- Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Will the members please be in their seats? We will be lead in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House chaplain."
- Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Goast. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. In Psalms 29, King David calls upon the leaders of the day to give glory to God. Give unto the Lord o ye mighty, give unto the Lord glory and strength, give unto the Lord the glory due unto His name. Worship the Lord in the beauty of holiness. Let us pray. Grant Us A Fuller Comprehension Of God's Work and was written in the 16th Century. Almighty and most merciful Father, who's word is a lantern unto our feet and a light unto our steps, we most humbly beseech Thee to illuminate our minds, that we may understand the mysteries contained in Your law and be virtuously transformed so that of no part, we may offend Thy divine majesty. Amen."
- Speaker Redmond: "Roll call for attendance. Representative Ebbesen."
- Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we don't have any calendars back in this section, I don't know, are they printed, we have a supplement, but...."
- Speaker Redmond: "They are printed, we will a..."
- Ebbesen: "Thank you."
- Speaker Redmond: "Do you have...Representative Geo-Karis, do
 you have a calendar? Do you have a calendar, are the
 calendars distributed?"
- Geo-Karis: "No sir, I do not. I would like one very much, sir, so I'll know what's going on. And I'm not very swift today, either."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone, are there calendars back there? It appears that a...whoever has the job, will you make sure that the Republicans have their calendars. Representative Washburn."
- Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and while we're waiting for the distribution of the calendars, might the record show that

- Representative Hirschfeld is absent again today due to illness."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, are there any Democrat absences to be excused? "
- Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Garmisa is absent due to illness; Representative Kosubowski is absent to a death in his family."
- Speaker Redmond: "Any objections to the journal showing the excused absences of those three members? The journal will so show. Representative Shea."
- Shea: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I'd like to table the four following bills of which I am the chief sponsor.

 House Bill 2217, House Bill 2543, House Bill 2748, and House Bill 2947."
- Speaker Redmond: "I understand that we may have...."
- Shea: "With leave of the House, I'd like to table those four bills."
- Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Leave, the bills are tabled, and the journal will so show. Representative Shea."
- Shea: "Mr. Speaker, do the....are the calendars distributed on the Republican side of the aisle yet?"
- Speaker Redmond: "I understand they are."
- Shea: "This morning, there is a printer's error on the calendar.

 A number of bills were not moved from House Bills' First

Reading to House Bills' Second Reading, first legislative day. The supplemental calendar, which is marked supplemental number 1, lists a number of bills on Second Reading, second legislative day, and if I could take a minute to go through the member's calendars with them on House Bills Second Reading, first legislative day, the following bills are...."

- Speaker Redmond: "Just a moment, Representative Shea, do

 Republicans have the supplemental calendar number 1? They've

 been distributed by the Republican pages?"
- Shea: "I'll hold that announcement until they are all distributed and I will talk to the Minority Leader about it."
- Speaker Redmond: "The order of business is Consent Calendar, Second Reading, second legislative day. Page 18."
- Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 19. A Bill for an Act to amend an

Act authorizing counties to levy taxes. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 48. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State monies. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 187. A Bill for an Act to restore access in Brown County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 560. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 664. A Bill for amend the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 760. A Bill for an Act to authorize the conveyance of land in Cook County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 806. A Bill for and Act to amend an Act in relation to airport authority. Second Reading of the Bill."

- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn, for what purpose do
 you rise?"
- Dunn: "Excuse me sir, the next bill is mine and I have an amendment on the Consent Calendar, but he was reading Senate Bill 560, Senate Bill 664, and Senate Bill...and that's what I was waving about, but my bill is next I think."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."
- Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought we were on Senate Bills'
 First Reading. I guess we are on Senate Bills' Second
 Reading. The board doesn't say what we are on."
- Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar. Second Reading, second legislative day, page 18 of the calendar."
- Walsh: "Well, in any case, I don't think that we should be taking the time of the House on Senate Bills at this time. I insist that we ought to consider House Bills except for Senate Bills on First Reading. I have no objections to reading Senate Bills the first time, it could be referred to committee, but its time consuming and we need every bit of time that we have for House Bills at this time."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea. "
- Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, what we are trying to do is move those bills that are non-controversial, there are three Senate

 Bills, Second on the Consent Calendar. Now it takes one

minute to read the three bills, Mr. Walsh, they are on the Consent Calendar, if you'll look starting on page 18 of your Calendar, it lists three Senate Bills on the Consent Calendar and then it lists about eight House Bills. We could move eleven bills in a very short time to Third Reading, and if you'll notice on the Third Reading, there are one or two Senate Bills and as long as we move them on the Consent Calendar, I don't see where it takes up that much time unless we get into long, lengthy discussions like we are now."

Walsh: "Well...I...just thought we had a kind of an understanding that we would stay with House Bills for this period when we're so terribly busy, spending so much time here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, a memorandum was passed out to us this week indicating the number of bills that were still to be heard before the House. A number of bills that had been passed through the Senate, there were 135 bills that have gone to the Senate, I'm pleased to say that three of those bills are mine. This morning I contacted my Senator, Senator Don Wooten from Rock Island, and asked him how my bills are coming along, when were they going to be before the committee, if he needed some assistance from people coming in to testify? Senator Wooten told my staff people that House Bills were not going to be heard in the Senate until all the Senate Bills were completed. Now I find this rather repugnant that we are working on Senate Bills, if they are not going to work on our House Bills. Some of us got to work early, got our bills out of the House, and I think the Senate should certainly apprise themselves to our legislation if, in fact, we're going to help them with theirs. Would you also verify that for me sir, if the Senate is going to take that posture?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, this is the first time it has been called to my attention and I will tell you that I will see the President of the Senate to take whatever steps are necessary. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I was informed yesterday that no House Bills would

be heard in committee until after May 2nd. I have one over there and I had the same experience. I think its something they've done, all right."

- Speaker Redmond: "Well I said that I would discuss it with the President of the Senate. Continue..."
- Shea: "I just might ask the members to look on the concurrence and I see at least three bills that have come back from the Senate that have been heard for concurrence and Senate amendments on House Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

- Jack O'Brien: "That was House Bill 560, 664, and 760, rather than Senate Bills. House Bill 881. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to protect cemeteries. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 934. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to require payments of interest to leasons of residential real property. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1175. A Bill for an Act to authorize the Secretary of Transportation to convey lands in Johnson County. Second Reading of Bill. House Bill 1313. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Lucco, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Lucco: "Members of the House, I would like to table House Bill 2862 because its a duplicate of House Bill 1645, of which I am also a co-sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you the principle sponsor of the bill?"
Lucco: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave granted, the bill is tabled. Representative Brummet."

Brummet: "A...point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Brummet: "On behalf of Representative Choate, Hart, and Winchester, over in the left balconey, I would like to introduce Dr.

Joseph Coslin, Professor of Criminal Justice at S.I.U. and seven of his graduate students. Would you please stand up please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Order of business House Bills Second Reading

- on priority of call. There appears on House Bills' Second Reading on priority of call, House Bill 315."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 315. Palmer. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide into law in relation to Mechanic's Liens. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 315 on page 1 and line 1, by deleting Section 5 and so forth."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer on the floor? Take that one out of the record. House Bill 955."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 955. Choate."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."
- Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we honored, this morning, to have in the rear of the balcony on the Republican side, the Chairman of the County Board of DuPage County, Gerald Weeks. Gerald, would you like to stand?"
- Speaker Redmond: "As long as....its most unusual that the Minority

 Leader would be incomplete, there is also a member of the

 county board, Charley Kaylen, sitting next to Jerry Weeks.

 House Bill 955."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 955. Choate. A Bill for an Act in relation to State payment to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"
- Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Ewing. Amends House Bill 955
 on page 1, line 5, by inserting after the word "county"
 the following, and so forth."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing on the amendment.

 Representative Ewing? On the Amendment? In the middle aisle."

 Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, this amendment...."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Choate: "Well inasmuch as this is my bill, I think that I ought to be given the courtesy of being shown the amendment."
- Speaker Redmond: "Will you show Representative Choate the amendment and we'll take it out temporarily."
- Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I showed the amendment to Mr. a...a..Repre-

- sentative Choate and Representative Hart, and I was under the impression that they had agreed..."
- Representative Choate: "I...I...beg to differ with you, you might,...Dick...Dick just told me that you showed it to him, but I don't recall you showing it to me."
- Ewing: "I'll get him a copy."
- Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out of the record. 956.

 Representative Hart."
- Hart: "Well, I'm wanting, of course, to move my bill, but I
 don't think the amendments have been circulated. I don't
 find one in my bill book."
- Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 245."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 245. Rayson. A Bill for an Act..."
- Speaker Redmond: "The sponsor indicates he wants that one out of the record. House Bill 580. Is R. K. Hoffman here?"
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 580. Ron Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code...."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman?"

Insurance."

- Hoffman: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I'm momentarily expecting an amendment on that bill, if we could take that out of the record for the present."
- Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 668."

 Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 668. Deavers. A Bill for an Act to
 amend an Act in relation to local mutual districts, counties
 and townships insurance companies. Second Reading of the
 Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 668 by
 striking line 9 through 23 and inserting in lieu thereof,
 the following and so forth."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers. Representative Deavers?"

 Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

 also have Amendment No. 2, which is a corrective amendment

 furnished to me by the Department of Insurance and brings the

 bill into conformity with their thinking and makes it an

 agreed amendment between myself and the Department of
- Speaker Redmond: "What is your intent with respect to Amendment No. 1?

- Deavers: "I move we adopt Amendment No. 1."
- Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 668. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?"
- Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment No. 2. Deavers. Amends House Bill 668 by deleting lines 21, 22, and inserting in lieu thereof, "drawable capital provided that all funds invested in such".
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."
- Deavers: "And again, this is the Department of Insurance's amendment to the bill as amended and brings it into conformity and I move for the adoption."
- Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 668. Any discussion? All those in favor indicate saying aye, opposed, no. The ayes have it, Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments? Third Reading.' House Bill 932."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 932."
- Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 102. Is that on the calendar, 102?

 Representative Peters has asked that House Bill 102 be called.

 102."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 102. Peters. A Bill for an Act to amend the Controller Substance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. This has been read a second time previously and Amendment No. 1 was adopted."
- Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Peters...Representative Peters."
- Peters: "Mr. Speaker, the Clerk should have Amendments 2 and 3."

 Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Ryan. Amends House Bill 102

 as amended on page 34 by deleting line 30 and so forth."
- Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I'm in accord as the chief sponsor of this legislation with Representative Ryan's Amendment No. 2. It does take care of some of the questions which were presented in the Judiciary Committee relating to the right of police to enter an establishment and make an investigation of the

- pharmacy. I ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 2."

 Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 102. Any discussion? All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye, opposed, no. The ayes have it and the Amendment No. 2 is adopted.
- Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment No. 3. Peters. Amends House
 Bill 102 on page 5, line 8, by inserting after the word
 "numeral" and so forth."

Any further amendments?"

- Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 Amendment No. 3 does correct some technical errors made

 by the Reference Bureau in the preparation of the bill

 and I ask the adoption. No substantive changes made with
 this amendment."
- Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 10....3 to
 House Bill 102. All those in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye, opposed, no, the ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading.

 On the order of business, House Bills' Third Reading, there appears House Bill 114. Representative Hart, can you tell us.. would you please give the Gentleman order."
- Hart: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think this bill was not advanced to Third Reading yesterday, but if it was, I've got to bring it back and table Amendment No. 2, which I don't think was ever actually put on the bill and offer No. 3 which has been circulated and is ready for adoption."
- Speaker Redmond: "Any objection to returning House Bill 114 to
 the order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, it will
 be returned to the order of Second Reading. Representative
 Hart."
- Hart: "Alright, now I'd like to table Amendment No. 2."
- Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment No.

 2 to House Bill 114. All those in favor of tabling the
 amendment indicate by saying aye, opposed no, the ayes have
 - it and the amendment is tabled. Representative Hart."
- Hart: "Amendment No. 3 is the same, generally, as amendment No.
 2 was except there was an error in it, which Representative

- Leinenweber pointed out to me and so we have corrected the error by Amendment No. 3 and I offer its adoption."
- Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 3. Amends House Bill 114 on page
 3 by deleting lines 24 through 30 and so forth."
- Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No.Representative Schneider."
- Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Dick, I'm sorry, I wasn't paying attention, what is this amendment now?"
- Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order."
- Hart: "A...the amendment is the bill. And it is the Amendment No. 2 except there was a correction in it. It is an agreement with Director Brizeland of the E.P.A. and it, in fact, would apply only to existing sources and it would permit the use of intermittent control systems in the areas other than Chicago, East St. Louis and Peoria, where there is a problem health-wise."
- Schneider: "Is this there amendment or is it just that they indicate to you that they approve of the amendment?"
- Hart: "Well, it...a....its...a....negotiated amendment, but
 it...a...really is the same, generally speaker, its the
 same recommendation that they are making to the Pollution
 Control Board for changes in the present S.O.2 regulations."
- Schneider: "Alright, I'll have a chance to read it between now and Third, I guess. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 114. Those in favor indicate by saying aye, those opposed, no, the ayes have it, the Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Any further amendments? No further amendments, Third Reading. Order of Third Reading."
- Jack O'Brien: "Representative Madigan in the Chair."
- Madigan: "On the order of Third Reading, there appears House Bill 77."
- Fred Selcke: "House Bill 77. House Bill 77. An Act in relation to ratification of the proposed amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Representative Duester."

- Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Illinois

 House, House Bill 77 is a very important bill and I'm sure
 that every member will be anxious to vote on it. Its a
 very important policy question. House Bill 77 very simply
 conforms our statutes to our Illinois State Constitution,
 which was developed and adopted in 1970, to the effect that
 whenever today, tomorrow, or a hundred years from now, the
 Constitution remains the same, whenever we undertake the
 very serious and vital and important function..."
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn, arise?"
- Washburn: "I didn't mean...thank you Mr. Speaker, I didn't mean to interrupt Representative Duester, but as long as we have, I'll go through with this introduction. You know, a... this House has often been referred to in the press and by others as a zoo, and I don't know whether the attendants of these two distinguished Gentlemen, this morning, help substantiate that charge or not, but we do have in the gallery to my right, Dr. Peter Crawfoot....Crowcraft, Director of Brookfield Zoo, and Dr. Gilbert Bozie, Associate Director of Brookfield Zoo. Would you stand, Gentlemen please?"
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise?"
- Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, as long as we are going to make some introductions, I at this time, would like to introduce the mother of the temporary Speaker, Mrs. Madigan, his sister, Martha Dietrich, and her son, John, in the balconey behind me."

Madigan: "Mr. Duester."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think most members know, and especially those who participated in theas Constitutional Convention delegates in the shaping of our Illinois State Constitution to which we have all taken an oath of office to support, and to defend. But our Constitution provides that whenever we engage in that very important process of either amending our own Illinois

Constitution, or the Constitution of the United States of America, that this is very significant and sober and important matter, and that respecting that, we ought to require an unusual majority for taking this action. Our Illinois Constitution provides that, both with respect to amending our own Constitution and with respect to amending our national Constitution, that a vote of 3/5's of the members elected is required. We have an old statute on this subject that...adating back to 1963, prior to the amendment...to...to the adoption of our Constitution that referred to a Constitutional majority. House Bill 77 conforms that statute to the Constitution and to the existing rules of the House and the Senate, which all say that when we take this ratification action changing our basic instruments of government, its a serious and sober and somber thing and we ought to require a 3/5's vote. Support for House Bill 77 will express our support for the Constitution, and I might say that as time goes on, and as you study history, you know we've considered different amendments. We have, in the past, consideration of prayer amendments. We have, in the future, amendments related to the subject of abortion. There is no limit to our minds as to what subjects, and controversial subjects, all of them indeed, all of them shaping and changing or suggesting changes in our national Constitutional, they may come into law. What we ought to have is the same rules of the ball game. No matter what the subject is, let's not change the procedure to suit our own needs, but let's reflect and respect the desires of the people of Illinois and our own Illinois State Constitution which says when we are amending Constitutions we require a 3/5's vote. So I would be happy to answer any questions, but I urge your support for House Bill 77 and for our Illinois Constitution."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield for a couple of questions?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Leinenweber: "Representative Duester, does not favorable vote of the Constitutional majority of the members of each House of the General Assembly mean...a...what the...mean 3/5's?"

Duester: "No, I don't believe so. I believe that it has been in past history a well-respected phrase that means a simple majority. Its possible to construe it that way, but I think it ought to be clear. I think there ought to be no doubt or no question. This clarifies it at least. It makes it abundantly clear that its 3/5's. That's what it is."

X

- Leinenweber: "To the extent that our Constitutional provision requiring 3/5's majority is valid, then any legislation to the contrary would, by that fact be invalid, is that correct?"
- Duester: "I'm sorry, was that a question. I...another member was speaking to me and I was temporarily distracted. What is your question?"
- Leinenweber: "Assuming that this legislative Act requires prior to your amendment, requires only a majority of those voting, or a majority of those elected, a simple majority, then that Act, if our Constitutional provision, is in fact, constitutional, then this statute would be unconstitutional. Is that not right?"
- Duester: "Well that Act, if you gave it that interpretation requiring simple 89 would be out of touch and it would be contrary..a...clearly contrary to the Constitution which we adopted in 1970."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

 Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, it may not surprise you to learn that

 I am speaking in opposition to this bill. A three-judge

 federal court, recently expressed the views that Illinois

 Constitution's attempt to impose a 3/5's majority was a

 requirement for ratification of amendments to the U.S.

 Constitution is invalid. The court said, and I quote, we.

 do not believe that delegated federal power to ratify a

 proposed amendment to the federal Constitution may be

 inhibited by a State Constitutional provision which in

practical effect, determines whether votes of legislators opposing an amendment shall be given greater, lesser, or the same weight as the votes of legislators who favor the proposal. The court, in addition, stated that only the body, that particular legislative body, had a right..."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from DeKalb,
Mr. Ebbesen, arise?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I apologize for interrupting the

Representative, but could you get a little order, this

is an extremely important issue and I can't hear too well

back here."

Madigan: "Would the members just please give their attention to Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Thank you, Representative."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson, arise?"

Hudson: "Just wanted to speak when the time comes."

Madigan: "Fine, your light is on. Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "A...I think you Mr. Ebbesen for calling attention to this important matter. The court tells us that the legislative body is the only body that has a right to set the rules for ratification of an amendment to the U.S. Constitution, specifically, the number required for approval. And that a statute would be invalid, so actually, this body approves this statute, we are doing something which is unconstitutional because the court has already told us that it is invalid for a statute to speak on this subject. We have an Attorney General's opinion, which also states that a statute on this matter is invalid and unconstitutional. I ask you to either not vote on House Bill 77 or to vote no on this matter."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "May I ask some questions of the sponsor, please?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Porter: "Don, you've proposed a bill that I would assume would required approval by the House, approval by the Senate, and then would have to go to the Governor for his signature.

Is that correct?"

Duester: "The original Act in 1963 was passed by the House, approved by the Senate, and signed into law by the Governor, and...a...that would be the same in the case of this. I think that...a...we, there's nothing wrong with us putting our statute in line with the Constitution, in fact, I think we have a duty to do it. Now, the point sometimes is raised as to whether or not the amendment process with respect to federal amendments is a federal function that we are performing to which the Governor has no business being involved. To some extent that is true; however, what this legislation is designed to do, is to help really lay it down so that every time we have a different issue, we aren't fiddling around and fooling around with the rules and having one rule today, another rule next year, another rule the next year, all depending on the manipulation of those who are for or against the particular issue. So the answer is yes, the Governor would sign this. Although the importation thing is that we are responding to the court decision that says we can do it, we can do it right here, we can say what's it going to be. Is it going to be 3/5's like the Constitution says? Or are we going to ignore our Constitution. So we do have the power of the House, here, to act on this."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the sponsor of this measure has just told us that in order for it to be binding, for it to be law, that it would require the signature of the Governor of this State. That provision of this bill is directly in contravention to the provisions of the Constitution of the United States that do not permit the Governor to participate in the ratification of amendment to the U. S. Constitution. Under the U. S. Constitution, that function is left entirely, entirely, within the power of the State legislature, and the Governor has absolutely no right to participate whatsoever. Now I would suggest that if this bill passes, it is unconstitutional on its face, we are wasting our time to do it, there's absolutely no question

that it would be stricken down by any court in about two minutes. I would... I would suggest to you also that when we are determining what size of a majority it takes in this House, that the Senate would have a separate decision, under the Blair, as to what size majority it would take in their House and they needn't be the same. Each House is left, by rule, to determine whatever majority they think is correct. So if the bill is faulty, both in being unconstitutional in regard to the Governor's participation and in taking away the power from each House separately, to make a determination as to what majority it would take. Now I think that there's a further question. I think the question is what size of a majority this House should adopt to amend the U. S. Constitution. I think we are fooling ourselves if we are talking in terms other than in terms of a rule adopted by the House. And I... I would think that the only question before us, and very likely to be before us, this session, is the Equal Rights Amendment, and what we're talking about is what majority it will take to ratify that amendment. It seems to me that very clearly, the provisions of the Illinois Constitution are invalid, that we can determine for ourselves what majority it will take. It seems to me, very clear, that this bill is also invalid. That we can by rule determine how many it will take to adopt an amendment to the U. S. Constitution. Now how many of us can make that decision? 89 of us can make that decisions because we adopt our rules by a vote of a constitutional majority. Now it seems to me that 89 of us can decide for all of us whatever particular, extraordinary majority it might take, whether its 3/5's or 2/3's or 4/5's. Those 89, that is, just the constitutional majority of us, can dictate to all of us how many it will take to ratify. I think there have been, and will be, seriously offered arguments for an extraordinary majority. The gravity of the issued, the need for caution, circumspection, etc. But I think what every voter at home will know, and the Ladies on both sides of this question will let them know, that 89 of us,

one way or the other, voting on this question, will decide the fate of the Equal Rights Amendment in Illinois. So I would say we should put aside any statements of constitutional theory and we should realize that what ever rule we might ultimately adopt, would be one that will either pass or reject this particular amendment. If you vote for this bill or if you vote for a rule that would require more than a constitutional majority, you are simply and plainly voting against the Equal Rights Amendment and your voters at home will know that. I urge a no vote on this bill, its plainly unconstitutional."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll be brief because this is a silly bill, its a meaningless bill, its....it wouldn't be valid even if it were enacted by the legislature and signed by the Governor, and furthermore, its an insult to the members of this House. The State Attorney General has said, the U. S. District Court has said, we and we alone have the power to determine the majority by which federal constitutional amendments will be ratified. The Governor has no part in determining that. The Senate has no part in determining it for the House, we're the only ones that can determine it, and yet, the sponsor asks us to enact a piece of legislation which he, as a lawyer, knows is not valid, which the State Attorney General, has twice, in formal written opinion, has said its not valid, and in which the U. S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois has said its not valid. Let's vote this bad bill down, let's defeat it and get on with the business. Its an insult to the members in another respect. We are staggering under an intolerable bill load and the sponsor wastes our time with this kind of meaningless legislation. Let's vote no."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

- Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be very brief on this. I believe the sponsor in sponsoring this bill has called upon our legislature to draw parallel with the federal system. I believe that if he'll carefully analyze the amendment process in the State of Illinois Constitution and in the Federal Constitution, he is not creating a parallel, he is actually creating a dissimile. Under our own State Constitution after an extraordinary majority initiates a Illinois State Constitutional amendment, it then goes to the people to be ratified by a majority. It would seem to me it makes this parellel then, after the Federal Congress initiates a Constitutional amendment, and it goes to the State, that the people represented through the legislators should be allowed to ratify this with a majority vote. And therefore, I don't see a technical, legal parallel as he has it, and I just don't see where it will create anything more than more problems and a greater hiatus in the law."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco."
- Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it would seem to me that we have spent quite enough time discussing this matter, I would say that every individual has his or her mind made up on this particular question and I raise the previous question."
- Madigan: "The motion has been made for the previous question.

 The question is shall the main question now be put. All those in favor will signify by saying aye. Opposed, no.

 The ayes have it, the main question will now be put and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Duester, to conclude the debate. Mr. Duester. The Chair recognizes

 Mr. Duester to close the debate."
- Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in closing the debate on House Bill 77, let me say this, the United States Constitution provides that when the United States Constitution is to be changed, the United States House must approve that change by a 2/3's vote, and the

United States Senate must approve that recommendation for a change by a 2/3's vote that's 2/3's and 2/3's ah.... that's higher than 3/5's and this must be ratified by 3/4's of the states. These high extraordinary majorities are put in our Constitution of the Nation by our founding fathers because they think changing the basic structure of government is that vital. Our Illinois Constitution provides that a similar higher majority of 3/5's and the delegates to the 1970 Constitutional Convention considered this carefully and 3/5's is in there and all of us who were elected, raised our hands and said we would support that Constitution, not part of it, but all of it. In that Constitution is the requirement of 3/5's. The rules of the Senate require 3/5's. The rules of the House require 3/5's. This Bill was recommended by the Executive Committee; suggesting 3/5's. I think it is quite clear that this statutory change supplements the rules and conforms to the Constitution and will help us nail down the rules of the ballgame, so no matter what pet project, what pet issue, whether it is abortion, whether it is E.R.A., whether it's homosexual rights, whether it's fair, whatever it is everybody must give 3/5's and I urge your support of the 3/5's and I urge your 'aye' vote on House Bill 77. Thank you."

Madigan: "The question is shall House Bill 77 pass. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen to explain his vote."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, this is ah... more in ah.. point of question."

Madigan: "All right."

Ebbesen: "Ah... other than this particular piece of legislation, House Bill 77, ah.. will this same issue ah.. perhaps come before the ah... House began another opportunity to vote ah... in favor of the 3/5's approach of the majority ah... by ah.. possibly challenging the rules ah... the adoption of rules on this issue? I realize that this is a Bill, but

- I'm talking about in the future ah... will we get another opportunity ah.. perhaps to discuss and vote on this issue again? Mr. Speaker."
- Madigan: "Mr. Ebbesen, are you raising a point of parlimentary
 inquiry?"
- Ebbesen: "Ah... I'm just asking if ah... in this particular...."
- Madigan: "The order of business is explaination of vote."
- Ebbesen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm out of order."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis to explain his vote."
- Davis: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote. To me the 14th Amendment to the Constitution, next to my Bible, is sacred. This is the amendment that gave my grandfather and my ancestors, the right to be classified as first-class citizens. This is the amendment, the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, that was adopted as a fitting tribute to victorious Union Army who made this nation, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. I, in the name of God....."
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Lake,
 Mr. Deuster arise?"
- Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I request that this be taken out of the record."
- Madigan: "Is there leave to put this on the order of postponed consideration? For what purpose does the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich arise?"
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentleman of the House,
 Representative Deuter asked that this be taken out of the
 record, ah.. I believe the more appropriate thing to do in
 ah... within the rules, is to place it on postponed consideration, ah.. that he can do, but he can't take it out of the
 record at this point."

- Madigan: "Is there leave for postponed consideration? Are there objections to granting leave for postponed consideration? The Chair hears objections. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."
- Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I move to place this on the order of postponed consideration."
- Madigan; "The motion is shall House Bill 77 for what purpose does the gentelman from Cook, Mr. Shea arise?"
- Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I think our rules give each Member the absolute right to place a Bill on postponed consideration and I don't know why anybody would object to following the rules."
- Madigan: "Mr. Shea has rendered a proper interpretation of the rules. House Bill 77 shall be placed on the order of postponed consideration. On the order of Third Reading appears House Bill 435."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 435. An Act to amend Section IV of an Act in relation to compensation to Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Madigan: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy on House Bill 435."
- Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker ah... could we have some order? I think this is a Bill that will be of interest to some Members?"
- Lundy: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, House Bill 435 ah.. with the Lechowicz Amendment on it, is now in the form that it was originally when it was first introduced. That is that it will provide ah... each Member of the General Assembly the right to designate an Administrative Assistant who will then be...."
- Madigan: "Will the Membership please give their attention to Mr. Lundy? This Bill concerns a provision for another Administrative Assistant in your office."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the ah...really staggering work-load that the Members are laboring under down here these two to three weeks, make clearer than ever, the need for some type of assistance, professional assistance for us back in our local districts to represent us at meetings and otherwise we cannot be there and that is the purpose of House Bill 435. To insure that the employees of Members of the General Assembly, who serve them and who serve their constituents back in the local districts, are not discriminated against, are not denied the benefits of other state employees, namely life insurance, health insurance, and retirement benefits, if they are reasonably full-time employees and that means ah... under Representative Lechowicz's Amendment, that must work at least 20 hours a week and they must have been employeed by the Member for at least three months time before they qualify. Now because the entitlement to fringe benefits will mean that the cost of employing these employees is substantially increased, the Bill does include a slight increase in the contingent expense allowance to cover that amount. The only reason that that is necessary is because under the Bill, as amended by Mr. Lechowicz, the full amount of the salary and the fringe benefits will be deducted from money otherwise available to the Member for his contingent expense allowance. That is, if you do designate an Administrative Assistant, who will then be entitled to the fringe benefits, the amount of those benefits and the salary will both be deducted from the new amount of the contingent expense allowance, which will be 15,000 per annum. Let me make two other points. Number one: For those.... this is a permissive Bill, it does not require you to designate an assistant, nor if you have a present employee, does it require you to pay fringe benefits to that employee. You can continue to pay on the present basis if that is your choice. Seocndly, and I think this is most important in

light of the unfortunate experiences that some Members have had with the Internal Revenue Service, this Bill will mandate that the State of Illinois, the Comptroller, must handle the State and Federal Income Tax Witholding for employees who are working in our local districts and our district Offices. Given the ah the inclination of the Internal Revenue Service to snoop in the contingent expense records of the Members of this House and the Members of the Senate, it seems to me simply a matter of self protection that we make sure that this matter of income tax witholding is properly handled and this Bill would mandate that the Comptroller would have to handle it. As many of us have believed he should have for many years prior to this. I would be glad to respond to any questions and I would only say in closing; do not, do not short your colleagues of the tools they need to serve their constituents. If you don't think you need an Admin istrative Assistant who benefits from the other fringe benefits available to the rest of the state employees, then at least don't deny that to your colleagues. If you don't need one, don't hire one. If you think it is a political issue, then make it a political issue in your campaign, but don't deny to the rest of us who need this help, the opportunity to get it."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Lauer: "Representative Lundy, does this Bill as it presently is include an increase in the expense allowance?"

Lundy: "Yes."

Lauer: "How much? How much, Mr. Lundy?"

Lundy: "The amount would raise ah... the contingent expense allowance would be raised from \$12,000 per year to \$15,000 per year."

Lauer: "Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Winnebago, $$\operatorname{Mr. Simms."}$$

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, briefly addressing myself to the Bill, ah... I oppose any increase in the legislative expense allowance. We just recently increased those allowances to \$12,000 a year. Let's be very pragmatic about it, we are in a time, ah... in our state of ah... some areas of the state of high unemployment. and the economy is not the best in the State of Illinois at the present time and I suggest that this legislation is untimely and all we're doing and let's be very pragmatic about it, we are increasing the expenses to the taxpayer of the State of Illinois. Now I think the office allowance of \$12,000 is adequate for what the time the General Assembly spends upon it. I think each legislator can effectively operate his own district office on this allowance and with the economic problems that we have at this time, I think this legislation is untimely and this legislation should be defeated by the House of Representatives."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the lady from Cook, Ms. Willer." Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Bill without

any feelings of apology. This matter was brought up during the campaign of the last election. I spoke to the expenses then and I realize it can be made a political issue, but I would point out as Representative Lundy, you don't have to use it if you don't want it. I indeed to use my \$12,000 and I would use my \$15,000. It is not for me... my own personal use. It is to hire people to help me do a good job and speaking to the previous speakers ah... statement about unemployment; this would certainly employ people so I don't feel that that is a legitimate argument against it. I certainly urge the people the..... the Members here to support this and if you don't want it, don't spend it."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he'll yield."

Hudson: "Representative Lundy, will you go back over the ground once again as to what the Lechowicz.... what position the ah... passage of the Lechowicz Amendment, the other day, left your Bill in? Does it simply, more specifically, does your Bill now simply raise the allowance from it's current level at \$12,000 to \$ 15,000 ah.. provide the option of ah.. a legislative aide? Is that all it is doing?"

Lundy: "Yes."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Lundy."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Macon, Mr.
Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he'll yield."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House,

I spoke against the Lechowicz Amendment the other day. I

would like to speak for this Bill at this time. As a

freshman, I need all the help I can get to try my best to

do a good job. This is a permissive Bill and for those of

us who need help, who need assistance here and back home,

we would greatly, greatly appreciate a favorable vote on

this Bill. I would only conclude by saying that I know

of no trademen, no professional, who is expected to ply

his trade without the tools of his trade and in this

business we certainly need assistance. We need help of

every kind and we have a chance to get it here and we should

take advantage of that opportunity. Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "I wish to say that as a first-termer who is not attached to a powerful political organization of any kind; ah... I find that this type of ah.. legislation is needed, for those of us who are full time legislators and don't have

some auxiliary office to use ah... for their place of ah... to be found and therefore, I'm asking those of you who might not need this, to take pity on us persons who do need it. Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this excellent proposal. I'm not interested in casting any reflection on any of my colleagues, I merely want to point out that the way this Bill is drafted, those of us who are attempting to be effective legislators and do the job for which we are capable and feel that we need assistance, will have the option to utilize it. Those of us who ah... are not interested in ah.. perhaps various types of legislation that appeals to our contituents, would not have to bother. I would further suggest that the way our Ethics Legislation is drawn now, we're all sitting under a looking glass, so there is no opportunity here to get involved in any hankypanky. I think that this is an excellent piece of legislation and I would suggest that we all vote 'aye' on it and many of us, I'm certain, would be able to do an effective job and turn out the type of product that is expected of us as legislators."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell.

Campbell: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

aide?"

Campbell: "Joe, what are the provisions of this Bill now? If

I have a secretary who works five days a week, the year

around, ah....would she be classified as a legislative.....

in other words, could she come under the provisions of this

Bill for fringe benefits, rather than having a legislative

Lundy; "Representative Campbell, if she works for you at least twenty hours a week, once she has been an employee of yours for three months; she may qualify if you designate it, but you are not required to designate it. However, if you do that, the cost of the fringe benefits will be deducted from your contingent expense allowance and I might say, that is the only reason for the increase in the contingent expense allowance because that will be a substantial amount of money."

- Campbell: "In other words, the increase of the \$12,000 to the \$15,000 is for that purpose?"
- Lundy; "It's not ear-marked for that purpose, but that's the intention."
- Campbell: "Yes, and you've also eliminated by amendment the additional \$12,000 for legislative aides?"
- Lundy: "That is correct. Let me make that absolutely clear.

 By virtue of having adopted the Lechowicz Amendment, which
 this House did on a varified Roll Call vote, there is no
 additional \$12,000 a year to pay the Administrative Assistant.
 Whatever that Administrative Assistant is paid will be deducted from you contingent expense allowance; salary and
 fringe benefits and that is the only reason that the
 allowance is increased ah... is to cover the cost of those
 additional fringe benefits."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs."
- Downs: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Fellow Members of this House,

 I came to this legislature in January amoung those who
 have been very critical and I now stand here as a person
 who has gained a great deal of respect for this legislature
 and for my fellow Members. You are hard working and you
 are far more important to the lives and the welfare of
 the people of this state than I think some of us realize.

 I think that to the extent, we continue to allow people to
 look for Washington to solve all of their problems and to
 the extent that we allow the misunderstandings about the
 work of this legislature and state government to exist, then
 so long will we diminish the importance of the State Legislature.

I believe that this Bill doesn't go nearly far enough.

It doesn't mean though, that I will not support it. If
you feel that you are unfairly maligned as to the work you
do and what state government means to the people of this
state, then support this Bill because the way things are
now, we are diminished in our ability to restore or bring
confidence in the people to state government. I think that
you deserve respect. I think you deserve the resorces
necessary to translate the job you are doing to your district.
Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think we have debated this issue long enough and I move the previous question."

Madigan: "The question is shall the main question now be put. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The question is shall House Bill excuse me. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy to close the debate."

Lundy: "Yes, very briefly Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, to clarify ah... two misunderstandings ah... I'm afraid may have arisen. Number one: separate amount, no additional \$12,000 in the Bill, in it's present form, to pay an Administrative Assistant. That additional amount was taken out by Representative Lechowicz's Amendment that was adopted on Second Reading. Now secondly, I have no doubt that there are many Members who genuinely and honestly believe they don't need this additional amount. Perhaps, if I came from a district that was largely rural or if I came from a district where my contituents ah.... weren't very involved politically, I wouldn't need it either, but if Representative Simms has any doubt that I need it or that other Members of this General Assembly need it, I'll show him my Federal Income Tax return from last year, where I subsidized my local district office to the extent of \$4500 out of my own pocket because I didn't have enough money to pay an Administrative Aide. There are Members who need this money. Now don't deny us tools to serve our constituents. If you don't need it, turn it back in and advertise it on your campaign brochure, that you didn't spend all of your money. That's great for you, but let us serve our constituents decently. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Madigan: "The question is shall House Bill 435 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham to explain his vote."
- Cunnignham: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, all evidence to the contrary, not withstanding, I'm anxious to retain your affection and good will, but I would be remiss if I were not to publicly protest the crime that is about to be committed against the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. The taxpayers of Illinois are crying out for mercy and you're about to kick them in the teeth. to caution each of you in this regard; since the taxpayers keep score of what's happening despite all of the Sponsor's enthusiasm in this matter, when it comes to the day of election when they count up what's happened in this regard and I 'm sure that this will be one of those instances. know that you need more money. God knows that you need more money, but your constituents don't know that and this isn't the time for you to go to the Treasury to get it. I urge you to pull in your buckles and suffer this hardship a little longer. Vote 'no' and you'll feel better tomorrow."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell to explain his vote."
- Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I'm a little bit agast at the insincerity of some of the Members who vote 'no'. They would tell the taxpayers that we are saving them money. The would hide behind this illusion, but I ask them, where are they when they come in with the various Bills for their particular district, not for \$12,000 and not for \$120,000 but starting at least with \$1,200,000 and up. I say to you that we are

being insincere to ourselves, insincere to our constitents when we profess this highest attitude of saving the public money. We have not saved the public money on any other Bill. We ignore all of the large gouges that really take money out of the publics pocket, so how can we say when now certain Members cry out and say that they need help in their particular districts, they need people to help serve their constituencies better, you resound with the resounding 'no'. I say to you gentlemen, that each of you before this day is over, will present to us a Bill for a dam, for a road, for a bridge, for a cornfield and any other item, which you think in your wisdom is necessary to serve the people of your district. Your insincerity amazes me and I will arise on those occasions, when you present these home-made Bills for your district, and I will point out to you and I will again ask you for a little sincerity for the taxpayer. Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, we have three jobs as legislators and this is the order that w would rank them in if I were asked as a legislator sitting on this floor. Number one is legislation; number two is legislative oversight of the Executive Branch and number three is case work, but that's not what our constitents think. Our constituents put case work first, legislation second and last, legislative oversight. Now, we have analysts on our staff that can help us with the legislation and if we abolish most of the Commissions and use the same amount of money for more staff analysts, we'll have plenty of analysts, plenty of people to help us there. We don't have much.... we have some help on legislative oversight, not enough, but we increase the Auditor General's Budget, we'll have that, but I don't know how you solve this case work problem without a higher budget. Now those of you who have a professional office of business or a political office that can subsidize your legislative operations, ah ... you don't have any problem, there is no sweat at all, but I

I would like to suggest that postage is going up; paper is going up; rent is going up; machine repair is going up; and ah... I have to have my addressagraph machine repaired by a repairman from Rockford. Two-thirds of the cost is in travel between Rockford and Crystal Lake to replace a dinky little part that anybody could have put in. I don't have a tie line to the state switchboard in my hometown. It's a long distance call and therefore my telephone bill is unbelievable. Joe is suggesting in this Bill that there is an extra \$3,000 for fringe expenses and I would like to suggest that if only to let the.... let the individual legislators know how much the fringe benefits in this state costs....."

- Madigan: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy arise?"
- Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, I think everybody knows what is involved in this Bill. I don't think explanation of votes are going to put it over the top. I would ask leave to table the Bill."
- Madigan: "Leave? Leave is granted. The Bill is tabled. On the order of Third Reading appears House Bill 121."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 121. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."
- Porter: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House,
 ah.. House Bill 121 is the same one that we talked about
 at length ah.. on Second Reading. It provides ah.. for
 a notice to all persons required to file ethics statements
 ah.. in the State of Illinois, both state and local officials.
 It ah.. it is ah.. agreed to by the Secretary of State, which
 should have the primary responsibility of mailing those
 notices and by the ah.. County Clerk of ah.. Cook County,
 at least and ah.. I think also the County Clerk Association.

- It provides a comprehensive procedure so that everyone ah.. will ah.. receive a notice of the time within which he has to file and the place where he has to file his ethics statement. I would urge a favorable vote."
- Madigan: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."
- Peters: "Representative Porter, is there any appropriation on this Bill?"
- Porter: "Peter, there is an appropriation on the Secretary of State's ah.. overall appropriation of \$25,000."
- Madigan: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is shall House Bill 121 pass. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'ayes' and 2 'no's' and 1 voting 'present' and this Bill having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Third Reading appears House Bill 536."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 536, Maragos. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Civil Defense Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Madigan: :The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

 Maragos: "Just a minute. Unfortunately, Mr. Speaker and Members,
 - I don't have my material with me, but I can speak on the subject. What it does is raise the salary of the Director of the Civil Defense from \$20,000 to \$27,000. Last year when we increased all of the salaries of the Executive Department, this unfortunately was bypassed by error and I think it is unfair that this dedicated service and this important department, which has come to us in aide many times on disaster areas, should not be raised to \$27,000. More importantly, I feel that because certain acts are going around to avoid the present limitation of salary, I think that we should do it honestly and above board and make that

salary \$27,000 at the present time."

- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."
- Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I must rise to speak against this exorbitant bill. Certainly, the Director of the Department of Civil Defense does not have to work has many hours a day even during a flood as I have to. Certainly the Director of the Department of Civil Defense does not use any of his money of his salary to run his Department. How much is this? Is this \$7000 more, \$5000 more, \$3000 more? This is an exorbitant expenditure and I would like to suggest to Representative Ewell that he was wrong when he suggested the road project. It started out at 1.5 million dollars. The bill that I remember that the gentleman from Lawrence sponsored was a \$25,000,000 bill running from one end of his district to the other end of this district, and it was a four-lane super highway. I can't imagine that this bill could possibly merit the support of more than 61 members. which were all who voted for Representative Lundy's bill when he took it out of the budget...out of...out of the record."
- Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes Mr. Maragos to close the debate."
- Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, members of the House. I'm surprised that Mr. Skinner has, I think got the wrong sponsor here, I said nothing...I voted with him on the Lundy bill, I voted with him on all the other issues, and I don't know why he's taking it out on me in his wrath on another matter I had nothing to do with."
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry,
 Mr. Skinner, arise?"
- Skinner: "Well, I will be one of the 61 votes to vote for your bill. A...I think, however, that the closest justice position that can be made after Representative Lundy's bill, and on the smallest amount possible, make the largest point. A... I think if other members who...."
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, arise?"

- Stone: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Gentleman has spoken once on the issue and he isn't saying anything while he's up and I think we should enforce the rules. He's out of order."
- Madigan: "Your point is well taken. The Chair recognizes Mr.

 Maragos to close the debate."
- Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, all I say is we are trying to take care of an iniquity here, which was due to our oversight last session in a hurry to get home, and as a result, I think its unfair to have this man held in hostage. I should also point out that because of this, he will then be brought before the full Senate Executive Committee. What there doing now, there trying to void the law by stating that his salary is \$20,000 so he's an Acting Director, and not given the full title, full responsibility, I think this is a bill that is long due. I solicit your support."
- Maragos: "The question is shall House Bill 536 pass? All in favor will signify by voting aye, all opposed, by voting no.

 The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maher, to explain his vote."
- Maher: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 House, I rise in support of this legislation because I think
 it is the main sponsor has treated with iniquity created here.
 And the Civil Defense Department is a very very important
 Department in the State of Illinois. They have a lot of very
 fine dedicated people who are working all the time and when
 disaster occurs, they are there and they have to be there.
 They are entitled to have the leadership and the head of the
 Department is an individual who is capable of...of collecting
 that kind of a salary and doing the job. He does work. He
 works hard. He spends all kinds of hours. He isn't a 40 hour
 a week man, he might be a 100 hour a week man. And he is
 at his expertise in that position that is needed to do the
 job. And I would urge support, 89 votes."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr.

 Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "No, that's alright."

Madigan: "Is there further explanation of votes? The Clerk

will take the record. On this question there are 104 ayes, 23 nos, 11 voting present. This bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Third Reading appears House Bill 611."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 611. Maragos. A Bill for an Act relating to the retention of x-ray films by hospitals.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.
Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, House Bill 611 is an Act which relates to the retention of x-ray films by hospitals. This passed the Executive Committee by an overwhelming vote and the purpose of this is instead of storing the film and the x-rays in the hospitals in their normal size, this allows the hospitals to minifact those films so they become ...a...more easy to store and at the same time, they will not in any way...a...be...a...lose their integrity. I think its a good bill to save a lot of money for the hospitals which at a cost today which are very expensive, and I ask for your support of this bill."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "A...Dan, I remember when"

Madigan: "Mr. Hart, do you wish to ask the sponsor a question?"
Hart: "Yes, I do."

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Hart: "I'm very sorry. Thank you. I remember when...a...I

think Representative Katz originally sponsored some legislation which is not the law. A....and we had, at that time,
a provision, which is still in that law that if there's
litigation pending...a....you can notify the hospital and
they'll keep the records. I see by your amendment that you
give them the option of keeping the original x-rays or a
minified copy. Now we have problems with use of minified
copies in exhibit and demonstration in trial in our area
because of the lack of equipment and so forth for that purpose. And I wonder if you could give me a good reason why

you put that part, that one little amendment there in line 27. Is that necessary?"

Maragos: "Yes it is. It says....if you notice on line 17, it says "or other recognized means of medification that does not adversely effect the use for diagnostic purposes". And in fact, if this bill passes, it might be even more.a...a... these bills may be available even for a longer period of time than the present law that provides for trials. They... all it does...they do not destroy the film, only they do.. is they put them on the mini-microfilm or minifact or whatever policies that they have as long as it doesn't effect the integrity of the film."

Hart: "Well I don't think you understood my question. We don't, ordinarily, use all the sophisticated equipment to demonstrate in court rooms like you..a...big trial lawyers from Chicago. And...a....there is not generally available... a...a...the capability of using microfilm in the court room, and I think Representative Katz....a...had put the part of the bill about keeping the x-rays as x-rays in legislation at my request whenever that bill was passed two or three years ago, and I would prefer to leave it...a...as it is with regard to a lawyer being able to notify the hospital that there is litigation pending and for them not to microfilm and destroy the film, we would rather use...."

Maragos: "Alright, fine, if you're talking about line 27, I have no objection once it gets to the Senate and asked that it be amended out."

Hart: "Yeh, that's what I thought."

Maragos: "But it surprised me that if you got any x-ray equipment, you would not have any...a...because the equipment is just as a...a...just as a...a...sophisticated for keeping a record as it was for a minifact except for the lens, that's about the only thing, but if you think in your area, your hospitals cannot do that, I'd be glad to put that amendment in the Senate."

Hart: "Yeh, I'd appreciate it if you'd take that out of line 27.
Thank you very much."

- Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, to close the debate."
- Maragos: "This has been fully explained what it does and I ask for a favorable vote."
- Madigan: "The question is shall House Bill 611 pass? All in favor will signify by voting aye. All opposed by voting no. Hudson, aye. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Will someone push Mr. Campbell's switch? Will someone push Mr. Collins' switch? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 145 ayes, 1 no, 2 voting present. This bill having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 269. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."
- Schraeder: "Gentlemen, this is the Department of Correction's supplemental appropriation bill, I move concurrence of two Senate amendments."
- Madigan: "The motion is....the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."
- Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would tell us what these two Senate amendments do?"
- Schraeder: "Both of these amendments were put on in the Senate with bipartisan support, both members, Democrats, the House and the Senate, and the Republicans. One transfers the... allocates \$240,000 for State prisoners that have been, or will be moved from Cook County Jail into the State institutions. The second one covers the share of the State for the a...a... sanitary disposal in the Pontiac Prision, and agreed by both sides of the House and the Senate and the House both."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."
- Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First of all, I'd like to publicly apologize to Representative Schraeder, because I didn't get an opportunity to talk to him about the two Senate amendments that were adopted to House Bill 269, and I was wondering if he would be kind enough to take the bills temporarily out of the record,

- so I will be able to discuss it with him. Take it out of the record."
- Madigan: "Mr. Schraeder, have you requested that the bill be taken from the record? The bill shall be withdrawn from the record. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 639.

 The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin."
- McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker, members of the House, Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 639, was added in the Senate Appropriations Committee to fund a start-up cost for the program audit responsibilities mandated in the Illinois State Auditing Act and I'd ask that the House concur in Senate Amendment No. 1... Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 639."
- Madigan: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."
- Lechowicz: "Well, I don't have it on my desk as far as the concurrence before us, a copy of the amendment, and I think I'd like to know what the \$68,000 is going to be used for. We reduced that budget in the House by ...a...I think it was \$130,000, let me check to be sure. Its \$171,500, I would just like to point out that this budget increased 150 per cent over last year and I'd like a justification for the restoration of the \$68,000."
- McPartlin: "Well, actually, the \$60,000 is back in for personal services and the \$8,010 for social security and retirement to fund the amount of personal services, and it comes back to leave the program audit responsibilities in the Act."
- Lechowicz: "In good conscience, I'm going to have to speak against concurrence on Senate Amendment No. 1. And let me just point out the fact that in the oper....let me just tell you in 1974, the appropriations for this department was \$1,980,500, it had a head count of eight people. In 1975, we appropriated \$2,230,000, it had a head county of 24 people. Fiscal '76 request is for \$5,620,000, head count of 50 people. That's an increase of 26 positions, and in turn, in the Appropriations Committee in the House, we made a slight adjustment...."
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

Duff, arise?"

- Duff: "Mr. Speaker, just on the point that Representative

 Lechowicz made, and as a procedural matter, I don't have

 the Senate amendment and I don't have any concurrence report

 on my desk, and I haven't found anybody else that does either.

 A...it seems to me that this is one of the first concurrences

 we've had this year, and we had so much trouble with them

 last time, that is might be a good idea that we started...a...

 and made sure we have them. I would suggest that the

 Gentleman might take the bill out of the record until we

 get the Senate amendment."
- Madigan: "The Gentleman indicates that he has not received a copy of the amendment and the bill shall be taken from the record. On the order of Postponed Consideration appears

 House Bill 111. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from

 Lake, Mr. Griesheimer. Griesheimer in the chamber?"
- Griesheimer: "Mr. Chairman, I was just speaking to the Majority
 Leader about this bill. This is the studded snow tire bill,
 House Bill lll, and a...a...would it be....would it be
 possible to...a...to withhold consideration of this bill
 for just a few minutes so we can have a distribution by the
 pages?"
- Madigan: "We shall pass House Bill 111 and proceed on the order of Consideration Postponed. House Bill 254. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."
- Re The bill has been read a third time."
- Rayson: "Thank you very much...a...Mr. Speaker and members of the House. House Bill 254 is a bill to prescribe a service of process in divorce proceedings for divorce dissolution only. And it states that if a plaintiff filed a verified application stating that the defendent resides or has gone out of the State, or undue inquiry, cannot be found...."
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, arise?"
- Walsh: "A...Mr. Speaker, the sponsor certainly didn't know this, but the ...but the principle opponent of this bill, Representative Schlickman, is not here this morning. I don't know

- where he is, he may be back later in the day. But I wonder if the sponsor would consent to...a....taking this out of the record....a....so that this..."
- Madigan: "Mr. Walsh, the calendar indicates that the bill must be considered today."
- Walsh: "Well I'm sure that the leadership for a reason like that and the membership would go along the suspension of the rule."
- Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, do you agree for postponing the deadline for this bill? Mr. Speaker? Did you consult with Mr. Washburn?"
- Walsh: "I can...I can ask...I can clarify this matter."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Walsh,"
- Walsh: "A...Representative Washburn allows that it...he would go along with....a...suspending the rule."
- Madigan: "Mr. Rayson, in light of the absence of Mr. Schlickman,

 I suggest that we postpone this bill until tomorrow. For

 what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson, arise?"
- Rayson: "Well, when we discussed this matter, we put on Amendment No. 4 which took care of Mr. Schlickman's problem, he's no longer an opponent of the bill."
- Walsh: "Well, if you can assure me of that, then I withdraw my objection. Now I recall that there was some question about whether it applied to the indigent exclusively. Is this the bill that..."
- Rayson: "No, no, that was...it was...started out that way and its been changed out, and he understands that problem.' I would still like to move it in that direction."
- Walsh: "Well, if you can assure me that that you have satisfied Representative Schlickman on his objection, then I remove my objections."
- Madigan: "Mr. Rayson?"
- Rayson: "Yes. Well, I'd appreciate going on it then, Mr. Speaker.

 This amendment to the Divorce Act, Section 14(a) states that
 if a plaintiff files a verified application showing the
 defendent resides or has gone out of the State or undue
 inquiry cannot be found, or is concealed within this State,
 so that process cannot be served upon him, and stating that

last known address, if known, is...is in such other State, then the clerk shall cause a summons and a copy of the complaint to be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the defendent's last known address. A certificate of the clerk, that he has sent such summons and complaint pursuant to this section is evidence that he has done so and of service of the summons in the cause. This was made possible by a Supreme Court decision that said this is proper service and other States have done this, and its also because indigents cannot pay publication costs which meet the same purpose, and that in Cook County, we experienced that the County picks up this tab, which runs up to \$100,000 a year. And this is only for dissolution of divorce only, and that I would solicit a favorable vote on this bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "A...would the sponsor yield for a question please?"
Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Geo-Karis: "A...Lee, under the present Act, if we send the summons on to the county where the defendent is residing and he is served, that's deemed good service by publication.

Right?"

Rayson: Right."

Geo-Karis: "So what you're trying to do is to eliminate that necessity by your bill and...a...simply by sending a copy of the summons by certified mail, return receipt requested, I presume. Is that it?"

Rayson: "That's right."

Geo-Karis: "Alright, and all this effects under your bill is
 the status and not the property. Is that correct?"

Rayson: "All it effects is the dissolution of divorce only,
 it has no inpersonum effect whatsoever."

Geo-Karis: "It does not effect the distribution of property
 of a couple?"

Rayson: "That's right."

Geo-Karis: "In that case, I speak in favor of the bill because

much is to be said in favor of such a bill. Having had many years of experience with sending summonses out to another state, another county, there is an expense, and when there is a limitation of money on the plaintiff, I think that this bill could cure a little bit of that."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman?"

Berman: "Would the sponsor yield?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Berman: "If the procedure for the sending of the summons by certified mail is followed, is there personal or inpersonum jurisdiction over the defendent?"

Rayson: "No. That was made clear...a...by striking out one of the words on one of the amendments and its made clear because of Section 14, the Publication Act."

Berman: "Is this bill directed only because of the...a...let me change the question. A....is the same result obtained today through the process of publication?"

Rayson: "The same result, yes."

Berman: "And this doesn't give any different result...a...but it provides for...a...certified mail service instead of publication...."

Rayson: "That's right, originally, as an indigent bill because of the Cook County problem and the committee wanted to extend it to all and the Supreme Court in the Body case, its as good or better service than publication..."

Berman: "Alright, I think its a good bill as amended."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Would the sponsor yield for a question please?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Porter: "A...Lee, this bill provides that a certified mail...

a...letter, or notice will be sent. I don't recall in the
explanation of it whether there was any relevance to the
return receipt."

Rayson: "The duty...the duty of the clerk is to send the...
the copy of the summons and the complaint with certified

return receipt requested."

Porter: "What if the return receipt is...a...not returned?"

Rayson: "Well, then under the Supreme Court case and under this bill, its still appropriate service because he has to make certification that he has done this and the bill says that with this...with this certification, its evidence that he has done so, and of service of this summons."

Porter: "Then in effect, this is really only service by first class mail. Let's face it. The return receipt, whether it comes back or not, whether the man was actually found or not, is not relevant really."

Rayson: "That's right."

Porter: "So you can send a letter to an address somewhere hoping that you might find somebody there, and if he's not there, it doesn't matter and there's no publication made and yet, this gives interim jurisdication. Is that correct?"

Rayson: "That's right."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know what Representative Schlickman's objections were, but I suspect that they are the same ones that I am voicing now. The bill says you are going to send your notice by certified mail with return receipt requested and then goes on to say that whether or not the return receipt comes back saying that anybody actually received this notice, is totally irrelevent as long as...as the thing was placed in the mail, that's it. And I think, personally, that's very much...a... great presumption...about jurisdiction and I think that the members ought to vote against the bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "A..thank you very much. I concur in the remark of Representative Porter. Under the present system, you can serve a person by publication in two ways. The person can either be served with a summons outside of the State, or you can. put a notice in a newspaper. Now if a person can't be found by the Sheriff to be served with a summons outside his State, then the notice in the newspaper is mandatory and there would

be at least some liklihood that somebody would know that the suit is pending. But under the procedure that is suggested by this bill, all you have to do is mail a letter to the last known address and nobody...if it doesn't ever get delivered....there'd be no further procedure, and I think the bill is faulty in the fact that if there is no actual receipt of the letter by the defendent, then there would still need to require that there be a publication and a notice...and a notice in the newspaper. And so I think there is a very great liklihood here of the violation of the spirit of the due process of law by the adoption of this bill and I suggest that we ought to defeat the bill."

- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Grieman."
- Grieman: "Well, I think that we give some kind of credance to
 a published notice in the newspaper that it does not deserve.
 No one reads those notices. Its not really calculated to
 give anybody any notice, and I would guess that it never
 gives anybody any notice. For example, in Cook County,
 the notices appear in the Chicago Law Bulletin, generally...
 a...it has a very limited group of subscribers, as you might
 imagine, and people do not normally read that...are not
 normally aware that a divorce proceeding is pending. At
 least this letter is calculated to give some kind of notice
 to him. I submit that a publication notice is no notice,
 no notice at all. I suggest...I ask that we give this a
 green light."
- Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson, to close the debate."
- Rayson: "A..thank you very much to one and all. I'd like to say this...a....the reference of Representative Schlickman was to put on an amendment to adopt precisely in the affidavit the language in the publication section of the statute, Section 14. And that meant his objection, which means that, you know, you must have heard that a defendent does not reside, has gone out on undue inquiry, he can't be found, he's concealed

with the State so that service of process cannot be served

upon him. Now, I think Representative Greiman is correct. A publication is merely the law bulletin, three weeks, costs about twenty-eight bucks, and this situation lead to the Body vs. the State of Connecticut case, decided by the United States Supreme Court in 1971, it says any statute that allows publication and denies indigents the right to get a divorce is the denial of due process. And I would like to quote a pertinent section of this decision. It says, this is the Supreme Court, we think that reliable alternatives exempt to service of process by a State-paid Sheriff, if the State is unwilling to assume the cost of the official service. This is true of service by publication, which is the method of notice <u>least</u> calculated to bring to a potential defendent's attention the pendency of judicial proceedings. We think in this case, service at the defendent's last known address, by mail and posted notice, is equally effective, if not better service, than is publication in the newspaper. So I'm suggesting the Supreme Court has said that this is sufficient service, and as I said, this bill started as an indigent bill, the Judiciary Committee thought it should be an applicable alternative service bill to publication, and that's why we have the bill and that's why we'd like to have it passed because many indigents, as a matter of fact, 3000 cases in Cook County, have the problem of not paying the court costs, which is about \$28, which gives us a euphe.... a euphemism at best as a form of service. This form at least suggests the clerk will make a strident effort...a... by ... a... certified mail return receipt to effect notice and that's what its all about and I suggest it is a good bill. I would ask for a favorable vote."

- Madigan: "The question is shall House Bill 254 pass? All in favor will signify by voting aye, all opposed by voting no.

 The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, to explain his vote."
- Mugalian: "Mr....Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, all this bill does is reduce the revenues of some newspapers that publish notices in these cases, which nobody reads.

This bill also saves the money of the persons that can't afford to put a notice in a publication. The service that the defendent in interim divorce proceedings would get is no less, under this bill, than they would get under the present state of the law. There is no reason whatever not to give a yes to this bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, to explain his vote. You do not seek recognition, Mr. Keller? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "A...to explain my vote Mr. Speaker. There's 78 people on the board not voting and I...I think that lawyers have spoken here, about four or five have spoken favorably and twoand two not too favorably, and perhaps there is sort of a technicality here that many of us don't fathom and therefore we're not voting. Simply stating, we are trying to effect jurisdiction in divorce cases by a mode not by publication as an alternative to that mode which is not too effective or too desirable and the Supreme Court has ruled that this is at least as good if not better service than publication. And as I say, we have a problem in the County of Cook, where there's some 3000 divorces by the Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago and they have problems getting the publication fee paid and...a...as a consequence, the County of Cook has been picking this up and I see no reason why we can't, you know, come out with a favorable vote."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, to explain his vote."

Bluthardt: "Well Mr. Speaker and members of the House, there must be some misunderstanding or confusion about this bill. The present method that provides for publication in a newspaper is practically useless. It really does serve no purpose at all. However, it still is effective for the purpose of service to those who are alledgedly out of the State and a divorce can be granted and the bonds of matrimony dissolved merely by a publication in the, say the Chicago Law Journal

in Chicago, which nobody reads other than a few lawyers. This would give an optional system and that is by the mailing by a registered or certified letter to the defendent at his last know address which must be out of State. I think its a big improvement over the present method. It still permits either method to be used, but I think its a cheaper method for those who are unable to pay the cost of publication. I think we ought to adopt it, I think it is good for the State and for the people."

- Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?

 The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are
 61 ayes, 41 noes, 16 voting present. This bill having failed
 to receive a constitutional majority is hereby declared lost.
 On the order of Consideration Postponed appears House Bill
 357. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.
 Maragos.' Maragos."
- Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, unfortunately this bill....time runs out today and I have been contacting the officials of the Municipal League, and Mr. Schlickman, with the possibility of amending it to make it more conforming to overcome the pre-emptive portions of the bill. Unfortunately, the amendments are not available, will not be available until Friday and I would like to have leave of the House to have this bill extended until Saturday. I have consulted with the Republican leadership on the other side of the aisle and my own leadership and they've agreed, the House agrees, and I would appreciate it if I could have this extended until Saturday with the hope of having amended it."
- Madigan: "The parliamentarian informs me, Mr. Maragos, that you must move in fact, and it will require 107 votes."
- Maragos: "I move, Mr. Chairman, at this time that the proper rule be suspended to allow me to have the extension of time on this bill until Saturday, which is the 26th."
- Madigan: "Is there discussion? The motion is shall the rules be suspended to allow that House....the expiration date for House Bill 357 shall be extended until Saturday, April 26, all those in favor will signify by voting aye, all those

opposed by voting no. The Clerk will take the record.

This question requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 129 ayes, 2 nays, no voting present, this motion having received a sufficient number of votes, the expiration date for House Bill 357 is hereby extended until Saturday, April 26. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

- Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I want to thank you for this courtesy."
- Madigan: "On the order of Consideration Postponed appears House
 Bill 111. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake,
 Mr. Griesheimer."
- Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, approximately a week and a half ago, this bill, which is the ban on studded snow tires in the State of Illinois came up for consideration on the House floor. At that time we argued the whys and wherefores and the good and bad points of studded snow tires, and in the end, the vote was about 66 in favor and about 40 opposed and I placed this bill on postponed consideration. Immediately following that, about two days later, I was contacted by the Illinois Department of Transportation. They advised me that they were now strongly supporting my bill and after I thanked them for all there support up till that time and I asked them why they hadn't supported my bill previous to that, they indicated that they had just recently received a letter from the United States Department of Transportation that manages all of the federal highway funds. This letter was actually received by the Department's offices on April 2 and in short, the letter states that any State in the midwest region that allows snow tires, studded snow tires on vehicles after March 31, 1976, that state will lose all of its federal highway funds. I have distributed to you a letter from..a... Director Bond stating the position of the Department stating that they wished to have this bill supported that they feel that it is necessary now to ban the use of studded snow tires

regardless of what the opinion of the individual may be of its goodness or its badness, and that they are now supporting this bill most strongly. I would suggest to you that probably none of us like the attitude of the federal government in forcing things down our throat, but this is no time to turn our highway funds away because the State does not have the money to replace them...a...based upon this circumstance and this new condition, I would very strongly urge you to re-evaluate any vote you may have had when you first voted on this bill and not vote it out banning studded snow tires in the State of Illinois."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "A....would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Matijevich: "Representative Griesheimer, I read the letter by
Mr. Miller and reading the amendment to the bill, I understand that this bill would have to be amended to comply
with the...a...federal...a...guideline or regulation. In
other words, the complete banning of the studded snow tires.
Have we got time to do that? Or are you willing to do that?
Or...."

Griesheimer: "Well I...to answer your question, I'd be willing to do it, but I think we have a problem by doing it here in the House. And the way it was suggest is that the bill has two phases that will have to be amended. First of all we are going to have to take emergency vehicles and possibly a..a...postoffice vehicles, rural mail carriers out of the bill, and we are also going to have to change the original date that is in the original bill. And it was suggest that we pass the bill as it is now on postponed consideration, get it in the Senate. The Senate will, by normal amendment process, put these, or take these amendments off and correct the effective date and then it will merely come back here for concurrence."

Matijevich: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I think the better course would be to do what we did with the

last bill, to extend the deadline until Saturday, work out an amendment here in the House, and then get it over to the Senate. I don't think we ought to figure that the best course would be to amend it in the Senate when we can do it right here in the House by purely....or a merely amending it and extending the deadline. A...I think that... a...would you probably get the majority, or the 107 votes to extend it and do it right here. I...I just think the better procedure is to amend it here in the House."

- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne."
- VanDuyne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. A...I think...a...that this conversation that is going on right now is indicative of what Mr. Gale Schisler was trying to talk about the other day when he was trying to get the bill passed for the right for townships to accumulate funds for a certain project. And we have...Mr. Griesheimer has a letter here from Langhorn Bond stating that if we don't pass this bill, that the federal government is going to take away all their money for repairing highways. So it just goes to show you the clubs they have over their head when we aren't allowed to build up our own fund. Thank you."
- Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer, to close the debate."
- Griesheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I really don't...."
- Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan, arise?"
- Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would request the sponsor, likewise,
 Representative Matijevich had a great idea. This was heard
 in our Motor Vehicle Committee. Its a very good bill with
 a poor amendment. Why doesn't he take it back to Second
 and get the amendment off and let's proceed from there."

Madigan: "Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I was just going to say that I have no objection to doing it that way. It'll just take more time in the House to do it. If the leadership feels this is the way they wish to handle it, we'll have to get a motion to suspend

- the rules on this to continue it to Saturday, have the amendments prepared, placed on the bill on Saturday, it'll have to go back to Second Reading for that purpose, then we'll be on our, I think, our first legislative day, and then we're going to have to suspend the rules again, go to Monday..a...it seems to me to be rather cumbersome when we could do this in the Senate."
- Madigan: "Mr. Griesheimer, I am told by the parliamentarian that the expiration date on your bill is tomorrow and that at that time, you could bring the bill back to the order of Second Reading, or today, place your amendments, and then pass the bill out tomorrow. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."
- Shea: "A...Mr. Griesheimer, do you have the amendment?"

 Griesheimer: "I do not have the amendment prepared now."

 Shea: "Can you..."
- Griesheimer: "I can have them prepared, yes, "very quickly."
- Shea: "Well why don't you...why don't we extend the time until Saturday, let you get the amendment, and put it on and tomorrow let every member have a chance to look at it and then we can pass it either on Friday or Saturday."
- Griesheimer: "What we will be doing is tabling two existing amendments and amending the date, the effective date, in the bill."
- Shea: "Well why don't you talk about that tomorrow, but I would make the motion to extend this until Saturday, right now."
- Griesheimer: "Well I will so move that the rules be suspended, if this is in order at the present time, a...and have this extended until Saturday and we'll have the amend...amendments prepared."
- Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved that the rules be suspended to permit that the expiration date for House Bill 111 be extended until Saturday, April 26. All those in...all those in favor of the motion will signify by voting aye, all those opposed by voting no. The question requires 107 votes.

 The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are

- 130 ayes, no nays, 2 voting present and the rules have been suspended to permit that the expiration date relative to House Bill 111 are extended to Saturday, April 26. McLendon aye. Representative Choate wishes to be recorded as aye and Representative Hart as aye, and Mugalian as aye, Stearney aye. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman. Mrs. Chapman?"
- Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to table House Bill 1202, 1206, 1259, 1260, and 1261."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Those bills are hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl."
- Stiehl: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to table House Bill 1724 and House Bill 2464."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Those bills are hereby tabled."

 The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr.

 Keller, to table his bills. The Chair recognizes the

 Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."
- Kelly: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave, also, of the House to table House Bill 2403."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave having been granted that bill is hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino."
- Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave of the House to table House Bill 2327."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is hereby granted, that bill is tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."
- Yourell: "As the chief sponsor of House Bill 2368, I ask leave to table that bill."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave having been granted, that bill is hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McClain, Mr. Deavers."
- Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to table House Bill 1451 and 1452."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave hereby...leave being granted, those bills are hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Riqney."

- Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to table House Bill 748."
- Madigan: "Is there leave. Leave being granted, that bill is hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."
- Polk: "Mr. Speaker as chief sponsor of House Bill 501, I ask leave to table that bill."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, that bill is hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."
- Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, as the chief sponsor of House-Joint Constitutional Resolution No. 16, I'd ask leave to a...a...table this bill. We have combined, in another bill, a...a...introduced by another Representative."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, that resolution is hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."
- Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave at this time to table Bill 2380 because it is a duplicate bill of House Bill 1862."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, that bill is hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."
- Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave of the House to table
 House Bill 1715, which I am the chief sponsor."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, that bill is hereby tabled. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."
- Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just a matter of announcement. Appropriations Committee I will meet immediately after the House adjourns and I would hope that the membership would get there promptly. We should only be there for one half hour. Thank you Mr. Speaker."
- Madigan: "Gentlemen, if we could dispose of those who wish to table bills at this time, so the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Patrick."

- Patrick: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I'd like to table HouseBill 2548."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, that bill is hereby tabled.

 The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Georgi."
- Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, those bills are hereby tabled.

 Is there anyone else who wishes to table a bill? The order of business is

 Agreed Resolutions. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago,

 Mr.Georgi."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 216. Rose. House Resolution 217. Rose.

 House Resolution 218. J. Houlihan."
- Georgi: "Mr. Speaker House Resolution 216 honors Mr. Stroughter, the General Manager from Manufacturing and Consumer Plastics for bringing the great star, Jonathan Winters to the City of Jacksonville for their Sequi-Centennal celebration. And House Resolution 217 honors the first purveyor of the Jacksonville area. And House Resolution 218 honors a...a...Ms. Tina Hutchins from the a...a...13th legislative district and I move for the adoption of the agreed resolutions."
- Madigan: "The motion is shall the Agreed Resolutions be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed, the ayes have it and the agreed resolutions are adopted. Further resolutions?"

Jack O'Brien: "Souse Resolution 215, Mayer."

Madigan: "Committee on Assignments. Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Washington from the Committee on Judiciary I to which
House Bill 519 was referred, reported the same back with recommendation
that the bill do not pass. Mr. Washington from the Committee on Judiciary
I to which House Bill 504 and 764 was referred, reported same back with
amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted
and the bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary
II to which House Bill 606 was referred, reported the same back with
recommendation that the bill do not mass. Mr. Katz from the Committee
on Judiciary II to which House Bill 621 was referred, reported same back
with the recommendation that the bill do pass consent calendar. Mr. Katz
from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Bill 605 was referred,
reported the same back assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Sharp
from the Committee on Transportation to which House Bill 913, 914, 915,

946, 947, 1447, 1449, 1474, 1490, 1720, 1721, and 1729 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do pass. Mr. Sharp from the Committee on Transportation to which House Bill 1305 .was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bill as amended do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which House Bill 2, 86, 124, 193, 213, 306, 362, 430, 476, 661, and 327 were referred, reported the same back with a substitute being House Bill 2988, recommended that the original bills be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which House Bill 697 was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bills, as amended, do pass; to be referred to Committee on Assignments of Bills. Mr. McPartlin from Public Utilities to which House Bill 1520 was referred, reported same back with the recommendation that the bills do pass. Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities, to which House Bill 1518, 1519 were referred reported the same back with the recommendations that the bills do pass. Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities to which House Bill 1108 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bill do not pass."

- Madigan: "On the order of Announcements, the Speaker wishes to announce that facilitate the work of the House, the security personnel of the Secretary of State's office have agreed to provide that the west doors of the Capitol building and the east doors of the State Office building will remain open every day that the legislature is in session until 9:00 P.M. effective today. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."
- Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Counties and Townships will meet promptly at 2:00 P.M. in Room A-1 and we will adjourn promptly at 4:00 P.M., and then we have our dinner at the Sangamo Club at 6:00 o'clock and after that we will be back into committee session at...a...in Room A-1, so if we'll all get down there quickly, we'll move the bills out and we'll...a...thank you."
- Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz. Announcement
 Mr. Katz?"
- Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to announce that there would be a meeting of the Rules Committee in the Speaker's office at 5:00 P.M. this afternoon. It will not be for the purpose of receiving any testimony, but to proceed

with the deliberations of the Committee with regards to permanent House Rules and all members of the Rules Committee should be in the Speaker's office at 5:00 P.M. this afternoon."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, just a reminder to those who have bills relating to condominiums, the Condominium Subcommittee will meet at 5:30 in G-4. Also, members of the full Executive Committee come early, 8:00 o'clock in the morning. The earlier you get there, the earlier we'll finish. Thank you very much."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, a... I want to call to the attention of the members of the House, that there's been a mis-listing on the back of today's calendar. There are...a...on Tuesday morning, rather than on Monday, the Subcommittee on Elementary and Secondary Education is scheduled to meet, so the posting on Monday is in error, the correct time is for Tuesday, April 29, 8 to 11, and that is a...a...error of the Clerk, I think, because it is properly posted on the board."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "A point of information, Mr. Speaker. Is a...a.."

Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to know if our Labor and Commerce Committee is meeting again today or is it still Saturday. May I direct my query to Mr. Fary?"

Madigan: "To whom are you addressing your question?"

Geo-Karis: "Representative Fary."

Madigan: "Representative...the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.

Fary. Mr. Fary?....To be recognized."

"Geo-Karis: "Turn John on."

Madigan: "Mr. Fary to be recognized."

Fary: "The Committee on Labor will meet at 2 o'clock sharp in the usual room,

A-1, across the street, and we'll be looking forward to the pleasure of

seeing you there....on time, today."

Geo-Karis: "Today, thank you."

Fary: "2 o'clock."

Geo-Karis: "And then may I ask Robert McPartlin, the Chairman of the Public
Utilities if he's still meeting at 4 o'clock today in Room 122?"

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "A.. Public Utilities Committee will meet in Room A-1, its been

changed from 122-B to A-1, at 4 P.M. sharp."

Madigan: "Are there further announcements? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman." Mr. Greiman?"

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker...a....I noticed on the committee hearing for the Subcommittee on Landlord's Tenant on Judiciary I...a....the Clerk listed it as Monday the 28th, it in fact, has been posted for Tuesday the 29th. Its been posted appropriately and I assume that it is just an error in the printing of the calendar."

Madigan: "Thank you Mr. Greiman. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave to have House Bill 837, 1301, 1399, 1886, and 1891, heard at 2:00 P.M.,

April 24th, instead of at 7:00 P.M. on that date."

Madigan: "Mr. Schisler, the Parliamentarian informs me that we need a motion to that effect, do you so move?"

Schisler: "So move."

Madigan: "The motion is shall the posting rules be suspended to allow for the hearing of bills in committee, Mr. Schisler's Agriculture Committee. Would you read the numbers of the bills again, Mr. Schisler?"

Schisler: "House Bill...."

Madigan: "Slowly."

Schisler: "House Bill 837, 1301, 1399, 1886, and 1891."

Madigan: "All those in favor of the motion will signify by voting aye, all those opposed by voting no, the motion requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 ayes, 1 no, no voting present and the rules have been suspended to provide for the hearing of the bills enunciated by Representative Schisler. Further announcements? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all, I'd like to introduce a group that's from the Village of Stickney Forest view in Berwyn, there in the balcony, there down here from a district representated by Representative McAvoy and Terzich, and former Representative Lemke, who's wandering around here. And also by Representative...use to be in an area represented by Representative Sevcik, Klosak, and myself. So I would like to welcome them to the General Assembly."

Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Duester, arise?"

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, just for a very short mention of some service provided by a Page. One of our House Pages....a....has been an Intern with me and although he's off the floor getting lunch for somebody, I think, I did want to let all of you know that we've been distinguished by having a citizen of the Country of Sweden with us, Hans Fores, is an American Field Service Exchange Student, he's from Marvicka, Sweden, and he's been down here observing our General Assembly for these two days and I think, helping some of the other members and I did want to publically express appreciation and identify Hans Fores to the other members. Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there'll be a meeting of the Assignment Committee immediately after adjournment in Room 318.

I would like to announce that the restaurant will be open the rest of the day for snacks and meals and will be kept open during tonight's committee sessions, so that the restaurant in the Rathskeller will be kept open for the members. Now Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand in adjournment until 11:15 A.M. tomorrow morning."

Madigan: "All those in favor of the adjournment motion will signify by saying aye, opposed, the ayes have it and the House is hereby adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FORTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

APRIL 23, 1975



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

April 23, 1975

• •			
Page	Time	Name	Description
1	11:20	Speaker Redmond	House come to order
	11:20	Reverend Krueger	Prayer
	11:21	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
	11:21	Ebbeson	No Calanders
		Speaker Redmond	
*		Geo Karis	
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:23	Washburn	Hirschfield excused
		Speaker Redmond	
		Shea	Garmisa & Kowsibowski excused
2	11:23	Speaker Redmond	and the state of t
		Shea	Leave table House Bills 2217, 2543, 2748, 2947
		Speaker Redmond	
		Shea	With leave
	11:24	Speaker Redmond	Leave Granted
		Shea	Printers error
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:25	Speaker Redmond	Consent Calendar , Second Reading Second Legislative Day
		O'Brien	S. B. & H. B.
3	11:26	Speaker Redmond Dunn Speaker Redmond	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Redmond	
,		Shea	
4	11:27	Walsh	

April 23, 1975

<u>Page</u>	Time	Name	Description
4	11:27	Speaker Redmond	
	,	Polk	
	11:28	Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	
5		Speaker Redmond	;
	•	Shea	
	11:29	Speaker Redmond	
		O'Brien	2nd Reading
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	11:30	Lucco	Leave to table 2862, 1645 Dup.
•		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
		Brummett	Dr. Joseph Hoffman, SIU & 7 stud- (point of pers. priv.) ents
	11:31	Speaker Redmond	[5]
6	11:32	O'Brien	House Bill 315, 2nd Reading, Am. 1
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:33	Washburn	Gerald Weeks
		Speaker Redmond	
		∕0'Brien	House Bill 955, Choate 2nd read no comm. amend.
		Speaker Redmond	u a
		O'Brien,	Amend. #1
	11:34	Speaker Redmond	H. B. 955, Amend #1
		Ewing	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Choate	Show Amend.
		Ewing	
7		Speaker Redmond	
		Ewing	
		Speaker Redmond	

Page	Time	Name	Description
7	11:35	Hart	
		Speaker Redmond	Take Out
		O'Brien	HB 668, 2nd Read, 1 Comm. Amend.
		Speaker Redmond	
8	11:36	Deavers	also amend. #2, move to move am.1
	11:37	Speaker Redmond	Amend Adopted
		O'Brien	Floor Amdn. #2
		Speaker Redmond	
		Deavers,	Moves for adopt #2
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
	11:38	O'Brien	HB 102, 2nd reading, amend. added
		Speaker Redmond	1
		Peters	
	11:39	O'Brien	Amend 2, HB 102
	٠	Peters	Ask for ad. amend. #2
9	11:39	Speaker Redmond	Amend. adopted
		O'Brien	Floor Amend #3
	11:40	Deavers	Move for adoption
		Speaker Redmond	Amend #3 adopted, 3rd reading
		Hart	Bring back 114 to 2nd read
		Speaker Redmond	ок
	11:41	Hart	Leave to table Amend #2
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
10		Hart	Offer Adopt #3
		O'Brien	
		Speaker Redmond.	

٠.		•	
Page	Time	Name	Description
10	11:41	Byers	
•		Hart	·
	11:43	Speaker Redmond	Am.#3, HB 114 adopted, 3rd Read
	•	Speaker Redmond	Order of 3rd Reading
	11:43 Mad	igan in Chair	
		Fred	HB 77, 3rd Reading
		Madigan	
11	11:44	Deuster	
	11:45	Madigan	нв 77
		Washburn	Peter Crawfoot, Gilbert Bozie Dir., Brookfield Zoo
		Speaker Redmond	•
		Shea	Martha Dietrich & Madigans mother
	11:46	Madigan	
		Deuster	Hield
12	11:50	Madigan	
13	11:52	Chapman	
14	/	/ Madigan	
		Ebbeson	
		Madigan	
	11:53	Hudson	
		Chapman	
	11:55	Madigan	
		Porter	
15		Deuster	Question Sponsor
17	12:01	Madigan	
		Lundy	
	12:02	Speaker	
_			

April 23, 1976

	•		
Page	Time	Name	<u>Description</u>
18	12:02	Griesheimer	
İ	12:03	Madigan	
		Lucco	Move the previous question
i		Madigan	Main question put
,	12:04	Deuster	-
19	12:07	Madigan	
	12:08	Ebbeson	Explain vote, question
		Madigan	
		Ebbeson	•
20		Madigan	
1	12:09	Davis	Explain vote
		Madison	Explain vote
:		Deuster	Request take out of record
	12:10	Madigan	
		Matijevich	Can't take out of record- put on
	•		post poned consideration
21	12:12	Madigan	.
	12:13	Deuster	
		Madigan	
		Shea	
		Madigan	HB 77, post poned consideration
	12:14	Selcke	HB 435, 3rd Reading
		Madigan	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Lundy	
	12:17	Madigan	,
		Lauer	Yeld for questions
`		Lundy	Yield for questions

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

April 23, 1975

12:18

12:20

Time

Hame

Sims Madigan

Willer Madigan

Hudson

Lundy Madigan

Dunn

12:21 12:22

12:23 12:24

Lundy Madigan Gaines Madigan Caldwell Madigan 12:26 Campbell Lundy

12:27. 12:27 Madigan

12:28

12:29

12:30

12:31

12;33

12:35

Lundy Cunningham

Madigan

Madigan Ewell

Madigan

Skinner

Madigan

Madigan

Downs

Totten

Madigan

OK

To cease Bill 435

Move previous question

Description

Sponsor Yield?

Yes, Yield?

Yes

Yes

Yield

HB 435

	Page	Time	Name	Description
	31 .	12:37	Skinner	Leave to table 435
		12:38	Selcke	HB 121, 3rd Reading
			Madigan	
			Porter	
32			Madigan	HB 121, passed
		O'Brien		HB 536, 3rd Reading
		12:40	Madigan	
			Maragos	
33		12:42	Skinner	·
	•		Maragos	нв 536
			Madigan	
		12:43	Skinner	÷
			Madigan	
		12:43	Stone	•
		•	Madigan	
			Maragos	
			Madigan	
		12944	Maher	
			Madigan	
		12:45	Matijevich	HB 536, passed
34			O'Brien	HB 611 (Maragos)
			Madigan	
		٠	Maragos	
			Madigan	
			Hart	
35		12:46	Maragos	,
		12:49	Madigan	
			Maragos	

Page	Time	Name	Description
36	12:49	Madigan	HB 611, passed
	,	Madigan	нв 269
	12:50	Schrader	
		Madigan	•
	12:50	Telscer	нв 269
		Schrader	
		Madigan	
-	12:52	Lechowicz	Take out of record
7	12:53	Madigan	OK
•		McPartlin	нв 639
		Madigan	•
	12:54	Lechowicz	Question
		Schrader	•
		Madigan	
3	12:55	Duff	
		Madigan	Take out of record
-	12:56	Greisheimer	HB 111 post poned consid.
	12:57	/Madigan	HB 254
	12:58	Rayson	
		Madigan	
		Walsh	
	12:59	Rayson	
		Madigan	•
	13:00	Rayson	
	•	Madigan	
	13:01	GeoKaris	Diam.
		Rayson	Discussion
	13:03	Madigan	HB 254

April 23, 1975				
Page	Time	Name	Description	
41	13:04	Berman	'	
		Rayson		
		Madigan		
	13:04	Porter		
		Rayson		
42	13:07	Madigan		
		Hart		
1 3		Madigan		
,	13:08	Greiman		
	13:10	Madigan		
		Rayson	To Close	
14	13:12	Madigan	нв 254	
	13:12	Mugalian		
5	13:14	Madigan	-	
	Rayson	Rayson	To explain	
		Madigan		
	13:15	Bluthardt		
6	13:16 Madiga	an	HB 254 last	
		Madigan	нв 357	
		Maragos		
	13:18	Maragos	HB 357 proper rule be susp til Sat	
		Madigan	expiration date ext. til 4-26-75	
7		Maragos		
		Madigan	нв 111	
	13:20	Greisheimer		
8		Madigan		
·	13:23	Matijevich	Question	
_		Greisheimer		

April 23, 1975

49

1

Madigan Londrigan Madigan Greisheimer Madigan

13:27 13:28

Shea

Madigan

Chapman

Greisheimer

13:29 13:30 13:31

13:32

Greisheimer Maragos Taylor

Stiehl Madigan Kelly Laurino Yourell Deavers Rigney Polk

Ask leave to table 1202, 1206

Table 24-2464 Leave to table 2403 table HB 2327 table HB 2368 table HB 748 tabl- HB 501 table HJR 16

table HN

Bescription

Extend time til Sat?

Motion carries

Move that rules susp-held to Sat.

table HB 1451 & 1452 table HN 2380

1259,1260, 1261

Comm. Meeting table 2548 table HN 708-720

13:33 Lechowicz 13:34 Patrick Giorgi Madigan

April 23, 1975			
<u>Page</u>	Time	Name	Description
53	13:35	O'Brien	H.R.
		Giorgi	
		Madigan	Agreed Reso. adopt.
		O'Brien	H.R. 215 (Meyer)
	13:36	O'Brien	
54	13:38	Madigan	
	13:39	Yourell	Comm. on Counties & Twps.
		Katz	Rules Comm.
5 5	13:40	Matijevich	
		Madigan	·
•		Schneider	Mis-listing on Calander
		Madigan	
	·	Geo-Karis	
•		Madigan	
		Fary	Comm. on Labor
·	•	Madigan	·
	13:41	/ McPartlin	
56		Madigan	
	13:42	Greiman	
		Madigan	
	13:43	Schlissler	нв 837, 1301, 1399, 1866, 1891
		Madigan	post rules susp. to provide for
			Comm. Hearing, Motion carries
	13:44	Shea	Intro group from Berwyn
·		Madigan	
57	13:45	Deuster	Hans Fores- Sweden
		Madigan	
`		Shea	House adjourned