

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order and the Invocation will be by Doctor Johnson."

Doctor Johnson: "O we pray. We begin this Session in Your most Holy name, O Lord, God, Creator and Redeemer, mindful of the fact that there are some who are not in our midst this day because of illness, but mindful as well that there are those in our midst who are weighed down with their own particular griefs or problems. We pray for all of them, O gracious Father, and commend them to Your keeping as we now commend ourselves and the responsibilities which we share. As we are grateful for the well-being that is ours and we praise Your name for it, so we ask you to raise our sights and in the eyes . . . the words of the Psalmist, 'Lift our eyes to the everlasting hills from whence comes Your help'. Under Your blessing, let us now go confidently to the business before us'. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. Ah . . . Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. McAvoy from Banks and Savings and Loans to which House Bill 2107 was referred and reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended to pass. Mr. McAvoy from Banks and Savings and Loans to which House Bill 2717 was referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which House Bill 2691 was referred and reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bills 2359, 2402, 2616, 2617 were referred. Reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bills 2618, 2848 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bills 2634, 2833, 2844 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which Senate Bills 1262, 1277, 1469 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Soderstrom



from the Elementary and Secondary to which House Bills 2741, 2743 and 2744 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. They were placed on . . . Mr. Soderstrom from Elementary and Secondary to which House Bills 2753 and 2769 were referred. Reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Soderstrom from Elementary and Secondary to which Senate Bills 1496 and 1497 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which House Bills 320 and 2671 were referred. Reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Bills be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which House Bills 2605, 2607, 2807 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass and be rereferred to Appropriations. Mr. Capuzi from the Committee on Human Resources to which House Bill 2785 was referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bills 2045 and 2046 were referred; returned the same pursuant to Rule 23D they were ordered tabled. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bills 2396, 2391 and 2692 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bill 2545 and 2546 were referred. Reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills amended do pass. Messages. Messages from the Senate."

Speaker Blair: "Messages from the Senate." /

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary . . . I wonder where the rest of these messages are? Call up there and ask them where they are . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit', Senate Joint Resolution #73, adopted by the Senate,



June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title in the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Bills 1235, 1332, 1346, 1467, 1493, 1494, 1495 . . . what did she say . . . 14 . . . 1560, 1562, 1609 . . . get on, John, we haven't taken it yet . . . ah . . . 1612 . . . yeah, I'm keeping one of them . . . passed the Senate, June 6, 1974 . . . passed the Senate, June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Well, you got any Introductions. Senate Bills, First Reading? Any Members who are sponsoring Senate Bills on First Reading, I wished you'd identify yourself up here at the Clerk's rostrum. George, I got . . . huh? I don't write on any of them . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following title in the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House . . . ah . . . Senate Bills 1502, 1503, ---4, ---5, ---6, ---7, ---8, ---9, --10, --11, --12, --13, --14, --15, --16, --17, --18, --19, --21, --22, 1523, --24, --25, --26 and --27, passed the Senate, June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title in the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Bill 1520, passed the Senate, June 6, 1974, by a three-fifth's vote; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title in the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Bill 1583, passed the Senate, June 6, 1973; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1380, concurred in by the Senate, June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to a



Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 876, concurred in by the Senate, June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, House Joint Resolution 102, was incurred in by the Senate, June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, House Joint Resolution 101, concurred in by the Senate, June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #1 and 2 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 641, action taken by the Senate, June 6, 1974; Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Preamble and Joint Resolution in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 65, adopted by the Senate, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Ah . . . No further messages. Huh?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . in order that we could read those Senate Bills first, take a look at the Calendar and if any of you have . . . are going to be sponsoring those why we'd like to get you . . . ah . . . on there as quickly as possible, all of them . . . yeah. All right, House Bills, Second Reading, now. Let's go down the list. Mr. Boyle? Is Mr. Boyle here? No? Mr. Skinner on your 2079."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2079, a Bill for an Act amending certain Sections of the Revenue Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendments amends House Bill 2079 on page 5, line 33, and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . this is a Committee Amendment which makes technical changes suggested by the Department of Local Government Affairs to the Bill that would



set the assessment level in Illinois officially at 40% instead of 50%; I move its adoption."

Speaker Blair: All right, is there any further discussion? All those in favor say 'aye' of the adoption, 'no', 'ayes' . . . the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Garmisa on your 2089. Mr. Garmisa? I saw him, where is he? Where is Mr. Garmisa? All right, let's go on past that. Now, we'll hold Mr. Flinn and be back to him, we'll come back to that in just a minute . . . ah . . . Mr. Dave Jones, yes, on your 90 . . . 2195."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2195, Dave Jones, a Bill for an Act to provide for the reimbursement for reduced transit fares for the elderly. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 2195 on page 1, line 6, and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Jones."

Jones, D.: "This is a corrected Amendment . . . Amendment as to the applicable date; I just move for its adoption."

Clerk Selcke: "Any further discussion?"

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Grotberg on 2216? You don't . . . you want it read? Okay."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2216, Grotberg, amends the Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Pierce?"

Clerk Selcke: "Pierce, 2269."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Pierce, how about your 2269?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, give me 2269."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute. Yes, Third Reading. All right, Third Reading on 2216."

Clerk Selcke: "Pierce is here, do you want to get him on the next one?"

All right, John."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Pierce."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2269, Pierce, amends the Local Libraries' Act."



Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 2269 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment that the State Librarian suggested for his own Bill; and it changes the reference in the existing law from the Department of Revenue to the Department of Local Government Affairs, which is, of course, in our . . . is a Department and now . . . and now regulates these levies and . . . ah . . . also has other minor technical changes in the Bill. It doesn't change the substance of the Bill; and I, therefore, move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of . . . ah . . . Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption and . . . of Amendment #1 to 2269. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. McPartlin. Mr. Shea is acting for Mr. McPartlin on 2278."

Clerk Selcke: "You know . . . 2278, McPartlin, a Bill for an Act concerning aquariums and museums in public parks. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor? Any from the floor?"

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Give my --79. House Bill 2279, McPartlin, amends Section 19 of an Act in relation to creation of maintenance operation improvements of the Chicago Park District. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor?"

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Washburn, 2303. House Bill 2303, Washburn, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2303 on page 1 and so forth. Huh? That's the only one I got . . ."



Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think I should comment on this Bill. I want to take it out of the Record, but I think the Membership should be informed that this is the omnibus Commission's Bill and we appropriated . . . this Bill contains the amount of money that was appropriated for every Commission in '74. Now, I want this taken out of the Record so that each Commission Member will have an opportunity to prepare an Amendment if he wishes to increase his appropriation over the current year; and then when we do call it on Second Reading, each Commission Member will have the opportunity to arise, plead his case and offer his Amendment for the increase. So I'd like it taken out of the Record until next week. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, do you want to take it out of the Record? All right. All right, Mr. Ron Hoffman? Do you want to go on your 2344?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2344, a Bill for an Act requiring the Secretary of the Department of Transportation to convey certain property. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor?"

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "What's the next one. No, he's not here. Boyle is not here. Sangmeister. Give me 2351. House Bill 2351, Sangmeister, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 2351 on page 1, line 25, and so forth. Sangmeister."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Sangmeister. What happened to . . . you got an Amendment there?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Blair: "Did you read it. All right, Mr. . . . Mr. Sangmeister on Amendment #1 to . . . ah . . . 2351."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the Department of Finance's appropriation Bill and there has been a Committee Amendment that was put on, Committee Amendment #1, which the Depart-



ment of Finance is opposed to and we have come up with Amendment #2 which we feel is a far better Amendment and we are asking that Amendment #1, Committee Amendment #1, be defeated for the following reasons. Committee Amendment #1 deletes the preaudit . . . ah . . . expenses for the Department of Finance and our opinion should definitely be left in. The preaudit function has been with the Secretary of State's Office and with the Attorney General's Office and the O.S.P.I. Office and there's no reason that it should be removed from the Governor's Department of Finance Office. So we're asking this House to defeat Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now, wait a minute, we're a little . . . ah . . . Committee Amendment #1 was offered by . . . ah . . . Mr. LaFleur, right? All right, . . . ah . . . so we better hear Mr. LaFleur to start with on that Amendment. Okay, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to beg the indulgence for the . . . of the House for a moment. I'm not prepared, I did not know this was coming up, and I'd like to take this out of the Record for a few minutes and come back to this if I could. If the Sponsor would allow that privilege."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I . . . there's no problem about coming back to the Bill . . . ah . . . coming back to the Bill today, you know."

Sangmeister: "Well, could you come back to this Bill right at the end of the calling of the Second . . . okay?"

Speaker Blair: "Oh, sure, I'll . . . I'll be happy to do that."

Sangmeister: "All right, take it out of the Record."

Speaker Blair: "No problem, okay. Ah . . . We'll come back to that when we get into the call. Mr. Craig takes Fary . . . huh?"

Clerk Selcke: "Sen . . . ah . . . House Bill 2352, Craig, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Fair Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2352 on page 3 by deleting line 7 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. . . . Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Committee Amendment,



and also I would like to yield to Mr. . . . Representative Holloway, who also has an Amendment to this Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "Well, we got to adopt this . . . adopt them in order. Have to adopt the Amendments in order."

Speaker Blair: "We'll have to adopt them in order. We're on #1."

Craig: "I move for the adoption and then the # . . . Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "What does Committee Amendment #1 do?"

Craig: "It's the emergency clause that this money can be used immediately as soon as this money is . . . this Bill is signed by the Governor. If it's a week in advance, why it can be used immediately because the of the fair in August it . . . it gives them the right to get started as quick as possible."

Clerk Selcke: "Any further discussion?"

Speaker Blair: "All right . . . ah . . . Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 brings an emergency nature to the Bill; and I would like to bring the attention of the House that we've passed a deficiency appropriation for Public Aid, we have be . . . before us many Bills requesting additional monies. We have many Bills requesting transfers, when, in turn, here we have the State Fair Agency, and we've got to make an emergency measure. I think that the fact that the . . . Amendment #1 was put on Committee brought out the fact that this General Assembly was putting the administration on notice that we were making a very strong and determined look as far as the operation of the State Fair; but I think it's a bad practice from the appropriation's standpoint to make this Bill an exception, making this a precedence in creating an emergency measure of . . . an annual appropriation matter and then I would hope that this Amendment, and I was hoping that the Sponsor of the Amendment would table it when it got to the House floor because I think his intent has been fulfilled; but I think it's a bad practice making this Bill an emergency measure now; and I would hope that the Sponsor on second reflection would table Amendment #1."



Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House; I hope the Sponsor of the Amendment . . . or of the Bill will . . . ah . . . maintain his motion to adopt Amendment #1. If there's an emergency in this state, it certainly has to be the deplorable condition of the state fairgrounds. Everyone in the state is talking about it in and out of State Government; and I would ask . . . ah . . . Representative Craig to . . . ah . . . continue his motion to adopt Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Craig . . ."

Craig: "Mr. . . . Mr. . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on this Amendment, I'd like to have the attention of the Majority Leader."

Speaker Blair: "Of . . . ah . . . who?"

Giorgi: "The Majority Leader."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, Mr. Walsh, Mr. Giorgi is seeking your attention."

Giorgi: "Yes, Mr. Majority Leader, you mentioned yesterday about Illinois getting into the gambling business. I thought maybe for the edification of the General Assembly, you might tell them that we're taking \$3,000,000 worth of gambling skim to entertain the citizens of Illinois at the State Fair, I thought you might want to know that."

Speaker Blair: "He says he appreciates the information. Mr. Craig."

Craig: ". . . Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, now, I full well realize that this is gonna' take 107 votes, but we brought this to the attention of the . . . ah . . . people of Illinois and this General Assembly that this was more or less an emergency thing; and it may only be a week or maybe ten days in advance, but since this emergency is . . . is an emergency, and I think most all of us will agree that it is, it will give them a chance to go to work whatever time it is. This will not make any great difference possibly either way, but it does show that is . . . there's an emergency to get there and get to work on that fairgrounds as quick as possible. I realize it'll take 107 votes, but I believe



that the people of this General Assembly will give it the 107 votes that is needed to pass it. So I . . . I don't think that . . . I would like to renew the motion of adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I've got a point of parliamentary inquiry. Ah . . . In order for a Bill to become immediately effective, does it take 89 votes or 107 votes? It only takes 89. It doesn't have an emergency for it."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, well, for a Bill to become effective immediately now, since we're before July 1, it takes 89 votes."

Shea: "I thought it was the ruling of the Chair that in that portion of the Session between January 1 and July 1 in order for a Bill to become effective upon it becoming a law required just a statement in the Bill and a passage by 89 votes."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, that's what I said."

Shea: "So that, in other words, this Bill with 89 votes becomes effective upon the signature of the Governor, is that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "Very good. All right, is there any further discussion on this Amendment #1? Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, just . . . ah . . . very briefly, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 makes this Bill an emergency measure, and I think that . . . ah . . . with . . . with the adoption of Amendment #1, if it's adopted, and I hope not, that then it would require 107 votes, am I correct?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, well, let's get this point cleared up. Between January 1 and July 1, it takes 89 votes and the signature of the Governor for a Bill to become effective immediately, between July 1 and January 1 for a Bill to become effective prior to the following July 1, it takes 107 votes. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if your Parliamentarian would take a look at Amendment #1 it reads, 'This Act takes effect upon its becoming a law; the appropriation made in this Act shall be available for obligations until June 30, 1975', and again this makes this a . . . an emergency measure, and I think that with the adoption, if it's adopted, Amendment #1 it would need 107 votes



and 36 in the Senate."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we're not prepared to rule that way, Mr. Lechowicz, if you would have your staff person discuss that with the Parliamentarian . . . ah . . . to see what you're driving at, why we'd be happy to do that. In any event, the . . . the point becomes important when the Bill is on Third Reading . . . ah . . . insofar as passage is concerned, not on the question of the adoption of the Amendment. All right, now, we're . . . we're back to Mr. Craig. He's asking for a vote on the adoption of Amendment #1. All right, the . . . the question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; well, we'd better have a Roll Call. Ah . . . The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 97 'ayes', 13 'nays', none answering 'present'; the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 2352 prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2 . . . Committee Amendment #2 amends House Bill 2352 on page 1, line . . . and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, could you direct the Sponsors of these Amendments to explain the Amendments before moving their adoption?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's point is well taken. Representative Craig, do you wish to explain the Amendment, Sir?"

Craig: "This . . . this mere Amendment takes the money from General Services and puts it back into the State Fair Agency for fairground maintenance . . ."

Hanahan: "Just transfers . . ."

Craig: ". . . transfer of money from General Services to state fair for maintenance out of its fairgrounds."



Hanahan: "General services originally intended to do this . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . what's the last one, Bud? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Chairman, the ruling of the Chair was not clear to me. Was the acceptance of Amendment #1 an emergency measure which now makes the other Amendments emergency in nature in need of 107 votes?"

Craig: "No, no . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Craig, for what purpose do you arise?"

Craig: ". . . these Amendments that are put on this Bill and the money will only give us a minute to build a sign, give them the right to start maintenance at the fairgrounds immediately prior to July 1. That's all that an emergency will do."

Speaker Telcser: "We're not voting yet. We're not voting. We're discussing Amendment #2. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you . . . thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think the . . . I think the problem here is a mix-up on what the Chair's ruling was on Amendment #1; and I think that the Sponsor of the Bill and the Sponsor of Amendment #1 should read that Amendment. The . . . the . . . the Amendment doesn't state anything about any money being expended prior to June the 30th, but he talks about expending of funds after June 30. I think that's what the problem is and I think he should take a look . . . a look at that Amendment because the funds cannot be expended until after the time it's clearly delineated in that Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further . . . further discussion. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, I . . . I can't understand all this dialogue about the number of votes. We're on an Amendment and all we need is a . . . is a majority of votes on an Amendment; and I would hope that nobody else would question the . . . the Chair on the number of votes; and even on Third it's all we need is 89; but then the Chair is absolutely correct."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered



to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2352. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #3 amends House Bill 2352 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones."

Jones, J.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 appropriates the funds for the rehabilitation of the state fairgrounds in the . . . in accordance with the various proposals that have been made by our governmental leaders. I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2352. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Holloway, Jimmy, amends House Bill 2352 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Jim Holloway."

Holloway, J.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, all Amendment #4 does is take the appropriation for the Land of Lincoln premium money and divided it up among the species of livestock on a percentage basis. It's the same as House Bill 2568, a companion Bill to the . . . to this appropriation Bill, but I'm afraid we may be running out of time and, therefore, I'd like to place the Amendment on the appropriation Bill itself."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . from Stephenson, Representative Rigney, I was going to say the Gentleman from Red Oak."

Rigney: "Thank you . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor of this Amendment why he thought this was necessary? Is there some reason we have to spell out what each species of livestock is to receive?"

Holloway, J.: "The present statute now lists the various species in the percentage how the appropriation is to be divided. The present statutes now provide for this; but it hasn't been changed since 1954 when this Act was originally passed, the Land of Lincoln Premium



Money Act. Ah . . . If you'll look at the present statute, you'll see that there is a . . . a percentage of the total appropriation that would go to dual purpose cattle. Well, as you and I both know that category no longer exists. So we took that 5% of the Land of Lincoln appropriation and divided it among beef cattle and dairy cattle, 2½% each. All this does is update the division of the premium money from today's basis which hasn't been since 1954; and the reason that we provide this in the statute is to keep one species from taking more than what might be due to them. This makes it fair and square of all livestock species, divide the money equally among horses, cattles, sheeps, lions, goats and what have you."

Rigney: "Might I inquire . . . ah . . . you are breaking it down here and you have shown that . . . ah . . . changes do take place as far as the shows are concerned . . . ah . . . and you're locking in a fixed percentage here . . . ah . . . is this approved by the Fair Advisory Board?"

Holloway, J.: "It certainly is, and it's also approved by the Land of Lincoln Board of Directors, 15 members of the Board of Directors, that comprise all of the Pure-Bred Livestock Breeder's Association in the State of Illinois."

Rigney: "And they have recommended these exact percentage figures, is that right, Representative Holloway?"

Holloway, J.: "I can't hear you."

Rigney: "They have recommended the figures that you are providing in Amendment 4?"

Holloway, J.: "Certainly have. That's where I got the figures."

Rigney: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I concur with Representative Holloway's remarks and would support his motion to adopt Amendment #4."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: I, too, rise in support of this because it changes no figures actually. It changes the amount evenly split between dairy



and beef so that they are allowed to get that amount of the figure because there is no such a thing anymore as dual purpose."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 4 to House Bill 2352. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Where's the Clerk? Third Reading. Okay, go back to 2351. Representative Sangmeister, did you want 2351 called? 2351."

Clerk Selcke: "Give me 2351. House Bill 2351, an appropriation for the expenses of the Department of Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 2351 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker, we opposed Committee Amendment #1, which is being handled by Representative LaFleur, and I presume he now wants to speak to that Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the . . . the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur, wish to . . . ah . . . offer or move the adoption of Amendment #1? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2351, and in keeping with the need to explain what these Amendments consist of, I would be happy to run through this and answer any questions. This Amendment would make a total reduction of \$1,352,300 in the appropriation for the Department of Finance. This reduction would appear in three places in their appropriation and in their operation. By division, this would reduce the division of a county by 540,000. The division of a county would reflect a three-month phase out of the preaudit section and the related cost to the preaudit section of the Department of Finance. Management Information Division would be reduced by \$808,100, and this reflects the phase out of M.I.D. north by November 1, 1974, M.I.D. north is M-I-D north, is a computer operation in Chicago which would be moved to the Springfield location. This management would be reduced by \$4,100.



This reduction is possible to do to phase in of a new position requested for fiscal year '75, which would make the total reduction \$1,352,300. I might point out that the preaudit function is now a statutory responsibility of the Comptroller's Office, under Chapter 15, paragraph 209, in a statutory mandate. I believe that strict examination of this Amendment would show, not only more efficiency in the operation of State Government, but at a great savings in money to the taxpayers. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 was adopted in the Appropriation's Committee by a very close vote, 14 to 12. I agree with certain concepts of Amendment #1 and those concepts . . . ah . . . will be offered in Amendment #2 if Amendment #1 is defeated, which I hope it will be. The Department of Finance and the Division of Accounting has worked with the personnel from the Office of the Comptroller's Office since the inception of the Comptroller Act in January of 1973. I met a number of times with Comptroller George Lindberg and Doctor Mandovill, in his own office, and in turn discussed the possibility of the transfer of the Department of Finance preaudit function to the Comptroller's Office. George Lindberg told me personally that he has no reason why he should accept this responsibility at the present time. In fact, he could not do it until November if at all, and he said that he had no problems with working with the Department of Finance and their . . . and their preaudit function; and this is the only Section of Amendment #1 that I disputed in Committee; and, in turn, the other two . . . ah . . . parts of the Amendment I agree with; but, Ladies and Gentlemen, the preaudit function is a very important function, not only for the office of the Governor, it's an important function and they have the responsibility within the . . . every elected state official. We do not remove the preaudit function for the Attorney General's office, We do not remove the preaudit function for . . . the Treasurer's Office;



and I think it's very untimely that we try to remove the preaudit function from the Governor's Office. I think this is strictly a political move and it the reality will come back to haunt us; and I would hope that Amendment #1 would be . . . be defeated based on those reasons."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I happen to favor the Amendment #1 to this Bill, especially the area of the preauditing. Just a year and a half ago, we adopted legislation similar to tha of talking about . . . ah . . . the integrity of the General Assembly when we adopted the School Aid Formula and now we're having some problems in seeming to appropriate the proper money. We're having this similar in the area of the Comptroller's Office. This Amendment will rightfully carry out the very statute that many Members that are sitting on the floor of this House, like, today, said when they voted for the enacting and enabling legislation for the Comptroller's Office. In that Bill, everyone well understood at that time that the function of preauditing would shift from the office of fin . . . ah . . . the Department of Finance to the Office of the Comptroller. Now, because of a political difference of parties it should make no difference in the philosophy of whether or not the State Comptroller should have the responsibility under the law to preaudit vouchers. The fact remains is the reason why it becomes such a political hot issue is this is where you wash out all the bad vouchers; and I can say to the Members of the House that the Secretary of State does not send his vouchers to the Department of Finance, the Attorney General does not send his vouchers to the Department of Finance, and, no, this Legislative Body does not send its vouchers to the Department of Finance. We don't need the Department of Finance to wash this dirty linen on voucher request so that nobody else knows what is going on in the contractual service are, especially on vouchers that are submitted for payment by the taxpayers. Now, I support the idea that we should give a little more time to re . . . to George Lindberg to handle the function. In fact, I had assumed and



requested of the Comptroller how long it would take him to take over the function. We could say if you adopt this Amendment on the Department of Finance, naturally, we need the ability to add to our personnel and we will accept all the personnel from the Department of Finance, shift it over to his direction, 59 people, I believe. Then the Department of preauditing that would work for the Comptroller's Office would have a direct responsibility to the taxpayers to make sure that any wrongdoing is not covered up by a sending back of vouchers, and I might point out to the Members of the General Assembly that an average day about 300 vouchers are sent back as in error vouchers. I wonder how many of those vouchers would make headlines in the newspapers because of the in error type of a procedure some of the voucher requests have. I think that the Department of Finance should live up to the responsibility of being phased out, like when we created through the Constitutional Convention the Office of the Comptroller, like as . . . to this General Assembly adopted the laws concerning the functions of the Comptroller, giving to them that regulation of preauditing and I think this General Assembly, similar to the School Aid Formula funding, live up and be . . . have some integrity of turning out what they said when we adopted the enabling legislation of the Comptroller and give properly this function to the Comptroller; and I support this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Re . . . the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly agree wholeheartedly with the last Speaker that this Amendment should be adopted. It just doesn't make any sense at all that the agency of government that is submitting most of the vouchers, namely agencies directly under the government, should have those vouchers audited . . . ah . . . by another agency under that office. Certainly, there'd be a tendency to try to correct their coverup or return or do many of the things that the last Speaker suggested. Now, it seems to me that we ought to keep this function certainly within the Executive Department and the Comptroller is under the



executive arm of government, and his function should be to audit vouchers. So it seems to me that this is a step in the right direction toward achieving good government, and it seems to me to be a good finance. Ah . . . The Comptroller's Office should handle this function, and I would urge you to vote for this . . . ah . . . Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Representative LaFleur to close."

LaFleur: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to touch upon a few points that were mentioned by my friend, Representative Lechowicz. I would like to only say in rebuttal to his testimony on other departments auditing . . . ah . . . they do . . . the audit within their own department, which is only good, and the way it should be. The Department of Finance moves outside of the Department of Finance and examines the vouchers that appear in all Code Departments; and I think this is unique and I think it is in error. I would also like to address myself to the fact that his meeting with the Comptroller's Office and . . . ah . . . their failure to support this Resolution . . . Resolution or the acceptance of it, I can see their point; - but I think our job here is broader than that. I think it is our job as the Legislature to give them legislative direction, and we certainly should, as long as we do have implementing legislation, and we have it on the books, that the audit function falls within the Comptroller's Office, that we should provide the means necessary for him to provide this; and even the legislative direction that he should do this. So I can only close by asking that all people looking at this objectively would support me and support Amendment #1 to the Department of Finance appropriation Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2351. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would hope that the Membership would look at the votes they are casting here and would look to what it could and possibly will do to State Govern-



ment. I would hope that you would remember what Representative Lechowicz just said, that the chief state officer that is vitally effected by this transfer of duties has said that he does not and cannot perform those duties at the present time, does not necessarily want these duties transferred to him. I would think that we would take his wishes into consideration as an outstanding Republican state officer, and I would think that we would vote 'no' with his wishes in transferring these duties to him. I take the present Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Do you want recognition, Representative LaFleur?"

LaFleur: "Please."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "In explaining my vote, I wish . . . ah . . . that the people here would understand this Reso . . . this Amendment and look at the Amendment. I believe it is good. I believe it would lead us a long way down the road to be a more responsible Legislature in helping to see that the taxpayers better understand where their tax dollars go; and especially the large number of people who are not voting on this measure, I wish that they would look at it and join with us and cast their votes in favor of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 80 'nays', 3 answering 'present'.

Representative LaFleur, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? . . . no, I'm waiting for him, he's waiting for . . . he wants a absentee. I'm waiting for you to get it out of the machine. Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees. Will the Clerk read the absentees, please?"

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup. Brummet. Carter. Clabaugh. Dee. Ewell. Hart. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Hyde. Emil Jones. Katz. Keller. Klosak. McCourt. Palmer. Peters. Redmond. Schisler. Schlish . . . Schlickman. Sevcik. Sharp."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, record Representative Schlickman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Soderstrom. Springer. Stedelin. Taylor. Waddell."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 68 'ayes', 80 'nays', 3 answering 'present' . . . Skinner 'aye' . . . and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #1 fails."

Clerk Selcke: "Skinner is already recorded."

Speaker Telcser: "Skinner was already recorded. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Lechowicz, amends House Bill 2351 and so forth. Lechowicz."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah . . . Amendment #2 is a total reduction of \$812,000, \$812,200 in the following areas, in reduction in management information divisions, reflects M.I.D.'s anticipated shutdown of M.I.D. North between September and November 1, the elimination of seven computer operator positions. The a reduction in this management division reflects a January 1 phase in of one Insurance Analyst I. Again, Amendment #2 is two-thirds of Amendment #1, and I know it came out of Committee. We discussed this with Representative Washburn and the Republican staff in conjunction with ours. This was our Agreed Amendment intially before we got into the politics of the Department of Finance, and I would hope that the House would adopt Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This was an Agree Amendment before we got into the . . . ah . . . the politics of accountability to the people of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "As far as the Department of Finance is concerned, we're not happy with this Amendment, but we certainly could live with it, seeing that Amendment #1 has been defeated; and, therefore, we have no opposition to it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2351. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted."



Further Amendments? Anymore, Shalkie?"

Clerk Selcke: "That's it."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. Okay, we'll go back and pick up
. . . ah . . . 2348. House Bill 2348."

Clerk Selcke: "What number?"

Speaker Telcser: "2348."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2348, a Bill to provide for the ordinary and
contingent expenses of the Department of Conservation. Second
Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment
#1 amends House Bill 2348 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from MaCoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Committee Amendment 1 is the Agreed Amendment . . . ah . . . agreed
upon by the . . . ah . . . appropriation staff of . . . ah . . . both
sides, and I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move
the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2348. All in favor
'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Oh, I'm sorry, the
Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, would the . . . ah . . . Sponsor
yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Boyle: "If I can."

Geo-Karis: "What is the essence of the Amendment?"

Boyle: "Well, the . . . the Amendment, Representative Geo-Karis, is
. . . ah . . . approximately five pages long . . ."

Geo-Karis: "Well, just give me the jest."

Boyle: ". . . well, the jest of the Amendment is that there is a reduction
in the Executive . . . ah . . . Division, there's a reduction in
the forestry division, in parks and memorials, . . . ah . . . law
enforcement is left the same, wildlife resources there's a reduction,
fisheries is left the same, planning and development there's a re-
duction, and . . . ah . . . in administration there's a reduction."

Geo-Karis: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of



Amendment #1 to House Bill 2348. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #2 amends House Bill 2348 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from MaCoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Ah . . . Amendment #2 is an Amendment proposed in Committee by Representative Washburn, which provides for \$600,000 for . . . ah . . . from the Capital Development Fund for the . . . ah . . . Illinois Michigan Canal, and I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2348. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Cunningham, amends House Bill 2348 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Amendments #3 and 4 were almost Committee Amendments, too. They were discussed a little in Committee and they weren't ready at that time, and you'll note that all of the Representatives from the 54th District are on each of them, but we have decided that they should've mentioned the Capital Bond Fund so we can refile . . . ah . . . as Com . . . as Amendments #8 and 9. So we will at this time move to . . . ah . . . strike 3 and 4 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendments #3 and 4. Are there any objections? Hearing none, 3 and 4 are tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5 . . . ah . . . Hart, amends House Bill 2348 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Choate, Hart and Choate. Hart and Choate, 5."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendment #5, Clyde to . . . ah . . . House Bill 2348. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is really Representative Hart's Amendment . . . ah . . . I don't see him on the floor. What it does it adds . . . it adds . . . ah . . . \$50,000 out of the State Parks' Fund



to construct a road and to Ferne Clyffe State Park at Goreville, which has been a source of contro . . . ah . . . controversy recently. I, personally, favor it; I would for the adoption of the Amendment to the Department of Conservation's budget, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Ah . . . We have no objection to my Leader's . . . ah . . . Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 2348. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . forgot . . . senile . . . Amendment #6 amends House Bill 2348 on page 10 and so forth. Keller. Keller."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . oh, you said Amendment #6 . . . ah . . . still on Second Reading . . . the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller."

Keller: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #6 . . . ah . . . is sponsored by myself, Representative Tuerk and Representative Cunningham, payable from the Capital Development Bond Fund for the development of a ten-mile lake project, \$100,000 in Hamilton County. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Cunningham: "Representative Keller, would you be amenable that we add the name of Representative Stedelin as one of the Sponsors of that particular project?"

Keller: "Yes, I forgot to add that in, he is one of them."

Cunningham: "You agree with me that none has done more than he for a ten-mile lake and is only fitting and proper . . . and help the chances?"

Keller: "Well, we hope someday that we can name it Lake Stedelin."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move



the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 2348. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #7, Keller et al, amends House Bill 2348 on page 10."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Chairman, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this also is adopted by the same Sponsors and it's for \$250,000 for a . . . ah . . . a corp of engineers . . . ah . . . federal lake project in Louisville Resorvior in Clay County, Illinois. I move for the adoption of Amendment #7."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon . . . Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Ah . . . Charlie, is that also out of the Capital Development Fund?"

Keller: "That comes out of the Capital Development Bond Fund and it also is . . . ah . . . matching funds from the Federal."

Boyle: "Then we have no objection."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 2348. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #8, Cunningham et al, amends House Bill 2348 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "The matter is covered by Amendment #6, so we move to table #8 at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #8. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah. Amendment #9, Cunningham, amends House Bill 20 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Amendment #9 appropriates \$400,000 for the construction of a lake in Crawford County. It is the C.T.A. Bill for all of Crawford County. It represents no increase in the cost of the Department

of Conservation because we propose to take the money out of funds that are already allocated for expenditure in Lawrence County. We would note to each of you that that is considered politically perilous to take money from your home county and spend it in another, but that's what we propose to do for the benefit of recreation throughout this state. We have discussed this matter with the distinguished Sponsor of the Conservation budget, and hopefully we find him in complete agreement that this should be adopted.

So we move at this time for the adoption of Amendment #9."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Ah Just a couple of questions, Mr. Speaker, for . . . ah . . . my own edification. Now, your #8 was the same as Keller's, is that right, Roscoe, you tabled #8?"

Cunningham: "Right, Ken, so I tabled 8."

Boyle: "And #9 is your . . . is your . . . ah . . . \$400,000 from the Capital Development Fund . . . ah . . . for Crawford . . . for the Crawford County Lake, is that right?"

Cunningham: "Correct."

Boyle: "We have no objection to that, Mr. Speaker."

Cunningham: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #9 to House Bill 2348. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2356, do you want that . . . do you want us to come to that? Out of the Record? Do you want that out of the Record for now?"

Unknown: "No. No."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2356, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies of State Government. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . Committee Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2356 on page 2 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, just a very short bit of background before addressing myself to Committee Amendment #1. House Bill 2356 started out as consolidated Bill involving the contingency budgets for three departments, the Fair Employment



Practice Commission, the Governor's Office of Human Resources and the Illinois Department of Human Relations. Now, House Bill 2709, which was a duplication of the F.E.P.C. budget in 2356, has passed Third Reading and gone to the Senate. Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2356 removes the F.E.P.C. budget from 2356. I know of no objections to that Amendment, I have none; and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2356. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2 amends House Bill 2356 on page 1, line 11, Committee Amendment."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as the Bill now stands, it has two contingency budgets in it. The Illinois Department of Human Relations and the Governor's Office of Human Resources. Committee Amendment #2 deletes from the Illinois Department of Human Relations' budget the sum of \$58,000, which was agreed upon by both sides. There is no controversy. The Director agrees. I have no objections. I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption . . . is there any discussion? . . . the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2356. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Committee Amendment #3 amends House Bill 2356 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we come now to the impasse. I am absolutely, unalterably opposed to Committee Amendment #3. May I have some order, Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker, may we have some order? Committee Amendment #3 deletes in its entirety the entire budget of the Governor's Office of Human Resources from House Bill 2356, leaving only \$75,000 for the Department to phase out its operations by October 1 of this year. Now, this Bill had a hearing before the Appropriation's Committee, and this Amendment



was tacked on to the Bill by a vote of 12 to 10. We had a fair hearing, and I'm not complaining about that; but it just so happened that two Members who had promised vote to uphold the Governor's . . . the Governor's Office of Human Resources budget had to leave to prior . . . for prior engagements. It was just unavoidable. Had they been able to stay, the vote would have been 12 to 12; and this Amendment would have been defeated. Let me give you a little background of the history of this agency. Back in 1969, Governor Ogilvie called a state-wide conference in this state, interested parties to come together to discuss the whole issue of how we should prepare for, train and utilize our human resources. It was a three-day conference, and out of that conference . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm having trouble hearing back here . . . out of that conference, Mr. Speaker, came an executive order in which the Governor set up his Governor's Office of Human Resources. Now, the top budget that that Department has ever had was \$1,600,000 in 1970. It's lowest budget, I think, is this year and we're asking for \$1,120,000. The purpose of this Department, of this agency is very laudible. Its function was simply to do this, to utilize whatever professional resources that they could find and to draw into it all the indigenous support in pockets of poverty for the ultimate purpose of training and utilizing to the maximum all the human resources that we have within this state. At present, there are approximately 235 employees in that department. Over 65% of those employees are of a minority persuasion and approximately 50% of them are black, approximately 9% of them are Spanish-American. The male-female ratio is approximately 1 to 1; and this was done on purpose because the whole idea behind this agency was to draw skilled people from those areas of poverty which could relate back and help to solve the problems from which they came; and this is what the agency has set out to do. It has several . . . it has this structure. It has the administrative section, it has the programming, and planning and development section, and their function is to plan and program for the ultimate utilization of these human resources. It has several federal grants which it administers, the



Office of Minority Business Enterprise, Senior Citizens Outreach Win Program, and things of that nature. It has an operation section, and this operation section has outposts, Community Service Outposts. There are approximately 15 of them throughout the state, four in Chicago and scattered at such places as Rock Island, East St. Louis, Carbondale, Spart, Jacksonville, Decatur and so forth. The purpose being to find and set up outposts in those sections, those sections which studies have told us were . . . were serious pockets of poverty. They've addressed themselves to several things. They've grown in two of their agencies. Students in a student volunteer program to head a volunteer program such as Headstart. They have reached out to the Senior Citizens and attempted to do the best that they can to sway the ills of our old people. They have served the function, I repeat, they have served the function of ombudsman, taking in complaints, inquiries by the thousands, by the tens of thousands, I say, of people who are out in these various areas of poverty, the poor, who don't know how to move through this whole miasma of Federal, State and Local Government. They've informed people on tax problems, mental health problems, educational problems, social security problems, welfare problems, all of the thousands of problems which are very serious to poor people, but to those of us in the middle class, we just don't think of. They've done a tremendous job. We have the statistics here. Who speaks for this agency? Not me. Who speaks for this agency? Not the Members of the Appropriation Committee who voted to sustain that budget. The people who speak for this agency are the people who are being helped by this agency, and they are of the tens of thousands. I have a telegram here which most of you, I think, have on your desks today. These are the kind of people that are supporting the budget for the Governor's Office of Human Resources; Father George Clemmons, of the Holy Angels Parish in Chicago; Mrs. Beatrice Merrill, United Teachers of Woodlawn; Mr. Dixon, Malcom X Foundation; Albert Wagland, Director of the Chicago Conference; Reverend Willie Bell, Operation Push; Reverend Ed Redick the same organization; Miss Diana Nash, Director of Church Federation; Mrs. Nancy Jefferson,



Director of West . . . Midwest Community Center; Dempsey Travis, President of 'C. Mock' Mortgage Corporation; Bishop John Ware, Pastor of the First Congressional; Warren Saunders, Director of the Better Boys Foundation; Joe Engessi, Christian Family Movement; Mrs. Buella Jones, Principal of Cole School; Reverend Larry Launders; Reverend Struthers; Human Relations Commission's of Park Forest South; Harriet Markus, Park Forest Human Relations Commission; J. MacDowney, Calvin Foundation; Mrs. Doctor Helen Hudland, Executive Director of St. Clair County Mental Health Center; Alderman Tyron Keller of the Great 3rd Ward; Frank Bultz, the Director of Combined Opportunities; Thomas Smith, Director of Clair Christian Center; Wellthella Ellis, Executive Director of the Abraham Lincoln Center in Chicago on the south side. I'm gonna' skip a few. Doctor Hazel Mon. . . on second thught, I won't skip a few . . . Doctor Hazel Montgomery, Chairman of Concerned Citizens of West Englewood; Billy Mitchel, Chairman of the Timbrook Association for Human and Environmental Development; Marion Witchfield, Ececutive Director of Todd Lynn Nursery Incorporation in my district; Danny K. Davis, Executive Director of West Side Health Planning Organization; Timmy Green, Executive Director of West Side Parents and Retarded Children United; Ben Findley, Executive Director of American Family and Community Services; Mrs. Audrey Blume, Independent Chicago Heights Basic Educational Program; North River Commission Executive Committee; Reverend Thomas Lee, Arch Diocese of the Latin American Committee in Chicago; Charles Julio Julius Copoleske Gregan, anti- . . . and so forth. It goes on and on, and I hope I don't do a disservice to all of these people . . . these organizations that took the time to send this telegram paid for by the people that sent, if I don't mention their names; suffice to say this, this agency is an agency is an agency that services the poor; and the thing that disturbs me is that every time an agency or a program which is designed and does or has the potential to serve the poor who seem to run into tremendous opposition from certain pockets in both Houses of the General Assembly; suffice to say this House has never fallen into the trap of fighting the poor; but it disturbs me, it disturbs me



greatly that an agency with so much potential, with such a mandate from both Governors in the last two terms, an agency which is reaching out to the poor by bringing in indigenous experts to deal with the poor, an agency which is headed by very skilled, intelligent and dedicated young black women, it disturbs me that anybody, anybody who is even slightly cognizant of the problems would fight this agency. I'm going to close now, Mr. Speaker, but at the proper time, I will ask for leave to table Amendment #3 to House Bill 2356."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Would the Sponsor yield to a few questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Taylor: "Ah . . . Representative Washington, I heard you say that in the Committee that there were two Members that left the meeting because they had prior business, otherwise the Bill wouldn't have passed. Could you name those two Members that left?"

Washington: "I didn't say that. I said that if the two Members stayed and voted, Amendment #3 would have been defeated in the Committee because it would've been a tie vote. I will not . . ."

Taylor: "Well, who were the Members . . ."

Washington: ". . . I will not divulge the names of those Members because they had every right to leave, they told me they were going to leave, and they had to leave before the Bill was called. You can check the Roll Call."

Taylor: "Thank you very much, Sir. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir, to the Amendment."

Taylor: "To the Amendment. I rise to oppose Representative Washington's motion to restore that \$1,000,000 to another political office; and I'm sorry that I would have to arise against my running mate; but I happen to know something about poor people myself, since that's where I came from. I . . . tape blank . . . department. I happen to know something about all of the people that he called off that is in favor of this . . . his proposal; and I think that if these



skilled persons is that well needed, I think that the Governor have in his hands the right to put them into the Department of Public Aid. I think that the money could be used there in terms of that flat rent that he's given to the poor people. If he truly wants to help poor people, give them more money in that area. I think that if we do little more for mental health, I think that that is the area that that money is needed. I do not believe that we need a third party in this county today, and especially in the State of Illinois; and that is what this Bill is all about. Now, some of you talk about this not being a political act, but it is a political thing. The Director of the program here, Bennie Johnson, was the man who worked in my area and came to my office to pick up the vote count, and every minute, I mean, he was there. Don't you tell me he is not a politician; and if you want to have a good, strong, vital two-party system in this country today, you will oppose this Bill, you will oppose restoring that money to this budget; and if you ever, ever come to me again and I'm sorry to have to say that and speak about ethics, I have heard some of my good friends, people that I respect very highly, tell me that the Chief Executive has promised them jobs in order that he might be able to save this budget, now, I think that's going for an all-time low. So I would hope that each of you consider what you have been doing and what you are thinking, and what my colleague is speaking for, and I think that if you do it in your own fair conscience, that you will destroy his proposal; and with that I close. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Representative of an area in Chicago, which includes large numbers of re . . . representatives of minority groups and many, many thousands of poor people, and I might mention incidently that very few of them are black, the majority of them are American-Indians, they're Latinos and Appalachian Whites, I have received many letters and calls from the members of my community asking me strongly to vote and to speak against this Amendment. It's disturbing to me to



see this split among those of us who traditionally support the rights and the needs of minorities over what appears to be a political issue and not an issue that's oriented towards the needs of the people who are concerned in this particular Amendment. It's distrustful to me to see numbers of people from Chicago all of whom should be together on this issue who apparently for political personal interests, and I say that with great sincerity, are opposing this Amendment. It's going to be interesting to me to look up at that board and see which of my Chicago colleagues votes against this; and it's also going to be interesting to me to analyze collectively who they are, what their political motivations may be, and if I may say this openly, I'm going to look up there and see how many people who traditionally align themselves with the so-called regular Democrats in Chicago are going to vote for this Amendment, which is in the interests of the people of Chicago, and I believe is not politically motivated but which could serve a very useful and important function. I ask those of you who have been influenced by Members of our party to vote against this without viewing the long-range value of this particular governmental agency to reconsider your own motivations and not to bring about a split in a party which traditionally supports the needs of minorities. This should not be a personal matter, it should not be a political matter, it should be a matter where money is made available for people who need it; and I ask you to get rid of this evil Amendment, which will destroy an agency which has great potential and has already indicated that it can do great good for the minorities and the poor people of the entire State of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this obviously has become a political question. It obviously has become a political question between this side of the aisle and between Members of this side of the aisle; and I think we ought to be going beyond that. As one who has opposed the Democratic Governor on many issues and one who has supported him on other issues, I feel that this is not a matter on which we can be politically motivated, but one in which



we must take the . . . into account the needs of the citizens of the State of Illinois. It so happens that I haven't been involved politically in this matter until now. I have poor people in my area that are on public aid, I have people in my area that I feel are on public aid that should not be there. Now, I'm not going to say the people on public aid don't have a right to be there if they're qualified, but I say that we have an agency in existence by executive order on a Republican order and that's been carried out on the Democratic Governor to advise these people how to subsist in a meager way; and now all of a sudden we have a . . . a situation that has arisen which becomes political. I have to support this program of Senator from Washington, his new title, and I believe everyone in this Body of . . . ah . . . Members of the House of Representatives should do also. Don't let political innovation become a part of a situation when we're trying to take care of the poor of our state. It's imperative that the Governor's Office on Human Resources be continued and expanded to do the job that it was contended to do under Governor Oglivie and now under Governor Walker. I ask everybody whether they be regular Democrats, and I consist of one and hope to remain so, I hope this program is continued and ask that everyone support this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to speak on behalf of Committee Amendment #3, which was adopted in the Committee and is currently be considered by the House. Contrary to certain statements which have been made for the last several weeks, Amendment #3 will not call for a total curtailment of all services that are offered by the Department of Human Resources. The Amendment simply calls for the discontinuance of the branch offices where there have numerous citizens complaints regarding the lack of service by these offices and concerning the lack of productivity by the employees of the office. The Amendment also provides the two important functions performed by the Department of Human Resources will be continued in operation to be performed, in the one case, by



the Commission on Human Relations, and in the other case, by the Department of Local Government Affairs. You've heard statements that this office acts as a conduit for federal funds that is what we propose to do through the Department of Local Government Affairs. This is a good Amendment. It has been offered in response to citizens complaints for lack of service, lack of productivity."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I was shocked to hear the comments from the Gentleman from uptown, my good friend, Doctor Douglas. If he wants to identify me with the regular Democrats, so be it. I also somewhat resent the implications of my good learned friend from Chicago, Representative Washington, who suggests that anyone who supports this Amendment is in effect scuttling minority employment. I cannot . . . I cannot be responsible for the fact that this is the only department in the Walker administration that is hiring minorities. That's the Governor's problem. I've been calling since the Governor was elected and before he was sworn in for greater affirmative action, for greater hiring of Blacks and Spanish-speaking people and minorities. I want to know, where were all of you when Brenita Howell was running for Congress on the west side of Chicago? I supported her in the Primary against the regular Democrats; and where was the Department of Human Resources when Governor Walker frustrated the will and intent of this Legislator when we passed a 3.9% cost-of-living increase last Session; and where is Governor Walker now when we've got a 10% cost-of-living increase which has been assigned to the Appropriation's Committee; and where was Governor Walker when they forced the flat-grant system down our throats and took us out to California ostensibly to investigate the flat-grant system when Reagan's men had been in Illinois all the time and the flat-grant was a fait accompli. I have sat on that Legislative Advisory Committee for 10 years. I've seen foot doctors, I've seen nursing home owners, I've seen slum landlords, I've seen eye doctors get continuance after continuance; but when we ask for one month's time to consider the flat grant, they shoved it down



our throats, and I resent the implication that anybody that votes for this Amendment is anti-minority. As a matter of fact, I think it's just the opposite because Brenita Howell is a lovely young black woman who is being used by this administration; and I would hope that this Amendment would be supported by everyone who does not count and it's tokenism but wants merit operating departments. Let them put Brenita Howell in charge of the Department of Public Aid and get Mr. Edelman out of there and maybe we'll have a humane welfare system in the State of Illinois. No one ever sanctioned them to run around the State of Illinois opposing tickets."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not at all delighted to arise in opposition to my good friend Harold Washington's motion or attempt to kill this Amendment #3. Quite the contrary, I know that Representative Washington is absolutely when he says that perhaps the minority groups in the State of Illinois will suffer a set-back if this Amendment is adopted; but I can't help but go back and history, and that history doesn't extend more than five months ago when all through the City of Chicago and the suburban areas of Cook County, employees of the Department of Human Resources were running round working against candidates who were not Walker people. Now, if anybody is going to destroy the Department of Human Resources, it's the present administration who has foiled . . . foiled the intent of that department to provide the services for which it was intended and for which it was funded. Now, many, many offices, and I'd like to have that administration tell me and answer my four letters to date, how many offices were opened prior to the Primary and then closed right after the Primary? Well, if the administration won't answer that question to me, perhaps I can supply the answers. Not only the Department of Human Resources' employees were running around this state trying to get votes and workers and candidates to run in that Primary, but . . . but employees of the Departments of General Services and other Departments



of State Government were doing likewise. So, Representative Washington, as much as I like you and admire you and respect you, I must insist that I at least shall put the onus right back where it came from on the back of the present administration and let Walker bail out this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Thompson."

Thompson, right there. You're on Don."

Thompson: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I said once I wouldn't speak on this issue. I concur in what Representative . . . Representative Mann, Representative Taylor. I concur in every word that they have said. I just want to drop back just a little bit. Now and then things come to this . . . the floor of this House that the black Representatives here must call a Black caucus. We had a black caucus and Minority Leader . . . ah . . . Davis' office, and I was completely against the Fair Employment Practice law and the Human Resources Bill being tied together. We wanted the Fair Employment Office Bill on its own; and I consider Representative Davis the father of the F.E.P.C. Bill here in Springfield in this floor; and when one of the men from the Governor's office walked in and told Representative Davis that he was not going to remove the F.E.P.C. Bill from the other two and let it stand on its own, when we knew what was going to happen to those Bills, said 'This is the price you have to pay if Representative Washington cannot handle these Bills', and I'm completely against it. I'm bitterly against it. I'm not for sale at any time and he spoke to the wrong man for bargaining. Now, I completely concur in all that has been said . . . ah . . . Representative Mann, and Representative Taylor and Representative Yourell. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, rise to speak in favor of the Amendment. I am one of the candidates who was opposed during the Primary Election, and I personally experienced many of the activities which this particular department and many other of the patronage people who are with our Governor. In our particular area there was an office which was located nearby in



the Dalton Community and three weeks after the Primary Election this particular office was closed. Anyone that was in this area and was familiar with the political activities that took place here knew that this office was used for political purposes and it was used to defeat candidates who were opposed and were not favored by the Governor; and this is not coincidental. Besides this, there was another office, which is right nearby, the Tri-City Human Relations' Council. This particular office also has the name of Human Relations, and they are supposed to be a non-profit making organization. Well, people have been travelling back and forth between the Department of Human Resources and the Tri-City Human Relations' Council constantly, and this Tri-City Council sent out literature every month against candidates who were not in favor with the Governor and were . . . who favored the opposite position; and I know for one that Representative Giglio in my area, Representative Getty and myself, every month saw our names in these releases against us. Now, I say this, Ladies and Gentlemen, ethics should be the highest thing that we have in this state, and we're not talking about ethics right now, we're talking about a department who has abused the privilege that they've had. I think that the Governor's people would be better off and the people of Illinois would be better off if they spend more time to help the poor people, to help the mentally and physically handicapped and to help the elderly people, as we had heard earlier, and I'm very much in favor of this Amendment and this department should go down."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems like today is the day we're bending our spleen on what transpired in the Primary last and though I can concur with much of the remarks of my colleagues, particularly Representatives Kelly, and Yourell and Mann, yet I'd like to add a point or two to this discussion. First of all, I might say that . . . ah . . . nobody was done in more than I was by some of the intrigues in our district . . . ah . . . which . . . Governor's, Lieutenant, seemingly operated from the Governor's office out there



in Dalton or Riverdale; but be that as it may, I don't think we should be in the posture of being vindictive to a department in its fledgling way who must get off the ground and serve the needs of the people. I, for one, feel and have a strong apprehension that government is not meeting the responses and needs of people, and if . . . and if they don't do that, there's really no legitimate purpose for government; and it seems to me that though this office has not really met the criteria that we feel it should've met in servicing its needs to the people, needs which are constantly growing, yet I feel that this . . . ah . . . cut is dilatory as to the government of the State of Illinois, and if anything, the budget proposed is inadequate to meet these kinds of services. So, therefore, what I'm trying to tell you . . . ah . . . Members of the Legislature, is rather than be vindictive, vent our frustrations dues to some of the . . . ah . . . inadequate operations of this department and at the same time work for the best budget we can have for this department because this department is one of the few departments in State Government that can meet on a 1 to 1 relationship with people and give service needs; and, therefore, I'm with Representative Washington in opposing this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to Amendment #3 for the simple reason that the Amendment is designed to destroy the one agency in State Government that is concerned with people in the lower economic brackets of our state. I remember when this particular agency was started under Governor Ogilvie and it was very appropriate and it did what it was designed to do. I think that Governor Walker in his wisdom in continuing this agency showed the type of concern that is necessary to enable minorities, and particular Blacks, to get into State Government. Many of you on this floor will remember that the last study that was made showed that of the more than 50,000 people employed in the various code departments and agencies, less than 6% of them were Black. I can say



to you that that figure is perhaps still holding and I want to emphasize the fact that here we are attempting to destroy in order to reach political revenge the one agency in which intelligent, Black people can use their skills to help strengthen our society. It saddens me to stand here to make this statement; but I would like to see us . . . ah . . . get non-political for a few moments and let this Amendment die where . . . as it should. I do not believe that we would be demonstrating integrity here this afternoon if we as a means of political revenge attempt to destroy the Governor's Office of Human Resources. I would suggest to you that we take government as a whole and you will find that Blacks and other minorities are not employed in no ways in the percentage that they should be; and I would think that Governor Ogilvie and the Governor Walker . . . ah . . . he can get the message; but I . . . what . . . what's attempting to be done here this afternoon is in my view, anti-Democratic, it's not in the best interest of State Government, and I can assure you that if this Amendment is allowed to destroy the Department of Human Resources, many things are going to happen that's not going to be good for none of us in this room because we are saying to the people across this state that we are more interested in politics than we are in giving service to the people. I would urge all of you to think very seriously about this matter and let's defeat this Amendment. It's politically inspired. It affects everyone in the state, and I think that we would be showing intelligence. This type of agency has worked well in Michigan, it's worked well in California and other states; and I think this would be a throwback to throw it out at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think I should say something on this matter; and the reason I think I should say something is because this House has been kind to me, this House has been good to me, and when I start to say what I'm going to say, I want to thank every Member of this House from the bottom of my heart and I want to say to you I love those who are for this Amendment and I love those who are against this Amendment."



Hear me now. Not only am I a preacher, listen to me and hear me, not only am I a preacher with my lips, hear me now, I'm a preacher with my heart; and the reason I'm a preacher with my heart is because it's impossible to be a preacher with your lips, a sincere preacher with your lips unless you are a preacher with your heart. For my Lord says, hear me now, my Lord says out of the abundance of the heart, the mouth speaketh. So you can't be one of his dedicated preachers unless you are a preacher from the bottom of your heart. Now, I . . . I understand my colleague's concern, and I hope they won't think I'm lettin' down, but I must speak out of the abundance of my heart. I put in House Bill 2709, the F.E.P.C. Bill, and the reason I put in that Bill, and I thought I made it clear to everyone else, and if I didn't, then I apologize. I said when I put in the Bill that all agencies had to stand on their own merits, on their own feet. His excellency, the Governor, said for me and said, 'You ought these things stand like this, they won't attack my Bill' and that sort of thing; and I said, 'Well, your excellency, will all due respect to you, I don't think the Legislature is so naive that I can jump up on the floor and say vote for F.E.P.C. and they'll turn around and vote for your gore or whatever it is'. I think they ought to stand on their own . . . ah . . . legs, and then I called a Black caucus, hear me now, and I said, I don't know whether I said the right thing or not, but I want to know whether or not you fellows think I was right, and they says, 'All right, we will, can you get an appointment with the Governor?' I got such an appointment; and they told the Governor that they thought I was right, that these agencies should stand on their own. Now, when I put in my F.E.P.C. Bill, I had no intention of destroying any other agency. Let it stand on its own, and if anybody thinks I did, then I'm sorry. In other words, I sat in the Appropriation's Committee, and the Chairman is here, I sat in the Appropriation's Committee, I appreciate the fact that the distinguished Chairman has called my Bill. I said in that Committee how much respect I had for this young man here. Do you realize that I knew his father, his father was



Roy Washington was a contemporary of mind, a learned lawyer. I knew him, a city prosecutor, if you please, and like father like son, he's a brilliant young man, whatever they say about him, he has one of the great minds of this General Assembly. I love my friend, Jim Taylor, when he came out of the bottom of the ladder, I went to him and said, 'What you gonna' do, Jim?', and he said, 'I'm going to run', and I said, 'And I'm going to be with you'; and every person and I think originally all of the Black people came from down my way down on the north end of Chicago. I went to everyone I knew and said, 'I'm for Jim, we got to put him in'. I did all of those things, I think he will bear me out. I never intended to quash any other agency. Now, the truth of the matter is, somebody brought me an article from the Sun Times here dated March the 12th, 1974, which it says, 'Governor Walker peddles the independence to the shoppers', it says, 'Governor Walker plans to campaign', and I'm not reading it all, but this effects me in my district, 'Governor Walker plans to campaign for Annie Rogers running for the Senate and Larry Brook running for the House of Representatives', that's in my district. I recognize, and hear me now, any man's right, and I think all of us ought to recognize to file against us and to campaign against us. We have a heritage here now, ancestors left it to us, it's a great two-party system; and we have primaries, and I've never voted to destroy the primaries, because I think if a man is a Republican, he ought to be proud of it, and if he's a Democrat, he ought to be proud of it and he ought to be willing to submit his candidacy to the cool, calm judgment of the people, do you hear? Democrats and Republicans alike, this is my belief. I'm speaking out of the abundance of my heart. It's not the question of whether Governor Walker was for me or against me. I . . . I even respect his right to be against me or to be for me; and if . . . that's right, some things were said, Mann said this is the price you have to pay, and I told him I wasn't going to pay the price, I was going to go through with F.E.P.C. because I've been through with it for all these years and I was going to ask my colleagues to support my Bill. They did, it passed. It's



gone over to the Senate, and if it's defeated, the blood will be upon the hands of the Senate, do your hear me? Not upon this proud House, his hands, because when I go to other liberals . . . when I go to other states, I stand up and brag about the proud House of Representatives in the land of Licoln, I stand up and brag to them. The House has passed a dozen F.E.P.C. Bills to have the Senate mutilate them and send them back here. Finally we finally got one moved. Thanks to Clyde Choate here, thanks to many others who helped and thanks to Senator Arrington, thanks to Johnny Meyer's and . . . other . . . over in that Senate to help give it to us. This is what happened. I'm proud of them, do you hear, that we got this. Now, I was a party of prod and possum, a party to that conference that Governor Ogilvie called, I was here when he called the conference. I subscribed to it; and I said I thought it would be a good thing. I went along with Governor Ogilvie. I went along with his appropriations, and if anybody . . . somebody came here and said, 'Daley told you to get up and destroy'; Mayor Daley, God bless his heart, I've been praying for him every day, I believe the Lord has heard my prayer, because he brought him back, he hasn't said a word to me about destroying anything. Do you hear? This comes out of the abundance of my heart. I am saying to you here and now I was a party to this. I vote for it under Governor Ogilvie, and I didn't vote against it because Governor Ogilvie was a Democrat. I didn't vote against it because Republicans ran against me. I subscribed to the things my brilliant friend, Bobby said I love him. I believe that Bob Mann's charity is as broad as the walks and the weaknesses of his fellow man. If I didn't believe it, I wouldn't say it. I stuck with him on the flat grant. Hear me now, I stuck with him; because I thought that the magic word in welfare was need. Welfare was based on need, and need had to be determined. I stuck with him on this. I was a party to this. I vote for it under Governor Ogilvie. What cause will withhold me to vote against it now? I appreciate every last one of you, I love every last one of you. I don't question you the way you vote, but I have to live with myself. If I supported this



under Richard Ogilvie, if Governor Walker's done anything to me, I forgive him, if anybody in this House has done anything to me I forgive him because I said in the beginning, if I could speak with the tongue of Angels and have not love in charity, I'm nothing but sounding bad . . . I forgive them. Finally, finally, let this . . . hear me now, I wasn't sent down here to be a pall-bearer at a brilliant Black woman's feud, do you hear me, and I will refuse to do it irrespective of anything that's been done for me or anything that's been done against me; and I'm going to support the motion of my distinguished friend."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Davis is really and truthfully a hard man to follow. Not only do I respect Corneal Davis as the longest living, Black elected official to a state Legislature in this country, but Representative Davis knew me before I knew myself. He knew me when I was a very, very young boy on the slums of the south side of Chicago; and I've always been very proud of the fact that the Lord in his wisdom was good enough to me to allow me to be elected to the General Assembly and I could take my seat beside him. I'm proud of that fact; and I think that Representative Davis in his wisdom has cut to the heart of what we're discussion here today. As one of the two Black State Representatives that are . . . that's a Member of the House Appropriation's Committee, and as one of the two Members who did not vote on this particular Amendment when it came before that Committee, a number of things have been said about it, a number that has said . . . have been said pro and con on it; but I would like to say this, in all due respect to the side that has spoken here today for it and the sides that have spoken here today against it, the culprit of this whole matter is downstairs on the second floor of this edifice. I don't know if he's listening to this today, I don't know if he's in his office; but if he is, I hope that he is proud of the fact that he has brought brother against brother, sister against sister, in an issue that should not have that



kind of a struggle in a family of fair. I think that this is the kind of political shenanigans that we in this state and this age, in the year 1975, should be able to be above and too should be able to look towards the interests of the people of this whole state, north, south, east and west. I did not vote in that Committee because I had many concerns. I'm not going to go into all of them today; but I will say this, in contrary to the many things that have been said in Chicago about me, in contrary to the many things that have been printed in the newspaper about my motives and what was involved. I think the Governor should realize that if he is going to be political, and there's nothing wrong with him being political, he should not have been political in an agency that the most vulnerable of any agency that he has in State Government. I think that he should have realized or his advisor should have realized that to put before his people, to put the neediest people on the line, that they would be the people that would bear the blunt of the decisions . . . the political decisions that they were making with one of the most stupidest acts that I have ever been confronted to . . . confronted with in my 42 years. I am not going to vote to gut this agency, I'm going to support Harold Washington's motion to do . . . to put back the money that this agency should have. This agency has a just in me in this state, it has the function and the function can be fulfilled; but we cannot fulfill it if our present Governor or our past Governor, as I'm sure the Speaker that's on the podium now know I've told the Department of Human Resources under Governor Ogilvie, if we are going to continually have a political system run, make political decisions, and then walk, run, ride a motorcycle around the state and wear a white hat and talkin' about our holier than Thou, I think we should begin to realize that the people that suffer the most and the people that have . . . have to bear the blunt of what these political decisions and these political are, are the people that can't come in and speak for themselves. I think it is deplorable, but I think one thing that we should be able to do in this House and in this General Assembly is rise



above the small people and the small man that makes decisions of this type and realize that there are little people out there that cannot come here. There are little people out there that had nothing to do with this decision, and that people that was involved politically are not the people that's going to be hurt if we gut this agency. He will simply find someplace for them to go and they will still be there to do his bidding; but the people that will be hurt the most is the people that will be put back out into the street to suffer the slings and arrows of the ghetto that I rise from, the ghetto that I was brought up in and the ghetto some-day I may have to return to; and I think that if nothing else in this House, we can arise above that kind of political shenanigans. I think we should restore this . . . restore this appropriation, but I think with that I'm sending this one message down to that office on the second floor, I will be here again next . . . next year, if the Good Lord is willing, and if the same kind of political shenanigans goes on in this agency or any other agency, if I am still a Member of the Appropriation's Committee, I will sponsor Amendments and legislation to cut out the appropriations for any acts considered political in those agencies; but I rise to ask you at this point and time to rise above the political small man in this . . . and the people sitting on the second floor of this building and consider the great harm and ultimately . . . the people that will suffer the very, very most is the people . . . that's the small people that are being served and the people that has been hired and working hard in this agency to try to bring some people into the mainstream of society. Thank you, and I solicit your support in . . . in supporting the motion by Representative Harold Washington."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, to close the debate. Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Dunn: "I wonder if we might recess for the purpose of a Democrat Conference?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."



to close the debate."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'll be very brief in closing. I think this Bill and the ensuing debate has been extremely healthy for this General Assembly and for this state because I think some things were brought out whether they are valid or not that have been steaming in the minds and hearts of a lot of us and should have been articulated on this floor where such issues should be debated. I didn't take anything untoward about any of these remarks. I didn't take anything personal about any of them, although they may have been construed as directed toward me. I'm not angry with anybody. I have been here four terms . . . five terms, I hope to continue to be here. I can't recall in all honesty one time that I have ever voted for or against a measure because of some individual personal problem that I had with some Member of this Legislature. I think I have tried to live a life in which I have dealt with issues and not personalities. I'm going to continue to do this; and anyone who tries to imply directly, indirectly or even remotely that I am opposed to some individuals and I am carrying somebody's water, doesn't know me very well. The issue before us is how many agencies are we going to destroy on the federal, state and local level agencies designed to assuage the ills of the poor and the impoverished. That's the only issue here. I am not going to tell you and I wouldn't insult your intelligence . . . that this is a perfect agency. It is not. As a matter of fact, we don't have a perfect agency in this state, not a one. They're all kinds of problems, financial problems, administrative problems, political chicanery; but that's no excuse for emasculating this kind of an operation, and anybody as the two preceding Speakers said who can't lift themselves above their own particular political problems and vote for the poor, is blind. I urge you to vote 'yes' on this motion that I have to table, Amendment #3 to House Bill 2356, which will put this much-needed money back into this Bill and let this with all of its potential, and it has tremendous potential, continue to try to do its work. I urge you to vote 'yes' on my motion to



table, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, has moved to table Amendment #3 to House Bill 2356. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take a majority of those voting on the question. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I desire to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Mann: "No one holds . . . ah . . . Representative Barnes, and Representative Davis, and Representative Washington, and Representative Caldwell in higher esteem than I. The Governor's Office of Human Resources did not come in to my district to seek to defeat me or oppose me. My position is not based or grounded in . . . any personal peak. My position is grounded in the fact that the Governor's Office of Human Resources has 64 people making between \$10 and \$15,000 a year. I've never seen any of them on the streets of Woodlawn. I've never seen any of them on the streets of Oakland. I've had three telephone calls this morning from three job holders. If we want to talk about concern for the disadvantaged and the poor, let's talk about the hundreds of thousands of Black and Spanish-speaking children who are starving on .31 cents a day under our welfare system; and let's talk about the aged blind and disabled living . . . languishing on fixed incomes. Where is the Department on my 10% cost-of-living increase? Where is the Department on the inequities and common school education for minorities? Where is the Department on subsidization by the government of slum landlords? Where is the Department on the destruction, the brutal destruction of Woodlawn, and the shoveling of Woodlawn residents into other areas causing further overcrowding? Where is the . . . the Department of Human Resources as a spokesman, if you will, as a beacon, for the needs of minority citizens across the street, white, black, green, yellow, brown, orange, pink, you name them? Like the Bourbon kings of France, they learn nothing and forget everything. I have been lighting bonfires for a year and a



half sending messages by pony express, coach, air, train and bus, trying to get this administration to live up to its campaign promises to speak for social change, and it is not forthcoming. It wasn't me that said 1,000,000 public aid recipients were cheaters. I didn't say it. I didn't defame a 1,000,000 poor people, disadvantaged, and black residents of this state. It wasn't my voice that . . . that did; but I didn't hear one peep from anybody in this administration, except to say, 'Well, they starved under Ogilvie and they'll starve under us'; and it was a lousy department under Ogilvie and it was a lousy department under Walker. There wasn't one centilla of justification for its existence. I would stand with my brothers in seeing to it that every minority member, every member be relocated into a vital, ongoing department; but this is messing over blacks, it's tokenism, it's humiliating, it's using minorities for the wrong purposes; and for that reason I urge a lot of red lights up there."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holloway, Bob Holloway."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I seldom attempt to take the time of the House unless I have something that I want to say, something that I believe in; and I want all my friends across the aisle to believe me when I say that I love them very much, and as I sat here over the past few moments and . . . and listened to some of them speak, my heart went out to them because I'm sure their hearts were not in the words that they uttered. Some of my friends have talked about politics and I think that's good so I'm in politics, and may I allude to politics for a moment? I'm a Republican Ward Committeeman, and year after year, as we go to the people on election day, 90% . . . 9 out of every 10 people in my communities who go to the polls and votes, vote the Democratic ticket; and it's rather amazing to me to sit here today and to hear my Democratic friends castigate one another and castigate the Governor and with the sum total of what they are attention to do to castigate the people who are the foundation of their power base and the real reason most of them



sit here today; and I'm hopeful that my words and their words, and I hope that the picture that this presents travels 200 miles north and that the people in my community once get an opportunity to see what happens to the 9 out of every 10 votes that they cast; and I stand here and say this not with pleasure, but I hear in my mind's eye many voices coming from the south side of Chicago, the west side of Chicago, East St. Louis, Peoria, Springfield, where Senior Citizens have wants and needs, where Black people have wants and needs, where . . . poor people of all races and all creeds have wants and needs; and that's what G.O.R.E. is all about. It may very well be true that G.O.R.E. has not done the very best job that could be done; but that's what it's for, and that's what we are here for, to see to it that if it is not what it should be, then it becomes what it should be. Why should the Blacks, the poor, the old, the infirm, why should they suffer because some people have the gall . . . I suppose I should put in a Bill to abolish the Attorney General's Office of the State of Illinois because the man who runs against me holds one of the biggest jobs in the Attorney General's Office in the State of Illinois, and I suppose on this same basis, that's the kind of Bill that I should put in; but, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is serious business that we're dealing with here today. Young people, red, white and blue across this state and across the nation, their minds are confused because they don't understand, and not so long ago in the City of Los Angeles, California, there was a classic in monumental confrontation of some of the finest young people to come out of the communities of which they had lived, their minds were twisted and when it was over, there was ashes and there was death; and these are the kinds of things that cause American young people to become twisted because they don't understand why the poor and the hungry and the old and the infirmed and the sick, they don't understand why they have to suffer this kind of indignity; and if you haven't gotten the idea, I stand in support of Representative Washington's motion to table this insidious Amendment, and I say to all of you, the next time there's a classis confrontation involving some of the finest



youth of American, gone . . . gone bad and gone wrong, think of the words of Hemmingway who said, 'Run not to see for whom the bell tolls, it tolls for thee'. Thank you, Mr..Speaker, my vote is 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just a parting word in the explanation of my vote. I'm not here to even determine or even to comment on the motives behind the conduct of men. God is able to pinned straight the motives behind men's conduct; and I leave it up to a God who does all things well. This is one thing I know about him, God treats everyone alike. Hear me now, and you subscribe the human principle, he treats all of us alike. He's the same yesterday, today and forever. Finally, let's think of this nation, America is caught up in a hopeless dilemma, this is the way it seems, and the average American is disillusioned, disillusioned, confused about the energy crisis and why we don't have enough gas and food, disturbed about the many situations, alarmed at the great crime wave that seems to be sweeping our nation, and let me tell you this, not only is Chicago, but the major cities of our nation is sitting on top of a smoking, smouldering volcano, do you hear, and any agency . . . any agency that is trying to help the disadvantaged citizens should not be destroyed; and I am happy to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Mr. Clerk, would you take the Record, please? On this question there are 110 'ayes', 46 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; the Gentleman's motion prevails. Further Amendments? No more?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Committee Amendment #4, amends House Bill 2356 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, very briefly I'm going to move to table this Amendment also. What it does is to transfer from the Governor's Office of Human Resources to the Illinois Department of Human Relations the administration and the



operation of a volunteer resources program and the grants for southern Illinois voluntary services. It is simply a further attempt to emasculate the agency. There is no . . . absolutely no evidence at all that the Governor's Office of Human Relations . . . Resources has not fairly administered this program; and at the proper time, Mr. Speaker, I ask to table Amendment #4 to . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . table Amendment #4 to House Bill 2356. Are there any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #4 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Washington, amends House Bill 23 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, #5 was my back-up Amendment. I see no necessity for it. I move that it be tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #5. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Hanahan, amends House Bill 23 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we heard of all the reasons why we need the Office of Human Resources. We heard all the good reasons why we should not have voted not to table Harold Washington's . . . ah . . . on Harold Washington's Amendment and his motion. I think about 90% of the Members' votes on both sides of the aisles recognize that there are needs for the citizens of Illinois that should be listened to and should be handled and should be . . . people should be helped. The problem I've got in justifying the Governor's Office of Human Resources is based on the fact that there is no Governor's Office of Human Resources in almost 90% of the state, that this great services that some of the Speakers were talking about are just extended in very minute amounts to the state, not all over. This Amendment hopes to correct that. This Amendment will allow 59 offices, ombudsman offices to be opened in the State of Illinois under the direction of the four Members of the General Assembly, the three-House Members and the Senate Member, and to allow enough money to not only have



an office to provide the ombudsman services, but to provide an administrative assistant and a secretary, the necessary equipment to operate this office. Now, if you talk about needs of citizens, I could show you in McHenry County some elderly citizens that have just as much need as some citizens in East St. Louis or in the south side of Chicago or in Cairo, Illinois; there's citizens up in Rockford that have just as great a need as those citizens in these other cities. There's citizens in Elgin and in Aurora and in Joliet, in almost every community of this state. My Amendment to this Bill would help correct this kind of situation and citizens will have an opportunity to go to an office set up expressly for ombudsman services. These offices would handle, not political decisions, but legislative . . . nor legislative decisions, but ombudsman services that the Office of Human Resources has really created to provide, to cut away the fat and the red tape out of the bureaucrats and get to the answers that citizens need to have handled for them, to cut away the fat of finding out where their driver's license is, why their unemployment check hasn't been brought up; and not to be misconstrued with legislative needs, and not to be misconstrued that our offices that we have in our district for legislative service to be intermingled with the offices of the ombudsman. I think the Amendment would also correct another thing. That you cannot expect the executive branch of government, one portion of it, to help correct defects in another portion in the executive branch of government. These offices would be under legislative direction and under the Membership of the General Assembly, both the House and Senate, would have some impact and . . . for cutting through some of the red tape our citizens find in trying to correct their ills in our society. I think it's a good Amendment. I think it might be early in its concept. I hated to see it become a part of a political, you know, hassle on the last Amendment; but it's the best I could do at this moment to bring to the people of Illinois, in all the areas of Illinois, just not in some areas, the services that they're desperately needing. This Amendment won't cost that much more money. We're talking about an increase of about



\$1,000,000; but you ask some citizen who hasn't got his unemployment check, some citizen who hasn't got his social security check where he goes and what he does if he doesn't happen to reside very close to an existing office. This Amendment would correct some of their situations and I move to adopt Amendment #6."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this purpose is, of course, laudible, and I would think that ultimately we would have available to every Member of this House sufficient facilities to have an ombudsman's office of his own. We simply don't have it. Now, this is an unworkable Amendment. It would provide one office for four Legislators in each of the 59 districts. Well, you know yourselves what turmoil that would create, different parties, lack of privacy, lack of staffing, quarrels about . . . ah . . . priorities, et cetera and so forth. I think the purpose is laudible, but I think it's simply premature and it needs more study. Also if you pass this Amendment, you would, in effect, be reversing what you've just done; and in light of that, Mr. Speaker; I move that Amendment #6 to House Bill 2356 be tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Do you wish to close the debate, Representative Hanahan?"

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this office could certainly be workable. We're not talking about a political office. Some of the reason why we had a problem on the last Amendment was because there was political involvement and political chicanery involved in the Governor's Office of Human Resources. How in God's name do you expect the executive branch of government to correct the executive branch of government. That's the question. This Amendment would certainly go a long way in correcting that. I'm not worried about sharing my office with three Republican Members of the General Assembly in the 33rd District. I am more concerned about the citizens that are elderly and the citizens that can't have the opportunity to travel into Chicago on the R.T.A. system to have their needs solved. Citizens all over this state do not have the opportunity to go to the Governor's Office of Human Resources.



This Amendment would not take away all the things and for all the reasons why so many green lights were on the board just recently on the last Amendment. This Amendment would help correct ever further the political chicanery and get it out of the system and lets the ombudsman services work in behalf of all the citizens all over the state. I oppose the motion to table."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, has moved to table Amendment #6 to House Bill 2356. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Let's get on the Roll Call and see if we can get some Bills out of the way today. Have all voted who wished? It's open, Tom. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 62 'ayes', 22 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; and . . . ah . . . the Gentleman's motion to table Amendment #6 prevails. Further Amendments? Geo-Karis 'aye'. Further Amendments? None? Third Reading. House Bill 2358."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2358, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2358"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Committee Amendment #1 cuts approximately \$66,000 from the budget of the Department of Insurance, ordinary and contingent expenses. Ah . . . The Department believes that part of that cut is justified and another part may not be, but we do not oppose Committee Amendment #1 inasmuch as I have an Amendment #2 that will attempt to restore part of that cut. The Gentleman has offered . . . is there discussion? . . . the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2358. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Mugalian, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . amends House Bill 2358 as amended."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."



Mugalian: "Amendment #2 adds back \$34,000. It provides for one store clerk to be restored at a salary of \$7,500 and also and more importantly it adds back two examiners too whose total salary, including social security and retirement, would come to \$26,500. The Committee Amendment . . . money is for a clerk, and that decision was based on the . . . on the policy of having these messenger clerks employed by the Department of General Services, that is a transfer of employees. It was in inter-departmental matter; but one of these four clerks that were eliminated by Amendment #1 worked solely within the department, three were messenger clerks, but the fourth was and is a store clerk. It performs many duties . . . duties that are promptly performed by clerk position and which would otherwise be forced upon higher grade personnel. The other cut, the more important one to us, represents two examiners in the financial regulatory branch. They would work in the audit division. The reason given by the staff for this cut is as follows: 'The Department has just undergone a policy change that requires insurance companies to submit a C.P.A. audit report annually so that routine examinations will stop, since routine examinations will stop, the staff feels no new examiner II's will be needed in fiscal year '75'. Members of the House, the facts may be true, but the conclusion, I think, is unwarranted. We have a new policy whereby insurance companies are newly required to submit a C.P.A. audit report annually. This is a real breakthrough for Illinois; but the two new examiners are now . . . are not intended to do routine examinations. The new C.P.A. requirement gives the department a great new resource, but a resource that will be wasted without the two examiners that are needed to evaluate this valuable new data. May I have some order, Mr. Speaker, I can't hear? A substantial amount has been spent by the state in fiscal year '74 to develop the electronic data processing for the department. We have vast new data, in amount and quality that is now usable. We also have holding company registration . . . registrations that can be monitored by our new system. All this new data available for E.D.P. provides a new company computer data base. Adding the



annual C.P.A. audit, and then adding the tremendous leverage of programming an analysis, we will have an exponential increase in the ability to perform the mission of the financial regulatory division. In short, the department and the Legislature has invested time and money in the past in order to create a comprehensive internal audit procedure. Cutting off these two examiners requested, will impair the entire program. Briefly, and this is important, this is the division of the department that seeks to make early identification of equity funding type cases. The beneficiaries of this new program, now threatened by a penny-wise pound foolish cut of only \$35,000, \$26,000 really, of the policy-holders of our state. It is not an overstatement to refer to the city-saving situation. Clearly, the State of Illinois has assumed the responsibility of preventing the in . . . the insolvency of insurance companies doing business in this state. The department's budget is tight. We accept a cut of some \$30,000. Amendment #2, and I move its adoption, by restoring one clerk and two examiners, would in my opinion, prevent the non-utilization of newly developed department sources and resources, and thereby cost us far more than the additional \$35,000 budget restoration; and the prevention of just one company breakdown will repay this cost many times over.

Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to point out to the Membership that this . . . ah . . . Bill and Amendment was . . . were adopted in the Committee by a 23 to nothing vote. Ah . . . All we did, they ask for five new positions, five new examiners, and we granted them two of the five, and . . . ah . . . it was our understanding that the . . . ah . . . Department of Insurance agreed to this Amendment at that time. Not only are they going to rely on C.T.A. audits . . . ah . . . insurance companies as the good Representative pointed out, but also is included in electronic . . . electronic data processing; and for that reason, I wish to ask both sides of the aisle thought that two new employees rather than five was sufficient to do the job



properly. So I would suggest that this motion to adopt Amendment #2 be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman wish to close the debate?"

Mugalian: "Just one comment, we're talking about less than 1% of the total department budget to implement a brand new program that can only help the depart . . . the policy holders of this state, and I submit . . . submit that the Department of Insurance did not agree to this and has fought for the last four weeks to get a restoration of this . . . ah . . . of these two important posts."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2358. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Fary 'aye'. On this question there are 20 . . . Houlihan 'aye' . . . on this question there are 22 'ayes', 43 'nays', none answering 'present'; the Gentleman's motion fails. Further Amendments? Lemke 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Mugalian, amends House Bill 2358 as amended."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lun . . . ah . . . Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Ah . . . I move to table Amendment #3, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved to table Amendment #3. Are there any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #3 is tabled. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative J. J. Wolf, for what purpose do you arise, but before I get you, . . . ah . . . Representative C. L. McCormick informs . . . ah . . . informs me that he lost his glasses somewhere on the floor. If anyone has found a pair of eyeglasses, they may be C. L.'s, unless he found them already, I don't know. Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolf, J.: "On a point of purpose . . . personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just got a . . . ah . . . a little flyer placed on my desk a while ago being invited to a cocktail and buffet from the men from E.R.A. and our rules require



that any material that's placed on our desks have the name of the person who is caused to be distributed, and I just wonder if Ron Hoffman is at it again puttin' this stuff on our desk?"

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Okay, House Bill 2358, Third Reading. House Bill 2365."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2365, McClain, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Registration and Education. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 23 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Amendment #1 was a . . . ah . . . Committee Amendment, it was an agreed Amendment; and although it did cut the budget a little bit, we can live with it and we have no problems. So I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2365. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, McClain, amends House Bill 2365 on page 2 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 is a line item of \$5,000 for the purchase of Illinois arts in Museums; and I urge the adoption of Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is rather a peculiar Amendment for a peculiar request, the purchase for . . . the purchase of Illinois art by Illinois artists for \$5,000. I think this is a bad practice, I don't know how he thinks we could . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . ah . . ."

Washburn: ". . . could be purchased by the state, and I see no reason why arts should be purchased any more than any other prize by the state for display somewhere in some particular time. I would certainly oppose this . . . ah . . . Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman . . . you want to answer that or . . ."



ah . . . well, you'll have an opportunity to close . . . okay, Representative . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my question is much the same as Representative Washburn's. Ah . . . I don't know if Yamashita would qualify as an Illinois artist, but it sounds like maybe they're trying to sneak him in through the back door. Ah . . . I would ask Representative McClain . . . ah . . . is this that type of art or just what is this \$5,000 for?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion?"

McClain: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker; Representative Collins, this is the Illinois art by Illinois artists and it's a . . . if I can an . . . sort of answer . . . ah . . . Representative Washburn's question also, what this really is is . . . ah . . . four years ago, Bud, . . . ah . . . Governor Ogilvie had an appropriation of \$8,000 for this same kind of . . . ah . . . purchase of Illinois art . . . Illinois artists . . . by Illinois artists and now it's gone down this year to \$1,200. So all we're trying to do is to increase it to \$6,200, which still doesn't even come close to Governor . . . Governor Ogilvie's past commitment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the . . . Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm still confused. Where are we going to hang this?"

McClain: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman wants to know where you're going to hang it."

McClain: "Ah . . . the Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "I assume he means the picture."

Collins: "No, I . . . I am serious. If we're going to buy art work, are we going to put in a . . . store them somewhere or are we going to hang it."

Speaker Telcser: "Why don't you put some in his district."

Collins: "Or we could hang it up there with the Speakers."

McClain: ". . . Representative Collins, we'll hang 'em in the museum . . .



. . . ah . . . we want . . . we wouldn't want to add to the beautiful color of the Speakers."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

McGrew: "I was just wanting to speak on the Amendment just a moment."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

McGrew: "It seems as though our distinguished Representative Collins has been consistent in opposing the Illinois Art Council and consistent in his lack of appreciation for the finer arts as well as what it would do; so it seems to me that it's kind of irrelevant where we hang it at this point because he wouldn't appreciate it anyway."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill . . . the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Gentleman yield to another question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Skinner: "How many paintings are we . . . or statues or whatever are you suggesting that we buy with this \$6,200?"

McClain: "Ah . . . Cal, it all depends from three to ten, it all depends on their importance and the . . . ah . . . the value of this piece of art, you ought to know that, I would think."

Skinner: "Yeah, what kind of art pieces are you talking about?"

McClain: "All the way from water colors to prints to . . . ah . . . sculptures, I mean, oils, and you could just keep going on and on."

Skinner: "Well, it's conceivable I might like to look at them. Where might they be found?"

McClain: "Museums. If you . . . if you visit the local museums here here in the state museum, you'll see 'em, Cal."

Skinner: "They aren't . . . they aren't circulated throughout the state offices or . . ."

McClain: "They can be."

Skinner: "They can be put out on loans?"

McClain: "They can be, yes."

Skinner: "Okay, thank you."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2365. All in favor signify by . . . do you want a Roll Call on this? . . . all those signify by saying . . . saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #2 fails. Further Amendments? I asked you if you wanted it. Do you want a Roll Call? If someone can move to reconsider, all right. The Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill 2365. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Getty . . . Getty . . . All right, have all voted who wished? . . . be here all day . . . the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones."

Jones, J.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I think we need to understand what we're doing here is that the . . . my mu . . . state museum right next door, if you haven't been there, you really should go, that have a chance to purchase art objects, which they also have matching funds from private citizens who match the monies that the state puts in here. Recently and not too many years ago, they purchased an art object for \$300, which is now worth \$10,000, investment in the facilities of the museum and I think that this needs more green lights on there . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted wished?"

Jones, J.: ". . . and to help . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Take the Record. On this question there are 79 'ayes', 49 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion fails. Further Amendments? Didn't you move to adopt it? Oh, I'm sorry, okay. On this question there are 79 'ayes', 49 'nays'; the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #2 prevails. Further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2367."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2367, . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, . . . ah . . . 2367 has been read a second time, Amendment #1 was adopted, and we're now on Amendment #2, right?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, with



respect to Amendment #2."

Collins: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 was unanimously adopted in the Appropriation's Committee; however, it . . . it was in improper form in that it was in a lump sum appropriation, it was not broken down into a line-item appropriation. So at this time, Mr. Speaker, I would move to table Amendment #2, so that I may offer Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #2 to House Bill 2367. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Collins, amends House Bill 2367 as amended."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Amendment #3 is the result of a unanimous recommendation by the Commission on Economic Development, and as I say was . . . it was supported unanimously in the House Appropriation's Committee. This is an appropriation of \$150,000 to fund the state . . . the state's share of the Department . . . of the Division of Science and Technology within the department. Ah . . . This is a department which originally was funded federally . . . ah . . . which would provide technical assistance to small business. Ah . . . It now has reached the point where the state must share in the funding with the Federal Government; and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I just merely wish to inform the House that the . . . the department is opposed to this Amendment because the professional engine . . . the associ . . . the Society of Professional Engineers in Illinois have indicated their opposition to it and the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce has indicated their opposition to . . . ah . . . providing these funds."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? Representative Collins to close."

Collins: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, very briefly, I might point out that the Chicago Association of Commerce and Industry . . . ah . . . is in favor of this . . . ah . . . appropriation. Ah . . . It is a



Bill that was . . . ah . . . or a concept that was devised by Robert Pedesta of . . . ah . . . in his days in the Department of Commerce as . . . ah . . . a trial program within two states and it has been very successful in the State of Illinois in assisting small businesses to the extend that . . . ah . . . approximately 130 businesses have been helped by professional engineering assistance to these companies, and the department even today is working with about 40 of these companies. Ah . . . It is a . . . it is a program which is rather in government programs in that it has, not only been successful in assisting business, but has actually generated more tax revenue to the State of Illinois than has been put into the program. Ah . . . It is very conservatively estimated in the first full year of this program . . . ah . . . over \$2,000,000 in additional sales have been generated in Illinois, generating at least \$168, \$170,000 of additional tax revenues. So I submit to the Members of this House this is a program that has worked, will continue to work in the future, and is well worth the small investment that we will make in it; and I would urge the House to join me . . . ah . . . in moving for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, I join with Representative Collins in asking that this Amendment be adopted . . . ah . . . we talked about it. I think that it has more than amply paid for itself in increased taxes in the state, and increased employment and certainly will help the state."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2367. All . . . all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2405. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, before . . . ah . . . everyone leaves I think we have a couple of motions . . . ah . . . to postpone action; and I wonder if we could make them now. I would move, Mr. Speaker, that those Bills that are on the Calendar that would expire today be



kindly extended to Monday of next week before they expire."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections to using the . . . not that I know of . . . use the attendance Roll Call or the affirmative Roll Call on the Gentleman's motion? Hearing no objections, all Bills all motions . . . ah . . . hearing none the Gentleman's motion prevails."

Walsh, W.: "And now, Mr. Speaker, I would move to suspend the provisions of Rule 18, which provide that there must be 6½ days posting for Bills so that Bills posted anytime today, even after we're in Session, . . . ah . . . will be eligible to be heard in Committee next week."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I've got a question, does that include any Committee?"

Walsh, W.: "What?"

Lechowicz: "Any Committee?"

Walsh, W.: "Yes."

Lechowicz: "On any Bill?"

Walsh, W.: "Yes."

Lechowicz: "I don't think we will . . . do you want to just hold that for a second?"

Walsh, W.: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "Are you holding that, Representative Walsh?"

Walsh, W.: "Well, I'm holding it until Representative Lechowicz checks with the Leadership. I . . . that was my understanding of what we'd agreed to. Yeah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Washburn, do you want to make an announcement while we're waiting for . . . ah . . . Representative Walsh?"

Washburn: "Ah . . . Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm delighted that we're going to have some additional art . . . ah . . . displayed here, but . . . ah . . . Appropriation's Committee will meet at 8:30 on Tuesday morning in Room 400, and I wish to suspend the appropriate rules so that the following Bills can be heard, House-Bill 2354, Representative Taylor; 2361, Schisler; 2366, Pierce; 2852, Berman; Senate Bill 1265, Keller;



1266, Stedelin; 1283, Shea; House Bill 2090, Garmisa; 2341, Hoffman; 69 . . . 2669, Juckett; 2702, Rayson; 2703, Rayson; 2762, Katz; 2793, Katz; Senate Bill 1381, Terzich; House Bill 2207, no, that's the end for Tuesday, excuse me, House Bill 2793, Katz; Wednesday, 8:30, Room 400, Senate Bill 1381, Terzich; House Bill 2207, Choate; 2280, Shea; 2281, Shea; 2383, Choate; 2804, Choate; 2810, Grotberg; 2849, --50 and --51, Washburn; 2304, Shea; and on Thursday in Room 400 at 8:30, House Bill 2347, Getty; Senate Bill 1276, D'Arco; House Bill 2199, Porter; 2200, Porter; 2607, Borchers; 2675, Grotberg; 2839, Borchers; 2853, Williams; and 2854, Williams. There will be additions to this which will be announced next week. So I ask for the suspension of the appropriate rule."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections to suspension of Rule 18 for the purpose of hearing the Bills Representative Washburn just read? Hearing no objection, we'll use the attendance Roll Call as the affirmative Roll Call with respect to the Gentleman's motion. Now, that other problem resolved? Let's go back to that. Go back to House Bills, Second Reading. Well, Walsh is talking to Shea, and maybe we can call a couple of Bills. House Bill 2143. Second Reading, House Bills."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2405, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "21 . . . no, 2143, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "You don't want 2405?"

Speaker Telcser: "I want to go back, Flinn wants to get his now."

Clerk Selcke: "Oh, okay, which one?"

Speaker Telcser: "2143."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2143, a Bill for an Act authorizing the reorganization of certain sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2143 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment #1 is the one that . . . ah . . . takes away a constitutional problem and it's a Committee Amendment, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered . . . Amendment #1 to House Bill 2143. All those in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Committee Amendment #2 amends House Bill 2143 on page 8 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to yield the microphone to Celeste Stiehl, who is really the Sponsor of this Amendment, and after she speaks, I'd like to speak against the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 to House Bill 2143 provides that of the five commissioners to be appointed by the provisions of House Bill 2143 no more than three of these commissioners can be of the same political party. For well over a century, east side levy and sanitary district has been the most horrendous example of corruption, mismanagement and misuse of taxpayers funds, the like of which the country has never seen. It is a system of government so corrupt and so broken down that nobody could believe it unless they were actually there to go through it. Now, I think that this is the third time in the past two Sessions of the General Assembly that some Member has been before this House to try to bring about some reform to this corrupt, horrendous, scandal-ridden Body; and if we are truly to have reform, if we are to bring about some semblance of confidence in this system of government, it seems to me that we should have a really strong Bill, an improved Bill that will guarantee some form of test and balances because the main outstanding deficiency throughout these years has been that there has been no system of checks and balances. Mr. Speaker, I move for approval of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I must agree with most of what my colleague from the other side of the aisle has just said. I would like to make a mild protest to this Amendment because for one reason only, it interjects politics into



the Bill, and the Bill in its present form has nothing to do with either party. I would not say that such an Amendment would be fatal to the Bill if it is passed. So I would . . . ah . . . raise my objections to the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered . . . the Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill . . . the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I also oppose this Amendment. If the Amendment were . . . were really truly an Amendment to give minority representation, I probably wouldn't oppose it, but the way the Amendment reads, in one of the counties it provides 50% representation, and that, of course, is not minority representation. So, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I must oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady has offered to move the adoption . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative . . . or the Gentleman from St. . . . ah . . . from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very interesting subject in our area and we've talked about politics at great length today with the Governor's office. We listened to that for some one hour on this floor, and I'm sure that the City of Chicago and the Governor are great politicians, but I would like to invite you to come to southern Illinois and see politics really at its finest hour and the corruption in the east side levy district is nothing more than politics and it has been under the control of the Democratic Party for some 60 years; and all we're trying to do with this Amendment, Mrs. Stiehl, is to take care of that little bitty problem. I would like to quote to you very briefly, the testimony, a small paragraph that was given before the Committee of Townships and Counties on Wednesday, May 29th, I quote, 'It would be impossible for me to explain to you how deplorable the east side levy district operation is. For years it has functioned as a power base for the Democratic Party in St. Clair and Madison County. Instead of providing strom and sanitary and water drainage, they have provided non-working jobs for precinct



committeemen, and other non-working personnel for the purpose of raising funds to be used in elections'. Now, this is a statement, it's not sour grapes on my part because I am a Democrat and have supported the county Democrat ticket in the past. Robert D. Jackson, Mayor of the City of Cahokia. It's quite obvious that every good citizen in Madison and St. Clair County is aware of this problem, and the only way to solve the problem permanently is by the Amendment that Mrs. Stiehl has presented to this Body and I urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have seen several newspaper articles about the levy district in question here and the fact that it is controlled by a political machine and whether it is a Republican or a Democratic machine really doesn't make any difference. What is necessary here is change in what Mrs. Stiehl does with this Amendment is provide for change. The best way that I know of that this change can be effected is by providing that there is minority representation on this board. This is the only possible way that we can stop the corruption of this taxing body, this district; and I urge that everyone here support the Amendment sponsored by Mrs. Stiehl."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment # . . . the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "I hoped you'd give me some kind of equal time. Thank you, Art'. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like for everyone to take notice of what the last Speaker, Mr. Walsh, so ably said. If we can clean up the corruption of any district by appointing minority representation, then I think it's high time we did that in suburban Cook County and in DuPage, and in Will and in Kane; and I want to be sure, Mr. Walsh, that when I'm in there with Amendments to provide that your sanitary districts and your other county board member districts, your districts supported by the judges have minority representation to the extent of 50% representation as this Amendment acts in my county that at least one



of the trustees of the two should be a Republican. I would also like to point out, Mr. Chairman, that Governor Ogilvie appointed a Republican Member as president of this trust : . . of this district, and there was never any more corruption than there was in the year that a Republican was president; and when Mr. Walsh tells us that it's been under Democratic control for 60 years, he forgets two years ago when a Republican was Chairman of this levy board. I tell you further that 62 years ago when the Republicans controlled the district, it wasn't any better. Now, I ask you to remember that if you want to vote for this Amendemnt, then it's only fair that we amend all of the Acts throughout the State of Illinois, all of the districts throughout the State of Illinois, including the Soil Conservation Districts, and have Democratic representation on every one of these districts where the Republican country boards are now appointing all Republicans. So this is a two-edge sword, Gentlemen, if you want to embark on this kind of a creature and a career, then I say do it; but I tell you that this will not solve the corruption. When you had a Republican chairman in this district two years ago, it did not solve the corruption; and I plan to have a Bill next Session, which I did not introduce because of our intent to only address ourselves to revenue and appropriation matters that is, I believe, the solution to the problem. However, I ask you remember your own districts, and tell me that you want the Republican districts to have minority Democratic representation from now on. If that's true, we'll do that. We'll do that in Decatur. We'll do it every place; but let's not do it here unless you're prepared to do it every place. Decatur wasn't too good of an example, by the way, and I know that, Tip'. So let's be prepared to do the same thing in DuPage, and Will, and . . . and the suburban areas of Cook County with those districts. So I urge you to defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Stiehl, to close the debate. Representative Stiehly, do you wish to close the debate?"

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, in closing, I would just like to state that my



Amendment does not specify that they be Republican. I have only one interest in mind, and that's for all of us to get together and work together and do something to bring about reform of this horrendous Body of government. It is an exception in the whole country, there is no system of government so corrupt, and I say this in the interest of the taxpayers and the health and the welfare of the people in that district. I move for approval of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2143. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; all in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Judy, vote me 'aye'. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote just briefly. I agree with the comments the Lady made in closing, but it does not go to the issue of this Amendment. She said that we've got to clean up this district and we've got to do something to change it. Well, here Amendment does not do that, the major Bill is . . . is . . . I'm not talking about voting on the Bill itself, I'm talking about the Amendment; and I urge a 'no' vote unless you want every district that's appointed by county boards . . . don't forget that this Bill as it exists will change and there will no longer be elections, and the county board will make the appointments. Now, we're saying to the county board you can't appoint this to whomever you want to, Mr. County Board, you've got to appoint one Democrat and one Republican. Now, what about the county board in DuPage? Are they willing to appoint to their boards one Democrat for every Republican they appoint? If so, then we're going to have to have these kind of Amendments, and I wonder what kind of support we'll get then. I urge the people here to vote 'no'. When a county board makes an appointment, that board should be able to appoint the people to do a job, not whether or not they're Republican or Democrat; and that's true whether it be DuPage County, or Madison County, or any other county, it could be Johnson or any county."



Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur. Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Yes. I feel it's necess . . . necessary to answer Mr. Calvo on DuPage County because of the honesty that it is represented, it is bi-partisan up there, we do have Democratic votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Take the Record. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk."

Unknown: "On what board? Wait a minute . . . I'd like to ask, I'd like to ask him what board has . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 72 'ayes', 58 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Flinn, amends House Bill 21 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, when this . . . when this Bill was heard in Committee, I promised Representative Stiehl that I would offer Amendment #3, which takes out part of the . . . ah . . . two-county are from getting into the district; and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2143. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2405."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2405 . . . a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Check and see, is Ted here? Well, Taylor isn't here, I don't see Lechowicz here."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . yeah, there's Ted . . . House Bill 2405, a Bill to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies of State Government. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 2405 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2405 is a reduction of \$63,000. It's covered under the Department of Financial Institutions for a dollar amount of \$36,900, Commission on Banks and Trust



Companies for \$14,500, Commission on Savings and Loans of \$11,600; for a total again of \$63,000. It was a combined Committee Amendment. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 . . . Amendment #1 to House Bill 2405. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. 2416."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2416, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of General Services. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 2416 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment. It reduces the appropriation by \$2,488,100; and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think it's \$2,458,300."

Krause: "Wait a minute. You're right, what did I say?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2416. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Juckett, amends House Bill 2416 on page 7 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is an agreed Amendment. This is for a bust of Everett Dirksen, and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2416. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Krause, amends House Bill 2416 on page 2 . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; and Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #3 is an addition of \$45,000 to the appropriation. Again, this is an agreed Amendment; I move for the adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2416. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Krause, amends House Bill 2416 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; and Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #4 is the Amendment for printing. It increases the appropriation by \$400 and some odd thousand dollars; I've discussed this Amendment with both staffs, both the Democratic staff and the Republican staff; and have clearance on the Amendment. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there's a misunderstanding on Representative Krause's . . . ah . . . judgment on this \$400,000 . . . ah . . . Amendment for additional printing. I'd like to point out . . . point out that \$400,000 is lot of money for additional printing, and that the Economic and Fiscal Commission has made a study of this project, and they have recommended that . . . ah . . . this project not be undertaken at this period and time. In fact, it's right here, 'It is recommended that the expansion of paper and printing operations be postponed until a cost center accounting system is established', and I would . . . ah . . . either ask the Gentleman to . . . ah . . . withdraw this Amendment or certainly would urge its defeat."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Gibbs: "Representative Krause, this is the Amendment I talked to you earlier about, and it is for \$476,700, is that right?"



Krause: "No, Sir, \$455,200."

Gibbs: "Well, am I reading it wrong here, it says General Revenue \$476,700?"

Krause: "That's in General Revenue. Yeah, I'm listening, but I can't find where you're getting the figures. I've got the total . . ."

Gibbs: "I got it at the bottom of the . . . line 30."

Krause: ". . . there's two totals, one for the General Revenue, \$91,000, and for the revolving fund, \$364,200."

Gibbs: "Well, what does it mean under the . . ."

Krause: "That's a total of \$455,200."

Gibbs: "Well, what does this do then, does this take all the different printing services from these different agencies and combine them under G.S.A.?"

Krause: "It takes 16 of them."

Gibbs: "16?"

Krause: "Yeah."

Gibbs: "Are . . . are they all in agreement on this?"

Krause: "I don't really know . . . where there . . . there are Amendments being offered . . . going to be offered to the other appropriations that I'm not going to handle, it's going to reduce their appropriation so that this can be done and also, Representative Gibbs, I'm sure you know that the printing facility for the Department of Mental Health was destroyed by fire, which must be taken over by General Services, so . . . and that's the major one anyway . . . is the one for the Department of Public Health, so the others really are . . . are minor; and when I . . . when I cleared this with the . . . ah . . . with the staff members . . . ah . . . it . . . actually the Republican Staff told me they would not oppose the Amendment on the House floor and the Democratic Staff told me they would support the Amendment so . . ."

Gibbs: "I'm not opposing, I'm just asking, are these other agencies then decreasing their appropriation by the amount that they previously obtained for printing?"

Krause: "According to my notes, that's correct."



Gibbs: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . will the Sponsor yield to a question or five? Well, what the hell are we talking about, Bud?"

Krause: "Huh?"

Grotberg: "What are we talking about? New money for printing in the State of Illinois?"

Krause: "No, no; no, no, John, I think what we're talking about is the fact that the Department of General Services will be taking over the printing for several of the agencies that are doing their own printing now and General Services will be doing the printing for them."

Grotberg: "And there's a centralized . . . ah . . ."

Krause: "Printing."

Grotberg: ". . . printing thing. There are now 39 presses within arms length of where we're standing, is that correct?"

Krause: "No, I . . ."

Grotberg: "In separate . . ."

Krause: ". . . I don't have any idea how many are in . . ."

Grotberg: ". . . well, I'm telling you there are, 39."

Krause: ". . . close enough I could throw a rock at."

Grotberg: "Yeah, and there are 599 exactly xerox machines in our system, 599."

Krause: "Well, those are . . . those are mostly under General Services anyway, the xerox machines, except for what the House has, the House and Senate."

Grotberg: "I would like to ask you to hold this on Second Reading just where it is and you and I have a meeting."

Krause: "Why don't . . . why don't you come on over and we'll . . . ah . . . I'll . . . I'll . . . I'd like to move it because we're gettin' late."

Grotberg: "In about the last 48 hours, I've become the printing expert of the State of Illinois, and I'm very deeply concerned."



Krause: "Well, here, John, let me say this to you that . . . that I think once this appropriation gets to the Senate, this is gonna . . . they're going to take another good look at this end of it; anyway I think you can . . . you can voice your objections and we need to move it because of the time that's comin' on it. You know, it's still . . ."

Grotberg: "I think I want to vote for the Amendment ultimately, but I want to know more about it and it needs a better hearing early in the morning when there are some people on the floor of the House, Bud."

Unknown: "Well, wait, Teddy wants to say something."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, we're talking about two different funds. Ah . . . This Amendment re . . . requests \$364,200 from the Paper and Printing Revolving Fund and provides \$91,000 in General Revenue . . . from the General Revenue Fund for a consolidated printing operation within the Department of General Services. As noted in the analysis the department proceeded with their printing consolidation and July 1 the equipment of personnel from 13 state agencies print shops will be operating under the auspices of the Department of General Services; and the equipment and staff will cost approximately \$364,200 and again that money is coming from the Paper and Printing Revolving Fund. The department plans to bill agencies for printing to cover this amount; and, John, I think that will alleviate your concern."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Representative Lechowicz, I appreciate that information. We have a basic problem, nobody knows, including the Sponsor, nor does the Appropriation's Committee staff, Mr. Lechowicz, exactly what we're talking about; and I'd like another 96 hours or whatever it is . . . ah . . . 'til we come in on Monday; and I don't think in this particular case it will cripple anything, will it? Would you hold so that we could have con . . . conference? I'm coming in Sunday night and it'll give the boys here a chance to work it over on both sides of the aisle. This is not a partisan . . . this is not a partisan thing at all."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Krause."

Krause: "Well, John, mov . . . how about moving it to Third and then I'll agree to pull it back to Second and anything you want to do with it?"

Grotberg: "How can you beat that? Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2416. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Do you want a Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment # . . . Amendment #5, Krause, amends House Bill 2416 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I agreed to table Amendment #5. I, therefore, move to table Amendment #5."

Speaker Telcser: "He moves to table Amendment #5. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; Amendment #5 is tabled. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, 5 tabled. Senate Bills, First Reading, what one we got, where do we start? Do you want to . . . huh? . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "It's on Supplemental, isn't it? Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Houlihan: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I address this question to you, you might be able to get an answer from the Majority Leader. He indicated we'd probably try to finish up around 3 o'clock. I was wondering a number of Members have lost . . . ah . . . are we . . . what are we planning on doing for the rest of the day, are we going to take any other important matters or are we going to move along and take care of state business or what?"

Speaker Telcser: "You state some Members are lost? Huh?"

Houlihan: "I think some Members are not . . . are not here. They be in their offices . . . they may be in their offices . . . ah . . . could you find out what the plans are for the next . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I . . . conference going on now, maybe they're deciding now."

Houlihan: "All right."



Speaker Telcser: "Jim, I . . . Representative Walsh. Let's do Senate Bills, First Reading, and then maybe their conference will break up and we'll get right back to 'em. Let the Clerk read the Senate Bills a first time."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1346, Skinner, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. First Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . Senate Bill 1502, Hirschfeld, a Bill for an Act to add Section 24 to Real Estate, Brokers and Sales and License Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1503, a Bill for an Act to amend Section 11-42-1 of the Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1504, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1505, a Bill for an Act to add Section 25 to an Act relating to the practice of dental surgery and dentistry. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Are we going right down in the order of this Calendar on these or do we skip some?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . I'm reading the ones where the Sponsors . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk is reading the ones where the Sponsors have identified themselves."

Shea: "Okay, would . . . I'm sorry, Mr. Clerk, would you just give me the numbers that you've read up 'til now?"

Clerk Selcke: "I read 1346, 1502, ---3, ---4 and 1505."

Shea: "Thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . 1506, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1507, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Optometric Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1508, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Physical Therapy Registration Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1509, an Act to regulate the practice of Podiatry in the State of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1510, an Act to amend the Veteranarian Medicine and Surgery Practice



Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1511, a Bill for an Act to amend the Psychologists Registration Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1512, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Nursing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1513, a Bill for an Act to amend the Social Workers' Registration Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1514, an Act to regulate the tax of Public Accounting. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1515, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporters' Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1516, an Act in relation to regulation of business and location of schools. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1517, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Beauty Culture Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1518, an Act to provide for the licensing and regulating²defection . . . detection of deception examiners. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1519, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to regulation of persons engaged in the practice of funeral directing and embalming. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1520, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1521, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I noticed that there was written in pencil on the Supplemental Calendar a statement with regards to 1520. Could you tell me what the significance of that is?"

Clerk Selcke: "That is for our information to show that the Senate passed that by a three-fifth's vote."

Shea: "In other words, there's no indication or an attempt to identify here the requirement of the number of votes on any of these Bills, I hope?"

Clerk Selcke: "No, it's just a notation for our office."

Shea: "All right, thank you."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1521, a Bill for an Act . . . ah . . . to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1522, an Act to amend the Illinois Architectural



Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1523, an Act to amend the Illinois Professional Engineering Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1524, an Act to amend the Illinois Structural Engineering Act. First Reading of the Bill. 1525, an Act to amend the Illinois Landscapers Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1526, an Act to amend the Nursing Home Administrative Licensing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1527, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary and Registration Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1612, a Bill for an Act to add Section 37.1, --.2 and --.3 to the Northeastern Planning Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, Representative Walsh, Representative Houlihan has made an inquiry with respect to what else we're going to be doing today. Do you wish to . . . ah . . . can you tell him what our plans are?"

Walsh, W.: "Well, we're going to make an effort to suspend the rules, as I understand, so that . . . ah . . . the six and a half day posting rule will . . . ah . . . not be applicable, and . . . ah . . . it's my understanding that we'll be adjourning . . . ah . . . when we dispose of that, and announcements and a few other minor matters."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "That's fine, it's those minor matters that always intrigue me . . . ah . . . Mr. Majority Leader."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, when you're ready . . . do you want this on . . . more Second Reading, are you ready for that? Oh, some more First Reading, Senate Bills."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1559, an appropriation for the expenses of the Department of Public Aid. First Reading of the Bill. Now, are these on the Supplemental? 1612, I already read that one. 13 . . . yeah, I read that. Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's go back to House Bills, Second . . . Second Reading. Representative Gene Hoffman here? No. House Bill 2490."

Clerk Selcke: "What . . . what reading?"



Speaker Telcser: "We're going with House Bills, Second Reading, until Walsh gets ready to make his motion."

Clerk Selcke: "2490. House Bill 2490, a Bill for . . . a Bill for an Act to amend Section 5 of the Illinois . . . of the Hospital District Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Schneider is not here. House Bill 2504."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2504, a Bill for an Act to . . . creating the Data Information System Commission and defining its powers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Is Representative Waddell back there? Yeah, okay. House Bill 2505."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2505, appropriation for Data Information Systems' Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendments amends House Bill 2505 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2505. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Fleck, no. Pappas? What does this mean . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Dave Jones?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . does he want it . . . Bob got it circled. I assume he doesn't want it, I assume the Sponsor doesn't want 2519 called, I don't . . . do you want . . . do you want 25 . . . no, out of the Record. Grotberg is not in his seat. Bud . . . where's Washburn? Jerry, do you want to handle my Bill? Can I trust you, Jer'? House Bill 2573."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2573, an appropriation for the expenses of the Office of State Appellate Defenders. Second Reading of the Bill. One . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Take the Amendment . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . one Committee Amendment . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "Come on. The Gentleman . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2573 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Committee Amendment #1 is a reduction of \$58,370 . . . \$58,307 . . . ah . . . it was moved by Representative Totten. It was a . . . ah . . . an agreed Amendment, and I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2573. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. On . . . Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Ah . . . If I'm handling the Bill, if I make a motion to table . . . ah . . . is that all right?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm handling it now."

Shea: "Oh."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's go to House Bill 2541."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2541, a Bill for an Act to amend Section 4.1 of an Act relating to Circuit Clerks. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Yeah. Any from the floor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "2606, Catania is here."

Speaker Telcser: "20 . . . ah . . . Representative Catania, do you want 2606 called? No, take it out of the Record. 2628, Dave? No? Out of the Record. Representative Arnell on the floor? Are there any Amendments to 2654, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, House Bill 2654."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2654, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 2717."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2717, a Bill for an Act making additional appropriation to the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of



the Bill. No Committee Amendments . . . one Committee Amendment . . . what is this . . . no, one . . . no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "No Committee Amendments. Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, McGrew . . . ah . . . who is that? . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "McGrew?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . McGrew, a Bill for an . . . an Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2717 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "At least he recognized me as not McGoo. Ah . . . Amendment #1 simply changes the effective date to July 1, 1974."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman . . ."

McGrew: "I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2717. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. 2786."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2786, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Commission on uniform legislation of the United States. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 2802."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2802, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Charlie, do you want 2815 out of the Record? Collins . . . out of the Record. Where are we? What do you want? Ah . . . Mr. Clerk, can we return 2654 to Second? Apparently, the Sponsor didn't want it called. 2654."

Clerk Selcke: "Hold it on Second?"

Speaker Telcser: "Just hold it on Second the way it appears on the Calendar. That's . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Out of Record, just take it out."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . take it out of the Record. Arnell, I don't know



what he's . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I don't recall adopting the adjournment Resolution. Ah . . . Mr. Clerk, have we?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Joint Resolution #73, 'Resolved by the Senate of the Seventy-eighth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring, herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, June 6, 1974, it stand in adjournment . . . adjourned until Monday, June 10, 1974 at 1 o'clock p.m.; and when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, June 7, 1974, it stand adjourned until Monday, June 10, 1974, at 4 o'clock p.m., Central Daylight Savings Time'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh." The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution #73. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the adjournment Resolution, I move that the House do now adjourn until Monday at 4 o'clock p.m."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do now stand adjourned until the hour of 4 o'clock p.m., Monday afternoon. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House stands adjourned. the First Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asked leave to have the attendance Roll Call of the Regular Session used as the attendance Roll Call for the First Special. Hearing no objections, that will be the attendance Roll Call. The Gentleman now moves . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Messages from the Senate."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution in the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Joint Resolution #9, adopted by the Senate, June 6, 1974;



Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Now, I'll . . . now, we'll read the Resolution to adopt . . . adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to read the adjournment Resolution?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, well, that's what this is. Now, do we got any other business . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "No. Okay, now, we'll read the adjournment Resolution."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Joint Resolution #9, 'Resolved by the Senate of the Seventy-eighth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the First Special Session, thereof, the House of Representatives concurring, herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, June 6, 1974, the Senate adjourn until Wednesday, June 12, 1974, at 12 o'clock noon; and the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, June 7, 1974, it stand adjourned until Monday, June 10, 1974, at 4:30 o'clock p.m., Central Daylight Savings Time'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, moves the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution #9 in the First Special Session. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, does now moves that the First Special Session do now adjourn until the hour of 4:30 p.m., Monday. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the First Special Session does now stand adjourned. With the leave of the House, we'll reopen the Regular Session for the purpose of reading Committee Reports. Is that okay with Jerry?"

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Washburn from the Committee on Appropriations to which House Bill 2345 was referred. Reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended to pass. Mr. Ru . . . Washburn from the Committee on Appropriations to which Senate Bills 1323, 1351, 1539 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendations the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended to pass. Mr. Wolf from Veteran Affairs and Personnel and Pensions to which House Bills 2181 and 2437 were referred. Reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended to pass. Mr. Wolf from Veteran Affairs and Personnel and Pensions to which House Bill 2673 was



referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Wolf from Veteran Affairs and Personnel and Pensions to which Senate Bill 576 was referred. Reported the same back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended to pass. Mr. Blair from the Committee on Schools to which House Bills 2861, 2864, 2870 were referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills be referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills for assignment to a standing Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, now moves the House do stand adjourned. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House stands adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			1.
1		Speaker Blair	House to order
		Dr. Johnson	Invocation
		Speaker Blair	Roll call for attendance
2		Clerk Selcke	Committee reports Reads Committee reports
		Speaker Blair	Messages from Senate
3-4		Clerk Selcke	Reads messages
		Speaker Blair	House Bills, 2nd reading. HB 2079
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2079, 2nd
		Speaker Blair	
5		Skinner	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading HB2195
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2195
		Speaker Blair	
		Jones, D.	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	Adopted, 3rd reading. HB 2216
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2216
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading. HB 2269
6		Clerk Selcke	Reads 2269
		Pierce	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	Adopted, 3rd reading. HB 2278
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2278
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2279
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2303
7		Speaker Blair	
		Washburn	Wants HB 2203 TOOR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Speaker Blair	HB 2303 TOOR.	HB 2344
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2344	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2351	
		Speaker Blair		
8		Sangmiester	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair		
		LaFleur	Not prepared	
		Speaker Blair	TOOR momentarily	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2352	
		Speaker Blair		
9		Craig	Moves adoption	
		Speaker Blair		
		Schlickman	What's it do?	
		Craig	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair		
		Lechowicz	Would like CA #1 tabled	
10		Speaker Blair		
		Washburn	Maintain CA #1	
		Speaker Blair		
		Giorgi	Majority leader's attention	
		Speaker Blair		
		Giorgi		
		Speaker Blair		
11		Craig	Emergency measure!	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Parliamentary inquiry	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-7-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	3.
		Lechowicz	Discussion
12		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Blair	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 to 2352 prevails
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	
		Speaker Telcser	Moves CA #2
13		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	Please explain
		Craig	
		Speaker Telcser	Explains CA #2
		Kosinski	
		Speaker Telcser	Inquiry
		Craig	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	Mix-up with CA #1
14		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	Majority of votes
		Clerk Selcke	CA #2 adopted
		Speaker Telcser	Reads CA #3
		Jones, D.	
		Speaker Telcser	Explains CA #3
		Clerk Selcke	CA #3 adopted

GENERAL

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	4.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Rigney	Yield? Question	
15		Holloway, J.	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washburn	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
16		Waddell	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #4 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2351	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Sangmiester	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
17		LaFleur	Explains CA #1, moves adoption	
		Speaker Telcser		
18		Lechowicz	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
19		Hanahan	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
20		Walsh, W.	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
		LaFleur	To close	
		Speaker Telcser		
21		Choate	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		LaFleur	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser	Poll absentees request	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
22		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 fails	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Explains Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washburn		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Sangmeister	No opposition	
23		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted, 3rd reading. HB 2348	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2348	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Boyle	CA #1, explains	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis		
		Boyle	Discussion	
24		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Boyle	Explains CA #2	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #3	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Cunningham	Table Amendment #3 and #4	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 and #4 tabled	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #5	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			6.
25		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	Explains Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	No objection
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #5 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #6
		Speaker Telcser	
		Keller	Explains Amendment #6
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	
		Keller	Discussion
26		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #6 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #7
		Speaker Telcser	
		Keller	Explains #7
		Speaker Telcser	
		Boyle	Question
		Keller	
		Boyle	No objection
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #7 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #8
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	Table Amendment #8
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #8 is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #9



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			7.
27		Speaker Telcser Cunningham	Explains Amendment #9
		Speaker Telcser Boyle	
		Cunningham	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	#9 adopted, 3rd reading HB 2356
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2356
		Speaker Telcser Washington	Explains CA #1
28		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Telcser Washington	Explains CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #3
		Speaker Telcser Washington	Opposed to CA #3. Wants to table
29-30		Speaker Telcser	
31		Taylor	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Taylor	Question
32-33		Washington	Discussion
		Taylor	Speak to Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Taylor	Opposes motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas	Opposes
34			



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	8.
35		Schraeder	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
36		Madigan	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
37		Mann	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
38		Yourell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Thompson	
		Speaker Telcser	
39		Kelly	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
40		Rayson	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
41		Caldwell	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
42-43		Davis	
44-45		peaker Telcser	
46-47		Barnes	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn	Recess?
48		Speaker Telcser	
49		Washington	To close. Moves to table
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	Proceed



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
50		Mann	Explains	
		Speaker Telcser		
51-52		Holloway, B.	Supports motion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Davis	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads CA #4	
		Speaker Telcser		
53		Washington	Moves to table Amendment #4	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #4 is tabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads CA #5	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington	Moves to table CA #5	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #5 is tabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #6	
		Speaker Telcser		
54-55		Hanahan	Explains Amendment #6	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington	Motion to table #6	
		Speaker Telcser		
56		Hanahan	To close, opposes motion	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails, 3rd reading HB 2358	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB 2358	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mugalian	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
57		Speaker Telcser		
58		Mugalian	Explains Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
59		Washburn	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mugalian	To close	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #3	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mugalian	Moves to table Amendment #4	
		Speaker Telcser	#4 tabled, 3rd reading. C.L.	
			McCormick lost glasses	
60		Wolf, J.J.	Point of personal privilege	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2365	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads HB 2365	
		Speaker Telcser		
		McClain	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		McClain	Explains Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washburn	Opposes	
61		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins	Opposes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		McClain	Answers	
		Speaker Telcser		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> 11.
		Collins	Where we gonna hang it?
		Speaker Telcser	
62		Collins	
		McClain	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
63		Skinner	Question
		McClain	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	Roll call vote
		Jones, D.	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 prevails, 3rd reading. HB 2367
64		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Moves to table Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 is talbed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Explains Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	Department is opposed
		Speaker Telcser	
65		Collins	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 adopted, 3rd reading



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-7-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
66		Walsh, W.	12. Motions
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Walsh, W.	Suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Walsh, W.	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
67		Washburn	Announcement. Moves to suspend rules #18
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails. HB 2143
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2143
		Speaker Telcser	
68		Flinn	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Flinn	Yield to Rep. Stiehl
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stiehl	Explains CA #2 to HB 2143
		Speaker Telcser	
69		Flinn	Agrees somewhat
		Speaker Telcser	
		Krause	Oppose
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walters	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
71		Calvo		
		Speaker Telcser		
72		Stiehl	To close	
		Speaker Telcser	Roll call vote	
		Calvo	Explains vote 'no'	
73		Speaker Telcser		
		LaFleur		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Calvo		
		Speackr Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #3	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Flinn	Explains Amendment #3	
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted, HB 2405	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2405	
		Speaker Telcser		
74		Lechowicz	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads 2416	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Krause	Explains CA #1	
75		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz		
		Krause		
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			13.
		Krause	Explains
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #3
		Krause	Explains
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Krause	Explains
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Misunderstandment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Gibbs	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
76		Gibbs	Question
77		Krause	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
78		Grotberg	Yield?
		Krause	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
79		Grotberg	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Krause	
		Grotberg	
		Speaker Telcser	#4 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			14.
		Krause	Moves to table Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #5 is tabled
80		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills, 1st
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SENate Bills, 1st
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	In order?
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion
		Clerk Selcke	Repeats to Shea
81		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Inquiry
		Clerk Selcke	
		Shea	
82		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Effort to suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills, 1st reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SENate Bills, 1st
		Speaker Telcser	House Bills, 2nd
83		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2490
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2504
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2505



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			15.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Waddell	Moves adoption Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2573
84		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Moves Amemdment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Adopted, 3rd reading
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2541
		Speaker Telcser	Third reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2654
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
85		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2717
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Expalins Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2786
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2802
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading. Return 2654 back to 2nd reading. TOOR
		Walsh, W.	Moves to adopt ajournment resolution
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SJR #73
		Speaker Telcser	SJR #73 adopted



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
		Walsh, W.	Moves House stand adjourned	
		Speaker Telcser	House stands adjourned	
		Speaker Telcser	1st Special Session	
		Speaker Telcser	Messages from Senate	
87		Clerk Selcke	Reads messages	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	SJR #9 (adjournment resolution)	
		Speaker Telcser	SJR #9 adopted. 1st Special is adjourned. Re-open Regular Session. Committee reports	
88		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee reports	
		Speaker Telcser	House stand adjourned	



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 7, 1974

11:30 O'CLOCK P. M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
THIRTY-SIXTH LEGISLATIVE DAY
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

JUNE 7, 1974

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES