

1.

Speaker Blair: "The House will come to Order. The invocation will be by John Hughes."

John Hughes: "Heavenly Father, grant to all of us peace and health. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Oh...."

Speaker Blair: "O'kay, we'll have the Roll Call. Messages from the Senate, now."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has reconsidered its previous action and concurred with the House of Representatives in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to the Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 689. Concurred in by the Senate, July 1, 1973, Edward E.

Fernandes, Secretary. Message from the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 and 2 with the Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 1159. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee, to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 286. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee, to consider the difference of the two houses in regard to Senate Bill 296. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973."

Speaker Blair: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 480. DiPrima. House Resolution 506. Skinner. House Resolution 509. Dyer. House Resolution 511. Cunningham. House Resolution 512. Springer. House Resolution 513. Blair and Choate. House Resolution 514. Rayson et al.



House Resolution 515. Fleck. House Resolution 516. Juckett."

Speaker Blair: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, arise?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 506 is a Resolution honoring the retirement of Mrs. Mildred Dressendorfer, who is in charge of the Legislative Service Unit, and I would ask unanimous request here to have everyone as co-sponsors. I'm sure everyone has given here some cheers."

Speaker Blair: "All right, ah..... does the Gentleman have leave to have that done, adding their names to the Resolution for Mildred Dressendorfer, her Retirement Resolution. All right, now, Mr. William Walsh, on the Agreed Resolutions."

Walsh: "Well, we can catch them. Has everything cleared over here yet? Well, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the Agreed Resolutions, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. What purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, arise?"

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just for a point of clarification. On consideration postponed, there seems to be very few Bills. Is that because they've been put on the Spring calendar?"

Speaker Blair: "No, we haven't taken anything off of the Calendar as of yet to go on the Spring Calendar. We do have marked, a marked Calendar here for those Bills that Members have indicated they want to go on the Spring Calendar, but nothing has been taken off."

Berman: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "There will be a Motion, or a Resolution a little later in the day, at which time we will enumerate all the Bills that are left."

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "O'kay, back to Agreed Resolutions now. All right, then, Mr. Walsh..... Oh, he's already adopted those, too? Oh. All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and those additional Agreed Resolutions are adopted."



Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 520. Choate. House Resolution 521. Choate."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Committee Reports. Starting with the first one, there. 495. Mr. Juckett. Mr. Juckett, you want to go ahead?"

Juckett: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Resolution to help all the school children get to school and it was pulled out of the Record the other day and we discussed it with Representative Shea and as far as my understanding is right now, there is no road block on it, so I would move for the adoption of the House Committee Report and I would certainly like to have Representative Shea say a few words."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, we're talking about the Conference Committee with respect to House Bill 495."

Juckett: "495, right, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Juckett and I have a difference on this. I've requested some information from the Department of Transportation and it hasn't come yet. I feel the best thing we can do on this is probably to pass it and if it's a surplusage item, let the Governor veto it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any further discussion? Question is, all those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. This requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? All right, the Clerk will take the Roll. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays' and this..... ah..... the Conference Committee Report, with respect to House Bill 495, having received the vote required under the Constitution, is hereby declared adopted. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck, arise?"

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, while things are slow in making Motions, I introduced a Resolution at the request of myself and George Lindburgh, which should have been an agreed Resolution. However, due to the miscommunication on the other side, it was sent to the Speaker's Table and since, I have talked to Mr. Choate and his staff and they have agreed to it and at this time, I would like to waive



the appropriate Rules so that House Resolution 490 could be heard and adopted. What it does, as everyone knows, I was the Chief Sponsor of the Bilingual Education Bill and unbeknown to me, the Greek Town, which is a big Greek town in Chicago, were against it and their parents were against it. They were all my Constitutents, so I'm just basically trying to get myself out of a political box. All it does is point out that the parents of this Greek Community are against the Bill and they are just calling the attention of OSPI and the Chicago Board of Education to this fact."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we'll get to that on the proper order of business. There won't be any problem. We are on the Order of Conference Committee Reports on Appropriations. That's what I'm trying to get out of the way here. The first thing this morning. Charlie, we'll get to that. We certainly want to get you out of a political box. 798. Is Mr. Schisler here? Yeow, Conference Committee Report on HB 798. All right, we'll come back to it then. The ah..... Mr. Mr. Schneider here on his Conference Committee Report? Mr. Jacobs here on that 296? Well, I don't know if he understands. Mr. Schoeberlein here? Mr. Emil Jones here? On the Speaker's Table, on the Order of Resolutions appears House Resolution 457."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 457. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to amend this Resolution by deleting the second 'Resolved'."

Speaker Blair: "Which one?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Kempiners. Amend House Resolution 457 and so forth."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment takes out the 'Resolved' which calls for criminal proceedings if the allegation is proved true and I am making it at Harold Washington's request and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of this Resolution explain the Resolution, please?"



5.

Kempiners: "Right now, I'm amending it to take out a 'Resolve' on criminal prosecution, if the allegations are proved. It relates to wire tap, illegal wire tapping incident and participated by an employee of the Illinois Bureau of Investigation. The... I'll go further into it. Basically what I'm calling for is an explanation as to what the IBI is doing about it and what steps they are taking to prevent any of their personnel from participating in such a thing of the future."

McClain: "Bill, I know that at this late date you hate to do this, but could you take it out of the Record for just a couple of minutes, so that this side could see what it looks like. We don't have a copy of the Resolution."

Kempiners: "O'kay, I'll bring it right over."

McClain: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Took it out of the Record. All right, Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day. Senate Bill 790. An Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill." Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "I move the passage of the Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any discussion about that one Bill? All those in favor, vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 120 'ayes', and 2 'nays' and the Conference or the Consent Calendar, Third Reading Bill, having received the vote required for the Constitution, is hereby declared passed. All right, we're going back now to the Speaker's Table on HR 475. Mr. Kempiners now. It's been read already."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Resolution ah.... is in response to reports of an alleged illegal wire tap, participated in by Representative of the IBI, and it basically calls upon the IBI, as the House knows, what action is being taken and what they are going to do to prohibit this from happening in the future and I move for the adoption."



6.

Speaker Blair: "All right, I understand it's a matter of things cleared up now, so the question..... any further discussion? All right, all those in favor of adoption of the Resolution say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Now, 450."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 450. Caldwell. Whereas the illegal policy and numbers game deprive thousands of Illinois citizens of their hard earned livelihood. Whereas such illegal activity constitutes a drain on the productive powers of the economy of this State; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representative, 78th General Assembly, of the State of Illinois that the Policy Numbers Game Study Committee, herein after referred to as the Committee is created. The Committee shall consist of seven Members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the house. No more than four of the Members may be of the same political party. Vacancy shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment. The Committee shall select from its Membership a Chairman and such other officers and it considers necessary. The Committee shall make a thorough study of the operation of game of chance, properly known as a policy numbers game, with a view toward determining the feasibility and desirability of legalizing, regulating and taxing the operation of such games. In the conduct of this study, the Committee shall consider the social, economic, legal, ethical, financial aspects of the subject as well as the possibilities and additional source of State Revenue. The Committee shall also consider such matters as the appropriate odds for various types of bets and methods of regulations and decide the fees and taxes imposed in the event it is determined it is desirable for the State of Illinois to legalize, regulate and derive revenue from the policies numbers game. The Committee may employ and fix compensation necessary to assist the committee to make its report and recommendation to the General Assembly, no later than March 1, 1974."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell."



Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Amendment... of the Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? Question's on the adoption of the Resolution. Now let's see, this one did involve the expenditure of money, so it will require 89 votes. So all those in favor of the adoption of the Resolution 450 will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. All right, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 97 'ayes', 5 'nays' and this Resolution, having received the required number of votes, is hereby declared adopted. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I had House Bill 1945 pertaining to this same subject. In the Executives Committee, it was passed unanimously but it was assigned to an Interim Study Committee. In the light that we have the Resolution, I would, at the proper time, to table House Bill 1945."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I'll recognize you for that purpose now. Is there objection to that? Gentleman have leave to Table? Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Just a point of information, Mr. Speaker. You stated it would require 89 votes, because it's an expenditure of money.

If that's the case, because it's July 2nd, do we need 107?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah..... no, it's a different matter. It's a Resolution and Resolutions might possibly include the expenditures of money out of the special Committees." There's no constitutional or statutory requirement. It's simply in our Rules. Ordinarily, Resolutions only take a simple Majority, but where monies might possibly be involved on these special committees, where they appropriate a line item, you know, in a matter, we've said that on those kinds of things, we really ought to have 89 votes, in the rules. We adopted that in the Rules. Senate Bills, Third Reading and we'll go to 553."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 553. Giorgi. Amends the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, because of the..... I have to put an Amendment on it that affects the effective date, I'd like to move this Bill from Third Reading to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment."



Speaker Blair: "All right, does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, take it back to Second and read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Amend Senate Bill 553 on the last page and so forth."

Giorgi: "This amendatory act takes effect October 1, 1973. I move for the adoption of this Amendment, please?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, discussion? All those in favor of adoption, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Back to Third Reading. Read it a third time."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill that came out of the Senate with a unanimous vote of 79 votes and is one of the few times that Senator Teagan was able to be on the Floor. This is one of the major efforts this session and I'd like to see it passed out just for that reason. This, all it does, is allow school boards to allow their Members to be elected from geographic districts if you decide by Resolutions themselves or if they allow a Referendum to take place. This is permissive Legislation. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Giorgi: "Yes."

Tuerk: "Jake, you mention this is permissive now. Is that correct, under the Amendment that you attached on?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Sir."

Tuerk: "Does this include all school districts?"

Giorgi: "It includes all school districts ah..... under Chapter 122 of the School Code. State Taxes."

Tuerk: "Now, would you please review for me and for us the procedure this has to go through?"

Giorgi: "All right, a school board may on its own Motion or shall upon the petition of a 1000 registered people in the district, submit to the voters of the district at a Regular School Election as to whether they want a geographic school board."

Tuerk: "In other words, the Board itself can pass a resolution to get it on the ballot?"



Giorgi: "Yes, sir."

Tuerk: "Or by, ah, referendum with a thousand signatures?"

Giorgi: "On a regular election day, yes."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Red."

Schraeder: "Would you name the districts that are involved in this, please?"

Giorgi: "I think it's Rockford, Springfield, Peoria and Decatur...that have the set school district."

Schraeder: "How many signatures does it need on a petition and does the school board have to act before the...petition can be initiated?"

Giorgi: "It needs a thousand signatures on a petition when you go into court and ask for a referendum. And the school board can do it on their own volition."

Schraeder: "...Special election ever have to be called or is this...the..."

Giorgi: "No. It has to be called on a regular election day.."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that when any particular school district or a governmental agency has a problem and it affects only their district or their agency that they shouldn't be coming to the Legislator for some legislation, ah,...legislative area for, uh, problem that only...their own problem. Now we defeated a Bill just a few weeks ago, a House Bill Sponsored by Representative Giorgi on the same subject. It was defeated very strongly. It seems to me that while this is somewhat permissive...it isn't too good a Bill and I'd ask for a defeat."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Any further discussion?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want the last speaker to indicate that there's something subversive about the Bill. This allows a school board of a duly called meeting, by its own volition, by its own resolution to allow a referendum for a geographic school district. If that failed, then the citizens can petition and go to court and get a referendum. I don't see anything wrong with that type legislation. I don't think, ah, we have...subversive agents in our community that are going to force things upon us that we don't want."



We have the open meetings, all...everyone is apprized...to what happens at these meetings. I think to indicate that something subversive is anti-American."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The question is, shall this Bill pass?

All those in favor...you want to talk? We closed already. For what purpose the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, arise?"

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I know it's a little out of order, but, Zeke, I asked you the question whether this included all school districts, you answer yes and then in answer to - is it all school districts or just the...charter districts?"

Giorgi: "All school districts...all school districts."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The question is, shall this Bill pass?

All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. This requires 107 votes. Have all voted...no, if you want to go. Run...run the Roll, Fred. The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill passed the Senate unanimously, 59 votes and we need a 107 votes to make it effective."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Ebbesen, aye. Ebbesen, aye. This question there are 110 ayes, 12 nays and...Senate...Senate Bill 553 having received the required votes under Constitution is hereby declared passed. Ah... ah, 801. Senate Bill 801."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 801. An Act authorizing...Children and Family Services in behalf of the State of Illinois conveyor of quit claim deed in certain land in Cook and DuPage County. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "...Lady from...ah Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to return this Bill to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of adding two amendments."

Speaker Blair: "...Lady have leave? Leave been granted. Take it back."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment...Amendment #1. Chapman. Amends Senate Bill 801 page 1 and so forth. "

Chapman: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a technical amendment that puts in the shape where it can do what it was intend-



11.

ed to do by the Senate Sponsor, Senator Regner. I'll be glad to explain it but I, ah, it...it would be hard to explain simply. Ah, I move for adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Introduction. All those adopting the Amendment say aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further..."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2 amend Senate Bill 801 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The lady from..."

Chapman: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 is an effective date so that this Bill can take effect on October 1, 1973. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right. ...All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Chapman: "Ah..."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Explain the Bill."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, House, pardon me, Senate Bill 801 conveys, ah, some land - it's a vandalized campsite - ah, from the State of Illinois to Northwest Educational Cooperative. A Cooperative of ten school districts. Ah, this land which is going unused now for which the State has no plans will be used to offer a summer camp program to 230. ah, mentally retarded children. Uh, at the point that the land would no longer be used for this purpose, it would revert to the State of Illinois, ah, this bipartisan Bill has the approval of the Department of Children and Family Services, I urge you to vote aye."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is, shall this Bill...gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, we were just wondering here why there were three 'no' votes in Committee, Jeanne. Ah, this wouldn't seem to be something that would bring out 'no' votes."

Chapman: "I only recall there was one and that was Representative Epton. Ah, Representative Epton, ah, was not opposed to the idea, he...he wondered why it wasn't leased rather than, uh, conveyed by quit claim deed. Uh, I think possibly, he just - you really can't say what's in someone else's heart and mind - maybe that he just didn't want it



to go on the consent calendar. I...I don't remember the two other votes. This is the only one I recall."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? All right...the question is, shall the Bill pass. All those in...no...oh, just on the adoption of Amendment 2? Oh, all those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 say aye. Opposed, no. The aye's have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Now, the lady want a further explanation? The lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah. Well, I...ah, we're ready to vote, aren't we?"

Speaker Blair: "Right-o."

Chapman: "Yeah. Okay.. I'm ready."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor vote aye; the opposed no. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, this is a local project for the Representative, but, ah, I'd like to be recorded as voting present. Number two, there's no...price or anything involved. On this number three, when you look at the legal description of the land involved, it is a substantial chunk of land the land is deeding over so I want no part of this. Please vote me present."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Huh? Here's the question here, 117 ayes, no nays, and the Bill having received the vote required under the Constitution is hereby recorded as passed. What purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman arise?"

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, it's an inquiry on my part. Yesterday I request^{ed}/in the alternative, either a list of the Bills that were going to be called or a list of the Bills that had been placed on the Spring House Calendar. Will that request be satisfied, if so, when? Because...the reason I ask that, Mr. Speaker, I have the calendar here for today and I know there are some Bills that have been put on the House Calendar, but they...they do still appear on the calendar and I don't know if they're going to be called. I don't know if the person asked that they be put on the Spring House Calendar and but then withdrew that request. It seems to me it would help this Body to better anticipate what we're going to be acting



upon if we have that information."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I think that the gentleman's, uh, request is in order so if the House will be patient and will take their pen out I'll go down through those Bills that have been requested to be placed on the Spring Calendar and would be included in a resolution which at the appropriate time will be adopted and at that time as we understand it, Mr. Majority Leader, would be when we...have finally acted on all appropriation matters that need to keep government functioning."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Ah, under House Bills Second Reading, uh, House Bill 1958. Under House Bill...well, I saw a lot of it, too. Ah, under House Bills Third Reading, ah, 1209 and then the...starting with... 1959, uh, those Bills all the way through 1967. Uh,...Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Uh, just run that by me again, now? How about 17, 16, 18, 20 and those, they're not going to..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, which ones, now? 17, what?"

Dunn: "1820 to..."

Speaker Blair: "1820? Well, they haven't been asked for...if...if they're...they'll be asked for, they'll...we'll...they'll certainly be put on there. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, 1820 is Representative James Houlihan's Bill. I don't know where Jim is at, but for the sake of, ah..."

Speaker Blair: "...Let me...let me explain something. What we intend to do is to have an inclusive resolution so that all Bills that are on the calendar, and motions, and resolutions, that have not been considered. The resolution will cover all of those and that will include Mr. Houlihan's, Mr. Deuster's and so forth... And, so...what Mr. Schlickman has asked about is, what have the Members up to now requested to be put on and that's what I'm reading. That does not mean that, uh, a number of others will not be included if we haven't called them by the time we are ready to adjourn. All right, so, all of the series 1959 through 1967. Then on Third Reading 12, 15, 93, 156, 166, 198, 201, 206, 210, 236, 245, 265, 303, 327, 328, 329, 330, 342, 353, 358, 395, 406, 430, 492, 502, 514, 543, 564, 571, 587, 622,



678, 765, 769, 780, 791, 801, 820, 831, 832, 860, 871, 903, 917, 928, 939, 962, 1007, 1010, 1069, 1076, 1088, 1138. On consideration postponed 70, 106, 871, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1430, 1558. Constitutional Amendment ~~Second~~ Reading, HJR CA 27. The gentleman from Cook, Mr...Dunn, ~~for~~ what purpose do you arise?"

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I know I had, Mr. put Senate Bill 416 on that list."

Speaker Blair: "I saw it. I don't know what has happened."

Dunn: "You didn't call it. Up on the right hand. Up on top."

Speaker Blair: "What...well...what is it, I... All right, yeah, that is one that should be planned...that has been requested to be placed on the order of, uh, uh, ~~Spring~~ Calendar. We were in error on that. Mr. Fleck." It...Senate Bill 416 on the order of consideration postponed."

Fleck: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, now ~~these~~ Bills will not be called, they're on the Spring Calendar, ~~is~~ that correct...?"

Speaker Blair: "They have ~~now~~...all that merely happened with regard to these Bills is ~~that~~ the Sponsors have requested that they be placed on the Spring Calendar."

Fleck: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "...What? 8... I right. 1867 on consideration postponed has been requested by Mr. F. L. Poulhan to be placed on...on the Spring Calendar. ~~With~~, Mr. Barry, are you up for a similar matter?"

Barry: "Yes. At a proper ~~time~~, will be recognized to object to any of these Bills being placed on the Spring Calendar, is...is that my understanding?"

Speaker Blair: "Well. I...I...I guess the way you're going to have to do that is that the...~~as~~ of right now the intention of the Leadership is that there will be a ~~Restri~~ Restriction that says that all Bills, Motions and Resolutions that ~~are~~ on the Calendar will be, uh, advanced to the Spring 1974 Calendar. ~~Have~~...you have objection you'll have to vote against that resolution at that time."

Barry: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker, some of us are attempting to, you know, be fair and let you run a ~~House~~ House and get the Spring Calendar worked up. But we do ~~not~~ have objections to some of the Bills that are being placed on the ~~Spring~~ Calendar but we prefer not to disrupt the



House by arguing about every other one. Ah, I suggest that at the close of your list, you allow us an opportunity to object to any one or two or three of those Bills."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we certainly want to run a decent House. I think we've made every effort this Session to do that..."

Barry: "I...I think..."

Speaker Blair: "...Second place, when we get to that order of business, uh, I'm sure that we'll try to do it in as convenient a way as we possibly can. And, uh, I don't...I don't want to get embroiled right now in a...in a fight about that because I...we're just simply not to that point yet. Uh..."

Barry: "Yes, it would be much better than just beating the resolution because of one Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Well,..."

Barry: "Don't you agree, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, it's really premature. When we get to that matter why...and we're not intending to get there for some time, I just indicated that there will be a resolution offered and, uh, uh...I... you could...offer a substitute which would be, uh, for example that that resolution be adopted, uh, uh, but, with the exclusion of certain Bills. I mean I'm sure there's some parliamentary ways that we could figure out how to, uh, make your move whatever it is."

Barry: "All right. Fine. Just so we have that opportunity."

Speaker Blair: "Well, you know that the Chair's not...will not foreclose an opportunity for any...for any Member to do whatever they want to properly do on the Floor."

Barry: "Yes, sir, just trying to get the ground rules straight, sir."

Speaker Blair: "No, I just thought you were getting the message across clearly. The gentleman from, ah, McClain, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "A question of the Chair regarding the same subject, Mr. Speaker, I know that you've said that we'll address ourselves to only, uh, Amendatory Vetoes, uh this...in the Fall Session. Uh, next Spring, in the Spring Session, in the Spring Calendar, are we going to be able to introduce new legislation? Uh, I'm thinking if that, uh, going to be the case it may not be necessary to carry these



to Spring Calendar. We can reintroduce 'em on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Katz is our, uh, House consultation on what we're going to do on the Spring Calendar. How are we coming, Harold, on the...on the Spring Calendar matter, uh...mean...the Commission on the General Assembly has been struggling with how we could come up with a reasonable posture. We...we had Mr. Hirschfeld's Resolution, you recall, then we decided we'd better do it by House Rule and, uh, where...where do we stand, uh...are you going to have public hearings or...between now and then..."

Katz: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, I would say like so many things at this particular point, it is somewhat in limbo, the President of the Senate has, ah, did present a statement in which he indicated that it was his intention not permit the consideration of matters at the Spring Session other than revenue matters and appropriations matters so that no firm decision has been made but I certainly don't think anyone can be very sure that they will be able to put in whatever Bill they desire in the Spring and have it heard. But the matter is not, ah, yet resolved."

Bradley: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Well, well, I guess the...the net is that we're going to continue to work on that, uh, I think it is the feeling of the Minority Leader and the, uh, Speaker that we do, number one, want to have a limit...the Spring Calendar situation. Uh, just how we arrive at doing that in a manner that will be as least offensive as possible is yet to be resolved. Now, the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, what purpose do you arise?"

Schraeder: "One...just one question, Mr. Speaker. Would you give us a list of those, I wasn't able to take down the complete list, could you give us a list of them that you have available? Just, mimeo... copy or something like that?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah. Let's take this little...out but we'll be happy to have the Clerk's office run off those that have been requested. I want everybody to understand that they've just been requested, that's all. I mean there's been no action taken with respect to that. It's just to say it's been requested so that we know what we're doing in



trying to, uh, expedite the matters. Now, on Conference Committee Reports, Mr. Jones, is back there now with, uh, with respect to Senate Bill 1158."

Jones: "Mr...Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, I rather. I move that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1158."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there discussion? The question is, uh, shall the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1158 be adopted. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who...who wish. It takes a 107 votes. All right. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 aye, no nays and the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1158 having received the votes required under the Constitution is hereby declared adopted. All I've been calling is Democratic Bills. What purpose...Mr. Lemke arise? Mr. Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege. I think this House has taken a very back confrontation by the newspapers. I think these they have been putting in the paper are terrible and number one, I ...I'm also affronted by one of our colleagues who states that certain Legislators hide in washrooms. And I think we deserve...apology from that colleague on that particular Bill. If..if he does not know the facts and he has not named names I don't think he should implicate this Legislature by inferring that we are sneaky enough to hide in washrooms. And I think it...it's very bad on that part of those... on that...that Legislator. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Ah, what purpose does Mr. Dunne arise?"

Dunne: "Just in very...specific response. I did not say that Legislators were hiding in the washroom. You know that a lot of things are...in the paper are attributed as direct quotes are not direct quotes."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. We got the air cleared on that one. Now, Mr. Yourell, what purpose do you..."

Yourell: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, now I want all you fellas to quit picking on the poor newspapers, for heaven sake, cut it out now."

Speaker Blair: "What purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman arise?"



Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I don't, uh, intend to be overbearing, but I do want to go back to this matter of those Bills the Sponsors of which are going to be placed...have asked that the Bills be placed on the Spring Calendar. Now..you indicated that their requests have not been formalized and yet, Mr. Speaker, there was a Bill on the Calendar yesterday, House Bill 11...Senate Bill 1149. The Sponsor on the Floor requested that it be placed on the Spring, ah, Calendar and yet I don't see it on the Calendar today. Now by his oral request yesterday, was that removed from the Calendar today and automatically placed on the Spring Calendar?"

Speaker Blair: "No, I answered that question earlier..."

Schlickman: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Speaker Blair: "...That all, uh, that there have been no Bills removed from the Calendar, uh, that have...that had been requested to be placed on the, uh, Spring Calendar. That's the reason I got through reading all the Bills on the Calendar that have been requested to be placed because there's actually been no formal action taken with regard to the request."

Schlickman: "Well, shouldn't that House...Senate Bill 1149, which appeared on the Calendar yesterday, for which there was a request to put it on the Spring House Calendar, shouldn't that appear in today's Calendar?"

Speaker Blair: "All right. Now wait a minute. All right. There...there does not.....the Clerk's Office advises that there seems to be some slight confusion, uh, about that matter that, uh, that apparently there were a few Bills or Resolutions that...that they had...that they did take off which should not have been taken off because there's no official action. So, Jack's gone back upstairs now to bring down and we'll simply print a supplemental calendar so they'll be out here because there was no, uh, no official action of the Chair, is aware of, that put those off the Calendar. So they should not have been taken off. Is that okay? Okay. Uh, uh, Mr. LaFleur, the gentleman from DuPage."

LaFleur: "Yes, Mr....Mr. Speaker, 1149, I was handling this Bill, and it was called yesterday and the companion Bill 678, we asked for it



and it'll be heard together. There was an objection and, uh, I was looking for the same Bill myself to be placed back upon the Calendar here."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Well, I'm saying that the, uh, Clerk's Office unbeknown to me, apparently in a few instances for some unknown reason uh, just...they do not appear. We can handle that quickly by printing a supplemental calendar which the Clerk's Office will do and they'll be back out here before us shortly. If there are any other matters of that kind, that the Members note, kindly call to the attention of the Chair and we will, uh, uh, address ourselves to it. The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, just for the record, uh, I would request that House Bill 933, as amended, be placed on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Blair: "All right. That...I think you...that's very good. What purpose, Mr. Dunne, arise?"

Dunne: "Uh, parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Okay."

Dunne: "On House Bills Third Reading there are two Bills on there that have June expirations and I don't believe there were suspension of the Rules for those, those Bills should be tabled, isn't that correct? 950 and, uh, 1760."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the parliamentarian says that we have suspended Rule 37 irregularly so that...that 30 day rule was not applicable. That's what the parliamentarian advises me. Okay. What purpose does Mr. Giorgi arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I introduced two Bills yesterday, could you tell me what the disposition will be on those two that were just introduced yesterday? Have to do with notifying the elderly and the circuit breaker people of the state."

Speaker Blair: "Well, uh, they go on the Speaker's table."

Giorgi: "Could you, ah, then you can't indicate whether they'll go on the Fall or Spring Calendar, is that correct? Oh, they go to Committee."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah. Well, uh,..."

Giorgi: "Would they go on the Committee..."



Speaker Blair: "No, no. What'll happen, uh, I take it, once they go to the Speaker's table then I, uh, automatically refer them to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. The Committee on Assignment of Bills, however, will not be referring those Bills to Committee until we're ready to get back in to the regular committee process because that would start the 45 day rule running on those Bills. So, they'll probably just be left on the Speaker's table until we get back into business in the Spring."

Giorgi: "They're, uh...Mr. Speaker, they're not really, uh, any big Bills except they, uh, they...they allow the local assessor's office and the Department of Revenue to notify these elderly people that they were...that they did file for a refund this year and they'll automatically be renewed by notice of the Department. And I thought if the Committee on Assignment could send them to Committee for the Fall if we need 'em on Committee...if we meet in Committee during the Fall, I'd like to have 'em heard."

Speaker Blair: "Well, uh, we're simply...that all can be addressed on October 15th when we come back. Just so you understand, that it's the Leadership's intention not to have any Committee meetings when we come back here in the Fall. We found that there just wasn't sufficient time within a five or six weeks period, uh, to do a deliberative job on Committee hearings, we had that experience if you'll recall that. So for that reason, we really only intend to direct ourselves to questions of the Governor's Veto Messages. The gentleman from...the gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry. What purpose do you arise?"

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and I call attention to Mr. Dunne to listen to me perhaps, it was pretty obvious to me that he would like to kill 950 while allowing 416 to stay alive and I rise to make a deal with him. I suggest since the Governor has the - my leader says I should say arrangement - since the Governor has the no-fault wishes of this Legislature on his desk to either sign or veto, I ...I would suggest the arrangement of killing both the other vehicles on the Calendar and we'll end the battle of no-fault for the season."



Speaker Blair: "All right, uh, the, uh...what are you doing? Oh, you're ...making an out in the open deal. Right? Okay. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dunne. No deal. No deal. Okay. Sorry about that, Toby."

Barry: "Can we try arrangement, again?"

Speaker Blair: "He's turned his back on you so I... All right, now 592, ah, the gentleman..."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 592."

Speaker Blair: "Where in the hell is McGrew? Dangerous Dan. Well, as long as he's not there, take it out."

Clerk Selcke: "An Act in relation to state tree and state flower. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Tipsword, handling, uh, the...uh, the Bill for...all right, Dangerous Dan is now back on the Floor."

McGrew: "Contrary to public belief some of us do go to the restroom when nature calls. Ah, Senate Bill 592 is an Act to make the state tree the, uh, white oak and I think it's been very thoroughly discussed ...it's all the referendum of my school kids and I appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This takes a 107. The Clerk will take the record. Oh, yeah, the gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Just a matter of...just a matter of information. When this land was settled, it was a walnut that really covered our forest and...but the course of building log cabins and the burning and clearing the land for the farms, etc., and then the value of the walnut it...it practically disappeared from Illinois. We have hundreds and hundreds of...miles, square miles of walnut, not oak. I thought you'd like to know about it."

Speaker Blair: "On this question, 121 ayes, no nays, and this Bill having received the votes required under the Constitution is hereby declared passed. House...Senate Bill 447."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 447. An Act to amend the County Hospitals Governing Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."



Simms: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to take 447 back, to the purpose of Second Reading for an Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "All right. Take it back, leave take it back, take it back. Read...read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3. Simms. Amends Senate Bill 447 on the last page and so forth."

Simms: "Ah, this Amendment makes the effective date October 1st 1973. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there any discussion? Question is on the adoption. All in favor say aye, the opposed no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Gentleman...Mr. Simms, to explain the Bill."

Simms: "Ah, Senate Bill 447, is a product of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission here in behalf of the Cook County Hospital. The Act amends the County Hospital Governing Commission Act, ah, it is the product. It has the support of, Dr. Haughton, President Dunn, of the County Board, and, ah, received, ah...support in Committee without opposition. I would move and, uh, request a favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there discussion? Question is shall this Bill be passed. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 129 ayes, 7 nays, and this Bill having received the vote required by the Constitution is hereby declared passed. Now on the...for what purpose does the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, arise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to make this inquiry? I thought that we were, ah, returned today simply to take up, ah, reports and other things that were absolutely essential to the functioning of the state government and that we were not going to be taking up, ah, a Bill that just...we're not going to muddle through another whole day with Bills are...have to be on the Calendar. Wasn't that the intention that we were just going to take up the vital conference reports and then get out of here? or..."

Speaker Blair: "I've explained...I've explained this about three times this morning, now..."



Deuster: "I'm sorry."

Speaker Blair: "I'll explain it once again, ah, what we're doing - we could sit here and do nothing while we wait for the Conference Committee Report because they're just now coming in, they're...we've made substantial progress through all night. Uh, there are, I'd say, about a half a dozen of these Appropriations Bills that there is still a considerable fight going on. Uh, I don't think fight's the right word but there's just a considerable disagreement, uh, between the House and the Senate. We're trying to get that resolved. Uh, uh, and in the meantime, then, uh, it seems the Leadership rather than just sitting here, since there were Bills here on the Calendar, that we could be going ahead and voting on them. And there are a number of these Bills where the Sponsors have not asked that they be placed on the, uh, on the Spring Calendar of next year. So unless you object to doing it that way, why we'll go ahead and proceed. Oh. Okay. Uh, well let's get down and pick up the Conference Committee Reports now, Mr. Schneider is back, on his Senate Bill 286 of the Conference Committee Report, with respect to it. Mr....Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "I'm ready. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Amendment which has been added to the Conference Report is an agreeable one. I move we adopt the Conference Report. The Amendment very simply is a cost of living increase which amounts to about a \$2,000 addition and I would solicit its support."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 286. All those in favor vote aye and the opposed no. All right. It takes a 107. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 130 ayes, no nays and this, uh, and the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 286 is...having received the vote required in the Constitution is, uh, hereby declared adopted. Now is somebody going to handle...Mr. Jacobs is back there so let's go on his Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 296."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 296."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from, uh, Cook, Mr. Fleck."



Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed that only one Senator signed it and, uh, we only had six names on it. I'd like to know what it does."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Uh, Mr...Mr. Fleck, uh, two of the Senators was in on the Committee and then they could not find them and, uh, that's why the signatures are missing. McBroom is Chairman and he signed it."

Fleck: "Well, is the Bill now in the shape as when it left the House?"

Jacobs: "I beg your pardon."

Fleck: "Is the Bill in the shape as it was when it left the House?"

Jacobs: "Yes, it is."

Fleck: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report. All in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 ayes, 1 nay and the Conference Committee Report with respect Senate Bill 296 having received the vote required under the Constitution is hereby declared adopted. Is Mr. Schisler here? Is somebody going to handle his appropriation for the Department of Agriculture? No? We'll take... all right, ah, while we're waiting, let's go to the Speaker's Table and pick up these Election Contest Committee Reports. Ah, the first is with respect to the 9th Legislative District."

Clerk Selcke: "Election Committee Report 9th District. Report of the Committee on Elections and the Election Contest of Lynn Brenne Contestant versus William M. Mahar, Richard F. Kelly and Leland H. Rayson, 9th Legislative District."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the... All right, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, is representing Mr. Bluthardt the Chairman of the Election Committee with respect to this report."

Collins: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, was this the 27th District that was just read?"

Speaker Blair: "This was the 9th."

Collins: "The 9th District. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Election Committee has reported on the contest filed in the 9th District and, as you who have read the Report will see, it...the



recommends that the petition filed, ah, by, ah, former Representative Briney be denied and that the election of Representatives, ah, ah,... I vote we adopt the Report."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there...is there discussion? The question is shall the Election, uh, Contest Committee Report with respect to the 9th Legislative District be adopted? All those in favor will... aye...vote aye and the opposed no. Ah, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Uh, I'd like to explain my vote on this most important piece of legislation in this House...."

Speaker Blair: "There's been a question raised about a possible conflict of interest, but go ahead."

Rayson: "Well, I...I thought I would just say that, uh, Representative Collins, when you run for county clerk next year, I hope you will realize your position of upholding the, ah, county clerk and canvas results as being a very consistent decision."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, I will just say that I hope my margin of victory is more clearly defined than yours was."

Speaker Blair: "Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 132 ayes, 7 nays, and the, uh, Election Contest Committee Report with respect to the 9th Legislative District is adopted. Now, the Election Contest Committee Report with respect to the 27th Legislative District."

Clerk Selcke: "Election Committee Report 27th District. Report in the Committee on Elections and the Election Contest, Raymond J. Kahoun, Contestant versus John J. Beatty, Edmund N. Kurcharski and Michael J. Madigan, 27th Legislative District."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the Election Contest Committee Report in the 27th Legislative District be adopted."

Speaker Blair: "All right, uh, discussion? The question is on the adoption. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "We...we...want to start to kill the votes on the Board a little?"

Speaker Blair: "Wait a second until the votes are on the Board a little.

Oh. How about waiting until they are on the Board a lot? That'd be..."



Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, after waiting since November 7, 1973, to find out that I'm an elected Member, I think you might appreciate that I am ah, slightly nervous. I don't know...I heard you had a contest at one time also."

Speaker Blair: "Well,...I...you know, everything seemed to work all right last one. If you don't have enough votes I'll certainly recognize you...you know, to try to get some more. All those in favor vote aye and the opposed vote no. The Clerk will take the record. I'm sorry, go ahead, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "I wanted to thank the running mates of my...Frank Savickas, State Senator and Michael Madigan for helping me get here and I wanted to thank the Members of the, ah, House Committee for their fine counting for helping me to stay here. It's been a pleasure to be with you gentlemen."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The, ah, Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 136 ayes, Pierce, no, you'll have to go back and be a little more persuasive with him, ah, and the Election Committee Contest Report with respect to the 27th Legislative District is adopted. Ah, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would... I would like to, at this time, introduce to this Body, the Lieutenant Governor - the outstanding Lieutenant Governor - of the State of Illinois Neil Hartigan, setting on...to my right in the rear gallery."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Now we're going to move to the order of Resolutions and, uh, there is a Resolution now with respect to these contests."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 522."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now wait a minute. Mr. Collins is going to make a motion that we suspend the Rules so that we may have the immediate consideration and adoption of the Resolution. And why don't you explain what it does, Mr. Collins? Then the Clerk can read it... Turn... Oh, okay, now turn Mr. Collins on...okay."

Collins: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 522 is ah resolution authorizing the payment of attorneys fees and expenses in the Election Contest, ah, that we just acted upon."



And, uh, I would move for the adoption of House Resolution 522."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Ah, just for clarification of the record, is that the correct number or is it 517, I...I believe it is 517 rather than 522."

Speaker Blair: "What is the number of the resolution, Mr. Clerk? He says 522. All right. And Mr. Minority Leader, I think you might

explain, too, for the benefit of the Members that this is the practice that, uh, we have had for quite some time with respect to these election contests. That...that the, uh, fees have been paid by the House."

Choate: "I certainly wasn't questioning the contents of the Resolution because one who has been here a while and has seen many election contests, there's nothing unusual, in fact it's always been done, that the Legislature pays the outside legal counsel involved as far as the election contests are concerned and I, ah, ah, agree with the Resolution. I...I...I didn't know it was 522, I thought it was 517. But I agree with the contents of the Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "All right, I tell you, the first thing we'd better do though is get a 107 votes to suspend the Rules..."

Choate: "Right."

Speaker Blair: "...So all those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. What...what...all right. Now Fred advises that the correct number is 517 rather than 522. Clerk's Office worked all night, so I mean... change it to 517 on the Board. All right, uh, the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are a 136 ayes; no nays, and the appropriate Rules have been suspended now so that this matter may receive immediate consideration. Now the Clerk has read the Resolution and Mr. Collins, do you want to move for the adoption?"

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I now move for the adoption of House Resolution 517."

Speaker Blair: "The discussion now. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Collins: "Ah, certainly. Get my copy..."

Lundy: "Phil, you...you say this is for the expenses and attorney fees. I



assume that's the attorney's fees for the Committee. Is that..."

Collins: "No. No, these are the attorneys for the contestants."

Lundy: "We pay out...out of tax money the, ah, the attorney fees for the contestants. Is that traditional?"

Collins: "That is traditional and it has always been done in my time here and I think Representative Choate will tell you as long as he's been here also."

Lundy: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wonder if the Sponsor might yield to a question. I know I've heard these in Committee and I think the...the Subcommittee did an excellent job but, ah, the Chairman of the Election Committee was going to attempt to negotiate with one of the, ah, attorneys on the fees. Phil, I wonder if...what the final figure was."

Collins: "Yes, the, ah, the, ah, Chairman of the Elections Committee did negotiate with one attorney and did, ah, reduce his fees substantially. I...he...as a matter of fact, the Chairman talked to all of the attorneys, made them itemize their expenses in much more detail than some of them did originally. Ah, negotiated with them, ah, and, ah, as a matter of fact the fees were submitted at what I'm told were the minimum rates for, ah, either Chicago or downstate, depending on where the lawyer was from. So, ah, the hourly rate, yes. So the, the Chairman, ah, diligently, ah, pursued these Bills and did a great job, I think, of negotiating with the attorneys."

Bradley: "Well, thank you very much. I...I...I know Representative Bluthardt was quite concerned and did an excellent job. Thank you."

Collins: "All right. If you'd like to come over here, I'll show you the final amount, so..."

Bradley: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Resolution will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 ayes, 7 nays, and the ah, Resolution with re...House Resolution 517 having received the votes required under the Constitution are declared adopted. Now we go



Speaker Blair: "To Senate Bills Third and read 38."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 38. An Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'd like Leave of the House
to take this Bill back to Second for purpose of...ah...attaching the
...the effective date Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Does the Gentleman have Leave? Take it
back and read the Amendment."

Fred Selcke: "Ah...Amendment #4. Stone. Amend Senate Bill 38 and so
forth."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Discussion?"

Stone: "It makes the effective date October 1, 1973."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, any discussion? All those in favor of the
adoption of the Amendment say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'
have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?
Third Reading. Go ahead and explain it Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Senate Bill 38 merely imposes a tax on all
motor fuel used in recreational water crafts rather than all gasoline
used. Ah...The Circuit Court in Chicago said that it was discrimina-
tory and it should include all motor fuel instead of just all
gasoline. I...I ask for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Any discussion? Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Just a question, if I can, of Mr. Stone. If he will yield."

Stone: "Yes."

Miller: "Representative Stone, this Bill, as I recall it now, is...
except for the Amendment that was just placed on, have there been
any other Amendments placed on in this House?"

Stone: "No sir, there has not."

Miller: "All right, thank you very much."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question
or two?"

Stone: "Yes sir."

Juckett: "Ah...Ah...What other fuels are we imposing it on?"



30.

Stone: "Well it would be Diesel Fuel...ah...that's used in lieu of gasoline...Only in recreational watercrafts."

Juckett: "Is that the same tax as on the gasoline or a different..."

Stone: "Yes sir...yes sir."

Juckett: "And is this same tax applied to the diesel fuel used in trucks?"

Stone: "This...ah...Representative Juckett...ah...Before this Bill... This is John Connolly's Bill about four years ago and before it was...ah...passed the tax that...that was paid by recreational watercraft users, the...the user could file and get his seven and a half cents gas tax back. This merely provides that that tax is less and it's given to the Department of Conservation to build ramps...ah...loading ramps and other facilities on the...ah... shore so that the people who have recreational water crafts can have some facility."

Juckett: "Now is this new tax part of the Governor's program?"

Stone: "It is not a new tax...ah...ah...Representative Juckett. As you know recreational watercrafts use gasoline. There might be a very, very, very few that would use diesel fuel but I don't know of any. The court however, did say that it was discriminatory because if there were a user using diesel fuel that it would...it was unconstitutional because it's discriminatory."

Juckett: "Well I would think that it would be a new tax for those who haven't paid it before."

Stone: "It would be if there were any who had not paid it before. It isn't a new tax. They could apply and get their money back. That's all."

Juckett: "Well if you don't know of anyone that's using it, what's the point of having the Bill."

Stone: "Because the Circuit...a Circuit Court in...in the Chicago Circuit held that it was discriminatory and therefore unconstitutional"

Juckett: "So they knocked off the tax on gas in motor vehicles...and recreational vehicles."

Stone: "Yes....Altogether....yes...yes and we had to have this Bill in order to collect the tax back."



Juckett: "So we're putting a tax on all recreational vehicles."

Stone: "No, we are not."

Juckett: "Water vehicles."

Stone: "No we are not."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question...Is there any further discussion? Does the Gentleman care to close? Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Yes Mr. Speaker. This in effect is not going to...to bring in any more taxes at all. It is only going to...to continue what has been done in the past. It brings in the sum of two million, sixteen thousand dollars per year to the Department of Conservation, which is very badly needed so that they can...ah...build ramps and other facilities for recreational watercrafts."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the passage of the Bill. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Well before people vote, I wish they'd pay attention to what I'm about to say on this. I've got the Bill in front of me and the original language of the Bill imposed a tax on all motor vehicles on public highways and waters of the state. Now what we're doing with this Bill is we're knocking out all the barges in a commercial boat which use gasoline and motor fuel and we're inserting therein recreational type watercrafts. So what you're doing is the great users of watercrafts fuel are being exempt the way this Bill reads. The Bill only applies to recreational type watercrafts and I wish we could have a fuller explanation of what's going on with this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I would like to tell you that there...the Gentleman is entirely mistaken, he...he is not reading the Bill correctly. It does not im...impose any new tax at all. It just...The Bill as I said was Representative Connolly's Bill four years ago and this is only clarifying language in effect, so that we can continue to do what we have been doing. It...It does not in any way impose any new tax on anyone. It has been imposed for the past four years."



Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I sit on the Revenue Committee that heard this Bill and we were told at the time this Bill was presented, not I might say by the House Sponsor, but by a witness, that the Bill had never included a tax on commercial watercrafts. We found out subsequently that that simply was not the case. That the original Bill had taxed commercial watercrafts and that because of the opposition of the barge industry, the commercial watercraft had been taken out and the Bill now applies only to recreational vehicles. In explaining my vote, let me say that this Bill is still discriminatory. It discriminates against recreational watercraft as opposed to commercial and it discriminates against other kinds of commercial carriers, namely truckers and aircrafts in favor of barge owners. Now I don't see why we ought to re-enact this. The fact that it was on the books once before doesn't hold any water with me. It was a bad law, it was on the books before, it's going to be a bad law again if we pass it now. Let's defeat this Bill. Next time around we can pass a Bill that taxes all watercraft fuel and not just that of recreational vehicles...ah...while giving a pass to those who are making money using the state waterways. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Have all...Any further discussion? All those in...in favor will vote 'aye'.....I mean. Yes, the Clerk will take the Roll. Mr. Tipword.....Ah...Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "I'd like to explain my vote Mr. Speaker. First I know that there were barges in this Bill. Now, I understand that it's for recreational vehicles only. So I would support this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...Mr. Schraeder, the Gentleman from Peoria."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. I was in the Revenue Committee when this Bill was heard and I raised the objections about barges not being included and after that I introduced the Amendment which was defeated on this House Floor. And I agree that the Amendment should have been defeated for



two reasons. First of all. This Bill was designed to give recreational facilities along the shore of the Illinois and it seems to me that I was wrong on introducing that Amendment and I intend next Session to introduce a Bill taxing barges and we can give it a fair shake on that basis and not attempt to kill this good Bill with this barge Amendment and I would say vote 'aye' and I would like to change my vote from 'present' to 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I...I don't know what happens sometimes...ah...There are several people over here Mr. Speaker, that tell me they now know that this is a good Bill and that they would like to be on. Could we take a new Roll Call?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah...You know...unless there's some indication of people wanting to change their votes it would not be...ah...The ordinary practice has been that we don't do that unless there's a considerable number of people that are showing that they want to do that and then we get into the question and there are only 93 votes on the matter right now....B. B. Wolfe, 'aye'. Wait a minute, where's the Clerk? Well do we have somebody handling this? What we're doing is, we're trying to see if there are a number of people who want to get on and if there are why then we'll reconsider the Gentleman's request. Now Mr. B. B. Wolfe wants to be voted 'aye'. Mr. Mann wants to be voted 'aye'. Mr. Kennedy wants to be voted 'aye'. Mr. McClain wants to be voted 'aye'. ...ah...Mr. Holloway 'aye'. Mr. North 'aye'. Mr. Ryan 'aye'. Okay it seems to me that there is enough indication now that...ah...we...it would be better to take a ...maybe another Roll Call. So, we're going to dump this one and take another one. All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 38 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. All right now, have all voted who wished? Let's all get on there or off. Mr. McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, when I came down here there were a few new



terms that I heard vanish about in the hallways and the rotunda and one of the terms was rip-off. I didn't exactly know what they meant by rip-off. But today I kind of got an idea what a rip-off is this is a rip-off in favor of barge owners. Now why should I, that have a eighteen foot boat have to pay motor fuel tax funds on my gasoline when the barge owners, who are in competition with the truckers, in competition with the railroaders, why should they use the same waterways as I use and they do not have to pay motor fuel tax. Now if this isn't a rip-off, I don't know what is. So everyone that's voting green is voting for a rip-off in favor of the barge owners that operate in our waterways in Illinois and I would hope you all know, when you're voting for a rip-off."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I don't own a barge and I don't own a motorboat and I probably never will. Now I think this barge business is a question of a red herring being thrown in by the tail on this thing. Now frankly and simply it's this. What this Bill provides is that motorboats owners who use some other fuel other than gasoline should pay a tax on that fuel the same as the ones that uses gasoline. Now let's forget about the barge owners, that's not, doesn't enter into this thing at all. It's just a question of whether you want to discriminate in favor of the person who uses some other fuel other than gasoline on his recreation boat."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The last speaker correctly analyzed the Bill. This really has nothing to do with barge owners. As a matter of fact there was an effort by some on the Revenue Committee to...ah...I think have barge owners included and I think it was determined that the Interstate Commerce Clause provides that...ah...it's really impossible for the State of Illinois to levy a tax against barge owners because they're operating on interstate commerce. This Bill relates only to ...ah... to waterways within the state or for recreational vehicles. Now as the Sponsor of the Bill indicated, when Governor Ogilvie proposed this tax, I think

it was two years ago...ah...He did not have recreational motor vehicles

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



34a

and it referred only to gasoline. This really is in response to the suit that was filed in Cook County which held that the tax can't be collected under the existing law. So if we're going to have this tax...ah...we have to have this Bill and it seems to me most people feel that it's a good tax because the proceeds are used in cleaning up our waterways and used for boat landings etc. and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Alsup. All right, the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 21 'nays' and this Bill, having received the vote required under the Constitution is hereby declared passed."



Now, uh, on the question that was raised earlier about some of the Bills that inadvertently were taken off because the Members had indicated that they wanted them to be on the Spring Calendar, you will note that we have put out Supplemental Calendar #1 and, uh, that has those Bills on it. Now, if there are any others that shouldn't be on there - should be on there - why, you'll have to let us know. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Uh, point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Okay."

Schlickman: "Could you indicate which Bills on Supplemental Calendar #1 the Sponsors have requested that they be placed on the House Spring Calendar just as you did for the Regular Calendar today?"

Speaker Blair: "All right. Now the indication to the Chair is, that all of the Senate Bills Third Reading that are shown were requested by their Sponsors to be held over 'til the Spring. Uh, on the order of Consideration Postponed, uh, Senate Bill 408 was requested, Mr. Randolph, was it not that...that that be held over 'til the Spring. Now, Mr. Matijevich, what about your 876? Was that...I'm not calling it, I just want to know what the...yeah, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ah, Representative Geo-Karis is now the House Sponsor of that and we brought it up for a vote yesterday and she asked leave of the House to put it on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. All right."

Matijevich: "That where we're at then?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, we're just...we're just trying to pin down, uh, uh, these matters where the Sponsors requested that they be placed, so that was the request and that would be placed on the, it will be placed on the Spring Calendar. Now, Mr. Palmer..."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1076 was requested yesterday to be placed on the Spring Calendar at the Third Reading Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Oh. Okay."

Palmer: "I...I don't know whether we had that one marked."

Speaker Blair: "All right. All right. We...I indicated earlier that



that was in my...in the Called Bill, er list that I read earlier.

And now, Mr....LaFleur."

LaFleur: "...Yes. On Supplemental Calendar #1..."

Speaker Blair: "Right."

LaFleur: "Ah, Senate Bill 1149, I wish to request it be placed on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Okay. I'd indicated that all those Bills had been requested that...are on Senate Bills Third...are on Supplemental Calendar. Mr. Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, sir, with reference to Senate Bill 408, what did you say about that?"

Speaker Blair: "I said that...that the Sponsor had requested that that be placed on the Spring Calendar."

Kennedy: "On the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Blair: "Yes."

Kennedy: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mh..hum. All right, ah, are ready on nonconcurrences on appropriation...Mr. Maragos, what purpose do you arise?"

Maragos: "I want a...parliamentary inquiry, ah. Is the fact that we just gave a verbal request yesterday to your assistant to put 'em on the Spring sufficient now to put 'em on...and not...this is only the ones that were done verbally or do we have to do it verbally over the microphone?"

Speaker Blair: "Now, what...actually all we're doing, we're indicating that these are the Bills that the Sponsors are requesting be placed on Third Reading so that the Chair won't be calling them today. We're getting down to where we have relatively few Bills left, uh, other than the appropriation matters, uh, where the Sponsors would like to have called. Now, what's going to happen is, there's going to be a resolution that the Majority Leader will be offering that will say that all of the Bills, Resolutions and Motions that are on the Calendar be placed on the Spring Calendar. And that will include all that we have read, uh, to date that have been requested by the Sponsors and all other Motions, Bills, Resolutions that we have not read up 'til that time."



Maragos: "Reason is, I have indicated two Bills, one Senate Bill and one House Bill that I want to put on and that as long as he's got it marked it's..."

Speaker Blair: "The Resolution will be all inclusive, that is, everyone that's on the Calendar will be laid over, unless...depending on the parliamentary procedures that Mr. Fary is indicating, some...some desire to do something."

Maragos: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jaffe, what purpose do you arise?"

Jaffe: "...Parliamentary inquiry. Uh, on Conference Committees that have not been or reported as yet, there were appointed after July...after July 1st, ah, I...I understand that those will be put over until the Spring, is that correct, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "On the ones that are not agreed, that they'll be put over 'til Spring?"

Jaffe: "No, that where...where a Conference Committee has been appointed and either we have not met or reported, ah, then in that instance they would be put over 'til the Fall, if they have been appointed on July 1st say. Ah, that was my understanding on what the Senate has."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah. Actually they're in a category of Bills that, uh, made it pretty far and just like all other Bills on the Calendar if they're still alive in the Conference Committee process, it...it would be our intention to in...broaden the Resolution to include those type Bills, uh, in...in the holdover until the, ah, Spring Calendar."

Jaffe: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Now we better get those, uh,...Is Mr. Schisler on Agriculture, he wants to nonconcur in...is he here? Is Mr. Schisler back there? No. He says he doesn't want...he doesn't want to concur now. Well, are we... All right. Now...right now, uh, I'm going to recognize Mr. Schisler with respect to the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 798."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, we move to...not to adopt the Conference Report on House Bill 798. Right? Not to adopt it. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we move not...not to adopt the Conference Report on House Bill 798."



Speaker Blair: "Ah, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "This is, let's get this so everybody understands where we are.

This is the First Conference Committee Report, is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "On...okay. It is...this is the First Conference Committee Report with respect to the appropriation for the Department of Agriculture. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "And the gentleman has moved not to concur, is that correct, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "He has moved to not adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah, I would urge that you voted no on the gentleman's motion, uh, for two very important reasons not necessarily in the order of their importance. But one is that we'd like to get out of here at some reasonable time and the second is that the gentleman is looking for additional jobs in the Department of Agriculture that are absolutely unnecessary. Now this Conference Committee Report is a lower amount of money than he would like to have and I suggest to you that these jobs aren't needed; the additional money is not needed. So I would urge you to vote no on the gentleman's motion not to concur."

Speaker Blair: "Ah,... All right. The question is on the gentleman's motion to not adopt the Conference Committee Report. All those in favor will - of the gentleman's motion - uh, will vote aye. All right, all...all those in favor of the gentleman's motion not...adopt will say, uh, aye. And the opposed no. All right. We'll have all Roll Call. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, for what it's worth, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my no vote. I'd like to point out that the gentleman who made the motion to non-concur signed the Conference Committee Report to concur and not just he but 8 of the 10 members of the Conference Committee signed it, ah, and four of those eight are Democratic people; two from the Senate, two from the House. Both House Democrats signed the Conference Committee Report so I can't imagine why any, ah, ah, Democrat loyal to his fellow member and loyal to his party would not vote no on the



gentleman's motion."

Speaker Blair: "Uh, on this question there are 82 ayes and 45 nays and the gentleman's motion to not adopt prevails. Now, Mr. Ebbesen, what purpose do you arise?"

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to change my vote from yes to no."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Change the vote from yes to no. This is, look, ...on a...anybody else want to do, you want to do that, too, Mr. Kempiners? See if Mr. Kempiners wants to do it from yes to no. Uh, the uh, see, on a matter like this now, it's...it's a simple majority and 82 ayes and 45 nays, uh, so it's almost two to one and we just, ah, you know, it's...we're gonna go right to the Second Conference Committee so the matter will be back up and I think we can expedite it if we...move right along. Now then...953."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 953. An Act creating the State of Illinois Units of Local School Districts and so forth from leasing, so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 953 is similar to House Bill 757 which this House, ah, passed out overwhelmingly. Uh, it - the provisions of the Bill are to insure that, ah, all secret land transactions in which the governmental unit is a party, that the beneficiary of this transaction will be made known to the taxpayers of the area. There are a few differences between 757 and Senate Bill 953. But I think that either Bill should it become law would do - go a long way to revealing, ah, the names of those who are benefitting from the taxpayer's funds and I would urge adoption of, uh, or passage of Senate Bill 953 by the House of Representatives."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, D. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will the gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Indicates that he will."

Houlihan: "Can you tell me what the difference is between the Senate Bill and the House Bill?"

Kempiners: "Yes, ah, one of the primary differences is that the Senate Bill outlines a...an affidavit. It specifies what would appear in the



affidavit. Ah, another difference is that it, ah, puts more of the burden on the individual to disclose and, ah, finally it does require...it does specify the procedure the governmental unit should use in going out after disclosures. It does state registered mail."

Houlihan: "What do you mean by saying it puts more of a burden on the individual to disclose?"

Kempiners: "House Bill 757 which was my Bill, ah, really put the requirement onto the governmental unit to go out and get the affidavits. Well, here all it says is that they have to send the registered letter to the recipient and get a receipt. Then it's totally up to the individual to return the affidavit."

Houlihan: "Well, would the recipient; would that be the land trust?"

Kempiners: "It would be the participants in the land trust; it would be the nominees who would be the beneficiaries; it'd be anybody. Ah, it... but it would be up to this individual in filing the affidavit to state if he is a nominee for anybody."

Speaker Talcser: "...From Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Ah, would the Sponsor yield? Bill, ah, in the original Bill there was some criminal penalties spelled out in the last page. Are those still in the Bill?"

Kempiners: "If you will turn to Amendment 2, or on page 5,..."

Berman: "Yes."

Kempiners: "Lines 20 through 28 would say what the penalties are. There's a Class IV felony for a person who is required to file and doesn't. There's a Class IV felony for a public official who wilfully fails to procure and there's a Class A misdemeanor for the president of a corporation who does not disclose."

Berman: "Now, what...what is the penalty again for a Class IV felony?"

Kempiners: "For a person who wilfully furnishes false, inaccurate or incomplete d..."

Berman: "No. What...what is the penalty again? What's a Class IV felony?"

Kempiners: "Oh, it's one to three years. I think there's a fine involved there, too, isn't there?"

Berman: "Now that's...that penalty could be imposed, a penalty of one to three years, could be imposed upon someone who fails to supply infor-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

mation?"

Kempiners: "Right. If...if he is required to, under the procedures outlined in this Act, if he fails to...to file the information."

Berman: "Now. Now. This covers a shareholder of a corporation if they own a piece of property to the extent of 5%. Am I correct?"

Kempiners: "Ah, well, I think you are. But let me state it more correctly. The president of the corporation must indicate the name of everyone who possess in excess of 5% of the corporation. That's correct..."

Berman: "Now, what I want..."

Kempiners: "And then...and then he would...what...what would be up to him is...is just state in this particular transaction that no one is deriving a benefit through him."

Berman: "Well, what I want to know specifically is this. Does this Bill have the potential of imposing a criminal sanction upon a shareholder of a corporation who owns 5% of the stock of that corporation, or the corporation owns real estate, and because of somebody's inaction they could be found guilty of a felony?"

Kempiners: "The way this Bill is set up, Art, I think my...I can safely answer that question. No. And I'll explain why. The president has to give his name and then it is up to the governmental unit to send a registered letter to him saying you must indicate whether you - y'know somebody is receiving a benefit through you and fill out this affidavit. So that he will have knowledge. He must have knowledge that he has to file. Ah, if he does not receive this notice he does not receive this notice he doesn't have to file. Ah, but, if, let me say this, there could be a penalty upon the governmental official who does not send him that notice."

Berman: "All right, then, do I understand the steps are in files and I hate to impose upon the time but I think, I don't want see a little old lady who has 5% of a building corporation wind up in jail..."

Kempiners: "Right. I..."

Berman: "...With this Bill."

Kempiners: "I...agree with you."

Berman: "Now. A contract entered into with the government by the corporation and the president files a list of the shareholders and Mrs. Jones



is shown there as a 6% interest. The governmental official has to send her a registered letter. Mrs. Jones receives that letter and does nothing. What's her exposure under this Bill?"

Kempiners: "Okay, Art, there...there is...if there is an interest, an interest through them then they must file but if not they don't have to file. This is the way Art explained this provision to me and I would have given you a wrong answer if he hadn't of done that. So only if, only if someone is derive an int...you know, derive an interest through her would she be liable."

Berman: "And if she was holding it in her own name, not for someone else, she does not have to file?"

Kempiners: "Right. And if no one is deriving an interest through her, not just herself receiving some of the benefit but then if somebody else were receiving an added benefit."

Berman: "Now, this is...this is the same kind of legislation and...and requirements that have been spelled out in the Senate Bill? In a... in a Bill that we've already passed?"

Kempiners: "Yes. Ah, as I mentioned earlier, I think in some areas this is a little bit more specific than my Bill but it's basically...that is the same approach."

Berman: "Where's the other Bill?"

Kempiners: "God only knows, I was looking for it this morning. It's someplace between the Secretary of the Senate and the Clerk of the House for...for Second Conference Committee. We approved the First Conference Committee Report and it only had 28 votes in the Senate so it's floating around here someplace."

Berman: "Is it spelled out in the Bill, so that Mrs. Jones who is not a lawyer and just owns 6% of this building corporation understand in plain simple language what she is supposed to do? Is it really spelled out?"

Kempiners: "It...it is spelled out in the affidavit as to what is required from her."

Berman: "All right, thank you."

Kempiners: "Art. Art, if you want to look at it, uh, on the...Amendment #2, page 4, lines 25 and following."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay? Time for the discussion. The question is, shall Senate Bill 953 pass. All in favor aye, the opposed no. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe that the Senate has passed out a Bill that relates to the disclosure of, uh, beneficiaries under land trust this deals more with, ah, holders of shares in building corporations. I'm just a little scared when we've got criminal penalties that affect these kind of people and I'm just...I would like to be voted present."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote Berman present. Have all voted who wish? Anyone else? Tom Miller, aye. Mann, present. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. ...This question 94 ayes, 1 nay and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby...John Friedland, aye. Yeah. Is hereby declared passed. 656."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 656. An Act to amend the State Housing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Ah, Stone."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone." Is Repr...656, Paul."

Stone: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like leave of the House to take this Bill back to Second for purpose of the effective date amends... The Amendment provides that it become...it take effect upon becoming law."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any objections? Hearing none Senate Bill 656 on Second Reading. Clerk read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 656 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "...Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Yes. This, ah, Amendment merely makes the Bill effective upon becoming law."

Speaker Telcser: "...(Unintelligible)...Senate Bill 656. All in favor aye, the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Third Reading. ...Read a Third Time. Representative Stone."

Stone: "Now, ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill amends the State Housing Act and it merely authorizes the Department of Local Government Affairs to use its own money as a revolving fund for the expenses necessary in implementing and...administering federal programs by the Department of it. In other words, they can use state funds to



implement, uh, federal programs and receive federal money and after they're implemented, the...the funds are replaced by the federal government. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The question is shall Senate...is there any discussion? Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Ah, would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Stone: "Yes, sir."

Friedland: "...You tell me if this is going to be appropriated annually or would this be....will there be any legislative..."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry I can't hear his question."

Friedland: "Will there...will there...will there be any legislative control on an annual basis over this money? Will it go through the Appropriations Committee?"

Stone: "Will what go to the Appropriations Committee?"

Friedland: "Will the expenditures from this...revolving fund..."

Stone: "Ah, no, sir. This...this...no, sir, this merely allows the Department to use funds that have already been appropriated to the Department for the purpose of implementing federal programs. Then the money will be repaid from federal funds. It will not cost the State of Illinois any money."

Friedland: "Well, I don't serve on the Appropriations Committee but I thought they were trying to develop a...a...position whereby they would have control of every dime each year."

Stone: "Well, they...they do. This money is in a nature of a revolving fund and it will be repaid."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 656 pass. All in favor ayes opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 120 ayes, 2 nays. This...(unintelligible)...constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 72. Senate Bill 72."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate...Senate...is this thing on? Senate Bill 72. An Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House I'd like leave to return Senate Bill 72 to Second Reading for..."

Speaker Telcser: "Any objections? Senate Bill 72 to the order of Second



Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4. Tuerk..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "This is the effective date Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion. ... (Unintelligible) adoption Senate Bill 72. All in favor aye; opposed no. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative Tuerk. Well, I called and Representative you're on..."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 72 as amended amends the Comptroller's Act and allows, ah, law enforcement officers to get the original warrants from the Comptroller's Office for purposes of inspection and copying and lab work. It's a good Bill. It has the Comptroller's Office support. It had no opposition in the House Committee. I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could you tell me, Fred, why they need the original warrants?"

Tuerk: "Well, the reason they need the original is because if a copy is sent to 'em and oftentime is very difficult to test these copies and get signatures and so forth to the lab process so, uh, they say they need the originals as a result of that particular comment and, ah, sometimes in the copy why the signatures and endorsements and so on are not legible and the copying machines lose a lot of the original ... ah, depth and so forth."

Shea: "Well, Fred, my understanding is a warrant when it's drawn on a state does the state promise to pay upon... presentation to the state? Is that correct?"

Tuerk: "Well, the reason for this..."

Shea: "No. No. Fred, let's go through what a warrant is. A warrant is a promise to pay upon presentation to the state. It's no promise to pay when you get it to a bank and the only time there's a promise to pay is when the state gets it and says the signature is authenticated, it's a promise to pay. Then if somebody reports a... a warrant... has a forged endorsement on it, the state returns that document to the bank and to the person and says that the document in question has a forged signature and refuses to pay the warrant. Is that correct?"

Tuerk: "Well, I would presume so. I don't know all the ramifications and



procedure but..."

Shea: "Well, I spent two years working on this with the Department of Public Aid and what I can't understand, we never had a problem getting an original warrant out of the Department..."

Tuerk: "There have been problems though."

Shea: "Well, I don't understand where the problems are coming in. I think somebody has given somebody a con job on wanting just the warrants. I think they want a lot more than the warrants out of the Department and I'd like to know what they want out of it, so..."

Tuerk: "Well, the reason...the reason for this Bill is because there have been some warrants stolen and the law enforcement officers feel that this is one way to try to track down those people who are stealing warrants to bring this thing to justice and it would seem to me to be proper legislation and an aid in which we can run down people who are stealing the warrants and cashing them ...illegitimately."

Shea: "But this...this allows the IBI as I read the Bill and understand it to have access to all the records over there?"

Tuerk: "Well, actually, yes. Ah, they can get the warrants and through the amendatory process in Committee we put a limitation of 15 days, ah, so that the Comptroller is not without the original. When he sends the original and delivers the original he still has the copies in his file. Actually we haven't had any objection to this legislation and it's an aid in which we can run down these people, hopefully, who are stealing these warrants. And that's the reason for the legislation."

Shea: "Yeah, but Fred, you've gone further than this. You're saying any warrant returned to the Comptroller is available for inspection and to be taken out. Doesn't say that that warrant has to be the subject of a forged endorsement; it doesn't say that warrant has to be subject to anything other than the fact that the IBI wants the warrant in question for thirty days. Is that the way this law reads?"

Tuerk: "No, it says for fifteen days."

Shea: "All right."

Tuerk: "It doesn't stipulate the IBI either, it says law enforcement...law enforcement."

Shea: "But what I'm getting at is why that they need access to these records



if there's no crime in question."

Tuerk: "Well, I would presume under those conditions they wouldn't have the necessity for access if there's no crime. Ah, ah..."

Shea: "But that's not what the Bill states. It just says they've got access to the originals; doesn't have to say they...they state that there's a crime been committed or anything else. It just...it just seems to me it gives them complete access to the Comptroller's Office."

Tuerk: "Well, what's the objection for that?"

Shea: "I want to know what the necessity is."

Tuerk: "Well, I've stated the necessity, uh, for the most part in this interchange. I've told you that there have been many...many warrants stolen and therefore the law enforcement officers feel that this would be, uh, uh, a good way in which to assist in bringing these people to proper justice."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of this Bill, I think that was Senator Sours, had this Bill tied up with a Grand Jury Bill, didn't he? Wasn't that the Roving Grand Jury Bill, this is a section of that? Now there's a parallel to this case, Mr. Speaker, and it's in the Watergate case. The...the Director of the FBI destroyed records that were in his possession and I think this is the case where there's an attempt here to go back into the records and probably destroy some records. This is a very, very bad Bill and you've got the parallel in Watergate. The people in the country and state of Illinois resent public officials taking records and destroying them. This is a very bad Bill by a very bad Sponsor."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, is rising angrily to meet your challenge."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The purpose of this Bill is obvious. No one wants to be convicted on a copied document. This applies only to cases of...where there's alleged forgery or fraud and the courts are going to insist in order to convict a person in a case like this then the original'd be produced. If you



don't do that you place the burden on the prosecution of proving that the copy which they are attempted to introduce in evidence is a true and correct copy, who made it and so forth and why don't you come in with the original of the forged signature. That's the purpose of this Bill and it's a good Bill and it's a Bill that will give again law enforcement authorities the tools to do the job. I would appreciate your support of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "...Gentleman from Cook, B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the more the debate goes on the more confused I become. Now will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Wolfe: "Ah, is the digest right, uh, in the description of this Bill? Is that a correct digest on the Bill? And the second question is, ... yeah, I didn't hear the answer."

Tuerk: "Well, I was looking in the digest, I have the Bill before me."

Wolfe: "Oh."

Tuerk: "I go by the Bill and not so much by the digest."

Wolfe: "Well, I don't have the Bill before so I'm relying on what you're stating to the House as fact."

Tuerk: "Well, the digest is not correct, uh, because it stipulates custody of cashed warrants for a period of not more than 30 days. As I mentioned before this has been amended downward to fifteen days because there was a problem there with these warrants being out too long."

Wolfe: "Now. All right, my second question then is from...on that aspect that's only..."

Tuerk: "The last sentence of that digest summary is correct..."

Wolfe: "All right, that's what I...I'm coming to now. To obtain custody of cashed, uncashed state warrants which would not include stolen warrants which were not cashed, so on that statement, uh, it...it's not correct. Now the second question I have, can the courts under subpoena to the Comptroller secure this original evidence. Can a court subpoena which..."

Tuerk: "Yes...these records can be subpoenaed but the reason that we went in this direction was...."



Wolfe: "To get 'em before the subpoena issued under a court direction..."

Tuerk: "Right."

Wolfe: "...To allow any enforcement officer, state or federal, to obtain custody of these original state documents without the supervision of courts I think is an improper procedure and one which does not protect either one, the state office nor the, ah, the people of the State of Illinois. And I think that somebody ought to look...somebody ought to look at this and...and put it in proper form, uh, to accomplish what you have stated on the floor, you desire to do in connection with this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "...Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk, to close."

Tuerk: "Well, we have a lot of debate here today about this Bill. I think quite frankly some of the debate has been, ah, ah, colored a little bit by Sponsorship and some ramifications in the other legislation which I frankly think is wrong. I think this Bill addresses itself to a problem. Ah, Senator Carroll in the Senate did amend the Bill so that it would solely be for...solely for purpose of determining whether crimes of forgery, mail fraud or theft was involved. This is a limitation on the Bill. The Comptroller's Office has no objection to this. There have been problems in the past trying to get at some of these documents and I think the Bill addresses itself to the... trying to solve a problem which is prevailing in this state. And I think it's good legislation, should be passed. And I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 72 pass. All in favor aye and the opposed no. Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "To explain my vote. I want to mention that this Bill clearly provides that this warrant and these documents remain in the possession of a state official at all times. It does have adequate safeguard. It requires a written request through the Comptroller before the warrant is turned over, it limits the possession of the law enforcement people to thirty days and, uh, it clearly authorizes, grants authority to the Comptroller, ah, to release this evidence. And there may be some question. They are in his official custody and he's responsible for 'em and I think that the Legislature should give him this author-



ity so that he can legally turn these cash warrants over to law enforcement people so that when the trial comes up they do have the necessary evidence to convict in those cases where a conviction is justified. I think it's a good Bill and would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my vote, should like to advise you that I have just visited personally with the Comptroller. He tells me that the Bill has been amended to his satisfaction and he cannot understand the basis for opposition for this Bill. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that we do have a good measure here one that will assist the appropriate law enforcement officials to combat crime and I do encourage your support with an aye vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. The Clerk, uh, take the record. This question there are 67 ayes, 5 nays. Representative Tuerk, what purpose you arise?"

Tuerk: "I'd request this be put on postponed consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "You want it on postponed consideration or you want that spring calendar or..."

Tuerk: "And I further request that it be put on the spring calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Ah, hearing no objections, Senate Bill 72 will be put on the spring calendar. Senate Bill 699."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 699. Keller. Amends Public Junior College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller. Representative Keller on the floor? ...Take it out of the record, I guess he'll want it on the spring calendar. I don't know. Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Ah, Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, uh, Senate Bill 699 amends the Junior...the Public Junior College Act. It merely increases the minimum state flat rate which may be claimed by all of the junior college districts of the State from \$16.50 to \$18.00 per semester hour."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall Senate...gentle-



man from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah, Mr. Stone, can you tell me why this hasn't been amended to agree with the appropriations of \$19.00 per credit hour?"

Stone: "Yes, ah, I think I can. The appropriation figure of \$19.00 per hour was put in there because of the...part-time scholarship bill which had been, ah, ah, bogged down and momentarily killed, that Bill has now passed and the \$18.00 is all that is asked for by the Junior College."

Skinner: "Well, what does that do to the Appropriations Bill, do you expect the Governor to veto it down to \$18.00 then?"

Stone: "Well, I would expect that he would but I of course couldn't say."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 699 pass. All in favor aye the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 122 ayes, 1 nay. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 357."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah. Senate Bill 357. An Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in order to try and solve a problem where an overlapping district goes into two counties or more counties, it has been a very complex thing. And I believe that the easiest way that I can tell you that a solution to this rests in the fact - let's take a county and you have a \$20,000 home on the east side of the county line and you have a \$20,000 home on the west side of the county they're lying in...in separate counties but the kids go to the same school district and they get the same education and all. In this particular case where the assessment has been made on the one hand at 30% and on the other hand on the west side of the county line at 50% you therefore have a tax bill one side of \$300; a tax bill of...on the other side county line at \$500. Now what this solution does it doesn't go to the high side and it doesn't go to the low side but so that each individual is paying their proportionate share you cut it right in the middle and assess both at \$400. And that is as simple as it can be made. I urge your consideration."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "...Would Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Mugalian: "Representative Waddell didn't the House pass a Bill that does about the same thing and, uh, I think the Senate has passed that Bill. How does this Bill differ from the one that's passed and is on its way to the Governor?"

Waddell: "I have no knowledge of the other Bill. All I know is that this gentleman has been working on this since 1968."

Mugalian: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is precisely the same wording that House Bill 999 is; the wording was worked out on a Joint Committee Meeting with Representative Friedland and myself attending along with Senator Berning. Ah, the Bill certainly should be passed. We passed it last ...the last version of 140 to nothing..."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 357 pass. All in favor aye the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Lechowicz, aye. This question...Kozubowski, aye...this question 119 ayes, no nays. This having received the constitutional majority is hereby passed. Senate Bill 300."

Clerk Selcke: "Kozubowski, aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Kozubowski, aye. Senate Bill 300."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 300. An Act to amend an Act pertaining to alcoholic liquor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill authorizes the purchase of package liquor by the consumer via the use of credit cards. Essentially, the Bill attempts to legalize the activities which are in effect taking place because of consumer needs. The Bill is sponsored by the Illinois Retail Merchants Association, the Illinois Restaurant Association, the Illinois Hotel and Motel Association and I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Sponsor yield for one question?"



Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "What is meant by other credit devise?"

Terzich: "Well, ah, for example, ah, if you, as a Member of the Legislature, are purchasing any of the...uh, uh, liquid refreshments, so to speak, and you want to run a charge over at Thrifty Drugs over here in Springfield you may do so. In other words, clubs, businesses and so forth, ah, are billed monthly for purchases of...of goods."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "I would just like to rise to support this Bill. I have heard quite often that when you buy beer on the credit card it's an excellent system to pay for it in the morning so to speak."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 300 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't think that anybody would suggest that I am an abstainer or a prohibitionist but it seems to me that by the passage of this Bill we're simply going to bring about a expeditious, ah, free flow sale and...consumption of alcoholic beverages. And, particularly, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, when we allow for the, uh, sale and purchase of alcoholic beverages by other credit devises, in other words, allowing a consumer to establish an account we just further what I believe is...would be practices, uh, inconsistent with the general welfare of our citizenry particularly those who may be subject...to drivers under the influence. And I would encourage a no vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...I think the...the present Dram Shop Law that prohibits the purchase of liquor on credit is an anachronism. Uh, I think this Bill just gets us into the Twentieth Century. Uh, the restrictions against the sale and purchase of liquor just don't make sense. Most of us, or many of us drink...alcoholic beverages with our dinners, at our clubs and this thing would just bring us into a modern day and age. I don't see why it makes much difference whether you pay for a steak by credit card or, uh, uh, martini for dinner."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I think that we're rather hypocritical by not putting more green votes on this. You know we can walk into a restaurant / ^{order} 10, 20, 30 drinks before dinner, put 'em on our check and you sign your American Express Card and you walk out being tanked up. But by not voting for this you say that I can't walk in get a fifth of liquor and do the same thing. I don't see any difference on it and I think that the Bill, ah, is a sensible approach to, ah, the sale of any other...like any other commodity. I'll urge more green lights."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think there's a big difference. I think one of the problems we're not addressing ourself to here is the fact that we have a serious problem in the State of Illinois, throughout the country of people stealing credit cards. And I think this is just going to add to the increase of thievery in the State of Illinois by people who want liquor and no other way they can get it they're going to steal more credit cards. It's a very dangerous breath and I urge a no vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill. I think it's an excellent concept. It's no different than buying any other commodity that, uh, we can charge presently. And in reference to some of the other remarks that were made on the floor, I think you'll find it is a safeguard as far as exactly who is buying the liquor because they would have to be 21 in order to have an established credit card. And I think it would be a safeguard for the retailer who is...serving or, uh, merchandizing liquor. I think it's an excellent Bill. I think it has nothing that would have any derogatory effect as far as the credit card stealing that is presently going on and I would urge the support of this legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Fleck: "Due to the fact that I have a conflict of interest here, is if I..."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

DECEMBER 1973

if this Bill passed and I get my first American Express Card I'd probably go bankrupt trying to pay it I'd like to be recorded as voting present."

Speaker Telcser: "In order to keep Fleck sober vote him present. This question there are...huh? Fary, present. Fary and Fleck present. On this question there are 90 ayes, 33 nays. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Now, are we ready for the supplemental calendar? Okay, uh, we'll be calling Supplemental Calendar #2 in a few moments as soon as we're sure that the Conference Committee Reports have been distributed. Well I don't see either of the Sponsors on the Floor. Williams or Soderstrom are not on the Floor. So, I...I don't know what to do with 'em, Fred. It's, uh, on the, uh, Calendar for today on the order of Conference Committee Reports, up here Senate Bill 3. The lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 3 keeps the .5% lead content which is the same percentage as was adopted in the Lead Poison Control Bill that this House has already sent to the Governor, House Bill 794. I personally wanted it reduced to .06% which is the percentage recommended by the American Association for...Pediatrics and the people interested in protecting those who are mentally retarded. But it appears that we can't get it down to .06% so I would move that the House accept Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 3."

Speaker Telcser: "...Is there any discussion? Lady has moved the House adopt Conference Report #2 relative to Senate Bill 3. All in favor aye, the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This is to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 3. Have all voted who wish? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Can I ask Miss Catania a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure. She'll yield."

Shea: "Now, I know Representative Douglas was very interested in the outcome of this Miss Catania, how, uh, is this an agreement with regards to this or what?"



Catania: "The Department of Public Health has agreed to accept the .5% level in the hopes that the federal government will lower the percentage at the end of 1973. There is provision in the Bill that it will agree with whatever the federal lower standard may be."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't see that we need this Bill because we've already a Bill, as I understand it, which would provide for the lead content being at the same level. For those of us who don't want to adopt the industry position, it would seem to me that we should be recorded no on this and even if this fails to pass, ah, we'd...the other Bill has passed anyway. So, ah, I think maybe the lady ought to make it clear. She indicated that she was not particularly in favor with the content of the Conference Committee Report and I guess she's not in favor with the content of the lead in, ah, paint. But I think by her presentation she may have gotten some green votes up there that possibly don't want to be green."

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on...Catania wants to respond or answer a question or something."

Catania: "I would just like to point out that the House Sponsor of the Bill that we've already passed signed this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "I wonder if, ah, Miss Catania, Mrs. Catania would yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes she would."

Hill: "Ah, isn't it true that you tried to make this Bill better and not adopt what the paint company wanted but the Senator that sponsored this and is for the paint company insisted that it go through the way it is now?"

Catania: "I certainly did try to get it down .06% but as I say the Department of Public Health has agreed to accept .5%."

Hill: "Only because the Senator that sponsored this Bill, uh, insisted that it stay the way the paint companies wanted."

Catania: "I was not part of his conversations with the Department of Public Health."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Jaffe."



Jaffe: "Ah, Susan, just as a point of information, the other day you got up on another Bill that was comparable to this one and you objected to it because you said that the paint manufacturers suggestions were included in that Bill. Ah, now I was voting aye on this Bill up until a couple of moments ago. Are...are you telling me now that, uh, your Bill is just as the same as the other one and includes that which the paint manufacturers want and which you objected to the other day?"

Catania: "The other day I did not object to it, I simply pointed out the .5% is what the paint industry recommends. That's what that Bill had and that's what this Bill has."

Jaffe: "Well, did you vote for that last Bill? I...I just want to know, y'know your rationale on this? I...I mean is it a pride of authorship thing with you or what is it?"

Catania: "No, it's not pride of authorship. I share the Department of Public Health desire to get the best possible measure to control lead poisoning and consequently I think the Governor should have a Bill to sign, he's got one, not going to hurt to have two. They both say .5% though."

Jaffe: "Well, well, if I may just ask you a final question. Did you vote for that other Bill 'cause it seems to me it'd be the same as this Bill. Is that not right?"

Catania: "That's right."

Jaffe: "Did you vote for the other one?"

Catania: "No, I didn't."

Jaffe: "Well, what makes this a better I...I don't understand that."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Take the record, Fred. This question 77 ayes, 14 nays and the House does not adopt Conference Committee Report #2 relative to Senate Bill 3. Representative Pierce, what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Pierce: "Parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Pierce: "I just want to ask whether we're gonna have...I just saw B. B. Wolfe coming in with a stack of three Bills in his hand. I wonder if we're going to have introduction of First Reading of...Bills because B. B. Wolfe has a lot of Bills he'd like us to consider. He's



coming back from the Reference Bureau with a lot of good ideas."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we'll move 'em to Second without reference. Uh, just...Senate Bill 1186."

Clerk Selcke: "...Okay, that Bill's dead. Senate Bill 1186. An Act to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill, uh, says in effect that once it becomes law, those people that have to file ethics statements in the year 1973 have an additional 30 days after the Bill becomes law to file that statement and they'll still be current. I'll start off with the assumption number one that was mine was filed on time like every Member of this House and Senate. I'm informed that there have been 675 people that are either employed or required for state government that have filed since that date. I see where in Joliet...in Joliet and Will county the states attorney filed 74 cases...the states attorney filed 74 cases against selected elected officials who failed to file their statements. I talked to Mr. Washburn the other day and I guess he can verify this if he's over there that there is 175 people in Grundy County that have not filed their ethics statements. And Jack Hill just tells me there's a hundred in Kane County. Now in many cases where we have school boards we could have a majority of the board actually not eligible for public office and every bond issue we talk about putting through would be impossible. There wouldn't be a quorum to appoint members to fill vacancies. Ah, this Bill came out of the Senate, I think 53 to 1 or 52 to 1, and I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, does the Sponsor, House Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser; "Indicates he will."

Lundy: "Jerry, did I understand you to say that this Bill applies only to statements due in 1973?"

Shea: "That's correct."



Lundy: "I thought when I looked at the Bill and I...I know it was on the Agreed Bill List, I thought that when I looked at the Bill I saw that ...that for any statement required to be filed under the Act, that, uh, the official required to file it could file a partial statement, uh, and a statement of intent to file a complete statement within 30 days and so on and that there was no indication in the Bill that that was limited to statements due in 1973."

Shea: "Well, I'm reading from page 2: "Any person who failed to make a timely filing of a statement of economic interest which was required to be filed before the effective date of this Amendatory Act of '73 may satisfy the requirements of this Act by filing a statement of economic interest within 30 days after the effective date of this Amendatory Act.""

Lundy: "Well, I understand that's the last added paragraph but there's several paragraphs before that that relate to the possibility of filing a...only a partial statement and a follow up statement later on and that...those paragraphs are not limited to 1973. Isn't that right?"

Shea: "Here's what it says with regards to that. Any person who is required to file a statement of economic interest may effect one 30 day extension of his time for filing such a statement by filing with the officer with whom his statement is required to be filed not more than 10 days before or 10 days after the date when his filing is otherwise due. a declaration of intention to defer the filing of his statement of economic interest. And I think that what this was put in there for was if a person, uh, most people file their statements of economic interest based on their tax return. That was the basis of it that we filed our tax returns on the 15th and we filed these statements on the 30th. Now this...

See, one...one of the things that happened, Joe, was many people didn't file at all or weren't timely filed. At least you're making a record if a man's asking for an extension he is making an affirmative act that for some reason he cannot get it in on time but he is saying I know I should file, it will be on file within 30 days and so that if that's the portion of it that you...have some concern about, at least that person will be filing and there'll be a statement in there



that he's asking for an extension and you'll know that he has to have it on file within 30 days."

Lundy: "No, Jerry, the reason...the reason I ...I asked to have this taken off the Agreed Bill List, it seems to me this conflicts with House Bills 907 and 908 which we passed earlier this year which I think are very necessary Bills. ...What they say is that when you file a statement of candidacy you have to indicate that you have already filed your statement of economic interest. Now it seems to me that the best way to handle this problem and seems to me that the delay provisions in...the present Bill conflict with 907 and 908 which I...which I think is good legislation."

Shea: "Did those Bills get out of the Senate?"

Lundy: "I think they're waiting signature on the Governor's desk."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further...have you completed...further discussion?"

Shea: "Well, Joe, I hope they aren't in conflict but I think we have a problem with several hundred people in the State of Illinois at this time and that is what this Bill attempted to correct."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is, shall Senate Bill 1186 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye; the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Campbell, aye. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 107 ayes, 2 nays. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 400."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 400. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like leave of the House to move Senate Bill 400 back to the..."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none Senate Bill 400 is ordered to Second Reading. Clerk read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Hoffman, amend..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Ah, thank you Mr. Speaker, all this, uh, Amendment does is strike out one paragraph that was evidently in conflict with the Bill. It was the recommendation of the Chairman Elections Committee and a few of the Members down there and I urge its adoption."



Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The gentleman (unintelligible) adoption ...Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 400. All in favor aye, the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is this Senate Bill 1400?"

Speaker Telcser: "400. 400."

Shea: "Now this amends the Park District Code?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes. ...Do with the Park District."

Shea: "And then all of a sudden I hear Election Code?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman wish to respond to, ah,...?"

Hoffman: "This does apply to the Park...ah, Park District Election Code and it, uh, describes the manner by which the, uh, notices will be posted."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman has asked leave to adopt... Senate Bill 400. All in favor aye, opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is, uh, in order to get the Park District Election Code to the proper requirement for, ah, notice of time of filing a note...nominating petition for the election of the General Park District Commissioners. It prescribes the procedures and how the notices will be posted and notices circulated. And I move for an aye vote on this issue."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 400 pass. All in favor signify by...yeah, this question...the question is shall Senate Bill 400 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question there are 132...132 ayes, no nays. This...having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Lechowicz, what purpose you arise, sir? "

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I humbly request that the Republicans be assigned to the various Committee Conference Reports that are still outstanding. I'm referring specifically to the Department of Agriculture where we've been waiting for over an hour to have the Republicans assigned to the Committee. It's been worked out and I would ask for



your cooperation. So we can get some of these Conference Committees completed."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. I'll...I'll send a note back to, uh..."

Lechowicz: "We've been sending...(unintelligible) for over an hour."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Senate Bill 981. Senate Bill 981."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 981. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 981 says that after January 1, or 1973, land that is owned by tax exempt institutions that is not used for tax exempt purposes the lessee, uh, Mr. Speaker, I'm wondering if I might...I...I forgot. I had an Amendment. With leave of the House take this back..."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Senate Bill 981 order of Second Reading. Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 981..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Ah, with...I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2. This has one Amendment on it now, doesn't it, Jack? All right. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2 which merely places an earlier effective date in the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Randolph. He indicates he'll yield."

Randolph: "What is the date that you're placing on the Bill now?"

Shea: "Mr. Randolph, I talked to Doug Donnefeld and told him I would not try to remove the Amendment that was placed on by Miss Geo-Karis in the Committee. That I have kept my word on and it went to Third Reading with that Amendment. I am now bringing it back to put in an earlier effective date so that it will not...this Bill if it passed out of this Chamber with 89 votes; not become effective until July 1, 1974. It was my intention by bringing it back and putting on an earlier effective date. As I received a 107 votes that this Bill would become effective on it becoming a law."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."



McClain: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for one question, please?"

Shea: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "He said he will."

McClain: "Jerry, I'm a little confused. Is this just, ah, assessing the property for the tax or is this, uh, stating who...will these people have to pay a tax now?"

Shea: "No...the ah...are you talking to the Bill or the Amendment now, Mike?"

McClain: "I'm sorry. I was asking about the Bill. I'll wait until we get on Third Reading..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has asked the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 981. All in favor aye, the opposed no. Gentleman from, uh, Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Oh, I'm sorry. This is on the Amendment? I'd like to address the Bill later."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed no. Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Been read a Third time. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, with the Bill."

Shea: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill says in effect there are many institutions that are tax free, they are not required to pay real estate taxes on land that they own. Therefore at the present time, the person that leases that property from 'em has a distinct economic advantage in business competition and second of all, the tax rates on the little home owner are substantially higher in some areas than they would be if the lessor paid the tax. Under this Bill, any lease the institution's entered into after January 1, 1973 those leases, the person that leased the property from them would be subject to paying real estate taxes the way that real...that leases assessed for assessment purposes. So in..in.. it would in effect, put large amounts of property on the tax rolls. And I'll give you an example in the City of Chicago, the Chicago School Board has substantial holdings in downtown Chicago. Those holdings, the person that leased them, presently do not pay the right amount of real estate taxes on 'em. Under this Bill, any lease



negotiated by the Chicago Board of Education after January 1 of this year, the person that leased that would be responsible for the taxes like any other lessor and would not gain an advantage because he leased ground or property from the Chicago Board of Education. In Cook County this would be an...a substantial addition to the tax rolls of property both by the Chicago Board of Education and other people and would have a tendency to reduce the taxes paid by the average home owner in the city. And I would move for adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Would the gentleman yield to a question, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Kempiners: "Jerry, what, uh, type of, uh, exempt orientations are...are we talking about? All exempt organizations?"

Shea: "Anybody. What the organizations, let's...let's understand one thing. The organizations that are exempted are not placed in a position where they're paying any taxes at all."

Kempiners: "No...Right. I understand that. But...."

Shea: "But we're saying is all exempt property, all exempt property. So any organization of any type, nature or character that owns exempt property and leases it out for commercial purposes, the person that leases the property is the one that will pay the real estate tax, not the organization."

Kempiners: "Fine. I think it's a good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah, there was an Amendment added that made it applicable only to leases in the future, is that...is that correct?"

Shea: "That is absolutely right, Harold, and, uh, Doug Donnefeld thought I was going to come up on the House Floor and try to take it off and in the Speaker's Office I said I absolutely will not take off the Amendment. I will take it to Third Reading with that Amendment and pass it in that stage. It will take us a little longer to do it this way but I think it's a fair and equitable way to do it. It phases it in over the period of the leases and all the institutions know exactly what shape they're in when they go to



renegotiate leases and the people leasing the property from them know exactly what position they're in and there will be no economic advantage, ah, given to one business over another businessman."

Katz: "So, that...that...this will not be applicable to any lease negotiated prior to the effective date of this law, is that correct?"

Shea: "It's prior to January 1 of 70, uh, 3, Harold. Miss Geo-Karis' Amendment said leases prior to January 1, '73."

Katz: "Well, why...why are you not taking care of the leases that may have been negotiated between January and the time we pass the law. What's the logic of that?"

Shea: "Uh, Harold, that was Mrs. Geo-Karis' Amendment and I accepted it. You might ask her."

Katz: "Well, uh, it seems to me, y'know we have personal and constitutional problems."

Shea: "Well, Harold, if it would make it a better Bill, and I am assured of your support, I'd be happy to take it back to Second Reading, make that Amendment and, uh..."

Katz: "All right. Well, then, would you hold it for a minute until I can talk to Mrs. Geo-Karis and possibly get that Amendment drafted in the form we're talking about?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, we'll take it out..."

Shea: "With...with leave of the House, can I move this back to Second Reading?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are...any objections? Bill will be put on the order of Second Reading. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "...Reason. For what reason, I'm...I make an objection...one."

Speaker Telcser: "She wants to take it out of the record then and talk to you or Harold Katz."

Geo-Karis: "Okay, let's take it out of the record for the time being, I'll be happy to accede because I..."

Speaker Telcser: "All righty. So take it out of the record where it is.

Okay, on Supplemental Calendar #1 nonconcurrences, Senate Bill 1159.

Ah, gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz. Ted, you want to nonconcur on that? Ah, in Kosinski's seat. He's over here by Kosinski."



Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House not concur in the Conference Committee #1 in Orphans, Children and Family Services.."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any..."

Lechowicz: "...Ask for a second conference be appointed."

Speaker Telcser: "Discussion. All in favor signify by saying aye; the opposed no. The House does not concur with the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 1159. By the way is this, ah, Jim, why don't you figure this out and George, would the Journal, ah, ah, please show that Representative Kempiner...George...what...what... Representative Kempiners is a House cosponsor with Representative Telcser on Senate Bill 953. All right, now... Oh, I'm sorry, Representative Lechowicz' motion was that the House refuses to recede from House Amendments to Senate Bill 1159. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The House refuses to recede from its Amendments to Senate Bill 1159, Conference Committee will be appointed Senate Bill 89."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 89. Collins. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 89 was a Bill introduced by Senator Walker on...in the Senate to take care of two individuals in our pension rights over, ah, in the Senate staff. Ah, it merely would allow that these people, to make a contribution, uh, for the...in the period of time that they rendered service to the General Assembly when they were...carried on the rolls and paid from contractual services and then later went on personal services. And I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Bill, this...did we just pass the Bill which, uh, cost accounts \$1500 for that, uh, we were concerned about the people who are contractual services?"

Collins: "I amended this Bill to put the \$1500...item in there so that this Bill would only cover full-time employees. If..."

Schneider: "Oh, this is the same Bill..."

Collins: "I amended this Bill when was it two or three days ago to take



the \$1500 into consideration."

Schneider: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 89 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 108 ayes, no nays. This having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 791."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 791. Hill. A Bill for an Act to amend the Northeastern Illinois Planning Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill, ask leave to move Senate Bill 791 back to the order of Second Reading. Are there any objections? Hearing none, on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Hill. Amendment..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "All the Amendment does is change the date that the Bill would become law."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman is offering the adoption of...Senate Bill... All in favor aye; the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative Hill, it's been read a third time, sir."

Hill: "This is a piece of legislation that has to do with the escrow account that was set up by the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission for an individual that has been retired for approximately 5 years now and it takes this piece of legislation to release these funds for this individual. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 791 pass. All in favor aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 118 ayes, no nays. This...receiving the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 660."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 660. North. A Bill for an Act relating to training fire protection officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

North: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the Bill that was brought up last night and taken out of the record at the request of Representative Barry and Krause. It removes the Illinois Fire Commission from the Department of Law Enforcement and establishes it...as an independent agency. And the idea behind this, there are quite a few federal funds and grants that are made available if it becomes a independent agency. Now, I've talked to Representative Krause and Fary on this and, ah, it's agreed and there are no objections that I know of at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 660 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 119 ayes, no nays. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 773."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 773. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to amend the Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for leave to, ah, take this to Second for..."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 773 on the order of Second Reading. Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Rayson. Amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Ah, the effect of this Amendment is to give an effective date, I believe, October 1, and I move for adoption..."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion?"

Rayson: "...Of this Amendment #2..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered...move the adoption Amendment... Senate Bill 773. All in favor aye, the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative Rayson, it's been read a third time."

Rayson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Illinois Racing Board has been really up and down the aisles the last few days and need this Bill passed. What it is is the, ah, the application for licenses before the Illinois Racing Board by rule, require fingerprints as part of the application. And the IBI furnishes fingerprint check but when you get applications, California and elsewhere they don't have



enough records. The FBI says they will help them providing they change the rule of the Illinois Racing Board to a statutory requirement. And that's the purpose of this Bill to make it mandatory by law that applications must have a...fingerprints. Now there was an Amendment put on this Bill to...to...uh, make sure there's no phony applications with fingerprints on it. And I move the...or I ask for your favorable consideration to this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I had the privilege of serving on the Executive Committee when this Bill was heard and I think that Roscoe Cunningham said something that ought to repeat...be repeated on the Floor of this House today because I wholeheartedly agree with it and I turn around and Roscoe isn't here. But requiring somebody to submit fingerprints as a job application means that you're saying he's guilty before he's even hired for a job. And I think that when Members of the Illinois General Assembly file fingerprints with their nomination papers that's when we ought to require people applying for a job to file fingerprints. I think this is a bad Bill and we ought to vote it down."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Ah, would the Sponsor yield..."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Hudson: "Ah, Lee, I was in the Committee when this Bill was heard and I remember that there was some talk about the Amendment and I think you've referred to the Amendment but perhaps not address yourself to it in its fullness. I remember we talked about the fingerprints and the necessity of having those prints taken, ah, in a particular place, right on the site that is, because..."

Rayson: "Yes."

Hudson: "...obviously if they were not, if they were taken somewhere else, this could be very, very dangerous, so I think..."

Rayson: "...Yes. I appreciate your raising that point because that is the effect of the Amendment that the application should be made at the office of the Racing Board; however, it says but when not made out, ah, the license would not issue before the checks were made.



To eliminate any possibility of somebody mailing in something and getting phony prints. So we took care of that by Amendment, Representative."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Rayson: "Yes."

Wolfe: "As I understand the, ah, the present law, the applicants do submit under a rule and regulation of the Board, the fingerprints and that this Bill only applies to applicants for licenses and not the employees, et cetera and that all that this change does is to require the same thing under a statutory provision. Is that correct?"

Rayson: "Well, that's right. It only applies for those under the law that have to apply for license. It doesn't apply to employees whatsoever and the reason to make it statutory which is the rule and always has been the...rule is to make sure the FBI would cooperate and run fingerprint checks."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "While I was in washing my feet, I was delighted I was quoted in regard to this particular Bill by my great and good friend William Kempiners. But William didn't understand or maybe I changed my opinion or whatever but I'm now of the opinion Representative Rayson has a superior Bill and intend to vote aye..."

Speaker Rayson: "Question is shall Senate Bill 773 pass. All in favor aye, the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Don you want to put me on? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 116 ayes, 3 nays. This having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 594."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 594. Day. A Bill for an Act to create the Peoria Civic Center Authority Act. Third Read..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a...enabling Act similar to other ones that have been passed for Springfield and Rockford which would authorize and permit the establishment of a civic



center for Peoria. The Bill follows the pattern of the other Act. Uh, requires a referendum for G. O. Bonds and also requires referendum for the levy of any taxes and I would appreciate...support."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 5..."

Day: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah."

Day: "I have forgotten that there is an Amendment on this, an effective date Amendment and before voting I would like to take it back to Second for that..."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objection to Senate Bill 594 on the order of Second Reading. Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Day. Amend..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, moves that Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 594 be adopted. All in favor aye and the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 594 has been read a third time. Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, uh, hopes for enough votes. Question is shall Senate Bill 594 pass. Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Gibbs: "Ah, Representative Day, does this Bill, as amended, affect Springfield at all in their authority?"

Day: "It does not affect Springfield or any other, any other, uh, civic center authority."

Gibbs: "Only Peoria."

Day: "Limited to Peoria, that's right."

Gibbs: "What state funds does it...involve?"

Day: "That..."

Gibbs: "What state funds does it involve? State money."

Day: "The Bill itself does not involve any state money but it authorizes a civic center to accept any state funds or to participate in the metropolitan civic center support that fund if it qualifies and meets the qualifications."

Gibbs: "So it doesn't call for any expenditure of any state money at all, then?"



Day: "No, it does not, that's right."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 59...gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Day, on the Amendment that Representative North put on the Metropolitan Exposition Office Funds were you included? On the Amendment that Representative North presented the other day that included, I think, Rockford, Springfield, Aurora..."

Day: "Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes."

Giorgi: "Well, I think it should be told here that, ah, Peoria,... We admitted in Rockford that we're going to use gambling funds somewhat to help pay for our little McCormack places and the vociferous opposition to the Lottery Bill by Senator Sours ought to be pointed out. And, uh, I think that we ought to admit...what we're doing..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative...Representative Tuerk, what..."

Giorgi: "...We plan to use gambling dollars to fund the little McCormack..."

Speaker Telcser: "The...the...hey."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I just finished a long conversation with that gentleman. When I told him that I think he was taking a lot of cheap shots and this is one more opportunity and I think he's completely out of order."

Speaker Telcser: "I think your point is well taken. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I have the highest, uh, respect and regard for Representative Tuerk since the very first day when we met one another. We don't have any personal difference, I don't have with him and I am not pickin' on Mr. Tuerk or Mr. Day when I talked about this problem that has, ah, besieged me since my entrance in the General Assembly. Now, I've been the butt of many jokes and many innuendoes because of a lot of things that, uh, I don't care to explain here today. But I resent the fact that over the years when I was a little boy in Rockford, the people from downstate Illinois were taking gambling dollars and hiding them in the Agricultural Premium Fund and the Metropolitan Exposition Authority and telling me back in Rockford that, uh, we weren't so pure. Now, I think it ought to be said here that one of the biggest supports of the lottery is Senator Sours but he's not



about...above grabbing gambling dollars to pay for little McCormack place. I think we ought to tell the truth. Let's not be hypocrites. Let's clean our own house first."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, wishes to respond."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, the gentleman from Rockford has the same kind of a civic center authority there that we asked you to authorize in Peoria. No more. No less. Now the gentleman from Rockford has some kind of a personal vendetta with the Senate Sponsor of this Bill. But the gentleman from Rockford doesn't have to live with the Senate Sponsor of this Bill when we adjourn here. In fact all the gentleman from Rockford has to do is live with himself and that's true of all of us. Now we're not helping; we're not hurting the Senate Sponsor of any Bill when we vote on a Bill because of personalities that are involved. We're only hurting ourselves because we're sworn in to vote for Bills down here on the basis of their merit. And that's all I'm asking you to do in this case. And I would appreciate a 107 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeveh."

Matijeveh: "Point of order. The gentleman from Rockford made it clear he's going to support the Bill. So he's not opposing the Bill because of personality. And it wasn't a cheap shot. The Lottery Bill would have bring...brought in a hundred million dollars. That's not so cheap."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 594 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye; the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. McGrew, aye. This question 122 ayes; no nays. This Amendment...received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 772. "

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 772. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to amend the Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Leave to take it to Second Mr. Speaker for Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "...Amendment 2 to the order of Second Reading. Clerk please read the Amendment."



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Rayson. Amend Senate Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Again...uh,the, uh, uh, effective day Amendment and I move the adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The gentleman...adoption Senate Bill 772. All in favor aye; opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill's been read a third time. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the other Bill that the, uh, Illinois Racing Board wants so they can get on their very important business and, uh, the statute says that, uh, they pay representatives of the Board to go to the track that they can't pay them more than \$25 a day but no more than a total of 150. We're asking that that \$25 day deletion be made but that the feeling that 150 be put on there. The point is these people are supposed to go to the track, check over the receipts, make sure the State's take is what it should be. Instead, six people go over and \$25 a day and I don't know what they do but, uh, the Racing Board seems to think if they had a few experts with accounting...C. P. A ability they could pay them and maybe a couple of people could do the job and get more race receipts for the State. So I ask for a favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question, shall Senate Bill 772 pass. All in favor aye; the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Well, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, I think they...we ought to drag out a few more votes here."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Berman, aye. Katz, aye. That's 102. Ryan, aye. 103. Lauer. Rigney. Representative Lechowicz, what purpose you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I would just hope that the Members heard what they're voting on. That this one man could be paid a \$150 a day. And all it says, it's a very innocuous Bill. It says delete provisions limiting compensation of Board representative to \$25 per day per representative. Now, I got some serious doubts on this Bill. As far as the number of representatives, the work that they do, the total dollar amount that they can actually be paid in one



day and I would hope that some of the Members would just consider what we're voting on."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Want to take a new roll call? Okay. Let's take a new roll call. The question is shall Senate Bill 772 pass. All those in favor signify by voting; the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "I want to explain my vote. We had about 107 votes there and then the gentleman spoke and he was missing the mark. ...The point is the Racing Board needs the some expertise at the track to check over these receipts. They need a CPA; they need a couple of auditors. You can't get 'em for \$25 a day. That's all we're removing. They're not going to pay one man a \$150; they may pay three men 50; that's better than six men at 25 doing nothing. We've got to get some, uh, receipts in."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to be very frank about it, when we reviewed the Racing Board Commission budget; they requested an attorney, not a CPA. Another thing as far as all the board members on the Board are attorneys and it seems rather inconsistent to me if you want, uh, an additional auditor or CPA put it in your budget request. We'll be more than happy to review it."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield..."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "Does Chair...Does Chairman Scariano approve this Bill?"

Rayson: "He's asked for this Bill. This has nothing to do with appropriations or budgets because they've got \$150 ceiling. It's try...trying to get some people there who can put a little more money in the state till. And I suggest we give it a 107 green lights."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is an unnecessary appropriation due to the fact that, uh, Chairman Scariano was appointed he said he knew



everything about the Racing Board, so I don't think he needs the legal advice and I say we should vote against this or vote...not vote at all. I think it's initial pork barrel spring for Chairman Scariano. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question there are 39 ayes; 11 nays. This Bill...Representative Rayson, what purpose you arise?"

Rayson: "Well, ...again this is misunderstood. I don't know why but I would ask leave for postponed consideration..."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Gentleman ask leave for postponed consideration..."

Rayson: "...Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "...And he has that right. Be put in the Spring Calendar. ...772 on the Spring Calendar. Senate Bill 765. 772 on the Spring Calendar. Senate Bill 765."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 765. Schlickman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Northeastern Illinois Planning Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask that...for leave to have this Bill returned to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "There's no objection. Senate Bill 765 put on Second Reading. Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 765..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, this is the immediate effective date Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman has...adoption of Senate Bill 765. All in favor signify by saying aye; the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Third Reading. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. It's been read a third time. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill, as amended, amends the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission Act. What it simply does, and with bipartisan support, what it simply does is to provide to NIPC the statutory authority to engage in borrowing in anticipation of revenue to meet personnel and operating expense. I request your support."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah, how much is the operating budget, uh, for this fiscal year for Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission?"

Schlickman: "The fiscal year 1974 budget is 2.7 million dollars, a budget approved by the 19 commissioners. Of that amount 1.5 million comes from the federal government; 520,000 comes from the state of Illinois; 351,000 comes from local government; 328,000 comes from miscellaneous sources. Now all of this money, uh, is in the nature of voluntary contributions. Uh, you know, as you know, NIPC has no tax levying authority. ...It does not have control as to the timing of these receipts. Both the state and federal government will hold back, uh, contributions to NIPC until certain projects are completed. In the meantime NIPC has ongoing constant expenses, payroll expenses, uh, which it has to meet. And by this Amendment, uh, to the Act would simply allow NIPC to be able to operate in a regular fashion and meet its payroll."

Walsh: "The bonds then are secured by the Commission, uh, there's...and it's their full faith and credit; not the full faith and credit of the state or of any of the Members. Is that correct?"

Schlickman: "These will be notes and not bonds. They'll be secured only by anticipated revenue. They're in the nature of tax anticipation warrants such as units of local government have, school districts, park districts, ah, city and municipalities...village and municipality. Nothing different. "

Walsh: "And no...no other credit, uh, is encumbered by this?"

Schlickman: "The Bill specifically provides that the loans that is...uh, obtained by NIPC will be secured, only, only, by anticipated revenue."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Sponsor yield for a question..."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Fleck: "Uh, right now, what, what is the revenue for this Commission?"

Schlickman: "Well, as I mentioned the Commission has no tax levying authority. All of this revenue comes on a voluntary basis from the federal government, state government and local government. Unfortunate... uh, not unfortunate, uh by the contributions to NIPC being voluntary



and coming through the fiscal year on an erratic basis, uh, there isn't the assurance as to timing therefore to enable NIPC to meet its payroll expenses."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think it's a very good idea when we're going to permit a commission to, ah, from current indebtedness for operating expenses when it's income is on a voluntary basis. Ah, I don't know who...what's going to happen if the voluntary payments to it or constitutions don't realize the amount of money that they expect. And who's going to pick up the tab thereafter? I..."

Schlickman: "It'll be the lender, Charlie. It'll...it'll be the lender."

Fleck: "Well, you never know."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Shea: "Gene, as you know, I've...I've never been a friend of NIPC's since I've been down here and I probably fought 'em as hard as anybody else. Now, I tried to find out some information about these...what...just what in the devil they were trying to do with this operation here. And I find out they have no power to borrow money now."

Schlickman: "They have no tax levying authority..."

Shea: "No, I...I...know they have no..."

Schlickman: "No borrowing authority."

Shea: "They have no borrowing authority so what they do is they have employees and to...in order to meet payroll, et cetera, they've got to have some kind of a cash liquidity position. The federal grants may be held up for 30 days when they get 'em other operating grants. Well, I tell you, I'm going to support this legislation, Gene, because I think there's a need for it and some of the things that this operation has done lately since people like Floyd Foley have got on it have shown me at least it can go in the right direction. I'll support this but I will continue to look at 'em with a very jaundiced eye."

Schlickman: "Thank you for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."



Mann: "Mr. Speaker, ah, I, too, am going to pass this Bill but, Gene, I'd like you to take a message back to NIPC. And that message is that those of us who for, like myself, for twelve years have been supporting NIPC legislation do not like the way they do business in clandestine fashion and in...in backroom fashion. They talk about politicians in smoke filled rooms and you know exactly what I'm talking about, Gene."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall...wait...gentleman from...the gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to make a few points. I think this is the first time in the history of the State of Illinois, if we pass this Bill we let a nonelected body which we budget borrow money up to five percent. I happen to think it's ridiculous. I...I doubt the effectiveness of NIPC as it is. But you mean to tell me they can't live within their budget? I want to know why? Maybe we ought to let the Department of... Conservation or any state department borrow up to five percent of their budget. And I know how people down here'd be screaming about that. And I suggest, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we vote no on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, to close."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the North-eastern Illinois Planning Commission was created by the Legislature in 1959...7...1957 in response to the efforts that were then shared by Representative Paul Randolph. By statute NIPC is strictly advisory in nature and has no tax levying authority. It's role, the role of NIPC was changed when the federal government required that all applications for federal funds by units of local government be reviewed and commented upon by an agency designated by the Governor. NIPC did not request this authority but is mandated to do so by law. Now to operate NIPC is required to have a staff and maintain an office. These expenses are budgeted and approved by the nineteen commissioners citizens appointed by the Governor of the State of Illinois, the Mayor of the City of Chicago and one commissioner from each of the six counties within the region. And I should mention...parenthetically Mr. Speaker



and Members of the House, this Legislature has passed a Bill which is now awaiting the Governor's approval that changes the composition of NIPC so that five suburban mayors will be included among the commissioners. Now NIPC's revenue is absolutely voluntary with it having no control over the timing of the receipts but in the meantime it has regular ongoing obligations. What this Bill will simply do is give to NIPC the same, the same kind of ability that units of local government have to engage in short term borrowing in anticipation of revenue receipts. This Bill in no way, in no way, expands upon the budgetary limits are placed upon the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission. It's a needed Bill and I solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 765 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just might make one exception when they try to parallel NIPC with a local...any local government. Might remind you of two things. That local government is elected by the people and secondly they have a tax rate. NIPC has neither of those."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Briefly to explain my vote. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an arm of this Legislature performing a function required by the federal government and as our Sponsor on the Floor stated, this does not give this body any additional power, additional funds or money but merely permits them to keep operating on short term borrowing until they can receive the monies voted to them by local units of government, by the General Assembly and by others who participate in this, uh, body. So that I think if we don't permit them to, ah, operate effectively we're just spiting ourselves and cutting off an arm of this General Assembly."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the House Sponsor of this Bill, I think, should be recognized as one of the most farsighted workers for effective planning in northeastern Illinois. I think he's taken the lead in this House and I support that lead, but I'm going to



vote no on this particular Bill because I think as a legislator we have to...we have to show some fiscal responsibility and this clearly does not."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah, Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my yes vote, I think those who are voting no on this one are really being pennywise and pound foolish. Any of you who have worked on county boards in any one of the six metropolitan counties have used the...the flood studies, the sewer and water studies, the transportation studies, ah, many times, you...you've gotten your money's worth many times over with this organization. And if we are ever going to lick the problems of flood control, and of sewer and water management on a total watershed basis we're going to have to do it with the help of planning, ah, by the Northeastern Planning Commission. I..I would urge some of you to take a real good look and...and put a green light up there. It will be an economical vote. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman...Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tom Miller."

Miller: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if, as it has been portrayed here, NIPC depends upon voluntary funding from local municipalities, it seems to me that the local municipalities ought to be able to provide the necessary funds and there wouldn't be any problem of a debt incurred by NIPC. ...NIPC is not providing the service, the local municipalities will not fund it if they are providing service the municipalities will. And I think we ought to leave it upon the municipalities to solve the problem of NIPC in...in having an adequate payroll and everything else."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in terms of the dollar effect here this Bill doesn't seem to warrant such opposition when you consider the fact that NIPC, which I have opposed for...in many ways, uh, does do a great service to many agencies, municipalities and to us. We all get notice of the things that are going on in our own areas,



and we all, I'm sure, like to have the continued smooth operation of that agency even if it is sometimes doing things that individually we might not like. Initially, the opposition in this as such came out of people came out of people who conceived of it as being some towards, ah, megalopolis or some kind of mass government. The simple fact that it...that it is working well is the coordinating agency in a planning role. Uh, say we're not talking about a whole lot of money here, we are talking about something which will allow their operation to continue smooth."

Speaker Telcser: "Lee. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr...Mr. Speaker, now that I have unburdened myself of, ah, and ventilated some personal feelings I rise in support of the gentleman's Bill. We're not appropriating any money here, we're just permitting them to incur indebtedness to meet their current budget which will be paid and, uh, while I did have some very strong feelings about what NIPC did recently to a matter involving one of my Bills, I...I can't take it out on them. And I...I would encourage you to put some green lights up there. This is not going to take a penny from the General Assembly or from the General Revenue Fund."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I know it's out of order but I'd to raise two questions to the Sponsor. One, does any other commission have this authority whether it's in this state or any other state. Number two, if the money that is actually operating NIPC is on a voluntary basis what type of bonds can you sell based on that premise?"

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Schlickman. Go ahead. You want to respond, Representative Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I understood the gentleman's question correctly. Number one NIPC is unique, I am not aware of any other commission of this nature. I can tell you this that most planning commission throughout the country have tax levying authority. Uh, in that respect, ...NIPC is unique. Ah, number two, as to your second question, I think you were talking about bonding power authority? We're not talking about bonding power authority. We're simply talking about, ah, securing of a note, or signing of a note



and we all, I'm sure, like to have the continued smooth operation of that agency even if it is sometimes doing things that individually we might not like. Initially, the opposition in this as such came out of people came out of people who conceived of it as being some towards, ah, megalopolis or some kind of mass government. The simple fact that it...that it is working well is the coordinating agency in a planning role. Uh, say we're not talking about a whole lot of money here, we are talking about something which will allow their operation to continue smooth."

Speaker Telcser: "Lee. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr...Mr. Speaker, now that I have unburdened myself of, ah, and ventilated some personal feelings I rise in support of the gentleman's Bill. We're not appropriating any money here, we're just permitting them to incur indebtedness to meet their current budget which will be paid and, uh, while I did have some very strong feelings about what NIPC did recently to a matter involving one of my Bills, I...I can't take it out on them. And I...I would encourage you to put some green lights up there. This is not going to take a penny from the General Assembly or from the General Revenue Fund."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I know it's out of order but I'd to raise two questions to the Sponsor. One, does any other commission have this authority whether it's in this state or any other state. Number two, if the money that is actually operating NIPC is on a voluntary basis what type of bonds can you sell based on that premise?"

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Schlickman. Go ahead. You want to respond, Representative Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I understood the gentleman's question correctly. Number one NIPC is unique, I am not aware of any other commission of this nature. I can tell you this that most planning commission throughout the country have tax levying authority. Uh, in that respect, ...NIPC is unique. Ah, number two, as to your second question, I think you were talking about bonding power authority? We're not talking about bonding power authority. We're simply talking about, ah, securing of a note, or signing of a note



which will be secured only anticipated revenue not a pledge on any other assets of any other unit or state."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Schlickman to explain his vote, we'll take the record then."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I simply want to comment that this Bill will in no way, in no way, add to the revenue of the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission. All we're simply trying to do by this Bill is to provide the ability to NIPC to more effectively and economically operate within its budget. And I should mention to you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, the control that will lie on NIPC with regard in to this short term borrowing authority for operating expenses. Is number one, it must be by a resolution adopted by the commissioner. Number two...the note can be secured only by anticipated revenue and number three within the Bill there is a restriction as to the amount which can be borrowed and there is a ceiling as to the interest that can be paid. Ah, I do appreciate the concern that many Members of the House have with regard to the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission and it was for that reason that 1969 I introduced a Bill creating the Legislative Advisory Committee to NIPC so that we would have liason between that group and the creator, being ourselves, the Legislature. To me this is a bonafide, ah, worthwhile, ah, request on the part of those of us who have been looking at NIPC wanting it to make the kind...wanting to make it the kind of organization that this Legislature intended back in 1957. And I do solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Fellas, we've got university budgets we gotta, y'know, just get 'em. On this question there are 94 ayes, 27 nays. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Consideration postponed, Mr.....spring calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman asked for consideration postponed. The Bill will be placed on the spring calendar. Okay, now, on your supplemental calendar #2 we may go...Oh, on the supplemental calendar #1 on non-concurrence motions, ah, Senate Bill 701. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker.-Mr. Speaker, we sort of got the cart ahead the horse yesterday when we approved a Conference Committee Re-



port and I did not make the motion to recede from the Senate's action regarding the...the House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 701.

So I make that motion now that we...that the House refuse to recede."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 for Senate Bill 701. All in favor aye. Aye. Opposed no. The House refuses to recede from Amendment #1 for Senate Bill 701. On your supplemental calendar #2 appears Senate Bill 443 for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, is recognized. Ah, by the way, some Member left their pipe in Bill Walsh's office. If someone is missing a pipe it's up here at the, ah...who...whose...Walker? Bring this down to the Governor's office. What? Oh, maybe this...was Regner in, ah...well, anyway, there's a pipe up here. "

Peters: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after much negotiation and a lot of activity on this particular Bill, the Conference Committee has asked, or recommended, that in regard to Senate Bill 443, we recommend that the House recede from House Amendment 1 and 2 to that Bill and what House Amendment 1 and 2 refer to is what has been called the...functional breakdown, uh, in terms of the budget and the second amendment has to do with the prevailing wage and that we concur in the Senate Amendment...that the Senate concur in House Amendment #3 which adds, uh, uh, \$140,000 for the Library Act at Eastern. I...I ask that the House concur in this report."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has moved the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 relative to Senate Bill 443. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This...on this question 125 ayes, 1 nay. House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 relative to Senate Bill 443. Now is Soderstrom here? Soderstrom is not here. And...is Williams? Yeah. Okay on the same supplemental calendar on the order of nonconcurrences, Senate Bill 633. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the... the Senate Bill called for the three million appropriation. It was



amended to one hundred thousand by the Appropriations Committee of the House and I refuse to recede from, ah, the House Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Now, on 433 did you announce what we did with that?"

Williams: "Yes, we adopted the Second Conference Committee Report by a vote, I think it was, 125...125...126 to 1, Jerry. Okay? Any further discussion...any further discussion? Representative... Gentleman has moved the House refuse to recede from House Amendment to Senate Bill 633. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed no. House refuses to recede from its Amendments to Senate Bill 633. Representative Soderstrom on the Floor? Okay. On the order of concurrence appear Senate Bill 449 on the same supplemental calendar for which purpose the gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh, is recognized."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Conference Committee held on House Bill 449 which was the appropriation for the University of Illinois budget and they...commission...Committee recommends Conference Committee recommends that the House recede from Amendments 1 and 2 on this Bill. And it was, Amendments were the same as that on Bill 443. And I move that the House now concur in the Report of the Conference Committee #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion. The gentleman moves that the House... gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah, will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Walsh: "Charlie, was those the only two amendments under consideration? The two House Amendments..."

Clabaugh: "...Yes, sir..."

Walsh: "Also, there's no change in the dollar amount as there was in the other Bill."

Clabaugh: "...That...that...that's right."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has moved the House...moved that the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report, he's not here, relative to Senate Bill 449. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting aye; the opposed by voting no. Have all voted



who wish? Take the record. Representative Shea, what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "What happens if you don't adopt the Second Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Bill is down the 'schitzel' - death, kapooey."

Shea: "So that whatever appropriation it would be, there would be none. Is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "That is correct."

Shea: "I just wanted to make sure."

Speaker Telcser: "This question 137 ayes, 1 nay. And the House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 449. On the order of...Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 478 which purpose the gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer, is recognized."

Lauer: "Mr. Chairman, on the Second Conference Committee of House Bill 473, the recommendation of the, ah, conference is that the House recede from...from Amendments #1, #2 and #3. Ah, this is the same story as on Governor's...the...or on...on the Board of Governors. The, ah, is deletion of the functional budgeting and of the, ah, prevailing wage amendment and frankly I don't know why #3 is on there because that, ah, Amendment #3 failed in Committee. I...I move that the House do adopt the Report the...Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. Now who's taking..."

Shea: "Your little red light is on, Art."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, that was Chuck Keller back there."

Shea: "Now, could you tell me, or the Sponsor tell me what's being funded with this appropriation?"

Lauer: "This is the Regency University System."

Shea: "You mean to the universities themselves?"

Lauer: "Yes."

Shea: "And which university?"

Lauer: "It is, ah, Sangamon State University, Illinois State University, and Northern Illinois University."

Shea: "Just those three?"

Lauer: "Those three."



Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman has moved the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 478. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Hi, George, how ya doing? Take the record. George, have we passed your appropriation, yet? Have we passed your... This question 132 ayes, 2 nays. The House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 478. Now, we're not ready on 494, is that correct, Jack? Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Walsh: "Some of you may be wondering when we're going home. I talked to the Majority Leader earlier today and he said anywhere from one hour to three days. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like you to know that my wife and family are down here and staying in a cabin and she has no car. She just called me recently and said we're down to three diapers. Now, Mr. Speaker, when we get down to one diaper, I'm going home. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Used, huh? I was going to say that, Sue. Use Pampers. Go to the drugstore and buy some Pampers. Representative McGrew. Out of \$32 a day you can buy Pampers. Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you arise?"

McGrew: "I just want to know...I just wanted to know whether it was him or the baby that was down to three diapers."

Speaker Telcser: "On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 5... Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, on the order of Third Reading on Senate Bills is Senate Bill 1167."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, sir."

Shea: "Now, I filed two amendments on that Bill and they have to do with funding what might be the worst crisis we've had in northern Illinois in some time. If the CTA Board starts cutting services and reducing routes you can play games down here all day but it's going to have an economic and fiscal impact on this state that's going to take



some time to cure so I'd like to get to that Bill sometime very shortly because maybe that Bill to some of us in the northern part of the State is more important than some of these appropriation bills."

Speaker Telcser: "What was the conclusion of that? Anything? Okay. On the order of Conference Committee Report appears Senate Bill 503. Ah, Ted, you gonna have...for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, is recognized."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 503 is the annual appropriation to Southern Illinois University this is the Second Conference Committee Report which I recommend we do adopt. What they're doing is asking that the House recede from Amendments 1 and 2 agin. Amendment 1 is the functional budgeting aspect. Amendment #2 was the prevailing wage. Ah, just as a matter of record, I objected to the prevailing wage amendment being withdrawn on all these university budgets, but the...Senate was quite adamant.that both amendments would have to be withdrawn. And I would hope that the House would concur with the Conference Committee Report, ah, #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2 relative to Senate Bill 503. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Don will you vote me? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 138 ayes, no nays and the House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 503. On the order Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 591 for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, is recognized. Well, Representative Peters...while you're getting ready, Representative Duff, you seeking recognition sir?"

Duff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have one thing left on the calendar and I'd like to put..."

Speaker Telcser: "We...you want us to call that?"

Duff: "Ah, I thought maybe I'd ask that it be put over on the calendar,



Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "...What was that item, sir?"

Duff: "That was HJR CA 18."

Speaker Telcser: "Whee. Are there any objections to putting HJR CA 18 on the next...on the spring calendar? Okay. Be put on the spring calendar. Representative Peters, are you ready? Well, Peters is getting ready. Wait a second. On 591. ...All right, there's a... error, I understand, in the language of the Conference Committee Report, so...on the supplemental calendar #2 we're still waiting for 591 and 494 to be in the proper, uh, shape. Senate...Senate Bill 152. Representative Leon, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Leon: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Leon: "...Earlier this morning the Speaker of the House off Bills that were deferred 'til the spring calendar. And I note by my calendar that we are calling many of those for action today. I know that many Members of this Body felt that when those Bills were on the postponed calendar that perhaps they did not have to remain in attendance to oppose those Bills. I would like to know whether or not we are going to continue calling Bills that have been recorded, referred to us as being postponed for the spring calendar and leave Bills that have not been layin' in limbo 'til such time as we can arise."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah, your point is well taken, Representative Leon, those Bills will not be called. Wait a second. Representative Pierce, what purpose do you arise?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, maybe I wasn't listening too carefully did I hear that the Constitutional Amendment Merit Selection of Judges, uh, that HJR CA 18 was put on the calendar for that spring?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah."

Pierce: "Well, I just want to point out, I..I got some criticism because of an amendment I put on. I want to point out that Bill was never called on Third Reading at the passage stage in the Illinois House by its Sponsor. So, I...I think if anyone is to blame it's people on his own side of the aisle he couldn't line up for passage and



perhaps the Speaker for not calling the Bill ever on Third Reading for passage."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Senate...Senate...Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, now, Mr. Speaker, uh, I did that because I felt that it would be to the benefit of this entire House at this time. The Representative from Lake did work very well and very hard to try and put that thing in the shape we want it in but I don't think it's fair for anybody in this House to say that are anybody on this side that's responsible for not helping me with that. I got lots of help on both sides of the aisle and lots of opposition on both sides of the aisle, Representative Pierce and if you want that...called today, then you stand up and object and we'll take the roll call."

Speaker Telcser: "...Gentleman is not rising so Senate Bill 152."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 152. An Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to...recall this Bill to Second Reading for the purpose of an amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Senate Bill 152...Second Reading. Will the Clerk read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Washburn. Amend Senate Bill 152 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 152 which would allow for the transfer of federal revenue sharing funds to the common school funds for the use by local school districts. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman moved the adoption Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 152...Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "I wonder if the gentleman would yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Walsh: "In the digest, Senate Bill 152, indicates the Bill repeals the Act which requires - the portion of the Finance Act which requires



that no Appropriation Bill contain an appropriation for more than one agency. Is that correct? Is that what Senate Bill 152 does?"

Washburn: "That's before the Amendment. Yes, sir."

Walsh: "Well, does the Amendment strike everything after the enacting clause?"

Washburn: "Yes, sir."

Walsh: "You're suggesting we have another vehicle?"

Washburn: "Right."

Walsh: "I was...I was told when I came down here to be aware of vehicles."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz:"

Lechowicz: "That's a good point, Dick, but in reality what this Amendment is trying to do is make sure that we have an accountability. The accountability of these federal funds and for this reason it's going into that respective common school fund. So I think it's...it's a good amendment even though it's being used as a...vehicle on another bill. I...I would ask the adoption of Amendment #2. I concur with Representative Bud Washburn."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move...the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 152. All in favor aye, the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Further amendment? That was Amendment #1. Further amendments? Third Reading. Bill's been read a third time. Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the Bill's been explained and, uh, uh, ask for favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Bud, is the school fund the only one that's been selected as, uh, uh, for the budget items for..."

Washburn: "Yes. Yes. Yes."

Schneider: "...funding federal money."

Washburn: "Yes. Yes, sir."

Schneider: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Sponsor a.....if I may."

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he'll yield."

Schraeder: "Are you saying by this Bill that two departments such as the



Department of Transportation, the Department of Mental Health, may be combined under one appropriation bill?"

Washburn: "No. No, that's been stricken from the Bill. That has nothing to do with that at all anymore."

Schraeder: "You're saying then there are no two departments or agencies or bureaus of the government can be joined under one appropriation."

Washburn: "This...this Bill doesn't pertain to that matter at all with the amendment."

Schraeder: "All that's stricken?"

Washburn: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 152 pass. All in favor aye, the opposed no. Have...have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 131 ayes, no nays. And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 9...Senate Bill 917. Senate Bill 917."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 917. An Act to amend the Illinois Financial Assistance Act and so forth. ...Third...Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute. Representative Leon for what purpose do you arise?"

Leon: "...You calling Senate Bill 917?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, I'm sorry, you're right. That Bill cannot be called. No, we're not going to set a precedent. I didn't know that. Let's take it out of the record. No, not looking for work. That Bill was in the, ah, and Representative Leon's point was well taken. Ah, Senate Bill 1082. But one second, Representative Ron Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like leave of the House to have Senate Bills 328, 329 and 330 taken from the Spring Calendar and left on the working calendar so they might be considered yet this day."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Representative Matijevecich."

Matijevecich: "Ah, nothing personal, but the only reason I object much in line with what Representative Leon has said, there are some bills that earlier today we had heard are going to be on the Spring Calendar



and now they're being heard. Some of those who were going to object to certain bills have left the General Assembly and now the bills are coming back for consideration. I think once the...the decision was made to put them off to the Spring Calendar we ought to hold by it. And nothing personal against anybody but I think that's what the...the decision ought to hold. We all ought to live by it."

Hoffman: "Representative, the only reason I have asked leave is there is not that much impact to these three bills and inasmuch as we still are waiting for Conference Reports and concurrences, I think we would serve the public best if we would consider as much as we could today. And I would ask leave."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Is this a motion on three...Senate Bills 328, 329 and 330?"

It appears that the, ah, House Sponsor is Representative Skinner. Why would Representative Hoffman be making a motion?"

Hoffman: "We're very good friends."

Berman: "Well, I think that that adds another question to this that I think it's a bad precedent. You're going to have somebody else moving the House Sponsor's Bill on or off that calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain that last night when it looked like we were not going to get into today, ah, I asked these be put on the Spring Calendar. I did so only because I didn't think we'd have spare time today. I..."

Speaker Telcser: "We don't have spare time, we've got a lot of bills to call that are not on the Spring Calendar."

Skinner: "It was my mistake in the first place. I apologize for asking for them to be on the Spring Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "It seems to me that the argument given here is that there is extra time available, as I understand it, there are matters that are not on the Spring Calendar that are waiting to be called and my seatmate here Representative Merlo has such a Bill. I don't think we should entertain any motions regarding matters on the Spring Calendar until the Chair advises us that we have nothing further to do and since you



haven't done so I think the motion is not well taken."

Speaker Telcser: "That's right. Representative Shea, what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I'm wondering if the maker of the motion might explain what is the need for these Bills. Perhaps that will shed some light."

Hoffman: "Thank you Representative Shea. Ah...not to delay the House, but these are corrected pieces of legislation given to us in line with the package for the Illinois Association of Park Districts. And I certainly am not asking leave of the House to have these three bills heard before any other Member's bill who had asked to have it considered now. The only thing I'm asking, uh, with, uh, Representative Skinner's concurrence on here is that if we do have time we would appreciate the opportunity to hear these yet today. If we do not, certainly we bend to the will of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "So why don't we...when we reach the point of not having time, why don't we consider the question... We're wasting time now discussing something which may never come to be. If we have time let's take it up again. Is that all right with the Members? Okay. Thank you. All right now the Clerk tells me he's got some messages from the Senate and he has to read right now. So Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has refused to concur in the House adoption of Amendment #1 House of Representative Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 694. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, that the Senate has refused to concur in the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 to House of Representative Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 633. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973. ...Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded in the request of House of Representatives for a second Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses with regard to Amendment #1 to House Bill 1318...We've already got it...Ah, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House



of Representative the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representative for a second Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 8 to House Bill...to Senate Bill 698. Action taken by the Senate, July 2nd, 1973. ...Fernandes, Secretary. Ah, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representative for a second Conference Committee to consider the difference between the Houses regarding House Amendment 1, 3 & 4 to Senate Bill 697. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representatives for a second conference to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to Senate Bill 370. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representatives for a second Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 449. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the differences between the two Houses in regard to Amendments #1, 3, 4, and 5 to Senate Bill 1175. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Committee on Conference to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to Senate Bill 591 and failed to reach an agreement. Request a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. ...We've got a lot of...here. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Committee on Conference appointed to consider the difference between the Houses in relation to Senate Bill 494 failed to reach an agreement and has requested a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes,



Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate...that the Committee on Conference appointed to consider the difference between the two Houses hearing Senate Bill 470 has failed to reach an agreement. Request Second Committee Conference. Action taken by the Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E.

Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Committee of Conference appoint and consider the difference between two Houses regarding Senate Bill 503 has failed to reach an agreement. Request second Conference Committee. Action taken by Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Committee on Conferences appointed to consider the differences between the two Houses relating to Senate Bill 449 failed to reach an agreement. Request second Conference Committee. Action taken by Senate, July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further messages. Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Uh...Fred, are you all finished? Senate Bill 1082."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1082. An Act authorizing the...State of Illinois to pay specified sums to local government units and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, is that on the list? Take it out of the record. Is 446 on the list? Senate Bill 446."

Clerk Selcke: "No, it's not on the list. Yes. Give me 446. Senate Bill 446. An Act to amend Section 11-13 and so forth. An Act.... comprised...codify laws...cities, villages and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 446, amends the Cities and Villages Act in reference to municipalities in excess of 500,000 population. As to the Zoning Board of Appeals in variation and in administrative appeal cases to the effect that the allowance for the continuance for the purpose of rebuttal testimony would be permissive rather than mandatory. The present statutory language while well intentioned has relevance only in the absence of the stringent notice provisions which are now provided for in the Cities and



Villages Act. Those notice provisions, for example, include notice by registered mail to affected property owners even prior to the filing of an application. The change provided for in this Bill will place the grant of a continuance for rebuttal testimony within the sound discretion of the Board upon his showing of good cause. No such show-
present
ing of cause is presently required and frankly the/statutory language operates as a procedural straitjacket for this administrative agency and I urge the adoption of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is shall Senate... gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Is this...does this have a home rule amendment? May I ask the Sponsor?"

Houlihan: "It's not a...it's...applicable, Dick, only to the, uh, city of Chicago and it is not a, uh, one without any home rule municipality."

Mugalian: "You say it is applicable to the city of Chicago? It is applicable to the city of Chicago?"

Houlihan: "And only to the city of Chicago, all the others on the Boards of Appeals already have this discretionary authority."

Mugalian: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 4...Representative Richard Walsh, for purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Uh, I wonder if the gentleman will yie'd to a question."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "All right, Dan, since the Bill applies only to the city of Chicago and then you also state that it's not under limitation of home rule units. What...what does that mean. What does that home rule unit... amendment mean?"

Houlihan: "Well, Dick, this legislation could be effective one of two ways. It could have been done by the city council of the city of Chicago and that was what the...what the bill provides when it says that the Amendatory Act of '73, this act, is not a limit upon any municipality which is a home rule unit. This would only need 89 votes, as I understand it."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Question is shall Senate



Bill 446. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Ah, Gibby, get me off that, wouldja? Just lay me off of this one. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "...Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a very reasonable Bill. It simply provides discretionary, uh, the discretionary, uh, authority to this Board, uh, in the City of Chicago to have the same discretionary authority that every other zoning appeals, uh, Board of Zoning Appeals in the state has pursuant to this enabling act. The overwhelming majority of the cases which are considered by this particular ...administrative agency uh take no more than 10 to 15 minutes to hear by the Board. However, because of the present statutory language, it's mandated that they must give one continuance without any showing of cause to anyone who object to the applications and in consequence this Board is being straitjacketed for no good reason and it does not allow this Board to expeditiously hear these cases in one hearing. Now the adjacent property owners are protected by the very stringent notice provisions and these notice provisions, incidentally, are applicable only to the city of Chicago that the effect the property owners are notified not only by registered mail, uh, on all affected property owners within 250 feet of the subject property. But in addition, there are notice provisions, uh, ...published in all the newspapers and also this Board makes it a practice to notify all local community groups of a proposed variation or administrative appeal. This is a very reasonable piece of legislation to allow this Board the same flexibility of all other similar boards. And, please, I urge your adoption of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I...I'm assuming that this only applies to the Zoning Board of Appeals. Is that right?"

Houlihan: "Not to any other...not..."

Gibbs: "Doesn't amend the practice act in anyway?"

Houlihan: "No, it does not."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. ...aye.

This question 102 ayes, anybody else want to get on now and give 'em...



two nays. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. So it'll be a year later..."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1025."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1025. An Act creating the Hand Gun Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kozubowski. Take it out? Ay-yi-yi. All day long he's been... Senate Bill 68. Representative Bradley on the Floor? Representative Bradley? Senate Bill 1145. Representative Merlo on the Floor? Is Representative Merlo on the Floor? Well, okay, we called Bradley and Merlo and they have not responded to the call. Senate Bill 519. Senate Bill 1145. I'll get you next, Bob."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1145. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1145 amends the Labor's Annuity and Benefit Fund of Chicago. The Bill would strike the limitation of 50% of total investments relating to corporate funds. This has the approval of the Illinois Public Employees Pension Law Commission. And I ask for your approval."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? Question is shall Senate Bill 1145 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Question 112 ayes, no nays. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 519."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 519. An Act to vacate, ban, release easement for highway purposes in Peoria County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to return this to Second..."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Senate Bill 519 put on the order of Second Reading for the...please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Day. Amend Senate Bill 519..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."



Day: "I would move adoption of Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered move the adoption Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 519. All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. And the Amendment is adopted. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman would like to know what it does. No. Not yet."

Berman: "What does the Amendment do?"

Speaker Telcser: "Would you turn on Representative Day, please? You're on, Bob, you want..."

Day: "Are we returned to Third Reading?"

Speaker Telcser: "No. Representative Berman wants to know what your Amendment..."

Day: "The Amendment is an effective date Amendment making effect...effective date October 1, '73."

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there...further discussion. Gentleman's Amendment Senate Bill 519 be adopted. All in favor aye, the opposed no. Amendment's adopted? Are there further amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time. Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a typical vacation-easement vacation-the Bill was heard in Counties and Townships; passed out unanimously. Ah, the agreed, agreed consideration between the Department of Highways and the property owner compensation being same price that the Highway Department paid for the land which has been declared excess. Uh, the Bill is especially important to me because the adjoining property owner is a church and I'm going to need all the religious help I can get after this Session is over. So I would appreciate a 107 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Question is shall Senate Bill 519 pass. All those in favor signify by voting the opposed by voting no. 'Coitently'. Have all voted who wish? You got your prayers answered, Bob. Take the record. This question 114 ayes, no nays, and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1025."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1025. A Bill for an Act to create the Hand Gun Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1025 creates a hand gun study commission consisting of five Members of the House and five Members of the Senate. Their primary function is to report back to the Members of the General Assembly after investigating and studying the facets of the use of hand guns in Illinois. I...would ask for a successful roll call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Whoop. Whoop. Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, we passed out of here a ban on - and I understand it's on the Governor's desk - against the Saturday night specials. I think this is a good hand gun bill for this Session. I think time would be wasted and money would be wasted to have any other hand gun study commission appointed here in our closing hours. I think that we voted against this. I think we voted against the appropriation, if I remember right in our - it might have passed, it didn't pass overwhelmingly in Appropriation Committee - and I would urge a no vote on a hand gun study commission. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Cunningham: "Representative Kozubowski, is there not a present commission or, uh, uh, subcommittee in Judiciary II looking into the problem of hand guns?"

Kozubowski: "Well, Representative Cunningham, I understand that there is a committee, a subcommittee, appointed from Judiciary II; however, it's only a committee of the House Members and I talked with the Chairman of that Committee and he has indicated to me that since the appointment of that Committee they have not done any work at all in this regard."

Cunningham: "Is there appropriation..."

Kozubowski: "I may just go further and comment to you, Representative, that this Commission, uh, includes not only Members of the House but also an equal number of Senators."



- Cunningham: "Is there an appropriation involved or expenditure contemplated?"
- Kozubowski: "Yes, there is. Last Saturday night, the Membership of this House overwhelmingly approved a \$15,000 appropriation for this commission."
- Cunningham: "Would it not appear that if the Subcommittee did it's duty in a conscientious manner there's a duplication of expenditure involved here, a waste of taxpayers money, the doing of the unnecessary, or is that an unfair conclusion?"
- Kozubowski: "I think your, ah your...your question is proper and my answer is no."
- Cunningham: "Is there any way that the Subcommittee's efforts could be, ah, related to your Commission, would you be willing to adopt an arrangement whereby, uh, Chairman Palmer and his group would work with the Commission or perhaps become a part thereof?"
- Kozubowski: "Representative Cunningham, I'd only remark to you that this Subcommittee has been appointed over two months ago and so far they have not had a single meeting to my knowledge."
- Cunningham: "Well, you know the reason for that, I presume, if you talked to Chairman Palmer the reason is he's asked the Speaker's Office for the funds that are necessary for it to operate. Now, we're going back to my question, would you be willing to accept the Subcommittee as a part of the Commission you seek to...pass here?"
- Kozubowski: "Getting back to the comment you just made Representative, I would just say that this House, again, overwhelmingly approved a \$15,000 appropriation for this Commission; the money is there, the funds are available as they are not to your Subcommittee. And the answer to your second question is, again, no."
- Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, may I...comment briefly on this?"
- Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."
- Cunningham: "We recognize that there's a problem in Cook County in regard to gun...small hand guns and we're insensitive to that but those of us in downstate Illinois are content to rely upon the constitutional rights to own and bear guns. So we'll have to vote no on this particular Bill."
- Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from, uh, Cook, Representative Rayson."



Rayson: "A question of the Sponsor, uh..."

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he'll yield."

Rayson: "Is there any reason why we don't have any other lay members as part of this Commission?"

Kozubowski: "I'm sorry, Representative, I can't hear you."

Rayson: "Uh, is there any reason why we shouldn't have lay members also as part of this Commission?"

Kozubowski: "Well, this is a Legislative Commission and there, the Membership is to be appointed by the Speaker and by the President of the Senate; five Members from this House, five Members from the Senate."

Rayson: "Well, since the Senate Sponsors fathers for gun control I'll support this Commission."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, you know it is...if we were the Roman Senate and I'm sorry, indeed, that one of our very good friends is not able to be with us who's deeply versed in Roman history, but if we 'was' now of the Roman Senate, I'm sure we'd be making a study of the stabbings that went on in Rome, ah, some two thousand years ago. If you read the history of Rome, you'll find that they had their gangs and they had their activities with knives in those days and spears and swords instead of the present small hand gun. We'd be inclined to have a study as to why, I suppose, what type of daggers are going to be used. Now we realize that there are problems in larger cities but they won't last forever. You'll never be able to cure this because of the nature of man. It's just a waste of time. Now Roscoe has already mentioned about downstate, so I won't touch on that, but wherever you have large concentrations of population these things have always been so; London, Paris, they...they...Rome, Athens, all of this has been constant throughout history and we'll never be away from it. We might as well do what we can to support our law abiding and law enforcing agencies; do what we can to see that proper justice is given and discourage it; seize these things. But if you think we can destroy the hand gun or the hand...homemade gun, you can not. They will be made. They will be taken."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."



Wolf: "Just one question...what...the amount of the appropriation for this Bill?"

Kozubowski: "The appropriation, Representative Jacobs, is \$15,000."

Wolf: "Ah, I'm not Representative Jacobs he's from Moline or right..."

Kozubowski: "Representative Wolf, I'm sorry."

Wolf: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address myself to this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, sir."

Wolf: "Well, I notice that the distinguished House Sponsor resides somewhere around the Bridgeport area and the distinguished Senate Sponsor, I believe, is, uh, also hails from the same area around 35th and Lowell and seeing that the distinguished mayor of Chicago has already expressed himself as being in favor of outlawing all hand guns, it seems to me if the Commission Report is already written, then I think we can save some money."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kozubowski, to close."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I would just ask for a favorable roll call. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill 1025 pass. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Member of Judiciary II I voted against all restrictions on hand guns except for the one which just finally passed. But I think we're looking at this in the wrong...especially the downstate. Senator Harris is going to be appointing the Members from the Senate to that Committee and Speaker Blair will be appointing the House Members for that Committee and maybe they'll come out with a report that'll say we shouldn't restrict hand guns. So look at it in that respect."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Totten, aye. Have all voted who wish? Representative Catania, wish to change your vote say something or what? Catania."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would just like to say that I think that this Bill is no longer necessary now. Senator Daley was the Senate Sponsor of House Bill 1058 which outlawed Saturday



specials. So I think that Senator Daley has already solved the problem over in the Senate and perhaps when he first introduced this Bill he wasn't sure that he was going to be able to solve it so effectively and that's why he has those Bills. But he's already very effectively dealt with the problem; he's outlawed Saturday night specials and I don't think we need a investigation, a commission in Chicago to spend \$15,000 talking about a problem that's already been solved."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I believe Representative Roman Kosinski was the House Sponsor of that Bill; in fact it was his idea and he talked to many of the downstate Members. In fact Roman is a very active gun collector, probably an authority in the field from my personal opinion. And he concurs with the idea of this study commission. Yes, it's true that...Senator Daley handles Roman Kosinski's Bill in the Senate but there is a definition and I have to concur with what Representative Charlie Fleck pointed out. That both the Leadership of both Houses will be appointing these Members, I'm sure that the...the information that will be gathered from that, strictly a report, to be given to all the Members of the Commission. And I would sincerely appreciate a few additional aye votes."

Speaker Telcser: "...Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, just a short statement. For \$15,000 for 10,000,000 people in the state of Illinois you can't find out anything that is not already known. This is nothing but a pork barrel bill and I say let's defeat it."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill merely points out that 1058, Mr. Kosinski's Bill, was a fraud and a sham and I said it was. It was class legislation designed only to remove a class of weapons from certain kinds of people; not just criminals but those who couldn't afford more expensive weapons. The Sun-Times seemed to take umbrage with my remarks but they agree totally with my reasoning that it was class legislation but they defined class so narrowly even they must have blushed when they wrote it down. I'm going to vote for this Bill, give 'em a little pork barrel. It won't do any good."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Macon...gentleman in the balcony with a camera, it's a violation of the House Rules to take pictures. Take that light off. Turn that light off. Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "All I want to say, just one thing, when the...Representative Kosinski's is signed by the Governor...that...hand guns are illegal, I want you to all watch the...the lines, the newspapers to see the pictures of the long lines of people handing in their...their hand guns. They'll be...it'll just go to show how they obey the law."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know almost five years ago we passed the Gun Registration Act and quite frankly it hasn't done a thing. I don't see where it has slowed down murders or stopped anything. And quite frankly we passed some Bills this Session. I don't think we've done a good job and I think this should have study it's long, long overdue and I actually think it's a good Bill and we should support it. And incidentally, I didn't vote for any of those gun bills, I don't believe it. I happen to think it's an inherent right of an American citizen to bear arms. But I'm certainly going to support this Commission."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. You're in good shape, don't worry. This question 112 ayes, 15 nays. And this Bill having received the constitutional... Representative Borchers, what purpose do you arise? Representative Borchers, are you seeking recognition, sir?"

Borchers: "This...this particular machine is loose, it keeps falling off. Thank God it's being taken off tomorrow, I guess. I request verification of roll call."

Speaker Telcser: "...Now wait...hang on now, Representative Steblin wishes to be recorded as voting aye. Gentleman has asked for a verification of the roll call. There are 113 affirmative votes. Representative Kozubowski. "

Kozubowski: "...poll of the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman wants a poll of the absentees. Will the Clerk please read the names of the absentees?"



Clerk Selcke: "Anderson. Arnell. Arrigo. Carter..."

Speaker Telcser: "These are the absentees, Webber."

Clerk Selcke: "Day. Deavers. DiPrima. Douglas. Epton. Getty. Gibbs. Granata. Greisheimer. Hart. Hirschfeld. J. Holloway. R. Holloway. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Emil Jones. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Krause. Laurino. Leinenweber. Mahar. Martin. McCormick. McMaster. Pappas. Polk. Porter. Rose. Sangmeister. Schoeberlein. Sevcik. Ike Simms. Soderstrom. Stiehl. Waddell. R. Walsh. Walters. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "...Mr. Clerk. Heavy pressures come to bear upon Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Under these pressure from good friends, who is standing on my feet and I haven't been hurt too much, I...I...I withdraw my request."

Speaker Telcser: "Webber, were you made a promise to be on that Commission?"

Borchers: "Pardon?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are you going to be on that Commission?"

Borchers: "I'll request that now. I know my business."

Speaker Telcser: "I knew you would. This question 113 ayes, 15 nays.

And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Cunningham: "I merely wanted to say that I join with Webber in the withdrawal, not what you thought I was going to say."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah, Senate Bill 1006."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1006. An Act in relation to ethical standards and disclosures of economic interest in Illinois government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1006 is, I rather think, a simple Bill, ah, sponsored by Senator Harris in the Senate. Ah, this Bill would amend the Ethics Act to require that persons who are subject to Senate confirmation file statement of economic interest at the time they consent to be considered for nomination. The Bill further touches upon write-in candidates for election and stipulates that they, as a write-in candidate who is



successful shall file a statement within 30 days. I ask for the official announcement of election. I would ask for favorable consideration of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman. No question? Question is shall...question is shall Senate Bill 1006 pass. All in favor signify by voting, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Uh, Richard Walsh, aye. This question 118 ayes, no nays. And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Is Representative Bradley back on the Floor? Senate Bill 68. No. 68."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 68. A Bill for an Act to amend the Recreation Area Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 68, simply exempts the not-for-profit corporations from payment of the \$100 fee for the license for the recreational areas throughout the state. And I move for the adoption or the...would move to, ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, what purpose you arise?"

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I...I notice that the red light is not in...on behind you and I find people in the galleries taking pictures. I want them removed."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Randy, come on now. Okay? See you later, buddy."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "...I now move that we, ah, well, I ask for a favorable consideration of Senate Bill 68."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lemke, for what purpose you arise?"

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, after the rash of unpopular pictures that were put on this Legislature that appeared in the Tribune, I think it's...it's time enough after you tell a man twice to stop taking pictures to either have him removed from the gallery or have his camera put in the locker until this Session is over."

Speaker Telcser: "All right...uh, Jerry, you want to continue?"



Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, again I'll repeat that Senate Bill 68 merely amends the Recreational Area Licensing Act and exempts for not for profit corporations from paying the \$100 permit. Ah, it's a good piece of legislation. I think it's interesting, I...one Member asked what the loss to the state would be, would be, ah, merely approximately \$7,000 loss to the state. I think it's a good piece of legislation. And, uh, I ask for your...or I implore and request your favorable consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Fleck: "What's the necessity of the, uh, removal of the fee?"

Bradley: "The necessity for what?"

Fleck: "The necessity of removing that \$100 fee?"

Bradley: "Well, we have, uh,...effect...the one instance was called to my attention, we have a swimming pool in Gridley, Illinois, a small community in my district, who, ah, take...the community itself has taken it upon them to finance the construction of the swimming pool and the maintenance and operation of the swimming pool through local contributions but they still have to comply with the rules and regulations of the Recreational Area Licensing Act but it seems to me when people are trying to do something on their own without costing the taxpayers anything but they are, uh, uh, producing some recreation through their own efforts that we in the state ought to be able to let them be licensed without that particular \$100 fee."

Fleck: "But, ah, Jerry, you didn't convince me but Doc Springer on my right did. He's standing on my feet too."

Bradley: "Well, I want to thank him then from way over here. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Question is shall Senate Bill 68 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question, 91 ayes, no nays. This Bill having recieved the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to get 107 votes up there if, ah, if we could, this is a good piece of legislation..."

Speaker Telcser: "...Roll call."



Bradley: "...and, uh, I think we're..."

Speaker Telcser: "Take another roll call. Take another roll call.

Question is shall Senate Bill 68 pass. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Mann, aye. Take the record. This question 129 ayes, no nays. And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to introduce the brother and sister-in-law of Representative Glenn Schneider who is in the rear balcony on this side. If they'd please stand."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 157. Is that...157. Representative... One moment, Representative Kriegsman, uh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Kriegsman: "I just want to thank the Chicago Tribune for that natural photograph they put of me in this morning's paper. I just want you to know that at 3 a. m. Sunday morning that's the only way you keep from spending taxpayer's money."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. One second, Fred. Representative Walters, what purpose you rise, sir?"

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to refer my remarks to the Chicago Tribune also to remind them that not everyone's asleep in this particular Body. Just a few minutes ago I made a short speech about being out of diapers and since that time, Representative Kennedy has honored me with a homemade diaper which I'm going to take to my wife. And further, I received a package two seconds ago that said, Representative Walters, we've had enough floods in 1973 and they sent me a box of Pampers. Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to leave from... this Body for a few minutes to take these to my wife with your permission, sir."

Speaker Telcser: "You have that permission, sir. Representative Borchers, what purpose you rise?"

Borchers: "I'd like to say that that...that picture in the newspaper, the Tribune didn't disturb me too much because when I came here this morning and saw that Mr. Kriegsman was alive and well on the Floor, it made me



feel pretty good. I thought he was dead."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, what purpose you rise, sir?"

Pierce: "Just to announce that my son, Ted, says after seeing five pictures of himself this morning, that the Chicago Tribune is indeed the world's greatest newspaper."

Speaker Telcser: "He...he's going to run against you next year, Dan, you better watch out. Okay. Senate Bill 157, has any..."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 157. An Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Timothy Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 157, simply permits the municipalities to provide for lower vehicle tax or license fee for residents age 65 or over. I would move for its passage, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is shall Senate Bill 157 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. ...aye. On this question 113 ayes, 1 nay. And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Ah, where's it at, uh, Jim? Oh, here it is. Oh, on...okay. On the Speaker's Table, House Resolution 472 for which purpose the gentleman, ah, where's... gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler is recognized."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 472 would create, ah, special committee to investigate the reason for closing of downstate, ah, grain elevators and I move the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Is...effect...discussion? Is...spend money, Gale? It ...is going to cost any money? It is. Okay. The gentleman has moved the House do adopt House Resolution 472. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 121 ayes, no nays. And the gentleman's motion the House do adopt House Resolution 472 prevails. Senate Bill 930. Representative Craig, we've got a couple of yours up... Senate Bill 930."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 930. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."



Craig: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to table Amendment 1."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has asked leave to bring Senate Bill 930 back to Second Reading. Hearing no objection the Bill will be ordered back to Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Craig. Amend Senate Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman...gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig, moves that Amendment 1 be tabled. Any discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment's tabled..."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Craig. Amend Senate Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "The only difference between Amendment #2 and Amendment #1 was that it took out the in June...until July 1st of '74. It just leaves in any territory which is...which compromises a special charter school district and which is completely surrounded. And the reason for this Bill, uh, this Amendment, we didn't want to try to disrupt the other, ah, college...junior college districts in the state in annexation. And we have a rather peculiar situation here at Paris, Illinois, which there is a special school charter district of Paris which we call the hole in the doughnut. And it's completely surrounded by another district and this Bill would give Paris the right to annex to the Danville Junior College District where the outside district if they want...just wanted to go to Parkland or Lakeland or some other junior college district, it would stop Paris from having any other alternative but go where this...the doughnut encircled them, from going. They'd have to go where the outside district went. And it only, I think, possibly it only refers to the special charter districts. And I...I don't believe that possibly any other district in the state that would be quite like this. I urge for the adoption of Amendment #2"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered for to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 930. All in favor of the gentleman's motion will signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. ...Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill's been read a third time. Representative Craig."

Craig: "Now, then, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to turn this thing...Bill over to



my colleague from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, uh, since Bob Craig wanted me to explain this Bill, I think that he probably should have provided doughnuts for everybody in the House, to make it easier to explain. But he did touch on it and the only thing is that it is a unique situation and the language in this, uh, changes the definition of contiguous, only in a situation where a special charter district is completely surrounded by a nondistrict territory. So it does not affect any other district in the State and we'd appreciate your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate...gentleman from...gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Kennedy: "Will this have to go back to the Senate for concurrence?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know. Are there Senate Amendments to it? Ah, yes, this is a Senate Bill, certainly. We just adopted an Amendment."

Kennedy: "Well, my only thought on it is, I have no opposition to it but all you do by bringing Senate Bills in here and taking them back to Second Reading and putting an amendment on it and bring 'em back here just delays our going home by another conference committee. And I think it's time our...this particular branch of government started to grow up and get these people on their way home. Now if you keep doing that we're going to be here through July the 4th and maybe even into next week. And I think somebody ought to assume some leadership here and stop this monkeying around and bring these Bills back to Second Reading and puttin' amendments on 'em and we have to go back to concurrence. I think this Bill ought to be held over 'til the spring."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Vermilion...gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have great respect for Lee, but I do want to say this that there's no conference committee or anything else. The only thing it amounts to would be concurrence by the Senate. Period."



And that's all there would be to it. And they will probably will be in long enough to do this."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Cox? Phil? Question is shall Senate Bill 930 pass. All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 119 ayes, 1 nay. And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Richard Walsh, what purpose you arise, sir?"

Walsh: "Well, ah, for the purpose of making inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Uh, Representative Kennedy indicated it...it might be a good idea, if we had some idea of where we're going, it...it's 3 p.m. How many conference committees are now out?"

Speaker Telcser: "Ah, now where's Jim Edgar at? "

Walsh: "Maybe you can give us a status report."

Speaker Telcser: "...Why don't you go in back and see if you can get it from Zale or Jim what's out. Well, Representative Piotrowicz, just said that there are three of his outstanding. I...I think two of which are universities though. ...Ann just went back to Zale and just see where we're at."

Walsh: "Well, now, we have on our...our desk two supplemental calendars and the...the bills to which Representative Peters refers are on the concurrence so apparently there'll be no problem with those, uh, uh, they're just a question of writing them up. Now, how many others are there?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know right now, Rich, I just asked Ann to go in back and find out."

Walsh: "...I wonder if, uh..."

Speaker Telcser: "See if Chuck..."

Walsh: "Wait and find out before we get involved in something else."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, what purpose you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Just very briefly, Mr. Speaker, we've got the Industrial Commission, General Services, Capitol Development Board, Junior Colleges, State Treasurer's Office, Children and Family Services, and Waterways, Vocational Education."

Speaker Telcser: "About eight..."



Lechowicz: "Those...those are the controversial ones."

Walsh: "...Are...are those...we...we have first conference committees meeting on those or are we all on the second conference committee?"

Lechowicz: "I think we're going on our first one but I think it's been worked out pretty well."

Walsh: "Well..."

Lechowicz: "In fact that's...that's the case on that because I just checked and they...assigned a membership just recently."

Walsh: "Well, let me just make this observation, Mr. Speaker, as our... our corp begins to dwindle it's gonna require a 107 votes to pass all these Bills and we sure don't want any payless paydays as a result of the actions of the General Assembly and I would just urge that we get on with things."

Speaker Telcser: "...They're meeting, I under...Senate Bill 450."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 450. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this...Senate Bill 450 amends the Medical Practice Act which does nothing but provide exemption for doctors who in good faith provide emergency medical care to a person that needs medical care."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is shall Senate Bill...well, this, I just... question is shall Senate Bill 450 pass. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 110 ayes, no nays. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the Speaker's table Senate Joint Resolution 29. Representative Springer."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 29."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman moves the House to adopt Senate Joint Resolution 29. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no. Resolution's adopted. Okay, I understand there's been a conference committee, uh, been distributed relative to 798. That what the purpose the gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler, is



recognized."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 798."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman moves that the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report relative...is that House Bill?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "Relative to House Bill 798. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Uh, I wonder if the gentleman would...would explain this, I was, uh, distracted at the...."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schisler, you wish to explain the, ah, report?"

Schisler: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I couldn't hear the question."

Speaker Telcser: "He wants to know what's in the report."

Walsh: "What...uh, uh, apparently..."

Schisler: "Eliminates..."

Walsh: "This...this is the one the Majority Leader objected to, ah, your motion before to nonconcur and I was just wondering what transpired since then that makes..."

Schisler: "Eliminates reporting requirement and then it does fund the Chicago Grain Inspection."

Walsh: "It funds the Chicago Grain Inspectors?"

Schisler: "Yes, sir."

Walsh: "And, uh, frankly that doesn't bother me, he's not on the Floor, I don't see the Conference Committee Report on our desk. It's not on our calendar and I have no objection but as I say the Majority Leader made the objection and I...maybe he'll remove it but I think maybe we could give him the courtesy of, well, being here when it's final. Where is he? ...In the Speaker's Office?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know where Bill is. The Clerk told me he had..."

Walsh: "I...I...fine as far as I'm concerned but I think we should give



him the credit too."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's...let's check with the Majority Leader and be sure that, ah.... Bill, Representative...Bill, is this all right? Rich, did he say it was okay? Okay. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question, 109 ayes, 1 nay. And the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to 798. "

Clerk Selcke: "Conference Committee on Senate Bill 633. Williams."

Speaker Telcser: "On the Conference Committee Report relative Senate Bill 633. Representative Williams on the Floor? Representative Williams. What? Is it a.... Well, let's take 633 out of the record for now. Okay, here's Representative Williams relative to Senate Bill 633."

Williams: "No. No. Ah, Mr. Speaker, I have not seen the, ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, take it out of the record."

Williams: "...Conference Committee Report. So take it out of the record."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the record. There a Conference Committee Report appears that Senate Bill...Senate Bill 830 which Representative...Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, is it yours, Zeke? That you're...Representative McGrew, what purpose you arise?"

McGrew: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, while we're on concurrence you might as well do 1045..."

Speaker Telcser: "We will. We intend to right...right after Zeke's, go to yours. We'll go to yours right after we finish Giorgi's. Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of...concurrence Conference Committee Report on House...on Senate Bill 830."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 830. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Totten, aye. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Harpstrite and Bradley and Schisler, aye. On this question, Peters, aye. This question 110 ayes, no nays. Stiehl, aye. Friedland, aye. And the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 830. Okay, on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill...now on your



it's an error, it's not a Senate Bill, it's House Bill 1045.

And the Sponsor is not Schoeberlein, it's McGrew. Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Representative Schoeberlein and I agree on public utilities all the time so we had a mix-up. Ah, this is the report that was submitted by the Senate and was brought over, I assume, and brought to the House Members. I had full intention of moving not to concur but quite frankly it's very late, Amendment has nothing to do with the money. I think it's something that we can handle without the Amendment, ah, I think it's a very poor practice when it's done this way. I am totally regretful that it was and I didn't know anything about it but and because my son is probably getting low on diapers as well, I would just as soon move nonconcur, to concur and get the hell out of here."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman moves to concur. Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 1045. All in favor of adoption signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 117 ayes, 1 nay. And the House adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 1045. Okay. Back to 633. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I now have seen the Conference Report and I move at this time to adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 633."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we ought to have a little explanation of the conference reports when they...when they're moved."

Speaker Telcser: "Want to explain it, Jack? Representative Williams, you wish to explain the Conference Committee Report?"

Williams: "Ah, yes, they move, ah...well see, actually the original Bill that came over was a...ah \$3,000,000 for construction of an overpass. ...It was amended in the House Appropriations here to \$100,000 and that's what they agreed to there. That it would be \$100,000 rather than 3."



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "I believe, also, that the Conference Committee Report changed the funding source from motor fuel taxes to road fund."

Williams: "Right. Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 633. All in favor of adoption signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Campbell, aye. This question 124 ayes, no nays. The House adopt... Tuerk, aye...House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 633. What's next, Fred? Okay on the Supplemental Calendar #2 appears Senate Bill 494 which purpose the gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer, is recognized."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 494 has just been filed with the Clerk, it has not been printed and put upon the desk but I move to suspend the appropriate rules so that we can..."

Speaker Telcser: "You don't have to suspend any rules, Jack, for God's sake."

Lauer: "He have it? Okay. I move for the adoption of, ah, the Second Committee, Conference Committee Report on...Senate Bill 494."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Just ask the gentleman to explain the difference between the Second Conference Committee Report and the First Conference Committee Report."

Lauer: "The, ah...there was an error in the technical language of the...of the funding where as we, the money was...was in one amendment, was appropriated from the motor fuel tax fund which is not possible and the language was changed to the road fund which is the proper source. I move for the...for the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from...gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, I'm having problems with this Conference Committee Report. This is 494..."



Speaker Telcser: "Do you have the first one or the second one?"

Fleck: "I got the Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Go ahead, Charlie, that's the one we're dealing with."

Fleck: "Now, is that...we're talking about changing the technical language and assertions here and there we should have more than what I have in my hand because all I have is that the House recede from Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second, Charlie, I think there's a...I think that's a, uh, John is there an error in that Conference... Is that the one that was distributed yesterday? Charlie, that was distributed either late yesterday or early this morning and the reason we took it out of the record a couple of hours ago was to correct language that was inadvertently put in that Conference Committee Report which you are now reading."

Lauer: "Charlies, that's the one that had the error in it."

Speaker Telcser: "Hold it. Hold it. Hold it. There are two second conference committee reports. Will someone please come and, uh,...can someone tell us why we have two second conference committee reports relative to 494?"

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, this Report says July 2nd on it. Now if it was distributed yesterday it'd be July 1st. Now, I'd like to know what's going on around here."

Speaker Telcser: "So would I. I said it was last night or maybe this morning. I don't know when. We've got to straighten everybody out. One second."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, am I correct now that this Conference Committee Report is wrong is the Bill dead or what? Now, I..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, there are two..."

Fleck: "This says July 2nd 1973 and all it says stated that the House recede from Amendment #3 period."

Speaker Telcser: "We're trying to get some extra copies of the corrected report, so take it out of the record for a moment. Representative Deuster, are you seeking recognition? No."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "The Conference Committee Reports calls for the House to recede from Amendment #3. Amendment #3 contains the error in the funding that this...that this...the...this particular amendment should come from. The Senate concurs in Amendment #4 and, ah, and then there is the...the Amendment that was adopted on the House Floor which makes the appropriation to the town of Normal for a commitment made by the board of regents in 1965 to, ah, widen and resurface a street that, ah, was torn up on the basis of the expansion of Illinois State University. So actually what we're doing is going back and...and, ah, finishing a commitment that made in 1965. The situation is...is now finished and they expect that the expansion is over and that we can now accept this, ah, new street as the northern boundary of the university. Okay, Charlie?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Fred, are you squared away on this? Further discussion? Gentleman has moved the House do..."

Lauer: "I move that the House do concur, ah, to adopt the Conference Committee Report of the Second Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman moves the House do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 494. All in favor of adoption signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. ...Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck. No."

Fleck: "I just want to turn my mike off, it's been on all this time."

Speaker Telcser: "This question 125 ayes, no nays. The House adopts the Second Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill...Peters, aye...494. Are we ready on 591 yet? We are? Okay. On the Conference Committee Report Senate Bill 591, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, the Members of the House are going to find a little bit of confusion with 591 much the same they did on Representative Lauer's Bill. The Conference Committee Report 2 that was passed out originally did have some errors in it when they were typing it up. There was some confusion in it and that's why we had to pull it back and get that straightened out. The report that you now have is the



...is the correct report in the Conference Committee. And what this does is this, as some of you may remember, 591 Amendments #1 & 2 were tabled. The report reads as follows: 'In relation to House Amendments 3 & 4 to Senate Bill 591 the Conference Committee...recommends that, one, the House recedes from Amendment #3." Amendment #3 provided some, ah, additional funds for the repair of a street in Charleston and it...it did indicate in that, uh, amendment, initially, that the money would come out of the motor fuel fund. Now we had a problem in that, so we are asking to recede from Amendment #4, the Senate to concur in Amendment #4 which makes the transfer of some capitol development projects to the Capitol Development Board, ah, and leaving in only the general revenue projects and further that the Bill 591 be amended to really put back in what we receded in House Amendment #3 but this time stating that rather it coming from the motor fuel fund that money will come from the road fund. Mr. Chairman, I...I move that the House concur in Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 591."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the House to adopt Conference Committee Report #2 relative to Senate Bill 591. All in favor of adoption signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Oh, yeah, this question 126 ayes, no nays and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report #2 relative to Senate Bill 591. Where's Bill? We have a couple of resolutions to adopt, uh, uh, they're necessary for the housekeeping, uh, bills. One more time. Okay. The House will be at ease for a second."

Clerk Selcke: "House...House Resolution 518. Walsh. Resolves that a committee of five members be appointed by the Speaker to approve the journals of the House. Journal #7 from February 1, 1973 to Journal #88 of June 7, 1973, the Members to be as follows: Tipswords, Londrigan, Dave Jones, Gibbs, Borchers."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, W. D. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the committee that will approve the journal, it's, ah, consists of Representative Tipsword, Londrigan, Dave Jones, Gibbs and Borchers and I



move the adoption of House Resolution 518."

Speaker Shea: "Any discussion? The gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution 518. All those in favor say aye, all those opposed say nay. The ayes have it and the resolution is adopted. Representative Walsh, I've had a request to call a couple of appropriation bills, would that be appropriate?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 519. Walsh." Th

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. W. D. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah, will the Clerk read this resolution, please?"

Clerk Selcke: "Resolved by the House of Representatives, 78th General Assembly, State of Illinois, that all bills, resolutions, motions remaining on the day of the calendar at the end of the legislative day on July 2nd 1973 be placed on the spring calendar 1974 in their respective order of business."

Walsh: "This, Mr. Speaker, is what we discussed yesterday with respect to bills remaining on the calendar. I don't know that there's any further question about it and I move the adoption of this House Resolution."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Inquiry if I may of the Majority Leader, will he answer question.."

Walsh: "Yes."

Maragos: "Bill,...does this Bill's mean that they're going to be on the calendar in the same state as they are now?"

Walsh: "Yes."

Maragos: "And, uh, they'll be no action taken until that time, is that right?"

Walsh: "Correct."

Maragos: "Ah...Representative Barry had made a motion earlier and I don't see him on the floor at this time... ..He did not..."

Walsh: "I...I think Toby went home."

Maragos: "And, uh, as a courtesy to him I think he should be allowed to make whatever motion before we adopt this resolution."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I have been zinged in the Senate a couple of times this day and I would like to explain to the Member-



ship what has called...caused some of the problems. My colleague from the 47th District, Representative McMaster; had House Bill 739 introduced into the House; he was the chief sponsor. Senator Wooten, a Democrat, introduced Senate Bill 342, they virtually did the same thing. Representative McMaster took his Bill, subsequently put a bad amendment on it, I think, and it has not been considered by the House. I think this ought...undoubtedly made the Senator very mad and it contributed to the type of thing that happened to the House Members when we go over there. I think it's very bad practice and I just like to inform the House of such matter."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry. Tom, I'm trying to take 'em in order."

Barry: "Sam Maragos was not quite accurate in that I don't mean to put a motion but I am waiting for the motion to be put to place these Bills on that calendar and I would..."

Speaker Shea: "It has been put...it has been put, Mr. Barry, by resolution. The...Majority Leader has introduced House Resolution 519 the purport of which says that all matters on the calendar at this juncture that are alive will be put off until the spring session and will appear on the first calendar in the same order as they appear on today's calendar."

Barry: "All right, then, I presume that the simplest way to resolve this is for me to speak in opposition to the resolution."

Walsh: "Ah, Toby, I don't get the objection and I was just kinda, ah, ah, a little bit the, ah, conversations with Representative Dunn and yourself. What this resolution does is place the no fault measures that are on the calendar now which there are, uh, two, Representative Marago's and Representative Dunn, and they are in exactly the same position and I...as our friend Vic Arrigo would say, we're kind of killing them mercifully."

Barry: "Mr. Majority Leader, if I may, proceed even though this is not an order of business hardly, I guess, a bill I don't recall any conversations with you and Representative Dunn and I had but so be it. I think that what I'm trying to suggest by suggesting that, and I offered a deal or an arrangement to Ralph Dunn, Representative Dunn, rather;



that we just knock Sam Marago's 950 and Mr. Dunn's 416 so that we will finish the no fault fight, uh, this season and perhaps next season. Now if we do get into matters other than fiscal matters in calendar year 1974 everyone in this House, or in either of both Houses, can put a bill for a no fault. I think most people are tired of listening to me and all of us on the subject. We've made a determination. We made it on about three occasions or four occasions, we've sent a bill to the Governor for his approval or disapproval, I think this House and the other House would be well off not considering the subject unless we choose to, next year, by the rules we then decide to use."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from, uh, Lake, Mr...Matijevich, wondered about the bike way bill. I've been assured that will get called. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dunn

Dunn "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that, uh, uh, the subject that we're discussing, no fault, was, uh, uh, certainly kind of left in the air. There...it wasn't a matter that was thoroughly defeated in way, shape or form. I...I was attempting to get it to conference where I thought, honestly, and I had an honest...agreement with many Members that it could have been put into a condition that, uh, would have given a viable alternative to the bill that is now on the Governor's desk. And I think there is sufficient interest in having a bill and I'm just asking that it can be brought up next spring in the event the Governor does not sign the bill that's on...on the...that's on his desk now and I think this is a reasonable request. Just an opportunity to get a bill in a condition much better than the condition that we...that it was voted on here. It's reasonable. I...I asked that of Representative Barry and so I would like to see it stand with a group in this resolution and Representative Marago's also so we still have that alternative."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hate to take the time of this deliberative body but since my colleague from the 47th District mentioned my name, I feel that I should answer it. If Mr. McCrew would check the digest, he would see that my bill,



House Bill 739, went out of the House as introduced. The bill that Mr. Wooten had in in the Senate was amended in the Senate. They started out as identical bills when introduced, Sam, his was amended in the Senate before it came over here; when my bill got over in the Senate, they put an amendment on it. We tried to amend his bill over here, 342, to keep it identical with mine as they wanted it; as they amended it in the Senate. Later on we were able to talk the Senate out of the amendment. They took it back to second again, put a different amendment on it. The bills are completely, have many more things, or my bill does now, many more things in it than our two original bills, uh, had. I think that as the two bills ended up on Third Reading both over here and that the bill that I introduced and as they amended, is in much better shape than Mr. Wooten's Bill 342. Sam, I could have asked that Mr. Wooten's Bill be tabled. I did not. I put it on the spring calendar so that if the Governor should veto my bill, we'd still have another vehicle to work with. I think this is only fair and I object to your statement that our actions between the two bills is the cause of any delay in the Senate. I do not see it that way."

Speaker Shea: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, uh, ^{to} get back to the question of House Resolution 519. If I understand it where we are, the motion has been made to leave those bills on the spring calendar. Ah, and, uh, the... all...all of 'em will be left on the spring calendar and if you object to...to them, you can simply vote no. And if you want them all left on the spring calendar you vote yes. And there...there has not been any motion to divide the resolution. Is that correct? Bill?"

Speaker Shea: "The...the gentleman..."

Bradley: "...There's no division of this resolution they all stay on the spring calendar..."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Bradley..."

Bradley: "...or and if you don't want to, uh, uh, them to do it that way you just simply vote no on your resolution and if you want them all to stay on you vote yes. Correct?"

Speaker Shea: "Mr...Mr. Bradley, there has been no motion made to amend



that resolution at this juncture. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I hope in talking to the...the bills in contest so speaking to the resolution, I'll try to do that. We passed an extremely good bill; it's on the Governor's desk. I think that was passed with a number of votes that weren't needed, and very excessive amount of votes, I might add. Now we've got two bills remaining on no fault, uh, Sam Maragos on this side and Representative Duff on the other. We'll argue the merits of those bills but they seem to me that arrangements could be made out with those two gentlemen, uh, to put the bills in limbo because we don't need 'em. We've got a good bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Parliamentary inquiry. How many votes does this...resolution need to be adopted?"

Speaker Shea: "A majority of those voting on the question."

Maragos: "All right. The next question, Mr. Speaker, is I'd like at this time to amend this resolution and say that, uh, that the bills on the docket now be put on the spring calendar excepting for House Bills 950 and Senate Bill 416."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, W. D. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, in the first place, Mr. Speaker, the gentleman's amendment should be in writing and therefore out of order. In the second place, ...I'd like to make this perfectly clear that we're...this is not a partisan resolution. This is a resolution that has been agreed upon by the leadership and we discussed it at some length yesterday, I... confess that this question was brought up but I didn't think at the time, seriously. Now let me point out to the gentlemen that are objecting to a certain bill being on this calendar. I voted for the bill that they favored dealing with no fault. I did it with considerable reservation. I thought it was the best, or the better of the two bills that were offered at the time. I don't think it's the answer to no fault. Now I urge you, all of you, regardless of what your feeling is on the no fault question in the interest of fair play. In the interest of fair play to permit every bill on here to be put



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

on the spring calendar, or in the alternative I suppose, to cancel everything but unfortunately if we dropped all of 'em then what we'd be doing would be playing into the advocates of the no fault bills hands that passed this House. Now I think they're being very hard headed about it, I have deep reservations about the vote I cast on no fault. I suspect that they want it too badly. Now, I suggest to you that we leave this matter open just as we're leaving several matters open and support this resolution not on a party basis but on the basis that it's a fair thing to do."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question. I think Members can explain their position on explaining their vote if that's necessary. I move the previous question."

Speaker Shea: "Ah, would you withdraw that for a minute? There is a gentleman that's got a motion, ah, he's been asked to put it in writing."

Deuster: "Oh, yes, I'll be happy to do that if he's in the process of doing that."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Barry."

Barry: "We've had a...a nice little conference here and we've decided that we're not going to persist, we're going to suggest that both 950 and 416 remain on the spring call."

Speaker Shea: "We have resolved the problem. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, moves for the adoption of House Resolution 519. All those in favor will say aye, those opposed say nay. The ayes have...have it and the Resolution is adopted. Right. Go ahead. Conference committees."

Clerk Selcke: "Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 370."

Speaker Shea: "Prior to the time you call that, Mr. McGrew, do you seek recognition?"

McGrew: "I was just going to tell the House that mine was explained to satisfaction, too."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Members of the House, House Bill 370...Senate Bill 370, has been resolved. We lost, the Senate



won."

Speaker Shea: "The...the gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "I think that a proper motion in light of the net result would be to move to reconsider the vote by which we refuse to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 and then I'll put a motion to adopt Conference Committee Report #1."

Speaker Shea: "All right. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, makes a motion to reconsider the vote by which the House refuse to adopt Conference Committee #1. Speaking on that the Chair recognizes Mr. R. A. Walsh from Cook."

Walsh: "I...I just asked the gentleman, if he will and apparently the Senate is going to adopt Conference Committee Report #1. And I wonder if the gentleman from Cook would explain what the differences were, uh, between #1 and, uh, well, we're going to end up adopting #1, why we refused to adopt #1 in the first place?"

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Ah, Dick, the Senate refused to adopt any of the House Amendments and the Conference Committee Report was signed to that effect and that was the net result of the first negotiation with the Senate. That Conference Committee Report was adopted by the Senate, it was refused by this House, uh, no activity has been performed in the Senate on the Second Conference Committee Report so mechanically this is the simplest way to, uh, agree with the Senate to refuse the House Amendments on that appropriation bill. So it stands in the same posture as it did when it came from the Senate."

Walsh: "Now, if I can just ask you this, would you just briefly tell us what House Amendments we adopted that they refused to concur in?"

Barry: "Ah, let Ted answer that, I think he's got the file in front of him."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The House Amendment that was adopted in Committee and on this House floor were in three areas. One, as far as the funding for the 'Harrick House,' the rehabilitation program based on pollution of that agency and the Senate transferred that responsibility to the Capitol Development Board. Second item was \$75,000 for printing and paper creating the revolving fund to have



a consolidation of state printing in one agency. The Senate did not concur with that idea and that money was eliminated and also the function. Third item was \$22,000 for the information services; \$5,000 for travel; \$17,000 in contractual; and the Senate didn't concur with that as well. Those were the Amendments and that's the answer."

Walsh: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio, renews his motion to reconsider the vote...Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "And one other item, uh, on the request of the Minority Leader, we want a Second Conference."

Speaker Shea: "Now, are we...as I understand the procedure, you...we are... this is...the gentleman from Barry, or Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "At this moment in time I withdraw my motion."

Speaker Shea: "...Now this is Conference Committee #1, is that correct? That's what I'm trying...we, ah, what posture is this in now, Mr. Clerk? ...The Clerk tells me we will find out what state the record is so take Senate Bill 370 out of the record, Mr. Clerk, for a minute. House Bill 499...or Senate Bill 499. All right. We have three bills left I'm informed on the agreed bill list. Senate Bill 499, Senate Bill 690 and Senate Bill 1133. Mr. Clerk, will you read the Bills and we will take a vote on the agreed bill list. It went from 35 to 3."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 499. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 690. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1133. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise a law in relation to divorce. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters."

McMaster: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in order to try to save this Body some time, I see that we have one Bill on the consent calendar. Would there be any objection to putting it in with the agreed list or doing something with it."

Speaker Shea: "That...that went about 9:31 this morning."

McMaster: "It passed?"



Speaker Shea: "That has passed."

McMaster: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "That's while you were back with your secretary getting some Amendments."

McMaster: "Thank you. I was still in bed at 9:30."

Speaker Shea: "The question is shall these Bills pass. All in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. This is final action. These are Senate Bill 499, 690 and 1133 and if you want to vote no on any of the three, just vote aye and then come up and tell the Clerk which one you want off. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On these questions there are 124 aye votes and 1 nay vote. And these Bills having received a three-fifths constitutional majority are...hereby declared passed. House Bill 1167."

Speaker Blair: "That was Jerry's last...last chance at the...being temporary speaker. All right, on the...on the calendar there appears on the order of Senate Bills Third Reading, Senate Bill 1167.

Ah, whose...we have joint sponsorship, Mr. Williams and Mrs. Catania. Ah, whose handling it? Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, can we get copies of the proposed Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah, which, ah...there are, ah...there are four..."

Beaupre: "It may be the major issue of the Legislature and we don't have copies of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Ah, well then let's...I suggest we take it out of the record until the Amendments are on the..."

Beaupre: "I have that copy."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, you have it now? Oh. Now wait a minute. How...can...it's on Third Reading. How can you read it? Oh, read the Bill a third time, yeah."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1167. An act making appropriation Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Ah, thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is your opportunity here now to, as the last action of this Assembly with a Transportation Bill the failure of this Body here to come up with any Regional Transportation Authority, leaves the



people of the city of Chicago and the suburban area served by the CTA in a...a dire plight. You have an opportunity here today unless you want to see cutbacks in service or increased fares, if you want to..."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "All right.. All right. Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to return..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, now wait a minute, I have recognized Mr. William Walsh, I thought we were on the wrong Bill, I..."

Walsh: "Yeah, I was going to make the point that the Bill under consideration deals with bicycle trails and he's talking about the CTA and I wonder if he's talking on the right Bill?"

Williams: "Well, we're talking about transportation. Mr. Speaker, uh, I request leave of the House to move this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there leave? Oh, no. All right. Objection's been heard. Uh, so the gentleman moves to take it back to the order of Second...Reading for purposes of considering an Amendment. All those in favor...well, under Shea's Rule it takes 89 votes, uh, under...under the Speaker's Rule it takes...it takes a majority of those voting on the issue. Uh, so all those...well, that's right, really. All...all those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. The suggestion has been made that I put Mr. Shea back on the podium so he could rule on that matter then. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 81 ayes, 54 nays and the...the Bill is back on the order of Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Shea. Amends Senate Bill 1167..."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right. ...Objection? Hearing none, uh, Amendment #1 will be tabled. Read the Second Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Ebbesen."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since my



Amendment, really, is an amendment to Senate Bill 1167, as amended, it would seem to me that it's out of order so I would move it be tabled."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there objection? Hearing none, Amendment #2 will be tabled."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3. Shea. Amend Senate Bill 1167, page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment..."

Speaker Blair: "One moment, please. What purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, arise?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've had a look at this Amendment and what it does, briefly, remember now it's not mine so don't throw anything at me. It provides for a \$40,000,000 loan to the Chicago Transit Association. Now, Mr. Speaker, I can't for the life of me see how that relates to bicycle trails and I would question a ruling from the Chair on the germaneness of this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well I think the gentleman's objection was just a wee bit premature until the gentleman from Cook has an opportunity to explain the Amendment to ask for that ruling."

Speaker Blair: "Uh? Well, gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, I've got a point of order. Has this Amendment been distributed? I don't have Amendment #3."

Speaker Blair: "...Oh, nobody has it? All right. All right. Well let's have the pages get it out on the...the, ah...we ought to follow orderly procedures. And distribute Amendment #3. Ah...Ah, all right. The...they have not found that that Amendment was ordered printed so it will be out here just shortly so while we're standing here waiting for it, let's just simply take it out of the record. I'll go right back to it just as soon as that Amendment's out. We can read a couple of messages. We can read the Adjournment Resolution just instead of sitting here doin' anything. And I'll come right back to it, at that point we'll be on...Mr. Choate's question of whether or not Mr. William Walsh was premature."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.



Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to Senate Amendments 1 & 2 to House Bill 798. Action taken by the Senate, July 2nd 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to-wit: Senate Joint Resolution #49. Resolved by the Senate of the 78th General Assembly State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein and when the two Houses adjourn ...on Monday, July 2nd 1973 they stand adjourned until Monday, October 15th 1973 at 12:00 o'clock noon Central Daylight Saving Time.

Adopted by the Senate, July 2nd 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh. did you want..."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1167 is the bad news. This is the good news that when we adjourned today we will stand adjourned until Monday, October 15th at 12:00 o'clock noon. And I move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Where are we going to meet on October the 15th?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah, in the...in the...in the auditorium in the Centennial Building. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "I'm just wondering if the Cubs will have won the World Series by then."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Mr...Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would just hope that the House Rules Committee would address themselves to the fact that we're not going to have electronic voting devices and set up a procedure for our roll call, uh, procedure and also explanation of votes."

Speaker Blair: "All in favor of the adoption of the Resolution will say aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it and the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. All right, Conference...Conference Committee Report on



Senate Bill?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Blair: "Senate Bill 701. Who's the Sponsor? Flinn's the Sponsor. Lechowicz, is up 'Tuerkland'. Ah, Mr. Shea?"

Shea: "Uh, could I ask the Speaker if he would hold that Resolution that we just passed until after the message, if any, on 1160 goes...7... goes over?"

Speaker Blair: "Well,..."

Shea: "That was a House Resolution on adjournment..."

Speaker Blair: "No, it wasn't, it was a Senate Joint Resolution."

Shea: "...Senate?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah."

Shea: "All right, would you just hold the action of the House, in the House, until after anything happens on 1167? If anything?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, there's...there's nothing, we...we've already concurred and there's nothing else to do as far as the adjournment is concerned."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "I don't...I don't know. All right, Lechowicz for Flinn on Senate Bill 701."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur in the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 701. What they did...what the Senate did is they receded their objection to the House Amendment which increased the bonding proposal as was required for the state treasurer's office and I would move for the House adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Is there...is there any discussion?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, are there any questions or..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, there's...there's just some technical problems here about...this is the Second Conference Committee Report..."

Lechowicz: "Right."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now, we've got a...let's have a...let's have as little disorder as possible...now there is a technical problem on 701 so Mr. Lechowicz, if you would put a motion...to reconsider by which..."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Lechowicz: "...Move to reconsider the vote by House Conference Committee #1 was adopted."

Speaker Blair: "That's right. And that's the only way we're going to get the Journal straightened out, so, uh, the motion to reconsider takes the same number of votes as the...as the main motion and so that would be 107. So all those in favor vote aye and the opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. All right, on this question there are 120 ayes, no nays and the House now has voted to reconsider the vote by which the, uh, House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 701. Now,..."

Lechowicz: "I move to table, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The...the motion now is to...uh, that the House do not adopt the original Conference Committee Reports submitted with respect to Senate Bill 701. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. You want to vote yes on that? All right. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question 128 ayes, and 1 nay and the gentleman's motion...prevails. Not to... no, he moves that, uh, House do...that the House do not adopt the original Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 701. Now we are back on the question of adopting the.... It's #1. ...All right. The mixup was that...that...was between the House and Senate and so what we've done now, we're...we're down to what will in essence be a substitute, uh, #1 Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 701. And that's the way the Clerk said he'd like for the motion to be put by the gentleman from Cook. Mr. Lechowicz now on the merits of the...of the report. Turn on Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and I want to thank you for your indulgence and also the help as far as the parliamentary procedure. So basically the substance is still the same. The Senate finally agreed to the House Amendment as far as increase in the Capitol Funding Project for the...the State Treasurer's Office and I ask that the substitute Conference Committee #1 be adopted."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion with regard to the gentleman's motion. All right. The question is shall the House adopt the substitute Conference Committee Report #1 with respect to Senate Bill



701. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no and this requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question 127 ayes, 2 nays and ah, the...the House concurs in...the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to the substitute Conference Committee Report #1 which respect to Senate Bill 701 it having received the votes required under the constitution. All right, here...let's get another fast Appropriations Conference Committee Report out of the way. 697. Mr. Lechowicz, you want..."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 697. The Senate asked us to recede from House Amendment #1 which reduces the appropriation of \$1,375,000 for the funding of the Supervising Function. They requested that that provision remain within the Capitol Development Board and we took that exact dollar amount from General Services. Ah, the Senate concurs with House Amendments 3, 4 and 5 which revises the reappropriation amounts....Amendment #3 divides the reappropriation amount to indicate revised estimated expenditure for fiscal '74. Amendment #4, are line items appropriations, new capital projects for the Department of Conservation. There is no change in the dollar amount. Amendment #5 changes higher education capital to this Bill. I would, and there also inserts for the Department of Children and Family Services for installation and correction of a sanitary system at Herrick House including all costs necessary to the completion of that project for a total dollar amount of \$168,840 and I move that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to Senate Bill 697."

Speaker Blair: "The question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 697. All those in favor vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 ayes, no nays. And this...the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 697 with the vote required under the Constitution. All right one last one. 698. Then we're back to..."

Clerk Selcke: "Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 698. Lechowicz."



Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 698 is the junior college board appropriation. The Conference Report requests that the House recede from Amendments 3, 4, 5 and 6. Amendment #3, as you know, increased the flat rate grant from 18 to \$19 an hour or an increase of \$1.00 per semester hour. I opposed it on the floor. The agreed dollar amount now between the House and the Senate is \$18.50. Amendment #4 increased the appropriation for a flat rate grants by \$3,458,000 reflecting a change made in Amendment #3. And Amendment #5 added a section mandating compliance with the prevailing wage rate established by public policy. Amendment #6 increases the appropriation by 800,000 for special grants for training and instruction of students in adult basic education and public aid recipients. The Senate would not concur with that and they asked to recede. The Senate concurred in the House Amendment 2 and 8, now that's a correction on your sheet that were distributed. Amendment #2 reduced the appropriation for the Capitol Development...Bond Fund from \$152,621,900 to 106,932...932,500. And it also line items the projects and appropriations. Amendment #8 transfers the Capitol Development Projects to Senate Bill 967. And I would hope that the House would adopt Conference Committee #2 to Senate Bill 698."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? Question's on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report with respect Senate Bill 698. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Clerk will take the record. This question there are 121 ayes, no nays and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 698 with the votes required under the constitution. Now just one last one, 1175, which Second Conference Committee Report Division of Waterways. Mr. Lechowicz, or Mr. Schneider, would you give us that one and then we're just about there."

Schneider: "Ah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, 1175 is the, what started out to be the appropriations for the water resource management, has now included a number of other items roads, lights, Amtrack and so on. It adds the Senate Amendment, it's



an exorbitant amount of money but if we're going to go home I think we ought to adopt the report and I so more."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? Discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Just for the record, Mr. Speaker, this Bill as it was introduced by the Governor was for the total appropriation request of \$9,000,000 for various projects. When it came out of the Senate it was amended up to over \$47,000,000 for every special pet project. The House did not want to get in any type of furor with the Senate and their projects so what we did is we brought down the appropriation to a \$19,000,000 figure not disturbing any one other project but going on the base estimated cost...from Waterways, John 'Gallo' as far as how they could spend in fiscal '74 even if all these projects were included. And with this we've quite a bit of debate between the House and the Senate on this Bill but they are adamant in the fact that they wanted at...at their figure even though it's unrealistic and completely not fiscally responsible. But we have no other choice as they told...they assured the Members of the Conference Committee that if it did not pass at this amount the entire Bill was in jeopardy. Well, I don't like to be held in that position but unfortunately at this time we have no other choice. And I'm hoping that the Governor will...appropriately line item veto this terrible Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question...any more discussion? All right, the question is on the adoption of the Second...er....er...Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1175. All in favor vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Request 120 ayes and 3 nays and the House adopts the Conference...Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1175 by the votes required under the constitution. Representative Walsh, what purpose you rise, sir?"

Walsh: "Ah, for the purpose, Mr. Speaker, of introducing the lovely wife of our colleague, Ralph Dunn, Mrs. Ellen Dunn in the gallery over the Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Clyde, are you ready on 370? No. Pardon. Represen-



tative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Juckett: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, it would be proper I'd like to make a motion in regard to House Bill 458."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, sir."

Juckett: "Ah, we have some problems and so what I'd like to do is make a motion to reconsider the vote by which the House nonconcurred in Senate Amendment #1 to 458."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which the House nonconcurred in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 458. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying aye. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could you explain what that's for, Bob?"

Juckett: "Well, the, ah, Senate we've nonconcurred in order to put a early date on the effectiveness of the Bill. I found out that the Governor probably won't get to this Bill 'til late in the summer and there would be no point in having the early date on the Bill."

Shea: "What did the Senate do with this?"

Juckett: "Well, the Senate tightened up and they've made the...it's a Bill to allow for an incorporation of an unincorporated area and they gave more rights to the surrounding territory. I agree with the Senate Amendment but we had to nonconcur to put the, ah, effective upon becoming law, now we're taking...we want to take that off."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, just, ah, uh, point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Since we had to take a roll call when we concurred it would seem to me we would have to take a roll call when we're, uh..."

Speaker Telcser: "Your point is well taken, sir. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to reconsider signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 458. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Question 112 ayes, 1 nay. And the gentleman's motion to reconsider prevails. Representative Juckett. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now I would move to concur in Senate



Amendment #1 to House Bill 458."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 458. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Question 119 ayes, no nays. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 458. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1 & 2 to Senate Bill 1159. Action taken by the Senate July 2nd, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 701. Action taken by the Senate, July... 2nd 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 - 6 to Senate Bill 632. Action taken by the Senate, July 2nd 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 589. Action taken by the Senate, July 2nd 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "They ought...they ought to make a motion not to...
Read the message."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr....where is Berman? Berman. Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 & 3 of the House of Representatives to bill of the following title: Senate Bill #1148.



Action taken by the Senate, July 2nd 1973. Edward E. Fernandes,
Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman on the floor? Representative
Berman. We have to refuse to recede, right, Fred? Gentleman from
Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I'm informed that the, uh, uh, Sponsors of this...this
Amendment is now willing to...to recede from...from our position."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, who's the Sponsor of the Bill? Who's Bill is it?"

Stone: "I believe it was Mr. Brinkmeier yesterday."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I hate to take any motion without the Sponsor
being here, Paul."

Stone: "Well, I...I do too but I...I hate to see the Bill. Was...was not
Representative Brinkmeier the Sponsor of this Bill yesterday? I
believe it....I believe it's the one that got... Okay, he says
Mr. Berman has the file."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's getting late of course in the Session
but we do have one of our colleagues...Representative Tom Miller,
some of his relatives in the...in the west gallery. His wife, Eve,
and four children, Linda, Laura, Lisa and Tommy and Tom's sister,
who is Sister Ann Teresa. Will they stand up please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kriegsman, what purpose do you rise, sir?
Okay. Berman has returned. Let's get John Kriegsman first."

Kriegsman: "While we're in the state of limbo here I'd just like to say
that I hope the July 1st calendar is a collector's item. And to
paraphrase a famous statement it may be one small step to clear the
calendar but it could be one giant step to full-time legislation.
The cost to the taxpayers could be staggering. It must not happen
again."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Representative Berman wishes to put a motion
relative to, ah, well, you're pointing to him, he's pointing to
you now. Representative Berman."

Berman: "All right, I...I would defer to Representative Stone who is
more familiar with this Bill than I am."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."



Stone: "Yes, the, as I stated before the...the Sponsors and those interested in this Bill now be better to recede from the House's position on the two amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, you've asked to recede from the Amendments, Paul?"

Stone: "Yes, sir. Yes, sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any...gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, the House having adopted the Amendments and passed the Bill, can you explain what it is we're receding from?"

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Just what are the, ah, Amendment numbers?"

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, what are the amendments..."

Clerk Selcke: "1 and 3."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "The first amendment was an amendment that dealt with part-time students on the scholarship, uh, uh, from...from the Scholarship Commission. The Senate would not accept the Amendment that was put on that allowed them to get these part-time scholarships. The third Amendment is an effective date amendment. The Senate in some procedure that I can not understand, uh, uh, is reading into the record effective date amendments and so they just didn't think that it was necessary."

Speaker Telcser: "That's a cute little thing. Why didn't we do that?"

The gentleman has moved the House recede from Senate Amendments #1 and 3, House...the gentleman has moved the House recede from House Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1148. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to recede signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. This is final action. Will take 107 votes."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I'd only like to say to Representative Berman, I wish I hadn't asked the question."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Leon, aye.

Not...On this question there are 109 ayes, no nays and the House recedes from Senate Amendments #1 and 3 ...House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1148."

Speaker Blair: "All right, back to 1167 now. Ah, and we were at the



point where the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, had suggested that the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh's question of germaneness was premature because the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, hadn't enough time to explain what the Amendment did...or would... Ah, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1167 is an appropriation Bill to the Department of Transportation. Section 1 of that Act sets out an appropriation for specific purpose. I ask to add Section 2 which sets out an appropriation for a specific purpose. I've looked at the Department of Transportation's Bill and it sets out appropriations to the Department for various specific purposes throughout the Bill. I've looked at a Bill that we have just passed out of this Chamber to the Senate and back again called Omnibus Appropriation Bill. wherein that appropriation bill has set out various appropriations to various departments in varying amounts. This Bill continues, or this Amendment continues to make appropriations to the Department of Transportation for the purposes set out in the sections similar to the Transportation Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now, let's see Mr...Mr. William Walsh had raised...had either raised or started to raise a question, uh, when Mr. Choate interrupted him so I let Mr. Shea finish. Now, Mr. Walsh, did you want me...did you have a question with regard to this, sir? Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I question again, Mr. Speaker, that the gentleman's Amendment is germane. We are amending the Bicycle Act, or we are providing for an appropriation to the Bicycle Act. The gentleman's Amendment does not direct itself to that at all but providing for a loan to the Chicago Transit Authority. And I would again question its germaneness."

Speaker Blair: "All right if I could see the Bill and the Amendment."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, may I say one thing? I think the gentleman is in error in what he says."

Speaker Blair: "Oh. While I'm...While I...while I'm perusing the question you certainly may speak."

Shea: "All right. The Act is an act to make an appropriation to the



Department of Transportation. Section 1 of the Act makes a specific appropriation for a specific purpose to the Department of Transportation. The section I wish to add makes an appropriation to the Department of Transportation for a specific purpose. Mr... Mr. Speaker, as I understand the Supreme Court cases on this subject, and you have a fine parliamentarian there and I'm sure she's familiar with the case of 'Dieblhausen' vs Daley, and the place to look for germaneness is in the title of the Bill. This is an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Section 1 makes, and again I say, an appropriation for a specific subject to the Department of Transportation. Section 2 seeks to add another appropriation for a specific purpose to the Department of Transportation. Both appropriations would go to the Department and they would be for the specific purposes set out in the items, Section 1 and 2."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the, uh, Chair's listened to the arguments of the gentleman from Cook, he's looked at, uh, uh, Rule 34 which addresses itself to the question of Amendments and Rule 34C says 'No Amendment is in order unless it is confined to the subject of the Bill'. Now what the gentleman from Cook is asking...is suggesting that the subject of the Bill is appropriations to the Department of Transportation and that is the broadest possible interpretation that could be made. Uh, the subject of the Bill if you look at what is being appropriated is an appropriation to the Department for the purposes of an act in relation to a state bikeway program and to promote bicycle safety enacted by the 78th General Assembly. Now, it's awfully hard,...I think that is the subject, the appropriation to the Department for that purpose, state bikeway program. It's awfully difficult for the Chair to be persuaded that Section 2, uh, is confined to the subject of...of an appropriation to the state bikeway program when it addresses itself to the subject of a \$40,000,000 loan to the Chicago Transit Authority to be repaid within three years by the Chicago Transit Authority. I, uh, it's just...the Chair just reaches as hard as it can reach to say that that Amendment is confined to the subject of the Bill. I...I would like to give the



gentleman every benefit of the doubt but the CTA doesn't have any... operate any bicycles does it or...gentleman...are there any other arguments, I don't want...."

Shea: "My mike is on but I was waiting to be recognized."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I...I'll be happy to hear more. I...I'm not going to make the ruling just yet but I wanted you to understand the problem that the Chair is faced with, uh, I think we have a Bill that is a specific purpose Bill for an appropriation for a...an act concerning itself with bicycles...uh, the bicycling program. And Section 2 is addressing itself to a loan, uh, to the Department of Transportation for a loan to the Chicago Transit Authority and... the real problem you get into, uh, uh, is under the Constitution and...and the case that you cited is that...has a bill been read three times and...and the question of whether it has is...is how germane the whole thing is when it ends up. And if you attach an Amendment on the Bill, on the Second Reading, which is not confined to the subject of the Bill then by law that Bill has not been read a first time because the Amendment has not been confined to the subject of the Bill and that's where you run...run into very serious constitutional questions. And I want you to understand what both the Chair and the parliamentarian are running into problems with."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I haven't changed the title of the Act. It's an Act...an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Section 1 sets out in that Act the specific purpose for which that section appropriates money to the Department of Transportation for. I seek to add a Section 2 to appropriate money to the Department of Transportation and I set out in that section what the appropriation is for. Both make appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Now, Mr. Speaker, I could sit here all day long and argue with you...I could do a lot of things but the question boils down very hard and very quickly. Will you give us an opportunity to get a roll call vote and some help to try to do something for transportation in the city of Chicago in the county of Cook? The hour is late. I'm informed, I talked to Mr. Milton 'Pikarski' and I'm informed that on Friday they had a meeting of the board of directors



today and on Friday they are having another meeting of the board of direction...board of directors to see where service cuts might be made and where fares might have to be reduced. Mr. Speaker, there's no man in this Assembly that knows more about transportation than you. You have worked on transportation, you have worked on this problem, now all I ask you, and the gavel is yours and the problem can be solved, at least one step of it, by allowing the Amendment to go on. If somebody wants to test it in court it will end up with seven gentlemen across the street deciding whether you were right or wrong. But I ask you, Mr. Speaker, to make a decision here for the people of the state, for the people of Cook County, for the people of the city of Chicago, and let it at least try, try to do something to save that transportation system in the northern part of the state."

Speaker Blair: "Uh, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the gentleman did not address himself to the germaneness of the question but he did kind of bring us full circle from where we started this Session on mass transportation and I would just like to point out to him that during the deliberations over the past month which finally over the past several months which broke finally a couple of days ago, there was no suggestion then after the last subsidy to the CTA; there was no suggestion of a...an impending crisis, something that was going to cause us to, uh, bring about another subsidy. That wasn't happening at all. There was negotiating on a purely political basis for a regional transportation authority that would benefit the single member constituency of the gentleman who just talked. You know we talked about single member district that gentleman has a single member constituency and that single member constituency was unavailable in the closing hours of this...these negotiations. He provided no input whatever. And now I think it is absolutely an affront on this General Assembly for him to come in, or anyone else to come in, with a Bill to provide for another subsidy for the CTA because that is indeed what this \$40,000,000 is. It's another subsidy for the CTA because they can't conceivably pay it off under their present structure or in the three years



that the Bill calls for the Amendment calls for. Now I suggest to you and I do not impune the motives of the gentleman, I...he is a dear friend and, uh, in my opinion one of the most capable Members of this House. But I do feel nevertheless that it is an affront and I think that he is taking orders, unfortunately, from his aide...mentioned before, his single member constituency. And I think we ought to dispose of this matter. It is clearly not germane, get rid of it and go home."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Williams."

Williams: "What? Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker, as the House Sponsor of this Bill I'd like to answer Representative Walsh on...on this. In the city of Denver, and I'd like to point out that this is germane to this Bill, where they have established the bikeway program they have found out that it offers the best potential for reducing the crowded situation by channelling the people to the bus system by... establishing bicycle parking, of bike routes and paths to the station commuters will be able to ride their bicycle to the terminals and widen the bus.....of corridors fivefold. And I say this is germane to the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I may bring you up to date a little bit of history on this particular Bill and where we're...how we got here, I'm not sure. Representative Harold Katz and myself have worked on bike bills for several months and Senator Vadalabene finally came up with a bill after many amendments, working with Harold Katz, and we ended up with Senate Bill 83 which passed out of this House of which I was the House Sponsor. Senator Vadalabene asked me to pick up 1167, be sure I do that, it's a companion bill. I proceeded immediately to the House floor to pick up the Appropriations Bill which happens to be Senate Bill 1167, and I was informed that Representative Williams had already picked it up and I thought well, that's interesting but I thought, well, that Bill's going to have a little trouble in Appropriations Committee so maybe he's interested, well, let him have it. And now here we are...July the 2nd, I believe about five



after five and thanks to one of the good Members my wife still has enough diapers to hold us out, but nevertheless here we are with a Bill that's not been heard in Committee, \$40,000,000, has nothing whatsoever to do with our appropriations for bikeways; everyone knows that, everyone knows that. I'm sure the CTA has problems and I sympathize with them, I think they should go through the committee procedures everybody else does but I think it's absolutely ridiculous for us to stay here any longer and to decide whether or not this is germane to 1167, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I've been here about six months now and when I...our good Majority Leader tells us that this is just a...a subsidy, it seems to me that that's about all I've been doing since I've been here of subsidizing downstate Illinois. And they...just...just...just a few things....and a...just a few things in the last few minutes when I realized when this was coming up..."

Speaker Blair: "All right, one moment please. Wait just a moment, a point of order has been raised by the gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding you have a parliamentary inquiry before you now and this is not germane to your parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Blair: "Yes, that's quite correct. I...if, the...question of the germaneness is not debatable and, uh, gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt, I..."

McCourt: "All right. Let...let me just talk to...to that, uh, parliamentary maneuver, last week we, uh, had a Bill, Senate Bill, ah, to do with the, uh, let's see...Senate Bill 1097 which basically had to do with the pay for certain members of the Department of Labor. Now all it was there were three...three jobs there and it was just increasing the pay and my good friend, Representative Fleck, stuck a little amendment onto this Bill and this little amendment all it did was transfer all the jobs, there are many jobs under the Department of Transportation, the Highway Department to the



Department of General Services. Now no one made the objection that this amendment was not germane to the main issue. Now if...if we're right with ourselves let's not hide behind some parliamentary maneuvers. We have a problem. We can't go home today without solving it. We can't say, oh, you're out of order because you're not following Roberts Rules. We've got to follow, we've got to address ourselves to the problem, Mr. Speaker, we can't be...hide behind some subterfuge. I implore you to let this get on before us."

Speaker Blair: "Well, there's no question that it is proper for a Member to question a...whether or not an amendment is confined to the subject of a Bill. So the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, is quite in order in raising that as would any other Member of the Assembly be in doing it. Now, the Chair has carefully considered the matter, uh, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I was going to urge that in the consideration of this problem you broadly consider the scope of transportation. These are related in the field of transportation. The...it is very hard to draw the line and say that, for example, something that involves one mode of transportation in the Department of Transportation would not be germane to another mode of transportation. The fact is that they are very interchangeable, the different kinds of transportation..."

Speaker Blair: "Uh, Mr. Kane, I mean Mr. Hill, the gentleman from Kane, what's your point of order."

Hill: "I thought you just got finished ruling that this subject is not debatable and here we have now on the floor a gentleman that's an authority on the rules, uh, breaking that. I...I'd like to, uh, it seems to me that he's so sanctimonious about these rules that he should sit down if what you ruled is correct."

Speaker Blair: "What, uh, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, we can laugh and we can joke and we can think of several ways to stop this and if that transportation in the northern part of this state collapses, if it collapses, there won't be so much money in the state treasury and there'll be hard pressed situations all over this state. So, Mr. Speaker, I'm asking you, I'm imploring



you to let us get this amendment on and try to do something for the transportation system in one of the great cities of our nation."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The Chair is prepared to rule that the, uh, Mr...look, what do you want to do, do you want, uh, the question has properly been made that...that the issue is not debatable. Now if you want to stand out there and...and, uh, ask me for recognition I can stand here, you know, until tomorrow and let you do it. I've...I've ruled that the matter is not debatable. Do we still want to debate it, is that it? Well, for what purpose the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, arise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, you erroneously assumed that I was going to debate the subject, I... simply made an inquiry that might have been helpful to the Chair in sustaining his decision whatever it is and that is I wanted to inquire, is this an appropriation bill that's a companion to the bikeway bill that Representative Katz had just Sponsored and I thought that that might...or...or what is this a companion to?"

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, the answer to that question, the inquiry is that the companion bill to Senate Bill 83 which I was the House Sponsor."

Speaker Blair: "...Do we...do we have knowledge whether or not that act is...passed both Chambers? It has passed both the House and the Senate. But action has not been taken by the Governor. Well, uh, as much as I, uh, would like to rule otherwise, and I mean that sincerely, so that, uh, because as the gentleman points out the Chair does have as do a number of people on the floor an interest in this subject, uh, it just, it just really the matter has never been through the committee process in the House, uh, I quite frankly don't see how it...it's confined to the subject of the act, the...the act is for the purpose of an appropriation to an act in relation to the state bikeway...program and to promote bicycle safety not to promote anything to do with the CTA as the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams, suggested. Uh, the proposal is simply a loan situation, uh, to the Chicago Transit Authority so on the basis of the



uh, the 37A, or 37, Rule 37; the Chair would have to rule that the gentleman's point of order from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, is well taken and that Amendment #3 is not confined to the subject of Senate Bill 1167. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wouldn't ask to overrule the ruling of the Chair but I want to tell you that I think it's a damn shame to try to beat a bill to death or an amendment to death and I don't know since I've been down here the Majority Leader wants to kill transportation in the worst way I've ever seen. He tabled bills, he tabled bills in Committee one night 'cause he couldn't get his own way and he's done everything he can to kill Transportation. I see in today's paper that the West... (unintelligible) Bus going out of business. Bill, I don't understand it and I don't mean to be personal but I just don't understand it. I frankly don't and if I'm personal, I'm sorry, but I don't know what we're going to do when that system collapses up there. I think we all worked, worked hard in good faith trying to find a solution. At the time the original subsidy went through I asked if we couldn't have the money until January 1 when there was time. I've never seen so many men and women so dedicated to finding a solution to a problem as I did the RTA. Everybody worked hard and tried to get a solution. We thought we had one and it didn't work out. I'll try again on this Bill and try anything I can to solve the problem. I'm just sorry it had to end this way."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, uh, my title was used in debate and I therefore feel that I should respond. First of all let me say that I take back what I said a few minutes ago about the gentleman being my very good friend. He isn't any more. Secondly, he knows very well that what he is saying is absolutely not true. It is, he, who has been the obstructionist and I don't blame him. I blame the fellow that directs him, that is the fellow who has...who has stopped mass transportation and there has also been..."

Speaker Blair: "All right. One moment, please. Wait a minute. The



rule 37, the Chair would have to rule that of order from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, is amendment #3 is not confined to the subject of gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shen."

I wouldn't ask to overrule the ruling of the all you that I think it's a damn shame to try with or an amendment to death and I don't know here the Majority Leader wants to kill transportation that way I've ever seen. He tabled bills, he lettee one night 'cause he couldn't get his own anything he can to kill Transportation. I see the West... (unintelligible) Bus going out of don't understand it and I don't mean to be personally, I don't understand it. I frankly don't and if I'm that but I don't know what we're going to do when in up there. I think we all worked, worked hard to find a solution. At the time the original January I asked if we couldn't have the money until was fine. I've never seen so many men and RTA. to finding a solution to a problem as I did the we had to get it done here and there to get a solution. We thought and try to get it done. I'll try again on this Bill had to solve the problem. I'm just sorry it

"Yes, Mr. Speaker, from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

title was... of the House, uh, my first of... therefore feel that I should respond. ago... back what I said a few minutes more. ... good friend. He isn't any absolutely... that what he is saying is ab- I don't... been the obstructionist and the fellow... that directs him, that is has also... mass transportation and there

Blair: ... please. Wait a minute. The

JUL 2 1973

153.

gentleman from, uh, uh, Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. Well...well... wait a minute, Mr. Lechowicz is raising a point of order and I was... (unintelligible) let it be heard."

Lechowicz: "I would hope that the Majority Leader would confine his remarks to the Amendment and try and show...and I...I don't appreciate the fact as far as bringing into this debate probably one of the greatest people who served this General Assembly and is doing a tremendous job for the people of the city of...Chicago. And when anytime anybody's going to say something derogatory about him or anybody that works with him for so many people, I'm going to have to take a personal exception to that. And I would hope that the Majority Leader would show a little more courtesy to a Member on this floor and to another public official."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I don't think Mr. Walsh was finished with his remarks."

Walsh: "I didn't finish, Mr. Speaker, but I'm not going to belabor the point but I think it's significant though that the gentleman who just spoke had no difficulty identifying the person of...about whom I spoke. I would like to just simply reiterate, and this...this whole Session has been devoted to a large extent to the question of mass transportation. I have been with the Speaker and on the deliberation, I assure you, I assure you, that the scuttling of mass transportation came from the Cook County Democratic Central Committeemen and the Governor of this State, that's where it came from and the gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, knows it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Bill, I don't think you're right and let me tell you..."

Speaker Blair: "All right, wait, wait, just a moment, Mr. Gibbs, he's got a point of order."

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, I have a point of order here, do we have to sit here and listen to these two gentlemen going back and forth, can't they go back in the other room and write a press release? I think they're completely out of order. If we want to do this I've got my dog who came down with my lovely wife, I'd like to introduce him too,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



if we're...engage in these silly...discussions back and forth.

There's nothing at all to do, you've already ruled."

Speaker Blair: "All right, I...Mr. Gibbs we have Amendment #4 if you will withdraw that..."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment 4..."

Speaker Blair: "...And you'll withdraw that, okay, now we'll move the Bill back to Second...back to Third Reading, Mr. Williams, so it can be considered before we adjourn. Back...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams. Move back to Third? All right, we're back on Third Reading, now gentlemen go ahead and, uh,..."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "...Uh...explain the Bill."

Williams: "I...the appropriations to the Department of Transportation uh, for the, uh, Bill that has already passed the House and Senate, Senate Bill...83. It's an appropriation of three million...I don't have it before me #3,800,000 and it looks like the only thing we're going to have is the bicycle so I'd appreciate a favorable roll call."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Discussion. All...all those in favor of the, uh, uh, this is on what Third Reading, Fred..."

Clerk Selcke: "Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we're on Third Reading. The question is shall Senate Bill 1167 pass. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wished? It draws a hundred... it takes a 107 votes for bicycles. All those...Mr. Gibbs."

Gibbs: "State the amount, what is the amount of the appropriation, I didn't see it..."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The amount, Mr. Clerk, three million... three million seven hundred thousand, Mr...Senator Vadalabene advises. He's the, uh, it's his Bill. Tell, yeah."

Clerk Selcke: "Appropriates \$3,704,655."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of parliamentary inquiry, please?"

Speaker Blair: "Sure."



Juckett: "Ah, if the Governor of this state were to amend this Bill, to put the \$40,000,000 into it and do what the Assistant Minority Leader would like to do, it's my understanding then that this would be resubmitted to us in our fall session, is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, I don't...I don't think the Governor can amend it up. Ah, he...well..."

Juckett: "Through the amendatory veto process."

Speaker Blair: "I don't see how he can by amendatory veto increase..."

Juckett: "Well, let's suppose that his legislative aides and his... palace guard,, were to advise him to do so and he did so, what it would it take for that Bill to become law as far as action in the Legislature, would they have to get 89 votes?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, I, uh, I have not had that point put before and the matter is not before the Chair right now, so...I will rule on it if and when that becomes the case. The gentleman has raised an interesting point however. All right, take the roll. On this question there are...there is 109 ayes, 12...15 nays and, uh, this Bill having received the vote required by the constitution is hereby declared passed. Okay, Sam. Have it this summer. Conference Committee Reports, now back on Appropriations."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1159. Conference Committee Report. Lechowicz."

Speaker Blair: "All right, what number is this now? 1159."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1159 is the annual appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. I concur with the Second Conference Committee Report. What they did, is they gave us \$140,000 for EA employees, \$650,000 for day care and \$364,000 for child...child abuse program. The House Amendment asks for \$282,000 for WA employees, \$364,000 for, uh, child abuse programs and a \$1.3 for day care. I would hope that the House would adopt...Mr. Speaker, could we have a little order, please? I would move that the House adopt Conference Committee Report #2 to the Department of Children and Family Services, Senate Bill 1159."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there discussion? Gentleman from Cook,



Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Do I understand that the Senate is receding or, excuse me, is concurring with our Amendment?"

Lechowicz: "Gene, what they did and I've tried to explain it, is they cut the EA employees in half for a total figure of \$140,000. They agree with the Child Abuse Program at \$364,000, they cut the Day Care Program in half to \$650,000."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Ah, any further discussion? All right, the question is shall the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1159 be adopted. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 ayes, no nays and this Bill having received the vote required, this...this Conference Committee...this Conference Committee Report having received the vote required by the constitution is hereby, ah, adopted. Message from...Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report, House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 284 and the Senate request a second conference committee. Action taken by the Senate, July 2nd 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "280...what? Okay."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah, Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 284. Second Conference Committee. Barry. Barry."

Speaker Blair: "Gentlemen from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Adopt the Second Conference Committee Report, I so move."

Speaker Blair: "Now. Okay. Uh, discussion. Move to adopt Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 284. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? This takes a 107 votes. Clerk will take the record. ...Yeah, I think we better..."

Clerk Selcke: "Redo that."

Speaker Blair: "Better, I think the machine wasn't working. Yeah. Yeah. All right, the question is shall the House adopt the Conference Com-



mittee Report with respect to Senate Bill 284. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. All right. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 120 ayes, no nays and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report, the required constitutional vote with respect to Senate Bill 284. Conference Committee Report."

Clerk Selcke: "Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 370. Giglio."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Members of the House, I move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 370."

Speaker Blair: "All right. The question, uh, discussion...the question's on the adoption Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 370. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wish? Ah...okay, take it. All right, on this question there are 127 ayes, no nays and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report by the required constitutional vote with respect to Senate Bill 370. Now we think that...that we're just, uh, uh, making a call to the Senate to make sure and...ah, some,... Resolutions?"

Clerk Selcke: "General Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "Uh, General Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "Agreed? All right."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 510. Bradley, et al. House Resolution 522. Choate, et al. House Resolution 523. Duff. Agreed Resolutions, George. All right. "

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, he moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say aye, opposed no. The ayes have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah, General Resolution, House Resolution 590. Fleck, et al."

Speaker Blair: "Did you want to do something with that, Charles?"

Fleck: "Ah, this is my extrication from the political box resolution that I referred to earlier and I wanted to get it off the Speaker's



table and adopt it at this late hour if I may."

Speaker Blair: "Well let me look at the Resolution, Charles."

Fleck: "I already...talked to your staff and your side of the aisle and they thought it was a good idea."

Speaker Shea: "I'm informed the Senate isn't quite ready to call it quits. There's one more Bill floating around and it has something to do with a...uh, forest preserve someplace. Did you want to make a motion with regard to that?"

Fleck: "Ah, yes, I'd like to make a motion to suspend the rules have it brought to the House for immediate adoption."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Skinner, I think is going to object."

Fleck: "I'll kill 'em."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, as you well know I have a similar resolution floating around that I would also like to have the rules waived for immediate consideration, it, uh..."

Speaker Shea: "Are we making this a joint Fleck-Skinner effort, now, uh, with regards to two resolutions?"

Skinner: "This was Resolution, House Resolution 435."

Speaker Shea: "You want 435 and Charlies wants to hear 490 right away."

Fleck: "Ah...ah, Mr. Speaker,...I,...Mr. Totten says maybe that's not a good idea because it's a Skin-Fleck then."

Speaker Shea: "Charlie, it's gettin' late. Well, do you think you've got a 107 votes? ...On a verified roll call. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck, moves to suspend the rules for immediate consideration of House Resolution 490 and...and 435. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Do you want...you want me to open the switches, Charlie? The gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew, do you want to explain your vote?"

McGrew: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, quite honestly I have to vote no because as I look at the sponsorship I don't know how we could vote for a joint skin-flick resolution."

Speaker Shea: "Have all those voted who wish? Take...take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question both resolutions received more than a 107 votes so they can be considered immediately. We started out



Mr. Fleck and his motion, er, his resolution. Do you want to explain it, Charles?"

Fleck: "Well I really explained it this morning and all this is simply I was the chief sponsor of that bi-lingual bill and I got Greeks down in my district and they think it's a bad idea, at least the parents do, and this resolution just points the fact out to the Superintendent of Public Instruction that all those fine Greek Republicans up there disagree with me and they want their feelings felt."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution 490. All those in favor say aye, all those opposed say no. On 435 in the meantime while we're tabulating that vote, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, while a few tears are being shed on the departure from this grand old House, I would like to share with you a final poem by my good friend, Jim Metcalf on transportation. It's drive safely home. A minute may be valuable in getting something done, but not to cut down driving time on business or for fun. There is no place that we must reach, so quickly in our car, that one more minute will not serve to get us just as far. Our lives are more important than keeping of a date; we may never get there if our hurry is too great. So let us be more careful on the highway and street; and let us use the common sense to guide our hands and feet. Let us be sober and alert and patiently obey the traffic laws that govern speed and rule the right of way."

Speaker Shea: "Well, after that fine poem and listening to the votes, the Chair declares that House Resolution 435 was adopted...490 was adopted. Now we're on 435 and we'll see if we get the same kind of favorable roll call. The gentleman from...McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain. Cal, I think if you let ^{your} wife do it it'd probably be easier she'd get more votes."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 435 praises my local radio station to the skies and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Shea: "All those in favor say aye, all those opposed say nay. The ayes have it. The Resolution is adopted. The gentleman from Cook,



Mr. Williams, wants to reconsider some votes and now that we've got a different Speaker we might get a different ruling on germaneness "

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to get back to Senate Bill 1167."

Speaker Shea: "We...we might be able to accommodate you now. Death Resolution."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 494. Leinenweber, et al. In respect of the memory of Frank Leahy. Ah, House Resolution 500. Fleck. Respect the memory of Raymond Freeman. House Resolution 507, Lechowicz. In respect to the memory of Chris Theodosakis. Well, I'm a Greek. House Resolution 508. Tuerk. In respect to the memory of...Dr. Donald L. Burhans. Ah, House Resolution 524. Collins, et al. In respect to the memory of Mr. Elmer Layden."

Speaker Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt these four resolutions.

All in favor say aye. All opposed say nay. And the ayes have it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hudson, what purpose you arise, sir?"

Hudson: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege, hear an inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Hudson: "Some few days ago there was a mentioned made of these desks here, some of us might want to take them home or something. Do we have any information relevant to that subject at this point that might be helpful?"

Speaker Telcser: "Fred, could you answer...let the Clerk...will answer that question for you, Ray."

Clerk Selcke: "Well, the first thing is that the Space Needs Commission said to be sure to unlock your desks. Be sure that the desks are unlocked so they don't have to pry the drawers open. Uh, the next is that you'll have to contact the Space Needs Commission, Mr. Paul 'Buick' who is the executive secretary and he will make the necessary arrangements. All the desks are going to be taken out and stored and you'll be able to get your desk. Uh, you should place your name on your desk in such a manner that it can not be removed. Now don't put a nameplate on it or anything like that you better put some heavy tape on it. Yeah, well, that's all right. And then, the uh desks are to cost \$25 a piece, if you want 'em stored it'll cost \$6 additional. Uh, the desks will not be available to be picked



up today, I think it's July the 13th and they're...they're to be available to be picked up. The chairs are a different matter. Put your name on the chair, also the inventory number and keep a record of the inventory number of your chair. You can find it someplace on the chair and, uh, after the necessary arrangements are made with the successful bidder for the new furniture, the...we hope that arrangements can be made for you to get your chairs at a reasonable figure."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you very much, most helpful. Ah, Representative Tom Miller, for what purpose you rise, sir?"

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Clerk, is there any arrangements for the State of Illinois to do any of the shipping of the desk or the chair?"

Clerk Selcke: "I doubt that. I don't know a thing about that yet, check with General Services on that. I, frankly, I don't think so."

Miller: "Thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "The microphones are state property and are on inventory so you can not take them, uh, we are going to take the microphones off the desks and keep them on our inventory and hopefully we can work out some kind of deal where you can get it. The routine, the regular routine would be to declare them excess, turn them over to General Services who would try to dispose of them to some other state institution, they wouldn't take them, they'd declare them surplus and you'd have to buy them at an auction sale. But if we can avoid that so you can get your microphones we'll try it but we can't promise."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, on the Clerk's desk is a Bill that the Clerk tells me will be marked House Bill 2011. I'm wondering if you would go back to introductions and let it be introduced, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Uh, well until we try and firm up this matter with, uh, 1148, Jerry, then... What?"

Shea: "All I want to do is introduce it, Art."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Well, to the question of Senate Bill 1148 why don't we take it out of the records for the time being and then go to the Intro-



duction to First Reading and come back..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I really hate to jeopardize the gentleman's Bill, he's shaking his hand no, now that gentleman has worked awful hard for six months to get that Bill passed; we're in the waning moments of the Session and I wouldn't have the audacity to jeopardize his Bill; and I know you wouldn't want me to do it. The gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone. Would the Members be in their seats and be quiet, all of you Members here. Sit down here. All the Members here in your chairs milling about, sit down now. All the Members. The floor is much too crowded, too crowded."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the vote by which Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148 be reconsidered. The vote by which we receded from Amendment #3 be..."

Speaker Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side, uh, by which Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148, uh, was receded to...receded from, the gentleman moves to recon... He only wants to recede from #3, is that right, Paul?"

Stone: "Yes, it's Amendment #3, yes."

Speaker Telcser: "You don't want to recede. Having voted on the prevailing side by which, uh, the House..."

Stone: "Receded."

Speaker Telcser: "...Receded from Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148 the gentleman moves to reconsider that vote."

Stone: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Wonder if the gentleman would, uh, explain the..."

Stone: "Yes. This is the...yes, this is the effective date amendment, uh, Mr. Walsh, and the Senate over there has been incorporating this in their proceedings but since we did not do it here we must send it back so that it can be adopted there on this particular Bill. It's merely the effective date amendment. Yes, when it leaves here we'll be finished. There will be no more conferences."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay? All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify



duction to First Reading and come back..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I really hate to jeopardize the gentleman's Bill, he's shaking his hand no, now that gentleman has worked awful hard for six months to get that Bill passed; we're in the waning moments of the Session and I wouldn't have the audacity to jeopardize his Bill; and I know you wouldn't want me to do it. The gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone. Would the Members be in their seats and be quiet, all of you Members here. Sit down. here. All the Members here in your chairs milling about, sit down now. All the Members. The floor is much too crowded, too crowded."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the vote by which Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148 be reconsidered. The vote by which we receded from Amendment #3 be..."

Speaker Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side, uh, by which Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148, uh, was receded to...receded from, the gentleman moves to recon... He only wants to recede from #3, is that right, Paul?"

Stone: "Yes, it's Amendment #3, yes."

Speaker Telcser: "You don't want to recede. Having voted on the prevailing side by which, uh, the House..."

Stone: "Receded."

Speaker Telcser: "...Receded from Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148 the gentleman moves to reconsider that vote."

Stone: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Wonder if the gentleman would, uh, explain the..."

Stone: "Yes. This is the...yes, this is the effective date amendment, uh, uh, Mr. Walsh, and the Senate over there has been incorporating this in their proceedings but since we did not do it here we must send it back so that it can be adopted there on this particular Bill. It's merely the effective date amendment. Yes, when it leaves here we'll be finished. There will be no more conferences."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay? All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify



by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Now would the Members please, uh, get on their switches... Okay. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question there are 137 ayes, 1 nay and the gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote by which the House receded from Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148 prevails. Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has moved the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The House refuses to recede from Amendment #3, House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148. No, it's not really final action because we refuse to recede from Amendment #3 then the Senate, Paul...they're going to concur. The Senate is now going to concur with House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1148 on the assumption that they will concur, that of course then we would be taking relative to that matter. Yes, we're at ease now. The Speaker has informed me he's waiting for one last call from the President of the Senate to be absolutely sure that we can adjourn. Is that right, Bill? So while we're under the impression we've finished our work and are waiting to adjourn, we would like to be absolutely sure that the Senate... and we can never be sure of the Senate so we'd better hang in for a few minutes. Representative Juckett, for what purpose you arise, sir?"

Juckett: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I notice that one of the assistant minority leaders is over, Representative Craig, and I was just wondering in the closing minutes if he would be willing to auction off the Speaker's podium?"

Speaker Blair: "That's a...that's a great idea. Bob, what do you say, kid? Let's go, baby. For those of you who are first year Members, I think you'll enjoy that.."

Craig: "Pass them auctioneer bills first. All right we'll sell..."

Speaker Telcser: "We...we...tried to help, Bob, uh, but you know..."

Craig: "Well, I will sell the podium. All right, I'm going to bid



four, I'm going to bid a hundred, down to five, down to ten, fifteen-
twenty, five and thirty, thirty, thirty, forty, forty, five, fifty,
fifty, five and now to seventy, eighty, ninety, now to two hundred,...
now to ten.....thirty, forty, fifty....sixty, seventy, eighty,
ninety, now to three and now to ten.....fifty, sixty, seventy,
eighty, ninety-four. Sold to Mr. Art Telcser."

Speaker Telcser: "Is C. L. around for his mosquito speech? He's gone, huh?
That's too... Representative Keller, for what purpose do you arise?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to know what funds we have set aside to
pay the commission on that last piece of auctioneering work. We
might have to amend another Bill here to...to...for an appropriation
to..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, if you'll bring amendments, the Clerk...bring
your amendment up to the Clerk's desk, Chuck, and we'll consider it.
Representative Hudson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if it'd be in order at this time for me
to request the distinguished songster Representative Tipswords and
the songster Representative Kriegsman to get together and lead us
in something, I don't know whatever they, uh, uh, would that be in
order, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Certainly. Sure, let's go."

Hudson: "I would suggest neutral ground, I'd think if these two gentlemen
would meet in the center aisle there and kind of split the difference
that'd be good deal. Get over there, John."

Speaker Telcser: "Turn on Representative, uh, uh, Ronnie Palmer. Here
we are."

Kriegsman, Tipsword, Geo-Karis: "Let's every...sing it. By Thy rivers
gently flowing, Illinois, Illinois. O'er thy prairies verdant growing,
Illinois, Illinois. ...An echo on the breeze, ruffling through the
leafy trees, and its mellow tones are...Illinois, Illinois. And it's
mellow tones are deepening, Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "The, uh...the lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis,
would like to read a poem."

Geo-Karis: "To the courtesy of Brother John Fary, is a beautiful poem
for the Fourth of July called the Glorious Fourth. Today is



Independence Day and all throughout the land, the Stars and Stripes are flying high and folks are feeling grand. It is the time to celebrate our glory in the sun from all the fights for human rights that we waged and won. We are entitled to be proud of every victory and our efforts in behalf of peace and liberty by land and sea and in the air against whatever blow our good and great United States have never ceased to grow. So let us now salute the flag and cheer the roaring guns and pray that God will always bless our fathers and our sons. Courtesy of...Representative John Fary. From the late James Metcalf. Thank you... Come on. ...You said...I think Art's child deserves a real good hand for being so patient, so charming, so sweet and so completely at ease no matter what. Artie, you deserve a good hand. And if you weren't married, I'd marry you myself because I'm sure you could afford to support me in the style to which I like to be accustomed."

Speaker Telcser: "Gee, with your law practice, I'm sure I could.

Representative Juckett, what purpose you arise, sir?"

Juckett: "That...some...in...in the phrases of our former colleague Representative Les Cunningham from Belevidere, Boone County, it sounds like someone is saying it's a business to do pleasure with you."

Speaker Telcser: "Introduction of First Reading of Bills."

Clerk Selcke: "H0use Bill 2011. Garmisa, et al. Create the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third...First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House adjourn until October 15th at 12 noon in the auditorium of the Centennial Building."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. All those in favor of the gentleman's motion say aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the House stands adjourned."



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

NINETIETH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JULY 2, 1973

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	
1.		Speaker Blair	House in Order	
		John Hughes	Invocation	
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate " " "	
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions	
	2.		Clerk Selcke	" "
			Speaker Blair	
			Skinner	HR-506
			Speaker Blair	
			Walsh, W.	Moves Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions adopted	
		Berman	Inquiry	
		Speaker Blair		
3.			Berman	
			Speaker Blair	Additional AR's are adopted Conference Com. Reports
		Juckett	Explains CCR to HB-495	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea		
		Speaker Blair	CCR to HB-459	
	4.		Fleck	With respect to HR-490
		Speaker Blair	HR-457, Speaker's Table	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HR-457	
		Kempiners	Wants to Amend HR-457	
		Clerk Selcke	Amend #1 to HR-457	
		Kempiners		
		Speaker Blair		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		McClain	Please explain
5.		Kempiners	Explains
		McClain	Asks him to TOOR
		Kempiners	Agrees to....
		Speaker Blair	Consent Calendar Third Reading, Third Day...
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 790
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of SB-790
		Speaker Blair	SB-790 passed Back to HR-457
		Kempiners	Explains HB-457
6.		Speaker Blair	Further discussion? HR-457 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	HR-450 (reads it)
		Speaker Blair	
		Caldwell	Moves adoption of HR-450
		Speaker Blair	HR-450 adopted
7.		Caldwell	To table HB-1945
		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	Inquiry...107 votes?
		Speaker Blair	Only 89! Senate Bills, Third Reading SB-553
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-553
		Giorgi	Back to Second for Amendment
8.		Speaker Blair	Taken back to Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2
		Giorgi	Moves Amendment #2



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #2 adopted Third Reading
		Giorgi	Urges adoption of SB-553
		Speaker Blair	
		Tuerk	Yield?
8.		Giorgi)	"Yes"...Discussion
9.		Speaker Blair)	
		Schraeder)	Yield
		Giorgi)	Discussion
		Schraeder	Speaker on SB-553
		Speaker Blair	Further discussion?
		Giorgi	Nothing subversive
10.		Speaker Blair	
		Tuerk	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Blair	
		Giorgi	Passed Senate unanimously
		Speaker Blair	SB-553 is adopted SB-801
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-801
		Speaker Blair	
		Chapman	Leave to bring it back to 2nd.
		Speaker Blair	Leave is granted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Chapman	Moves adoption of Amend. #1
11.		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Chapman	Moves adoption of Amendment #2
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #2 is adopted Third Reading
		Chapman	Explains SB-801
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	
		Chapman	
12.		Speaker Blair	
		Fleck	Voting 'present'.
		Speaker Blair	SB-801 is passed
13.		Schlickman	Inquiry of 'Spring Calendar'
		Speaker Blair	Reads Bills to go on the 'Spring Calendar'.
14.		Dunn)	
		Speaker Blair)	Discussion
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	Explains Spring Calendar further.
		Dunn	SB-416 inquiry.
		Speaker Blair	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Blair	
15.		Barry	Objection
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Bradley	Question of the Chair
16.		Speaker Blair	
		Katz	Appropriation and Revenue matters.
		Bradley	
		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	List again?
		Speaker Blair	Clerk's office will help you!
17.		Speaker Blair	CCR to SB-1158
		Jones	Moves adoption of CCR to SB-1158
		Speaker Blair	CCR to SB-1158 is adopted
		Lemke	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Blair	
		Dunne	Did not make the statement
		Speaker Blair	
		Yourell	Quit picking on newspapers
		Speaker Blair	
18.		Schlickman	Inquiry of Spring Calendar
		Speaker Blair	No Journal action taken
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Blair	Slight confusion!
		LaFleur	
19.		Speaker Blair	Supplemental Calendar
		Ebbesen	HB-933 placed on Spring Calendar
		Speaker Blair	
		Dunne	Parliamentary inquiry



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	30 day rule not applicable
		Giorgi	
20.		Speaker Blair)	
		Giorgi)	
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	Arrangement (deal) with Dunne.
		Speaker Blair	
		Dunne	No deal!
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	
21.		Speaker Blair	SB-592
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-592
		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew, S.	Moves adoption of SB-592.
		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Blair	SB-592 is adopted. SB-447
		Clrk Selcke	Reads SB-447
		Speaker Blair	
22.		Simms, W. T.	Take it back to Second
		Speaker Blair	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Amend #1
		Simms, W. T.	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 is adopted. Third Reading.
		Simms, W. T.	Explains SB-447, moves adoption



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	SB-447 is passed.
		Deuster	Inquiry
23.		Speaker Blair	Conf. Committee Reports SB-286
		Schneider	Moves adoption
		Speaker Blair	CCR to SB-286 is adopted. CCR to SB-296
		Jacobs	Moves adoption
		Speaker Blair	
24.		Fleck	What's it do?
		Speaker Blair	
		Jacobs)	
)	
		Fleck)	Discussion
		Speaker Blair	CCR to SB-296 is adopted. Speaker's Table.
		Clerk Selcke	Election Committee Reports Ninth District.
		Speaker Blair	
		Collins	Was this 27th District?
		Speaker Blair	Ninth District
		Collins	Urge adoption
		Speaker Blair	
25.		Rayson	Explains vote.
		Speaker Blair	
		Rayson	
		Speaker Blair	
		Collins	
		Speaker Blair	Ninth Dist. Election Com. Report adopted; also 27th.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Reads 27th Dist. Election Com. Report
		Speaker Blair	
		Collins	Moves adoption
		Speaker Blair	
		Beatty	
		Speaker Blair	
26.		Beatty	
		Speaker Blair	
		Beatty	
		Speaker Blair	27th Dist. Election Com. Report is adopted.
		Choate	Introducing Lt. Neil Hartigan.
		Speaker Blair	Order of Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	HR-522
		Speaker Blair	
		Collins	Moves to Suspend Rules to hear HR-522
27.		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	Correct #???; #517
		Speaker Blair	It's #522!
		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	Vote to suspend Rules correct # is 517 Rules are suspended
		Collins	Moves adoption of #517
		Speaker Blair	
		Lundy)	Yield?
)	Discussion .
28.		Collins)	
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Bradley)	
)	Yield?
		Collins)	Discussion
29.		Speaker Blair	HB-517 is adopted Senate Bills, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-38
		Speaker Blair	
		Stone	Leave to take SB-38 to Second
		Speaker Blair	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	Discussion
		Stone	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 adopted. Third Reading
		Stone	Explains SB-38, moves adoption
		Speaker Blair	
		Miller, Kenny	Yield?
		Stone	Yes
		Miller	Other Amendments?
		Stone	No
		Speaker Blair	
		Juckett	Yield?
		Stone	
30.		Juckett)	
)	Discussion
		Stone)	
31.		Speaker Blair	
		Stone	To close, moves adoption
		Speaker Blair	
		Fleck	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Stone	
		Speaker Blair	
		Lundy	Discriminatory!
32.		Speaker Blair	
		McPartlin	Supports Bill
		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	Supports Bill
33.		Speaker Blair	
		Stone	Request for a new Roll Call
		Speaker Blair	Take a new Roll Call
		McCourt	This is a rip-off!
34.		Speaker Blair	
		Clabaugh	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, R.	
34a.		Speaker Blair	SB-38 is passed
35.		Schlickman	Point of inquiry
		Speaker Blair	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Blair	
		Palmer	
		Speaker Blair	
36.		LaFleur	Refers to SB-1149
		Speaker Blair	
		Kennedy	Refers to SB-408



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Maragos)	Parliamentary inquiry
)	
37.		Speaker Blair)	Discussion
		Jaffe)	Parliamentary Inquiry
)	
		Speaker Blair)	Discussion
			First CCR to HB-798
		Schisler	Not to adopt it.
38.		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Not adopt?
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Urge 'no' vote to Motion
39.		Speaker Blair	Roll Call vote.
		Walsh, W.	Explaining 'no' vote.
		Speaker Blair	Motion prevails
		Ebbesen	Change from 'yes' to 'no'.
		Speaker Blair	Kempiners 'yes' to 'no'. SB-953
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-953
		Speaker Blair	
		Kempiners	Explains SB-953
		TELCSEK IN CHAIR.....	
40.		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.)	Question
)	
		Kempiners)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Yield?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
41.		Kempiners)	Discussion
)	
42.		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Voting 'present'.
43.		Speaker Telcser	SB-953 is passed SB-656
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-656
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	Leave to take back to Second.
		Speaker Telcser	Leave is granted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted. Third Reading
		Stone	Urges passage
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion?
		Friedland	Yield?
		Stone	Can't hear
		Friedland	
		Stone)	
)	
		Friedland)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	SB-656 passes SB-72
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-72
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Leave to bring it back to 2nd.
		Speaker Telcser	Leave is granted



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
45.		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted Third Reading
		Tuerk	Explains SB-72, urges adopt.
		Speaker Telcser	
46.		Shea)	
)	Discussion
47.		Tuerk)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Bad Bill!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Good Bill!
48.		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, B. B.	Yield
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, B. B.)	Digest right?
)	
49		Tuerk)	Discussion
		Wolfe, B. B.	Speaks on the Bill.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	To close.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Explains vote 'yes'.
50.		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Explains vote 'yes'.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Postponed consignment



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Put on Spring Calendar SB-699
		Clerk Selcke	Read SB-699
		Speaker Telcser	T. O. O. R.
		Stone	Explains SB-699
		Speaker Telcser	
51.		Skinner)) Stone)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	SB-699 is passed SB-357
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-357
		Speaker Telcser	
		Waddell	Explains SB-357
52.		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	
		Waddell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-357 is passed SB-300
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-300
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	Explains SB-300
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Yield?
53.		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schlickman	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	In support...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	"Supports"
54.		Speaker Telcser	
		Rerman	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walters	"Opposes"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.	"Supports"
		Speaker Telcser	
55.		Fleck	"Present"
		Speaker Telcser	SB-300 is passed Second; CCR to SB-3
		Catania	Explains Second CCR to SB-3 Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
56.		Catania	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Don't need the Bill!
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Catania	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Yield
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill)	Question
		Catania)	
		Speaker Telcser	
57.		Jaffe)	Point of information
		Catania)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	CCR to SB #3 is not adopted
		Pierce	Parliamentary Inquiry
58.		Speaker Telcser	SB-1186
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1186
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Explains SB-1186
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
59.		Lundy)	Discussion
60.		Shea)	
		Speaker Telcser	Further discussion?
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1186 is passed SB-400
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-400
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, Ron	Leave to bring back to 2nd?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.	Explains Amendment #1
61.		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
		Shea	Amends Park Dist. Code?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.	Explains to Shea
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted Third Reading
		Hoffman, R.	Explains SB-400
		Speaker Telcser	SB-400 is passed.
		Lechowicz	
62.		Speaker Telcser	SB-981
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-981
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Explains SB-981 Take back to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Moves for adoption of #2
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion
		Randolph	What's the date?
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
63.		McClain	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		McClain	Question
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Grotbert	
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #2 is adopted. Third Reading
		Shea	Moves passage of SB-981
64.		Speaker Telcser	
		Kempiners	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kempiners	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
65.		Katz)	
)	
		Shea)	Discussion; Move to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Is there an objection?
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Telcser	Take it out! Supplemental Calendar
66.		Lechowicz	Move to nonconcur with Con. Com. #1 to SB-1159
		Speaker Telcser	House does not concur with Con. Com #1 to SB-1159 Refuse to recede. SB-89
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-89, Third
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Explains SB-89
		Speaker Telcser	
67.		Schneider	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Collins)) Schneider)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	SB-89 passes SB-791
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-791
		Speaker Telcser	Leave back to Second.
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted Third Reading
		Hill	Explains SB-791
		Speaker Telcser	SB-791 passes SB-660
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-660
		Speaker Telcser	
68.		North	Explains SB-660
		Speaker Telcser	SB-660 passes SB-773
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-773
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Leave to take to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amend. #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #2 is adopted Third Reading
		Rayson	Explains SB-773



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
69.		Speaker Telcser	
		Kempiners	"Opposed"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	
		Rayson	
70.		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, B. B.	Yield?
		Rayson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	"Aye"
		Speaker Telcser	SB-733 is passed. SB-594
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-594
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Explains SB-594; back to 2nd.
71.		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #1 is adopted. Third Reading
		Gibbs	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Gibbs)	
)	Discussion
		Day)	
		Speaker Telcser	
72.		Giorgi)	
)	Discussion
		Day)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
73.		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Wishes to respond
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-594 passes SB-772
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-772
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Leave to take back to Second.
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
74.		Clerk O'Brien	Amend. #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Explains Amend. #1.
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #1 is adopted. Third Reading
		Rayson	Explains SB-772
		Speaker Telcser	SB-772.....
		Rayson	Drag out some more votes...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
75.		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	How am I recorded
		Speaker Telcser	New Roll Call
		Rayson	Explains vote.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Question
		Rayson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lemke	Unnecessary
76.		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Leave for postponed consider.
		Speaker Telcser	Spring Calendar...SB-772 SB-765
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-765
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Leave to take back to 2nd.
77.		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Explains Amend. #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #1 is adopted.
		Schlickman	Explains SB-765
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.)	
)	Discussion
		Schlickman)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Yield?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Schlickman	
78.		Fleck	Speaks against the Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	
)	
		Schlickman)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
79.		Mann	Message back to NIPC.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Vote 'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	To close
80.		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, B. B.	Explains 'aye' vote.
		Dunne, R. L.	Explains 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dyer	Explains 'yes' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Miller, T.	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
82.		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Mann	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Questions of Sponsor
		Schlickman	
83.		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Consideration postponed
		Speaker Telcser	Consideration postponed Spring Calendar Supplemental Calendar #1 Nonconcurrency Motions SB-701
		Flinn	Motion to refuse to recede
84.		Speaker Telcser	House refuses to recede SB-443
		Peters	CCR #2 to SB-443 Moves House recede from Am. #1 and #2; Senate concurs with Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	CCR #2 to SB-443 is adopted; SB-633
		Williams	Refuse to recede from Amendment #1
85.		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	House refuses to recede in reference to SB-633 SB-449
		Clabaugh	Moves House concur with cof. Com. #2.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: July 2, 1973

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
86.		Clabaugh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts Conf. Com. Rep. #2 to SB- 449 SB-478...
		Lauer	Moves House recede from Am. #1, #2, #3 ; do adopt CCR #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	What's being funded?
		Lauer	
87.		Shea)	
)	
		Lauer)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	House does adopt CCR #2 to SB-478
		Walters	Personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	State your point
		Walters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Him or the baby?
		Speaker Telcser	
88.		Shea	Refers to SB-787
		Speaker Telcser	CCR to SB-503
		Lechowicz	Moves to adopt CCR #2
		Speaker Telcser	House adopts CCR #2 CCR...SB-591.
		Duff	Refers to HJRCA #18



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Put on 'Spring Calendar' SB-152
		Leon	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	State your point
		Leon	Calling 'Spring Calendar Bills'.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	
90.		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-152
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Back to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Moves adoption of Amend. #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
91.		Walsh, R.)	Question
)	
		Washburn)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Good Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #1 adopted. Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Washburn	Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schneider	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	Yield
		Speaker Telcser	
92.		Schraeder)	Question
)	Discussion
		Washburn)	
		Speaker Telcser	Sb-152 is passed SB-917
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-917
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leon	
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR SB-1082
		Hoffman, R.	Leave to take SB-328; SB-329; SI-330 off Spring Calendar
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	Objection
93.		Hoffman, R.	Still asks leave
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Skinner's Bills?
		Hoffman, R.	
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Skinner	Spare time!
		Speaker Telcser	No spare time!
		Katz	Objection
94.		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Hoffman, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	Wasting time Messages from the Senate
95.		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Senate
96.		Speaker Telcser	SB-1082
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1082
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR.
		Speaker Telcser	SB-446
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-446
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D. L.	Explains SB-446
97.		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian)	Home Rule Amendment?
		Houlihan, D. L.)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
		Houlihan, D.	
98.		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.	Reasonable Bill!
		Speaker Telcser	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Gibbs	
		Houlihan, D.	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-446 is passed SB-1025
99.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1025
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1145
		Speaker Telcser	
		Merlo	Explains SB-1145
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1145 is passed SB-519
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-519
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Leave to take to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Amend. #1
		Speaker Telcser	
100.		Day	Moves adoption of Amend. #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amend. #1 adopted
		Berman	What does the Amend. do?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Day	Explains SB-519
		Speaker Telcser	SB-519 is passed SB-1025
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1025



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
101.		Speaker Telcser	
		Kozubowski	Explains SB-1025
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	Yield
		Speaker Telcser	
102.		Cunningham)	
)	Discussion
		Kozubowski)	
		Cunningham	Speaks on the Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
103.		Rayson	Yield
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson)	
)	Discussion
		Kozubowski)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
104.		Wolfe, J. J.)	
)	Discussion
		Kozubowski)	
		Wolfe, J. J.	Speaks on the Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kozubowski	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Catania	Bill is not needed!



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
105.		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kriegsman	Pork barrel Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
106.		Speaker Telcser	No pictures!
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	'In Support'
		Speaker Telcser	SB--1025
		Borchers	Machine is loose; verification of the Roll.....
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kozubowski	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	Clerk; poll the absentees
107.		Clerk Selcke	Polls the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	Withdraw request!
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1025 is passed
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1006
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1006
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Explains SB-1006
108.		Speaker Telcser	SB-1006 is passed. SB-68
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-68



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bradley	Explains SB-68
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman	People taking pictures!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bradley	Urges adoption of SB-68
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lemke	Pictures!
		Speaker Telcser	
109		Bradley	Urges adoption again!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck)	
)	
		Bradley)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	SB-68 is passed
		Bradley	like to get 107 votes
110.		Speaker Telcser	Another Roll Call SB-68 is passed
		Choate	Introduction in Gallery
		Speaker Telcser	SB-157
		Kriegsman	Thank the 'Chicago Tribune'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walters	Chicago Tribune also! Take diapers to wife?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
111		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Pierce	"Chicago Tribune" also!
		Speaker Telcser	SB-157
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-157
		Speaker Telcser	
		Simms	Explains SB-157
		Speaker Telcser	SB-157 is passed HR-472
		Schisler	Explains HR-472
		Speaker Telcser	HR-472 is passed SB-930
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-930
		Speaker Telcser	
112		Craig	Table Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted to take back to Second.
		Clerk Melcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Craig	Turn it over to Campbell
113		Speaker Telcser	
		Campbell	Urges adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kennedy	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kennedy	Stop monkeying around



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: July 2, 1973

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Campbell	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-930 is passed
		Walsh, R.)	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser)	Discussion
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.)	#2 Conf. Com?
115.		Lechowicz)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	SB-450
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-450
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Explains SB-450
		Speaker Telcser	SB-450 is passed SJR #29
		Springer	Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	SJR #29 is adopted.
		Speaker Telcser	Conf. Com. HB-798 #2 report
		Fulton	Moves to concur
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
116.		Schisler	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.)	
		Schisler)	Discussion
117.		Speaker Telcser	Let's check with Majority Leader.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
117		Speaker Telcser	CCR-#2 to HB-798 does concur CCR to HB-633 TOOR Back in the record CCR to HB-633....
		Williams	TOOR.....Haven't seen it!
		Speaker Telcser	CCR-to HB-633 TOOR CCR to SB-830
		McGrew	Refers to 1045...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Moves to concur
		Speaker Telcser	CCR to SB-830 is adopted CCR to HB-1045
		McGrew	Moves to concur
		Speaker Telcser	CCR to HB-1045 is adopted
118			CCR to SB-633
		Williams	Moves to concur
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	please explain
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	Explains
119		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
		Williams	
		Speaker Telcser	CCR to SB-633 is adopted Supplemental Calendar CCR- SB-494
		Lauer	Not printed
		Speaker Telcser	Go ahead!
		Walsh, R.	
		Lauer	Moves adoption



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
120.		Fleck	Don't understand????
		Speaker Telcser	Wrong report Two 2nd Conf. Com. Rep.
		Fleck)	What's going on???
		Speaker Telcser)	Discussion; TOOR back again
121		Lauer	Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	CCR #2 to SB-494 is adopted CCR #2 SB-591
		Peters	Moves to concur
122		Speaker Telcser	CCR #2 to SB-591 is adopted.
		Speaker Telcser	House will be at ease
		Clerk Selcke	HR-518
		SHEA IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Shea	
		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption
123.		Speaker Shea	HR-518 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	HR-519
		Speaker Shea	
		Walsh, W.	Read Resolution
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HR-519
		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption
		Speaker Shea	
		Maragos)	
		Walsh, W.)	Discussion
		Speaker Shea	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		McGrew	
124.		Speaker Shea	
		Barry	Waiting for a motion
		Speaker Shea	That's HR-519
		Barry	Speaks in opposition
		Walsh, W.	Don't understand
		Barry	Opposes
125.		Speaker Shea	
		Dunne	
		Speaker Shea	
		McMaster	
126.		Speaker Shea)	
)	
		Bradley)	Discussion
		Speaker Shea	
127.		Schraeder	
		Speaker Shea	
		Maragos	Wants to amend ER-519
		Speaker Shea	
		Walsh, W.	Amendment should be in Writing...Out of Order...
128.		Speaker Shea	
		Deuster	Moves previous question
		Speaker Shea	Please withdraw
		Deuster	"Okay"
		Speaker Shea	
		Barry	Not going to persist!
		Speaker Shea	HR-519 is adopted Conference Committees.....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	CCR to SB-370
		Speaker Shea	
		McGrew	
		Speaker Shea	
		Giglio	On SB-370
129		Speaker Shea	
		Barry	
		Speaker Shea	Giglio refuses to recede from CCR #1
		Walsh, R.	Please explain.
		Speaker Shea	
		Barry	
		Walsh, R.	
		Barry	Yields to Lechowicz
		Speaker Shea	
		Lechowicz	Explains Amend. for Barry
		Speaker Shea	
		Lechowicz	We want Second Conference
130.		Speaker Shea	
		Barry	Withdraw Motion!
		Speaker Shea	CCR #1 ????? SB-370 ...TOOR SB-499; SB-690; SB-1133 on Agreed Bill list
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-499, 690 and 1133
		Speaker Shea	
131.		McMaster	
		Speaker Shea	Agreed list Bills are passed...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		BLAIR IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bills, Third Reading SB-1167
		Beaupre	No copies
		Speaker Blair	TOOR....
		Beaupre	Got the copy now...
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1167, Third...
		Speaker Blair	
		Williams	
132.		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Wrong Bill?
		Williams	Leave to take it to Second?
		Speaker Blair	Objections heard Vote on it! Leave granted...
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Table Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Blair	
		Ebbesen	Table Amendment #2
133.		Speaker Blair	Amendment #2 is tabled...
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #3
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Not germane
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Choate	Premature objection
		Speaker Blair	
		Gibbs	Point of order... Don't have copies
		Speaker Blair	TOOR FOR NOW!
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Senate
134.		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of... Adjournment Resolution
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	
		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Blair	Adjournment Resolutions is adopted!... CCR to SB-701
135.		Shea	Please hold adop. Resolu.
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Please hold?
		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	Moves to concur with CCR #2 to SB-701
		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Blair	Technical program on SB-701



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
136.		Lechowicz	Motion to reconsider
		Speaker Blair	Motion passed
		Lechowicz	Moves to table
		Speaker Blair	Motion....do not concur with CCR #1 to SB-701 Motion prevails
		Lechowicz	Moves to adopt 'Substitute' CCR #1 to SB-701
137		Speaker Blair	'Substitute'. CCR #1 is adopted. CCR #2 to SB-697
		Lechowicz	Moves to concur
		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to SB-697 is adopted.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CCR #2 to SB-698
138.		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	Moves to concur with CCR #2 to SB-698.
		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to SB-698 is adopted. CCR #2 to SB-1175
		Schneider	Moves to concur
139.		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	Speaks on CCR to SB-1175
		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to SB-1175 is adopted
		TELCSEK IN CHAIR	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Introduction in Gallery.
		Speaker Telcser	
140		Juckett	Motion to HB-458
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Moves to reconsider the vote Senate Amendment #1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Explain
		Juckett)	
)	Discussion
		Shea)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Point of inquiry; Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
141		Juckett	Moves to concur with SA #1 to HB-458
		Speaker Telcser	Motion is adopted Messages from the Senate
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages
142.		Speaker Telcser)	
)	Discussion
		Stone)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Miller, K.	Introduction in gallery
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kriegsman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Yield to Stone
		Speaker Telcser	
143.		Stone	Recede from the Amendments
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	Amends #1 and #3 SB-1148.
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Berman	Explains Senate Action
		Speaker Telcser	House recedes from House Am. #1 and #3 to Senate Bill 1148
		BLAIR IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Blair	Back to SB-1167
144.		Shea	Wants to add Section II
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Germaness?
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Is germane!
145.		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Waiting to be recognized!
		Speaker Blair	Too much of a change
146.		Shea	Hasn't changed anything!
147.		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Still not germane!
148.		Speaker Blair	
		Williams	Believes it's germane
149.		Speaker Blair	
	5:05	Walters	Let's go home
		Speaker Blair	
		McCourt	
		Speaker Blair	
		Gibbs	Germaness not debatable
		Speaker Blair	
		McCourt	
150.		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Katz	Transportation related
		Speaker Blair	
		Hill	Breaking the Rules
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
151.		Speaker Blair	
		Deuster	Companion Bill?
		Speaker Blair	Amend #3 to SB-1167 is ruled 'not germane'.....
152.		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Blair	
153.		Lechowicz	Confine remarks!
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Gibbs	Point of order
154.		Speaker Blair	Amend. #4 withdraw..
		Williams	back on Third Explains SB-1167
		Speaker Blair	
		Gibbs	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speakr Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Juckett	Point of Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Blair	
155.		Juckett)	
)	Discussion
		Speaker Blair)	
		Speaker Blair	SB-1167 is passed CCR...
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1159....CCR
		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	Moves to concur with CCR #2
		Speaker Blair	
156.		Schlickman	Question
		Lechowicz	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Blair	Further discussion? CCR #2 to SB-1159 is adopted..
		Clerk Selcke	Message from the Senate CCR #2 to SB-284
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	Moves to concur
		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to SB-284 is adopted
157.		Clerk Selcke	CCR #2 to SB-370
		Speaker Blair	
		Giglio	Moves to concur...adopt..
		Speaker Blair	CCR #2 to SB-370 is adopted.. Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Resolutions...
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption
		Speaker Blair	Resolutions are adopted



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	Speaker's Table
		Fleck	
		Speaker Blair	
		Fleck	HR-490
		SHEA IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Shea	Senate's not ready...
158.		Fleck	Suspend rules
		Speaker Shea	
		Skinner	HR-435
		Speaker Shea	
		Fleck	Skin-fleck.....
		Speaker Shea	Motion to suspend rules to hear HR-490 & HR-435...
		McGrew	Votes 'no'...
		Speaker Shea	Motion is adopted
159.		Fleck	Explains HR-490
		Speaker Shea	
		Fary	Reads Poem
		Speaker Shea	HR-490 is adopted...
		Skinner	Explains HR-435...
		Speaker Shea	HR-435 is adopted
160		Williams	"SB-1167"?????
		Speaker Shea	Death Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Death Resolutions
		Speaker Shea	Death Resolutions adopted..
		TELCSEK IN CHAIR	
		Hudson	Point of information



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	Desks???
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Explains about desks
		Hudson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Miller, T.	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	HB-2011
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Just introduce it...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
162.		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	Moves to have Amend. #3 to SB-1148 be reconsidered...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Please explain
		Stone	Effective date Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	Amend #3 will be reconsidered
163.		Stone	Moves to refuse to recede from Amendment #3.
		Speaker Telcser	House refuses to recede House is at ease.....
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Sells the podium!!!!



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
164.		Speaker Telcser	
		Keller	
		Speaker Telcsér	
		Hudson	Wants a song!!!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	Meet in the center aisle...
		Kriegsman)	
)	
		Tipsword)	"Illinois Song"
)	
		Geo-Karis)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	"July 4th Poem"
165.		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Telcser deserves a hand...!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	Introduction, First Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Introduction, First Reading.
		BLAIR IN CHAIR...	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Moves to adjourn
		Speaker Blair	House stands adjourned...

