

1.

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to Order, the Members please be in their seats. Led in prayer this morning by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen: O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Someone once said weeds and bad habits seem to be the only thing that grow with outside help. Let us pray. We beseech Thee, O Lord, make us subjects under Thy with unto Thee with ready will and stir up in our wills to make supplications unto Thee that in all our legislative efforts we may be well appeasing in Thy sight. For the good of the people to this State of Illinois through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Boyle, Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bill were referred where action was taken on April 12, 1976, reported the same back with the following recommendations; do pass as amended House Bills 3212, 3213, 3370 and 3382."

Speaker Redmond: "Introductions, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3562, Brandt. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Space Needs Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3563, Brandt. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Space Needs Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3564, Lucco et al. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3565, Choate. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Conservation. First Reading of the Bill."



House Bill 3566, Getty. A Bill for an Act to re-strict the manufacture of sale and use of polychlorinated biphenyl. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "There is being distributed on the floor right now a memorandum purportedly over your signature and I'm wondering if it has the approval of the appropriate person."

Speaker Redmond: "I haven't seen what you're talking about."

Schlickman: "And if you did approve it, isn't that a conflict of interest?"

Speaker Redmond: "What is it the explanation of the Omnibus Bill?"

Schlickman: "That's what it purports, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Ah... it has my approval."

Schlickman: "Isn't that a conflict of interest, shouldn't somebody else look at this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Probably, I think that you ought to file it with the appropriate Committee and then we'll have a hearing."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "We stand in recess, There's a meeting in the Speaker's Office and we will stand in recess there for... till 20 minutes after one."

Clerk O'Brien: "Further Introductions. House Bill 3567, Friedland. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3568, Berman. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Truancy and Dropout Prevention Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3569, Berman. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education, for



3.

the purpose of the Illinois Truancy and Dropout Prevention Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3570, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3571, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend Section of an Act to revise the law in relation to Counties. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3572, Chapman. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3573, Satterthwaite. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Park District Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3574, Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning ah... botanic gardens in forest preserve districts. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3575, Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning zoological and forest preserve districts. First Reading of the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Are we on now... now am I coming out clearly now because it sure was dingy yesterday. Is it better if I speak closer... close to the ~~microphone~~, maybe that's the trouble... okay... better now, smile... little old me I just trying this microphone because it scares me sometimes and I just wanted to know if this is better. Okay, I'm talking to the man that controls the microphones. That's what I'm doing..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3576, Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Illinois Securities Law. First Reading of the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introductions. House Bill 3577, Giglio: A Bill for an Act to provide for Legislator Review of Rules and Regulations promulgated by State Agencies, Boards and Commissions. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3578, Schuneman. A Bill for an Act to



require the Mobile Home to be tiedown and the standards be promulgated for the equipment used for that purpose. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3579, Bradley. A Bill for an Act in relation to regulation of supervisory personnel include service establishments. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3580, Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act creating the Children and Family Services. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3581, Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making appropriations to the Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3582, Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3583, Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports. Announcement that there will be a perfunctory Session tomorrow."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Boyle, Chairman of Committee on Appropriations II to which the following Bill was referred... action taken April 13, 1976 and reported the same back with the following recommendation; do pass on House Bill 3371."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker for a purpose of an introduction. In the gallery, seated right of my immediate left is a former Member of the House of Representatives ah... presently the Treasurer of Logan County ah... Mr. Herman Dammerman, I wish he would stand and be recognized... Herman."

Speaker Redmond: "The Members from Lake County lay aft the fan tail.... that's the Lieutenant Commander Geo-Karis."

Speaker Redmond: "Ron Griesheimer, temporary Speaker."

Speaker Griesheimer: "Ladies and Gentleman of the House, it's



5.

ah... the sincere pleasure of all of the fine legislators from the beautiful County of Lake to present to you one of the great attractions that will be coming up next year in the State of Illinois. In May the Great America Marriott Amusement Park will open in Gurnee, Illinois, this will be the third largest Amusement Park in the entire United States. The only two larger will be the two Disney Productions in California and Florida. We have with us today the beautiful First Lady of the new Marriott Park and she would like to tell you a little bit about ah... as you go around the State this coming summer and during year you will hear a great deal about the Marriott Park and I'm sure you will be hearing it from Marriott First Lady, Dorene Warvéy and she will speak to you and give you a little insight on it today."

Dorene Warvey: "Thank you. It is a pleasure and a privilege to come before this Legislative Body as the First Lady of Marriott Greatest America. In my travels of First Lady throughout the Mid-West, I feel that I represent not only Great America but also this great State of Illinois and since I'm a native of Illinois and have lived here all my life this is a big responsibility as well as a great honor. As you may know Great America is the fifth million dollar scene park with over two hundred acres of rides, shows, shops, restaurants and other attractions to care for our anticipated two and a half million guests the park will employ over two thousand young men and women who will serve as Host and Hostesses. Great America will be a fabulous addition to the State of Illinois, a family entertainment center which will attract visitors from throughout the Mid-West. As a designated point for travelers Great America influence vacation planning



6.

for millions of families and will complement the many existing attractions in the State of Illinois. It is particularly fitting that Great America opening during the America's bicentennial since the park is a salute to several colorful years of this Country's past and it is appropriate to that Great America is located in Illinois, a State rich inherited and ever looking to the future. I would like to invite each of you to come to Gurnee to visit us at Great America. We will be holding a special salute to the State of Illinois during June 26, to July 2, and we'll have a special day for Legislators and their families later in July. Illinois is a great State, America is the greatest Country on Earth and we at Marriott Great America are proud to be a part of this State and this Nation. Thank you."

Griesheimer: "And with that fine presentation of what Great America is in Gurnee, Illinois, I would like to similarly announce that we have now set the tentative dates for the new giant 1976 Salmon outing to coincide with the Legislative day at America ah... Great America Park at Marriott. So, if you would all mark your books tentatively for July 19 and 20th we'll be salmon fishing on the 19th and you and your families will all be invited to Marriott Park on the 20th so come to Lake County and enjoy yourself."

Speaker Redmond: "Introductions and First Readings."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3584, Hanahan. A Bill for an Act to amend Section of the State Salary and Annuity Withholding Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3585, Schuneman. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Commission to visit and examine institutions. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "What about 3357 and 3358... an Act to



7.

provide ordinary contingent expense to the Department of Personnel... it's on Third Reading ah... he did, okay. Have we passed 57 yet today? It's on Third now and Shea says.... Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, there appears on the Order of House Bills, Third Reading two Bills that I would like the Clerk to read ah... to check and see if they are in the proper place. It was my understanding that House Bill 3357 which was a Bill to provide the money for the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Insurance was passed yesterday and that House Bill 3358 was not moved to Third Reading but was left on the Order of Second Reading and if he could check that and let us know I would be most appreciative."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will so check. Representative Bradley, do you seek recognition?"

Bradley: "Yes, sir ah... Mr. Speaker, and thank you very much. Ah... I don't think we could let today pass without recognizing ah... a young man that ah... without his presence ah... with us every day ah... we would be ah... in dire stress ah... he is well known for his decisions ah... at your right arm ah... constantly we ah... appreciate ah... his candor ah... and today is Dave Epstein's birthday and I don't think that any of us would let that slip by without saying Happy Birthday to Dave and ah... we appreciate all his good rulings—that he has made in our behalf. Happy Birthday, Dave."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, can you respond to the inquiry of the Majority Leader?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3357 is in the Records as having passed yesterday. And, House Bill 3358 was held on Second Reading, read a second time and held."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."



Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Parliamentary inquiry. While we're waiting I was wondering if we can do some business on Resolutions that have been filed and ah... one is an Agreed Resolution and one is a ah... Resolution which come out by agreement of the Leadership as a result of the Rules hearing today and I would like the ah... Clerk, if he would... if it is all right with the Speaker to read those Resolutions at the present time, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Motion."

Maragos: "Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 721..."

Maragos: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, at this time I would like... respectfully ask the House to please pay attention to this Resolution because it has a vital importance to the Members of the House and to the Leadership of the House... if we please pay attention as the Clerk reads this Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "Whereas, it has been common knowledge that our distinguished Speaker the Honorable William A. Redmond is a man of many talents and whereas, his brilliance as a legislator, his eminent fairness as a presiding officer, and his gracious personality, his delightful sense of humor are well known throughout the State of Illinois and whereas, as is true of many distinguished people, it has long been suspected that the secret of our distinguish... this distinguished Speaker's success may be attributed to some highly significant but long-forgotten incident that lies buried in his youth and whereas, while research has thus far failed to establish that Bill Redmond was a model boy, it has recently come to light that he was a boy model and that for a number of years his cherubic countenance graced the boxes of a well-known brand of raisins; and Whereas,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

although it has been unkindly remarked, by both male and female chauvinists, that the picture of the young lady which graces today's raisin boxes is a distinct improvement, we must charitably attribute any such alleged improvement to the advancement in photographic techniques rather than to any lack of talent on the part of the erstwhile boy model; therefore, be it Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we give belated recognition to this newly disclosed facet in the life of our distinguished Speaker and that we salute Bill Redmond as the Rodney Allen Rippey of a bygone era."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, as the ah... originator this particular Resolution I would like to have ah... a motion for unanimous adoption of the suspension of rules and leave to approach the rostrum."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections?"

Maragos: "I wish to present you with a memento of a better picture than when you were at the Ruby Contentent for the one day we may have the historical picture to frame in the House of Records. Congratulations, I heard this on...."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you, Representative Maragos. You know in order to factually correct I should report that although it may have been Sun-maid raisins years ago, it's currently on the prune boxes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, a point of information. Is ah... that true that your picture now graces the prune boxes, is that what you said I didn't hear you."

Speaker Redmond: "The wrinkle variety."

Geo-Karis: "But, you have really cornated yourself when you took your weight off, so I think they ought to get



you back again for a picture on the Sun-kissed raisins."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "If we are still on the order of Resolutions I would like to have the Clerk to read House... House Resolution 720 which was the result of the rules Committee hearing today and ah... we can discuss it if it is all right with the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, a point of order with respect to this, is there a motion on the floor?"

Speaker Redmond: "What motion do you refer to?"

Schlickman: "Well, are you simply asking that a Resolution ah... be read and I'm simply suggesting that ah... reading it without having support of motion would be out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand that he's moved the adoption of the Resolution, is that correct Representative Maragos? I'll read the Resolution..."

Schlickman: "How about waiving the rules for immediate consideration without referral to Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Done that all ready."

Schlickman: "Oh.... no sir, that was on 721 but not 720."

Speaker Redmond: "I have been advised by my new parliamentarian that Mr. Schlickman is correct. Please make the motion Representative...."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, at this time I move that the of the suspension of the appropriate rules for immediate hearing and adoption of House Resolution 720 and this has to do with the ah... authorizing the and direct the House Revenue Committee to conduct a full study of various facets of special funds. This Resolution comes to the result of a hearing before the House of Rules Committee this morning and what was suggested by the Leadership on both sides of the aisle that this



11.

Resolution be set into motion today and ah... be adopted giving the Revenue Committee an opportunity to make this study which I think is of much importance to the State of Illinois especially that we have a tight fiscal question facing us today, and I move for... suspension of appropriate rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to object and express my opposition to the suspension to the rules and in doing so call to the attention of the Membership of the House that in the Rules Committee today there was a Bill directing or providing for the study that's contained in this House Resolution but that that Bill was not declared or found to be an emergency or a exempt matter and if that Bill had been declared exempt; it would have gone to a Committee and it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, we do not have an emergency here, we don't have a provision in here for a reporting date and that consequently this Bill... or this Resolution should not be immediately considered but rather it should be subject to the orderly procedures of this House... meaning that it ought to go to Committee for consideration with the recommendation back to the Membership as to the kind of action that should be taken place... that should take place on it and therefore I object to the suspension and urge a 'no' vote."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, in response, if I may to the object- or unfortunately he was not, to my knowledge in the Rules Committee this morning and at that time no action was taken because the Committee suggested that we postpone consideration of my motion and take it out of Committee and they said we could do on the floor a Resolution and therefore I postponed further consideration.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

There was no vote taken on that issue and at the suggestion, if I may, of the Assistant Majority Leader and the Minority Leader that we go the route of a Resolution to do the same thing that is why this Resolution is brought forth and in good faith, Mr. Speaker. I have this brought forth on their recommendation and I ask that it be the rules be suspended."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "I should like to call to the attention of the maker of this motion in the House that while the Rules Committee may have suggested... may have recommended a Resolution such as this, it did not... it did not recommend that the rules be suspended for immediate consideration today. There are two different issues."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, that is not the case at all because they said, you don't need this route... take a Resolution and we'll have it adopted and that was inference and implication and implied statement made by the Leaders, otherwise there was no reason for me to withdraw at that time, Mr. Speaker, the request of ah... House Bill 3160 to be considered immediately as an emergency matter. They said you can go the route of the particular issue here and that's why I took them at good faith and ah... I'm sorry now if they are raising objections on the other side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker, because I feel that it is necessary Resolution and it's a very vital matter concerning the ah... the economy of our State."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Might I address Mr. Maragos for a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."



Shea: "Does this recommit the Bill, what does the Resolution do?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, in answer to the Majority Leaders question, the Resolution merely authorizes the Revenue Committee to make a study of all the earmarked funds and other funds of the State of Illinois and return a report of its investigation as soon as possible to the floor of the House because of the urgency of the matter, to see if there are other funds available for which this House can take proper action. We are in a fiscal bind, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, and I think that there is an area we should explore, it is incumbent upon us and we have a duty as legislators to look into this area. The Illinois Economic Fiscal Commission has recommended it and the Revenue Committee has recommended it and we ask for the authority to proceed."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, of the House. I'm somewhat shocked at the opposition to this Resolution from my colleagues from across the aisle. What this Resolution in effect does is direct one of Committees of this House to look at a possibility for additional funds to fund State Government. Now, we are doing everything we can to avoid any tax increase and I would certainly hope that we would get the cooperation from those gentlemen. I think that this is a good Resolution and should pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, it has been suggested by the Sponsor of this Resolution that the Rules Committee Minority Members made some sort of commitment to the Resolution, that is ah... from my recollection absolutely not true and the Gentleman asked if ah... this could be considered as an Agreed Resolution and I did not



feel that it was a substance of an Agreed Resolution that all the Members ought to have an opportunity to see it and decide whether it should go to Committee or not. I ah... really haven't taken a position myself on the matter but I can certainly understand how other people might ask legitimate questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, Mr.... Mr.... Hello, am I on..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Am I on..."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Rayson: "Ah... I want to support this Resolution, I agree with the Sponsor and the Majority Leader... not to take issue with the Minority Leader, I was there in Committee listening to colloquy at the time and it appear to be an implied and... an implied agreement but not necessary a full agreement with regard to this matter. But, be that as it may, it seems like with each day that passes and the reports that we get from those who know about State Government that the Revenue the Fiscal financial crisis of the State of Illinois is growing so that anything that will go in the direction of studying these problems so we're prepared now in this Spring Session to do something to meet this real heavy problem, we must do. I feel that we should support an overall, studying all facets with regard to these problems but certainly this is in the direction of where we should go and in that sense, Mr. Speaker, I support this motion to move this Resolution."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I'm really... very much surprised that the Assistant Minority Leader.... because he left me with the impression that they were going to support this Resolution this



15.

afternoon and ah... I would therefore ask for a Roll Call on my motion at this time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think this may be a case of one hand not knowing what the other is doing because the Economic and Fiscal Commission are just recently completed such a study on earmarked funds and secondly, ah... the present draft of the Economic and Fiscal Commission on the Budgetary process addresses itself specifically to earmarked funds and the information that Representative Maragos may be trying to achieve in this Resolution, I believe is already existing by recent studies of that Commission ah... and those should be looked at first before we proceed with this ah... Resolution and maybe waste some more time and money in the Legislature."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, if I may respond to that remark. I am also a Member of the Illinois Economic Fiscal Commission. The Commission only studied the types of funds there are, there has been no indepth study as to the amounts and the number of ah... funds that are available to be examined and I think it behooves us and I think that we are in many ways avoiding our responsibility to look in this area and I'm sorry that ah... Mr. Totten feels that because the Economic Fiscal Commission only touched this area after it had been suggested by the House Revenue Committee orginally to make the study. But, the study is not complete and I think that it is very necessary that we go into this area of investigating this whole problem and if we are supposed to be fiscally responsible... if we are saying what we believe that we want to make sure that the whole process of funding in this State of Illinois is efficiently administered this is one way to look in and not to hide under any



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

other bushels or for any other political reason therefore I respectfully ask the Speaker that the appropriate rules be suspended."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall the rule be suspended to permit immediate consideration? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I was going to ask the ah... may I ask Mr. Maragos a question, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Friedrich: "Mr. Maragos, why couldn't we pass a Resolution ah... giving the responsibility to the Commission on Fiscal and Economic responsibility?"

Maragos: "I can't hear your question, I'm sorry."

Friedrich: "We have this standing Committee or Commission now on Fiscal and Economic responsibility, why couldn't we by Resolution charge them with this responsibility? I think there is a pretty big Committee."

Maragos: "That would take a Joint Resolution, because Illinois Fiscal Commission is a Body of both Houses and I think it behooves this House of Representatives to make its own studies which is really going to be additional studies of what the Illinois Economic Fiscal Commission have already done, Mr. Friedrich, and this is an additional step in that direction."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to poll the absentees at the appropriate time."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will poll the absentees Representative Randolph, 'aye'. ... 'aye'."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to... have a ruling as to whether we need 107 or 89 votes for this."



Speaker Redmond: "107."

Maragos: "That's what I thought."

Speaker Redmond: "Lauer, 'aye'. Deavers, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative Farley, 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah... the count is 98 'ayes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you proceed with the absentees? Kempiners, 'aye'. Duff, 'aye'. Representative Steele, 'aye'. Representative Dunn, 'aye'. Representative Kelly, 'aye'. Representative Winchester, 'aye'. Representative Mahar, 'aye'. Representative Molloy, 'aye'. Ebbesen, 'aye'. You may be excused, Representative Grotberg. Representative Schoeberlein, 'aye'. Representative Groberg, 'aye'. Representative Madison, 'aye'. The count is now 110 'ayes', 9 'nays' and the motion carries. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I want to thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, because this is a vital subject and ah... you'll see that it is not partisan and we have to make a full study. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Did you move the adoption of the Resolution?"

Maragos: "I so move the adoption of Resolution, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We have here what I consider to be.... a do-nothing Resolution. What the Resolution does is to authorize and direct the House Revenue Committee to conduct a full study of the various funds. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the Revenue Committee presently has that authority and thereby this Resolution we offer nothing ah... to its authority. Furthermore, I call to the attention of the House that there is no report-



18.

ing date that contained in this Resolution. And, I simply suggest by the lack of reporting date there seems to be a lack of urgency or emergency on the part of the Sponsor of this Resolution and when I vote 'no', it simply going to be not with respect to the merit of the subject matter, but to the lack of merit of this Resolution by giving nothing to the Revenue Committee that it dosen't presently have."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier... Brinkmeier do you seek recognition?"

Brinkmeier: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The reason that we have to go either the rouce of the Resolution or route of a Bill to be considered before the Committee, is that we might have to use subpoena powers to be able to get some evidence and records from the Executive Department. I hope they will volunteer but if they don't I want to know and the Committee likes to know how many funds have been setup by Executive fiat without consideration, without deliberation, without approval of this Legislature of the State of Illinois. And, we have to use a Resolution or a Bill. That's why when we went before the Committee this morning, Rules Committee, I wanted to have a subject matter of 3116, however, they felt that this would be a more easy and more deliberate out and that's why we took the floor Resolution. The Committee does have a right to investigate but unless it has authority from the House, does not have subpoena powers if it needs it. I hope it don't need it and the reporting date is as soon as possible because none of us on the Committee know how much time it's going to take to investigate this subject thoroughly. I think, however, we will try to get it



before the time is allotted, unfortunately, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, by the new rules the Revenue matters are not automatically exempt as they were for many years and therefore we have to go this route to get the approval of the House. So, we would like to have 89 votes on this and I appreciate it very much."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the Resolution, all in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. House Bills, Third Reading. On House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 3358... Representative Molloy, did you have an announcement in respect to your seatmate? Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We just found out over here by the ah... distribution of some cake that one of our more distinguished Members on this side of the aisle... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We just found out through the distribution of some cake over here that one of our more distinguished Members on this side of the aisle is celebrating his birthday today too and I hope you will all join with me in wishing Representative Ted Meyers a very Happy Birthday. Ted."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative Shea. The Clerk advises me that Amendments have been furnished that are not yet printed."

Shea: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, the House Bill 3358 appears on the Order of Third Reading on the Calendar and just so that there is no confusion, with leave of the House I'll bring it back to Second Reading. If there is any question about it, I would like to make sure that it is on Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "It was read a Second time and held on Second."



Shea: "All right, fine... So if there are Amendments, I would hope that we could proceed with the Amendments at this point."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendments have just been turned in and we sent them up to get printed, they aren't back yet."

Shea: "How many Amendments are there, sir?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Three."

Clerk O'Brien: "Is there one of those, a Committee Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was offered in Committee and turned in as a floor Amendment."

Shea: "I see, all right, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the Record, Representative Shea?"

Shea: "I would presume so ah... until will get the Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the Records. Senate Bills, First Readings. Senate Bill 31, do we have a House Sponsor for that? Representative Lauer or Deavers?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 31, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relations to compensation of Members of the General Assembly. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative ah... Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... a point of information, Mr...."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... this Bill is just coming up today, is it not?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yap, well I inquired as to whether or not there's a House Speaker... Sponsor and he read it a first time, that's all."

Geo-Karis: "I mean will we have chance to put an Amendment on the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Sure, Second Reading."

Geo-Karis: "When's that ah... next week?"

Speaker Redmond: "I would assume the 26th."



Geo-Karis: "Thank you, kind sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Ah... a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Matijeich: "Does this Bill, since it's not a Committee Bill from the Senate, does it have to go to our Rules Committee or not?"

Speaker Redmond: "It is not exempt. and the answer is yes."

Matijeich: "That's what I thought. Now another question, Mr. Speaker, we were on House Bill, Second, and I had House Bill 3484, are we going to come back or..."

Speaker Redmond: "We will now go to the Order of House Bill Second Reaing, 3484."

Matijeich: "Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3484, Executive Committee, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the compensation of Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Amendments from the floor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Skinner, Amends House Bill 3484 on page 1, by deleting line 28 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "First may I ask if my Republican colleagues have a copy of it today? Thank you. Even yesterday... afterwards ah... it seems to me that the basic issue here is whether we get paid in advance and that we must exercise the entire advance payment in order to get this monkey off our back. What Amendment #1 does it says that the payments may not be made until after the month is over, that is, when you get sworn in you're not going to get a check for the first month pay as could happen under the undermin... unamended version of the Bill, in my opinion. I think that if



this is added to it we may... the new Members may suffer a little bit the first ah... the first month but ah... but I believe we will solve the problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have spoken ah... with Representative Skinner about this Amendment, I think it does make sure that the... there is no advance pay even if it is on a monthly basis so I would urge the Membership to go along and adopt Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1... Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, wonder if the ah... Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Cal, I have Amendments 2 and 3, which I thought would accomplish the same purpose but as I read your Amendment 1, it's contrasted to my Amendment 2. My Amendment 2, provides for a Member who has held office any part of a month could be entitled to compensation for the entire month, that is not at all covered in any of the other Amendments and I wondered ah... your Amendment doesn't cover it either ah... I just wonder.... do you want to withdraw yours and subscribe to mine because it will complete... it's up to you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich"

Matijevich: "Ah... I'll speak for Representative Skinner. The Bill itself calls for for twelve equally equal payments so that would mean that if somebody did ah... serve less than a month that they would get that month's payment, so his Amendment will survive that line. I will go along with his but yours,, how's that."

Geo-Karis: "Well, now that I'm able to speak I don't know



why you wouldn't want to go along with mine either..."

Matijevich: "Because his came first and it does the job."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1..."

Geo-Karis: "Okay, just so long as I know John."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Skinner ah... are you suggesting that the ah... salaries be paid at the end of the month rather than at the beginning of the month?"

Skinner: "Precisely."

Terzich: "What happens ah... with regard to the person's hospitalization insurance, his life insurance... you mean they are not covered if he didn't pay his premium... they didn't deduct his premium..."

Skinner: "You..... expert on this subject to lay anything on the legislative record or legislative history that you wish."

Terzich: "Well... I mean, what happens... I mean do ah... the the life insurance or the health or the pension ah... they deduct for these ah... when you become insured not after you become insured."

Skinner: "All right, what have.... I guess I must answer your question with a question. What happens to a new employee that goes on the payroll on day one and does not get a check until, let's say three weeks after he works his first day of work, is he covered or is he not?"

Terzich: "They have eligibilty periods and they ah... legislators become eligible ah... when they are sworn in the office on January 12 or whatever the case may be."

Skinner: "I will be perfectly willing to accept that interruption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz."



Marovitz: "Representative ah... Skinner, I don't have a copy of your Amendment in front of me but ah... does your Amendment make provisions so that if someone worked any day during the month they will be payable for the entire monthly period?"

Skinner: "I don't think that's reliant in the Amendment.... The Amendment seeks to how we will be paid the twenty thousand dollars...."

Marovitz: "I mean held office, I'm talking about if they...."

Skinner: "It does not speak to that."

Marovitz: "It doesn't speak to that at all."

Skinner: "Right. If you wish to speak to that, be my guest."

Marovitz: "Don't you think perhaps the Amendment should specify that if any of the individuals hold office anytime during that month they should be compensated for that entire monthly period?"

Skinner: "Frankly, I don't care."

Marovitz: "You don't care, that leaves us in kinda of a lurch if your Amendment passes, isn't that true?"

Skinner: "Well, this is Amendment #1 and I assume that the Amendments 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 protecting ah... what you wish."

Marovitz: "Well... wouldn't it be better to perfect your Amendment if it doesn't cover everything."

Skinner: "Well, we could solve the problem by asking.... by having everyone follow Representative Fary's example and pay back that proportion of the money that he does not think... or that she does not think that he or she earned."

Marovitz: "Well, if we're going to change our present situation we ought to have it of record as to how specifically we're going to change that, so that if somebody holds office at anytime during that pay period they should be compensated for the entire monthly period and I



would think that you would want that cleared up within your Amendment so we could have it of record."

Skinner: "Well, you see the Representatives that I'm more familiar with don't end up getting Senate appointments ah... they don't end up getting other appointments, they serve entire terms. That hadn't even occurred to me to be a problem.

Marovitz: "Well, we have to deal with all the Representatives not just the ones that Cal Skinner is familiar with."

Skinner: "Right, I'm only familiar with about 177."

Speaker Shea: "Might I interrupt the debate for a minute and excuse me, Mr. Matijevich. The Gentleman from Bloomington, Mr. Bradley, or from McLean, I'm sorry. Turn ~~to~~ Mr. Bradley on please."

Bradley: "Ah... thank you, Mr. Speaker, I hesitate to take this opportunity for an introduction but I think that it is rather important one. On the Republican side upstairs we have a group of students from Quincy, Massachusetts, who are visting Quincy, Illinois, as part of their Bicentennial and ah... in turn students from Quincy, Illinois, are in Quincy, ~~Massachusetts~~, and I would say that we're happy to have you here with us today and would you please stand and be recognized

Speaker Shea: "Thank you very much. Mr. Matijevich. Turn Mr. Matijevich on."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that we could solve this, Representative Skinner.... solve this if... if you would ah... withdraw... or table your Amendment #1 and if we adopt Adeline Geo-Karis Amendment #2 that does both of them and then we're all right. How does that.... does that fit you all right..."

Skinner: "Well, her Amendment say that if you serve one day of the month you get the whole months pay.... yeah, I



think that's all right and I would personally not be in favor of that occurring, it seems to me that you ought to be paid on a prorated basis, otherwise we'll have one heck of a lot of resignations on the first day of every month."

Matijevich: "Well, I'm going to recommend to the House then that we beseech your Amendment and adopt Representative Geo-Karis..."

Skinner: "It seems strange to me that her Amendment was unacceptable five minutes ago and now it is the answer to all our problems."

Matijevich: "I don't want to get into that..... the reason it is, is because it covers both and if we adopt yours, hers wouldn't be compatible then so we've got to one or the other."

Skinner: "Well, I'll be happy to follow your advice John, I always do. I will be happy to withdraw the Amendment."

Matijevich: "All right."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #1, is there objections.... hearing none Amendment #1 is Tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Geo-Karis..."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis on Amendment #2, the Lady offers Amendment #2, is there discussion? All those in favor will say 'aye' those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill 3484 on page 4, line 28..."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis on Amendment #3."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... at this time I think my Amendment #3 is covered in Amendment #2, am I correct?"

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis...."



Geo-Karis: "I would like to withdraw Amendment #3...."

Speaker Shea: "Moves to Table the Amendment. Are there objections, hearing none Amendment #3 is Tabled. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Shea: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3036, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3036, A Bill for an Act to repeal the Chicago Regional Port District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like in this case because again the result of the hearing before the Rules Committee this morning to have leave of the House to extend the time of this Bill and to re-submit it to the Committee for further Amendments of further consideration."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman makes a motion to recommit the Bill from the Committee from which it came, do we have leave... hearing none the Bill will be recommitted."

Maragos: "And that will be automatically parliamentary given a special time. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "On the Order of House Bill, Third Reading appears House Bill 3067, the Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman." Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3067. A Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Health Finance Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Bill before us proposes the establishment of the independent Health Finance Commission. It would review the cost in accounting reports of Health Authorities and determine reasonable rates for their services, not



to the general public but also to private insurers and government sponsored Health Programs. Our current system is based on claims and counterclaims about insufficiency or excesses of the current health care rates ah... the problem is this, the entire process lacks essential cost information and a systemic evaluation of the data by an impartial agent. There is no uniformity of reporting, no independent authority that is empowered to prescribe standards of reasonableness in our rate structure, hospitals should be reimbursed at full cost but there is no standard at the present time which decides full cost. It clear that an objective standard and review mechanism must be established if we are ever going to make any sense out of the current system and this can only be accomplished though a cross control mechanism that is free of bias and representative of consumer patient interest. It must also be recognized that the Federal Government is moving quickly into the medical cost control business. Demonstration projects are being funded in at least six States to test rate setting mechanism. It is simply a matter of time before our State will be required to implement a new form of health facility rate regulations, I suggest that rather than relinquishing our current prerogative to define the system..."

Speaker Shea: "Excuse me, Miss Chapman, I would like to remind our friends in the gallery that there will be no picture taking from the gallery of the House Chamber. Proceed, Miss Chapman."

Chapman: "Rather than relinquishing our current prerogative to define the system most compatible to our States needs that we move with dispatch and vote today to approve House Bill 3067."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman, moves for



the adoption of House Bill 3067. On the question, is there any debate? The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... may I have the right to ask the Sponsor some questions?"

Speaker Shea: "She indicates she will yield."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Madam Sponsor, under your Bill every hospital and every nursing home would have to have fee per bed, would it not to the State of Illinois?"

Chapman: "This is ah... ah... minimum fee, a nominal fee to cover the cost; there would be no cost to the State."

Geo-Karis: "When you say it's a minimum cost, would you tell us what the minimum cost is, Madam?"

Chapman: "Ah... this fee shall not exceed 1% of the annual operating expense of each health care facility as the Commission makes from time to time to determine."

Geo-Karis: "So... if ah... a hospital has ah... an operating expense of a million dollars then 1% of that would be ten thousand dollars per year, wouldn't it, Madam Sponsor?"

Chapman: "Ah... it is .1% not 1%."

Geo-Karis: "Well, .1%... is it a thousand dollars? If I recall correctly when this Bill was being debated, Madam Sponsor, in the Human Resources Committee there was quite an exorbitant amount due to the State of Illinois from the various hospitals and nursing care facilities which in the long run would cause a financial burden that someone going to have to pay it... on the consumer, would you like to answer to that, please?"

Chapman: "I surely would, we do have some States in which similar ah... rate review Commission are in operation and not only has this has not been a cost to the consumer but it has been a great saving not only to the consumer but to the taxpayer. We know that one of the great burdens on our State is the medicare cost ah..."



we must find some mechanism to control these cost ah... I would suggest that .1% is not an exorbitant cost... in Connecticut they cut about $\frac{1}{2}$ the increase in hospital rates that would go far beyond this very nominal cost."

Geo-Karis: "Mr... Mr. Speaker, I'm about through with my questions. Ah... if I may re...."

Speaker Shea: "Well, pardon me..."

Geo-Karis: "I'm not through."

Speaker Shea: "Are you still asking questions? All right will you bring your remarks to a close, please."

Geo-Karis: "I look at Section 6.05 and that's the second paragraph where it says, it shall be duty of the Commission to establish and approve rates at intervals specified by the Commission but at least annually for all Health Care Facilities subject to the provisions to this Act and all rates shall be determined on a prospective basis and both the health care facilities purchaser or the third party purchaser shall be bound by the specific rates so determined before the provision of services to any patient. Madam Sponsor, this doesn't limit for any .1% of the total gross ah... expenses or whatever you were saying before the hospital or nursing care facility, how do you interpret that?"

Chapman: "Ah.. I... I believe, Mrs. Geo-Karis, that you ah... thus should read this again because this relates to the rate which would be charged the patient, this does not rate ah... relates to the assessment against the hospital which I... I think our records should show would be one thousand dollars for every one million dollars in... in expenditures."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Miss Geo-Karis to speak on the Amendment ah..."



the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, this Bill was brought up before and I think it was House Bill 2112 and I think it died in Committee. Now, this Bill is opening the door to complete ah... oligarchy of the part of the particular Health Finance Commission to run every hospital, every nursing care home and tell them exactly what to do and the absolute obstreperous to the course to the good treatment of the patients. Don't be fooled by this Bill, this Bill will necessitate approximately twenty million dollars increase in State Health Care expenditures for medicade and forty million including Federal dollars. This is the amount estimated that the State is currently deficient in meeting the health care rates paid by individual purchases of Insurance Companies. I would like to point out to the attention to this Assembly that this is a very serious Bill, we already have great increases in the purchase of malpractice insurance by the hospitals, by nursing homes, and so forth, now what are we... how are we going to incert good care of the patients by constantly tying up the hospitals and nursing homes as far as what they are able to do in order to give good care to patients. I submit, this Bill is a very bad one and urge everyone of you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Skinner: "Yes, sir. You know, Geo has a fine habit of reading complex Bills and finding all sorts of holes in them. She did that with the Regional Transportation Authority Bill and virtually everyone of her predictions about that Bill has come true. Now, the Sponsor of this Bill said it would be best for all of us to read this again, I would suggest that it would be best for



all of us to read this for the first time, because we may be creating a monster similar to the Health Service Health System Agency monster that the Federal Government created which has just allowed the Chicago Board of Health to take control of all Health expenditures in all of Cook County and if there are any suburban Cook County Members out there that don't think that Chicago Board of Health ought to have control of every expenditure over fifty thousand dollars in the suburban part of Cook County, you better start contacting your Congressman. I fear that we may be doing something akin to that on the State level, I suspect that Representative Geo-Karis is absolutely correct, this is a very basic change in the way we handle medical expenses in the State of Illinois and that we should not cast a 'yes' vote lightly."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I too rise to oppose this Bill and I think for a very sufficient reason. As the Sponsor indicated this deals with facilities delivering health care, hospitals, nursing homes etc. and I think that you ought to be aware that there are several Bills of this nature floating around because the Sponsor has been reluctant to sit down with the very people that she would like to regulate to come to some sort of a compromise as to how this Board is composed and these people are justified in their concern over her lack of concern for them because what this Board is going to do is to evaluate the cost of operating a hospital and by setting a rate. They're going to tell that hospital what rate they can charge. Now, there is only one way that I can see that this Bill is going to save the State some money and that's through Medicaid funds and by coming up with rates



which are below the cost that the hospitals are going to have to deliver service. If you will look at our Medicaid rates today, hospitals and medical providers are not receiving what it costs to provide treatment and these people are very worried that these costs will be frozen without adequate input by them and that the public as a whole will be paying more... because of what this Board fails to do. One other point, this Bill if you take a look at it, is inoperative and I use that word inoperative... if every segment of the... third party payers do not participate. That means the Federal Government through Medicare, the State through Medicaid and third party private payers which is Blue Cross - Blue Shield and I suggest to you today that if you think the Medicaid budget is high at approximately two billion dollars... vote for this Bill and watch it skyrocket, I think that this is a good concept but in very bad language and would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from... or... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to speak in favor ah... of House Bill 3067. I don't know where my good friend Representative Kempiners got some of his information but I really find that he's, at least inaccurate in some of the things that he shared with us here today. One, we've had a large number of hearings on this piece of legislation in Sub-Committee and Full Committee and we've spent literally hours with both sides in this issue and that is, those who really represent maybe consumer interest in and those who represent the industry. This is the only Bill alive now, there are no other Bills that I'm aware of that are floating around... this is the only



Bill addressing this topic that is alive. Every side of this issue agrees that there is a necessity for dealing with health care cost and dealing with it in this manner. The only disagreement existing at this point is the composition of that Commission and that is shall it be controlled by consumers or shall it have a provider majority. I think that this Bill is a reasonable approach to a very ah... serious problem that we facing now and that is the drastic increase in the cost of providing health services in this State. And, I would urge my fellow ah... Members to support this legislation."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman, to close."

Chapman: "Thank you, Mr. ah... Speaker. The Lady from Lake is correct when she says, that this is a serious Bill ah... much of... of what ah... a couple of the oppoents have mentioned as concerns ah... what may come true if we do not support and approve this legislation these ah... HSA that are in the process formation may turn out to be a rate setting mechanism, isn't it far better to have a law which is set up by this Legislature than one that has been enacted by the Federal Government. Ah... I ask you to vote 'yes' in order to avoid the kind of nightmares that previous speaker have alluded to and this can happen if we do not move on this legislation now."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 3067 pass. All those in favor, will vote 'aye,' those opposed will vote 'nay'. Shea, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman, moves that House Bill 3067 be put on the Order of Postponed Consideration. Miss Chapman... turn on Miss Chapman."

Chapman: "You... you misinterpreted my... my desires, Mr.



Speaker ah... I will accept this Roll Call for the present time since this is the last day on which we would have an opportunity."

Speaker Shea: "Have all those voted who wished? Take the Record...."

Chapman: "It's a good Bill."

Speaker Shea: "On this question there is 50 'aye' votes, 78 'nays', 6 Members voting 'present' and this Bill is declared lost. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3067, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor.... 3036, no wait, that was done. 3100, Mr. Mann... is... you want to call it or just.... take that Bill out of the Record. On the Order of....Mr. Epton, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. My light was flashing on the previous vote, I simply wanted to call attention to the House the fact that I'm a Member of the Comprehensive Health Planning Agency who has applied for a HSA designation. I obviously have a conflict of interest, nevertheless I voted as I always do, in the manner that I thought best."

Speaker Shea: "The Record will so reflect, Representative Epton. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading. Mr. Mann, do you want to seek recognition on that Bill? Mr. Mann on, please."

Mann: "Ah... Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. When ah... has there been or there contemplated a motion to extend the time for passage for Bills on Third Reading?"

Speaker Shea: "No, Sir."

Mann: "No, Sir, to either."

Speaker Shea: "It has not been discussed and I know of no joint motion of individual Sponsors want to make those motions, I'm sure that they will be entertained."

Mann: "Well, I would then move that ah... House Bill 3100



which is a Committee Bill be returned to Committee."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman has asked leave of the House to recommit House Bill 3100 to the Committee from which it came, hearing no objection leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3111, the Lady from St. Clair, Miss Wyvetter Younge."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3111, A Bill for an Act to amend the Metro-East and Performing Arts Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Younge: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, would you recommit that to Committee?"

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from St. Clair, Miss Younge, ask leave to recommit House Bill 3111 to Committee, is there objection... hearing none leave is granted and will be returned to the Committee on Executive. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3338. The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3338. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3338, provides the appropriation authority to the S.I.U. Board of Trustees for the expenditure in fiscal year 1976 of one point two million dollars in income fund money. This money is available to the income fund as a result of 12% enrollment increase at S.I.U., Carbondale, last Fall. This Bill passed Appropriation II Committee and it provides that this 12% increase actually translates to approximately twenty-one hundred students which is the largest increase in Illinois Senior Universities,



The University was forced to use equipment and contractual services fund for personal services needs. This Bill seeks funds for replacing those solely needed for support costs. I want to point out and emphasize that this Bill does not require additional general revenue dollars. It only seeks to enable the University to use funds already collected through tuition, which is available in the income fund. The rationale for this request is quite clear. Many State-supported institutions of higher education received support for fiscal year 1976 at levels comparable with that provided SIU, Carbondale, without the enrollment increases, that were experienced at SIU, Carbondale. This request is intended to recover those losses in order that the University may provide at least minimal support to the programs for which the balance of the fiscal year. I think that this is a justifiable request on the part of the Board of Trustees of SIU and I respectfully ask your support in this effort. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond, has moved for the adoption of House Bill 3338. On the question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Lauer: "Mr. Richmond, is SIU the only ah..... State University that experienced an increase in enrollment this year?"

Richmond: "No, they are not the only one. However, they are the one that asked such..... they have the highest percentage of increase, which has created a financial burden to them, because in the budget we approved here last year, there were, of course, no provisions made for an unexpected increase of 2200 students."

Lauer: "Well, if the other universities have ah..... experienced increases by substantial length, and it's my understanding they did, ah..... I would think that it might



be reasonable that the Board of Higher Education would come in with a recommendation to the income funds of all the universities. What is the Board of Higher Education's position on this Bill?"

Richmond: "The Board of Higher Education ah..... in the Committee hearing ah..... they brought out three points. First, they agreed that these funds were available. Second, they agreed with the ah..... increased enrollment and the problems that were created and third, they ah.... of course, want to use those funds to supplement General Revenue funds in fiscal 77. In my viewpoint, this is wrong because those funds were furnished by the students who created the shortage of finances at the University. Therefore....."

Lauer: "Then BHE did oppose your Bill?"

Richmond: "Well, yes."

Lauer: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, to speak to the measure."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, it seems to me that we would be establishing a very dangerous precedent if we permit this Bill to pass. The Sponsor has indicated that the funding that is representing here is paid by the student. That is true, because the students are required under the general concept of funding of universities in this State to contribute to the cost of their own education. Now, this is the ordinary tuition and the students anticipate that they would be paying it. The money from tuition comes into the State and is appropriated back by the State. We have agencies which are obligated to give their best information and their best judgment as to how they should spend the monies on the various aspects of higher education. This is the Board of Higher



Education and while I've had my differences with them in the past, it would seem to me that this is one time that we ought to accept the recommendation of that higher board. If it is reasonable that SIU would be permitted to use these income funds to the detriment and to the compoundment of the fiscal problems that we have in FY 1977, then it seems to me that every college.... public college or university in the State ought to have the same privilege, whether they be under the Board of Regents, Board of Governors or the U. of I. or under the community colleges. Mr. Speaker, I think this is an extremely bad precedent and I cannot condone it and would strongly solicit a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Mautino: "Representative Richmond, as I understand the funding, is it not true that the 76 funds to be used in 76 are reallocated for 77, does that mean, then, that in 1977, you would have an additional deficit funding problem at SIU? If you used the funds this year, wouldn't you have to come back next year for the additional funds to offset it?"

Richmond: "Well, that would be a pretty good assumption. However, it would be difficult for me to answer that with any certainty."

Mautino: "Is it possible, then, we could be robbing Peter to pay Paul in this one fiscal year period?"

Richmond: "Well, if we do not allow an authority to transfer these funds, we are working a terrific hardship on the state universities who have experienced a tremendous increase in enrollment and had to use other funds which were not allocated for that to take up the slack in the cost of educating these additional students. It is



my contention that these funds should be transferred in order to offset deficits that are going to be in their budget at the end of this year."

Mautino: "May I ask one more question?"

Speaker Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Mautino: "Was it your statement that SIU had the largest increase? To the best of my knowledge, it was Northern Illinois that had the largest student enrollment this year?"

Richmond: "No, according to the facts and figures as presented to me, it is almost 12% increase, which is I said translates into 2205 students, is the largest of all the senior universities, in Illinois."

Mautino: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if the Sponsor would fill us northern Illinois people in on what the SIU income fund is?"

Speaker Shea: "Is that in the form of a question, Sir?"

Skinner: "Question mark, yes."

Speaker Shea: "Well, he indicates he'll yield."

Richmond: "Thank you. I wish you hadn't asked the question. I'm not sure I can answer it completely, at least to your satisfaction, Cal, because I do know that the tuition money goes in there and some grant money. I'm not sure just what all is included in income funds."

Skinner: "This may be just coincidental, but we have a House Bill 233 on green paper that just flew across our desks, which talked about a million dollar TV station at Edwardsville. Does that have anything to do with what you're talking about?"

Richmond: "Nothing, whatsoever. My Bill applies only to the Carbondale campus."

Skinner: "What are you going to spend this money for?"

Richmond: "It has already been spent. It's.... It's the authority to replace money that had to be spent as a



result of the large increase in enrollment. If you had 2200 students in any enrollment, I'd think it would be obvious that there would be an increase in cost that had not been budgeted."

Skinner: "Is this a result of increasing tuition? Is that how the extra money got in the fund?"

Richmond: "No, it's a result of 2200 extra students."

Skinner: "Well, you keep talking about an increase in the enrollment and we both know that the enrollment at SIU is not at its peak at this point. It is lower than its peak and that's why I'm having a problem understanding your line of logic."

Richmond: "Okay, it's lower than it is at its peak, that's true. It's very little lower. However, it's higher by 2200 students and what was there last year and what they base their budget request on."

Skinner: "If I were to follow Representative Dyer's advice which is in doubt, vote 'no'. Why should I vote 'yes' on this?"

Richmond: "Because it's a very good Bill."

Skinner: "I hope that's sufficient justification."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Londrigan: "What is the population, student population, Southern now?"

Richmond: "Let's see, just a moment here. Maybe one of my southern Illinois colleagues can answer that for me. It's 21,000 is it?"

Londrigan: "And are conditions crowded on the campus?"

Richmond: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear that."

Londrigan: "Are conditions crowded on the campus?"

Richmond: "No, they are just about at capacity and this is the first year that they propose having a limit on and when they reach a certain figure, they cut



off enrollment at the freshman level."

Londrigan: "What I'm neither in support of or against this Bill, but I would address a question or two to all of our universities. Now, several sessions ago, I introduced a resolution to each of the student population on all of our campuses of 20,000. The University of Illinois killed this in Committee by one vote, telling us at that time that they did not propose to expand further, which as usual is a lie, because several months later, they were back at us for more appropriations, for more buildings and now I think they're up around 33,000. The Bill to keep it at 20,000 was defeated by one vote, with all the universities against it. Not six months thereafter, the Institute in a study across the country came up with that exact 20,000 population and said we should not have more than 20,000 student population on any one campus site. But in spite of this, our Board of Education apparently has done nothing about it. Our universities have done nothing about it and are continually going over the 20,000 figure. I think our universities should be getting better and not bigger. They continually equate getting bigger with getting better. It's time that these universities stop at something like the 20,000 figure. I don't think this university should have this large an increase in one year. It was not necessary. However, since they have already done so, perhaps we should give them the funds. When is this university and the other universities and when is the Board of Higher Education going to give us a policy of just how big we're going to allow our university? I would suggest that they do so immediately."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



you may well know that the Governor's budget for higher education is some 47 million dollars. The Board of Higher Education recommends 50 million dollars additional. 97 million. That's a question the House is going to have to direct itself to. Whether our Higher Education Systems gets 47 or 97. Whether this Bill, under consideration now, comes into play, is simply this: that if this Bill is now approved by the House, and SIU receives a million, two from its income fund to spend in 1976, in fact what ends up happening, is that for next year, we're going to have to look around for a million, two to replenish the money that is taken out this year. If this happens with SIU, I assure you that Northern, and Northeastern and Western and every other university in or under the Board of Regents or the Governor's or the U. of I. are going to attempt to take out money and funds from their income fund to pay for this year's expenses and we are going to be faced with a horrendous problem next year of trying to find money to fund our higher educational system. Our staff suggests that SIU in its own housekeeping situation in terms of transfers of monies within its own system can alleviate this problem until we can address ourselves to it in its next budget. Our staff has recommended a 'no' vote and I make these remarks for the consideration of the Members of the House here for determining their vote."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I don't want to take long on this, because I know that we're anxious to get on about the business of the State of Illinois, but Southern Illinois University does have this money. The money is in an Income Fund. It's not like they were coming to the General Revenue Fund to get money from the State of



Illinois. This 1,200,000 has been taken in in tuitions and in money from the extra more than 2,200 more students that they anticipated having, and as Representative Richmond so ably stated, the money is in the till and all we need to do is transfer it from one pocket to the other. I'd urge you an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond, or Mr. Birchler, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to make a comment. At the time the money was budgeted, last year, for this fiscal year, the budget figure was set lower by \$1,200,000, because it was not anticipated of this increased enrollment, so in order to get this money spent in this given year from the funds generated from the University Tuition Fund, we have to pass this Bill so that they can spend the money that they paid into to use this given year. That's what I wanted to say."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond, to close."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, very briefly, I would like to again point out that SIU didn't ask for these additional students, neither did the IBHE budget for them. They didn't now that we were going to have them. All we ask for in this Bill now is your authority to allow the use of these funds from the income funds. I respectfully request your support of this fine Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 3338 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, to explain his vote."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen



of the House, I can't believe that that Board reflects any thinking at all in regard to the subject. Representative Richmond isn't asking you for any dime from the General Fund. What he's asking for here is permission to make a bookkeeping entry, to transfer \$1,200,000 from SIU. Just imagine for a moment the school was in Chicago or somewhere in Northern Illinois and imagine for a moment that we had a situation here like the lines on the Statute of Liberty about sending me your poor and your disabled, your yearning to be free. In this case, they are sending you the People who want to be educated. Carbondale has been down there for a long time. They are educating People from all over the State of Illinois and a lot of People have come down there from Chicago. It's an ungracious, poor, unthinking thing to do to refuse this very simple request. Anyone that isn't voting green here has a duty to himself and his own conscience to stand up and say why he doesn't believe SIU should have control of its own destiny and the right to transfer its account. That should have a unanimous vote. There shouldn't be any argument about it. I urge you to examine your hearts and your conscience and give him an 'aye' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, to explain his vote."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not sure that everybody understood completely the issue here, but what happened was the cause of the increase, the unprojected increase enrollment of 2200, there was additional monies paid in by the students. That money cannot be spent by the university until the General Assembly allows it to an appropriation, and so the money lies there needed by the university because of the increase in the cost of the 2200 additional, unprojected students and now



Bruce Richmond and the other Members of the General Assembly representing the 58th District asks for your support to allow the university to spend this money and we would appreciate your support."

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 97 'ayes', 41 'nays' and this Bill is declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. I wonder if anybody rises for the purpose of a verification? If they do, I certainly yield to them. Evidently not. Well, Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Schoeberlein wishes to be voted 'aye' on the last Bill. That Bill was already declared passed, Mr. Walsh. Proceed."

Walsh: "Well, it is my pleasure, Mr. Speaker, in this friendly climate, to introduce 14 students and 4 adults from the Future Farmers of America. It's a group sponsored by the DeKalb County Farm Bureau and they are very capably represented by Representatives Mautino, Schuneman, Ebbesen, excuse me, Waddell, Skinner, Hanahan, Grotberg, Ewing and Riccolo and they're in the rear gallery on the Republican side."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Riccolo, wishes to straighten the Assistant Minority Leader out on the pronunciation of his name. On the Order of House Bills, Consideration Postponed, is House Bill 3053. The Gentleman from Joliet, or from Will, Mr. VanDuyne."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3053. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to waive ah..... Rule 37c, which is the 45 day rule, so that I can bring this Bill back for Amendments at Second



Reading, after we come back from the spring vacation."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman asks leave of the House to extend the date on the Calendar on this Bill to April the 30th. Is there objection? I'm just taking Motions. Is there objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I wonder if the Gentleman could tell us why he is asking to have this extended? There's no sense in having Rules, Mr. Speaker, if we willy-nilly suspend them."

Speaker Shea: "Turn Mr. VanDuyne on."

VanDuyne: "Representative Walsh, ah..... it's a very serious intent. I'd like to alleviate the problem of getting our infirm and our shut-ins to vote as a team. I'd like to use this Bill....."

Walsh: "I'm not talking to the substance, Leroy, I'm talking to why you want to postpone or extend....."

VanDuyne: "It's going to die on the 20th and we'll be on vacation the 20th, so we won't be able to act on it. Tomorrow is the last day."

Walsh: "You may hear it now. You can hear it now."

VanDuyne: "I have to bring it back to second reading for the purposes of an Amendment and I don't have the Amendment."

Walsh: "I see. Well, Mr. Speaker, this is a political Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Are you speaking to the Gentleman's Motion? Proceed."

Walsh: "This Bill, Mr. Speaker, is one that has evoked considerable debate and is very political to say the least. We're in a position, now, Mr. Speaker, where those of us who do not agree with what the Bill will do might be the winners if we consent to having the Gentleman postpone the deadline on the Calendar, then we may lose the Bill. Now I suggest to you that this



has been on the Calendar for many, many months. There have been many opportunities to take it back to Second Reading, amend it and have it in the form that it should be. Since the Gentleman has not availed himself of these opportunities and since we do have Rules, I suggest that this might be a good time, Mr. Speaker, to abide by these Rules and to oppose the Gentleman's Motion to postpone the days on the Calendar."

Speaker Shea: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think the Representative from Joliet, his request is not unreasonable. I think that we've gone on Record many times and set a precedent that we do allow the Sponsors of Bills to take them back to Second Reading for purposes of amending them, putting them in proper order. I think that the only problem here is that he has extending problems is because of the time period involved and it's not like suspending the rules, avoiding hearing in Committee or anything. All we're doing is allowing him the courtesy of putting his Bill in proper order, before it's heard and I think he should have this right. We've extended it to almost every Member of this House and I suggest we give him this courtesy also."

Speaker Shea: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne, to close. Did you want to speak, Mr. Collins, your light isn't on?"

Collins: "Yeow, well, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to point out to the House, that this Bill was introduced in June of 1975. I think that there's been ample time to take it back to Second Reading, if the desire was to amend it. Now the Motion obviously is to keep this Bill alive. I don't know if Mr. VanDuyne has amendments on his desk, but I rather doubt it. So he hasn't availed himself of the opportunity to amend this Bill



previous to this. Now at your suggestion, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Majority Leader, at your suggestion, other Members who wanted to do the same thing, have moved to recommit their Bill to Committee. I would suggest the consistency that was suggested to us, that this Bill be handled in the same way."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne, to close."

VanDuyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will quite readily admit that I've had plenty of time to do this. In fact the Bill was called two or three times in the last week, but ah..... the ah..... idea to put this specific Amendment on this never came to me until I went home over the last weekend, and one of our Committeemen came to me and said that he would like to have the Amendment placed in this Bill to allow a precinct committeeman of both parties. It is absolutely not political, as alluded by Mr. Walsh. A Republican Precinct Committeeman, a Democratic Precinct Committeeman, a Communist Precinct Committeeman, whoever they are can go to the shut-in house with a kit and allow this person to vote. Now you all know that this is a kind of a laborious type thing to do when we try to get our People who are shut-ins, sickly people, especially, to vote absentee. Now, we all know that, and that's all I'm asking for this courtesy for. To take it back and try to put this Amendment on and I'm sincere, so I would ask you for the proper vote, especially you, Mr. Walsh, Torpedo."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne, moves to extend..... Mr. Madison, do you wish to speak to the question? Turn Mr. Madison on, please."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, are we on the Bill or the Motion to suspend?"

Speaker Shea: "We are on the Motion to suspend the appropriate



Rule and extend the deadline on this Bill until April 30th of this year. It takes 107 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. Purposes of the Record, it's Rule 37c. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This is not an orchestra. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 78 'ayes', 46 'nays' and the Gentleman's Motion fails. Now back to the main question. Do you wish to proceed with the Bill, Sir?"

VanDuyne: "I'm defective, Mr. Speaker, but if.... yes, I would if ah.... if that's the only way I can do it."

Speaker Shea: "Sir, you have two choices, as it appears.

You can recommit it or call it or it dies on the Calendar."

VanDuyne: "Would you please explain to me what happens to the Bill if I recommit it this Session? It will not be heard this Session, right?"

Speaker Shea: "That's up to the Committee."

VanDuyne: "But I've got to get the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "This is worse than a thousand questions."

VanDuyne: "Would you give me the time to get the Amendment written up, then, please?"

Speaker Shea: "Take it out of the Record. Twenty questions are back. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne, on House Bill 3053."

VanDuyne: "Okay, Mr. Speaker, then my intention now is to call the Bill, even though I'm repetitious. Mr. Walsh and my illustrious Mr. Collins over here forced me to do it this way, so I will call the Bill and ask for an affirmative vote in hope that Mr..... Senator Wooten can get the proper Amendment over in the Senate for me, so this Bill, as I said many, many, many times, something put forward to open up the Election process. We all stand here and beat our gums and use all the beautiful words that Mr. Cunningham uses all the time, saying that we should encourage our People to go to



the pools and vote ah... learn all about their candidates and then when somebody like me gets up before this Body ah... then we all get very picayunish and we start saying it's all political and so on and so forth. So, we debated this thing hours and hours and hours ah... last Session and finally we had to settle for Senate Bill 1036 Sponsor by Senator Wooten. Ah... it is my intention ah... to try to open up the Election Process even more by ah... allowing the Republican and the Democratic Precinct Committeemen to traverse their precincts and try to ah... ah... allow their sick people in their precincts ah... to vote just a little bit easier and that's the whole purpose and I have been denied this by ah... whatever purpose so I'm asking my.... if I can't ask the Republicans I would like to ask the Democrats at least, to give me the proper 89 votes ah... for the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Madigan, in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker... the previously acting speaker asked to take this Bill out of the Record. Now, I suggest to you that we ah... should not be on this particular Bill but should be either on the next order of business or the next Bill on Third Reading and we are not properly on ah... House Bill 3053, now if you rule that we are, which I'm afraid you may do ah... then I would like to be heard on the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Walsh, proceed on the Bill." Pursuant to your request proceed on the Bill."

Walsh: "Ah... you not going to rule on that hun... Well... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the Sponsor indicated there was a Bill passed and signed by the Governor which provided the Precinct Committeemen



would be made Precinct Committee.... would be made ah... Deputy Registrars in each precinct in the State. Now, this Bill would go further than that and require not suggest to the Registrar that he appoint Deputy Registrars, it would require that he appoint two additional Registrars in each precinct. Now, I suggest to you this is absolute overkill, it doesn't say in the Bill that the registrations obtained by all these registrars and with this Bill there would be four in each precinct, more than there are registered voters in some cases, but four in each precinct and it does not require that they take registrations from people who are physically or otherwise disabled, it says that they may take registration from anybody... now this is ridiculous, Mr. Speaker, there is absolutely no reason for this Bill in the world. The Sponsor himself knows that it is ridiculous and wanted to amend it, but unfortunately unable too. I'm just about to the point where I would be ah... willing to have the Gentleman take it back for Amendment ah... because it absurd... it's just.... and I'm afraid he may have worked up a little bit of sympathy for his Bill which absolutely shouldn't be so I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that this Bill should be defeated.... it places no restrictions on who may be registered by these Deputy Registrars, it places no qualifications, no particular interest in elections and it should not pass and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Further debate, does Mr. Houlihan wish to seek recognition? Mr. Houlihan's light is on. The Chair recognizes the ah... Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well.... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to rise to thank Rep-



representative Walsh for explaining the Bill to us, because the Sponsor of the Bill showing great wisdom didn't mention one word about the merits of the Bill. I did enjoy his presentation, as everyone else in the House did, but I do think that it would be a bad joke to pass this very bad Bill. This House in its wisdom did defeat this Bill last year... we made the mistake of passing a similar Bill, I don't know why we should compound an error of last year by passing another very bad Bill and I would hope that we would restrain ourselves and vote 'no' on this Bill. It's just as bad as the last time that you voted against it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook. Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Before the ah... suburban Democrats join the stampede to pass this Bill, let me remind them that because of Amendment #1 there is now excluded Deputy Registrars from suburban Cook County and this only applies to the City of Chicago and those downstate counties ah... that have Boards of Election Commissioners and it ~~excludes~~ the reference to County Clerk so, I would think that suburban Democrats as well as all Members of the Republican Party would be Illinois to support this Bill and in addition because of that provision it is now not a uniform election law as required ah... by the Election Code, it only applies to two specific areas of the State."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan. James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The... Sponsor?"

Houlihan: "The Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor, indicates that he will



yield, Mr. Van Duynes?"

Houlihan: "I have a question for you, LeRoy..."

VanDuynes: "Yes... I'm sorry."

Houlihan: "LeRoy, this Bill is now ah... drafted, it applies to the City of Chicago?"

VanDuynes: "No, the City of Chicago is a home rule ah... County, they have their own setup... it's not like the downstate county."

Houlihan: "It does not apply to the City of Chicago."

VanDuynes: "It does not apply where they have the Board of Election Commissioners."

Houlihan: "They operate by their own rules."

VanDuynes: "We only operate.... we are only talking about where they have Precinct Committeemen, like in Will County." Basically, Jimmy, it applies to all counties in the State except ah... Cook County but there are a couple of more exceptions."

Houlihan: "A couple of more exceptions..."

VanDuynes: "Like ah... Springfield, I think is one of them where they have a Board of Election Commissioners."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Simms: "Ah... Representative VanDuynes, I am somewhat confused... ah... Representative Totten ah... just indicated that an Amendment to the Bill.... seriously an Amendment to the Bill excluded those areas that were not under the ah... direction of the County Clerk. Now his interpretation of the Bill now as Amended seems to be in conflict with your explanation to Representative Houlihan. Now... does the Bill, number 1... does it include those areas that are only under the direction



of the County Clerk, is that correct or is it not?"

VanDuyne: "Would you turn me on..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. VanDuyne."

Simms: "Ah... would you repeat that.... LeRoy?"

VanDuyne: "As I understand, exception was where they go under the rules of the Election Commission, it does not apply."

Simms: "In other words, the City of Chicago would be because they have an Election Commission, the City of Rockford would be exempt because they have an Election Commission ah... the City of Aurora would be exempt. Peoria would ah... exempt plus any other municipalities in the State, is that correct?"

VanDuyne: "I think you are correct. Now I don't know whether all these towns that you're naming Boards of ah..."

Simms: "Well, they do have do have Election Commissions."

VanDuyne: "Okay..."

Simms: "Now this what you say... are you sure that this is what the Bill says now it's amended?"

VanDuyne: "Fairly sure."

Simms: "Well, you're not positive."

VanDuyne: "Ah... well, I'll lie a little bit and, yes, I'm positive."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker.... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now, maybe this is hilarity ah... on the parts of legislation that is being presented but here we have a Sponsor of the Bill that doesn't even know what's in it. Now, that's an affront to the General Assembly. I suggest that this be taken off the Records until the Sponsor knows what, in his own legislation or else vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House. Ah... a little fun is a little fun. I got up before this Group of altruistic people to ask for one simple courtesy and that is to extend the time of the Bill. For a very very sincere effort to try to open up the Election process, now ah... Representative Collins thought that it was a joke, you know it's not near as big of a joke as Mike Lyason but we had that brought up before and everybody thought that was kinda fun. Now, this is a sincere effort on mine and I did not bring the Bill out here and scrutinize it word by word, Representative Simms, because I didn't think that it was necessary now, if you will just be a little patient will get the Bill out and read it for you if you think that's necessary. Ah... I think that it's ah... overly ah... active group over here who are trying to knock this Bill because you are afraid that maybe something put in here that may be detrimental to the Republican Party. Now, that is not my intent although I admitted years ago, it seems that this was a partial Bill, it was partial to the Democratic Precinct Committeeman, in our county especially because I felt we were being discriminated against. Up to five years ago we had a county of 115,000 people and had one registrar and that was the County Clerk. Now, I submit to you that's not fair, I fought it for ten years in the County Board and I'm trying to fight it right now and all I'm trying to get is equity for each Party... I'm not ah... you know exempting the Republican Committeemen for going around with this little kit and ah... trying to get his people registered, all I'm trying to do is get the shut-ins ah... allow the shut-ins to vote just a little bit easier now you people are the ones that are going through this whole rigamarole."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Simms you have already spoken on the Bill. What's the purpose... for what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, arise?"

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman used my name in the debate and first of all let's clarify the legislation. This Bill is being Sponsored by Representative VanDuyne..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ah... Simms, you have already spoke on the Bill... you have already spoke on the Bill. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, arise?"

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I had the floor until I was cut off by the Chair who was entering into the debate. You are the presiding officer and not a participant in the debate. The previous speaker alluded to my name in the conversation of his debate, we're on a serious issue dealing with Election Code...."

Speaker Madigan: "You arise on a point of personal privilege... you rise on a point of personal privilege..."

Simms: "I ah... point of personal privilege."

Speaker Madigan: "State your point."

Simms: "That you are out of order as the Speaker of the House of entering into the debate...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Simms, you have all ready spoken on the Bill..."

Simms: "Well so did Mr. VanDuyne..."

Speaker Madigan: "You rose and stated that you rose on a point of personal privilege, so, please state the point."

Simms: "Well, I'm bring on the point of personal privilege. He used my name in debate and to respond to his comment. And, ah... my response to his comment is, he is the Sponsor of the Bill, he has an obligation to all the



Members of the House to present the factual material contained in the Bill without alluding to hilarity or other things we are dealing with a serious topic matter. This is not a joke operating State Government."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of this legislation asked for an extension of the rules so he could get the Bill in the shape that would take care of the the ah... weakness in the Bill and that was denied him and so he came to the House now and says, well look we'll pass it and get some Amendments on in the Senate. I happen to agree with the principle of this Bill. In fact the City of Peoria is a... a city that has the Election Commission excluded and I still think that it is a good Bill although it's not going to affect my city but maybe when it goes to the Senate they will be put in. Representative VanDuyne has got a good Bill and he's done a lot of work and I think we ought to give him the vote and get the show on."

Speaker Madigan: "Ah... the Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the previous question be put... The question is, shall the main question now be put... all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed... the 'ayes' have it and ah.... the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne, to close the debate."

VanDuyne: "Ah... thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think there has been enough ah... harangue on this and I think everybody knows what ah... were ah... talking about so I just ask respectfully for an affirmative vote."



Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall House Bill 3053 be passed. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' all those opposed by voting 'no'. And the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my vote I... I wanted to ask the Sponsor a question but the previous question was moved before I had that opportunity. Ah... I've got some problems with the explanation the Sponsor gave in terms of his reference to ah... Municipalities with... with Boards of Elections Commissions being exempt because as I read the synopsis it says just the opposite of that and for that reason ah... unless the Sponsor can show me where the synopsis is wrong, I'm going to vote 'no' on this ah... Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman. Mr. Schlickman, did you speak in debate?"

Schlickman: "You didn't hear me... No, ~~Sir~~."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, did you wish to explain your vote?"

Schlickman: "If I may, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm voting 'no' on this for a couple of reasons. Number one, contrary to the representation to the Sponsor this Bill is not limited to Representat..... is not limited to registration of ill and sick people, there're no limits with respect to who may be registered through the process established in this Bill. Number two, contrary to the representation of the Sponsor and if you'll look on page 2, starting on line 28, it says that provided that the.... and then if you look at



Amendment #1, County Clerk is stricken and Board of Election Commission is inserted... shall also point Deputy Registrar for each Precinct etc., so contrary to representation of the Sponsor.... Board of Election Commissioners instead of being exempt are expressly included and therefore I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Further explanation of vote... Is there any further explanation of vote? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Ah... yes, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much.... most of the argument is going on last Session ah... I think that ah... all I'm doing here is trying to get this Bill.... I said this, I admitted it openly that I want to get the Bill because of the opposition over here to the Senate so I could amend the Bill to ah... allow the Election thing to be open. Now, I don't understand ah... why in the world anybody would object to ah... to allowing people to be ah... for it to be made easier for people to vote ah... we stand here and we derive the crookedness of politics, we talk about political hash, we say we want openness, we want honesty and all this and we read it time after time in the paper and yet... when there is an honest effort brought before this House to open up the Election process so that everybody can vote where nobody gets ah... ah... unfair advantage ah... we find people defective we find the Republican Party ah... voting almost in mass against the Bill ah... now there has got to be one man over here on this side of the aisle that sees the merit of this Bill. So.... besides Sangmeister and myself... so ah... I say people to the point of view of Democrats and the downstate counties where ah... the Republicans have owned the Court Houses for years, at least in our area and where we have not



been allowed to get our people voted ah... efficiently and easily ah... I don't understand why we can't get the votes and I would plead ah... for another eight votes up there before ah... time runs on. Now, Mike, if you want to go down off of the Chair I'll talk a little longer and go back there and vote your switch..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the ah... Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Ah... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to explain my vote.... I find ah... in campaigning there's a lot of people who are not registered to vote and a lot of them need ah... someone to... to register them and there is a lot of times ah... that if they are within the precinct, new people move in... someone can go over there and register them and they appreciate ah... having that service performed. And, I think the Democratic Party and the Republican Party will both be served equally well on this and the people on the Republican side should vote for this Bill. It's no wonder they lose all the elections, they won't let anybody get registered to vote for them. So, I think that this is a good chance to pick up some votes and to get a chance to win some elections but I would appreciate a few more votes so Representative VanDuyne can get this Bill passed."

Speaker Madigan: "Any further explanation of vote, Mr. VanDuyne. The Clerk will take the Record. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, arise."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I arise to explain my rather peculiar vote... and this even comes from the Republican side, Mr. Speaker. I share your embarrassment by their behavior. I voted.... I voted green... may I proceed with my explanation, Mr. Speaker. Would you bring



a little order, Mr. Speaker, that I might be able to hear your instructions. I've voted green, the Bill is terrible... I'm ashamed to have voted green but I felt that the Sponsor was entitled to have the time extended, we extended for everybody else... always before and we shouldn't make an example out of this particular congenial Gentleman, that's why I voted green though I think the Bill is awful."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 84 'ayes', 69 'nos', 2 voting 'present' and ah... House Bill 3053 having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared..... Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "If ah... if I'm allowed to, I would like to poll the absentees and see if we can't get a few people on this floor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Caldwell, Campbell, Capuzi, Choate, Daniels, Downs, Giorgi, Grotberg, Hart, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Hudson, Huff, Dave Jones, Keller, Klosak, LaFleur, McAvoy, Palmer, Randolph, Rose, Wall."

Speaker Madigan: "Having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority it is hereby declared lost. On the Order of Consideration of Postponed appears House Bill 3273. Is Mrs. Catania... the Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3273 appropriates a hundred, twenty-four thousand and nine hundred, ninety-one dollars to the Board of Governors for Chicago State University. This appropriation is for back wage payment which the United States Department of Labor has found to be due to certain female academic employees under the conditions of the Fair Labor Standards Act. The United States Department of Labor is waiting to go



to court, to find that we must pay this money. I hope that you will approve this Bill so that, that will not be necessary, if it is necessary we will also have court cost and attorneys fees in addition I'm sure... to this basic some of a hundred, twenty-five thousand dollars which is a debt we owe to these faculty members at Chicago State University. I ask for your support of this legislation."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Ah.. will the Speaker yield..."

Speaker Madigan: "The ah... Sponsor indicates that she will yield."

Byers: "Thank you. Ah... Representative ah... we tried to put an Amendment on Committee that would just ah... give them the authority to pay the Bill, it seems that the other Universities ah... have used this method to pay their back wages and why can't Chicago State use the same method?"

Catania: "Well the problem, Representative Byers, is that the money simply isn't there as Dr. Alexander testified before the Appropriations Committee. That Amendment was defeated after we heard Dr. Alexander's testimony. He pointed out that if we force Chicago State University to take this money out of their current fiscal appropriations they will have to cut programs and courses for black students on the Southside of Chicago."

Byers: "Are you contending that the State of Illinois does have the money to pay this bill, in face of the amounting ah... deficits that we're running?"

Catania: "Well, Representative Byers, I think that we have been working very hard in the Appropriations Committees to try to achieve fiscal responsibility, I have been voting 'no' along with you on a lot of ah... things



that the Departments are asking for... I think that our job here is to judiciously decide where we should spend what money we have. I think that paying an old debt to the people at a teachers college, who are responsible for teaching our teachers who will teach our students is a top priority."

Byers: "Is it true that there is some payments going back to 1972, 73, 74, fiscal years?"

Catania: "It is true that this is not a debt that has been incurred by current administration, this is a debt that they have been told that they are responsible for. The U.S. Department of Labor, as you know, can go back only two years when they come in to investigate claims. They came in, in 1974 and conducted their investigations... they went back to the academic year 1972, 73, this is how long these people have been waiting for the wages that are due them under the standards of equal pay for equal work."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to address the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "May I have a little order, Mr. Speaker... Thank you. I think that this ah... Bill should be ah.. 'no' vote would be proper due to the fact that, no one else in our University system has extra money appropriated to pay these back wages... most of the University people have taken it out of their operating budget, by tightening up in other areas and I would recommend that Chicago State do the same thing by tightening up their operating budget and taking the hundred, twenty-four thousand dollars that is coming out of the State Treasurer that we can not afford and recommend a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from



Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This represents less than 10% of what we have just voted here today to give Southern Illinois University. I ah... tell you that we'll be saving money if we vote for this Bill, because they will exhaust their remedy, these people that we owe... owe this money to will exhaust their remedy and they will go into court. Chicago State will be saddled with attorneys fees on top of this, money has been owed to them for more than two years... they can't take it out of their operational budget because they just don't have it. We have it, we have it... I've been voting on a lot of Bills here... and this is... this... this... certainly is needed. I would ask you to please... please, Dr. Alexander has been down here and testified and told you that he doesn't have it in his operation budget. I would ask you to please... to please vote 'aye' on this Bill and let's give them this money and pay these people off. Now, we've voted ah... to give these women ah... equal rights, we amended the Fair Employment Practice Bill that said they ought to be paid the same thing as men, most of us in here voted for it. Now, the time has come now, when they are just asking for this measly fund... this is... as I said before this is one tenth of the money that I've just sat here and voted to give another University. I don't know why... we can ah... find the money for some institution... we can find money for some institutions and we 'cain't' pay an honest debt here especially when they don't have the money in the operational budget. You'll be saving money if you vote for this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."



Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Seldom do I disagree with the distinguished Gentleman that just spoke from Cook County, but I find it a rather strange anomaly how the Members of this House, from both sides of the aisle can stand up and say, if you spend more money you'll save money. It is a most unusual approach to Government to me to say that we will go out and spend a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars, we'll save money in the long run. Now, in six years down here we have had all kinds of Municipalities come before this House of Representatives... where police officers there has been other people have been in dereliction of duty, they have been sued, we have been asked to bail them out and we have unanimously told them no. On the final day of Session, two years ago the Senate had the gaul to send across a Bill involving the State Mental Institution at Kankakee, we were asked to bail out three or four Doctors who trespassed on some person's rights and they asked the State of Illinois to do it and we said no, and we went home and let the Senate come back and straighten out their mess. Now, those of us who are sitting there with institutions of higher learning in our districts, fully recognize that many of these institutions are not treating their employees fairly, under the Fair Labor Standards Act. These employees have got a recourse... all they have to do is sue, and if they sue they don't sue the State of Illinois they sue the University involved. And, if that University is derelict in its duty that University is going to come up with the money out of its own coffers, they should not come back 'willie nillie' to this legislature... year after year, day after day asking us to bail them out because they have not treated



somebody fairly. I don't care if it is the University of Illinois or Southern Illinois University or any other school, if they have discriminated in their hiring practices or in their pay... then they ought to have to come up with the money out of their own coffers and let them come back to the legislature and try and justify that and come back to the Board of Higher Education and try to justify that in their next budget. But, I am totally opposed to compensating people for what they do wrong and that's exactly what this Bill does and I think we ought to give it a thorough thrashing like we've done the other Bills that have come up here from Kankakee State Hospital and from Municipalities, and I can assure you if we have some Bills from University of Illinois and we will because there's fifty-nine employees here right now that are in this same capacity. I will vote 'no' on those also, I think that it's a terrible precedent to set and I think that we ought to give it a resounding defeat."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question now be put, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye' opposed... the 'ayes' have it and the ah... Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania, to close the debate."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would certainly agree with the Gentleman from Champaign who pointed out that University Administrations ought to find the money to make equity payments to their faculty members, the administration at Chicago State



University has done that. This is the Administration which took over, a little over a year ago... since that time all of the equity payments have been made out of the appropriations that we gave them, they are going to finish up this fiscal year with an even balance. They are not saying that they are running into a deficiency, they are meeting all of the demands of their faculty members. What we are talking about here is old debt from a previous administration, these people have been saddled with this liability, I think that they have set a precedent in so far as they have opened their books to the U.S. Department of Labor, the female faculty members and HEW, they have dealt with them honestly and fairly when they first came in they asked for seven hundred thousand dollars as a result of this open and fair process that they have followed they have set a precedent, as I think and they have worked it down from seven hundred thousand to a hundred, twenty-five thousand which I submit to you as a bargain, if you can keep all the women faculty members happy and the U.S. Department of Labor happy and HEW... as well as the administration. I ask for your support of this legislation."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall House Bill 3273 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, to explain his vote."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I arise to explain my 'aye' vote in this particular... for this particular measure because I'm well aware of the administration of the fine Institution called the Chicago State University. I would like to state to the Members of the House, if they have some qualms regarding the



question of budgeting... the question of funds, I would like to say publicly and openly that the present administration which has taken over that great University has done an outstanding job of making very sure that every dollar spent, there will be a dollar received. The Honorable Dr. Alexander who took over this University in the chaos, admits some undisputed and some disputed claims of fraud, I would say... took over and he has brought this University by its boot straps, the last year and a half and I know that he has succeeded in bringing up the image of that wonderful institution to the highest caliber. This University happens to be within the boundaries of the thirtieth district, and I know... I have watched it to become from a University as I said was riddled with much chaos, with dissension he... he brought it up to the highest level of any University throughout the State of Illinois. I may also bring out to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House... that this is the University that was honored by the President of the United States, Mr. Ford, who spoke at its commencement last July, this is the University that when Dr. Alexander said, either shape up or ship out... this is a man that's trying to do a job... let us give him his tools by which to do the job to make it one of the outstanding Universities of the Country. Please vote 'aye' on this particular measure."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, to explain his vote."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... Politics being ah... somewhat ah... transitional kind of occupation ah... I occasionally look at the want ads and I see often advertisers saying we are an equal opportunity employer, it appears that



Illinois is ah... an agency of State Government at least, is not in fact a equal opportunity employer. And, we must pay.... we must share the burden of that, it's a burden upon us all, I think and I think that it.... this is a kind of thing that we have to really light the way and really go forward on, it seems to me absurd for us to have this Bill debated a second time, I always have feelings of deja vu... so often in this House, 666 comes back, they all come back eventually. But, this a kind of Bill, a kind commitment that we have.... to equal opportunity for all Americans and I have a feeling that ah... this is a minority group that's involved here that is often overlooked by us. We talk about it, we give lip service to it.... here is an opportunity for us to put our... our... really to put it... ah... pocketbook where our mouth is and I think that we can do no else but vote 'aye'. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, to explain his vote."

Gaines: "I want to say that, I work with a Community Group that has worked with this University during their transition period and this University is trying to do what many people have said, that the people in the inner-city should do... pull yourself up by your own boot straps. And, there is no administration has been said before, has taken over and it has reorganized and is trying to make this a type of University that the whole State can be proud of. And, I feel that on a fiscal note... that if my seatmate Cal Skinner can vote for it, it certainly isn't a waste of money, because he wouldn't vote for something that's going to waste money, and I think that an ounce of prevention is often worth a pound of cure. In answer to my colleague from the University of Illinois from which I graduated,



71.

which is always well funded and I feel that when you help the educated, you are helping to prevent some of the problems that we have to spend money for in corrections and Mental Health, so this amount of money is not a whole lot and I feel that it will be seed money that will grow and grow and benefit and not in expenses because it's going to cut off some court costs that we have to pay them anyway. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Hyde Park, Mr. Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... that's Woodlawn, Southshore and Southeast Chicago. Thank you, very much. Mr. Speaker, during the years that we've engaged in dialogue about the priorities ah... of this House and of this State, I've often been reminded by people who have disagreed with me on such issues as open occupancy and ah... FEPC, that the answer to obtaining equality and the answer to ah... engaging in the ah... fullest participation in the fruits of our democracy, is through education. That has been ah... the argument that has constantly been used and as Chairman of the Higher Education Committee ah... I must say that ah... I'm persuaded that you are right. Now.... we ought not to treat ah... the children from any part ah... of the State ah... as enemies we ought to be as generous as we can to all of them and I think it would be an act of ah... a double standard if we were to help out the students at S.I.U. because of an enrollment increase, but fail to do the same for the students at Chicago State. Ah... that ought to be the extent of the difference, none other. One is in one part of the State, one is in the southside of Chicago and it seems to me that that's where the debate ought to end. There ought not to be



any other consideration other than the geographical ones, it ought not pertain to social status, occupation, livelihood, race, religion or any other factor... let's lay it, you know, right on the table, and we need nine more votes ah... for a hundred and twenty-seven thousand people. For those of us who have students in our constituency, we're going to have a very difficult time going back and telling those students and their parents that in this ten-billion dollar plus State Budget, we couldn't find a hundred and twenty-seven thousand dollars for a young State Institution which is trying to make its way ah... and doing a fine job, and so I ask you to examine your consciences on this matter and to give ah... the green lights necessary to pass the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Dyer, are you seeking recognition? The Chair recognizes the ah... Lady from ah... DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah... thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There is one other aspect to this... spending of this one-hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars that has not yet been mentioned today and that is, if you vote 'no' on this today you're being penny wise and pound foolish. This is money that has been awarded... by the Department of Labor ah... if we do not come up with this money then these women are going to have to go to court. There's going to have to be expenses litigation... the Board of Governors is going to be held in contempt, we are going to put some very fine citizens in this State, in an embarrassing situation. After the litigation and the court costs it's going to cost a lot more money. So, there are several reasons to vote 'yes'. One, we're talking about a small amount of money, second, we are talking



about equal pay for equal work. Everyone in this Chamber has paid lip service to that concept... many of you as you voted 'no' on equal rights Amendment have sworn your support for equal pay for equal work. This is your chance to show that you really believe in that concept, put your money where your mouth is. It's only a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars, it's right, it's just. I do urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. We're told to vote for this Bill because Chicago State University, presently being well administered. We're told to vote for the Bill because there is a small amount of money involved we're told to vote for the Bill because previously some money was voted to another State University. I respectfully suggest that these are not valid issues when considering the contents or merits of this Bill. What I do think are valid are two precedents' unfortunate adverse precedents that would be set by the passage of this Bill. Number 1, we would be telling all of our State University that in the future if you break the law, if you make a mistake, don't worry about it... the General Assembly will pick up the tab. Number 2, we'll be telling every Federal bureaucrat that if you find a State Institution having broken the law, on your finding without adjudication, we the General Assembly will pick up the tab. I respectfully suggest that what we ought to do is to tell our State Universities.... be careful, if you make a mistake, if you break the law it's your fault and you're going to have to pay the price. And, tell the bureaucrats that we are not going to accept the finding unless it is based upon



adjudication, a court decision. For those reasons I vote 'no' and encourage others to do likewise."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania to explain her vote."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In explanation of my vote, I would like to say that what we are talking about here is back wages which we are all very fond of telling our constituents that we think ought to be paid. We say that we believe in equal pay for work. We say, look at the Federal Law it guarantees equal pay for equal work. You have all heard me say, I think that I myself filed a complaint at the Federal Equal Employment Opportunities Commission in January, 1971. That is five and a half years ago, they have still not begun their investigation, justice delayed is justice denied and that's what we're talking about here today. Everyday that we wait, decreases the value of the dollars that we say would do, in 1972 and 1973... how long must these people wait. We are talking about justices, we are talking about equal pay for equal work, the question is... must Chicago State University cut programs for potential teachers of our children in order to find this money. This is a debt incurred by an administration that is no longer there, this is a debt which we are told must be paid. The United States Department of Labor is standing in the wings, they have already gone through pretrail motions, we will end up paying at least this amount of money... this hundred and twenty-four thousand, nine-hundred and ninty-one dollars in addition to court cost and attorneys fees. Now, maybe you want to support the legal profession ah... I for one don't think that we ought to spend the taxpayers money on court cost and attorneys fees. I think that we've seen



75.

a good faith effort here on the part of Chicago State University, they have done everything that they can do to meet this requirement. They have come up with a settlement, they've all agreed to of a hundred twenty-five thousand dollars and I do think that this is a bargain if they can keep all the women faculty members at Chicago State University happy along with the Federal Government in the purse of the U.S. Department of Labor and the U.S. Health and Education and Welfare Administration. I would certainly appreciate another eight votes on that board. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis arise."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, since my name was mentioned in the debate I would just for a moment reply on a point of personal privilege and say, that I have never said, at anytime that education was cheap.... It isn't cheap, the only thing that I'm going to repeat... and again... again... again... and again, and the only thing that I know that costs more money than education is ignorance.... and our institutions will prove that to you. All you've got to do is visit them and see those young men.... prime of life.... just loaded with them out there because they never had a chance. Now, last night I was invited... I was invited last night by.... the Board of Higher Education and the President of the University of Illinois was there and you know they asked for ninety million dollars. I don't know where we're going to get it from.... and I heard a lot of the Members there, the Leaders say that... talked about the Med Schools.... we send request into the Med Schools and we can't get anybody in there and one Member got up and said, I sent a request into the Med School and the man had a straight 'A'.... his marks



was a straight 'A', and they let him in and then they wrote a letter and said that they was happy to accommodate me, I know they didn't accommodate me.. they let him in because he had straight 'A's'. That's neither here or there, when I first came down here they took 166 in there and it took me four years to get one black man in the University of Illinois. And, I'm telling you the truth, I'm saying that because of the distinguished Gentleman from that section saw fit to take out after me, it took me exactly four years.... and I sat back there when 'Charlie Jake', another black man was Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, the first one here. I sat back there and objected to their appropriations and dear Dr. Ivy, a man that I have most respect... came down here and he said, you shouldn't object to this... well, I said you're asking for three million dollars, that's what you're asking for... for research.... and he said, why yeah... sure it's research and I said the Constitution says you must itemize. He said, I know the Constitution says you must itemize, but he had elasticity in it and I said, until you take some black people in that Medical School.... I'm going to take all elastic out of it. This is what we've had to contend with ever since I've been down here. I'm asking you for a measly six votes.... I just sat here and voted for all that money for the Southern Illinois University. Dr. Alexander and his present administration had nothing to do with this, this was heaped upon them... do you hear me, because we've decided to give women equal rights and equal pay, you voted for it now give me those six votes so I can sit down... you can hush my mouth up and get rid of me, please give me those six votes for Chicago State University."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to



explain his vote."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I've listened to this debate and I listened very closely at Representative Schlickman and you know, I think that Representative Schlickman is right and that's why I'm voting 'aye'. Representative Schlickman suggested that ah... that ah.... this situation... sorry about that... sorry about that, Gene, he suggested that this situation ah... was brought about by no fault to the General Assembly and that we ought to, in effect send a message back to these Universities that... when they make mistakes, they are going to have to pay for them and that's right... and how are they going to pay for them. They going to pay for them through an appropriation from this Body to fund that Institution. Representative Schlickman also suggested that we don't want to listen to any Federal bureaucrats in their rulings, unless it comes from an order of the court, well... he's right and if we don't do something here, it's going to come from an order of the court except when it comes through the court it not going to be a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars, its going to be two hundred thousand dollars and then what are we going to do? Well, I suppose we can say, the State of Illinois is sovereign and you can't sue us... and we won't pay. I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to explain his vote."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that this is an example and I think it has been spelled out very well, for we are being penny wise and pound foolish.... If there is a court order entered and I understand that through the pre-trial negotiations... the amount that has been



settled upon is twenty cents on the dollar, one-fifth of what the original suit was seeking, is what is being settled for. I think that's a good bargain in man's language, if we turn this Bill down... with a flick of an eye, we're going to wind up spending more money for lawyers, which I personally don't... usually object to but I think in this case we're throwing our money down the drain. The amount of money has been settled if there is an order entered and the University pays it and I think they are obligated to pay it, we're going to have to take it out of current operating funds... that means that they are going to have to cut back on Educational Programs. We funded this University based upon recommendation and our evaluation of what their programs should be, we appropriated money so the kids at that school would get their full measure of education.... as our Appropriations Committee saw fit. Now, this was an unexpected expense an expense incurred because a Federal law, a law that I think each one of us subscribed to. Equal pay for equal work, and I think that we are short changing ourselves if we don't give them the sufficient vote, I see we have it and I thank my colleagues for those votes."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Have all

voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record.

Mrs. Catania, do wish to poll the absentees?"

Catania: "Yes, please."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Boyle, G. Bradley, Brandt, Brummet,

Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Daniels, Giorgi, Hill,

Gene Hoffman, Hudson, Huff, LaFleur, McClain, Molloy,

Palmer, Randolph, Rose, Schisler, Sevcik, Terzich,

Wall, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Lady from



DuPage, Mrs. Dyer, arise?"

Dyer: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask permission of the General Assembly to verified at this moment, I have a ticket on that 4:50 plane."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is granted."

Dyer: "Thank you, very much and I'll grant you the same privilege... thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Does anyone request verification of the the affirmative vote? Mr. Hirschfeld... requests verification of the affirmative vote and the Clerk will proceed with the verification of the affirmative vote."

Clerk Selcke: "E.M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Caldwell, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, Davis, DiPrima, Domico, Downs, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Epton, Ewell, Ewing, Farley, Flinn, Gaines, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Greiman, Hanahan, Hart, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Kane, Katz, Keller, Kempiners, Kornowicz, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Laurino, Leon, Londrigan, Lucco, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Madison, Mann, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, McAvoy, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Meyer, Mudd, Mugalian, Nardulli, O'Daniel, Patrick, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Rayson, Riccolo, Richmond, Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schneider, Sharp, Shea, Skinner, C. Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Vitek, Washington, White, Willer, Winchester, Wolf, Younge, Yourell,"

Speaker Madigan: Mr. Hirschfeld, are there questions of the affirmative vote?"

Hirschfeld: "Yes sir, there are, Mr. Beatty."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Beatty is standing in the rear of the Chamber."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Birchler."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Birchler, is in his chair."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Domico."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Domico.... Mr. Domico is seated next to Mr. Nardulli."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Downs."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Downs.... is Mr. Downs in the Chambers? Remove Mr. Downs from the Roll Call."

Hirschfeld: "Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn, is Mr. Dunn in the Chambers? Remove Mr. Dunn from the Roll Call."

Hirschfeld: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewell is in his chair."

Hirschfeld: "Representative Yourell."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Yourell... is Mr. Yourell in the Chambers? Remove Mr. Yourell from the Roll Call."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Garmisa."

Speaker Madigan: "Ah... is Mr. Garmisa in the Chambers? Remove Mr. Garmisa from the Roll Call."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Hart."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hart is in his chair."

Hirschfeld: "Jones, Emil."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jones is in his chair."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Keller."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keller, is Mr. Keller in the Chambers? Remove Mr. Keller from the Roll Call and restore Mr. Garmisa to the Roll Call and restore Mr. Ralph Dunn to the Roll Call, and restore Mr. Keller to the Roll Call. Are there further questions, Mr. Hirschfeld?"

Hirschfeld: "Kornowicz."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kornowicz, is in his chair."

Hirschfeld: "Laurino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Laurino is in his chair. Attended by Mrs. Catania."



Hirschfeld: "I would comment on that, Mr. Speaker, but polite company present, I better pass. Ah... Mr. Londrigan."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Londrigan... he is in the rear of the Chambers."

Hirschfeld: "O'Daniel."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Daniel... is in his chair."

Hirschfeld: "White."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. White is in his chair."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Schneider is back. Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Satterthwaite... is in the gallery.

And Mr. Downs has returned so... restore Mr. Downs to the Roll Call."

Hirschfeld: "Houlihan, James."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. James Houlihan... how is Mr. Houlihan recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove Mr. Houlihan from the Roll Call.

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Winchester."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Winchester is seated on the Republican side."

Hirschfeld: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Wolf... Mr. Wolf in the Chambers? How is Mr. Wolf recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye".

Speaker Madigan: "Remove Mr. Wolf from the Roll Call.

Hirschfeld: "Representative Skinner."

Speaker Madigan: "Skinner is ah... standing in front of the Chamber."

Hirschfeld: "That's all I have, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the ah... does the Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle, arise?"

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I want to be recorded as voting 'aye' on this Bill."



Speaker Madigan: "Please record Mr. Boyle as 'aye'. How is Mr. Brandt recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The ah... Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Brandt as 'aye'. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 52 'no's' and House Bill 3273 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading... there is an error on the Calendar so that.... On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 3358, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea... and the Bill has already been read a Second time."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the deficiency appropriation for the Department of Public Aid, I believe there are several Amendments on the desk, the first Amendment is the... a Committee Amendment and would the Clerk read the Amendment, please."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Lundy. Amends House Bill 3358 on page 1, line 13 and so forth."

Shea: "If I might explain the Amendment. An effect of the Amendment is to increase the Aid to the aged, blind and disabled to thirty-nine million, eight hundred and ninety plus thousand which is a net increase of four-million, six. The aid to the families with dependent children would be decreased to four million three, the medical assistance would go up three million seven, the general assistance would go up twelve million the adult training, plus a million, grants under the School Code, two million five increase, funeral and burial expenses down two hundred thousand and the Cuban refugees and U.S. refugees would be up, seven hundred thousand. The total would go from a million, seven



hundred and six... a billion, seven hundred and sixty-one million to a billion and seven hundred and seventy-eight million, the total Amendment would then be a hundred and forty-five million, six hundred thousand dollars and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 3358 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Tipsword. Amends House Bill 3358 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. ah... Chair... Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact of the adoption of Amendment #1, Amendment #2 would now be out of order because the figures would be incorrect in that one, I think. Amendment #3, I believe would apply..."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to...."

Tipsword: "I withdraw Amendment #2 and I believe Representative Anderson has filed Amendment #3, which would be in proper form."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise?"

Shea: "Is Mr. Tipsword satisfied with that kind of an approach?"

Tipsword: "I'm satisfied with it, yes."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tipsword is your motion to Table Amendment #2?"

Tipsword: "Yes, because Amendment #2 is not now in proper..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Tipsword moves to Table Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'



APR 13 1976

84.

and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment #2 is Tabled.
Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Anderson. Amends House Bill
3358 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from
LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "What Amendment #3 does, it takes out the Aid
to Families of dependent children and the medical
assistance. To give us more time to deliberate on a
hundred and forty million dollars, it leaves in the
aid to the aged, blind and disabled whose appropriation
will run out at the end of this month, so in effect
is to rais.... to lower the deficiency from a hundred
and forty-five million down to five million. The
emergency part, now I understand from the Department
that there is adequate money to pay their bills through
May 20th, this will give us more time to deliberate
and make sure that this deficiency is in its proper
amount and proper form. I move the adoption of this
Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 to
ah... House Bill 3358 be adopted and on that question
the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I
certainly understand what the Gentleman, Mr. Anderson
and Mr. Tipword, seek to accomplish but I am quite
concerned about the timing and if this is the time
to do it with the public aid deficiency appropriation.
Now, Mr. Anderson's Amendment seek to reduce two items.
One, is the funds in the ADC's budget, which would be
seven hundred and thirty-six million in the Bill. Mr.
Anderson wishes to reduce it back down to six hundred
and eighty-six million the amount of its current
appropriation and to reduce the medical payments from



✓
✓

eight hundred and sixty eight million down to seven-hundred and seventy-eight million, its current appropriation. But, I think, Ladies and Gentlemen, of this House that these two areas are areas that we must be concerned about. The ADC is for the Aid to the Dependent Children... and I think that we are all concerned when we hear that people are trying to feed families on thirty some cents per person, per day... we don't want to take and have the chance that this could run out. Now, as far as the medical vendors, we went into this at great length with the Director during the debate, on this Bill in Committee... and if there has been one human cry that's been heard from one end of this State to the other, it's that medical vendors, such as hospitals and ambulance services, are not getting paid... that the hospitals are saying, unless we get paid we're going to have to stop services. My friends from Southern Illinois raised the point that the medical provider there, particularly the ambulance services aren't being paid. My friends from Decatur, our colleague John Dunn, raised the question that the laboratories aren't being paid in Decatur, so I urge you not to cut this portion of the budget. The Governor, at the time this Bill passed with great fanfare, reduced this appropriation by the amount of fifty million dollars. Here we are today, nine months into this budget year realizing that we need an additional forty million over the fifty million he cut out, or a total of ninety million dollars. I can well understand your concern about the current fiscal State of our State, I was somewhat shocked to see today the headlines in the Chicago Daily News where they said that the Department, one of the Federal Departments HEW, said that we have expended a hundred and eighty-eight million dollars.... in Federal



Aid erroneously. I talked to Director Trainor about that, here was his explanation so that you understand where we are, at least to the best of my ability to inform you. That this was a determination by the HEW office in this area... that they will appeal the case to the HEW office in Washington. That will take approximately six months, that if they are unsuccessful at that level, that they will then go through the courts but I thought in all fairness, I ought to tell you about that item when you're considering this appropriation. I would oppose the Gentleman's Amendment at this time, I know that it's well founded, I know he... Representative Tipword and other Members have great concern about this but I will ask you to oppose the Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment ah... yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Mann: "Yes, Representative Anderson, as I understand your Amendment, it would cut out a hundred and forty million dollars of the hundred and forty-five million dollars embodied in Mr. Shea's ah... Amendment which was the supplemental to the ah... FY-76 for the Department of Public Aid."

Anderson: "That's correct."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker ah... I would like to address myself to this question. You know, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I almost feel like 'Bridey Murphy' like I've walked this scene seven times before during my fourteen years in the Illinois General Assembly. Every year the Department of Public Aid underestimates its projection for the fiscal year ahead and then comes



in to this General Assembly for a deficiency appropriation and for all the whole world knows, it's new money that's being given to the subsidized people in the State of Illinois, when actually it's money that should have been given if the estimate had been properly appraised... appraised in the first instance. So, we're not talking about new money we're talking about money, which will enable the individual who are AFDC recipients to live on starvation stipend for the balance of the fiscal year, we're not talking about the new year. Now, the Gentleman says, I'm sure that he is being sincere that we need time to find out about the need for this hundred and forty million dollars. Well, I'll tell you one thing, none of us should go home over these Easter Holidays while Public Aid recipients and they are mothers and they're children and GA assistance are wondering or not whether their going to get a check because we're going to get our check and they are going to be lined up right at this Capitol door, Representative Anderson, and I say to you... that if you do this you are doing an indecent thing, not that your intentions are indecent because nobody can live except almost like a pig on the allowances that they are getting and you're going to take away from them the May and June allowances which they need in order to sustain. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we are faced with a fiscal crisis but let's not become immoral in our solution to that crisis. We have a moral crisis to take care of the people who are poor in this State, that is our obligation under the Public Aid Code. It's to give them the money necessary to exist with dignity and good housing and good health, that's what it says and I would say this to you that we can't discriminate among poor people. We



can't say that for children and mothers there's one standard and for the aged, blind and disabled there's another. They are all living on less than any human being ought to, and what I would suggest is this, let's pass this supplemental money... it's not new money, a third of it is going for the bureaucracy, a third for medical and only a third for people on public assistance... but they need that one-third. So, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have a crisis let's face it but let's not take it out on those people in the stage who are least able to help themselves and their children. To do otherwise is to drive them into crime, their mothers into prostitution and to create a generally unholy scene, in my opinion and so, what I would ask is... that we defeat this appropriation. there are poor people in every district in this State, there are white people, they're poor people, they're black, they are of every religion and ah... background. Now, I'm sorry if I sound emotional on this but as I said before, every Session the same thing happens and when the noose tightens it's the poor who get their necks knocked off, please let's give the Department what they need now, and I urge that you soundly oppose and defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker ah... can I ask the Sponsor of the Bill a question here?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Ryan: "Representative Shea, yesterday you discharged the Appropriations Committee or moved to discharge and that's why we're hearing this Bill today, based on the fact that emergency money where needed to finish out, at least this month and we weren't going to be in Session



and we had to act on this Bill, to handle that portion, is that correct?"

Shea: "That... I made the presentation yesterday, sir that the aid to the disabled and blind would run out. I did not make the representation with regards to medical vender payments or to ADC."

Ryan: "Well, that's... that's what I meant, Jerry, and that's exactly right. Now, as you understand Representative Anderson's Amendment ah... the money for the... the people that you're concerned about that's going to be exhausted here ah... is in this Amendment, is it not?"

Shea: "You mean the Bill as amended, would that portion still be in there?"

Ryan: "Right, with Representative Anderson's Amendment on it."

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Ryan: "And then would we not be able to come back here, after the Easter Holidays and ah... resubmit the remainder of the supplemental appropriation and probably get some of the questions answered that we want answered and ah... some of the things done that we want done with this Bill."

Shea: "Are you asking if that would be a probability or possibility."

Ryan: "Possibility."

Shea: "Possibility, yes, the probability, no."

Ryan: "Why."

Shea: "Because when we get back... and we start again May 20th, will be here before we know and the medical vendors payments... or that portion won't be in here and you and I know full well, Representative Ryan... that we heard what the Director is trying to do with regards to payment, we know that there are hospitals



throughout the State presently in trouble, we know that there are medical providers that are telling us that they can't look after indigents and medical people on medical aid, because they are not getting paid... now, I'm sure that if this money is appropriated... I've been assured by the Directors that the medical payments that we are in the arrears in will be paid as quickly as possible and I would like to see that as I'm sure that you would."

Ryan: "I don't think that anybody wants to stop the appropriation here, I think we want some questions answered, Representative Shea. A year ago during this process the Director of the Department of Public Aid was told that he was at least a hundred and fifty million dollars short in his request and he failed to increase his request and we failed to give him anymore because he didn't ask for it. Now, what we're asking for is a little more time to get some answers to some questions that Members of the Appropriations Committee have not been able to get and I feel certain that ah... with the five million dollars ah... that much of it that's in this Amendment for Representative Anderson will carry us through the crisis stage and can come back here after Easter and ah... and settle down to the appropriations on the remainder of the deficiency, to get some of these questions answered and so I would ah... endorse ah... Representative Anderson's Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to speak in behalf of the Amendment. The Amendment I know by those who have proposed it and who have been discussing it, is not for the purpose of killing the supplemental appropriations for medical assistances or for aid to



dependent children. The major portion of the hundred and forty million that was taken out of this. That Bill... that portion of this Bill can be refiled tomorrow, to come back through the House and to be handled and to get an opportunity for it to vie for funds along with education, if we do not amend this Bill and this total Bill of approximately a hundred and forty-five million goes out of here on Third Reading and to the Governor's desk, I think that we all know that the Governor will sign this Bill because this is a supplemental appropriation for which he has made a request. But, what's going to happen to Special Education and the Common Schools, if this Bill is allowed to go out in its full glory of a hundred and forty-five million and sop up all... or most of the money that might still be available in fiscal 76, are we going to be able to then provide any funds at all on Representative Hanahan's Bill which he has held here so that it could be equated with the common school request and try to provide as much money as is possible not only to the common schools but to special education and to this very needed area of public assistances. Now, I recall when this public assistances Bill was before the Appropriations Committee last Spring, and at that time everyone said that we were going to have to have a supplemental appropriation, somewhat in the area that we have before us right now. Director Trainor was before the Committee and I remember asking him specifically... as a Director everybody says that we are going to have to have a supplemental appropriation, what do you say, and he says no, we're not going to have to have one. And, I said, do you think your estimates in here are correct, that you're not going to come in and that you're not just doing this for political purposes?



He says, absolutely not, this is the figure it should be. But, here he is with a hundred and forty-five million and he was back for it early last Fall too, not this same figure, he has had to add the 'and cents' and it's doubtful if he is correct but, I think we should provide at least a part of what we are proposing to cut out of this present Bill. But, I think it should vie for the funds that are available along with the common schools and along with special education. They ought to each have an opportunity to get a bit out of whatever there is available but if we pass this Bill I would suggest that perhaps we're going to give the full load to gen.... public aid and we're going to wind up providing a very small loaf, if any at all, to take up the slack in the public schools and special education. They can file the Bill tomorrow to take up this hundred and forty million again and let it equate itself with the schools and the needs of the schools. We are going to run out of the money on the blind and the aged and the disabled in a matter of a few days and that money is all left in here, we're not going to run out of money on... on the figures that have been given to me until something late in May and early in June on these other categories that are proposed to be cut out of this Bill. Let's let that need rest awhile... put a Bill back in for it tomorrow morning, the Department can draft it tonight or draft early tomorrow... get their supplement right back in here and let it come in for its share of whatever is available because I'm not so sure that we're going to as... as ah... successful. With the man sitting on the second floor of this State House, in the funds that we might try to find available for the common schools and Special Ed., and surely not if he can say the money is going... gone into ah...



Public Aid and there simply isn't money left. All this is.... it's not an attempt to defeat the additional needs of the people who need the Public Aid, but is only an attempt to try to equate their needs with the needs of the school children of the State of Illinois. And, I would urge that you support this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Seldom do I speak twice in one day but, you know, I've got six children and the youngest one has never learned to tell time, despite a whole year in kindergarden and finally one night I said to him, I said it seems to me that it's a very simple problem, the clock goes around twice each day to twelve and it's a new day and with that Adam went to bed and about midnight the old grandfather's clock that we have in our home, bonged twelve times and Adam was awake and I was awake, but at one A.M. the clock that it has a propensity to do malfunctioned and it bonged thirteen times and I heard these feet on the stairs and Adam came running down, he said Dad, Mom and everybody get up it's later than it has ever been before. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, when I came down to this legislature six years ago... the number one priority in the State of Illinois, without exception... was education, nobody thought about raping the education budget for any purpose. It didn't matter what the legislature thought, it didn't matter what Governor Ogilvie thought, it didn't matter what the courts thought. Education was the number one priority but for some strange reason it has gotten far down the line today, and the number one priority, whether we like it or not, and I for one don't, is Public Aid.



Now, I know that the Bible says that the poor... you will always have with you, but I don't know where it says we'll have them with us in such abundance and it seems to me that what we have right now, in Illinois... when we are putting all our emphasis on Public Aid and none of our emphasis on Education particularly with the Governor that we now have. We have a case of the tail wagging the dog, because it only makes common sense... if we had more money put into education and more people educated, we would spend fewer dollars on people on Public Aid because they would have a background, they would have something they could work with. I don't think that there is anyway that Representative Anderson Amendment will pass, I don't think that there is anyway that we can prevent this Bill of the distinguished Majority Leader from passing, whether today or tomorrow. But, all I can say is, that one boy's crying in the wilderness... strictly, because I'm leaving this General Assembly, is that I think it's the saddest thing that I have witnessed in six years in Springfield, is the emasculation of education and the glorification of Public Aid. And, I would hope that you would pass this Amendment, to try and stop that trolley from continuing on down that track. I will vote for the Amendment... but I have a feeling once again, those of us that support education will be in the very... very small minority and the rest will cave-in the clamor of the courts and others who say, Public Aid... Public Aid, always Public Aid.

Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I agree with the distinguished lawyer.... has said, in



reference to his questioning of the Director of Public Aid because, I believe he was standing right here and he did make those answers. Of course, things change... and you know the one thing that affects the Public Aid role and always will, is unemployment... The Congress... the Congress of the United State gave us welfare, they adopted it... and you know, I don't think we're going to be able to solve it... in the States. I really don't, I think that the Congress that gave us Public Welfare, the Congress that passed it in the first... in the beginning in its very inception, I think that... that government and those lawmakers in our Capital should take it over again and take it out of the States' hands, because as long as we have it here in the States there are going to be charges and counter-charges that people are leaving one state to go to another because their Public Aid payments are more. I just went back and I questioned the young Lady who is on the 'no' and she says that if this Amendment is adopted... ADC, Aid to Dependent Children, those who are depending on those checks for dependent children will be disappointed.... there won't be any checks for those little children and as I set here and know what the good Lord has done this Nation, how he has blessed this Nation and made it mighty in power... we plenty.... how can I sit down at my table and you sit down to your table and enjoy a great meal when you know that some little child, here in these United States in this land of plenty that the Gods have given us, will be denied food, will have to turn away from the table hungry. I can understand how you ah... are excited about this deficiency because I know you asked, you have every right to be... but it was the Congress that put it on us and it ought to be the Congress to take it off



of us and see that this thing is administered right. This is what should happen in this Nation, we here in the States are not going to be able to carry this burden any farther and as long as they keep it here this is what is going to happen, but let me say this to you, I've been told and I believe that young Lady back there, that if we adopt this Amendment some little child is going to go hungry and God knows I don't want to have anything to do with any little child going hungry and suffering in the morning. I thank God for this great Nation of ours, he has made it the foremost Nation in all the World and the most prosperous Nation in all the World, how can I turn my back on these little children when I've been advised... that we adopt this Amendment, they'll go hungry and I have to vote against this Amendment, and I hope that you will vote along with me."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I don't think it makes much difference what you do on this Amendment, and the reason is very simple, the State is going ahead creating these obligations to the hospitals, the doctors, pharmacists, the ambulance and so on and you know good and well that some point in time you're going to vote to appropriate money to pay those bills, there's no other way out of it. We're not even getting to the heart of the problem, I'm in sympathy with the people who are offering this Amendment and I would like to be for it, but you're just kidding yourselves because the problem is that the Public Aid in Illinois is not being administered well. And, we're creating... it's a bottomless pit the way it's being administered, it doesn't have to be administ-



ered that way and it was proven in California by Governor Reagan, he reduced Public Aid spending by 43%, it could be done in Illinois... but Illinois has become a haven for those who want to be on Public Aid, 63% of the people who are drawing ADC, they're not even natives of Illinois. The people are pouring in here by the hundreds because Illinois is a mecca... so I think you're kidding yourself if you don't vote for this because you're going to see those hospitals and doctors and pharmacists paid. The problem is, that somewhere along the line we've got to get a better administration of Public Aid or it's going to swallow us all."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question now be put... all those signify by saying 'aye', opposed. The 'ayes' have it and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson, to close the debate."

Anderson: "Representative Davis and Representative Mann... the last thing that I want to do is take the bread out of mouths of children, what I want to do is to make sure there is enough money there to put bread in the mouths of children. If you remember last year there were two deficiency appropriations, I think, Representative Davis, you handled the second one and I want to make sure there is enough money there. If we pass this out now and there is a second deficiency... and we don't have the money, we have already committed it... then what are we going to do? This is why I want to be more deliberate and get some of these answers, as you know, there is a Subcommittee of the Appropriations



Committee going to Marion, Thursday to hear some of these problems and when we come back after the Easter break, we'll have some of these answers. This is the reason I want this Amendment on."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 to House Bill 3358 be adopted. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye,' all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker... I would like a poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Boyle, Gerald Bradley, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Daniels, Downs, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Giorgi, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Hudson, Huff, Klosak, Kosinski, LaFleur, Laurino..."

Speaker Madigan: "Ah... Mr. Clerk, how is Mr. Hanahan recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Who?"

Speaker Madigan: "Hanahan."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Hanahan as 'no'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Laurino, Londrigan, McAvoy, Palmer, Randolph, Rose, Sevcik, Wall, Walsh..."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Walsh as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the ah... Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, arise? How is Mr. Grotberg recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Madigan: "Record him as voting 'aye'. For what



purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, arise? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Madigan: "Record him as voting 'no'. Record Mr. Kosinski as voting 'no'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise?"

Shea: "After you announce the Roll Call, but before you declare the motion..."

Speaker Madigan: "Ah... Mr. Shea, record the Speaker as 'no'..."

Shea: "After you announce the Roll Call, but before you announce the outcome... I perhaps would want to make a motion."

Speaker Madigan: "And ah... for what purpose does the Gentleman from ah... Perry, Mr. Dunn, arise? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Dunn: "Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Dunn as 'no'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, arise?"

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, record me as 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Anyone else seek recognition? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, arise?"

Gaines: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present' "

Gaines: "Ah... vote me 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Ah... the... how is the Gentleman from ah... Cook, Mr. McAvoy recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah... the Gentleman is as voting 'no'.

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McAvoy, is recorded as voting..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Excuse me, he is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Anyone



100.

else seek recognition? For what ah... Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Winchester: "Change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Winchester as 'no'. Does anyone else seek recognition? On this question there are 75 'ayes', 80 'no's'... what purpose does the Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson, arise?"

Anderson: "I would like to poll the negatives, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Verify the negatives, please." What purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise?"

Shea: "After the poll of the negative vote, as I understand the new rules, now provides that the prevailing side will be verified first and after that verification before you announce the vote, I would like the opportunity to make a motion if necessary."

Speaker Madigan: "The ah... Clerk will proceed to verify the negative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes..."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does ~~the~~ Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson, arise?"

Anderson: "Would you give us a count before we start, please?"

Speaker Madigan: "75 'ayes' and 80 'no's'."

Anderson: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed with the verification."

Clerk O'Brien: "Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Brandt, Caldwell, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Darrow, Davis, DiPrima, Domico, Downs, Ralph Dunn, Epton, Ewell, Farley..."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, arise?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker ah... I have to attend in Chicago a wake...."

Speaker Madigan: "Please verify that Mr. Maragos is



a negative vote. Leave is granted. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, arise?"

Porter: "I would like leave to verified on the affirmative for the same reason."

Speaker Madigan: "Leave is hereby granted. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt, arise?"

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to verified on the affirmative."

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "McCourt."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McCourt."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah... the Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "And is there leave to verify Mr. McCourt's as an 'aye' vote? Leave is hereby granted. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser, arise? How is the Gentleman recorded; Mr. Telcser?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "He wishes to ah... change his vote to 'no'. Proceed, with the verification."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn, Friedrich, Gaines, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Greiman, Hanahan, Hart, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Lechowicz, Leon, Londrigan ah... scratch that...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ah... Clerk ah... Mr. Schoeberlein has requested leave to be verified as an 'aye' vote, is there leave? Leave is hereby granted. Proceed Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Lucco, Lundy, Madigan, Madison, Mann, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, McAvoy, McClain, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Molloy, Mugalian, Nardulli, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pierce, Pouncey, Rayson, Richmond, Satterthwaite, Schneider, Sharp, Shea, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Vitek, Washington, White, Willer, Winchester,



Younge, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino, arise?"

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Are there questions of the negative Roll Call, Mr. Anderson?"

Anderson: "Mr. Byers. Representative Byers, please."

Speaker Madigan: "Would you ask the people in front of you to be seated, Mr. Anderson, so we can see and there is a question of Mr. Byers.... How is the ah... is Mr. Byers in the Chambers? Mr. Byers is not on the floor... center aisle."

Anderson: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Capparelli is in his chair."

Anderson: "Darrow."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Darrow is in his chair."

Anderson: "Garmisa."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Garmisa... is Mr. Garmisa in the Chambers. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Anderson: "J. Houlihan."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Houlihan... Mr. James Houlihan, how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Anderson: "Emil Jones."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jones is in the front of the Chambers."

Anderson: "Matijevich."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich... Mr. Matijevich is in the Republican side."

Anderson: "McClain."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McClain... is Mr. McClain in the Chambers? How is the Gentleman is recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Anderson: "McPartlin."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McPartlin is in his chair."

Anderson: "Schneider."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schneider is standing in the aisle."

Anderson: "Mr. Brandt."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Brandt, he's in his chair."

Anderson: "Mr. Leon."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leon... Mr. Leon is standing on the Democratic side and restore Mr. Carmisa to the Roll Call."

Anderson: "Mr. Patrick."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Patrick is in his chair."

Anderson: "Mr. Sharp."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Sharp is standing on the Democratic side."

Anderson: "Stone."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stone is standing at the rear of the Chambers."

Anderson: "Winchester."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Winchester is standing on the Republican side."

Anderson: "That's all."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 75 'ayes', 75 'nays'.... 79 'nays'..... 75 'ayes', 79 'nays' and the Amendment is lost. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1542. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane. Are there any Amendments?"



Kane: "That was read a Second time yesterday, I believe."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill...."

Kane: "This should be Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill was read a Second time ah...
April 12."

Speaekr Madigan: "The Clerk informs me that the Calendar is in error and therefore, Senate Bill 1542 lies on the Order of Third Reading and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Sanagmon, Mr. Kane. The Clerk will read the Bill a Third time."

Clerk O'Brien: Senate Bill 1542, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the State Treasurer. Third Reading of the Bill."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill that we've discussed a number of times, it's a supplemental appropriation to the Treasurer of ah... one million dollars. What it is, is ah... refunds for inher... a State taxes that have been overpaid ah... what happens is ah... when an estate is filed ah... an estimated tax is deposited ah... when the tax liability is determined the excess taxes are refunded to the estate. At present there is some fifty cents left in that account, there is some five hundred thousand dollars worth of claims already pending ah... what it is, ah.... the original ah... budget was underestimated and we made a supplemental appropriation in order to ah... provide these ah... excess ah... funds back to the taxpayers for tax liabilities that have not been incurred and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, shall Senate Bill 1542 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who



wished? Will someone push Mrs. Geo-Karis's switch 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? And Mr. McGrew wishes to be recorded as 'aye', if someone would push his switch. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 131 'ayes', 11 'no', 11 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 1542 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bills 3358 and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With leave of the House I would like to Suspend the approp.... appropriate Sections of Rule 35 for the immediate consideration to House Bill 3358."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is granted. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Would the Gentleman restate his motion."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh, we amended our Rules to read, that the.... there should be enrolled and engrossed copy of the Bill available with the Clerk's desk before the passage of the Bill. We just amended this Bill some few minutes ago... there is not an enrolled and engrossed copy at the Clerk's desk. I have made the appropriate motion to suspend those portions of Rule 35, that applies so that we may have immediate consideration of the vote on this Bill. I've discussed it with Members on both sides of the aisle and I didn't think there was any objection."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I object and I to the following reason, I realize that there is a crisis in Public Aid, I realize that the.... this Bill must pass by the first of May. However, our



passing the Bill does nothing to speed it along. The Senate has adjourned and they will not return until the 26th of April. Now, this Bill can pass the House on the 26 of April and be read a first time in the Senate on that day. That's the first day that it could be read a first time in the Senate if we passed it this evening. Now, it has been brought out in the previous debate that there are many Members who are dissatisfied with this Bill.... there are many unanswered questions, I think in that time that the Members of the House deserve an opportunity to look this Bill over and perhaps have some of these questions answered. So, I object very strenuously to the Gentlemen's motion to suspend the rules."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I ah... ask for unanimous consent the Assistant Minority Leader has objected, therefore I will renew my motion to suspend the appropriate rule. I know it requires ah... 89 votes... well, Mr. Walsh first of all if you recognize..."

Speaker Madigan: "Ah... Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Yes."

Speaker Madigan: "The motion before the House is the motion of Mr. Shea to suspend Rule 35 (e), that motion requires 89 votes. It is a non debatable motion however, we have already granted ah... leave to Mr. Walsh, and Mr. Shea to debate this motion and therefore we will now proceed to the Roll Call on the question of the suspension of Rule 35 (e). All those in favor of suspension that rule will vote 'aye' and all those opposed will vote 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, to explain his vote."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.



The reason that I'm attempting to do this today, is that the Senate will have a Perfunctory Session tomorrow morning. We pass this Bill today, it can go over to the Senate... be read in Perfunctory Session tomorrow be on Second Reading on the date of the 26th, be up for passage stage on the date of the 27th or 28th and if there are any Amendments, be back here... the Conference Committee worked out before the 29th, of the month when it is essential. I would appreciate the vote of my colleagues to get at least a Roll Call vote on whether this Bill should pass or not today, thank you very kindly."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh to explain his vote."

Walsh: "Well... Mr. Speaker, if what the Gentlemen says is correct that it can be and if the Senate is having a Perfunctory Session tomorrow, the Bill can't be advanced to Second Reading, it will have to lay on the President's desk and await assignment to Committee because they can not suspend the rule with respect to Committee posting....there Committee Assignments. So, I suggest to you that we're not going to lose any time and the Members of the House will be a great deal better informed if we vote on this Bill on the 26th, and we will lose virtually, no time."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 40 'no's' and Rule 35 (e) has been suspended. And the Clerk will read the Bill for a Third time, and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3358, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary contingent expenses of the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."



Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3358, is an emergency deficiency appropriation to the Department of Public Aid. It increases that appropriation by a hundred and forty-five million dollars, a hundred and forty-four million, eight hundred thousand out of General Revenue and eight hundred and three thousand dollars out of Federal Funds. It increases aid to the aged, blind and disabled by four million six, it decreases by four-million.... four.... it increases the amount of money to the ah... four-million four to the aid to dependent families, medical assistants goes up ninety million dollars and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is shall.... the Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I wonder if I could ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Geo-Karis: "Ah... Mr. Sponsor... I'm looking at the Bill right now, is there only one Amendment to this Bill that was passed?"

Shea: "Ah... Hou.... Amendment #1, is the only Amendment to the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "And ah... is this a supplemental ah... appropriation or is this the actual appropriation for all of the Department of Public Aid?"

Shea: "This is a supplemental appropriation that increases the total appropriation from a billion... from a billion six hundred and thirty-two million to a billion, seven hundred and seventy-eight million dollars."

Geo-Karis: "Can you tell me what was done by the Department of Public Aid to get its records in order for the Federal Government so that we can collect the seventy million dollars that the Federal Government has been



holding from us."

Shea: "As I explained early in debate, Miss Geo-Karis, there is as I understand it now, three items being contested by the Federal Government. A twenty-one million dollar item that they say was paid to us erroneously, a fifty-six million dollars of presently pending claims that the Department HEW says, will not be paid to the State and an amount that evidently came to public light today of a hundred and eighty-eight million dollars that the Department says that has been erroneously paid."

Geo-Karis: "You mean the Department has already received a hundred, eighty-eight million dollars and it was not meriting it, is that it...."

Shea: "I'd say, two hundred and nine million or two hundred and ten million."

Geo-Karis: "Well, can you tell me if there has been any use of that or is that ah... "

Shea: "That money has already been expended by this State in appropriations from this House and from the General Assembly. You've got to remember this is only a claim by the Department of HEW, at this point has not been proved to the best of my knowledge."

Geo-Karis: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Shea: "Yes."

Dunn: "Of the approximately one hundred and forty-six million dollars involved here, how much comes out of the General Revenue Fund and how much is Federal money?" And, how much of the Federal monies are ah.... passing



through the General Revenue Fund."

Shea: "We... will appropriate approximately a hundred and forty-four, eight out of the General Revenue Fund that the Federal Government will reimburse us seventy-two four for, plus there is a direct appropriation of eight hundred thousand dollars in Federal Funds."

Dunn: "So General Revenue will be ah... depleted approximately what, fifty or sixty million dollars if this Bill passes?"

Shea: "Seventy-two or seventy-three million, sir."

Dunn: "All right, that's all. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Cunningham: "Representative Shea, it's been darkly suggested here that this may be just a first of supplemental Bills and there will be more to come later. Do you have a professional opinion about that?"

Shea: "No, Sir."

Cunningham: "Well, do we have any commitments from you, if there are more will you be...."

Shea: "If I haven't got an opinion, I cannot give you a commitment."

Cunningham: "I want to comment just a minute on this, Public Aid brings out the worst in all of us. We politicians posture ah... very clearly against Public Aid though. we recognize it one of the realities of life. George Bernard Shaw wrote a long time ago that of course every politician is a hypocrite. And, I suspect that Public Aid supports Shaw's observation in this regard. I'm going to vote for the Bill, my constituents will stand up and cheer and holler hurrah, if we voted against it. But, I think it's time that we each pledge to ourselves



111.

and to each other that we are going to draw a line on the ground and say, we're going to stop right here and not going to vote for anymore Public Aid until there is an organized effort to keep it within the capacity to bear of the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. What I'm suggesting to the Sponsor of this Bill, that integrity... legislative integrity requires that we draw that line and say, this is the only supplemental Bill we're going to have on Public Aid. It was the reflection last year that we had two supplemental Bill on Public Aid, it's time for the estimates to equal the professional capacity, the professional skill of those who guide this monster that eats the taxes so recklessly, to bring up figures that they can live by and I certainly... a word was used there a moment ago, I noticed the Sponsor whistled, it was no question about his integrity... we all recognize his profession, but I do know that we need... if we're going to win support, desire this continued confidence of our constituents... draw the line and say Public Aid has to live within these limits. Well, I'm serving notice on all of you that I'm going to vote for this supplemental Bill against the wishes of my constituents but I will be voting for the next one that is sure to come ere we have July 1!"

Speaker Madigan: "Is there further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall House Bill 3358 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, to explain his vote."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that we all know that this is essential.



I will ask my colleagues to please join me, I've talked to the Director and he tells me there is enough in this to carry him through, but for anybody to sit here and say, with an absolute certainty that there will be no unemployment, that unemployment benefits will be extended beyond the present sixty-five weeks. That people won't get sick, I can't answer those questions... particularly with any certainty, I will tell you that I have been assured by the Director... that he tells me that this is enough to get him through and I would ask my colleagues to please join me and vote 'aye' on this issue."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... as you know, we in turn passed two deficiency appropriations last year for a total dollar amount of approximately two hundred and seven million dollars and that was pointed out by Representative Ryan that was in the discussion of this supplemental appropriations for a hundred, forty-five, six that it was proven the fact that there is a possibility of additional Bill forthcoming. But, I'm asking that the colleagues of the House in turn take good faith as far as the testimony of the Director of Public Aid, this money is definitely needed. It's needed, unfortunately, because the Department who was originally requested their budget appropriation, in time it was pointed out that there was a lack of money in this area. Gentlemen, we know that this money had to be forthcoming and in turn due to budgetary problems at that time, it was presented in this fashion. I would hope that this Membership would take the responsibility, as it always has, and provide the necessary funds that are needed



for this Agency. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I voted for the previous Amendment because I thought it was ah... good thing to try to test and get the Department to come back in and explain themselves in a more appropriate way. But, I think it will be ah... worth bring to the attention of the House that ah... this Bill is going to pass, with those numbers on it we're going have verifications and arguments and so forth but this Bill is going to pass. More importantly on a personal level, if you will, the first time I ever gave thought to even running for the legislature was back around 1962, ah... when there were 64, when the Illinois legislature refused to appropriate money on Public Aid for a period of about six weeks, and I think that we get put over the barrel by the poor administration of a Department when, for us to respond otherwise is to pretty much insist that, for the responsibility under this present administration, we have to accept children going without food and shoes. I... don't think there is any responsible thing that we can do, today having been put over that barrel, than to go ahead and pass this Bill and hope that we can get an administration in Illinois that can conduct the problems of Public Aid more appropriately so we don't have this kind of problem."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. There is, suffice to say, that I'm not against people receiving food for themselves and for their children. I got some Public Aid recipients in my district that are just



as much of need of substance as anyone else. But, my present vote up there doesn't indicate a vote against Public Aid recipients. It indicates to me a system of government that we've had for a number of years and the Public Aid Department which, to me inept administration of the Public Aid system in the State of Illinois. Last year when we passed the appropriation for Public Aid... it was said on this floor many times over that it was anticipated at that point that we would have a hundred and eighteen million dollar deficit in the Public Aid rolls within the one fiscal year and yes, a hundred and eighteen million dollars was short, plus many more millions of dollars. And, I say to you we shouldn't be taking it out on the Public Aid recipients who have no control over it. But, we should be taking it out on the NF administration of the Department of Public Aid by the name of Mr. Trainor, and his predecessors. It seems to me it's about time we're laying the blame where it should be, not on the House of Representatives and not on the Senate and legislature as a whole. My vote is against the Administration of those who should be providing the expertise in telling us how much this should be appropriated and not be coming back year after year after year, and saying no, we made a mistake, we guessed wrong. Well, I'm saying it's about time they used some knowledge and quit guessing and come up with the proper figures on this single appropriation. And, I'm saying it right now when the Public Aid Bill for 1977, comes to this House and is again predicted that there will be a shortage... then I'm going to vote 'present' on that Bill, and I'm saying to the Administration that they ought to get their House in order and get the appropriation in the proper amount, with one appropriation and not



APR 13 1976

115.

come back with deficits and I will continue to vote present until they do their job in the administration."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe, to explain his vote."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I arise to explain my 'no' vote. We've got to come to the end of the line with this Public Aid. People in my District are getting sick and tired of keep having their tax raised to support all of this Public Aid. We spent a billion and a half dollars this year in Public Aid now. There's got to be an end to this. Last week, a woman pulled up in front of my office in a brand new Chrysler and came in and asked me how she could get on Public Aid. I said how can you get on Public Aid if you've got a brand new car? She said the car was in her son's name. People who are getting on Public Aid are not all from the poor neighborhood. People in my District are on Public Aid that have no business being on Public Aid, but they found out it was a soft touch and they all want to get on the bandwagon and get something for nothing. We've got to finally put an end to this and we've reached the limit where we can't afford to raise taxes anymore and this is one way of doing it by voting against this appropriation."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my 'no' vote, I think if the constituents in my District, and I think all of us in this House, have to reflect what our constituents want, if the People in my District are asking me to do one thing, is to come down to Springfield and have some guts and to have some courage and to control the spending by our State. Constantly, we must go home to our District and say



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

well, the expenditures are uncontrollable. Welfare and education, there's no end to it. The Schools wants the money. Public Aid needs this money. We just can't control it. Our prior speaker suggested that the General Assembly and the Legislature and maybe the House is a soft touch. Yes we are a soft touch. All you have to do is come to the Floor of this House with an appropriation Bill for a college or for Public Aid or for anything else and if you talk long enough, you'll roll up the votes and then they'll go up their on the Board. For some reason, we're not able to exercise some self-restraint and some control. Now all you have to do is switch your vote from green to red and the only thing that's going to happen is no children are going to go to bed hungry. Nobody is going to go without clothing. The only thing that's going to happen is this Bill is going to go on postponed consideration and the Department of Public Aid is going to wake up and the sponsorship of the Bill is going to wake up and they're going to have to accept a reasonable Amendment, and we're going to go back and do it over again and do it right. That's all you're going to do if you vote red. And I urge some more red votes up there, so at least we can go back to our constituents and say no, we're not a soft touch. No, we didn't vote 'yes' on every appropriation rolling across the House. We gave it some second thought and we asked those bureaucrats to come in and exercise some reform and to control themselves and do what the constituents from the north or the south or the east or west of the State of Illinois want right now and that's control."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, requests a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, how is the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye' and poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes. Campbell. Capuzi. Carroll. Daniels. Dyer. Giorgi. Hill. Gene Hoffman. Jim Houlihan. Hudson. Klosak. Kosinski. LaFleur. Londrigan. McCourt. Palmer. Randolph. Rose. Schoeberlein. Sevcik. Stearney. And Wall."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, requests a verification of the....."

Walsh: "No, no, Mr. Speaker. I asked how I am recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How's Mr. Walsh recorded?"

Walsh: "I know how I am recorded. I wish to be changed to present."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Walsh as 'present', and Mr. Schlickman requests a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. The Clerk shall proceed and verify the affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Arnell. E. M. Barnes. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Birchler. Bluthardt. Boyle. Jerry Bradley. Brandt. Brummet. Caldwell. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Craig. Cunningham. Darrow. Davis. DiPrima. Domino. Downs. Duff. Ralph Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Greiman. Hanahan. Holewinski. Dan Houlihan. Huff. Jacobs. Jane Barnes wants recognition."

Speaker Madigan: "How is Mrs. Barnes recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as 'not voting'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mrs. Barnes as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Kelly. Kornowicz. Kozubowski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Lucco. Lundy. Madigan. Madison. Mann. Maragos. Marovitz. Matijevich. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. Molloy. Mudd. Mugalian. Nardulli. Patrick. Pierce. Pouncey. Rayson. Riccolo. Richmond."



APR 13 1976

118.

Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Sharp. Shea.
C. M. Stiehl. Stone. Stubblefield. Taylor. Telcser.
Terzich. Vitek. Washington. White. Willer. Williams.
Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there questions of the affirmative
Roll Call? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from
Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Representative Boyle."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Boyle. Is Mr. Boyle in the Chamber?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Bradley."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bradley. How is Mr. Bradley recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giglio. Is Mr. Giglio in the Chambers?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Huff."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Huff. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Laurino. Is Mr. Laurino in the
Chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Maragos."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Maragos. Is Mr. Maragos in the
Chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Madigan: "Marovitz. Is Marovitz in the Chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich is in his Chair."

Schlickman: "Representative Schisler."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schisler. Is Mr. Schisler in the Chambers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative White."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. White. Is Mr. White in the Chambers?"

Mr. White is standing on your left side, and Mr. Marovitz has returned to the Chambers. Restore Mr. Marovitz on the Roll Call."

Schlickman: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Terzich is standing to my right."

Schlickman: "That's fine, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any further questions of the Roll Call? You nod 'no', Mr. Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Roll Call now."

Speaker Madigan: "What do you have, Mr. Clerk? On this questions, there are 83 'ayes', and 44 'no's' and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "With leave of the House, I'd like to put this on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave is hereby granted. The Bill shall be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration. On the Order of Motions, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."



Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we suspend the appropriate Rule to extend the last day for Constitutional Amendments that are on the Calendar until April 30, 1976."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea has moved to extend the date relative to the Constitutional Amendments. Is there leave? Leave is hereby granted. Mr. Schlickman seeks recognition. For what purpose, Mr. Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "For the purpose of objecting, Mr. Speaker. Now, I've sat here for many days, this week and last week and I've heard each of these Consti..... proposed Constitutional Amendments....."

Speaker Madigan: "To register objection to the Motion?"

Schlickman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "The Motion of Mr. Shea is to extend the deadline on the Constitutional Amendments. All those in favor of that Motion will vote 'aye'. All those opposed will vote 'no'. This Motion requires 107 votes. The Motion is to suspend the Rules, relative to the deadline on the Constitutional Amendments. The Motion requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 122 'ayes' and 19 'no's' and the Motion of Mr. Shea to extend the deadlines to April 30 is passed. On the Order of Resolutions, there appears Senate Joint Resolution 68. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 68 would amend the Joint Rules to provide the following deadline dates for this Session. April 19th would be the final date for Introduction of all Bills in the House of origin. May 14th would be the final date for standing committees of each House to complete all action on Bills originating in that



House. May 28th would be the final day for Third Reading and passage of Bills in the House of origin. June 11th for the final date for the standing committees of each House to complete the action on Bills originating in the other House and June 23rd the final day for Third Reading and passage in each House. The Section 2 is the same as the present Section 2 in the Bill and it would require three-fifths of the vote of both Houses to extend or to amend these Rules, and I would move for the adoption and would certainly try to answer any questions."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea has moved for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 68 and on that question, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House....."

Speaker Madigan: "Excuse me, Mr. Walsh. Your Minority Leader was seeking recognition. Shall we recognize Mr. Walsh?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have no objection whatever to the deadline date incorporated in this Senate Joint Resolution. I object, though, very strenuously to making these deadlines a part of the Joint Rules and I object on the basis that we did exactly this thing a year ago and lived to regret it. We passed on May 23 of last year, the last day for the passage of House Bills in the House, 167 Bills in three Roll Calls, without any consideration or deliberation at all. It's interesting to note that of these 167 Bills, only 54 went as far as the Governor's desk. I don't know what happened to them with the Governor, but certainly some of them were vetoed. I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that entirely unrealistic and unreasonable for the House to submit to the same



APR 13 1976

122.

deadlines as the Senate. We have three times the Membership the Senate has. Therefore, three times the input. It's unreasonable to suppose that we can finish with House Bills in the House on the same date that the Senate concludes Senate Bills in the Senate. That's ridiculous. We are, by doing this, tying a rope, an anchor around our neck. Our very own neck, with the help, of course, of the Senate, but we're groaning, it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, the deliberative legislative process in the House by conning ourselves into Joint Rules, and for anyone who says while we can suspend these, that's no trick, I suggest that they go back and think about what happened a year ago when there were efforts to suspend the Rules. Those of us who wanted to do that, were laughed right off the microphone and told to sit down. Now, let's before we get ourselves into the same kind of a mess we were in then, anticipate the problem and put these in the House Rules, but definitely not the Joint Rules and I urge a 'no' vote on the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Just a couple of brief questions, Mr. Speaker. The first to the Speaker. Does this require 107 votes?"

Speaker Madigan: "This Motion requires 107 votes."

Choate: "Thank you. And then to the Sponsor and I apologize to Representative Shea because I did not look at the Senate Joint Resolution 68 on the Calendar, but a certain portion of Representative Walsh's talk, I certainly agree with. I did not personally help pass that big list of Bills that you're talking about, Representative Walsh, because I didn't vote for it. I didn't know what they contained and I dare say that 99.9% of the Membership also did not know what they contained, when



they voted for that huge list of Bills. I would like to ask the ones who are in favor of this Bill, can we... this Resolution rather, can we be assured that there will not be a large consent calendar at the end of this Session to attempt to clean up the Calendar? I don't think we can be assured of that, Mr. Speaker, and I say to you that it is not a good workable image for this Legislature to have to attempt to pass out great numbers of Bills on one Roll Call. That's the only part I'm questioning the Members about. It is not responsible action."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, at the risk of being redundant, I would just like to agree with Representative Choate and Walsh, I think that this Resolution is ridiculous. I think it's an insult to the House, and if we'd only have to think back to last year, as Representative Walsh and Representative Choate has mentioned, when we had a stampede of Bills on one Roll Call, where the rights of the Members of this House were trampled upon, were ignored and we had the situation shoved down our throats, under the guys of being locked in by Joint Rules. All I can say to the Members of the House, is that I think some People have very short memories and I would hate to go through, not only what we did to ourselves, but the ridicule that we held ourselves up to in the press and in the public. We are being asked today to take a giant step toward making ourselves look ridiculous once again and I don't think it's a good idea. I think it's ill-conceived and I certainly think we should vote this Resolution down."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."



Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, not to debate the merits of the ah..... of the issue, but rather to a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Yourell: "Jerry, if ah....."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea, question."

Yourell: "If this Resolution is adopted, is it true then, that any Bills that come out of Committee as Committee Bills have until May 28th before the Rule (d) of the 45 day Rule applies?"

Shea: "That's my interpretation of the Rule. Yes, they would."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everyone in here has a long enough memory to remember last May 23rd when those three packages of Bills came up and I might point out, too, that those weren't consent Calendar Bills, those were just packaged and moved out of here without anyone's consent on this side. I certainly have no objection to deadlines either. I think that perhaps they are a good thing to set goals, but when we set them in joint rules, we lose all of our flexibility. We lose all of our independence in the Senate and surely we should maintain some of that in the House, so I would oppose the Joint Rule System of setting deadlines and suggest that they be placed in our House Rules, attempt to meet them, but if we end up in a bind, like we did last year, we can always set them back a day or two to accommodate the situation. So I would ask for a 'no' vote on this Resolution also."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate Joint Resolution is defective. Representative Shea said that Section 2 would be the same. Actually it does not repeal Section 2 of our present Joint Rules. What it does is it adds another Section 2, which is exactly the same as the present Section 2, which I think is laughable. Secondly, it says that we shall have these in effect until we adopt permanent Joint Rules, and that's laughable, too. Now I say there is a need for some permanent Joint Rules, but not just on deadlines, and I think this is the way to tell the Senate that for two terms now, we haven't had Joint Rules and let's work on Joint Rules that mean something, rather than deadlines. Now, someone who said that we need deadlines of introduction of bills, but really any Bills that are introduced are sent to Rules Committees, so we have a way of keeping them locked up. There really isn't a need for a deadline on Introduction of Bills. Now let's also, for example, we are in Session. We're legislative bodies. Let's say that there's something very important, very critical to the needs of the People of the State of Illinois. Why should we have a Special Session? That Bill ought to introduce, deadlines or not, and let's go to the normal route. I say that we ought to defeat this Senate Joint Resolution. It's not responsible. It's ill-drafted, and let's tell the Leadership on both sides of the aisle here and on both sides of the aisle in the Senate to sit down and work out some permanent Joint Rules and then I'll vote for them."

Speaker Madigan: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would Representative Shea yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Friedrich: "Representative Shea, what you are proposing to



do is move to a later date for deadline, isn't that not right? That's how I understood you."

Shea: "Well, as I understand the present deadline, it's much earlier than this. The one that's in the Bill now, if this doesn't pass, I would assume that we're going to have those deadlines apply, which will be earlier than these."

Friedrich: "So what you're proposing to do is move to a later date for deadlines?"

Shea: "Yes, I am."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't understand the arguments against this Amendment, because what Representative Shea is proposing to do is, move the deadlines to a later date and that did not create the problem last year. The problem came that we had not completed the work by the deadline, so that's going to happen no matter when the deadline is, but he is at least giving us another week to accomplish the things in an orderly manner. Now, the problem is, as I see it, that the Legislature has not been willing to spend the number of days it takes to get the work done in keeping with the number of Bills introduced, and if we're going to spend two or three days a week, we're going to have the same problem even if June the first is the deadline, so the problem is not the deadline. The problem is the fact we're not willing to work."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, to clear up a statement I made to Representative Friedrich. I don't believe the present deadline applies to the even numbered years and this would and I apologize for the misinformation. It's been called to my attention and I feel a commitment on me to tell you that, Sir."



APR 13 1976

127.

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question now be put. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The 'ayes' have it, and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, to close the debate."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I think everybody knows what's in it, so I'd like a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 68. This Motion requires 107 votes. All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, to explain his vote."

Katz: "I'm going to vote in favor of the Resolution, because without it, we will have no deadlines and we will then be on June 15th, having to consider new Bill Introductions and new matters going out, so that it does seem to me that while I'm very much in favor of having a full set of Joint Rules, I don't think we will teach anybody anything about not having deadlines. I think that we will be going into June 30th, with new Bills being introduced and that will only create additional problems. This is the only way that we can have some orderliness so that Bills will reach us in ample time for us to consider Senate Bills and the Senate having ample time to consider House Bills and so I will personally be voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 80 'ayes' and 51 'nays' and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APR 13 1976

128.

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ah..... let this, I would assume, just sit on the Speaker's desk. It didn't have enough votes to pass, and I would assume by just leaving it there, or would it have to go on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Madigan: "Parliamentarian advises placing this on the Order of Postponed Consideration."

Shea: "Please, with leave of the House."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, arise?"

Walsh: "Well, may I suggest to the Gentleman that he have it go to the Rules Committee, where it should have gone in the first place?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea requested for the Order of Postponed Consideration. Will there be need for a Roll Call on that, Mr. Walsh?"

Walsh: "No."

Speaker Madigan: "So that Resolution shall be placed on the Order of Postponed Consideration and for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, arise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, because I don't think the Motion was in Order. As I recall, there was a date within the Resolution itself, effective on April 19th, was there not? And this Body will not be in Session again until after April 19th and the..... it's a contradiction on the face."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, arise?"

Matijevich: "Because he's out of order. The Resolution can be amended in any form. He had it on Postponed Consideration. He can do anything he wants with it."

Speaker Madigan: "Your Point is well taken, Mr. Matijevich."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

On the Order of Resolutions appears Senate Joint Resolution 69. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to suspension of Rule 41a for the immediate consideration and adoption of this Senate Joint Resolution. What it does, this is the Resolution which investigates matter of unemployment compensation of late payments and both sides of the aisle have agreed to the extension of a date, so I respectfully move the suspension of the appropriate rule."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich moves that to suspend Rule 41 for immediate consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 69. Is there leave? Leave is hereby granted. And Mr. Matijevich moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 69. On that question, all those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The 'ayes' have it and Senate Joint Resolution 69 is adopted. The Order of Business shall be Announcements and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Ah..... the purpose of announcements. The Dangerous Drugs Subcommittee that was to meet today after adjournment for the purpose of a vote, the meeting will not be held today. It will be held and posted the week we return from our Easter Vacation. During that week, it will be posted, at which time the subcommittee will take a vote."

Speaker Madigan: "For purposes of an announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "The Rules Committee will be meeting immediately upon adjournment in Room 114. However, we will also be meeting immediately after we get back, which will be March 27th at 9:00 AM, and those Bills that Sponsors



have not moved today that were before the Committee will automatically be posted for March 27th, but there will be a meeting immediately following adjournment. In addition, the Executive Sub-committee of Judiciary II that met last night was also posted for tonight, but concluded its hearings last night and will not be meeting tonight. The Committee on Judiciary II, the Committee itself, scheduled for 9:00 AM tomorrow will not be meeting. That meeting has been canceled."

Speaker Madigan: "For purposes of an announcement, the

Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources was scheduled to meet at 4:00 PM this afternoon. The hour now being 6:20, or past 6:20, we've determined, to postpone that meeting. We will not hold that meeting today. The same Bills that were posted today will be posted for two weeks from today. That is, Tuesday, April 27th, 1976, and in addition, we will hear Bills of PCB, restricting the use of CLPCB and waterways, so the Environment Committee will not meet today, but will meet two weeks from today."

Speaker Madigan: "For purposes of an announcement, the

Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, the scheduled meeting of the Counties and Townships Committee of the House to be held tomorrow afternoon at 2:00 PM will be canceled and the Bills to be heard will be scheduled for a hearing on the Postponed Meeting on Wednesday, April 28th, at 2:00 PM."

Speaker Madigan: "For purposes of an announcement, the

Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Ethics Sub-committee of Executive will meet



in Room 122, the five-Member committee, immediately after adjournment. Because there are People who are coming down, the full Committee is meeting tomorrow, I think it may be canceled, for lack of quorum, but we're going to have to go ahead with it. I just want to let you know about it."

Speaker Madigan: "For purposes of an Announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Only to correct the distinguished Chairman of the Rules Committee, Representative Katz. I believe he announced that our next meeting after tonight would be on March 27th."

Speaker Madigan: "April the 27th."

Choate: "I know what he meant, but he passed that deadline."

Speaker Madigan: For purposes of an Announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Appropriations I Committee will meet tomorrow morning at Nine O'Clock in Room 114, and it's the intent of the Chair to return at Nine and be through by Eleven. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "For purposes of an announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. The Appropriations II Committee will meet tomorrow morning promptly at 9:00 AM in Room 118. We have about four measures that we intend to finish up by Eleven, Twelve O'clock at the very latest. Nine AM, Room 118."

Speaker Madigan: "For the purpose of an Announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In light of the cancellation of the posted meeting of the Judiciary II Committee tomorrow, I am at this time going to cancel the posted meeting of the Speedy Trial Subcommittee of Judiciary II. The work of that subcommittee can be brief and can be held on a subsequent date prior to



the next scheduled posted meeting of Judiciary II.

Speaker Madigan: "For the purposes of an announcement, the

Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. I do not have an announcement. I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Madigan: "Could we complete the announcements and return to you, Mr. McGrew, and for purposes of an announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Transportation Study Commission meeting will be meeting immediately after adjournment in Room A1 and it's a very important meeting. I urge every Member to please attend."

Speaker Madigan: "For purposes of an announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'm quite confident that Revenue isn't going to have a quorum, but a couple of Members insist we have it, so Revenue will meet."

Speaker Madigan: "At what time, Mr. Schraeder?"

Schraeder: "Immediately upon adjournment in Room 118."

Speaker Madigan: "And for purposes of an Announcement, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a few minutes ago, the Report for the Sub-committee on Womens Athletics was distributed on your desks. Two years ago, this Committee began its work to study the possible discrimination in athletic programs in the State of Illinois in our institutions of higher education. That Report incurred a great deal of demand. There were requests from all over the country for the findings of this Subcommittee and as a result, shortly after it was printed, we ran out of copies. It is our intention to serve the interest of your constituents in regard to the statistics and regard to discrimination



APR 13 1976

133.

of women in athletic programs in our higher education institutions. I believe this is the only study of its kind throughout the country and there has been a great deal of demand for it, so if you have requests for copies, I suggest that you get your requests to my office as soon as possible and we will try to service your needs and those of your constituents."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I personally would like to thank the Leaderships for not having us return until the day after my Easter and I'd like to wish a Happy Easter to all of you. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. My inquiry is simply I'm not aware of what the provisions are in the Rules. So what do we do when we are cancelling hearings of a Committee that has the seven day public notice and do not give any opportunity to notify the public? Don't we have to ah..... at least appear there? Or the Chairman or representative of the Committee to notify the public?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGrew, I'm advised that someone should be there or post a notice on the door of the room to be used for the hearing."

McGrew: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Order of business shall be Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 90. Shea."

Speaker Madigan: "The Order of business is the Adjournment Resolution, and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Would you read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk?"



APR 13 1976

134.

Clerk O'Brien: "Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly, State of Illinois, the Senate concurring therein. When the House of Representatives adjourns on Wednesday, April 14th, 1976, it stands adjourned until Monday, April 19th, 1976, at 10:00 A.M. and when they adjourn on that day, they stand adjourned until Monday, April 26th, 1976, at 1:00 P.M. and when the Senate adjourns on Wednesday, April 14, 1976, it stands adjourned until Monday, April 19, 1976, at 10:00 A.M. and when they adjourn on that day, they stand adjourned until Monday, April 26, 1976, at 4:00 P.M."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this adjournment Resolution has called for us to return in Perfunctory Session at 1:00PM on Monday, April 26. At that time, the Session will be convened and then recessed until 4:00 O'clock so that we will not in effect go into Perfunctory Session until 4:00 O'clock, so Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adopt House Joint Resolution 90."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea has moved that House Joint Resolution 90 be adopted. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. On the Order of business, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 719. Stearney. House Resolution 722. Deavers. House Resolution 724. Madigan. House Resolution 725. Schraeder. House Resolution 726. Jane Barnes. House Resolution 727. Jane Barnes. House Resolution 728. Jean Barnes. House Resolution 729. Pierce. House Resolution 731. Porter. House Resolution 732. Jacobs."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. All those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions?"



Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 730. Greiman."

Speaker Madigan: "Committee on Assignment. The Death Resolution. The Clerk will read the Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 723. Hanahan et al. Respect of the Memory of Mr. Thomas J. Burke and House Resolution 733. Tipword et al. With respect to the Memory of Mr. Robert E. Fritz."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The 'ayes' have it. The Death Resolutions are adopted and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I moves that the House do now stand adjourned."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea move that the House adjourn. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The 'ayes' have it and the House is adjourned."

