

JUL 11 1974

1.

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order, the invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "Shall we pray. Eternal and Gracious Father, the work of this Legislature now gets underway, grant that You will be with those men and women who work here that You direct the process throughout the day and bless its end. So that the words, deeds and lines here may prove to be a blessing in Your sight. We thank You for the gift of this new day of opportunity for the health and strength of body and mind and above all Your presents with us. Inprint upon Your heart and mind that the counsel of a psalmist to direct us to commit our way to the Lord, to trust also in Him that He might bring it to pass. Hear us and prosper us at this day for Your mercy faith. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "The Roll Call for attendance. All right, do we have any messages? How about, you want to read the Journal? That will be a new one. That scares me... Do we have any Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Clerk? Check the Agreed Resolutions and see if we can get those... the Members that are over in their offices, if they would favor us with their attendance on the House floor we might be able to continue with the peoples business. All right, let's do the Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1123, Sharp, et al. House Resolution 1124, Arnell, et al. House Resolution 1125, Williams. House Resolution 1126, Hyde, et al."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Those people who aren't here are going to miss the Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1123, congratulates Post 2859 of the Veterans of Foreign Wars of Wood River and also the Ladies Auxiliary. House Resolution 1124, commends Mr. Jerry McLean, Executive Director of the Chicago Heights Chamber of Commerce. House Resolution

1125, congratulates all the citizens of Northlake on the celebration of their Silver Jubilee. House Resolution 1126, commends the Czechoslovak Society of America and Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of adoption of the Agreed Resolutions say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Joine Resolution 112, Katz, Speaker's Table."

Speaker Blair: "Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1272, Mr. Madigan's appropriations for the State Officers in the General Assembly. Mr. Madigan, here? Anybody sees him tell we'd like to address ourselves to that Bill sometime. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate 1345, Mr. Choate, you going to try to pass that Bill or you going to leave it hanging there, Mr. Shea? Mr. Choate, wants that Bill passed, I guess he will have to call it sometime before we adjourn today. Mr. Keller, here on the Bureau of the Budget. Is there anybody concerned about the Bureau of the Budget. Now, if he's not going to be here today, I would suggest that the Leadership over there see if they can get somebody else to handle that Bill for him. Second Conference Committee Report, Mr. McGrew on 2360, that's for the Historical Library. Is Mr. McGrew, concerned about that? Mr. Taylor on 2405, does he want to... Financial Institutions... want to operate next fiscal year. Where are we today Mr. Taylor... take it out of the record. Now, Mr. Calvo is handling the Racing Board. Is Mr. Calvo here and.... Senate Bill 1264, the Conference Committee Report with respect to it. Mr. Barry, do you want to go with Conference Second Report on House Bill 164? Mr. Clerk, do you have the first supplemental



JUL 11 1974

3.

Calendar ready, so we can find out who doesn't want to proceed with the Bills that are there. Mr. Cunningham, Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I'm here on behalf of House Bill 2587, I realize that it's on Second Reading, it might seem unconscionable aggroasion to suggest that it be handled, it wouldn't... it wouldn't take over a minute or so to do so and there is an Amendment on there by Representative Giorgi, and I'm authorized to handle it for him and it can be adopted and moved on to third with great expedition. Is that possible?"

Speaker Blair: "Anything is possible, Roscoe. Senate Bill.... House Bill, Second Reading..."

Cunningham: "2587."

Speaker Blair: "2587?"

Cunningham: "Please."

Speaker Blair: "All right."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill, Second Reading. House Bill 2587, A Bill for an Act to clarify Section 19, of Workmens Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, there...."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, Roscoe..."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Giorgi. Amends House Bill 2587, on page 1y line 1."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Giorgi, here? Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Giorgi, was un-avoidably detain but he has authorized me to handle this Amendment for him. And, I wish to recommend the Amendment to you and to each Members of this House. This Bill is an attempt to plug a loophole in the remedy provided for the Workmens Compensation Act, for injured employees who have been hired by uninsured sub contractors. The Bill as introduced originally approached the problem from a notice standpoint and

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



as amended by Representative Giorgi, and Chairman Rosenbloom of the Illinois Industrial Commission, it approaches the problem from the time of statutes of limitations begins to run on the claim as asserted against a general contractor where the sub contractor is unemployed. I assure each of you that Representative Giorgi, Amendment makes a good Bill much better, we accept his Amendment we urge you to adopted the Amendment as offered and if he were here, he would confirm every word that I'm saying, I pledge this to you on my mothers honor. Accordingly I request an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Roscoe, are you attempting to broaden this Act."

Cunningham: "It doesn't broaden the Act it merely clarifies the remedy under Section 19, Jerry. As you are well aware the Workmens Compensation Act provides that the injured employee of a uninsured sub contractor has the right to recover against the insurance carrier of the general contractor. The difficulty is, that too often after the judgment is obtained the statutes of limitation has run against the insurer for the general contractor and the remedy is denied. We sought by the original Bill to broaden the coverage of the remedy, it remedial no one who knows anything at all about workmens compensation could be opposed to this Bill and I repeat the Chairman of the Illinois Industrial Commission and Representative Giorgi and I, drew together the Amendment, the Amendment is needed to make the Bill fool proof, I think that it improves the Bill, I think it deserves unanimous support. Are you convinced?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, any further discussion on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor of the adoption say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"



Third Reading."

Cunningham: "Could we read it a third time."

Speaker Blair: "Now, Roscoe, you've gotten pretty far here on that Bill this morning. I think..."

Cunningham: "This is the time for audacity, Mr. Speaker and it will pass because the Leadership over there recognizes the truth of what I say."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I read your success over the days events, I wait... I make a try at Third Reading a little bit later."

Cunningham: "We appreciate your kindness, Mr. Speaker and we await your call."

Speaker Blair: "There not... there is hardly any votes here Roscoe. All right, is the first supplemental Calendar ready? Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Collins and I have a Bill on Third Reading.... I'm trying to think where it is done here.... 2899, I would sure like to take a run at that, get a Roll Call vote on it."

Speaker Blair: "Well, those are Third Reading Bills. Mr. Collins, do you want to take a run at that? Mr. Shea is attempting to suggest that we move with House Bill 2899, an Act relating to candidates for State Office... Fred where's the... when we get to House Bill, Third Reading as I indicated to Mr. Cunningham, we certainly will call all of those.... So you know where we are, we're waiting for two things, the first is Sponsors of these various Conference Committee... Bills in Conference Committee that I've called this morning to appear on the floor so they can move in some direction with those Conference Committee Reports and secondly I'm waiting for the Supplemental Calendar to be printed that will have four or five additional Conference Committee Reports on it which hopefully are reports that will not have problems as far as consideration by



6.

the Members is concerned. See if Mr. Keller is on the floor now if we've got your concurrence on House Bill 2382, that's the Bureau of the Budget... appropriation for 1975. Bureau of the Budget all you have to do is move the concurring of the Senate Amendment. That's all it takes... you get 107 votes there and we've got one more off the Calendar. Okay, the Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move that we concur with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2382."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman has moved that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, to House Bill 2382. Is there Discussion? Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if the Representative could tell me if the line item for personal services has been reduced for the estimated four days that the State employees will given off without authorization for the next fiscal year as they were in the past year?"

Keller: "I'm not sure if that has been done in this or not, that wasn't brought out in part of this proceeding when this was taken place."

Skinner: "Well, it would just seem to me if the Governor is going to give the State employees a Friday after Veterans Day and the Friday after Memorial Day and Martin Luther Kings birthday and the July 5, off without any authorization under law, that he certainly ought to reduce the line item of the lead agency in his administration."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller to close."

Keller: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we do concur with the Senate Amendment they seem fair and reasonable."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall the House



7.

concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, to House Bill 2382. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no' this is an Appropriation, it would require 107 votes for it to be effective the fiscal year '75. All right, have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The.... the Clerk will take the record. Juckett, 'aye'. This question there are 10.... Miss Martin, 'aye'. Mr. Griesheimer, 'aye'. On this question there are 112 'ayes'.... Wait a minute, Mr. Keller, do you seek recognition?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There is people from the Governor's office working the floor and want this Roll Call verified. I don't think there is 107 here right now, but there probably be after while.. Could I take this out of the record for the time being?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, you've got to go to postponed consideration."

Keller: "Well, It's hard to go to postponed consideration till they get back below 107, then isn't it. We've all ready had 112 or 13, right now aren't we?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, yeah... you need 107 and you've got 112...."

Keller: "So, the only way you're going to do that, is let them go through with their verification."

Speaker Blair: "But I haven't had anybody ask for verification now..."

Keller: Okay."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Question the verification."

Speaker Blair: "All right, at this point there is 110 'ayes' 1 'nay' with respect to the Gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendments, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, to House Bill 2382. The... Mr. Cunningham."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, we request the ruling from the Chair, isn't it dilatory to request a verification when there is no opposition to the Bill and there is obviously all the Members are present."

Speaker Blair: "Well, he's entitled to... under the rules to request a verification. And, so we'll.... The Clerk will proceed to verify."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson..."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Houlihan, what purpose do you rise? Abentees you want?"

Houlihan: "No, I would just like to change my 'yes' vote to 'present'."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, Mr. Houlihan, 'present'. Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Can I put this on postponed consideration? You didn't tell me yes or no, on this."

Speaker Blair: "Well, certainly you can ask to put it on and you're entitled to place it on."

Keller: "Okay, I move that we place this Bill on postponed consideration."

Speaker Blair: "Oaky, the Bill will be placed on the order of postponed consideration. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1, to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 1723 and further directed to inform the House of Representative that the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives has refused to recede from their Amendment #1, to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2651.

~~I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate requests a Committee of Conference. Action taken by the Senate July 10, 1974. Edward E.~~



Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of Amendment #1, to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1659. Action taken by the Senate July 10, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of Amendments 2 and 12, Senate Bill 1382. Action taken by the Senate July 10, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to the House Amendments #1 and 2, to Senate Bill 1264. Action taken by the Senate July 10, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1464. Action taken by the Senate July 10, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative that the Senate acceded the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses regarding to the House Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 1552. Action taken by the Senate July 10, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Madigan here with respect to Senate Bill 1272, and if the appropriation to pay a State Officer in General Assembly. Mr. Choate, with regard to the Senate Bill 1345, the appropriation to the expenses of the Department of Transportation... Mr.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

McGrew, Conference Committee Report on 2360... Mr. Calvo, are the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1264, the Racing Board... Does anyone have a birthday? I'm sure Rolly and Geo, would be happy to sing... Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, as long as you're talking about birthdays you know, I feel very hurt you know, my birthday was on the 4th of July, I'm a real Yankee Doodle Dandy and nobody knew anything about it or said anything about it so, as long as you want to do something you know, I'll go along with that."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Don Brummet, has offered to lead us in, when the roll is call up yonder."

Speaker Blair: "All right, why don't you go ahead and distribute them, I'm going to call them unless there... these supplement... no, distribute then... distribute what we have and I won't call any of these until you tell me that they are actually on the desk. All right, we're distributing supplemental #1, and there are two Conference Committee Reports on there where the reports are being distributed right now... and hopefully I understand that that's 2367, House Bill which is Mr. Tipsword, on Business and Economic Development and Senate Bill #1383, which is Mr. Holloway, on Appropriation for the Department of Correction. All right, Mr. Tipsword, how about... Business and Economic Development are you... Mr. Tipsword... you don't want to call it? Not right now... Is Mr. Holloway, here? Mr. Chairman know where he is? You ought to let him come on the floor so we can... I understand that as soon as we get the appropriation for the expenses of the Department of Agriculture on the desk, if that is one that we will be ready to move with... that apparently does not have a problem. And, I also understand that Mr. Houlihan, on



11.

Department and Personnel, intends to move to not adopt the First Conference Committee Report there, so we could at least get that behind us and get on to a Second Conference Committee Report as soon as the First Conference Committee Report is on the desk. I don't like to hold you here but at least we'll get those two things out of the way and if there is no other sponsors that are willing to call their measures.... then there... I suppose we'll just recess until after lunch and come back... well, the Chair's about ready to entertain that motion. Well all you need is a fast gavel. While we're waiting for those, the Chair observes that the Membership seems to increased substantially, Mr. Keller, if desire now to proceed.... renew your motion to concur in the Senate Amendment to the Bureau of the Budget, Mr. Keller. I say, the Chair observes that there are substantial more people here than there were when you went on postponed consideration. Do you... the Chair would be happy to entertain your motion to... renew your motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment... You don't think you have enough votes here? Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It seems to me that we're right about where we were last week and I would like to inquire of the Chair, the position of the Sponsor on the matter of a concurrence where the Sponsor refuses to call the Bill for a concurrence or a non concurrence. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that where there is a.. an appropriation for the operation of State government involved that the Sponsor is obligated to call the motion and have it voted up or down, so that a Conference Committee can be called and I would think that the concurrence would be in the same position in this case, as a Second Conference Committee Report and that the Sponsor in

this case would not have control of the measure, so that any Member could make the motion."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if the Gentleman is referring to some of the Conference Committee Reports, he full well knows that those Conference Committee Reports in many instances are only signed by six Members of both Houses and the Sponsors are attempting to get a hold of the Directors of the various agencies to see what shape those agencies are going to be in and see if they can proceed and go ahead and call those Bills."

Speaker Blair: "He was discussing concurrences... I didn't hear him mention Conference Committee Reports."

Shea: "Well, on the concurrences we seem to be debating intra-party on what we're going to do now."

Speaker Blair: "You mean you've got a fight on your side of the aisle."

Shea: "Like you do at times, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the point of it is, we're not going to be here longer than today and I think the Gentleman's inquiry about the Bureau of the Budget appropriations... the Gentleman moves to concur... had the number of votes on the board that would have been sufficient to concur final Act, then he went on postponed consideration now, it seems to me that the Gentleman does not wish to concur, then he ought to move to non concur so that matter can get into a Conference Committee and we can look like we're getting something resolved.... I... We're trying to get this thing wound up and if we don't have some cooperation, it's going to be impossible for us to do that."

Speaker Tolcser: "2402... on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2402, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan, is recognized."



Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

The First Conference Committee Report on 2402, finds me in the same position as many other Members last week, where was not a participant in the Conference and I move that we not concur in 2402."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report in respect to House Bill 2402. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2402.

Second Conference Committee will be appointed. Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you rise, I can't quite make out your sign. Was it read a second time for that? It was just read a second time today wasn't it? Well, how can you call it on first today? It's a violation of the State Constitution... I know as a Constitutional lawyer, you wouldn't want to do that. We'll call it after midnight tonight. Representative Holloway, for what purpose do you rise? Jim Holloway."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, give me a little order because I think this is very important. I like many of Members of the Legislature are handling appropriation Bills for the various departments, these are not our Bills, they're not my Bills... they are Bills of the administration of the State of Illinois. The Bill that I'm referring to, is the Department of Corrections, there are some differences of opinion pertaining to the Department of Correction appropriation Bill, there is a couple of issues involved and at state. I want you to know that we've had the Second Conference Committee Report, I've never been informed of a meeting yet... neither has any other Member on this side of the aisle. My point of



personal privilege is this, I'm not complaining about not attending the Conference Committee Report because I know that the horses are there to determine the issue. Where I might want to recede from that issue in this Bill, I've never had the opportunity to sit down and do so at the table but in drafting the Second Conference Committee Report, you now technically have really messed up the Department of Corrections. I pointed this out and I'm told that it's too late, this is the Second Conference Committee Report, it can't be back up, it can't be changed, it's been filed. Well, I want you to know that the Department of Corrections can't operate with the Appropriation Bill in the shape that it's in and I further want you to know, in my opinion there isn't any such thing as can't be done. We can't leave here and leave the Department in the shape that this Bill now finds itself... so, who ever the powers to be may be, that can bring this thing back and straighten it out, I so ask you to do so. Because as the Sponsor of the Bill, this Bill is not going to pass this General Assembly in this shape and if we don't clean it up and make it technically right even though they move away from the issue and concede the issue, that's at stake in this Bill... if we don't clean it up and make it technically right so this department can operate, I'm prepared to put the Bill down the tube in a form of a table motion. Now, let's get with it let's clean these things up, let's get these appropriation Bills passed in the shape that the administration can operate and then let's get out of here and go home."

Speaker Telcser: "Collins, seconds the motion to table..."

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that the last Gentleman's point requires some responding to and I certainly agree with everything



he's said, but let us get going and get the job done. I don't know whether he realizes or not, that the reason that we are not going and not getting the job done is that the Democratic Sponsors of the Conference Committee Report, concurrences and even Third Reading Bill, are not calling their Bills. We are simply hamstrung by these people as he the Gentleman full well realizes the administration is Democratic, the administration gives Democratic Members their Bills, their appropriations and there is just simply nothing we can do if these Members will not call their Bills without taking very drastic action. So, I would just like to say amen, to the Gentleman's remarks and if he has any suggestions as to how we can get these people to do, what is their responsibility, we Republicans would welcome it with open arms."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Majority Leader, one of the problems we seem to be having is that we're getting Conference Committee Reports only signed by Republican Members and then the Sponsors have to take what they are told what your party will give to the department of government and see if they can operate and it is a little tuff sometimes to get a Director and find out just exactly how the departments can operate on what six Members of the Conference Committee say that they can have to run State government. So, if you will bear with us we'll try to get going."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "To respond very briefly, I can't imagine a Director who is looking for his appropriation being very far from this spot, right now, if he has not as yet had his appropriation passed by both Houses."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Bill, in response that point, the Director of Department of Personnel is still looking for the Conference Committee, where we would like to all participate in this discussion. Now, I have a Conference Committee Report that was distributed to the Department of Personnel and again all six Republican signed it and we were never notified about it and I was informed that the Conference Committee Report is going to be identical for the second one, so if you're suppose to even discussed some of the points on this particular report on the First Conference Committee. I think that that type of arrogance and that type of not involving all of the Members in the Legislature, it's just out of place and that's what led us to this impact. It's the kind of disrespect to the rules that have led us to this delay and this inability to act on these measures."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I have no intention of carrying this diatribe on... any observer who is impartial cannot help but notice who is responsible for this log jam. I would just like to point out to the last Gentleman though, that our information is, that it is not the Republican Members of Conference Committee who has diligently sought out the Democratic Members of Conference Committees in order to meet and in order to come to some agreement but that there is.... there seems to be a conscious effort on the part of the staff, both the Governor's staff and the Minority Leadership staff to keep the Democratic Members of Conference Committees from the Republican Members. And this more than the Republican Members meeting and deciding things and not submitting it to the Democrats is the case not what the Gentleman suggests."



JUL 11 1974

17.

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'm not too sure what it is but it seems to me that the main problem here is because... that the ruling was handed down, I don't know by the Leadership or by the Rules Committee that the Sponsor has complete control of the Bill. Now, many of us submit that the Sponsor does not have complete control of that Bill, it is not his personal property, it belongs to the State of Illinois and now we're running into the problem where people aren't going to get their paychecks on the 15th and a number of other things. It would seem to me, Mr. Speaker, that you ought to take a hard look at those rules and make some changes on there and if there is some truth in the matter that the Democrats are charging, they have not been informed of these Conference Committee Reports, I think it's a damn shame and I think we ought to get together as two political parties and participate in its process... get the business of States on and get the hell out of here."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I know that the Majority Leader would not like to be in error in the remarks that he makes in this Body. And I know only of what is occurring on two Conference Committees that have been both First Conference Committee and Second Conference Committee, those to which I have been appointed. I have requested repeatedly over a great number of days from Members of the Conference Committee on his side of the aisle, when and where we would meet. I made every effort to try to meet with them, the only people, regrettably that would even discuss the Bills with me were staff members. I could never speak and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

get any answers from Members of the Conference Committee themselves, from that side of the aisle. I was never informed when there was a meeting and once in a while staff would deign and come around and tell me what they were going to put in the next report. Regretably I would have like to have met; I requested the opportunity to meet, I requested the opportunity on the floor of this House here before and have never been afforded that knowledge, that opportunity or even answers to those questions. Now, I realize just as Representative Holloway said, there is sufficient votes from the other side to get a majority on those Conference Committee Reports, that's fine... we would just simply like to have an opportunity to discuss with the Committee the problems that we have on those Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative...
The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, it seems that this is show and tell time and I would like very much to have somebody show and then tell me, one of our former speakers would be correctional budget, I have about six correctional institutions in my district, about two thousand employees, Representative and I'm deeply concerned about that budget. And I wonder if in this.... blowing smoke out our ear period if it would be fair, Mr. Speaker, to ask a ruling of the Chair... is it possible to suspend the appropriate rule that keeps us on postponed consideration only one time, we've all agreed that the Second Conference Committee Report goes down or up. But, is it possible to suspend the appropriate rule to have more than one postponed consideration so that we can call these Bills have a little dialogue on them and inform those of us who



are sitting here what the hang ups are and I would still ask for a ruling from the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you have sufficient numbers of votes, you could suspend any rule, I would say."

Grotberg: "That's my question and if..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you would need, I was not referring to the rules themselves... I would guess you would need 107 votes to suspend the rules... which allows for one postponed.... is it 89...."

Grotberg: "Rule 89? If that is the question, I would so move, Mr. Speaker, that we suspend the ingredients of rule 89 to keep us from... on only one postponed consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let me refer to the rules and see..."

Grotberg: "Then people could call their Bills and look at the vote and we can have some..."

Speaker Telcser: "Nobody wants to call their Bills on postponed consideration or otherwise."

Grotberg: "That's all right, I make the motion anyway."

Speaker Telcser: "Make the motion anyway."

Grotberg: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: "Just to make a motion. All right, Representative Grotberg, do you wish to suspend rule 38, which refers to postponed consideration so there is no longer postponed consideration?"

Grotberg: "I would move to postpone that... I would move to postpone that motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman wishes to withdraw his motion. Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to comment on Representative Grotberg's

remarks. If I was him, I would be vitally concerned because most of those employees that he talks about are Republicans and I believe that if this thing isn't



resolved, any of those Republicans from those areas in Kane County are going to have a very difficult time explaining to those employees and mostly are Republicans, why they are not being paid and I don't think that the headlines that's going to take place in our area is going to be beneficial to people like you, Representative Grotberg, if this thing isn't resolved and it seems to me, as a very prominent Member of the Republican Party on that side that you had better get together not only with your side but with the Senators over there and resolve this issue and stop taking advantage of the employees of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, now... Representative Grotberg, do you wish to respond on that point?"

Grotberg: "My name having been used in debate, I would like to inform the Representative from Kane, that I just came from the Senate and they seem to be having the same intra-party problems that we are having on both sides of the aisle and I don't know where the blame can be placed, Jack, I really don't. But, before the clock goes further, I will proceed with my motion to get some of this dialogue... addressed to specifics Bills rather than the generalities that we are dealing in now."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise to make an announcement which I hope the Democrats will listen to carefully because we are going to announce a Conference Committee meeting in Representative Shea's office. For House Bills 2868, at 1 P.M. this afternoon."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to concur with some of the remarks by Representative Wolf, and probably suggest



to my good friend John Grotberg, that the last thing we need here is more rules which can end up being interrupted fifteen more different ways to keep us here another fifteen months. What is happening now, I think certainly proves some sort of principal... that if we've got nothing to do, we're going to find things to talk about to fill that time while we're sitting here... while decisions are being made some place or other and frankly, Mr. Speaker, I'm certainly concerned about the operation of State government but I'm willing to kinda go to the axe on this one and if I might be in order at some point, in the next few minutes to be recognized and made a motion to adjourn the House for another week or so, I'll be very happy to make that motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dee, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Dee: "Mr. Speaker, I think that we can possibly have a little something to offer for the benefit of the Membership of this House and particularly for Representative Grotberg, Ill and Peters. May I please refer them to the cartoon that has recently been placed upon the desk and suggest that they calm themselves and follow its admonition and advice."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner... Representative Skinner. With respect to your announcement of a Conference Committee meeting... 1 o'clock, in Representative Shea's office. The Gentleman has inquired, do you want six chairs set up or ten chairs."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, since the First Conference Committee meeting was held in a Republicans office and everyone was invited and some of the Democrats unfortunately didn't show up but Representative Shea, was one of those who showed up, I would suggest that he use his own judgment."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, use your own judgment, Representative Shea. Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you arise?"

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House. This is my sixth year here, I've enjoyed this show as one of the finest on earth. I would like to point out to you a very simple solution western style, I'm not referring to gun play but that would be an interesting way to settle the matter. I'm speaking of getting from a Democratic side and the Republican side our very best poker players. By this method... with one champion, right, are you the champion... oh, you are the champion... we've got it made. With this kind of solution at hand I feel that the idiosyncrasies, the difference of opinion in the House between the two sides can easily settled. We can rope the Senate in with their robes as the House of Lords on the side to which and umpire the game. So, that is my suggestion, Mr. Speaker and I think it's a very good one. It's in the old American democratic tradition, who is the best is going to win."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schisler, Dale, I think that Conference Committee has been distributed, you want go to you.... The Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2361, for which purpose the Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler, is recognized."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Conference Committee Report #2, the House concurs with Senate Amendment 1, 5 and 7 and the Senate will recede from Senate Amendments 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12. The.... in brief the Amendments 1, 5 and 7, puts sixty-four thousand dollars additional money in the State share of retirement employees, adds one hundred and eighty-eight thousand five hundred to grants and aids to County Fairs and reduces 4-H clubs



to six dollars per member funding and restricts the the Department of spending only appropriated Federal Funds. The Senate receding on the Amendment, this leaves the operation money for the Department as the same as approved by the House and it cuts out items in Amendment 1.... Amendment 4, one hundred fifty thousand special appropriation matching soil and water, one hundred and ninety-four thousand for rehabilitation for County Fairs, two million for Kewanee Lab, two hundred thousand for Gilford Lab, forty thousand for the Chicago International, twenty-five thousand for Chicago Agriculture... for education and drop the Cook County Fair and leave Kewanee, Gilford Laboratories in the Bill. The Bill is then further amended to cut two hundred thousand for operational money to several divisions of the Department and reinstate the money for the four county fairs having disaster claims and forty thousand to operational expenses for the International Livestock Exposition. And, I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2, as respect to House Bill 2361. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. It takes 107 votes. Giddy, you want to vote me 'aye'. Molloy, 'aye', because his switch doesn't work. Okay, have all voted who wished? Geo, you want to put Grotberg on... get Grotberg, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question there are 143 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present' and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to House Bill 2361. Tip, you're not ready, right? No... Jimmy Holloway, isn't ready. Are any Members ready... Sam McGrew, ready? Jim Taylor, are you ready yet... where are you at. Jim... no... Calvo, you want



to call yours yet? Are you ready yet, no. Are you ready to call your thing yet? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, since you asked me that I would just like to comment.... two of the Democratic House Members who appear on that Committee, were never contacted in fact they weren't even appointed, somebody just typed their names on there. There are no Senate Members on the First Conference at all, not even named on the report that came around and Mr. Majority Leader talked about who gets the blame for workers not being paid and Appropriation Bills not being passed, I would just say, Mr. Speaker, that this is the biggest cover up that this country has ever seen and that the people responsible for this ought to be out in Washington. Mr. Majority Leader can cover up the actions that has been going on, the Sponsor of this Bill, neither myself nor the Senate Sponsor was even contacted prior to the First Conference Committee Report being printed and filed. And, I would tell you Mr. Speaker, that this kind of a cover up... these people should go to Washington because they can show them how to do things out there."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay... what else is new. The motion is always in order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House be adjourned till next week, Wednesday at 4 o'clock."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House do stand adjourned until next week... and is that Thursday, the 18th? On Wednesday the... Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "I want to know if the Chair recognized him for the purpose of that motion?"

Speaker Telcser: "Not really but he put the motion and it is



before the House now... he took me by suprise... he took me by suprise. Are you presisting in your motion, Sir? The Gentleman has persisting his motion that the House do stand adjourned until next Wednesday, the... Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It just seems to me that we are putting ourselves in an indefensible situation because of the impasses that are being reached on these Conference Committee Reports. I would suggest that at some point the Chair make a ruling in response to a motion possibly from the Majority Leader, that Mr. Washburn, as Chairman of the Appropriation Committee may move passage of these Conference Committee Reports. At that point there will be an eruption from that side of the aisle and after the first burst of lava, then I suggest that they appeal the ruling of the Chair and if they get 89 votes, then State government stops. But, if they don't get 89 votes then I suggest, Mr. Washburn proceed to move passage of these Bills and that the State government proceed. But I am reluctant to take the abuse that I have taken and other Legislators have taken because of the high politics that are going on here. But, to suggest that one man, a sponsor of an appropriation can stop State government, is not in the concept of democratic government nor in the Constitution and I would suggest that the Chair do that at some point and let Mr. Washburn move the Bill up... then appeal the ruling that they get 89 and then we're all out of business but it is on them... it's not on our backs. But, I don't think they will get 89 and I think we'll pass these Appropriations. I think it is the only responsible thing to do and I would suggest that you consider it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Hyde, to refresh a



memory of a Member, that you recall last week, that the Chair ruled that a motion to adopt a Conference Committee Report is in order from anyone of the conferee, so if a conferee wants to put a motion, they can put a motion."

Hyde: "I would suggest that's the way we proceed because otherwise State government grinds to a halt, because of the immoveability of one person to with the Sponsor of this legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "That's right and it was in the spirit of your comment that you just made, that the Chair ruled last week that a conferee in the Second Conference Committee, certainly could make a motion to adopt it."

Hyde: "Well, then I suggest we proceed in that line and go home."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I regret that this suggestion has just been made by a Gentleman whom I consider a most responsible Member of this House. These Bills would be presented by we who are Sponsors of them, for action had we had the opportunity to see the reports and discuss the reports. They appear upon our desks today, we today have to confer with the Departments who have never seen these reports heretofore, nor been apprised of them as to just exactly what might appear in these reports. I think they should have the opportunity surely, to discover what effect these reports will have upon the operation of government in their various departments and that surely they should be able to have a few moments to look these things over and try to determine what this means to the future of Illinois government because neither we as Sponsors or Members of the Committee nor they as the Directors of the Department and as administrative officers of the department have had the opportunity to examine these documents and to know



how they might have to proceed. In many instances, perhaps a quick death to the department totally might be much more merciful than the slow strangulation that is provided in many of these Bills. And I regret very much that the Congressman who so ordinarily so responsible here would urge this precipitous action in taking these Bills from the Sponsors and from the examination of the department, I agree that we should proceed, we wanted to proceed more than a week ago before the first of July, but we're denied the opportunity to participate and to know what was happening to these Departments of Illinois Government in the various Conference Committees. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I was under the impression that a motion to adjourn was before us and..."

Speaker Telcser: "Exactly.... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I will withdraw my motion temporarily. I understand that we're ready to go."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Shea: "I've got a motion that I would like to get called, if the Speaker would go to it."

Speaker Telcser: "Where is it, on the Calendar?"

Shea: "It's on the Calendar, it's on Senate Bill 1357."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, all right. Okay, on the Order of Motions appears Senate Bill 1357. For which purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea is recognized."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, this is a motion to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1357, the Second Conference Committee losted. The motion... the purpose of the motion is to revive this Bill and get it back in the posture where



the Members of this House can fund an agency with five hundred and eighty-eight employees and two hundred and twenty-five million dollars worth of environmental bond money. I wish my colleagues would join with me and get this Bill back in the posture where we could fund this agency."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Mr. Speaker, I think we finally have a recognition of the facts of life that we are trying to adjourn this General Assembly today and we do not want a major state agency such as the Environmental Protection Agency to go unfunded and in order to accomplish that, the Gentleman's motion appears to me to be the appropriate avenue to take... so I would join with him in moving to reconsider the vote by which the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357, failed to be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side by which Senate Bill... the Conference Committee Report in respect to Senate Bill 1357, failed, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, moves that that vote be reconsidered. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Gentlemans motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I think you need a 107 votes to do that..."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 89 votes will do it. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 139 'ayes'... Molloy 'aye'. 140 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering 'present' the Gentleman's motion to reconsider a vote by which the Conference Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357 prevails. Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "Just a Parlimentary..."



Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Wolf: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Borchers, 'no'. Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Rule 64, states that a House Member may on the same date move to reconsider the vote... or give written notice and I was just wondering if we could first suspend Rule 64, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk informs me, Representative Wolf, that a written notice was properly filed on the Legislative Day, which the action was taken. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Has this been announced..."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, the Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote has prevailed."

Matijevich: "All right. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I have a similar motion relative to Senate Bill 1261, having voted on the prevailing side and given proper notice, I move to reconsider the vote by which the motion to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1261, be reconsidered. That would be Liquor Control Commission Bill and I would ask for your favorable support of this motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, my comment with respect to the Gentleman's motion... the Gentleman from Cook, motion... concerning the Environmental Protection Agency, certainly stands with respect to the Liquor Control Commission. So, I would urge the Members to support the Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote by which the Second Conference Committee Report... concerning Senate Bill 1261 failed to be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, having voted on the prevailing side moves to reconsider the vote by which the Second Conference Committee Report in respect to



Senate Bill 1261, failed. All in favor of the Gentlemans motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Molloy, 'aye'. Shea, 'aye'. Take the record. On this question there are 139 'ayes' 3 'nays', none answering 'present'. The Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote by which Second Conference Committee Report... Senate Bill 1261, failed prevailed. Dan, you want this thing called. Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is my pleasure to introduce in the Gallery on the Republican side, the lovely wife of our colleague, Marv Dee, Mrs. Jean Marie Dee, who is responsible for drawing the cartoon that we have and I would like to tell her, that the likenesses are very good, Mrs. Dee. Also, it is my pleasure to introduce the lovely wife of our colleague Bob Dunne, Jane Dunne, who is in the Gallery."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, while we're on the order of introductions and that's a part of our rules, I guess, but I would like to introduce the very charming and outstanding wife of our distinguished colleague, Representative Bud Krause, Mr. Krause in the Gallery to my left. Would you stand up, Mr. Krause."

Speaker Telcser: "Dan, you want this thing called on the Supplemental Calendar #2, 1382... no... On the Supplemental Calendar #2, on the order of non concurrences appears Senate Bill 1382, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan, is recognized."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments on Senate Bill 1382 and ask the appointment of



a Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House does not concur with... that the House refuses to recede from House Amendment to Senate Bill 1382. House Amendments 2 and 12, any discussion? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House refuses to recede Amendments 2 and 12, to Senate Bill 1382. On the order of non concurrences appears Senate Bill 1659, for which purpose the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair, is recognized."

Blair: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House refuse to recede from the House Amendment to Senate Bill 1659. They say that we can get this into a Conference Committee and get it resquived."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House refuse to recede from House Amendment, Senate Bill 1659, all in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House refuses to recede from its Amendment of Senate Bill 1659. Representative Keller, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I would like... if we could have House Bill 2382, brought up and.... it's on Postponed...."

Speaker Telcser: "We're on concurrences... Okay, on Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 2382."

Keller: "I want to move to non concur with the Senate Amendment so we can go to Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House does not concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 2382. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House does not concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 2382. Okay, the Conference Committee Members for Senate Bill 1382, the Republican Members are Washburn, LaFleur, Dave Jones... I don't have the Democratic Members yet and for Senate Bill 1659, the Republican Members are Blair, Telcser and Walsh and I do not yet have the Democratic Members. Would you



bring them up, Jerry or Clyde, so we can announce the Democrat Members. Representative Peters, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Peters: "Inquiry... Parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just for Parliamentary inquiry... we did reconsider the vote on the ETP and the vote on the Liquor Commission..."

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct."

Peters: "Are we waiting for the Calendar to come down, is that it?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're waiting for a Supplemental Calendar."

Peters: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "On the Order of Postponed Consideration, appears Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 164. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry is recognized. Toby, you want 164? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 164 has had a long history it's about a year or two old, it seems like to me and it has gotten to be sort of a hot issue and I might just remind the Members of the House, what it is again. Very simply it is an opportunity for us to be decent to four state employees who got stuck with fifteen hundred dollars because they were trying quell a riot at the Starved Rock State Park. I represented the four employees personally without compensation and arrived at a fifteen hundred dollar settlement which is for medical expenses, etc., for the injured employee..... injured participants who in fact was shot accidentally. I latched on to that Bill with an opportunity to pay out for some rather similar expenses but in a very different kind of case. The kind of case being, the firing of one father of... who



was a Priest, I should say... I have forgotten his name, who was working over at Kankakee or at Manteno and it was determined finally in court that he was fired wrongly. The punitive damages and the attorneys fees were paid out pursuant to court order in the amount of some seven thousand dollars and the money in question has already been paid to Father Donahue. Now, attached to my very good original Bill, House Bill 164, is that seven thousand dollar additional bill that some people seem to think should not be paid. I think it would be an injustice even though this... my vehicle has been attached so to speak, that these four people of my general area would not be reimbursed. I feel as though I have a committment to try this, even though I know that it has been tried on a couple of occasions, the last two or three nights ago in my absence as part of an Omnibus Commission Bill when it's on sale. I want to put it to you straight out again that I think it would be an injustice to four little employees, three of whom are no longer employees incidentally, of the State of Illinois to dip into their pockets to pay out for something that ocured in their... opportunity to quell a very serious riot at the Starved Rock State Park, unfortunately personalities get involved here, the Priest in question, Father Donahue, has in fact been paid. It's just a matter of whether or not we're going to repay all of the state employees who were involved in two different cases. I would ask for your favorable support, I think I have the support of both sides of the aisle generally, but I do know that it is a question of pride with Father Donahue and some other people on this floor and I would merely ask that you give me your favorable vote."

Speaker Telser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."



Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to oppose my good friend, the coming Judge of Central Illinois, it's with great reluctance that I must oppose the Conference Committee Report not anything to do with his intent of his Bill or the four people that he was speaking about in the area of being repaid for damages done to them. But there is a terrible injustice trying to be perpetrated by an Amendment that was placed on this Bill in repaying Piepenbrink, Staunton and Briggs for punitive damages in a Federal court order that... to give an illustration of a parallel would have to be like a group of Legislators coming down blind drunk or on narcotics and running into a bunch of school kids and then having the State pick up their damages for having violated the law and that's what we're talking about, a parallel to this kind of Amendment that was placed on Representative Barry's Bill. I'm sorry, I have to oppose his Conference Committee Report, I know it's the death of the Bill, the Bill has had a long history, its been around a long time but I think we ought to give it a decent burial and vote 'no', just to once again to conclude that justice for all, means for all and certainly justice for Father Donahue, in the case and the plague that he went through and being unfairly discharged as a chaplain at Manteno State Hospital, that we some how don't lose sight of the fact that in America we do believe in justice for all and I think that the taxpayers of Illinois have no obligation of picking up the fines of these three individuals who went out of their way to violate the civil rights of a very great and dear Priest, the Chaplain of the Chicago Building Trades Council, a man who has given of himself all his life and for the treatment received at the hands of Piepenbrink, Briggs and Staunton, I think it would be a terrible injustice if somehow this Bill passed at



this time, I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsor who is making the request for the concurrence in this Conference Committee Report is probably very correct in his assumption on the first part of the report and I have no quarrel with that. Unfortunately.... probably beyond his control an Amendment was tacked on which would reimburse three state officials for the wrongful firing of an individual who had been trying to call for corrections at Manteno State Hospital and the Visitation Commission... the Visitation Commission investigated the complaint that Father Donahue raised and almost without exception, found that these complaints were true and that many times Manteno was not properly run, they didn't even have a registered nurse on duty with no doctor etc., because of these activities, Father Donahue was discharged and wrongfully so and so he sued to get back the money he lost while he was gone. I don't believe that it should be incumbent upon the taxpayers to pay this, this was not the act of the State as the State, it was the malicious act of three governmental officials who wanted to remove a thorn from their side and they did it by firing a Priest. This is wrong... and we should not reimburse them because it is not the taxpayers fault and I urge a non concurrence. I urge a 'no' vote on this request for concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry to close."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I... as you well know I'm caught in a situation where I think I've got to move this in the interest of the people that I attempted to help at the very outset. It



this time, I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Sponsor who is making the request for the concurrence in this Conference Committee Report is probably very correct in his assumption on the first part of the report and I have no quarrel with that. Unfortunately.... probably beyond his control an Amendment was tacked on which would reimburse three state officials for the wrongful firing of an individual who had been trying to call for corrections at Manteno State Hospital and the Visitation Commission... the Visitation Commission investigated the complaint that Father Donahue raised and almost without exception, found that these complaints were true and that many time Manteno was not properly run, they didn't even have a registered nurse on duty with no doctor etc., because of these activities, Father Donahue was discharged and wrongfully so and so he sued to get back the money he lost while he was gone. I don't believe that it should be incumbent upon the taxpayers to pay this, this was not the act of the State as the State, it was the malicious act of three governmental officials who wanted to remove a thorn from their side and they did it by firing a Priest. This is wrong... and we should not reimburse them because it is not the taxpayers fault and I urge a non concurrence. I urge a 'no' vote on this request for concurrence."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry to close."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I... as you well know I'm caught in a situation where I think I've got to move this in the interest of the people that I attempted to help at the very outset. It

makes very little difference to me that the State of Illinois pay as little as seven thousand dollars out to individuals who also got stuck in a situation where they may or may not have been wrong. The court indicated of course that they were wrong, tentative damages and attorney fees were awarded, that money has been paid to Father Donahue, now I don't say that it is all that serious... complications, I don't say that the three or four people that I have represented for nothing, should be burden with a problem that some people feel it very strongly about. When this gets to the Governor's desk, of course he could say that the whole darn thing is unconstitutional, or he can a mendatorily veto. I plague with you that you'll vote with me on my motion for the reason that the four employees that I initially tried to help, should be reimburse and it means an awful lot to them."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to House Bill 164. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. A 107 votes to be effective immediately. Molloy, 'aye'. I guess 89 would make it effective in a year. You'll take it... McGrew 'aye'. McGrew 'aye'. Anyone wish to explain their vote? Have all voted who wished? Anyone wish to explain their vote before this thing... Anyone? Have all voted who wished? No one to take the record... One last call, anyone wants to explain their vote... the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Yes, let me explain... one of the opponents just walked over and said, now let's go for Toby's guys. That is impossible, this is it, either you vote for the four men that lost the fifteen hundred dollars and a very legitimate attempt to represent you and the people of the State of Illinois, you don't.... there is



no opportunity to split this in anyway shape or form, so if you're interested in seeing those little guys who that tried to quell a riot at parks get their money, you have to vote 'aye' now, it's just that simple."

Speaker Telcser: "It's still open Marv. Marv, you're still open. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Fellow Members of the House, I would just like to point out, I regret the six month delay but I do feel that this Bill could be put in again for the people of Starved Rock incident would be properly reimbursed. They have already waited some time, I hate to say wait again but I cannot vote for one Bill... this Bill... this Conference Report as long as that other Amendment has been attached to it, it is just simply morally wrong and that's all I have to say about it."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Well, I want to be sure since this is going down.... The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. It seems a shame that these four men that Representative Barry, is talking about should be penalized for this other action that Representative Hanahan is speaking about and as Representative Barry, has pointed out, certainly the Governor will have some veto power there and I think you ought to give Toby, 107 votes he needs to get this out of here and let the Governor make the decision on it and I would certainly encourage you to do just that. The poor fellows that Toby is talking about are deserving of the money that they have got coming to them and I think you ought to help him get it and I make a personal plea for a 'yes' vote here."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else? Anyone else wish to explain their vote? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. This question there is 73 'ayes', 38 'nays', 20 answering



'present' and the House does not adopt Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to House Bill 164. 1345... Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1345, is on Second Reading... it has been read a second time. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #29, Sangmeister. Amends Senate Bill 1345 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #29, is an Amendment to the Department of Conservation Appropriation Bill which would require that no funds be expended for the RTA out of that hundred and seventy million dollar appropriation until we have had a chance to have a special referendum in the counties of DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will and also in that portion of Cook County outside the City of Chicago. Now, this Ladies and Gentlemen is our last chance and our last effort in this House, this time to give the people in the out lying counties and that portion suburban Cook County a chance at the referendum that we so desperately need as a result of the last referendum, results that we've all seen. There is no other vehicle left to us at this point except this Amendment and I ask each and every one of you now, to seriously consider this. Many of you have come to me from both sides of this aisle and have asked for my vote on many issues and I have given it to you and I'm saying to you that I need this vote. I have to bring this back to my district as the other Representatives from our district do and we want to be able to say to our people, you're going to get another chance. And this is the only way we're going to get it, is by adopting this Amendment. The Amendment simply states that the money will be tied up until the referendum is



held. I urge your support and I ask from the bottom of my heart, let's do something for my district, let's do something for those counties up in northeastern district, they have been locked into something that they absolutely do not want, which they absolutely need relief from and I ask and urge that you consider this seriously, this is our last chance. If we don't get this... we are in the RTA, we don't want to be in the RTA.... help us for God's sake, give us a chance to get out and give us a vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There's one thing about the Gentleman's statement that I heard that is certainly true, that is that this Amendment appears to have more lives than the proverbial cat that had many of them. This Amendment has been offered to just about any and every piece of legislation during this Legislative Session, were it would be germane. I'm not even positive that it is germane to this particular Bill. We have here in the dying hours of the Legislation there largest budget request that has confronted us in this entire Session and again then this thing rears its ugly head. I certainly understand the feelings of the Sponsor of this Amendment, I understand the feeling of those who are going to vote for it, but I don't think that it is in the best interest to be quite frank with you, in fostering a feasible operation of this huge department and in fostering a feasible operation of the Regional Transportation Authority... transit authority, that has been created and was ratified, I guess by a majority of the voters, to the best of my ability to know. Regardless how they voted from a particular area. You and I know that when a state official is elected from the State of



Illinois, he or she is not elected by one particular segment of the State of Illinois. He or she is elected by a majority of the people voting throughout the confines of the State of Illinois and that's the way that are government in this State and our nation is founded. Consequently in this particular argument, the voters of the area were being consulted on a area wide basis... not... not county by county, not precinct precinct, not city by city, it was a total vote. It was all of the people affected and a majority spoke. Regardless of how slim, look at the records of an elected officials in this State, you will find that they have been elected and they have served in office by a much smaller... much smaller percentage than was casted in this particular referendum. I would say to you, Mr. Speaker, that it is time that we dealt a death blow to this Amendment, that it is time we got on with solving the problems as far as this huge department is concerned. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the Constitution clearly requires that appropriations be just to the subject matter of appropriations and I raise the point that this go beyond an appropriation and put in substantive measure in an appropriation Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, as with prior pieces of legislation dealing with the same subject matter, Amendments which simply set up conditions of the appropriation would be germane."

Shea: "I'm not asking if the appropriation is germane because that's what the Bill does now."

Speaker Telcser: "You're asking if Representative Sangmeister's Amendment is germane."

Shea: "I'm not asking if the Amendment is germane, I'm asking



if by the inclusion of additional requirements on that appropriation, we go beyond an appropriation and get into substantive law and if we do that, the appropriation Bill must be confined to the matter of appropriation and we get into substantive law requiring a referendum. And I'm saying that you cannot do that in an appropriation Bill and I would like a ruling from the Chair, if this is adding substantive law to an appropriation Bill requiring a referendum."

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair would rule the Amendment does not add substantive law."

Shea: "Would you then tell me if this Bill were adopted, would it require a referendum in the six county?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, in itself Representative Shea, the Amendment does not trigger off another referendum."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, am I to understand that referendum right, it appropriates a hundred seven million dollars to the Department of Transportation to be used in the public transportation fund for the funding of the RTA. That money cannot be appropriated or expended until there is a referendum held in the five counties. Let me read from it, provided however that no portion of said sum may be expended, obligated or transferred to the Regional Transportation Authority until a special referendum election has been held. Now, can you tell me that this doesn't go beyond appropriating, is that the ruling from the Chair?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair has ruled that the Amendment does not go beyond the appropriating as you term it... contained in the Bill and that your substantive questions which you're putting to the Chair, perhaps should better be put to the Sponsor of the Amendment."

Shea: "No, the Chair is the one that has to rule."

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair has already ruled, Sir."

Shea: "All right, Mr. Speaker, then may I speak to the Amendment."



Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Clyde Choate said, this Amendment probably had more lives than any I have ever seen around here. If this goes on this Bill, it will absolutely destroy RTA. Now, we have tried to put together a transportation authority, we've worked with suburban Members trying to clean up the language to do the things that were necessary, to meet some of the criticism and I think that this is an attempt to just absolutely destroy RTA and I would hope that it would be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to speak on behalf of the other Gentleman from Will's Amendment here, I think it certainly is the last opportunity that those of us from the outlying counties, that so resoundingly rejected the RTA, have to give our people a second chance or at least a first chance to decide on their own whether or not they want in the RTA. The Gentleman has been helpful as he stated to our side of the aisle on past occasions and helpful to others. I certainly think that he deserves all of the Republican votes and I hope that he could get some of the Democratic votes too, in order to pass this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, here is the one last great opportunity to strike a blow for freedom for those people in the outlying counties and I would certainly join emphatically with my colleagues from the 42nd District and urge that we adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy. Turn on Lundy, would you please."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the



Amendment yield for some questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Lundy: "George, I'm sorry I don't have a copy of your Amendment but as I understand it, you would require a referendum in.... excuse me, I unfortunately don't have a copy of your Amendment but as I understand it would require a referendum prior to the expenditure of funds appropriated in this Bill, is that correct?"

Sangmeister: "That is correct, Joe. In other words it means the money cannot be expended unless the referendum is held."

Lundy: "That's right, now under what provisions of substantive law would such a referendum be organized and held?"

Sangmeister: "Well, there is no question that.... to expend the money you would have to pass an Act on the... substantive Act on the original RTA Bill, setting up the criterion for the referendum."

Lundy: "So, that in order for the referendum to be held... we would have to enact new substantive legislation to allow the referendum to take place, is that right?"

Sangmeister: "If you want to spend this hundred and seven million, that is correct."

Lundy: "All right, the leadership on both sides have informed the Membership that it's their intention to adjourn the General Assembly today... at least until a fall Veto Session, isn't the practical effect then of adopting your Amendment to make it impossible to spend this money at all?"

Sangmeister: "Well, the only that I can answer that, Representative Lundy, is that it's just too damn bad.... We've been trying to get this thing resolved since we came back down here cause we've all stated; this is our last ditch effort. I'm sorry too that it has to be that way but you are absolutely correct. We would have to have other legislation setting up the referendum

or maybe we just don't spend a hundred and seven million dollars."

Lundy: "My I address myself to the Amendment?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Lundy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I am sympathetic to those in the outlying counties, even in the Cook County suburbs who feel that they didn't get a fair shake in the referendum. But let me make two points, number 1, we have passed successfully through the General Assembly a Bill which includes an Amendment sponsored by Representative Katz, that really remedy some of the most objectionable pieces of the RTA and as it originally passed the House. It seems to me at a minimum we ought to give the Governor a chance to sign that Bill and let those corrective measures go into effect before we do something as drastic as advocated in this Amendment. The second thing is, that the... by the admission of the Sponsor of this Amendment it really... the referendum provision isn't intended to function.... it's not even intended that the people of the outlying counties or the suburban Cook county area have another chance to pass on the acceptability to the RTA, they simply won't have a chance to do that because we won't have time and... probably don't have the inclination to enact substantive legislation that provides for such a referendum. It says very clear that a referendum isn't really intended at all, the practical effect of this Amendment is simply to prohibit absolutely the expenditure of these funds. I think in that sense it's not a responsible approach... despite my sympathys for some of the people in the outlying areas. I think that we ought to defeat this Amendment, let the remedial provisions of the Nimrod Bill go into effect and then at some point down the line it may be in order to give those people who expressed their objection of the RTA



originally, another shot at it. I don't think this is a responsible approach and I would urge a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to point out... first of all, that I don't think the Amendment has been distributed and also to point out that there might be another solution to this. I see that although this is sponsored by a Member of the other side of the aisle, it's receiving strong support from certain Members of this side of the aisle and perhaps a solution might be.... we suspend the appropriate rule to allow the two Republican Members from the 42nd District, to change their vote on Senate Bill 27, last December 1st, from 'aye' to 'no' and that would solve the problem entirely."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the previous question, all in favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the previous question has been moved. Representative Sangmeister, to close."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, in a final plea to you, I ask you, do not turn your back on us. I don't see how any of you.... you've all looked at the results of what happened in that referendum in the outlying areas and the vote totals... we're not going to go though all of that again, but I don't see how you could look at those totals and not have some feeling for the people who represent those districts as to what happened to our constituents. How can you look at those vote totals and say, those people want no part of RTA, Representative Shea, says that the adoption of this Amendment will destroy RTA, I say to you, it will put



RTA back to where the people want it and that is in the City of Chicago. They don't want it in the outlying areas and apparently suburban cook county don't want it either. So, why don't we put RTA exactly where everybody want it and that is in the City of Chicago. And as far as any other Amendments giving us relief, everybody sitting here knows they were all nickel and dime Amendments it didn't amount to anything. The only thing that could have amounted to anything would have been to expand the RTA Board and we couldn't get that through this General Assembly. So you have giving us nothing, this is our last chance, I say adopt this Amendment and do something for the people in the six county area. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #29, to Senate Bill 1345. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Don... vote me 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, to explain his vote."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Much has been said about the outlying areas and the collar counties in this RTA debate, but I rise in support of this Amendment and as a Representative of a district that lies 75% within the City of Chicago and this section of the City of Chicago also feel they didn't get a fair shake because the majority of the people in that area of Chicago rejected the RTA in the referendum and it is easy to say the majority of the voters supported the RTA in the referendum until we look at the actual turn out in votes and we all know the kind of turn out that we get in a primary. The only real meaningful referendum that we could have would be in a general election when a majority of the registered voters would come out and would have an opportunity to



express themselves on this very vital issue, but I think we all feel, we all know what would happen in such a referendum and I think that is why the fears of the proponents of RTA are such that they will deny even such last ditch effort as this, the Minority Leader referred to the Sponsor and the Amendment is one that's coming up time and again and time and again and I commend the Sponsor of this Amendment because you can't raise this very vital issue often enough because you have to keep resurrecting this issue until it does prevail, because the people of the outlying area of suburban cook county and yes, a substantial portion of the southeast side of the City of Chicago that says 'no', we don't want to be part of RTA, we want a voice and we've been had. And believe me, Ladies and Gentlemen we have been had and I feel that we're being had once again today."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? It's so overwhelming, you want to explain your vote? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I have spent quite a bit of time on this floor, speaking in regards to similar Amendments like this and I just like to make one statement, that you downstate Democrats and downstate Republicans, if in the past you have been haunted by funds going to the CTA, I would like to explain to you what this is going to do... the RTA to you people. If you take that six county area, you will find out that we'll be able to override you on any funds that will go to the RTA and you can feel very confident that if an Amendment like this is not adopted, you take all of us Legislators up there, Republican and Democrats and you force us to vote in succeeding years to raise the treasury of the State of Illinois and if you think that you have had to explain CTA votes in the past, you're



going to have difficult time in the succeeding years explaining to your people downstate how RTA in this six county area, both Republicans and Democrats are continuously coming to the State of Illinois for funds to operate it and you can feel very confident as downstate Legislors that all of the group in this area will vote on a very constant basis, to take those state funds put it in RTA at your expense, not only for your highways but in many other areas, are you going to suffer and I would like to hear some of you Democrats and Republicans that are voting against this Amendment, explain that to the people when you have to go to them at election time."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. I'm sorry, Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it seems as though we're doing nothing but sitting around and here we have an opportunity to explain our votes and you want to cut us off, I don't think that's quite right..."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Bluthardt: "Now, I want to get up and speak on behalf of RTA and the work that this Legislature has done in the last year to try and create it and to actually create it. I've heard the suburban and collar counties representatives up here, almost to a man condemning the RTA and the referendum that was held in behalf of it. I happen to be one of the suburban Legislators who supported RTA and supported the referendum, I think it is the best piece of legislation that's come out of this House in years and I think that in the future we will be very proud of the fact that we have created an RTA. And I think those Representatives who get up and say, that suburban Cook county are entirely opposed to this, are wrong. As I say, I voted for it, I supported



the referendum, I will run on that record in the fall and I think I'll be re-elected. Even if I'm defeated I know that I have supported the proper issue. I just want the record to be clear and straighten out, many many suburban towns, Evanston, Oak Park and a few others, many others supported the referendum. Not all of suburban Cook county is against RTA, in fact, I don't think suburban Cook county wants another referendum on this issue."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of this General Assembly. I would feel derelict in my duty if I didn't say just a word or two in explanation of my vote, I come from a county that voted against this measure when it came before them in referendum form, voted against it three to one and many of those people voted against it because they saw in this thing, exactly what it is. They saw here a regional government, they saw a government, a unit of government being established over which they would have no control of appointed members and another layer of government superimposed on them and they didn't like it. I think that the sponsor of this Amendment should be commended for this last ditch effort that undoubtedly go nowhere, but it is interesting to me to know that we can establish this monster, this RTA which is another unit of government with so little real concern. It is a monster, it will go, it's another layer of government and it is interesting to me to see, how casually we can slide into this super government, it is metro government, it is regional government... whatever you want to call it, it is. We slide into this so easily and at the same time seek to abolish township governments, mind you. Those governments closest to the people and yet we add by state agencies



and RTA and NIPC and all the rest, which bring government farther from the people and closer to the great God of all government, Washington D.C., that's what we're doing, that's what some of my people in DuPage County didn't want to see happen, that's what is happening here and I think we ought to be aware in these closing hours and last ditch efforts that we're doing and I feel that I must say at least these things and issue some warning that we will regret what we have done and the only fair thing that we can do is to give our people one last chance to reassess this thing and to vote the way that they would like to express their vote and that is to get out of this thing if given an opportunity and if it is so great they will vote to stay in, I don't know what the proponents are so fearsome of, if this thing has all the benefits that they claim it has, they should have the confidence to let the people get to the polls, reassess their position and vote it up or down. If it is that great they'll vote for it but if it isn't they will voted it out and that's where it ought to be if they so desire. And I thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Only that I hope that over the summer that my good colleagues will get some of their issues that we can take up in the fall, it rather seems to me that we have spent a lot of time on the issue, I thought we had come up with a Bill that Representative Geo-Karis and Senator Nimrod and some others including myself that really did offer something to the collar counties and to the suburban areas, I wish they would join the team and work together to try to prove the... improve the RTA and if not, I hope we will adopt a new rule that will simply permit those good old remarks to be re-introduced with-



out having to sit and listen to an hour debate and hear the same speeches that I've been hearing for quite a while, I do understand that they have a right to do it but I do believe that with their ingenuity they will recognize that the situation has now changed, there are other issues and that we will join together to have a really excellent Regional Transportation System."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, on the floor? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have my vote changed from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Beaupre as voting 'no'. Change him from 'aye' to 'no'. Now, who else wants to explain their vote. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I think everyone on all sides of this issue are leery of it, but I would like to indicate to all of you who have put a red light up there, that one of the things you are contributing toward... you are contributing toward a firmer and firmer belief and conviction on the part of those of us who still have voice on the RTA Board that we will do anything in our power to kill this and it is just a question of time and for example, one result of the arrogance of refusing to either allow counties to get out or have a voice on the board, one result of this arrogance is that the Lake County States Attorney has assured me that he will go to the Supreme Court of the United States and have this thing tied up in courts as long as possible. Now who, is interested in transportation wants to contribute towards that, who wants to make people so bitter that they will fight this till the end of their Legislative career. We have had opportunities to give the County of Will, the County of DuPage and the other counties,



just a voice on the Board, that's all and our county chairman have said, the surrounding county chairman, if we can't get out, all we want is representation and so by denying representation, denying this Amendment to get out all you're doing is just firming this up till some day, some day the time will come and we'll kill RTA and none of us perhaps really want to do that, if we're forward looking and aggressive and interested in improving this state area but I think the arrogance on the other side has forced us to the wall and our people are being taxed, they have no representation, they can't get out and when people are flustered and boxed they will just wait for the right time to strike and it's a shame that the red lights... that have persisted in this General Assembly... have pushed so many of us to that point."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I oppose the Amendment, I oppose the creation of the RTA, my area that I represent overwhelmingly voted against the creation of the RTA, but it was created... my grounds for opposing it, is perhaps... perhaps has not been stated here, I do believe that the matters that are set forth in the Amendment are...."

Speaker Telcser: "Deuster, 'aye'."

Palmer: "... are substantive matters which will lead to judicial construction and perhaps a determination of its constitutionality. I note that Senate 345, does not have a separate-ability or a sever-ability clause in it and I'm afraid it might taint the entire appropriation Bill for the DOT and for that reason, I'm voting 'no'. Perhaps at another day and another time, we can address ourselves to this issue. I don't believe this is a proper place to do it and for that reason I

vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote, as a supporter of the RTA, I have been very disappointed with the powers that created the RTA in this Session of the General Assembly the unreasonableness to accept Amendments that were needed on the RTA Bill. When I campaigned for the RTA, I told people in our district that when we pass the RTA referendum, we could come back to Springfield and improve it. Now, I had a Bill which everyone wanted in our district to exempt park and forest preserve land from the condemnation powers of the RTA, so they couldn't come out to Lake County and DuPage and against the will of the park district or forest preserve, seize their land by eminent domain. That Bill didn't get out of Rules Committee in the House, when the Senate passed a Bill over here, it was defeated by the powers behind the RTA at a very very weak substitute was put up, the substitute was so weak that the Sponsor of the Bill, that the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz, talked about... that cured the RTA.... she's voting for this Amendment for referendum so apparently she's not satisfied at all, my colleague from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, is not satisfied that that Bill of Senator Nimrod's did any good because she is still voting for a referendum and I don't blame her. I don't blame her, she's right and Representative Deuster, is right when he says, the RTA supporters have not given us any confidence during this Session, the Chicago members of the Board are trying to cram down the suburban members a chairman without hearing candidates from around the country, without properly considering the matter, their trying to cram on the suburbans the chairman without looking to the best people around the



country and Representative Deuster, is right if they continue to act like this, many of those in support of the RTA and the referendum in our districts and put our political life on the line, will turn and oppose it. As of now, I believe this Amendment is premature it would wreck the RTA, I want to give it a chance to operate and I'm going to vote 'no', if you ever open the board so we can vote again."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Mr. Clerk will you record Representative Pierce, as voting 'no'. Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise? You have already explained your vote once."

Katz: "Mr.... my name was mentioned in debate by Mr. Pierce and I want to talk on a narrow point that he mentioned in debate."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Katz: "Representative Pierce said, as he had every right too, that he had been in favor of excluding park districts entirely and he said that, I'd come up with a weak substitute that didn't do very much. I wish to say to you that that substitute that he's talking about which is to give court review to the park district on the the RTA necessary funding, that there is no feasible alternative and that the public advantages out weigh the disadvantages is indeed an important thing for the park districts... I had a personal conversation with Mr. Stewart, the President of the Park District Association in Illinois, he told me that he supported that Amendment, he said that he thought it did give court review and that there is no... not court review under the present law and I believe that that is the way it should be resolved. I see no reason why park districts should be above the law anymore than any other group and I think that the new Bill that I hope the Governor will sign, does give real substantive rights to park districts."



JUL 11 1974

55.

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In as much as my name was used by one of the prior speakers, in as much as I did 'unintelligible' the Nimrod Bill as amended by Amendments 1, by Representative Katz, Amendment #6, by Representative Hill and in as much as I thought it was a better Bill even though it wasn't the best than the RTA Bill, the Senate Bill 27, that passed by a narrow... percentage of less than 1% of all the voters in my 1974 and in as much as when you're in the desert and you only have one drop of water and the hot sun beating on you and you have your choice of one drop of water of nothing, you take that one drop of water... I certainly did support the Nimrod Bill as amended it's a much better thing than the one that was passed, March 19, by the voters and another thing is, when my colleague from Lake County once referred to me as voting 'yes' for this referendum because the people of his county and mine voted against Senate Bill 27 and that's why I'm voting 'yes' for this referendum and I think it is high time that we consider people in the other six counties and I regret... I regret that many promises were made and I'm glad he admitted it. 'Unintelligible'... that were made... passed the RTA Senate Bill 27 and we will let you amend it when you pass it. It is high time we amended it, isn't it... but we didn't get a chance, so I have no regrets about the Nimrod Bill as amended and both Amendments are good in spite the railroad'unintelligible'... it's a bad Amendment... Amendment #6. Anything could scuttle any decent chance for the suburbs in our county... boy, this is something but we're here to represent those counties as well as others."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Borchers: "Well... Mr. Speaker, this is just comment from downstate of what's going on. All I can say is, as a Member of the downstate Legislature... Legislator... when I look at this I see as I have so often before... the people from the City of Chicago unanimously voting in way that will force certain things against the will of others. To me this is not a democrat procedure at all, now from me... from downstate I look at the five counties involved and decide that they are nothing but sacrificial lamb taken to be sacrificed with a knife at their throat, not any chance of being saved... the will of Chicago is going to prevail and when I looked at the paper this morning and read the headlines, I can see why the sacrificial lamb idea is proper in this case. I trust no one from the leadership of Chicago, whether it be under the Republican of big Bill Thompson or Daley of the Democratic Party. All are the same... so these people are having nothing but an offering... blood offering to the wishes of Chicago and without representation. All right... I just think... I just think it is outrageous... I can't do a thing about it... express my thoughts."

Speaker Telcser: "This question there are 51 'ayes', 86 'nays' 1 answering 'present'. The Gentleman's motion... the Gentleman from Wills, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Sure you don't want to take anymore... how many absentees were there on that vote?"

Speaker Telcser: "37."

Sangmeister: "And, we're down how many votes? I didn't hear your total."

Sangmeister: "We've got 51 'ayes', 86 'nays', 1 answering 'present', 37 absentees. The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #29, to Settle Bill 1345, fails. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Bill has been read a second time..."



Clerk Selcke: "An Act to provide for the ordinary contingent expense of the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't know of what area or what part of this Bill that we could discuss on Third Reading that would be new as far as discussion is concerned. Because only yesterday... last evening and this morning it appears that we've had a fairly thorough discussion of the Bill, I would move, Mr. Speaker that I solicit the support of the House so that we can get it back to the Senate, the Senate can either concur or non concur and maybe we can get about the business of resolving the all important Department. I would solicit the vote of the Membership."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wonder if the Sponsor of Senate Bill 1345, Representative Choate, would yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Blair: "He says.... he looks like he would say he would."

Dunn: "Representative Choate, we debated this Bill and we've had it in Committee and its been beat around some but, there is a few questions I'd would like to ask about it, particular pertain to RTA of the State and it will just take a few minutes, I hope. According to the 1975, road program there is five an eight tens... eighteen hundredth miles between Carbondale and Murphysboro, as planned under the bond program on FAP-14, which we have always called route 13, from Murphysboro to Carbondale at a cost of three million, eight hundred and forty thousand dollars and of course this is shown in our books that we were furnished by the Department and supplemental freeway program. Could you tell me, is this a freeway standard built road, is going to be



the freeway standards."

Choate: "What was the last part of your question?"

Dunn: "Is this going to be built by the freeway standards, does it have Federal approval... is it going to be eligible for reimbursement you know, from Federal funds."

Choate: "Well, Representative Dunn, I think that you're aware of the operation of the Department of this particular instance as well as I am, that if that road is in the supplemental freeway program and certainly it will qualify as far as the Federal and State standards are concerned. I think that you realize that I have just as deep seated interest in that particular portion of the highway as you do, I think that you also realize that I have said consistently that the Department as again assured me that they would progress as fast as possible, that they're in the planning, the right-of-way acquisition stage and as soon as feasible according to the directors of the Department and the Federal Government that that road shall be completed in the manner in which you and I, desire it to be completed."

Dunn: "Will this take the place of 410, are they going to change 410 over to route 13, Clyde, I think this is what I would like to know and the people in our district would like to know. Are they going to make 410 now, a line with route 13..."

Choate: "I'll be frank with you, I wish you would ask me these questions yesterday which I really think was a germane time to do it because on the order of Second Reading in the Amendment stage, when I had my complete folder with me. If my memory serves me correctly, 410 as far as priority does supersede the other one that you're talking about and I don't want to be held responsible for that, I'm trying to drag it up from my memory."

Dunn: "Well, in as much as you don't have the stuff before



you, while I'll probably have to wait and talk about... but I'm not going to be at the meeting next week at the Southern Illinois Leaders are going to question us about this, I thought maybe I could get the answers now..."

Choate: "I think that you know that I am as... interested in having the type of answers that they will want at that meeting as much as you are, hopefully we can provide them with information and materials to satisfy those interested people, at least I certainly hope so and I'm going to dedicate my efforts to that extent."

Dunn: "Thank you, I think..."

Choate: "And, I certainly will keep you abreast of anything and the other members from that area... Hart, Holloway, Springer and the rest of us, I will keep you abreast of anything that I know of."

Dunn: "I think that the concern is down there is we're doing away with 410, we're just going to update route 13 and lose 410 and this concerns me considerably and I know it does the people in our district and I just hope that that isn't so, I was hoping you would say it wouldn't be."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is a cruel hoax to the good people of the 54th District. It's deliberate diabolically contrived insult to our people, now that the House has supinely done the will of the administration and has amended the Bill into the form that it was originally offered in the Senate, we have the road system of the 54th District laid bare before everyone and we are absolutely 'freeway-less' although this Bill has one of the largest appropriations in the history of the State of Illinois and although it faithfully predicted that the Governor, who seems obsessed with the Public



Relations aspect of government, will go before the television cameras shortly to point out that it's the largest road building Bill that's ever been put before the people of the State of Illinois and though that same Governor regards cow paths as being proper roads for all that territory of this great state outside of Cook County, the unhappy fact remains... Ladies and Gentlemen, that there isn't a dime in this Bill for the construction of freeways in the entire 54th District nor is there a dime proposed in the official DOT program for fiscal '75, for the construction of freeways in that district and that's notwithstanding, that in 1969, the State of Illinois passed a Highway Act and solemnly covenanted with the people of the State of Illinois and the people in our district that we would have twice as many miles of freeway as any other district in the State of Illinois, doesn't count for nothing. That it is the official commitment of the State of Illinois that we're entitled to freeways, we've been promised them time and again that we would get them and we received nothing... nothing is as discouraging to the people of my district than to have a big band in the Governor's office who thinks small in freeway construction, the people in our district have repeatedly appealed to the Governor, on bended knee for the simple justice to which they are entitled and they have received absolutely nothing. We the Representatives of that district, Representative Keller, Representative Shurtz and I could just sit down and be quiet, but too many people have given so much of their lives in the pursuit of the impossible dream for ever us to be permitted to remain silent on this issue. We have exhausted our appeals to the Leadership of the State of Illinois, we wish to believe in our innocence and in our ignorance that the Minority Leader had some genuine concern in freeway



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

construction of southeastern Illinois and we find that that tragic misconception at this point is almost laughable. Speaker Blair, was one of the authors of the Highway Act of 1969 and he used to have a great order for freeway construction but we have noticed a lessening of that order and we hope that he would regain his thirst for battle for the justice for which we're entitled. We have no alternative at this time, Ladies and Gentlemen but to appeal for the individual Representatives who are statewide minded, we ask you to prove with us that Alexander Pope was wrong that other than fools do rush in, where angels fear to tread. We ask you to join with us in sending a message to the Governor of the State of Illinois and a message to the Conference Committee that will be appointed... and you'll notice that all of the Members will be from the far off corners of Illinois... a message that the 54th District is yet a part of this state, it hasn't yet been swallowed up into the Shawnee National Forest, or it hasn't yet been next to the State of Indiana, we're entitled to a great deal more than we have received. If you will join with us in sending that message, we can get this elementary justice to which we are entitled but I want to say to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that if it is impossible for you to give us the red light that we deserve in this instance, we'll still be friends on our side, we'll understand... we'll understand that you know that you cannot faithfully jeopardize the pittance that has been allowed to you in your respective districts. That when you look yourself in the eye in the mirror, we ask you to remember that this was an opportunity to stand for elementary fair play, to stand for justice, to stand for the things that you all believe in and I want to guarantee you that come what may, even if there are only three red lights up there from Representative



Keller, Representative Shurtz and from myself, we will continue this battle in the future General Assemblies and the future elections until just a little bit of fairness... a little bit of justice comes into the state policy toward the 54th District. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to comment that I'm sure we all want to see Roscoe gets everything he has coming."

Speaker Blair: "Let's see what are we doing... Mr. Choate do you want to close?"

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. When Representative Cunningham, said that the Governor probably would have a news conference as far as a road building program is concerned... the Governor has already had a news conference as far as the road building program is concerned and even if he has another one, it would be nothing new or different than what his predecessor had had and had said, and reiterated as far as a road building program is concerned. Now, you and I know, you and I know that as far as this particular Bill is concerned... that we're going to have to somewhere in the closing hours of this Session reach an amiable agreement between all parties concerned to have a feasible road building program for the State of Illinois. Illinois ranks a way up at the top in the fifty states as far as the road building program is concerned and I don't think there is a single Member of this legislature that wants to see Illinois slide down that ladder.. I think that each and every one of us in our own way... want to see Illinois climb to the top as far as the fifty states are concerned in having better highways and safer highways and that includes the repairing of the existing highways. Roscoe, I would say to you, that I read in the newspaper either yesterday or today, that the Governor either has or is thinking about



biggest appropriation Bill that this House considers and we have now have it in the fashion that it was introduced by the administration. I'm going to vote 'present' as a protest to the procedures that there is no accountability in this budget, there's been no line iteming something that this General Assembly has insisted on in other department budgets and the Members voice in this budget has been completely denied and I would hopefully see many more 'present' lights or 'no' lights on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Molloy, votes 'aye'. All right, this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority... Senate Bill 1345, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Beg pardon... 96 'ayes', 10 'nay'... Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I... just a question of the Chair. This Bill then would not become effective until a year from now, is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "If the Senate concurs in the Amendment, the fiscal year '75 appropriation which this Bill proport to do, would not be affective until July 1, 1975. Emil Jones, 'aye'. Okay, now then... on the Calendar on the Order of... on the Regular Calendar, let's go to... Supplemental #3, the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357, now that's the appropriation for the expenses of the EPA... Mr. Pierce, is handling... all right, we'll take that out of the record. How about Mr. Calvo and the Racing Board, you want the same thing there? You want Mr. Calvo, to run the Racing Board? Calvo says he wants to go... While we're waiting on that... Conference Committee Report on... Mr. Calvo, wants to go? Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In view of the action in the Senate, to which of course this



buying a motor home... well he can't travel those cow paths that you're talking about in a motor home.... so, evidently he is going to do one of two things when he comes to your district, he's either going to walk those cow paths or he's going to build you some highways and drive the motor home. I would say to you my friends, that we need to pass this Bill out... let all interested parties sit down, let us all have our input and hopefully we will come about with a better building highway program in this state than we have ever seen in the past year. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "He was closing, I'll recognize you. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1345 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, in reply to the comments that was just made by the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader, I would just like to say that I'm very glad to hear that the Governor is acquiring a motor home and I might suggest to him that the roads in some of our neighboring state could be well traveled by him during the next election."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to say how glad I am to be able to vote to give few highways to the needy friends in southern Illinois and I'm always ever mindful of their needs and in casting my 'aye' vote, I would encourage others to do so."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In explaining my vote I would merely remind the Members of the House what's happened to this Bill since we first heard it. We have destroyed eighteen Amendments and many hours of the Members of the House in trying to have input into this piece of legislation, this is the



Conference Committee Report #1, that we're now considering has already been filed, the Senate voted not concur in Conference Committee Report #1 and.... not to adopt rather, and a Second Conference Committee has been asked for and appointed in the Senate, therefore, I would ask that we also not adopt Conference Committee Report #1 and ask that a Second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall the House not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1264, all those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report and asks for a Second Conference Committee. Now, Mr. McGrew, are you ready on 2360?"

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to, with very deep regrets, move to accept the Second Conference Report of House Bill 2360, I made many attempts to solve this situation to my own satisfaction as well as some of the other Members of the House, I was unable to do so... I feel that we nevertheless must appropriate the additional money.... one of the prime problems here was one millionth of the state budget for approximately six thousand dollars for parking lot at Carl Sandberg's birth place, it was suggested to me by one of the Members that perhaps I should try to get a charitable contribution from the public utilities company to take care of it, I failed to do that so I assume that the only recourse that I have is to adopt the Second Conference Report on House Bill 2360, and I urge a negative vote."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman's motion was that the House do adopt and then he urged a negative vote. All right, the Gentleman's motion is that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2360. All those in favor



will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The... Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think I realize a little bit the frustration that Representative McGrew feels, in that he is voting against a Bill that he is sponsor of, I think we have voted for many many pork barrel projects throughout the State of Illinois. We're talking specifically about a mere pittance of six thousand dollars to black-top a parking lot at Carl Sandberg's cottage, his birthplace. I am unhappy that we could not secure the necessary six thousand dollars, nevertheless, I'm going to vote for the appropriation, I think it must be done to keep state government in operation. But, let me remind the Members of this House and those who amended that six thousand dollars out of the appropriation, that there will be... if I am back in future Sessions and I'm sure of whether I'm back or not, there will always be pork barrel Bills... that Legislators want for their own districts. Believe me, those of us who regret the action in this case will certainly consider that when we vote on someone else's pork barrel Bill in the future."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, explaining my vote from the red that showed so long to green, I was under the mistaken notion that the Secretary of State, who is the executioner of the drivers training stations of the 54th District was the overseer of the historical library and I am certainly for the historical library now that my thinking has been cleared up by Representative Jones, so I vote a green vote."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 144 ayes."



2 'nays' and the Second Conference Committee Report in respect to House Bill 2360, is adopted. Now, is Mr. Flinn, here? Did we do that Department of Local Government Affairs... we did that, Fred, did we do that? Senate Bill 1346, on Supplement 1.... Okay, I have the wrong Calendar. Mr. Matijevich. Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2359, Mr. Matijevich." Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2359, is the Department of Mental Health, I'm going... to move to not adopt the First Conference Committee Report, actually we've had a meeting of minds but we need a Second Conference Committee to do it, so I move now, not to adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2359."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman has moved that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report to 2359, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2359. Okay, messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2277. Adopted by the Senate, July 11, 1974, by three-fifths vote, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has reconsidered the previous action adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1357, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has reconsidered the previous action adopted the following Second Conference

2
in the
Mr. ... Report
Gov. ... Now, is
Senat. ... of Local
wrong ... do that?
Report ... I have the
evich: ... Committee
2359, ... Mr. Matijevich."
to move ... House Bill
Report, ... I'm going...
need a ... if minds but we
now, not ... to it, so I move
to House ... Committee Report
Blair: ...

use do ... has moved that the
59, all ... Committee Report to
ye', ... motion say
is not ... and the House
pect to ... Committee Report with
etc."

ke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes,
etary. ... I am directed to inform the
of Representatives that the Senate has adopted
following Committee Report, House Bill
Adopted by the Senate, July 11, 1974, by three-
vote, Enrolled by the Secretary. Mr. Speaker,
directed to inform the House of Representatives
the Senate has considered the previous action
and the following Enrolled Committee Report
House Bill 1977, passed by the Senate July 11,
a three-fifth ...
Mr. Speaker ... Fernandes,

repres. ... inform the
has reconsidered
Second Conference

ONE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Committee Report, Senate Bill 1261, adopted by the Senate, July 11, 1974, by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Choate. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask leave of the House for the purpose of a thirty minute recess, that we might have a Democratic Conference."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we Republicans had a Conference yesterday and discussed pretty well what we feel that the Democratic Conference is going to discuss. Nothing has changed as far as the Republican position in these matters and so it wouldn't seem to be any reason for a Republican Conference and this would give us an opportunity to have a sandwich. So, we will be back then at 1 P.M. and hope that the Democrats have concluded satisfactory their business."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the... room 212, is available... and we'll be back on the floor... all right, they say in order to do an effective job on a sandwich and have their Conference, we ought to have an hour, so we'll be back on the floor at 1:30. It is still the intention of the Chair to get this thing wound up late this afternoon, things have moved fairly well over in the Senate and I see no reason why they shouldn't move well here when they come back from the Conference. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Democrats to come to room 212, 212 immediately and hopefully you will have the time to avail yourself of a sandwich also. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the Gentlemen's motion to recess, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Now, we'll be back on the floor at 1:30."

Speaker Blair: "Messages from the Senate."



Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 to House Bill 2402. Action taken by the Senate July 11, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1264 and that the Senate request a Second Conference Committee to consist of five members from each House. Action taken by the Senate, July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "All right.... All right, is Mr. Pierce, handling the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357? Mr. Pierce. Guess he's not here... Okay, Mr. Beaupre, is he ready on Liquor Control Commission? Mr. Tipword, ready on House Bill 2367? Mr. Holloway, ready on Senate Bill 1383? See if we could get those Sponsors up here, so we can get moving.... Mr. Pierce... okay, the Conference Committee Report with respect to the appropriations expenses of the EPA, Senate Bill 1357."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As you know, the Sponsor who worked very hard on this Bill, Representative Glenn Schneider, is excused because of the illness and surgery on his wife and he's asked that I handle the Bill which is revised, thanks to Representative Shea, motion the Second Conference Committee the vote by which the Second Conference Committee Report was not adopted, was reconsidered and ~~it is back before us on a Second Conference Committee Report.~~ Now, I've been very disappointed with what the



Senate has done on the EPA appropriation and even though my Amendment on the Attorney General was defeated in the House on Second Reading, I think the House did a lot better job overall on EPA as did the Senate. Unfortunately the Senate prevailed in the Second Conference Committee and over one million dollars has been stripped from this Amendment, including... including hazardous substance funds that was put on in this House, that would help prevent and help cure tragedies like what happened on the southside of Chicago, earlier this year those chemicals that escaped from that industrial concerns in that tank and poisoned and injured thousands of people, unfortunately that money has been stripped out of here and maybe we can put it on some place else, or maybe we can't. The Senate has already adopted, reconsidered and adopted the Second Conference Committee Report, Senator Partee, I understand got up and said, he was reluctant, he was unhappy with the way the Bill the EPA has been treated by the General Assembly but he thought at this late date there was nothing to do but adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. I'm somewhat in the same posture, I am really... and I'm sure that Representative Schneider, feels the same way... I'm really quite upset, not only with the Attorney General Amendment on here, which creates a conflict of interest when the Attorney General is representing both the EPA as complainant and the pollution Control Board which hears the complaint but also because of the reduction of over one million dollars, including the hazardous substance several hundred thousand dollars to hazardous substances, from the Bill. The Bill is in the shape that the Committees of the House and the Senate put it, all the floor Amendment in the House that restored five or six hundred thousand dollars have been knocked off the Bill, the Attorney General's Amendment



which I opposed is on the Bill but in order that we can get out of here and in the full confidence that the Governor can cure some of the weakness of this Appropriation Bill, I feel it incumbent upon me as a responsible Legislator, to move that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2, on Senate Bill 1357, which would be final action because the Senate has already done this and would of course require, which is one reason that I'm talking so long, which would of course require 107 votes and we're hoping that people... we have 107 people around here at this time, I think we do my leader says... so I therefore move that we do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1357.... further opponent, there is not."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would certainly like to commend Representative Pierce for handling this Bill and would point out that this is a sufficient breakthrough in the log jam that we have had. I would differ somewhat from some of his conclusions and think that the people of the State of Illinois are served better by having the Attorney General represent the agency as the Constitution would indicate that the Attorney General should and I would also differ with him on his conclusion with respect to the deletions of the appropriation that he has in his substances program, since there is no indication that there was any thought whatever given to implementing that program and that it was a kind of a knee jerk reaction to a tragic situation that occurred in Chicago and I would also point out to him that we will be back here, or the legislature at least will be in Session, in January, 1975 and that's not so very far off. And, if the Environmental Protection Agency is able to justify the expenditures from some... the money for the



purpose of looking into hazardous substances and their control, why maybe we can look at it then and appropriate some money for that purpose at that time. Again though, I would like to say in the spirit of good fellowship that seems to reign right now that I commend the Democratic Party for their effort in removing the log jam and maybe we can get to work now."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to also commend the Sponsor of the Bill, the associate Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Pierce for his remarks regarding the digest of the Bill as the Conference Committee has reported it. The only time that I have... in this Session, differed in any great extent was the Honorable Majority Leader... has been on two issues, one of revenue and the second time I'm going to disagree with him is on a question of the necessity of the four hundred thousand dollars which is removed on hazardous materials. It should be pointed out... I would like to have a little order, Mr. Speaker. And should be pointed out very very strongly that the occurrence that happened at the both terminals in the 30th District, on the southside of Chicago, in April 26 and 27, could easily happen in every district throughout the State of Illinois and for many of you who do not realize it, there's even an opportunity for a greater catastrophe to take place and at the same time that the both terminals tank was being taken care of by the various agencies in Chicago and the State of Illinois and that was a derailment of a tank car containing a chemical which would meant the evacuation of two million people... two million people if that tank car had cracked at the seams, but fortunately it did not. The purpose for this four hundred thousand dollars that we have to have in EPA and I trust and hope that



the good Lord and providence does not allow any major accidents between now and January and July 1, of next year, which would need the expertise to be taken care of so we can face these chemical disasters, especially during the transportation from one part of the state to the other. What amazes me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that there are two hundred and twenty thousand chemicals which have not been.... there are two hundred and twenty thousand chemicals which have not been properly cataloged or controlled and after these many chemicals have been on the surface for many many years that have been part of our industry and our chemistry and our scientific laboratories, they have not been properly regulated or controlled and I say to you, we are making a big mistake in disallowing this four hundred thousand dollars but again, in the spirit of trying to get these work done of the House and this Legislative Body, I will say that we defer and I will also vote for you to.... ask for your support Conference Committee Report as a do adopt and press and hope that in the very near future that we can face these problems on a more equitable more understanding and a more scientific basis because we're going to have problems as time goes on. I ask you to support this Report even though we have many deficiency in this."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, merely echo what my colleague from the adjoining district 30th, had to say concerning the hazard and substant Amendment which I proposed, which has been deleted from this appropriation. I would like only to say to my colleagues in the House, I don't know if you ever lived through this kind of incident that happened to occur near or in your town but as Representative



Maragos so distinctly said, this could happen in any town at any time and I think what happened here Sam, just for our own edification, was that the part that nothing serious other than the threat of what could have happened did develop in the situation that happened in the 30th District. But, I think that on the part of the action that has been taken by the Senate, we can only hope that something more serious will not occur before July of 1975, so that the kind of catastrophe that could have occurred will not occur in the ensuing time. I think it is very short sighted on the part of the Senate, I think the House acted in most responsive way in trying to address their selfs to the needs of many people in our district and also the needs of people across this state. I'm going to very reluctantly support this appropriation because I think the overall needs is so great. But, I think that in the wisdom of the people across the rotunda, I think is only a matter of whose ox at this present time has been gored. I will support it very reluctantly but I think that the House... address this situation. Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. About two years ago when the budget for EPA came up before us, quite a few Members of the House was greatly disturbed and exercised over the fact that the agency had very little, a very few Members of a minority, specifically black and Spanish speaking people employed in that agency. And, if you can recall two years ago under a Republican administration, we were so incensed that we held up the budget for several days, sat down with the Director of that agency and extracted promises from him that he would recruit, train and upgrade blacks and other minorities and we assisted him in sending him



what we thought prime applicants for some of those jobs. Now, two years later, if anything the agency is worse than it was two years ago and it's a Democratic administration. I don't know what to say to you, I don't know what I can possible say to you or to the Director of this agency and all of the other agencies that can convince them of the fact that they have a responsibility, not only in cleaning up the environment but a responsibility in cleaing up this systematic conspirator discrimination against blacks and Spanish speaking people in these various code departments. Nothing we seem to say or do, seems to help. I don't want to rely upon anybody's consciousness because that has never solved any race matter, I don't want to make threats because they would probably be idle, I just say, for God sakes will you directors, specifically the one we're talking about now, direct yourself to this question of equal employment for blacks and other minorities in this state and until you do that, you haven't convinced me that you qualify to hold those spots which you now hold and which we fight so hard to pay you so well for. Your responsibility to taxpayers of this state is to make certain that the public policy of the state which is loud and clear against discrimination employment of blacks and minorities... must be carried out. I hope I don't have to say it, two years from now but I have a funny feeling that I will... Republican or Democratic administration... same damn thing. I'm getting sick and tired of it, I plead, I beg, Mr. Director will you address yourself to that question."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I too want to commend both Representative Pierce and the Leaders on both sides of the aisle in prying loose this Bill from the Second Conference Committee Report. However,



in doing so, I want to remind the Members in the Legislature why we are here on July 11th and I have in my hand a press release that the Attorney General Scott, released way back in November of last year and in that press release, Attorney General Scott said, the fight against pollution too important to be sabotaged by a political power struggle and for that reason, Scott said that he will seek a court determination as to whether the Governor's office or the Attorney General office should provide the legal services of the State Agencies. Now, I want to remind Attorney General Scott, that he should have followed his own advice, he's everybody's lawyer in the state but evidently he doesn't follow his own advice. He should have gone into court to make that determination, rather than that he's got us here on July 11, trying to involve the Legislature in this power struggle. I think he was wrong then, I sympathize with Representative Pierce, in the battle we had in trying to remove that Amendment from the EPA Bill and I would hope that the Governor and Attorney General Scott, would resolve this matter in court rather than again involving the Legislature in the struggle."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I too, want to commend Representative Pierce, and the Leadership for resolving this thorny issue. I also wish to commend Representative Schneider, who's not here because of illness in his family. I have here on my desk a statement, signed by twenty-six conservation anti-pollution organizations across the State of Illinois. They urge affirmative action on the EPA budget but they also single out three areas of deep concern which I feel compelled to call to the attention of the House concerning the operations of EPA. One of the operations of the firmest system under the 1972, Federal Water Bill.



These organizations say, these are not my words, Illinois has the worst record of the six state in region five of the U.S...."

Speaker Blair: "Go ahead, I'm sorry."

Mann: "Has the worst record of the six states in region five of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under the Federal 1972, Water Pollution Control Act. Secondly, under the 1972, Water Bill the state must process and approve applications for Federal sewage treatment grant fund, as of April 26, 1974, the State EPA had not forward a single construction grant application, including that one and they seem preoccupied with keeping the Metropolitan Sanitary District of greater Chicago from obtaining any '73 funding. Finally, number three, the enforcement action of existing here in quality standards has declined markedly. Now, I call this to the attention of the House, definitely not to discourage your vote for this appropriation, I'm going to vote for it, I hope you would too, but I do think that in the next biennium all of us who are concerned about returning to a strong effective EPA, should keep in constant touch with conservation groups in their district and should watch very carefully the activities of EPA and if EPA comes bouncing back, I would be the first one to support it in anyway possible. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We all know the value of time but I think we're forgetting it at times. It seem to me that you said earlier that we have to be here at 1:30, I would allow an half hour grace and here it is, it also seems to me, if we as conscientious Legislators want to finish the business at hand, to be here and get it over with and that's why I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I will explain my vote if you want to take a Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Hart, the same thing or..."

Hart: "Well, Mrs. Geo-Karis, did move the previous question although I think she did it after making a speech which is contrary of the rules of the House but, I'll explain my vote or go now. All right, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House as long as everybody is putting in their pit to Director Bryson, who's sitting in the gallery, I want to ask him on behalf of this administration to implement their actions to help the Illinois Coal Industry. The Walker administration has been characterized and I think with some justification, as being administration that does a lot of talking about the coal industry but doesn't take any action and there isn't any doubt in my mind but what the regulations are, involving the SO-2, have intimidated the coal industry in Illinois and they are continuing to do so and they are also... imposing great additional cost on the consumers of electricity because of the requirement of controls in the utility operations, which is going to cost more and more as we go along. I have a Bill in the Rules Committee which would provide a system of intermittent control in the use of SO-2, I also have a motion pending on the Calendar to discharge that Bill from rules and assign it to a Standing Committee and I would like to have that motion heard at some point but, even though the coal production is increasing in Illinois now... the problem is, that we are exporting more coal than we should be exporting and we are importing more coal than we should be importing. The imported coal that we are bringing here to be burned in the utility in Illinois, is of much more inferior quality than Illinois coal and much more expensive and in my opinion there is no justification or reason for us continuing to impose



the kind of restriction on the coal industry which would require us to import from Wyoming and Montana coal when we have it plentiful and cheap supply in Illinois. And I would urge the Director to use his weight to get something going in the way of intermittent controls or otherwise which would allow the utilities in Illinois to enter into this type of long term contract that are necessary for a coal production to increase in Illinois and to... for us to produce it and sell it within the state. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I have yet to have proved to me that the EPA of the State of Illinois is nothing more than an expensive rat hole. Everything that we have... that I have seen the EPA do in the State of Illinois has been contrary to good practice. But, the hippies came to Pekin and eventually closed our standard brand plants there, they went to a little town of Welding and caused undo expense to a little elevator there, that was trying to operate. They sent a bunch in there to make investigations that didn't even know what an elevator was or what a gas dryer was at an elevator. I've seen them cause undo hardship at our super power plant that went to all sorts of extremes in trying to clean up the environment. I heard of an event in Peru where a woman got a cinder in her eye and they almost closed the power plant there in Peru. I took a delegation down to the office here in Springfield, from North Pekin, who was concerned about polluting the drinking water of various communities supplies... water supplies around North Pekin and the brush off that we got was, they should tell those communities to put more chlorine in their water. This was their solution to a community that was earnestly trying to do something about polluting water and the



solution that the EPA gave them, was just tell those communities to draw that water supply... to put more chlorine in their water. I can hardly support anything that comes out of EPA because everything they do here depends on what comes out of Washington, so I see no reason why we have to put two hundred million dollars in an operation here when everything they do is determined by Washington, so for that reason I would have to vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think Representative Washington and Barnes, are a little bit too kind on the EPA in talking about their policies etc., etc. Perhaps they're just more forgiving than I am but I cannot forgive an agency which would pollute the social atmosphere as they have done so. I think their employment record, even their desire to do anything better in reference to it... totally notorious. I'm beginning to listen to the complaints of my friends downstate who tell me that the EPA is no good and it's not doing a decent job on the Environment. I don't think they are doing a good job on the social environment either. I think their employment record out of twelve out of five hundred and eighty-eight employees is a little bit ridiculous, it's something that we came to, we talk... two years ago when Mr. Blazer, was the Director and of course we got a lot of words and some promises... if we would look into it... in order to see that they had something besides a couple of janitors in the situation. I think we are arriving at the stage that our political sincerity is being tested and I think, particularly that we ought to vote against the EPA, we ought to listen to the complaints of our friends and neighbors downstate when they talk about what a bad job the EPA is doing and I think we ought to vote against



it and if they don't get their budget until 1975, I think that's too soon and I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House. This House passed out a Bill last spring for the recovery of metals, under the rules of this... EPA of Illinois they are burying and I proved this before, hundred of tons of valuable metal in our land pits in this state every year. Right in the morning paper, in Chicago, they are stealing the guttering off of houses because of the value of metal. Now, I can't think of anything more idiotic than for the EPA and people of like ilk to be advocating the recovery and the recycling of paper and advocating that burial of copper. Right now you take ten copper pennies, they're worth in actual value... eleven cents yet we're burying by the tons. I would like to touch one other thing about the engineers, this justifying land fill in this state by the Pollution Control Board's orders and hearings, they are requiring an expensive... it cost six to ten thousand dollars per land fill for engineering data. For example there are land fill in Decatur and in other parts of this country... this state, that have been land pits for fifty years, yet they have to make four, five, six borings a couple two or three hundred feet down to find out what's below it and if it is going to be polluted. After fifty years I would say of a land fill being in existence, if the land is polluted down there it, it sure is going to be polluted and why force someone to spend this kind of money for that kind of a deal. Now, there is about six hundred land fills left in the State of Illinois. Many small towns are completely without a land fill and as a result, they are taking the material in every county except perhaps Cook and maybe where there is a large



population and because of the added cost to people that are boring, the material along the county roads and the roads for the farmers themselves have to clean it up. This is being done throughout the entire State of Illinois as result from the policies of this EPA in this state. But, when you take six hundred land fills each of them required to have an engineering survey and comply with rules and regulations of all sorts, type wells etc., etc. that cost... and what I've just told you, six to ten thousand dollars... the amount of money runs over ten millions of dollars.... handed on a platter, most of it unnecessary, material that will just be put away in a file and forgotten but handed as a fee to engineers in this State of Illinois. I want to point out that the head of the Pollution Control Board is an engineer, from my point of view I think it's a pretty clever way to further milk the land fills and in turn the people of this state, it is simply because the people are going to have to pay for it. It's impossible for a land fill to operate anymore on a small budget, they have to have bulldozers.. I'm for some of the rules and regulations they have, I'm aware and knowledgeable about them, some of them are good but they go far too far in what they demand of the people in relation to land fills now, I'm not going to touch... in relation to... the standard brands.. that's already been touched by Representative Kriegsman; he forgot to mention the two hundred and fifty men who was put out of work and the company left the community because of EPA and I will bring to your attention that fifteen factorys have left Illinois because of the EPA. How many men are involved, how many payroll... I have no idea, I do know that the land fill here in Sangamon that four men were laid off... were recovering metals and went on welfare, that came out of Committee. But I

want you to consider the price of municipalities, sanitary districts, farmers, livestock... livestock raiser... right now are in trouble by the way, all aspects of agriculture, the elevators... one was mentioned at Walden, I want to tell you about the one at Stonington, where the rules and regulations made them spend a quarter of a million dollars on one small town grain elevator. Now, how long do you think people can stand this sort of thing, now I'm not going to blame to much of the new Director, Mr. Briceland, he seems and so far appears to be half way reasonable. But, I'm tell you that the direction in which these people have been going in the past, would mean that this Environmental Agency in the State of Illinois should be voted against and should be destroyed, because they have certainly have caused great deal of harm to many aspect of our economic life. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Pierce, to close."

Pierce: "To close, Mr. Speaker, I want to tell the last speaker, the Gentleman from Macon who wanted to destroy the EPA, that he should take heart because really the Senate in their Amendments in the Conference Committee has gone a long way towards destroying the EPA, it should move over a million dollars from its administrative appropriation, it has taken out the hazardous substance, its put the Attorney's under the Attorney General, in fact I would recommend to our Governor that he seriously consider a line item veto on the Attorney Generals... on the transfer of all the inside technical advisors who are lawyers, to the Attorney General. I just want to make it clear that by moving to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report, I am in no way and the administration is no way conceding or compromising on the fact that this department is entitled to have its own inside council



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and inside attorneys and there is a power grab here for my good friend Bill Scott, the Attorney General. We're not conceding at all that point but because it is, July 11, and because EPA is important and must operate we are moving to concur and I want to tell the Majority Leader... when we come back in January, if we need an efficiency appropriation or if we need money for hazardous substance, he may have a little less input in what happens in the General Assembly in January, than he does today and if he does, it's partly because of the attitude taken, I'm afraid by the Senate and his side of the aisle in destroying the programs of the EPA and I move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's true the EPA has made some mistakes in the past, is bound to make some in the future however, it is a necessary agency and in explaining my vote 'aye', I do hope that everyone else will vote for it."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that everybody in this General Assembly is entitled to their opinion and I have sat and listened to justifiable criticism... possibly of the Environmental Protection Agency but, I can sit here no longer without expressing my views. I happen to have been a former assistant director of the Environmental Protection Agency and I can tell you that we, in this General Assembly and we in Illinois should be extremely grateful that the General Assembly in 1970, established the Environmental Protection Act and Director Briceland is being blamed for a number of things that are in his



control, the Pollution Control Board indeed does set the standards and the Environmental Protection Agency is charged with duty of enforcing those standards.

I think that we have a new director who is going to address himself to the problems of Illinois, the environmental pollutions control system in this state is still relative new, I think we have made dramatic strides forward, I think that there will have to be some serious reevaluation in view of the economy of this state, but in my conversations with Mr. Briceland, he has evidenced every goodwill towards this Legislator and towards the people a time to understand. It is imperative for us to go forward and not go backward with this particular program. I commend the new director, I pledge to him my cooperation and I would hope that each of us will be fair in our dealings and that I hope that we have no red lights and no yellow lights up there showing the people of Illinois at least, with this vote how important we feel in Environmental Protection is for Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I have no quarrel with anybody except those who are guilty of taking four hundred thousand dollars out of this Bill. If they would but note, as has been explained to me, that people who still suffering from this effect of what happened out there in south Chicago out there in the Oak Hill Garden Region, they're still being treated at Roseland Hospital and literally hundreds upon hundreds of tanks are still parked back into that area, switch into that area, I don't know what's in those tanks... what kind of chemicals are in those tanks but what this money was for, this four hundred thousand dollars, was to set up a program to send inspectors in there to find out what was in those tanks. Now, that



is a poor community out there... Oak Hill Garden is practically all black community and people... little children are still being treating and I'm sure that all of you would agree in this House... must have agreed with it, because this House voted for it, that that money should be in there... that there should be some inspection out there to protect those people from a future occurrence as they had out there. Last night I think I listened to one of the most finest programs and saw the television that I've ever seen... it was a tribute they said that on the Supreme Court, all the judges are equal but when it came to Earl Warren, they said he was first of the equals and with this I agree and then some of his colleagues says that all most... uppermost in his mind was this question.... and in the language and in respect for one of the greatest Supreme Court Justices that ever lived... I ask you, is it fair... is it fair to leave these people out in Oak Hill Gardens exposed to all of those tank cars out there with all kinds of acids and not even to supply one dollar to have them inspected and protect those eyes out there. This is what I'm arguing about, I hope that some way... I hope that some way will found... not only to restore this money but some way will be found by this environmental control to go out there and do something about it. Finally they said...."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walters."

Walters: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. And Reverend Davis, thank you very much. I would like to personally commend Director Briceland, of the EPA for sitting up in the audience through this tremendous oration that we have had for the last couple of weeks, for coming to the House of the General Assembly and listening... and listening to the Members of the General Assembly with their problems. I wish the

Governor could get the other directors... all of them to come when we have the debates on their budgets and listen to the Members of the General Assembly, now I would like him to listen to my story. Just this week I discussed the EPA with a Gentleman in industry, they have plants all over the country and I asked him which state was the toughest EPA and he told me that California was number one, New Jersey, was number two and Illinois was number three. He said that the regulations in California was the toughest but they were able to sit down and work with the EPA, in Illinois the regulations were not as stiff, not as tough but the EPA up until now has been very very hard to work with. They do not cooperate and I would like to say to the director, let's cooperate and help solve these problems in Illinois, we're all for better environment so let's work together. In closing I would like to remind the Members of the General Assembly, as you know, Director Briceland is up, sitting in the balcony on the Democrats side, when he came to Illinois about five months ago... he had a full head of hair and he is very worried, I'll vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I welcome the opportunity to address some remarks to the Director and also to my fellow Legislators. I have just reviewed for the umteen time the amount of money in this particular budget and it approaches two and twenty-six millions of dollars. I had an occasion earlier this week to inquire as to how many black people were employed in this particular agency of government and I believe that we have about twelve, it occurs to me that I as a Legislator of color representing a large constituency

~~of white and colored that if we can't find out...~~
~~attempt to have a more equitable distribution of quality...~~



in this particular agency, I should perhaps be defeated at the next election. I would hope that the director and his staff would address themselves to this particular problem. I see nothing wrong with making this observation and if I'm fortunate to be back here, I intend to make this one of my priorities because I think that it's no more than right, I think that all of these director of these various departments should begin to examine this whole social economic structure so that we could improve the quality of life in our communities and strengthen our overall society, for that reason I am voting 'present'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House as I stated in my opening remarks on this particular piece of legislation, I'm going to vote for it and I have very reluctantly but I would like to say this about Director Briceland, who has only been in this state a short time. He came and responded to the people on the southside of Chicago and the people that reside in my district, when they needed some response from some... somebody in government to try and help them in a dire emergency. He did that and I can see in the efforts on the part of the agency to respond to that kind of emergency... good steps taken in behalf of the health and welfare of the people. I have no quarrels with the director but I would like to say this to the Members here in the House and perhaps even to the press, so often... so often we hear about the problems that exist in various districts in this state, I as a Member of the Appropriation Committee would like to make only this comment about this appropriation, this appropriation ends up in the amount of two hundred and thirty-seven million, six hundred and ninety-six thousand, five hundred odd dollars and



in that amount there must be project for every new village and county and town in the state and I think those project probably rightfully so, in that amount is to deal with problems of flooding, to deal with problems of water, air and other kinds of pollution all over this state. It just behooves me that the only money that could be found to cut from this appropriation was four hundred thousand dollars to deal with the situation up in the southside of Chicago where the...."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House.

Actually I feel that I'm a reasonable pro environmentalist. I do not want, as one Member here said, the Environmental Agency destroyed, I think they are entirely too valuable to the people of the State of Illinois. The reason that I am voting red is not because I am against the present director or what he may be trying to do, I'm voting red because of the tremendous file at least a foot thick from all over this state of complaints against the prior actions of the EPA and the Pollution Control Board. I merely want to call to their attention as I think very properly... may of what you should do, that we are watching their activities because in the past, believe me... they needed to be watched and they needed to be stopped. Now... what they are trying to do in a reasonable way, I don't see that anyone in this House would be opposed to it but when they go so far as to do some of the things that I don't want to take up the time, my time or the time of the House telling because you heard some of this in the past. The things that are wrong, I think that we must indicate to them that they must stay within reasonable guide lines and that's all I have ever asked of them... to be reasonable men... not autocratic and I would be remiss if I didn't say one thing in relation to city of



Chicago, I wonder where the City of Chicago was and their authority when those tank cars and the incident that occurred there, where was the authorities of the City of Chicago. I have been informed that it took them four or five hours to get there and that's terrible if true."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Very briefly in explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker.

I didn't know Mr. Briceland, until several days ago he came by and introduced himself. He struck me as being a very charming, gracious, intelligent and dedicated public servant. Bill Brazer, his Republican predecessor was a same kind of a person, I knew Bill, very well... we served here in the House together. But that doesn't have anything to do with the issue that I'm talking about. These fine men, neither one of them seem to be able to address themselves to the question of kraft descrimination in that agency. Eight black... twelve black employees out of five hundred and eighty-eight, that has to be planned... that couldn't just happen you've got to plan that sort of thing and I say again in voting 'present', Mr. Director, I appeal you to direct your attention to this serious... very serious question."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 10 'nays', 10 'present' and the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1357, having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared adopted. 1261, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1261 and I would like to speak to that motion. This as you know, is the liquor control budget. It is in the total of four hundred and twelve thousand



JUL 11 1974

91.

dollars, it is somewhat of an enigma in government today in that this appropriation decreases the budget of that agency by 49%. This is a result of the Commissioner following the legislative recommendations of several years ago... of transferring some of the initial contact functions to the Department of Revenue and in addition as a result of many of the efficiency that this Commission has effected over the last year. This Conference Committee Report however, does indeed contain the controversial Attorney General's Amendment.

I think that it is unfortunate that this Commission and the budget therefore, got involved in this sort of dilemma that we have seen over the last week. I think that it is unfortunate that the Attorney General pressed the issue and that the Republican Members of the Appropriations Committee played fiscal brinkmanship with this Bill so that it was in the state that we found it this morning before reconsidering the vote by which the Second Conference Committee Report failed. I do indeed support adopting that Conference Committee Report because it is indeed necessary that this Liquor Control Commission function and be funded for the next year. I do however, find it very hard to understand how we could play politics to the extent that we have with this Bill and I would hope very much that the Governor in his wisdom after he receives this Bill, will line item veto that Attorney General's Amendment out and of course the reason that he should do so is because it does indeed impair the effectiveness of the Commission to have... to not have in house counsels to make recommendations to the Commissioner and to the Commission in their everyday affairs. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call for Conference Committee #2, on Senate Bill 1261."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the second break through and I certainly wish that the Sponsors of the Conference Committee Reports would offer them with a little more grace than the last two have and continuing to talk about the Attorney General... we feel very strongly that the Attorney General belongs in these agencies and boards and departments... feel that he should be in more than he is and I would hope that the aura of good feeling that has existed would continue but I would hope that the Gentleman who are sponsoring these would be a little bit more kindly toward our point of view which we feel is entirely justified as we pointed out before by the Constitution and certainly the dictation of good government and I commend again, Representative Beaupre, who is in my opinion is one of the most conscientious of the Members here and I have no higher regard for any Member than I do of the Sponsor of this Conference Committee Report. I would only urge that he accept the agreement that has been arrived at in good grace and that all of the people of that side as well as the people on this side, do the same and would urge everyone to support the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Beaupre, to close."

Beaupre: "I would merely like to point out to the distinguished Majority Leader that as far as I know, there is indeed no agreement, I think that the course of action that has been followed in the last week and a half has merely brought us to this point in time where it is the question of funding this agency or not funding it, I too have a high degree of respect for the Majority Leader but I think reasonable men may differ as to what the legal consequences are of attaching these Amendments and whether or not they are required under the Constitution. It is merely my humble opinion that they



are indeed not and I think it does indeed impair the effectness of the agency and I would ask for your favorable vote because I think we definitely need a viable Liquor Control Commission in this state."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1261. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Take the record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1261, having received the Constitutional Majority.... Yeah, wait a minute we're checking the machine. LaFleur, 'aye'. Mahar, 'aye'. Shurtz, 'aye'. Tim Simms, 'aye'. All right, Mr. Clerk, what's the Roll Call on this matter."

Clerk Selcke: "The Roll Call is 140 'ayes', 2 'nays', 35 'present'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Second Conference Committee Report in respect to Senate Bill 1261, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared adopted. On the Supplemental Calendar, #1 the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2367. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, the corrected copy of the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2367, which would fund the Department of Business and Economic Development for the coming year. Provides that the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9, those Amendments are as follows: Amendment 1, increase retirement by twenty-one thousand dollars these are the Amendments that we are asked to concur with. Amendment 2, decrease operation by approximately forty-four thousand dollars. Amendment 3, decrease statewide promotion by a hundred fourteen



JUL 11 1974

94.

thousand dollars that's in the tourism area. Amendment #4, decrease statewide promotion by an additional fifty thousand dollars. Amendment 5, provides sixteen thousand dollars for the Great Lakes Commission. #6 provides a hundred thousand dollars for the Chicago symphony tour of Europe. #8, placed back in sixteen thousand dollars in operations and Amendment #9, provided seventy-five thousand dollars as an appropriation for the study for a foreign trade zone and provide the Commission on economic development should have a determination on the location of the South American office. In addition there to, it further provides that the Senate would receive from Senate Amendment #7, that was the Amendment that would have deleted the hundred and fifty thousand dollars provided for the Department of Science and Technology that was amended in the Bill by Representative Collins, here in the House so that is placed back in the Bill and is a part of the Bill. Additionally the Conference Committee Report provides that there would be sixty-four thousand dollars now provided for distribution of tourism brochure in the State of Illinois and also then, puts into the Bill additional sum of three hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the administration of the Energy Program that is being set up under some other Bills that are in consideration before the General Assembly. The Bill has now been examined by the Department and although it now takes from the original Bill as it left this House, all the money for tourism advertising... it is necessary for the funding of the department that we would proceed with this Bill. I regret that we do not have that advertising money and I know that there is some of you on the other side of the aisle that very... very strongly wish that we would have this money for advertising the State of Illinois for the purposes of tourism. I would

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

suspect that we will probably be facing a deficiency appropriation some time in the next Session of the General Assembly but nevertheless, I at this time would move you that we do concur with the Second... the corrected copy of the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2367, funding the Department of Business and Economic Development."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I feel this is my only opportunity to speak on behalf of Illinois Tourism, to me it is absolutely stupid to have a product and not be able to advertise it and what is tourism but advertising and I just cannot understand why this has happened. There must be some other reason because I know that when you're in business and you have a product to advertising is the important part and so to take out the brochures... how many of you plan your vacations after you receive brochures, most of us do and so this is the reason that this is a very... very poor policy to take out advertising in tourism. However, I too know the department must go on and so I will vote for this appropriation but I am very... very disappointed in what has happened. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Yourell... no. Any further discussion? Mr. Tipword, to close."

Tipword: "I would like to thank the Lady from Adams County for her remarks and what you have said is very true and very appropriate... that the advertising money of a hundred thousand dollars is very necessary to tourism in the State of Illinois. Our staff had promised the Department of Business and Economic Development that they would not cut any further funds from what went out of here, regretablely they have been cut, nevertheless, I would ask and join with the Lady and I thank her for joining with me in asking that we now concur with



the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2367." Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2367. All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I thought I would just reply very briefly to my colleagues comments, Representative Kent. She was concerned that we do not have sufficient literature and publicity for our tourism in the State of Illinois and that is something that we should be ashamed of but as a practical matter it doesn't make much difference whether we had that material or not because I find out that we don't have any road maps to show how to get there anyway. I went down the other day to get some road maps and I find out that we are presently out of them and I we won't have them again until we get a Republican Governor, so keep that in mind next time."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'ayes' 1 'nay', 1 'present' and the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2367, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared adopted. Now how about Mr. Holloway, on... is he back yet... on 12... 1383... Mr. Madigan, caught you, you want to try Senate Bill 1272?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1272, contains the appropriation for the salaries of the Constitutional Officers of the State of Illinois and also the major offices of the various State Departments and Agencies. Additionally, it contains the appropriation for the salaries of the Members of the General Assembly and also the appropriations for the per diem allowances for Members of the General Assembly."

Several Amendments have been filed with the Clerk, for this Bill because initially we intended to amend the Bill to provide for the contemplated salary increases of the major state offices and also the Legislature. However, Senator Partee, who is the Senate Sponsor of the authorization Bill for those salary increases, told me today that he does not intend to move that Bill until the fall of this year, if then. Accordingly there is no need to amend a Bill at this time and I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, we've got to read the Bill a third time."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1272, an Act making appropriations to pay certain officers of state government and also Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask the Sponosr of this Bill a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Sure."

Washington: "Mr. Madigan, am I correct that you told me as late as an hour and a half ago that you intended to bring this Bill back to second, to afford me the opportunity and I accepted it graciously, to afford me the opportunity to attempt to amend this Bill... to put an Amendment on it, did you not do that?"

Madigan: "Representative Washington, I told you and told Mr. Caravello, and other Members of the Governor's staff, when I was asked if I intended to bring this Bill back to Second Reading that I did and those questions were propounded to me at a point in time when we were all contemplating salary increase for the state offices and for ourself, but as I stated, my conversation with Senator Partee, this morning on the Senate floor... indicated to me that he has no intention of moving



the authorization Bill for those increases and therefore, I have no need to bring this Bill back to Second Reading for Amendment."

Washington: "Well, Sir, don't you think you could have walked over here or called me over there and told me that but have me sitting here waiting for you, you know, at your dispensation... just move here without saying a word to me. It seems to me that's not fellowship... that's not camaraderie, that's not cooperation... that's enough of that.... Mr. Speaker, at this time I'm going to move to suspend the appropriate rule so this Bill can be taken back to the Order of Second Reading, for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I have objection to moving this Bill back to Second Reading. I think the Sponsor of the Bill here made it very clear that Senator Partee, statement was and the Bill should not be brought back. This Bill here now is on Third Reading and I think we should vote the Bill up or down and get it out of this House."

Speaker Blair: "Let's see if we could stand at ease for just a moment to see if this can be worked out."

Speaker Blair: "I said, let's be at ease for just a moment to see if we can work this out... All right, we're looking for somebody to talk with about it and I suggest that what we do, is Mr. Madigan... for a little bit here, take it out of the record with it left on Third Reading where it has been already and then we will come back to it. If we can take it out of the record for just a few minutes, we'll do some other things then we'll come back to it... we'll come back to your motion, Mr. Washington, we'll just take it out of the record for a few minutes while we're seeing if we can resolve what I thought was an understanding concerning this



Bill some time ago. If we could resolve that, it would be easier than going through.... Fred where are these other Conference Committee Reports... Supplemental Calendar on those? Mr. Brummet, do you want to consider your matter now on Supplement #3, your motion to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 330 failed."

Brummet: "Yes..."

Speaker Blair: "While we're waiting to clean up...."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I was one of those who voted against Senate Bill 330, because I was opposed to the twenty million dollar assessed valuation for park district and I have spoken to the Sponsor about this and there is some other things that he would like to have in this, so having voted on the prevailing side, I now move that Senate Bill 330, the vote by which it was taken, be reconsidered."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman has moved that the vote by which Senate Bill 330, failed to be adopted... be reconsidered. It requires 89 votes. Any discussion? All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no', it takes 89 votes unless there is leave to use the... all right, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call then and the Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote by which the Bill failed, prevails and the Bill is back now for consideration and we'll have it printed on a.... rather a Supplemental Calendar. We're up to Supplement #4 now, so it will probably be on it. Put that, Fred, on it when we get to it. All right... now, where are we? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ron Hoffman, rise?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a point of clarification."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah."

Hoffman: "Is this going to appear on the Supplementary Calendar on Third Reading?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes, that's were it was when it failed."

Hoffman: "Is our intention then would be, move it back to
Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Well, you certainly may ask leave for that
purpose... once we get it on the Calendar it will be
printed on a Supplemental Calendar and you can ask
leave to take it back and address yourself to it them.
We're in the process of preparing a futher Supplemental
Calendar now and it should appear on it."

Hoffman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes,
Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the
House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted
the following Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill
1267, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1973, by a three-
fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr.
Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the
Senate has adopted the Second Conference Committee
Report, Senate Bill 1273, adopted by the Senate July 11,
1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes,
Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes,
Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the
House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted
the following Second Conference Committee Report, Senate
Bill 1346, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a
three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr.
Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the
Senate has adopted the Second Conference Committee
Report, House Bill 2348, adopted by the Senate July
11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes,
Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the
House that the Senate has adopted the following Conference
Committee Report, House Bill 2350, adopted by the Senate
July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes,

Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2351, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2355, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2358, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2360, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2361, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2364, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2365, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2367, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward



E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2405, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2359, Senate requests Second Conference Committee consisting of five Members from each House to consider the difference between the two houses in regards to this Bill. Action taken by Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Taylor, are you ready on 230... or 2405? Yeah, he's ready now.... All right, so we can bring you up to date as to where we are, there are two or three Conference Committee Reports that are in the process of being printed... Supplemental Calendar is being printed... will be down here shortly for those... we're trying to resolve the situation on Senate Bill 1272, right now.... Mr. Taylor, about ready to go on 2405... and Mr. Shea, is going to take other action with respect to Senate Bill 1273. You want to go on that... all right, the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 2173."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2173, the Second Conference Committee. This is an non appropriation to the Comptroller, as the Bill left the House, Mr. Hart, added... Amendment #1, which put in twenty thousand dollars for each of the fifty smaller counties in the state for the Animal Control Act. Mr. Krause, added twenty thousand a piece for each of the other fifty-two counties, Peggy Smith Martin, had an Amendment in for a park in her district and I put in three point two million dollars for by-state.



Those, the Conference Committee suggests... or says that the House will recede from those four Amendments and add further... in Section 4, of the Bill, fifteen hundred dollars to the Department of Conservation to reimburse a Robert Bama, Kent Lasick; Jack Quilaquosa, Dick Quilaquosa, to the extent of their liabilities under the settlement of K-75633... circuit court of LaSalle County and the sum of seventy-six hundred dollars plus... to reimburse Doctor Patrick Stonton, A.C. Pepperbrink and John Briggs. I raise the point of whether these were germane or not, they have been ruled they are, I would remind the House that this Bill appropriates the retirement and social security for the state officers and it has two million dollar appropriation to reimburse the counties for the Juvenile Court Act and I would be happy to answer any questions and try to pass the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Shea, does this Legislation include once again the reimbursement of these three individuals for their personal expense... legal expenses which was earlier defeated twice in this House Chambers."

Shea: "I believe this contains the names of the same individuals that were included in, Mr. Barry's Bill 164, that was defeated although the Senate Sponsor now has another thought and tied it into some appropriations, two million dollars to reimburse the counties for the cost of the Juvenile Court Act and something like a million, seven hundred thousand dollars to pay the retirement expense of the state officers salary."

Kelly: "Well, this is at least a third time... possibly the fourth time that this particular legislation has been brought before us, where the Senate has attempted to pass this personal reimbursement for the three Gentlemen who are running the institution out at Manteno and



where they discharged a certain Clergy out at the hospital and now they are trying to collect personal punitive damages. Now, the Illinois State Constitution says you cannot collect these damages from the state so the reimbursement of these individuals will violate the Constitution and I just can't accept the Legislation which is once again trying to force through an appropriation which should not be and I'm against it."

Shea: "Might I ask.... answer this to you, Mr. Kelly, this is one of those Conference Committee Reports that are signed by six of the ten members, I allegedly or supposedly was supposed to be on the Conference Committee, I assure you I never saw this Conference Committee Report until it was placed on my desk."

Kelly: "I'm sure he didn't."

Shea: "So, I'm what you might say, caught between the devil and a hard place."

Kelly: "Okay, well you can't have my support until it.... you had an opportunity to remove these three. Thank you."

Shea: "I don't know how I can remove them on a Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Shea: "No."

Speaker Blair: "He indicates that he will not."

Hirschfeld: "All right, Mr. Speaker, that's all right."

Shea: "Go ahead, John, I'll answer your questions."

Hirschfeld: "Would you tell me what the Conference Committee Report is supposed to be about, until you get to the extraneous items that the Senate, in its great wisdom has seen fit to tack on here again."

Shea: "Well, you mean the tack on was kinda like the.... those Bills we worked on."

Hirschfeld: "A little like that."

Shea: "Well, the Bill originally, when it came over here, had the money for the state officers... Section 1, of the Bill...appropriated to the State Comptroller to be expended for the purpose of the state employees, state contribution to the state employees and that was probably some two hundred and fifty to three hundred thousand dollars. Section 2, of the Act appropriates two million dollars to reimburse counties for the Juvenile Court Act. Section 3, has twenty-seven thousand, five hundred for Legislative... the national Legislative Conference and the national Governor's Conference.... ten and seventeen-five. Section 4, was seven hundred and twenty-five thousand for the Deaf Schools, Jefferson School in Marion, Illinois. That has been taken out, that was a reappropriation that was taken out because it has already been given to them."

Hirschfeld: "And, where was the germaneness.... ruling give in the House of Lords?"

Shea: "I'll tell you where we are, I raised the point in the House of Lords, if you want to call it that, has passed this Conference Committee. I don't have a chance to try to get a Second Conference Committee corrected report, I'm in a position I may agree or not agree with these people and if you will look at the Conference Committee Report, my name is on there, Mr. Hart's name in on there from the House... neither one of us has signed it, I saw it when it came over here... now, the question and I suppose with many Members, the question of right... whether we should do these or not and I'm sitting here with two million that they reimbursed the Juvenile Court Act, the money for the retirement of the state officers and this wonderful Amendment that they put on... adding Section 4, to the Bill."



Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to address myself to the Conference Committee Report. Now, we've sat down here a long long time and I think we've put up with about all that I'm willing to put up from the Senate, frankly.. and a rose by any other name is still a rose and an onion is the same thing now, Representative Barry, tried to pass this a little bit earlier and he did it with tongue in cheek and I think admittedly so, nobody want it, he couldn't even get 89 votes, he couldn't get close to 89 votes. So, it goes back across the in a Second Conference Committee and it's tacked on to a Bill that is absolutely mandatory to pass and then sent back to the House with a rider on it, it has nothing to do with the Bill. They want to decide it's germane, that's all right with me but what we're actually doing is not carrying out the will of the people of the State of Illinois, we're not carrying out the will of the House of Representatives which has all ready voted against this at least twice as it was pointed out Representative Kelly, and I frankly think that it is great imposition on this House, to come back with this tacked on to a Second Conference Committee. Now, I realize that we must progress or the whole Bill goes down the tube, but frankly I'm willing to let the Bill go down the tube, I think it's unethical, I frankly think it's unmoral, I think it is illegal and I think it is a great imposition on the Members to ask them to vote something that we have already disapproved on a number of occasions. And, so while there is some merit on the major part of the Conference Committee Report, I'm going to vote 'no', because of what the Senate did."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I'm sorry"



that Representative Hanahan can't be here but he did have to leave, he asked me to say that everything he said in reference to Representative Barry's Bill, he would like to say again. Now, I cannot agree more with what has been said, than with what the last speaker said, he said that this Amendment.... Mr. Speaker, the people right next to me are talking and yelling so loud, I can't even hear what I say.... thank you, very much. The Gentleman from Champaign, in my judgment is entirely correct when he says this Amendment is immoral, unethical, illegal and unconstitutional. Now, he is in my judgment, mistaken about one thing, he says this appropriation will go down the tube if we don't pass it. I believe this appropriation can and will be added to an omnibus Bill of Representative Washington, if we defeat it. We should and must be defeat it so that these unethical people will not receive seven thousand plus dollars that they do not deserve from the people of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My name has been mentioned in this debate several times and John Hirschfeld, is quite right for the most part but, John, listen to me please... if you will look at the Conference Committee Report, on the Bill in question you will find that it was signed on July 2, and I want to make it very clear that I didn't know that that was going to issue, I've had nothing to do with it, when I attempted to pass 164, today I assumed that... that attempt several days ago was dead, so let me make it perfectly clear that the Bill can go down the chute, this Bill can go down the chute... I care less. I've made an honest attempt to make my word good and that's where I stop."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ewell."



Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, sometimes I'm a little dense and I didn't quite understand... but if you could just sort of explain to me, just briefly... how did this anonymous Amendment get to be germane to the Bill in the House?"

Speaker Blair: "I can't explain that. Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was on this Conference Committee and I never did see this report either until it became a part of the pile of papers on my desk. Now, this House supported the Amendment that Representative Krause and I, had on there for the monies to implement the Animal Control Act and that money is very much needed by the counties it would provide a twenty thousand dollars for each county for start-up cost for the Animal Control Act that we passed last year and if you will remember we imposed a lot of obligations on the counties and didn't give them any way to pay for it except tax on dog owners, which is not enough and I don't know any rule that requires two Conference Committees to be the end of it. I would like to defeat this Conference Committee Report, I think there are enough things in it... of importance that it might... might result in changing some peoples mind, in the Senate about their attitude toward the House and its Amendment and its Conference Committee action so, I believe if we defeated this Conference Committee Report that there would be some ingenuity applied... it would show the Senate how we felt about the Amendment that we put on in the House and perhaps before we go home we would see some changes in attitude and we would get some help where we need it, so I'm going to vote 'no' on this and urge the rest of the Members to do so and see if we can't get some action out of the Senate that is line with our general thinking."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Peters."



Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it, the previous question has been moved, Mr. Shea, to close."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as Mr. Hart said and I said repeatedly, I don't know who put on Sections 4 and 4-1, of this Bill... I never got to the Conference Committee and I can certainly see there is a lot of opposition to those two Sections. There is fifteen thousand dollars involved... or less than that, there's about nine thousand dollars involved and in the other end of the Bill there is some two million dollars to reimburse the counties of this state and as I said, I'm between the devil and a hard place but I intend to support the Bill and hopefully try to pass it with a 107 votes. If not..and our counties don't get this two million dollars and there is no other place to put it in, it's going to have to come from local taxes, so I would ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1273. All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I didn't intend to rise on these Amendments but apparently what we're doing today is an injustice to old citizen of the State of Illinois. We've had this Amendment up before, four times anyway... maybe five and I think the Senate ought to learn that the House of Representatives has an equal part in the General Assembly and it seems to me that this Bill ought to go down and go down with resounding red light all the way across the board... somewhere the House of Representatives have got to stand up and be counted. Now, it is obvious that all the

Conference Reports we've been getting are signed by six people only... they're not even having Conference Committees apparently. I was on two and I've only been called for one and I resent the fact that even the Minority spokesman on this side of the aisle doesn't even know and I think we have to defeat this very resoundingly and I ask a red light."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I would like to put this Bill on postponed consideration."

Speaker Blair: "All right, you're entitled..."

Shea: "Well, Cal, you might want it to die but I'm concerned about the counties."

Speaker Blari: "All right, the Gentleman is entitled under the rules to have it placed on postponed consideration and there it will go. Now, Mr.... who's handling the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1552, for Mr. Maragos... Mr. Beaupre... All right, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill.... Senate Bill 1552, the Conference Committee Report includes adopting the House Amendment, this is the Bill that imposed 1½% interest rates for non-payment of the income tax, to be paid to the Department of Revenue where a taxpayer files his return but fails to pay his tax. If you will recall, the original form of the Bill was to impose a penalty of 2½% per month up to a maximum penalty of 25%, we amended the Bill in the House to make that 1½% per month under the assumption that it was more commensurate with the going interest rates and the market price. The Senate has agreed to recede from that... from their position of 2½% and I would move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question? As I recall this Bill when it originally came from the Senate it provided for a 2½% penalty per month up to 25% for late payment, am I correct?"

Beaupre: "That's correct."

Walsh: "And what happened to that Bill when... it was a Senate Bill in the House, what happen to that Bill in the House?"

Beaupre: "Well, we brought it.... it was on postponed consideration, we brought it back and put an Amendment on to make the penalty a 1½% interest rate per month and the maximum 18½% per year. It was passed in that form. In the First Conference Committee Report the Senate refused to go along with our Amendment and this Conference Committee Report, the second, they have agreed to go along with our Amendment for 1½% per month interest rate."

Walsh: "Well, it's 1½% per month up to a maximum of 18% or 25%?"

Beaupre: "I believe it's 18½ but I have to check that."

Walsh: "I think it's probably still 25, isn't it?"

Beaupre: "Maybe."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Leinenweber..."

Walsh: "Has this Conference Committee Report been distributed?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, could we take it out of the record for a minute and let me find the Amendment, I'm handling this for Representative Maragos and I don't have it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, let's take it out of the record while it is being cleared up. Take it out of the record. Is Mr. Holloway, returned on Corrections... it's not... is Mr. Schraeder, going to handle that? While they are getting that ready, do you want to take



that 330... Senate Bill 330, it's on Senate Bill, Third Reading back to Second for an Amendment? Does the Gentleman have leave, no objection... you want to read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Juckett. Amends Senate Bill 330, on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 330, would strike the provision of the two hundred or the twenty million dollars, minimum assess valuation for a park district and would put into Senate Bill 330, the provisions of House Bill 2629, which was worked out with the Park District Association for the reduction of terms from six to four years and the optional increase of the board from five to seven members either by board action or by referendum of the people. And I would move for the adoption of the Amendment to Senate Bill 330."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? Is there discussion? Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "I got here a little late, now this is a Senate Bill... it's Second Reading, the same as your Bill is that what you're saying and it reduces the terms from six years to four years and our permissive basis, the board can increase in size from five to seven either by ordinance of the board or by petition or referendum by the people, that's optional or they can remain the present five but the cut in the term from six years to four years is mandatory. An appointed member would have to run in the next regular election, is that still in there? Would an appointed member have to run in the next by annual election?"

Juckett: "I think that was the subject of a previous Senate Bill, it is not in this... Bill."

Pierce: "Oh, that's another Bill. Well, I think you're



saying that your Bill would compromise..... park districts that we passed out, right?"

Juckett: "Pardon."

Pierce: "The Senate Bill is essentially the same now... exactly the same as your old House Bill which is amended to conform and satisfy the Illinois Park District Association, Bob Stewart and so on..."

Juckett: "That is correct."

Pierce: "And I talked to my park district and they are for it and so I'll vote for it. I'll vote for the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all of those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Now, you want to read it a third time."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 330, an Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Who's going to handle it, Mr. Hoffman?"

Clerk Selcke: "Hoffman."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This essentially as the prior speaker has indicated, legislation that was passed from the House to the Senate, we have taken this action out of Senate Bill 330 and inserted this Bill again and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 330, pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Molloy, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 4 'nays' and Senate Bill 330, having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Beaupre, are you ready?"



On the Fourth Supplemental Calendar appears Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1552, which purpose the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre, is recognized."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

In regard to Senate Bill 1552, I believe a few minutes ago we were in the posture of or at least I had made a motion to accept the Second Conference Committee Report of Senate Bill 1552. At that point, Representative Walsh, raised a question in regard to the upper limit of the potential tax liability incurred as a result of non payment and the interest rate that this Bill attached to that non payment of tax liability. The answer to that question is that the maximum penalty payment, that is to say the maximum amount that can be paid in the way of interest under the provision of that Bill... of the Conference Committee Report which is exactly the same as the Bill.... in the same posture the Bill was when it passed out of the House, is a maximum of 24%, the 18½% figure that I indicated to you, Representative Walsh, was the maximum interest rate that a taxpayer could incur as the result of this Bill in one year. So, the maximum penalty if you want to use that term, is 24%, the 1½% interest rate for non payment applies for sixteen months and then that obligation terminates."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to make sure everybody understands, particularly those who are concerned about the Usury Act, 1½% per month is not 1½% interest but that amounts to 18% on an annual basis as opposed to what had previously been submitted, 2½% a month is 30% and I submit that is quite alot of juice."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd also like to point out that in addition to this penalty, for non payment of a return which is duly filed, we also have provided in the Illinois income tax which is the same as the Federal income revenue code, a 6% per annum interest rate for late payment. So, you have 6% per annum plus 18% for the first twelve months which is provided for in this Bill. Now as it is pointed out when this Bill was discussed last week, this is a much more harsh treatment... taxpayers under the Illinois Income Tax Act than would the treatment be under the Federal Income Law. I don't see any reason why we should penalize those who probably because the payment is due at the same time as the Federal Tax, are unable to make payments when due... it seems to me that the 6% per annum plus another factor which is now in the Illinois Income Tax Act, 5% for negligence or intentional disregard to pay, is adequate. Is also a penalty for failure to estimate up to 80% of the tax which is due. I think we should keep our Illinois Income Tax Act compatible with the Federal Act I don't see why we should penalize Illinois citizens anymore than the Internal Revenue Code penalizes citizens of the United States. One further point, in Committee in answer to a question, I put, the representative of the Department of Revenue indicated that this payment would be a penalty and as such, probably would not be deductible on one's Federal Income Tax Act. I think we should give this more consideration and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield



for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Juckett: "What amount or does the state or will the state pay $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ per month to the individual taxpayer when the state willfully hold refund of their taxes."

Beaupre: "Well, I'm not sure that I can answer that question, Representative Juckett, there is no provisions in this Bill dealing with tax refunds whatsoever, I suppose that you would have to look to the Appropriation Bill for the Department of Revenue to deal with possibility of tax refund."

Juckett: "Well, I don't think that the Appropriation Bill would have the authorization and as long as this is dealing with the $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ penalty, I thought they might have put in... did they put in a $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ per month penalty against the government if they willfully withhold a refund."

Beaupre: "Is that a question?"

Juckett: "Yes."

Beaupre: "Would you restate the question please, I..."

Juckett: "It is my understanding that in..."

Beaupre: "Your inquiry escaped me."

Juckett: "Now, it is my understanding that in this Conference Committee Report we're discussing that the individual taxpayer will pay $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ penalty per month if he does not file or pay at the proper time. Does the Bill or does the Conference Committee Report also include a provision that the government will pay to the individual taxpayer $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ per month for every month that the government withholds or willfully withholds a tax refund to the taxpayer."

Beaupre: "The answer to that, is that this Bill was patterned after the Federal governments process and as you know there are no provisions for paying penalties for the government paying penalties back to the taxpayers for



withholding refunds, no."

Juckett: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will. He indicates he will, Jack."

Lauer: "Representative Beaupre, does the state pay interest on funds that are withheld, that is, during the course of a regular withholding and then when the taxable year is finished and a return is filed and there has been an overpayment by the taxpayer or an over withholding by the state, does the state pay interest upon that money that has been withheld?"

Beaupre: "No, as I indicated to Representative Juckett, the withholding program it's fashioned after that by the Internal Revenue Service which attempts to estimate to the nearest degree possible, the amount of tax that the person paying the withholding will incur and as a result, there is no interest payment back to the taxpayer."

Lauer: "Then if the... if it should turn out that the individual taxpayer has no tax obligation, that is... it turns that the state refunds his entire amount of money he would still receive no interest."

Beaupre: "That's correct."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Lauer: "It seems to me that what is sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander and that until such time as the state recognizes its obligation to pay interest on money which was not due to the state... for which the state collected, the state can't expect to receive any more than a nominal interest in a penalty and I would



strongly suggest, Mr. Keeper and fellow members of the zoo, that we vote against this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion, if not, Representative Beaupre, to close the debate."

Reaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Unfortunately.... first of all, for the purpose of the record, I would like to correct a mistatement. This is the First Conference Committee Report and not the Second. Secondly... I would like to suggest that we are on this Conference Committee Report again getting into the debate... the merits of the Bill itself. The fact is and I think there was some mistatements in that debate, that there is a 6% penalty for not filing tax returns and interest is paid on rate of 6% for not filing. This Bill addresses itself to question of what happens when an employer for instance, files the tax return, has the withholding from his employees in his bank account and refuses to pay the State of Illinois the income tax that he has witheld from those employees. It seems to me that in this era of high inflation and in this era of high interest rate, that the state must have a system whereby we collect interest commensurate with the going rate of interest in the market place, for if we don't, we are encouraging large taxpayers to defer payment to the Department of Revenue and thereby deferring tax payments to the state. I would suggest that we have the Bill in the form that we passed it out of the House, it's a good Bill, it's necessary in order to continue the flow of revenue to the state and to see that these state agencies that we are appropriating money for are going to get the funds and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate



1552, all in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This a First Report, Jack? Have all voted who wished? All right, anybody want to explain their vote. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre... turn on Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I get the feeling that maybe we're not paying attention. I see seventy-six people who have not voted, at least by the board. This Bill is in the same form as it was when it flew out of this House with 110 votes a week ago. I can't quite understand the erosion of green lights up there... the Senate in its wisdom decided to concur with our Amendment, to make it much more feasible and much more palatable, the Bill is one that is necessary for us to collect revenues in this state and I would sincerely hope that you would address yourself to it and get a few more green lights up there."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I think what we're offered here is a very reasonable compromise to which started off to be a bad Bill but I think now it is in a very good condition and ought to be voted... and passed out of here. All that we're saying by this Amendment is that we're going to impose a penalty of 1½% a month on anyone that doesn't pay their income tax to the State of Illinois. Now, you know when you have a revolving charge card from any of the big retailers in the state, Sears Roebuck or Marshall Fields or Montgomery Wards, that is the interest rate you pay for the money that for the money that you in fact borrowed when you make an installment purchase and I certainly don't think that the State of Illinois should subsidize people who owe income tax money and for the most part,



we're not talking about the little guy, we're talking about business people who have refused to pay the amount that they owe to the state for income taxes. The wage earner isn't put in this position because his money is withheld from each paycheck. So, we're asking here to impose a 1½% a month, 18% a year effective rates and those people who refuse to remit the amount of money owed on their state income tax. If we don't pass this Bill... what we're really doing, is asking every taxpayer in the state including the wage earner, the people who are on welfare, everybody else... to subsidize these people. I don't think that's fair, I think that this is a reasonable approach to a very serious problem... otherwise you and I and every other working person in this state, is going to be subsidizing the people who refuse to pay their legal obligation to the laws that we have passed in this state and I would hope that we get some more green lights on there to make this Bill effective now so that you and I, aren't paying to pick up the tax of those people that refuse to pay their lawful obligation. And, I would urge some more green lights."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, -Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just to make a couple of observations, number one, if this Bill gets 89 votes... presumably it would become effective July 1, 1975, so I think if you don't want it to become law you should either vote red or or not vote because it will become law sometime if it gets 89 votes. I would also like to point out once again that under the existing law which conforms to the Federal law, there is 6% interest and if there is negligent or willfull failure to pay, there is a 5% penalty.....so we're already built in there for the first



year 11%, now it has been pointed out this is a brand new section, failure to pay and there's a penalty. If this is adopted there will be an addition to the 11%, which is already in the Illinois Income Tax Act an additional 18% for the first year and another 6% for the next 2 months. It just doesn't seem to me to be a good idea at this time to be penalizing our Illinois citizens, I would also like to point out, in my opinion, we're probably going to hit the individual and probably the wage earner who did not have enough money withheld, he's going to have to come up with it on April 15, and he probably comes up with it first to pay his Federal income tax and when he finds out that he's got a Bill coming from the state, he's going to have a tremendous burden which will have been imposed by us if we pass this Bill, I don't think this is the time or the place. The Illinois Income Tax Act has been reaping great rewards for the administrators of government of this state... let's leave it alone and vote no."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, it seems to me that there is a lot of misunderstanding about this Bill. I think the normal little taxpayer that so many of us have been talking about aren't going to be involved here because the amount that they owe at the end of the year is very very small. Now, if you think about a large corporation or a large person with a lot of money, that owe ten thousand dollars... Illinois income tax, presently if they file the return and do not pay the money, they pay 6% interest. If they had no money in the bank and had to borrow that ten thousand to pay their income tax, they would have to pay 10 to 15% interest so, the smart thing for them to do is, borrow money from the State of Illinois whether



the state wants to loan to them or not at the rate of 6%, I think this is a good Bill, it will not hurt the little people, it will force those who do owe considerable amounts of money to pay their tax on time because it is cheaper to pay it than not to pay it. The rest of us pay it, I don't know why all of us shouldn't."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. The question there are 33 'ayes' 51 'nays', 7 answering 'present' and the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1552. On the Supplemental Calendar, #1, appears Senate Bill 1383, for which purpose the Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Jim Holloway, is recognized."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm happy to report that we have had a Conference Committee meeting and now I would like to move to adopt the Conference Committee Second Report... corrected Report. The Senate will concur in House Amendment #2, and 7, and the House will recede from Amendments #5, and 6, and the Senate Bill has been further amended to put back in all of that language that there was no dispute over so, at this time I would like to move to concur in the Second Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1383."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponosr of the motion answer a question, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Duff: "I think I know the answer but I want to be clear on it. It's my understanding and I would like you to comment on it, whether I'm accurate or not. This is the Department of Corrections appropriation and the principal difference is that the minimum security..."



adult institution in Joliet is taken out and a juvenile institution is maintained; is that correct?"

Hollaway: "That is correct."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to address the motion, if I may."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This House has twice... expressed their opinion on this matter, regarding the minimum security institution in Joliet. There is no question at all that we need a minimum security institution within driving distance of the Metropolitan area of Chicago land in Cook County. The only adult minimum security institution in the state today, one of the best adult penal institutions in the United States of America, is in Vienna, now it takes seven hours at a minimum for a family to drive from Chicago, to Vienna and another seven to go home. Now, we have some of the people in our prisons who are the best prospect for rehabilitation in the state, in that institution... the very people who need to be able to see their families... cannot, because their families can't afford the time or the money. Now, this has all been talked about before but the thing that offends me the most about this is that this House has twice expressed its opinion at the lengthy discourse on this subject... a Conference Committee Report was brought back to us with a First Conference Committee which only five members were willing to sign, we expressed our opinion on it again... it's gone back to a Conference Committee and I represent... the feelings of the Speaker and Representative Leinenweber and Representative Sangmeister on this problem but that's going to be true of every community in the state that might not want to have a minimum security institution until they know... how little of a threat it is and I



for one am offended that this House has now twice expressed its opinion on a very important matter for the benefit of our entire correctional system and we're asked once again... take it or leave it because the Conference Committee isn't set up that we'll not acknowledge the will of this House and I know that we're going to pass the budget of the Department of Corrections but I will vote 'no' in protest."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to Senate Bill 1383. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes.... Eddie, vote me 'aye', will you. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 128 'ayes'... LeFleur, 'aye'. 5 'nays', 1 answering 'present' McMaster, 'aye'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to Senate Bill 1383. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a point of personal privilege, it's my pleasure to introduce the Assistant Vice-President of Zion State Bank and Trust Company, Gloria Nealson and her daughter Linda, right up there in the Speaker's Gallery... as our Lady-V.P."

Speaker Telcser: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur to the House in the adoption of Amendment #19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 27, of a House Bill of the following title.... the House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1345, action taken by the Senate July 11, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."



Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's go back to Senate Bill 1272, Senate Bill, Third Reading. Harold... Representative Washington. I'm calling Senate Bill 1272, now... hold it... oh, hold it, I'm sorry... hold it. Take it out of the record. Sorry about that. We ought to give the electrician about five minutes to work on this board, so we can't take any Roll Calls for about five minutes. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2904, Garmisa. Amends Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we'll have to be at ease for about five minutes, Ladies and Gentlemen while the electrician tries figure out what's wrong with this score board. We can't run a board now anyway... Jim, are you ready for yours... no.... Is Representative Madigan, on the floor? Mike, are you in ear shot... Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate, by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to the House Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 1659, action taken by the Senate July 11, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded in request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to the House Amendment #2 and 12, Senate Bill 1382, action taken by the Senate July 11, '1972', Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded in request of the House for a Second Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to



Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, to House Bill 2359. Action taken by the Senate July 11, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede in their Amendment #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2382. Action taken by the Senate July 11, 1974, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, on Supplemental Calendar #5, appears Senate Bill 1345, for which purpose the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, is recognized."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I recommend and suggest and ask the House to not recede from the House Amendment to this Bill and call for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do not recede from House Amendment #19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 27, of Senate Bill 1345. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the House refuses to recede from those Amendments.... the House Amendments of Senate Bill 1345. Okay, Senate Bills... Mike is here. Senate Bill, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1272. Now, the Bill has been read a third time and the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington, with respect to Senate Bill 1272."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I filed a motion on the Table to commit our return, Senate Bill 1272, to the Order of Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment. Let me explain why I've done this.... I wouldn't attempt to do this unless I felt strongly that the equities in this case are differently on my side. It is possible that that was a misunderstanding but I doubt it... but, Mr.... Representative Madigan, on at least three occasions assured me that he was going to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading. He did it last week when we were



here and he reaffirmed his promise to me, when the Department of Transportation Bill was on the floor for a hearing and I relied upon that promise. I know this motion is extraordinary and it flies in the face of a tradition which permits a Member to control his own Bill. As I understand it, the rules do not even cover it, so I'm not suspending the rule I'm simply... by simple motion which I assume is a simple majority asking this House to go along with me in my motion to return this Bill to Second Reading. Ordinarily I wouldn't do it as I said before but it is clear that I did rely upon his promise and basis upon that promise I failed to take other action which I may have taken which would have preserved this agency which so many of us want to preserve. So, I am appealing to the Members of this House, to support me in the motion to return Senate Bill 1272, to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I would like the ruling from the Chair as far as the timeliness and the orderliness of the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there any further discussion before the Chair rules.... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Washington, has made certain statements regarding statements that I supposedly made and I told him and several others for the last hour that there was fully intention of returning this Bill to the Order of Second Reading when it was necessary to amend the Bill in order to provide for the salary increases for the state officers which had been proposed by the State Chamber of Commerce and the salary increases for



ourselves as Legislators. According to the Minority Leader and the Senate, who is the Sponsor of the authorization Bill for those increases, there is no intent at this time to move that Bill to provide for the increases and therefore, I submit that there is no need to amend this Appropriation Bill to provide more money for the increases. Consequently, I see no need to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading which was the only reason I ever intended to return it to the Order of Second Reading. As far as Representative Washington's motion is concerned... I am the Sponsor of the Bill..... very recently the statement of the Speaker was distributed to every Member of this House which reaffirmed the traditional policy of a Sponsor of a Bill to retain that Bill in the condition he wishes. The Bill is now on the Order of Third Reading, my desire is to pass this Bill out in order to provide appropriations for the major state officers salaries and our own salaries and nothing else. And, I would request that... I would request of the Speaker, that Representative Washington's motion be ruled out of order and we have a favorable Roll Call on the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, is there further discussion?

If not, Representative Washington, to close the debate...

Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "I ask for a ruling from the Chair for the germaneness of the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair's aware of that Representative Lechowicz, the Chair will make a ruling as to the motion being proper or not...."

Lechowicz: "The timeliness and the number of votes that are required if necessary, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "I understand that, I would like to give Representative Washington, every opportunity to make his



argument before I do make a ruling. Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, do I have the right to speak against this motion at this time?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Taylor, the question now before us is as to the propriety to the motion itself. If you wish to make a point with respect to the motion, feel free to do so."

Taylor: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think every Member of this House is aware of what this motion is all about, I only think that we just having dilatory tactics here at this time in order to try to bring this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of attaching another Amendment on here that will only delay the adjournment of this House. I feel that it is out of order, I feel that it should not be and I am against it and I will oppose that motion."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington, to make his final point in respect to the motion."

Washington: "Let me respond briefly to the opposition. First of all, there is no question but that this proposed Amendment is germane as you well know by now, it deals with the Governor's office of Human Resources and Senate Bill 1272, is an appropriation Bill setting down executives salaries which certainly makes this Bill... this Amendment germane because the Governor's office is an extension of the Governor, just like his directors and so forth are, so the Bill is germane. As for the timeliness, I had no other opportunity to file it because I didn't know until Representative Madigan, stood up about an hour ago, that he had not planned to honor what I considered a commitment and that he was going to proceed with his Bill on Third Reading and I filed my motion at that point, so quite



obviously it is timely. As to being dilatory, I'm somewhat ashamed of my running mate from 26th District, I think he should go to the dictionary and see just what dilatory means. I am not being dilatory... I'm convinced I have the votes to pass this Amendment on the Bill, I have on several occasions withdrawn Amendments from other Bills, for example, the Department of Transportation Bill, I withdrew the Amendment because I was under the impression as it was a firm commitment on Senate Bill 1272, this is not dilatory and I would say further in closing... I'm committed to going as far as parliamentary and Gentlemanly possible within the bounds of discretion in terms of trying to preserve this agency. I'm not playing about it and if I have a reverse ruling and I am not presuming it, I'm going to have to proceed by way of appeal again. I shall leave no stone unturned to fund this agency which is so vitally important to the people in my community and many of you other communities... both in Chicago and the outlying counties throughout this state. This is a vital agency, it must be preserved, it must be preserved because of a tenth of that agency and it should be preserved because it is the only agency in state government that I know, and it really addresses itself to the question of affirmative action in the area of employment. I'm committed to doing that as many of you are and I appreciate your standing by me in this attempt... I'm not going to back up, it's not dilatory and I'm simply saying to the Speaker and I'm hoping that I will get a favorable ruling... in the event that I don't I'm going to be forced to resort to an appeal and I would urge you to cooperate to the best of your ability. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Washington, prior to the Chair making a ruling, the Chair would like to



personally express to you its appreciation for your forthrightness, your courage and the tenacity with which you fighting for a cause as you believe so strongly in. As you know the Chair with its own personal vote has voted to support you in your position and of course makes it that much more difficult for the Chair to have to rule within the context of the total orderliness and business of the House of Representatives and I am compeled however, it personally pains me, to rule that your motion is not in order... the motion is not filed pursuant to any rule which the House has adopted, should the motion prevail the Chair feels it would lead to chaos in the orderly business of the House of Representatives and for those reasons and for the reason that this Bill has already according to the Constitution of the State of Illinois, passed to the Order of Second Reading in a proper fashion and is now properly on Third Reading, the passage date. The Chair would rule that the motion you have filed is not in order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Are you citing any particular rule, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Chair is making its ruling based on prior ruling made this Session and based of the absence of a specific rule pursuant to which you have filed the motion."

Washington: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I want to avoid appealing from the Chair but if you have some amorphous rule that I can hook into, I would like to suspend that rule if you would so designate."

Speaker Telcser: "I think, Representative Washington, that is the point; there is no rule."

Washington: "I'm not impressed. If there is a rule... if there is no rule, how then can you possible say that my motion is out of order in terms of form which you just said."



Speaker Telcser: "The Chair has made that ruling, Sir."

Washington: "Fine. Then I appeal the decision of the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I believe that has to be in writing."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we'll put it in writing.... the Gentleman from...well, Representative Washington, pursuant to rule 71, any six Members may move an appeal from any ruling of the Chair, are you joined by five Members, Sir? Okay, the Gentleman is joined by five Members... pursuant to rule 71. All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, has moved to appeal the ruling of the Chair with respect to the Gentleman's motion filed July 11, 1974, in which he moves to commit Senate Bill 1272, to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Now, on the Gentleman's motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, do you wish to discuss your motion, Sir, or debate it?"

Washington: "Yes, very briefly I think the issue is fairly drawn, I'm appealing from a non existing rule which I don't know my friend Hersey found, but anyway I'm appealing from that rule. I'm of the opinion that a simple majority... I'm of the opinion that a simple majority would have surprice under ordinary circumstances our rules do not cover this particular procedure so I file an ordinary motion which the Speaker has ruled out of order. He has forced me therefore, to appeal his decision which I find somewhat distasteful to be perfectly frank with you, Mr. Speaker, but I have no recourse but to do this. Now, I can understand that the Members of this House do not want to appeal.... vote to appeal the decision of the Chair, I've been here ten years and I must honestly say that I've never



seen it done but there are some issues that are so vital to the people of the State of Illinois that we have to forget the question of personality, we even have to forget the question of the institution of the Chair and deep in our hearts we have to make up our minds what position do we want. I don't think the Speaker, this particular Speaker would give one bit of a damn if he were overruled, he has done what he thought he had to do. I am suggesting to the Members of this House that they should do what they think they ought to do and what do you think you ought to do. I honestly feel that based on the vote that sends the Governor's Office of Human Resources out of this House with 114 'ayes' and only 12 'nays', I am satisfied that this House has committed itself to the proposition that it wants that agency to continue and the reason... and the thing that sustained me in this fight for the last six weeks in this battle, is the fact that the House has expressed itself clearly. I think the issue transcends the Chair, it certainly transcends the maker of this motion, it devolves upon you to determine whether or not a person who has stood before you and pointed out the equities of the case pointed out that this is perhaps the last resort that we have to continue this agency, whether or not you wish to take the extraordinary action of overruling the Chair and I sincerely beseech you that you do so at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, might I offer a humble opinion that I think that perhaps this order of business is a little bit in error. I would humbly suggest to the Chair that perhaps what he's really doing is suspending the order of business of the House which is Senate Bills... you"



know, Third Reading then Senate Bills, Second Reading so in an attempt to get it back, would it not be so that what he is actually trying to do is change the order of business of the House and would that not be the proper ruling. In other words thinking of moving the Senate Bill from one position back to the other, you are in effect changing the order of business which under our rules that the Speaker may do at anytime but a Member..."

Speaker Telcser: "How can we go to the order of business to get the matter resolved and I've called Senate Bills Third if I call Senate Bill Second the Bill is not on Second, it's..."

Ewell: "That's correct, well he will be asking for a change in the order of business."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I've gone to Senate Bill Third so he can get to the matter and get it resolved, so we can accommodate Representative Washington."

Ewell: "Well, the point is would he not be asking for a change in the order of business in order to take this Bill back..."

Speaker Telcser: "No, I think we'll stay on Senate Bill, Third. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan on the Gentleman's motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The issue before the House at this time is very simple, shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained or shall the ruling of the Chair be overruled. The Chair stated approximately two weeks ago that it is a traditional policy of the House of Representatives that the Sponsor of a Bill shall control the destiny of that Bill. What I am attempting to do here today is to proceed according to the traditional policy of the House of Representatives which has been so ably stated by the Chair very recently and that simply is, I control the destiny of this Bill



and my desire at this point in time is to pass this Bill out, maintain it on Third Reading and obtain a favorable Roll Call. Accordingly I oppose the motion of Representative Washington, who overruled the Chair and I would vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has moved that the Chair be overruled with respect to its ruling to Representative Washington's motion. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion to overrule the Chair signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 89 affirmative votes. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh to explain his vote."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would have to respectfully vote 'aye' in that the ruling of the Chair not be sustained and my reasons are these, I think the Gentleman is correct in the procedure in which the House has operated in the past. He has control of his Bill and that no other Member can call his Bill, however, once the Bill is called as it has been, it is subject to any parliamentary motion that may be placed before the House. Now a motion could be made to recommit to Committee, a motion could be made to table or in this case a motion could be made to take back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. I don't think the person has absolute control over his Bill from the time of its introduction to the time it gets to Third Reading, if that were the case we would never be able to amend a Sponsor's Bill on Second Reading and for those reasons, Mr. Speaker, respectfully dissent the Chair ruling and would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this motion and



and would urge all of my colleagues to do likewise for the simple reason that during my eight years here... regardless of political party, I have always kept my word. It is no secret to anyone hardly in this room that the Sponsor of this Bill gave his word that he would pull this Bill back for the purpose of putting on this particular Amendment. Leadership in... in this Body knows that, the Speaker knows it and the Minority Leaders know it and for the life of me I can't understand how anyone as a Legislator and a respected Member of this Body can go back on his word. Now, I have no quarrel if that is going to be modus operandi but I believe that we owe it to each other to keep our word because in this game of government and politics, if your word doesn't mean anything you've got nothing going. I believe that the Members of this Body armed with the knowledge of the veracity of the statement that I know make should overrule the Chair at this point and allow this Amendment to go on this Bill. Mr. Speaker, I believe that we have a responsibility here to uphold a tradition and I would urge that all of us, knowing full well in the Speaker's heart that he knows that we're right and I believe that right should supersede techniques and chicanery. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We must certainly find ourselves at a very critical point.... now I really don't think there is any real need to go into the technicality of this situation because I think we all really know what is at stake here.... I know Representative Washington and I know Representative Madigan and they are both very honorable men and as so often is the case, honorable men can misunderstand each other. Now, on this issue too over-



JUL 11 1974

137.

rule the Chair is purely a technicality... as a matter of fact the Gentleman who sits in the Chair are voted to sustain the question of GOHR when it was before this House.... on the first occasion. So, what we're really dealing with here is a question of whether or not it is the consensus of the House that this very worthy agency should be retained. It has nothing to do with how I may feel about the Speaker because he knows how I feel about him, having only the greatest respect for him and having only the greatest respect for Representative Madigan and having only the greatest respect for Representative Washington, but the people who have been serviced by this agency will understand only one thing... that if this agency dies, many of the hopes and aspirations that people hold will die with this agency and many good things that have begun will come to an end. So, in that spirit and in recognizing what is at stake, Ladies and Gentlemen let us not stand on ceremony, let us not stand on tradition, let's give this board... this board that now has only fifty-one green lights... let's let it ride up to 89, 90, back to 110 as it was in the first instance and let the people of the State of Illinois know that this General Assembly still has compassion and understanding of the want and needs of all the people."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I submit to you that the Chair made a perfectly reasonable ruling one that certainly is subject possibly to dispute but one that indeed is reasonable. The question of whether any Member can require a Sponsor to move his Bill from Third Reading to Second Reading is one that does not come up every day. The Member of course has an opportunity while the Bill is on Second

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Reading to amend it in anyway in which he sees fit. However, that option is open to him only when the Bill is on Second Reading and I submit that the Chair while there can be some discussion, the Chair made a perfectly reasonable ruling. Now, it seem to me that on the basis of that, all the Membership should support the Chair because some day maybe they will be in the Chair and making a ruling similar to this... one that is neither all right or all wrong and they would like ther support of their... of the Membership on their ruling. To do otherwise, to overrule the Chair would make this process even more confusing and difiicult than it is, because then we would have no guide lines. Now, in addition to that, Mr. Speaker, the question of GOHR has been discussed up and down, backwards and sideways and diagonally, we have talked it over here and there is no way in this Session of the General Assembly that this agency of state government is going to be funded. That has been made perfectly clear over and over again so I submit to you, that those of you who are interested in the agency and interested in finding away to do it have made an error in not finding an earlier way to do it or another way to do it, a practical error but there is no way that it can be funded, regardless of whether the Chair has overruled or not and I submit to you to take this action to overrule the Chair, we'll set a very bad precedent and you will all regret it in the future and you will accomplish nothing by it because the agency simply cannot be funded. So, I urge you to vote 'no' on the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I don't know what to do or say here but, I'm thinking of the words of Dr. Reinhold Niebuhr, in his book 'Moral Man



in a Moral Society', he once incisively said, that politics is the area where power and conscience clash to work out their tentative uneasy compromising and I think we've seen that in these weeks that have gone on around here with regard to this particular issue in GOHR, I think we've seen Legislators who at worst should have been vindictive at best pudentant in effect.. in effect dismantling this office of the Governor's Office of Human Resources. Now, I think... once when this came up and there was an overwhelming vote, I think I did say, I was one of those done in... yes, done in by the Governor and his staff... some of whom were operating from his office and it is true that they engaged in a lot of bum politics and it is true that nonsense has got to stop and I think the act of the General Assembly have made that clear to the Governor and his office. But, notwithstanding that, I remember when Ogilvie, was the head of the Cook County Board and there was a quote either directly or indirectly and he was going to dismantle government, but a hue and cry was spewed in the air by most of our Democratic colleagues and others to that, I don't want to preside or sit here with my colleagues who helped to dismantle this office. Notwithstanding the fact that the Governor's men should be severely reprimended and I think they have, notwithstanding that but as responsible Legislators I think we have to recognize the issue if not procedure but gobbley groop, the issue is, are we going to have this government office funded and try to do something worthwhile for the people... the poor people are, are we going to go down in procedure and ride on our glory of being good politicians. I say let's get those votes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate explaining



JUL 11 1974

140.

my vote very briefly, I believe this is the first time I've voted to override the Speaker, in contrary to Representative Walsh, I'm sure I'll never be in your Chair, Mr. Speaker, but I am happy that you are there at the present time and I watched GOHR operate when it first came into existence in my hometown and very skeptical, I might add Representative Washington and as I watched them for a few months I learned that they were providing a service in helping people and they were listening. Now, I would like to remind the Members of the House of Representatives, that the good Senators a couple of weeks ago voted down a pay raise for the General Assembly because they said, we ought to be part-time legislators, I have campaigned and told the people in my district, I was going to be full-time. But someone else has made the determination we should be part-time, so I say to you, my office will be part-time if I am re-elected and I think there needs to be somebody... somebody to listen to these poor people in my district and in many other districts throughout the State of Illinois and I think that is important enough for me to vote green to override the Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think in his opposition the Majority Leader has clearly delineated just the dilemma that I have been placed in here. Now, with this simple motion to overrule... to suspend a rule, I'm of the opinion that we could get the 89 votes but the Speaker's ruling is putting me in a very awkward position. There was no rule which he was invoking but more or less a sort of curbstone opinion based on tradition and so forth, were there rule in the book and we attempted to override that rule, I think we would get it. Why can't we



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

simply look at this not as an appeal for the decision of the Chair, not as flaunting the ruling of the Chair, not as being disrespectful of the Chair but simply suspending a rule which the Chair invokes, it's just that simple and has no more implication to it than that so, if you're bound by the tradition of not voting against the Speaker, then I think you should just look at it in the true light in which it is presented, which it is a motion to suspend the rules. that's all we're doing here. Secondly I think we should dwell on this question of tradition, certainly tradition is that a Member should control his own Bill, but that rule is not truly and completely absolute, there are extenuating circumstances. I tried to point out the equities in the case which led me to believe that this was one of those extraordinary situation in which the control of a Bill should not rest solely at the discretion of the Sponsor of the Bill and I think I made a reasonably good case and lastly in response to the Majority Leader, I don't know if he is a soothsayer or what but how could he possibly know that this Bill is dead if it doesn't.... if it gets out of this House. I recall we passed.... casted 114 votes for this Bill, I'll be perfectly frank with you, the basic reason why I'm attempting to tack this Amendment on the Bill is because the Senate denied this House.... the Senate denied this House a right to concur or not concur in their action. What they did I think was somewhat diabolical, we sent House Bill 2356 to the Senate.... please Gentlemen please.... we sent Senate Bill..... House Bill 2356 to the Senate, it had two appropriations on it, one was for the Department of Human Relations and the other one was for the Governor's Office of Human Resources, the Senate took the Human Relations budget out of the Bill and put it into 2303, the Omnibus Bill and then they voted down the remainder



which was GOHR and they did it for one very good reason, they didn't want that Bill coming back here so you could non concur with the Senate and force it into a Conference Committee, in other words the Senate took upon themself to make a decision for you, so what I have been trying to do is to get this Amendment tacked on to a Bill so it could go to a Conference Committee. So that this House could its late sale in terms whether or not they think it should be sustained. We are short of votes, I'm going to ask for poll of the absentees when everyone is finished talking."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record.

All right, the Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees, would the Clerk please read the absentees. Representative Duff, for what purpose to you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, from time to time we have difficulty when we're having long verifications with the board, sometimes it accidently it gets dumped... somebody pressed my light on this and I frankly want to wait and listen and I would appreciate it if we make sure the board stays 'lighted' for this."

Speaker Telcser: "He is only polling the absentees."

Duff: "I know."

Speaker Telcser: "Read the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop, Anderson, Barnes... Barnes, 'aye'.

Brummet, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Collins, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, DiPrima, Douglas, Epton, Farley, Fennessey, Garmisa, Gibbs, Harpstrite, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hunsicker, Emil Jones, Dave Jone, Katz, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, LaFleur, Laurino, Leinenweber, Lemke, Mahar, Maragos, McAuliffe, McCormick, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Kenny Miller, Murphy, Neff, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Schlickman, Schneider, Sharp, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Terzick, Thompson, Waddell, Yourell."



Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 62 'ayes', 32 'nays', 27 answering 'present', the Gentleman's motion fails. Senate Bill, Third Reading, Senate Bill 1272, has been read a third time. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would request a favorable Roll Call and it has been fully debated."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1272, pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On the question there are 134 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering 'present'. Emil Jones, 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional three-fifths majority is hereby declared passed. On Supplemental House Calendar #6, appears House Bill 2345."

Clerk Selcke: "Which one?"

Speaker Telcser: "2345 on #6, Fred. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre, is recognized?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the appropriation Bill for the Department of Revenue and I move to accept the Conference Committee Report #2, on House Bill 2345."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? Jack, do you move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report?"

Beaupre: "I move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to House Bill 2345. All in favor in the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 107 affirmative votes. John... have all voted who wished? Get Stehl on. Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you rise?"

JUL 11 1974

145.

dollar spent by the state with the Department of Revenue in enforcement personnel, that the state collects four dollars and thirty-five cents. I submit to you with many of the proposals for tax relief for instance that we've had over the last year, that that eighteen point nine million dollars would cover such things as tax relief for the elderly, would cover such things as remuneration to our counties for the loss of income that they have incurred as a result of the Constitutional change, would do many of the things that many of us believe are important to the citizens of the state and while I concur with the Conference Committee Report, I do indeed believe that we are being penny wise and pound foolish and I would hope that next year we take another hard look at this budget and restore those proposed enforcement personnel people who will do the job of collecting the taxes of this state."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record.

This question there are 144 'ayes' and no 'nays' and none answering 'present', the House adopts Conference Report in respect to House Bill 2345. Supplemental Calendar #5, and you have a Conference Committee Report appears Senate Bill 1264, for which purpose the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo, is recognized."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Second Conference Committee Report is really no different than the first one, it's the same as the one we have on our desk this morning.... which adopts House Amendments 1 and 2, I would urge you all to vote for this Second Conference Committee Report because I know that it is important that we have funding for the Illinois Racing Board for the next fiscal year. I would just like to voice... I might have a little order, Mr. Speaker.... I would just like to voice one objection, I felt that we would have no difficulty

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Beaupre: "I would like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

The budget for the Department of Revenue as submitted was, two hundred and seventy-five million dollars, a hundred and eighty-eight million of that out of the general revenue fund. The Conference Committee Report cuts out approximately two point two million dollars. I rise to explain my vote because I want to voice a personal objection and I'm sure that there are many other Members... especially on this side of the aisle who would concur in that. I am a firm believer in the the Legislature participating in the appropriation process, I've seen other Appropriation Committees work hard and long on these budget Bills and I think basically they have done a good job. While I would have some objections to how some of the Conference Committee Reports have been handled, I do indeed believe that our Appropriation Committee under the leadership of Representative Washburn, has done a excellant job in up grading the appropriation process and the Legislature participation in it. I do however, believe that this is one of those Bills where we're being penny wise and pound foolish, we are so because in cutting out this two point two million dollars in the Department of Revenue budget, we will be eliminating basis upon statistics of the Department of Revenue some eighteen point nine million dollars of income to the state over the next year. I say this because there are some concrete figures that the Department of Revenue has in regard to adding additional enforcement personnel. The cuts that have been made are indeed in the area of tax processing and enforcement personnel, because of a large backlog of uncollectable taxes these people are needed. We have statistics to show that for every



with the Attorney General's Amendment in this Bill, since I read the Chicago Press, this morning and the Attorney General gave out a press release in which he said, he was not interested in having the attorney in the Illinois Racing Board that he was interested in two areas which we have already passed in this House today, however, for some reason this Amendment is still in the Bill... in good conscience in view of the Attorney General's feeling, a press release and in view of my feeling of how executive branch of government should be run, I would respectfully hope that the Governor of our state will have the foresight to amendatorally veto that portion of this Bill. However, that is not for me at this time to say as the Amendment is still in the Bill and I would urge the adoption of this Bill so that state government as far as the Racing Board... can continue can proceed in orderly fashion for the next fiscal year, so I would ask for your affirmative vote in the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman move the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2, in respect to House Bill 1264. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107, affirmative votes the, be effective upon becoming law, 89 for next year. Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This question there are 141 'ayes', no 'nays' none answering 'present' and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to Senate Bill 1264. Mann, 'aye'. Mann, 'aye'. Washburn, 'aye'. On the order of Conference Committee Reports on Supplemental Calendar #5, appears Senate Bill 1382, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan is recognized."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I



move that we do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1382."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do not adopt the First Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House does not adopt the First Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the House does not adopt Conference Committee Report #1, in respect to Senate Bill 1382. Chuck Keller, on the floor? Chuck, do you want that called on the... House Supplemental Calendar #5, appears House Bill 2382, for which propose the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller is recognized."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, in House Bill 2382."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "I would like to know what they are."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Keller."

Keller: "One of them... Amendment #1, increases the retirement fund by eleven thousand dollars, Amendment #2, reduces personal services by seventy-nine thousand dollars..."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Charlie, could you explain the seventy-nine thousand dollar reduction in personal services."

Keller: "Yes, I can explain that seventy-nine thousand dollar in personal services reduction is the doing away the salary of... out of the bureau of the budget of Marylee Leahy, Don LaFleur... is it, and three other the Governor's aides that are being paid for... misappropriated in the budget... in the department budget where they should be paid out of the Governor's office."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt, Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2382. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes to be effective upon becoming law. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. There are 126 'ayes', 15 'nays', one answering 'present'. The House adopts the Conference.... Mann, 'no'. And the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2382. On the Supplemental Calendar #6, appears House Bill 2869 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty, is recognized."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2869. This Amendment simply increases the amount for retirement in the amount of five thousand and five hundred and twenty dollars and increases the purchase of drug treatment services in the amount of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars and this reflects a transfer from the I.D.A. budget and I would move for the concurrence in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2869. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes would be effective upon it becoming law. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Borchers, 'aye'. This question there are 147 'ayes', no 'nays' and none answering 'present', the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill 2869. Looks like I've got another motion here... on the Supplemental Calendar #6, appears a motion with respect to House Bill 1577, Representative Lemke, you want to... Representative Lemke, you want to move on your motion, Sir?"

Lemke: "This is a motion to take a Bill from... House Bill



1577 from Rules and have it put on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "What does the Bill do?"

Lemke: "What's that?"

Dunne: "What's the Bill?"

Lemke: "This Bill?"

Dunne: "Yeah."

Lemke: "This is to make a change in the Mechanics Lien Law."

Dunne: "I didn't hear it."

Speaker Telcser: "He didn't hear you, Representative Lemke."

Lemke: "A Bill to make a change in the Mechanics Lien Law. so that the homeowner is protected from unnecessary liens."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunne, Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Well, I didn't really get much out of that explanation but it sounds like something that should go to Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion with respect to the Gentleman's motion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "I should like, Mr. Speaker, I should like to inquire what the... whether or not this Bill is an Appropriation Bill, a Revenue Bill and if it is an emergency governmental matter. I should like to have it explained, in accordance with the rules of this House, if were adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lemke."

Lemke: "Well, this Bill is on the... in Rules Committee and I believe it is an emergency matter to the homeowners who are crying about for protection and I think it is just a simple Amendment and... to the Machanics Lien Law to protect the homeowners that we all represent, that's all."

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Representative Palmer, please."



Palmer: "May I inquire, Mr. Speaker, a Parliamentary Inquiry. Does that rule or does the rule not state that only Bills... Revenue Bills Appropriation Bills and emergency governmental matter Bills be considered."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, that was the intent... you know, the Rules Committee...."

Palmer: "The intent or is the letter of the law... of the rule?"

Speaker Telcser: "When it is considered that a Bill introduced this Session... that the Gentleman is complying with the House Rules... to suspend thirty-one point one, in order to have his Bill heard. He would need 107 votes to do this."

Palmer: "I understand what the requirement are but I also... my point is this, does the rule that we adopted in here that use the word emergency as an adjective to governmental which was a noun matters. Was it emergency matter or emergency governmental matters?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that the House in Senate Bill 1010, this is not a governmental matter and this is a Bill that they took off the table and put on the floor and amended it and I think I'm doing the same thing here to protect the homeowners."

Palmer: "I have a Parliamentary Inquiry point."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Palmer, the Chair would rule that the Gentleman's motion is a proper one, in effect discharge the Rules Committee..."

Palmer: "I should like to have the Chair, Mr. Speaker, to read that portion of the rule, I think it says emergency governmental matters."

Speaker Telcser: "Romie."

Palmer: "The rule that was adopted as to the consideration of Bills in the spring Session of this year, 311..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right and obviously the Rules Committee didn't feel that it was an emergency matter."

Palmer: "Well then, shouldn't the proper motion be to suspend rather than to take from the table."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he's not taking from the table, he's taking from the Rules Committee."

Palmer: "I understand that, but should not... first of all I would like an answer to the question as to the wordage that's used in the rule that was adopted here."

Speaker Telcser: "What portion of Rules 31, are you citing, Representative Palmer?"

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have that rule that was adopted at the beginning of this spring Session whereby all the Bills, at whatever stage went back to the Rules Committee.... there was a rule adopted here that indicated that only Appropriation Bills, Revenue Bills and Bills... I believe it to be emergency governmental matters be acted upon."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, the key phrase in that rule is emergency matter, when the Rules Committee met on all the Bills that were brought before it, it made a judgment if it was an emergency or not. The Rules Committee obviously felt that this Bill was not an Appropriation Bill, not a Revenue Bill and not a Bill dealing with every day affairs of state government and not an emergency and therefore the Rules Committee left the Bill in the Rules Committee. Now, Representative Lemke, disagrees with the Rules Committee and pursuant to Rule 31.1, he has filed a motion in effect to discharge the Rules Committee. I think his motion is proper although I will not support it."

Palmer: "Well, if this Bill... if the word governmental is used in the rule that was adopted, then the proper motion, I believe would be to waive the requirement of the rule that was adopted at that time or to suspend the operation of that rule, rather than to take it from the Rules Committee."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, if you would look at 31.1, Section E."

Palmer: "If the Chair would read it, I would appreciate it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Committee on Rules rejects a request filed under paragraph (c), or fails to take action on such a request by the end of the week following the week of its filing, the Chief Sponsor of the Bill may file with the Clerk of the House a motion to refer this Bill from the Committee on Rules to the Committee on the assignment of Bills or a motion to return the Bill to the Standing Committee from which it was transferred, or to the order of business on a Calendar from which it was transferred. Such a motion may be filed only within two Legislative Days after the rejection of the request by the Committee on Rules or in the case of in action by the Committee on Rules during the second week filing following a filing of request. A motion shall be carried on the Calendar for one day before it may be acted upon such motions may require an affirmative vote of 89 Members. A motion to refer or a motion to return made under this paragraph not adopted within eight Calendar days of its appearance on the Calendar shall be tabled and the Bills to which it relates shall finally tabled and stricken."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me just state my objection and I have a great amount... there is nothing about the Sponsor of this Bill that I'm concerned with and I think it has merit. But, we've been down here a long time and there has been Bills spun from the Rules Committee out of this House that's caused us to stay here three or four days overtime that could have well not been considered during this time. I believe that this Bill should not come out of the Rules Committee, I have ten Bills myself, I didn't argue about nine... one came out. I made no argument about it but I think



that the rules that this Bills should stay in the Rules Committee, I don't believe it is any one of the classifications that my memory was of the rule that was read and I.... perhaps if there is any sense of despair almost as result of having been down here and listen to the, as it was described, the pet and the pile of Bills here that contributed to the situation that we're in right now. I hope this Bill stays in the Rules Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dunne: "Question of the Sponsor, if he will yield."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Dunne: "Representative, over the course of this Session I've heard you passionately and elegantly argue your case for Bills that you've sponsored or co-sponsored or favored. Now, you're calling this an emergency matter and all I ask is that you in your usual elegant and passion style, give us a full explanation of why this Bill is an emergency and should be passed, I see it has some very fine sponsors and I want to support your motion and all I ask for is a decent explanation, would you do that please."

Lemke: "Well, I'm sure that we're all aware of... most of us in some way connected with owning a home or something we're all aware of the emergency situation and people that are liens are attached to their homes, who unknowingly don't know they have already paid and all of a sudden a lien is attached to their home and they have got to go into court and there is a lot of people that have to pay double on this, this is an emergency matter. I mean to the homeowners and we're all talking about the homeowners, I heard the cry on Senate Bill 1010, which is a similar Bill it is not a governmental Bill and I think this is the time we should take care



of the homeowners like we're doing and I urge everybody to vote for the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I agree with the Sponsor of this Bill because unless you've had dealings with unscrupulous subcontractors and contractors perhaps you don't see the necessity of a Bill like this, this is a Bill designed to avoid the infringement on property by either unscrupulous subcontractors or contractors who take advantage of old ladies and widows and other people who are afraid to say no and get responsive contractors and start working on their premises before they even know they are doing it, I think it is a very worthwhile Bill for people who have homes and this includes a lot of our senior citizens and certainly there is no reason why this Bill shouldn't be considered an emergency because it will provide notice by certified mail to anyone who is involved with a contractor or subcontractor that they are about ready to start work on their house and give them an opportunity to stop them if they're aware of the fact that they have gotten into some horrible contract and I think that the motion of Mr. Lemke's deserves consideration and I would like to vote 'aye' on the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman. We'll have to stay here until about next Thursday if...."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just an inquiry of the Chair, I have been informed that the Senate is adjourning and they intend to come back tomorrow to finish up the business of the state and I would like to know if House will stand in recess...."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm informed right now, Representative Hoffman, they are standing at ease waiting for us to



send messages over there and Conference Committee Reports. They are waiting for us to do something substantive."

Hoffman: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "Good idea, the Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the previous question is moved. Representative Lemke, do you have any closing remarks for your case?"

Lemke: "I urge everybody here who all represents the homeowners the people who own their private residence to vote for this Bill to prohibit unscrupulous subcontractors from slapping liens on homes and having them go to court and have to hire attorneys and other matters and I urge an 'aye' vote in this matter."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the Rule 31.1 to bypass and place on Calendar Second Reading, House Bill 1577, all in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'... all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 20 'nays', 6 answering 'present' the Gentlemans motion fails. Okay, Jimmy Taylor... Representative Taylor, are you ready for your matter? No.... no, he's got some problems. John... Matijevidch, you've got... what's that Bill number, Fred? 2359, do you want that called now? Okay, on the order of Conference Committee Report appears House Bill 2359 for which purpose the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevidch is recognized."

Matijevidch: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we've worked out satisfactory to everybody satisfaction, the disagreements that we had on House Bill 2359, which is the Mental Health Appropriation.... the Senate has now added on the five hundred and ninety thousand to Murray Center, at Mt. Vernon to Charles Keller's



satisfaction and a few others around here, they had receded from the Attorney General's Amendment and also the biggest area of controversy was the... with relation to the Dangerous Drug Commission which we now this House you know, overwhelmingly passed the Drug Commission Bill and they were trying to withhold the appropriation to that Commission. We worked that out too, so in all the other Amendments we... the House had already concurred with the Senate Amendments so I now move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2359."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2, in respect to House Bill 2359. All in favor of the adoption will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes to become effective upon becoming law. Kitty, do you want to hit my switch. Molloy, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. 138 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering 'present' and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to House Bill 2359. All right, we've taken care of the last two Supplemental Calendars and... what else have you got, Fred, anything? Representative Juckett, are you somewhere in ear shot for one of your Bills? Representative Juckett, if you are come on back. Brinkmeier, 'aye' on the last Roll Call. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1382, Senate request a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second



Conference Committee Report Senate Bill 1264, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 1383, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 1552, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2345, adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representative the passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2869 together with the following Amendment in the adoption which the Senate asks for concurrence of the House. Action taken July 11, 1974, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, do you think it would be possible that I could get those Conference Committee Reports before we vote on the Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "You mean the ones printed?"

Taylor: "I would like to see them, Sir. I would like to know what's in the Bill... in the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Clerk says that they are distributed, Jim."

Taylor: "1552, I don't have."



Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1129, Redmond, et al. House Resolution 1128, Geo-Karis, et al. House Resolution 1127, Kelly, et al. House Resolution 1132, Choate, et al. Whereas, Members of this House have learned with great pleasure of the 33rd Wedding Anniversary of the Honorable W. J. (Bill) Murphy, distinguished Majority Whip and Representative of the people of the 31st Legislative District and whereas, Bill and his lovely wife, Virginia, were united in marriage on July 10, 1941, and have spent 33 wonderful years together and whereas, Bill and Virginia are the parents of three fine sons, William, John and Robert, who join their parents in celebrating this happy occasion and whereas, Bill and Virginia are active and effective contributors to many worthwhile endeavors in the community of Antioch and are well liked and respected by their multitude of friends and neighbors and whereas, Representative, Murphy during terms in the General Assembly, including Majority Leader service in the Seventy-third, has demonstrated high dedication to duty to the people of his district and all the people of Illinois, therefore, be it Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that Members of this House join in extending our heartiest congratulations and best wishes to Bill and Virginia Murphy on the occasion of their 33rd Wedding Anniversary and be it further resolved, that we wish this wonderful couple many more years of happy married life and be it further resolved, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be prepared and presented to Bill and Virginia as a token of our affection for them."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Geo-Karis wants a word or two."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In as much as the Honorable Minority Leader is



tied up on the telephone, I have been asked to move the adoption of this Resolution congratulating and honoring Representative Bill Murphy, the Majority Whip for his 33rd wedding anniversary and if everyone will join us and put on the microphones... let's sing happy anniversary dear Bill, okay."

Speaker Telcser: "Rendition of happy anniversary. Rendition by Representative Geo-Karis. Read another Resolution, Mr....."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1134, Rayson."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, start the piano."

Geo-Karis: "Happy Anniversary to you, Happy Anniversary to you, Happy Anniversary dear Bill, Happy Anniversary to you. I can't hear all the wonderful voices, where are you."

Speaker Telcser: "One more time, Geo.... one more time. One more time, no..."

Clerk Selcke: "There's Juckett."

Speaker Telcser: The Lady offers to move the adoption of Agreed Resolution. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill... what is that number, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "1464."

Speaker Telcser: "1464, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Juckett, is recognized."

Juckett: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The Conference Committee Report on 1464, which received a great vote of seven and a hundred and twenty-four votes last time, we've eliminated the problem in there which was the Dangerous Drug Commission portion and now it is solely the result of 2671, which is the advance payment for mental health grant and aid agency, the Senate just adopted the Conference Committee Report and I urge the House to do likewise."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House... is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the House adopts Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to Senate Bill.... House Bill 1464. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no', it will take 107 votes. Charlie.... Getty, vote me 'aye', will you. Molloy, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Molloy, 'aye'. On this question there are 118 'ayes', Randolph, 'aye'. no 'nays', none answering 'present', Thompson, 'aye'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report #2... Merl Anderson, 'aye'.... with respect... Mann, 'aye'. Porter, 'aye' and Washburn, 'aye'. Jaffe, 'aye'... with respect to House Bill 1464. Death Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "Death Resolutions... House Resolution 1130, Dave Jones, et al. Respect the memory of Mr. James M. Walsh. House Resolution 1131, Dave Jones, et al. Respect the memory of Meredith J. Rhule. House Resolution 1133, Davis, et al. Respect the memory of Fleetwood M. McCoy."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, offers and moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Death Resolutions are adopted. Hey, Bob... Bob Walters, you want to do that little action now? You want to come up here or what? Is Representative Sangmeister, on the floor? Representative Sangmeister... Well... must be in a Conference Committee somewhere. Further Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1135, W.D. Walsh, resolved that a Committee of five Members be appointed by the Speaker of the House to approve the Journals of the House from Journal #91 of Monday, October 15, 1973, through Journal #162 of Friday, July 12, 1974. Members on the part of Republicans are Dave Jones, Gibbs and



Borchers. On the part of the Democrats, Londrigan and Tipsword."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, offers to move the adoption of House Resolution 1135. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. What have you got now, Fred? Okay, we're waiting now on the Supplemental Calendar #7, to be printed and distributed which will have printed upon it the subject of the Energy Council which all the principals have agreed to and of course the Legislators will have their say. I don't know, is the Conference Committee Report been distributed, Chalkie? Not yet.... Well, on the Supplemental Calendar #7.... what do you mean done... Oh, we've all ready taken care of 1464 and we've taken care of 2359. On Supplemental Calendar #7, appears Senate Bill 1659, for which purpose the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair, is recognized."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the resolving hopefully of the Energy Resources matter... what we're doing here through a Conference Committee Report which is signed by all of the Conferees both out in the Senate on both sides.... we will recede from our Amendment #1, which deleted the Council as it was set up in that Bill, that was an operating Council... what we're doing with the Conference Committee Report is to insure that the operating end of the Coal Development Bond program will be in the Department of Business and Economic Development... but that there will be an advisory council to the department and that will be chaired by the Governor, the Lieutenant Governor will be Vice Chairman and the Council does have the Directors of the Business Economic Development, the Chairman of the Capital Development Board, Director of the Department of Mines and Minerals, the



Secretary of the Department of Transportation, the Chief of Illinois Water Survey, the Chief of the Illinois Theological Survey, Chairman of the Illinois Commerce Commission, the Director of Environment Protection Agency. I think and then we're going to move to adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to the Commission which will leave it as a pure Legislative Commission and the amounts of this bond program as recommended for Senator Bayh, BED will have to meet with the approval of the Commission which will purely Legislative and some public members..."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion?"

Blair: "I will be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to stand-up and acknowledge the fine work of the leadership on both sides of the aisle in this Chamber and in the Senate to put together what I think is a very major piece of legislation, to fully develop the coal resources in the State of Illinois and to utilize all of the talent that we have and that is, including the Governor and Lieutenant Governor, Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Council and the Legislator Leadership in the General Assembly as well as the expertise in the Executive Branch of government and I'm very pleased to stand-up and support the Speaker's motion for adoption of this Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the Sponosr yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Pierce: "This Council is advisory to the Department of Business Economic Development, is that right?"

Blair: "Right."



Pierce: "And the Governor is Chairman of the Council?"

Blair: "Right."

Pierce: "Now if the BED doesn't take his advice, the Governor could fire the Director of BED, so it sounds like a pretty effective advisor doesn't it?"

Blair: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear that."

Pierce: "The Governor as Chairman of the Council that advises the Department of Business Economic Development which is, the Director which is one of his appointees and a Cabinet Member, is that right?"

Blair: "Right."

Pierce: "What happens if he doesn't take the Governor's advice... can the Governor remove the Director."

Blair: "I don't try to invade the Executive field as how he handles his relationships with his co-department head."

Pierce: "All right, I'm sure he... I'm sure the Director will appreciate that good advice from the Governor, Lieutenant Governor and the other ten Cabinet members and I think this a good compromise, it gives the Lieutenant Governor a statutory position, it gives the Governor the opportunity to advise... one of his directors who is supposed to advise him and I think it really is good inter-governmental cooperation and maybe the political scientists will study it and spread it to other states. So, I'm going to support you on this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, could you explain... I have the Conference Committee Report but I don't have the members on that Conference Committee that were working on this."

Blair: "From the House there were... myself and Mr. William Walsh, Mr. Telcser, Mr. Keller and Mr. Berman... Mr.



Keller and Mr. Berman."

Houlihan: "That clears up how the Conference Committee Report came out, I appreciate your helping that."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Speaker... will the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions here?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Cunningham: "Speaker Blair, you remember on the memorable Sunday afternoon, it would have been the 30th of June, there was a rather heated discussion apparently in the Democratic Caucus, that afternoon and after that was over the news media reported that a compromise had been affected and the compromise sounded identical the way you have explained the Bill, did I miss some part of it a moment ago or was it not identical to the Conference Report?"

Blair: "Well, I was not a participant in that caucus, it was a Democrat caucus and I wasn't a participant to the news media questions after the Conference and I would not want to inject myself into a dispute between the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor."

Cunningham: "Well, I didn't want to imply that the Conference had stolen the make piece arrangement of the Conference but I did want to suggest as the months roll along, you will have an occasion to recommend a site for this new coal station and down in Mt. Vernon, in the 54th... if you will be quite over there... Mt. Vernon, in the 54th District is ideally suited for any coal utilization location in the State of Illinois... appreciate your..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1659. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Bill, vote Harry will you. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I would like to explain my vote on this adopting of the Conference Committee. I believe that what we've adopted is a cumbersome and a very difficult way to really manage what is a very important and a task which requires efficiency in government and efficiency not by duplication of personnel and I reluctant vote 'aye' on this because the Conference Committee Report puts us in a position of either voting against involving all of our state officers rather than some more equitable position which would be to put them on the Legislative and public Commission and I reluctant vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Molloy, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Take the record. This question there are 150 'ayes', 1 'nay' and 1 answering 'present'. The House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1659. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2651, for which purpose the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair is recognized."

Blair: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What we're doing here is receding from or concurring in the Senate Amendment #2, the House Bill and we sent the House Bill over to the Senate... it was a Commission to be chaired by the Governor and the Lieutenant Governor as Vice Chairman, now that we've taken care of the Governor and Lieutenant Governor, on this advisory council, this Commission will end up being a purely Legislative plus some public members but with the Legislative Members in the majority on the Commission. The importance of the Commission is that it will have the final sign off authority with respect to the bonds that would be sold and how the proceeds of those bonds will be utilized in connection with the development of Illinois coal



resources. I would move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report, I think we have taken care of having the Legislative branch government involved and sign off... we've taken care of the Governor and Lieutenant Governor and hopefully all this will take care of development of Illinois resources, especially in the area of coal."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "I can't find a copy of either one... either the one we just passed and the one that we're talking about now, on my desk and I can't find any Conference Committee Report and I wonder.... Okay, I've got the one we're talking about now. I never have found one on the 16... whatever was the last one."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will someone get Representative Hart, 1659 Conference Committee Report. The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2651. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes to become effective immediately. Molloy, 'aye'. Molloy, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? John Porter, 'aye'. Porter, 'aye'. You're on John. Molloy, 'aye'. Jim Houlihan, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 148 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering 'present'. The House adopts Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2651. You want to do that now, Bob, or tomorrow? On the order of Postponed Considerations appears House Resolution 932, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, is recognized."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the Resolution Sponsored by Representative Peggy Smith Martin, she had to leave



because of a family emergency and therefore asked me to handle this matter in her absents. As a prelude to my explanation of the Resolution, I would tell all of you that Peggy Smith Martin, is a modest person and has not really talked about her contribution to prison reform here in Illinois but, I happen to know that on many occasions, Peggy has gotten up at three and four o'clock in the morning... before coming to the Legislature to visit the penal institution of this state from one corner of the state to another. She has already made fantastic contribution. Now, this Resolution was voted out of the Executive Committee and it sets up an eight man Special House Committee on prison reform four from the Republican side and four from the Democratic side. It is not intended to compete with any existing Commission, it is intended to supplement work of existing Commission by inquiring into some of the issues and areas that the existing Commissions have not dealt with and I'm talking about rehabilitation, isolation of criminals in our society.. understaffing, absence of racial balance, the roll of correctional officers, the environment inmate development, discipline, return to the community and other areas. Now, I think that, Peggy Smith Martin, will do a competent, conscientious job in this area, I'm certain that the Minority Leader and the Speaker, will appoint Members of the House who are competent in this area but I want to assure you that in my judgment it will not be competitive with existing Committees and for that reason I would ask for your affirmative vote and I would ask for 89 votes, in as much as it does set up a House Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I re-



luctantly raise to oppose this House Resolution but, I think I must in all fairness to the present Commission chaired by, Senator Ozinga and Sub-chairman... Co-chairman by Jimmy Holloway, in his absence. I would like to point out that the Digest is very correct, it says it creates a Special Commission on prison reform to investigate the Department of Corrections at institutions and to pay particular attention to lack of effective management systems, racial imbalance the roll of correctional officers and to any other areas which may be found to be in need of improvement. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Commission function that does not exist. And, I hate to see an expenditure of money on a duplication of services, I talked to Miss Martin, the other day and I advised her that if she was interested in prison reform, that she should seek membership on the Commission because there will be a vacancy existing and at that point when she feels the Commission is not doing its duty as expounded in the statute, she then could advise the members and they could take action at that point. And, I say at this point this is a duplication and if the matters that she is bring to your attention, not been fulfilled then she could bring to our attention in January, by a member of the Commission and I ask for a resounding 'no' vote as you did the other day."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Juckett: "Does this Committee.... or if this Committee were formed, would the Committee subpoena power?"

Mann: "No."

Juckett: "If the Committee were formed, would the Committee



have immunity power?"

Mann: "No."

Juckett: "How much money is involved?"

Mann: "Well there is no money involved... as you know, Bob, these Committee if they do incur any expenses are reimbursed through the Speaker's discretionary fund, but there is no appropriation, Bob."

Juckett: "Well, thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Commission which Representative Schraeder, just mentioned.... the Visitation Commission does have subpoena power... does have immunity power... does have a staff... does have money and it's specifically set up for the purpose of investigating the correctional systems of the State of Illinois. It is doing the job... unfortunately I guess, Mrs. Martin is not on that Subcommittee, she is on the Educational Subcommittee, but I would suggest that the Members of the General Assembly and to the Members of the House, that we all ready have the vehicle... there is no need for duplication, there is no need for open ended purposes... we should go with the Visitation Commission for the purposes specifically defined... where the powers are specifically defined... where the powers have been proven, where there would not be an attack on it and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of my good colleague, Representative Peggy Smith Martin's Resolution. She is a freshman here and she has taken a great interest in the problem of correction. Now, I really don't want to make any criticism of any other groups, there is certainly valid purpose in the visitation Committee visiting the various institutions... she is looking at it differently

she is looking at the management of those institutions. I would say to you that the penal system in Illinois and the correction problem is so bad... so untended too, there really is plenty for Visitation Committee to do and also for this very excellent freshman, who wants a Committee to be able to look into the problem of correction and I would urge you... there is very little if any money involved here, she has already demonstrated a real interest in this problem... she is out there visiting these institutions, let her use the Committee system to further her interest... there are many prisoners who will benefit from this and whole system will benefit by a fresh walk and I would urge support to give this freshman a boost by voting favorably for this little Resolution and I don't know why people are so opposed to it."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Representative Mann, to close the debate."

Mann: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. You know there are many roads to Damascus and given the complexity of the Illinois penal institution situation, given the fact that, we here in Illinois do not want Attica, we do not want riots in our prisons, we do have many many problems which this kind of a Committee could attack as a supplement of the main work of the Visitation Committee. We're not making any commentary in the Visitation Committee all we're saying is, that here is a young woman who has already done a yeoman work in the vineyard of prison reform that we could permit her to continue that work without interrupting the work of the Visitation Committee and on that basis I would ask you to support her and to support this House Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt House Resolution 932. All in favor of the adoption



signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 89 votes. Does anyone wish to explain their vote? Molloy, 'aye'. Anyone wish to explain their vote? Have all voted who wished? Kitty... Have all voted who wished? Take the record. I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know what the nature of the resistance is, to this particular Resolution. I would think that everyone of us would be deeply concerned about the prison situation here in Illinois. There have been frightening echos of Attica sounded here in Illinois. We have already had.... within the time of this administration, one prison riot which was not the fault of the administration but I would think that given this kind of a very complex situation with a lot of young angry men coming into our penal institutions, with all the problems of site selection and site location, with all the racial problems involved, with all the psychiatric problems involved, I would think that we would welcome all of the constructive help that we could get. After all this is a Legislative Commission, it's a House Committee, I don't think we have to worry about how responsible it will act and I certainly think we ought to have some more green lights up there. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

As I said when this Bill was up on... before this Resolution, excuse me, for consideration... the problem of the Illinois Department of Corrections are enormous and I think that if any Legislator is willing to look into those problems and to begin to try to help to seek the solutions, we should give that person all the



encouragement we can, rehabilitation in Illinois, right now is pretty much a joke, if you go to the prisons and talk to the people you will find a typical prisoner at Stateville saying, yes I've been at Pontiac twice, I've been at Menard three times, I've done some time at Levenworth and now here I am at Stateville. I was arrested back in 1958 for smoking two marijuana cigarettes and I first shot heroin when I was at Pontiac. This is the way it is right now in Illinois prisons, what Peggy Smith Martin is asking to do is just have the power to go in and to try to make some recommendations to begin to solve some of these problems. We have an obligation to start saving the taxpayers money, we can't just continue to pour it into the prisons, to continue to punish people, we have an obligation to rehabilitate people and that's what Peggy Smith Martin, is asking for a chance to do with this Resolution. I wish that there would be a lot more green lights up there on that board."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to explain my green vote on this Resolution and anything that I say in support of the Resolution certainly is not by way of criticism when the present administration of our prison system. But, the problem is a serious one not only in Illinois but all over and it is a subject on which this Legislator certainly needs more information. I think that during the next Session we are going to have a lot of Bills that will be dealing with the subject of correction and a Legislative fact finding Commission to which it can... make a study of the problems that are involved, this would be very very helpful in considering any future Legislation on this subject and I think it is an area that the



entire country is faced with today, I'm sure that we all know where are short comings to the problems of the prison correction and that this Legislature should take a bold step forward and make attempt to correct those problems. So, I would hope that we would get enough green lights up on the board so that we can appoint this Commission and give the Legislature the kind of facts that it's going to need in the future in order to approach the problem intelligently."

Speaker Telcser: "The question there are 56 'ayes' 21 'nays', 2 answering 'present' and the Gentlemans motion fails. Now what? Jim, are you ready for your Second Conference... Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to House Bill 2402, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2402, makes the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department - Personnel. This Bill has gone through a number of Amendments both in the House and the Senate and the Conference Committee Report initially had all six Republicans signing it, it is now signed by all the Members of the Conference Committee let me explain briefly what it does, it... the Senate recedes from Amendment #2, which is the Attorney Generals Amendment, the House then concurs with Senate Amendments 1, 3, 5, 7 and 8, which basically are reductions in areas of the department and then the House is.... receives a restoration on the Department of Field Services, it was originally cut by a hundred forty-seven thousand dollars, they have restored eighty-four thousand into that area. It is, after some conversations with the Director who feels that the Senate Amendment and some of the House Amendments would seriously curtail the operating efficiency of the department, it would



seriously effect their monetary ability and their abilities to operate efficient field and services but is under the impression that since this is a Second Conference Committee and since this... it puts us in the posture of getting something rather than nothing, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on House Bill 2402."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2, with respect to House Bill 2402. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. It take 107 affirmative votes. Kitty, do you want to vote me 'aye'. Molloy, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Geo-Karis, 'aye'. On this question there are 147 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering 'present' and the House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2402. Gibbs, 'aye'. Gibbs, 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is some seven or eight items of business that must be taken care of before we adjourn finally and it's hoped that over night that there will be some agreement on these and that after coming in, in the morning we'll be able to get out at a reasonable time tomorrow finally but, for now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 9:30 A.M., tomorrow July 12."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House stand adjourned until the hour of 9:30 A.M. tomorrow morning, July 12. All in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House stands adjourned until 9:30 A.M. tomorrow morning. First Special Session will now come to order, the Gentleman has asked leave to use the attendance Roll Call for the First Special Session. Hearing no objection



JUL 11 1974

175.

that will be the attendance Roll Call. Fred, do you have anything in the First Special? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, now moves the First Special Session stand adjourned until adjournment of Regular Session tomorrow. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The First Special Session does now stand adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1		Speaker Blair	House in order	
		Dr. Johnson		
		Speaker Blair	Roll call for attendance	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Resolutions	
		Speaker Blair		
2		Walsh	Moves adoption of Agreed Res.	
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads further Resolutions	
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bills, 3rd	
3		Speaker Blair	Senate Bills, 2nd	
		Cunningham	Request to hear HB 2587	
		Speaker Blair	House Bills, 2nd	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2587, 2nd	
		Cunningham	Explains Amendment #1	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Question	
4		Cunningham	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 adopted	
5		Speaker Blair	3rd Reading	
		Cunningham		
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Request to hear HB 2899	
6		Speaker Blair		
		Keller	Moves to concur HB 2382, SA #1, 2, 3, 4, 5.	
		Speaker Blair		
		Skinner	Question	
		Keller		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			2.
		Speaker Blair	
		Keller	To close
7		Speaker Blair	
		Keller	Requests to TOOR
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	Requests verification
		Speaker Blair	
8		Cunningham	Dilatory tactics
		Speaker Bliar	
		Clerk Selcke	Starts verification
		Speaker Blair	
		Houlihan	'Yes' to 'present'
		Speaker Blair	
		Keller	Postponed consideration
		Speaker Bliar	
9		Clerk Selcke	Message from Senate
10		Speaker Blair	
		Williams	4th of July Birthday
		Speaker Bliar	
		Stone	
11		Speaker Bliar	
12		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Speaker Telcser	
13		Houlihan	Do not adopt CCR to HB 2402



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> 3.
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt
14		Holloway, J.	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
15		Walsh, W.	Democrats fault
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Responds to Walsh
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Responds to Shea
16		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, J.	Responds to Walsh
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Responds to Houlihan
17		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.J.	
		Speaker Telcser	
18		Tipsword	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Grotbert	Point of personal privilege motion to suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
19		Grotberg	Withdraws motion
		Speaker Telcser	
20		Hill	Speaks on Grotberg's motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Grotberg	Responds to Hill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Announcement
21		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> 4.
		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dee	Advise for Members
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
22		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
23		Schisler	MOves House concurs HB 2361
24		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Calvo	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	Moves adjournment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
25		Speaker Telcser	
		Hyde	
26		Speaker Telcser	Discussion
27		Tipsword	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	Withdraws motion
		Speaker Telcser	
28		Shea	Motion to reconsider vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Supports



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			5.
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Shea	Roll call vote
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
29		Wolf, J.J.	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	Reconsider vote on SB 1261
		Speaekr Telcser	
		Blair	Supports
30		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	Introduction
		Speaker Telcser	
31		Houlihan, D.	Refuse to recede from SB 1382
		Speaker Telcser	House refuses
		Blair	Refuse to recede from SB 1659
		Speaker Telcser	House refuses
		Keller	Non-concurs with SA to HB 2382
32		Speaker Telcser	House non-concurs
		Peters	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
33		Barry	Explains HB 164
		Speaker Telcser	
34-35		Hanahan	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
36		Barry	To close	
		Speaker Telcser		
37		Barry	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Borchers	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Ryan		
38		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt. HB 164	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills, 2nd	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #29	
		Speaker Telcser		
39		Sangmeister	Explains Amendment #29	
		Speaker Telcser		
40		Choate	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea	Germaness?	
		Speaker Telcser		
41-42		Shea	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Leinenweber	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Blair	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
43		Lundy	Yield? Opposes	
44		Sangmeister	Discussion	
45		Speaker Telcser		
		Dunne, R.		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			7.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	Moves previous question
		Speaekr Telcser	Question is moved
46		Sangmiester	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
47		Collins	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
48		Hill	Explains vote
		Speaekr Telcser	
49		Bluthardt	
		Speaker Telcser	
50		Hudson	
		Speaker Telcser	
51		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	Votes 'no'
		Speaker Telcser	
52		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
53		Palmer	
		Speaker Telcser	
54		Pierce	'No'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	
55		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Speaker Telcser		
56		Borchers		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Sangmeister	Question	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #29 fails	
57		Clerk Selcke	SB 1345, 3rd	
		Choate		
		Speaker Blair		
		Dunn	Question sponsor	
		Speaker Blair		
58-59		Dunn	Question	
		Choate		
		Speaker Blair		
60-61		Cunningham		
62		Speaker Blair		
		Collins		
		Speaker Blair		
63		Choate	To close	
		Speaker Blair	Vote	
		Hirschfeld		
		Speaker Blair		
		Ewell		
		Speaker Blair		
64		Totten	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair	SB 1345 passed	
		Tuerk		
		Speaker Blair	SB 1357, 2nd CC TOOR	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
65		Calvo	CC #1 SB 1264	
		Speaker Blair	House doesn't adopt 1st CC	
		McGrew	HB 2360 moves adopt CC #2	
66		Speaker Blair	Vote on CC#2	
		McMaster		
		Speaker Blair		
		Cunningham	Explains vote	
67		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to HB 2360 adopted	
		Matijevich	Moves do not adopt CCR #1 to HB 2359	
		Speaker Blair	House does not adopt	
68		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate	Moves for recess Democratic Conference	
		Speaker Blair		
		Walsh, W.		
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate		
		Speaker Blair	House recesses for 1 hour	
		Speaker Blair	House in Session	
69		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Blair		
70-71		Pierce	Moves do adopt CCR to SB 1357	
		Speaker Blair		
72		Walsh, W.	Opposes	
		Speaker Blair		
73		Maragos	Supports	
		Speaker Blair		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
74		Barnes	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
75		Washington	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
76		Matijevich	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
77		Mann	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
		Geo-Karis	Moves previous quesiton	
		Speaker Blair		
78		Shea		
		Speaker Blair		
79		Hart		
		Speaker Blair		
80-81		Kriegsman	Votes 'no'	
		Speaker Blair		
		Ewell	Votes 'no'	
		Speaker Blair		
82-83		Borchers		
		Speaker Blair		
84		Pierce	To close	
		Speaker Blair		
		Geo-Karis	'Aye'	
		Speaker Blair		
85		Macdonald		
		Speaker Blair		
86		Davis		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Speaker Blair		
87		Walters		
		Speaker Blair		
88		Caldwell	'Present'	
		Speaker Blair		
89		Barnes	'Aye'	
		Speaker Blair		
90		Borchers	'No'	
		Speaker Blair		
		Washington		
		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to SB 1357 adopted	
91		Beaupre	Moves adoption SB 1261 CCR #2	
		Speaker Blair		
92		Walsh, W.		
		Speaker Blair		
93		Beaupre	To close	
		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to SB 1261 adopted	
94-95		Tipsword	Moves adoption CCR #2 to HB 2367	
		Speaker Blair		
		Kent		
		Speaker Blair		
96		Tipsword	To close	
		Speaker Blair		
		Grieshiener	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
97		Madigan		
		Speaker Blair		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			12.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 1272
		Speaker Blair	
		Washington	Yield
98		Madigan	
		Washington	Suspend rules to move to 2nd
		Speaker Blair	
		Taylor	Objection
99		Speaker Blair	SB 1272 TOOR for now
		Brummet	Reconsider the vote on SB 330
		Speaker Blair	Leave granted
		Hoffman, R.	Point of clarification
100		Speaker Blair	
101-102		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
		Speaker Blair	
103		Shea	Moves adoption CCR #2 to SB 1273
		Speaker Blair	
104		Kelly	Question, opposes
		Shea	Discussion
		Speaker Blair	
		Hirschfeld	Yield? opposes
105-106		Shea	Discussion
		Speaker Blair	
107		Stone	
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	13.
108		Ewell		
		Speaker Blair		
		Hart	Opposes	
		Speaker Blair		
109		Peters	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	To close	
		Speaker Blair		
110		Schraeder		
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Postponed consideration	
		Speaker Blair	SB 1273 postponed consideration	
		Beaupre	Moves adoption CCR to SB 1552	
111		Speaker Blair		
		Walsh, R.	Yield?	
		Beaupre		
		Speaker Blair		
		Beaupre	SB 1552 TOOR	
112		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment # to SB 330	
		Speaker Blair		
		Juckett	Explains Amendment #1	
		Speaker Blair		
113		Pierce		
		Juckett		
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 330, 3rd	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
		Speaker Blair		
		Hoffman	Explains SB 330	
		Speaker Balir	SB 330 is passed	
114		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre	Moves adoption of CCR #2 to SB 1552	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Leinenweber		
115		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, R.		
		Speaker Telcser		
116		Juckett	Yield?	
		Beaupre		
117		Speaker Telcser		
118		Lauer	Yield? Opposes	
		Beaupre		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre	To close	
119		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
120		Berman	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
121		Walsh, R.	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
122		Stone		
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt CCR to SB 1552	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
		Holloway	Moves to adopt CCR #2 to SB 1383	
		Speaker Telcser		
123-124		Duff	Yield? Opposes	
		Holloway		
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR #2 to SB 1383	
		Geo-Karis	Introduction	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
125		Speaker Telcser	Introduction, 1st	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads introduction, 1st	
		Speaker Telcser	At ease	
126		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate	Moves do not adopt CCR to SB 1345	
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt	
		Speaker Telcser	SENate Bills, 3rd	
127		Washington	Explains SB 1272, back to 2nd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Not timely	
		Speaker Telcser		
128		Madigar		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz		
129		Speaker Telcser		
		Taylor		
		Speaker Telcser		
130		Washington	To close	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
131		Speaker Telcser	Washington's motion not in order	
		Washington	Appeals ruling	
132		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Appeal in writing!	
		Speaker Telcser		
133		Washington	Explains appeal	
		Speaker Telcser		
134		Ewell	Not in order	
		Speaker Telcser		
135		Madigan	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, R.	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
136		Caldwell	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
137		Holloway	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
138		Walsh, W.	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
139		Rayson	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
140		Walters	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
141-142		Washington	Poll the absentees, explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Duff		
		Clerk Selcke	Polls the absentees	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 7-11-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
143		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails
		Madigan	SB 1272
144		Speaker Telcser	SB 1272 is passed
		Beaupre	CCR to HS 2345
145		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	Explains vote
146		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR to HB 2345
		Calvo	Moves adoption of CCR #2 to 1264
		Speaker Telcser	CCR #2 to SB 1264 adopted
		Houlihan, D.	Do not adopt CCR #1 to SB 1382
		Speaker Telcser	House does not adopt
		Keller	Concur in SA to HB 2382
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	
		Keller	
		Speaker Telcser	
148		Houlihan, J	
		Keller	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR to HB 2382
		Getty	Moves House concur with CCR to HB 2869
149		Speaker Telcser	
		Lemke	Motion on HB
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	
		Lemke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	18.
		Lenke		
		Speaker Telcser		
150-151		Palmer	Disagrees with motion	
152-153		Speaker Telcser		
		Dunn	Yield?	
		Lenke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis	Supports motion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hoffman, R.	Senate's adjourning	
155		Speaker Telcser		
		Lenke	To close	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails	
156		Matijevich	Moves adoption of CCR HB 2359	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts	
157		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Taylor	Copy of messages	
158		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Agreed Resolutions	
		Speaker Telcser		
159		Geo-Karis	Moves adoption of A.R.	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Resolution	
		Geo-Karis	Happy Anniversary	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Juckett	Moves adoption of CCR to HB	1464



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
160		Speaker Telcser	House adopts	
		Clerk Selcke	Death Resolutions	
		Speaker Telc ser	Death Resolutions adopted	
161		Clerk Selcke	Further Resolutions	
		Speaker Telcser	Resolutions adopted	
162		Blair	Moves adoption of CCR to SB	1659
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
163		Pierce	Yield?	
		Blair		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Houlihan, J.		
164		Blair		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Cunningham	Supports	
165		Speaker Telcser		
		Houlihan, J.	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR to SB 1659	
166		Blair	Moves adoption of CCR to HB	2651
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hart	No copy	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR to HB 2651	
167		Mann	Explains HR 932	
		Speaker Telcser		
168		Schraeder	Opposes	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
		Speaker Telcser		
169		Juckett	Yield? Opposes	
		Mann		
		Speaker Telcser		
170		Katz	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mann	To close	
171		Speaker Telcser		
		Mann	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
172		Catania	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
173		Day	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser	Resolution fails #932	
174		Houlihan, J.	Moves adoption of CCR #2 to HB 2402	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts	
		Walsh, W.	Moves House stands adjourned	
		Speaker Telcser	House is adjourned	
175		Speaker Telcser	1st Special	
		Speaker Telcser	1st Special adjourned!	

