

MAR 19 1975

1.

William Redmond: "...not a session, so this appropriate, but I think that we are honored, this morning, by the attendance of John Hughes from Divernon. Will you raise your hand, Mr. Hughes? The House will come to order. The doorkeeper make the announcement please?"

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the floor, please go to the gallery."

William Redmond: "We will be lead in prayer this morning by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Krueger: "In the name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Ghost Amen. O Lord bless this House this day. To be born a gentleman is an accident. To die one, an achievement. That was quoted by Bob Goddard in the St. Louis Globe Democrat. Let us pray. O Lord, our heavenly Father, by whose providence the duty of men and women are variously ordered, grant to us all such a spirit that we may labor heartily to do our work as legislators for this State, as serving one Master and looking for one reward. Teach us to put to good account whatever talent Thou has lent to us and enable us to redeem our time by patience and zeal through Jesus Christ Thy Son, Amen."

William Redmond: "The roll call for attendance. For the benefit of the members who are in their offices, I would like to advise you that the television station from Quincy has asked permission to photograph the proceedings in the chambers and I would suggest that maybe we should repair to the House chambers so that the television really portrays us in our true light. Messages from the Senate." Pardon me, committee reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Garmisa from the Committee on Transportation to which House Bill 110 was referred reported same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the bill, as amended, do pass, and be re-referred to the Committee on Assignment of bills. Mr. Garmisa from the Committee on Transortation to which House Bill 587 was referred reported same back with the recommendation that the bill do pass, and be re-referred to the

MAR 19 1975

2.

Committee on Assignment of bills."

William Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment No. 1 to a bill with the following title, Senate Bill 17, concurred in by the Senate March 18, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following joint resolution to which House Joint Resolution 21 concurred in by the Senate March 18, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following joint resolution to which House Joint Resolution 22 concurred in by the Senate March 18, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to which Senate Joint Resolution No. 22 adopted by the Senate March 18, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed bills with the following title and the passage of which I am instructed to have concurrence of the House of Representatives to which Senate Bill 24, Senate Bill 119, Senate Bill 165, passed by the Senate March 18, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a bill with the following title to which House Bill 371 passed by the

MAR 19 1975

3.

Senate March 18, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

William Redmond: "Introductions First Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 851. Mautino, et al. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 852. Mautino, et al. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 853. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act in relation to the acquisition and holding of open lands by townships. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 854. Luft, et al. A Bill for an Act amending various Acts in relation to bikeways. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 855. Bradley, et al. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning Public Utilities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 856. Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 857. Bradley. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 858. DiPrima, et al. A Bill for an Act to provide for the Illinois Veterans' Scholarships. First Reading of the Bill. I've got another Committee Report here."

William Redmond: "Committee Report."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Leon, for the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations to which House Bill 602 was referred reported same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass."

William Redmond: "Consent Calendar Second Reading. Third Day."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 51. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Nursing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

SHEA: "Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 134. Yourell. House Resolution 136. Young. House Resolution 137. Brinkmeier. House Joint Resolution 24. Hanahan."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Georgi."

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker House Resolution 134 a...a...is the Olympics

for Exceptional Children. 136 is an award by Metro East to Miss Annie Sharp, by Yourell. And Brinkmeier's resolution honors the wrestling team of Savannah High School. And the joint resolution honors, Joint Resolution 24, whose been a teamster official for many many years, that's by Representative Hanahan. And did you a...I move for the adoption of the four agreed resolutions."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Winnebago moves for the adoption of the agreed resolutions. All in favor say aye, all opposed, say nay. The ayes have it and the resolutions are adopted. House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 5."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 5. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amends House Bill 5 on page 4, line 4, by inserting immediately after the word "weapon" the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, to explain the amendment."

Kosinski: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the first committee amendment for this bill was designed to indicate the sawed off shotgun inflection would necessarily be loaded and operable for this bill to be applicable. I move its adoption."

Shea: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment 1. All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the amendment is adopted. Amendment No. 2."

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment No. 2. Amends House Bill 5 on page 2, line 13, 14, by deleting the words "are incendiary"."

Kosinski: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, to explain Amendment No. 2."

Kosinski: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the deletion of the words "are incendiary" was to protect any one who might be carrying a gasoline can to his lawn mower, which might be interpreted as an incendiary weapon. I move for its adoption."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman moves the

adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2, All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No."

Shea: "Third Reading. House Bill 18."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 18. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Deuster. Amends House Bill 18 on page 1, line 15, by adding immediately following the period, the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Deuster, the Gentleman from Lake, to explain Amendment No. 1." Mr. Deuster

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 18 prohibits discrimination on the grounds of sex with respect to the hiring and placing of school teachers and school personnel. A...many school administrators feel that for specific positions such as maybe football coach or sex education instructor for ninth grade girls or a number of unusual occupations in the school district, that it might be that sex would be a bonafide occupational qualification. Particularly, if the duties if a specific position included supervising the male students in the showers or the females in the showers, or matters involving the privacy of the students in areas in which there was a feeling that sex should be one factor. A...House Bill 18 with Amendment No. 1, would allow a school board to adopt a uniform policy identifying specific positions for which sex could be considered a bonafied...."

Shea: "Excuse me, Mr. Deuster, for a minute. Sir, taking pictures is prohibited until the light is turned on. My understanding was that the Speaker asked you to refrain from taking pictures until he told you it was alright. Go ahead Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 18 would allow a school board to identify with respect to specific positions,

✓ the fact that sex could be considered a bonafide occupational qualification so long as it was a uniform policy adopted in the board, by the board. And this means that they could not discriminate willy-nilly, or they could not refuse applicants whether they be male or female on the grounds of sex, unless prior to that they had sat down and deliberated and adopted a uniform policy, and Amendment No. 1 also allows the consideration of sex, with respect to those particular positions. I would be happy to answer questions and otherwise urge the adoption of Amendment No. 1."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All those in favor will say aye. All those opposed will say nay. The ayes have it and floor amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Deuster. Amends House Bill 18 on page 1, line 15, by inserting before the word "if" the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain Floor Amendment No. 2."

Deuster: "Yes, Amendment No. 2 is along the same line, but it does allow the consideration of information concerning the sex with respect to specific positions, so if they were considering applicants, they could ask them whether they were male or female. Its very similar to the second part of Amendment No. 1, but it indicates that you could ask for this information. It may be requested and secured."

Shea: "Is there any discussion with regard to Amendment No. 2... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry with regard to the compatibility of these two amendments. Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 2. Amendment No. 2, which has just been presented, apparently, repeats the first half of Amendment No. 1, but in doing so, uses different language, and I'm just wondering about the compatibility of the two amendments and what the effect would be on the adoption of both of them. Specifically, Mr. Speaker, in referring to Amendment No. 1, it reads with respect to a specific position in a

school. Amendment No. 2 says with respect to a specific position within a school and then, later on, well, that would be number 1. Oh, a, excuse me, now I've got it, in line 5 of amendment No. 1, the word "considered" is used, but in line 5 of amendment 2, the word "regarded" is used."

Shea: "Might I make a suggestion, Mr. Schlickman? We've adopted amendment No. 1, we are discussing amendment No. 2. Perhaps if we took this out of the record for a minute and you and your seat mate, Mr. Deuster, could sit down and make sure that the amendments in the bill are compatible. I think its a mechanical problem, if you could work it out, I think it would be better. Is that agreeable with you, Mr. Deuster? The Gentleman on House Bill 18 has adopted amendment No. 1, has offered amendment No. 2, and at this point, we are taking it out of the record so that they may discuss it. House Bill 20, you want to pass that for a minute, Mr. Deuster?"

Deuster: "What number?"

Jack O'Brien: "20."

Shea: "Why don't I pass your bills for a minute. House Bill 59. Mr. Schraeder."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 59. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act that provides into law in relation to jails and jailers. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 59 on page 1 by adding after line 20 the following: " the amendatory Act of 1975 does not apply to any home rule county."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to explain Committee Amendment No. 1."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, this amendment suggested by the committee and I have no objection to it. In fact, I think it is a good amendment and I would move its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman offers Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 59. All in favor say aye. All opposed say nay. The ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 79."

MAR 19 1975

8.

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 79. Emil Jones."

Shea: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 87. Mr. Davis."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 87. Davis. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Fair Employment Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 87 on page 1, line 1, by deleting 2 and 6.07 and inserting in lieu thereof, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to move the adoption of the Committee Amendment to House Bill 87. "

Shea: "Would you explain what the amendment...."

Davis: "Yeh, this is an initiatory power and the Committee Amendment simply says that a...that the commission will receive and investigate a...charges filed by plaintiff with the conformity within this Act and by a vote of three members of the commission, they will be able to ...to a... order an investigation or initiate investigations."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis, moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 87. All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there any further amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 58."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 58. Deuster. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Parental Responsibility Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? There being no amendments from the floor, Third Reading. House Bill 118."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 118. Hart."

Shea: "The Gentleman, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment No. 1 eliminates Cook County from the Bill. This was put on at the request of Mr. McFarland, who is...."

Shea: "Mr. Hart, excuse me, they tell me there is an amendment no printed yet."

Hart: "Well, that's right, but we can adopt this one and hold it

on Second Reading, if you want to."

Shea: "Go ahead and read the bill, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 118. A Bill for an Act to amend certain laws in relation to publication and notices. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 118 on page 1, line 29, by deleting the county and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "A..is that one printed?"

Jack O'Brien: "Yes."

Hart: "Alright, this one was the one I was referring to that was requested by Mr. McFarland, the President of the Chicago Law Bulletin. It would eliminate Cook County from the provisions of the Act. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Franklin moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 118. All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay. The ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted and we will hold that bill on the order of Second Reading until another amendment is printed. House Bill 122."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 122. Schraeder. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Buildings Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 122 on page 2 by deleting lines 26 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, is recognized."

Schraeder: "Mr. Chairman, this was suggested by the legal beavers on the staff and it is a good amendment and I would move its adoption. It just clarifies a little language."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 122. All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay. The ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 128."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 128. Greiman for an Act to amend sections of the Fair Practices Act. Second Reading of

the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Would you take it out of the record please?"

Shea: "The Gentleman asks that that bill be taken out of the record. House Bill 132."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 132. Porter. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter." Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 138."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 138. Borchers. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 138 on page 2 by adding after line 3, the following: 'Section 2 of this Act takes effect July 1, 1975, whereupon becoming law, whichever is latest.'"

Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain Committee Amendment No. 1."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I hope that the a....I would request the adoption of the amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay, the ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there any further amendments from the floor? There being no further amendments, the bill is order to Third Reading. House Bill 139."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 139, Borchers. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? There being no amendments from the floor, the bill is ordered to Third Reading. House Bill 163."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 163. Grotberg. A Bill for an Act requiring certain State publications to contain a statement of promulgation costs. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Amendment No. 1. Amends House Bill

163 on page 1, line 32 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, to explain Committee Amendment No. 1."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House on this bill merely adds paragraph 5. It says to add the month and year of publication to the promulgation statement. The month and the year. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 163. Is there any further discussion? If not, all in favor say aye, all opposed, say nay. The ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Amends House Bill 163 on page 2 by inserting below line 12, the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, to explain Committee Amendment No. 2."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment No. 2 in this bill makes reference to the fact that as the Legislative Council studies the results of this bill, they shall take into consideration the research studies of other State and federal agencies and places an effective date of July 1, 1975, on the bill. I move for its adoption."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 163. All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay, the ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 3. Amends House Bill 163 on page 1 by deleting line 8 through 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, to explain Committee Amendment No. 3."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to table Amendment No. 3 and instead offer for adoption Amendment No. 4."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to table Committee Amendment No. 3. All in favor say aye, the amendment is tabled. Is there

any further amendment from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment No. 4. Grotberg. Amends House Bill 163 on page 1, by deleting lines 8 through 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, to explain Amendment No. 4."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment No. 4 is..is..it includes the entire Amendment No. 3, which was adopted in committee, but exempts any unit of local government or school district from the Act. It clarifies Amendment No. 4 and this phrase was added to make it perfectly clear that no local or school district will be involved in this Act. I move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment of...of...Amendment No. 4. All those in favor....is there any discussion? All those in favor say aye, all those opposed say nay, the ayes have it and Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Are there any further amendments? There being no further amendments, the bill is ordered to Third Reading. House Bill 189."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 189. Leinenweber. A Bill for an Act to amend sections that provides into in relation to mechanic liens. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Being no amendments, Third Reading. House Bill 184."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 184. Schlickman. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 184 on page 1, by deleting line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, members of the House. House Bill 184, as it was originally introduced, created a new paragraph in the Revenue Act providing for a refund by the county collector in case any installment of real estate taxes is paid twice in error. It

was the opinion of the Cook County Collector that instead of adding a new amendment to the Revenue Code, that an existing amendment, ...that an existing paragraph should be amended. Consequently, Committee Amendment No. 1. Committee Amendment No. 1 also provides for another situation involving a taxpayer whose first installment exceeds the total tax bill for the year. This Committee Amendment No. 1, as developed with the cooperation of the Cook County collector, was offered and adopted. Subsequently to its adoption, it was felt by one or two members of the Revenue Committee that there were certain editing clarifications that should be made. Consequently we have Amendment No. 2. At this time, therefore, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I would move to table Committee Amendment No. 1 in anticipation of the adoption of Amendment No. 2."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to table Committee Amendment No. 1. All those in favor say aye, the ayes have it, Committee Amendment No. 1 is table. Are there any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment No. 2. Schlickman. Amends House Bill 184 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following and so forth. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, to explain Floor Amendment No. 2."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, members of the House. Floor Amendment No. 2 is identical to Committee Amendment No. 1, except for certain clarifying editing changes. It does not change the substance of Committee Amendment No. 1. I, therefore, move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 184. Is there any discussion? There being no further discussion, those in favor of the amendment will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. The ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. ...or Floor Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. Gentlemen and Ladies, the TV light is on, the television station from Quincy is going to shoot some pictures or our

action on the floor. House Bill 195."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 195. Brinkmeier. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Mr. Brinkmeier." Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 197."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 197. Hart. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Duester. Amends House Bill 197 on page 1, line 10 and 11, by deleting County Board and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, during the prior General Assembly, we adopted legislation to insure that in all cases in the statute books where it provided for appointments by the County Board, that we would have uniform language and that would be as provided for in Amendment No. 1, that the appointment would be by the presiding officer of the County Board with the advice and consent of the County Board. This amendment, I believe, has the agreement of the sponsor of the mail bill, and it does conform the language in House Bill 197 to the language found elsewhere in the Illinois Revised Statutes. I urge the adoption of Amendment No. 1."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered floor amendment No. 1. All those in favor say aye, all those opposed say no, the ayes have it and Floor Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there any further amendments. There being no further amendments, the bill is order to Third Reading. House Bill 199."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 199. Maragos. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Commission on Delinquency Prevention. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

House Bill 206."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 206. Polk. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Moline Heating Construction Company. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 210."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 210. Kelly. A Bill for an Act to require legible price markings on food products. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 222."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 222. Londrigan. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Londrigan. Amends House Bill 222 on page 2 by deleting line 19 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan, to explain his floor amendment No. 1."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the State Employees Association has asked me to put on an amendment which would increase...or put on a premium of 4% compounded annually on funds paid back to the pension system after they have retired and then gone back to the system, and this should meet with everyone's approval and it will strengthen the pension system and I approve of the amendment, and I ask for its adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 222."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the Gentleman offers the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 222. All in favor say aye, all opposed say nay, the ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments. Third Reading. House Bill 228."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 228. Geo-Karis. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the School Code. Second READING of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amendment No. 2 amends House Bill 228 on page 1, line 1 and 5, by inserting 24-25, the

following and so forth."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis. Mrs. Geo-Karis?
We are on your bill."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I have no amendments."

Shea: "There is a Committee Amendment, Amendment No. 2, do you
wish to offer its adoption and explain what it is?"

Geo-Karis: "The Committee Amendment covers the fact that the
word "adjacent" was struck out and also it includes the
fact that the Chicago Board of Education wanted to be
included in this Bill, and it covers the Chicago Board
of Education."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further
discussion, the Lady from Lake offers Amendment No. 2
to House Bill 228. All those in favor say aye, all those
opposed say nay, the ayes have it and the Amendment No. 2
is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third
Reading. House Bill 249."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 249. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to
amend Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly, has requested that
House Bill 210 be brought back to the order of Second
Reading as he has an amendment to offer. And with leave
to the House will bring it back to the order of Second
Reading. There being no objections, House Bill 210 is
brought back to the order of Second Reading. House Bill 261."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 261. Satterwaithe. A Bill for an
Act to amend sections of an Act in relation to County
Zoning. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Shea: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterwaithe." Are there any
amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 364."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 364. Londrigan. A Bill for an Act to
amend sections of an Act to tax gifts. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
House Bill 464."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 464. Cunningham. A Bill for an Act

to amend sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 468."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 468. Tuerk. A Bill for an Act in relation to Local Improvement made by Special Assessments. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor. Third Reading. House Bill 474."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 474. McGrew. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 474 on page 1, by deleting line 31 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "Mr. McGrew. Is Mr. McGrew on the floor? Take the bill out of the record. House Bill 497."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 497. Jaffe. A Bill for an Act making appropriation for the painting and portrait of the Speaker of the House of Representatives. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 515."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 515, Chapman. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 515 on page 2, by deleting all of lines 26 through 35, and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Shea: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Ms. Chapman." Gentleman, would you please sit down while one of the members is speaking, so that we can see who's talking."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 515. It is a clarifying amendment which makes clear what the intentions of the bill are."

Shea: "Is there any discussion from the floor? The Lady offers the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 515, all in favor say aye, all opposed say nay. There

seems to be some discussion, so the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have a copy of this Amendment and I would rather not vote on it until I did. I'd rather no one else did either."

Shea: "We're checking to see. I'm informed that they are out on the floor, but we will check for you Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I'm reliably informed, Mr. Speaker, that the Amendment hasn't been printed. Maybe we can consider this tomorrow."

Shea: "Perhaps, Ms. Chapman, you could get a page to take your copy over to Mr. Walsh. I'm informed that the amendment has been printed and distributed Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I don't find anyone over here who has one Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Well, perhaps, the Republican pages aren't putting them in the bill book."

Walsh: "I don't find anyone in front of me that has one either."

Shea: "Well, I'll tell you what we will do. We will take it out of the record until we find out and straighten it out. Take 515 out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Lechowicz, will you handle House Bill 523, please."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 523. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act making appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 523 on page 1, by deleting line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment No. 1 is an agreed Committee Amendment the reduction of \$156,600, the following items from general revenue and personal services and retirement of social security for \$103,400 from the roads fund for the same three items, \$53,200, so it is a total reduction of \$156,600. It also provides a supplemental appropriation from the road fund of \$125,000 for refunds for fees that overpaid in taxes. What this amounts to is that a person that makes an application for his license plate, but

unfortunately, instead of sending in \$18, he sent in \$30, and the Secretary of State has this provision with the adoption of this, to make a proper refund to the individual. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 523."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I raise the same point that was previously raised by the Gentleman from Cook. I don't have a copy of this amendment. In fact, I don't have any amendments beyond the previous bill in my bill book. And the first thing this morning, I did insert the amendments that were lying on the desk. So that I would suggest, with the previous bill, this bill should be taken out of the record until all members are provided with copies of the amendment."

Shea: "I'm informed by the Clerk's office, Mr. Schlickman, that the amendment to House Bill 515 and 523 were distributed by the Clerk's office on March 14."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I can only go by my bill book. However I just did receive a copy of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 523, and therefore, would withdraw my request that it be taken out of the record."

Shea: "Thank you. Now back to the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. Mr. Lechowicz has offered the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 523. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, all those in favor will say aye, all those opposed will say nay. The ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 571."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 571. Hart. A Bill for an Act to repeal an Act in relation to landlords and tenants. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Are there any amendments from the floor? There being none, Third Reading. Hold that. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, now we're getting more basic. I don't even have a copy of the bill in my bill book."

Shea: "Well, we've had some problem with the printers, Mr.

MAR 19 1975

20.

Schlickman, but I...is it out on the floor? Mr. Schlickman, you are absolutely right, they just got in from the printers. They haven't been distributed yet, so we'll take that bill out of the record until the pages get them out on the floor. House Bill 515. Have you got the amendment now, Mr. Walsh, to 515? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I have the amendment Mr. Speaker, but I'm not sure that everyone else does. It was handed to me, personally, by someone here, and I have the sponsor of the bill. I'm talking with her about it. I think that we ought to wait until tomorrow so that we can digest the amendment. "

Shea: "Well the amendments have been distributed, I'm informed by the Clerk's office, by Mr. O'Brien and Mr. Selcke, in 414. I'm sure that the Lady will have no objections to let you have another night to digest it."

Walsh: "You're a prince, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "House Bill 291."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 291. J. M. Houlihan. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Shea: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 18. Might I ask the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, if he has straightened out his problems with Mr. Schlickman, with regards to House Bill 18, yet? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "That amendment that we discussed is not ready so I will have to hold that in Second Reading. I'm sorry."

Shea: "The Gentleman requested that House Bill 18 be kept on the order of Second Reading. House Bill 20. Mr. Duester."

Deuster: "That's to be held too, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "House Bill 91. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "House Bill 91 is ready."

Jack O'Brien: House Bill 91. Deuster. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act authorizing certain counties to undertake local improvements. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain
Committee Amendment No. 1."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
I believe Committee Amendment No. 1 had been adopted."

Shea: "I'm informed by the Clerk that this Bill went to the
order of Third Reading and has been brought back to the
order of Second Reading. Committee Amendment No. 1 has
been adopted. Are there any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment No. 2. Deuster. Amends House
Bill 18 on page 1, line 15, by inserting before the
word "if" the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain
Amendment No. 2."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
Members of this body may remember that when this bill
came up, my colleague, Representative Schlickman, had
several good questions. I have prepared Amendment No.
2 to respond to those questions, and to make the County
Improvement Act essentially identical to the Municipal
Improvement Act. And Amendment No. 2 will strike all the
language on the bill and lines 13 through 15 that
Representative Schlickman was concerned about that had to
do with any facilities. So that's all struck. Also some
of the language at the bottom is struck with regard
to improvements. So the bill winds up reading, we are
simply adding the County Improvement Act, Counties and
Sewers, Watermains, Walks, Street Lights, and that is the
same power that we presently have in the Municipal Improve-
ment Act. So I think that with that explanation, I would
urge the adoption of Amendment No. 2."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, has offered
Amendment No. 2, is there any discussion? There being
no discussion, the Gentleman offers Houseer a...
Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 91. All those in favor say
aye, all those opposed say nay, the ayes have it. Amendment
No. 2 is adopted. Are there any further amendments?
Third Reading. Mr. Hart, do you have amendment No. 2 to

MAR 19 1975

22.

Bill 118 yet?"

Hart: "Yes I do, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment No. 2 is merely styling and drafting. There is some language problems in the bill that I thought out to be cleaned up and so I prepared this. It does nothing to change the thrust of the bill. Its merely one to make it read a little more fluidly and I move for the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2."

Shea: "Would you read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: Amendment No. 2. Amends House Bill 118 on page 1 on line 28 and 29 by deleting and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Franklin has offered for adoption Floor Amendment No. 2. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, all those in favor of the adoption of the amendment will say aye, all those opposed nay, the ayes have it and the Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 79. Mr. Jones from Cook. Do you want that out of the record Mr. Jones? House Bill 128. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman." Do you want to call that, or do you have the amendments ready? Take that out of the record. Is Mr. McGrew on the floor? House Bill 474."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 474. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 474 on page 1, by deleting line 13, and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And I might add, its a pleasure to see you up there Mr. Shea. Amendment No. 1 is an amendment put on in committee. This bill amends the Illinois Pension Code for the Teachers Retirement System. There exists a disparity between the way the pension system is set up for Illinois teachers versus the other State-side pensions in that all pension systems say teachers...that the person shall be married for one year before they retire. The Teachers' says, three years before they retire. Therefore, this bill was introduced to

take care of that disparity and it merely makes it uniform. I found, however, there was a mistake in drafting that the bill read that if the person was married for a year before they received the benefits even after retirement, they would become eligible. This amendment do the purpose as I originally intended it. As I explained in committee, I liked the bill without the amendment better, but I agreed with the committee that I would move for its adoption of the floor."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simms, oh no, that's Mr. Schlickman, I'm sorry."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Blair, I mean a...Representative Shea. I'm sorry but I don't have a copy of the amendment." I'm advised that they were distributed and I was just given a copy."

Shea: "Well, why you're looking at it and if there is any question it seems that Mr. Collins has raised a point of order, so we will recognize ...oh...on the amendment?...Well, the Gentleman....Mr. Schlickman, do you have any comment? Or do you want to wait until after Mr. a....Alright." The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to speak to Amendment No. 1."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a bill in which I am very much in sympathy. I think as originally introduced was much better than it will be with the Amendment. The Amendment was one that, I believe, forced upon the sponsor. It really weakens the bill, and he accepted it, I believe, to get the support of the Pension Laws Commission. However, I think, once again, the Pension Laws Commission has been unreasonable in forcing a member to accept an amendment which really goes a long way towards defeating the original purpose of his bill. Now the only reasons advanced against the bill by the Pension Laws Commission is that they are afraid of weddings so that people may defeat the purpose of the pension system. I think that this type of situation is so rare as really, to be ridiculous and not to be considered.

I think the bill as originally introduced was much stronger and much better. It would allow a man to leave his pension benefits to his wife, or I should say, a person to leave his benefits to his spouse, but regardless of when the wedding took place, and as it should be. A spouse should be entitled, I believe, to these benefits, whether they were married prior to retirement or after. Now I am speaking against the amendment and will vote against the amendment. As I told the sponsor, I'm going to support the bill in whatever shape it comes out, but I think the amendment does....goes a long way towards weakening the bill. I'm sure the sponsor agrees with me and I would hope that we turn this amendment down and then pass the bill as originally introduced. If its essential to a...it it...it...to adopting the amendment is essential to passing the bill, then of course, I would remove my opposition to the amendment. But I think it was a good bill, as originally introduced, its not a good bill as amended, and I would hope that we could turn this amendment down and then pass this very good bill in the original shape in which it was introduced."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor of the amendment yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Geo-Karis: "Its been so noisy at this corner, and frankly speaking, I haven't been able to hear just exactly what the amendment does. And would you mind restating what the amendment does?"

Shea: "Mr. McGrew, if you would please explain what Committee Amendment No. 1 does to this. Ladies and Gentlemen, if we could have some order. This is a very important amendment. It deals with the pension rights of school teachers, and I think we are all concerned about it so let's listen."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As distinctly as I

can put it, Representative, this amendment says that a teacher must be married for one year before they retire in order for thier spouse to be eligible for their benefits. Without the amendment, the spouse would be eligible one year after they were married regardless of when they retire. In other words, if they were married after retirement, provided that that marriage had been for a year, then the spouse would be eligible for the benefits."

Geo-Karis: "Do I understand correctly that under your amendment you are eliminating the spouse who is not married a year before retirement. Is that it?"

McGrew: "That is correct. The amendment would do the latter."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the bill, if I may, on the amendment rather?"

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, is recognized for purposes of debating the amendment."

Geo-Karis: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I respect the prior speaker very highly, but I have to disagree with him, along with my other colleague, who already spoke on the subject. I do feel that your amendment is discriminatory and I don't see any good basis for it. Therefore, I speak aga inst the amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew, to close. "

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I have explained the amendment as best I know how.As I agreed in committee, I will now move for its adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amend-ment No. 1. All those in favor say aye, all those opposed say nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the noes have it and the amendment is not adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have a former member of the House on the floor now. He's now with the Metropolitan Sanitary District of the County of Cook, Jim Cary. Jim say hello. House Bills Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

as the Principal Sponsor of House Bill 409, I'd like to ask that it be tabled."

Shea: "Is there leave of the House for the Sponsor to table House Bill 409? There being no objections, the Bill is ordered tabled. House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 200."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 200. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, House Bill 200 changes the voting and the term of office of Commissioners in... in three member commission counties. Where there is a three member board. There are only 17 counties like this in the State of Illinois and this Bill would allow them to run in even number years in regular elections and ah.... I'd like to move ah... that it be passed."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates that he will."

Leinenweber: "I ah... I noticed that the Bill came out of Committee, do pass as amended ah... was the amendment adopted and if so, what was the amendment?"

Dunn: "Ah... yes, ah.. the amendment was to say that in case of a vacancy of this three member board that a member of the same political party would be appointed to fill the vacancy and that was adopted on Second Reading."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman offers House Bill 200. The question is shall House Bill 200 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and all those opposed will vote 'no'. Would you vote me 'aye', Mr. Choate? Hanahan, 'aye'. All all those voted who wish? Mr. Schlickman, do you want to speak.... or talk or what? Your light is flashing up here. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. There are 150 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby

declared passed, House Bill 264, Mr. VanDuyne."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 264. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Joliet, Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker, may I make a motion to use the previous Roll Call?"

Shea: "If you'd like to use that Roll Call, you're entitled, but I think that your Bill would fail."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill is designed to correct an inequity that we have existing in our county. As the fine, ah... according to the infraction of the contract ah... that exists now is 10 to 100 dollars. The maximum fine to be levied by the Judge is \$100, which means that mainly all these Bill... all of these infractions are just forgotten about. This isn't even worth arguing about. So.... so I have taken.... or making it an attempt to create ah... to ah... to correct this by establishing a fine of \$500 and also stipulating that the contractor will make restitution to whatever road authority he is dealing with. It is just that, so I would ask the House for a favorable vote."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman offers House Bill 264. The question is shall House Bill 264 pass. All those in..... pardon me, Mr. Borchers. The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Ah... I was curious, does this mean if a train crosses a highway and obstructs us ah... that this could be that kind of a fine?"

Shea: "Are you addressing the question to the Sponsor?"

Borchers: "Yes, I am."

Shea: "He indicates that he'll yield."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Borchers, ah.. being a fairly young fellow, I'm not even sure I know what you mean when you say adray.

Borchers: "A train."

VanDuyne: "No, Sir. It has nothing to do with this whatsoever. This Bill has to do with altering underneath the road."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The question is..... the gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a

question?"

Shea: "He indicates that he will."

McClain: "Representative VanDuyne, would this be retroactive or ah... only with passing of the Bill?"

VanDuyne: "No."

McClain: "No to what?"

VanDuyne: "No it is not retroactive."

McClain: "Okay, and when is the effective date?"

VanDuyne: "Well, I would hope upon signature of the Governor."

McClain: "Okay, thank you."

Shea: "I think the question is, is there an early effective date? Then it would be on October 1st. Are there any further questions? The question is shall House Bill 264 pass. All those in favor vote 'aye' and all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 140 'ayes' and 4 'nays' ah.... having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 274."

Jack O'Brien: House Bill 274, Jaffe. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from the Agricultural Committee, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Thank you, Mr. Majority Leader. House Bill 274 is a Bill that comes out of the Rape Study Committee. Could I have a little order, Mr. Speaker, because I think that this is going to be a long debate?"

Shea: "Proceed, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "House Bill 274 is a Bill that comes out of the House Rape Study Committee ah... and if I must say so I think that this is a Bill that really is the heart and gist of all the Rape Legislation that we have put forward up until the present time. What House Bill 274 does in essence is that it adds a Section making past sexual conduct of a rape victim with any other person other than the accused and let me underline other than the accused inadmissible as evidence in a trial of rape. I want to stress to you again that her relationship with the accused can be brought into evidence ah... how

their relationship began and so on and so forth. Everything that pertains to the ah... accused is admissible into evidence. Let me give you a few statistics on this as a matter of fact. According to the figures that the Chicago Crime Commission put out in 1972, ah... in the City of Chicago there 35,620 rapes estimated to be perpetrated. As you know ah... 1 out of 10 rapes are ever reported, that's according to figures not only by the Chicago Crime Commission, but from the F.B.I. and other law enforcement officials. Out of those 35,000 rapes, 3500 were reported, 833 arrests were made, 204 indictments were had, 24 pleaded guilty and only 8 were found guilty and sentenced. Despite the overwhelming statistics that we have, so few sentences and ah... so few sentences of guilty in the crime of rape ah... you know, we ask ah... 'why are so few reported and why do we have such a problem?' We have the problem because many women never report rape because they fear the publicity and the rapist threats and they fear the police and the court system. Most state statutes are based on an outdated moral code recognizing that there are two types of women, bad women who enjoy sex and good women who have to be overpowered. Most laws equate sex with the normal sexual union ah... it is instead the most personal kind of assault. As the result of this .. code ah... what you have is you have a situation of ah... where a woman who has claimed to be raped can be questioned about her past sexual behavior even is it has nothing to do with the case, which in effect turns her into the defendant. I think that we can't stress that ah... too greatly. Whenever you have a rape case you have the victim really being turned into the defendant. The victim is constantly asked over and over and over again about here sex history. It happens on the trial level and it happens when the State's Attorney interrogates her . It happens when the police interrogate her . So as a result of that, and if any of you have watched some of the television programs with regard to the subject of rape, you'll find that women are very hesitant to come forward and report the crime of rape and they're

very hesitant to go through the entire of rape because they felt that they are abused time and time and time again. It was sort of interesting that when we had the study with the Rape Committee, we found that victims go through certain periods after being raped. As an example, for a number of weeks, as a period of suitable adjustment in which the victim and her family appear to have accepted the fact that they are. After a number of weeks there follows another stage in which the rape victim shows depression and as the inability to cope with things in general. Insecure or problem relations, especially with husbands or boyfriends tends to surface as a result of the time of rape. After a rape episode, many victims make changes in employment, residence or decide to divorce a spouse. The ordeal of future legal proceedings are unsatisfactory to them. I would just like to say to you that as indicated in one of the Sun Times Editorials on March 3, 1975 that this is a Bill that is absolutely necessary in this particular state. It's the progressive way to go. It's the only way to go. Michigan has adopted a statute similar to this. California has adopted a statute similar to this. There are statutes like this now existing and pending in states like New Jersey. We even have the federal government now for the first time getting into the field of rape. As I indicated at the start of my ah.... statement, ah.. I think that this Bill is the heart and gist of the work of the Rape Study Committee and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on it."

Shea: "Is there ah.... are you through explaining it now, Mr. Jafee?"

Jaffe: "Yes."

Shea: "Now we can hear from the Criminal Bar. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rather sympathize with the objectives of the Commission and I can sympathize with the direction that this Bill is going, however the Bill in itself is taintedly offensive .

MAR 19 1975

31.

to anyone who is involved with any kind of trial work and I'll explain why. I understand the emotional torment that a victim of an alleged rape would have on a stand on a cross examination of a defense lawyer and I can sympathize that, however this Bill the way that it is worded is indeed a very very bad piece of legislation. I have difficulty reconciling the liberals who are very concerned about the rights of the defendents and the presumption of innocence until they apparently are members of a commission because suddenly we forget about the rights of the defendents and....

Shea: "Pardon me, Mr. Fleck, but I think this is a very important bill, if we could have some order, please?"

Fleck: "Under this proposed piece of legislation, you could have the biggest dime store hooker in the City of Chicago to play after she has made arrangements with the fellow, and they are caught, she is arrested for prostitution, he is arrested for solicitation of prostitution. That gentleman is faced with a Class A misdemeanor. They go down to the station where they are booked and charged, and she suddenly say "wait a minute, he was raping me". Now you have the police confronted with a charge by a _____ that the person who solicited her had raped her. He is now facing a Class I felony. So instead of purchasing a few moments of pleasure, he is finding himself purchasing four years minimum, free room and board at a penal institution not of his choice. I suggest that removing, removing the right of a defense attorney to go into the prior conduct, the prior convictions, even, of a victim of an alleged rape, would open a door for this type of defense. It is wrong. I have talked to Representative Jaffe on this. A defense lawyer who would be cross examining a hard-core prostitute on her prior conduct is not going to injure her anymore than my left foot would injure Representative Lechowicz. The proper way to clean up this piece of legislation is to permit a defense attorney to make what we call an offer of proof. Go outside the vision and the hearing of the jury and go into the judge's chambers and let that defense attorney say "your Honor, I intend to show this about the woman, that she had

five prior convictions. I intend to show that on three prior occasions that very evening, that she was engaged in sexual misconduct,"and so forth and so on, and in the privacy of the chambers, let the judge decide whether the defense attorney should go into the prior misconduct. If the judge feels it is improper, exclude it and exclude that type of cross examining. If you have a girl that is an innocent girl and not a hard-core prostitute, the judge should properly exclude that type of cross examination, in my estimation. But I think that it should be made by way of offer of proof and not a complete bar of this type of evidence. It is wrong and it is a bad approach. It appears to me that the very nature of a rape case demands exercise of extreme care when we exclude evidence. And it seems to me that the underlying thought is that a woman who is virtuous would not to such an activity. So accordingly, I feel quite personally strong, that a defense attorney should at least have the opportunity to go to the judge in the chambers and in the privacy of that room, show him his intent and make an offer of proof there. Thank you very much."

Shea: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Briefly, I wish to agree with Representative Fleck. I believe passage of this bill will set back the civil rights of our citizens by 200 years. It was this type of law that originally severed our country from England. We thought we should be protected and have rights and constitutional rights. If now, rape bill victims are not being treated fairly, this bill will do the same thing to defendants, it will not treat them fairly, we'll deprive them of constitutional rights. I would think that this bill would be unconstitutional, but the way the courts are acting today, I would not wish to pass this and give them an opportunity to rule on it. I think we, as a legislature, should defeat it here and now."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, both of the prior speakers are well aware of the fact that

if the woman is the reported victim is, in fact, a prostitute or someone who is just trying to make a case, they can bring character witnesses against her to show that she has a reputation for being a prostitute. It appalls me when we have to treat the defendant with kid gloves and yet, a woman who has had a traumatic attack, like a rape, on her, she is supposed to be treated worse than is the defendant. It is appalling and deplorable that the State of Illinois hasn't cleaned it up. Now if some of these fellows want to purchase their kicks, fine! But let them be careful where they purchase their kicks. But in the mean time, there are a lot of innocent women who are being effected by a lack of a law that is protecting the victim instead of the defendant. I am sick and tired of the constitutional rights being invoked for the defendant where he does not hesitate to stand, when you can't go into his background, but you can go into the background of the victim. What kind of justice is this? And may I please tell this House that the State of California has passed a bill like it. The State of Michigan, I believe, has also passed a bill like this. I think we should go into the question of the defendant's background. We can't, because that's unconstitutional. Well, its unconstitutional as far as I'm concerned, to victimize the victim, and I'm speaking for the bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. There appears, on behalf of the sponsors and the proponents of this bill, to be a great, a very great amount of misunderstanding as to what the actual rules of evidence are presently in any rape prosecution. First of all, I would like to emphasize that in no rape prosecution presently, and this has been true for many many years, can specific acts of sexual misconduct on the part of the complaining witness ever be introduced in any type of a rape prosecution. In a prosecution for rape, the only evidence that can be introduced regarding the complaining witness is evidence of her

general reputation for immorality and unchastity. And that evidence is never admissible if there is a denial of the act of intercourse having occurred. That evidence is admissible only where the defense is consent on the part of the complaining witness. The Illinois Supreme Court, in the case of People vs. Fryman, stated the rule and stated the rationale for the rule. And I'm quoting "in order to show the probability of consent, the general reputation of woman for immorality and unchastity is of extreme importance, and may be shown. The underlying thought being that it is more probable that unchaste women would consent to such an act than would a virtuous woman." I would simply point out what is a very, well almost a hackney phrase that is repeated in practically every Appellate Court opinion dealing with a rape prosecution; namely, that rape is a charge easily made. A charge which is hard to prove, but a charge which is more difficult, still, to defend against. The effect of this well-intentioned bill will be to change the maxim in the law to this. Rape is a charge easily made. Easier now to prove and literally impossible to defend against in a consent situation. This is a pernicious bill. It does not come to grips with what the actual state of the law of evidence is in these types of prosecution. And I would urge all of the members here to study what this bill provides for and to cast a no vote. I should point out that this bill was never heard in the proper committee, for some inexplicable reason. It needed a sponsor, or the Chairman of the committee could explain. This bill was heard in Judiciary I and not in Judiciary II. This bill needs an awful lot of work to put it in any kind of a legal shape, and I ask that you vote no on this bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This bill has given me plenty of trouble, as I'm sure it has a lot of people on the floor of this House, but there's also no question about it, that this is the most important bill that is coming out of the Rape Commission study and it is the key bill. On the one side you have to realize that if this becomes law, of

course, there's no question that a whore can be raped, and this, of course, is going to give everybody a lot of trouble, but on the other hand, having had both sides of the issue, and prosecuted and defended both sides, from the prosecution standpoint, you should also realize that this is the one area that makes it very very difficult to get a conviction on rape because the innocent girl that is raped, this is the part that tears her down, and regardless of what you say, the innuendos are made whether the girl has a good clean record or not. So you are going to have to weigh all of the arguments that you are hearing against this bill with the fact that if you are concerned about getting convictions for legitimate rapes, this is a very important bill, you'd better give it your consideration, and although, I had to weight the equities, and I have a lot of concern about this, an awful lot of concern about voting for it, a...I figure the equities go in favor of the rape victim."

Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Willer."

Willer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would simply like to address my remarks, part of my remarks, to Representative Fleck's remarks. Despite his tender years, he seems to be dwelling about twenty years ago. There are no more ten cent anything, ten cent stores, and I sort of think he took a slap at any one who worked in a ten cent store, which I would take exception to. However, what baffles me is that all of the remarks seem to be a...all of Representative's...all of the remarks so far seem to be directed toward prostitutes being raped. In the first place, I think can be raped, although some of the men around here seem to think that is impossible. I would protect her right to not being raped just as I would any other woman. Secondly, there are women who now live with men without benefit of marriage. We may not approve of this, but I suppose it can be construed as sexual misconduct. These women are increasing in our society. They have a life style we may not approve of, but it is their life style. Can this...Representative Fleck...they don't deserve protection....a....from rape? Because they live

differently? I approve of this bill. I intend to support it and I find it interesting that all the men seem to be talking about is that prostitutes can or cannot be raped. I think they can be raped, and it seems to me they think they can't."

Shea: "The Lady from Adams, Ms. Kent."

Kent: "Its Mrs., Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Its not Ms., its Mrs.?"

Kent: "Right. I am just trying to get a little answered and I really don't know who can answer this for me. Maybe Mr. Fleck can. Is it my understanding that when the man is brought into the courts as the rapist, that his past cannot be brought forward. I know that I am not knowledgeable on law, that I am just sort of a citizen that sees the movies, and they never seem to bring out the fact that the man might have done this before and so I just wonder, is this admissable in court?"

Shea: "I think we'll let Mr. Jaffe answer that for you, Mrs. Kent."

Jaffe: "Representative Kent, I want to tell you that not only can they not talk about the prior sex history of the person accused, they cannot even talk about the *modus operandi*. And I think that if you find any other signs, you can talk about *modus operandi*. So if you have an individual who commits the same crime over and over again in the same type of manner, you can talk about that verbally. You can talk about murder. You can talk about any other crime. The only crime you can't talk about is in the crime of rape and I have to say that the other speakers have just raised great smoke screens about this particular subject."

Shea: "Is there any further questions, Mrs. Kent?"

Kent: "Then even...it really isn't fair, is it? If the man's character and past experience cannot be brought forth, why then, should the woman?"

Shea: "Mr. Jaffe?"

Jaffe: "That's exactly the point of the bill. If the man's character cannot be introduced as evidence, we don't think the woman's sexual history should be introduced either."

Kent: "One more question. Would it not be best that both could be introduced?"

Jaffe: "I think that you might have a constitutional problem with regard to that of the alleged accused. I think that you might have a problem with regard to that. However, I don't think you'd have a problem with that."

Kent: "Why do we have it with one and we don't have it with the other?"

Jaffe: "Well, that's what we are trying to clean up, Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this bill, House Bill 274. I, too, have formerly been a prosecutor in the State of Illinois, and under our criminal laws of this State and under all of our laws of this State, there appears to me that a woman who is alleged to be unchaste, is just as entitled to the protection of the laws as she is responsible to the laws for any criminal activity she may have committed or have alleged to committed in her unchaste activities. She should be equally protected against rape, if she is unchaste, or alleged to be, as any other woman in the State of Illinois. I would submit that limited though this rule of evidence that is here attacked may be, with the exception perhaps, of cases of paternity, this rule of evidence has probably brought about more attempted perjury than almost any other rule of evidence that we have in the criminal laws of the State of Illinois. It also appears to me that our rule of evidence that presently applies in rape, indicating the past sexual activities of the complainant violates the Christian ethics. If our Lord could forgive an unchaste woman, certainly the law can forgive an unchaste woman and at least consider that in the case at hand, in this instance, she is innocent also, as the defendant is considered to be innocent until proven otherwise. And so I think in fair protection to this woman, whomever she may be, that this rule of law should be changed, that House Bill 274 should pass, and that whoever the complainant may be, she is as well

entitled to protection as she is to all of her other responsibilities to the law in the State of Illinois, and I urge that the members of this House do adopt House Bill 274."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber,"

Leinenweber: "Thank you Mr. Speakers and Members of the House. Anyone who sat in committee and listened to the testimony would find it hard, as I do, to oppose this bill. However, it is misdirected and it is clearly unconstitutional. The unconstitutionality involves the denial of due process to prohibit a defendant from introducing relevant evidence into his trial to prove the defense of consent. This has to be unconstitutional and any cases proceeding in which this law would be followed, the convictions would have to be thrown out. Now it is directed at a problem. A very very serious problem and that is the defense technics of embarrassment of the prosecuting witness to the fishing expedition going into her sexual past. This is a problem. the problem should be attacked, but not by the blunderbust approach of House Bill 274. The suggestion of an out of court voyeur or present offer of proof to show the relevancy of the...of the sexual past of the complaining witness would make this bill, I think acceptable constitutionally, and would also deal...direct itself towards the problem. I would hope that there would not be sufficient votes and that the sponsor would, recognizing the importance of the problem, take it back to Second and make appropriate amendments to make this bill constitutional. Therefore, I would ask a no vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Well Mr. Speaker and members of the House. I would like to advance a very novel proposition here. And that is women are entitled to equal protection under the law. We've heard quite a bit about the rules of evidence. And, as an attorney, I certainly respect the rules of evidence, but I was never taught that the rules of evidence were formulated or postulated in order to make a defendant or a complainant a sitting duck. And under the present rules which obtain

with regard to rape prosecution, the complaining witness is turned into a sitting duck. Not only into a sitting duck, but into the defendant, and I think that we ought to take cognizance of the fact that rape has become a major social ill in Chicago, in our major cities, and across the State of Illinois, and that this legislature has an obligation to do something about it. Now I know it is very very easy to hold up the prostitute as the typical rape complainant and proceeding from that basis do what most attorneys do, and I've done it myself in the courtroom, attack complainants as if they were prostitutes and all of them. But there are women like Joan Crawford, who have been prostitutes during their life time, who are not now prostitutes, and I think that prostitutes are also entitled to equal protection under the law. But we are not talking about prostitutes. We are talking about the jungle that exists out in our streets for women who are mothers, who are working people, and who are citizens. And we are talking about the obstacle course that commences from the moment they go into that police station to file that complaint, until the case is completed in a court of law. And I don't think....I don't see how any fair minded person looking at all the factors involved in rape prosecutions today, could do anything but conclude that rape victims need to be given at least access to the same equality under the law that obtains for the defendant, the alledged rapist. Now I think that the problem sits squarely in the hands of the House now, that's composed primarily of men, and we have to be able to take a hard look at some of our own prejudices and biases as we evaluate this bill. I hope ...I hope that you will cast an aye vote for this bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm going to rise in opposition to this bill because I think it's a bad bill. I'm not saying that the intent isn't good, but I don't believe that the sponsors are taking a very careful look at what they are doing. We are not talking about prostitution. We are not talking about violent rape. We are talking about narrow

classification, and that is where the allegation is that there was consent. Now once you exclude all other cases of rape and say that you cannot introduce evidence of the character of the person assaulted, that's fine. However, in this very narrow case where the defense is consent, and let me say it to you Gentlemen this way. If you are not careful of what you are doing, every time an individual engages in sex, they better darn well have a release form. The argument has come up that we are talking about the character of the person involved in the alleged rape. Whenever the character is put into evidence, it can be rebutted. If you, as the defendant, put your character into evidence and say I'm a good fellow, it can be rebutted. And in this instance all you are talking about doing is rebutting the character of the person who says I was raped. And I believe that if you take this one narrow instance where the alleged defense is consent, and only in this issue, consent, and I say to you Gentlemen, you are going to take away the last vestige that any person has when an act of sexual intercourse has taken place. If the woman says I was raped, a man can never show that she's engaged in relations with everybody in the block, everybody in the next block, and for the past seven years. I say to you what you are doing is taking away a fundamental right of defense of an individual accused of a crime. And it is only, only, in the narrow instances where the defense says it is consent. Only in that area. If you bear that in mind, I'm sure, and if you read the bill, you can't vote for it. Its not to say that you are for rape; you want to help rapists, but you are saying that a man can or any one else has the right to raise the defense of consent. And you Gentleman should well read the bill, or else get your release forms ready."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise to speak in favor of House Bill 274. I'm just as concerned as any one else about the rights of the accused and the presumption of innocence. But the problem with rape

prosecution is affirmed by the Rape Study Commission's report has not been in that area, but rather in the presumptions that are imputed to the victim. This bill takes the first step in lightening the burden of rape prosecution on the victim. It does not remove the prosecution's burden of proving guilt, it simply allows the victim to maintain, retain a measure of dignity and I urge its passage."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker I think the values or the problems concerning this bill have been well discussed and I respectfully move the previous question."

Shea: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor say aye, all those opposed say nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the requisite vote was received through the motion and we now return to Mr. Jaffe to close."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I think there is no question that the United States is probably the only country that is undergoing an epidemic of rape. And I think there is no question that the F.B.I. supports the figures, the Crime Commission supports the figures, practically every law enforcement authority supports the figures, that only one out of ten rapes are reported. And I think we have discussed very well today what the reasons why, in fact, a victim will not come forth and talk about her traumatic experience. Let me just clear up a few misconceptions that we have about this bill, and I think a lot of smoke screens have been raised today, that are, in fact, not true. As I indicated in my beginning remarks, the prior sex history with the accused, is admissible. Did she meet him in the roll as a prostitute? If that is the case, that is certainly admissible. How did she meet him? Was their relationship was that they had prior sex history? That all is admissible as far as that particular accused is concerned. Still, I think it is sort of ludicrous, you know, to indicate that a victim can say yes, cannot say yes to A, B and C, and can also say no to D. I think that these are just ludicrous arguments. It is sort of interesting talking the way that Representative Fleck did. He said it is sort of interesting that all of

the liberals are sort of changing positions. I have to say it looks to me like some of the law and order people are also changing positions, but they only change position, it seems to me, when they get a preoccupation with hookers. I think the time has come for us to do something about the victims of crime. We've talked about the victims of crime for a long long time. The judges that we have interrogated have told us that this would cut down trial time considerably. State's Attorneys have told us that this is an absolute must in the reform of crime of rape in order to get conviction. I think that the time has come now to do something about the victim of the crime of rape and not just talk about it and I urge an aye vote."

Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 274 pass? All in favor will signify by voting aye, those opposed by voting no. The Lady from DuPage to explain her vote." Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I think the session that we've had on this shows that there really are two misconceptions on the part of the people that are voting no. One, they seem to confuse rape with a pleasurable experience, and if you were at any of the hearings of the Rape Study Commission and if you've studied the reports on this, you will know that rape is not basically, a sexual experience for either the attacker or the victim. Its a form of physical assault. And the motives are usually revenge or hate or fear of what ever the victim represents. Either a mother that the raper didn't like, or a school teacher that the raper didn't like, or just women in general. It is not an honest sexual transaction like either prostitution or promiscuity. Its a different thing. It's a crime. It's a crime of physical assault. Now, I know men have had the fantasy all women secretly want to be raped. And that if a women is raped it is because she asked for it. And I'm sure that's the reason our law originally had the provision in it that you could go into the back ground of the victim and you could show "a hah, she's been soliciting for prostitution all her life or she's been promiscuous all her life". That happens

to be beside the point when you come down to whether this particular man did commit an act of physical assault on this woman. As Representative Jaffe has pointed out, if it were solicitation for prostitution, that can be brought out in the questioning in the circumstances that lead to the crime to physical forcible assault. So if you want to go out, Gentlemen, and have an honest transaction, whether its free or one you have to pay for, that's fine, that's great. Go full speed ahead with the consent of both adults, but don't confuse that experience with forcible rape. It could happen to your wife or your daughter. Think about that when you cast your vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I don't happen to be a lawyer, obviously, and I guess I don't have a desire to be one either. A...but what is important to note here is that we've talked about the legalities of it. Representative Dyer has just alluded to some of the complexities of some of the psychological motives and things that are involved in rape. I would suggest that maybe some of us men are fearful that another step has been taken in eroding male dominance in the culture. The fact that we would even extend our concern in that area bothers me to a great extent. This is not the last line of male dominance. This is not the kind of thing that we ought to be apprehensive about. For fear, but rather it seems to me that with what our tradition does a culture stand for? Very simply, justice. That we can support a thing that gives trial and a case of legality, equality and justice and fair play, then we don't even deserve to raise the issue. So if we are going to slant the decision against one of the individuals involved in such a case, then we don't do our system justice. Its not the kind of a proposal that we ought to challenge in terms of legality, but its the kind of issue that we ought to applaud because it does extend justice to both sides, to both individuals, and I think it deserves an aye vote, and I hope we can get a few more green lights on this.

MAR 19 1975

44.

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, to explain his vote."

Peters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I listened very attentively to the discussion in regard to this bill and for the comments especially made by Representative Fleck indicating that the questioning of the defense attorney really was no more than if he delivered a left hook to Representative Lechowicz. I suggest it would be important as to exactly where that left hook would be delivered as to what kind of damage it could end up doing. I think we have a responsibility to protect people in society, to protect the victim. We've heard a lot about constitutional rights, but I recall that the Constitution also provides that we, as citizens in this society, have a right to expect peace and domestic tranquility. We have a right to live in our homes without interference. Our wives, our women, our children, have a right to walk the streets, the parks, without fear of being attacked and brutalized. These are also rights that we end up enjoying. I suggest to you this, that although I come from the City of Chicago, the problem that we are talking about is not limited to the City of Chicago. It's limited...it goes to every city, and is not limited. Let me suggest for your consideration, that there has been estimated some 16,000 rapes committed yearly in the City of Chicago, and the last figures that we had showed 1605 were reported. Of that 1605 reported, some 200 finally lead to arrests. Out of that that 200, 48 finally came down to court situations and out of that 48, 7 were convicted. Out of 16,000 rapes committed in the . . . in Chicago, to have only seven convictions means that there is something wrong with the Law. Something wrong with our procedure. It means that we have forgotten to give our society and our citizens the Justice and the Rights they are entitled to. I am convinced and I hope that you are convinced that this Bill is the kind of Bill that goes in the direction towards insuring that Peace and Tranquility that people are entitled to, the Justice that people are entitled to, the Freedom to walk the streets that people are

MAR 19 1975

45.

entitled to, the Freedom of our women, our wives, our children; not to move about in fear, not to have their personhood attacked, not to have them attacked, not to make them the victims in our Court System. I ask for your full support of this measure."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy, to explain . . .
er . . .

... I'm sorry, Mr. Fleck to explain his vote."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, many people have misunderstood my position on this Bill, including the Lady from Hillside. Of course, that doesn't surprise me, she had to ask my aides before she found out I was of tender years. I would like to point out that I'm voting present, and I am voting present because I am for this Bill but, but I would like to see Mr. Jaffe put in the right for an offer of proof for the defendant. We've heard arguments that this Bill will stop rapes on the streets and gives women the liberty to walk at night without being accosted by some mugger or rapist. It won't do that. It won't even come close to doing that. We've heard talk of prostitution, and I brought that up. We've heard talk about victimized women, true, but this Bill doesn't get to that. We should be talking about rights of the victim and balance those rights with the defendant. Defendants do have some rights and any attorney should realize that and not get tied up into the immotional aspects of this Bill. My point is this, I feel that this type of testimony should be excluded. However, the defendant should have an opportunity to go to the judge in private and say, your honor, there is good reason to go into the past prior misconduct of the victim, and let us try to prove in the chambers to the judge why they should make an exception to this rule of evidence. This Bill would not permit that. This is wrong. We've heard talks about equal protection under the law. Anyone knows that argument doesn't fly. Equal protection under the law should apply to the defendant. You're removing....."

Shea: "Will the gentleman bring his remarks to a close?"

Fleck: "Protection he should have. I support the concept of the Bill. I only hope for God, Aaron would at least provide for the offer of proof, and that is my position."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake."

Geo-Karis: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I simply would like to refer to the prior speaker and say, why don't you have the offer of proof by the defendant pass conduct, that's not permissible."

Shea: "Roll Call. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill, having received 122 'ayes', 15 'no' votes, and 21 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 368."

O'Brien: "House Bill 368. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld, is he on the floor? Take the Bill out of the Record. House Bill 392."

O'Brien: "House Bill 392. Schraeder. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to contests and exhibitions of various classes of pure bred or registered livestock. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, first of all, I'd like to point out that Amendment Number 1 was adopted and it is in substance, the Bill. The original Bill gave a permission to the Governor to suspend the mandatory slaughtering Bill. However, on going through the language and that, it was decided that the complete elimination of the rule and regulation was essential. So as it reads now, very short, which says, 'the Agency shall make no rule or regulation requiring the slaughter of any animal entered in a contest or exhibition under this Act'. And if I may, Mr. Speaker, and I would like the attention of the Members of the House on this, because I think when we do this, we recognize the use of our country, and so often we tend to criticize our group as being delinquent, and if there's no objection from the Chair, I would like to file the wishes of the committee that heard this Bill, and I brought here today the young man in question with his parents, and they are now in the front gallery above.... on our left, and I would like to

introduce the young man and his parents, Mr. and Mrs. Cates and Dave, up here on the left. It's so very seldom that we give the youth an opportunity to be recognized and I appreciate the house for that. I would like to say that Dave had a steer that he raised from the very beginning of its life. He did this in an unusual manner, having suffered from the muscular dystrophy disease, he went on to produce a steer that was not only a pet of his, but also a champion in many rights. He introduced this steer into the Schuyler County Fair and was awarded with the Grand Championship for Prize. In Eureka, he was awarded the Reserve Champion Prize. In Macon County, he was Champion in cross breed. In Knox County, he received championship honors. In Logan County, he was awarded the Grand Champion award. He went on to Kentucky. He went on to Indiana. He went on to Kansas and got honors amongst many, many entries, but low and behold, he wanted to go into the Illinois State Fair here, his own State Fair, and when he arrived there with his animal he was given the understanding that if his animal, his steer won the prize, the championship honors, that that animal would have to be slaughtered, and Ladies and Gentlemen, it seems to me, that after we have had the youth of this hardworking animal, can't win the prize in his own state because of the fear they're going to slaughter his animal, then something must be wrong. People have pointed out to me that they have to slaughter the animals to check the fat and the protein and meat content, and in regard to this, there's now been developed..... Speaker, may I have a little attention. I'll be very brief."

Speaker Shea: "Proceed, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "There has been developed a mechanism for measuring these without slaughtering, so there's no real need for it, and in recognition for the fleece that this young man has accomplished, I would like to see every vote up there green and I would now ask for a green vote."

MAR 19 1975

49.

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 392 pass? All those in favor, will vote 'aye'. All those opposed will vote 'no'." Will you vote me 'aye', Mr. Choate? Have all those voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Stubblefield 'aye'. Neff 'aye'. Skinner 'aye'. Geo-Karis 'aye'. Griesheimer 'aye'. Peters 'aye'. Hoffman, R. Hoffman 'aye'. McAuliffe 'aye'. Boyle 'aye'. Ben Polk 'aye'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby.... House Bill 392, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Consideration Postponed. Does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jones, want recognition? On Consideration Postponed, House Bill 98. This Bill, having been read a Third time, the Chair now recognizes Mr. Simms, the gentleman from Winnebago."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House to return House Bill 98 to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Is there objection? Hearing no objection, leave is granted, and this Bill will be returned to the Order of Section Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

O'Brien: "Amendment Number 3. Simms. Amends House Bill 98 on Page 1, Line 1 and 2 and also Line 8 and so forth."

Simms: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this amendment does basically is to delete the name of the Illinois Crime Commission and return it to the... its present name of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission. There was some serious debate and consideration and concern about using the name of the Crime Commission

because it does imply that if an individual is brought before the Commission, it does deal with the implication of impropriety. I think there is some good, valid criticisms to that and for this reason the Commission feels that they can best serve the People of the State by leaving the name in its present form, and I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further debate? The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment Number 3 to House Bill 98. All those in favor, say 'aye', all those opposed, say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment #3 is adopted. Are there further Amendments. Third Reading. We'll hold that Bill until tomorrow, Mr. Simms, and let everybody have an opportunity to see the Amendment. House Bill 117. Mrs. Satterthwaite. Do you wish to have that Bill called? House Bill 158. Mr. Schisler. Have you worked out the Amendments on that? House Bill 275. Mr. Jaffe. One's enough for the day? Resolutions. At this time, the Chair will recognize the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, for the purposes of an announcement. Mr. Madigan, did you have an introduction?"

Madigan: "Yes, I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. It slipped my mind. I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce the wife of Representative Kozubowski, sitting in the east gallery, Pat Kozubowski. Stand up there."

Speaker Shea: "Agreed Resolutions."

O'Brien: "House Resolution 138. Mautino. House Resolution 139. Griesheimer. House Resolution 140. Maragos. House Resolution 141. Davis. House Resolution 142. Meyer."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis, with regards to his Resolution."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I introduced Resolution to congratulate the Wendell Phillip Wild Cats. I'd like for the House to indulge me with a few remarks before the Resolution is adopted. Much has been

MAR 19 1975

51.

said about the Chicago schools. I think that when something good is done in our schools in Chicago, I think that they deserve praise. The Wendell Phillip High School, named after, of course, one of the great abolitionists of all times, has a distinguished lady principal. She's only been there about three months maybe, not quite four, but in the short period of time a new spirit prevails in that school, so much so that they went on, the Wendell Phillip Wild Cats went on to defeat Morgan Park in a 65 to 6 tie up and become city champs, and it is our hope that they will become state champs, because of what they've done in the City of Chicago. They've inspired the youth and this is what we need in the City of Chicago. As I said, there's many Members in this House who come from Wendell Phillip. The School is named after one of the great abolitionists of all times. Fred Douglas, who was born a slave and was judged as one of the great orators of our times, sent to England to espouse the cause of unions said that there was only one Wendell Phillip, that the cause of freedom had many friends, but Wendell Phillip was one of the outstanding of them all. And the reason Fred Douglas, the great former slave orator, said that was because when the civil war ended, emancipation/proclamation had been signed. It was a great Wendell Phillip who said that the end of the civil war was not the end of the fight for freedom, but the end of the civil war and the signing of the emancipation was the beginning of the fight for freedom. It was the beginning of the struggle for human liberty. It was the beginning of the struggle for human dignity and equality. And I'm sure all of you can understand why Fred Douglas said that, because it's a great accomplishment of our time, and I want to stress to the ladies in this House, who are fighting for equality to know that this great Lady who is the principal of Wendell Phillip

in the City of Chicago is one of the leading principals of all times. This is why I hope that Wendell Phillip can go on to win the State Championship. The Wild Cats of Wendell Phillip has a great coach, Herb Brown, who is very inspiring. The score of 65 to 6, over that rival, Morgan Park, has earned the right to represent the great city of Chicago and more than three million people in the final round of Class Double A Illinois State High School Basketball tournament in Champaign, Illinois, and certainly, especially those in this House, who come from Wendell Phillip, who's alma mater's is Wendell Phillip, and I don't know all of their names, but I think Gene Barnes is one of them, lot of them, certainly I think they would want to stand when I move the adoption of this Resolution and at least say amen or give a cheer, because of the great accomplishment. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Resolution, congratulating Wendell Phillip High School, named after one of the greatest liberals of all times. Wendell Phillip, a blessed memory and I want to move the adoption and ask the Membership of this House to join in as an encouragement to those young men, who have played so brilliantly and who will inspire them to play on to the end, that they might become champions of the State of Illinois. I move the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Shea: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Resolution will say 'aye'. All those opposed 'nay', and the Resolution is adopted. Now back to Mr. Giorgi for the rest of the Agreed Resolution."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I have . . . ah . . . Representative Mautino's Resolution on the Centennial. Its the village of Compton. I have Griesheimer's Resolution on the Bull Dogs that are in the final . . . ah . . . in Springfield. I have the Resolution for Maragos and Geo-Karis on the Greek Independence Day, March 25th; and I have the Resolution by Meyer's honoring George Karagas, president of the Hellenic Society for his efforts in Washington.

I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Shea: "I think Miss Geo-Karis would like to . . . ah . . . make some kind of an announcement with regards to one of the Resolutions."

Geo-Karis: "May I wait until after the Resolutions, please, this is something else."

Speaker Shea: "Oh, well, I . . . you keep waving your hand, Geo', and I get confused."

Geo-Karis: "What about . . ."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor will say "aye", all those oppose "no"; and the Resolutions are adopted. Now, further Agreed Resolutions?"

O'Brien: "House Resolution 143, Ebbesen et al."

Speaker Shea: "Would you read that . . . Read that one Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Whereas our good friend, a team colleague and respected associate, the gentleman from St. Charles, Representative John E. Grotberg; enters his second half century of life on March 21, 1975, and, whereas, the Honorable John has spent most of his life in service to others. Beginning with his graduation from George Washington, George Williams University at the beginning of the 25-year career with Young Men's Christian Association of Chicago, and, whereas, he is a member of many civic organizations in Chicago and in his own community in St. Charles; and he enjoys the affection and support of his wife, Gene, and his five children; and, whereas, John Grotberg, Dean of Legislator, who is always interested in proving the operations of the House, which he calls "home", would be among the first to encourage the transactions of this business in the House of Representatives without interruptions for foolish or frivolous digressions, which wastes the time of the Members and the money of the taxpayers; therefore, be it resolved that House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we extend our heartiest congratulations to the Honorable John E. Grotberg

upon the occasion of his 50th Birthday and be it further resolved that the House of Representatives in honor of the momentous event stands recorded as being in favor of the discontinuance of Congratulatory Resolutions like this one and of the sometimes on-key singing and other frivolous activities, which accompanies the adoption of this type of Resolution and which interrupt the proceedings of the House; and be it further resolved that the House stands against the waste and time of its Members the money, the taxpayers and the resources of the Legislative Reference Bureau, which must cease working on important Legislative Projects to draw up many Resolutions; and be it further resolved that the House is recorded as congratulating the vast majority of Representatives, who will have birthdays . . . ah . . . who have had birthdays during the two years of the 79th General Assembly, and they're paying this respect to those Members, who have not had or will not have birthdays during the two years; and be it further resolved that this should be the last Birthday Resolution for a Member of this House, which will be considered by the House during the 79th General Assembly; and be it further resolved that no time consuming and wasteful, suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Mr. Grotberg, who . . . who knows when his birthday is anyway."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Dekalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . . ah . . . In . . . ah . . . extending a warm . . . ah . . . birthday greetings to Representative Grotberg, I think that . . . that there's a lot of real meaning, true meaning to this Resolution; and I think if this is going to be the finale we should have one more time and for the last time singing and have . . . ah . . . Representative Geo-Karis . . . he's . . . I know . . . I know, but . . . ah . . . due respects to her good intentions one happy birthday to Representative Grotberg, then I would move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Shea: "I think Mr. Grotberg would like to make a remark."

Grotberg: "ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would like to rebuttal . . . ah . . . my roommate, Joe Ebbesen . . . ah . . . when I want Geo-Karis to sing to me, we can arrange it in private. It does not have to be a matter of public record; and if she has true respect and love for me as she has for every Member of this House and has expressed it on several occasions, she will defer from this golden opportunity and . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Mr. Ebbesen is the Key-Sponsor of this Resolution, which I know it has 176 Co-Sponsors, I would demand a Roll Call Vote that this would, in fact, be the last Birthday Resolution and that we put a black board up instead. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you all for the good day."

Speaker Shea: "Miss Geo-Karis on a point of personal privilege."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my point of personal privilege is, if John can make his arrangements fine; but it takes two to tango."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Ebbesen now moves for the adoption of House Resolution 143. All those in favor will vote "aye", and those opposed will vote "no". Have all those voted who wish? Shea "aye". Take the record Mr. Clerk. ah . . . Mr. Clerk, a few minutes ago, Mr. Simms had House Bill 98 taken from the order of Consideration Postponed back to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. After it was amended the Chair announced that it would go to Third Reading, the Chair made a mistake, it should have gone to Consideration Postponed and would you please correct the record. Announcements, the gentleman, Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to remind the Members of the Elections Committee that the Elections Committee will meet tomorrow morning in Room 122A at 8 a.m. to consider the Ryan-Miller Election Contest."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair forgot to announce that . . . ah . . ."

House Resolution 143 was adopted. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, did he have . . . all right . . . the . . . I'll get that to you, Mr. Gaines. The lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to the record to show that the Illinois Energy Resources Commission on which the following Members of the House serves, Clyde L. Choate, Ralph Dunn, Adeline Geo-Karis, Gale Schisler and Celeste M. Stiehl, have filed their report to the General Assembly, which is due under the Statute creating the Illinois Energy Resources Commission. We filed it and had it passed to the Members on their various desks. March 14, the report was due; March 15, 1975, I, respectfully, submit the "inclusion" of this report in the annals of the records of the House."

Speaker Shea: "You're to be commended. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fary, for the purpose of an announcement."

Fary: "ah . . . The first announcement is that the Committee on Labor and the Commerce will meet at 2:00 sharp on the floor of the House today; and the second announcement is that tonight is our big night at the St. Nicholas Hotel, our St. Joseph's Day dinner. We have a most tremendous International Polka Band and a great entertainer, Joe "Pat" Patteta. Bring your dancing shoes, come hungry, because we're gonna' serve a home style dinner: Italian spaghetti, Polish sausage, Italian sausage, chicken, beef, salad and all you want to eat family style. So, please, come hungry. Now, if you don't buy a ticket for yourself, buy one for your secretary. Be sure that she's there because I'm sure she'll enjoy it and report back to you and you'll wish to hell you were there. Thank you very much."

Speaker Shea: "And I wish to announce that . . . ah . . . Representative Capuzi has the alkaseltzer consession. The gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, for an announcement."

MAR 19 1975

57.

Cunningham: "Mr. Chairman, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope that it isn't too late to speak of a serious matter; but today's Chicago Tribune on page four, section one, there's a splendid article by Mr. Jack Mabley, whom I've never met, but he, certainly, will soon become a honorary citizen of the city of Lawrenceville, because he has written at some length with . . . ah . . . a pure poetry about the plight of the city of Lawrenceville, which it happens to be one of the most important cities in the 54th District, concerning Lawrence County Memorial Hospital. You may wonder, when you read the article, that I hadn't been on the floor to shout and scream about the injustice that is threatened to the fine people of that community; and the reason I haven't is that I have been appealing on bended knee to this Governor and to the Director of Public Health, for whom I have the greatest . . . just a moment, I'm not through, for whom I have . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Is this a point of personal privilege?"

Cunningham: "Yes, it is a point of personal privilege, you recognized the right, Speaker, for whom I have the greatest admiration as I do for the Speaker, but I want to, seriously, implore each of you to read Mr. Mabley's article and then I ask those among you, who have more clout with this administration, with the Governor and with the Director of Public Health, and I, in the name of all of the hopes and aspirations of the people of Lawrence County and of Crawford County, to beg those good gentlemen and good ladies to remove the cloud that, presently, hangs over a life-sustaining organization in those two districts, meaning our hospital at Crawford County and our hospital at Lawrence; and we'll always be grateful to you for your efforts and I want to be . . . publicly say to Mr. Mabley, 'God Bless You and thank you for your column'."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines; and I apologize for ni . . . not recognizing you sooner, sir."

Gaines: "All right, I just wanted to make a comment on the prac . . . the resolution just passed praising Wendall Phillip High School and the principal. The principal happens to be a resident of the 29th Legislative District, even though the school is in Corneal Davis' District; and she is the sister of the Republican Committeeman of the 21st Ward, John Divise Dixon. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, for an announcement."

Katz: "ah . . . There will be a meeting of the Rules Committee of the House this afternoon at 2 p.m. ah . . . The House floor is being used by the . . . the Labor Committee . . . ah . . . the Rules Committee will be meeting in Room 122 here in the Capital Building at 2 p.m. today. All Rules Committee members are urgently requested and urged to be present."

Speaker Shea: "That's 122B, is it not, Mr. Katz?"

Katz: "Yes, that's correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "That's at 2:00?"

Katz: "At 2:00 today."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, for an announcement."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Appropriations Committee, Division I, will meet at 2:00 today in Room 114 and Appropriation Division II will also meet at 2:00 in Room 118; I ask that the members be there promptly so we can conduct the business of the House, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, might I have the privilege of reminding the members of the Sub-Committee on Resolutions of the Executive Committee, that there is a meeting in G4 tomorrow morning at one hour before our session convenes."

Speaker Shea: "That will be at 9:30, then."

Tipsword: "Thank you very much."

MAR 19 1975

59.

Speaker Shea: "Death Resolutions. The gentleman from Winnebago,
. . ."

O'Brien: "House . . . House Resolution 133, in memory of Reverend
Richard C. Keller. House Resoltuion 135, in memory of Doctor
Aurther Mills Jackson, Jr. "

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. . . . er . . . the
gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House resolutuion 133 is by Emil Jones;
and House Reso . . . Death Resolution 135 is by Representative
Young; and I move for the adoption of the two Death Resolu-
tions."

Speaker Shea: "You've heard the gentleman's motion. All in
favor say "aye"; the "ayes" have it and the resolutions
are adopted."

Unknown: "Further Resolutions."

Speaker Shea: "Further Resolutions."

O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 25, Yourell et al."

Speaker Shea: "Committee on Assignments. The gentleman from
Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, now moves that that the House 2 . . .
I'm a little ahead of myself, Jake. Committee Reports."

O'Brien: "Mr. Leon from the Committee on Bank Savings and Loans
to which House Bill 591 was referred. Reports that came
back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr.
Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary
Education to which House Bill 328 was referred. Reports
that came back, with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation
the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass.
Mr. DiPrima from the Committee on Veterans Affairs, Regis-
tration and Regulation to which House Bill 311 was referred.
Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill
do not pass. Mr. DiPrima from the Committee on Veterans
Affairs, Registration and Regulation to which House Bill 137
was referred. Reports that came back . . . ah . . . or the
Bill was . . . ah . . . reassigned to Interim Study Commi . . .
Calendar."

MAR 19 1975

60.

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, now moves that the House do stand adjourned until 10:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor say "aye", all those oppose say "no". The House is now adjourned until . . . until . . . until 10:30 a.m. tomorrow.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRTIETH LEGISLATIVE DAY

MARCH 19, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 19, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 19, 1975

TIME	SPEAKER	DESCRIPTION
10:04	Speaker Redmond	House Come to Order
10:04	Doorkeeper	
10:05	Speaker Redmond	
10:05	Reverend Krueger	Prayer
10:06	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call and Committee Reports
10:06	Jack O'Brien	Committee Reports
10:07	Speaker Redmond	Message from Senate
10:07	Jack O'Brien	Message from Senate
10:09	Speaker Redmond	Introduction First Reading
10:09	Jack O'Brien	Introduction First Reading
10:12	Speaker Redmond	Committee Report
10:12	Jack O'Brien	Committee Report
10:12	Speaker Redmond	Senate Calendar
10:12	Jack O'Brien	Second Reading
10:15	Speaker (Shea in Chair)	
10:15	Jack O'Brien	House Resolutions
10:16	Giorgi	House Moves for adoption
10:16	Shea	
10:16	Jack O'Brien	House Bills Second Reading, 2 Committee Amendments, House Bill #5
10:18	Shea	
10:18	Kosinski	Explain First Amendment
10:18	Shea	House Bill #5, Amendment #1 adopt



10:18	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 5, Amendment #2
10:18	Shea	
10:18	Kosinski	House Bill 5, Move for Adoption
10:19	Shea	Amendment Adopted
10:19	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 18, Second Reading
10:19	Shea	House Bill 18
10:19	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 18, Amendment #1, Deuster
10:19	Shea	House Bill 18
10:20	Deuster	House Bill 18, Explain Amendment
10:21	Shea	Refrain from taking pictures
10:21	Deuster	House Bill 18, Continues
10:22	Shea	House Bill 18, Amendment #1, Adopted
10:23	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 18, Amendment #2
10:23	Shea	House Bill 18, Amendment #2
10:23	Deuster	House Bill 18, Amendment #2
10:23	Shea	House Bill 18
10:23	Schlickman	House Bill 18, Question
10:25	Shea	Suggestion
10:25	Shea	House Bill 18, Take out of Record
10:26	Shea	House Bill 59
10:26	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 59, Schraeder, one Amendment
10:26	Shea	
10:26	Schraeder	House Bill 59, Amendment #1
10:27	Shea	Committee Amendment Adopted
10:27	Shea	House Bill 87



10:27	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 87, Second Reading, one Amendment
10:28	Shea	House Bill 87
10:28	Davis, C.	House Bill 87
10:28	Shea	House Bill 87
10:28	Davis, C.	House Bill 87, Explain Amendment
10:28	Shea	House Bill 87, Committee Amendment #1 adopted
10:29	Shea	House Bill 58
10:29	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 58, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:29	Shea	House Bill 58, Third Reading
10:30	Shea	House Bill 118
10:30	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 118, Hart
10:30	Hart	House Bill 118, Committee Amendment #1
10:30	Shea	House Bill 118
10:30	Hart	House Bill 118
10:30	Shea	House Bill 118
10:30	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 118, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
10:30	Shea	House Bill 118
10:30	Hart	House Bill 118
10:30	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 118, Yes
10:30	Hart	House Bill 118, Committee Amendment #1
10:31	Shea	House Bill 118, Committee Amendment #1, Adopted
10:32	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 122, Schraeder, Second Reading, One Committee Amendment
10:32	Shea	House Bill 122



10:32	Schraeder	House Bill 122, Move for Adoption
10:32	Shea	House Bill 122, Adopted
10:32	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 128, Greiman, Second Reading
10:33	Greiman	House Bill 128, Take out of Record
10:33	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 132, Partee, Second Reading, No Amendment
10:33	Shea	House Bill 138
10:34	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 138, Borchers, one Committee Amendment
10:34	Shea	House Bill 138
10:34	Borchers	House Bill 138
10:34	Shea	House Bill 138, Amendment Adopted and Third Reading
10:34	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 139, Borchers, No Amendment
10:34	Shea	House Bill 139, Moved to Third Reading
10:35	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 163, Grotberg, Second Reading, 3 Committee Amendments
10:35	Shea	House Bill 163, Committee Amendment #1
10:35	Grotberg	House Bill 163, Explain Amendment #1
10:35	Shea	House Bill 163, Amendment #1 adopted
10:36	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 163, Amendment #2
10:36	Shea	House Bill 163, Amendment #2
10:36	Grotberg	House Bill 163, Amendment #2
10:36	Shea	House Bill 163, Amendment #2, Adopted
10:36	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 163, Amendment #3
10:36	Shea	House Bill 163



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10:36	Grotberg	House Bill 163, Table Amendment #3, offers #4
10:36	Shea	House Bill 163, Tabled
10:36	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 163, Amendment #4
10:36	Shea	House Bill 163
10:37	Grotberg	House Bill 163, Explain Amendment #4
10:38	Shea	House Bill 163, Amendment #4 Adopted
10:38	Shea	House Bill 189
10:38	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 189, Leinenweber, Second Reading, No Amendment
10:38	Shea	House Bill 189, Third Reading
10:38	Shea	House Bill 184
10:39	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 184, Schlickman, Second Reading, one Committee Amendment
10:39	Shea	House Bill 184
10:39	Schlickman	House Bill 184, Explain Amendment and Moved to Table
10:39	Shea	House Bill 184, Tabled
10:41	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 184, Floor Amendment
10:41	Shea	House Bill 184
10:41	Schlickman	House Bill 184, Floor Amendment #2
10:41	Shea	House Bill 184, Floor Amendment #2 Adopted
10:42	Shea	House Bill 195, T.V. light is on
10:42	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 195, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:42	Shea	House Bill 197



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10:43	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 197, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment, Amendment #1 - Deuster
10:43	Shea	House Bill 197
10:43	Deuster	House Bill 197, Explain Floor Amendment
10:44	Shea	House Bill 197, Floor Amendment #1 adopt and Third Reading
10:44	Shea	House Bill 199
10:44	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 199, Maragos, No Amendment
10:44	Shea	House Bill 199
10:44	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 206, Polk, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:45	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 210, Kelly, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:45	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 222, Second Reading, one Floor Amendment
10:46	Londrigan	House Bill 222, Explain Floor Amendment #1
10:46	Shea	House Bill 222, Floor Amendment #1 Adopted
10:46	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 228, Geo-Karis, Second Reading, one Committee Amendment #2
10:47	Shea	House Bill 228
10:47	Geo-Karis	House Bill 228, Discussion
10:47	Shea	House Bill 228, Discussion
10:47	Geo-Karis	House Bill 228, Explain Amendment #2
10:48	Shea	House Bill 228, Amendment #2 Adopted
10:48	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 249, Rayson, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

10:48	Shea	House Bill 249, Any Floor Amendment, Third Reading
10:49	Shea	House Bill 210, Brought back, Second Reading
10:49	Shea	House Bill 261
10:50	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 261, Second Reading No Committee Amendment
10:50	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 364, Londrigan, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:50	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 464, Cunningham, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:51	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 468, Tuerk, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:51	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 474, McGrew, Second Reading, one Committee Amendment
10:51	Shea	House Bill 474, Out of Record
10:51	Shea	House Bill 497
10:52	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 497, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment
10:53	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 515, Chapman, Second Reading, one Committee Amendment
10:53	Shea	House Bill 515
10:53	Chapman	House Bill 515, Move for adoption of Amendment #1
10:53	Shea	House Bill 515
10:54	Walsh	House Bill 515, Has no Copy
10:54	Shea	House Bill 515
10:54	Walsh	House Bill 515, Discussion
10:55	Shea	House Bill 515, Discussion, Take out of Record
10:55	Walsh	House Bill 515, Discussion
10:55	Shea	House Bill 523



10:55	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 523, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
10:55	Shea	House Bill 523
10:56	Lechowicz	House Bill 523, Explain Amendment
10:57	Shea	House Bill 523
10:57	Schlickman	House Bill 523, Has no copy
10:58	Shea	House Bill 523, Amendment 515 & 523 were distributed
10:58	Schlickman	House Bill 523, Withdraw Request
10:58	Shea	House Bill 523
10:59	Shea	House Bill 523, Committee Amendment #1, Adopted
10:59	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 571, Second Reading No Amendment
10:59	Shea	House Bill 571
10:59	Schlickman	House Bill 571, No Copy of Bill
10:59	Shea	House Bill 571, Probably with Printers - Take out of Record
11:00	Shea	House Bill 515
11:00	Walsh	House Bill 515
11:00	Shea	House Bill 515
11:01	Walsh	You're a Prince
11:01	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 291, Houlihan, J.M. Second Amendment
11:01	Shea	House Bill 291, Third Reading
11:01	Shea	House Bill 291
11:02	Deuster	House Bill 291, Amendment not Ready
11:02	Shea	House Bill 291
11:02	Deuster	House Bill 291, Hold also



11:02	Shea	House Bill 91
11:02	Deuster	House Bill 91, Ready
11:02	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 91, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
11:02	Shea	House Bill 91
11:03	Deuster	House Bill 91, Explain Amendment #1
11:03	Shea	House Bill 91, Adopt #1
11:03	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 91, Floor Amendment #2
11:03	Shea	House Bill 91
11:03	Deuster	House Bill 91, Explain Amendment #2
11:04	Shea	House Bill 91, Adopted - Any further Amendment?
11:05	Hart	House Bill 118, Floor Amendment #2
11:06	Shea	House Bill 118
11:06	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 118, Amendment #2
11:06	Shea	House Bill 118, Amendment #2 Adopted
11:07	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 474, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
11:07	Shea	House Bill 474, Second Reading one Committee Amendment
11:07	McGrew	House Bill 474, Amendment #1
11:08	Shea	House Bill 474
11:09	Schlickman	House Bill 474, No copy of Amendment
11:09	Schlickman	House Bill 474, Just given one
11:09	Shea	House Bill 474, Any Comments
11:09	Schlickman	House Bill 474, I Withdraw



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

11:09	Shea	House Bill 474
11:09	Collins	House Bill 474, Amendment #1
11:11	Shea	House Bill 474, Amendment #1
11:12	Geo-Karis	House Bill 474, Question, Discussion
11:12	Shea	House Bill 474, Discussion
11:12	McGrew	House Bill 474, Discussion
11:13	Geo-Karis	House Bill 474, Disagree, Amendment Discriminatory
11:14	Shea	House Bill 474
11:14	McGrew	House Bill 474, Move for Adoption
11:14	Shea	House Bill 474, Not Adopted
11:15	Shea	Introduction
11:16	Mahar	House Bill 409, To Table
11:17	Shea	House Bill 409, Tabled
11:17	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 200, Third Reading
11:17	Shea	House Bill 200, Third Reading
11:17	Dunn (Perry)	House Bill 200, Explain and move it Pass
11:17	Shea	House Bill 200
11:18	Leinenweber	House Bill 200, Question, Discussion
11:18	Dunn	House Bill 200, Discussion
11:18	Shea	House Bill 200
11:19	Schlickman	House Bill 200, Vote Yes
11:19	Shea	House Bill 200, Bill Passed
11:20	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 264, VanDuyne, Third Reading
11:20	Shea	House Bill 264



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

11:20	VanDuyne	House Bill 264, Sponsor
11:20	Shea	House Bill 264
11:20	VanDuyne	House Bill 264
11:21	Shea	House Bill 264
11:21	Borchers	House Bill 264, Discussion
11:21	Shea	House Bill 264, Discussion
11:21	Borchers	House Bill 264, Discussion
11:21	VanDuyne	House Bill 264
11:22	Shea	House Bill 264
11:22	McClain	House Bill 264, Discussion
11:22	VanDuyne	House Bill 264, Discussion
11:22	Shea	House Bill 264, Bill Passed
11:24	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 274, Jaffe, Third Reading
11:24	Shea	House Bill 274
11:24	Jaffe	House Bill 274
11:25	Shea	House Bill 274, Proceed
11:25	Jaffe	House Bill 274, Explain Bill
11:30	Shea	House Bill 274
11:30	Fleck	House Bill 274, Opposition
11:32	Shea	House Bill 274, Order
11:32	Fleck	House Bill 274
11:35	Shea	House Bill 274, Order
11:35	Beatty	House Bill 274, Order
11:36	Shea	House Bill 274, Order
11:36	Geo-Karis	House Bill 274, Order
11:38	Shea	House Bill 274, Order



11:38	Houlihan (Cook)	House Bill 274, Order Oppose
11:41	Shea	House Bill 274
11:41	Sangmeister	House Bill 274
11:42	Shea	House Bill 274
11:42	Willer	House Bill 274, Order Oppose
11:44	Shea	House Bill 274, Order Oppose
11:44	Kent	House Bill 274, Order Oppose
11:45	Shea	House Bill 274, Order Oppose
11:45	Jaffe	House Bill 274 Order Oppose
11:46	Shea	House Bill 274, Order Oppose
11:46	Kent	House Bill 274, Order Oppose Discussion
11:46	Shea	House Bill 274, Discussion
11:46	Jaffe	House Bill 274, Discussion
11:47	Shea	House Bill 274, Order
11:47	Tipsword	House Bill 274, Order (Support)
11:49	Shea	House Bill 274, Order (Support)
11:49	Leinenweber	House Bill 274, Order (Oppose)
11:51	Shea	House Bill 274, Order (Oppose)
11:51	Mann	House Bill 274, Order (Support)
11:54	Shea	House Bill 274, Order (Support)
11:54	Ewell	House Bill 274, Order (Oppose)
11:57	Shea	House Bill 274, Order (Oppose)
11:57	Holewinski	House Bill 274, Order (Support)
11:58	Shea	House Bill 274, Order (Support)
11:58	Kosinski	House Bill 274, Move previous Question



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

11:58	Jaffe	House Bill 274, To Close
12:00	Shea	House Bill 274
12:01	Dyer	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:04	Shea	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:04	Schneider	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:05	Shea	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:05	Peters	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:08	Shea	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:08	Fleck	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:11	Shea	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:11	Fleck	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:11	Shea	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:11	Geo-Karis	House Bill 274, Explain Vote
12:11	Shea	House Bill 274, Bill Passed
12:12	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 392, Third Reading
12:13	Shea	House Bill 392, Third Reading
12:13	Schraeder	House Bill 392, Sponsor
12:16	Shea	House Bill 392, Proceed
12:16	Schraeder	House Bill 392
12:17	Shea	House Bill 392, Bill Passed
12:18	Shea	House Bill 98, Consideration Postponed
12:19	Simms	House Bill 98, Return House Bill 98 - Order of Second Reading
12:19	Shea	House Bill 98, Return House Bill 98 - Order of Second Reading
12:19	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 98, Amendment #3
12:19	Simms	House Bill 98, Amendment #3



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

12:20	Shea	House Bill 98, Amendment #3 Adopted
12:20	Shea	House Bill 98, Amendment #3 Hold till tomorrow
12:21	Shea	Resolutions
12:21	Madigan	
12:21	Shea	
12:21	Madigan	Wife of Representative
12:22	Shea	House Resolutions
12:22	Jack O'Brien	House Resolutions
12:22	Shea	House Resolutions
12:22	Davis	Resolution
12:28	Shea	Resolution Adopted
12:28	Eiorgi	Resolutions
12:28	Shea	Adopted
12:29	Jack O'Brien	House Resolution 143, Birthday Resolution
12:32	Shea	House Resolution 143, Birthday Resolution
12:32	Ebbesen	Resolution - Grotberg
12:33	Shea	Resolution
12:33	Grotberg	Resolution
12:34	Shea	Resolution
12:34	Geo-Karis	Point Personal Privilege
12:34	Shea	Resolution
12:35	Shea	House Bill 98, Consideration Postponed and Announcements
12:35	Fennessey	House Bill 98
12:35	Shea	House Bill 143, Adopted



12:36	Geo-Karis	Record show Illinois Resources, etc.
12:36	Shea	
12:37	Fary	Announcement
12:38	Shea	
12:38	Cunningham	Chicago Tribune, Jack Mabley
12:39	Shea	
12:39	Cunningham	
12:40	Shea	
12:40	Gaines	Resolution (Wendall Phil.)
12:40	Shea	
12:40	Katz	Rules Committee Meeting - 122B
12:41	Shea	
12:41	Lechowicz	Meetings
12:41	Shea	
12:41	Tipsword	
12:42	Shea	Death Resolution
12:42	Jack O'Brien	House Resolutions
12:42	Shea	House Resolutions
12:42	Giorgi	
12:42	Shea	Resolution Adopted
12:42	Jack O'Brien	House Resolution 25, Yourell
12:42	Shea	Committee Reports
12:43	Jack O'Brien	Committee Reports
12:43	Shea	Adjourn



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES