

Telcser: "The House will come to order. Invocation by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "It has been ordained by Your wisdom, Oh God, that we who live in this land are not only subject to the power of government but also participate in establishing those laws by which this power is exercised. Wrapped in the blessing of this freedom is this dual and difficult responsibility. Give us, Lord, to know that the good laws we make are not our laws simply to do with as we please. They have Your hallowed instancy upon them as they become part of the ordinances of this commonwealth. Give us to know, also, Oh Lord, that when we make bad laws we are flouting Your Majesty and inviting Your visitation upon our unfaithfulness. Teach us therefore, to bow before Your wisdom daily, so that we may be governed by Your holy will and enact such legislation as will bring Your favor upon us and upon our people. Hear us, Almighty God, not because You are Lord of all the nations, but because You are our only Redeemer and Savior. Amen."

Telcser: "Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bills of the following title and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 288, 704, 1146 passed the Senate June 7, 1973. Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title. House Bill 56, 69, 128, 151 passed the Senate June 7, 1973. Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title. House Bill 159 together with the following Amendments



passed the Senate as amended June 7, 1973. Edward Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title. House Bill 158 together with the following Amendments passed the Senate as amended June 7, 1973. Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title. House Bill 122 together with the following Amendments passed the Senate as amended June 7, 1973. Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title. House Bill 62 together with the following Amendments and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House passed the Senate as amended June 7, 1973. Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed and concur with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title. House Bill 55 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 7, 1973. Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title. House Bill 43 together with the following Amendments passed the Senate as amended June 7, 1973. Edward Fernandez, Secretary."

Telcser: "Committee Reports."

Fred Selcke: "Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bill 1542 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass."



Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which Senate Bills 298 and 367 were referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which Senate Bill 358 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which Senate Bills 373, 410, 545, 555 and 792 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which Senate Bill 392 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which Senate Bills 436, 437, 438 and 439 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which House Resolution 279 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which House Resolution 280 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Capuzi, man, Capuzi was working, wasn't he, from Human Resources to which House Resolution 281 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Rose from Judiciary I to which Senate Bill 103 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass. Mr. Rose from Judiciary to which Senate Bill 199 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Rose from Judiciary I to which Senate Bills 232, 266, 749 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Rose from Judiciary I to which Senate Bills 345 and 346 were referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto



with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Rose from Judiciary I to which Senate Bill 467 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass."

Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Selcke: "House Resolution 397. Dunn. House Resolution 399. Madigan, et al. House Resolution 400. Madigan et al. House Resolution 401. McLendon et al. House Resolution 402. Choate et al. House Resolution 403. Leinenweber et al. House Resolution 404. Porter et al."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, William Walsh, on the Agreed Resolutions."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 397 congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Murray on their Golden Wedding Anniversary. House Resolution 399 congratulates Dr. and Mrs. Ernest Johnson on their 45th Wedding anniversary. House Resolution, next one, joins... asks us to join the students and faculty of Webber High School in congratulating their baseball team in winning the City of Chicago baseball championship. House Resolution 401 by Representative McLendon congratulate Mr. Cyrus J. Coulter upon his appointment as Professor of Creative Writing at Northwestern University. And House Resolution 402 congratulates Representative Toby Barry and his wife Janet who celebrated their 25th Wedding Anniversary on June 5th, 1973. And House Resolution 403 by Representative Leinenweber does this, it resolves that the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly that the Speaker of this Honorable body direct the doorkeeper and his assistants to open the window blinds of the east and west phalanxes of the windows each day in a sequence opposite the actual movement of the sun so that we that sit and legislate in the public name may, at least, in these early days of Summer enjoy the physical and esthetic benefits of direct or even indirect sunlight and that's Representative



Leinenweber and we sure appreciate it. And House Resolution 404 by Representative Porter congratulates our colleague Jim McCourt on his 49th birthday which was yesterday and more importantly his 27th wedding anniversary which is today. We all congratulate Jim. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman's offered to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying aye, opposed no, and the Resolutions are adopted. Senate Bills Third Reading. For what purpose does the gentleman from Moutrie, Representative Stone, arise?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I would like to suspend the appropriate rules to call Senate Bill 1176 out of order."

Telcser: "The gentleman has moved the suspension of rule 37to go out of numerical..sequence. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading, on call Senate Bill 1176. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. It will take 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 107 ayes, 14 nays, and the gentleman's motion prevails. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1176."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 1176. An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of offering an amendment. I believe Representative Washburn has the amendment."

Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none."

Selcke: "Amendment #1. Washburn. Amends 1176 on page 1, line 6..."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen"



of the House. Amendment #1 reduces the amount from 25 million to 15 million. I think this is a good example of why appropriation Bills shouldn't by-pass committee, as this one did. The reason for the reduction is that the Department of Revenue can only expend 15 million before June 30th of fiscal year 73. The 10 million that's being deleted from this Bill will be incorporated into fiscal year 74 Department of Revenue Bill and the amount of money will eventually be the same, so I 'd move the adoption of amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1176. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time, now the gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, with reference to Senate Bill 1176."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This Bill appropriates 15 million dollars to the Department of Revenue so that they can continue making income tax refunds through June 30th. I would appreciate your affirmative vote."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is shall Senate Bill 1176 pass? All in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 123 ayes, no nays, and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. OK, go to the Speakers table. On the Speakers Table appears House Resolution 304. For which purpose the gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg, is recognized. Representative Grotberg, "do you wish to put a motion in reference to your Resolution 304?"

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move the adoption of Resolution 304. Resolution 304 simply mandates the Toll Road Authority to get busy and solve the problem of the unmanned toll booths automatic coin devices that are so often inoperative and so often embarassing to motorists who have



improper change. I'd appreciate your affirmative vote."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, do you seek recognition, sir?"

Shea: "NO, I'm fine."

Telcser: "OK. Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered that the House do adopt the Resolution 304. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Resolution is adopted. The Clerk tells me that the Senate Bills are piling up again, so if we have House sponsors who are going to pick up these Senate Bills please come up to the Clerks desk, and give him your names and we'll be able to read them a first time today. On the Speakers Table appears House Joint Resolution...I guess the sponsor doesn't want it called. Representative Katz on the floor? Let's go to the Order Consideration postponed. On the order of...on the order of Consideration postponed appears House Bill 1797. For that purpose the gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen, is recognized."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Chairman, I would like leave to take this back to Second Reading for purpose of an amendment."

Telcser: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, House Bill 1797 is on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the amendment."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Chairman, the amendment..."

Telcser: "Let the Clerk read the amendment...hold on a second."

Selcke: "Amendment #2. Ebbesen. Amends House Bill 1797 as amended on page 1, by striking lines 27 through 31."

Telcser: "Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, the amendment eliminates the provision for the prosecution of any officer or employee who recklessly failed to file a statement within the prescribed time."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of amendment #2 to House Bill 1797. All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye, opposed no. The



amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time, the gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Chairman, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1797, as amended, amends the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Now the Illinois Constitution provides that the General Assembly by law shall prescribe a reasonable time for the filing of the statements of economic interests and quoting, 'the failure to file a statement within the statement prescribed shall result in ineligibility for or forfeiture of office.' Now basically, House Bill 1797 especially now after having been amended twice, once in committee and once this morning in the House Floor, does amend the Ethics Act and spells out the constitutional penalty for the failure to file a statement of economic interests. It also amends the Act to include certain position of public employees...employment to comply with a 1972 opinion of the Attorney General and makes it clear that both public officials and certain employees who fail to comply are ineligible for or must forfeit that particular office or position of employment whichever the case may be. I would encourage everybody's support for House Bill 1797."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm a little distressed that the membership doesn't seem to be listening. It seems to me that this is a Bill of really extraordinary importance to the Members of the House and others as well. If the sponsor would yield for a question, I'd like to try to clarify something with him."

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Lundy: "Joe, am I correct that this Bill rather than imposing very drastic penalties, in fact, provides by law for penalties which in some cases would be less drastic than those required under the constitution?"

Ebbesen: "It's the same as the constitution."



Lundy: "Well, then the purpose of the Bill is simply to specify the procedures to be followed in imposing the constitutionally required penalties."

Ebbesen: "That is correct. It brings into compliance with the constitution."

Lundy: "Thank you very much."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Shea: "Since we've talked about this last, I've had occasion to try and find out some information. I talked to John Hoppercamp in the Secretary of State's office and he tells me that there are 675 people who filed their ethics statement on May 1st or after. I see by this mornings paper that the State's Attorney in Will County filed lawsuits against 74 people on a selective basis. Now, I didn't quite understand that selective basis maybe one of the gentlemen from Will can explain that to me."

Ebbesen: "Well...uh...that was the first time, relative to the implementation of this particular section of the Ethics Act and also the constitution and the purpose of this is spelled out very clearly for the future and we also did pass a Bill out of the House within the past month at which time in the future when we file, anyone files for public office, they will receive notice that they are to file a statement of economic interest."

Shea: "Yes, I understand that. What about this Bill, I think, we would have a very dire effect on 675 people in State government, it would have on at least, if I'm to believe the information in this mornings paper, 74 people in Will County and Lord knows how many other people throughout this State that, you know, are employees and don't even know their supposed to file these things."

Ebbesen: "Well, Jerry,...uh...I don't think this Bill is retro-active, I don't think it goes back at the time this was done



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

was the initial inception of this particular procedure. I think this Bill is something that looks into the future as opposed to going retroactive in its effect."

Shea: "Well, I still have problem with it, I want you to understand that."

Ebbesen: "And I appreciate that...uh..."

Telcser: "The gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Joe, now as I understand the dialogue, this suggests that the people who are employed and earn more than \$20,000 live by the same rules that we who are elected are demanded to live by as suggested by the new constitution, is that simply what it is?"

Ebbesen: "Yes very simply, that's exactly what it is."

Telcser: Is there further discussion? Does the gentleman wish to close the debate?"

Ebbesen: "I would just...uh...in the interest of time, encourage a yes vote on House Bill 1797."

Telcser: "Question is shall House Bill 1797 pass? All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Record Representative Shea as voting present. Lauer aye. Alright, there's a number of people who want to be recorded as voting present yet. Kosinski present. Laurino present. Kozubowski present. Brandt, Thompson, Leon, Houlihan, Do. Houlihan, Beatty, McLendon, Nardulli, Jacobs, why don't the rest of you come up to the clerk and he'll record you. Jacobs, Lechowicz, Taylor,...the Clerk can only write, he can't write that fast, ok, and Lemke. Have all...what? The machine isn't working.....then the paper gets stuck and doesn't come out on the roll call. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 100 ayes, no nays, 17 answering present, this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 978. For which purpose the gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich is recognized."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a Bill which we thoroughly discussed some time ago relating to the provision involvement in dispensing of drugs. I want all of you to pay close attention because when this Bill was called on Third Reading I mentioned that I would, because some asked me, amend it to be a prohibition of fee splitting on drugs only. However, some have talked to me and also I think House Bill 979 which has been sent to the Senate can do that job. So those of you who think that I am now going to amend it to be a prohibition of fee splitting only, I want you to know that this is a more stringent Bill than I had originally which eliminates the dispensing of drugs by the medical practitioner as outlined in the Act and I would solicit your favorable support on House Bill 979."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, can I ask the Sponsor a question? Did I understand correctly that you say that all the Bill relates to now is fee splitting?"

Matijevich: "No, I wanted to be clear with you, Representative Huskey, because I think you are one of them that I said I would probably amend it to be a prohibition of fee splitting only. But I would rather go up and down, up or down on the more stringent Bill. There are enough pay cards for the rural physicians, etc. and I think to be a real viable Bill in relation to getting the physicians out of the drug dispensing business I would rather go up or down on this Bill as it is."

Huskey: "Well, then I would like to speak on the Bill. Actually we have a Bill here that is going to affect every medical center in the State of Illinois that dispenses drugs because in most cases the doctors pool their money together to build these medical centers that would not normally be built and in most cases they put a drug dispensing



unit in these medical centers. Now, doesn't this make sense that if you go to a doctor in a medical center that you buy your medicine or drugs on your way out to go home that you didn't have to go to some distant drug store or pharmacy to get your prescription filled? And this is going to hurt thousands and thousands of people. It's also going to put a lot of people out of jobs and in most cases, when I rise to oppose a Bill it's only a Bill that is going to put a lot of people out of a job. So I hope that you people oppose this Bill also."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's not easy since most of my medical colleagues who have spoken to me tend to be opposed to this Bill to stand up and to tell you that I think it's very important that we vote for it and I would like to explain why for those of you who have may have any doubts at this point. First of all, it does not prevent doctors in any way from dispensing drugs to their patients. What it does it prevents doctors from being in a business that they are not prepared to be in and that is selling drugs to their patients and I can say from enough experience that there is good reason why doctors should not sell drugs. If they wish to give drugs to their patients in line with the treatment that they are administering I can tell you from enough experience that they can do it without losing one penny. Doctors get drugs from pharmaceutical houses in abundance. If a doctor wants to give a drug to a patient in line with treatment which he feels in any part of Illinois is in the patient's best interest he doesn't have to be in the drug business or in the pharmaceutical supply business. I urge you to vote for this Bill for another very important reason. There is enough research to justify the conclusion that doctors who profit from selling drugs tend to over-prescribe and tend to over-



dispense and without any undue criticism of my fellow practitioners I will say that this Bill will help doctors to do the job they are trained for and that they should do. It will separate the two professions of medicine and pharmacy. I think that the Bill is designed for the best interest of the patient and I think that the fears that have been expressed by those who say doctors in rural areas where there are drug stores not available will not be able to do their business is unjustified. They can give away drugs, they can get drugs in other ways and I understand from Representative Matijevich that there are protective parts in this Bill and anyhow and I think this is a good Bill and you should vote for it."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lakeside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, according to the Calendar this Bill has not been amended since we discussed it the other day and my, I am also what this Bill is trying to do except, except Ladies and Gentlemen, we have enough trouble now getting doctors in our small villages and cities downstate. This is going to magnify our problem. I would like to be very specific. I have a small village in my district where there is a family doctor. There is a small country drug store. That's all within 20 miles. This doctor has no choice other than to carry his own supply of drugs of those which the druggist refuses or is unable to maintain. What is going to happen if this Bill passes in that area? This Bill, as I understand it, does not provide for the doctor to furnish drugs in those cases where the druggist does not have the necessary drugs available. That is the problem, Ladies and Gentlemen. I know another village in my area in which there is no drug store. In that instance I understand that this Bill does solve the problem because then the



doctor can dispense drugs. But what about the case where adequate drugs, the one he needs to prescribe are not available? Do you expect every patient to drive 20 miles to buy drugs at the nearest drug store where he can buy the particular drug that is prescribed by the doctor? Let's don't jeopardize the health of the people in the small areas of Illinois. Let's vote this Bill down."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr., thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to answer that Gentleman on that question. This Bill will not at all prevent that doctor in the small town there for dispensing the necessary medication to his patient. I see what this Bill will do is in areas where there are pharmaceutical services, it says the doctor cannot dispense these as long as there are adequate pharmaceutical services. Not to eliminate the small town doctor who wants to provide that service for his patients. What this Bill is it will prevent the, let's say the exploitation or the possible violation of anti-trust that could exist in other areas and I urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill. Thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems funny to me that a doctor don't know what in the heck he is prescribing. To me this is another thing in the world for a Bill that protects the druggist. That's all it is. For instance, I happen to be on a certain medication. I go to a drug store. I pay 12¢ for the same particular medication that I get from the doctor in this community that that prescribes these pills for 9¢. I think this Bill is nothing in the world but a Bill to help one particular class and I have relatives in both class so you can't say it's a conflict of interest. Thank you."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "I will only close by saying that the Bill has the protection for the rural physicians and they can dispense where there are emergency situations, etc. and also when you talk about the physician possibly selling some drug for 9¢ remember, he might not even dispense that drug for 9¢ if he didn't know he is getting a profit on it. There are many, many people having drugs prescribed to them for the simple reason that a physician is getting a profit off of that drug and I think we ought to eliminate that. This Bill does it. I solicit your support for House Bill 978."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 978 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 60 'ayes', 49 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive a, Tuerk 'no', having failed to receive a, Peters 'aye', Ryan wishes to be recorded as voting 'present' and this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh with respect to one motion and then we will go right back to considerations postponed."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, in order that Members who have Senate Bills may set them for Committee next week, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 and that is the 6 1/2 day posting rule for next week only so that Bills may be posted today and heard in the Tuesday, Wednesday or Thursday Committees next week. So if we are successful, if this motion prevails and I have every reason to think it will and you have Senate Bills, try to get them posted for next week so that we can dispose of them and I so move, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wall."



Wall: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask Bill a question. Bill, is next week the final week for hearing Senate Bills in Committee?"

Walsh: "No, I don't believe so. No, I think we can hear them the week after next also but..."

Wall: "Yeah, but our Committee clerks were told that next week would be the last week..."

Walsh: "I heard that rumor John. I don't think they are correct."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend our provisions for Rule 18 for the purpose of having Senate Bills posted for hearing next week. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Lemke 'aye'. On this question 135 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. On the order of consideration postponed, Geo-Karis 'aye', on the order of consideration postponed appears House Bill 1714 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Phil Collins is recognized."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1714 is a Bill which amends the Chicago Firemen's Annuity Fund which would provide for an increase in the minimum benefits for widows of firemen in the city of Chicago. This Bill received either 84 or 85 votes last week and fell a little short of passage because some questions were raised as to the cost of the program. Thanks to Representative Terzich who presented me with some figures it now is indicated that the cost would be minimal spread over the three year period in addition to the fact that the fund did have a substantial reserve in 1973 which would provide ample funds for the payment for this increase out of current revenue and I would ask for the favorable consideration of the House."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall



House Bill 1714 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Telcser 'aye'. On this question there are 105 'ayes', 3 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Record Representative Martin as voting 'aye'. Taylor 'aye'. On the order of consideration postponed appears House Bill 1108 for which purpose the Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer is recognized."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1108 repeals an Act relating to acquisitions, possession and transfer of firearms and firearms ammunition. This Act has been in force for five years. The Act has not reduced crime or homicide. The Act has not increased the efficiency of the Department of Law Enforcement. The Act has penalized over one million Illinois residents by compelling them to register as a gun owner for \$5.00 fees. Since this Act has had a trial run for five years it has not really accomplished anything. I therefore ask you for your affirmative vote for the repeal of this Act."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was substantially beat back the last time it was up. This repeals the Gun Registration Act. It seems to me that we have worked for years to get a gun registration act in the State of Illinois. We have finally got it and now this Bill tries to eliminate it. I think that this would be a step backwards for the State of Illinois and would be disastrous. If you take a look at the Committee it was assigned to it was assigned to the Committee on Agricultural Natural Resources and I think that it's rather strange that this type of Bill got sent to that Committee and I think that



the reason that it was sent to that Committee was only because of the fact that that was the only Committee that would pass out a Bill of this type. I would therefore urge the defeat of this Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, that's the second time that the distinguished Gentleman from Cook county talked about the Agriculture and the Conservation Committee of the House. Now the first time he talked about it I didn't say anything. But this time I am and there has been some implications throughout the session that our Committee hasn't given Bills a fair hearing. I would like to point out to the distinguished Gentleman that his Bills haven't done too bad in that Committee this session but I would suggest that if he keeps talking that way his batting average may go down."

Telcser: "The Gentleman, Representative Jaffe, for what purpose..."

Jaffe: "On a point of personal privilege. I would like to tell my good friend from Macoupin that I only got one Bill out of that Committee. I only had one Bill before that Committee and the way I got it out was to discharge the Committee."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support House Bill 1108 and with my distinguished seatmate directly to my left in the front, to remind him of the list of Sponsors on that Bill. There is quite a number of bi-partisan support for this Bill and Firearm Registration Act has been very ineffective and I urge your support of this Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I happened to have been on the Conservation Committee which is the same as we have now on the original



Bill that we have gun registrations. It was heard in that Committee and I want you to know that the Committee passed this particular Bill out. I am sorry that they did but they did. So it's not the Committee that was to fault for it."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, maybe I should ask you first, is the rule still in that you are going to have only two proponents and two opponents today?"

Telcser: "Yes, we would like to if we can."

Flinn: "Well, all right, then I will sit down."

Telcser: "Go ahead, I called on you, Representative."

Flinn: "All right, I rise, I rise in support of this Bill. It doesn't do a thing except cost the gun owners a \$5.00 bill. That's what it costs. It should have never passed to start with and it should be done away at this time."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ike Sims."

Sims: "Representative Springer, do your Bill affect home rule units? Do your Bill affect home rule units?"

Springer: "No."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Sponsor a question. When this Bill appeared on the floor the last time and again today we have heard assertions by the Sponsor and those who are for the Bill that the gun registration has not accomplished its purpose. That may very well be true but I would like to have some sort of response as to stastics or some argument in that respect rather than just drawing those conclusions and I haven't heard any. Neither the last time it was discussed or this time."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer to close."



Springer: "Mr. Speaker, did the Gentleman ask a question?"

Telcser: "Who asked the question? Oh, I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Randolph, do you wish to respond to his question?"

Springer: "Some of the statistics, an informal telephone survey of Illinois counties other than Cook indicates that the counties have generally experienced six or fewer prosecutions for violations of a Firearms Owner Identification Act since 1968. The officers of the State's Attorney in these sample counties reported the prosecutions for violations of the Act are usually in connection with another charge such as hunting without a license, assault, robbery and so forth. Precise statistics on the number of prosecutions for violations of the Act are difficult to obtain because the records of the prosecution are filed in the Circuit Clerk's office in chronological order without regard to this type of offense. Obtaining precise information would require searching every prosecution file since '68 and checking every charge on each file. The impressions of the State's Attorneys and Circuit Clerks in the counties sampled, however, would seem to indicate that the prosecutions for violations of the Act occur at about the rate of two per year in each county, mostly in connection with other criminal charges."

Telcser: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Well, I think that answers the question as to how many prosecutions there are and points up the activity towards the enforcement of this law but as I understand it the gun registration law was passed for the purpose of keeping track of weapons and the experience in the prosecution of the law I don't think really addresses itself to the real matter and that is, whether or not we're going to have some sort of record as to who possesses and owns weapons."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer"



Springer: "To close?"

Telcser: "To close."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when this Bill was heard in Committee it was posted as according to the rules. There was no one from the Department of Law Enforcement, no one to testify as an opponent. Therefore, I think, actually the Department of Law Enforcement is not really interested in it and I urge your affirmative vote. Thank you very much."

Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 1108 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Record Representative Kosinski as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? LaFleur 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative, the Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman wishes to explain his vote."

VonBoeckman: "I would like, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when this Bill was passed five years ago it was designed to curb crime and I believe the statistics show that crime has not been curbed. I feel that this has been a bad piece of legislation when it was passed by this House, therefore, I will go back to the old saying, wise men change their minds, fools never do and I hope we don't have a bunch of fools. Thank you."

Telcser: "O.K., Representative Harold Washington. O.K., Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded as 'present'."

Telcser: "Record Representative Taylor as voting 'present'. Representative Barnes, for what, Barnes 'aye'. Representative Washington, did you seek recognition, sir?"

Washington: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "How is Representative Washington recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Washington: "Fine, thank you."

Telcser: "All right, Representative Borchers, for what purpose



do you rise, sir? There are 88 votes right now."

Borchers: "I would like to get a couple of other votes for simple reasons. If you go back in the five years including this year there has been a steady increase in crime. This has not done a bit of good in relation to the stopping of crime. All it has done is registered the honest, legal gun owner. That is all. They are still legal people. The crimes are being committed by unregistered guns. It's of no value to know and an expensive ridiculous situation to just regulate and put down on paper the names of those that are honest and keep their guns registered, or rather not the guns but themselves. The thing to do is to forget it. It's the unregistered gun that is doing the killing and they are not about to register themselves. All you've got to do is go look at the record. I have it at home. The decrease that is claimed in crime is actually from traffic violations, not major crimes. That has increased all over this State including suburban areas and country areas."

Telcser: "Now there are currently 87 votes on the Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kucharski, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kucharski: "How am I recorded? Mr. Speaker, how am I..."

Telcser: "How is Representative Kucharski recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Kucharski: "Change that to 'no'."

Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Duff: "To cast and explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Proceed, sir."

Duff: "It seems to me that to say to repeal this Act because there are some guns used in crimes, is like saying we should not have locks on our doors because some houses are burglarized. This Bill will repeal the effort of the law enforcement people in Illinois to continue to



struggle to control one of the worst problems in our day which is the handgun in particular. I vote 'no'."

Telcser: "Record Representative Duff as voting 'no'."

Representative Macdonald, for what purpose do you rise?"

Macdonald: "How am I recorded as voting?"

Telcser: "How is Representative Macdonald as voting?"

Fred Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Macdonald: "Record me as 'no' please."

Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'no'. Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Hunsicker: "I would like to explain my 'aye' vote. Half of what you read in the accounts in the newspapers over the weekend, there wasn't a handgun used in those three murders on I-57. There was a 12 gauge shotgun and I am sure that wasn't registered."

Telcser: "Representative Martin, for what purpose do you rise?"

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Martin: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, what's the count?"

Telcser: "The Gentleman now has 88 'aye' votes."

Jaffe: "O.K., if it reaches 89 Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Telcser: "O.K., now is there anyone else who wishes to vote on this Bill before we announce it? There are currently 88 votes. Representative Randolph, Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded as voting 'aye' since this do not affect the home rule counties."

Telcser: "O.K., record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Representative Taylor. The Clerk has got to sharpen the pencil. All right, Representative, now currently there



are, the Clerk just gave you the count. There are 89 'ayes' and 51 'nays'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck, for what purpose, a verification has been requested by the way. You have got 89 'ayes' right now, Representative. Representative Springer, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Springer: "Mr. Speaker, would you poll the absentees, please?"

Telcser: "Yes, certainly. All right, the Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats and the Clerk will read the absentees."

Fred Selcke: "Arrigo, Capparelli, Carter, Collins, Davis, Dyer, Epton, Farley, Garmisa, Giglio, Granata, Griesheimer."

Telcser: "Record Representative Giglio as voting 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Granata, Griesheimer, Hart, Gene Hoffman, R. Holloway, Hyde, Kosinski, Krause, Leinenweber, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McPartlin, Philip, Porter, Rayson, Sangmeister, Springer."

Telcser: "Representative Springer has decided to vote for his own Bill. Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Terzich."

Telcser: "One moment. Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my vote to 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record Representative Beaupre as voting 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Thompson, J.J. Wolf, B.B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Representative Kosinski, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Telcser: "You should be recorded as voting 'present' I believe."

Kosinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Does the Gentleman still persist in, o.k. the Gentleman has asked for a verification of the affirmative



Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats and the Clerk will read the names of the affirmative Roll Call."

Fred Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Barnes, Barry, Beaupre, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capuzi, Choate, Cox, Craig, Cunningham, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Ewell, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Jacobs, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Keller, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kriegsman, LaFleur, Lauer, Lemke, Londrigan, Martin, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McLendon, McMaster, Kenny Miller, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Pappas, Patrick, Randolph, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schisler, Schlickman, Sevcik, Sharp, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Tipword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, Walters, Washburn, Washington."

Telcser: "Are there questions of the affirmative Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Alsup."

Telcser: "Representative Alsup is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Brinkmeier."

Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Bradley."

Telcser: "Is Representative Bradley on the floor? Representative Bradley? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Calvo."

Telcser: "Representative Calvo? The Gentleman is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Choate."

Telcser: "Representative Choate? He is in his office, if you insist, we will go get him. Do you sir?"



Jaffe: "Well we will hold that for a little bit."

Telcser: "All right, we will hold that one in abeyance."

Jaffe: "Craig."

Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Giglio."

Telcser: "Representative Giglio is right here on the floor."

Jaffe: "Friedland."

Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Giorgi."

Telcser: "Representative Giorgi on the floor? How is
Representative Giorgi recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Representative Giorgi is recorded as voting
'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Hanahan."

Telcser: "Is Representative Hanahan on the floor? How is
the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Harpstrite."

Telcser: "Is Representative Harpstrite on the floor? How is
the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Jimmy Holloway."

Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Huskey."

Telcser: "Representative Harpstrite has just returned. Put
Harpstrite back on. Now, Representative Huskey, is the
Gentleman on the floor? Is Representative Huskey on the
floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Keller."

Telcser: "He is standing in the aisle in the back."

Jaffe: "Klosak."



Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Lemke."

Telcser: "He is standing right here by the electrician."

Jaffe: "McAuliffe."

Telcser: "Is Representative McAuliffe on the floor? He is standing up the center aisle."

Jaffe: "McMaster."

Telcser: "Is Representative McMaster on the floor? Representative McMaster? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Murphy."

Telcser: "He is on the floor talking to Representative Walsh."

Jaffe: "North."

Telcser: "Representative North is in his seat."

Jaffe: "Pappas."

Telcser: "Is Representative Pappas on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Now, Representative Giorgi and McMaster have returned. Pappas is off. McMaster and Giorgi have returned. They are taken off of the Roll Call. They have now come back. Is Representative Randolph on the floor? How is Representative Randolph recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take Representative Randolph off of the Roll Call. Rose? Is Representative Rose on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Schlickman."

Telcser: "The Gentleman is standing by his seat."

Jaffe: "Sevcik."

Telcser: "Is Representative Sevcik on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"



Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Stiehl."

Telcser: "She is in her seat."

Jaffe: "Tuerk."

Telcser: "Representative Tuerk is sitting in his seat."

Jaffe: "VonBoeckman."

Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman, is the Gentleman on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Jaffe: "Taylor."

Telcser: "Is Representative Taylor on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call. Now, Representative Sevcik has returned to the floor. Sevcik has returned."

Jaffe: "Representative Wall."

Telcser: "He is standing by his seat."

Jaffe: "Representative Waddell."

Telcser: "Is Representative Waddell on the floor? He is standing up the center aisle. Are there any more questions? If none, what do you have Fred? Representative Richard Carter, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Carter: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is Representative Carter recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Carter: "Would you vote me 'aye' please?"

Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative Jaffe, do you..."

Jaffe: "Representative Totten."

Telcser: "Is Representative Totten on the floor? Is this the second time you asked? O.K., is Representative Totten on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call and put Representative



VonBoeckman....Representative VonBoeckman for what purpose do you rise?"

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring attention to the members of the House, that I was called to the outside and I had to give the courtesy of going down and telling these gentleman that I was leaving the floor and..uh..they knew it. I think this is dirty pool and I just the members of this House to know what happened. Thank you."

Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman, you were taken off the roll call, Representative. Does Representative VonBoeckman wished to be recorded as voting aye? Record Representative VonBoeckman as voting aye. Representative Hirschfeld for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Hirschfeld: "Because Representative Jaffe is questioning the affirmative roll call, Representative Schneider, and Representative Hirschfeld wishes to change his vote, do you wish to change your vote?"

Telcser: "Representative Hirschfeld for what purpose do you rise?"

Hirschfeld: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Telcser: "Now, Representative Schneider for what purpose do you rise?"

Schneider: "Thank you. Just on a personal privilege remark..."

Telcser: "State your point."

Schneider: "And that is, Jim, you told that to me and I'm sorry, I didn't forward it to Aaron and he got the request from another member so there was no effort to do that to you we apologize for it, purely accidental and it's my mistake not to report it to Aaron."

Telcser: "On this question there are 85 ayes, 50 nays, this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is hereby declared lost. On the order of postponed con-



sideration appears House Bill 1362 for which purpose the Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer is recognized."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill which would permit pre-sentence investigation. I would appreciate leave of the House to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of Amendment."

Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none House Bill 1362 is taken to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1, Lauer amends House Bill..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "The purpose of the Amendment to this Bill is to make it quite clear that the judge of the court is not to have available to him the information gained in a pre-sentence investigation before the post trial motion has been disposed of. This Amendment is being introduced to alleviate some of the problems that were raised by Mr. Rayson and to assure that the right of the defendant in the convicted felon are not going to be prejudiced by any possibility that the court will have the information gained in the pre-sentence investigation available to him until all of the post-trial motions have been exhausted and have been dealt with. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1362. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Representative Jones, do you seek recognition, sir?"

Jones: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like leave of the House to be recorded as 'no' on House Bill 1108."

Telcser: "Well, we are in the middle of another Amendment, sir. That request is not proper now and that Roll Call was



verified so there is no way you can get on it, sir. The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1362. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time. The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "As you heard from my discussion of the Amendment, the purpose of the Bill is to speed up the disposition of cases in the courts and to shorten up the time between the finding of guilt and the passing of sentence. The major purpose of the Bill, as you know, is to attempt to speed up the process in our over logged courts. We have taken care of those to assure that the convicted felon does not have the post-trial motions prejudiced in any way because we have made it impossible by law for the court to find out ahead of the disposition of these post-trial motions what the finding of the pre-sentence investigation was. It had nothing to do with the waiver of pre-sentence investigation and these rights are still available. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1362 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my 'aye' vote I want to point out that when this Bill was previously called I voted against it and I opposed it. With the Amendment I believe that now it is an instrument for speedier justice and it does not infringe upon the rights of the defendant. I therefore would urge your green lights."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



one of our problems, one of our major problems in the courts is lack of transition in cases. Speedy justice will end in good law enforcement and I urge a green light on this Bill."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 105 'ayes', LaFleur 'aye', 5 'nays'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill, on the order of consideration postponed appears House Bill 1818 for which purpose the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner is recognized."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the first time this Bill was up the question was asked how much would it cost. I prepared a fiscal note which indicates that the 39 county nursing homes for which this Bill proposes reimbursement for that portion, that percentage of the caseload in the nursing home which is on public aid would run approximately \$1.1 million per year for the next 40 years. The question, the basic question however seems to be should not be the cost question but should be the equity question. Is it fair to reimburse private nursing homes for the total cost of caring for a patient but to not do the same for county nursing homes. At the present time the cost of construction is excluded and it seems to me this is a completely unjust procedure. In fact, the Department of Public Aid claims they do not discriminate against public nursing homes but all you have to do is compare the rates of reimbursement between county nursing homes and surrounding private nursing homes in any county, at least in my county and you will find out there is a rather large differential. This Bill attempts to negate that differential."

Telcser: "Are there any questions? The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had asked the Sponsor originally when this



Bill came up the first time and was defeated what the opinion of the Public Aid Department was and he said they were opposed to it and obviously they are opposed to it because there is a whole new plan by the Public Aid Department to take care of matters like this and all this would do would interfere with the Department's plans and I am going to have to oppose the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to support this Bill. I think it's a good Bill. I don't believe that we should discriminate against county nursing homes, against public facilities. These facilities most of the time are subject to more careful scrutiny by the public and by public agencies more so than the private homes. In Macoupin county we have been attempting to build a nursing home for many years down there. It's very difficult under the existing law to provide financing to build a public, county nursing homes. This Bill would provide a vehicle to do so. I believe in public nursing homes and as a Member of the Visitations Committee it has been our experience that these homes have been better kept than some of the private homes and I would urge support of the membership to support this Bill. I think it's a good Bill and it deserves your favorable vote. Thank you."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Sponsor, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to second Representative Boyle's comments about the regulations, the strict regulations of county nursing homes. You don't see county nursing homes burning down like the ones in Springfield did and yet if you compare the reimbursement rates between a county nursing home and the ones that have been burned down or have been closed because they haven't been properly supervised in Cook County, you



will find county nursing homes are getting paid less. What we are trying to do is increase the number of high quality care, we are trying to keep the number of high quality care nursing homes in existence. At the present time when a nursing home becomes substandard, a county nursing home, the temptation becomes extremely large to say to equit it. Why run a county nursing home at all. And if that's, with this Bill this doesn't, this does not provide all the money to build county nursing homes. A county nursing homes is still going to, the county is still going to have to put up the front money and they are only going to be reimbursed for that share of the county nursing home that is occupied by public aid patients. It seems to me it's time the public aid, the public welfare patients carried their own load and for that reason I would ask for a fair, for a 'yes' vote."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1818 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I would like to respond to what Representative Flinn said about the Public Aid Department having some grandiose plan to do with county nursing homes. They have yet to come to me with that plan and quite frankly, the Public Aid Department is not one that is known for implementing plans in a rapid fashion. Now it seems to me that if we want to get them off their rear end and get them to treat county government fairly that we are going to have to tell them that they are going to have to do it. And that is what this Bill does."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would sure appreciate it if we could get a few more green lights up on the board



from this side of the aisle. I feel that this is a good Bill. I don't think we should discriminate against public nursing homes. I think it's about time that we allow the county to go into this business and this is.... one way that they can go into business and this is one.... way that they can go into business. This Bill merely allows the State to pay the public nursing homes the same as they pay the private nursing homes and I sincerely hope we get a few more green lights up there."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I certainly do hope that this fine Bill does pass. You will find that many of our counties are building these type of facilities. They are financing a two or three million dollar construction project out of their local real estate taxes and if you want to give some relief to the real estate taxpayer and I think all of us have said this time and time again, this is an excellent opportunity for the State of Illinois to assume it's fair share of the cost of this type of institution and to give some relief to the real estate taxpayer."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 104 'ayes', 5 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of postponed consideration appears House Bill 1299 and for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann is recognized. Is Representative Mann on the floor? All right, take that out of the record. On the order of postponed consideration appears House Bill 1764 for which purpose the Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham is recognized."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when this Bill was presented two or three days ago the explanation was inadequate. I apologize to you for that inadequacy. It's a good Bill. It makes Amendments in



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the Motor Vehicles Act...and I respectfully submit your 'aye' vote for this Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, here we go again....ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once again we get a minor Amendment to the Motor Vehicle Code and we're not told what it is. We're right back where we were a few days ago, so what we're doing...we're doubling the right of a man to say to say 'no' if he has to take a breathalyzer, we're kicking up the penalties for a man to refuse to take a breath analysis. I think the Bill that we passed last Session should be given a chance to work and we shouldn't use this pernicious means to get involved with peoples Constitutional rights to say no if they so desire by this backdoor method and I would urge every Member to defeat this Bill."

Telcser: "All right. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "The only thing missing from the Sponsor of this Bill is he's not waiving the American Flag as he goes down the aisle. This is one of the worst Bills, and I've seen this Bill by evaluationcome....become a 'worser' Bill. This is the Bill where if you're.....if you've been stopped on the suspicion of a DWI....and refuse to take a breathalyzer test, then you go to a fine attorney like the guy that Sponsored the Billyou might plead a charge with the States Attorney for a thousand dollars to get it set aside. This is an atrocious Bill.....and I would wonder if maybe some of the attorneys that vote for it don't have a conflict of interest. You ought to be waiving the American Flag on this one....Cunningham."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion?Representative Cunningham, the Clerk informs me that you....ah..... requested that this Billah....be put on an Interim Study Commission and the Clerk's Office inadvertently put it back on the Calendar.....Representative Cunningham, for what



purpose do you rise?"

Cunningham: "I had previously cleared with the Clerk that it was properly on today's Calendar. I acknowledge that I discussed the matter yesterday evening with Speaker Murphy, the Bill is too important to let it be permitted to rest dormant on the Interim Study Committee. I would appreciate the opportunity to reply to the statements that have been made....that we might have a Roll Call vote on this particular issue. It is on the Calendar."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I expect Representative Cunningham has the right to change his mind, but I just wanted to remind him that if this goes up on a Roll Call vote and is defeated why then it is dead."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham, to close the....."

Cunningham: "Now, Speaker Murphy if it's defeated the blame will not be on my hands or on your hands. Two years ago this State embarked upon a noble experiment to make the highways more safe for all of the users including the Members of this General Assembly. A Bill that has had the things said about itmaliciously and inaccurately as strongly as this Bill can't be all bad. You're either for safety on the highways or you ^{are} /against safety on the highways. The people of the State of Illinois believe in the breathalizer as the means of safeguarding their lives and the lives of their loved ones. It is unhappily true that as the Bill is presently written and stands on the books it is not as effective as it needs to be. It is your responsibility and my responsibility to give this Bill an opportunity to work its magic for the benefit of all of us. Specifically the issue is this....the penalty that is presently provided by the Statutes that is the 90 day suspension....for a drunk... to refuse to take the test is inadequate...that test...that penalty needs to be expanded. That's all this Bill does



it expands the penalty from 90 days to 6 months. It also requires that the machine be tested once a month. It isthere are no.....I...I defy any of you to disprove this statement....there are no Bills that have come before this House this year that have a greater capacity for good for all of the people of the State of Illinois than House Bill 1764. You can't have it both ways. You cannot be for drunks on the highway and at the same time be a.....for this Bill. You cannot be against public safety and vote against....this.....you cannot be for public safety and vote against this Bill. This is one of those splendid moments in which this House offers us so many....that we come to the 'Y' in the road and we make our choice as to where we stand. I invite each of you ...who stand for public safety and stand for safety on the highways to cast an 'aye' vote and make the breathalizer test the force for good for which it was planned and for the capacity that it has to serve as each. Vote 'aye'."

Telcser: "Shall House Bill 1764 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I know I am speaking for the record so I want to be as gentle as I can. But I say that the last speaker represented a drunken driver last year, and the court record will bear it out, I think he was State's Attorney there, I think I'd like for my own personal I think I'd like a copy of his court records on these Bills. I say this is in conflict of interest for some of these people that know better on these breathalizer tests and on these measuring of thesethe deci....the alcoholic decimals and some of the other things that are occurring on this Bill. We were promised when this Bill was passed that in the event that a person wasn't.....wasn't guilty of ten hundredths....he'd be allowed to go free. Now we find they are giving them other charges, I think that this is in



conflict of interest to some of the people voting on this Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I think that last speaker was so far out of order that he ought to come over to the Sponsor of the Bill and ask him where the Bill came from. The Bill came from the State's Attorneys Association and I personally asked the Sponsor however reluctant he was to take it on, there is no conflict here. Now, I have never represented the drunken driver and I represent a lot of people. The simple fact of the matter is the implied consent struggle is over with. Now this Bill can use improvement. We have done things in this Legislature this year....like allow alcoholism to longer.....to no longer be a public crime.. we have done things in this Legislaturelike allowing teenagers to drink...and this Bill makes it possible for us to improve the process of the Implied Consent Bill."

Telcser: "Now, Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Giorgi: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Yes, Sir."

Giorgi: "I don't know if Duff belongs to an association or corporation of lawyers, but as he said that he never represented a drunken driver, would he tell the House if his Firm has ever represented a drunken driver?"

Duff: "Nooooop."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck.

Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Personal Privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Duff: "The fact of the matter is, my Firm has never represented a drunken driver, but the fact is that this Gentleman is trying to take the merit of this Bill and put it into a complex situation that doesn't exist."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."



Fleck: "I'd like to disagree with the previous speaker. There is no merit to this Bill. If this Bill passes out of this House it will be the most pernicious and insidious piece of Legislation that God would ever suffer to call out of this Chamber. Now let's.....this Bill not only doubles the right of refusal to take the breath analysis but there is a little sneaker in here that includes a person who drives or is in physical control of a motor vehicle. Now, that simply means that if you are sitting in a car and the engine isn't going and if you happen to have the automobile keys to that car....you are in actual physical control and you're not even driving it....you might be sleeping it off. This man shouldn't be punished....and he shouldn't be forced to take the breathalyzer because he's not harming nor harassing or endangering anyone on the highways. I think if we're going to pass Legislation we got to be a little more truthful about the Bills we're presenting to the Chamber. I think it's a terrible Bill and any Member who votes for it should read the Bill and realize what they're voting for."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I wanted to explain my 'aye' vote and I wanted to say that this Bill is not sponsored entirely by the States Attorneys Association, the Illinois State Police are for it, they came and appeared and testified in its behalf. Those of you who are voting red up there are not voting against me or the sponsorship of this Bill. You're voting for drunken drivers on the highway.....and I trust that you'll be glad to see your Roll Call in your local newspapers. Now, in answer to the question,.....I'm not through.....in answer to the question as to whether or not I defend drunken drivers, the answer is.....I do not.....but if the Representative from Rockford ever needs counsel, I'd be willing to make



exception. I urge each of you to reconsider. This is not ...this is not a question of personalities, this is a question of where you stand on every possible....reasonable basis to make our highways more safe. I'm not going to say it will save 5,000 lives in Illinois, but if it saves one it is our responsibility. Give the breathalyzer testgive implied consent a chance to work for you and for me....PLEASE."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Ah....Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah....I rise a Point of Personal Privilege because I do not condone drunken drivers and I do not like to be ascribed as condoning them....simply because I do not agree with the Bill. I don't think the Bill is a good Bill and I rise to vote 'no'."

Telcser: "This question there is 32 'ayes', 81 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. House Bill 1299.".....
What did you say, Representative Mann?"

Mann: "Representative Martin has an Amendment and she is off of the Floor."

Telcser: "All right, take it out of the record. House Bill 797.On the order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 797....for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman is recognized."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask for the leave of the House to take 797 back to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment to be offered by Representative Tuerk."

Telcser: "All right, are there any objections? Hearing none, 797 will appear on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Tuerk, amends House Bill 797.....
amends....."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #5 to House Bill 797, really limits the jurisdiction of this Act to the Northeastern Illinois Counties area, as that area is defined in the Northeastern Illinois Planning Act.... Ah...We have precedent for this, we've established the Northeastern Illinois Planning Act, we have it for planning purposes... for land use...we're now considering mass transit and I think the Sponsor of this Bill....ah..... would be in much better shape with this Bill if this Amendment were adopted and I move for its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I should like to thank the Gentleman from Peoria for this Amendment. Generally I'm opposed to classification but as Members of the House know....frequently we do classify on the basis of population or for other reasons. It seems to me Mr. Speaker and Members of the House ...ah...we must recognize that there are problems in part of the State where problems don't exist in other parts of the State and by this classification that we have in the Bill now restricting its application to the six Northeastern Illinois Counties area we are simply doing for the State what the builders do themselves. They have one association for the Chicago Metropolitan Area because of its unique character, they have another association for the remainder of the State.....So I concur with the Amendment and urge its adoption."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House... I supported Representative Schlickman's Bill all the way through....ah...ah... while it was in Committee and ...ah...also on the House Floor last time. I would like to urge you to vote against this Amendment primarily because



I believe that the potential homebuyers throughout the State of Illinois needs protection....That this is not necessarily an area problem and I would like to ask you to vote 'no'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah.....Mr. Speaker, I believe that this is clearly an Unconstitutional classification in this Bill. The....
..ah...Mr. Schlickman said it had something to do with population....it has nothing to do with population. McHenry County which would be included in the Bill under this Amendment has less people than Madison County, it has less people than St. Clair County, it has less people than other downstate counties. McHenry County is only about a hundred thousand people and there are many counties that big.....downstate...Winnebago County is not included in the Bill if this Amendment is adopted and yet it's a bigger county in population and has bigger cities than does McHenry County. The biggest city in McHenry County is only about fifteen thousand people. The biggest city in Winnebago County is 150 thousand people. Winnebago County has over 200 thousand people. McHenry County a hundred thousand people and yet McHenry is being put under this Bill and Winnebago and Peoria and East St. Louis, St. Clair and so on are being excluded even though they have urban problems. I think this is a very bad precedent and I ask that you oppose the Amendment."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me....."

Telcser: "One moment....please....Representative Schlickman has indicated he wishes to take this out of the record... Take it out of the record ...momentarily. House Bill 1299.....The Gentleman....on the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 1299, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann is recognized."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House to



....ah...bring this bad Bill back to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment to be offered by Representative Martin. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Bill appears on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Martin, amends House Bill 1299..."

Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Martin."

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, on the day that this Bill was originally heard ...I asked Mr. Mann if he would have this Bill placed on Postponed Consideration for the purpose of an Amendment which would thenah... make this Bill so that the Public Aid Department ...ah... then could be in complete or total support of this Bill. What we have done here on line 3 page 2....ah...was delete 2 persons for 2 years.....that is public aid recipients... and 2 for 1 year each. And on page 2 line 18...deleting 4 and 2. With this new Amendment I'm.....there are no further objections to this Bill and I ask leave that you will accept the Amendment #1."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question?"

Telcser: "She indicates she will."

Martin: "Yes."

Kempiners: "Peggy, is this basically taking out the time requirements so that if somebody goes off of Public Aid that ...ah...the Public Aid recipient can be put on in their place?....Is that basically what the Amendment does?"

Martin: "Yes, it does. What it does is it says that there should be 4 recipients but 2 of them will be appointed for 2 years and 2 will be appointed for one year ...each and that if any recipient becomes ineligible in any form of ...for any for of Public Aid while serving that they shall be replaced within 60 days from a list that is available. A person who said that they would like to serve."



Kempiners: "Okay, thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I'd just like to point out, Mr. Speaker that this Amendment does nothing to remove objections that many of us had to this Bill. The Amendment really doesn't do anything at all. The purpose of the Amendment as I understand it is to provide for the situation where because the average stay on Public Aid assistance is two years the Amendment addresses itself to a term on the Advisory Board of no more than two years, but ...ah...I'd like to point out the Sponsor of the Amendment, the Sponsor of the Bill, who seems to think this Amendment is such a good idea that we're dealing in the one hand with av...averages, and on the other hand with absolute...and you simply cannot bring them together. So the Amendment isis ridiculous....ah....if not worthless."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, all this Bill does is to say that on this Commission.... 4 members.....4 recipients of aid...will be appointed to the Commission....now what is the purpose of the Commission? The Commission renders a report to the Governor at his request. It's advisory in capacity and there's no report rendered unless, His Excellency, the Governor requests one. I'd like to know, number one;let me....let me tell you exactly what the Legislature says and has said....that the Members of the Board shall have knowledge bearing on dependency, maladjustment, waist, human resources and the routine tax burden. I think that recipients of Public AidI think it's a good idea to have them on that Commission because certainly a recipient knows more about it than anybody else.....and they will be able to tell and not only tell, they will be able to



have first hand information themselves as to how much money is being put here. It certainly can't harm anything and I say to you as a Member of the Advisory Committee with power given to that Committee from this Legislationa good thing. I'd rather have them on there as to have them coming down to Springfield week after week..... every time we meet...trying to find out what's going on. If you put 4 Members on the Committee they will know what's going on.....they'll know how much money it's costing us. They'll know all these things that they want to come down here to hear and want to come down here to be heard on. They'll know just what it's costing. It's not going to hurt one thing. I think it's a good Bill.....and I believe that we ought to support the Lady's Bill. I plead with you to give it a vote."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson.

Hudson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we have....ah...come almost full circle.... in this area. I rise in opposition to this Amendment and to the Bill. With all due respect to the proponents, I know that they're sincerely motivated and feel that this would help. I feel that it would have an adverse effect and an adverse reaction. If we were to argue that we have on this Board.....representatives of peop..... representativesthose who have been on Public Aid and those who have found their ways out of Public Aid and off of Public Aid it might make a little more sense to me. But I fail to see why the Board cannot receive the information it needs and the input it needs without actually putting members who are on Public Aid and on Welfare on the Board. I don't think it will do the job, I think it will encourage those who are on Public Aid in many cases to remain on Public Aid.....and I suggest and I urge a 'no' vote on the Amendment and a 'no' vote on the Bill."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "I move the Previous Question, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the Previous Question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Representative Martin to close."

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I have before me here a copy of the Code of Federal Regulation, page 37, 220.4, paragraph 2, that says; 'included Representatives of other State Agencies concern with service representation of professional civic or other public or private organizations ...private citizens and those who are connected with service programs as well as three....1/3 of the Membership should be Public Aid recipients.' I see no reason why that persons on Public Aid would not know what their own destiny is. You talk about persons remaining on Public Aid....that's what happened here yesterday....ah.. you want to keep people on Public Welfare, yesterday you defeated a Bill that would have permitted women to go to work who make under \$10,000 a year and now you don't even want them to have a voice in their own destiny. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I move for the acception of the adoption of Amendment #1."

Telcser: "The Lady has asked to move for the adoption of Amendment #1, to House Bill 1299. Representative Borchers do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Borchers: "The only remark I wish to make is...!it's always well to put the rats in charge of the cheese'...."

Telcser: "All in favor of the Ladies ..motion...er...Ladies Amendment signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading, the Bill has been read a third time. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, with reference to House Bill 1299."

Mann: "Well, first of all....ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the Committee, the Amendment merely conforms the Bill to



the objections of the Department of Public Aid, which wanted the terms staggered so that in the event a person came off of the rolls a replacement could be made. Now, Representative Martin, remarks in her presentation of the Amendment that the Federal Regulations in order for Illinois to continue to get matching funds require an Advisory Board composed of 1/3 poor people. Now, we're constantly haranguing..and criticizing the poor for not being involved in government, by not caring about their lot. Now, there isn't a Board or Commission in the State of Illinois you can go down them....teachers that don't have teachers on them....doctors that don't have doctors on them,dentists that don't have dentists on them....All we're doing here is giving the poor an opportunity to participate on an Advisory Board. That's all. Let's see what the poor can do. Let's invite them into Government. It's no big deal....and Representative Borcher's remark about rats and cheese....was highly insulting to people who are on public assistance. They're not rats. I would urge your positive vote for a Bill which merely involves participation by poor people on an Advisory Board that requires consent and approval by the Senate of the State of Illinois. Let's give the poor a chance to get involved in their own Government. This is no radical revolutionary Bill....it is a Bill that matches every other partic.....participatory commission and board that we have in the State of Illinois. The other day we passed a Board allowing beauticians ... a Bill allowing beauticians to be appointed. Examine every board and every commission and you'll find consumers and people who know something to be on the board. Who knows more about being poor than poor people? Let's give this an 'aye' vote. The Members have to be confirmed by the Senate."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."



Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I.....while I...agree that the Sponsor of this Bill is very concerned and interested in the problems of the Public Aid recipients I don't think his approach is correct in this instance. Now, let me point out to you that the Boards that he talks about....the various examining Boards and we learned recently that there are some 181 licensed professions in this State and they all have Boards. None of these Boards dictate that Members of that profession shall be on these Boards. I agree with them that in many cases there are Members of the various professions on the professional Boards but the Legislation does not dictate that they must^{be} and the Governor may appoint whomever he pleases. Now I suggest to you that in the....Public Aid Advisory Board the Governor may, if he wishes, appoint all Public Aid recipients to that Board. All or one or two or whatever he wishes. Now, I suggest to you that there is no reason why in this one instance we should dictate to the Governor that he shall appoint a certain number of a certain profession any more than we dictate to him any of the other boards qualifications for Membership on them. This is the reason that I do not approve of this Bill and I don't think that it should pass. The Governor may now appoint 4 members on public assistance to this Board if he wishes....and I suggest to you that this Bill should be defeated."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann to close."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first of all the distinguished Majority Leader is absolutely wrong when he says that none of the advisory boards in this State require the appointment of people from certain professions and...ah..consumers on consumer boardsit's absolutely untrue. Secondly I am telling you that you are jeopardizing federal matching funds by not passing this Bill when it says under the federal law that you must have one-third recipients on this



advisory board which must be approved by the Senate. If you want to take the responsibility of jeopardizing hundreds of millions of dollars for a mere advisory board you take that upon your shoulders, but the Federal Statutes require 1/3 participation by the poor and what's wrong with allowing the poor to participate in an advisory board on poverty and I urge your 'aye' vote."

Telcser: "The question is, shall house Bill 1299 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck to explain his vote."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if anyone would read the Bill and also read the Federal Statutes I'm no great fan or friend of welfares as this House knows, but this Bill is really a sheep in wolf's clothing. It's....it'slot.....harmless...as..... I'll explain why. It'sIt looks worse than it really is. First of all the Board is an advisory Board. Now what you haveyou got a Federal Statute and I'm holding it in my hands.....it mandates....and I really mean it...when I say mandates...it's the State plan and they are referring to the advisory boards...must show that they have welfare recipients on it. Now it seems to me that...ah..ah.....Representative Mann is correct when he says that we might be jeopardizing the matching funds in the welfare area unless we take a real close look at this. Now if the Governor for maybe some political reasons is derelict and does not appoint welfare recipients to these boards we're going to have trouble...and a lot of trouble in financing the welfare schemes in the State, we're going bankrupt as it is already.....and I would urge the Members to really cast a green vote on this one because it is a very important Bill and I think we're going to loose a lot of Federal money unless we mandate the Governor to do this."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker.....this Bill provides that the Senate has to confirm the appointees of the Governor.....so we've watched the operations of the Senate and we're....we know they are very selective. The second thing is....the Congress recognized this problem many years ago when it allowed communities to form Community Action Committees and insisted that the poor be represented and.....provided the election guidelines in poor districts. This is not a radical thought...I think it's like some of the ...the more Biblical Members of this House that say that the poor will always be with you. Let's invite them in the halls of the Mighty. Let's get an input from them. You are always talking about the welfare recipients steals away with the money, well I want to tell this House again today that almost 50 cents out of every dollar of the welfare pot goes into the hands of the doctors, the dentists, the pharmacists, the child care centers and the medical centers and so on. . And the second thing I'd like to say is....the farmers in this House don't do too bad with soil bank moneys and they're represented in the halls of the mighty so I'd like to see a vote for the poor once."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my 'yes' vote. There are two Bills that Representative Mann brought into the Human Resources Committee. This was the second of the two. The first one I voted against because I thought it was unnecessary. This one I voted for because of what Representative Mann said about the Federal Funds and the danger that we may be in of loosing those Federal funds. But there is something else that...ah...I voted for it for and that is the representation that poor peoples would have on that board. In a discussion with a representative of the Joliet-Will County Community Action Agency



I learned that people in Joliet who could be out throwing bricks through windows, burning down buildings...aren't doing it because there is a voice....er...a place they can go to be heard when they've got frustrations....and that is the Joliet-Will County Community Action Agency....And this is how I would see this Board....with Public Aid recipients they have an outlet for their frustrations.... and I think that if we look at history we'll find that governments have thawed because there haven't been communications between the people and the people who govern them.....and I do not see anything wrong in adding these 4 Public Aid recipients to this Board."

Telcser: "Let me remind the Members, we cannot roll the machinery.....something is broken on it. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House....by the remark that has been made I'm reminded of the story of the little boy who was in a foreign country...they said to him....Son, what forms of punishment do you have in your country? He said, 'in my country many people are put to death by elocution'.....you know I am always put to sleep or put to death by the distinguished Gentleman's elocution."

Telcser: "Have all voted.....the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree wholeheartedly with Bob Mann and Charlie Fleck in regard to this concept and toreally if we don't pass this Bill we may very well be jeopardizing the funds of Public Aid in the State of Illinois. And basically what is wrong with the idea....this is an advisory board in the first place and none of us have ever come up with any of the real sound conclusions on what we can do in regard to help this business of the Public Aid debt going up and up and up and up. So let's bring some of these



people in and maybe they can give us some ideas and let everybody have an input into this thing and try to get the job done. So I would urge all of the Members to switch those red votes to 'aye' votes.....because this ...this concept is not really as bad as you think it is.."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this there are 96 'ayes', 32 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Postponed Consideration appears ...Representative Martin, for what purpose do you rise?"

Martin: "Mr. Speaker; just to say a word to one of the Speakers. I have too much intelligence and too much respect for myself to answer on the rats and cheese matter. Thank you."

Telcser: "On the order of Consideration Postponed appears..... House Bill 921. For which the purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea is recognized."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 921 is Representative Arrigo's Bill and with leave of the House I'd like to handle it for him...ah...he's in the hospital."

Telcser: "All right, proceed Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 921 is a Bill that would allow the ...ah..Trustees of the U. of I. ...the Trustees of S. I. U., the Board of Regions and Board of Governors....ah...to furnish secretarial services to the Board Members...I think there was some question about this raise...ah...by President Corbely, in his office at one time....we've tried to get in touch with him. I can't see any reason why that the trustees cannot be furnished a secretary.....and I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, this Bill was heard in the...ah...Committee on Higher Education and we had considerable debate on it on the floor of the House. As a matter of fact...ah...under the existing law the...ah...trustees of the...ah...University of Illinois and all the others for that matter, can be furnished ...ah...secretarial services. They are entitled to be reimbursed as we are for any secretarial services which are needed. This Bill would give statutory authority to them to hire private secretaries. Now, I don't believe ...at this particular time that it's appropriate for us to, in a sense, dictate to these boards that the Members have private secretaries. Now, the Gentleman who testified in the Higher Education Committee even indicated himself that his secretary only worked 1/3 or 25% of her time on University business and that, I believe was probably one of the high ones.In this Bill we're providing that all of these people be entitled to private secretaries....and I think for once maybe we ought to give the taxpayer a chance to vote 'no' on this Bill."

Telcser: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea to close."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I frankly don't understand the opposition and.....from the Member...all this says is that Board Members that serve without compensation can have secretarial help. Now, I know of one trustee, Earl Neal, who lives in the Northern part of the State, all he wants to do to do a good job is to be able to have a secretary. I talked to two or three of the trustees and all they want is the opportunity to do the job that they've been elected to door appointed to do and I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 921 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."



Walsh: "Well, once again, Mr. Speaker, so we understand the Bill we're voting on, the Gentleman did not explain it properly. These people can now be reimbursed for secretarial services which are incurred by them. Any expense in connection therewith. This Bill specifically provides in Section 2 thereof that any governing board may furnishand I think we could probably put shall in there because.....we sure know that if we're going to enact this Legislation that they will.....may furnish to each of its board members secretarial services or a private secretary and provide compensation therefore. Now, we all know that some of those private secretaries in State Government are paid a pretty penny. I don't think the taxpayers want any more of it and I urge a 'no' vote."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen....I ..I think that this is a good Bill...I have served as...as....you know .. on ...ah..at least two or three of the boards that are involved here. Under the present law it's nearly impossible to...to charge secretarial services to the...ah...board. There's no way that you can adequately account for how many hours thatis actually worked...how much of the secretaries time is spent and ...ah....therefore it's practically impossible to make a charge. Now under this Bill it clarifies how the charges are to be made and...ah.. it would make it moreah...plausible for the board Members to file a claim. For example the ...Mr. Hahn, thea Trustee of the University of Illinois Board of Trustees, that lives in Springfield testified before the Committee and he explained that....that ...ah...he had visited with his secretary about the ...ah..immense amount of time that she spent for him on board work and that he had notsubmitted claims for this because of the nature of the ...ah...present understanding....This Bill would only make it quite clear that they were entitled to actual



money spent for service....."

55.

Telcser: "Representative Stone, could you conclude your remarks please?"

Stone: "Thank you very much. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Jones, did you wish to.....say something?"

Jones: "I just want to further amplify Representative Stone's remarks.....one of the Trustees from Springfield, Ralph Hahn said his costs is about \$1,500 a year and inasmuch as he serves as a trustee without pay that he ought to be accomodated for that much expense of his secretary and I think it....that we need a few more 'aye' votes...."

Telcser: "Juckett 'aye'. On this question there are 80 'ayes' 35 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. On the order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 1848, for which the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell is recognized."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ...ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and GentlemenI respectfully ask that this Bill be moved back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1848.....on Second Reading...the Clerk will please read the Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Caldwell, amends House Bill 1848 on"

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell.."

Caldwell: "Ah....This is an Amendment that ..ah...Mr. Lindberg the ...ah...Auditor suggested that.....it's a technical Amendment and clears up some legal matters and I move its adoption."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1848, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading



the Bill has been heard a third time. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen...ah..House Bill 1848 was heard last week. Many of the Members were ...had gone...and I would...briefly...ah...like to describe...ah...what ...ah...House Bill 1848 does. Ah... it's a Bill that simply provides funding for early childhood education for children whose educational progress would be handicapped without it. Ah...This Bill...ah... would...would ...ah...enable school districts throughout the State of Illinois to offer early educational involvement to disadvantaged children in the school districts or in special cases children in pilot programs approved by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Now, currently in Chicago seven centers are operated that enroll children at the age of three with the full cost of the program being borne by Model Cities Funds. It is anticipated that Federal Funds will be curtailed....This would cause considerable regression....in the educational system in the cities and I want to remind you that it.... it is applicable Statewide. Ah...research has indicated that less than a million dollars will be involved although it could increase over a period of years if local funds can be generated to provide for participation up to 2% of the...ah...areas in which it would close. Finally, I want to point out that numerous researchers in the field of cognitive development have established that between birth and four years of age the child develops 50% of his cognitive potential and an additional 50% level of intelligence is developed by age 8. Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen I think this is a much needed ...ah...piece of Legislation...ah...the...the....Superintendent of Public Instruction's Office ...ah...advocates it...and I think that we could save the State a lot of money by encouraging this type of a program throughout the State and I would



urge your 'aye' vote."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Hudson: "Ah...Representative Caldwell. Would this program, if implemented throughout the State tie in in any way with the ...ah...plans that the ...the...ah...Department of Health Education and Welfareah...out of Washington has in mind. I know some time back they had a ...ah...Conference on Youth or something...ah...I think ...a year or so ago and...out of that came suggestions. Is,what you have in mind herein line with those suggestions out of HEW?"

Caldwell: "Ah...Mr.....ah...Speaker...could I yield to Representative Chapman to answer that question?"

Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "The answer, Representative Hudson.....is 'yes'. The Illinois reports...ah...the White House CommissionConference.....pardon me....on Children and Youth, pointed to this deficiency which presently exists in Illinois Statutes....in regard to helping school districts offer programs of early childhood education....So not only is Representative Caldwell's Billan embodiment of the recommendations of the Illinois Report of the White House Commission on Children and Youth, it is included in the Superintendent of Public Instructions action goal and it is strongly recommended by the Illinois Commission on Children."

Hudson: "Ah...would Representative Chapman.....yield....ah.. Representative Caldwell..... to another question?"

Telcser: "She indicates she will."

Hudson: "I understood ...going back to that HEW Report that what they envisionedeventually is a system here throughout not only Illinois but presumably other States in this Country, that would drastically alter our



...ah...traditional educational system by adding ...ah ...this educational service down to age 3 or 2 or whatever it may be but the hooker in it being that the evidence of need....now....ah...wouldn't necessarily be the factor. This would be...ah....an educational system down to that age regardless of whether or not a need existed. Is this your understanding?"

Chapman: "Ah...the proposal...ah...of Representative Caldwell, is for children who need compensatory education. The proposal in the White House Report was that there be raised for local school districts where the local school districts saw the need.....to offer programs of early childhood education. It was not a.....a blanket kind of program but one which ...ah....had a local option."

Hudson: "Thank you. May I speak to the Bill....er....only on the Amendment....Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "Only on the Bill....We're on Third Reading of the Bill."

Hudson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.....I'm going to speak in opposition to this measure and in so doing I want to say that this is done only with a great deal of respect for Representative Caldwell because I think what he is talking aboutah...is a need and ah....in a particular area and I can understand this and I'm sympathetic to it. But what I think the real thrust of the Legislation would be if passed would be to open the door for an extension of educational service at the...ah...taxpayers expense that we can ill afford and for that and I'm going to suggest which in the long run may not be to the long range benefit of the people footing these tax Bills. I think that if we began to set up a taxpayers expense....educational system and classroom facilities down to age 2 and age 3 the net result isis going to be to encourage children to move out of the home and into ...ah...schools ...where they become subject to whatever..



it may be...the learning process. I'm still old-fashioned enough to believe that insofar as possible our young people....our children....should be nurtured...taken care of...tended at the home level by their parents. I see in this the possibility of a disruption of a home unit. I look upon it with some fear. I look upon it with..ah...some apprehension because of the HEW Report and I know that there is desire on some to get our young people and get them at an early age and begin to introduce them to ideas that have nothing to do perhaps with ideas that their parents might favor. This can happen.. And I'm wondering....I think perhaps we ought to think about this...I think we ought to take a look....a good hard look at what we're doing here or maybe opening the door to do. I think....I think that insofar as we can possibly do it...our children should remain under parental influence at least to age 5 or 6that's early enough. Let those formative years be under the guidance of their parents. Under the guidance of their churches, under the guidance of all of those influences that we've traditionally known in this country and let the formal schooling come later."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I want to echo a little bit of what Mr. Hudson....Representative Hudson has just said. He really has described this Bill for what I think it is...ah...Sure there is a problem, but this is really a babysitter Bill. And the Representative should know that the estimated cost of this Bill ...to the taxpayers of Illinois is almost \$24,000,000. And we've got to stand here today and I think start to recognize the taxpayer. This is a bad Bill and I urge its defeat."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "DuPage."

Telcser: "I'm sorry....the Gentleman's from DuPage....I didn't mean to hurt your feelings."



Schneider: "Thanks a lot Art. It takes a more than that. Ah....the Bill itself...is, I think essentially sound. We've looked at some of the questions that relate to probably the probable cost, but in reference to my colleague, Representative Hudson, I think when we talk about value systems we talk about 'em in terms of multiple value systems. But, you don't isolate the school from the family nor the church but in effect they are a kind of a comprehensive system that none of us can avoid. Now, I would suggest that the attitudes and the values that are spoken in the classroom at an early age are the values of ones attitude toward schools. There is no ...proselytizing in church issues, there are no proselytizing...nature in terms of Constitutional questions but rather when you're talking about educational opportunity and when you're talking about giving children a fair chance...and a fair shake....about learning to their greatest capacity...you can do a number of things of value in early education. One is....the awareness of ones world around him with the children learning how to socialize. We don't live in a world that....isolates ourselves from one another. So, just in that case it is always worthwhile to have children learning how to relate to one another in a multiple situation. I might also suggest that you can... begin to identify educational problems earlier than 3 years old but certainly at least at 3, 4, 5 and 6. We talk about visually handicapped children, perceptually handicapped children, children who have come from a bad home and have no interest in education. They come from homes that child-talk and monosyllabic language rather than continual sentence structure. They come from homes that are promoted by visual aids such as television, they never get a chance to really discuss, to experience and to talk. I think it's a good Bill, it's one that I believe deserves a high vote. I think it's essential to



good education and I hope we can support it."

Talcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Brandt.....

.....The Gentleman has moved the Previous Question.....

Those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'.

Representative Caldwell to close."

Caldwell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, ..ah
 in reponse to some of the...ah...two Gentlemen who ...ah...
 have expressed some opposition to this Bill, I would simply
 like to say that...ah..I'm not surprised. Also, that
 one of the Gentlemen said he was old-fashioned enough to
 believe in the old system. I think one of the criteria of
 being 'revelant' to today's society is to keep abreast of
 what's happening in whatever field that we express some
 knowledge about. Ah...Research has indicated that regard-
 less of ...of the communities in which children come, that
 they can gain knowledge more rapidly if they are given the
 advantage of pre-kindergarten training. Ah...One Gentleman
 said that the Bill would cost some \$24,000,000, I'm in-
 clined to go along with the estimate from the Superintendent
 of Public Instruction's Office and it said that as far as
 this Bill was concerned it would ...it would be initiated
 for less than a million dollars. We have a lot of fiscal
 experts. I think that we all can't be expert in every
 field and I think that we ought to be guided by the agencies
 for which the tax payers are paying them to do....certain
 assigned jobs. One of the things that I would like to
 emphasize and I think that even the most naive of us can
 understand that.A great portion of the seven
 billion dollar budget of this State is spent in maintaining
 prisons....maintaining mental institutions and maintaining
 a.....public aid rolls where we have denied the opportunity
 that in early life for people to get a chance. So I think
 that we would be remiss in our duties in not...ah...ah...
 supporting this Bill and I would urge all of us to give it
 a green light up there and let's move on and be responsible



to our constituents."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1848 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters to explain his vote."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was heard in our Committee and I think before you vote green on this Bill you may consider a little bit. I think I may have a conflict of interest here because I am a family man and I believe in the family as the number one unit in society. This is another step by the State of Illinois and the Legislature to take over the responsibility for our children and to get them out of the home a little bit faster and I think it is a very dangerous precedent. I would like to tell you a story of a Bill that was similar to this which we had...it had to do with breakfast in public schools and I asked a witness from Chicago if he thought at any time about the possibility of serving dinner to the school children also? And I would like to tell you that he hesitated for a moment before he answered. ...and then he finally said, well we haven't thought about that. I think this is the direction we're going, this is a very dangerous precedent, this is a bad Bill...the whold idea of taking the children away from the family at an earlier age is just unbelievable to me and I please urge you to vote 'no'."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that we had a much more reasonable Bill before us previously this week and that was Susan Catania's Bill. It would have cost \$500,000 for ...ah...as deductions from the income tax to pay for 'day care'....In effect this is a day care Bill but I just think \$24,000,000 is far in excess of what it deserves at this point and I must vote 'no'."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what we're trying to do here is to provide a worthwhile program so when a child reaches the early schooling age that he is made adaptable for the proper type of instructions. You know we sit and think many times here as far as how we can cut the budget in very many different ways. And one of the highest budget bills we have in State Government Public Aid. If you want to reduce that budget in the coming years these types of Bills are going to have to pass this floor of this House. More investing in children so they can be taught properly. Talk about dropout rates and the failures in our schools, Why? Because Legislation like this has not been passed before. We talk about failing responsibility and I agree with that but more important is that the student has the adaptability to learn. If this Bill pass and I hope it does, this will be....help these students and these families. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "In explaining my 'aye' vote, perhaps I can clarify one of the problems that seems to ...ah...come up this morning. This is not a baby-sitting Bill. Ah...A number of people seem to think of baby-sitting in the same.... ah...at the same time that they think of early childhood education. Research shows that it is in these early years that children learn the fastest and the best, retain the best ...ah...you only need to look at what 'Sesame Street' and 'The Electric Company'.....these two television educational television ...ah...programs have done to ...ah.. learning in our country to know how important it is to provide educational opportunities particularly for children from backgrounds which are underprivileged. I hope you will approve this good education Bill."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish, take the record. On this



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

question there are 78 'ayes', 45 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?On the Order of Postponed Consideration appears.....is Representative Philip on the floor?....Okay.On the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 1477, for which the Gentleman from Cook Representative J. M. Houlihan is recognized."

Houlihan, J.M.: "Mr. Speaker....I'd like permission....er..... leave of the House to bring this back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment...."

Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, 1477 is on the Order of Second Reading...Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Houlihan, amends House Bill 1477 as amended by inserting before the last paragraph, Section 34-2.1, the following, and so forth."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment arises from the discussion that we had on Second Reading concerning this Bill....and what it does is it allows the Mayor to have the right to reject the first panel of recommendations from the Nominating Commission. He could do this within 15 days and request 3 additional namesanother panel. It was my feeling that a valid point was raised. That if the Mayor is to be responsible and to have theah...accountability in his office he ought to have the ability to ..ah...select....and I think this gives him greater freedom and greater ...ah..ability ...ah...to select a proper name...one that he finds that is ...ah...fit and worthy of the appointment...And I don't think that we have a situation where the panel would recommend ...ah...6 names where he could not find one qualified individual for serving on the school board.....And I'd move for the adoption of this Amendment."



Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has movedfor the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 1477, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time and the Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. M. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr.....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was debated on in Second Reading and also debated on Third Reading....ah...I put it on Postponed Consideration to see if I could work out some ...ah..more agreeable way to put the Bill ...ah...in the form it is now. I would ask for a favorable consideration because I believe that we should keep in the City of Chicago the appointed school system. Even though I think some of the people in the neighborhoods have raised serious questions about how they can be involved in those appointments and even though some people have suggested the school boards ...'elete' it because it is not elected, I think this Bill allows us to maintain an appointed school board and still involve people from the neighborhood and make it much more representative and I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you,...ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to House Bill 1477. We're on Third Reading, I rise in opposition to the Bill. I think that the Sponsor has made some changes ...from the way the Bill was originally introduced, I think those changes have improved the Bill....except in one area.... that I have suggested by way of an Amendment, which the Sponsor refused to accept and which he opposed on the floor of this House.....and the whole essence of that Amendment and the thing that I think is fatal to this Bill is that we are mandating that the Mayor choose some people suggested to him, people who have no responsibility and no accountability to the people of the City of Chicago."



That's the fatal defect as I view it in this Bill. The Mayor runs for election, he is responsive and accountable to the people of the City of Chicago. If you look at this Bill, although the idea is a good one, we seek to broaden the input as to suggestions, these are not suggestions... these are mandates.....by faithless, nameless, unaccountable people within the.....City of Chicago. To me that concept is fatal because I don't know who's going to be making these recommendations, I don't know who's going to be suggesting these people, and we are mandating that the Mayor must choose from the people suggested by these ... faithless, nameless people. Regardless of how well meaning they are, they are not accountable to me...and I don't know who they are accountable to. The Mayor is accountable to the people of the City of Chicago ...at least through a ballot box and every other method of free speech and free assembly and I suggest that in order to keep the Mayor accountable, the Mayor responsible and the Mayor responsive to the needs of the City of Chicago,.... that House Bill 1477 be rejected and I urge a 'no' vote."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Kosinski: "Jim, does this permit the Mayor to have the right of veto?"

Houlihan: "Excuse me, Representative."

Kosinski: "Does ...this...give...the Mayor the right of veto?"

Houlihan: "Not directly, Representative, what this does is it gives the Mayor a listing from which he can select his choice. The listing he is given is...are three recommendations from which he in fact should select one. Now, he has stated a number of times, I believe in 1955 when he was addressing the Citizens Schools Committee, that he thought this was a good process and he was going to work to legalize this.....Ah....but it does....it does not give



him a veto in the sense that he's not vetoing all three of those names...he's not ...ah...the Commission is not recommending one name for one position, it's recommending a number of namesthree names....for one position. Now, he can veto that first slate if he does not feel it meets his requirements, he can then go back to the Commission and recommend they come up with additional names and in that sense he does have a veto."

Kosinski: "But does this give him the right of veto....with the other names added to the list, Jim?"

Houlihan: "No, it's my feeling that the Commission...ah....in its second slate is given two opportunitiessix names... will come up with one name that the Mayor can find fitting ...ah...for appointment."

Kosinski: "Jim, in supporting.....ah...may I address the Bill, Sir?"

Houlihan: "Please, Sir."

Kosinski: "In supporting the Right of Veto for the President of the United States, for the Governor of the State of Illinois and for the Mayor in Municipalities, I will not be able to vote for this Bill."

Telcser: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative....the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Ah....Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the distinguished Minority Leader, who happens to be on our side of the aisle could tell me whether this is one of Representative Houlihan's personal Bills or is this an Administration Bill?"

Telcser: "Any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan to close.....I'm sorry, did you want to respond, Representative Choate....in Richard Walsh's ...ah...ah..."

Choate: "Ah....I'd like to tell 'Butch'...ah..that..ah..my voice is about gone...I haven't consulted Representative Houlihan about this..ah...he's not normally in the position



of acting as a shill, but...ah...is this an administration Bill, Jim?"

Houlihan: "On this side of the aisle we have so many administrations that I....I think it is safe to say it is someones administration Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan to close."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly, I believe that this is a very serious matter. Yesterday we passed out a Bill from Executive Committee calling for an elected school board. I don't think that we should only have one Bill to improve the school board system, I think that we ought to have a Bill which tries to implement some of the recommendations on the elected school board but keeps us with the nominating procedure and the appointment procedure of the Mayor of Chicago. In responding to Representative Kosinski's question, ..ah. we're not actually talking about veto power....we're talking about recommendations and if you will recall that in the Missouri Merit Selection of Judges, they recommend and require that there is an appointment from those recommendations. This has been tried before and I don't think it is apt to apply veto power to this selection. I don't think that these people can be termed nameless or faceless, they are people who are responsible and who have been involved with community and school affairs and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on this account to improve the nominating procedure of the Chicago School Board."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1477 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas to explain his vote."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can't resist the temptation in light of the rather facies.....ah...question asked of Representative....."



Houlihan to say...that....let's....let's face up to what the administration of the City of Chicago is. Chicago has traditionally run by a monolithic dictatorship....and that's what's coming from this side of the aisle....and because of the fact that Chicago is monolithically dictated to by one person and a few people around him, those few of us who care about opening up the democratic process in the City of Chicago want Bills like this to pass and we ask for the support of those of you who recognize that we cannot change that dictatorial policy without the assistance of those in this General Assembly who sympathize with us as we try to gather more power to be able to fight back. The Mayor of the City of Chicago traditionally appoints, to everything, his relatives, his friends and a lot of other people, he doesn't care what his advisory commission say....we're asking you to give us a little bit of help in passing a Bill which will let him know that he has got to be more accountable. And all the other things stated by Representative Berman and others are just..... are just poised..to avoid the basic issue and we need your help. Thank you very much."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. M. Houlihan to explain his vote."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, in explaining my vote, let me point out that this is not an anti-Mayor of Chicago Bill, that this Bill is not an attempt to take a swing or a swipe at the Mayor of the City of Chicago. This is a pro-education Bill. This is a Bill trying to deal with the problems of education in our cities, very serious problems. In no way is this trying to address the fact of the Mayor of Chicago in terms of his power, his control over the City. This is merely an education Bill, an attempt to address the problem of appointments and how they are arrived at and how we get community involvement in those appointments. In no way



directed at the City of Chicago, or the Mayor of that City, it's merely an education Bill and a Bill in favor of those children involving those people in the neighborhoods and not selection process."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was voting 'aye', on this Bill until Representative Bruce Douglas accused Mayor Daley of being a dictator. I don't know that and I don't agree with that so you vote me 'present'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Ithe Sponsor of this Legislation....er....of this Bill had convinced me as to the merits of the Bill and you can see that I am voting 'aye'....on this Bill until Representative Douglas got up and convinced me it was a Bill I ought to be voting 'no' on."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Thompson."

Thompson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah....I would like to explain why my vote is up there red. 'No' vote. I don't know the organizations that are going to recommend these people to the Mayor and after they are recommended he must accept one of them, it's mandating him. And then one of the previous speakers said that...ah...the Mayor was a dictator, and he talked with the attitude of a dictator like he would wish to be one, for that reason I am voting 'no'. "

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 44 'ayes', 64 'nays' and this Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. On the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 452, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt is recognized."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, House



Bill 452 has been up twice. I dubbed that ball twice but maybe the third time I can hit one. It's the vacant..... Legislative Vacancy Bill. I think it's a good Bill. There was some questions asked of me since the last time it was up...why aren't the vacancies filled by elections...by special elections...simply because the Constitution says that it shall be by appointment. I...I would certainly hope that we can get enough Bills....er....votes this time to pass this urgent Bill."

Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 452 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'm just moved to say... 'what a difference a day makes'. Remember the song 'What a Difference a Day Makes.' I'll vote 'aye'."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Mann, did you have something else you wanted to say?.....Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', one 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Consideration Postponed, appears House Bill 1536, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook Representative Giglio is recognized."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that was taken off yesterday and ...ah...put back on today. It's the one that changes the ...ah...services to be put under the Department of General Services. Transfers all the necessary functions to the Department of General Services. I feel we talked about it quite a bit, there's been a lot of back and forth understanding....misunderstanding...I think everybody is well acquainted with the....ah...Bill and I'd ask for your favorable support."

Telcser: ".....the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative



Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've known the distinguished Sponsor of this Bill for some six months now and I must say that until he had this Bill was the first time I saw gray hairs in his head...and I...and I think they are there for a reason.....I'd be worrying too if I was carrying a Bill like this. This is probably the most.... ah...with all due respect to Representative Matijevich, the most partisan Bill that I have seen come down the pipe in a long...long time....and I like to say to my fellow Republicans ...Members...that I realize that the lobbyists for the Department of General Services have been going around this floor ...ah...encouraging some of the Members to vote for this Bill saying that their people will be protected....but if one or two of us sells out on this Bill and lets the rest of us hang...which is what could happen...I suggest to you that when the layoffs start comingas they will come...after these jobs are transferredyou're going to be hard pressed to explain to your Republican constituents why you voted for this particular Bill. And knowing Representative Giglio's expertise in other areas...I would suggest that this Bill not 'fly'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I subscribe indeed to everything that the Gentleman from Champaign has just said....and I'd like to point out to Representative Mann that there is no need for him to vote for this Bill because the previous Bill passed. This isn't a part of any kind of a deal. This Bill is bad today...just as it was bad a week ago and ah...some time before that when it was brought up. I'm just afraid that there may have been some Republicans who ...ah..have been approached because there have been some



people talking here for the past couple of weeks on this Bill and I'm afraid we may have been splintered a little bit....I hope not. Because there are many of us who have not been and there are people....Republicans who are definitely going to loose their jobs because of this Bill. This Bill is an attempt to reclassify people so that they can be firedin effect. And that's what we're doing here and I'd like for the Republican Members to know that so that maybe they can....ah...not vote for the Bill or vote 'no'....which I intend to do."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I....don't blame the Majority Leader for being apprehensive about any employees that he or any other Member on that side of the aisle might have, but I want to say to you that the Director of the Department of Personnel and the Director of the Department of General Services have responded ..in writing...in ... writing....to Republican Members....who have requested the information that the Majority Leader is right now talking about. That this is not a fact, what the Majority Leader said....they have responded in writing that this will be lateral transfers....of people and consequently what he is afraid of...the Majority Leader that is....is not true. It's not going to be a wholesale firing...because of a reclassification or anything else. The two Directors the two Directors have put it in writing....to Members on that side of the aisle that have requested the information."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to move the Previous Question."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the Previous Question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The motion prevails. Representative Giglio to close.....Do you wish to close, Representative Giglio?"



Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker...ah...just to say ...I agree with the remarks of my Leader on my side of the aisle and the only thing I have to say is ...ah..this Bill..isn't even passed yet ...and there is a lot of people that are loosing their jobs already...so I don't think this has anything to do with loosing jobs. The thing is we're trying to economize and put everything under one roof and I'm sure everybody would ...if they looked at it...would understand it and I ask for your favorable support."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1536 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there 95 'ayes', 32 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority.....Representative.....Representative Robert Dunne, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Dunne: "I think the honor system has really been violated here.....I hate to do this..... "

Telcser: "Do you wishverification?...All right.....a verification has been.....Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in.....with that, I've been informed by the Clerks Office that there are many switches being pulled for Members who are not here. I would suggest that ...ah...perhaps another Roll Call is in order...ah... ..ah....that we are aware that there are many people who are voted who are not here."

Telcser: "Okay.....The Gentleman indicates that there just as soon have the absentees polled and then go through the verification.....currently 95 'ayes'. The Clerk will please read the names of the absentees."

Jack O'Brien: "Anderson, Arrigo, Blade, Bluthardt, Collins, Deavers, Deuster, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Epton, Geo-Karis, Granata, Griesheimer, Hart, Hirschfeld, G. L. Hoffman, R. K. Hoffman, R. H. Halloway, Huskey, Kempiners, Kent,



Krause, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Macdonald, McCormick, McGah, Philip, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Sangmeister, Schoeberlein, Simms, that's Tim Simms, Skinner 'present', Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, R. A. Walsh, J. J. Wolf,....."

Telcser: "Represnetative Stiehl wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'.....Hirschfeld 'no'...."

Jack O'Brien: "J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, ...Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "All right on the verification....the affirmative Roll Call has been requested. Will the Members please be in their seats and raise their hands when your name is called. Will the Clerk please read the name....of the affirmative Roll Call."

Jack O'Brien: "Alsup, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Davis, DiPrima, Douglas, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Hanahan, Hill, J. D. Holloway, D. L. Houlihan, J. M. Houlihan, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Palmer, Patrick, Pierce, Piotrowicz, Schisler, Schlickman, Schnieder, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Wall, Washington, Williams, Yourell."

Telcser: "Are there questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? Representative Springer wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'.....Representative Springer do you wish to be recorded as voting 'no'.....All right, record Representative Springer as voting 'no'. Representative Macdonald for what purpose do youRepresentative Macdonald wishes



to be recorded as voting 'no'. Are there questions of the affirmative....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne....."

Dunne: "Barry.."

Telcser: "....say Barry....he is on the Floor."

Dunne: "Brinkmeier."

Telcser: "Representative Brinkmeier ...on the Floor?....He is sitting in his seat."

Dunne: "Fennessey."

Telcser: "Representative Fennessey on the Floor?....He is in his seat."

Dunne: "Fleck."

Telcser: "Representative Fleck on the Floor?...How is the Gentleman recorded."

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Getty."

Telcser: "Representative Getty on the Floor?....How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Hanahan."

Telcser: "Representative Hanahan on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Dunne: "J. D. Holloway."

Telcser: "Representative Holloway on the Floor? Jim Holloway? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Katz."

Telcser: "He is on the Floor."

Dunne: "Keller."

Telcser: "Representative Keller...He's here, Okay."



Dunne: "Klosak."

Telcser: "Representative Klosak on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Hyde."

Telcser: "Representative Hyde on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Madigan."

Telcser: "Representative Madigan on the Floor? Mike....Yeahhe's on our side of the aisle."

Dunne: "McClain."

Telcser: "He's right here by the Clerk's desk."

Dunne: "Mugalian."

Telcser: "He is sitting in his seat."

Dunne: "Pierce."

Telcser: "Representative Pierce.....is in his seat."

Dunne: "Sevcik."

Telcser: "Representative Sevcik...on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Shea."

Telcser: "Representative Shea is right here."

Dunne: "Ah.....VonBoeckman."

Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman on the Floor? He is sitting in his seat."

Dunne: "Yourell.....he's here I see him."

Telcser: "All right."

Dunne: "Who else have we got?Ah.....Beatty."

Telcser: "Representative Beatty on the floor? He is in his seat."

Dunne: "Boyle."



Telcser: "Representative Boyle on the Floor? Yes, he is, he is standing right beside Representative"

Dunne: "Calvo."

Telcser: "Representative Calvo is in his seat."

Dunne: "Flinn."

Telcser: "Representative Flinn on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Ah....J. Houlihan."

Telcser: "Representative Jim Houlihan is here Sir, sitting in Schneider's seat."

Dunne: "Jacobs."

Telcser: "Representative Jacobs is sitting in his seat."

Dunne: "McCourt."

Telcser: "Is Representative McCourt on the Floor? He's sitting in his seat."

Dunne: "Redmond."

Telcser: "Redmond, Representative Redmond on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Dunne: "Catania."

Telcser: "Representative Catania on the Floor? How is the Lady recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take her off of the Roll Call."

Dunne: "Garmisa."

Telcser: "Representative Garmisa....is in his seat....."

Telcser: "Are there any more questions?"

Dunne: "McLendon.....we've got him.....Lemke."

Telcser: "Lemke....Representative Lemke on the Floor?....Oh... here he is right here."

Dunne: "Carter....Richard Carter."

Telcser: "He is standing in back of the Chamber.....Any further questions...."



Dunne: "Rayson."

Telcser: "Representative Rayson.....How is the Gentleman recorded."

Jack O'Brine: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Telcser: "Representative Stedelin, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Stedelin: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is Representative Stedelin recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Stedelin: "Vote me, 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Huskey: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Huskey: "Vote me 'no'."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'. Are there any further questions, Representative Dunne?"

Dunne: "Murphy."

Telcser: "Is Representative Murphy on the Floor? Oh...He is right here.....Standing right here.....Are there any further questions of theThat's it...what do you have ...Jack.....Representative McCourt for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded."

Telcser: "How is Representative McCourt recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

McCourt: "Please record me 'no'."

Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'.On this question there are 87 'ayes', 35 'nays', this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared.....lost. Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1536 was



defeated."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "I move that motion lie on the table."

Telcser: "The Gentlemans motion.....Okay, there are five Members that have requested a Roll Call. Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "I withdraw the request."

Telcser: "On the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 998. For which purpose the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones is recognized."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill..98....er 998 is a Bill providing for a \$35 per month....cost of living increase for some 65,000 State employees. This Bill was discussedwas discussed in great detail on May the 30th when it fell two votes short of passage. Since that time a number of those who voted 'present' have indicated they are.....they've had second thoughts about it and now would like to have the opportunity of voting 'aye'.....So here is that opportunity. The State employees will appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 998 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann to explain his vote."

Mann: "Ah....Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Telcser: "Well, we're on the Roll Call, but I think he wouldin.....explanation."

Mann: "Who's is this."

Telcser: "Jones....Representative Dave Jones."

Mann: "Oh....Yeah.....Dave....this may be a rhetorical question but I'd hope that ...ah...we would get the same kind of response when it comes to a cost of living increase for people who are on Public Assistance....and I vote 'aye'."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, as Cosponsor of this excellent measure, I have encouraged all on our side of the aisle to join in on this very worthwhile Bill."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question.....141 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Now I want to skip over to the Order of Motions appears a Motion filed to discharge committee from House Bill 1932, for which purpose the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate is recognized."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I hereby move, pursuant to Rule 67...to discharge Committee on Appropriations from further consideration on House Bill 1932 and this Bill be placed on the Order of House Bills on Second Reading on the Calendar."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has movedthe Gentleman from Lawernce, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "When this matter came up a few days ago, Representative Ewell had a motion to discharge committee and it was the ruling then it should have been a motion to take from the table. And the issue then as now, was whether or not a vote had been taken on the Bill in the Committee. And on 1932, all agree that there was a vote taken in the Committee. Shouldn't the same ruling be applicable here as was applicable in Representative Ewell's case and that is there should be a motion to take from the table....."

Unknown: "No."

Cunningham: ".....not the Speaker yet....Now I wanted to ask if a different rule applies to Representative Ewell's Bill than Rep...than applies to the Minority Leaders Bill? And if so, Why?"

Telcser: "Representative Choate, do you seek recognition?"

Choate: "The principal reason being, that if the Gentleman



would check the....re....record of the Committee.....
 Representative Ewell's Bill was defeated ...this Bill was
 not defeated...it had a tie vote in Committee....and re-
 mained in Committee."

Telcser: "Representative...ah...ah...Cunningham.."

Cunningham: "That's just an unsupported allegation by the
 Minority Leader....the....the Calendar was ... exactly ...
 the same in regard to his Bill as Representative Ewell's
 Bill."

Telcser: "Representative Cunningham.."

Cunningham: "We have to go by the Calendar.....in that matter."

Telcser: "Representative Cunningham...."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker...this is not an
 allegation...."

Telcser: "It's a fact...."

Choate: "The Committee record is clear....and I resent
 the fact that that Gentleman would stand over there and
 attempt to any mannerlead anyone to believe that me
 or anyone else as far as I'm concerned...would misrepresent
 the facts as they....them in Committee...this was a tie
 vote on this Bill in Committee and if you'll check the
 record you would see that the Bill is still in Committee
 it's not on the Speakers Table and the Motion is correct."

Cunningham: "And I resent the...the.....demonstrated capacity
 for you to strong-arm your will above one of your own
 Members in preference to his rights in this case and I'm
 referring to Representative Ewell's Bill."

Telcser: "Well, Representative Cunningham, you've made an
 inquiry of the Chair...ah...the Chair was a Member of that
 Committee and I can assure you that...that..Bill was a
 12-12 tie Roll Call.....there was no action taken on the
 Bill...it does not lie on the Speakers Table...it does
 in fact still lie in possession of the Committee...so
 therefore the Gentleman's motion is a proper one."

Cunningham: "I would hope that if Representative Ewell makes



an effort to bring up his white collar crime Bill again, that he would get the same preferentialtreatment that is being given to the Minority Leader."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Just since my name has been used in ...debate...I think the Gentleman, Mr. Cunningham is in error. There was a technical error in my motion when it was presented since it was presented so long ago and the ruling of the Chair was correct.....it should...the motion should have been to take from the Table since the Bill was on the Table and not to discharge the Committee...It was a technical error I did not wish to further use the...the time of this body for ...ah...what might have been needless debate and therefore I simply tabled the motion."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate has moved to discharge the Appropriations Committee from consideration of House Bill 1932. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 105 'ayes', one 'nay', and the Gentleman's motion to discharge the Committee from consideration of House Bill 1932 prevails. Okay,...on the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 1091, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo is recognized."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill was presented to the Membership ...just a few days ago...very briefly...it does have Congressional bipartisan ...support it was a formula worked out by the Election Committee and all it does is to assure that the Elections to fill the Congressional vacancy takes place within 115 days of the issuance of a rit of vacancy and I ask for your favorable Roll Call."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1091 pass? All those in favor signify by voting



'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question125 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Consideration Postponed appears.....Representative Williamrecord Representative William Walsh as voting 'aye'. On the order of Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 1692, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian is recognized."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker....ah....Representative Rayson asked me to handle this in his absence.....May I have leave?Mr. Speaker....this Bill was discussed a few days ago and the Synopsis is accurate as to what the Bill intends to do. Just very briefly, since we have debated it, I'd like to give you the pros and also the cons as to this Bill. In favor of this Bill is the fact that we all recognize that the environment is a very important issue today and that utilities are.....do have a major part in whether our air and our water is...ah...as free from pollutants as possible. This Bill does not cover transportation companies ...it essentially covers public utilites such as power and light.....and gas....The Bill does not cost anything, it merely requires that utilities make an honest effort to ...ah...accomodate the requirements of the environment and for the cleaning up of the atmosphere and the....and the ...ah...water. Now, as far as the arguments against this Bill is concerned...ah... I scratch my head and I can't find any. Ah....This really is a good Bill and I ask that you vote.....vote 'aye'."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1692 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman.....record Representative Schraeder as voting 'present'.Duff 'no'. Capuzi 'aye'. Have all voted who wish?I'm sorry..."



Capuzi 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Mann 'aye'. Mann 'aye'. Schraeder wishes to be voted(unintelligible)...Choate 'aye', Schraeder was 'present'. On this question there are 53 'ayes'.....er... 55 'ayes', 24 'nays', one answering 'present', this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.....I'm....I'm sorry....LOST.On the Order of Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 576, for which purpose the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce is recognized."

Pierce: "Ah....Thank you, Mr. Speaker, you kept me in town... Ah....House Bill 576..ah..had about 95 votes the other day until Representative Fleck caught it. Actually..... Actually it is a good Bill....what it does is....it provides that in each County over 40,000 in population and it only effects Counties of over 40,000 in population, that has a jury commission, that there shall be one of the three members ...ah....shall be of the second political party. Now, we do this on our boards of review in the various counties that review our taxes, there must be a minority party member, Civil Service Commissions at the County level, at the municipal level, must have minority party members. It's not a patronage Bill because you won't loose your patronage because you'll still have two of the three members. Many Republicans last time thought they might loose some patronage under this Bill, but they won't because they will still control that jury commission two to one and therefore what jobs ...as secretaries and so onthey have in the office will still be controlled by the majority ...and the chairman of the jury commission ...and so you're not going to loose patronage, you're not going to loose jobs, all you do is make sure that there is minority representation on the jury commission. These jury commissioners are appointed by law by the circuit court judges....they really



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

shouldn't be political but unfortunately many of the judges around the State are political. In...in defense of the Cook County jury system, the Cook County Judiciary always has had a minority party member on their Jury Commission but they are not required to....but they do it anyway. Now, I voted for a lot of good government Bills, introduced by the Republicans over the years, they haven't had to ask me....if I thought they were good I voted for them. I think this is a good Bill, I don't think it is a partisan Bill...it's not an administration Bill....it's not even an IVI Bill, it's just my Bill.... and I'd appreciate support."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, I have the greatest respect for the opinion of the...ah...respected Member of the Leadership on the other side who suggests that this is not a party Bill. I'm ...ah...as Chairman of the Committee from which it came... I'm disposed to comment that...ah...it was a party line vote in Committee...for some reason. Ah....It's my personal opinion that this Bill does indeed try to change the balance in the downstate Counties to which it refers and...ah..I would reluctantly suggest that ...ah..it is indeed one of those Bills which has partisan overtures that this House probably should not pass."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "I want to tell the previous Gentleman that in my opinion he is not telling the truth. This is not a partisan Bill. ...It's a personal Bill of mine because I think we should have bi-partisan representation on these jury commissions and by getting up and saying that in my opinion he's not telling the truth....And there were Republicans that voted for it...and Democrats who voted against it ...in Committee ...it passed the Republican dominated Committee that he is the Chairman of.



Maybe I didn't go around to him and ...and...ah...make deals with him....or agree to vote for his Bills...and he was a little upset...it came out of his Committee. But it did come out of his Committee. It is not a partisan Bill, it wasn't given to me by a political party, by my County Chairman, by the Leadership over here, or even....or even by an independent group. It applies to Cook County. He said it was a downstate Bill. The Bill applies to Cook County and I resent the fact that he is trying to make it a partisan Bill and I tell him, Sir, you're not telling the truth, it is not a partisan Bill."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House BillRepresentative Duff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, let's find out who's telling the truth. Anybody who wants to, pull out your Roll Call and find me a Democrat that voted that voted against this Bill in Committee."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 576 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there is 71 'ayes', 38 'nays', this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.er....Lost again I'm sorry. Two 'bites' in a row. On the Order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 1150, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington. Representative Washington, I think your microphone is on."

Washington: "Oh...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wish to thank you for giving me an opportunity to represent this Bill due to the absences ...the other day...of those who were committed to the Bill....didn't quite make it. It simply provides that...ah...inspectors of beauty culture establishments shall be licensed beauticians... and the effective date is July 1 of 1975. I ask your support."



Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I hope there were more people here the other day than there are now and we're considering this Bill. This Bill got the proper treatment then. What this Bill does is provide that inspectors of...ah.. beauty shops must be licensed beauty culturists. Now, that works an unreasonable hardship on the Department of Registration and Education because inspectors now inspect more than one type of profession and well they might. They inspect several types of professions, checking for licenses and cleanliness and...one thing and another. I suggest to you that this is the wrong approach to this thing. Other inspectors don't have to be licensed in the professions that they are inspecting or supervising. This is a bad Bill and it should be defeated."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington to close."

Washington: "I wish the Majority Leader had checked with the Department of Education and Registrationhe could have saved himself making the statement ...and...and saved your ears from being abused from the truth. As a matter of fact the Department supports it. They asked that it be deferred until July 1 of '75 so they could gear up to prepare for this. They want to fund this thing by ...ah.. increased licensing costs from the agen...from the industry which wants to pay it. It's an attempt to upgrade the whole industry and that's what I think we've been trying to do in all of these various disciplines which are licensed by the State. Now, I happen to know that the Majority Leader is opposed to licensing but he keeps fighting on the wrong plane. It seems to me that if he is opposed to licensing he should come in with some kind of omnibusBill to reconsider or restructure the whole licensing procedure of the State.....and not take these



little cheap shots at these little Bills which are designed simply to upgrade the industry. It's a good Bill the Department supports it, they advocated that it be deferred for two years at which time they think they can have the machinery together. They feel they can upgrade the industry by this Bill and notwithstanding his opposition, which doesn't surprise me, I ask for your support."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1150 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Catania 'aye'. On this question there are 75 'ayes', 19 'nays', Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washington: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Okay, there are currently.....75 'ayes', Record Catania 'aye'.....the Gentleman has askedCapuzi 'aye' Deavers 'aye'....Schlickman 'aye'....Do you want to take another Roll Call? Let's take another Roll Call...it'll help the Clerk also. The question is shall House Bill 1150 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'... the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Everybody vote now who wants to vote. Everybody vote? Sure?Would someone vote Mr. Farley 'aye'? Someone push Sue Catania....her switch....not Sue...but her switch. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Represent..... You made a mistake, Bill. On this question there are 86 ...'ayes', because Catania was voted 'no' instead of 'aye' Jacobs 'aye',.....87....On this question there are 87 'ayes', Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washington: "I think the votes are here, Mr. Speaker, will you poll the absentees?"

Telcser: "Okay, will the Clerk please read the names of the absentees?"

Fred Selcke: "Arrigo, Beatty, Berman, Borchers, Clabaugh,



Collins, Cox, Cunningham, Day, Deuster, R. Dunne....."

Telcser: "Representative Robert Dunne, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Dunne: "How is Representative Dunne recorded, Sir."

Fred Selcke: "Not voting."

Dunne: "I'll vote 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Dyer, Epton, Fary, Gibbs, Granata, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, R. Holloway, Hyde, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Katz, Klosak, Krause, Kriegsman, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lundy, Madigan, McCormick, McGah, McGrew, McMaster, McPartlin, Kenny Miller, Mugalian, Murphy, Neff, Pappas, Philip, Peters, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rose, Sangmeister, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Timony Simms, Skinner, Telcser, Terzich, Tuerk, Walters, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Representative Washington, you've got 88 votes.... and it's a surprise, Representative Borchers wants to give you 89....Representative Borchers wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Surprise!!!! Okay....Now, does someone wish to.....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh.."

Walsh: "Ah...Ah...I have to ask for a verification..Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Okay...there areRepresentative Emil Jones, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jones: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Telcser: "How is Representative Emil Jones, recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as.....one minute... not voting..."

Jones: "Record me as 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. All right there are 90 affirmative votes and verification has been requested. Will the Members please be in their seats and the Clerk will read the names of the affirmative Roll Call."



Fred Selcke: "Alsup, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beaupre, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Davis, DiPrima, Douglas, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Ebbesen, Ewell, Farley, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hill, Gene Hoffman, J. Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Huskey, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kellery, Kelly, Kennedy, Kent, Kozubowski, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Macdonald, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevech, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McLendon, Merlo, Molloy, Nardulli, North, Patrick, Pierce, Polk, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Shea, Ike Sims, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Thompson, Tipword, VonBoeckman, Wall, Washington, Williams, Yourell."

Telcser: "Are there questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Representative Fleck."

Telcser: "Is Representative Fleck on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call....Fleck.....Charlie Fleck."

Walsh: "Jim Houlihan."

Telcser: "Representative Jim Houlihan on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?.."

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Walsh: "Kelly."

Telcser: "Representative Kelly is here in the aisle."

Walsh: "Laurino."

Telcser: "Representative Laurino on the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Walsh: "Madigan."

Telcser: "Representative Madigan on the Floor?"



Fred Selcke: "Not voting."

Walsh: "Mann."

Telcser: "He is standing by his seat."

Walsh: "Merlo."

Telcser: "Representative Merlo on the Floor?...Here he is....
right back....next to Mann....Representative Sharp, for
what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Sharp: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded."

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Sharp: "Vote me 'aye'."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Are there further
questions.....of the Affirmative Roll Call?....."

Walsh: "Ah....Schlickman."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Walsh: "Stiehl."

Telcser: "Representative Stiehl is standing bymeier's
seat in the back there."

Walsh: "Yourell."

Telcser: "Yourell is out here in the front aisle....Repres-
entative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

McGrew: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?...McGrew..."

Fred Selcke: "The....Gentleman....is recorded as not voting."

McGrew: "Vote me 'yes', please."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Representativeer.
ah...Dunn....for what purpose do you rise?....Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Dunn: "Change my vote to 'no', please."

Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'. Are there further
questions?"

Walsh: "Chapman."

Telcser: "Representative Chapman on the Floor?.....She's



standing in the back of the Chamber."

Walsh: "Douglas."

Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Walsh: "Griesheimer."

Telcser: "Representative Griesheimer on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him of of the Roll Call. Representative Kent, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kent: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Kent: "Would change that to 'present', please?"

Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'present'."

Walsh: "Gene Hoffman."

Telcser: "Representative Gene Hoffman on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him of of the Roll Call."

Walsh: "McLendon."

Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Walsh: "Schisler."

Telcser: "Representative Schisler on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call. Representative Jim Houlihan has returned to the Floor."

Walsh: "Ebbesen."

Telcser: "Representative Ebbesen is in his seat. Representative Schraeder....Representative Schraeder...for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Schraeder: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Schraeder: "Aye".



Telcser: "Recorded him as 'aye'.....Representative Stiehl,
for what purpose do you rise?"

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Ladyis recorded as voting 'aye'."

Stiehl: "Vote...me 'present'."

Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'present'."

Walsh: "Huskey."

Telcser: "Representative Huskey on the Floor?...How is the
Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The....Gentleman....is...recorded...as voting
'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Walsh: "Kucharski."

Telcser: "Representative Kucharski on the Floor? How is the
Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Walsh: "LaFleur."

Telcser: "Representative LaFleur...on the Floor? How is the
Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Walsh: "That's all I haveright now, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "On this question there are 83 'ayes', 26 'nays',
2 answering 'present' and this Bill having failed to re-
ceive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared LOST.
On the Order of Consideration Postponed appears House
Bill 1431, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Berman is recognized."

Berman: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House....
House Bill 1431 is an Amendment to the School Code that
gives recognition in those limited number of districts
in the State where there are elementary schools that....
elementary schools that are coterminous with high school



districts. We debated it the other day, I mentioned the six high school districts in the State that it applied to and I think that during the debate it was adequately pointed out that the primary benefit of this Bill was to one school district and I don't deny that. In fact, I would point out to you that the Gentlemen that Cosponsored this Bill with me, Representative Porter, Katz, Duff, McCourt, and Lundy....ah....We view this Bill in the same respect that many of us have listened to Bills in other past Sessions of...ah...of Legislature. This is to a great extent....the...the Creek and Dam Bill submitted to this Session of the Legislature by these six Members. I think it has aa....a laudatory educational purpose and I would solicit your vote for House Bill 1431."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is just as discriminatory today as it was the day that we defeated it before. It's nothing but special Legislation for the one or one district initially and then they scraped and then they found out that there might be some other districts that would benefit from it. If we're going to correct the situation we should correct it for all districts and...ah...if size is a factor that should be it....but I can see no reason at all for this type of a Bill other than it will help his own little high school district....and I think there are many...many....excellent high school districts that need as much help...or more than these that are being proposed and there is no rhyme or reason for this type of a Bill or for the reasoning behind it and I urge a no vote and let's permanently bury it."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, the purpose of this Bill is not discriminatory....it's to



give some equity where equity does not exist at the present time. In...In Evanston for example if we were a Unit District we would meet the threshold of 10,000 students in the District and we would be able to qualify for the 4%the factor of the school aid formula. So would many other districts throughout the State. Now, just because we are a dual district, our elementary school has approximately ...ah...the elementary district has ...ah.. approximately 55,00 children, the high school district has approximately 7,000, but because we are a dual district we are not able to qualify for the density factor. I...I very much respect Representative Juckett but as everyone, on occasion may make mistakes and I would earnestly solicit your support for this measure.....Thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of this measure was certainly correct when he said this is a creek and dam Bill..... except he probably spelled dam wrong...This Bill helped New Trier Township High School get more State aid to education. Now, if they get it, every other school district in the State is deprived of a certain amount of money.... the amount of money they get from being put into the general pot to be distributed throughout the State. Now, it would seem to me if any ...if any school district in the entire State didn't need more money it's New Trier High School. I would suggest that the density factoris also a....

Telcser: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose.....one moment please.....Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, as a graduate of New Trier I want to tell you this helps Evanston High School, it does not help New Trier High School by one cent. It is not a coterminous district and I resent my alma mater being insulted on this floor by the Gentleman from McHenry."

Telcser: "Representative Skinner, would you proceed, Sir."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Skinner: "I would proceed to apologize, I....I....had been informed by one of the Gentlemen from the area that I should be referring to Evanston High School and if..... we can perhaps strike that....every time I said New Trier Township we can strike that word and add Evanston, I think the argument is.....ah...censorship right!.....I would suggest the argument is as valid as it was in the first place. The...ah...the remark was made that this will allow this school district to...ah...to...ah....qualify for the density factor. As if the density factor was something that was great and sacrosanct. Well the density factor was put in there about three or four years ago as a way to get more money for the Chicago School System and certain other limited.....certain limited number of other large school districts in the State. It has nothing to do with density...it has to do with the number of students per district. .If the Legislature want to benefit...wants to give extra aid to the larger districts I would suggest they put a number of students in the formula and then allow every school district to apply. Regardless of whether it's a unit or dual...district. This Bill does not do this. This is a Bill for one or two or three or four districts throughout the State and everybody else is going to loose. I would urge a negative vote."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman to close."

Berman: "...In response to the previous speaker I would point out that although we call it density and that's the name that we use to describe what we're talking about.... we are talking about a bonus which is given to the larger school districts....and both units and duels qualify now, so that the argument that you used really is not an argument against ...ah...against this Bill. What this Bill does do is try to encourage some reorganization to encourage larger districts to be formed....and as I indicated



before we've heard representations on the floor of this House before in behalf of Legislation to help a bank, to help a...ah...a bus line....which the Representative from ...ah....Park.....from ah.....from Park Ridge has introduced....which I think is also special Legislation. I think it was necessary....I supported it...but it was certainly special interest Legislation...and I would say to you that there is nothing unique or necessarily ...det... ..detrimental about special interest Legislation. I think we have school districts here and I'll refer to them again....we've got Evanston,...Niles 219, Oak Park-River Forest 200, Elmhurst 88, Glenbard District 87 and Highland Park District 113....all of which qualify under the terms of this Bill. I think for the most part they have all shown a good quality type of educational ..ah...program.. and I think that this Bill gives them a greater incentive asas it does to other districts throughout the State to..... to.....organize to come into larger student population districts and to qualify for the density bonus and again I would solicit a favorable 'aye' vote....on House Bill 1431."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1431 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 63 'ayes', 28 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. All right now, there is a Bill on....House Bills Third, which I understand we have to take care of today. So I'm going to call House Bills, Third Reading....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to waive the appropriate rule that need be to call this Bill out of order. This Bill is House Bill 1936, it's a....it's actually a transfer of



\$146,650 for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission and unfortunately they are running out of money today... and it's been cleared with the Speaker and with the Majority and the Minority Leader and I would ask for a favorable vote to suspend the appropriate rule."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked to suspend the appropriate rule 37 for permission to call out of order House Bill 1936. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Geo-Karis 'aye'. On this question 130 'ayes', no 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. On the Order of Third Reading, House Bill 1936."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1936, a Act making a deficiency appropriation to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...exactly what this Bill does is to transfer the \$142,650 within the present Department's budget. It passed in Committee 20-0. Again this is an emergency measure because they are running out of money today. I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1936 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Cox 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 131 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh with respect to a few motions and we're going to come back to House Bills, Third."

Walsh: "Ah.... First of these, I think, Mr. Speaker, everyone will be interested in and that's the Adjournment Resolution and the Clerk will read the Adjournment Resolution."

Fred Selcke: "House Joint Resolution 62, Walsh, Resolved by



the House of Representatives, the 78th General Assembly, State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein. That when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, June 8th, 1973, it stands adjourned until Tuesday, June 12, 1973, at 10:00 a.m., Central Daylight Saving Time and when the Senate adjourns on Friday, June 8th, 1973, it stands adjourned until Monday, June 11th, 1973, at 12:00 noon."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, as I think everyone heard we return here at 10 o'clock for regular session on Tuesday June 12th, and I move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "The....Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I understandwe're....we're coming back Tuesday. I read in the newspaper that the Speaker from his hospital bed has directed a Subcommittee of his Executive Committee to meet Monday morning at 10 o'clock. I don't know by what authority he can do that. I've been reading the rules all morning. I don't think by the Statute....by the Rules he can do it. If we did meet, I think we would still have to live by the notice provisions and.....a week and at least the House has got to do something. I understand I may be on that Subcommittee and I'm not going to be there Monday morning unless we follow the Rules. I think !.... if we're going to meet...as a Committee...let's meet according to the Rules. I don't think anybody can tell the Committee when they can meet unless they follow the Rules."

Telcser: "I don't know to what you refer....Representative but the Clerk reminds me that we suspended the Rule 18 for the entire week...next week. But what you're referring to....I don't know. Which is a posting notice. I just give you this information."

Matijeich: "Well....that still doesn't clear up in my mind how the Speaker ...or what authority...."



Telcser: "The Chairman of the Subcommittee called the meeting, Representative Collins."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we had awe had a Committee meeting of executives last night...met 'til 9 o'clock in the evening. Nothing was said about any subcommittee being formed or any subcommittee meeting...Nothing was said.....I was at these meetings....."

Telcser: "It is the Chair's understanding and the Chairman of the Subcommittee has now returned to the Floor that he has called a Subcommittee. He'll.....he'll explain it to you now...just....just..."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, I don't think we ought to call meetings through the newspaper...I think we got....."

Telcser: "Well,.....well, Representative Matijevich....let the Chairman of the Subcommittee respond to the inquiry before you make..charges."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was ordered by the Speaker of this House to form a Subcommittee that has just been referred to by the Gentleman. I named two Republican Members to that Subcommittee after orally telling them of my intentions.... to appoint them to this Subcommittee. I talked to Representative Washington at that time who was one of the Minority spokesmen on the House Executive Committee and he indicated to me that in all likelihood he and Representative Matijevich would be the Members of that Subcommittee. I said fine, I indicated ...and I indicated to him my intention of calling the meeting for Monday morning at 10 o'clock. Subsequently Representative Washington touched base with me again and told me not to announce the Democratic Members of that Subcommittee officially because they had not been officially ...a.... appointed by the Minority Leader...so for that reason I was not able to officially inform anybody of a meeting because there wasn'tI was awaiting the appointment



and the....the Minority Leader. As of this moment I have...have...still have not been told that anybody is actually ...ah...to serve as Democratic Members of that Committee although I was assuming that Representative Washington and Representative Matijevich in all likelihood would ..ah....form the Subcommittee with us. Now, it is very difficult to send out notices to someone if they are....if I don't know who I'm supposed to send them to....and that is the reason there has been no official notice. Now, I think the Gentleman...in fairness to me will admit that he and others of the Committee and I have discussed this matter ...we have....we have talked about it and whether there is something in writing or not I really didn't gather that material."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it was always my opinion, and I can't tell you truthfully whether there is a rule to this effect or not, but it was always my opinion that when a Subcommittee was created, it was created by the full Committee, in other words the Chairman of the Committee said....ah...ah....with leave of the Committee or...or.... someone made a motion to that effect, that there would be a Subcommittee created. In this particular instance I don't think there has been a ma....a....a...meeting of the Committee to give the Chairman the prerogative of creating a Subcommittee for any intention whatsoever."

Telcser: "Well, Representative Choate, your point may be... may be ..."

Choate: "I would only.....I would only point out to you that this has been the Rule of this House. This has been the Rule of the Members in various Committees and as I understand..ah...ah...the Committee did meet yesterday...the Executive Committee, that is and at that time the proper action was not taken....ah....to create a Subcommittee by the consent of a majority of the Committee."



Telcser: "The point.....your point may be well taken, I don't know but we're on a.....adj...an Adjournment Resolution now I....I...."

Choate: "I just got into this debate that was doing on the other thing and I would suggest to the Chairman that regardless of the reasons for creating a Subcommittee or whatever it is he wants to create a Subcommittee for that the proper action for him to take is to meet with the full Committee and at that time create his Subcommittee like it has always been done before."

Telcser: "Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise?...We're getting far afield from the Adjournment Resolution by the way."

Washington: "I can appreciate that, Mr. Speaker, but I think I should clarify.....clarify a point here. I don't take issue with what Representative Collins said, what he said was correct insofar as the colloquy between he and I. However, I in no way indicated to him that I agreed; one, that a Committee should be formed, nor; two, the manner and procedure by which it should be formed. As a matter of fact I asked him to withhold any announcements of anything insofar as we were concerned, until we checked out the questions of the procedure by which a Committee could be formed if it was desirable to do so. So I don't want his remarks to indicate...that I.....any way agree with the fact.....the method by which this Committee was proposed."

Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Matijevich: ".....The only reason I bring this with the Adjournment Resolution, as far as I'm concerned I'm going to be here Tuesday like everybody else. And if ...ah... I read in the Newspaper I'm going to be here Monday, I'd like to know about it right now when we're discussing the Adjournment Resolution. But you mention that Rule 18 was



suspended. That.....you still got to provide me with the Rule that gives the Speaker the power to order the Executive Committee Chairman to form this ...ah...Subcommittee without any authority from the Committee. Committee met yesterday and it's strange that the Committee Chairman said nothing all through that meeting which met from 4:30 'til 9 o'clock in the evening. Nothing was said about it. I didn't think it was my power to say anything ...he did nothing."

Telcser: "All right, now, is there further discussion? Adopt the Adjournment Resolution.....All in favor of the Resolution signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are four Bills on which the Leadership has agreed to extend the deadline date....that is the May 25th date, ah.....laughingly called the May 25th date, that's Rule 36D, and it is our intention to ...ah...extend the deadline for the following four Bills untilTuesday June 12th or the end of that day's business.: And these... those Bills are as follows: House Bill 797, House Bill 1097, House Bill 1367, and House Bill 1913. And Mr. Speaker I move that ...that Rule be suspended until Tuesday, June 12th, the end of business."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved for thethe appropriate Rule be suspended for the purposes of keeping those four Bills on Consideration Postponed alive. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'nay'. 107 votes. 1097, 1367, 1913 and whatever Schlickman's number is.....797. Now, also....ah...I don't see Representative Pate Philip here.

Fred Selcke: "No, he's gone home."

Telcser: "He's got House Bill 904, on Consideration Postponed, so that's apparently going to die. Representative William



Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Well, Representative Philip did talk to me, I don't know whether he talked to the Minority Leader or not and I suppose I better get together with him before wewe do anything on that."

Telcser: "All right now also....."

Walsh: "Also, House Bill 904, Pate Philip'sBill. All right, we'll talk about it later. Now, Mr. Speaker, if we could move to suspend the provisions of Rule 37C, that's the 30 days on the calendar.....er..."

Telcser: "First of all....all right....Okay, now, have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 128 'ayes', no 'nays', the Gentleman's motion prevails. Representative McAvoy, do you seek recognition, Sir?.... Representative William Walsh...."

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 37C, that's the...ah....Rule that provides that a Bill shall be on the Calendar for no more than 30 days, for all of those Senate Bills and exempt House Bills.... and....and Resolutions which are on the Calendar now and would expire before Tuesday, June 12th and to extend that 30 day period through the close of business on Tuesday June 12th."

Telcser: "All those in favor of the Gentlemans motion, signify....signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now, someone brought up a key..... ring on a little piece of leather that says 'souvenir of Kentucky'if it belongs to a Member, it is up here at the Speaker's Podium. Okay, back to House Bills, Third Reading. Someonegoing to handle Representative Rayson's 142? Okay, House Bill 142."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 142, an Act making an appropriation ...Department of Transportation, Third Reading of the



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller."

Keller: "Representative Rayson asked that I handle this Bill and bring it back toah...Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 142 returning to the order of Second Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2, Rayson, amends House Bill 142 as amended by striking everything after the Enacting Clause and....."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative....the.... Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller."

Keller: "Ah....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Amendment does is what we've done with all....ah....died out Bills, in the appropriations Committee is put the \$100,000 limit on 'em. Appreciate your support on this Amendment."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is exactly what we did and I tell the Sponsor about the Committee action on four other Bills that came out of Committee ...I believe they were addressed to the floor of the House this morning and it's a \$100,000 provided for the feasibility studies for these various projects, but I also have assurance of the Sponsor that he will hold this Bill on Third Reading so it'll follow the course in the pattern of the other Bills in complete equity. I would hope that this Amendment is adopted."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has askedmove the adoption of Amendment #1 to House BillAmendment #Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "It's Amendment #2. Just wondered where your Clerk was?"

Telcser: "Oh.....Amendment #2, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Is



there further Amendments? Third Read...now are you going to hold this Bill on Third Reading?"

Keller: "Well, ah...I don't know...ah..I understood that Jack Hill's Bill went out of here....Did it Jack? and...
...ah...these Bills were going on over to the Senate.
We agreed on all of 'em."

Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when Representative Rayson and Keller and I met yesterday to tell 'em about the procedures that were followed on the other Bills, I also asked ...they hold this Bill...in conjunction with the other Bills so there'd be complete equity and no one would become ...ah..concerned and aroused about one Bill getting out while the others were just came out of Committee....and Representative Rayson agreed with me at that time ...in complete fairness... that he would hold this Bill."

Keller: "Okay, he...he didn't tell me thisRepresentative Lechowicz....I didn't know about this...so that's okay with me....it's..."

Telcser: "Okay, that Bill will be held on the Order of Third Reading.....Is Representative Kosinski on the Floor?
House Bill 531."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is House Bill 531, the Cities Savings and Loan Bill. It's an expense Bill, having ...appropriation attached to it and it has...I understand a...July 2nd dating...so it's not in danger. Tuesday..the...ah...depositors of Cities Savings and Loan will be in the Gallery. I have checked with both sides of the aisle and we will hold this out of the record for hearing Tuesday."

Telcser: "House Bill 604, Representative....."

Unknown: "Well, what are we going to do with it?"

Telcser: "We'll leave it on the Order of Third Reading."



Fred Selcke: "House Bill 604, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense ... Department of Conservation, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Representative Stedelin on the Floor?..Take it out of the Record. Representative Gene Hoffman is not on the Floor. Representative Brummet on the Floor? Do you wish to have your Bill called, Sir?"

Brummet: "Yes."

Telcser: "House Bill 781."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 781, an appropriation for Structural Pest Control Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Fayette, Representative Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an Appropriation for \$25,000 and it's a companion Bill for the 780 which passed the House and it's to finance the licensing of the Pest Control Business and of course it'll be recoverable from the license fees."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 781 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Capuzi 'aye'. Choate 'aye'. Geo-Karris 'aye'. On this question there are 99 'ayes' no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Jones on the Floor? Representative Craig there on the Floor? Representative Craig do you wish to have your three Bills called? "

Craig: "Yeah."

Telcser: "Do you want 959, -60 called? ...On Third Reading?"

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like those Bills....weighing on 961, they're all companion Bills to 961, I'd like to hold them all for....."

Telcser: "All right."

Fred Selcke: "Okay.....How about Telcser, does he want his?"

Telcser: "Representative Craig, for what purpose do you rise?"



Craig: "I'd like to suspend the proper Rule ...to...."

Telcser: "Okay, what is it"

Craig: "Hold 'em with 961, as soon as it catches up."

Fred Selcke: "They're companion Bills."

Telcser: "If the Bills are companions to appropriations they are exempt...so don't worry about them. Representative Washington, do you wish to call 1152? House Bill 1152?"

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1152, an appropriation for expenses for a Commission on Human Relations, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...."

House Bill 1152 is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Commission on Human Relations. There was an Amendment in the Committee taking off ...ah...the round figure of \$50,000; 20,000 of that was replaced so the real figure would be 30,000 less than the listed figure in the digest. I know of no opposition to it. I ask for your support."

Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1152 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', ...the Gentleman from Cook Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Ah...will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "Ah...I understand in Representative Madigan's Bill for the ...ah...informing the Real Estate ...ah...brokers and licensees, etc., people who did not wish to be consulted or contacted for selling their homes....That ..that transferred that function to our needs rather than out of human relations. Now, do you include in your budget the budget matter for that?"

Washington: "That's not athat's not a listed item."

Juckett: "It is not a listed item? Is it in the Budget?"

Washington: "It is not in the Department of Human Relations Budget, No."



Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1152 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Giglio 'aye'. Lemke 'aye'. On this question 104 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Capuzi 'aye'. House Bill 1294. No take it out of the Record. Representative Katz is not on the Floor. Madigan....House Bill 1325, Representative Brinkmeier on the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Noop."

Telcser: "Take it out of the Record."

Fred Selcke: "There's Katz."

Telcser: "Okay, House Bill 1269."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1269, a Bill for an Act to....."

Telcser: "Take that out of the Record.The Gentleman,Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Katz: "Yes, it is a Revenue Bill and it would be exempt, would it not?"

Telcser: "Yes. Representative Wolf....on the Floor...J. J. Wolf? Representative Waddell? Representative Schlickman? House Bill 1937."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1937, an Act making an Appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain Legislative agencies, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah...yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1937 is the appropriation for the ongoing...ah...Commissions...and I...ah..ask for a 'yes' vote."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1937 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Ebbesen 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this



question 125 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1943...an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Business and Economic Development, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is the annual appropriation ...ah...Bill... 'Shawneetownee'...to Shawneetown Regional Park District....ah...Sponsored by Representative McCormick, Hart and myself,..ah...asking for the reallocation of \$2,000,000 and I would appreciate the support of the ah...House."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1943 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Sharp 'aye'. On this question 121 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.....House Bill 1975."

Fred Seicke: "House Bill 1975, an Act making a supplemental Appropriation ...Board of Higher Education, Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1975 appropriates \$41,000 to the Board of Higher Education for work that was performed by that agency for the Illinois Institute of Environmental Quality. Now, I have a ...ah...letter here from the Director of the Institute of Environmental Quality stating that that same amount will lapse in their 1973 budget, so I ask your favorable support."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1975 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted



who wish? Take the record. On this question 122 'ayes', 2 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed....Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, with respect to a motion he wishes to put."

Terzich: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to make a motion to discharge Committee on ...ah...Senate Bill ...ah...534...ah...this is the same as House Bill 622...ah...I have the approval of the...ah. Chairman of the Committee of which it was assigned and... ah...the Leadership of both parties. This is ...ah... these Bills did not receive one ...ah...descenting vote either in Committee or on the House or in the Senate.... and I appreciate a favorable vote...to discharge Committee and place it on Second Reading."

Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to discharge Committee andthe Gentleman says he's cleared it with both sides.....534.....What's the Committee, Representative?"

Terzich: "Ah....Cities and Villages."

Telcser: "Okay....is there any discussion? Are there any questions? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "I would just like to know what it does."

Terzich: "Ah....the Bill is....this is on the deferred compensation...ah....Aaron....whereby it allows municipalities to let their employees purchase a deferred compensation prog.....plan and it's completely voluntary.....Right... It's the same as the House Bill. Exactly the same."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz.....
.....did you seek recognition, Sir?"

Katz: "Well, I....excuse me....I was really trying to find ...ah...ordinarily when there are identical Bills there is an equivalent reference in the Digest to the other Bill. And I was looking for the other Bill. Sometimes I think it is easier just to send it to the Committee."



Telcser: "Representative Terzich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Terzich: "I'm sorry, but that is identical to564....
564...."

Telcser: "Oh....your motion was for.....564..."

Terzich: "Yes, 564, I'm sorry."

Telcser: "Okay. Now, Representative Katz, are youOkay."

All right, the Gentleman has moved to discharge ...ah... Committee....to Cons...a Committee on Municipalities from Consideration of House Bill 564...After that ...will then be placed on the Calendar. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Take 89 votes....Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this Question 100 'ayes', one 'nay', and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Ah...Representative Terzich...the Motion was on Senate Bill 564, but the way I look at the Digest, that's not the Bill regarding deferred Compensation. Is the number wrong or is the explanation wrong."

Fred Selcke: "534."

Berman: "You discharged the wrong Bill.....ha...ha..."

Telcser: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Katz: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I regret to inform the Membership that this will probably be my first and last time to discharge a Committee, but it is Senate Bill 534 ...and...ah...please forgive me..."

Telcser: "Well, we better take 564 back from the Calendar and send it to wherever we took it from. Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich having voted on the prevailing side, now moves that the vote by which Senate Bill 564 was discharged from Committee be reconsidered. All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to reconsider....signify by saying 'aye', the



opposed 'no'. Ha...Ha...and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now, 564 is back inwhatever Committee it was in. All right, in the Senate, or dead or whatever. Representative Wall, for what purpose do you rise?

Representative Wall, do you seek recognition?"

Wall: "Yeah....I want to know what ...what Committee he said this Bill was in....564. The Digest shows it's in the Committee on Registration and Regulation."

Telcser: "You've got it back now, John."

Wall: "Okay, just wanted to know. Just checking with Mr. Terzich. That's all."

Telcser: "You lost it for a few seconds, now you got it back. Okay, now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, moves that the Committee on Municipalities be discharged from Consideration of Senate Bill 534, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Take 89 votes. Rep. the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, where there are identical Bills, is there any reason why the second Bill isn't just tabled and killed? Don't we have enough Bills? Wouldn't that be a simpler solution to the problem?"

Telcser: "Well, if the Sponsor wishes to Table the Bill. Do you wish to Table the Bill, Representative Terzich? He indicates 'no'."

Terzich: "No, but I am going to fire my Parliamentarian, Representative Capparelli...."

Telcser: "Ha....Ha....Representative....the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "I have a question of the Sponsor of the Motion..... Bob...I don't want to cause trouble but I ...I noticed that from the Digest, Senate Bill 534 was Amended....in the Senate. Now, was that Amendment....is it in its amended state...the same Bill that we passed out of here?"

Terzich: "It's a Home Rule Amendment, sorry. Both of them



are the same."

Berman: "They both have the same Amendment?"

Terzich: "Home Rule Amendment."

Berman: "All right."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 106 'ayes', one 'nay', and the Gentleman's motion to discharge Committee prevails.....Okay, on the Speakers Table appears House Joint Resolution...Oh...I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, with reference to a Motion."

Maragos: "Ah...Mr. Speaker at this time I move for the suspension of the appropriate rule to have House Bill 950 kept on the Calendar 'til June 14th by agreement of the Leadership of the House."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the suspension of the provisions of Rule 37 for purposes of having House Bill 950 alive on the Calendar through and including June 14th. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'.107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. This question 101 votes.....5 'aye',... ..you need 6 more. Houlihan....Jim Houlihan 'aye'. Peters 'aye'. Collins...Catania.....Totten.....Cox.... This question 108 'ayes', 5 'nays', and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Now, on the Order of ...On the Speaker's Table appears House Joint Resolution number 37 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz is recognized."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.... ..ah...House Joint Resolution 37 expresses the feeling of the General Assembly that it would indeed be a very nice thing if Congress would give us 12 months of daylight time instead of 6 months of daylight time. Ah...all of us recently have seen the pleasure that is derived from the additional hour in the morning...ah...that we have



had since the end of April, all of us enjoy the light when the blinds are open here in the House and what this Resolution does is to urge Congress to make it possible for States that desire to do so to have 12 months of daylight time and I would without going further into it but simply urge the adoption of House Joint Resolution 37."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? This Gentleman has moved the House do adopt House Joint Resolution number 37, all in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is ad.....Okay, there are a number of 'nos'. You seek a Roll Call? Ah....And the Gentleman's Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "On the Republican side in the rear Gallery...ah...are a group of students from the Iroquois Junior High School ...ah...in Park Ridge I believe....DesPlaines."

Telcser: "Resolutions....."

Fred Selcek: "House Resolution 405, Beaupre...."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker.....I.....read it?"

Telcser: "Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're fortunate in having ...ah.. three distinguished Aldermen from North Chicago, in the Gallery....be.....in the rearon the Democratic side, Alderman Surdar, Alderman Weakly and Alderman Lundine."

Telcser: ".....Let's go to General Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 406....Londrigan...etal... House Resolution 407, McClain..etal...."

Telcser: "Speakers Table....We've got some more Committee Reports..."

Fred Selcke: "Ah....Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture....Natural Resources to which House....Senate Bill 18, Senate Bill 137 were referred, report the same back with the



recommendations the Bills Do Pass. Harpstrite, from Agriculture, to which Senate Bill 27...589 were referred, reports the same back with recommendations the Bills Do Pass. Schoeberlein, from Public Utilities, Senate Bill 319, report the same back with Amendment, with the recommendations that the Amendment be adopted and the Bills Amended Do Pass. Schoeberlein, ...Public Utilities ... Senate Bills 324, 325, and 509 were referred, report the same back with recommendations the Bills Do Pass. McMaster, from Counties and Townships, Senate Bills 47, 163, 168, 169 were referred, report the same back and... er...ah...recommend Amend.....that the Bills ...ah....be placed on the order of Second Reading.My God.... who writes that up....she ought to learn how to write aCommittee Report....McMaster, from Committee on Counties and Townships to which Senate Bill 87, 309, 3..62..were referred, reports the same back with the recommendations the Bills Do Pass. McMaster, from Counties and Townships, which Senate Bills, 170, 375, 384, ..97, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 678 and 87..., Report the same back with recommendations the Bills Do Pass. Mr. Tuerk, from Industrial Affairs, to which Senate Bill 98 was referred, reports the same back with the recommendation the Bill Do Pass. How about Senate Bills, First Reading?"

Telcser: "Senate Bills First Reading...Back to Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 405, Beaupre, whereas through 22 arduous seasons, one of our very own has stooped, squat and bent with persistence and regularity, and; Whereas from that honored but cramped position he has defended the superiority of this House, over that other House, protecting the plate at home from in...infrequent assaults by the enemy, and; Whereas in that noble position, and occasionally while upright, he's borne the tools of ignorance with pride and with only a few after effects, and; Whereas, he has occasionally been accused unfairly of



bearing the tools of ignorance in other Legislative games, and; Whereas, having finally caught the age of coaching and having announced that he has split his pants for the last time in the service of this House with honor on the field, deciding instead to teach the younger generation Members the finer techniques, and; Whereas, our fine up-and-coming youngsters to stoop, squat and bend who are even bigger and more bent than our hero, men such as Teddy Bear, and Big Teddy Bear, therefore, be it resolved the House of Representatives, 78th General Assembly, State of Illinois, that this House congratulates its esteemed and champion softball catcher of the last 22 years, Clyde Choate, and that this House wishes him continued good posture and acknowledges that even the big Teddy Bear cannot hold a glove or match the stoop, to Him."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I move adoption of the Resolution."

Telcser: "A Roll Call has been requested.....The Gentleman has asked for move the adoption of House Resoluton number 405, all in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Now, the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, wish to explain his vote?...."

Choate: ".....Yeah.....I'd like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I've never seen the day that Ted could Stoop, Squat and Bend with me.....And for the Sponsor's edification, he's absolutely right, I did split my pants the other night when I was catching that ball game. But it was from pride, not from fat.....because I was so happy that we beat the Senate again....."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, because I wanted the Resolution to pass, I voted 'no'."



Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record...."

Fred Selcke: "Take the record?"

Telcser: "Yeah....take the record. On this quest.....this question 108 'ayes', 4 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion to adopt House Resolution 405 prevails. Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to prevail upon Robert Mann. Why don't you change your vote, Bob, we know your style?"

Telcser: "Representative Mann, do you wish to respond to that 'spirilous' charge?"

Mann: "I'd like to have my vote remain 'no'."

Telcser: "Introduction of First Reading."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1986, Ah...Kriegsman, etal. Appropriates \$125,000, Department of General Services, First Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill, 3 Creates Lead Poisoning Substance Control Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 440, Appropriates Flood Control for Lake County, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 517, Creates Coordinator of.... Services for the Ageing, First Reading of the Bill, Senate Bill 532, Appropriates Department of Public Health, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 627, Amends an Act Relating to Fire Arms, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 909, Appropriations Capital Development Board, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1024, Appropriations Hand Gun Study Commissions, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1039, Appropriations for Channel and Work in McHenry County, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1045, Appropriations for Flood Control in Will County, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1097, Amends Civil Administrative Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1169, Amends an Act relating to casual def-cits, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1149



Appropriations for Residential Property Development,
First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1173, Appropriation
for Expense of Judicial System, First Reading of the Bill.
Senate Bill 1174, Appropriation for certain Officers in
Judicial System, First Reading of the Bill."

Unknown: "Is that it?"

Fred Selcke: "Are we going to make our Calendar smaller?"

Telcser: "Representative Tipword, for what purpose do you
rise?"

Tipword: "Just a point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Tipword: "Why is the time of the Membership of this House,
of the Committees and of the Witnesses for Committees
being waisted on matters that could be done in perfunctory
session, as we are at the present time?"

Telcser: "...On the Calendar appears a number of matters
that are concurrence motions. Is Representative Sevcik
on the Floor?...Representative W. T. Simms? Represen-
tative Hart? Capparelli? Take it out of the record.
Representative Gibbs wish to move on his concurrence motion?
Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Washburn: "For the purpose of an announcement if it is in
order?"

Telcser: "Go right ahead, Sir."

Washburn: "To allert about 25 Members of this ...ah...Assembly
that there will be a meeting, Tuesday night, 30 minutes
after adjournment, in Room M1, of the House Subcommittee
that will hear about 25 House Bills that contain approp-
riations for temporary commissionscontinuing temp-
orary commissions and new commissions. Ah...This will
be Tuesday afternoon, and each Sponsor of the Bill that
will be, of those Bills that will be heard, has a notice
of this type on their desk. It will be House Bills only.
Thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William



Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Chairman Bluthardt, of the Elections Committee has asked me to announce that there will be no meeting of the Elections Committee today. All of the Bills that have been posted have been postponed."

Telcser: "Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAvoy."

McAvoy: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, there will be a meeting of the Banks and Savings and Loan Committee, immediately....immediately after adjournment in Room 1004."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wall."

Wall: "Immediately after Representative McAvoy's Committee, the Committee on Registration and Regulation will meet. He only has three Bills, we'll have three Bills too, so should be out of there in a hurry. I'd urge all of the Members on R & R to be there."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Soderstrom."

Soderstrom: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I want to remind the Members and the Sponsors who are interested in a Meeting of the Education Committee today right after adjournment in Room C-1, in the Building across the street."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to bring notice to the fact that Judiciary II this afternoon will not be meeting in 212, but will be meeting in Room D-1, immediately after adjournment."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there will be a brief meeting of the City and Villages right after adjournment, M-3."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an announcement. The Transportation Committee will still meet in 212, a half hour after they break here ...at 3 o'clock, in order to



...you folks that haven't eatento get a sandwich and we'll start promptly at 3. And we will ...well our plans is to go through all of the Bills this afternoon and vote on 'em."

Telcser: "Is there any further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that the Majority Leader is now going to move that we adjourn. I would question a quorum however....and I would suggest that we would not be able to act on that motion."

Telcser: "Well, we've adopted an Adjournment Resolution already today....and the Chair has a pretty good ear and we could hear the ...ah....the vote...Any further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House Adjourn until 10 a.m., Tuesday, June 12th, ..ah...for a regular Session."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House to stand adjourned until....for regular session until the hour of 10 a.m. next Tuesday. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion, signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', and the House stands adjourned."



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SEVENTY-THIRD LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 8, 1973

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1		Speaker Telcser	House to order
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
		Speaker Telcser	Roll Call
2		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Telcser	
3&4		Clerk Selcke	Committee Reports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Telcser	
5		W. Walsh	Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions adopted
		Stone	S.B. 1176 out of order
		Speaker Telcser	vote-motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1176, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	back to Second?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
6		Washburn	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
		Stone	S.B. 1176
		Speaker Telcser	vote S.B. 1176 passed
7		Grotberg	H.R. 304
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	'no, I'm fine'



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	H.R. 304 adopted
		" "	H.B. 1797
		Ebbesen	back to Second?
8		Speaker Telcser	leave-back on Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ebbesen	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted
		Ebbesen	H.B. 1797
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	no one listening-yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
8&10		Lundy)) Ebbesen)	discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	questions
		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
		Ebbesen	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ebbesen	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote H.B. 1797 passed
		" "	H.B. 978
11		Matijevich	H.B. 978



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Huskey	yield?
		Matijevich	'no'
12		Huskey	speaks on the Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
13		Douglas	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
14		Miller	wants an Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Williams	wants to answer Gentleman
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stedelin	seems funny and doesn't know what he is prescribing
15		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote on H.B. 978 fails
		W. Walsh	suspend provision 5 of Rule 18
		Speaker Telcser	
16		Wall	questions
		Walsh	no
		Speaker Telcser	vote-motion prevails H.B. 1714 postponed consideration
		Collins	
17		Speaker Telcser	vote H.B. 1714 passed H.B. 1108 postponed consideration
		Springer	
		Speaker Telcser	
18		Jaffe	opposes Bill



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jaffe	Personal Privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kennedy	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
19		Stedelin	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Flinn	"rule still in?"
		Speaker Telcser	go ahead
		Flinn	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sims	question
		Flinn	'no'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	question
		Speaker Telcser	
20		Springer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	gun registration law
21		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Springer	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Von Boeckman	explains vote



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Taylor	'present'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	"how am I recorded?"
22		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kucharski	"how am I recorded?"
		Clerk Selcke	'aye'
		Kucharski	change to 'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	
23		Duff	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	"how am I recorded?"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Macdonald	'no'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hunsicker	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Martin	"how am I recorded?"
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jaffe	
		Speaker Telcser	88
		Jaffe	if it reaches 89
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Taylor	vote 'aye'
24		Speaker Telcser	89 'ayes'
		Springer	poll the absentees
		Clerk Selcke	reads the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	Giglio 'aye'
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	Springer 'aye'
		Clerk Selcke	
		Beaupre	changes vote
		Speaker Telcser	to 'aye'
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kosinski	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kosinski	thank you
25		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	reads Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jaffe	discussion of Roll Call
26&27&28		Clerk Selcke	
27		Speaker Telcser	
		Carter	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Carter	'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
29		Speaker Telcser	
		Von Boeckman	was called outside
		Speaker TELcser	
		Hirschfeld	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schneider	'I'm sorry'
30		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1108 fails
		" "	H.B. 1362 on postponed consideration
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1362 to Second Reading
		Clerk Selcke	reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
		Jones	'no' on H.B. 1108
31		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	H.B. 1362
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Getty	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
32		Kosinski	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1362 passed
		" "	H.B. 1818 postponed consideration
		Skinner	" " "
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
33		Flinn	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
34		Skinner	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Skinner	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
35		Boyle	good Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rigney	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1818 passed
		" "	H.B. 1299 postponed consideration
36		Cunningham	" " "
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	'here we go again'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	'not waving American Flag'
37		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	probably on today's Calendar
		Speaker Telcser	
		Murphy	
		Speaker Telcser	
38		Cunningham	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote
39		Giorgi	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Duff	last speaker went out of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Point of Order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Personal Privilege
40		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	disagrees with previous speaker
		Speaker Telcser	
41		Cunningham	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Gio-Karis	Personal Privilege
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1764 failed
		" "	H.B. 797 postponed consideration
		Schlickman	H.B. 797 to Second Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
42		Speaker Telcser	
		Tuerk	Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
43		Beaupre	opposes Amendment #5
		Speaker TELcser	
		Pierce	opposes
		Speaker Telcser	T.O.O.R.
		" "	H.B. 1299 postponed consideration
44		Mann	H.B. 1299 to Second Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	to Second Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	reads Amendment #1
		Martin	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kempiners	yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
45		Kempiners	questions
		Martin	
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	opposes Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
46		Davis	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	opposes Amendment and Bill
47		Speaker TELcser	
		Murphy	moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Martin	to close
		Spaker Telcser	
		Borchers	'rats in charge of cheese'
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
48		Mann	H.B. 1299
		Speaker Telcser	
49		W. Walsh	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
50		Mann	to close
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Flecke	explains vote
51		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
51a		Kempiners	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	
		Speaker Telcser	
52		Campbell	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	vote on H.B. 1299 passed
		Martin	comment to Borchers
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 921-postponed consideration
		Shea	H.B. 921
		Speaker Telcser	proceed
		Shea	H.B. 921
		Speaker Telcser	
53		Walsh	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote
54		R. Walsh	Bill wasn't explained properly
		Speaker Telcser	
55		Stone	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	conclude
		Stone	thank you
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Jones	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 921 fails
		" "	H.B. 1848 postponed consideration
		Caldwell	H.B. 1848 to Second?
		Speaker Telcser	to Second Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	reads Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Caldwell	Amendment #3
56		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 adopted
57		Caldwell	H.B. 1848
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	questions
		Caldwell	yields to Chapman
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	yes
		Hudson	yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
58		Hudson	H.E.W. report
		Chapman	proposal of Rep. Caldwell
		Hudson	speak to the Bill?
		Speaker Telcser	on the Bill
59		Hudson	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		R. Dunne	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
60		Schneider	Bill is essentially sound
		Speaker Telcser	
61		Caldwell	to close
62		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Walters	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
63		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chapman	explains vote
64		Speaker Telcser	vote H.B. 1848 fails
		" "	H.B. 1477 postponed consideration
		J.M. Houlihan	H.B. 1477 to Second Reading?
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1477 to Second Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	reads Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		J.M. Houlihan	Amendment #5
65		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #5 adopted
		Houlihan	H.B. 1477
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
66		Berman	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kosinski	yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kosinski	give Mayor right of veto?
67		Houlihan	excuse me?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Kosinski) Houlihan)	discussion
		Kosinski	addresses Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	question to Choate
		Speaker Telcser	
68		Choate	'my voice is about gone'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote
69		Douglas	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
70		Houlihan	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kennedy	explains 'present' vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Thompson	explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1477 fails
		" "	H.B. 452 postponed consideration
71		Bluthardt	H.B. 452
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Mann	what a difference a day makes
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 452 passed
		" "	H.B. 1536 postponed consideration
		Giglio	H.B. 1536



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
72		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
73		W. Walsh	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Laurino	moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
74		Giglio	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		R. Dunne	is this honor system?
		Speaker Telcser	wish a verification?
		W. Walsh	another Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	absentees pulled
75		Clerk O'Brien	reads names of absentees
		Speaker Telcser	Steele 'no'
		Clerk O'Brien	absentees
		Speaker Telcser	verification of Affirmative Roll Call
		Clerk O'Brien	reads Affirmative Roll Call
76&77&78&79		Speaker Telcser	
		R. Dunne	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stedelin	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Stedelin	
		Huskey	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Huskey	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McCourt	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		McCourt	
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1536 fails
80		W. Walsh	move to reconsider
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	lie on the table
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	withdraw request
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 998
		Jones	H.B. 998
		Speaker Telcser	
81		Mann	question
		Londrigan	Cosponsors
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 998 passed
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1932
		Choate	to Second?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Choate	answers
		Speaker Telcser	
82		Cunningham)	'unsupported allegation'
)	
		Choate)	argues
		Speaker Telcser	motion is proper
		Cunningham	
83		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	Cunningham is in error
		Speaker Telcser	vote-motion prevails
		Speaker "	H.B. 1091 postponed consideration
		Merlo	H.B. 1091
84		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1091 passed
		" "	H.B. 1692 postponed consideration
		Mugalian	leave to handle for Rayson
85		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1692 fails
		" "	H.B. 576 postponed consideration
86		Pierce	H.B. 576
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
87		Pierce	to close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	'who is telling the truth?'
		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 576 fails
		" "	H.B. 1150 postponed consideration
88		Washington	H.B. 1150



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
89		Washington	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	take another Roll Call
		Washington	poll of the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
90		Clerk Selcke	reads absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
91		Jones	'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	reads Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	discuss votes
92		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sharp	how am I recorded?
		Clerk Selcke	
		Sharp	'aye'



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	questions votes
93		McGrew	
		Speaker Telcser	how am I recorded?
		Clerk Selcke	
		McGrew	'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ralph Dunn	how am I recorded?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Dunn	'no'
		Walsh	questions votes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kent	how am I recorded?
		Clerk Selcke	
		Kent	'present'
		Speaker Telcser):	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Walsh)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	how am I recorded?
		Clerk Selcke	
94		Schraeder	'aye'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stiehl	how am I recorded?



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Stiehl	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		W. Walsh)	
		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1150 fails
		" "	H.B. 1431 postponed consideration
95		Berman	H.B. 1431
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
96		McCourt	supports Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	opposes Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	resents his Alma Mater being insulted
97		Skinner	sorry!
		Speaker Telcser	
98		Berman	to close
		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1431 fails
		" "	House Bills, Third Reading
99		Lechowicz	H.B. 1936-out of order
		Speaker Telcser	vote-motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1936 Third Reading
		Berman	H.B. 1936
		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1936 passed



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		W. Walsh	Adjournment Resolution
100		Clerk Selcke	H.J.R. 62
		Walsh	H.J.R. 62
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Matijeovich	meeting Monday
		Speaker Telcser	suspend Rule 18
101		Matijeovich)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	discussion
102		Collins	Subcommittee
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Choate)	discussion
103		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	clarify a point
		Speaker Telcser	
104		Matijeovich	
		Speaker Telcser	H.J.R. 62 adopted
		W. Walsh	extend deadline on four Bills
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		W. Walsh	
105		Speaker Telcser	motion prevails
		W. Walsh	suspend provisions of Rule 37c
		Speaker Telcser	vote-motion prevails
106		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 142, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Keller	to Second Reading?
		Speaker Telcser	All right
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Keller	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted
107		Keller	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Keller	All right
		Speaker Telcser	hold on Third Reading
		" "	H.B. 531
		Kosinski	H.B. 531
108		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 781
		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 781, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brummet	H.B. 781
		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 781 passed
		Craig	companion Bills-hold
109		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	hold with 961
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1152, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	H.B. 1152
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	yield?
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
110		Juckett) Washington)	discussion
		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1152 passed
		Katz	Revenue Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1937, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	H.B. 1937
111		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1937 passed
		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1943, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	H.B. 1943
		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1943 passed
		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1975 Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	H.B. 1975
112		Speaker Telcser	vote-H.B. 1975 passed
		Terzich	motion on S.B. 534
		Speaker Telcser	
		Terzich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jaffe	what is does?
		Terzich	difered compensation
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	
113		Terzich	S.B. 564 instead
		Speaker Telcser	motion prevails



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Berman	question to Terzich
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	S.B. 534 instead
114		Speaker Telcser	motion to reconsider prevails
		Wall	which Committee?
		Speaker Telcser	vote
		Katz	second Bill tabled
		Speaker Telcser	is Sponsor wishes
115		Berman	questions to Sponsor
		Speaker Telcser	motion prevails
		Marago's	950 on Calendar until June 14th
		Speaker Telcser	vote-motion prevails
		" "	H.J.R. 37
116		Katz	H.J.R. 37
		Speaker Telcser	vote-Resolution adopted
		W. Walsh	students in gallery
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	H.R. 405
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	H.R. 405
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	aldermen in gallery
		Speaker Telcser	General Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	H.R. 406 and 407
		Speaker Telcser	
117		Clerk Selcke	Committee Reports
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
118		Clerk Selcke	House Resolutions
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	moves adoption of Resolutions
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	to explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	votes 'no'
119		Speaker Telcser	H.R. 405 passed
		Washington	Mann: change vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	vote remains 'no'
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Introduction, First Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
120		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bills, First Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	Point of Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	announcement if in order
121		Speaker Telcser	go ahead
		Washburn	announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		McAvoy	announcement



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wall	announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Soderstrom	announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		North	announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
122		Neff	announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schlickman	questions a quorum
		Speaker Telcser	
		W. Walsh	moves to adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	House stands adjourned



A Roll Call was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Victor A. Arrigo - illness;
Representative Bernard E. Epton - no reason given;
Representative Peter C. Granata - illness;
Representative Donald E. Griesheimer - no reason given;
Representative Richard O. Hart - no reason given;
Representative Robert H. Holloway - no reason given;
Representative James G. Krause - illness;
Representative Harry D. Leinenweber - no reason given;
Representative C. L. McCormick - illness in family;
Representative John Edward Porter - no reason given;
Representative Leland H. Rayson - no reason given;
Representative J. J. Wolf - no reason given;
Representative Bernard B. Wolfe - illness;
Hon. W. Robert Blair - illness.

