its hearings in regard to redlining and if I might, Mr. Speaker, just read one pertinent paragraph of the Resolution which sums up its intent. "Be it resolved by the House of Representatives that we memorialize the Congress, the Federal Home Loan Bank, United State Comptroller Currency to adopt laws, rules and regulations which will be compatible to the perspective amendments to the Illinois Savings and Loan Act and the Illinois Bank Act regarding



financial disclosure, retention of residential real estate loan records of relocation of savings and loan association and banks in the practice of redlining which have been proposed." I respectfully request the approval of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion?"

Peters: "No expenditure of money, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "...discussion. No questions? The question is shall House Joint Resolution 32 be adopted. Those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question 104 aye, 3 no. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Joint Resolution 40. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House ...Senate Joint Resolution 40 would authorize the Legislative Office...Audit Commission to initiate an investigation and into the policies, practices, and procedures involved in the use of State aircraft in their control. It came out of the Transportation Committee without a dissenting vote and I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall Senate Joint Resolution 40 be adopted? Representative Shea. Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question, there are 112 aye, 5...take the record...112 aye, 5 nay. The Bill...Resolution having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared adopted. On the order of time current, Representative Shea. He wants Senate Bill 24."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the Senate refused to concur with our Amendment to Senate Bill 24. I would move that we... would refuse to recede from our House Amendment and ask for the appointment of a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion. The question is, does the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1



to Senate Bill 24. All in favor say aye. Aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it. The House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 24. Senate Bill 66. Representative Friedland. We will give them to you. Representative Friedland on the Floor? Take it out of the record. I want one more here. Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 183. Take it out of the record. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "It has been called to my attention that we have a former Member in the back there the Appellate Court Judge Tobias Berry. Formerly the gentleman from... Messages from the Senate."

Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House and adopted the following Joint Resolution: House Joint Resolution #59 and concurred in by the Senate, June 9th, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker: I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bills of the following title: House Bill 65, 168, 187, 190, 191, 257, 333. Passed Senate June 9th, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker: I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House and adopted Amendments #1 and 2 to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 1489, concurred in by the Senate June 9, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker: I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred ...the House passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 323 together with the following Amendment the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House as amended passed Senate./June 9th 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker: I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 172



together with the following Amendment the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 9, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker: I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 165 together with the following Amendment the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 9, 1975. Kenneth Wright..."

Speaker Redmond: "We have the Resolutions so don't go away."

Selcke: "Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title House Bill 164 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 9, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Conference Committee for Senate Bill 24, Representative Kane, Representative Shea, Representative Birchler on the Democratic side. Will the Republicans give me some names or turn them in to the Clerk? Committee Report."

Selcke: "Mr. Lechowicz from Appropriations I which House Bill 289 is referred reported the same back as amended ...with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted as and Bill/amended do pass. No further Committee Reports."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, due to the fact that we have been advised that the Committee should all meet tomorrow morning instead of 2 p. m. I would like to have leave for the House to have the Revenue Committee meet at 9 a. m. at...Room 118 instead of 2 p. m. and that all the Rules regarding posting and other...be waived and on leave of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "On behalf of Representative DiPrima the Chairman of the Committee on Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation, that Committee will meet at 9 a. m. tomorrow morning in Room 122B of the Capitol Building. That's



Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard Representative Maragos' motion to...leave granted to suspend the appropriate rules and permit Revenue to meet tomorrow morning, 9 a. m. Any objections? Leave granted, Representative Maragos.

Agreed Resolutions...wait a minute. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I think Mr. Madigan was also announced for the same leave for his Committee because Mr. DiPrima also had the same problem I had so we might as well get that leave for his Committee as well."

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't realize a leave was requested but...on the behalf of Representative Madigan, or Representative DiPrima, Representative Madigan has moved for the suspension of the Rules permit Veterans Affairs to meet tomorrow morning at 9. Is that correct? Hearing none, leave granted. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, for Wednesday, June the 11th the calendar has Judiciary I Committee meeting from 2 to 6 p. m. That's an inadvertence but I don't know how to change it so I ask leave of the House to change that time Wednesday, June 11th, Judiciary I, 11 a. m. to 1 p. m."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave of the House. We have four resolution, we're trying to finish the Committee work in Executive Committee and these resolutions were assigned after our last posting. I'd like to have leave to hear House Bill 293, 306, House Resolution rather, 293, 306, 314 and 342. Also I'd like to have leave to...so that we can consider the drafting of auxiliary Bills on the subject matter, two subject matter. One brought to by Representative Beaupre on the matter of public education courses for beauty culture and one other was apologies to Glenn Schneider I wasn't aware that a House Bill relating to auxiliary



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

textbook services for nonpublic schools was assigned to his Committee and Representative Gene Barnes presented that subject to our Committee. Unbeknown to us we heard it last week and we would have to have posting for subject matter and therefore I would like to have leave. That Bill, the companion Bill, sailed out of this Committee, the Appropriation, rather this House, the Appropriation Bill and I think it's apparent that the sense of the House is to pass the companion Bill. So I'd like to have leave for those Bills and Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk advises me that there's one more, is that correct, Mr. Clerk? Will you indicate the number of the Bill?"

Selcke: "House Resolution 340."

Speaker Redmond: "...have that in your motion."

Matijevich: "Include that one, I wasn't aware of that one, yes. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing no objection, leave granted. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman hold that motion for just a moment, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you hold the motion for a moment? Representative Lauer wants to come over and consult with you."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I could say that I couldn't find Representative Lauer and I cleared it with Representative Shea and Representative Walsh. You weren't available, that's all been cleared."

Speaker Redmond: "He is contrite. No objections. Leave granted. Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 18B in order to hear Senate Bill 657 this Thursday June 12th before the Human Resources Committee. I understand that Representative Beaupre the House Sponsor of this Senate measure has checked on both sides of the aisle in regards to this."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave granted. Repre-



representative Mugalian. Objections have been raised.

Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Yes, that Bill had a poor hearing in Human Resources last week on a do pass motion, failed, and I don't know why we should hear the same Bill again.

I object."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman..."

Mugalian: "House Bill 657."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, it has been the practice in our Committee to post all of the postponed Bills for the final date and this Bill was heard as Representative Mugalian indicated, but there was no final action taken on it and it was my intention that it be posted for Thursday and...a clerical inadvertence that it wasn't. So I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 13B in order to post Senate Bill 657 for a hearing this Thursday."

Speaker Redmond: "The lady...Representative Downs."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, I was present at the Committee hearing and the Bill was defeated. I don't...don't understand what we're trying to do now...defeated..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Representative Downs, a do pass motion failed... failed but the Bill was not defeated. A do pass motion failing leaves the Bill in the Committee. It's only if the roll call is reversed or if there is a do not pass that the Bill would be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "The lady has moved for the suspension of the Rule and this requires 107. So it's Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now I was hoping the Sponsor of this Bill would not make this motion tonight until we had more time to talk about it. As Minority Spokesman of that Committee I was personally in a bind on the thing because I'm on the Council on Aging myself and took present position but even with my present vote the Bill still went down





I believe 9 to 8., in Committee. And there was a great deal of controversy over this Bill and...the Committee was pressed that evening and I agreed to the fact that the lady from Cook is suggesting that it was pressed. I just think it could wait and not be called tonight for re-consideration, maybe...would tomorrow be too late...

Representative Chapman. You have not talked to me about the Bill..."

Chapman: "No...I...I did not..."

Grotberg: "...Leader has...people...everybody but you have talked to me about the Bill and as a courtesy I would appreciate your holding it."

Chapman: "Okay, Representative Beaupre is the House Sponsor of the Bill and he had checked with Representative Washburn, I understood, so let's let wait then until tomorrow..."

Grotberg: "I'd apprec...even then we'll still be able to move if necessary..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes. Take that one in abeyance. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I had a question on that particular one. I guess I'll wait until tomorrow 'cause it's not out now but I've never heard of a Bill being reposted that had been defeated in any Committee. I think the proper motion would be discharge Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's not before us. We'll decide that when it comes. Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to announce that the Transportation Committee has a change in time and room number. Rather than 4 o'clock in A-1 tomorrow, we're to meet at 11 o'clock in Room 122B and we only have one Senate Bill to consider, that's Senate Bill 827 and I'd appreciate a prompt attendance."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, simply an announcement. Judiciary II will be meeting at 9 a. m. tomorrow morning in Room 114. The afternoon Session originally scheduled for 2 p. m.



has been rescheduled to 8 p. m. tomorrow night. Certain Bills are scheduled in the morning for House Sponsors; certain other Bills are scheduled for the Senate. For the...and for the evening Session and the Sponsor should be sure to check the calendar to see whether their Bill is scheduled for the 9 a. m. setting in the morning or the 8 p. m. setting in the evening."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."

Selcke: "House Joint Resolution 58. Kane, etal. House Resolution 335, Kelly, etal. House Resolution 336, Kornowicz, etal. House Resolution 337, Garmisa, etal. House Resolution 338, Catania. House Resolution 339, Lechowicz, etal. House Resolution 341, Young."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 58 asks the Illinois House Committee on Education to conduct an election to choose an animal for the State. House Resolution 335 honors the municipal clerks of...all the municipal clerks throughout the State of Illinois. Resolution 336 honors the south-west side the Church of St. Bruno on their golden jubilee. House Resolution 337 asks for a speedy recovery for Bernie and Sherri Sieracki. House Resolution 338 honors Stephen Humowiecki for becoming a medical doctor. House Resolution 339 honors Bill Martin chosen Man of the Year at the Fourth Annual Unity Testimonial Banquet. House Resolution 341 honors Mrs. Rudolph for 20 years of teaching at Franklin School. I move for the adoption of all Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."



1.

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Resolution #340, Shea et al. House Resolution #342, Madigan et al."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, with regards to House Resolution #340, ah...."

I would like to have leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule for immediate consideration and adoption of the Resolution."

Shea: "Any objection? Hearing none, leave is granted."

Shea: "Could the Clerk read the....."

Speaker Redmond: "Resolution #340."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Resolution #340. Whereas the Department of Transportation has demonstrated its inability to maintain improved state highway systems in our cities and rural areas; and whereas the value of fiscal year '75 Road Fund Construction Lettings are over \$40,000,000 below fiscal year '74 Lettings; and whereas there was no supplemental freeway construction projects awarded between December 31, 1974 and April 30, 1975; and whereas the Department of Transportation has reportedly repaired state highways in the Chicago area using faulty materials; and whereas the Department of Transportation has not announced its proposed fiscal year '76 state highway construction program; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that a five member House of Representatives' Committee investigate the policies, procedures, and practices of the Department of Transportation to maintain and improve the state highway system in our cities and rural areas; and be it further resolved, that this Committee consist of three members appointed by the Speaker and two members appointed by the Minority Leader; and be it further resolved, that the Committee report its findings of the investigation to the General Assembly on or before June 16, 1975."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."



JUN 9 1975

190  
2.

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Shea, as you know, ah.... I'm the major Sponsor of this Bill and some things have happened today that I think ah.... maybe it would be helpful for you and I to talk about before you ask for consideration of this. Would you hold this and maybe we can deal with it tomorrow?"

Shea: "Well, I don't know what's happened, but I know what is happening on the expressways up in my area and particularly in my district. We haven't had any grass cut. We haven't had any maintenance on them for ah... just one dickens of a long time. Now I would like Members of this House to try and find out what the Department of Transportation is doing so that we can have some insight into it when that budget, 1947 and '48 comes to the floor of the House."

McClain: "Are you saying no?"

Shea: "I'm saying no. I say that I would like to go ahead and have the Department of Transportation tell me what they plan to do in maintenance and in what kind of a road program they're going to have this year. Now you put a letter on our desks some two or three days ago, stating that you've been trying to get it for five or six weeks from the Department of Transportation. Perhaps, if we pass the Resolution and a five member Committee gets Director Bond or ah.... Secretary Bond there, perhaps he'll understand that the whole House wants it and ah.... you know, maybe he'll come up with something."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Well, Representative Shea, I try and be above board and that's why the memorandum went out and I'm trying to be above board now and I'm saying that we ought to sit down and discuss this before you pass..... excuse me, Representative Hanahan, I didn't mean to interrupt you."

Shea: "Well Mike, I think I'm being perfectly above board ah.... you know ah... I ah.... you're going to have the Bill, you're going to have the money, but I want to get some kind of a positive statement from the Secretary of the Department of



Transportation."

McClain: "If we talk about it, Jerry, we can get it done. Why not just pull it out of the record and let's talk about it and if you feel that strongly about it you can....."

Shea: "Absolutely I feel that strongly about it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I speak in behalf of the Resolution and to reply to the Sponsor ah....the House Sponsor of the two Appropriation Bills in reference to the Department of Transportation. Now since the middle of May we have been asking for some certain information from that Department and that's both sides of the aisle and both Appropriation Committees. In turn, Representative McClain submitted a status report to every Member of the House, of his request and the Appropriation Committee's request with respect to the Department. A few days ago I asked.... I wrote a letter to the Governor submitting a number of questions that we have been trying to get answers to and today was the day that I was supposed to get a reply from the Governor, according to the request of my letter. Today was the day that we're supposed to hear the Department of Transportations Budget Bills in Committee. As of today's date, we have not received a reply for the Supplemental Freeway System or the Freeway System of this state. I asked leave of this House to postpone the hearing on those Bills until Wednesday, giving them additional time. Representative Shea pointed out the fact of this Resolution to me. I agree wholeheartedly in the contents of that Resolution. Representative Neff had two Bills, two Senate Bills, that were sponsored by Senator Harris in the Senate dealing with this problem and I think this Resolution should be passed tonight. Hopefully, we will get some response from the Secretary of Transportation of this administration. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Resolution. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I simply would like to point out that my district has a great amount of roads in



JUN 5 1975

it and we ran across the same problem. Quite frankly, it boils down to ah... a statement by the Director of Transportation that they were in conjunction with the Department of Conservation. We're trying to use part of this area for a game refuge for small animals and so on and so forth. Further in my contact with the Department, ah... they indicated that if we had a specific instance where we wanted that work done, they would be amenable to try and work something out and I just would like to have that entered into the record. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of the Resolution ah...."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Houlihan: "Jerry, in your Resolution do you indicate that there shall be a separate Committee established to investigate this?"

Shea: "Absolutely. Five members."

Houlihan: "Well, I feel very strongly that this ought to be investigated by the Transportation Committee. I think that that Committee is established by the House to ah... set transportation policy as the House ah... sees fit. I think that trying to go around the Transportation Committee really undermines their effect in this in their future ability to deal with the Secretary of Transportation and transportation policy in the state. I think your Resolution is out of order in that way."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Houlihan, let me tell you that the Speaker gets to appoint three members and the Minority Leader gets to appoint two and I'm sure that they will appoint those people they feel best qualified to handle this job if the Resolution gets passed."

Houlihan: "I think the usual procedure that we were trying to develop in terms of ah... investigating areas of concern was to pass the Resolution and then have the ah... Committee that dealt with that substantive area, pursue that. I think that would be much more appropriate to have ah... the Committee Chairman of that Resolution direct that Committee to look into



JUN 9 1975

it and that Committee Chairman to ah... pull together from his Committee ah.... a Sub-Committee to review those policies and those decisions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to question a quorum at this time under Rule 61."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman requested a quorum call. All those .....the Clerk will take a Roll Call. Are all present who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I just want to point out that if you don't have a quorum then you can't adjourn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "At the present ah..... at the appropriate time, Mr.

Speaker, I'd like to ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, since Representative McClain is not present, I would suggest that his request is untimely."

Speaker Redmond: "Everybody that's here press the 'present' button.

I saw a Page press a button and I know..... I don't see that in the rules. Everybody that wants to be present, who wants to collect the \$36.00 ah..... it looks like 86 people.

Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I would strongly recommend that ah... we recess temporarily until we get 89 so that we can adjourn this place as well."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain. We're liable to stand in recess until 2:00 tomorrow afternoon."

McClain: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, I'm not very good at the rules, but it's my understanding that if you don't have a quorum ah... the only thing you can do is adjourn. Whatever difference it makes, would you take the call?"

Speaker Redmond: "It appears that there are 87 'present'. Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, you would have the opportunity of voting on this Resolution and I would suggest to the Speaker that he lock the doors because there are people



6.

on this floor, elected State Representatives and not on that board up there. So I would certainly request, Mr. Speaker, that you just look around this floor and you're going to find at least three or four Members that are not on that Roll Call up there, but are present on the floor of the House of Representatives. I would suggest that somebody stand by the door and keep them in."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I agree with Representative Hill's remark. In fact, right to my left I see two people who are here and are not recorded. Ya, Holewinski and Jim Houlihan just for the record."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll stand at ease for about five minutes here. Representative Darrow is here. Senate Bills, First Reading. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I think you can add those people I mentioned to the Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Darrow has indicated that he is here, now who else?"

Lechowicz: "Holewinski and Jim Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate's First."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 471, First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 472, an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Illinois Community College Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 633, an Act making an appropriation for the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 634, an Act making an appropriation for the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 763, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 813, an Act making an appropriation for the recodification of the laws relating to the municipalities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1496, an Act making appropriation for the Supreme Court and so forth. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1499, an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Revenue. First



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



JUN 9 1915

195

7.

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, now that you've got 92 ah... up there on the quorum call, can I be excused to go to the Senate Committee to present a Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Leave? Leave."

Tipsword: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Take the call. On this matter, there are 92 present. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has called for a verification. Does somebody move to call the absentees? The Clerk will call the Roll Call of those he is finding present. I didn't hear that motion, but somebody wants a verification so ah... just those present. All his votes are in, but it doesn't mean no."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell,....."

Speaker Redmond: "No, don't go fast, go slow. Sergent at Arms, go get them. Gene Barnes, Jane Barnes, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Borchers, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Cunningham, Daniels, Darrow, Davis, Deuster, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Dan Houlihan, Hudson, Dave Jones, Kane, Keller, Kempiners, Kent, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Leverenz, Kornowicz, Londrigan, Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Madigan, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McGrew, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Mulgahey, Neff, Peters, Reed, Richmond, Rigney, Ryan, Schisler, Schneider, Shea, Simms, Skinner, Cissy Stiehl, Stone, Stubblefield, Telcser, Tipsword, Totten, VanDuyne, VonBoeckman, Wall, Walsh, Washburn, Washington, White, Younge, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now, Representative Polk. Representative Schoeberlein, Fleck, Yourell. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, I'll withdraw my request for a verification"



JUN 9 1975

196

8.

of quorum, but I'd like, I'd like to say this...a...I would like to have the opportunity to verify the passage on the House Resolution, would that take 89 votes or a majority?"

Speaker Redmond: "A majority. But we can have a record roll call."

McClain: "A....I think a majority would pass. It looks like a....if Representative Shea would just withdraw his resolution, I'll withdraw my quorum call. He can easily pass it...he could easily pass it tomorrow, even with my opposition. And I wish he would. It would save the time of the members, a lot of them have families here, they want to go out to dinner. Others have committee meetings tonight, I think in all fairness, otherwise, this is going to be an hour, an hour an a half, and I think as Majority Leader, he knows that he can pass it tomorrow, the five-member committee can't do anything tonight. Out of courtesy of the members, I think he could easily withdraw his motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, out of courtesy to the members, if you want to give us a roll call, then if somebody wants to question it, fine."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall House Resolution 340 pass? Those in favor vote aye, opposed, vote no. Have all voted who wished? Representative Shea votes present. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 58 ayes, 11 nays, the resolution is adopted. Death Resolutions."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 9 1975

197

Selcke: "House Resolution 323. Neff, etal. In respect to the memory of the Honorable T MacDowning. House Resolution 334. Daniels. In respect to the memory of Paul L. White."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi. Death Resolutions."  
Giorgi: "I move the adoption of the Death Resolutions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi has mumbled the adoption of Death Resolutions. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it. Death Resolutions are adopted. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 2 p. m. tomorrow afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "I may call to the attention the fact that Senate Bills First Reading, there's at least one Bill here that needs a House Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you got them all? The Clerk doesn't keep me advised. Now we stand adjourned until 2 o'clock tomorrow."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 9, 1975

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
1	12:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order.
	12:00	Corneal Davis	Prayer.
	12:05	Speaker Redmond	Roll call for attendance.
		Jack O'Brien	Message from Senate.
2	12:05	Jack O'Brien	Committee Reports.
3	12:07	Speaker Redmond	Consent Calendar.
		Jack O'Brien	Consent Calendar.
4		Speaker Redmond	
	12:09	Washburn	MacDonald absent--illness.
		Speaker Redmond	Jaffe, Grieman, Mann--excused.
		Washburn	Tuerk absent--excused.
	12:10	Jack O'Brien	H.J.R. Constitutional Amendment.
5		Speaker Redmond	H.B. 3002, 2nd Reading, Committee Amendment #1.
	12:14	Shea	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading.
6		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3038. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading
		Schlickman	
	12:15	Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3039. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. H.B. 3040.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3040. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3041. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3042. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
7	12:15	Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3043. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. H.B. 3044.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3044. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. H.B. 3045.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3045. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. H.B. 3046.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3046. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3047.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3047. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3048.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3048. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
8		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3049.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3049. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3050.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3050. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3051.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3051. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3052.
		Shea	H.B. 3052 take out of record.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3053. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3055.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3055. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
9		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3056.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
9	12:15	Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3056. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3057.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3057. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 3058.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3058. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third.
		Walsh)	
		Speaker)	
	12:20	Shea	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Walsh :	
11		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3060. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. Senate Bills 2nd Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 55. 2nd Reading Committee Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Kosinski.
	12:23	Kosinski	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted. 3rd Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 175. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
12		Speaker Redmond	Third. 204
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 204. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Porter	Amendment #1. S.B. 204.
	12:27	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted. Third.
13		Speaker Redmond	Fiscal Note.
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:28	Jack O'Brien	S.B. 343. 2nd Reading Committee Amendments 2.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
13	12:28	Speaker Redmond	Representative Taylor.
		Taylor	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment Adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
		Taylor	Amendment #2.
14	12:28	Speaker Redmond	Representative Schlickman.
		Schlickman	Explain Amendment.
		Taylor	Take out of Record.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
	12:29	Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	
		Taylor	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted. 3rd Reading.
15		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 344. 2nd Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
		Taylor	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Downs	
		Taylor	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 Adopted.
	12:30	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
		Taylor	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted. 3rd Reading.
16	12:30	Jack O'Brien	S.B. 381. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 392. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third.



## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
16	12:30	Jack O'Brien	S.B. 488. 2nd Reading. Committee Amendments 2. Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:32	Londrigan	Amendment #2.
17	12:33	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted. Third Reading
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 506, 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 512
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 530. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 543.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 558. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Epton.
		Epton	
		Jack O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1 to S.B. 558.
18		Epton	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted. Third.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
		Epton	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted. Third.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 596. 2nd Reading, Committee Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Terzich.
		Terzich	Amendment #1.
19	12:37	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted. Fiscal Note requested. Hold on 2nd.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 617. 2nd Reading-Committee Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Beaupre.
		Beaupre	Go back to it.
		Jack O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
19	12:37	Beaupre	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment Adopted.
20.		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1 offered by Geo-Karis, Amendment #2 offered by Beaupre.
		Beaupre	Move to table Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	Take bill out of record. 663.
	12:41	Jack O'Brien	S.B. 663. 2nd Reading. 2 Com- mittee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Washburn.
		Washburn	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
21	12:42	Washburn	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted. Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 666. 2nd Reading no Commit- tee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 692.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 692. 2nd reading no Committee Amendments.
	12:43	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 693.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 693 held for fiscal note.
		Speaker Redmond	Take out.
	12:44	Speaker Redmond	S.B. 694. Request for Fiscal Note take out of record.
	12:45	Speaker Redmond	894. Representative Schisler.
		Schisler	take out of record. 694.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 703. 2nd Reading no Com- mittee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Out of Record.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 751. 2nd Reading Committee Amendment #1.
22		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 850. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
22	12:45	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 883.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 883. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
23	12:47	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 955.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 955. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 1048. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 1009.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 1009. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 1058. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 1120.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 1120. 2nd Reading. Committee Amendment #1.
24		Speaker Redmond	Representative Madigan. 1120.
		Madigan	Amendment #1 to S.B. 1120.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted. Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 1161. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
	12:49	D'Arco	Table Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment Tabled.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative D'Arco.
		D'Arco	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted. Third Reading.
25		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 1311. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 1497.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 1497. 2nd Reading Committee Amendment #1.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
25	12:50	Speaker Redmond	Representative Polk.
		Polk	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Supplemental calendar--S.B. 728.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 728. 2nd Reading no Committee Amendments.
26		Speaker Redmond	Third Reading. 881.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1 to 728.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Ebbesen.
		Ebbesen	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted. Third.
	12:53	Speaker Redmond	881. Third Reading.
		Hoffman	Take out for minute.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 302. 2nd Reading. no Committee Amendments.
27		Speaker Redmond	Any amendments from the floor.
		Jack O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Richmond.
		Richmond	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted. Third.
		Jack O'Brien	No further amendments.
28	12:57	Speaker Redmond	House Bills Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 783, Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Von Boeckman.
		Von Boeckman	Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Anderson.
		Anderson)	Yield.
		Von Boeckman)	
		Speaker Redmond	Questions.
29	1:00	Anderson	Going to vote against it.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
29	1:00	Von Boeckman	Bill helps working man.
		Speaker Redmond	H.B. 783.
		Peters	Explain vote.
30		Speaker Redmond	All voted who wish?
		Von Boeckman	Postponed consideration.
		Speaker Redmond	
	1:05	Ebbeson	Return 184 back to 2nd for Amendment.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1.
		Ebbeson	Amendment #1.
31		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted. Third.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 162.
		Shea	S.B. 162 back to 2nd for Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1.
		Shea	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted. Third.
32		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 506. Return to 2nd.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 969 return to 2nd for Amendment.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1.
		Hart	Moves for adoption of Amend. #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted.
33		Jack O'Brien	H. B. 1360. Third Reading
	1:10	Kozubowski	Sponsor
		Speaker Redmond	
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 1360. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	H.B. 1360 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 1552 Third Reading.
		Kozubowski	Sponsor

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
33	1:11	Speaker Redmond	H.B.1552 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3005, third reading.
34		Speaker Redmond	Representative Tipsword.
		Tipsword	Sponsor
		Speaker Redmond	H.B. 3005 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3035, Third Reading.
		Taylor	Yield to Rep. Calvo.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Calvo.
		Skinner)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
35	1:15	Jack O'Brien	S.B. 56.
		Hart	Requested to handle #73 for Grieman.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 56. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Hart.
		Hart	S.B. 56
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Mudd.
		Mudd	S.B. 56.
36		Speaker Redmond	Representative Duff.
		Duff)	Question.
		Hart)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Fleck)	Question.
		Hart)	
37		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 56 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 73.
		Hart	S.B. 73.
	1:20	Speaker Redmond	S.B. 73 passed.
38		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 155.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative McMasters.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
38	1:20	McMasters	Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	Any questions? Rep. Hart.
		Hart)	Question.
		McMasters)	Take out of record.
		Speaker Redmond	Committee Reports.
		Jack O'Brien	Committee Reports.
		Speaker Redmond	Introduction to First Reading.
39	1:24	Beaupre)	
		Speaker Redmond)	
		Fleck	
		Jack O'Brien	H.B. 3062 (etc.) 1st Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B.'s Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 207
40		Daniels	S.B. 207.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Jones.
		J. D. Jones	Supports.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 207 passed.
40		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 208.
41		Schlickman	take out of record.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 222. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative R. K. Hoffman. Representative Berman.
		Berman	
	1:29	Hoffman, R. K.	Senate Bill 222.
		Speaker Redmond	<sup>SB</sup> <del>H.B.</del> 222 passed.
		Berman	Leave to reconsider vote.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Lauer
42		Lauer	S.B. 207 moved to reconsider.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Berman.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
42	1:32	Berman	Explain vote.
		Speaker Redmond	
43		Daniels	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Berman
		Berman	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Kosinski.
		Kosinski	
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carried. S.B. 207 vote reconsidered.
		Berman	Sponsor yield.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Duff.
		Duff	Parliamentary inquiry.
44	1:35	Speaker Redmond	
		Daniels	S.B. 207.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Fleck.
		Fleck)	Question.
		Daniels)	
46		Speaker Redmond	Berman.
		Berman)	Question.
	1:40	Daniels)	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Mugalian.
		Mugalian)	
		Daniels)	
48		Speaker Redmond	Representative Geo-Karis.
	1:45	Geo-Karis	Supports.
		Cunningham	
49		Speaker Redmond	Representative Hudson.
		Hudson	
50		Speaker Redmond	Representative Duff.



## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
50	1:50	Duff	
51		Speaker Redmond	Representative Neff.
		Neff	
52		Speaker Redmond	Representative Washington.
		Washington	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Mudd.
		Mudd	
53	1:56	Deavers	Move previous question.
		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries.
		Daniels	
54		Speaker Redmond	Representative Schlickman.
		Schlickman	
55		Speaker Redmond	Representative Deuster.
		Deuster	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Mugalian.
56	2:02	Mugalian	
		Bradley	
		Fleck	
57		Bradley	Gentleman from Sangamon, Rep. Jones,
	2:06	J. D. Jones	
		Bradley	
58		Ewell	Against.
		Bradley	
	2:07	Bradley	
	2:08	Kempiners	Supports.
59		Bradley	
	2:09	Londrigan	Supports.
		Bradley	
	2:10	Coffey	Supports.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
60	2:10	Bradley	
		D'Arco	Supports.
		Bradley	Announcement.
61	2:12	Schuneman	Explain his vote.
		Bradley	
		Byers	Supports
62		Bradley	
	2:15	Daniels	Postponed consideration.
		Bradley	
		Hart	Point of Order.
63		Bradley	
		Tipsword	
	2:17	Fred Selcke	S.B. 290
		Bradley	
		Tipsword	S.B. 290
		Bradley	
	2:18	Giorgi)	Question.
		Tipsword)	
64		Bradley	S.B. 290 passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 304.
65		Bradley	Chair in error.
		Terzich	Leave to hear S.B. 304 & 305 together
		Bradley	Leave granted.
	2:21	Terzich	H.B. 304 & 305.
		Bradley	H.B. 304 & 305 passed.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 335. Third.
		Bradley	Mr. Schraeder.
66		Schraeder	
		Bradley	Mr. Schlickman.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
66	2:23	Schlickman	
		Bradley	Senate Bill 335 passed.
67		Bradley	Reads House Bill to be heard in Senate Committee.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 342.
		Taylor	Sponsor
		Bradley	S.B. 342 passed.
	2:27	Fred Selcke	S.B. 345.
68		Taylor	Take bill out of record.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 375.
		Bradley	Mr. VonBoeckman.
		VonBoeckman	Sponsor.
		Bradley	Senate Bill 375 passed.
		Fred Selcke	419, Senate Bill.
		Bradley	Mr. Matijevich.
		Matijevich	Sponsor.
		Bradley	Mr. Schlickman
69	2:29	Schlickman)	Yield?
		Matijevich)	
		Schlickman	Speak on Bill--(no)
		Friedrich)	Question.
		Matijevich)	
		Bradley	Gentleman to close.
		Matijevich	To close. ;
		Bradley	S.B. 419 passed.
70	2:32	Fred Selcke	S.B. 442. Third Reading.
		Bradley	Mr. Terzich.
		Terzich	Sponsor
		Bradley	Mr. Schlickman

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
70	2:33	Schlickman) Terzich)	Question.
71		Bradley Hart) Terzich)	Mr. Hart. Question.
73	2:39	Bradley Deavers) Terzich) Terzich Fred Selcke Williams	Mr. Deavers. Yield? S.B. 442--out of Record. S.B. 447. Third Reading Speaks on S.B. 447.
74		Bradley Hill) Williams)	Mr. Hill. Question.
75		Bradley Schlickman Bradley Williams Bradley	Mr. Schlickman. No vote. Mr. Williams to close. S.B. 447 passed.
76	2:45	Hill Fred Selcke Williams Bradley Hill) Williams)	Senate Bill 448. Third Reading. Mr. Hill. Yield?
77		Bradley Simms) Willaims) Bradley	Mr. Simms. Yield? Mr. Skinner.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
77	2:48	Skinner)	Yield?
		Williams)	
78		Bradley	Mr. Schlickman.
		Schlickman	
		Bradley	Mr. Madigan.
		Madigan	
79	2:50	Bradley	
		Williams	S.B. 448.
		Bradley	Mr. Hill.
		Hill	
80		Bradley	S.B. 448 passed.
	2:54	Fred Selcke	S.B. 459. Third Reading.
		Bradley	S.B. 459.
		Tipsword	S.B. 459.
		Bradley	S.B. 459 passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 485. Third Reading.
		Bradley	Mr. Williams.
		Williams	S.B. 485.
81		Bradley	Senate Bill 485 passed.
	2:57	Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 514.
		Bradley	Mr. Richmond.
		Richmond	Speaks on S.B. 514.
82		Bradley	
		Richmond	Appreciate an affirmative vote.
		Bradley	S.B. 514 passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 524. Third Reading.
	3:00	Richmond	S.B. 524.
		Bradley	S.B. 524 passed.
83		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 638.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
83	3:00	Fred Selcke Walsh	Reads bills on Third Reading.
84		Bradley Shea) Walsh)	Mr. Shea Question. Take out of record.
86	3:10	Jack O'Brien Bradley Giglio	S.B. 645. Third Reading. Mr. Giglio. S.B. 645.
87		Bradley Hart) Giglio)	Mr. Hart. Question.
88		Hart Giglio	Would you take it out of record? "Sure".
	3:15	Jack O'Brien Bradley	S.B. 651. Third Reading. Mr. Merlo.
89		Merlo Bradley Jack O'Brien Ebbesen Bradley	S.B. 651. Senate Bill 651 passed. S.B. 698. Third Reading.
90		Jack O'Brien Bradley Schisler Bradley Schlickman) Schisler)	S.B. 704. Third Reading. Mr. Schisler. S.B. 704. Mr. Schlickman. Yield?
91	3:18	Bradley Stone Bradley	Mr. Stone. Mrs. Reed.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
92	3:21	Bradley Dyer) Schisler)	Mrs. Dyer Yield?
93	3:23	Bradley Shea Jones, J. D.	Majority Leader Mr. Shea. Supports. Supports.
94		Bradley Hanahan	Mr. Hanahan. Supports.
	3:28	Bradley Polk	Mr. Polk. Supports.
95		Friedland Bradley Satterthwaite Bradley Brinkmeier Bradley Stone	Move previous question. Senate Bill 704. Explain vote. Mr. Brinkmeier.   
96		Bradley McCourt Bradley Lucco Bradley Skinner Bradley	Mr. McCourt.  Mr. Lucco.  Mr. Skinner. S.B. 704 passed.
	3:32	Jack O'Brien Bradley Williams	S.B. 709. Mr. Williams.
97		Bradley Mugalian	Mr. Mugalian. To speak for the bill.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
97	3:33	Bradley	Mr. Schlickman.
		Schlickman	oppose.
		Bradley	Mr. Williams.
		Williams	
98		Bradley	Mr. Skinner.
		Skinner	
		Geo-Karis	Explain.
		Bradley	Senate Bill 709 lost.
	3:35	Jack O'Brien	Committee Reports.
100		Bradley	Introduction 1st Reading.
	3:40	Jack O'Brien	
101		Bradley	Senate Bill 727.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 727.
	3:44	Hanahan	S.B. 727.
		Bradley	S.B. 727 passed.
102		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 742. Third Reading.
	3:45	Capparelli	S.B. 742.
		Bradley	Mr. Schlickman.
		Schlickman)	Yield?
		Capparelli)	
		Bradley	
		Capparelli	To close.
103		Bradley	S.B. 742.
		McAuliffe	Explain his vote.
		Bradley	Mr. Mugalian.
		Mugalian	Explain his vote.
104		Bradley	Mr. Porter.
		Porter	Urge aye vote.
		Bradley	Senate Bill 742 passed.



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
104	3:49	Jack O'Brien Bradley	Senate Bill 778.
		Hoffman, G.	Sponsor.
105		Bradley	S.B. 778 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 779. 3rd Reading.
		Bradley	Mr. Berman.
		Berman	
106		Bradley	Mr. Giorgi.
		Giorgi	
	3:54	Berman	
107		Bradley	Mr. Waddell.
		Waddell	
		Bradley	
		Anderson	
108	3:56	Ebbesen	
		Bradley	
		Berman	to close.
		Bradley	S.B. 779.
		Schlickman	
109		Bradley	Mr. Berman.
		Berman	Explain vote.
110		Bradley	Miss Geo-Karis.
		Geo-Karis	Explain her vote.
	4:01	Bradley	S.B. 779. post-poned consideration
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 794.
		Bradley	Mr. Terzich.
110		Terzich	
111		Bradley	S.B. 794 passed.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
111	4:03	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 796.
		Bradley	Mr. Nardulli.
		Nardulli	Senate Bill 796..
		Bradley	Senate Bill 796, passed.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 797. Third.
		Bradley	Mr. McLendon.
		McLendon	
112	4:07	Bradley	Senate Bill 797 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 798. Third Reading.
		Bradley	S.B. 798.
		White)	S.B. 798.
113		Bradley)	S.B. 798 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 837. Third Reading.
		Bradley	Mr. Totten.
		Totten	Sponsor:
		Bradley	Senate Bill 837.
114	4:11	Grotberg	Explain his vote.
		Bradley	S.B. 837 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 845. Third Reading.
		Bradley	Mr. Terzich.
		Terzich	
		Bradley	Senate Bill 845 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 859.
		Bradley	Senate Bill 859.
		Lechowicz	Senate Bill 859.
115	4:13	Bradley	Senate Bill 859 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 885. Third.
		Bradley	Mr. Hoffman, R.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
115	4:14	Hoffman, R.	Sponsor.
		Bradley	Senate Bill 885. passed.
116		Jack O'Brien	S.B. 940. Third Reading.
		Bradley	Mr. Kempiners.
		Kempiners)	Yield?
		Geo-Karis)	
117		Bradley	Mr. Duff.
		Duff	
		Bradley	Lady wishes to close?
		Geo-Karis	
		Bradley	Senate Bill 904 passed.
	4:19	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 911. Third.
117		Hoffman, G.	
118		Bradley	Mr. Borchers.
		Borchers	
		Bradley	
		Peters)	Question.
		Hoffman, G.)	
		Bradley	
		Hoffman	to close.
119		Bradley	Mr. Deuster.
		Deuster	Explain vote.
	4:23	Bradley	
		Downs	
		Bradley	
120		Schraeder	
		Bradley	Senate Bill 911 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 913.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
120	4:24	Bradley Daniels Bradley Meyer) Daniels)	Sorry. Mr. Daniels. Senate Bill 913.  Question.
121		Daniels Jack O'Brien	S.B. 913--out of Record. Senate Bill 932.
	4:28	Bradley Schoeberlein Bradley	S.B. 932. S.B. 932. Senate Bill 932 passed.
122		Jack O'Brien Deavers Bradley Jack O'Brien Bradley Deavers Bradley Peters) Deavers) Bradley	Senate Bill 942. Third Reading. Take back to 2nd for Amendment. Leave. Amend. #1 to S.B. 942.  Amendment #1. Mr. Peters. Yield?  Amendment #1 adopted. Third.
123	4:32	Jack O'Brien Bradley Downs Bradley Ryan)	S.B. 968. Third Reading.   Yield.
124		Downs) Bradley Kempiners) Downs)	Mr. Kempiners.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
124	4:34	Bradley)	
125		Tipsword)	Yield?
		Downs)	
		Leinenweber)	Yield?
		Downs)	
126		Schlickman)	
		Downs)	
127	4:39	Bradley	Mr. Houlihan, D. L.
		Houlihan, D. L.	
		Bradley	R. Hoffman
		Hoffman, R.	Move previous question.
		Bradley	Motion carries.
	4:42	Downs	To close.
128		Bradley	Mr. Kempiners to explain vote.
		Kempiners	
		Bradley	Postponed consideration.
129		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 980.
		Bradley	Mr. Shea.
		Shea	Take back to 2nd for amendment.
	4:45	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1--S.B. 980.
		Bradley	Ms. Catania on amendment.
		Catania	Amendment #1.
		Bradley	Mr. Shea.
130		Shea)	Yield?
		Catania)	
		Bradley	Mr. Shea.
		Shea	Opposed.
		Hirschfeld	Support.
132		Bradley	Mr. Duff, Minority Whip.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
132	4:49	Duff	Support
		Bradley	
		Washington	Support
		Bradley	Mr. Sangmeister.
134	4:54	Sangmeister	
		Bradley	
		Hoffman	Move previous question.
		Bradley	Motion carries.
		Catania	To close.
		Bradley	Mr. Shea to explain his vote.
		Shea	Explain his vote.
135		Bradley	Mrs. Willer.
		Willer	Explain vote (yes)
		Bradley	Mr. Gaines.
		Gaines	Support.
		Bradley	Mr. Getty.
	4:58	Getty	Explain vote.
136		Bradley	Mr. Peters.
		Peters	
		Bradley	
		Duff	Explain vote.
138	5:01	Bradley	
		Polk	Vote aye
		Bradley	
		Catania	
		Bradley	
		Hirschfeld	
140		Bradley	Amendment #1. Catania asks for verification of negative votes.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
140	5:08	Fred Selcke	Pull absentees.
		Bradley	Verify affirmative roll call.
		Fred Selcke	affirmative roll call.
	5:12	Bradley	Mr. Leon.
141		Leon	Vote me no.
		Shea	Question on affirmative roll call.
		Bradley	
142		Klosak	Change to no.
		Bradley	
		McAvoy	How recorded--Change to no.
143		Bradley	
	5:17	Ryan	Aye
		Bradley	
		Fred Selcke	Call negative roll.
		Bradley	
		Schlickman	Change to aye.
144	5:21	Catania	Question on negative roll call.
		Bradley	
146		Beaupre	Change to no.
		Bradley	
	5:26	M. Steele	Aye
		Catania	
147		Bradley	Choate--No.
		Bradley	Amendment lost.
	5:28	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
		Shea	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 980. Third Reading.

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
148	5:30	Shea	Sponsor
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman)	Question.
		Shea)	
		Speaker Redmond)	Senate Bill 980 lost.
149		Speaker Redmond	Announcement of schedule.
150		Lechowicz	Announcement.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 985. Take out of record.
	5:39	Hoffman, G. L.	Comment on schedule.
151		Speaker Redmond	
		Hoffman, G. L.	
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 986.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 986.
		Speaker Redmond	986 take out of record.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1005. Take out of record.
		Speaker Redmond	1030
		Fred Selcke	Take out of record.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 1032.
		Fred Selcke	1032. Third Reading
	5:40	Shea	Explains the bill. Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	Vote. Bill passed.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1065. Third Reading.
152		Speaker Redmond	1065. Representative E. G. Steele.
		Steele, E. G.	1065 explains the bill. Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1065--vote--bill passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1070 Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Geo-Karis.
		Geo-Karis	Explains S.B. 1070--Sponsor.



## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
153	5:44	Speaker Redmond	Vote.
		Dunn	Explains vote.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1070--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1084. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 1084.
	5:46	Hoffman, G. L.	S.B. 1084--explained--Sponsor.
154		Speaker Redmond	Vote on S.B. 1084. Bill Passed.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1085. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Kane.
		Kane	Explains S.B. 1085--Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1085 Vote--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1087. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Brinkmeier.
		Brinkmeier	S.B. 1087--explains the bill. Sponsor.
155		Speaker Redmond	Representative Simms.
		Simms	Question to sponsor.
		Brinkmeier	Discussion.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Meyer.
		Meyer)	Question asked.
	5:50	Brinkmeier)	Discussion on bill.
156		Speaker Redmond	Vote on S.B. 1087--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1090--Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 1090.
		Anderson	S.B. 1090 explains bill--Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Walsh	Question.
		Speaker Redmond	Yields.
		Walsh	Question asked.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
156	5:50	Anderson	
157	5:52	Walsh	S.B. 1090--Speaks on bill.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 1090.
		Hoffman, G. L.	S.B. 1090--Discuss the bill.
158		Speaker Redmond	Representative Leinenweber.
		Leinenweber	Question of the sponsor.
	5:55	Anderson	Discussion.
		Leinenweber	Speaks on the bill.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Schneider.
		Schneider	
159		Speaker Redmond	Representative McClain.
		McClain	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	Question.
		Anderson	Discussion.
		Speaker Redmond	Vote on S.B. 1090--Bill Passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1105--Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 1105.
		Hoffman, G. L.	Explains the bill--Sponsor.
160		Speaker Redmond	Vote--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1106.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Merlo.
		Merlo	Sponsor of S.B. 1106.
	6:01	Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1106--Vote--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1122--take out of record.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1139--take out of record.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1147--Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Campbell.
		Campbell	Asks leave to hear bills as pkg. 944, 945, 953, 1005, 1122, 1147.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
161	6:01	Speaker Redmond	Leave.
		Campbell	
		Fred Selcke	Reads #944, 945, 953, 1005, 1122, 1147 bills third reading.
162		Speaker Redmond	
		Campbell	Explains bills.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Anderson	Question of Sponsor.
		Campbell	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Giorgi.
		Giorgi)	Question.
		Campbell)	Answer and discuss.
163		Speaker Redmond	Representative Lucco.
		Lucco	Discussion on these bills.
		Speaker Redmond	#944, 945, 953, 1005, 1122, 1147.
		Caldwell)	Discussion.
		Campbell)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Satterwithe)	Discussion
		Campbell)	
164		Speaker Redmond	Representative Meyer.
		Meyer)	Question
		Campbell)	Discussion.
165		Speaker Redmond	Vote--Bills Passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1152. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Neff	#1153 asks leave to hear.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted.
		Fred Selcke	1153 read Third Reading.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
165	6:01	Neff	
166		Speaker Redmond	Vote #1152 & #1153.
		Lechowicz	Question.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Neff)	(Discussion)
		Lechowicz)	
167		Speaker Redmond	#1152 & #1153.
		Lechowicz)	Question.
		Neff)	Discussion..
		Speaker Redmond	Vote--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1160. Take out of record.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 1165.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1165 Third Reading.
168		Speaker Redmond	Vote.
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman)	Question asked.
		Keller)	Response and Discussion.
		Speaker Redmond	Vote--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1173.
		Speaker Redmond	
		O'Daniel	Explains the bill--sponsor.
169		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1173 passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1181..Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Shea.
		Shea	S.B. 1181.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Simms.
		Simms)	Discussion.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
169	6:01	Shea)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Shea	
170		Speaker Redmond	Vote on S.B. 1181--Passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1184--Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Williams.
		Williams	S.B. 1184--explains the bill.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman)	Discussion.
		Williams)	
171		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn	
		Williams	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Skinner)	Discussion on S.B. 1184.
	6:26	Williams)	
172		Speaker Redmond	Representative Meyer.
		Meyer	
		Williams)	Senate Bill 1184
173		Meyer)	Asks sponsor to take out of record.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1184..take out of record.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1186.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kornowicz	S.B. 1186. explains the bill. Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Leinenweber.
		Leinenweber	Question.
		Speaker Redmond	Proceed.
		Leinenweber)	Question asked.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
173	6:31	Kornowicz)	Response & Discussion.
174		Speaker Redmond	Representative Lechowicz.
		Lechowicz	Supports the bill.
175		Speaker Redmond	
		Meyers	Supports the bill.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Londrigan.
		Londrigan	
		Speaker Redmond	Vote. S.B. 1186 passed.
		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1258. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1258, 1259, 1260..take out of record.
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1285
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record...
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 1369. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative McGrew.
176	6:34	McGrew	S.B. 1369--sponsor
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lauer)	Question asked.
		McGrew)	Response.
		Lauer	Speaks on the bill.
		Speaker Redmond	
177		Schlickman	Question.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mugalian	Question.
178		Speaker Redmond	
		Leinenweber	Discussion on bill.
		McGrew	
		Speaker Redmond	
	6:35	McCourt	Discussion on the bill.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
179	6:35	Speaker Redmond	Representative Waddell
		Waddell	Moves previous question.
		Speaker Redmond	So moved.
	6:36	McGrew	To close.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 1369.. Vote.
		McGrew	
		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 1369--Passed.
180		Fred Selcke	S.B. 1184--previously read.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Williams.
		Williams	S.B. 1184.
		Speaker Redmond	Vote--Bill Passed.
		Peters	House Joint Resolution #32 explained
181		Speaker Redmond	
	6:45	Peters	
		Speaker Redmond	Vote-House Joint Res. #32. Passed.
		Shea	Senate Joint Res. #40 explained.
		Speaker Redmond	Vote- Resolution adopted.
		Shea	Senate Bill 24.
182		Speaker Redmond	S.B. 66 taken out of record.
		Fred Selcke	Messages from the Senate.
183		Speaker Redmond	
		Fred Selcke	Committee Reports.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Maragos	Announcement--leave requested.
	6:52	Speaker Redmond	Representative Madigan.
		Madigan	Announcement.
184		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted.
		Maragos	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Washington.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
184	6:52	Washington	Announcement--Leave.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted.
	6:54	Matijevich	Leave requested to hear 293, 306, 314 and 342.
185		Speaker Redmond	
		Fred Selcke	#340.
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Chapman	Discussion on leave request.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted. Rep. Mugalian.
186		Mugalian	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Chapman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Downs	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Chapman	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Grotberg.
187	6:59	Grotberg	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Barnes.
		Barnes	Will wait on his question.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Garmisa	Announcement.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Katz	Announcement.



## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
188	6:59	Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolutions.
		Fred Selcke	Reading of resolutions.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Giorgi.
		Giorgi	Explains the Resolution.
		Speaker Redmond	Resolutions are adopted.
189		Fred Selcke	Reads House Res. #340.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Shea.
		Shea	Explains the Resolution.
	7:03	Fred Selcke	Reads the resolution.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Shea.
		Shea	Moves for adoption.
		Speaker Redmond	
190	7:05	McClain	Please hold it.
		Shea	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	
191		Shea	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Lechowicz.
		Lechowicz	Speaks on behalf of resolution.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative McGrew.
		McGrew	Discussion on Resolution.
192		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, J.	Question.
		Speaker Redmond	Yields.
		Houlihan, J.)	Question asked.
		Shea)	Response.
193		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Lechowicz.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
193	7:05	Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	Verification.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Simms	Discussion on whether quorum is present.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Hill.
		Hill	
194		Speaker Redmond	
		Lechowicz	
		Fred Selcke	Senate Bills First Reading.
195		Speaker Redmond	Representative Tipword.
		Tipword	Excused.
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	Verification.
		Speaker Redmond	
		McClain	
196		Speaker Redmond	Representative Shea.
		Shea	
		Speaker Redmond	House Resolution 340 adopted.
197		Fred Selcke	Death Resolutions.
		Speaker Redmond	Representative Giorgi.
		Giorgi	Moves the adoption.
	7:22	Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted.
		Shea	Moves to adjourn.
		Speaker Redmond	House is adjourned.