

Shea: "The House will come to order. The Members will be in their seats, and the invocation this morning will be by Representative Davis."

Davis: "As we come to this final day and it is the Lords day. May the Holy Spirit lead me whether shall we go to thy presence Lord or whether we shall flee from thee. If we descend up into Heaven, though thou art there and if we make our bed in hell, behold thou art there. Lord if we take the wing to the boarding and fly it to the uttermost parts of the sea even there shall thy right hand lead us. Not because we are worthy, but you've declared unto Mankind that if we would confess our faults you would forgive us of our faults. Hear us now. Heal our land. You've commanded us to pray for those in authority, and we pray for the President of this Nation the head of our Nation. For we're bound against principalities. We're bound against ungodly Nation's, and the flight is not against flesh and blood in our own Nation. Hear us now, and keep us so that we can hand this Nation down as the fathers handed it down to us to our children and our children and the generations of them that are to become so that they may know this land of freedom as we know it. Who shall be worthy to abide in your Holy Tabernacle because you are Holy. He that walketh it rightly, he that work righteousness and above all he that speaketh the truth in his heart. Help us as we go forth from this place to walk up rightly. Help us even to work righteousness, and above all help us to speak the truth in our heart. Bless every one of those who are present here this morning who has received the high gift of the people of this state. Lord keep them. Let them walk with the upright step of men and of women as we go forth from this place we pray your blessings upon our Governor and upon his problems. Help him to make his decisions for that man in authority is best who pleases Almighty God best, and now may the words of my mouth and the meditations of my heart be acceptable in thy sight O Lord my strength and my redeemer. Amen."



Shea: "Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment number 1 and 2 represented to a Bill the following title. Senate Bill 370 action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Edward Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to consider the differences between the two in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3. I'm further instructed to inform the House, Committee on Committees of the Senate will appoint five Members such Committee action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives passed the Bill the following title, House Bill 1912 together with the following Amendment. The adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House passed by the Senate June 30, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm instructed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded in the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee considered by the two Houses in regard to the House Amendment to Senate Bill 83...ah...action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Amendment offered by the Governor to a Bill the following title. House Bill 1125...ah...action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of an Amendment offered by the Governor to a Bill the following title. House Bill 726 action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further



messages."

Shea: "Alright. Now for the purposes of two announcements. The first one if you have Bills that are on Concurrence, and you wish to nonconcur so that they can either set up Conference Committees or recede in the Senate would you please inform the Chair, and number two, if you are on Conference Committees would you make sure that there is an effective date...an effective date added to the Bills because unless that's done, and the Bills are passed by a three fifths votes those Bills will not become law before July 1st of next year and you may want to check the Concurrence to see if those Bills might not have to go to Conference Committees to have an effective date clause added. The...the gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I rise on a point of inquiry Mr. Speaker. I haven't the slightest idea how long we are going to be here today, but it is going to be practically impossible to even get a cup of coffee because the restaurant is closed. The coke machines are out. The candy machines are out and I'd appreciate very much if someone would sit down with someone in authority to see at least that we have coffee when we need it."

Shea: "House Bill 820...er...Senate Bill 820. The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "That's House Bill 820."

Shea: "House Bill 820."

Londrigan: "These two Amendments were put on improperly by the Senate. What they do is get rid of all of the regulations on bicycle in favor of unknown Federal standards. That wasn't the intention so we must go back and redo it properly. I therefore ask that...ah...we refuse the Amendment so that we can go to a Conference Committee."

Shea: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan moves to nonconcur in the House...in the Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 820. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 820. The Chair recognizes



the gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Chairman for five years I've sat close by Mr....ah
...Representative Granada, and at this time I've seen him for
five years make a motion, and I would like to make the same
motion. He's not here. I would like to move that all House
Bills on Third Reading be tabled."

Shea: "You're out of order Mr. Borchers. On the order of Concur-
rences there appears House Bill 312 and the gentleman from
McHenry, Mr. Hanahan is recognized."

Hanahan: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 312
increases in the Minimum Wage Law that was adopted by the...
ah...House of Representatives sent to the Senate and they add-
ed a one sentence line that the increases cannot exceed the
Federal Minimum Wage Law and I move for the concurrence with
the Senate Amendment to House Bill 312."

Shea: "Alright. Ladies and Gentlemen this is final action. The
gentleman moves for concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to
House Bill 312. This will take..... Tommy what are we on
effective dates on this Bill now?"

Hanahan: "Next January 1st, but I'd just as soon have the one
hundred and seven votes for sure. It's takes effect next
January 1st."

Shea: "Alright, you're going to need three fifths no matter what
to get it effective so..... The gentleman moves for con-
currence on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 312. All those
in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'.
I...I...I've got a question. Mr. Hanahan by what vote...by
what vote did this Bill pass the Senate? Do you know?"

Hanahan: "I believe we got thirty six votes, but it was just by
a majority, but...ah...it did have forty votes excuse me.
Forty votes passage...ah...in the Senate."

Shea: "This Bill did pass the Senate by forty votes."

Hanahan: "Right."

Shea: "Alright, with regards to the House action. Have all voted
who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. The House does concur
in Senate Amendment #1 by a three fifths vote to Senate Amend-



ment #1 to House Bill 312. House Bill 313, and the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 313 is the Act regulating the fringe benefit requirement that passed the House of Representatives earlier in this Session. The Senate amended it with the compliance with the Chamber of Commerces wishes, and the Association General Contractors that which compelled the reporting or the notification by the Health and Welfare Pension Fringe Benefit Plan to.... ah...insure the fact that the employer would be amply notified before a violation of the Act occurred. It is a good Amendment, and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 313 and it did pass the State Senate by a vote of thirty seven to nothing."

Shea: "Alright. The gentleman moves for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 313. This is final action and will take a hundred and seven votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. The gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 313 having received a three fifths majority vote is hereby declared adopted."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 462, Calvo."

Shea: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.. I move that the House do now concur in Senate Amendment #1, I believe it is to House Bill 462. This Amendment does not really have any substantial effect upon the Bill. What it does do is eliminate the necessity for municipalities or take municipalities and counties out of the operation as to their own facility, but not as to private facilities within the county or municipality. I would appreciate your favorable vote for passage...ah...for concurrence in this Amendment."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for concurrence in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 462. This is final action and will require three fifths vote to become effective immediately. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'.



Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk.
This motion received a hundred and fifteen 'aye' votes, eleven 'nay' votes and the gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 462 having received a three fifths majority is hereby declared adopted."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 608, Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendments to House Bill 608 are Amendments put on in the Senate. This is the Appropriations Bill for the Environmental Protection Agency. These Amendments reflect...ah...a reduction in the budget of...ah...something over three hundred thousand dollars...ah...I move for a concurrence in the...ah...Senate Amendment #1, #2 and #3 to House Bill 608, and would appreciate...ah...three fifths vote in order to...in order that this appropriation may become law."

Shea: "The gentleman from Madison moves that the House do now concur in Senate Amendments #1, #2 and #3 to 608. This is final action and will require a three fifths vote to become law immediately. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker I just happen to think that we might have a problem about the effective date of this appropriation, and I'm not sure whether that..... It is there? Alright fine. I understand that the proper language is in the Act...in the Bill."

Shea: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh."

R. Walsh: "Well, I...ah...just want to make this point and I wonder if somebody has given it some thought. The language in the Bill is that this Act takes effect July 1, 1973. Now in the event that the Governor does not sign this until tomorrow, I wonder if there might not be some difficulty and maybe the language in this Bill should be that this Act takes effect upon becoming law."

Shea: "Well I think the present law Mr. Walsh, and I think you'll find it on the statutes on statutes says that the Bill becomes



effective on the date that it specified in the Act or on the date the Governor signs the Bill which is ever later. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record. The gentleman's motion received a hundred and twenty seven 'aye' votes and 2 'no' votes. Therefore, his motion to concur in Senate Amendments #1, #2 and #3 to House Bill 608 having received a three fifths majority is hereby declared adopted. The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman what was that Bill number?"

Hoffman: "908."

Shea: "908. On the order of Nonconcurrences appears House Bill 908 and...er...Senate Bill 908 and the gentleman from DuPage, Mr. G. L. Hoffman is recognized."

G. L. Hoffman: "Ah...Mr. Speaker I ask that we not recede from ...ah...House Amendment #2 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Shea: "What you want to do is to refuse to recede from House Bill... from House Amendment #2. Is that correct Mr. Hoffman?"

G. L. Hoffman: "Yes."

Shea: "Alright, the gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 908. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does now refuse to recede from Senate...the House refuses to recede from Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 908. Mr. Clerk if you'll send that back to the Senate saying that we'll refuse to recede from our Amendment and request an Conference."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...House Bill 798, Schisler."

Shea: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler for a motion with regards to House Bill 798."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 and #2 on House Bill 798."

Shea: "Mr. Schisler you've moved to concur..."

Schisler: "Nonconcur."

Shea: "Alright. The gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments



#1 and #2 to House Bill 798. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House does not concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 798."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . House Bill 1211, Hyde."

Shea: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from suburban Cook County, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, from suburban Cook County . . . ah . . . I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1211. This was the Bill that provided for . . . ah . . . conversion of . . . ah . . . mutual savings and loans into stock savings and loans. The Bill originally had a 10 percent conversion fee, which was to go into a special fund to pay depositors who had lost their life savings in savings and loans. Ah . . . They . . . Senate Amendment has taken the fee out. So the Bill simply provides a means a mechanism, a procedure by which the conversion of a savings and loans from a mutal to a stock association can be done. I know of no opposition to the Bill . . . ah . . . or the Amendment, so I would move concurrence."

Shea: "The Gentleman's motion is he . . . to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1211. Ah . . . To have this Bill become effective immediately, it will take a 3/5 vote. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Pappas 'aye'. This Motion having received 123 'aye' votes is, hereby, declared adopted by a 3/5 votes and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1211."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 1937, Washburn."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Yes, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we concur in Senate Amendment . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1937."

Shea: "What are you going to do with 2, Bud?"

Washburn: "Same Motion."

Shea: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 1937; to become effective immediately, this Bill requires a 3/5 . . . this Motion requires a 3/5



vote. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Tell them guys to get on these switches earlier, will you? Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. The Gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 1937 receives 133 affirmative votes and is, hereby, declared adopted by a 3/5 majority."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 32, Jaffe. 32, Joe."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, with regards to House Bill 32."

Jaffe: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have three Amendments on House Bill 32, which is the teacher dismissal hearing Bill. Ah . . . I would like to concur in Amendments 1 and 6 and nonconcur in 4. So, Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a vote to concur on Amendments 1 and 6 and then move not to concur on . . . on Amendment 4."

Shea: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #6 to House Bill 32. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'; and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett, to explain his vote."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wasn't trying to get recognition to explain my vote, I was trying to ask the Gentleman where is Amendment #6? I requested it from the Senate Bill Room and they don't have it, and I think it's a very bad practice to vote on it when we don't know what it is."

Shea: "Well, we can handle this one of two ways, Mr. Juckett. We can ask the Gentleman to explain #6 and if that's satisfactory to the Members, we can vote on it and if that's not satisfactory to the Members, we can take it out of the Record and wait until it's printed and on the Members' desk. Mr. Jaffe to explain Amendment #6."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #6 was distributed and what it does it . . . ah . . . makes a provision for how you shall select hearing officers. In other words, now there shall be three lists where the hearing officer to be chosen from. One is submitted by the . . . ah . . . school board, the other is submitted by the teacher and the third is submitted by the Superintendent of Public Instruction. There are 15 names on the list and each party goes on and strikes off the



names that they do not want 'til they reach the last party who demonstrated as the hearing officer."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, could I . . . I wonder if the Sponsor of the Amendment to the Bill would yield for a question before I vote on it?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "Ah . . . Representative Jaffe, after a . . . ah . . . a teacher is dismissed, where is the first hearing held for . . . ah . . . for dismissal by the school board or by the independent hearing officers?"

Jaffe: "Well, we're not really talking about the . . ."

Dunn: "Well, this is the deal . . ."

Jaffe: ". . . I will explain it to you. The initial hearing is held by the school board and might I say that this Amendment was put in at the behest of the school board so that they would have more power and . . . wouldn't be given an individual shoved down their throat by the O.S.P.I."

Dunn: "Thank you, that's makes the Bill a lot more acceptable and I vote 'aye'."

Shea: "Have all voted who . . . the Gentleman recognizes Mr. Hart to explain his vote."

Hart: "Well, thank you very much, I'd like to have asked a question before that . . . ah . . . Aaron, is the . . . ah . . . Amendment that we put on in the House . . . ah . . . with reference to the O.S.P.I. establishing ru . . . uniform rules of procedure, is that still in the Bill now?"

Jaffe: "Ah . . . Dick, yes, that's still is in the Bill sure."

Hart: "Okay, and just as a general statement, Mr. Speaker, I don't want to be obstruction in this, but I . . . ah . . . intend to ask a Sponsor of a . . . an Amendment to explain them if they don't do it, I'm not going to vote on any Amendment if somebody just gets up and say we'd like to concur in. I think we're entitled to an explanation and could've saved a lot of time of the House today if the Sponsor when he gets up and asks for our votes would explain what we're doing."



Shea: "Any further discussion, take the Record. The Gentleman's Motion . . . the Gentleman's Motion to concur in Amen . . . Senate Amendments #1 and #6 having received a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. All right, now, with regards to House Bill 32 and Amendment #4, Mr. Hart, this was not final action on this Bill. It has to go back for action on #4, he is going to move to nonconcur on #4. So the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, moves that the House do now nonconcur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 32. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to concur in Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 32."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 1832, McPartlin."

Shea: "Ah . . . Mr. McPartlin, will you wait one minute please. Miss Geo-Karis, did you . . . all right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin, is recognized to a Motion on House Bill 1832."

McPartlin: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1832. All it is a corrector of Amendment which corrects spelling errors. I move to concur."

Shea: "What are you . . . what are you going to do with #3?"

McPartlin: "#3, I'm going to move to nonconcur."

Shea: "The Gentleman's Motion is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1832? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. I'm going to call it. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. The Gentle . . . the Gentleman's motion to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 13 . . . Collins 'aye' . . . the Gentleman's Motion to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1832 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, accepted. The Gentleman now moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1832. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'nay'; the Motion to nonconcur is adopted and the House refuses to adopt Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1832."

Fredric Selcke: "Do you want to get Berman now?"

Shea: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, is recognized for a statement."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I had risen to ask what Amendment #2 was."

Shea: "Ah . . . Amendment #2, Senate Amendment #2, does not appear on our



Calendar, Mr. Duff, so evidently it was either lost in Committee, but for some reason it was not adopted in the Senate."

Duff: "Now, Mr. . . . ah . . . it wasn't adopted in the Senate, so we have to go back to get it adopted, is that it?"

Shea: "No, Sir, we have adopted Senate Amendment #1 to this Bill. We . . . the Gentleman's Motion was then to refuse to adopt Senate Amendment #3, that action the House did concur in. This Bill will go back to the Senate to see if the Senate will recede from Amendment #3 or if they refuse to recede, it will come back here for the purposes of a Conference Committee."

Duff: "Thank you."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 1143, Berman."

Shea: "On the order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 1443. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1443 is the Bill that the House passed out which authorized the City of Chicago to do the same procedure that downstate school districts are allowed to do; and that is to charge custodial expenses, rental expenses against the Building Fund rather than the Educational Fund. Also, this House passed out House Bill 1913, which was sponsored by Representative Arrigo, and because of his illness was handled on the floor on Third Reading by Representative Shea. Now, in the Senate these two Bills were combined and that is what Senate Amendment #1 is, the combination of House Bill 1913 which we passed out and House Bill 1443. Now, in the amended status . . . ah . . . House Bill 1443 allows, as I said, the charging of the custodial and rental expenses to the Building Fund and authorizes, as in 1913, the issuance of the \$90,000,000 of Building Fund Bonds by the City of Chicago Board of Education. I would . . . I would move the . . . ah . . . adoption of Senate . . . or concurrence of . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1443."

Shea: "The question is, . . . Mr. Walsh, the Gentleman from Cook, would like to discuss it."

Walsh (W. D.): "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a very significant . . . ah . . . concurrence. This . . . ah . . . we had considerable debate on this . . . ah . . . floor when the Bill, as the Gentleman stated . . . ah . . . was discussed in the House that would permit in Chicago the . . . ah . . . issuance of \$90,000,000 in bonds without a referendum. Now, . . . ah . . . as we discussed at the time Chicago has from time to time come in here for authorizations of this sort. Ah . . . This \$90,000,000 is not necessarily for construction, but they . . . ah . . . can be used for building purposes, . . . ah . . . building maintenance purposes and other things; and I would call your attention to a couple of years ago



when . . . ah . . . we . . . ah . . . authorized a \$250,000,000 bond issue without referendum and they wound up . . . ah . . . issuing \$30,000,000 in bonds for operating expenses. Now, I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that if we don't stop this pretty quickly, we . . . ah . . . we are going to be operating the . . . ah . . . Chicago Board of Education from here because they're just plain not going to have enough money. There isn't going to be enough money in Chicago to run that system. We're going to have to tell them without action here today that . . . ah . . . they have to become responsible and start operating with the revenues they have or else they're definitely going to go under; so I would urge that you vote 'no' on the Gentleman's motion to concur."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Berman to close."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Mr. Majority Leader, I'm glad that . . . ah . . . you arose on this Bill. Ah . . . I . . . I don't know how we could pass that Chicago Board of Education Bill without hearing your opposition in this Session; but I would point out that I think the statements of the Majority Leader, although I'm sure that they are sincere, were a little less than accurate. The \$250,000,000 that was issued two years ago was for rehabilitation only. They are not allowed to be used and have not been used for construction. The \$30,000,000 that was implied . . . ah . . . was taken . . . ah . . . without authority from that rehabilitation bond issue, that's not true. The Chicago Board of Education came back here and this Legislature, General Assembly, authorized the borrowing on a temporary basis from that bond issue, and . . . ah . . . that money has been paid back. Now, I would point out that we have in part of trying to maintain the operations of the Chicago School Board with their finan . . . fiscal problems, have tried to put a program together of some . . . ah . . . reasonable state aid under the State Aid Formula that we passed out last night; but even a greater portion is what I would call a self-help program, and that involves the commitment by the Chicago Board of whatever resources possible to keep the



schools of the City of Chicago open. Part of that self-help program involves the Bill that are before us on this motion, namely 1443 and the bonding authorization that was in 1913, both of which we passed out of here on previous Roll Calls. These Bills do not involve a tax increase, number one; do not involve any additional commitment by the State of Illinois as far as revenue is concerned, number two. They are a very important part of the total financial picture, which is very, very vital and necessary to try to keep the Chicago schools open for the balance of their school year and the balance of their calendar year, I would point out. So I urge you in order to keep those schools open without a nickel additional commitment by the State of Illinois to give a green vote to this motion to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1443."

Shea: "Well before we vote on this . . . ah . . . issue, I have an important announcement, and that's that the restaurant will be open within 45 minutes. All right . . . the question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1443? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Yes, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh (W. D.): "Well, as I see some of the Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle with hands on three or four switches at once, I wonder if maybe we could vote the honor system once again; and in that way avoid . . . ah . . . tedious verifications."

Shea: "I'm sure that everybody votes their conscience. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'; and this is final action. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to explain his vote."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If any of you had an opportunity to read the morning Sun Times, you will see a . . . ah . . . resume of some of the Bills and actions taken by the Legislature regarding the . . . ah . . . educational funding picture. Chicago is in dire straits regarding their financial picture; and according to that story, there's a possibility that the Chicago schools may have to close for four weeks early because of the lack of . . . ah . . . of funds



to keep those schools open. Now, I point out to you, that this Bill, 1443, and the Amendment from the Senate does not require any additional money or commitment on the part of the State of Illinois. That was taken care of . . . ah . . . yesterday as much as the General Assembly saw fit to do in the passage of . . . ah . . . Representative Hoffman's . . . ah . . . School Aid Formula. What we're asking here today is authorization which requires a vote of 107, an authorization for the City of Chicago, the Board of Education, to try to readjust their financial program to charge custodial and rental expenses, not out of the Education Fund which is necessary to direct the expenses of our teaching of our children, but rather out of the Building Fund which is what is done by every other school district throughout the State of Illinois; and, in addition, to allow to fund through the issuance of \$90,000,000 of bond monies sufficient to replenish that Building Fund and to allow our schools to stay open and to operate. Now, this is a self-help program, Ladies and Gentlemen. We are not seeking money from the State of Illinois or funds through these Bills. We're asking to be allowed the flexibility of bonding and fiscal shifting to operate these schools. It's a very vital program to our children in Chicago; and I ask for as many green votes at this late hour as we can to help these children get an adequate education throughout Calendar 1973 and thereafter. Please, I ask you on behalf of the children of the City of Chicago for your green votes."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, to explain his vote."

Hoffman (G. L.): "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in rising to explain my vote, I, like a number of other Members in this House, am not particularly enamored . . . ah . . . at the way the Chicago school system is operated. That works as a handicap . . . ah . . . to the young people as well as upsetting some of us who deal most closely with school finance. However, in this Bill . . . ah . . . there is a provision which allows the Chicago Board of Education . . . ah . . . to do the same as our local school districts do, and that is to pay . . . ah . . . some of the



maintenance costs out of the building operation and . . . ah . . . Maintenance Fund as well as . . . ah . . . building some buildings. Ah . . . They are asking for no additional money . . . ah . . . from the state in this particular Bill. Now as we've discussed over the last year, the . . . ah . . . problem . . . ah . . . that Chicago faced, we tried to look at the total picture and come to some reasonable means of their needs. Ah . . . The state aid Bill, which we passed last night, is part of meeting of those needs. However, they far . . . ah . . . from meeting the commitments that they have made. Now, whether one agrees or disagrees with the . . . ah . . . commitments that they have made . . . ah . . . that does not change those . . . ah . . . commitments; and . . . ah . . . therefore . . . ah . . . I believe that at this late hour with the necessity to have 107 votes, whereas, a few hours ago 89 would have carried the day . . . ah . . . that we all have to be reasonable as well as responsible; and I believe an 'aye' vote on this Bill is a responsible vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt, to explain his vote."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think that anytime during this Session have I disagreed from our distinguished Minority . . . Majority Leader; but in this case, I must take exception. My district includes both the Chicago school system and the Evanston school system and I was abhorred to learn the flexibility or the lack of flexibility that the Chicago school system had . . . ah . . . as compared to the Evanston school system; and I was also very chagrined to see the condition of many of our schools in Chicago; and that . . . that is the reason that many people are moving to the suburbs because the schools are many times better. The facilities themselves are many times better. This is just . . . ah . . . an opportunity to bring our Chicago school system up to the standards of . . . ah . . . the suburban systems and the downstate systems; and I earnestly . . . ah . . . hope that you would give us some help and give us a green vote on this."

Shea: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. All right,



now, Mr. Dunne votes 'aye'. Now, let me explain to you where we are at with this motion. 89 votes is enough to concur in it and it will become effective a year from now. So I just wanted to explain what this does at this juncture. All right . . . the Gentleman's motion . . . Mr. Speaker . . . 'aye'. Mr. LaFleur . . . 'aye'. Lauer . . . I'm sorry. Mr. Washburn . . . 'aye'. Mr. Huskey . . . 'aye'. Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "How am I recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Change my vote to 'aye', please."

Shea: "Catania . . . 'aye'. Dyer . . . 'aye'. Dyer . . . 'aye'.

Philip . . . 'no'. Oh! Philip . . . 'aye'. Clabaugh . . . 'aye'. Mr. Deuster 'aye'. Mr. Holloway? Mr. Holloway . . . 'aye'. Robert. Mr. Arnell. Turn on Arnell's mic'."

Arnell: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, ever since the inception of this Session I've sat here and every time, and I represent a suburban Cook County District, and every time there has been a Bill effecting the townships, I've seen people on the other side of the aisle jump up like jackrabbits to oppose township Bills. Now, this is a Bill that is badly needed in the City of Chicago; and yet on our side of the aisle we are giving support for this Bill, but I would just like to remind those people that are constantly opposing Bills for suburban Cook County and townships where they are getting the votes to put this Bill that they so badly need over. Thank you."

Shea: "Arnell . . . 'aye'. Schoeberlein . . . 'aye'. Simms . . . 'aye'. Borchers . . . 'aye'. Kempiners . . . 'aye'. This motion having received 115 'aye' votes, the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1443 by a 3/5 majority and is declared concurred in."



Shea: "Phil Collins."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...House Bill 213."

Shea: "No he wants to come up here and run the podium Phil...ah...Phil I thought we were going to wait on those. No will you just hold them until I talk to somebody? And we've just heard that the cafeteria is open for coffee and rolls right now."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 1818, Skinner."

Collins: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1818 is amended to...ah...is to the Amendment to the Public Aid Code to provide the Illinois Department shall study the amortization and construction cost of county nursing homes furnishing medical services or medical facilities. To determine increases in payment to county nursing homes. I am confident that once this study is made then the subsidy will be quite evident that...ah...such...ah...reimbursement should be made. It also adds that enforcement of penalty provision in the collection of of the claims section of the Public Aid Code and I move for concurrence."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1818. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that motion there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to concur prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...House Bill 1360, Bluthardt."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1 is a simple Amendment that that moves...ah...the...ah...lines 8 and 9 of the second page which reads this amendatory Act of 1973 is not a limit upon municipality whichhome rule unit, it moves it from that page over to the first page following the second paragraph and I would move concurrence with Amendment."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman...ah...moves that the concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1360...ah...the question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1...ah...Representative Shea."

Shea: "I'm sorry Ed what did what did this Bill originally do and what's



the Amendment do?"

Bluthardt: "The Bill is one that...ah...will increase the debt limitation of non-home rule municipalities 7½% by referendum and the Amendment is the merely moves the provisions of the last two sentences of the Bill that those two sentence or the last sentence really lines 8 and 9 this Amendatory Act of 1973 was not a limit upon any municipality which is a home rule unit. It moves that from that position in the Bill to to lines...ah...28 and 29 on the first page just for...ah... someone thought it...ah...fit in there better than at the end of the Bill and I move it's concurrence."

Shea: "Ah...the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker I think that this is the Bill that I was one of maybe two or three that voted against the first time it came through. Is is the Bill will the Bill still allow non-home rule counties to increase their bonded indebtedness by 4. or by something less than one half of one percent without a referendum each year?"

Shea: "No it does not."

Skinner: "Right now . . . would you expand on that a little bit by telling us...you mentioned a referendum. Does in a non-home rule county, does there have to be a referendum every time. Does it increase in the bonded indebtedness under this Bill?"

Shea: "Any thing over one half percent requires a referendum."

Skinner: "All right either you're being unresponsive or to my first question then. The first question was can you increase your bonded indebtedness by less than one half percent without a referendum each and every year?"

Shea: "Well you would be permitted to issue up to a half of one percent without referendum as recognized by the new Constitution. Anything over and above that would require a referendum with a limitation of 7½% of the total excess evaluation."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker may I speak to the Bill please?"

Shea: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Last time around I didn't...ah...talk on this Bill I just voted no but I do consider it one of the larger opened ended...ah... Bills to increase taxes in the State of Illinois by municipalities and I would suggest that anybody who is interested in having in



preventing tax rates from going up without a referendum as there will do if you don't increase your bond indebtedness by more than one half of one percent and if you don't think that's much you figure out what your access evaluation is and you take half of one percent times it, could be hundreds of thousands of dollars, it could be millions of dollars, depending on how big the city is...ah... if you think that you absolutely if you think that you ought to have a referendum you certainly ought to be voting against this Bill because it only requires a referendum if you need if you increase the bonded indebtedness by over one half of one percent. There's a free ride up to one half of one percent each and every year."

Collins: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt to close."

Bluthardt: "Well I I don't think the Gentleman is is...ah...really correct in what he says each and every year a half percent. The total bonded indebtedness can not exceed one half of one percent without referendum so that doesn't what he means is if you have an increase in your access valuation you may perhaps be allowed to to issue additional bonds to the total sum of their increase in access valuation. Well that...ah...isn't necessarily so and it would only happen in a more rapidly growing suburbs or communities and I want to point out again that the constitution recognizes that non-home rule municipalities may be authorized to issue bonds up to a half of one percent without referendum and anything over that must require referendum. I think it's a fair Bill. I think it applies the home rule...ah...unit too in a not by this Bill but by the provisions of the constitution I think it's only right and fair and I would ask for your concurrence."

Collins: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1360? Those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Collins 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Washburn 'aye'. On that motion there are 112 'ayes', 11 'nays' and this Bill having received the constitutional three-fifths of the majority is hereby declared...ah...the motion's ah...the motion prevails. On the order of nonconcurrence...ah... Senate Bill 284. The chair representatives...ah...recognizes the



Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to refuse to recede from our Senate, pardon me, our Amendment #1 to the Senate Bill 284."

Collins: "Ah . . . The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede . . . ah . . . from Senate . . . from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 284. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 287, Maragos."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move at this time that we do not con . . . do not recede from the Nonconcurrency action of the Senate."

Collins: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House do not recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 287. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 291, Washington."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 291."

Collins: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 291. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill . . ."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry, for what purpose do you arise?"

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, would you announce that Conference Committees will be appointed on the last two or three Bills please?"

Collins: "Yes, Conference Committees will be appointed on those Bills."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 443, Peters."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do not recede from Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Collins: "The . . . The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to recede



from House Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 443. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the comments of Representative Hart earlier today was most appropriate. On these refusals to recede . . . ah . . . I mean it's one thing if we're gonna' go back into a Conference, it's another thing if we're talking of final passage; and in those cases . . . ah . . . I think it's most appropriate that the Sponsor explain the effect before we vote on final passage. In this case he didn't and many of us would appreciate it if we're going to make a motion which results in final action we get an explanation of the effect."

Collins: "Well, this . . . this is not final action, this is just a motion to refuse to recede from an Amendment. Ah . . . The Bills will have to go through Conference Committee . . . ah . . . there was no one up seeking recognition . . . ah . . . before the motion was put. Ah . . . I would suggest that if you want a further explanation that you would rise earlier and the mo . . . the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 449, Clabaugh."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh. Is the Gentleman on the floor?"

Fredric Selcke: "Yeah, here he comes . . . Clabaugh."

Collins: "Yeah, the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "What is it . . . what is it, a Nonconcurrency?"

Collins: "Ah . . . Nonconcurrency on Senate Bill 449. There are two House Amendments."

Clabaugh: "Yes, I move we nonconcur, Mr. Speaker."

Collins: "In both Amendments?"

Clabaugh: "In both Amendments."

Collins: "Ah . . . The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and #2 to Senate Bill 449. Is there any discussion? All those in favor of the motion will signify by saying 'aye', contrary . . . and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 478, Lauer."



Collins: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do not recede from Amendment . . . Amendments #1, 2 and 4 of House Bill 478 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House . . . the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1, 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 478. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 494, Lauer."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do not recede from Amendments 3 and 4 of House Bill 494."

Collins: "The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House . . . House Amendment 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 494. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 503, Choate . . ."

Collins: "Just a minute, Mr. Clerk, . . . ah . . . that's Representative Chaote, is there anyone prepared to handle it for 'em? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, with regard to Senate Bill 503."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the House do not recede from the House Amendments 1 and 2 on Senate Bill 503."

Collins: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 503. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 591, Peters."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do not recede from Amendments 3 and 4 and . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 591."

Collins: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #3 and 4 to Senate Bill 591. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."



Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 689, Waddell."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to
recede on 689."

Unknown: "What'd it do?"

Waddell: "In this particular case, what it did was it changed the language
around as far as the home rule unit was concerned, and this relates
to the snow mobile law. In the second place, it . . . ah . . .
changed the boundary limits around the nature preserve."

Collins: "All right, the Gentleman has moved that the House recede from
House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 689. This is final action. The
Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you
arise?"

Shea: "All right, the motion you want to recede from is . . . er . . . the
Amendment here said that this act was not a limit upon any home
rule unit, is that correct?"

Waddell: "Correct."

Shea: "All right, so that this Bill would be a limitation on home rule
units?"

Waddell: "Not the way you put it on."

Shea: "Well, the . . . the . . . you want to pull it out of the Record and
talk about it, Bruce, for a minute?"

Waddell: "Fine."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . ah . . . Farley, 698, Lechowicz . . .
ah . . . they're going to take it out of the Record and talk about it
698, Phil, he's not here, Farley's not here."

Collins: "They want 698 out of the . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Repre-
sentative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the
House do not recede from Amendment to the Senate Bill 698."

Collins: "All right, now, the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to
recede from House Amendments #2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 to Senate Bill 698.
All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by saying
'aye', opposed 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Giorgi . . . let's get Zeke's. 827, Giorgi."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Collins: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recede from House Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 827."

Collins: "The Gentleman has moved . . . ah . . . Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Zeke, the Amendment you want to recede from, what do they do?"

Giorgi: ". . . I really don't know at this point. All I know is these Bills were the . . . the sum . . . work, and they were amended in the House, and they were amended in the House to the extent that if the . . . the Senator from the Senate . . . ah . . . agreed to the Amendments, we'd accept; and if she wouldn't agree, we wouldn't accept them. So it seems that these Amendments were superfluous and we'd like to take them off the Bills."

Shea: "All right."

Unknown: "Come on, let it go for Chri . . ."

Collins: "The Gentleman has moved that . . . that the House recede from House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 827, and this is final . . . this is final action. It will take 107 votes. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's entirely inappropriate for us to vote on final action on a mental health Bill when the Sporsor doesn't know the effect of the Amendment. It would seem to me entirely appropriate that we take the time to find out."

Collins: "You may take the time to find out, I think it's entirely appropriate for the Gentleman to ask for his Bill to be put to a vote."

Ah . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Collins: "Indicates he'll yield."

Juckett: "Zeke, it was my understanding that the Senator wanted these Bills to go to a Conference Committee to correct the Committee Amendment."

Giorgi: "It has to go to Conference so . . ."

Collins: "They your motion will be that the House refuse to recede, and with the Conference Committee. All right, but then the Gentleman's motion now is that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment's



1 and 3 to Senate Bill 827 and request for a Committee of Conference be appointed. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 830, Giorgi."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "The same motion."

Collins: "The Gentleman moves that the House . . . ah . . . refuses to recede from the House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 830. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "I don't know, ask Giorgi to call up over there, yeah . . . Peters don't want his . . . Schneider . . . ah . . . Lechowicz. Ah . . . Senate Bill 1178, Lechowicz."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1178."

Collins: "Ah . . . the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1178. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Do you want to go to Conference Committee? Okay."

Collins: "On the order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 458, Representative Juckett, the Gentleman from Cook."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee met. We . . . all we did to the Bill, which was an incorporation matter, was to add that the Bill would become effective upon becoming law; and I would urge the adoption of the Committee . . . er . . . Report."

Collins: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the Conference Committee Report be adopted by the House. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'."

Unknown: "Collins 'aye'."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this motion there are 123 'aye', no 'nays'; and this motion having received the necessary constitutional majority is, hereby, declared adopted."



Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . House Bill 495, Juckett."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is . . . ah . . . a Bill to fund . . . ah . . . services for transporting of school children. As it went out of the House, it was in the General Revenue Fund; the Senate amended it to be the Motor Fuel Fund or Road Fund. Ah . . . The state budget calls for all other similar ones to be in the State Road Fund and the Committee Report so urges; and I would urge the adoption of the Committee Report."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Bob, isn't this the . . . the special interest Bill that we discussed earlier that benefits one privately owned . . . ah . . . bus company in your district?"

Juckett: "No it does not benefit one privately owned bus district in my district. It would benefit all private bus companies who are transporting school children at mandated I.T.C. half school rates."

Lundy: "Well, it seems to me the first time we discussed this Bill it became clear in the discussion that there was really only one privately owned carrier that . . . ah . . . that this covered. Am I . . . I mistaken by that?"

Juckett: "Well, under the law . . . ah . . . if there are not sufficient funds to do the purpose of the law, then the . . . all the claims are pro-rated, and so this is why it would not benefit just one company. All companies who put in their claims would then not receive the amount of their claims. It would be pro-rated among all the bus companies. If we don't have this kind of a Bill, then the state will end up by paying for all of the . . . ah . . . capital improvements such as buses, and then they'll end up by paying for 95 percent of the cost of transporting the school children. In this Bill and in the series of Bills like it they pay for a maximum of $\frac{1}{2}$ of the adult fare."

Lundy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may address myself to the motion?"

Collins: "Proceed."



Lundy: "It seems to me that this House has previously on one occasion refused to take final action on the Bill because . . . ah . . . this appears to be a special interest Bill to . . . ah . . . to benefit one private carrier in one Members district, . . . ah . . . and I would once again urge opposition to . . . ah . . . final action on the Bill. I don't think it's worthy of this House."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, has the Gentleman changed his position on busing?"

Collins: "Was that question directed to me, Representative Mann?"

Mann: "No, . . . ah . . . to my distinguished colleague, Representative Juckett."

Collins: "Was that question rhetorical or were you directing it to him, Sir?"

Mann: "Well, no, this Bill will save money for the poor people so they can have more money to buy food and clothing. It would take less money out of the General Fund or the Road Fund and it there would be more money available for those on aid."

Collins: "Okay, Bob. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett, to close."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't see where this is any more of a special interest Bill than one trying to prevent flooding in ones district, or one trying to get aid for those who need aid or for those who are in need of help. If this kind of a Bill does not pass, I would predict that instead of \$130,000 which you're going to be talking about as millions to . . . ah . . . buy bus com . . . buy buses for school districts and then additional millions for the . . . ah . . . transportation where we . . . ah . . . do it for 95 percent. Now, if you want to be short-sighted as maybe a few do, . . . ah . . . that's their right; but I think this is a Bill to save money. It's a Bill to properly fund what the Governor of this state did not properly fund in his budget. In his budget there's a sum for \$220,000. For the exact same purpose as this Bill. It's unfortunate that the Governor left out of his Bill the sufficient monies to fund the project, and he did not want to raise his budget so they asked me to put the Bill in to totally



fund the project. I was happy to do so to help all of the state, all of the school children and all of the parents who have to send their kids on buses to get to school; and I urge the adoption of the Committee Conference Report."

Collins: "The question is, shall the Community . . . rather, the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 495 be adopted? Those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Collins 'aye'. Ah . . . Representative Shea, do you wish to explain your vote?"

Shea: "Yes, I'd like to explain my 'no' vote. The Governor put in the Amendment to the D.O.T. a sufficient fund to provide aid for the elderly where bus companies, both private and public, transported the elderly at reduced fares during off-peak hours and put in that budget enough money to pay for the transportation of school children to pay the other half of the fare when private and public carriers were . . . ah . . . used to transport school children. So the Gentleman's statement in my opinion were absolutely false. I think to take and make a statement with regards to the Department of Transportation or the Governor were wrong in this instance and, therefore, I am voting 'no'."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, this is the first time in my four Sessions that I have been called a liar. I deeply resent that. The Assistant Minority is wrong as wrong can be. If he would check with the Department of Transportation, he will see that the Governor's put in for \$220,000. At the time they expected a bus company to be taken up by a mass transit district. They have admitted that they were wrong. They said so in the . . . ah . . . Appropriation's Committee, they said so in the Education Committee, and they said that they prefer to go with this Bill. Now, Mr. Assistant Minority Leader, I am not lying. You can check with your own Department. I would not lie, I have not lied; and I deeply resent the innuea . . . innuendoes, the assertions, etc. The Governor does have a provision for the elderly. I have not blocked that provision. The Assistant Minority



Leader is upset because I amended a Bill to put in conformity with the state law. Now, if he's going to take his venom out on me, I wish that he would realize that he is going to cause the collapse of many private bus companies, and he's going to cause millions of dollars to be spent in a very needless thing; and I would respectfully request an apology from the Assistant Minority Leader."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I don't think I called you a liar, Mr. Juckett, and I didn't mean to infer that; but this money in my . . . my estimation and the programs that I've been supplied by D.O.T. tells me that it's there. Now, if you want to pull this out of the Record, I'll go into it with D.O.T. and you, and if I'm wrong, I'll support the measure."

Collins: "The Gentleman asks that this be taken out of the Record, Mr. Clerk, Mr. Clerk . . . Mr. Clerk, take this out of the Record."

Fredric Selcke: "Okay . . ."

Collins: "All right, on the . . . on the order of Nonconcurrency, there was one that was inadvertently left off the Calendar. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry. What is that number, Fred?"

Fredric Selcke: "3 . . . Senate Bill 370 . . ."

Collins: "This is on the order of Nonconcurrency . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "He moves to nonconcur."

Collins: ". . . the Gentleman moves to nonconcur in House . . . ah . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "1 and 2."

Collins: ". . . the Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments to Senate Bill 3. . ."

Fredric Selcke: "370."

Collins: ". . . 370. All those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . How about Pierce's . . ."

Collins: "On the order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 610, Representative Pierce."



Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, this is the annual appropriation of the Bureau . . . Bureau of the Budget, the Conference Committee . . . ah . . . puts the Bill in approximately the same shape dollar-wise as it was introduced, except we have required the Bureau and they . . . to have line items on all their appropriations. So it's now a line item appropriation . . . ah . . . I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 610."

Collins: "All right, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from . . . the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield to a question? Is the . . . is this the re . . . refuting the work of the Democratic and the Republican Members of the House Appropriation's Committee Staff . . ."

Pierce: "Yeah . . ."

Hanahan: ". . . in the review of the B.O.B.'s budget request early in the year? Were we determined that the amount of money requested by the Bureau of the Budget could not in no way, shape and form be utilized in the coming year?"

Pierce: "Ah . . . I don't remember that determination. My understanding is, and I cannot speak for the Republican Staff, my understanding is the Democratic Staff has no particular objection to the Conference Committee Report."

Hanahan: "Well, just to refresh your memory, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Democratic Staff did analyze the Bill, the Democratic Members of the House Appropriation's Committee voted unanimously for the reduction in the Bureau of the Budget . . . ah . . . request primarily because the Bureau themselves said that they could not use the money, and I see no reason right now to adopt this Conference Committee Report trying to sneak back in \$170,000 that in no way, shape or form to the very organization that should justify expenditures of State Government when they can't justify it themselves to our Staff and to the Democratic side of the aisle. I urge a 'no' vote on Concurrence of the Senate Amendment."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, certainly I concur with Representative Hanahan. I can see no way in



which this money and this . . . this . . . ah . . . report could be accepted or justified and I also ask for a 'no' vote so that this can go into a second Conference Committee, a 'no' vote."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Will the Sponsor of the motion answer a question, please?"

Collins: "He indicates he'll yield."

Barry: "Dan, I understand that this is a reduction for the Bureau of the Budget of 25 percent from what the Budget . . . Bureau of the Budget was requesting and used in the last fiscal year, is that correct?"

Pierce: "That's my understanding, yes."

Barry: "Well, I think we're wasting our time. Any Department willing to cut its own budget by 25 percent I think deserves what is finally determined in a Conference Committee and I urge a . . . an 'aye' vote."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . I, too, concur with the remarks of the Chairman and the Minority Spokesman on this and would urge a 'no' vote on this so that we can have another meeting of the Conference Committee."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative W. B. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would certainly agree with the Chairman of the Appropriation's Committee. It isn't so much the amount of the . . . ah . . . budget that he's concerned about, he's concerned with the fact that there was not input from the Conference Committee Members in the Conference Committee Report; and I think all Conference Committees you . . . Committee should meet and determine these things and . . . ah . . . get them out. So I would urge a 'no' vote on this and let the Conference Committee get together, perhaps come up with the same thing, but maybe not. But . . . but at least get together and make the determination themselves. So I urge you to vote 'no'."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. A. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we find ourselves at 2:35 p.m. on July 1st arguing about Conference Committee Reports for fiscal year budgets, the current fiscal year, I might say,



not the next fiscal year. It seems to me that we're going to have to reach a decision or we're going to find this Legislature which is held in very low repute right now adjourning and being called back into Special Session to appropriate the money to operate State Government. I happen to have been the House Sponsor of the Bill to establish the Bureau of the Budget under the then Governor Ogilvie and . . . ah . . . we had what I think was a very liberal appropriation as at that time and apparently it has been determined that it is a liberal budget by the present Bureau of the Budget itself since they have come in with a reduction. I don't see how we can keep on going on with second Conference Committee and if we make a mistake and we well could that that second Conference Committee Report would not be adopted, we're then at a point where there's no appropriation for this very essential agency of State Government. I think we're playing with fire, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Let's give this Conference Committee the necessary votes. It was concurred in by the entire Senate . . . ah . . . Membership of the Committee. I'm reluctant to oppose . . . ah . . . the Majority Leader and the Chairman of the Appropriation's Committee. If this were a week ago, I wouldn't, but it's July 1st, I think we should act responsibly and proceed with the necessary appropriation Bills."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the . . . any one of those who spoke in opposition to this motion, did or did not a majority of the conferees sign the Conference Report that we are now asked to . . . being asked to adopt?"

Collins: "I'm sorry, Representative Lundy, did you ask a question of the Sponsor?"

Lundy: "No, I asked a question of the one of the Gentlemen that's opposing this motion. I take their silence to mean that a majority of the conferees did approve this Report. Now, normally that's good enough. I haven't heard any reason given why a majority of the conferees decision go to be rejected by this House. I'm frankly getting a little tired of this vendetta against the Governor. I don't buy any means agree with everything he's done. I'm criticizing myself."



Well, let's grow up. It's July 1st, we've got to get on with the business of the state. Let's adopt this Conference Report."

Collins: "Is there any further discussion? All right, the . . . the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, to close."

Pierce: "Just to close, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I am a little tired this morning, but I stated as my recollection yesterday that I was running around and tried to cooperate with Chairman Washburn and . . . ah . . . and talking about the Conference Committee Report. He indicated to me yesterday and he's got a right to change his mind, that although he couldn't sign the Conference Committee Report, neither could Mr. Ryan or Totten, his colleagues, that he wouldn't object to it on the floor; but he's got a right to object to it today. I'm just a little tired of being always in the cross fire between the House Appropriation Committee and the Bureau of the Budget and Mr. Hovey. Apparently, McBroom and . . . and Nimrod over in the Senate and Scholl and the two Democrats all . . . all wanted this. I hope in the next Conference Committee that I can keep out of it and these Gentlemen can meet Mr. Washburn, Mr. McBroom, Mr. Hanahan can give a long speech against the Governor if he wants and we'll put it on tape and we'll mail it around the state, but . . . ah . . . I just hope that everyone gets together here and I move the adoption of this Report, and believe me if there is another Conference Committee . . . I don't even particularly want to serve on it, I'm tired of it."

Collins: "All right, the question is, shall the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 610 be adopted? Those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Collins 'no'."

Unknown: "Barry 'no'."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there's 112 'ayes', 22 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report prevails. If we can back up for a moment to the order of Nonconcurrency, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Lake, Representative Waddell . . . or Kane, Representative Waddell, with respect to Senate Bill 689."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you've heard this and I move to nonconcur."



Collins: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 689. Those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners, with regard to House Bill 757."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is Conference Committee Report and it basically does what we did yesterday with regard to the Amendment; and I did add a Section that would permit . . . ah . . . a party to institute an action to compel disclosure should governmental unit not . . . ah . . . follow the provisions of this law, and I would move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, shall the Conference Committee on House Report . . . Report on House Bill 757 be adopted? Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 125 'aye', no 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 757 prevails. Conference Committee Report with regard to House Bill 620, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Dupage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 620 does two things. It adds the word 'replica' in regard to antique cars and lowers the age of antique cars from 30 to 25 years. I move the House do accept the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 620 as amended."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, shall the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 620 be adopted? Those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Skinner 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 131 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 'present'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report prevails. Ah . . . Conference Committee Report, House Bill 615, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that



we adopt this Conference Committee Report. In the Conference Committee we struck the provision which took care of Senator Gotchhoff which was the primary bone of contention last time. This Bill originally sailed through when it made the Municipal Retirement System fully reciprocal with all other systems. In the Senate it was . . . it had a further Amendment which is still in the Conference Report which provides that those under the system when they are 15 years in the system and have retired will receive a slight increase in their pension which will knock all other systems on . . . they have been behind and this will me . . . make them even."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf. J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm going to rise in opposition. If you'll look at the Conference Committee Report, you will notice that I did not sign it. Now, this Bill is true, it's a very good Bill when we sent it over to the Senate, it sailed out of the House and through Committee. When it got to the Senate, it was backed up on Third Reading, an Amendment was tagged on at Second Reading, it advanced to the order of Third and passed. So the skids were greased and here's another ripoff, a way to get some more money. Now, this increases from 1 2/3 of 2 percent for each year in excess of 15 years for the annuitants to get extra money. It will increase for the municipalities in the Municipal Retirement Fund. Their contribution rate from 13.79 percent to 14.43 percent. Now, there was just some increase invested to mine to almost 20 percent in the last year. It may well be that there is about, it seems, there's about \$3 or \$3,500,000 a year annual costs. I have no idea of knowing what the accrued liabilities would be because we haven't seen any figures. We have no figures down to number of employees or in dollar amounts. All I can tell you that it will require an increase in the property tax levy of to about 5 percent of the levy collected for pension fund in order to pay for this; and I would urge and I would hope and I would pray that the Members of this House would reject this backdoor method of bypassing Committee, bypassing the Pension Laws Commission coming in a day or two before the end



of the session to get another piece of the action and let the property owners foot the bill and I would hope that everybody would vote no."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative J. D. Jones."

Jones, J.D.: "Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee I have a lot of respect for the judgment of of the chairman of the Vincent of the Veterans and Pension Committee. I filed a Bill to improve the Widows Benefit and State Retirement System this Spring and on his recommendation I had it put in a study...ah...on a study calendar but in this case I don't agree with his position. This Amendment has to do with municipal with municipal officials and employees and the funding provided by the local governments not the State. We should provide the municipalities with this tool and the local officials will have to take the responsibility for their actions and I recommend your 'aye' vote."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo. Merlo."

Merlo: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House I too do not like to get up and oppose the chairman of the Pension Commission, Jack Wolf. Jack and I both serve on the Illinois Employees Public Laws Pension Committee. I feel that I was very fortunate in just recently being assigned to this Commission, however, in the few meetings that I have attended it seems to have been the concept of the Commission to bring about uniformity in pension funds and I state basically about the public pension. What we're attempting to do here this morning is to bring about this uniformity that your Commission the Commission that this body created uniformity in pension funds in the public interest. Many other pension systems in Illinois have this 2% increment after a period of fifteen to twenty years. I wholeheartedly support this. We passed out of this House a Bill that will bring about a study of all pension funds. This aspect of it too will be considered. I think this is a good Amendment and a good Bill and I do think we should support it."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Mc. Representative Skinner was signaling oh there he is...ah...the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative



Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker I've been assured that...ah...this Bill does not have the urban counties the employees of the Urban Counties Counsel of Illinois still in it but just to the point of information for the other Members. Did you know that we're...ah...letting the...ah...lobbyists for the Illinois Municipal League, the Illinois Association of Park Districts, the Illinois Supervisors, County Commissioners and Superintendents of Highways Association, their all receiving Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund money. It's just very difficult for me to believe. That has nothing to do with the Bill. I just thought you might like to be interested."

Collins: "Is there further discussion. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan to close."

Londrigan: "I did not understand Representative Skinner's statement. What he said is true but that's already been the case for years. That has nothing to do with this Bill. This Bill is primarily to make reciprocal full reciprocity for all your municipal employees with all the other systems. It does for very few employees considering the total number after fifteen years give them a very slight increase in pension benefits on their retirement to as Representative Merlo says to bring up their standards and to bring uniformity with the other pension systems. That's all it does...ah...Representative Wolf, as far as I know, is the only Representative that I have talked to at least, that has opposed it. I've checked on both sides. It's been unanimous in the Senate and I ask for your approval with 107 votes."

Collins: "The question is shall the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 615 be adopted. All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', no. Where's Fred? Ah...hold we lost our clerk if you'll just stand at ease for a moment. The question is...ah...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Well I just wanted to clarify a few points that were made...ah...with all due respect to my colleague Representative Merlo talking about uniformity. Yeah the Chicago Park District they have this and other people have it but they don't get Social Security benefits like the IMRF just got a couple of years ago. So they want this



plus the social security benefits along with it. All I'm asking that if they want it let them come and have the Bill heard in Committee, let them supply us with some figures, let them go before the Public Employees Pension Laws Commission and justify it and there's a Bill over in the Senate, they're giving the firemen a bad time that only cost \$3,000 a year, it affects five widows, that they make a big deal out of but when the Municipal League wants to rip off 3½ million a year that's o'kay."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Lemke 'aye'. Ah... Representative Londrigan for what purpose do you rise?"

Londrigan: "To explain my vote. We've got 100 we got 95 there and we'd like to get 107. I just can not understand Jake Wolf's position. This has been over there in the Senate several months. The only reason we're down here to the last couple of days is because we've had to back and forth in conference because for the Gottchalk Amendment. So it has been discussed. Everybody's aware of it. This is the second time we have discussed this Amendment so everyone knows about the Amendment. It is only doing for the municipalities which is has one of the lowest possible pension systems giving them uniformity with the other systems and raising only those employees after fifteen, two percent and I would ask for a couple of more green lights so we can have 107."

Collins: "Ah...Palmer 'aye'. Molloy 'aye'. Robert Thompson 'aye'. McMaster 'aye'. Grotberg 'aye'. Giorgi 'aye'. Representative Beaupre for what purpose do you rise?"

Beaupre: "I'd like to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Collins: "Giorgi is already voting 'aye'. Representative Beaupre change him from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Bluthardt for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "Purpose of making an observation Mr. Speaker. It just doesn't seem fair to me that what would have passed yesterday comfortably cannot pass today. It just doesn't seem right I...I would ask those who are not voting to give this Bill an 'aye'. Be fair about it."

Collins: "On this motion there are 102 'ayes'...ah...Representative Londrigan for what purpose do you rise?"



Londrigan: "Poll the absentees please?"

Collins: "The Gentleman request a poll of the absentees."

Fredric Selcke: "Anderson, Arrigo, Capparelli, Cartee, Clabaugh, Collins,
Davis."

Collins: "Davis 'aye'."

Fredric Selcke: "DiPrima."

Collins: "DiPrima 'aye'."

Fredric Selcke: "R. L. Dunne."

Collins: "R. L. Dunne 'no'."

Fredric Selcke: "Epton, Ewell, Fleck, Friedland, Granata, Gene Hoffman,
Ron Hoffman, R. Holloway, J. Houlihan, Huskey, Hyde, Klosak, LaFleur,
Leinenweber."

Collins: "Fleck 'aye'."

Fredric Selcke: "Lundy, Mahar, McAuliffe, McClain, McCourt, McCormick,
Murphy, Porter, Rayson, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims,."

Collins: "Ike Sims 'aye'. Capparelli 'aye'. Klosak 'aye'. Robert
Holloway. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Collins: "Holloway 'aye'. Representative Murphy. How is the Gentleman
recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Collins: "Vote him 'aye'."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...Skinner, Soderstrom, Stiehl, Telcser, Terzich,
Totten, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Washington, B.B. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Collins: "On on this motion. Representative Washington for what purpose
do you arise?"

Washington: "I am not recorded. May I be recorded as voting 'aye'?"

Collins: "Representative Washington votes 'aye'. Representative Stiehl
for what purpose do you rise. How is the Lady recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Lady's recorded as not voting."

Collins: "Vote her 'aye'...ah...no vote her 'no'. Ah...Representative
Tim Simms votes 'aye'. On this motion there are 112 'ayes', 32
'nays' and the Gentleman's to adopt Conference Committee Reports
to House Bill 615 prevails. Representative Wolf for what purpose
do you arise?"

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker I'm not going to waste the time of this House to



verify the affirmative Roll Call but I would like to...ah...answer a dissent upon the journal because I think it's a travesty upon the people of Illinois when they can take Bills the day before session and back it up and throw in Amendment and never give a hearing and then pass this and increase tax levies without any hearing at all and I'd like to dissent."

Collins: "House Bill 856. The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Ah...Mr. Speaker I would like to move concurrence with the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 856. The Senate receded from Amendment #2 and and merely added one word, the word 'variable' for 'clarification'. I move...ah...concurrence."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 856 be adopted. All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Molloy 'aye'. Huskey, Representative Huskey for what purpose 'aye'. Huskey. On this motion there are 123 'ayes', 2 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Ah...House Bill 1282, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah...Mr. Speaker I move to adopt the..ah..Conference Committee Report. All it does is clarify the definition of contracts and it deletes the attempt to define professional service and I move for the adoption of Conference Commission Report."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1282 be adopted. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed...ah...by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 'no'. On this motion there are 118 'ayes', 3 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report prevails. On the order Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 1318. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah...Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On this Bill regretfully I must ask for a second Conference Committee for the purpose of adding an effective date. So I move to ah...appoint a second Conference Committee."

Collins: "The the Lady moves that the Conference Committee Report be



rejected?"

Dyer: "Ah...we've we must put add an effective date. So I, I move that a second Conference Committee be appointed."

Collins: "All right. The Lady, the Lady moves that the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1318 be not be adopted. All those in favor of the Lady's motion will indicate by saying 'aye'. Those opposed 'no' and the Lady's motion prevails. On the order of Conference Committee Report appears House Bill 1532. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am pleased to report the Conference Committee did come to a happy conclusion. The Senate receded from Amendments 1 and 2 and House Bill 1532 further amended on page 1 by deleting line 32 and in lieu thereof the following 'month' and on page 2 the time of such strike or layoffs. I would move...ah...the adoption...ah...a Committee Report."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? And the question is shall the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1532 be adopted? All those in favor by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Collins 'aye'. Leinenweber 'aye'. Take the record. On that motion there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'nays' and the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1532 is adopted. Ah... House Bill 1573 there's an error on the calendar. It reads Representative Jacobs. I'm told that it should...ah...read Representative Wolf. On the order of Conference Committee Reports Representative Wolf. House Bill 1573."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker...ah...this Conference Committee Report merely corrected...ah...an error in drafting Amendment in the Senate...ah...originally they forgot to strike...ah...four lines to this Conference Committee Report puts it into the shape that...ah...we wanted and I would move its adoption."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is shall the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1573 be adopted? Those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that motion there are 142 'ayes', 1 'nay' and that motion having received the

constitutional majority of the Conference Committee is adopted. On the order of Conference Committee Reports House Bill 1628. The chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah...thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to move do adopt this Conference Committee Report...ah...the vote on Conference Committee was unanimous in both parties in both Houses. It does have an effective...ah...correct effective date so I would like your affirmative vote on the motion."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? And the question is shall the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1628 be adopted? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Ah...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. A. Walsh."

Walsh, R. A.: "Well just to briefly explain my vote. This is the Bill which which would put students on the governing boards of the various colleges and universities. I don't have, I think this is a good idea and voted 'no' in Committee...ah...there were a few of us doing so in Committee hopefully more would do so on the floor. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Collins: "Have voted who wish? Get me off there. All right. Take the record. On that question there are 123 'ayes', 20 'nays' and the motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report prevails. On the order of Conference Committee Reports House Bill 1640, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House the Conference Committee is happy to report that the Senate receded the Amendment #1. The House concurred in Amendment #2 and House Bill 1640 is further amended on page 3 by deleting line 10 and insert... inserting in lieu thereof the following, 'through the collective bargaining process and employees employed in agriculture and employees engaged in the occupation of canning, processing perishable agriculture products if such employees are employed by an employer in such occupation on a seasonal basis and for not more than 20 weeks of any calendar year or 12 months period'. I would move the adoption to Conference Committee Report."

Collins: "Ah...is there any.....discussion? Then the question is shall



the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1640 be adopted? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The Gentleman from Barro . . . Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "To ask a rhetorical question of Al, if you Al, in an explanation of my vote and perhaps an explanation of yours, would you . . . would you again describe what this does? I didn't . . . wasn't able to hear it, I'm sorry."

Collins: "The Gentleman wish to explain his vote?"

Schoeberlein: "Ah . . . There's a little . . . noise in the back there, could I hear it again please?"

Collins: "The Gentleman asks you by way of rhetorical question to explain just what the report does."

Schoeberlein: "I'll gladly do it again. Ah . . . The Senate receded from Amendment #1, the House concurred in Amendment #2, #3, House Bill 1640 was further amended on page 3 by deleting line 10 and inserting in lieu there . . . thereof the following: 'Through the collective bargaining process and employees, employees in agriculture and employees engaged in the occupation of canning and processing perishable agriculture products and such employees are employed by an employer and such occupation on a seasonal basis and for not more than 20 weeks during any calendar year or 12 month period'."

Collins: "All right, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On that motion there are 122 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report prevails. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill . . . is Representative Berman on the floor? House Bill 1790, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, we have distributed a Conference Committee Report that did not have the effective date. We're retyping and having it signed so could we take this out of the Record for just a few minutes?"

Collins: "All right, take that out of the Record. Ah . . . Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "Representative Schlickman advises that the . . . ah . . . new Conference . . . the proper Conference Committee Report has been



filed . . . ah . . . Jack, could you just check to see if that has the effective date, is there a line in it? It does, all right, then . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . ."

Collins: "All right, then Representative Berman . . . to House Bill 1790."

Berman: ". . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would move to . . . ah . . . adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1790. Ah . . . This was the Bill that passed out of this House . . . ah . . . with an overwhelming vote. It directs itself to reimbursement for orphans and . . . ah . . . foster home children under the School Code. There was an Amendment that Representative Schlickman had requested regarding . . . ah . . . a problem involving the children at Maryville. There was some technical problems with the Amendment as it came back from the Senate, that's why we have the Conference Committee Report . . . ah . . . Conference Committee appointed that . . . ah . . . technical problem has been resolved and I would now move the . . . ah . . . adoption of Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1790."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? All right, the question is, shall the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1790 be adopted? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On that question there are 122 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report prevails. Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Did that Bill receive a 3/5 constitutional majority?"

Collins: "It . . . yes, 122 'ayes'."

Shea: "Thank you."

Collins: "On the order of Noncurrence appears Senate Bill 697, Representative . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Cook, do you wish to proceed with that? Take it out of the Record. Ah . . . Is the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, on the floor? On the order of Nonconcurrency, Senate Bill 908, Representative G. L. Hoffman, do you wish to proceed? Oh, have we done that? The Gentleman from Dupage, Representative Hoffman, G. L. Hoffman."



Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 908 will be found on the Supplemental Calendar for today . . . ah . . . for Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 908 . . . ah . . . amends the Capital Development Board and provides for grant . . . ah . . . index. We have . . . ah . . . straightened out the grant index, we have applied its application equitably both to large districts and small, and I move that we . . . ah . . . concur in the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 908. We have amended it to provide for an effective date of October the 1st, and I would solicit your positive support."

Collins: "Now, wait a minute, I'm not sure the Members have followed that. I've called the . . . ah . . . Bill on the order of Nonconcurrency on the Calendar. It now appears on the Supplemental Calendar #1 on the order of Conference Committee Report, and the Gentleman now moves that the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 908 be adopted. Is there any discussion? Then the question is, shall the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 908 be adopted? All those in favor will signify by . . . by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record . . . 'aye', Ebbesen 'aye'. On that motion there are 125 'ayes', no 'nays'; and that motion having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared adopted. Yes, the Clerk has an announcement to make."

Jack O'Brien: "There were a pair of glasses found right here in front of the Clerk's podium, half are thinned lines."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Dupage, Representative G. L. Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hoffman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, when you . . . ah . . . made your statement following the 123 votes I received on my Bill, you said constitutional majority. Is it necessary that we say having received the necessary . . . ah . . . 3/5 majority?"

Collins: "Ah . . . I really don't believe so. I think that the . . . the indication is that the . . . ah . . . that the number has been stated and that would speak for itself without stating that it's the . . . 3/5 . . . words have to be used. Ah . . . I still got a few here. Is Representative Peters on the floor? Representative



Schneider. All right, we're moving over to Supplemental Calendar #1. Is Representative Berman still on the floor? All right, then we'll go . . . on the order of Conference Committee Reports . . . is Representative Catania . . . oh, yes, the Lady from Cook, Representative Catania with respect to Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 3."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, I move that this House do not accept the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 3 and that another Conference Committee be appointed."

Collins: "The Lady moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 3. Those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Lady's motion prevails. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill . . . ah . . . 83. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters on the floor? Is Craig on the . . . on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears . . . I'm . . . I'm sorry, I didn't see Representative Walters. Senate Bill 83."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move we do concur the provisions, the change was on line 17 on our Amendment, they changed the words 'provision of service facilities' to 'comfort stations and weather shelters'. It's been approved by both sides, and I move to adopt . . . to concur."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, shall the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 83 be adopted? Those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Ah . . . Representative Walsh, R. A. Walsh, the Gentleman from Cook. Skinner 'aye'. Hill 'aye'."

Walsh: "Well, the . . ."

Collins: "Representative Walsh. Well, he . . . on that motion there are 119 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt . . . a Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 83 prevails. On the order of Conference Committee Reports . . . on the order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 367. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig. Representative Craig, do you wish



to proceed? Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 367."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wish to concur . . . ask the House to concur with Senate Bill 367, Conference Committee Report which is your . . . our officers salaries and ours, too."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "What is the effective date on this Bill? Well, now, Bob, I ask you to look at it because as it originally went through the House, this Bill had no effective date clause and we brought it to the attention of the administration and I'm wondering what they did because if not, this Bill would not have been effective until October the 1st."

Collins: "Representative Shea . . . it . . . it . . . I've just examined it and it has an effective date of July 1, 1973."

Shea: "Thank you."

Collins: "All right, then the question is, shall the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 367 be adopted? Those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Two more Bills. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Ah . . . Representative W. D. Walsh 'aye'. Blair 'aye'. On that question there are 133 'ayes', no 'nays', and the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 36 . . . or Senate Bill 367 is adopted. With respect to Senate Bill 371, Conference Committee, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce. Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 371, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, this is an error. Last night on 371 we voted to recede . . . ah . . . from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 371, which is final passage. There's been no Conference Committee on 371. The Senate concurred in our Amendment 2, refused to concur in our Amendment 1 and I move to recede from Amendment #1 and it received 89 votes last night."

Collins: "Well, Representative Pierce, I have before me a Conference Committee signed by five Members of each House. The Conference Committee Report recommends that the House recede from House Amendment #1 and the Senate concur with House Amendment #2."



Pierce: "Well, that's all right, it's the same result, I wasn't on this Conference Committee, as you can see, even though I am the House Sponsor of the Bill. So I would move to adopt this wonderful Conference Committee Report which obtains the same result as I thought we'd obtained last night."

Collins: "All right, the Gentleman's motion is that the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 371 be adopted. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. It requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. We passed it twice now, that's fine. On that motion there are 138 'ayes', 3 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report prevails. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1153."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1153, LaFluer, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to Public Instruction. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Dupage, Representative LaFluer."

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1153 is a Bill to . . . ah . . . appropriate to the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction \$94,750 for the instruction of the Nuclear Energy Education Act where the mandate is that they staff experience and academic to assist in consulting and planning operation and evaluation of programs relating to the study of a . . . atomic radiations and its peaceful use. I move for the adoption of this."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Collins: "He indicates he'll yield."

Schlickman: "Representative, do you have an early effective date?"

LaFluer: "This Act shall take effect July 1st, 1973."

Schlickman: ". . . or . . .?"

LaFluer: "It does not say that, 'F.T.'!"

Collins: "Is there further discussion? Oh, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Just a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker, if an effective date is July 1, 1973, but is approved subsequently, what is the effect?"

Collins: "It becomes effective the date it is approved."



Schlickman: "Thank you."

Collins: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Dupage, Representative LaFluer, to close."

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Collins: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Collins 'aye'. Take the Record. On this motion there are 122 'ayes', 6 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional 3/5 majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1154. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1154, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan, D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1154 is the appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction for the Teachers Retirement System of the City of Chicago. The appropriated amount is \$57,700,000. This request for the amount is pursuant to the statutory formula and I urge the adoption of the Bill."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional 3/5 majority is, hereby, declared passed. Didn't I say a constitutional 3/5 majority? Well, if I didn't, then let the Record show that this Bill received the constitutional 3/5 majority and is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1157."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1157, Berman, a Bill for an Act to provide appropriation for the ordinary expenses of Superintendent of Public Instruction. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is the appropriation Bill for the



operations of O.S.P.I. and the grants in aid appropriation. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, first I would ask leave to bring this Bill back to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment."

Collins: "Gentleman asks leave that this Bill be returned to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment. Does he have leave? Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Berman, amends Senate Bill 1157 as amended on page 1 and so forth."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, before we consider Amendment #1, I would move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted. Now, Amendment #1 was adopted, it's a Committee Amendment, but in reviewing it there was one Section number of the School Code that was deleted. Amendment 4 corrects that. So at this time I would move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted."

Collins: "Gentleman moves that the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted be reconsidered. All those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "And now I move to table Amendment #1, Mr. Speaker."

Collins: "Representative Berman moves that Amendment #1 be tabled. All those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and Amendment #1 is tabled."

Berman: "Now, I move to adopt Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, which is in substance the same as Amendment #1 except for the addition of one reference to a School Code Section."

Collins: "The Gentleman offers and moves the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1157. Is there any discussion? All those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'nay'; and Amendment #4 is adopted. Third Reading."

Berman: "And now, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1157 is the appropriation, as I indicated, for the administration for the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction and the allocations for the Grants in Aid Programs for the fiscal year of '74, I would move for . . . ah . . . a favorable Roll Call on Senate Bill 1157."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representa-



tive Palmer."

Palmer: "Yeah, Representative Berman, how does this square this year . . . this fiscal year and begins last years, is there any increase and if so how . . . how much and . . ."

Berman: "I believe in the operations of the office there was a 7 percent decrease in the operational . . . ah . . ."

Palmer: "The overall . . . the overall appra . . . appropriation amount is about the same as it was last year?"

Berman: "I'm sorry."

Palmer: "The overall appropriation amount is about the same as it was the last fiscal year?"

Berman: "For the office itself, the operations of the office are, I think, it's a little less."

Palmer: "All right."

Collins: "Is there any further discussion? Do you wish to close, Representative Berman?"

Berman: "Just to ask for a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Collins: "Then the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. I was given an order of a Bill to call. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Yeah . . . I . . . Collins 'aye'. On this motion there are 133 'ayes', 1 'nay'; I . . . Simms 'aye' . . . Kennedy 'aye', Maragos 'aye', Kriegsman 'aye' . . . and this Bill having received a constitutional 3/5 majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill, pardon me, Senate Bill 1159."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1159, Lechowicz, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Unknown: "Are they . . . ah . . ."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1159 is the annual appropriation for the Department of Children and Family Services. It passed out of the Appropriation's Committee 28 to nothing. There were no reductive Amendments in it. In fact, there was a slight increase in the annual appro-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1913-1914

priation. I ask for your favorable support."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. I did I . . . Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Blair 'aye'. On this question there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional 3/5 majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 462."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 462, Lauer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 462 is a Bill that is designed to return to the county, not all, but some of the money that they lost when under the 1970 Constitution the 3 percent collection fee on . . . ah . . . property taxes was forbidden by the new Constitution. In the Senate the Bill was amended to where the county in which the tax is collected retains 25 percent. Now, in various counties, for example, in Cook County there is a collection of almost \$19,000,000 so that approximately \$6,000,000 would be, \$6,500,000 would be retained in the County of Cook. In Peoria County, for example, . . . ah . . . there is a collection of . . . ah . . . \$634,000 that . . . ah . . . was lost in collectors fees that the Inheritance Tax would . . . ah . . . return approximately \$200,000 of this. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not a Bill that would return all of the money that was collected . . . ah . . . prior to the 1970 Constitution by the county; but I'm sure that all of you will recall that this did put all of the County Governments in a financial pinch because of the fees for collection and you're also aware that there has been attempts to make some provision whereby counties could be reimbursed for the cost of collection of taxes. Now, in the present law a 4 percent . . . ah . . . collection fee is left to the county or granted to the county by the state. So there would be an increase of . . . ah . . . 21 percent of the amount of the Inheritance Tax that does remain in the County of Collection. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would request a favorable vote."



Collins: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Very simply and without wasting a lot of time, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is another one of those raised on the State Treasury. Consider, if you will, that a full 25 percent of the Inheritance Tax would remain in the county. Now, that's so different from what we do today it isn't funny; and I should call attention to the House to the fact that we have . . . we have this Session passed what I think is a reasonable collection fee for the county to wit', at least \$50 on every return handled and that's something that they're going to get that makes a little sense with a little work that needs to be handled through the Treasurer's Office. I recommend a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I was one of the six persons that voted 'no' on the Revenue Committee when this Bill was considered. Ah . . . I can see no justification for the shift in the benefits of 1/4 of the state tax back to the county. Moreover, it may create a very serious . . . very serious problem because we raise the question of domicile as to where the . . . ah . . . the decedent died. We will have situations where the decedents will have two apartments or home in one place and a cottage in another and because of the amount of monies involved, it creates a very serious conflict between say Cook County and Lake County or . . . or counties in which a claim might be made that the . . . the decedent was a domicile of that county. As a . . . as one who practices probate, I know that there is significant problems between states when there is a question as to a domicile. There's no need for the state to create a situation in which we will find that kind of a problem and I urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Collins: "The . . . the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I wish the Sponsor would yield to a question."

Collins: "The Gentleman indicates he'll yield."

Schraeder: "I didn't hear the figure that you said was going to be in . . . in the counties of the municipal government that would normally



go to the State of Illinois. Do you have that total picture. How many billion dollars was it?"

Lauer: "Total dollars . . ."

Schraeder: "Total dollars."

Lauer: "16.3 million according to past collections. This, of course, would vary, but I imagine that would be close . . . that it would be a reasonable average."

Schraeder: "Thank you."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Collins: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye', contrary 'nay'; and the previous question is moved. The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer to close."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, here is a chance to give assistance to County Governments all over the state, upstate, downstate, either side of the state and all of these counties need the assistance. Their possibility of raising funds is severely limited. We have put out of this House and out of the Senate a Bill to freeze taxes. We have the dependence upon real estate of County Government, the counties find themselves full funding with their backs up the wall. Here is an opportunity, not to alleviate the whole problem and certainly not to give them a chance to . . . to . . . ah . . . raid the treasury, rather we talk about Local Government and home rule units and let the Local Government do it, and we keep mandating things for them to do but we don't give them the source of funds to . . . ah . . . do it. I solicit a favorable vote."

Collins: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Collins 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record . . . Collins 'aye'. The Gentleman from Whiteside, . . . ah . . . Representative Miller, for what purpose Miller, for what purpose do you arise?"

Miller (K.W.): "Mr. Speaker, I . . . I was standing here wanting to explain my vote on this . . ."



Collins: "Proceed, Sir."

Miller: ". . . and I don't know whether there's enough votes to pass this Bill, but I wanted to support this Bill, Sir, and I would like to make one comment regarding the comment made by Mr. Mugalian with respect to the domicile problem. Ah . . . I don't think this is significant at all because the courts now in probate do have the same problem to decide what county a person is . . . is domiciled in at the time of state proceedings or inheritance tax proceedings are presented to the court. Now, as far as I'm concerned, the courts are going to take care of this. I see no problem in this and surely this is going to help our . . . our local counties on something that they desperately need because of loss of the . . . of the fee collection of the new Constitution. I urge green lights to show on the board."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm in complete sympathy with the Sponsor's intention on this Bill 'cause I know the township, the County Government and all Local Governments need the money; but it's . . . it's too bad that we don't have enough state money to go around for all the good cause; and I think \$16,000,000 in the State Treasury is too much of a depletion of what we need; and I don't want to be against this Bill, but I'm hopefully just going to vote 'present'. I think maybe somewhere along the line we can do something for the County Government."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know, prior to the new Constitution the counties did get a 4 percent fee for collecting this tax for the state. Since the . . . ah . . . new Constitution became effective, the counties now get nothing for doing it. I do not think it's fair that the county could do this service for the state and get nothing, that the state collects, for instance, the sales tax for the counties and the state does keep a fee for doing this. I think turnabout's fair play. The . . . ah . . . county deserves something for the work they are doing for the state, and I would urge a green light on this Bill."



Collins: "The . . . ah . . . Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think . . . I think this is an excellent concept. We had a Bill in here last Session to increase or to take 50 percent of it, but certainly what . . . what's wrong with the . . . inheritance tax being paid locally as Representative McMaster's said. Actually what's happening now is the counties are losing money by collecting this . . . ah . . . for the state; and I think it's wrong for the state to make money at the expense of the county; and I know some counties are very wealthy and don't really make any difference about their budget, but most of the counties that I represent and most of the counties that I know about need additional money because of the 1970 Constitution; and certainly I think it's a very good idea to pay 25 percent of the Illinois Inheritance Tax to the place where the tax is collected and where it's administered and so forth; and I think we should vote and pass this Bill."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster. Cox 'aye'."

McMaster: "Ah . . . A point of inquiry on this, Mr. Speaker, do I understand the rules of the new Constitution correctly that 89 votes would pass this legislation, but it does not . . . it does not become effective until next July?"

Collins: "Yes, that's correct. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, can I explain my vote?"

Collins: "Well, it's a little late, but go ahead."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this year I don't think in all fairness that the state can stand this loss of revenue and still maintain to do and keep the operation the promises that have been made in holding down taxes. Now, \$15 or \$16,000,000 is a rather sizeable sum and has been already stated taking this money from the state is a fine thing to do, but I think this problem in the counties, I think we're trying . . . they're asking us to take up their obligations and I feel that their obligation should be . . . we have plenty to take care of ourselves, rather than going in and



trying to bail them out. I think the county board, this is a problem that they should live . . . face up to the same as we have to face up financing our cost of State Government; and I urge the people on this side to think twice before they cast a green vote here."

Collins: "On this question . . . Jack . . . on this question there are 99 'ayes', 37 'nos'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, here . . . hereby, declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Does that mean that that should become effective a year from now?"

Collins: "That's correct. That means that it will become effective July 1st of '74. The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you arise?"

Tipsword: "I rise to make a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Collins: "State your point."

Tipsword: "I appreciate the fact that Representative Lauer was able to have his Bill presented just now. Ah . . . However, I'd like to make an inquiry and determine how you get a Bill presented. I note that there are presently, and at the time that this Bill was presented, at least 11 more appropriation Bills remaining upon the Senate Bills, Third Reading, and 48 amended Bills that require concurrence from the Senate remaining upon that Calendar. This Bill was neither an appropriation, nor an amended Bill requiring concurrence in the Senate. So I'm wondering . . . ah . . . what's the order of priority on these Bills and how do you get them called?"

Collins: "Well, as . . . as you know, there are . . . the rule was suspended so there is no order of priority and so we're . . . the Chair is trying to accommodate all Bills and to call all Bills . . . ah . . . today and . . . ah . . . to accommodate everybody. Well, in . . . in . . ."

Tipsword: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Collins: ". . . canter to you there are certain Bills that have been . . . ah . . . requested of the Chair that they be called and the request comes from Leadership and obviously the Chair is going to



honor that request."

Tipsword: ". . . well, Mr. Speaker, there were a lot of Bills that were placed yesterday that required concurrence and we were told at that time that those Bills would be called before other Bills were called; appropriations and those requiring concurrence; and I'd like to know when there were . . . when that was changed. It was never announced to us and . . . and just why particular Bills are picked out which are neither on the next order of business from the one we went through or what. There has to be some reasons to go somewhere."

Collins: "I imagine there are, but I haven't been advised of them. Ah . . . The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre, for what reason do you arise?"

Beaupre: "Hazarding the disenchantment of many of the people in this House, I ask for a verification on the last Roll Call on a bad Bill."

Collins: "I . . . I didn't, I'm sorry, Representative Beaupre, I didn't hear your point."

Beaupre: "I requested a verification on the last Roll Call."

Collins: "That request is not timely and is out of order. Senate Bills 6 . . . Senate Bill 641. Representative Washington, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washington: "Well, I feel that the Gentleman has a right to a verification notwithstanding the fact that I voted on the prevailing side, but since you refuse it to him, I haven't voted on the prevailing side, I now move that the vote by which that Bill passed be reconsidered."

Collins: "The House will stand at ease for . . . ah . . . just a moment. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, again, we're locked up in a situation that's going to consume a lot of time merely because of the arrogance of the Chair. It seems to me that you ought to straighten yourself out up there and do what's proper and we won't get tangled up like this. I'm getting just a little fed up on this."

Collins: "I'll do my best to follow your advise, thank you. Representative Washington . . . yeah . . . Representative Washington, if I



may read in the . . . if the House will please pay attention to the . . . this . . . the Rule 39B states, 'If 89 of the Members, but fewer than 107, vote affirmatively for a Bill passed after June 30th, then the vote on the Bill is automatically deemed reconsidered and the Bill subject to an Amendment striking out the earlier effective date, the Amendment if offered shall be printed and placed on the desks of the Members before the Bill is reconsidered upon motion of the Sponsor'. So now the Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Lauer, will have the Amendment striking the earlier effective date drawn at which time the Amendment will be offered and the Membership will have the opportunity to vote on the merits and vote it up or down. The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Are you also saying then, Mr. Speaker, that you're dumping the last Roll Call?"

Collins: "That is correct."

Barry: "Thank you."

Collins: "The Bill is being reconsidered so the Bill . . . yeah . . . now, yes, yes, you're correct, the Bill is for all practical effect still before us, the Bill for practical effect is still before us and will have to receive 89 votes to secure passage. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you arise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I rise . . . ah . . . to make an inquiry of the Chair, and this is the nature of the inquiry. It's a request for information since about 12:30 today I know I and other Members have privately inquired of the Leadership as to what the program was for the day, whether we were expecting, you know, to stay overnight and on into Monday or whether we were going to identify some priority Bills, move on them and abandon everything else and I think there are many Members on the floor who have Bills on the Calendar who worked hard on those Bills and brought them to the stage of Third Reading of wherever they are, and . . . ah . . . I'm wondering whether . . . ah . . . the Leadership . . . ah . . . on both sides of the aisle might be able to indicate to all of us whether there's going to be a . . . ah . . . an effort to table all



Bills or whether we're going to take them up...ah...leave them on the Calendar and take them up in October, and I think it would be very helpful...ah...for the moral an spirit of this Chamber for us to know what the program and the intentions are...ah...particularly it might even help us to know whether to check out of our motels or not, and I would inquire of the Chair...ah...if would could have some indication...ah...of what the plans are ahead."

Collins: "The gentleman from Will...ah...Representative Blair."

Blair: "Well the Senate has just...ah...passed the Adjournment Resolution...ah...which says...ah...when the two Bodies adjourn today that we will be returning here at twelve noon on Monday, October 15th. Now it is the Leaderships intention to work just as fast as we can in regard...ah...to every Bill that we have here before us. A suggestion has been made that if there are those of you who have Bills that are here...ah...but...ah...could see your way clear...ah...to the possibility of having them recommitted to Committee to go on Interim Study Committee Calendar so that they would not be brought back on the Calendar until after January 1 of next year...ah...that might help us to expedite the matter. Now we have that alternative or a motion to have those kinds of Bills where you all would agree to do that placed on what we would call a Spring Calendar because it is the Leaderships intention in both the House and the Senate only to consider in the Fall Session...ah...those matters pertaining to gubernatorial veto messages. Now I would be happy to answer any questions anybody might have. Ah...the Leadership can't speed the process up...ah...any faster than we are trying to do right now and have been trying to do for sometime."

Collins: "The gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "If the gentleman from Will will answer a question. Ah...how will we go about...are you going to compile a list of Bills that will go on this Interim Calendar...ah...put it in a motion or what?"

Blair: "Well that...that is the thing that the Leadership at this moment is considering...ah...and we felt we would then go



around and...ah...to see how many Bills we would get on that sort of a motion. We would have to suspend the rules and etc., and I think that the preferable method would be...ah...to...ah... get as many Bills of that type as we could and...ah...have them placed on a Spring 1974 Calendar, and that would help us to speed up the effort a great deal...ah...in any event on the question of...ah...your hotel accommodations...ah...I see no way that you should have to...ah...ah...have to stay here tonight because the Senate Adjournment Resolution is that when we adjourn today we're going to go, and their going to be adjourning over there I would say sometime within the next two to three hours. So... ah...that is what we are faced with...ah...I would suggest that perhaps if we had our staff people come around and see how many Bills that we can get...ah...that would be put on a Spring Calendar ...ah...so that you all will have preserved a right for consideration of your Bill. Otherwise...ah...I think there simply just going to die because of the Senate's...ah...Adjournment...ah... I mean just pursuant to that motion of adjourning within in two or three hours."

Collins: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker I just have one...ah...short inquiry and that is if you take the case of a Senate Bill that's on Third Reading, and suppose we don't get to it today...ah...and if it is committed ...ah...back to a House Committee...ah...is it the...ah...will it be the case that that Bill will be alive until next January ...ah...so we won't don't have to go through introducing the Bill and all that printing and all that all over again. Is that what would happen? We would just keep these Bills whether there Senate Bills or House Bills or whatever they are...ah...if there here on our Calendar they would be preserved in limbo until January of 1974."

Blair: "Well I said that we were...we were discussing the two alternatives ...one recommitting...ah...then going into Interim Study. The other alternative which I frankly think is the preferable one is to take Bills...ah...that the Sponsors are willing to go along without having them called today, and put them on a special cal-



endar which we'll call a Spring 1974 Calendar, and...ah...all of you who have Bills that are on the Calendar now that would want to go along with that I think that...ah...you would have your Bills ready to have action taken on them in whatever form you wanted to in the Spring of 1974, and I think that could speed up the process a great deal and...ah...ah...Mr. Minority Leader how does that sound to you. Would you be willing to proceed in that fashion?"

Choate: "Well if we could get a little order and let the Membership listen, they might not have to prolong this by asking questions. Certainly it's agreeable with what the Speaker said with the Minority Leader, and I think by doing this that regardless of what time the Senate does adjourn then that we are giving life to Bills that are not only House Members are interested in but certainly the Senate Sponsor should be interested in. I would suggest that this is the proper action that we could take at this time. I would suggest also that this is the way that we can go about getting the other appropriation matters and Bills on the Calendar that must be passed prior to adjournment sometime today out of the way. This is the way that we can also pay attention to the various important Conference Committees that have been formed and are meeting and ones to be formed and must meet."

Blair: "Alright. We will proceed in that fashion then and we will have the staff people coming around with Calendars...and...ah... if they are not appropriation matters...or...ah...matters that are on the...ah...in Conference Committee and so forth...ah... we'll be asking you if you would go along with having your Bills put on Spring 1974 Calendar, and we would take the matter up at that time. It is the intention so you will know about next year...ah... I think we will have to have a Perfunctory Session in...ah...January...ah...under the Constitution a one day Perfunct then...ah...in the Fall I think the schedule would look like...ah...depending on how many messages regarding vetoes come from the Governor...ah...we intend no Committee action...ah...at all in that Fall Session...ah...just to consider the veto matters



and so we would think that within two to three weeks why we ought to have the Fall matters cleaned up. For Perfunct in January for one day because the Constitution requirement... ah...back for one day for the Governors Budget Message on March 1, and that's when that Spring Calendar would start up."

Choate: "Right. Now if this is agreeable to the Membership Mr. Speaker, I would suggest at this time that we go to the budgetary matters on the Calendar so that we can start action on them."

Speaker Blair: "Alright. I agree to that and another suggestion has been made to me. It might facilitate speeding up this...ah... Spring Calendar matter. If those of you who wish to go along with that would go up to the...ah...Podium and...ah...I'll have Jim Edgar up there from my staff and...ah...the Minority Leader will have...."

Choate: "I might state to the Membership that Representative Hill had just asked me for a recognition so that he could place a Bill that he is interested in on the Interim Calendar to keep it alive. However, Representative Hill if you...to keep it alive for the Spring Calendar... However, if you would go down to the Clerk's Podium at this time they will accord you that... ah...privilege so that it will stay alive for the Spring Calendar, and I would assign Curt Jensen to cooperate with your...ah...member from your staff Mr. Speaker to get this accomplished."

Collins: "There are a lot of Members seeking recognition. Ah... the gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew for what purpose to do seek recognition?"

McGrew: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... I sat here for quite a few days and tried to speed matters wherever possible, and we've come up with a list of agreed Bills that would not have to go through this procedure just outlined. I would very highly suggest that we do this very same thing just once more. At least to clean up those Bills that are relatively noncontroversial. I have Senate Bill 592 that is absolutely nothing but the State Tree Bill. It's something that's already been voted on by a million students, and I think



that it is...ah...had its course. I asked to have it called in the Executive Committee three different times of which the speaker is now the Chairman. They were very busy. I withdrew my request to have it at that time. I came three mornings to have it heard and I think it is very much in order that we do get this list of agreed Bills. If we don't do this, I would prefer to let it die and let it be known to a million school children that the Leadership of this House would not do so."

Collins: "Repre....the gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I have two inquiries. Do I understand correctly that it is the present intention of the Leadership that the only Bills that will be considered for the remainder of today will be Appropriation Bills and Bills that are subject of Conference Committees?"

Collins: "I don't think I drew that influence, but I think they indicated that that would be the priority of call."

Schlickman: "Okay. Number two do I understand that for those Members who have let's say not exempt Bills on the Calendar that we have one of two choices. We can either ask that the Bills be placed on the House Spring Calendar or in the alternative that they be committed to Committee?"

Collins: "Now I think that you were being urged to take one of those two avenues if you felt your Bill could wait until then. I think if you want to take your chances on getting a Bill called today that is your option obviously. It's just a matter of whether we're going to have time or not to accommodate everybody."

Schlickman: "I'm only suggesting this Mr. Speaker. That if we anticipate that a nonappropriation Bill will not be heard today we have two options. One to place it on the House Spring Calendar or two send it back to Committee."

Collins: "Yes. That's my understanding."

Schlickman: "Okay, fine."

Collins: "The gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipword for what purpose do you arise?"

Tipword: "Well Mr. Speaker I just arose to point out. You know some of these Bills are not really...ah...well there in our



control legally. They're not actually in our control. These Bills...most of the Bills that are on this Calendar are Senate Bills, and we're handling them for Senate Sponsors. Very often we are handling these Bills because the Senate Sponsor has also done us a kindness in handling our Bills or in obtaining some action in the Senate, and I think that if..... ah...we simply don't find ourselves in the position of being able to come up to the front and saying yes I'll table that Bill because it really isn't mine and we are violating an obligation that we have to the Senate Sponsors, and many of these occasions because they have helped us very much on House Bills."

Collins: "The...ah...gentleman from Cook, Representative W. D. Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Well, I would just like to point out that I don't feel as keenly about our obligation to the Senate Sponsors as the gentleman does. I was talking to the Senate staff man last night who pointed out to me that the way they tabled House Bills over in the Senate was by column not by Bill. So I wouldn't worry to much about the Senate Sponsors. They are not worrying about us."

Collins: "The gentleman's point is well taken. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Hoffman R. K."

R. K. Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Unfortunately I don't think that we can assume that attitude. I think we have to look at the Bills and consider them on their merits. I had one Bill that was on the Consent Calendar...ah...I am the House Sponsor of it, and it was agreed by both sides to have it on the Consent Calendar and I removed it for the purpose of an Amendment. I feel that a lot of these Bills on here have been done...ah...similarly and there should be some vehicle that we could bring them back to Second...put on a clarifying Amendment noncontroversial and move them off the Calendar. Is there some way that we could get the Leadership to...ah...allow us to bring these back...ah...correct them and put them back out there?"

Collins: "Representative Hoffman, I think that I am in the same boat



you are and I . . . ah . . . I don't have an answer for you. We're going to try and accommodate the Bills that we can. I am following instructions of Leadership as to priority of call and we'll do the best we can and I think if we can proceed to the business at hand now, maybe we can dispose of a lot more business than . . . ah . . . than we feel at this time that we're going to be able to. The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Got a couple of Bills that I want to table. May God love you. Senate Bill 889 is one of them."

Collins: "Does the Gentleman have leave to table Senate Bill 889? The Gentleman . . . and the Bill shall be tabled."

Barry: "Got another one that's House Bill 935."

Collins: "The Gentleman have leave to table House Bill 935? Gentleman have leave, and the Bill to be tabled."

Barry: ". . . favor."

Collins: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative R. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to table Senate Bill 884."

Collins: "Pardon me, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I'd like leave to table Senate Bill 884."

Unknown: "Leave."

Collins: "The Gentleman asks leave to table Senate Bill 884, does he have leave? Then Senate Bill 884 shall be tabled. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, on the Calendar on the order of Third Reading is House Bill 1209 which would amend the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act. Now, it's intention, Mr. Speaker, to have . . . to ask that that Bill be . . . be placed on the House Spring Calendar; however, there is an Amendment that I would like to offer, have adopted before this is placed on the House Spring Calendar. The Amendment is similar to an Amendment that was offered to House Bill 1958, 18 . . . and 1820. May I, Mr. Speaker, have leave to . . . to go to that order of business, House Bills, Third Reading, and then have leave to bring that Bill back to Second Reading for adoption of an Amendment and then have it placed on the House Spring Calendar?"

Collins: "Well . . . well, is there any reason why that has to be done now?"



We could . . . ah . . . that could even be done in the Spring, but maybe before we finish the business for the day . . . ah . . . I do . . . I do see some heads shaking in objection out there, so maybe we'd better cross that bridge when we come to it, Gene."

Schlickman: "Well, the Record shows I tried."

Collins: "The Record shall show. Representative . . . ah . . . from Cook, Mr. W. D. Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's my pleasure at this time to introduce the parents of our colleague, Cal Skinner, Mr. and Mrs. Calvin Skinner; oh, and . . . Cal's long suffering . . . ah . . . lovely wife, Cindy, is in the Gallery with them."

Collins: "Not too many, we got rid of most of them. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker, some of these Bills have an expiration date on . . . in . . . on the Calendar. If we put them on the Spring Calendar, will we have to waive that . . . the rule that we have?"

Collins: "I assume that that would be the rule on the . . . ah . . . Calendar today will be suspended . . . ah . . . with the motion to put Bills on the Spring Calendar. Representative Walsh, did you, Representative W. D. Walsh, did you have a motion?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, I did. Is . . . ah . . . Representative Katz on the floor?"

Collins: "I don't see him."

Walsh: "Well, I'll withhold my motion until . . ."

Collins: "All right, then let's get back to business. Ah . . ."

Walsh: "Well, he is on the floor. Well, then I'll make my motion, Mr. Speaker. Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the concurrence to House Bill 1268 was passed."

Collins: "What is his motion? You move to reconsider the vote by which . . ."

Wash: "Senate Amendment . . . 3 on the . . ."

Collins: ". . . 3 on House Bill 1268 was passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah . . . The . . . ah . . . distinguished Majority Leader hasn't



explained the basis on which he is asking the House to do this. I think that the House should be apprised, Mr. Majority Leader, of the basis on which you ask."

Walsh: "Well, if you . . . if you . . . well, Mr. Sponsor, if . . . if you want me to, I will. I would just soon you'd support my motion, we'd get it over with and then we can get into the merits of #3 following that."

Katz: "Well, . . . ah . . . I believe that the House . . . ah . . . is entitled to know when they vote on the motion to reconsider that . . . ah . . . you contend as I understand it that the Amendment had not been distributed to each of the Members and that technically the Amendment should have been distributed. I did explain the Amendment accurately and completely. Had nothing at all to do with the failure of the Clerk to distribute it. Technically there is a violation, I will concede that, but I would also say that in fact every Member of this House would have heard me explain exactly what the Senate Amendment did. I explained it accurately and fully. There was nothing in the Amendment itself that went beyond or changed what I've said. Technically you are correct, but it is highly technical, and I just want to make that point perfectly clear."

Walsh: "May I ask if the Gentleman intends to support my motion?"

Katz: "Yes, I intend to support your motion even though I consider it unwise for the motion to have been made; but since I am one who believes very much in . . . ah . . . technical procedures, I will support the motion. I think it is very unwise when we are following the procedures that we have seen in the last 24 hours for you to light on this Bill. It's the one where there was not a technical compliance. It has a prejudicial effect in that if you had raised your point last night, all . . . it could have been corrected last night. The Amendment could have been distributed and we would've needed 89 votes. By moving to reconsider now, we're going to be required to have 107 votes, which is highly prejudicial, not to me, but to the working people who may be in Illinois, but technically you're correct."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry, for



what purpose do you arise?"

Barry: "A couple of parliamentary inquiries."

Collins: "State your point."

Barry: "Firstly, do we officially or unofficially suspend the rules with regard to placing copies of Amendments on the desks in the wee hours of the closing Session? While the Parliamentarian is checking that, Mr. Speaker, my second . . ."

Collins: "Well, I don't think we have . . ."

Barry: ". . . inquiry, if I may?"

Collins: "Yes."

Barry: "My second inquiry is whether the motion of the Majority Leader comes too late? It was . . . ah . . . the order of business of a previous day."

Collins: "I . . . ah . . ."

Barry: "May I just have a moment of explanation?"

Collins: "Yes."

Barry: "In addition to what Harold Katz has indicated for the benefit of the House, this Bill 1268 is a workman's compensation vehicle that was amended in the Senate adding merely a flat rate 14 percent a cost-of-living increase, nothing more or nothing less; and . . . ah . . . last night people not . . . ah . . . of the Membership of the Legislature on this side of the records but on the other side made an agreement that Amendment receive 36 votes or 3/5 in the Senate. It came over here, it was passed upon as about, I think, the last order of business other than Mr. Collins' motion to proceed with his election Bill, as I recall, and . . . ah . . . as I recall, did not get a red light. So I think it's much ado about nothing particularly if the Speaker rules that the motion of the Majority Leader comes too late."

Collins: "Well, the . . . the ruling of the Chair is that the motion of the Majority Leader is timely. Now, as to your second point, there . . . there has not been a motion to suspend the rule or as to my knowledge a routine suspension of the rule on the distribution of Amendments on . . . ah . . . the Members desk."

Barry: "All right, then, I suppose we proceed and . . . ah . . . and when we proceed, I would just try to make it very clear that what



we're really voting on here and it can go on for several Roll Calls is whether or not we allow cost-of-living increase to the people who are injured in their employment."

Collins: "The . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, has moved to reconsider the vote by which the House concurs with the Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1268. All those in favor of the motion will indicate by saying 'aye' . . . ah . . . by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Ah . . . The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "I would hope that I wouldn't have to ask for a verification, Mr. Speaker, but I will place that request for the time being."

Collins: "All right, the Gentleman has indicated that the honor system should be observed. Ah . . . Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative W. D. Walsh. Leinenweber 'aye'."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I had hoped to keep the subject matter of Amendment #3 out of this debate. It has no place here at all. My motion pertains to the manner in which it was adopted and that alone; and the manner was simply this, that to a Bill that pertains not at all to workmen's compensation benefits, except for . . . ah . . . including disfigurements and other things that really didn't pertain to cost-of-living increases or any increases in benefits, an Amendment was attached after we had been here many, many, many hours and significantly it seems to me by the . . . ah . . . a very prominent Member of the Rules Committee and by the Gentleman who is the Chairman and has done yeoman service for upgrading this Legislature, the Amendment was distributed 10 hours after the action was taken. Now, this is my point and this exclusively, I will not go into the . . . into the merits of the case and could very well vote for the Gentleman's concurrence motion; but I suggest to you that in order to run this place in an orderly fashion, we ought to support this motion."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in support of the Majority Leader's motion as he explained when he is making a motion on procedural matters, and I don't think the merits of this Bill need



be debated at this point. I think a motion for reconsideration should prevail at which time then we can discuss the merits of the Amendment which was attached to what I consider to be when it left the House an innocuous workman's compensation Bill and came back a very substantial Bill, and I think you should vote 'aye' to reconsider the vote."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Bureau, are you seeking recognition, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Very briefly in explanation of my vote. If you'll look on your desk, you'll find about a 25-page Amendment that's there now and is very readable and merely indicates a 14 percent increase, which I think this House would pass on the next Roll Call anyway."

Collins: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On that motion there are 64 'ayes', 68 'nays', Palmer 'aye', and the Gentleman's motion is lost. Senate Bills, third Reading. I . . . I know, so do I, I'm up here. Senate Bill 599, Representative Neff."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 599, an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to have permission to move this back to Second for the . . ."

Collins: "The Gentleman asks leave to take Senate Bill 599 back to Second Reading for the order of Ame . . . for purpose of Amendment. Does he have leave? Second Reading."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2, Pappas, amends Senate Bill 599, page 1, and so forth."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "I refer this . . . this is Representative Pappas' Amendment."

Collins: "Ah . . . Representative Pappas, the Gentleman from Rock Island."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #2 was given to me by the Secretary of State's Office and what it does, it permits an applicant to pro-rate his inter-state fleet to register as intra-state fleet also on a calendar year basis. Presently, this requires two separate transactions at two times a year involving personnel to the Secretary's office and by handling it on



a calendar year, it would save time and money and there is no change in license . . . or the change in licensing will not cause any loss of revenue to the State of Illinois; and I move for its adoption."

Collins: "The Gentleman offers and moves the adoption of Amendment # . . . is it Amendment #1? . . . Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 599. Is there any discussion? All those in favor of the Amendment will indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; and Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. This Bill has been read a third time. The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ladies, and Gentlemen of the House and Mr. Speaker, this Bill . . . you've heard the Amendment. The original Bill all it does is require common cash to use a bill of lading. This uniform commercial code . . . code was adopted in 1961, the Bill of Lading Act was repealed, and since then we have found that many common carriers use this lack of reference concerning bills of lading to obey regulations, by not using a bill of lading which results in banish to legitimate carriers and the shipping's public is also hurt by this. This would help the . . . ah . . . Commerce Commission which is . . . ah . . . asked for the Bill in its original form and . . . ah . . . I would appreciate a 'yes' vote on this."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Collins 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. McGrew 'aye'. On this question there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the constitutional 3/5 majority is, hereby, declared passed. Patrick 'aye'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip, for what purpose do you arise?"

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to make a point, you know, the hour is getting late. We know the Senate is going home at 6 o'clock. Any Bill that we amend at this point that has to go back over to the Senate, forget it; and I wish some of the Members here that were going to take their Bills back to Second and put an Amendment, would not because it's a waste of time."

Unknown: "He's still right."

Collins: "Senate Bill 641."



Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 641, D. L. Houlihan, a Bill for an Act relating to fees and salaries. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is Senate Bill 641 as amended; and at this time I would ask leave of the House to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of an effective date Amendment."

Collins: "The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 641 to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Second Reading."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2, Houlihan, amends Senate Bill 641 on the last page and so forth."

Collins: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment simply makes the effective date of the Act. October 1, 1973; and I ask the adoption of the Amendment."

Collins: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 641. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and oppose 'nay'; and Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill's been read a third time. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "All right, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 641 makes several desirable changes in the traditional retirement Article, all of which were considered by the Pension Laws Commission at a formal hearing and all of which changes bear the approval of the Pension Laws Commission. Very briefly, the following are the changes made by the Bill; 1, it grants pension credit for services a Justice of the Peace, of police magistrate or civil referee to any judge instead of limiting credit to a judge of service on July 1, 1969, which was the date of the adoption of the Judicial Article. Secondly, the Bill clarifies the definition of final average salary for pension computation purposes so as to have it specifically applied to salary as to . . . as a judge. Thirdly, the Bill reopens the several absences provided for judges for participation in improved benefits and enacted during recent years or to allow them to subscribe to membership initially. The purpose of this is because several missed the dead-



line for original entry and finally, the Bill revises the refund provisions applicable to the automatic increase and retirement annuity to coincide with those in effect for other retirement laws in Illinois. The changes in bidding the Bill do not revise the qualifying conditions or retirement or rates of pension. Each and every one of these changes has been approved by the Pension Laws Commission and I ask that we adopt this Bill."

Collins: "Is there any discussion? Then the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Duff 'aye'. Totten 'aye'. Wolf . . . J. J. Wolf 'no'."

Fredric Selcke: "Oh . . . ah . . . 119 'ayes' and 2 'nays'."

Collins: "On this motion there are 119 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional 3/5 majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 276. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevidch, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevidch: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to have unanimous consent to be removed as the House Sponsor of Senate Bill 876. Ah . . . I went over to the Senate Sponsor and told him I intended to put on a referendum provision on this tax rate Bill. He didn't appreciate it, I said the other choice was the . . . the Interim Study Calendar. He didn't like that either so I think . . . ah . . . Representative Geo-Karis is going to handle it; but I would like unanimous consent to be removed as Cosponsor of 876."

Collins: "The Gentleman asks unanimous consent to be removed as House Cosponsor. Does he have leave? Senate Bill 276."

Fredric Selcke: "Give me the Bill, Chalkie, Senate Bill 276, an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Collins: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a Bill on . . . passed by a comfortable margin last Session; but was vetoed by the Governor because of some indication that the Chief's Association and Fire Association opposed it, and that opposition has been removed, I'm told. The Bill would simply put the law back where it was for many, many years, and that is it would provide for the appointment



of the chief of the police department and of the fire department by the present Board of Trustees or by the Mayor in the City Council. I would ask for it's approval."

Collins: "Alright the question. Mr. Skinner, the gentleman from McHenry."

Skinner: "Yes Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out that this will put police chiefs and fire chiefs back under the Patronage System, and if you think police chiefs and fire chiefs ought to be able to stay in office because of their professional expertise instead of...ah...because of...ah...whether they please the village trustees or the city councilmen....ah...you ought to vote against this Bill."

Collins: "Any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr....ah...Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill because if there's any place the Mayor and the Board of Trustees needs control it's the control of the police and fire because that provides the safety of the people that they are responsible for. So I urge an 'aye' vote on this."

Collins: "Alright. Is there any further discussion? Does the gentleman care to close?"

Bluthardt: "No other than to say that for forty some years under the Police and Fire Commission Act the appointment of the chief and discharges of the chief of each department police and fire was left to the discretion of the Mayor and the City Council or the President of the Village Board. That ought to be retained, and I would ask for your favorable vote."

Collins: "Alright the question is shall Senate Bill 2...ah...76 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the...ah...wait a minute...the Record. On this question there were 116 'ayes', 10 'nays'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Alright...ah... House Bill...ah...Senate Bill 448."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 448, an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



Collins: "The gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask a unanimous consent to take this back to Second Reading for an Amendment."

Collins: "Does the gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection take it back and read the Amendment."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...Amendment #1, Boyle. Amends Senate Bill 448 and so forth."

Collins: "The gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Amendment #1 is merely a clarifying Amendment...was put on by OSPI to make sure that the school districts would not pass profits by the bankruptcy and I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Alright, corrections on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor of the adoption of it say 'aye'...'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2, Boyle. Amends Senate Bill 448 and so forth."

Boyle: "I'd ask leave and move to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, the gentleman offers and moved for the adoption and then moves for it to be tabled...ah...Amendment #2. Ah...is there objection? Hearing none the Amendment is tabled. Third Amendment."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...Amendment #3, Boyle. Amends Senate Bill 448 as amended on page 2 line 16 and so forth."

Boyle: "Amendment #3...ah...provides a cut off time. It provides that...ah...the Bill only applied to 1969 taxes...ah...which are assessed and collectable in 1970, and I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Blair: "Is there...ah...discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye'...'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Senate Bill 448 is now amended provides that when an owner of property of three percent or more of the



assessed valuation...ah...in a school district goes bankrupt that the school district will not be penalized as a result of having this bankrupt corporation in it and authorizes the school district to amend their State Aid Formula to take care of the...ah...fact of the bankrupt corporation so that the school district will receive reimbursement for the money that they lost as a result of the bankrupt corporations' assessed valuation being included, and I move for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from...ah...DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, R."

R. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill came before the Committee...ah...and...ah...had a serious defect which has been corrected by...ah...this Amendment. Now the Amendment which has just been adopted...ah...indicates...ah...takes care of a situation where in this case is the Penn Central after they lose a certain percentage that they will be able to use that reduced assessed valuation figure in the computation of the State Aid. We are not making up for local taxes or local effort. We're merely treating them the same as they would have been treated had this property...ah...had...not been on the rolls and it is fact is not...ah...for all practical purposes...ah...this takes care of one particular school district which is in...ah...ah...I would move that...ah...we...ah...adopt this...ah...Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "Ah...is this...ah...the previous speaker alluded to a specific school district. Is this property located in a school district in your district?"

Boyle: "Yes it is...ah...although...ah...this...ah...particularly applies to the Livingston School District which has a great deal of the Penn Central...ah...Railroad property in it, but it would also apply to any other school districts...ah...where a corporation goes bankrupt and owes three percent or more of the assessed valuation. So it does have state wide applica-



tion."

Juckett: "Would you classify this as a special interest Bill?"

Boyle: "It's special interest for the children and the taxpayers of the State of Illinois, yes."

Juckett: "Just like transporting school children to schools. Right?"

Speaker Blair: "Any further.... The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker...ah...I'm in a mystery to understand how when a company goes bankrupt what that does to the assessed valuation. If the Tax Bills can't be collected, the property will be sold at a Tax Sale and nobody can tell me that the Penn Central's property isn't going to be picked up at a Tax Sale. They just don't own property that doesn't have some value."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? Does the gentleman care to close?"

Boyle: "I'd just ask for a favorable Roll Call, but shortly to answer the last speaker if the corporation goes bankrupt and subsequently there is any money received it comes back to the state under the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall Senate Bill 448 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Pappas 'aye', Barnes 'aye', Douglas 'aye', Giglio 'aye', North 'aye'. This motion having.... Alright, on this question there are 108 'ayes' and 5 'nays', and this Bill having received the...ah...the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Ah... wait a minute.....464."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...Senate Bill 464, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Ah...Mr. Speaker...ah...House Bill 465 and 57 were companion Bills."

Speaker Blair: "Alright...ah...the gentleman has ask leave...ah...to have them considered...ah... Is there objection? Hearing none read 465."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah Senate Bill 465, a Bill for an Act to amend the



Electric Supplier Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, the gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein to explain the Bill."

Schoeberlein: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Since 1937 there has been no tax on labor where utilities move a telephone pole or do other things that has to be done in the operation of their plant. At the present time an attempt is being made for...ah...services that...ah...are attempted to be taxed. Such as moving a meter or a pole or other electric facilities at the request of the customer as the customer is charged for the service. Contributions in the aid of construction either the charge the utility makes to a customer...ah...they have to construct a facility a great distance to provide electricity. Money collected by the utility for damages to electric pole lines and other facilities are the damages caused by a customer of the utility, and there are other things there Mr. Speaker where a tax is attempted to be charged on labor and the use in connection with the operation of the facility. This also goes for the taxing facilities... ah...I would move that these particular Bills be passed out of this House."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, the gentleman from...ah...Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker will the Sponsor yield to a question? As I read the Digest this Bill would eliminate a tax on utility companies. Can you advise me what the lost of revenue to the state will be?"

Schoeberlein: "Well this is just being started now. It was not done from 1937 to 1972."

Schlickman: "What is the fiscal implication?"

Schoeberlein: "I beg your pardon?"

Schlickman: "What is the fiscal implication of this Bill? How much money is the state going to loose?"

Schoeberlein: "Why nobody knows."

Schlickman: "But there will be money lost. Is that correct?"

Schoeberlein: "Well it will be money lost, but you know who is going



to pay for it, the fellow that's asking for that telephone pole, or not telephone pole, but light pole to be moved or other utilities to be moved perhaps . . . and again it's the poor taxpayer that's it's going to come back on. That 5 percent is going to come back on somebody and it isn't the utilities."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I may arise in opposition to this Bill, it would seem to me that we should be very careful about any . . . ah . . . tax exemption Bill of this sort, particularly when we are told, we're not advised as to what the fiscal implication could be. It may be \$50,000 here, it may be \$1,000,000 . . . \$1,000,000 a year; and for that reason, I suggest a 'no' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Just to answer Representative Schlickman's question, it's estimated by the Department of Revenue that if this series of Bills 464, 465 and 570, is enacted into law, it will cost the State of Illinois 1.2 million dollars a year."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we don't have 570 in this, do we? I thought we just had 464, 465. Yeah, did the Gentleman care to have 570 in it, too?"

Schoeberlein: "He does suggest utility."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, did you . . . did you want it to be considered at . . ."

Schoeberlain: "Yeah, I would like to . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . I'm sorry, well . . . ah . . . I . . . Gentleman have leave to have it combined? All right, read 570."

Schoeberlein: ". . . I said that's not in the original."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 570, an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act in relation to tax fund purposes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman has basically already explained . . . ah . . . what the substance of that Bill is, too, I take it, . . . ah . . . and we're not in discussion. Further discussion on this side, further discussion, Gen . . . the Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Holloway. Turn on Randolph . . . turn on Holloway."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't want



anybody to get the wrong impression about this Bill. This is not a Bill that eliminates the tax on public utilities. This is only a Bill that clarifies the public utility tax. Now, every public utility in the state pays a utility tax as prescribed by law; but in the last year or two the Department of Revenue has come around and in an audit in our particular case the organization that I work for when I'm not here, it amounted to about \$1,200. They picked up the tax, they extended that same tax on the moving of a pole or the putting of some switch gear, not on the electrical energy, but on material, and now on that material, you're already paying the sales tax, it was duplication of tax. Nobody is trying to evade the tax, but the bookkeeping involved and the auditing involved more than is offset by the money derived therefrom. All this Bill does for all three concerns, including the gas companies, is to straighten out the situation with the Department of Revenues; and if the state wants more taxes as far as the utility tax is concerned, I'm sure that they can get it by raising the utility tax and not in a bookkeeping method. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Kane to . . . to close, Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, we're all familiar with a firm such as this, the cooperators of Illinois and we've appreciated what their doing for us also. You find these being used by every one of our Clerks, so . . . ah . . . I . . . I . . . ah . . . continuously read this book and refer to it, and again as the previous Speaker has said, 'this is merely something that's going to be passed on to us' and there is another way of collecting it instead of this manner."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall these three Bills pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'; and the Clerk will take three Roll Calls. All right, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Kosinski 'aye' . . . I'm sorry, Kozubowski 'aye'. McPartlin 'aye'. Lauer 'aye'. Totten 'aye'. Ryan 'aye'. Washburn 'aye'. Tim Simms 'aye'. Mr. Washington."

Washington: "I'm gonna' ask for a verification on this one, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Kozubowski had already voted so right now the



. . . ah . . . Roll Call stands at . . . at 87 'aye' and 22 'nays'.

The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein, do you . . . ah . . ."

Schoeberlein: "I'd like this . . . ah . . . taken out of the Record, and . . . ah . . . I'll . . . ah . . . request that it be placed on further consideration for . . . ah . . . first of the year."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Dean, do you take them out of the Record and then you'll ask that it be put on the Spring Calendar? All right, does the Gentleman have leave to have that then? Okay, take those out and put them on the . . . ah . . . now back to Mr. Boyle with 645. Ah . . . So you can un . . . appreciate what the Chair is trying to do, I'm . . . I'm waiting to get concurrences . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Reports on appropriation Bills, which we have to act on and . . . ah . . . in between the times we are getting those as quickly as possible, I'm trying to get back and forth between both sides of the aisle and get Bills called. Okay, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "I'd ask leave to take this back for Amendment."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 645, Third Reading of the Bill."

Boyle: "I'd ask leave to take it back for Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman have leave? All right, take it back. Read the Amendment."

Fredric Selcke: "You got it? Amendment #1, Boyle, amends Senate Bill 645 in the House and so forth."

Boyle: "Amendment #1 is the effective date amended, and I move the adoption."

Speaker Blair: "An . . . any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Unknown: "No."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 645 . . . ah . . . provides that . . . ah . . . it amends an Act to regulate the . . . ah . . . water certification operators and it provides that if a water . . . ah . . . operator is licensed by the Federal Government, they'll be licensed by the state; also if they're licensed for . . .



by private companies, they'll be licensed by the state. I understand that the reason for this . . . ah . . . Bill is that there is a shortage of water supply operators. In addition to this, the Bill changes the criminal penalties under the Act to civil penalties and I know of no opposition to the Bill; and I move for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, . . . ah . . . Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If I can ask a question of Mr. Boyle. Ah . . . If you have a . . . a . . . ah . . . Director of Public Works or Superintendent of Public Works which includes the distribution of municipal water supplies, under your Bill, will he have to be certified?"

Boyle: "No, it's my understanding . . . ah . . . from the little memo that the Department sent over here that in the definition . . . ah . . . of a water supply operator, he would be excluded."

Palmer: "Well, then who . . . to whom does it apply?"

Boyle: "Well, it applies to . . . ah . . . let me read you this, a public water supply operator is 'a person under any local title or other designation; 2, if in direct charge of a public water supply system and who is responsible for the quality of the water delivered by the system'. So it's my understanding that it applies to the guy who sits out there at the plant and is in charge in the direction and operation of the water plant."

Palmer: "In the . . . ah . . . in the suburban area of Cook County there are a lot of municipalities that receive their water from the City of Chicago. Ah . . . Would the certification of the personnel in the City of Chicago serve . . . ah . . . under this system or that . . . under this Bill . . ."

Boyle: ". . . would have to be something additional. I think that's right, Romie, because I believe that if . . . the way that it reads is the person who has direct charge of the input into the system and I . . . I would assume that the people that were . . . at some substation would not be covered because they would not be in direct charge. It would only apply to the . . . to the people who were there at the . . . at the plant at the source and not in the sub-



stations or any . . . ah . . . subsequent stations unless, I suppose, if they put chemicals or added something to it, then they may be covered under the Bill."

Palmer: "All right, thank you."

Fredric Selcke: "84 isn't even on Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman . . . has the Gentleman closed? The Gentleman . . ."

Boyle: "I just close, Mr. Speaker, by asking for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this . . . McGrew, for what purpose do you arise?"

McGrew: "Go ahead first."

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 122 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the . . . ah . . . constitutional majority is, hereby, declared . . . the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. For what purpose does the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Henry, Mr. McGrew, arise?"

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, I arise on a point of order. We were on House Bill 570, then we went to House . . . or excuse me, Senate Bill, then we went to Senate Bill 645. I'm wondering what happened to 592. The only difference is that this is not a pork-barrel project. It's going that's going to cost the State of Illinois some money; and I'd like to know why it was passed over and why we can't go back to pick it up at this time.?"

Speaker Blair: "Because the Chair's been given leave to go back and forth . . . ah . . . on the call of Bills, and that's what the Chair is doing."

McGrew: "Well, would you then call it for me then back and forth?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . ll . . . Senate Bill 1192."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1192, an Act to transfer rights and duties of powers of personnel to the Department of Aeronautics to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The . . . ah . . . Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill which transfers the Department of Aeronautics to the Department of Transportation. This Bill was amended in the House by an Amendment by Dave Jones of Springfield here at the request of the airport owners of the State of Illinois to provide that the Division of Aeronautics will be operated in the samemanner that the Department has been, that their offices will remain at Capital Airport and the Director who will now be the head of the division will be Guy Wood who is now Director and will have authority over that division. I would ask for your favorable support of Senate Bill 1192."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in opposition to this Bill. We want the Department of Transportation, I think...ah...exert it's influence over this General Assembly more than it ever has in the past during this..ah.. past six months. Now anybody that flies and I happen to fly know that the Department of Aeronautics has done . . . an outstanding job in many areas and it's a particular area of expertise that can not be covered I believe by the Department of Transportation. The Department of Transportation is mainly concerned itself with roads and drainage problems and I don't see how those fit in with Aeronautics whatsoever. I think this is an attempt on the Department of Transportation to exert it's influence in an area where it has absolutely no business whatsoever and I would encourage a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "In explaining my vote Mr. Chairman as a former chairman of the Springfield Airport Authority and one of the founders of the Illinois Public Airport Association I rise in support of this legislation. I have previously always opposed the move by those of us involved airport administration because we feared that the Department would lose it's identity if it's various functions were



fragmented and dispersed in the various sections of the Department of Transportation and no longer be able to perform the functions they were created to perform. However, the Amendment I Sponsored which was adopted on this Bill a few days ago guarantees that the Department will remain intact in all of it's functions as a division of the Department of Transportation. I received further personal assurances from Langhorne Bond the Secretary of Transportation guaranteeing the interest of the Illinois Aviation will be protected in advance under this arrangement and I request that my remarks accounting of this pledge be so journalized. On this pledge of support for the best interest of Illinois Aviation by the administration I support this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from...ah...ah...Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh."

Walsh, Richard: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I support this Bill. I have always been for a consolidation of many of the code departments...ah...I would like to remind the Membership that in the Department of Aeronautics we have at the present time only 48 full time positions...ah...there is to be one added at this session of the General Assembly. We have at the present time some 24 I believe it is or 25 code departments and we just added I think 2 or 3 with the Department of Aging and the Department of Developmental Disabilities...ah...it's getting so that if the Governor wants to have a cabinet meeting he's going to have to hold it in McCormick Place. I think this is a good Bill and I think we should support it."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from..ah..ah..Ogle, Mr. Brinkmeier. I'm sorry. Would you, yes go ahead. The Gentleman from...ah...Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well Mr. Speaker in just briefly explaining my vote as Sponsor of this legislation in the House. I think we're just a very few votes short and I think this is a idea. It's worth worth our trial and...ah...as Dave Jones says we've been assured by Director Bond that this will be handled properly and will remain to have it'sand it's offices and it's people the same as it has now and I would ask that you give us a green light on this vote. Really the Department of Transportation already has to provide



the planning. I see we have enough votes Mr. Speaker so I'll cease my explanation."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes' and 29 'nays'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority required is hereby declared passed. 833."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 833, a Bill for an Act to amend the Agricultural Fair Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this is a familiar Bill to you because the other half of this went through this House 157 to nothing and it's the appropriation for the county fairs and for the increase for the 4H kids. The amount in this is \$361,000. The kids in turn get \$1.00 additional to their premiums. I believe all the questions have been answered. I move the favorable consideration."

Speaker Blair: "Further discussion? The Gentleman care to close? All right. The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. Fary 'aye'. On the question there are 130...ah...3 'ayes' and 2 'nays'. This Bill having received the required quota of the constitution is hereby declared passed. All right...ah...on the order of...ah...nonconcurrency...ah...there appears...ah...Senate Bill 697 on which the chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker I move to refuse to recede from the House Amendment to Senate Bill 697."

Speaker Blair: "All right. All right. The Gentleman moves that the House...ah...refuse to recede fromnoncurrency...ah... with respect to Senate Bill 697 on Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the the...ah...House refuses to recede in the message shall be sent to the Senate. On the order of Constitutional Amendments appears Constitutional Amendment 18."

Fredric Selcke: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 18 resolved by the House of Representatives of the 78th General



Assembly, State of Illinois, the Senate concurring therein shall be submitted to the electorate of this State at the General Election occurring at least 6 months after the adoption of this Resolution and so forth, and so forth, and so forth. Third Reading of the Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "For what purpose does the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Shea rise?"

Shea: "Just to tell the clerk this has been read on Third Reading already in this form."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff. Thank you for your advice, Mr. Shea. Wait a minute. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker I didn't I did not understand the...ah...Assistant Minority Leader's comment. Would he repeat it so we could hear it please?"

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I just wanted to say that this had been read in this form on Third Reading before Mr. Katz attempted to amend it the other day so there was no necessity for the clerk reading fourteen pages again."

Speaker Blair: "All right is there any further discussion? Mr. Duff."

Duff: "I would appreciate it if I could keep my light on while we try to solve this...ah...Mr. Speaker...ah...in the earlier time when you were not on the...ah...in the Speaker's rostrum...ah...we had in fact asked for a parliamentary ruling and there was some question mark in the minds of the...ah...distinguished Minority Leader. I would like to ask for a parliamentary ruling at this time to this affect, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask for a parliamentary ruling at this time, Mr. Speaker. It was our stated intention...ah...when Mr. Katz...ah...stood on this matter last time to...ah...move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #3 was offered he being on the prevailing side. At that time there was some question and the chair asked us to hold it I think that was six or seven days ago. We did. At this time our intention Mr. Speaker is to either...ah...depending upon the parliamentary ruling on a procedure on constitutional Amendments, either move to reconsider the vote by which



Amendment #3 was adopted at this stage or move to Second Reading if that is within the rule at that time to move to table Amendment #3 and at that time to then propose Amendment #4 which is in accord with the...ah...and the Sponsor of Amendment #3 Representative Pierce and I are in agreement on this. All we would ask is that you give us a ruling as to which is the proper method. We will be happy to follow the ruling of the chair."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker I fought this Amendment since I think the day that it was put in but I think little behooves the Gentleman to call it on this late date when there's appropriation Bills on this calendar. When there's Members waiting to get Bills over to the Senate and the Senate's about to adjourn and I think he's tied this House with this...ah...Resolution and it's campaign for re-election just about long enough."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...for what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi rise?"

Giorgi: "Well Speaker I'd like to inform my fellow colleague that we've spent about forty hours on this innocuous Resolution that isn't going to go anywhere and if some of you are wondering why you're here July 1st the person, the fellow who introduced this Resolution and some of the stuff he introduced on this floor and the time that he took is why we're all down here an extra day. I think this thing ought to get a beautiful burial immediately."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Ah...Mr. Speaker yesterday afternoon I went to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader and asked him at that time if he would go along withholding of this for the Fall calendar at which time he said no. Now Mr. Speaker everybody in this House knows that I've been trying to get this called for some seven days. I would appreciate a Roll Call up or down. I'm not asking more than any Member of this House deserves to request."

Speaker Blair: "All right...ah...as I understand it the Gentleman...ah... desires to...ah...ask leave to take this matter back to the order of Second Reading for purposes to addressing himself to...ah...an



action with regard to an Amendment. All right does the Gentleman have leave to return it to the order of Second Reading? All right objection has been heard. So now the question...ah..shall the Gentleman be given leave to return...ah...this House Joint Resolution 18 to the order of Second Reading for purposes of...ah...discussing some Amendments...ah...all those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish. The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld. Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House now it may be true that the distinguished Sponsor of this...ah...particular Resolution has taken a great deal of time of this House we can but I think this is probably one of the most important issues to come before this General Assembly this two year period and I frankly say with all due respect to the Assistant Minority Leader that I don't think it lays well in his mouth to complain about Representative Duff taking a great deal of time of this House because if we go back and we could figure up the time that was taken over the past six months I would strongly suggest to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader that he has taken as much time as anyone else. Now I'm certainly not complaining of that fact but I do think it's discourteous to a Member not to give him leave to take his Bill back to Second Reading in order to put an Amendment on it, in order to get it in the form he wants. If Representative Shea wants and try beat the Bill on Third Reading he should do that but I think he should give this Gentleman the courtesy that he deserves in getting his Bill in the proper format."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...have all..ah..the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now there is no question at all as the Assistant Minority Leader said that he has fought this Amendment from the time it was put in and that's very true and it's also very true that more time than should have been has been spent upon this subject because of the opposition and resistance of the Assistant Minority Leader who has fought and maneuvered expertly, brilliantly, parliamentary to use the time of this House. I don't mind the opposition of the Assistant Minority Leader. I don't mind his tactics because I respect them



highly. He has a great deal more to say about what happens on this floor than I and I appreciate the reasons for that. Nevertheless for him to at this time not to let us move back so that Representative Pierce may move to...ah...take his Amendment off does not seem to me appropriate."

Speaker Blair: "All right the o'kay the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah...Mr. Speaker when I put on Amendment #3 I thought I was helping...ah...Mr. Duff's Constitutional Amendment because before that it was not uniform. He mandated merit selection for Circuit Court in Cook County and made it permissive downstate. My Amendment #3 mandated it statewide which I thought was good because merit selection is good but now he tells me that his Republican colleagues downstate won't support it on Third Reading if they're mandated into good government in a merit selection. They want to mandate Cook County but they don't mandate downstate. In addition he's had trouble getting this called before the last day, so in order to help him in order to help merit selection I want to table my Amendment and accept another Amendment that would make a uniform statewide to be permissive. It wouldn't mandate Cook County. It would make it permissive throughout all the circuits of the State to have merit selection of judges. I wish we could have gone to Third Reading with my Amendment and mandated merit selection throughout the State but the Republicans from downstate wanted to reform Cook County but didn't want any reform for themselves. That was hypocritical but I realize they have a right to be hypocritical so I'm going to table my Amendment and make it permissive throughout the State to have merit selection and I'm voting 'aye' on the motion to bring it back to Second Reading to help out Representative Duff."

Speaker Blair: "All right the have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 63 'nays' and the Gentleman has now received leave to back the order of Second Reading. Now what are we going to do when we're there? All right there's Amendment # wait a minute, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Didn't you somehow rule back about House Bill 89 that it took



89 votes to get back to Second Reading?"

Speaker Blair: "Well the majority of those voting on the question. Do you have a specific...ah...ah...part of the rules that you are referring to?"

Shea: "Well I...I...just I don't have the rule right handy. It's where you ruled when we wanted to get House Bill 89 back."

Speaker Blair: "Oh...ah...well I did not rule that way. I think we have to simply...ah...simply at that point we had a hundred and seventy seven people and...ah...that was...that was what the majority would have been, but we're back on Second now and are...ah...what does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff desire to do now?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker I think Representative Pierce is asking to be recognized now for a motion."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker in order to help to try to get some of the downstate Republicans that won't vote for state-wide merit selection to vote for it...ah...I move to table Amendment #3 on the understanding that Mr. Duff has a new Amendment...ah...#4 to make it permissive...ah...state-wide including Cook County to have Circuit Court merit selection. I...I so move to table Amendment #3."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, does the gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection then it will be considered tabled. Now are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #4, Duff amend...ah...House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #18."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This does exactly what Representative Pierce said. This Amendment made it uniform for the counties to be mandated if the referendum should pass to have appointed judges. That would include both Cook and downstate. That Amendment having been tabled, Amendment #4 proposes that every single...ah...circuit have an optional referendum including Cook, and I have received indications that...ah...a number of people in fact the various objections



of the Assistant Minority Leader indicated that he thought that it would be fair if we would make it optional for all circuits. Amendment #4 does do that and I move it's adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Alright. Further discussion? The gentleman from ...ah...Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Blair: "He indicates he will."

Berman: "Ah...Bryan is this your Amendment #4?"

Duff: "Right."

Berman: "Ah...how would the referendum come about? Is it mandated or is it petitioned or..."

Duff: "By petitioning five percent of the voters in the previous election."

Berman: "How many?"

Duff: "Five percent."

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Further questions? Does the gentleman care to close?"

Duff: "I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call Mr. Speaker. We've attempted to put this resolution in a form that would be favorable to the most numbers of the House."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, you're asking for a Roll Call?"

Duff: "I move the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Blair: "Alright. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye'...'aye'. The opposed 'no'. Alright ...ah...the 'ayes' have it...ah...and the...ah...Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Alright now...alright now technically...ah...we have to have the enrolled and the engrossed Amendment with that Amendment in it ...ah...so...ah...because I think we have to have it read...ah ...as amended for the Third Reading. So we'll have to take it out of the Record until Enrolling and Engrossing Section can get it done."

Duff: "Ah...Mr. Speaker the Amendment was put in...ah...a full week ago. Don't they have it yet?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, that's not the problem...ah... it was just



adopted today and...ah...I think on the question of...ah...
the constitutional question of reading the thing three days
that you better have it enrolled and engrossed with that
Amendment in it. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "If I may...ah..."

Speaker Blair: "I beg your pardon?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker anything that needs to be done I'm for doing
it. I just want to get to a Roll Call and...ah...in the proper
order."

Speaker Blair: "Well I don't...ah.... Can we take...it's on Third
and...ah...it's on Third Reading and it would seem to me that
it ought to be read a third time with the Amendment in it...
ah...to meet the constitutional requirements. Now if you want
to proceed with it...ah...with just the...."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker if it is your feeling that that should be done,
that sir is what I want done."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, then that's what we'll do. Okay...ah...
now...now we'll get to send it up and we'll get it enrolled
and engrossed and we'll call it just as..... Now we're on
Supplemental Calendar Number 2...ah...on which we have Con-
currences...ah...on appropriations for the Department of Con-
servation...ah...we recognize...ah...the gentleman from Marion,
Mr. Stedelin with respect to House Bill 604."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I
move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, 2, 3 and 4. I talked
this matter over with both sides of the aisle and with the de-
partment. Amendment #1 adds the cost of living, Amendment #2
changes the appropriation State's Park to the State's Fund,
Amendment #3 puts all the line items into figure categories
and Amendment #4 is...is recognized or...ah...adds from the
General Revenue Fund to the Department of Conservation for
a Conservation District...ah...I move to concur with the Sen-
ate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 604."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The gentleman from Cook...ah...Mr....ah
..... He has asked for the House to concur in all four Amend-
ments. Is that your motion?"



Stedelin: "Yes."

Speaker Blair: "Okay...ah...so we have leave on Third, and so now Mr. Juckett...ah...for discussion."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Stedelin: "Yes sir."

Juckett: "Are the...ah...amounts of these increases in the budget in the Bill? Are they in the Governor's budget?"

Stedelin: "Well the only increase in the budget there is except Amendment #4...ah...it's actually an increase in the cost of living, and Amendment #4 is the appropriation. You know how it is Representative Juckett. If it's not in the director doesn't have to do it and to expedite this because we know we have to have the appropriation I talked it over with...ah...the Appropriation Committee and the...ah...Majority Leader and all and I think this meets all of the requirements."

Juckett: "Well on Amendment #4 I see where that calls for an addition in the appropriation of one million dollars, and...ah...I would just like to know how many nurseries does the state now operate?"

Stedelin: "The state now operates two nurseries."

Juckett: "And where are those located?"

Stedelin: "One of them in Union County and the other in Mason County."

Juckett: "And we're going to construct...ah...in construction and the development of a Tree Nursery in the Northeastern Section of the state. Now do you know where that would be?"

Stedelin: "That's...ah...what was that again Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "Well in that fourth Amendment it says it...it calls for the construction and the development of a Tree Nursery in the...ah...Northeastern Section of the state and that calls for a one million dollar appropriation which doesn't seem to be in the Governor's budget, and I was wondering if you knew where that nursery was suppose to be?"

Stedelin: "I do not. It was offered by...a...a...Senator from your side of the aisle and I talked it over with the Governor and... and it doesn't seem to bother him a great deal and you don't have to do what comes....and anyway Representative Juckett, but



because of the lateness of the hour rather than to hold this up I'd rather leave it go because the director and all have agreed on it."

Juckett: "Well is it now your understanding that the Department of ...ah...Conservation will not use that money?"

Stedelin: "That's pretty well correct, yes sir."

Juckett: "In other words because of the lateness of the hour they don't want the rest of the budget...ah...to go down because of...ah...this one Amendment and it is their intention according to your understanding at this point that they will not construct a new nursery?"

Stedelin: "That is correct Representative Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you."

Stedelin: "Yes."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...further discussion? Does the gentleman from Marion care to close?"

Stedelin: "Ah...I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "Alright the...ah...question is shall the...ah... House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 604. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Take the Record. On each of these.... There are 142 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the House concurs in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 604. House Bill 1639. Supplemental Calendar Number 2. The Chair recognizes the... ah...gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Philip."

Philip: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1633 deals with the Franchise Disclosure Act. This Amendment has been worked out with the Attorney General's Office the Secretary of State, and the Franchise Association. Basically what it does it makes it very clear the administrator may rule by definition what constitutes an indirect franchise marketing plan...ah...provides that the administrator may in his own direction require the audit statement provided the franchise audit statement was filed for the previous fiscal year. Makes clear that the administrator may



conduct investigations and there is some other language to clean it up. I move the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1639."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1639? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there is a 115 'ayes' no 'nays', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1639. Kindly come up and give your...ah...additional vote to the... ah...Chair...er...to the Clerk. Alright, on...ah...the Order on Nonconcurrences...ah...wait a minute here. What do you have here? Well we'll get back to that...ah...now Mr. Schneider here...ah...is he here? Okay, there he is...ah...he wants to move to nonconcur with respect to the..."

Schneider: "Is that 286?"

Speaker Blair: "Yea, 286."

Schneider: "Yea, I move that we not recede Mr. Speaker. It took a Conference Committee to iron out a lot of difficulty."

Speaker Blair: "The motion is that the House refuse to recede...ah...from the Senate's nonconcurrency in House Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye'... 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and we will now request a Conference Committee. Alright...ah...Mr. Jacobs...ah...Mr. Jacobs... Does he have somebody to handle that for him? It is an appropriation for the expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts Companies. Is there somebody here to handle that for Mr. Jacobs? Ah...Mr. Choate, Mr. Jacobs isn't here on Nonconcurrences on the appropriation for the expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts Companies...ah...do you want to...ah... It seems to me since that is an appropriation item we ought to...ah... It's on Supplemental Number 2 under NonConcurrence. Jacobs is indicating he wants to nonconcur. Take it out of the Record. Well...ah...Mr. Taylor. Is Mr. Taylor handling that?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker I move that the House concur with the...ah... action of the Senate with...to Senate Bill 296."



Speaker Blair: "Well, the indication to the . . . ah . . . Clerk was that they wanted to nonconcur, so your motion isn't in accordance with that. Why don't we for the moment take it out and see if we got . . . I'll come right back to it . . ."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, . . . all right . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . just as soon we can, but that is an appropriation matter and you ought to . . . ah . . . Lond . . . now . . . Londrigan on Conference Committee Reports on House Bill 820."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in a Conference Committee Report. When this bicycle reflector came through the first time with the reflector on the tires or rims, it received an overwhelming vote, in the Senate it was thought best to keep the present reflector on the sides and make it four so that you have option of using the present reflectors on the sides or the tire. This permits . . . ah . . . legislation will give them time to stock up on the tires and give them an option we can come back in a year or two and see if we should make it mandatory. I move concurrence."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is, shall the . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 820 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'; this is final action, it requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question 128 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 820 . . . ah . . . is adopted . . . 83 . . . 539, Mr. Washburn . . . Mr. Washburn, no Mr. Murphy's handling that for him."

Murphy: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . Mr. Washburn's off the floor, but I'll handle it for him and I move that the House . . . ah . . . a . . . ah . . . adopts the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 539."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? All right, the question is, shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 539. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'; final action requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record."



Ah . . . Mr. Murphy. Capuzi . . . McGah 'aye'. Ah . . . Catania 'aye'. McAuliffe 'aye'. J. J. Wolf 'aye'. All right, Mr. Caldwell 'aye'. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 13 'nays', and then the House does adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to 539. Mr. Sevcik on 1133. Mr. Petrovich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Petrovich: "To move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1133, Mr. Speaker.")

Speaker Blair: "Are you handling that for . . ."

Petrovich: "Yes . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . oh, all right, the question is, shall the . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1133 . . . ah . . . be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye' . . . Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't have the Report in front of me and . . . ah . . . wait a minute . . . ah . . . what does it do, will you explain it, Peter, please?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Petrovich."

Petrovich: "What . . . what this does, Representative Maragos, it brings the . . . ah . . . Bill back into the original shape in which it left the House. The controversy was over an Amendment which had to do with the branch banking and savings and loans. This brings it back to its . . . ah . . . original . . . ah . . . the way it was here in the House originally and had to do with . . . ah . . . updating some of the practices in the savings and loans association."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I'm in order, I'd like to speak against adopting this Conference Committee Report because really what it does is put the State Savings and Loans at a disadvantage. What those Amendments did was put them on parody with the Federal Savings and Loan as of today; and I would . . . it'd be my feeling that this Conference Committee Report should not be adopted."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . Mr. Schraeder, from Peoria."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, this has been on the House floor a number of times this Session and quite a few times in the last three or four



days. What this will do, this will state . . . force State Chartered Savings and Loans to drop the state charters or the Federal charters to get equal footing; and I don't think we want to lose our State Chartered Savings and Loans; and I'm not an advocate of . . . of banks and State Savings and Loans, but I am advocating in keeping everybody under the state organization; and I would vote a non-concurrence in this and vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Maragos. I'm sorry, Mr. Dunn. The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Members of this General Assembly, if the State Savings and Loans want branching, let's do it on a vote on branching or not, let's don't try to tack it on a last minute . . . I move we go ahead and do concur and I'm gonna' vote 'aye' on this 1533."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "I'd like to ask one question of the Sponsor . . . ah . . . to get it straight in my mind. Does the Committee Report . . . ah . . . allow now for branch banking amongst for savings and loans, state chartered or not."

Petrovich: "Representative Matijeovich, this Bill originally is a . . . is a Legislative Investigating Commission Bill . . . ah . . . which came out of the investigations of the City Savings and Loans situation. It has to do with regulating the . . . the kinds of loans . . . ah . . . savings and loan associations can make . . . ah . . . the makeup of the Directors. In other words, it tighten up the . . . the actions of the . . . ah . . . Saving and Loans Association. What happened in the Senate is under this Bill they tacked on an Amendment which would allow for branch banking of savings and loans and it's kind of our feeling in the situation after having . . . the Committee having worked as hard as it did on this, we wanted to come out . . . ah . . . with a Bill to give the kind of protection to the people we think the people who . . . ah . . . use this . . . ah . . . savings and loans ought to have and if there is a question of branch banking . . . ah . . . or branch savings and loans being as important a question as it . . . as it is,



it should not be tacked onto this and moved in this manner."

Matijevich: "I agree with you."

Speaker Blair: "Let's see, we're on this side now. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . as of today any savings and loan with a Federal charter can start their branch and the state's cannot. Now, that's certainly an inequality to the people of this state. I was a Member of the Conference Committee and I did not sign the . . . the Conference Committee Report and I urge that you do not concur."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I don't believe everything's been told about this . . . ah . . . about this particular House . . . Senate Bill or House Bill 1133. The permission to allow State Chartered Savings and Loans to branch was defeated in Banks and Savings and Loans Committee by a very wide vote, and then those people that tacked this Amendment to 1133 that . . . ah . . . gave them permission to branch was just entirely unfair because . . . ah . . . I think it was previously stated banks aren't allowed to branch and I think we ought to concur in the Committee Report on 1133, we'll be back here in the Fall and it's quite obvious now that . . . ah . . . that the people who advocate branching in all financial institutions will come and there was some sort of legislation next Fall and this situation can be cleared up. If they've gotten along without branching this long, they can get along without branching for the next 90 days. It has nothing to do with Federal Chartered Savings and Loans at all. They are allowed to branch anyway, and this Committee Report should be adopted."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as Representative Kennedy just brought out, a Bill to allow this was defeated soundly in Committee here a while back and this is . . . is a vain attempt to bring that back and actually put another Bill. The original Bill, 1133, was a good Bill and there's nothing wrong



with it. The Amendment the Senate attached this Amendment's on, which is entirely a different Bill allowing savings and loans to branch banks. It should stand on its merit, it should be voted separately on a Bill. I think this is a shame and ridiculous and that they tried to put this on and now it's off and I hope that we'll concur with this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Ma . . . Cook, Mr. Sevick."

Sevick: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, as the Chief Sponsor of this Bill, I have talked to the Members in the Senate regarding the Amendments that were attached to this Bill. This Bill was a result of the Legislative Investigating Commissions investigation of the Cities Savings and Loan failure is after this investigation that . . . ah . . . House Bill 1133 was introduced to provide a safeguard and loopholes that another disaster as the Cities Savings would not happen in the State of Illinois. Now, they tried to attach to this Bill a branch savings and loan banking Bill. I wanted to say that the Legislative Investigating Commission is a bipartisan Commission. We do not want attached to our Bill any branch banking or any other Bill. This is a result of our investigation, it is our findings, we have consulted with the Savings and Loan League. We have consulted with the att . . . attorneys from the Commissioner's office and this morning I had mentioned to the Attorney of the Savings and Loan League that I will personally go down to the Governor's office to provide for an amendatory veto where there are a few errors in the Bill. I ask that we concur and adopt . . . ah . . . the Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Gene . . . Jim . . . well, Mr. Simms, wants to be heard and then we'll do that. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just briefly I want to echo the sentiments of Representative Sevick. Ah . . . This is the work of the Investigating Commission. It's taken a long time. There's been extensive hearings. If this legislation doesn't pass, there's always the potentiality of having another City Savings and Loan situation. So for the sake of . . . ah . . .



I urge that this be...ah...concurred with."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...all right...ah...the Gentlemen from Cook, Mr...ah..

Mr. Maragos to explain his vote. All right Mr. Peters do you want to close? No, o'kay the question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1133. All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Member of the House in explaining my 'no' vote I have a very high respect for the Investigating Commission from which this report emanated and this Bill emanated, however Gentlemen all our efforts to save and these Savings and Loan more sound will be wasted if we do not make them competitive with the Federal Savings and Loan. Let's not kid ourselves today the Federal System is going to become the most competitive Savings and Loan System compared to our State System and if we do not make them competitive we're going to lose all of our Savings and Loans either they're going to become federally chartered or their going to go out of business because they can't understand the branches that are going to be put up by the Federal System. I am not for branch banking but I'm for this...ah..... and I think this is the way we could do it because tomorrow Ladies and Gentlemen our institutions no matter how many safeguards we put in this Bill they will not be in business after tomorrow. Now I ask you not to support this Report and let it come back with the other Amendments which are also very important Amendments for this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from...ah...Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh."

Walsh, Richard: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House just briefly in support of...ah...of this motion. This Bill...ah... has passed through both Houses I think with very little objection and apparently the objectors to this motion just want to use this Bill as a vehicle to achieve what they feel is a very...ah... desirable and worthwhile end. I'd just like to observe that we really don't have the time to be going back into second Conference Committees. If we do it this is just the type of Bill that's going to die and apparently...ah...there's no objection to this Bill as it stands. They just want to go a little bit further.



So I think we should vote 'aye' on this Bill, on this Conference Committee Report and...ah...be sure we don't lose any others that may be floating around the rotunda so that we can adjourn very shortly. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Ah...Mr. Speaker in explaining my vote I'd like to stress the urgency that this legislation does receive the necessary votes ah...for all the benefit of the people. A good deal of time and energy has been put into this. It's excellent legislation. Let's vote on it's merits and concur. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes' and 26 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with the respect to...ah...House Bill 1133. Senate Bill 192. Murphy. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy. Go ahead Mr. Murphy. Can you explain?"

Murphy: "Ah...Mr. Speaker I move that this House do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 192."

Speaker Blair: "All right is there discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 192? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Final action requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. Choate 'aye' and Barry 'aye'. Maragos 'aye' and the rest of you please come up please. On this question there are 112 'ayes' and 3 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 192. Now...ah...is Jake back there? All right Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1049."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1049. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this time I would ask leave to consider Senate Bills 1049, 1050 and 1051 as Companion Bills."

Telcser: "What did he ask for leave? Are there any objections?"

Day: "Leave to consider 1049, 50 and 51 as Companion Bills."

Telcser: "Will the Clerk please read...ah...Senate Bill 1049, 50..."



Representative R. L. Dunne, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...Senate Bill..."

Telcser: "What...what...right here, Mr. Electrician."

Fredric Selcke: "1050."

Telcser: "One second please. Representative R. L. Dunne is objecting.

Will the electrician turn him on? Go ahead, Bob."

Dunne: "Well, I...I've been looking at these Bills for quite some time and I does this mean we'll be voting on them on one Roll Call for the three Bills?"

Telcser: "Yes, we will take one Roll Call and the Clerk would make sufficient number of copies for the Journal."

Dunne: "But separate Roll Calls will be....?"

Telcser: "Yeah. If you want to get change certainly. Will you read the Bills, Mr. Clerk? The Clerk will you read the other Bills please?"

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1050, an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1051, an Act relating to specialized and regulations of Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Centers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Bills are interrelated in the sense that they, to some extent, all deal with the subject of abortions. These are the only Bills still alive in this Session which regulate this controversial subject. The first Bill, Senate Bill 1049, as I would say is the main Bill, and it proscribes regulations for the performance of abortions in the State of Illinois. The all three of these Bills...ah...are approved by the Illinois Medical Association, the Illinois Hospital Association and the Illinois Department of Public Health. Senate Bill 1049 follows the guidelines set down by the Supreme Court in the Georgia Case and in the Texas and it provides, briefly, that during the first trimester, an abortion can be performed only by a physician. In the second trimester, only by a physician in a hospital with life-support facilities available and used if fetus is viable. In the third trimester, only to preserve the physical or mental health of the mother after consultation with two other



physicians. Section VI contains a Conscience Clause which would prohibit any kind of action being taken against any hospital employee, or any other employee who because of reasons of conscience, refused to take part in an abortion...ah...Section VII has a Penalty Clause and provides that anyone who commits a criminal abortion shall be guilty of a Class II felony...ah...Section VIII of the Bill...ah... contains prohibitions against...ah...experimentation with the fetus. Ah...the Bill, like the other two Bills...ah...provides that it will become effective when it becomes law. Now the second Bill, Senate Bill 1050, simply limits the places where an abortion can be performed and if the limitations are that it can be performed, of course, in a hospital or in an Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center, in a State Hospital or Surgi-Center, in a Federal Hospital or Surgi-Center or in a University Hospital or Surgi-Center. So with this Bill, with 1050, we will limit...ah...abortions to those facilities. Now the last Bill which is Senate Bill 1051, is a Licensing Bill which licenses Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Centers and when we use the word, 'Ambulatory' here, of course, it refers to patients who are able to walk in and walk out of the Treatment Center...ah... and specifically provides that there shall be no...ah...overnight facilities or pet facilities for patients...ah...this Bill is not limited to...ah...the matter of abortions, but it would include such things as Oral Surgery or very minor operations or...ah... stitching in case...ah...someone needs a few stitches and it fairly sets up stringent regulations for the licensing of these facilities. It provides that they must be operated under the medical supervision of a physician and that if surgery is performed by a doctor, it must be by a doctor who can admit a patient to a hospital if complications arise. Contains very strict...ah... regulations for the licensing of these Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Centers...ah...I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Telcser: "All right the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Well I have no questions...ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know what these Bills do and...ah...I am going to support them...ah...with the extremist reluctance that I can muster because I abhor the very bloody...ah...sorry



practice of taking a human life, simply because it's inconvenient, simply because it's unwanted but I know that the Supreme Court has saddled this Country with a decision that...ah...renders a human life subordinate to that of...ah...ah...of animal life really...ah...and we are going to have abortions in this State as a result of that...ah...decision. And it seems to me that...ah... we must make the best of a very sorry situation by at least regulating that procedure so that the Abortion Mills will not proliferate so that...ah...the...ah...Abortionists who are going to get wealthy very quickly...ah...running from cubicle to cubicle terminating human lives for two or three hundred dollars or thirty pieces of silver as the case may be so that this sorry process may at least be in antiseptic surroundings. And so without conceding one inch, without conceding one inch that this proceeding is proper, that it's decent...ah...I'm going to vote to regulate it in the State of Illinois in the hopes that the United States Supreme Court will some day soon take another look at this situation and recognize that the simple process of being born is simply a change of address from the mother's womb into the outside world and that a living, breathing human being exists in the mother's womb waiting only to be born and so I will vote 'yes' for these Bills...ah...only for the reason that they are going to regulate this medical process and possibly save unfortunate women who are seeking abortions from infection and possible death...ah...in the improper surroundings. And so with that statement and reserving certainly the right to continue to fight for the...ah...prohibition of abortion, to continue to fight for the right to life for that most innocent...ah... and most inconvenient of human beings, the unborn,...ah...I will vote 'yes' for these Bills. Thank you."

Telcser: "The question is shall these Bills pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Hudson, to explain his vote. Gentleman from DuPage, Mr...."

Hudson: Mr. Mr. Speaker what I really wanted to do is ask a question. I don't want to take the..it is too late. I know it's too late. I don't want to take the time of the House but I am going to..ah.. say this much and I guess we can call it an explanation of my vote



but I have a clipping from a newspaper here in front of me. A couple of days ago one of our large metropolitan newspapers and these are advertise...advertisements for abortion. Abortion Up To Twelve Weeks - Lo Cost. This is one, Licensed Physicians. Who they might be I don't know. Superior Facilities, etc. You've all seen these. Another ad, Abortions, Pregnancy Tests, and so forth..ah Clinic and Hospital is another one. Abortion Bureau Center - One Low Price Includes Everything. God knows what that means; Includes Everything. I don't know. I can only hope that if this Bill passes that...ah...some of the abuses that I'm sure must be being perpetrated...ah...at this moment in this area would be remedied."

Telcser: "Have all voted? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, Wm.: "I'd like to be recorded as voting 'present', Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Record Representative Gibbs, William Walsh. There's a number of you. Why don't you come up here and tell the clerk? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh, Richard: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just briefly in explaining my vote I would like to point out that what we're doing here is reacting to a Supreme Court decision and House Bill 1059 specifically says an abortion may be performed under the following conditions and it enumerates the conditions. Now it hasn't been too long since we've seen the Supreme Court reverse itself in the area of reapportionment, in the area of pornography. They do it regularly. I feel they'll see the light in this very important area that we're discussing today. I refuse to support these Bills and intend to be recorded as voting present."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Ah...Mr. Speaker I regret doing this. I was off the floor and missed all of the debate, but if...ah...the Sponsor would care to respond in explaining his vote I would like to know two things. One...ah...what restrictions are there on the first trimester, and two as to the other Bill. What restrictions are there as to facilities, if he would be so kind?"

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."



Day: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House the restriction during the first trimester...ah...are limited to that of requiring that the service be performed by a physician and in the second Bill that it must be performed in a licensed facility."

Telcser: "O'kay now a number of you still want to explain we're...we're beating this time something terribly. We're trying our best. I'll get B. B. Wolfe, but that means Merlo's Bill won't be called and Kempiners. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Thank you. Briefly Mr. Speaker in a large metropolitan newspaper just two days ago, the Sun Times, an editorial consistent with the action of a colleague of this House that I stand here today to admire as a true lawmaker and in the true sense of the profession because this editorial said, 'we urge House Members, many of whom are violently opposed to the legislation, . . . legislation of abortion to view the measures not as pro-abortion Bills but as public health measures. That is what they are and they should be approved as such and I heartily endorse this package because it is the only one in the State of Illinois to carry out quality medicine in this area. Thank you."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Lemke, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Lemke: "To explain my vote. Could you please vote me 'no' on 1049 and 'yes' on the other two?"

Telcser: "Yes but you can all do that if you'd come to the clerk's desk and I'd be glad to do it. Representative Waddell for what purpose do you rise?"

Waddell: "I wish to be recorded 'present' so that it goes on the tape."

Telcser: "On this question 111 'ayes', 13 'nays' and these Bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 1147."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1147, an Act to amend Section I of a Title and an Act in relation to create Cremation for sale of bonds, notes and other evidence of indebtedness. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this Bill was put in at the request of the State Treasurer. It allows...ah...



in addition to burning bonds and other evidence of indebtedness once they're cancelled, the right to shred them because he can no longer burn them because of the pollution problem and I would appreciate the support of the House."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass?

All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 129 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 213, who's the Sponsor? First the Representative from Cook, Representative Collins, is recognized.

Collins: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . ah . . . would move for concurrence with . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 to . . . ah . . . House Bill 213. If you recall, House Bill 213 is the Bill that passed this House 140 to nothing. Ah . . . It is a Bill that deals with the inspection of registration cards . . . ah . . . by the public and Amendment #1 is a good one which . . . ah . . . states that the inspection may be only to the extent necessary to determine the question of whether a person has the right to vote or not. It specifically says that no one may physically handle the registration record cards. I think this is a reasonable safeguard . . . ah . . . and I would move for its concurrence . . . ah . . . or . . . ah . . . with Amendment #1 to House Bill 213, 1 and 2."

Telcser: "Gentleman moves the House concur . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "#1 I understood. Did you also move on 2?"

Collins: "Ah . . . Yes, #2 . . . ah . . . deals with the period with prior to election where the . . . ah . . . the inspection is . . . ah . . . denied to . . . ah . . . any one in the period 28 days prior to election. Ah . . . We thought that this was reasonable so there would be non interference with the administration of . . . ah . . . of the . . . ah . . . by the Election Authority. Now, Amendment #2 says that it . . . ah . . . regis . . . inspection of registration . . . ah . . . may be done in that 28-day period, but



only with the approval of the officer in charge of the cards. So if . . . ah . . . if it was not inconvenient then a request was lodged with the Election Authority that . . . ah . . . these records be inspected, he would have the authority to grant it."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Yeah, well, Phil, the thing says . . . 'May also be inspected upon approval of the officer in charge of the cards'. Now, that . . . ah . . . is that absolute or discretionary or what?"

Collins: "It's quite discretionary, it says 'may'."

Shea: "All right, well, you know, who's the officer in charge, would that be the County Clerk or the Chairman or the Board or who?"

Collins: "I would say the County Clerk or the Board of Election Commissioners."

Shea: "All right, when you say Board of Election Commissioners, will you define that for me, is it any one of the Members or the Chairman's."

Collins: "Well, I would assume it would be the Board . . . ah . . . who would have final authority on . . . over the records under their jurisdiction . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "All right, when you say Board and you know I'm making a record right out here, Phil, . . ."

Collins: "Yes."

Shea: ". . . does it take a majority of the Board?"

Collins: "Well, I would . . . I would think it would be the decision of the Board on a majority and I would think that it would be the perhaps the Chairman of operating with the approval of the Board. I don't think that . . . ah . . . no I wouldn't want unilateral action. I would think it would be the Board, yes."

Shea: "You wouldn't think it would be one member?"

Collins: "No, I would not."

Shea: "All right, thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 213. All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Don Totten 'aye' . . . Kitty, will you get Totten an 'aye' on there. Let's move. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record."

Fredric Selcke: "Take the Record? How about 218 next?"



Telcser: "On this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 213. Senate Bill 891."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 891, an Act to provide for the planning and development of the right of . . . to read centers in Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman, is this her Bill?"

Chapman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . reading is a skill which is . . . ah . . . basic to living in our society and because of that right to read has been one of the areas in which nationally and on a state-wide level educators have worked to try to accept this responsibility and discharge it. In voting for Senate Bill 891 . . . ah . . . we will place our state in a position where we will be eligible for several funds . . . ah . . . available under this program. Please vote yes."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Telcser: "She indicates she will."

Hudson: "Ah . . . Eugenia, I'm going by what I can read here in the synopsis, but . . . ah . . . it says that . . . ah . . . this Senate Bill would . . . ah . . . provide for the planning, development and evaluation of right to read centers for the treatment of pre-primary, primary, secondary vocational and post-secondary reading needs. Now, it seems to me that this indeed covers a great deal of ground . . . ah . . . a great deal of area. I wonder . . . my question to you is, what are we talking here in the way of cost?"

Chapman: "Ah . . . We are talking about in the way of costs . . . ah . . . this year . . . ah . . . this would not . . . ah . . . become effective until July 1 of next year because I have not amended the . . . ah . . . measure . . ."

Hudson: "Well . . ."

Chapman: ". . . and it would make us . . . ah . . . and put us in line, however, next year to receive Federal funds."

Hudson: "Well, it would put us in line, yes, to receive Federal funds, but



I can hardly believe that those would be forthcoming unless we spent something."

Chapman: "Yeah, now, I agree with you that there is a broad application of this program and I believe there should be. The reason that the funds will not be substantial is that the purpose of this program is to coordinate the . . . ah . . . programs that we now have available and help them to function better."

Telcser: "Have you conc . . . concluded?"

Hudson: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I do believe in speaking to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, I do believe that this bond to involve the expenditure of the taxpayer's money, if not this year, certainly next year or the year following and it would seem to me that with our youngsters entering into school at the kindergarten stage and . . . ah . . . first grade, at the first grade level that if the proper reading techniques, including perhaps phonics, which was for so long relegated to the ash can, but if the proper reading techniques are applied in the schools that we now already have operating and that we're already paying for as taxpayers that the reading problems of our youngsters can be . . . ah . . . treated, they can be coped with and these young children of ours can be taught to read when they should be taught to read. I can remember just a few years ago when the . . . ah . . . the educationists were saying that we had to wait 'til the second or the third grade before the kids could be taught to read at all. This was known as reading readiness. Now, the pendulum has swung and it is being recognized that youngsters entering the first grade can assuming normal intelligence be taught to read if they're taught to read properly. I think we have the schools, I think we have the facilities, I think we have everything we need to teach these kids how to read with the facilities we already have and to go into this area would indeed be an imposition on the taxpayers that we can ill-afford at this time. Ed . . . Funds for education are tight, we don't know where they're coming from year to year, and I would suggest a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Telcser: "Gentleman . . . ah . . . from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, speaking of this



Bill, I'd just like to point and reiterate . . . ah . . . I rather support what has just been said and one additional point. Now, we have in our schools special classes for slow learners, but what in the world are we doing here? Nothing but spending more money for the very things that we already do in our schools. We already teach reading to kindergarten . . . children. We already teach in the first grade and the second grade, the third grade, and we have these classes for slow learners. Now, here's just another debt, another tax, you might say, added on to our already overburden of taxation."

Telcser: "Would the Gentleman . . . come on, make . . . anyone else? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield for a question, it . . ."

Telcser: "She indicates she will."

Palmer: ". . . ah . . . the Bill reads that the Superintendent of Public Instruction has a right to grant a . . . a center . . . a right to read center up to \$20,000. Is that correct?"

Chapman: "Ah . . . This Bill will not go into effect until July 1 of next year . . ."

Palmer: "I . . . I . . ."

Chapman: ". . . there is a proposal for grants to be made to approve centers, yes, Sir."

Palmer: "All right, then so you've got \$20,000, it will go to a right to read center. Now, my next question is, whether or not that center can be a private institution or must be a public institution?"

Chapman: "Ah . . . As I recall, here we go, it could be a local school district, a public library, an institution of higher learning, a state agency or a contortion of these."

Palmer: "So then it would be \$20 . . . that would be a public facility that would have to be used, is that correct?"

Chapman: "That's right, Sir."

Palmer: "All right, now, let me ask you this one question. I know that you've been for quality education all of the years that I've been down here and maybe that's not as long as some of the rest, but why is it that the . . . that the kids coming out of the high schools



now can't for the most part cannot read and write and I refer you to specifically to an article in the Tribune on June 8 that sets forth a deplorable situation that we have in this state today."

Chapman: "Ah . . . I believe that your concern for this is . . . encourage you to vote 'yes' on this measure, Mr. Palmer."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor . . . the opposed 'no'. The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hope that you will all vote 'yes' on this Bill because the most important task that our schools can perform is to teach our students how to read, and this Bill is aimed at helping schools and other agencies of a public nature do a better job in this area. Please vote 'yes'."

Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 891 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Let's get on this . . . have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Shea 'aye'. Take the Record. On this question 108 'ayes', 19 'nays', and this . . . received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 408."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 408, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Randolph."

Randolph: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, 408 amends the Consumer Installment Loan Act. It raises the ceiling under this Act from \$5,000 to \$10,000. It does not increase rates over \$5,000."

Telcser: "Representative Walsh. One second. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh (R.A.): "Is this Senate Bill 408, Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "Yes, Sir."

Walsh (R.A.): "Ah . . . This Bill was considered once before. Are you on Senate Bills, Third Reading?"

Telcser: "Yes, we are."

Walsh (R.A.): "Ah . . . I don't see this Bill on the Calendar of Senate Bills, Third Reading. Well, Mr. Speaker, if it's Consideration Postponed, I think the Chair should indicate to the Membership



that that's the case."

Telcser: "Is that where it is, on Postponed? Is it on Postponed? All right, Senate Bill 408 was on the order of Postponed Consideration.

It's chaos up here, needless to say, and . . . ah . . ."

Randolph: "And it has been read the Third Time."

Telcser: "Well, the Clerk read it again."

Randolph: "In fact, the Bill is on Postponed Consideration."

Telcser: "Right, it's a Senate Bill."

Randolph: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Walsh. This Bill does not increase the interest rate; 27 states presently have statutes providing for loans in extent of \$5,000. This is only keeping up with the times, and I urge your favorable vote on this measure."

Telcser: "Quest . . . the Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAvoy."

McAvoy: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 408 was heard in the Banks and Savings and Loan Committee, and it was passed out by 10 'ayes' and no 'nays'. There is much been said about this rate and the interest rate, and I can say this all the evidence, in fact, in reference to this legislation is does not raise the interest rate. It raises the amount that they can borrow, and there's many instances in life where people cannot borrow. They go to different companies, they do not get the opportunity to . . . ah . . . be able to purchase . . . or to be able to loan over \$5,000. This Bill gives them the right to loan \$5,000."

Telcser: "The question is . . ."

McAvoy: "I ask for your favorable consideration."

Telcser: ". . . come on, all right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as the Chair indicated, this Bill is on Postponed Consideration, which of course means that it has . . . ah . . . had one day in court and . . . and failed . . . ah . . . to meet the measure of the House. I would just like to indicate . . . ah . . . there was some opposition to this Bill before, and I did not speak to it, but I think the opposition should be indicated for the Record. Ah . . . The Bill not only provides for an increase



in the amount of the loan that can be made under the Consumer Installment Loan Act, the Bill also provides for a increase in the interest rate. Of the Bill . . . the Bill provides that the . . . ah . . . the interest by 8.5, excuse me, it'd be 10.5 percent, as I read it on . . . ah . . . page 1 of the Bill, from 10.5 percent, . . . er . . . excuse me, from 8.5 percent to 10.5."

Telcser: ". . . The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Even though this Bill has taken up a lot of our time before and it's coming off of Postponed Consideration, regardless of other people's Bills, I think we should be just a little quiet and listen to the explanation given now."

Telcser: "Is there further dis . . . Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think when we relaxed the rules, I don't think we . . . we intended to give the Speaker the authority to call a Bill off of Postponed Consideration when some of us have have been waiting . . . waiting for a third Bill, Senate Bill for days and days. I think it's an insult to our intelligence and some of you guys that are complimenting yourself on our beautiful rules, this . . . these rules belong in an insane asylum."

Telcser: "All right, Representative Randolph? Have it taken out of the Record. Senate Bill 866. One minute. Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Now, I object to this Bill being taken out of the Record. It's on Postponed Consideration. If the Gentleman wants to put it on the Spring Calendar or wants to bury it forever, that's fine, but I don't want to be going to the phone or something and find this Bill under consideration and have what happened again happen with the . . . with what just happened now."

Telcser: "I think your point is well taken."

Walsh: "Now, what's going to happen?"

Telcser: "Representative Randolph. Turn on Randolph . . . Randolph's . . . ah . . ."

Randolph: "It's agreeable to put it on the Spring Calendar."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has said he'll put this Bill on the Spring Cal-



endar. Now, will the Clerk, please, make note that 408 goes on the Spring Calendar . . . ah . . . no, no, no, no, it's going to be on the Spring Calendar and it's not going to be called. Senate Bill 866."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 866, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, at this time I respectfully request leave to return Senate Bill . . ."

Telcser: "Are there any objections to put Senate Bill 866 on the order of Second Reading? Will the Clerk please read the Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 866 on the last page by inserting the Section at the end of the Bills following Section 2 of this Act. The amendatory Act becomes effective October 1, 1973."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "I move the adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Is the . . . the Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, could we have a short explanation of what the Amendment does?"

Merlo: "Yes . . . ah . . . yes, Mr. . . . what the Amendment does it's a clarifying effective date Amendment. That's all it does. The Amendment takes effect October the 1st, 1973."

Telcser: "Is that okay? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment # . . . is this 1, Jack? . . . #2 to Senate Bill 866. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. It's been read a third time. Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 866 provides relief for low and moderate income tenants and seeks to revitalize their financially troubled subsidized housing. The present Constitution replies . . . provides, rather, for the classification of real property by counties with a population of more than 200,000 for purposes of taxation. Cook County is the only county that opera . . ."



operates under this system. The Bill directs the county assessor to classify subsidized housing properties at a fair percentage of market value no greater than the lowest value applied to any other kind of assessed real property. In spite of limitations on rentals and tenant income, subsidized apartment buildings have been assessed at levels similar to luxury high rise buildings. This legislation would place subsidized properties at tax levels currently enforced for single family dwellings. The purpose of the legislation is to remedy a tax assessment problem which imperils those specific programs that the Federal Housing Authority and the Illinois Housing Development Authority which is intended to facilitate the combination and operation of decent multi-family housing for low and moderate income tenants. I'll take it . . . a Roll Call if you give me a favorable one, I'll take it."

Telcser: "All right, why don't . . . Represent . . . the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I hope to Heaven you see what you're doing here. Do you realize that this means that they'll have a special tax of freedom to use the excess monies of . . . the Federal Government will be able to use the monies to buy more land, build more buildings and go on adinfinitum. . . Right now the churches are tax exempt and every time we allow such a tax exemption structure to exist, it means a greater tax burden to all the rest of us. This is merely adding taxes on our own shoulders, and if you vote for something like this, then it's your own fault, you've got nobody to blame but yourself 'cause this will be . . . allow the Federal Government to build up funds for this purpose."

Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate . . . oh . . . okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the . . . your feeling that we should rush along the process, however, from my experience in the General Assembly it seems that . . . that late in the Session some of the Bills are required the closest examination are presented, and I mean that as no reflection on the Gentleman because he's trying to have this Bill called for some time, but this is a very significant



piece of legislation and I would hope that the Membership would take a close look at it. Now...ah...I don't know how many people have the Amendment in front of them. What it provides, Mr. Speaker, is that these high-rise buildings that are insured by the Federal Housing Authority are going to be assessed at a value not in excess of land vacant land. Now in Cook County the lowest assessment classification is land. If this Bill passes these high-rise buildings are going to be assessed at land value. Now the testimony in the Revenue Committee was that there would be a loss in tax revenue of up to \$20,000,000. If that happens it's going to have to be spread along the tax base..... It's going to be picked up in the in the City of Chicago because that's where most of these buildings are by single family homeowners for the most part those who live in two flats and other..ah..property in the county. Now this Bill was introduced...ah...because apparently at the request of...ah...John Wayner and I understand his position as the Administrator of the Federal Housing Authority...ah...for the Chicago area. He has many of these buildings...ah...taken back because the...ah...foreclosures, however, there are many of these FHA mortgage properties which are valuable. They aren't all in foreclosure and this Bill will cover all of them. Those that are in foreclosure, those that are distressed and those that are very profitable. Now I don't think we should give a windfall to those who are operating these properties successfully. I don't see why we should give a benefit to someone who has an FHA mortgage and at the same time make someone who pays cash or has conventional financing...ah...pay a higher rate of taxes. I believe this is a bad Bill. I think we should take a good close look at it and of course, we know on the esteemed...ah...Senate Sponsor...ah...nor the House Sponsor."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after a thorough hearing the Revenue Committee on this it's my understanding that both of the last speakers who spoke have some degree of misunderstanding as to what the Bill does. I think there may be some merit to the Bill. I'd suggest the Sponsor take it out of



record and maybe we can get get this cleared up."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Now Mr. Speaker, you know I'm a pretty patient here and I think I'm half way reasonable. Now I wanted to present my presentation to the Membership because now Richard you know that some of the statements you made are absolutely not true. First of all, when Wayner testified, he said the total assessment on all low and moderate income family dwellings today bring about 20 or pardon me that was Mr. Rooney \$20,000,000,000. Now then he said that if we were to classify this as vacant land or single family dwellings it would bring it down to 20% which would mean only half of \$20,000,000,000. In my arithmetic it means \$10,000,000,000 but I want to tell you this that John Wayner's testimony brought forth that at the present time there are 86 parcels of this low income property that are now in default that they have taken over. Now he also warned that the Federal Government could turn these 86 parcels of property over to Public Housing which would mean absolutely loss in revenue as far as taxes are concerned because public housing today is tax free. It operates on what is called a charge a pilot charge which is 10% in lieu of taxes to pay for utilities and to pay for city services. Now if you people want this to happen where all of these parcels could be turned over to Public Housing all well and good but you'll stand responsibility of it and I'm telling you that this type of housing today affects those whom we're all concerned about. The senior citizens, those on fixed incomes, those that are receiving social security and Representative Telcser and I and Bruce Douglas represent a district where we have this and we've seen these people plead with us where they have an increase of 25% in their rent and are living moderate income housing with fixed incomes. Plead with us actually plead to do something about it and to this day it has been resolved. Now I could go on and on and give you many many statistics. New York, Pennsylvania, I could list ten different States that have set precedent where they do subsidize this type of housing."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Randolph."

Randolph: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the...ah...Members, this Bill



has had a good hearing in the Revenue Committee and was recorded recommendation do pass. It limits the property tax liability to of the of the gross rental income for new housing projects constructed under or operated under a subsidy when an owner is a not for profit or limited dividend corporation. This Bill is to promote low income housing and I urge your support."

Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 866 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh to explain his vote."

Walsh, Richard: "Well Mr. Speaker just briefly to explain my vote...ah... the Sponsor indicates that the revenue that would have to spread among the other taxpayers...ah...primarily in the Chicago area because that's where these properties are located is \$10,000,000,000. My recollection is that it was 20. If I'm incorrect it's still \$10,000,000,000. Now what I would like to demonstrate to the Membership is...ah...the manner of assessing these properties is for improved for the properties under consideration. After arriving at the fair market value the accessor accesses 50% thereof. Now this Bill would provide that he must access them at no higher than the lowest classification. Now the lowest classification in Cook is vacant and vacant is is accessed at 20% of the market value. So Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I suggest to you that we have very profitable buildings insured with FHA guaranteed mortgages. They are going to be accessed at no higher than 20% of their market value and I insist that that's a bad procedure and this Bill should be defeated."

Telcser: "Have all voted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo to explain his vote."

Merlo: "In explaining my vote Mr. Speaker, I might call to the attention of this House that Cook County and this only affects Cook County can classify real property and just recently within the last three or four weeks we passed out of this House a classification now for condominiums and cooperatives. People that are perhaps in a better position to bear the burden of a tax increase but yet we went ahead and did this by a substantial margin. Now I'm talking about the poor. I'm talking about the senior citizens. I'm talking about



the pensioner and is why I'm asking your support but in addition to that let me just go one step further. This is how important this is to all of us. Let's take for instance two years ago at 20 South Michigan Avenue undeveloped, vacant, unused land through the subsidized program was developed into five hundred unit concept. Not only beautifying this area but providing housing to those of low and moderate income. Fourteen years ago in the South side of Chicago, South Commons, Prairie Shores, Lake Meadows were developed and since that time five to ten thousand additional units had been built providing some degree of decent housing for these people. These properties became as a result of this were developed and have a greater fair market value and as a result they increase taxes which means greater revenues to the County of Cook. Now I urge you honestly because I feel so so concerned about this one issue. He talks about vacant land being classified as the lower step so would single family dwellings. There's various classification that only is effective in Cook County and I really plead with you for 107 votes and I thank you."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 17 'nays'. Representative Richard Walsh for what purpose do you arise sir?"

Walsh, Richard: "Ain't this Bill as important enough to want a verification of the Roll Call?"

Telcser: "O'kay the Gentleman's ask for a verification of the Roll Call. Representative Lundy for what purpose do you arise sir?"

Lundy: "How am I how am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "How's Representative Lundy recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lundy: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Representative Peters for what purpose do you arise sir?"

Peters: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Peters: "Vote me 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Anybody else before we start the verification. Representative Mugalian for what purpose do you



arise sir?"

Mugalian: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Mugalian: "Please vote me 'present'."

Telcser: "Record Representative Mugalian as voting 'present'. How was he before Fred?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Telcser: "O'kay 'present'. Mugalian 'present'. O'kay now McGrew wait'll Fred finishes. Now we'll get this thing done. Fred, Fred Representative McGrew wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Fredric Selcke: "Wait a minute until I find him."

Telcser: "Representative Robert Holloway 'present'. What do we have right not...ah...Mr. Clerk?"

Fredric Selcke: "We have...ah...lil 'ayes'."

Telcser: "All right currently lil 'ayes'. Duff 'no'. Anyone else before we start the verification? Representative Merlo want to poll the absentees I assume?"

Merlo: "No I do but permit me. Now you know this Bill was put on the agreed list twice by our Leadership. Obviously they must know the importance....."

Telcser: "Wait a second. Barry 'aye'. Representative Richard Walsh for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh, Richard: "I'd like to respond to that. That Bill, yeah, sure it was put on the agreed list twice. How it got on the second time I don't know because it was objected to and it's on the agreed list. On the list that's on your desk now. Now that agreed list is becoming a farce around here."

Telcser: "All right...ah...Fred, Mr. Clerk...ah...Representative Ebbesen wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Anyone else want to get on this Roll Call before we poll the absentees and then verify it? Anyone else? O'kay will the clerk please read the absentees? One second. Representative Shea for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Mr. Clerk I mean Mr. Speaker if this vote fails to get a 107 but more than 89 under the rules the Gentleman is allowed to bring it bring, strike the early effective date, put it back for a vote and



if it gets 89 it would then be declared pass but it would delayed a year in it's effective date. Is that correct?"

Telcser: "That's my understanding."

Shea: "All right. Thank you."

Telcser: "One second. Representative Duff for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, the distinguished Minority Leader insisted not a half an hour ago that in order to go back to second there had to be a Roll Call."

Telcser: "Well then I just got..... Representative Shea."

Shea: "If you'd have your Parliamentarian read the rules the Speaker read just a few minutes ago."

Telcser: "Why don't we, let's verify the Roll Call first then we'll get to that question, all right? It may not even be necessary. I don't know. Right now Mr. Clerk there are how many votes on this...ah...o'kay there are currently 113 'ayes'. Representative Walsh for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "If you're going to poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker, pull them now."

Telcser: "I want to. I will that's why I'm trying to ask Brian to wait with his inquiry. All right will the clerk please read the names of the absentees."

Fredric Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Arrigo, Capparelli, Carter, Clabaugh, Collins, Cox, Ralph Dunn, Epton, Farley, Fleck, Giglio, Granata, Griesheimer, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Hyde, Emil Jones, Kent, Kosinski, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Leinenweber, Mahar, McAuliffe, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, Philip, Porter, Rose, Schoeberlein, Timothy Simms, Skinner, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Waddell, Walters."

Telcser: "O'okay now the Gentleman has requested a..... Tipsword 'aye'. All right now wait a second. Tipsword wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Another one coming up, Fred, Emil Jones wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Is that right Emil? Representative McCourt for what purpose do you arise? How's Representative McCourt recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."



McCourt: "Vote me 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record Representative McCourt as voting 'aye'. Anyone else?"

All right now will the Members please be in their seats. When your name is called if you'd raise your hand. What's the count now Fred? Right now there are 115 'ayes'. O'kay will the clerk please read the affirmative Roll Call?"

Fredric Selcke: "Alsup, Barnes, Barry, Beaupre, "

Telcser: "I've got Barry 'aye'. This is the affirmative vote."

Fredric Selcke: "Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capuzi, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Davis, Day, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ewell, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Carmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giorgi, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, J. Holloway, D. Houlihan, Huskey, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Klosak, Kozubowski, Krause, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Murphy, Nardulli, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Pierce, Peters, Polk, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Soderstrom, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, VonBoeckman, Wall, Washburn, Washington, Williams, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Are there no questions? Representative Macdonald for what purpose do you arise?"

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to change my vote to be recorded as 'present'."

Telcser: "Record Representative Macdonald as voting 'present'."

Fredric Selcke: "Macdonald 'present'."

Telcser: "O'kay questions of the affirmative Roll Call, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh, Richard: "Is Representative Barnes?"

Telcser: "Is Representative Barnes on the floor? Representative Barnes? How is it? How is Representative Barnes recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."



Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Bradley?"

Telcser: "Is Representative Bradley on the floor? Bradley. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Brandt?"

Telcser: "Who'd you say Jacobs...ah...Rich? "

Walsh, Richard: "Brandt."

Telcser: "Is Representative Brandt on the floor? Here he is in the center aisle...ah...Rich."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Brummet?"

Telcser: "Representative Brummet. He's lying back in his seat Rich."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Calvo?"

Telcser: "Representative Calvo on the floor? Here he is. He just came back up."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Chapman?"

Telcser: "She's in her seat."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative DiPrima?"

Telcser: "Representative DiPrima on the floor? DiPrima. How is Representative DiPrima recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Ebbesen?"

Telcser: "He's in his seat Rich."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Ewell?"

Telcser: "Representative Ewell on the floor? Representative Ewell? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "'Aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the record the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative...ah...Fary is in his seat. Representative Fennessey?"

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Flinn?"

Telcser: "Representative Flinn on the floor? Representative Flinn?

How's the Gentleman recorded? All right now Rich...ah...Mr. Clerk



Representative Bradley has just come back on the floor. Flinn is taken off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Garmisa?"

Telcser: "Representative Garmisa, I see him waving his hand."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Getty?"

Telcser: "Representative Getty on the floor? There he is by Merlo."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Hanahan?"

Telcser: "Representative Hanahan on the floor? Representative Hanahan? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call. Wait a second here's Hanahan. Rich right here. Put him back on Mr. Clerk."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Hart?"

Telcser: "O'kay is Representative Hart on the floor? Representative Hart? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "'Aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Hill?"

Telcser: "Hill is in his seat. No wait a did we take Calvo off before? No, o'kay I'm sorry."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Holloway?"

Telcser: "Representative Jim Holloway is back in his seat."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Jacobs?"

Telcser: "Representative Jacobs on the floor? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "'Aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Jacobs is off the Roll Call now Rich."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Jones?"

Telcser: "Representative Emil Jones on the floor? Representative Emil Jones? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "'Aye'."

Telcser: "Take Representative Jones off the Roll Call and now Representative Ewell has returned. Representative Ewell has returned."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Katz?"

Telcser: "He's...ah...standing right by Merlo."



Walsh, Richard: "Representative Keller?"

Telcser: "Representative Keller on the floor? Keller? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take Keller off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Deavers?"

Telcser: "One second John. Let me go through this...ah...Representative Deavers on the floor? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Leon?"

Telcser: "Representative Leon is...ah...right by his seat there Rich."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Martin?"

Telcser: "Representative Martin on the floor? Representative Martin? How was the Lady recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Lady was recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take her off of the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Matijevich?"

Telcser: "Representative Matijevich on the. He's in his seat."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative Pappas?"

Telcser: "Representative Pappas on the floor? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "'Aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Representative Stedelin?"

Telcser: "Representative Stedelin on the floor? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "'Aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, Richard: "Representative B. B. Wolfe?"

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Walsh, Richard: "What do we, how do we stand...ah...?"

Telcser: "I have no idea. Do you have any more questions Rich?"

Walsh, Richard: "Well...ah...I'd like to question the Speaker if...ah..."

Telcser: "Oh o'kay."

Walsh, Richard: "If it's the difference I will. Is Representative North in his seat?"



Telcser: "Representative North on the floor? The clerk just told me he voted 'no'. See how many we have Fred and Representative Friedland for what purpose do you arise? Friedland."

Friedland: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How's Representative Friedland recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Friedland: "Change that to 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. I don't think we should..should we take Yourell off? No. No you're still on. We're at 105 right now Rich. Anything else? On this question. What was that again Fred? 105 'ayes' and how many...ah...Representative Merlo. 105. You need two more. Anyone else? On this question there are 105 'ayes'. How many 'nays' Fred? 19. Taylor wasn't. He's on. Representative Arnell for what purpose do you arise?"

Arnell: "'Aye'."

Telcser: "Record Representative Arnell as voting 'aye'. This question Representative no. Representative Farley for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is Farley recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Farley: "Vote me 'aye'."

Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Anyone else? Representative Murphy wishes to know how he's recorded?"

Fredric Selcke: "Murphy's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "On this question there are 100..any more questions Rich?

This question there are 107 'ayes'. How many 'nays' again I keep forgetting? 19 and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Now I've got to jump from one thing I've got to off to Senate Bill Third to get a nonconcurrence. In the order of nonconcurrence Senate Bill 296, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 296."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the House refuse to recede, is that it Jim? If he wants to recede it's final action. You want to



still talk in the Conference Committee, is that right?"

Taylor: "Right."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House to not recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 296. All those in favor 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Conference Committee will be appointed, the House does not recede. Senate Bill 1149."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1149, an Act making Appropriation Commission for residential property development, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would ask for leave of the House to return House Bill 1149 to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Telcser: "Hearing no objectionon the order of Second Reading. Clerk read the Amendment."

LaFleur: "Amendment #1 to House Bill 1149."

Telcser: "Wait a second...ah...Leo. There's no Amendment here Leo. Did you bring it up to the clerk's desk? Did you bring this..ah.. sufficient copies up to the clerk? Well he says there out on the desk printed...ah...Fred. Well bring one up to the clerk and he'll make a sufficient copy."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #2, LaFleur."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Amendment #1."

Telcser: "One second. Representative Schlickman for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker has that Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Telcser: "Yes, it on the Members desk Gene."

Schlickman: "All right. Thank you."

Fredric Selcke: "Correction Amendment #1, LaFleur amends Senate Bill 1149."

LaFleur: "This Amendment is to bring it into conformity to the other Commission Bills and reduce the appropriation from \$50,000 to \$20,000. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 of Senate Bill 1149. All in favor 'aye', the opposed



'no'. Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bills read a third time. Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1149 is an Appropriation Bill which makes it an appropriation for a Property Development Commission. For the purpose of saving time in the House I would move I would ask leave of the House to hear Senate Bill 678 and 1149 together."

Telcser: "Are there any objections? Would the clerk please read. Representative Caldwell for what purpose do you arise?"

Caldwell: "Ah...first of all I would object to them being heard together."

Telcser: "That's been objected to. We'll just take 1149. Representative Schlickman for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1149 is an Appropriation Bill and the appropriation in it is for Senate Bill 678. It was suggested to me Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that before considering Senate Bill 1149 we should first consider Senate Bill 678 which would create the Commission by which 1149 would appropriate."

Telcser: "Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker I ask for you to recognize John Friedland who is the House Sponsor of...ah...Senate Bill 678?"

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill...ah...678 seeks to establish the Residential Property Development Commission."

Telcser: "Representative Washington for what purpose do you arise?"

Washington: "Which are we hearing Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "We're not hearing anything now. Representative Friedland is explaining something about...590 something."

Friedland: "For Senate Bill 678."

Telcser: "Well now we haven't called that Bill now and Leo, Representative LaFleur referred us to Friedland to explain some points or something."

Friedland: "I understood the Sponsor of 1149 to...ah...ask leave to have these Bills heard together and I as House Sponsor of the one Bill was attempting to proceed."



Telcser: "Well he did not get a leave. That has been objected to. Are there still objections to calling? Alright, I'm going to call another Bill for now. I'll get back to you. Senate...ah...wait a second...ah...he's running back to his seat. Is that taken care of now? Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "I ask leave of the House to...ah...withdraw this consideration right now and...ah...place it on the Spring Calendar."

Telcser: "Okay we'll take...ah...Senate Bill 1149 and put it on the Spring Calendar. Representative William Walsh for what purpose do you arise sir?"

William Walsh: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is my pleasure to introduce four lovely ladies... ah...wives of colleagues. In to my right and to the Speaker's left in the Speaker's gallery...ah...Lillian Ryan, Sharon Peters, Lucille LaFleur and Kay Cunningham...ah...right up there."

Telcser: "Alright, I took it out of the Record. I'll take the next...ah...a Republican and make up for him. Rep...ah...Senate 876...876."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 876, an Act to amend Section 12 of the North Shore Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Lady from...ah...Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 876 amends the North Shore Sanitary District Act ...ah...increases the maximum tax rate to point two five percent without referendum and point three five percent with referendum...ah...this only effects the North Shore Sanitary District. The district was ordered to eliminate a fluent flow into Lake Michigan to complete construction which would reduce pollution and is an approaching compliance with a hundred and twenty five million dollars in the facilities and the districts current tax rate is point one six six per hundred dollars assessed valuation. However, with the increased costs that are necessary because of the EPA we are forced to seek a higher tax rate. I might add under the present statute the district can issue bonds and it can issue service charges which



can amount to more...to more than I'm asking for here, and I respectfully urge an 'aye' vote on it because we do need it in our area very badly."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes."

Hirschfeld: "Representative did you state that there is an increase to the tax rate in this Bill without referendum?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, and although I don't particular vote for Bills that don't have referendum for something like this...ah... but in our particular area it is necessary. However, the increased rate to point two five is without referendum, but to increase the rate to point three five is with referendum and it so states it in the Bill."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I have great respect for the lady, but I must say that in my three years down here I've found it to be the policy of this House not to vote out Bills that raise taxes without referendum and therefore I'll have to...ah...oppose this Bill."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 876...ah... The gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As you know...ah...I was a Chief Sponsor of this Bill...earlier today I went over to the Senate Sponsor and I informed him that at this late hour that we had...ah...a couple of choices. First of all I had an Amendment ready to place a referendum provision on this Bill. Secondly, we could place it into a Interim Study Calendar. The Senate Sponsor was not satisfied with either of these...ah...the Bill could be amended which I was going to move to bring it back to Second and place the referendum on it. Now this didn't satisfy him...ah...so I told him that... ah...I would be removed as the House Sponsor of the Bill. I think that the taxpayers in my area at this very critical time when taxes are very high do not deserve a increase in the tax



rate without a referendum provision. I therefore would ask the Members of this House to vote against this Bill. It affects the North Shore Sanitary Districts. I think everybody knows my record on all legislation...ah...without a referendum provision. I would hope now that you support me in this."

Telcser: "The lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis to close."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I have great respect for my colleague who just spoke, but he and I both know what the needs of the Sanitary Districts are.

I also like to point out to this Assembly that if we do not pass this increased tax rate which as I said earlier I'm not for increased tax rates ordinarily without referendum...ah...

we are going to make it necessary for the Sanitary District to issue bonds and to issue service charges which they are entitled to under the law which will cost the taxpayer more money.

The reason I'm supporting it without referendum is in order to help the taxpayers, and we do need it because

we are in fact...if we don't do it let me say this that...ah

...ah...we are in debt we're trying to eliminate it...ah...

at least get it stated so we can keep paying our debt because

they are behind in their bills because of this...ah...the EPA requires it. I respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."

Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 876 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Now, do we have any Doorkeepers up in the balcony? There are people there taking pictures...ah...that is a violation of the House Rules and anyone in the balcony with a camera please refrain from taking pictures. Okay...ah...now. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Phillip."

Phillip: "Yes Mr. Speaker...ah...I thought when the red light was on behind the Podium that people were allowed to take pictures, and I would suggest Mr. Speaker that you would turn your red light off."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Kozubowski 'aye'. On this question there is 57 'ayes', 33 'nays'. This



Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority
 ...Representative Geo-Karis for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to put this on Postpone Consideration and
 put it on the Spring Calendar please."

Telcser: "Okay the lady wishes to put it on the Spring Calendar.

Now, I suggest to the Members who are coming up to the Podium,
 and are asking for their Bills to be called...ah...if you don't
 think that they are going to pass, you're really wasting the
 time of the House...to give it a shot and then put it on the
 Spring Calendar when other Members...ah...this place will be
 adjourning soon and Members aren't going to get a shot at their
 Bills. So reconsider please...ah...you know of the Falls next
 years calendar which are Bills, and this Bill having failed to
 receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost.
 Senate Bill....ah...I'm sorry. Ah...she's putting it on the
 Spring Calendar. It was postponed and it's on the Spring Cal-
 endar. Senate Bill 663."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 663, Terzich. A Bill for an Act to
 create the Travel Arrangers Registration Act. Third Reading
 of the Bill."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah...Mr. Speaker...may...ah...bring this...ah...Bill
 back to Second Reading for an Amendment?"

Telcser: "Any objections? 663 back to Second Reading. Clerk read
 the Amendment."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...Amendment #1, Terzich. Amends Senate Bill
 and so forth."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "This...ah...just amends it to make the effective date
 October 1st if it is passed, and I...I request..."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has move for the
 adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 663. All those in
 favor say 'aye'...aye. The opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it
 and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Read-
 ing."

Terzich: "May I also have leave of the House to hear the Appropriation



Bill...ah...Senate Bill 664."

Telcser: "Is there any objections? Will the clerk please read 664."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 664, an Act making appropriation or travel arrangements, Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Senate Bill 663 is a Bill to license and regulate travel agents and travel salesmen. Establishes a board of examiners in the Department of Registration and Education and requires a Certificate of Registration after proper examination. This measure is sought by all reputable members of the travel industry in Illinois in order to eliminate fly by night operators, curb deceptive practices and protect the traveling public. It is the result of a thorough and objective study of a current problem by the bipartisan Illinois Legislative Travel Agency and Passenger...ah...Carrier Study Commission which has been hearing...ah...this Bill for the last year and a half. The Commission has drafted a Bill that has gained the wholehearted approval of responsible travel agents in Illinois and the American Society of travel agents. The principle organization representing the complex and very large industry. In it's deliberations and hearings the Commission consulted with all reputable travel agents in the State and conducted...ah...open public...ah...session. I can go on but Ladies and Gentlemen this...ah...Bill has received...ah...substantial hearing that the Commission hearing and I urge your support to ah...bring some respectability back to the...ah...travel business."

Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill...ah...is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman, Ron: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask at this time that the House pay a little attention to this one. This one has a little more import than what the Sponsors referred to. Just recently we had handed out on the desk here a flier that said, stop, put a stop to this type of thing. Watch out for travel . . . traps. This has absolutely nothing to do with the iniquities or the shortcomings of travel industry. The only thing this purports to do is again license



another aspect of the business community in the State of Illinois. Now the Sponsor, I think, would in his dissertation not allude of the fact that we're having any difficulty in the State of Illinois with travel arrangers. The biggest problem most of us will encounter or you'll read about are where hotels are overbooked, overselling, carriers are changing equipment but it is not the responsibility and it is not the fault of the travel arrangers. The only thing this Bill will do will preclude additional people going into the travel arrangement business. Now Representative Walsh has risen on the floor just yesterday indicating that time after time Illinois has taken the lead in licensing just about everything in the State of Illinois and I think there's absolutely no need for this. The Commission itself is...ah...put together with people that are interested in having a lock...ah...travel arrangers group in the State of Illinois. If anyone has ever indicated that there were bad travel agents in the State of Illinois this piece of legislation will grandfather them in. So you're not eliminating any iniquities that might be there and I would say in closing that this type of legislation will mark not do one thing to enhance the industry but would totally restrict those who...ah...tried to participate in this profession and I would solicit opposition specifically to this bad Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House in supporting these two Bills I think I should make it clear that the State of Illinois has a responsibility from for protecting it's people not only from past iniquities and bad conduct on the part of some businessmen but to stave off potential injury to it's citizens. That is the only fundamental purpose of this Bill. Now I needn't go into all of the problems which have arisen in the area of travel agencies. I'm not saying that the Illinois agencies fit that category. I don't know but I think it's high time we did something to guarantee the public that they will be safeguarded when they go down to a travel agencies and book a trip, that that trip will be carried out according to the contract they signed. I think the people are very disturbed about this. I don't think that the businessmen in



this area should takelife because we want to protect our citizens. Insofar as the licensing thing is concerned we have a fundamental responsibility to license those sensitive industry which prey upon the public. I think Bob Terzich is to be commended for this piece legislation. I think we should enact into law. Keep a close look it as we do all of these licensing agencies but don't back up from the fact that in Illinois we may not have had problems. They may well spill over into this State and we will be very unhappy if we hadn't passed this legislation. I think we should give it a rounding vote and pass it out of here."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Bill. This is probably one of the few times that I'm in agreement with Mr. Washington but I've talked to some of the travel agents in my district. I've been contacted by three of them and they've asked me to support this Bill to help license out some of the unscrupulous...ah...travel agencies. Now we've all read the newspapers about people being stranded overseas. I think this would help...ah...prevent this in the future. I'm very happy to support this Bill."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? What? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz. The question is shall Senate Bill 663 and 664 pass. All in favor 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker if there was ever an industry that needed regulation it's the travel arrangers. We've had so many cases of people and usually poor people being taken advantage of. They save up for years for a trip. They may have 5 or 10 years savings. They've put the money up in the travel arrangers. They get over to Europe, they get stranded because there's no arrangements back. They haven't paid for the travel arrangers didn't pay...ah...money to the airline to get them back or they chartered some sub...ah...airline that went out of business or couldn't provide the plane when it was needed. This is much needed legislation. It's it's not burdensome at all for those that are legitimate. It's only



burdensome for those travel arrangers that have bulked the public and using the poor part of the public. I vote 'aye'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Represent...ah...from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, if we had the votes up there I wouldn't say a word but I'm a little uncertain..ah..I often I've traveled all over Europe and the Caribbean area and the like. I'm telling you I've seen with my own eyes in second rate hotels American students, American travel groups that have not, have been lied to and information give them to them that was not true. There were cutbacks on the food in the restaurants of Europe to the individuals leading these travel groups. I never go with them myself and I have often run into them and seen them act sometime as their guide. Just two years ago I took and camped all over with my car and stopped at the various camping areas in Europe with my grandson who is standing right at the moment by me and we visited all the battlefields. I assure you at that time we found in those camps which are very cheap and...ah...but clean and good. We found groups of American students who had to live there because they had been fooled by the individuals who had mislead them on their travel agency."

Telcser: "The Gentlemen from Cook, representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman, Ron: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to refer to some of the statements that were made on the floor here and I couldn't concur more. I think there are many times that even those of us here on the floor who have signed up for a package or something, have someplace and found that it wasn't quite what..ah..what it should have been or what it wasto be but I want to say one thing enactment of this legislation will not eliminate that. The arrangers buy these packages. They can not control the accommodations that the hotels are giving out then. The only thing we're going to have here is a legitimate...ah...arrangers legitimate travel agencies are going to bewith complaints that will then be filed with the department in reference to...ah....things that they did not get or accommodations that they felt were less than what they were paying for. These are



totally out of the control of the arranger and they are going to have to be constanly defending themselves before the department for something they have no control over. I would fully support any legislation if we could to regulate exactly what these hotels and airlines purport as their accommodations or their transportation but this legislation will not do that. You're only kidding yourself with this thing. You're building in a certain group of..ah.. businessmen that are in business now as one of the speakers has indicated certainly the...ah...existing travel agent want this. They're locking up their business. They're not going to have any more competition. So stop and think. You're not eliminating an inequity. You're actually creating a closed shop and I think we all owe more to our constituents back in the areas than doing something like this."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "I've never received a letter from anybody but a travel agent in favor of this kind of legislation. As far as the general public is concerned they are not the ones who want the legislation. It is an attempt as always by group to exclude other people from the group and accordingly that's why I'm voting no."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I am a little surprised to hear some of the comments made here today. I said this Bill was not just dreamed up. The...ah...Governor wanted this ah...very important...ah...problem investigated. It set up a..ah.. Commission to investigate it. We had one and a half years of study. We had open meetings. We invited all people to attend to air their views and this Bill was taken up...ah...very careful consideration. Now not only does it...ah...get the fly by nighters out of the travel arrangers, they also have some other good benefits. For example it is establish...ah...certification and examinations to make sure we have...ah...ah..... It requires filing of financial reports...ah...refund of money paid for services not performed for flying. Segregational funds collected for group



travel. It also has...ah...it's spells the small print which prohibits accommodations in advertisements, the little readings you see there. It requires permission of a dean of a school to go in there. There's many good features in there and it specifically prohibits misleading or deceptive advertising. During the City of Chicago prosecuted nine offending firms and I urge your support of this Bill."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes'. Representative Bluthardt for what purpose do you arise sir?"

Bluthardt: "Inasmuch I wasn't given the opportunity to explain my vote I think I'll ask for a verification of the roll."

Telcser: "O'kay. There are currently 106 'ayes'. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Poll of the absentees."

Telcser: "O'kay the Gentlemen has for the absentees. Representative Grotberg 'aye'. Representative Hoffman for what purpose do you arise?"

Grotberg: "I would ask at this time just glancing around there aren't that many Members on the on the floor and I think to save time if we would have one Roll Call and be cognizant of the fact that this Roll Call will be verified. I think we might have a more accurate count."

Telcser: "Representative Catania for what purpose do you arise? I think your point is well taken."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Telcser: "State your point."

Catania: "I don't know if anyone here is aware of it but the Lady Representatives have one washroom up in the clerks office in a closet and the door of the clerk's office is locked and I don't see why an elected Representative of the people of the State of Illinois should have to run around up there knocking on doors and shouting her name in order to be able to use the washroom. Now would it be too much to ask if the clerk's office doors be unlocked so that we can use it at least until the end of the session?"

Telcser: "Ah...let the clerk will respond to it."



Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Miss Catania and the rest of the Lady Legislators, the door . . . I told them to lock the door up there because the girls are being literally harrassed to death by people that have no business up there and in case the Ladies have to go, I want them to go my bathroom right here on this fourth . . . on this third floor; and you can make yourself at home there, you're welcome to go there."

Telcser: "Okay, I think Representative Hoffman's point was very well taken. Now, do you want another Roll Call, Bob, do you want to go through a verification, or whatever you wish, Sir? Turn on Representative Terzich, please. Will you turn on Terzich, Mr. Electrician?"

Terzich: "Well, I . . . I'm all for doing the right thing. I . . . I want people to vote on the merits of this Bill and those who are present, I sin . . . simply hope that . . . ah . . . we do have people who ought to take in consideration the fine Commission and support the Bill. I'll go for another Roll Call."

Telcser: "Okay, now, will the Members please . . . ah . . . be in your seats and vote . . . question is, shall Senate Bills 663 and 664 pass? All . . . all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; please vote your own switch only. This is gonna . . . may be verified. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise?"

Fleck: "A brief explanation of vote and I'd like to remind my colleagues that if we know there's going to be a verification, don't be foolish and vote for what is a very bad Bill just to avoid a verification. This Bill doesn't do anything but lock in, as I said before, certain travel agents and you'd be very foolish to . . ."

Telcser: "All right, now, we're getting into explanations again, Charlie, we had that."

Fleck: "All right."

Telcser: "All right, have all voted who wish? Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you arise?"

Bluthardt: "Well, if I may explain my vote, I'll withdraw my request for a verification. I think that would be shorter and again I think Charlie Fleck has . . . ah . . . indicated my objections and that's



mainly to the composition of the Commission that would regulate and license travel agents. My understanding is that the composition is largely if not all travel agents. They become a closed shop. It is very similar to the situation we face today with the Commission on Sanitariums, where nobody can get in except sanitariums or those who have worked under a sanitarium for three to five years, and because of that setup and that objection, I am voting 'present'."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 27 'nays'; and this Bill . . . now add . . . and these Bills having . . . Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Terzich: "I would like to have this . . . ah . . . Bill put on the . . . ah . . . oh . . . well, all right, well, I'd like to have the effective date removed on this. Can I have the effective date removed on this?"

Telcser: "Well, under the rules the Bill will be deemed reconsidered, you're going to have to present the Bill again, take it back to Second, offer an Amendment . . ."

Terzich: "Well, I'd like to have permission to have . . ."

Telcser: ". . . to change the effective date and then vote it on again. Is that the way you want to do it?"

Terzich: "Yes . . . well, was there a rule that did this automatically? If not, I'll just take the vote as it is."

Telcser: "No, no, I . . . I've consulted the Parliamentarian, Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "There is simply no point whatever in the effective date of this Bill being one year after the one . . . the date that's in it. Let's put it in a Interim . . . on the Interim Study Calendar and look at it a little more closely, there seems to be some doubt anyway. Let's get on . . ."

Telcser: "Representative Ter . . ."

Terzich: "It has . . . it has 89 votes, Mr. Speaker, . . . or 95 votes, and I would want the due process here, and I think that if it receives the constitutional majority, I'll take it for next year."

Telcser: "Okay, on this question there are 95 'ayes', 27 'nays'; and



these two Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bills . . . Senate . . . it passed . . . Senate Bill 241. Parliamentari . . . Representative Catania, for what purpose do you arise?"

Catania: "Just on a point of personal privilege. I'd like to report that the Clerk of the House has a very nice bathroom, I didn't have time to take a shower, but the bathroom is very nice."

Fredric Selcke: "I'm glad you enjoyed it. Senate Bill 241, an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to consider Senate Bill 241 and 242 together. They are companion Bills and deal with the subject."

Telcser: "Are there any objections. Will the Clerk please read 242?"

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 242, an Act to amend an Act relating to county boards. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook . . . the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I might, I'd also like to point out to the Clerk that the Calendar is incorrect. It shows that 241 is amended. Representative Giorgi did amend the Bill, we moved to reconsider, and the Bill is now clean. There is no Amendment at all. So it won't go . . . have to go back to the Senate then . . . ah . . . be taken care of. So I just hope the Clerk takes note of that."

Fredric Selcke: ". . . is it . . . which one are you talking about?"

Telcser: ". . . Senate Bill 241 and 242 pass . . . all in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. Now, wait a second now. Representative Philip, didn't get a chance to present . . . I . . . everybody said Roll Call. The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I might briefly explain these two Bills. Senate Bill 241 amends the Election Code and permits county boards in downstate township counties to change the date of the County Board Election from the 1st Tuesday in April to the date of the General Election in November by Resolution of the county board only. Remember it's not mandatory, it's permissive. In the County of



DuPage County it'll . . . if our county board does pass that Resolution, it will save in the area of \$500,000. Senate Bill 242 provides that if the election date is changed from April to November, the term of the incumbent members are extended to the 1st Monday in December, and let me just give you some figures. At the last County Board Election . . ."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Redmond."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I strongly oppose this measure because I suspect in the first place that the reason that it was put in is to knock off the one Democrat that we elected to the county board in the last county election. I attempted to do this before and I was taken to . . . to Supreme Court on the measure and the Supreme Court said that election for similar offices had to be uniform throughout the state. So I don't see any way in the World that you can have it one way in one county and another way in another county. It seems to me in keeping with the new Constitution which where the Governor and the President of the United States have been separated that if there's going to be a combination, it should be county board and township supervisors and township . . . ah . . . officials and that kind of a consolidation I would be very happy to support; but when you put a county board member in with national officers, I think it is wrong and, therefore I oppose it and I vote 'no'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I want to get up and support Representative Philip's election laws. It's the only election reform Bill we're going to pass this year, and in Winnebago, we elected 12 Democrats in the last election to 16 Republicans . . . and no fair that we won't elect more and this idea is endorsed by the 9 larger counties in northern Illinois and it's a good Bill, it's meaningful legislation, and I'd urge your adoption."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Richard Walsh 'aye'. On these two questions there are 106 'ayes', McCourt 'aye', McCourt 'aye', Juckett 'aye', Katz 'aye', we'll change it here, Grotberg 'aye', Ebbessen 'aye', Springer 'aye', . . ."



Fredric Selcke: "Wait a minute, now, Arthur, you're going too fast."

Telcser: "I know I'm going too fast, but . . . hit the switches we'd be able to call a couple more Bills."

Fredric Selcke: "Yeah, I know."

Telcser: ". . . this question 112 'ayes', 12 'nays'; and these two Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. I've got to go to a Nonconcurrency now. On the order of Nonconcurrences is Senate Bill 1097, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1097 provided for a salary increase for the Director of Labor, the Assistant Director of Labor and several other positions in that Department which require the confirmation of the Senate. The House added to that Senate Bill House Amendment #1 which added language, if you recall, of the Bill that was proposed by Representative Giorgio . . . Giglio concerning the Department of General Services and the maintenance of the various . . . ah . . . truck garages. Well, the Senate did not concur in that Amendment and by agreement with the Democrat and Republicans on the Senate side and Leadership here in the House I respectfully ask that the House recede from House Amendment #1 so that the . . . ah . . . Director of Labor and other people in the Department might get the salary increases."

Telcser: "What . . . was your motion that the House recede?"

Peters: "Recede from House Amendment #1."

Telcser: "All right, Go to the Nonconcurrency. The Gentleman moves that the House recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1097. This is final action, it requires 107 votes. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who . . . voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 3 'nays', and . . . ah . . . the House recedes from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1097."

Fredric Selcke: "We got the new . . . we got the new Calendar, if you want to go on that."

Telcser: "Oh, boy, now, I've got to move over to the Supplemental Calendar #3, which are Conference Committee Reports relative to appropriation Bills. The Supplemental Calendar #3, House Bill 603, Representative



Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House accept the Committee Report on House Bill . . . Conference Committee Report on House Bill 603."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference Committee relative to 603. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. Final action, 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 123 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to 603. House Bill 1318, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Ah . . . The second Conference Committee Report simply establishes . . . ah . . . an effective date. Ah . . . This is . . . this is July 1st, this is required. Ah . . . I move that we do accept the second Conference Committee Report."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Lady moves the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 1318. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays'; the House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 relative to House Bill 1318. Senate Bill 370, Representative Giglio."

Fredric Selcke: "What are you doing."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Members of the House . . . ah . . . we're still talking with regard to the appropriation for the Department of General Services who have refused to . . ."

Telcser: "Do you refuse to recede . . . ah . . . you do not want to adopt a Conference Committee Report?"

Giglio: ". . . no, we don't. We're still working on it."

Telcser: "Lots of luck. The Gentleman has moved . . . the Gentleman has moved that the House do not adopt a Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 370. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 370. Ah . . . Senate Bill 697, Representative Farley. Farley. Is Farley in his seat, Representative Farley? He's not? Take it out . . ."



Flinn:

Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen
I move that the House accept the Committee Report on
Telcser: Conference Committee Report on House Bill 603."

Question? The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference
Bill 603. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose
objection, 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? All
Aye. Take the Record. On this question, 123 'ayes',

Dyer:

House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to
Bill 1318, Representative Dyer."

Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Ah . . .
The Conference Committee Report simply establishes . . . ah
relative date. Ah . . . This is . . . this is July 1st,
1941. Ah . . . I move that we do accept the second

Telcser:

Committee Report."

any discussion? Lady moves the House adopt Conference
Bill relative to House Bill 1318. All in favor 'aye',
'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the
Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes',
The House adopts Conference Committee Report #2 relative
to Senate Bill 170, Representative Giglio."

Fredric:

Senate Bill 170, Representative Giglio."

Giglio:

What are you doing?"

Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Members of the House . . . ah . . .
I am talking with regard to the appropriation for the Depart-

Telcser:

mental Services who have refused to . . ."

a failure to recede . . . ah . . . you do not want to adopt

Giglio:

the Committee Report?"

Telcser:

Yes, we don't. We are still working on it."

has the bill. The Gentleman has moved . . . the Gentleman
requests that the House do not adopt a Conference Committee Report
relative to Senate Bill 697. All in favor signify by saying 'aye',
objection. The House does not adopt the Conference Committee
Report relative to Senate Bill 697. Senate Bill 697,

Representative



Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Barry will take it."

Telcser: "Who will?"

Fredric Selcke: "Toby Barry."

Telcser: "Representative Barry will take it for Farley."

Barry: "697, refuse to recede from the . . ."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House . . ."

Barry: ". . . refuse to adopt, pardon me."

Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman has moved that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 697. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'. The House does not adopt Conference Committee Report relative to 697. Senate Bill 698, Representative Lechowicz. Ted, are you on the floor? Senate Bill 698, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . an . . . Senate Bill . . . I move that the House do not . . . ah . . . recede from this House Amendment on Senate Bill 698."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves . . . the Gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 698. All in favor 'aye', all oppose 'no'; the House does not adopt Conference Committee Report to 698. 701, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House . . . ah . . . adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 701."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference Report on Senate Bill 701. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Senate Bill 701, Romie, state treasurer appropriation. Have all voted who wish? 107 votes, final action. Take the Record. Capuzi and Peters 'aye'."

Fredric Selcke: ". . . you'll never get out of here, Frank, doing that."

Telcser: "On this question 125 'ayes', 1 'nay'; the House adopts Committee Report to Senate Bill . . . Senate Bill 701. House Bill 1045, Representative Schoeberlein. Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you arise?"

McGrew: "I think that the Ma . . . there's an error on the Calendar, and



it should be House Bill 1045 . . ."

Telcser: "Yeah."

McGrew: ". . . which is mine."

Telcser: "House Bill 1045, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Ah . . . I would like to take just a quick second to point out that House Bill 1045 was introduced by myself . . ."

Telcser: "Wait . . . wait . . . wait, wait, wait, the Clerk says there's a . . . this is House Bill, Fred, it's an error on the Supplemental Calendar."

McGrew: "I would explain it, if I may?"

Telcser: "Wait a second. I was told that it was an error, Fred, it's . . . yeah, but the Clerk says it's not. Okay, let the Clerk read the Report, maybe the air can be cleared up before we read."

Fredric Selcke: "The Report that we have on the Calendar reads as follows: 'We the undersigned Committee of Conference appointed to consider the difference between the two Houses in relation to Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1045 recommend that the Senate Amendment be adopted'."

Telcser: "Now, whose Bill is it? Where were we?"

Fredric Selcke: "Look it up in the Digest . . ."

McGrew: "Senate Bill 1045 wasn't amended in the Senate though, the Conference Report . . . well then that's still wrong, it says that . . . that the Senate Amendment . . ."

Telcser: "It what? Well, can we . . . ah . . . can we pull it out of the Record for a minute? I tell you what, while . . . well, no the Clerk . . . Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you arise?"

McGrew: "I would quickly like to point out that the problem came about because the damn Senate didn't even let me know that we were having a Conference Report and it's my Bill, no wonder they . . . they screwed up the whole Conference, and there was no Democrat Member of the House on that. That's how we get into problems, is why the whole place is screwed up at this point and I'm very sorry that it happened."

Telcser: "Stan, let's you and I sponsor a Constitutional Amendment for a unicameral Legislature of the House only. Get rid of the Senate."



All right, is someone working on this . . . hey, is someone over there going to finger this thing out? Well, can you straighten this out while we put on something else. All right, . . . ah . . . yeah, go back to Representative McGrew and we'll go to next Spring, okay. Senate Bill 443, Representative Peters."

Unknwon: "Selcke, 443."

Telcser: "Representative Peters, do you wish to do something on Senate Bill 443? Okay, why don't you take Cal's mic' or turn on Skinner right here."

Fredric Selcke: "Adopt a Conference Committee Report."

Peters: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after much deliberation on the part of two Conferences, we have finally reached an agreement . . . ah . . . in regards to the . . . ah . . . bñdget for the . . .ah . . . Board of Governors, and there is agreement on both sides of the House, the Members of the Appropriation's Committee, the Members of the Senate and the House. It's got a good, thorough going over and I . . . ah . . . respectfully request a . . . ah . . . that we adopt a Conference Committee Report on 443."

Telcser: "Okay, . . . election. The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I find it kind of interesting because my recollection is that we just . . . ah . . . moved the Conference Committee Report #1 asking for another Conference; and I can't see as far as time-wise how they could have accomplished that within a period of five minutes. That's just been filed with the Clerk a little short time ago. So I think that they need a second Conference with the proper Members . . . and I'd like to know if we got a copy of the Report on our desks."

Telcser: "We . . . okay, while you're looking, let's hear from the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, what is the Conference Committee Report, where is it on our desks and the Conference Committee #1? I suggest we take it out of the Record until we have the Conference Committee Report. I'm tired of the Senators coming over here having individual



Members signing Conference Committee Reports without ever having a Conference Committee meeting."

Telcser: "Okay, let's take this out of the Record and . . . that's right . . . all right, we're going to take these . . . ah . . . let's take the Supplemental Calendar, and let the . . . Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just in . . . and my apology to both to Representative Lechowicz and Representative Hanahan in terms of the Members and the confusion in my mind . . . ah . . . we are not ready on this one as yet. I apologize."

Fredric Selcke: "All right, out of the Record."

Telcser: "Okay. Okay, back on appropriation Bills. Senate Bill 1173. Whose the Sponsor of this? Is that Shea?"

Fredric Selcke: "Shea. Senate Bill 1173, huh . . . out of the Record."

Telcser: "Let's wait a second on that. Okay, there's all kinds of things going on . . . okay, Senate Bill . . . Representative Madigan on the floor? Madigan? Okay. Senate Bill 1020."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1020, an Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I request leave to hear . . ."

Telcser: "Are there any objections to 1021? Hearing none, will the Clerk please read 1021?"

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1021, an Act concerning tax of political subdivisions and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1020 and 1021 would provide that in Cook County the judicial contest relative to the validity of a tax levy ordinance would be moved forward in the process so that the ordinance would be contested in the courts of Cook County approximately 5 months after the passage of the ordinance by the taxing district. I request a favorable Roll Call."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm highly suspicious about this Bill, and the



reason I'm highly suspicious is because there isn't . . . ah . . . at the present time when you pay your taxes under protest and when you have a protest, it's after you've got the tax bill and you have an idea and really an incentive to go in and get your taxes reduced. It seems to me that what this Bill tries to do is to have a city or . . . or a special district pass a tax . . . ah . . . levy and then go to a perhaps a friendly judge, perhaps a fair judge, and either with a strenuous defense of . . . a strenuous attack or perhaps just a little sham attack . . . ah . . . have the judge rule that the levy is completely . . . ah . . . is completely sound and . . . ah . . . immune from further attack. Now, this Bill did not come before the Revenue Committee, it came before the Judiciary Committee and all I can say is . . . that I don't . . . it's one of those Bills where you just don't really know what's happening . . . ah . . . but if what's happening is what I think is happening, it's been held unconstitutional three times in the State of Illinois already and . . . ah . . . Senator Daley's father was the first one to propose it when he was in the Legislature. Ah . . . I don't think it's a very good Bill."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman wish to close? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, in response to . . . ah . . . Representative Skinner, there are three essential elements to the tax Bill; the levy ordinance, which is the rate, the valuation and in the state equalizer. What this Bill proposes to do is to remove one of those elements and to accelerate the judicial tax as well as its validity. Today those who profit and those who make monetary advantage out of a tax contest are those who pay their taxes under protest and then take the question of a validity of the ordinance into court. If this Bill passes, and the levy ordinance is found to be invalid, then everybody, not just those who have enough money to contest the ordinance, will profit because the levy will be reduced."

Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1020 and 1021 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."



Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm certainly inclined to think this is a bad Bill. This . . . ah . . . changed the procedures entirely for filing tax protests, and what it does, in fact, is make it more difficult for the taxpayers in Cook County to file a protest to their taxes. It says that they must do it at the time the levy is made between then and the time of confirmed. It reduces the time and it also reduces the probability or possibility of getting . . . having a protest made because the people don't have a tax Bill at the time they get the information that the levy is made. So I suggest to you that this is a bad Bill and that it once before some years ago passed this Legislature and was found to be unconstitutional; and unconstitutional I assume for the reason that it prejudiced taxpayers against making reasonable complaints against their tax levy, and I urge you to vote 'no' on this Bill."

Telcser: "Chockey . . . the Gentleman from Madison, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I was a little leery of this Bill, also, as I remember you always was a little scared of Chicago last couple hours of . . . ah . . . of Session but . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1020 is on the Agreed List and so I looked it up in the statute books, Sam Maragos and I spent some time looking through the statutes to find appropriate paragraphs, and all it actually does is liberalize the opportunity for a taxpayer to . . . ah . . . receive grievances and . . . ah . . . I'd urge your support. Thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in . . . in response to the Majority Leader's remarks, I want to say that the Bill that he is talking about which is declared constitutional is not the same Bill that this is . . . ah . . . have before us now. This Bill was . . . takes out those features that made it unconstitutional in the previous Bill. It is a Bill that will simplify in many ways . . . it was the protesting procedure and it still does not prevent the question of rates and everything else and you can go on and protest if you



have to. But what it does, it locks in many ways and does it for everybody else except a special few on the grounds that they are talking about, and therefore I ask for your support of this measure."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 58 'ayes', 22 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional . . . these two Bills having failed to receive a constitutional majority are, hereby, declared lost. Senate Bill 1199."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1199, an Act making appropriation of the Illinois Junior College Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1199 is an emergency appropriation for \$1,650,000 to the Illinois Junior College Board for replacement of facilities of William Rainey Harper Junior College for a fire they had June 9 . . . ah . . . we will need 107 votes for this as we would like to have the appropriation effective immediately. There is precedence for this as the Legislature has done this in the case of two fires before, and this is to replace classroom facilities. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if Representative Totten could inform us . . ."

Telcser: "He indi . . ."

Skinner: ". . . whether the Bill was . . . whether the building that burned was insured, if so for how much and if so why the Bill is in?"

Totten: "In answer to the question . . . ah . . . Representative, the building was insured and . . . ah . . . the exact figure I don't have, but the 25 percent of this . . . of the total cost of the building is going to be repaid from . . . ah . . . insurance . . . ah . . . that'd be . . . that they had on the building."

Skinner: "All right, it is my information that it was insured for approximately \$600,000. What is the Bill . . . the amount of money in the Bill?"

Totten: "\$1,650,000 . . ."

Skinner: "Ah . . . Is this a . . ."



Totten: "That would be 75 percent."

Skinner: "Is this by any chance a means to get . . . ah . . . to circumvent the Junior College Board's approval of a . . . ah . . . of a building . . . ah . . ."

Totten: "No, it's not."

Skinner: "It's on their . . . is this on their priority list?"

Totten: "No, because this was an emergency, Cal."

Telcser: "Okay, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1199 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Let's get on the Roll Call. 107 votes. Let's go. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? You're only a little short. I mean if you want to vote for it, vote for it, if you don't, don't. The Lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald. Did you turn . . . I asked Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that it is important to know that the building that was burned at Harper was being used and had been donated to the college . . . ah . . . given to the college was an old box; and it isn't just the construction of the building, it was all of the equipment that was lost in that fire, and it . . . it will really seriously interrupt the educational facilities for that particular . . . all of their maintenance equipment and everything else was burned in that fire. This is truly an emergency appropriation and I would certainly appreciate the support of any who feel they could vote for it and help us out."

Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative . . . if we do that, John, the Clerk says the machine will break down again. The Lady . . . the Lady from Cook, well let's see if we got it Eugenia. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. She's got it. On this question 118 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and this Amendment received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1148."

Fredric Selcke: "Right here."

Telcser: "Finally got to you, Bob."

Fredric Selcke: "Hell yes. What's the Bill number, 1140. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise?"



Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I arise on a point of order."

Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Schlickman: "Yesterday we extended a courtesy to the Leadership by suspending the rules so that the Leadership would have the discretion by which they could call . . . by which it calls Bills. Now, earlier today I thought I heard the Speaker say in direct response to an inquiry that the remaining Bills that would be heard by this House today would be appropriation Bills and those Bills subject to concurrence or Conference Committee and in . . . the spirit of that suggestion or in that comment we were encouraged and ordered to save, not appropriation Bills, Bills that weren't subject to concurrence as far as Amendments or Conference Committee, we were encouraged to do one of two things, either to place our Bills on the Spring Calendar, House Calendar, or to commit those Bills to Committee. Now, Mr. Speaker, in the spirit of our rules which everyone seems to be so proud of and the spirit of those rules, I request in the alternative either one, a list of all the Bills that are gonna' be acted upon for the remainder of the day so that I can anticipate, or 2, in the alternative a list of all those Bills that have been removed from the Calendar and have been either placed on the Spring House Calendar or have been committed to Committee. I think the Membership is entitled to this, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Ah . . . Jim, could . . . you . . . pull this in. All right, Gene, . . . ah . . . to your second point, we'll send back now back to the office and make photostatic copies of a list . . . ah . . . of the Bills which the Members have indicated that they wished to put on the . . . ah . . . Spring Calendar and that would help you . . . ah . . . Jim just walked out with . . . so that would help you with the second point that you raised. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "A further inquiry. Did I hear the Speaker wrong? Didn't I correctly understand that only appropriation Bills or Bills that were subject to concurrence or Conference Committee?"

Telcser: "Gene, I just don't know. I wasn't on the podium all day until late this afternoon. I came up here about, I don't know, 6 o'clock



or 5:30 . . . Representative Walsh."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I can't understand and I can't appreciate and I don't appreciate some of the cat and dog Bills, some of the controversial Bills, that are being called at this late time in the Session when the state of affairs or the affairs of the state must go on. There is an emergency that exists right now, and let's get to the operation of State Government rather than special interests."

Telcser: "Gene, your point is well taken . . . ah . . . and that's what we try to do but the Members of individual Bills . . . ah . . . have just been rushing this podium for the last few days to have their Bills called and while some Bills are cats and dogs . . . ah . . . you know, are not the Members who sponsor them. Read 1148, Mr. Clerk."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1148, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask leave of the House to return Senate Bill 1148 to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Telcser: "Are there any objections? On the order of Second Reading, will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #3, Brinkmeier, amends Senate Bill 1148 and so forth."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this amendment states that this Act shall take effect immediately upon its becoming the law. I urge its adoption."

Telcser: "All right, are there any . . . Gentleman asks the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1148. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1148 would increase from \$1,200 to \$1,300 per year as the maximum amount that could be awarded to an Illinois State Scholarship winner. Now, this . . . ah . . . has not been . . . this amount has not been increased for four years, and I'd point out that . . . ah . . . during the past 15 years, whereas, the amount we have awarded these



people has doubled, the cost has tripled, and I would certainly appreciate the support of the House in passing this Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Hoffman: "Do I read the Digest correctly, it indicates that . . . ah . . . the Commission has the power to guarantee loans to people who are . . . are . . . ah . . . the citizens or permanent residents of the United States and do not . . . do not necessarily have to be residents of the State of Illinois?"

Brinkmeier: "I'm not sure about that . . ."

Hoffman: "Or . . . or does this imply, I mean, that they do not have to be residents of the State of Illinois, Bob?"

Brinkmeier: "Well, I interpret it to mean that they would have to be . . . ah . . . I know there is in the . . . ah . . . in the . . . ah . . . appropriation Bill to the Illinois Scholarship Commission there is \$4,000,000 that's in there for the guaranteed loans."

Hoffman: "Well, my only question is that I'm sorry I haven't looked at this Bill more closely before now, is the State of Illinois with with state funds going to be guaranteeing loans, some of which will be defaulted to people other than the citizens of our own state? That's my only question."

Brinkmeier: "Well, it's my understanding that they must be citizens of the State of Illinois. That's my understanding of it."

Hoffman: "Okay."

Telcser: "Okay, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1148 pass? All in favor 'aye', those opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Brinkmeier to explain his vote."

Brinkmeier: "Well, I would hope that I wouldn't have to take the time of the House to explain the vote on this measure, but it is very important because, as I said a moment ago, the Illinois Scholarship Commission has not increased the amount that they have awarded these youngsters in the past four years. Based on '69 was the last time this was done, and also in addition, I would remind the Members of the House that incorporated into this Bill is House Bill 1107, which



we passed out of here...ah...it was lost in the Senate which provides that part-time students in junior colleges could qualify. Now these are the people that young people that are trying desperately to gain an education and their working only because they have to and this would make it possible for them to qualify for loans as well as the full-time students and I certainly would hope that the Membership of the House would see the need of this legislation and give me a green light on this. On behalf of the thousands of youngsters benefit I'd I'd say thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman to explain his vote."

Hoffman: "Ah...Mr. Speaker...ah...I'd like to be voted 'present'."

Telcser: "Record Representative Hoffman as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 88 'ayes', 1 'nay'. Representative Brinkmeier for what purpose do you arise?"

Brinkmeier: "Well Mr. Speaker I'm sorry to take the time of the House but there are so many young people that would be the beneficiaries of this legislation I would ask that we would poll the absentees."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a poll. Representative Skinner for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Skinner: "Ah...Mr. Speaker I think that the Membership including Mr. Hoffman does not understand this Bill. This is a part of the agreement that they that they have to have in reference to the ah...amount of money that the Junior College Board has to have to operate this time...ah...in other words it's tied in with the 18 and \$19.00...ah...payment by the State and I believe that we should have a...ah...a new Roll Call and that we should pass this Bill."

Telcser: "On this question there are 88 'ayes'. Representative McCourt 'aye'. Macdonald 'aye'. What do you want a new Roll Call? Let's take a new Roll Call...ah...question is shall Senate Bill 1148 pass. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Another Roll Call. Have all voted who wish? Everybody on? Take the record. Duff 'aye'. On this question there are 118 'ayes', 1 'nay'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority



is hereby declared passed. Representative Giorgi for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker...ah...sometime ago you started on a supplemental calendar 3 and I went to the phone and I come back and...ah... there's a Bill for the Mental Health Commission on this calendar and I'm wondering why it hasn't been called."

Telcser: "I'm...ah...I'm going back to the supplemental calendar...ah... as soon as we finish two important appropriation Bills."

Giorgi: "Well this is on the appropriation Bills calendar, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Yeah I'm going to get back to that supplemental calendar #3 to which you refer. We took it out of the record because there were two of those reports that were in error and so while we..ah.. tried to square that...ah...supplemental calendar we went to some other Bills. We'll go back to that right away. I have to call Senate Bills 1173 and 74 then go back to supplemental calendar #3 which is the one that you want to be called."

Giorgi: "By whose orders? Who ordered you to call Senate Bill 1173, 1174? I don't see it on the calendar."

Telcser: "Well they're appropriation Bills. They haven't been out of the House yet."

Giorgi: "Oh we're going back to appropriations now. Can we count on that for a while?"

Telcser: "Yeah. I'm going to go to 1173, 1174 and back to supplemental calendar #3 which deals with a Conference Committee Report relative to appropriation Bills which is the one you want. Senate Bill 1173."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1173, Shea, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation of the expense of the Judicial System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker I'm wondering if...ah...I can handle 1174 for Representative Lemke at the same time?"

Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing nonewill the clerk please read 1174."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1174, a Bill for an Act to make appropriation for the Judicial System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Senate Bill 1173 is for the expenses of the



Judicial Administration Office and the five Appellate Districts. Senate Bill 1174 is for the payment of the judges salaries and I move adoption the two...ah...Amendments...ah...the two...ah... Bills."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "The first question has to do with the County of Cook and the question is this, how in what dollar amount of the Motor Fuel Tax Funds that's goes, that is allocated for the County of Cook, that goes to the Judicial System? Now Jerry asked you this question once before and I don't I don't remember the answer that you gave."

Shea: "I don't I don't follow the question."

Palmer: "Well there is a, am I correct in this assumption the County of Cook is the only County in the State that can use it's Motor Fuel taxes without limitation for certain expenditures of County government. One of them is the operation of the Circuit Court. All right now my question then is this, in what what amount was...ah...was...ah... set over to the Judicial System in the County of Cook?"

Shea: "There is no amount set over for that. I believe that in the last budget period some 10 or \$12,000,000,000 of Motor Fuel Tax Funds were transferred to the corporate budget."

Palmer: "All right then the the next question is...ah...on 1173 and 74 there is \$25,000,000,000...ah...25% allocated...ah...from the road fund to the Judicial System. Am I correct on this?"

Shea: "You mean in these budgets?"

Palmer: "Yeah."

Shea: "Yes there is and I'll tell you...ah...that was started by Senator Arrington some years ago and I think you'll find the footnote for the 25% allocation is because of the amount of time judges spend hearing cases involving automobiles."

Palmer: "Was, has it always been in the 25% amount?"

Shea: "Ah...I could not tell you Romie. I think at one time it was higher than that. I think it was 33%...ah...on some Bills that Senator Arrington Sponsored in the 65 session."

Palmer: "Thank you."



Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just think that the Members of the House should pay close attention to these two Bills where we're taking 25% of the money out of the roads fund to pay for the judges salaries. I have no objection to paying for the judges salaries and I realize this is according to Representative Shea now, a time honored precedent but I think that the road funds should be used for one purpose and that's roads and therefore I would recommend a negative vote on this Bill, these two Bills."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bills 1173, 1174 pass. All in favor 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh, Richard: "Mr. Speaker. Will you take the record please Mr. Clerk? Spin...ah...spin it to see if the votes are there."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker am I correct that it takes 107 votes to pass these two budgets?"

Telcser: "That's correct sir."

Shea: "Thank you."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Puc Blair on as 'aye' Mr. Clerk. Totten 'aye'. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 21 'nays' and these two Bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. O'kay...ah...Senate and the...ah...Supplementary Calendar #3 Senate Bill 443. Representative Peters. Has that been settled yet Peter? Pete."

Peters: "Nope."

Telcser: "On the Supplemental Calendar Senate Bill 449. Representative Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Well the Conference Committee Report is on Mr. Speaker and we'd better have another Conference Committee cause we didn't accept the Amendment."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves not accept Conference Committee Report. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question?"



Clabaugh: "Yes sir."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah...Charlie...ah...it seems to me that we could be here until the middle of July...ah...with somebody's Conference Committee. Could you tell us what the difference on this particular Bill between the House and the Senate?"

Clabaugh: "Yes. We put on an Amendment in the House, Amendment #1 at the request of the...ah...staff of the budgetary or of the appropriations Committee to use a functional budget instead of the object budget as we've had in the past and...ah...the...ah...there was some question raised about it...ah...well I'm just trying to think now. That's what it's concerning and we didn't get agreement from the Committee on that..ah..part of it."

Walsh, Richard: "Ah..Charlie, let me interrupt. Is there any question as to the amount of dollars involved?"

Clabaugh: "No sir. Not one particle of difference in the dollar but the ah...a functional budget was recommended as I said by the staff and when we got together on it in Committee...ah...we weren't able to come to an agreement."

Walsh, Richard: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...I'd liketo this request but we're getting to the point where these Conference Committees are going to hang us up because of personalities and in many cases personalities not of Members but personalities of staff members. Now I think we're going to have to address ourselves to these Conference Committees if the dollars are the same...ah...we're not going to be able to change State government in one Conference Committee or the entire approach to it. Now appropriations was made to the University of Illinois in the matter apparently the Senate proposes to make them...ah...ever since I've been down here and I don't know for how many years prior thereto. Let's get these Conference Committees if we've got some people on there that won't agree get some new people on there. The dollars are apparently agreed to. Let's pass the Conference Committee Report so that we can adjourn."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House does not adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 449. All in favor 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The House does not adopt the Conference



Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 449. Senate Bill 478, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker...ah...I request that...ah...this Bill be taken out of the Record because I as Sponsor of the Bill have not as of yet seen the Conference Committee Report."

Telcser: "Take it out of the Record. Do you want the same thing on 494 Jack?"

Lauer: "Same thing."

Telcser: "What about..ah...who is going to handle Representative Choate's 503? Anyone going to handle that? No...Representative Peters 591 are you ready for that one? Ah...no...Representative Catania on Senate Bill..no we're not ready for that. Representative Giorgi 827?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House...Senate Bill 827 and that line amends the Mental Health Code and it is a Mental Health Commission Bill and it's agreed to by Juckett and all the members of the Mental Health Commission, and I move for it's adoption."

Telcser: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I'm glad that he explained it...ah...for a minute I thought we had a new No-Fault Bill coming."

Telcser: "The gentleman has moved that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 827. All in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there is 111 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 827. Okay, another concurrence...ah... House Bill 218, Representative Collins. Is Representative Phil Collins on the Floor? Phil Collins on the Floor? Alright, let's get a few more on these Supplemental Calendars. Supplemental Calendar #4. House Bill 873, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do move that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report which... ah...has been a well worked out compromise of Senate Amendment #1."



Telcser: "The gentleman has moved that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report to relative to House Bill 873. All in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Oh...ah...Christ. Take the Record. This question there is 107 'ayes' no 'nays'. The House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to House Bill 873. Senate Bill 284, Representative Barry."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 284, Barry."

Barry: "Is the first Conference Committee Report available to the Clerk? Do you have a Conference Committee Report?"

Telcser: "What did you want Toby?"

Barry: "Do you have a Conference Committee Report on 284?"

Telcser: "Yea...yea. Do want to move to adopt?"

Barry: "I move to not adopt."

Telcser: "The gentleman moves that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 284. Repre...
..... This is Supplemental Calendar #4. The Conference Committee Report been distributed. . . apparently has not. Representative Giorgi for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker now that I have...got a little seniority and I sit on the rail...ah...they just told me that the Senate is going to meet tomorrow morning. So maybe we ought to...ah...go into our respective conferences and get a schedule for ourselves because I understand that we are going to be here tomorrow morning. I'm on the rail here and I get rumors direct from the Senate."

Telcser: "Alright, what about 286. Representative Schneider have they been distributed?"

Schneider: "Ah...thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I believe they have and 286...ah...I would move that we...ah...accept the Conference Committee Report. It is a cost of living increase that amounts to two thousand dollars and I think that pretty trivial at this time and I move it's adoption."

Telcser: "The gentleman moves that the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 286. All those in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting



'no'. One hundred and seven votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Representative Fleck I'm sorry. For what purpose do you arise sir?"

Fleck: "Well, we're starting to get to the nitty gritty with these Conference Committee Reports and that last one I didn't have, and I frankly would like to see how many signatures are on these reports and just what Amendments were not done and what Amendments were put on, and I don't think it is fair for the Members."

Telcser: "Okay, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Charlie, I would be glad to tell you. I think...ah... Bud Washburn's name was not on it otherwise we had all of the signatures. It is...ah...two thousand dollar increase...ah...it was left off in the House because I didn't get the Amendment soon enough and we had to nonconcur on the other side in order to place it back on, and the total cost is two thousand dollars in an appropriation that runs somewhere around...ah...three hundred thousand dollars in...in total. Now has this been distributed? I'm told it hasn't been. I thought it had. I think I have seen it."

Fleck: "I think you will get a hundred and seven votes after it was distributed."

Telcser: "You don't have it now? You want to take it out of the Record?"

Schneider: "Fine with me."

Telcser: "Alright, let's take that out of the Record. I'm going to have apparently take out the Supplemental Calendar....Representative Cunningham for what purpose do you arise?"

Cunningham: "Well, I arose to ask to what the reply was to Representative Giorgi's very proper question. We have some rights surely to God to know what the score is. Are we going to be here tomorrow or aren't we, and when will we know?"

Telcser: "As soon as I know...ah...Rosco...ah...I'll let you know. I don't know anymore than you do."

Cunningham: "Well, we hired a man back in January to lead us. Why doesn't he do so?"



Telcser: "Well, they are in his office with the Senate and House Leadership trying to determine when to adjourn or when not to adjourn. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Further Mr. Speaker, I would like to inform the House that I was the last person to check out of the St. Nick today, and I just don't know where to go. I've spent nine years at the St. Nick and I don't have a room reserved in this town as my problems are further complicated."

Telcser: "Capuzi just said he will straighten you out right away. Representative Berry, for what purpose do you arise sir?"

Barry: "I'd like to put a motion Mr. Speaker. I've now discovered that in fact the Conference Committee Report was not distributed on Senate Bill 284, and I would like to move to reconsider the motion by which I asked that that Conference Committee Report not be adopted."

Telcser: "Well, we took it out of the Record Toby. We didn't..."

Barry: "Not on mine. You did...you did on Schneider's."

Telcser: "Oh yes we did on yours also Toby."

Barry: "I don't believe you did, but I'll accept that kind of result from the Chair."

Telcser: "Yea, we took it out of the Record because someone said that there was no distribution of the Conference Committee Report."

Barry: "Well that was done on a subsequent Order of business, but I'll accept your...ah...ah...decision."

Telcser: "Thank you Toby. Representative William Walsh for what purpose do you arise?"

William Walsh: "Just to point out that I find it very interesting that a gentleman is...ah...interested in fair play on that and was not in the least bit of concern that the concurrence...ah...on a Senate Bill 1268 was distributed twelve hours...ten to twelve hours following the concurrence motion."

Telcser: "On the Order of Con... Representative Barry for what purpose do you arise?"

Barry: "Just to respond that it was a previous order of business and not the previous day business."



Telcser: "On the Order of Concurrences...ah...Senate Bill...House Bill 218, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah...I move for a concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 218. As you know this is the Bill requiring that election authorities who remove a Election Judge shall show cause in writing and that shall be a matter of public record. Now Amendment #1, I think makes sense to all of us as Members of a political organization. The Amendment says that a copy of...ah...of this notification shall be made or shall be given to the County Chairman who initially made the recommendation of this judge, and I would move for concurrence of Amendment #1 to Senate...House Bill 218."

Telcser: "The gentleman moves that the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 218. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. One hundred and seven votes. Let's get on. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. This question there is 119 'ayes', no 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 218. Representative Pierce for what purpose do you arise?"

Pierce: "Ah...Representative Giorgi the last man to move out of the St. Nicholas Hotel tells me that he has found a room at the Hotel Leland if anyone needs a room."

Telcser: "Okay. Alright let's go on now. I want to get on the Order of Concurrences House Bill 1912. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker...ah...I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1912. All that Amendment does is to increase the permissive rate for the...ah...percentage on the bonds from six percent to seven percent in keeping with other...ah...limitations...ah...and other statutes, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Telcser: "The gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1912. All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "For the Record...ah...the Senate is coming in tomorrow."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? I'm sorry, the gentleman from



Cook, Representative William Walsh."

William Walsh: "Well, I don't think we should let this thing go quite so quickly. Here we get another opportunity to hopefully to beat down the City of Chicago...ah...and their hunger for issuing bonds in the Chicago Board of Education, and of course in each case without a referendum. Now it wasn't very long at all...ah...it was maybe two three hours ago that we permitted them to issue ninety million dollars...ah...worth of bonds without referendum...ah...that ought to be enough for one day Mr. Speaker, and I think this is an opportunity for us maybe to...ah...ah...at least slow down the issuance by...ah...not permitting them to...ah...increase the interest from six to seven percent, but let's give the City of Chicago's taxpayer a little bit of a break even if the...ah...Democratic Members of this House from the City of Chicago won't."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well Mr. Speaker the purpose of this Bill is to give the taxpayers a little break. That break is a little pay. The hour is getting late. The purpose of this Bill is to allow the City of Chicago to issue work and cash fund bonds instead of the higher cost that . . . anticipation warrants. Now we have discussed this Bill on Third Reading. I remember you debating it with the Majority Leader at that time as I have a number of other Bills necessary for the Chicago Board of Education. This is in line with the...ah...fiscal...ah...report issued by the...ah...the...ah...Management Task Force. The purpose of this Bill is to bring the level of working cash fund bonds up to the same level that is allowed to other...to other...ah...school districts throughout the state...ah...namely seventy five percent of the working cash of their educational levy. This Bill was debated and we saw fit to approve it...ah... a number of weeks ago when it passed out of the House. There's nothing wrong with this Bill Ladies and Gentlemen, and there's certainly nothing wrong with the Amendment that I am moving to adopt...ah...from six to seven percent. It is the same level that we've authorized bonds to be issued almost to every other



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

type of taxing authority. Now, . . . ah . . . I don't know why the Majority Leader loves to stand up and oppose . . . ah . . . Bills that are gonna' try to help solve some of the problems in the City of Chicago. I'd like . . . ah . . . I'd like to invite him to move in there and . . . ah . . . help us . . . ah . . . in stead of just obstruct in trying to get some of these problems solved. Ladies and Gentlemen, there's nothing wrong with this motion, there's nothing wrong with the Amendment and there's nothing wrong with the Bill at the . . . in its inception. I would ask you to give us an 'aye' vote on these Bills."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it may have been a Fruedian slip on the distinguished Representative's part, but I think we were . . . they were going to give the taxbreakers a little pay was right...ah.. I think the Majority Leader is absolutely right. It hasn't been an hour ago that Representative Geo-Karis lost a Bill. It would've provided for an increase without referendum, and I saw . . . ah . . . frankly, many of the Chicago Legislators gleefully voting 'no' on that, and I see those same lights green now, and I suggest we give this Bill the same treatment we gave the earlier Bill and give it a sound defeat."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I voted 'aye' on Mrs. Geo-Karis' Bill and I voted 'aye' on Representative Bluthardt's Bill this morning, and when I see good legislation or needed legislation I vote 'aye'. Now, all we're asking in this Bill is to give the City of Chicago and the Board of Education the chance to sell some bonds, increase their working cash fund up to a reasonable rate so they don't have to be buying tax anticipation warrants or selling them, constantly at high rates of interest. In the long run, this will save the taxpayers money. Now, we'd appreciate some support because in many times we've tried to . . . ah . . . get so that we could get faster tax payments. We've helped you do that . . . ah . . . I think that all we're trying to do here is to save the taxpayers some money and we'd appreciate the support



of the House."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. McGrew 'aye'. This question there are 94 'ayes', 27 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ah . . . Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "I would like a . . . ah . . . requote on . . . I'll take that Roll Call on a . . . ah . . . and leave to change that effective date."

Telcser: "Well, Art', as I understand it we will . . . you can't have a leave to . . . ah . . . change the effective date, you're going to have to leave it where it is, under the rules, that Bill is deemed reconsid . . . ah . . ."

Berman: "Postponed?"

Telcser: ". . . postponed or reconsidered, you want to bring it up again. You . . . ah . . . you . . . we'll call the Bill again, bring it back to the order of Second, you amended with the effective date, bring it back to Third and get 89 votes again. That's the rule, I believe Gerry will confirm that. Is that right? Well, wait a second, Zeke."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry."

Telcser: "Okay, state your point. Has . . . been seen anywhere?"

Berman: "On the motion."

Telcser: "Go ahead, Art, I didn't mean . . ."

Berman: "I'm sure you can answer it, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "All right, but I won't answer it correctly. I can answer it . . . ah . . ."

Berman: "On a motion to concur that is lost, is that the same as a motion to nonconcur?"

Telcser: "Yes, a motion to concur that loses means that the House does not want to concur so clearly, they, therefore, do not concur."

Berman: "Well, the Bill is still is . . . ah . . . sort of . . . ah . . . in limbo."

Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "I . . . I . . . ah . . . respectfully disagree with the Chair, a



. . . ah . . . motion to concur is not a motion to nonconcur if the motion to concur is defeated. There must be a motion to nonconcur."

Telcser: "Well, if a motion to concur Bill fails, okay, let's assume that there is a House Bill that we did not concur the Senate Amendment in, the Gentleman moves to concur and the concurrence motion falls below the constitutional majority. Well, . . ."

Walsh: "Then . . . then the Gentleman has . . . has two choices. He may let the thing go which is defeat for the . . . ah . . . for the Bill or he may make a motion to nonconcur."

Telcser: "Well, I don't know. The Ma . . ."

Walsh: "And if that . . ."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Telcser: "Representative Berman."

Berman: ". . . until we find out the differences, could we just . . . ah . . . let the Roll Call stand and take it out of the Record until I find out what . . ."

Telcser: "All right, let's take it out of the Record."

Berman: ". . . where we are . . ."

Walsh: "No, no, I don't want to take it out of the Record."

Telcser: "Well, all right, okay, . . ."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker . . ."

Telcser: ". . . okay, . . ."

Walsh: "At best, Mr. Speaker, Postponed Consideration on the motion to concur."

Telcser: "Wait . . . wait a minute, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "The Chair has consistently ruled in the last two days if there were not enough votes to concur, they treated it as a nonconcurrence."

Telcser: "All right, now wait a second, now . . . Ann has . . . Bill, can you come here for a second, Bill, Bill Walsh? Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Well, a couple of announcements . . . ah . . . someone called Leland and they referred to me to Abe Lincoln, but that's not my problem, I'd like a Conference Committee Report too, I don't want to tell my wife . . ."



Telcser: "But, Ann . . . Art', the Parliamentarian wants to know just what your intent is, Sir."

Berman: "Well, I'm not sure what my intent is, I want to know what my status is."

Telcser: "Come on, Ann, give me a ruling and I'm going to make it."

Berman: "I'll take the last unanimous Roll Call if that will help."

Telcser: "It sure would. I don't know who made that last ruling, but, Art', I'm informed by the Parliamentarian that we have made previous rulings, I frankly was not up here, but if you move to concur and a concurrence motion fails, you then have to put a motion to non-concur. Is that your question?"

Berman: "All right, then . . . ah . . . then I would move to nonconcur."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendments . . ."

Berman: "#1."

Telcser: ". . . 1 to House Bill 1912. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1912. Boy, oh, Boy, it's . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 616. Is . . . is Zeke Giorgi here? I mean . . . ah . . . Pat North. Is Pat North here? Well, will someone please tell him when he comes back that I called his Bill? Collins, you're a witness. Okay, Representative Barry, for what purpose do you arise?"

Barry: "I have an order of business we can handle now. If . . . ah . . . the Majority Leader can find me one of his pages. I've got my grimy hands on the Conference Committee Report."

Telcser: "Just a second. All right, now, wait . . . ah . . . Toby, wait a second, are you talking about a Supplemental Calendar #4, Toby?"

Barry: "I don't know what the . . ."

Telcser: ". . . the Supplemental Calendar #4 with a Conference Committee Report?"

Barry: "I believe so, it's . . . ah . . . if somebody can find that Calendar for me, it's . . . ah . . . House, pardon me, . . ."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 284."

Barry: ". . . Senate Bill 284."

Telcser: "That's Supplemental Calendar 4. Representative Borchers, for



what purpose do you arise?"

Borchers: "There's an element of rebellion over here, and I move considering we've been here all day or nearly all day, nothing really to eat, all day yesterday, we've got to be here tomorrow, there's no doubt about that, some of the people have lost their places to sleep, I move we adjourn; and I need some Johnson's baby powder for sitting down as I have for the last three to four days."

Telcser: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Tipsword: "On a point of personal privilege."

Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I was yesterday appointed on a Conference Committee on Senate . . . on House Bill 603. This morning I finally found out and talked to some people who were on the . . . on the Committee, although the Committee never met, that we finally arrived, or early this afternoon, finally arrived at an agreement upon what should be on that Conference Committee Report. It didn't come and it didn't come over here. I subsequently found out that it had been redrafted four times and was still in error by those who were drafting it in the Senate. It was being drafted then a fifth time. I waited two more hours and finally went back over to the Senate to see where the heck that Conference Committee Report was. In the time that I was over in the Senate, I finally found out after waiting and waiting over there that it had come over here and while I was gone it was adopted. But here's what I'm . . . is my point, that was never shown to me, I know there were four errors made in Conference Committee Reports. I understand this one is all right, but I don't know myself that it ever agreed with what was agreed to by that Committee, it was never presented to me for signature, my signature does not appear upon it and I understand that neither does the other Democrat on that side of the aisle. Now, this is not just happening on the other side of the aisle, but I think that everyone, every Member here who's a Member of a Conference Committee should have an opportunity to see that Report to be . . . to be darn sure if what has agreed to in that Conference, and this just is to occurring and I know



there were four errors on this one before this one was filed; and it's getting a little sickening, and I understand this is being handled almost entirely by staff. The staff is not elected to this body, they don't have to hand this to the Electorate, and I think that we should have an opportunity to see those Reports and that one has never yet appeared on my desk and I find it adopted."

Telcser: "Representative Tipword, your point is very well taken. That's occurred to me all day long. I under . . . I understand I've been in a zillion Conference Committees and I have not . . . I've only seen a Report on one of them, and I think that point is well taken. Now, Representative Borchers, . . . ah . . . in reference to your suggestion that we adjourn and . . . ah . . . a couple of minutes ago some of you saw a Gentleman come up to me at the podium and he said to me, 'Telcser, I got to talk to you because I hear you guys might adjourn', this is the God's truth, he says, 'I have to know quick because tomorrow morning the contractors are coming in to tear the place apart'."

Borchers: "Well, who's the boss, them or us?"

Telcser: ". . . I said hey go . . . go back and see Blair who might have to get high here, I don't know what the status . . . Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunn: "I . . . I'm a little concerned, now, these Conference Committee Reports that we're just talking about, they're signed by the Members. Are you suggesting that there's . . . there's . . . ah . . . staff are signing Members names to . . ."

Telcser: "No, no, I'm saying they're not the . . . like Tipword . . . well, did you sign yours . . . ah . . . Tip . . . Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "I never saw it, I never signed the Report and my point is that I'd like to know what's in it."

Telcser: "But did you . . ."

Tipword: "No, I've never seen it yet, I don't think anyone signed my name, but I think I ought to know what's in the Report that's presented in our Committee Reports."

Telcser: "Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you arise?"



Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as long as we're on this subject, I notice that up by the Pages there are stacks and stacks of undistributed Conference Committee Reports. Now, the Pages are so damn busy distributing these Calendars for us to sign that they aren't distributing the work and I would ask the . . . the House Members to take it easy on these Calendars and let's get the work distributed before we start pretending we're musclemen and athletes and movie stars signing our names on a lot of garbage Calendars."

Telcser: "Representative J. J. Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolf: "I was trying to get your attention before, Mr. Speaker, my seat-mate, Representative North, I . . . if I could know what the Bill was, maybe I could handle it for him."

Telcser: "I wanted to call 660 for him, Jake. Okay, tell the . . . no, Representative Parry says that 28 . . . the Conference Committee Report to the 284 has been distributed. The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry, in regards to that matter."

Barry: "And now I think I can move to adopt so that it gets to the Senate tomorrow and hopefully it will be agreed in and we'll have one honorable business out of the way."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 284. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "If the . . . if the Gentleman can ask a rhetorical question, if this . . . this is the Industrial Commission annual appropriation, and if so, . . . ah . . . what is . . . how does it vary from the original . . . ah . . . request?"

Barry: "Dick, I'm sorry, I'm getting so beat and I'm ready for that motion of Mr. Borchers, I just neglected to tell you that it's down almost \$300,000 and it is the annual appropriation, down about \$300,000 from what we passed in this House."

Telcser: "Is that okay, All right, have all . . . have all voted who wish? Take the Record. This question 108 'ayes', 4 'nays'; the House adopts Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 284. Now, have the other Conference Committee Reports been distributed on



. . . ah . . . Supplemental Calendar #4? Do you know? I didn't know, you just told me. Well, are we gonna' . . . well, I'm informed by Representative McGrew just now the Senate has adjourned and I've just sent Jim back to the . . . ah . . . the Clerk's office to the Leadership offices to see just what that situation is. Okay, Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise?"

Maragos: "On Supplemental Calendar #4 there is a Conference Report listed . . ."

Telcser: "Has it been distributed, Sam?"

Maragos: ". . . for . . . yes, it has been distributed."

Telcser: "All right, on the Supplemental Calendar #4, Senate Bill 287, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move for the adoption of . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 287 as being . . ."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do ad . . . is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Just briefly again a rhetorical question, . . . ah . . . is this for the Veteran's Commission, Sam, and if so, how does it vary from our original appropriation?"

Maragos: "Ah . . . It doesn't vary . . . ah . . . at all from the appropriation that we had on the House, but it was . . . ah . . . put off on the . . . ah . . . the Senate tried to knock it off and we did not concur and now they finally agreed to our Amendment."

Walsh: "All right. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the prior Bill we had a case where apparently the House took its lumps and the Senate prevailed, here we have a case where the Senate took its lumps and the House prevails. I wonder if in the spirit of good faith we could get together on all these Conference Committees and adjourn soon."

Maragos: "I . . . I ask for a 107 votes, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 287. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Ah . . . Patrick 'aye', and Taylor 'aye'."



This question there are 121 'ayes', 1 'nay'; and the House adopts Conference . . . Cunningham 'aye' says Weber Borchers . . . and the Hou . . . on this question 121 'ayes' . . . 122 'ayes', 1 'nay'; the House adopts the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 287. Representative R . . . ah . . . R. L. Dunne, for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunne: "Has that list that Gene Hoffman . . . ah . . . requested about the list of Bills that are going on . . . am I on?"

Telcser: "Ah . . . Representative Washington, do you wish to . . . on the Supplemental Calendar #4 appears Senate Bill 291, do you wish to . . . ah . . . put a motion relative to that, Representative Washington?"

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House adopt the . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 291 . . . ah . . . after some give and take the net result is that the appropriation for the Department of Human Resources over a half a million dollars less than in fiscal '73, and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 291. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'."

Unknown: "Bob, will you vote me 'aye'?"

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 291. Emil . . . on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1158, Representative Emil Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have the . . . ah . . . Conference Committee Report on this as of yet, could you take it out of the Record temporarily?"

Telcser: "Okay, let's take it out of the Record. What else . . . what else on this Calendar? Okay, we'll wait for some more Supplemental Calendars. Senate Bill 1181. Jake, can you take Pat North's if I call him next? If I call him next, can you take . . ."

Unknown: "He'll take it himself!"



Telcser: "Hey, no, now, we've been holding up this House for you while you were downstairs having dinner now, or whatever . . . well, he was drinking his dinner."

Unknown: "The Gentlem . . . Gentleman from Winnebago is here."

Telcser: "Well, okay, now, after Phil I called . . . ah . . . Phil Collins' Bill . . ."

North: "Mr. Speaker, this is the first . . ."

Telcser: ". . . wait . . . wait, Pat . . . let me get to Collins first and then you're next."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 1181, an Act making appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Phil Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . House Bill . . . Senate Bill 1181 is another . . . ah . . . Bill sponsored by the Members on the Commission on Economic Development. You've already passed 1179 and --80 which authorized the construction of winterization. . . ah . . . cargo handling facilities at the Chicago Regional Port District. This is the specific appropriation of \$5,000,000 for the . . . that . . . ah . . . effort. It is Cosponsored in the House . . . bah . . . by me . . . ah . . . Representative Randolph and Representative Tipsword. It passed the Senate unanimously and I would hope it has the same fate here."

Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1181 pass? All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 110 'ayes', no 'nays'; and . . . ah . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 660."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 660, an Act relating to training of fire protection officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

North: "Mr. Speaker, I . . . ah . . . would like to apologize if there was any inconvenience to the Chair, but . . . ah . . . this is the first time in two days I had the opportunity of going down and having a sandwich without having it hauled up to my desk. Ah . . ."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 660 amends the Fire Protection Training Act, removes the Illinois Fire Commission from the Department of Law Enforcement and that is the entirety of the Bill. I've been waiting for four days to get it."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Adam, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Unknown: "Farley."

McClain: "Thanks, Pat. Ah . . . Pat, with reading the Digest . . . ah . . . it says that this . . . ah . . . oh, 'the Department of Law Enforcement is . . . is established as an independent body', could you explain that for me please?"

North: "Well, what it does it . . . it . . . under the . . . ah . . . statute as it now reads the Illinois Fire Commission is a part of the Department of Law Enforcement and this Bill would remove them making it an independent agency like the . . . ah . . . Police Act, there is no objections whatsoever from the Department of Law Enforcement. The idea behind this is to . . . ah . . . better enable these people to get Federal grants and matching funds."

McClain: "Ah . . . Pat, would you mean to say then that . . . ah . . . we will not qualify for Federal matching grants if it is not an independent body?"

North: "What this does, it makes it much easier to apply for Federal funding, Federal grants, as an independent state agency, and this is the reason for the request."

McClain: "All right, thank you, Sir."

Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think after all the . . . ah . . . the downstate fire school was just started in the last Session. If it wasn't for them being under the Law Enforcement Commission, they wouldn't get any Federal grants. That's right what he said."



Telcser: "Is there . . . the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Pat, would you yield to a question, please?"

North: "Sure."

Barry: "According to my information . . . ah . . . by what . . . what the, pardon me, what the reading of the statute is . . . ah . . . to the effect that a school may dismiss a trainee prior to completion and when that trainee is unwilling or unable to satisfactory . . . satisfactorily complete the course . . ."

North: "Mr. Speaker, I . . . I can't hear the question."

Barry: "According to my information, Pat, I'll . . . I'll put in my own words, one would not finish the course but still be considered having been trainted, It seems to be a fault in your Bill. Do you know anything about that?"

North: "No, I'm unfamiliar with that."

Barry: "Oh, would you mind taking this out of the Record. I think we'd better talk about it. It's pretty obvious to me that there are a lot of guys over here that think it's bad and you're not going to get the votes."

North: "Be my guest."

Telcser: "Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 553, oh, I'm sorry, wait a second, no, I promised Glen. No, it . . . 1175, Senate Bill 1175 on the Nonconcurrency Calendar."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the Division of Water Resources Management budget which is rapidly turning into one of the greater highway programs in the state, and I think the good motion is probably to recede so that we can finally pass it, but out of courtesy to the Speaker and his Aid up there, I move . . . ah . . . that we not recede on the Nonconcurrency."

Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved that we do not recede, Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Palmer: "Where is the Concurrence, I don't have that?"

Fredric Selcke: "It's on the main Calendar."

Telcser: "On the Calendar, Romie. The Gentleman moves that the House do not recede from . . . ah . . . what was it, House Amendments or



Senate . . . it's a House Amendment 1 . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "1, 3 . . ."

Telcser: ". . . 3 . . ."

Fredric Selcke: ". . . 4 . . ."

Telcser: ". . . 4 . . ."

Fredric Selcke: ". . . and 5."

Telcser: ". . . and 5 from Senate Bill 1175. All in favor 'aye',
the opposed . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "What . . . what are these Amendments doing that we're not
receding from, I'm sorry, maybe I didn't understand it."

Schneider: "Well, Gerry, you have to stay on top of this one. This is
the best show in the House . . . ah . . . let me try to recap
for you what happended yesterday. Amendment #1 was the House
Amendment which restore . . . which . . . ah . . . cut in half
approximately the Senate appropriation which had increased the
budgetary amounts to about \$41,000,000. Ah . . . Then we went to
#3 . . . ah . . . which I think was Dick Hart's or Horace Calvo's
rather, #4 was Dick Hart's and together they added about,
I think, \$150,000. Then #5 was the Amendment which you offered and
which I . . . ah . . . actually offered which had the bonding
provision for the . . . ah . . . major projects on the budget.
However, since 1981 is not alive and well, we are not going to have
to go to General Revenue funds for the purpose. Consequently, we
cannot go along with the Senate's action and presently it's all
under a very dark cloud at this moment."

Shea: "So you're are going to what, try to get it in a Conference
Committee?"

Schneider: "That's right, Gerry, not recede."

Shea: "Thank you."

Telcser: "10 . . . the Gentleman has moved the House do not recede
from its Amendment to Senate Bill 1175. All in favor 'aye', the
opposed 'no'. The Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman
from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . .
ah . . . the word that our friend, Zeke Giorgi, has been . . .



announcing for about an hour now is absolutely correct. The Senate has adjourned, they are coming back tomorrow at 9 o'clock. There are some 27 or 28 Conference Committees that . . . ah . . . have not been resolved, they cannot be resolved until tomorrow and so we are going to have to come back tomorrow and it is the plan to be in here tomorrow at 9:30. Now, . . . ah . . . the question arises as to what to do with the Bills that are on the Calendar now, the Senate Bills that are on the Calendar, and I would propose to make a motion following perhaps on discussion that the Bills that are on the Calendar at this time will be placed, excuse me, will be placed on the Calendar the first day we return here in the Spring of 1974. We convene in January and then . . . ah . . . it is planned to adjourn as the Speaker announced earlier until a day . . . ah . . . I believe, he said in March or April; so . . . ah . . . if there's any discussion on that proposed motion, we'd like to hear it."

Telcser: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "I was wondering if the Majority Leader could find time to extend an invitation to me to ex . . . to go to the next Conference Report on 1045, I just see another one here. I'm the Sponsor of the Bill, it would be courteous and I think the thing to do if I at least knew that they were meeting and discussing my Bill, you've got a little time, how about telling me when you're going to do it."

Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, while we wait for this little conference up here, I'd just like to share something . . . ah . . . with the Members of the House that I think is kind of interesting. Ah . . . I have in front of me here thinking of the fact that they're going to be tearing this place up tomorrow a letter from a neighbor of mine . . . ah . . . which is written on June 11, was found by Representative Kucharski . . . ah . . . the very interesting thing about it is it's very insistent upon helping . . . the Legislature helping the University of Illinois. But the most interesting thing about it and this is from a . . . ah . . . person who is a neighbor of mine now was that it



was written in June 11, 1947, when Representative Kucharski was 1 year old and he found it in his desk. So when they start tearing this place up I suggest you all check your desk, maybe we'll answer all our correspondence."

Telcser: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, a point of inquiry. I'd like to . . . I'd like to know what the . . . how . . . what the disposition of the Bills that are presently on the Agreed List, how are they to be disposed of . . . ah . . . would they have to be held over or couldn't we act upon them?"

Telcser: "Ah . . . I understand there's only 1 Bill left on the Agreed List. I'm told that there's only 1 Bill left on the Agreed List. Is that his Bill?"

Brinkmeier: "I'm sorry, I don't know, Mr. Speaker. I do feel it should be moved."

Telcser: "I got it. Well . . . ah . . . let's wait 'til they get done with our Conference. You can ask that question of Representative Walsh. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Now, the . . . the motion that I discussed relative to the business that is presently on the Calendar will be made tomorrow because the staff is going to have to look at the Calendar very closely to see if there are any appropriations or any Bills that are absolutely necessary to pass. Because most of us checked out our hotels and motels this morning, it is . . . ah . . . deemed kind of important that we get . . . ah . . . out of here tonight and get back in our motels and . . . ah . . . unless there's any further discussion . . . I see the Assistant Minority Leader is on his seat, maybe he'd have a word."

Telcser: "Ah . . . Representative . . . Representative Rayson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Rayson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I heard the words of the Majority Leader . . . ah . . . I will say that I've had a couple of Bills of the Illinois Racing Board that it's been on and off so many Agreed Lists that . . . ah . . . and there may have been up and down the aisles, you'd think that this was Biblical or something, the Secretariate



was really going. These two Bills must be passed because time is of the essence . . . ah . . . in their needs and if we wait until next Spring, we're going to have another hell of a lot of shady characters around those tracks and I suggest we can stop the . . . ah . . . heart palpitations of these racing board officials if we call House Bill 772 and 773."

Telcser: "Ah . . . Representative Chapman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Chapman: "A point of information, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Representative Totten and Representative Macdonald and I have asked everybody and sundry and have been promised that a little Bill we have that effects our district, Senate Bill 801, would be heard. This Bill is a simple uncomplicated Bill. It would be so helpful to the four Legislators from the 3rd District if we would be permitted to have consideration of this legislation before we departed for the summer. I have been dear and sweet and reasonable and patient and all I ask . . ."

Telcser: "Hey, Eugenia, don't . . . don't say too much, you'll get me in trouble with my wife."

Chapman: ". . . is that this poor little Bill be heard."

Telcser: "I'm . . . let's see what Zeke . . . Zeke wants to do the same thing with his Bill. Well, tell Eugenia . . . tell Eugenia, everybody wants . . . now, one second, now we owe a piece of business here, my good friend, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh, has a motion to put."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 were just discussed and debated and . . . ah . . . sponsored by Representative Madigan. I voted against those Bills. I don't think those Bills have any redeeming features. However, Representative Madigan thinks they might, and . . . ah . . . would . . . ah . . . move to have these Bills placed on the Spring Calendar in the event we can get them back. So I will . . . ah . . . hereby, move having voted on the prevailing side to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 were defeated with the understanding that if this motion carries Repr-



representative Madigan will then move to have these Bills placed on the Spring Calendar."

Telcser: "Wait a second now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman, is seeking recognition."

Hoffman: "Just inquiring . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . on the motion that Representative Walsh has indicated, will we be coming in, Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker? Will we be coming in tomorrow morning at the time that has been announced for floor action or will . . . if . . . will we be coming in just to consider the Conference Reports?"

Telcser: "Well, Reresentative . . . ah . . . well, let's finish up first with Richie Walsh's business, Ron, okay? Okay, now, Rich, would you move to reconsider the vote by which you lost? Having voted on the prevailin~~g~~ side by which Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 lost, the Gentleman moves to reconsider that vote. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman now . . . ah . . . moves that Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 be put on the . . . ah . . . Spring Calendar, is that what you want?"

Walsh: "Ah . . . I think maybe we need a Roll Call on my motion to . . . ah . . . to reconsider."

Telcser: "I'll be glad to take it. The . . . by which Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 defeated, that motion be reconsidered. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question 10 . . . Ryan 'aye' . . . 119 'aye', 11 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to place Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 on the Spring Calendar."

Telcser: "Okay, your . . . Jim, 10 . . . Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 on the Spring Calendar, and the . . . ah . . . the Bill will be taken care of, . . . ah . . . Mike. All right, now, Representative Hoffman, I think your question will be answered by Representative Walsh and as they wind up for a Conference. Do we have anything left in the Agreed Bills? We have . . . The Gentleman from Cook,



Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, we . . . ah . . . we have been since making the announcement that . . . ah . . . first thing in the morning we would . . . ah . . . move to place the Bill remaining on the Calendar on the Spring . . . ah . . . Calendar, Spring of 1974 . . . ah . . . been besieged with . . . ah . . . request for a very important legislation that remains on the Calendar by individual Sponsors, and I suppose we would have had more if they could have gotten over here fast enough. So we won't make that, as it turns out, there are about 25 Bills on the Calendar. We will probably have some time in the morning waiting for the . . . ah . . . Conference Committee . . . ah . . . Committees to meet and make their Report, so we won't make that motion first thing in the morning, but be . . . ah . . . ready for it sometime . . . ah . . . during the day and now if . . . ah . . . there's no further . . . yeah, and you can't get into a motel and . . ."

Telcser: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speak . . . Mr. Speaker, just a . . . ah . . . a question here on the . . . ah . . . Committee Conference Report for 443 and 591. The first Committees ask that a second Conference Committee be set up, and those second Committees are now in fact working on the problem and I wondered just in terms of the order of this is whether we ought to get these two out of the way now or do you want to hold them for tomorrow?"

Walsh: "Let's hold them for tomorrow."

Peters: "All right, Bill."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House adjourn until . . ."

Telcser: "Not right . . . well, wait a second, Rich . . . no, hang on a second, Bill."

Walsh: "Came close . . . I almost made it, I asked for . . ."

Telcser: "All right, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Yeah, what time did you adjourn to in the morning?"

Telcser: "We . . . we didn't adjourn yet, Gerry, so hang around."

Shea: "Oh, I'm sorry."



Telcser: "I'll ask Bill . . . now, for those of you who are still here . . . ah . . . a few Members have . . . all right, the Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, could we return to Conference Committee Report in order to . . ."

Telcser: "One minute, Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you arise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I thought it was announced earlier that . . . ah . . . the Senate had adopted an adjournment Resolution to adjourning to October 15th. Have they recessed or have they adjourned?"

Telcser: "Well, they've adjourned 'til tomorrow."

Deuster: "They . . . they did not adjourn? I thought it was announced from the Chair that they had adjourned and prior to that it was announced that the adjournment Resolution was to October 15 or some such date, and . . ."

Telcser: "I understand . . . I understand the adjournment Resolution . . . the original one, I was just told was . . . ah . . . reconsidered and they tabled it."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Telcser: "Now, just let's get to Representative Lauer, who has important business that other may also have."

Lauer: "Mr. Speak . . . Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee of the House and the Senate on Senate Bill 478 and 494 have reported and the reports are on their desks and their . . . the substance of their report is that they are unable to reach agreement and they request that the Committee be dissolved and a new Committee be reappointed or be appointed. Ah . . . Could we take action on that report this evening so that those Committees can be re . . . ah . . . appointed and start to work first thing in the morning? Mr. Speaker, would a motion be in order?"

Telcser: "All right, now the Gentleman is going to move that the House do adopt the Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 47 . . ."

Lauer: "478 and . . ."



Telcser: ". . . 478."

Lauer: ". . . and 494."

Telcser: ". . . and 494, and what the Report simply does is . . . just wait a second . . . is . . . is to indicate that the Members have agreed to disagree and that they wish a second Conference Committee to be appointed. Now the reason we're doing this now is so that Representative Lauer . . . ah . . . can get to his second Conference Committee and get it appointed tomorrow. Okay, now all in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', . . ."

Lauer: "I . . . I . . . I do also request to be a Member of that Conference Committee . . ."

Telcser: ". . . well you don't do it on the floor . . . all those in . . . ah . . . in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no', 107 votes. Ah . . . Bill, the Clerk says he wants the Roll Call on it. Fred, do you need a Roll Call on this? Clerk says you need a Roll Call. Have all voted who wish? Telcser 'aye'. Representative . . . Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Jack will you tell us so that we all know whats going on here, what are the differences between the respective Houses?"

Lauer: "Representative Walsh, these Bills are . . . ah . . . the Regency University appropriation Bills and I'll have to admit I don't know because the Conference has not advised me as to what their differences are."

Walsh: "Well, you aren't on the Conference Committee Report . . ."

Lauer: "No, I am not."

Walsh: ". . . or the Conference Committee?"

Lauer: "No, I am not."

Walsh: "Is there anyone in the Chamber who is on the Conference Committee who can advise the Membership to why we're adjourning here on the 1st of July and apparently coming back on the 2nd of July? We'd like to know what the differences are between the two Houses."



Telcser: "Turn on Representative Totten over here and Phil Collins . . . he's the Sponsor of the Bill, I guess, . . . ah . . . Rich."

Totten: "Ah . . . Representative Walsh, I think this . . . the difficulties are the same here as we had with the other university budgets for the regions and functional budgeting and . . ."

Walsh: "I can't hear the Gentleman, Mr. Speaker, can you turn the volume up or get some order?"

Totten: "Representative Walsh, the differences here again are functional budgeting, I don't think there's any dollar item . . . ah . . . total dollar difference, it's just a concept of functional budgeting."

Walsh: "So once again there's no dispute as to the dollar amount, only a dispute as to . . . ah . . . the manner of budgeting, is that correct?"

Totten: "I believe that's correct on this one."

Walsh: "All right, . . . ah . . . can you tell me where this concept of the functional budget arose?"

Totten: "The functional budget is actually mandated in the Comptroller's Act and we tried to institute it on the university's budget this year and we've got some difficulty on it."

Walsh: "Well, we have been appropriating by objects and purposes, I believe, through this fiscal year."

Totten: "Right."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once again I think in order to get a fresh look at this thing, we probably should have new Members appointed to these Conference Committees or as I indicated before, we're going to be here until the middle of July . . . ah . . . probably satisfying the peak, if not Members, staff Members."

Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise? Well, he was seeking recognition, Jack, everyone has the right, I can only call on one at a time."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, very briefly, we have 25 appropriation Bills that are in Conference with a possibility of two where there's a question mark whether they're going into Conference. Now, as far



as . . . in response to Representative Walsh's question and functional budgeting and the old way of budgeting, we have just worked out a tentative agreement with the Senate where we're going to withdraw the functional process and in turn go back to the old way because they were completely locked in. Now, the other question that they had was in the various university system budgets it's the question of the prevailing wage Amendment, and, in turn, I don't think we should withdraw in that . . . in that Amendment because it's a labor issue and it was . . . that was adopted last year. Ah . . . The other . . . the other appropriation matters there's a lot . . . there's a very large difference in waterways of \$31,000,000 and I think that we're trying to do our best in order to make sure there's a little fiscal responsibility. I'm sorry for the delay and in turn I'm hoping this will be rectified in the morning."

Telcser: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I have the same problem in regard to the Board of Governors and I wondered if I might have leave, Sir, to . . . ah . . . ask for the adoption of Committee Reports on Senate Bill 443 and 591 and add . . ."

Telcser: ". . . Pete . . . no . . ."

Peters: ". . . them on this Roll Call? It's all the same."

Telcser: ". . . no, the Clerk says there have to be separate records and they each different questions. So as soon as I get done with Jack, I'll get to you. Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm in the dark on these things, like 90 percent of the Members, and . . . I'm happy to learn that the penalty we're going to yield on the . . . in the method of budgeting and . . . ah . . . I would like to observe if this prevailing wage problem that's also at issue here that only in this fiscal year has that prevailing wage Amendment been adopted to appropriation Bills, and I would certainly hope that we're not going to tie up the entire General Assembly because apparently one man wants to have a prevailing wage Amendment adopted on all appropriation Bills."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from McClain, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to second the . . . ah . . . suggestion by Representative Walsh that we have new Members of that . . . ah . . . Conference Committee, and I hate to say it, but the two Members from this side who . . . ah . . . were the ones that were delaying . . . ah . . . this Conference Committee Report had to be explained to them that because of the Finance Act of the State of Illinois, you couldn't have . . . ah . . . you know, budgeting and we finally got it through to them that you can; and I . . . I second that motion of Representative Walsh because these two Members of this side unfortunately have kept us sitting on the floor of this House all day in delaying the approval of the budget of the university that's in my district that I represent and I . . . I seriously object to that. So I hope that tomorrow we get new Members of that . . . ah . . . Committee so that we can move on and make ourselves at least somewhat respectable."

Telcser: "Representative . . . Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "At this hour, I think it's very out of grace for . . . for a Member on this floor to criticize Members of the Appropriation Committee on either side of the aisle when they're trying to work something out and I'm sure they're sincere about it and I think it's totally out of order, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Have . . . have all voted who wish? Take this Record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the Conference Committee Report is adopted. On . . . Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise? Now, let's get this one so another Conference Committee Report can be appointed the first thing in the morning."

Peters: "This is for the same purpose as . . . ah . . . expressed by Representative Lauer and for basically the same reason that have been expressed by a lot of people here, and it . . . ah . . . refers to Senate Bill 443 and Senate Bill 591 which is involved with the . . . ah . . . Board of Governors and it asks that a second



Conference Committee be . . . ah . . ."

Telcser: "Appointed."

Peters: ". . . appointed and I ask for the adoption of . . ."

Telcser: "On both of those."

Peters: ". . . on both these Reports, they both read the same."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman's moved the House do adopt Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 443. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Can I have leave to take this Roll Call on also 591? Have all voted who wish? Okay, let's go. Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Well, excuse me, this is Representative Peters' . . . ah . . . motion?"

Telcser: "Yes, okay? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 443. Now, wait a second. Ah . . . The Gentleman moves the House adopt Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 591. On this question there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House adopts Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill 591. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "We have a Conference Committee Report on Representative Choate's Bill . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 503, the same type of Report. I would move its adoption."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report relative to Senate Bill . . . Representative Richard Walsh, for what . . . Representative Willian Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Now, we can do this tomorrow, there's no need to do this now. We're on our way out."

Telcser: "Well, Fred . . . well, Fred, Fred, I think the Speaker just told me that if we do this now that they can get to it first thing in the morning or else they're going to be stalled if we get . . . ah . . . in . . . wrapped in other matters early in the morning. Representative Richard Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"



Walsh, Richard: "This too is a nonconurrence, Mr. Speaker, is that correct?" 196.

Telcser: "No, what it is, Rich, is that...ah..."

Walsh, Richard: "Well, I mean...ah...Senate Bill 503 we're moving not to adopt or to adopt and request..."

Berman: "No...to adopt a report that says...correct..."

Walsh, Richard: "It requests a Second Conference Committee...Now my question here is the same as any others. Is there any dispute as to dollars?..."

Berman: "I moved it as a courtesy to Representative Choate. I don't know any of the details."

Walsh, Richard: "I see that, is there anybody on the floor who knows what the dispute is on the Southern Illinois University project?"

Telcser: "I think you better wait until tomorrow maybe. Wait a second, Representative Peters knows the answer."

Peters: "My understanding there, Dick, is the same functional classification. It's the same problem with almost all of the school systems we are dealing with. No dispute as to dollars."

Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved the House to adopt Conference Committee Report #1 relative to Senate Bill 503. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The same thing...ah...chair. Have all voted who wish? Representative Shea, the Gentleman from Cook."

Shea: "If we get anymore let's get them all at one time and then one Roll Call."

Telcser: "Yes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise?"

Mann: "Point of order."

Telcser: "State your point."

Mann: "Can you explain to me precisely what we're doing now?"

Telcser: "On this question there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House adopts Conference Committee Report #1 relative to Senate Bill 503. We're adopting Conference Committee Reports that are recommending a Second Conference Committee. We're doing it now so we can get to appointing Second Conference Committee first thing in the morning without going through these Roll Calls tomorrow. Now, are there any other motions such as this? Representative McGrew."



McGrew: "No motion, Mr. Speaker, rather a parliamentary inquiry."

Telcser: "State your point sir."

McGrew: "Ah...earlier today we made a motion if it would pass that the Speaker could jump from Bill to Bill as he deemed necessary. I was wondering does that apply for one day or until the duration of this session? Or was it just June the 30th?"

Telcser: "I didn't ask the question, I means I'm sorry I didn't hear you Sam."

McGrew: "The question is when we adopted the motion that the Speaker could have freeway to call Bills at random at his discretion was that for one day or was it the duration of this session or was it June the 30th? The point is there are fewer Bills below 592 than above."

Telcser: "Sam I I don't quite remember. Can I ponder that overnight? I'm going to think about that this evening and I'll give you an answer in the morning when I figure one out. All right is there anything Mr. Clerk or that we have to do? Ah...all the Conference Committee Reports are adopted that asked for a second one. O'okay what do you want five minutes in recess? O'okay then the clerk will need about five minutes then after we'll adjourn. Wait a second now. Bill did you say that tomorrow morning we can call Eugenia's Bill? Are we going to call some Senate Thirds? We're going to come in tomorrow morning, Eugenia. We're going to call some Senate Bills Third but be here on time. Now we call for 9:30. O'okay the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Sorry about that guys."

Walsh, William: "Mr. Speaker I move that the House recess for the purpose of...ah...adjourning in about fifteen minutes and at the time of adjournment we will adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning."

Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House stand in recess fifteen minutes and then to adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9:30 regular session. All in favor 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The House stands into recess pursuant to adjournment."

Fredric Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes' secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the First Conference Committee on the part of the Senate has been



discharged and that the Senate request a Second Conference Committee considering informationbetween Senate Bill 443...ah... action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate recedes from their Amendments to the following title House Bill 1382. Action taken by the Senate July 1. A message from the Senate. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate receded House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee to Housesthe HouseAmendment #1 to Senate Bill 3...ah...action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973. These are all exceeding Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate exceeded to the request of the House of Representative for a Conference Committee agreed the two Houses in regard to House Amendment to...ah...Senate Bills 371, 449, 478, 494, 503, 591, 697, 698, 827, 830, 1158...ah...action taken by the Senate ah...July 1, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Ah...Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refused to recede Amendments 1 and 2 to..... the followingHouse Bill 798 and I am further 798 have we got, Jack we got all these? Where's this 798? Jack, did you ever have this on a. You never had this on a Conference Committee. On a special calen r...ah...regarding House Bill 798. No is it on the calendar? I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate request Committee Conference five Members. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refused to adopt Conference Committee Report 458...I'm further directed to inform the House Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 tothe following title House Bill 820 and I'm further to inform the House of the Senate request Committee Conference action taken July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the



the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments 3 to the following title House Bill 1045 and I'm further directed to inform the House that the Senate request Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from Amendment 4 to.....the following title House Bill 32 and I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives request Committee Conference. Action taken by the Senate July 1. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 the following title House Bill 820. Hey what's that? Is that one I just read? Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate. How about 1045. Have I read that a dozen times? All right how about...ah...757? How about 756? Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refuses to adopt Conference Committee Report Senate Amendments 2 and 4 to House Bill 757. Senate request Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representativesadopt the following. No we won't do this. Strike that. Throw that away. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate receded from Amendment 1 tothe following title House Bill 614. Action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs the House the following title House Bill 1639 together with the following Amendment. Action passed the Senate Amendment July 1, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senates concurred with the House of Representatives.....the following title House Bill 1979. I can't believe. Passed the Senate July 1, 1973 by a 3/5 vote. Here's one they agreed with. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refused to concur the House adoption Amendment #1 House of Representativethe following. Senate Bill 296 Action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973 by a 3/5 vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.



Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded the request of the House of Representatives for the Conference Committee to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 908, and I'm further instructed to inform the House of Representatives the Committee . . . ah . . . action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973. We got one with . . . one Bill that we agreed. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has reconsidered its previous action as concurred in House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1178. Hey, where's 1178? The following title, 1178, action taken by the Senate July 1, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 604 together with the following Amendments of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House . . . in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, action taken by the Senate June 30, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Ooh, man, we got big business. Introductions and First Readings. Ain't that awful? Introduce . . . introduced July the 1st and we're introducing Bills. Yeah. House Bill 2008, Giorgi, amends the Senior Citizens Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2009, Giorgi, amends the Revenue Act of '39. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2010, Duff, adds Chapter 6 to the organization of probation services to the Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. The girls will be happy to get those. Juckett . . . something here . . . did you see this moved to Juckett or did he do that, 458? Does that go on the Calendar, that's a motion? All right, do you think it should go on the Calendar? I don't get the . . . let's see that's all we've got. Mr. Washburn moves that we stand adjourn until . . . what time . . . 9:30 . . . 9:30 tomorrow. Pete seconds it."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1		Speaker Shea	House come to order
		Davis	Prayer
2		Speaker Shea	Roll Call for Attendance
3		Clerk Selcke	Reads Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Shea	Two announcements
		Hill	Point of inquiry
		Speaker Shea)	House Bill 820
		Londrigan)	Refuse the Amendments
4		Speaker Shea	House refuses to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2
		Borchers	Moves all House Bills, Third Reading, be tabled
		Speaker Shea	Out of order; Concurrences, House Bill 312
		Hanahan	Moves concurrences of Senate Amendment
		Speaker Shea)	Question of Sponsor
		Hanahan)	Response
5		Speaker Shea)	House does concur in Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 313
		Hanahan)	
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 46
		Speaker Shea	
		Calvo	Moves House concu. Amendments 1 and 2
6		Speaker Shea	House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2
		Clerk O'Brien	House Bill 608
		Calvo	Moves for concurrence of Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3



Page	Time	Speaker	Information	2.
		Speaker Shea		
		Calvo		
		Speaker Shea		
		Walsh, R.	Question	
7		Speaker Shea	Amendments 1, 2 and 3 adopted	
		Hoffman		
		Speaker Shea	House Bill 908	
		Hoffman, G.)	Moves to not recede from Amend-	
)	ment 2	
)		
		Speaker Shea)	Question; House refuses to recede	
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 798	
		Speaker Shea		
		Schisler)	Moves to nonconcur Senate Amend-	
)	ments 1 and 2	
)		
8		Speaker Shea)	House nonconcur	
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 1211	
		Speaker Shea		
		Hyde	Moves to concur in Senate Amend-	
			ment 1	
		Speaker Shea	House concurs in Amendment 1	
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 1937	
9		Speaker Shea)		
)		
		Washburn)	Moves concurrence of Senate	
			Amendments 1 and 2	
		Speaker Shea		
		Speaker Shea	House concurs	
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 32	
		Speaker Shea		
		Jaffe	Moves to concur in Amendments 1	
			and 6, not concur in 4	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: July 1, 1973

3.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Shea	
		Juckett	Explains vote
10		Speaker Shea	
		Jaffe	Explains Amendment 6
		Speaker Shea	
		Dunn, R.	Yield
		Speaker Shea	Proceed
		Dunn, R.)	Question
		Jaffe)	Response
		Speaker Shea	
		Hart)	Question
		Jaffe)	Response
11		Speaker Shea	House does not concur in Amendment 4
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 1832
		Speaker Shea	
		McPartlin	Moves to concur in Senate Amendment 1
		Speaker Shea	Question on 3
		McPartlin	Moves to nonconcur on 3
		Speaker Shea	Senate Amendment 1 is concurred; Senate Amendment 3 is nonconcurred
		Clerk Selcke	Question
		Speaker Shea	
12		Duff)	Question
		Speaker Shea)	
13		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 1143
		Speaker Shea	Order of Concurrence, House Bill 1143



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Berman	Moves adoption of Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1443
		Speaker Shea	
14		Walsh, W.	Opposes
		Speaker Shea	
15		Berman	To close
		Speaker Shea	
		Walsh, W.	Vote correctly
		Speaker Shea	
16		Berman	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Shea	
		Hoffman, G.	Supports
		Speaker Shea	
		McCourt	Supports
18		Speaker Shea	
		Geo-Karis	How is she recorded?
		Clerk Selcke	Recorded 'no'
		Geo-Karis	Vote 'aye'
		Speaker Shea	
		Arnell	Supports
19		Speaker Shea	Senate Amendment 1 is concurred in
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 213
		Speaker Shea	
		Clerk Selck-	House Bill 1818
		Speaker Collins	
		Skinner	Moves concurrence of Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1818



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Collins	Motion to concur prevails
		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 1360
		Collins	
		Bluthardt	Moves to concur in Senate Amendment 1
		Speaker Collins	
20		Shea	Question on the Bill
		Bluthardt	Explains
		Speaker Shea	
		Skinner)	Question
)	
		Speaker Shea)	Response
21		Skinner)	Question
)	
		Speaker Shea)	Response
21		Skinner	Speaks to the Bill
		Speaker Collins	
		Bluthardt	To close
22		Speaker Collins	Motion to concur prevails
		Barry	Moves to refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 284
		Speaker Collins	Motion to refuse to recede prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 287
		Speaker Collins	
		Maragos	Moves to not recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 287
		Speaker Collins	Motion to not recede prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 291
		Speaker Collins	



Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Washington	Moves to refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 291
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Collins	
		Barry	Request
		Speaker Collins	Responds
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 443
		Speaker Collins	
		Peters	Moves House do not recede from Amendments 1, 2 and 3
23		Speaker Collins	
		Duff	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 449
		Speaker Collins	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Collins	
		Clabaugh)) Speaker Collins)	Question Replies
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails on refusing to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 478
24		Speaker Collins	
		Lauer	Moves House do not recede from Amendments 1, 2 and 4
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 494



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	7.
		Speaker Collins		
		Lauer	Moves House do not recede from Amendments 3 and 4	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 503	
		Speaker Collins		
		Lechowicz	Moves to not recede from House Amendments 1 and 2	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 591	
		Speaker Collins		
		Peters	Moves to not recede from Amendments 3 and 5	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails	
25		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 689	
		Speaker Collins		
		Waddell	Moves to recede	
		Unknown	Question	
		Waddell	Response	
		Speaker Collins		
		Shea)) Waddell)	Question; Bill out of record Response	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 698	
		Speaker Collins		
		Lechowicz	Moves to not recede from Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails	
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 827	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
26.		Speaker Collins	
		Giorgi	Moves to recede from House Amendments 1 and 3
		Speaker Collins	
		Shea)	Question
)	
		Giorgi)	Response
		Unknown	
		Speaker Collins	
		Duff	
		Speaker Collins	
		Juckett	Yield
		Speaker Collins	Proceed
		Juckett)	Question
)	
		Giorgi)	
27		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 830
		Speaker Collins	
		Giorgi	Same motion, Amendments 2 and 3
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1178
		Speaker Collins	
		Lechowicz	Moves to refuse to recede from House Amendment 2
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Collins	House Bill 458
		Juckett	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report, House Bill 458



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Collins	
		Unknown	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
28		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 495
		Speaker Collins	
		Juckett	Moves adoption of Com. Report
		Speaker Collins	
		Lundy)	Question
)	
		Juckett)	Explains
		Speaker Collins	
29		Lundy	Addresses himself to Bill
		Speaker Collins	
		Mann)	Question
)	
		Speaker Collins)	
30		Juckett	To close
		Speaker Collins	
		Shea	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Collins	
		Juckett	Explains; requests apology
		Speaker Collins	
		Shea	Explains position
		Speaker Collins	Take it out of the record
		Clerk Selcke	Okay
		Speaker Collins	Nonconcurrency
		Clerk Selcke)	Senate Bill 370
)	
		Speaker Collins)	Moves to nonconcur in House Amendments 1 and 2; motion prevails; House Bill 610



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
32		Pierce	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report on House Bill 610	
		Speaker Collins		
		Hanahan)	Question; votes 'no'	
)		
		Pierce)	Response	
		Speaker Collins		
33		Washburn	Opposes	
		Speaker Collins		
		Barry	Yield	
		Speaker Collins	Proceed	
		Barry)	Question	
)		
		Pierce)	Response	
		Speaker Collins		
		Totten	Votes 'no'	
		Speaker Collins		
		Walsh, W.	Votes 'no'	
		Speaker Collins		
34		Walsh, R.	Opposes	
		Speaker Collins		
		Lundy)	Question	
)		
35		Speaker Collins)		
		Pierce	To close	
		Speaker Collins		
		Unknown	Barry 'no'	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; Senate Bill 689	
		Waddell	Moves to nonconcur	
36		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 757	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Kempiners	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report on House Bill 757	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 620	
		Philip	Moves to accept the Conf. Com. Report on House Bill 620	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 615	
37		Londrigan	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report on House Bill 615	
		Speaker Collins		
38		Wolf, J.	Opposes	
		Speaker Collins		
		Jones, J.	Supports	
		Speaker Collins		
		Merlo	Supports	
39		Speaker Collins		
		Skinner	Explains vote	
		Speaker Collins		
		Londrigan	To close	Su
		Speaker Collins		
40.		Wolf		
		Speaker Collins		
		Londrigan	Explains 'aye' vote	
		Speaker Collins		
		Beaupre	Change vote to 'aye'	
		Speaker Collins		
		Bluthardt	Supports	
		Collins		
41		Londrigan	Poll the absentees	



Page	Time	Speaker	Information	12.
		Speaker Collins)	Poll the absentees	
		Clerk Selcke)	Polls the absentees	
		Washington	Record as 'aye'	
		Speaker Collins		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails	
42		Wolf	Dissents	
		Speaker Collins	House Bill 856	
		Stone	Moves concurrence	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 1282	
		McPartlin	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 1318	
		Dyer)	Moves appointment of second	
)	Conf. Com.	
43		Speaker Collins)	Motion prevails; House Bill 1532	
		Schoeberlein	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 1573	
		Wolf	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report	
44		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 1628	
		Dyer	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report	
		Speaker Collins		
		Walsh, R.	Opposes	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 1640	
		Schoeberlein	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report	
44		Speaker Collins		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	13.
		Barry	Question	
		Speaker Collins		
		Schoeberlein		
		Speaker Collins		
		Schoeberlein	Explains what report does	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; House Bill 1790	
		Berman	Take it out of the record	
		Speaker Collins	Out of the record	
46		Berman		
		Speaker Collins	Continue on House Bill 1790	
		Berman	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails	
		Shea)	Question	
)		
		Speaker Collins)	Response; Senate Bill 908	
47		Hoffman, G.	Moves to concur in Conf. Com. Report	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Announcement	
		Speaker Collins		
		Hoffman, G.	Question on his Bill	
48		Speaker Collins	Response; Senate Bill 3	
		Catania	Moves to not accept the Conf. Com. Report	
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; Senate Bill 83	
		Walters	Moves to concur Conf. Com. Report	
		Speaker Collins		
		Walsh, R.		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
49		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails; Senate
		Craig	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Report
		Speaker Collins)	
)	
		Shea)	
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 371
50		Pierce)	Moves to recede from Amendment 11
)	
		Speaker Collins)	Motion prevails; Senate Bill 1153
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1153
		Speaker Collins	
		LaFleur	Explains Senate Bill 1153
		Speaker Collins	
		Schlickman)	Yield?
)	
		LaFleur)	Response
51		Schlickman)	Point of inquiry
)	
		Speaker Collins)	Response
		LaFleur	To close
		Speaker Collins	Bill is passed; Senate Bill 1154
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1154
		Speaker Collins	
		Houlihan, D.	Explains Senate Bill 1154
		Speaker Collins	Bill is passed; Senate Bill 1157
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1157
		Speaker Collins	
52		Berman	Explains Senate Bill 1157
		Speaker Collins	Return Bill to Second Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 1157



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Berman	Explains Amendment 1, reconsider
		Speaker Collins	Motion prevails
		Berman	Moves to table Amendment 1
		Speaker Collins	Amendment 1 is tabled
		Berman	Moves to adopt Amendment 4
		Speaker Collins	Amendment 4 is adopted
		Berman	Moves adoption of Senate Bill 1157
53		Speaker Collins	
		Palmer)	Question
)	
		Berman)	Response
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 1157 passed; Senate Bill 1159
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1159
		Speaker Collins	
54		Lechowicz	Explains Senate Bill 1159
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 1159 passed; Senate Bill 462
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 462
		Speaker Collins	
		Lauer	Explains Senate Bill 462
55		Speaker Collins	
		Barry	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Collins	
		Mugalian	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Collins	
		Schraeder	Yield
		Speaker Collins	Yes



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	
56		Schraeder	Question	
		Lauer	Response	
		Speaker Collins		
		Friedland	Moves the previous question	
		Speaker Collins	Previous question is moved	
		Lauer	To close	
		Speaker Collins		
		Miller, K.	Explains 'aye' vote	
	57		Speaker Collins	Proceed
			Miller, K.	Continues
		Speaker Collins		
		Schraeder	Explains 'present' vote	
		Speaker Collins		
		McMaster	Explains 'aye' vote	
58		Speaker Collins		
		Hart	Explains 'aye' vote	
		Speaker Collins		
		McMaster	Point of inquiry	
		Speaker Collins	Response	
		Craig	Question	
		Speaker Collins	Response	
	59		Craig	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 462 passed	
		Maragos	Question	
		Speaker Collins	Response	
		Tipword	Parliamentary inquiry	
		Speaker Collins	Proceed	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
60		Tipsword)) Speaker Collins)	Continues inquiry
		Beaupre)))) Speaker Collins)	Asks verification of last Roll Call
		Washington	Supports Beaupre's request
		Speaker Collins	
		Hill	
61		Speaker Collins	
		Barry)) Speaker Collins)	Question Response
62		Deuster	
		Speaker Collins	
		Blair	
		Speaker Collins	
		Leinenweber	Question
62		Blair	Response
		Speaker Collins	
		Deuster	Inquiry
64		Blair	Response
		Choate	
65		Blair)) Choate)	
		Speaker Collins	
66		McGrew	
		Speaker Collins)) Schlickman)	Question



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
67		Speaker Collins	
		Tipsword	
		Speaker Collins	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Collins	
		Hoffman, R.	
		Speaker Collins	
		Barry	Table Senate Bill 889
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 889 is tabled
		Barry	Also table House Bill 935
69		Speaker Collins	House Bill 935 is tabled
		Hoffman, R.)	Table Senate Bill 884
)	
		Speaker Collins)	Senate Bill 884 is tabled
		Schlickman)	
)	
		Speaker Collins)	
		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Speaker Collins	
		Palmer	Parliamentary Inquiry
70		Speaker Collins)	
)	
		Walsh, W.)	Question
		Speaker Collins	
		Katz)	
71, 72)	
		Walsh, W.)	
		Speaker Collins)	
	Barry)		
	Walsh, W.	Supports motion	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Collins	
73		Tuerk	Supports motion
		Speaker Collins	
		Barry	
		Speaker Collins	Motion is lost; Senate Bill 559
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 599
		Speaker Collins	
		Neff	Move Bill to Second
		Speaker Collins	Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Collins	
		Neff	Refers to Representative Pappas
		Speaker Collins	
74		Pappas	
		Speaker Collins	Amendment 2 adopted; Third Reading
		Neff	Explains Senate Bill 599
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 599 is passed
		Philip	Makes a point
		Unknown	
		Speaker Colls	Senate Bill 641
75		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 641
		Speaker Collins	
		Houlihan, D.	Asks leave to return to Second
		Speaker Collins	Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Speaker Collins	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Houlihan, D.	Asks adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Collins	Amendment 2 is adopted; Third
76		Houlihan, D.	Explains Senate Bill 641
		Speaker Collins	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads count
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 641 passed; Senate Bill 276
		Matijevich	Question on Senate Bill 876
		Speaker Collins	Response; Senate Bill 276
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 276
		Speaker Collins	
77		Bluthardt	Explains Senate Bill 276
		Speaker Collins	
		Skinner	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Collins	
		Huskey	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Collins	
		Bluthardt	To close
		Speaker Collins	Senate Bill 276 passed; Senate Bill 448
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 448
78		Speaker Collins	
		Boyle	Asks to take it back to Second
		Speaker Collins	Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Collins	
		Boyle	Asks adoption of Amendment 1



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
5		Speaker Blair	Amendment 1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 2
		Boyle	Moves to table Amendment 2
		Speaker Blair	Amendment is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 3
		Boyle	Moves adoption of Amendment 3
		Speaker Blair	Amendment 3 is adopted; Third
79		Boyle	Moves adoption of Senate Bill 448
		Speaker Blair	
		Hoffman, R.	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Blair	
		Juckett	Yield
		Speaker Blair	Continue
		Juckett)	Question
)	
80		Boyle)	Response
		Speaker Blair	
		Skinner	Remarks
		Speaker Blair	
		Boyle	To close
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bill 448 passed; Senate Bill 464
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 464
		Speaker Blair	
		Schoeberlein	465 and -57 companion Bills
		Speaker Blair	All right
81		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 465
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schoeberlein	Explains
		Speaker Blair	
81A		Schlickman) Schoeberlein)	Question
		Schlickman	Opposes Bill
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	Responds to Schlickman's question
		Speaker Blair) Schoeberlein)	
		Clerk Selcker	Reads Senate Bill 570
		Speaker Blair	
82A		Holloway	Explanation
		Speaker Blair	
		Schoeberlein	To close
		Speaker Blair	Clerk to take three Roll Calls
		Washington	Requests a verification
83		Speaker Blair	Count so far
		Schoeberlein	Take Bill out of the record
		Speaker Blair	Taken out of the record
		Boyle	take Senate Bill 645 to Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 645
		Boyle	Requests to take it back to Second
		Speaker Blair	Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Boyle	Moves adoption of Amendment 1
		Speaker Blair	Amendment is adopted; Third



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
84		Boyle	Explains Senate Bill 645
		Speaker Blair	
85		Palmer)	Question
		Boyle)	Response
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
		Boyle	To close
		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew	
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bill 645 passed
		McGrew	Point of order
		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew	Question
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bill 1192
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1192
		Speaker Blair	
86		Calvo	Explains Senate Bill 1192
		Speaker Blair	
		Hirschfeld	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Blair	
87		Jones	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, R.	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Blair	
		Calvo	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bill 1192 passed; Senate Bill 833



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Read Senate Bill 833
		Speaker Blair	
		Waddell	Explains Senate Bill 833
		Speaker Blair	Senate Bill 833 passed; Senate Bill 697
		Farley	Moves to refuse to reced from House Amendment to Senate Bill 697
		Speaker Blair	House refuses to recede; Constitutional Amendment 18
89		Clerk Selcke	Reads Constitutional Amendment 18
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Remark on Amendment
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	Question on Shea's comment
		Speaker Blair	Repeats remark
		Speaker Blair	
90		Duff	Explains opinion on ruling
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Remarks
		Speaker Blair	
		Giorgi	Explains opposition
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	
91		Speaker Blair	
		Hirschfeld	
		Speaker Blair	
92		Duff	
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Pierce	Explains support for motion
		Speaker Blair	Leave granted to return to Second Reading
93		Shea)	Question
		Speaker Blair)	Question
		Duff	
		Speaker Blair	
		Pierce	Moves to table Amendment 3
		Speaker Blair	Amendment 3 is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 4
		Speaker Blair	
94		Duff	Explains Amendment 4
		Speaker Blair	
		Berman	Yield?
		Speaker Blair	Proceed
		Berman)	Question
		Duff)	Response
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	To close
		Speaker Blair	Question
		Duff	Asks for adoption of Amendment 4
		Speaker Blair	Amendment 4 is adopted
95		Duff)	Question
95		Speaker Blair)	Response; House Bill 604
		Stedelin	Moves to concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4 to House Bill 604
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
96		Stedelin	
		Speaker Blair	
97		Juckett)) Stedelin)	Yield?; Questions Response
		Speaker Blair	
		Stedelin	To close
		Speaker Blair	House concurs in Senate Amend- ments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 604; House Bill 1639
98		Philip	Moves to concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1639
		Speaker Blair	House concurs in Senate Amend- ment 1 to House Bill 1639;
		Schneider	Question
		Speaker Blair	
		Schneider	Moves to not recede on 286
		Speaker Blair	House refuses to recede in
99		Taylor	Moves House concur on Senate Bill 296
99		Speaker Blair	
		Taylor	
		Speaker Blair	House Bill 820
		Londrigan	Moves to concur
		Speaker Blair	Conference Com. Rep. to House Bill 820 is adopted; Senate Bill 539
		Murphy	Moves to adopt the Conf. Com. Report on Senate Bill 539
100		Speaker Blair	House adopts Conf. Com. Rep. on Senate Bill 539; House Bill 1133



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Petrovich))) Speaker Blair)	Moves adoption of Conf. Com. Rep. on House Bill 1122
		Maragos	Question
		Speaker Blair	
		Petrovich	Explains Report
		Speaker Blair	
		Tuerk	Explains opposition
		Speaker Blair	
101		Schraeder	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Blair	
		Dunn	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Blair	
		Matijevich)	Question
102		Petrovich)	Response
		Speaker Blair	
		Ryan	Explains opposition
		Speaker Blair	
		Kennedy	Explains supports
		Speaker Blair	
103		Neff	Explains support
		Speaker Blair	
		Sevcik	Explains support
104		Simms	Explains support
		Speaker Blair	
		Maragos	Explains opposition
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
105		Walsh, R.	Explains support
		Speaker Blair	
		Simms	Explains support
		Speaker Blair	House adopts the Conf. Com. Rep. to House Bill 1133; Senate Bill 192
		Murphy	Moves to adopt Conf. Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 192
		Speaker Blair	House adopts the Conf. Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 192; Senate Bill 1049
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1049
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Leave to consider companion Bills 1049, 1050 and 1051 (Senate)
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Repeats request
106		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Dunne, R.)	Question
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1050
		Speaker Telcser	
107		Day	Explains the Senate Bills
		Speaker Telcser	
108		Hyde	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
109		Hudson	Explains opinion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Voting 'present'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Explains 'present' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Questions
		Speaker Telcser	
110		Day	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, B.	Explains support
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lemke	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Waddell	Votes 'present'
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills 1049, 1050 and 1051 passed; Senate Bill 1147
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1147
		Speaker Telcser	
111		Shea	Explains Senate Bill 1147
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1147 passed; House Bill 213
		Collins	Moves concurrence of Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 213
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
112		Collins	Response
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Another question



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Collins	Response
	8	Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
113		Speaker Telcser	House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 213
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 891
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	Explains Senate Bill 891
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
114		Hudson)	Question
)	
		Chapman)	Response
		Hudson	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
115		Borchers	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
116		Palmer)	Question
)	
		Chapman)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	To close
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 891 passed; Senate Bill 408
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 408



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

 STATE OF ILLINOIS
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Randolph	Explains Senate Bill 408
		Speaker Telcser	
117		Walsh, R.)	Question
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Response
		Randolph)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		McAvoy	Explains Senate Bill 408
		Speaker Telcser	
		McAvoy	Ask for favorable vote
		Speaker Telcser	
118		Walsh, R.	Explanation
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	Out of the record; Senate Bill 866
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Randolph	
119		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 408 on Spring Calendar; Senate Bill 866
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 866
		Speaker Telcser	
		Merlo	Leave to return to Second



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Agrees
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 866
		Speaker Telcser	
		Merlo	Moves adoption of Amendment 2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.	Asks for explanation
		Merlo	Explains further
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment 2 is adopted.
120		Merlo	Explains Senate Bill 866
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
121		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
122		Beaupre	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Merlo	
		Speaker Telcser	
123		Randolph	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
124		Merlo	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Question
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lundy	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Lundy	Votes 'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	Question
		Clerk Selcke	
		Peters	Votes 'aye'
125		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	Votes 'present'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Telcser	Present count
		Merlop	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser)	
126		Shea)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
127		McCourt	Votes 'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	Votes 'presen't
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
128, 129, 130, 313		Walsh, R.)) Speaker Telcser)) Clerk Selcke)	Questions
		Speaker Telcser	Friedland
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Friedland	Votes 'no'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Arnell	Votes 'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	
		Farley	Votes 'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Taylor	Moves to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 296
132		Speaker Telcser	House does not reced; Senate Bill 1149
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1149
		Speaker Telcser	
		LaFleur	Return House Bill 1149 to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Second Reading
		LaFleur	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		LaFleur	Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1149
		LaFleur	Explanation of Amendment 1
133		Speaker Telcser	Amendment 1 is adopted
		LaFleur	Explanation of Senate Bill 1149
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Caldwell	Objection
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		LaFleur	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Friedland	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Friedland	
		Speaker Telcser	
134		Friedland	
134		Speaker Telcser	
		LaFleur	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	Out of the record, 1149
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 876
		Speaker Telcser	
135		Geo-Karis	Explains Senate Bill 876
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld)	Yield?
)	
		Geo-Karis)	Responds
		Speaker Telcser	
136		Matijeovich	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Geo-Karis	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	
137		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Telcser	Agrees; Senate Bill 663
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 663
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	Return to Second for Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	Agrees
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	Explains Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment is adopted
138		Terzich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 664
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	Explains Senate Bill 664
		Speaker Telcser	
139		Hoffman, R.	Explains 'nay' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
140		Washington	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		McAuliffe	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
141		Pierce	Explains 'aye' vote



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
142		Hoffman, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
143		Terzich	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	Asks for verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Grotberg	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Catania	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	Okay
		Catania	Explains
		Speaker Telcser	
144		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	Wants another Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Brief explanation of ovte
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
145		Bluthardt	Explains present' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich)	Question on effective date
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Response
		Walsh	
146		Speaker Telcser)	Continues
)	
		Terzich)	
		Catania	Point of personal privilege
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Consider Senate Billls 241 and 242 together
		Speaker Telcser	Agrees
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 242
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Comments on Bill
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
147		Philip	Explains Senate Bill 241 and 242
		Speaker Telcser	
		Redmond	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
148		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Senate Bill 1097
		Peters	Explains Senate Bill 1097



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	Recede from House Amendment 1
		Speaker Telcser	House recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1097
		Clerk Selcke	
149		Speaker Telcser	House Bill 603
		Flinn	Moves to accept Com. Rep on House Bill 603
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Report adopted; House Bill 1318
		Dyer	Moves to accept Com. Rep on House Bill 1318
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. adopted; Senate Bill 370
		Clerk Selcke	Question
		Giglio	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giglio	
		Speaker Telcser	Moves to not adopt Com. Rep. on Senate Bill 370, Com. Rep. not adopted; Senate Bill 697
150		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Barry	Refuse to adopt
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. not adopted to Senate Bill 697; Senate Bill 698
		Lechowicz	Moves to not recede from Amendment on Senate Bill 698
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. is not adopted to Senate Bill 698; 701
		Flinn	Moves to adopt Com. Rep. on Senate Bill 701



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopts Com. Report to Senate Bill 701. House Bill 1045
151		McGrew)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
152		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 443
		Clerk Selcke	
		Peters	Moves to adopt Com. Report on Senate Bill 443
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
153		Hanahan	
		Speaker Telcser	Out of the record
		Peters	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1173
		Clerk Selcke	Out of the record
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1020
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1020
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	Request to hear also 1021
		Speaker Telcser	Agrees
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate bill 1021
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	Explains both Bills



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
154		Skinner	Explains opposition
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
155		Walsh, W.	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		McClain	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
156		Maragos	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	Both Bills lost; Senate Bill 1199
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1199
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Explains Senate Bill 1199
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
157		Skinner)	Question
)	
		Totten)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	Explains 'aye' vote
158		Speaker Telcser)	Amendment passed; Senate Bill
)	1148
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Telcser	
159		Schlickman)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1148
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Brinkmeier	Return Senate Bill 1148 to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1148
		Brinkmeier	Explains Amendment 3
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment is adopted, Third
160		Brinkmeier	Explains Senate Bill 1148
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
		Hoffman)	Question
)	
		Brinkmeier)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	
161		Brinkmeier	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman	Votes 'present
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brinkmeier	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Requests new Roll Call
162		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1148 passed
		Giorgi)	Question
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1173
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1173
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Response
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Senate Bill 1174
163		Shea	Explains Senate Bill 1173
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
		Palmer)	Question
)	
		Shea)	Response
164		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
		Shea)	Question
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Response; Both Bills passed; Senate Bill 443
		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 449
		Clabaugh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.)	Yield?
)	
165		Clabaugh)	Response
166		Speaker Telcser	House doesn't adopt the Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 449; 478
		Lauer	Take it out of the record
		Speaker Telcser	Agrees; 494?
		Lauer	Same thing
		Speaker Telcser	827
		Giorgi	Moves adoption of Com. Rep.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep; adopted to Senate Bill 827; House Bill 873
		Getty	Moves to adopt Com. Rep.
167		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. adopted to House Bill 873; Senate Bill 284
		Clerk Selcke	
		Barry)	Question
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Response
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	Question on 286
		Schneider	Moves to adopt Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 286
168		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schneider	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	Out of the record
169		Cunningham	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Response
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry)	Move to reconsider the motion
)	on Senate Bill 284
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Barry	
170		Speaker Telcser	Concurrences, House Bill 218
		Collins	Moves for concurrence of Amendment 1 to House Bill 218
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
		Pierce	
		Speaker Telcser	House Bill 1912
		Berman	Moves to concur Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1912
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	
171		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	
172		Berman	Explanation of Bill 1912
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	Explains 'no' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
173		Shea	Explains 'aye' vote
		Speaker Telcser)	House Bill 1912 passed
		Berman)	
174		Walsh)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
175		Giorgi	Announcements
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Berman)	
		Barry)	
176		Speaker Telcser)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
177		Tipsword	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Tipsword)	
		Speaker Telcser	
178		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	
		Speaker Telcser	Concerning Com. Rep. to 284
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Question
		Barry	Response
179		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 284 adopted
		Maragos)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Walsh, R.)	Question
)	
		Maragos)	Response
180		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. adopted to Senate Bill 287
		Dunne, R.	Question
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Washington	Moves to adopt. Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 291
		Speaker Telcser	
		Unknown	Votes 'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 291 adopted. Senate Bill 1158
		Jones	Take it out of the record
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed; Senate Bill 1181
		Unknown	
181		Speaker Telcser	
		North	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 1181
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Explains Senate Bill 1181
		Speaker Telcser	Senate bill 1181 passed; Senate Bill 660
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bill 660
		Speaker Telcser	
182		North	Explains Senate Bill 660
		Speaker Telcser	
		McClain)	Question
		North)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	
		Krause	
183		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry)	Yield -- out of the record
		North)	Response



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 1175
		Schneider	Explains to not recede on the nonconcurrency
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Question
		Clerk Selcke	Response
184		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Question
		Schnieder)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
185		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	
		Speaker Telcser	
186		Puff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brinkmeier)	Point of inquiry
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
187		Rayson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman)	Point of information
		Speaker Telcser)	
188		Walsh, R.	Moves to reconsider vote of Senate Bills 1020 and 1021



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hoffman, R.	Question
		Speaker Telcser)	Response to Walsh's motion
		Walsh)	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Madigan	Moves Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 to Spring Calendar
189		Speaker Telcser	Agrees
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters)	Question
		Walsh)	Response
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
190		Shea	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Response
		Lauer	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Response
		Deuster)	Question
		Speaker Telcser)	Response
191		Lauer)	Question
		Speaker Telcser)	Response
		Walsh, R.	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
192		Walsh, R.)	Question
		Lauer)	Response



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten)	
)	
		Walsh)	
		Speaker Telcser	
193		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters)	Asks for adoption of Com. Rep.
)	on Senate Bills 443 and 591 . .
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	Disagrees
194		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bradley	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. is adopted
195		Peters)	Motion
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. relative to Senate
			Bill 591 adopted
		Berman	Moves adoption of Com. Rep. on
			Senate Bill 503
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Question
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
196		Walsh, R.)	
)	
)	
		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
		Mann	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Com. Rep. to Senate Bill 503 adopted
197		McGrew	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed
		McGrew	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Moves to recess . . . adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	House in recess pursuant to adjournment
198, 199, 200		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Senate

