

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in Order. The invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "Shall we Pray. Lord God, Merciful Father. At the beginning of this final week of Session, we pray not for everything we want, but for everything we need. Grant us help to be on the job, strength to achieve what should be done, humility to obey your will, power to be effective Representatives of our people, devoutness to fill the need of your daily guidance in our lives, joy to motivate us to do our best, wisdom to realize that the prayers of people will fail to satisfy if your approval is withheld. And finally give us the desire to use your name frequently in our midst as we call upon it in prayer, praise and giving it thanks. We ask it in the name of Christ whose life is remembered on the first day of each week. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm pleased to note that our good friend and colleague, Henry Klosak is back with us today and looking very well."

Speaker Blair: "Senate Bill, Second Reading. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, will you have the record to please indicate that Representative Sharp is absent because of illness."

Speaker Blair: "The Record will so indicate. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, am I correct in understanding that we're going to Senate Bills, Second Reading? Is that out of the Order of natural call of Business?"

Speaker Blair: "The Rules permit the Speaker to go to different Orders of Business at the Speaker's discretion and that's what the Speaker's doing."

Houlihan: "Without the approval of the Members?"

Speaker Blair: "I don't have to have the approval, I suggest you check the Rules and you'll be informed."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Proceed with Senate Bills, Second Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Ah... Senate Bill 1285. Rose. He's not here. Senate Bill



1318. Ah...What? I'm going down the order of..... I took the Record. I already took the Roll Call because I thought we'd have a vote, so if you haven't pushed the attendance roll call, come up and tell George. Senate Bill what about 1318?"

Speaker Blair: "Why don't we read the 1318 and the 1319, why don't we read them a Second time, put them on Third. We're going to have to bring them back to Second, but at least we can"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1318. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any Floor Amendments? We've got some Floor Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "All right. We do? Shouldn't be down here yet. Let's see. Well, there are a couple of Amendments up here. Let's just read them a second time and leave them on Second and won't go to the Amendments. Senate Bill 1319. Make an Appropriation for furnishing Legislative Staff, secretarial, research, technical, clerical and so forth, for Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I wonder if when we start with the Senate Bills, Second Reading that if we are going to read some a second time and leave them on the order of Second Reading, if either we could have the Clerk in the next day's calendar either asterisk them or differentiate somehow between the Bills so the People are aware which ones have been read a second time and which ones have not been."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the Clerk can do that. That's no problem as far as the Speaker's concerned."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "It is not the intention to just go down and read all the Bills a Second time and hold them like we did on the House Bills, cause we're not under quite the same time problem we had. All right the slogan on these tomorrow is there will be a 'R' beside any Bill on Second Reading if it's been read a Second time. It will have a 'R' beside it."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1322. Greiman. Yeow, hold those on Second."



Senate Bill 1317. Sevck. Senate Bill 1409. Ron Hoffman. O'kay, give me that one. Senate Bill 1409. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1486. Gene Hoffman. Senate Bill 1262. McPartlin. A Bill for an Act making an Appropriation for the expenses of the Office of the Auditor General. No Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "All right, read it a Second time and leave it on Second Reading of the Bill."

Fred Selcke: "All right, Second Reading of the Bill. Yeow, hold this one."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Martin."

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if it's possible to turn up the lights a little bit. It's kind of hard reading the Amendments with the lights down. Thank you very much."

Speaker Blair: "We have a temporary problem with the air-conditioning and because in another part of the building, it's shut down. The Bureau of the Budget is tapped onto the General Assembly's air-conditioning - if I have the lights on high, it reduces the available air-conditioning, but I'll turn them on for you, but you'll warm up some, Mrs. Martin. If anybody has any complaints, they'll be able to see you."

Martin: "Thank you very much."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1323. Leon. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of Lt. Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. We've got an Amendment on this one, Mr. Speaker. You want to go to Mr. Leon?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Leon, do you want to go with this? Amendments here."

Leon: "They're agreed Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 1522, page 1, by deleting line 22 and so forth."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Gibbs."

Gibbs: "What's the Amendment do?"

Leon: "The Amendment is an increase in the appropriation for the Office of Lt. Governor, and I'm going to ask to Table this Amendment as soon as it's adopted, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Blair: "All right, he offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 and then asks leave to Table. Objection, none. Amendment #1 is Tabled."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Leon. Amends Senate Bill 1522, page 1, by inserting between lines 21 and 22 and so forth."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of Amendment #2. It has been cleared with the Appropriation Chairman on the Democratic side. It's an increase in the Appropriation \$45,000, and it's for the.... it's for the use of a....."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "It's o'kay."

Leon: "I move for the adoption of the Amendment of House Bill 1522."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of adoption say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment's Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1351. Kennedy. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1351, page 1, by deleting two million and inserting in lieu thereof....."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 deletes \$62,000 on page 1 and inserts \$72,750 in the State Treasurer's appropriation, and I move for the adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Question is, the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1396...."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute. Mr. Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, they just handed this to me. Isn't there an Amendment #2?"

Fred Selcke: "We don't have it."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we can bring it back, if there's any problem. They say there's only one filed up here. If there's a problem....."



Kennedy: "All right."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1396. Gene Hoffman. He's not here. 1397. Gene Hoffman, not here. 1469. Choate. Clyde's here. Appropriation for a portrait of the Honorable Paul Simon. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1539. Tipsword. An Act making a supplemental appropriation for paying new members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill Amendment #1. Amend Senate Bill 1539, page 1, line 7 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "This Committee Amendment merely brings the Bill in line with the actual costs for the salary of ah..... the new Legislators who have been appointed. I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments? Question is on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1265. Keller."

Speaker Blair: "Is Mr. Keller here? No, I don't see him."

Fred Selcke: "1266. Stedelin. Bill for an Act providing for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1266 as amended on page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 is an Agreed Amendment. It cuts \$39,500 off the appropriation. I move its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill ah.... 1293. Maragos. Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act authorizing the Department of Revenue to make certain refunds. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1395. Gene Hoffman. Ebbesen Bill for an Act to amend Sections 8-1/2 of an Act in relation to State Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. You got a Floor Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is one proposed Amendment that I know of by Representative Brinkmeier, and I think perhaps I better hold this Bill, because he's not present on the Floor, and we had an understanding. I think it merits the entire consideration of the entire House."

Speaker Blair: "All right, take it out of the Record. All right, indicate it's been read a Second time and held."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1227. McMaster. Tipsword. 1227. Ah... Bill for an Act to amend the Township Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 1227 on Page 1 by deleting line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tipsword. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Is Mr. McMaster here?"

Speaker Blair: "No. Are you going to move it? O'okay, Amendments? Amendment #1, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a Committee Amendment, which I move the adoption of."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Question's on the.... Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield. What does the Amendment do?"

Tipsword: "I don't have the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any further discussion? Question's on the adoption.... Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Palmer is asking what the Amendment does, and regrettably, I don't have it before me at this time. It's a short Amendment. Would you read it Fred."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 1227 to House by deleting line 3 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: Of Article VI and Section 17 of and on page 1 by deleting line 9 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: One of Article VIa and 17 of Article 13; and on page 5 by deleting lines 10 through 31 inclusive and by deleting



all of pages 6, 7 and 8 and on page 9 by deleting lines 1 through 26 inclusive."

Tipspword: "Mr. Speaker, regrettably, the Amendment is complicated, that I would prefer, maybe we should take this out of the Record at this time."

Speaker Blair: "All right, take it out of the Record. All right, 1246 is on ah.... Third Reading. It should be over on that priority of call rather than on this, so skip it."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1284. Who's is that? Ah.... Lechowicz. Bill for an Act making an Appropriation to the Department of Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. 1284."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1350. Giglio. Bill for an Act making a supplemental Appropriation to the Ordinary and Contingent expenses of the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1350 on page 1, line 16 by deleting \$41,100 and inserting in lieu thereof \$29,900."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Committee Amendment was put on in the Appropriations Committee. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? Third Reading.... All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1354. Kennedy. Bill for an Act making an appropriation for interest on State bonds of indebtedness. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1355. Kennedy. Bill for an Act to appropriate Balance of Public Act 78-109 and 78-221, for payment of interest in retirement of State bond indebtedness. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1359. Schneider. Not here. Take that out. Senate Bill 1476. McDonald. A Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor?"



Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1081. Neff. Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for construction, operation and educational television station at Western Illinois University. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1081 in the House on page 1, line 8 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah..... Senate Bill 1081 has a Committee Amendment on it, which is very important to the Bill, that is, it drops it down, the appropriation down from \$800,000 to \$90,000. I move the adoption of this Amendment on 1081."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Neff..... Discussion? Question's on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment, say 'aye'. Opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1083. Neff. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Western Illinois University to construct and operate a non-commercial educational television station. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1381. Terzich. Senate Bill 1381. An Act making an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Fire Protection Personnel Standards and Education Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1381 on Page 1, Line 13 by deleting 9308 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah..... I make a Motion to adopt Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Question's on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1394. Gene Hoffman, not here. You going to take it? Senate Bill 1394. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Supt. of Public Instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Blair: "Any from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1502. Hirschfeld. Hirschfeld's not here is he? Well, we're on that string now."

Speaker Blair: "Take that string out."

Fred Selcke: "All right. What's the next one. 163..... wait a minute. 1638. Hirschfeld's not here. 1282. Ah....."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "I just want to make sure I marked my Calendar right. Are all the Bills that are marked in the priority call on 7/18/74 being passed?"

Speaker Blair: "They're all being passed."

Fred Selcke: "Hirschfeld's not here. Senate Bill 1282. Who's that?

Jaffe. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Pollution Control Board. Second Reading of the Bill.

One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1282 on page 1, line 10 and so forth. Jaffe, on Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "This is a Committee Amendment and I move it's adoption. This increases the budget by \$19,000."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? Third Reading. All those in favor of the adoption, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1291. Collins. Phil isn't here is he?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Collins, I think he's here. He's here, but let's go ahead. He'll be back and we'll pick it up. Just leave it there and we'll pick it up."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1314. McMaster. Not here. Senate Bill 1324. Hanahan. 1324. Supt. of Public Instruction. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of Supt. of Public Instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amend Senate Bill 1324 on page 1, line 16 by deleting and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hanahan, do you have that Amendment?"

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Committee Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment that does a lot of technical changes. It adds a few new job positions. It increases a special education building project. It increases special education orphanage change by



\$1,360,000. Increases the monthly rates for the Assistant Director, the Assistant Advisors, to bring them up to the five percent cost of living. It makes a reduction of \$292,109 line items for personal services. Expands and broadens the language for the protection of disabled children and increases the appropriation \$150,000. Reduces the appropriation for adult education for public aid recipients to \$4.5 million to reflect the appropriation contained in the Department of Public Aid's appropriation, a reduction of \$3.3 million. Delete the appropriation of \$56,250 to the State Board of Education which is contained in 2852 and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 1324 in Section 2 by deleting the following and so forth."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 brings in mind the five percent pay increase that has been requested by all other State employees and I move for that adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't believe that that Amendment #2, Representative Hanahan....."

Hanahan: "I'm sorry,"

Washburn: "Number 2 reduces the appropriation by \$12,000 for bilingual education."

Hanahan: "Right, I'm sorry. I've got the wrong Amendment."

Washburn: "Well, I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right....."

Hanahan: "I'd like to speak on that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "On Number 2?"

Hanahan: Right."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 is brought about by the great community of the Bud Lawn School up in the north part of Chicago and they are objecting to the fact that the bilingual educational act was including Bud Lawn School for



teaching of Greek, the Greek Language. They have met with the office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. I believe to reduce the total appropriation for bilingual education by a total of \$112,000 will not settle their question. I think it would best be settled by the fact that they have met with the Superintendent and it's a bad Amendment because it really will not serve the purpose that the Greek Community of the Bud Lawn School sought for in this type of Amendment and I would urge a 'no' vote on Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask a few questions about the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The Sponsor is Representative LaFluer."

Borchers: "Who?"

Speaker Blair: "Representative LaFluer sponsored the Amendment."

Borchers: "Would he tell me, what Greek School this is, that this Amendment refers to?"

LaFluer: "Public schools. Public schools."

Borchers: "How much was the amount of the ah..... appropriation on this particular Greek study?"

LaFluer: "The total Amendment is \$5,500,000 and this Amendment would reduce it \$112,000."

Borchers: "Is that at the request of the ah..... this Greek school or some of the people there?"

LaFluer: "This is a public school."

Borchers: "It's a public school, but there are quite a few Greeks in it?"

LaFluer: "Right."

Borchers: "I called the principal of that school and asked her what she thought about this, and she felt that the money should be allocated to the school, that it was doing a lot of good, so I'm not too sure this should be deleted, if that's the wish of the House."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Well, is Mr. LaFluer here to move the adoption of the Amendment. If not, I would move that it be Tabled."

Washburn: "Take it out of the Record."



Speaker Blair: "All right, take it out of the Record, Mr. Washburn says. O'kay, Mr. Hanahan?"

Hanahan: "The Amendment or the Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "The Bill? Mrs. Geo-Karis, Point of Order."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to speak on that Amendment. May I just add a Point of Information. Inasmuch as Mr. LaFluer is not here, will it be brought back on the Floor by Mr. LaFluer, because I want to speak in favor of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Well, what we did was take the Bill out of the record when we come back to that Bill again, we'll be at this same Amendment. It wasn't adopted and no disposition was made of it."

Geo Karis: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "We adopted Amendment #1 and now we're going into a holding pattern. Next Bill."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1325. Kosinski. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of local governmental law enforcement officers training board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1332. D. L. Houlihan. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 2 and 4 of an Act providing for grants to local governmental units. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1346. Flinn. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill....."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, wait a minute. We'd better ah..... We'd better hold up on that one, Mr. Flinn. Yeow, we'd better hold up on it. Why don't we take it out of the Record. I don't see Mr. Leinenweber or Mr. Sangmeister here, and they do have an interest in this Bill. Will you just hold.... take it out of the Record, if that's all right with you."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1383. J. Holloway. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Blair: "Wait a second. We have the wrong Bill here."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1346. Flinn. A Bill for an Act for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 13....."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Committee Amendment and I move for the adoption of it."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1346. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 1346 on page 2, line 5 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this is also a Committee Amendment. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1346. All in favor, say 'aye', Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #3, Amends Senate Bill 1346 on page 3, line 34....."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this also is a Committee Amendment and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1346. All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Shea. Amends Senate Bill 1346 on page....."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "This is a Committee Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "It's a Floor Amendment, Jerry."

Shea: "Have you got a copy of it, Bud? This looks like it was offered in appropriations, that's why I asked you if it isn't a Committee.

All right, you want to hold it a minute and let me get together



with the Appropriations people?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, we'll take this Bill out of the Record for now. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 have been adopted. We're considering #4. It's being held on Second. Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, it would be most helpful if we could get an explanation of the Amendments that are being offered. Our Books are not in chronological order and they are sort of jazzed up."

Telcser: "Your point is well taken, Sir. Ah..... 1348. Oh, I'm sorry, we're following..... 1493."

Jack O'Brien: "I don't have the Bill here."

Telcser: "O'okay, that Bill will be held. 1493 will be held. 1494 will probably be held. 1541."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1541. Randolph."

Telcser: "Is Representative Randolph on the Floor? No, he's not here. Take it out. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Ah..... I think I'm suppose to be the chief sponsor of that Bill."

Telcser: "Oh, not Randolph?"

Shea: "No, that's suppose to be me and I want to hold it."

Telcser: "You want to hold it? O'okay."

Shea: "Would you have the Clerk change the Record accordingly. That was Senator Partee's Bill."

Telcser: "Would the Records show that Representative Shea is the principal sponsor, not Representative Randolph. Senate Bill 1560. Shea is the House Sponsor. Senate Bill 1560."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1560. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Highway Safety Program. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1560."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo, with regard to Committee Amendment #1."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."



Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Amendment could be explained. It's ah.... I don't have a copy of the Amendment on my desk."

Telcser: "Representative Calvo, do you wish to explain the Amendment, Sir?"

Calvo: "Maybe we could take it out of the Record. I think Representative Washburn could explain the Amendment. It was an Amendment in Committee, and it might be better if he would explain it."

Telcser: "Representative Washburn, do you wish to explain Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1560?"

Washburn: "Ah.... yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah.... it's a net increase, Amendment #1 is a net increase of \$3,490,700, and it increases the Appropriation for local governments by three and a half million, the three and a half million is to local governments and it does not earmark any of those dollars for retroactive fitting of foot padding on school buses because this is not reimbursable by the Federal government, and it makes miscellaneous corrections in the total on the Bill."

Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment on Senate Bill 1560. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1567. Paul, you want that?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1567. Stone. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Illinois Community College Board. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amendment #1,"

Telcser: "Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 adds \$250,000 to the Bill and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1567. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1641. Collins isn't here. O'kay. How is 1212?"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1212. Day. A Bill for an Act to vacate



certain properties for highway purposes in Peoria County, Illinois.
Second....."

Telcser: "Representative Day."

Fred Selcke: "No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "When you get through with this, I'm going to be the House Sponsor of 1641 and I've got an Amendment for it."

Telcser: "Oh, o'kay. Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Now you want to go back to 1641? Yeow, we're going back to 1641 now. Representative Shea will be the House Sponsor. Representative Redmond, are you seeking recognition, Sir?"

Redmond: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I was interested in Senate Amendment #1 to 1641. It was an agreed Amendment. I wasn't aware that Representative Shea was going to take the Bill."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, did you hear Representative Redmond?"

Shea: "Bill, you want to know if it's an Agreed Amendment?"

Redmond: "No, Senate Amendment #1 was actually my Amendment, and it's one that applied only to the Board of Elections in DuPage County."

Shea: "1621?"

Redmond: "41."

Shea: "No, I'm sorry. It was 1621. I'm sorry, I had the wrong Bill."

Telcser: "O'kay, pardon me."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know the protocol here, but the Amendment on 1641 was an Agreed Amendment and I was interested in it. I don't know if it would be appropriate to move it to the Floor."

Telcser: "We didn't call the Bill, Representative Redmond. You want us to call the Bill and you be the Sponsor?"

Redmond: "You did call the Bill. It's the one that Representative Collins is the principal Sponsor."

Telcser: "But I took it out of the Record upon hearing that Representative Collins was not here, so it will still be on Second Reading, Representative Redmond. O'kay, Senate Bill 1218."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1218. Day. A Bill for an Act to vacate certain properties for highway purposes in Stark County, Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."



Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1242. McAuliffe. Bill for an Act to amend Sections 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 of the Title, an Act to provide for the transportation of school children in certain metropolitan areas. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor?"

Telcser: "Any from the Floor? You want to take that out of the Record Mr. Clerk. 1242 out of the Record. The Gentleman wishes to have it out."

Fred Selcke: "1243."

Telcser: "He wants that out of the Record, too."

Fred Selcke: "1244. Senate Bill 1244. Campbell. An Act to vacate an easement in Clark County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor. Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1267. Kozubowski."

Telcser: "He wants it out of the Record."

Fred Selcke: "Out of the Record."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 1272."

Fred Selcke: "1272. Madigan."

Telcser: "Out of the Record."

Fred Selcke: "1273. Shea. A Bill for an Act making the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of State government. Second Reading of the Bill. Give me the Amendment. No Committee Amendment."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? O'kay, go ahead. Yeow, we know it's here."

Fred Selcke: "We've got it up here. Amendment #1. Hart, Choate et al. Amend Senate Bill 1273 as amended on page 2 by deleting 924 and so forth."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, is this the Amendment that gives bi-state \$3,260,000?"

Fred Selcke: "This is an Amendment that Section 5, the following named amount is the appropriation to the State Comptroller for purposes here and after named for reimbursement to counties of the first class at the rate of \$20,000 per county in connection with the Animal Control Act, one million dollars."

Shea: "Clyde, this is your Amendment."



Fred Selcke: "Hart is moving the Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion in respect to Amendment #1. Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah..... this Amendment appropriates \$20,000. to counties in the first class for the purpose of an implementation of the Animal Control Act at the rate of \$20,000 per county. It applies to 50 counties in the State and it's needed for the program that the State put in place last year under the Animal Control Act. I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Shea: "Can you tell me why you only put in counties of the first class?"

Hart: "Well, ah..... they're the small counties of 25,000 and under, and ah..... their corporate funds just cannot stand the burden of the program that the State has imposed on them to build pounds and to hire veterinarian and administrators and other persons to carry out the Animal Control Act. I would like to have put it on all the counties, but ah..... that was rejected by another committee and at least the small counties in the State, we're trying to give some help to."

Shea: "Well, can I do this. I will have no objection, but I want to look and see what the administration, if we're going to pay for some, why we can't pay for them all."

Hart: "Well, that's why we just put on the smaller counties. It's 50 counties in the State, at \$20,000 versus a million dollars. They just got to have some help. That's all there is to it."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Representative Palmer, are you seeking recognition. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Are we on Senate Bill 1273, Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "Yes."

Palmer: "Well, then, the question that I have is relative to germaneness, of the Amendment."

Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Palmer: "If this is the last vehicle that he has to put on an Amendment



of this type, then I would withdraw the objection."

Telcser: "The Gentleman is withdrawing his objection. Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to point out that this is not a Committee Amendment, as being presented by Representative Hart and it contains one million dollars."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "I'll withdraw my withdrawal and renew my question as to the germaneness."

Telcser: "You want to renew your objection? All right, Representative Hart, Representative Palmer has now renewed his objection."

Hart: "Well, all right, this is an ominous Bill. I think there are just odds and ends in it and I don't know that there's anything that wouldn't be germane to this Bill. That's my position."

Telcser: "He's renewed his objection. O'kay further discussion. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, have you ruled on the germaneness of this?"

Telcser: "Yeow."

Shea: "You've ruled that it is germane?"

Telcser: "Yes, Sir. Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Krause: "Dick, will you have any objections to including counties of the second class and amending the appropriation upward?"

Hart: "Ah..... I have no objection if the Membership will support it."

Krause: "Let's do that."

Hart: "Well, ah.... yeow, you can put the Amendment on. I'll support the Amendment."

Krause: "All right."

Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1273. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed..... Representative Walsh wants a roll call. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify..... Do you want to say something. Yeow, Roll Call. All in favor of the



Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, just briefly to explain my vote, Mr.-Speaker. This Amendment is ah.... is similar to a Bill, which the Gentleman offered. Apparently it only covers half of the counties in the State, rather than all, which was the substance of his Bill. Ah..... and it would provide for the State appropriating \$20,000 per county ah..... for the administration of the Animal Control Act. I frankly don't see what that has to do with the Appropriation to the Comptroller, but in any event, I don't think this is a good Amendment. I think the Gentleman should ah....., should go with his Bill, wherever it is and not to complicate and confuse in any way foul up the appropriation for the Comptroller, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, there are 56 'ayes', 40 'nays', 1 voting 'present'. The Gentleman's Motion to adopt the Amendment, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1273 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Shea. Amend Senate Bill....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 is the direct appropriation to the Comptroller in the amount of \$3,260,000 for the Bi-State Transportation Authority, in the East St. Louis and Madison, Monroe and St. Clair Counties and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1273. All in favor..... Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn, with respect to Amendment #2."

Washburn: "Yeow, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we don't even have this Amendment. Would you hold this for a moment, Jerry?"

Shea: "I'm sorry, Mr. Washburn, I didn't hear you."

Washburn: "I said, would you hold this for a moment on Second Reading, so that we could take a look at that Amendment."

Shea: "Certainly. "

Telcser: "No, you don't want to adopt Amendment #2. We're going to hold



it on Second now. Is that right? Representative..... We've adopted #1."

Shea: "We've adopted #1. I've offered #2 and the Gentleman's requested that I hold it on Second Reading for a few minutes. I would like to get back to the Bill."

Telcser: "O'kay, we'll try and get back to this before we move to the other Order of business. Senate Bill 1283. Senate Bill 1283."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1283. Shea. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Institute for Environmental Quality. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1296."

Fred Selcke: "1296. Campbell. Senate Bill 1296. A Bill for an Act to vacate abandoned properties in Vermilion County, Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1467."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1467. Bill for an Act to amend Section 3 of an Act to satisfy the Appellate Court. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1559."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1559. Campbell. A Bill for an Act to provide the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amend Senate Bill 1559 as amended on page 1, line 6 by deleting \$1,490,607,500 and inserting in lieu thereof \$1,492,000,000 and so forth. Campbell. Out of the Record? Out of the Record."

Telcser: "1609."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1609. Kempiners. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary..... provide for the planning of Illinois Health facilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah..... How many Committee Amendments? Where are the Amendments on this Chalkie? No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? One minute, Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, we could do this. I would like to have that

Bill read a second time and held on Second Reading without considering



the Amendments at this time."

Telcser: "You want to hold it on Second?"

Kempiners: "And not consider the Amendments until later."

Telcser: "All right. O'kay, 1633."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1633. W. D. Walsh. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1640."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1640. W. D. Walsh. An Act relating to transcript of debate of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1264."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1264. Calvo. Is he here?"

Telcser: "Representative Calvo on the Floor? Calvo? Take it out of the Record. 1276."

Fred Selcke: "1276. D'Arco. 1276. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Medical Center Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1348."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1348. An Act making an Appropriation for the Department of Transportation for expenditures by Division of Waterways. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 was Tabled in Committee. Committee Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 1348 on page"

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Committee Amendment #2 was offered in Committee. I would move to Table that Amendment."

Telcser: "He moves to Table Amendment #2. Any discussion? Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Washburn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think the Sponsor of that Amendment is on the Floor, Representative Keller."

Telcser: "Representative Keller on the Floor?"

Williams: "The reason, if I might explain that. If you look at #7, it's



the same as #2 but it reduces it from \$1,400,000 to \$100,000 and \$100,000, so that's the reason that I would.... there must be some reason for this #7. O'kay?"

Washburn: "O'kay, fine."

Telcser: "O'kay, is there any discussion? Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #2. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's Tabled. Further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Hanahan. Amend Senate Bill 1348 as amended on page 1, line 6, by deleting \$8,845,500 and inserting in lieu thereof \$8,745,500 and on page 3 by deleting line 26 through 34, on page 3 through 6 by renumbering Sections 12 through 27, and 11 through 26 respectfully."

Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 was offered in Committee. Is this the Amendment on the middle, on the Oakley Dam Reservoir."

Jack O'Brien: "Page 1, Line 6, by deleting \$8,845,000 and inserting \$8,745,500."

Hanahan: "What else does it do?"

Jack O'Brien: "Page 3 by deleting Lines 26 through 34 and Sections 3 through 6."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 is the Amendment that many of you have received much notoriety about from newspapers, environmentalists around the State, concerning the Middle Fork.... the Sangamon River Lake Springer, commonly known as the Fraud Allerton Park Amendment. This is the Amendment that would delete the money that would flood the Allerton Park area of the upper Sangamon above the City of Decatur. Now I know that there are many Representative from the Decatur area who would like to have this money continued, but I have received more mail, and I would like to point this out to the Members of the General Assembly. I've received more mail on this one particular Amendment in support of removing the money to Flood Allerton Park, and I'm sure many of you have, then I've ever received in ten years on the Ethics Laws that seems to be so popular in this General Assembly. There has been more



mail generated on this than all the human cry about government and Ethics in government. I suggest that this is a good Amendment. It's a vote that the environmentalists want to have, whether or not you are concerned with the wet bottoms, or as Representative Borchers would say in the upper Sangamon above Decatur, or whether or not it's more important to create a reservoir for the City of Decatur. Most environmentalists and most experts in this area claim would not be good drinking water, would not be good recreational area and would be a bad dam to create on the Sangamon River. The damage that would be created would be to a thousand landowners. I know that Representative Borchers will disagree with this, but all the figures by the Corps of Engineers' study shows that there are a thousand landowners in the area that would be flooded out. It has been shown to the environmental groups that this, the damage done by this dam would never be able to be replaced because God isn't going to create another Allerton Park for us to have, and I move for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1348."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Now, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I want to give you something that is the truth that you have never heard perhaps before. I am a landowner, just a couple miles below Allerton Park. I am also a landowner and my family below Lake Decatur Dam, so and we've been on this land for over 100 years. We absolutely, I give you my word, I know, like other fellows know, the facts about Allerton Park, about the Sangamon River, which is at this moment in floods. I want you to know that never have so many lies been deliberately put out about a project. I tell you, from my own actual knowledge, this so-called valuable land is nothing but second growth soft-wood timber. When Allerton bought this land, it was corn fields. He allowed brush and woods to grow up, in which he turned rattle snakes loose to keep people from coming onto his land, and in due time, he gave it to the University of Illinois. Even if we had the original plan, the high dam, which we should have, instead of the two dams that were forced upon us by compromise by the environmentalists and the University of Illinois. Even if we had the



original dam, I tell you, the highest water right now, the highest water would not come up.... May I have a little order. The industrial life of a city in this State and every landowner from the Lake Decatur Dam to up to nearly two hundred miles, down the Illinois. It's at stake. I assure you that this land, this highest water does not come within a few feet of the so-called main dogs. Now, it doesn't even come near the Mansion, that's the highest water. And let me point out to you, I'm in need of a half million people, half a million, from the Piatt County Line down to the Illinois River, more valuable and more necessary and more just than two dam old mean dogs. They sit on the square, the size of about four of our tables, on a concrete base. All you've got to do is lift them up and move them back to get them a few feet away from the water, if it was at the highest. Now in relation to the 200,000 signatures on petitions, two winters ago, I was told that they were signing these petitions at a basketball game at the University of Illinois' Assembly Hall. I called the Marshalls of the University and I made them move that petition table out into the street, where by God it was snowing. That's how they got the petitions. People would sign, I'd sign, you'd sign. There was hardly anyone who wouldn't sign a petition that said 'Save Allerton Park'. Well I'm telling you again, it's soft wood, junk timber. I know it, because I have been there many times. I own land a few miles below it. Down the Sangamon, over the Lake Decatur Dam, is nearly 200 miles of a green belt, that these environmentalists want to stop, so I don't know why. I would think they would be for it. The People, the young man who testified against this Lake, Lake Oakley, it was brought out by Representative Tipword, or Representative Keller rather, I guess it was, that this young man was against every lake in Illinois, every lake, every man-made lake of this sort, he was against. He belonged to the Sierra Club, the very people that stopped the pipe line for five years from Alaska down here to our own country and helped precipitate the troubles we're in today from an oil crisis, belongs to the same group. These people from Champaign have stuck their nose into the every construction job, including the Shelbyville Lake, which is most successful and tried to stop it, when that Lake was dedicated.



They had young men from the University passing out leaflets against that Lake, which has proven a great success. Now I want to point out some other things about ownership of land. At this very minute, if you go out to the east of Springfield, where the Sangamon River comes under Route 36, you'll see a big field that's covered with water. Normally that's a corn field. It's covered with water. Every bit of bottom land that we have at this minute, that we have normally put in with corn is covered with water. Three times in the last year we have not had a corn crop out of bottom land. That is 30,000 acres from Lake Decatur Dam to the Illinois. 30,000 acres of corn land and timberland above the actual level, the ordinary level, river level that is submerged. It will never be planted this year. It's impossible. Now, which is more important. I'm not speaking at the moment of the needs of Decatur and its industry. I'm speaking of the needs of the People for nearly 200 miles, for people, the farmers that own the land who can't put anything in, because one of the major projects and reasons for this project of the Oakley Reservoir is the same as Shelbyville, it is a part of a master plan of the Federal government to control in two phases the flood stages, and goodness knows, those who've had examples of it this year and three years ago, of the flooding of the Mississippi valley and its tributaries. The master plan of this country was to create in two phases - first to control the Mississippi and tributaries by levies, dikes, dams, etc. and the second phase it started after World War II, was the creation of the lakes to hold the rainfall, which is a part of the master plan to try to save the crops and the farmlands all over this land, and if we permit a few environmentalists, on alleged good for the people, to stop the recovery of 30,000 acres of a great industrial city that is running out of water, of approximately 150,000 in the City, and the township and the surrounding suburban areas, you'll be doing a great wrong. I want to point out one other thing, that was brought out by Representative Hanahan. He said about the water, the impurity of the water. Well, I stand here, Lake Decatur, which is not built for flood control is, will be below this new Lake, below it. In other words, the water of the Sangamon will flow



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

if this new Lake is created, the water will flow from Lake Oakley into Lake Decatur. Now they say that this water will be filled with nitrates and will be poisonous. If this new Lake, if that is so, it's running into Lake Decatur, where these same nitrates will be. But let me point out to you one very important fact, those same nitrates are in Lake Decatur right now and have been for nearly sixty years, and I stand here and I'm still alive. I haven't heard of a single person in my community dying of a result of drinking Lake Decatur water, which has now and will have in the future the same water that comes off this same land from above Lake Decatur Dam. We haven't lost a single baby in 50 years, but they go and say that it is going to injure our health. Well, it's just a lie. We have lost....."

Telcser: "O'kay, Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'll take very little of your time. This project is very important to the District, which I have the privilege of representing in this General Assembly, along with Representative Borchers and Representative Alsop. As Representative Borchers has pointed out, Decatur, the major City in our District, is an industrial city, and this water is very definitely needed. This project is needed not only for that City and for the very important purpose is there. It's needed downstream, through our district and through two other Districts that are downstream from us. Flood control is what the major purpose of this project and it is very important, as we can see at the present time. However, the \$100,000 that Representative Hanahan would cut from this budget will not in any way affect the construction of Oakley Dam. Oakley Dam will be constructed whether or not this \$100,000 is there, but the \$100,000 has been made very necessary by those who oppose the construction of Oakley Dam and Lake Springer. They have raised many questions environmentally. The purpose of this money is to fund an ongoing program for the State of Illinois and the University of Illinois to make those studies that are necessary to answer the questions that are raised about this project. We would request that you would vote against the Amendment that is now proposed, so that this \$100,000 may stay within this budget. So that the City of Decatur can be assured of water



supply, so that we can have some flood control on the Sangamon River and so that our District and the Districts downstate, downstream from this dam can be well-served by this project. We implore you to give your serious consideration to this and would remind you, as Representative Hanahan has said, he's received hundreds of letters about this project, but I would suggest that most of those letters, by far I would say 90 to 95% of them have come from people who have never seen the area, who know nothing about it and I would suggest that today, Allerton is flooded far more than any small portion of Allerton will ever be affected by the waters of Lake Springer, behind Oakley Dam. We implore you to please oppose this Amendment."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Alsup."

Alsup: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to oppose the Amendment very much. Decatur is an industrial city and Lake Decatur is filling up. In times of draught and right now we're going through a wet cycle and so, we don't need a dam today. We have more water than we know what to do with, but just a few months of draught and our Lake is running dry. Matter of fact, you can wade across it if you can get through the mud, in most places and not very far above the dam, really, so we do not have the water capacity to carry our industrial city, and remember, our city is not supported by taxes. We are one of the tax paying communities that supports all other State institutions all over everywhere, and therefore, it's vital to all of you to keep us in a healthy, economic condition, because our employees are employed by industry and we have a varied industry, and so it is a life and death matter for the future growth of our present industries and also when the highway system is completed for attracting any new industry into this fine community, so therefore, I must oppose it and if I have any friends that I voted for a lot of your projects throughout the year. We need to keep this project alive. Thank you."

"O'kay, Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would just like to echo the words of the Gentlemen who represent that District and when this came up in Committee and this Amendment was introduced there, it was defeated



there. There were several proponents. There was only one opponent who I think was a student from the University of Illinois, who is there at all of these things, and I certainly urge again, as I point out, this is for a hydrologic study here, and if I can put on my other hat, as a Member of the Water Resource and Water Pollution Commission, we are in favor of this and I would definitely urge everyone to oppose the adoption of this Amendment #3. I ask for your support to oppose this Amendment."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I don't happen to come from the District that Representative Borchers comes from, but I know an awful lot of farmers that this Oakley Dam is going to affect and I have found no one that is in favor of any part of this Dam being constructed in this area. They say it is going to be nothing but a big mud flat, and it is destroying an awful lot of valuable farm ground, and I would urge you to support this Amendment and certainly do everything possible to destroy the thinking in terms of building a dam, which is called the Oakley Dam. Thank you."

Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't know about the Oakley Dam until the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission did a study on water resources that delved into the relationship between benefits and costs and used the Oakley Dam as a model. Now I would like to suggest that not just the environmentalists and the farmers oppose the Oakley Dam, for their various reasons, but someone who looks at it rationally and compared the benefits that would be related to flood control, water supply for Decatur and all the recreation benefits you could factor in. These benefits will not exceed the cost of the project, and they won't exceed the cost of projects, because the Corps of Engineers have assumed, in figuring out the cost estimates an interest rate of three percent. Now think of that. The Corps of Engineers assuming that we can borrow money at three percent interest for the life of this dam. Now the dam hasn't even been started yet. They haven't borrowed any of the money, haven't earmarked any of the money yet and they are assuming three percent. Now if you consider the cost benefit



ratio, what it would be if you doubled that interest to say just six percent, and there's probably no one in this room that can borrow money even at that low rate, but the comparison between benefits and costs become negative. That is, the benefits do not exceed costs. It costs more than the project's worth. For that reason, I would have to second the suggestion that my District mate, Tom Hanahan, has suggested. I think we ought to vote against this Amendment, or excuse me, in favor of this Amendment and against the Oakley Dam."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Deuster: "It's my understanding that this is a Joint Federal-State project that has been planned for at least ten years and I believe a great deal of both State and Federal money has already been expended. Is that not so?"

Hanahan: "Yes, I think if you get back to how much money has been spent, it's an awful waste of the taxpayers money, so far to come out with EPA results, Corps of Engineers results, they're all saying the same thing, that building this dam will not create a more economical way of producing drinking water for the City of Decatur."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, to talk on this Amendment, it is my understanding that this Oakley Dam is a very valuable project for both flood control and the water supply in the area. It's been kicking around both on the State level and the Federal level for about ten years. Mainly it's been dragging along because a lot of environmentalists have been obstructing it. I think it's a good thing. I think the best thing you can do is to move forward with the Oakley Dam, and to do that, vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan, to close."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, a report from the EPA found that Oakley will be, and this is their words now, the Environmental Protection Agency, a shallow lake, with high nutrient concentrations of phosphates and nitrates and algae populations. These waters will have concentrations of nitrate, nitrite nitrogen approaching or in excess of the level allowable for public consumption and food pro-



cessing. As designed, the Oakley project's Dam would back floods up into Allerton Park and spoil unique bottom land forests. It's partly on these grounds that the environmental policy center branded the Oakley project as one of the 13 worst water developmental projects in the Nation, one of the 13 worst in the Nation. Leaders of the Illinois Agricultural Association for example, regard Oakley as a great threat to agriculture. If farmers are required to restrict use of nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers, they fear a drop in crop yields and farm income. The price tag on the project has soared from 29 million dollars in 1962 to an estimated 81 million dollars and that the benefits are bearant against costs, the project is barely above the break even point. Although the visible purpose of the project is to supply drinking water for the Decatur area, there is a supply of water in a nearby underground reservoir. I just suggest that if you can't recreate the bottom land in Allerton, you cannot do the things that Representative Borchers wants to do and that is revive drinking water from the Oakley Reservoir and save valuable environmental agency area such as the bottom land in Allerton. I suggest an 'aye' vote in hope that this Amendment passes, so that the People of Illinois, who I might point out may not live in the Decatur area, but they paid the taxes that are going to be used to build this Dam, so that each and every one of us have a reason to vote on this issue. This isn't just a Decatur versus the University of Illinois argumert. This is your taxpayers' money, whether you're from Chicago or up north or down south, whether or not you feel that your taxpayers' money would be best used in building an Oakley Dam, cited as one of 13 worst in the Nation. I ask for a favorable vote."

Telcser: "Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1348. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. O'kay, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers, to explain his vote. By the way, for those of you who ah....."

Borchers: "Please don't count my time until we have a little order."

Telcser: "I'm trying to get you some, Webb right now. Now Ladies and Gentlemen, could you hold the noise level down. This happens to be



a very important roll call vote on your Records. I would pay close attention to this debate. All right, everybody's a little frisky right now. Just hang in there. Representative Borchers, are you still seeking recognition? No? Anyone want to explain their vote? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 41 'ayes', 77 'nays', 5 answering 'present'. The Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1348 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Hanahan. Amends Senate Bill 1348 as amended, on page 1, line 6 and so forth."

Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have Amendment #4, I don't believe. At least I don't have a copy here."

Telcser: "Who's tabling it?"

Hanahan: "I move to Table that Amendment."

Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1340. All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Amendment is Tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Kelly. Amend Senate Bill 1348....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1348 would increase the appropriation by \$125,000 in order to clear, clean and channelize a certain spring creek which runs through the townships of Olin Township and a portion of Will County. I would appreciate your support. This particular area is the largest growing industrial and residential area in the suburban area. The population is blooming and there are many flood problems in the cleaning and clearing of this particular creek would help much in this direction. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Ladies and Gentle.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Mahar: "Dick, what's the background on this? Who's requesting this.... these funds?"



Kelly: "The township government of Olin Township have had a great deal of difficulty throughout the last year in trying to keep up with the flood problems in this area, and they're actually asking me to Sponsor both Amendment #5 and Amendment #6."

Mahar: "Where do they arrive at the figure of \$25,000?"

Kelly: "Pardon me?"

Mahar: "Where do you arrive at the figure of \$25,000?"

Kelly: "This seems to be well in line with many of the other appropriations on this Bill for similar projects."

Mahar: "In other words, you don't have a true estimate of what it's going to cost?"

Kelly: "This is a fairly well based upon the other estimates that have been made on earlier, similar propositions of this nature and it seems to be in line."

Mahar: "O'kay, thank you."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1348. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #6. Kelly. Amends Senate Bill 1348....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #6 is a companion type to Amendment #5, which deals with the same area, Olin Township once again, the difference being that this is in the northern portion of the township and it also considers this, called Yellow Creek, which runs into a portion of Pallus Township. I ah.... would appreciate your support. This is also, as I mentioned earlier, the township that has the biggest growth and they are having flood problems in this area also. I'd appreciate your support of this Amendment."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield for a question."

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "Is this one on Yellow Creek?"

Kelly: "Yes it is."



Palmer: "And where does it run? I've lived out there a long time. I don't know where it is."

Kelly: "It runs, this runs from 143rd Street on the southern end to the Calumet Canal on the northern end."

Palmer: "What about the Village of Olin Park? Have they requested this?"

Kelly: "The Olin Township government requested it."

Palmer: "How about the Village?"

Kelly: "The Village I haven't heard anything from."

Palmer: "Is there going to be any problem as far as sewers, live sewers and other things there? Has there been any coordination of it?"

Kelly: "No, there won't be anything on this in the way of any sewers. It will be strictly cleaning. There are many trees and ah.... blockage along the Creek bed and that is why, I'm sure the Village government would be very much in favor of this particular amendment."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1340. All in favor of adoption, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #7. Keller. Is he here?"

Telcser: "Representative Keller here? I saw him here a few moments ago. Representative Stedelin can handle it."

Fred Selcke: "Amend Senate Bill 1348, page 1, line....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Marion, Representative Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, part of this has been in my district and I've been familiar with all it, to help on Federal funding and to amend the Bill to include the Little Wabash River in Clay, Wayne and Effingham Counties, Lewisville Dam Reservoir, ten miles and several others. It's an addition of four million dollars appropriation on it and I would appreciate the adoption of the Amendment."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman offers..... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Stedelin: "Yes, I'll be happy to."



Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Harold, I didn't hear, what was the dollar amount of this Amendment?"

Stedelin: "The dollar amount amended.... It deletes \$3,265,500 and inserts in lieu thereof \$7,645,500."

Lechowicz: "And what.... Now that's four million dollars. Correct?"

Stedelin: "I didn't get you? Total of four million, yeow."

Lechowicz: "Now what's this four million dollars for?"

Stedelin: "Well, I'll read the whole thing. In other words it's for the improvements that have already on the move, going along. Little Wabash River, to take care of it, in Clay, Wayne, Effingham counties, the Lewisville Dam and Reservoir project, provides for non-federal spending of construction operation, maintenance of the drainage flood control water, recreational and improvements of the Little Wabash River, proposed by the Corps of Engineers, is what they're doing, and also, ah.... ah.... the Little Green Hamilton in Green and Franklin Counties, Ten Mile Dam and Reservoir project, provided for the basic engineering study, preparation design and that is for a hundred thousand."

Lechowicz: "Now, Harold, was this recommended by the Department? Was this an oversight in their initial budget presentation?"

Stedelin: "Let Representative Cunningham, he's in that District also, let him tell you that."

Lechowicz: "I was just wondering what the Department's feeling is."

Stedelin: "I haven't talked to them."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you."

Telcser: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I believe, I believe Amendment #7, there's something wrong with it. Down in the Body of the Amendment, it calls for \$100,000, but it raises the total amount by \$4,300,000. I think we should take this out of the Record until such time as we get this straightened out."

Stedelin: "Let's go ahead and adopt the Amendment and let the Senate work it out in the Conference Committee over there."

Telcser: "Representative, you making an inquiry as to the germaneness of the Amendment or....."



Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, there's something wrong, it's a faulty Amendment. I don't have any objections to moving it on, even with the faulty Amendment on it, but the \$4,300,000 is an incorrect figure. It should be an addition of a hundred thousand dollars according to the Amendment."

Telcser: "The Amendment is correct. It was discussed in Appropriation, Representative Flinn, what this Amendment actually refers to is a Senate Amendment that was adopted. The figures are correct. O'kay? Is there further discussion? Further discussion? Roscoe, did you want to speak, Sir? No. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Exactly what are we adding here? Are we adding 4.6 million or are we adding \$400,000. The way I read it, we're adding 4.6 million, so what are we adding here?"

Telcser: "We're adding \$200,000, Gene."

Barnes: "\$200,090."

Telcser: "Right. That's what Don tells me and that's what ah.....

is that correct? It was discussed in the Appropriations Committee, and this Amendment....."

Barnes: "I realize that it was one of those Amendments that got out of there by one vote by somebody changing their vote. It was a confusing Amendment then and still is."

Telcser: "You bet it is. Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I didn't intend to speak on this Bill, because it was unthinkable that there could be any opposition whatever to this very splendid idea that Representative Keller had. The amount is very small. It's only \$200,000. He would be here to present the case, except for an unforeseen emergency that arose that prevented him from being here. This is the Sabbath. Remember the verse about 'Blessed be the Merciful'. It is a very good Amendment. I urge everyone on this side of the aisle to give a green light to my friend and colleague, Representative Keller. The need is great. Representative Stedelin deserves your support, too. So vote green."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion If not, Representative Stedelin wish to close the debate? He's closed it. The Gentleman offers to



move the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1348. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. You want a Roll Call? Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #8. McGrew. Amend Senate Bill 1348....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew. Can someone handle Representative McGrew's Amendment? Gentleman is not here. You want to proceed with the next Amendment? No one can handle his."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #..... We going to skip 8 and go to....."

Telcser: "Well, I assume we would skip 8 wouldn't we, if the Sponsor isn't here? Representative..... you want to handle that, Gene or does someone want to handle it. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams, will handle Representative McGrew's Amendment."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #8. Amend Senate Bill 1348, page 10 and so forth."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This was discussed and I have no opposition to the Amendment, so I would ask for a favorable vote on it."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1348. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed..... If you want.... Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hunsicker: "I'd like to know what these Amendments are doing that we're adopting here and I think we're entitled to know."

Telcser: "It's an agreed Amendment. What are you worried about? It's an agreed Amendment."

Hunsicker: "I think we should agree to it."

Telcser: "Representative Williams, for what purpose do you arise?"

Williams: "Yes, this Amendment, the appropriation sum of \$25,000 or as much as may be necessary to the Department of Transportation of Waterways for a feasibility study of an improvement to the water supply system in the Village of Augusta including the Lake Augusta Dam. This was discussed with the Governor's office, I understand. I don't believe there is any opposition there, and I don't oppose the Amendment."



Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Mahar: "What type of water supply does Augusta have now? They have well water?"

Williams: "Pardon me?"

Mahar: "Does Augusta have well water now? Spring water?"

Williams: "I don't believe I could answer that without Representative McGrew not here, but we did discuss the Amendment before ah.... I think last Friday and ah.... I don't know if maybe Representative Neff, are you familiar with this ah.... or maybe Representative McMasters, in the area there."

Telcser: "Representative McMasters is not here. I don't see him. Representative Neff does not indicate a desire to stand up and discuss the matter."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to this Amendment."

Telcser: "The Clerk says they've got well water. He's been through the County."

Mahar: "It looks to me like ah.... this is an Appropriation to help a village government ah.... solve their water problems. Now I have many, many municipalities in my District who would like to have \$25,000 each to solve their water problems. I think we're setting a precedent here. I don't think we should approve this Amendment. I would oppose it."

Telcser: "O'kay, is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Repre..... Is there further discussion? Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise on a Point of Personal privilege here perhaps....."

Telcser: "State your Point, Sir."

Hudson: "But the ah.... I wish to refer to the noise here in the Chambers. It sounds to me this afternoon like the Tower of Babel. I don't know whether the answer is less confusion and less noise. I don't know whether the answer is a new sound system. I don't know what



we paid for the sound system here, but I think it ties into Consumer Protectionism and we haven't been protected and I think something ought to be done here, if not immediately, at least during the summer, so that when we come back, we'll have a sound system where we can understand what's going on on the Floor of these Chambers. This might be done at the benefit of the taxpayer citizens and I make that as a suggestion."

Telcser: "Representative Hudson, couldn't hear what you said, would you repeat that?"

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd be glad to repeat it, but I'm afraid I couldn't prove my Point by repeating it. It is bad. It is bad. The sound system here is bad, and I'm just urging, Mr. Speaker, if there is anything we can do in the way of redress here, that we.... I've just been handed something that may be the ideal solution to the problem. I don't know. But anyway, that's the way I feel, Mr. Speaker. Could you hear me?"

Telcser: "No, I read your lips, Sir. Representative Neff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In regards to this Amendment, ah.... this Amendment has been Agreed on with the Governor. The Governor's been talked to on it. The City of Augusta does have a water supply problem and this is a feasibility study. All they're asking for here is \$25,000 to make a study to about getting more money, because they do have a serious situation in this City."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield for a question."

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "I'd like to have an answer to why the State of Illinois owes the taxpayers of the State of Illinois should ah..... furnish the money for a water feasibility study of a local municipality. I don't ah..... this is a local cost. More than likely there's a collection fee in connection with the distribution and service of water. I'd like to understand why."

Williams: "I spoke to Representative McGrew, he had already cleared this with the Governor's office, and apparently there is something that



does affect the health and safety and welfare of the citizens of Illinois and therefore, the feasibility study is in order."

Palmer: "Well, every water distribution system has to do with those things, but is it the policy of the Governor of the State of Illinois that he will furnish money to local municipalities to help in somewhat secure a better water supply or a different water supply or a feasibility study? If so, I think we should have a law to this effect, rather than something else. What I.... My question is, is it the policy of the Governor to do this with every municipality?"

Williams: "I can't speak for the Governor, but I don't believe there is a first time for something like this. It has been requested and approved."

Palmer: "Well, I'd like to speak to the question. They're going to spend enough money for those things that are legitimately state concerns. Now municipalities have their own things to furnish to their citizenry the water that is necessary. I can't see any reason at all, even if it's for only \$25,000 by the State of Illinois, the taxpayers of this State should furnish that money to a local municipality to find out or determine the feasibility of a better water supply, a different water supply system or a distribution system. It doesn't make any sense whatsoever and I think it's bad policy and this Amendment should be defeated."

Telcser: "Representative Neff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, if I may, I'd like to answer ah.... Representative Palmer. This would be a study, a feasibility study as for a Lake there that would be more than just a water supply. It would be a recreational area also in this surrounding area of the country, and as it's been brought out here, many times we've had this type of feasibility study made that helps cities for their water supply, as well as bringing in recreational area into that particular section."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, Representative Williams to close his debate."

Williams: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker. Again, it would not be the first time that the State of Illinois has cooperated with municipalities and I'm sure the municipality has come up with their portion and I would ask for a favorable vote on the adoption of Amendment #8."



Telcser: "Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1348. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Anyone wish to explain their vote? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 53 'ayes', 12 'nays', 1 answering 'present' and Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1348 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #9. T. H. Miller. Amend Senate Bill 1348 on page 8....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tom Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #9 appropriates \$80,000 for the development of plans, specifications and environmental impact statements for dredging the Little Calumet River in Cook County, Illinois. Now let me explain to you very briefly why it comes in the form of an Amendment at this time. Congressman Robert Hanrahan was successful in getting a Federal Bill approved to provide for the dredging of the 12 mile Little Calumet River. The United States Army Corps of Engineers had previously appropriated \$40,000 to prepare plans and specs for fiscal year 1975 for this program. At the time that they secured the \$40,000, they did not realize what a big project they were involved in. To prepare the plans and specs, it will cost a total of \$120,00 and therefore, I ask your approval of an \$80,000 at this time to permit this cleanup project to go through. I might point out that the Little Calumet River drainage basin serves about five percent of the people of our State and I ask your favorable consideration."

Telcser: "Further discussion. Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1348. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed by saying 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Is that it Jack?"

Jack O'Brien: "No, one more."

Telcser: "One more?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #10. Murphy. Amends Senate Bill....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this reduces



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JANUARY 1975

the appropriation for Division of Waterways to use in cleaning up the Chain Lakes from a million dollars down to \$75,000. There is a study underway at this time and the study commission recommended only \$75,000 until the study was completed, so it is ridiculous to leave a million dollars in there and we changed it to \$75,000 and I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment..... Did I hear you say you're reducing it? Well, that's one for the books. I'm with you, Murph."

Telcser: "Any further discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 1348. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed by saying 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1401."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1401. A Bill for an Act to transfer the money between funds of State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1401....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Committee Amendment was agreed upon. It simply lowers the amount transferred between the various funds within a Department. I know of no opposition. I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1401. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1425."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1425. Brummet. Bill for an Act to restore the former owners of coal and other mineral outlying property which is deprived by the State and now used for highway purposes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1458."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1458. A Bill for an Act to accept restoration of legislative jurisdiction over certain land in the State and government of the United States and the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Telcser: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1535."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1535. Friedland. Bill for an Act to enlarge the corporate limits of Metropolitan Sanitary District in Chicago. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1548."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1548. Berman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment Amend Senate Bill 1548....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment, Committee Amendment #1 adds some provisions to this Bill regarding allowing OSPI to extend the permit for driving of a school bus, and also provides for ah..... reimbursement to school districts for the costs of these improvements called for in the Bill. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1548. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1549."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1549. Berman. Bill for an Act to clarify and amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Berman: "There are no Amendments and I'd move it to Third, because I wanted to indicate on the previous Bill there are some Amendments that have been indicated to me that will be drafted. I'll bring it back from Third to Second."

Telcser: "O'kay, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1561."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1561. Shea. A Bill for an Act relating to township organization. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1614."



Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1614. Berman. Amends the School Code.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1618."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1618. A Bill for an Act providing for the establishment of a downstate program for financial assistance for mass transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1, which was unanimously adopted in Committee transforms this Senate Bill, which deals with the downstate mass transit problem into the same Bill that we've already favorably voted out of this House. The reason for this is that there's a great divergence of opinion between the Senate Bill and those who are sponsoring it and the House Bill....."

Telcser: "Wait a minute, please. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, this is an awful important Amendment. I think we ought to know what's going on with this one."

Telcser: "O'kay. Proceed, Representative Day."

Day: "Ah..... as I explained before, this ah..... House Amendment to this Senate Bill transforms the Senate Bill into the same Bill that this House has already passed out. The reason for this is, that ah..... pretty much of an Agreement between the Senate Sponsors and the House Sponsors of these two Bills that nothing is going to be accomplished unless we can work out the differences in a Conference Committee and the purpose of this Amendment is to get us through a Conference Committee just as fast as we can, with the hope that we can perhaps solve this problem before the Legislature adjourns later next week."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1618. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. 1621."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1621. Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend



Section 5 of the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Douglas. Amends Senate Bill"

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I think there are two Amendments here, one by Representative Douglas and one by myself. I'm going to move to Table Representative Douglas' and then ask to have Amendment #2, and I will then ask it to go to Third Reading and if there's any question with Representative Douglas, I'll be happy to bring it back."

Telcser: "All right. Ah..... Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1621. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is Tabled. Further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Shea. Amends Senate Bill 1621....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #2. It makes the Act effective for approximately five years until September 1, 1979 and then it self destructs."

Telcser: "O'kay, any discussion? Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 1621. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "It is my understanding that you will bring it back to Second. I have an Amendment in the works of being prepared. I'd like to discuss it with you when we get it."

Shea: "I said that I'd bring it back for Representative Douglas, if he had some questions. If you've got an Amendment that is acceptable to the Senate sponsors of the Bill, I'd be happy to bring it back."

Juckett: "Fine, thank you."

Telcser: "O'kay, let's go back over to Senate..... We adopted Amendment #2. Third Reading."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I have two other Bills that I think I've worked out the problems on."

Telcser: "We'll go back now and pick up Second Reading, Senate Bills again."

Shea: "All right, there are two Bills that I held, 1273 and 1346."

Telcser: "O'kay, Third Reading on 1621."

Fred Selcke: "You want to start at the beginning again or...."

Telcser: "What's easiest for you, Fred?"

Fred Selcke: "Let's take 1273."

Telcser: "O'kay, Senate Bill 1273."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, what I'd like to do is have that Bill read a Second time and not offer, there's two, two Amendments. I think there is no question that Representative Krause's Amendment, there's no objection to, but there might be to Amendment #2, so what I'd like to do is have the Bill read a Second time and held, having had Amendment #1 adopted and hold Amendment #2 and 3 until we work out some problems."

Telcser: "The Bill already has been read a Second time. Amendment #1 is adopted. We'll just leave it where it is."

Shea: "All right."

Telcser: "What's the next one you want, Fred?"

Fred Selcke: "1346."

Telcser: "Senate Bill 1346. Fred, is that the one?"

Fred Selcke: "Ah.... Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were adopted and it was held."

Shea: "Amendment #4 is the one. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 have been adopted, have they not?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #4. Ah.... Amend Senate Bill 1346 in the House on page 5 and so forth."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I talked to Mr. Flinn, who's the House Sponsor. This moves some money out of contractual services and into personal services. It's an agreement. He has no objections. I've talked to the Senate Appropriations staff. They have no objections. So I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1346. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no.....Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Jerry, how much are you transferring?"



Shea: "It works out, I think, to be about \$52,000."

Lechowicz: "You only had \$54,000 in contractual services to begin with."

Shea: "No, I.... it....."

Lechowicz: "If you're talking about page 5, that you mentioned."

Shea: "Right. I'm taking it from 262 to 318, so what's that, 38, 48, 56,000 and I'm reducing contractual from 54 down to 18. There's another line item. I don't have the Bill in front of me, from 32 to 12.2, so that reduces that by....."

Lechowicz: "That's data processing."

Shea: "Data processing by \$20,000."

Lechowicz: "O'kay, thank you."

Telcser: "Further discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1346. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Shea: "Now, can I just ask the Clerk. This Amendment #1, 2, 3 and 4 have been adopted on this?"

Telcser: "That's correct."

Shea: "Thank you."

Telcser: "O'kay, let's go..... Senate Bill 1264. Representative Lechowicz will handle that."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1264. Lechowicz. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses to the Illinois Racing Board. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1264....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1264. The reduction of \$23,020. It was an Agreed Committee Amendment and I would ask that the House concur in Amendment #1."

Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1264. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I would also like to point out that we'll move this Bill to Third Reading. Since I'm not the House Sponsor of the



Bill, I think we should also request that if there's any additional Amendments that he may want on this Bill, he can have leave to bring it back to Second Reading."

Telcser: "O'kay, further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 1264....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 ah.... on page 1, Line 25, by deleting \$1,887,000 and inserting in lieu thereof \$1,907,000. This increases the appropriation by \$20,000. It restores to the appropriation ah.... \$20,000 deletion by the Senate for the minority program and I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I thought there was an agreement of \$12,000."

Barnes: "No, there wasn't any agreement. The total amount of \$20,000 was deleted by the Senate and the Senate Appropriations and ah.... this Amendment was not prepared and ready for when the Bill was heard in the House Committee the other day. Unfortunately, I wasn't there at the time, so I don't know whether or not any agreement had been reached or not. I really don't know."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just very briefly, we did talk to the Racing Board. We had, in fact, it's Attachment B of your analysis as far as the actual expenditures in fiscal 74 and with Mr. Hutchison, I believe it was, that we discussed it and agreed to \$12,000 and he was very happy to get that, so if you want to take this back and we'll prepare the proper Amendment, I'll show you the justification for it."

Barnes: "Well, I'll be honest, I don't see what the difference. We're talking about \$8,000 and we're talking about a \$20,000 Amendment to the Racing Board Bill and we just amended the water resources Bill for \$600,000, so what are we talking about? We're talking about a \$20,000 line item, which had been included in this appropriation for the last three years, so what are we talking about here? I would move the adoption of Amendment #2."



Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1264. Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I'm having an awfully hard time hearing what's going on. I heard there was something about \$20,000 being put in for what?"

Barnes: "The \$20,000 in Amendment #2 is for the use of the Board and Institute and Program for recruiting, training and upgrading of minority employees by the licensees of the Illinois Racing Board. This \$20,000 has been included in the appropriation for the Board since 1971 when I, myself, put it in. It was deleted by Senate Amendment and I am reoffering this Amendment to include it here in the House."

Telcser: "Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1264. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1265."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1265. Keller. Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Commerce Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1265....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1265 is the total reduction of \$71,000 from the Commerce Commission and I move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1265. All in favor of adoption, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. O'kay, now, are there any Members on the Floor who have Senate Bills on Second Reading who want to have them called. What's your number? You may have arrived late and we passed you buy. Senate Bill 1359."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1359. Schneider. A Bill for an Act to provide.... to add Section 1.1 to an the Environmental Protection Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1272."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1272. Madigan. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to pay certain officers of State governments and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

also the Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. You have Floor Amendments?

Amendment #1. Madigan. Amends Senate Bill 1272 on page 2, line 26 and so forth....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to Table Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1272.

All in favor, a 'ye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is Tabled. Further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Madigan. Amends Senate Bill 1272....."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 could we move it to Third and bring it back? The Amendment hasn't been distributed yet. The Second Amendment."

Telcser: "The Second Amendment hasn't been distributed, Mr. Clerk. Let's put this on Third Reading, Fred. Amendment #1 is tabled. Third Reading. Amendment #1 was adopted. Third Reading. Tabled. Amendment #1 was tabled. The Bill is now on Third Reading. The Gentleman wishes to put Amendment #2 1237. 1227. Senate Bill 1227."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1227. An Act to amend Section 3d and so forth. An Act to revise law in relation to township organization. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1227 in the House on page 1 and so forth."

Telcser: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move to Table Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1227. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment is Tabled. Further Amendments? Table Amendment #1. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Telcser: "Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Telcser: "No further Amendments. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, could we? This is 1227, Mr. McMasters Bill? Could we.....?"



Telcser: "McMasters and Tipsword, Representative Tipsword asked me....."

Shea: "All right, would you have any objection to having it read a Second time and held on Second Reading? Could you read the Bill a Second time and then hold it on Second?"

Telcser: "It's been read a Second time. Amendment #1 has been Tabled. We'll leave it on Second, Mr. Clerk. All right, are there any other Members who have a Bill on Senate Bills, Second Reading, who wish to have me call it so we can get off of this? Representative Collins is not on the Floor right now."

Fred Selcke: "All right, then put this back."

Telcser: "O'kay, we'll leave Senate Bills, Second Reading and we'll go to the Order of concurrences. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to move that we go to House Bills, Second Reading."

Telcser: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, does that Motion have to be submitted to the ah.... Chair in writing, or is it proper to make it at this time?"

Telcser: "Well, we're on the Order of Concurrences, Representative Houlihan. Before we adjourn for the day, I will recognize you for a Motion, if you wish to make it. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, isn't it in order to change the Order of Business from the Floor in order at any time?"

Telcser: "No, it's not, Sir. That's the Point I'm trying to make now. When we get to the Order of Motions, then I just told Representative Houlihan, we will. The Chair will take his Motion."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the Rules are such that the Speaker can go from Order of Business anytime he desires under Rule 10. He can change Orders. The second part of 10, as I remember it, says on Motion from the Floor, a Member can change an Order of business. Now if you're going to hold that you've got to wait until you get to Motions to do that, there would be no sense in having that rule."

Telcser: "O'kay, Representative Houlihan, do you wish to persist in your Motion, Sir?"



Houlihan: "Yes, Mr. Chairman."

Telcser: "Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Well, just to say that I object to the Gentleman's Motion and ah..... would ask that the Motion be in writing, too, according to the Rules."

Telcser: "O'kay, the Gentleman..... check the Rule again. Pursuant to Rule 62a, Representative Houlihan, your Motion has to be in writing. On the Order of concurrences, appears House Bill 2248, for which purpose the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip is recognized."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 for House Bill 2248."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "May we have an explanation of the Senate Amendments? We can't?"

Telcser: "Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2248 merely increases the retirement for various departments under the Comptroller. Senate Amendment #2 takes legal fees in the Comptroller's office and charges them back to the Attorney General."

Schlickman: "Sorry, I asked now."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? None. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, ah..... will the Gentleman answer questions?"

Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Shea: "What is Amendment #2 do?"

Philip: "I thought I just explained that, Representative Shea. It transfer a total of \$78,538 from the administrative division to the new section for the sole use by the Attorney General at the office for legal services."

Shea: "Is this the Amendment that's been put on in several Senate Bills, where what they're doing is splitting up the Department's appropriation, putting some into the department and some in for the department only for the use of the Attorney General?"

Philip: "Representative Shea, I don't have any idea of any other Amendments except this one."



Shea: "Well, I'm sorry, did you say you didn't have any idea what the Amendment does?"

Philip: "No, I'm not talking about this Amendment. I know what these two Amendments do. I don't know about any other Bills the Department has that you're speaking of."

Shea: "O'kay, is this what Amendment #2 does?"

Philip: "That is correct."

Shea: "Any you're asking us to concur in that?"

Philip: "That is correct."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that this is a vast policy decision that this General Assembly must make and I don't think that we should just concur in the.... I don't want the Senate telling us how to run the appropriation process between these two Houses. Now if we concur in this Amendment, what we're going to do is what the Senate tells us how to run the appropriation process and I very much move for nonconurrence on Amendment #2."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Ammdment #1 and 2 to House Bill 2248. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. It will take 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Anyone wish to explain their vote? Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I really don't understand the objections to these Amendments to these two House Bills. I think the first Amendment, I explained very clearly and what it does is increase, it increases the retirement system in various divisions in the Comptroller's office. The second Amendment merely takes the sum of \$78,549 which is used as legal fees or charges to legal fees and charges it to the Attorney General. Now I think that the new Constitution is very, very clear. It says that the Attorney General shall be the legal office for any State office, and that's merely what's happening. The two or three lawyers involved in the Comptroller's office happen to be Assistant Attorney Generals already and they have been for over a year, so I don't see any... why there should be any objection to this and I would hope I would get some more green votes."



Telcser: "Is there anyone else? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the Sponsor of this Bill and in the concurrence has indicated, it is far more expensive to use the services of the Attorney General than it would be to go out and hire a private counsel, and I'm sure that the Members on the other side of the aisle do not want us to violate the Constitution. I'm sure they have read it, they understand it and the only conclusion that we could draw from this is that they want something in exchange. Now we'd like to have them state, what do you want in exchange? Why are you willing to violate the Constitution? Just what is it you're after? What kind of a deal do you want? What kind of a deal are you asking for that you would ask us to violate the Constitution? We're listening. Why don't you come forth with it?"

Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Choate: "Point of Order. If the Gentleman from Cook desires to talk to the issue at hand, which is the concurrence of the Amendment, I would suggest that he address himself to the Amendment and not be offering the Assistant Leader over here a deal. He's not subject to deals."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I think the message got over there loud and clear."

Telcser: "O'kay, have all voted who wished? Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, will you take it out of the Record, please?"

Telcser: "Is there leave for the Gentleman to take it out of the Record? Any objections? Take it out of the Record. Well, I'm asking if there's leave and nobody is objecting. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've got a Roll Call up there and I thought the proper request should be Postponed Consideration."

Telcser: "You're right, but that's why I asked if there was Leave and nobody said anything. I asked if there was Leave."

Lechowicz: "Put it on Postponed."

Telcser: "Postponed Consideration. On the Order of Concurrences, House Bill 2264, for which purpose, the Gentleman from.... Representative, one second now. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"



Houlihan: "I rise, Mr. Speaker, to renew my Motion, which is now in writing at the Clerk's desk."

Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, your written Motion, Sir, pursuant to Rule 10d is out of order."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, would I be able to change that and then move onwards without....."

Telcser: "I can only Rule on the Motion that is before us now. Rule 10d clearly states that ah..... the Motion has to be supported by five additional Members. There is only one Member on the Motion, Representative Houlihan. It's got to be in writing, Sir. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2274, for which purpose the Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone is recognized."

Stone: No."

Telcser: "On the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 2298. Representative Deavers. Representative Lundy, do you wish to have your Bill called on Concurrence? Representative Boyle, do you wish to have your Bill called? Representative Redmond wish to have his Bill called on Concurrences? Representative Maragos, do you wish to have your Bill called? Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise?"

Maragos: "Due to the fact that ah..... there are some question as to the what the Amendments in the Senate are doing, and I do not have the full report..... Mr. Speaker, due to the fact that ah..... the Amendments have been put on the by the Senate have changed the nature of the Bill and some dispute by the House, I move that we do not concur with the Amendments of the Senate and I ask for a conference."

Telcser: "All right. O'okay, on the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos moves that the House do not adopt Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 7 to House Bill 2353. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The House does not concur with Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 7. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2857, for which purpose, the Gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick, is recognized. No. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"



Maragos: "I would like to state that if a Conference Committee is going to be appointed on this Bill, that Representative William Mahar would like to be on that Conference Committee, because he, as well as anybody else on this side, asked the Speaker that he be appointed to that Committee."

Telcser: "All right, first, Representative Maragos, you've got to see if the Senate will recede."

Maragos: "I know that."

Telcser: "O'kay. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe there are now 5 additional Members on that Motion and if it would be Ruled in order, I would hope that we could take a ah..... a vote on my Motion to go to House Bills, Second Reading."

Telcser: "O'kay, Gentleman, pursuant to Rule 10d, the Gentleman has moved that the Speaker go out of the current Order of business, that is, Concurrences at the present time, and move to the Order of House Bills, Second Reading. On the Gentleman's Motion, ah..... is there debate?"

Tipsword: "Should we use the attendance roll call, Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, don't you need 89 votes before you do anything like that?"

Telcser: "Yes, a Roll Call has been requested and it has and we need 89 votes. Representative..... Anyone want to debate this issue? The Gentleman has moved, pursuant to Rule 10d, that the Speaker go out of the current Order of business, to the Order of House Bills, Second Reading. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. It will take 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Representative Houlihan, for what purpose to you arise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote."

Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've asked to have this Bill called and to have this Motion made for House Bill



2272. Even if you're not in accord with what this Bill tries to do in terms of putting a tax break for those who are purchasing medicine and drugs, I would ask for a favorable roll call. If this Bill is not considered today, if we skip this order of business, we will delay the action on this Bill in such a fashion that it will not be able to be considered by the Senate and it will not be given its full day in court. I would ask for some support from both sides of the aisle in order to have this Bill considered, and to move in accordance with our Rules on House Bills that need to be moved before they go over to the Senate, otherwise, they won't be enacted. I know there are other Members who have House Bills on Second Reading and I think they would like to have their Bills called and I would ask with a sense of fairness that we give every Member in the House a chance to get his House Bills over to the Senate because if they are not over at the Senate, it's obvious that those Bills will not have any opportunity to become law, and I would ask you, if at all possible, if you could vote on this particular Motion to go out of Order and move to consider House Bills, Second Reading, so that we can, in fact, move the Bills of our Members here in this House fairly and evenly over to the Senate where they can then be evaluated by the Members in the Senate, and I would appreciate very much a few more green lights so that we could then consider the Bills that we have here in the House and move all of our matters over to the Senate before, in fact, we begin to deal with the matters of the Senate. Thank you very much."

Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Obviously, our friend on the other side of the aisle hasn't had too much experience down here in Springfield, like some of the rest of us. And I assure you if you passed that Bill today or tomorrow, you're sending it over to the graveyard anyhow, so it doesn't really make any difference. And what you're going to do if you allow him to consider this Bill on Second Reading today is waste a lot of the valuable time of the House. We all know that any House Bill that goes over to the Senate today or tomorrow is dead."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."



Kosinski: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We waste a great deal of time here. I'm not terribly concerned whether we take a few minutes more especially during the times of the increased pile of living, the cost of living. I think it behooves all of us to listen to any Tax Break Bill we get before us. If this happens to be one of them, I'd like to hear it on the Floor and I suggest we get a few more green lights up there to permit us this

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, I'd sure like it if somebody would come off of this...ah...there...there are twelve...thirteen Bills on House Bills Second Reading. We're going to get to these Bills tomorrow I'm assured by the Speaker and I'd also like to suggest to the Gentleman that his is not the first one on the Priority of Call. There are three or four of them before his Bill on the Priority Call. Now it was the understanding of Leadership...ah...today, that this Session would be to kind of move along noncontroversial matters because it was expected that the attendance might be down ah...it's also Sunday and it's not a day to be fighting. So I would urge...urge two or three of you to please come off of this so that we can get on and accomplish something today."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record? Representative Simms, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Simms: "At the proper time, I'd like to ask for a verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Telcser: "Okay. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "I'd like to ask for a Poll of the absentees."

Telcser: "Okay, Well as soon as the machine kicks it out Jim, we'll start then. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "I hope that when we get the vote out of the machine you're going to keep the vote on the Tally Board so that we can move along with the Clerk as he calls...ah..."

Telcser: "Jim, I'd be happy to accomodate you sir. As always. Would you Poll, read the absentees Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Anderson, Beatty, Bluthardt, Campbell, Capuzi, Carter, Collins, Day, Deavers, Dee, Douglas, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ewell,



Fleck, Geo-Karis, Giorgi, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Jenison, Dave Jones, Keller, Klosak, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Leinenweber, Mann, McMaster, Tom Miller, Molloy, Murphy, North, Pappas, Peters, Polk, Rayson, Rose, Sevcik, Soderstrom, Springer, Stone, Telcser, Totten. You don't mind me yawning into the microphone, do you?...What do you got?...What Rule Juckett?"

Telcser: "Okay, did you finish the absentees sir? Okay the Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. The Members please be in their seats. Proceed Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Alsop, Barnes, Barry, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Deuster, DiPrima, Duff, Epton, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Greiman, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hyde, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Kelly, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Madigan, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Porter, Randolph, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Shea, Ike Sims, Stedelin, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tip sword, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, Richard Walsh, Walters, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, Yourell."

Telcser: "Questions of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Representative Rosco Cunningham."

Telcser: "Representative Rosco Cunningham on the Floor? Mr. Cunningham how is he recorded? How is Representative Rosco Cunningham recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Capparelli."

Telcser: "Wait a minute, Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "I...I'm sorry Mr. Speaker, but when we started out what was our...ah...count?"

Telcser: "95. Cunningham is off."



Simms: "Representative Capparelli."

Telcser: "Representative Capparelli on the Floor? Capparelli? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Chapman."

Telcser: "Representative Chapman on the Floor? The Lady is sitting in back of Matijevich. She's on the Floor."

Simms: "Representative Kelly."

Telcser: "Representative Kelly is in his seat."

Simms: "Representative Matijevich."

Telcser: "He is...ah... in his seat."

Simms: "Representative McGah."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Simms: "Representative Terzich."

Telcser: "Representative Terzich on the Floor? Terzich? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Schisler."

Telcser: "Representative Schisler on the Floor? Schisler? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Bradley."

Telcser: "Representative Bradley on the Floor? Bradley? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Krause."

Telcser: "Representative Krause is in his seat."

Simms: "Representative Calvo."

Telcser: "Representative Calvo on the Fl...There he is in his seat."

Simms: "Representative Brummet."



Telcser: "Representative Brummet on the Floor? Brummet. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative J. J. Wolf."

Telcser: "Representative J. J. Wolf on the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "There he is back in back..."

Telcser: "He's standing in back by Representative McAvoy."

Simms: "Representative McAuliffe."

Telcser: "Representative McSuliffe on the Floor? McAuliffe? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Epton."

Telcser: "Representative Epton on the Floor? Epton? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative ...ah... DiPrima."

Telcser: "Is Representative DiPrima on the Floor? DiPrima? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Kozubowski."

Telcser: "Representative Kozubowski on the Floor? Kozubowski? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Rep...ah...Representative Garmisa."

Telcser: "Representative Garmisa on the Floor? Garmisa? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Brinkmeier."



Telcser: "Representative Brinkmeier on the Floor? There he is in the back."

Simms: "Representative Emil Jones."

Telcser: "Emil Jones? He's in his seat."

Simms: "Representative Martin."

Telcser: "Representative Martin is in her seat."

Simms: "Representative Walters."

Telcser: "Representative Walters is standing in the back."

Simms: "Representative Ron Hoffman."

Telcser: "Ron Hoffman's in the back. Representative Houlihan for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, a number of people have indicated that

Representative Garmisa had been taken off twice, is that the case Fred?"

Telcser: "No he has not. Any further questions Representative Simms?"

Simms: "Representative Schneider."

Telcser: "Representative Schneider is standing...sitting back by Greiman."

Simms: "Representative Mugalian."

Telcser: "Mugalian is in his seat."

Simms: "All right, Representative Yourell."

Telcser: "Representative Yourell is in his seat and Representative Garmisa, someone said he returned, where is he? Oh there he is. Garmisa has returned."

Simms: "Representative McGrew."

Telcser: "Representative McGrew on the Floor? McGrew? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative D'Arco."

Telcser: "Representative D'Arco on the Floor? D'Arco. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman on the Floor? There he is."



Telcser: "What do you have Mr. Clerk?"

Simms: "Representative Porter."

Telcser: Representative Porter on the Floor? Representative Porter?

How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Donald Deuster."

Telcser: "Representative Deuster on the Floor? Deuster? There he is."

Simms: "Representative Wall."

Telcser: "Representative Wall is standing by his seat."

Simms: "Representative Laurino."

Telcser: "Representative Laurino on the Floor? Laurino."

Fred Selcke: "Absent...absent."

Telcser: "He's not voting."

Simms: "Representative Kardulli."

Telcser: "Representative Nardulli is in his seat. Representative

Epton has returned. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose

do you rise?"

Simms: "Ah...Ah...That's all I have Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "On this question there are 83 'ayes', 31 'nay', none

answering 'present'. Representative Houlihan for what purpose

do you rise?"

Houlihan: "You said...What was the count?..."

Telcser: "83 'aye'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Representative

Choate for what purpose do you rise."

Choate: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I

would like to introduce to this body one of the more charming

ladies in the State of Illinois, happens to come from the district

represented by...ah...Representative McCormick, Representative

Hart and myself...ah...The three of us were all three extremely

proud of this lady but one of us probably is a little bit more

happier that she's here today than the other two are because

she happens to be the charming wife of my colleague Dick Hart.

Carolyn will you please stand."

Telcser: "Okay on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2401,



for which purpose the Gentleman...On concurrences 2401, for which purpose the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn is recognized. 2401, Flinn is going to take it instead of Brummet."

Fred Selcke: "I got it."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Mr. Brummet has the same Amendment on his Bill that I have on mine and all it does is it, the Senate Amendment #1 increases for purposes on the increase in Social Security and I move for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 2401."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2401. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2401. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2404, for which purpose the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn is recognized."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this is an identical Amendment on my Bill on House Bill 2404 and I move the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 2404. Concurrence rather."

Telcser: "We got to wait till the machine kicks out the last one. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2404. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 5 'nays', none answering 'present' and the House adopts Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2404. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2405 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook...No...Out of the record. On the order of Concurrence appears House Bill 2346 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy is recognized."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2346 but nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House



do not...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Gentleman explain the Amendments please?"

Lundy: "Yes, House Bill 2346 is the Appropriation Bill for the Illinois Arts Council. Senate Amendment #1 is the Retirement Amendment that's been added to a number of other House Appropriation Bills and increases the amount for retirement contributions in the amount of one thousand one hundred and thirty-four dollars, a very small amount of money. Senate Amendment #2 deletes from the House Bill thirty thousand dollars which was provided by the...ah...which was a line item put in by the House Appropriations Committee for review and evaluation of Arts Council Programs and also deletes from the...ah...the Bill one hundred thousand dollars for the...ah.. state contributions to the Chicago Symphony European Tour."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my understanding that in the Senate putting Amendment #2 on this Bill they deleted a hundred thousand dollars from the Arts Council and they put in one hundred thousand dollars into the Business and Economic Development...ah...Department. So that the money which was originally suppose to be funded through the Arts Council for the Chicago Symphony Orchestra's tour of Europe is not in their budget but is another Department of States budget. So if we were to nonconcur we would then be in effect giving two hundred thousand dollars for this trip when only one hundred thousand would be necessary. So I think that the proper motion should be that we concur in both of the Amendments and seeing as how the motion is...is to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 I would urge a division of the question. Concurrence on the first one and to vote 'no' on the nonconcurrence of the second Amendment and I would so move a division."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a division of the question . He has that right. Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise."



Lundy: "I'm sorry, he's asked to divide the question, is that correct?"

Telcser: "I know what he wants, I want to be sure Representative Lundy knows."

Lundy: "Well Mr. Speaker, if I may respond briefly before we go to the matter of dividing the question...ah...I think the last speaker is correct that the intention of the Senate Appropriations Committee was to do as he described. I don't know as the House Sponsor of this Bill whether in fact they have added that money to the ...ah... budget of the Department of Business and Economic Development and that was part of the reason for nonconcurring to wait and see whether that was done. However, if he, if he opposes...ah...an effort to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 I would just have to take the...to take this out of the record until we see what happens on the B.E.D. budget and we'll come back to it at that time."

Telcser: "Take it out of the record. Take it out of the record. Now Representative Stone, do you want your...Representative Stone do you want your called? No...Deavers isn't here. Kenny...Boyle, do you want yours called? On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 2348 for which purpose the Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle is recognized."

Boyle: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendments 2 and 6 and do not concur in Senate Amendments 1, 3, 4, 5 and 7."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor explain what the Amendments do?"

Boyle: "Well in Senate Amendment #1 I've been advised that there's a technical error and I ask that they do not concur in this one."

Schlickman: "What's the nature of the error? Are we talking about



more than one Amendment, that you wish us to nonconcur with?"

Boyle: "The Appropriations staff advises me that there is a technical error in Senate Amendment #1. I don't know whether it's an error in the figures or an error in the language of the Bill. All I know, I haven't seen the Amendment. Maybe Lechowicz can help me there. He, I believe, has a copy of it."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What the Sponsor stated is absolutely correct, on Senate Amendment #1. It's a technical error made on page 2 of Amendment #1, lines 21 through 24 on page 2 should be deleted. These lines duplicate lines 25 through 28."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "As I understand it, there's about five Amendments, a couple of which were asked to concur and a number of which were asked to nonconcur. One Amendment has been described. I wish all the Amendments would be described."

Boyle: "Well, Amendment #2 decreases parks and memorials by \$404,000 to reflect a cut in the part-time help, and we asked to concur in this Amendment. This is a decrease in parks and memorials to reflect a cut in the part-time help. In other words, to take some people off the payroll when they don't feel as though they need that much money. Senate Amendment #3 appropriates a million for the development of three nurseries in northeastern Illinois and I also understand that there is a technical error in this Amendment. Senate Amendment #4 appropriates \$4,500,000 for land acquisition of Rose State Park which is the same appropriation as House Bill 2550, which has already passed the House; that we're asking to nonconcur in that. Senate Amendment #5 is not an appropriation. It takes out the appropriation for legal services and asks that these services be payable through the Attorney General's office. And we ask that they nonconcur in this. Six appropriates \$610,000 for Seven Mile Lake project in White County and they ask the Conservation Department to concur in #6. In #7, it transfers \$500,000 out of the Law Enforcement and puts it in Wildlife Resources for building Wild Life habitat and it makes transfers to the Game and Fish Fund and they ask not to concur in Senate Amendment #7."



Telcser: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, may I suggest that since each of these Amendments is different in substance, we vote on each of them, ah.... you know, dividing the issue."

Telcser: "Yeow, ah.... Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As long as this is so fouled up with seven Amendments, some to be concurred in and some not to be concurred with, why doesn't the Speaker just vote to non..... or the Sponsor move to nonconcur on all of them and we'll start all over again in Conference Committee rather than have it all fouled up?"

Boyle: "I think that's an excellent idea and I move that we nonconcur in all of them, since I'm only asking concurrence in two, and I would move that we nonconcur in all of them. We'll ask for a conference committee and get some of the straightened out. We've got more bobbles hanging on this Bill than a Christmas tree."

Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "What I'd like to do is ask the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee to look at Amendment #2 and ask that we do concur to Amendment #2. It decreases parks and memorials by \$404,000 to reflect the cut in part-time help and also decreases law enforcement by \$23,000. It increases planning and development by \$739,000, to reflect a transfer of land acquisition out of CDB and back into Conservation and as you know, Bud, this is a very critical area and I'd hate to lose this, as far as from the Department of Conservation, so I'd hope that the House would concur to Senate Amendment #2."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Lechowicz: "It's a net increase of \$341,000 if anyone wants to know."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Point of Order. Representative Boyle, I think, has changed his original Motion. What is the Motion before the House on this? Is it a nonconcurrence on all the Amendments or are we working all of them in different ways? What are we doing?"

Telcser: "I don't know. Representative Boyle, do you wish to answer Sir?"



Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I've been advised by the Department that they wish to concur in #2 and nonconcur in all the rest. The rest of them go to Conference, but they would like to segregate out #2, Senate Amendment #2 and so at this time, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #2 and this is the one that Representative Lechowicz was discussing with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, which Conservation is vitally interested in. It takes the Land Acquisition and puts it in Conservation, rather than the Capital Development Board."

Telcser: "All right, now you're putting..... First of all, you want to concur in #2. That's one Motion with one Amendment. Let's do it step by step. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I persist in my Motion that the question be divided and that we vote on each of these Amendments in numerical order."

Telcser: "All right, Representative Schlickman, the Gentleman has now revised his Motion. His first Motion is to concur with Amendment #2."

Schlickman: "I think for purposes of orderliness, we take each of the Amendments in numerical order and vote on each and my Motion is for a division."

Telcser: "All right, Representative Boyle, do you wish to address yourself to the Motion?"

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe that the only Motion before the House at this time is to concur in Amendment #2."

Telcser: "All right, Representative Boyle, the Gentleman has asked for a division of the question. He's entitled to that division. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I'm not mistaken, when we have these numerous Motions and some you want to concur in and some you don't want to, so long as it's not final passage, can't you adopt the concurrence Motions by voice vote and then also nonconcurrence by voice votes and you're back to where you want to be?"

Telcser: "Yes, you can. We can do that, Representative Berman, and as far as the Chair's concerned, that's no problem. However, if a Member



asks for a division of the question, the Chair feels he's entitled to that division, regardless of whether or not we could do it the other way."

Berman: "What are you dividing?"

Telcser: "Really in the Chair's opinion, nothing, but Representative Schlickman is insisting on a division."

Berman: "I agree with the Chair."

Telcser: "Representative Boyle, for what purpose do you rise?"

Boyle: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "State your Point, Sir."

Boyle: "I believe that we are divided. I believe that the only Motion before the House at this time is my Motion to concur in Senate Amendment #2. I'm segregating out. I'm only asking for concurrence in Senate Amendment #2."

Telcser: "Representative Porter, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Porter: "If we're going to proceed in that way, then I would ask for a division on the other Amendments."

Boyle: "Well, let's wait until we get to the other Amendments. All we want is a vote on #2 now."

Telcser: "Representative Schlickman, are you persisting in that, Sir?"

Schlickman: "I'm persisting my Motion for a division and I want the Amendments voted upon in numerical order."

Telcser: "Well, Representative Schlickman, you've put the Speaker in the Chair in a position of trying to force a Member to put a Motion which he may not want to put. The Gentleman is dividing the question. I think, by addressing himself to only one Amendment. He may wish to move to adopt Amendment #2 and after the House takes action one way or another, not wish to make any other Motion with respect to any other Amendment. I don't know that. I do think, however, he has satisfied the spirit of your request, which the Chair would uphold, that is to divide the question. He's divided it by asking for action on Amendment #2. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Well, from what I heard the Sponsor say, he will then have a Motion subsequent to this one that we nonconcur with all the other



Senate Amendments. I will object to that. I want division. I want a separate vote on each of the Amendments."

Telcser: "But Representative Schlickman, your question....."

Schlickman: "O'kay, go with Amendment #2. Go with the 2."

Telcser: "O'kay. O'kay. Gentleman moves that the House adopt Amendment #2 to Senate Bill..... Representative Waddell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Waddell: "Purpose of a question. Ah..... In this budget on Law Enforcement. Is this a reduction from last year? Is Law Enforcement in Conservation, as I understood it. In other words, the law enforcement part of this as being reduced from last year."

Boyle: "I don't understand your question, Bruce."

Waddell: "As I understand it, on the second one which we're on, did this not concern itself with a reduction in the amount that was to be used for law enforcement within the Conservation Department and if so, is that a reduction from last year?"

Boyle: "No, it's not a reduction from last year."

Waddell: "O'kay."

Telcser: "Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "Point of Order I'm making. I would like at this time that the Sponsor of the Bill, as he makes his own, various Motions for the various Amendments, explain what they do and how they affect the Bill as long as they are divided, because I do not know what Amendment #2 does at this time."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? You want to take this out of the Record, Representative Boyle and get it straightened out."

Boyle: "Can we take a vote on #2?"

Telcser: "It's fine by me. The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2348. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask my Colleague, Representative Boyle, to take this Bill out of the Record until we can get a little bit of some kind of an understanding between somebody or some people who may not be here or something else. I don't know what's going on, but Kenny, would you take it out of the Record?"



Teclser: "All right, let's take it out of the Record, and that's what I would suggest. Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "Messages from the Senate. Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bills with the following titles and passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House: Senate Bill 1290, 1462, 1481, 1617, 1635, 1675, passed the Senate June 23, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Teclser: "O'kay, we're on the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 2608, for which the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan, is recognized."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608."

Teclser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would the Gentleman kind of explain what those two little Amendments do?"

Ryan: "Certainly, Mr. Shea, it would be my pleasure. Senate Amendment #1 adds \$71,400 to the retirement line of the Bill and Senate Amendment #2 reduces personal services, retirement, social security and travel line by a total of \$78,000 and it does not change the total amount appropriated. It's placed in a matching fund for the United States Department of Justice."

Teclser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz. I'm sorry, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, is.... is it Senate Amendment #2.... Is this to be used for matching funds for Federal Justice Grant?"

Ryan: "Yes, it is."

Shea: "And how much Federal money will be brought in under that grant?"

Ryan: "\$780,000."

Shea: "And what's that almost a million dollars to be used for?"

Ryan: "Special prosecutions."

Shea: "Is this an attempt to do what we've done, or people have talked about in other Bills, ah.... where the legislation that would have



implemented this, is now dead, is it or isn't it on postponed consideration?"

Ryan: "I didn't understand you, Jerry."

Shea: "The legislations that would have allowed the Attorney General to convene grand juries throughout the State, which is what he needed this money for, is now on postponed consideration, isn't it?"

Ryan: "No, this doesn't have anything to do with the State-wide grand jury. This was a grant that the LEAA had through the Attorney General's office, had through LEAA for four years and it ran out."

Shea: "I know, but what I'm saying is the implementing legislation, for the acceptance of this grant, was in a Bill Mr. Duff had, that failed to pass and is now sitting on postponed consideration, isn't that not correct?"

Ryan: "I really, I'd yield to Representative Duff. I can't answer that."

Shea: "Well, let me ask you, the purpose of the financial crime bureau was to deal with security violations, credit card frauds, credit fraud and State fund misappropriations. That was in a Bill that Mr. Duff had to give that power to the Attorney General and that Bill is now on postponed consideration, so that I think to ask us to concur in this Amendment at this time, would be absolutely ludicrous."

Ryan: "I've been known to be ludicrous at times."

Shea: "Pardon me."

Ryan: "I have been known to be ludicrous at times."

Shea: "Not you, Mr. Ryan."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know ah..... by what fear the respected Assistant Minority Leader is connecting up these two Bills, but if it does any good, I'll give him absolute assurance that ah..... I have no idea that this appropriation was even in. There is no connection whatsoever between the two. I was not asked specifically by any particular person to put that Bill in and I tried to explain that at the time. This appropriation has absolutely nothing to do with that Bill."



Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what the Assistant Minority Leader has pointed out is absolutely true. If we appropriate the \$78,000, we in turn are actually saying that this House concurs with the substance Bill that was not passed, but we're passing the Appropriation. According to our analysis, two bureaus are planned to be set up with this money. One is the Financial Crime Bureau, which will concentrate on complex financial crime, such as security violations, credit frauds and State funds misappropriations. The second one, is the Prosecution Assistance Bureau. This Bureau will review the needs of local prosecutors and aid them wherever needed. We're talking about 30 employees to be hired from this fund money and I think that if you want to concur with this Amendment, it is an extremely bad precedent, and I would hope that Senate Amendment #2 would be defeated."

Telcser: "Further discussion? Representative Duff, did you.....? Representative Ryan to close the debate."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I find it very interesting that the Democrats fail to go along with State-wide Republicans in this case. They just a moment ago failed to go along with Comptroller and now fail to go along with the Republican Attorney General. I again move concurrence of Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2608."

Telcser: "Gentleman moves Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "I'm wondering if he can address himself to Senate Amendment #1, which I have no objection to, but Senate Amendment #2 would be a separate vote?"

Ryan: "Yes, that would be all right with me."

Telcser: "Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2608. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Final action and it will take 89 votes. Oh, oh, no, it's not. On this Amendment. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question,



there are 116 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House adopts Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2608. O'kay..... Gentleman now moves that the House adopt Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608. Gentleman offers to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608. All in favor of concurrence, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Don, Don. Anyone wish to explain their vote? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's unfortunate that ah..... that that Bill that I proposed has been somehow or other brought into conjunction with this. I will tell the respected Assistant Minority Leader that I have not discussed appropriation, this Amendment, this matter in any way shape, or form with either the Sponsor of the Amendment or any Member of the Senate or any Member of this House or any State officer. Now, because that vote doesn't look very good up there, if it is appropriate to do so, I would be happy to, if the Gentleman wants to take the vote out of the Record, to discuss this with the respected Minority Leader and consider tabling my Bill. I don't want to Table it, not having had an opportunity to find more out about it, but if it will help pass this appropriation, I don't see why not, but I don't want to do it in the blind."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. To the former speaker, his generous offer, if this appropriation is adopted, you don't need your Bill anyway, so that offer that you're making, is really rhetorical. There's no need for your Bill if this passes."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, that's a matter of conjecture. My offer is that the Gentleman will take this out of the Record so that we may discuss this matter as we have been so often asked. I'm telling you, Mr. Shea, I haven't discussed this matter with anybody at all and I would be happy to consider Tabling my Bill or appropriate action because I don't want to be involved in a situation which is a



mistake on the part of the Assistant Minority Leader conjectures.

I would like an opportunity to discuss it with him before taking any action on my part. I would appreciate it."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me just assure you and the rest of the Members of the House that I understand what the prior speaker said, I understand what's in this Bill and ah..., I just want to stay right where I'm at."

Telcser: "Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to point out that we're talking about almost a million dollars of Federal funds here and it really puzzles me as to why the Assistant Minority Leader would be in favor of tubing \$780,000 of Federal funds to fight corruption in the State of Illinois, but if that's the way he wants it, it looks like that's the way it's going to be. I would like to put this on Postponed Consideration at the proper time."

Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has asked for Postponed Consideration.

Fred, Mr. Clerk, the Gentleman has asked for this matter to be put on Postponed Consideration. No, the House has concurred with Senate Amendment #1. The question of concurrence on Senate Amendment #2 with respect to House Bill 2608, we put on the Order of Postponed Consideration. O'kay? Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to get the procedure straight. If we move for concurrence and the Motion does not carry, is that the same as nonconcurring, or are we allowing it to be put on postponed consideration status? You, know, because otherwise it would have to go back to receding in the Senate, etc."

Telcser: "It seems to me.... that's a good question. Let's assume we didn't receive the 89 votes on Senate Amendment #2 and we had a Roll Call and announced it. It would have to go back, in my judgement, as a Message to the Senate to see if the Senate would recede from Amendment #2. All right? However, in this instance, if we put it on postponed consideration, it would appear on the



calendar on the order of postponed consideration, with respect to Amendment #2. So there's no message going back to the Senate, with respect to us concurring or nonconcurring."

Berman: "The next question is, what would be the response of the Chair if a Motion by a Sponsor to concur does not carry, will the Chair entertain a Motion by anyone else to nonconcur?"

Telcser: "Well, I think, now wait a second. If someone makes a Motion to concur with an Amendment, and the House fails to concur, I think it's implicit then that the House did not concur, whether you make another Motion or not. All right, I think it's redundant."

Berman: "All right, then, then this Bill is going back to the Senate to see if they'll recede, is that right? Is that my understanding?"

Telcser: "Not if he puts it on postponed."

Berman: "O'kay, so we're on postponed consideration with that Bill."

Telcser: "He just ask for postponement of this matter. All right, Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "I think, I think in this particular situation, you cannot postpone it. I think what you should do, I'll make a substantive Motion that we do not concur, since we did not, if we're going to take that attitude."

Telcser: "All right, but wait a second, then..... this will come up a number of times this week. Let's find out about it now. Sam, Sam, the Sponsor wants to postpone it so he can talk to a few Members to see where he is, to see if he can negotiate. Now if we don't concur, he doesn't have that opportunity."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, right now, may I make a Point of Order."

Telcser: "Yeow."

Maragos: "At this state of the game, the House has spoken, but they do not wish to concur, so there should be a substitute Motion, just like we do with other situations, I now move that we do not concur so therefore send it back for conference. At that time, that's the purpose of it."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Could you tell me in our Rules where..... could you tell me



in our Rules where a Bill on concurrence can be put on Postponed Consideration, please?"

Telcser: "Representative Murphy, for what purpose do you arise?"

Murphy: "Well, the Sponsor tells me he wants you to just take it out of the Record for now. Why can't he take it out of the Record?"

Shea: "But there's a Rule called vote....."

Murphy: "You can't postpone an Amendment, but you can take it out of the Record."

Shea: "But there's a Roll Call vote where the Sponsor of the Concurrence called for the House to concur in the Amendment. By a failure to receive 89 votes, it's explicit in that action that the House did not concur and the Bill should be returned to the Senate in that form."

Telcser: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, just to catch up here, did you announce the result or didn't you, before Representative Duff?"

Telcser: "No, I didn't announce....."

Pierce: "It would seem to me if you didn't announce your vote, you would give the right to take it out of the Record and put it on Postponed. Had you announced the results, then it would be the same as a nonconcurrence."

Telcser: "That's exactly right. I did not announce the results on Amendment #2."

Pierce: "He needs leave, though, to put it on postponed consideration, but I don't think he got leave, did he?"

Telcser: "Yes, but there's more to it than that, Dan. Representative Matijevec, for what purpose did you rise?"

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, I think he can postpone consideration but he needs the majority to do it, under Rule 62."

Telcser: "That's not the issue."

Matijevec: "We're not talking about third reading of the Bill. Third Reading of the Bill he can get postponed consideration."

Telcser: "This is final passage. This is the same thing."

Matijevec: "Then he can have it."

Telcser: "All right, but that's the thrust of the question, John. You



know, this is going to come up for Members on both sides of the aisle, all this week. We ought to resolve it."

Matijevich: "I think you're right...."

Telcser: "The question is, can you put a concurrence question on Postponed Consideration? Some of the Members say 'no'. Some say 'yes'."

Matijevich: "I think you can do it."

Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I call the Chair's attention, I call the Chair's attention to Rule 38. Now, 38 says that when a Bill is called for Third Reading then the Sponsor has the chance to put it on Postponed Consideration. Now this Bill is not on Third Reading. It's on concurrence and I don't recall ever having a category on ah.... Postponed Consideration dealing with anything other than the second shot at Third Reading Bills."

Telcser: "All right, Art, but Concurrence Motion.... Motion to concur is final passage."

Berman: "That's right."

Telcser: "And if you don't get it the first time, then it's not concurring. Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, ah.... I hate to disagree with the previous speaker, but Representative Matijevich and I have been looking and we've found Rule 61C, which says 'Subject to the provisions of Rule 65, any question taken under consideration may be withdrawn, postponed, or tabled by unanimous consent or if unanimous consent is denied, by a Motion adopted by the majority of the Members voting on the Motion'. Thus, I think, if you didn't, if you didn't announce the result of the Motion to concur, that can be put on Postponed Consideration by unanimous consent, or if there's objection by 89 votes under Rule 61, 62C, 62E. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, 62E allows you to postpone, if you look at it."

Telcser: "O'okay, Representative Pierce, I happen to agree with you. Representative Berman, what do you have to say about it?"



Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I would agree, if you get the Majority voting with him, he can do it."

Telcser: "Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, again I repeat, that since we did.... the Motion to concur failed, I now make a substitute Motion that we do not concur with Amendment #2 of House Bill 2068."

Telcser: "O'kay, is there further discussion? Any other comments on the part of anyone. Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that everybody here should know how they're voting and I think maybe, Mr. Speaker, you ought to tell them what the Motion is, so we know where we stand after all this confusion."

Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, has moved in light of the fact that Representative Ryan failed to get the required 89 votes, Representative Maragos has now offered a Motion that the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608. That the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #2, which is a simple Majority Vote. A message will go back to the Senate to see if they wish to recede from Senate Amendment #2. If they recede, that's final action on their part. If they don't a Conference Committee will be appointed. Are all the Members now apprised of where we are? Is that correct? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, as you had indicated at the beginning of this big magilla, this question will come up a number of times. I think ah.... I certainly would appreciate it and I'm sure the Members of the House could if the Chair would enunciate exactly what the procedure is that we're talking about. Now, as far as I know, in this instance, Representative Ryan moved to concur. He did not receive sufficient amount of votes for that concurrence. He then asked that that question be postponed. Am I right so far? He asked that this question be postponed. Now in order to receive that postponed consideration, he has to receive approval, an 89 vote approval from the House. Where are we on George's postponement?"

Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? I want to answer Representative Peters question."



Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I go back to the Point I made that when a person puts a Motion to Concur and does not receive 89 votes, is it not explicit in that that the House then did not concur and it should go back. Perhaps, if we've got six or seven Members not voting or off the Floor, that a person could get 87 votes to concur. On a nonconcurrency, if those 87 same people stood against a non-concurrency motion, those wishing to nonconcur could possibly not get 88, but there is no way a person could ever get the 89th vote, so it would seem to me that it would be explicit when a Member called for a concurrence, if he does not get the 89 votes, then the Chair must rule that the House nonconcur with the Amendment and send it back to the Senate."

Speaker Blair: "All right, if I can have your attention, we're going to announce a Rule that we think would be equitable under the Rules and then if John, if Mr. Matijevich wants to..... but when you get down to the end of the Session, ah.... there are only so many days left and you've got these concurrences moving back and forth, I think #1, the Sponsor's moving to concur and he doesn't get 89 votes, of course if final passage. Then he has two choices, as I would see it. I think in this Chamber, we've always allowed a Member who has control of the thing that is put to say, to say if he wants to put it on Postponed Consideration. Now if he does that, he ought to keep in mind that he very well might delay final resolving of his problem, because it might be more advantageous for him to quickly get a message back over there to the Senate that the House has not concurred in that particular Amendment and to see if they will recede and if they won't, get it into a Conference Committee quickly so you can get to a final result and I think as a matter of keeping the House Journal clear, that ah..... if the Sponsor does not desire to postpone consideration, that there ought to be a Motion made to nonconcur just to keep the Records straight so we can send the message over there. It's not that the House has failed to concur, but the House does not concur in ah..... the Amendment. All right, now, we'll accept any meaningful suggestions. Mr. Maragos."



Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I reiterate my motion to nonconcur. Now my other question is, I still have it. I want a ruling from the Chair..."

Speaker Blair: "Did...ah...Mr. Ryan's already placed it on postponed and I think now the decision on the basis of what I've announced will be up to him. If he wants to stay on postponed then we'll just leave it there. If he wants to go back to the Senate with it then he can withdraw his...ah...ah...request to put it on postponed."

Ryan: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we had this resolved about ten minutes ago. I will withdraw my motion to put it on postponed consideration. I think the Chair has ruled properly. I will accept...ah...Mr. Maragos's substitute motion and I think we ought to vote on it, let it go up or down and then send it back to the Senate for Conference Committee."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Technically we don't have a substitute motion because that would have to be put before the Roll Call actually started on the...on the main motion. What we do have is just...but we don't want to allow that kind of a motion to be put if the Sponsor on any of these concurrences wants to go on postponed consideration. I think he has a right to do that. Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker. I would be glad to agree with what you said. Except for our record, in the past when we took a motion to concur and it failed and the result was announced. That automatically was considered a nonconcurrence and the message I believe, went back to the Senate without a substitute motion or second motion and I think just for the procedure...ah...what you're doing I think is all right to have a motion to nonconcur and maybe it's a little clearer. Just so the courts won't question if we, if we fail to have that second motion. I think it's always been the case if a motion to concur was made and failed to receive 89 votes that the Speaker would say the House has nonconcurred and it would go back to the Senate. Am I right on that. That's my memory."

Speaker Blair: "Well...ah...the...ah...the Clerk advises the Chair

~~that the form of the message that goes back over is that the House does~~



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

not concur. Right Fred?...Huh?"

Pierce: "Yes and then I think the Senate puts a motion then whether or not to recede...ah...without our need for the second motion, it's cleaner to have that second motion."

Speaker Blair: "I think it really is."

Pierce: "But sometimes it's possible to make that second motion and something's got to happen he doesn't postpone or make that second motion then the Clerk has to send the message anyway back that we failed to concur or we nonconcurrred."

Speaker Blair: "Right. There have been occasion the Clerk advises, that we all know, when motions to concur have been placed on postponed consideration because the Sponsor has wanted to work on it that day and see if he could get a little explanation and maybe he could get the votes and not have to go back and forth."

Pierce: "That's all right. Any matter can be put on..."

Speaker Blair: "Okay we're agreed on that then and...ah...and Mr. Ryan knows if he would prefer rather than trying to get the votes to get the matter into a Conference Committee if that's what it's going to be. Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to ask the Chair before you act on that motion wouldn't it be proper that the Chair declare the previous motion lost so that would be clear on the record?"

Speaker Blair: "Well the...ah...the previous motion was a motion to concur and of course that's final action and would require 89 votes. Ah...so what I would say then is that the Gentleman's motion to concur has failed and now...ah...he's had an opportunity and has withdrawn his request for postponed consideration and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos has moved to...to nonconcur on Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608 and on that Mr. Duff wants to discuss it."

Duff: "Just a question Mr. Speaker. We had a Roll Call on the Board and it was dumped and we never had a message the Clerk would take it off. Are we...on the motion to concur the votes went up on the board, the motion was made to concur to postpone before the Speaker asked the Clerk to take the Roll. So we don't have the Roll Call on that motion to concur. Now do we need a mo...do we need



a Roll Call on the motion to concur or can we go back and do it on a voice vote?"

Speaker Blair: "Well you can't concur on a, you can't use a voice vote on a motion to concur because it takes 89 votes."

Duff: "Well my point is we never took the Roll Call on the motion to concur."

Speaker Blair: "Well wait a minute we took the Roll Call and before it was announced the Gentleman asked to place it on postponed consideration and the practice of the House is that we always dump the Roll Call when the Gentleman makes that request."

Duff: "Exactly. Now...now are we in a situation where we must go, that the motion to concur failed because we're..."

Speaker Blair: "No...No, no we're being more definitive than what occurred there because the motion that's being put is a motion to not concur and that is a simple 'yea' or 'nay' vote. Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "The motion to nonconcur is a nonsubstitute motion. That's all."

Speaker Blair: "Well it's not really a substitute motion because the other question...Well it might be pretty close to it. The Roll Call was dumped and so on that...on that basis why it could be but in any event being a substitute for a main motion now...ah... but Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker I think I'm entitled to a Roll Call vote on a concurrence since that was my motion and if that Roll Call's been dumped I think I'm entitled to that."

Speaker Blair: "Well...ah...Is there a copy of it? All right, the Clerk says that he did not actually take the Roll Call because the...the request was made to postpone before he put the machine into action."

Ryan: "Well Mr. Speaker, may I then ask Mr. Maragos to withdraw his motion, his substitute motion. We'll take another Roll on the concurrence, if that fails then we will go to the...Mr. Maragos's motion and if all of that fails I would like to suggest that we recess till tomorrow morning and give the Attorney General a chance to rule on this."

Speaker Blair: "All right...ah...All right. Here we go. Mr. Maragos asks leave to withdraw his motion. No objection, that's withdrawn



Now we're back to Mr. Ryan who has already withdrawn his motion to postpone and what he really wants now is the motion to concur in Amendment #2 to 2608...ah...pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Now Mr. Ryan do you want to explain your vote so the fellows will remember what it is they're voting on. Now this is the same Amendment that we voted on ...ah...a while ago and it came up about 84 or 5 I think something like that. Huh? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. All right now then, now then Mr. Ryan, we're at that point where you can place it on postponed or I'll, I'll recognize Mr. Maragos."

Ryan: "Well I will, I will yield to Representative Maragos for his nonconcurrance motion."

Speaker Blair: "I, well I couldn't announce it until after he told whether he wanted to postpone. Now, no he's announced that he does not desire to do that so the Gentleman's motion to concur needing 89 votes, has failed, the House has failed to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608. Now Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "At this time Mr. Speaker, I move that we do not concur with Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608."

Speaker Blair: "All right. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Well... All right the...What we're trying to do is to expedite this thing and obviously if you can't get 89 on concurrence then the quickest way to dispose of it for the record is simply to take a motion to not concur but if you're going to go into a Roll Call on that again and you wouldn't do that then the thing is really in limbo and the Members not progressing at all. Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker...ah...a Point of Parliamentary Inquiry."

Speaker Blair: "State your point."



Juckett: "I thought it was within the control of the Sponsor of the Bill whether we concur or nonconcur and I'm wondering why the Gentleman from the other side of the aisle is making the motion."

Speaker Blair: "Well I think, I think rather than go through this that the understanding will be that the, the gentleman has the opportunity to place this matter on postponed consideration...ah... Mr. Ryan did not choose to do this and rather than going to the... to that motion to not concur we'll simply send a message to the Senate saying that the House has failed to concur in the...ah... in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608. Otherwise we could keep going back and forth and keep everything tied up. The Gentleman has the opportunity to protect his position by placing it on postponed if he desires to do that but that's his choice. All right then now, we're, we're all right. We don't need that motion and the...the House has failed, the House has failed to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2608 and there will be a message...Mr. Maragos, do you want to withdraw?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker I with...I withdraw my motion at this time but I have another question for the Rules Committee to decide very, very explicitly Assuming...I...I...I agree with the ruling that by nonconcurring in effect by the fact that the motion failed we are explicitly saying that it's not concurring. My next question though of the Rules Committee is to es...establish a Rule that can we have a substitute motion for nonconcurrence and if that does not get...does that need a majority vote or does it need 89 votes also. That's the question I think the Rules Committee should take up."

Speaker Blair: "...Expedite and we'll just follow it and if we need to make some changes in it why the Rules Committee will be happy to enter...entertain you. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I just want to make sure that we all understand the policy now. That on a motion to concur the Sponsor fails to receive 89 votes or 107 whatever is required on final passage, he has the option of either asking to postpone the vote or if he does not do that the Speaker will then announce the Roll Call and if it is not the Constitutional majority required to pass that Amendment



the Speaker will then announce or send a Message to the Senate that the House has failed to concur in the Senate Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "Right, that...that's the procedure."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Very good. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to thank the Chair and the Members of the House for the expeditious way in which they handled this matter."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Stone, did you get 2274? Mr. Stone did you get 2274? You don't want it? Wel...ah...Mr. Peters on 2264, no. Mr. Deavers no. Mr. Redmond. How about Mr. McCormick, do you want? No. Mr. Taylor. No. Mr. Leinenweber do you want to nonconcur? Don't you want to nonconcur? Huh? Oh. Senate Bill 694 Mr. Soderstrom do you want to nonconcur?"

Soderstrom: "no."

Speaker Blair: "All right...ah...Mr. William Walsh. I guess... All right. Mr. William Walsh. On agreed Motions? Mr. Jaffe. Yea...Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker I have an agreed motion, I cleared it with both sides of the aisle and at this time I would move to suspend Rule 31. I want to take 2102 from the Committee on Rules and place it on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative day."

Speaker Blair: "Does the Gentleman have leave to use the agree...the ...ah..."

Jaffe: "2102, that's the one I talked about."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea.....Does the Gentleman have leave to use the attendance Roll Call then in order to change the Rules so that this Bill may be taken from the Rules Committee and placed on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading, Second Day? Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, is that the proper way to put that motion? Is that the proper motion to put or does it have to go from Rules to Assignment?"

Speaker Blair: "No..."

Shea: "Or...Or does it go right on the motion to carry? Is this the



proper motion? That's all I care about. I have no objection to getting there."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we were operating on, he was moving on the basis of an...of an agreed situation but, that's what he said. Now I... if that's true he can use the...ah...Attendance Roll Call to bring it out on Second Reading, Second Day...ah...because there's more than 107 votes on the Attendance Roll Call. So is there objection to that? All right if not then it will be brought out. Now Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, there will be a meeting of the Rules Committee tomorrow morning at 9:30 in room M-5. Did I say 9:30... ah...the Rules Committee meeting will be at 9:00 in room M-5. Excuse me. 9:00 in M-5 for Rules. The regular session will be at 9:30 and I move now Mr. Speaker that the House do now adjourn until we..."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Don't we have to make a motion to extend the lives of some Bills around here Mr. Walsh?"

Walsh: "Mr. Shea we did not do that, we did not do that Friday you will recall and so those Bills are dead. They have expired on the Calendar and there's no need to make them now. Now of the question of resurrecting them I suppose we can do that tomorrow."

Shea: "No, we made the motion Mr. Walsh, to extend the time of the Bills on the Calendar."

Walsh: "That motion was objected to by Mr. Houlihan."

Shea: "No not that motion.."

Walsh: "That motion. Each and every motion that I attempted to make was objected to by Mr. Houlihan."

Shea: "No sir, you made certain motions, Mr. Walsh, prior to the time that Mr. Houlihan started to object. One of those motions was to extend the life of Bills and Senate Bills and motions on the Calendar and I would before we adjourn so make that motion now if I will be allowed to by the Speaker."

Walsh: "I...I submit to you that you're mistaken. That that motion did not prevail last Friday."

Shea: "Well, then might I ask you this question..."



Walsh: "The Calendar is in error, it's..."

Shea: "Well that's what I was going to say."

Speaker Blair: "Well wait a minute, wait a minute...we're checking on it. Let's check the record to be absolutely sure. We can... we can get ourselves into things and out of them pretty quick or out of them and into them."

Shea: "Well I realize that..."

Speaker Blair: "All right. the Majority Leaders recollection is correct...ah...Mr. Walsh...Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well now Mr. Speaker, I move that the House adjourn until the hour of 9:30 a.m. tomorrow. The Rules Committee is at 9:00 Deacon, I was in error, it's 9:00, that's right...M-5 M-5."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the Motion to adjourn. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Wait a minute. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker...ah...amongst all of the capula, I didn't hear, according to the transcript was the motion that Representative Shea and Representative Walsh talking about not put and carried?"

Speaker Blair: "Right...Okay...Okay. The Clerk has got, still got those Bills on there. They're going to have to go back on and take them off. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well if the record shows that when the Majority Leader made that motion and it was objected to, therefore, not made, I would assume that the Calendar was in error today and that all the House Bills in the House that appear on the Calendar and those that are in Committee are now dead."

Speaker Blair: "Yes I take it that that would be correct. Although I suggest for purposes of the record that...ah...we ask the Clerks Office to have the...ah...girls that do the transcribing to...ah...go to the tape machine and take off the transcription so that we can have that before us tomorrow when we convene the House and that way there will be no question about it at all."

Shea: "But since that..."



Speaker Blair: "Or we'll just have Senate Bills to consider the rest of the Session."

Shea: "Well I was going to say because since before we took the adjournment motion that was not made today even if Mr. Walsh was wrong or right then because we haven't made that motion today the Clerk will print the Calendar tomorrow without House Bills."

Speaker Blair: "Well yeah...unless they're Appropriation or in Revenue unless they're account for the thirty day rule. Unless they're Appropriation or Revenue except if they are caught by the thirty day rule...ah...they, even Appropriation and Revenue expire. Ah...Mr. Jone...Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Ah..Did...Again it's difficult to hear, as you well understand. Did I understand you correctly to say that technically speaking, all of the House Bills on the Calendar then are dead with the exception of Revenue and Appropriation Bills unless the thirty day rule has caught them?"

Speaker Blair: "Well what the Speaker is advising the Clerk to do is to ...ah... go to the Rule and go to the Calendar and make a determination...ah...and check the transcript to see if there was not leave to extend it for one day..ah...Bills, Resolution, other matters that would otherwise die and...ah...if he finds that the transcript should not provide that...ah...then...ah...he should ...ah... act...ah...in accordance with what the Rules provide."

Choate: "Very good."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Announcements Mr. Speaker. The Committee on Higher Education will have a....meet tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. in room D-1."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I might have missed it but can the Chair inform me whether there is a meeting of the Appropriations Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Blair: "No there are no...Mr. Houlihan objected to Appropriations and Executive so there is no meeting tomorrow. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, just an inquiry. Ah...is it....is it my understanding Mr. Speaker, all the House Bills that are on the



Calendar are dead?"

Speaker Blair: "No that was not, that was not what the Chair said. The Chair said that the Clerk would get the transcript to see whether or not the...ah...Majority Leader received leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to extend for one day, which would be today, all matters that would otherwise expire on, on the Calendar. Now the Minute Clerk's minutes, which we got down from upstairs indicate that that leave was not given after Mr. Houlihans objection...ah...Now there are different Rules that apply under the Rules...ah...as far as all House Bills being out of the House by a certain day...ah...all Revenue and Appropriations Bills being exempt in certain areas but not exempt with regard to the thirty day rule and those are simply matters that we will carefully look at...ah...and certainly recognize everybody that the Sponsor of a Bill first thing tomorrow morning to see if we can get that situation ironed out. Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if in fact the transcripts do show that the leave was granted, do we need a motion today to extend it for another day?"

Speaker Blair: "Pardon."

Shea: "We are now adjourned and that motion to extend is not made today so that perhaps no matter what the tapes said on Friday. I'm sure the tape will show today that the Majority Leader put no motions so I'm sure the Calendar will reflect that any motions anything that would have died today, today was the last day and only those Bills that are exempt from a motion to extend the time will be on the Calendar tomorrow."

Speaker Blair: "I...As a matter of fact Mr. Shea the..I put the question...ah...I got a mixed response and at that time you shouted and I did not announce what that mixed voice back was on the question to adjourn so I've never actually formally adjourned the House."

Shea: "Well you took that motion after our discussion. Didn't you? You took it again after our discussion?"



Speaker Blair: "No...No..."

Shea: "Yes sir I think..."

Speaker Blair: "No the only...but...but the fact of the matter is the only motion that's before the House now is the motion to adjourn and I...I have not announced what the Roll Call was on that and it and it seemed to me that it failed now...ah...Now Mr. William Walsh moves that in the event that....Well what we're going to do then is just simply entertain a motion from the Majority Leader that...ah...all matters that are properly on the Calendar that would otherwise expire...ah...can leave be given to extend those matters for one day? Well if they're not, the transcript will show tomorrow morning whether or not they're on there or not. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Might I ask as a substitute motion to adjourn if we make a motion to recess until the hour of 9:30 would we then be in another Legislative Day or would we be in the same Legislative Day? Which would, which would solve the problem of what happened on Friday."

Speaker Blair: "Well I don't think that that would work, let's... let's just take the adjournment again. All those in favor...Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker I don't see how we can make a motion to revise... to keep something alive if we don't know whether it's alive or dead and I think that wouldn't it be better just to adjourn and then check the transcripts and if they are dead make the motion to revive them?"

Speaker Blair: "Well...Well the point was that if the transcript shows that they were extended until today okay, then they're going to be dead tomorrow because we didn't make that motion today. Now that...that's the point..."

Juckett: "Well Mr. Speaker wouldn't there..."

Speaker Blair: "And that's a point that should be well taken but it obviously isn't so we'll..."

Juckett: "Well wouldn't a motion to revive them tomorrow, is not it the same thing?"

Speaker Blair: "No it's 89 votes to get anything back off the table?"



Juckett: "Eighty-nine?"

Speaker Blair: "That's right."

Juckett: "I'm sure that their Bills will get 89 votes."

Speaker Blair: "107. Yeah, well we can find out tomorrow. Okay.

All those in favor of adjourning say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The
House is adjourned."



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: June 23, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.		Speaker Blair	House in Session
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call
		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Blair	SB's, Second Reading
		Choate	
2.		Houlihan	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1285, Second Reading SB-1318 & 1319, Second R. No Committee Amendments
		Shea	
3.		Clerk Celcke	SB-1322, Second Reading SB-1317, 1409, 1486, 1262 SB-1262, no. CA, 2nd R.
		Martin	Lights?
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1333
		Speaker Blair	
		Leon	
	4.		Clerk Selcke
		Leon	Table
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Leon	
		Speaker Blair	Adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1351, 1 CA..
		Kennedy	
		Speaker Blair	
5.		Kennedy	SB-1396...
			SB-1539, 1 CA
		Tipsword	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	Adopted
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1265 SB-1267
		Stedlin	Adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1293
6.		Speaker Blair	SB-1395
		Ebbesen	Hold
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1227
7.		Tipsword	Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	
		Palmer	
		Tipsword	
		Clerk Selcke	Read 1 CA
7.		Tipsword	
		Speaker Blair	SB-1246 on Third
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1284...Second Reading SB-1350...1 CA
		Giglio	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1354 SB-1355 SB-1359 (out) SB-1376 ?? maybe 1476?? SB-1081
8.		Schuster	
		Clerk Selcke	1381, Second R., one CA
		Terzich	
		Speaker Blair)	SB-1394
)	
9.		Clerk Selcke)	SB-1502
		Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1282...one CA
		Jaffe	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	Adopted CA #1 to SB-1282
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1314 McMaster..not there SB-1324 ..CA...Hanahan
10.		Hanahan	Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Hanahan	Amendment #2
		Speaker Blair	
		Washburn	
11.		Hanahan	
		Borchers	Yield?
		LaFleur	
		Hanahan	
		Berman	(out)
12.		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1325 SB-1332 ...Second R...3rd.. SB-1383 SB-1346...3 CA
13.		Flinn	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Blair	
		Flinn	Amendment #2 Amendment #3
		Speaker Blair	All adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#4 out of Record
14.		Speaker Blair	
		Palmer	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		TELCSEK IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1348
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1493...Hold
			SB-1494 and 5...held
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1541 ...out
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	SB-1560
		Calvo	
		Speaker Telcser	
15.		Calvo	TOOR ...maybe
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1567...1 CA
		Stone	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
16.		Clerk Selcke	SB-1212
		Shea	SB-1641
		Speaker Telcser	
		Redmond	Interested in SA #1
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1218
17.		Speaker Telcser	SB-1218, 1243 (out), 1244, 1267, 1272, 1273 ...passed to Third Reading
18.		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hart	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1640 SB-1264 SB-1348...2 CA
23.		Williams	Table #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
24.		Hanahan	
		Speaker Telcser	
25.		Borchers	
26.		Speaker Telcser	
27.		Speaker Telcser	
28.		Tipsword	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Alsup	
		Speaker Telcser	
29.		Williams	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kriegsman	
		Speaker Telcser	
30.		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
31.		Hanahan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
32.		Speaker Telcser	Am. #3 vote fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Hanahan	Table #4



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Kelly)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
33.		Mahar	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #6
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	
34.		Speaker Telcser	Adopted Amendment #7
		Stedelin	
35.		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
36.		Flinn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote....adopted
37.		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #8
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	Amendment #8
38.		Speaker Telcser	
		Mahar	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson)	
39.		Speaker Telcser)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Mann	
		Speaker Telcser)	
40.		Palmer)	Yield?
		Williams	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Neff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	
41.		Speaker Telcser	Vote...Adopted SB-1348
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #9
		Miller	Explains
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	
42.		Murphy	Amendment #10
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1401...1 CA
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1425
43.			SB-1458
			SB-1535
			SB-1548.....1 CA
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1549
		Berman	
44.		Clerk Selcke	SB-1561, 1614, 1618..1 ca.. Passed to Third...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Day	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
45.		Clerk Selcke	SB-1621
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Table Douglas' Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Juckett	
46.		Speaker Telcser)	
		Shea)	SB-1273..put on Second R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1326
		Shea	Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser)	
47.		Lechowicz)	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Shea	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1264
		Lechowicz	Amendment #1
48.		Speaker Telcser)	Adopted
		Barnes)	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz)	
		Barnes)	
49.		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Wolf	
		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1265...1 CA
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1359 SB-1272...1 CA
50.		Madigan	Table Am. #1 Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Madigan	SB-1227
		Flinn	Table Amendment #1
		Shea	
51.		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
52.		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2248
		Philip	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	
)	
		Philip)	
53.		Speaker Telcser	Vote
		Philip	
54.		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Out of Record
		Speaker Telcser	Postponed Consideration
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
55.		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2274 HB-2298...Second Reading
		Maragos)	
)	
56.		Speaker Telcser)	
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote
		Houlihan	HB-2272
57.		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Philip	
		Speaker Telcser	
58		Kosinski	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Simms	Verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	Poll Absentees
		Speaker Telcser	Verification Aff. Roll
59.		Clerk Selcke	Verification Affirm. Roll.
		Speaker Telcser	
60.		Simms)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Houlihan	
61.		Speaker Telcser)	
62.)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
		Simms)	
63.		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	Fails
		Choate	
64.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2401
		Flinn	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote...Concur
			HB-2404



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Flinn	SA #1
		Speaker Telcser	Vote..HB-2505..HB-2346
		Lundy	
65		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
66.		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	
		Speaker Telcser	Out of Record HB-2348
		Boyle	SA- #2 and 6 Not SA 1, 3, 4, 5, 7
		Speaker Telcser	
67.		Schlickman)	
)	
		Boyle)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	
68.		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	
		Boyle	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Porter	
69.		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
70.		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	
		Speaker Telcser	
71.		Porter	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	SA #2
		Waddell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote
		Choate	Out of Record
72.		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
		Ryan	HB-2608...SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Shea)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ryan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
74.		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	Vote...adopts
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2608
75.		Duff	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
76.		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Telcser)	
77.		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Maragos)	
		Speaker Telcser	
78.		Shea	
		Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Pierce)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
79.		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Pierce)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
80.		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	
81.		Shea	
		BLAIR IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Blair)	HOUSE DOES NOT CONCUR
		Maragos)	
82.		Speaker Blair	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Blair	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Blair	
83.		Schraeder	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
84.		Speaker Blair Duff Ryan	
		Speaker Blair)	Vote
85.		Ryan)	
		Speaker Blair	House fails to concur
		Ryan	SA #2 to HB-2608
		Speaker Blair	Fails
86.		Juckett	
		Speaker Blair	
		Maragos	Withdraw motion
		Speaker Blair	
87.		Shea	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Blair	
		Jaffe	
		Speaker Blair	
88.		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Blair	
89.		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	Move House adjourn
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	
90.		Shea	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	
		Peters	
		Speaker Blair	
		Deuster	
91.		Speaker Blair	
		Peters)	
)	
		Shea)	
		Speaker Blair	
		Juckett	
		Speaker Blair	Sp. House adjourned
		Shea	
92.		Speaker Blair	
		Juckett.....	

