

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION - FOURTEENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 13, 1973

7:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

REPRESENTATIVE DYER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SECOND SPECIAL SESSION - ELEVENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 13, 1973

12:00 O'CLOCK MIDNIGHT

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRD SPECIAL SESSION - ELEVENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 13, 1973

12:01 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FOURTH SPECIAL SESSION - SIXTH DAY

NOVEMBER 13, 1973

12:13 O'CLOCK P.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIFTH SPECIAL SESSION - SECOND DAY

NOVEMBER 13, 1973

12:17 O'CLOCK P.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRETH AND FOURTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

NOVEMBER 13, 1973

3:00 O'CLOCK P.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

104TH LEGISLATIVE DAY
November 13, 1973

15:00 P.M. - Regular Session - Speaker Blair

Digit 500 at 7:15 P.M. - McCourt
Digit 530 at 7:20 P.M. - Shea's
comments regarding Choate's Motion

19:30 P.M. - Adjourned

19:30 P.M. - 1ST SPECIAL SESSION - 14TH DAY
Giddy Dyer

Digit 733 at 8:30 P.M. - Motion by
Shea

24:00 P.M. - 2ND SPECIAL SESSION - 11TH DAY
Telcser

01 A.M. - Adjourned

01 A.M. - 3RD SPECIAL SESSION - 11TH DAY
13 A.M. - Adjourned

13 A.M. - 4TH SPECIAL SESSION - 6TH DAY
17 A.M. - Adjourned

17 A.M. - 5TH SPECIAL SESSION - 2ND DAY

1.

A Roll Call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1.

(TAPE DOES NOT HAVE OPENING OF HOUSE)...

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. Merciful Father, we thank and praise You for all that you have given us. Our minds and bodies, our family and friends, our colleagues, our daily work, our talents and abilities, our interest in life, the opportunities we have in this legislative House to employ these gifts in service to You and one another. Fill us now with the gifts of Your holy spirit, joy, patience, kindness, gentleness, faithfulness, when You do not give us the things we want, grant us the wisdom not to back off from You. When You seem to favor and prosper others to the point we can't seem to stand them any longer, give us the wisdom not to turn You off. And when we experience Your gifts in such measures, give us the wisdom to acknowledge your greatness toward us. Hear us now not because we deserve to be heard, but because of Your gracious promises in Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll call for attendance."

Clerk Selcke: "Clerk Selcke reads the Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "Questions with regard to the Roll Call. Questions with regard to the Roll Call? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate arise?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, would you please have the record indicate that Representative Getty is absent due to illness."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recess for forty five minutes for the purpose of a Republican conference in Room 212."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, if we have a room available, I would request... make the same request for the purpose of a Democratic conference."

Speaker Blair: "M-5."

Choate: "Then I would ask the Democrat Members to come to M-5 please."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, there's been a motion for a recess until the hour of 4 o'clock for purposes of party conferences. The Republicans to 212 and the Democrats to M-5. All those in



2.

favor of the Gentleman's motion say aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and we'll be in recess then for this purpose until the hour of 4 o'clock.

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. The Clerk has a message."

Clerk Selcke: "If I could have your attention please. This pertains to your desks so I wish you'd please listen. The memorandum to all Members of the House of Representatives from Senator Howard Mohr and Representative John Brandt, Co-chairmen. Subject: Final Pickup Date For Desks. Friday, November 16 is the final day for those Representatives who have not picked up their desks to do so. After that date, the desks that are remaining will be sold to those persons other than Representatives that have requested to purchase one of the desks that will be left over. You may pick up your desk at the R. D. Lawrence Construction Company Warehouse located at 604 North Amos, Springfield, any day this week, including Friday. Mr. Lawrence has arranged to have a workman on hand to locate and load your desk between 4:30 P.M. and 5:00 P.M. Anytime other than that, there will be a \$10 charge taking a man off the job to assist you. The desk will fit in the trunk of a normal size automobile. The desk must be paid for, \$31, before it will be released to you. The check should be made out to the R. D. Lawrence Construction Company and given to the office girl at the warehouse when you pick up your desk. If you've already picked up your desk, disregard this notice."

Speaker Blair: "Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Duff from Judiciary II to which Senate Resolution 50 was referred, reported same back with recommendation that the House do concur with the Senate in the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, on the calendar on the order of Reduction Veto Motions on the order of Consideration Postponed appears a motion with respect to Senate Bill 698 upon which the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

3.

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 698, as you will recall from the length discussion we had last week, this is a reduction veto in which the Governor saw fit to reduce the Community College Appropriation Bill from \$63,825,000 back to \$59,697,900. It involves the reduction totalling \$4,127,100. Now at the same time that the Governor, on page 2 of this particular Bill, lines 1 through 7, he did also reduce the flat grant rate from \$18.50 to \$17.61. Now since that time why the Attorney General has ruled that the Governor has the authority to reduce the total appropriation, but definitely lacks the authority to reduce that flat grant rate to the \$17.61 and a...a...of course this particular Bill has...a...a..been...a...a..overridden in the Senate and also I'd like to read the motion, we had a little discussion on this and with the move the item on page 2, lines 1 through 7 of Senate Bill 698 be restored, the item reduction of the Governor's to the contrary notwithstanding and this is the same motion that is before the House, it did pass the Senate. I would like to just say this that if the House sees fit to override the Governor's reduction veto the Illinois Public Community Colleges will have the full appropriation for fiscal year '74 which would be based on the \$18.50 per semester hour for 115,000 full-time equivalent students. This flat grant.. a...rate grant level has been supported by the Community College Trustees Association and as you recall, the General Assembly in the Senate, 46 to nothing, passed this out and also on 163 to nothing vote, out of the House. Along with the Attorney General's opinion and the Office of Comptroller sitting here as to the fact that the Comptroller will pay on this \$18.50 rate. Now if we fail on this override, I want to remind you that the increase of the Junior Colleges, Community Colleges was up 11 percent in the summer session '73 over '72, up 8 percent this fall as of the 10th day of the opening semester. Now keep in mind that if we fail in this override, it is for certain that we are going to be faced with a deficiency appropriation in the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

4.

spring term and be that as it may I would just close and open it up for discussion on that basis. I think that you are all familiar with the Bill and we'll go from there."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The previous speaker, I think, is absolutely right that we all do understand exactly what is before us here today. But let me remind the Membership just a wee bit of some of the points very serious points, I feel, that was...that I brought out last week when we were discussing this issue. According to the most recent Board of Higher Education cost studies, costs for baccalaureate programs at Illinois' community colleges are 15 percent more expensive than similar programs at the lower division of the senior universities. I'm sure that you remember me saying that for 1971 and 1972, the cost per student was \$1164 at senior universities and \$1350 at community colleges, approximately \$200 per student more expensive. In addition, a recent survey by the Bureau of the Budget of community college costs in other states as...as...displayed by the Economic and Fiscal Commission's report on the Illinois Junior College System indicates that the cost for full-time students in Illinois far exceed other states similar to the State of Illinois, such as California, Florida, Iowa and Connecticut. Now only this weekend, only this weekend, I talked personally to a member of the Board of Trustees of John A. Logan Junior College in my district. And he quite frankly told me that they did not necessarily need this money, that as far as he was concerned, it was like putting icing on the cake. As far as he was concerned, that if they did not get this appropriation that it would in no way curtail or bring about a hardship as far as the operation of that school is concerned. This is a member of the Board of Trustees of a junior college in the State of Illinois saying that the only thing this would do would give them more money such as the icing on a cake and that's his exact words. Well I'm saying to you that if we want to think of the taxpayers of this State,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

5.

we don't need to put that icing on this cake. I think, Mr. Speaker, that we should not override the Governor's veto on this particular matter."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, as much as I respect the distinguished Minority Leader, I would suggest to the House that it might have been quite enlightening had you sat through the Appropriations Committee today because we heard five Bills request supplemental appropriation because the Governor and his office and his apprisers and that includes the Board of Higher Education, recommended reductions which they can't live with. I think perhaps this kind of sloppy financing, this going to the media with 'look how much I cut' and then coming limping back in for a supplemental appropriation is badly advised. I would say let's just get the process done right now, override this veto with the necessary 107."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, is there any further...the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I just was wondering was the last speaker your Parliamentarian? He mentioned needing 107 votes and is that what the ruling is on this?"

Speaker Blair: "No, it's restoration of a reduced item and that requires 89."

Shea: "Alright, and then the motion only goes to that portion of the Bill, the appropriation, it does not touch the amendatory veto language?"

Speaker Blair: "Well with respect to Senate Bill 698 if you will point out to me where in the Governor's message, it addresses itself to the question of amendatory veto, I would be in a position to rule on your point. As I read the message on 698 it only directed itself to reductions of appropriation items, but if you want to show me in the Governor's message where it has any amendatory veto language, I'll be happy to consider that."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, if you'll remember when Governor Ogilvie



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

6.

did something very similar as to what Governor Walker has done in this Bill..."

Speaker Blair: "...fortunately little..."

Shea: "...a...page 2, line 5, where it talks about the flat grant rate, that's on page 1, he reduces the flat grant rate from \$18.50 per hour to \$17.61 which is a substantive change in the Bill and is in effect, an amendatory veto and you told Rules with regards to Governor Ogilvie's action on Ms. Chapman's Bill in the last session and I think the Gentleman's motion goes only to changing the amount, the total amount of the appropriation and he specifically said that his motion goes to only the specific dollar amounts that was reduced by some \$4,000,000 and it does not go to the language, the amendatory language, on reducing the dollar amount, the dollar hourly amount."

Speaker Blair: "Well, you still didn't answer my question. As I read Governor, the Governor's veto message, all he addresses himself to is the question of the reduction from \$63,825,000 to \$59,697,900. Within that language, he leaves in the \$18.50 per semester hour in there. Then on page 2, line 5, he comes through with the flat grant rate reduction of \$18.50 to \$17.61. Now the motion that the Gentleman has filed is that the item on page 2, lines 1 through 7, of 698 be restored. The item reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding."

Shea: "Would you be kind enough to read me the motion? Or have the Clerk read it please?"

Speaker Blair: "I move that the item on page 2, lines 1 through 7, of Senate Bill 698 be restored. The item reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Now the Governor's message reduced in..on page 2, lines 1 through 7, reduced the \$63,825,000 to \$59,697,900, and on page 2, line 5, which is within lines 1 through 7, the flat grant rate from \$18.50 to \$17.61."

Shea: "But if you'll read down that the Gentleman's motion only goes to restore the \$59,697,900 back up to \$63,825,000, I understand that being an item reduction, needs 89 votes. But by the change in the flat grant amount from \$18.50 to \$17.61 an hour,



7.

if the Gentleman's motion doesn't go to restoring that specifically, that's an amendatory veto and either we accept the amendatory veto or we go over it and it would then require three-fifths vote of this House."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, I'm...the Gentleman's motion certainly covers all of the content on page 2, lines 1 through 7, that would be the end appropriation amount that the restoration is directing itself toward. But in addition, the motion is broad enough, certainly, to reach the flat grant rate reduction matter within the way it is drawn. The Chair will rule that that part of the motion is merely surplusage because the Attorney General has already ruled that the Governor had no right to use a reduction veto on the flat grant rate that he has to use an amendatory veto if he were to address himself to that question, so the Attorney General has ruled that the Governor's action with respect to the fact that the flat grant is a nullity. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Now would you agree with me, sir, that a reduction of that item, as you said a change in the rate, not a change in the appropriation, but a change in a rate, would require an amendatory veto?"

Speaker Blair: "Well I don't think the Chair's required to rule on that question because the only question that is before the Chair is the way in which the Governor drafted his veto and he drafted his veto as a reduction veto. Very clearly he says pursuant to Article 5, Section 9(d), so on and so forth, I hereby approved each reduced items in the amount set forth in the reduced amount column set below."

Shea: "Well, my question still goes that the...if the Gentleman's motion only goes to restore the dollar amount...could we have a little order, Mr. Speaker, please? That if the Gentleman's motion only goes to restore the dollar amount, then we would have an appropriation that far exceeded the amount that would be required to be paid at the \$17.61 an hour, that if we attempt to change the \$17.61 back to \$18.50, the language or memorandum



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

8.

of the Attorney General notwithstanding because the court will probably eventually rule on that substance, that we would then find ourselves in a position either with the restored item paying \$17.61 an hour and we would had over-appropriated or find ourselves with, you know, paying \$18.50 with the reduced amount and I think Mr. Lindberg has an opinion, if that's it, we've prorated to reduce the amount over the appropriation and we come back with a \$17.61 again. So I get very confused between Mr. Lindberg and Mr. Scott and how they treat these things."

Speaker Blair: "I do too. The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that motion is all inclusive, it talks about the \$63,00,000 as a reduction veto and as you have just explained, it is very clear that he did, with a reduction veto, change this from \$18.50 to \$17.61 and what we are restoring here are intoto lines 1 through 7, on page 2 of Senate Bill 698 and if there's some question as far as the courts are concerned, the courts will have to determine that."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, does the Gentleman care to close?"

Ebbesen: "Well Mr. Speaker, simply the enrollments and the dollars are down and unless the House overrides this veto on Senate Bill 698, I'm sure we're going to be faced with a four million plus deficiency appropriation in the spring and I think we should save ourselves all that hard work in the future and accomplish that as of this moment. I encourage a yes vote in the override of the Governor's veto on Senate Bill 698."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, ...alright, shall the appropriation for the items reduced be restored to its original amount with the reduction there of the Governor in connection with Senate Bill 698. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Brummet? Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate.



Claybaugh. Collins. Craig. Cunningham."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, to explain his vote."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I indicated the other day, it makes me nervouser than a lady of ill repute in church to find myself voting in the same league with the Minority Leader and the Governor, but in this particular instance, I can...this is where I stand and I can take no other course. These junior colleges are already receiving a substantial increase. Their need is not all that great at this particular time. We Republicans need to be selective in picking Bills in which we try to outbid the Governor in the expenditure of public funds. There are many instances in which there are far worthier causes in which we saw no outrage. For example, Senate Bill 620, when the vocational program for highschoools, it was defeated here with a whimper, we need to recognize that reality and pick out the cases where we can sell to the taxpayers and prove that the Governor is wrong. I would say this in conclusion, Tim, if you'll be quiet, my Governor, right or wrong, but when he's right, I'll go along, and in this instance he's right. I vote no."

Clerk Selcke: "D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee. Duester. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer."

Speaker Blair: "The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote. And in following my esteemed colleague, Roscoe Cunningham, you might say I am as nervous as the Gentleman who visited the lady of ill repute in church in having to follow him. But I would like to support this motion, I'd like to remind you once again that this General Assembly in its enabling legislation when it set up the Junior College System, mandated the community colleges to perform certain duties. We mandated them to offer a certain percentage of vocational education forces, career preparation, they are obviously doing something right because they are the one system



in higher education who's enrollment is increasing instead of decreasing. Therefore I think since we've mandated them to do these good programs, we must live up to our part of the bargain and come closer to the funding that we should do. I vote yes."

Clerk Selcke: "Ebbessen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs. How you voting? Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. R. Holloway. What did he say? D. Houlihan. Duff...aye. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Did he say aye? Did Jacobs say aye? Jacobs. Okay, alright. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Jenison. Juckett. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kosinski? Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Madigan. Macdonald. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. MrCourt. McGah. McGrew."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote very briefly. I received a telephone call just last evening in my home in Geneseo. It was one of men on the board of Blackhawk East College, he explained to me quite honestly that it made no difference which one of these formulas passed, there...it would be nice, he would use the money if we sent it, but he was very much concerned with the fact that our State Comptroller is now saying that if we do not pass this Bill in tact, that he will not be paid anything to junior colleges for any purposes. And if, for no other reason, I gladly send a message to the servant of the State, the Comptroller, and vote no."

Clerk Selcke: "McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli.



11.

Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Peters. Philip.
 Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. Rigney.
 Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider.
 Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Shurtz.
 Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer.
 Springer? Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser.
 Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman.
 Waddell. Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh. Walters. Washburn.
 Washington. Williams. J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell.
 Mr. Speaker. Okay, Boyle, present."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen.....I'm waiting for an indication from the Clerk as to where we are. Alright, right now, we are at 90 ayes, 25 nays, 3 present. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully request verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "Alright. The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barry: "To determine whether I am recorded."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded? The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Speaker Blair: "Alright now if the Members...this is the first time, I think, we've had a verified Roll Call, so we'll ask that the Members be in the seats assigned to them, not in somebody else's seat...a...it's going to be impossible for us to tell whether people are where they are and it's going to be a long, long day. So if those persons not entitled to the floor now would please leave the floor so...Mr. Ebbesen?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I have a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Blair: "Oh sure, we'll be happy to oblige that. Now if everybody be in their seats and if you'll sit down because I know somebody's going to raise the question about they can't see. If everybody will be in their seats now...the Chair will certainly



be happy to stay here until midnight or thereafter, when everybody gets in their seat we'll proceed with the verification. Alright now, would the Clerk poll the absentees please?"

Clerk Selcke: "Beaupre. Berman."

Speaker Blair: "Record Mr. Beaupre as no. Alright for those of you who are keeping arithmetic, just prior to the time that the Clerk started to poll the absentees, Mr. Rayson appeared at the Clerk's desk and asked to be recorded as voting aye. So we now have 91 ayes, Mr. Beaupre has voted no so if you want to..."

Clerk Selcke: "Berman. Bradley."

Speaker Blair: "Bradley votes present. Mr. Barnes?"

Clerk Selcke: "I skipped Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Yeh, I was wondering."

Speaker Blair: "Was he absent?"

Clerk Selcke: "How do you want to vote?"

Speaker Blair: "Record...record the Gentleman has present."

Clerk Selcke: "Brummet. Caldwell."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Caldwell present? Present?"

Clerk Selcke: "Calvo."

Speaker Blair: "Calvo says no."

Clerk Selcke: "Capparelli. Carter. Catania. Collins. D'Arco."

Speaker Blair: "D'Arco, present."

Clerk Selcke: "Davis. Dee. DiPrima. Fennessey. Fleck. Getty.

Giglio. Giglio? Giorgi. Hanahan. Hart. Gene Hoffman.

D. Houlihan. Hunsicker. Hyde. Emil Jones. Keller. Kelly.

Laurino. Wait till I finished this. Lemke. Londrigan. Lundy.

Madigan. Maragos. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McLendon.

McPartlin. Merlo. Molloy. Nardulli. Patrick. Sharp. Shea.

Ike Sims. Springer. Stone. Taylor. Terzich."

Speaker Blair: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, arise?"

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, I can't help but notice that there are aides to the Governor going around talking to the Members during this very important verification and I would suggest to you that that's not the purpose of allowing Governor's aides to be on the



floor, they are here to advise Members, but during the Roll Call, they really ought to make themselves scarce. And I would ask you, Mr. Speaker, to ask the aides to the Governor to return to the rear of the gallery so that we can continue this and people won't have pressure put on them."

Speaker Blair: "Well you are certainly consistent because you raised the same objections with regard to the Governor's assistants under the prior administration and I think that your point is well taken with this Governor's administrative aides too. And I'm sure that during a verification they can't be reasonable assistants I'm sure, so if they can hold themselves or refrain from pursuing some of the Members while I'm sure it'll be appreciated. Now go ahead."

Clerk Selcke: "Thompson. Tipsword."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I thought you put it quite nicely."

Speaker Blair: "I saw you coming out of the chair and I thought I'd get the jump on you."

Clerk Selcke: "Wall. R. Walsh. Washington. J. J. Wolfe. Yourell."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Springer."

Springer: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is not recorded."

Springer: "Vote me aye."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman as aye. Alright, here's where we are after the absentees have been polled. 92 ayes, 28 nays, and 8 present. Alright, now the Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause, has requested a poll...or has requested a verification. Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Well Mr. Speaker, since we are under such adverse conditions, I wonder if we could have each Member stand as their name is called."

Speaker Blair: "Well there are more nays than ayes on your suggestion. I'll ask the Clerk to proceed in a deliberate fashion and if you have any questions of recognition why be assured that the Chair



will extend you every courtesy with regard to the time necessary to be satisfied on the Roll Call. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Well Mr. Speaker can we at least have them wave their hands so we can see."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, I don't think that's unreasonable. Would the Members if they don't mind, although there is no requirement in the rules that you do it, would you either wave your hand or stand up to accommodate the Gentleman from St. Clair."

Krause: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Proceed to verify the affirmative."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Bluthardt. Borchers.

Brinkmeier. Campbell. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Clabaugh.

Day. Duester. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer.

Ebbesen. Epton. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Gibbs. Griesheimer.

Grotberg. Harpstrite. Hirschfeld. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy

Hollosay. Hudson. Huskey. Jacobs. Jaffe. Dave Jones.

Juckett. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kriegsman.

Kucharski. Jenison. LaFleur. Lauer. Leinenweber. Macdonald.

Mahar. Mann. Martin. McCormick. McCourt. McMaster. Kenny

Miller. Tom Miller. Mugalian. Murphy. Neff. North. Palmer.

Pappas. Peters. Philip. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson.

Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schlickman. Schneider.

Schoerberlein. Schraeder. Sevcik. Shurtz. Timothy Simms. Skinner

Soderstrom. Springer. Stiehl. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk.

Von Boeckman. Waddell. W. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Williams.

B. B. Wolfe. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Alright now do we have questions of the affirmative?"

Krause: "VonBoeckman?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, I don't see..I don't see...Mr. Lemke, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lemke: "Vote me no."



Speaker Blair: "Alright now Mr. VonBoeckman is not in his seat. Take him off the Roll."

Krause: "Tuerk?"

Speaker Blair: "He's in his seat."

Krause: "Tim Simms?"

Speaker Blair: "He's back there beside Kucharski."

Krause: "Just missed him awhile ago. Tuerk?"

Speaker Blair: "He's back there beside Mr. Jenison."

Krause: "Schraeder?"

Speaker Blair: "He's back in the back."

Krause: "Pappas?"

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

Krause: "McCourt?"

Speaker Blair: "He's back there."

Krause: "Martin?"

Speaker Blair: "She's right there."

Krause: "Mahar?"

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

Krause: "Jenison."

Speaker Blair: "He's back there beside Mr. Tuerk."

Krause: "Kelly?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kelly?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Krause: "Juckett?"

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

Krause: "Jacobs?"

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, I don't see him back there so take him off."

Krause: "Hyde?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Krause: "Ron Hoffman?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."



Speaker Blair: "Alright, take Mr. Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, off the record."

Krause: "Friedland?"

Speaker Blair: "Friedland's back there."

Krause: "Capuzi?"

Speaker Blair: "Capuzi's right here. Mr. Ron Hoffman's back now, put him back on the record."

Krause: "Brinkmeier?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Blair: "I don't seek Mr. Brinkmeier in his seat or on the floor so take him off the record."

Krause: "Rose?"

Speaker Blair: "Rose is back there....right behind you."

Krause: "Holloway? J. D. Holloway?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Blair: "And he's right there in his seat."

Krause: "Hill?"

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Krause: "Kosinski?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Blair: "I don't see Mr. Kosinski, he's not in his seat, alright take Mr. Kosinski...take Mr. Kosinski off the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Krause: "Pierce?"

Speaker Blair: "He's back there."

Krause: "Macdonald?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting aye. There she is."

Speaker Blair: "She's there. Mr. Lundy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lundy: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lundy: "Please vote me aye."

Speaker Blair: "Record Lundy, aye. How is the Gentleman from Tazewell,



Mr. Kriegsman, recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, alright, Brinkmeier is back on the floor, Put him back on aye. Are there any further questions of the affirmative? Mr. Kelly, aye. Record Mr. Kelly, aye. Mr. Berman? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Berman is recorded as not voting."

Berman: "Vote me aye please."

Speaker Blair: "Vote the Gentleman as aye. Mr. Kosinski?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Blair: "How's..."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman was recorded as voting aye, but he was taken off the Roll."

Kosinski: "Please vote me aye."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman as aye. Mr. Maragos?"

Maragos: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Maragos is recorded as not voting."

Maragos: "Vote me aye."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman as aye. Alright, now let's be in order ...alright, now I know there is going to be some movement here. Mr. Gene Hoffman votes aye. Mr. Caldwell? Record the Gentleman as aye. Mr. Calvo votes aye. Calvo votes aye. Alright now, listen here's the problem. The Clerk...it's a verified Roll Call and he's saying when you go up to ask him to do something he loses his concentration. If you...I'll recognize you on the floor...on the situation and we'll... alright, Mr. Wall votes aye. Calvo votes aye. Getty votes aye. McAvoy votes aye. Harold Washington votes aye. Emil Jones votes aye. Paul Stone...Paul Stone votes aye. Fennessey votes aye. Boyle votes aye. Boyle. Merlo, aye. McLendon, aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, Merlo?"

Speaker Blair: "Merlo, aye. McLendon, aye. DiPrima, aye."



Kozubowski, aye. Tip sword..."

Clerk Selcke: "Hold it, hold it, hold it. Alright who's the next one?"

Speaker Blair: "Tip sword, aye. Matijevich...no...Hanahan...nothing...

Matijevich, nothing. Patrick. Hanahan voted aye. Patrick, aye.

and Taylor aye. Farley, aye. Farley is aye. D'Arco, aye.

Dan Houlihan, aye. Laurino, aye. D'Arco, aye. Dan Houlihan,

aye. Bill Laurino, aye. Holloway, aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Which Holloway?"

Speaker Blair: "Bob...Bob Holloway. Bob Holloway, aye. Keller, aye.

Barnes.."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, wait a minute."

Speaker Blair: "Barnes, aye. Jake Wolf, no. Fleck no and Jake Wolf

no. McPartlin, aye. Londrigan, no."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute."

Speaker Blair: "Phil Collins, aye. Is everybody where they want to

be? Alright, the Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Mr. Speaker, out of all this confusion, did anybody change

from aye to no."

Speaker Blair: "Yes, Fleck changed to no. They were voting no."

Krause: "They were present."

Speaker Blair: "They were present, yeh."

Krause: "I have no further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, now if the Clerk can figure it out and

give us the vote. Alright now we...on this question there are

122 ayes, 29 nays, 4 present, and the Gentleman's motion

prevails. Where is Mr. Choate? Is he here? No I just wanted

to report I had a note sent up here from one of our Members.

This note is from C.L. and he said 'Look Mr. Speaker, my first

day as Whip and I got them all lined up'. Alright on the

Speaker's Calendar, or on the Speaker's Table on the Supplemental

Calendar...alright on the Speaker's Table, regular session,

supplemental calendar, appears Senate Joint Resolution 50, on

which the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr.

Ryan. This is another matter that is up for passage and it's...

a...for what purpose does the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr.



Cunningham, arise?"

Cunningham: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. May I state my inquiry? My question is are the irregularities in the handling of this Bill such as to officiate this public disservice. Specifically, this comes up here on apparently for passage on Second Reading. Now I recognize that the Parliamentarian will quote the rule that says that an ordinary Resolution comes back only on Second Reading, but this isn't an ordinary Resolution. this rescinds SJR 23. We all agree that the rules which governs SJR 23 required three readings. Are we not in agreement so far? If that be true we need to follow and say that this is sewage interest and that being true that it would also have three readings, otherwise it makes a whole mockery of the process to say that you can turn back, that you can turn back the calendar with less steps than you turned it forward."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, we're going to look at that and I'll give you a ruling. Yes well, the Article 14, Section 2, certainly spells out that with regard to passage of such a proposed referendum that it will take three readings. There is no similar requirement with regard to a withdrawal and SJR 50 is a withdrawal and at the end of Section 2(a) it says that it shall be submitted to the electors at the general election next occurring at least six months after such legislative approval unless withdrawn, unless withdrawn by a vote of a majority of the Members elected to each House. So that would seem to clearly establish the Constitutional majority and inasmuch as there is no Constitutional requirement for three separate readings, it would be the Chair's ruling that it only takes one...one reading and that's where we are. It doesn't actually come out on Second Reading and then Third Reading, it's as a Resolution up or down. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I havent' verified it but I've been informed that it took three readings over on the other...over in the Senate. And if that be true why it would seem to raise



serious doubts as to the accuracy of your ruling."

Speaker Blair: "Well I hate to be bound by what the Senate may have done, I think the Constitution is rather clear on the one hand there are three readings required to submit it to the electorates, but there's no three readings required for withdrawal. It just simply says unless withdrawn by a vote of the majority of the Members elected to each House."

Cunningham: "That's the advantage of being the Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Well if you can read it differently than I, why... alright, while we are having the Gentleman from Kanakee, Mr. Ryan, explain this why we'll give further deliberation to the Member's point and if there is any further clarification needed of the Chair's ruling, the Chair will be happy to give it. Proceed Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Last spring this Body passed Senate Joint Resolution 23, Constitutional Amendment, to be put on the ballot in November of 1974 as a referendum to put the judges back to run in a political contest. I have seen the light, I've changed my mind and realized that I have made an error. And therefore, am asking for your support in Senate Joint Resoltuion 50 that would rescind Senate Joint Resolution 23. Now I want to make this clear that a yes vote in this case would leave the judicial situation as it now is and a no vote would put it back in the referendum state that it passed last spring. I would urge that you vote yes on this measure and to keep the judges in the situation that they are now in. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, the Senate used just one reading over there.

Mr. Choate? Is Mr. Ryan finished?"

Choate: "Are you done, George? Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to make one thing perfectly clear from the very outset here today as I talk about Senate Joint Resolution 50. Whatever you might think, I am not prejudice



against judges. In fact, I know two or three judges that I even consider my best friends. But I think that as we sit here today it would be totally wrong, terribly wrong, to undue what three-fifths of the Members of the House and the Senate voted for last spring. What's wrong? I ask you all individually, what's wrong with letting the people of Illinois vote for an election of judges? Do you believe that they don't know what they're doing? The people I mean. The judiciary, the judiciary is absurded, but it is also an equal branch of government and it has been so since the founding of our great union. The other two branches are elected by the people of this State. Why shouldn't the judges also be elected? Ask yourself that question. I want you to know what the people of my district, the people of my district are constantly on the alert for signs of governmental tyranny. They want to have a voice in the government. All three branches of government. One of my constituents called me only last week when this Resolution was up and you know what he said to me? He said the people who judge us should be willing to let us judge them. What do judges have to be afraid of? Can't they run on the records just like you do? As a candidate? Are they afraid that the people might just hold them accountable for their actions? I say we must leave the control of the judiciary in the hands of the people. King James, Mr. Speaker, King James, the tyrant king in the 1600's once said 'let me make the judges and I care not who makes the laws'. He knew that the judges could do as they wished without the concurrence of the other two branches of government and that they could control the people and the laws. I say to you today that if we pass this Resolution and deny the people of this State to have a voice as to who their judges might be, we're not acting in the interest the way the people want us to act. And where I come from, this is one of the hottest issues that has confronted this general assembly. And the people want to have a voice in this branch of government. I urge the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

defeat of Senate Joint Resolution 50."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are and as we have seen over the months, in fact the years, a valid difference of opinion on this issue as reflected with the well spoken statements of the Minority Leader. But I do think it is important, there are several very important things in respect that this motion, as Representative Ryan is making, that we as fair-minded Members of this House should, I feel, consider. One of them, of course, is that both of the legislators who have been the principle sponsors of the Constitutional Amendment proposed have themselves been probably been closer to the issue than most Members of either the Senate or the House and both of them after considerable deliberation have evaluated that it is untimely for these Houses to make this proposal in this year. For whatever reasons they may have in respect to our colleague, it seems to me incumbent upon us to credit them with the finest and most honorable of intentions since after all, we all know that in politics one of the most statemen like things a person can ever do is to be able to reevaluate his position, particularly when he has won a highly commendable attitude. And I think we owe the sponsor of this Resolution our support because of that reason. In response to the statements of the respected Minority Leader, I would only ask that since there is a quality of principle involved in the law which rises above the individual attitude of men which should permanent beyond the temporary feelings of a temporary majority or minority. There should be a constancy to the law, a constancy to the court, a constancy with a legislative Body elected can protect on behalf of the people. There is a real deeply seated value to the protection of the bench from politics to the extent possible. This is not to deny the value which I hold as dearly as the Minority Leader to have respect for the will of the majority in the selection of elected Representatives who will deal with the issues both political, philosophical, and practical. I think we should very



strongly support the outspoken and sincere desire of Representative Ryan, who is from his heart, doing the thing that he considers to be absolutely right and best for the people of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I too, have heard from a number of people on this issue and the people I've heard from have basically been judges. I have not heard from the people upon this issue. I should like to point out that we elect the Supreme Court in the State of Illinois and under party label, it my understanding that we also elect the appellate judges under party label. There have been no grave transgressions by the Supreme Court of Illinois or the Appellate Court of Illinois and I have not heard them subject to a great deal of criticism. We have a federal court which is appointed, appointed for life and surely it's independent. But I suggest to you that at some stage at the stage where the people must meet the court, at least we ought to hear their opinions. We're not voting this up or down as the law, we're simply saying that we know longer trust the people to make a decision upon a very important issue. I suggest to you what we're seeing here is a lack of backbone, a lack of character on the part of people who are indeed themselves deeply effected because they are lawyers, because they do have to appear before judges and they all want to be what we might call 'good boys'. I suggest perhaps it's time for us to stand up as men and take our appoint..or not our appointment, but our election here to this Body seriously. Let's give a little consideration to this on the merits and not on the fact that when we go back to our law practice some judges might look at us adversely. I suggest that what we are really waying here is the integrity and the character of the Members of this Body. There have been no valid reasons set forth why we should not let the people have a voice in this particular decision. There has been no argument here before this Body to point out statistically, logically, reasonably, or morally, why we should retreat from a position that three-fifths of



of this Body thought was right. All we're simply asking is give the people their day in court and put this matter up before the people and I think that we ought to be men enough to put aside the great pressures that have been put upon us and stand up for the electorates like we hope they'll stand up for us. Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the Members of this Body oppose this particular resolution and oppose it on its merit. And I suggest that then perhaps that then we will regain the confidence of the people as individuals, as individuals who are not subject to pressure by any group. And I for one, want to be the first to be recorded as no."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "Thank you Madam Speaker. I should like to move the previous question."

Speaker Dyer: "Alright, the Gentleman has moved the previous question, all those in favor say aye. Alright, the Gentleman has moved the previous question, all those in favor say aye, all opposed, no. The ayes have it...the ayes have it, we'll recognize the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Madam Speaker and Members of the House, I would just like to say in closing to the Minority Leader that if it were left up to the distinction of the people who I have deep respect for in their abilities to elect people to office, we certainly wouldn't have jails or prison guards. There's no big clammer for that so there's a time when you have to demonstrate some leadership and I think that this is the time and I would ask for a favorable vote on Senate Joint Resolution 50 and again, I'll remind you that a yes vote is to rescind Senate Joint Resolution 23. Thank you."

Speaker Dyer: "The question is shall Senate Joint Resolution 50 pass. All those in favor will say aye, all those opposed, no. The Clerk will call the Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, for



what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Well I really didn't rise except that he wanted to yield and I'd be happy to explain my vote anytime. I'm going to explain it later so I might as well do it now. Alright. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I usually vote along with the previous question because I think sometimes we have too much debate, but on this issue...I'm explaining my vote."

Speaker Dyer: "Just one moment, Representative Matijevich. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf arise."

Wolf: "Is it Madam Speaker, or Madam Person or a...what I wanted to say was I believe the Gentleman is out of order and..in using time in a Roll Call. I think there has been a decision reached in the past that you could not yield your time on a Roll Call."

Speaker Dyer: "Thank you. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Union arise, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well I don't think the Gentleman is out of order and I think that the Representative from Cook is mistaken because we have yielded in times past and Representative Alsup simply yielded his spot in voting...to..a..a..explanation of vote rather, to Representative Matijevich. And all he's doing is explaining his vote which I think he's got five or ten minutes or maybe forty, I don't know."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Matijevich since the purpose of this House now is to move forward with its business as expeditiously as possible, I wonder if the Gentleman from Lake would consider explaining his vote when his proper turn comes in the Roll Call."

Matijevich: "Madam, I believe in equal rights, I'll wait."

Speaker Dyer: "Thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell. Barnes. Barry."

Speaker Dyer: "A..pardon me, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Well why recognizing that a present vote has the effect of a no vote and because of a pending conflict, I vote present."

Clerk Selcke: "Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo.



Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman.
Choate. Clabaugh. Collins. Craig. Cunningham."

Dyer: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lawrence arise?"

Cunningham: "To vote no."

Clerk Selcke: "D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee."

Speaker Dyer: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Dee, arise?"

Dee: "I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Dyer: "Proceed."

Dee: "Contrary to what my learned colleague, Representative Ewell, may think, all the attorneys in this House do not share his opinion. May I say that the first step to unfeathering the judiciary in this State was taken when the retention ballot and the blue ballot was passed. And in the interest of continuing and unfeathered judiciary, I vote aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Duester. DiPrima. Douglas."

Speaker Dyer: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Douglas, arise."

Douglas: "Madam Speaker, as any good Cook County Democrat would and should do, I vote aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer."

Speaker Dyer: "Aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey.
Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs.
Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Harpstrite.
Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy
Holloway. R. Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hudson.
Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones.
Dave Jones. Juckett. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners.
Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause.
Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz.
Leinenweber. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald.
Madigan. Mahar. Mann."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."



Mann: "Well Madam Speaker and Members of the House, there have been

a few observations made that I think ought to be answered.

One of them is that this particular Resolution, if it is rescinded, will take judges out of politics. I think that is totally false.

Judges still will be iniatially picked by the political parties to run in partisan primaries. All this will do is enable judges

to run against their record by having been first elected in

a partisan primary. I see absolutely nothing wrong with that

principle. I see absolutely nothing wrong with having a judge

have as his goal a record which he will submit to the people.

Now we talk about people participation..I might ask how judges

are chosen in the first place. Are they chosen by the people

in the first place? I don't know. I would leave that question

to be determined by this Body. But there's certainly some

question about whether or not they are chosen by the people in

the first place. I would say that we ought to retain in the

judiciary some independence and that's all we're doing with

this particular Resolution. We're not turning the judiciary

away from politics, we're merely permitting them to run against

their record and when you look around the country today, it seems

to me that the one branch of government that we can look to

for some stability and I think of judges like John Shurck and I

don't even know what his party is, and I don't care, I just know

he's a good judge. A little bit of independence in the judiciary

is not going to hurt our government and I vote aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Maragos. Martin. Matijevich."

Speaker Dyer: "The Representative from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

think really this is one of the critical issues of the legislature.

Really, you know, we get so concerned about that junior college

vote and what-not, this is the type of the issue that the people

are looking at and I don't think that we really have adequate debate

on this issue. I don't think judges or anybody else ought to run

against their shadow. I think you ought to run against people.



Now I would have like to asked Representative Ryan, because I thought we ought to know, we've got a right to know, really why he flip-flopped. I think we've got the right to know because we all know that he's not speaking out of sincerity on this issue. He's speaking because he's been approached like we all have and I don't think that's right. Now I think that if former Governor Ogilvie and the Allen Dixon felt this way, we ought to know why. Maybe it's because former Governor Ogilvie got these favorable votes on the income tax, I don't know. But we ought to know, he didn't even appear in Committee. Governor Ogilvie didn't appear in Committee if I recollect. All he did was have a press conference. Allen Dixon appeared in Committee, but when we had this issue before us, he didn't raise it, we voted our own conscience. Why did he have this...maybe it wasn't a flip-flop, but why did he come forward? Maybe it was because he was Chairman of the Judiciary Advisory Commission...the one that always told us we had to vote for judges' raises, the ones that always gave the judiciary opinions. I think that this issue ought to be decided upon by the people. Now I realize that elections can corrupt. But it can no more corrupt judges than it can corrupt legislators. I would strongly favor a Bill that judges have a ten-year term, but after ten years, they ought to come before the people and be elected against a man or a woman, not against their shadow. And I really think that this is the way the people feel. Maybe lawyers don't feel that way and maybe some do but they've got to appear before the judiciary. But I think that we ought to have the right to know why this flip-flopped. This flip-flop is because of political reasons and it's political judiciaries and I happily vote no, that's the way my constituency wants me to vote and I think they're right."

Clerk Selcke: "McAuliffe. McAvoy. McLendon. McCormick. McCourt. McGah. McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."



Murphy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, like Representative John Matijevich, I'd certainly like to know the pressure that was put on these people to have them do a complete one-eighty and bring this back for rescinding the vote by which it passed in the regular session. I'd be mighty proud of every attorney that voted present or voted no on this because we certainly know that that man has no conflict of interest. And I ask the rest of you, don't you trust the people? The people have a right to vote on this. Don't you trust your own people to give them a change to vote on this? Mr. Speaker, I certainly vote no."

Clerk Selcke: "Nardulli. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Peters. Philip."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from DuPage County, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain my vote. I can remember in 1962 when we had the so called judicial reform on the ballot and when the metropolitan papers came out editorially very much for this proposition on two bases. The first basis was we're going to clean up the backlog. Now we didn't have one in DuPage County, but it kept the backlog in Cook County, which it has not done, and secondly, we can take the judges out of politics, which we all know is a big farce. They were born in politics, raised in politics, and they are going to die in politics. And if you really want to do something to clean up the judiciary, you ought to vote now on this. Now I can remember since 1964 as yet to be adjudged defeated, not one judge in the State of Illinois. Now we had a judge in my county who was not recommended by the bar association, all the papers came out against it and he was still reelected. Now if you think this is good for the people, you ought to have your head examined. You ought to vote no."

Clerk Selcke: "Pierce."

Speaker Dyer: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, arise?"

Hoffman: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."



Hoffman: "Okay, I just wanted to check. Thank you."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, prior to the retention system, we saw the horrible spectacle in Lake County and other counties of sitting judges with fine reputations hanging around the back of Republican county meetings, going up and button-holing every precinct committeemen so they get renominated. Answering the phone fast when the precinct committeemen called him to get someone off jury duty, to reduce someone's traffic fine. It was a disgusting spectacle and I hate us reinstate it. Now the politicians want to keep the judges in politics, they are still electing them in November elections and nominating them in party primaries. They can start the men out on their judicial careers. But let's keep the judges away from these political meetings where every six years, they gotta hang around the back of these meetings and back scratch and back slap with every precinct committeemen in order to get renominated, put back on the fence. It's wrong the judges don't want to be reduced to that again and I vote aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "To briefly explain my vote, I don't think it's going to make much of a difference whether the rescinding Resolution or this Resolution passes. Perhaps if this Resolution didn't pass, it might be, as Representative Pierce says, a little more judges at weeny roasts and cocktail parties, maybe in the back room, but a more beautiful reason to support this Resolution really is that the sponsor of this Resolution here and in the Senate changed their minds. I think it's beautiful. I think politicians should be humble, should more often say we make mistakes, and we do all the time, and I suggest that that is a beautiful reason to support this Resolution."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Rayson votes yes. The Clerk will continue the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schisler."



Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Schraeder... 'aye'. Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell."

Spraker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I had just read an editorial in the Chicago Tribune, which decries the fact that the Supreme Court would not listen to a case whereby, a judge had ruled against two of the members of the press and taking that into consideration if that were in the State of Illinois we would have no way to get rid of that rascal, would we? So, therefore in taking my cue from the Chicago Tribune, I vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "What did he vote? VonBoeckman, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, no? Washburn, Washington, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell."

Speaker Dyer: "The Representative from Cook, well, Representative B. B. Wolfe, how did you vote?"

Wolfe: "Aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Dyer: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was one of those, I was one of those who a few weeks ago voted to put the judges back on the ballot. I have listened pro and con on all the arguments and what this is...I don't feel the people should vote for the judges one more chance to stay out of politics, I'll vote 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Caldwell 'aye'. Representative Clabaugh...Representative Clabaugh 'aye'. Representative from Cook, Representative Collins is 'no'. Representative



Beatty...Beatty 'no'. Representative Fleck 'aye'.
 Representative Rigney 'aye'. On this question there
 are 94 'ayes', 53 'nays' and 2 'present'. This
 Reso...For what purpose does the Gentleman from
 McHenry arise?"

Hanahan: "I respectfully request a verification of the
 affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Hanahan, we could not hear
 you. I'm sorry, your mic wasn't on."

Hanahan: "I respectfully request a verification of the
 affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, a verification ... the Representative
 from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Would you give me the count on that again?"

Speaker Dyer: "Yes...ah...on this Roll Call there were
 94 'aye', 53 'nay' and 2 voting 'present'."

Ryan: "I would ask for a Poll of the absentees for the
 verification if it's all right with Mr. Hanahan."

Speaker Dyer: "All right. For what purpose does Representative
 Wolf arise?"

Wolf: "Point of order Madam Speaker. Does this..."

Speaker Dyer: "State your point."

Wolf: Does the vote require 89 votes or a majority of
 those voting?"

Speaker Dyer: "Our Parliamentarian assures us it requires
 89 votes."

Wolf: "Thank you."

Speaker Dyer: "The Representative from Lake, Representative
 MATIJEVICH."

Matijevich: "Do Lawyers get a full vote on this or a
 half vote?"

Speaker Dyer: "The Representative from Kankakee, Representative
 Ryan. Representative Ryan, did you wish a Poll of
 the absentees?"



Ryan: "Well are we, are you still going to insist on a verification Tommy? Or would that be all right with you if we did the absentees first? Would that be okay?"

Speaker Dyer: "All right...ah..."

Ryan: "I would like the absentees polled."

Speaker Dyer: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there has been a request of the Sponsor for a Poll of the absentees. Now in deference to this Sponsor I would suggest that everyone please remain in your seats until the Poll of the absentees has been completed. The Clerk will proceed."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell, Borchers, Boyle, Brandt, Calvo, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, D'Arco, Deavers, Hart, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Hunsicker, Huskey, Keller, Lemke, Martin, McAuliffe, McCormick, McMaster, Sevcik, Ike Sims, Terzich, VonBoeckman, Wall, Yourell."

Speaker Dyer: "Now... Ladies and Gentlemen a request has been made by Representative Hanahan for a verification of the affirmative vote. I respectfully request, it will hasten things along, we'll all get home much faster if you each be in your seats so that you can be counted. It has been requested that when your name is called, you wave your hand so that you will be visible. It's been further requested that all those who are not entitled to the Floor of the House, please move to the rear of the hall so that we can have an accurate verification very quickly. Thank you. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea arise?"

Shea: "Ah...You...I....I guess this...what is it? Madam Speaker, is that where we're at? Representative Getty is reported 'aye' on that Roll Call. He's back in the nurse's office. If Mr. Hanahan will wave his presence... ah...I won't go after him. If he wants him here, I'll



go get him Tom. All right."

Speaker Dyer: "Thank you. The Clerk will proceed."

Clerk Selcke: "Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Bradley, Caldwell, Catania, Chapman, Clabaugh, Davis, Day, Dee, Deuster, Di...DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Farley, Fleck, Flinn, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Gene Hoffman, R. Holloway, J. Houlihan, Hyde, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, LaFleur, Leinenweber, Londrigan, Lundy, MacDonald, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, McCourt, McGah, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, North, Palmer, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeiser, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stiehl, Stone, Telcser, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Washington, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Dyer: "Ah...Representative Arnell."

Arnell: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Arnell: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "The Representative from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes Mr...Madam Speaker, is Representative Deuster on the floor?"

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Deuster is in his seat."

Hanahan: "Representative Douglas."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Douglas. Representative Douglas in the House? Representative Douglas is here."

Hanahan: "Representative R. L. Dunne."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative R. L. Dunne? Is he there? Remove Representative Dunne from the Roll Call."



Hanahan: "Representative Ebbesen."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Ebbesen in the Hall?"

Representative Ebbesen? Remove Representative Ebbesen
from the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative Epton."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Epton has returned, recording
him as voting."

Hanahan: "Representative Epton...Epton. E-P-T-O-N. The
guy with the funny beard."

Speaker Dyer: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "He's not here, take him off the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Farley in the hall?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'"

Speaker Dyer: "Remove Representative Farley from the..."

Hanahan: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Dyer: "Is Representative Flinn in the hall?"

Representative Flinn is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Fleck?"

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Fleck? He's here."

Hanahan: "Representative Gibbs."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Gibbs in the House?"

Clerk Selcke: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "Remove Representative Gibbs from the Roll
Call."

Hanahan: "Representative Kelly."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Gibbs is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Kelly."

Speaker Dyer: "Pardon me one moment Representative Hanahan.

It's really very difficult to see who's here and who's
not here. I would suggest all of you who are in the
House, please get in your seats and remain there until
we finish the verification. We'll be through a lot
sooner. Representative Hanahan you may continue."



Hanahan: "Representative Kelly. Kelly, K-E-L-L-Y."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Kelly, is he in the House?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, remove Representative Kelly from the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative Kucharski."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Kucharski is in his seat."

Hanahan: "Mahar."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Mahar? Representative Mahar is in his seat."

Hanahan: "Representative Maragos."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Maragos? He's here."

Hanahan: "Representative McCourt."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative McCourt? Is Representative McCourt here?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative McCourt? Take him off the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative McPartlin."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative McPartlin?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "Is Representative McPartlin here? Take him off the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative Merlo."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Merlo is at the back of the room."

Hanahan: "Representative Rose."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Rose is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Emil Jones."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Emil Jones? Is he here?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Emil Jones. Take him off the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative George Lindberg."



Speaker Dyer: "Is Representative Lindberg here?"

Hanahan: "Representative Sangmeister."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Sangmeister is in his seat."

Hanahan: "Representative Tuerk."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Tuerk is here."

Hanahan: "He's in the wrong seat. How did the Speaker vote on the...ah..."

Clerk Selcke: "The Speaker voted 'aye'."

Hanahan: "Is the Speaker here?"

Speaker Dyer: "The Speaker can come, if that is necessary."

Hanahan: "So could I Madam Speaker, I...ah...I'm here on the Floor."

Speaker Dyer: "The temporary Speaker voted 'yes'."

Hanahan: "No, I'm talking about the Speaker of the House Robert Blair."

Speaker Dyer: "All right...The Speaker of the House is here."

Hanahan: "I thought you were over in the other office."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Dunn?"

Dunn: "Well, I was verified once, what's going on here? I don't understand that."

Clerk Selcke: "You weren't verified, you were..."

Dunn: "I was clearly verified. I..."

Clerk Selcke: "The Speaker took you off."

Dunn: "Are we going to do this the whole Session or the rest of the week? We'll be here all..."

Speaker Dunn: "Representative Dunn is here, votes 'aye'. For what purpose does Representative McCourt arise?"

McCourt: "How am I recorded Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Dyer: "We'll put Representative McCourt back on the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative Walsh...either of the Walsh's present?"

Speaker Dyer: "Ah...Representative..."

Hanahan: "R. A. and W. D."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Richard Walsh is here."



Representative William Walsh is here."

Hanahan: "How about Representative Monroe Flinn?"

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Monroe Flinn? Has been verified once. I want to ask the Parliamentarian."

Hanahan: "No he hasn't, has he? No, it was Fleck I verified. Monroe Flinn. Representative Klosak."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Klosak."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "Is Representative Klosak here? Take Representative Klosak off the Roll."

Hanahan: "Representative Monroe Flinn. Monroe Flinn. I haven't seen him."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "And now, Representative Monroe Flinn was verified once. Do you wish to verify him again?"

Hanahan: "No, not Monroe Flinn."

Speaker Dyer: "Monroe Flinn."

Hanahan: "No, it was Fleck I asked before."

Speaker Dyer: "Is Representative Monroe Flinn here? All right, how is he recorded as voting?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, take Representative Flinn off the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Speaker, how about Representative Capuzi? How did Representative Capuzi...ah..."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded..."

Speaker Dyer: "One moment...one moment please. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser arise?"

Telcser: "Madam Speaker, I wanted to the Clerk could tell us if Representative Flinn was verified once already. I believe he was."

Hanahan: "No, I didn't ask for him. Who's asked for him?"

Clerk Selcke: "According to my Roll Call sheet, no action



was taken on Representative Flinn."

Hanahan: "That's right."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Well as matter of my keeper, I turned around when Monroe Flinn's name was called. He was sitting in his seat and he waived."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Flinn, Representative Flinn, how do you wish to vote? Representative Flinn is recorded as voting 'aye'. Correct? Representative Flinn is recognized."

Flinn: "I'll use Hanahan. Madam Speaker, I was under the impression that once I was verified, it was all right if I stepped out in the hall to the phone. But I find out that all of a sudden I'm being questioned again. How many times shall I be questioned tonight? I voted 'aye'."

Speaker Dyer: "I think your point is well taken. Representative Hanahan, have you completed your...ah...verification?"

Hanahan: "I've completed my questioning of the..."

Speaker Dyer: "Shall we do it? Have all voted who wished? Representative McCormick votes 'aye'. Okay...all right. The Clerk will... Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will announce the results of the Roll Call. On this question there are 93 'ayes', 53 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. S.J.R. 50 is hereby declared passed. Having received the Constitutional Majority and S.J.R. 23 is hereby declared rescinded. Okay, what's that next thing? The House will come to order, we will resume our business. On the Speaker's Table lies House Resolution 530. Representative from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf is recognized to present H.R. 530. The Representative from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf is recognized."



Wolf: "Well Mr...Madam Speaker and Members of the House.
If I could indulge for just a moment."

Speaker Dyer: "Could we have just a little quiet here
so that Representative Wolf can present his
Resolution."

Wolf: "I'd just like the Members to understand, I'm very..
thoroughly just exactly what this Resolution is and
what they're voting on. It's a very simple Resolution
and yet the Department of Mental Health was in
Committee opposing it. The Bill passed the Executive
Committee, no descending votes and 2 voting 'present'.
But I want the Members to be perfectly, to have
perfectly clear in their minds that the Department
of Mental Health did oppose this Resolution. What
we have is a transfer of long term care patients from
Chicago Reed Zone Center to Mantino and Elgin. Now,
Chicago Reed Zone Center is the only Center in
Cook County."

Speaker Dyer: "One moment Representative Wolf. For
what purpose does the Gentleman from Will County,
Representative Kempiners arise?"

Kempiners: "Thank you Madam Speaker. I'm standing right
in front of the speaker system here and I can not
hear Representative Wolf. I don't even know what
this Resolution's about and I...I could request the
Members of the Body to listen to this so that if
...at least I can hear what he's talking about. I'd
appreciate that consideration."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Kempiners is making an
excellent point. Now, this is an important Resolution
and I would like to ask you one more time. Please
be in your seats. Let's be quiet, let's cut out the
side conversation and let's get on with the business
of the House."



Speaker Dyer: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you Madam Speaker. As I said before, Chicago Reed Zone Center is the only facility at present in Cook County that handles long care term care patients. They are under a system of transferring these long term care patients to Mantino and Elgin. Now, it seems incredible to me and a large numbers of you who have had your names as co-Sponsors in this Resolution that in a County with over five and a half million people that we should be deprived of any long term care health facilities for our mental patients. I tried to contact people in the Department of Mental Health and explain to them, we are not questioning the fact that the care at Mantino or Elgin may quite well be better than what is being provided at Chicago Reed Zone Center. But the point is that if we've got half of the state's population, why don't we have a decent center in Cook County for the people of Cook County and surrounding areas. Now, we'll get a lot of double talk from people in the Department of Mental Health. I say they oppose this Resolution although it's simply asks that we do something about establishing and keeping some facilities for long term care patients in Cook County. I am still trying to get some help ...ah...We do get...ah...a great deal of double talk. The fact of the matter is that we do have some staff there now and with the addition of a few extra people we can probably maintain these facilities but they got orders to cut the budget ten or fifteen percent of the money cost and they're going to eliminate what employees are out there now and there's nothing political about this Resolution. All it says is that we would like some



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

help from the Department of Mental Health and we as Legislators would assist them in doing whatever is necessary to guarantee long term care patient facilities in the Cook County area. So the parents and the relatives of these patients would no longer have to be pressured and I say pressured into consenting to have their family transferred to Mantino or Elgin and I would certainly solicit the support of the Members of this House."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, Representative Wolf moves that we do adopt Senate Resolution 530. Should we take the vote? All right. All those who will vote on this Motion...Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Could we use the...do we have the unanimous Roll Call or have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call?"

Speaker Dyer: "All right, are there any objections to the use of a unanimous Roll Call? I am sorry Representative Wolf, there has been a few objections to the unanimous Roll Call. We will proceed on this Resolution. All in favor of House Resolution 530 will vote 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. House Resolution 530 is hereby declared passed. The House will be at ease for a moment."

Speaker Dyer: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House we want the House to be at ease for just, just a few minutes, don't go away. Stay close. This might be a good time to introduce the ERA Resolution if you just want to pass the time. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt arise?"

McCourt: "Madam Speaker, as a matter of Parliamentary Inquiry I...since the Speaker is here, I would like to ask a question if I may. As a Freshman Legislator, who's



been appointed to certain Committees and Commissions and is one intending to do a good job and do the necessary research to properly carry out the appointment, I've been wondering...well first, I've been under the impression that once a Member was appointed to a Committee or a Commission that you would be serving for the term of the Session, that is two years. The only reason, as I understand it, that you might not serve is if a person was sick or voluntarily asked to be relieved of his assignment. This summer I was rather surprised to learn that a couple Members of our Assembly were not assigned to reassign to certain Commissions and now today, I was very surprised to hear that certain of our Members were relieved of their Committee assignments. Now, I would like to know if when I'm assigned to a Committee or a Commission and if at some time, some issue might come before such a Committee or a Commission, that the Leadership might have a certain position on, if I am precluded from voting my conscience, if I am precluded from voting the way my constituency would like me to vote, or must I vote according to the Leadership position to retain my Committee or my Commission assignment and that is my inquiry, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative McCourt, you were recognized if you remember for a point of order and...ah...you have not been making a point of order. You have..."

McCourt: "I made a Parliamentary..."

Speaker Dyer: "This is not a Parliamentary Inquiry that you're making. I would suggest that your problem can be solved in private consultation with the Speaker of the House."

McCourt: "Well, it would seem to me Madam Speaker, that this is...ah...a Parliamentary Inquiry..."



Speaker Dyer: "Representative McCourt, you were not recognized for that purpose. You were recognized for a simply Parliamentary Inquiry. You're out of order. All right, the House will be in order and we'll go to our next order of business will be First Special Session. All right? We'll...Get Bill Walsh. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh arise?"

Walsh: "I haven't the slightest idea Madam Speaker."

Speaker Dyer: "Would you wish to make a motion to adjourn?"

Walsh: "I have an idea now. I move ...ah... Madam Speaker, that the House stand adjourned, that the Regular Session stand adjourned until the House of 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, now the Gentleman has moved that the regular Session be adjourned until 9:00 tomorrow morning. 9:30 tomorrow morning. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "On the Regular Session is an Amendatory Veto. Senate Bill 699, would you tell me the status of that Bill with regards to the fifteen day rule, prior to the time we adjourn?"

Speaker Dyer: "The status of that Bill is that it will...November 14 would be the fifteenth day. So you have one more day. Does the Gentleman wish to call that Bill now?"

Shea: "Well, I just want to make sure. Could you tell me the Clerk's records, what day it came over from the Senate and was read on a Message."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, one moment please."

Clerk Selcke: "It came over on the 30th, Gerry. It was read the 30th."

Shea: "Well all right, if it was read the 30th, the 13th is the last day. Is it not? There are 31 days in October."



NOV 13 1973

45.

Madam Speaker, if I am to understand correctly, that the 30th and the 31st would be the first and second days because it was read on the 30th. That the 13th day of November would be the fifteenth day, which is according to my calendar today, is November the 13th. Now, that's why I'm trying to figure out if it was my understanding that this was the last day for hearing this."

Speaker Dyer: "The Gentleman from Cook is correct. The records have been checked. Would the Gentleman from Cook like to have that called if we could go back into our Regular Session?"

Shea: "Well we haven't adjourned out of our Regular Session yet, have we? We've got a motion and I thought perhaps the Majority Leader would withdraw the motion until Representative Choate made a motion with regards to Senate Bill 699."

Speaker Dyer: "Would the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, like to withdraw his motion for..."

Walsh: "Yes, I withdraw my motion Madam Speaker."

Speaker Dyer: "Thank you Representative Walsh. All right, Representative Choate is recognized for the purpose of introducing Senate Bill 699."

Choate: "Well Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House ratify and confirm the Governor's suggested changes in Senate Bill 699. Actually what it does is in the last Session this 699 raised it to 18 dollars for each semester equivalent thereof, for the flat grant rate. The change in the Governor's reduced it back to seventeen dollars and sixty one cents and I move to sustain the Governor's Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, the Gentleman has moved to sustain the Amendatory Veto. Is there any discussion on the motion? The Representative from Cook, Representative



Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of this Resolution yield to a question or the Sponsor of the Motion yield to a question?"

Speaker Dyer: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

He indicates he will. Proceed Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "I understand it first indicates it was no but he thought better of that."

Choate: "Bob...Bob, you know that isn't right."

Juckett: "Mr. Minority Leader, if we passed this Res...if we accept this motion of yours and pass it, what effect will this have on the motion of Representative Ebbesen?"

Choate: "If...If I understand correctly, if we don't adopt the motion which I've suggested, the whole Bill will die."

Juckett: "Your Bill will die, 699. Now what about House Bill 698? Senate Bill 698."

Choate: "I think the flat grant would go right back to sixteen fifty, rather than the seventeen..."

Speaker Dyer: "Pardon me. Representative Ebbesen is recognized. Representative Ebbesen, from DeKalb."

Ebbesen: "Yes I...Ma...Ma...Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate also acted upon this and I think almost unanimously. What this really is the existing flat grant rate is sixteen fifty and we raised it to eighteen with Senate Bill 699. Now the Governor has reduced that eighteen dollars back to seventeen dollars and sixty one cents. And if we look at the Public Junior College Act, which this is a part of, an Amendment to, this is the Floor or the minimum amount. It can not be less than that as far as the flat grant rate is concerned so, but it can be anything above that and each year when



we pass an Appropriation Bill, that Appropriation Bill establishes in the case of 698, it was eighteen dollars and fifty cents, so I would...ah...concur and urge that everyone vote favorably on the concurring with the Governor."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Representative Ebbesen is absolutely correct, which

I said right at the front, first. This is a statutory authority for the payment of the flat grant and if we don't adopt the Bill, it will go back to sixteen dollars and fifty cents. If we do adopt it it goes up to seventeen sixty one, it's just that simple."

Speaker Dyer: "All right... Representative Choate, the Sponsor of the Bill, would you like the last unanimous Roll Call?"

Choate: "I just said Madam Speaker, I asked for Leave of the House for the last unanimous Roll Call. If there's objections then we can have a Roll Call."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, the Sponsor has asked for the last unanimous Roll Call on Senate Bill 699. Do I hear...are there any objections? All right...ah... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett, do you have any objections?"

Juckett: "Well, he answered the one question that I had and my...it would probably be a Parliamentary Inquiry to the Chair. As I understand it, Representative Ebbesen's Bill, was eighteen fifty, this Bill is seventeen sixty one, does this then create a conflict and will this then have to go to the Courts to be decided and will this one have the advantage because it was passed after the eighteen fifty?"

Speaker Dyer: "To answer your question Representative Juckett, I'd like to call on Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, now I'll...right out of the Act it, I'll



48.

read it. It will make it very clear. It says that any class 1 junior college district which maintains a junior college recognized by the state board is entitled to claim a flat rate grant of not less than, in this case, it's seventeen dollars and sixty one cents if we approve it. Right now, if we don't it will stay at fifteen dollars and sixty cents. Now, I'd like to see it up at eighteen dollars or eighteen dollars and fifty cents but seventeen sixty one is higher as a minimum than sixteen fifty. Now, in the second part of your question it continues the rate of the flat grant shall be specified for each year in the Act making the appropriation for this purpose. So it can be anything above seventeen sixty one. Eighteen fifty, which is what we have already done."

Juckett: "It does that? Okay."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, does the Sponsor have leave for the last unanimous Roll Call? Representative Choate."

Choate: "Ah...Last unanimous or the same vote that 698 passed on. Either one."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, how about the Roll Call by which 698 was passed. Leave. All right. Representative Choate, I believe..."

Choate: "I'm wrong again, it doesn't happen except every twenty years and this was my twentieth year so I was wrong. I don't want 698 Roll Call, because I voted against it."

Speaker Dyer: "Okay, the Gentleman then asks leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Leave? All right the House grants leave to Representative Choate for a unanimous Roll Call on S.B. 699. Having received the unanimous affirmative Roll Call SB 699 is hereby declared passed. The Amendment is declared passed."



Speaker Dyer: "All right...Representative William Walsh, you very graciously withdrew your motion to adjourn would you like to renew that motion?"

Walsh: "I renew that motion Madam Speaker to adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, the Regular Session stands adjourned until 9:30 tomorrow All in favor of the adjournment Resolution will vote 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The motion carries the House is adjourned until 9:30 tomorrow morning for the Regular Session.

Speaker Dyer: "Now. First Special Session of the Seventy-eighth General Assembly will now please come to order. Representative Walsh, did you wish to make the motion that the same Roll Call be used that was used for the First Regular Session?"

Walsh: "Yes."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. All right, the same Roll Call, the 'ayes' have it, the same Roll Call will be used that was used at the first Regular Session. Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bill 4 was referred reported the same back with a recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bills 2 and 11 were referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bill 15 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, on the order of Second Reading in the Special Session. House Bill 1. Representative



B. B. Wolfe in regard to House Bill 3. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Thank you Madam Speaker...ah...I have two Amendments to House Bill 3. The first Amendment was suggested by Representative Telcser and I accept the Amendment. Briefly, the Amendment...ah...changes the public member appointments to four for the Governor and one each for the Legislative leaders making a total of eight public appointees. And I move the adoption of Amendment 1, to House Bill 3 in the First Special Session."

Speaker Dyer: "The Sponsor moves the adoption of House Bill 1, Amendment #1 to House Bill 3."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill #1, First Special Session. A Bill for an Act to promote fair practice and conduct of election campaigns for political offices in the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Dyer: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. J. J. Wolfe, amend House Bill #1 and so forth."

Speaker Dyer: "The House will be at ease just one moment. Just having a quiet conversation. Is Representative J. J. Wolf on the Floor? Representative J. J. Wolf is recognized."

Wolf: "Which is Amendment #1, I have two Amendments and I'm not too sure of which is which here?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1 is amend House Bill 1 on the First Special Session, page 1, by deleting line 29 and inserting in lieu thereof 'to the purchase more than one hundred dollars worth of tickets for fund raising events' and on page 6, line 31 by deleting the figure one hundred dollars and inserting in lieu thereof five hundred dollars."



Wolf: "All right, the effect of this Amendment #1 to House Bill 1 is to incorporate the provisions in Representative Bluthardt's Bill, I believe, that was House House Bill 8, which would mean that you would not have to itemize and list ticket sales in amounts less than a hundred dollars. In other words, if you had precinct workers or somebody else selling tickets, you would just have to list the name of that person and not to whom each individual ticket was sold or each raffle chance, or whatever it is. So it would just be listing the amount...the name of the seller whoever sold the raffle books or the block of tickets. So essentially that is what Representative Bluthardt has in House Bill 8. Also in this Amendment we are deleting the figure one hundred dollars and inserting in lieu thereof, five hundred dollars. Now, that would be with respect to reporting. It seems to me that if we're going to have to report, I think what we're trying to get at in any type of Legislation such as this, is a large contribution and certainly anybody who contributes a hundred dollars or a hundred and fifty dollars or whatever it is in my opinion is certainly not buying anybody or anything and I think it's just too far to go in this particular Bill to have to divulge the names of anybody who is contributed a hundred dollars. Now, for example, you may be an area that might be strongly Republican or Democrat, you get a contributor who might give you fifty dollars in a primary and fifty dollars in November. Now, if you're a Democrat in a Republican area, that contributor may like, not like to have his name divulged with the local administration who happens to be in charge of the city government or whatever it is, would know that. If you're a Republican in a Democrat area, the same type of thing could certainly occur and as a matter of fact there are many times that even if you are the Majority or the Minority Member in your district, someone may wish to contribute to your campaign and not your running mate and therefore,



would not like to have his small contribution and as for the contribution of fifty dollars in a primary and fifty dollars in November is and so this Amendment would change that so you would not have to report any contribution, you would have to keep a list of them but not contribute any contribution under five hundred dollars. I would like to just in conjunction with this Amendment, this little scrib I took out of the paper and it says, 'The residents of Spangle, Washington, which is a hundred and seventy nine, would rather do without a Mayor and a Town Council than disclose what they consider their own personal business. The folks in that East Washington town appear atomit about the states new public disclosure act, requiring political candidates to make full financial statements. Nobody has filed for the four council seats up for election next year. Rancher A. B. Byers, the fifth council member says he's quitting at the end of this year and the Mayor won't serve if we have to file the declaration.' And here's a town where the Mayoral salary is ten dollars a month and the council members earn all of seven fifty a month and they have to make disclosures. So, if we're serious about trying to do something in this vein and not become ridiculous, I think we should adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Dyer: "Is there any discussion on the Amendment. Repre...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I must rise in opposition to this Amendment. I'm afraid that it goes much further than the Sponsor of the Amendment suggests it does. On the...on page...ah...1 of the Bill, it strikes the line which in the...ah...in the definition, which defines, what contribution means and the Bill as written defines the purchase of tickets as contributions. This Amendment would say that only the purchase of more than a hundred dollars worth of tickets would be defined as a contribution. Thereby, in effect would exempt from the definition of contributions any purchase of tickets under one hundred dollars. So, it



53.

opens up a huge loophole in this Bill, whereby the vehicle for avoiding any consequences of this Bill would be merely to purchase tickets of ...ah... the purchase of tickets in blocks of less than one hundred dollars. So, I think that this Amendment would open up a whole which you could drive a fleet of trucks through. So, for that reason I must, I must oppose that section of the Amendment. Furthermore, the Amendment goes further and raises the hundred dollar reporting threshold to five hundred dollars. Well, I do think that if contributions are to be...are...are...are to be influenced upon a candidate or an office holder...ah...the five hundred dollar level, I think, opens up a wide...a wide spectrum here where yes, that amount of money could be and I think would pay, could be in many instances a very influenc...influencing factor of census by the very nature of the amount of money. So I...I think that the one hundred dollar level is more realistic. I think that five hundred dollars is raising it much too high and I...I would...I would oppose the Amendment. But I...I think the primary reason for opposing the Amendment of the argument I made on Section 1 which really does just open up a tremendous hole in this Bill. If we want to gut the Bill, fine, but I think that we are sincere in trying to effect meaningful, ethics Legislation in this fashion and I would urge all Members to vote against the Amendment #1."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Ewell, the Gentleman from Cook."

Ewell: "Yes, a question of either the Sponsor of the Amendment or the opposition. Ah...Are those concrete trucks that are coming through?"

Speaker Dyer: "Is there any further discussion on this Amendment?"

Ah...Representative...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Well just briefly in closing, I don't think that it's unrealistic to raise the threshold limit of a hundred dollars, which is an aggregate in any year which could, as I pointed out, be a contribution made in a primary and the general election campaign



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and I would move the adoption."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, Representative Wolf has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House House Bill 1. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'no's' have it. Next..."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, J. J. Wolf. Amend House Bill #1 of the First Special Session on page 2, by deleting line 23 through 25, inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Amendment #2, Madam Speaker and Members of the House, would ...ah...strike lines 23 through 25 and insert in lieu thereof expenditures on behalf or in opposition to any candidates of public office during a calendar year in an amount exceeding one thousand dollars. Now, the effect of this Amendment is that for example a...right now, it would have to be, if it's a thousand dollars spent on...on any group of candidates, what this specifically would do, would be to any group that spend a thousand dollars in behalf of or in opposition to any single candidate. In other words, an organization or a group that was working in behalf and printed a brochure on behalf of a number of candidates for office, it would not be a thousand dollars spent on them, say ten or fifteen candidates, but it would have to be a thousand dollars spent per candidate and that's the effect of this Amendment and I would move it's adoption."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Wolf moves the adoption of Amendment #2 is there any discussion? All in favor of the ad... The Chair recognizes Representative Collins."

Collins: "A question of the Sponsor Mr...Madam Speakerperson. I...I ...I'm not sure I understand the thrust of your Amendment Jake, would such an Amendment exempt organizations organized to support a wide spectrum of candidates, or ...ah...or...ah...or oppose the candidacy. For instance, would this take ward organizations out...out of the Bill?"

Wolf: "Now necessarily. It would take them out of the Bill if they



didn't spend a thousand dollars in behalf of a single candidate. In other words, if a ward organization for example, you raised that, would print up a brochure and they would, on that brochure, have the names of the candidates for State Senator, the two Representatives, the Congressmen and possibly members of the County Board or whatever it is and in total they spend a thousand dollars on this brochure that might cover fifteen or twenty candidates, yes, then they would be exempt. It would have to be a thousand dollars spent on behalf of a single candidate."

Collins: "Well I...I think the Bill already does that. I think ... I think that if you were specifically and said one thousand dollars per candidate, it...it might be acceptable. I...I think we've got problem in drafting here...and...I...I think you're doing what you really don't want to do. I...I know we went all through this in consideration of House Bill 1620, where...where an organization would apportion its expenditures over a number of candidates and if it was, under the Bill, if it was an expenditure of a thousand dollars, say for five candidates that would be two hundred dollars a candidate and would not fall under the thousand dollar provision. But...I...I think you're, you are in effect defeating that. If you'd want to make it a thousand dollars per candidate, I...I think that that is certainly acceptable. I think we've got a problem in semantic here."

Wolf: "Well, this is exactly what this does, I...and the language is clear. It says 'Expenditures on behalf of or in opposition to any candidate for public office.' Any candidate, the...the original Bill, I believe said candidate or candidates and I think we eliminated the word candidates so it would have to be in behalf of a single individual."

Collins: "You know, the problem is, it would seem though that when you're striking from the definition of political committee you're striking any organizaion that is working for more than one candidate and so I think you're effectively taking out



any organization that works for more...more than one candidate."

Wolf: "Yes that...that's what we're doing if they spend, if they spend a thousand dollars on a group of candidates. But, what I'm trying to get at is I think it's, you know, it's not in the best interest of this Legislation if we're going to say that you're going to be limited to a thousand dollars regardless if they're working for ten candidates or twenty candidates."

Collins: "I...I suggest you're not doing that with you're...taking out and just leaving the word candidate in well then an organization working for more than one candidate would have no threshold to evade. They could spend five thousand dollars for three candidates and actually they're not in the definition because they're not working for a candidate, they're working for a number of candidates."

Wolf: "Well I don't, I don't read it that way and I know I should have that discussion with the reference bureau just exactly on that point to make it perfectly clear and as I read it it does just that Phil."

Collins: "Well in all respect to the reference bureau, they have made mistakes in drafting before and I...I think that...today. And for that reason and for that reason only, I think I've got to oppose this Amendment because I really do think you're destroying your own purpose into the draftmanship of this Amendment."

Wolf: "One question. Have you got an Amendment prepared to clarify it?"

Collins: "No I don't. I didn't know that you had offered this Amendment until just a half hour ago."

Speaker Dyer: "Are there any further questions of the Sponsor of Amendment #2? All right, Representative Wolf has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1. All in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'no's' have it."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Schraeder. Amends House Bill 1, First Special Session, page 2, by deleting lines 29 and 30 and inserting



in lieu thereof the following. State Senator, State Representative."

Speaker Dyer: "One minute. Is there a request for a Roll Call?"

Wolf: "I think there is one."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, the Chair recognizes the request for a Roll Call. We will proceed with the Roll Call. All right, the Clerk will proceed to call the Roll. The House will be in order and I urge you to be in your place so we can get this Roll Call over with quickly. Thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Chapman. What did...she say? Okay, can't see through them. Choate. Clabaugh. Collins. Craig. Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee. Deuster. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. R. Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Juckett. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Madigan. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich."

Speaker Dyer: "The Chair recognizes Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm going to explain my vote because there's so much doubt about what this Amendment does and I'm as, probably in as much doubt as everybody else and I'd like to explain my vote and then if I'm wrong...ah...I'll gladly change my vote."



But I'm going to vote 'aye', because as I read all these ethics Bills, you may not be for any candidate, but you may be against a candidate and spend unlimited funds. And really, that's what Watergate is all about. You know dirty tricks and all of that and I think that we ought to set a limit on what somebody spends in opposition to a candidate even if he is tied to no particular candidate and therefore, I think 'aye' is a responsible vote. If anybody tells me I'm wrong, I'd be happy to change it but I think that this is what this Amendment does."

Speaker Dyer: "The Clerk will continue the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McCourt. McGah. McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Peters. Philip. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schisler. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Shurtz. Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Washington. Williams. J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, have all voted who wished. Representative Greisheimer."

Greisheimer: "How am I recorded as voting?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Greisheimer: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Geo-Karis: "Please change that to 'no'."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Madam Speaker, how am I recorded?"



Clerk Selcke: "Who's this?"

Speaker Dyer: "Tipsword."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Tipsword: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Alsup."

Alsup: "Record me as 'no' please."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Alsup 'no'. Representative Barry."

Barry: "'No' please."

Speaker Dyer: "Record Representative Barry as 'no'. Representative Neff 'aye'. Representative Bradley... Representative Bradley, 'no' Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "I think I may have been wrong in my interpretation. I'll change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Dyer: "Record Representative Matijevich as 'no'. Representative Keller as 'aye'. Now, have all voted who wished? Representative Duff 'no'. Representative Beatty 'aye'. All right, have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Roll. Representative Lauer 'no'. Representative Lauer 'no'. Representative D'Arco. Representative Sharp 'no'. I'm sorry. On this Roll Call there are 60 'ayes', 52 'nays'. The Amendment is adopted. The Chair wishes to apologize. I think I've been deafened by the noise on the Floor of the House. So, if we'll all be very quiet, I think my calls will be more accurate. Thank you. Are there any further Amendments to House Bill 1."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Schraeder. Amends House Bill 1, First Special Session on page 2 by deleting line 29 and 30 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Schraeder. The Chair recognizes Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you Mr...Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I think in these days when we are so involved with what everyone seems to be a ethical movement for the morale of government and if I am right in what I believe the paper keeps telling us that the public is demanding ethics Legislation, well I might



add, I have no constituents that have ever come to me in the, either the first or second term of my office in regards to my ethics nor any ethics of other Gentlemen of this House or the Senate. Apparently there is some sentiment, according to the papers that we do have to have an ethics Bill and if this be true then I would say if we're going to be enacting legislation covering the ethics, we better have a good strong one so that not only the Members of the House and Senate can be involved but all other groups as well. Now I don't preclude that we should not include the Gentleman that Representative Wolf spoke about where they're only making seven and a half dollars a year. Under my Amendment those people would be excluded because we exclude anyone less...making less than a thousand dollars annual salary. I think this is only right and just because we don't want to penalize those people. But it does seem to me that if you make less than a thousand dollars there's no need ...ah... for to be covered under this law. But I do feel it is absolutely necessary and I might add I've talked to county officials and village officials and what have you and they don't object to being covered under the ethics law, because they're being scrutinized as well as we are and so I would say in offering this Amendment that all it does is a little simple thing is add county officers, elected city village or incorporate of town offices that have an annual salary of a thousand dollars. It's a simple low Resolution, I'd be willing to ask for any questions and I would be very happy to take the last unanimous Roll Call of the Regular Special Session, if you'd like."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, is there any discussion? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Dyer: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question? He indicates he will."



Schraeder: "I'd be very glad to."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. Representative Schraeder, is this the model after the Alabama law where the newspapers and their reporters are also included?"

Schraeder: "I didn't include them but I would help Sponsor an Amendment to that if Representative Collins would go along. But I...it isn't included in this."

Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't know that Representative Collins was in favor of Amendment #3. But I'll...I'll be all for Amendment #4 then Representative Schraeder as far as including the newspaper reporters and the rest of the media."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well I don't know where anybody got the misconception that Representative Collins was going along with any portion of this Amendment but being as it may, maybe I can clear any lingering doubts up. Representative Schraeder and I did discuss this proposition before the Committee of the Whole last week and while I have to admit that it certainly is noble intentions to include all public officials over a certain salary level and disclosure Legislation I would suggest to you that this Amendment would be a long way towards killing this particular Bill at this time. We have worked...have worked long and hard as we...we all know for some time to pass some form of campaign spending disclosure Legislation and we know that the...many of the hangups that we encounter could...this provision included were...what led to the demise of House Bills 1 and House Bill 1620. We passed House Bill 1620 much in the form that House Bill 1 now encompasses and the desire was at least at the outset... at the outset of this effort to include those major offices, statewide offices and judicial offices where influence may...may be attempted to be brought to bear. Now, I would ask Representative Schraeder that if he was going to pursue this idea of including local officials that I would rather



see him prepare his own Bill doing just that and I think that sometime in the future we could get together in...in embracing this idea. But I would suggest now, that here in this new concept with sixty three hundred local governments, we are asking for administrative...administrative mess that would reach catastrophic proportions and I just, I don't think...I don't think that we are equipped to handle it at this time, particularly in light of the fact that the State Board of Elections is to administer this Legislation and the State Board of Elections is yet to be appointed. They have the monstrous task of not only administrating the Bills that we will pass here but of handling the election machinery in the coming year. So I think that here is a new concept, a new board administering it and I think that we have gone as far as we probably should go at this time in House Bill 1 as it is drafted. I suggested in the Committee of the Whole to Representative Schraeder and others and I would suggest again to the Members of this body that at some future date we should take a long hard look at bringing all elected officials or all local officials under the provisions of this Act. But I would ask at this time that we not, we not effectively gut this Bill by adopting this Amendment. I think that's what it would do, I think this is important Legislation that we should pass in this Session as this body already has acted in the Session only to run into road blocks in the Senate. I would ask that we send the same concept over to the Senate hoping to pass this Legislation at this time and come back next year and take a long look and an earnest look at the proposition as follows by Representative Schraeder and I assure you that I will work with you in.. in this line. But I would ask at this time that we do defeat Amendment #3 to House Bill 1."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think



this is a good Amendment. It does not include many units of local government, such as most park districts, most library boards, many township offices but it does include elected officials that have an opportunity for corruption and for misuse of office, far greater than that of the average Legislator. This would include State's Attorneys and assessors of major counties, all counties of course. It would include County Recorders, assessors, States Attornrys, as I said. This is a moderate Amendment and covers a very important area of concern. It also covers many municipalities where we have had reports of large scale fraud and the purchase of zoning regulations and so forth. I think that if we don't include these very sensitive officials within this Legislation that we would only be doing one third of our job and I urge a 'yes' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Maragos. The Gentleman from Cook."

Maragos: "Madam Speaker, this question having been adequately debated, I move the previous question."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, Representative Maragos has moved the previous question. We'll go back to the Sponsor, Representative Schraeder, to close the debate."

Schraeder: "Thank you Madam Speaker. Well I'd just like to point out in closing that there's been no opposition from anyone other than the Sponsor of this Amendment, or other than the Sponsor of the Bill. There's been no opposition from the County Clerk's nor from the State's Attorney's, none from the Mayors, none from the City Councils in fact in most areas they're very seriously considering acting Legislation in their own communities. So, all we're doing is expediting their work, in addition



to that Representative Collins said that the Election Board can't handle the amount of work, there's not going to be any work, they're going to file their reports and it's going to be very easy. All they're going to have to look at are the one's that are in question. So this is not no monumental task, it's just showing the people of the State of Illinois that we believe in ethics that we're preaching here. Now, if we don't believe in ethics for the County Officials, we don't believe in them for the State of Illinois officials. It's that simple. Do you want ethics or do you want no ethics. I think we want ethics and I'd ask a favorable vote."

Speaker Dyer: "All right, we're ready to vote on Amendment... Amendment #3 to House Bill 1. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The 'no's' have it. Is there a request for a Roll Call. Representative Schraeder. Is this a serious request for a Roll Call. All the hands....The Clerk will proceed with the Roll Call on Amendment #3 to House Bill 1."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Greisheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, J. D. Holloway, Robert Holloway, Danny Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, J. D. Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak,



Košínski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder. Schraeder wants to explain his vote."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Just... Not to take any time but a couple of Members came in late and asked my what this Amendment does. It includes in the ethics Act the disclosure for all people making over a thousand dollars that are on...on...ah...village boards and so forth and so I ask for...vote 'aye', it's a good Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schraeder 'aye'. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Shurtz. W. T. Simms, Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Wall. Wall. 'aye'. R. A. Walsh. W. D. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Washington. Williams. Jake Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Dyer: "There's a Member seeking recognition. Representative Polk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Polk: "How am I recorded please?"

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Polk."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is not recorded as voting."



Polk: "Vote me 'no' please."

Speaker Dyer: "All right. Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "How am I recorded Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Dyer: "How is Representative Brinkmeier recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Brinkmeier: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Pierce 'aye'. Representative

Greisheimer 'no'. Representative McCormick 'no'.

Excuse me. Did you get Representative Greisheimer.

Pierce was 'aye', Greisheimer was 'no'. C. L.

McCormick 'no'. Representative Murphy 'no'.

Representative Beaupre 'yes'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Just a minute. Murphy was what?"

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Murphy was 'no'.

Representative Beaupre was 'yes'. Representative

Maragos was 'yes'. Representative Terzich 'aye'.

Representative Kelly was 'yes'. Have all voted....

Representative Yourell 'aye'. Representative

Hanahan 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? All

right, the Clerk will take the Roll on this one

motion. Representative Craig? How is Representative

Craig recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "He's recorded as not voting."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Craig 'aye'. Representative

Duff 'no'. On this Amendment there are 64 'yeas' and

39 'nays'. The Amendment is declared passed. Are

there any further Amendments to House Bill 1."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Schlickman. Amends Senate

Bill 1, First Special Session..."

Speaker Dyer: "One moment Mr. Clerk. For what purpose

does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea

arise."

Shea: "I'd like to make a Parliamentary Inquiry please."

Speaker Dyer: "Proceed."



Shea: "If there were a motion put to the Chair to adjourn this Session of the Legislature until 9:30 tomorrow morning, what vote would it take? Or until a quarter to ten? At time when there is not another session meeting?"

Speaker Dyer: "Ah...Representative Shea, since there was no motion to adjourn before the House at that moment the Chair rules your motion out of order."

Shea: "Well then, Madam Chairman, I will make a motion to adjourn this Session, the First Special Session until a quarter till ten tomorrow morning."

Speaker Dyer: "Representative Shea, that motion is out of order because..."

Shea: "Madam Chairman...."

Speaker Dyer: "Mr. Shea, the Chair had just recognized Representative Schlickman, he was proceeding to the microphone at the time that you made your Parliamentary Inquiry, so your motion is out of order."

Shea: "Now, what I'm trying to find out is at what juncture, my motion, would be in order and what vote it would take and it was my understanding that a motion to adjourn to a time certain is always in order."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...As I understand it from the acting Speaker, we are on Consideration of Amendments to House Bill 1 and Mr. Schlickman had been recognized with respect to an Amendment that was his Amendment that he wanted to speak on and at that point the acting Speaker recognized you and you put questions concerning what if this were done or that were done. And you well know an adjournment motion takes a simple majority of those voting on the motion. Ah...I would think that we are...have been following a delivered process here today of going right down



in each Session of everything that is on the Calendar and...ah...that's in accordance with the Rules. We're on House Bill 1 now and it's the Chair's intention to entertain all Amendments with regard to that Bill and all the rest of the Bills on ...ah... Second Reading on the First Special Session. Now, the Chair would be happy to recognize you...ah...to adjourn and all Sessions if you just want to stop consideration of ethics, that's all right. Ah...I'm sure we could prevail upon Mr. Schlickman to hold his Amendment in advance...why...why we debate adjourning the Session on ethics, if you want to stop the ethics Session and adjourn."

Shea: "As you full well know, Mr. Speaker, it would be the intention of Mr. Choate and myself to try to get into the Third Special Session and to put motions with regards to certain Bills so that we could attempt to get them on the Calendar in moving with other Bills."

Speaker Blair: "I under...I under...we discussed that, I understand that and I've told you that I fully intend if we can get on about the business, we certainly will get to the Third Special Session and we certainly will hear you and Mr. Choate out on any and all matters that you have before you. I think the question of ethics after all, it was the first thing that the Governor called a Special Session on, ethics, that we ought to...ah...on a question of priority, the Governor thought ethics was what we should get on on first and we're doing that and then we'll, after we dispose of that, why we'll go to tax relief and then...then...ah...then we're going to move from tax relief to the R.T.A."



and I'll move this Calendar along just as fast as...as we can tonight and we'll recognize...recognize everybody just as speedily as we can. But everybody's Bills that are in every one of these Sessions is on Second Reading and I think every Member in this House is entitled to have his Bill read a Second time today and your motion of adjournment would preclude them on both sides of the aisle that have these Bills in here on Second Reading from getting consideration of those things in a timely fashion so that the Senate can get them tomorrow. Now, if you want to do that why we can do it that way."

Shea: "You know full well Mr. Speaker, there are a number of Bills that will appear on this Calendar today in the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. So, I don't know how they could be read a Second time until tomorrow unless we get leave of this House."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I indicated to you that I would certainly be amenable so that nobody would be running into difficulties in that situation...ah... to see if we couldn't arrange to advance those Bills, those particular Bills to the Order of Second Reading other than First Legislative Day."

Shea: "Well, I think that what we discussed, if I'm my understanding of what we discussed, the hang up we had is letting some motions be heard today to..."

Speaker Blair: "I asked you if there were any motions on First Special Session you said no and there are none. Now, if we can just get on, get whizzing through this Calendar on First Special, we will get to Third and I'll certainly recognize you to put all and every motion that you want to put. I'd...I



wouldn't preclude you or Mr. Choate for anything.

All right, now the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman with respect to his Amendment."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The content of this Amendment are incorporated verbatim in an Amendment to be offered subsequently by the Sponsor of this Bill. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move for the Tabling of this Bill.... Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Does the Gentleman have leave to Table his Amendment. All right, leave's been given, the Amendment's been...this Amendment's been tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Collins. Amends House Bill 1, First Special Session on page 1, by striking line 24 through 27 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Phil Collins."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm happy too, that we finally got to a good Amendment, I'll try to explain it to the Members. Mr. Speaker, the Amendment incorporates a number of suggestions made by Members in the Committee of the Whole last week. The first two things are what Representative Schlickman just referred to which he is intending to offer in his own Amendment and this strikes the exemption of a loan of money by a financial institution from the definition of contributions. Ah...the...ther... as Representative Schlickman pointed out, there really wasn't any good reason for this exemption, it was copied from the Federal Act and I am more than willing to go along with striking this portion of the Bill. Ah...the second suggestion of Representative



Schlickman was the language which referred to...a... the...Bill...a...referred to...a...contributions made for the purposes of influencing the nomination etcetera and it changes the wording to in connection with such nomination. The...a..a..the..a..a..language was really not good and thought that in connection with the broader and less confusing phrase and as Representative Schlickman pointed out to me, this phrase does come from the federal language. Now the third section of the Amendment strikes the language requiring the disclosure of course, record the occupation and principle place of business of contributors. It was felt by many, and I agree, that this puts a burden on the candidate particularly where he would receive a contribution from an individual, his name and address is obviously enough to disclose without having him chase after where his central place of business was. This suggestion was made by Representative Hyde and I think a good one. The fourth section of the Amendment makes it clear that if any subpoena issued by the board should be signed by the Chairman of the Board or a member designated by the board. The fifth section of the Amendment provides that anonymous contributions shall keep to the State and the recipients of such contributions should forward them to the treasurer. As you will recall, many questions were raised, I think Representative Schraeder raised the question and others as to just what we would do with anonymous contributions if we received them and this would deal with that. The provision...the initial provision was recommended by Representative Lundy. And the sixth section of the Amendment would extend the penalty provision to cover this matter of anonymous contributions. The mere ban on them would be meaningless unless some penalty



was attached to it. And finally, the seventh is bookkeeping. It strikes the sections providing that the Secretary of State shall administer the Act if the State Board of Elections has not been created at the time the Act takes effect or obviously the State Board of Elections must be created soon because they are charged with accepting our petitions on December 10th. So this language is superfluous language left over from the old Bill and should be stricken and Mr. Speaker I would move for the adoption of Amendment, I believe it's #5 to House Bill 1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Lechowicz: "Phil, I'd like to propose a hypothetical situation to you and see if this Amendment will take care of it. Say for example, I'm a candidate from a financial institution, I have a very strong banking cause. What would prevent a number of directors on that bank board to extend a loan to me as a loan and then, in turn, I wouldn't have to disclose that as a loan, make my...cover all my expenses in the campaign."

Collins: "Ted, you're going the other way. The Bill...the Bill defines contributions in a number of respects and defines a contribution as a loan. Except the Bill, as written, would exempt loans from banks or financial institutions. The Amendment strikes that exemption, now the loan from the bank is in the Bill. It's doing just exactly what you suggested should not be done."

Lechowicz: "Alright. Now are you also taking out the provision if somebody wants to remain anonymous under this Amendment, now it's impossible that he cannot remain anonymous?"



Collins: "No, no it merely leaves in the stipulation that anonymous contributions...it's illegal for us to accept an anonymous contribution. It doesn't say that the cloak of anonymity must be removed from the donor saying it means that you can't use the contribution in your campaign and it goes to the State."

Lechowicz: "So it eliminates anonymous contributions?"

Collins: "The Bill did attempt to eliminate anonymous contributions right from the start. Joe Lundy gave us an Amendment on 1620 and we incorporated it in this Bill. But there was the question if you get an anonymous contribution, what do you do with it? We're attempting now to say that you...that it does achieve for the State."

Lechowicz: "Alright, thank you. Mr. Speaker, may I...may I address a question to the Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed sir."

Lechowicz: "I got up this morning around 5:30 this morning in order to catch a plane to be at the Appropriations Committee at 10:00 o'clock. We adjourned approximately at 3:00, went into a caucus and we've been here ever since. The restaurants in this town, the ones that will actually be closing at 10:00 o'clock. Now I was wondering if it...we're going to be working all night, would it be possible that the House stand in recess so the Members can go out and eat like human beings and come back and proceed? If you are going to be going through special sessions 1, 2, and 3, with the number of Amendments that we were talking about, I say it's only proper that the House recess for an hour, giving the individuals who remained here all this time an opportunity to get something to eat and also to take care of some personal matters."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, I have been



informed that the cafeteria will be open until 10:00 o'clock this evening down the hall way and I think we can proceed with the work..."

Lechowicz: "...well Mr. Speaker, in all due respect..."

Speaker Telcser: "...well, maybe you would like to send out..."

Lechowicz: "...I would like to make a motion that this House stand in recess for one hour so we can get a bite to eat."

Speaker Telcser: "So Representative Lechowicz, that motion does not come timely, we are in the middle of debate, Representative...the Amendments to Representative Collin's Bill..."

Lechowicz: "...kindly inform me when it is timely...right after this Amendment? Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion relative to Amendment #5? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Alright, Representative Lechowicz, now did you wish to... Representative Lechowicz? Did you wish to put your motion now sir?"

Lechowicz: "In respect to the request of my leader, I'll withhold it temporarily."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 3. Yes, that was the last Amendment, Clyde. House Bill 3."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3. First Special Session. A Bill for an Act creating Governmental Ethics Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments to the floor? None? Okay, the Clerk will get them in order."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. B. B. Wolfe. Amends House Bill 3, first Special Session, on page 1, by deleting



lines 10..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've already explained this Amendment. It was at the suggestion of Representative Telcser that we change the appointed public members, the eight appointed, four to be appointed by the Governor and one each to be appointed by the legislative leaders of the House and Senate and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3. All in favor signify by saying aye. The opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. B. B. Wolfe. Amends House Bill 3, first Special Session, page 1, by deleting lines 22...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that the debate which just preceded this Amendment is full support for the Amendment. What the Amendment does is increases the scope of the Commission to study all of the Bills filed in this first Special Session on ethics on campaign fair practices, on lobbying, disclosure of financing and spending, and other undisclosed entities dealing with units of local government and related problems. It merely broadens the scope and in such a Commission we can handle out language, details and really bring forth the Bill April 1st so I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman



has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 3. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Wolfe: "That's all, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 5."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 5, first Special Session, a Bill for an Act in relation to disclosure of political contributions. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Kosinski. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 5 is my Bill. Amendment #1 is my Amendment of clarification of my own Bill. I petition for approval."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 5. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 6."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 6. Juckett. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act relating to the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Juckett. Amends House Bill 5..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave of the House on Amendment #1 to make a correction. There was



a mistake made and we had it by deleting lines 5 and 6 on page 2 and it should be lines 3 and 4 rather than lines 5 and 6. So if we could have leave of the House.."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk indicates that the change would not be a problem for this sir and there are no objections so proceed Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Okay, Amendment #1 includes as a contribution the purchase of tickets and also makes the same Amendment as Representative Collins made in his Bill as far as the loan provision. And I would move adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 6."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 6. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Juckett. Amends Senate... House..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Amendment #2 is a technical Amendment as far as eliminating some of the references to a paragraph which we delete in the Amendment and I would move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 6."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 6. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Juckett. Amends House Bill 6...."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 is another



technical Amendment deleting the word 'any' and the word 'or' and I would move for the adoption..."

Speaker Telcser: "...is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 6. All in favor say aye, the opposed, no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 7."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 7, first Special Session, Giglio. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 8."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 8. Bluthardt. A Bill for an Act to promote fair practices conduct in election campaigns. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 9."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 9, first Special Session, a Bill for an Act to require public disclosure. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? None? Third Reading. House Bill 10."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 10. Berman. A Bill for an Act to establish the State Board of Ethics. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? The Gentleman indicates there are Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Berman. Amends House"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 to House Bill 10 incorporates suggestions that were made during



the Committee of the Whole Session and what it does is to clarify the appointment to the Board of Ethics by the Governor, the power of removal, which is spelled out in conformity with the Constitution subject to incompetency, neglect of duty, malfeasance in office, and also limits the scope of recommendations of the Board to improvements of the Ethics Act. If you recall, the language in the original Bill implied that they could recommend legislation and changes of any law. This limits the scope to the Ethics Bill itself. I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'd like to commend the sponsor of the Bill for this Amendment, but I would also like to address myself in opposition to the Amendment even though I agree with what he may be trying to do. I for one, would like to see a strong and a good ethics Bill and I think we are moving in that direction. But I think that the more political the Bill becomes, the more of a political tool ethics can become so that the less chance we have of getting a good ethics Bill. And Amendment #1 takes a step in the right direction, but I have submitted Amendment #4 which I think completes that step. And Amendment #4 would not allow the Governor to remove anybody unless he has the Senate's consent and I think that this may take away the incentive for a Governor to control a potentially political board. Now Amendment #1 says that the Governor may remove any member of the board for any incompetence, neglect of duty, or malfeasance in office, etc. Now if a Governor wanted to manipulate a board and there was a member of that board who opposed his manipulation, the Governor could remove him without



the consent of the Senate and the only way that I can see that this person could clear his good name would be to go to court. Now with my Amendment, there would still be another forum, the Senate would have to provide a hearing and that man would be able to defend himself in that hearing. Now again, I commend the author of the Bill because I think this is a step in the right direction, but I would like to go even further and state that the Governor could not remove this individual without the consent of the Senate, which my Amendment #4 would do."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish to close? Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I urge the adoption of this Amendment. First, as requested during the Committee as a Whole, we have delineated the grounds upon which a Governor can act for the removal of a member of this board. Secondly, this same Amendment, Amendment #1, - says that any vacancy, once there is a removal for the specified reasons, the vacancy must be filled by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. Now in reference to Representative Kempiners Amendment #4, I would suggest that we are really putting politics back into the board and we are imposing restrictions on this Governor's appointment as far as removal for cause, subject to the advice and consent of the Senate, which does not exist in any other phase of the executive capacity. We don't have that kind of limitation anywhere else in State government. I don't think it belongs here, I think this Amendment does have the safeguards that were recommended for this board and I would urge the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 10. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the



opposed, no. The..do you want a Roll Call? The Gentleman has asked for a Roll Call. The question is shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 10 be adopted. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Roll the Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Capparelli, aye? Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh. Collins."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Collins: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker I think that this Amendment should be rejected. I know that another Amendment will be offered subsequently would make this Bill so much stronger that I think that this Bill lies in the face of an attempt to make the Bill a good deal stronger. This Bill is giving the Governor wide powers, it already gives them the power to change chairmen at will and now we're saying that for specified causes, he can remove the members and remove the chairman, I think that you are giving the Governor all the power under this Bill where I think that if we're going to have a strong Bill, it should be strong for everybody and we've already seen what the Gentleman on the second floor can do when he does express displeasure with chairmen of commissions and other appointments that have been made. I don't think that we want to risk this type of political activity in strong ethics legislation which, in his own language, should transcend political considerations. So I think that I would, in explaining my no vote on this Amendment, I fully intend to



support the Amendment by Representative Kempiners, which is going to go along way along the road of making this a much stronger, a much more viable Bill and I would urge the Membership to reject this Amendment and join with me in voting for a much stronger Amendment which will be offered later. I saw evidence of the feeling of this House in consideration of my own Bill earlier that this House does want meaningful and strong ethics legislation. And so in the...keeping with the same spirit, expressed to Amendments House Bill 1, I think that we should reject this weaker Amendment and vote for the stronger Amendment that will come along later and make this a good, strong ethics Bill. I vote no."

Clerk O'Brien: "Craig. Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Day. Day, no? Deavers. Dee. Duester. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. J. D. Holloway. R. H. Holloway. Danny Houlihan. Jim Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones. J. D. Jones. Juckett. Juckett, no? Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Kempiners: "To explain my vote if I could Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed sir."

Kempiners: "Thank you. As I said when I talked in opposition to this Amendment, I want a strong and a good ethics Bill. And I don't care who gets credit for it; I don't care if it's the Governor's Bill or somebody elses. But I would like to see here is de-politicize



ethics. I don't think it should be a political contest and I think that a Governor, and I don't mean the present Governor or the former Governor, but some Governor in the future could abuse this. And I think that my Amendment #4 which would go a step further to de-politicize this board, so I vote no on this Amendment and will vote yes on Amendment #4."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting no."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski.

Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. LaFleur is no? Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. No? Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Madigan. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McCourt. McGah. McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Merlo, aye? Kenny Miller. T. H. Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Patrick, aye? Peters. Philip. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Sharp? Shea. Shurtz. Tim Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Thompson. Tipsword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. No? Wall. R. A. Walsh. W. D. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Washburn? Washington. Williams. Jake Wolf.. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Davis, record Representative Davis as voting aye. Okay...Farley, aye. The Clerk is getting you as he sees you without.. Alsup, aye. Schraeder, aye. DiPrima, aye. Clabaugh, no. Fred, do you want them to come up here or should I ...you're getting them, okay. Brinkmeier, aye.



Barry, aye. I got Beaupre next, he's next, he's in the B's. Keller, aye. McGrew, aye. Jimmy Holloway, aye. Catania, no. Catania, no, was the last one. Any other Members wish to be recorded on this crucial question? Getty, aye. Lechowicz, aye. That was Getty, aye, Lechowicz, aye. Okay. On this question there are 60 ayes, 39 nays, and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 10 prevails. Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kempiners: "If I might be in order with this next request, I'd ask leave of the House to table Amendment #4 which would conflict with Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any objections? Will the Clerk just withdraw Amendment #4 and we won't offer it. Are there ones after four? Oh, okay. Alright, let's get the Gentleman to table it. Are there further... Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Totten. Amends House Bill 10... "

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 to House Bill 10 includes labor organizations in the same fashion that corporations have been included in the Bill. Lines 8 and 9, we included definition of labor organizations and later on in the Bill, we had labor organizations and where the disclosure requirements are required. Let me point out to the Members of the House that to not include labor organizations in this Bill would appear to be a sham on the...because of the amounts of money that labor organizations are contributing to political campaigns. I point out that congressional quarterly surveys of



twenty-nine labor organizations that labor spent some two million dollars on political activities in 1972. And an additional four million dollars was reported on hand during the final weeks of the campaign. It's been estimated that as much as 1.7 million including salaries spent by the A.F.L.C.I.O. political on COPE in the 1970 election and that was an off year.

Testimony in the Committee as a Whole by representative labor organizations indicate that he was in favor of disclosure of political contributions, yet at the same time, labor refuses to support an Amendment disclosing their own contributions to candidates which are quite substantial. I believe that it would be a shallow sham seeking the source of secret solitations if we did not adopt an Amendment including labor organizations in this Bill and Mr. Speaker, I urge the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Could the Gentleman yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Hanahan: "Representative, I really don't believe you understand the depth of your Amendment. It certainly is a conservation Republican and a business oriented Representative, you wouldn't want an Amendment to include the opening up of books of all businesses in the corporate community would you?"

Totten: "Well I think, Representative, that that is what this Bill will do with corporations."

Hanahan: "This Bill will open up the books of General Motors and A.T. & T."

Totten: "Pardon me? You are requiring disclosures of campaign contributions..."

Hanahan: "...alright, this is the question I've got now.



If you go in to General Motors and ask General Motors if they have illegally contributed on behalf of a candidate, how will you verify whether the contribution was supported or not without going into the corporate secrets and the corporate records of that business?"

Totten: "Well, I believe...I...I...can't answer that question, but I believe the sponsor should be asked the question."

Hanahan: "Well Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak against the Amendment, a sponsor of an Amendment that really doesn't understand what...the depth of it. A legal organization similar to any other group of citizens put together for the betterment of themselves would be asked to open up their books and records, not only pertaining to the contributions of political campaigns, but the books and records in order to verify whether or not they have contributed in behalf of any candidate to a manner of disclosure. I can't think of a better way of getting inside a union's books than to say you must have to open up so that we could verify political contributions. To me this just seems an awful sneaky way or the old camel with his nose in his tent type of trick in order to get into the labor organization's financial background, people who are working in behalf of people in labor organizations in order to somehow figure out what really is going on besides political contributions. Now if this Amendment was properly drawn, I call to the attention of the sponsor that the labor organization per se is not what you really want to get into if you're truly interested only in political contributions, you'd be asking to get into the political committees, the committee such as for the painters or for the carpenters, or for the teamsters, or for the A.F.L.C.I.O.



or for the U.A.W. Those kinds of committees that you would really want to delve into whether or not they made political contributions. But to ask to see the books and records of these labor organizations or let's say if we really take this Amendment to heart, if we wanted to see the workings of the Illinois Nurses Association, which this Amendment would include, and to see who's on their payroll, what work they're doing, what they intend to do by this Amendment, you would be attempting to invade the privacy of organizations that are not really doing anything wrong with no intent of doing anything wrong. This is a bad Amendment. This Amendment should be soundly defeated by right thinking people who want to protect the free trade union movement. Some people in the audience, or in this Membership like to talk about Communism. They should remember that in Communist Russia, there is no free trade union movement. So if you want to protect the Americanism that I hear about so often in the campaign trail that you should defeat this Amendment soundly, I urge a no vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish to close? Representative Totten, do you wish to close the debate?"

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. My pointing out to the Members of the House that there are considerable contributions made by labor organizations that you are required to disclose under federal law and I see no reason why they should not be able...should, not be required to file under State law."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 10. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye. The opposed, no. Do you wish a Roll Call, Representative Totten? Do you wish a Roll Call sir?"



Totten: "What was the vote?"

Speaker Telcser: "The vote sounded like it was no to me."

Totten: "I think we'll have a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill...do you wish a Roll Call Representative Totten?"

Totten: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has requested a Roll Call. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. There will be a normal Roll Call. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Shea: "I'm sure he's got them, but are there five Members along with him that want it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well I'm trying to save time, Jerry, by not asking that question? Five Members have joined the Gentleman. The Clerk will begin the Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, to explain my opposition to this Amendment, this Amendment would estimate the effectiveness of unions as labor unions and would not serve any purpose as far as disclosure of campaign contributions. We discussed this in the Committee as a Whole, there was...there is coverage of campaign contributions by labor unions in the Bill. This Amendment is not necessary and I urge its rejection, I vote no."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman votes no. Proceed Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo.



Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania.
 Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh. Collins. No? Craig.
 Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Davis? Day. Deavers.
 Dee. Dee, aye? Duester. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff.
 Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton.
 Ewell. Farley. Fary. No? Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn.
 Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs.
 Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan.
 Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman.
 Ron Hoffman. J. D. Holloway. R. H. Holloway. Danny
 Houlihan. Jim Houlihan. Hudson. Hudson, aye?
 Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison.
 Emil Jones. J. D. Jones. Juckett. Katz. Keller.
 Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Klosak?
 Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kucharski.
 LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber,
 Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Madigan.
 Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich.
 McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McCourt.
 McGah. McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin.
 Merlo. Kenny Miller. T. H. Miller. Molloy.
 Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Neff. North. North?
 Palmer. Palmer? Pappas. Patrick. Peters. Philip.
 Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Lemke.
 Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schisler.
 Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder.
 Schoeberlein, yes. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. No?
 Shurtz. Tim Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom.
 Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser.
 Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. Tipword? Totten.
 Tuerk. VonBoeckman. No? Waddell. Wall. No? R. A.
 Walsh. W. D. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Washington.
 Williams. Jake Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr.
 Speaker."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters...oh, forget it."

Roscoe Cunningham, no."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cunningham, no? Washburn, no. Choate, no."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are twenty-four ayes, fifty-six nays, Amendment #2 to House Bill 10 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Leinenweber. Amends House Bill ..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 does three things. Two of which are relatively important and one is merely a clarifying Amendment. The first thing it says is that it removes the requirement that you file a current net worth statement with your statement of economic interest. The second thing it does it removes the obligation to file 'pertinent portions of your federal or state income tax return' as far as your statement of economic interest. And the third and the least important provision merely clarifies what is meant by a person's family and limits it to parents, brothers, sisters, spouses, and children. Now as far as the first two portions of this Amendment is concerned, it strikes me that we ought not to have to disclose information unless there is a good reason to disclose it. As I understand the purpose of disclosure is to enable the voters to become informed as to possible conflict of interest a particular candidate or office holder may face. Consequently, I agree that sources of income, the type of property one possesses, and this sort of thing is important because it enables the voters to inform himself as to what conflict of interests may face the person he is being asked to vote for. However, the statement of net worth and



your income tax return is absolutely without any good justification. Your statement of economic interest already requires you to show the sources under this Bill, show the sources of your income. To file your income tax return or 'pertinent portions of your income tax return' is an unwarranted harrassment and violation of your right of privacy. The only justification that I can see for filing the pertinent portions of income tax return would be to enable the voters and the press to determine what deductions and what shelters you might avail yourself of. I would suggest to you that if you file your income tax return before you file them you are going to think seriously about whether you ought to take a legitimate deduction or avail yourself of a legitimate tax shelter. There will be a chilling effect and you will end up paying more tax than you are legitimately required to. Now if the tax laws and a lot of people claim they are, then it's up to the federal government to repeal those tax loopholes so called. However, if they are on the books, there is no reason why in the world a person ought not to be entitled to use them and not ought to be put into an embarrassing situation and have to disclose that he has availed himself of these. As far as the net worth statement is concerned, likewise there is no good justifiable reason for you to disclose your net worth. The only result will be that after you file them, every paper in this State will list you ...a...versus everybody else in this House as to where you rate. If you're first, maybe that sounds good. If you're last, maybe that sounds good. But I suggest to you this is unwarranted invasion of privacy, so I certainly would suggest that Amendment #3 is good, it will make this Bill palatable. Without it, you can rest assured that the Bill won't pass so I would



certainly urge it's adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I know that this Amendment #3 is offered sincerely by the Representative Leinenweber but I must arise in opposition. I think that there are very good reasons for requiring networth statements and copies of federal tax returns. I think that net worth statements ...ah... if properly reflected and required to be filed under oath...does show where a public official may have substantial increases from year to year in his net worth and I think the public is entitled to ask questions as to how that net worth has increased. As for federal tax returns, I think this is a very important part of the full concept of disclosure because without the requirement of filing true and correct copies of federal tax returns, we are letting, allowing a great loophole here. The enforcement procedures of the Internal Revenue Service, I think is one of the back bones of an effective disclosure procedure. If we don't require federal tax returns to be attached we're allowing that enforcement procedure...not to be available for our purposes. I think that it should be available, I think that the...ah...the ah...the truth of federal tax returns should be used for the purposes of...ah...encouraging truthfulness in the disclosure statements filed with the state and I would respectfully urge a 'no' vote on Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber to close."



Leinenweber: "Very briefly, I don't know how many of you read House Bill 10 all the way through, but sub-paragraph b under the statement of economic interest already requires you to file a statement of income received by the person during the preceding calendar year, disclosing each source of income, the total amount received from that source, the nature of the transactions from which income was gained and the source. Now assuming the person is not going to purger himself, you're going to be able to determine with a reasonable degree of accuracy an increase in net worth. The only thing you don't know is from where you went to where you're going to go. I would say this, they do find out how much your net worth is going to be increased by looking at your...ah...filing under sub-paragraph b. The only reason, I repeat, the only legitimate reason that I can see for requiring the net worth is in order to have...to embarrass the potential political candidate. I think this is bad it's going to...it's going to work to the detriment of the people by getting qualified people not to want to run. Therefore, I...I still do not know of any legitimate reason for this. I would ask that Amendment 3 be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 10. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Want a Roll Call? Do you want a Roll Call? Sounds like the 'ayes' have it. Do you want a Roll Call? The Gentleman wants a Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle



Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralsh Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, R. K. Hoffman, J.D. Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. L. Houlihan, J. M. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, T.H. Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Tim Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. A. Walsh, W.D. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, Jake Wolf, B. B. Wolf, Yourell, Mr. Speaker. Grotberg 'aye'. Lemke... Stone 'no'. Berman's...okay. He's 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 49 'ayes', 37 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #...what is it 3, Jack, or 4. Okay, Skinner 'no'."



Amendment #3. Skinner 'no'. Prevails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Kempiners. Amends House Bill 10..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners asks Leave to have Amendment #4 tabled. Are there any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #4 is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Bluthardt. Amends House Bill 10..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt. Is the Gentleman on the Floor? Does anyone wish to offer his Amendment? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Berman: "For a point of order. I think..."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point sir."

Berman: "The Sponsor of the Amendment should be present."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsor of the Amendment...ah...had to absent himself temporarily and asked me to handle the Amendment for him."

Speaker Telcser: "I think that's been done quite after Art. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think we're going to start a very bad precedence because all of us are here to do business. If a person is sick, that's different. But I don't think that's the case in this situation. If he has an Amendment, he ought to be here to move it. Otherwise, all of us don't have to be here."

Speaker Telcser: "Well Representative...Representative Berman, your point about attendance on the part of the



Members is certainly well taken. But, as long as I've served in the House, we have allowed other Members to handle Bills for people who are...ah... in the Senate perhaps or in Committee or for whatever their reasons they had to absent themselves from the Floor. Ah...I know nothing in the Rules to prohibit...ah...doing this and the Chair would be compelled to rule that your point is not well taken, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Now, at five minutes to ten on this evening, I don't think that there are any other Committees that are meeting either in the Senate or in the House. Now, I think that it's a great imposition on any of us if Mr. Bluthardt comes back before we're done with this Bill, I wouldn't argue as far as taking it out of order. So I don't think that somebody should be handling an Amendment of a Sponsor who's not here."

Speaker Telcser: "Well...ah...Representative Berman...ah... it is indeed an imposition on the House, as you very well point out. However, you're putting the Chair in the position of trying to ascertain whether or not the Gentleman's absence is one which is necessary, I use the word necessary advisably, or one which deliberately puts upon the House the impositions which you described. I don't think the Chair ought to be in that position, certainly not at least until the Member returns and can explain his absence, if that becomes necessary. But it gives the Gentleman the benefit of the doubt, as we have in the past. I would be compelled to rule that Representative Collins can indeed offer the Gentleman's Amendment. Representative Berman."

Berman: "I did not hear any Representation as to why Mr.



Bluthardt is not here. Now, I said that I would have no objection to passing it at the moment, unless we have a reason for him not being here. Now, if you want to go to #6, fine."

Speaker Telcser: "Well it's.... Passing it at the moment, Representative Berman, does not necessarily mean that if and when the Amendment would then be offered the Gentleman would or would not return and it's and I don't believe it's the function of the Chair to...ah...ascertain whether or not an absence excused, if I may use that word or not. The Gentleman is an elected Member of the House, he's absent. The Chair assumes that the Gentleman is absent because of Legislative business and important business. He's asked a colleague to handle the Amendment, we've all done this in the past."

Berman: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think before...before we even get there Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask that a poll of the absentees be taken on Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Well the vote's been announced Art."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, we're on Amendment #4 now."

Speaker Telcser: "Well we're going to offer it, but I announced that vote."

Berman: "I was trying to seek recognition to get a Poll of the Absentees because of the number of people that are not on the Floor, including Representative Bluthardt."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, well now Art...Representative Berman...Representative Berman, I would be happy to grant you a Poll of the Absentees in spite... Representantive Choate."

Choate: "Well, I do know that the Gentleman was attempting to get recognition Mr. Speaker, and I wasn't on



on the Floor because the Leadership was meeting back in the Speaker's Office and I didn't have an opportunity to vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, okay, Representative Choate, as I started to say, I'd be happy to give the Gentleman a Poll of the Absentees, however, I would state now, that by doing so, I would not want to leave the Chair open to verifications of the Roll Call, which was announced some moments ago and Members standing up changing their votes and that's the...ah...if you wish to Poll the Absentees only ah...Representative Epton, for what purpose do you rise? We are on the next Amendment. Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the request made by my colleague, but you can't in good conscience twist our rules to that extent. There are people that have left here that have voted."

Speaker Telcser: "Well Representative Epton you're right, in fact I was just reminded that after Amendment #3, we even put and adopted a motion to table #4."

Epton: "Right, so this...I don't think your request is timely Art."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman wishes recognition."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I asked a Poll of the Absentees, the Chair said that they did not wish to be exposed to a verification. My request is not for a verification it's only for a Polling of the Absentees. Now, in fact there's enough confusion on this Floor as to what happened after that vote and after the tally, that I would like to request a Polling of the Absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Berman, as I



indicated...ah...given that question standing by itself...ah..., the Chair may want to consider that. However, any other Member may wish to Poll the...ah... verify the Roll Call or...or drill open the whole question again so I would therefore, based on that circumstance in the confusion...ah...which you elude on the Floor, rule that the request really is not timely, although the sympathies of the Chair are with you and Representative Collins, would you wish to proceed sir?"

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Barry: "Are...are we proceeding on Amendment #4?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, Amendment #4 was tabled Toby."

Barry: "Well Mr. Bluthardt's is #5."

Speaker Telcser: "Is that #...is this 5, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah."

Barry: "I just wondered. I talked to him about that Amendment too and I wonder whether Mr. Collins or I have the priority of going forward with this Amendment for him. Do you want to rule on that?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, I already have Toby. That the Gentleman may proceed. We've done it in the past."

Barry: "He proceeds and I don't get a chance to proceed for Mr. Bluthardt?"

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, you can both proceed for the Gentleman."

Barry: "Oh, well...get somebody else...we'll get all the points."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise sir? Representative Madigan."



Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I now move that the matter be reconsidered."

Speaker Telcser: "What matter? One moment, Representative Peters did you know? Representative Madigan, could you put... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton has made, is going to make the point, I'll save some time, to put it in writing. We're going to take his motion Clyde, don't worry. Representative Choate. It's a timely motion, it'll be called. Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I want to ask you just one little question. How many times has a motion been made to reconsider a vote that you have personally participated in and I have participated in and not have a request for a written motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "More times than I like to remember."

Choate: "You're right and I...I think that Mr. Madigan's motion is timely, I think that, that the record shows that he voted in the...on the prevailing side which I'm sure that it does, that his motion is timely and it's in order and we should be getting along with the vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay...Jack. Did the Gentleman vote on the prevailing side? Okay, having voted on the prevailing side, by which Amendment #...what was that...3? Mike? Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Well the...the Gentleman made a point about the Sponsor being on the Floor a short time ago and now the Sponsor of this Amendment, that we're talking about is not on the Floor, does the same argument prevail? I'd be happy to handle that one..."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman wish to withhold that motion for a while? Mike? Do you want to



pursue to your motion or do you want wait until the Sponsor of the Amendment comes back on the Floor?"

Madigan: "I persist in the motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: " Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Peters: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, just a Parliamentary Inquiry. I voted on the prevailing side, in Amendment #2. Would I be in order after the conclusion on the verification on Amendment 3 to ask for a verification on Amendment 2?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, not a verification but on a motion to reconsider the vote by which it, whatever it did. If you're on the prevailing side."

Peters: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Leinenweber: "How did the Gentleman vote that made the motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman voted on the prevailing side."

Leinenweber: "Well, I'd move to table that motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan, has moved...having voted on the prevailing side, has moved to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #3 to House Bill 10, was adopted. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber moves that that motion lie upon the table. The question is on the Gentleman's motion to table. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Who...A Roll Call has been requested. Okay, the question is on Representative Leinenweber's motion to Table. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion to table, signify by voting



'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup...Alsup..."

Speaker Telcser: "If you're with Choate, you vote 'no'. If you're with Blair, you vote 'aye'. Let's see and if your're with Daly, you vote this way... Walker, that way..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Beaupre 'no'. Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer,"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Griesheimer, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Griesheimer: "I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman wishes to explain his vote. Proceed sir."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding by your definition at the start of this vote, that if we're with Mr. Choate, we vote 'no' and if we're with Mr. Blair that we vote 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "I...Well you know..."

Griesheimer: "I'd like to be reported 'present' please."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, figure of speech... Well, I thought I mentioned everyone else. Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Epton 'aye'. Hart, Hill, Hill there? Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Berman: "I just had an inquiry as to what are the Committees, Representative Griesheimer now has?"

Speaker Telcser: "What Committees? Are you kidding? Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Hoffman: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I'd like to inquire if Mr. Griesheimer of what the term cop-out means?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative... Representative Hoffman votes 'no'. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ron Hoffman, J. D. Holloway, R. H. Holloway. Danny Houlihan, 'no'. Jim Houlihan, 'no'. Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, 'aye'. Emil Jones, J. D. Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak,...Kent. Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Merlo... Kenny Miller, T. H. Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Tim Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich,..."



Speaker Telcser: "Lechowicz 'no'? Lechowicz 'no',
Let him finish the Roll Call, we'll get you
Roscoe."

Clerk O'Brien: "Thompson, Tip sword, Totten, Tuerk,
VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R.A. Walsh, W. D. Walsh,
Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, Jake Wolf,
B. B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, let's get some votes here.
Ewell 'no'. Chapman 'no'. Let them go slow, so
we can catch up. Anyone else? Jack Hill 'no'.
Hill 'no'. On this question there are 52 'aye',
62 'nays'. Oh, 60 'nays', 52 'ayes', 60 'nays'.
The Gentleman's motion...l answering 'present'.
The Gentleman's motion to table fails. We now
work, revert back to...No, we don't. The original
motion of Representative Madigan, who, having
voted on the prevailing side, now moves to reconsider
the vote by which Amendment #3 to House Bill 10
was adopted. Roll Call. Representative Berman,
for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "I would ask leave to adopt the last Roll Call
as the Roll Call to reconsider."

Speaker Telcser: "Objections have been heard."

Berman: "Reverse...reversing, let me understand. What
I'm suggesting to save time is that the motion,
the vote on the motion to table be adopted as
the motion to reconsider and that would result,
Mr. Speaker, I presume, in the defeat of this
Amendment. Am I correct? And if I am, that
would be my suggestion."

Speaker Telcser: "No, not to defeat. It would...ah...
the Gentleman's motion to reconsider would prevail
and then we would have to have another vote on
the question of whether to adopt the Amendment or
not."



Berman: "All right, then that's...then that would be my suggestion."

Speaker Telcser: "However, objections have been raised, Representative Berman, so the question is, shall Representative Madigan's motion to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #3 to House Bill 10 was adopted, be reconsidered. All those in favor of Representative Madigan's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Cay, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, R. K. Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, R. H. Holloway, Houlihan, J. M. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molly, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan,



Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tip sword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, there's a couple of more Fred. Totten 'no'. Arnell 'no'. Okay. Arnell 'no'. Barry 'aye'. Toby Barry 'aye'. McGrew... On this question there are 60 'ayes', 58 'nays', give it a bank. Give it a bank. To the care of... Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I request a Poll of the Absentees and if necessary, I'd like to be recognized for a motion to...ah...to...ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well wait a second, we've got a little fast action here. Right Ann?"

Collins: "Now, I'd like to be recognized for a motion to verify the Roll Call, if necessary."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Duff: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Duff: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'. Representative Geo-Karis, do you want to vote? There are currently 60 'ayes' and 60 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote...Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Berman: "The last time you announced it it was 60 - 58



and I only heard one change."

Speaker Telcser: "Geo-Karis came up to the podium...Oh, I'm sorry."

Clerk Selcke: "Murphy...Just a minute. Murphy voted 'no' and Duff voted 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Murphy and Duff, I'm sorry, I thought it was Geo-Karis."

Berman: "Oh, cause we didn't hear it announced Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, on this question there are 60 'aye', 60 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, I ask for a Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has requested a Poll of the Absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats. Give it a bang J. D. baby...give it a death, give it a good death. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise? Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker...ah...We're going back a ways, this all started when I attempted to handle an Amendment for Representative Bluthardt. Now, am I entitled to vote for Representative Bluthardt also."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has requested a Poll of the Absentees. The Members please be in their seats."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Beatty, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Brummet, Calvo, Capuzi, Carter, Davis."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Davis as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Deavers, Douglas, R. L. Dunne, Fleck."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Fleck as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Gibbs, Griesheimer. Harpstrite..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Griesheimer, you were recorded as voting 'present', I guess or didn't you



vote, or..."

Clerk Selcke: "Did not vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'.

Record him as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Harpstrite, Hart, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman,

Jimmy Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Was that Representative Gene Hoffman, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "I didn't count you, I didn't hear you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Gene Hoffman wishes to
be recorded as voting 'no', twice."

Clerk Selcke: "Hunsicker, Huskey, Jacobs, Emil Jones,

Dave Jones, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Sklosak, Londrigan,

Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McGah, McLendon,

McMaster, Merlo."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Merlo as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "North, Pappas, Philip, Porter."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Porter as voting

'no'. Representative McGah do you...record

Representative McGah as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Rayson, Rose, Schisler, Schlickman,

Sevcik."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Schlickman as

voting 'no'. Twice."

Clerk Selcke: "Springer, Stedelin, Taylor, Thompson,

Wall, B.B. Wolfe, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 63 'ayes' and

65 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion...Representative

Berman, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Berman: "I would ask for a verification, Mr. Speaker, at

the proper time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a verification

of the Roll Call. Will the Members please be in

their seats and we'll take the...what do you want,

the negative Roll Call first instead of the prevailing



one? We'll take the negative Roll Call first. Will the Members please be in their seats."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell, Campbell, Catania, Clabaugh, Collins, Cunningham, Day, Dee, Deuster, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Fleck, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, R. Holloway, Hudson, Hyde, Jenison, Juckett, Kempiners, Kent, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Macdonald, Mahar, McCormick, McCourt, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Murphy, Neff, Palmer, Peters, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rigney, Ryan, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Soderstrom, Stiehl, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, Waddell, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, J. J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Any questions of the negative Roll Call? Representative Berman?"

Berman: "Arnell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Arnell is in his seat at the back of the Chambers."

Berman: "Campbell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Campbell is in his seat."

Berman: "Catania."

Speaker Telcser: "She is sitting next to Representative Dyer. Is Representative Matijevich recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Any further questions Representative Berman?"

Berman: "Cunningham."

Speaker Telcser: "Who? Cunningham? He's in his seat."

Berman: "Dyer."

Speaker Telcser: "She's in her seat."

Berman: "Friedland."

Speaker Telcser: "Friedland? He's sitting in the back of



the Chamber in the corner. Fred, is Representative Jones recorded? Emil Jones."

Clerk Selcke: "Emil Jones is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Vote him 'aye'. Any further questions, Representative Berman?"

Berman: "Ah...Grotberg."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing up here in the front."

Berman: "Ron Hoffman."

Speaker Telcser: "Ron Hoffman? Representative Ron Hoffman on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call.

Representative Ron Hoffman is off the Roll Call."

Berman: "R. Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Holloway is in his seat."

Berman: "Hudson."

Speaker Telcser: "Who?"

Berman: "Hudson."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing in the back."

Berman: "Representative Hyde. I see him, okay. Juckett."

Speaker Telcser: "He's right here."

Berman: "Kempiners."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kempiners in his seat?

What? There he is over there. And Representative Dave Jones, for what purpose do you rise? How is Representative Dave Jones recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recored as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'no'. Proceed Representative Berman. Representative Beatty, for what purpose do you rise? How is Representative Beatty recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Beatty: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Representative Berman, do you have further questions sir?"



Berman: "Representative Kent."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kent is in her seat."

Berman: "Kriegsman."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Berman: "LaFleur."

Speaker Telcser: "LaFleur is in his seat."

Berman: "Leinenweber."

Speaker Telcser: "He's sitting in his seat."

Berman: "Mahar."

Speaker Telcser: "Mahar? He's sitting in the back next
to Representative Waddell."

Berman: "McCormick."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McCormick is standing in
the aisle."

Berman: "T.H. Miller."

Speaker Telcser: "He's sitting in the back with
Representative Arnell."

Berman: "Murphy."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Murphy on the Floor?
He's in the Speaker's Office right here in the
back Art. Do you want me to get him out? Do you
see him? Get Murphy out here for a verification.
He's not? Well he just told me he was back there.
I'm sorry Art, I was told he was in the back office.
Oh, there is Murphy now. I'm sorry, I was told
he was back there. That's why I asked if you saw
him. You're absolutely right."

Berman: "Neff."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Neff, he's sitting
in his seat."

Berman: "Peters."

Speaker Telcser: "He's up here on the podium, Art."

Berman: "I should have looked there first."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Rayson recorded Mr. Clerk?"



Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'."

Berman: "Rigney."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rigney on the Floor?
He's behind Representative Schlickman."

Berman: "W. T. Simms."

Speaker Telcser: "Tim Simms on the Floor? He's in the
corner up in the front with his running-mate.
Further questions?"

Berman: "Totten."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Totten is up here on
the podium Art."

Berman: "I should have looked there also. Tuerk."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Tuerk on the Floor?
He's sitting the the back next to Kriegsman."

Berman: "Waddell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Waddell. He's behind
Schlickman and Fleck in the aisle, Art, he's
in his seat."

Berman: "Richard Walsh."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing in the back of the aisle
on your side of the aisle, Art."

Berman: "Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walters is stand...sitting
all the way in the corner."

Berman: "Washburn."

Speaker Telcser: "Who..."

Berman: "I see him."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunne, did you...R.L. Dunne,
did you want recognition. Bob, did you want recognition?
Representative Robert Dunne?"

Dunne: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."



Dunne: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'. Record Representative B. B. Wolfe as voting 'aye'. Any further questions Representative Berman."

Berman: "J. J....I see him. Wall."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Wall."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Berman: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, so we understand this verification Art, then this will be it for the verification of the negative Roll Call. All right? Is that fair, okay? Now, we're going to go to the affirmative and then when that's done..."

Berman: "Well we can't ask of the second time of the same person."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, what...this has always been a problem for us. Do you still intend to ask for someone you have and someone now leaves the Floor? I don't think that's fair. I'd like to state now, so we don't get into a wrangle..."

Berman: "I understand."

Speaker Telcser: "That the negative Roll Call verification is now complete. Am I right?"

Berman: "One...one more name."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, Art."

Berman: "Rep...thank you...Representative McAvoy."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAvoy on the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay? What do we have up to now Fred, so everybody knows? The Clerk tells me right now there are 68 'aye' and 66 'nays'. Is that right Fred? 68 'aye' and 66 'nays'...ah...We'll now have a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats and who's



going to take the affirmative Bill? Oh, Harry. Will the Clerk please read the Roll Call on the affirmative vote."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup..."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait...wait one second. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Choate: "Just one thing, Representative Bernie Wolfe was standing right here. I think everyone saw him. He's in the nurse's station lying down."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, go ahead Bernie. Is that okay?"

Yeah. Consider Representative Wolfe verified."

Clerk Selcke: "Barnes...."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait one minute. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, it's impossible to see through there could you..."

Speaker Telcser: "Could the Members clear the aisles so we can... Clerk, please read the affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, Capparelli, Chapman, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, DiPrima, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Giglio, Giorgi, Hanahan, Hill, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Lundy, Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McClain, McGah, McGrew, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Kelly as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Rayson, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Skinner, Stone, Terzich, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Washington, Williams, B. B. Wolfe."



Speaker Telcser: "Questions of the affirmative Roll Call.
Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Brinkmeier."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Brinkmeier on the Floor?
Representative Brinkmeier."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Leinenweber: "D'Arco."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative D'Arco. How is the
Gentleman...Representative Terzich, for what
purpose do you rise?"

Terzich: "Representative D'Arco is in the First Aid
Room, he has a cold, he's getting his blood pressure
checked. He voted 'aye'. I'll go get him if
you want but he's in there."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman persists in his request
to produce him."

Terzich: "Okay, we'll produce him."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, take Representative D'Arco off
the Roll Call right now. Representative Shea,
for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I'd like to know who the Gentleman next to
you calling out names. Is he a member of the Clerk's
staff?"

Speaker Telcser: "He was not calling out names. I didn't
hear anyone calling out names. Do you mean Dave
Caravello who was standing next to me a couple
of minutes ago? Ooh-whee. Any other questions?
Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you
rise?"

Garmisa: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting..."



Garmisa: "I'd like to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "After consultation. Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Independent voter."

Leinenweber: "Davis."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Davis is in his seat."

Leinenweber: "Hanahan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hanahan is standing in the back."

Leinenweber: "J. Houlihan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jim Houlihan. He's right up here in the front of the Chambers."

Leinenweber: "Rep... Representative Kosinski."

Speaker Telcser: "Kosinski? He's standing in the back of the Chamber."

Leinenweber: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Leinenweber: "Representative Lundy."

Speaker Telcser: "Lundy? He's sitting in his seat."

Leinenweber: "Representative Mann."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mann? Is Representative Mann on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, take Representative Mann off the Roll Call and you want to return Representatives D'Arco and Brinkmeier to the Roll Call. Brinkmeier and D'Arco back on, Mann off."

Leinenweber: "Maragos."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos? He's standing up here on the podium."

Leinenweber: "McGrew."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGrew? Where's Representative McGrew? He's back here in the podium. Would the Clerk put Representative Mann back



on the Roll Call."

Leinenweber: "Representative Patrick."

Speaker Telcser: "Mann came back."

Leinenweber: "Representative Patrick."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Patrick? He's sitting
in his seat."

Leinenweber: "Representative Rayson."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson is in his seat."

Leinenweber: "Representative Redmond."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing right here by the...ah...
Clerk's Desk."

Leinenweber: "Representative Schneider."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schneider is in his
seat next to Rayson."

Leinenweber: "Representative Schraeder."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schraeder on the
Floor? Is he here? He's in his seat. I can't
see him because Jane Barnes is standing up."

Leinenweber: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Speaker Telcser: "He's back here."

Leinenweber: "Representative Emil Jones."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Emil Jones is standing
in the aisle. We punt. You punt. Okay, the
Gentleman punts. What's the count Mr. Clerk?"

On this question there are 70 'aye' and 66 'nays'
and the Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote
by which Amendment #3 to House Bill 10 was adopted.
Prevails. The question...I assume the Gentleman
wants. Representative Madigan on the Floor? The
Gentleman has, the Gentleman's motion has prevailed.
The question now is, shall Amendment #3 to House
Bill 10 prevail? Representative Berman, for
what purpose do you rise sir?"

Berman: "I would ask for leave to have the same Roll Call."



Speaker Telcser: "Objections have been heard. The Gentleman has asked leave for the same Roll Call. Objections have been heard. Objections have been heard. The question is, shall Amendment #3 to House Bill 10 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will call the Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Berman: "It's been so long ago, Mr. Speaker. I just had an inquiry. This is Representative Leinenwebers Amendment and I rose in opposition to it. So if we want the Amendment we vote 'aye' and if we don't want the Amendment we vote 'no'. Is that... is that the position? I'm still in opposition to the Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second now. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Collins: "Well I agree with the Gentleman, Mr. Speaker. It has been some quite some time and I think the Amendment is fuzzy in some of our minds and I'd ask if Representative Leinenweber could..."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 10 and in order to clarify the...ah...the context and the substance of the Amendment, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber is recognized."

Leinenweber: "The Amendment does three things. First, it removes the requirements for your financial showings that you list your net worth. Second, it removes the requirement that you file your income tax return and third it clears up some fuzzy language and defines family to include only parents



brothers and sisters, spouses and children. It attempts to eliminate all unwarranted invasions of privacy in this disclosure Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman, then we'll go back to you Representative Wolf, is that all right?"

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to Amendment #3. There is a very good purpose to having both the net worth requirement and federal tax returns attached to these statements. The net worth requirements are there for basis of comparison, from year to year and your federal tax returns adds the impotence of the Internal Revenue Service to the validity of the filing in general. I think these things should be in Bill, they should remain in the Bill and I urge a 'no' vote on Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker... I just want to clarify in my mind now. If you want your income tax looked at you should vote how? We should...If you want your income tax returns looked at by somebody you should vote 'no'. Is that right?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Leinenweber: "I think I would like to answer the man's question. If you want your income tax returns filed so that they're public knowledge and they will be published in the newspapers of this state, then you vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Has the matter been cleared up as to the substantive thrust of Amendment #3? Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Will, Representative



Leinenweber, wish to close the debate? Do you wish to close the debate, sir, on your Amendment."

Leinenweber: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "No? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 10. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. There will be an oral Roll Call. The Members please be in their seats."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier. Brandt 'no'? Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Chapman... Is she going to vote or ain't she? Chapman. What did she say? I can't see or hear through them down there, I wish the hell they'd get out of the rows. Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, What did he say? 'aye', or 'no', Ralph? R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen. You voting? 'Aye'. I didn't hear you, I'm afraid I might hear wrong. Epton, Ewell, Raymond? Farley, Fary."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate wants to vote 'no'. Choate 'no'. You got him, okay."

Clerk Selcke: "Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm amazed to see of these Republicans voted to weaken



this particular piece of Legislation. Every time I pick up the Chicago newspapers or the local newspapers in Kane County, invariably I see a speech given by some Republican Legislator or Senator up in that particular area saying how much they want ethics Bills, here in Springfield. It seems to me that if you're going to be doing this in your area, you should also be doing it down here. This has turned out to be a party vote. The Republicans voting here tonight, to weaken Legislation in regard to ethics and the Democrats trying to strengthen them. Now, what are you people trying to do. For the life of me I don't understand how you're going to explain this to the people when you get back and start talking to them. You can feel well assured of one thing. If you're going to be on the podium with me, you're going to have a very difficult time explaining that. Thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "How do you vote?"

Speaker Telcser: "One moment. Representative McCormick, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

McCormick: "When does the second feature of this show start?"

Clerk Selcke: "Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, R. Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan. Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs. Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz... 'aye', did he say 'aye'? Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy



McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm greatly surprised to see some of our liberal friends on the other side trying to strengthen this Bill to death. I think it's time that we get down to meaningful Legislation of this type. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman votes 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Pappas, Patrick, Peters."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Peters: "To explain my vote Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed sir."

Peters: "Having had all the tension...having had all the tensions of voting 'yes' on...ah...on this particular Amendment but also having listen to the very eloquent plea made by Representative Hill. Remembering that I think I still have one lady working for the Highway Department, I'm going to vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan...aye.... Sangmeister, 'no'. Schisler, Schlickman, 'no'. Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl..."

Speaker Telcser: "Stiehl votes 'aye'. Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, it's a little late at night, I think



I gave the right reason for my explanation, but the wrong vote and so change that no to aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Palmer as voting aye. Representative Timothy Simms for what purpose ...we're coming down to you now, Tim, so just hang on."

Simms: "You just went by me."

Speaker Telcser: "No we didn't."

Clerk Selcke: "Timothy Simms."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, we did, record Timothy Simms as voting aye. I'm sorry, Tim."

Clerk Selcke: "Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Thompson. Is he here?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Thompson, how do you wish to vote? Record him as voting no."

Clerk Selcke: "Tipsword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh. Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Walters as voting no."

Clerk Selcke: "Washburn. How you votin'? Washington. Williams. J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 70 nays, 55 ayes. ...a...yes votes, Fred you're confusing me...55 ayes, 70 nays, 1 present, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeh."

Speaker Telcser: "I answering present. Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I think the issue of whether or not we ought to publish our medical bills and the size of our contributions to our church or synogogue is important. Poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees, will the Members please be in their seats. The Clerk will read the names of the absentees."



Clerk Selcke: "Anderson. Barnes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barnes...are you voting..."

Clerk Selcke: "...Bluthardt..."

Speaker Telcser: "...one second, record Representative Barnes as voting no. The Gentleman votes no."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capuzi. Carter. Deavers. Douglas. Fleck. Flinn. Gibbs. Giglio. Harpstrite. Hart. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. D. Houlihan. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Emil Jones. Kelly. Kennedy."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, record Representative Emil Jones as voting no. The Gentleman wants to vote no."

Clerk Selcke: "Kennedy. Klosak. Londrigan. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McGah. McMaster. North. Pappas. Philip. Pierce. Randolph. Rose. Schisler. Sevcik. Springer. Stedelin. Taylor. Wall. R. Walsh. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 55 ayes, 74 nays, 1 answering present and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #3 to House Bill 10 fails. Now the Members will recall Amendment #4 was tabled, we're now on the question of Representative Collins to proceed with Amendment #5 in view of the fact that Representative Bluthardt is detained on what appears to be very important legislative or personal business. Representative Berman has raised a question about that with respect to that inquiry...Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my objection to Representative Collins' presentation and I will indicate that I personally have no objections to the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has withdrawn his objections, Representative Collins. Okay, Representative



Lechowicz now objects. Representative Lechowicz do you wish to display your objections upon what point do you object to the Gentleman's....a.."

Lechowicz: "...well, I'm objecting, Mr. Speaker, because the sponsor of the Amendment is not here."

Speaker Telcser: "Well...a...Representative Lechowicz...a... your objection, since you did not discuss it in a substantive fashion, the Chair must rule that the objection comes without...a...any particular order or rule which you sight to that point."

Lechowicz: "Well I'll tell you the order and the rule in a second, Mr. Speaker, as far as the...if the Amendment is distributed under Representative Bluthardt's name, he is suppose to offer it and it was distributed and until the new Amendment is distributed under the proper name, I'll object."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has objected, Representative Collins, I think you have the right to respond to the Gentleman's objection before the Chair makes the ruling."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't believe there's going to be another Amendment distributed. Representative Bluthardt asked me to handle this Bill....this Amendment for him in his absence. And I do believe any of you that saw Representative Bluthardt earlier today would agree that he is probably sick. Although, I have every reason to believe that he may feel better now. However, listening to this prolonged debate, I think has made me somewhat ill, but in spite of that, I'm willing to proceed, Mr. Speaker, with the Amendment that the sponsor of the Bill has indicated he has no objection to the Amendment or to its adoption. At this point I must admit that I have some objection to Representative Bluthardt, if not to his Amendment, but I would like to proceed with the



presentation of the Amendment and move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'll just point out the fact to the Speaker and his Parliamentarian that under Rule 34(a) if there's a Member desiring to offer an Amendment on the order of Second Reading, it must be submitted in writing to the Clerk."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, did the Gentleman, Representative Bluthardt, by submitting the Amendment to the Clerk, which he has properly filed and by affixing his name to the Amendment has indicated his desire to comply with the Rule which you just sited, namely Rule number.."

Lechowicz: "...34(a)."

Speaker Telcser: "...34(a). He fulfilled the obligations of that rule, certainly, and that's quite obvious, but he has also asked that Representative Collins, in his absence, simply put the motion."

Lechowicz: "...where does it say that in the rule?"

Speaker Telcser: "...on a...well certainly that does not violate the...a...the...a...spirit of Rule 34(a), we've done it many times and the Chair would, of course, persist in its ruling. Representative Collins is in order to put the motion to adopt Amendment #5.

Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Terzich: "Well Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to make a point that Representative Bluthardt told me to table this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "He told you to what? Table the Amendment?"

Terzich: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Are you moving that the Amendment be tabled sir?"

Terzich: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."



Terzich: "He told me to table it so I don't know."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman... Representative Collins, do you wish to object to address yourself to the Gentleman's motion to table? Okay, now let's see where we are now. Representative Collins has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 10. That question, I think, has now been put aside, and Representative Collins can persist in the motion. Representative Terzich has now risen and has moved to table Amendment #6... Amendment #5 to House Bill 10 and the Gentleman's motion to table... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative from Cook, Representative Collins, is recognized with respect..."

Collins: "...I think I should rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point sir."

Collins: "I don't think that Representative Terzich would like to read Repres.....a...that a...misrepresentation into the record, which of course has been recorded, and I do feel confident that Representative Bluthardt did not ask him to make that motion and I think we should offer him the opportunity to explain or withdraw his motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Terzich, do you wish to respond sir?"

Terzich: "Well, I'm wondering whether or not Representative Collins went to confession today, also, I don't know whether or not he told Representative Collins to present the Bill either."

Speaker Telcser: "Well now let's not bring religion into this Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, let's just say that he didn't even rub his mazuza today for Pete's sake."

Collins: "The temporary Speaker doesn't understand this confession stuff."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, I want you to know that I have a watch with a Star of David on one side and the Cruxifixion on the other side. Now I'm covered both ways."

Collins: "Well you're covering all the basis."

Speaker Telcser: "...a St. Christopher medal on one side and the Star of David on the other."

Collins: "Alright, I think Representative Ewell is asking..."

Speaker Telcser: "...Representative Terzich, do you withdraw your motion, sir? Yes, he withdraws his motion.

Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewell: "Are copies of this tape going to be made available?"

Speaker Telcser: "They're available to the public, yes sir.

Okay, Representative Collins, do you wish to explain Amendment #5?"

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 removes the phrase a contract promise or agreement to make an expenditure from the definition of expenditure. It also strikes the reference to the Superintendent of Public Instruction from the definition of State elective officer. Now the reasoning behind this is there is no reason that we can see to require the reporting of promises when actual expenditure itself will be reported when made. This would put you into a position of reporting broken promises if there was such a thing and I would think that it would be unnecessary bookkeeping. The second portion, of course, is obvious that the Superintendent of Public Instruction will be an appointed officer as of 1976 under the new Constitution and so the reference to him as a State elective officer is superfluous. The sponsor of the Bill has indicated to me that he accepts this Amendment and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 10."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 10, all in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #6. Maragos. Amends House Bill 10."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. At about a quarter to ten I thought I was going to be hearing Amendment #6 so we got side-lined here. Amendment #6 amends the definition of a key officer employee to exclude from those who are to file non-compensated public members of advisory commission, committees or boards, which are advisory to the official or legislative branch of State government. This is in conformity with Scott's, with Attorney General Scott's Bill...opinion, that these officials who have no salary, who are merely advisory, have no contractual abilities, no appropriations, should be exempt. To give you an example, otherwise, we can't get people like the members of the Atomic Energy Commission and many other legislative Member Commissions who are not...we're going to lose all these people because they don't want to file...they have no interest outside of being advisory to the legislative Commission or the executive branch. It was the conformity...a...the opinion of Attorney General Scott that they are exempt under the present laws. And I ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Kempiners: "Sam, I'm not concerned about whether a person



is paid or not, I'm concerned about whether he can use his office to make a profit some how."

Maragos: "No, no that's not what..."

Kempiners: "...I don't know the types of jobs you are talking about, but would this be possible if the Amendment is adopted?"

Maragos: "I repeat again, this includes non-compensated public members of advisory commissions, it does not include the Racing Board, it does not include any other statutory or constitutional office."

Kempiners: "Okay, but what let's take the Atomic Energy Commission, for example.."

Maragos: "...fine..."

Kempiners: "...non-compensated, fine, that doesn't make any difference, but let's say that they recommend that land be purchased some place..."

Maragos: "...they have no authority to do that, they are advisory only. They have no authority to appropriate, they have no authority to set policy, they only advise the legislature or they advise the executive."

Kempiners: "Alright, but what I'm getting at, was, suppose that they advise that a certain parcel of land be purchased and then we find out that the members of the advisory commission are the owners of the land."

Maragos: "We are still responsible to the Act of any conflict of interest, but the point is that in these situations, these people have no...in other words, they are not the ones who are going to make the decision, it has to be the people who are going to go one more step, that's the question. They, themselves, cannot make a decision."

Kempiners: "Okay, thank you."

Maragos: "And I believe the sponsor of the Bill has also no objection to the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Does Representative Skinner still seek



recognition? No he doesn't. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, to close."

Maragos: "I move the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 10, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second, I got a conference. The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 10. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #7. LaFleur. Amend House Bill, First Special Session, page 4 by striking out..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #7 corrects a glaring error in the Bill by putting under the Ethics Board the members...the employees of the judiciary. The Bill, by striking on page 4, line 4, and inserting officers and on page 6, lines 10 through 13, by striking any subject within its jurisdiction. This...in this effect, strikes the exemption and puts employees and the definitions of State agencies thereby requiring key court employees to file statements of economic interest, it removes language stating that the Board may not investigate matters within the jurisdiction of the Judicial Inquiry Board or the Court's Commission. Mr. Chairman, I move for the adoption of Amendment #7."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to Amendment #7. We have a Court's Commission and a Judicial Inquiry Board to supervise the judicial branch of this government. I think they should be given the opportunity to do their job, we have funded them and authorized them to carry



out their function. I don't think that they should be included within this Bill. This Bill would seek to include the court, I think it's beyond the scope of this Bill and I would urge the defeat of this Amendment, I urge a no vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 10, all in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, do you wish a Roll Call? Five Members have requested a Roll Call. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call. Okay, there are only two Members...are there five Members who want a Roll Call.. one minute, Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point sir."

Maragos: "I'd like to ask the Parliamentarian at this time whether there's any constitutionality involved in this Amendment where we are regulated in the judiciary who under the agents of the Supreme Court are not the legislature? I think the only thing we could do normally with the judiciary is...a....a....a control the purse strings, but I think every other thing is under the separation of powers and I wonder if this Amendment is a proper Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, the Chair doesn't feel that that inquiry is necessarily within the purview of the Speaker, that question would have to be answered during...a..by the courts should this become law."

Maragos: "May I raise another parliamentary point."

Speaker Telcser: "Certainly."

Maragos: "Is it just a matter of the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court with their own Inquiry Board and would



this not be in conflict with the present laws that we have adopted setting up the Board?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, the answer which the Chair just gave you is the same answer, that this is not a parliamentary inquiry with respect to the Amendment and whether or not it had conflicting language or was germane. But the question really is one in which would have to be addressed to the court at some future date should this become law."

Maragos: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed with the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh. Collins. Craig..."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, vote Representative Choate as voting no."

Clerk Selcke: "Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee. Duester. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. R. Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Juckett. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Madigan. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe.



McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McCourt. McGah.
 McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo.
 Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy.
 Nardulli. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick.
 Peters. Philip. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph.
 Rayson. Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister.
 Schisler. Schlickman. Aye? Schneider. Schoeberlein.
 Aye? Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Shurtz.
 Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom.
 Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Is Ms. Stiehl here?
 Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Thompson.
 Tipsword. Aye? Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman.
 No? Huh? What? Waddell? Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh.
 Walters. Washburn. Washington. Williams."

Speaker Telcser: "Washington votes no."

Clerk Selcke: "J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr.
 Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now how is Representative Lechowicz..
 Ted how do you want to vote."

Lechowicz: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Lechowicz as
 voting aye. Martin, aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Who?"

Speaker Telcser: "Martin, aye. How do you want to vote
 Bob?"

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Brinkmeier recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Brinkmeier: "Vote me aye please."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Lundy, aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, have we got everybody? Mugalian,
 aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, wait a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "Craig, aye."



Clerk Selcke: "Wait just a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, one second, Mugalian, aye. Do you have it Fred, Mugalian, aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Aye?"

Speaker Telcser: "Craig wants to change from no to aye.

Jim Houlihan, no. Rayson, aye. Rayson and McGrew, aye. Friedland. Barry, aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Barry or Fary?"

Speaker Telcser: "Toby Barry. B like in banana, like with a banana. Okay, Mann, no. Mann, no."



NOV 13 1973

136.

55:03

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Shea, do you seek recognition, Sir? Representative Shea."

G. W. Shea: "I know we're a long way down the pike, but may I ask the gentleman who offered the Amendment one question with regards to it?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Sure. Representative LaFleur will answer that question."

G. W. Shea: "I.. Leo, as.. as I understand this, there's a provision in there that anything within, and I'll read it, 'However, the Boards will have no jurisdiction over matters within jurisdiction of the Judicial Inquiry Board or the Courts Commission'. Now, you want to take that Section out. Is that correct?"

L. LaFleur: "That they..... That the Ethics Boards would have the ability to go into Court Employees. Yes."

G. W. Shea: "Well, that isn't what this says. Right now, there's a limitation in here, as I understand the language that you're taking out. And, that... there is a nine member board appointed by the Governor. That Board hears complaints."

L. LaFleur: "I'm striking that limitation."

G. W. Shea: "Alright.. Now, could you tell me, then when there is a complaint filed before that Board or a case is pending before the Courts Commission, that the Ethics Board could then get in the same general jurisdiction? Because, I think, you'll find there's some very tight Constitutional restrictions with regards to complaints filed before the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

137.

Judicial Inquiry Board."

L. LaFleur: "Yes. Well, I think, that this calls for the use of discretion by the Board."

G. W. Shea: "Pardon me."

L. LaFleur: "The use of discretion."

G. W. Shea: "Well, it.. it just seems that there are certain Constitutional requirements on the Judicial Inquiry Board and the Courts Commission that by letting another Board go into the same general area."

L. LaFleur: "Well ah.., Gerry, I think, they would have to have something to do with this Act to start with. That they could not just go into any area without having something to do with the Act itself. I don't think, they could go into the Court and investigate without the application of something to the Act itself."

G. W. Shea: "Well again, I go back. I don't see why you're removing that restriction within (c). And, I shall continue to vote 'No'."

23:57:35

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "You got a total, Mr. Clerk? How is McPartlin recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. the gentleman is recorded as 'Not Voting'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Vote him 'No'. Garmisa.. 'No'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Beatty.. 'No'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Garmisa.. 'No'. Leon.. 'Aye'."

Ready for another one, Fred? Ebbesen.. 'Aye'. Ebbesen.. 'Aye'. On this question, there are 69 'Ayes', 39 'Nays'



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

138.

and the gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment No. 7, to House Bill 10, prevails. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

23:59:33

W. D. Walsh: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I move that the First Special Session adjourn to the hour of 9:45 tomorrow, November 14th."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved that the First Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 9:45 A.M. tomorrow morning. All in favor, 'Aye', the opposed 'No'. First Special Session stands adjourned until 9:45 A.M. tomorrow morning. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Now, the Second Special Session. ah.. will now come to order. Will the Members please be in their seats? The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, asks leave to have the Attendance Roll Call ah.. the Second Special Session be that of the Regular Session called to order today. Any objections? You objecting, Sir? Representative Beatty has objected. Okay.. The gentleman has objected. Will the Members please be in their seats? The Clerk will call the Attend..... Kind of had a feeling that you would reconsider, Representative. Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll Call for the Regular Session will serve as that for the Second Special Session. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

23:59:59

00:00:53

W. D. Walsh: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I understand there's a House Bill ah.. on First Reading or ah.. on Second Reading left to be read."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

104th Leg. Day

139. to

00:01:03

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Okay.. House Bills Second Reading.
House Bill 1."

144

00:01:08

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bill 1, a Bill for an Act
to exempt prescription and non-prescription medicines, drugs,
medical supplies and common household remedies for human
consumption from certain taxes. Second Reading of the Bill."

00:01:24

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor?"

00:01:28

Fredric B. Selcke: "No Committee Amendments."

00:01:30

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor?"

None. Third Reading. The gentleman from Cook, Repre...."

00:01:35

W. D. Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the Second Spe-
cial Session adjourn until the hour of 11:45 tomorrow."

00:01:42

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved that the
Second Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of
1:45... 11:45... 11:45 tomorrow afternoon. All in favor
signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Second Special
Session stands adjourned until the hour of 11:45 tomorrow
morning. Third Special Session will now come to order. X

00:01:58

The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, asks
that the Attendance Roll Call from this morning's Regular
Session be used as that of the Third Special Session. Any
objections? Hearing none, that will be the Attendance Roll
Call. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

00:02:16

W. D. Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I understand that there's a
Motion with respect to Senate Bill 16 to be made by Repre-
sentative Campbell."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose



NOV 13 1973

140.

do you arise?"

G. W. Shea: "Prior to the time that that request was made, my understanding is they're going to read the Committee Report from today for this Session."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, let me see if the Clerk has them. I don't know. I.. I don't even know if they're ready yet, Ger."

G. W. Shea: "He's got the Calendar printed. I hope he's got the Committee Report to match the Calendar."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Whose got the... Whose got the Committee Reports? Fred? They're not up here?"

00:03:16

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. Committee Reports. Mr. Washburn, from Appropriations, ah.. to which ah.. Senate Bills 21, 24 and 25 were referred, reported the sameback with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which Senate Bills 20, 22 and 23 were referred, reported the sameback with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass. Mr. Washburn, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 26, 30, 31, 32 and 42 were referred, reported the sameback with the recommendation that the Bills do pass and under Rule 33(a) were placed on the order of Second Reading. Mr. Washburn, from Appropriations, to which House Bills 7 and 43 were referred, reported the sameback with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass. Ah.. Mr. Wash-



NOV 13 1973

141.

burn, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 8, 14, 28, 29 and 46 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. No further Committee Reports."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Ah.. now, Representative Campbell, you have a Motion to put in the Third Special Session, Sir? The gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

00:04:52

C. Campbell: "Ah.. yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ah.. move to suspend the provisions of the appropriate Rule to take Senate Bill 16 in the Third Special Session from the Speaker's Table and advance it to the order of Second Reading."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any ah.. Yeah.."

C. Campbell: "It's been agreed to by both Sides."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Okay.. The gentleman has moved that Senate Bill 16 be taken from the Speaker's Table and put on the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. And, to provide... And, to suspend the provisions of Rule 31 (b). All in favor of the gentleman's motion.... The gentleman has asked leave to ah.. use the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the last unanimous Roll Call will be used. Ah.. what was that count, Fred? On this question, there are 170 'Ayes', no 'Nays' and the gentleman's motion to put Senate Bill 16 on the order of Second Reading prevails. Now, is that... Representative Walsh, is that to read a Second Time today? Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 16. Senate Bills



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Second Reading. Senate Bill 16."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. A Bill to provide ah.. relates to the 'Illinois Public Aid Code'. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? I understand there's an Amendment on the Clerk's desk. No, there's an Amendment on the Clerk's desk, I'm told. Is the Amendment on the Clerk's desk?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1, Davis, amend Senate Bill 16....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

C. A. Davis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Amendment does, ah.. it ah.. It guarantees the supplemental grants to the aged and blind. This is not for ah.. ADC. It's not for General Assistance. It's just the aged and blind. It grants these supplemental grants to the aged and blind. So, that those who go on January the 1st, when the FEDS take over, it will grant them the supplemental ah.. grants. That's all it does, aged and blind. I move the adoption of the Amendment, if there are no objections."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Any discu...."

C. A. Davis: "And, it's agreed to by the.. both sides."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1, to Senate Bill 16. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Aye', the opposed



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

143.

'No'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Third Reading. Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

00:10:25

R. A. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, will you read ah.. House Bills 2 and 3, please?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

R. A. Walsh: "It was my understanding, Mr. Speaker, that you were calling Bills that were requested. And, I am requesting that you call House Bills 2 and 3 and that they be read a Second Time."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well,.... Can you hang on a second, ah.. Rich?"

R. A. Walsh: "Ah.. excuse me, Mr. Speaker. I didn't hear what you said."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I said, can you hang on a minute because, I think, Bill wants to talk with you ah...? Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Richard....."

00:12:28

R. A. Walsh: "To renew my request, Mr. Speaker, that House Bills 2 and 3 be ah.. read a Second Time."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative ah.. William Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

00:12:43

W. D. Walsh: "If I can find my page, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to move that we adjourn. I move that the Third Special Session adjourn until 12:15....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representa-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

144.

00:13:55
tive William Walsh moves that the Third Special Session do stand adjourned until 12:00 Noon tomorrow. All in favor 'Aye'; the opposed 'No', the Third Special Session stands adjourned until 12:00 Noon tomorrow. Fourth Special Session will now come to order. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asks leave that the Attendance Roll call from this morning's Regular Session serve as the Attendance Roll Call for our Fourth Special Session. Hearing no objections, that will be the Roll Call. Ah.. Senate Bills First Reading."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 3, Washburn, an Act in relation to State Finance. First Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Speaker's Table. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

00:14:12
W. D. Walsh: "Ah.. there's another Bill on here that ah.. was a part of the call, Mr. Speaker, that Representative Walters has. I believe, it's on ah..... It's House Bill 2 on the Speaker's Table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Okay.. The gentleman from ah.. Madison, Representative Walters."

R. J. Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2 has been cleared on both Sides of the Aisle. And, I would like to move....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, Bob. Representative Walters, the Clerk doesn't know where that Bill is. Where is it?"

R. J. Walters: "I was told that it was on the Speaker's Table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "It is House Bill 2?"



NOV 13 1973

145.

R. J. Walters: "Right.. Right.."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "House Bill 2 in the Fourth Special Session, Fred. Okay.. It's on the Speaker's Table. House Bill 2 in the Fourth Special Session?"

R. J. Walters: "Right.. And, this Bill has been cleared on both Sides. And, I move to suspend the appropriate Rule to advance it, House Bill 2, to Second Reading without reference to a Committee."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved to sus.... The gentleman from Union, Representative Choate." Is that okay, Rep.... Representative Maragos. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

S. C. Maragos: "What's the subject matter of that Bill?"

R. J. Walters: "This Bill amends 'The School Code' to permit the affixion of a Superintendent's endorsement to the Administration. It changes two words, Sam, on Page 3, from 'and holds' to or scratches out 'while holding' and puts 'and holding'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 31 (b) for the purposes of having House Bill 2 in the Fourth Special Session taken from the Speaker's Table and advanced to the order or Second Reading without reference. Are there any objections to the last unanimous Roll Call? Hearing none, on that question, there are 170 'Ayes', no 'Nays' and House Bill 2 is on the order of Second Reading in the Fourth Special Session. Anything else? Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

00:15:13

NOV 13 1973

146.

Where's Bill at? With respect to the Fourth Special Session."

00:16:18

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Fourth Special Session ah.. adjourn to the hour of 2:15 P.M., November 14th."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved that the Fourth Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 2:15 tomorrow. All in favor signify by saying 'Aye', the opposed 'No'. The Fourth Special Session stands adjourned until the hour of 2:15. Good Night and Sleep Well! Wait a second. We lost a Session. The Fifth. Where's that at? Gene Barnes found the Fifth for us. Where we at? What's the score? The gentleman from ah.. The Fifth Special Session will now come to order. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, asks leave for the Attendance Roll Call from this morning's Regular Session to be used as that for the Fifth Special Session. Hearing no objections, that will be the Roll Call. Now, anything in the Fifth Spec?"

00:17:08

E. M. Barnes: Mr. Speaker,....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, Are you ready, Bill?"

00:17:56

W. D. Walsh: "Well ah.., there is agreement, I know, on House Bills 4 and 5 to be read a Second Time, if the Clerk would do so."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are they on Second Reading? Oh! House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 4."



NOV 13 1973

147.

00:18:09

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 4, a Bill for an Act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 5."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 5, a Bill for an Act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

00:18:30

C. L. Skinner: "Ah.. in view of the fact that Representative Barnes wishes something ah.. advanced without reference, I would ask the Democrats if they would be willing to have House Bill 1 read for a Second Time, since there are no Amendments? It's only a....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Which Democrats are you asking?"

C. L. Skinner: "Well, anyone that might object."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I object to....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman objects to your request. Okay...."

S. C. Maragos: "Because I don't know what the subject matter is....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman objects. Representative Skinner, do you have any response?"



NOV 13 1973

148.

C. L. Skinner: "Ah.. yes. I would be happy to explain it or else I will object to every Bill subsequently on this call. Ah.. the... the Bills is a very simple one. All it says.... All it says is that the... the Homestead Exempt.. not the Homestead Exemption, but the Circuit Breaker deadline shall be extended indefinitely. It says that, 'anyone who has qualified for this fiscal year, will get paid'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

00:19:36 G. W. Shea: "As I remembered the other day, this Bill was read a first time and moved to second reading without reference to a committee. It appeared on today's Calendar Second Reading - First Legislative Day. Is that right?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Clerk informs me it's from the Second Legislative Day."

G. W. Shea: "Alright.. So, tomorrow we'll move, you know.... It didn't have to go to a Committee. It will move and be on Second Reading - Second Legislative Day tomorrow. Is that correct?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, the fact of the matter is, today is tomorrow. It's after midnight."

G. W. Shea: "Well, you know, if today...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I know that the Motions are being put today."

G. W. Shea: "Ah.. it will move in the normal course of events?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Sure."

G. W. Shea: "Alright.."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

149.

I mean it's... it's not... he's not asking to move it without reference to a Committee. He's asking to advance it one day and we discussed what Bills this would happen to."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

C. L. Skinner: "Ah.. A parliamentary inquiry. Will then ah!! all subsequent Bills move in due course?"

Telcser: "That's what we're trying to do. We didn't advance anything today, Hal."

C. L. Skinner: "It's Cal."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Everything moves in due course... Due Course. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

00:20:55

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Fifth Special Session adjourn until the hour of....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Wait... Wait... Wait a...."

W. D. Walsh: "2:45 P.M. tomorrow, November 14th."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Wait. Representative Barnes is ah.. seeking recognition. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

00:21:08

E. M. Barnes: "I believe, the ah.. Clerk has a Motion down there concerning ah.. House Bill 3 in the Third Special Session which ah.. I waited here very patiently last Thursday night and thought it was included in a number of Bills



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

that was advanced that was introduced in that Special Session, last Thursday evening and I found that inadvertently would not."

Speaker Telcser: "Now what does he have Representative Barnes?"

Barnes: "House Bill 3."

Speaker Telcser: "Any you want it read today or something?"

Barnes: "I would like it moved, I would like to suspend the appropriate rule to have it moved to a...a... advanced to Second Reading with reference."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has objected, Representative Skinner."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, in all due respect to the Gentleman that did make the objection, this Bill was inadvertently...this Bill was included supposedly as I understood, included in the same call that advanced his Bill this past Thursday without reference. And I sit here till very late that evening and did not object to his Bill. Now this Bill that I have here, House Bill 3, only concerns the City of Chicago and it has absolutely nothing to do with no where else in the State and I think that if the Gentleman is really serious about some of the things that he's been saying that...here in this General Assembly, I don't see why he's objecting to a Bill that was inadvertently taken out of the same motion that his Bill was advanced in."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, do you persist in your objection sir?"

Skinner: "Well I believe that this does effect the rest of the State because we are going to end up paying for it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman persists in his objection."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, there's no pay involved in this Bill



whatsoever, if the Gentleman wishes to discuss what's in the Bill, I'll tell him what it is, it's simply a residency requirement that's being changed from five years to three years for a member to serve on a non-paying board."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "We didn't want to discuss the merits of my Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman still objects, he has that right. Representative Shea, are you seeking recognition sir? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I would just like to explain to the Membership that on the Fifth Special Session, it was almost pretty much agreed emergency..."

Speaker Telcser: "Hold on a minute sir, Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Walsh: "To spare us this speech that was about to come, Representative Skinner has graciously agreed to accept the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now Representative Barnes, do you want to restate your motion sir?"

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I would like to suspend the appropriate rule that House Bill 3 would be advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Is that the Third Special Session? Isn't that in the Fifth Special Session, Gene?"

Barnes: "Then House Bill 3 in the Fifth Special Session?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, with respect to the Gentleman's motion."

Walsh: "If I understand, the Gentleman is asking to suspend the rule relative to...a....a....sending this Bill to Committee and having it read a first time and placed on the order of Second Reading without reference, is



Speaker:

Speaker: I like to read the motion to be sure

"I like to read the motion."

Speaker: Under House Rule 48, I move that

Bill 1 be taken from the table and placed on

the calendar for reading, Second Legislative Day,

November 29, 1973.

Speaker: The gentleman from Cook, Representative

Walsh: Mr. Speaker, what the status of House Bills

4 and 5 a second time."

Speaker: House Bill 1 is on the order of Second

Legislative Day. We just read 4 and

Walsh: I have no objections."

Speaker: All right, the gentleman has moved to

take House Bill 3 in the Fifth Special Session from

Walsh: Mr. Speaker?

Speaker: Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: I believe the gentleman should ask for the last

unanimous roll call."

Speaker: Okay, move to take House Bill 3, Fifth

Special Session, from the speaker's table to the order

of general reading. The gentleman asks...that would

be suspending the provisions of Rule 31(b). The

gentleman has asked leave for the last unanimous

roll call. Are there any objections? Hearing none,

on this question there are 170 ayes, no nays, the

gentleman's motion prevails. The gentleman from Cook,

Representative William Walsh."

Speaker: Mr. Speaker, I move that the Fifth Special

NOV 13 1973

153.

Session stand adjourned until the hour of 2:45 P.M.
tomorrow, November 14."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the Fifth
Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of
2:45 tomorrow afternoon, all in favor...the opposed,
no, the House stands adjourned."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

104th Leg. Day

139. to

00:01:03

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Okay.. House Bills Second Reading.
House Bill 1."

144

00:01:08

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bill 1, a Bill for an Act
to exempt prescription and non-prescription medicines, drugs,
medical supplies and common household remedies for human
consumption from certain taxes. Second Reading of the Bill."

00:01:24

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor?"

00:01:28

Fredric B. Selcke: "No Committee Amendments."

00:01:30

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor?
None. Third Reading. The gentleman from Cook, Repre...."

00:01:35

W. D. Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the Second Spe-
cial Session adjourn until the hour of 11:45 tomorrow."

00:01:42

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved that the
Second Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of
1:45... 11:45... 11:45 tomorrow afternoon. All in favor
signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Second Special
Session stands adjourned until the hour of 11:45 tomorrow
morning. Third Special Session will now come to order. X

00:01:58

The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, asks
that the Attendance Roll Call from this morning's Regular
Session be used as that of the Third Special Session. Any
objections? Hearing none, that will be the Attendance Roll
Call. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

00:02:16

W. D. Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I understand that there's a
Motion with respect to Senate Bill 16 to be made by Repre-
sentative Campbell."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

140.

do you arise?"

G. W. Shea: "Prior to the time that that request was made, my understanding is they're going to read the Committee Report from today for this Session."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, let me see if the Clerk has them. I don't know. I.. I don't even know if they're ready yet, Ger."

G. W. Shea: "He's got the Calendar printed. I hope he's got the Committee Report to match the Calendar."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Whose got the... Whose got the Committee Reports, Fred? They're not up here?"

00:03:16 Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. Committee Reports. Mr. Washburn, from Appropriations, ah.. to which ah.. Senate Bills 21, 24 and 25 were referred, reported the sameback with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which Senate Bills 20, 22 and 23 were referred, reported the sameback with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass. Mr. Washburn, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 26, 30, 31, 32 and 42 were referred, reported the sameback with the recommendation that the Bills do pass and under Rule 33(a) were placed on the order of Second Reading. Mr. Washburn, from Appropriations, to which House Bills 7 and 43 were referred, reported the sameback with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass. Ah.. Mr. Wash-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

141

burn, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 8, 14, 28, 29 and 46 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. No further Committee Reports."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Ah.. now, Representative Campbell, you have a Motion to put in the Third Special Session, Sir? The gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

C. Campbell: "Ah.. yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ah.. move to suspend the provisions of the appropriate Rule to take Senate Bill 16 in the Third Special Session from the Speaker's Table and advance it to the order of Second Reading."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any ah.. Yeah.."

C. Campbell: "It's been agreed to by both Sides."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Okay... The gentleman has moved that Senate Bill 16 be taken from the Speaker's Table and put on the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. And, to provide... And, to suspend the provisions of Rule 31 (b). All in favor of the gentleman's motion.... The gentleman has asked leave to ah.. use the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the last unanimous Roll Call will be used. Ah.. what was that count, Fred? On this question, there are 170 'Ayes', no 'Nays' and the gentleman's motion to put Senate Bill 16 on the order of Second Reading prevails. Now, is that... Representative Walsh, is that to read a Second Time today? Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 16. Senate Bills

00:04:52



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

142.

Second Reading. Senate Bill 16."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. A Bill to provide ah.. relates to the 'Illinois Public Aid Code'. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? I understand there's an Amendment on the Clerk's desk. No, there's an Amendment on the Clerk's desk, I'm told. Is the Amendment on the Clerk's desk?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1, Davis, amend Senate Bill 16....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

C. A. Davis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Amendment does, ah.. it ah.. It guarantees the supplemental grants to the aged and blind. This is not for ah.. ADC. It's not for General Assistance. It's just the aged and blind. It grants these supplemental grants to the aged and blind. So, that those, who go on January the 1st, when the FEDS take over, it will grant them the supplemental ah.. grants. That's all it does, aged and blind. I move the adoption of the Amendment, if there are no objections."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Any discu...."

C. A. Davis: "And, it's agreed to by the.. both sides."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1, to Senate Bill 16. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Aye', the opposed



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

143.

'No'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Third Reading. Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

00:10:25

R. A. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, will you read ah.. House Bills 2 and 3, please?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

R. A. Walsh: "It was my understanding, Mr. Speaker, that you were calling Bills that were requested. And, I am requesting that you call House Bills 2 and 3 and that they be read a Second Time."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well,.... Can you hang on a second, ah.. Rich?"

R. A. Walsh: "Ah.. excuse me, Mr. Speaker. I didn't hear what you said."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I said, can you hang on a minute because, I think, Bill wants to talk with you ah...? Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Richard....."

00:12:28

R. A. Walsh: "To renew my request, Mr. Speaker, that House Bills 2 and 3 be ah.. read a Second Time."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative ah.. William Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

00:12:43

W. D. Walsh: "If I can find my page, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to move that we adjourn. I move that the Third Special Session adjourn until 12:15....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representa-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 13 1973

144.

00:13:55
tive William Walsh moves that the Third Special Session do stand adjourned until 12:00 Noon tomorrow. All in favor 'Aye', the opposed 'No', the Third Special Session stands adjourned until 12:00 Noon tomorrow. Fourth Special Session will now come to order. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asks leave that the Attendance Roll Call from this morning's Regular Session serve as the Attendance Roll Call for our Fourth Special Session. Hearing no objections, that will be the Roll Call. Ah.. Senate Bills First Reading."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 3, Washburn, an Act in relation to State Finance. First Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Speaker's Table. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

00:14:12
W. D. Walsh: "Ah.. there's another Bill on here that ah.. was a part of the call, Mr. Speaker, that Representative Walters has. I believe, it's on ah..... It's House Bill 2 on the Speaker's Table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Okay.. The gentleman from ah.. Madison, Representative Walters."

R. J. Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2 has been cleared on both Sides of the Aisle. And, I would like to move....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, Bob. Representative Walters, the Clerk doesn't know where that Bill is. Where is it?"

R. J. Walters: "I was told that it was on the Speaker's Table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "It is House Bill 2?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			1.
		Tape does not	have opening of House
1		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	Excused absence
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	Move House recess
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	Request Democrat conference
		Speaker Blair	House in recess
2		Speaker Blair	House in order
		Clerk Selcke	Announcements
		Speaker Blair	Committee reports
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
3		Ebbesen	SB 698 urge OVR
		Speaker Blair	
4		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	
5		Lauer	
		Speaker Blair	
6		Shea	Question
7		Speaker Blair	
8		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Blair	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Clerk Selcke	Calls roll	
9		Speaker Blair		
		Cunningham	Explain vote	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair		
10		Dyer	Explain vote	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair		
		McGrew	Explains vote	
11		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair		
		Ebbesen		
		Speaker Blair		
		Krause	Request verification	
		Speaker Blair		
		Barry	Recorded?	
		Speaker Blair		
		Ebbesen	Poll absentees	
12		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees	
		Speaker Blair		
13		Walsh, W.	Objection	
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate		
		Clerk Selcke		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Springer	Vote me aye
		Clerk Selcke	
14		Speaker Blair	
		Krause	
		Clerk Selcke	Verifies affirmative roll call
		Speaker Blair	
		Lemke	Vote me no
		Speaker Blair	
15		Krause	Questions affirmative roll call
		Clerk Selcke	
16		Speaker Blair	
		Lundy	Vote me aye
		Speaker Blair	
		Kelly	Aye
17		Speaker Blair	
		Berman	Vote me aye
		Speaker Blair	
		Kosinski	Vote me aye
		Speaker Blair	
		Maragos	Vote me aye
		Speaker Blair	
18		Krause	No further questions
		Speaker Blair	Motion prevails OVR
19		Cunningham	Parliamentary inquiry
20		Speaker Blair	
		Ryan	SJR 50, urge yes



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
21-22		Choate	Oppose
		Speaker Blair	
23		Duff	Support
		Speaker Blair	
24		Ewell	Oppose
		Speaker Blair	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Schlickman	MPQ
		Speaker Dyer	Ayes have it
		Ryan	To close
		Dyer	
		Clerk Selcke	Calls roll
25		Speaker Dyer	
		Matijevich	Explain vote
		Speaker Dyer	
		Wolf, J.J.	Out of order
		Speaker Dyer	
		Choate	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Matijevich	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Barry	Present
26		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Cunningham	Vote no



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Dee	Explain vote
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Douglas	Vote aye
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
27		Mann	
		Speaker Dyer	
28		Matijevich	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
29		Murphy	Vote no
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Phillips	Explain no vote
		Speaker Dyer	
30		Hoffman, G.	How recorded?
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Pierce	Explains aye vote
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Rayson	Explain vote
		Speaker Dyer	
31		Clerk Selcke	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Dyer	6.
		Waddell	Vote no
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Geo-Karis	
32		Speaker Dyer	
		Hanahan	Request verification
		Speaker Dyer	
		Ryan	Count
		Speaker Dyer	
		Ryan	Poll absentees
		Speaker Dyer	
		Wolf	Point of order
		Speaker Dyer	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Dyer	
33		Ryan	Question
		Speaker Dyer	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		Speaker Dyer	
34		Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads affirmative roll call
		Speaker Dyer	
		Arnell	
		Speaker Dyer	
35-36-37		Hanahan	Questions affirmative roll call
		Speaker Dyer	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			7.
		Dunn, Robert	
38		Hanahan	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Telcser	
39		Speaker Dyer	
		Bluthardt	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Flinn	
		Hanahan	
		Speaker Dyer	Passed SJR 23
40		Wolf, J.J.	HR 530
		Speaker Dyer	
		Kempiners	
41		Speaker Dyer	
42		Wolf	
		Speaker Dyer	HR 530 adopted
43		McCourt	Parliamentary inquiry
44		Speaker Dyer	
		Walsh, Wm.	Move reg. session adjourn
		Speaker Dyer	
45		Shea	Question
		Speaker Dyer	
		Choate	SB 699
46		Speaker Dyer	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Dyer	
47		Ebbesen	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Dyer	8.
		Choate	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Choate	Asks for last unanimous roll call
		Speaker Dyer	
		Juckett	
		Choate	
48		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Choate	
49		Speaker Dyer	SB 699 Amendment passed
		Walsh	Renew motion to adjourn
		Speaker Dyer	Reg. session adjourned
		Clerk Selcke	Committee Reports
50		Speaker Dyer	Special session
		Wolf	HB 3, Amendment #1
		Clerk Selcke	HB 1, 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Dyer	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Dyer	House at ease
51-52		Wolf	Amendment #1
		Speaker Dyer	
53		Collins	Oppose
		Speaker Dyer	
		Ewell	Question
		Speaekr Dyer	
t4		Wolf	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			9.
		Speaker Dyer	Amendment #1 fails
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Dyer	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Collins	Question
55-56		Wolf	
		Speaker Dyer	Amendment #2 lost
57		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Dyer	Roll call vote requested
		Clerk Selcke	Roll call
		Speaker Dyer	
58		Matijevich	Explain vote
		Speaker Dyer	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Greisheimer	Record me no
		Speaker Dyer	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Geo-Karis	Change to no
		Speaker Dyer	
		Clerk Selcke	
59		Tipsword	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Alsup	Record me no
		Speaker Dyer	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 11-13-73

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Barry	No
		Speaker Dyer	
		Matijevich	Change to no
		Speaker Dyer	Amendment #2 adopted
60		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Dyer	
61		Lechowicz	Yield
		Schoeder	
62		Speaker Dyer	
		Collins	Oppose
63		Speaker Dyer	
		Mugalian	Support
		Speaker Dyer	
		Maragos	MPQ
64		Speaker Dyer	
		Schraeder	To close
65		Speaker Dyer	Roll call
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Schraeder	Explains Amendment
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Dyer	
		Polk	Vote me no
		Speaker Dyer	
		Clerk O'Brine	
66		Brinkmeier	Vote me aye



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			11.
		Speaker Dyer	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Shea	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Dyer	
67		Shea	Motion to adjourn 1st sp. session
		Speaker Dyer	Motion out of order
68		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
69		Speaker Blair	
70		Schlickman	Move to table #4
		Speaker Blair	#4 Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Speaker Blair	
71-72		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
73		Collins	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Lechowicz	
74		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 3, 1st sp. session 2nd reading no CA
75		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, B.B.	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Wolf	



12.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
76		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd reading 1st special session
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 5, 2nd reading no CA
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kosinski	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted 3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 6, 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	
77		Juckett	Leave to make correction of floor Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
		Juckett	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
78		Juckett	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 7, 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 8, 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 9, 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 10, 2nd reading no CA



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			13.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
79		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
80		Kempiners	Oppose
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bermen	To close
81		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 roll call requested
		Clerk O'Brien	Roll ca-1
		Speaker Telcser	
82		Collins	Explain vote
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	
83		Kempiners	Explain vote
		Sepaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	
84		Speaokr Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Kempiners	Leave to table #4
		Speaekr Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
85		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
86-87		Hanahan	Yield
		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			14.
		Speaker Telcser	Roll call requested
88		Totten	Yes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Clerk O'Brien	Roll call
		Speaekr Telcser	
		Berman	Explain opposition
		Speaker Telcser	
89		Clerk O'Brien	
90		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
91-92		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
93		Leinenweber	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
94		Clerk O'Brien	Roll call
95		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	Table #4
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
96		Speaker Telcser	15.
97		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Poll absentees of Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
98		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Epton	Disagrees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Still requests poll of absentees
99		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
100		Madigan	Move vote be re-considered
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
101		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	Persist in motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	move to table motion
102		Speaker Telcser	Roll call requested



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			16.
		Clerk O'Brien	Roll call
		Speaer Telcser	
		Griesheimer	Explain vote
103		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Inquriy
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	Inquiry
		Speaekr Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Vote me aye
		Speaker Telcser	
104		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion to table fails, Madigan's motion to reconsider vote
105		Berman	Leave to use last roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
106		Clerk Selcke	Roll call on Madigans motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Poll absentees then recognized for verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Vote me no
		Speaker Telcser	
107		Berman	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Poll absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
108		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Asks for verification
109		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
110		Berman	Questions affirmative roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beatty	Vote me aye
		Speaker Telcser	
111-112		Berman	Further questions
		Speaker Telcser	
113		Dunn, Robert	Vote no
		Berman	
114		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	
115		Clerk Selcke	Reads affirmative roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Questions affirmative roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Telcser	

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			18.
		Leinenweber	
		Shea	
116		Speaker Telcser	
		Garmisa	Vote aye
		Speaker Telcser	
117		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion to reconsider vote prevail
118		Berman	Leave to use same roll call
		Speaker Telcser	Objections heard
		Berman	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Question on Amendment
119		Leinenweber	Explains Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Oppose Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.J.	Question
120		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Clarification
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3
121		Hill	Explain vote
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McCormick	Question
122		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Vote no



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Peters	Explain vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
123		Palmer		
		Speaekr Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Leinenweber	Poll absentees	
124		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 fails	
		Berman	Withdraw objection	
125		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Objects	
		Speaker Telcser		
126		Collins	Amendment #5	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Telcser		
127		Terzich	Move Amendment be tabled	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins	Point of order	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Terzich		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins	Confession stuff	
128		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Ewell	Question	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins	Amendment #5	
129		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #6	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos		
		Speaker Telcser		
130		Kempiners	Yield	
		Maragos		
131		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	To close	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #6 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #7	
		Speaker Telcser		
		LaFleur		
		Speaker Telcser		
132		Berman	Oppose	
		Speaker Telcser		
133		Maragos	Parliamentary inquiry	
		Speaker Telcser		
134		Clerk Selcke	Proceeds with roll call	
		Lechowicz	Aye	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Brinkmeier	Vote me aye	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			21.
135		Speaker Telcser	
136		Shea	
137		LaFleur	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
138		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #7 adopted
		Walsh, Wm.	Move 1st sp. session adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	2nd sp. session to order
		Walsh	
139		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB #1 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Walsh	Move 2nd sp. session adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	2nd sp. session adjourned
		Walsh	3rd sp. session to order
140		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
141		Clerk Selcke	Committee reports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Campbell	Move to suspend rules SB 16 place on 2nd reading
142		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	SB 16, 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			22. ..
143		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd reading
		Walsh, R.	Reads HB 2 & 3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	Move 3rd. sp. session adjourned
144		Speaker Telcser	3rd sp. session adjourned
		Speaker Telcser	4th sp. session to order
		Clerk Selcke	SB #3 1st reading
		Speaker Telcser	Speaker table
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walters	HB #2
		Speaker Telcser	
145		Walters	HB 2, move to place on 2nd move to suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Subject matter
		Walters	Responds
146		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Walsh, W.	Move 4th sp. session adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	4th sp. session adjourned, 5th sp. session to order
		Walsh, W.	
147		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB 4, 2nd reading no CA 3rd read- ing
		Clerk Selcke	HB 5, 2nd reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Skinner	Asks to hear HB #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	Object



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
148		Skinner	Explains Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
149		Shea	Responds
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	Move 5th sp. session adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	
150		Barnes, G.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Persists in objection
		Speaker Telcser	
151		Barnes	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	Skinner accepts motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes, E.	Re-states motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Question
152		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads motion
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Walsh	Question to Clerk
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Walsh	No objection
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
153		Walsh	Move 5th sp. session adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	House stands adjourned

