

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order, the invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. God of all the nations and Lord of our personal lives that we enter the countdown of Session of the 78th General Assembly, we pray not for everything we might want, we rather pray for everything we need to complete the tasks that remain. Your blessing upon us as the people and the government have been truly numerous and we who serve in the Legislative Halls of this State have particular cause for thanksgiving and now as we thank You according to Your will, we also ask according to Your will give us the strength and the wisdom to achieve what should be done and understanding in humility to do it and fill us with the satisfaction which comes from knowing that we have served responsibly and we will continue to serve in like spirit in whatever duty now awaits us. We ask You to preserve this day, all who serve in this Assembly, particularly be pleased to extend the hand of physical strength over Peggy Smith Martin and all others who need Your restorative healing and now be with us according to Your promise, we bold to ask because You are compassionate and merciful to answer. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance."

Speaker Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed a Bill of the following title, in passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House of Representative to-wit; Senate Bill 1682, passed by the Senate, November 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, would you let the records show that

Representative Juckett, is absent today because of illness."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. All right, are all present who want to be? All right.... we're going to leave it open for just a few more minutes here. Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I'm just told that Representative Tuerk, is ill and ask that he be excused because of it."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. Tuerk.... Tuerk and Juckett. While we've got that open let's slip over here to Senate Bills, First Reading and read Senate Bill 1682, and then Mr. Day... on 1682, are you going ask leave to advance that to Second? That's the revisory Bill. Will you read it for a First time."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1682, Day. An Act making certin nonsubstantive revisions of the law to resolve differences among the several forms of certain Sections amended by more than one Act of the 78th General Assembly and differences between the statutory law and the Constitution. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, I would so move that Senate Bill 1682, which is the revisory Bill, be advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman has asked leave to advance this Senate Bill 1682, to the order of Second Reading, Second Day without reference to Committee and his explanation that it is a revisory Bill. Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like to ask or..... the Peggy Smith Martin, Harold Stedelin, and Bob Mann be excused on account of illness."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Journal will so indicate, is there leave to advance that Bill? Hearing no objections and it will appear on the order of Second Reading tomorrow."



All right, take the Roll.... I would suggest that the Membership look at the Calendar and notice these various vetoes that have come over from the Senate, just a very few of them have motions put by the House Sponsors of these Senate Bills. Now, it would be the intention of the Chair to adjourn shortly and that we would leave the Clerk's office open until five o'clock this afternoon for the purpose of filing motions with respect to these various veto measures that are on the Calendar. So, if you desire to have any of these Senate Bill vetoes considered, I suggest that you get the applical form from the Clerk and..... file them with the Clerk up until five o'clock this afternoon. All right, it is the indications now that when we adjourn that we will adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning, we anticipate that we would put in a very full working day tomorrow and hopefully we will not have to be here on Friday, for Friday is the 15th day... on these various messages on the vetoes that have come over from the Senate and if need be, we will be here Friday but it would appear that it's certainly.... the House ought to be able to consider all these matters that there will be motions filed on and that we will be able to adjourn tomorrow. It is also the intention that when we adjourn tomorrow, if that is the last day this week, that we will adjourn until January 7th, at the hour of ten o'clock in order that the Assembly may consider any action of the Governor with respect to any actions of the Assembly, during the past Legislative Day. Now, are there Agreed Resolutions? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa. For what purpose do you rise?"

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to know where Senate Bill 1546 is at this time."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the Clerk advises that it's been read the First time and is in the Committee on Assign-



ment of Bills."

Garmisa: "Well, Mr. Speaker, then with leave of the House I'd like to ask that this Bill be advanced to Second Reading and read a second time. It has been passed. The Governor's made some technical corrections to the Bill and I would ask leave of the House to advance this to Second Reading and read a second time."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Would the Gentleman state his reason for wanting to take this Bill from the Rules Committee once more. I was otherwise occupied."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Yes, the appropriation for this study was passed in June of this year by the General Assembly. However, the Governor vetoed the appropriation because of a technical defect in the language of the Bill. In his veto message, the Governor urged the passage of this Bill as it did correct the technical error in the vetoed - Legislation."

Walsh: "Well, the Gentleman's certainly aware that this Bill, if he is successful in his motion, and I really don't know where it is on the Calendar, but even if it's on Third Reading on the Calendar, we certainly would not have enough time in the remaining days of this Legislative Session, unless we veered drastically from what I consider the plans to be to pass this. Furthermore, it's a question that there are many of us that have had strong feelings on... that is the extentions of the existing toll road and the pledging of bonds for new toll roads ultimately, certainly. I... in my experiences have never seen a feasibility study for toll roads that didn't come out that they ought to have more toll roads. And so I strenuously oppose the Gentleman's motion just on the chance that the Bill may pass and become law and.... we may then again pledge more of our



existing revenues to too roads that are not economically feasible."

Speaker Blair: Mr. Katz.... yours hasn't been working lately..."

Clerk Selcke: "Behind you, Mr. Katz. Take the mike behind you."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I join the Majority Leader in opposing this motion and do want to point out that this same Bill was sponsored by Representative Deuster. It was considered on the floor of this House and did not get enough votes to pass. Now apparently that... through the vagrance of the Legislative process they have gone through the Legislative process, they have gone through the back door and put an appropriation on for something that this General Assembly specifically refused to adopt. I remember it very well. And I'm sure that Representative Deuster would remember very well since he was the Sponsor of the Bill and this House turned down this attempt to expand the toll road system to mortgage the present system which is what it will amount to. They will never free the tri-state in the next thousand years because every time there's a feasibility study they always end up being feasible and they issue new bonds and they mortgage the present system and we debated the merits and we rejected it. And so, I too would oppose the Gentleman's motion even though I have high regard for the Gentleman.:

Speaker Blair: Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I'm sorry, but with the milling about, am I to understand that the motion of Representative Garmisa is to have this Bill read a sec... read and advanced to the order of Second Reading."

Speaker Blair: "His motion was to take it from the Committee on assignment and place it on the order of Second Reading and have it read a second time. No. We're



not there yet."

Shea: "Well, that's what I'm trying to find out. What is... what point of the proceedings are we presently at?"

Speaker Blair: Discussion on the Gentleman's motion. He's asked for leave to suspend the rules for purposes of advancement to Second."

Shea: "All right. Now. Has the Gentleman had an opportunity to explain what the Bill is?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah. Yes, he has."

Shea: "I, because I'm sorry. Could he explain it again because I must have gotten lost in the transition."

Speaker Blair: Sure. Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1546 is an appropriation for a study that was passed in June of this year by the General Assembly. The Governor vetoed the appropriation because of a technical defect in the language of the Bill. In his veto message, the Governor urged the passage of Senate Bill 1546 as he corrected the technical error in the veto Legislation. Now this appropriation merely authorizes the study of the proposed Fox Valley Regional Road to determine if it's uses would support it's construction and operational costs as a toll road. The feasibility study is required to support the sale of the bonds for the construction if the feasibility turns out to be in the engineer's estimation needed and would prove cost wise as to the construction of this toll road. I do ask that the House suspend the appropriate rules and move this to Second Reading and be read a second time."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I mean no reflections against the House Sponsor of this Bill but I think... well, I believe his motives are in the best interest of his particular part of the



Fox River Valley. But I think this House should know that this Bill did not emanate in the Senate from anyone who has anything to do with the Fox River Valley unless Senator Chew happens to own some land there. Senator Chew is the Senate Sponsor of this Bill. And quite frankly, this year I resent as much that Senator Chew was the Senate Sponsor and not my State Senator or Senator Mitchler or Senator Graham as I do Senator Graham, having resented that Representative Deuster sponsored the last Bill. Now, I think that the Members of the Fox River Valley should have a right to determine whether or not they are going to have a toll way study and not the interest of Chicago. Now the back room conversation about this Bill is that it is the way it is somehow tied up in the crosstown deal. Somehow the trucks are supposed to be removed from the tri-state tollway and shunted westward into the relatively pure air of the Fox River Valley. Now if it is a freeway they will automatically go there... if the freeway goes all the way up to Wisconsin. If it is a tollway, then the Tollway Commission, by manipulating the... the fares on the two tollways, can determine where the trucks go. Now, quite frankly, I don't want the Fox River Valley to be the truck pass... the bypass of Chicago. And if this Bill is passed, and if this Bill is taken out of Committee without having the... without having environmental spokesmen of the Fox Valley be given the right to speak against this Bill, I think this... Body will have perpetrated a... well, a great liability upon the Fox River Valley. And I would hope those of you who believe in self determination and believe the Representatives and Senators should have an opportunity to develop the Bill and it shouldn't come straight out of the ghetto in Chicago: "I vote against ripping this out of the Rules Committee."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'd like to point something out to you. That with the extension of the East-West Tollway, there were many feasibility reports made on that section of the tollway. When the powers that be finally decided this is really what we wanted, then the engineers came up with a feasibility report that would satisfy them and today we have the extension of the East-West Tollway. I don't want the North-South Tollway to run through Kane County. Right now we have Route 59, that goes North and South. We have Kirk road, a county road that goes from Aurora to Route 64 in St. Charles and the idea is to extend that from county line to county line. We have Highway 25, Highway 31, and we... out west Randall road which is a county road that's being extended from county line of Kane County to county line. Now, I'd like to point out to you that if something like this does go in, you're going to destroy many thousands of trees. You're going to destroy much farm property. You're going to destroy a lot of things that are good for that area up there to construct a tollway that will run parallel with Kirk road and will in some incidents be as close to Kirk road as 40 or 50 feet. We do not need this. I think it's about time that we think of the taxpayers a little and improve Route 59. And it would cost the taxpayers a lot less money. If they want to go in and improve Kirk road with State funds, that's perfectly all right too. If they want to go in and improve Randall road with State funds, that's all right, too. Because much of that is already purchased through the county. I don't want this road to go through because of the idea of destroying all of the good that exists up there. No one's going to benefit from it. And certainly we have the areas up there where the roadways... existing roadways could be



improved. I come from Kane County. I've got part of DuPage County and I've got part of Will County. And the only people that I have run into that want this, is an outfit by the name of Ervin Investment and the Burlington Railroad. And they just recently come.. the Urban Investment just recently come to the area. I think it's about time that we listen to the people that pay the property taxes in these areas and that have been paying them for many, many years. They're important and certainly, we should vote in opposition to this motion."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My name was mentioned in connection with this subject. And I want to say that all we're dealing with here is a procedural motion by Representative Garmisa to place a Bill that has come over from the Senate on Second Reading. My distinguished colleague from McHenry County, Representative Skinner, I think mentioned that an Amendment was placed on the Bill or either not placed on the Bill which had to do with excluding McHenry County from the study. Now, we have Amendments come up and down all the time. I don't really know what the Senate did, but I do know Senator Schaeffer offered an Amendment and I thought it was adopted. It might have been defeated to take McHenry County out but that's neither here nor there. The subject is that we have a Senate Bill that's come over and since we're in the last few days, if we're going to deal with the subject and vote it up or down... whatever the will of this House, we ought to put it on Second Reading. Second Reading is, of course, the Amendment stage and if Representative Skinner or Hill or anybody else have Amendments, well that's wonderful. This House can do it. But I think Representative Garmisa is just trying to expedite the work of this House and to move this Bill onto Second



Reading. It does from a substantive point of view call for a study. The money for which has already been appropriated, and the study might lead to nothing and it might lead to something. But I believe, if we're in favor of education and leaning, we ought to conduct a study. It is my information in response to a comment by Representative Hill, I believe, is my understanding that every Mayor along this route is in favor of developing the road. And they have submitted petitions to Governor Walker, to everyone else and his uncle, they're supporting it. They've formed a committee to work for it. I've never seen a group of people more enthusiastic about the need for a public improvement than the Mayors and the community leaders along this route. And, certainly, in view of that, we ought to at least respond by putting the Bill on Second Reading so it can be amended one way or another and then eventually voted up or down. And I urge support for Representative Garmisa's motion."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In all deference to the previous speaker, I would suggest that if money which we are down here to consider this time means anything, then blowing two hundred thousand dollars for a useless study such as this and loading the people of my area with another toll road is just not comprehensible at all. Out there, we were promised originally that possibly this thing would be a freeway. Secondly, they came up with the grandiose idea that number one, they didn't have enough money. But now they've got money enough for two hundred thousand dollars to be blown to the wind on this study. We need not either the study nor the toll road. Either one. And I suggest in all deference to the previous speaker that he better take a look into the future himself and find out where that's going to end the next time as this one ends at the



tollway at Elgin. So, I say to you that this is a good time to short stop it. This is a good time to save two hundred thousand dollars and let it sit right where it is. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa, to close."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now this road we're talking about would go from Lockport northwest along the Fox Valley to Elgin where it would connect with the Northwest Toll Road. The accelerated growth in this area as when adding to this severe transportation problem and makes it imperative that the road be built before this corridor would be developed. And Ladies and Gentlemen, to indicate the support for this feasibility study, there's 17 City Councils and 4 County Boards that have gone on record favoring my Resolution, the construction of the Fox Valley Toll Road. And I'll name these towns. You've got Aurora, Geneva, McHenry, Naperville, Warrenville, Wheaton, South Elgin, Carroll Stream, North Aurora, Barrington, Lockport, Elgin, St. Charles, West Chicago, Valeuve, Crystal Lake and Batavia. And the County Boards that have indicated approval by Resolution for this study are the county boards in Kane County, the County Board of McHenry County, the County Board of DuPage County and the County Board of Lake County. And Ladies and Gentlemen, I would ask for the support of every Member of the House on my Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right. There was objection heard to the Gentleman's motion so we're going to vote. Yeah. All right. This Bill's been taken by the Speaker and placed on the Speaker's table. So the motion is to suspend the rules so that the Bill may be placed on the order of Second Reading, the Second Day. That requires 107 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye'



and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Previously, I thought that the Representative of Aurora was the House Sponsor of this Bill. I am now aghast to find that the House Sponsor is the same man that brought us RTA. Now, I am a little bit afraid of my Chicago friends who think they know what is best for me and my constituents. I would severely suggest that he take a look at the present and future and make-up of for instance, my City Council that voted not only to endorse this toll way but also RTA. And then compare the Representative nature of that vote with the final referendum that won 93% to 7%. Now, if he thinks that a Resolution from my County Board or from my City... means more and is more representative of my people than the State Representative standing in this Chamber explain why he is opposing this Bill, I would suggest that he suggest that a member of that County Board who is in favor of this tollway or a member of that City Council who is in favor of this tollway, stand for election in the Republican primary next ime against me."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the Lake County Board may have passed a Resolution for this, but let me tell you the people are tired of the toll road system and the toll road Commission which has refused to lower tolls without any excuse whatsoever. There's no reason why they couldn't have lowered the toll to twenty-five cents last year. They refused to do it. They're not representative of the people. There's no way that they should be collecting thirty cents in these toll booths today. They should have lowered it to a quarter. They've been unresponsive. People don't want more toll roads. They want the supplemental freeways that the Speaker and



others in this House have pushed, and there's no reason why this road can't be built as part of the supplemental freeway system and therefore, I vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This proposed road goes through much of my district, and I can think of no single issue out there that the people are united on as they are for the need for north-south transportation, and I believe anyone who rises up in support of this is only for roads and transportation and anyone who rises against it is against building a road. Now we know that there are many vested interests to the north and to the south and in this area that would not like to see transportation, but if you're thinking of the people and thinking of the youth, and helping us to stay out of the problem that the Crosstown has caused and other transportation snarls, then everybody would join with us our friends from Chicago, from the south, from the north, from the east and putting a green light on that board and help us solve our transportation problems in the Fox Valley. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

In a way, the last speaker really put his finger on what this is all about. The Gentleman from Chicago and Ladies there know that you've had a great fight over the Crosstown Expressway and what really happened there is that you understood that you needed a road after the area had all been developed, and so the reason that's so hard on people and hard on residential property owners and such a big political fight, is because they waited too long to build a road. Now so with the Fox Valley Expressway. The Mayors, the community leaders, the county boards out there have all urged that let's develop this road one way or another before it gets built



up. They are trying to hold off development, and if this road can be developed and built, it will prevent ten years hence a big fight over the Crosstown Expressway. And unlike my colleague, Representative Skinner, I don't evaluate a Bill on the basis of who the sponsor is. It is true that Representative Garmisa did sponsor some RTA Bills, but we're not talking about RTA. We're talking about a highway need in eastern DuPage County and the Bill that Representative Skinner had amended in the House, if he'll remember, just had the highway running from the northwest toll road down to I-TT, about.... a far out bypass. It's a much needed transportation improvement, and all the sponsor wants to do is put it on Second Reading, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Inasmuch as this is not working, will you vote me 'no' please?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Waddell 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, seeing as I only need 61 more votes, I don't think that should be too hard to get when the Members of this General Assembly really realize the importance of this Bill. Actually, I can't understand my learned colleague bringing up the fact that I was in favor of the RTA and so I have no business sponsoring this type of Legislation. I can't understand his mixing of apples and oranges, and... on a Bill of this importance. The.... I would ask all of you that have not voted to come on and get on that green light. It's a much needed Bill for the people in this area. They've been writing to me continuously asking for support of this study, and I would urge that we get 60 more green lights up there. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right; have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there



are 50 'ayes', 36 'nays', 37 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion fails. All right. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1229, Douglas, et al. House Resolution 1230, Beatty. Is there anymore? Okay..."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 1229, congratulates Just Jobs Incorporated, for the past successes in hoping that they'll continue. House Resolution 1230, is not an Agreed Resolution. House Resolution 1231, it say that it's the sense of this Body that the General Assembly prefers not to interfere with the processes of collective bargaining and strongly urges the the Union and Employer Group to reopen contract negotiations in the Chicago metropolitan area to... I'm sorry, that one I guess is out. House Resolution 1230, is included and I can't see how anyone would object to congratulating Martha O'Hara on her 80th birthday. And I move for the adoption of the.... well, Mr. Speaker, we have another Agreed Resolution, I wonder if the Clerk would read it? House Resolution 1205."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1205, Deavers, et al."

Walsh: "House Resolution 1205, Mr. Speaker, ask that a Committee be formed to investigate the life insurance cost and I move, Mr. Speaker for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the Agreed Resolutions say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1231, Catania."

Speaker Blair: "Speaker's Table. All right. Another Agreed one? Okay... hopefully."

Clerk Selcke: "This is a Democrat.... this one is. House Resolution.... further Agreed Resolution, House Resolution 1232, Shea."



Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea... Mr. Walsh... Mr. Shea."

Shea: "It's an Agreed Resolution, is it not? I move for its adoption, it's a congratulatory Resolution for a Doctor Edward A. Piszczek of Chicago."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walsh... agreed? All those in favor of the adoption say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Are there further Resolutions? All right.... now when we.... All right, it is the intent of the Chair now to entertain a motion from the Majority Leader that we recess until five o'clock at which time we'll adjourn. The purpose of the recess being to allow the Clerk to accept any motions so that they can be on the Calendar tomorrow on any of these vetoes that are on the Calendar. Is there any objection to that procedure? Mr. Choate, are you going to have a conference when we... Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if you will give me a room number, I've had several Members request a Democratic Conference and I would like to announce it as soon as I know the Room number."

Speaker Blair: "212 or M-5, take your pick."

Choate: "Okay, we'll take M-5."

Speaker Blair: "All right."

Choate: "It seems to bring us a little bit of luck down there, so I would ask the Democratic Members to come to M-5, immediately and then we can get out and get about whatever pursuit of happiness that you might want to pursue, if it is working or otherwise but, Mr. Speaker, if I understood then we will, for all practical purposes, be adjourned until ten o'clock in the morning."

Speaker Blair: "Right... if that... unless there's some projection otherwise."

Choate: "I agree with you, if the motions aren't filed... you can't take action."

Speaker Blair: "Right and they have requested the opportunity



to move them and I frankly think that all motions that are filed tonight, that we'll be able to hear in good order tomorrow unless the debate gets lengthy."

Choate: "Then I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that the Democratic Members come to Room M-5, for the purpose of a conference."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, now... the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, moves that the House be in recess until the hour of 5 P.M., at which time the House will adjourn until 10 A.M., tomorrow morning. Those of you that have as I've said, Senate vetoes... vetoes of Senate Bills on the Calendar, which you're the House Sponsor. You need to have your motion if you're going to file one on the Calendar tomorrow in order for it to be heard, so look at the Calendar, if it's there... get the forms from the Clerk and get it filed before 5 o'clock this afternoon so that they can get it on the Calendar for consideration tomorrow. Be back in at 10 o'clock tomorrow and the Chair will try to get us through tomorrow so we'll finish up and not have to be here Friday. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to recess then... say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Recess until 5, adjourn at that time and then the Democrats to M-5 for a conference."

Clerk O'Brien: "The House is in Session. Messages from the Senate. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution to-wit; House Joint Resolution 114. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Preamble and Joint Resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to



to ask the concurrence of the House of Representatives to-wit; Senate Joint Resolution 90. A message from the Senate, by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following preamble and Joint Resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House of Representatives to-wit; Senate Joint Resolution 91."

Speaker Pierce: "Speakers Table."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Governor's Amendment to the Bill fo the following title; House Bill 2220, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act, as amended. Concurred in by the Senate December 4, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary of the Senate. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Governor's Amendment to a Bill of the following title; House Bill 2391, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code as amended. Concurred in by the Senate December 4, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary of the Senate. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Governor's Amendment to a Bill of the following title; House Bill 2619, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Director of Mental Health to convey certain real property to the City of Elgin, concurred in by the Senate December 4, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary of the Senate.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.



Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Governor's Amendment to a Bill of the following title; House Bill 2673, a Bill for an Act in relation to deferred compensation for public employees, amending certain Acts named herein. Concurred in by the Senate December 4, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary of the Senate. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House on the adoption of the Governor's Amendment to a Bill of the following title; House Bill 2861, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. Concurred in by the Senate December 4, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary of the Senate. A Message from the House by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title; veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. House Bill 2792, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Motor Fuel Tax Law, passed by the Senate December 4, 1974, by a 3/5 th's vote, the vote of the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary of the Senate. No further messages."

Speaker Pierce: "The.... our motion of the Minority Leader... the Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Jenison... the House will now adjourn until 10 A.M., December 5, 1974."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1		Speaker Blair	House in order	
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer	
		Speaekr Blair	Roll call	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Blair		
2		Hudson	Excused absence	
		Speaker Blair		
		Walsh, W.	Excused absence	
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	SB 1682, 1st reading	
		Speaker Blair		
		Day	Motion SB 1682	
		Speaker Blair		
		Craig	Excused absence	
3		Speaker Balir	Motion carries	
		Garmisa	Question	
		Speaker Blair		
4		Garmisa	Leave to advance to 2nd	
		Speaker Blair		
5		Walsh, W.	Question	
		Garmisa		
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Katz	Oppose	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Question	
6		Speaker Bliar		



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 12-4-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Garmisa	2.
7		Speaker Blair	
8		Skinner	
9		Speaker Blair	
		Hill	
10		Speaker Blair	
		Duester	
11		Speaker Blair	
		Waddell	
		Speaker Blair	
12		Garmisa	To close
		Speaker Blair	
		Skinner	
13		Spekaer Blair	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Blair	
		LaFleur	
14		Speaker Blair	Green light
		Duester	
		Speaker Balir	
		Waddell	
		Speaker Blair	Vote me 'no'
15		Garmisa	
		Speaker Blair	Motion fails
		Clerk Selcke	Agreed Resolution
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Clerk Selcke	HR 1205	
		Walsh		
		Speaker Blair	Resolution adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Further Resolutions	
16		Speaker Blair		
		Shea		
		Speaker Blair	Resolution adopted	
		Choate	Conference	
17		Speaker Blair	Recess till 5, then adjourn	
18-19		Clerk O'Brien	House in session, messages from Senate	
		Speaker Pierce	Speakers table	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Pierce	Adjourned	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES