

First few minutes of tape are missing. Transcription begins in the middle of Speaker Redmond's opening remarks.

Speaker Redmond: "...by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Rev. Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this Session Thy service. Amen. There is an old Hindu proverb which says, 'The voice of the people is the kettledrum of God'. Let us pray. Give us grace most blessed Lord to live pure and holy lives, loving and serving Thee more and more, and loving our neighbors and serving them for Thy sake. Make us, I beseech Thee, one with Thyself and let nothing ever separate us from Thee. Deserve us waking, defend us sleeping that we may watch with Thee and rest in peace for Thy name's sake. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Regular Session ah... now stand in recess until 11:15."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Regular Session stands in recess till 11:15. We'll call the First Special Session to order."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Roll Call of the Regular Session be used as the Roll Call of the First Special Session of the 79th General Assembly, this date."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the Roll Call of the Regular Session be used as the Roll Call of the First Special Session. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it."

Shea: "I move that the First Special Session stand in recess until after the adjournment of the Regular Session, this date."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The First Special Session will stand in recess until after the adjournment of the Regular Session. The Second Special Session will come to order."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Roll Call of the Regular Session be used as the Roll Call of the Second Special Session, the 79th General Assembly of this date."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the Roll Call of the Regular



Session be used as the Roll Call for the Second Special Session. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Roll Call will be used. Representative Shea."

Shea: "I move that the Second Special Session of the 79th General Assembly now stand in recess until after the adjournment of the First Special Session, this date."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the Second Special Session stand in recess until after the adjournment of the First Special Session. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion is carried. The Regular... Well, we'll do that. The Regular Session will now stand in recess until 11:15."

Unknown: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Leonard, we're back."

Recess

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order in the Regular Session. The Members please be in their seats. The Roll Call for attendance. Mr. Al Easton, the President of the Florist's Association that have decorated our desks so handsomely is here and would like to say a few words to us. Mr. Easton."

Mr. Easton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, as President of the Illinois State Florist's Association, I would like to say we thought that we would like to be a first in the nation; and this, I believe, we have. We have presented to the House of Representatives and the Senate each a bicentennial arrangement. Flowers are like love, they're only good if given to someone. So all of you people may take these and... to your wife, girlfriend, or whatever and with our blessings. Thanks for this time, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you very much and in the light of the new order, they may also, some of them may also give them to their husbands. Representative Willer wants to know if the boyfriends are included. The answer is 'yes'. The order of business, House Bills, Second Reading. Pursuant to Rule 3783, we will call the first one. It's 3273. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3273, Catania. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Governors, State Colleges and



Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
3315. Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise on a point of personal privilege. There was a tremendous multitude in the lobby and it was impossible for me to get into the chambers on time and I was uncertain whether or not one of the most distinguished leaders from our 54th District had been here. His name is Mr. Al Easton, he is the President of the Illinois State Florist's Association and through his magnanimous gesture, you have all of these beautiful flowers on your desks here today. And I wanted to publicly say that Al Easton and the Florist's Association represents all that's good and fine in the 54th District and I'm particularly glad to represent the ah... Al Easton and Company. And if I missed his speech, Mr. Speaker, I wanted you to know the reason for having done so and thank you for the opportunity to explain and to apologize to Al."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you, Representative Cunningham. I've been advised that ah... an Amendment has been filed with the Clerk on 3273. So, we'll move that back to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. The Amendment's not yet printed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Amendment is not printed yet."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take it out of the record. 3315."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3315. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3338. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, is the ah... Sponsor of that Bill on the floor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan? Representative Shea."

Tipsword: "That Sponsor had indicated to us in Committee that he would hold this Bill until the other Education Supplemental Bills would catch up with it until we could make determination as to what kind of funds are available for education..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask if that Bill couldn't be held on



Second Reading. That's a supplemental appropriation of some thirty-seven million dollars that is not within what the Governor terms his ah... lapse period or ah... deficiencies and I would like to hold that Bill until we can find out some more information about, as Representative Tipword says, our revenue projections."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll return 3315 to the order of Second Reading. If there are any other understandings between the Sponsors and the Members of the Committee, it would be appreciated if I would be advised so that we don't ah... violate any confidence. 3338, Representative Richmond. Pass that one. Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a ah... parliamentary inquiry probably you could rule on and that would be ah... in the order of ah... as follows. We came in yesterday some of us were on vacation last week, some of us Committee Members were absent from Appropriations Committee. We have just now seen all of these Bills on Second Reading. Ah... the Appropriation Bills have not been digested enough to make significant floor ah... motions or Amendments and I wondered if a written motion, Mr. Speaker, would be in order and if I presented a motion in writing to defer Second Reading of all Appropriation Bills for the rest of this week. I don't know that it would slow down Third Readings at all, Mr. Speaker, in the final analysis and I know your urgency to accomplish the business of the House; but if that will be in order, I will put such a motion in writing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah... Members of the House, there are certain Bills that we know are within what the Governor termed, ah... needed expenditures ah... and deficiency appropriations and I think that we ought to move those with dispatch if we can. Ah... There is money, there is six million dollars for ah... payment to senior citizens that there's no money in that fund. There's eight million dollars in ah... for the ah... local government distribution fund that goes to the local ah... units of government so that ah... we'd like to try to move some of those and get 'em over to the Senate so they could be passed next week."

Grotberg: "Then, may I make an added inquiry of ah... maybe of Mr. Shea,



Mr. Speaker, if it's allowable. Are these all deficiencies and supplemental?"

Shea: "Yes, that's ah... there are no, to the best of my knowledge, there are no Bills for fiscal '77 in that group."

Grotberg: "That helps me. Thank you, Representative Shea. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "3315 is returned to the order of Second Reading. 3353, Representative Shea."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3353. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department Child and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3353 on page 2, line 10 by deleting 'a million, six hundred and eighteen thousand, five hundred and seventy-two' and inserting in lieu thereof 'one million, six hundred and thirty-eight thousand, five hundred and seventy-two'. And on page 2, line 12 by deleting 'eight hundred and forty-three thousand, one hundred' and inserting in lieu thereof 'eight hundred and twenty-three thousand, one hundred'."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment.

Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3355."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3355. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Local Government Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3355 on page 1, line 15 by deleting 'a hundred and thirty million dollars' and inserting in lieu thereof 'one hundred and thirty million dollars'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "I move... there... I don't believe there is an Amendment to this Bill, is there? Is there a Committee Amendment?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendment 1 changes one hundred and thirty millions dollars in parenthesis to remove the parenthesis and underline it."

Shea: "Was that Mr. Lechowicz's Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, all it was was a corrective Amendment ah... The Bill as was drafted had it in parenthesis. It should, dollar amount should of been underlined. Strictly a corrective Amendment. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3355. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3356. I understand that there's an Amendment that has not been printed. 3357."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3357. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 2 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Personnel. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3357 on page 1, line 19 by deleting 'forty-six million, eight hundred and eighty-three thousand, five hundred' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill... Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you ah... Mr. Speaker. Jerry, I wonder, we have some questions in Committee regarding this and we asked for some information from the Department of Personnel which we have not received yet and I wonder after you put this Amendment on if we could just hold it on Second Reading until we get that?"

Shea: "What, what information do you want, Don?"

Totten: "If you remember, the information regarding the Blue Cross, Blue Shield."

Shea: "All right, might I do this? Might I move it to Third Reading so that's in a position to be passed tomorrow and if for some reason



you want to bring it back and if you request it, I'll bring it back."

Totten: "Fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Did the Sponsor indicate what this Amendment does? I don't think so other than just describing that it was Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 3357 lowers the Department of Personnel supplemental request from two million, one to one million dollars. The Department of Personnel has agreed to this Amendment and they have realized additional federal reimbursements that they originally did not contemplate. And I move for the adoption of ah... Amendment #1 to House Bill 3357."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3357 indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3359."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3359. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3360."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3360. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act making an appropriation to the Illinois Veterans Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3361."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3361. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court for the ordinary and contingent expense of the judicial system. Second Reading of the Bill."



One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3361 on page 1, line 1 and 10 by deleting Section 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea on the Amendment. Representative Shea on the Amendment to 3361."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the adoption of the Amendment.

All those in favor indicate by saying.... Representative Schlickman. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Could we have an explanation of the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you explain the Amendment, Representative Shea."

Shea: "What we did in the Amendment was we reduced instead of appropriating new money, we took some money out of some of existing accounts that the Court Administrator, Mr. Gully, indicated there would be surpluses in and transferred 'em to the accounts where he said he would have deficiencies so that it ends up, in effect, being a transfer instead of an appropriation of fresh money."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions and discussion... questions on the adoption of the Amendment? Those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3361 indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Third Reading. 3362."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3362. A Bill for an Act to make a supplemental appropriation for certain ordinary and contingent expense of the Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3364."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3364. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3365."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3365. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Department of



Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3365 on page 1, line 1 and 7 be deleting three and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "For those of us who are not on the Committee, I think it would be well to explain it briefly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would you turn on Mr. Lechowicz please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3365 makes an appropriation to the Department of Public Health for fiscal '76 and it's a basic increase of approximately two hundred and thirty-two thousand dollars in the following line items. If you want me to detail, I'll be more than happy to. 3365 makes, appropriates twenty-seven thousand, seven hundred and eighty-two dollars in the United States Public Health Services Fund to the operation of management services for fiscal '76, cost associated with a hundred, thirty-two thousand dollar grant from the National Center for Health Statistics. It's for a design of a state-wide hospital care component of the operative health statistics system. It transfers ninety-three thousand dollars in federal Medi-care funds for the office of health facilities and the quality of care from contractual services to personal services, retirement, social security, group insurance, travel, telecommunications, and electronic data processing. Appropriates a hundred and seventy-five thousand in the United States Department of Agriculture Women and Children's Fund. Basically federal funds that are coming in, that are being appropriated to the Department."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Could we have the net dollar effect of this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "The Amendment is thirty thousand dollars in operation for the office of health services funds, thirty thousand operation should be diverted to grants and aid to offset an identical amount in General Revenue, and a ninety-three thousand dollar transfer in U.S.



Medi-care funds for the office of health facilities. So it's ah... sixty ah... plus ninety-three, one hundred and fifty-three thousand."

Schlickman: "Thirty thousand reduction."

Lechowicz: "Correct."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could I ask a question of the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, I can't see Representative Totten."

Lechowicz: "Don, this was ah... in Appropriations II. I did not sponsor the Amendment."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, is there someone who could answer questions on the Amendment?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sure the good Chairman, Representative Boyle, can."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, ah... I'll try to answer Representative Totten's questions."

Totten: "Okay, thank you, Representative Shea. Are these additional federal funds that we are matching and... with appropriations from our General Revenue Fund?"

Shea: "What we did was the first part of the Amendment reduced the General Revenue appropriation by thirty dol... thirty thousand dollars for the care of premature and high-risk mortality infants because we will have a grant increase in the federal government in that amount."

Totten: "Well, that... does the grant increase require any additional federal moneys ah... on our part later on?"

Shea: "You mean, any additional G.R.F. Funds? No. That's what they said, no. That specific question was asked, I think Representative Ryan ah... went into that with the Department and they indicated that this amount of... would not require any additional matching state funds."

Totten: "Okay, do those ah... does that same question apply to the other part of this Amendment?"

Shea: "I... it is my understanding that it does, sir."

Totten: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. Those... Representative Kempiners. Representative



Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Kempiners: "In your discussion of the Amendment ah... mention was made of some funds from the National Center for Health Statistics and I would like ah... some information as to ah... what that grant was for and what change is being made."

Shea: "Ah... I can't tell you right now, but I will have it for you by tomorrow."

Kempiners: "Okay, what I... what I'm concerned about is grant for computer services ah... within the Department of Public Health ah... so if it concerns that particular type of operation, I would be interested in having that information."

Shea: "All right, I'll get it for you by tomorrow, Bill."

Kempiners: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor of adopting the Amendment #1 to House Bill 3365 indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted. Representative Lauer. Turn the Chair over to Representative Lauer. No further Amendments. Third Reading. Pardon me. Representative Lauer wants to get in condition for the 80th Session of the General Assembly. Representative Lauer."

Speaker Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a few weeks ago there was something that was known as the Class A Championship for the State of Illinois Basketball Tournament in the Illinois High School Association. And the 44th District and in particular Logan County, had the honor of having these young men who are coming up to the Speaker's platform to bring that State Championship Trophy back to Mt. Pulaski, a town in southeastern Logan County. Now I know that some of the distinguished colleagues of mine here in the chamber are viewing these young men with a certain sense of regret, but not everybody can win. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to present to the House of Representatives the Mt. Pulaski Hilltoppers, the 1976 Illinois State High School Association Class A Champions. With the permission of the House,



we'll go to Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 676."

Speaker Lauer: "Read the Resolution please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sponsored by Lauer, Bradley, and Deavers. Whereas the Mt. Pulaski Hilltopper Basketball team has achieved the epitome of excellence in winning the 1976 Illinois High School Association Class A Tournament; and whereas, the Hilltoppers defied all early polls and predictions and overcome highly talented opponents; and whereas, early in the season the team adopted as its rallying slogan, 'Let's do it again' and continued to do it again throughout the season; and whereas, the Hilltoppers defeated all Class A opponents and lost only two games by one point, each to Class AA foes, finishing with a 29 to 2 record; and whereas, the Head Coach, Ed ah... Butkovich and his assistants Jim Copper and Joe Zimmerman accomplished an outstanding feat in bringing together the players from former rival schools and maintaining an ordinate amount of discipline and sportsmanship; and whereas, the Hilltoppers fans, although proud as they should be of their team, managed to maintain a high degree of decorum and spectator sportsmanship; and whereas, the Hilltoppers have brought much honor to Mr. Pulaski area and Logan County in that they brought home the County's first Championship Trophy. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives, the 79th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that we congratulate the Mt. Pulaski Hilltopper Basketball team on winning the 1976 I.H.S.A. Class A Basketball State Championship; and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this preamble and Resolution be presented to Coaches Butkovitch, Copper, and Zimmerman, team members, Brad Gibbs, Jeff Anderson, David Welch, David Thompson, Jeff Clements, Scott Moore, Gary Helton, Bob Behle, Dan Durchholz, Pat Przykopanski, John Olson, Gayle Cyrulik, Tim Gleason, Lee Holmes, Manager Roger Fulk, Principal Steve Czerwonky, and Superintendent Lee Taylor."

Speaker Lauer: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. ah... Mr. Speaker, I would move the adoption of the Resolution and let me say this. I would like to personally congratulate the Coach and the Team members from Mt. Pulaski and I would like to



say... I couldn't let this pass that I think that now..."

Speaker Lauer: "Just a minute, Mr. Bradley. Let me get some attention."

Bradley: "Thank you, sir. Now that we have finally and very eloquently demonstrated that the best basketball is played in the 44th Representative District because we not only had this ball club in the elite eight, we had another ball club from the same district, Bloomington Central Catholic in the elite eight. So in as much as it might... as much as it might ah... upset the Gentleman from Lawrenceville, the best basketball right now is being played in the 44th Representative District and my congratulations to those young men who, incidentally, I notice, don't take much stock in the polls because those polls forgot Mt. Pulaski. They had to go out on the floor and show 'em and they certainly did and congratulations to you. We're very proud of each and every one of you."

Speaker Lauer: "You... you move the adoption? Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Representative Lauer and Bradley, it's also my great pleasure to give my ah... congratulations to the Mt. Pulaski Hilltoppers, but also the vocal support of the town of Mt. Pulaski and I think in the balcony behind the Speaker's podium, you'll see why this team was very successful. There's a great number of the student body from Mt. Pulaski and congratulations to everybody."

Speaker Lauer: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Speaker, Jack, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been instructed by Coach Ron Feline and the great Lawrenceville Indians to be magnanimous and gracious in this painful hour and so I am proud to join, too, in moving the adoption of this Resolution. We believe it's due you, that the Hilltoppers are worthy successors in the Championship for Illinois basketball, and I want to... in congratulating the Hilltoppers, I want to say to each of them and their incomparable Coach, you have won the Championship once. When you win it a second time, you will then be equal to the Lawrenceville Indians. We congratulate you on your fortune this year, but don't expect to repeat next. So I move to adopt the Resolution with these other distinguished Gentleman."

Speaker Lauer: "Roscoe, I'll bet you a dinner on next year. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you've heard the Resolution. All in



favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is so adopted. Coach Butkovitch...

Coach Butkovich: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I know at this time I would not be able to thank everybody that's personally involved in having us here today. So I'm not going to try and name names, but I want to thank everyone that has helped in having us here this afternoon. So I'll thank the people in the gallery because they're the people who followed us all year and I know that you people do it, too. I hope that the ah... Gentleman from Lawrenceville is wrong. I have the greatest respect in the world for Coach Feline and he is one of the greatest coaches in the State of Illinois if not the nation and I feel proud that he sent his congratulations to us, so on your way back to Lawrenceville, please tell Ron that I said, 'Hello' and I'll see him the 15th of ah... May. Ah... People, thank you very, very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. While we're at this stage of the proceedings ah...at this time, I'd like to introduce to the House, Mr. Steve Therman who is the teacher in the history class from Virden in Macoupin County here in the Speaker's gallery. Represented by Representative Sharp and I and this class also includes ah... my cousin, Margaret O'Brien. Would you stand up, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now do stand adjourned until the hour of 1:30 and that we have a Democratic Conference in Room 114."

Speaker Redmond: "In recess, Representative Shea?"

Shea: "Bud, what room did you end up with?"

Washburn: "The same one."

Shea: "The same one. Well, we'll."

Speaker Redmond: "That'll be nice."

Shea: "If you want 114, is 212 available, Mr. Speaker?"

Washburn: "114 will hold us all, Jerry."

Shea: "I'd just as soon have a Joint Conference."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn, do want to make an announcement while we find the ah... a room here?"



Shea: "Go ahead, take 114."

Washburn: "Yeh, while I have the floor, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ah... again report that Representative LaFleur is due... is absent due to illness and Representative Hirschfeld be excused from today's ah... Session. And the Republicans will hold a conference in Room 114 ah... at 12 o'clock and ah... the House will reconvene at 1:30, but the Republicans will hold a conference at 12 o'clock in Room 114 and if it's a short enough conference, you'll have time to go out and get a sandwich before we convene at 1:30."

Speaker Redmond: "One minute. Stand at ease for a moment. Representative Bradley in the Chair."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the Democratic Conference will be held in Room 212 at 12 o'clock and I would now renew my motion that the House stand in recess for five minutes, that the Clerk then be permitted to read in First Reading Bills and that the House then stand in recess until 1:30."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman has moved that we recess ah... in five minutes too... for the purpose of reading Bills and then we'll go ahead and ah... for how long is the ah... recess until one, sir?"

Shea: "1:30."

Speaker Bradley: "We will recess... the House will stand in recess then until 1:30 this afternoon."

Shea: "All right, and a Democratic Conference in 212 at 12 o'clock and a Republican Conference in 114."

Speaker Bradley: "The... you've all heard the Gentleman's motion and the House will now stand in recess until the hour of 1:30 this afternoon."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3502, Mautino. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House 3503, Boyle. A Bill for an Act to grant public employees the right to organize and bargain collectively. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3504, Getty. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3505, Birchler. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Egg and Egg Products Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3506, Byers.



A Bill for an Act in relation to the sale of new and used cars. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3507, Willer. A Bill for an Act in relation to consumer warranty protection. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3508, Leinenweber. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3509, Berman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3510, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state revenue sharing with local governmental entities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3511, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to tax gifts, inheritances, transfers, appointments and interest in certain cases. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3512, Kempiners et al. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3513, Kempiners. A Bill for an Act to relate, to regulate the practice of acupuncture. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3514, Hanahan, Choate et al. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of Education. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3515, Hanahan. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the State Board of Education. First Reading of the Bill. No further introductions. We stand in recess till 1:30 p.m."

Recess

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. The Members please be in their seats. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Joint Resolution #68. Adopted by the Senate April 6, 1976, by three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members be in their seats. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce to the Members of the House, the eighth



grade class Houser Junior High School in Riverside. It's from the 7th District and that's represented by Representative Sevcik, Representative Klosak and myself. And their teachers are here with them and brought 'em down, there's Mr. Berweinger, Mr. Ericson, Miss Haasman, ah... Mrs. Hager, Mr. Matusic, Mr. Erman, Mr. Mock, and Mr. Hilly and I'd just like to take this opportunity to welcome you to the House of Representatives."

Speaker Redmond: "Order of House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 3155."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3155."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Mudd on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Illinois Fire Protection and Personnel Standards and Education Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3155 on page 1, line 24 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "I have no additional Amendments and know of any ah... would like to move it."

Speaker Redmond: "Move the adoption of Amendment #1?"

Mudd: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3277."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3277. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3277 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the first Amendment was a Committee Amendment which was an agreed Amendment in Committee and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of



the Amendment. All in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3277 indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3338, Representative Richmond."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3338."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. House Bill 3338, Representative Richmond."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3338. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act provide for the ordinary and contingent of Southern Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. That's all on Second Reading, isn't it, Mr. Clerk? 3273."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3273. A Bill for an Act making an appropriations to Board of Governors of State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #1 was tabled in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Byers. Amends House Bill 3273 on page 1. by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania, are you familiar with that Amendment? Representative Catania."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, I don't see Mr. Byers and I don't see the Amendment. I don't know if it's been distributed yet."

Speaker Redmond: "It's been printed and distributed. You haven't had one?"

Catania: "It's not on my desk and besides, as I said, I don't see Mr. Byers. So I think we probably should wait till he gets here."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, take it out of the record. What's your pleasure?"

Catania: "I'd like to have it go to Third. This Amendment incidentally was offered and defeated in Committee already. It's an identical Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Sponsor of the Amendment not being on the floor and the Sponser persists in moving it to Third Reading. So we'll move it



to Third Reading. Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 3053, Representative VanDuyne. Out of the record. 3055, Representative Jaffe. Out of the record."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Shea in the Chair."

Speaker Shea: "House Bill 3036. Mr. Maragos, is he on the floor? House Bill 3067, Mr... House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 3067, Mr. Beaupre."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3067. A Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Health Finance Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, that Bill is not my Bill. We have corrected it on the record, I think, two or three times. I went to the Clerk again yesterday and suggested that my name be taken off of it. It belongs to Representative Chapman. It is her Bill. I don't know anything about it. I wish she would ah... handle it."

Speaker Shea: "Well, with leave of the House, we'll change the Chief Sponsor from Beaupre to Chapman. And now, Ms. Chapman, on... is there objection? Hearing none, hearing none, the... Well... do you have any objections to changing the Sponsor? All right, now Ms. Chapman on House Bill 3067. You don't want to call it today? Take it out of the record at the new Sponsor's request. House Bill 3100, Mr. Mann. Is he on the floor? House Bill 3111, Ms. Younge."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3111. A Bill for an Act to amend the Metro East Performing Arts Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, would you take that out of the record?"

Speaker Shea: "At the request of the Sponsor, take that out of the record. House Bill 3148, Mr. Boyle. Is Mr. Boyle on the floor? Is Mr. Ryan here? Well... we'll take that one out of the record until the Sponsors return. On consideration postponed, Mr. VanDuyne, did we move that Bill this morning? I don't see Mr. Jaffe on the floor. Constitutional Amendments... House Joint Resolutions, Third Reading. House Joint Resolugion #20, Ms. Macdonald. You want to take that out of the record. House Joint Resolution 29, Mr. Cunningham. Mr. Choate, are you ready to proceed with yours? Mr. Choate? Mr. Porter. Mr. Katz. He's not on the floor. Mr. Hirschfeld isn't with us today. Mr. Mudd. Is Mr. Mudd here? Mr. Mudd, do you want to call your Constitutional Amendment 12 today? Mr. Friedrich, do



you want to call yours today? Ms. Dyer. Where is Ms. Dyer? She's not here. Concurrences, Mr. Porter, on House Bill 1375."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, that Bill has been on the Calendar for a year.

The reason for it ah... ceased to exist at the time it was on Concurrence and I would ask unanimous leave that the Bill be tabled."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman asks leave to table House Bill 1375. Is there objection? Hearing none, the Bill will be ordered tabled. House Bill 2345, Mr. Kozubowski. Out? House Bill 2627, Mr. Taylor. The, the Gentleman from ah... Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2627."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2627. Is there discussion? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I wonder if the Gentleman would explain the ah... Senate Amendment, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Shea: "I'm sure he will, sir. Turn Mr. Taylor on again, please."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I do not have that Amendment here but I always had great faith in that august Body across the aisle and certain that they sent good Bills over. I will at this time, it's necessary, take it out of the record until I can get the Amendment and then probably explain it."

Speaker Shea: "At the request of the Sponsor, we'll take it out of the record. 2804, Mr. Riccolo. Is Mr. Riccolo on the floor? On House Bill 23 er... 233, Mr... On the order of Motions, appears House Bill 3054. And on that, Mr. VonBoeckman. Is Mr. VonBoeck... you... Mr. VonBoeckman on the floor? Take that out of the record. On the order of Motions appears House Resolution 151, Mr. Mann. Take that out of the record. 642, Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz or Mr. Huff, are they ready to proceed on that one? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, on House Resolution 642. Turn Mr. Huff on, please."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, ah... would you please take it out of the record, please."

Speaker Shea: "At the request of the Sponsor, we'll take that out of the record. House Resolution 678, Mr. Beatty. Take that out of the record."



Mr. Beatty... House Resolution 3484, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, Members of the House, I'm going to need the help of some long arms on this one, but ah... I, I've introduced a Motion on House Bill 3484. As I said yesterday, the Committee on Executive did introduce by unanimous vote, 19 to nothing, a Bill, House Bill 3484, which would mandate monthly pay by Legislators and this Motion would advance that Bill to the order of Second Reading. The Bill as you know, everybody knows exactly what it does and we'd like to move that Bill as quickly as possible. As you may know, there's the Committee on ah... Political Honesty that has petitions floating around and we'd like to do our best as a Legislature ah... to pass this Bill out. So I would ask the Membership, I need 107 votes. I need all the help I can get from all of you. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, er... from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves to suspend Rule 31(b) and advance to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, House Bill 3484. On that question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the Gentleman's motion and suggest to you that any one Member here who is as interested in abiding by the Rules as the Gentleman who made this motion to suspend those Rules, should not be doing what he is doing. Now what we're contemplating here is something that will take place in January of 1977. There's absolutely no emergency involved here at all. Many of us are interested. There are many people in the State interested in what this Bill does do and what the so-called political honest initiative will do. We don't know for certain that this doesn't contain the other two ah... portions of that ah... proposed Constitutional Amendment. There are many of us who would like to look at this Bill in Committee and find out if, for example, pension rights accrue ah... to someone if he ah... takes his pay monthly. There's just simply no excuse, Mr. Speaker, even though it would seem to be a very popular thing to do in this instance, there is no excuse for suspending the Rules at this time and I ask you to ah... oppose this motion and exercise ah... a little guts."



Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if the ah... Sponsor of the motion would, would answer some related questions."

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he'll yield, sir."

Skinner: "Can you tell me what is the status of Republican State Senator Harber Hall's Bill? Has it passed yet?"

Speaker Shea: "Turn Mr. Matijevich on."

Matijevich: "Ah... I understand from speaking to Members of the Senate that ah... Senator Harber Hall's Bill ah... is on Second Reading in the Senate. Ah... I understand also there may be some movement in the Senate to try to amend that Bill to replace the other two or at least one of the other two propositions on it. I want to assure everybody here and the ah... Minority ah... Leader that I intend to resist any Amendments and this be only on monthly pay. And it has nothing to do with the pension benefits either, we're not going to alter that at all. I think everybody knows what's in the Bill. And as to the matter of timeliness, ah... there is the matter of the coalition and I think that we ought to do ah... as the Legislature, we ought to take positive action. I realize it wouldn't be effective until January of next year, but I think we have to take some action now and I think time is of the essence."

Skinner: "Is there a copy of this Bill around that one could look at?"

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, on a point of order."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, is this ah... motion debatable? It's a motion to suspend the Rules. It's my understanding it is not."

Speaker Shea: "It is not a debatable motion, but the Chair was trying to give some leeway to the Membership because of the importance of it. So, if Mr. Skinner, if you'll finish up and then we'll get back to Mr. Matijevich to close."

Skinner: "Well, I'd like to see a copy of the Bill to see whether it prohibits advanced payment of Legislators or merely allows Legislators to be paid one month in advance instead of one year in advance. If it does not cure that defect, I think that Representative's pledge to accept no Amendments will result in our having another initiative campaign two years from now to end that horrid abuse of being paid one



month in advance."

Speaker Shea: "Well, when the Bill's on Second Reading, it's the will of the House, not what one Member wants. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to close."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, this Bill, House Bill 3484, is a Committee Bill, would prohibit advance payment by Legislators. Legislators would be paid monthly, mandated and I think the serious importance of it that we have already had a hearing on it. It was posted, the subject matter was posted. It came out of the Committee, 19 to nothing. And therefore, I would appreciate your support with 107 affirmative votes."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves pursuant to Rule 31(b) to advance House Bill 3484 to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay' and on the question to explain his vote, Mr. Katz, the Gentleman from Cook."

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we just adopted Rules a few weeks ago. It does seem to me that at some point, we should stop wasting the time on the floor ah... with Motions. I say in due deference to my good friend, the Representative from Lake, there's no reason at all why this Bill cannot be posted and heard next week in the Executive Committee. If every time, anybody gets a Bill that they want to move the motion, we will be spending a lot of needless hours on this floor and I just believe that we ought to follow the Rules and I would urge accordingly, a 'present' or a 'no' vote."

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, to explain his 'aye' vote."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, thank you for pointing out that the vote is an 'aye' vote. With regard to Mr. Katz's remarks in all due deference, the Committee spent considerable time yesterday afternoon hearing the ah... ap... evidence on this Bill and it was the wisdom of the Committee to bring it ah... to recommend it as a Committee Bill. It came out without a single opposing vote. I think we are wasting the time of the Committee, we are wasting the time of the House, if we don't go ahead and move this thing because everybody in this House,



Mr. Speaker, knows exactly how they're going to vote on it as of right now. Let's stop dragging our feet. Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton, to explain his vote."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am going to vote but I do so with great, great trouble in my mind. Here is such an obvious conflict of interest and we are being, to ask on this important vote, 'My, my, what will they think of next if Legislators start voting on Bills that affect them personally'. I, I think this is a bad precedent, but if this be the will of my colleagues, I think in the future we'll be able to cut out some of the ridiculous nonsense about conflict of interest. There isn't a Bill we've voted on that there isn't a conflict of interest."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "It appears to me if there's any Bill that ever needed Committee work, this is one of them. I'll read you what they're going to put in it. It says 'the compensation provided for in this Section to be paid per year to Members of the General Assembly including the additional funds payable per year to officers of the General Assembly shall be paid in twelve equal monthly installments'. They may be paid in twelve equal monthly installments in advance. There's no prohibition for that and I assure you that that is the next thing that our constituents are going to be talking about. So why don't we just let it go to Committee, let somebody put a logical Amendment on it, and then let's get it out and pass it and get rid of it."

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 'aye' votes, 7 'nay' votes, 14 Members voting 'present'. The Gentleman... Gentleman's motion prevails and House Bill 3484 will appear on the Calendar on the order of House Bills, Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. On the order of Speaker's Table, House Resolution 678, Mr. Beatty. We're back to that again. Do you want to go with it?"

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the Motion to waive the Rule of having this Resolution go to Committee. This ah... House Resolution 678 is a Motion to rescind House Resolution #651 which



was passed by this House on March 3, 1976, on the day of the Governor's budget message. The basic ah... the explanation given when Resolution 651 was passed was that it referred to a process of testing, of testing of real estate markets. Actually, this Resolution, 651, refers to a much broader area. It covers the entire spectrum of persons who would in... would wish to control in any way activities of real estate brokers or salesmen. While one part of the Bill was referred to, it actually would give the Legislative Investigating Commission extremely broad authority. In fact, the... the empowering clause in Resolution 651 is so broad that I feel that something of this nature should actually be referred to the Committee to be gone into to see if there is a need for such a broad investigation. This Resolution, if it is not rescinded, gives the Illinois Legislative Investigation Commission authority to investigate Homeowner Associations, Homeowner Group, those groups that, from my experience in my community, have a very deep interest in the community that they are there to see if the neighborhood is kept in a good condition and it is a good place to live. And from my experience with these groups and investigation by the Illinois Legislative Investigation Commission is a waste of time. And so, this is my reason for thinking that if the real estate industry and if Mr. Cook feels that ah... an investigation is necessary to protect his brokers and his salesmen, he should be urged to come to the forefront and show what evidence there is that such an investigation is necessary."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman..."

Beatty: "I'm not finished, Mr. Speaker. If you would..."

Speaker Shea: "Oh, proceed, sir."

Beatty: "Now last year, I passed House Resolution #115 dealing with an entirely different subject asking the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission to investigate nursing homes in Illinois, any nursing home that is receiving state funds where any complaints of any kind existed. Now this was passed last June. I still don't have a report on this. Yet, we have this Resolution 651 calling for an immediate investigation with public hearings and the report to be made back to the Legislature as soon as possible. Well, I have an additional question. Is the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission



adequately staffed for this investigation? Or are they going to push aside an investigation of an essential thing such as nursing homes where we've had so many problems in recent years and instead start investigating people who want to remain in their homes and maintain good neighborhoods? Also, this... the basic reason for calling for this investigation under the terms of Resolution 651 is to see if certain practices violate the Civil or Criminal Laws of Illinois or the Illinois Constitution. It would appear to me that we have State's Attorneys in our various counties and we have the Attorney General who are probably delegated to investigate this type of thing. I believe that the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission should investigate matters on which we would be legislating on. Now I've worked with the homeowner groups on the southside of Chicago. I participated in hearings down at the State of Illinois Building where, in one instance, the broker's license was revoked because of the practices he engaged in and I believe that in this area the Department of Registration has been doing an excellent job and Mr. Stackler deserves credit for his participation in this and I don't mean at this time to say that real estate brokers and salesmen are not an important part of our state and that they are serving an excellent purpose and many of them are my friends and I have no ax to grind with them. I merely feel that I don't think there is any need for this investigation as called for. I think the extent in this Resolution is too broad. I question the crux of such an investigation. I feel that was one of the mistakes that this House has made in the last year, passed this Resolution and I ask for an affirmative vote. I need 107 votes so that we can vote on my Resolution and try and get that Resolution 651 rescinded."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the appropriate Rule for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 678. It's a non-debatable Motion, but since we've normally allowed one person to speak in opposition to it, I see Mr. Hoffman, the Sponsor of 651, so I'm going to call on him to respond."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This particular Resolution that is under fire at this time on an attempt to rescind it, was considered by this House and by the Membership on the immediate concerned dealing



with testing. Now at the time that we presented this original H.R. 651, I had indicated to the Membership that there was a very serious problem developing not only through the State, but in certain other States that was growing to such proportions and the allegations were going back and forth..."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Hoffman. Turn on Mr. Madison, on a point of order."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I can understand on an issue like this your decision to, in effect, ignore the Robert's Rules of Order as it relates to nondebateable items, but I think that in this case, if there is going to be debate, that the debate ought to be ah... ah... categorized in the category of whether or not to suspend the Rules and we're debating the merits of House Bill 651, I mean Resolution 651 and/or Resolution 678. I would remind the Chair that the speakers involved ought to at least confine their remarks to the issue of whether or not we ought to suspend the Rules and we can debate the issue relative to the House Resolutions at the appropriate time. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Your point is well taken, Mr. Madison. Mr. Hoffman, if you would confine your remarks to the suspension of the Rules and to House Resolution 678."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, but I think the point is extremely well taken and I would yield on that and debate the merits and not the ah... proposition of suspending the Rules."

Speaker Shea: "Back to Mr. Beatty, to close."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, the Sponsor of House Resolution 651 concedes that he would like to go back into the merits of House Resolution 651 and since he's the Sponsor and he's agreeable, I suggest we get 107 votes up there and discuss the merits of the issue."

Speaker Shea: "Well, the Gentleman asks for unanimous consent for immediate consideration. Is there objection? There's objection. The Gentleman moves for the suspension of the appropriate Rule for the immediate hearing of House Resolution 678. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 69 'ayes', 60 'nays',



5 Members voting 'present'. And the Gentleman's Motion fails. House Resolution 678 will go to the Committee on Assignments. On the order of Speaker's Table is House Joint Resolution #61. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution 83, Mr. Polk. Take it out of the record. Senate Joint Resolution 65, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, ah... we have a ah... and Amendment to that Resolution. Can we go on the Amendment?"

Speaker Shea: "Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment to Senate Joint Resolution 65. Amends Senate Joint Resolution 65 in the resolve clause by striking everything after the word, 'herein', and inserting in lieu thereof, the following: 'that the temporary Joint Rules of the House and Senate be amended by adding thereto a new temporary Joint Rule 3 to read as follows: Pension Bills (3) No Bill introduced in either House of the General Assembly which amends, revises, adds to, or repeals any provision of the Illinois Pension Code, approved March 18, 1973, may be amended, may be heard in any Standing Committee of either House unless accompanied by a written pension system impact statement prepared by the Illinois Public Employees Pension Laws Commission describing the fiscal aspects of the Bill in relation to the Commission's recommended standards in expressing the Commission's position policy recommendations, if any, with respect to the Bill'."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, ah... I would urge approval of Amendment #1 to the ah... House Joint, Senate Joint Resolution ah... 65 and I will go into the Resolution after adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "Well, Mr. Terzich, it would be in the opinion of the Chair if the Amendment is adopted, that we would wait until tomorrow to hear it. So on the adoption of the Amendment, all those in favor of the Amendment will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'nay'. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Judge Palmer."

Palmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Isn't this what we do now already, ah... on these, on the pension ah... law, in the Pension Bills that we always get the Commission's recommendation?"

Terzich: "We do not always get the ah... Pension Laws Commission



recommendations when the Bills go into the ah... Committee, Representative Palmer, and this will simply state before the ah... Bills are heard in Committee that there would be a ah..."

Palmer: "Oh, at that time?"

Terzich: "Yes."

Palmer: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "All right, back to the main Motion. The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Joint Resolution 65. All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Or the Amendment is adopted. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have gone through the Calendar one time and we will now proceed to go back through the Calendar one time and then adjourn so that everybody will have an opportunity to have their Bills or Motions called. The Clerk has asked me to tell you that if you have a Bill that you consider an emergency that's in Rules Committee in order to have a hearing on that next week, it must be posted this day so that anybody that wishes to have a Bill considered as an emergency matter, would they please fill out the appropriate form and file it with the Clerk's Office so that the Bill could be heard in Rules Committee next week. Well, we would hope that it would be filed before six o'clock so that the Clerks may have an opportunity to go home. I've just been informed, before 4:30. We have a strong union in the Clerk's Office. On the order of House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 3155, Mr. Mudd. That's been moved. House Bill 3171, Mr. Hanahan. Is Mr. Hanahan on the floor? Take that Bill out of the record. House Bill 3273, Ms. Catania. Do you want to call that Bill? Has that been moved? That's still on the order of Second Reading."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, when Speaker Redmond was in the Chair and he moved it to Third."

Speaker Shea: "Well, the Clerk informs me that it's in Second Reading."

Catania: "Well, would you talk to Speaker Redmond? He said that he was moving it to Third."

Speaker Shea: "Well, the Journal indicates that it's on Second Reading. The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."



Choate: "The Lady's totally correct because the question was asked from the floor who had the Amendment and the Speaker said in the absence of the Sponsor of the Amendment and the Sponsor of the Bill wanting to move it, moved it."

Catania: "It's correct because that's Speaker Redmond's policy."

Speaker Shea: "Well, if the Bill is on Third Reading, let me check with the Clerk and we will take it out of the record now."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "House Bill 3277, Mr. Madigan. Was that Bill moved? House Bill... On the order of House Bills, Third Reading... On the order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3036, Mr. Maragos. Is Mr. Maragos on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3036. A Bill for an Act to repeal the Chicago Regional Port District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman. Turn Mr. Schlickman on, please."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, yesterday I raised a point of order with respect to the hearing of this Bill inasmuch as the copy of it was not in my Bill book. The then presiding Speaker acknowledged that point of order and asked that it be taken out the of the record. Since then, I have not received a copy. There's not one in the Bill book and pursuant to the Rules, I suggest that this also be taken out of the record today."

Speaker Shea: "Well, Mr. Schlickman, Mr. Maragos isn't on the floor. I'm going to take the Bill out of the record, but if that Bill isn't in your Bill book, I suggest that you talk to the Republican Pages and find out why it's not there."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I respectfully suggest that it's not my responsibility as a Member of this House to make sure that Bills are on my floor. That is the responsibility of the Clerk under whom the Pages serve."

Speaker Shea: "Have you got all the Bills except that one?"

Schlickman: "I have had copies of all the measures that were called today except this one."

Speaker Shea: "The Clerk's Office will make sure you get one right now."



Schlickman: "Well, I would hope that other Members of the House be similarly treated."

Speaker Shea: "Well, I haven't heard any other Member say that it's not in his, his Bill book, but we will make sure the Bill is distributed."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 3067. Ms. Chapman, do you wish to proceed with that? House Bill 3100, Mr. Mann. Take it out of the record. House Bill 3111, Ms. Younge. Take that out of the record. House Bill 3148, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3148 appropriates \$10,000,000 from the School Construction Bond Fund to the State Board of Education ah... for reimbursement money ah... It's been spent for special educational building purposes. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3148. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Boyle: "I just explained it. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle, moves for the adoption of House Bill 3148. On that question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, will you ah... if the Sponsor will yield to a question. Question is whether, I had an educator that talked with me the other day about ah... a shortage in the Capitol Development Fund relative to buildings for special education ah... or for those purposes. Now I wonder if ah... all the moneys are in that \$10,000,000 that's supposed to be?"

Boyle: "Well, this is one of the reasons that there is a shortage. We, we ran this one up the pole in the last Session and didn't get enough votes and so I had to carry it over ah... to the Spring Session and this ah... ah... this is one of the reasons why and I ah... go ahead."

Palmer: "Is the amount the original amount or has it been increased?"

Boyle: "This is the same as we had before. I haven't touched the Bill since the fall. It just came over."

Palmer: "And has... this is the amount that the State Board says that



they are short, is that correct, in effect?"

Boyle: "Yes."

Palmer: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Boyle: "If I can."

Lechowicz: "Well, Representative, where is the substantive Bill on this 3147?"

Boyle: "It's in the Senate Rules Committee. I understand that Senator Philip Rock has it."

Lechowicz: "At what dollar amount? What's the authorization? What's the authorization in 3147, do you know, Kenny?"

Boyle: "I ah... don't know unless my friend, Dave Cary, who's here can give me that information. I have no way to know how much the authorization was. I know 3147 was passed out of here in the fall and it's now in the Senate."

Lechowicz: "Well, I think it called for three hundred ah... million. So you'd have to amend that one up to three hundred and ten."

Boyle: "Well, no, no. This, this would... Bill is only for one year. It's ten million for one year and seven million of the ten million is for downstate schools."

Lechowicz: "I understand that Bill, saying your authorization 3147 has to be amended up."

Boyle: "No, they tell me that it doesn't."

Lechowicz: "Thanks a bunch."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the point of order, you were solicitous earlier inquiring as to whether or not I had copies of other Bills. I don't have a copy of this Bill and two other Members that I discussed the matter with don't have copies either."

Boyle: "Now, now, now, just a minute. This Bill has been here... we, we had it in the Fall Session."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Boyle, Mr. Boyle. The question was to the Chair, not to you, sir."

Boyle: "Oh, I apologize."



Speaker Shea: "Mr. Schlickman, you don't have that Bill in your Bill book either?"

Schlickman: "No, and two other Members including the Assistant Minority Leader don't have it either. Matter of information."

Speaker Shea: "... Sarunas for not having that one, couldn't we?"

Schlickman: "What is that, sir?"

Speaker Shea: "We'll holler by Sarunas for not having that one?"

Schlickman: "Is he a Page?"

Speaker Shea: "No, he's the Assistant Minority Leaders Legislative Aide."

Schlickman: "Well, I didn't know that was his responsibility."

Speaker Shea: "Well, it normally is, sir. Take the Bill out of the record until we get Mr. Schlickman and the rest of the Republican Members that Bill."

Schlickman: "Thank you. Now I didn't ask for that action. I was just responding to your solicitation earlier."

Speaker Shea: "Sir, the rules of the House preclude hearing the Bill that's not in your Bill book."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The order of consideration postponed is House Bill 3053, Mr. VanDuyne. Take that out of the record. House Bill 3055, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yeh, Mr. Speaker, this is a Committee Bill and it creates the Violent Crimes Study Commission ah... to study causes, consequences, preventions, treatment and control of all crimes of ah... violence. Last year, we passed out the appropriation, but this Bill got caught up sort of in the switches in the time switch and as a result, we couldn't get it into the Senate in time. So I would just ask for a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, has moved for the adoption of House Bill 3055. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, D.L."

D.L. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I disagree with the conclusion that this Bill when it was previously considered got caught in the time switches. As a matter of fact, it was rather thoroughly debated and rather convincingly



defeated. I suggest that the Commission ah... that would be created pursuant to this Bill is an extraordinarily broad ah... ah... delegation here of an obligation, a Violent Crime Study Commission. Now I believe the appropriations for this was ah... some fifteen thousand dollars. What we're talking about here is the Commission that would be ah... obligated to review every ah... forcible felony known to the Illinois statutes. I frankly feel that this is very impractical. It does not warrant the support of the Membership. It did not when it was previously considered and it certainly hasn't gotten any better and I ask for a negative vote."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Houlihan, excuse me. Are you rising on a point of order, Mr. Hudson? Turn Mr. Hudson on."

Hudson: "A point of inquiry, Mr. ah... Chairman. And thank you for recognizing me, that has been raised before. I've... with all due respects to the Sponsor, do not have a copy of this particular Bill in my Bill book. Now ah... I'm wondering if further ah... discussion of the matter ah... is ah... proper."

Speaker Shea: "Well, ah... are there other Members that don't have this? Mr. Schlickman, do you have it in your book? Well, the House will stand at ease for about 10 minutes while we get some Bills in the Members Bill books. Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, while you're standing at ease, I'd like to table ah... a Bill that I am sole Sponsor ah... House Bill 3418. At this time, I'd like to table that Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman asks leave to ah... of the House to table House Bill 3418. Is there objection? Hearing none, the Bill will be ordered tabled. Now the House will be at ease for about ten or fifteen minutes while the Pages get the Bills in the Bill books of the Members."

House at ease

Speaker Shea: "The House will be back in order now and we'll let the Clerk read in some introductions and First Readings."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3516, Kozubowski. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3517, Hudson. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the compensation of Members of the



General Assembly. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3518, Jaffe. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3519, Tuerk. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Education. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3520, John Dunn. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the Feasibility Study of certain proposed city, county, public safety buildings. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3521, Craig. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3522, Craig. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3523, Riccolo. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to require corporate authorities of counties and municipal corporations, public officers and fee officers to furnish statements showing receipts and expenditures. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3524, Riccolo. A Bill for an Act in relation to taxation in support of public libraries. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3525, Riccolo. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Library District Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3526, Riccolo. A Bill for an Act in relation to the budget and appropriation procedures for library districts. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3527, Daniels. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Ladies and Gentlemen, while we're at ease, the television light is on and there's been a request from one of the Members that some of the students from his district be allowed to take some pictures. Is there objection? Hearing none, we'll let some students from Mr. Bluthardt's district take a few pictures. All set, Smooth Eddy? The House will come to order and now I will ask you will you please refrain from taking any more pictures. House Bill 3055, Mr. Jaffe, I'm informed that that Bill has been distributed. So would you please proceed. Turn Mr. Jaffe on, please. Mr... Would you turn Mr. Jaffe on? Proceed, sir."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, in response to the last speaker, I would like to point out that we in the General Assembly while we do a lot of talking about violent crimes and victims of violent crimes, do not



have any Commission or Committee that really deals with violent crimes or victims of violent crimes. I, I think ah... the last speaker ah... actually was not looking at the substance of the Bill and I would just like to read one paragraph that really ah... in substance, ah... sums up what we're talking about. It says, 'The Commission shall make such recommendations as is necessary to effect solutions to the problems of violent crime including legislation, rehabilitation programs for convicted offenders, medical counseling services for victims of violent crimes'. And I really would like to underline that because we're really dealing with victims of violent crimes and investigative and reporting procedures to be filed with local police. As I indicated to you before, this is a Commission Bill that comes out of the Appropriations Committee. It has been previously accepted and I'm ah... approved by the Judiciary Committee and I would ask for an 'aye' vote on it."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, moves that the House do adopt House Bill 3055. On that, any discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Houlihan alluded to the fact that there was a fifteen thousand dollar appropriation tied to this Bill and I'd like to ask two questions. May I have a little attention, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Shea: "I'm sorry. Did you ask..."

Schraeder: "A little attention."

Speaker Shea: "Pardon me, sir."

Schraeder: "I don't think anybody can hear. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Would the Members please be in their seat? We're on House Bills, Third Reading. Now, Mr. Doorkeeper, there are members on the floor of the House that are not Members and are not entitled to the floor. So I'm going to ask 'em to leave and if they don't leave, Mr. Doorkeeper, will you remove 'em from the floor of the House?"

Schraeder: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor if there is indeed a fifty... fifteen thousand dollar appropriation and if so, what stage of passage is the appropriation?"

Jaffe: "Well, at the present time, we do not have an appropriation Bill



on this, Representative Schraeder. What happened last year was that we did have an appropriations Bill. It passed into the Senate. It passed out of the House. We passed the appropriations Bill first and we did not have the substantive Bill because this particular Bill ah... got lost because of the time schedule and because of the deadline. So we've already passed out an appropriations Bill which is no longer alive, but we will have to pass another one."

Schraeder: "So the appropriation is still alive?"

Speaker Shea: "Is there further discussion? Is there further discussion? Mr. Dunn, the Gentleman from Macon."

J.F. Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question? I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question. Where will ah... eventually we'll have to be funding for this. Where do you propose these funds to come from?"

Jaffe: "It will come from the ah... General Assembly appropriation."

J.F. Dunn: "From which fund?"

Jaffe: "From the General Assembly appropriation."

J.F. Dunn: "We have..."

Jaffe: "You know, Bill that includes all the funding of ah... various Assembly Commission and we will fund that Commission in the same fashion that we fund all the rest of the Commissions."

J.F. Dunn: "All funds come from the General Assembly appropriations. Which are you... are you talking about General Revenue or a special fund of some kind or..."

Jaffe: "General Revenue, there are no special funds."

J.F. Dunn: "And how much?"

Jaffe: "We figured about fifteen thousand dollars. They're talking about fifteen thousand dollars."

J.F. Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Jaffe, to close."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I think that ah... everyone pretty well knows what this Bill is all about. We do not have in the General Assembly any Commission that deals with the victims of violent crimes; and if there is something that we really need at this particular stage of the game, I think it's a Commission that deals with violent crimes



and it... and addresses itself to ah... crime victims. I would, therefore, ask for an affirmative vote and ah... 89 votes on this particular Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 3055 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, to explain his vote."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is probably no more important an area in regard to our constituents, area of concern than the area of violent crime. I know that I, as I have campaigned and worked in my district and I'm sure you have found the same response, that people are very fearful about what is happening on the streets. They are very concerned about our entire criminal justice system. We have had Commissions here in the past in the Legislature which are now defunct and not operating in terms of bringing some information and input to the General Assembly in regard to the kind of laws, the kind of acts we can take in order to give people on the streets proper and correct kind of protection. The kind of protection they are entitled to under our Constitution which calls for peace and domestic tranquility. There are many areas, I would hope, that this Commission would eventually go into. The City of Chicago, recently, in fact, I think an ordinance was just introduced this last week for a Victim's Compensation Act for the victims of rape. We have had some problems with the Illinois Enforcement Commission and the manner in which they ah... put out their funds, the kind of programs they are talking about. I would hope that a Commission of this nature made up by Members of the General Assembly from both sides of the aisle would have the kind of input they can ah... bring ah... and information to the Legislature so that we would have the kind of information that we must have ah... to make proper decisions in regard to other Commissions such as I.L.E.C., in regards to the Corrections Department, in regard to the entire trust of our criminal justice system. I would hope that the Members of the Assembly on both sides of the aisle would cast a vote in favor of the creation of this particular Study Commission. The expenditure is not much. It's fifteen thousand dollars, as Representative Jaffe had indicated to you, but



I think it is one which will attack a very, very serious kind of problem we have in society today, the problem of crime, the problem of safety for the people on our streets. I would hope that we would get the required number of votes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Please consider this very carefully and, and give this Resolution by Representative Jaffe a green vote."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an area very close to me and my consideration. And under normal circumstances, I probably would say the expenditure of fifteen thousand dollars for this Commission whether it achieves anything or not would be worthwhile, but I want to call to this Assemblage's attention the fact that every city, every hamlet, every town, the State, and the United States Federal government presently has Committees concerned with this problem. As a Member of the Appropriations Committee, in our attempt to save money for the State of Illinois and prevent an increase in taxes, I at this time do not favor this legislation."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I note by our Digest that this Bill was passed out of Appropriations, the appropriation scored 20 to zero. This Commission is one to find out how best we can help victims of crime, victims of crime in Illinois under the present laws of Illinois, have been victimized and their descendents have been protected. For example, Richard Speck is going to be eligible for a parole after killing eight people in just a short while. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of this Assembly, I urge you to vote 'yes' on this Bill because all we're trying to do by it is get down to basics. What motivates people to do some of these horrible things and let's see how we can stop it and recommend it to the Assembly and correct our legislation accordingly."

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Jaffe, to explain his vote."

Jaffe: "Yeh, Mr. Speaker, I'm really sort of amazed when I look up at



that board and see that there are not 60 people voting. Ah... It seems to me that we passed ah... budget of ah... ten ah... billion dollars in the State of Illinois and we do an awful lot of talking about victims of violent crimes. Ah... yet this General Assembly doesn't want to spend fifteen thousand dollars to aid victims of violent crimes. It's just incomprehensible to me to think that we're not going to pass out this type of Commission and what we're, in essence, saying is that we as a General Assembly do not pass ah... pass out this type of Bill is that we as a General Assembly ah... really don't care about victims of violent crimes. We want to do a lot of talking about it, but when it really comes down to working, we don't want to do anything at all."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, to explain his vote."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. ah... This Bill in extent to the vote up there ah... bears out to some degree ah... a curiosity that I always have when we do vote on appropriations. We vote on millions and millions and hundreds of millions of dollars ah... without any kind of critical analysis at the, at the Third Reading stage and yet when ah... a Bill is fifteen thousand dollars and yet will ignore a possible benefit of very great substance on the most critical issue in American society today, we withhold our vote. It's just ah... unbelievable kind of thing. I think that if we are ah... are going to do a creative thing in terms of ah... what we have to for law and order, law and justice, for the streets, this is not the place to begin to hold the pursestrings. This for a fifteen thousand dollar appropriation. It, we're, I think we're heading toward carrying this and I would appreciate more green votes. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if we were talking about an Interim Study Commission with an appropriation of fifteen thousand dollars, I wouldn't be too much concerned. But we're not talking about an Interim Study Commission. We're talking about a Permanent Study Commission of the Legislature. And you and I both,



all of us know full well it's zero today, it's fifteen thousand dollars a couple of weeks from now, next year twenty-five thousand, two years from now, fifty thousand, three years from now, a hundred thousand, and so on. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, with the Standing Committees that we have specifically, Judiciary II, with the almost recently Criminal Codes of Correction. It's untimely, it's inappropriate, and I respectfully suggest in the long run, it'll be fiscally irresponsible to have this kind of Commission in existence. And I therefore, urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Take the record. On this question there are 77 'aye' votes, 44 'nay' votes, 15 Members voting 'present', and this Bill is lost. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3148. Is Mr. Boyle ready now?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3148. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the same Bill that we considered and I explained it then and I hope that ah... the other side now have it in their books and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle, moves for the passage of House Bill 3148. Is there any discussion? On the question, the Lady from DuPage, Ms. Dyer."

Dyer: "Yes ah... would the Sponsor yield for a question? Ah... I notice that we have a synopsis on our desks of House Bill 3315 sponsored by Representative Hanahan and it provides for a supplemental appropriation of \$38,987,342 to the State Board of Education. Would you mind explaining the relationship of your Bill ah... to his Bill?"

Boyle: "None."

Dyer: "In other words, we're going to be expected to vote... yours provides for \$10,000,000 supplemental appropriation?"

Boyle: "No, mine provides for special ed. construction."

Dyer: "Oh, I see."

Boyle: "Building, building, buildings, not... here's a special ed.



teachers and, you know, services and so forth, programs."

Dyer: "Thank you, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from, from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... House Bill 3148 is the companion Bill to House Bill 3147 which has ah... passed the House and is over in the Senate now ah... During the last Session of the Legislature, ah... we took ah... out of General Revenue support ah... out from under General Revenue support, special education construction. This money had been used to reimburse ah... joint districts and local school districts for building according to a formula that is ah... in the School Code. This Bill provides that some \$10,000,000 come from the ah... School Bond Construction Fund ah... for special education ah... reimbursement for buildings that ah... have already been constructed or ah... committed for, and ah... put special ed. construction into the same relationship with same posture as ah... other school construction which qualifies for, under the School Bond Construction Fund. So I would urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion?"

Boyle: "In closing, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to..."

Speaker Shea: "No, no, hold on. Mr. ah... Skinner wants to talk."

Boyle: "All right."

Speaker Shea: "Turn Mr. Skinner on."

Skinner: "How much money will have to be paid back to the bond holders every year from the General Revenue Fund out of this \$10,000,000 authorization?"

Boyle: "I can't hear you."

Skinner: "That's the first time anybody said that. How, how much money will have to be paid back from the General Fund over how many years until this \$10,000,000 is repaid?"

Boyle: "Well, it's my understanding that it comes out of the three hundred million dollars that's already been authorized."

Skinner: "I understand that, but that is not responsive to my question."

Boyle: "Well, you, you asking for the interest amortized over the period as the debt or what... is that..."



Skinner: "I'm interested in how much is going to have to come out of the General Fund, for instance, next year?"

Boyle: "Oh, I don't know that. I, ah... actuaries would have to figure that out on the computer."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle, to close."

Boyle: "Well, I'd urge a favorable Roll Call. These, these buildings have been built and the school districts are hanging out on the line and ah... it's a one year shot for \$10,000,000. The money's been obligated by the school districts and I think it'd be wholly unfair for this Legislature not to follow through with the appropriation for this \$10,000,000 and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Bill... Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I've got a ruling from the... I'd like to address a ruling from the Chair if possible."

Speaker Shea: "Yes, sir."

Lechowicz: "My inquiry, Mr. Speaker, because I don't want to give any promises or hopes to some very needed projects in this State. Under 3147, the authorization was for a three hundred million dollar bonding program and in turn in '76, we allocated \$289,537,000 of that program. My question, Mr. Speaker, and that is what I tried to address to the individual earlier, that under... that House Bill 3147 would have to be amended to a great amount. The authorization to encompass House Bill 3148's appropriation. I'm wondering, Mr. Speaker, if you'd make a ruling on that so we don't have any false promises made to the people of Illinois."

Speaker Shea: "Your question, as I understand it, Mr. Lechowicz, is that we have authorized more than or we have appropriated more than we've authorized, is that correct, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Under 3148, you'd be short \$10,000,000 in authorization."

Speaker Shea: "Although we appropriate the money if it's not authorized in the bond issue, there's no way it's going to get spent. The question is shall House Bill 3148 pass. Mr. Lechowicz, I'm sorry."

Lechowicz: "Is that your ruling, Mr. Speaker?"



Speaker Shea: "Well, it would seem to me, I can't make that ruling. But it would seem to me from a... if we go ahead and appropriate money that is not authorized, there is no way to expend it. Is that correct, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Unfortunate as to the way I look at it though."

Speaker Shea: "And that's the only thing I can tell you."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 3148 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. All voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 2 'nays', 14 Members voting 'present'. Maragos 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional, 60% majority is hereby declared passed and will become effective immediately."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Bradley in the Chair."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Calendar on Third Reading appears House Bill 3036. Mr. Maragos. Sam, 3036, do you want to go with 3036 now, sir?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to discover whether ah... Mr. Schlickman has his engraved copy of the Bill because by now they could of engraved it, let alone printed it."

Speaker Bradley: "He now has one, sir. He has two. You ready to proceed now with the Bill, sir?"

Maragos: "Yes, I am, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Maragos..."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, because of the hour, I'd rather ah... pass it."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record then. House Bill 3067, Mrs. Chapman. 3067? Take it out of the record. Mr. Mann on 3100. Mr. Getty, would you turn around and get Mr. Mann's attention and ask for ah... if he wants to go with 3100? Take, take it out of the record. Mrs. Younge, on House Bill 3111. Will you wish to proceed."

Younge: "Take it out of the record please."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record. Okay, then we've gone through those Bills, I think, now for the ah... at least the second time, possibly the third. We'll go back to House Bills, Second Reading."



The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I would simply like to suggest that those last two Bills which were called and then taken out of the record, copies of are not in my Bill book and I respectfully suggest that they get 'em out here before their Bills are called."

Speaker Bradley: "Well we, we appreciate that suggestion. Let's get those Bills out on the desks of the Members. We'll do that immediately. On House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 3356, Mr. Shea."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3356. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3356 on page 3 by deleting line 29 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, on Amendment #1."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. In the original Bill it adds where it originally said 'appropriations staff', it now amends it to read 'appropriations and research staff' and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3356. All in... there's discussion? Mr. Schlickman, the Gentleman from Cook."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will, sir."

Schlickman: "What's the significance of words 'and research'."

Shea: "So that... because the second part of the biennium is normally just a fiscal session. We want to pay the appro... er... pay staff that does both work on regular Bills and appropriation Bills from that line item appropriation. So it's to be expanded to be appropriation and research staff."

Schlickman: "But the same amount of dollars."

Shea: "The dollar amount was increased in the Bill, but we want to expand the language. It's just a language change, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I appreciate that, but language is awfully significant."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the Gentleman moves that, for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3356. All those in



favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Deavers. Amends House Bill 3356 on page 1 and line 1 by deleting 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Fellow Members, this Amendment adds ten thousand, eight hundred dollars in this emergency appropriation for the Illinois Recreation Council. This was, this has the blessing of the Department of Conservation and one reason for it, is under the Illinois Recreation Council, we're one of the few Commissions that has about 12 public members and to qualify for the Federal subsidy that the Department of Conservation receives which is between nine and fifteen million, that they need this public input and we also need the long-range recreational study that will come from Council within the next year and I move for a favorable adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... I discussed this with Mr. Deavers and Representative Brinkmeier and in my opinion that unless this money is ah... put into the appropriation, we'll lose a considerable amount of Federal dollars and I'd be in favor of the appropriation."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Mr. Deavers, do you wish to close? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 356. All those in favor of the Amendment will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I did want to ask a question prior to the vote because I can't see how this has any connection with the General Assembly."

Speaker Bradley: "Perhaps we can have Mr. Deavers respond. The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "This Commission was ah... funded originally under the Omnibus Bill which the ah... House had and I assumed that beings it dealt with appropriations, etc. etc., it would be germane."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. (Tape error) ...line 33 by deleting a million, seven hundred and sixty-five thousand dollars and inserting in lieu thereof one million, six hundred and sixty-seven thousand, eight hundred dollars."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Livingston , Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes ah... Mr. Speaker, I introduced this Amendment because many of us down here are faced with hard decisions on appropriations of our funds. If we are to lead as the General Assembly, then I think we have to act in a way that will give us that leadership ability. We have to at least hold the line on our own expenditures. I have introduced an Amendment here which merely takes out the amount of funds which have been used to give increases in staff salary and secretarial salary for the six months from January 1, to the end of the fiscal year. I think when we look at what we have done with secretarial and staff salaries, when we realize that in the first year of this General Assembly, we spend as much for secretarial help as we did in the two years of the last General Assembly. And we can hardly expect schools, corrections, mental health, to take cuts while we continue to spend. I could support an increase in salary if we had the funds within our appropriation. We do not, and therefore, my Amendment, though merely a token reduction, would show that we are willing to put our house in order if we are to ask the rest of State government to do the same and to maintain fiscal responsibility. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further, further discussion? Mr. Shea, on Amendment #3."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Amendment. At the present time, the balance... the original appropriation was a million, four hundred and fifty-five thousand dollars. There is presently left ninety-two thousand dollars in that account or six percent of that original appropriation is unobligated. Without the additional funds, we will be forced to lay off a significant number of employees if we don't get the money for this account. So I would oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."



Choate: "Ah... there seems to be some confusion as far as who as employees this Amendment and reduction item would affect. Ah... Listening to Representative Ewing, I thought that he said that it affected the secretarial help, etc. I've been told by one of my colleagues here that it only affected ah... transcribing of debate. Representative Ewing, just who does it affect?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Representative Choate, I would ah... say that it ah... affects more than just the transcribing. To the best of my knowledge, it affects the staff employees of the House."

Choate: "That would include, as you said originally then, all of the staff employees of the House as well as the secretarial help across the street and ah... and in addition, the ah... transcribing of debates, etc. Right?"

Ewing: "I'm sorry... you'd have... I... was that a comment or a question?"

Choate: "No, I was asking... I, I, I said, in accordance with your statement then, it would affect the ah... entire operations of the House which would be the House employees, secretarial help, ah... ah... transcribing of debate, and etc."

Ewing: "Yes, and it's not a reduction of their ah... of the total appropriation, supplemental appropriation for that."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gen... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Perhaps I didn't explain it right. What there is presently a balance in this account of ninety-two thousand dollars. Without the three hundred thousand dollars that I've asked for, there will have to be a substantial reduction in House employees, secretaries, doorkeepers, the whole gambit. The purpose of Mr. Ewing's Amendment was to take out of this appropriation approximately a hundred thousand dollars which was the raise the employees received in January. I'm opposed to the Amendment because we have these people on the payroll and this House could not function without 'em."

Speaker Bradley: "The Representative from Cook, Representative Yourell, on the Amendment."

Yourell: "Thank, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think I heard correctly and ah...I was advised prior to the debate on this Amendment that this Amendment deals directly with a recent raise that was afforded



to the secretarial help to the Members of the House. My question to Mr. Ewing is, is that the intent of the Amendment and is that in the Amendment, sir?"

Ewing: "Not specifically."

Yourell: "But the reduction if Representative Shea is accurate of a hundred thousand dollars, could very well represent a ah... ah... delineation of that recent pay raise, isn't that correct?"

Ewing: "That's correct. That's what... those were the figures I used to come up with my reduction."

Yourell: "Well, if that's true, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm absolutely opposed to the Amendment because it seems to me disastrous if you're going to give somebody something and then ah... take it away at a later date that that is not in the best interests of the Members of this House and I urge you to vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Livingston wish to close on the Amendment, sir?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I have ah... put forth my Amendment. I've done so in good faith and for reasons which I believe adequate. I think that we must live within the means of our appropriations and if that means that we go without raises, two within one year, then let us do so. And I would ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Further ah... the question is on the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 3356. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. It seems as though we need a Roll Call. Five Members request a Roll Call? I see five Members. The question is on the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 3356. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, to explain his vote."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the principle reason I'm going to vote 'no' is because the Sponsor of the Amendment quite candidly admitted that the figure that he arrived at is a pay raise that was granted as far as I'm concerned to some very deserving people. This is a one lump sum budget. If it was segregated to the extent that you could take some of it out where it might be needed to take out, I might have a



reconsideration as far as my vote is concerned. However, it isn't that way. I do want to disagree with my Majority, my Majority Leader that there's a few people I think that the House could function without and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? On this question there are... Take the record. On this question we have 12 'ayes', 96 'nos', 1 voting 'present' and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments? It's been called to the attention of the Chair and ah... when we ah... when we talk and speak on the debate or during debate, then to explain our votes ah... is against our new rules that we're now operating under. No further Amendments. Third Reading. We're going to go through the Constitutional Amendments, Third Reading one more time this afternoon and on the Calender appears House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #20. Mrs. Macdonald, do you wish to proceed with that?"

Macdonald: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #20 passed out of this House and went over to the Senate in the last Session of the General Assembly ah... with almost a unanimous vote. The provisions of this Constitutional Amendment are simply that ah... under the initiative system, if ah... the initiative is put to the people and passes to change the structure, in other words, to provide single member districts, that such a change or such redistricting cannot be done until after the decennial, the next decennial census is taken. I think that it just goes without saying that it is common sense to give appropriate times so that we would not be acting in redistricting to cut out certain Members or to in any way ah... handicap the districts that are going to be drawn. It would be more fair to do it after decennial census ah... and it was as I say almost unanimously passed out of this House the first time it went through. So I ask for your favorable vote for this Constitutional Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? If not, the question is shall the House adopt Constitutional Amendment #20. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record.



On this question we have 138 'ayes', no 'nos', 3 voting 'present', and this Resolution having been adopted by a three-fifths majority is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar appears House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #29, Mr. Cunningham. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #31, Mr. Choate. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #33, Mr. Porter. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution #... Constitutional Amendment #34, Mr. Katz. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution... six... Mr. Hirschfeld. Mr. Hirschfeld. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #12, Mr. Mudd. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #13, Mr. Friedrich. Take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #30, Mrs. Dyer. The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... this proposed Constitutional Amendment is a very simple, one word Amendment. It simply says that the General Assembly may provide by law for incentives for improvement of residential property. Ah... It's identical to the one ah... that Representative Mudd had. Ah... It is needed, I believe, to provide a Constitutional base for the kind of Bill that we passed earlier in the Session that was sponsored by Representative Capparelli which I voted for, thought was an excellent Bill. I think ah... the mood of this General Assembly that, yes, we want to encourage improvement and rehabilitation of older homes. We don't want urban slums. We don't want suburban slums. This ah... seems to be ah... an excellent idea. The Constitutional Amendment, of course, does not spell out what kind of incentives. It simply just says that the General Assembly may provide by law for such incentives. Ah... As I say, it puts a floor under any kinds of arrangements we might like to make ah... I would urge your support of H.J.R.C.A. 30."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, ah... I would in... also encourage all the Members of the House to vote for this Constitutional Amendment.

It is exactly like mine. I support ah... Representative Dyer's ah...



Amendment and if hers passes, I will table mine and join her ah... in joint sponsorship of that Amendment which is agreement, which is agreeable to her. I think the other thing that we should look at that she outlined earlier is that if we get the opportunity to come back here and set up the mechanics by which we will ah... give the... these ah... credits for rehabilitating property. We can, we can structure it such that we can hold it to single family, we can hold it to ah... owner occupied, we can do almost anything we want to with it and I think that it's something that we can address ourselves in the benefit of the ah... property owner who want to take care of their property, but can't afford to pay the additional assessments. It is necessary. Since yesterday, we've done a lot of research on it and we feel that ah... even though it's a possibility that the classification of real estate may take care of it, we want to ah... and we are not certain that this one will pass the Senate. There's always the chance that it might not. We do want to take care of the people in regard to rehabilitating their property and I hope that we could get enough votes to get it out of the House and I will table my Amendment and join ah... Representative Dyer in hers."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Bradley: "Will the Sponsor yield? She indicates that she will."

Griesheimer: "Representative Dyer, could you tell me what happened to the Bill we passed last year to affect this very thing and therefore why the Constitutional Amendment is necessary?"

Dyer: "Ah... I'd be glad to. The Bill that was passed last year and perhaps Representative Capparelli may wish to speak to this point, too, ah... applied only to Cook County which of course as you know does ah... have the privilege of classifying property. However, there's a question in my mind as to whether even that Bill is Constitutional because our present ah... Constitution says that assessment as you know have to be uniform within a classification and once you've given a tax break to a certain home owner for improvement to his property, then that home is no longer uniformly taxed ah... for the four year period of grace which was provided for in the Capparelli



Bill. Capparelli Bill was signed into law. It is now in practice in Cook County. The question in my mind is whether a taxpayer could sue, you see, and test that Bill and find it unconstitutional. This simply puts a floor under that ah... concept. It also makes it possible for downstate counties, suburban counties, like yours and mine to do the same thing."

Griesheimer: "Does this only apply to improvements or new construction as well?"

Dyer: "It just applies to improvements on existing property."

Griesheimer: "Okay, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates that she will."

Duff: "Ah... Representative Dyer, have you discussed this proposal with any taxpayer groups or real estate groups ah... or ah... public activity ah... groups, like the League of Women Voters or anybody like that?"

Dyer: "Ah... yes, I have discussed it with ah... groups from, study groups from within the League of Women Voters in DuPage County. I've also discussed it with a very large and active citizen's group in DuPage County."

Duff: "Can you tell us what groups are ah... in favor of this Constitutional Amendment at this time?"

Dyer: "Well, the various ah... groups that I talked to you, Representative Duff, as you know, the League of Women Voters has to study something on its own for a period of two years before we're having it in a consensus. So the State wide league has not taken an official position on this, but the home owners groups with which I discussed this were extremely enthusiastic."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Constitutional Amendment. I think that ah... this kind of approach to ah... rewriting our new Constitution will ultimately clutter it up with statutory type implications and I believe that the Amendment that's offered, although I have, as she knows, the greatest respect for its Sponsor, I believe that the Amendment in its nature is speculative and anticipatory. There are no lawsuits which have indicated that the efforts of the Legislature to create ah...



legislation in this area are, in fact, unconstitutional. There are to my knowledge at least no written opinions in any of the legal journals ah... or ah... statements on the part of Constitutional experts that would indicate that this was required and ah... having asked the Sponsor about the support of the Amendment, it would appear to me that if indeed it is a idea, it's at least not had a period of gestation which will allow groups like the Taxpayers Federation, the Chamber of Commerce, and ah... so forth to conduct studies to comment widely on the merits of it. Because of that and because we are this spring, somehow, putting so many proposals forth as Constitutional Amendments, I would suggest ah... reluctantly, almost sorrowfully, Giddy, that we not support this Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "The ah... Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "May I ask a question or two of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Bradley: "Indicates that she'll yield."

Skinner: "If I were... if this Constitutional Amendment became part of the Constitution and every four and a half years, I wanted to buy a shack and add a fifteen thousand dollar ah... addition on to it, would I get away with paying virtually no real estate taxes?"

Dyer: "Mr. Skinner, ah... I want you to reread your copy of this Constitutional Amendment. This Constitutional Amendment simply says that the General Assembly may provide by law for incentives for improvement of residential property. It doesn't spell out the laws, it will implement it. In Cook County, ah... the Capparelli Bill would give you, you know, a fifteen thousand dollar exemption. That is a law now. The way you would, we would apply this ah... in the rest of the State is up to this General Assembly."

Skinner: "Well, I stand partially corrected. I think that you have misspoken in not realizing that Representative Hanahan sponsored a Bill identical to Representative Capparelli's for downstate Illinois and that Bill is now in effect. Let me rephrase the question somewhat. If we assume this Constitutional Amendment were already in the Constitution and Representative Capparelli's Bill passed subsequent to it, could I buy a shack every four and a half years and build an addition on to it and get away without paying hardly any property taxes?"



Dyer: "That's true and I think that you might be doing a public service by doing that, particularly if you took a shell of a building in either ah... the inner city or out in a desolate area in DuPage County and improve this to the tune of fifteen thousand dollars, I think you deserve a tax break."

Skinner: "All right, well, Representative Duff may be correct ah... in that this will cauter up the Constitution, but indeed it may make the Revenue Article so atrocious that maybe we'll redo it completely. Certainly, it's the worst part of the new Constitution, couldn't be made much worse and I, it would seem to me that those who would like to make Representative Capparelli's Bill Constitutional and make Representative Hanahan's Bill Constitutional are going to have to vote for this and since both Bills went out almost unanimously, I would assume this would pass also. I would point out that it seems to me that Representative Capparelli's Bill and Representative Hanahan's Bill are both unconstitutional because they violate the two and a half to one ratio requirement in the present Revenue Act and this would clearly allow that ah... that ratio to be violated for the purpose of improvement as outlined in your Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield to two or three questions."

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates that she will."

Dyer: "Yes."

Palmer: "Is there any necessity for the General Assembly to be granted this authority ah... through constitutional change? Is there any reason for this right now?"

Dyer: "Yes, ah... in my opinion it is ah... Representative Palmer because at the present, the Constitution reads that even in counties that are privileged to classify assessments, assessments must be uniform within that classification. Therefore, this kind of Amendment is necessary for both the Hanahan-Capparelli Bills to be constitutional."

Palmer: "Well, I don't know that that perhaps is true. I would not agree with you on that ah... latter comment, but this Bill... this Amendment does have to do or this Bill has to do with the deferral of



real estate and I just wondered whether or not it had anything to do with the agricultural exemption which is granted ah... where then later on a developer comes in and develops it and then for three years, he's backtaxed. Is there anything to do with that?"

Dyer: "This has no relation to that whatsoever. It's simply for improvement of existing residential property. No relation to farmland."

Palmer: "All right, now you speak... the word residential is not defined. I assume by this that it means anything which is used as a residence, meaning single family, multiple family, 'condo's', etc. Did you intend that all three of these classifications of residential real estate ah... be included in that definition, in the word residential?"

Dyer: "Mr. Palmer, once again that would be up to the General Assembly in its implementing legislation."

Palmer: "Then I would suspect that if the General Assembly were to enact a Bill which would favor one and not the other that that in itself would not be uniform, nor would it be a reasonable classification. And I just wonder whether or not even if it was ah... passed, it could not be attacked on other grounds. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ron Hoffman."

R.K. Hoffman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates that she would."

R.K. Hoffman: "On the basis of what you're proposing here, this would be applicable to those that have a ah... residence valued at twenty thousand as well as ah... those that have a resident valued at two hundred thousand, correct?"

Dyer: "That's right."

R.K. Hoffman: "And based upon that, you would have to have the same tax incentive for the one that has a two hundred thousand dollar house versus the one that has a twenty thousand dollar house, correct?"

Dyer: "That's correct. The deferment is simply on the amount of the improvement, not the original value of the house. Originally, those two pieces of property would have been assessed quite differently as you very well know."

R.K. Hoffman: "Well, the question then is aren't we, in fact, ah... because



of the broad approach on this, encouraging it at the higher end because only those that have the money are going to be making the larger improvements; whereas those at the lower end, are going to be making the minor improvements. So the tax incentive is going to go to those who least need it."

Dyer: "Mr. Hoffman, once again, it's up to the General Assembly to set up the terms of any specific legislation. What you're suggesting has not worked out that way under the Capparelli and Hanahan Bills."

R.K. Hoffman: "No, but under this one here, you could not differentiate because of the class ah... an economic class. It would have to be uniform in order to be constitutional. So actually, well, under this proposal, we would be encouraging those that can well afford to pay the tax to add on and appreciate the ah... the tax incentive instead of at the lower end where ah... you know, these people cannot afford to put on major improvements. You could not differentiate between the two categories of two hundred thousand dollar home versus a twenty thousand dollar home."

Dyer: "Well, I'm just simply pointing out, Representative Hoffman, that as it has been spelled out in the two Bills ah... the Capparelli Bill and the Hanahan Bill that this General Assembly has passed ah... the deferral is only on the improvement. It is not on the fundamental ah... value of the home. So the person with the two hundred thousand dollar home is already paying a much higher tax than the person who's started out, say, with a twenty thousand dollar home."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos. Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question. This having been debated adequately both this and Resolution 12, they have the same debate."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moved the previous question. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. ah... Dyer, to close."

Dyer: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, I wish you'd listen to this sentence very carefully. Ah... I would like to give credit for authorship of this Amendment where credit is due. As you know,



Representative Mudd has an identical one. The one I am presenting is identical with one that Representative J.J. Wolf presented in the last General Assembly. Many of you who have spoken against it today are Co-sponsor of that original one. It passed out of the House overwhelmingly and was just, and just died in the Senate ah... with the timetable limit. All of you supported it strongly two years ago ah... I think it is a good Constitutional Amendment. I think it should be presented to the people, let them decide ah... I do think we need to encourage improvement of older homes ah... I urge your support once again ah... Thank you very much."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of ah... House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #30. All those in favor will vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, to explain his vote."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Constitutional Amendment ah... mostly due to the fact that those of you that will recall the solar energy Amendment that ah... we put through last year to the Revenue Code which is now law had to be so carefully drafted because there was no Constitutional ah... provision ah... to make exceptions to the ah... taxation process and the ah... assessment process. We handled it with language and it is the law, but it certainly would be easier if not ah... legislation to the effect that the Sponsor's intent of this Bill to further exceptions to the ah... Revenue Act and the assessment process and the collecting of taxes. So I think it would be wise to have a floor like this. I would urge the Sponsor, should this thing pass, that as it goes to the Senate, it may not be in its final form. I think there's lots of room for discussion on that, but I urge a green vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd. Sir, you have ah... under the new rules ah... you did ah... take part in debate and I don't think you're eligible to explain your vote. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, to explain his vote. Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to remind the



people of the General Assembly that last year there was two Bills and I split the Bills up. There were was one for Cook County and we're already enacting this. We're giving everyone a break who does make an addition or an improvement to their home of fifteen thousand dollars. Downstate, I let Mr., Representative Hanahan handle it and I promised him I would support this Constitutional Amendment so that you can exercise the prerogative downstate. I would suggest that if you want to give your people that right, to vote 'yes' on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, to explain her vote."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Long has this been, this idea been fought in my corner as a good idea. It seems a shame to me that we penalize those people who keep their property up to date and ah... proud of. What people are going to go down into the lower income areas and buy apartments and then improve them if they know the price of that tax on that property is going to be risen immediately? So I would urge you to say 'yes', I want to help the taxpayer. I want to improve our State and we can do it by keeping, giving them a tax break at this time."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, to explain his vote."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I was just going to add my appeal to the Membership to support this Amendment because I think it is equitable. I think it's a matter of giving the property owner, particularly those in some of the blighted areas an opportunity to improve their property and give them a little bit of a tax break in order to enhance the neighborhood. I see that we have sufficient votes, so I shall stop."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Schlickman, do you wish to explain your vote, sir? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I oppose this proposed Constitutional Amendment as I opposed H.J.R. 12 yesterday and for three reasons. Number 1, there's presently a statutory authority and until those laws have been declared unconstitutional, I find them satisfactory. Number 2, this Constitutional Amendment is not restricted as the laws are to owner occupied residences and residential



property that is owned for speculative purposes can be benefited. Finally, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we once again will be imposing upon units of local government a loss of income, a loss of income that will result from a deferral of an increase in assessments of that real estate. I respectfully suggest that those of you who are voting 'yes', green on this motion to adopt this Resolution, must then be prepared later on to vote the necessary funds to reimburse the loss of local revenue that will be sustained as a result."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 116 'ayes', 20 'nays', 8 voting 'present' and this motion having received a three-fifths majority is hereby declared passed. On the House Calender in the order of businesses of Concurrences appears House Bill 2627. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2627. After reading the Amendment, I find that it is an Amendment there in a Bill that has been on for a number of Sessions and this time the Senate in its wisdom, found that they should pass this Bill. It deals with local units of government having the State to collect the taxes and then passing it back to their unit of local government. I solicit your support for Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2627."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 2627. All those in favor... pardon me, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Bill. It is an extremely bad Bill. As the Gentleman said, it has been before us once this Session and twice in previous Sessions. Now, Mr. Speaker, to start with, this Bill amended the Civil Administrative Code when it passed here and dealt with the treatment of patients in State institutions by the Department of Mental Health, having nothing whatever to do with what the Bill does now. It's an Amendment to the Revenue Code. And I submit to you that you really ought to, Mr. Speaker, but I don't think you will, call it out of order because of its germaneness or lack of germaneness."



Now, Mr. Speaker, what this does is, it requires the Department of Revenue to collect light taxes that are levied by units of government other than the State, by municipalities and even counties.

Now I suggest to you that that concept is wrong. The collection of taxes should be the responsibility of those people who levy them. Anyone paying a liquor tax, for example, to a municipality that is collected by the State is going to think that that is a State tax. They are not going to hold that municipality that levies the tax accountable for its use. That is a poor concept, Mr. Speaker. It is one that is going to lead to municipalities levying all kinds of taxes that are like those of the State. It is going to result in the using up of that possible source of tax revenue for the State and, Mr. Speaker, I urge you to vote 'no' on this Bill and defeat it just as we defeated the Bill when it was introduced in the House to do this job. Furthermore, if you even think it's a good idea, this you should protest the way this matter was handled in the Senate. It's deplorable, Mr. Speaker, and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Schlickman: "For a point of order."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point, sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2627 as it was introduced and passed by this House relates to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities and the providing of mental health care to persons in Illinois institutions. Amendment #3, adopted by the Senate which is under consideration today, has no relationship to the subject of that Bill. What it does is to give authority to the Department of Revenue relative to the collection of local taxes. Those are not relevant. I say to you, Mr. Speaker, Rule 34(c) of the House which says as follows: "No Amendment is in order unless it is confined to the subject of the Bill". I respectfully suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that the subject of this Bill is the authority of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. While on the other hand, the subject of this Amendment is the Department of Revenue and its authority with respect to the collection of taxes. Those are not relevant and as a consequence, Mr. Speaker, I respectfully



suggest that this Amendment is out of order. It's contrary to the rule and that it should be stricken."

Speaker Bradley: "We'll get... ah... we'll take a look at the Amendment, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "No, well don't ask your Parliamentarian."

Speaker Bradley: "I haven't seen the Amendment, sir."

Schlickman: "Okay, well you do it alone."

Speaker Bradley: "Could I..."

Schlickman: "You do it alone, Gerry."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, could we take this out of the record once again. I thought I'd straightened it out. I think I can straighten this matter out with ah... Representative Schlickman."

Speaker Bradley: "It appears there is some problem still here, Mr. Taylor. Take that out of the record then."

Taylor: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Gentleman meant to postpone consideration since debate had started on it. So..."

Speaker Bradley: "The vote had not started yet, sir. So we'll just leave it where it was. On the order of Motions, the Representative from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to move ah... the ah... waive the sufficient rule to put a motion to have House Bill 3378, House Bill 3474, House Bill 3386 and House Bill 3370 heard tomorrow in the Appropriations II Committee at 9 a.m. Each one of these Bills, the substance of each one of these Bills has been scheduled with proper notice to be heard tomorrow morning. Subsequent to that, they have been filed by individual Members and to facilitate things to hear the subject matter only one time in Committee, it would be necessary to waive the rules for these Bills to be heard simultaneously with the subject matter already scheduled."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski. On the Gentleman's motion, sir?"

Holewinski: "Question, Mr. Speaker. I have House Bill 3373 and I didn't



hear the Gentleman include that in his motion."

Speaker Bradley: "Would the Gentleman wish to include ah... House Bill 3373 in his motion?"

Barnes: "That's in another Committee and I did one mistake. I said 78, but it's 3379."

Speaker Bradley: "Would you read those Bills again, sir?"

Barnes: "House Bill 3386, which is environmental ah... the institution of environmental quality. House Bill 3370, which is the Veteran's Affairs. House Bill 3379, which is the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. House Bill 3474, which is the deficiency for the Department of Public Aid."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is does the Gentleman have unanimous consent to make his motion at this time. Hearing no objections, the Gentleman moves to suspend Rule 18 in respect to this series of Bills so that they could be heard tomorrow at 9 a.m. All those in favor of the motion will say 'aye'... Pardon me, we'd better, we'd better take a vote on this. We need 107 votes to suspend the rules, so all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will vote 'aye' and opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, wish to... Let's take the record. Take the record. On this motion, the... we have 127 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the Gentleman's motion is adopted. And the rule is suspended. Geo-Karis 'aye' on that last vote. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a similar request for Appropriations I. I'd like to suspend a Rule 18 and have the following Bills heard tomorrow: House Bill 3373, House Bill 3402, House Bill 3413, House Bill 3390. These are the Bills that have been posted on the Omnibus Bill as far as the Sections and in turn, the separate Bills have been introduced as well. I'd like to hear them part and parcel with the Omnibus appropriation Bill and I'll take the same Roll Call as was extended to Representative Barnes, with leave of the House."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent to make his motion? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman moves that Rule 18 be suspended so that those four Bills might be heard tomorrow morning."



Leave to use the last Roll Call. Hearing no objection, the last Roll Call will be heard in the... Bills will be... the motion is carried and the Bills will be heard tomorrow morning."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to also make one other announcement.

As far as the meeting that is... the Appropriations Committee that is scheduled for Thursday, both I and II will be rescheduled for Monday, and in turn, they will come back as far as the Appropriations Committee, at noon, Monday. Both Appropriations I and II, so the Bills that have been scheduled for Thursday will be rescheduled for Monday at noon. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Agreed Resolutions. Pardon me, Mr. Hart, did you wish to make an announcement?"

Hart: "I'm having my picture taken."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's don't interrupt that. Agreed Resolutions.

Pardon me, Mr. Jones, I believe, has an announcement first before Agreed Resolutions. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, announcement, tomorrow morning is the Governor's Prayer Breakfast. Speaker Redmond is on the program, Senator Hughes, former Senator Hughes from Iowa is the Speaker and I have six tickets left and if anyone wishes to attend, it's at 7:45 at the St. Nicholas Hotel, the Governor's Prayer Breakfast tomorrow morning."

Speaker Bradley: "Agreed Resolutions. Well, pardon me, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to just make one announcement. Senator Harold Hughes is now down in Room C1 and if any of the Members would like to go down and say 'hello' to him, ah... right after adjournment, he'd welcome the opportunity."

Speaker Bradley: "Thank you, sir. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 685, Anderson. House Resolution 693, Schoeberlein et al. House Resolution 694, Mautino. House Resolution 696, Terzich et al. House Resolution 697, Madigan et al. House Resolution 698, Tip sword et al. House Resolution 699, Cunningham et al. House Resolution 700, Cunningham et al."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan on the Agreed Resolutions."



Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Resolution 685 congratulates the Metamora Redbirds and their supporters for having received the honor of being the 1975 Illinois High School Class 3A Football Champions. Resolution 693 congratulates the Blackhawks of Aurora West High School. Resolution 694 ah... welcomes Queen Margrethe of Denmark to Illinois. Resolution 696 congratulates the Grace Evangelical Lutheran Church of Chicago upon its 75th anniversary. Resolution 697 congratulates Mr. and Mrs. John Bogdon upon the occasion of their 50th wedding anniversary. House Resolution 698 commends Mr. Robert Bolding on his many years of exemplary service to the people of the City of Pana. House Resolution 699 extends our gratitude to the Illinois State Florists' Association and its President, Al Easton. And House Resolution 700 salutes the Lawrenceville Indians. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', will say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 695, Kosinski et al."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I repeat, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Resolution, this is the Resolution that most of this House signed earlier today indicating to the Parole and Pardon Board that they should not consider the possibility of a parole for Speck who killed those eight nurses. This Resolution is, in essence, an indictment of a criminal justice system which after four to, four hundred to twelve hundred years have been doled out to a murderer, would even consider the possibility of parole. I need 107 votes to suspend Rule 41(a) for immediate consideration of this Resolution which you signed. And I ask for those votes at this time."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think this is a highly inflammatory subject to be bringing to the attention of this House. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if the Gentleman offering this Resolution wants to indict anything or any system of



of procedure, why doesn't he take a look at the laws under which we operate. Seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, all peoples in... all members of society in Illinois and elsewhere are equal and they're entitled to the same opportunities of the law. Now, Mr. Speaker, we have given to the Pardon and Parole Board certain responsibility and certain authority. We've done it by law. And I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the rules of this Body should not be suspended at this time to consider such an inflammatory matter. I respectfully suggest that this thing ought to go to Committee, be considered in a deliberative fashion, and then this House can subsequently act on whatever recommendation that that Committee makes and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not a simple little item and as much as I agree with the prior speaker on following the course of ordinary procedure, this is something very serious. There's a man who's going to be paroled for killing eight people and he's going to be paroled after he was given three hundred years as a life sentence. Now we are making a mockery of justice. We're still victimizing the victims and still mollycoddling the defendents. You wouldn't spend fifteen thousand dollars to have a Violent Crimes Commission ascertain what makes these people do these rotten things and yet you say you want to be very legal. I ask the support of this Resolution and the motion to suspend."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman. State your point, sir."

Schlickman: "On a point of personal privilege. The Lady who just spoke said he was going to be paroled. That's absolutely nonsense. What the issue is his rights to apply for parole and it's within the authority as we have previously granted to the Pardon and Parole Board to consider that application and I think it's rather presumptuous and indiscreet, inappropriate for this Body to adopt or to consider the kind of Resolution that's under consideration now."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now you can



understand why I'm against capital punishment. They are all of these people rush to the aid of Speck. It is a... it has been well said and well known through the streets not only of our city, but through the streets throughout the cities of this country that the only people they send to the electric chair is some poor black man who has no money for defense. Don't you see how many people rush to his aide and he's killed eight people? If we don't consider this, then we ought to cut out capital punishment the rest of our lives. I'll never vote for it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Duff. Mrs. Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point, would you please."

Geo-Karis: "First of all, I want to stand corrected. The prior speaker was right. However, I want to terminate the right of parole to people like Speck."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're hearing a lot of rhetoric about an emotional issue and I don't stand or rise that I refer to the previous speaker on the debate in defense of any man, black or white in particular, and I take a back seat to no man in this House or woman in terms of my willingness to defend within the criminal justice system the rights of all people in Illinois. If you want to indict anything, indict ourselves for having allowed a Parole Board system to continue with political appointees, understaffed, undermanned, underfunded, poorly systematized, poorly organized and politically responsive to the, to the whims and passions of the, of the emotional issues including the Members of this House. Our parole system stinks. That doesn't not mean that the system, that parole is a bad concept. Now, I don't stand to defend Richard Speck. I stand to defend the system of law, rather than of men. I stand to say that some confidence should be proposed by this Body in the parole system for which it is responsible. The fact that this man may have the opportunity..."



Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Duff, would you please bring your remarks to a close because really we're on a motion to suspend the rule and we're not on the adoption of the Resolution as such."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I am the first of the people in this debate to whom you have chosen to bring that to his attention. I don't know how you feel on the issue, but having heard the debate, I think I have as much right to speak on it as anybody else."

Speaker Bradley: "Would you conclude your remarks, sir."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't want to see Richard Speck paroled and I'm sure he won't be paroled. There are many criminals who have come before our Parole Board for years time after time who have been determined not to subject to parole and I am confident that the Parole Board will act reasonably and responsibly in that respect on this occasion. I think it's great political year campaign rhetoric for us to stand up and remind the public of a horrendous and terrible crime of that individual man, but it's an injustice to the system and to a Code of Corrections that this Body deliberated on for years to apply to all people and to give flexibility within the system to decision making in particular cases rather than have us rise up in anger on a particular horrendous or violent or reprehensible situation. Let us refer to the order of law, not passion. This is an untimely Resolution and I agree completely with the Gentleman from Arlington Heights in his position."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, on the Gentleman's motion, sir?"

Shea: "Is the motion to suspend the rules..."

Speaker Bradley: "That's right, sir. That is the motion."

Shea: "No, but is it debatable?"

Speaker Bradley: "Technically, it is not, sir. I didn't really know what these people were rising for and it's not a debatable question. Does the Gentleman wish to pursue with his motion to suspend the rules for immediate consideration?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, as an indictment to a criminal justice system that would even consider parole for this despicable crime, I persist in my motion to suspend the rules."



Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend Rule 41(a) for immediate consideration of House Resolution 695 and on that question those in favor to suspend the rule will vote 'aye' and the opposed will vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber, to explain his vote."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain the 'no' vote by generally adopting Representative Schlickman's ah... argument. However, there has been pointed out by this Resolution that the law is... which was adopted in ah... the 77th General Assembly is full of flaws. That's the Uniform Code of Corrections. There is a Bill on file, House Bill 927, which I would invite anybody to join as a Co-sponsor which would have the affect of returning to the law the concept of true consecutive sentences which was removed in 1971 by the Uniform Code of Corrections. So, I invite anybody who does feel that the current law is bad, to join as Co-Sponsor in that Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it was suggested by a previous speaker that this Resolution is being used as campaign rhetoric to remind people of the hideous crime which ah... Richard Speck perpetrated. Well I would say to you that nobody in my district has to be reminded of the crime because it occurred there and the memory of this horror will be indelibly etched in people's minds for the rest of their lives and the thought, the possibility of Richard Speck being paroled would be a direct slap at the people of the district ah... in which I reside and, of course, for the whole State of Illinois. But these people would recoil in horror to think that this man would even be considered for parole and I think that this Resolution is quite timely. I think that it is our duty to remind the Parole and Pardon Board that we do not want to see this man walking the streets of the State of Illinois or this country. And I urge everyone to support this motion and then let's adopt this very fine Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, to explain his vote."



Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I respectfully consider law as we laid it down, but we in our wisdom on occasion have made mistakes and I repeat, this Resolution is pointing a finger at the possibility of considering parole in a crime as despicable as this and I think this General Assembly should go on record indicating passionately or unpassionately, dispassionately that it feels very strongly about mass murder and we don't want parole considered."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, just calmly I want to say that I walked the streets of my district and if Speck is paroled, I won't be able to answer the questions that are thrown at me as I walk up and down the street. You know all of the talk about police brutality that's going on. You know what's going on out there and you know the finger will be pointed at me and I'm sure every one of us in here who walks through my neighborhoods and up and down the streets, don't you see, don't you see, he's white, he's white. If it was a black man, they would of hung him by now. This is what will be said and I, I just don't want to see him walk the streets specially after he's been convicted of killing eight people."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer, to explain his vote."

Palmer: "Just to say, Mr. Speaker, that I adopt the arguments by Representative Schlickman and further to state to the House this, that the Parole Board has not acted. Had they acted and acted in a way that Mr. Speck would of been paroled, perhaps this Legislator, Legislature would of had reason to holler, but I don't see it right now and for those reasons, I believe that the rule of law that the, that the Constitution and the laws of this State that... and the powers that have been given by this Legislature to that Body should be sustained."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff, to explain his vote."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to say that ah... for all those who voted with the green, I approve and I support this



Resolution as timely and I would even go as far as to say that I would support a Resolution that would abolish the Department of Corrections. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 116 'ayes', 24 'nays', 2 voting 'present' and the Gentleman's motion is adopted. On with the Resolution. Did Mr... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, on House Resolution 695. Mr. Kosinski please."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, may I thank the Membership of this Assembly and may I ask for a comparable vote in adopting the Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of House Resolution 695. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman's Resolution is adopted. Further Resolutions. We have a Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 692. Respect to the memory of Mr. Scott Nixon, of Oak Park."

Speaker Bradley: "...moves the adoption of the Resolution 692. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea. Is Mr. Shea... we have a message from the Senate we could do, sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate passed the Bill of the following title. Passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Bill 42, passed by the Senate April 6, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, the Gentleman... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes, for purpose of announcement."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, the Appropriations, the Democratic Members of the Appropriations II Committee will be meeting tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock on the fifth floor in the staff office, 8 o'clock tomorrow morning, Democratic Members of Appropriations II."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. That take care of your announcement, sir?"



Lechowicz: "No, sir, it doesn't. The Appropriations I Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock, that's April the 7th in Room 114. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, Mr. Shea, the Gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we will adjourn today until tomorrow after Committee Hearings and it appears from the amount of work that we've done this week and the amount of work left on the Calendar, there will be no need to be in Session on Thursday. So that when we adjourn tomorrow, we will adjourn until Monday of next week. So that now, Mr. Speaker, I move..."

Speaker Bradley: "For the purpose of announcements, Mr. Shea, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Republican Appropriations II Committee will meet in my office at 8 a.m. in the morning. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich. Do you have an announcement, sir? For purpose of announcement."

Terzich: "Yes ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Members of the Pension Committee ah... we do have a meeting tonight at the Forum 30 at 6:30 and ah... I'd like to have their attendance. 6:30 at the Forum 30, 29th floor."

Speaker Bradley: "Further announcements? If not, back to Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now stand adjourned until 12 o'clock, noon, tomorrow."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the House does stand adjourned. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House now adjourns, stands adjourned until tomorrow at 12 o'clock. First Special Session. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution. Adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Joint Resolution #4. Resolved by the Senate, the 79th General Assembly, State of Illinois, First Special Session, thereof, the House of Representatives concurring



herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Tuesday, April 6, 1976, they stand adjourned until Monday, April 26, 1976, at 4:30 o'clock p.m. Adopted by the Senate April 6, 1976, the First Special Session. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion's adopted. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I move that the... we now do adjourn the First Special Session."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that we do now adjourn the First Special Session. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The First Special Session is adjourned. Second Special Session. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Joint Resolution #4. Resolved by the Senate, the 79th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, Second Special Session, thereof, the House of Representatives concurring therein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Wednesday, April 6, 1976, they stand adjourned until Monday, April 26, 1976, at 4:40 o'clock p.m. Adopted by the Senate April 6, 1976, Second Special Session. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we adopt the Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I move that the Second Special Session do now adjourn."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves that the Second Special Session of Illinois General Assembly will now be adjourned. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Second Special Session is... stands adjourned."

