

APR 16 1975

1.

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the floor, please go to the gallery."

Shea: "The House will be in order. Father, will you say the invocation?"

Krueger: "In the name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. O'Lord, Bless this House to thy service, this day. I have been asked to say a prayer of thanksgiving for Representative Bernard Epton who has returned to the floor after being ill. Good Savior, we thank you that the illness of thy servant, Representative Bernard Epton is safely passed and he is able to rest in your abiding presence relaxing every tension, releasing every care and anxiety, receiving more and more of your healing life into every part of his being. In moments of pain, he turned to you for strength, in times of loneliness he felt your loving nearness and now O'Blessed Lord, grant that your life and love and joy may flow through him for the healing of others in your name. Psalm of Solomon, Second Chapter, the eleventh and twelfth verse. 'For low the winter has passed, the rain is over and gone, the flowers appear on earth, the time of the singing of the birds has come and the voice of the turtledove is heard in our land. Let us pray. We beseech thee O'Lord, remember for all our good and mercy..... excuse me. This is a prayer by Bishop Lancelot Andrews written in 1555 A.D. We beseech thee O'Lord, remember for all our good and have mercy upon all, O God. Remember every soul who being in any affliction, trouble or agony stands in need of thy mercy and help; all who are in necessity or stress, all who love or hate us. Thou Lord art the help of the helpless, the hope of the hopeless, the savior of them who are tossed and tempest, the haven of them who fail; be thou all to all. The glorest majesty, the Lord our God be upon us. Prosper thou the work of our hands upon us, oh prosper thou our handywork. Lord be thou within us to strengthen us without us, to keep us; above us to protect us; beneath

us to uphold us; before us to direct us; behind us to keep us from staying.... straying; round about us to defend us. Blessed be thou, O Lord, Our Father forever and ever. Amen."

Shea: "Roll Call for attendance. Constitutional Amendments, First Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #24, Choate. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the Electors of this state at the General Election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this resolution; a proposition to amend Paragraph 'A' of Section III of Article IX of the Constitution to read as follows: Article IX, Section III, Limitations of Income Taxation. (A) A tax on the measured.... are measured by income shall be at a non-gratuated rate. At any one time there may be no more than one such tax imposed by the state for state purposes on individuals in one such tax still imposed on corporations. In any such tax imposed upon individuals, the rate shall not be more than 1/2 the rate imposed on corporations. (B) Laws imposing taxes on are measured by income, may adopt by reference provisions of the law and regulations of the United States as they then exist or thereafter may be changed for the purpose of arriving at the amount of income upon which tax is imposed. Schedule: This amendment to the Constitution applies to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1976. First Reading of the Constitutional Amendment. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #29, Cunningham. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein; that there shall be submitted to the auditors of this state of the General Election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this resolution, a proposition to amend Section XII of Article VI of the Constitution to read as follows: Article VI, Section XII, Election of Tenure.

(A) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated in the Primary Election or by petition. Judges shall be elected at General or Judicial Elections as the General Assembly shall provide by law. Person eligible for the office of Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the Primary and at the General or Judicial Election by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions. (B) The office of a Judge shall be vacated upon his death, resignation or retirement or removable. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling the vacancy in that office. (C) The vacancy occurring in the office of Supreme, Appellate, or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of law, vacancies will be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. Person appointed to fill a vacancy sixty or more days prior to the next Primary Election to nominate Judges, shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next General or Judicial Election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than sixty days prior to the next Primary Election to nominate Judges, shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second General or Judicial Election following such appointment. Schedule: This amendment of Section XII, Article VI of the Constitution takes effect on January 1, following its approval by the Electors of this state. First Reading of the Constitutional Amendment."

Shea: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Jack O'Biren: "Senate Bill 540, Lucco. A Bill for an Act to amend the Exhibition Performing Arts Authority in Madison and St. Claire Counties. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 197, Lauer. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 103, Porter. A Bill for an Act to amend the Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "House Bills' Second Reading. House Bill 45."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 45. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the practice of beauty culture. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 114. Mr. Hart, are you ready to go with that? Mr. Hart, are you ready to go with 114? Take it out of the record. House Bill 119, Mr. Porter. Take that out of the record. House Bill 121, Mr. Porter. Do you want that called Mr. Porter."

Porter: "No."

Shea: "Take 121 out of the record. House Bill 315, Mr. Palmer. Is Mr. Palmer on the floor? Take that bill out of the record. House Bill 323, Mr. Griesheimer. Is Mr. Griesheimer on the floor? Take that bill out of the record. House Bill 402, Mr. Neff."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 402. Neff. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 402 on page 1 by deleting line 26 and inserting in lieu thereof the following, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff, to explain Committee Amendment No. 1."

Neff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment No. 1 was a...is a...an amendment that...a...a...changes line 26 to read as follows: roads are to be resurfaced and constructed of the same material that is used in the rest of the road building construction. This is a bill, the original bill says a bill fora...building....a....turnouts fora.... rural mailboxes."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Henderson moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. All those in favor will vote aye, those opposed nay, the ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Lundy on the

APR 16 1975

5.

floor? House Bill 478, Mr. Laurino. House Bill 488, Mr. Georgi."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 488, Georgi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, Ms. Geo-Karis indicates she has some amendments, she can't find her file and I have an amendment, Amendment No. 7, so if I call this out of the record, will I be assured that it will be called again today so we can hear it? On that, she's asking me to pull it out of the record so she can find her file, I'm willing to do that."

Shea: "Take the bill out of the record. House Bill 576, Mr. Griesheimer. Mr. Griesheimer on the floor? House Bill 584, Mr. Lundy. Do you want to call that bill? Take that out of the record. House Bill 587, Mr. Polk."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 587. Polk. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? There's a floor amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. McMaster. Amends House Bill 587 on page 1 by striking everything after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters, to explain a new bill."

McMasters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, technically, its not a new bill, Jerry, we wanted to add on an amendment to provide the sum of \$1,000,000 or so much thereof as may be necessary for the purpose of resurfacing nine miles of Illinois Route 82 in Henry County from Cambridge, Illinois, South to its junction with Illinois Route 17. It was the advice of the staff that in order to this properly, we would strike all of the bill but the enacting clause. We did put back in as Item A the original bill for

\$2,225,000 as called for in Mr. Polk's bill. And its merely a way of making it a proper bill, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would be willing to answer any questions in regard to the amendment that we are adding on for the highway in Henry County."

Shea: "The Gentleman has adopted Amendment No. 1. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I first raise a parliamentary inquiry, and would inquire of the Chair if Amendment No...."

Shea: "Proceed, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I would inquire of the Chair if Amendment No. 1 is germane. The bill, as introduced, appropriated the sum of \$2,225,000 for the resurfacing of a particular highway. By this amendment, the additional sum of \$1,000,000 is being appropriated for a separate highway. Now I recall on previous....a previous session, Mr. Speaker, when there was an attempt on the part of a member to amend a bill for the improvement of a particular stream or creek and by the amendment, a new stream or creek was being appropriated, it was found to be ungermane. I suggest that that would be a good ruling, Mr. Speaker, because we have here, on the floor, at Second Reading, \$1,000,000 being added to a bill for a different purpose than that which...a...for which the bill was originally introduced and heard in the Appropriations Committee."

Shea: "In regards to your parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Schlickman, does not the appropriations still go to the Department of Transportation for the purposes of roads? Turn Mr. Schlickman on please?"

Schlickman: "Do I understand, then, that in your opinion, are you ruling...a...."

Shea: "I asked that question, I haven't made a ruling yet. I asked you an inquiry."

Schlickman: "What is your question?"

Shea: "My inquiry was not...was not the amendment such that the appropriations still went to the Department of Revenue..."

er....I mean, to the Department of Transportation appropriating money for the repair of roads?"

Schlickman: "Well, I would respond, Mr. Speaker, by saying that all bills appropriate money to a certain agency of State government. That's the vehicle for the expenditure of the money. What I think is important with regards to the bill is not the vehicle by which the money is distributed, but for the purpose a...which it is being distributed and we have in this bill, by the synopsis, and by the Section 1 of the bill, as introduced, the appropriation of a certain amount of money to be given to the Department of Transportation, but for the particular purpose of resurfacing certain, a certain strip of road. And I simply suggest by an amendment adding an addition \$1,000,000 appropriation for the improvement for another strip of road that we do not have germane and furthermore, I don't see its good policy to be adding this amount of an appropriation on a bill at Second Reading without its first having been considered by the Appropriations Committee. Its just too doggone much money."

Shea: "Its the opinion of the Chair that the amendment is germane. The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters, to explain the amendment."

McMasters: "Did I understand you, Mr. Speaker, to say that it was germane? I couldn't hear you for the noise. Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I explained that what the amendment was for and let me say that this specific nine mile section of road is, in my estimation, just about the most deplorable road, as far as condition is concerned, in the State of Illinois. Certainly in my district and we have, I think, probably as many miles of bad road as any district in the State. It is very bad. We have people complaining about their ability to keep their automobile and trucks together when driving over it. It is something that I feel needs very very much to be taken care of and this is the only way we can get it done. I'm sorry I did not have the opportunity to propose the amendment in

Appropriations Committee. Since it was not my bill, of course, I did not know the bill was moving at the time, and otherwise, I certainly would have been happy to present the amendment in the Appropriations Committee. I feel that it is a very necessary thing for the people of Henry County and I certainly urge all of you to support this Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "A...parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Could we have a copy of the amendment?"

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, have the amendments been distributed on the desks?"

Lechowicz: "I checked five desks over here, Mr. Speaker, and I can't find any amendment."

Shea: "The Clerk tells me the amendment is on the desk, Mr. Lechowicz. Would you please see that Mr. Lechowicz gets a copy of the amendment? So he can look at it, and then I'll come back to you, Mr. Lechowicz. The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Same question, Mr. Speaker, we don't have the amendment either. I'd like to see it."

Shea: "Mr. Neff, I'm going to take this bill, with your permission, out of the record and so such point as we make sure the amendments are on the desks, is that agreeable with you sir? Mr. McMasters? Mr. Neff, the position of this bill, now, is that its been called, it is on Second Reading, Amendment NO. 1 has been offered, it has been ruled germane, and we are going to make sure the members have the amendment and then we'll come back to it, so take it out of the record, will you Mr. Clerk? The Gentleman from Grundy, the Minority Leader."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it gives me a great deal of pleasure to introduce a delegation of students from Lincoln Community High-School.....a....Representativeby a Representatives Lauer, Deavers, and Bradley of the 44th Legislative District. Students, would you rise please?"

Shea: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, somebody left some keys on my desk in a black semi-alligator container and they may be looking for them, so I'll leave them here."

Shea: "Thank you. Mr. Polk, did you wish recognition for something? Your light's flashing. Mr. Dunn, did you wish recognition? House Bill 590. Mr. Griesheimer."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 590. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor. Third Reading. House Bill 642. Mr. DiPrima."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 642. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 826. Mr. R. K. Hoffman."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 826. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Retail Installment Sales Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 826 on line 15 by changing 1976 to 1977."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this was a requested amendment adopted in Committee and I would move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gent....er...any further discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. All those in favor will say aye. Those opposed will say nay. The ayes have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 827." A

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 827. A Bill for an Act to amend the Interest Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 827 on page 3, line 35, by changing 1976 to 1977."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, this is a similar amendment it just changes the date and I move for its adoption."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. All those in favor will say aye, those opposed will say nay, the ayes have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 385."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 885. VanDuyne. A Bill for an Act to make a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the Floor. Third Reading. House Bill 912."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 912. Flinn."

Shea: "The Gentleman . . . is Mr. Flinn on the Floor? Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 944."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 944. McPartlin. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Legislative Audit Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. On the order of House Bills' Second Reading, we'll go through the list one more time. Mr. Hart, do you want to call your Bill today? Take that Bill out of the record. Mr. Porter you have two Bills. Do you want either one of them called? Take the Bills out of the record. House Bill 315, Mr. Palmer."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 315. Palmer. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mechanic's Lien Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Is Mr. Palmer on the Floor? No, not you Senator Palmer, Representative Palmer. Take the Bill out of the record. The Gentleman from Anna, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. If the membership would give me their attention for just a second. You want to rap the gavel one more time, Jerry? If the membership would listen closely because it does effect the membership. On your desk this week, at the courtesy of people from Southern Illinois who are interested in promoting Southern Illinois from a recreational and tourism standpoint, you have found a sheet inviting you to a real fine tour of Southern Illinois, this weekend. Ms. Jane Atkins, Representative Dunn, or any other

of the other members from the legislature from Southern Illinois, would be most happy to take your name so that we will know how many people are going to be in attendance for this tour. As you know, we have told you that it will be entirely and totally free as far as cost is concerned. I might point out to you that in addition to the tour, you will have the opportunity of seeing the peach blossoms and the apple blossoms this weekend because they are in full bloom at this time. In addition to yourself, your wife, or a staff aide, if you so desire, is invited, totally free of cost. The Speaker has advised me that he has a roll call and if you do desire to go, go to the Clerk's desk and check your name on the roll call so that we will know how many will be in attendance, or you can contact Ms. Jane Atkins, who is here, and will be sitting by the Sergeant at Arms for the proper arrangements as far as motel-hotel and transportation is concerned."

Shea: "Is there anything else, Mr. Choate? Turn Choate on."

Choate: "Just to say, Mr. Speaker, that if you do not avail yourself of the opportunity to check the list, its possible that the arrangements will not be made for you."

Shea: "In other words, the bus will leave without you." The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn. Do you have any comment."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I want to concur with Representative Choate. I'm please to say that we are looking forward to all of you coming down and seeing the peach blossoms. Go and see the beautiful redhead sitting over here by Representative Choate and Representative Hart. Give her your name if you would rather give it to her than to me or Representative Choate or some of the others from Southern Illinois, and maybe you caught the announcement, if you can't come, your aid or the legislative assistant's going to be angry if you don't invite them to take your place. And the invitation also extends to the men at the Clerk's desk around the podium up there. I would like to see all of your fellows come. Thank you."

Shea: "Gentlemen if you're going, Ladies and Gentlemen, please

come down to the Clerk's office or see the lovely lady next to Mr. Hart and Mr. Choate. House Bills' Third Reading.

Is Mr. Palmer on the floor? Mr. Georgi? "

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, you are still...."

Shea: "Second Reading...I....did I say Third Reading?"

Georgi: "Yes, you said Third."

Shea: "You've got me so nervous, Zeke."

Georgi: "I didn't mean to do it, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "House Bills' Second Reading. House Bill 323. Mr.

Griesheimer."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 323. A Bill for an Act to designate a Senior Citizens Day. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I again, request to have this held on Second Reading. We are having particular problems with this bill in my district and until those are worked out, I'll have to request that it be held."

Shea: "Mr. Griesheimer, this bill expires Friday, I think.

If its not moved by Friday, it dies."

Griesheimer: "I understand."

Shea: "Do you want to move it to Third Reading and, perhaps, bring it back?"

Griesheimer: "Alright, Mr. Speaker, under those circumstances, I would agree with you. If the other Representatives from the 31st district are present, I just want them to know that we'll move this to Third Reading on that basis."

Shea: "House Bills' Second Reading, 323. Read the bill, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 323. A Bill for an Act to designate a day to be observed as Senior Citizens Day. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill 323 on page 1, line 1, by deleting the second Sunday of October and inserting in lieu thereof the following, the third Sunday in August."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could address a query to the sponsor of the bill? Can we hold over that amendment until Friday? Would you agree with me to go on Second Reading then?"

Griesheimer: "Yes."

Geo-Karis: "Then I would like to have my amendment held over until Friday at the same time that the bill is to be considered."

Shea: "You wish to withdraw your amendment?"

Geo-Karis: "No, no. I'd like, if I may, I would like to hold over the amendment until Friday when he will take it back to Second Reading, and then we'll decide if it then goes to Third. Is that alright?"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that what you want to do is advance this bill today to Third Reading which would require the amendment to be withdrawn and then as we work out the amendment with the constituents and the residents of the district, then we can bring it back to Second Reading on Friday, or any day thereafter, and add whatever amendment has been agreed upon."

Shea: "Well Mr. Griesheimer, my suggestion is if you are going to amend the bill that I think it ought to be done by tomorrow so that the members have an opportunity to look at the amendments for one legislative day before we call it on Third Reading. I am suggesting that, perhaps, we put it on the order of Third Reading, today, because this calendar is going to start to get crowded and, perhaps, we won't go to Second Readings on some days."

Griesheimer: "Alright, I agree to that, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Do you wish to withdraw your amendment, Ms. Geo-Karis?"

Geo-Karis: "A...if I can have an agreement with my sponsor of the bill that he'll let this bill go to Second Reading then he can put it on then."

Griesheimer: "I won't object to having the bill brought back to Second Reading for amendments, but its my understanding from the Speaker that it must be done tomorrow."

Geo-Karis: "Alright, thank you."

Geo-Karis: "I'll withdraw the amendment this time and bring it back again tomorrow."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Do you wish to, Mr. Schlickman, on a point of inquiry, I see you with the Rule Book there? Turn Mr. Schlickman on."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. My inquiry was satisfied by your statement that any amendments to be offered to this bill are to be done tomorrow so that they can be transcribed, typed, and ready for Friday's passage."

Shea: "I would like to have that happen very much, but as you know, sometimes in the rush of trying to accommodate members, we have to run bills up to Enrolling and Engrossing and call them again the same day, and we do have a high-speed typewriter up there that can turn out about 350 lines a minute or something, so it can be done very quickly, but I think that in order to accommodate the members, the Speaker has asked that if we couldn't get them amended and leave the amendments on the desk."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm most appreciative of your accommodating spirit."

Shea: "Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Lundy on House Bill 435, do you want to call that today?"

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 435. Lundy. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to compensation to the members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "This bill has already been read a second time and has it not, been brought back from Third Reading for the purposes of an amendment? As I remember, Amendments 1, 2, and 3, were adopted and went to Third Reading. It was brought back, Amendment No.Was it, 1, was defeated? Mr. Schneider, would you please sit down? Mr. Lundy, as I remember, Amendment 1 was defeated, 2 and 3 were adopted, and then Amendment No. 4 was adopted. Is that the posture of the bill? We are now at the point....are there any further amendments to be offered to the bill?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 5. Lechowicz. Amends House Bill

as amended, on page 1, by deleting lines 21 through 32 and so forth."

Shea: "Would we have some order in the chamber? You can hardly hear the members talking. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on Amendment No. 5."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I believe everyone is quite familiar with House Bill 435, in its present state, in Amendment No. 5, I would ask that the Clerk read the amendment so everybody knows exactly what they're voting for and then I'll explain it. Its a very short amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amend...."

Shea: "Hold on, Mr. Clerk. Will the doorkeeper please get the people off the floor that are not entitled to the floor? Read the amendment Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 5. Amends House Bill 435 as amended, on page 1, by deleting lines 21 through 32 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: for purposes of this section, a member may include in his authorization, the appointment of one administrative aide, who in addition to the other qualifications and limitations stated in this section, is employed at least 20 hours per week for a period not less than three months. A person so appointed shall be treated as a State employee, not subject to the Personnel Code but qualify for retirement, insurance, and other fringe benefits provided to full-time employees to the House of Representatives in the Senate. Upon the appointment of an administrative aide, a member shall notify the State Comptroller in writing of the salary and length of service for which the administrative aide will be employed. Upon receiving such notification, the State Comptroller shall make all required obligations of funds in order to assure the payment of the person so appointed."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment No. 5 would do the following things. First, it would require that an administrative aide appointed under this Act, shall be appointed for a period of not less than three months. Now the reason why this provision was in-

cluded in this so a person does not come on or off the payroll on a consistent basis effecting the insurance rates that the State of Illinois is currently paying. It does not prevent the termination of an undesirable employee, but it does prevent a person from being hired for just a few weeks in order to obtain retirement and insurance benefits. Second thing that it does, it deletes any reference to an additional \$12,000 being available for paying an administrative aide, you have your \$12,000 that you are presently receiving to be expended as you see fit. It requires the member to notify the Comptroller when an administrative aide is hired so that the Comptroller can obligate the necessary funds to pay the aide's salary. This is the amendment that we have been discussing, I think its the proper approach that we should be following to insure the protection of the member, and to also insure the protection of the employee who is working for the member. I ask your favorable consideration for Amendment No. 5."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman. "

Berman: "Thank you Ladies and Gentlemen. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would hope that we could get some attention here so that we know exactly what we're....what we're voting on. This amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen, means the way you vote on this will determine whether you've got \$12,000 available to use for administrative aides or whether you do not have an additional \$12,000 available to hire administrative aides. Now some of the points that Representative Lechowicz brought out in this amendment, I think are good, but the essence of the amendment is a simple one. The way the bill stands right now, it provides that there is \$12,000 available to each of us in our regular voucher account plus an additional \$12,000 to be used to pay one or more administrative aide as State employees so that we would have, as the bill stands now, without Amendment No. 5, we would have \$24,000 available to do with as we see fit, including the hiring of administrative aides.

Amendment 5 changes that and eliminates the second \$12,000, so that we, if you will vote yes on Amendment 5, you're saying that all that we want to have available is \$12,000, some of which could be used to pay administrative aides, some of which could be used for any of the other purposes that we presently use our money for. Now speaking for myself and my experience in the use of voucher accounts and home-office needs, I think that the bill as it stands now is a good approach to allowing us to do a better job back in servicing our constituency. I know that each of us have innumerable requests for problems that arise in handling constituent problems that the bureaucracy of State government, with researching of bills, with the servicing of the problems back home. I could use that extra \$12,000 very well to hire one or more legislative aides to service the complaints, the requests for service, the problems that my constituents have back in my home district. So I'm going to take a position in voting against Amendment No. 5 because I know, speaking for myself, that I can better service my constituency in having a full-time one or more, full-time legislative aide to service my constituents with their problems. I know, also, that the \$12,000 that I've used in the past, I can put to very good use. I use that \$12,000 in one year just to send out two or three news letters to my constituents. So I'm going to vote against Amendment 5 so that I have available \$12,000, for example, to pay home office rent and telephone and send out news-letters and \$12,000 for administrative aide's salaries.

That's why I'm going to vote against Amendment No. 5. "

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you. Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Mr. Hudson, will you wait one minute. Mr. Doorkeeper, would you please get the unauthorized people off the floor of the House? I can see at least a dozen from up here.

I'm sorry Mr. Hudson, will you proceed?"

Hudson: "Would the sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz indicates he will yield."

APR 16 1975

18.

Hudson: "Ted, I'm only seeking to be sure about...about the amendment. Would it, in fact, do what Representative Berman says it will do? That is, would limit this to \$12,000 and not an additional \$12,000."

Lechowicz: "The amendment, as it is proposed, you would have only \$12,000 in lieu of \$24,000 that the...the way the bill stands now."

Hudson: "Right, well I think...."

Lechowicz: "One increase in it for the taxpayers in the State of Illinois, its how you allocate your money, its the responsibility of the members of the General Assembly and I think that's our responsibility of providing services, that's our responsibility to make sure its done."

Hudson: "I...I'm quite in accord. I have one other question. Now if the amendment were adopted, would it mean that we would be...we wouldn't be...would it mean that we would be, in a sense, forced to have a legislative aide, or do we have the option to doa....handle that money as we are now?"

Lechowicz: "You'd have an option."

Hudson: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the sponsor of this amendment yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Ebbesen: "A..Representative Lechowicz, a...this particular amendment....a...would you say that in general, this amendment would bring into conformity the reason....a.....a... decisions of the Internal Revenue Service in looking over this entire situation as to the procedure on how these funds are handled?"

Lechowicz: "That's the entire intent of the amendment. Yes it does."

Ebbesen: "Thank you and with that I would just...a...like to say this. I think we should be doing first things first, we shouldn't be talking about more dollars, but what we should be doing is what Representative Lechowicz is attempting to do with this amendment and that is to come into conformity

with the Internal Revenue Services recommendations as far as the procedure in the deductions being made by the Comptroller's office, and I certainly for one, would encourage everyone to give this amendment its yes vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the sponsor would yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the nature of my question or comment is that from the prospective and standpoint of a freshman member of this General Assembly, I'm really surprised at the work load, its much greater than I have been lead to believe, and I'd been lead to believe that the work load was heavy, and I think that it is incumbent upon us to face our responsibilities, both as veterans and freshmen, that we should have the tools we need. We should have the tools available to us to do a good job for our citizens, to do a good job for the taxpayers. I agree that we should not be frivolous, that we should not be wasteful with the taxpayers' money. We should be conservative, we should be cautious. But when it comes down to providing us with the tools we need, I'm confident that my people back home will support me in getting the adequate staff, the adequate facilities that we need to do a good job. Where we must really bite the bullet, where we must really be conservative, where we must really be cautious is when we face these appropriation bills that are coming up here in this General Assembly this spring. There's where we can really serve the taxpayers by holding the line. If we hamstring ourselves and don't get ourselves the tools we need to do the job to be good legislators, to be Representatives for our people back home, then we are doing our constituents a disservice. I intend to vote no on this amendment and I hope that we are all provided with a legislative aide next year if we see fit and if we realize the need for one. The bill does not demand that we a...a... each have an aide, it merely is permissive. Thank you Mr.

Speaker."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ordinarily I would be supporting this amendment because I think...."

Shea: "Mr. Lauer, may I interrupt you? Will I....please, our guests in the gallery, would you please have seats? If you please, please sit down in the gallery? Proceed Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, normally I would be speaking in favor of an amendment of this sort, because this amendment does appreciably improve this bill. But the thing of it is, that on its face, the bill, itself, is so bad and its such a rip-off on the people of this State that I would like to see this amendment defeated because the bill, in and of itself, is so bad that it ought to fail on its own lack of merit. I strongly solicit a no vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I arise to oppose this amendment. Practicly speaking, its a farce. Number one, it doesn't do anything that the membership cannot do now or that isn't provided for in the bill that's originally, as it was originally. Number two, on one hand the amendment says we can have an administrative assistant, parenthetically speaking, we can already, but then it denies to us the money to have an administrative assistant. Now each of us knows that \$12,000 isn't sufficient to provide for an office, to provide for the materials, the services that are necessary for maintaining the office, to provide us with the personnel, secretary-wise. \$12,000 isn't sufficient for that. And then, to provide as this amendment does, yeh, you can have an administrative assistant, but you're not going to have the money by which to secure the administrative assistant. I suggest, respectfully, that it is a farce, it would return the amount to be appropriated to us for the operation of the home-district

office to the present level of \$12,000. I respectfully suggest that it be defeated not only because it is a farce, but because it is completely consistent....a....inconsistent, inconsistent with the bill as originally introduced and amended in good faith."

Shea: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to introduce one of our former colleagues, Representative Lemke, who is now Senator Lemke. I...I think Senator Lemke had a new first the other day. He introduced some legislation in the House, had it heard in the House, sent it to the Senate, and then ended up being the Senate sponsor of the same legislation. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I move the previous question."

Shea: "Are there any further....er...the question is...Mr.

Leinenweber, I've got one more person to speak, if you'd hold that. Mr. Lundy, who's bill it is would like to speak and then I'm going to let Mr. Lechowicz close." Mr. Lundy?"

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a....I was happy to leave this bill on Second Reading so that the author of this amendment would have a chance to put before the House what I know is a good faith effort to give the House a choice on this important policy question. And that question is, should an administrative assistant for a member of the legislature be paid out of our existing contingent expense allowance, or should we authorize additional money not for the contingent expense allowance, but to be paid directly to the employee by the State so that the money never goes through the hands of the legislator, and at the same time, authorize that employee to benefit from the fringe benefits available to other State employees and to handle the problem of withholding of State and federal income tax. Now I am opposing this amendment. I oppose it for a number of reasons which I will try to state briefly. Number one, if the amendment is adopted, those members who have administrative assistants and wish to designate them under this a....a...under this bill, so that they will receive fringe benefits, will, in effect, be receiving less in the con-

APR 18 1975

22.

tingent expense allowance than they receive now. Because in addition to paying the salary of that aide out of the contingent expense allowance, they will also have to pay the fringe benefits, and if you pay an assistant anywhere over the level of \$6000 a year, your fringe benefits will just about eat up the remainder of your contingent expense allowance, so if you vote for this amendment, in effect, you are voting for a smaller contingent expense allowance than you have now, because you will be forced to pay fringe benefits out of it, which you do not have to do at this time. Secondly, both...both the original bill and the present amendment take care of the Internal Revenue Service problem. That is the problem of the State refusing to withhold State and federal income tax from the salary of employees. You don't need to vote for this amendment in order to get that result because that's in the original bill. Finally, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me the most important issue here is whether the individual members will be permitted to recognize the difference in their individual needs, in the needs of their individual districts and localities, and their differences in position here in the House. I recognize that the sponsor of this amendment offers it in good faith. He does not believe that members need additional money to pay an administrative aide. I disagree, we aren't all leadership. We aren't all committee chairmen. We don't all have the additional resources that it takes to meet the needs of our constituents. My view is that that's a decision that ought to be made individually by every member and every member will certainly be accountable for it to his own constituents. And if you defeat this amendment, what you will be saying is, we'll leave the decision on whether to hire an administrative aide, yes, at some additional salary, but although it never goes through the member's hands, to the individual member if he feels that his constituents need that additional service, if he feels he can justify it as a political matter, then it's up to him. But I say to those members who don't feel that its

necessary for themselves, don't prevent your fellow legislators from doing what they consider an adequate job for their own constituents. Let us have the choice to have adequate tools to do the job for our own people. If you don't think you need it, well and good, then don't hire one, and if you want to make a political issue of it in the next election, but don't prevent us from having the tools we need to give the services we think our constituents want, to those constituents. I urge a no vote on this amendment."

Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz to close on Amendment No. 5."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to respond to some of the items that were raised on this floor. Where a member describes this amendment as a farce, I would hope that the member who considers \$283,000 of taxpayers' money a farce, would take a close look at this amendment. And in turn, he's talking about having the protection of the employees that are presently...we have on our payrolls, yes, the question here is legislative intent, protection of that employee, and in turn, with the existing \$12,000 that we have at our disposal. Not an additional \$12,000 as it is requested in this bill. Now you're talking about a period of economic uncertainty and in turn, one of the first bills we come down here for, you're requesting additional \$12,000 at your disposal. Well, what about that taxpayer? What about that man who's been on unemployment and can't even get a check? That's the question of this amendment. That's the question of legislative perogatives and intent. Whether you are for working within an existing budget or you're going to increase it at your own disposal. I would hope that the membership of this House would vote in favor of Amendment No. 5 and show a little bit of fiscal responsibility to the taxpayers of Illinois."

Shea: "The Gentleman has offered Amendment No. 5. All those in favor will say aye, those opposed say nay. All in favor say aye. All those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed

APR 16 1975

24.

vote nay. The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "A...simply that a....I would....a...in explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the taxpayers are paying enough. We have to use our own abilities too, and our common sense, and I certainly vote against this amendment because I don't see the need of increasing anymore of our money for our legislative allowances. We get enough criticism as it is, its high time that we remember it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Shea: "Mr. Davis, will you wait a minute? Now Ladies and Gentlemen, this bill probably effects each and every one of us very much. I think we ought to have some courtesy to our fellow legislators, so if we please, would the members be in their seats during the explanation of the vote. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis, to explain his vote."

Davis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a few days ago, I stood on this floor with a bill for a deficiency appropriation for the unemployed and that's what general assistance is, for the lame, for the old, and the blind, and disabled and I told you that we needed \$68,000,000. I also told you that in the end before we leave this session, we would probably be asked for a deficiency appropriation of upwards of \$175,000,000 and it might be \$200,000,000, a deficiency appropriation. I told you that most of it was brought on by unemployment. I was questioned thoroughly. I had to bring the Director in here, and we went over this thing with a fine-toothed comb, but thank God you didn't kill it. And I've got to come back here again, again do you hear, and ask for a deficiency appropriation that will probably amount of \$175,000,000. Its not that I don't think you deserve this, its because I don't think we can stand it. Let's keep this \$12,000,000 that we get and not add any

additional on to it because you are going to be asked to vote for a deficiency appropriation that might be \$200,000,000 before we leave this session, and for that reason, I'm going to vote aye."

Shea: "Mr. Ebbesen to explain his vote."

Ebbesen: "A..yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I couldn't agree more with an amendment that this particular one here. I think that Representative Lechowicz has addressed himself to what the Internal Revenue Service has addressed itself to in making certain suggestions and recommendations to State government on these contingency allowances. And I really think that we're talking about here, is addressing ourselves to proper procedure that absolutely must be defined and spelled out and we are accomplishing that very task in this amendment. We shouldn't be talking about increased dollars, but we should be talking about proper procedure for the protection of the legislator, the aide, and everyone else involved, and I would encourage any one who is voting no on this to get over on the green light and those who are voting present and not voting, to get on a yes vote. Its a very very important amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, may we have a bit of order please? Mr. Speaker, I'm voting no on Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 435 for several reasons. Now quite a few of the speakers who are supporting this amendment have stated that the taxpayers would resent us passing this kind of legislation unincumbered by Amendment No. 5. I submit this question to you, and in particular, Mr. Speaker, I'm talking to the newer members of this House. Do you honestly believe that the taxpayers want you to sit here in total ignorance of what is happening simply because there is no way in God's earth for you to read every one of these bills, or even the synopsis of every one of these bills, to read all of your correspondence to every one of these bills, to read all of your correspondence, to keep up with the multitude of correspondence we're getting through the mail, to try to

follow the docket, to look at the agendas for each committee, to be briefed on the bills before your committee, does anybody honestly think that the taxpayer of this State wants us to sit down here in total ignorance of what's happening? I suggest to you that they do not. Now the newer members may seemed confused with all this business we've been getting in the last few weeks, but I submit further to you that the older members are in no better shape that the new ones are. We simply don't know what's going on and we don't know what's going on because we don't have the where-with-all to do the job. There are 2900 bills filed in this House. Approximately 1500 filed in the Senate. Does anyone here dare say he knows what over a hundred of those bills contain? I doubt it. Can you keep up with the bills in your committee? No way. If you vote for Amendment No. 5, you're simply saying you can do the job without legislative assistance. And I defy any one to say that. This is my sixth term. I try to get volunteer aides from the various schools. I try to get volunteers from my community. We simply cannot keep up with this work. I suggest to you that \$12,000 per member of the General Assembly, is a small price to pay so that the people of this State can rest assured that their legislators who are making laws dealing with their tax dollars, their mental health, their education, their criminal code, etc., and so forth, know what the devil we're doing. I suggest we strongely defeat Amendment No. 5. Take this bill to Third and vote out this. As Mr. Lundy said, you can justify a legislative aide in your district. I'm opposed to Amendment No. 5 and I vote no."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "A...Lake, Mr. Chair....Mr. Speaker."

Jack O'Brien: "Speaker Redmond in the Chair."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman from Cook that I respect so much, Representative Davis, talked about deficiency in public aid and the need

for that appropriations and I'm going to support that appropriation. But that's also the reason why we should defeat this amendment. I'm from Aurora and the affluent district, yet the requests that I get from people who aren't receiving their public aid checks, their unemployment compensation checks, I'm the only one they have to go to. They don't get any reply from the State of Illinois when they go to the Waukegan office, or the Chicago office. They come to the legislator. Now the \$12,000 that we get for home-office expense barely covers the rent, the part-time secretary I have, the telephone expense, and the leasing of the typewriters, stationery, stamps, and so on. To have an administrative assistant requires an additional appropriation. We are just kidding ourselves if we think it doesn't. The very fact that we have the public aid emergency, the recession, the unemployment compensation emergency is why we need, why we need this administrative assistant, and why we should defeat this amendment because we can't possibly handle these requests from people in our district who haven't received their checks, who can't even buy food stamps because they haven't received their unemployment compensation. If it weren't for the State legislators that they go to to help them get their checks, they'd be starving back in their districts now, quietly, waiting for the State Department of Public Aid or the State Department of Unemployment Compensation to come to their aid. We should defeat this amendment, we should be entitled to an administrative assistant. If you don't need one, then don't hire them, you don't have to use every bit of money appropriated to you. If you don't want an administrative assistant, don't hire one. Those of us that need one to service our constituents should be allowed to hire one and I would like to see this amendment defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "I want to rise to a point of personal privilege because my name has been mentioned in debate."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Davis: "Now the first thing I want to do is say that these Gentlemen who are for this amendment, so far as your educational background is concerned, mine doesn't compare with it. I'm just a poor boy born down, way down behind the pond, but I'll tell you this. I'll submit my argument to the cool, calm judgement of the people of this State, and I'll ask them whether or not they want to give us an extra, and additional administrative aide, or whatever you want to call it, at \$12,000 a year, or whether or not they want to stand with me and say that because there is a great deficiency appropriation coming up in this House and some of these same men who argued for this additional \$12,000, will be raising sand in this House when we ask for something for the poor and for the needy and for the blind and for the disabled. This is what I'm saying, and I'm saying that I believe the people in this State will sustain me and say that if we have to make a choice, we'll say to you, give that extra \$12,000 to the unemployed before the blind and the needy. I'm not afraid to debate it any where, any color of audience, any kind of audience, I'm not afraid to debate it in any of the big north-side districts, not even in the suburbs. I'll tell you this, if you will find out in your district, a lot of people, who will work part-time, part-time, a lot of people will work part-time, lot of them need a little part-time jobs, you'll be able to handle it with the \$12,000 you're getting now and you'll be able to help me with the deficiency appropriation which I will have to shoulder the responsibility to help get for these poor people and I'm happy, I'm happy to try to kill this extra...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and members of the House, in all deference to my friend and colleague from Chicago, Representative Lechowicz, in explaining my no vote on this amendment, I must say that I'm as aware of fiscal responsibility as anybody in this House, but I think if there are Representatives in this House that generally want

to do a better job for their constituents and need a full-time administrative aide to do that job, that we should provide that money and that aide to those Representatives. If you don't need it, if you don't want it, then don't take it. Then turn the money down. But if you want to do a better job, if you want to be more responsible to the people in your district who need you and make demands on you and Representative Davis, I have as many welfare recipients and members on public aid in my district and in the uptown neighborhood as, perhaps, anybody in this House, and so I am aware of the fiscal responsibilities, but I need that money and I think the people who want to do a better job and hire a full-time administrative aide because of the demands of the people in their district, need that money, and I think that if we want to do a better job in this House and be more responsible to the people in our districts, you have to, and we all should, vote against this amendment so that we can appropriate \$12,000 for a full-time administrative aide."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it would seem to me that Representative Lechowicz, in presenting this amendment, is addressing himself, not only to correct procedure as far as the Internal Revenue Service is concerned, but also is recognizing his responsibility in the area of fiscal responsibility. If there is one single cause, in my opinion, of this inflationary spiral that we are involved in at the present time, it is the ever mounting cost of government. If there is one thing that the taxpayers in my district would like to see cut in any way possible, it is the cost of government. They want it stopped, they want some kind of a line drawn and I believe that here is an occasion where we can draw the line on expenses, yes, perhaps, to our own disadvantage, but I say to you if you do have to go back to your districts and say that my service is a little less than what it should be, then so be it. I think your... the people in your constituency will understand it. And the

last people in the world that are going to understand this escalation in our expenses are the very people that we've been talking about, the people on welfare who may be late in getting their checks, the people who are having a hard time making ends meet, and then to sit there and watch us raise and raise and raise those things, seemingly to our advantage, I think its wrong, I think they will support us even though we are less able to respond to all of their many needs than we are, they will understand this, all we have to do is tell them that we are trying to save some money. We are trying to bring this in line, keep things in line, I think they will understand and be responsive to this. I think they are looking for it. This is not a farce, its a step in the....its a step in the direction of responsibility when it comes to governmental spending and I say I heartily support the amendment and I hope that the rest of our colleagues here will see fit to vote for this effort to be responsible to those who are footing the bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you Mr....Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, referred to the confusion of newer members. Harold, its not only the new members who get confused. Because it is a case of I must stand here and take my legislative foot out of my mouth because I misread the amendment by a full 180 degrees. I'm going to have to now recap and request an aye vote on this amendment because it is a good amendment and does stop 12,000 buck rip-off of the taxpayers of this State."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, Representative Brinkmeier was inadvertently recorded as being present on this roll call. His wife is being operated on today and he is not with us and I would request the record indicate that he has been excused from attendance today and this roll call be corrected to show that he is not present in the chambers."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised that the Clerk has picked up that mistake and that the record is accurate. Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it sort of unfortunate we are spending an hour's worth of time on this subject, and yet, in some ways, its not, because its probably the most important subject that will face us. This involves whether or not we are going to properly represent our constituents. And if I had to fault Representative Lundy for one thing, and I'm not going to fault him, the only mistake he's made in this bill is that he should have put it in a lame-duck session, because if he'd have put it in in a lame-duck, half the green lights would have voted red on the amendment and everybody would have voted green on the bill because they don't mind voting for pay raises or increase in staff as long as its after the election. And I think the sponsor of the bill is to be commended for standing up during the regular part of the session, alright ladies and gentlemen of the State of Illinois, we work just as hard as the Congress of the United States does, and perhaps a lot harder, we've got just as many responsibilities and perhaps a lot more because we drive back and forth every day or every week, and we need enough funds in order to properly represent you, and I commend him for having the courage to stand up and have this vote called now before an election. If it doesn't pass, I might suggest to Representative Lundy, put the same bill back in a lame duck session, it'll pass by a land slide. I would like to say one thing to the media also. You know its remarkable, but during the last election, my district anyway, the media came up and praised all of the legislators who didn't use their expense account. And they condemned all the ones that did use their expense account. And then if you picked up another article in the same newspaper, they condemned the legislators for not knowing what was going on in the legislature. Now if that isn't a dichotomy, I've never seen one. Here is a chance for the media for the

first time in five years to put on a white hat. Let them go back and tell the people in these districts, these legislators need these funds. You don't have to use them, if some of us try and work hard, we'll use them. We'll know a little bit more, which will solve one of the problems that is always raised by the media, we'll voting in a stand-up vote before the elections, which will solve another problem that is always raised by the media, and then if we don't spend the money, that will be our own decision. But I think its an excellent bill, its in trouble right now because the amendment may pass, and I once again commend Representative Lundy and I'll assure him of this, I've never voted for a lame duck pay bill, but if you put this one back in in a lame duck session, if you don't get it done today, I'll give you a hand on it next time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker I rise in opposition to this amendment. Mr. Speaker, one of the problems that we have is that several of our colleagues on the floor are fairly affluent and they use their legislative expense allowance to pay an administrative aide and their cost of operating an office is paid out of their pockets. Or else, if they're not that affluent, they at least have the opportunity to utilize their regular organization headquarters as an office and I'm surprised to note that several of our colleagues on the floor, who are voting against, who are voting in favor of this amendment, have two or three administrative aides. Now somebody is paying those administrative aides. In some cases, they are being paid out of the legislator's pocket, and some cases they are being paid as sewer inspectors for the Department of Streets and Sanitation. But the fact of the matter is that they do have those legislative aides and there are those of us who don't have the clout or the connections and we need to be able to perform our responsibilities in such a manner that our constituents can respect our effort. I

would suggest to the members of this House that if you don't want a legislative aide, then the bill is permissive, you don't have to hire one. If you do need a legislative aide, you can take your chances at the polls and explain to your constituents your need for one and they will disagree or agree with based on how they vote in the next election.

I rise in opposition to this amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Pass. Are we ready for the question? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this motion there are 92 ayes, 70 nays, the motion is carried and the amendment is adopted. Representative Lundy. Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lundy: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my understanding that there are no further amendments to this bill, but in light of the fact that Amendment No. 4 was adopted on the assumption that Representative Berman's amendment...a...would be the form of the bill, ...a...I would ask leave now to move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 4 was adopted... and a....and....a...thereafter I will move to table that amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "It has been moved that Amendment Number... the vote by which Amendment No. 4 was adopted be reconsidered. All those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, now I would move to table Amendment No. 4."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion is on the tabling of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 435. All in favor of tabling the amendment indicate by saying aye, opposed, no, the ayes have it, the amendment is tabled. Any further amendments to House Bill 435? Third Reading. House Bill 315. Representative Palmer. Take that out of the record. House Bill 478."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 478. Laurino. A Bill for an Act to create the Cemetary Grounds Registration Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor? Third Reading.

House Bill 488. Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "House Bill 488. A Bill for an Act to amend the Un-employment Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor? Representative Georgi?"

Georgi: "Yes sir. I understand that the spokesman for the Industry and Labor Committee on the Republican side, Mrs. Geo-Karis, has six amendments and I have one."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill 488 by deleting after the word dispute on page 15, line 10, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis on the amendment."

Geo-Karis: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment No. 1 deletes the language which would permit employees to receive employment compensation while honoring a picket line. My amendment is simply affirming the fact that the National Relations Board...National Labor Relations Board would be in line with this amendment and my amendment would be in line with their interpretation. I feel that the provision as it now stands in the bill, which this amendment affects, is running contradictory to the traditional definitions of involvement in a labor dispute. I respectfully ask consideration of this membership for favorable vote to Amendment No. 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, this amendment defeats the purpose of the bill. This amendment would force a teamster or someone that isn't on strike to cross a picket line or he be denied unemployment benefits. I think its a very bad amendment and I urge the defeat of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "She indicates she will."

Ryan: "A...Representative Geo-Karis, as I understand your amendment, as the bill now stands, Business and Industry would have to subsidize strikes, is that right?"

Geo-Karis: "A...Mr. Representative, as the bill now stands, I think its a very very bad bill. It doesn't help labor half as much as the sponsor of the original bill thinks. I think its going to be detrimental to labor because what you're doing right now, is changing the definition of the participation in a labor dispute. My amendment says that an individual's failure to cross a picket line...a...my amendment deletes the following. The language says... provides that an individual's failure to cross the picket line as such fact is established whether on the premises are not in itself be deemed to be participation in labor disputes, and inserts there a period. In other words, I've stricken that language out of my amendment because, certainly, when a person is going to be compensated for failure to cross a picket line, are they not in effect participating in a labor dispute. My amendment simply clarifies it, and therefore, strikes the language in the original bill. Because, certainly, if someone is going to a...a...be considered crossing a picket line, or failure to cross it, is involved in a labor dispute in this bill. And I should say you're right."

Ryan: "Well thank you, Representative Geo-Karis for your brevity in your answer. I appreciate that. I...I...favor this amendment and would also urge its adoption here today. If your going to keep businesses alive and well in the State of Illinois, we're going to have to amend this bill so its livable for all of us. And so I would encourage the adoption of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All those in favor vote aye, those against, vote no. Roll call vote. Roll call vote. All in favor of the amendment vote aye, opposed no. Have all voted who wished? Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you rise? Tuerk?"

Tuerk: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Will the Gentlemen between the Chair and the speaker please take their seats?"

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote. I think its already been said that there are many deficiencies in this bill. This among them, and I think that amendment attempts to clarify some of the deficiencies in the bill. I know there's future amendments coming here which would be even more definitive than this, but in the absence of considering some of these further amendments, I would urge adoption of this and I think we need more green lights in order to try and put this bill in assemblance of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, We have"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you give the Lady some order, please?"

Geo-Karis: "In explaining my vote, I think labor has just as much to lose, maybe more, if the amendment is not passed because when you are going to redefine the participation of labor disputes by allowing language in that bill to say that individual's failure to cross a picket line or other premises shall not of itself, be deemed to be participation of labor disputes, then what in the dickens is participation of labor dispute? I think we are goind much too far and I think in the long run, labor is going to be hurt much more if this language stays in the bill and I urge a favorable vote on my amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further explanations? Have all voted who wished? On this question there are 51 ayes, 80 nays, the bill having...the motion for the amendment having failed to receive the majority is hereby declared lost."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill 488 in line 24, page 13, by deleting the phrase and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis. to explain Amendment 2." The Clerk indicates that you are the author of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 488."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Amends House Bill 488 in line 24, page 13, by deleting the phrase: if his benefit year begins prior to July 6, 1975,..."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe my amendment restores the one week waiting period before an unemployed person may be eligible for benefits. Only one State has done away completely with the one week waiting period, eight other States permit a waiver requirement if an applicant can prove severe hardship and removing the one week waiting period might remove unnecessary incentive to file...to find new employment and might remove unnecessary incentive for Illinois employers to stay in Illinois. I ask...respectfully ask consideration for Amendment No. 2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, the members of the House for the last..."

I think the last three or four years of debating the validity of the value of the one week waiting period, and we've passed legislation previously to eliminate the one week waiting period. The bill includes the elimination of the one week waiting period, her amendment reinstalls it and I'm opposed to her amendment and it was fully debated in the Industry and Labor Committee, and I urge your support against this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the sponsor of this bill has given this House no valid reason why the one week waiting period should be eliminated from the context of the unemployment compensation philosophy. Now for years, he has characterized the one week waiting period as one thing... a...actually, inaccurately, he keeps talking about the administration rather than the philosophy of the one week waiting period as it existed under the concept of unemployment compensation when it first came to being. Now I submit to you, its true, we have debated this issue many times on the floor of this House, we have passed some legislation out of this House to allow the elimination of the one week waiting period, but I also submit to you that the concept of the one week waiting period has some valid reason behind it to encourage those people who are on unemployment to seek other employment and I think that those people who are unemployed, unfortunately,

the one week waiting period is no undue hardship to them. Now on the other hand, I can...I can realize that some people don't have a few dollars stowed away in order to carry them over the one week. But without the one week waiting period, the trust fund on unemployment compensation is going to be rated at such a degree that the trust fund, itself, is going to be in jeopardy. I would submit to you that this is a good amendment and I would urge for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the amendment. Those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote no. Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, just to inform the members of the House, had the one week waiting period been in effect in the past fiscal year, it would have only cost the unemployment compensation fund \$13,000,000, and the fund is maintained out of a \$450,000,000 level."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question, there's 66 noes, 43 ayes, and the amendment is lost. I don't believe that permission has been granted to take pictures in the chamber...up there in the gallery. We haven't given permission to take pictures in the chambers."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 3. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill 488 on page 7, line 9, after the word "supplied" by deleting any part of the cost and inserting in lieu thereof no less than 25 per cent of the cost."

Speaker Redmond: "Did you take the record on that last one, Mr. Clerk? Representative Geo-Karis, explain Amendment No. 3."

Geo-Karis: "A...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if the members of the Labor and Commerce Committee will recall, the reason I mentioned, at that time, the same type of amendment, is because the bill on page 7, line 9, at the present time, says as follows in sorts: the present language in the bill allows an individual to collect compensation if he or she provides any, and I repeat, any support for the child as long as the total support contributed by each spouse exceeds one half the child support. My amendment would

require that an individual must personally provide at least 25 per cent of the support to receive compensation. Its very clear, I think to all of you, that if we don't have some limitations, someone who is just probably buying a dress for a child, doing nothing else, would be entitled to claim workmens' comp....unemployment comp. rather. Now if that party is entitled to claim unemployment compensation, won't that make it more difficult for the consciencious worker, the one who is trying to help support his family. I respectfully urge you to support this amendment because when we had this bill heard in committee, one of the Representatives got up in favor of the bill and said about my amendment that I didn't need it because the bill says only one person of the two can qualify for support...for unemployment compensation to the bill. That is not true, both parties can. The only thing is one party need not show any appreciable amount of support for dependents. My amendment will certainly make it possible for an unemployed worker to show that he or she has contributed at least 25 per cent to the support of that dependent. I respectfully ask your consideration of this bill because I honestly feel you are going to be hurting the consciencious labor person more if you don't accept this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, this also really destroys the thrust of the bill in that it discriminates against a working mother who wants to draw unemployment compensation for her benefit children, and I think it's a bad amendment and ought to be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on thea...Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "To close the debate on this amendment, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I love and respect the prior speaker, but he's bringing the element of emotionalism in here because he knows best, any bum who only gives a dress to a child who can get unemployment compensation, you are not being fair to the women and the men who are consciencious

about work, and you're hurting labor, my friend."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 488. All those in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye, opposed no, the noes have it and the amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 4. Tuerk. Amends House Bill 488 by deleting after the word employed on page 14, line 31, the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk. Mr. Speaker and members of the House, there are many aspects of House Bill 488, as I mentioned a moment ago, that are deficient. But the most ludicrous part of this bill of all, is the language on page 14 which allows unemployment compensation benefits to strikers. Now for those members of the House who don't know how the unemployment compensation trust fund is built up, it is simply a tax on employers. What the language of this bill purports to do is after eight weeks of strikes, in the event of a work stoppage, that after eight weeks, strikers would be allowed to collect unemployment compensation. Now I submit that that is a ludicrous concept and it should be stricken from the bill because there is no way that an employer should be subsidizing a strike upon himself. And that's exactly what the intent of this bill is doing, and what this amendment does is just strikes the language which then takes out those benefits to people who are on strike and I would move for the adoption of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, earlier today, I was over to visit with Mr. Tuerk and I couldn't find him at his desk, but I'm going to ask the House again to vote down this amendment because on Amendment No. 7 that I delivered to you personally, I am taking completely out of the Act the strike benefits. They are to be completely exterminated from the Act, and if you'd look at Amendment No. 7, Mr. Tuerk, it goes a little further than you did, so I ask that this be defeated and I'm going to do it with Amendment No. 7."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well I don't have a copy of the amendment and I'm sorry I wasn't here when you came around, Zeke, but I see no reason why to...just to skirt this amendment and then wait for your Amendment No. 7. This is Amendment No. 4 and I would move for the adoption of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 488. Those in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye, opposed no, the noes have it, the amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 5. Geo-Karis..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tuerk: "I'd like a roll call vote on that Amendment No. 4."

Speaker Redmond: "You are only joined by four people. The question....a roll call vote has been requested for the adoption of Amendment No. 4. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 71 noes, 46 ayes, the amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 5. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill 488 by including on page 13, line 26, immediately following "benefit year" the following"and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Permission has been requested and granted to take pictures in the chamber now, so I would call the attention of the members to the fact that there will be some pictures taken. Who's on the amendment, Jack? Representative Geo-Karis to explain Amendment No. 5."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment No. 5 would amend House Bill 488 by including on page 13, line 26, immediately following "benefit year the following". Quote, this one week waiting period shall be waived by the Division of Unemployment Compensation in cases where it can be shown by the individual, A. that such compensation is a sole means of support available to the individual during this period or, B. that the individual is a direct source of 50 per cent or more of

dependents. I respectfully urge consideration of this amendment because we are not precluding the wait...the waiting week at all, we ...we are precluding its existence if there is an emergency rather, and if it could be shown, or if it could be shown that the individual's direct source of 50 per cent or of the support of one or more dependents and it puts it up to the Division of Unemployment Compensation to waive it. I respectfully urge your consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, the a...Representative placed the amendment, Madam Geo-Karis and I, were at a hearing yesterday where the Department of Unemployment Compensation, it is inundated with paper work now, the employers capriciously find almost 30,000 objections a month, and I only see this for another reason for objection to be filed, and she knows better than I do that this is a poor amendment and removes the real thrust of the bill and I urge its defeat."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House bill 488. Those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? On this question, there are 74 noes, 34 ayes, the amendment is lost. Take the record, Jack. Record Representative Collins as no."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 6. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill 488 by including on page 13, line 26, immediately following "benefit year, the following" and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since I've had such a good perfect batting average of zero, I have to make one last attempt and this Amendment No. 6. In this amendment, I am providing that the Governor may waive the waiting period by executive order during periods of high State-wide unemployment, or in any other similar, temporary emergency period. And this waiver shall not remain in effect for a period longer than six months without a vote of confirmation by both Houses of the General Assembly. We are providing, here, the same possibility, the same action, that can be taken by a Chief Executive that

is taken in one or two other States. If, certainly, our Chief Executive finds that the one week waiting period should be waived, he can certainly do so by his executive order, and it'll be waived immediately. The waiver would last at least for six months. I think its a sensible amendment and I respectfully urge your consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further...further...Representative Georgi." Representative Georgi?"

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, we're the legislators and we're legislating the unemployment compensation bill, we have in the past, and the six month period in there is dangerous because in the event of immediate high unemployment, we wouldn't be here to legislate to undo what the Governor didn't do, and I feel its a bad amendment and it hurts the thrust of the bill and I urge its defeat."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 488. All those in favor of the adoption vote aye, opposed vote no. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Question, Mr. Speaker. Can you tell me how many amendments there are to this bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I believe there's one more. Two more."

Ryan: "I wonder if I could be recognized after the disposition of the last amendment and prior to any disposition of the bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Certainly."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question of the adoption of the amendment, 89 no, 18 yes, the amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 7. Georgi. Amends House Bill 488 on page 1 by deleting lines 21 and 22, and inserting in lieu thereof the following, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce

Mayor Bill Steeb from Highland, he's one of our guests, today, in the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion on Amendment No. 7? Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'm aware, and most of the members of the General Assembly, are aware of the voluminous amount of mail we received on this bill, and it seems that most of the mail and most of the concern was that strike benefit pay, unemployment compensation for strikers, and what I've done with this amendment is that I've eliminated that section completely. There will be no unemployment compensation benefits for strikers under any conditions in this bill, and then we realized and one of the tables that we were working with, on the lower end of the unemployment compensation spectrum where a person might receive a \$10 a week unemployment compensation payment or a \$20 a week unemployment compensation payment. When we put the minimums in, we put a minimum of \$25 and a person earning \$20 a week for 52 weeks a year, would only be entitled to \$20 and we erred, so we are lowering the minimum to \$15 rather than \$25. And those are the two amendments. One completely removes the strike benefits in the bill. There are no striker benefits in House Bill 488 at this point, ...for the adoption of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "Now, were you aware of what Amendment No. 4 said? The one you helped defeat? Are you aware of the language that was in Amendment No. 4?"

Georgi: "Well, I don't think its the language that I have in Amendment No. 7."

Tuerk: "What's that?"

Georgi: "It isn't the language that I have in Amendment No. 7."

Tuerk: "I realize that. I'm asking you were you aware of the lang..."

Georgi: "yes, yes."

Tuerk: "Well now will you tell me how the language in Amendment No. 7 does the same thing that Amendment No. 4 did, or attempted to do?"

Georgi: "Well we created Section 604 that provided for striker benefits in the labor dispute and I took the Section 604 out completely so that the benefits aren't in the Act on page 14, and you have...."

Tuerk: "Well, you're taking the present language of the bill out..."

Georgi: "That's right."

Tuerk: "But you are substituting some language for it."

Georgi: "I'm not, I'm deleting the language completely."

Tuerk: "Well, no you didn't, not if you read your amendment."

Georgi: "I'm deleting language, line 26 to line 34."

Tuerk: "And you are inserting in lieu thereof the following.

You are taking the language out of the bill, but you are substituting language into the bill for that language, and if you read the language of your amendment, it doesn't do what Amendment No. 4 purported to do."

Georgi: "My amendment says an individual shall be ineligible for benefits for any week for which it is found that his total or partial unemployment is due to its stoppage or work would exist because of a labor dispute the fact be..."

Tuerk: "Well, I can read it."

Georgi: "Okay."

Tuerk: "But tell me what it says."

Georgi: "That's what it says."

Tuerk: "Tell me how that language that you mentioned a moment ago, that you wanted Amendment No. 4 defeated so that you could pass Amendment No. 7. Now tell me how this language is the same or even similar to that of Amendment No. 4 which wanted to strike out the language entirely. What you are doing is taking the present language out and substituting some language in place of it, but it doesn't really say that strikers will not be eligible for unemployment benefits, in my view."

Georgi: "Read the amendment."

Tuerk: "I've read it."

Georgi: "Section 604. Labor Disputes."

Tuerk: "I want you to explain it."

Georgi: "My amendment says an individual shall be ineligible for benefits for any week with respect to which it is found that his total or partial unemployment is due to the stoppage of work, which exists because of a labor dispute at the factory, establishment, or other premises in which he is or was last employed."

Tuerk: "Well, what your language has is a couple of provisos in there, where the language in my amendment did exactly what you wanted it to do, but I don't think this language does, I would urge the defeat of this amendment and then we go back and reconsider Amendment No. 4 a moment later."

Georgi: "I'm restoring the law as it was according to my advisor, Harold Katz. But Mr. Tuerk, you and I have negotiated labor legislation for the last half a dozen years, and after this amendment is adopted, if you feel that you've been unjustly dealt with, I'll take the bill back from Third Reading to Second and take your amendment if you prove to me that that's what you're saying."

Tuerk: "I didn't make any indication that I think I'd been dealt unjustly, I think you have a right to offer any amendment that you want. But so do I with the idea that we are both trying to get at the same thing and you defeat the one amendment and then come through with this amendment which I don't think does the same thing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, if the sponsor of the amendment would yield to a question. This a...Zeke, eliminates an individual that is involved in a...a...say an individual that is involved in a labor dispute, if he happens to be an employee of that establishment. But is it true then, that it does not cover one who honors a picket line where another establishment may be engaged in a dispute, is that correct? That language is still remaining. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker has any one asked for a fiscal note on this bill yet?"

Speaker Redmond: "I think Representative Ryan is about to do it."

You walked on Representative Ryan's line."

Skinner! Well, I certainly would like to ask for a fiscal note or would be willing to join any one else who has asked for one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Georgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner! I have another question."

Georgi: "I'd like to a... respect the request for a fiscal note, but I'd like the people that have petitioned for a fiscal note to delineate specifically what they want to know what its going to cost."

Skinner! "What?"

Georgi: "Tell me specifically what cost you are talking about? There are a lot of costs involved in applying unemployment compensation benefits."

Skinner! Well I'm afraid I must apologize to the House and the sponsor of this bill. I'm afraid this is a very technical subject and I do not understand all of the...."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order, please?"

Skinner! And for that reason, I would just ask that the Gentleman comply with a fiscal note for that."

Georgi: "I'm not familiar with the..."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised that a request has been filed in writing for a fiscal note."

Skinner! Now, could I ask my question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner! How much will this amendment decrease the unemployment tax increase that will be needed to finance House Bill 488 if it is passed into law?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Georgi. Representative Georgi?"

Georgi: "Well they don't get any benefits now, Mr. Skinner, still hasn't cost anything. It would have cost him money had we enacted the legislation inasmuch as we didn't, we are both at zero."

Skinner! Excuse me, but are you suggesting that if this amendment is added to this bill, and this bill is passed, that the

unemployment...."

Georgi: "Then you'd need a fiscal note, yes."

Skinner: "I wonder if I could finish my question, Mr. Speaker. Are you suggesting that if this amendment is added to this bill and this bill is enacted into law, that the unemployment tax will not have to be increased at all?"

Georgi: "This feature, alone, wouldn't cause an increase in the unemployment compensation tax. Right, correct."

Skinner: "I think my question was a little broader than that."

Georgi: "In the event there is not a strike, make sure there wouldn't be any cost. Right."

Skinner: "I think I will have to ask the same question again on Third Reading and I trust you will have a more definitive answer, or excuse me, I hope you will have the same answer."

Georgi: "I stated earlier that there are lot of facets to the Unemployment Compensation Act, and if you want the fiscal note, please apply your questions to what you are concerned about. That question will not be able to be answered because that won't be in the bill."

Skinner: "Well that has, I would certainly agree, that may or may not have anything to do with the fiscal note asked, but I think it is a crucial question to have specifically on the record as to whether or not the passage of this bill will require an increase in the unemployment tax and to know... and...and if it does, knowing a responsible legislature, that legislator that you are, I'm sure you will be sponsoring such a bill, and I would like to know what you are going to propose to pay for this. How are you going to spread it among the various sizes of businesses?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, not...this has nothing to do with the amendment, but I just for it to go on for another couple of moments, last year's average rate was 2 per cent, and because of the way we compute taxes, next year's rate is going to be less than 2 per cent for the employer, less than 2 per cent."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on

the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 488. All those in favor of the adoption indicate by voting aye, opposed vote no. Representative Leinenweber votes aye. Have all voted who wished? On this question there are 117... take the record. On this question 120 yes and 11 no and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 8. Lauer. Amend House Bill 488 on page 1, line 6, by inserting immediately after "500" immediately before "and the number 576" and on page 15, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer for the amendment."

Lauer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this amendment brings about a new concept with regard to unemployment compensation. It recognizes the fact that as things are presently going, that the rate of unemployment that we have in this State, the unemployment compensation fund is going to be broke by the end of the year, it'll be absolutely bankrupt. Recognizing that anything which represents a fund to insure benefit should have a sound base of funding, I've introduced Amendment No. 8 recognizing that the broad scope of coverage that is proposed in House Bill 488 can only be funded by broadening the base of the fund. The essence of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 488 would bring in a contributed from the covered employee so that he could take the attitude that this is representation of my contribution. This, in essence, makes the unemployment compensation fund an insured fund, with the contribution from both the employer and from the employee. I have proposed in Amendment No. 8 a two to one match, that is, that the employer contributes twice as much as the employee as based in House Bill 488. But it is a new concept in that this is the first time that there would be a contribution withheld from the salaries of the employees. But by the same token, it would give the employee the same attitude that they have toward the present social security funding, in that this is something to which they

have contributed their hard-earned dollars and it is no longer a privilege, it is a right, an absolute right to receive unemployment compensation that there is no act of charity and in no way can it be construed as being charitable, rather it is simply another one of the insurances that they pay into in order to withdraw benefits from it at some time in the future and it is based upon their earning power. Ladies and Gentlemen, I'll be happy to answer any questions and I would solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I don't find a copy of this amendment on my desk, nor do other members on this side of the aisle have a copy of Amendment No. 8."

Speaker Redmond: Your question is well taken. Out of the record. Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker...a...a...Mr. Lauer, what I'll do is, we'll let the bill progress and I'll take your amendment up and we'll discuss it and if we feel that it is appropriate, we'll move the bill back to Second Reading. Listen, this bill has been on the calendar for almost three weeks and the entire General Assembly was very much aware of it, all the amendments were on in plenty of time, I just got this amendment 15 minutes ago, its a type-written copy and it does, really, its a profound change in the way we fund the unemployment compensation benefit program. Also its a very very ticklish method that we use for...a. a...computing the expansion factors and what it costs the employer and I think you are doing a disservice by presenting this type of a complicated amendment. Now in as much as it is your fault, it really isn't my fault."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk..Lauer.

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, in response to that last comment, I might ask the sponsor of the bill when he put Amendment No. 7 on the desk. He's had the bill on the calendar for weeks as he says."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Georgi, do you care to respond?"

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, what I said is that if Mr. Lauer will a...his amendment isn't ready...."

Lauer: "Now Mr. Georgi, I just asked you a question, how long Amendment No. 7 was on the desk to the members?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't believe that's germane."

Georgi: "I delivered yours personally so that there wouldn't be any slip up."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. If Mr. Georgi will recall, on last Friday, I spoke to him about the possibility of offering this amendment and he said offer the amendment Wednesday and I'll be happy to speak to it. In fact I think I recall you saying, Mr. Georgi, a..a...I may even vote for the amendment. I would respectfully request, Mr. Speaker, that the bill be held on Second Reading until the amendment can be distributed."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Lauer, I'll give Mr. Lauer my word that this bill will be moved back from Third to Second Reading if I find your amendment acceptable. I'll move it back...I'll move it back."

Lauer: "I renew my request that it be held on Second."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, I object to that and I'll move the bill back to Second if its necessary. I'll move it back to Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I think the point is that a member has offered an amendment, its been filed with the Clerk, I don't think there is any option, I think the bill has to be held until we have an opportunity to examine the amendment and vote it up or down. I think this dialog is meaningless and I don't think the House has any choice but to wait until the amendment can be considered."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? I think the request

is in order. We'll take it out of the record until the amendment is prepared. For what purpose do you rise, Representative Simms?"

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, also, 488, a fiscal note request has been filed...a...and...a...that would also have to be filed on the order of Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct. No problem there. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, members of the House. I arise on a point of order."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "A few minutes ago I was handed an envelope by a page. A page is an employee of this State, paid for by citizen dollars. A page also has the responsibility for distributing to the membership copies of bills and amendments. We all know the difficulties we've had relative to receiving these amendments. What I was just handed by a member, by a page, and other members of this House were also handed this letter, was a letter on the stationery of the Illinois State Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organization. A letter with respect to House Bill 488. And soliticiting our support of it and Amendment No. 7. I personally resent very much, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, that State employees are used to distribute lobbying materials for special interests groups on the floor of the House, particularly Mr. Speaker and members of the House, when we don't have enough pages, pages don't have enough time to do the business of the House and I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the Clerk of this House be directed to prohibit any further distribution of this kind."

Speaker Redmond: "I think your point is well taken. I believe the rules provide that before anything can be distributed, the permission of the Speaker is supposed to be received, and I did not receive any request nor did I grant any permission. So I think that your point is well taken. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, may I further suggest that any communications that are delivered to the membership on the floor of the House originate with a member and not a special interest group, whether it be the Illinois State Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations or the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce or the Illinois Association of Manufacturers. All of this kind of communication should come through the regular route by mail or outside the House chambers and not at the expense of the people of the State as represented through the expenditure of their tax dollars with State employees, particularly the pages."

Speaker Redmond: "Again, I believe that your point is well taken and I would also like to call the attention to the fact that there are many rules of the House that we don't obey, such as keeping in our seat and not standing between the Chair and the person addressing the speaker and people being on the floor that are not entitled to be on the floor. So I would ask the encouragement of all of the members to help me enforce all of the laws, not....rules...not only the last ones. Any further....I'd like to call your attention to the fact that we have 2962 bills introduced. Representative Shea." With leave of the House we'll go to the order of Senate Bills' Second Reading. On Senate Bill, the order of Senate Bills' Second Reading appears Senate Bill 294."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill what? 294? Senate Bill 294.

A Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriation for certain distributive expenditures to the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. On the order of House Bills' Second Reading appears House Bill 576. Representative Greisheimer in the chambers?"

Fred Selcke: "House bill 576. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 27-27 of the School Code. Second Reading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 587. Representative Polk."

Fred Selcke: "We already did that one."

Speaker Redmond: "We did? 587? 590. House Bill 590.

Representative Griesheimer. House Bill 912. Representative Flinn."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 912. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 and repeal Section 2 of an Act making appropriations to the St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Committee Amendment No. 1. Amend House Bill 912, page 1, line 1, by deleting one and repeal section and on page 1, by deleting everything after the enacting clause, inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn on the amendment."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, all this amendment does is leave Section 2 in the bill and make the necessary changes in the bill. It is a technical amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I do not find a copy of Amendment No. 1 to this bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 912, is it on the members' desks? In their books? Take it out of the record. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since we came in a day late, I would, at this point, this week, move to suspend the appropriate rules so that the Tuesday committees will only require 5 1/2 day posting so that the Tuesday committees can be posted up until noon tomorrow. So I think that requires...I discussed that with both sides of the aisle. I find no objection. It takes 107 votes and I would so move."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the suspension of the appropriate rule that permits the posting of the 5 1/2 days, all those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. It takes 107

votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 132 ayes, no nays, the motion carried. Representative Shea. Representative Shea?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 9 A.M. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Before you take a vote on that I would like to ask the Chairman of the committees in the House that are meeting this afternoon and tomorrow if they could repair to the Speaker's office for about five minutes before you go down to your committee meeting. Now we'll put...wait a minute, I've been advised that maybe you didn't hear the announcement that the Chair requests the Chairmen of the committees to go to the Speaker's office for about a five-minute meeting when we adjourn. Now the question is on the motion of Representative....Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to make an announcement that the Republican members of the Appropriations II Committee meet in my office in 618 immediately after adjournment for about five minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I was curious about the adjournment until 9 A.M. tomorrow. Isn't the Governor's prayer breakfast tomorrow, and isn't it as important that we go to prayer as we go to committee? The House shouldn't be in session at the same time is the point I wanted to make. Or will it just be a perfunctory session at nine? Is my question."

Speaker Redmond: "I was thinking a full session. If you pray real fast, you don't really need much prayer Roscoe."

Cunningham: "No, but I was thinking of others, Mr. Speaker. You and I recognize that point."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken."

Cunningham: "Thank you sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and members of the House, the Republican members of the House Appropriations I Committee will meet in my office, Room 622, right after we adjourn

today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker the Governor's Prayer Breakfast is at 8:00, so there's sufficient time to everybody to pray in the morning. I would make...I would change my motion to 9:30 A.M. and...a...."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion has been changed to 9:30."

Shea: "I would remind everybody of the prayer breakfast and Representative Londrigan ask me to invite all the members and would wish they'd go, so I will now renew my motion that this House stand adjourned until 9:30 A.M."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Washington: "Just a brief announcement, Mr. Speaker. Judiciary I Committee will meet on the floor of the House in 15 minutes after we adjourn. Judiciary I, floor of the House, 15 minutes after we adjourn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, just for an announcement, Appropriations I will meet upstairs, as far as the Democrat members, immediately after adjournment. The Appropriations Committee I will meet, 20 minutes, in Room 114."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the motion of Representative Shea...this will be a delayed effect on it because we have some messages from the Senate, so when Representative....so when the Clerk finishes those, then we will be in recess until 9:30 A.M. tomorrow morning. All in favor of the motion indicate by saying aye. Opposed no, the ayes have it, recess. Don't forget the Chairman meeting in the Speaker's office."

Jack O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution to with House Joint Resolution 36 concurred in the the Senate April 11, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Committee Reports. Mr. Yourell from the Committee on County and Townships to which

House Bill 49, 157, 185, and 472 were referred. Recommend the bills be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which House Resolution 44 was referred, reported the same back with recommendation that resolution be adopted. Mr. Matijevich from Executive Committee to which House Resolution 87 was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto and recommend that it be adopted as amended. Mr. Matijevich from Executive Committee to which House Resolution 115 was referred, reported the same back with amendments with recommendation that it be adopted as amended. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee to which House-Joint Resolution 4 was referred, reported the same back with amendments with the recommendation it be adopted as amended. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee to which House-Joint Resolution 14 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee to which House Joint Resolution 16 was referred, reported the same back with amendments with the recommendation that it be adopted as amended. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee to which Senate-Joint Resolution 9 was received reported same back with recommendation that the House do concur with the Senate in the adoption of the resolution. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which House Bills 61, 182, 46, and 393 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do not pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which House Bills 314, 538, and 613 were referred, reported same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the bills be adopted and the bills, as amended, do not pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Bill 102 was referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bills as amended do pass. Mr. Londrigan from the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which House Bill 777 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do pass. Mr. Londrigan from

the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which House Bills 553 and 672 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do pass. Mr. Londrigan from the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which House Bills 984, 322, 360, 671, 747, 756 were referred, reported the same back with the amendments thereto and the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bills, as amended, do pass. Mr. Londrigan from the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which House Bills 321, 595, and 706 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do not pass. Mr. Londrigan from the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which Senate Bill 33 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which House Bill 336 and 578 were referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bills, as amended, do not pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue, to which House Bills 141 and 557 were referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bills, as amended, do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which House Bills 73, 88, 89, 244, 331, and 350 were referred, reported the same back and pursuant to Rule 23(d) were ordered tabled. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which House Bill 247 was referred, reported the same back and pursuant to Rule 23(d) the bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee on which House Bills 30, 293, 408, and 446 were referred, reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23(d) the bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which House Bill 483 was referred, reported the same back and pursuant to Rule 23(d) the bill was ordered tabled. Mr. Londrigan from the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which House Bill 36 and 434 were referred, reported the same back and pursuant to Rule 23(d), the bills were ordered tabled.

Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities to which House Bill 475 was referred reported the same back and pursuant to Rule 23(d) the bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Pierce on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources to which House Bills 399, 400, 401 were referred, reported the same back assigned to the Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment, Energy, and Natural Resources, to which House Bill 135 was referred, reported same back with the recommendation that the bills do not pass. Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment, Energy, and Natural Resources to which House Bill 461 was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendations that the amendments be adopted and the bills as amended do pass and be re-referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources to which House Bill 462 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bill do pass, and be re-referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources to which House Bills 136 and 317 were referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bills as amended do pass. Mr. Schisler from the Committee on Agriculture to which House Bills 56 and 57 were referred, report the same back pursuant to Rule 23(d), the bill was ordered tabled. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which House Bills 16, 107, 108, were referred, reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23(d) the bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Bill 4 was referred reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23(d) the bill was ordered tabled. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Bill 38 reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23(d) the bill was ordered tabled. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Resolution 69 was referred, reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23(d) the

APR 13 1975

60.

resolution was ordered tabled. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Bill 365 was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which House Bill 133, 161, 238, 483, and 565 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do not pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which House Bill 750, 751, and 801 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do pass. Representative Leon in the Chair."

Leon: "Due to the motion of Representative Shea, this House will now stand adjourned until 9:00 A.M. tomorrow morning. I'm sorry, we amended that, 9:30 A.M. tomorrow morning."

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATIVE DAY

APRIL 16, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
APRIL 16, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
1	12:05	Shea	House called to order
		Rev. Krueger	Prayer
2.	12:08	Shea	Roll Call
	12:09	Shea	Constitutional Amendment 1st Reading
	12:09	O'Brien	Constitutional Amendment 1st Reading
3.	12:12	Shea	Senate Bills 1st Reading
	12:12	O'Brien	Senate Bills 1st Reading
4.	12:13	Shea	House Bills - 2nd Reading
	12:13	Shea	House Bill 45
	12:13	O'Brien	H.B. 45 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments
	12:13	Shea	3rd Reading
	12:15	O'Brien	House Bill 402 - 2nd Reading one committee amendment
	12:15	Neff	Explain Amendment #1
	12:15	Shea	Amendment Adopted - 3rd Reading
5.	12:16	O'Brien	House Bill 488 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments
	12:16	Shea	House Bill 488
	12:16	Georgi	House Bill 488 - Out of Record
	12:17	Shea	Out of Record
	12:17	O'Brien	House Bill 587 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments
		Shea	There's a floor amendment
	12:18	O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1 - McMasters
9.		Shea	House Bill 826
	12:18	McMasters	House Bill 826 - Floor Amendment #1
	12:20	Shea	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
6.	12:20	Schlickman	Parliamentary inquiry
	12:21	Shea	Opinion is germane
7.	12:24	McMasters	Explain Amendment #1
	12:25	Shea	
8.	12:25	Lechowicz	No copy of amendment
		Shea	
		Mautino	No copy of amendment
	12:26	Shea	Out of Record
	12:27	Shea	
	12:27	Washburn	Introduce Students - Lincoln Community High School
		Shea	
9.	12:28	Leinenweber	
	12:28	Shea	
	12:29	Shea	House Bill 590
	12:29	O'Brien	House Bill 590 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments
	12:29	Shea	Third Reading
	12:29	O'Brien	House Bill 642 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments
	12:29	Shea	3rd Reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 826 - 2nd Reading one committee amendment
		Shea	House Bill 826
	12:30	R. Hoffman	Explain Amendment #1
		Shea	Amendment Adopted - 3rd Reading
	12:30	O'Brien	House Bill 827 - 2nd Reading one committee amendment

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
9.	12:30	Shea	House Bill 827
	12:30	Hoffman	House Bill 827 - Explain Amendment #1
	12:30	Shea	Amendment Adopted - 3rd Reading
10.	12:30	O'Brien	House Bill 885 - 2nd Reading no amendments
		Shea	3rd Reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 944 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments
	12:31	Shea	House Bill 944 - 3rd Reading
		Shea	
	12:32	Choate	Southern Illinois Tour
		Shea	Southern Illinois Tour
	12:35	R. Dunn	Southern Illinois Tour
		Shea	
	12:37	Georgi	
12.		Shea	House Bill 323
		O'Brien	House Bill 323 (Griesheimer)
	12:37	Shea	House Bill 323
		Griesheimer	
		Shea	
		Griesheimer	Hold on 3rd Reading
	12:38	O'Brien	House Bill 323 - One Committee amendment
		Shea	House Bill 323 - one committee amendment
13.	12:38	Geo-Karis	House Bill 323 - Hold over until Friday
		Griesheimer	House Bill 323 - Hold over until Friday
	12:39	Shea	Wish to withdraw?

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	12:39	Geo-Karis) Griesheimer) Shea)	Withdraw Amendment
14.	12:40	Schlickman) Shea)	Discussion
	12:40	Shea	3rd Reading - House Bill 323
	12:41	Lundy	Yes
	12:41	O'Brien	House Bill 435 - 2nd Reading
	12:42	Shea	Amendment # 1, 2, 3 we've adopted Any further amendments?
15.	12:43	Lechowicz Shea	
	12:43	O'Brien	Amendment #5, House Bill 435
	12:45	Lechowicz Shea	House Bill 435 - Amendment #5 House Bill 435 - Amendment #5
16.	12:46	Berman	House Bill 435 - Amendment #5
	12:50	Shea	House Bill 435 - Amendment #5
17.		Hudson Shea Hudson)	House Bill 435 - Amendment #5 Will Sponsor Yield?
18.	12:50	Lechowicz) Shea	discussion
	12:51	Ebbesen Shea)	Will Sponsor yield? discussion
	12:52	Lechowicz)	
19.		Shea	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
19.	12:52	J. Dunn	Sponsor yield for question?
20.		Shea	
	12:54	Lauer	
	12:54	Shea	Speaks to gallery
	12:55	Lauer	Opposes amendment
		Shea	
	12:55	Schlickman	opposes amendment
21.		Shea	Introduce Senator Lemke
		Leinenweber	Move previous question
		Shea	
		Lundy	Opposes amendment
23.	1:02	Shea	
	1:02	Lechowicz	Speaks for amendment
	1:05	Shea	
24.	1:05	Geo-Karis	Explain vote
		Shea	
	1:06	Davis	
	1:06	Shea	
	1:06	Davis	gives yes vote
25.		Shea	
	1:08	Ebbeson	
		Shea	
	1:09	Washington	votes no
26.	1:12	Speaker Redmond	
	1:12	Pierce	votes no
27.		Speaker	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
27.	1:13	Davis Speaker	Personal privilege
28.	1:16	Marovitz	votes no
29.		Speaker	
	1:17	Hudson	
30.	1:20	Speaker	
	1:20	Lauer Speaker	votes yes
		Madigan Speaker	Brinkmeyer excused
31.	1:21	Hirschfeld Speaker	
32.	1:24	Madison	Opposes amendment
33.	1:26	Speaker	Amendment adopted
	1:27	Lundy	No further amendments, asks to move to reconsider amendment #4,
	1:27	Speaker Lundy	Ayes have it Table amendment #4
	1:28	Speaker	Tabled - put on 3rd reading
	1:28	O'Brien Speaker Speaker	House Bill 478 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments - Laurino 3rd reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 488 - 2nd reading no committee amendments
		Georgi	informs of amendments
		O'Brien	Amendment #1 - Geo-Karis

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
34.		Speaker	Geo-Karis on the amendment
	1:29	Geo-Karis	amendment #1
	1:30	Speaker	
	1:30	Georgi	Speaks against amendment #1
		Ryan	Sponsor yield for a question?
		Speaker	indicates she will
		Ryan)	discussion
35.		Geo-Karis)	
	1:32	Speaker	
	1:33	Tuerk	to explain vote
		Speaker	
36.	1:35	Geo-Karis	to explain vote
	1:35	Speaker	amendment lost
	1:35	O'Brien	Amendment #2' - Geo-Karis
		Speaker	
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
37.	1:37	Geo-Karis	explains amendment
		Speaker	
		Georgi	
		Speaker	
	1:38	Tuerk	
38.	1:39	Speaker	Any further discussion?
	1:40	Georgi	
		Speaker	amendment lost
	1:40	O'Brien	House Bill 488 - Amendment #3 - Geo-Karis
	1:40	Geo-Karis	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
39.	1:43	Speaker Redmond	
	1:43	Georgi Speaker Geo-Karis	against amendment #3
40.	1:44	Speaker O'Brien Tuerk Speaker	Amendment #3 is lost Amendment #4 - House Bill 488 - Tuerk Amendment #4
	1:45	Georgi)	
41.		Tuerk)	Discussion
	1:46	Speaker Tuerk	Amendment #4 Lost Requests roll call vote
	1:47	Speaker	amendment and request lost
	1:48	O'Brien Speaker	House Bill 488 - amendment #5 permission granted to televise
	1:49	Geo-Karis	Amendment #5
42.		Speaker	
	1:50	Georgi Speaker	urge no vote Amendment #5 - lost
	1:50	O'Brien Speaker	House Bill 488 - Amendment #6 - Geo-Karis
	1:50	Geo-Karis	Amendment #6
43.		Speaker	
	1:52	Georgi	asks amendment's defeat
	1:52	Speaker Ryan	Amendment #6 Question?

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	1:52	Speaker	Amendmetn #6 - lost
	1:53	O'Brien	House Bill 488 - Amendment #7
	1:53	Speaker	
		Shea	Introduce Mayor Bill Steeb from Highland
44.		Speaker	
	1:54	Georgi	Amendment #7
		Speaker	
	1:55	Tuerk	Will Sponsor yield?
		Speaker	
		Tuerk)	
		Georgi)	Discussion
46.		Speaker	
	1:59	Simms	Sponsor yield?
		Georgi	
		Speaker	
		Skinner)	
7.		Speaker)	Discussion
	2:00	Speaker	
		Georgi	
	2:01	Skinner)	
	2:01	Georgi)	Discussion
8.	2:03	Speaker	Amendment #7 adopted
9.	2:05	Fred Selcke	House Bill 488 - Amendment #8 - Lauer
		Lauer	
		Speaker	
	2:07	Simms	No copy of amendment #8

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	2:08	Speaker	
	2:09	Georgi	Amendment #8
		Lauer	
51.		Speaker	
		Georgi)	
		Lauer)	Discussion
		Speaker	
	2:10	Lauer	Requests bill held on 2nd reading
		Georgi	
	2:11	Lauer	
		Georgi	
		Collins	Point of order
		Speaker	Out of Record - request in order
52.	2:12	Simms	Fiscal note request
		Speaker	
		Schlickman	Point of order
	2:14	Speaker	
		Schlickman	
53.		Speaker	Senate Bills - 2nd reading
	2:15	Fred Selcke	Senate Bill 294 - 2nd reading no committee amendments
	2:16	Speaker	3rd reading House Bills - 2nd Reading House Bill 576 - Greisheimer
		Selcke	House Bill 576 - 2nd Reading no committee amendments
54.	2:16	Speaker	3rd Reading
	2:17	Selcke	House Bill 912 - one committee amendment

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
54.	2:17	Flinn	
		Schlickman	no copy of amendment #1
		Speaker	take out of record
	2:18	Shea	request suspension of rules
	2:19	Speaker	motion carried
55.	2:20	Shea	move House to adjourn
		Speaker	Chairmen of Committees go to Speaker's Office
	2:20	Ryan	
		Speaker	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker	
	2:21	Cunningham	Prayer breakfast?
		Speaker	
		Totten	
56.		Speaker	
	2:22	Shea	Change motion to 9:30 a. m.
		Speaker	
		Washington	committee announcements
		Speaker	
	2:23	Lechowicz	a committee announcement
		Speaker	motion carries
	2:24	O'Brien	Message from Senate
		O'Brien	Committee Reports
		O'Brien	House Resolutions
60.	2:33	Leon in Chair	Motion to adjourn until 9:30 a. m.
			House adjourned