

Clerk Selcke: "We'll start just as soon as the Committees finish which hopefully will be shortly."

Speaker Blair: "...start up shortly. Appropriations is out and we're getting Executive and Elementary and Secondary Education, Transportation up here. The House will be in order. The invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. Lord God, Almighty King of Creation, You demonstrate Your power and Your majesty as by means of Your word. You uphold and preserve all things. Give to all of our people a due sense of gratitude for opening Your hand and pouring gifts upon us. And as You banish from us selfishness and pride which would lead us to rely upon our own strength, granted in this moment of mutual quiet, we, according to Your good and gracious will, ask, protect our fields and let the earth yield its increase. Grant peace and prosperity to our people. Let the labors of our people that each may receive the reward of his work. Give protection to our people that they may go about their daily tasks without fear. Guide the Members of this House to seek and to pass equitable legislation. And teach us all to seek Your Kingdom and Your righteousness above all, as we ask it in Your name. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Thank you. Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2825 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 20, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2346 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 20, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following. The passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 1289, 1500 and 1644. Passed the Senate June 20, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2168. Passed the Senate June 20, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Committee Reports. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which House Bill 2686 was referred; recommend the Bills be assigned to the Interim Study Calendar."

Speaker Blair: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1052, Lemke et al. House Resolution 1053, Telcser. House Resolution 1054, Craig et al. House Resolution 1055, Yourell. House Resolution 1056, Juckett."

Speaker Blair: "Are we agreed on the Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Walsh? Mr. Walsh, William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Agreed Resolutions are congratulatory except for House Resolution 1054 which was agreed upon by the leadership on both sides of the aisle. That says that this House strongly urges the United States Congress, the United States Department of Agriculture and all other agencies of government dealing in regulations affecting the quality of meat products to promulgate and enforce standards for imported meat products equal to the standards applied to domestic meats. As I say, that didn't do anything to the leadership, but if it does to anyone of the Members, they are welcome to withdraw it now or hopefully forever hold their peace. And hearing no objection, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question's on the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. All right, we indicated yesterday when we got involved in that motions situation that we would go back to motions today. Is Mr. Giorgi here? Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know if it's the way the mikes are set



or something, but I can hardly hear you out here."

Speaker Blair: "Can you turn this one up a little bit? All right, I said that yesterday when we got involved in that motion situation on Mr. Giorgi's Bill, we indicated that we would go to that order of business and have an opportunity for that motion to be heard. Now, is Mr. Giorgi here and does he want to have that heard now? How about Mr. Lemke, does he want to go with his? Are they in order? You don't have... Sure, put Mr. Lemke on Mr. Sangmeister's. First we'd better clarify which motion do you desire to proceed with. You have two different motions here filed, Mr. Lemke. All right now, let's see. May I see the motions, Mr. Clerk? There are two that have been filled with respect 2487. I'm going to make absolutely clear what happened here. All right now, Mr. Lemke, we want to absolutely precise here about... are you... do you desire to move on your motion with respect to Rule 33(c)? All right now, let's make this point clear and the Parliamentarians that are out there, listen. 33(c), you use that when the Committee's reported out a Bill do not pass. Okay?"

Lemke: "That's right if the Committee made the report."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now then... Mr. Clerk, has that report come in do not pass on 2487? All right, the point I want to make is this, that the motion that you filed requests that the Bill be placed on the Calendar on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Now 33(c) provides that when you come out of, out of 33(c) with 89 votes, you go on Second Reading, First Legislative Day. If you desire to get to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, you're going to have to get 107 votes because 33(c) says that the Subsection may be suspended only upon affirmative vote of 107 Members. I want to make that clear at the outset. The rules says that when a motion to take a Bill from the Speaker's Table ruled during those two days and adopted by an affirmative vote of 89 Members, the Bill shall be placed on the Calendar on the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Now, the purport of your motion would be to really suspend 33(c) if you desire to attempt to get it on Second Reading,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Second Day today. You want to take that out? All right. We'll amend that so it'll just be Second Reading, First Legislative Day and pursuant to 33(c), that takes 89 votes. All right, Mr. Lemke, we're really now. The industrial affairs did report it out do not pass. You're moving pursuant to 33(c). That's notwithstanding you want the Bill to come out on the Calendar and it will require 89 votes. Mr. Lemke, on his explanation."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a motion to discharge the... to take from the Speaker's Table and place on the Calendar on Second Reading, First Legislative Day. This is a motion on a Bill to increase the workmen's compensation benefits 23.8% which is the cost of living. We have increased the interest rate. We have increased... we're talking about increasing our salaries, increasing the employees of government, the judges and I think it's more appropriate to increase the wages of a man that's on a fixed income by statute. And the only way to do that is to have your vote and I urge an 'aye' vote on this matter."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tuerk, I guess. Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "You're right in your guess."

Speaker Blair: "Okay."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, so that everybody in this chamber knows what's going on here, I presume the ruling of the Chair is or the motion has been in conformance with 33(c)."

Speaker Blair: "That's correct. He filed a motion. It's on the Calendar and he is asking for it to come out on Second Reading, First Legislative Day and that requires 89 votes."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose this motion for a number of reasons. First of all, here is a Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that was introduced some two months ago plus... It labored in the Rules Committee for all that time and all of a sudden, for some unknown reason, it did spring out of the Rules Committee less than a week ago. There was a hearing in industrial affairs the night before last. We listened intently to all the testimony relative to this Bill and let me point out to you, Members of the House, that House Bill 2487 is described by the Sponsor as a small and I underline small



Second Day today. You want to take that out? All right. We'll amend that so it'll just be Second Reading, First Legislative Day and pursuant to 33(c), that takes 89 votes. All right, Mr. Lemke, we're really now. The industrial affairs did report it out do not pass. You're moving pursuant to 33(c). That's notwithstanding you want the Bill to come out on the Calendar and it will require 89 votes. Mr. Lemke, on his explanation."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a motion to discharge the... to take from the Speaker's Table and place on the Calendar on Second Reading, First Legislative Day. This is a motion on a Bill to increase the workmen's compensation benefits 23.8% which is the cost of living. We have increased the interest rate. We have increased... we're talking about increasing our salaries, increasing the employees of government, the judges and I think it's more appropriate to increase the wages of a man that's on a fixed income by statute. And the only way to do that is to have your vote and I urge an 'aye' vote on this matter."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tuerk, I guess. Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "You're right in your guess."

Speaker Blair: "Okay."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, so that everybody in this chamber knows what's going on here, I presume the ruling of the Chair is or the motion has been in conformance with 33(c)."

Speaker Blair: "That's correct. He filed a motion. It's on the Calendar and he is asking for it to come out on Second Reading, First Legislative Day and that requires 89 votes."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose this motion for a number of reasons. First of all, here is a Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that was introduced some months ago plus.. It labored in the Rules Committee for all time and all of a sudden, for some unknown reason, it did get out of the Rules Committee less than a week ago. There was a hearing in industrial affairs the night before last. We listened intently to all the testimony relative to this Bill and I would point out to you, Members of the House, that House Bill No. 100 described by the Sponsor as a small and I underline small

cost of living adjustment of merely 23.8%. Now, back in 1971 there was a 20% increase. In 1973, there was a 14% increase and now in 1974, we're suggesting or the Sponsor is, almost 24% increase bringing the total in the last three years to 58% increase. And if you were to compound this, this would be in excess of 60%. Now, I submit to the Members of the House that this is not a small cost of living increase. As a matter of fact, it's much higher than other states... the maximum benefits in Illinois are already higher than those of other states. These figures really don't reflect the true comparison because the other states, namely New York, Indiana and some of the others do not give more than the 66 and two thirds of the actual wages subject to maximum. For example, in Illinois if a man is making \$150 a week, he gets \$150 a week in workmen's comp. benefits. These workmen's comp. benefits are tax free, so in effect, he's doing much better on workmen's comp. benefits than he would on his salary. I point out some of the salient facts of the Bill, namely that each year we're facing these tremendous increases. It's going to cost tremendous amounts of money. I submit to you that the Industrial Affairs Committee looked at all the angles last year and this year and in the wisdom of the Committee, the vote was do not pass on this Bill and I would recommend to this House that the motion to take from the table does not prevail."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "He said it. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Lemke, to close."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, our colleagues say that this is a drastic, not a small increase. Well, let me tell you this. We have raised the interest rates. When a man gets hurt at work, he's not going to be able to make his mortgage payment when he buys that new home. He's not going to be able to work. And if we don't raise his fixed income which is set by statute, where is he going to go? We can't look small to raise our salaries and raise the judge's salaries and raise everybody else's cost of living and not raise this poor mans. I can't help it that through the years there has been laxity in raising the rates to



what the present cost of living is and I urge an 'aye' vote on this matter. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the provisions of House Rule... pursuant to the provisions of House Rule 33(c), House Bill 2480 be taken from the Speaker's Table and be placed on the Calendar on the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no' and this will take 89 votes. Mr. Fary. All right, have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Mr. Gibbs votes 'aye'. Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I see that there are 102 votes on the board. I don't know that that reflects the true vote or not and we may be able to see that at a later time this morning in the immediate future. I would suggest that those people who aren't here be taken off the Roll because I'd be inclined to verify this Roll Call. But in explanation of my vote, I think I was quite clear just a moment ago in pointing out that this is labeled as a small increase of 24%. Now, I submit to you that's not a small increase. I also submit to you in the last three we've increased the benefits by 60% and we're among if not the highest benefit state across the country. We had a good hearing on this. The Sponsor of the Bill lost in Committee. I don't know why we're even having Committee hearings if within 36 hours, we're making motions to take from the table on such important matters such as this. I would request the Membership to reconsider the vote and take the workmen's comp. as well as some other important matters up in the next Session because I feel that the increases at this point are excessive and should not be... this Bill should not be advanced to the Calendar. I would ask the Membership to take a real hard look at this and to reconsider the vote."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would in explanation of my vote, would urge the Members and colleagues here in the chamber on both sides of the aisle to consider what they're doing when they support raises of these kinds. Particularly



in light as Representative Tuerk has mentioned of the raises that have been given in this area over the past three years. Well over 50%, raises. I think that the... those who are designed to benefit from this have already been well taken care of. I think it's a mistake for us to not take a look at this issue as an issue in and of itself and leave judge's raises and legislative pay raise considerations and all of the rest of it aside. Those matters are going to be debated later today I presume; but I think we have to look at this matter of inflation and one of the biggest causes of inflation in this country today is government spending. And here is a prime example of what feeds the fires of inflation which in turn becomes the greatest enemy of the working man and those on fixed incomes and others when they see the value of their dollar constantly eroded, their money worth less and less and it is due to the fact principally because government cannot stop and will not stop its spending, floating more money where we have not a commensurate production of goods and services."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Fary. Let me explain on the... when your mike goes off. It goes off when the two minute expires automatically. I don't control that and I think since it's coming down during the closing days, we're going to have to hold to the rules on the two minutes. Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, am a Member of that Committee and the context of this particular Bill is very laudible. Now, had we a full compliment at that Committee which we did not have. There were several Members missing who were very sympathetic toward Lemke's cause. There's no doubt in my mind that this Bill would have come out of the Committee do pass. And for that reason, I ask that we support Mr. Lemke in his motion."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the motion before this House at this time is not the merits of the Bill. It's the motion to discharge the Committee. Now, for some of the new Members down here, I would like to explain that the Committee system is



most important to us because unless you want to consider three, four thousand Bills on the Floor of this House every year, that's why we have these Committees to have hearings and to listen to the debates to cut down the amount of Floor time. And I notice a lot of the newer Members, of course, are inclined to vote on the merits of the Bill but that is not the question before the House at this time. In addition, I am shocked and dismayed to see other Committee Chairmen voting to discharge the Committee. Everyone in this House, I suppose someday you'll look towards the time that possibly you will chair a Committee and you will expect that the Committee system to be upheld and I would certainly ask to reconsider some of those green lights."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I'm a Member of the Committee in question. Mr. Lemke in my opinion has every reason to get consideration from this House at this time. Circumstances developed that caused this Bill to be killed on strictly a party line and I think that's most unfair. I believe if ever a Bill was deserved to be taken from the Committee, this is it and I would suggest that we keep the 102 votes there and get on with the business."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ebbesen? No. Any further explanations? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Who? Oh, you've taken it. On this question, there are 102 'ayes', 31 'nays' and... Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise with some reluctance to do this, but on such an important matter I would ask for a verification at the proper time."

Speaker Blair: "All right, well now's the proper time and do you want to poll the absentees to start with? Okay, Mr. Tuerk would like to poll the absentees and then we will verify the affirmative vote. Well, give us a... there have been additional votes that are not on the board. Mr. Clerk, give us a starting tally, will you please?"

Clerk Selcke: "At the present time the count is 104 'ayes', 33 'nays', 6 'present'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt, Borchers."



Speaker Blair: "Borchers... Bluthardt 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt what?"

Speaker Blair: "Bluthardt 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Borchers, Bradley, Campbell, Carter, Cunningham."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, Mr. Clerk. Campbell 'aye'. Borchers 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Cunningham, Day, Deuster, Douglas, Dyer, Epton, Fleck, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Harpstrite, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hyde, Katz, Kent, Klosak, Mahar, McAuliffe, Molloy, Murphy, Pappas, Porter. Schlickman, Schraeder, Soderstrom."

Speaker Blair: "Porter 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Soderstrom, Telcser, VonBoeckman, Walters."

Speaker Blair: "VonBoeckman 'aye'. Schraeder 'aye'. All right, the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, at this point it appears there's no need for a verification. I, therefore, drop the verification."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Roscoe Cunningham 'aye'. All right... the question is how many. 108 'ayes', 36 'nays', 6 'present' and the Gentleman's motion prevails and House Bill 2487 will be placed on the Calendar on the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. All right now, let's go to Mr. Giorgi now and take care of his situation. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Am I to understand that the Gentleman's motion to take from the table and place on the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day prevailed?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah."

Shea: "All right, now I think he's got a further motion."

Speaker Blair: "Well, he's not recognized for a further motion. I'm taking motions that are on the Calendar and printed there. Now, we have handled his piece of business that's on the Calendar. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I'd appreciate the same Roll Call. House Bill 2480 that is on the Calendar to take from the table and place on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day deals with House Bill 2480 which deals with the unemployment compensation schedule. This Bill provides two important things. One,



it removes the waiting week from a person that's unluckily found himself without employment and it increases the compensation schedules by 11%. Now, I know we're all familiar with what's appearing on the front page of the Tribune today, Sun Times and the Journal about the prestigious Committee that studied wages and found that some of our people deserve a 27% wage increase. And think they're talking about a 20% wage increase for lesser people. I think in this instance where we're talking about a person that can't in many instances find a job, we're talking about a guy that's down in his luck, we're talking about a person that has to keep paying rent, keep buying, paying on his automobile, keep paying high prices for gas; we're talking about a fellow that really needs a break from the heart of this General Assembly. So, I'm asking for your support to support House Bill 2480."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're getting off to a great start today I can see this. We have this motion. Here again this Bill was heard just 36 hours ago. It came out of the Committee do not pass. There was some reference made that this did not get a fair hearing by most of the Committee. I would submit to you that 16 out of the 18 Members of the Committee were present. It came out do not pass on a 9-7 vote. The fact remains it did come out do not pass for many, many reasons. Let me explain to you the most important reason why it came out do not pass. There's one provision of this Bill which would eliminate and I say eliminate the one week waiting period. Now, the Sponsor of this Bill had a similar Bill in by itself last year. It went down to defeat because frankly, the Sponsor proposed that this would clean up a lot of administrative problems connected with unemployment comp. Now, this is not true. What this would do would be to eliminate the one week waiting period when a person is unemployed and therefore, he would start drawing benefits from day one upon being une Now, this would according to the 1972 experience would cost the State of Illinois \$9,000,000. This one proposal in and of itself. Now, in addition to that, the benefit structure



of this Bill proposes to increase unemployment comp. benefits by 12%. Now, I submit to this Body that last November, last November, just about six months ago, a 16% increase went into effect. Now, you may recall arguing this proposal on the Floor of the House last spring. The Sponsor and organized labor came in last year with a Bill proposing for a 25% increase. This was a very unrealistic increase. We were able to sit down and work out a compromise where it was a reasonable increase of 16% which went into effect in November. Now, we're here on June of 1974 asking for an additional 12% which would increase the benefits some 28%, simply interest and amounting to about a 32% increase compounded. The unemployment tax rate is the highest in the history of this state, Ladies and Gentlemen; and this is going to cause tremendous hardships on the employers in this state, particularly the small businessman and we have a number of those people sitting right on the Floor of this House. I would submit to this Body that this did get a good hearing the other night. It came out do not pass and I would ask the Membership to vote 'no' on this motion to take from the table."

Speaker Blair: "Mr... this is a motion to take from the table, it's not debatable and it's been the custom of the Chair to allow the proponent of the motion to take from the table to argue and one person from the other side of the issue and we have done. You'll have an opportunity on the Roll Call to explain your votes, so Mr. Giorgi to close."

Giorgi: "Just for a moment, Mr. Speaker. I don't think I said in my earlier remarks that I wasn't treated courteously and fairly by the Committee. They were very courteous and very fair and they were very kind to my two star witnesses, Stanley Johnson of the A.F. of L.-C.I.O. and Dee Gibbon of the U.A.W.; but the speaker did allude to some figures as to what it costs. If the one week waiting period is enacted into law, it's estimated it would cost \$9,000,000 to the entire State of Illinois for anyone unemployed. In my County of Winnebago last year, in my County of Winnebago, the farmers received over \$8,000,000 not to plow any crops. That's in my County of Winnebago. Last year, unemployment



compensation cost us \$200,000,000 in the State of Illinois and the trust fund is over \$460,000,000 and our total state income is over \$50,000,000,000. It's cost us the magnificent sum of one half of one per cent to take care of the poor people that can't buy a job. I urge your support of House Bill 2480."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall House... or shall the Gentleman's motion prevail. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Explanation of votes. Mr. Roscoe Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since we have abandoned the struggle against inflation by common consent, there was some logical basis for the prior Bill; but there can be no basis whatever for voting for this very bad Bill. Organized labor's leadership couldn't be wronger if it tried in reflecting the will and the best interest of its members in urging an ever increasing of the sweetening of the pot for unemployed compensation. If we put any more money in that process, it'll be utterly impossible whatever to obtain people to work. The unhappy truth is that many people are unemployed now because they find it more profitable to collect unemployment benefits than get out and get the jobs that are available everywhere. I urge you to show your independence by standing on your hind legs and voting 'no' against this very bad Bill. And in so doing, you'll be striking a lick for the economic system upon which the well-being of this country depends. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Mr. Hanahan. Mr. Giorgi. Your proposal... I understand that, but I'm going to look at the motion."

Giorgi: "Under 33(c)."

Speaker Blair: "All right, well, yeah... we changed, Mr. Hanahan said we changed both Mr. Lemke and your motion to Second Reading, First Legislative Day. That takes 89."

Giorgi: "Thank you, sir."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? On this question there are 102 'ayes', 39 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion prevails and this Bill will be placed on the... Mr. Tuerk."



Tuerk: "At this point, I request a fiscal note on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, let the Journal reflect that the Gentleman requests a fiscal note. Now, Mr. Kempiners. Where's Mr. Kempiners? Do you want... leave to table your motion to take every Bill on the Spring Calendar and return... just a second. You want to... Yeah, okay. Mr. Kempiners on his motion."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think this motion of mine is a good motion but it's about three months too late, so I will be practical and save of the time of the House and ask that it be tabled."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman have leave to table the Bill? Hearing no objection, it will be tabled. Mr. Hart, how about your 2474 there? Let's see that motion. Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this motion is actually to suspend the rules of this House to let me file a motion and the Bill, 2474, is a very important Bill to the whole State of Illinois. It's the Bill that would provide for intermitent control systems on sulfer dioxide emissions. The Bill, 2474, has 50 of you in this House as Cosponsors and the Rules Committee wouldn't let it out and I didn't file the motion to discharge it from Rules Committee within the time allowed by Rule 31, so what this motion is is to suspend that portion of Rule 31 to allow me to then file the motion to discharge the Rules Committee and assign the Bill to a standing Committee. And even though it's late in the Session, I think the Bill has a very important context to it and I would like to have at least have the Bill assigned to a standing Committee and see where we go from there. So, I would appreciate the support of the House in this motion to suspend the rules so that I may then file a motion to discharge the Rules Committee. It's a very important Bill. We... yesterday, we advanced to Second Reading without reference and without hearing or anything else the bipartisan administration proposals on the coal and I think that we ought to try to hear this Bill or have it here for us to know about and hear about in connection with the administration's coal package because although I support in general what the Governor's



trying to do for the coal industry, I feel that his programs are long range and that we have some real important problems in the sort range which need to be addressed; we need to address our attention to, to House Bill 2474. So, I would appreciate the support of the House in my motion to suspend the rules."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is one of the few Bills that the Rules Committee stood up on in recent weeks and I certainly hate to see us lose it. Now, Mr. Speaker, if the Gentleman felt very strongly about this Bill I would think that he would've filed timely the motion to take from the Rules Committee and not taken this route, that of suspending the rule which this House approved not more than 30 days ago dealing with the question of Bills in this Session. As we have seen with the last two Bills and since I want people to oppose this motion, I'm not going to comment on them; but if they did nothing else, they did clutter up the Calendar. We've got two more Bills on the Calendar than we would've had otherwise and I submit to you that since this Bill apparently doesn't mean a whole lot to the Sponsor or he would file timely and since if his motion prevails, then in all likelihood, the Rules Committee will be discharged again; and since that will contribute to the logjam that we're heading into, I urge you to vote 'no' on the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, to close."

Hart: "Well, I don't understand why the Majority Leader cannot refrain from taking a cheap shot at every thing that goes on on this Floor in that regard. This Bill is just as important to me and the coal industry and the coal miners as the Governor's package of Bills that went to him and I resent that kind of a comment. We don't all know where we're going every day and I didn't know where we were going on this Bill, but I know now and I would appreciate the opportunity to file a motion to have it referred to a standing Committee."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the Gentleman's motion



prevail which is to take House Bill 2474... from the Committee on Rules and place it into the Committee on Assignment of Bills. This is a motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 311(e) and will required 107 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Ralph Dunn."

Ralph Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to join as the second Sponsor of this Bill. I'd like to join with Representative Hart in asking that we have enough votes on this so that the Bill can be considered. It's important to the coal industry, it's important to the people of Illinois, and it's important to all of us and I can't understand why we have the problem that we have. We should have been able to consider this Bill quite a long while ago and I urge an 'aye' vote on herefore, Representative Hart and for the people of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted... Mr. Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's something that I can't understand for the life of me. Illinois coal is burned in every state around us and is permitted. I'll only give you one example of what I mean. Down in Keenesburg in White County, they're putting in a great big coal mine that is going to furnish coal for a power plant to furnish Indiana with power. Now, they would a whole lot druther of built that power plant in Illinois, then we would receive that labor; but instead of that, they're building it right across the river where the smoke blows into us anyways. Let's be practical, folks. Let's don't starve Illinois out of selling their own coal within their state. We need it and we need it badly and I'd sure appreciate an 'aye' vote on this."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, Mr. Walsh. You're certainly entitled to that, sir. You want to poll the absentees, Mr. Walsh?"

Walsh: "I don't care to."

Speaker Blair: "All right, let's just verify it. Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well, I guess we ought to poll the absentees. I don't... this matter seems to be very important to the Majority Leader and I want everybody in the House to know that it is very important



to me, too, because of his comments on the Floor to the contrary."

Speaker Blair: "All right, you're entitled to request a poll prior to the verification. So, would the Clerk poll the absentees?"

Clerk Selcke: "Barry."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. D'Arco, for what purpose do you rise?"

D'Arco: "Order, Mr. Speaker. I believe you said it was a motion to suspend the Rules of 311(e)."

Speaker Blair: "Right."

D'Arco: "And I think you meant 311-611(e)."

Speaker Blair: "The rule is 311(e), 311(e) is not in your rule book because it was just adopted this year."

D'Arco: "I thought the appropriate rule for, to suspend the rules of the Rules Committee was in the 611(e) provisions and I am incorrect, is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "Right, it... we adopted House Resolution 813 when we came back into Session this year and that provided a limiting device for what was going to be considered this year. The Gentleman has properly moved under that rule to suspend it for the purpose that he's requested. Now, are you polling the absentees? All right, read the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Barry, Berman, Campbell, Carter, Chapman, Day, Deavers, Douglas, Dyer, Epton, Farley, Fleck, Friedland, Gibbs, Griesheimer, Harpstrite, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hyde, Jaffe, Juckett, Katz. Juckett 'aye'. Juckett 'no'. Katz, Kelly, Kempiners, Klosak, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino. Leinenweber votes 'no'. Lundy, Macdonald, Mahar, Matijevich. Matijevich 'aye'. McAuliffe."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Mahar."

Clerk Selcke: "McCourt, McLendon, Kenny Miller. Kenny Miller 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Gibbs says 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Murphy, North. North 'aye'. Pappas, Polk or excuse me, Randolph, Rose, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Timothy Simms, Tuerk, Wall. Wall 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Wall 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Richard Walsh, Walters, J.J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Hill."



Hill: "I'd like to change my vote from 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Change Mr. Hill from 'present' to 'aye'. Mr. Kelly 'aye'. Mr. Campbell 'aye'. Have all voted who wished now? Maragos. Maragos goes from 'aye' to 'present'. Mrs. Geo-Karis goes from 'aye' to 'present'. All right, where are we, Mr. Clerk, before we start out here? Mr. Barry 'aye'. Barry 'aye'. We're starting with 116 'ayes', 12 'nays', 7 'present'. Mr. William Walsh. All right, Mr. William Walsh withdraws his request. On the Gentleman's motion with respect to House Bill 2474, prevails. House Bill 2587. Mr. Roscoe Cunningham. All right, Mr. Roscoe Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, does the Clerk read the Bill or what do we do there, Speaker? What's the..."

Speaker Blair: "He just... it's the motion with respect to House Bill 2587. Go ahead."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is another worker's Bill. I hope everyone noticed that the Cosponsor of the Bill is Representative Harold Katz. What's involved here is the loophole in the Workmen's Compensation Act. The loophole makes it possible for insurance companies often to avoid the responsibility of providing benefits for an injured employee. Section 19 of the Act provides that if one is an employee of a subcontractor who is uninsured, the primary contractor is liable for the payments of the benefits of the injured employee, but the catch is that after you get judgement against the primary, the subcontractor and you find that he's uninsured, you have to go back and start a new proceeding against the contractor himself. So, the intent of the statute is avoided. Now, we don't throw any rocks at the Rules Committee. We missed the hearing. It was held in the dark of the night and we didn't have any adequate notice as to where they were holding the particular meeting. Neither I nor Representative Katz were there to, was there to defend that very, we thought, a very fine Bill. I urge you to accept my assurances that this Bill is in the interest of every injured employee in the State of Illinois. It is entitled to the priority that we seek for it. I'm sure that if either of



us had been there before the Rules Committee, it would've been granted. We ask you to overlook our negligence on that point and we would appreciate your recognizing that it is an idea worthy of your enthusiastic support. So, we solicit upon that basis your green vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right, okay, is there... Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask the Sponsor here a question. Will you yield?"

Cunningham: "Surely."

Keller: "Roscoe, I'd like to know, do you have Clyde Choate in your pocket on this vote?"

Cunningham: "I have never been about to get that distinguished Gentleman in my pocket, but I'm still trying."

Keller: "Or are you in his pocket on this one?"

Cunningham: "Only in the vest."

Keller: "I just wanted to know how to vote on it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman care to close?"

Cunningham: "No, except to reassure my seatmate, asked if it made the general contractor automatically liable. The answer is 'no'. It takes no advantage of the general contractor. It merely clarifies the law. If you don't make this change that we seek here, it creates a loophole for the insurance company to avoid its responsibility for which it collects the premium. Believe me when I say that, it's rather a technical point but it is very necessary if you're going to give full affect to the workmen's compensation statute."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question... the Chair's already enunciated on motions to take from the table that it's not debatable and that we have one... the person that's making the motion explain it, one person from the other side, then go back and close. Mr. Cunningham closed. I'll be happy to recognize you on explanation of vote. The question is shall the Gentleman's motion with respect to House Bill 2587 prevail. All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Now, Mr. Dunne. Mr. Robert Dunne. Have all... Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "In explaining my vote, I'm going to ask a rhetorical question



of the Sponsor of the Bill because I am in favor of it. If the Sponsor of the motion would please listen, if the Sponsor of the motion would please listen. Don't charge me, Mr. Speaker, until I get an answer from the Sponsor of the motion."

Speaker Blair: "I can't stop the clock."

Maragos: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor of the motion a rhetorical question in my explanation of my vote."

Speaker Blair: "He said it was a rhetorical question, so when..."

Maragos: "The rhetorical question that I have is that..."

Speaker Blair: "...Mr. Cunningham... he can give a rhetorical answer."

Maragos: "That's right."

Speaker Blair: "Right, go ahead,"

Maragos: "The rhetorical question that I have for the Sponsor is what if the general contractor does not have proper and adequate insurance, what takes place then. He's still liable, isn't he?"

Cunningham: "The answer..."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, wait a minute. You've got to get your clock started. Mr. Cunningham's on explanation of vote."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in rhetorical reply to the inquiry, it does not increase the liability of the general contractor who is uninsured and I wanted to clarify a point that my friend, Representative Dunne, raised. He pointed out that in one breath, I was saying uninsured and then I was saying premiums. The sub-contractor was uninsured, but the general contractor was insured, Robert. No deception involved. We do appreciate your vote if we had a few more green ones."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? All right, the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes' and one 'nay' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Mr. Hanahan, how about your 2594? All right, Mr. Hanahan asks leave to table the motion with respect to House Bill 2594 and 2650. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, that'll be done. Now, Mr. Richard Walsh, is he here? He wants to take that out of the record? No, not 2840. Mrs. Dyer, is she here? Mr. Neff. You want to try on 2880? Let's see that, Mr. Clerk. On 2880 now? Your motion with respect to 2880? All right, the Gentleman



is moving under 311(e) that House Bill 2880 be taken from Rules and assigned by the Committee on Assignment of Bills. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

In this motion there's regard to House Bill 2880. Now this measure is recommended by the Subcommittee of the Illinois Transportation Study Commission which was appointed to investigate the recent C.T.A. accident. Now, under current laws, bus drivers and taxi drivers are subject to the same criminal penalties as the general public, if they are convicted operating their vehicle while intoxicated or under the influence of narcotics or drugs. However, due to the fact that a train is not considered to be a vehicle within the meaning of the Illinois Vehicle Code, it is currently not a criminal offense for a C.T.A. motorman and engineer of a commuter and inner-city passenger train to operate their vehicle without intoxicating, while intoxicated or under the influence of liquor. House Bill 2880 is an effort to immediately rectify this situation by making it unlawful for anyone operating or in physical control of any fixed railroads, convenience utilized for transferring members of the general public within the state to be intoxicated or under the influence of liquor or drugs. Persons convicted for violating the provisions of House Bill 2880 would be subject to imprisonment for up to one year and a fine of \$1,000 or both. The provisions of 2880 are identical to those currently in effect with respect to the general public while driving a motor vehicle, taxi cab or bus drivers with one exception. Since chiefly motormen and engineers are not currently required to have a driver's license or to be otherwise licensed by the state, House Bill 2880 does incorporate, does not incorporate an inside content teacher relative to the removal of license for refusal to take a blood test. Now, Mr. Speaker, I think this is real important. This legislation, as you know, many of us have been reading in the papers, on the news and receiving letters from the accidents that have happened on C.T.A. We had three accidents within a month and some of 'em quite serious. We're not accusing anybody of being, drinking while



on the job, but this has been brought out. There is drinking and I say this, Ladies and Gentlemen. If there isn't a drinking problem on the motormen on driving these, it isn't going to hurt 'em. I don't think this hurts anybody if they're innocent and therefore, I think this is an important enough Bill that I would like to see it brought out on the Floor for a vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Shea, you're on the other side of the issue."

Shea: "I'm trying to find out, I see this says on the Calender it's House Bill 2880, Neff. And then it says, First Legislative Day. Would you explain that to me?"

Speaker Blair: "I don't... All right, it appears that actually there were two motions filed by the Gentleman in connection with 2880. One which had been filed prior to yesterday which was determined not to be applicable to the situation that he wanted to resolve. The second motion was filed yesterday and the rule does say that the motion shall be carried on the Calendar for one day before it may be acted upon. So, it would appear that we'll have to... Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, the same situation existed with respect to House Bill 2480. Now, there was an agreement between both sides that Representative Giorgi's Bill or motion and Representative Neff's motion would be considered today despite the fact that they were not on the Calender for two Legislative Days and I would ask the leadership on the other side to harken back to that time and also keep in mind that Representative Tuerk did not raise that question today, nor did I on Representative Giorig's Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Now, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I'm wondering if the Gentleman would take this out of the record momentarily while we discuss it."

Speaker Blair: "I think we'll leave it in the record while you discuss it."

Shea: "Fine."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the... I understand there is agreement and the Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff, asks leave to use the



attendance Roll Call to suspend the provisions of Rule 311(e) that would require it to be on the Calendar one Legislative Day. If no objection, that matter's now cleared up and Mr. Neff may proceed on the merits. Now let's see, you were finished on the merits, I guess. Now, who's going to be the one person that's going to speak on the other side of the issue? Are the spokesman, Mr. Berman, on the other side? Are the spokesman on the other side of the issue. We're just going to recognize one person on the other side of the issue."

Berman: "I had an inquiry as to the nature of the motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Oh."

Berman: "If the motion prevails, where is the Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "Committee on Assignment of Bills. All right, now who's the spokesman, the one spokesman? Mr. Hanahan, on the other side? Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm opposed to the motion to move a Bill of this nature at this time for consideration in this General Assembly based on many reasons; but specifically that to make a crime out of a usage..."

Speaker Blair: "All right, one moment please. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, arise?"

Choate: "Just a point of inquiry and for the information of the Members who might not know the reason for the Speaker's requesting one person to speak. I assume that I'm right. I assume the reason that you pointed out the Membership that there would only be one person speak on this side of the aisle on the issue is simply because, as I understand the rules, it's a nondebatable motion and you are giving the courtesies of the Members over here to have one person voice any objections they might have, is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, yeah. We've said earlier today that on these motions where they're nondebatable, that we allow the Sponsor, of course, to explain why he's making the motion and then the House has always proceeded on the basis there ought to be an opportunity on the other side; but since it's not debatable, then we come back and let him close and that's been a pretty workable manner and anybody else, of course, will be recognized on explanation



of vote. Mr. Hanahan, go ahead."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, one of the features that this Bill encompasses is not people who have in any way, shape, or form been accused or have had any input and any commission hearing, a provision that would make it a criminal offense if they in any manner were intoxicated or under the drugs in the usage of railroad equipment. Now, there certainly has been enough notoriety of an accident in the City of Chicago where there has been allegations made that the motormen of a Chicago Transit Authority vehicle was under the influence of either drugs or under the influence of alcohol. But at no time did the hearing bring into its concept what happens if an engineer on a railroad train happened to be under the influence of alcohol. There was no input on... in the discussion that no state in the United States is there similar legislation as been provided under House Bill 2880. No state of the Union has this kind of legislation. If this Bill in a weird sense were expanded, I could see where elevator operators riding up and down the Sears Tower that were under the influence of alcohol or drugs could be brought before the bar on a criminal offense. I think that the Bill, the intention of the Bill may have a lot of merit. It's the manner of the Bill, it's the written word that we have to vote on, not the isolated incidents of alcoholism that create a state law that would be expanded into many areas that the Sponsor, I'm sure, did not intend to have it expanded in. Everyone agrees that alcoholism is a disease. It is a sickness. It is not necessarily a criminal offense. Certainly, we recognize that the Motor Vehicle Laws takes into account those vehicles that are used on highways, those vehicles that are used on biways and small town roads; but nowhere has the motor vehicle been construed to mean railroad cars anymore than it meant, was meant to construe to mean elevators going up and down the Sears Tower. I think this Bill should have further study. I think the Rules Committee was right in not passing it out at this limited Session. I think that a little greater input by those who would be affected may bring about better legislation to cure and to help alleviate any person who is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

intoxicated or under the influence of drugs from operating any type of vehicle; but if we were to say that no farmer, no agricultural implement driver going down a township road should have had medication or no farm implement driver should have had any alcoholic beverage when he drives his tractor across a highway and that he should be somehow held responsible under the criminal statutes, I'm sure that the Sponsor of this Bill would want more input into the saying of the legislation that would create that kind of law. I just suggest that it's premature, that the Railroad Brotherhood who are going to be affected by this have not had their hearing, have not had their day in court, so to speak, on an input of this Bill and they ask for a 'no' vote on this motion to move to Second Reading this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, taking the last speaker's remarks I think they're... rather out of place in this respect. Now if he says there's no proof that there's been accident caused by motormen and so forth drinking, if there isn't a problem there... this Bill isn't going to hurt those people. It isn't going to hurt any railroad operator or engineer if they don't have a problem. If there is a problem, it is going to help. I think this Bill is an emergency Bill and I think it should be considered right this second because the Investigating Committee which we were appointed, six of us appointed on, were strongly... supported this Bill. Representative Garmisa was a Cosponsor of it as well as several leaders on both sides of the aisle. I think it is important that this Bill be considered because it isn't going to hurt anyone. Why should the taxi drivers that haul passengers or the bus drivers come under a different regulation than the C.T.A. motormen and so forth. I think it's ridiculous to look at it. I think this is an oversight on our laws that we haven't had something on the books a long time ago and I think it's very important now that we bring this matter up and put this law on the books. I would appreciate a favorable vote on this motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the Gentleman's motion



be adopted with respect to House Bill 2880. What purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, arise?"

Berman: "I don't believe this Bill has been printed, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Well, what is the... what rule are you proceeding under? I don't know of any requirement with respect to a motion to suspend so a Bill may be taken from Rules Committee and put into the Committee on Assignments that requires the Bill to be printed. Well, why don't we wait until we finish this question? Yeah, he took it out of the record though. He doesn't want to call it. Sure, that's what I've said and he can't cite a rule that requires it... All right, we'll move on, Mr. Berman. Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I have a point of order and I believe the Sponsor of the motion gave a presentation concerning what he thought was just and proper concerning this particular Bill, and it may be so. I don't doubt his presentation that he gave, but how can any of us know whether or not it is so if the Bill is not available for us to know what's in it. I think that's the question that's here. We don't know what's in the Bill and we are only going on the presumption of what we're being told by a Sponsor that's trying to waive a rule to move the Bill. I think we need to know what's in it before we can make a discernative decision on whether or not we should even take action. We cannot and..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, that's all very good and proper but would you cite me a rule? We've been advancing Bills from time to time when they're introduced and read a First time to Second Reading without reference to Committee and the Bills are not printed."

Barnes: "Well, I believe that we've been doing that in such a fashion that the Chair hasn't been asking whether or not there was objections to those actions be taken."

Speaker Blair: "No, no, you have to have affirmative votes to do that. If you can cite me a rule, I'll be glad to consider it. Well, I don't think you're going to find one and I'm not going to hold up the House while you're looking. Now, the Gentleman has placed the motion and that is to suspend the provisions of Rule 311(e) so that House Bill 2880 may be discharged from the Rules Committee



and put into the Committee on Assignment of Bills. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First of all, I can certainly understand the concern of the distinguished Gentleman from Cook in not having the Bill in front of him but all of us that have worked down here at least the four years that I've been here with Representative Neff, I think know that Representative Neff has always explained things quite adequately and I don't think anybody has every doubted his intentions or his word. And so, I don't have the Bill in front of me although I do have an explanation I know was handed out and if nothing else, my respect for the Sponsor would lead me to believe he's told it very accurately: Now, in response to the distinguished Member of the other side of the aisle who presented a parade of horrors, that's all it really is is a parade of horrors. Representative Neff is not trying to apply this Bill except to one particular area at this stage of the game. Of course, that involves these motormen and engineers who are not covered simply because they happen to be driving or handling a train instead of a bus or taxi cab. And it doesn't seem to me that it's fair to impose certain liabilities on one group of people and then say that another group happens to be exempt because they come under another form of transportation. I think this is an excellent Bill. I don't think there's a thing wrong with it and it troubles me somewhat that somebody would try and get a certain group of people out from under it. There's either a problem there that they don't want to take care of or don't want to admit and, frankly, that's the kind of problem that we should be addressing ourselves to. So, I think we ought to give Representative Neff the same number of votes that they're giving everybody else in their motions here this morning and get this Bill advanced to where it should be."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I'm speaking in opposition to this motion for the simple reason that I think that this legislation is,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

perhaps this proposal is perhaps premature. There must have been five different groups investigating this accident in Chicago and I haven't seen any proof that the engineer in question was either influenced by drugs or was intoxicated. And I, for one, would like to know why we, this legislature... Legislature, has to rush in creating a law in which we have 49 other states and not one of them has legislation of this type. I would like to see a thorough study made based upon whatever their findings are and then draft legislation to meet this need. I don't believe that the Members of our Legislature who, I think, made one or two trips up to Chicago and heard some evidence have enough information to draft legislation of this magnitude. And all I know is that the C.T.A. eventually fired the person in question and they're not sure that he's not going to be able all of his back pay because so far if there was evidence that it wasn't a mechanical failure, otherwise we probably would have known about it by know; so, I think this legislation is premature and I also think that before we're asked to vote upon a Bill, we ought to know what's in it."

Speaker Blair: "Now, Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to supplement the last Gentleman's arguments and the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan, I might point out that they don't have this kind of law for the interstate run between California and Hawaii either. The point is that there are no rails in many of the states, there's no commuter transportation by rail in most of the 50 states. We have much of it in the metropolitan area. We could have this apply to Cook County and it would be really sufficient, but we need it here. Everybody I've talked to is surprised that we don't have a penalty for running a commuter train or L train without... or driving that or operating that while under the influence of drugs or under the influence of alcoholic liquors. Now, it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, this is... instead of being premature is as has been said before on many Bills, long overdue. This is very important and I might make one other partisan remark and I would ask to be forgiven by the Gentleman on the other side; but it seems to me that when they



have a motion dealing with a Bill, the substance of it doesn't really matter very much. It's who is making the motion and not the substance of the motion. They get 117, 118. We have one of our distinguished Members, the Chairman of our Transportation Committee, the Chairman of the Republican Conference and the best he is able to do on a very excellent motion is get 62 votes. I ask you on the other side of the aisle if you think this is fair. If you don't, give the Gentleman a vote and then consider the Bill later on its merit as I hope you'll do some of the Bills they have discharged earlier."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, as I read the synopsis, all this Bill provides is that if you're driving a public conveyance by rail and you are intoxicated, you will suffer the same penalty you would suffer if you were a private person driving a passenger car. I think the Bill should go to Committee. I think it should be studied. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I voted for every Bill to spend the people of the State of Illinois' money to subsidize the R.T.A, the C.T.A. I voted for the Bills to spend the people of Illinois' money to spend, to start and R.T.A. Now, these same peoples of Illinois should be given a fair chance for this Investigative Committee to work and report how their dollars are being spent. What kind of dedicated people they're spending these dollars on. Also, the good, hard-working, dedicated people of the C.T.A. and the R.T.A. should have their names cleared and this Investigative Committee will serve that purpose. Therefore, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in rising to explain my vote on a Bill that certainly should be looked into by all of the House as to whether or not people should be driving trains, running trains when they're under the influence of intoxicating liquors or narcotics is certainly a situation that should be considered by the whole House and I urge everyone to



give this fine Bill an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my vote, I'm astonished here that there isn't more people voting green on this Bill. Now if there isn't a problem there, it isn't... I want to emphasize, it isn't going to hurt anyone. If there is a problem, we hope it will correct it. We do know that there's been a lot of people accused and so forth by the news media as well as by letters written the Legislators and all we're asking here and I want to emphasize again that we're not asking for a vote for this Bill. We're asking for a vote to give it a chance to be considered by this whole House. You're not voting on the Bill or the merits of the Bill, but you're voting... if you feel that this Bill should be given a chance to be heard, then you should vote for this motion because you're not voting on any Bill here, you're just voting on a motion to give the House, the whole House a chance to hear it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to reiterate what the distinguished Sponsor of this Bill just said. I realize that some of you are reluctant to vote because you think that you are voting against the Members of the labor who are concerned about this Bill, but this is merely a motion to take this Bill to the proper Committee so that those Members of labor who are concerned, particularly the Railroad Labor Legislative Committee who are sitting in the balcony right now, they express real concern about this Bill. But this is merely to send it to Committee so they can be heard, so they can testify. That's all you're doing. You're not voting against labor now. You're just voting to send it to Committee and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my vote, this morning in Elementary and Secondary Education, we spent a great deal of time discussing school bus safety. And in that discussion, we talked about the C.T.A. and the R.T.A. and we made special reference to that area and the Democrats on the other side of the aisle in



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

our Committee voted unanimously to their concern for safety with the C.T.A. and the buses in transferring children back and forth in the City of Chicago and I think this is an important element in safety for the, all the people in the City of Chicago and I'm glad that the downstaters are voting for safety in Chicago, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "I would just like the Members of the House to realize that the previous speaker voted 'no' on that Bill, too."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me that the Bill probably is structured wrong because the conduct and the operation of the railroad train is... including the engineers and the conductors are guided by rules and regulations of the interstate Commerce Commission and there's adequate law in Illinois to... for the Illinois Commerce Commission to set forth those rules and regulations. Now, I think there's an awful lot of merit to the regulation, imposition of this type of legislation on C.T.A. drivers or transit systems, rail transit systems. I'm voting 'no' and I'm going to change my vote to 'yes' with the hope that the Sponsor of this Bill will take out the railroads. They don't belong in there and I think it probably was put in there in the first place to see that the Bill was killed. I'm going to change my vote from 'no' to 'yes', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right now, have all explained their votes that desire to? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman desire to postpone consideration?"

Neff: "I would appreciate an opportunity to postpone this for the consideration."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we'll place that motion on the order of postponed consideration. All right, now there's this matter that we referred to last night which needs to be attended to early. The Senate's advised they're going to be leaving over there shortly so I'm going to leave motions for a while and go to Conference Committee Reports. And there is a Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2826. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman



from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is my motion that we do not adopt... Yes, I was apparently accidentally cut off. It is my motion that we... that the House does not adopt..."

Speaker Blair: "No, you're all right."

Getty: "Thank you. Does not adopt the Conference Committee Report #1 and in support of that, I would say to the Members of the House that we have previously refused to concur in the Amendment, Senate Amendment #1, that this is a preemption Amendment that goes far beyond the proposals which have previously been submitted to this House for consideration or which are now pending. The language here prohibits exercise by local government either directly or indirectly. It means that your local communities would not be able and I hope that my downstate friends will listen to this. It means that your local communities will not be able to exercise any local help, local safety, or indeed even building and zoning restrictions on where a drug abuse clinic is put, on how it is operated in terms of safety for the community, in terms of health standards for the community. What does it mean? Well, I'll give you an example. In my Village of Dalton, it means that across the street from my home in a building which is presently used as a doctor's office, a drug abuse clinic could be installed. It means that addicts would regularly be treated and it means my Village of Dalton wouldn't be able to exercise any of the public health or any of the public safety standards which, I believe, should be accorded to local governments. I know that this was not the intention of the drafters of this Bill. This Bill was gone over many, many times by the council, the Drug Abuse Advisory Council of which I am a Member. The Bill that was enacted or passed, rather, by this House was passed, I believe, unanimously by 155 to nothing Roll Call, no question was raised as to adding such a thing, nor and I want to emphasize this, nor is there any home rule provision in this Bill. I believe we should keep it exactly as it was passed out of this House and I would ask that this Body does not adopt Conference



Committee Report #1."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would urge the Members of the House to reject the Gentleman's motion. This legislation is extremely important and it's extremely important that we act upon it today. Now, there is no lack of local control. Quite the contrary. The legislation itself authorizes the state to license and then to delegate authority to the local authorities, so we're not in a problem there; but we're in a real problem that this legislation must be enacted today. And if it is not, we are going to lose \$3,000,000 of federal funds for the State of Illinois. Now, I know the Senate is going home shortly and if we do not adopt this Conference Committee Report, it's probably going to be the last chance we have. So, I implore you, let's reject the Gentleman's motion and let's insure that these much needed funds are brought into the State of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Cosponsor of the Bill, I'm afraid I'm going to have to disagree with my colleague on the other side and move... and ask that you concur in the Amendment of the Senate. I think that this Bill should apply to the whole state and I feel that the preemption that... that the... my colleague on the other side talks about will take affect if this Amendment is not... if this Amendment is not on."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to make a substitute motion at this time to do adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Blair: "Did you ask if you could offer a substitute motion?"

Ryan: "Yes, I would like to make that motion."

Speaker Blair: "All right, state your point."

Shea: "Where in the rules is it allowable to make a substitute motion?"

Speaker Blair: "What did he say?"

Shea: "On the final passage of a Bill."

Speaker Blair: "What about it?"



Shea: "Where in our rules do we allow for a substitute motion on the final passage of a Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, we're not on final passage when there is a motion to not adopt a Conference Committee Report cause, so that's not for passage if you're not going to adopt it."

Shea: "What you are doing, is letting a nonsponsor of a piece of legislation take control of that legislation for final action."

Speaker Blair: "All right now, what... Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was merely trying to save some time of the House from taking two Roll Calls here and I would like to point out to Mr. Shea that I'm a Member of that Conference Committee and feel that I am perfectly in order. I would be glad to withdraw my motion and make the House go through two Roll Calls. It doesn't matter to me."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the Chair has already ruled that a substitute motion can be made and the question of whether or not you can substitute is a separate Roll Call from voting on the substitute motion if it is actually substitute. Now, that is the question that's before the House. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "My query goes this way. The Sponsor of the Bill makes a motion, do not adopt the Conference Committee Report and request that a Second Conference Committee be appointed. Somebody then offers a substitute motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report. That then puts the person who offers the substitute motion in a position to take away from the Sponsor his legislation and move it to passage stage and control final action on the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we're on the... the Gentleman has offered and moved the adoption of the substitute motion and that is that the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Amendments to House Bill 2826 be adopted. Now... and the Chair is prepared to put that question."

Shea: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Blair: "The Chair is prepared to put that question."

Shea: "Do you need leave of the House to do this or do you need an affirmative Roll Call or what? What you are in effect doing, Mr. Speaker, is taking away from the Sponsor of a piece of



legislation and giving it to another Member, the prerogative of taking final action on that piece of legislation and it has always been my knowledge, perhaps an unwritten rule; but in this House, the Sponsor has always had control of the legislation that he's introduced."

Speaker Blair: "Well, yeah. Obviously, once the measure goes into a Conference Committee, it becomes the... from a proprietary sense, the possession of all the Members of the Conference Committee that had signed the report and..."

Shea: "Well..."

Speaker Blair: "And as a matter of custom of the House, not of rule, the Chair has always allowed the Sponsor of the proposal the opportunity to take his position on the matter first. Now, that does not preclude another Member of the Conference Committee or for that matter any other Member offering a substitute motion which is what has occurred here."

Shea: "Well, again I go back and..."

Speaker Blair: "I think perhaps what we were trying to do is to save time because the Senate's going to adjourn at 1:30, but it's obvious if you don't want to proceed in that fashion, I'll ask Mr. Ryan to withdraw and we'll go through two Roll Calls. Now, Mr. Getty, is there anybody else that wants to be heard on Mr. Getty's motions? Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Have you ruled on this question or..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I've suggested that rather than take up the time of the House in this debate that we can withdraw..."

Ryan: "I'll withdraw it."

Speaker Blair: "...and we can go through two Roll Calls. Go ahead. All right, Mr. Lemke, do you... Oh, your mikes... Okay, Mr. Getty, to close. All right, the Gentleman care to close? Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, by way of inquiry, the exact language... I want to be sure this is in proper form, is that I have moved to not adopt the Conference Committee Report and request that a Second Conference Committee be appointed so that that is perfectly clear."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, that has always been the case in any event."



Getty: "All right then, in closing, I would just like to reassure the Membership that the state regulations would apply to each and every community. There is no home rule exemption here. We don't have that situation. These licensing provisions and indeed the federal provisions which we have incorporated in this Act do apply to every drug abuse clinic that would be established or is established. We are not seeking in any way to take away from the state that power. It is given under the terms of the Bill. All we are saying is, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, protect your local communities. Protect them from having a situation created that would be dangerous to health and safety and that's all we're asking for. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House not adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2826. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Now, let's get it clear. This is not passage of this measure because the motion is not to adopt the Conference Committee Report, it's to not adopt. For that motion to prevail, there has to be more 'ayes' than 'nays'. And that effect of that if that occurs, is there's going to be a Second Conference Committee. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. All right, on this question there's 74 'ayes' and 68 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails and the Clerk will... All right, all right, and the Clerk will advise the Senate that the House has failed to adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2826 and request Second Conference Committee. All right, the Members from our side will be Mr. Collins, Mr. Ryan, and Mr. Telcser. From the Democrat side, who do we have? We want to try to accommodate a Second Conference Committee Report as quickly as possible. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the Democratic side, it will be Mr. Getty and Mr. Yourell."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Getty and Mr. Yourell, so... and Collins, Telcser, and Ryan and so if you all could meet with your Senate counterparts and get us a Second Conference Committee Report, we'll see if we can't get this matter resolved as quickly as possible. Now,



we're on... let's go back and pick up Roscoe Cunningham. Now, on House Resolution 561 on motions. You want to wait on that? All right. All right, the... we'll go to considerations postponed and the Chair will recognize Mr. Fleck with respect to 2518."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2518 has been up on two other occasions. Once there wasn't a vote taken and the other night when it received 82 votes. As you all know by now, this is the pay raise to increase the salaries of the judiciary in this state. I do think that this question was debated in full. All the matters and problems that it faced the other evening, I think, have been resolved obviously. I just simply ask for the affirmative support of this House."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Roscoe Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He's asked for leave to take the Bill back to Third Reading, right? All right, and there's objection to that?"

Cunningham: "No, no. No objection. I wanted to ask a question on the status of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, he's back on Third Reading."

Cunningham: "I understand."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Cunningham's asking a question."

Cunningham: "My question, Charles, is it... does it still require the add on by the downstate counties?"

Fleck: "At this time, yes."

Cunningham: "Is there any thought to remove it from the Bill?"

Fleck: "I'd be less than honest if I didn't tell you that I talked with Senator Harris yesterday in his office and he has gave an indicate that the Senate would probably put an Amendment on it removing the downstate county add on."

Cunningham: "Well, was there any thought to adopting the... reconsidering the Amendment that I suggested a few days ago that the state just accept that responsibility of government?"

Fleck: "Well, I told Senator Harris I'd let him bear the responsibility of that. I think the House clearly spoke its position on that Amendment and I think if any problems arise, may be worded out in



the Conference Committee."

Cunningham: "Thank you."

Fleck: "You know my position, Roscoe."

Cunningham: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah."

Schraeder: "It apparently has been the position of the state over many years that the downstate state employees, the downstate, almost every employee downstate including the judges have been on a lower pay scale than those in the Cook County-Joliet area and I would like to know whether that inequity in the pay scale for judiciary has been corrected that they are now state-wide."

Fleck: "That inequity has been removed under this Bill and all judges in the various categories will be paid exactly the same salary no matter if they're in Carbondale, Chicago or God knows where. They're all the same."

Schraeder: "Well, that's a good remark. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, any further... Mr. Hunsicker. Did you desire recognition, Mr. Hunsicker?"

Hunsicker: "This final action on this Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes, sir."

Hunsicker: "I'd like to speak to the Bill if I may."

Speaker Blair: "You certainly can."

Hunsicker: "It appears to me, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this increase is unwarranted, ill-timed and too much. Any time, any time, in my opinion, that a salary per year is increased more than a good percentage of our citizens are making per year, is in my estimation, too much. You all know that we've got hundreds of thousands of people who are working for 10 or 12,000 or less who live and send their children to college and are paying the freight for our court system. It's \$7,500 increase to 50,000 per year, is uncalled for for our Supreme Court judges as far as I'm concerned. Sure, it's only 7 in the State of Illinois. I'll grant you that, but it matters not to me if there were only one. I still think it's too much and don't forget when they're



in Session, I've been informed, they receive their keep, their place to live, their food, their mileage down here and back home; and they're getting their increase in the cost of living as the cost of things go up. I also feel that a \$9,000 increase is unwarranted for our Circuit Judges. \$32,500 is a nice, lucrative job with security for anybody as far as I'm concerned. And I know the judges are flammng for a pay increase because lawyers are making more than the judges. You ask anyone who's working for a salary in my book and I don't care if he's getting a million dollars a year, he'll tell you he thinks he's worth more money. Ladies and Gentlemen, storm signals are going up regarding our social security. It was only last week I saw in the paper where they're going to be in jeopardy in the not too distant future. Storm signals are going up in the public over increased spending by all units of government, from the Congress on down to the townships and public officials in my estimation, had better take a good look at a precarious situation."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck, to close."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think it's... I will not take up the time of this House. I'd just simply ask for all Members to vote affirmatively on this measure. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The question is shall House Bill 2518 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, explaining my 'no' vote in this particular case, I think it's a gratuitous insult to the high caliber of all the judges throughout the State of Illinois to say that you would get better judges simply by raising the money. They recognize as many of you do not that there's some things in life that are beyond money and the honor of being on the bench doesn't have to be compensated by the rate that is ruinous to the economy of the State of Illinois. And I would remind all the downstate Legislators that hell hath no fury like the County Boards with whom you are saddling a \$20,000 average, the medium sized county, responsibility to contribute to the judicial salaries from already depleted resources. You'll hear from each of those County Boards when you



get home next week and they realize what happens. Now, even if this Bill, the add on, is taken off over in the Senate when it comes back, we must not leave our judges be second class down-state. It may surprise you. I want to see them get as much as any Cook County judge; but the way we do that is have the State of Illinois assume full responsibility for the judicial process. For now, at least, for the economy for your taxpayers and for your own well-being at the polls, vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, in the eight years that I've been down here in the past, I have been a consistent foe of raises for the judges. I've put my neck on the limb, I've laid out what my position; but I'll tell you, time does wonders for a person. I heard the previous speaker mention that money's not everything. I've searched in the past eight years for something that could take its place and I haven't found it. I'm saying that I believe that the integrity of the courts has come through year after year. The integrity of the judges in most cases, has been above reproach. I think that they are doing an admirable job and I, for one, am no longer willing to take the position that they ought to serve for the simple glory of serving. I think that they are entitled to their pay, they're entitled to their reward and they're emolument in this world and not the next. And therefore, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr... Geo-Karis. Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Pardon my laryngitis, but I would like to explain my 'present' vote. I am for the judges increase, but as a Member of the County Problems Commission, I've heard the debate up and down this state and will all summer on the prospect of the burden on the counties. I have discussed this with the judges and with Mr. Fleck. I'm with them in heart. If this one goes to Conference Committee and is changed, I'd be pleased to vote 'aye'; but there is no conscientious way that I can carry this thing under those complaints that I'm getting. I'm also the fortunate Sponsor of the judges administrative clerk's salary raise and I'll be presenting that to you for which I am highly in favor of because the state pays



the Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I feel that I should explain my vote because I voted 'no' in Committee when this Bill was heard. But in the meantime, I read quite a bit of the Watergate Papers and conspiracy transpiring throughout the country and I find that we've got to attract judiciary with real independence, with real integrity and I think your job security is where you would get your independence and your integrity much like Judge Siricca, so I've changed my position. I'm going to vote for the judges pay raise because I think in the end, we'll attract that type of independent person that is really going to be to the citizens of this country what we're looking for."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm quite surprised to see all of the green lights up there at a time when financial situation with the state and the local taxpayers is in dire circumstances. I think if we have extra money here, we should be looking at the state employees again, particularly down on the lower jobs. We still have many state employees that are not drawing really sufficient salaries to live on. Now, these judges are drawing a good, decent salary and as been brought here, let's don't forget that the trimmings that these judges get, in most all cases they're getting trimmings that have been going along their living allowances and so forth that have stayed with the times of inflation and I think this is no time for us to talk about people in this category, given raises. I'm sorry that I see so many green lights up there and I think we all should take another look at this."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. All I have to say is that I'll bet every one of you a million dollars to one that if this Bill fails and would fail, not a single judge in the State of Illinois or any other of the court officials would resign tomorrow. They'll be perfectly happy to stay right on the same salary."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in way of



explaining my vote and I'm going to do this just once on the matter of pay raises at this time and then cease and desist and forever hold my peace until the rest of this day. I don't feel in voting a red light here that this makes me a good guy and makes those of you who are voting green bad guys. I profess to wear no white hat because I'm voting a red light here, but I would like to explain the rationale of my vote. A couple of evenings ago in Industrial Affairs Committee, I voted against an increase in workmen's comp. I voted against an increase in unemployment compensation. I have stood here on the House Floor and argued against increases in welfare payments because I believe we are in inflationary times and these are inflationary measures. It would be inconsistent for me, I do believe, to stand here and vote for increases salaries for the judges because I believe this, too, to be inflationary and I think inflation. Inflation is the enemy of our people at this time. It's destructive. It's corrosive of their well-being and I think there are two, at least one major reason for inflation and that is, government spending accelerating, ever-accelerating, spiralling onward and onward and upward. And I think this is the time if there is any time to hold the line and I believe that my red light is consistent with what I have tried to say on this House Floor on the subject. And I just wanted this opportunity, my colleagues, to explain to you the reasons why I am voting red on this."

Speaker Blair: "All right, has everybody explained their vote? Clerk will take the record. All right, on this question there are 123 'ayes', 26 'nays', 9 'present' and this Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Now, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck, has a motion with respect to House Bill 2815. Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I'd like to suspend the rules and change the order of business and advance to Third Reading of House Bills."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman have leave to take 2815 out of the order of priority call so that it may be considered at this time? No objection, the attendance Roll Call will be used. Has 2815 been



read a Third time? All right, read it."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2815. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 1 and 4 of an Act in relation to compensation, emoluments to Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with leave of the House I'd like to return House Bill 2815 to the order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, has leave. Take it back to Second Reading for purposes of Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Lundy. Amends House Bill 2815 page 3, line 3 and so forth."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, may I request some guidance from the Chair at this point?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes, what's the question?"

Lundy: "Mr. Fleck and I have agreed, the Sponsor of the Bill and I have agreed that we would like to replace Amendment #2 which was adopted when the Bill was on Second Reading with Amendment #3 which is substantially similar but contains some important differences. What would be the proper way to proceed?"

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #2 was adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Now, the Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Amendment #2 is tabled. Now, is there Amendment #3? Mr. Ewell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ewell: "Point of order. Are you just telling him how it's done or are you doing it now?"

Speaker Blair: "A little of both."

Ewell: "Well, the only thing about it is I would like to know what we're getting rid of and what we're putting on before I sit up and make my vote. I think that that's a reasonable request. You know, if the Sponsor would just tell us what he's getting rid of on Amendment 2 and what Amendment 3 does."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I certainly think he ought to tell you, Ray."

Ewell: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "Yes, tell him, Joe."



Lundy: "Amendment #2 which was added on Second Reading was an Amendment which would authorize each Member of the General Assembly to designate an administrative assistant who would then be paid as employees of the General Assembly. The total salary paid to administrative assistants designated by the Members would be subtracted from, excuse me, the total. The intention was that the total cost of paying administrative assistants would then be deducted from the contingent expense allowance that's otherwise available to the Members. Now, there was some question at the time that Amendment 2 was adopted on two issues. Number one, Representative Miller raised a point that there was no limitation on the number of administrative assistants that could be designated by a Member. Therefore, a Member would be free to designate ten or twenty administrative assistants just for the purpose of obtaining fringe benefits for them. I said at the time that I thought that was highly unlikely, but in order to correct that possibility, Amendment #3 would limit the number of administrative assistants that could be designated by a number to one or two. A second issue which was raised at the time that Amendment 2 was considered was that it was not clear in the language of the Amendment that the total cost to the state of that administrative assistant was to be deducted from the Member's contingent expense allowance. The Amendment actually said the salary of the administrative assistant shall be deducted and so Amendment #3 corrects that. The last sentence of Amendment 3, I will read. It says, 'the cost to the state of such administrative assistant or assistants shall be deducted from the \$12,000 per year otherwise available to the Members under this Section.' Now, again I emphasize that the designation of an administrative assistant is wholly discretionary. It's up to the Member. If the Member wants to continue to pay his employees under the present voucher system, that's entirely up to him. Of course, he's taking a certain risk as far as I.R.S. is concerned and he is denying to those employees the benefits, the fringe benefits of state employment, but it's up to him. And other than the two differences I mentioned, Amendment 3 is identical to Amendment 2. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."



Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Joe, do you know if there is any restriction on a person who would become a salaried administrative assistant from that person also rendering other services by which he would be paid by voucher from some other segment of state government?"

Lundy: "Arthur, it's not to my knowledge, but I am very far from an expert in matters of state personnel policy, so all I can say is that I don't know of any such restrictions."

Berman: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I don't find Amendment 3 in my book here. I just wonder if it's been distributed."

Speaker Blair: "All right, has it been distributed? Yeah, it was distributed a long time ago. Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "I would like, Mr. Speaker, to not exactly oppose but raise some questions regarding this Amendment and that is because in answer to what Representative Berman had asked earlier, I have some personal experience under the Comptroller's new... Comptroller of the State of Illinois, he has... there's a ruling that you cannot have a man on the state payroll and also have that person on a state payroll and then also have them on the voucher system without getting leave of the Governor of the State of Illinois to write to the Secretary of State because of specific and unusual services. So, if you... in answer to that question you may have a problem in that regard and I would like to answer from my own experience that you cannot do it without having the Governor's approval on that. That's the Comptroller's new rules and that's in the statutes in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yeah, would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Blair: "He indicates he will."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of the Bill has asked me and I worked closely with him on this Amendment, he's asked me since the Members seemed to be bothered by the Amendment that I take it out and I ask for leave to table the Amendment."



Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman offers to move the adoption then moves to table, have leave? We table Amendment #3. Now, are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk? Mr. Maragos, point of order."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, now the Bill stands with only one Amendment, is that correct because Amendment #2 was tabled?"

Speaker Blair: "That's right."

Maragos: "And Amendment #3 was tabled at the request of the Sponsor."

Speaker Blair: "That's right. Amendment... what happened to Amendment #1, Mr. Clerk? Was it adopted? Amendment #1 to 2815. Mr. Lauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, point of information."

Speaker Blair: "Yes."

Lauer: "Can anyone tell me, is the synopsis in the current Digest accurate as the Bill is amended?"

Speaker Blair: "Now, on Amendment #1, the Clerk advises it was tabled, so there are no Amendments adopted to the Bill. As far as the accuracy of the synopsis, you'll refer your question to Mr. Fleck. He's the Chief Sponsor. He can answer that."

Lauer: "Would that be in order at this time?"

Speaker Blair: "Sure, yeah. Charlie."

Fleck: "What was the question?"

Lauer: "Is the synopsis..."

Fleck: "One second, Mr. Speaker. I can't hear you. There's too much conversation back here."

Speaker Blair: "All right."

Lauer: "Charlie, is the synopsis in the current synopsis and Digest ac... is this as the Bill was introduced or as the Bill is amended?"

Fleck: "That is how it is as amended. Now, in the synopsis the sentence authorizes each Member to designate one or more administrative assistants for total annual salary for such assistant or assistants, not to exceed \$12,000 aggregate. They shall be reimbursed for personal services the same as other Members, regular employees, rather of the General Assembly. The salary shall be deducted from the \$12,000 per year otherwise available to the Member under this Section. That's out when the Amendment was tabled."



Lauer: "That's out and then everything else is accurate."

Fleck: "Right."

Lauer: "Thank you, Charlie."

Speaker Blair: "All right, any further questions? The Gentleman care to close."

Fleck: "I'd like to have it moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, okay. We're back on Third Reading. You want to close now? Why don't you start and close at the same time?"

Fleck: "I'm confused by that one."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we're on Third Reading. Now, that Amendment ought to come off there, Fred. You got Amendment #3. We're on Third Reading now. We're on Third Reading. No, it was tabled. Yeah, we offered to move the adoption and got leave to table it, so it's gone and put the Bill back on Third Reading now. Mr. Fleck, do you have any further comments on this?"

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill needs no explanation. It provides that the salaries of Members would be increased to \$22,500. It provides that the per diem expense would increase, be increased \$8.00 to \$40.00 per day. And it provides that the contingent expense for the local offices would be \$2,000 from ten to twelve. Now, a lot of people don't think that Legislators require a pay increase, but I disagree. I think Legislators as well as anyone else in society should have a pay increase. The voters don't realize that the Members of the General Assembly have not seen one percent of one penny of a pay increase in the last four years. If they don't have the courage to vote for a pay increase at this time, they won't see one for six years, possibly eight years. There's also no question about the fact that the \$17,500 we voted ourselves four years ago, you'll have to have \$23,500 at the end of next Session to have the same standard of living salary-wise. For example, take the menu from downstairs. In one... Pat stole my menu. In one year, the price of bean soup has increased 15%. In one year, a turkey and toast has increased 17%. A jubilee fruit bowl has increased 36 and a chicken, tuna salad has increased 40%. That's just when we eat off the Floor, not to mention what hotels has cost. The Department



of Labor indicates that food and snacks in restaurants away from home has increased from 100% as of 1967. It's now 50% more than it was in that year. Now, of us to continue to vote, not to vote pay raises because of certain local peers is ridiculous. We increased time down here. We've got more complex problems with the government, so we have to be down here more often. Everyone knows that you actually spend more time as a State Representative and a Senator in your own district than you do in Springfield. Every dinner, you have to make a speech at every meeting you have to go to, every night you spend with your constituents to help them with their problems, isn't time for anything else but because you're fulfilling your responsibilities as a State Representative. And finally, I want to say that this is a merited increase because of everything the U.S. Department of Labor has indicated in cost increase from canned bean soup, to restaurants and steaks to shoes, the one item that's increased more in the last years that has really been sticking it to the consumer is the price of your daily newspaper. They have set the record. They've gone up almost 200% and I feel sorry for my friends in those press boxes. I really do 'cause Marshall Field is making a ton of money and you ask those guys who are publishing the paper if your increase in salary has been 200% this 1967. I doubt it. So, I ask you Members and I've asked all the Members of the General Assembly to vote for a pay increase before the election so that you can go to the voters and say, we've done it with honor. With honor, and we didn't come back after the election and do it. You can face them and tell them you were honest about it. And I think they'll appreciate you more for that than anything you'll do this Session of the General Assembly and I ask for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, I think the \$5,000 increase offered through this legislation by Mr. Fleck is very much, very timely and very much in order. I question whether we'll have any of that \$5,000 to cover the cost of food and the increase of living because I think that \$5,000 in some of our situations would just about take care of our additional



accountants and attorneys to fill our the ethics statements."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I arise to oppose this salary increase and I thank you for recognizing me this time, Mr. Speaker, because the last two salary increases this House voted, I was snubbed and ignored. And I appreciate the opportunity to express my views. You may not agree with what I'm going to say, but I'm going to tell you what I think is the truth. I opposed the Superintendent of Educational Service Regions increase the other day and I opposed the judges increase just ahead of this Bill and I'm going to oppose the other increases. Each Member on the average of this General Assembly is now costing the taxpayers about \$35,000 per year when you take into consideration the \$17,500 salary you're getting, not any increases now. This is what you're costing now. The secretary's salary split three ways who serves each Member, the \$10,000 for expenses back in the district, the \$32 per day per diem, the 15 cents per mile for travel, expenses for serving on Commissions, and let's not forget the insurance paid for by the taxpayers along with what the state matches for retirement. This is no way to build public confidence in state government. Two or three years ago, the cry was, if we only get our daily expenses paid. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, you're getting them paid now and you still clamor for more. Your salaries, your salary will have been doubled in the last three Sessions or four Sessions at the most, a 100% increase if you please and the statement was made on the Floor last week that we beat our brains out down here and we're entitled to more pay. Well, I'll say we beat our brains out all right by debating rules and by polling the absentees and by verifying Roll Calls which was a shining example of what went on last night while much needed legislation goes by the wayside. And I'm going to make this statement that your constituents will have the last word in November so don't be too surprised if they say, you have had it. So as long as we keep on spending more millions each year, we are doing absolutely nothing in trying to hold inflation. We should take the



lead. We're the ones that are in government and we should take the lead in trying to combat inflation a little bit. A little more quiet please 'cause I want the Members to understand what I'm going to say from here on. It seems odd to me that if the salary is so poor, how come you are candidates? And furthermore, if voted results are close, a candidate asks for a recount for a lousy job that he says doesn't pay enough salary. I'd like to know why this is. Nobody forced you to become a candidate and nobody's putting the 'B' on you. You did so on your own initiative and on top of that, I might add, you knew what the salary was before you became a candidate and I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Thompson."

Thompson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, of course I'm not going to be here next term, but there's one thing about it. I think the raise is deserving. I think we're deserving of a raise. And a Legislator that cannot go out in his district and justify this on explanation to his constituents is not worthy of being a State Legislator. And I've never had any problems of justifying a raise to my constituents and they'll think more of you by doing it now and then waiting till after the election. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The previous question's been moved. Now, before Representative Fleck close, let's... the Clerk wants to be sure he's read this Bill a Third time. Would you read it a Third time, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2815. An Act to amend Section 1 and 4 of an Act relating to compensation and emoluments to Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck, to close."

Fleck: "I ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 2815 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'."



Okay, the Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative Kriegsmann, to explain his vote."

Kriegsmann: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to explain my vote. I realize I'm talking to a stacked deck, but I've got to talk. I have heard about that we are the number 1 in the pay scale in this state, but I didn't realize what a bunch of prima donnas that we really are. I called Wisconsin. Their pay scale they just received, they pay \$15,000 as of now. They consider 1,500 Bills. They consider themselves full-time Legislators. In Missouri, they pay \$8,400 with a \$20 per diem. They are in about 100 days and they consider 1,300 Bills. In Indiana, they pay \$6,000, \$25 per diem. They're in about 100 days for the two years. In Iowa, \$5,500 with a \$40 per diem. But Kentucky has the best of all. They pay \$400 a month in the months that they're not in Session and the days that they are in Session, the \$400 shuts off and they get \$25 a day with expenses. That, I think, is a pretty good way to go. Now; anybody knows that the \$5,000 and the \$8 a day increase is peanuts compared to the \$8,000,000 that we throw around here annually. But let me tell you, if we get this pay increase, that 25 to 29 percent increase is...(microphone turned off)"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think about the best way I can express my gratitude to you men and women for the way you've treated me for the numbers of years that you've been here is to vote for this Bill to increase your pay."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think when we're talking about the pay increases in this era of high inflation that we ought to be using the correct terminology. This really isn't a pay raise. It's a cost of living increase and if you look at the Department of Commerce figures as to the rate of inflation that we've had, you'll find that at the end of the next term, even with this Bill, we will be receiving something less than the \$17,500 of real income in terms of 1971 dollars. I suggest to you that the real issue here is not whether we're going to



improve the quality of Legislators. I'm sure that we recognize that there isn't a person here that suggests that someone else should replace him. What we are talking about is whether or not this is going to be a people's Legislature, whether or not people who can afford to leave their jobs and leave their professions and leave their businesses can come down here and vote with something other than the built-in bias of the financially healthy retiree. This is truly a people's Bill and I think we ought to vote for it."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, contrary to all evidence that shows otherwise, I'm desperately anxious that all of you love me. I realize how sensitive this matter is and how strongly many of you feel about it and I want to say here and now that if we were here around the calendar as sometimes is threatened, your time is worth far more than this increase that's offered. So, I've got a plan that'll double your salary. At the same time, your constituents will be grateful and that gratitude will be reflected forever. A. Lincoln had an expression that every lawyer has in his office on the wall and said that, 'Time is the lawyer's stock and trade.' And that's certainly true of Legislators. If time is all you have, the State of Illinois doesn't have a right to have all your time here in Springfield. The way we double our salary and still make our constituents grateful is to halve the amount of time we're down here by a better use of our time without wasting it in the frills and all the things that have wasted in recent days. We'd be here just half as much, leave the salary as it was. We'd have a 100% increase in our salaries. I want to say in closing, let there be no mistake about it, I have few worldly goods than most of the people in this House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell. Oh, no?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to heed the last Gentleman's advice. I'm looking at the board and I'm going to be conscious of our time. I pass."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and I know it's just hopeless to say a word. Money comes first, but I'd like to point out to you, we are supposed to be leaders of this state and we're not being leaders at all. All we're doing as far as the leadership is concerned, if it is the leadership, is to help lead the people of this state further in the depths of financial irresponsibility and financial chaos. And that's exactly where not only the State of Illinois is going, but where the people of this land is going. I must say again what I said for the judges. I got an idea that if this Bill passes or would fail to pass, that, come on, not a one of you will resign. You'll stay here. The only ones that will not stay here are those that will be defeated and under this kind of condition, possibly justly so. But, I hate to see us become leeches upon the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's a very tempting kind of situation once you've got 95 votes up on the board to end up making a statement in opposition to this kind of Bill which probably might be well received by some people, but let me end up saying that there are a lot of men and women in this House who are full-time Legislators, who work hard for the constituents, who put in an awful lot of time, who take a lot of abuse in a lot of ways; and it seems to me it's very ill-advised to individuals who might be a lot better off by leaving part of their estates to the state if they're that concerned about the situation, rather than end up talking about saving the taxpayers money. We've seen Bill upon Bill upon Bill introduced by certain individuals into this chamber which have drained the State Treasury. They have been Bills which benefited their district. I think it's in exceedingly poor taste to talk about saving the taxpayer's money at this time. I think it is in exceedingly poor taste to take off after the Members of this General Assembly who, in my estimation, deserve this raise. I feel privilege of being one of 177 Members of this House elected by some 11,000,000 people in this state. I think I'm doing my job. I think we're all attempting to do our job



on behalf of the people. I'm happy to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote. I... personally did not want to come back to the General Assembly. I wanted to retire and take it easy, but a voice stronger than my voice urged me to come back. A voice that I didn't feel that I could say 'no' to, said come back. This is the only job I have and let me tell you what the pay was, I'm talking about the next pay. When I got my check, I'm supposed to be getting seventeen something and that's what we get, but when I got my check, the net was twelve thousand and five something. Uncle Sam got his piece and he should get it. The state got theirs. The pension got theirs. I'm paying into pension. I can't get anymore in that pension. I'm paying into it and so, I wound up with twelve thousand and something that I've got to live on now all the year. And my wife and I go to the store and let me tell you something. I can live on my pickings just as well as I do there because when we go to the store and spend thirty-five or forty dollars, you can almost bring it home in two bags now, I'm under the impression... I said yesterday that I come from a district where there are poor people and I agree, I agree with this editorial, there are some cheats on that. And the Governor says he's going to get them all off. They got people who're going to take 'em all off, but there are some people on welfare and those are the people I'm talking about, the aged and the blind and the maimed and little children who didn't ask to come into this world. Even little children didn't ask to come into this world. I said yesterday if I couldn't do something for them, I'd... certainly could not out of my heart, out of the bottom of my heart vote for any pay raise. I stood up here and said that and I meant to do it, but you see, we did get a cost of living increase for those poor people and I hope it will only go to people who deserve that cost of living increase. I think now that since I'm back here, I can't get anymore on my pension, I certainly ought to enjoy a little more even though deduct's are going to get most of it, I'll get a little more pay than than twelve, five net that I got



the last time and I'm happy to vote 'aye' knowing full well that I also voted for the poor people in my district."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my vote, the last speaker just brought out about the take-home pay. Now, what about the many people that make five, six, seven thousand dollars. Percentage-wise, their take-home pay is cut down the same and we have many people in the State of Illinois that is going... that is going... five, six, seven thousand dollars, that have to live on it and also have this withdrawn. Now, also I think we should stop and think here and it appears that there's some horsetrading going on on other salary adjustments and this is just a small part, even though this isn't to be looked at a small legislative salary increase, but when you put this whole bowl of wax together, you're talking about a lot of money. A lot of money that's going to have to come from the taxpayers. We know about the taxpayers are getting pretty well fed up with taxes and yet here we're sitting here with nothing else that I can see but to start thinking voting taxes increases because it's going to have to come. There's no question about it. If we continue to raise our wages as well as other wages around the state, then there's nothing else... to get this... no place to get this money but from the people. Now, let's keep in mind we talk about inflation and so forth and why we need this, it hasn't been but approximately ten years ago when we were drawing \$6,000 in the Legislature. Now, we're up to \$17,500. How many people working in public... laborers and so forth have had this increase, such an increase in the last ten years. I think we've done pretty well by ourselves. I would hope that we would give a little more thought to this. I think the people are fed up, as I say, with increased taxes. This is what...(microphone turned off)"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

J. Wolf: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to point out to some of the Members, I don't care how you vote, 'yes' or 'no'; but I get a little tired of some of these self-serving political speeches. There isn't a plumber or a bricklayer that doesn't make



over \$17,000 a year and all I can tell you is you ask yourself one question. How much are you worth? And if you don't think you're worth it, then vote red. But if you think you're worth it in doing a good job, then you ought to be voting for this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know there's ten names up there of men that are not coming back that are voting green and I join Representative Clabaugh and those other men in saying that I am very happy to vote for your pay Bill and for goodness sakes, have enough courage to put enough votes up there to pass it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

R. Dunne: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I realize that all of us work hard down here in Springfield and we work hard at home, day and night. And we do at a great financial sacrifice many of us and great sacrifice to our families and I commend the Sponsor for trying to help the Members. And I commend him for his courage to do it before an election, but we're all suffering from inflation. At large corporations, the Board of Directors can take care of their members, their officers and raise their pay. And labor has strong lobbies and they've got cost of living increases. And government employees can constantly get cost of living increase, but I tell you the vast majority of the people in this country don't belong to labor unions. And they don't work for large corporations and they're not government employees or Legislators. They're farmers, they're small businessmen who are going out of business. And we, in government, are urging business and labor to use restraint to tighten their belts all the time, so I feel if we're going to fight inflation in this country, we, as the leaders, we, as members in government, must tighten our belts. For that reason, I think we should defer in this terrible, horrible time of inflation; we should tighten our belts and defer until a later time, a less inflationary time, and vote 'no' on this legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."



Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I just asked for a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, there are number of you who want to explain your votes. Do you still wish to? The Gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know, it kind of makes me sad to think that I won't be coming back to get that pension addition to my retirement, but let me tell you something. I think there's something that a lot of you are over-looking and that is the fact that the people back home want representation in this General Assembly that's widespread and broad as far as the people are concerned. Now, if all you want is somebody to serve in this House free of charge, we can call the University of Illinois or Southern Illinois University and they can send us up enough college professors to give you the Doctor's and the Master's Degrees that you might want to justify a pay raise, but all you'll have then is special legislation affecting higher education in Illinois. If all you want...there's law firms in Illinois that'll send a lawyer down from each firm to represent you down here if you don't want to pay the ordinary little dollar store operator or the little filling station operator or the farmer or the poor man that needs representation in this General Assembly, a fair honest amount for effort that he puts out. Let me tell you something, being a Legislator, some people say, is only coming up here and pushing that button 'yes' or 'no'; but let me tell you something and let me tell you something, you people that are reporting this to the people in Illinois, my little boy that's 14 years old can come up here and make just as good a record as I can pushing this button if he'll follow the leadership of his two parties. He'll not be over 95% right, maybe 5% wrong and I'm probably more than that. Let me tell you something, where can I find somebody in southern Illinois to come to Springfield and get the creeks and the dams and the roads that I can get from Choate or if they have been able to get from McCormick and some other people that represent southern Illinois. How in the world can you people from Cook County find somebody to come down here for nothing that would do what you want done for all the people of Cook County, except



the people that have a direct interest in their area. Let me tell you something, you can't find a man anywhere for \$22,000 a year that will put in the time of taking care of the people of his district that the fellows do here and they're broadspread, people from every phase of life in Illinois. You go home with me and I challenge any one of the Chicago newspapers, I don't care whether it's the Tribune or Marshall Field, to go home with me this weekend, when I go to Vienna tonight and spend Saturday in the dollar store with me and you'll see that I'll never get to ring my register one time because all the petty problems cast by government in Illinois will be at my door. I'll be, C.L., I couldn't get a response from the Department of Revenue on the money they owe me back or they're trying to cheat me on a license or I can't get my child in this school or they're cheating me on public aid. What do you do? Do you tell those people that voted for you for years and that you're supposed to serve that, by God, you're not going to do it because you're out of the General Assembly and all these people expect you to do is just to vote 'yes' or 'no'. But if you do, you're not going to come back and it won't be because you failed to put 120 votes up there for this pay raise. I think I'd be ashamed if I was a Member of this General Assembly to put myself in the category of not thinking us worth the amount of money; and if I wasn't worth it, I'd withdraw from the ticket. I'd get off of the ticket and let somebody get on that ticket that is worth \$22,000 to the people of their district. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the reason we're taking some time to discuss this we know full well tomorrow and every day until November, we're going to be facing people eyeball to eyeball and they're going to say, did you vote for that pay raise and how come? Just last night, my wife got a crank call from somebody saying, 'Surely, your husband's not going to vote for that pay raise.' And so, we're going to have to explain it, we're going to have to justify it. And I'd like to make three quick points. One, if there's any Bill in this House floating around here that's an ethics Bill, it's this one. Any of you who



know lobbyists know that when a General Assembly is low paid, you can buy and bribe these fellows and influence them; but if they're getting a decent salary, a respectable salary, I know one Gentleman very personally and close to cover the California General Assembly and it used to be, the railroad could buy that Body, buy it with a couple thousand bucks. But now those Members are high paid, properly paid. They can raise their families. Buying them a free meal doesn't influence their votes. If we want to vote for ethics, this is the Bill because people can't be bought if they're adequately compensated. Secondly, this is a full-time job. I resigned a job with the federal government, paying more money than this, more money than this even after the pay raise because I thought I could come back and practice law. And I've discovered I can't. I have a hard time and I think all of you know that. The first-time Member especially, thought they were coming down for a part-time job and it's not. If you're conscientious, it's a full-time job. And so, I say if you want to strengthen state government, just remember this that it there... there's flunky jobs down in Washington and I didn't have a flunky job, but it wasn't as responsible and good and hard as this one that you're all serving at, paying more money than this. So if you believe in the philosophy of strengthening and upgrading state government, this is an opportunity to do that and to strengthen the Illinois General Assembly and remember one thing when you talk to your voters, this is an ethics Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I am continuing the practice of voting for a pay raise on each occasion this measure was presented before the General Assembly. And it seemed to me those of you who were voting red and are worried about coming back to this House because of the vote on this issue should realize that some of you are not coming back and are still voting red on this issue. I would suggest to you, I would suggest to you that those of you who have running mates and a little concern about how they're voting, just vote your conscience. You'll be back. Each time I ran, I ran with a greater total of number of



votes than I did the previous time and I shall continue to vote for pay raises if I think I'm worth it. We're going to put an Amendment on in the Senate that I think will take care of sooth the consciences of those people who think they're not worth \$22,500. We're going to say that you can present a check to the General Revenue Fund of the State of Illinois in the amount of the \$5,000 increase, the \$2,500 on your office expense and the \$8 a day that you're in Session here. So don't feel too bad, Ladies and Gentlemen, get on this great, great Bill. I'll be delighted to explain this vote to my constituents in my district because I'm a full time Legislator and I hope that each of you are and are commanding the salary that that time dictates."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi.

No? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to address my remarks not to the Members of this General Assembly but to the media because I think that the Members of the General Assembly have got a right to vote any way they want. But you know, I remember the freshman conference four years ago when the former Speaker, Ralph Tyler Smith, invited Members of the media to come in and speak to the new freshman Legislators from both sides of the rotunda and both sides of the aisle. And we got into the discussion of legislative pay raises at that time and without exception and I say this without fear of contradiction, every Member of the media who spoke to the freshmen and I know the ones in my class will remember they said, 'Well, we would like to say because we believe you're worth \$40,000 a year, but you're never going to see us put that in print.' And the simple answer is, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the media hasn't got the guts to stand up and tell the people of the state what the Legislators are really worth because good news really isn't readable in the press anymore. ...the legislative pay raise, it was done in the Lame Duck Session and what did the media say? They said, 'Why, we would support your pay raises if you just had intestinal fortitude to stand up and vote them in a Regular Session, not wait until after the election; come back down in November and do it



when nobody can say anything about it at the polls'. So here we are voting it in a Regular Session and I admire and I respect the Gentleman who sponsored this Bill for calling it in a Regular Session. I told him I wouldn't support it if it wasn't called in a Regular Session and what did the media do? Well, I read the world's greatest newspaper this morning, for example, and while they didn't say anything derogatory, they certainly worded the article to make it look like we're the highest paid, most under-worked Legislators in the United States. They talked as though we've had nothing but pay raise after pay raise for the last ten years and I'm telling the media, it wouldn't make a damn bit of difference if we passed this today, six months ago, last year, or day after the election or any other time because the media has not got the intestinal fortitude to stand up and support the Legislators when they think they're right. In conclusion, let me say this. I'm only sorry that the Legislature doesn't have the right to pass on the media's pay raises. If that were the case and we were supporting a media pay raise, you would never see so many media people running around the Floor of this House, twisting arms, patting you on the back, telling you what a great job you're doing in Springfield; and oh yes, by the way, vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "I'd just like to advise Representative Hirschfeld that I was observing but I didn't see any pencils moving up there while you were talking."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think every Member here knows that I am retiring at the end of this term and I think most of the Members here know that I voted for pay raises in the past. And if you look up there, you'll notice my light is green, Ladies and Gentlemen. The reason is you know and I know that the definition of full-time Legislators is a misnomer. What we're talking about, Ladies and Gentlemen, is not only the time we spend down here, but the days, the hours, the weeks that we spend back in our districts in care of our constituents. I wish the news media would report somehow in their news, in the



press, in the radio that we do spend time in our districts and we're called upon to spend hours and days every week in that regard. No, all they say is, 'Well, you're down here 100 days a year, whatever it might be.' You and I know that's not the whole story. You and I know that every Legislator here deserves an increase; and Ladies and Gentlemen, I don't have to do this, but I'm very happy to vote 'aye' on this particular legislation because I know that you're going to need it in the next Session. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck, I think, is the last one to... He want to? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, 105 'ayes', 57 'nays', 3 answering 'present'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Okay, Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I would move... I would ask leave of the House to go out of order and call for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 1240."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? I assume he checked with you, Jerry. Didn't he? Did he talk to Representative Shea or Choate? Did he talk with Representative Shea or Choate on this matter? Why don't you do that, Jack? I thought it was... Okay, you want to read a... Committee Reports. One second. Representative Kennedy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kennedy: "Well, I'm going to object to that. The man never had the courage to vote for 2815, then you're going to throw another pay raise at us. I object and I hope everybody else helps me. Let him have the courage to vote for his own pay raise and he's going to have to vote for someone else's."

Speaker Telcser: "Jack, you've got some work to do, buddy. Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Neff from Transportation to which Senate Bill 1425 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Resolution



1037 was offered; reported the same back with the recommendation the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which Senate Bill 1618 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto, the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Soderstrom from Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bill 1549, 1640 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Soderstrom from Elementary and Secondary to which Senate Bill 1548 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bill 2828, 2829, 2869 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which Senate Bill 1264, 1348, 1401 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments... the recommendation the... be adopted and the Bills do pass."

Speaker Telcser: "Just one minute. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Mr. Speaker, I received a notice from the Chairman of the Rules Committee that a Bill of mine, House Bill 1292, has been pursuant to my request, returned to the Committee on Human Resources. I made no such request and I don't want that Bill in Human Resources. They played around with it long enough and I'd just as soon see the Bill stay right in the Rules Committee. In fact, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to do the taxpayers a favor and except for House Bill 2359 and 2457 which are still alive in the Senate, I'd like to have the... table any Bill of mine of which I am the Chief Sponsor."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has asked leave to table any Bill of his of which he is the Chief Sponsor except the two Senate Bills just stated. Any objections?"

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Washburn from..."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, hearing no objections, the Gentleman's Bills will be tabled. It's just those two Senate Bills he alluded to, two House Bills he alluded to."

Matijevich: "2359, 2457."



Speaker Telcser: "2359 and 2547. You get that? One minute. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, either your microphone is turned too far. I can't hear what's going on at all up there."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, I'm trying to hold it down. Everybody is celebrating their pay raise. Will the Clerk please finish his Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which Senate Bill 1276 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted. The Bill as amended do pass. Mr. McMaster from Counties and Townships to which Senate Bill 1458 and 1535 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's passed the Bills of the following title and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 1247, 1248, 1357, 1382, 1424, 1620, passed the Senate June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, sir."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Lauer: "I would like to explain to Mr. Kennedy that my objection was not to the entire legislative pay raise Bill. In fact, I asked Mr. Fleck to split the Bill apart because I could quite strongly support per diem increase and the increase in office expenses. It was the cash increase that I could not support because I was not sure that I could justify it to my constituency. However, I would like to ask Mr. Kennedy to withdraw his objections because the county officers have not had an increase in the minimum since 1967 and it is kind of an emergency nature because it would be 1978 before they could receive an increase in that minimum."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."



Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I very seldom speak in this House and I have no intention of withdrawing my objections.

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative, Lauer, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I will then move that the appropriate rule be suspended so that the House can move out of its regular order and have an immediate consideration of Senate Bill 1240."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Choate: "How many votes does that take, Mr. Speaker, to change the order of business?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Choate... Representative Choate, that would take 107 votes. Representative Fleck, for what... Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I'll withdraw the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has withdrawn the motion. Okay, House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 2199. House Bill 2199; House Bills, Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2199. This Bill has been read a Second time. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Porter. Amends House Bill 2199 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, it's my understanding that Mr. Shea wanted, had requested to have this Bill held. I don't know whether he still feels that way. Do you want it held, Jerry? Can we take it to Third? We have taken it to Third. This has gone to Third already."

Speaker Telcser: "No, it's on Second, John."

Porter: "I think the Amendments have been adopted, though, Art."

Speaker Telcser: "Have they, Mr. Clerk? Are they adopted, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "No."

Porter: "All right, let's put the Amendments on there then, all right? Mr. Speaker, if we could put on Amendment #1 and then hold the Bill after that."



Speaker Telcser: "All right."

Porter: "Amendment #1 simply provides that of the Commission members, the six and a half, three would be appointed by the Speaker and three by the House Minority Leader. And of the six in the Senate, three would be appointed by the President of the Senate and three by the Senate Minority Leader. It also changes the mission of the Commission to state that the Commission shall review the policies and procedures of the authority in its administration of the Act. There are really not substantive changes other than those and I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2199. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? That's it? Third Reading. House Bill 2200. House Bill 2200."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2200, give the Bill, Chalky. This Bill's been read a Second time. Got any Amendments? No Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. No, just move it to Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 2221. No? 2361. Gale, you want 2361? House Bill 2361."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2361, Schisler. This Bill's been read a Second time."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you want that called, Clyde? Just move 2221 to Third. Okay, let's go back for a second to 2221. Has that been read a Second time?"

Clerk Selcke: "It's been read a Second time. No Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. Now, House Bill 2361."

Clerk Selcke: "2361's been read a Second time."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "We've got eight Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2361."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."



LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to offer for adoption Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2361."

Speaker Telcser: "Well now, wait a minute. Is there... Okay, here's where we're at. The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler, has moved to table Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2361. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur, has offered a substitute motion that the House do adopt Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2361. Now on the Gentleman's motion to adopt, Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would hope that the House would concur and adppt Amendment #1 which is a Committee Amendment to the Department of Agriculture's budget. Committee Amendment #1 was agreed by both staffs about... upon thorough review of this budget, the net decrease of \$398,100. It involves the following areas. It's a reduction of \$88,900 in general office. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Once again, I'd like to reiterate the complete support of Committee Amendment #1 which was adopted in the Appropriations Committees, net reduction of approximately \$398,100. It was worked on by both staffs and it concurs with the Majority Membership and Minority in the Appropriations Committee. It's a reduction in the following areas: \$88,900 in general office; \$15,000 grain inspection; feeds, fertilizers, and standards-approximately \$74,700; apiary inspection of \$5,200; warehouses of \$19,000; markets of \$45,500; meat, poultry, and live-stock-\$138,600; soil and water conservation-\$3,900; county furnish \$12,300; for a net reduction of \$398,100. And I think if you make the comparison to a \$17,000,000 budget, you mock that we're justified here as far as this reduction was thoroughly and thoughtfully prepared and adopted. And I would hope that the Membership of this House would concur with the Appropriations Committee and support Amendment #1 to this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Lechowicz has thoroughly explained the Amendment as has Representative LaFleur. And I just wanted to impress upon you that this is a Committee Amendment, approved by



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

both sides of the aisle, by both... prepared by both staffs after a very thorough study and certainly I would hope that it would be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am a Member of the Committee that made this recommendation. I find myself in the embarrassing position of going against the Committee's decision and its very capable, skilled Chairman. At the present time, the Department of Agriculture is headed by Director Robert Williams. Director Williams, to my observation, is one of the most skilled and dedicated public servants that we have in public life today. He seems somehow to have run into trouble with certain factions within his own party, but why those people would seek to retaliate by removing from the budget funds that are necessary that the Department of Agriculture might continue to serve that very important industry throughout the State of Illinois, I'll never know. There are none who seriously contend that this amount of money can be taken out of the Department of Agriculture budget without enfeebling its efforts to the point that it will no longer be of service to the farmers of the State of Illinois. I ask each of you that has a single farmer in your district, recognize that he knows as you must know likewise that the Department of Agriculture renders a very vital service to agriculture. It is necessary for the well-being of those upon whom our own livelihood depend, those who grow the food that makes it possible for Illinois to rank as the number one exporter in all the states. We must not in the name of petty politics gut the budget for the Department of Agriculture. I realize that a majority of you are from the city and you may not recognize directly how important the Department of Agriculture is, but I ask you that the milk of human kindness extend to your deliberations on this part. It is wrong for the city vote to gang up for any reason whatever to destroy the effectiveness of the Department of Agriculture. I want each of you to note that the man that I spoke of as being so very skilled in his operation of his duty, it is not my opinion alone. He's from a different side of the political aisle than I, but he's endorsed

by every farm organization that I know. He has the confidence of all who have studied the matter. Vote for good government. It costs more to go first class. Vote to reject this ill-considered Committee Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just wanted to rise on a point of order here in Roscoe was bringing out the Director of Agriculture and all the things that he has done. But I do want you to know that some of the language that was used by the Director of Agriculture would have made the Watergate Tapes look good and I have a letter here that I'll just read to you, Roscoe, that the Director gave me himself yesterday in trying to owe an apology for this. It says, 'Dear Chuck: I feel I owe you an apology. It is my sincere desire that you accept this in the spirit that it is being offered. Your Friend, 'Pud'.' Now, this is the same Director that you just spoke so highly of that did use all this language and I do accept his apology. However, I would speak to the Amendment here and the Amendment that has been offered here is a just cut. And I feel that this Amendment is offered in fairness. Every department we've had before the Appropriations Committee, we've cut them somewhat and Agriculture is not being cut as much as most of the Committees that have come before Appropriations, so I would like to go along with Representative LaFleur over there and ask for a favorable vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, Members of this House, I don't want to get in a personality conflict. I want to talk to you about the Department of Agriculture and what it means to the State of Illinois. Here we talk about a Department of Agriculture and I've been talking for the Department of Agriculture not under the Democratic administration, if you please; but also I've talked for the Department of Agriculture under the past Republican administration. And I helped restore money to that department when they thought it was gone. Now, this here cuts by people that I don't know why, but a department... that here... and the agriculture business alone, I think, is over



five billion dollars here in the State of Illinois. And agribusiness in the United States makes up about 40% of the jobs. Also, I want to say to you, the people that are in agriculture, the farm people, I would... I don't know how much money that they contribute to the education of our children on the local levels through the real estate taxes and they don't have near the majority of the children in the schools today. I say to you that agriculture contributes up one great amount of money for the operation of state government in many other ways. And if they can't have the reasonable amount of money which they are asking for in the Bill here by the Sponsor, I think it well behooves this General Assembly to take a look at themselves in the mirror because I personally am, I realize and the press can say what they please, maybe it's prejudice. I am a farmer and I think the farm people are entitled to...(microphone turned off)...Bill. I know and I talked to people that come down here. It wasn't bad to postpone a Bill for one week, two weeks, three weeks or four weeks because the Department of Agriculture was here and that was their job to be here. But I know men, cattle raisers, hog raisers, people who had farming to do in the times that they had to do it took their own time to come down here to testify. They drove miles. They had to hire help to take their place back at home and they came down here and found out we're not going to hear that Bill today. I don't think the farm people of this state deserve that kind of treatment. And I'm a little irritated when this kind of treatment is put on the various organizations and I talked to them, too. And they were sent down here time and time again and it was postponed for one reason or another. I think you should've heard the Bill and if you couldn't hear it, maybe once postponed, yes. But week after week after week, if you please, I think was a kind of a ridiculous matter and I hope that we can put this Amendment... this Bill back in the form that the Sponsor wants it. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise to join my distinguished colleague, Representative Craig and Representative Cunningham, in their pleas that we restore the money back to the



Department of Agriculture. I am fully cognizant that today's market and today's money world, we're facing a time when we must watch where we spend our dollar. But stop to consider, what is going on in the outside world? Inflation has hit the farmer worst of all. It's double inflation prices are lower at the market end when he's trying to sell his products. Speaker, could I please have some order? Today, the farmer is forced to pay the highest inflation price ever and the same time, the market price has gone down drastically. You're telling him we are no longer going to give him..."

Speaker Telcser: "Sam, wait one second. Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a point of order, Mr. Speaker. It seems to me that this is one of the more important Bills and I hate to interrupt my colleague, but when the... when the man in leadership was speaking a while ago and while Representative McGrew is attempting to speak, we've got all kind of people walking up and down the aisles and it's very difficult to hear. I wish we could have some order."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, will the people who are not entitled to the Floor, please remove themselves and go up in the galleries or in the side aisles where they should be so that the Gentleman could hear the debate on this matter? Proceed, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. But I was just... in trying to point out that today's farmer is in the biggest crunch... (microphone turned off)...May I have the... Thank you. Today's farmer is in the biggest crunch we've ever had to be in. Inflation has hit them and yet the marketplaces are down. If anyone looks outside, you'll see that we're having a tremendous problem getting crops in this year. At the same time, we are trying to make sure that we are not going to have the funds to provide them the advice that is so desperately needed."

Speaker Telcser: "Sir, who is that gentleman there? He's from who? Well now, that gentleman knows that he is only supposed to aide the Sponsor of the Bills and not be lobbying on the Floor for votes."



Now, the people who are not entitled to the Floor, please leave the Floor. If you're from the Governor's Office, you should be with the Sponsor of the Bill helping that Sponsor answer questions. Proceed, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much once again, Mr. Speaker. I'm just asking the Members of this House to be a little bit understanding that in the year when the farmer needs all the help and advice he can have, that he can possibly get, that you do so and support the killing of this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it is time that this General Assembly stop and take a look at the situation that we have in the State of Illinois as far as the agricultural industry is concerned. We have been through a spring that I have never seen the likes of and I've been around the state for a number of years and I won't say how many. But really, our agricultural industry is in a terrible position due to weather. We're in a terrible position due to prices. We find a case where feed lots are not being refilled with cattle. We're going to all come to realize this in approximately a year from now when we do not have the meat in the butcher shops and the consumer is going to be paying the high price. I think that it's high time that we consider the facts of the State of Illinois is the number one agricultural producing state in the nation and that we proceed to fund the Department of Agriculture in this state in the proper manner. I urge all of you to vote to defeat this Amendment that strips the guts out of its ability to do its job."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge the... we support Committee Amendment #1. I understand that in the Appropriations Committee meeting when the Director was asked what his position was on the removal of this \$300,000 from his budget, he said he had no position on it. Now, certainly if the Director of the Department has no position on removing



\$300,000, then we ought to take a position and remove it. We have as we've gone into time and time again, spent a great deal of money in this Session of the Legislature, far more than we can raise with present revenue sources. Here is an opportunity to strike a blow for the taxpayer and while I regret very much leaving the company of my good friend, Tom McMaster, and certainly my friend, Roscoe, in whose pocket I reside, I hate like everything to oppose him, but I would urge you to support Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak directly to you on a friend to friend. You know, we've spent some evenings together and discussed many..."

Speaker Telcser: "Hey, hey, now."

Rigney: "...interesting topics in the General Assembly and I think you're in need of a little advice on this Bill. You know as a two-year Member of the Appropriations Committee, I was there the day that we gave the Director of Children and Family Services ten million dollars that he told us he didn't want or didn't know what he was going to do with once he had it. I was there the day that we gave fifty thousand dollars to send the Chicago Symphony on a trip to Europe and I will not bore you with many of the other votes that we made in that Committee. We're talking here about a cut in the Department of Agriculture, but I wonder how many of you realize that one half of this cut is in one division. The Division of Meat, Livestock, and Poultry Inspections. What we're talking about here really is not agricultural legislation; this is consumer legislation and I think it's going to be rather difficult for you, Mr. Speaker, to go back with some of your I.B.I. friends and have to tell them that you voted to cut funds for a matter of human health such as livestock, meat, and poultry inspections. So, I respectfully request to you that this is good liberal legislation. It's for the people. It's consumer legislation. I'm sorry to see that my good friend, Mr. LaFleur, is misguided on this, but I think we very definitely do need to restore the cut in this department. Thank you."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly, just to respond to my good colleague, Representative Rigney. I think what he has to do is take a look at the fiscal '74 appropriation for the Division of Meat, Poultry, and Livestock Inspection which was at \$6,373,000, your fiscal '74 expenditure. Your fiscal '75 request and in turn as you know, when the various personal services requests not only in agriculture, but in any department that appears before our Committee, has stood vacant for a period of over six months, we ask why. Well, the personal services request included 18 positions which have been vacant at least 8 months. Either these positions should be cut or agriculture should pay higher pay scales for these positions. Now, the division request for '75 personnel reflects a reduction. But based on a projected figure, the following reduction was suggested. For personal services and again, these are the vacant positions, Representative. \$124,000, Retirement- \$8,000, total reduction-one hundred, thirty-two thousand, point six. Now, that was your major objection to this Amendment. I can detail by the penny the \$300,000 out of a \$17,000,000 budget that we are recommending in Amendment #1. And if you want to take the time of this House, I'll be more than happy to go through the expenditures in '73, '74 and projected for '75. I would hope this House would adopt and support Committee Amendment #1. It's fair and equitable."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in support of Amendment #1. And for those Members of the House who have pleaded to the General Assembly that because of flooding and so on that the Department of Agriculture needs this money, just let me remind them that in no way did this cut touch that portion of the budget that deals with soil and water conservation. It was a very responsible cut in areas which the Director of the department could not even disagree with at the time and I respectfully request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Fulton, Representative



Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the Amendment #1. It guts my Bill and I hope that my friends will stay with me. Agriculture in the State of Illinois is the biggest business. It's the biggest business in the world and we need this. I'm sure that I don't have the staff that my learned friend from Chicago has, but I'll guarantee I know a damn sight more about agriculture and I want Amendment #1 tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur, to close."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members, I think there has been some emotions here, some politics here, some campaigns run; and I think there's some confusion existing about Amendment #1. Amendment #1 addresses itself to the Department of Agriculture and a \$17,000,000 budget and a reduction of \$398,000 which is about 2% of the total request of this department. And these reductions appear in nine divisions of the Department of Agriculture. This was not hastily put together, nor without feelings because I think each of us know and each of us are concerned about agri-business in the State of Illinois and we know what it contributes to us. And I think the people who have spoken on this are orientated towards agriculture and their concerns are not well founded. I submit to this Legislature that this Amendment is well considered, carefully constructed, and very meaningful. It will not hurt agriculture. It will assist them. It is within the perimeters of what the Committee has tried to do in all the areas of government. And I also would submit and I wish they would listen to this, that this is the Committee Amendment. It is discussed, it is the agreed, it is the one Amendment that was put together meaningful, with the hope that all could enter in the spirit of harmony. There will be sixteen other Amendments and I think some of these people who are addressing themselves to Amendment #1 have individual responses to some of these other Amendments as they will come forth. This Amendment does not in any way harm agriculture. It has been put in in the spirit that agriculture could serve its full purpose and take its full place in the State of Illinois. And I would move



for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2361."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Fennessey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Fennessey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just so we're clear on what we're voting here now. Did Representative Schisler make a motion to table Amendment #1?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schisler moved to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 2361. Representative LaFleur then offered a substitute motion to adopt. So, the question would be to adopt Amendment #1. If you're with LaFleur, you vote 'yes'. If you're with Gale, you vote 'no'. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, first, before I ask my question, I certainly would like to apologize to any of the Members that I woke up last night. I was a little carried away and glad to see my microphone's working. I was planning on leaving last night, but Charlie Fleck's pay raise brought me back very quickly today. And I'd like to ask a question on this now with that aside. The Amendment Representative LaFleur is talking about is the one that deletes money. Could you explain... it deletes the \$300,000. Is that what it..."

LaFleur: "Yes, Representative Houlihan. This is Amendment #1 of 17, so I think the confusion exists on what is being done or attempting to be done to the Department of Agriculture. And in 17 Amendments you can imagine there will be several things happen. This is the Amendment that was the Committee Amendment that was worked out jointly by the staff of the Appropriations Committee. We had hoped that the time in concert with the Department of Agriculture. The Director took no position on this Amendment. This is a Committee Amendment. It reduce the budget \$398,100. It does this in this way. It's about 2% of the total request. It comes out of nine different divisions of the Department of Agriculture. It is not a personal attempt at the Department of Agriculture. It is the Committee Amendment to the Department of Agriculture budget."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the question is shall Amendment #1 to House Bill 2361 be adopted. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Does anyone want... the Gentleman from



Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, I must repeat Jim Houlihan's question. I'm confused. Are we voting straight up on the motion to amend or are we voting on the motion of Mr. Schislars?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're voting on Representative LaFleur's substitute motion to adopt."

Barry: "To adopt, straight up?"

Speaker Telcser: "Right."

Barry: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, have all voted who wished? Anyone else want to explain their vote? Let's give everybody a chance. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you for recognizing me to explain my vote on this particular issue. I just want to call the attention to some of the Members up there that are voting green that agriculture is very important to us in downstate Illinois. When the economy is going well and when agriculture is going well, then the economy is going well. It's kind of surprising to me that some of the people that are voting green up there would deny us the opportunity to have the economy running well and then the economy of the State of Illinois and the income it generates for the State of Illinois, would be much better off if agriculture was going well. And I recall that some of the Members that are voting green also that just the other day on Mr. Mann's, Representative Mann's Bill, we supplied some eighty-nine million more dollars to welfare recipients which are mostly and particularly in one county and I was very happy to vote for that and I don't deny them that they needed the money. I would also like to remind those Members of that same county that are voting green that we supplied some seventy-nine more, million more dollars, new dollars to their school system and I don't deny that they need the money for their school system and I supported that. It seems to me that they could reflect for a minute upon those votes and upon the Members, the downstate Members who supported those issues, reflect upon them for a minute and see how we supported you in your need and ask... I sincerely ask you to reflect for a minute and change your vote and vote 'no'. Thank



you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

It was pointed out by Representative LaFleur that this is about an eighteen million dollar budget and Amendment #1 decreases it by about 2% which is right. However, it hasn't been pointed out in the discussion yet that there are 2, 4, 6, 7 more Committee Amendments to be offered. Seven more Committee Amendments to be offered which would add \$3,347,600 to this budget. The first Amendment merely...(microphone turned off)... and we're going to add about three and a half million to it. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative... Oh,

I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I

hadn't really intended to get into this debate, but as far as this particular Amendment is concerned and I don't know what I'm going to do on the rest of them. This Amendment, if we believe in the staffing and the Appropriations Committee process, is an Amendment that was agreed to by and large of the Committee Members, at least a majority of them agreed to it. Both staffs on the House side of this Legislative Body, the Republican and Democratic staff both said that this was in the interest of economy and good government. And hearing testimony, said that it is not going to detract from a feasible and orderly operation of that department one iota.

Now, if we are going to discharge our responsibilities in the financial field of state government, we're going to have to take a look at all budgets. We're going to have to be responsible and we're going to have to set the priorities in the manner in which we deem that they should be set. I had a Director say to me a moment ago, not of the Department of Agriculture, said, 'Oh Lordy, I wish that I had've been treated this lightly.' Talking about this Amendment. I think personally that the Amendment is in the interest of legislative responsibility, of setting priorities, of attempting to keep a lid on spending. That's the reason I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."



Craig: "Ladies and Gentlemen of this General Assembly and Mr. Speaker, when they talk about legislative responsibility on saving a budget and I read in the paper not long ago, they're already passed out of that Appropriations Committee, seven hundred, almost a billion dollars if you please and here you're quibbling about three hundred and ninety-eight thousand. That's sure showing a lot of responsibility to that Appropriations Committee and those two staffs down there if you please and I want to say to you as far as those two staffs are concerned, what they know about the Department of Agriculture, you could stick in your eye and not have even a sore eye. So, let's don't talk about financial responsibility when you talk about saving three hundred and ninety-eight thousand out of over seven to eight hundred million if you please, beyond the Governor's budget. So if that's in any argument, I've never heard of the like."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise to explain my vote. I agree with our Minority Leader that the staff people did go over this. I have many disagreements with him, though. First of all, quite frankly, before about a year and a half ago, I didn't know who the hell the staff members were. I don't know what they do know. All they do is to keep our Leadership happy and I think that my 96,000 votes that are going on... in my own opinion."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Choate: "Only to tell Representative McGrew that the staff on several occasions has researched things that he's asked to have researched. Only to tell him that many Members and since he was acquainted with the actions of the Appropriations Committee, he realizes that every Member on that Committee is provided with a detailed, detailed activity as far as the staff is concerned and if he looked at the report that... of the Committee that he does serve on, there's also reports and analysts as far as they are concerned."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As you can check, many times I don't vote as the staff says in Committee either. I would



just simply like to point out that I think every man and woman on this House is entitled to make up their own mind. I appreciate the staff reports on many occasions, but I don't think that we are putting them in a position of little gods. And this is essentially what happened. If you will check with the Members of the Committee, you will find that Director Williams didn't even know anything about this Amendment until it was offered. Of course, he had no opinion. How the hell could he when he'd never seen it? I appreciate your negative vote on this Roll Call. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Barry."

Barry: "I rise to explain my vote. I'm a Member of the Appropriations Committee and let me assure you that I didn't see that staff report until the Director of Agriculture had cooled his heels for three days in succession. I got that staff report on the morning the Bill was finally called. Now, let's not... let's not play games. I think everybody knows that it's a political fight. I happen to be in opposition to my seatmate. I love him like a brother, but in this fight I want to win. I'd like to suggest that we get more green... red votes up there because we're talking about the people of Illinois who some half century ago caused 90% of our economy to come from the fields and they've been hurt this year. They were hurt last night and the night before with the storms. I think we've got to give this department what it needs, what it suggests it needs, and the simple way to solve the problem of another 15 Amendment is to give this vote a good red vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members, there was certainly, at the time these were put together, done in good faith. And I think the Amendment was offered in good faith. I think it was fully discussed and explained. I think the Members of the Appropriations staff did a fine job. I think the Members of the Appropriations Committee are to be commended. I would imagine you would get this same reaction from the people who are vitally interested in schools if you were going to reduce the school budget, the people who are vitally interested in a welfare program if we were to attack that; but this is not an attack. This is not an attack. This is fiscal



management. As I said before, it is well put together. I think the people who are putting red lights up there are ill advised because I know many of those red lights up there at this time are vitally interested in an Amendment that is to follow. And I cannot see how there can be a meaningful vote on 16 more Amendments if you are going to disregard the Committee structure, the Committee work, the staff work and the spirit of cooperation for the Department of Agriculture that has gone into Amendment #1. And I would ask each one to seriously examine Amendment #1 and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the greatest respect for the Appropriations Committee and the job that they're trying to do. The difficulties that I find is not with them. The difficulty I find is the fact that we are not given the same information that they are so that we can make a proper adjudication of what this is really all about. Likewise, if you're going to cut this department here and then bank on the spinning of the wheel as to whether other Amendments are to follow, certainly is not in the best interest of those who come here to represent those people in agriculture. For example, I would like to call to your attention that there has been a reduction in the department of all things, bees and beekeepers and inspectors. Now if you think that is a small point, let me point this out to you. The largest export that the State of Illinois has is in soybeans. The proposition that we have also is that the rank soybeans that we currently have do not need bee fertilization, but would you believe it that the crop that we are going to depend in the future which is a miniature soybean plant that is going to rescue this whole thing for us in the State of Illinois in the largest export that we have and here we are reducing the one department that we really think should be called upon for the future of all and that is in the realm of the bees, the beekeepers and the inspectors. Now...(microphone turned off)...some work and the only thing that I can do with the limited information that I have here then is to stick with it to be sure that the department does have enough money



so that they can operate."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. When you drive home tonight, you will see fields that are a disaster. I have never seen the like of this anytime in my life. We've got to help the farming industry somehow. Yesterday, we tossed around ninety million dollars to help the welfare agencies so they wouldn't have to feed cat food to their people. We'll be lucky to have cat food if the industry does not come out of this slump that mother nature's put us in. I urge you to vote for this... or against this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I regret that the Appropriations staff of both Committees have been criticized here this afternoon. Rather than be criticized, I think they should be complimented. I just want to point out that some of the figures that have been batted around here this afternoon are badly in error. As of last night after Appropriations Committee, we had acted on a hundred and sixty-seven Bills totaling seven billion, one hundred and fifty-two million dollars. We passed those Bills out in the amount of seven billion, one hundred and seventy-seven million dollars. An increase of twenty-five million dollars as of yesterday and the Appropriations Committee with an expected surplus in this measure of up to a hundred and sixty million this year. If that can be called fiscal irresponsibility, I don't know what it is then. And just let me point out again that there are seven Committee Amendments following this one that add three and a half million dollars to this Bill. I would hope that you would vote and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to talk on the Amendment anymore.

I think it's been justified more than enough. I just want to say that the staff on both sides worked very hard and the Membership of the Committee as well worked very hard. And as far as if there is any Member in this General Assembly that needs information, it's available. It's available. It's available in Room 106. All you have to do is go drop in and ask. On the Bill number, we'll give



you a complete analysis as it is, whether it's a Member of this General Assembly or the press or anybody else. We're proud of our work. First time in two years, Ladies and Gentlemen, that people are starting to realize that we have an effective staff and it's to their credit and our credit. We're getting applicants from people who want to join our staff and I'm proud of that fact and I take it as a personal affront to the staff and Membership of the Appropriations Committee when people try to criticize on a justified, agreed Amendment that is completely worked out. Now, other Amendments that are going to be offered to this Bill, I don't agree with. They were self-centered and maybe self-introduced and really for other motives. But I'll tell you this.

This Amendment is justified."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Now, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now, fellow Members of this House, now I know a few of you from Chicago are farm-raised. For example, Representative Davis did tell me once he was farm-raised and I understand some of the farmers have been talking to him. I know that this is so. I want you to know that on what we call the Strasburg Farm in Shelby County, we have out of 360 acres, 55 acres of corn planted as of now or perhaps of yesterday and look at the weather. It's impossible. Other acreage of that corn that would have been planted has been put in, has been fertilized. Now, we cannot put corn... soybeans where this fertilization has already been put on into the ground. It will kill the soybeans. The time for planting corn already has passed. Now, I'm giving you our example. There isn't a farmer in this room that won't say the same thing. I just can't simply say to you the seriousness of what is approaching the agricultural community with the weather that we're having. I can't answer for the southern part of the state. Those from that area can do this. I can't answer for the Rockford area 'cause I don't know the weather there either, but I do know and you know 'cause you're here what is happening in the center part of this state. I think we should support anything that will help the agricultural community... Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you



rise, sir?"

Washburn: "Discuss the weather of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2361."

Speaker Telcser: "I think your point is well taken, sir. Anyone else wish to explain their vote? The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to explain my 'no' vote upon this Amendment. I'm a Member of the Appropriations Committee and I agree, there are appropriations staff on both sides of the aisle that have done a magnificent job this time; but I'm nevertheless voting 'no' upon this Amendment. And I would tell you why. This Amendment takes almost all of its cuts, in fact, all of its cuts come out of one area of the Department of Agriculture and that is the General Office. Now, you may add by other Amendments additional duties, additional monies to other divisions of the Department of Agriculture, but if you don't have an office to operate the department, you're not going to be very effective with anything else that you've provided in this Bill or anything else that you amend to it or any other duties that you provide to the Department of Agriculture. This Amendment as I understand it, was not seen by the Director of Agriculture until it was presented on the Floor of the Appropriations Committee. This Bill laid in our books for some three weeks and it wasn't until shortly before they got the final... finally getting a hearing upon this Bill that the staff committee reports were made available at least to me and to some of the other Members and I'm sure that was general. I know that this is not any fault on the part of our staff, but nevertheless, the information did not get there until that time. So, I would suggest to you that in the interest of fairness and in good operation of this department that it is necessary that we have an ongoing office in order to do all of the things that you want done to the Department of Agriculture and I would wish that everyone would consider voting 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to explain their vote? No? Have all voted who wished? The Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Totten."



Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Let me just point out that the staff analysis to this Bill recommending the reductions were sitting on most of the Members of the Committee books for as long as this Bill was posted and if any of the Members have any concern about it, they could certainly have talked for the Director about it and it is not my understanding that the Director had not seen this Amendment prior to his coming in there."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 82 'nays', 5 answering 'present'. Representative LaFleur, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, at the appropriate time, I'd like to ask for a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise, sir? How do you wish to be recorded, sir? Record Representative Ryan as voting 'aye', Mr. Clerk. Okay. Verification has been requested. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Choate: "For the purpose of an introduction, Mr. Speaker. On behalf of Representative Yourell, Palmer, and Huskey, I would like to introduce Scout Master Blens and Troup 596 of the Oak Lawn Boy Scout Troup, accompanied by Pat Sullivan from the 8th District, represented by these three fine Members of ours. They're in the rear balcony. Would the please stand?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, verification has been requested. I'm sure it'll be requested on both sides. The Roll Call now stands at 81 'ayes' and 82 'nays. Pursuant to the rules, the affirmative Roll Call will be verified first. Okay? Any problem with that? Will the Members please be in their seats. All right now, Gale, we're going to take the affirmative first, so you want to be watching, right? Affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes, Beatty, Berman, Boyle, Brandt, Capparelli, Choate, Collins, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, DiPrima, Ebbesen, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Gibbs, Greiman, Grotberg, Hart, Hill, G. Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, that's D. Houlihan, Jaffe, J.D. Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kempiners, Kennedy, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kucharski,



LaFleur, Laurino, Lech ~~Wicz~~, ~~Lechner~~, Lenke, Leon, Madigan, Mahar, ~~Mann~~, McCormick, ~~McLendon~~, ~~McGartlin~~, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, ~~Mr. Murphy~~, ~~Nash~~, Palmer, Patrick, Peters, Philip, ~~Porter~~, ~~Randolph~~, Ryan, ~~Schaeferlein~~, Sevcik, Shea, Shurtz, ~~Skinner~~, ~~Soderstrom~~, ~~Spencer~~, Telcser, Thompson, Totten, W.D. Walsh, Walters, ~~Wassburn~~, ~~Wright~~. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Now Mr. ~~Wright~~ goes from 'present' to 'aye'. Mr. Duff."

Duff: "I'd like to change ~~my~~ vote to 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Duff ~~goes~~ from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ~~like~~ to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye', please."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Change his vote from 'no' to 'aye'.

Mr. Holloway. Change ~~my~~ Holloway from 'aye' to 'no'. All right, are there any more changes? Mr. Boyle. Mr. Boyle. Change Mr. Boyle from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Porter. Mr. Porter goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. ~~Emil~~ Jones."

Emil Jones: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact that I have a farm in the 28th Legislative District, I change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jones ~~goes~~ from 'no' to 'aye'. Are there any further changes now before we ~~adjourn~~? All right, where are we now before we start any questions of the affirmative? All right, we're starting with 82 'ayes' and 82 ~~nays~~. Questions of the affirmative? Who's questioning the ~~affirmative~~? Who is? Mr. Schisler and Mr. Barry in the back. ~~okay~~."

Schisler: "Beatty."

Speaker Blair: "Beatty is ~~coming~~ down the center aisle."

Schisler: "Collins."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Collins ~~is~~ in his seat."

Schisler: "Deavers."

Speaker Blair: "Deavers. ~~is~~ the Gentleman... Here he is, right over here."

Schisler: "DiPrima."

Speaker Blair: "DiPrima? ~~is~~ the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman ~~is~~ recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Blair: "He's not in his seat. Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Ebbesen."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ebbesen's in his seat."

Schisler: "Friedland."

Speaker Blair: "Friedland's in his seat."

Schisler: "Greiman."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Greiman is down here in his seat."

Schisler: "Hart."

Speaker Blair: "Hart. How's the Gentleman voted?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Klosak."

Speaker Blair: "Klosak has not voted, is he, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman..."

Speaker Blair: "He's absent."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record, not here."

Schisler: "Krause."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take Mr. Krause off the record."

Schisler: "Kucharski."

Speaker Blair: "He's in his seat."

Schisler: "Lemke."

Speaker Blair: "Lemke is here by the Clerk."

Schisler: "McPartlin."

Speaker Blair: "McPartlin, how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Miller, T.H. Miller."

Speaker Blair: "Tom Miller. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Palmer."

Speaker Blair: "Who? Palmer?"

Schisler: "Palmer."



Speaker Blair: "He's in the back."

Schisler: "Randolph."

Speaker Blair: "He's in his seat."

Schisler: "Schoeberlein."

Speaker Blair: "He's in his seat."

Schisler: "Sevcik."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record. I don't see him in his seat."

Schisler: "Skinner."

Speaker Blair: "He's here."

Schisler: "Yourell."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Leon."

Speaker Blair: "There he is. He's here."

Schisler: "Katz."

Speaker Blair: "I saw Katz a minute ago, he's not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record. All right, put Mr. Krause back on. Put Mr. Katz back on."

Schisler: "Barnes."

Speaker Blair: "He's here."

Schisler: "Beatty."

Speaker Blair: "Beatty... you verified him once."

Schisler: "Day."

Speaker Blair: "Day's here."

Schisler: "Mann."

Speaker Blair: "Mann, I saw... is he here, Mrs. Martin. I don't see him, though. Where is he? Where is he? Where? Oh, here he is, okay. He's here. Mr. Yourell back on the record."

Schisler: "Garmisa."

Speaker Blair: "Garmisa, how's he recorded? Garmisa."



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Garmisa's voting 'no'. He's not here. Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Grotberg."

Speaker Blair: "Who? Grotberg?"

Schisler: "Grotberg."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Schisler: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take Mr. Grotberg off the record."

Schisler: "Madigan."

Speaker Blair: "Madigan?"

Schisler: "Yes."

Speaker Blair: "He's here."

Schisler: "Palmer."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we took him off once, didn't we, Mr. Clerk?"

Palmer. Oh, how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Capparelli."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Clerk, had Mr. Palmer been verified once?"

Clerk O'Brien: "I don't have him recorded off."

Speaker Blair: "It seemed to me that we verified him to start with.

Oh, that's right. Leave Mr. Palmer on. He was back in the back there where Mr. LaFleur's seat was and I... he... I did verify him. Now, put Mr. McPartlin back on."

Schisler: "Nardulli."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Nardulli. He's not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Patrick."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman... he's here."

Schisler: "Peters."

Speaker Blair: "He's here."

Schisler: "Porter."

Speaker Blair: "Porter is here. Wait a minute. Mr. Porter. Let's see, he changed his vote..."



Schisler: "I'll withdraw that one."

Speaker Blair: "We weren't on verification when we did that, so we'll have to take him off the record. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, take him off the record."

Schisler: "Sevcik."

Speaker Blair: "Sevcik. We've already... we've taken him off."

Schisler: "Thompson."

Speaker Blair: "Who?"

Schisler: "Thompson."

Speaker Blair: "Yes, how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

Schisler: "Springer."

Speaker Blair: "He's back there."

Schisler: "Fleck."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Fleck. He's here."

Schisler: "That's all I have, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right now, where do we stand now on the affirmative?"

Wait a minute, 74 'ayes' and 82 'nays' and Mr. Arnell wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. So, we're starting now on a verification of the negative with a vote of 75 'ayes' and 82 'nays'. 80? Oh, okay. 75 'ayes' and 80, '8-0' 'nays'. Would the Clerk verify the negative now? Tell you, it'll be helpful if we could be in our seats. We've got quite a number of Amendments to address ourselves to and... All right, you ready on the negative, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Anderson, Barry, Beaupre, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capuzi, Chapman, Clabaugh, Craig, Cunningham, Deuster, R.L. Dunne, Fennessey, Flinn, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Harpstrite, Hirschfeld, J. Holloway, Robert Holloway, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Jacobs, Jenison, Kelly, Kent, Kriegsman, Lauer, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McGah, McGrew, McMaster, Mugalian, Neff, North, Pierce, Polk, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Tim Simms, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone,



Terzich, Tipsword, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R.A. Walsh, Washington, Williams, and J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Blair: "Put Mr. Porter back on. No? Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, I have changed my vote from 'aye' to 'nay'. I understand that I was taken off the affirmative Roll Call. That's fine, but I'd like to be placed on the negative."

Speaker Blair: "Well... right, you changed your vote from 'yes' to 'no' and then the people were verifying the affirmative, verified you. They thought you were still affirmative, but you weren't. You were 'no', so we took you off of 'no'. So now, we're putting you back on 'no'. All right. Yeah, he came on. All right, are there questions of the negative? Who's handling for the negative? Who's handling for the negative? Who is? LaFleur? All right. Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Garmisa."

Speaker Blair: "Garmisa? Wait a minute. We took Garmisa off to start with, didn't we? Yeah, he came off on the affirmative verification although he was negative."

LaFleur: "Stedelin."

Speaker Blair: "Stedelin. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "He's not in his seat. Take him off the record."

LaFleur: "Terzich."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

LaFleur: "Calvo."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman... there he is."

LaFleur: "Beaupre."

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

LaFleur: "Boyle."

Speaker Blair: "Boyle's here."

LaFleur: "Dunne, R.L. Dunne."

Speaker Blair: "R.L.'s here."

LaFleur: "Flinn."

Speaker Blair: "Flinn? He's here."



LaFleur: "Griesheimer."

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

LaFleur: "Hirschfeld."

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

LaFleur: "Hudson."

Speaker Blair: "Who?"

LaFleur: "Huskey."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, he's here. Huskey. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

LaFleur: "Kelly."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

LaFleur: "Maragos."

Speaker Blair: "How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

LaFleur: "Matijevich."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record. Matijevich. He's here."

LaFleur: "Matijevich is what, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

LaFleur: "McCláin."

Speaker Blair: "How's he voted?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

LaFleur: "McGah."

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

LaFleur: "Mugalian."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

LaFleur: "Rayson."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded? Here he is. He's all right."

LaFleur: "Schraeder."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Where? Oh, okay. I see him. All right. Leave him on."

LaFleur: "Sharp."

Speaker Blair: "Sharp. I got you. Where's Mr. Sharp? I got you. Sharp, how's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

LaFleur: "Giorgi."

Speaker Blair: "Giorgi. He's there."

LaFleur: "Simms."

Speaker Blair: "Ike Sims? Tim Simms?"

LaFleur: "Tim Simms."

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

LaFleur: "Jim Houlihan."

Speaker Blair: "Here he is. There he is. He's moved."

LaFleur: "Getty."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

LaFleur: "Lundy."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded? He's here."

LaFleur: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Blair: "Bluthardt. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record. Oh, there he is. He's just returned from... someplace. Is McClain back? Oh, McClain is back. Put McClain back on."

LaFleur: "Bradley."

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record. Any further questions of the negative?"

LaFleur: "One moment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Here's Mr. Bradley. Put him back on. Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I think in the sense of fairness, we all know



that Representative Klosak hasn't been here all week and here he appears up there on a green vote and I think that's just outrageous."

Speaker Blair: "He was taken off on the verification. Are there any further questions? All right, on Amendment #1, there are 75 'ayes' and 73 'nays' and 4 'present'. What? 75 'ayes' and 73 'nays'. What's Maragos do? Put him... do we... I announced the Roll Call but I didn't announce what it did to the Amendment. All right, he's 74. On this question there's 75 'ayes', 74 'nos' and this Amendment having received a majority of the votes cast, it's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #... Committee Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 2361 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Who's Amendment is this, Jack? Mr. Ryan's Amendment."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have another agreed Amendment. Amendment #2 increases the Department of Agriculture's budget by \$363,600 for the animal disease research as follows. The diagnostic lab at the University of Illinois for swine disease and bovine disease and I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any questions of the Sponsor? All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, offered by Representative Kelly in Committee. Amends House Bill 2361 on page 1."

Speaker Blair: "Keller? Keller in Committee? Keller. Mr. Keller, Amendment #3."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment increases the premiums to 4-H clubs by changing the uniform rate from \$5.50 to \$6.50 per member. I move for the adoption of the Amendment. This was an agreed Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Oh, hold it. Back up. We didn't do anything or if we did, we undid it. Some question on the part of Mr. Washburn, Mr. Keller."



Washburn: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is Representative Keller sure that he read Amendment #3?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Keller."

Keller: "I don't know. That's the Amendment I've been handed here for Amendment, now what do you have, Bud?"

Speaker Blair: "Let me see it, Mr. Clerk. Amendment #3 starts out to amend House Bill 2361 on page 1 by inserting immediately after line 28 the following: 'Payable to the Illinois Rural Rehabilitation Funds the administration of the Illinois Rural Rehabilitation Program, \$24,000.' Okay, Mr. Keller, I think it was a question of..."

Washburn: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is that the one to which Mr. Keller was referring? All right then, all in favor of the adoption of that Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #4 and 5 were tabled in Committee. Committee Amendment #6, offered by Representative R. Dunne. Amends House Bill 2361 on page 9 by inserting between lines 10 and 11 the following: Section 5.1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Whose is this one, Mr. Clerk? Who? Oh, Mr. Ebbesen's handling for Mr. Dunne."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment provides the sum of two million dollars appropriated to the Department of Agriculture from the Capital Development Bond Fund which, by the way, has already been approved in the Senate today for two million dollars on its way over here for the construction of a large animal diagnostic laboratory at Kewanee which includes the planed specifications and the construction, fixed equipment and so forth and other expenses as related thereto. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any discussion on the adoption of this Amendment? Mr. Schisler. Do you have any questions on this one, Mr. Schisler?"

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, at this time, I'd like to take this Bill out of the record."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, could we act on this Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "Is there a problem on this Amendment?"

Schisler: "I may decide to table the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "You say you want to table the Bill?"

Schisler: "At this time, Mr. Speaker, I wish to take it out of the record."

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, we're in the middle of a discussion of an Amendment and we're not going to be able to do that without leave of the House."

Ebbesen: "My Bill..."

Speaker Blair: "Objections have been heard to taking it out of the record right now. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't understand why anyone would object to just taking the Bill out of the record as a mere courtesy to the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Well, that's right. That's what we tried to do last night."

Choate: "I know."

Speaker Blair: "And we didn't get there because people objected."

Choate: "Which Bill is that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "That was that disclosure Bill when we tried to adjourn. We wanted to take it out and we couldn't get out."

Choate: "The hour was late..."

Speaker Blair: "We wanted to take that out of the record and couldn't do it."

Choate: "Okay, the hour was late and if you don't desire to take it out of the record, it's all right with me."

Speaker Blair: "No, I didn't object to taking it out of the record. I just wanted to make sure the record was straight."

Choate: "Well, we got the record straight now and I didn't object to taking the one out of the record last night either, so we'll put that record straight."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, all right. That's right."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, could we act on this Amendment prior to any consideration of taking this out of the record?"



Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Schisler, do you have some problems in letting him adopt this Amendment and then just taking it out of the record or loosening on the Amendment? Does that hurt your cause?"

Ebbesen: "This particular Amendment was adopted unanimously by the Committee on Appropriations."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not opposed to the Amendment, but I..."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we'll just adopt this and then we'll go take it out. All right, all those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Now then, Mr. Schisler have leave to take his Bill out of the record with all actions to date being, of course, recorded in the Journal and effective? Hearing no objections, then it will be taken out of the record. 25... what's the next one then, Mr. Clerk? 1375, yeah."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1375, Brummet. A Bill... it's been read a second time. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1375 by striking everything before the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Brummet, is that your Amendment? Mr. Wolf's Amendment."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 is technically incorrect. I guess Mr. Brummet has one. I have Amendment #3. I'd like to first of all move to table Committee Amendment #1 and then hope that we would then go on and maybe Mr. Brummet would also table Amendment #2 and we could offer Amendment #3 which would take care of the problem and also include what Mr. Brummet has in Amendment #2. So, at this time, Mr. Speaker, I'd move that we table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have... oh, table it? All right, he offers to move the adoption and he moves to table. Leave? Tabled. Now, Mr. Brummet."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Brummet. Amends House Bill 1375 on



page 1 by striking line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Brummet."

Brummet: "What this Amendment does, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this clears up some of the technical problems and provides the reserve account that's necessary to conform to the statutory accounting procedures of the Teacher's Retirement System. I would like to see this Amendment put on this Bill and then we can vote the Bill up or down as we should to see who is in favor of it and who is opposed to it and... I move we adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the Members would not adopt Amendment #2 and instead adopt Amendment #3 which does contain this reserve account that Mr. Brummet has. It also contains the provisions for a slight token contribution to help pay for the cost and if we do this, we can then on the appropriation Bill, reduce the appropriation Bill from seven million, four hundred down to three million, seven hundred. And so, I would respectfully urge the Members to vote 'no' on Amendment #2. We could then move for the adoption of Amendment #3 which would take care of that plus everything that's in Mr. Brummet's Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Brummet, to close."

Brummet: "I would like to see us adopt Amendment #2 because actually what the next Amendment does is gut the Bill entirely and this Amendment will put it back in form where we can vote on it such as the Bill should be voted on. So, I ask you to vote 'yes' on Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question's on the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. All right, Roll Call's been requested. All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to correct something. Amendment #3 is not in any manner, shape, or form going to gut the Bill. As a matter of fact, Amendment #3 takes care of everything that is in Mr. Brummet's Amendment and as I said, plus takes care of a... includes a token contribution for a period of three years to help pay



for this increased benefit. And if we do that, we can then take the appropriation Bill that goes with this and reduce that from seven million, four hundred thousand out of general revenue down to three million, seven and I would certainly... would not call that gutting the Bill. It's very easy, many people are looking of course, for you know, increasing the benefits. There is no cost to the retired teachers. The retirees will get this at no contribution and no cost. It's very easy for everyone to support increased benefits as long as nobody's going to have to pay for it. And all this Amendment #3 is going to do that follows this is an increase of .285 of one percent, less than three tenths of one percent contribution for a period of three years. It is certainly very reasonable and I would urge the Members to turn some red lights on on this one so we can adopt Amendment #3 and reduce the appropriation. Now, we've all come under great criticism from the Governor's Office. I know a lot of Members that met with the Governor, had press conferences, how they're going to hold to his budget. They all had their pictures in the paper and they all had their statements, how they're going to help hold the line on spending. We're going to not exceed the Governor's budget and if you want to take another seven million, four out of general revenue and put it to this and give everybody a free ride and next week, we'll have or next year, we'll have everybody else and every other state employee down here to keep increasing and increasing and increasing. Well, go on ahead, be my guest."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 60 'ayes' and 41 'nays' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, J.J. Wolf. Amends House Bill 1375 by striking everything before the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table Amendment #3 because it would be technically incorrect and then move to Amendment #4."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption and then moves to table. Leave to table? No objection, tabled. 4."



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, J.J. Wolf. Amends House Bill 3175 as amended by House Amendment #2 by striking everything before the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Wolf: "All right, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 now would be compatible with Amendment #2 and again it includes a token contribution, a .285 of one percent contribution by those working right now for a period of three years for '75, '76, and '77 and beginning a year, fiscal year '78. Of course, in the meantime the state is making an equal contribution and after that, the state would pick up the entire tab. That would cut down the cost of this benefit by one half to the State of Illinois and of course, we've always gone on the assumption that someone should contribute some token contributions, some cost in order to get the benefits and I would really urge the Members to adopt Amendment #4 which would not impair the Bill and it does improve... it is compatible with Amendment #2. And I would urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I arise in opposition to this Amendment because actually what we're doing, we're starting something new by adding something on to the teachers who are teaching now in order to pay these old, retired, teachers who deserve something. We might as well add this on to the retirement of the Legislators or on the municipal employees. These teachers who are teaching now are not responsible for our older teachers in the shape that they're retirement is in today. And actually what we're doing, we're taking a group of people who retired before 1961 who are at an average of about 78 years today and are passing out of the picture pretty quickly. Last year when this Bill was introduced, the appropriation took ten million, two hundred thousand dollars. This year, there's been enough of these older teachers to pass out of the picture that the appropriation Bill calls for seven million, four hundred thousand dollars. It will decrease as time goes on and rather than having the present-day teachers paying a percentage of it, I feel that we, in the... citizens of the State of Illinois, should all pay our proportionate



share. I ask you to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House adopt Amendment #4. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The question's on the adoption of Amendment #4. All... the question is shall House Amendment #4 be adopted. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Roll Call, all right. The question is... shall the House adopt Amendment #4 to House Bill 1375. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed will vote 'no'. Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is not a new concept of asking someone to help pay for some kind of a benefit. You know, we have other groups come down here and they want to increase the minimum and I'm all for it, but there has to be some kind of cost. And we've had this with the Chicago police and the working olice, they don't support it as if they're going to have to contribute or if it comes out of their pension fund because it depletes. Now, we're dealing with a system that's about two billion dollars unfunded right now. The investments that are made, we just found out a few days ago that the Springfield Marine Bank is the investment counsel. They don't come under the State Investment Board and they only earned 5.4 something percent last year on their investment when we're paying 7 and a half and 8 percent interest on bonds. Now, you know, if they could get another 2 percent on their investment, that would generate about twenty million dollars which would be more than enough to pay for this and other benefits. I don't think it's unreasonable. Less than three tenths of a percent contribution for only a period of three years to pay for benefits. I would certainly urge some more green lights on this, Mr. Speaker. As a matter of fact, you know, the Commission which has opposed the Bill and are trying to work out something to try to help these retired teachers and if we can get a little money in there from the working teachers whose heart is bleeding for these retired people which retired before 1961, well, fine, I'd like to help them, too; but I think if they're out after these benefits, then they should contribute a little bit. And on that basis, I was



going to help the Sponsor get his Bill out of the Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Chirstian, Representative
Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I was informed yesterday that anything that is paid into this fund by teachers who are currently teaching must to their own benefit legally. And that you cannot take their payments into the fund and apply them in any way legally to the payment of these teachers, so I would suggest that perhaps by having this Amendment that it would be improper and illegal to do so."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to support this and one of things... one of the thoughts that comes to my mind is that it was a fiscal problem this year. The Governor could be forced to a position of having to veto a Bill like this even though we passed it without this Amendment. We passed it with this Amendment which seems very reasonable. The Chairman of the Committee offered the Amendment for the very purpose of trying to assist the Sponsor in getting the Bill out. I'm on that Committee and there was of general accord amongst the whole Committee that the Bill would be a better Bill this way. Now, the chances of the Governor signing this would, it seems to me, more than doubled even though it's just splitting it down two ways. I don't see the legal problem of monies being put in for the teachers own benefit when the pension is clearly by definition for their benefit. I really... we got a wide spread up there, but the Chairman of the Committee has gone out of his way to try to make this into a Bill that otherwise he probably would've had to fight in the Committee and probably we wouldn't even have it on the Floor if he hadn't done this. So, I think we ought to consider very well whether we could add the votes on to pass it."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to explain their vote? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Taking the record, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 61 'ayes', 68 'nays', none answering 'present'. Let it go? The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #4 to House Bill 1375 fails. Is there further Amendments? Third



Reading. Has the Bill been read Second time, Mr. Clerk? Representative Brummet, do you wish to have your Bill called now on Third? Would the Clerk please read it a Third time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1375. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Fayette, Representative Brummet."

Brummet: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'm very happy to see someone on the other side of the aisle worrying about the Governor. I thought we over on this side, did all the worrying, but this Bill is a Bill to take care of these older teachers, the ones that taught our generation that have been a very proud class of people and they're getting in a bind. Some of them are working, are on pensions today that are back from the days when no pension was paid into the fund or when they first started out, they paid something like five dollars a year into these funds. I talked to a lady the other night who is 82 years old who is drawing thirty-three dollars a month. I've talked to many of them who were around 50 or 60 dollars a month. In fact, you have a sheet lying on your desk that tells the amount of them in each one of your districts. If you'd care to look it up, you can see what kind of condition they're in. There are many of them that are drawing less than a hundred dollars a month. Many more, less than two hundred and several of them at less than three hundred dollars a month. This is a declining class of people. There are only about 8,500 of these teachers left in the State of Illinois today and the average age is up somewhere in the middle or latter part of the seventies. We don't have many more years to go. In all fairness, I think we should be ashamed to expect these teachers to even exist on these paltry sums of money. Most of them do not have any way of getting any more money. They do not have any social security coming in and they're left only to what they're getting off of the teachers pension. As you can tell by reading this Bill, they're getting ten dollars per month for each year of service, starting out with fifteen years which would mean a hundred and fifty dollars per month of if they've taught twenty, it would be two hundred dollars per month and so forth on up and down the line.



The Teachers Retirement System unanimously supported this Bill and I hope that you will, too. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I now most reluctantly oppose the Bill in its entirety. Now, the Gentleman who spoke is absolutely correct in what he says. There is a companion appropriation Bill of \$7.4 million from the General Revenue Fund. Right now, there will be an appropriation each year in order to take care of that. Now, one of the problems that we run into with this which has not been put up is that a number of these retired teachers who are in nursing homes, who are now receiving federal food stamps, who may be receiving public aid and a number of other federally funded programs may lose this because the ten dollars minimum that they're going to get may put them a couple of dollars over what their earnings in order to qualify for some of federal aid programs. And so, what is going to happen quite possibly with a number of these people, they are going to end up with less money than what they're getting now because they're going to get disqualified from these nursing homes or food stamps or whatever other program they're on. So, but nobody seems to be feeling sorry for them. Now, I know I was lobbied in the rotunda by a retired teacher who only got about 60 dollars a month on her teachers pension, but she forgot to tell me that she owns a several hundred acre farm and that her husband had plenty of money and that they were not pinched in any shape or form. And it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that it's wrong for some people to be placed in this kind of position and have that extra burden put on them. Now, let me point out that nothing comes for nothing. All of your pensions are based on a percentage of salary and based on some contributions that are made just like you and I make our contributions based on our percentage of salary. The Public Employees Pension Laws Commission opposed this Bill. I was trying to help the Sponsor salvage it and get it passed, but the Pension Laws Commission disapproves of it. They say that minimum six dollar amounts are poor policy, that all pensions should be based on salary and on, of course, with



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

contributions because once you get to the point that you put in six dollars a month, it's never enough. You come back every year and you say, well, it was, you know, \$300 a month before; now this year, it's got to be \$400. We find the same thing from the downstate teachers, the university system, the Chicago police and firemen, the downstate police and firemen and once you bend from this practice, you've got a vicious circle that each group comes back again and say we're only trying to catch up to what you gave the Chicago teachers. Then they get theirs and the Chicago firemen say we only want to catch up with the downstate people and it keeps going, spiralling up and up. Now, look at this. You're the custodians of a multi-billion dollar fund that's called the pension system and we've got a two billion dollar deficit in unfunded liabilities in the downstate teachers pension fund right now. You want to keep adding to this, go ahead; but please, Gentlemen and Ladies of the House, I ask you to try to exercise some fiscal responsibility. As I say, I did try to help the Sponsor pass this Bill. It'll probably be vetoed. Some of you would like to pass this and embarrass the Governor and put it on his desk, but I say I don't care who the Governor is, Republican or Democrat. It is irresponsible for the General Assembly to pass this responsibility and say let the Governor take the heat and use the red pencil. I would ask a negative vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would have to confirm the words of Representative Wolf. I'm also a Member of the Pensions Committee and with the Amendments, I could see a possibility of this Bill passing. But once again, we're asking to hit the teachers fund. We're talking about approximately seven to eight million dollars a year. We've overappropriated another hundred and thirty-six million dollars into the school teachers fund and I have yet to hear any school teacher association come up and say that we will help fund this benefit that we wanted for our teachers that have given their service to their school system and what have you, but there was no offer of help from the school teachers union. And I certainly do not



believe that the state should come up and take up another seven to eight million dollars a year. In addition to this, that there's no provision for an increment to solve this problem in future years and I certainly don't believe that this Bill will remedy the situation and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee."

Dee: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm placed in the very, very untenable position for the first time in the short time that I've been here to have to vote against a pension Bill for teachers. I was the man that made the do pass motion. I'm also a Member of this Committee. I did that and Representative Terzich and other Members of the Committee felt the same way, that this Bill was out of line. I was under the impression and perhaps erroneously that the Sponsor agreed with the Amendments and would go along with them and on that basis, I made the motion do pass. I now find myself in the position of urging you and I, myself, will have to vote 'no' on this Bill. And it grieves me greatly because I think these teachers do require some relief, but I think, too, there is an obligation to the teachers in the system as well as these retired people to bear the burden of the advanced inflation as their salaries advance or they can well afford to do so. I urge you, Ladies and Gentlemen, a 'no' vote on 1375."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Fayette, Representative Brummet, to close."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to set the record straight, I didn't agree with anyone that this would come out with the Amendment on it and I was for it. I am not trying to pass a Bill out of here to embarrass anyone. I honestly believe that these older teachers are due this amount of money. They're not going to last too many more years. The mortality rate is getting very high at this age and I urge the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 1375 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'.

Okay, have all voted who wished? If you're going to get on, get on. Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I cannot help it. I, too, am on this Committee



and I cannot help but agree that Representative Wolf, the Chairman, and other Members have spoken are correct. As I was under the impression, like everyone, that Representative Brummet would accept this Amendment and I wish he would allow that Amendment to go on because it does change the picture, as I understood it. But I do remember that in the hearing that we already have lost, this last year, one third of the old teachers. It reduced the amount of money necessary by one third. On this basis, in another year, it'll be another third. And then an ever-decreasing amount and considering the fact that these people are all 75, 77, 80 years of age and a very low pension, I feel that my conscience, I know it's not exactly fiscal responsibility, but we do have a duty here that I believe, perhaps, transcends it. So, because of those reasons, though I regret very much that Representative Brummet did not put that Amendment on as I thought he had agreed, I'm going to vote 'aye' for the few remaining old teachers. There's only about 6,000 of them in the whole state."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Oh, I'm sorry. Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolf: "Well, by nature of a request, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to ask for a verification. I would appreciate anybody who's voting for someone who's not here, turn their lights off."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman's asked for a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. He has that right. Members please be in their seats. The Members please be in their seats. Okay, the Clerk read the affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, Davis, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Ebbesen, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giorgi, Greiman, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Kozubowski, Kriegsmann, Kucharski, Lauer, Laurino."



Speaker Blair: "Mr. Fleck. Fleck says 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Leinenweber, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Mann, Maragos, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Patrick, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Rigney, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Thompson, Tipword, Tuerk, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Molloy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Molloy: "Change my vote from 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Molloy as voting 'aye'."

Hirschfeld 'aye'. All right now, let's go slowly. Palmer 'aye'. Huskey 'aye'. Day 'aye'. Huskey 'aye'. Day 'aye'. Polk, Ben Polk 'aye'. Ron Griesheimer 'aye'. Jim McCourt 'no'. McCourt 'no'. Waddell 'aye'. There are currently 122 'ayes'. Representative Wolf, questions of the affirmative Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Getty."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Getty on the Floor? Mr. Getty? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Beatty."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beatty on the Floor? He's right up here in the center aisle."

J. Wolf: "Representative Kozubowski."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kozubowski on the Floor? Kozubowski? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Mr. McPartlin."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. McPartlin on the Floor? There he is."

J. Wolf: "Representative Madigan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Madigan on the Floor? Representative Madigan."



Clerk Selcke: "He's recorded as voting... as being absent."

Speaker Telcser: "He's not recorded, sir."

J. Wolf: "Representative Craig."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Craig on the Floor? He's in his seat."

J. Wolf: "Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich on the Floor? There he is."

J. Wolf: "Representative Calvo."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Calvo on the Floor? Representative Calvo? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Rayson."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson on the Floor? Rayson? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Williams."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Williams on the Floor? Williams? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Kelly."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kelly on the Floor? Kelly? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Ike Sims."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sims on the Floor? Ike Sims? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Nardulli."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, wait. Let's get, Madigan wants to go 'aye'. He's standing right here. Mike Madigan 'aye'."



Representative LaFleur, for what purpose do you rise?"

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes."

LaFleur: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

LaFleur: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Calvo has returned.

Calvo has returned. Now, what was your last question, Representative Wolf?"

J. Wolf: "Nardulli."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Nardulli on the Floor? Nardulli?

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Huskey."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Huskey on the Floor? Huskey?

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Mr. D'Arco."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative D'Arco on the Floor? D'Arco?

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not... by being absent."

Speaker Telcser: "Put Representative Krause as voting 'aye', Krause 'aye'. Record Representative Mahar as voting 'aye'."

J. Wolf: "Representative Katz."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz on the Floor? Katz? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Record VonBoeckman as voting 'aye'. VonBoeckman 'aye'."

J. Wolf: "Representative Kucharski."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kucharski on the Floor? Kucharski? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Barnes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barnes is in his seat."

J. Wolf: "Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Telcser: "McAuliffe, Representative McAuliffe on the Floor?"

How's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Anderson, Merle Anderson."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Anderson on the Floor? Anderson?"

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Arnell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Arnell on the Floor? He's in his..."

he's sitting here by Dave Jones' seat."

J. Wolf: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Capparelli on the Floor? Capparelli?"

How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Collins."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins on the Floor? Collins?"

How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Skinner on the Floor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner on the Floor? Skinner?"

How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Harpstrite."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Harpstrite on the Floor? He's in his

seat."

J. Wolf: "Representative Cunningham."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Cunningham on the Floor? Cunningham?"



How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Telcser: "Laurino is standing right here."

J. Wolf: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Brinkmeier on the Floor? There he is, standing in the back."

J. Wolf: "Representative Flinn."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Flinn on the Floor? Flinn? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Garmisa."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Garmisa on the Floor? Garmisa? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Getty."

Speaker Telcser: "I think you got Getty. We took him off once."

All right, Getty is back on now. He's in his seat."

J. Wolf: "Representative Hill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill is in his seat."

J. Wolf: "Representative North."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative North on the Floor? Representative North? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Ryan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ryan is in his seat."

J. Wolf: "Representative Schisler, did we..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schisler on the Floor? Representative Schisler? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Representative Murphy."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murphy on the Floor? Murphy? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Lundy."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing right next to you."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Greiman on the Floor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Now, Murphy has returned. Murphy has returned. Representative Greiman on the Floor? Representative Greiman? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Now, Rose wants to be voted 'aye'. Rose 'aye'."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Hanahan."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hanahan on the Floor? Hanahan? He's right here, Jake."

J. Wolf: "Representative Walters on the floor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Hanahan is here."

J. Wolf: "Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "Walters, Representative Walters on the Floor? Walters? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Put Representative North on the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Who?"

Speaker Telcser: "North 'aye'."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Deavers on the Floor, Deavers?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Deavers on the Floor? Deavers? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Lauer."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lauer is in his seat."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Keller."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Keller is right here."

J. Wolf: "Representative Emil Jones."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Emil Jones on the Floor? There he is all the way in the back."

J. Wolf: "Did we get Mr. Calvo, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Calvo, I think we took him off, didn't we?"

Clerk Selcke: "We took him off and put him on."

Speaker Telcser: "Then he came back in. Deavers has returned."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Stedelin."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Stedelin on the Floor? Stedelin? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "We took him off already."

J. Wolf: "Mr. Ebbesen."

Speaker Telcser: "Jenison?"

J. Wolf: "Ebbesen."

Speaker Telcser: "Ebbesen? Representative Ebbesen on the Floor? There he is all the way in the back."

J. Wolf: "James Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jim Holloway on the Floor? Jim Holloway? Where is he now? How's the Gentleman recorded? Holloway."

Clerk Selcke: "Jimmy Holloway's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Put Schisler back on."

J. Wolf: "Shurtz."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shurtz is in his seat."

J. Wolf: "Ron Hoffman."

Speaker Telcser: "Ron Hoffman on the Floor? There he is in the back by Henry. Lemke 'aye'. Lemke 'aye'."

J. Wolf: "Krause."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Krause on the Floor? Krause?

There he is sitting down here, Jake, on the steps by the press side. Do you want to stand up?"

J. Wolf: "Glenn Schneider."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schneider on the Floor? Representative

Schneider? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

J. Wolf: "I think that's all, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "What's the count? Bluthardt 'aye'. Bluthardt 'aye'. All right, put Representative Skinner as 'aye'. Skinner 'aye'. On this question 109 'ayes', 8 'nays', 3 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2000."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2000, Schraeder."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, there should be an Amendment on the Clerk's desk."

Speaker Telcser: "They're trying to find the Bill upstairs. All right, Fred, while we're waiting to get the Bills from the... upstairs, let's read some messages. Wait a second. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bills of the following title and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 1247, 1248, 1357, 1382, 1424, 1620. Passed the Senate June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2248 together with the following Amendments and further instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, I guess, isn't it? Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2348 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Action taken by the Senate June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. House Bill... Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2349 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2353 together with the following Amendments and the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2405 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2608 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 634. Adopted by the Senate June 21, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 733 was offered; reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. And Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Bill 2665 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which Senate Bill 1235 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted



and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Soderstrom from Elementary and Secondary Education to which House Bill 2604 and 2794 were referred; recommend the Bills be assigned to the Interim Study Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 2000. Has it been read a Second time?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2000 has been read a Second time, does not have any Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Do you have Amendments? Did you bring it to the Clerk's desk? Did you bring them up to the Clerk's desk with sufficient copies? What? Well, he should know he's got to have a procedure."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Schraeder. Amends House Bill 2000 page..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment is an Amendment just moving the dates one year in advance of what they were so the time wouldn't expire on us and it was offered in Committee and accepted, but I don't understand why it's not on the Clerk's desk. But that's all it does and I ask the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2000. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. The Bill's been read a Second time, would you read it a Third time, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2000. A Bill for an Act creating the Peoria State Hospital Land Use Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill creates the Commission for the Peoria State Hospital Land Use Study Commission and it has Cosponsorship of all the Legislators in the 46th, 47th, and 48th Districts. It's a Study Commission to study the best possible use of the facilities now vacant in the Peoria State Hospital. There's a value of seven to nine million dollars in these properties and this commission would establish the best possible



use for the sale of these properties. I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2000 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2599, Hill. This Bill was read a Second time. Has no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want Representative Hudson to be caught short. I had agreed to two Amendments that he said he would have prepared on this Bill. If they're not prepared, I would appreciate if you'd read... or if you would read this a Second time, send it to Third Reading and..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, it's been read a Second time already, Jack. Want to move it to Third?"

Hill: "Please."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Third Reading and we'll leave it there so you can talk to... we'll hold it on Third. Okay, it will be held on Third Reading, sir. On the order of non... advance to Third, advance to Third. On the order of, under Supplemental Calendar #1... Okay, on Supplemental Calendar #1 on the order of Concurrences appears a nonconcurrence motion with respect to House Bill 2825 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, is recognized."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2825."

Speaker Telcser: "Discussion? The Gentleman moves the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2825. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2825. House Bills, Second Reading, 2635."



Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2635, Maragos. This Bill's been read a Second time. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the Floor? None? Would you read the Bill a Third time, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2635. An Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a Bill supported by all the Representatives of the surrounding district... of... of District 30 and it's bipartisan in nature. This was appropriated last year. It was not able because of the land sites to be located. They want to reappropriate and I ask for your support. Came out of the Appropriations Committee unanimously."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2635 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Hold it? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, seek recognition?"

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would urge everyone to vote for this Bill, but at the same time, I would ask leave that we take House Bill 2866 which is the Amendment to the Capital Development Bond Act for the same purposes as House Bill 2635 and I would ask leave to hear them together."

Speaker Telcser: "We'll call it as the next Bill, all right, Phil."

Collins: "All right, thank you. But I certainly would urge everyone to vote for this Bill of Representative Maragos. This is a re-appropriation that we appropriated last year for the very important containerized facility of Chicago Regional Port District. This is a Bill that is unanimously endorsed by the Commission on Economic Development. It is the appropriation that is going to develop this all important facility at the port to make Chicago the premiere port in the midwest and now I will stop."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 103 'ayes', 1 'nay', 4 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 2866. Let the Clerk read it a Third time."



Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2866, Third Reading. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 3 of the Capital Development Bond Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill I referred to just a moment ago in speaking to Representative Maragos' Bill. What this Bill does is amend the Capital Development Bond Act to raise the authority of... for issuance of such bonds from three and a half million to eight million dollars for the Regional Port and for the purposes of acquiring land for the containerized facility. I would solicit the support of the House for this Bill. Again, this Bill is a unanimous recommendation of the Commission on Economic Development."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Phil, I thought this extra four and a half million was for the Grove, but since it's for an equally worthy project, I'll vote for it."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2866 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Take the record. Tuerk 'aye'. On this question there are 97 'ayes', 1 'nay', 4 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 1247. An Act to provide for the creation and management of Forest Preserve Districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1248. An Act to provide for the creation and management of Forest Preserve Districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1289. An Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1357. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1382. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Capital Development Board. First Reading of the Bill."



Senate Bill 1424. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation, reappropriation for the Capital Development Board. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1500. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1620. An Act making an appropriation to the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1644. An Act concerning public utilities. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, on the order of concurrences appears House Bill 2264 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, is recognized."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2264."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would you explain what the Bill did and what the Senate Amendments did?"

Peters: "Jerry, one of the Amendments, not sure of the number here..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, are you going to consider Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 4 together or seperately?"

Peters: "Well, Mr. Speaker, can we just hold that one second and let me just get the book here. I'll get the right figure. Speaker, Jerry, the first Amendment..."

Shea: "Might I ask you this? I'm looking for the people from our Appropriations staff. These are the four university or four sets of colleges and I'm not that familiar with them and I'd like to get them out of here if I might. Take about two minutes."

Peters: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Holloway, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Holloway: "Speaker, I'd like to be put back on the Roll Call of House Bill 1375. Doesn't change it any."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections to the Gentleman? Okay, hearing no objections, would you please have the Journal indicate Representative Holloway. I'll tell you what. While we're waiting for the Democratic staff to get here... on House Bills, Third Reading, could we have leave to call House Bill 2889, Representative Soderstrom... Sinnissippi matter, while we're waiting for



your staff to come down? Okay, on House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 2889."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2889. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Illinois Mississippi and Sinnissippi Lake Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Soderstrom."

Soderstrom: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that merely recreates the Sinnissippi... Mississippi Canal and Sinnissippi Lake Commission, defines its powers and duties. There's appropriation of seven thousand, five hundred dollars attached thereto and this Bill was amended the other day as you remember to create the Local Government Tax Study Commission appropriation therefore for that, too. Appreciate your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Soderstrom, did you want to take 90 as the companion Bill, 2890?"

Soderstrom: "Yes, I would like to."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Will the Clerk please read 2890?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2890. An Act creating the Illinois Mississippi Canal and Sinnissippi Lake Commission, define its powers and duties and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall these two Bills pass. All those in favor... Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I don't have any particular difficulties with the Bills but they're not in the book and I'm just wondering what are they... they're not here. The books only go to 2885, so I was just wondering what they were."

Soderstrom: "Well... as far as I... some of the copies are out. I have a copy of the Bill. Sinnissippi Canal. Okay, all right, no objection, Mr. Speaker. He waived his objection."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the question is shall these two Bills pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Clerk will make a copy of the original Roll Call for 2890. Have all voted who wished? Representative Palmer for what purpose do you rise, sir?"



Palmer: "Well, I'm voting 'yes' on these Bills, Mr. Speaker. 2890 apparently by Amendment #1 creates another Commission. I didn't get a chance to ask that... that your Amendment?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay?"

Palmer: "Local Government Tax Study Commission."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, Shea."

Shea: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question."

Palmer: "Amendment... at least Amendment #1 on 2890 creates the Local Government Tax Study Commission. I thought that it was the Mississippi..."

Soderstrom: "Sinnissippi Canal Study Commission."

Palmer: "That was your Amendment?"

Soderstrom: "Right."

Palmer: "All right, so there's no foul-up on that."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, this isn't in the Digest and I don't know that we have a copy of the Bill. Would the Sponsor please explain it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "The original Bill, Representative Beaupre, was the Sinnissippi Study Commission with an appropriation of seven thousand, five hundred dollars. I amended it to put in the property tax to extend the life of the Property Tax Study Commission from March till April of next year and reappropriated the money for that in the second... or the Amendment to the appropriation Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On these two question, 111 'ayes', no 'nays', 6 answering 'present'. These two Bills having received the Constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. On Third Reading, Representative Grotberg indicates he has a noncontroversial Bill. Is it? I don't know. 2541. Representative Shea."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2541. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Circuit Courts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I hope you can hear me. It may be my last Bill ever. I think I'm dying at this moment and I would appreciate it as a last gesture. This is the administrative clerks of the Circuit Court judges. There are 21 of them in the State of Illinois and this moves their salary from the existing \$8,500 a year to \$11,000 on a per diem basis up to that amount. There are 21 administrative clerks to the Chief Judges throughout the State of Illinois and the... Bill... some of you have pieces of information on your desks, but they've come up from \$6,500 in the matter of the last ten years and they're due again for help on their salary. I'd appreciate an affirmative vote and I'll answer any questions on this Bill. I don't have the voice to carry on an extensive dialogue."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall House...

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Would the Gentleman answer a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Yourell: "You got to watch me."

Grotberg: "Yes."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Grotberg: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, the Gentleman from Vermillion, Representative Craig. Are you seeking... Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you wanted recognition. Have all voted who wished? Wait a second. This is a last Roll Call. Something? All right, the question is shall House Bill 2541 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 5 'nays', 6 answering 'present'. McMaster 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Toby Barry 'aye'. Now, what about Representative Peters' matter, Jer? What about Representative Peters? Okay? Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise, on your concurrence motion?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, one problem exists in regard to all four of the college and university system budgets and the Sponsors of all four



are going to get together to see if we can get them all worked out. If we do one thing in one, we got to do it in the other. We got a budget problem."

Speaker Telcser: "Perhaps the Lady sitting next to you can help with your budget problems. Introduction to First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2899, Shea et al. Imposes limitations upon aggregate campaign receipts and expenditures. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I just wanted to say that the last Bill that was introduced was a campaign limitation expenditure Bill. It's Cosponsored by Representative Collins and myself. And... in a true spirit of bipartisanship."

Speaker Telcser: "I'll bet, I'll bet. Okay, on the order of Concurrences, I understand we have a few matters that can be taken care of quickly. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill... wait a second. Is Representative Berman on the Floor? Art Berman? All right, Jack, what about yours? On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2460 for which purpose the Gentleman from Kane, is recognized. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "The Amendment calls for an additional fund of two hundred, I think it's six hundred and thirty-four dollars in the pension benefits and I would appreciate it if the House would concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2460."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2460. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Final action, will take 89 votes. Let's get on. Have all voted who wished? I'll get yours next, Art. Have all voted who wished? Don, vote me 'aye', would you? Don. No? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 109, 10 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2489 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman is recognized."



Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate Amendment #1 corrected the amount that we had approved for the supplemental appropriation for fiscal year 1974 for the full funding of the school aid formula by thirty-one thousand dollars. The amount is now twelve million, three hundred and thirty-one thousand dollars and I move concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2489."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2489. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Brian, vote me 'aye' over there, will ya'? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted... Okay. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 116 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. And the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2489. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2404 for which... Representative Flinn on the Floor? Representative Flinn here? Can you take Flinn's, Jerry? Representative Brummet here? Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, if it's not too late to explain my 'yes' vote on this concurrence. Could I do that? It would take thirty seconds."

Speaker Telcser: "Go ahead."

Bradley: "Just like to remind the Members as I did during the debate on one of the other issues this afternoon, that I fully realize that seventy-nine million of the new dollars are going to the County of Cook and I'm voting in favor of that, of that Bill and this concurrence and I ask for some support from before for something that would have helped us, downstate. We didn't receive it, but I'll still vote for this Bill 'cause we've got to help the people in education. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "McMaster 'aye'. On the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2286 for which purpose the Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone, is recognized. Paul, 2286? On Concurrences, page 12 of your Calendar."

Stone: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move for concurrence with the Senate Amendment



to House Bill 2286."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2286. All in favor of concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, 112 'ayes', no 'nays', 6 answering 'present'. Gibbs 'aye'. And the House concurs with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2286. Brummet or Flinn here? Okay, on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2401 for which purpose the Gentleman from Fayette, Representative Brummet, is recognized."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, this doesn't have any Amendments or anything on here and I just found out about it. I'm sorry. Would you hold that awhile?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, sir. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just to make a suggestion. We all want to get out of here and we are moving through the concurrences quickly, but concurrence is final action and I'd like to request that the Sponsors do refer to the Bill and to the substance of the Amendment. In each instance, we're going through final actions right now without even knowing what we're doing."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, on the order of Concurrences appears House Bill 2298 for which purpose the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Deavers, is recognized."

Deavers: "Take it out of the record, please."

Speaker Telcser: "Out of the record, okay. Okay, Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, what order of business are we on?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, what... what did you have in mind, sir?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move for unanimous consent of the House to move out of order and take up a Senate Bill, Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections? The Gentleman from Adams, Representative... What are you asking for, 1240 again?"

Lauer: "...Bill 1240."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Lauer, Representative Lauer



has renewed his request for the Chair to go to Senate Bills, Third Reading and go to the order of hearing Senate Bill 1240. Are there any objections? The Gentleman from Adams has objected, sir. Agreed Resolutions. Further Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1059, Juckett et al. Whereas, Friday June 21, 1974, marks the 33rd anniversary of wedded bliss for one of our colleagues; and Whereas, our colleague met his charming bride on a blind date in Chicago on May 10, 1936 and has ever since that day been blinded to others by the brilliance of their love; and Whereas, the happy couple's whirlwind courtship ended five years later in a wedding at West Foreman Methodist Church at 447 West 120th Street in Chicago; and Whereas, the lovebirds were blessed on December 3rd, 1942 with the birth of a beautiful baby girl, whom they named Carol who has since gifted them with three darling grandchildren--Rick, Meg, and Rob; and Whereas, in 1942, our colleague was called away from their home in Morgan Park to duty in the United States Army Medical Corp; and Whereas, our colleague joyfully returned to our great state in 1946 to his native home of Dalton where the couple were again blessed on October 18 of that year with the birth of twin boys--Bruce and David, who have since grown to manhood and are a constant source of pride to their father; and Whereas, the family moved in 1947 to Galesburg and in 1952 to a place beyond the borders of our state to the next state east; and Whereas, our colleague in 1956 regained his senses and brought his young family back to our fair state, settling in West Dundee where he and his bride reside to this day and where he is the successful owner of Taskmaster Equipment Company of Woodstock, named in his honor, manufacturer of mini trucks and fire engines; and Whereas, we are all thankful for the excellent and enduring influence of our colleague's good wife in improving our colleagues fiery Scotsman temperment by ministrations of love and understanding; now Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives, the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that we do congratulate our colleague, the honorable R. Bruce Waddell and his charming bride, Frances Herlihy



Waddell, on the occasion of their 33rd wedding anniversary; and, be it further Resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be presented to Representative Waddell."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, some of you remember when Bruce Waddell came to the General Assembly. He was able to cast only one vote and he came to replace a man that we all knew who died in a tragic accident and that one vote was on the income tax and he survived that. And his wife has been able to survive 33 years, so I move for the adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell, wish to..."

Waddell: "Well, I'm trully embarrassed because I just sent my wife over to the hotel to check out and I just want to thank you very, very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett, offers to move the adoption of House Resolution #1059. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. Further Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1057, Londrigan et al. House Resolution 1058, Kelly et al."

Speaker Telcser: "Are these Agreed Resolutions, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, they're agreed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, offers to move the adoption of the Resolutions. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1060, Gibbs."

Speaker Telcser: "Is it agreed, Fred? Speaker's Table. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to apologize first of all for taking the time to holding you back from going home, but a matter has come to my attention that is of such significance that I think that I'd like ask for the House to suspend the necessary rules and to have this Resolution heard. And let me just give you a little brief background.



Two days ago, out in Massachusetts, the carnival rides held by the Lawrence Car Company which has the contract for the carnival rides here in the City of Springfield here at the Illinois State Fair had an accident whereby a young girl was thrown and killed and 19 kids were injured because of this ride. Well, for the last two days, we've been investigating this and we found that this company, that the State of Illinois' contracted for the coming year for the Illinois State Fair, has got one of the worst reputations of any company in the country. They've got faulty rides, they've got problems all over the country and we've contracted for them and the reason we did according to the newspaper article here is because of the cheap bribe. Now, my Resolution only asks for the Legislative Investigating Commission to investigate this company and find out and let them take the evidence that I've found and see whether or not this contract can be broken. I think it would be a horrible thing to have this company come in based on what I found and after talking to one manager today who kicked them out of his company and said he'd never let his children ride on these rides. Now, what happened out in Massachusetts is one girl was killed and 19 other kids were thrown up and some stood about thirty feet into the air, very severely injured. So, I ask for a suspension of the rules, Mr. Speaker, to have this Resolution heard because I think it's awfully important."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Joe, I'm... you know, I'm in favor of what you want to do, but what... I'm trying to read the Resolution. And you say that a suitable investigation be conducted and that the advisability of having the Lawrence Car Amusement perform at the Illinois State Fair. I'm wondering if you might want to take and make the language a little bit more specific so that we know it's for a current contract for this year's fair."

Gibbs: "Well, Jerry, they contracted just about a week or two ago, June 8, 1974 according to a newspaper article and what I'm asking for is the Legislative Investigating Commission to commence their



investigation immediately because of the fact that the fair, of course, is starting soon. And I think we need a good, qualified carnival ride company in here and not one in here that's just caused a recent death and causing problems throughout the country. And they're going to need time to get someone that is qualified if this contract can be breached."

Shea: "Well, can I just... where do you say Legislative Investigating Committee in here?"

Gibbs: "Well, Jerry, whenever I see a suitable investigation in the last line there, I'm implying that, as you would, I think, concur, that the Legislative Investigating Commission would be suitable investigation unless you think there'd be some other proper way to do it."

Shea: "Well, I think you've got to specifically, you know, I'll have somebody here help you if you want it so that we can get it in the language you want; but I think you've got to spell out to have the Legislative Investigating Commission..."

Gibbs: "Well, Jerry, let me come over there and I'll work with you."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, take that matter out of the record for now."

(con't on next page)



Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Representative Walsh, want to suspend a couple rules and then we'll start wrapping this thing up?"

W. Walsh: "Yes..."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, by the way, let me say before we're going to start wrapping up now and we're going to go into the First Special Session during which... at which time Representative Ebbesen wants to have his Bill heard and we'll have a Roll Call, so stick around. Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all, I'd like to suspend the provisions of Rule 18(B) so that Senate Bill 1552 may be heard in the Revenue Committee at their meeting at two p.m. on Monday, June 24th."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? Is there leave to use the attendance Roll Call as the affirmative vote to the Gentleman's motion. Hearing no objections, that will be the vote."

W. Walsh: "The same suspension, Mr. Speaker, for Senate Bill 1289 to be heard in the Committee on Higher Education on Monday, June 24th."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there objections to using the attendance Roll Call for the Gentleman's motion? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I... I have just been requested to add Senate Bill 1500 to the last motion also for the Higher Education Committee meeting Monday."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections to using the attendance Roll Call for the Gentleman's motion? Hearing no objections, it will be..."

W. Walsh: "And now, Mr. Speaker, the... I would normally move to suspend Rule 33(A), the rule dealing with First Legislative Day for Bills on Second Reading so that we could read Bills a Second time. However, there was a Bill that was reported late from Committee and did not appear on the Supplementary Calendar for today. That is House Bill 2665, sponsored by Representative Pierce. Briefly, the Bill deals with waste paper in state buildings. It... in order for that Bill to be read a Second time, we will have to suspend



the provisions of Rule 8... Rule 8 and include that then in this so that that Bill may be read a Second time today. 2665, House Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked... Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Just a point of inquiry. Where would that leave House Bill 2272, the one that's circled in red on the Calendar?"

W. Walsh: "That would leave that one circled in red on the Calendar. It... this suspension that I'm asking for does not address itself to that Bill. It addresses itself to a Bill that was reported out of Committee and... but was not reported in time to be included on the Supplemental Calendar. I'm dealing now with the suspension of the rule dealing with First Legislative Day, House Bills."

Houlihan: "I understand that, but just... from your experience, where would House Bill 2272 then be?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, are you seeking recognition?"

Shea: "Could you... I'm sorry, Mr. Walsh. I've got fourteen people asking questions here. I understand you wanted to suspend the provision of Rule 8 so that 2665 having not been on the Calendar still can be... from the Committee Report, go to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

W. Walsh: "That's correct."

Shea: "Okay, then in addition to that, you've asked for the House Bills that appear on the Supplemental Calendar and the Regular Calendar..."

W. Walsh: "No, just the Supplemental Calendar. Supplemental Calendar #1, those House Bills that are on House Bills, Second Reading, parenthesis, First Legislative Day, close parenthesis. My motion goes to, that is, my motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 33(A) go to House Bill 2665, 2687, 2828, 2829, 2869."

Shea: "All right now, you want to read these, advance them to the order of Second Reading, read them a Second time and leave them on the Calendar."

W. Walsh: "Simply read them a Second time and leave them on the Calendar."

Shea: "All right now, there is another House Bill, so that we treat all House Bills similarly, that evidently got bollixed-up someplace today and I don't have a Calendar, but that was the only Bill on



the order of First Reading or Second Reading, First Legislative Day. I believe that was Mr. Houlihan's Bill. Can we add that to the list?"

W. Walsh: "No. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh. Tell you what, Representative Washburn has an announcement. Let him make that now while... Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Because of the importance of some of the Bills that the Appropriations Committee would like to hear on Monday morning, I'm going to ask leave now rather than Monday so that the Sponsors and the agencies might have a little advance notice. And those Bills are Senate Bill 1357, 1382, and 1424. And we will meet at eight o'clock on Monday morning in Room 400 and I ask leave to hear those Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections to the attendance... Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "We're on another matter."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm trying to get Representative Washburn's thing out of the way. Are there any objections to using the... Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I've got a personal objection to E.P.A."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's take this thing out of the record. Get a hold of Jerry Shea and..."

Shea: "No. Speaker, I've got no objections to any other Bill on there except E.P.A."

Speaker Telcser: "Wouldn't you want to take E.P.A. off of there?"

Shea: "But the Gentleman that runs the Environmental Protection Agency didn't have enough time or enough courtesy to see me. I'm not going to let any rule of this House be extended for his Bills to get any courtesy."

Speaker Telcser: "Take that... you want to take the agency's Bill out? Which one is that?"

Shea: "Environmental Protection Agency."

Washburn: "1357, Senate Bill 1357."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Senate Bill 1357 will be stricken from



the Gentelman's motion. Okay now, is there leave to have the rest of those Bills posted? Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'm afraid I'm going to have to object until we clear up the ruling or the motion that the Majority Leader's going to make on 2272."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Houlihan objects. Now, everything... Representative... Now, wait a second now... Representative Houlihan has objected to hearing the Bills which, I think, are the Governor's Administration Bills in Appropriations. So, in view of that objection, we're not going to be able to hear them. Take your request out of the record, we won't hear them. Bud, forget them. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw then my motion which, I think, was pending to suspend the provisions of Rule 33(A) relative to House Bills, Second Reading, First Legislative Day. I, with the permission of Mr. Houlihan, can we get on to something else now? I have another..."

Houlihan: "I'm sorry, Mr. Walsh. I didn't hear what your comment..."

W. Walsh: "I've withdrawn that motion relative to House Bills, Second Reading, First Legislative Day. What I wanted to do next was suspend the rules relative to Bills, Resolutions, and Motions which would expire on the Calendar today. There are three rules, I think, involved and provide that they would be held over until Sunday, June 23rd on the Calendar."

Houlihan: "Mr. Walsh, why wouldn't you just continue your motion on the Bills on First Legislative Day, so we can clear up this matter?"

W. Walsh: "Well, the reason... now, let me say this to see if we can get out of this thing a little bit, sort of, halfway gracefully. There is objection to having your Bill advanced in the fashion you say. Now, I can understand how you would object then to advancing other people's Bills in the way that we have been doing. However, there is available to you the avenue of a motion to suspend the rules on your own for that Bill and I would urge the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker to recognize you for that purpose."

Houlihan: "Mr. Walsh, what would I be required in terms of votes to have that motion put to the Floor successfully?"

W. Walsh: "All you'd have to get is the same number I'm getting, 107."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Collins, did you have an announcement, sir?"

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first an announcement. The House Executive Committee will meet Monday morning at nine a.m. on the Floor of the House and we will hear the Bills that we had posted for this morning. And then in addition, I would like leave and I think I have the approval of the Assistant Minority Leader to hear the following Bills. House Bills 2893 and 95. House Bills, First Special Session, 28 and 29. Senate Bills 1286 1426, 1461, 1562... no, that's continued from today. 1627. House Resolution 768, postponed from today. 821, 881, postponed from today. 916, 924, 925, 932, 959, 976, 1002, postponed from today, 1010 and 1043. House Joint Resolutions 94, postponed from today, 104, 110 and House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendments 28 and 31. What I'm doing, this is all the Bills still alive in the Executive Committee..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative... wait, wait... Representative Houlihan."

Collins: "Obviously, we won't be able to hear them all, but I would like to be cleared to accommodate Sponsors who want their Bills heard."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I feel very uncomfortable in... rising to raise a question about this matter, but I do believe that it's unfair for one Bill to be held and all other Bills be exempted and everybody to be allowed to suspend the rules for their consideration and then my Bill is held time and time again. I think that's unfair and I'm sorry if this is going to be an inconvenience to the other Members of the House. But, I feel very strongly about this and I object to suspending those rules right now."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has raised objections. Representative



Philip, for what purpose do you rise?"

Philip: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I have a non-controversial announcement I'd like to make. As you're probably aware, our softball game has been... Am I on? Our softball game has been rained out for two consecutive weeks. We are playing next Wednesday at Isles Park, six o'clock. I want to remind the ball players to bring their spikes and uniforms and hopefully, the monsoon seasons will be over. If it is not, we will probably play in the Armory, so bring your tennis shoes along. We may be playing in the Armory. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, wait a second now. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I, too, have a non-controversial announcement. The meeting of the Executive Committee meeting Monday morning will be cancelled."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washburn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In view of the objections that have been made to hearing the Bills that we just asked leave for, the Appropriations Committee will be cancelled, also."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, since any further motions that I'd make would be objected to, I move that the House do now stand adjourned un... Well now... it's pointed out to me that there's an Agreed Resolution here that Representative Duff feels strongly about."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Representative Walsh. All right, read the Agreed Resolution."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1061, Duff et al."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, has offered to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolution. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Resolution's adopted."

W. Walsh: "Let me tell you what it is. It... was cleared with Representative Shea. It congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Horace Dawson, Frances Dawson, our former colleague, on the occasion of their



45th wedding anniversary."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until the hour of five p.m. on June 23rd, Sunday."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, there's a perfunct, one o'clock tomorrow."

W. Walsh: "Right, I beg your pardon."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do stand adjourned till five o'clock... Representative Gibbs, for what purpose do you rise?"

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we worked out that Resolution on the investigation for the Illinois State Fair contract."

W. Walsh: "Joe, we're going into the Special Session."

Gibbs: "Oh, I'm sorry. Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion to adjourn till Sunday at five o'clock with a perfunct tomorrow at 1:30 signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Regular Session stands adjourned. First Special Session will now come to order. Members please be in their seats. The Gentleman's asked leave to use the attendance Roll Call as the Roll Call for the First Special Session. Hearing no objections, that'll be the Roll Call. Now, let's get to House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 24."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bills, Third Reading. Ebbesen. A Bill... House Bill 24. Bill for an Act to amend the Environ... Governmental Environmental Ethics... or amends the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I apologize for bringing this Bill up at this time, but I intended to bring it up yesterday. But, due to the late hour and the atmosphere that prevailed why I chose not to. But, House Bill 24 as amended, represents a compromise between those who support full disclosure as opposed to those who want no disclosure whatsoever. And, it amends the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act as follows. I just will read the Amendment to you and then I'll explain a little bit. It says the source of any income other than compensation for service as an officer or employee of a unit of government received



during the preceding calendar year, as such sources are reported in that year's federal income tax return and the percentage of the total of such income which is received from each source. Now, keep in mind, I'm not talking about total dollars, to illustrate, in my own particular case, I make \$17,500 as a Legislator and what this is really saying, in my opinion in representing this compromise, is the general public is not really interested in the total number of dollars that an individual makes in... that's serving in government. But he is interested in the source of that income. Now, we'll be on a percentage basis and I feel this, that in the compromise between full disclosure as opposed to no disclosure whatsoever, that people would be able to look in examining your ethics or your disclosure statement and find out what your sources of income are. There is no way if this was to become law in which anyone could look at that and turpilate it back into your total income as far as you are concerned as an individual. Now, I'm afraid that we might at some time have legislation so stringent that we will legislate ourselves right out of a reservoir of talent willing to serve at all levels of government. Now, if there are any questions, I'd be very happy to respond to them. To give an illustration, as I said in my own case, take 100% of my income other than what I receive as a state Legislator, I would list on my ethics statement, optometric profession--100% as one illustration which is not really the case but it might indicate optometric profession--80% and perhaps interest on savings--3%, AT&T stock--4% from dividends and so forth. The basis being that an individual can look at that, see where your source of income is as reflected from your federal income tax return. Be very happy to respond to any question."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? Questions? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm glad to see that we're into a Special Session. It's an Ethics Session. You've got a controversial Bill up and I don't know if there are 89 Members on the Floor right now, but... and I think it's rather foolish to call the Gentleman's Bill right now."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman requested to have his Bill called, sir."

Shea: "Well, is the Bill being read a Third time?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, sir. It's on Third Reading. The Sponsor requested that we call the Bill."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Ebbesen, do you want to go with that Bill with the number of votes that are on this Floor?"

Ebbesen: "At the time that I made this request, there were a lot more people that were here and... that were here at the time and I thought maybe it might be a trial run if nothing else. I can always put it on postponed consideration because of the time element relative to looking at the end of the month and to give me a trial run and for those that will support the Bill and to give me an idea when I bring it back the next time which might be Sunday."

Shea: "Well, you know, I would hope that when you take the trial run that nobody would pull anybody else's switch."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further discussion? The question is shall House Bill 24 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Anyone wish to explain their vote? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen. Do you want to explain your vote, Representative Ebbesen?"

Ebbesen: "No, but I would like the microphone here. I'm sure what I'm going to have to do, but..."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 34 'ayes' 2 'nays'..."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, if you insist on going along with this asinine situation, the next order of business, I'm going to call a quorum."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill, the Chair does not insist on anything. We're still in Session. A Sponsor has requested that his Bill be called. I am simply complying with that request as I would do for you, sir. Now, if the Gentleman has a reason to want his Bill called when a number of Members have left, that is



his privilege and will be the responsibility of those Members who have left early to answer as to why they voted or did not vote on a matter. On this question there are 34 'ayes', 2 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address myself to Representative Hill that I did ask when there were a large number of Members present on the Floor at the time. The purpose in going through this, you call it asinine. I thought I might have a little dialogue for the individuals to between now and Sunday, to perhaps look the legislation over a little closer. And, Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House to put this on postponed consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "You have that, sir."

Ebbesen: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Telcser: "No, it's on postponed consideration. All right, First Special Session, Representatives Williams requested that his Bill be called. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise."

Shea: "Now, he asked to put it on postponed consideration. Now, do you want to tell him where he's going to put it?"

Ebbesen: "Once more is all."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Philip, for what purpose do you rise?"

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, I question a quorum."

Speaker Telcser: "It's on postponed consideration. It's in the First Special Session. That's where it is. I don't know what the point of inquiry was, sir. All right, a quorum has been questioned. Everybody be in their seats. Read the quorum call, sir. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Is there any other business before the House in this Special Session?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Williams requested his Bill be called."

Shea: "I think he wants to take..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Williams, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Williams: "Well, I appreciate the fact you were going to call it, but with the mood of the House, I think I'll take it out of the record



for now."

Speaker Telcser: "But you did request for me to call it."

Williams: "Yes, I did and I appreciate your calling it."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you."

Williams: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, you wish to persist in quorum call, sir? Well, Mr. Gibbs has a matter. If you don't want him to have his matter heard, that's all right by the Chair. Representative Philip, are you persisting in your quorum call? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Might I ask if there is a quorum call and there isn't a quorum of the Members of the House present on the Floor, as I understand the rules, the only thing you can do is get us a quorum, is that right?"

Speaker Telcser: "I would say that."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All we have to do if there's no quorum is we will adjourn. If the Chair wishes, can try to obtain a quorum. That is the Chair's prerogative."

Shea: "Can you adjourn?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, I can adjourn."

Shea: "Has there been an Adjournment Resolution adopted? No, has there been an Adjournment Resolution?"

Speaker Telcser: "We will adopt one quickly..."

Shea: "No, do we have one adopted now?"

Speaker Telcser: "Since a formal adoption of Adjournment Resolution is not necessary, since we will not be gone for more than three days, pursuant to the State Constitution. Any further questions, sir? Thank you for asking. Representative Philip, are you persisting in your quorum call, sir?"

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, I will withdraw my motion in respect to a quorum in respect to my good friend, Joe Gibbs."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, all we're trying to do is save a few Members, some matters which they deem to be important. Now, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs, has asked leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 41 for the purpose of hearing and..."



House Resolution 5 in the First Special Session. Are there any objections to using the attendance Roll Call as the affirmative vote? Representative Houlihan has objected. Oh, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Joe, you understand my position. No? I wonder if you have serious problems to my objection? You understand how my Bill has been handled and not dealt with, I think, very equitably. Do you think I'd be unreasonable in objection to your having leave?"

Gibbs: "I didn't quite understand you. Are you objecting to this Resolution?"

Houlihan: "No, I was asking you for some guidance, Joe. I think my Bill's been handled very unfairly and it hasn't been called or hasn't been given leave and everything else was proposed to be given leave. Do you think it's out of place for me to object to suspending the rules for your Bill?"

Gibbs: "It just happened to me the other day on the elastics Bill. They wouldn't let me change my hundred dollars to two-fifty, but they did it again for someone else. But I think you have to look at each one separately. Here, we're going to have thousands of little children riding these rides at the Illinois State Fair and just look at this one Resolution and don't think about anything else. That's the way I look at each one and we want to make safe rides for these children and I think that you can't possibly object to this."

Speaker Telcser: "Jim, are you objecting? No? Okay. The Gentleman... is there leave to use the attendance Roll Call as the affirmative vote? Hearing no objections, the provisions of Rule 41 will be suspended. The Gentleman has now moved the House do adopt House Resolution #5 in the First Special Session. On the Gentleman's motion, Representative Gibbs. Did you want to say anymore about your motion?"

Gibbs: "No, I don't think so."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman's offered to move the adoption... The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Speaker, I don't want to belabor the cause, but I'd like



to know what it does."

Speaker Telcser: "Explain it. Let Representative Gibbs explain it."

Gibbs: "I'm sorry, I did explain it earlier and I guess you were off the Floor. Well, I'm... it was my fault then. I didn't give a clear enough... we contracted, the State of Illinois has contracted with the Lawrence Car Amusement Company and they're the ones that just two days ago had a terrible accident out in Massachusetts whereby one little girl was killed when the ride went out of control and 19 were seriously injured. And two of them are on the critical list. For the last few days, I've been conducting an investigation and talking to seven different states that have had this company and every one of them, right down the line, have said that they would have this type of a company come in. And I find out on June 8th, we contracted with this company to come into the Illinois State Fair and the newspaper article said because they're cheap rides. Now, what I'm asking for here in this Resolution is for the Legislative Investigating Commission to assign an investigator immediately because of the Illinois State Fair coming up in August, immediately to find out if these facts are true that I have. And also further investigation and if necessary, void this contract with this shoddy outfit."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Joe, I'm puzzled. Why do we need the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission to investigate maybe a poor contract where the Governor's Legal Advisor or the Legal Advisor of the State Fair Association or the Attorney General could be used. Is it because of your code of ethics? Because you're a member of the Bar Association where I read today in the Tribune and Sun Times that your code says when you see a murdered body in an elevator shaft, you're bound by the code of ethics not to tell the law enforcement people. Is that what's driving you? This is, you know... I want to get home, too."

Gibbs: "No, I don't understand, Zeke. We have the Legislative Investigating Commission..."

Giorgi: "At the meeting, Joe..."

Gibbs: "I let you speak, can I speak or do you want to keep talking?"



Giorgi: "Joe, you live in Springfield, I live in Rockford. I've two hundred miles ahead of me. This Resolution should have been heard Monday. My question is, I've been reading the novels put out by the Illinois..."

Gibbs: "Zeke, let me interrupt you. I don't think you understand this. We're not investigating the contract. I... we're not investigating the contract. We're investigating this company that's going to be coming into the Illinois State Fair and transporting these children on these shoddy rides. And that's what we have to get done right away, not the contract itself."

Giorgi: "Well then, why give this job to the Illinois Crime Investigating Commission? Why not the Attorney General's Office, the Governor's Legal Staff, the State Fair people?"

Gibbs: "The Governor just came over to me and told me a minute ago that he is investigating with the State Police and that's wonderful because they've been doing it since 1973 and then two weeks ago signed a contract with them. Well, if they investigated, they did a terrible job and they need some help."

Giorgi: "Tell me why you want the Illinois Crime Investigating Commission, tell me why."

Gibbs: "I think it's our legislative Body here that we're asking for the investigating for our purpose. We have this group set up. We pay 'em for it and here's a worthy investigation."

Giorgi: "That group wasn't formed to investigate a contract that can be discarded because of negligence on the part of the contractee. I think you've got an ulterior motive. I don't know what it is except that I've been reading about your code of ethics and I'm not too sure anymore."

Gibbs: "Well, Zeke, I still don't think I'm getting my point across to you because they're not investigating the contract. I think that if they find what I found, they're going to void this contract."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait now, little bit of action here. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Representative Gibbs, I just hope that when the appropriation Bill for the Commission comes up that you won't be so concerned about cutting it this time, so that when you have these



Resolutions that keep going in and has to be something done immediately, we have to assign an investigator to do it, that we have the money and the wherewithall and the investigator to do it with. You know, we've got more work in the last three months than I think we've had in the last eighteen months and we're at the point where we have to have additional investigators. And I would hope that the House here will remember that when the appropriations Bill is before us."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, wait a second now. Anyone else? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of House Resolution Special Session #5. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh. Anything else in this Session? That the First Special Session do stand adjourned until immediately after adjournment of the Regular Session on Sunday. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. First Special stands adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			1.
1		Clerk Selcke	We'll start
		Speaker Blair	House to order
		Dr. Johnson	Invocation
		Speaker Blair	Roll call for attendance
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
2		Speaker Blair	Agreed resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	HR 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Explains Resolutions, adoption
		Speaker Blair	Resolutions adopted
		Shea	
3		Speaker Blair	
		Lemke	
		Speaker Blair	Motion on 2487
4		Lemke	Motion on HB 2487
		Speaker Blair	
		Tuerk	Question
5		Speaker Blair	
		Kosinski	
		Speaker Blair	
		Lemke	To close
6		Speaker Blair	33C motion vote
		Tuerk	
		Speaker Blair	
		Hudson	
7		Speaker Blair	
		Fary	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Speaker Blair		
		Wolf		
8		Speaker Blair		
		Caldwell		
		Speaker Blair		
		Tuerk	Verification	
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees	
9		Speaker Blair	Bluthardt 'no'	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blaor	Porter 'no'	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair		
		Tuerk		
		Speaker Blair	Motion prevails HB 2487	
		Shea		
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea		
		Speaker Blair		
		Giorgi	HB 2480 motion	
10		Speaker Blair		
		tuerk		
11		Speaker Blair		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Giorgi	To close	
12		Speaker Blair		
		Cunningham		
		Speaker Blair		
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Blair		
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Blair	Motion prevails	
13		Tuerk	Request fiscal note	
		Speaker Blair		
		Kempiners	Asks table motion	
		Speaker Blair	Tabled	
		Hart	Motion on HB 2474	
14		Speaker Blair		
		Walsh, W.		
		Speaker Blair		
		Hart	To close	
		Speaker Blair	Motion vote	
15		Dunn, R.		
		Speaker Blair		
		Stedelin		
		Speaker Blair		
		Walsh		
		Speaker Blair		
		Hart	Poll	
16		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		D'Arco	
		Speaker Blair	
		D'Arco	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Absentees
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
17		Hill	'Aye'
		Speaker Blair	Walsh withdraws motion prevails
		Cunningham	HB 2587 motion
		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	
18		Speaker Blair	
		Keller	Question sponsor
		Speaker Blair	
		Keller	Question
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	To close
		Speaker Blair	
		Maragos	Explains vote
19		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	Explains vote
		Speaker Blair	Motion prevails HB 2594-2650 tabled
20		Neff	HB 2880 motion



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

 STATE OF ILLINOIS
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
21		Speaker Blair		
		Shea		
		Speaker Blair		
		Walsh, W.		
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea		
		Speaker Blair		
22		Berman	Question	
		Speaker Blair		
		Hanahan	Opposes motion	
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate	Point of inquiry	
		Speaker Blair		
23		Hanahan		
24		Speaker Blair		
		Neff	To close	
		Speaker Blair	HB 2880 motion	
25		Berman		
		Speaker Blair		
		Barnes	Point of order	
		Speaker Blair		
		Barnes		
		Spaker Blair	Vote on motion	
26		Hirschfeld		
		Speaker Blair		
		Caldwell	Opposes motion	
27		Speaker Blair		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Walsh, W.	
28		Speaker Blair	
		Washington	
		Speaker Blair	
		Huskey	
		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis	
29		Speaker Blair	
		Neff	
		Speaker Blair	
		Dunn	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walters	
30		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew	
		Speaker Blair	
		Palmer	
		Speaker Blair	
		Neff	Postponed consideration
		Speaker Blair	
31		Getty	HB 2826 motion do not adopt CC #1
		Speaker Blair	'You're all right'
		Getty	
32		Speaker Blair	
		Collins	
		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			7.
		Speaker Blair	
		Ryan	Sub. motion
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
33		Speaker Blair	Discussion
		Shea	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Blair	Discussion
34		Shea	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Blair	
35		Getty	To close
		Speaker Blair	HB 2826 do not adopt CC, vote, prevails
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
36		Fleck	HB 2518 motion
		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	Sponsor yield
		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Blair	
		Dunningham	Discussion
		Fleck	
37		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	Sponsor yield
		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	Discussion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			8.
		Fleck	
		Speaker Blair	
		Hunsicker	Question
		Speaker Blair	
		Hunsicker	Speaks on Bill
38		Speaker Blair	
		Fleck	To close
		Speaker Blair	HB 2518 vote
		Cunningham	Explains vote
39		Speaker Blair	
		Ewell	Votes 'aye'
		Speaker Blair	
		Grotberg	'Present'
40		Speaker Blair	
		Giorgi	
		Speaker Blair	
		Neff	
		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Blair	
		Hudson	
41		Speaker Blair	HB 2518 passes
		Fleck	HB 2815 motion
		Speaker Blair	Leave granted
42		Clerk Selcke	HB 2815, 3rd reading
		Fleck	Back to 2nd
		Speaker Blair	Leave



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3 HB 2815	
		Lundy		
		Speaker Blair		
		Lundy		
		Speaker Blair	Reconsider #2, tables #2	
		Ewell	Point of order	
		Speaker Blair		
		Ewell		
		Speaker Blair		
43		Lundy	Explains change of Amts. 2 & 3	
44		Speaker Blair		
		Berman	Sponsor yield	
		Lundy		
		Speaker Blair		
		Palmer		
		Speaker Blair		
		Maragos		
		Speaker Blair		
		Jaffe		
		Speaker Blair		
		Lundy	Asks leave to table	
45		Speaker Blair	#3 tabled	
		Maragos	Point of order	
		Speaker Blair		
		Lauer	Point of information	
		Speaker Blair		
		Lauer	Question	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			10.
		Fleck	
46		Speaker Blair	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Blair	3rd
		Fleck	
		Speaker Blair	
		Fleck	
47		Speaker Blair	
		Kosinski	
48		Speaker Telcser	
		Hunsicker	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Thompson	
		Speaekr Telcser	
		Berman	Previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HF 2815, 3rd
		Fleck	To close
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on HB 2815
50		Kriegsman	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clabaugh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	
51		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ewell	11.
52		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
		Speaekr Telcser	
		Peters	
53		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	
54		Speaker Telcser	
		Neff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	
55		Speaker Telcser	
		Murphy	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
56		Boyle	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McCormick	
57		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
58		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	
59		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	
60		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			12.
		Spekaer Telcser	
		Miller	
61		Speaker Telcser	
		Caldwell	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	Moved previous question HB 2815 passed
		Lauer	Asks leave SB 1240
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kennedy	Objects
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Committee reports
62		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	HB 2359, 2457 tables
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
63		Speaker Telcser	All except 2359, 2452 tabled
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Committee reports, messages from Senate
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	Personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			13.
64		Kennedy	Does not withdraw
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	Change order of business?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	Withdraws motion
		Speaker Telcser	HB's 2nd reading
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2199
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Porter	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Porter	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
65		Porter	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2200
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2361
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2221
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1 HB 2361
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
		Schisler	Moves table #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
66		LaFleur	Offers adoption #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Concur	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washburn		
67		Speaker Telcser		
		Cunningham		
68		Speaker Telcser		
		Keller		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Craig		
69		Speaker Telcser		
		McGrew		
70		Speaker Telcser		
		Bradley	Point of order	
		Speaker Telcser		
		McGrew		
		Speaker Telcser		
71		McGrew		
		Speaker Telcser		
		McMaster		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.		
72		Speaker Telcser		
		Rigney		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			15.
73		Speaker Telcser Lechowicz Speaker Telcser Totten Speaker Telcser	
74		Schisler Speaker Telcser LaFleur	Moves table #1 Amendment #1 HB 2361 moves adoption
75		Speaker Telcser Fennessey Speaker Telcser Houlihan Speaker Telcser LaFleur Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 HB 2361 vote
76		Barry Speaker Telcser Bradley	Explains vote
77		Speaker Telcser Washburn Speaker Telcser Choate Speaker Telcser	
78		Craig Speaker Telcser McGrew Sepaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Choate	16.
		Speaker Teclser	
		McGrew	
79		Speaker Teclser	
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
		LaFleur	
80		Speaker Telcser	
		Waddell	
81		Speaker Telcser	
		Kriegsman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
82		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
83		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	
		Speaker Teclser	
84		Totten	
		Speaker Teclser	
		LaFleur	Verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	Introduces boy scout troop



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			17.
85		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative roll call
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	'Aye'
		Speaker Blair	
		Taylor	'Aye'
		Speaker Blair	
		Jones, E.	'Aye'
		Speaker Blair	
86-87		Schisler	Verifies roll call
88-89		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads negative
90		Speaker Blair	
		Porter	
		Speaker Blair	
91-92		LaFleur	Questions negative
		Clerk, O'Brien	
		Waddell	
93		Speaker Blair	Amendmetn #1 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2 HB 2361
		Speaker Blair	
		Rayn	
		Speaker Blair	#2 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	#3 HB 2361
		Speaker Blair	
		Keller	Amendment #3



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			18.
94		Speaker Blair	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Blair	
		Keller	
		Speaker Blair	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #3 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #6
		Speaker Blair	
		Ebbesen	Explains Amendment #6
95		Speaker Blair	
		Schisler	
		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Blair	
		Schisler	HB 2361 TOOR
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	
		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Blair	
96		Ebbesen	
		Schisler	
		Speaker Blair	#6 adopted, HB 2361 TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1375, Amendment #1
		Speaker Blair	
		Wolf	On Amendment #1 table
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #1 tabled



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			19.
97		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Brummet	
		Speaker Blair	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Blair	
		Brummet	To close
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #2 vote
		Wolf	
98		Speaker Blair	Amendment #2 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Blair	
		Wolf	Table
		Speaker Blair	#3 tabled
99		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Wolf, J.J.	Explains Amendment #4
		Speaker Blair	
		Brummet	Opposes Amendment #4
100		Speaker Blair	
		Amendment #4 vote	
		Wolf	
101		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	Speaks against Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Speaks for Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #4 fails, 3rd
102		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1375, 3rd
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			20.
		Brummet	Explains HB 1375
103		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.J.	Opposes HB 1375
104		Speaker Teclser	
		Terzich	
105		Speaker Telcser	
		Dee	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brummet	To close
		Speaker Telcser	HB 1375 vote
		Borchers	
106		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.	Asks verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Affirmative roll call
107		Speaker Blair	Fleck 'no'
		Clerk Selcke	Continues roll call
		Molloy	'Aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
108		Wolf	Questions roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
109		LaFleur	'Aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
110-111-112		Wolf	
113-114		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 1375 passed
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2000



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			21.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
115		Speaker Telcser	Committee reports
		Clerk Selcke	
116		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2000
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2000
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	Explains HB 2000
117		Speaker Telcser	HB 2000 vote, passed
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2599
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Hill	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2599 to 3rd hold
		Speaker Telcser	Supplemental concurrences
		Collins	Moves non concurrence SA #1 HB 2825



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			22.
		Speaker Telcser	House non concurs
118		Clerk Selcke	HB 2635, 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2635, 3rd
		Speaker Telcser	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2635, vote
		Collins	Asks leave for HB 2866
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2635 passed
119		Clerk Selcke	HB 2866
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Explains HB 2866
		Speaker Telcser	
		Porter	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2866 vote, passed
		Clerk Selcke	SB 1st, 1247, 1248, 1289, 1357, 1382, 1424, 1500, 1620 1644
120		Speaker Telcser	HB 2264 Concurrences
		Peters	Moves House concurs in SA's
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
		Peters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	
		Shea	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	23.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Holloway	Put back on roll HB 1375	
		Speaker Telcser		
121		Clerk Selcke	HB 2889, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Soderstrom	Explains HB 2889	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Soderstrom		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2890	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Barnes		
		Soderstrom		
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on HB's 2889, 2890	
122		Palmer		
		Soderstrom		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
		Palmer		
		Soderstrom	Discussion	
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Beaupre		
		Speaekr Telcser		
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser	HB's 2889, 2890 passed	
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2541, 3rd	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			24.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Grotberg	Explains HB 2541
123		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	
		Grotberg	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2541, vote passed
		Peters	On concurrence
124		Speaker Telcser	ntroduction, 1st
		Clerk Selcke	HB 2899, 1st
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	Concurrences
		Hill	HB 2460 concurrence in SA
		Speaker Telcser	House concurrence, vote House concurs
125		Berman	HB 2989 SA moves concurrence
		Speaker Telcser	Vote on concurrence, House concurs
		Bradley	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	HB 2286, moves concurrence
126		Speaker Telcser	Vote on concurrence in SA's House concurs
		Brummet	HB 2401 hold
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			25.
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deavers	HB 2298 concur, TOOR
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	What order of business?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	Go to 3rd
		Speaker Telcser	Objections heard, Agreed Resolutions
127		Clerk Selcke	HR 1059, reads Resolution
128		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Waddell	
		Speaker Telcser	HR 1059 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	HR 1057, 1058
		Speaker Telcser	Resolutions adopted
		Clerk Selcke	HR 1060
		Speaker Telcser	
129		Gibbs	Explains Resolution
		Speaker Telcser	
130		Shea	Discussion
		Gibbs	
		Speaker Telcser	HR 1060 TOOR
131		Walsh, W.	Suspend rule 18 (b)



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			26.
		Speaker Telcser	Suspended, leave
		Walsh, W.	SB 1289 suspension
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	Rule suspended
		Walsh, W.	HB 2665 suspend rule 8
132		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	Point of inquiry
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
		Walsh	
133		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Objection
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR, hold
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 1357 hold
134		Houlihan	Objects
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Withdraws motion 33A
135		Houlihan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			27.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	Objection
		Speaker Telcser	
136		Philip	Announcement
		Speaker Teclser	
		Collins	Announcement
		Speaker Teclser	
		Washburn	
137		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Moves House adjourns
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HR 1061
		Speaker Telcser	HR 1061 adopted
		Walsh	Moves House adjourns
		Speaker Telcser	
		Gibbs	
		Speaker Telcser	House adjourns
		Speaker Telcser	1st Special Session to order
		Clerk Selcke	HB 24, 3rd
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ebbesen	Reads Amendment and explains
138		Speaker Telcser	Question
		Shea	
139		Speaker Telcser	
		Ebbesen	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	28.
		Speaker Telcser	HB 24, vote	
		Ebbesen		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hill		
		Speaker Telcser		
140		Ebbesen	Moves Post poned TOOR	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Philip	Question quorum	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Williams	TOOR	
141		Speaker Telcser	Discussion on quorum	
		Shea		
		Philip	Withdraws motion	
		Speaker Telcser	Suspend rule 41 HR #5	
142		Houlihan	Discussion	
		Gibbs		
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted HR #5 adoption	
		Gibbs		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schraeder		
143		Speaker Telcser		
		Gibbs	Explains Resolution #5	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	29.
144		Giorgi	Discussion	
		Gibbs		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Calvo		
145		Speaker Telcser	HR #5 adopted	
		Speaker Telcser	1st Special Session adjourned	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES