

Speaker Miller: The House will come to order and the Members will be in their seats. The invocation will be by Doctor Johnson."

Doctor Johnson: "We pray. Lord, it's been a long day already and as we begin this Session, we must first of all acknowledge with thanks all gifts bestowed upon us. We thank especially in this Chamber of the gift of patience on those who have waited. But we also thank of the gift of fortitude you have given to those who have already labored hard and long in Committee. And now as we come together in Session, we ask you to bless our labors in this Chamber, cheer us and all who serve with your presence, especially when labor becomes joyless and burdensome. Help me to develop and use my gifts and talents for the common good of all who serve in this Body and grant me physical health and strength which I need to carry out my duties this day, and when this day is over, grant that every deed of my hands and every word of my mouth and every counsel of my heart will have shown my desires to perform according to your will. And now, oh Lord, let us remain with your benediction as we say with a promise, the Lord is my rock and my fortress. The Lord is my deliverer and my strength. In him will I trust. Amen."

Speaker Miller: "Roll Call for attendance. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will you let the Journal show that Representative Granata is absent because of serious illness in his home."

Speaker Miller: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Message to the Honorable Members of the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly. I am returning House Bill 1125 entitled an Act to regulate air carriers operating within the State and



to amend an Act herein named pursuant to Article IV, Section 9 of the Constitution of 1970. I specifically recommend that House Bill 1125 be amended to clearly divide the functions of the Illinois Aeronautics Board created by the Act and the Department of Aeronautics. The Illinois Aeronautics Board should be vest with the responsibility of issuing and administering rules and regulations establishing economic and safety standards to met by the carriers defined in the Bill. The Department of Aeronautics should be vested with the responsibility and enforce these rules and regulations. A draft of this House Bill embodying my specific recommendations for changes is attached. With these specific changes, the Bill would have my approval, as I agreed with at the Regulation of Air Carriers defined the Bill is in the public interest. Respectfully submitted,

Dan Walker, Governor. Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly, the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment, Amendment #1, to a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1318. And I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee Conference consisting of five Members from each House to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to the Amendments of this Bill and that the Committee on Committees of the Senate has appointed such committee on the part of the Senate the following: Senators Knuppel, Fawell, Schaffer, Carroll and McCarthy. Action taken by the Senate, June 26, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am instructed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill with the following title: House Bill 539. And I am



further directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has requested a Committee Conference consisting of five Members from each House to consider the differences between the two Houses regarding the Amendment of this Bill and the Committee on Committees of the Senate has appointed such committee on the part of the Senate the following: Senators Schaffer, Graham, Knuppel and Wooten. Action taken by the Senate June 26, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to a Bill with the following Title: House Bill 794. And I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee Conference to consist of five Members of each House. Action taken by the Senate, June 26, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 301. Action taken by the Senate June 26, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, to wit, House Joint Resolution 67. Action taken by the Senate, June 26, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill with the following title: House Bill 33, 185, 265, 538, 601, 647, 748, 1859, 1905, 1943. Passed the Senate, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives



to pass the Bill with the following title: House Bill 1095, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1122, together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 707, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 615, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 620, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate concurs with the House of Representatives to pass a Bill with the Following title: House Bill 6, 782. Passed the Senate June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives to pass a Bill with the following title: "House Bill 716, together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended,



June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 889, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am instructed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 968, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1877, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 17, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 20, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 133, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 171, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as Amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the



House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 244, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following Title: House Bill 1710, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate concurs with the House to pass a Bill with the following Title: House Bill 169, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1733, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1770, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1790, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 1795, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: "House Bill 1866, together with the following Amendment. Passed the



Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: "House Bill 605, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House, the Senate has passed a Bill with the following title: House Bill 609, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate, as amended, June 25, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House to pass a Bill with the following title: House Bill 614, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 25, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Neff from Transportation, to which House Bill 1174 and 1467 were referred, were returned the same June 23, 1973. Bills were Tabled. Mr. Harpstrite from Committee on Agriculture, to which House Bills 1124 and 1775 were referred, returned the same and tabled. Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture, to which House Joint Resolution 43 was referred, returned same and was ordered Tabled. Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture, to which Senate Joint Resolution 31 was referred, returned to same, and ordered tabled. Mr. McMaster from Counties and Townships, to which House Bills 1177, 1302 and 1885 were referred, returned to same, and ordered Tabled. Mr. Soderstrom from Elementary and Secondary, to which House Bill 1534, 1598, 1658, and 1881 were referred, were returned to same, and ordered Tabled. Mr. Tuerk from Industrial Affairs, to which House Bill 1326 and 1481 were referred, returned to same and were ordered Tabled. Mr. Duff, Judiciary II, to which Senate Bill



208 was referred, returned to same and was ordered Tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "Introduction and First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2003. Hart et al. Amends Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 469. Houlihan et al. House Resolution 476. Geo-Karis, et al. House Resolution 477. Lauer. House Resolution 478. Mahar et al. House Resolution 479. Hudson. House Resolution 481. Geo-Karis et al. House Resolution 482. Ike Sims et al. House Joint Resolution 64. Griesheimer. Senate Joint Resolution 45. Walsh."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kucharski moves that the House do adopt these agreed Resolutions. Is there any discussion? Okay, the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Nice job, Fred. Consent Calendar, Second Reading. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I haven't been able to see Representative Katz today, but I want to file an objection to Senate Bill 590 on the Consent Calendar, Second Reading. Remove it from the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you say Senate Bill 590?"

Maragos: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "I don't see it on here, Sam. 390?"

Maragos: "390. I'm sorry."

Speaker Telcser: "That's Brummet's Bill. Okay, we'll take Come up here and fill out the proper form, to what's got to be done. Senate Bill 390 will be taken from the Consent Calendar. Now, will the Clerk read the Consent Calendar, Second Reading, except for Bill 390."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 280. An Act to provide for procedure to assure the following of statements of economic interest. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 366. A Bill to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 374. An Act to amend Sections 1 and so forth to the southwestern Illinois metropolitan area planning act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 388. An Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 389. An Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 593. An Act to amend the Illinois Educational Facilities Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 782. An Act to create the Bi-State Development District and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 799. An Act creating the State Property Insurance Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Okay, Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 180. An Act in relation to uniform management of institutional funds. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 233. An Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 331. An Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 454. An Act to amend the State Training Contract Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 584. An Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 615. An Act to prevent Flood Damage. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 700. An Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 857. An Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill.



Senate Bill 947. An Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 951. An Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1187. An Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is, shall these Bills pass? All in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take the appropriate number of Roll Calls. This is Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day. Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "I want to be recorded as voting 'no' on 331."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Hunsicker as voting 'no' on Senate Bill 331. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "I'd like to be recorded as 'present' on 454."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Richard Walsh as voting 'present' on Senate Bill 454. Anyone else? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays', and these Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. Okay, House Bills, Third Reading. We're going down priority call on House Bills Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 994. B. B. Wolfe. Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Wolfe on the Floor? Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 950, or House Bill 950."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 950. Maragos."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 1305, House Bill 1305."



Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1305. Madigan. An Act relating to the Chicago Metropolitan Area of River Basin Plan. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1305 is one Bill in a package of 6, all of which appear on the Calendar, House Bills, Third Reading. I would request leave of the House to consider House Bills 1305, 1306, 1307, 1308, 1309 and 1310."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, will the Clerk please read House Bills 1306, 7, 8, 9 and 10."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1306. An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1307. An Act authorizing the Department of Transportation to make improvements in DuPage River. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1308. An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1309. An Act authorizing the Department of Transportation to make improvements in Willow Ragan Water Shed. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1310. An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, House Bill 1305 would authorize the Department of Agriculture to participate in the funding for preparation of the Chicago Metropolitan Area River Basin Plan by the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service. House Bill 1306 would appropriate 172,000 dollars to the Department of Agriculture for the participation in



this plan. House Bill 1307 would authorize the Department of Transportation to make improvements in the west branch of the DuPage River in Cook County and House Bill 1308 would appropriate \$440,000 for that purpose. House Bill 1309 would authorize the Department of Transportation to make improvements in the Willow Ragan Water Shed in Cook County and House Bill 1310 would appropriate \$770,000 for that purpose. These Bills were considered in the Appropriations Committee. The initial appropriations were reduced downward and to their present figures and they were passed out of the Appropriations Committee in agreed form. I would request a favorable roll call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Question is, snall..... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Totten: "Representative Madigan, is this work to be done by the Sanitary District or to be done by other Departments?"

Madigan: "Well, Don, it's the Plan which calls for participation by the Sanitary District, on the one hand, and by local governments, on the other hand." All of this has been worked out in agreements between the participating bodies."

Totten: "Okay, I think this is a good Bill, Mike, and I would urge a favorable vote on this also."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "I know that Kane County is in the original Bill. What does this do for Kane County or against Kane County?"

Madigan: "Jack, the entire package of Bills is designed to alleviate some of the problems related to flood



control projects in all or parts of the following counties: Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake and Will, and the effect upon your county would relate to the improvements made in the Willow Ragan Water Shed, which would be north of you."

Hill: "Okay, this has nothing to do with the Sanitary District in Chicago, bringing stuff into the various areas, has nothing to do with that?"

Madigan: "No, the intent is to improve the waterways and to construct water retention reservoirs for flood control purposes."

Hill: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Question is, shall these Bills pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take six Roll Calls. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On these questions, there are 148 'ayes', 1 'nay' and these six Bills have made the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. We've declared all these Bills passed."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill....."

Speaker Telcser: "One second, is he ready for that Second Reading Bill? I'm going to go back for a moment to House Bills, Second Reading. There's a couple of there's two or three Bills there. Yeow, House Bills, Third. Okay, House Bills Second Reading. House Bill..... Let's see, Representative Ebbesen wants to wait with his. I'm going to wait with mine. House Bill 1982."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1982. Amends the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1982 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tom Miller."



Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 1982 was introduced to place a two percent insurance premium tax on certain so-called insurance companies operating in the State of Illinois, who have been avoiding paying the two percent premium tax. Last week, this Bill was heard in the Insurance Committee and received a favorable vote. I would like to point out, though, subsequent to the time of the insurance meeting, that the Director of the Department of Insurance met with several domestic insurance companies and have found further flaws in this Bill. On that basis, I move at this time to table House Bill 1982. We still have on the interim study calendar House Bill 927 that addresses itself to the same problem and hopefully, we can work the problems out sometime later this year, so I do move at this time to Table House Bill 1982."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved to Table, do you want to Table the Bill, Tom? The whole Bill you want to Table? Gentleman has moved to Table House Bill 1982. Hearing no objections, that Bill will be tabled. House Bill 1987. Representative Beaupre on the Floor? Can someone handle his Bill for him, because he's running out of time. No one can handle Representative Beaupre's Bill? Well, he has an Amendment to House Bill 1987. Okay, House Bill 1987."

Speaker O'Brien: "House Bill 1987. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Bureau of the Budget. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1987....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 1987. It's an



Amendment which reduces from \$127,500 down to \$61,929 for the sale of State bonds."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 1987. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2002."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2002. A Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of the Illinois Health Facilities Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Okay, we're back on House Bills, Third Reading. The next one was House Bill 604. Is Representative Stedelin on the floor? Representative Stedelin. Does he wish to have his Bill called? He wants it called."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 604. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, I understand the Gentleman is on his way here, so we'll wait a moment. Okay, the Gentleman from Marion, Representative Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the budget for the Department of Conservation. It was amended in Committee and has been worked out with both sides of the aisle and I would appreciate your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 604 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Telcser 'aye'. Record Representative Berman as voting 'present'. Take the Record. On this question, 146 'ayes', no 'nays', one



answering present and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1269."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1269. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "House Bill 1269 which passed out of the Revenue Committee by a very large vote exempts transactions that are made between local governments or school districts from the utilities tax. This is a Bill, you'll recall, that we started debating the other day and my good colleague, the Assistant Minority Leader, asked me to take it out of the Record, so that he might have a chance to check into it, and I discovered that my good colleague is such a man of wisdom that he himself put in a Bill like this a couple of sessions ago, which indicates the basic wisdom, both of this Bill and of the Assistant Minority Leader. Now, what the Bill does is to do the same thing with reference to the utilities tax, that we already do with the property tax. There is nothing nullible about this. Local governments do not charge property taxes to school districts to to other units of governments, mosquito abatement districts, park districts. There is a general governmental immunity in which one does not tax the other. School districts don't tax local units of government. Local units of government should not tax school districts. This is an opportunity by this Bill to not only provide some much needed tax relief to schools and other units of local government, but also to carry out that fundamental principal under which one unit of government does not tax other units of government. Now it will be recalled that I added to the Bill an Amendment that makes it perfectly clear that the State of Illinois is not



exempt from the tax and so the areas that have State universities will continue to collect the utility taxes. Now, also, another Amendment was added that makes clear that not only is this applicable to public schools, K-1 through K-12 but also is applicable to private schools in grades K-1 through K-12, and in its amended form, it is an excellent Bill that really does not significantly impair the effectiveness of the utility tax, but in local units of government, which are now getting Federal revenue sharing aid, but will be a tremendous aide to public and private schools and some of our smaller units of governments, park districts and that sort of thing. It was supported before the Committee by the Taxpayers Federation of Illinois and I would commend to you House Bill 1269."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, since Mr. Katz mentioned my name in debate, I would like to explain, once in my haste, before I understood the tax problem, I did put in this Bill, but he forgot to tell you, along the way, I got up and Tabled this piece of legislation when I found out what the fiscal impact would be on the local communities throughout the State of Illinois and that what would happen is that if this Bill were to become law, there would be great losses of revenue to the local municipalities and the local municipalities would in effect have the same dollar amount and would have to raise real estate taxes, and I thought that I would not be a party to anything that would cause local municipalities to raise their real estate taxes because of this loss of revenue. Therefore, I tabled the Bill and I'm going to have to oppose my good friend, Harold, and vote 'no' on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."



Hirschfeld: "Will he respond to a yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Hirschfeld: "Representative, now, is my understanding still correct that you have Tabled the Amendment that was on the Bill before so that this does not apply to universities?"

Katz: "That is correct. It does not apply to State universities, and it would not apply in districts, for example, the State Mental Hospitals. It does not apply to exempt State transactions, but only local units of governments, as well as private and public schools."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz to close."

Katz: "This Bill is a much needed Bill to provide some assistance in a very fair way to school districts. There should be an exemption between the different units of government. There is no reason why a local public school should have to pay taxes to a municipality any more than a municipality has to pay the taxes levied by a school district. The fact that fairness wise on my side and that it is not an unfair invasion of the taxing function is apparent from the fact that the Illinois Taxpayers Federation was one of the groups that testified in support of this Bill. That indicates it is not a tax grab. It is an attempt to do fairness and justice, to continue the policy that we've always had exempting one governmental unit from another governmental unit's taxing power. This will do no harm really to local units of government, municipalities. It will correct some unfairness that exists at the present time. For example, in my district, our local school, is supported by taxpayers that cover many municipalities, but because it happens to be located in the Village of Winnetka, the taxpayers in all the other Villages have



to end up by virtue of the fact that the high schools pay about \$20,000 a year in utility taxes to the Village of Winnetka. It amounts to the fact that those taxpayers who live in Glen View, who live in Glencoe, who live in Wilmette, who send their children to New Trier High School, end up supporting the Village of Winnetka. Now that's not fair and that's why this is basically a very good, fair Bill and I urge your support of House Bill 1269."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is, shall House Bill 1269....

Gentleman closed the debate, Representative Ewell. Okay? Question is, shall House Bill 1269 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, we're indeed in sympathy with the plight of the Gentleman and the community of Winnetka and his community, but we'd like to point out that this would do extreme violence to the financing.... to the financial structure within the City of Chicago and to many other districts. Where it might alleviate a problem in one area, it would only complicate other areas. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz, to explain his vote."

Katz: "This would apply uniformly throughout the State. It would simply prevent one taxing unit from taxing other taxing units. Currently, schools do not have to pay real estate taxes. No one suggests that they should. This is the one tax that represents a tax of one governmental unit against another governmental unit. This excellent Bill does away with this and is wholly consistent with the practice that we have followed in the levying of taxes in Illinois for many, many years and that is why it is supported by the Illinois Taxpayers



Federation."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, so early in the session today, perhaps we still have a little lethargy on our hands, because this is a Bill that Representative from Cook is proposing that has a great deal of lethargy to it, a great deal of merit. It's a sound, fiscal suggestion, which eliminates a single ah..... problem unlike any other in the area of revenue. I think that those who have an opportunity to look at their books on this before ah..... the Roll Call is taken, ah.... I think would find themselves much more apt to vote for this Bill. It is a good one."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else? Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, our municipalities receive Federal revenue sharing. The schools do not. Our municipality receives a rebate on the State income tax. The school districts do not. Now all this Bill does is say those school districts do not have to pay municipal utility tax. They are not receiving any services for the municipal utilities tax. It's the tax that comes on the sale of gas for heat or electricity for light and added on to the cost of schools. Now Representative Katz gave an example of five villages in his high school district and all the people in those five villages through the school district must pay taxes to the one village, where the school happens to be located. It's even worse in my district. The high school covers two communities. It's located in Highland Park and covers Highwood and Highland Park. Highwood levies no municipal utilities tax. Highland Park does, so the high school located in Highland Park has to pay municipal utilities tax and those taxpayers living in



Highwood, whose community doesn't even have utilities tax, has to contribute to their high school taxes to the municipal utility tax of Highland Park, where they don't even live and where they receive no benefits. This is wrong. There's no reason why a high school that doesn't pay real estate taxes or personal property taxes should be forced to pay utility taxes to the municipality where it happens to be located. The Bill is good. I think it may be a hardship to Chicago. The only hardship I can see, though, is that the Chicago Board of Education would have less expenses and the City of Chicago would receive a little less utility tax, but after all, we're told down here, that the Chicago Board of Education is the body that has the real problems. The City of Chicago is doing pretty well with its Federal revenue sharing and its income tax share, based on population from the State of Illinois. We're told the Board of Education has a real serious problem in Chicago. Here's a way to relieve that problem, to exempt the Chicago Board of Education from paying its municipal utilities tax to the City of Chicago and I'm very surprised that the Chicago Representative....."

Speaker Telcser: "Your time is up, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I will vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh wants to explain his vote."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been watching the Board while everybody has been explaining their vote and I can't see any change in the Board whatsoever. Mr. Katz has explained the Bill. He closed the debate and he explained his vote, and there isn't anyone on this Floor who explains a Bill any better than Representative Katz. It seems to me that this is time when we should exercise some restraints. We've been on the Floor 5-1/2



hours before we convened today and if we don't exercise some restraint and not explain our vote, ah... a Bill which is hopelessly lost will only get mired in our own verbiage and I would hope that the Clerk would take the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 60 'ayes, 57 'nays' and this Bill having failed a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared losted. House Bill 1805."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1805, McPartlin. A Bill making an appropriation to the Health Care Licensure Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 1805 appropriates ten-thousand dollars to the Health Care Commission to complete their duties. This is a deficiency appropriation and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1805 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 109 'ayes', 11 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Okay, House Bill 1046."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1046. A Bill for an Act to create the Chicago Metropolitan Area Transportation System Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... it is ah... my intention to ask leave of the House to return House Bill 1046 to the order



of Second Reading for the purpose of considering ah... five Amendments and then ah... return it to the Order of Third Reading and ah... ah... consistant with a understanding ah... with the Leadership, I'm going to ah... then take it out of the Records and hold it so at a subequent time it will be possible for a... there to be a Republican Party ah... conference on this general subject and so, I'm not going move forward with it at this time but, I would like leave of the House to return it to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of considering these five Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1046 is placed on the Order of Second Reading. The Clerk, please read the Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 39, Duester. Amends House Bill 1046...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Duester... Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise, sir."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker ah... this is a Bill that we heard 39 or 38, Amendments on Saturday, and if it is not too late I'm going to object to going back to Second Reading for these five Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Well.... it's on Second Reading now, Representative.. I'm sorry, really. The House gave him leave, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Duester."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker.... yes, put of respect of the time of the House on ah... Saturday, I did put all the Amendments into one... we only considered one and then one of the technical. On this one, the Parliamentarian has pointed out that there was a ah... slight ah... error in the Amendment and I would ask leave of the Clerk to ah... add two lines that should deleted.



Actually this was just a technical Amendment that conformed the classes into which the Board was to be ah... divided, since I had reduced the size of the Governing Board from twenty-five to fifteen, and that's all it does and I would move ah... in that corrected form the adoption of Amendment 39."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 39, to House Bill 1046. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #40, Philip. Amends House Bill 1046...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from LuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #40, to House Bill 1046 is a disconnection Amendment. And, what it does, it allows people to gather a petition, requesting 5% of the registered votes for the county and it's filed with the County Clerk and at the next election, it's put to vote. It's simply ah... referendum, it allows a county to disconnect from the Mass-transit district. I move the adoption of Amendment #40, to House Bill 1046."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Ah... Mr. Duester, am I correct that you're going to let these things sit on Second after you have got them amended?"

Duester: "Ah... in answer to your question, the answer is yes. That's my intention..... No, sit on... move it back to Third."

Shea: "Okay, now Representative Philip would you explain



your Amendment to me, a little bit."

Philip: "Yes... it allows the ah... people in the district to file a petition with 5% of the registered voters in that county, file it with the County Clerk that goes to a referendum at the next general election. In other words it allows them to disconnect from the mass-transit district."

Shea: "All six counties?"

Philip: "No, each county individually."

Shea: Yeah, but I mean... each of the six counties."

Philip: "That's correct."

Shea: "So that, either Lake, McHenry, Will, Cook, DuPage, or Kane could disconnect."

Philip: "That is correct."

Shea: "All right."

Philip: "And, I might remind you Representative Shea, that in 1946 the CTA was created by a referendum."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Duester."

Duester: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to say that ah... this Amendment has my full support and I'm hopeful that it will be adopted because it will put the Bill into the form in which I would like to get and I would like to explain this.... that I think that all of us who are interested in mass transit, do know that the people really ought to have the last say and I'm confident that all of the six counties will see the desirable benefits and stay in, however, I might say that when San Francisco, California and when Atlanta, Georgia put together their mass transit systems they did it by referendum and that some of the counties did decide opt out. In this case the Bill will establish a six county system but allow the voters after they



have had a chance to look at the deal and see whether it's beneficial or not to have the final say, and I think this respects the consent of the government, govern and I urge the adoption of Amendment ah... 40."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment 40, to House Bill 1046. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted... Roll Call..."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment 41, Deuster. Amend House Bill 1046, as amended by adding at the end of Section 19 the following; and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... it is my intention ah... by ah... having this Amendment ah... adopted and that the whole Bill will provide.... or put together, the whole Bill will provide that when you raise any money, parking tax money, motor fuel tax money, gas tax money, anything else.... when you raise any money in any county or any area, that two-thirds of that money must be spent in that area. I think that whatever the governing Board or whatever the framework of the law, it's going to be complicated, but the real financial safe guard which I would like to have in this Bill, when you consider up or down on Third Reading, is to have this absolute guarantee that two-thirds of the money raised in the area will be spent there. And, further more Amendment #41, does conform my Bill to the Commission Bill by putting in there the ah... authorities relating to the House ah... cent of the salestax and ah... then also there is the provision that two-thirds of any of that money raised in any county will.... should be spent in support of transportation services there and



believe that this is an equitable Amendment which I would like to have on the Bill in its final form. I urge the adoption of Amendment #41."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman ~~has~~ moved the adoption of Amendment #41, House Bill 1046. All those in favor of the adoption will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Cliff Telcke: "Amendment #42, Deuster. Amends House Bill 1046 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This ah... simply provides that during the organizational period ah... necessary organizational expenses could be provided for, there is a provision in this Bill that loans could be made by the Secretary of Transportation of the Authority and ah... this just adds that... for necessary organizational expenses, House loans could be made and I urge the adoption of Amendment #42."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman ~~has~~ moved the adoption of Amendment #42. All in favor of the adoption will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted, are there further Amendments?"

Cliff Telcke: "Amendment 43, Deuster. Amend House Bill 1046 as amended on page 26 by inserting between lines 3 and 4 the following and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am happy to say that this is the last of the series of Amendments. This will provide the two-



thirds of the motor fuel tax funds raised in the City of Chicago, must be spent for transportation services within the City of Chicago. I think that ah... with respect to putting it together with six county system we've got to recognize that the people of all the areas, the suburbs, the City, everywhere want to make sure that they are given a fair shake in this thing and this protects the City of Chicago, provides the two-thirds of the money raised there and motor fuels will be spent there, it also provides the two-thirds of the money raised in the suburban Cook County should be spent there. These are two safe guards and guarantee that I think insure, they will have a fair Bill and I urge the adoption of this last Amendment #43."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #43, to House Bill 1046. All in favor of the adoption will signify by.... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah... Mr. Chairman... ah... Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Deuster: "Yes, Sir. I would be happy to."

Lechowicz: "Well.... in reading the Amendment, I was wondering if the money that is actually ah... to be spent, do we also spend it in repairs of streets and curbs?"

Deuster: "Ah... this says that transportation services and ah... ah... I think we have in mind that mass transit services, although I might point out that there is another section of the Bill that says, any county that wants to exercise the option to take a third of their money for roads, can do that and I want... I put that in there to provide that any county, Cook, Lake, McHenry, Kane, DuPage, Will any of them that really thought they needed the money for roads, they would have that option that's in another section of the Bill, that's not in this



Amendment. This one simply relates ah... Mr. Lechowicz to mass-transit services ah... as I understand the language of the Amendment."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #43 ah... to House Bill 1046. All in favor of adoption will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted, are the further Amendments? Third Reading. Now, Representative Deuster, I understand that you want your three Bills to be held on Third."

Deuster: "I believe there's Amendment to the... to the other two Bills..."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to do them now?"

Deuster: "It's the 1047... we might just well do that now."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, House Bill 1047. No, 1040 ah... 6, Mr. Clerk is back on Third Reading. We are now calling 1047, on Third Reading and the Gentleman has asked that it go back..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1047, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law, Second Reading of the Bill... No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "No, wait a minute, 1047 is ah... on Third Reading, isn't it? Okay, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask for leave to return ah... House Bill 1047 to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of considering ah... an Amendment, I think that there might be two."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections, hearing none 1047 will be put on the Order of Second Reading ah... would the Clerk please read the Amendment to House Bill 1047."



Clerk Selcke: "Ah... Amendment #3... Amendment #3, amends House Bill 1047 on page 1, line 16...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah... Amendment #3 was an Amendment that ah... Representative Skinner had offered and Tabled because he didn't think that it was necessary, but I think that it is necessary ah... what it does is simply changes in this ah... 1047 where I've named all six counties, we now strike that out and put in the territory of the Chicago of the Metropolitan Area Transportation Authority. That simply provides that should one of the counties disconnect we wouldn't have to redraw that... change that law and ah... that's all it does. Instead of six counties, it's Chicago Metropolitan Area Transportation Authority Area and so, I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3... is that right Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye' the oppose 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Deuster. Amends House Bill 1047 on page...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. At this time I would like express my deep appreciation gratitude to the acting Chairman ah... Speaker.... Representative Bluthardt who gaveled me on the Third Reading rather quickly Saturday and in the course of doing that there was a minor ah... thing



that went wrong ah... my original Bill, House Bill 1047 had taken that penny off the tax... top of the motor fuel tax distribution formula... taking a penny out of the road fund and I'm trying to put that penny back in and so this Amendment #4, puts that penny back in and corrects that deficiency and ah... I don't think any of us want to take that money out of the road fund, I don't and so I move adoption of Amendment #4 to put the Bill back in right shape there."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker I certainly want that ah... 1% ah... from FFD to MFP to stay in the Bill, in fact I think the entire ah... Mass-transit Bill should be supported by motor fuel tax funds so, I'm going to urge a 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative ah... D. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have a question to the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative..."

Deuster: "May I respond to the question of the Gentleman from the other side of the aisle, I believe it was Representative Mann. Ah... this doesn't put anything into the Mass-transit here at all. This particular Amendment ah... actually if you strip this Amendment off, it would take ah... a penny off the top of the road fund and put it in roads of different levels of government and ah... I... that wasn't the intention at all here... it doesn't go into Mass-transit at all it doesn't have that effect at all."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, let's get back with Representative Mann, did you have another question for the Gentleman? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Would you give me a yes or no answer. What effect



does this Amendment have on motor fuel tax fund in relation to mass-transit."

Deuster: "It has no effect.... one way or the other."

Mann: "Well, then why were you talking about it."

Deuster: "Well, actually.... sometime ago ah... other Bills were introduced to ah... eliminate... are you familiar with the penny ah... the first penny goes to the State Road Fund and then you drop down and it is spread out, distributed percentage wise among the different counties and the road districts and the municipalities and so on, and originally it had been suggested to a number of Members, I think that Representative Garmisa might add the same thing ah... in some other connection, that if you took that penny off of the top you could drop it down and bring more road funds and ah... work something out and ah... that's what that was in there for originally ah... since then I decided that, that distribution was not wise.... ah... you know, to take the penny off the top... so I'm just trying to put the formula back the way it was and leave it alone. And, if this Amendment is adopted that's what it will do, it will just leave the status quo with respect to the distribution formula."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have a question for the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates, he yields."

Houlihan: "Mr. Deuster, could... I'm not sure that I follow you Amendment, could you tell me how Amendment #4 differs from Amendment #1 which was defeated, Saturday."

Deuster: "Well, what... actually there's an Amendment #5 coming along which is the last one and ah... these two were in ah... one Amendment ah... on Saturday and it went so fast that the two things fell apart. Act-



ually #4 is an innocent thing, number 5, is the one that you really want to direct your attention too, because #5 is the one that will let this House decide whether in the Chicago Region we ought to have a $\frac{1}{2}$ penny gas tax... additional one ah... for mass-transit or a penny and a half. That's #5 which we will get to next, but #4 simply puts that road fund distribution formula back as it is... leaves it alone and so I thought by putting them into two Amendments I... I would get rid of the easy one ah... first and ah... correct this."

Houlihan: "Are you referring to the road fund distribution formula under the motor fuel tax Act."

Deuster: "That's right."

Houlihan: "Where are you getting the one penny, as you referred to, it's in the Act itself.... it refers too ah... two-fifteenths comes off the top into the road fund."

Deuster: "Yes, that's commonly referred to as the penny off the top, it's two fifteenths of the amount collected. That comes out to one cent, when you consider, I believe that it's ah... seven and a half cents."

Houlihan: "All right, are you asking that two-fifteenths go in or one-fifteenth?"

Deuster: "I'm not asking anything, I'm just trying to leave the law the way it is, with respect to the distribution of motor fuel tax funds and the law as it is, has Amendment #4 in it. So, I just want to put this back and leave the law alone that's all."

Houlihan: "So that the first two-fifteenths would go into the road fund, is that correct?"

Deuster: "Yes, that's the way it is now and that's the way I would like to leave it and if we adopt Amendment #4, House Bill 1047 will make no change in that when you



get through voting for it on Third... on final passage."
Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption to Amendment #4, to House Bill 1047. All in favor of adoption of the Amendment... Bob, did you want.... All in favor of the motion will signify by saying 'aye' the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5, Deuster. Amends House Bill 1047, on page 1, line 18....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #5 is one that I would like to lay in the hands of the Members here and see what you think. Ah... the Bill as it stands now, 1047 provides that in the six counties in the Northeastern Illinois in order to supplement the financing of mass-transit, we put on an additional ah... gasoline tax or motor fuel tax of a half of a penny. Now, a half of a penny will produce about eighteen-million dollars, this Amendment will give you the opportunity to ah... suggest whether one House Bill 1047 comes to you for final action... you would like to vote up and down on a half a penny or a penny and a half, if you adopt this Amendment the Bill will provide for a penny and a half which is roughly about fifty-five million dollars and it would be somewhat comparable to what the Commission Bill is suggesting in its ah.... what is it, 5%.... 5% figure which comes out to about a penny and three-quarters, I believe. So, if you adopt this Amendment my financing will somewhat comparable to the Commission Bill, if you reject it will be just a half a penny which is lesser. But, I take no position on this, I would like to lay it in the hands of the Members on both sides of the aisle



and let you vote your conscience on what you think ah... would be an adequate some of money to ah... contribute to the financing of mass-transit, so...."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment please...."

Deuster: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative D. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Houlihan: "It appears that Amendment #5, is identical to #1 which was already considered by the House on Saturday, and defeated by the House. I think that it would be out of line for us to consider an Amendment which we have already considered and voted down."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah... well, I would like to respond to that question in both the ah... Parliamentary sense and the practical sense. Ah... from a Parliamentary point of view ah... it's... it's not the same Amendment but it was included in the Amendment, so you're partly right. But, from a practical sense, I think everybody who was here sitting through Saturday and particular saw what happen, it was a very quick voice vote and I thought that it would be much better to have a more orderly and proper consideration of this question and so, that's why ah... it's... it's presented here. I would like the will of the House to be expressed ah... on this and maybe we ought to just simply have a quick Roll Call vote on it, that's what I would like."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman.... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Well, if it's in order I would like to ask a question of Representative Houlihan, just maybe a point of



clarification. Dan, the purpose of this Amendment is merely to ah... alter the funding in our Bill to provide for one and a half cents of motor fuel tax instead of a half cent. I don't think that you support or ah... oppose the concept of motor fuel tax funding, do you?"

Houlihan: "No, I don't at all. I don't support.... oppose the Amendment but I'm trying to figure out here as why we are considering an Amendment, we spent a lot of time here Saturday... we went through, what I feel is the same Amendment and the House has already voted on it.... and ah.... I really don't see what kind of a practice it is, if we are going to keep considering on successive of days the same Amendment under different numbers."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker.... Dan, to respond to that ah.... as Representative Deuster, pointed out in the state of confusion there, with everybody talking... that Amendment #1 was ah... voted on a voice vote and a couple of fellows from outside the six county area were opposing everything.... ah... I think just because they were in the habit that day, just yelled 'no' and the Speaker at that time just Tabled it down. The Amendment did not get proper consideration ah.... that's the... it really didn't ah... in all fairness."

Houlihan: "I'll withdraw my point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #5, to House Bill 1047. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' the opposed 'no'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 28 'ayes', 7 'nays' and the Gentlemen's motion to adopt Amendment #5, is adopted... an overwhelming expression of Members. Are



there further Amendments? Third Reading. There are 'no' Amendments to 1048 and now ah... I'm going to move over to ah... Representative Schlickman do you have Amendments to 1209? You don't, okay. Ah... Representative Houlihan, Jim... do you have Amendments to your.... you don't want yours called now? Well, I want to go to all those Bills with the same subject matter and those Members who have ah... Amendments, if they wish to offer them... why are you laughing at me, Jim.... and ah... Amendment them, bring them back to Second, amend them... leave them on Third and I understand that there maybe a Party Conference, I don't know. Representative Schlickman, the Clerk tells me that there are Amendments to your.... 1209, now are they..."

Schlickman: "There not mine."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, okay are you... you want to bring them back to Second, to have them offered or..."

Schlickman: "May I ask whose Amendments they are and may I have copies of them."

Clerk Selcke: "Sangmeister."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sangmeister, have you Amendments up to the Clerks desk; relative to ah... House Bill ah... 1209?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave of the House... do you wish to persist...."

Sangmeister: "Yes, I want to persist with the Amendments, yes..."

Schlickman: "All right, then I ask leave of the House to return House Bill 1209, to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of considering Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1209, we put on the Order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."



Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Sangmeister. Amend House Bill 1209 on page 1, line 20 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Ah... this Amendment is the same as we filed on all the other Transportation Bills, this is the opt out for Will ah... Kane and DuPage and there has plenty said on all the other ah... Amendments so ah... I'm not going to argue it any further, I'm just asking leave my county out of this Bill. Ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates, he will."

Schlickman: "Representative, when you talk about opting out... does this Amendment automatically exclude certain areas or allow for referendum... Oh, I'm sorry, I should have made that clearer. The following Amendment, if this one is not adopted is for the referendum, this one just clearly takes out those three counties... no referendum involved, just take this out period. And, which of the three counties that you would be removing statutory from the geographic scope of the Regional Transportation System."

Sangmeister: "The three counties are Will, Kane and DuPage."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schlickman..."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I will oppose the opt of these options of this Amendment just as Representative... Amendments, identical Amendments to other Transportation Regional Transportation Bills were opposed and were successfully defeated. We would only be kidding ourselves... by ignoring the fact that the six counties represent



a Region, with common problems and common needs. If we are to satisfy transportation needs of the Northeastern Illinois Counties Area, consisting of the six counties, including DuPage, Will and McHenry or Kane... Will, DuPage and Kane. For all practical purposes we will be defeating the purpose for which we are in Session and for which we are considering it... the transportation needs of this Region, so I would respectfully ask Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that this Amendment be defeated, that we vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister to close."

Sangmeister: "Once again, leave us out. A favorable vote, please."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2, to which... the Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2, to House Bill 1209. All in favor of adoption will signify by voting 'aye' the opposite by voting 'no'."

Schoeberlein: "You want to vote me 'no'... Schoeberlein."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. This question there are 19 'ayes, 59 'nays' the Gentlemen's motion to adopt Amendment #2, fails. Are there further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Sangmeister, amends House Bill 1209 on page...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a referendum provision that is in practically identical terms as the one that Representative Philips just put on, 1046 he saw fit to put it on 1046, I ask for you to put it on 1209. I ask for a



favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The same things that I said, about my opposition to the first Amendment which was Amendment #2, to 1209 ah... it can be incorporated as ah... my opposition to Amendment #3 ah... to House Bill 1209. And, for that reason I would solicit ah... the same Roll Call, in defeat of this debilitating Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman wish to close."

Sangmeister: "Once again this is the referendum, it's a one time referendum for each county, it's got to be filed by September of next year with the County Clerk and it will be on the ah... 74, election ballot and ah... remember this gives Cook County a chance to get out too and with this thing filed up enough, Cook County may want out. So, I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3, to House Bill 1209. All in favor adoption will signify by voting 'aye' the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Telcser, 'no'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to explain my vote, but I don't know why there isn't more green lights up there. I happen to think that this is a good Amendment and I must once again remind you that, when the CTA was created in 1945, it was created with a referendum... I don't know why a referendum scares people, it would seem to me we should leave this up to the voters and I would urge and request you vote green."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Very briefly to explain my 'no' vote. Ah... just a few minutes ago ah... the transit Bill that I'm Sponsoring or Co-Sponsor of, the principal Sponsor is Representative Deuster, I did not oppose this Amendment because again I want to emphasize, I believe the Sponsors of each of these three transit Bills or four Bills, should be permitted to get them into the ah... shape they want them and Representative Schlickman has indicated he opposes it and that's the reason that I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 37 'ayes' 62 'nays' the Gentlemans motior for adoption of Amendmert #3, failed. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Okay, now ah... Representative Jim Houlihan on the floor, I wonder if his Amendments have come up... you want his Bill called? Jim, are your Amendments up, do you want your Bills called now are you still want to hold them."

Houlihan: "Hold them."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, ah... the Speaker on the floor? Okay, House Bill 1958."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1958. A Bill for an Act to create the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment ah... Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker ah... just a point of information ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point. Will you fix the Gentlemans microphone, yesterday another part of it was broken."

Choate: "Yesterday it was the top and now it's.... ah..."



just a point of information, I would assume that ah... we have just passed a couple of Bills that are lower numbered numerically than House Bill 1958 is. I would assume that you're going to those Bills under the rule that applies too, Bills that pertain to the same subject matter, maybe discussed at the same time as others are being discussed, is that right."

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct, Sir."

Choate: "Could I ask you, Mr. Speaker ah... where you plan on going after you discuss these Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair indicates ah... would like to respond to that."

Blair: "We're going to ah... one of those conferences."

Speaker Telcser: "We're having a conference...."

Choate: "After your conference will you be going back to House Bill, Third Reading."

Blair: "It depends on what happens at the conference."

Choate: "Well, I only want to point out, to the Membership of this House that... there is a House Bill on Third Reading that I've been ready to call for an awfully long period of time and I would like to call House Bill 1934, sometime today prior to death nile of it coming about."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, ah... House Bill 1958 has been read a Third time... has been read a Third time, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Now, Mr. Speaker ah... as was indicated in our ah... discussion on the series of Mass-transit Bills last Saturday, ah... we had them read a Second time and all of them put on Third Reading ah... so we could ah... have them in that order and I said at that time that I would bring them back to the Order of Second Reading



for the purposes of any additional ah... Amendments that would ah... like to be offered by anyone ah... so with leave of the House, I would like to bring House Bill 1958, back... back to Second Reading so we may direct ourselves to ah... any ah... Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, hearing no objections, House Bill 1958, on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment 45, Dyer. Amends House Bill 1958..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... House... Ah... Amendment #45, does just what Amendment 37, tried to do, however it has been technically corrected so that it incorporated specifically the language in Representative Totten, Amendment #16. And, what it basically does... it provides for a....."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill is now in its enrolled and its engrossed form, that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk informs me that it is."

Shea: "Is this Amendment technically correct."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's take a look, I don't know."

Dyer: "Ah... may I say, Mr. Shea I had my Amendment reworked in accord with your previous ah... criticisms and I'm assured by the Reference Bureau that it is now technically now correct and fits in with the other Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "The words usually has to have the words added as amended and it is in good order. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Now, does it fit in the enrolled and engrossed copies?"



Dyer: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, ah... the Amendment ah... with the words added as amended ah... I'm told will fit into the enrolled and engrossed ah... Bill."

Shea: "Well, the Amendment, I'm looking at it... Amendment #45 and it talks on page 16, on line 4 on page 16, starts out 'the third arbitrator shall'...."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, upon further consideration the Chair is compelled to rule that ah... Amendment #45 is not technically in order and therefore, can not be offered. It is germane.. but it's technically ah... out of kilter. Amendment #45 will be ah... will not be.... are you going to re-number the Amendment now, Mr. Clerk. Well, just take Amendment #45 out of the Record the subsequent Amendments will follow the same numerical sequence."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah... Amendment #46 ah... Schlickman. Amends House Bill 1958....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I discovered this morning that House.... Amendment #46 has the same technical deficiency as Amendment #45. Therefore, in place of Amendment #46, I have Amendment #58, therefore I would ask that this Amendment be taken out as well as Amendment #47, the succeeding Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "All right ah... Mr. Clerk, we're going to take Amendment #46 and 47, out of the Record. Representative Schlickman indicates that he's has Amendment #58, which will correct the technical difficulties in those two Amendments. So, Amendments #46 and 47, will be taken out of the Record, however, the subsequent Amendments will have the same Amendment Numbers assigned



to them as they do now. Now, are there any further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah... Amendment #48, Tom Miller. Amends House Bill 1958...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tom Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment 48, is an effort to get support for a Mass-transit Bill, not only from the City of Chicago but from the Cook County Suburban Legislators and the Legislators representing five collar counties as well. What it does, nearly.... is to earmark the $\frac{1}{2}$ % of the Salestax Revenue derived, the Salestax Revenue derived in the city would remain in the City of Chicago to provide for transportation and services and needs in the city...."

Speaker Telcser: "All right the Gentleman from Cook.... one moment please. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I raise the same question, is this Amendment technically correct."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, we'll take a look at it. Do you have a copy of the Amendment, Mr. Clerk ah... will my counselor please hurry up here. Ah... Representative Miller ah... Amendment #48 ah... is clearly, technically incorrect, it simply does not fit into the ah... enrolled and engrossed Bill and I'm sorry, I'll have to rule that ah... "

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, on that basic, I would ah... request leave of the House to take it out of the Record and to put it in correct form. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, thank you. All right, Amendment #48 will be taken out of the Record with the same numbering system. The Gentleman from Cook, one second



Representative Mugalian, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that yesterday, a request of the Speaker to give us copies of all the Mass Transit Bills and ah..... I know....."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

Mugalian: "I say there was a request to have copies of all the Transit Bills in final form up to the last Amendment on our desks and I know this would be a difficult thing to do, but I'm wondering if that attempt is being made and if we might expect copies of these Bills so that it would be more easy for us to understand what we're voting on."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk says he's making a supreme sacrifice above and beyond the call of duty to fulfill that request."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #49. Tom Miller. Amend House Bill 19....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Tom Miller."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, may I have the ruling of the Chair whether we have a technical problem with Amendment 49 please?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm informed that this one is in good shape, for some reason that I'm unaware of. It is in good shape and can be offered."

Miller: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment 49, is again an effort to achieve support of all legislative throughout the six county region to support a reasonable mass transit bill. What it merely does is to create a seven Member Board, three from the City of Chicago, selected by the Mayor, two from suburban Cook County that conforms with the Totten Amendment earlier adopted, where the two Cook County suburban Members will be selected by the Cook County Board Members from the suburban area and two Members



to be selected from the five collar counties. The seven Member Board once appointed would then meet and by a majority vote of the seven Member Board would pick a Chairman of the Board. With this Amendment, it will better represent the population balance between the City of Chicago, Suburban Cook County and the five collar county area. The City of Chicago today, for example, has about 59% of the population of Cook County, so that the 3:2 ratio that we provide in this Amendment is very compatible with the population breakdown of Cook County. And the two county representatives on the Board would again equate with the population breakdown of the five collar county area as it associates with the five collar counties in Cook County, and I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Amendment. We had six hearings throughout the State, throughout the Suburban and City of Chicago, the area affected by this. The two major problems that face the Transportation Commission to put this Bill together were the funding of the authority and the balance. Now the balance achieved by the Board is four from the City of Chicago, two from Suburban, two from Cook County and two from the out counties. Now that gives us a balance. It allows for six of the eight Member board to pick the ninth Member and the Chairman. I think that this Amendment would totally emasculate the Bill and make it impossible to support. Therefore, I oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Back to the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Miller, wish to close?"

Miller: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, again I would urge that those who are concerned in



establishing a Cook County mass transit plan to support this Amendment. I think it is equitable as we look at the population breakdown of the six county region. Without the adoption of this Amendment or the one previous where we endeavor to keep some of the suburban revenues in the suburban area, it becomes very difficult indeed for me and others as suburban Legislators to support a Mass Transit Bill. Without this Amendment or the one previously introduced, we find two deficiencies or at least I do. About 60% of the revenues raised for the Mass Transit District are raised in the suburban area, but yet we get back about 35% of their services. Well, if we do that and still allow control to remain in the City of Chicago, we're giving the opponents of these two Amendments the opportunity to have their cake and eat it too, and I just couldn't in good faith vote for a mass transit plan that wouldn't have at least one of these Amendments adopted to it."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #49 to House Bill 1958. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Do you wish a Roll Call? All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 35 'ayes', 57 'nays', the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #49 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #50. Beaupre. Amend House Bill 1958 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, most of us who are from downstate Illinois who have few or no constituents in the proposed regional transit authority have stood by and watched as, in an



effort to allow those who are most concerned to structure and to finance this transit authority as they so desire. I think, however, that this is an Amendment that affects all the People of the State of Illinois and I would hope that those who represent constituents from Waukegan to Carroll would take into account the significance of this Amendment. The Amendment will allow the authority to

Speaker Telcser: "One moment please, Gentleman from Will, Speaker....."

Beaupre: "Allow the commercial carrier...."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, Representative Beaupre, could you hang on a moment. Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "The question I have is whether this Amendment is technically in form with the Enrolled and Engrossed Bill. It does not appear to me that it is."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, ah.... although it appears that the ah.... Amendment #50 to House Bill 1958 has technical problems, however, Enrolling and Engrossing, I think, could possibly, with a silent thing, work your Amendment in and start with a rule that it is in order. Proceed, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I indicated, many of us who do not have a large number of constituents in the proposed Regional Transit Authority are willing to allow those who are most directly affected to structure and to at least decide what way the local financing is to be provided. However, this is an Amendment that directs itself to a problem that all of us should be concerned about from Waukegan to Carroll and that is the fiscal responsibility of the proposed Regional Transit Authority. For that reason, this Amendment will allow the Regional Transit Authority as proposed to audit the books of the carriers



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and the firms that provide the transportation system. I believe that this is a critical Amendment. As you know, the railroads of this country have for many years played the game of cost accounting to allocate much of the expenditures to passenger service, thereby showing that their operations in that area are in the red. I think that if we are going to discontinue the practice of allowing the CTA or any other Mass Transit Authority to come to this Legislature for supplemental funds each and every term that the proposed transit authority must have the right to go in and audit the books and to make determinations as to what kind of funds should be allocated to each and every area. For that reason, I ask your support and I move that this Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, certainly no one could disagree with the Gentleman's intentions with regard to his Amendment. I think they certainly are good, but ah..... actually it's superfluous to address ourselves to this matter because if you read the Bills carefully, you will see that there are at least ten different places where such audits are required to be made, and I see no reason to add an eleventh place for it to be done."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has moved that.... you wish to close? Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre, to close?"

Beaupre: "I'd merely like to point out to the Members that this Amendment will make it very clear and it is my understanding and after looking at some of the provisions in the Bill, it appears to me that it is not definitely clear, that the Transit Authority itself has the right to go in and do cross accounting and determine the needs of the various carriers. For that reason and in behalf of the People of this State, who will be called upon



to supply supplementary financing, if there isn't enough, in each and every fiscal period, I would ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #50 to House Bill 1958. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. I assume you are all going to be wanting roll calls on these. In order to save time, we'll just go right ahead and take them unless they're agreed. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Leon 'aye'. Jim Houlihan 'aye'. On this question, there are 77 'ayes', 8 'nays' and the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #50 prevails. Ewell and Telcser 'aye'. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #51. Washington. Amendment House Bill 19....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #51 has the technical error in it. I have cured that in Amendment #6, 56, so therefore, I move to Table Amendment #51."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Amendment #51 will be Tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #52. Kenny Miller. Amend House Bill 195....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move to Table Amendment #52 and Amendment #53."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved to Table Amendments #52 and 53. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no', and the Amendments are Tabled. Are there further Amendments?"



Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #54. Tuerk. Amend House Bill 1958 as amended on page 12 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #54 to House Bill 1958 cleans up some language in the Bill. There was some language in one of the Sections on page 12 which spoke to health and safety by saying it was equal or better, which was vague. What this Amendment does is clear that language up and further provide for empowering the authority to enter into arbitration agreements. I would move for the adoption of this agreed Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson. Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #54, to House Bill 1958. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #55. Tuerk. Amend House Bill 1958....."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #55 to House Bill 1958 will change the language as was adopted the other day in Amendment #18, which makes mandatory arbitration, compulsory arbitration on the part of the Authority. Now the present structure of the CTA requires voluntary arbitration; that is, the CTA and the Authority and the Union can enter into collective bargaining agreement, which makes a voluntary arbitration provision. What the Amendment #18 does is mandate arbitration, make it compulsory on the part of the authority. Now the reason for this Amendment is to make it a two-way street rather than a one-way street."



The Bill as it presently provides, it is a one-way street, which mandates the authority to offer to submit to such binding arbitration. What this Amendment does is take it from the mandatory and make it permissive. As I mentioned a moment ago, the present CTA arrangement has a voluntary arbitration arrangement with the Union and it's my feeling that it's one sided to force management to arbitrate while leaving labor free from any such similar obligations. In other words, I... ah.... the present Bill the way it stands now it would allow for ah.... breakdown in negotiations knowing full well that one side would win later in a arbitration proceeding. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #55."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment #55 is an anti-labor Amendment. This is the Amendment that would change the procedure by Statute into a yellow dog contract symbol by Statute instead of by Contract. Right now, under Amendment #18, as adopted to this Bill, the employees that would be governed by the Regional Transportation Authority, when a contract ends or any dispute arises, they would be allowed to be assured that somewhere along the line that the authority shall have to submit to arbitration. Now the trade union has never stood in favor of compulsory arbitration, especially by Statute. We can't accept the fact that the change of the word from 'shall' to 'may' would negate the trade union movement. It's a continuing philosophy that we are not in favor of a compulsory statute of compulsory arbitration. What we want to do is to continue the Statute as amended, so that if a trade union that represents employees under the arcade want to enter into a contract of compulsory



arbitration, that that specific union, under that specific contract could enter into that agreement. Now to change the Statute wording would negate this right that we feel working men and women should have the right to and that is the right to have an alternative to a dispute. Right now, we don't allow the withdrawal of services of people in the public sector. If you change this word, you're going to create the very thing I've heard time and time again, that you don't want to create and that is the fact that you're going to have work stoppages, withdrawal of services, because the authority wasn't mandated into offering a arbitration resolution to a dispute. I suggest to the Sponsor of the Bill that in all fairness to the trade union movement, to the workers that work in behalf of transporting people not to be saddled with the Statute that we cannot work with. The saddling of this Statute with this Amendment would negate everything that we, in the General Assembly, have time and again said that we stood for and that is a free collective bargaining situation in a circumstance that would be fair, both for the authority and for the working men and women that would be covered by it. This one little word as simple as it may seem to be, is a bomb shell and negates this good working agreement that can come about by the defeat of this Amendment and I urge a 'no' vote on the Motion to adopt."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Point of Order."

Speaker Telcser: "State your Point, Sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, sitting in the Chair of a Member of this House, I joined the Gentleman who just spoke, the Gentleman from McHenry, is an individual who I am not familiar with. I'm wondering if he would be



identified to the Membership of the House and if we would be advised if he is an authorized person by the appropriate officials of this House to be there."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman wish to respond?"

Does he have a pass or.....? Representative Hanahan wish to....."

Hanahan: "The two Gentlemen who are sitting with me on the Floor of the House have passes to be on the Floor of the House, as authorized by the Speaker of the House and provided for by the Rules. They happen to be People, men, professional men in the areas of practice of law concerning transportation unions and brotherhood of railroad train unions. The reason why I need them present, can I explain this to you, Representative Schlickman, is that I don't think that there are very many Members on the Floor of the House that can define intelligently the impact of Amtrak, Labor Relation Act, Railroad Relation Act and all the various aspects of"

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, please. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Schlickman: "I just asked for identity, not a speech."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, well, we all like speeches. Anything else? Representative Hanahan, have you concluded your remarks? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "I'm just kind of curious where Representative Barry and Representative Hill are now? When a Republican Member had a little help on the House the other day, they raised holy Hell with me. Aren't you going to say anything, Jack, about this situation?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would just like to point out to the Members of the House that throughout this Country there are many



Mass Transit Districts. To my knowledge, there is no other mass transit district that requires compulsory arbitration on the part of the authority and this would be the only one."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hanahan: "I'd just like to correct the last Speaker, the State of Pennsylvania has the identical Statute. The City Transit Authority of the City of Pittsburgh has the identical Statute."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk, to close."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I listened attentively to Representative Hanahan's characterization that this.... that he is against the trade union concept and perhaps that's true. I might remind the Gentleman that the CTA has lived quite well the last many, many years, some 25 years on this voluntary arrangement. To my knowledge, and I stand corrected, and as I understand Pennsylvania does have binding arbitration, but I'm not so sure that it would have binding arbitration as a one way street. To my knowledge, the President, Congress or any State Legislature has never mandated binding arbitration when it calls for a one-way street and that's what the Bill calls for right now. I submit to you that this can be well worked out in a Collective Bargaining Agreement where the parties come together, agree upon a voluntary arbitration agreement and it's not our business to be mandating compulsory arbitration and I would renew my Motion to move for the adoption of Amendment 55."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has moved to offer the adoption of Amendment #55 to House Bill 1958. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Walters 'aye'. Bob



Walters 'aye'. Phil Collins 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Kempiners 'aye'. On this question, there are 39 'ayes', 91 'nays', and the Gentleman's Motion to adopt the Amendment 55 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #56. Washington. Amend House Bill 1958 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #56 to House Bill 1958 is as follows is short. I'll read it if I will have your indulgence. The Board may impose a tax upon on all persons engaged in the Metropolitan regions and the business of distributing, supplying, furnishing or serving electricity or gas for use or consumption or engage in the business of transmitting messages at a rate not to exceed five percent of the gross receipts from such sales made in the course of such business. That's the main thrust of Amendment #56 to House Bill 1958, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Now, as we all well know, as we all well know, the writers of this proposed Mass Transportation System will not be the only beneficiaries if this system is ever to be viable and go. One of the main beneficiaries from such a system, if it is viable, if not the main beneficiary or set of beneficiaries will be the business people in the six county region. There's no question about that. If we have a viable Mass Transit Transportation System in that six county region, it will stimulate business, it would encourage people to move along the job market more rapidly. It will stabilize the job market and it would do several other things, including moving, I hope, materials, from one place to another. So there's no question but that...."

Speaker Telcser: "Have you completed, Representative Washington?"



Washington: "No, I thought that Mr. Ewell wanted to get your attention."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want recognition now, Representative LaFleur? Proceed, Representative Washington."

Washington: "One fair tax, therefore, says that tax will bear more heavily upon business than it will upon the consumer in the utility tax. Now statistics bear out quite clearly and those utilities I have enumerated over 60% of that tax is paid by business. Sales tax, however, in the six county region, only 20% of that tax is paid by business. Obviously and quite naturally utility tax would be much fairer upon the consuming public. I have the figures here if you wish them. What this proposes to do is to give the Board permissible power, permissive power only, within the six county region only to invoke a utility tax of up to 5%. It can be 1, 1-1/2, 2, up to 5%. The total yield, estimated yield at a maximum of 5% would be \$145,000,000. Now the question is, is this tax needed? I maintain that discretion should be given to this Board because I, for one, am vitally concerned with one thing and one thing primarily and that is, whether or not the People in my District will benefit from a stabilized rate or even better, whether the promises made in the pursuance of the passage of this Bill, that rates can be rolled back will actually come about. Now if a cushion tax is in to do that, I for one want to support it. I'm concerned about a viable big business. I'm concerned about the six counties having a good transportation system, but I'm more concerned about the People in my District riding from 51st and Kings Drive to the Loop at a reasonable rate and I'm concerned about anything else. I think this tax provides this cushion. I think it is permissive, and that obviously falls heavily upon big business. I think it's a good



tax. Quite naturally, the utilities are opposed to it, but that would follow, would it not. But I think the People, if they understand, that as between a sales tax and a utilities tax, that a utilities tax is much more fair to them, I think they would insist on such a tax. I ask for the adoption of Amendment #56 to House Bill 1958."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, if he'll yield."

Speaker Telcser: "He said he will."

Speaker Blair: "Now, you correctly said that the amount of Revenue that this would produce would be around \$145,000,000, at a maximum of 5%. All right, now then, do you know at the present time what the Revenue capability is without this Amendment under 1958?"

Washington: "I have only those estimates that you gave and I think you said somewhere between \$165 and two hundred million."

Speaker Blair: "That's right. When the Motor Fuel Tax went on, that certainly put the Revenue capability up over \$200,000,000. Now this proposal would get us up to \$345,000,000 and I'm wondering what ah.... basis you're using for determining the necessity for that amount of money, for the Regional Transit Authority. I have heard large figures, but I certainly have never heard anyone ah.... want to have a tax increase situation that would get up in the area of \$350,000,000 and I was just wondering on what basis you wanted to proceed here?"

Washington: "I didn't say that the figure would be \$145,000,000 or that \$350,000,000 was necessary. I said the total yield of 5% would be \$145,000,000. I suggest that we do this because, with all due respect to your expertise in the field, Mr. Speaker, I don't think you're certain



of how much it will be necessary to underwrite a viable Mass Transportation System, bring some of the suburban equipment up to the level of competency which it belongs and guarantee, guarantee to the People of the City of Chicago that you can roll back the rates, for example, to 1966. I don't think you have demonstrated that and even if you have with your figures, I see no harm in being absolutely certain and clear that if House Bill 1958 becomes law, the People who ride that Mass Transportation System will be guaranteed 1) that the equipment will be safe, sound and in a workable condition, and 2) that they will get some relief from the ever-increasing fares which they have labored on in the last ten years, so I say this Amendment is designed to give your Bill more credibility and more credence, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Well, thank you, for that explanation.

I want to say to you in all sincerity that if there is a more regressive tax than the sales tax, and I'd like for you to listen to me, Mr. Washington, if there is a more regressive tax than the sales tax, it is the Public Utility Tax and in your own area, your People do not want a Public Utility Tax increase. Now, listen, natural gas and electricity are necessities for your People. It's a necessity because they cannot eat, they cannot..... I didn't interrupt you..... Well, would you let me finish. I am, I am simply saying to you that the Public Utility Tax has a greater impact on the smaller user because, and that is the family that has the gas refrigerator, the electric refrigerator, the gas stove and the larger user. The larger user pays a lower rate. The smaller user pays the higher rate. Now utilities are a necessity. People.... they're like food and prescription drugs. People cannot live without Public Utilities such as natural gas to heat



their homes, electricity for air-conditioning. People cannot do that, so this, this is a necessity. The Public Utility Tax is regressive. The Governor is attempting in his proposal that you're advancing here to get off the hook by saying that he is attacking big business, but you and I both know what is going to happen if this would come on. The Illinois Commerce Commission, which he controls will pass this on to the People in your very District and you'll rule the day that you ever increased this regressive tax. Five percent, \$145,000,000, my gosh, Harold."

Washington: "I took that to be a question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "I don't know, was that a question?"

Washington: "It certainly was."

Speaker Blair: "That was no question. You can close
..... Harold you can respond when you close."

Speaker Telcser: "There are other Members that wish recognition."

Washington: "I think he wants me to respond."

Speaker Telcser: "He doesn't indicate that he does, so it's not a question. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he does."

Gibbs: "Harold, if this tax were put on this 5%, what would it bring the utility tax up to?"

Washington: "I can't hear you. Can you speak a little louder, Representative Gibbs?"

Gibbs: "Yeow, Harold, if you put this maximum 5% tax, public utility tax, say for instance on the telephones, what would bring the percentage, the tax percentage up to in your area?"

Washington: "Let me, in answering your question, I can answer the Speaker."

Gibbs: "Now, wait a minute, can you answer my question?"



Washington: "I'm going to answer them both at one time."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you could respond to Representative Gibbs, Harold."

Washington: "Okay, fine."

Speaker Telcser: "If you can work in Blair's okay, but ah....."

Washington: "Now, it has been said that utilities tax is more regressive than the Sales tax. That is absolutely untrue. For two reasons. One,....."

Gibbs: "That's not in answer to my question, Mr. Speaker."

Washington: "The Speaker was right."

Gibbs: "I'll withdraw my question. Evidently, he doesn't want to answer it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has withdrawn his question."

Washington: "Representative Gibbs, you and I don't have to dialog on that level. I'll answer your question."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, now, a number of Members wish recognition. Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to yield my time to Representative Washington."

Speaker Telcser: "No, it's not a question of yielding time, Joe. He's answering questions. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Barnes: "Representative Washington, could you tell me whether or not the Utility Tax is a more regressive tax than either/or the sales tax or the personal property tax and to what degree will that affect your district in terms of the rates that are paid there and how it will affect your constituents?"

Washington: "Representative Barnes, I think that's one of the most cogent questions I've heard and coincidentally



it gives me a chance to respond to our illustrious Speaker... now, the Speaker stated quite bluntly that this was ah... the Utilities Tax is regressive and then he presumed upon my district, by telling me that it would hurt my people more than any others. I've maintained this, Mr. Speaker, temporary and permanent... that the public utilities tax is less regressive, the figures will indicate quite clearly... quite clearly, that approximately 60% of the utilities taxes are paid by business interests but, the business interest only pay approximately 20% of a salestax. Now, it seems to me that business seems to be the people... is more regressive than the sale tax area, for example, it is estimated that 1% yield... that a 1% utilities tax would give a total yield of twenty-nine million. Sixteen million from business, thirteen from ah... individual producers. Ah... a tax of 5% would give a hundred and forty-five million yield, eighty-million from business sixty-five from individuals. The sales tax is just the opposite, there is no question in my mind about it and I think you all know now, that I'm finally concerned with the regressivity of the sales tax and that is why I vote against concurrence because I know based on the statistics that in my district, Mr. Speaker that a 2% reduction of prescription drugs and food would be far more meaningful in my community than I ask this Bill tax... across the board. So, I maintain in total and complete reputation of the Speaker that the statement that he made, is incorrect and that the salestax is far more regressive than the utility tax and so far as my district is concerned, I think the people would prefer a utilities tax. But, the Speaker was even more right when he said, that the rates are determined by the Commerce Commission and there is



no guarantee and this is what is concerning utilities, this is what they're lobbying around these halls for today. There is no guarantee that they will be able to transfer that increase to the consumer, that's why they are fighting so hard to defeat Amendment... this Amendment I've put forth today."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ah... dislike getting up to ah... challenge my attorney and good friend ah... Representative Washington but, I have to and in order to represent my constituency, I would ask you to think about a 5% addition on utility tax and already the State is levying a 5% utility tax paid for by the consumer, also many municipal corporations in the State of Illinois is presently leveying a utility tax and now if we adopt this Amendment we will have once again an additional levy of a tax that is a regressive tax, as been pointed out by the Speaker and others and I would suggest to you, that if anybody thinks that big business is going to be saddled with this tax, why think again. Because, if this Amendment is adopted and a maximum 5% utility tax is put on the people, it will mean for an average family in the State of Illinois for electricity.... additional eight dollars and thirty-six cents a year and for gas another twelve dollars and eighty-six cents a year and I haven't even bothered to figure out what the telephone ah... additional tax might be. Now, if you want to adopt an Amendment that will completely over fund this RTA proposal, then I suggest that you vote this... this Amendment. There is plenty of Revenue as suggested by the Speaker in order to fund



completely the RTA ah... that presently exist in House Bill 1958, anything else of course would be superfluous and an abundance funds and you know and I know... that government only levy taxes for the service that it provides and nothing more. With this Amendment we'll be reaping a profit on House Bill 1958, that we couldn't possibly use, so I would suggest to you that you vote this Amendment down."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now I think I inadvertently cut off Representative Gibbs, before so, Representative Gibbs did you have some more ah... question. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Yes, ah... I wanted to know if the Sponsor would yield to my question."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Gibbs: "Would this 5% maximum tax, if it were put on what would be the public utility tax for instance on telephones in your area, Harold."

Washington: "I will answer the question, I started to answer before. If you would have been patient your would have gotten my answer... and the answer is very simple, in dollars and cents of pennies, I don't know the answer... but I will say this, I don't think we should be using the figure of 5%, it is a permissive thing, it could be 1%, 1½, three-quarters of a cent or two cents. It's only permissible up to five cents... five percent."

Gibbs: "Just to press the just to myself, the Amendments Mr. Speaker then.... ah... if this maximum tax were put on it my understanding from my studies, the tax would be up to 24% on the telephones in the area, in addition to that there isn't any question when you put this additional tax on the ah... companies... the big businesses, they are going to pass it on to the



consumers. And, therefore I would be strongly against this Amendment for those two basic reasons. A 24% tax is confiscatory."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Ah... would the Gentleman again yield to a question."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates, he will."

Rayson: "Ah... Representative Washington, I take it, the purpose of this Amendment if adopted is to give us an alternative to the abominable sales tax as a method to finance in part, the RTA, is that correct?"

Washington: "Conceivably, it could be yes."

Rayson: "Well, ah... what do you mean, I conceivably ah... I mean I would like to look at it that way because it does have a pretty big yield."

Washington: "That's correct, it could be...."

Rayson: "All right, if that's the case... then the next question is, assuming ah... you know, this does reach down to the consumer eventually in a trickling way through ICC order or otherwise, does the imposition of this Act still make it less regressive in the sales tax, in other words if the consumers end up with stick here... does it still ah... ah... more equitable than the sales tax which will take a little food and butter from the poor."

Washington: "Well, certainly there is now... suppose the Speakers figures are absolutely wrong, I don't maintain they're wrong... I maintain there are just speculative he doesn't know nor do I know, or does anyone else know what the cost of this gigantic matter gonna be and suppose this ah... authority runs short of funds, what are they going to do, come back down here and increase the salestax."

Rayson: "Well, I'll say... I'll support your Amendment"



only on the theory, it can be the alternative that they will take but, not in addition."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move the previous...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question, all in favor signify by say 'aye' the opposed 'no'. Representative Washington, to close."

Washington: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. What is offered here is simply a permissive sales tax up to 5% that the Board could invoke... may I have some order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "You've got it Harold."

Washington: "I am not satisfied and I don't think that anybody in this House could say for surety... that the estimated yield given by the Speaker is adequate, we simply don't know. But, what we do know is, that we want in the six counties area... those who are going to support this Bill, we want a viable mass-transit system. We also want to roll back the... the fair rates for the individual who has to use the ah... various mass-transit, to go to work... those are two things we have to do and must do. I'm simply saying this... if the figures given by the Speaker are wrong... and we invoke this authority, what are they going to do.... let the mass system just crumble into disuse... come back to the General Assembly and ask for an increase of the salestax, or should the Board be empowered at its discretion to invoke a minimum tax of one, two, or three or whatever percentage that is needed, for the purpose of sustaining its authority. This is not a regressive tax as just opposed to the salestax. No one can maintain that argument, it is simply not true,



furthermore, there is no guarantee notwithstanding what Mr. Yourell said, there is no guarantee... none whatsoever that this increase in a utilities tax will be transferred on to the private citizen. I ask for your support of Amendment #56."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #56, to House Bill 1958. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye' the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from ah.... Cook, Speaker Blair to explain his vote."

Blair: "Well, I just simply wanted to say, Mr. Speaker that I think any Sponsor is entitled to get his Bill to Third Reading in the condition that he wants it in... if you care to ah... propose this kind of tax ah... increase ah... I would much prefer your doing it in a separate Bill, a separate vehicle... I'm not trying to graft it on to ah... this Bill because this is the product of some fourteen months of work by a task force ah... we've look at all these various taxes, I think we've got the fairest proposals put together, in any event... the majority ah... is going to determine that question when this Bill is on Third Reading. And, ah... if you want to kill the Bill, I think that this is ah... the approach to take."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington to explain his vote."

Washington: "With all due respect to the Speaker and I do respect him and he knows it... any Bill put in the offer in this House belongs to this House, they can do with it as they please... they can send it to Amendment.... to a Committee and Amend-it, they can bring it on the floor of this House and Amendment it and all that the Sponsor has right to have pride in, is the number given to the Bill. Now, let us stop saying that



a Bill belongs to me and I have a right to put it in the form that I want it. The Bill belongs to the House and it has traditionally been so ever since Parliamentary Rules have been invokled in this Country. So, let's lay that to rest... I am not trying to destroy this Bill, anyone who has read the Bill and listened to the colloquy between the Speaker and I, can readily assume... that if there is a disagreement between us it an error of judgment and I'm not attacking his motives. I maintain that no body in this House really understands the fiscal impact of House Bill 1958 and there is no point in kidding yourself about it, you don't know and I don't know. I'm simply saying this, if the estimate is low then you've got to push it in this Amendment if you don't take it you can not guarantee anybody in the six county area that you're going to have a viable mass-transit system not withstanding all headlines that we've seen for the past six months and all editorials supporting this Bill. This Bill belongs to you... in the first instance, if you feel as I feel they is underfunded... and I think that you should vote for this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In explaining my vote I would like to remind those Members that do have a green light up there, that we did provide provisions in this Bill through a previous Amendment to increase the amount of monies that the authorities uses and the Sponsor attempts to ah... impose another means of funding ah... for this authority or rather irresponsible, because at this point we do have a provision that allows the authority to use referendum to secure additional funds in six county area



in this mass-transit district encompasses and I think that this is a much more responsible way to go ah... than in the method that we're apparently trying to use ah... in this Amendment here. And, I would please urge the Members ah... to ah... put a red light up there ah... on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne to explain his vote."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Earlier this morning ah... I asked the Gentleman who I thought was going to be the Sponsor of this Amendment, some questions about it. Now, I've been involved in another transit Bill and as a result I have studied the ah... Transit Authorities that have been created around the Country. And, to the best of my knowledge ah... at the outside there maybe one who have used ah... the utility tax as a source of funding so I kinda wondered what the precedence was and what the Governor or Sponsors of this Amendment ah... what their precedence was for proposing this type of utility tax and... and ah... what kind of income they thought would be derived from it and ah... who really would pay for it and I got really no answers... there were no answers to my question and I'm suggesting now that we're going to the people and ask them to support a Regional Transit Program that we've given an option of authority to ah... littery over fund and I don't think this is proper. We should go conservative to the people with this thing and this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On the question there are 88... the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "All right, can we poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a poll



of the absentees, will Members please be in their seats while the Clerk polls the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Arrigo, Brummet, Carter, Chapman, Fary, Granata, Jimmy Holloway, Hunsicker, Huskey, Keller, Mann, McAvoy, McGrew, Palmer...."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Peters, Springer..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative ah... Brummet, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Brummet: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Brummet as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Springer, Waddell, Wall, Richard Walsh."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the poll of the absentees has been completed are there any further inquiries or verification that anybody wants? The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Yes, could we have a verification."

Speaker Telcser: "The Roll Call currently stands 86 'ayes', and 73 'nays'. Representative McGrew wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Anyone else now... The Gentleman has requested a poll... a verification of the Roll Call, will the Members please be in their seats and we will verify the affirmative Roll Call first."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Barnes...."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, someone.... is someone taking care of the affirmative Roll... Representative Cox, you are okay. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Choate, Craig, Davis, DePrima, Douglas, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fennessey,



Fleck, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Hanahan, Geo, Hirschfeld, R. Holloway, J. Houlihan, Hyde..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Huskey: "How am I recorded."

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Huskey recorded."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Huskey: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Madigan, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Philip, Pierce, Rayson, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schlisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Washington, B.B. Wolfe."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Fleck: "Ah... Mr. Speaker how am I recorded."

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Fleck, recorded."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'yes'."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker I have been talking to a lot of suburbanites as I did last Saturday on this Amendment and they tell me that if this Amendment passes they won't vote for it, which means there will be no transit authority so please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Fleck as voting 'no'. How is Representative Mahar, recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Leave him that way. Okay, anyone else now before we question the affirmative votes. Anyone else wish to change their vote... do anything. Okay,



ah... who's going to make ah... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten, with questions on the affirmative Roll Call."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Beatty."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative... did you say Beatty...
The Gentleman is in his seat."

Totten: "Berman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman is in his seat."

Totten: "Borchers."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Totten: "Bradley."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Totten: "Brinkmeier."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Totten: "Campbell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Campbell on the floor...
there he is standing in the back by Tuerk."

Totten: "Capuzi."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative... he's in his seat."

Totten: "Douglas."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Douglas on the floor? He
is standing in the back of the center aisle."

Totten: "Epton."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Epton is standing right
here."

Totten: "Garnisa."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Totten: "Giorgi."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Totten: "Hanahan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hanahan is right in the
center aisle."

Totten: "Jacobs."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jacobs on the floor..."



Representative Jacobs, how is the Gentleman recorded?'

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Totten: "Emil Jones."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative.... he is sitting in the
back by Representative Taylor."

Totten: "Leon."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Leon is in his seat."

Totten: "Londrigan."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Totten: "McPartlin."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative McPartlin on the floor...
here he is in the center aisle."

Totten: "Philip."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Philip on the floor..."

Oh, yeah he is standing right there with his back towards
us."

Totten: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman on the floor?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Totten: "Pierce."

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Totten: "Flinn."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Flinn not on the floor?"

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Totten: "Katz."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Totten: "Krause."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Totten: "Lechowicz."



Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Totten: "Lemke."

Speaker Telcser: "He is seating in his seat."

Totten: "Madigan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Madigan on the floor? Oh,
he's sitting in his seat."

Totten: "McGrew."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGrew... here he is, on
the Republican side."

Totten: "Fary."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you say Fary?"

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Totten: "Nardulli."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Nardulli, is he in his
seat... I can't see, if Gene Barnes is in front of me.
There he is, in his seat."

Totten: "Schisler."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Totten: "Ike Sims."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Totten: "Terzich."

Speaker Telcser: "Standing right here by the..."

Totten: "Tipsword."

Speaker Telcser: "Standing by his seat."

Totten: "Fennessey."

Speaker Telcser: "Sitting in his seat."

Totten: "Alsup."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you say, Alsup? I think he was seated
in his seat. Representative VonBoeckman has returned,
put VonBoeckman back on. Calvo was in his seat."

Totten: "Sevcik."

Speaker Telcser: "He is standing in the back of the ah...
Chamber."

Totten: "Brandt."



Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Totten: "Merlo."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Totten: "Martin."

Speaker Telcser: "She's in her seat. Are there any further questions?"

Totten: "Kozubowski."

Speaker Telcser: "He's right here on the floor. Are there any further questions... Representative Barnes are you seeking recognition... Representative Washington for what purpose do you rise?"

Washington: "Before I ask you to ah... verify the negative may I ask if the other side has exhausted his calls."

Speaker Telcser: "It appears as though it has, I've asked..."

Washington: "I think that we should know, before we proceed."

Speaker Telcser: "I think you're right, Sir. No further questions of the affirmative? Okay, no further of the affirmative Roll Call. Fred, do you have ah... This question there are 84.... Well are you asking for a verification of the negative. There's no one requesting a verification... Ah... Representative Walsh, has requested a verification ah... of the negative Roll Call. Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I have to consider rather the House should consider and the Speaker should rule that, that request is dilatory."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman... the Gentleman is entitled to ah... verification... Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise."

Matijevich: "Under the rule, I'll look for it if I have to. It's shown by the affirmative Roll Call that no further verification of the negative is necessary, it shall not



made. Now, I'll look for the rule if I have to..."

Speaker Telcser: "Would you, please."

Matijevich: "Sure will... "

Speaker Telcser: "Are we going to ah... Representative

ah... Keller, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Keller recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Keller: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'.

Representative Holloway, for what purpose do rise?"

Holloway: "How am I recorded, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Holloway: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'.

Representative Matijevich, we've looked at the rules and your point is well taken. On this question there are 86 'ayes', Fred.... 75'nays'. The Gentleman's motion to ah... adopt Amendment #56 to House Bill 1958 prevails. Representative Barnes, I can't imagine what you're going to do."

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I was voting on the prevailing side of Amendment 56, of House Bill 1958, I move that ah... vote by which this Amendment was ah... taken be reconsidered."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved the vote by which...."

Barnes: "Adopted..."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah... Amendment #56, to House Bill 1958 was adopted be reconsidered. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion.... I know... I know.... Okay... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell... You wish that, that motion lie on the Table, okay..."



Louie. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell has moved that ah... Representative Barnes motion lie upon the Table. The question is on the Gentleman's motion to Table a ah... Roll Call has been requested by a sufficient number of Members, so the question is..... well, you made the motion Louie... so the question is, shall that motion be Tabled. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion Table, signify by voting 'aye' the oppose by voting 'no'. The Gentleman From Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I'd just like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Walsh: "I would like for the people on the other side of the aisle, the people who represent the people who are going to benefit from mass-trans.... from a Regional Transportation Authority to know that they are here with killing the Regional Transportation Authority."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Ah... "

Walsh: "Well... you can.... I not through."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry."

Walsh: "You may laugh, you may scoff you may do whatever you want to do, but for those of you in the Chicago Metropolitan Area and particular those of you in Chicago.... this is the end of Regional Transportation and you know it. You know it very well, for your Governor who has no feeling whatsoever for this issue.... none and you know it, you are killing Regional Transportation and I hope that the people in the press boxes take note of what going on here... of what has happen, the efforts that the Speaker and most of the Republican Party has made to provide a Regional Transportation Authority and what you people, you regular organization Democrats of Cook County have done to it. I hope that the press will take note."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now let's try and get one side and then the other now, the Gentleman from... Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan. Did you want them... okay the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington to explain his vote."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I simply want to say that I shall vote for this Bill on Third."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, joining in is what the Majority Leader, Representative Walsh has said. I from Lake County which is part of this district but I'm very happy to help you to kill this Bill if that's what you want and I'm even going to vote green, so it will be Tabled because my county really doesn't want it anyhow and we're doing it because we knew that responsible legislators, we had to pass a Transportation Bill and this is the end of it as far as we're concerned. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from ah... Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like to address my remarks to every Member of this General Assembly who is from actually downstate. We have now seen that there will apparently no Transportation Transit District of any kind in the Metropolitan area. I want you to hear this... you'll never get this Representative to vote for any subsidy now, for the CTA I voted previously for the CTA subsidy, it's all over now Ladies and Gentleman, I'll do everything that I can to see that no subsidy comes out of the General Revenue Fund of this State."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."



Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

In explaining my 'no' vote, my 'no' vote was in hopes that we could salvage a Mass-Transportation District. But, I have witnessed... for example Cities and Villages where the elected officials took it upon themselves to vote a utility tax upon their constituents... to vote a utility tax upon their constituents and almost every time that I have seen these... irresponsible elected official be seated in the next election, so your green lights is... is assuring your defeat in the next election therefore I vote 'no' and I will also vote 'no' on a Transportation Bill that has a utility tax on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The last Speaker hit the nail on the head. My colleague from the eight district knows all about irresponsible elected officials... he is ah... elected official from my hometown and I've represented by him and he is never at the Board meetings, I wonder what the hell he's getting paid for."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In explaining my vote...."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, one moment now, Representative Huskey, do you seek recognition sir."

Huskey: "Just a point of personal privilege, if the previous speaker ah... figures I'm fairly responsible, I wonder why he's voting the same way that I'm voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, Representative Totten is recognized to explain his vote."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In explaining my vote, there is something mysterious



about the... green lights that were put on ah... that Bill. There was no indication of support for utility tax and the motive apparently is either to scuttle RTA or to bargain something out of the Bill that is in it now and I think that we, as Members of the General Assembly would like to know.... what the Chicago Democrats would like to bargain out of the Bill which indicates that their votes that Bill, which they had indicated no support for before."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell to explain his vote. You want to move a microphone one over Louie, I yours is not working."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I... I merely wanted to make a Parliamentary inquiry, I was wondering what part are we on at the present time."

Speaker Telcser: "What order? We are on the explanations of votes, on your motion to Table. Representative Barnes motion to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #56, to House Bill 1958 was adopted."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "You're welcome, Sir. Anyone else, on this side... the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan. I'm trying to get one or two Democrats, one or two Republicans and I'll get back to the Republicans..."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask the Majority Leader, why do we have to play by his rules... why does he have to win all the time or we all lose. I thought the Majority of the House prevailed, he reminds me of the little boy... that things aren't going his way, takes his ball and bat and goes home. Now, if he goes home now... he's the one that's killing Mass-Transit."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now ah... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is



a little bit of a puzzle with so many Members of this House who campaigned to ah... no tax increases to now be trying to lock in ah... a motor fuel tax a utility tax and expect us who have worked hard and long, and wanted Mass-Transportation and who helped to over ride the veto to help the CTA to now go along with a tax increase on a Bill at all cost. I think that it is unrealistic, I propose that this happens and we don't have a Mass-Transportation, I'll put in a Bill which will setup a taxing district for the CTA and let them levee their own damn tax."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from ah... Johnson, Representative McCormick."

McCormick: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I guess as far as the people down in Johnson County is concerned they could care less what the people in Cook County walked or rode or we sent a jackass or two up there to take you back and forth. But, this makes me think of one thing that when we had the original Republican Conference downstairs and I don't guess that's violating any rules to say, all the new people come here with stars in their eyes and they were gonna transform the Northeastern area into a Metropolitan Transportation System, second to none, maybe like down in Atlanta, Georgia. Everbody was talking about what we were going to do and the Speaker had a Program, and Mr. Gooseitire~~or~~ whatever his name is, had a program.... well that's a Southern Illinois pronunciation, and I told them after they all got through talking about how glorious it was and they are trying to get Republicans to vote for CTA subsidy to go along with another free grant to the CTA.... and you people can remember, I don't remember exactly the words but I said something like this, I said the Democrats in



the legislature and in Chicago are no where else are ever going to have a Mass-Transit district in North-eastern Illinois unless they can control it as a patronage operation from the City of Chicago and I'm absolutely right and I'm telling you right now, you're wasting this legislature time, playing the world Mr. Speaker don't you Table these Bills and the rest of you Table and let's go on about our business and quit voting for the free subsidy money that they want up there. I think that it's time... it makes me think of... of... it makes me think of a fellow that said something about a wooh... ah... bird. I don't know whether you ever heard of a wooh... ah... bird or not but the CTA makes me think of that and the Transit Amendments too. The wooh... ah... bird breeds square eggs but it lays eggs it says wooh... and when it gets out it says ah...."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was not particularly anxious to follow Mr. McCormick and I hope that everyone had noted that I have loyally followed the Leadership in this particular venture. But, the thought occurs to me that the reaction of the Leadership is less indignant if less out raged than it would have been if this result was on the level. Now, it is apparent from the record in this matter and the suspicious the suspicion lingered indelibly that conversation are now going on and a deal is in the process of being made and it would save a great deal of time for all the Membership of this House if the Leadership would let us know what that deal is, so we can ratify it and go on home. Thank you."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, Representative Barnes, do you seek recognition, Sir? Representative Barnes, were you seeking recognition?"

Barnes: "Yes, you're killing time. Let's have a Roll Call and get on about the business of State government."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Barnes, a number of Members and Representative Schlickman, I want to call on next, would like to explain their vote. They have that right." Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Point of Order. Could..... The last three people who spoke, haven't been an explanation of vote. It's all good, well, fun and game. I enjoy a lot of stories, but if the story that is going to come out here, the fact is, it's in the last five to ten minutes, all we're doing is killing time. Let's get a Roll Call and get on about the business of State government."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, now, Representative Schlickman seeks recognition. I don't think there's a Member in this House who can doubt the sincerity of Representative Schlickman relative to this issue. Now the Gentleman seeks recognition. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee, ah.... Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, don't do that again, Art. I stated a number of times that I thought the funding methods that comprise the support for the Regional Transportation Authority set forth in 1958 constituted an irrational conglomerate that defy or are contradictory to tax reform and tax relief in the six county area. By voting for this Amendment and by voting 'aye', I simply emphasize and compound the irrationality of the funding method in this Bill. I should like to call to your attention, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, what a useless gesture we're



going through by providing specifically any authority of a taxing nature to the RTA under House Bill 1958, and I direct your attention to page 29, lines 24, 25 and 26 as follows: 'All the taxes authorized by this Section are in addition to any other taxes of whatever kind'. Ladies and Gentlemen, under House 1958, the sky's the limit."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, the last three speakers, being three of the most eloquent men in the House, are very difficult acts to follow and I'm not going to go into history on it, but I would like to say that as a freshman member from a conservative, downstate district, I came in here with the idea of hoping to solve some of the overriding problems of the State. It is the case, Mr. Speaker, that even though I voted against the CTA proposals on House Bill 89, right up to the point of no return, when we came to talk about the override of the Governor's veto, I was consistent. I voted against everything. I did cast a vote to override because it seemed to me that CTA was important to the City of Chicago and to its people. The only thing it is, Mr. Speaker, I will have to say 'God bless my seatmate and running mate' because he covered my flanks and when I voted to override, he also went this way, to keep me from being slaughtered in my District. But Mr. Speaker, my chips are used up in my District and I was prepared to go one more time for a Regional Transportation System that would attempt to solve a problem for the whole State. But I can't go any farther if you insist upon this Amendment. It is a case of, as the distinguished Assistant Majority Leader has said, don't expect anymore from those of us downstate. I'm finished, Sir."



Speaker Telcser: "He's finished. Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Barry: "Point of Order. Isn't this Motion Tabled?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes."

Barry: "Is it debatable?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, they're explaining their vote. They are not debating it. No one else is looking for recognition. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 88 'ayes', 74 'nays'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I just noticed I'm not on that Roll Call. Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Duff as voting 'no'. On this question, there are 88 'ayes', 75 'nays'. The Gentleman's Motion to Table prevails. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand in recess for 30 minutes."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has moved that the House do stand in recess for a period of thirty minutes. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The House stands in recess for thirty minutes. The House will come to Order. The House will come to Order. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House adjourn until Nine O'Clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman has moved the House stand in adjourned until Nine O'Clock tomorrow morning. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House stands adjourned."

Walsh: "The House Appropriations Committee will meet at 7:30 in Room 212. 7:30 this evening in Room 212."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1		Speaker Miller	House to order
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
		Speaker Miller	Roll call for attendance
		Capuzi	Excused absence
		Speaker Miller	Messages from Senate
7		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Committee reports
8		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Introductions and 1st Reading
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	File objection to SB 390
		Speaker Telcser	SB 390, taken from consent Calendar
9		Clerk Selcke	Consent Calendar 2nd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Consent Calendar 3rd Reading, 3rd Day
10		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hunsicker	Recorded as no on SB 331
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Recorded as present SB 454
		Speaker Telcser	Bills passed
11		Clerk Selcke	HB 1305, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Madigan	Leave to hear HB 1305, 1306, 1307, 1308, 1309, 1310
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
12		Madigan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	Yield
		Madigan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Question
13		Madigan	
		Speaker Telcser	These 6 Bills passed
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB 1982, 2nd Reading 1 CA
		Speaker Telcser	
14		Miller, T.	Move to table HB 1982
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1987, 2nd Reading 1 CA
		Speaker Telcser	
15		Berman	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 2002, 2nd Reading no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 604, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stedelin	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
16		Speaker Telcser	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1269, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
17		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Vote no
		Speaker Telcser	
18		Hirschfeld	Yield
		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
19		Katz	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Explain vote
20		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
21		Pierce	
		Speaker Telcser	
22		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	Bill lost
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1805, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		McPartlin	
		Speaker Telcser	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1046, 3rd Reading



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
23		Deuster	Leave to return to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	HB 1046 placed on 2nd Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1046, 2nd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bradley	Objects
		Speaker Telcser	Too late
24		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #40
		Phillip	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
		Deuster	
25		Shea	Question
		Phillip	
		Speaker Telcser	
26		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #41
		Speaker Telcser	
27		Deuster	Amendment #41
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #42
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #43
		Speaker Telcser	
28		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Yield
29		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment, 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	HB 1047, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Leave to return to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
31		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Responds to Mann
		Speaker Telcser	
32		Mann	Yes or no
		Deuster	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.	Question
33-34		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
35		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Responds
		Speaker Telcser	
36		Dunn, Robert	Question of Houlihan
		Houlihan	
		Houlihan	Withdraw point of order
37		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Leave to return HB 1209 to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
38		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Sangmeister	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Yield
		Sangmeister	
		Speaker Telcser	
39		Schlickman	Opposed Amendment



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sangmeister	To close
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment fails
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sangmeister	
40		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sangmeister	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Phillip	Explain vote yes
		Speaker Telcser	
41		Dunn, Robert	Explain no vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 fails 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	HB 1958, 3rd Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
42		Choate	Point of information
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
43		Blair	Leave to bring HB 1958 to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #45
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dyer	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dyer	Responds to Shea
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
44		Dyer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #45 not in order TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #46
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	Ask 46 & 47 TOOR
45		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #48
		Miller, T.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Raise some questions
		Speaker Telcser	
		Miller, T.	TOOR
46		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #49
		Speaker Telcser	
47		Miller, T.	Ruling of chair
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Miller, T.	Amendment #49
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Oppose
		Speaker Telcser	
48		Miller, T.	To close
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment fails
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #50
		Speaker Telcser	
49		Beaupre	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Rules Amendment is in order
50		Beaupre	Proceeds
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	
		Speaker Telcser	
51		Beaupre	To close
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #50 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #51
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Move to table
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #52
		Speaker Telcser	
		Miller, K.	Move to table 52 & 53
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
52		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #54



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #55
		Speaker Telcser	
53		Tuerk	
		Speaker Telcser	
54		Hanahan	Against
		Speaker Telcser	
55		Schlickman	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hanahan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn, Robert	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hanahan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	To close
57		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #55 fails
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #56
		Speaker Telcser	
58-59		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Blair	Question
60-61		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Gibbs	Question
62		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Yield my time
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	Yield?
63-64		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
65		Yourell	Against
		Speaker Telcser	
66		Gibbs	Yield?
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
67		Rayson	Yield?
		Washington	
		Speaekr Telcser	
		Totten	Move previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
68		Washington	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
69		Washington	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
70		Totten	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn, Robert	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #56
		Blair	Poll absentees
71		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brummet	Vote me aye
		Clerk Selcke	Continues absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Blair	Verification
		Speaker Telcser	
72		Clerk Selcke	Affirmative roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Huskey	Vote me no
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Vote me no
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Totten	Questions affirmative roll call
73-74		Speaker Telcser	
75-76		Clerk Selcke	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcer	
		Washington	Request is dilitory
		Speaker Telcser	
77		Matijevecich	Rule, etc
		Speaker Telcser	
		Keller	Vote me aye
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Holloway	Vote me aye
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #56 adopted
		Barnes	Move to reconsider vote
		Speaker Telcser	
78		Caldwell	Moves lie on table
		Speaker Telcser	
79		Walsh, Wm.	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Murphy	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Miller, K.	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
80		Huskey	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Huskey	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
81		Totten	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Caldwell	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Londrigan	Question to WALKER
		Speaker Telcser	
82		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
83		McCormick	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	
34		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	Asks for roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
85		Schlickman	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
86		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Duff	Vote me no
		Speaker Telcser	Motion to table prevails
		Walsh, Wm.	Move House stand in recess
		Speaker Telcser	House recessed
		Speaker Telcser	House to order
		Walsh, Wm.	Move House adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	House adjourned
		Walsh, Wm.	Announcement



June 26 not 36

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-FOURTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 36, 1973

3:00 O'CLOCK P.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A Roll Call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Richard A. Carter - illness;

Representative Peter C. Granata - illness in family;

Representative Peter P. Piotrowicz - no reason given.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Rep. Telcser: "Okay, Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 180. An Act in relation to Uniform Management of Institutional Funds, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 233. An Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 331. An act to Amend the Park District Code, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 454. An Act to amend State Printing Contract, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 584. An Act to amend the Park District Code, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 615. An Act to prevent flood damage, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 700. An Act to amend the Public Junior College Act, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 857. An Act to amend the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 947. An Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 951. An Act to amend the Public Junior College Act, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1187. An Act to amend the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES