

First tape begins in middle of prayer.

Dr. Johnson: "Hear us gracious Father and be with us in this continuing Session. Oh God, our strength and our redeemer. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "All right we're...when we recessed, we were on ...we had just, we had just finished taking a Roll Call on House Bill 12 and it was, it would leave the House placed on Consideration Postponed. So then normally we would be back on House Bills, Third, but under the Rules, I'm going to move to House Bills, Second Reading. Change the Order of Business, which I can do. And then we'll come down through these House Bills...call because Mr. Shea doesn't need it called now and I'm not going to call 15 through 25. Now Mr...Somebody's handling Mr. Craig's 26? There's.. Is Mr. Craig here? I'm on page 4, Third Special Session. Is somebody handling...Is there an Amendment? There's no, Amendment? All right, read...read the..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 26. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation to the Board of Governor's State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bills 30. Bradley. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Regents. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, Mr. Bradley. Mr. Lauer handling it for Mr. Bradley? Or Mr. Deavers? No Amendments? None from the Floor? Third Reading. Mr. Hanahan, who's handling? Mr. Skinner handling that one for Mr. Hanahan? 1131? An Act to provide..."

Clerk Selcke: "House...-House Bill 31. Hanahan. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capitol Development Board.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 32. Peters. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation to the Board of Governor's of State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Is this one all right? Who's handling it?

Who's handling it for him? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 36. Beaupre. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 8½ of an Act in relation to State Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 37. Robert Holloway. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute. Is the Gentleman here? Well...ah.... you better leave it on. Is it all right? Are you handling it for him? All right. Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "39.."

Speaker Blair: "Now, is Mr. Katz here? We've got his proposals, his R.T.A. proposals. All right, Mr. Katz isn't here. Somebody get him we could call...we could call his R.T.A. package. Somebody send the word out to Mr. Katz we could, we'd call his now. Let's take 42 then until he arrives on the scene."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 42. Shea. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation for a certain claim against the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 43, Third Special Session on page 3, line 20 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman...oh, that's a Committee Amendment?

Discussion on the Committee Amendment? Mr. Gibbs moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor



say 'aye', the opposed 'no', The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted, Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "No."

Speaker Blair: "Floor Amendments on 4..."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 43, Third Special Session. Hill. Third Special Session on page 1 and so forth. Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "This is an Amendment that I had cleared through the Leadership of both parties and it has to do with a award by the Court of Claims and I'd appreciate adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. All right, Senate Bills, Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "No are we on Senate Bills, Second?"

Speaker Blair: "Senate Bills, Second Reading: Go on through them."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 20. Washington. An Act to make an Appropriation to the Board of Governor's State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 20, Third Special Session, page 1, by deleting lines 14, 15 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman...Is this Washington's Amendment? It's a Committee Amendment. Are you handling it? All right."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, the Calendar is incorrect, the Bill is Sponsored by Peters and Washington and Mr. Peters is the Chief Sponsor."

Speaker Blair: "All right...ah..."

Washington: "But I move the adoption of the Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Are you familiar with it?"

Washington: "Yes, I'll move the adoption of the Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it



the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Peters... Table #1 and adopt 2, Harold."

Washington: "You'll tell me later what I did, won't you Fred? I move...I move we table Amendment #1 to House Bill...Senate Bill 20."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #1. All right, hearing no objection Amendment #1 is tabled."

Washington: "I move adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 20."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The question is on the adoption of ...ah...of, the Floor Amendment? Floor Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Bradley. Amends Senate Bill 20, Third Special Session and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "I propose to table Amendment #3 to..."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, you do?"

Bradley: "Senate Bill 20?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, is this your Amendment?"

Bradley: "This is my Amendment, yes."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to Table Amendment #3. All those...have leave? All right, Amendment #3 is tabled. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Now go ahead."

Clerk Selcke: "Give me the next one. Amendment...Senate Bill 21. Grotberg. Appropriation to the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading. Oh...Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah...Senate Bill 22. Barry. Appropriation to the



Illinois Legislative Council. Second Reading of the Bill.
 One Committee Amendment. Amends...Amendment #1. Amends
 Third Special Session, Senate Bill 22, page 1, line 6, by
 striking thirty thousand two hundred and forty and inserting
 in lieu thereof, twenty five thousand one hundred and twenty."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "It's a Committee Amendment. I move it's adoption. It
 reduces the Appropriation by five thousand dollars. It's
 agreed by all sides."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the
 Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it,
 the Amendment's adop...the Amendment's adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Brandt, you going to handle it Toby?
 Amends Senate Bill 22, Special Session Three on page 1, by
 deleting all lines 1, 2 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "This is an Amendment of the Space Needs Commission and
 Mr. Brandt isn't here and I'll proceed to try to handle it...
 Amendment #1 and #2, which are identical. Adds eighty one
 thousand dollars to my Bill, so to speak, for the purposes
 of furnishing the major hearing rooms, 212 and two others
 as I understand it and it's absolutely necessary for furniture
 and furnishings."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all...Discussion? All those in favor
 of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'.
 The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?
 Third Reading....One more Amendment."

Barry: "Amendment #3, which was inadvertently marked Amendment #2,
 I believe. To the same effect, I might add. Mr. Speaker, I
 now recognize that what has happened is that two Amendments
 were submitted. One marked, wrongly, #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right, let's back up here just a minute."

Barry: "No, we're all set, we just quit."

Speaker Blair: "We are all set?"



Barry: "If we'll just quit. There were two Amendments submitted by Mr. Brandt. One identified as number 1, wrongly, another identified as #2. If we withdraw the one was identified as #1, we've done all that we need to do."

Speaker Blair: "All right, so what we've done, is we've adopted Committee Amendment #1 and we've adopted Floor Amendment #2."

Barry: "Right, that's it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, and you're withdrawing what has been inadvertently marked as a Floor Amendment #1. All right. Third Reading. No...Mr. Rayson here?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 23. Rayson. Appropriation...ah...to the Court of Claims Fund. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 23, Third Special Session, page 1, line 5, by deleting two hundred and fifty thousand and inserting in lieu thereof, one hundred thousand."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well this Amendment was agreed to by the Attorney General's Office, the Governor's Office, the Court of Claims Office as a reduction in a contingency fund for...ah...compensation of funds, is and when they develop and awards are given. It was... The Amendment cuts it down from two hundred and fifty thousand to a hundred thousand dollars for the next Fiscal Year and I would move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 23."

Speaker Blair: "Is there further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 24. Rayson. An Act making an Appropriation in relation to the administration of Crime Victims Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 25. Dave Jones. An Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Trustees, Southern Illinois



University. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 40. Tuerk. An Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 39...I don't know... Okay, you're here now? Oh...."

Speaker Blair: "We're trying to ascertain the House move between the various Sessions because we did something a little different last night than ordinarily. We didn't adjourn, we recessed...ah..."

We'll...Senate Bills, Third Reading. There's just one, I'm

coming back there, I'm coming back. Let me suggest this.

There are...ah...Mr. Katz has 39 and 40 back on the Floor

now. There are a number of Amendments to his...ah...his

package of Bills to create a Regional Transportation Authority.

Sangmeister, Mahar, Lundy, Palmer, Geo-Karis, Hanahan, Deuster

Schlickman, Geo-Karis. So those people that have those

Amendments, they can start getting ready because we'll be

with Mr. Katz in just shortly here. Ah...Now I had gone

to Senate...on Senate Bills, Third Reading, #16."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 16. Campbell. A Bill for an Act amending the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill.

Give me a Roll Call sheet."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Senate Bill 16 is a Bill which sets forth the machinery for the Department of Public Aid to work in relationship

with the Federal Government in the take over of the

aid to blind and disabled and also provides for the state

to pay supplementary income to the, to those recipients that

were being paid less than are being paid more than what

the Federal Government will provide and this is an excellent

Bill and I would certainly appreciate the favorable support

of this House and certainly if there's any group of people



...it. There were two Amendments submitted
 identified as number 1, wrongly, another
 if we withdraw the one was identified as
 that we need to do."

...so what we've done, is we've adopted
 #1 and we've adopted Floor Amendment #2."

...and you're withdrawing what has been
 as a Floor Amendment #1. All right.

...Mr. Rayson here?"

...Bill 23. Rayson. Appropriation...ah...to
 Fund. Second Reading of the Bill.

...ment. Amends Senate Bill 23, Third Special
 ne 5, by deleting two hundred and fifty
 ing in lieu thereof, one hundred thousand."

...on? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

...ment was agreed to by the Attorney General's

...s Office, the Court of Claims Office as

...contingency fund for...ah...compensation of

...they develop and awards are given. It was...

...t down from two hundred and fifty thousand

...d dollars for the next Fiscal Year and

...option of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 23."

...further discussion? The question is on

...Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye',

...ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted.

...amendments? Third Reading."

...Bill 24. Rayson. An Act making an

...lation to the administration of Crime

...Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No

..."

...amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

...Bill 25. Dave Jones. An Act to make

...the Board of Trustees, Southern Illinois

that are entitled to fair play, it's those in the disabled category. Mr. Speaker, I would ask for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there leave for the last unanimous Roll Call? All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 16 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. And House Bill, with leave of the House the vote will be the last unanimous Roll Call, which was with respect to House Bill 4, Third Special Session. 165 'aye', no 'nay'. So this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. All right, now we can go to House Bills, Second Reading and we'll go to Mr. Katz on 39."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 39. Katz. A Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of the Regional Transportation Authority for Northeastern Illinois and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Give me those Floor Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Floor Amendment #1. Sangmeister. Amends House Bill 30, Third Special Session page 4, by inserting after line 7, the following and so forth."

Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, with respect to Floor Amendment #1."

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we've reached the stage now, in the R.T.A. proposals that you've got a Bill before you that has surely been heralded as a compromise Bill and is one that can be acceptable to an awful lot of people on both sides of the aisle. The only thing in my opinion, that this Bill lacks is the referendum provision. If you have the Amendment before you, you will see that this is again, a county by county referendum. Ah...it's to be held at the Primary Election in March of 1974 and I see no reason for me to give you a lot of reasons for this. We've gone over this Amendment on...on



House Bill 12. Ah...I believe, without question, that the adoption of this Amendment could put this Bill flying out of the House. This is the one thing that stands in the way of getting a overwhelming majority for this Bill. This is a fine Bill, there's been a lot of good work put into it on both sides of this aisle, it's a compromise Bill. With this simple referendum provision in it and you can be assured of passage. I urge your adoption."

Speaker unknown: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I certainly favor this particular Amendment. I've become a little befuddled in this Legislature. I can understand the Chicago Democrats, the regular organization saying that they're opposed to this. I can understand some suburban Legislators saying I'm opposed to this. I can understand those Legislators on the Democratic side that say, therefore and with Governor Walker. They oppose this. But let me tell you this. I'm a little mixed up when I run into the very liberal element of the Democratic party who contend they are independent and take orders from neither Mayor Daley or Governor Walker. I'd like to find out the shoddy that we figure they're taking orders from then. Because they're opposed to giving the people a voice in accepting the referendum votes in these various counties. They contend that they serve the people, and they're people oriented. What are they afraid of then, if they're for the people and they're people oriented? This is a very good Amendment. And I'm sure that if it is accepted that you find that Cook County, Chicago, I feel confident, DuPage County and I feel confident Lake County would opt themselves in on this piece of Legislation. I also feel confident that Will, that McHenry and Kane, would opt themselves out. Now what's so wrong with that? I hear many times, the idea discussed that the people back home don't



trust politicians anymore. Well what do you expect? When the independents and the politicians don't trust the people at home anymore? Don't you think it's about time that we start trusting them? And in turn they'll start trusting us. Don't you believe that the people should have the right, to say 'yes' or 'no' to something like this? I certainly don't want to buck my party Leadership. It's very difficult. The pressure is very severe. But let me tell you this. It's still my belief that the people should have that right to decide whether they put more money in the wealthy by paying of the bonds of the C.T.A., that they spend a lot of money buying antiquated equipment from the various railways, what's wrong letting the people decide? Especially you independents who say you take orders from no one. Have faith in the people, they put you here, they put you here against the machine. Now this is one Amendment I'm sure you could adopt. The argument I hear in opposition to this is that the Nipsey area, which is a six county area if all of them are not in this transit authority then the Federal Government will not give any money to the Districts. Well let me tell you this. I served on the advisory Committee for Nipsey, and for about the last eight years I've heard Federal people come to our meetings and say, 'That if you don't set up a super board of not only these six counties but Porter and Lake County in Indiana, no Federal Funds will come into the area. They have been saying this for eight years and those Federal Funds continue to come in. And if that is true what they tell me, then how come Porter and Lake County can opt out in Indiana and certainly not receive Federal Funds and we have to get stuck in by this situation? I'd appreciate very much if you independents just once, would think of the people not only in your districts that put you in but give them a little thought in my district and accept this Amendment. Thank you."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question?"

Speaker unknown: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Schlickman: "Is this the county by county referendum Amendment?"

Sangmeister: "Yes it is."

Schlickman: "And this is the Amendment, if adopted and implemented could result in a Regional Transportation System that would be composed of unconnected, or non contiguous parts?"

Sangmeister: "I'm not sure that they would necessarily be unconnected."

Schlickman: "Well, name me a situation where that could happen."

Sangmeister: "There are six county regions and you could have four counties that are divided by two counties, physically, the four counties electing to go in and the two counties electing not to. Therefore, you would have two noncontiguous parts within the region. Is that correct."

Sangmeister: "It's possible. But not..."

Schlickman: "Thank you...thank you."

Sangmeister: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten is recognized."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question? Is this the same Amendment that you attempted to put on the Walker - Garmisa tax Bill that had...ah...didn't have an opt out provision for the suburban area?"

Sangmeister: "Yes, I'm sorry Representative Totten, it's the same Amendment, we're in the same position that we were last night when we raised the same question on it. This is no different than it was last night. It's the same Amendment."

Totten: "I would be hopeful that you would have redrawn it in time to put it on this Bill. But this again provides the situation that the suburban area could be left holding the bag on this thing...ah... It probably would be a good idea to opt out



the City of Chicago on this thing too. But I'd urge the suburban Legislators that we could be in one hell of a mess on this thing if we were left as the only ones in this. And would highly recommend that the suburban Legislators vote 'no' on this Amendment. Sorry."

Sangmeister: "Well I'm sorry that you have to take that position. I had no idea we were going to go to Second Reading today on this Bill or I would have probably had it redrafted. But as it stands now, it's the same as it was last night. It does not protect you people."

Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to speak in favor of this Amendment. It has been said several times on the Floor of this House that the statesmanslike thing is to leave the people into a Regional Transportation Authority because it is good for them. However, you take the pulse of your communities as you reside in the outlying six counties, you will find that there's no way on earth you can lead the people, because they're not going to be lead. They are against this massively, they will not buy this concept at this time and it is ridiculous to say to us in the outlying areas that we should lead our people and put them into this district at this time. Now to answer one other problem that was raised that if several of the counties opted out, this would leave a skeletal structure without arms or legs. This is not a particular problem is you can recall Representative Deuster's map. Most of the R.T.A. is going to go to the outlying Counties, will either be intra-county or from the City of Chicago out to the County. And about every county has a railroad line going to it. But very there is absolutely no intra-county, other than Cook Transportation. So this would not prove to be a problem at this time. Perhaps in the future, R.T.A. for the outlying six counties is the thing to come. But not at this time. I



urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. R. L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Thank you Mr, Speaker, Members of the House. I know the Sponsors of this Amendment have good intentions and I listened to Representative Hill appeal for us to represent the people and let them have a referendum. But I suggest to the Members of this House, that there has never been an issue in the State of Illinois that has been studied longer and harder at the expense of the taxpayers, than R.T.A. Governor Ogilvie had his task force, Speaker Blair had his Regional Transit Commission hearings and the Governor had his public hearings all summer and we've had the Mayor of Evanston speaking on behalf of the Council of Governments of all the...of all the outlying cities in Cook County, tell us that they voted unanimously against a referendum. Now we've spent enough of the taxpayers time, we're down here as Legislators and we should act responsibly. The people do not want a referendum. They want us to act and get the job done and this Amendment would kill this good piece of Legislation that we are bipartisanly supporting and I urge it's defeat."

Speaker Unknown: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, would one of the Sponsors or proponents yield to a question?"

Speaker Unknown: "You may proceed."

Ewell: "Can you explain to me the difference between allowing the people to vote on this referendum and allowing the people to vote on the Judges Referendum. Is there some distinction that the people are quite able to decide in the issue of Mass Transit, but when it comes to your beloved Judges, they are not? Could I get a little response from..."

Sangmeister: "Number 1, we're asking for identically the same thing Mr. Ewell. Because when the Judges are first put on, they're put on a ballot and they are elected the first time around. This is all that we're asking here."



Put it on the ballot one time and let out people vote for it. Just as we do for the Judges when we originally elect them. Do just exactly that, that's all we ask."

Ewell: "Well couldn't we consider this sort of a retention ballot?"

We'll put them in and then we'll allow you possibly to think about voting it out. I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that this Amendment will in fact, gut the Bill. I suggest that you have to search your conscience and your soul and if you're going to be consistent in one, you have to be consistent in the other. If you want to allow the people to have the right to vote on every single issue, then you ought to give the people a seat down here. I'm suggesting that there comes a time when you've got to start proceeding on your own and you've got to sit up and start making some decisions."

Speaker Unknown: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Unknown: "Proceed Sir."

Waddell: "In relation to the counties and the County of Cook, would you give me an answer as to what the word, contiguous means?"

Sangmeister: "Were... Are you referring that that appears in the Amendment somewhere?"

Waddell: "No, it was referred to by a Representative on this side. I'm trying to show the fractured ability if one of these counties decided to opt out of this and I would suggest to you that, contiguous, in this particular manner of means would be contiguous to the County of Cook."

Sangmeister: "That is correct."

Waddell: "So, in reality, they are contiguous, regardless of which one goes out."

Sangmeister: "In my opinion it is. I have not studied the map that closely to see who could opt out that would not make contiguous of Cook. I don't think it can be done. But unless I look at a map, I can't really tell you now. I think he's wrong."



Waddell: "Thank you. I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "You may proceed sir,"

Waddell: "I think that this word, contiguous, is important for this reason. It is obvious to all of the people who are going to literally get shafted with this five county addition, that the contiguous part of it is very important to them because I do not think that they have the good faith and credit to float the bond issues were it not for this fiving...or surrounding five counties. As a result of that we have to be brought into this thing according to the master planners, who really don't give a darn what the people think. And I think in light of that, this business of being contiguous has it's reason and it's reason is that they couldn't float it on the past performance of the C.T.A, and the so called, experts, that they have in this area that have watched and helped the C.T.A. go down to the state of oblivion that it is right now. I think that's where the word, contiguous, belongs, it was the defeat of this type of thinking and I think that we should support this Amendment so the people do have a voice in what they're going to be asked to participate in in this master plan."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I am utterly amazed to hear it ascerted on the Floor of these Chambers that the people involved in these six counties don't want a referendum. I haven't ever heard anything more amazing to me. Now if they don't want a referendum, on this question, it is only because they do not understand and they have not been informed of the implication involved in these...this Bill. If they understood that we are in fact, setting up another form of government, call it what you will, I think the Bill itself refers to it as a unit of local government. I will call it Regional Government. All of the powers attended to, including the powers



to tax. I'm sure that the people realize the far reaching implications of what we're doing here. They would surely want a referendum and I think they're entitled to it. Now maybe what we ought to do is have a referendum put out as to whether the people want a referendum or not. I don't know. Maybe this is where we ought to go. But if we did, I'm sure the answers would come back a resounding 'yes', we want a voice before we saddle this additional layer of government, with it's taxing powers on the backs of our people they would like something to say about it. And I think they're entitled to it and I'll support this Amendment. I think it ought to get an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Miller: - "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the Bill. I am amazed that I sat here last night and heard all these various excuses of why they wanted to vote for the Walker - Garmisa Bill. Many, many responsible Legislators got up and said that the Bill is not the dream Bill that they wanted but in order to get a vehicle to work they were voting for this Bill. Well, this Bill is a compromise Bill. I think it has many, many good points that no other Bill has. The only thing it needs is a referendum Amendment. This referendum...referendum Amendment would give the people what you are sent here to give them. It would give them a choice of representation on the Regional Mass Transportation. What are you ashamed of that you would want to deny your constituents this right? What are you afraid of? I could not go back to my district and vote for a refer...for a R.T.A. Bill without giving the people of my district a choice of whether they wanted it or not. I have sent out questionnaires and my questionnaires come back about fifty/fifty. It does not give me a clear cut decision to make for my people on the R.T.A. and believe me I have R.T.A.'s running through my district and I will say



to you. If you get this Bill in shape, with a referendum then I will vote for your Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, this matter has been debated many times here on the Floor. We have heard the same speeches over and over again. It has been the position of the Members of this House that they believe that this six county area should not be permitted to allow individual counties to opt out. I will point out that if we gave them this right, we would lose any entitlement to Federal operating funds that we will very much need and that will relieve the State of Illinois of some of these financial obligations. I would urge that at this moment, when there appears to be no live R.T.A. Bills anywhere that we try to keep this Bill in as good shape as possible and maybe we can come out with an R.T.A. Bill and I would urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "All right, now we'll return to the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, to close the debate."

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Well I'm very disappointed, to say the least, to see that the Sponsor of this Bill does not want to accept this Amendment. He has worked hard. He has a very, very fine Bill which will gather all kinds of support if we put this Amendment on it and I appeal as Representative Hill did, to the Independents, that if you're really serious, you're really talking about a compromise in a Bill and you want R.T.A. and I say this for the Chicago Democrats. If you are serious about a compromise, then you really want an R.T.A., all you have to do is pick up this Amendment and run with the Katz Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall Amendment #1, to House Bill 39 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Do five Members request a Roll Call? Yes, I see more than five. All right, we'll have an oral Roll Call."



The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 39? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay' and Mr. Clerk, call the Roll. And will the Members please be in their seats on this oral Roll Call and please keep the noise level down."

Clerk Selcke: "Aisup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh."

Speaker Miller: "The Clerk is having extreme difficulty recording these votes. I request once again, that the Members be in their seats and please keep the noise level down to aid the Clerk. Please...I urge the Members to break up the various conferences so the Clerk can proceed with his duties."

Clerk Selcke: "Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Dunne, the Gentleman from Cook, to explain his vote."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, in spite of what I said earlier in opposition to this Amendment. I'm almost sorely tempted to vote for it because just overhearing conversations on the Floor of some of the strategy to defeat this Amendment because then it will kill any chance for this Bill to pass. I really in a dilemma right now, but...ah...I...I oppose the idea, for the reasons that I spoke for. I...I oppose the idea of the referendum and I guess I'll just have to say 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker...ah...in an effort to be consolatory and in an effort to keep an open and in an effort to span and replace one bridge over troubled water. I am willing to shift my feet



a little and to try to reach out and if anyone thinks that this Amendment can live over in the Senate, I think that I can go along with those who want to compromise. I think that I can reach out and at least in the hopes that in some final analysis, we can have a Bill, vote for some of the Amendments that might make it possible to come out of this House. And so I'm going to listen and...ah...like the Indians, I'm going to trust my friends over there and I'm going to try one more time and so on this event, I'm going to change my attitude and I'm going to vote 'aye' for this Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Hoffman Gene, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway. Not voting he's not voting. D. Houlihan..."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Houlihan, to explain his vote."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. For the same reasons as outlined by Representative Ewell and since I feel that an R.T.A. with a referendum is better than no R.T.A. at all, vote me 'aye' please on this Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "J. Houlihan, 'no'. Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Kempiners to explain his vote."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This past summer as a Member of a Legislative Investigating Commission, I had the opportunity to study a Welfare Program that was instituted in the State of California and there's been something said on the Floor of the House today that I think, what has been done in California can demonstrate, may not always be true. These people had a welfare problem and they didn't know what to do with it. They knew that costs kept going up and up, the numbers of people on welfare went down, but the cost went up and



they wanted to do something about that, so the first thing they did was fire all the people who were dealing with welfare and brought in administrators who were not tied to the Federal Government's way of doing things. They found when they went to the different agencies that everybody interpreted the law differently. But they put their lawyers to work on it and the lawyers said the Federal Government or the people in the Government who were interpreting the Department of Health Education and Welfare Regulations, don't know what they're talking about. California instituted this program, it's been challenged in court and they've won nine tenths of the suits that have been filed against them because they supposedly were in conflict with the Federal Government Rules and Regulations. Now I think my colleague from the 39th District, Jack Hill, pretty much said what I'm saying. That everybody interprets what the Federal Government's doing and everybody's afraid to challenge those interpretations. Well maybe it's about time we do that. I know the people in my district want a referendum on this and I proudly vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy,..."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Lundy to explain his vote."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have worked very hard with Harold Katz on this Bill. And I'd like to see it stay alive as a vehicle to provide what I think is desperately needed in the six county area. For the welfare of the people there and for the future economic well being of that entire area. Now it's very tempting to vote for this Amendment because it will make the Bill more acceptable to many who otherwise, will not support it. But I'm going to vote 'no' and I'm going to vote 'no' for three reasons, that I think are



decisive. Number 1, you can not approach mass transit for an economically, integrated metropolitan area, on a piecemeal basis. It just will not work. You can't do it, you can't do the necessary planning on a piece by piece basis. The six county area is a...is a economic hole for purposes of economics and for purposes of economics and for purposes of Mass Transportation and it's got to be treated that way. The second reason is related to the first, and that is that the Federal Government has seen that the six county area is an economic hole and it requires, for purposes of planning and for purposes of Federal Subsidies, not only operating subsidies but capitol grants as well, which the R.T.A. will need that the six county area be treated as one unit for mass transit purposes. In other words if we go the county by county route we risk forfeiting millions of dollars in Federal Subsidies which will relieve the taxpayers in the six county area. Third, it seems to me we don't need to vote for this Amendment in order to have a referendum. This is only one approach to a referendum. It's a county by county referendum. There will be another Amendment offered later in the day to this Bill which will provide a six county wide referendum. Now I have opposed that idea in the past, but in order to make this Bill acceptable to those of my colleagues who feel that the people have the right to vote on the establishment of this R.T.A., when that Amendment is offered I plan to support it. I don't think it's wise, but I think as a compromise and to make this Bill a viable...ah...vehicle for compromise, I'm going to support that six county wide referendum. For the present, I vote 'no'."

Speaker Miller: "Record John Fary, 'no'. Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin.... Giglio 'no'. No? Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy,



McClain, McCormick, McCourt,"

Speaker Miller: "Mr. McCourt, to explain his vote."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. It appears that in some ways there's not much connection between transportation and education, however, both of them cost money. The taxpayers money. It seems that when we first got in Session, back in January, there was a big effort afoot, that every school, every area in the state had to be in a Junior College District. And there was no question, there was a...even one who would disallow the ability to have the taxpayers decide by a referendum and finally they sought to get back to a referendum provision. But basically, I don't see what difference there is when, if the people that offer Junior Colleges, want to place it in a Junior College District without a referendum, why should we not give the same consideration to see that people can get to and from their jobs without a referendum and I vote 'aye'. I mean 'no'. Excuse me."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "McGah, McGrew, McLendon, did he vote?"

Speaker Miller: "McLendon 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Merlo...does that mean he don't want to vote or he's voting 'no'? He just shook his head."

Speaker Miller: "How does Mr. Merlo desire to be recorded? 'No'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kenny Miller, 'aye', Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Palmer voted 'aye', North did not vote? Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce."

Speaker Miller: "Record McClain 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Pierce 'aye'? Polk, Porter 'aye'? Please don't answer till your names called, will you, you know when you answer and it isn't your name and it's somebody else's name it makes it real difficult up here. Porter, Randolph, Rayson, 'aye', did he say 'aye'."



Speaker Miller: "Rayson 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Redmond."

Speaker Miller: "Redmond 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor...I guess Cissy don't want to vote. Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Tuerk? VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, J. J. Wolf, do you want to vote? B. B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "Mike Madigan 'no', Are you ready Mr. Clerk?"

There are several indicating here, when you're ready

Mr. Clerk. Mr. Caldwell 'no'. Mr. Barnes 'no'. Mr. Griesheimer 'aye', Mr. Schisler, 'aye'. Mr. DiPrima 'no'. Mr. Anderson 'no'...

'aye', Mr. Ebbesen 'aye', Mr. Lemke 'no'. Mr. Totten 'no'.

Gene Hoffman 'aye'. Mr. Polk, 'aye', Mr. Maragos, 'no'.

Mr. Tom Miller, Mr. Dave Jones 'aye', Mr. Rigney 'no'.

Now, have all voted who wished? All right the votes

on this question. The 'ayes', 54, the 'nay', 69. And those voting 'present' are 2. So the Amendment...Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "If I got your figures correctly, we're fifteen votes shy, is that correct? seventeen. Well I don't see there's any reason to take up the time of the House for anything else so in the spirit of compromise that we still do not get, we will not ask for a Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Amendment #1 is lost. Call the next Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Mahar. Amends House Bill 39, Third Special Session and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "Just...Do you want to be recognized? Do you want to.... Just a moment now. The Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."



Walsh: "Mr, Speaker, I move that the Third Special Session now adjourn until after the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the Gentleman moves that the Third Special... Mr, Walsh moves that the Third Special Session adjourn until after the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session. All those in favor...All right, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Is this an adjournment or a recess?"

Speaker Miller: "This is an adjournment."

Maragos: "And would this mean that the order of business will come back to whatever the Speaker will have not to follow... Okay, thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the Third Special Session stand adjourned until after the adjournment... First Special Session, stand adjournment until after the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Third Special Session stands adjourned."

Speaker Miller: "All right, now we'll call the Second Special Session into Session. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "To clarify matters a little bit you've got to remember that today is yesterday and so I move for the same Roll Call as the Roll Call for the Regular Session. It's coming."

Speaker Miller: "All right, does the Gentleman have leave to...ah...use the Attendance Roll Call of the Third Special Session...of the Regular Session, the Third Special Session Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well the Roll Call for the Regular Session."

Speaker Miller: "The Regular Session as the Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session. Is there leave? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker, I recognize that today is yesterday, I guess,



which is probably typical of the confusion that most of us will be met with. But under the consideration of the fact that people like the Internal Revenue Service are asking for all of the Attendance Records of all the Legislators in Illinois and we're getting paid thirty two dollars a day on a Roll Call attendance, I think we ought to have a Roll Call for this day, even if it's yesterday."

Speaker Miller: "Well the Chair is informed that this matter will be taken care of here in due course, Mr. Duff, but I'll recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well that...that's right, for anyone who may wonder and I can understand how you would. We have discovered that being in a recessed Session..ah...there was no way to get a Roll Call for Attendance, for today, for this Legislative day as distinguished from yesterday. Actually the Legislative Day that we're in now. So, we've got to move along in the way to which we had adjourned the day before yesterday and we never did get to Session #2, that we had adjourned to and that's what we're in now. So when we asked leave for the same Roll Call, we're asking leave for the Roll Call that took place yesterday and for which we were paid thirty two dollars, or will be paid thirty two dollars for yesterday, but can not get it for today until after we finally adjourn, Session #2 and start the new Legislative Day with Session #3, from which we just adjourned a short while ago at which time we will have a Roll Call for Attendance."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker, that's comforting...ah...if the Internal Revenue Service would agree with us, But I will note that over the past several weeks, every time, several, the past week, every time we've gone past midnight, we've said it was a new Legislative Day. Now we're saying that we went past midnight and it is not a new Legislative Day."



It's a little confusing but since the Majority Leader reassures that today is in fact, yesterday, I suppose it makes no difference."

Speaker Miller: "All right, do we have leave to...ah... All right, hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session is that of the Regular Session. Now...now we're in the Second Special Session. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the Second Legislative Session adjourn until the fifteenth Legislative Day, ah... following adjournment of the Third Special Session."

Speaker Miller: "All right. The Gentleman moves that the Second Special Session, stand adjourned until after the adjournment of the Third Special Session. Is that correct Mr. Walsh? All right. Mr. Lechowicz, the Gentleman from Cook."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, what is the... Will the Sponsor yield to a question? Bill, what's the Fifteenth Legislative Day?"

Walsh: "Today, actually, the Roll Call taken yesterday was the Roll Call for the Fourteenth Legislative Day. I beg your pardon, the Calendar's incorrect, that was our source. Ah... I'm sorry, I'm corrected. Yesterday was the Thirteenth so my motion is changed to after the...to the Fourteenth Legislative Day, instead of the Fifteenth."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, that's what I thought it was. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall the House adjourn pursuant to the Gentleman's motion? All those in favor say 'aye', all right, opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Second Special Session stands adjourned. Regular?"

Walsh: "We are now, Mr. Speaker, in the Regular Session pursuant to the Motion made yesterday to recess the Regular Session and so I move that the Regular Session stand adjourned until after the adjournment...ah... Yes, stand adjourned until



after the adjournment of the Second Legislative Day on the Fourteenth Legislative Day. I'd like to point out, Mr. Speaker, that out front there are some Gentlemen with briefcases and subpoenas waiting for us. So don't be in a hurry to leave."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Walsh, will you repeat your motion with respect to adjournment on the Regular Session."

Walsh: "I move that the Regular Session adjourn until following the adjournment of the Second Special Session on the Fourteenth Legislative Day."

Speaker Miller: "All right. You've heard the motion. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Regular Session stands adjourned to following the adjournment of the Second Special Session."

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, we are in the First Special Session, pursuant to our motion yesterday for recess till following the adjournment of the Regular Session and so I move that the First Special Session adjourn until following the adjournment of the Regular Session, on the Fourteenth Legislative Day."

Speaker Miller: "All right. You've heard the Gentleman's motion. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and the 'ayes' have it and the First Special Session stands in adjournment until the following of the Regular Special Session."

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, while we're in the Fourth Special Session for the same reason indicated for the previous Session and I move that the Fourth Special Session stand adjourned until following the adjournment of the First Special Session on the Fourteenth Legislative Day."

Speaker Miller: "All right, you've heard the Gentleman's motion. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House stands ad... The Fourth Session stands adjourned to following the adjournment of the First Special Session."



Walsh: "And now, Mr. Speaker, we are in the Fifth Special Session in accordance with the motion made yesterday and I move that the Fifth Special Session stand adjourned until following the adjournment of the Fourth Special Session on the Fourteenth Legislative Day."

Speaker Miller: "All right, you've heard the Gentleman's motion. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Fifth Special Session stands adjourned until following... All right, till following the adjournment of the Fourth Special Session."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, we've gone full circle and...ah...I think we're...we're due for an invocation. Because we have hit the Fourteenth Legislative Day."

Clerk Selcke: "Lord, Bless this Legislative Session. Amen."

Speaker Miller: "All right. Roll Call for Attendance. Roll Call for Attendance. Send to your friends that are not now on the Floor."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre..."

Speaker Miller: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe arise?"

Wolfe: "Mr. Speaker, a Point of Parliamentary Inquiry. If those Members who have been on the Floor all day and are presently in the Sick Bay, do we have to get them or can we recognize their attendance here without. We have two Members in Sick Bay."

Clerk Selcke: "Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene



Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hollo... Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich... Matijevich here? McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Peters, Philip, Pierce Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Sevcik Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Hirschfeld? Yeah, I got him. Springer, Stedelin, Stiel, Stone Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, Walsh R., William Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "With Leave of the House... All right, with Leave of the House, we'll go to a motion with respect to Senate Bill 4. On this connection the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like leave of the House to suspend the appropriate Rules and have Senate Bill 4 advanced to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, without reference to a Committee."

Speaker Miller: "Well... All right, the Gentleman moves that Senate Bill 4 be taken from the Table and placed on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Now, this takes 107 votes, Does the Gentleman have leave to consider the Attendance Roll Call, which is a unanimous Roll Call. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no



objections the Gentleman's motion prevails. So on this question we have 175 votes and... 'aye' votes and no 'nay' votes.

All right, read Senate Bill 4 a Second time, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah... What the hell number is this? Senate Bill 4. ...ah...Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Taylor, Amends Senate Bill 4 on page 1, Section 2..."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 4 is simply a transfer of funds from one line item to the other one. You're transferring six million dollars from the Medical Assistance to the Department of Aid, Aged and Blind and Disability. Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 4."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to... To Senate Bill 4. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Wait a minute. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "I thought we we're dealing with House Bill 4, not Senate Bill 4."

Speaker Miller: "It's Senate Bill 4. All right, the Clerk, corrected it up there. It's Senate Bill 4 since I called it."

Maragos: "All right, what is the subject matter? Did we just vote on it before? All right, I just wanted to know what the subject matter is."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any further Amendments? All right, this Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading. This Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading. Now the Chair recognized the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, with respect to a motion regarding Senate Bill 5. Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Agreed Motion to take Senate Bill 5 from the Table and read it for the Second Time.



What it does is put language in the School Construction Bond Act that was left out by mistake. I would... It impairs the balance at the present time and I would ask leave for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Miller: "Just a moment. The Clerk tells me we've got a little mechanical problem here. We don't know where Senate Bill 5 is, we have no written motion, Mr. Jaffe. Now we can probably go by without that if we have the information as far as the Clerk is concerned. All right, the Gentleman's moved that Senate Bill 5 be taken from the Table and placed on the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Does the Gentleman have leave to use the last unanimous Roll Call? Is there objection? Hearing no objection Senate Bill 5 is now on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 5. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is ordered advanced to the Order of Third Reading. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 38, together with the following Amendments thereto. I am therefore, on the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended. Third Special Session, November 16, 1973 by a three fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes. Secretary. Okay we're going back to 39. No..."

Speaker Miller: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis arise?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker...ah... I arise for a question of information.



I'd like to know whether or not the Speaker of the House has given instructions on House Resolution #4, which was considered by the Executive Committee some time ago and placed on the Speaker's table. It had a Third hearing and the Speaker gave me his word that he would call it. Has he given any instruction? I'm sure he'll keep his word?"

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Davis, I have no information on your inquiry."

Davis: "Yes, well he gave me his word that he would call it and I'm sure he'll keep his word."

Speaker Miller: "I have no information on that Mr. Davis."

Davis: "All right."

Speaker Miller: "All right, we're on ... back to 39. House Bill 39 on page...page 4. House Bills Second Reading and we were on Mr. Mahar's Amendment #2. Mr. Katz's Bill. Mahar. The Gentleman from Cook."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to House Bill 39 is an Amendment that we offered on both previous R.T.A. Bills. This is an Amendment, not designed to kill the Bill, not designed to put anything on it that was going to cause people to oppose it, but an Amendment which I think will strengthen any and all R. T. A. Bills, that might be offered before this body. Simply, what it does is say that after each Federal decennial census the General Assembly shall review the composition of the board and if there's need to make any changes in that composition they will so indicate. That's all there is to it and I would urge your serious consideration and move for it's adoption."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Yes, this Amendment, as Mr. Mahar, has suggested, has been previously heard and rejected by the House. It really is looking ahead to 1980. I'm more concerned about whether the trains are going to be running next week. I don't think we ought to be looking ahead to 1980. It seems to me that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

it is the kind of problem that anybody, if they want to at... 19... The Seventy-ninth or the Eightieth or the Eighty-first General Assembly can introduce a Bill that does exactly this and I don't want to introduce any...ah...disagreeable elements and so I would like to keep my Bill in the same shape as the other Bills and accordingly I would urge that just as the House rejected this Amendment before after lengthy consideration and Roll Call that it reject this Amendment today."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. It's unfortunate that the Sponsor of this Bill will not accept this Amendment. The Amendment does not at all effect the operation of this Bill or the operation of an R.T.A. and I guess he doesn't feel that the review of any document is necessary. Even though our State Constitution, of which he is a great defender, by it's own terms and its own actions is to be reviewed every twenty years. So it's a pity that the Gentleman from Cook, sets his Legislation up as higher than the Constitution of the State of Illinois and I would urge all of my colleagues to support this fine Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise as one of the Co-Sponsors of this bipartisan Bill. And I say to you that in conferring with the other seven Co-Sponsors I suggested strongly that this proposed Amendment be incorporated into the Bill as originally filed. I believe that this is a most important provision. It does not harm the Legislation in any way. It makes it workable and it's something that everybody can accept and I would urge the other Members in the spirit of making a more palatable Bill, to all of the suburban areas to vote 'aye' and adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As one of the other Co-Sponsors of this Bill. I concur with



Representative Michael Getty and urge adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar, to close."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I don't think there's a need to say a great deal about this thing as the Chief Sponsor indicated, it was thoroughly aired the other evening. I might him that this Amendment was unanimously adopted to Representative Deuster's Bill. So it's batting five hundred right now. I think it ought to go to seven fifty and therefore, I'd urge the adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I have been polling my Co-Sponsors and most of them seem to feel that it's an Amendment that will not be effective till 1980, but it is a good principal accordingly, I will withdraw my opposition to the Amendment and will be prepared to accept the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the...ah... Amendment #2 say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it... All 'ayes' except 1 'no' and, the Amendment is adopted. Amendment 3."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3. Lundy. Amends House Bill 39, Third Special Session and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is based on an objection to House Bill 39 that was raised by Representative Deuster during the debate in the Committee of the Whole. Representative Deuster pointed out one sentence in paragraph A of Section 4.03, which appeared to authorize the Board of the R.T.A. to levy unlimited taxes. Now my own reading of that sentence is that that's not what it intends to do. But in order to eliminate any possible doubt about the nature of the Boards taxing powers...ah...this Amendment would totally eliminate that sentence. It would simply excise it from the Bill. I don't think there's any opposition to the Amendment."



Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #3 is adopted, Amendment 4."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Palmer. Amends House Bill 29,

Third Special Session, page 17 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I've heard a lot of talk about reach out and compromise and these types of things, which appear to be necessary if we are to have a reasonable transit authority for northeastern Illinois. This Amendment that I have will remove political influences that might inhibit or effect the authority itself. It says that a...that the support of a peo.... of a particular political candidate or party is not a condition precedent to service employment with the authority. It also says that the...it shall not be a condition precedent...ah... to the ~~entry~~ of a political contract with the authority in those areas outside of bidding and obviously we have many in that respect, engineers, attorneys, architects and so forth. Politics, itself, should not be an overriding consideration to the ~~implementation~~ of this piece of Legislation. And neither should expediency be subverted to and be a substitute for principle. In the six county area, at the present time, there are some three hundred thousand more persons outside of the ~~city~~ of Chicago than in the City of Chicago. By 1980 ~~the~~ figure is expected to be at least a million more and ~~obviously~~ the appointing powers, the base, the directorship will ~~change~~. So it effects, will effect Republicans as well as ~~democrats~~ and for that reason I say that it is a good ~~amendment~~. It makes the appointing authority, make a choice ~~between~~ between serving the public as a whole and serving the ~~considerations~~ of any particular party. We've gone through ~~this~~ before, Mr. Speaker,...ah...on House Bill 4 and ~~House~~ Bill 12. I think that it's a piece of Legislation



that should quick the consciences of all fair minded people and for that reason I do now move you, Mr. Speaker, that this Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Well I rise in opposition to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, as the distinguished indicated, this matter has been debated on the Floor and his Amendment was rejected here on the Floor. I was quite struck in the debate that went on in connection with the Amendment before that the gentleman conceded that an individual employee of the R.T.A. would not be able to circulate a nominating petition for someone in the State Legislature if this Amendment was adopted. Now I don't believe that people in public, work for public bodies ought to be denied the basic elementary rights of good citizenship. We ought to encourage them to engage in political activity of that kind. As far as forced political activity. There is a solution to that problem, there was a suit filed in the United States District Court in which political leaders in both parties were joined from enforcing obligated political activity on the part of their employees. Now that way you deal with the problem by preventing the bosses from taking advantage of the employees. But Mr. Palmer's Amendment would make it illegal for the individual employee to voluntarily engage in political activity, which is the heart of our whole political system. I would urge an opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House I will concur with the Sponsor of the Bill on this particular Amendment. The Amendment was up in House Bill #4 in the Third Special as Amendment #2. It was soundly defeated by 32 votes, I think that the...ah...Assembly spoke well then, I think they should speak well again, because it's not only in the case of the General Assembly but in many of



the townships and the counties, you have school boards that are electric and etc., yet these people pay those taxes. We're trying to get more participation into the political processes and this Amendment, in effect, would ...ah...create...bridges to lessen the political participation for the average taxpayer and individual in this state and I think it's contrary to everything that we're trying to do now days. I think this Amendment should be soundly defeated one more time."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer, to close."

Palmer: "Well in brief response, Mr. Speaker, to our esteemed colleagues...Barnes, let me say that this, the prohibition here is...ah...partisanship politics and not the kind of politics that you see in School Board elections, park district elections, etc. It does not deny basic constitutional rights. Insofar as that is concerned and in reply to Mr. Katz, I would tell him that there's a Civil Service Law in the State of Illinois and I suspect that he may have had some part in advancing on it, which prohibits political activity. There's something in the Public Aid code of the State of Illinois which prohibits political activity and I suspect that Mr. Katz had something to do with the drawing of that. It prohibits...there is something in the statutes in reference to the Chicago Sanitary District of the State of Illinois prohibiting political contributions or activity. In the Election Code we have such a provision such as this. It's provided in the Supreme Court Rules of Judges are prohibited from entering into political activity and I might say the Civil Service Code of the City of ... of the County of Cook has a provision like this, which I think has served the public of the, the people of the County of Cook, in this respect. And to a very great extent. So it's not passe', this Legis., this type of Legislation is for now and it is for the future, it



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

will help the R.T.A. come into being, it will keep down the apprehension and fears of many people that we will turn the R.T.A. into a political football, which it should never be. If it's got a chance of success I would say that it's got a better chance with this provision in it than without it. I urge your favorable consideration on the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 39 be adopted? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. You weren't listening. I...you know."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5,"

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, wait a minute. All right, a Roll Call's been requested. The Clerk will proceed to call the Roll. I took a voice vote and you all weren't paying attention and I said all those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no' and it was 'ayes' and so, there was a request for a Roll Call, so... Mr. Katz."

Katz: "A voice votes takes into account we've been in Session till 2:00 for a couple of nights Mr. Speaker, so speak up if you would."

Speaker Blair: "Take the Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, R. Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino,
 Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy,
 Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich,
 McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah,
 McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller
 Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North,
 Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk,
 Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister
 Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder,
 Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner,
 Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor,
 Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk,
 VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters,
 Washburn, Washington, Williams. Where's Williams? J. J.
 Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to be recorded Merle? How? Record
 Representative Anderson 'aye'. Telcser 'no'. Tom Miller
 'aye'. See what it is. Kempiners 'aye'. Record Representative
 McCormick as voting 'aye'. McCormick 'aye'. On this question
 there are 52 'aye', 47 'nay' and Amendment #4 to House
 Bill 39 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5. Geo-Karis. Amend House Bill 39.."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait...wait a minute...Mr. Clerk...Mr. Clerk..
 Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, I didn't hear the results
 of that."

Speaker Telcser: "52 'ayes', 47 'nays'."

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, I humbly request you poll the
 absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose
 do you rise?"

Palmer: "I think the motion comes a little too late. You've
 announced the results, you brought the gavel down."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you



rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I think that Rodney Palmer's had a good idea trying to protect the good idea of having no politics in R.T.A. I'm not sure I agree with the affirmative prohibition but I voted for it when it went on Senator Deuster's Bill and I voted for it this time. But I think the kind of politics that is being played by ramming it through without any kind of consideration to other Members and ruling Representative Lechowicz out of order. I would like to be recognized, if you're going to rule that way, for a motion to reconsider."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, you voted on the prevailing side of the question?"

Houlihan: "Yes, Representative Telcser."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, so Representative Palmer...Now I. The Clerk gave me the count, I looked over here where I assumed Harold would be standing and looked for someone to raise their hands for a poll. I didn't see anyone so I declared the question. It's fine with me to go back. Representative Palmer, you're going to have a motion to reconsider."

Palmer: "Well except to make one...one remark. It was called, it was counted, the vote was announced and I don't think anybody was trying to run it through and...Houlihan."

Speaker Telcser: "No, not at all. I don't even know what it is. But what I'm saying to you Representative Palmer, time of the House, Representative Houlihan is going to move to reconsider. He has that right."

Palmer: "Certainly he has."

Speaker Telcser: "If we want to go through that, it's all right with me. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Well Mr. Speaker, I'd like to suggest. We went through somewhat the same problem a day or two ago and it involved a whole series of motions and recalls. I just think that if, to let the thing go, be properly handled and save a lot



of time. A Polling of the Absentees would be in order,..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Berman,"

Berman: "There might be a question there as to procedurally where we are but we're going to wind up back there anyway."

Speaker Telcser: "Well that's what I'm saying and I'm making that point to the Gentleman. However he has a right. Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If he has voted on the prevailing side, I would suspect that he has that right. Except for one thing. If the...Mr. Speaker and that's.. you called for the next order of business and the next order of business was entered into. Now, that's insofar as the procedure is concerned. If they still want, on the motion to reconsider, if they want that, fine. I'll be glad to give it to them."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I think you're probably one of the fairest Speaker's that this House has seen..."

Speaker Telcser: "What are you going to say to me?"

Lechowicz: "And I've got a lot of respect for you Art, and I've got a lot of respect for you as an individual. I'm sorry I did not hear the results as you announced it and then I asked, that's what I asked is, what was the results and I humbly requested that we poll the absentees. Representative Palmer, I think you can well remember just a couple days ago we spent three hours on a similar issue and I think it would be a lot faster if we'd poll the absentees and get this issue resolved once and for all."

Palmer: "Well procedurally it is late on that. If you want to... I suspect that procedurally also...the motion to reconsider would have to be withdrawn. I think that's where we are insofar as the record is concerned,"

Speaker Telcser: "Well where we are now is here Romie...ah... If you, if objections are still raised to polling the absentees, Representative Houlihan will make a motion to reconsider the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

yote, having voted on the prevailing side. He has the right."

Palmer: "Representative Lechowicz, would you like to have a poll of the absentees, is that it?"

Lechowicz: "That's absolutely correct. Poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "That's what he's asking."

Palmer: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Barry, Beatty, Boyle, Bradley, Brummet, Calvo, Capuzi, Carter, Chapman, Choate, Collins, Craig, Craig 'no'. Dee, Ralph Dunn, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Harpstrite, Gene Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Klosak, Krause, Kriegsman, Giglio 'no'. LaFleur, Lauer."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lauer votes 'aye'. Gene Hoffman votes 'aye'. Gene Hoffman 'aye', Lauer 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Leon, Londrigan, Lundy."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Leon, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, I understand this is a vote on a motion to exempt or to prohibit the Members of a Regional Transportation Authority to participate in politics. We went into great length the other day arguing about that issue. I was off the Floor in the nurses office at that time the Roll Call was taken and I would like to have my vote recorded as 'no' please."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Leon 'no'. Representative Choate 'no'. Choate 'no'. Okay. I see him, let's perk this up. Got another one for you. Schraeder 'no'. Let the Clerk finish the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Lundy, McAuliffe, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Neff, North, Pappas, Pierce, Porter,"

Speaker Telcser: "Pierce. Representative Pierce, for what purpose



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

do you rise?"

Pierce: "Mr, Speaker, this is an Amendment to Representative Pierce's Bill, I understand and I think he's made a very sincere effort to have a Bill here that everyone can accept, the Republicans and Democrats and therefore, I think we should get this to the Senate in the shape that he's worked on so hard. So I will vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'. Representative...
Let the Clerk first finish the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Kriegsman 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Kriegsman 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "We got you M.K. Where did I stop? Pierce, Porter Randolph, Redmond, Rigney, Rigney 'aye'. Rose, Ryan."

Speaker Telcser: "Ryan 'aye'. Let him finish Bill, I'll get back to you."

Clerk Selcke: "Schisler."

Speaker Telcser: "Schisler 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Schlickman,"

Speaker Telcser: "Schlickman 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay let me get this. Schraeder."

Speaker Telcser: "Schraeder 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Sevcik, Sharp, Springer, Taylor."

Speaker Telcser: "Taylor 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Terzich."

Speaker Telcser: "Terzich 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Tipsword."

Speaker Telcser: "Tipsword 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Totten."

Speaker Telcser: "Totten 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "VonBoeckman, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "Walters 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Washington, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Mr. Clerk, Let's... William Walsh, 'aye'. They're coming out. Speaker 'aye', William Walsh 'aye'."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

It's a close Bill. I'll put Telcser 'aye', 'no' to 'aye',
Are you caught up Fred? All right, now. Epton 'aye',
Okay Fred? Calvo 'no', I assume everybody here is voting
'no'. Maragos 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Maragos is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. VonBoeckman 'no'. Keller 'no'. Kelly
'no'. Garmisa 'no'. Douglas... Representative Douglas, for
what purpose do you rise?"

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Douglas: "Please change my 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Douglas as voting 'aye'.
Representative Fennessey 'no'. McGrew 'no'. Am I going
too fast Fred? McLendon 'no'. Brummet 'no'. Redmond 'no'.
Jacobs 'no'. Fary 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Krause 'no'. Bud Krause 'no'. Ray Ewell 'no'.
McCourt 'aye'. Okay. Pat North 'aye'. Is there anyone
else so the Clerk can tally the Roll Call? Is there anyone
else? Who, Harpstrite? Anyone else wish to vote?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dee, for what purpose do you rise,
Sir? How is Representative Dee recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Dee: "Record me 'aye', please."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Anyone else?
Is everyone sure they're on. The Clerk wants to make one more
count to be sure it's correct. O'kay, everybody is on that wants
to get on. Representative ah..... o'kay, here's another one now.
Mugalian 'no'. Now for the Members who are watching, when I
get this Roll Call, I'd like to announce it, so if someone
wants to come on, if you don't, don't. What? Who? What? Is
Representative David Jones recorded? Is he on? You're recorded.
O'kay, ah..... anyone else want to vote. O'kay, on this question,
there are 69 'ayes', 69 'nays', an error? You claim there's an



error? Come out here and count it. I don't want to be accused of.... Romie, you want to come up here and counter check? I don't care. I all right, now, the count stands at 69 'ayes', 69 'nays'. Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Palmer: "Parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Palmer: "This was on the Motion to Reconsider. Is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, there wasn't a Motion."

Palmer: "All right. O'kay. I'm sorry. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a verification of the negative vote."

Speaker Telcser: "You have that right, Sir. Representative Matijevich, what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Point of Order under I think 50b or 52b, you start with the affirmative. Of course, I don't know if it's a tie vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman's request for the negative came first, so..... Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Berman: "What....."

Speaker Telcser: "I've got Representative Berman as.... yeow, get me a Rule book, would you. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I would call your attention there is an affirmative and a negative. There's no prevailing, but there is an affirmative...."

Speaker: "Let me look at the Rules and see what it says. Representative B. B. Wolfe,"

Wolfe: "Mr. Speaker, Rule 50b says the affirmative vote is first counted, so that's the if vote no matter if there's 50 votes. The 'yes' vote is first counted and then you go to the 'no' votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we.... there's a Motion here on the adoption of the Amendment has not prevailed because of a tie situation. Now it seems to me that I certainly should have the



opportunity of ah.... verification of the negative votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wolfe: "The ah..... colleague..... he can have a verification of the Roll Call, but under our Rules, when a Member asks for verifications we verify under the Rules the affirmative vote first, then we do the negative vote, unless the affirmation of the affirmative vote indicates that no negative vote need be taken, so that's the procedure we've already written the Rules and if the Speaker followed that procedure, we'll get the verification going."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Getty, for what purpose do you rise?"

Getty: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How's Representative Getty recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Getty: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him as voting 'aye'. No. Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. On this question, there are 69 'ayes' and 70 'nos'. Is that correct? Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "Well, I'd like to renew my Motion for verification of the negative vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murphy, did you seek recognition, Sir? Representative Murphy, did you seek recognition, Sir? I thought you were waving your hand. All right, where are we now? Let's get this straight. We have 69 'ayes' and 70 'nays'. Representative Palmer, do you have any further comment before we rule. O'okay, the affirmative Roll Call will be verified first. Will the Members please be in their seats. Will the Clerk read the names. Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Katz: "To request that you ask the individual Legislator to raise his hand. It's very hard to tell where people are over here."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the People please be in their seats and raise their hands. The affirmative Roll Call will be



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

verified first.' Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Palmer: "I can't see ah..... through all of this maze here. If they would sit down and those that are not entitled to the Floor for this purpose, be excluded."

Speaker Telcser: "Will the Members please be in their seats and those who are not authorized to be on the Floor, please remove yourselves. Members please be in their seats for a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. The...."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson. Arnell. Bluthardt. Borchers. Campbell. Catania. Clabaugh. Cunningham. Day. Deavers. Dee. Deuster. Douglas. Duff. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Jenesen. Dave Jones, Juckett. Kempiners. Kent. Kriegsman. Kucharski. Lauer. Leinenweber. Lundy. Macdonald. Mahar. McCormick. McCourt. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. North. Palmer. Peters. Philip."

Speaker Telcser: "McCourt. This is a verification. You're on."

Clerk Selcke: "Polk. Rayson. Redmond. Rigney. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Shurtz. Timothy Simms. Soderstrom. Stiehl. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Waddell. W. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAvoy, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? How's Representative McAvoy recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Yourell: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Yourell: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Yourell as voting 'no'. Representative Sharp, for what purpose do you rise? How is Representative Sharp recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Just a minute, Arthur. The Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Kennedy: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative McClain as voting 'no'. I got Kennedy. Toby Barry 'no'. Toby Barry 'no'. O'kay, the Clerk is going to give us a revised total. The count right now is 69 'ayes' and 75 'nays'. Are there questions of the affirmative Roll Call? No questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"

Representative Katz."

Katz: "Anderson."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat next to Bill Walsh."

Katz: "Arnell"

Speaker Telcser: "He's in the back in his seat."

Katz: "Catania."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Catania on the floor? She's on the telephone booth. How is she recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take her off the Roll Call."

Katz: "Deavers."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Deavers on the Floor? Representative Deavers? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Katz: "Epton."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, there he is back in the back. I thought he was in the office. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Collins: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Collins recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Collins: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Further questions?"

Katz: "Hirschfeld."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing by Romie Palmer."

Katz: "Kempiners."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing in the aisle."

Katz: "Leinenweber."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing there."

Katz: "I see him now. Lundy."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lundy. Representative Lundy
on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take Lundy off the Roll Call, and record Ralph
Dunne as voting 'aye'."

Katz: "Macdonald."

Speaker Telcser: "She's in her seat."

Katz: "Mahar."

Speaker Telcser: "Sitting in his seat."

Katz: "Tom Miller."

Speaker Telcser: "Tom Miller is in the back in his seat."

Katz: "North."

Speaker Telcser: "Sitting in his seat."

Katz: "Polk."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Polk on the Floor. Oh, here he
is, right in his seat."

Katz: "Rayson."

Speaker Telcser: "Sitting here in his seat."

Katz: "Sevcik."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sevcik in his seat."

Clerk Selcke: "Recorded as 'not voting'."

Katz: "Representative Skinner."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing in the aisle."

Katz: "W. D. Walsh."

Speaker Telcser: "He's sitting next to Merle Anderson."

Katz: "Washburn."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washburn on the Floor? Oh, here
he is."

Katz: "No further questions."



Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer, has requested a verification of the negative Roll Call. What's the current count, Fred, you got it?"

Clerk Selcke: "The current count is ah..... ah..... 68 'ayes', 75 'nays'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I would ask you to have the Gentleman on the other side sit down so that we can see."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Members please be in their seats. The Members be in their seats and those of you who are not entitled to the Floor, please clear the aisle. Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, is it true, now, that the affirmative vote has been verified....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Affirmative Roll Call has been verified. There are no more questions of the Affirmative Votes. The Affirmative Vote has been verified. No more questions. You can leave if you want to. All right, will the Clerk please read the names of the Negative Roll Call. Please clear the aisle."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummett. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Choate. Craig. D'Arco. Davis. DiPrima. Ewell. Fary. Fennessey. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Hanahan. Hart. Hill. R. Holloway. D. Houlihan. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kennedy. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Laurino. Lechowicz. Lemke. Leon. Madigan. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAvoy. McClain. McGah. McGrew. McLendon. Merlo. Mugalian. Nardulli. Patrick. Pierce. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder. Sharp. Shea. Ike Sims. Stedelin. Stone Taylor. Terzich. Thompson. Tipsword. VonBoeckman. Williams. J. J. Wolfe. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, record Representative Porter as voting 'aye'. Representative Farley, for what purpose do you rise?"
How is Representative Farley recorded?

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as "not voting."



Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, record the Gentleman as voting 'no', and Mr. Selcke, Representative Catania has come back on the Floor. Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Katz: "I have a question to whether after the Affirmative Roll Call has been verified, you can put people back on, other people can go affirmatively. What is your ruling on that?"

Speaker Telcser: "It's always been our practice, Representative Katz, that once the Roll Call has been verified, either affirmative or negative, when that's completed, the challenge can no longer be made. However, if someone still comes in the Chamber before it's declared, we've always given them the privilege of coming on the Roll Call. Are there questions of the negative. Representative Palmer." O'kay, wait a second, Representative Huskey, how is he recorded? He's waving his arms."

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as 'not voting'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Huskey 'aye'. Now, Representative Palmer with questions of the negative vote."

Palmer: "We can start out with the count first."

Speaker Telcser: "What do we have now, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Well....."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, it will take a couple of minutes because he's got to recount this. You want to know where we are. Right now, there are 71 'ayes' and 76 'nays'. Current score is 71 'ayes', 76 'nays'. Representative Palmer, with respect to the negative Roll Call."

Palmer: "If six of you walk off, it will be swell. Brummett."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Brummett on the Floor? He's sitting in his seat."

Palmer: "Calvo."

Speaker Telcser: "Calvo's in his seat."

Palmer: "DiPrima."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Palmer: "Ewell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ewell. Representative Ewell on the Floor. How's the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Palmer: "Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in the aisle."

Palmer: "Fleck."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fleck on the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Palmer: "I'm sorry. Giglio. Oh, he's here. Griesheimer."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Griesheimer on the Floor."

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Affirmative Roll Call has been verified."

Palmer: "Hill."

Speaker Telcser: "Hill. He's here."

Palmer: "Maragos."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing in the aisle."

Palmer: "McAvoy."

Speaker Telcser: "Standing in the back of the Chamber."

Palmer: "Nardulli."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Palmer: "Patrick."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Palmer: "Schneider."

Speaker Telcser: "Schneider's in the back of the Chamber."

Palmer: "Ike Simms."

Speaker Telcser: "Ike Simms. Where's Ike? Representative Ike
Simms on the Floor? How was this Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Palmer: "Wall."

Speaker Telcser: "Standing in the aisle."

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Palmer: "J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Representative J. J. Wolf on the Floor? J. J. Wolf? How
is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."



Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Palmer: "Shea. Is he on?"

Speaker Telcser: "Who?"

Palmer: "Shea, is he in the back."

Speaker Telcser: "Who? Shea."

Palmer: "I'm sorry, Choate."

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, he might be in his office."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Palmer: "It tees me off because they won't call him out."

Speaker Telcser: "I imagine he is. You want to see if..... He might

be in with the Speaker. Representative Jim Holloway, for what purpose do you rise?"

Holloway: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Holloway: "Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him voting 'no'." How is Representative

Flinn recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'no'. Representative Palmer, any further questions?"

Palmer: "That's it."

Speaker Telcser: "O'okay. There are 71 'ayes'..... Representative

McPartlin, for what purpose do you rise?"

McPartlin: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

McPartlin: "I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Record

Representative McPartlin as voting 'aye'. Ike Simms has

returned. Ike Simms has returned. Are there any further questions? Anything else? Everyone on that wants to be on?

Representative Klosak as voting 'no'. On this question, there are

72 'ayes', 77 'nays'. Gentleman's motion was..... to add

Amendment #4 to House Bill 39 fails. Are there further

Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5. Amend House Bill 39, Third Special



and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 to House Bill 39, which I'm one of the co-sponsors, is asking that we have a special referendum election to be held in which the electors of all six county areas, all the metropolitan regions vote on a proposition as to whether or not to approve creation of the Mass Transit Authority, which election would be held in March, 1974 and a majority of the voters voting on such proposition, if they vote in favor of this to be created, the authority will thereby be established and I'll refer to Mr. Katz, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Recognizing the deep feeling that should be some type of referendum, this is a six county referendum, and I have no objection. I will withdraw my objection to it and would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Lady has offered to move the adoption of the Amendment #5 to House Bill #4. All in favor..... House Bill 39. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6. Hanahan. Amends House Bill 39....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #6 is a pupil transportation Amendment that was adopted on the other Bill previous to this were that they would include the transporting of pupils within the six county region. I move for its adoption."

Katz: "I have no objections and I support the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's a little difficult to hear. Perhaps you can tell us or repeat what the explanation of the Amendment is."



Speaker Telcser: "Repeat what? Who?"

Hanahan: "This is the Amendment that permits the RTA to engage in the transportation of school children in the six county area. It would require the RTA to involve itself in providing this service to school children and thus tend to reduce real estate taxes that now go to provide this service."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further discussion? Now for the purposes of the records, the last Amendment that was adopted was Amendment #5. I understand I may have said #4. Any further discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 39. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. Amendment is adopted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7. Katz. Amends House Bill"

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "This Amendments makes clear that the RTA is to provide balanced transportation of services. That it is not to confine itself simply to one kind of service like the CTA, but it is to provide balanced transportation in the entire six county area, and I would urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 39. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8. Hanahan. Amends House Bill 39....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #8 is the Labor Protective Amendment that has not been finalized in total form, but if and when we have total policy in effect that the Amendment, either over in the Senate or if there's enough time here, offered to be changed. In the meantime, this is the comparable Amendment #18 to the Bill that we passed last June to House Bill 39. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion?"

Katz: "I have no objection to the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of



Amendment #8 to House Bill 39. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk : O'Brien: "Amendment #9. Deuster. Amends House Bill 39, Third Special Session, page 21 by inserting after Line 7, the following and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Deuster on the Floor? Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, what Amendment is this? Number 9? This is the new service provision. It's the same Amendment that was placed, it's a part of my own Bill. It was placed, I believe, accepted into the Garmisa Bill and I am offering it to the Bill offered by Mr. Katz. It's a provision that allows for a local areas, through initiative, to petition the RTA for services, and if they have some money and are willing to pay part of the cost between 65 and 90%, the RTA would agree to pay the other part of the losses and ah..... then the service would be provided. This is a wonderful way for localities with funds to add and complement to the RTA services. I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "This is an excellent Amendment and we urge its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion. Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #9 to House Bill 39. All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10. Schlickman. Amends House Bill 39....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman.

Representative Katz will handle the Amendment."

Katz: "This is the Amendment that Representative Schlickman previously adopted in connection with another transportation bill that directs the authority to cooperate with any public agency charged under statute with long range planning in the Metropolitan area. It is a very good Amendment and we would urge its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman offers to move the



adoption of Amendment #10 to House Bill 39. All those in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill...."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #11 deletes certain language on Page 37, which on page 37, it states quote "The State of Illinois pledges to agree with the holders of the Bonds and Notes of the authority issued pursuant to this Section, etc." All I'm doing is deleting that language because in a prior paragraph, in order to be consistent, we say that no such bonds or notes shall constitute a debt of the State of Illinois and by this deletion, we're clarifying it that these bonds or notes will not constitute a debt of the State of Illinois and I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "I have no objection to the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #11 to House Bill 39. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12. Mrs. Geo-Karis. Amends House Bill....."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to be consistent with the suggestions I made last night and I said I was going to make them on all of these Bills, Amendment #12 amends House Bill 39 on page 18, line 5 by inserting immediately after the word 'Amendment' the following language: 'Provided, however, that the authority, that such investments may not be made in bonds or other financial obligations of toll highways or bridges or of the Chicago transit authority'. And then on page 19, line 23, by inserting immediately before the period, the words quote 'provided, however, the authority shall not assume the bonds and other financial obligations relative to bonded indebtedness to



toll highways or bridges or of the Chicago Transit Authority. I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "No objection to the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #12 to House Bill 39. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. Are The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13. Geo-Karis. Amend....."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Excuse me, a minute. This is a very short one, believe it or not. Amendment #13 amends House Bill 39 on page 39 by deleting lines 18 through 21 and on lines 18 through 21 and providing that the requirements that could be public notice and public sitting for the construction of services, for the construction of public transportation facilities and the acquisition of services. I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah..... this is an Amendment which further strengthens competitive bidding provisions in House Bill 39. Representative Geo-Karis is to be commended. She has an excellent suggestion for strengthening the public bidding provisions and that's one of the reasons why this Bill is the best of them all. Thank you. I would urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Of course, you said that out of prejudice, Sir."

Katz: "Absolutely."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #13 to House Bill 39. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments. Representative Geo-Karis on the Floor?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I neglected to tell you that on Amendment #11, there was one little change on page 40, line 12 and I simply deleted the word 'reasonable' and added the word 'diligent'. I urge the Adoption."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "Well, it's already been adopted. You want to reconsider it. Third Reading. O'okay, House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 40. I guess that's the next one. 40. Yeow, Harold said 40."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to provide for the distribution of certain State revenues. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Lundy. Amends House Bill 40....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is purely a technical Amendment. It was discovered at the time the Bill was introduced that the Reference Bureau had included in it some appropriation language and in order to avoid having the Bill referred to the Appropriations Committee, we agreed that the appropriating language would be taken out of the Bill and put into a separate Bill. That has now been done. The separate Bill is House Bill 46 and this Amendment is merely to take out the appropriations language in House Bill 40."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 40. All in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. O'okay. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the Third Special Session now adjourn until 4:00 PM on Sunday afternoon."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, what can you say, except to say....."

Speaker Telcser: "You want me to say something?"

Choate: "I wasn't asking you, I was asking Lechowicz. I think that this is a quite untimely Motion. The agreement was that we would have an opportunity to run some Bills on Postponed Consideration. I would hope that the Membership would join with me after staying here all the hours that we've spent this week, spent again today and then looked forward to going home in the morning and turn



right around and coming right back Sunday. I would hope that you would agree with me that we should stay here for a little bit longer and get at the business at hand and I would hope that you would agree with me in the defeat of the Motion to adjourn this Session until four o'clock on Sunday and I would encourage the Membership to do so."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for three days straight, we have been here until after 12:30 AM, that is in the earliest that we have gotten out of here. As the Gentleman pointed out the other day, there are many Members here who are not in the best of health, some who are up in age. All of us are tired, fatigued. We need rest. The Senate has adjourned until the hour of four o'clock Sunday afternoon. They will be back then to consider the RTA and many other matters that are coming before us. The Gentleman is mistaken. He's usually wrong. This time he was mistaken, about any agreement that we had to get to the Order of Postponed Consideration. We doubt very much with the size of the Calendar on the Third Special Session that we would ever get to the Postponed consideration within any reasonable length of time, so those persons who are feeling poorly and are.... need their rest, could anticipate a very long Session, so I would suggest to you that the Motion, it's a good Motion and anyone who is voting their heart and their conscious and does not have a ring through their nose and being led by the Mayor and by the Governor and their Leaders here, you disappoint me."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has moved that the House stand adjourned until the hour of four o'clock Sunday. All in favor..... All in favor of the Gentleman..... Representative Choate."

Choate: "I request a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats, so we can get this Roll Call taken as rapidly and as accurately



as possible."

Clerk Selcke: "This is the Third Special Session, isn't it? Clerk began oral Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Duff: "Fairly early today, I went up to the Chair and I asked for some kind of indication as to whether it might be possible to find out whether we were going to stay here today or not. Now once every year for the last six years, my wife and I have gone to the Charity Ball, which is being held right this moment and the Chair told me that he couldn't solve my personal problems and I should go check with the Leadership on the other side. Consequently, I'll vote for my personal problem and I'll vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Clerk continues Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murphy, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, if my good friend and the distinguished Minority Leader wants to stay here and work, by God, I want to stay here and work with him, but I want him to help me on a Motion I'm going to make, too, so at the moment, I will vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "It's an undebatable Motion, but I still think we have a privilege of an explanation and I've been coming the last three days, back and forth. If this Motion had been put at 5:00, I would have supported it, because the last train is gone done, the last plane is gone and I'd just as soon stay here and get the work done and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The guys have offered you a couple of rides, Bernie. Record Representative Campbell as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "How does B. B. vote?"

Speaker Telcser: "B. B. votes no."



Clerk Selcke: "Clerk continues with Roll Call....."

Speaker Telcser: "Let him get done with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Clerk continues with Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Speaker 'aye'. All right, Representative Washington votes 'no'. Barnes 'no'. Let's not go too fast. Douglas 'no'. Londrigan 'no'. Bradley 'no'. Toby Barry 'no'. I'm trying to work around. DiPrima 'no'. Boyle 'no'. I hear some 'aye' votes Fred. Representative Mahar, for what purpose do you rise?"

Telcser: "Point of Personal Privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Mahar: "I received some information this morning that disturbed me a great deal. It really bothers me, and I'd like to have this total Body join with me in protest. It's a protest to the City of Springfield. Do you realize that they have entertained and they have another circus going on in this town at the same time that we're operating."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Catania as voting 'no'. Klosak, come on, it's awful difficult on the Clerk. Klosak 'no'. Ike Sims 'no'. Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Ewell: "Brief explanation."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Ewell: "I feel just like I'm voting against my pay raise. I wish we had more votes, but I'm compelled to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman wants to vote 'aye', but he's voting 'no'. Representative Yourell wants to explain his vote."

Yourell: "Bill Mahar, I want you to know that that other circus isn't drawing the crowds that we are."

Speaker Telcser: "What do you have, Mr. Clerk? He votes 'no'. Lauer 'aye'. Ebbesen 'aye'. Getty 'no'. Anybody else, so the Clerk can tally up the votes? On this question, there are 60 'ayes', 91 'nays', and the Gentleman's Motion to adjourn has failed. O'kay the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now I went along, of course, with the Minority Party that's in the Majority



and wants to work so hard, but I'll tell you no man works hard without having something in their stomachs, and we've gone three or four days with nothing in our stomachs, and I now move, Mr. Speaker, that we recess this House until 9:30 tonight, so we can have some dinner."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor, signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House stands in recess. The hour of 9:30 has arrived. The House will be in Order for the Third Special Session. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for a quorum call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a quorum call. Will the Clerk read the Roll Call. Representative Shea."

Shea: "I would presume that the Clerk of the House would call an oral Roll Call?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct. O'kay?"

Shea: "I don't see the Clerk of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman, you want to read..... Chaulkie, you want to read the Roll Call?"

Shea: "I'm sorry, I can't hear him and I'd like him to call it out very loud and very clear."

Clerk Aikman: Reads Oral Roll Call.

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Geo-Karis, has raised a point of Parliamentary Inquiry. I think her point is state your point, Representative."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, much as I like Dave Caravell, I wish he wouldn't answer for the Representatives. Thank you, Davy."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, point of parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Houlihan: "Being a freshman, what is a quorum?"

Speaker Telcser: "89 Members."

Houlihan: "89 Members present and voting?"

Speaker Telcser: "89 Members answering the call."

Houlihan: "Answering the Call present or answering against?"



Speaker Telcser: "Well, I think if their presence is noted by however or whatever words they used that will mean they're here. Representative Shea. Representative Shea."

Shea: Mr. Temporary Speaker, I see the Speaker with you up there and I assume if per chance after this Roll Call, there were not a quorum present, you would send out the State Police to require all Members elected or appointed to this Body be required in attendance."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, if and when we reach that point, we can then....."

Shea: "Well, I'm concerned about it."

Speaker Telcser: "I know you're concerned about it."

Shea: "You're the Temporary Speaker of this House. The Speaker chooses not to be in the Chair. He advocates his responsibility and authority to you, and I ask you as the presiding officer of this House if there is not a quorum present at the end of this Roll Call, will you send out the State Police to ensure that the business of the House may proceed."

Speaker Telcser: "I would consider answering that question should it become necessary if there's no quorum. Proceed, Sir. Start the Roll Call from the beginning."

Clerk Aikman: Reads Oral Roll Call again.

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Might I ask you please, Sir, as the Temporary Speaker and Acting Presiding Officer of this House, why you do not choose to answer that question?"

Speaker Telcser: "I've already told you why."

Shea: "All right, now, might I ask you this, Mr. Speaker. You've got a very nice gaval when you choose to adjourn. Now before you get cute with the gaval this evening, might I ask that I would like a Roll Call vote on any adjournment resolution, because, Sir, you are tampering with some things that I think are important to the People of my District and your District and all the Districts of this State."



Speaker Telcser: "Yes you may ask for that Roll Call, Sir. One second, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will you assure me I will get it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well only to say that we are in the course of a Roll Call and he has interrupted it three times now to make statements about one thing or another. If the tactics are dilatory, and I see other Members over there with their hands up and including the Minority Leader. I'm very much surprised. I don't think you should recognize anyone during the course of this Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, o'kay..... I'm going to recognize one more. Representative Douglas was in the my District. He's going to raise hell with me. Representative Douglas, for what purpose do you rise?"

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I have come to the beginning of Sessions of this House on hundreds of occasions since being elected to Membership. I have become very uncomfortable and unhappy with the fact that we have developed a tradition which is so traditional that it's become accepted as practice of never beginning on time. Now, it's no secret to anyone what kind of foolishness is going on at this moment. I'm speaking on a Point of Personal Privilege and I hope you'll give me this privilege. The Roll Call hasn't even really begun yet. You know, I waited for you to get here on time tonight, because I suspected, because I suspected that this kind of utter stupidity was going to take place and that's what it is. This is foolishness and it's stupidity and it's an insult to every single Member of this House and to every person who resides in the State of Illinois. Now whatever purpose you have for starting it at exactly 9:30 tonight is obviously an intentional effort to make a mockery of the entire legislative process. I don't personally give one hoot or damn why you're doing it. All I know is that you're insulting, Mr. Telcser, every single person in this whole District who elected you and me office and I resent the fact that you're standing up there at the end of puppet



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

strings allowing another idiot to dangle you and force you.....
and force you to make a continuing mockery of the process of
....."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay."

Douglas: "And I ask you, Mr. Speaker,..... Mr. Speaker, I ask....."

Speaker Telcser: "Now there's a number of points being raised, Representative Douglas. Ah..... I'll get back to you. Representative Peters, what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think that the remarks of the distinguished Representative from Chicago are in order in that the quorum call was not requested by the Temporary Speaker. The Session was called to Order at 9:30, as was indicated when we left here a little earlier. I got here, as did the Representative from Chicago, on time. Saw something like 15 or 20 Members here at that Point and certainly it was within my perogative, as a Member of the House, to rush here to get here on time, to ask for a quorum call. If there are 89 Members here, we proceed with the business. If there are not 89 Members here, I have no desire to make any Motion to Adjourn this situation for this evening, but I think in accordance, Representative with what you were saying, I think we ought to start the practice. If it's called at 9:30, then 9:30 is when we ought to be here and we ought to get started. We'll be past twelve o'clock and there are some Bills on RTA, especially Representative Katz' Bill that I certainly would want to vote for, and I would certainly like to see that we get to that at some point."

Speaker Telcser: "Let me get back to Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to continue for a few moment, because I'd like to end my statement with a request, and that request is, in light of the fact that this is obviously an effort to make a further mockery of the Legislative process, all I ask is that you at least respect the intent of recessing until 9:30 and respect the fact that we have never, but never one single time, since we began, since I started in the 77th General

Assembly begun exactly on time, out of respect to traffic lights, out of respect to all kinds of obstructions which make it difficult for People to arrive here on time and I ask that you delay the beginning of this House as you have always done, the Republicans who have led this House to allow the People who wish to be here tonight, who have given of themselves and sacrificed their weekends and their families to be here tonight so that we could at least have a sensible and worthwhile debate on an issue which affects the lives of every citizen of the State and especially, Mr. Telcser, the citizens of the District that you and I represent and I ask that we delay this Session for at least another 15 or 20 minutes to allow people to be here on time."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, now, it is my intention to recognize everybody and I'll go Democrat/Republican. Is that all right? Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would remind the distinguished Gentleman from Chicago that just spoke, that part of the Rules of this House is having respect and not unprovoked attacks about somebody else and impugning their integrity. I might remind, Representative Douglas, two years ago, there was a Resolution that was contemplated being introduced to censure you and it may well follow again this Session."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay. Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first, Mr. Speaker, may I ask just for a little order."

Speaker Telcser: "The noise is coming from your side, Sir."

Wolfe: "Let my side please sit down and let's conduct ourselves as lawmakers and not as..... First of all, we're back from a recessed session and I walked in and there was a Roll Call being taken. Now I don't know what the purpose of the purpose of the Roll Call is and that's one of my first questions to the Chair. What is the purpose of this Roll Call at this time, because as I look at Rule 61a and b, ah..... a quorum call is indicated when upon a Roll Call of any Bill or Resolution or Motion, it



discloses the absence of a quorum. Then the following is in Order of business. One, a Motion to produce a quorum by compelling the intention of absent Members, so if this is suppose to be a quorum call, I would say that we're a little bit ahead of the ball before we go to Rule 61b, requiring a Motion to produce a quorum, by compelling the attendance of that Member so if the Chair would kindly inform me as to what this Roll Call is about and how and what Motion brought it about and so forth, I would be very happy and appreciative."

Speaker Telcser: "The Roll Call is now being conducted simply because a Member rose to his feet and questioned the presence of a quorum. Gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Temporary Speaker, maybe I'm in order, I don't know, but I need a little information. Being from way down State, I'm not too familiar with RTA and all of that. Would you, as the Temporary Speaker of this House assure us downstaters that Representative Katz' RTA Bill that might provide some tax relief for the property owner downstate, be called tonight?"

Speaker Telcser: "I..... I would..... Representative Katz is seeking recognition."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, my name has twice been mentioned in debate. The first time by Representative Peters and in violation of the Rules Mr. Speaker, and I was very surprised that you didn't recognize me since he had mentioned my name, and now Representative McCormick has mentioned the name and he would like an opportunity to vote for my Bill and I would like that opportunity, too and the only way that will take place will be if Representative Peters will withdraw his request for a quorum call, because it is perfectly apparent that he's trying to adjourn and if they adjourn, then there will be no opportunity to consider all of the RTA Bills. Not just mine, but all of them, and so I would suggest that Representative C. L. McCormick speak to his good friend, Representative Peters, withdraw the quorum call, let us get on with the problem of transportation in the metropolitan area and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I am glad they like my Bill and I hope they will stay here and let us carry the business of the people of the Chicago Metropolitan area here tonight, Mr. and Mrs....."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, with Representative Katz, he wants, in mentioning my name and his, it makes no difference, because we're such good friends. Representative Katz, you sold me on the idea of the Property Tax Relief after I couldn't get your vote for my Bill and I think this is my opportunity to help not only your people up north, but here, but I just wanted...I don't want to adjourn tonight. I want us to stay here and consider your Bill too, that's all I ask of them."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, well let's get to Representative Peters who put the motion, maybe Representative Katz has made an impression on him. I don't know."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I apologize to the Representative from Cook County for infringing upon the Rules, but also indicate to him that he did likewise in referring to me. I indicated in my remarks to the Representative from Chicago that it was not my intention to stop the procedure of practice here in the House, except for the fact that we were here at 9:30, we should have started at 9:30 and it appears to me, Representative, that in fact by putting the question that I have, I have done a service to the House, because it appears that a lot more people are coming in a lot quicker than they ordinarily might have and I assure that really was my concern because I have a great interest in casting a vote for your Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you want to continue? Representative Murphy for what purpose do you rise?"

Murphy: "You still got your motion? Well Mr. Speaker, I just want to say this, that when I made the motion to recess for dinner, I did it because I was hungry and no intention of delaying the process of this House tonight and I hurried back here, I got here at 9:33, Pete, and I would ask you to withdraw your motion also so we can proceed with the business



of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "It...it would appear Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that the purpose of my asking for a quorum call was indeed fulfilled that we will be starting nearer to 9:30 than the 10:30 or 11:00 most of us expected we would be starting at and I assure you again, my good friend, the Representative from Cook County...ah...that my intentions here were to insure we do start earlier so that we do have the opportunity to vote on your Bill and I withdraw my request."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman's withdrawn his request...ah..."

I think the only reason he did it was because he knew after a big dinner everybody had to be a little bit worked up and if all of you will please do me a personal favor...ah... no one in my district knows I'm an idiot, so kind of keep it among yourselves. Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, sir."

Yourell: "Yes, I'd like to direct a question to the Gentleman that called the Quorum Call. My good friend, Representative Peters."

Speaker Telcser: "Where..."

Yourell: "He left, right?"

Speaker Telcser: "Where's Pete? Here he is."

Yourell: "Yes, well, you know, I'm not...I'm not as young as I use to be Pete, and I busted my you know what, to get over from the Caucus Room, here. I left two full drinks on that bar and I would ask you if we have time to go back and finish those drinks."

Peters: "Park it and I'll buy you two more."

Yourell: "Did you hear that? Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Gene Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hoffman: "I have...I have one question of the Temporary Speaker. Where does your wife live?"



Speaker Telcser: "That went over my head Gene, I'm sorry.

Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Certainly not to answer that question Mr. Speaker. But I can understand my friend, Bruce Douglas, from the district north getting all intense. I had that happen to me last Session and I got all carried away with the rhetoric of the debate. But I'd like to say in a tone of nonpartisan effort that we all ought to give our Temporary Speaker a boost and hope that maybe in January if we could change the Rules, or something like that, that he would move ahead to a sense of direction and purpose in this Legislative Body."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, on the Speaker's Table. On the...

Is Representative Davis on the Floor? Corneal? Okay with your...ah... All right on the Speaker's Table, appears

House Resolution #4, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis is recognized. Okay?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution #4, has been heard in the Committee and it has been unanimously recommended for passage. I want to read the resolve of this Resolution. Resolved by the House of..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Might I ask the Chair, at the time you entertained a motion, or whoever was in the Chair, entertained a motion to recess. On what order of business were you?"

Speaker Telcser: "House Bills, Second Reading."

Shea: "Now, have you chose to go to another order of business, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "What are you really saying, Jerry?"

Shea: "I am saying that I have House Bill 42. Which is the next order of business, on Second Reading and I am sure the Chair and the real Speaker will tell you that you have all the authority to, you know, to kind of skip around the Calendar at your discretion."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea...ah... On the Calendar we have here, which you're familiar with, there's a line drawn through 42, didn't we call that? Didn't Kenny call it when he was here?"

Shea: "Well, I'm sorry, I might have been off the Floor. Is 42?"

Speaker Telcser: "Appropriation for the General Revenue Fund. There's a line drawn through it."

Shea: "Well would you ask the Clerk if 42 is on...What about 43."

Speaker Telcser: "They were both called on that one."

Shea: "What about House Bill 7?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, not yet."

Shea: "Well, could we go back to House Bill 7 and start on that? I...I would like very much to call House Bill 7. On Second Reading, it's a very simple and nocuous Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea...ah..."

Shea: "When you've finished House Resolution 4, if you'd go back to my House Bill 7, I'd be most appreciative."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to sincerely thank the Speaker, for giving me this opportunity, in fact, for calling House Resolution #4. It relates to abuse of State wards in Child Care Facilities. It came about because of the stories that had been circulated about abuse of the children who are being sent out of state. This...ah...Resolution was heard by the Executive Committee and unanimously they recommended that it be adopted. And I appeal now, to this House, if they will, to adopt this Resolution so that this work can be continued under the leadership and membership of a Committee that will be appointed by the Speaker of the House and recommended by the Minority Leader of the House and appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives. I solicit your support. Many Members have asked about Membership on this Committee. I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

will not have nor do I have the power to appoint any one member. The question of who will be appointed Members, as I say of this commission, will be the prerogative of the Speaker of the House and the Minority Leader of the House. So that we can continue this great work and it would carry on and I solicit the vote of everyone."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook..."

Davis: "May I have the last favorable Roll Call if it's possible?"

Speaker Telcser: "There's some debate first Corneal, all right?"

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman, wishes to talk on the...ah...your Resolution."

Berman: "Well Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if we could have the Clerk read the Resolution. I think it's of interest to all of us."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure. Mr. Clerk, could you read...ah..."

House Resolution 4?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 4. Whereas, in July, 1973, fourteen Members of the Illinois House of Representatives learned of alleged abuse, neglect of state wards in Child Care Facilities located in the State of Texas and these same Representatives became aware of other problems of the Child Welfare Services of Illinois. Including many irregularities and inconsistencies in the programs services being administered by the State Welfare Department having primary responsibility for Children Services and whereas, Representative Corneal Davis, Eugene Barnes, Emil Jones, Robert Holloway, Harold Washington, Peggy Smith Martin, Raymond Ewell, James Taylor, James McLendon, Langdon Patrick, Robert L. Thompson, Lewis Caldwell, Richard A. Carter and Isaac Sims, agreed, after reviewing additional evidence about probable wrong doings by Illinois and Texas Welfare Officials, the Administration of Child Care Services to join together as an ADHOC Study Committee, which they call the Legislative Action Team on Child Care, to conduct a thorough investigation into the allegations and widespread news reports



to determine whether remedial action was necessary for the to correct deficiencies in the State Child Care Program.

And whereas, the Legislative Action Team on Child Care, conducted public hearings, where responsible Government Agencies Representatives and persons of knowledge about child welfare services in Illinois, provided considerable evidence to support the original findings and allegations concerning abuse and neglect of Illinois Children and out of state institutions and where sufficient evidence was publicly stated which indicated the need for more extensive, in-depth investigation of the complex areas involved in the delivery of Child Welfare Services in Illinois. And whereas, additional study and research by Legislative Action Team on Child Care resulted of a conclusion by the members of the ad hoc Study Committee, that it's investigation should be continued in a manner which would allow for more elaborate and complete review of the problems in its isolated and it's three months of work and that the critical nature of the problem demanded immediate, intense involvement of the Members of the General Assembly who had the full support and financial resources of the Legislature behind them to accomplish this task of correcting as many of the problems in the Child Care Delivery System as possible. And whereas, the Legislative Action Team on Child Care prepared and issued on an Action Plan, September 15, which calls for the creation of a legally constituted Study Committee. To study five specific problem areas which need immediate and thorough review and whereas, a report issued by the Legislative Advisory Team on Child Care suggests that there are other problems that need review and resolution.

Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Seventy-eighth General Assembly, State of Illinois, that there is created a special House Committee to be known as the House Action Committee on Child Care. Established for the purpose of continuing the work of the Legislative



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Action Team on Child Care and studying evaluating and making recommendations regarding improvement of any aspect of the Child Care Delivery System as it's currently administered in Illinois. The committee shall consist of six Members of the House of Representatives, appointed by the Speaker thereof, and six Members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Minority Leader thereof. Vacancies shall be filled in the same manner as original appointments. The Committee may implore and fix the compensation of such as it considers necessary and it may accept and use or spend any offer of services equipment supplied in material funds by gifts of grant made by any private person, foundation or association or by any agency of the State or Federal Government. Or may enter into contract with private or public organizations for the purpose of assisting the Committee, carrying out it's mandate. The Committee may also request and receive from all Departments, Agencies or any County, Municipality or other political subdivision of the state. Such that as may be required by the Committee for the purposes of preparing it's findings or recommendations. And be it further resolved, that the expense of the House Action Committee be defrayed from the Appropriations for special Committees of the House of Representatives. The Members of the Committee shall receive no compensation for their services but shall be reimbursed for all expenses actually and necessarily incurred by them in performance of their duties. Be it further resolved, that the House Action Committee take an interim...make an interim report of their findings and recommendations to the House Committee on Human Resources and Appropriations no later than May 1, 1974. The Committee shall make it's final report of findings and recommendations to the Illinois House of Representatives and shall file such a report with the Clerk of the House of Representatives, no later than December 31, 1974."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well Mr. Speaker. I hope that some of our colleagues were able to hear over the din...ah...the Resolution which the Clerk just read. I think it's laudatory that a number of our colleagues were able to formulate themselves into an 'ad hoc' Action Committee, while we were in adjournment over the summer, to look into the subject matter which I think is of importance and concern to all of us in the House as well as the Senate. I think that it...an example of dedication that goes beyond the four walls of this Body where they were able to gather themselves together during the so called vacation period and look into an area that affected a number of children. And this is many of the times what we hear from the Floor of the House when we talk about education and mental health and welfare, the concerns and the needs of children and I think there was a great deal of concern for the welfare of these children in these Texas homes. First, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask for leave to have my name added as a Co-Sponsor on this Resolution and I think that any of our colleagues that would like to do the same, should have that opportunity."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections? Hearing none..ah.. Any Member who wishes to have their name added as Co-Sponsor to House Resolution 4, If you come to the Clerks desk...ah...Fred? A number of Members want to be added as Co-Sponsors to this Resolution. They come up to the Clerk's desk at your convenience. They'll make a list and have it Journalized. Is that all right?"

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, if I might address myself very briefly to this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry?"

Ewell: "I said, if I might address myself very briefly..."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed sir."

Ewell: "I would like to say to the Members of the House, that I was a member of this 'ad hoc' Committee. We got involved in it simply because of a press report about abuses of Illinois children and if you could have only been there to hear the evidence that we heard to see the pictures that we saw and to hear from the various witnesses, there would be no question of your desire to go forward with this Resolution. We were confronted with a situation that the Department of Children and Family Services couldn't even account for these children and what was more depressing was to learn from the first hand testimony of one of the Legislators from Texas, who was investigating with a Committee in Texas, the same situation, was to see the type of evidence that he presented to the Committee and I say to the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that not only was the testimony electrifying but if he showed you the pictures of some of the schools that we saw that the State of Illinois was paying in excess of twenty five dollars a day and the testimony that other jurisdictions were paying as little as three some dollars a day for the same care that Illinois kids are getting for twenty-five dollars. Ah...we began to wonder, because we saw the explicit testimony of and we heard and saw, pictures of what they call a...a farm system. In other words, in the brochure they would show you a picture of a facility that was located in the center of town, that was a relatively decent facility. After that they showed us pictures of what they call campuses and if you could have seen the campuses that some of these institutions had, it would surely disturb your sense of fairness and justice and make you question the wisdom of Illinois Authorities in sending kids to some of these institutions. To describe the farm facility, the in town place had a few counselors and was set up on a relatively stable basis. But the farm systems were not even licensed by the State of



Texas. They consisted of such things as army barracks, what looked like worn out chicken coops and outdoor privies, wire cages for the detention and punishment of those who might create some type of discipline problem. Absolutely no counseling, no teachers and a limited number of personnel to care for these children. They were set out in the wild.... they were set out in the wilderness. They were set out in the wilderness apart from all semblences of civilization, out on isolated areas with buildings that were in disrepute, the toilet facilities did not work. Most of them has outdoor privies, chicken coop, wire cage fences and I say to you, it was a disgusting sight. A sight that was appalling and then to find out that Illinois was paying the sum of twenty five dollars a day, per child, was distressing. When we found out about these abuses we also learned that there was some question of political connection and why should Illinois children be placed in institutions like that? I say to you that it was a shock to the conscience of the people of this state to hear about operations that were performed on some of these children without the consent even of the guardian, who's supposed to be the guardian of all these children in Texas. They couldn't even tell us where the children were, how many there were and how long they had stayed there. Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm saying that if you had any concern for the children of the State of Illinois you would surely not want any child or even one child to be sent to these facilities and when you view the disparing condition and the surroundings, the inadequacies of the meals, the absence of any teachers, the absence of any counseling, you begin to wonder..."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a minute... Representative Ti... Did you want it now or afterwards? I'm sorry, proceed Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "You begin to wonder what could motivate a state and a people to be so insensitive as to send children to conditions like



this. I say to you it was almost heartrending to listen to the facts that they had children who were diagnosed perhaps to be maladjusted, who were sent to these institutions and in many of the cases, they didn't even have shoes. They walked around barefoot. There was no provisions for providing adequate clothing, teaching, counseling or education. These were simply, in my words, detention centers for children and it was to me, it was as wrong as having people placed in concentration camps. It was simply a method by which the State of Illinois was shirking it's responsibilities. Removing these children from the side of their parents, or relatives and their loved ones and indeed, it was most depressing. We would be glad to show you the pictures or relate to you any of the evidence or as a matter of fact, answer any of the questions that you might have. This is a Resolutuion, which if you care about children, you will support one hundred per cent and give us 177 votes. Thank you Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "All I can say to the Gentleman's remarks is Amen, and I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no' and Representative Davis to close."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker. I think my colleague, Representative Ewell has given a rather dynamic explanation and I would like for all of those who would like to Co-Sponsor this Resolution to give their names to the Clerk and I'd like to have, I'd like to move adoption of this Resolution and ask for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the question is, shall House Resolution 4 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Are there any objections to the last unanimous Roll Call? Hearing none, the question is 171 'aye', no 'nay' and House Resolution #4 is adopted. Okay on the Order of House



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bills, Second Reading. Representative Shea wanted a Bill called? Jerry? Representative? House Bills, Second Reading, House Bill 7."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 7. Shea. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Withdraw that Chalkie... One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 7 by deleting after the enacting clause and so forth. Committee Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: " Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill was originally introduced in the amount of forty million dollars as an appropriation to the Department of Transportation to...ah...take care of an obligation out of, I think it's series A Bond Funds...ah...for work in Cook County. At the time we passed the Bond Act, there was some six hundred million dollars in Bond Funds authorized. Mr. Speaker, could you get a little order please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Will the Members please be in their seats.

Will those persons not authorized to be on the Floor, please absent themselves? Can we have a little order in the Chamber. Well Representative Shea is waiting with his Bill, he'd like the Members to listen to him. Now the Gentleman has every right to have his Bill heard and paid attention to. There are a number of Amendments as I understand will be offered and it's a Bill that I know most of you are very much interested in."

Shea: "Might I proceed Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Whenever you're ready sir."

Shea: "Well I was waiting for you to..."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you were just waiting for it to get a little more quiet."

Shea: "No, I...I... No. House Bill 40, or House Bill 7 appropriates forty million dollars out of Series A Transportation Bond Funds to the Transportation Department of the State of Illinois,



to be used primarily in the northern part of the State, Cook County in a commitment that was made by that Department under Cellini at the time the six hundred million dollar bond issue passed this House. Now in this Fiscal year there was appropriated and reappropriated to the use of the Department, primarily for downstate roads, one hundred and forty seven million dollars. There has been a request by the Department for an additional forty million dollars so that there will be in no way any shortage of Bond Funds for either upstate or downstate. Because of the action of this Legislature, if the Department is forced to keep it's commitment, within the appropriation that is presently available, there is a slight possibility that downstate roads, particularly in the area where they are building in Quincy, could be stalled for up to a year and I would not like to see this happen. So I would object to the ladies... we are at the point where I guess the lady, or I'm explaining the Bill, this is the Bill I, if the Lady would like to explain her Amendment and then I could answer.

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kent, is this your Amendment?"

Okay, Representative Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to offer Amendment #1 to House Bill 7. This is a Committee Amendment which passed the Appropriations Committee, 16 for, 8 against. This Amendment allows for a hundred and seventy nine million, two hundred thousand or so much thereof as may be necessary appropriated from the Transportation Bond Series A Fund, which we are all very familiar with. This is for the construction, the acquisition the reconstruction, extension and all of those things that we've already talked about so many times that Mary Lou Kent's name is anonymous with it. I want you to tell, I want to tell you that this is here. This is available. The forty million for the Road Fund in Cook County allows the downstate to have their roads as well. This appropriation is made in a percentage basis to enable



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

all to have their needs accordingly. I don't think I have to go into it any further. I hope you realize the importance of this for downstate Illinois. I hope that Mr. Shea was not threatening me by telling me that my road would not come if I put this Amendment on because to me this is a commitment to downstate Illinois and I believe that this Amendment deserves to be voted on affirmatively according to the original Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook...ah...DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "She indicates she will."

Schneider: "Can you explain to me, if there is ...ah... part of the Amendment, I'm sorry, I don't have a copy. A part of the Amendment that effects DuPage County?"

Kent: "Can you just wait? Was it on the original Supplemental Freeway System?"

Schneider: "It's F.A.P. 431 in, that's the question?"

Kent: "This is exactly like the Supplemental Freeway System."

Schneider: "Are you familiar with the...I know the Speaker of the House who talked on this original proposal said that there was something for everybody in every district and if indeed it is the same proposal, I'm curious to know if it's the one that runs through the Arbor Eden in Naperville, I mean rather in DuPage County?" It knocks out three acres of natural land that has been developed to recreate the prairie of the State of Illinois and also it does serious damage to other acreage as a consequence of ozone and think that source that would emanate from automobiles. Is that true that that runs through my district?"

Kent: "Ah...You know that there is a Federal Impact Statement that has to go along with any of these things."

Schneider: "Well, can you tell me the status of that report then?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Kent: "I can not. I know the status of the one that is in my area."

Schneider: "Then if it's not being accomplished, why is it in the Bill? Is it just to say that there's something occurring for everybody in the state?"

Kent: "How do you get something started if you don't make it a rule first?"

Schneider: "Well my question is, why propose it if there's not..."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, Glenn, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I'd very much like to hear this debate, because I spent a great deal of time with the chief engineer of the Department of Transportation who told me the bulk of these requests cannot be gone forward with until the Environmental Impact Statements are completed, and they are not and I think the Gentleman from DuPage raises an excellent point. That what we are doing is, the lady and the lady knows full well that going ahead, they can not do this."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Well Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman was recognized on a Point of Order of trying to quiet the Assembly. He was not recognized to give a speech."

Speaker Telcser: "You're absolutely right sir. Representative Schneider, you proceed please."

Schneider: "Well my only question is to explore the reason for having it in there and secondly to suggest that I would oppose it just simply on the basis that it's going to destroy again a lot of effort and work that DuPage County has tried to put forward in creating a natural surrounding that cannot be duplicated anywhere and thirdly, the very serious question about why in the world the propositions is in there if E.P.A. Statements have not been undertaken and studies have not been done and then thirdly, I guess, I don't



quite understand what the percentages amounts to. Does it amount to the same total dollars that were proposed in the original Blair Amendment, or if it is in percentages, could you explain to me as a person who's not interested in concreting DuPage County or the State of Illinois who does not buy the idea that economic determine is at rest on the freeway system of the State of Illinois. Can you tell me why that exists in that Bill? You know briefly, what purpose does it serve?"

Kent: "I'm not used to answering quite so many at one time, so I'll start. So just wait, will you, give me a chance?"

Schneider: "I'm willing to wait."

Kent: "Do you know the Economic Statement, Environmental Statement from the Federal Government, first of all they have to be there before that statement will arrive back to you. We first have to put the proposal in, why put the proposal in? Because... because, so that we can find out, these are things and decisions are made, they have to start."

Schneider: "So, we keep putting them in."

Kent: "Maybe yours will not come out, but the others will. There's ...this dollar amount is the same as it was enacted in 1970. The Budget for the Department of Transportation from the Series A Bonds."

Schneider: "Well I'm suppose to...If I were to buy your position, you know, I understand your Quinicy thing...ah... If I were to buy your position that I am to go back to my county and say we're going to have highways for all of you. But really it's only a promise...ah...I think I'd be in a position."

Kent: "You're talking to a gal that's had that for many, many years. It's only a promise."

Schneider: "You've been running on promises?"

Kent: "Yes, I've been living on promises and I'm tired of it. And lets put something in so that the Department of Transportation has a law to go by. So that these economic Impact Statements can be made, so the Federal approval can be done. Let's get them



started. Let's go with it."

Schneider: "They were started under the previous administration where I opposed that proposal originally and again I think it does damage environmentally and I think Legislators from DuPage ought to be aware of what Mr. Blair and the people who propose building highways throughout the State of Illinois."

Kent: "Hearing..."

Schneider: "You're interrupting me Mary Lou after you..."

Kent: "You interrupted me."

Schneider: "I did not. And I don't think we ought to promise highways that you can't produce on. I will oppose this, I will oppose it when Mr. Walker, as he sees it as his cross town, eventually, at that time, but concrete does not, in my district, work out. I think the environment and what the (Unintelligible) is trying to do is far more important and I would suggest we oppose the Amendment."

Kent: "May I answer that please? We are proposing this for the Highway only, providing it meets the requirements of the Federal Government and the State of Illinois. This is imperative to the Economics of Illinois. Let's go for it while we've got a chance. Let's don't have the people of Illinois say you let them down, because you voted for this once, you promised them, now let's go with it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Will the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions on this Amendment?"

Speaker Telcser: "She indicates that she will."

Kent: "Go ahead."

Cunningham: "Mary Lou, what is there in this Bill for F411 and F409, which you'll recall to be route 1 and route 50, that happen to run through the 54th District?"

Kent: "Did you say F401 or 411?"

Cunningham: "F411 and F409. The other day we had a little trouble



with the Secretary of Transportation. He couldn't find those routes either, so I lost....I won't quarrel with you about them. But I just want your assurance here before all these fine people, is there anything in there for my people?"

Kent: "There's lots of them. They're just marking them like crazy."

Cunningham: "Now, I would ask you a second question, because each of us has the responsibility to be financially responsible. We hear that term quite often, does this require any new taxes? Are the monies that are involved here from the Bond Issue authorized in 1971 by the 77th General Assembly?"

Kent: "You remember when the Bond Issue was voted for it was voted for by the people. They have been paying increased gas taxes, they've been increased license fees. Ah...This Bond was a promise to those people that this supplemental freeway system will be built all over the State of Illinois. Now, if they are asking now for us to redo this again, what promise do we have on any of this, unless we make it a law. Now to me that's the only way to do it."

Cunningham: "Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, if I may talk on this just for a very few minutes, I'll quit, but I want to speak particularly to downstaters, including that Gentleman from Naperville a moment ago who indicated such a callous disregard for highways for the people of downstate Illinois. We considered last night, we'll consider again tonight, a couple of Bills that are euphemistically described as downstate Bond Road Bills. You will recall those Bills, I say to you that they are neither quasi, semi-pseudo downstate Bills, there are none of those things, if it were true, I would tell you so. This is the downstate Bill and this, if you want roads downstate, this is your opportunity to stand up and be counted on behalf of good highways. These Bills, that my great and good friend from Sullivan was advocating here last evening, and I fear may be advocating again later on, are nothing more than a scheme



to throw your minds off...off the path you should be following. They are Bills to replace monies that are taken out of the Highway Fund and spent elsewhere against the interest of your constituents and mine and to construction of downstate highways. Words are easy, but there comes a time that they must couple their deeds with their words and now is the time, here and now is the opportunity for each of us to strike a lick for good highways throughout Illinois by supporting this particular Amendment. It is absolutely unthinkable that anyone could resist this Amendment on the basis there was an alternative elsewhere, there is none. And I thought there a moment ago as I heard the Minority Leader so crudely threaten the gracious Lady who offers this inspiration of this House without highways, I thought about the poet that wrote, 'There are gains for all our losses, bombs for all our woe.' For one thing sure, he can't threaten the 54th District with anything about highways because they haven't had any promise whatsoever."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Cunningham..."

Cunningham: "I'm busy just now..."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute please. Well Representative Shea wants recognition. I don't know why."

Shea: "Well I certainly didn't threaten the Lady and if she took it that way or if Mr. Cunningham took it that way. I never meant it that way."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "We all speak the same language, we do have tape on it. If there's any other construction that can be given that, I'll be glad to witness that construction. But let me say it like this. The other day here we had the Secretary of Transportation, who is an estimable Gentleman up there on that stage with a map and he told us what he had in mind for the State of Illinois for freeway construction in the 54th District and everyone of you here heard him, what he said. Did he have anything in mind for the first year, the second year, the



third year, the fourth year, the fifth year? Not one yard of concrete will be poured on freeway construction in the 54th District in five years. 'Miens Gott en himmel und Hitlers frau.' Do you realize in that length of time the present Governor would be in his Third term, before we could hope for even a speck of concrete in the 54th District. Is it any wonder that we are desperate? Desperate in our need if we appeal to you with tears in our eyes, support this Lady in an effort, in an effort to justify the confidence that we share in you. Extend your hand to us in the camaraderie of cooperation. Together we can build a better state and for the lives of downstaters, as well as Cook County. It's the height of audacity for these people to come in and say 'Give us forty million dollars, but we don't want to put anything in there for downstate roads.' Believe me, this is the Bill that tells whether or not you're willing to...to face up to your responsibilities. I plead with you to vote for Mary Lou."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think here we have an excellent opportunity for those of us who live outside the City of Chicago...ah...to see, that if there are going to be Highway Bonds...ah...issued and proceeds spent for roads, ah...that the downstate area is treated in the same fair fashion as this Bill, without the Amendment, would attempt to treat the City of Chicago. Now, there's just no question that the forty million dollars or so, that's in this Bill, Mr. Shea, is to go for freeways up in the Chicago area. Now, it's only fair, I think, that if we're going to go along with that and I'm amenable to doing that as long as we can see that the downstate freeway system, which is, would be mandated under Mrs. Kent's Amendment, ah...is also taken care of. It will provide one hundred and thirty nine million dollars to the work, all of which can be...ah...gotten underway by the Department of Transportation. We reviewed with their people some



months ago, all of these various projects and it will continue, the supplemental freeway system, design and planning and construction that this General Assembly mandated some four to five years ago when we made the increases in the Motor Fuel Tax and the License Plates...ah...and authorized six hundred million dollars worth of bonds in the first stage of the highway construction. So, what's fair for Chicago certainly is fair for downstate, Illinois and we certainly want a Roll Call on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, what we're talking about here is need and not just greed. It appears that every time the City of Chicago or the County of Cook becomes strapped with something, meets crisis, everytime we become unable to help ourselves, every time that we find the fears and woes knocking on our door, we find out that our friends and comrades from downstate have one thing in common and that seems to be a little bit of greed. They say, 'Where is our share?' and now, we from Cook County never ask for ours as they ask us to build culvert after culvert, as they ask us to stop the rainwater from lapping around the homes in downstate, as they ask us for improvements for every slew and if you don't know what a slew is, we can tell you what that is, for every river, stream, creek and bridge that ever spanned southern Illinois. We didn't deny you that. We didn't deny you highways that weren't used by more than ten to fifteen people per hour. We've got streets and alleys that have thousands of people every hour, thousands of cars, congestion galore, we're asking you in our hour of need to join us and your answers were, 'Plead with but one thing, what's in it for us?' I say to you, this is an evil and a vicious game which you're playing over there. Because remember, the Bills from your district can be singled out, it can be pointed out how much money you paid



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

into the highway fund and how much money you got for it. We in northern Illinois have been paying to the Motor Fuel Tax by the millions of dollars and we have received nothing in return. We have sat up and supported concrete clubs from Cairo to Vienna to East St. Louis and any other location you want to take in southern Illinois. We too have districts in Illinois, not just one district, but multiple districts. Districts that have insurmountable problems and when we plead to you for simple justice, that's all we ask and to us you answer, is our greed that must surpass your needs. I say that this is unreasonable. I say that this approach will come back to haunt you. Because you're going to have those Bills next year, next Session and you're going to again ask for improvements, upon every...let's put it like this. Every time, every time a fairground in southern Illinois is struck by lightning, which is an act of God, you tell us it's a great travesty and that we must join you and help rebuild and support this fair and take this out of the money paid in by the State of Illinois. We don't turn our backs to you. We have rebuilt every fairground in Illinois, we have repaired, we have repaired every grandstand in southern Illinois, as a matter of fact, everytime a cow or chicken gets sick down there, we send them a congratulatory or get well letter. I say to you that you have bled the people of the City of Chicago. You have lived like lice off of the City of Chicago and the County of Cook, for all these years. Yes, even your Representatives denied the right to be represented. Then we want every tree, cow and pig counted. Because that's how we're going to sit up and draw the line. I say to you that justice and what goes around will come back to you and it will haunt you and when you come in and ask for all these pork barrel systems, we're going to point them out. We're going to pick them apart and we're going to ask you what's in it for the City of Chicago and what's in it for the 29th District. We ask you simple justice, that's all that we



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ask and I think you deny us this, low the day and you will rule the fact that tomorrow will come."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Lady...The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, I'd like to start off with a Parliamentary Inquiry with regards to the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Shea: "And would you look at a copy of it."

Speaker Telcser: "Got the Amendment? Oh, here's the Amendment.

All right, what did you want to point out Jerry?"

Shea: "Well this Bill is originally introduced, was an appropriation out of the Series A Bond Funds, in the amount of forty million dollars. The Lady from Quincy's Amendment seeks to raise that to a hundred and seventy nine million dollars, is that correct sir?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct."

Shea: "All right. Then there is an attempt after that by some language similar to that of the Attorney General ruled on the other day and you so graciously told us how right the Attorney General was and that all the other language, other than the dollar amount in an appropriation Bill was mere surplusage. So, what I ask you now, Mr. Speaker, is if we are to follow your logic in Senate Bill 698 and that of the Attorney General, is it possible that this is merely an appropriation or an attempt to appropriate almost four and a half times what I originally asked for without any limitation on it's spending?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well Representative Shea, I'm not really quite sure. I...ah...grasp the point to which you address yourself in the Amendment. Ah...I can simply say that to the Chair the Amendment is germane and proper and the result of what the Amendment purports to do...ah...can certainly be done. If the Amendment is adopted."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, since these rebates....debates are recorded



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and that if per chance, this is tested in court, I'm very concerned about our debates out here and particularly with reference to your rulings with regards to Senate Bill 698. Because, if I understand the way you ruled in Senate Bill 698 and followed what the Attorney General of our State said, that anything other than the dollar amount in the appropriation was mere surplusage, or guidance and had really nothing to do with the expenditure of the appropriation and further, sir, if we follow the logic of the Constitution, stating that an Appropriation Bill can only appropriate and have no other purpose other than the appropriation then, following the logic of our Constitution in your extremely fine rulings, the other day, we find ourselves here appropriating out of a Bond Fund of six hundred million dollars, almost a third of it, for one year. Is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea...ah...when the Chair rules, that with respect to an Amendment or a Bill, it of course finds it's ruling to that particular matter and ...ah...other rulings notwithstanding...ah...The Chair believes that it has made a very clear one with respect to...ah...to Amendment #1 to House Bill 7, prior rulings notwithstanding...ah... Certainly I think that should settle the straw for which you are grasping."

Shea: "I'm grasping for no straw, Mr. Speaker, I'm attempting to protect you from those people that are around you. Now...now that we've settled the Parliamentary Inquiry, may I ask Mrs. Kent a question or two?"

Speaker Telcser: "You certainly may sir."

Shea: "Now, Miss Kent, as I understand what your Amendment purports to do, it either attempts to have planning, planning and construction, planning land acquisition or construction for a number of routes throughout the State of Illinois, is that correct?"

Kent: "This is identical to the supplemental Freeway Plan and the first three items on page 1, of the Amendment corresponds to the



amount reduced by the Governor."

Shea: "No...no Miss. Kent, Miss Kent. You've made your political speeches and I just asked you..."

Kent: "Am I political? I didn't know that."

Shea: "I just asked you if this is what you're attempting to do to require the Department to either do planning and land acquisition, planning, land acquisition and construction in the highway routes enumerated within this Bill."

Kent: "The...the...ah...the way it is worded is identical to the supplemental Freeway Program. They are for the various things that can be done within this money in the time appropriated."

Shea: "All right, now if we took all the projects, this myriad of projects that you're sponsoring, and took them till their ultimate conclusion, the Department of Highways tells me that it will cost, at today's rates, one billion forty three million dollars to complete. Are you prepared to either increase the taxes or to come up with the appropriation for this Billion dollar plus plan?"

Kent: "How do you plan to pay for your forty million?"

Shea: "Forty million is less than four per cent of a billion dollars."

Kent: "I know this but when you came...when you came to me..."

Shea: "And there were six hundred million dollars."

Kent: "In Revenue Bonds."

Shea: "No, no..."

Kent: "Oh, yes there were."

Shea: "In Revenue Bonds."

Kent: "Yes, in the Series A Bonds, yes there were."

Shea: "Are those Revenue Bonds Miss Kent?"

Kent: "They're...I said, the Series A. I corrected that."

Shea: "Oh, those aren't Revenue Bonds?"

Kent: "No. May I answer your question?"

Shea: "Yes ma'm."

Kent: "You know, it seems odd that last spring, all of you voted for this, it passed, it passed and went to the Governor. It not only went to the House, it went through the Senate. The only



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

change that the Governor made was he amended it back. Remember that? This is the same thing."

Shea: "No, no this is a different Bill."

Kent: "Oh, is it? Yeah, well what is it?"

Shea: "This is my House Bill in Third Special Session and I might remind you that I don't think I voted for the other Bill you eluded to."

Kent: "All right, but the rest of them did, the majority of them did or it would have never gotten to the Senate and to the Governor. Now if it was all right last spring, why is it not right now?"

Shea: "Well, that's not my question Mrs. Kent. What I'm asking you, or I should say, the Lady from Quincy so I don't mention you in debate."

Kent: "Do you know, I bet we can work out that solution. That if we get it passed, you'll get yours and I'll get mine and the rest of the people in the State of Illinois will be happy. Another thing that I'm trying to do is..."

Shea: "Well I just want to mention to you that the people in the State of Illinois have already appropriated out of this Bond Issue for their use this year, one hundred and forty seven million dollars. This hundred and seventy nine million dollars will bring the expenditure in over one fiscal period to over half, over half of what was originally earmarked for freeway construction. What I'm fearful of, Miss Kent, is that as I read this program, that we will end up, unless you and your colleagues are prepared to increase taxes, to increase Bonds, that what we'll end up with is bits and pieces and splices of roads all over the State of Illinois. Not a great system like I, my good friend C.L. talked about. Not a system of roads that's so vital to the economic lifeblood of my state. I've been through the state, I've gone from Cairo to Rockford, I've gone from Quincy over to Vincennes, Indiana and as you know I spent Easter Sunday over in your town worrying about the problems of Quincy and the cities along



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the Mississippi River. So I know my state and I'm concerned about my state. But what I'm concerned about is adding a billion dollars in debts to the State debts when you're really not accomplishing what is so vitally needed."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "How many times does the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader have to talk on this issue? Does he get to talk after everybody talks? Each time?"

Shea: "Does Mr. Juckett want to preclude me from asking questions? Does he willy nilly want to spend a billion dollars?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman's point is well taken Representative Shea. Now, is there further discussion?"

Shea: "Can I have my ten minutes, or have I used it?"

Speaker Telcser: "I think you've used it."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Adams, Representative Kent, to close. The Gentleman from Cook. Wait a second Mary Lou. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a few questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "She indicates she will."

Lechowicz: "Mary Lou, I believe this Bill was kind of...was amended in the House Appropriations Committee and the Amendment that was offered and there was..."

Kent: "This is the same Amendment that was offered in the Appropriations Committee."

Lechowicz: "Well I was wondering, I asked you at that time, as far as the first time in the history, as far as with me being in the Appropriations Committee that there was an Amendment offered in the percentage form and I was wonder... I asked at that time if it was ever done before and if this is a new precedence that was established?"

Kent: "I'll tell you, I'm a new Legislator and you know I see.



these things coming through in line items from the Governor and he cuts out the whole thing. So my best idea was that we do it on percentage when he cuts back, everybody gets cut back and we're all even. That's the reason for the percentages."

Lechowicz: "Well another thing, as far as on the Amendment it was never offered as far as per a line item and just going on page 1, I would hope that the Members of the General Assembly would take a look at this Amendment because I think it's far reaching and in many ways. One, we're talking about land acquisition and construction on FAP 408 from Jacksonville to Winchester, Illinois at a cost figure of .83705 per cent. I'm wondering if the Members of the General Assembly really can relate to the dollar amount of that Amendment."

Kent: "I'll be glad to give those to you if you like sir."

Lechowicz: "I wish you would. Starting with .83075."

Kent: "1.5 million."

Lechowicz: "Well, would you continue on with the rest of the Amendment because I think that in as far as percentage points when we're talking about a figure that exceeds a billion dollars the people should know what they're voting on."

Kent: "I believe I stated in the beginning that they were exactly the same that we voted on last spring except for the fact that the Governor...ah...the ones that he had cut back, were cut back accordingly. I'll be glad to read them to you but quite frankly..."

Lechowicz: "I wish you would."

Kent: "All right, let's go right on through them. For the second one there's 6.9 million, for the third one there is 2.....24.3 million."

Lechowicz: "No, I believe it's 24.3 million, is that correct?"

Kent: "24.3 million."

Lechowicz: "Well I just wanted to check my mathematical calculations."

Kent: "You mean you've been worried and have been working out all



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Lechow: "I just want to make sure that these figures beat the Speaker's figures. That we beat, with 81 votes."

Kent: "What is this? I didn't hear you sir."

Lechow: "I said I just wanted to make sure that these figures beat the Speaker's figures that...ah...we beat with 81 votes."

Kent: "I don't quite understand I'm sorry, you're mumbling."

Lechow: "Please continue with the figures, I want to make sure they are accurate."

Kent: "Right, now just...Ah..."

Lechow: "We're on page 2, of the Amendment."

Kent: "Here one"

Lechow: "Now what is the three million for ma'm?"

Kent: "From Illinois, Winchester, Illinois to Barry."

Lechow: "Is that FAP 408?"

Kent: "No. 408."

Lechow: "All right, thank you."

Kent: "One million, five hundred thousand."

Lechow: "And where is that located ma'm?"

Kent: "Is the Quincy, Illinois bypass."

Lechow: "Has that been supported editorial...you know, in your newspapers and these..."

Kent: "Everybody's for it, in fact, in fact Director Bond, the other day, told you what a wonderful road it was to be."

Lechow: "What does it connect to?"

Kent: "The Quincy, Illinois bypass?"

Kent: "It connects to the greatest thing that's going to be because we are connecting the rest of the state with Illinois. Does your city not have some bypasses?"

Lechow: "Pardon me ma'm?"

Kent: "Through another highway?"

Lechow: "Well I was just wondering if the local newspaper asked about this Bill. Does your local newspaper ask for this amendment?"



Kent: "The local newspaper has not been in contact with me except for the last 13 years when we've been fighting for it over and over and over again."

Lechowicz: "All right, and this bypass is to go where?"

Kent: "What"

Lechowicz: "And this bypass is to go where?"

Kent: "This goes on the East side of town, right on around up to the north and it will meet up with Route 24."

Lechowicz: "Is that close to Beardstown for the duck hunters or what?"

Kent: "No, it is not. You...you ought to come over sometime, Mr. Lechowicz, you'll find that it's a beautiful place over there."

Lechowicz: "Oh, I've been there, I agree. It's a very lovely place."

Kent: "Oh, have you, good. I want you all to have a way to get there."

Lechowicz: "How about the next project?"

Kent: "Which project are you talking about?"

Lechowicz: "Well, you stopped at the bypass for Quincy."

Kent: "412 then that's from Rockford to the East-West Toll Way and that's two million."

Lechowicz: "I'm sure Tim."

Kent: "That's two million."

Lechowicz: "How long is that project ma'm? What's the extent in miles?"

Kent: "I'm sure you wouldn't have these figures in your head either, so I want to be correct."

Lechowicz: "No, please."

Kent: "All right. This is a...ah... Twenty four miles."

Lechowicz: "For two million dollars?"

Kent: "This is for land construction."

Lechowicz: "No, it's land acquisition."

Kent: "Land acquisition, I mean. You'll have to forgive me, do you know what I did during your dinner hour? I drove to ..."

Lechowicz: "I'm sure you read this Amendment."

Kent: "I drove to Havana. I gave a speech, I drove back and this



is what I came in to. So you'll have to forgive me if my mind's a little bit mixed up."

Lechowicz: "No Mrs. Kent, you're just a...I believe an excellent Legislator and a very conscientious one."

Kent: "What?"

Lechowicz: "I said I believe you're a good Legislator and very conscientious. So you've made your campaign speech and now you come back and give us another one."

Kent: "Do you know what? I wish I could."

Lechowicz: "Then twenty miles for a cost of two million dollars for land acquisition and construction."

Kent: "Twenty four miles, I think you misquoted me."

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. Twenty-four. Has that project been feasably evaluated by a consulting engineering firm?"

Kent: "What?"

Lechowicz: "Has that project been feasably orientated and approved by a consulting engineering firm?"

Kent: "Do you see what this has to do? The Federals have to approve all of these things. But you first have to get the money to do the first year. It has to go step by step and we all know that and this is the step that is needed at this point."

Lechowicz: "Has the Federal Government approved this project?"

Kent: "That, I do not know."

Lechowicz: "Have the...."

Kent: "But I'm sure that it will not be built or the money spent except with the approval of the Federal Government."

Lechowicz: "Do you know what the amount of money as far as the Federal Government has cut back in this area?"

Kent: "I...Sir, I don't know the exact amount but I know they have, yes. But I also know that they are studying at this time, to increase it for the western part of Illinois as well as the rest of it."

Lechowicz: "They are studying to increase it?"

Kent: "Yes."



Lechowicz: "By how much?"

Kent: "Because there are some Congressman out there that are working on that part of it. I do not have the figures with me."

Lechowicz: "What would be the projected cost on the twenty-four mile segment of this road?"

Kent: "Well, when I first started this, it was one million every mile, for a four lane highway. But Mr. Bond has told me since, that it is now two million. For heavens sakes, let's don't wait till it's three million."

Lechowicz: "Well there's only one thing that I'd like to point out. In this...you know last week, Monday, as I was going through my mail and I believe every Member of the General Assembly received this speech giving by...given by the Chairman of the Board of Rockwell International. It says 'Mobility, an American Heritage' and that, right, I believe it's on page 8, I'm sorry, page 9, that we spend over five hundred billion dollars in the United States, on roads. Five hundred billion."

Kent: "And isn't it a shame that our section of the state..."

Lechowicz: "And I just don't understand why we can't spend the proper dollar amount for an adequate R.T.A. package. But that's ...ah...that's another subject, I'm sure we can get into it."

Kent: "For which did you say?"

Lechowicz: "For a proper R.T.A. package."

Kent: "You know, I believe in the Mass Transit System and I want to solve it and I will try to help you solve it. Only I will not vote for one that I do not think is good for the State of Illinois. Chicago is in the State of Illinois, so is Quincy, so is Lawrenceville, so is Cuba, so is all of these towns that are here. I am for what is good for them because...providing it is feasible. Now I have waited for all of this, all of this time. Now I don't think that, as Mr. Ewell said, that we have been greedy. Maybe he thinks we've received all these things we've asked for."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

This is the same thing that we've asked for over and over and over again."

Lechowicz: "Well the only problem, Mrs. Kent, and unfortunately, when I look at the map of these projects, we're...we're talking about land acquisition and costs and the possibility of construction. These are simply for the feasibility and land acquisition. I'd like to also have the projected cost of every project. So we'd know exactly what we're encumbering this space in a total dollar amount as far as future tax money. So we're talking about two million dollars for a land acquisition for twenty-four miles. Why don't we continue on with the rest of the project and also make a projected estimated cost."

Kent: "I think you're trying to test me because I think that you did not ask me questions last spring when this same Bill was there only instead of dollar amounts we have now put in percentages. I'm sorry that this throws you so much, but I thought that possibly by doing it percentage wise, then all of us would benefit accordingly."

Lechowicz: "Well I don't see how it can benefit accordingly. We're talking about a percentage of a total dollar amount. I don't believe in hoodwinking the public or anybody or any Member of this General Assembly. That's what I think this Amendment does."

Kent: "I don't believe in it either."

Lechowicz: "So, would you please continue as far as percentage costs?"

Kent: "I'd be glad to."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Kent: "Where did you leave off sir?"

Lechowicz: "Where did you leave off? At two million dollars for the Rockford to East-West Toll Way. Twenty-four miles."

Kent: "Now we're on..."

Lechowicz: "We'll put a toll on it Tim."

Kent: "Danville, four million."

Lechowicz: "Pardon me?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Kent: "Danville, is that where we are? Four million."

Lechowicz: "No, I believe we missed FAP 412 from the East-West Toll Way to Interstate 80. and..."

Kent: "Decatur?"

Lechowicz: "No ma'm. We're talking about the East-West Toll Way to Interstate 80."

Kent: "We just spent some time on that, didn't we?"

Lechowicz: "No, that was from Rockford to the East-West Toll Way."

Kent: "Okay, now where are we?"

Lechowicz: "We're from the East-West Toll Way to Interstate 80."

Kent: "Two million."

Lechowicz: "Now, I'd like to know, who approved the feasibility for that project?"

Kent: "I am sure that hearings have been held in that area bec..."

Lechowicz: "By whom?"

Kent: "The Court Study has been approved, the Federal Government has approved that study."

Lechowicz: "They did?"

Kent: "Right."

Lechowicz: "As of what day? Did they approve the expense of the East-West Toll Way?"

Kent: "The Secretary Bond here? I'm sure he can give you that information."

Lechowicz: "How about the design study, has that been approved?"

Kent: "Well, you can only come step by step. You don't do it all overnight. We've been waiting here all this time and they keep saying that engineers are doing this and they're doing this and they're doing this. It's takes forever. So I.,.,I don't know how far they are. We can't put it all in at one time."

Lechowicz: "But I'm sure we can set all these prices at one time. Is that correct?"

Kent: "Why not? They each have an engineering district."



Lechowicz: "Sure. What about the next budget ma'm?"

Kent: "Now we're on Decatur. Is that 412?"

Lechowicz: "Yes ma'm. No it's Danville, the Illinois bypass."

Kent: "Danville, four million."

Lechowicz: "And, what's the projected cost on that project?"

Kent: "Four million."

Lechowicz: "No...no, that's strictly for land acquisition and construction."

Kent: "Eighteen miles of it. Let's multiply that. Are we going to do it this time or are we going to wait until it's three million a mile?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that this man is probably exhausted, the one who's asking the questions more than ten minutes, it's going to be fourteen, fifteen, sixteen and seventeen and I think it's gone beyond what's a reasonable limit of time...ah...and for what purpose I don't know, but I would ...ah... like the Chair to rule on this particular situation. Draw it to a close."

Speaker Telcser: "I think the Gentleman's point is well taken. If you'd complete your remarks."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and I do appreciate the Lady's answers and I know her good faith in presenting this Amendment but the only thing I'd like to point out to the Members of this General Assembly, if they look at the Amendment, I think you would find two things. That's that it's the exact Bill that the Speaker offered to this House. It's the exact item that we rejected just a few weeks ago and I think it's very poor that a Member at this time and in Special Session would try to again hoodwink the public in saying 'Yes, we do have this money available at this time, to set all these projects where we don't have a definite approval date, we don't have the Federal Government approving



as far as design and construction. We know that there's a tremendous cutback in Federal Funds and in turn, there is no assurance, that this extra money is available at this time and I would hope that the Membership will look at this thing very seriously and reject this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Lady from Adams, Representative Kent to close."

Kent: "I thank you all for your endurance that we have just had to go through. I hope that they weren't trying to test me and wear me down because I have been worn down many, many, many, many times and I think I'm still strong enough to stand up for what I believe. This I believe and I believe you are doing a wrong thing if you don't accept this Amendment on this Bill. It is no different that I have seen on many, many other Bills. I do not understand Mr. Lechowicz questions that this does not belong here, because it does. Because how much is it going to cost for land acquisition for Crosstown? How much is it going to cost for all of these other things? I would like to ask you. But let me tell you this. That I have felt like we're borrowing from Peter to pay Paul and we happen to be Peter. Now, I'm sorry that I have to keep asking you over and over but I will continue to do so. I will continue to ask until the job is done. I don't want to bore you, I don't want to lose your interest. Please, for the people of all Illinois, you in Chicago we want to come down to visit us. We want you to be comfortable on your way. Please, if you believe in Illinois. Vote 'yes' on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Lady offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 7. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', five Members are requesting a Roll Call. All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: Clerk Selcke reads the Roll Call.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm going to vote for this Amendment, because when the Transportation Bond Act was up before this General Assembly and three fifths of the Members voted for it. I was one of those who voted for it. I was one of those who told the people of this state that we're going to increase your gasoline taxes by two and a half cents a gallon and we're going to double the license plates. The fee for the license plates on your car and the reason we're going to do it is so that we can build a comprehensive road program for the State of Illinois. And when I made that committment, for the people in my district, I fully intended to stick by that committment and when this Session is over, I want to be in a position where I can go back to my constituents and say that I kept my word. Now this is a fair program and I for one deplore the fact that we are embarking on a program of renigging on a promise that we made to the people of the State of Illinois when we doubled their license fees, when we increased their gas taxes for this purpose. And I would implore all of you to carry out the committments that we have made to the people of the State of Illinois and vote 'aye' on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The only comment I would like to make and it is probably reiterating what Representative Day has already stressed. It seems to me that there's been a...a shortcoming of the attitude of a lot of Legislators when the increase in the tax from five cents to seven and a half cents as far as the State of Illinois is concerned, the taxpayers of this State, as far as Motor Fuel Tax is concerned paying for these roads and Bond Issue that has been expressed as far as Representative



Day is concerned, a complete program that taxpayers have paid for something they are not getting and I would vote and encourage everyone to vote affirmatively as far as this particular Amendment is concerned. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman, want to explain her vote?"

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "Pardon."

Chapman: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know but you look lovely Eugenia. Did you get married tonight? How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "You're recorded as not voting."

Chapman: "Vote me 'no' Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McClain, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm sorry to take time of the House for this evening when R.T.A. is coming up to take the time for such a ploy, but I have to support my area, where the Governor has promised in print, that our area will be taken care of and I believe him. There is no public uproar for the Speaker's Bill or for this Amendment. There are no editorials supporting this Bill and I am not ever going to put politics ahead of my district. It's obvious we have political ploy, I'm sorry that the press has to be manipulated again and I'm sorry to be placed on the spot again to be consistent and be faithful to my district and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McCourt, for what purpose do you rise?"

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like permission to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed sir."

McCourt: "During the spring Session, I heard the word of this game



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

down here was compromise. And when this Bill came up in the spring Session, House Bill 1979, some of the people that I have learned to respect and admire like to wonderful Lady from Quincy, my good friend from DeKalb, said to me, you vote for this, we'll help you with R.T.A. So I voted for this Bill and then after, when we got around to R.T.A., they said, never on your life, or just words to that effect. So now I know how it goes, that it's a one way street. There's no real compromise, I agree with the Representative from Chicago, where he said that they're just trying to rip us off and I am proud to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Boyle, for what purpose do you rise?"

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded on this?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Boyle: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote. During the regular Session, of the General Assembly last spring, I was one of the 131 who had voted 'aye' with regards to this program. And today I do not regret having voted 'aye'. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm reminded for the reason of our being in this Special Session, the Third Special Session, for the subject of Regional Transportation. I don't recall Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that the proclamation for the Special Session covers the subject of this Amendment and therefore, in my own mind I believe the subject is out of order and therefore, I vote 'no' and will continue to vote 'no' until we get that Regional Transportation Authority for which purpose we're here tonight and have been this week and probably will be here next week. 'no'."



Clerk Selcke: "Continues Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Speaker votes 'aye'. Are there any other Members who wish to get on this Roll Call? Change their vote? O'kay, Fred, Jacobs 'no'. Jacobs 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "VonBoeckman 'no'. McGah 'no'. I've got him already. He's already voted."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, there are currently 68 'ayes', and 74 'nays' and the Lady from Adams, Representative Kent."

Kent: "Could I poll the absentees, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "You certainly may."

Kent: "I'm not trying to delay things, honest I'm not."

Speaker Telcser: "No, this is important to you. You have that right, Representative Kent. All right, the Lady has asked for a poll of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats and if they wish to come on the Roll Call, sing out loud and clear."

Clerk Selcke: "Beaupre. Borchers. Bradley. Brinkmeier. Capuzi. Carter. Deavers. Dee. Harpstrite. Hart. Hirschfeld. Robert Holloway. Hunsicker. Huskey. Kucharski. Who? He voted 'aye'. LaFluer. Lauer. Londrigan. Madigan. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McGrew. McMaster. Tom Miller. Neff. Palmer. Philip. Randolph. Rose. Sangmeister. Schoeberlein. Sevcik. Stedelin. Wall. Washburn."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dee, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Dee: "How has the Clerk recorded my vote?"

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Dee: "I'd like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Representative Dee."

Dee: "Now, fellows, this Amendment is going to cost our State nothing. This Amendment was passed. Why don't we give the little girl her vote, and her rose. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. O'kay, there are currently 70 'ayes', 76 'nays'. Lady from Adams, Representative Kent."



Kent: "Now I would like to verify."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, the Lady has asked for a verification of the Roll Call. Do the 'nays' first? To save some time or....."

Kent: "The 'ayes' first and I wonder if the People could be quiet. This is important for me. The 'nays' first, they tell me, is the best way."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Matijevich: "Point of Order. Under the rules....."

Speaker Telcser: "State your Point."

Matijevich: "She has no choice. She must verify the affirmative first and we know that."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, the affirmative Roll Call will be verified first. Will the Members please be in their seats."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson. Arnell. Bluthardt. Brummett. Campbell. Ca....."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kempiners: "Could we have a little Order in here and have the Members in their seats, please, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Members please be in their seats and clear the aisles so that the ah..... Members of the Assembly who have requested the verification can see who is present and who is not?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Catania. Clabaugh. Collins. Cunningham. Day. Dee. Deuster. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Fleck. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Gibbs. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Robert Holloway. Hudson. Hyde. Jenison. J. D. Jones. Juckett. Kempiners. Kent. Kriegsman. Leinenweber. Macdonald. Mahar. McCormick. Kenny Miller. Molloy. Murphy. North. Pappas. Patrick. Peters. Polk. Porter. Rigney. Ryan. Schisler. Schraeder. Shurtz. Tim Simms. Skinner. Soderstrom."

Speaker Telcser: "Who wants?..... Representative Patrick, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? How is Representative Patrick recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Patrick: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stiehl. Telcser. Tipsword. Totten. Tuerk. Waddell. R. A. Walsh. W. D. Walsh. Walters. J. J. Wolf. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Record J. J. Wolf as voting 'aye'. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "I have questions of the affirmative, Mr. Speaker. Ebbesen."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you request the Roll Call?"

Berman: "Mrs. Kent did for the..... she requested the verification and this is of the affirmative. Ebbesen."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait a second now. Representative Kent requested..... Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Just a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. As an example, we have Mrs. Kent here asked for a verification of the affirmative vote. Ah..... does any other Member under her request have the right to make that verification?"

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Acting Speaker can recall very well, I think it was the closing night of the 76th or, yes I think it was the 76th General Assembly when a person asked for a verification and the person who sought the challenge was the one who was voting on the same side. Now this is an adversary type proceeding of verification and it's implied in the Rules as the verification of the side which is being requested must be taken by a person who has voted on the opposite side of the verification. Now Mrs. Kent has asked for a verification. We have taken the verification of the affirmative first and therefore must be verified by a negative voter."

Speaker Telcser: "Bluthardt 'no'. Brinkmeier 'no'. Tom Miller 'aye'. Tom Miller 'aye'. Well the parliamentarian informs me that Representative Berman..... Londrigan, how did he vote? 'No'. Londrigan 'no'. Does have the right to ask for the



affirmative vote first, although someone else made the request for a verification. So what are your questions, Representative Berman?"

Berman: "Ebbesen."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ebbesen on the Floor? Representative Ebbesen. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, there he is, right on the Floor, right next to Bob Day."

Berman: "Friedland."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Berman: "Jimmy Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Jimmy, he's sitting in the back of the Chambers."

Berman: "Juckett."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in the back of the Chambers."

Berman: "I didn't recognize him. He had his glasses off. Mahar."

Speaker Telcser: "Who'd you ask for?"

Berman: "Representative Bill Mahar."

Speaker Telcser: "He's sitting next to Don Totten."

Berman: "Representative North."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in the back of the Chamber."

Berman: "Representative Murphy."

Speaker Telcser: "Who?"

Berman: "Representative Murphy."

Speaker Telcser: "Murphy? He might be in the Speaker's office. I don't know. All right, in the mean time, Representative Londrigan wants to be 'present'. Murphy is here."

Berman: "O'kay. Schisler."

Speaker Telcser: "Schisler. Representative Schisler on the Floor? He's in his seat."

Berman: "Soderstrom."

Speaker Telcser: "He's on the Floor."

Berman: "Skinner."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner on the Floor? Representative Skinner. How's the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call, and Representative Harpstrite, Harpstrite 'aye'."

Berman: "I have no further questions of the affirmative, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schoeberlein 'aye'. Schoeberlein 'aye'. Skinner 'aye'. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Just one more. Representative Springer."

Speaker Telcser: "Springer. Representative Springer just came in the door."

Berman: "And John Lauer, Representative Lauer."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lauer. Was he recorded, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay. Questions of the negative Roll Call. Clerk will now read the negative Roll Call. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Could I have the number of the affirmative?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure. What's the score now, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "72 'ayes'."

Speaker Telcser: "72 'ayes', and 79 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. Representative Kent, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kent: "Because this is so important to me, I wonder if the aisles could be cleared?"

Speaker Telcser: "Will the Members please be in their seats and clear the aisles so that those challenging the negative vote can see who is in their seats? Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup. Barns. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Chapman. Choate. Craig. D'Arco. Davis. DiPrima. Douglas. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio. Giorgi. Hart. Hill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea?"

Shea: "I've got a couple of men that would like to vote and be verified and go back to the nurses station, if I might."



Speaker Telcser: "Sure, who are they. O'kay, Representative B. B. Wolfe 'no', and let's consider him verified. Representative Mugalian 'no'. Consider him verified. Are all those troops ill? God, Jerry. Your people are battle fatigued. Representative Hart. Are all of you in nurses stations? All right, Dick Hart 'no' and he's verified, and Kennedy, Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "I would appreciate the same privilege for Representative Kennedy, as being verified and going back to the nurses station."

Speaker Telcser: "Consider Representative Kennedy as being verified. Representative Getty also. All right, Getty, Kennedy, Hart, Mugalian and B. B. Wolfe. Anyone else, Clyde? O'kay, proceed, Mr. Clerk. Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, would you go through the list of negative votes that have been verified?"

Speaker Telcser: "The ones who are ill, you mean?"

Kempiners: "Pardon?"

Speaker Telcser: "The ones who are ill?"

Kempiners: "The ones who are ill, yes Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, B. B. Wolfe. Mugalian. Kennedy. Hart. Wasn't it Hart. Wasn't Dick Hart? Yeow, he went to the nurses station or is on his way. Who was the fifth one? Getty. Mike Getty."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "They ate too much for dinner. A little indigestion. Ate too much for dinner. They ate their dinner out of a glass. Representative Kent, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kent: "Since we've moved from the other quarters, I'm not familiar with where you're sitting. I wonder if you would help me by sitting down, so I can tell whether you're there or not."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Lady has made a very reasonable request. The Chair has tried to accommodate those Members who will have to be off the Floor. Will those who are remaining.... will the remaining few take their seats so that Representative



Kent and her second can observe who is here and who isn't here?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Hill. Dan Houlihan. Jim Houlihan. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kennedy. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Laurino. Lechowicz. Lemke. Leon. Lundy. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McClain. McCourt. McGah. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. Mugalian. Nardulli. Patrick. Pierce. Rayson. Redmond. Schlickman. Schneider. Sharp. Shea. Ike Sims. Stone. Taylor. Terzich. Thompson. VonBoeckman. Washington. Williams. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Lauer, I just saw him on the Floor. Representative Lauer wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Lauer 'aye'. Now are there questions of the negative Roll Call? Questions of the negative Roll Call. Lady from Adams, Representative Kent."

Kent: "I don't have my glasses on so..... Beaupre."

Speaker Telcser: "Beaupre. Representative Beaupre is in his seat."

Kent: "Representative Boyle."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Boyle on the Floor? He's standing in the back in the aisle."

Kent: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Brinkmeier's in his seat."

Kent: "Representative Douglas."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Douglas. He's over on our side of the aisle where he belongs."

Kent: "Oh, there you are. O'okay. Representative Farley."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in the aisle."

Kent: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Flinn is standing in the rear of the Chamber."

Kent: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giglio is"

Kent: "Oh, oh, how did he vote?"

Speaker Telcser: "He's thinking about the Bill."

Kent: "Dan Houlihan."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat. He's in the corner."



Kent: "Representative Keller."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Keller is in the back of the Chamber, waving his arms."

Kent: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Klosak is right behind you, Mary Lou."

Kent: "Kosinski."

Speaker Telcser: "He's up here on our side of the aisle, coming across in front of the Clerk's desk."

Kent: "Representative Nardulli."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Nardulli is in his seat."

Kent: "Representative Redmond. Redmond."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Redmond on the Floor? Representative Redmond? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'." "a)

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Kent: "Representative Leon."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Leon on the Floor? Oh, I'm sorry, Jaffe was standing in front of you and I couldn't see you. He's in his seat, Mary Lou."

Kent: "Representative Lundy. Yes, he's over by..... Representative McCourt."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McCourt on the Floor? He's in his seat? Now Redmond has returned. Redmond has returned to the poll."

Kent: "Representative Schlickman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schlickman is in his seat."

Kent: "Representative Stedelin."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Stedelin on the Floor? How is..... How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Not voting."

Kent: "Anybody else? O'kay."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there further questions?"

Kent: "Eugenia Chapman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Chapman on the Floor? Representative Chapman?"



Kent: "She looks so pretty, I missed here."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, she looks lovely and here she comes. She's coming down the aisle. Her gown flowing....."

Kent: "I thank you all for bearing with me. I'm sorry I have to make this delay, but I thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "You're right, Mary Lou. You did a great job. On this question, there are 73 'ayes', 79 'nays', 1 answering 'present' and the Lady's Motion to adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 7, fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Shea."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I withdrew that Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, Amendment #2 has been....."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3....."

Shea: "I'll withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Schisler. Amends House Bill 7, Third Special Session, on page 1, line 1, by changing....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah..... not wanting to take anymore time of the House, I wish to Table this Amendment in view of the past voting. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #4, so Amendments #2, 3 and 4..... no, yeow, that's why I'm announcing it right now, Fred. Now Amendment #2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 7 are Tabled. Representative Shea. The Clerk informs me we should Table these Amendments, not withdraw them. Are there further Amendments? That's it. Third Reading. Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, not being a natural kleptomaniac, during that last verification, I have somebody's pen and whoever it is didn't claim it."

Speaker Telcser: "There's four hands raised. Only four. Gentleman from Will, Representative..... All right, House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 15."



Blair: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest, if it meets with the approval of the House, rather than take up the time of going through these various Bills on Second Reading, there are a number of Amendments that have been put up there, ah..... these Bills are the Transportation Commission Bills. I have not moved them in the past because I was hopeful that the Commission Bills at some point would be coming over from the Senate, but today, on a straight party line vote, the Commission Bills were defeated with 30 Republicans voting in favor of those Bills and 26 Democrats voting against and 3 voting present. What I would like to do, though, rather than take up the time would be to ask that these Bills be read a Second time but left on the Order of Second Reading and it would, and I would not move them off that Order without, if at all, going through any and all Amendments that would be there. It would seem to me that that would expedite the thing and nobody should have any problems about the Amendments because they would all be considered."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? O'kay, hearing no objections, leave is granted and the Clerk, please read House Bill 15 a second time."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 15. A Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of Regional Transportation Authority. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "O'kay, the Bill has been read a Second time. It will remain on Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read House Bill 16."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 16. A Bill for an Act to establish Suburban Transportation Corporation. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, would you read every..... House Bill 16 has been read a Second time. It's on Second Reading. House Bill 17."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 17. A Bill for an Act to add sections to the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 18."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 18. A Bill for an Act to add sections of Local Transit District Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 19."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 19. A Bill for an Act to add section to the Urban Transportation District Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 20."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 20. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 21."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 21. A Bill for an Act to add a section to the Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 22."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 22. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to tax upon persons engaged in business selling tangible personal property. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 23."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 23. A Bill for an Act to add a section of the Use Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 24."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 24. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 2 of the Illinois Municipal Budget Law. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 25."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 25. A Bill for an Act to add a section to an Act authorizing a period of time public corporations to issue bonds. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, all of these Bills have been read a Second time. They will remain on Second Reading with the possibility of Amendments. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Again, I find myself in that poor condition without a watch. Would you be the official timekeeper and indicate for the purpose of this Record what time your watch indicates?"



Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk informs me that these series of Bills were read a Second time, started at 12:02.7 AM."

Shea: "Could you tell me....."

Speaker Telcser: "Saturday, the 17th."

Shea: "Could you tell me what time it is now?"

Speaker Telcser: "What time is it now, Mr. Clerk? Can you ah....."

The time is now 12:06 AM, Saturday morning, the 17th of November, the Year of our Lord, 1973 AD. And if Berman will tell me what it is in Jewish, I'll..... 570 what, Berman? Or Danny. All right, now..... Representative Tipword, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Tipword: "Just for the purpose of making an inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right."

Tipword: "My inquiry is this. I was interested in hearing Representative Shea ask the time and for just one of the Members who is not enlightened to what is going on around here, what have we messed around for all night for again tonight? Why are we here at this time with nothing done?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm going to give you an answer Tip. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh. Bill, in the event you didn't hear the question, Representative Tipword wanted to know why we're here wasting our time this evening?"

Walsh: "Good, that's an excellent question and one that's been asked of me personally by many. The reason we are here now is that an earlier motion to adjourn failed. A motion that would have brought us back here Sunday, probably in a Perfunctory Session and Monday to consider the business of this State. We are here purely and simply because the Minority Leader is arbitrary and will not let us go. That's the reason."

Speaker Telcser: "O'okay, House Bills, Third Reading. Jerry, did Clyde want 13 called? Do you know? Turn on Jerry Shea, o'okay?"

Shea: "He just went off the Floor for one minute. He'll be right back."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, we'll come right back to it."

Shea: "Can we wait?"



Speaker Telcser: "Sure. Oh, here he comes. Representative Choate, did you want House Bill 13 called?"

Choate: "Can I have five minutes of recess?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure. You want me to call another Bill? Like Zeke's or something? O'kay, at the request of the Minority Leader, we'll take a five minute at ease and we don't want to..... Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? I've got to get the electrician, Clyde, I don't know where he's at."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of making a motion."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Choate, I thought you were...ah...seeking attention with respect to House Bill 13. Ah...If you want to put a motion with it, could you write it out for us, as the Rules provide."

Choate: "Am I recognized now, for the purpose of making a motion, Mr. Chairman?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well I don't have it. We need it up here in writing."

Choate: "Well, I'll state the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Rules provide that they should be in writing, I believe."

Choate: "Are you not going to recognize a Member of this House, for the purpose of a motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Well, of course, the Chair has been eminently fair in recognizing Members for motions or for other things. The only reason that there's any delay is that it...ah...this motion, being made by a leader...ah...has not been cleared with the Leadership on the other side and that's a courtesy, of course, that we've given one another through the...through the years."

Choate: "As to the...ah...courtesy, I've received it all day. In fact my back is about broken with courtesy of this day."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 39."



Choate: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Telcser: "Bring it up in writing and we'll do it."

Choate: "I'm going to bring it up in writing."

Speaker Telcser: "In the meantime, I'm calling House Bills 39 on Third Reading."

Choate: "No..."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Sponsor wish to have it called? House Bill 39. Do you want it called, while the Gentleman produces his motion in writing."

Choate: "My...my motion is on the Clerk's desk."

Clerk Selcke: "Motion. I move that the House of Representatives of the Third Special Session, stand adjourned until Sunday, November 18, 1973 at the hour of 12:00 noon."

Speaker Telcser: "Now wait a second. I called House Bill 39. Will the Clerk read House Bill 39 please."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 39. Katz. An Act to make a Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker...ah...the only way we can have a Regional Transportation Authority is on the bipartisan basis and I think it very unfortunate that we get involved in these wrangles. The truth of the matter is that it is the common problem that will require 107 votes. I would respectfully request that in the interest of Regional Transportation Authority, that you accede to the Gentleman's motion. I am trying very much to get accros on both sides and in connection with...with a Bill that can go out of there with the requisite votes and I believe that the long run interests would be well served if you would not...ah...stand in the way of the Gentleman's motion and put me in the embarassing position of being in the middle of a controversy where I'm really trying to solve a controversy in the Regional Transportation field."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, I certainly am not all that opposed to the Gentleman's motion. I do think that it would have been nice if he apparently has the numbers here and can accomplish something with a motion like this. If he had discussed it with the Leadership. I, for one, am not crazy about getting up early Sunday morning and coming down here and being here at 12:00. I would prefer a much later hour. More reasonable hour. I wonder, Mr. Minority Leader, if we could take five minutes and discuss the motion and maybe ...ah...come to some..."

Choate: "I'll take it...I'll discuss it with you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are the Leaders back on the Floor? The Leaders back on the Floor? Totten is here. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well first of all, I'd like your Republicans to know that your Leaders fought a good fight. We got from 12:00 to 1:00. So I therefore move, Mr. Speaker, that this House, this Special Session #3, adjourn until 1:00 p.m. on Sunday..."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait, wait, Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tipsword: "Before there's a quick gavel on our adjournment, I would like to know, that if this House adjourns until 1:00 on Sunday, as he said, what time is the House going into Session? It has never gone into Session this week on the time that has been set."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, the Minority would like to move then, an Amendment to their motion that we come in at 4:00."

Speaker Telcser: "That's fine with us. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm sorely tempted to support the Gentleman's amended



motion. However, I won't. We have had,,ah...we have had this agreement and I think that the Minority Leader had good and sufficient reasons for wanting us to come earlier than that and...ah...I think we should abide by them and..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Keller, Representative Keller, for what purpose do you rise?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make another substitute motion because I don't think we're going to do a darn thing. I'd like to move that we come back Monday, at 10:00 in the morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait. Representative..."

Walsh: "I like that one."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Let me explain one thing. Let me explain one thing Mr. Speaker and I noticed that...that rapid gavel of yours wasn't working very good incidentally."

Speaker Telcser: "I was..."

Choate: "I will say this to this Membership. That there's nobody on the Floor of this House that has spent more hours in this House than I have spent."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, the Members. Wait, let me say something to the Members."

Choate: "And for Representatives Kempiners benefit, I don't interrupt you when you're talking."

Speaker Telcser: "Clyde...Clyde, wait a second. Let me say something to the Members. The Gentleman from Union is the Minority Leader of the House...Wait a second. All of us as Members deserve respect and certainly someone who has served the years that Representative Choate has and someone who assumes a Leadership responsibility, believe me, believe me, until the time comes when everyone of you has served in Leadership, you'll know those responsibilities and problems and they're god damn tough. Now, the...the man deserves your attention. Like what? I know it, what did he say? That's the truth, I feel that way."

Choate: "Well, he's got me laughing anyway."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "That's better than threatening maybe."

Choate: "I think that there's a certain sense of responsibility in the motion that I made and I made...I'll tell you Mayor. I'll tell you why. It's because, as far as I'm personally concerned and I'm not going to go into detail on it unless I'm forced to. Certain things did not happen in this House Chambers today that I, personally felt was a committment to happen. I appeal that the only way that committment can be kept is for this House to be in Session for a reasonable period of time, prior to the convening of the Senate and I would ask for a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "On Walshes motion I assume. Bill..."

Walsh: "No, there's a substitute motion before us."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, there are a number of them. Which was the last one? Okay the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bill Walsh, can you tell me what the last motion was."

Walsh: "My motion was amended, as I understand it. My motion was as follows; That the Third Special Session adjourn until the hour of 1:00 p.m. on Sunday, November 18."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, is there a substitute motion? What. Represen....Is there a substitute motion?"

Bluthardt: "Yes, I move Mr. Speaker, that we come in, that we adjourn until Monday at 10:00 in the morning."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Two points, was he recognized for the purpose of a motion and secondly, was it in writing as you suggested a moment ago."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, no it wasn't. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Duff: "The Adjournment Resolution that was made, may have been in writing, the substitution motion that was made by Representative Keller under the House Rules has precedence,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

with it's time certain. The motion similar to that for Mayor Bluthardt, was to a time certain, there's nothing in the Rules which says a motion to adjourn has to be in writing. Most of us have been sitting around here losing our self respect because we have to wait for the manipulations of the people that really don't care how much time we spend here. We'd like to go home and visit our families. I have had a hundred per cent attendance record since I've been in the Illinois Legislature, I intend to keep it. I'll be here all night, I'll be here Saturday, Sunday, Monday, any time at all. But the Leadership on both sides of this House doesn't seem to give a damn whether we want to get home and visit our families or not. Now Representative Bluthardt has never missed a Session on this House Floor, Representative Keller has made a motion, we've asked for a Roll Call and now we're playing games on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker...excuse me...Mr. Speaker, I believe when there are a number of motions on adjournment, the one closest to the time we're now at takes precedence. So that..."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Campbell, let's get everybody recognized and I'll get this Jack. Representative Campbell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Can I have a little attention? Just please. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise."

Matijevich: "Actually, only to see if Mayor Bluthardt can write. Under 62A. Any Member can ask that a motion be reduced to writing and I ask that that motion be made."

Speaker Telcser: "Well the motion is now on the Clerks desk."

Matijevich: "Well, I didn't know you could write, Ed."



Speaker Telcser: "All right, now let's see what Representative Campbell has in mind."

Campbell: "Well Mr. Speaker, somebody mentioned about this place looking like a circus and I'll tell you this, after ten years in this Legislative business and in this Hall. I think this is about the worst damn spectacle that I have ever seen. Now, if you can't have 177 Chiefs in this House and if the Leadership of this House has agreed on a time that we should adjourn, irrespective the individual inabilities of the individual Members not to accept that, then I think we should and then come back here and go to work and in the meantime I think, by God, the Leadership should get together and give us some direction about how we're going to clear up this Session when we do come back. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now. Let's take the motion... Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Well Mr. Speaker, we're debating a nondebatable motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, well that's what I say. Let's take... the motions. Well, am I correct, the last motion was yours Representative Bluthardt?"

Bluthardt: "That's the last motion I heard and I don't think it requires to be reduced to writing."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's do it anyway."

Bluthardt: "The Rules provide that a motion to adjourn to a time certain takes precedence over any other motion and it should be voted upon without debate and without the necessity of reducing it to writing. And I ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has. Representative Pappas, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pappas: "I have a substitute motion."

Speaker Telcser: "A Substitute to the Substitute."



Pappas: "To the Substitute, that we adjourn until 1:00 on Sunday."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay let's...Okay, wait. Let's take..."

Representative Pappas, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pappas: "Why not make it 1:05 on Sunday."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise? I'm going to take this Roll Call quick like."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, when the House Rules don't pertain according to the House Rules, I...Roberts Rules of Orders pertain. Roberts Rules of Orders say, that when a motion is made, a substitute the same as another motion is not in order. A Rules...a motion...a substitute motion, to a substitute motion to adjourn to 1:05 is substantively same. I think the recent... most recent effort to make a substitute motion is out of order."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt, has moved that the House stand adjourned until.... What did you say, Ed? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. All right. I'll. Now, wait a second now. I want to know if you want a Roll Call. The Chair will rule that the 'no' have it on an oral Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Well just as long as it's you, I'm going to accept it."

Speaker Telcser: "Back to the original motion. The Gentleman has moved that the House do stand adjourned until the hour of 1:00 on Sunday, all in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House stands adjourned until 1:00. Now, wait a second now. Just calm down. Now as far as I was concerned the House was adjourned. Now does somebody want to overrule the Chair with a motion. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, do you want to say it on the mike Bill?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there seems to be a great amount of feeling that we should not adjourn to the time that I suggested. I think...well wait a moment. I have committed myself, but there are a lot of people who don't agree with the commitment



that I made, myself and so I think those people are entitled to a Roll Call on the substitute motion made by Representative Bluthardt."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well Mr. Speaker, we recessed this House this afternoon for two hours and fifteen minutes which to begin with was highly unusual as compared with past practices as far as a dinner hour is concerned because we all know that we normally recess for an hour. We recessed on a voice vote, with Members standing up and shouting for a Roll Call vote. I'll admit that what the Majority Leader said is true, that he agrees. But I don't like the entrance of attempting to encourage people to defeat the motion that he agreed to. This is nothing more irregular than the other actions that took place today and we all know what I'm talking about, I hope. Because any time that I, on this side of the aisle do not have a commitment met...kept, it reflects on each and every one of you individual Members and you well know it. You go ahead and 'ah', all you want to, but I've never broken a commitment with any of you and you know that too. I know Bob. And as far as your actions are concerned, you have adjourned this House."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Epton, for what purpose do you rise?"

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not sure for what purpose I rise, but I'm going to say this, that as far as I'm concerned, if you think this House is in chaos now, if we refuse to honor a commitment, we're going to have even greater differences. On my side, all of these wonderful geniuses who had, perhaps too much to drink. When you sober up you'll reflect, you'll reflect that type of conduct I'm talking about. If one man can't allow another, with which he disagrees to speak, he doesn't belong in this House. Does he Representative Juckett? As far as I'm... Allow me to finish, I don't recall the last time I interrupted you



Representative Juckett."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, just a minute Bob."

Epton: "Allow me finish Representative Juckett. It's a courtesy which may become difficult for you, but try it a little bit, you'll learn it's not too hard to be a Gentleman. Now, may I say. As far as I'm concerned, 1:00 was the agreement arrived at between two antagonist, it wasn't easily arrived at and if you expect the other side to live up to their agreements in the future, they have a right to expect it from us and I...although I have other committments Saturday and Sunday, I, too will be here at the agreed hour."

Speaker Telcser: "Let me get Bob Juckett first. Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The last speaker, a Member from my side indicated that the actions were from people who had drank too much. Now, if he had any wisdom in his mind at all, he would know that I do not drink at all and there were a lot of Members on this Floor that have not been drinking and I don't know where he got that idea, but I think he owes an apology to the Members of this House."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let me...let me just make a comment if I may and maybe we can resolve this. Representative Epton, for what purpose do you rise?"

Epton: "You're certianly right. I apologize. I excused some of the actions because I thought some of the men were drunk, I was in error. Some of the men who were sober, were the worst offenders."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now Ladies and Gentlemen...Let's see if we can. Can I please make this comment? Maybe this suggestion? Now, there are Members here who still desire a Roll Call, that's why you're here. Now wait a second. Let me say this. There were many times this Session when I was on this podium and for whatever the reasons were, I felt compelled to accept an oral voice vote and gavel this House adjourned."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

It seems to me that it depends on what side the ox is being gored and many of you...ah...who are my personal friends and colleagues, of course, are keeping your wrath upon me for taking an oral Roll Call now, when every other time you said it was a good call. Now, I'm willing to stand here and have a motion put to overrule the Chair and not duck out as I have done on many other occasions. I honestly felt, Ed Bluthardt made a motion, he asked for an oral Call, Ed, you said you'd take the way I would call it. And you said you would accept it. Right, that's the point I'm making. Ed said he would accept it, although he thought I was wrong. If those of you who want a Roll Call, obviously, you do not accept the call I made, let's put a motion to overrule me and, fine, it will take 89 votes and I'm going to stand here and we'll do it. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think that there will be a motion forthcoming to overrule the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know then how I can not stand by the Ruling that the House is adjourned, that's what I have asked."

Walsh: "If you...If you have said that the House is adjourned then I think that the Membership ought to accept that and the House or rather the Third Special Session is indeed adjourned until 1:00 p.m. Sunday."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker...I got."

Speaker Telcser: "Tipsword..."

Tipsword: "All I wanted to say is, we know our Leadership has difficulty, they have a lot of difficulty and there's a lot of important events that they have to agree on here. The problem is, Mr. Speaker and I think that perhaps our Leadership gets so busy sometimes that it's difficult to see. We come here at times that we are...that have been appointed for this



House to go into Session, we sit here for three hours and nothing happens. We come here tonight, many of us wanting to leave, we were told that some important Bills would be probably voted on in an hour or an hour and a half. We have spent the entire evening here and nothing of note has happened during the entire evening. I think if the Membership were sometimes taken into confidence and told why we're having these delays, if...if these delays are necessary, or what is causing these delays, perhaps we wouldn't be quite so restless. But if we're going to come back here at a very unpopular and a very inconvenient hour, on a Sunday, when some of us would like to be home to go to church and perhaps have dinner with our families, we'd like to know why we're coming back at that kind of an hour. Otherwise if we're going to come back and spend a week, like we've spend this week, ninety percent of the time doing nothing. We can see no reason for it. That's why this is happening sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I understand it, the Senate adjourned until Sunday, so that they would be in a position to accept any Messages from us or any business transacted by us today and tomorrow and Sunday. Now, if we are going to adjourn until Sunday, obviously we can't do a thing to send over to them to make their adjournment Resolution mean a thing. So why in the world should we adjourn now until Sunday. If we're not going to work tomorrow, nothing can be done, so both adjournments are ridiculous and if we have to stand by your ruling and if we have to stand by your ruling, and I'm perfectly willing to stand by your ruling, Mr. Speaker, then I would just suggest that the rest of the Membership do what I'm going to do for the first time in the eight years that I have served here. I will not be here Mon...or Sunday



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and I hope the rest of you will join me."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murphy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, I've got a Message to the Senate for Sunday.

'Drop dead'. I now move Mr. Speaker, that we renew, Representative Walshes motion..."

Speaker Telcser: "There's no need to renew anything...There's no need for that."

Murphy: "Well, to...to adjourn until 1:00 Sunday."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, now do you want to get in another motion?

It's all right with me. Representative Stone. For what purpose do you rise? Well I don't know, you reconsidering the vote Bill, or what?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well let me get Stone, I've called on Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, thank you very much Mr. Speaker. It was my understanding that this House was adjourned."

Speaker Telcser: "Mine too."

Stone: "There are several of my colleagues, I can name some of them, that I know have gone home thinking the House was adjourned. Now, I can not for the life of me see how...how the Speaker can entertain any motion of any kind and call it for a vote. As I understand it, the House is adjourned some of my colleagues have gone home and they went home not knowing that the House was not adjourned and we are..."

Speaker Telcser: "Your point is very well taken. Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, the conference Chairman is...is absolutely correct, as usual. Having adjourned the Third Special Session, we are now in the Second Special Session and I move, Mr. Speaker, that the Second Special Session adjourn until the hour of 3:00 p.m., Sunday, November 18."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want a Roll Call? We'll give it to you. Okay, the Gentleman has moved that the Second Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 3:00 p.m.



on Sunday. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion. Five Members wish a Roll Call? Are there five Members who wish a Roll Call? Now, I don't see any hands now. All right, five Members have requested a Roll Call. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call. We're voting on the adjournment of the Second Special Session until the hour of 3:00 p.m. on Sunday. Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kempiners: "Parliamentary Inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Kempiners: "If I under...If I understand correctly, we have adjourned the Third Special Session until 1:00 Sunday. So we, under the Rules of the House, must return at 1:00 Sunday. Now, the motion we're voting on now, is to convene the Second Special Session at 3:00. I might bring this to the attention of the Members, in that under the previous adjournment motion, we have to be here at 1:00 anyway, so that a Roll Call on this really isn't doing us any good."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members. The one who asked the Roll Call, I'll withdraw that. But I want to say a few words. First of all. I think that we're here for R.T.A., we're here for Tax Relief, we're here for Ethics and we couldn't do anything with the full Membership here and we all know that. We've been here in other Sessions on Sundays and we could never do anything unless it was all agreed. And there's nobody here that thinks that R.T.A. is agreed. It won't be agreed by Sunday and I happen to be one of those who believe, as the rest of those, that and...and you have to have a sense of the feeling of the people here. I've got that sense that there aren't going to be some people here Sunday. I'll take a buck for



everyone that's here on Sunday, if you give me a dollar for everybody that's not here on ... at 1:00 and you know who'll win. But...but let's be reasonable about it. We can't do anything here Sunday. For once and for all let's use reason. We can't do any business here Sunday and Ed Bluthardt, I don't care if he had one drink or if he had none, he's right. Nothing's going to happen here Sunday. We couldn't do anything with all the Membership here, how in God's name can we do anything here with...with half of the Membership here? Now, Mr. Speaker, you know this is a silly season, but if we think this is a silly season, let's look about what's going to happen next spring. If you think it's silly now, wait till next spring. It is really going to be silly. It's about time we straighten things out now."

Speaker Telcser: "Well now...Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to make, what I hope is a pragmatic suggestion. Now, many times, we have adjourned to a time certain and the Membership, the Leadership has announced that the Session will be perfunctory. I think that under the circumstances, since we can't roll back, the clock backwards and undo what has already been done, I think it would be wise, considering the sentiments of the Membership and the practicalities of the situation, if the Leadership might get their heads together and suggest to us that the Sunday Session is perfunctory and that...that they would then be...ah... adjourned over to Monday morning or some reasonable time."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Well, it'...it's too late for that action. The...the House has adjourned the Third Special Session and they adjourned it to a Regular Session, there are people who have left, who are on the road even now anticipating that we were going



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to try to adjourn all the other Sessions as well and ...ah... we simply can not, at this point make it a Perfunctory Session. Can we, can we proceed to adjourn the other Session?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Members who are seeking recognition, do they persist? Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, in sitting here, after having gone home and come back for a purpose of a vote and listening to the last twenty minutes, it seems to me that we are missing the obvious point. The distinguished Gentleman from North Chicago, and I many times disagreed, but I think in this situation we agree fully, except for one thing. I don't think that the distinguished Gentleman has gone far enough. The significance, compromises, obviously, have not been made. I don't care whether you adjourn to a time certain or the Regular, First, Second, Third, Fourth or Fifth Special Session, whether you adjourn for a Perfunctory Session, for a working Session or whatever. It seems to me that at this point, we ought to read the handwriting in the wall and recognize that the only proper motion and the only one which is significant is a motion to adjourn all of these Special Sessions, sine die."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Duff, for what purpose do your rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Parliamentary Inquiry and...and quite seriously."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point sir."

Duff: "First of all, let me say that I...ah...have differed as many Members know, on many occasions with Representative Matijevich, but there is probably nobody, at least on the other side of the aisle that has a better feeling to the real pulse to the attitudes to the Members of this House. And I subscribe totally to what he has suggested to the Leadership. We recognize the problem. We recognize the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

problem, quite sincerely. We know that the Senate has once again...ah...told us what to do, in effect. The Senate has done this to us regularly, they've done it to us again. Now, Representative Murphy has suggested the Message that should be transmitted to them. But...but quite seriously now...ah... the respected Majority Leader, has just said that the Third Special Session was adjourned to a General Session. Now, that is impossible and I, if the Third General Session is adjourned to a time certain, it's got to be to another Third General Session. Now, I asked this for a reason. Early in this Fall Session, when the wisdom of the Leadership of both of our parties had mandated that we would have not only a General Fall Session, but five Special Sessions, it was my understanding that they determined, because of the complexity of the law, relative to our expenses, that we would be paid our per diem based upon the General Sessions. Now, there's not been a motion yet to adjourn the General Session to Sunday. There's been a motion to adjourn the Third Special Session to Sunday. Now, if we are going to come back here on Sunday and we are going to get our munificent thirty two dollars a day, can we, sir, go to a General Session so that we may all obediently act in your suggestion and recess the General Session to Sunday in spite of what has been indicated to you as the feelings of all of us on both sides of the aisle."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved the Second Special Session do stand adjourned to the hour of 3:00 p.m. Sunday. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Second Special Session stands adjourned. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "We are now in the Regular Session, Mr. Speaker, and I move that the Regular Session stand adjourned until the hour of 4:00 p.m., Sunday, November 18."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the Regular Session



stand adjourned until the hour of 4:00 p.m. Sunday. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Regular Session stands adjourned till 4:00 p.m. Sunday. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "We are now in the First Special Session, Mr. Speaker and I move that the First Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 4:30 p.m."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the First Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 4:30 p.m. on Sunday. All in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The First Special Session stands adjourned."

Walsh: "We're now in the Fourth Special Session, Mr. Speaker, and I move that the Fourth Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 5:00 p.m., Sunday, November 18."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the Fourth Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 5:00 p.m. on Sunday. All those in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Fourth Special Session does now stand adjourned until 5:00 p.m."

Walsh: "And finally Mr. Speaker, we are in the Fifth and last Special Session and I move that that the Fifth Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 5:15, Sunday, November 18."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the Fifth Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 5:00 p.m. on Sunday. All in favor 'aye'....5:15 p.m. on the hour of...Sunday. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Fifth Special Session does now stand adjourned. Have a nice weekend fellas and gals."



First tape begins in middle of prayer.

Dr. Johnson: "Hear us gracious Father and be with us in this continuing Session, Oh God, our strength and our redeemer. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "All right we're...when we recessed, we were on ...we had just, we had just finished taking a Roll Call on House Bill 12 and it was; it would leave the House placed on Consideration Postponed. So then normally we would be back on House Bills, Third, but under the Rules, I'm going to move to House Bills, Second Reading. Change the Order of Business, which I can do. And then we'll come down through these House Bills...call because Mr. Shea doesn't need it called now and I'm not going to call 15 through 25. Now Mr...Somebody's handling Mr. Craig's 26? There's.. Is Mr. Craig here? I'm on page 4, Third Special Session. Is somebody handling...Is there an Amendment? There's no, Amendment? All right, read...read the..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 26. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation to the Board of Governor's State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bills 30. Bradley. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Regents. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, Mr. Bradley. Mr. Lauer handling it for Mr. Bradley? Or Mr. Deavers? No Amendments? None from the Floor? Third Reading. Mr. Hanahan, who's handling? Mr. Skinner handling that one for Mr. Hanahan? 1131? An Act to provide..."

Clerk Selcke: "House...-House Bill 31. Hanahan. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capitol Development Board."



Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 32. Peters. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation to the Board of Governor's of State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Is this one all right? Who's handling it?

Who's handling it for him? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 36. Beaupre. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 8½ of an Act in relation to State Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 37. Robert Holloway. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute. Is the Gentleman here? Well...ah.... you better leave it on. Is it all right? Are you handling it for him? All right. Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "39.."

Speaker Blair: "Now, is Mr. Katz here? We've got his proposals, his R.T.A. proposals. All right, Mr. Katz isn't here. Somebody get him we could call...we could call his R.T.A. package. Somebody send the word out to Mr. Katz we could, we'd call his now. Let's take 42 then until he arrives on the scene."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 42. Shea. A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation for a certain claim against the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 43, Third Special Session on page 3, line 20 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman...oh, that's a Committee Amendment? Discussion on the Committee Amendment? Mr. Gibbs moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor



say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "No."

Speaker Blair: "Floor Amendments on 4..."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 43, Third Special Session. Hill. Third Special Session on page 1 and so forth. Committee Amendments,"

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "This is an Amendment that I had cleared through the Leadership of both parties and it has to do with a award by the Court of Claims and I'd appreciate adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. All right, Senate Bills, Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "No are we on Senate Bills, Second?"

Speaker Blair: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Go on through them."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 20. Washington. An Act to make an Appropriation to the Board of Governor's State Colleges and Universities. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 20, Third Special Session, page 1, by deleting lines 14, 15 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman...Is this Washington's Amendment? It's a Committee Amendment. Are you handling it? All right."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, the Calendar is incorrect, the Bill is Sponsored by Peters and Washington and Mr. Peters is the Chief Sponsor."

Speaker Blair: "All right...ah..."

Washington: "But I move the adoption of the Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Are you familar with it?"

Washington: "Yes, I'll move the adoption of the Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it

the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Peters... Table #1 and adopt 2, Harold."

Washington: "You'll tell me later what I did, won't you Fred? I move...I move we table Amendment #1 to House Bill...Senate Bill 20."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #1. All right, hearing no objection Amendment #1 is tabled."

Washington: "I move adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 20."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The question is on the adoption of ...ah...of, the Floor Amendment? Floor Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Bradley. Amends Senate Bill 20, Third Special Session and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "I propose to table Amendment #3 to..."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, you do?"

Bradley: "Senate Bill 20?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, is this your Amendment?"

Bradley: "This is my Amendment, yes."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to Table Amendment #3. All those...have leave? All right, Amendment #3 is tabled. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Now go ahead."

Clerk Selcke: "Give me the next one. Amendment...Senate Bill 21. Grotberg. Appropriation to the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading. Oh...Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah...Senate Bill 22. Barry. Appropriation to the



Illinois Legislative Council. Second Reading of the Bill.
 One Committee Amendment. Amends...Amendment #1. Amends
 Third Special Session, Senate Bill 22, page 1, line 6, by
 striking thirty thousand two hundred and forty and inserting
 in lieu thereof, twenty five thousand one hundred and twenty."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "It's a Committee Amendment. I move it's adoption. It
 reduces the Appropriation by five thousand dollars. It's
 agreed by all sides."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the
 Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it,
 the Amendment's adop...the Amendment's adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Brandt, you going to handle it Toby?
 Amends Senate Bill 22, Special Session Three on page 1, by
 deleting all lines 1, 2 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "This is an Amendment of the Space Needs Commission and
 Mr. Brandt isn't here and I'll proceed to try to handle it...
 Amendment #1 and #2, which are identical. Adds eighty one
 thousand dollars to my Bill, so to speak, for the purposes
 of furnishing the major hearing rooms, 212 and two others
 as I understand it and it's absolutely necessary for furniture
 and furnishings."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all...Discussion? All those in favor
 of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'.
 The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?
 Third Reading....One more Amendment."

Barry: "Amendment #3, which was inadvertently marked Amendment #2,
 I believe. To the same effect, I might add. Mr. Speaker, I
 now recognize that what has happened is that two Amendments
 were submitted. One marked, wrongly, #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right, let's back up here just a minute."

Barry: "No, we're all set, we just quit."

Speaker Blair: "We are all set?"



Barry: "If we'll just quit. There were two Amendments submitted by Mr. Brandt. One identified as number 1, wrongly, another identified as #2. If we withdraw the one was identified as #1, we've done all that we need to do."

Speaker Blair: "All right, so what we've done, is we've adopted Committee Amendment #1 and we've adopted Floor Amendment #2."

Barry: "Right, that's it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, and you're withdrawing what has been inadvertently marked as a Floor Amendment #1. All right.

Third Reading. No...Mr. Rayson here?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 23. Rayson. Appropriation...ah...to the Court of Claims Fund. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 23, Third Special Session, page 1, line 5, by deleting two hundred and fifty thousand and inserting in lieu thereof, one hundred thousand."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well this Amendment was agreed to by the Attorney General's Office, the Governor's Office, the Court of Claims Office as a reduction in a contingency fund for...ah...compensation of funds, is and when they develop and awards are given. It was... The Amendment cuts it down from two hundred and fifty thousand to a hundred thousand dollars for the next Fiscal Year and I would move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 23."

Speaker Blair: "Is there further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 24. Rayson. An Act making an Appropriation in relation to the administration of Crime Victims Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 25. Dave Jones. An Act to make an appropriation to the Board of Trustees, Southern Illinois



University. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 40. Tuerk. An Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 39...I don't know... Okay, you're here now? Oh...."

Speaker Blair: "We're trying to ascertain the House move between the various Sessions because we did something a little different last night than ordinarily. We didn't adjourn, we recessed...ah..."

We'll...Senate Bills, Third Reading. There's just one, I'm coming back there, I'm coming back. Let me suggest this.

There are...ah...Mr. Katz has 39 and 40 back on the Floor now. There are a number of Amendments to his...ah...his

package of Bills to create a Regional Transportation Authority. Sangmeister, Mahar, Lundy, Palmer, Geo-Karis, Hanahan, Deuster

Schlickman, Geo-Karis. So those people that have those Amendments, they can start getting ready because we'll be

with Mr. Katz in just shortly here. Ah...Now I had gone to Senate...on Senate Bills, Third Reading, #16."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 16. Campbell. A Bill for an Act amending the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill.

Give me a Roll Call sheet."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 16 is a Bill which sets forth the machinery

for the Department of Public Aid to work in relationship with the Federal Government in the take over of the

aid to blind and disabled and also provides for the state to pay supplementary income to the, to those recipients that

were being paid less than are being paid more than what the Federal Government will provide and this is an excellent

Bill and I would certainly appreciate the favorable support of this House and certainly if there's any group of people



that are entitled to fair play, it's those in the disabled category. Mr. Speaker, I would ask for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there leave for the last unanimous Roll Call? All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 16 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. And House Bill, with leave of the House the vote will be the last unanimous Roll Call, which was with respect to House Bill 4, Third Special Session. 165 'aye', no 'nay'. So this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. All right, now we can go to House Bills, Second Reading and we'll go to Mr. Katz on 39."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 39. Katz. A Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of the Regional Transportation Authority for Northeastern Illinois and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Give me those Floor Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Floor Amendment #1. Sangmeister. Amends House Bill 30, Third Special Session page 4, by inserting after line 7, the following and so forth."

Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, with respect to Floor Amendment #1."

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we've reached the stage now, in the R.T.A. proposals that you've got a Bill before you that has surely been heralded as a compromise Bill and is one that can be acceptable to an awful lot of people on both sides of the aisle. The only thing in my opinion, that this Bill lacks is the referendum provision. If you have the Amendment before you, you will see that this is again, a county by county referendum. Ah...it's to be held at the Primary Election in March of 1974 and I see no reason for me to give you a lot of reasons for this. We've gone over this Amendment on...on



House Bill 12. Ah...I believe, without question, that the adoption of this Amendment could put this Bill flying out of the House. This is the one thing that stands in the way of getting a overwhelming majority for this Bill. This is a fine Bill, there's been a lot of good work put into it on both sides of this aisle, it's a compromise Bill. With this simple referendum provision in it and you can be assured of passage. I urge your adoption."

Speaker unknown: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I certainly favor this particular Amendment. I've become a little befuddled in this Legislature. I can understand the Chicago Democrats, the regular organization saying that they're opposed to this. I can understand some suburban Legislators saying I'm opposed to this. I can understand those Legislators on the Democratic side that say, therefore and with Governor Walker. They oppose this. But let me tell you this. I'm a little mixed up when I run into the very liberal element of the Democratic party who contend they are independent and take orders from neither Mayor Daley or Governor Walker. I'd like to find out the shoddy that we figure they're taking orders from then. Because they're opposed to giving the people a voice in accepting the referendum votes in these various counties. They contend that they serve the people, and they're people oriented. What are they afraid of then, if they're for the people and they're people oriented? This is a very good Amendment. And I'm sure that if it is accepted that you find that Cook County, Chicago, I feel confident, DuPage County and I feel confident Lake County would opt themselves in on this piece of Legislation. I also feel confident that Will, that McHenry and Kane, would opt themselves out. Now what's so wrong with that? I hear many times, the idea discussed that the people back home don't



trust politicians anymore. Well what do you expect? When the independents and the politicians don't trust the people at home anymore? Don't you think it's about time that we start trusting them? And in turn they'll start trusting us. Don't you believe that the people should have the right, to say 'yes' or 'no' to something like this? I certainly don't want to buck my party Leadership. It's very difficult. The pressure is very severe. But let me tell you this. It's still my belief that the people should have that right to decide whether they put more money in the wealthy by paying of the bonds of the C.T.A., that they spend a lot of money buying antiquated equipment from the various railways, what's wrong letting the people decide? Especially you independents who say you take orders from no one. Have faith in the people, they put you here, they put you here against the machine. Now this is one Amendment I'm sure you could adopt. The argument I hear in opposition to this is that the Nipsey area, which is a six county area if all of them are not in this transit authority then the Federal Government will not give any money to the Districts. Well let me tell you this. I served on the advisory Committee for Nipsey, and for about the last eight years I've heard Federal people come to our meetings and say, 'That if you don't set up a super board of not only these six counties but Porter and Lake County in Indiana, no Federal Funds will come into the area. They have been saying this for eight years and those Federal Funds continue to come in. And if that is true what they tell me, then how come Porter and Lake County can opt out in Indiana and certainly not receive Federal Funds and we have to get stuck in by this situation? I'd appreciate very much if you independents just once, would think of the people not only in your districts that put you in but give them a little thought in my district and accept this Amendment. Thank you."



Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question?"

Speaker unknown: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Schlickman: "Is this the county by county referendum Amendment?"

Sangmeister: "Yes it is."

Schlickman: "And this is the Amendment, if adopted and implemented could result in a Regional Transportation System that would be composed of unconnected, or non contiguous parts?"

Sangmeister: "I'm not sure that they would necessarily be unconnected."

Schlickman: "Well, name me a situation where that could happen."

Sangmeister: "There are six county regions and you could have four counties that are divided by two counties, physically, the four counties electing to go in and the two counties electing not to. Therefore, you would have two noncontiguous parts within the region. Is that correct."

Sangmeister: "It's possible. But not..."

Schlickman: "Thank you...thank you."

Sangmeister: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten is recognized."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question? Is this the same Amendment that you attempted to put on the Walker - Garmisa tax Bill that had...ah...didn't have an opt out provision for the suburban area?"

Sangmeister: "Yes, I'm sorry Representative Totten, it's the same Amendment, we're in the same position that we were last night when we raised the same question on it. This is no different than it was last night. It's the same Amendment."

Totten: "I would be hopeful that you would have redrawn it in time to put it on this Bill. But this again provides the situation that the suburban area could be left holding the bag on this thing...ah... It probably would be a good idea to opt out



the City of Chicago on this thing too. But I'd urge the suburban Legislators that we could be in one hell of a mess on this thing if we were left as the only ones in this. And would highly recommend that the suburban Legislators vote 'no' on this Amendment. Sorry."

Sangmeister: "Well I'm sorry that you have to take that position. I had no idea we were going to go to Second Reading today on this Bill or I would have probably had it redrafted. But as it stands now, it's the same as it was last night. It does not protect you people."

Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to speak in favor of this Amendment. It has been said several times on the Floor of this House that the statesmanslike thing is to leave the people into a Regional Transportation Authority because it is good for them. However, you take the pulse of your communities as you reside in the outlying six counties, you will find that there's no way on earth you can lead the people, because they're not going to be lead. They are against this massively, they will not buy this concept at this time and it is ridiculous to say to us in the outlying areas that we should lead our people and put them into this district at this time. Now to answer one other problem that was raised that if several of the counties opted out, this would leave a skeletal structure without arms or legs. This is not a particular problem is you can recall Representative Deuster's map. Most of the R.T.A. is going to go to the outlying Counties, will either be intra-county or from the City of Chicago out to the County. And about every county has a railroad line going to it. But very there is absolutely no intra-county, other than Cook Transportation. So this would not prove to be a problem at this time. Perhaps in the future, R.T.A. for the outlying six counties is the thing to come. But not at this time. I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker unknown: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. R. L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I know the Sponsors of this Amendment have good intentions and I listened to Representative Hill appeal for us to represent the people and let them have a referendum. But I suggest to the Members of this House, that there has never been an issue in the State of Illinois that has been studied longer and harder at the expense of the taxpayers, than R.T.A. Governor Ogilvie had his task force, Speaker Blair had his Regional Transit Commission hearings and the Governor had his public hearings all summer and we've had the Mayor of Evanston speaking on behalf of the Council of Governments of all the...of all the outlying cities in Cook County, tell us that they voted unanimously against a referendum. Now we've spent enough of the taxpayers time, we're down here as Legislators and we should act responsibly. The people do not want a referendum. They want us to act and get the job done and this Amendment would kill this good piece of Legislation that we are bipartisanly supporting and I urge it's defeat."

Speaker Unknown: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, would one of the Sponsors or proponents yield to a question?"

Speaker Unknown: "You may proceed."

Ewell: "Can you explain to me the difference between allowing the people to vote on this referendum and allowing the people to vote on the Judges Referendum. Is there some distinction that the people are quite able to decide in the issue of Mass Transit, but when it comes to your beloved Judges, they are not? Could I get a little response from..."

Sangmeister: "Number 1, we're asking for identically the same thing Mr. Ewell. Because when the Judges are first put on, they're put on a ballot and they are elected the first time around. This is all that we're asking here."



Put it on the ballot one time and let out people vote for it. Just as we do for the Judges when we originally elect them. Do just exactly that, that's all we ask."

Ewell: "Well couldn't we consider this sort of a retention ballot?"

We'll put them in and then we'll allow you possibly to think about voting it out. I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that this Amendment will in fact, gut the Bill. I suggest that you have to search your conscience and your soul and if you're going to be consistent in one, you have to be consistent in the other. If you want to allow the people to have the right to vote on every single issue, then you ought to give the people a seat down here. I'm suggesting that there comes a time when you've got to start proceeding on your own and you've got to sit up and start making some decisions."

Speaker Unknown: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Unknown: "Proceed Sir."

Waddell: "In relation to the counties and the County of Cook, would you give me an answer as to what the word, contiguous means?"

Sangmeister: "Were... Are you referring that that appears in the Amendment somewhere?"

Waddell: "No, it was referred to by a Representative on this side. I'm trying to show the fractured ability if one of these counties decided to opt out of this and I would suggest to you that, contiguous, in this particular manner of means would be contiguous to the County of Cook."

Sangmeister: "That is correct."

Waddell: "So, in reality, they are contiguous, regardless of which one goes out."

Sangmeister: "In my opinion it is. I have not studied the map that closely to see who could opt out that would not make contiguous of Cook. I don't think it can be done. But unless I look at a map, I can't really tell you now. I think he's wrong."



Waddell: "Thank you. I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "You may proceed sir,"

Waddell: "I think that this word, contiguous, is important for this reason. It is obvious to all of the people who are going to literally get shafted with this five county addition, that the contiguous part of it is very important to them because I do not think that they have the good faith and credit to float the bond issues were it not for this fiving...or surrounding five counties. As a result of that we have to be brought into this thing according to the master planners, who really don't give a darn what the people think. And I think in light of that, this business of being contiguous has it's reason and it's reason is that they couldn't float it on the past performance of the C.T.A. and the so called, experts, that they have in this area that have watched and helped the C.T.A. go down to the state of oblivion that it is right now. I think that's where the word, contiguous, belongs, it was the defeat of this type of thinking and I think that we should support this Amendment so the people do have a voice in what they're going to be asked to participate in in this master plan."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I am utterly amazed to hear it ascerted on the Floor of these Chambers that the people involved in these six counties don't want a referendum. I haven't ever heard anything more amazing to me. Now if they don't want a referendum, on this question, it is only because they do not understand and they have not been informed of the implication involved in these...this Bill. If they understood that we are in fact, setting up another form of government, call it what you will, I think the Bill itself refers to it as a unit of local government. I will call it Regional Government. All of the powers attended to, including the powers



to tax. I'm sure that the people realize the far reaching implications of what we're doing here. They would surely want a referendum and I think they're entitled to it. Now maybe what we ought to do is have a referendum put out as to whether the people want a referendum or not. I don't know. Maybe this is where we ought to go. But if we did, I'm sure the answers would come back a resounding 'yes', we want a voice before we saddle this additional layer of government, with it's taxing powers on the backs of our people they would like something to say about it. And I think they're entitled to it and I'll support this Amendment. I think it ought to get an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Miller: - "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the Bill. I am amazed that I sat here last night and heard all these various excuses of why they wanted to vote for the Walker - Garmisa Bill. Many, many responsible Legislators got up and said that the Bill is not the dream Bill that they wanted but in order to get a vehicle to work they were voting for this Bill. Well, this Bill is a compromise Bill. I think it has many, many good points that no other Bill has. The only thing it needs is a referendum Amendment. This referendum...referendum Amendment would give the people what you are sent here to give them. It would give them a choice of representation on the Regional Mass Transportation. What are you ashamed of that you would want to deny your constituents this right? What are you afraid of? I could not go back to my district and vote for a refer...for a R.T.A. Bill without giving the people of my district a choice of whether they wanted it or not. I have sent out questionnaires and my questionnaires come back about fifty/fifty. It does not give me a clear cut decision to make for my people on the R.T.A. and believe me I have R.T.A.'s running through my district and I will say



to you. If you get this Bill in shape, with a referendum then I will vote for your Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, this matter has been debated many times here on the Floor. We have heard the same speeches over and over again. It has been the position of the Members of this House that they believe that this six county area should not be permitted to allow individual counties to opt out. I will point out that if we gave them this right, we would lose any entitlement to Federal operating funds that we will very much need and that will relieve the State of Illinois of some of these financial obligations. I would urge that at this moment, when there appears to be no live R.T.A. Bills anywhere that we try to keep this Bill in as good shape as possible and maybe we can come out with an R.T.A. Bill and I would urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "All right, now we'll return to the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, to close the debate."

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Well I'm very disappointed, to say the least, to see that the Sponsor of this Bill does not want to accept this Amendment. He has worked hard. He has a very, very fine Bill which will gather all kinds of support if we put this Amendment on it and I appeal as Representative Hill did, to the Independents, that if you're really serious, you're really talking about a compromise in a Bill and you want R.T.A. and I say this for the Chicago Democrats. If you are serious about a compromise, then you really want an R.T.A., all you have to do is pick up this Amendment and run with the Katz Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall Amendment #1, to House Bill 39 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Do five Members request a Roll Call? Yes, I see more than five. All right, we'll have an oral Roll Call."



The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 39? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay' and Mr. Clerk, call the Roll. And will the Members please be in their seats on this oral Roll Call and please keep the noise level down."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh."

Speaker Miller: "The Clerk is having extreme difficulty recording these votes. I request once again, that the Members be in their seats and please keep the noise level down to aid the Clerk. Please...I urge the Members to break up the various conferences so the Clerk can proceed with his duties."

Clerk Selcke: "Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Dunne, the Gentleman from Cook, to explain his vote."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, in spite of what I said earlier in opposition to this Amendment. I'm almost sorely tempted to vote for it because just overhearing conversations on the Floor of some of the strategy to defeat this Amendment because then it will kill any chance for this Bill to pass. I really in a dilemma right now, but...ah...I...I oppose the idea, for the reasons that I spoke for. I...I oppose the idea of the referendum and I guess I'll just have to say 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell"

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker...ah...in an effort to be consolatory and in an effort to keep an open and in an effort to span and replace one bridge over troubled water. I am willing to shift my feet



a little and to try to reach out and if anyone thinks that this Amendment can live over in the Senate, I think that I can go along with those who want to compromise. I think that I can reach out and at least in the hopes that in some final analysis, we can have a Bill, vote for some of the Amendments that might make it possible to come out of this House. And so I'm going to listen and...ah...like the Indians, I'm going to trust my friends over there and I'm going to try one more time and so on this event, I'm going to change my attitude and I'm going to vote 'aye' for this Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Hoffman Gene, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway. Not voting he's not voting. D. Houlihan,..."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Houlihan, to explain his vote."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. For the same reasons as outlined by Representative Ewell and since I feel that an R.T.A. with a referendum is better than no R.T.A. at all, vote me 'aye' please on this Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "J. Houlihan, 'no'. Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Kempiners to explain his vote."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This past summer as a Member of a Legislative Investigating Commission, I had the opportunity to study a Welfare Program that was instituted in the State of California and there's been something said on the Floor of the House today that I think, what has been done in California can demonstrate, may not always be true. These people had a welfare problem and they didn't know what to do with it. They knew that costs kept going up and up, the numbers of people on welfare went down, but the cost went up and



they wanted to do something about that, so the first thing they did was fire all the people who were dealing with welfare and brought in administrators who were not tied to the Federal Government's way of doing things. They found when they went to the different agencies that everybody interpreted the law differently. But they put their lawyers to work on it and the lawyers said the Federal Government or the people in the Government who were interpreting the Department of Health Education and Welfare Regulations, don't know what they're talking about. California instituted this program, it's been challenged in court and they've won nine tenths of the suits that have been filed against them because they supposedly were in conflict with the Federal Government Rules and Regulations. Now I think my colleague from the 39th District, Jack Hill, pretty much said what I'm saying. That everybody interprets what the Federal Government's doing and everybody's afraid to challenge those interpretations. Well maybe it's about time we do that. I know the people in my district want a referendum on this and I proudly vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy,..."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Lundy to explain his vote."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have worked very hard with Harold Katz on this Bill. And I'd like to see it stay alive as a vehicle to provide what I think is desperately needed in the six county area. For the welfare of the people there and for the future economic well being of that entire area. Now it's very tempting to vote for this Amendment because it will make the Bill more acceptable to many who otherwise, will not support it. But I'm going to vote 'no' and I'm going to vote 'no' for three reasons, that I think are



decisive. Number 1, you can not approach mass transit for an economically, integrated metropolitan area, on a piecemeal basis. It just will not work. You can't do it, you can't do the necessary planning on a piece by piece basis. The six county area is a...is a economic hole for purposes of economics and for purposes of economics and for purposes of Mass Transportation and it's got to be treated that way. The second reason is related to the first, and that is that the Federal Government has seen that the six county area is an economic hole and it requires, for purposes of planning and for purposes of Federal Subsidies, not only operating subsidies but capitol grants as well, which the R.T.A. will need that the six county area be treated as one unit for mass transit purposes. In other words if we go the county by county route we risk forfeiting millions of dollars in Federal Subsidies which will relieve the taxpayers in the six county area. Third, it seems to me we don't need to vote for this Amendment in order to have a referendum. This is only one approach to a referendum. It's a county by county referendum. There will be another Amendment offered later in the day to this Bill which will provide a six county wide referendum. Now I have opposed that idea in the past, but in order to make this Bill acceptable to those of my colleagues who feel that the people have the right to vote on the establishment of this R.T.A., when that Amendment is offered I plan to support it. I don't think it's wise, but I think as a compromise and to make this Bill a viable...ah...vehicle for compromise, I'm going to support that six county wide referendum. For the present, I vote 'no'."

Speaker Miller: "Record John Fary, 'no'. Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin.... Giglio 'no'. No? Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

McClain, McCormick, McCourt,"

Speaker Miller: "Mr. McCourt, to explain his vote."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. It appears that in some ways there's not much connection between transportation and education, however, both of them cost money. The taxpayers money. It seems that when we first got in Session, back in January, there was a big effort afoot, that every school, every area in the state had to be in a Junior College District. And there was no question, there was a...even one who would disallow the ability to have the taxpayers decide by a referendum and finally they sought to get back to a referendum provision. But basically, I don't see what difference there is when, if the people that offer Junior Colleges, want to place it in a Junior College District without a referendum, why should we not give the same consideration to see that people can get to and from their jobs without a referendum and I vote 'aye'. I mean 'no'. Excuse me."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "McGah, McGrew, McLendon, did he vote?"

Speaker Miller: "McLendon 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Merlo...does that mean he don't want to vote or he's voting 'no'? He just shook his head."

Speaker Miller: "How does Mr. Merlo desire to be recorded? 'No'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kenny Miller, 'aye', Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Palmer voted 'aye', North did not vote? Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce."

Speaker Miller: "Record McClain 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Pierce 'aye'? Polk, Porter 'aye'? Please don't answer till your names called, will you, you know when you answer and it isn't your name and it's somebody else's name it makes it real difficult up here. Porter, Randolph, Rayson, 'aye', did he say 'aye'."



Speaker Miller: "Rayson 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Redmond."

Speaker Miller: "Redmond 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor...I guess Cissy don't want to vote. Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Tuerk? VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, J. J. Wolf, do you want to vote? B. B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "Mike Madigan 'no', Are you ready Mr. Clerk?"

There are several indicating here, when you're ready

Mr. Clerk. Mr. Caldwell 'no'. Mr. Barnes 'no'. Mr. Griesheimer

'aye', Mr. Schisler, 'aye'. Mr. DiPrima 'no'. Mr. Anderson 'no'...

'aye', Mr. Ebbesen 'aye', Mr. Lemke 'no'. Mr. Totten 'no'.

Gene Hoffman 'aye'. Mr. Polk, 'aye', Mr. Maragos, 'no'.

Mr. Tom Miller, Mr. Dave Jones 'aye', Mr. Rigney 'no'.

Now, have all voted who wished? All right the votes

on this question. The 'ayes', 54, the 'nay', 69. And those

voting 'present' are 2. So the Amendment...Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "If I got your figures correctly, we're fifteen votes shy, is that correct? seventeen. Well I don't see there's any reason to take up the time of the House for anything else so in the spirit of compromise that we still do not get, we will not ask for a Poll of the absentees."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Amendment #1 is lost. Call the next Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Mahar. Amends House Bill 39, Third Special Session and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "Just...Do you want to be recognized? Do you want to.... Just a moment now. The Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."



Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Third Special Session now adjourn until after the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the Gentleman moves that the Third Special... Mr. Walsh moves that the Third Special Session adjourn until after the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session. All those in favor... All right, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Is this an adjournment or a recess?"

Speaker Miller: "This is an adjournment."

Maragos: "And would this mean that the order of business will come back to whatever the Speaker will have not to follow... Okay, thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the Third Special Session stand adjourned until after the adjournment... First Special Session, stand adjournment until after the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Third Special Session stands adjourned."

Speaker Miller: "All right, now we'll call the Second Special Session into Session. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "To clarify matters a little bit you've got to remember that today is yesterday and so I move for the same Roll Call as the Roll Call for the Regular Session. It's coming."

Speaker Miller: "All right, does the Gentleman have leave to...ah...use the Attendance Roll Call of the Third Special Session...of the Regular Session, the Third Special Session Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well the Roll Call for the Regular Session."

Speaker Miller: "The Regular Session as the Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session. Is there leave? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker, I recognize that today is yesterday, I guess,



107TH LEGISLATIVE DAY
November 16, 1973

16:45 P.M. - 3RD SPECIAL SESSION - 14TH DAY
Miller
18:37 P.M. - Adjournment Motion
INSTEAD

HOUSE IN RECESS FROM

7:00 P.M. - 9:30 P.M.

21:52 P.M. - 3RD SPECIAL SESSION

12:00 MIDNIGHT - Shea called Recess

00:33:50 A.M. - Motion to Adjourn

00:58:40 A.M. - 2ND SPECIAL SESSION - 14TH DAY

1:07:00 A.M. - Regular

1:07:00 A.M. - 1ST SPECIAL SESSION - 17TH DAY

1:08:00 A.M. - 4TH SPECIAL SESSION - 9TH DAY

1:08:00 A.M. - 5TH SPECIAL SESSION - 5TH DAY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRETH AND SEVENTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

NOVEMBER 16, 1973

1:07 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRD SPECIAL SESSION - FOURTEENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 16, 1973

4:45 O'CLOCK P.M.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNETH W. MILLER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIFTH SPECIAL SESSION - FIFTH DAY

NOVEMBER 16, 1973

1:08 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION - SEVENTEENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 16, 1973

1:07 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SECOND SPECIAL SESSION - FOURTEENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 16, 1973

12:58 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES