HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-FIRST LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 4, 1975



Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the gallery? "

Speaker Redmond: ... "The House will be in order and the Members will please be in their seats. Led in prayer this morning by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "The Father, the Son, the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh Lord bless this House with Thy service this day. Amen. John Henry Neuman said nothing would be done at all if a man waited til he could do it so well that no one could find fault with it. Let us pray. Give us O Lord, a humble, pious, peaceable, patient, tender and charitable mind, and in all our thoughts, words, and deeds have a taste of Thy Spirit. Give us, O Lord, a lively faith, a firm hope, a fervent charity, a love of Thee. Take from us all lukewarmness in our daily tasks, dullness in our duties. Give us fervour and delight in thinking of our service to the peoples of this State, of Thee and Thy grace, Thy tender compassion towards us. These things, good Lord, that we pray for, give us grace to labour for; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll call for attendance. Resolutions.

Representative Madigan."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 322. Kelly, etal." Speaker Redmond: "Hannigan."

Madigan: "He's not here anymore, Mr. Speaker. Hannigan."

Speaker Redmond: "Go on."

Madigan: "House Resolutions 322 commends Joan Solwick of Bremman High School as the outstanding science student of Bremman and we want to congratulate her and the Bremman High School about her selection as the outstanding science student. I move the adoption of House Resolution 322."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of the agreed resolution, all in favor say aye. Aye. Opposed? No. The ayes have it. The Resolution is adopted.



...Bills Third Reading. On Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 391 and .

Second to Third Reading yesterday. There had been a request for a fiscal note, a fiscal note has not yet been furnished so let's leave it in the House, we'll return that to the order of Second Reading, Senate Bills. Any objections. Be returned to Second Reading.

The House will be at ease until 10:30. I...I... stated that we'd be at ease until 10:30, so maybe I should have, as soon as 10:30 comes we'll..."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Senate
Bills Third Reading. On Senate Bills Third Reading
appears Senate Bill 338. Recognize Representative
Epton."

Epton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of

the House, this is a Bill which is Sponsored by Representative Stubblefield and Sponsored in the Senate by Senator Hickey. They very gratefully agreed to bring it back to Second Reading for the purposes of an amendment which allows...and the Department of Revenue, to give any cigarette fees pursuant to the cigarette use tax to any charitable institution. Presently what happens when the State confiscates this...these cigarettes, they are stored in the warehouse and eventually are destroyed and are of no value. Apparently some of these organizations like the Salvation Army, can and would appreciate the use of it. The Department of Revenue has no objection to this. Representative Stubblefield has no objection and Senator Hickey and I would move the adoption of this Amendment."

Bill from Third Reading to the order of Second Reading?

Hearing none, Senate Bill 338 will be returned to the order of Second Reading. Representative Epton has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 338. All

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to returning this



in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye. Aye.

Opposed. No. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted."

Epton: "May I express my thanks to Representative Stubblefield for being so gracious."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading.

Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill ;8. Are there any amendments, Representative..."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 8."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll pass that one. 173, any amendments?

Pass that one. 179, any amendments? Senate Bill 179."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 179. A Bill for an Act to amend the public community college act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 200."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 200. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to meetings. Second Reading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 207."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 207. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to compensation to Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Committee Americanents.

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 334. Amendment on that.

Hold that one. 350. Hold that one. 357."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 357. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 434."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 434. A Bill for an Act creating electronic funds transfer system study commission. Second reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 458."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 458. A Bill for an Act in relation to the American bicentennial. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor."

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 511."

Jack O'Brien: "There's a Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "...Shea is here though. Read the Bill."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 511. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Comptroller. Second of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 511 on page 2 line 15 by deleting 30,000 and inserting in

lieu thereof 35,000, on page 4, line 27, by deleting 20,000 and inserting in lieu thereof 27,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "I'd move for the adoption of the Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "...Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "I heard increase from 30 to 35 and 20 to 27,000 and I'm wondering what these are for."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "My memory serves me correctly one is for Director of Financial Institutions, we passed this Bill in the .

House. And the other one is for Director of Civil Defense."



- Schlickman: "Okay. They're for two individual positions."
- Speaker Redmond: "State Officer salaries."
- Lechowicz: "Yeah: Right. And the Bills were approved by the House and in the Senate."
- Schlickman: "When you went from 20 to 27, I thought maybe that was our salary. Thank you."
- Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 511. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"
- Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Shea. Amend Senate Bill 511
   on page 2 line 16 by deleting 27,000 inserting in lieu
   thereof 30,000."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."
- Lechowicz: "That was an ommission which should have been covered on Amendment #1, it was not. It's for the Assistant Director of Financial Institution an increase of \$3,000. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of

  Amendment #2 to 511. All in favor indicate by saying aye.

  Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"
- Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Shea. Amends Senate Bill 511 as amended on page 6 line 19 by deleting assistant and inserting in lieu thereof deputy."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."
- Shea: "It's a technical amendment. The Director is a Deputy
  Director instead of an Assistant Director. I move for
  the adoption..."
- Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of
  Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 511. All in favor indicate
  by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it.
  - The Amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"
- Jack O'Brien: "No further amendments."
- Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 520."
- Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 520. Terzich. A Bill for an



Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None"

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 601"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 601. A Bill for an Act to amend the

Weights and Measurements Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 609."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 609. A Bill for an Act to amend the

Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Dan Houlihan on the floor?

612."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 619."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 619. A Bill for an Act to amend an

Act concerning Public Utilities. Second Reading of the Bil.

No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 648."

O'Brien: "Senate 648. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act

in relation to Probation Officers. Second Reading of the

Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 703."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 703. A Bill for an Act to amend the

Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

No committee amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 704."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 704."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler on the floor?

Read the Bill."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 704. A Bill for an Act to amend the

School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Mautino. Amends...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Representative Chapman has an amendment and...uh...I do not see her on the floor so could we take this out of the record

for the present time?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1050. A Bill for an Act to amend the

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 1050."

Tri-City Regional Port District Act. Second Reading of the

Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Next order of Business is Consent Calendar, Second Reading, First Day. Appears

Senate Bill 155. It has been removed from the Consent

Calendar and according to the rules is returned to the order of Senate Bills Second Reading. Will you read that

Bill?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 155. McMasters. A Bill for an Act

to amend the River Conservency District Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amends

Senate Bill 155 on page 2, by deleting line 33, 34, and 35

and on page 3 by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters. Do you desire

to explain the amendment on Senate Bill 155? That's been



2

taken off the consent calendar and is now on second reading
Do you want to move the adoption of that amendment?
Representative Hart for what purpose do you rise?"

Hart: "Well, I was going to ask the sponsor...uh...if he would
withdraw that amendment...uh...it was an amendment that was
consistently put on a bunch of these bills but in this
instance Representative Choate and I and others have
objections to changing the appointment to the County Board
and so I would appreciate it, in view of the fact that we
made no objection to the other bills if you would agree to

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters."

withdraw it from this Bill."

McMasters: "Mr. Speaker, if you'll take this bill out of the record for a minute until I can talk to Representative Hart "

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. The order of

Business is Consent Calendar, Second Reading appears Senate

Bill 836. That has been removed from the Consent Calendar and is now on the order of Senate Bill Second Reading.

Will you read the Bill, Senate Bill 836?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 836. John Dunn. A Bill for an Act

to Provide for Decatur Metropolitan Exhibition Auditorium and Office Building Authority. Second Reading of the Bill.

One committee amendment. Amends Senate Bill 836 on page 9,
line 21 by inserting after Decatur the following "R. Danville" in line 22 by inserting after council the following "as the case may be..."

"Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn. J. Dunn."

House. This is a technical amendment due to correct an oversight in the drafting of the original bill. Merely adds the word 'Danville' at a place that technically is required in the Act and Danville is involved in this Bill as well as Decatur. I urge adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman moves the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 836. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment's



adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Order of business, House Bills

Second Reading. Appears House Bill 797. Representative

Calvo on the floor? Hold that one. Appears House Bill

1552. Representative Kozubowski on the floor? Take that

O'Brien: "House Bill 2036. Ryan. A Bill for an..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. 2036?"

O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act for the Ordinary..."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

out of the record. 2036. 2036."

Ryan: "Representative Lechowicz's not on the floor again this

morning and I would ask that on his behalf he hold this Bill

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, he was here a minute ago, we're looking for him. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will you take this out of the record for now, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Are there any

amendments on House Bill 797? Representative Lechowicz is back, what's your pleasure? OK. Take that out of the record.

House Bills Third Reading. House Bills Third Reading.

339. Out of the Record. 783. Representative Von Boeckman on the floor? Pass that. 941, Representative Houlihan.

House Bill 941."

O'Brien: "House Bill 941. J.M. Houlihan. A Bill for an Act to Provide for the Ordinary and Contingent Expense of the Illinois Racing Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 941 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Illinois Racing Board. I'll get the Bill

and give you what Representative Schlickman wants the com-

parison from last year, I'll get that out. I'd be happy to

respond to any questions while I'm getting that out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: " This is not directly related to the Budget, Representative,



but perhaps you can answer the question. Could you tell
me how the Racing Board feels about Sunday racing in the
State of Illinois?"

Houlihan: "Representative Shea, I'm not aware of the Racing Board's position on that, I know there were discussions oh a year or a year and a half ago and I think they took a position as opposed to it. But I don't know if they've taken any recent position."

Shea: "You think their position is that their opposed to Sunday racing?"

Houlihan: "Well, Representative Shea, I better not speculate on that, I don't know."

Shea: "You don't know for sure if they are or not?"
Houlihan: "That's correct."

Shea: "Thank you."

Houlihan: "The appropriation in '75 was \$1,868,100 and in the '76 request it's for \$3,065,700 and the...uh...staff recommendation was for a reduction for \$64,000, a little over \$64,000. This received a full hearing in the committee, and there were...uh...the amendment to reduce it \$64,000 was adopted and also the budget was divisionalized in those amendments and I'd urge the adoption of this budget."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the sponsor yield for one question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicated he will."

Schlickman: "What accounts for the apparent 50% increase in

the appropriations to the Racing Board?"

Houlihan: "Gene, this is based on legislation which is pending which would give them broader scope and also bring in the Illinois Thoroughbred Racing Program."

Schlickman: "Specifically what progam does this appropriation anticipate? This 50% increase."

Houlihan: "Well it...well it's been divided into two categories, one - the agency has an intention to expand the number of racing days by 10%. Now that will cost an additional dollars for the per diem employees and for the people at the track.



Secondly, the agency is supporting legislation which is adding the Illinois Bred Program which totals 282,900 dollars and the per diem compensation for Board Members for attendance at hearings is expected to increase by \$24,000. I suspect that's because the Board has been a little more active than in the past."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Will the sponsor yield to two questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicated he will."

Washington: "Mr. Houlihan, if you know, how does the Racing
Board go about determining what charitable organizations
get charitable racing dates. What is the standard for
determining that procedure?"

Houlihan: "Harold, I think it's the same time that they have the application for dates by all the various tracks or racing associations. There are presented to the Board for consideration requests by both the tracks and the associations and the charities which are reviewed by the Board, and the decision is based, I suppose, on need and uh evidence which is presented before those hearings. I think that...uh...if a particular charity ought to be considered...uh...the procedure would be to...uh...make a proposal...uh...either through one of the tracks or through one of the racing associations or to the Board directly, and I...under the impression that they could direct a track to hold that hearing...uh...to have hold that day, at least, they could provide a great incentive for them to hold it for whatever charity they determine."

Washington: "How many dates are given along that line, do you know?"

Houlihan: "I'm not certain of the exact number of dates, I'm only familiar with only two or three in the Chicago area."

Washington: "The second question is, as you recall from the discussion last night, I think we can call it a discussion,



several years ago Representative Barnes amended the Racing appropriation Bill so to provide for \$25 or 30,000 for the Board to institute a program of recruitment training and upgrading minorities as defined by several people last night. Nothing really came of that. And ...uh...this has been a sore spot with many of the legislators here and our communities for some time. As epitomized by the rather...uh...accromonius discussion we had last night, do you know if the Mr. Scariono has in his plans to do anything to address himself to that question? Because to me frankly it's somewhat cumbersome, time consuming and...and simply serves to exercise bad feelings for us here to constantly go into that thing, and we're going into it again, I assure you, but does he have any plans in mind to remove that scab on the state government, so to speak."

"Representative Washington, I...uh...looked behind Houlihan: me when I began my response so that we might begin to address ourselves to some of the people who were here last night. I have directed...I talked to Representative Barnes about the particular problem and I directed both our staff and the staffs of the racing board to pursue that and have a response from ... uh ... the chairman ... uh ... Mr. Scariono. I'm not sure whether he's detailed what those plans are but I am in full agreement...uh...particularly since the racing industry...uh...if you belong to the track is one where you might say there's a disproportionate involvement in terms of minorities..uh...and that there are alot of people there the tracks ought to be providing an affirmative action programs and better and I have directed our staff to work with their staffs in developing something, and I was as concerned as you were that Representative Barnes's amendment some two years ago obviously was not followed."



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Washington: "Well, thank you very much Mr. Houlihan., and just as a gesture of concern I'm going to vote present on your



until such time as they have done something really solid in that field. And it's no...I'm certain, it's no reflection on you, Mr. Houlihan. You understand that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schoeberlein:."

Schoeberlein: "Does this affect the Aurora track in any way?"

Houlihan: "Well, the racing appropriation would affect the
Aurora track in that they have to apply for...racing
dates and that they are regulated by the Racing Board.

You "

Schoeberlein: "But this does not have anything to do with the dates?"

Houlihan: "No."

Schoeberlein: "They have winter dates, you know."

Houlihan: "That's right. That's right...and this appropriation doesn't deal with the dates. When I mention the 10% increase, that's just their estimate as to what will happen when they have their hearing and when Aurora and the other tracks present their evidence for racing dates."

Schoeberlein: "Thank you. You have answered my question."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think that some of the newer Members of the General Assembly probably don't realize that the Racing Board supervises the...the tax at the race tracks with....accumulation of about \$65,000,000 a year that is used to subsidize the county fairs and the metropolitan exposition halls like the McCormick Place, pay some of the bills of the Future Farmers of



America, the 4-H Clubs and a few other people. And you wonder sometimes what some of the red lights and the present lights are doing when these people are the ones asking for more and more funds in their county fairs and in their exposition halls. This money is also used to retire the bonds for the six new civic centers that are going to be built in downstate Illinois and I notice a colleague from my district refusing to accept that fact."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all...Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Chairman, I'm a little late with this but

I had...I had wondered if he would answer a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Waddell: "Does this amount take into consideration the size of the Board as it was previously or the size of the Board as it had come before Committee, which was a reduction to the Board but an option as far as the Director Scariano could have in hiring as many lawyers as he wanted to hold hearings? Which?"

Houlihan: "This, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House, this appropriation is based on the legislation
which was presented and I think passed through this House
sponsored by Representative Jaffe. That...that then would
be on the reduced Board but with the option that he
could hire whoever he wanted to hold hearings."

Waddell: "I believe that's the case."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in answer to Representative Washington's statement, let me tell you that in House Bill 1886, as you know Harold, the Racing Board's Bill, we did put on affirmative action program.

As a matter of fact, we put on three different amendments offered by Representative Ewell and Representative Barnes. So if you have any problems about affirmative action, let me tell you that that's in the main Bill, 1886, and that will become the policy of the Board



and I would urge you to vote aye on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House, to explain my vote and to try to encourage 8 more
green lights up there; this is the appropration for the
Racing Board. I think the Racing Board has done an
excellent job in handling both the increased work load
and improving the image of the racing industry throughout the State. It has made a significant attempt to
bring the racing industry in conformity with
all the statutes that we had pending; has made a
significant, I think, improvement in its hearings
and collecting data, collecting information so that the
racing industry could clear its name and be... not
under the cloud of suspicion that it had been in some
of the previous years and I'd urge a few more green
lights up there for this appropriation Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm certain before it's all over this budget for the Racing Commission will pass but I have for four previous terms attempted to register a mild protest due to the fact that the racing industry in this State is supported, I would say, at least 50% by people I represent and others like them and we have attempted in every possible manner to have some adjustments made in the employment picture out there and you get all sorts of excuses as to why it can't be done. So my red light up there would indicate my dissatisfaction, this is no reflection on the Sponsor of this Bill, nor on the Secretary of the Racing Commission, but I'm hoping that something will be done and I'm hoping my red light would at least initiate the fact there's a lot of dissatisfaction among a large segment of the population of this State."



Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Houlihan.

Representative Mann."

"Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I must say that I'm very uncomfortable with that Board and I would be less than candid if I didn't say that I feel that there's some retribution here against Chairman, Anthony Scariano, with whom I had the privilege and many of you had the privilege of serving with for many, many years in this General Assembly. To some of my friends on this side of the aisle you may or may not recall that a couple of years ago I initiated a study of the conditions of employment, housing and health care at the racetracks concerning those employees who were grooms, racing hands, exercisers and the like and found, indeed, that their living conditions and health conditions were deplorable but Chairman Scariano appointed a committee which has done some work in this area. might say regardless of who you are and what you are I know no one, absolutely no one,/committed to affirmative action than Anthony Scariano. I see some yellow lights up there that I think are unrelated to this whole issue of affirmative employment. I think they have to do with the kind of job Mr. Scariano is doing as Chairman of that Racing Board. The fact that he doesn't take orders from anybody. The fact that he's his own man. fact that he's run the cleanest operation in the history of this State. The fact that he's actively involved in cleaning up the racing industry. The fact that he has sponsored legislation, passed under the sponsorship of Representative Jaffe that would further move toward cleaning up that industry. And it pains me no end to see an absence of affirmation by this House of the kind of job that Mr. Scariano is doing. What do we want in there? Some hack as the head of the Racing Board who is controlled by the private racing interest in this



State or do we want a stand up Chairman who is trying to do a job and is doing a job. And it's a source of concern to me when a former colleague of this House who is a stand up guy, who has the courage of his convictions in every single area of government can't get the support of this House Membership and there's more than civil rights involved in here. Mr. Scariano has dared trample on some very, very special interests in this State. And I think that we ought to give him a vote of confidence for the kind of job he's doing, not send a message back that the only way to get his appropriation through is play ball and play cozy with the special racing interests. Now, I'm not making any accusations here I'm just laying it on the line as I see it. I would implore you to give this Bill some green lights and let's pass it. We've passed it. We've passed every appropriation that's come before us and all of a sudden this one grinds to a halt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

I'd like to indicate to the Members of the House that I will take as forcefully as I can the various messages which have been discussed on the House Floor and that I will...I will indicate to the Chairman and the Racing Board, I think the...the attitude and the intentions of the House in some of those yellow lights and I would respectfully ask, Mr. Chairman, or Mr. Speaker, before we get on delayed activity that there be a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "In view of the outbrust by my...distinguished friend, Representative Mann, just let me in two quick words explain my yellow vote and I don't think anyone questions why that I vote age on all track...I...I vote present on all track legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."



Washington: "Yes, just in brief response to a previous speaker. I mildly resent the implication that I, one, have launched an attack or part of an attack upon Tony Scariano whom I know and love just as well as the gentleman who spoke and to a certain extent was my mentor when he was here and I have the greatest admiration and respect for him. But this matter is bigger than Tony Scariano; is bigger than Harold Washington or Bob Mann. The issue has been clearly delineated and I think the House, temporarily otherwise, has indicated that they sympathize in part with that issue as delineated. And the issue is, Mr. Mann, as you so well stated that Tony Scariano is his own man, he makes his own decisions and presumably he's paving new paths. I suggest that one message that should go to him, as Mr. Houlihan indicated that one path he paved is to bring the racing board into compliance with the stated public policy of this State, affirmative action and nondiscrimination hiring across the board and that's why I'm voting present. Not in derogation of you or Tony Scariano, whom I respect, but simply to make it very clear that this transcends personalities; it deals with agencies; it deals with governments; it deals with the taxpayers money; who puts his money into the coffers and does not get reciprocity from it when money from my community goes into agriculture premium fund for state fairs and county fairs and I'm not complaining, but where do we get a piece of this action? We don't get it on the dispersal end; we've got to get it on the employment end. I maintain my vote will be yellow until such time as I see a change in the policy of that Board and I don't know why you're rising Mr. Mann, because I'm...I...I've responded to you objectively and not personal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: ".. Yeah, I'm responding, Harold,..."

Speaker Redmond: "I thought it was a point of personal



privilege."

Mann: "...Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. Well, the gentleman used my name in debate...and I would just like to...to indicate to the gentleman that I'm responding not out of pique. He...he is my mentor and I appreciate his position and stand. I tried to delineate for the gentleman the fact that I thought there were some votes up there that were unrelated to this issue of affirmative hiring. That I thought and continue to think that some of the votes were punitive in nature and I don't think that the gentleman should feel resentful at all. I...I think that Tony Scariano will do all he can and I'm sure he has done all he can; will do all he can in the area of affirmative action and should."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote to present, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you record Representative Brinkmeier as present. Representative Simms. Can't you change it on...oh that's..."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as a point of order we've already had the vote, the time for explanation of votes is passed and I think a lot of the conversation is untimely."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer. Representative Lauer is present. Representative Jones. Present. Lauer, Present. Jones, present. Representative Houlihan has requested a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Selcke: "Bluthardt, Brandt, Carroll, Collins, D'Arco, Duff,"
Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fleck, Gaines,...

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I...really don't like to get involved in all these games playing and I think those present votes indicate just that. I can't envision in my mind how this General Assembly could ever adjourn and not



fund this Agency, so I would like to be recorded aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman aye."

Selcke: "Giglio, Griesheimer, Ron Hoffman, Keller, LaFleur, Lechowicz, McPartlin, Nardulli, Neff, Rose, Schuneman,

Stearney, Cissy Stiehl, Telcser, Totten, Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "...this question there are 76 ayes, 3 nays, 71 present. Time is aflecting, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "...Mr. Speaker, I've had a lot of conflicting advice about what to do with this legislation. I think that there is a serious problem. I'd urge those in the yellow column to indicate their wishes to me and maybe I can get this Bill in order that they would like to have it passed and I'd ask for...at the advice of Corneal Davis, postpone consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 941. I didn't announce it no.

It's on postponed consideration. Representative Lundy,
for what purpose do you arise?"

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask leave of the House to table House Bill 1844 of which I am the principle sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? House Bill 1844 is

tabled. Order of House Bills Third Reading. 1028. 1028." Selcke: "House Bill 1028. A Bill for...appropriation

Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This appropriates \$175,000 from the Road Fund to reopen and fix up a road in the Ferne Clyffe State Park. The history of this is that the Director of Conservation closed this road without having a public hearing and it is, in my judgment, a public road. We've had many meetings in...in our district about this. The people in the district were very incensed about it. If you could drive through Goreville you'll see signs all over the yards and so forth asking to give their road



back. There is...suit pending in the Circuit Court of Johnson County, Illinois to reopen this road. It's my judgment as a lawyer that the Court will hold that this road has to be opened. And if it does, we will need the money to fix up the road and this Bill will provide it. If this Bill does not pass, then it would give the Administration an excuse, even though the Court directed the road to be opened, that they did not have the money to do it. This Bill passed through the Energy and Environment Committee, it passed the Appropriations Committee and I would appreciate the support of the House in this Bill which is much needed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Willer: "How long is this road?"

Hart: "It's about a half mile."

Willer: "How wide?"

Hart: "Well, it's a two lane black top road."

Willer: "Thank you."

Hart: "Twenty-four feet or something like that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "You indicated this Bill was reported out of the

Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Committee. That

was by a vote of 7 to 5, wasn't it?"

Hart: "Well, I don't remember what it was."

Schlickman: "You also indicated that the Director of the

Department of Conservation determined that the road should

be closed."

Hart: "He did it on his own without reference to the statutes which require a public hearing prior to the closing of a public road. We had a...a meeting at the Goreville High School and he was there; I was the chairman of the meeting and he advised us that upon advice from his consultants



or technical advisers within the Department, they had advised him that it was not a public road. I suggested at that time that it was a public road and that he reconsider his actions but he did not do that. In fact ...instead of doing that, they went ahead and closed it and a suit was filed by citizens of Goreville and interested to direct...to...to question whether or not it is a public road and that is the issue pending in the Circuit Court at Johnson County."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lev..."

- Schlickman: "Is it true that the Department of Conservation went out of its way at additional expense to deliberately tear up this road and make it impassable and has there ever been a reason found their actions in this destructive effort?"
- With great speed and planted grass on it almost overnight because of the known objections from the citizenry. I presume they felt if they went ahead and did it then maybe it would quiet it down but it did not. And the reasons they gave for it were not...not good. There was indications that there some inability to police the situation when they had two roads but they've had two roads for many, many years and the crime rate in Ferne Clyffe State Park is zero."
- Schlickman: "If I can speak to the Bill, I supported this
  Bill in Committee and I think for a public safety feature
  there should be two entrances and exists to that park
  and I'll again support it here on the Floor."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos, do you desire to be recognized? Representative Schlickman, did I interrupt your...guess not. Any further questions? Question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this... Borchers, aye. On this question 101 ayes, 11 nays. The



Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1048."

Selcke: "House Bill 1048. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Illinois Commission on Human Relations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, E. M."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr...Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1048 is the normal operating contingency expense for the Illinois Commission on Human Relation, the amount is 629,000; there was one amendment which changed the priorities in the manner in which the money was allocated, there was no reduction. The amount and the present fiscal is \$514,000. I would solicit your support for the...House Bill 1048."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; opposed no. Have all voted who wish? ...all voted who wish? Representative Mann."

Mann: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my aye vote here,

I...I certainly feel that Connie Seales has done a
fine job as chairman of this commission but I might
suggest that possibly this is one area where the Illinois
Commission on Human Relations might be involved in this
racetrack situation in terms of utilizing their resources
to perhaps get all the facts and get some affirmative
action going. I...I think it would be a very legitimate
area for them to get involved in."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 aye, no nay and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1071. Is Charlie Keller back?"

Selcke: "House Bill 1071. An Act making appropriation to

Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we'll have to take that out of

the record. I'd like to advise the House where we stand.



About 1200 House Bills passed over to the Senate. There's 270, roughly, on First Reading and nobody has been identified as Sponsor of those House Bills. I would suggest that any...any House Sponsor that has any interest in having his Bill moved would take whatever precautions are necessary to make sure that a...a Senator picks up a Bill. That's about 270 of them. We have 700 Bills over there that are in Committee. We have about 460 Senate Bills in our Committees at the present time. This feels to me a little bit like old times because I came here in 1959 and one of my close seatmates looked over my shoulder all the time until this term and now he is back today and he's looking over my shoulder, former Representative John Elsip, he's up in the balcony above me. We also have the Lieutenant Governor of Illinois on the Floor someplace. Neil Hartigan, where...there he is. We're going to violate a rule and recognize Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. I...this only happens
once in a lifetime but we have back there, the distinguished
mayor pro tem who is the mayor pro tem to the greatest
mayor in the world, the Honorable Wilson Frost, Mayor
Pro Tem of the City of Chicago."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills Third Reading, 1172."
Selcke: "House Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "I was going to handle 1071 for Representative Keller
with leave of the House."

Speaker Redmond: Okay. 1...1071."

Selcke: "House Bill 1071. A Bill for an Act making appropriation

Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill appropriates \$2,000,000 from the road fund for the construction of an overpass in Effingham, Illinois at the intersection of...Route 40 and the Illinois



Central Railroad Company. Last year, a hearing was held before the Illinois Commerce Commission and an order was entered in May directing the construction of this grade separation. The Department of Transportation has,...in accordance with the order, proceeded...the construction, I mean to the design and other plans in connection with the construction of this overpass. We were advised in the Appropriations Committee that this has a negative impact on the environment and it...there's going to be a public hearing in accordance with the procedures established by the Illinois Commerce Commission and the laws of the State of Illinois on July 17th. They can proceed with the construction of this grade separation if the monies become available. This money will be taken from the Road Fund and it is in compliance with and in conjunction with an order of the Illinois Commerce Commission. The total amount of the... the...construction of the grade separation will be \$3.2,000,000. The City of Effingham is going to pay 25% of the construction cost. The Illinois Central Railroad will contribute 5% of the construction cost and this will provide the balance. We would appreciate the support of the House on this appropriation Bill... and Representative Cunningham."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Am I going to be interrupted, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Not unless you talk too long."

Schlickman: "I've got 10 minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. ..used, too."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "You indicate that this appropriations would be

from the Road Fund, is that correct?"

Hart: "That is by the amendment that was put on."

Schlickman: "By the amendment."

Hart: "That's right."



- Schlickman: "...You also indicated that it was for an overpass the digest indicates an underpass. Which will it be?"
- Hart: "Well, I believe it's an underpass. The...the highway is going to go under the railroad."
- Schlickman: "What contribution...towards this improvement will be made by the railroad?"
- Hart: "5% which is in accordance with the Illinois Commerce
  Commission."
- Schlickman: "Will there be any federal money involved?"

  Hart: "...There very well may be through the Highway Act

  which is funneled through the Department of Transportation,

  all mingled into the Road Fund."
- Schlickman: "And this project will be...would be supervised by the Department of Transportation."
- Hart: "Definitely. It will be designed and built under their supervision or by them and it would be done by bids and in a normal procedure."
- Schlickman: "Do you know if this is within the Governor's budget for the Road Fund?"
- Hart: "No. It is not within the budget but I do know that this particular underpass has one of the highest priorities in the State of Illinois in terms of need. Effingham, Illinois is one of two places in the country where Amtrack crosses and Amtrack crosses the B&O and Illinois Central very near this intersection. The crossing of the B&O tends to back up and contribute to the delays in the Illinois Central's crossing Route 40 or Fayette Avenue in Effingham and the testimony indicated that. I think the criteria for a number of automobiles and railroad trains crossing this intersection was something like 30 to 1 in terms of minimum to justify an underpass."
- Schlickman: "Do you know if the priority for this underpass is any greater than the proposed underpass for the intersection of Arlington Heights Road and the Northwestern Railroad in Arlington Heights, Illinois?"



Hart: "I...I do not know that. No."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor...do you seek

recognition?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Taylor: "Representative Hart, I'm looking at a chart that states that the Department of Transportation has three

persons that are employees of the Governor's Action Office

that is being paid by the Department of Transportation.

Would this Bill that you have be the one that they'll pin those persons onto?"

Hart: "No it would not."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Could you turn the mike off, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. Any further

questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. All

in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative

Geo-Karis. Aye. All voted who wish? Geo-Karis. Clerk

will take the record. On this question, there's 98 ayes,

...99 ayes, 1 no. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1172."

Selcke: "House Bill 1172. A Bill; for an Act to provide

for the ordinary and contingent expenses...Environmental

Protection Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. 1314."

Selcke: "House Bill 1314. A Bill for an Act to provide for

the ordinary and contingent expenses, the Department

Mental Health, develop mental and disabilities. Third

Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs, can you handle this Bill? Representative Byers on the Floor? Repre-

sentative Downs. Here comes Byers."

Downs: "If I have one moment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers is here."



Byers: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1314 is an appropriation bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers will you turn his microphone on."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 1314 is the appropriation bill for the Department of Mental Health. The appropriation this year is approximately \$45,000,000 more than last year and the bill has three amendments to it that we discussed yesterday on the House floor. And I'd be glad to answer any questions that anybody might have."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "I would ask the sponsor, I note that there was

an amendment for the Community Services for the disabled,

has their been any selection of the sites at which these

community installations will be...uh...for which funds

will be allocated?"

Byers: "Uh...specified a certain amount of monies for these community grants where more money can be spent in those different areas, I don't know that they picked out specific sites...uh...for those on this one amendment."

Cunningham: "The reason for the question, Representative Byers, is that I have received many letters from constituents from counties throughout the district..."

Byers: "Right"

Cunningham: "Expressing an interest on behalf of the local county groups, and I would inquire whether the amount in the amendment is adequate, in your judgement, or the department's to fund each of these applications or is there...or are there just a few that will be chosen?"

Byers: "No...uh...what this amendment took in...uh...the recommendation of that particular group of people that asked
and was writing to us about those particular amendments
we took their recommendation in, this was in addition to the
budget. This amendment was more than the Department of



Mental Health asked and it does take care of those people."

Cunningham: "In your opinion then, there is enough to cover all the pending applications?"

Byers: "Right, that they asked for and that...uh...it met the...uh...recommendation of the...uh...citizens for retarded citizens association is asking for this."

Cunningham: "We shall rely upon your assurances."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

to send out direct mail appeals over the signature of the Governor of Illinois to citizens of the State of Illinois?'

Byers: "Mr. Skinner, I'm not sure that there...that they will be or they won't be, I just don't know."

Skinner: "Will any of the money from this budget be used

Skinner: "Well, being an honorable person, if we find that any of the postage money from this Department is used for quasi-political purposes for the promotion of the Bill or that Bill by the office of the Governor, will you pledge to do your best to take adequate...uh...remedy remedying steps next year?"

Byers: "I don't know...um...I just work here."

Skinner: "Well, let me lay it right on the line. The Governor of this State has sent out direct mail appeals to every senior citizen in the 33rd and 2nd legislative districts and probably in more legislative districts than that in support of House bill 2466, the generic drug bill that Representative Marovitz is sponsoring. To read the paragraph in question, it says 'I urge you to contact your legislators and let them know what your views are on this issue. Your efforts can help pass this beneficial legislation.' Now that money came out of the postage line item from the Department of General Services and if you think that you might not feel that it would be inappropriate for the Department of Mental Health to use their postage for the same purpose or similar purposes, I would suggest that we all ought to vote against this Bill. Now I wonder if I



could ask the question again?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Perhaps I haven't heard the gentleman right but his he questioning him about the Bill or some action the Governor is taking in another unrelated area."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken. Confine your questions to the Bill."

Skinner: "Well, as a matter of fact, the point probably isn't well taken because the majority leader only got in on the

last part of the question, the original question was...."

Speaker Redmond: "The ruling was that you were out of order on that question. Now confine yourself to 1314."

Skinner: "Will any of the money in House bill 1314 be used by
the Governor of the state to send out direct mail appeals
to our constituents in support or opposition of any specific
bill that will be introduced in this House or may have
already been introduced in this House during this budget
year upcoming?"

Byers: "Mr. Skinner, as the sponsor of the Bill I can't say that it will or won't be..uh..uh..I think it's...uh...you know, one of those questions that I can't answer. The Governor can answer it, I think you should direct your question to Governor Walker."

Skinner: "Would you approve of such an expenditure?"

Speaker Redmond: "Your still out of order."

Skinner: "Am I not talking on this subject?"

Speaker Redmond: "No you're not."

Skinner: "Am I not asking about the postage...!"

Speaker Redmond: "You are not."

Skinner: "...item of the Department of Mental Health budget,

if I'm not please ask me what I'm asking about."

Speaker Redmond: "Your asking the opinion of the sponsor as to whether he felt about the whatever the Governor may or maynot do...."

Skinner: "Sponsors are generally willing to commit to an



epical..."

Speaker Redmond: "Your out of order. Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, you have ruled about four times. My point of order is once is enough."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, will the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Byers do you still have \$300,000 in here for the treatment and education of narcotics research?"

Byers: Yes, yes."

Ryan: "It's still in the Bill?"

Byers: "Yes"

Ryan: "I was under the impression that that was to come out on second reading, but there was no need for that to be in this Bill."

Byers: "I know that there was no need for it and...uh...no one presented an amendment to take it out so it's still there."

Ryan: "Well may be we can make an attempt to get it out in the Senate, would that be alright with you?"

Byers: "Right, that's where it should...I think it should come out...uh..and put it in that other appropriation bill.

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor yield.?"

Speaker Redmond: ""Indicated he will."

Friedland: "Mr. Sponsor, how many employees are employed by the Department of Mental Health?"

Byers: "Approximately 20,000."

Friedland: "How many residents or inmates are there in the institutions in Illinois?"

Byers: "About 27,000."

Friedland: "To your knowledge, I have a concern about the



Elgin State Hospital, hardly a week goes by, when a walk away patient...uh...get hits by a car or jumps off a bypass into the river, is there anything planned to curb that to your knowledge?"

Byers: "Uh...Mr. Representative, I'm not...uh...Mr. Speaker

I'm having a hard time hearing Representative's questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you give the gentleman order, please.

Representative Friedland will you repeat the question?"

Friedland: "Mr. Sponsor, the question is...uh...I have a concern about the Elgin State Hospital which is located in my district.

Hardly a week goes by when there isn't a patient, a walk-

away patient, who is hit by a car on the highway or if he makes it across the highway he jumps into the river...uh... to your knowledge...uh...uh...is there any program planned to curb that activity."

Byers: "We didn't discuss this in appropriations committee that
there was anything of that nature in there. I do know that
there is more money to be spent on Elgin State Hospital

next year and when through that there would be something

next year and...uh...through that there would be something that would offer some hope to your constituents Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 118 'aye', 3 'no' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 1366."

Selcke: "House Bill 1366. A Bill for an Act making an Appropriation to the Ordinary and Contingent Expense to

the Department of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This makes the appropriation to the Department of Corrections for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the fiscal year



beginning July 1, 1975. You may notice that the digest indicates that the amount is \$94,160,100. Through three amendments the...uh...amount is now approximately \$97,000,000 and I ask for your vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "How does the appropriation purposed for fiscal '76 compare with the anticipated expenditure for fiscal '75?"

Kosinski: "If you remember, yesterday we discussed the three amendments, this is the bill you inquired about the three amendments, where amendment number one reduced to two million something, and amendment #2 increased it about five million because of greater anticipated adult population and so there is an increase because of the anticipated greater adult population."

Schlickman: "Yea...but what is the increase total?"

Kosinski: "Well the total amount will be approximately

\$97,000,000, now we take a decrease of \$2,200,00 from

\$5,000,000 we have an increase of about \$3,800,000, something in there."

Schlickman: "Well that's an increase over the bill as was introduced. What I've asked is with the Bill standing the way it is now with an approximate \$97,000,000 appropriation, how does that figure, \$97,000,000, compare with the anticipated expenditure for fiscal '75?"

Kosinski: "Unfortunately, Gene, I don't have to total staff analysis here as I did in the appropriation committee. I merely have the amendments and the Bill. I can look that up for you and answer another time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The fiscal '75 appropriation was approximately \$83,000,000."

Kosinski: "Thank you Mr. Lechowicz."



Speaker Redmond; "Question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield to a question?"
Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Taylor: "Representative Kosinski, is this the same correctional officers that the...that the hiring of two people from the Department...to the Department of the Governors action office.

The correctional...the Department of Correction is hiring

two of their persons to work in the Governor's Action Office,

is this the appropriation for those persons?"

Kosinski:: "That question was asked in the appropriation
 committee, I think by Mr. Keller. If he's on the floor,
 possibly, he can give the answer to that."

Taylor: "Representative Koskinski, I'm not on the appropriation committee, that's why I asked you."

Kosinski: "I don't remember what the answer was but it appeared to me that Mr. Keller was satisfied at the time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Maragos: "Roman, I'm sorry I was not on the floor when you started the debated otherwise I would have had an opportunity to question privately. What does this Bill do regarding the line items percentage for community service section, is there line items specified in that for that purpose?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I never got an answer and since Representative Keller is not on the floor, I would appreciate if the Sponsor would take the Bill out of the record until we can get some answers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Uh...I hesitated very strongly to quote a response
to Mr. Keller but we...I do have some information if Mr.
Taylor will wait just one moment and Mr. Speaker hold for
a moment. I am told that there are not people from GAO or



IIS on the Correction payroll, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I disagree with the sponsor because it does indicate on the...the chart that I have that did go before the appropriation committee that they do...they do pay out \$14,...\$17,124 for two secretaries that are working in the Governor Action Office."

Kosinski: "Mr. Taylor, I'm informed by the staff from corrections that these people have not been on the payroll since May lst."

Taylor: "Since May 1st?"

Kosinski: "Yes sir."

Taylor: "Thank you very much."

Kosinski:: "Then you withdraw your objection, sir."

Taylor: "I will withdraw my objection."

Kosinski: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker may we have roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "You've been up before haven't you?"

Ryan: "Not on this bill, I was up on another bill but not on this one."

Speaker Redmond: "OK. Representative Kosinski."

Ryan: "Your tough."

Kosinski: "Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "So are you. And I know what your doing."

Ryan: "Representative Kosinski, on May 1st the Department of
Personnel and Nolan Jones, the Director, issued a memo that
cost the State of Illinois almost \$600,000 in overtime pay,

is that correct?"

Kosinski: "Was that federal funds, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "He issued, & I understand it, he issued this in response
to the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act...uh...for overtime
pay..."

Kosinski: "I think, Mr. Ryan, that was last year wasn't it?"

Ryan: "But the Department was not mandated to pay that."

Kosinski: "I think that was last year, Mr. Ryan."



Ryan: "Was that last year?"

Kosinski: "I think so, sir."

Ryan: "Well then was that increase still included in this years budget?"

Kosinski: "We'd have to double check but the assumption is that there is overtime included in the budget."

Ryan: "Could you tell me approximately how much?"

Kosinski: "We!d have to check those figures back at staff,
Mr. Ryan. Your staff man, if he's there may have those
at his fingertips."

Ryan: "As I understand it, it was about \$600,000, but I'm not sure."

Kosinski: "Well, that figure I think was last year Mr. Ryan but what it is this year, you remember was discussed in appropriation, but I can't remember the answer."

Ryan: "What is your answer then, Representative?"

Kosinski: "We'd have to go through line by line to get this figure for you but my question is that it was reduced about 50% from what was requested Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "But we're still paying part of that when it really

wasn't necessary, is that right?"

Kosinski: "If it was requested, Mr. Ryan, I must presume it
 was necessary."

Ryan: "Well, to set the record straight, Representative Kosinski, uh...the people in the Department of Corrections were

exempt from that directive that came from the Federal Act.

Kosinski: "I don't `think that is correct, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, can you straighten me out?"

And...uh...Nolan, Director Jones..."

Kosinski: "Well Mr. Ryan, a correction, they were exempt but the question came up as to whether they would be treated any differently than any other employees in that group and right now it's in the middle of collective bargaining." .

Ryan: "You have no idea how much money that costs the state for this fiscal year, forthcoming?"



Kosinski: "We'd have to line item each one, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor will vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I'm voting no for simple reason. I think some more should join me. This is the same group of people that furloughed murderers, rapist, child molesters, and etc. causing the death...uh...and murder of other individuals because of their lack of checking the background of certain of the persons they furloughed. So I think we ought to have a few red lights up there just to show that we're aware what their doing."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 5 'nays', the Bill having received the constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1699."

Selcke: "House Bill 1699. A Bill for An Act to Appropriate
Funds for the Administration of the Animal Control Act.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill helped every downstate county in the State of Illinois. In 1973 we enacted what was called the Animal Control Act and imposed obligations and duties on every county in the State including the hiring of an administrator, the building of a pound, and other costly items without giving any of the counties any way of funding this additional requirement. This Bill would provided \$20,000 for each county to reimburse them for the start up costs in the implementation of the dog act, or the Animal Control Act. I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."



Speaker Redmond: ... "Indicated he will."

Mann: "Yeh, would the sponsor yield to a question?"

Mann: "Dick...uh...you mention downstate counties, I've been

getting alot of mail from my district concerning the problem of stray dogs and etc...uh...one, would this help

Cook and two, is that within the purview of this Act?"

Hart: "No, it's just a simple appropriation...uh...to the
 counties other than Cook."

...

Mann: "Well does Cook have such a program of its own or do you know?"

Hart: "Oh, I'm sure they do and many of the cities within Cook do, too."

Mann: "Who...uh...who can I...well I'll talk to you about it.

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative

Schlickman: "Will the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicated he will."

Schlickman: "This \$2,020,000, will that come from the General Revenue fund?"

Hart: "Yes, it will."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? "

Schlickman: "Yes."

Thank you."

Schlickman."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "By what rationale can you justify the state funding of this county program?"

Hart: "The rationale is because the state mandated it, the state should pay for it. And this is one of the problems that has arisen during my terms in the legislature which is consistently brought to the attention of the county problems commission is the fact that the legislature continues to impose obligations on counties without giving it or them the ability or added funds to pay for them and ...uh...this would be...uh...kind of a situation in where

the legislature would put it's money where it's mouth was."



Schlickman: "Well now this is an Act that was approved in 1973, two years ago."

Hart: "That's right."

Schlickman: "And at the time, if I may Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address myself to the measure. I'm sure at the time there was no indication to the General Assembly when it was asked to pass the Animal Control Act, that two years later the General Assembly would be solicited for over \$2,000,000 from the General Revenue fund for this program. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, that the better approach, if another approach is to be taken, is that instead of appropriating more money from the General Revenue fund hasten the day when there's going to be an increase in the income tax, that we repeal the Animal Control Act. And I'd be willing to bet, Mr. Speaker members of the House, the county officials would be against the repeal of this act for the authority it provides to them. And I'd be willing to suggest, Mr. Speaker, members of the House, that it was not the Department of Agriculture that imposed upon the counties this responsibility but it was the counties themselved who sought the authority and  $wh\phi$ now want financial assistance from the state. It's the county responsibility, the counties have the available resources through their tax levying authority and that's where the burden should lie, upon the counties on an equitable basis, county by county. And I urge a no vote on this outlandish appropriation from the General Revenue Fund."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Rigney: "You made the statement earlier that there are no monies for this program. Under the original Act, there was going to be a four dollar fee for the dog owners, isn't that correct? To support the program?"



Hart: "Yes, but at least in my area the four dollar fee would not...uh...anywhere come near meeting the requirement financially of the obligations that were imposed but there was as you well know a fee of four dollars."

Rigney: "But as I recall you were the one that led the charge even to eliminate the four dollar fee, isn't that correct?"

Hart: "Yes, I thank you for that rememberance. I probably led that charged and...uh...and we made it optional on the county, from zero to four dollars."

Rigney: "Did your county abolish this fee?"

Hart: "No, they reduced it to two dollars."

Rigney: "Well, I might say Mr. Speaker, that our county and those in our end of the state immediately reinstated the four dollar fee under the optional system we're getting along fine and we're operating our own show there, I don't think this bill is necessary...uh...I think it's a bad precedent and I would urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Would the sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicated he will."

Simms: "Representative Hart, what is the smallest county
 in population in the State of Illinois, what is the size
 of it?"

Hart: "Around 4,000."

Simms: "4,000. And the largest county is Cook which has well over a million population, is it true then in your bill that you are going to give the same amount of money to a county with 4,000 people as you are a county with over one million population."

Hart: "Yes."

Simms: "Well Mr. Speaker, speaking to the merits of the bill,

I think thats...uh..the intent of the bill may be very

meritorious but the formula set up is very unfair in the

proportion of responsibility and functions of the county.

We're going to give \$20,000 to a county with a population of



to give \$20,000 to a county with a population of well over a million people. This legislation is discriminatory against the larger counties that have more responsibility which have more functions and for this reason I think House Bill 1699 should not be passed by the Assembly on the very fact that it is discriminatory against larger

approximately 4,000 people, the same time we're going

counties in the state with the largest centers of population. And if we take the centers of population in the state, the proportion is tremendously unfair, so I would urge a no vote on 1699."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

"Representative Simms, I think, took the words Skinner: almost out of my mouth. I have one question of sponsor and that is how much money goes to his district of the total appropriation?"

Hart: "\$2,020,000."

"And what is the total appropriation?"

Hart: "That's it."

Skinner: "No, I meant what, how many hundreds of thousands of dollars goes to your district out of that two million... Hart: "Well, I have twelve counties in my district so it would

be \$240,000 but it goes to, so much to each county and it just depends on ... "

Skinner: "I am not very familiar with the classes of counties

...uh...does this mean every county gets something?" Hart: "Except Cook."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions?"

Skinner: "I would not have the guts to introduce a Bill like that."

Hart: "Well why don't you speak to the bill or against it, knock off the innuendos or whatever."

Speaker Redmond: "Knock off the innuendos. Representative

Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "May I address a question, Mr. Speaker to the sponsor?



Speaker Redmond: "Proceed"

Geo-Karis: "Uh...Dick, will that help my county, I am from

Lake County."

Hart: "Yes it will, \$20,000."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is shall this bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Hart."

Hart: "I would like to have the opportunity to close."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

support for this Bill."

Hart: "First, the objection is that were stated by the gentleman from Winnebago...uh...this bill is a reaction to the discrimination that was imposed on a county by the Animal Control Act of 1973. We imposed obligations and duties upon those counties at that time, regardless of size, or ability to pay. Your county is very nice, if your county can handle this situation. My counties cannot and I have a telegram here from the...uh...uh...

Margaret Myer, Dupage County, Legislative Chairman, urging

Speaker Redmond: "Just a minute, I understand there's somebody taking pictures from the gallery. Permission has not been extended. Please refrain."

Hart: "If it would be the attitude to be indifferent about the

requirement of smaller counties by members of this General Assembly then I am glad you showed it on the floor of the House but I wasn't sent here to be indifferent about the problems of any part of the State of Illinois. But...uh.. we need to provide the funds, the Department of Agriculture would be received as appropriation and would not have to spend it except as needed. We...uh...I have talked to some people in the Department of Agriculture, they cannot officially support this Bill because it is without...it is outside the Budget, but they have indicated to me that they

have shown and found financial obligations on counties that



they never anticipated when they supported this legislation in the House in 1973. They did not even understand what they were getting into and I don't think many of us did either. So this is a Bill which would allow the Director of Agriculture to reimburse counties in his, which in his discretion need the money for the implementation of the I would just like to advise some of the members of the General Assembly that there are counties in Illinois which have not implemented the Animal Control Act even though mandated by the State of Illinois because they do not have the money and do not have the ability to raise the money. So if you want, if you want the counties to follow the act of the legislature which you imposed upon them then you got to come up with the funds where needed to do that and that's what this bill would do. appreciate the support of the House. This Bill came out of Appropriation Committee unanimously."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 20 'no'. The Bill having received the constitutional majority hereby declared passed. 2038. Representative Hirschfeld. 2038."

Selcke: "House Bill 2038. An Act making Appropriation to

Department of Registration, Education. Third Reading of
the Bill."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2038 merely appropriates \$17,500 to the Department of Registration, Education in order to implement the athletic trainers licensing act which passed this House several weeks ago and I would move for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.



On this question there's 116 ayes, 3 nays. The Bill having received the constitutional majority...hereby declared passed. 2103. Representative Chapman, do you want that called? Representative Chapman. Representative Chapman, do you want 2103 called? Take that out of the record, Sponsor does not desire 2240 to be called. How about 2445? Representative Yourell. 2476, Representative Shea. 2580, Representative Deuster. 2790, Representative Washburn. 2812, Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, in order to do a little housekeeping for you, I move to table House Bill 2301."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, leave is

granted...table 2301. Representative Schraeder.
Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I would likewise like to move to

Table House Bill 1154 on postponed and also 2302 and 2304."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave is granted.

Table 1154, 2302, 2304. Representative Calvo, you're recognized. Table..."

Calvo: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table House Bill 797." Speaker Redmond: "Seven what?"

Calvo: "797"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing no objections leave is granted to table 797. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, in order to clear out the computer, I would

like to ask leave to table House Bill 2305."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, 2305 is tabled. Representative Taylor. 2812. Take that out of the record. 2834 Representative Keller, Representative Hart can you handle that? Take that out of the record. 2942, Representative Brinkmeier. Take it out of the record. 2971, Representative Berman. Representative Berman on the Floor? Take that out of the record. 2972,

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2972. A Bill for an Act making



Representative Tuerk ...72."

appropriation to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 2972 appropriates \$186,000,000. As you know the Illinois Pension Code provides that the State shall make contributions from the common school fund and other State funds to the Teachers Retirement System to meet certain obligations of the State. And what this Bill does is appropriate \$146,700,000 for downstate and an additional \$40,000,000 for Chicago teachers. Now as many of you recall on Second Reading there was an attempt to amend this upward and certainly I didn't resist that move but it did not prevail. Now what the \$186,000,000 appropriation entails is a payoff for teacher pension. This does not certainly fund any of the unfunded liability on teacher pensions but what it does do is meet the statutory requirement of funding from State appropriation that sum of money necessary to cover the member's contributions. would ask for your favorable support to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "...discussion. The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 153 ayes, no may and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 2986. Representative...2971, pardon me."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2971. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2971, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is the appropriation for fiscal year '76 for the funding of the school aid formula. amount of this appropriation is \$1,254,000,000. I...this, the major part of this \$1,234,000,000 represents a recognition and a step forward in line with the commitments that this Legislature made last year to the concept of



the full funding of the school aid formula. The other \$20,000,000 is a recognition and allocation of money to better equalize the distribution of school aid money... particularly to downstate districts. The total appropriation will be allocated pursuant to substance of Bills some of which are in the House and some of which are in the Senate. I think that we can proudly support this Bill as a follow through to the General Asembly's commitment for its full funding of the school aid formula. I will appreciate your affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Question. Question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 143 aye, 1 nay. ...Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed.



Speaker Redmond: "2985."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2985, Emil Jones. A Bill for an Act to to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Governor's Action Office. Third Reading of the Bill."

Emil Jones: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House,
House Bill 2985, as amended, appropriates \$1,753,645 for the
Governor's Action Office. Due to the annual appropriation for
the Governor's Action Office, the office was created by the
Executive of the State of Illinois to deliver services to the
people throughout the state. It is a ombudsman type of office
that tried to cut through the red tape and give the citizens
of the State of Illinois an opportunity to receive the resources
for which they are entitled. There are approximately 110 employees in the state and approximately 13 offices located
throughout the State of Illinois. As I stated before, the Bill,
as amended, appropriates \$1,753,645 and I ask for a favorable
Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates that he will."

Taylor: "Representative Jones, could you tell me how many employees that the Governor's Action Office employs?"

Jones: "Approximately 110."

tell you that."

Taylor: "110 ah.... well, my notes show 112. Ah.... are these persons presently paid by the Governor's Action Office or are they paid by other departments?"

Jones: "Some are paid by other departments. With the Constitution ah... of the State of Illinois as well as the substantive language for each one of the departments payroll they are on, ah... they are ah... paid ah... they are actually legal in doing so."

Taylor: "Mr. Jones ah.... Representative Jones, could you tell me how many are employed by the Department of Public Aid?"
Jones: "Well, I don't have that figure, Mr. Taylor. I couldn't



Taylor: "Well there is 62 by the Department of Public Aid. Department of Transportation, do you have that figure, Mr. Jones?"

Jones: "Well, let me say this, Mr. Taylor, this is an appropriation beginning for F.Y. '76, which began July 1st of this year."

Taylor: "Mr. Jones, would you answer my question, please, Sir. Do you know how many are employed by the Department of Transportation?"

Jones: "I don't have the exact figure, but if you have the exact figure there's nothing wrong with it. What is the exact figure?"

Taylor: "Three. Do you have many..... do you know how many are employed by the Department of Children and Family Services?"

Jones: "If you have the figure, go ahead and read it."

Taylor: "There are three. Do you know many are ah....."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker...... could I have a little order here, please, because I can hardly hear."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the gentleman order."

Taylor: "Representative Jones, could you tell me how many are employed by the Department of Revenue?"

Jones: "I don't have the exact figure."

Taylor: "There are ten. Can you tell me, Mr. Jones, whether these particular agencies are ah.... help appropriated money for those in the business that are employed by those agencies?"

Jones: "Well, actually, Mr. Taylor, I have not held those particular Appropriation Bills, however, I am informed of the fact that these monies have not been included in the budgets for F.Y. '76. However, I refer you to the statutes of the State of Illinois concerning each agency and each department. If you look at the statutes of the State of Illinois, those persons who are on those payrolls are on there legally. The substantive language is there and if you checked that out ah.... then you would not be asking me all those questions."

Taylor: "Mr. Jones, could you tell me what is the ratio breakdown of the employees there? How many are black?"

Jones: "I'd say approximately 48% of the employees of the Governor's



Action Office are black."

Taylor: "Not percent, how many are black there?"

Jones: "I don't have the exact number, but I can get for you in one moment, Taylor. They tell me 36."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, can I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that there are some people on the floor that are not entitled to be on the floor, lobbying on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The Doorkeeper will make sure that nobody is on the floor that doesn't belong on the floor. All unauthorized persons, leave the floor. Representative Downs."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I raise the point of order as to the propriety of an individual asking rhetorical questions as a means for testing the Sponsor as to whether the Sponsor has got the same set of figures before the Sponsor as the questioner has. I think that this is improper."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Could I address the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Taylor: "I don't think anyone on this floor knows how I feel about House Bill 2985. I have lobbied you as well as the Governor's people and the Sponsor of this particular Bill and I have lobbied you in opposition to this particular piece of legislation. I did it because of the fact that I do not believe that when these agencies claim that they are short of help and is trying to add up the appropriation money each year for these particular agencies, then they have the time to send their employees into another agency where they doing anything but practicing politics. I say that this Bill should be defeated. You know, if someone is able to give the same service in your area that you are giving, they will eventually replace you. That is going to happen here to many of you. The Governor's Action Office if strictly a political organization and I think each of you, each



of you should make certain that you do not create a third party

in this state and that is exactly what this legislation is intended to do and if you don't believe me, ask many of the other Representatives on this floor, who have had the problem that I have had in past elections. I do not believe that they serve any meaningful purpose in my area. If they did so, then I would support it. Therefore, Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, I hope that you find in your heart to vote against this Bill today, 2985."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this Bill and I think that Representative Taylor puts it in its proper perspective. We refused to appropriate for this office when it was called The Governor's Office of Human Resources, at a level \$600,000 less than this Bill comes in. Now they are asking \$1,700,000 for what? For a political agency for the Governor to use as he will. Now I did support this Bill when it came in as GOHR last time ah.... really against my better judgement and then I was appalled to see that after the legislature refused to appropriate for this agency, we found these people winding up on various payrolls throughout the state. We even found the Director of the Illinois Information Service on a State Hospital ah... for the Mentally Ill that ah... no longer existed. So this is just the first of many spurious agencies that we're being ask to appropriate. I think this is the golden opportunity to save the taxpayers of this state, \$1,700,000 of needed tax monies. Need I remind you that when we tried to find out where these people were ah.... now collecting their paychecks, the Auditor General of this state, an office created by the New Constitution of this state and answerable to the General Assembly; we directed through the Legislative Audit Commission, the Auditor General, to look into where these people were drawing their money. We found them on every payroll throughout the state government and when we tried to ask them questions and to hold them accountable, we were met with arrogance



and resistance and really the General Assembly of this state was told to go to hell by the Executives of ah.... that department of this state. I think this is a Bill that ah.... we ah....an agency that we don't need. It's one that we should turn down. It's an opportunity to save the taxpayers a large amount of money and I join with Representative Taylor and I hope that the majority of this House ah....I'm urging the defeat of this agency."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House,

I also rise to oppose this Bill and I support everything that
Representative Collins said. My observation ah... with regards
the Governor's position with this piece of legislation is ah...
I get the feeling that he thinks he's the Governor of 'Id' and
everybody in this state ah... the citizens therein are called
'idiots', particularly with referrence to this Body, which in
the last legislature denied him the funds and he saw fit to
ignore that mandate and put these agencies in ah.... put these
employees in another agency and then have the temerity to send
these employees out to work in a political ah... posture and
I say that if we support Bill 2985 we will be doing nothing
more than subsidizing a yet unnamed political party. It probably
would be the 'idiot party'. I urge your 'no' vote on this Bill.
Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in support of House Bill 2985, I should simply like to comment; that if in the wisdom of this Body, we can appropriate over \$2,000,000 for the benefit of animals in our counties, then it seems to me that in our wisdom we should appropriate less than \$2,000,000 for the benefit of the citizens and taxpayers of the state. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, very seriously, I think this charade has gone far enough. I want



to commend Representative Jones for sponsoring this Bill. I want to remind the Body that this is just one of dozens of appropriations for agencies of state government and we don't have time to be ah.... attempting to ah... display out politics at the expense of the people. Two years ago, I reminded this Body that the Governor's Action Office was the one agency through which minorities could function at the grass roots level and bring services, needed services, to people. It's unfortunate that some of us ah... don't realize that this is 1976, not 1975, not 1973. We know what happened in this particular agency two years ago, but that has nothing to do with what is happening here now. As a matter of fact, the Sponsor of this Bill ah.... misunderstood it and ah.... as many of the rest of us did, but I think that's what we ought to do now. Pass this Bill and move on and take care of the state business. There are other ah.... hundreds of other appropriations that must be passed. I think Representative Schlickman put his finger on it. We are voting out millions of dollars here day after day for creeks and dams and ditchs and animals and you name it and here we are talking about an agency designed to relieve human misery, our responsibility, and here we are playing games. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to speak in opposition to House Bill 2985 and I'll tell you why.

I think every Member of this House should be concerned about the implications that are in this Bill. Under two previous Governors, this department, The Governor's Office of Human Resources, has been misused for political purposes. There has been no indication under the present administration that this performance will improve. In fact, what the legislature did last year to abolish this office has been completely negated by an act of the Governor of this state. There is in existance today, no executive order to provide for the functions of this department and yet almost 120 people are working around this



state, in some cases, to defeat Members of this General Assembly. Now let me remind you, Members of both sides of the aisle, the political implications of this Bill. Last year there were some Members on that side of the aisle who were encountered by members of the Governor's Office of Human Resources, called GOHR some of those Members survived. Those Members were almost gored to death. Representative Leon. Representative Lechowicz, survived that bloody massacre and are back here as Members of this General Assembly today, and Representative DiPrima. Let me remind the Members on my side of the aisle that there is a vacancy over here where there was a Member that was defeated and his tombstone reads, 'Gored to death', and I'm talking about John Jacob Wolf. There's another Member who used to be on this side of the aisle whose tombstone now reads, 'Gored to death', Richard Walsh. Let me remind my Members who have friends on the other side of the rotunda, that there is a Senator on our side of the aisle whose tombstone reads, 'Gored to death', and I'm talking about the gentleman from

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyers."

Kankakee, Senator McBroom."

General Assembly next year."

Totten: "I'm not....."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, pardon me. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you. Now we're asked to create a new agency called,
The Governor's Action Office. We called the Governor's Office
of Human Resources, 'GOR'. We call this one'GAYO'. Now let me
tell you; it's not so bad to be called gored in an election,
but I don't want my political tombstone to read, or I don't

think any of yours may want yours to read, 'Gayoed to death'.

This Bill deserves a resounding defeat, so that this legislature can indicate its will to the executives that we are the legislative branch and we will determine where the money will go and we will determine who is going to be back here in the

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Representative Jones to close. Representative Madison, pardon me. He's on



Representative Williams's ah...."

Madison: "I'm here because I can't even see my button pushed. Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I can appreciate the feelings of most of the people who have spoke in opposition to this Bill, but the only thing that I would like to say is that it would be a shame if a number of people would lose their jobs because of the sins of the Governor. I would think that ah... you know, if the Governor is ah... responsible for doing things that he ought not do, then the Governor ought to be dealt with, but that we ought not take it out on the people. Innocent victims of some actions that the Governor might or

Innocent victims of some actions that the Governor might or might not have taken, that were not apropos and so I would urge that those of you that are here today; ah... if you would kindly consider voting for this Bill so that ah... for instance, in my district, where there is an unemployment rate of 25% to 30%, that those unemployment rolls will not go up with the result of us defeating this Bill. I'd urge you to vote 'aye' on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones to close. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates that he will."

Mann: "Representative Jones, can we have you assurances on behalf of the administration here that there will be no further political activity ah.... by employees of the Governor's Action Program?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I would ask if that would be a relevant question....."

Speaker Redmond: "It is not."

Skinner: ".... in veiw of the ruling you gave previously?"

Speaker Redmond: "It is not."

Mann: "Let me rephrase the question, if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, if you can."

Mann: "Ah... will the ah.... will the ah.... will the roll of the

office be restricted to its mission as prescribed?"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I do not believe that the Representative can speak for the Governor ah... and I would suggest that that question would be out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Correct."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Chairman ah... or Mr. Speaker rather, I think that it's relevant to ask whether or not ah... in voting on a Bill, whether or not the mission of the office is going to be restricted to what it says it's all about. That's all I'm asking."

questions should be confined to the subject matter of the Bill."

Jones: "May I respond? Mr. Speaker, one of the things that we
all must consider is simply this; I would be the first person,
the first person in this General Assembly to jump on the House
floor if this ah.... if all this is to be used for political
purposes. I would not even sponsor that legislation if I

thought that was the case, but we all know, from the cradle to

Speaker Redmond: "I had ruled previously that the comments and

the grave, we are born in politics. If taxpayers monies are going to be used, I will oppose it. I'd be the first person to oppose it, but what a person does after hours is their own business. We're in politics from the cradle to the grave. A politician signed the birth certificate and a politician signed the death certificate and everything in between is regulated by some politician, so let's fact that particular fact. I'm not sponsoring this Bill for no political purpose and I'd be the first, I'd be the first to jump up and oppose any type of legislation to encourage people to go out and politic on state time."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is..... Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, ah.... I wanted to respond to Representative

Mann."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, pardon me. Representative Meyers, do you still seek recognition?"

Meyers: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."



56

10.

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it. Representative Jones to close."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. There have been allocations about legislative intent, about the will of this Body and the will of the General Assembly as far as funding this particular agency. When this question is brought up ah... it reminds me and amazes me that ah... I look at the statutes of the State of Illinois and it reads as follows: 'The Governor shall be called to be prepared by January 1st of each odd number year, a comprehensive plan or a series of interrelated plans provided for the optimum use of refunds for the development of the state of Human Resources. The plans are to include the Department of Public Aid, The Department of Vocational Rehibilitation, The Division of Vocational Education, also the Superindentent of Public Instruction, The Department of Children and Family Services and so on.' This is clear language in the Statutes of the State of Illinois. The Constitution giving the Chief Executive of the State of Illinois the ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Caldwell: "Could we have a little order, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Would you give the gentleman order, please?"

Jones: "The Constitution of the State of Illinois gives the Chief

Executive powers to reorganize any agency assigned to him. I have yet to see a repeal of the Statutes of the State of Illinois. I have yet to see a House Joint or a Senate Joint Resolution to take this power from the Chief Executive. So we want their elected out of duty, in not funding this agency last year, but this Body... this Body, in its infinite wisdom, passed the appropriation for the Governor's Office of Human Resources. This Body, so it was the intent of this Body to fund this particular agency. Time and time again, we talk about bringing people into government and making government more



responsive. This \$1,700,000 is just like comparing \$.17 to \$11,000. Now if we can take \$.17 and make the people of the State of Illinois feel that their government, their tax dollars are doing something for them, then we should fund this particular agency. We should fund this agency. So I ask for a 'aye' vote and as I said before; I would be the first to come back to this General Assembly if persons employed in these offices, throughout the State of Illinois, are using taxpayers dollars to go out in politics, I'd be the first to come back here and recommend that we repeal the statute, so I ask for a 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All those
in favor vote 'aye' and all opposed vote 'nay'. Representative
Skinner."

Skinner: "I believe in justification by work and so I'm going to vote 'no' on this Bill, but I am going to right now take this ah... two sheets of paper that I have in my hand, which has two other departments of the Governor politicing and I'm sure that he will do something about it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there has been a lot of controversy on several levels here today in reference to this appropriation for the Governor's Action Office, but I simply want to address myself within two minutes, to what I consider the basic and fundamental and really when you get down to it, the only issue; and that's the question of need. Now I happen to represent a district, which I think in Chicago, if not Cook County, is the poorest and most economically deprived district in the city. If there is a social problem that exists. it exists in my district. If there is a law problem that exists. it exists in my district. We have there, perhaps every antisocial aspect of human existance. There is a certain section in my district which is, I think, fits that category more than any other; 51st on the south to 79th Street, from Cottage Grove or more specifically, from the Dan Ryan all the way over to about Damon Avenue, is the epitome of poverty. Businesses



left, and unemployment is one of the highest in the city, amoung young black kids, it's about 45%. There are no adequate leisure time activities for these kids to play. There are abandon buildings; in one ward there are about 500. There must be at least 800 vacant lots with no plans for redevelopment of this territory. The education facilities are inadequate. I can go on and on and on. What is needed in that district? You need governmental help from every level; federal, state, county and city. You need private money. You need every conceivable kind of thing. Now I happen to know, and it's been refuted, that the Governor's Action Office has been able to perform some good ombudsman functions in that district. It seems to me that people who are crying for need, looking for ways to cut the red tape of government, looking for ways to find out how they can find educational ah.... ah.... counciling and all of the other problems that go with a neighborhood like that. It seems to me almost criminal to even consider cutting down an agency which is supplying adequate grass with government service. Senior citizens, ah... the youth, ah... you name it. We've got it there. I urge you to support this Governor's Action Office and I urge you to support it not withstanding the charges of politics. I urge you to consider it not withstanding the illiteration and the poetical phrases and the quips and the ah.... what you might say factitious remarks regoverning political activity. That is not the real issue. It is our responsibility to fund agencies which have a useful purpose and to bird-dog those agencies and make certain they do their work. This is a meager amount of money designed to relieve human misery and I don't think that we should get hungup on anything else, not retribution on a part of any one Member, not vindictivness on a part of that Member, not pressure, no kind of pressure. I think that you should look at this issue squarely and say, 'Is this kind of service needed in a kind of a district that I described?', and I think that you'll have to answer that with



a 'yes' and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, ah.... in explaining my vote, ah... I guess everyone has to vote their own district. In our district we have about four Legislators, one Senator and three Representatives that all have their district offices, that I feel serve the people and the constituents of Rockford adequately. The Governor's Affirmative Action Office is nothing but duplicating services. That main reason that I'm opposed to this is, I go back to an article that was published ah.... a couple of articles that were published in the Rockford Newspaper concerning the head of the local Affirmative Action Office and how he and his people were forced to go in Chicago and work against the regular Democratic Organization and work for that Alderman Slinger that ran for Mayor. Ya, ah.... Singer. He was forced to go in. They sent their employees in to get involved in the Alderman races, against the regular organization Democrats. Some of this was done during working hours on state time and this is not their function. Their function is supposed to be to help the people. I think each Legislator throughout the State of Illinois..... ah..... we have money for district offices, we're serving the people of our individual legislative districts, and I don't think that we need a political arm of the Governor's Office in working against everybody and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there are two reasons why I am voting in favor of this Bill. One is that I don't believe that you can stop a Governor from having an office to serve the needs as he sees it within the state. I think the fact that we did not see fit to give funds to this office in the last budget and yet the Governor found a way of putting people into service where he saw the need, is proof enough that we should give him a budget under which he can work. A budget for which he will have to be accountable and



something that we can do above board that will let us monitor what happens in that office in an efficient fashion. In addition to that, I'm voting in favor of the Bill because I think there are services that need to be done throughout the state. The only activity that I'm aware of in my district that has come as a result of the Office of Human Resources, is the formation of a womans employment counciling center. This counciling center now runs with about \$155,000 ah... mostly federal funds that are being used within our district, would not be available to us otherwise and I think that it is a very worthwhile project. The people working in that office now have been able to take women who were on the public aid roll and people who had no incentive to go back to work frequently and have been able to take them by the hand and show them a way that they could uplift their lives and find a more productive way of life. It is helping the taxpayers by way of relieving them of the responsibility they would have otherwise through public aid. I think that it is a very worthwhile project and I think that the Governor's Budget should include some funds that he has at his disposal for starting this type of project and I would urge some other people to get their green lights up there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, in explaining my green vote I would simply like to say that about ten days ago there was a flurry of activity around this House floor by the Sponsor of this Bill, the Honorable Representative from Cook County and Chicago; there was a flurry of activity on this House floor by the legmen of his Honor, the Governor of this state. There were commitments being made and commitments being committed to and after the massacre of May 23rd and the forty-eight hour of commando raid with fat lips of honorable men in this House that had nothing to say about whether they went up and killed good legislation or not, I would only like the Governor to hear if the speaker is on on the second floor or if those now stone deaf Representatives of his



on the House floor are here, that I keep my word. You can do it to me once, you can do it to me twice, but God help you on the third round because I'll have 177 with me on that one and that is all I wanted to say."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, ah.... this... this ah... this piece of legislation is being used apparently be various forces who have some ah... grievances ah... against the Governor to.... to try and accomplish the purpose. I would like to remind the Members of this Body that the Governor's Action Office has branches ah... or outlets service units scattered throughout the state. This is not, unfortunately because the Sponsor happens to be from Cook County, this isn't anything that is specifically geared to ah... bring relief to any one part of the state. Most unfortunately is has gotten into a situation here that is really ridiculous and it allows certain segments of our Membership here to use this has an excuse. I'm certain that some of those red light up there do not express the convictions of the Members who ah... who have voted red and ah.... this is one time where any pledge made two years ago, one year ago, six months ago or twenty-four hours ago, should not be kept. You're doing this at the sacrifice of many people in need of state services scattered across this state. I would suggest that we go on and get the 89 votes up there so that we can proceed in an orderly manner and get our job done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I don't wish to delay the subject. I think that you have had a number of expressions on it, but I would like to point out that we do have a form of government in which the Governor was elected to office and he has certain prerogatives that he is entitled to and if he chooses to have an Action Office and deems this as part of his function, then he ought to be allowed to have it. I don't think that he has come here and interfered with us when we said that we need legislative aides,



62

we need secretaries, whatever we need I think that we are entitled to do it as an equal branch of government. I think when we blur our vision and cross over into areas that just might be vindictive on our particular part, I think we error. I think we really ought to question our judgement because remember we have to live with the man on the second floor just like he has to live with us and if we're going to have good relations between all of the parties, then we've got to extend what's known as some form of ..... some form of agreement and some form of committment and we have to keep in mind that this is a legitimate function because I think that we will be back here and again we will be weeping and gnashing teeth talking about the things that should or should not have been done in relation to the Governor's Office. Now I think as an elected official, as long as he is elected, we have an obligation to support the office and I would just urge that the Members consider this.... consider this in the light of what it actually is; one of the Governor's Offices and we're saying that we are not going to fund it. Gentlemen, that is error, that is error on our part and it is vindictive. It does not make good sense and I think that we will rue the day that we've done this because it doesn't just stop there. All of a sudden there are other offices and then there might be some of our s caught up in the go along and God knows that you're going to have enough legislation to die in the Senate; you don't need it to die on the second floor too. I suggest to us, that what we're doing is not reasonable at this time and it's not in accordance with the tennis of good government and I only suggest that you question your own conscience and seek your own motive and follow the path that is right and give this an 'aye' vote because it is the proper thing to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I can understand some of the reasoning by Members of this Body, but I would like to tell you that as a freshman that ah.... this particular office has



been a great deal of help to me because of my being unfamiliar with some of the departments and where to go when we have problems. They've done a great ombudsman service to me and I would hate to see that department get caught up in ah.... what appears to be an obvious ah.... problem with some people in those departments, but I'm telling you that there are a lot of people in the State of Illinois that depend on this service, regardless of the political problems that you've had with various people in those departments and I'm ah.... I would assume that there's been political problems with employees who work in any department in the state. So I would hope that we do not do a disservice to the people of this state because of some political problems that individuals might have and I would ask you to support this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Fleck? Representative Fleck, do you seek recognition? Have all voted who wish? Emil Jones, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Jones: "There has been a lot of talk here on the House floor concerning politics with this particular office, but as I stated earlier, if we did not want the Chief Executive of the State of Illinois, whoever that person may be today or tomorrow, I'm quite certain that the Leaders on both sides of the aisle could easily prepare the Third Joint Resolution to take the powers away from the Chief Executive. Democrats know that the Republicans want to do that so why should we play games? This office serves many minorities in the City of Chicago, women, blacks, chicanos and it aids people throughout the State of Illinois, our elderly citizens for example and they need a means by which they can contact those agencies and departments of the State of Illinois who are supposed to serve them. This is their tax money that we are using and if we have a budget of \$11,000,000,000,000 for the State of Illinois, I feel certain that



\$1,700,000 is just a drop in the bucket.... a drop in the

bucket in making government more responsive to them. I'm looking for a few more green lights on the board because several persons throughout this Body whom I've asked to give me support on this piece of legislation have indicated that they would and one thing I've learned in my brief tenure here is that your word is your bond. I've always kept mine and I look for my fellow legislators to keep theirs. This office does render a service and I'd like to see some more green lights up there. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote ah.... you know, when I came down as a freshman I ah.... I was really awed by the action of this Body and one thing that I can appreciate more than anything else, Mr. Speaker, is consistency. Now there's been lots of comments about employees of this agency being involved in politics and because of the fact that I can appreciate consistency, let me just suggest that I'm aware of the fact that a number of the employees of the Department of Transportation were involved in that March, 1974 flasco and so I would suggest that we kill the billion dollar Appropriations Bill for the Department of Transportation, in order to be consistent. There are a number of employees that work for the Department of Business and Economic Development who were involved in the March, 1974 fiasco and so I suggest that we kill the budget of the Department of Business and Economic Development. There were, in fact, a number of employees who work for the Department of Corrections who were involved in the March, 1974 fiasco; so if we're going to be consistent, why don't we kill the budget of the Department of Corrections? If we can do that, then I will at least be in a position to appreciate ah.... continue to appreciate the consistency of this Body. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can agree with the last speaker, Representative Madison. I too, appreciate consistency, but I must..... I feel constrained to say that I do not understand the



65

19. arbitrary and capricious nature of this man on the second floor that we recognize as the legally voted Governor, the representative of this state. In my district, the 20th District, we have the Bureau of Employment Security, which is charged with the responsibility of getting out unemployment claimant checks for the people in the State of Illinois. That agency is some eight to ten weeks, ah..... in the payment of those checks ah..... and yet the Governor sees fit to arbitrarily move this agency, which is surely going to cause those checks to be twice as late at a cost that's going to be some \$2,000,000 to the people of the State of Illinois and yet he takes this action arbitrarily on his own and I don't feel that ah.... that ah.... this action is consistent with everything that we've heard his supporters say about his concern about the people of Illinois. I feel that ah..... sure, we could have gone against the Department of Transportation, but this is a gesture and a message to the Governor, that we no longer feel that we should be minions to his capriciousness and for that reason I would like to send the Governor downstairs a message on this Bill and vote 'no' and leave that vote exactly where it is. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Mulcahey? I've given the photographers permission to take some pictures from the gallery. Representative Mulcahey, 'aye'. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "The Clerk was too fast for me. 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Representative McCourt." McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, will you please record me 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters."

McMasters: "Mr. Speaker, will you please record me as 'present'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Coffey."

Coffey: "Mr. Speaker, will you please report me as 'present'?" Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, will you change me to 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Now you've got Calvo, 'aye'. Coffey, 'present'.

McCourt, 'aye'. McMasters, 'present'. Mulcahey, 'aye'.



Beaupre, 'aye'. Ewing, 'aye'. O'kay, ah.... well now you know how we feel sometimes when.........Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I think that I should and feel like I want to explain my vote. I have a green vote up there. I want the man on the second floor, as he is often referred to, the Governor, to get the message. I think that this particular vote up here right now, is getting a message through to him loud and clear. I'm forgetting for a moment who the Governor is and I'm also keeping in mind that the Governor is a Democrat. I'm a Democrat. I ah... I believe that the offices that the Governor has are rendering some good service. I think that the present Governor has misused and abused some of these personnel in some of these offices, however, I believe that they are a media to good service. In my district, my people tell me that they have rendered a service. As far as I'm concerned, personally, they have done nothing but render a disservice, as far as I'm concerned, but I put the good of the district, the good of the Democratic Party, the good of the state, above what it's done to me. I want the Governor of the State of Illinois, if this Bill passes, to keep in mind that a message was delivered here today and that if he doesn't heed it now, that come Primary time, he will have to do a lot of fence mending in my district and he better start yesterday. I'm going to stay with a green light with the Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, after that stirring speech on behalf of the Democrat Party, please change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman as 'no'. Mr. Clerk, do your records show McCourt, 'aye'? Mulcahey, 'aye'. Representative McCourt?"

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I ah...

like to vote the way that I can live with a vote and I've tried

to accommodate some people here and I did change my 'no' vote to

'aye', but in the last ten minutes, I've had hell living with

myself and I know that the right vote is a 'no' vote so please



change me to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "McCourt, 'no'. Mr. Clerk, you show Mulcahey, 'yes'?

Beaupre, 'yes'? Ewing, 'yes'? McMasters, 'present'? Coffey,

'present'? Calvo, 'yes'? Schuneman, 'no'? O'kay. 87 'ayes'

and 52 'nays'. Representative Jones?"

Jones: "Mr. Clerk, will you have the Clerk poll the absentees?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Fred Selcke: "Brandt, Craig, D'Arco, DiPrima, Epton, Farley, Gaines, Giglio, Hudson, Keller, Marovitz, Mautino, Merlo, Schoeberlein,

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Beaupre should be on now."

Stone, Telcser."

Fred Selcke: "I'm polling the absentees and Mr. Beaupre changed from 'absent' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees."

Fred Selcke: "I already did that."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, will you read the ones that changed?"

Fred Selcke: "Beaupre changed from 'absent' to 'yes'. Calvo changed

from 'present' to 'yes'. Coffey changed from 'yes' to 'present'.

Ewing changed from 'present' to 'yes'. McMaster changed from

'yes' to 'present'. Mulcahey changed from 'absent' to 'yes'.

Schuneman changed 'yes' to 'no'. McCourt changed from 'no' to 'yes' and then he changed from 'yes' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "87 'aye' and ..... Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, rather than take up too much more time of the House and I know we have many other Bills that is almost as important as this one, but this is a people's Bill and we are here to represent the people of the State of Illinois, so

I respectfully ask for a postponed consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed Consideration."



Speaker Redmond: "The program today is that we will break for lunch at 1 o'clock, unfortunately, we're going to have to be back at 7:30 tonight. We've done exactly 9 Bills today. Representative Griesheimer." Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope that all of you have received, this morning, an invitation to come to beautiful Waukegan and visit our port up there during the third week of July. To all of you, you're extended an invitation. I hope that you will get your reservations in to me by the 20th. We have a rather good program scheduled for a Sunday and Monday affair including a dinner Sunday night, lodging at Illinois State....Lodge and a family outing on Monday morning. This will be a very significant event which I think all of you will enjoy. I urge you to get your reservations in to me as soon as possible. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea. Representative

Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave to table

House ...2303 which I thought had died on the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, 2303
tabled. Now Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand in recess until...do you need some time, Mr. Clerk. For 5 minutes and then the Clerk have an opportunity to read in some Committee reports and then stand in recess until 7:30 this evening."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McLendon."

McLendon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I rise for the purpose of an announcement. Both the Personnel and Pensions will meet this afternoon at

4 o'clock on the Floor of the House instead of 122A."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

McLendon: "Thank you."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise for the purpose of an announcement



the Members of the Appropriations Committee, Division

2 will be meeting promptly at 2 o'clock in Room 118.

We have two very important Bills up in Office of Education and Law Enforcement and I would advise the

Committee Members to be prompt. 2 p. m. Room 118."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an announcement to all Sponsors and Members of the House Committee on Higher Education. We will be meeting tomorrow morning sharply at 8 a. m.. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Repre.... Mr. Clerk. Committee Reports."

Selcke: "Mr. Taylor, from Cities and Villages reported

the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3035 and

recommended that it do pass. Mr. Taylor from Cities

and Villages..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fary. Pardon me a moment."

Fary: "Labor and Commerce will meet at 2 o'clock promptly

across in Bl. Please be on time so we can get some

action."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of
announcement, Appropriations I will reconvene at 2 o'clock
in Room 114. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Selcke: "Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages reported the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3036 and recommended that it do pass. Introduction now to First Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction to First Reading."

Selcke: "House Bill 3035. Cities and Villages. A Bill for an Act to amend the municipal code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3036. Cities and Villages Committee. A Bill for an Act to repeal the...Chicago Regional Port District Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything else, Mr. Clerk? Journal 730 is next."



Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the Gallery?"

Speaker Redword: " sir. The House will be in order as

Speaker Redmond: "...sir. The House will be in order and the Members please be in their seats. Unauthorized personnel please retire to the Gallery. You're authorized. You have an election certificate. My parliamentarian.

Actually he was on May 23rd. ...Report."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which Senate Bill 406, 1106 and 1160 were referred reports the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mrs. Chapman from the Committee on Human Resources to which House Bills 2014 and 2532 were referred reports the same back in pursuant to Rule 3023d. The Bill was ordered tabled. Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities to which Senate Bill 602 was referred the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do not pass. Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities to which Senate Bills

the Committee on Public Utilities to which Senate Bills 688 and 694, 865 and 1186 were referred reports the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Consent calendar. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loans to which was referred House Bill 1762 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3040 and recommended that the original Bill, House Bill 1762 lie on the table and the substitute do pass. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loans to which was referred House Bill 1763 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3041 and recommended that the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute do pass. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan to which was referred House Bill 2567 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3042 and recommended the original Bill lie on the table and the sub-



stitute Bill do pass. Mr. Leon from the Committee on

Banks and Savings and Loan to which was referred House Bill 1937 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3043 and recommended that the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan to which was referred House Bill 1936 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3044 and recommends that the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan to which was referred House Bill 921 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3045 and recommended that the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan to which was referred House Bill 775 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3046 and recommends that the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Introductions. Introductions First Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold on to the top of your desk. ductions First Readings. Where's Representative Deuster?" Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3037. Committee on Judiciary, Division II. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Prosecutors Advisory Council. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3038. Committee on Higher Education. A Bill for an Act to provide grants for family practice residency programs through the Council for Family Practice Residency Programs. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3039. Committee on Higher Education. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Council for family practice residency programs. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3040. Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3041. Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan



First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3042. Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations. A Bill for an Act to amend the credit union act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3043. Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3044. Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3045. Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act in relation to the rate of interest and other charges in connection with sales on credit and lending of money. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3046. Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations. A Bill for an Act to amend the credit union act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Resolutions."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 324. Giglio. House
Resolution 325, Randolph, etal. House Resolution 326,
Collins, etal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 324 marks the 50th anniversary of the marriage of Joseph and Helen Growitsky. House Resolution 325 brings about the congratulations of friendly confines of Wrigley Field again reigns with joyous sounds of baseball at its best and congratulates the Chicago Cubs. They'd better do that early. House Resolution 326 congratulates the Honorable Harry D. Leinenweber, I don't see Harry D. on the Floor, congratulating Harry D. on the occasion of his 38th birthday anniversary. I move the adoption of the agreed resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of the agreed resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying age. Opposed, no. The ages have it and the resolutions



are adopted. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. While we have a lull can I inquire of the Chair?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Could I inquire of the Chair as to what exactly is the procedure for Committee Bills which are new subject matter? How they are to be introduced. How they achieve a number. How they are posted?"

Speaker Redmond: "...Posted, if you will look in your
calendars for - I don't know if today's calendar has any
like that - but you look at yesterday's calendar, for
instance, and they were posted in various ways; some
as subject matter contained in House Bill #43 or whatever
it might have been."

Totten: "Well, like..."

Speaker Redmond: "They identify the subject matter of the Bill."

Totten: "Okay. If it's a new Bill though, new subject matter how does the Sponsor go about it; how does the Committee handle it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you mean one that was not in...in

a previous Bill? Well, it would be identified by subject

matter. For instance, subject matter is no fault in
surance. Subject matter is...

Totten: "Would the...

Speaker Redmond: "...medical malpractice or the thing that
we seek to avoid is just spontaneously putting some Bill
together and come out where there has been no posting
and no knowledge of anybody that there was ever going to
be any considered."

Totten: "What happens to it then? Does the Sponsor of it ask the Committee to consider it as a Committee Bill?

Does it then require the majority of the Committee Members to vote for it to get it on the calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."

Totten: "Is it then posted for a hearing after it gets



on the calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, if you have - if you post it that you're going to consider subject matter of the usury rate or something like that, that posting would be satisfactory."

Totten: "On the calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."

Totten: "Would that be the same as First Reading, for example?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. That would...that would be advanced to the order of Second Reading without any further reference because there had been a posting and there had been an opportunity for the public and the Members to have any input which they might have in that subject matter."

Totten: "Now that...I'm still confused because we had this trouble in Committee this afternoon. A...A new subject matter was introduced..."

Speaker Redmond: "No posting on the new subject matter?"
Totten: "No posting on the new subject matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Then I would feel that it should go to
the Committee on Assignment of Bills, there should have
been a posting. There should have been somewhere that
the public would have input."

Totten: "All right. You're saying that it should go to the Committee on Assignment of Bills first."

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct. If it's something that's completely new that...you know that had never been posted, that had never been considered..."

Totten: "It should..."

Speaker Redmond: "...where there was no input by the public, no knowledge that there was anything, it would seem to me that it should go to the Committee on Assignment of Bills."

Totten: "Okay. Would it receive a number there and then be..."

Speaker Redmond: "...it receives a number when it's introduced by the Committee as a Committee Bill then it gets a number of 3000 series."



Totten: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "But if it's an old one that has already had a hearing and then you have a substitute Bill then that goes to the order of Second Reading."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "...Well, Mr. Speaker, in that connection, as Chairman of the House Committee in Higher Education, I've had occasion to introduce two Bills today which have just been read and which are old subjects matters, would it be appropriate at this time to move them to the order of Second Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "Had there...Have you sent in a Committee

Report reporting them as substitute Bills?"

Mann: "Not yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, if that's the way you reported in then it would go to the order of Second Reading without reference. If you report...maybe, you'll come up here to the well and we'll show some of the Committee Reports that we have here and you'll see what we're talking about. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "...Mr. Speaker, the vicious rumor that the Cubs lost 10 to 8 today is unconfirmed."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. ...Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 350. Representative

Deuster, are you ready to handle the Amendment? 350."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 350. A Bill for an Act to amend
the impounding and disposition of stray animals act.

Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment.

Amends Senate Bill 350 on page 2 by deleting line 13
and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 was adopted in the Committee. Senate Bill 350 is a Bill that endeavors to conform the impounding and disposition of stray animals act with the animal control act that we



adopted in 1973. Amendment #1 helps conform those
two Acts providing that if you have a dog, cat or
other animal, the Amendment adds "cat or other animal"
that has bitten a...a person, why the animal will be
...taken care of in line with the Animal Control Act
of 1973. It's really a technical minor Amendment but
it conforms the language of the two Acts a little better.
I would move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of

Amendment #1 to House...Senate Bill 350. All in favor

indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. Amendment's

adopted. Any further Amendments? Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 8. Representative Shea, can you handle that or... Representative Shea."

Shea: "First of all could I introduce a former Member,

Herman Dammerman..."

Speaker Redmond: "You may."

Shea: "...from Logan County. Dammerman."

Speaker Redmond: "Herman Dammerman."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 8..."

Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "No. Mr. McPartlin, that's his Bill but may I ask the Clerk a question? As I remember we heard Senate Bill 511 last night, it appears on the order of Senate Bills Second Reading. I thought it should be on Third Reading today."

Jack O'Brien: "It was read today on Second Reading."

Shea: "Was it today? All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Read in the Amendments. ...House Bills

Third Reading appears House Bill 2986. Isn't that one?

Ralph Houlihan. He's not here. 2986. Senate Bills

Third Reading. Oh, pardon me, House Bill, you're right."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2986. Lundy. A Bill for an Act

making appropriations to the Secretary of State. Third



Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, I think we have one too many digits there. 2986. It's bad enough. Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

House Bill 2986 is the appropriations Bill for House
Bill 449 which passed the House many weeks ago many
weeks ago with very few dissenting votes. It provides
money to fund the new program State subsidies to local
libraries. It has been approved by the Secretary of
States Office in to whose budget the money would go.
It came out of the Appropriations I Committee 22 to
nothing. I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Schlickman." Schlickman: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Is this money from the General Revenue Fund?"
Lundy: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Is it in the Governor's budget?"

Lundy: "No."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor indicate by voting aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 102 ayes, 2 nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 3001."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3001. Sangmeister. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Local Government Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the appropriation Bill that was being held while the substantive Bill was being heard and was passed. The substantive Bill was passed over to the Senate last week It is the public defender's Bill in which there are some



State monies that are now going to be used to support the public defender system. The appropriation in this Bill is \$480,000 and received a good hearing in the Appropriations Committee. This Bill is now being waited for in the Senate to catch up with the substantive Bill 1845 and I recommend a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall
this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? ...
will take the record. On this question there's 94 aye,
4 nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority
is hereby declared passed. 3014."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3014. Shea. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the expenses of certain special elections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an appropriation of \$8.8,000,000 to pay for a special election to be held in Cook County for the election of the Circuit Court Clerk and I'd appreciate the favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh. Representative Walsh."
Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I don't think the gentleman spent enough time on this for the importance of the matter. This Bill, Mr. Speaker, demonstrates that Democrats don't know how to rule. They're asking the State up in Cook County, and I'm a taxpayer there, to pay for a special election which we Republicans mandated through this Legislature would not have to be held. Now I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that first of all that if there's going to be an election up there it ought to be paid by the taxpayers of Cook County and not by the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. And I'm a taxpayer of Cook County and it's going to cost me more if they pay it from Cook County. But we're going to become so darned angry, Mr. Speaker, in Cook County, at having



to pay for this ridiculous special election to fill a vacancy for an officeholder up there that passed away but was discredited before that - a Democratic office holder - in any case, Mr. Speaker, and perhaps I did go to far..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Walsh: "Well before Mr. Madigan gets up..."

Madigan: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Madigan: "All right. Let me tell you this, the House has

certain Rules relating to the conduct of its Members on the Floor and I would remind the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader that under our system of law an accusation

or an indictment is not a conviction and I would simply respectfully request of him that he accord the proper

respect to other citizens of this country that he would expect were he to be wrongfully accused. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken. Representative Walsh, proceed."

Walsh: "Let me begin by saying that I apologize for that

Mr. Speaker and I was absolutely wrong and I regret having
said it."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Walsh: "However, that does not change the substance of what

I have been saying. There has been a Bill on the Governor's

desk..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could we have some order because I'd like to hear what the gentleman says."

Speaker Redmond: "Order."

there for some two months, I believe, which he has had
an opportunity to sign which would cancel this absurd
election. Now, Mr. Speaker, we in the Republican Party
in Cook County and I mean I've talked to Republican Leaders
and I'm not one of those in Cook County, I guarantee you

Walsh: "There is a Bill on the Governor's desk that has been



that. But I have Republican leaders who say that this election is absurd, that it should not be held, that the present appointee ought to serve at least until the primary election in March or May whenever it may be and then if they insist on an election, let them have one.

I submit that we are compounding the Governor's misaction if we pass this Bill and if the Governor insists that there be an election for this office which no one wants then the people of Cook County ought to pay for it and the Governor ought to answer for it. And I request that we vote no on this."

"Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman:

the House, first of all I want to voice my personal opinion. I do look at the Assistant Minority Leader as a leader of the Republican Party in Cook County and I think that his remarks...I think his remarks are very cogent here. He indicated - you're right, Harold - he indicated here that the State of Illinois through the Governor of the State should respond to the order for a special election in this situation. I have my own view on whether this election is necessary or not but in response to a law suit as to its validity I question, but before even a hearing was held the Chief Executive of this State ordered that a special election be held in the County of Cook. We are faced in Cook County with a dilemma, a financial dilemma, because the budget for this year has already been passed and the taxes have been levied and we are faced with a mandate to hold an election for which, simply, there is no money. And therefore, we come back to the governmental body that has ordered this election, namely, the State of Illinois through it's Executive head, and we are presenting this Bill in a very legitimate way to say that since the Governor ordered has/this election that the Governor in response to legislative mandate which I hope will be in the form of House Bill 3014



will sign this Bill and will provide the money to relieve

the taxpayers of the County of Cook of the burden of this useless election. I really think that there ought to be some other alternatives available, legislatively, in these circumstances but time does not permit us to address ourselves to this problem so we are taking the next best road and that is through House Bill 3014. We have passed a Bill to try to eliminate that election. It has sat on the Governor's desk not for two months but rather for one month and in the absence of any positive on that Bill we are required therefore to try to respond in a financial way to the House Bill 3014. Just as the Majority Leader sought to bring equity in the passage of a Bill providing State funds...thank you, Mr. Speaker...just as the Majority Leader tried to provide State funds for better government through the payment by the State for election machines in small counties we are presenting House Bill 3014 for the State to pay for an election for the people of the County of Cook. And I earnestly solicit your support in an aye vote on House

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Bill 3014."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Certainly I would like to draw the attention to all downstaters to this...bad piece of legislation which appropriates \$8.8,000,000 through the Cook County Board for expenses incurred in holding a special election in Cook County. Now Ladies and Gentlemen, the State of Illinois from the General Revenue Fund would be paying the Cook County Board holding this special election and it should be paid for by the citizens of Cook County and not those from the other 101 counties. Certainly this piece of legislation would be setting a precedent, a very, very bad precedent, where the State would be paying perhaps for all special elections in the future and I would ask every downstater to cast a no vote on House Bill 3014."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Representative Peters."



Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I'm particularly interested in the situation as presented

by..."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you give the gentleman order please?" Peters: "...as presented by House Bill 3014 since it was House Bill 1768, Sponsored by myself and Members on both sides of the aisle, which hoped to solve the problem that we did have in Cook County in regard to this special election. I would have hoped the Governor signed the Bill. I would have hoped that we would not have needed this election in Cook County at the expense of this \$8.2,000,000. I am not however going to cast any recrimination at this stage or at this point at the Governor for his action nor at any other Members of the House. Let me call the attention of the Members of the House, however, to the fact that the special election was mandated by State law. Let me call the attention of the Members of the House to the fact that in regard to elections, municipal, local, school board, park district, the State has taken upon itself the obligation to make certain determination as to when these elections are going to be held, how they are going to be held, who is going to be elected and how vacancies are going to be filled. It would appear to me that the State taking on this kind of interest also takes with it the obligation

...the obligation to support the counties in carrying out the mandate of the State in terms of these various elections much the same as we support the counties in regard to monies for judges, monies for states attorneys, monies for animal control projects and various other projects..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the gentleman order. We're going to have to stand at ease here if we don't get some order. One kind or another."

Peters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I do know this \$8.2,000,000 does...it is an awful lot of money. But I think perhaps



that the passage of this Bill will point up to us, to
the Senate and the people of the State of Illinois and
certainly for us to remember that every time we pass
legislation we have got to be ready to back that legislation up by the monies needed to enact that legislation.

It was State law that called for this election in Cook
County, not Cook County law, and I do not feel that it
should be an encumberance upon the people of Cook County
to follow the mandate of the State law and I would respectfully encourage and request the help and support of the
House in passing this appropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Shea, am I to understand that there has been an Amendment to this appropriation since the Bill was originally written?"

Shea: "Not to my knowledge."

Satterthwaite: "Well, the digest indicates this is \$8,000,000 appropriation. Is it now \$8.8,000,000?"

Shea: "I think it's 8.2, isn't it?"

Satterthwaite: "8.2. I don't know. I'm asking."

Shea: "It...as it was originally introduced."

Satterthwaite: "Well, the digest says \$8,000,000."

Shea: "Then it's \$8,000,000. We were talking about what was needed and we tried to get it down to the lowest possible figure."

Satterthwaite: "And am I accurate in saying that this is for office for which there is a vacancy?"

Shea: "If I could have a little order I could perhaps explain to the Members the background of this. Could I have a little, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "We'll try again. Give the gentleman order, please."

Shea: "Sometime ago, perhaps, and I don't know the timetable

Matthew Danaher was then Clerk of the Circuit Court of



Cook County, died. I think it was right around Christmas time. Pursuant to the authority invested in them, the judges of the Circuit Court of Cook County appointed a pro temporary clerk. The law is that that pro temporary clerk serve until the clerk is duly elected. The law provides that if it is more than a year to go, the Governor shall call a special election. For sometime the Governor did not call that election, but within the last month or so called the special election. That special election to fill one spot, the Circuit Clerk... Circuit Court Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County will require the holding of a special election in some 5,000 precincts in Cook County, a primary and then a general election. Or a special election. Two elections to fill that one office. Representative Peters and I talked sometime ago and I think he talked to members of the county board as did I that the holding of that election is absolutely unnecessary in a tragic waste of the taxpayers money. Pursuant to that he introduced House Bill 1768 sometime in the week of April the 10th. That Bill passed this Chamber, passed the Senate and was sent to the Governor. It now resides on his desk awaiting his signature. So with the stroke of a pen he could eliminate the necessity for this special election and the expenditure of some \$8,000,000 of the taxpayers money. I put this Bill in because very frankly the...county board of Cook County does not have an additional \$8,000,000 to pay for a useless election. It just doesn't have it. It passed its budget. Its already levied the taxes, the only way they could get this money would be go out and borrow it and then in the next fiscal year repay it with a substantial increase to the taxpayers, to the property taxpayers of Cook County. Now I like the Majority Leader, you know he says we don't need the election and I say we don't need the election, the Assistant Minority Leader. Well, I'll tell you, Walsh and I have been going back



and forth so long we've switched titles, but I don't frankly have any other way to go nor do the people in my County. Now Dick Hart got up today and asked for money for downstate Illinois, for those counties outside of Cook. We supported that, many Members did, now all I'm asking is that the people in this Chamber support the expenditure of this money because the election is absolutely not needed and the Governor could solve that problem with the simple signing of a Bill. "

Satterthwaite: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as a freshman Legislator I'm rather inexperienced in what happens here in the Legislature and I'm also inexperienced as a lawmaker, I don't have a law degree, so I'm not sure what the legal prospects are here but it would seem to me that if this Bill does not pass and the City of Chicago does not really feel that they want or need to make this expenditure that the solution might be for the City of Chicago to declare bankruptcy. We find that individuals do this all of the time and somebody else has to pay for their expenditures and so perhaps if this Bill doesn't pass, and you don't get your money from the State, we can have Chicago declare bankruptcy because otherwise the State of Illinois is going to have to declare bankruptcy pretty soon and I don't know where we go from there."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll go to Representative Cunningham."

Shea: "Might I just...Mr. Speaker, might I just tell

the lady it's not the City of Chicago, it's the County

of Cook."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, can someone tell me whether the sum involved is 8,000,000 or 8,800,000. The synopsis says 8,000,000 but the copy of the Bill that I have says 8,800,000. My notes from Appropriations Committee say 8,800,000. We mustn't become so callous with the



taxpayers money 800,000 is too inconsequential to check. "

Speaker Redmond: ... The Bill reads \$8,800,000."

Cunningham: "Now, Mr. Speaker, if you'll start the clock what I want to say to this...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you'll never have a better opportunity this week or next to save your constituents \$8,8,000,000 than you have by defeating this terrible Bill. In so doing you'll save your particular district approximately \$149,000. Now I sympathize entirely with the purpose of the very fine Sponsor of the Bill, the purpose is to put the pressure and the heat on the Governor to sign the Bill that's laying on his desk. But there is an easier way to accomplish that purpose and the easier way would be to adopt a resolution by this House asking the Governor to sign the Bill and if he failed to sign the Bill we could specify that the cost of this unnecessary election be paid from the bulging coffers of the Illinois Democratic Fund. And there's one more point that I want to make, Mr. Speaker and that is that it's a tragic commentary on the demise of the Republican Party of the City of Chicago and of Cook County that all of the members of that former great organiz..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's set for 10 minutes. I don't know what happened here."

Cunningham: "Well, let me have the last 9 point, 9 minutes then. Now I want to say this as I...before I was so rudely interrupted, the tragic demise of the Republican Party of Cook County that they shrink from battle but perhaps it's a realistic appraisal of how hopeless the situation is. Two party system is dead in Cook County. You might as well recognize that before all the world. There's no use having the election because the results are preordained and safely predictable. But it's the responsibility of all of us on each side of the aisle



without regard to our political affiliation to go
forth among the land of Illinois and say in the next
election, let's restore the concept of two party government irrespective of where you go to church politically,
you owe it to the system that served the country so
long and so well to urge ...to urge, in the next election
that everybody vote Republican that we might revive
the elephant and in so doing restore the process for
the benefit of your children and mine and the future.
I urge you to vote no on this particular Bill and save
all that dough."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor indicate by voting age. Opposed vote no. Vote age.

Vote no. I want a roll call on it. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Can I close or...?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we haven't decided yet whether we're going to..."

Shea: "What is this, on the previous question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Previous question. Clerk. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 102 ayes, 30 nay and the previous question prevails. Representative Shea to close."

Representative Shea."

Shea: "...Everybody said take the roll call for the Bill
I'd be happy to. "

Speaker Redmond: "The question... Will you clear the Board?

The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in
favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. The question is shall
this Bill pass? Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I had my light on a long time before
Representative Skinner moved the previous question. I



wanted to ask the Sponsor a question, so maybe through an explanation of his vote he can answer my question which is whether or not this Bill is being introduced in anticipation of the Governor's veto of House Bill 1768 and if the Governor does not veto House Bill 1768 what will happen to House Bill 3014?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, do you care to respond?"

Shea: "Absolutely. If 1768 is signed and becomes law, I table this Bill immediately. Now I don't know if I just am curious about particularly some of my colleagues on the Republican side of the aisle that got up and spoke against this. I don't know where the money is going to come from to pay for this election. And Mr. Walsh, you're absolutely right, it's going to come from property taxes eventually. And if you say you'd rather pay for it and increase property taxes than down here as a Member of the General Assembly, well I very frankly don't understand that and I ask you if you wouldn't change

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

To explain my vote, you know this House passed Repre-

your mind and help support this legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

was the intention of the Members of this House when they passed that Bill that this election be filled by appointment. Representative Peters and myself are both part of the party leadership in Cook County and I'm pleased to join him in supporting this piece of legislation and I'd like to indicate to you why. 1768 appears to be the right course of action. The Governor hasn't decided to act on it yet. If we take House Bill 3014 pass it and sit it on his desk to take \$8.8,000,000 out of General Revenue he's not going to sign this Bill, there's a very good chance he's gonna sign Representative Feter's

...1768 and put this election in the proper perspective



the Republican Party in Cook County is not dead, it's coming back, it'll be there in the next election but we do not need to spend \$8.8,000,000 for a foolish election like this at this time. And this Bill should be passed to put the pressure on the Governor to sign the other Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have hesitated on any occasion to rise and speak in support of any Bill but when I heard some of my Republican colleagues on this side of the aisle say that Cook County Republicans and Democratic Party system is dead, it made me rise to my feet. I have been involved...the Republican politics for over 20 years and I should think that I am evidence that the two party system in Cook County is not dead. The Republican Party does not wish to have this special election and for that reason I would urge a yes vote on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."
Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, many,

many months ago,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the gentleman order, please."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, many,
many months ago, well in...in advance of the introduction
of House Bill 1768 I called the appropriate official
in Cook County and offered as a Republican, as a taxpayer of the County of Cook, to introduce a Bill to
avoid the necessity of a special election. That individual said he would have to talk with somebody. He
called me back and said no, we're going to stonewall it.
Well once again a politician has found out that you don't
stonewall, you face up to the facts. Now House Bill 1768
could have been introduced in February of 1975. And it
could have been passed and we wouldn't be here tonight
in the last month of this Session talking about appropriating \$8,000,000 or so from the General....



General Revenue Fund, taking money possibly away from schools, away from the mentally retarded, away from the mentally ill. I think it's about time we told certain officials who acted irresponsibly that they can't come to Springfield when they found out that they lost, that they failed in stonewalling the citizens of the State and more particularly the citizens and taxpayers of Cook County. This is a cop out on their party. It shows bankruptcy on their part and this Bill should be defeated

bankruptcy on their part and this Bill should be defeated.'

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, you know I haven't voted yet and my conscience is bothering me a little.

voted yet and my conscience is bothering me a little . I intend to vote yes for this Bill. I've been down here many, many years and the gentlemen from the City of Chicago and from Cook County have voted for a lot of Bills that have helped me in my district and it helped all of downstate. Now if I have understood the explanations given on this Bill up until this point, it seems to me that this is an unnecessary election that has been mandated by this General Assembly which is represented from people all over the State of Illinois. being forced upon the people of Cook County unnecessarily; that there is legislation presently passed that could do away with this election. That the election should be done away with and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if we people from downstate will think a little and try to be fair to our friends from Cook County that we will vote yes on this Bill, pass it and then leave it up to the Governor as to whether he wants to sign this Bill or the Bill of Representative Peters that has already been passed and is on his desk. I certainly hope that we can have more than 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just an explanation of my vote but I would first like



to thank Representative Stone for the remarks he made and for the understanding of the problem we had. Let me indicate for the information of the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that House Bill 1768 which would have cleared up this problem was presented in the Reference Bureau in February. That Bill was presented to the Reference Bureau for preparation in early February. It was not my fault or the people interested in this Cook County that that Bill did not get out of there and filed here for hearing by April 10th. I just say that by way of short background in answer to some of the comments made here. I am not interested at this point about castigating anyone. I am not interested in throwing bricks at the Governor for signing or not signing. Nor against the people in Cook County, the Republican Party, or the Democratic Party or anybody else. I think we've got a very serious problem here which is our obligation as Members of the General Assembly to address ourselves to. It is by mandate of State statute that election's got to be held. By mandate of State statute not by county statute and it does appear to me in all sincerity that there is an obligation on the part of the State to follow up with the dollars the kind of action that they called for by the various laws that we end up passing. Let us not make this a political football between the Republican Party in Cook County and the Democratic Party; or between the Governor or Members of this Legislature. That is not the question. That is all beside the question. The sole question here is whether we have the obligation or not to back up the laws we pass with the financial help that the counties need to carry out these statutes. I sincerely, again, ask to give consideration to these remarks made here, especially the remarks made by our colleague, Representative Stone in regard to the actions of the people of Cook County in regard to the needs of downstate



and to provide that extra one or two or three votes that we end up needing to pass this piece of legislation. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain. Will you give
the gentleman order. Representative Kosinski has a
machine here that registers decibels and will you please
put it out and see how we are? Higher than the jets
at O'Hare Field. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain my no vote if I may. First of all, Mr. Speaker, if it's appropriate and if this Bill gets 89 votes I'd like to ask for a verification but in the meantime I'd like to state that in Chapter 46, Section 16-1, it states: "whenever an election is held for state or county offices and the names of candidates for offices of municipalities, townships, park districts, school districts or sanitary districts appear upon the same ballot as state and county offices such municipalities, etc, shall pay a porportinate part of the election cost." Now when Cook County starts putting in pieces of legislation to help us downstate pay for our elections then I'll support this piece of legislation. We are not doing this and I think it's a shabby way to handle pieces of legislation to pass an \$8.8,000,000 Bill in order to put pressure on a Governor. That is just not politics, it's at its worst...its...what we should be involved in is government and not in pressure. And I'd urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Uh, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I heard one of our prior speakers whom I respect very highly, on this side, tell us it is a mandate of the law to help in this election.

Now there's no doubt that Cook County belongs to Illinois



I don't like to give \$8,800,000 to Cook County anymore than anyone else does but since there is a Bill before the Senate and for the...Governor's consideration, #1768, and since the Governor will have the alternative of making a choice, I think it's only right that we be fair to the rest of the State and therefore I vote aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's
quite obvious that we're right at the passage stage of
this Bill but I'd like to suggest to the Sponsor of this
Bill and I would assure him that come tomorrow or the
day after tomorrow that I could very well support his
Bill. Let me suggest to the Speaker, or the Sponsor
of this Bill that he put this on postponed consideration,
go to the second floor tomorrow and find out whether the
Governor intends to veto the previous Bill. If the
Governor indicates that he is not going to veto that
Bill then I would be the first one to put a...a green
vote up there. In view of the fact that the Governor
still has an opportunity to veto it, I say let Mr. Shea Representative Shea - ask the Governor but... that

point if he is not going to veto it, my light will be green."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. Please give

the gentleman order."

Skinner: "Ladies...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we're setting ourselves up for another fall. We're going to pass both Bills, the Governor's going to have both of them on his desk and he's gonna say...he's going to end up castigating the General Assembly for being so irresponsible as to suggest we...pay \$8,000,000 for an election Cook County...in Cook County when gee whiz, all we had to do was to abolish the election. Now, you know we've outsmarted ourselves so often when the Governor has been involved that you know sometimes we let our zeal run away with ourselves. We're going to end up looking not too cool if this thing passes and he gets



both Bills on his desk. You think you're going to blackmail him. Instead I would suggest he'll use this Bill as a blackjack over our heads."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, might I answer Representative..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Shea: "Representative Schraeder. I'll tell you Fred, I've been down to see the Governor and Bill Goldberg and on at least five different occasions pleading with them to sign

that Bill. And I said, I've got no other alternative

but if it's not signed to try to pay for it another way."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

the House, explaining my red vote, I find it a very shocking thing that so many are voting green are publicly explaining that they're doing so to pressure the Governor and somehow to blackjack him into signing the Bill. Now I say to you that the Governor may be right or wrong, and he's often wrong, but right or wrong he's my Governor and it's a very bad thing we should...it's a shameful thing to try to take any steps to embarrass him. I would never do that and none of the rest of you should do so. So we should all

vote red and take the pressure off the Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh. You're flashing."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I just wanted to respond very briefly to the Majority
Leader who suggested that I was in favor of paying the
cost of this election from Cook County property taxes.
That's the furtherest thing from my mind, Mr. Speaker.
I am voting no because local elections should not be paid
for by the State and if we pay for this one with State
funds then every local election from now on ought to be
paid for with State funds and the Majority Leader knows
this. Now I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that those
two megalomaniacs that are involved in this fight ought

to decide it for themselves. This election ought to be



cancelled. You know it ought to be cancelled. The Governor...knows it ought to be cancelled, he has the vehicle for doing it, let him sign that Bill and have it over with. I urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewell."

Fwell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, all we're asking for is a little cooperation from the other side of the aisle and a little consideration for a very difficult problem of the people of Cook County and the City of Chicago. And I say to you that cooperation and consideration is the spirit of the day for if you gentlemen can see fit to send us enough votes to help pass this Bill and help do some good things for the County of Cook, perhaps we can even help you ressurect the elephant. We might even do better than that, we might even resurrect the dinosaur and the Whig Party but all we really want is a little help with this Bill and a little consideration. And I'm sure if we get together, gentlemen, all things are possible. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "All voted who wish? Representative Lechowidz." Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it isn't too often that I get up on this Floor and ask that my colleagues from the...throughout the various districts in this State help in a very serious problem. I won't go down and recite the litany of Bills that came out of the Appropriations Committee for various Members...' Speaker Redmond: "Will you give the gentleman order, please." Lechowicz: "I won't recite the litany of Bills that came out of the Appropriations Committee for various Members especially in the downstate portion of this wonderful State of ours based upon the fact of the testimony that was held in Committee. And let me tell you on House Bill 3014, this is a very serious problem, and we've tried to address it in two different ways. Unfortunately, the proper procedure has not been really addressed ...



has not been...addressed itself by the Governor and this is our only alternative. I'm asking the people that I've helped, not only this year but for the past 6 years, to give favorable consideration on this Bill and show a little compassion for the taxpayers of Cook County. I'd appreciate an aye vote and your support. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 91 aye, 48 no, Representative...Representative McClain."

McClain: "As I explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, I'd ask for a verification." Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would the Clerk please poll the absentees?" Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees." Jack O'Brien: "....ll, Caldwell, Chapman, John Dunn, Hirschfetd,

Gene Hoffman, Holewinski, J. D. Jones, LaFleur, Mugalian, Rose, Stearney, Washington, Mr. Speaker. Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will verify the affirmative roll

call." Jack O'Brien: "Arnell, E. M. Barnes, J. M. Barnes, Beatty,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Sir. Could you ask the gentleman if they wouldn't mind getting in their seats so that I could see a little easier so I wouldn't waste time of the House?'

Speaker Redmond: "Members will please take their seats."

Jack O'Brien: "Beaupre,"

McClain: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Please take their seats. We'll begin to call names pretty soon. Representative Schlickman will you take your seat? Representative Campbell, will you take your seat? Representative Collins, Representative Fleck. Will the Doorkeeper enforce the Rules of this House? Representative Collins, take your seat. Representative Hudson, take your seat. Representative Fleck,



Representative Walsh, you're one of the guardians of
the Rules of this House, will you please take your seat?
Representative Deavers, will you please take your seat?
Madigan, will you please take your seat? Representative
Taylor, will you see that Representative Madigan takes
his seat? How is that Representative McClain, can you
see now? Clear the aisle so Representative Madigan can
get to his seat. Wait. Representative Shea, will you
please take your seat? Representative Greisheimer, you're
out of place. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just would like to verify Johnny Brandt, he'd like to go lay down and that's fine with me. So if you would."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

McClain: "Okay. So he's verified and then if you'll proceed.

Thank you, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, some of the Members of the Assembly our have asked me what/ schedule looks like, they want to know if we're going to work tomorrow night and if we're going to work Saturday because they seem to think that we're spending a lot of time on some Bills we know are hopeless and I'm wondering if you can give us an idea of what it looks like in the future."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, three hours today we passed nine
Bills. Tonight we've been here for an hour and five
minutes and we've passed two. It would look to me like
we're going to have to work tomorrow night and probably
Saturday and Sunday unless this pace takes off. Does
that respond Representative Giorgi? Will you continue
your verification of the affirmative roll call?"

Jack O'Brien: "Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Brandt, Brummet,
Calvo, Capparelli, Capuzi, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Darrow,
Davis, DiPrima, Duff, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary,
Fennessey, Fleck, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi,



Greiman, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Dan Houlihan, Huff,
Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Keller, Kelly, Klosak,
Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz,
Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Mahar,
Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McAuliffe, McGrew,
McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Meyer, Molloy, Mulcahey,
Nardulli, Patrick, Peters, Porter, Pouncey, Randolph,
Richmond, Ryan, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Shea, C. M. Stiehl,
Stone, Stubblefield, Taylor, Telczer, Terzich, Totten,
Von Boeckman, White, Williams, Younge, Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the affirmative Roll Call?

Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Birchler."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Birchler."

McClain: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Right behind you."

McClain: "Mr...Borchers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers is in his seat."

McClain: "Mr. Boyle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

McClain: "Mr. Brummet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummet is in his seat."

McClain: "Mr. Hill."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Hill is right in front of you."

McClain: "Mr. Calvo."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Calvo. Here he comes."

McClain: "Mr. Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa. He's here."

McClain: "Mr. Getty."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Getty. Representative Caldwell,

for what purpose do you arise? Wants to be recorded as

aye, is that correct?"

McClain: "Mr. Getty, sir. Mr. Getty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty. Representative

Mugalian, for what purpose do you arise?"



Mugalian: "How am I recorded now?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded? Mugalian."

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Mugalian: "Well, please vote me no, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman as no."

McClain: "Mr. Getty."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Getty here? How is

the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Show him as recorded voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off the roll call."

McClain: "Mr. Giglio."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio. How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. Giorgi."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi is here."

McClain: "Mr. Greiman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman is in his seat."

McClain: "Mr. Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan is in the aisle."

McClain: "Mr. Hart."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart. Representative Hart.

How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. Emil Jones."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Emil Jones. Representative Jones.

How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane is here."

McClain: "Mr. Keller."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller, is he here?

How is he recorded?"



Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. Klosak."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak. He's here."

McClain: "Mr. Kucharski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kucharski. Is he behind

that paper? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz. Representative

Stearney desires to be recorded aye. Representative

Marovtiz, is he here? There he is here."

McClain: "Mr. Laurino."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Laurino. Representative Laurino.

How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. Mahar. Mr. Mahar."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar is here."

McClain: "Thank you. Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. Is he on the Floor?"

Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: Mr. Mautino. Is he on the Floor? How has

... Representative Mautino voted?"

Jack O'Brien: "Show him as recorded voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "Mr. McAuliffe."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe. He's here."

McClain: "Mr. McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew is here."

McClain: "Mr. McLendon. Jim here? Oh, thank you.

Mr. Randolph."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Randolph is here."



McClain: "Mr. Lucco."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco is here."

McClain: "Thank you. Mr. Telczer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telczer is in the back."

McClain: "Mr. E. M. Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "E. M. Barnes is here."

McClain: "Mr. Darrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow. Darrow in the

Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

McClain: "We...we took off Mr. Hart."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis inquires as to
how she is recorded?"

Geo-Karis: "I had...I had voted yes and someone has changed

Jack O'Brien: "Lady is recorded as voting no."

•

my switch. Please switch me on to yes again."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the lady as yes."

McClain: "Mr. Molloy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy is here."

McClain: "Thank you. We did Mr. Epton. That's all the

questions of the affirmative vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer, for what reason

do you arise?"

Palmer: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Palmer: "Make mine yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman to aye. Repre-

sentative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."



Duff: "Please switch my vote to no."

Speaker Redmond: "Change his vote to no. Representative Darrow has returned to the Floor. Put him on as aye.

Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no." Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think we should have had a verification of this roll call. I don't think this Bill should have been introduced, it's not right. I think the Governor should have signed the other Bill and got us off this gamemanship and I'm damned tired of being put in the middle of this. But I'll tell you one thing, when you need friends Cook County has been with downstate when we problems and if this is going to help Cook County get out of their problems I'm going

to switch over to ave and hope a few more downstate ... " Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded?' Holewinski."

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman has...recorded not voting."

Holewinski: "Vote me present, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote...present. Representative Flynn."

Flynn: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be changed from present

to aye, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman to aye. Record the Speaker as aye. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my present vote to aye, please?".

Speaker Redmond: "Change it to aye. On this question there's 89 ayes, 47 no. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 3015."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3015. A Bill for an Act making emergency appropriations to the Illinois Historical Third Reading of the Bill." Library.



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you arise?"

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for a point of parliamentary inquiry. Completely...I'll...I'll try not to take more than a minute but completely divorced from anything that's been going on...on the business on the Floor of the House, I just merely would like to ask the Speaker who is entitled to the Floor of the House from the governmental departments and agencies?"

Speaker Redmond: "According to Rule 55, the following persons are entitled to the Floor of the House. "Members and officers of the General Assembly, elected State officers and one of their administrative assistants.

Administrative assistants to the governor and any official responsible to him; former Speakers of the House, employees of the Reference Bureau, Legislative Council and employees of House leadership."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, the reason I asked, during the last few days and it's been especially true this evening, every time that Committees that I serve upon and I don't know how it affects the other Members of the House, but I know with me it has certainly happened time after time. Every time a Committee that I serve upon is meeting the following day, I find agency lobbyists - departmental lobbyist of the government of the State of Illinois - continuously coming by when we're in the midst of debate upon Bills upon Second and Third Reading; Bills that I would really like to have the opportunity to pay some attention to and know what's going on. And it's happened repeatedly, not just once this evening, I've had...had I would say now about five different occassions since we came back this evening and also it has come to my attention that the Members are losing so many things off their desk - in fact you have a notation upon our desk that we should lock everything up - I'm wondering if we couldn't enforce our Rules in regard to who comes on the Floor of the



House so that we could pay some attention to the business that we're elected here to...to engage in and also if we couldn't just keep...we have as I understand it, there are security people here all times whether we're here in Session or not, couldn't we just close the Floor of the House at all times until the House adjourns at the end of this current Session, night and day, until such time as...as we're off of here and no longer going to be engaging in business in the following day so that we could feel like we could leave something on top of our desks when the...when the drawers get full. We don't have to carry armloads back and forth and also so that we could pay some attention to the business here."

Speaker Redmond: "I think your point is well taken Mr.

Tipsword and I would like to call to the attention of

the Members that many times the Members themselves are
the biggest violaters. The only purpose of a Floor pass
is to is...to let the people in to make a delivery or
something of that nature. It's not intended for visiting

privileges throughout the time that we're in Session. I have hesitated to embarrass Members but as the time comes closer to the end why I'm going to have to if that's in order. Now there are plans afoot at the present time to improve the security of this Chamber as well as the entire Capitol Building and that will be put into effect rather soon and I think that when those new security regulations are in effect you'll find far greater security than we've had in the past. I thank you for calling it to my attention and also...the attention of the Members of the General Assembly and I would earnestly ask that they cooperate with the Rules and with the Speaker and with the Doorkeeper so that we can get about our business

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an emergency appropriation of \$8,000 to the Illinois

and not have these interruptions. 3015. Representative



Londrigan."

sidewalks and sewer cave-ins. It's an emergency appropriation and the repairs have already been made. I ask for your approval of this \$8,000 emergency appropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote age and opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 118 age, 2 nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Kane, age. Representative Choate, age. Brinkmeier, age. Greiman, age. Van Duyne, age. Reed, age. Kempiners, age. Stubblefield, age.

McCourt, no...age. Representative Sevcik, age. Leverenz, age. Farley, age. Duff, age. Collins, no...that's age.

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3017. Lechowicz. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3017 there is in process a ...limit being prepared by Representative Jones and Representative Brandt. I'd like to take this Bill out of the record and if the Amendment is ready tomorrow move it back to Second Reading, adopt the Amendment then pass it on Third Reading with the will of the House.

So I'd like to take it out of the record..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 3019."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 3019. Shea. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for additional expenses, Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know, we increased our expense allowances from 10 to \$12,000. This is the money to provide that additional money in this...in fiscal year '75 and it provides the



107

additional money we need for our office expense and I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen

of the House, I concur in what Representative Shea has

just stated and would ask for a green light on this Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Stearney 'aye'. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 151 'aye', no 'nay'. The bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 3024. May I explain on House Bill 3024, this is the bill and the one following it in which we had all the discussion yesterday. We repaired to the Speaker's office, checked all the records and find that 3024 is identical with a bill that had been introduced previously, which had been posted in which the hearing had been held, and . . . ah . . . somebody stole it . . . identical with House Bill 1136 in which it had been posted, there had been a hearing on it, and I consider it a substitute bill; and under Rule 33, it can be advanced to the Order of Second Reading without reference to committee. Representative Walsh." Walsh: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker, what you're really saying is that when you make a ruling that is adverse to a Democrat, there's no need to appeal the ruling of the Chair, because the Speaker will find a way to

Speaker Redmond: "What I'm saying . . ."

Walsh: "If you make a ruling . . ."

wiggle out of it."

Speaker Redmond: ". . . what I'm saying is that . . ."

Walsh: "... I haven't finished, Mr. Speaker, when you make a ruling that is adverse to a Dem ... to a Republican, then he's on his own and must try to get every other Republican to vote with him to appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "You know better than that, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Another example . . . another example, Mr. Speaker, of you being arbitrary and unfair in this Session, and I, in my experience, have not seen a Speaker conduct himself in this way in the eight terms that I've been here; and I would . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "That's because you were off the floor."

Walsh: ". . . I've been here, Mr. Speaker, in those eight terms a good

deal more than you have; and I suggest to you, and I'm very serious,

that you are wrong in changing your ruling, because the rules, simply,

do not provide for that and a new bill has got to go the same route



any other bill, whether it be committee or substitute or anything else that you might call it, it should be introduced, assigned to the Committee on Assignment and from there put to a Substantive Committee, unless the sponsor of that bill moves that it be read a first time and put on the Order of Second Reading without reference to a committee and you know it very well, Mr. Speaker; but you got the horses this time. I'm sure you won't after the way you've conducted this Session next time."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you very much, Representative Walsh. Representative

Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been here seven of the eight terms the gentleman's talking about and I suggest he take a memory course, really."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative . . . Representative Totten."

Totten: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, you indicated earlier that a bill . . . a committee bill should go to the Committee on Assignment of bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Not a substitute bill, if you look at Rule 33, it very clearly says that, 'when a committee reports a substitute for an original bill, the substitute bill shall be read a first time, ordered printed and advanced to the Order of Second Reading without reference to committee', and that is precisely what happened here. I didn't know all the facts yesterday, I think I would be foolish if I persisted in . . . in compounding an error. I didn't know the facts, I checked. I found out that this is an identical bill that had been posted, the full hearing had been had. The public and the other mem-

bers were permitted to have an input into it; and that is my ruling.

Representative Duff."

Totten: "Yeah, but that, wait a minute, Mr. Speaker . . . "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Yeah, in this case, the original bill was tabled and pulled out of the Record; and you can't have a substitute for a tabled bill, can you?"

Speaker Redmond: "It was reintroduced and came out as a substitute bill and there had to be a hearing and had been posted."

Totten: "And . . . but a tabled bill is non-existent, can't you just start



all over again?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I passed the ruling. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, House Bill 3024 has had a

very good . . ."

Speaker Redmond: ". . . hasn't gotten it there, yet, I guess, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Pardon me, Mr. Speaker, I didn't hear that."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the bill."

Mr. Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3024, a bill for an act to amend the Minimus

Wage Law. Third Reading of the bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, I'm sure you didn't notice I had my light on when we were making some parliamentary inquiries relative to

this procedure; but I would like to ask two questions . . . ah . . .  $^{"}$ 

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Duff: "... on your ruling. First of all, Mr. Speaker, is it to be our understanding that a ... when the rule refers to a substitute bill ... ah ... from the way you express yourself, is it to be our understanding that that means any bill which is identical in wording

to another bill, which has been before the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you repeat that?"

Duff: "Ah . . . When you referred to the definition of a substitute bill and the reason for your ruling, you indicated that it was because the wording was precisely the same as the previous bill, which had already been heard. So my first question of two is, are you saying, sir, that if a bill is submitted which is identical in wording to another bill previously heard in committee that that will be recognized as a substitute bill by definition?"

Speaker Redmond: "It wouldn't have to be identical, it would have to cover

Duff: "But if it were . . ."

the same subject matter . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "... until ... and reported. Now, if you will look
at Tuesday's Calendar, you'll find that, for instance, in Elementary
and Secondary Education, they posted for consideration as committee
bills only, and then they listed the bill by number ..."



Duff: "I understand that, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "..... and my point was, and the reason for the ruling yesterday, is that I was not aware the fact that the subject matter that was reported out in 3024 had been posted and that there had been a full hearing. I think that I objected to and the thing that I have objected to and if you want to go back in the Record, I would suggest that you see how the Cook County Hospital was reorganized and I would suggest that you see how the Environmental Protection Act was . . . was adopted by this General Assembly, and you'll find out that in those . . . both of those instances, there never was any hearing, there never was any posting, there was just amendments and bills that had been tabled for a year and year and a half, we sent it over to the Senate for concurrence; and I . . ."

Duff: "Sir . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "I recognize . . ."

Duff: "I . . . I am not . . . "

Speaker Redmond: ". . . the sponsor of those bills that if he wants me to call on him, I will."

Duff: "May I make myself clear, sir? I heard everything you said, but it

didn't respond to my question, Mr. Speaker. I would like to make my

two questions . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I made it . . . I thought I made it clear it does not have . . ."

Duff: ". . . You misunderstood my question, Mr. Speaker. I would like to make it . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think I understood it and I think I've answered it to the fullest extent of my capacity . . ."

Duff: "Well, sir . . . well, let me . . . please . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State you inquiry."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . for our information and the rules don't cover this point, unfortunately, what is the difference between a substitute bill and a committee bil ?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it . . . if the . . . a committee till can be a



substitute bill, but it could be something entirely different. Now, for instance, let's assume that . . . that . . . ah . . . medical malpractice where we had the subcommittee . . ."

Schlickman: "Its getting awfully sensitive on that subject, can you pick

Speaker Redmond: "Well, 'no fault', how does that strike you?"

Schlickman: "Fine."

another one?"

Speaker Redmond: "We had . . . we had subcommittees that were hearing the general subject of 'no fault'. You might have no-fault divorce, no-fault insurance, no-fault probate, no-fault anything and . . . and that subject matter . . . ah . . . there wouldn't have been any initial bill necessarily, there's nothing that would preclude the committee from considering any subject that they wanted, but my feeling is that if they . . . if they did have a . . . a subject matter, that would be a new bill and it should come in and be assigned to the Committee on Assignment and then have a posting and go ahead forward; but in this particu . . . in this case and in the bill following, there had been a complete hearing, there had been posting, the public had an input, all of the members of the House had input, and no useful purpose would

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I, simply, suggest that when the rules, the permanent rules of this House are adopted, if ever, we provide for the introduction of a bill as a substitute bill to distinguish it from a committee bill. This is clearly labelled a committee bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, a substitute bill is a committee bill."

Schlickman: "Well, you know, who's to make that determination? Its a subjective determination based on the circumstances and I think its unfortunate that you or any Speaker would be placed in the position subject to . . . ah . . . the burdens of that position to make that . . .

 ${\tt ah}$  . . . determination. The rules are very lacking in this area . . . "

Speaker Redmond: "I go . . . that . . ."

be served. Representative Duff."

Schlickman: ". . . and I think that is something that ought to be disposed of, should have been disposed of."

Speaker Redmond: "I . . . I agree completely. Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, indulge me, if you will, please, sir, as a



perhaps its because you misunderstood my motive in asking, which is not hostile to your ruling. It is, in fact, an attempt so that we can understand for the future the implications of the ruling; and I would like to ask two questions. One, sir, I believe you said that, maybe it was broader than this, but at least it included this, when a

bill is identical in wording and has been heard before by a committee, will that bill be included under the definition of a substitute bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I would, you know, this is a hypothetical situation, but

I would say that if its identical, if there had been posting, if there

had been a hearing, the opportunity for the public and the other mem
bers to be heard on it, then I would consider it a substitute bill."

Duff: "Fine. Now . . . "

Speaker Redmond: "If it was something that subject matter germaine to the jurisdiction of the committee, yes, but there had been no bill, there had been no hearing, no input, then I would feel that that would be a committee bill; it would have to come to the Speaker's table to refer it to the Committee on Assignment of Bills and then a posting and then a public hearing."

Buff: "Yes, sir, I understand that now. Now, my next question is, when such a bill is . . . is introduced, will the procedure be constant for all such bills? Will they all be referred to Second Reading without re-referral to committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "If its . . . if its not a substitute bill, but if its a completely new bill, which is the . . . the . . . ah . . . brain child of the committee, and there has been no public hearing, no posting, no input, then, in my judgment, it should go to the Committee on Assignments, there should be a post . . . there should be posting and a hearing so that the public can have an opportunity . . ."

Duff: "Then . . . then . . . then in all other instances it will be referred to Second Reading without re-referral?"

Speaker Redmond: "That . . . that is correct."

Duff: "Thank you, sir." Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry."

GENERAL ASSEM

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Fleck: "In the area of substitute bills . . . ah . . . I assume that the conditions precedent to the substitute bill is that there was a committee hearing, there was a posting and people were afforded the opportunity to be heard pro and con. Now, my question is, the substitute bill as it is called and as it would come out of the committee, is that the bill as it came out of the committee originally or as it might have been on the floor of the House after Second Reading when floor amendments may have been added?"

Speaker Redmond: "When the committee reports it out as . . . it is assigned the bill, its a new bill, its got a new number altogether."

Fleck: "No, no, no, no, that's not what I'm asking. I want to know, you stated that a substitute bill would have the same substance as a bill which had hearings, posting, etcetera, etcetera. Now, I want to know

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone, for what purpose do you rise?"
Stone: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, you have made many rulings here, which have been correct, possibly some of have been wrong, but, Mr. Speaker, you are not required and it is not proper for you to make any ruling now on a hypothetical question."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're absolutely correct and Mr. Fleck is sorry.

Representative Hanahan. Let's proceed with 3024."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, 3024 . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "I beg to differ but this is not hypothetical, Representative Stone, because it came up this afternoon in Judiciary Committee, and we

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

bounced around . . ."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I renew my point, it is hypothetical. At this moment, posted on the board is House Bill 3024. When the question raised by the gentleman comes up before this House, then the question would be proper."

Speaker Redmond: "Jell, I agree with Representative Stone, Representative



Fleck. Representative Washburn, what . . . for what purpose do you rise?"

Washburn: "Thank . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, there might be some justification in your ruling on identical bills, but as far as the other bills go, sub . . . ah . . . substitute bills or relative bills or non-related bills, I

think you're entirely wrong, and I would like to register right now a very vigorous and expressive dissent to your ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I'm almost inclined to agree with you, but the problem is that Representative Fleck persists in asking me something that is really before the House, now. What is before the House, now,

is House Bill 3024. Representative Hanahan. Representative Houlihan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I've had a lot of bills in

my time trying to get it called and . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Hanahan: "... hopefully a lot of ..."

Houlihan: "Excuse me, Representative Hanahan, but . . . ah . . . Mr.

Speaker, I would have to . . . ah . . . ask for some kind of explanation . . . ah . . . a similar matter came up in a committee that I am

on today ..."

Speaker Redmond: "I wasn't there, this . . . your question and your inquiry is not directed to House Bill 3024. Representative Hanahan, proceed."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, House Bill 3024 is an act to amend the Minimum Wage Law that this General Assembly in four years ago considered and adopted into Law in Illinois. This amendment con-

forms with the Federal provisions of increases of the various amounts of money over the next year. At the present time, the minimum wage of the State of Illinois for those over 18 years of age is \$1.90 an hour and for under 18 is \$1.55. We start with next January 1st to raise the minimum wage for all employees that will be covered under this act to \$2.20 an hour and \$1.75 for those under 18; and in 1977, employees over 13 would be raised to \$2.30 an hour and those under 18 to \$1.95

an hour. We striked the provision that would have exempted those



employees with five or less employees so that interstate employees are covered with a Minimum Wage Law. We include in the changes the strik-

ing also of . . . in the tipped employee, the gratuity employee and make it very clear that the exemption to pay only 50 percent of the minimum wage applicable to a gratuity employee is only for that period of . . . for which the claim for exemption is made so that employers can't hire a gratuity employee and have him employed at doing something that they could not receive a gratuity for; and I might point out a lot of people have questioned me and say, 'Well, what good is a minimum wage? Does anyone ever collect on it?'. I'd like to point out in 1972 when the Minimum Wage Law passed in Illinois at a \$1.40 an hour, \$2,636.86 was collected for those employees covered at that time in a six-month period. In 1973, \$78,000 . . . \$78,809 in penalties in back pay were . . . were paid to employees through the Department of Labor in penalizing the employers that were in violation of this act; and that was in wages in addition to what they were receiving and, yet, under a \$1.60 an hour. In 1974, \$97,717.54 were collected for the people in Illinois that were not being paid what Department of Labor the law called for, \$1.75 an hour. The inspected 17,865 establishments, out of the 154,000 covered establishments of unemployment compensation. Now, this bill will certainly go a long way to insure that the citizens of Illinois are paid a very basic minimum wage. One of the other additions to the Law is what we called a Fair Standard Act, which is the Federal Act, and that is that, 'the time and a half provision over 40 hours shall be paid for persons covered by this act, except those who are exempted from the provisions of the act', those that are exempted are employees with a contract to the contrary, the salesmen, mechanics engaged in selling and servicing of automobiles, trailers, and aircraft; and any employer of agriculture or labor with respect to such agriculture employment. Mr. Speaker and members of the House, this is a very important labor matter. Its a very important bill to organize labor, not because they'll benefit by it, but because people who work for a living should have a floor on their wages. Its the only system we know that we could guarantee that the exploitation of those who haven't got the privileges of a lobby, haven't got the privileges of a union representing him, that they would have the opportunity to be guaranteed



under law a very basic minimum wage; and . . . and I can only say that its very odd some who object to minumum wage for common, ordinary working people seem to have a different feeling when it comes to minimum waged concerning various other professional fees . . . ah . . . professions they belong to. Its a good bill. I ask your support in the name of all those employees out there who do not have union membership, nor union representation or even a voice here in the Legislature, these are the people who are at the bottom of the economic ladder that need your help in support of this bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "Now, how does this bill, Representative Hanahan, compare with

Federal minimum wage?"

Hanahan: "In what way, sir?"

Tuerk: "Well, the structure, the amounts."

Hanahan: "Oh, the amount's identical."

Tuerk: "How does this bill compare to your previous bill that was once on

the floor?"

that was on Third Reading on May 23rd, it was tabled at midnight, May 23rd; and the change was on a request of the . . . ah . . . gratuity employee organizations that asked for a specific . . . ah . . . change

Harahan: "This is the identical bill outside of one word change of the bill

in the word . . . ah . . . from 'hour' to 'period' on pa . . . line 17, page 4, . . . so it replaced the word 'period' with . . . from the

word 'hour'."

Tuerk: "Well, I didn't . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "May I have the courtesy to listen to Representative Tuerk and get the responses that he is trying to illicit? I'll call on you."

Tuerk: "I didn't understand that . . . ah . . . one change that was made

in the . . . ah . . . previous bill."

Hanahan: "At the request of the Hotel, Restaurant, Motel and Restaurant Employees Association, the . . . the employer groups, they had re-

quested that to insure fairness in the application of the exemption of the 50 percent gratuity exemption that when we were trying to 3et at



the . . . the employer who was trying to skirt the law by employing, let's say, a waitress to come in at 8:00 o'clock to fold napkins, sweep floors or work in the kitchen, and, yet, only pay 50 percent of the minimum wage to that employee, even though knowing full well that that employee could not receive any gratuities during that interim; so we changed the word . . . the original act called for, 'in the hour for which the claim of exemption is made', and in fairness to them, they persuaded me to change that 'hour' to, 'in the period for which the claim of exemption is made'."

Tuerk: "One final question . . . ah . . . does the Federal Act provide for minimum wage of . . . ah . . . of employers of five or fewer?"

Hanahan: "Fed . . . the Federal Act does not cover interstate employees.

This is not the same latitude. If we just followed the Federal Act,

we wouldn't need a state law. Everyone would be covered with the Federal Act. We're talking about employees in the lowest employee groups,

and that is in the state . . . the interstate employment and the . . ."

Tuerk: "Tom . . ."

Hanahan: ". . . Federal Act does not cover them."

Tuerk: ". . . you haven't answered my question, does the Federal Law provide

for minimum wage for employees or employers with five or fewer em
ployees?"

Hanahan: "It does not word its language that way, it words it based on
. . . gross sales."

Tuerk: "In other words, this is not identical to the Federal Act."

Hanahan: "No, I never said it did. I said the amounts of money are identical."

Tuerk: "I see. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wondered whether Marquette would ever recognize Notre Dame there for a minute."

Speaker Redmond: "We will when you get a team."

Totten: "I . . . I think we've had our cunce of blood up there in Milwaukee quite a few times. I feel like I was gored over here the way you've.

her . . failed to recognize me, but I want to raise two points of order and I think they're appropriate. The Sponsor has indicated that



this bill is now the same as the bill that was on Third Reading. Well, if that is the case, there . . . there were three amendments adopted and it is not the same bill that was heard in committee; and if it is not the same bill that was heard in committee, how can it be reported out as a substitute committee bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I've made my ruling on it. I've compared the bills and they are identical. I don't know what amendments . . ."

Totten: "Well, the Sponsor has indicated that there . . . "

Speaker Redmond: "Well . . ."

Totten: ". . . if its the same as the bill on Third Reading and that bill had three floor amendments on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Maybe he hasn't read it, but I can tell you its the same bill."

Totten: "Well, he's indicated that it isn't. There were three floor amendments adopted, it is not the same."

Speaker Redmond: "Its the same bill. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "I . . . I believe in the questioning by Representative Tuerk, Mr.

Speaker, that Representative Hanahan clearly stated that there was a

change in this bill from the original bill. Now, I think he stated

that, and I . . . I don't care if its changing two to three to four to five, there's a change; and I would lake to know if there was a posting, if the public was offered the opportunity to appear in any hearings as to what the change in this bill is as compared to the previous bill which he handled, which I believe is 1136?"

Speaker Redmond: "The same amendment, the same posting that you have when
you amend the bill on Second Reading on the floor of the House."
Fleck: "That doesn't answer the question, he said this bill . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I said that this bill is . . . is in order. The only way that you can dispute that is you can appeal my ruling. Its in order. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I move the previous question, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'nyes' have . . . the Chair is unable to determine. All those in favor vote 'aye', oppose 'no'. This is a . . . previous question. It takes two the rds of those voting in





present or 107, whichever is less. Have all voted who wish? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish?"

Totten: ". . . Mr. Speaker, I . . . I indicated earlier that I had two
points of order to raise. You let me raise one and ruled rather capriciously, but I never got a chance to raise my second."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, the previous question is one of the privileged motions, and when . . . when somebody moves the previous question, I must take the Roll Call under the rules."

Totten: "Well, I never had an opportunity on my pre . . . to require . . . to inquire of my previous point to order."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? It takes 107 or two thirds of those voting in present. This question . . . the Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's a 109 'aye', 50 'nay'; and the previous question is carried. Representative Duff, 107."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've always been led to believe that it took two thirds, not three fifths."

Speaker Redmond: "107."

Duff: "107 is three fifths, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's what the rules are."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised that my math is not as good as yours, but . . "

Duff: "Sir, . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, you ruled again, now, I wish that you would quit answering people, because it is not in order when they keep arguing with you after you've ruled. If you're wrong, Mr. Speaker, they may appeal the ruling and that is all they are allowed to do, they are not allowed to argue with you."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Representative Hanahan to close."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, in case there's any fear that the Federal Law does not cover employees with one or more, I might point out that in reviewing the Federal Statutes, it covers anyone



who is producing goods for commerce in interstate activity. So it does cover those who have even one employee meetin' that condition. I might point out, Mr. Speaker and members of the House that this bill, once again, is being asked for for . . . by people, by people who are at the bottom of the economic ladder; and when we talk about raising salaries, when we talk about paying minimum salaries to county officials or paying minimum bar fees set down for lawyers representing clients, when we set down various other minimum schedules, we're talking about a lot of money. When we talk about raising our own salary, we're talking about more money than the person working under the minimum wage earns in a whole year. So let your conscious be your guide on this issue. If you really and truly want to help people, vote 'aye' on this all-important bill to working men and women in this state that have no lobby here meeting with you or able to talk to you because they couldn't afford the bus trip to here, whether they lived in the south side of Springfield or not, to get here if they were working under the conditions of a minimum wage law. I urge and plead an 'aye' vote on this all-important bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . I wanted to ask questions,

but now I'll wait and explain my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, he's . . . ah . . . Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "For what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have . . . had a question I wanted to ask when

. . . ah . . . Representative Stone . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative, he's explained his vote."

Duff: ". . . interrupted me. I understand his loyalty, but when one asked
a direct parliamentary question, its my understanding, Mr. Speaker,

that the House rules very clearly state that it takes two thirds of those voting in order to cut off debate, and there were people like

Representative Grotberg . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "He had it . . . he had it . . . "

Duff: ". . . and Representative Totten who wanted to . . "

Speaker Redmond: ". . . the question . . . '



Duff: ". . . ah . . . speak and I will yield to Representative Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Re. . . . You can't yield on this point. Representative

Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I repeat my point of order. He may appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. The question is, will this bill pass?

Those in favor vote 'aye' and oppose vote 'no'. Representative

Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow members of the House, I just want to point out to you that actually its a reverse of what was said is occurring here. That is that this is for the best interest of the per class, the people that have the least. Its just the reverse, because all you have to do is look at New York and you'll see exactly what's going to happen to what your contributing to by voting for the 'yes' on this bill. All you're doing is in another year you're going to have a \$3 minimum wage and another year \$3.50 and \$4, etcetera, to keep on going. It just adds right up and we're goin' to be exactly in the position of New York. The thing to do is in everything draw the line, now. Draw the line. Now, you're not going to listen and I know but that's what you better be doing tecause that's where we're going to be is exactly where New York is now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I will be brief because I see the 108

votes, but I . . . in explaining my 'no' vote, I talked this over with
the distinguished Representative from McHenry and in these paragraphs
regarding tip of payments for waitresses and waiters and those who
are employed by tips, I would just remind everybody here that, either
as a restaurant in his background or is . . . knows as constituents
who run restaurants in particular, that we have and it does not apply
to the restaurants that I operate because they pay full minimum wage
for all hours; but a banquet girl can come in and make \$50 or \$75
serving a banquet and be delighted to have the job and make good money,
but now even for employees of five or less that manager of that operation must pay that girl the full minimum wage from the minute she
gets on the job until she begins to earn the tip money, which is the



serving of the food; and its all part of the organized structure of every restaurant operation and banquet facility, in particular, that the . . . the whole . . . the complication in the bookkeeping involved in stripping out one hour or two hours a period as he describes it for the minimum wage, when most of everyone that I know is making a good buck at this business serving food, its just foolish to include it in there; and I would ask each and every one of you to think of that as you vote on this, and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record.

On this question there's 127 'aye', 30 'no'. The bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.

Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you and I have been friends for quite some time,

and . . . ah . . . I feel very bad in what I'm about ready to do, but
I don't like to be used. I don't like to be used to pass a bill and
then abused in parliamentary maneuvering to pass another bill, which
is unconscionable action in my mind. So, accordingly, I now move
to reconsider the vote by having voted on the prevailing side, to
reconsider the vote by which House Bill 3014 passed."

moved to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 3024 . . . Representative Matifevich."

Unknown: "30 . . . 3024."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is that bill still in control of the House or is it in the Senate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I was gonna' move that that motion lie on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which

House Bill 3024 was passed. Representative Matijevich has moved

that that motion lie on the table; and the question is on the motion
to lie it on the table. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is . . . he's talking as . . . I'd still like to find out if the bill is in the control of the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is it in the control of the . . . ah . . . huh? I've been advised that the . . . the message has gone to the Senate.

Representative Collins. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm just curious as to when you signed that bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I . . . I don't sign the bill until after they come back from the Senate."

Collins: "You don't sign them before they go over?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, I don't sign them then. I don't come . . . I don't sign them until after its passed the Senate and then comes back for my signiture. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "I would like to ask the Clerk of the House, in all honesty, whether he signed that bill attesting to its passage?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Mr. Jack O'Brien: "I signed the bill right here when it passed."

Fleck: "And did you send it over to the Senate? Did you see it leave this Chamber, in all honesty.?"

Mr. Jack O'Brien: "We . . . we called upstairs and asked if the message was gone . . ."

Fleck: "I don't . . ."

Mr. Jack O'Brien: ". . . and, in all honesty, they said 'yes'."

Flack: "But is it gore? I don't . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Fleck: "Is it gone?"

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . the gentleman asked to reconsider the vote by which 3014 passed and by now that bill, 3024, is long gone, Charlie, you asked for 3014."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, since the Senate is not in Session, they cannot accept that bill so the bill must be in control of the House. The Senate will not be in Session until tomorrow morning. Now, I submit to you . . ."

Speaker Redmonl: "I've been advised . . . I've been advised by the Clerk

Walsh: ". . . that the bill is in control of the House . . . well, it doesn't matter what the Clerk says, the bill can't be received by the Senate, therefore, its in control of the House."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Point of order, I believe the procedure is to send the message over to the Clerk of the Senate and . . . ah . . . evidently . . . he, evidently, is over there, Bill, . . . ah . . . well, no-body knows if he's there or not, everybody's speaking for the Clerk of the Senate. Now, I mean the Secretary of the Sen . . . Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, does the Clerk in the House have a receipt for the . . . ah . . . for the bill . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "He tells me that its not in the control of the House."

Telcser: ". . . He ca . . . he has the receipt book, he's supposed to
have receipts."

-Speaker Redmond: "He tells me its not in the control of the House, that's all I . . ."

Telcser: "Can he show us the receipt that the bill was received somewhere else, he can't. Its still in the possession of the . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House,

I can emphasize with the frustrations of the gentlemen on the other side, but all I would ask them to do is to think tank two years.

They cannot set any rules except the rules that we are going by, our temporary rules, and you have ruled and I think its an imposition and

an insult to the . . . to the Speaker and to the Chair for them to carry on this charade over here; and I move that we get along with the business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster. I would like to caution the members that . . . ah . . . the 13th of June is coming very quickly as is the 26th, and, you know, we had Representative Neff and Representative Gene Hoffman and a few others, who had tears of anguish, and it looks to me like we're getting close to that now. Here's a bill that carried by quite a bit . . . ah . . . you can do . . . you can . . ah . . . Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of order, I simply wish to inquire . . ah . . . is the basis of your . . . ah . . . position in this matter in interpretation of Rule 64 that the . . . ah . . . which relates

to the fact that a member who . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Deuster: ". . . I wonder if I could conclude my sentence, Mr. Speaker,

before Representative Stone interrupts?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Rule 64 provides that a member who had voted on the prevailing side of a Record vote on a matter still within the control of the House . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Deuster: ". . . same day, move to reconsider . . ."

Stone: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker, it makes no difference what your ruling was on, the gentleman may appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone is correct. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, with regards to the issue of whether or not House Bill 3014 is out of the control of this House, I just went over to the Senate, the Senate is not in Session and the secretary of the Senate office is closed. There is no way, physically, humanly, for that bill to be out of our control."

Epeaker Redmon1: "House Fill 3014 was Representative Shea's bill making an appropriation for the expenses of a special election. Is that the bill that you're addressing yourself to? We're now on 3024 and Representative Fleck's motion addressed itself to 3014; and I don't know how he voted on that one. Did you mean 3014?"

Fleck: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, when I made my motion I said I had voted on the prevailing side."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House,

I rise to suggest that we either stand at ease for ten or fifteen
minutes or recess lest the Speaker follow the path of a previous

Speaker, Speaker Blair; and I don't think that we can say, because it
happened two years ago, that many of us were victimized by either a

quick gavel or a hasty dismissal or a doctoring of the tapes, that
we should do that this Session; and I would suggest that we look into
it and come up wich some . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Let me tell . . . let me tell Representative Houlihan that I resent any suggestion that I would doctor any tapes."

I'm suggesting that they may have been doctored, and I think its your responsibility to check into it; and I suggest that we stand at ease until you, personally, can check into that matter."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I am not suggesting that you're doctoring any tapes;

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, I would make an alternate suggestion, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that this House get about its orderly manner of business in behalf of the people of this state and consider legislation that's on the Calendar or by gosh adjourn the darn thing and go home."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . in the rules there's a provision that

. . . ah . . . all motions should be made in writin' if anybody, any

member or the Speaker requests it. Now, I would like to request that

that motion be made and put in writing; and I would also proper a suggestion to the Chair that I can see no earthly reason why, simply because someone wants to make it, we can go out of order and take all

these motions spontaneously from the floor. There are other motions

that people have made and there can be no reason why one motion, it

should be more important than another motion; and I suggest that when

we get to the time and the day of business that is motions, we proceed

to it; but the motion that he made is not a privileged motion, and I

would ask, number one, that Mr. Fleck put it in writing and I ask that

he put it in writing behind the six motions that I already have filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Rep . . . ah . . . in deference to the Chair, I would

like to take this one, defer of the finding of ruling on . . . on Representaltve Fleck's . . . ah . . . motion. I'll get back to it. I've

got rules that we can't find an index to and all sorts of problems,

but I will be back to at. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, is that you?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Tepresentative Washburn."

Washburn: "Yes, thank you . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Probably part of the problem is that the member in front of him was not in his seat and I couldn't see him."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House,

I agree with Representative Choate that we should get on with the

people's business. Mr. Schlickman went over and found the . . . ah

. . . Senate door locked, we've been on the phone . . . ah . . . con
stantly . . . ah . . . for the last five minutes here and no one answers

the phone. I suggest that we do get on with the business at hand, and

consider the written motion that Representative Fleck has placed on

the Clerk's desk and call Roll Call on that motion. That's the bus-

Speaker Redmond: "We'll be in recess for five minutes 'til we consult the rules. Representative Choate."

Choate: "I might . . . ah . . . straighten this out for Charlie. Charlie, for edification a messenger out of the Speaker's office is on his way to Pawnee to get . . . ah . . . get his right signiture on the . . . re . . . to receive the message. Now . . . now, if you want to go chase him . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich, we're in recess but . . . ah
. . . "Il recognize you."

Friedrich: "On a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

iness at hand right now."

Friedrich: "I've been impressed with your presiding over this House. I think you and from time to time have been exceedingly fair to me and the other members of the House, but in all candor, I have to say that this latest ruling of yours is the most absurd thing I've ever heard. You know and I know that bill was not in the Senate and how you can sit there and say that, I don't know. Mr. Fleck's motion was completely in order and I think you know it and I know it. That bill was in control of the House and the . . . and the motion was in order."

Speaker Redmond: "I was advised by the Clerk, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I don't care what the Clerk said, you have a responsibility to
this House and you know where that bill was. It was not in the Senate,
no way, it couldn't be. You have the responsibility of being over the
Clerk."



Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, now I think is the time when maybe we should clear the air as to the procedure the committees are going to take as to a substitute bill or a committee bill. I had asked earlier on this bill, I want to know and I think the members of this side of the aisle are entitled to have a clear definitive ruling as to the procedures which the committees will take in regard to, one, committee bills, and two, substitute bills. Which ones will be re-referred to committee?

Whether a substitute bill will contain exactly the same language as a previous bill. I think its fair at this time we should know. If we don't get a ruling, I'm going to persist in my motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think your motion is out of order. I think that is not a point of order, I think that's an inquiry from which there is no appeal according to Robert's Rules Of Order. Now, after the . . . during recess, I made inquiry of the Clerk and I find that the bill had been sent over to the Senate, but as the Minority Leader suggested, in as much as there was nobody over in the Senate to receive it, it did come back to the House; and, therefore, it is in the control of the . . . of the House. Representative Fleck's motion to move to reconsider the vote by which the House Bill 3014 was passed was in order. The Representative Matijevich . . . we will recognize Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen-of the House, I also have a written motion that that motion of Representative Fleck to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 3014 was passed lie on the table."

Speaker Redmond: "Which . . . Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there can be no motion by Representative Fleck, because you have moved his . . . ah . . . or ruled his motion to be out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "No, I didn't, this was the second time I was wrong today.

I've ruled that his motion is in order. The question is on the motion

of Representative Matijevich to lie . . . to table Representative

Fleck's motion. Representative S.mms."

Simms: "I'd ask is Representative Matijevich's motion in writing:"



Speaker Redmond: "Yes, it is, right here. On the question. All those in favor . . . all those in favor of tabling vote 'aye' and oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 83 'aye', 73 'no'; and the motion to table prevails. 3025."

Mr. Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3025, a bill for an act to amend the Coal Miners Act in the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill. No, Representative Tipsword, pardon me, Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen . . . ah . . . this . . . this bill is a committee bill of the Committee on Labor and Commerce. and this bill was previously House Bill 2404, which was fully heard in Commerce Committee, and amendments were fully heard in that committee and adopted to the bill; and were, thereafter, fully heard upon the floor of this House, and on this House adopted to this bill; and the bill then went back and came out as a committee bill. I would say to you, first of all, that the synopsis that you see on House Bill 3024 or 3025 and on . . . on the face of the bill is in error and in total error. It is the same synopsis that originally appeared on House Bill 2404, which was amended by committee and amended, thereafter, here by adoption of the same amendments on the floor of the House; and then by amendment of all of the parties who were-in interest upon the bill so that all of the items that were objectionable to the supervisors or foreman have been removed. There are no criminal penalties or civil penalties in the bill, as was previously in House Bill 2404. There is only a criminial . . . ah . . . strike that. There's only a civil remedy of injunction, temporary and permanent injunction, for violations of the rules of health and safety that would be presented . . . "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer's, for what purpose do you rise?"

Meyer: "Port . . . Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker, the Clerk . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Point of inquiry is out of order at this time." Proceed,

Representative Tips . . ."

Meyer: "Point of order, then."

Speaker Redmond: "O.K., what's your point."



Meyer: "I would direct this inquiry to the Speaker and ask him if . . . if

the would inquire of the Clerk if . . . if House Bill 3025 has been

printed and distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand it has been and don't bring up any printing problems, I've had enough nightmares . . ."

Meyer: "I . . . I understand as of yesterday this . . . "

Speaker Redmond: "Its on the desks. Representative Hill. Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I, personally, seen that both
House Bill 3024 and 3025 was distributed yesterday. In fact, they
lowered them from up here down to the floor and from there distributed
them to all the desks in the House."

Meyer: "Thank you."

Tipsword: "May I proceed, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Tipsword: "We also required all of the interested parties, the miners, representatives of the mine operators and everybody, even representatives from the . . . from the state government. We listened to the supervisors and foremen, and came up with, totally, agreed amendments, which were placed upon this bill at the time it was here as House Bill 2404, so that this bill now provides for an increased and expanded and professional mining board in the State of Illinois. It provides new procedures and mandate for the mining board to development safety rules and regulations for all coal mines in the State of Illicis, both underground and suface mines. It provides . . . ah . . . how the hearings for those rules and regulations shall be held and what kind of notices shall be given. It provides for the certification of all underground supervisors and it also makes an exception, herein, for those repair supervisors who are only, incidentally, underground . . . ah . . . once in a while. It provides that on violation of the safety rules and regulations, if they violations are not corrected, there can be a civil injunction remedy that may be taken. It provides for the . . . ah . . . a plan for the roof in a mine, to be sure that it is good and safe. It provides . . . ah . . . it still provides provisions for assuring that the equipment and area in which the employees work



is safe. It makes special provisions for gassing mines and it makes special provisions and requirements for examining the escape ways in all underground mines on a specific schedule. It has cut down from the original provisions the numerous examinations and inspections that were required so that it has reduced any possible increase in cost that we would have had otherwise under the original version of the bill; and I would, certainly, call upon all members of the House to support and adopt House Bill 3025 as the new Health and Safety Code for coal mining in the State of Illinois. It will be a landmark action by this House in adopting and requiring new and realistic safety standards in the coal mining industry in this state, which will be good for the industry, good for those who work in the industry, and will be good for the economy in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, are . . . is it my understanding that a
. . . ah . . . committee bill requires a majority of the committee
members voting on it to get it out of committee? If that's the case,
I would like to know . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think that that is germane to the bill under consideration, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, it is . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "If it was on Third Reading, you may inquire of the Sponsor in explaining your vote."

Totten: "O.K., I would like to inquire of the Sponsor then of . . . as to what the Roll Call was on this bill in committee?"

Tipsword: "I would have to refer to one of the members of the committee
. . . ah . . . I was informed that the final Roll Call on it was
14 to nothing."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye' and oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record. On this question . . . Representative Ewell 'aye', Representative McMaster's 'aye', VonBoeckman 'aye', Pierce 'aye', Mugalian 'aye', Huff 'aye', Hudson 'aye', Peters 'aye', Representative Von . . . VonBoeckman 'aye', Yourell 'aye', Duff 'aye' . . .



on this question there's 145 'aye', no 'nay'; and the bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.

Representative McGrew for an announcement. Representative McGrew, do you want me to read it?"



McGrew: "Yeah. It doesn't make any difference, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, you go ahead then. I don't qualify."

McGrew: "Well, the announcement is simply that the Young

Democrats are holding their State Convention this Saturday at the St. Nicholas Hotel. The cocktails begin at 6:30, dinner is at 7:30 if they are interested in participating we'd like to have a good turnout from the Illinois House Representatives, Democrat side even though we would welcome any Republican that would pay the price of admission.

We do ask that you have reservations by tomorrow afternoon by calling my office and we would like to invite everyone to participate. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any other announcements? Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn until...recess for five minutes. Let the Clerk have five minutes to read in Committee reports and then adjourn until 10:15 a.m. tomorrow morning. And before I make that motion I see Representative Lechowicz and Walsh want to make some motions, or announcements."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a motion filed with the Clerk and that's in reference to two Committee Bills that we discussed in the Appropriations Committee today. And in turn the procedure that was brought to our attention after much discussion with the Committee was that I should post these Bills for a Committee hearing on Monday. And that the motion that is filed with the Clerk would do with leave of the House, I would...and this has been cleared with the Minority Spokesman on Appropriations Committee I and I move to suspend Rule 18 of the House in order to post for hearing in Appropriations I on Monday, June 9th at 11 a. m. Bills on the subject of one: amending the fiscal 1975 appropriation to the Secretary of State, and two: amending the Illinois Housing Development Act. The



135

purpose of the hearing is to discuss the possibility of reporting these two Bills out of the Appropriations I Committee as Committee Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn. Do we have leave to use the attendance roll call on the motion?

Any objections? Use the attendance roll call, the motion is carried. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Is it okay. Yeah. No, Mr. Speaker, I'd like... after this I'd like to be recognized."

Speaker Redmond: "There is no objection so...we'll use the attendance roll call and the motion carried. Now, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Majority Leader would object to just adjourning and reading the Committee reports tomorrow morning instead of doing it during a five minute recess?"

Speaker Redmond: "Won't get them on the calendar I'm advised and some of them are your Bills."

Walsh: "It will anyway."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, again, Mr. Speaker, we're trying to move the business of the House along to get the Committee reports in, I don't see where it's going to delay the Majority Leader if he wants to stay here while they're read in and have everybody wait. Then we'll do it that way.

We're trying to accommodate the Members."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee reports. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr...well, you didn't answer me. I... I was just..."

Speaker Redmond: "...thought..."

Walsh: "Did you answer me? Just as a courtesy to your old friend, would you..."

Shea: "Well, why don't we just let them read them in now then,
Mr. Walsh?"

Walsh: "Okay."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. E. M. Barnes, from the Committee on Appropriations II which Senate Bill 345 is referred,



reported the same back, with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bill has amended do pass. Mr. Barnes, from the Committee on Appropriations II which Senate Bill 290, 342, 514, 524, 651,904, and 1181 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Boyle on the Committe on Appropriation II which Senate Bills 304, 305, and 636 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriation II to which House Bill 1360 was referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Barnes from the Committee on Appropriation II to which House Bill 3005 was referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 841 and 1387 was referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 852, 1112, and 1447 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 558 was referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 746 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 561 and 562 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 557, 56808, and 1108 were referred, reported the



same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which was referred House Resolution 271 reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution not be adopted. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 183, 638, and 640 were referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recomment dation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which Senate Bill 459 was referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which Senate Bill 541 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which Senate Bills 234, 235, 942, and 1395 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which was referred House Bill 2577 reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3047 and recommended that the original Bill be tabled and the substitute do pass. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which House Bill 1569 was referred, reported the same back with the substitute therefore now be House Bill 3048 recommend the original Bill be tabled and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which House Bill 895 was referred, reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3049 recommend the original be tabled and the substitute bill do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction and First Reading."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3048. A Bill for an Act to amend the

School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3047.



A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3049. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to Regulation of Business and Vocational Schools. First Reading of the Bill. Committee Reports. Mr. McLendon from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions to which Senate Bill 56, 184, 442, 859, 894, 985, 1105, and 1259 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Yourell from the Committee on Counties and Townships to which Senate Bill 655, 656, 667, 668, and 943 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Yourell from the Committee on Counties and Townships to which Senate Bills 676, 727, 789, 790, 916, 984, 1004, 1178, 1377 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendations that the Bills do pass. Mr. Yourell from the Committee on Counties and Townships to which Senate Bill 322, 747, 951, 953, 1005, 1065, 1122, 1147, and 1400 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Yourell from the Committee on Counties and Townships to which Senate Bills 792, 793, 794, 932, 944, 986, 1085, and 1301 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Yourell from the Committee on Counties and Townships to which Senate Bill 801 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass. Mr. Yourell from the Committee on Counties and Townships to which Senate Bill 783, 881, 945, 946 were referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. McLendon from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions to which Senate Bills 451, 659, 796, 797, 798, 845, 1146, 1282, were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Washington from the Committee on Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 55, 204, and 1293 was referred, reported the same



back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that
the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Might I ask the Clerk, did he say Mr. Washington from Judiciary I or II?"

O'Brien: "Mr. Washington from Judiciary II."

Speaker Redmond: "Should be I."

Shea: "Should be I."

Speaker Redmond: "Yea, Judiciary I and II and Harold I and II.

Courtesy Representative Duff."

O'Brien: "Typographical error. Mr. Washington from the Committee Bill on Judiciary I to which Senate /444 was referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Washington from the Committee on Judiciary I to which Senate Bill 201 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass. Mr. Washinton from the Committee on Judiciary I to which Senate Bill 214, 900, 968, 983 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. McLendon from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions to which Senate Bill 443 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass and be re-referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. Mr. McLendon from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions to which Senate Bill 596 was referred reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. McLendon from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions to which Senate Bill 297 was referred, recommended that the Bill be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. McLendon from the Committee on Personnel and Pensions to which Senate Bill 508 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Now then Mr. Walsh has asked us to stay, I was going to ask him something but I see he's gone for the night so I would move that we do now adjourn until 10:15 a.m. tomorrow morning."

- Speaker Redmond: "All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Duff."
- Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Walsh thought Representative Shea might have a question and I'm here if he might like to ask it."
- Speaker Redmond: "He indicates that we're adjourned. You can repair....the motions adopted."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 4, 1975

PAGE	TIME	NAME	INFORMATION
1	10:15	Speaker Redmond	House Comes to order.
		Reverend Krueger	Prayer.
	10:17	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call.
	10:18	O'Brien	Agreed Resolution.
		Madigan	House Resolution 322.
		Speaker Redmond	House Resolution.
2	10:25	Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill. First Reading.
	10:30	Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 338.
		Epton	Return to Second for amendment.
	10:31	Speaker Redmond	O. K. Amendment adopted. Third.
3	10:32	O'Brien	Senate Bill 179. Second. No
		,	Committee Amendments.
	٠	Speaker Redmond	Third.
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 200. Second. No
			Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third.
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 207. Second. No
			Committee Amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third.
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 357. Second. No
			Committee Amendments.
4		Speaker Redmond	Third.
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 434. Second. No
*			Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	Third.

		2
4	10:35: 0'Brien	Senate Bill 458. Second Reading.
		No Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	Third.
,	O'Brien	Senate Bill 511. Second Reading.
•••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Two Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Shea	Amendment No. 1.
	Schlickman Schlickman	Question.
	Lechowicz	Question.
5	Speaker Redmond	Amendment No. 1 adopted.
	O'Brien	Amendment No. 2.
	Lechowicz	Amendment No. 2.
	10:37 Speaker Redmond	Amendment No. 2 adopted.
	0'Brien	Amendment No. 3.
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted. Third
	•	Reading.
	_O'Brien	Senate Bill 520. Second Reading.
		No Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	Third.
6	10:37 O'Brien	Senate Bill 601. Second Reading.
		No Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	Third.
	O'Brien	Senate Bill 609. Second Reading.
		No Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	Third.
	a10:38 com3/Brien	Senate Bill 619. Second Reading.
	• 0	No Committee Amendments.

			3
6	10:38	Speaker Redmond	Third.
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 648. Second Reading.
	•		No Committee Amendments.
	10:39	Speaker Redmond.	Third.
		O'Brien .	Senate Bill 703. Second Reading.
			No Committee Amendments.
7		Speaker Redmond	Third.
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 704. Second Reading.
			No Committee Amendments. One
			Floor Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
	10:40	Schisler	Floor Amendment No. 1
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 155
	•	,OʻBrien	Senate Bill 155. Second Reading.
			One Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	•
8	10:42	Hart	
		Speaker Redmond	
		McMasters	Take Bill out of record.
	10:43	Speaker Redmond	
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 836. Second Reading.
			One Committee Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Dunn, J.	Amendment No. ]
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted. Third Reading.
		Speaker Redmond	
		O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond	

9	10:4:	<b>y</b>	4
	10:45	Speaker Redmond Ryan Speaker Redmond O'Brien Speaker Redmond	Take out of record. House Bill. Third Reading. House Bill 941. Third Reading.
	10:46	Houlihan, J.	Sponsor.
10	10:47	Speaker Redmond Shea	Question.
	10:48	Houlihan Houlihan	Question.
		Speaker Redmond Schlickman ' Houlihan	Question.
11		Speaker Redmond	Question.
12	10:50	Washington Houlihan	
•	10:55	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 941.
14	10:56	Speaker Redmond Waddell Houlihan	
15	10:57	Speaker Redmond Jaffe Speaker Redmond	
,	10:59	Houlihan Speaker Redmond Caldwell	

16	11:00	) Mann	
17		Speaker Redmond	
	11:03		Poll absentees
		Speaker Redmond	abbeliece3
	11:05	Choate	
		Speaker Redmond	
18	11:05	Washington	•
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:07	Mann	Point of personal privilege.
19		Speaker Redmond	Privilege.
	11:08	Brinkmeyer	Change to present.
		Speaker Redmond	
	-	Sims	Point of order.
		Speaker Redmond	Poll the absentees.
		Selcke	
	11	Speaker Redmond	
	11:09	Fleck	Recorded "aye".
20	11:10	Selcke	Continues polling absentees.
20	11.11	Speaker Redmond	
	11:11	Houl ihan	House Bill 941 post-poned
		Caral a	consideration.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Lundy	House Bill 1844. Table.
		Speaker Redmond Selcke	Tabled.
÷		Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1028. Third.
	11:12	Hart	
		,	Sponsor.

•		. 1	
21	11:12	Speaker Redmond	
	11:13	Willer	Yield.
		Hart	
	ng ag Sangal di sanang	Speaker Redmond	
,	11:14	Schlickman	Yield.
		Hart	
22.		Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1028. Passed.
. 23	# <b>32:17</b>	Selcke	House Bill 1048.
	•	Speaker Redmond	
	11:18	Barnes	Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1048.
		Mann	Explain "aye" vote.
	11:20	∼Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1048 passed.
		Selcke	·
		Speaker Redmond	Introduce former Representative
			and Neil Hartigan.
•	11:21	Davis -	Wilson Frost, Mayor Pro Tem.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hart	
		Selcke	House Bill 1071
	11:22	Hart	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Yield.
		Hart	
27		Speaker Redmond	
	25 × 24	Taylor	

Speaker Redmond House Bill 1071 passed.

27	11:28	Selcke	House Bill 1314. Third reading.
		Speaker Redmond	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
28	11:29	Byers	
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:30	Cunningham	·
		Byers	
	11:32	Speaker Redmond	
29		Skinner	Question.
		Byers	
30		Speaker Redmond	
	11:34	Shea	*.       *
		Skinner	
31	11:35	Şpeaker Redmond	
	11:36	Stone	Point of order.
		Speaker Redmond	
• •		Ryan	Question.
٠.		Byers	
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:37	Friedland	
		Byers	
32	11:38	Speaker Redmond.	House Bill 1314 passed.
	11:39	Selcke	House Bill 1366. Third reading.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kosinski	Sponsor
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:40	Schlickman	Yield
34		Koskinski	
34		Speaker Redmond	

34	11:41	Taylor	•
	11:42	Kosinski	
		Speaker Redmond	
35	11:43	Ryan	Yield.
		Kosinski	
37	11:46	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1366.
		Borchers	1000.
	11:48	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1366 passed.
		Selcke	House Bill 1699. Third reading.
		Hart	Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	oponisor:
38	11:49	Mann	Yield.
		Mart	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Yield.
	11:50	Hart	
39		Speaker Redmond	
		Rigney	Yield.
40	11:52	Hart	
	11:54	Sims	Question.
		Hart .	
41	11:55	Speaker Redmond	
		Skinner	Question.
		Hart	
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:56	Geo-Karis	Yield.
42		Hart	

42	11:56	Speaker Redmond	
		Hart	To close.
43	11:59	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1699 passed.
	12:00	Selcke	House Bill 2038. Third reading.
		Hirschfeld	,
	12:01	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 2038 passed.
44		Beaupre	Table 2301.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave.
		Schraeder	Table 1154, 2302 and 2304.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave.
	12:02	Calvo	Table 797.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave.
	12:03	Şkinner	Table House Bill 2305.
		Speaker Redmond	Leave.
	12:04	O'Brien	House Bill 2972. Third reading.
45		Speaker Redmond	
٠.	12:05	Tuerk	Sponsor.
	12:07	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 2972 passed.
		O'Brien	House Bill 2971. Third reading.
	12:08	Berman	Sponsor.
46		Speaker Redmond	House Bill 2971 passed.
47		O'Brien	House Bill 2985. Third reading.
	12:10	Jones, Emil	Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:13	Taylor	Yield.
•		Jones	
50	12:16	Speaker Redmond	

50	12:16	Collins	Oppose.
51		Speaker Redmond	•
	12:18	Huff	
		Speaker Redmond	
* .* `	72:20	Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	,
	12:20	Caldwell	
52	. *	Speaker Redmond	
	12:22	Totten	
53	12:25	Speaker Redmond	
		Madison	٠.
		Speaker Redmond	·.
	12:27	Mann	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Skinner	Point of order.
		Speaker Redmond	
٠,		Mann	
55		Speaker Redmond	
	12:28	Skinner	
		Mann	Relevant.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Jones, Emil	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Meyers	Wave previous question.
56	12:29	Speaker Redmond	"Ayes" have it.
		Jones	To close.
		Speaker Redmond	House Bill 2985
57	12:33	Skinner	

57	12:33	Speaker Redmond	
		Washington	
59		Speaker Redmond	
	12:36	Sims	Against.
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:38	Satterthwaite	For.
60		Speaker Redmond	
	12:40	Grotberg	Against.
61		Speaker Redmond	
	12:42	Caldwell	For.
		Speaker Redmond	4
	12:43	Ewell	For.
62		► Speaker Redmond	
	12:46	Mudd	
63	12:47	Speaker Redmond	
•		Jones, E.	Explain votes.
.64		Speaker Redmond	
	12:49	Madison	
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:50	Huff	
65		Speaker Redmond	
	12:52	Beaupre	"Aye".
		Speaker Redmond	
		McCourt	"Aye".
		McMasters	"Present".
		Speaker Redmond	
		Coffey	"Present".
		Speaker Redmond	

65	12:55	Calvo	Change to "aye".
		Speaker Redmond	
66		Lucco	
		Speaker Redmond	
	1257	Schuneman	"Aye" to "no".
		Speaker Redmond	
	12:58	McCourt	·
67		Speaker Redmond	
	12:59	Jones	Poll absentees.
		Selcke	
		Speaker Redmond	
	1:00	Jones	House Bill 2985. Postponed
			Consideration.
<b>6</b> 8	~	Speaker Redmond	•
		Griesheimer	
		Speaker Redmond	•
•		Maragos	2303 tabled.
r.		Speaker Redmond	
		Shea	
		McLendon	
69		Speaker Redmond	
	1:02	Mann	Announcement
		Selcke	
70		O'Brien	First reading of bills.
71		Speaker Redmond	Resolutions.
		O'Brien	Reading of Resolution.
		Speaker Redmond	
73		Bradley	Explains the Resolutions and
			moves to adopt.

73	1:02	Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted.
74			
		Totten	Inquiry of chair.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Totten	Procedure of committee bills.
75		Speaker Redmond	Response to Totten
		Totten	Questioning continued.
		Speaker Redmond	ILISC.
		Trotten	"What happens to it?"
76		Speaker Redmond	Discussion on this procedure
		Mann	Question of chair.
		Speaker Redmond	Responds.
		Mann	
	-	Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Cubs lost today.
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 350. Senate Bills
-			Second reading.
<b>.</b>		O'Brien	Senate Bill 350. Second
			reading - One Committee
			Amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Deuster	Explains Amendment No. 1
			and moves for adoption.
77		Speaker Redmond	Amendment No. 1 adopted.
		O'Brien	No further amendments.
·	•	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Shea	Introduction of former member.
		O'Brien	

		14
77	Shea	Asks clerk question.
	O'Brien	Discussion.
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	2986. House Bills . Third
		reading.
	O'Brien	2986. Third reading.
78	Speaker Redmond	2986
	Lundy	2986. Explains the bill.
		Sponsor.
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Schlickman	2986. Question.
	Speaker Redmond	Yields.
	Schlickman	Question asked.
•	► Lundy	Respond and discussion.
	Schlickman	
	Lundy	
	Speaker Redmond	Vote. Passed.
	O'Brien	3001. Third reading.
	Speaker Redmond	3001.
	Sangmeister	3001. Explains the bill - sponsor.
79	Speaker Redmond	3001. Vote. Take the record.
	,	Passed.
	O'Brien	3014. Third reading.
	Speaker Redmond	3014.
	Shea	3014. Explains the bill - sponsor.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	Discussion on the bill.
80	Speaker Redmond	
	Madigan	Point of order.

77 Speaker Redmond State it. Madigan 3014. States his point. Speaker REdmond Walsh Continues Speaker Redmond Shea Speaker Redmond Order in the House. Walsh Continues his discussion on bill. Speaker Redmond Berman Discussion on bill 82 Speaker Redmond Washburn Discussion on bill'- against. Speaker Redmond 83 Peters Speaks on bill. Speaker REdmond "...give gentleman order please?" Peters Continues 84. Speaker Redmond Satterthwaite Question. Speaker Redmond Proceed. Satterthwaite Question asked. Shea 3014. Discussion. Satterwaithe Shea Satterthwaite Shea Satterthwaite Shea Speaker Redmond Order. Shea Re-explains substance of bill

	16
Satterthwaite	
Speaker Redmond	
Shea	
Speaker Redmond	
Cunningham	"How much"
Speaker Redmond	
Cunningham	Speaks on the bill - against.
Speaker Redmond	•
Cunningham	Continues.
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	3014. Moves previous question.
Speaker Redmond	Vote on motion.
Shea	
Speaker Redmond	Take the record. Motion adopted.
Sħea	Take roll call.
Speaker Redmond	Vote on bill.
Madison	Discussion on bill.
Speaker Redmond	
Shea -	Responds to Madison.
Speaker Redmond	
Totten	Explains vote - supports.
Speaker Redmond	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Ms. Barnes	Explains vote - supports.
Speaker Redmond	
Schlickman	Explains vote - against.
Speaker Redmond	•
Stone	Explains vote - support.
Speaker Redmond	••
Peters	
	Speaker Redmond Shea Speaker Redmond Cunningham Speaker Redmond Cunningham Speaker Redmond Cunningham Speaker Redmond Shea Speaker Redmond Shea Speaker Redmond Madison Speaker Redmond Shea Speaker Redmond Madison Speaker Redmond Shea Speaker Redmond

93	C	
93	Speaker Redmond	3014.
	McClain	3014. Explains "No" vote (verifi-
		cation).
	Speaker Redmond	
	Geo-Karis	Explains vote.
94	Speaker Redmond	
	Schraeder	Explains vote.
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Skinner	Explains vote
95	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Responds to Schraeder.
	Speaker Redmond	erionina de la companya de la compa
	Cunningham	Explains vote - "No".
	Speaker Redmond	
•	Wälsh	Responds to Shea.
96	Speaker Redmond	
	Ewell	
	Speaker Redmond	
•.	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	Give gentleman order.
	Lechowicz	3014.
97	Speaker Redmond	Take the record.
	McClain.	Verification.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Request poll the absentees.
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	Polls the absentees.
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	Verifies affirmative roll call.

97	Speaker Redmond	
<b>9</b> 8	McClain	
	Speaker Redmond	Members be in their seats
	McClain	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Giorgi	What's the schedule?
	Speaker Redmond	•
	O'Brien	Continues verification of
		affirmative roll call.
	Speaker Redmond	Questions of affirmative
	McClain	Questioning proceeds.
	O'Brien	
100	Mugalian .	3014. How am I recorded?
	"Speaker Redmond	How's the gentleman recorded?
	0'Brien	Recorded as "Aye".
	Mugalian	Vote me "No".
	Speaker Redmond	
٠.	McClain	Questioning of affirmative.
102	O'Brien	
	Geo-Karis	How am I recorded?
	Speaker Redmond	•
	O'Brien	
	Geo-Karis	Record me as "Aye".
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	That's all the questions.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Palmer	
	Speaker Redmond	
,	O'Brien	

102	Palmer	Vote me "Aye".
	Speaker Redmond	
	Duff.	3014.
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	
103	Duff	Vote me "No".
	Speaker Redmond	· · · · · ·
	Londrigan	•
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	" "
	Londrigan	Vote me "Aye".
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Holewinski	
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	
	Holewinski	"Present".
*.	Speaker Redmond	
	Flynn	"Aye".
	Speaker Redmond	
	Bradley	"Aye".
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Speaker Redmond	3014. Bill passed.
	O'Brien	3015. Third reading.
104	Speaker Redmond	3015.
	Tipsword	3015. Point of parlimentary
		inquiry.
	Speaker Redmond	Rule 55
	Tipsword	"The reason I asked"

105	Speaker Redmond	Point well taken.
	Londrigan	Explains the bill - sponsor.
106	Speaker Redmond	Vote - Bill passed.
	O'Brien	3017. Third reading.
	Speaker Redmond	3017.
	Lechowicz	3017. Take out of record.
	Speaker Redmond	3019.
	O'Brien	3019. Third reading.
	Speaker REdmond	3019.
	Shea	Explains the bill - sponsor.
107	Speaker Redmond	.*
	Washburn	Concurs with Seah.
108	Speaker Redmond	Vote - take the record. Bill
	<b>&gt;</b> -	passed.
	Walsh	3024. Talks on procedure of
		Speaker.
. 4	Speaker Redmond	You know better than that.
•	Walsh	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	Discussion on substitute bill
		procedure.
109	Speaker Redmond	Recognition of representative
	Mann	Take a memory course.
	Speaker Redmond	Recognition or representative.
	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	Not a substitute, a bill.
•	Totten	"In this case,"
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	

110	Speaker Redmond	
	Hanahan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	3024. Reads the bill third time.
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Duff	Parliamentary inquiry.
	Speaker Redmond	3024.
	Duff	Re-states question.
	Speaker Redmond	Same subject matter.
111	Duff	"I understand that, sir."
	Speaker Redmond	Explains one more time.
	Duff	Did not respond to question.
	Speaker Redmond	Discussion.
	Duff	-
	Speaker Redmond	
	Duff	•
	Speaker Redmond	
٨,	Schlickman	Parliamentary inquiry.
112	Speaker Redmond	Discussion in inquiry.
	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Duff	Question.
113	Speaker Redmond	Discussion.
	Duff	Discussion.
	Speaker Redmond	3024.
	Duff	Discussion
	Speaker Redmond	
	Duff	Discussion.
	Speaker Redmond	

		•
113	Fleck	Parliamentary inquiry.
114	Speaker Redmond	State your point.
	Fleck	Discussion.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fleck	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stone	Point of order.
	Speaker Redmond	State your point.
	Stone	Stated.
	Speaker Redmond	Fleck is sorry.
	Hanahan	
	Speaker Redmond	• . •
	Fleck .	Not hypothetical.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stone	
	Speaker Redmond	
115	Washburn	
•.	Speaker Redmond	
	Hanahan	3024. Tries to explain bill.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Houlihan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Hanahan	One more time - sponsor.
117	Speaker Redmond	
	Tuerk	Question.
	Speaker Redmond	Yields.
	Tuerk	Question asked.
	Hanahan	Discussion.
	Tuerk	

117	Hanahan	
	Tuerk	
	Hanahan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Tuerk	3024. Discussion
	Hanahan	
118	Tuerk	
	Hanahan	
	Tuerk	
	Hanahan	
	Tuerk	•
	Speaker Redmond	<i>≥</i> • •
	Totten ·	
	-Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	Two points of order.
119	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	
<b>A</b> .	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fleck	Point of order.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fleck	
	Speaker Redmond	3024. Bill is in order.
	Maragos	Moves previous question.
	Speaker Redmond	Roll call vote.
120	Totten	Second point of order.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	

120	Speaker Redmond	Take the record. Motion carried.
	Duff	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Duff	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stone	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Hanahan	To close.
121	Speaker Redmond	
	Grotberg	Wait and explain vote.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Duff	
122	Speaker Redmond	
	_Stone	3024. Point of order.
	Speaker Redmond	Vote.
	Borchers	Explain vote ~ against.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Grotberg	Explain "No" vote.
123	Speaker Redmond	Take the record. Passed.
	Fleck	Motion made. Reconsider vote.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Matijevich	Move to lie motion on table.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Control of House.
•	Speaker Redmond	Message gone to Senate
124	Collins	
	Speaker Redmond	

124	Collins	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fleck	
	O'Brien	3024.
	Fleck	
	O'Brien	
	Fleck	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Yourell	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	Senate not in Session.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	
125	Speaker Redmond	
	Matijevich	Point of order.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Telcser	Clerk have receipt.
	Speaker Redmond	
~	Telscer	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Caldwell	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Deuster	3024. Point of order.
126	Speaker Redmond	
	Deuster	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stone	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schlickman	

126	Speaker Redmond	
	Fleck	Prevailing side.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Houlihan, J.	
127	Speaker Redmond	
	Houlihan, J.	
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Choate	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ewel1	Put in writing.
128	Speaker Redmond	
	Washburn	·
	Speaker Redmond	Recess for 5 minutes.
	<b>→</b> Choate	
	Speaker Redmond	
_	Friedrich	
	Speaker Redmond	
<b>k.</b>	Friedrich	
129	Speaker Redmond	House in order
	Fleck	
	Speaker Redmond	Motion is out of order.
	Matijevich	Written motion
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	
	Speaker Redmond	·
	Simms	
130	Speaker Redmond	On the question - passed.
	O'Brien	3025. Third reading.
	Speaker Redmond	3025.

		21
130	Tipsword	3025. Explains the bill.
	Speaker Redmond	3025.
	Meyer	Point of order.
	Speaker Redmond	
131	Meyer	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Hill	
	Tipsword	
	Speaker Redmond	Proceed.
	Tipsword	Continues explanation.
132	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	. *
	Speaker Redmond	•
	Totten	Inquire of sponsor.
•	Tipsword	
	Speaker Redmond	Vote. Bill passed.
134	McGrew	Announcement.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Strea	Move to recess for 5 minutes and
		then adjourn
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lechowicz	Motion filed with clerk.
135	Speaker Redmond	Motion carried.
	Walsh	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	
•	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	
	Speaker Redmond	

		_,
135	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Walsh	
	Shea	
	O'Brien	Committee Reports.
137	Speaker Redmond	Introduction and First Reading.
	O'Brien	First reading of bills.
	O'Brien	Committee Reports
139	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	O'Brien	<u>,</u> *
	Speaker Redmond	<b>₩</b>
	O'Brien	Typo error.

140

"Speaker Redmond

Move to adjourn.

Continues committee reports.

Speaker Redmond

Duff

Shea

Speaker Redmond motion adopted.