

1.

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. The invocation will be by Doctor Johnson."

Doctor Johnson: "We pray. Your mercies fall fresh upon us each day, oh God, just as the morning dew waters our fields and lawns. But it is so easy for us to forget because we get bogged down with sameness. We have the same life, the same health, the same interests, the same friends, the same colleagues, the same work, the same Bills, the same Committees, the same arguments. Remind us, in spite of it all that each morning brings fresh mercies to us and affords new opportunities for the kind of service and relationship to friends, colleagues and tasks which will enrich both them and us. You have provided another opportunity for such enrichment in this session. As we thank You for Your presence in our midst, grant that we use such opportunity in a way that bring Your benediction upon us and what we here do. We ask it through Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for Attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred in the House with the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, to wit; House Joint Resolution 106."

Speaker Blair: "Committee reports. One moment please. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, will the record show that Representative Macdonald is absent because of illness."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Journal will so indicate. Messages...er...Committee Reports."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Harpstrite from the Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources to which House Bill 2007, 2204, 2231, 2232, 2383, 2552, 2553, 2562, 2585, 2586 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills be... Bills do pass and be rereferred to Appropriations. Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture and Natural Resources to which House Bill 2477 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended, do pass. Mr. Harpstrite, from Agriculture and Natural Resources to which House Bill 2667 was reported reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bills 2106 and 2428 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriation to which House Bill 2196, 2350 and 2676 were referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and Bill, as amended, do pass. Mrs. Dyer from Higher Education."

Speaker Blair: "One...One moment please. The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate desires attention."

Choate: "Sorry, I'm a wee bit late, Mr. Speaker. But would you have the record indicate that Representative Schisler is absent because of illness, Representative Fennessey is absent because of being a paul bearer at a funeral."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate."

Clerk Selcke: "Mrs. Dyer, from Higher Education to which House Bill 2050, 2055 and 2302 were referred, reported the same back and were ordered. Mr. Tuerk from



Industrial Affairs to which House Bill 2368 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass.

Mr. Tuerk, from Industrial Affairs, to which House Bill 2819 and 2820 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass and be rereferred to Appropriations. Mr. Rose from Judiciary I, to which House Bill 2588 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass.

Mr. Pappas from Motor Vehicles to which House Bill 1693 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended do pass. Mr. Pappas from Motor Vehicles to which House Bill 1833 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Pappas from Motor Vehicles to which 2369 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass.

Mr. Pappas from Motor Vehicles to which House Bill 2822 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass and be rereferred to Appropriation. Mr. Pappas, from Motor Vehicles to which House Bill 2823 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass and be rereferred to Appropriations. Mr. Randolph, from Revenue to which House Bill 2009, 2633, 2731, 2770 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Randolph,



from Revenue to which House Bill 2146, 2147 were referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass. Mr. Randolph, from Revenue, to which House Bill 2272 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do not pass. Mr. Randolph, from Revenue, to which House Bill 2715 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Randolph, from Revenue, to which House Bill 2716 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which Senate Bill 1477 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. If you'll look at your Calendars just a minute, I want to call attention to an error on the Calendar. On page 3...ah...the third Bill from the top, the Calendar says 2626. That should be 2616. And then on page 5 of your Calendar about half way down the page it shows 2616 and it should be deleted out. It's not a Third Reading Bill. We've still got it open..."

Speaker Blair: "House Bills, Second Reading. Mr. Douglas here? Mr. Boyle here? Mr. Boyle? Mr. Garmisa here? Mr. Molloy? There we are. 2107."

Clerk: Selcke: "House Bill 2107. Molloy. A Bill for an Act to add Sections 24 and 45 to and to amend Sections 1 through 38 and so forth of the Credit Union Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2107, page 9



and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Molloy."

Molloy: "House...ah... This Amendment actually removes language from the Bill at the advice and consent of the Advisory Board. I ask your support."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is on the adoption of...ah...Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Shea, do you want yours?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2117. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2181. Mahar. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 2181 on page 2 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 is an Amendment to clarify the language of the Bill. It was passed unanimously by the Committee. I urge it's adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2268. Friedland. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 3.09 of the Senior Citizens Disabled Property Tax Relief Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. All right. 2296."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2296. Deavers. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1114. Boyle. A Bill for an Act in relation to reclamation of abandon mine land. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1114, on page 1, line 23 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment authorizes the land, after it has been reclaimed to be transferred at the direct of the council to a city, county or combination of the two...ah...was agreed upon in Committee and I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is on the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1115. Boyle. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of mines and minerals. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to table House Bill 2459."

Speaker Blair: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing



no objection the Bill will...the Bill may be tabled.

All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand in recess for thirty minutes for the purpose of a Republican conference in room 212, immediately."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate.
The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, are you ready to go to your conference now?"

Speaker Blair: "Right, we just announced it."

Choate: "If the Democrats would please give me their attention. If the Democrats would please give me your attention, we'll meet in conference in room M-5 immediately and hopefully back on the Floor, within thirty minutes."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we...we'll be in recess for one half hour. Republicans, 212, Democrats, M-5."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the...the House will be in order. We're back on House Bills, Second Reading. Ah...House Bill 2303. Well wait a minute. He's here some place. Wait a minute, he's here. Well he'll be here, he's here. He..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2303. Washburn. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah...Three Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amend House Bill 2303, page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 reduces House Bill 2303 by two hundred and eighteen thousand dollars. It brings all appropriation requests to the Legislative Commissions back to what they were



receiving during the current year. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. All right, now we're going to have discussion. Yeah, we're on 2303. All right, now we're back off of the vote and we're on discussion. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker. I would like to know if I may this is a generic for all, of the total of all the Departments that are Commissions. Is that correct? This is a general one that the Sponsor offers, this is taken off just certain Commissions."

Washburn: "The permanent non-going Commissions, yes."

Maragos: "Yeah, but what does this Amendment do? Does it take it off all the Commissions?"

Washburn: "No...no...no... It just takes it off of those that requested more than their receiving in..."

Maragos: "All right, now what if..."

Washburn: "As I explained the other day, the Bill now contains the amount appropriated, the same amount that was appropriated for Fiscal 74 and it's up to the Committee..Commission Chairman to present his own Amendment."

Maragos: "Well, I could do it now. Okay. The reason why I'm asking is if I put my Amendment, which is already on, would that in any way conflict with this? Okay."

Washburn: "We have two more Committee Amendments though first, Sam."

Maragos: "Yeah, I know, but then we got this. All right, thank you."

Washburn: "I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question now is on the



adoption of Amendment #1. Any further discussion before we do that? All those in favor of the adoption say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amen...Committee Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 2303, page 3, line 2 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment...Amendment #2 changes the course of funding for the Bicentennial Commission from the Agricultural premium fund to the general revenue fund and I move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2303."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Point of order, Mr. Chairman. I can not hear the Chariman of the Appropriations Committee and I would like this very important Legislation Appropriations Bill affects all the Commissions of this House and this Legislative Body and I would like to have...we understand what's going on."

Speaker Blair: "All right, let's..ah... All right let's hold it down. The Gentleman from Grundy is explaining Committee Amendment #1...ah...2, to the Appropriation Bill for the expenses of the various Commissions and agencies. So...ah...you might want to cock your ear to what he has to say."

Washburn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I did explain the changes the course of funding for the Bicentennial Commission from the Agricultural Premium Fund to the General Revenue Fund. It's Amendment #2 and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Giorgi."



Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Representative Washburn usually speaks with more clarity. I think he slurred over his words, he's going to attempt to gamble in front of some Commission, I want to know which Commission is going to be the benefit of gambling dollars. He kind of slurred over his words and I think the state ought to know what Commission is going to be tapped of the gambling dollars. Could you tell us?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Quite the opposite, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I can't hear you."

Washburn: "Quite the opposite."

Giorgi: "Did you use the word agricultural premium fund?"

Washburn: "Yes."

Giorgi: "Okay, which Commission is going to tap the agricultural premium fund? Those are gambling dollars."

Washburn: "None, it's just the opposite. We're changing the funding from the agricultural premium fund to the general revenue fund."

Giorgi: "All right, very commendable."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm standing in opposition to Amendment #2 to House Bill 2303. The true impact of Amendment #2 is approximately six hundred thousand dollars that is presently being paid from the agricultural premium fund in which the adoption of this Amendment will come out of general revenue. This is the first step of many Amendments that we'll be seeing in the next week or so that transferring items that have been paid out of the agricultural premium fund and the road fund to the general revenue and



I don't think that the state budget can afford it and I would hope that the House would vote 'no' on Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "Ah...Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, he indicates he will."

Shea: "Could you tell me what shape the agri. prem. fund is in now?"

Washburn: "What shape the agri. premium fund is in now? No, I couldn't tell you."

Shea: "Well, don't we, at the end of each Fiscal year, presently transfer from the agricultural...the ag...prem. fund to the general revenue a certain amount of money now?"

Washburn: "I think that's true, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could you tell me how much we transferred last year?"

Washburn: "I have...I can't tell you off the top of my head."

Shea: "Well, we in effect transferred from the agricultural premium fund, after we paid for certain things such as the metropolitan fair and exposition authority bonds, pay off the needed money, don't we make certain transfers to general revenue from that fund?"

Washburn: "I believe that's correct."

Shea: "How much was there transferred last year? Do you have any idea?"

Washburn: "No, I just said I didn't have any idea."

Shea: "Well, could you tell me why we want to pay for this out of the general revenue rather than out of the agricultural premium fund?"

Washburn: "I'm told that this is an audio-visual program and the statute says that it can not be paid out of



the agricultural premium fund."

Shea: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "I understand that the statutes state that the project that was to be paid from...for this, from this line item, can not be paid from the agricultural premium fund. It's an audio-visual program and would be restricted to the general revenue fund."

Shea: "So, this six hundred thousand dollars is to pay, as I read the Amendment, is to pay for certain things for the bicentennial...ah...as I read it, the sum is six hundred thousand dollars or so much thereof as may be necessary is appropriated from the agricultural premium fund to the Illinois Bicentennial Commission for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975. Is that correct?"

Washburn: "That's right, it's...it's a grant...ah..."

Shea: "And you want to change that from, change it from the agricultural premium fund to the general revenue fund. Right?"

Washburn: "Right."

Shea: "Well, could you tell me, is there something wrong with using the six hundred thousand dollars from the agricultural premium fund to pay for what in effect is the Illinois Centennial Commission, which is going to take care of our two hundred year anniversary."

Washburn: "It is my understanding, Representative Shea, that the grant that would carry out this program, this audio-visual program for six hundred thousand, can not be paid out of the agricultural premium fund."

Shea: "Well, ever..."

Washburn: "It's not permitted to be paid out of the agricultural premium fund."



Shea: "Well, evidently, the Department thought it could be when they suggested that we put it in here. This is the omnibus appropriation. It was out of that fund last year and there's never been any time assessed on it, has there?"

Washburn: "No, I understand that the Commission drew up this...ah...request and they were not aware of the fact that it couldn't be paid out of the Agricultural Premium Fund."

Shea: "Well, I...I still don't understand why we want to take it out of general revenue. I can't see any...any legal impairment for...for taking it out of the agricultural premium fund and paying through this commission."

Washburn: "Well, I'm told that if it's taken out of the agricultural premium fund and passed in that order then it would have to be vetoed because it would not be according to statutes to take it out of that fund, Gerry."

Shea: "Sir, could you sight some authorities to me, Bud."

Washburn: "No, I can't sight anything."

Shea: "Would you like to take and discuss this further or do you want to go or what do you want to do?"

Washburn: "Well we...we can take it out of the record, Amendment #2, for the time being."

Shea: "All right, and then we'll talk about it. Thank you very much."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we'll...we'll take the Bill out of the record now. With the Amendment #1, having been adopted. 2359. Is Mr. Matijevich here? He don't want it? All right, take it out."



Speaker Blair: "All right, take it out. Mr. Skinner, 2391?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2391, Skinner, a Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Code. Is there any Amendments from the floor? Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Yeah, we got one."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor."

Clerk Selcke: "One Amendment from the floor. Amendment #1, Skinner, amends House Bill 2391 on page . . . line 27 and so forth. Skinner, go ahead."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment makes more explicit the duties . . . ah . . . the contributions that will have to be given by the local subdivision residents, and I move its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? Mr. Mugalian."

Clerk Selcke: "Mugalian, Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I'm afraid I did not understand at all what this Amendment does. I wonder if . . . ah . . . the Sponsor can . . ."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman indicates . . ."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker . . . in the original Bill there's an agreement that has to be made between the Township Road Commissioner and the Township Board of Auditors, and it was not explicitly stated that the agreement could require contributions from the local property owners. This makes that quite explicit."

Mugalian: "What . . . what does the Bill deal with generally, Cal'?"

Skinner: "Excuse me?"

Mugalian: "What does the Bill itself deal with generally?"

Skinner: "Well, the Bill itself, I think, is not being debated right now. I'd be happy to explain it . . ."

Mugalian: "I know."

Skinner: ". . . but I don't think it's relevant to the Amendment."

Mugalian: "Well, it's hard for me to understand what an Amendment does if I don't know what the Bill is about. In gen . . . in general terms what is the Bill itself?"

Skinner: "The Bill is an attempt to solve a problem in counties such as mine that have numerous unincorporated subdivisions with standard streets. It is a permissive Bill that says that if the amount going to townships from the State Motor Fuel Tax Fund is increased



that the Township Road Commissioner and the Township Board of Auditors have again expanded . . . ah . . . a bit of authority."

Mugalian: "Ah . . . This isn't the Bill that reduces the State Highway Fu . . . share of the Motor Fuel Tax?"

Skinner: "No, it isn't."

Mugalian: "Thanks, Cal'."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I would reiterate my mov . . . motion to approve to adopt."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "2437. Do you want that, Jake? Jake? House Bill 2437, J. J. Wolf, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 2437 on page 2 by deleting lines 20 and 21 and so forth."



Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Committee Amendment and it merely inserts a grand-father clause into the Bill and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question in on Amendment #1. House Bill 2437. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendment? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "2484. House Bill 2484. Gene Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Give me the Amendment. No Committee Amendments. One Amendment from the Floor here. Amendment #1. Hoffman. Amend House Bill 2484 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to House Bill 2484...ah...which deals with a local problem out of forming a unit district in our area provides that in lieu of tuition a sum agreed upon between the districts and...ah... may be used for students who are presently attending one high school and would be in another district. Secondly it takes care of about thirty elementary students who were left in their old districts they're fragmented due to offending petitions so that they may attend the school that they have been attending all along and it also provides for the act becoming effective upon becoming law and I would adhere to your support."

Speaker Blair: "Let's...let's have a little order. It's difficult for the Members that want to hear, to hear. So, if we could have a little more order than we've had, it will be helpful. The question is on the



adoption of Amendment #1 to 2484. All those in favor will say 'aye' and all those opposed will say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2501, Schneider. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to Women's Ink. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2519, Dave Jones. Is he here? House Bill 2519, Jones. A Bill for an Act to provide for the operating assistance of grants to certain mass transportation carriers. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2519 on page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jones on Amendment #1."

D. Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill is amended to include out the bi-state agency at their request."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2606, Catania. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 14 of the Crime Victims Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Ah... any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2629, Juckett. C.L. is not here, Mr. Speaker. Oh, you ready, C.L.?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, 2616 now. The Clerk announced ah.. that is shown on the Calendar as 2626, but it's 2616."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2616, McCormick. A Bill for an Act pertaining to group, life and health insurance for



public officers, employees and annuitants and so forth,
Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment,
Amend House Bill 2616 on page 5, line 2 by deleting
'three' and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Ah... Committee Amendment #1. The Gentleman
from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, I ah.. I thought that ah.. maybe
I should grant it one way. I thought that I said that
I would hold it until tomorrow, but ah..."

Speaker Blair: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you say that. Do
you want this Bill?"

McCormick: "I'd love to move it, but I think I agreed to hold
it until tomorrow. Would it be all right?"

Speaker Blair: "Whatever you want to do is o'kay."

McCormick: "Well, I wonder if I could go ahead and get it in
shape and then no advance it ah.. or should I just wait
until tomorrow?"

Speaker Blair: "If you want to address yourself to the Amend-
ment you have now and leave it on Second ah.. you can
certainly do that. Or you can just wait until tomorrow.
Whatever you want to do."

McCormick: "Well, I'll just wait until tomorrow on those
three Bills; 16, 17 and 18."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Take those out of the record. Now
2628."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2628, Dave Jones. A Bill for an
Act to amend Section 5 and 5.1 of the Local Mass Transit
District Act. Second Reading of the Bill, No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2629, Juckett. A Bill for an Act
to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the
Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2629
on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Juckett."



Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 combines House Bill 2630 into 2629 and it also provides for an optional election time. And I move of Amendment #1... Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2629."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield to a question. Ah.. I'm not quite certain as to ah... does this have to do with the election of officers?"

Juckett: "For Park Districts."

Palmer: "For time of service?"

Juckett: "Yes."

Palmer: "Right now they serve for four years. What does this...."

Juckett: "No, they serve right now ah.. right now they serve six year terms."

Palmer: "Six years and then you're cutting down to one?"

Juckett: "To three years."

Palmer: "Any particular reason for it?"

Juckett: "The reason is that there is no other governmental body that serves that long. Plus it would give more people representation and it increases the board from five to seven."

Palmer: "I understand that it's pretty hard to get people to serve on them now."

Juckett: "Pardon?"

Palmer: "It's difficult to get people to serve on Park Boards now... in my area. That's the reason that I asked the question."

Juckett: "It is difficult."

Palmer: " Yeah."

Juckett: "Because a six year term is so long and the average person only lives in a home seven years."

Palmer: "Then after the first year they can get somebody to take their place if they're a Park District Commissioner."

Juckett: "Yeah."



Palmer: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1 to 2629. All those in favor will say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments? Third Reading. Is Mr. McMaster here? Mr. Arnell is here."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2654, Arnell. Out of the record?"

Speaker Blair: "Out of the record? Okay."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2671, Juckett. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 9 on the State Comptroller Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2671 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2 and so forth."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This makes a change from the Comptroller's Act to the Finance Act of the State of Illinois and provides for the spending of Mental Health Funds by the grantees. And I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2671."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2673, Terzich. A Bill for an Act to add Article 24 to the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: Are there any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2691, Blair. A Bill for an Act relating to the reduction of taxes on public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments." One Floor Amendment, Skinner. Amend House Bill 2691 on page 1, line 24 by deleting September 30, 1974 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I was flattered in the ah.. Friday and



Saturday paper to be credited with the idea of sending a notice to every ah... every residential home owner or every residential utility user telling about how this General Assembly was going to lower the utility tax. Unfortunately, I didn't originate the idea. The Speaker originated the idea. The only thing that I originated was sending it out before the election. If you're going to send a notice, you might as well make sure that it gets to the people who are supposed to be affected by it. What this does is say that the notice has to be ah... has to accompany the September bills, rather than the October bills.... assuming that the September bills would be sent out before the election."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I have nothing against this Amendment. I wanted... just something else. When he finishes, I want to ask a question of you."

Speaker Blair: "Well, are there any further questions in regard to the Bill..... to the Amendment? No further discussion on that Amendment? All right, all those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye' and those opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Now are there further Amendments? Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to know ah.. after this goes to Third Reading... the only thing that bothered me about this Bill in Committee, if you recall, was whether there's a proper definition of the household. What constitutes a householder? I would like to have leave ah... an understanding with the Speaker that you can move it to Third and then maybe move it to Second and make it more clarifying because we ah... we went over the discussion of the question of a farmer ah... what portion of this Bill would be considered for household purposes and not for household purposes. That's the question. And if you would get together with your



staff and ah... and work this out... would you then... would ah.. it would make it more difinitive, as far as I'm concerned."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I would be happy ah.. at any time to discuss the subject matter of this Bill with the Gentleman, as you well know. Actually the lady that drafted the language is here beside me and we'll ask her to join us in our conversation."

Maragos: "The reason that I say this, Mr. Speaker, ah.. I apologize because I didn't have time over the weekend to get anything ready and that's why I would ask this courtesy from you."

Speaker Blair: "O'kay. I would be happy to discuss it with you. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I humbly ask for a fiscal not on House Bill 2691."

Speaker Blair: "I'm sorry, now wait a minute. Mr. Lechowicz was moving ah.. under what rule?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, under Rule 32."

Speaker Blair: "Under Rule 32."

Lechowicz: "It's 32e, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, prior to its being advanced to the order of Third Reading, ah.. the fiscal note will be filed with the Clerk in accordance with the rule. Yeah, it's been read a second time. There's not problem there. Now is there any ah.... is there any further discussion? Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Am I correct, Mr. Speaker, that this Bill is remaining on Second Reading?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, I answered that question for Representative Lechowicz. I said that it would remain on Second Reading until a fiscal note has filed."

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The fiscal note has now been filed and it is now on Third Reading. Third Reading."



Lechowicz: "I'd like a copy of it."

Speaker Blair: "You'd like a copy of it? Well, I'm sure that the Clerk will be happy to assist you. 2692."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2692, McCormick. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Secretary of the Department of Transportation to convey a quick claim deed to certain land located in Johnson County, Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2741, Mr. Grotberg? A Bill for an Act to amend Section 3 of the School Construction Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2743, Jaffe for Mr. Pierce. Amends the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now let's just wait a minute here. Mr. Jaffe is standing in for Mr. Pierce, right? Now there are no Amendments on 2743 from the Committee or the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "That's right."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Third Reading. 2744."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2744. Amends the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2752, Hill. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1, 2, 3 and so forth of the Boiler Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2753, Berman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2753 on page 3, line 15 and so forth."



Speaker Blair: "Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2753."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2769, Juckett. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2769 on page 3 by deleting line 18 and inserting in lieu thereof '1974'."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Committee Amendment #1 amends the effective date of the Act and moves it so that it will be effective immediately upon becoming law."

Speaker Blair: "Are there discussions? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1 to 2769. All those in favor say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Juckett. Amend House Bill 2769 on page...."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 corrects a problem in that special education students cannot get school transportation for summer school. And some of these students cannot use these facilities unless they do have transportation and this clarifies a ruling of the O.S.P.I. that the transportation portion does not cover summer school. And it would apply only to those students who cannot utilize it otherwise. There is money in the budget. It does not require an increase



in appropriations. And it clarifies a ruling of the O.S.P.I."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Blair: "Ya, he indicates he will."

Berman: "Bob, has this Amendment been ah was this prepared by O.S.P.I. or ah...?"

Juckett: "The Amendment itself was prepared by my office. I understand that the concept was approved by O.S.P.I. and ah.. the people in their section ah.. that made the ruling."

Berman: "All right, well, as you know, you gave me a copy of this a short time ago. Would you have any objections to keeping ah.. putting the Amendment on, but holding it on Second Reading until tomorrow?"

Juckett: "No, I have no objection. We can advance it to Third and then bring it back."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Juckett, a question? I've had so much urgent stuff and telephone calls back in the office ah.. like within the hour. Summer school is starting. What is the time cycle for this if we can get this on?"

Juckett: "It would become effective on July 1 of this year."

Grotberg: "Ah... could school buses incumbent themselves at this point if it goes through the House waiting for the Governor's signature ah... if it gets there next week? Seriously, because ah..."

Juckett: "As the new Attorney General for the State of Illinois...."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield? What is the fiscal impact, Bob, for fiscal '75 or ah... well, it would be



fiscal '75."

Juckett: "My understanding is that there would be no fiscal impact because the money is in the budget and because of a ruling of O.S.P.I. they cannot spend the money."

Palmer: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of ah... Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question? Representative Juckett, you and I discussed the impact of your Bill on those ah.. education systems which have adopted the year around school system such as ah... Romeoville, which is on the 4515 plan. Does your Bill take into consideration the fact that these schools and the fact that they have 1 1/3rd years ah.. education compact into one year?"

Juckett: "Well, it's my understanding that as far as special education is concerned; special education is a twelve month program... as contrasted with the regular budget."

Leinenweber: "So then there would no detriment to the Romeoville school system which is on the year around system?"

Juckett: "That is correct. Now Romeoville would have to have this second Amendment in order to utilize the funds for transportation of the special education students, but as far as the special education program is concerned; they would be able to get that on a twelve month basis."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Blair: "Further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor will say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2785, Douglas. A Bill to change the name of the Departmental of Mental Health to the Department of Mental Health and Development Disabilities. And to change the title of the Director of Mental Health



to the Director of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2796, Springer. A Bill for an Act to provide grants for training of directors and for the planning, establishment or expansion of community education programs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Slecke: "House Bill 2797, Springer. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2797 on line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Springer."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2797 is a technical error and this Amendment corrects that error. It deletes the word ah.. on line 3, 'college' and inserting in lieu thereof 'education'. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2797."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2797. All those in favor will say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2825, Blair-Collins. A Bill for an Act to regulate campaign financing and amending certain Acts in connection therewith. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins. There are Floor Amendments, I understand."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



I would ask that this Bill be held on Second Reading today so that we can consider the Amendments that will be offered tomorrow. I know there are some on the Clerk's desk and I anticipate that there are others to come."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now let's understand now where we are. This Bill has been read a second time. It will be held on the order of Second Reading for the consideration of Amendments tomorrow."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2826, Getty. A Bill for an Act to amend the Dangerous Drug Abuse Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yes. Amendment #1, Getty. Amend House Bill 2826 on page 2, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, may I inquire if the Amendments have been distributed? I don't see them on my desk."

Speaker Blair: "All right, they're not printed yet."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, will I have an opportunity to have it read again today? If not, I would ask that it be moved to Third Reading and...."

Speaker Blair: "Well, what you can do is ah.. if he's read it a second time ah.. you can read it on Second and forget the Amendments today, that's fine, but ah.. if we don't get to them until tomorrow, you can both adopt the Amendment tomorrow and have it read a third time tomorrow since it's been read a second time today."

Getty: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "It's probably simpler just to leave it on Second. It has been read a second time though and we can just hold it on Second for the Amendments that are being printed."

Getty: "All right, thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 28....."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea, do you want 2833?"



Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2833, Shea. An appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment. Amendment #1 Shea, Amend House Bill 2833 on page 1 by deleting line 5 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this changes the appropriation from General Revenue to the Road Fund. "

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hunsicker."

Shea: "The appropriation is \$225,000."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, he says he will."

Hunsicker: "Why should this come out of the Road Fund instead of the Drivers License Fund, Mr. Shea?"

Shea: "Well, everything that goes into the Drivers License Fund eventually ends up being in the Road Fund."

Hunsicker: "Well, I understand that, but then..."

Shea: "They transfer the money... the net proceeds from the Drivers License Fund into the Road Fund,"

Hunsicker: "Now you'll want to take it back out of the Road Fund and put it in to pay for the photographic equipment."

Shea: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you, Mr. Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "I said then you'll want to take it back out of the Road Fund to pay for the photographic equipment."

Shea: "Would you rather have it ah... do you think, come out of the Drivers License Fund?"

Hunsicker: "It would be my intention that it should."

Shea: "Well, can I put this Amendment on and advance it to Third Reading and if I find out we'll talk to you about it. If it's better off there, I'll bring it back and change it."

Hunsicker: "That's satisfactory to me."

Shea: "All right."



Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, did I understand the Gentleman to say that he is holding this Bill?"

Shea: "Mr. Hunsicker asked me a question of why this shouldn't come out of the Drivers License Fund."

Walsh: "There is no.... I've just been informed by somebody from the Secretary of State's Office that there is no Drivers License Fund. There is a Drives License Education Fund, that a certain amount of dollars that comes from drivers license apps go into, but the rest of the money from the drivers license applications goes into the Road Fund."

Walsh: "I'm convinced that that's semantics. I think that Mr. Hunsicker's question was a good one since the Bill before us is one not to benefit law enforcement agencies in identifying drivers, but rather to ah... provide a way that saloon keepers can better identify people with drivers licenses for purposes of whether they can drink or not. And I would respectfully ask that you do hold this until we can look into where it should come from, whether the Road Fund or the General Fund."

Shea: "Well, let me assure you, Mr. Walsh, that it's not to protect saloon keepers if you think so, but to try and do something to upgrade our drivers license system. And for your edification, I'll be happy to hold it on Second Reading so you and Mr. Washburn and Mr. Hunsicker can look at it."

Walsh: "I would appreciate that."

Speaker Blair: "Is Mr. Shea holding? All right, Mr. Shea is holding. Take it out of the record. Mr. Hill, 2844."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2844, Hill. A Bill for an Act to Amend Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Commission on Inter Governmental Cooperation. Second Reading of the



Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2848, McCormick. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act of 1971. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading. Now I'm going to go back and quickly pick up those Bills ah... that there's some Sponsors on the floor now... on Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 320, Douglas. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act relating to certain blood donations. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 320 on line 8 by deleting and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 320."

Speaker Blair: "All right, discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Is Mr. Garmisa here? All right. Matijevich and Houlihan don't want theirs. Here's 2518. Read it."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2518, Fleck. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, of an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah.. no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Cunningham. Amend House Bill 2518 by deleting lines....."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill as originally drawn, is not without redeeming artistic value. It's elimination of the



demeaning differential between the pay salary scale for Cook County and DuPage County judges as compared with downstate judges is long overdue. Our judges found disparaging when they sat on the bench in big town to find that their judicial reasoning was worth approximately \$7500 a year less than that of their brothers. But there is one fatal defect in this Bill as it's presently drawn and we seek to remedy that defect. Speaker, will you bang on the table so that I can be heard?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman wants a little....

The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, wants a little order. Give him order."

Cunningham: "The defect that we seek to avoid is the pernicious practice of lugging the counties to pay a proportion of the cost of the pay raise that's involved. This is a relatively new technic in governmental financing. It seems to be greatly favored by this administration. It is the counterpart of the federal program of revenue sharing that could be properly described as county revenue sharing or maybe more accurately as county subsidizing of proper state governmental expenses. And certainly the operation of the judiciary is exclusively and should be uniquely the financial responsibility of the state. In the instance at hand, and I would invite the attention of the downstate Legislators, if this Bill goes through as it is presently worded, you will hear the cry of the wounded panther from each of your county boards because it will require the counties ... the average downstate county will have to kick in some \$15,000 to \$20,000 each year toward the financing of the judicial payraise. In my own district there are eighteen judges and if you figure out the amount of money that they would ah.. in the increase that they would have to reimburse the state for would total \$126,000. So we have



thirteen small counties at almost \$10,000 apiece. There is absolutely no reason in law or in equity that they should be required to come up with that amount of money just to make the books balance. The Amendment that we offer in this case is designed to make a good Bill gooder. There is absolutely no indication whatever that it would... that it would be viewed with any less favor from the second floor than the original Bill, as worded. We've had no message to indicate that it would make it less acceptable. We make no observation at all as to whether or not the figures are correct. You will note that in our Amendment, we have merely used the same cost of living increase for the judges that the Sponsor provided in his original Bill. We must take legislative notice that the cost of groceries for the judiciary has gone up and in fairness they are entitled to a raise; whether or not they're entitled to 41.6% cost of living raise we've expressed no opinion. But we do say in all sincerity to each of you, that if you're a downstate Legislator, you should vote for this Amendment with a great deal of confidence in knowing that you're striking a substantial lick for the taxpayers in your district. I urge you to believe with me that it is the right direction to go. Your County Board will love you for it and they'll remember you November 5. And if we don't get the Amendment through, they'll be lots of us that won't be back this fall. We urge you to vote 'aye' on Amendment #1. It makes the state pay the entire cost and does not permit a shifting of the burden to the counties. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would hate to start out with being catagorized as being irresponsible, but I do wish to arise to oppose Amendment #1 and I think for a very responsible reason. Among other things,



House Bill 2518 is aimed at eliminating probably one of the greatest inequities existing in the law and that is that certain judges who happen by accident of birth to be paid substantially more than other judges who have exactly the same responsibilities and the same jurisdiction. We are of course, talking about the so called county add-ons for our judicial salaries which has for a considerable length of time been in existence in the County of Cook and for a lesser time, but for a considerable length of time in the County of DuPage. Now the justification, and certainly in my opinion there is a very responsible justification for extending the add-on for those those counties downstate rather than to turn the whole mess over to ah.. the state. And that is that a good portion of our judicial time is spent on handling criminal matters, which are involved in receipt of traffic fines and costs. And I think that the examples of my County of Will is a very good reason why the add-on is ah.. I think, appropriate in this instance. In 1973 the State of Illinois received from the County of Will in traffic fines and criminal fines the sum of \$515,000. Now the County of Will, itself, in traffic fines and criminal fines received over \$200,000. So this is approximately 28% or more than 1/4th of the traffic fines and criminal fines which are the direct result of the productivity of the court and perhaps the criminal. And I think the fact that the counties do receive this large bundle of money through the efforts of the State's Attorney and the judicial system, certainly it would behoove them to have good judges and to assist in the obtaining of good judges through the assistance of judicial salaries. I think that to adopt Amendment #1, which would take this out of the Bill and risk possible defeat for ah... or possible veto ah.. is not worth it. I think that we should



pay our judges well and I think the counties ought to have part of their responsibilities apportionate to these salaries so I urge the defeat of Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Fleck, do you want to be heard now or do you want to wait for everybody and then...do you want to hear them first? Okay. Mr. Hart, then Mr. Kennedy."

Hart: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the same Amendment that I had filed. There isn't any county downstate that has requested the opportunity to pay part of the judges salaries. The counties cannot afford it, we should not impose this additional financial burden upon the counties. It's legitimate that the State pay the entire amount of the judges' salaries and I think that it would behoove all of us to go along with this Amendment and to let the funds from the State take care of this expense. We're going to impose the greatest financial burden on the counties if we let this Bill go through without this Amendment and I feel that we should support it."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I intend to support Representative Cunningham's Amendment, but I think it's a good Amendment. I'm not against the judges' pay raise, but I believe the tab should be picked up by the State and I think the House should support the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "We've got one more, Krause, here. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I speak in favor of the Amendment of Representative Cunningham's. I think it's time that the legislature stop placing burdens on the



counties without a method of giving them the money. Time after time we keep putting burdens upon the county, especially in this field and we have no way of giving back the money so that they can make the payments. I think it's time that we supported this Amendment and let the State pay the entire amount."

Mr. Kriegsman: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel that if the lawyers of this group are so righteous and they want to help their fellow judges get more money, I think they should do just like the truckers have to do and come up with higher licenses, higher license fees, and pay these judges more money. I think that it's high time that we've got to shut off all these pay raises and get down to some realistic figures in this House and see to it that we live within our budget. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Alright now, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the principle sponsor of this Bill, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. Now many men, for three years now, I've heard the Gentleman from Lawrence, get up and crusade for the 54th District of this State. He's gotten up and he's gone after Governor Ogilvie and he's gone after Governor Walker for highways. And he's a very strong in fighting for the 54th District for highways. As a matter of fact, it has been said that he's the only resident of the 54th District that has a four-lane blacktop as an excess highway that ends in his garage. And I've got to commend him for doing a good job, I wouldn't be surprised if he has a flashing stop light in his washroom. But to get onto the merits and particularly the demerits of this particular Amendment. The judges of this State serve a county as well as the State functions. They have venue in the counties where they sit. The States Attorneys bring cases before them



within the county and they certainly serve more of a county function than a State function. This Bill, in it's present form would cause an additional appropriation of \$2,080,000 to be paid and cut up by one hundred counties of the State. That is not a severe burden, I don't think, on the particular counties in view of the fact that there are over \$21,000,000 generated in fees, court fees, this is considerably more than the \$2,000,000 that this Bill would impose upon the counties. And finally, I would like to say that the fallacy behind this original Bill is what is important. It reminds me much of the story of the very very sad lady who got on a crowded bus. She got on the bus, she looked at all the filled seats and bellowed out to the people who were seated 'Ain't a gentleman going to arise and give a lady a seat?" At which point a very small skinny man stood and shyly said 'Well, I'll make a contribution'. That is all this Bill is asking for the counties to do, make a contribution to support their judges as well as the State. Finally, I'd like to say that if this Amendment passes and the additional money must come from the State, this Amendment will be a stiletto which will go to the heart of this Bill and probably invite, with a written formal invitation to the Governor, an invitation to veto this Bill. For those reasons I ask the Members of this House to defeat Amendment #1 to House Bill 2518."

Speaker Blair: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment ...do you want to close? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, just to correct any possible misunderstanding. The sponsor, in this particular instance, it's pure conjecture when he says that it invites a veto, there's nothing to indicate that whatsoever. I expected some of the criticism, but to



have the Gentleman from Pekin get up and accuse me of pay raises for the judges is not realistic. In this particular situation, I expressed no opinion whatever as to whether or not the pay raise is adequate or inadequate. I just adopted their figures for the purposes of correcting a very dangerous inequity. Pay no attention to those that already pay the add-on in DuPage and Cook County. If you have a downstate constituency, believe me when I tell you that if you do not vote for this, you are subjecting your county to an unjustified expenditure. It's nonsense to say that it is as much of a county function as it is a State function. All of you who know anything at all about the legal proceedings know that the process runs in the name of the people of the State of Illinois. It is exclusively the maintenance of the court, the function of the State government. It would be laughable for someone to suggest that we have a head tax of fifty cents a piece for everybody in every county to pay the Governor's salary because we recognize that it is a proper expenditure from the State Treasury. And even more so, Ladies and Gentlemen, is the maintenance of the court and it'll cost your counties about that amount if you leave this Bill to stand as it is. This can be the Magna Carte for county government. I urge and plead with you, vote aye on the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, the question is shall Amendment #1 be adopted. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Do you desire a Roll Call on this Mr. Cunningham? Alright, we'll give her a shot both ways. All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 will say 'aye'. Opposed, 'no'. Pretty close. Roll Call. Alright, the question is shall Amendment #1 be adopted. All in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed, 'no'. Mr. McMaster."



McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, if I might explain my vote...

Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we have heard a very good discussion prior to my speaking as to the fact that this Bill, without the Amendment, will put an unbearable expense upon the ability of county government to remain somewhere near fluent as far as the expenses are concerned. I think Mr. Fleck, Representative Fleck, used some very good words when he said that this Amendment might be a stiletto to pierce the heart of the Bill and cause its defeat. Let me say that as far as I'm concerned about a judge's pay raise, I would welcome any stiletto that would kill their pay raise. And I would urge you to vote for this Amendment because I think it is absolutely necessary to protect counties and their ability to maintain the regular function without an added expense for judges' salaries to come to work at 10:30 or 11:00 o'clock in the morning, adjourn for lunch, and don't come back until the afternoon. So I certainly feel that this Amendment would be helpful, I urge you to vote yes for it."

Speaker Blair: "Are there any further explanations of votes?

I knew Mr. Cunningham would be coming up, is there anyone else? Alright, Mr. Grotberg, let's let him go while Mr. Cunningham's warming up. Go ahead."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Chairman. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I really feel I would rather explain my vote to this group than to try and explain it back in my district. I would like to take this opportunity to remind you that the County Problems Commission has been visiting up and down the State of Illinois hearing over and over again the story of adding to the cost of county government and never coming up....(tape trouble...)...Mr. Speaker...there we are...and it is in this vein that I'm casting a



green vote for Roscoe's Bill. On the other hand, I'm a co-sponsor with Charley Fleck on the Judges' Bill and I am in deep sympathy with the problem or Chief Judges in particular not being able to recoup the talent that they need on the bench at the salaries that they are able to offer in the escalating times. That is my explanation of my vote and I would appreciate anybody who feels the same way, getting on there green."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Taylor?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to make certain that all the lawyers in this hall know that I'm voting no on this measure. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Cunningham, for what purpose do you rise?"

Cunningham: "I want to explain my vote and I hope that the last Gentleman will hear what I have to say. I voted against this Bill in the Committee. I'm a lawyer, I practice before the judges and I found no lessening of their affection toward me because of me voting my conscience in this matter. You misunderstand the whole purpose of the Bill. Get up there and give us a green light, we think a like in these matters. This...I wish that it would be possible, Mr. Speaker, would it be possible for Representative Geo-Karis to sing Almost Persuaded, or some other song to get us eighteen more votes here? But anyway, we need a few more votes here. This Bill deserves the support of each and every downstate and Cook County Representative. It's a step in the right direction, there's no reason whatever that county government should subsidize a State function. The courts are a State function just as any other exclusively State branch of government and it's wrong to pass the burden off and saddle the counties, they are destitute and they can't stand it, they'll hold us accountable for it. Change to green."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, have all voted who wished? Have all



voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 81 nays, 58 yeas and 4 present and the Amendment fails."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Hart, Choate. Amend House Bill 2518 on page 1 by deleting lines 24 through 30 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you. I've never been one to want to take up unnecessarily, the time of the House. My Amendment which Representative Choate and I co-sponsor, is the same as the one we just defeated so I would ask leave of the House to have the same Roll Call on this Amendment as we had on the last in a recorded way, with the exception of Representative Choate who wants to be shown as voting aye."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute now, Mr. Roscoe Cunningham is up."

Cunningham: "I object to the same vote. I think that he's entitled to a Roll Call vote and I hope that it wins."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, Mr. Fleck do you want to advance the same argument that you advanced on Mr. Cunningham? Mr. Fleck, Mr. Choate says we got to..."

Choate: "Representative Fleck cannot advance the same arguments he did on the previous one because there is no four-lane limited access blacktop road before mine or Hart's house, neither one. Now I don't have a flashing red light in my bathroom either and Dick you can speak for yourself."

Hart: "I don't have a flashing red light in my neighborhood."

Speaker Blair: "Alright, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Representative Hart would yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He says he will."

Geo-Karis: "I don't know what the Amendment is all about, could you just briefly tell me? I can't find it."



Hart: "I'm sorry, Adeline, it's the same as the Amendment that Representative Cunningham suggested. I didn't know that he was going to file his and he didn't know that I was going to file mine. But what it does, it makes the judges' increase in their salaries all payable from State funds, you see, the way the Bill was introduced, the additional monies that are going to be paid to the judges would come out of the county funds to be prorated among the counties on a population basis. And it's the position of many of us downstaters that the counties cannot afford this additional burden on their General Revenue Fund without some method of appropriating the money and we feel that this kind of a thing with the State imposes an additional obligation and will tend to eventually increase local taxes and we feel that if the State wants to put on a program, the State should pay for it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hart."

Hart: "I want to save the time of the House as much as possible. I'd like to have a Roll Call on it, let's see if we can get two out of three and maybe we can reverse the previous decision. Maybe many of those that voted before didn't understand the issue. I would like to have the opportunity of voting on this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Alright the question is shall Amendment #2 be adopted. All those in favor will say 'aye'. Opposed, 'no'. Oh, you want a Roll Call? Alright. All in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 will say, or vote 'aye', and the opposed, 'no'. Have all...Mr. Rigney?"

Rigney: "Is there a chance, Mr. Speaker, to ask one question here? If the increase has been paid, would they be entitled to the same funds that the downstate counties would get?"

Hart: "The Bill establishes uniformity in the judges' salaries."



Circuit Judges in Cook, DuPage, and other counties would be paid the same downstate as they would be in those counties. Associate Circuit Judges would get the add-on that is now being given in Cook and DuPage. The kicker in it is that the add-on is going to be paid from the County General Revenue Fund instead of the State Fund and if you have Circuit Judges or Associate Judges that are in more than one county, then the counties would be assessed by the State through a reimbursement of these salaries on a population basis."

Rigney: "Well that I understand. The thing I was wondering, Cook County and DuPage are presently paying \$7500 per year out of their county funds. Correct?"

Hart: "That's right."

Rigney: "Now under this Bill, under your Amendment, would they no longer have to pay that \$7500 and it all comes from the State?"

Hart: "That is correct."

Rigney: "I would think Cook County and everyone would be for this Bill."

Hart: "Well I would think so too."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "I was just going to make a point of order, which I don't want to make."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 82 'nays', 60 'ayes', and this Amendment fails. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2545. Neff. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Director of Law Enforcement to invade certain property in the City of Macomb. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2545 on page 2 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



Amendment #1 to House Bill 2545 puts an effective date in the Bill which is July 1, 1974, and makes some of the stipulations that have been made between the City of Macomb and the Law Enforcement Department on certain things that they have agreed to do that makes it compulsory that this is done for the law enforcement offices and that's all this Amendment does."

Speaker Blair: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor will say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The ayes have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2546. Neff. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 2546, page 1, by deleting lines 1 through 4 and so forth. Neff? You want this? Okay. Out of the record. 2634, McMaster? House Bill 2634. McMaster. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Mines and Minerals. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Does Mr. McCormick want 2692?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2089. Garmisa. A Bill for an Act to provide reimbursement for reduced transit fares for the handicapped. Second Reading of the Bill. Four Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amend House Bill 2089, page 1, by deleting lines 7 and so forth."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2089 intent is ... (tape trouble) the exorbitant transportation costs imposed on a handicapped community. This change would assure that the C.T.A. or any other carrier will not receive reimbursements through regular service that a handicapped person may



use. This Amendment attempts to clarify this issue by thoroughly limiting assistance to special transportation service. This is exclusively for the handicapped person. I would urge the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Will the sponsor yield please?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Hirschfeld: "Representative, can you tell me whether or not this applies to downstate mass transit in addition to the C.T.A.?"

Garnisa: "Yes it would."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you get the answer? Is there further discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2089. All in favor say aye...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I really...I really don't know what we are doing here today and Mr. Speaker, will you pay attention to what I am about to say. I'm addressing these remarks to you, Mr. Speaker. I would appreciate your attention because it isn't too often that you don't pay attention to what I have to say and I don't want to make this an exception. But we have a recently married as of yesterday, a legislator, I really don't know why we're in session and why he's here, but he told me just a moment ago that the people's business comes first and I said to him that there's no business like show business and if he's here there's really no reason for us being here. So Mr. Speaker I would now move to adjourn this House so Mr. Kozubowski could enjoy his honeymoon as this has been the custom of all of our Members."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kozubowski moves that that motion lie upon the table, sir."



Yourell: "Just a minute. Now I don't think Mr. Kozubowski has any experience about lying anything upon the table and Mr. Speaker I would remove my motion to adjourn this House so Mr. Kozubowski could go about his business. And I want a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I'm wondering why the Representative Kozubowski's face and sweater are now matching."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kozubowski, do you want to speak to the motion sir? Okay, the Gentleman has moved that in difference to Representative and Mrs. Kozubowski the House do now stand adjourned. Do you wish a Roll Call sir? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye. The opposed by voting no. Do you wish to explain your vote Representative Kozubowski? You should, your wife will want to know why. Okay, have all voted who wished? Don't you want to get a copy of the Roll Call? You want to get a copy of the Roll Call. Do you want to change your vote? Okay, take the record. Okay, having voted on the prevailing side, the Gentleman's leader, Representative Choate, wanting to keep the Member in line, moves to reconsider the vote by which that motion passed. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye'...or saying 'aye', the opposed by saying 'no'. The ayes have it and the vote will be reconsidered. All in favor of adjournment signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed, no! The motion is lost. Are there further Amendments to Representative Garmisa's Bill? We adopted Amendment #1."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Amend House Bill 2089 by deleting..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, was Amendment #1 adopted?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes it was sir. Amendment #1 was adopted, we're on #2. Amendment #2 deletes from the Bill the need to be certified by a physician that when its mobility impaired. The proposed Amendment places the Bill in line with the standards used by the federal government by this deletion. I ask for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2089. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #3. Amend House Bill 2089 on page 2 in line 17 by deleting..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Amendment #3 realize the manner in which reimbursement would be made under House Bill 2089. The original Bill tried reimbursement to reduce the concept, but for a special service where there are no regular riders, this idea is inapplicable. Simply put, there is no standard from which to reduce the fare to as there is for the regular operation that carries all classes of riders. I urge the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Was this a Committee Amendment, Mr. Speaker?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yes sir, yes."

Walsh: "Do you have the vote on Committee on this Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "No not here, the votes not here."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there a Gentleman from Committee who might remember it?"

Walsh: "What if the Gentleman could give me the vote in



Committee on this Amendment?"

Garmisa: "I want to refer that to the Committee Chairman."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment was adopted without any...with no descending votes on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Giglio, who offered the Amendment in Committee, Representative Walsh, he says that there were no dissenting votes. It was adopted unanimously? Is that right? Representative Walsh?"

Walsh: "Has he explained Amendment #3?"

Speaker Telcser: "He hasn't explained it. Alright now, the Amendment was adopted by a Committee vote of 9 to nothing. Now do you wish to explain the Amendment, Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Do you mean one more time?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes."

Garmisa: "Amendment #3 realized the manner in which the reimbursements would be made under House Bill 2089. The original Bill tied reimbursements to a reduced fare concept. But for a special service where there are not regular riders, this idea is inapplicable. Simply put, there is no standard by which to reduce the fare to as there is with the regular stance of operation and it carries all classes of riders. Under Amendment #3, reimbursements are to be based on the cost of the service. Only fifty percent of the cost is to be covered or two and a half bucks per trip, whichever would be the least. This Amendment would prevent reimbursement more than actual losses incurred and also gives the secretary veto power over any fare increases or service cost. I urge the adoption of Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I understand that there is an appropriation, a rough appropriation for this Bill, but I would also be interested in knowing the fiscal implications. I submit to you that very possibly, the appropriation suggested could be incorrect and that the Bill addresses itself to a number of different possibilities which I think should be covered by way of a fiscal note. So I request that the Gentleman obtain a fiscal note and the Bill remain on Second Reading until that be done."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 2090, we do ask for an appropriation of a million dollars and we hope that ...we don't know how far the million dollars will go or will it be more than ample enough to carry through with it is what we're trying to do here. So in House Bill 2090, we do have an appropriation and we hope that this will be sufficient to carry out the purposes and the intents of this Bill. 2089 deals with only the substantive section of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well the very fact that this is going to be trial and error and you don't have to read very far in House Bill 2089 to define that it is going to be that regardless of what you think. That seems to me to indicate that in this case there should be a fiscal note and that this Bill should remain on Second Reading."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, if that be the case and the fiscal note would be appropriate, I would still ask for the



adoption of these Amendments. We can still hold it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 2089. All in favor of the adoption say 'aye', opposed, 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4. Committee Amendment #4. Amend House Bill 2089..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Amendment #4 merely takes out the not-for-profit provision of this Bill. I ask for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2089. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to let my Representative on the other side of the aisle know that I just now have filed a fiscal note for \$2,000,000."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further Amendments? None? Shall we leave this on Second Reading, is that correct? Are we leaving this on Second, Representative Garmisa?"

Garmisa: "The fiscal note is filed."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, Representative Garmisa has just indicated that the fiscal note has been filed for an amount of \$2,000,000."

Walsh: "Would the Gentleman hold the Bill so that we may have an opportunity to look at the fiscal note and we can go on with other business? Hold the Bill on Second Reading."

Garmisa: "I would prefer not to."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."



Choate: "Well Mr. Speaker, I do believe that the precedent was set a moment ago as far as filing the fiscal note is concerned, it's kind of on an immediate basis and I would suggest that the Majority Leader do the same thing that we did on this, I'd just go down and take a look at it and let her go."

Walsh: "Well, I'm unable to get down there and look at it and suggest that in the few minutes that it would take to hold it. Now I understand that the fiscal note is in the amount of approximately \$2,000,000. Is that right, Mr. Sponsor? And that there is an appropriation Bill that accompanies this, as the sponsor pointed out, House Bill 2090, that would call for an appropriation of between \$800,000 and \$1,000,000. Now that's a tremendous discrepancy and we'd like to see if we can bring these together before we advance the Bill."

Garmisa: "I'm happy to find out we're on the same side, Representative Walsh....on the safe side."

Walsh: "You're on the safe side alright."

Garmisa: "Did you think I said same side?"

Walsh: "Alright, we would save some time if we could keep this Bill on Second Reading and then return to it in a few minutes."

Garmisa: "I would prefer moving this Bill today, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman gains no time in moving this Bill to Third Reading today. The Bill has been read a second time. Now if he waited until tomorrow before the Bill was called again on Second Reading and advanced to Third, he could pass it tomorrow. So I submit to the Gentleman that it would



be extending an ordinary courtesy at no cost to him if he's interested in time because he loses no time by keeping the Bill on Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative ...the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "I'd like to ask the Gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, if there's a different way in the way that he spelled the word 'courtesy'. It appears that the same thing that he's requesting here and now was partaken of a few moments ago when the Speaker had his Bill up to do something, I don't even remember what it was, I don't know how much the amount of the fiscal note was, I didn't ask for it to be held because I realized that he had the gavel in his hand and if you spell courtesy with a 'C' on side and with a 'K' on this side, then we'd better get a new definition as far as courtesy is concerned. If the Gentleman was truly interested in the fiscal note, he said he didn't have time to go down and take a look at it, he could have been down and seen it and back in his seat in the amount of time that he spent asking for it to be held up. Now if he wants the Bill held for some reason other than the fact of the fiscal note, then why doesn't he ask the sponsor if he will hold it up for the reason for which he just might have in mind. I don't know what it is, but if there is a reason, I'm sure Representative Garmisa would be glad to consider a reason other than the fiscal note. I'm not saying that he would agree though."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, relative to the 'C's' just mentioned and with leave to the mayor of Hyde Park, I would like to introduce to the assembly the lovely daughter of Clyde



Choate, that's 'C', that's Kim, that's 'K', in the balcony right here, who is just seventeen years old and a graduate of..a recent graduate from high school, with her dear friend, Janet."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion with respect to... Representative Walsh?"

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it isn't the spelling of courtesy so much that we're concerned with, it's the interpretation. Now we would like to take a look this, Sparky, did you finally decide that you would leave it on Second Reading? Oh, well we're concerned, for one thing, to find out how much of this \$2,000,000 or \$800,000 depending upon the point of view, will be used, for example, for the C.T.A.? If you'll remember, it comes in for a substantial load of money from the Regional Transportation Authority and we want if they aren't kind of doubling up on it. So I would request, once again, that you hold this on Second Reading in order that we have a look at it."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think the Majority Leader was present when we adopted the explanation of it...when we adopted Amendment #1. And what we're talking about Mr. Majority Leader, in Amendment #1, the intent of this Amendment is to partially relieve the exorbitant economic transportation costs first imposed on our handicapped community and this change assures that the C.T.A. or any other carrier will not receive reimbursements for their regular services that a handicapped person may use. And this Amendment attempts to clarify this issue by clearly limiting the system, the special transportation service, which is exclusively for the handicapped person and the C.T.A. does not have this service at the present time. Public carriers like the C. T. A. could provide such special service, but they



have to be separated by the existing on-going system that is available to the public in general. And this proposal under this Amendment #1 is not aid to carriers, but rather a highly special and deserving group of people. This knocks the C.T.A. out of the box. I don't know where the Majority Leader was when we adopted this Amendment. Mr. Speaker, I ask that House Bill 2089 be moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Juckett: "Sparky, are you indicating that if they wanted to put in a handicapped service that they would have to run separate buses?"

Garmisa: "What we're talking about here, is they would have to, I don't think they got the full implication of what Amendment #1 does, Bob. Actually what we are talking about here is they would, the C.T.A. if they would participate, would have to make a special bus, these are buses that have a fork-lift type of an operation where they come down and they pick the wheel chair off the ground and put it into the bus, and they can only...the C.T.A. is not looking to get into this type of business, there are special people that are...there are some non-profit corporations that are now in operation that are working under a project, a model project program, and hopefully we can get these people that are marooned in their wheel chairs and not able to go to work, we're not looking to benefit an carrier that's in existence now, we're looking to get these people out of their homes and into some job. This is all we're trying to do here, we're not trying to help the C.T.A. of any other special carrier and I don't



know where anybody is getting this idea from in the first place."

Juckett: "Well my understanding is that we do have a State program which is experimenting with transportation for the handicapped. I think we passed a Bill a year or so ago for \$1,000,000 and I'm just wondering if that is operating in the City of Chicago and if by your Amendment, you would be prohibiting the handicapped from getting around."

Garmisa: "This is not at all what the intent, nor the purpose of this Bill is. This is to expand and put in this program and make it an effective program. The Bill you're talking about now that was passed two years in in the hands of the Department of Transportation. I don't know that if anything ever came out of that project."

Speaker Telcser: "Alright, one minute, Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I raise a point of order. The Amendments have been debated and adopted and I don't think there is anything further on this Bill that's on the floor except to move it to Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "I think your point is well taken sir. Is there anything else with respect to what Representative Walsh wanted before we go to Third on this? Wait a second, Representative Walsh, do you have any further questions of the sponsor with respect to moving it to Third?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman has submitted a fiscal note in a manner of speaking. He has given us his estimate of what the cost will be, which as we pointed out earlier, is substantially different from the appropriation in the Bill. I suppose that if the Fiscal Note Act can be interpreted that way, we probably are required to accept the note that he



submitted in this own handwriting and with his signature so I remove my objection. I would, once again, appeal to the Gentleman's better nature and ask him to hold it to be courteous to a fellow Member, but he doesn't seem inclined to do that, so I remove my objection."

Speaker Telcser: "One last appeal to your better nature, Representative?"

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, I will say this, if at any time the Majority Leader wants to me to bring this Bill back, I will be happy to accomodate him."

Speaker Telcser: "It sounds like a good compromise. Third Reading. House Bill 2815."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2815. Fleck. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 1 and 4 in relation to compensation to Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Seleke: "Amendment #1. Lundy. Amend House Bill 2815 on page 2, line 3, by inserting between 'year' and 'number' the following."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, pursuant to an agreement between the sponsor of the Bill and myself, I move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1. Are there any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #1 is tabled."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Lundy. Amend House Bill 2815 on page 3, line 3, and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an Amendment to the Legislative Pay Raise Bill, which would authorize each Member of the



General Assembly to designate one or more administrative assistants who's total salary could not exceed \$12,000 per year and who would be paid as employees of the State of Illinois. Whatever salary the Member determines to be paid to the administrative assistant would then be deducted from his or her contingent expense allowance. Now the reason for this Amendment is two-fold. First, it is to make available to those persons who are designated by Members of the General Assembly as administrative assistants, the fringe benefits of State employment. That is medical insurance, retirement benefits, and so forth. Second purpose of this Amendment is one that I think should be important to all the Members of this House and that is to avoid a problem which all of us face as to who is responsible under the federal income tax laws for withholding federal income tax from the salaries of employees that are designated by Members of the General Assembly. At the present time, employees designated by Members of the House and Senate are paid out of the contingent expense allowance and the State of Illinois refuses to withhold federal income tax from their pay. It is not at all clear in this situation who is responsible for withholding from their pay and I think all of us are on dangerous ground if we continue under the present system. Therefore this Amendment would permit these employees designated by the Members to be paid as all other Member employees of the General Assembly. I ask for a favorable vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well Mr. Speaker, as the principle Sponsor to House Bill 2815, I have no objection to this Amendment, it does not increase the amount of money coming from the



State and I think it's a good Amendment for the sake of the Members in view of the I.R.S. ruling questioning who should make the withholding for payments that we make to assistants by way of vouchers at this present time. So for that reason I have no objection."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Just a question to the sponsor of the Amendment.

Joe, can you hire more than one administrative assistant under..."

Lundy: "Yes, the intent of the Amendment is that the number of assistants is left up to the individual Member."

Choate: "As long as you can hire more than one, I'm going to vote for it. If you can only hire one and get this kind of dough in there, I'm afraid he'd run against me the next time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would he yield for questioning?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Shea: "What happens like now, two Members each hire one, the same girl, each pay a proportionate part of her salary? If you designated her as a State employee, she'd be on two payrolls as one employee. Now have you thought about that because I think that what you might be doing is hurting the ultimate employee that you are talking about hiring, but I don't think Lindberg would let you have, say three people want to split the cost of girl and keep her in one office that if they designated her as each of their employees, she would, in effect, be on the State payroll three times."

Lundy: "No, I think under the Amendment, as it is intended to work, that person would be an employee of the General Assembly and pay as an employee of the General



Assembly, but if three Members wanted to agree to each deduct a portion of her salary from their contingent expense allowance, that would be permissible and that's the way...so that they would share the cost of the employee, you would still be paid as a Member ...as an employee of the General Assembly and be entitled to the benefits of the regular employee."

Shea: "Yeh, but as I read the Amendment, each of the three Representatives would have to designate that person as their employee, which in effect, would be putting her on two or three separate vouchers because of the uniqueness and we've got of vouchering this."

Lundy: "Representative Shea, the whole purpose of this Amendment is to do away with paying employees of the Members by vouchers. They would be paid as if they were employees of the General Assembly, and not by voucher. The only effect on the Member would be that whatever portion of the employees salary was to be attributed to the work done by that employee for that Member then that portion of the salary would be deducted from that Member's contingent expense allowance."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Sims."

Sims: "Mr. Speaker, one question of the sponsor. Representative Lundy, is the total amount charged to the legislator? Let's give the example that you had decided to pay the assistant \$6000. Is \$6000 then charged to the account of the Representative or is it \$6000 plus the pension contribution and the insurance payment?"

Lundy: "Well the intention is that the full cost of having the employee on the payroll of the General Assembly would be deducted from the Member's expense allowance."



Sims: "The full cost, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I wonder if the sponsor would yield to a question."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Schraeder: "Representative Lundy, the main sponsor of the Bill indicated that there would be no increase cost because of your Amendment. It seems to be me that there would be an increase cost and in would like to put this question to you. Have you figured the cost of the fringe benefits as relation to the process right now? At the moment, anybody we have on our payroll through the legislator's allowance, we don't pay any health insurance, we don't pay any pension cost or any other fringe benefits. Have you estimated what the additional cost would be to the State even if it did come out of our allowance? In other words, we're going to increase, it seems to me, the...all the costs for the health and welfare of the employee that we have plus all the other fringe benefits."

Lundy: "Well to the extent, as I just indicated, in response to the question from Representative Sims, the full cost to the State of these additional employees, including the fringe benefits would be deducted from the contingent expense allowance of the Members. Now remember, that no Member is required to use this method of designating an administrative assistant. Any Member can continue to pay according to the present system if he chooses to do it that way. But the Amendment gives all of us an additional flexibility which we don't presently have, a flexibility which I think is desirable because of the questionable..."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose..."

Lundy: "Thank you...the Amendment, as I was saying, does not require any Member to designate an administrative assistant under this system. The Member could continue to pay on vouchers out of the contingent expense fund if he or she chose to do it that way."

Schraeder: "Alright, let me ask you the next question, if every Member that has an administrative assistant and/or assistant, if they chose this form of method, what would be the increase cost to the State of Illinois through the fringe benefits."

Lundy: "There won't be an additional cost, Fred, because the full cost of paying that employee including the cost of the fringe benefits will be deducted from the contingent expense allowance."

Schraeder: "Alright, let me go one step farther, at the present time, I have an administrative assistant getting \$300, now if I put him on this method that you advocate, will not the State pay for fringe benefits? And will not that increase the cost way above \$300?"

Lundy: "The State will pay the fringe benefits, but to the extent that that results in any additional cost out of the State Treasury, that amount will be deducted from your contingent expense allowance."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tom Miller."

Miller: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Miller: "Representative Lundy, if a legislator hired twelve administrative assistants at \$1000 per year, or \$900 per year, is he responsible for paying the insurance premium and other fringe benefits for all twelve?"



Lundy: "Whatever the additional cost to the State of supplying those fringe benefits to those employees that amount, in addition to the salary, would be deducted from that Member's contingent expense allowance."

Miller: "Well then considerably, a guy could hire fifty administrative assistants and get them all insurance coverage at the courtesy of the State of Illinois for his funds."

Lundy: "No, because his contingent expense allowance wouldn't provide enough money to cover the cost of the fringe benefits for fifty people."

Miller: "I could go out and hire a sixteen year old girl or an eighteen year old girl or a guy and get them insurance coverage and have it paid for out of my contingency fund, couldn't I?"

Lundy: "I'm sorry I didn't hear the question."

Miller: "Well, if I hired an eighteen year old girl or an eighteen year old guy at \$100 per year, \$100 a year, they could get literally about \$300 in insurance coverage through my contingency fund for \$100 worth of work. Isn't that correct?"

Lundy: "If you chose to designate such a person as an administrative assistant, yes. I think most Members, of course, are responsible enough that they wouldn't do that, but it would be possible under the Amendment, that's right."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker, then briefly to address myself against the Amendment, I can appreciate the objective of Representative Lundy's Amendment and don't disagree with the objective, but in its application, it seems to me, it is thwart with problems and wouldn't it be better to introduce a Bill next year and work from there?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."



Terzich: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Representative Lundy is to be commended on his Amendment. I know we all have the problem about providing insurance for our administrative aides, and I would suggest, Joe, that you give some type of a definition as to what an administrative assistant is or an administrative aide because as Representative Miller said that you could designate. So maybe just put in one or two administrative assistants per year, whatever the case may be, but I would urge support of this Amendment and I'm sure that they could clean it up in the Senate."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would first like to call your attention to the sponsor of a portion of his Amendment which reads that the total annual salary for each assistant or assistants shall not exceed to the aggregate \$12,000. There's nothing in the Amendment which would indicate that fringe benefits are to be deducted from that \$12,000 which each legislator is to have so I would question your interpretation that it's something less than \$12,000 and the fringe benefits would go to make up \$12,000. Under the wording I don't think there is any question but what the State would be obligated to pay all three fringe benefits for all administrative assistants. And I would like to further comment that any employee of the State, by definition, is a full-time employee of the State so I think that you could very easily put a lot of people on the gravy train by adopting this Amendment. I would also like to point out to the Members that as soon as something like this is passed, the doorbells will ring, the phones will ring, and there will be a lot of people grabbing



us by the lapels, you've got patronage that you never had before and I want my share. As the one who, with Representative Katz, more or less conceived the idea of a staff allowance for our home districts, when we were in biennial sessions, it would seem to me that this is a bad proposal. I also think raising it to \$12,000 is bad as I thought raising it to \$10,000 was bad. I don't see any need to have this kind of money available for expenditure in the home district when we spend as much time as we do in Springfield. I would oppose this Gentleman's Amendment because I think it is unworkable and would lead to an extremely bad precedent. We would have at least 177 employees on the State payroll and maybe twelve times 177. I would urge a no vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, this motion...this Amendment has been fully discussed and I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question, all in favor say 'aye', the opposed, 'no', Representative Lundy to close."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had noted the comments of some of the critics of the Amendment, I do not agree that it isn't clear from the Amendment that the fringe benefits to be included in the amount deducted from the contingent expense allowance, I might add that if there is any question about that in anybody's mind, I would be more than happy to work out an appropriate Amendment in the Senate to make sure that that is clear. It was certainly the sponsor's intent and that was communicated to the Reference Bureau, who did the drafting of the Amendment. Let me say that this is not really changing the present arrangements under which we operate all that



much, it certainly will not increase the cost to the State over and above what is already proposed in the Bill, which is a \$2000 per year increase in the contingent expense allowance. What it will do is greatly simplify the lives of those persons who are already employed by Members of the House and paid by voucher. Because it will make available to them health insurance benefits, which I understand, are a relatively low cost and make them eligible to participate in the State retirement system. For those of you who may employ older persons, widows or widowers or persons who don't have any other outside means of support, I say this is the very least that you owe them. The Amendment will also protect the Members of this House because it will take us out of the uncomfortable position that all of us that are now in where we don't know what our responsibilities under the federal income tax laws are. And for those who have never been faced with an I.R.S. of your contingent expense allowance, I can tell you it is not a pleasant experience. So let's get the law clarified and let's make it clear where the responsibilities for federal income tax, withholding rest, and let's pass this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2815. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Okay, have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 76 'ayes', 24 'nays', 4 answering present and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #2 prevails. Are there further Amendments? That's it, Mr. Clerk. Third Reading. House Bill 2833."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2833. A Bill for an Act making



appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Shea. Amend House Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that was in the record before where Representative Hunsicker and Representative Walsh had a question. I think their questions have been answered. If there is no other question, I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2833. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed, ho! The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bills' Third Reading. House Bill 2348."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2348. Boyle. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation Bill for the Department of Conservation and I'd just ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2348 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 136 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering present, this Amendment receiving the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed."



House Bill 2351. We're going to go...a...let me announce to the Members, we're going to go through appropriations, House Bills' Third, and appropriations, Senate Bills' Third. Okay?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2351. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Just to raise a point of order. You're going to go down the calendar commencing with 2348, I assume, and you are not going to go above there, is that correct? Don't the rules provide that we call Bills in numerical sequence?"

Speaker Telcser: "Under the provisions of Rule 37, the Speaker has a prerogative to call groupings of Bills that are on similar subject matters and since all of these are appropriations, they are considered similar subject matter."

Walsh: "You mean the appropriation for the Department of Conservation is still under the appropriation of the Department of Personnel?"

Speaker Telcser: "In that they are all appropriations Bills."

Walsh: "I've been here a long time, but I never heard that one before."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, Rule 37(a), the second paragraph I think is the subject that you inquired about. The Speaker under his discretion may upon entering a given order of business either (1) call Bills of Resolution pertaining to similar subject matter for consideration at the same time or consecutive order as the House may consider and resolve the subject matter at one time."

Walsh: "Well it is your ruling that all appropriation Bills



are, because they are appropriation Bills, are the same subject matter?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes sir."

Walsh: "Well..."

Speaker Telcser: "...and I understand the State Constitution, by the way, also deals with appropriation Bills in similar subsections in sections of the State Constitution...as a class of Bills."

Walsh: "Alright, well I would just like the journal to show that I dissent from that ruling."

Speaker Telcser: "Alright."

Walsh: "And I would hope that the Rules Committee would take it up when they meet because it seems to me under no interpretation, under any dictionary, can you say that these are all Bills of the same subject matter."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Mr. Clerk, would you please journalize...enter upon the journal Representative Walsh's dissent. The Gentleman from Cook... Representative Walsh has requested that his dissent be journalized with respect to the Speaker's ruling that appropriation Bills are considered a class of Bills, similar Bills. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I just have joined Representative Walsh in that dissent."

Speaker Telcser: "Would you enter Representative Duff's name and Representative Matijeovich's name upon the journal? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister. Oh, would you include Representative R. Hoffman's name in that dissent. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister. The Bill has been read a third time sir."

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Finance.



This Bill was well worked over on Second Reading in which we deleted somewhere around \$812,200, making a total appropriation now of \$16,019,400 and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2351 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 146 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 answering present, this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2352."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2352. Craig. Appropriations for the expense of the State Fair Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Thank you Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, the appropriation to the State Fair Agency which has had a fair hearing and I'd appreciate the support of the House on the appropriation for the State Fair."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2352 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 145 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2356."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2356. Appropriation for expense for certain agencies of the State government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House,



the agencies involved here are the Commission on Human Relations and the Governor's Office of Human Resources. We debated this at length on Friday last. I ask your indulgence in a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I plan to support this Bill, but I do hope that the Governor will clean house on some of the items that I understand were debated last week which involved taking money that was supposed to be for charity and used for helping somebody else's campaign fund in given areas. I do hope that we don't have the same thing happen again."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2356 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain my vote. My vote is a protest vote because I feel in this situation here that the Governor is playing Robin Hood. The only thing that he's doing is robbing the poor to feed the rich."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I had hoped that this Bill had been debated thoroughly, but I think it is about time that we stopped taking cheap shots at the Governor. Let this Governor go on out and we get on with our business."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, 113 'ayes', 13 'nays', 16 answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared



passed. House Bill 2358."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2358. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses....a...House Bill 2358. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Insurance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the appropriation Bill for the Department of Insurance, I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2358 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Mr. Clerk, do you want to take the record sir? On this question 145 'ayes', 3 'nays', none answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2360."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2360. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Illinois Historical Library. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook...from Perry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "I'd like leave of the House to return this Bill to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked leave to take House Bill 2360 back to the order of second. Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 2360 is on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. McGrew. Amend House Bill 2360 as amended..."

Speaker Telcser: "...the Gentleman from Perry, Representative



McGrew...from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Amendment #2 provides for an information service for the Historical Library as well as \$6000 to upgrade and resurface the parking lot of Carl Sandburg's birth place in Knox County. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is a complete surprise and I would ask that the sponsor if he'll hold it on Second Reading so we can look at it."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, wish to respond?"

McGrew: "This Amendment was proposed about a week ago and a copy was given to both staff members."

Washburn: "I haven't heard of it, Representative McGrew, at all. I would appreciate it if you would hold this up so we can look at it and straighten it out."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Take that out of the record. The Bill is on Second Reading. Take it out of the record, it's on Second Reading. Okay the next Bill.... Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

McGrew: "Could I now...just a question of parliamentary inquiry. Should I take it back to Third and bring it back or..."

Speaker Telcser: "...that's just for the Clerk's office. Do you want it Second or Third, Rred."

Clerk Selcke: "It's on Second."

Speaker Telcser: "Is that okay with you?"

McGrew: "Why don't we just take it out of the record altogether?"

Speaker Telcser: "Take this whole action out of the record, it will be on Third Reading. Okay? Okay, 2360 is on Third Reading, 2365, at the request of the sponsor,



won't be called. House Bill 2367."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2367. Tipsword. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense to the Department of Business and Economic Development. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "This is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Business and Economic Development and it was amended in Committee and the Amendment placed on the House floor, I move that this Bill be approved."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2367 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question 153 'ayes', 1 'nay', and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2405."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2405. Taylor. Appropriation for expense for certain agencies of the State government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2405 is the annual appropriation for the consolidated agencies of the Department of Financial Institutions, the Commission on Banks and Trusts, Savings and Loan. I know of no objection and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2405 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? J. J. Wolfe, 'aye'. Take the



record. On this question there are 148 'ayes', 2 'nays', 2 answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed.

Bud Yourell, 'aye' House Bill 2416."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2416. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of General Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I'd like to move House Bill 2416 back to the order of Second for the purpose of an agreed Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objection? Hearing none, House Bill 2416 is back on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #6. Grotberg. Amend House Bill 2416 as amended on page 6 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "I move for the adoption of the Amendment Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Would somebody be kind enough to explain it since the Clerk didn't read it?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, it's all Geo-Karis' fault, she spilled hot coffee on my table. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an agreed Amendment between the sponsor of this Bill, the Department of General Services and the appropriation staffs on both sides of the aisle. It, in effect, handles the new concept of centralized printing office



as proposed by the Department of General Services, but it reduces their appropriation into an effective and responsible limitation on a very good idea of putting together of about fourteen print shops that you could stand here on the floor of the House and throw rocks and hit the Capitol complex and having it, I know of no responsible opposition to this Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer. "

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Lauer: "Representative Grotberg, do you see that what would this do so far as employment in the Department of General Services? How many extra people would General Services need to handle this?"

Grotberg: "There are currently, they've already started on a centralized print shop that has nine employees. They have already absorbed twelve more to this function from other Departments who were doing similar work, leaving a net difference, I'm sorry, yes, twelve additional, eighteen additional, and we've cut their appropriation some \$300,000 for this function, Representative Lauer, there are some new jobs. The jobs that we got rid of were jobs that looked rather fuzzy around the edges, as you and I talked, and the jobs that are left are actual performing operation jobs."

Lauer: "Are these civil service jobs..."

Grotberg: "...yeh, they are civil service jobs."

Lauer: "Is that under the old Civil Service Code, that is the one that gives through Civil Service protection or is that under the new one where if they want to get rid of somebody, they reorganize them right out of existence?"

Grotberg: "I think you'll find that this is under the old



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

one sir. These are not exempt jobs in any sense of the word."

Lauer: "I quite frankly get the impression, if I may speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, I get the impression, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this is one of those things where we're getting some smoke blown in our ear and I've had smoke blown in my ear by experts, but quite frankly this one is just a little bit too much to accept."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Grotberg, for what purpose do you rise?"

Grotberg: "May I close on this item?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, proceed."

Grotberg: "Representative Lauer, I appreciate the challenge on that, but as you know, considerable work has been done and if it had not been for this work, this thing would have gone through three days ago at a \$300,000 more price and those jobs that you referred to would have been in it, they have been deleted and we are getting a handle on an impossible situation. Thank you very much. I would appreciate everybody supporting this good Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Alright, the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 2416. All in favor of the adoption signifying by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the appropriation for General Services and the original Bill started out at \$42,332,700. Amendment #1 reduced it by \$2,488,100. Amendment #2 increased it by \$125,000 that's for a memorial for Everett



Dirksen. Amendment #3 increased it by \$45,000. We're now at \$46,079 and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2416 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 154 'ayes'. No 'nays'. One answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2305. Lauer, 'aye'. Lauer, 'aye'. 2305. I'm sorry, 2505, excuse me. 2505."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2505. An Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of Data Information Systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I request permission to hear 2504 along with 2505 as they are the same subject and both of them having gone through Executive Committee at 15 to nothing and Appropriations, 19 to nothing."

Speaker Telcser: "Hearing none, will the Clerk please read 2504?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2504. An Act creating Data Information Systems making and defining its powers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Well Mr. Speaker, in order to conserve time, I believe everybody here is well aware of what the Data Information Systems does do. And the amount that is currently with the one Amendment from the Committee now stands at \$12,500. I solicit your favorable Roll."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall these two Bills pass. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'.



One record and the Clerk will xerox it. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On these two questions there are 148 'ayes,' no 'nays,' none answering present. And this Bill receiving the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed, House Bill 2717.

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2717. An Act making appropriation to the Capitol Development Board, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2717 is a supplemental appropriation to the Capitol Development Board for Carl Sandburg Junior College."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any...that's it? Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 2217... Representative Hudson, are you seeking recognition sir?"

Hudsons: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Where's the sponsor? Where is he?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sam?"

Hudson: "Why is this needed? Why is it needed?"

McGrew: "Okay, this is a supplemental appropriation for the Carl Sandburg Junior College. What has happened to this point is rather long and detailed, they have spent some four years at the House of Representatives and Senate have passed an appropriation for purposes of building a campus there. They have been in the planning stage since 1968. They've dealt with the Governor's staff when it was originally conceived, then they were told to go to the Capitol Development Board, but told the delay was because it had not been appointed yet. They then met with the Capitol Development Board and revamped plans once again. To make a long



story short, through various governmental agencies, the Governor's Building Commission or the Capitol Development Board, the Junior College Board, and so on and so forth, they spent five years trying to build a college with the same amount of money they bid it and found that they can't do it, to no one's surprise."

Hudson: "Is this building over and above what they originally planned?"

McGrew: "Right."

Hudson: "And how much does it amount to?"

McGrew: "705,000."

Hudson: "Construction add-ons, would you say?"

McGrew: "No it is not...it is not a...for additional construction, actually, we went for bids and the bids came in a million eight over. Subsequently, the junior college went back, we cut out landscaping, parking lots, equipment for interior of the building, anything we could think of, we cut out and got absolutely a bare-bones building and we're still, after rebidding that, came \$705,000 over."

Hudson: "One more question Sam. That is what is the total appropriation of it?"

McGrew: "The total appropriation was 4.8 and this would make it 5.5 million dollars."

Hudson: "Thank you."

McGrew: "You're welcome."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, This might be a rather expensive way for the State to proceed. There are other colleges awaiting what our action may be on this Bill. I don't recall exactly what the appropriation is here, but there's an additional \$300,000,000 just waiting if we establish this precedent



that we are establishing in passing this Bill and I think the Members of the General Assembly ought to take a careful look at this before we approve it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to speak in support of this Bill. Certainly the people in the Carl Sandburg College have struggled over a period of some five years to build a campus. I think it is the fault of the bureauacracy of State agencies that have prevented it happening. Certainly I think that we, as a General Assembly, are in support of the community colleges in the State of Illinois. And let me say to you a vote for this Bill is a way of indicating your support for that concept of higher education. I urge a yes vote on the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am speaking in support of this legislation, House Bill 2717, one of the reasons that this came about of this shortage is is that they were stalled more or less into the inflation period, coming into it, it's caused this to be much more than what was originally anticipated. If they would have got the permit here a couple of three years ago at which time they were hoping to get it, this wouldn't have been required, but we all know what inflation has done to construction costs and therefore this Bill is needed. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, does Representative McGrew wish to close?"

McGrew: "I move for its passage."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 2717 pass."



All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Add on, okay. On this question 122 'ayes', 6 'nays', 1 answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2786."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2786. Appropriation to the Commission on for Uniformity of Legislation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Randolph."

Randolph: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this appropriates \$10,500 to the Commission for the Uniformity of Legislation and the United States to pay the Illinois contribution to the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Law. The contribution is now due, it is past due, it has not been paid because of the inadvertence of the payer to submit the required voucher before the last of that appropriation fund. I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 2786 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Telcser, 'aye' also. On this question there are 142 'ayes', 4 'nays', none answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Is Representative Berman on the floor? There he is. House Bill 2802."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2802. Berman. Appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."



Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2802 is the Bill that provides for the full funding of the School Aid Formula for fiscal 1975."

Speaker Telcser: "We've got to wait until the machine ticks out the last Roll Call. The question is shall House Bill 2802 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye! The opposed by voting 'no'. Okay, have all voted who wished? Let's see that they all get on this one. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 162 'ayes; no 'nays', none answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Now we are going to pick up those two Committee Bills. House Bill 2790. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Just a question once again, Mr. Speaker. How can you jump around the calendar? You announced that you were just going to call up appropriation Bills and I think maybe some Members relied on that representation."

Speaker Telcser: "I said just now, I want to go back and pick up the two Committee Bills."

Walsh: "I know what you said, but...but you said you were going to call the Appropriation Bills under Rule 37, whatever it was..."

Speaker Telcser: "...which...we have done, which was completed."

Walsh: "Well if you are going to go anywhere, you ought to go all the way back."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask that we go to the Senior Citizens Property Tax Relief Bills, that is to go to that order of business that covers that subject, which I think you can, you do have discretion to do."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Yourell: "I didn't know that!"

Speaker Telcser: "Now you do, Buz. Representative Sevcik, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, you mentioned wanted to hear all appropriation Bills on House...on Third Reading and then go to Senate Bills' Appropriation Bills on Third Reading. So let's go to Senate Appropriation Bills on Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Shea: "Well I don't want to argue with the Chair, but if we follow the reasoning of Mr. Skinner and take the subject matter such as he just did, we might as throw out Rule 37 right out the window, because the Speaker then could just take any subject matter of any Bill. The purpose of 37(b) is for the convenience of calling Bills on light subject matter at a light time. I read your mind, Mr. Walsh."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise?"

Skinner: "Well Mr. Speaker, I would be willing to accept that interpretation if the Gentleman, or if the House would give us leave to consider these two Bills that were taken out of the record the last time they were on Third Reading at the request of somebody on this floor, that's for sure. It seems to me that property tax relief for senior citizens is an important subject matter that we should get to the Senate just as expeditiously as possible. It certainly is as important as any appropriation Bills we've had today."

Shea: "Well that would take just a motion from the floor by one of the sponsors and with either request of leave of the House or a Roll Call vote."



Skinner: "Well may we request leave of the House then. That would be the way the Gentleman would prefer."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Objections have been heard. Do you wish to put a motion sir?"

Skinner: "Yes. Suspend the provisions of Rule 37 to allow the House to consider House Bills 2790 and 91."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 37(b)...(a)...for the purpose of allowing the Chair to go out of order to call House Bills 2790 and 2791. The Gentleman's motion will take 107 votes. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion... the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate... Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, since it is a Committee Bill, I would like to know who the floor sponsor is and what right the Gentleman from McHenry has to come out and move ...I mean we've always had the chief sponsor handle his own legislation. Now it's my understanding Representative J. David Jones is the chief sponsor of that piece of legislation on the floor."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, do you wish to respond?"

Skinner: "Well I think if you look in the digest you will see that there are three chief sponsors and they are Representative Jones, Representative Skinner and Representative Lundy, who are Members of the original Subcommittee. Now if Representative Jones disagrees with what I am doing, he's certainly..you know, I would certainly yield to his judgement."

Shea: "Well, it's always been my interpretation of the courtesies of this House that the chief sponsor had the control of the Bill. Now I notice that there have been Bills of this kind that have mentioned one or two names together, but it's always been at least the



courtesy of this House that the man or woman's name whose name is mentioned first has been the floor handler of that piece of legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Representative Jones, do you wish to respond?"

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we would appreciate your consideration of giving us leave to hear these Bills at this time. As one of the three cosponsors of the legislation, it was taken out of the record last week and delayed to answer some of the questions that have been brought up and we think we have the answers for that. There seem to be no others to be considered."

Speaker Telcser: "Well Representative Jones, leave has not been granted to allow the Chair to go out of order. If you wish to persist along with Representative Skinner, then you will be wanting to put a motion and try and get 107 votes. Do you persist in your motion, Representative Skinner? Representative Jones, do you wish to put a motion sir?"

Jones: "Yes, we would."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to suspend the Rules of 37(a) for the purpose of allowing the Speaker to call House Bills 2790 and 2791 out of order. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. It takes 107 votes. Okay, have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 90 'ayes', 11 'nays,' 11 answering present. The Gentleman's motion fails. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1260."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1260. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry,"

Barry: "I would take a favorable vote. It's no change from last year's appropriation,"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1260 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting, . . . Senate Bill 1260 pass, . . . all in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Huskey, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 149 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering present. Maragos, 'ye'. And this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1377, Porter, aye on the last Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1377, An Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense to the Illinois Legislative Counsel, Third Reading of the Bill,"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry,"

Barry: "Repeat, I'll take the same Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1377 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 151 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1400. Senate Bill 1400, An Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction, Third Reading of the Bill. "

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman,"

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is th FY '74 deficiency for the State Aid Formula in the amount of \$12,331,000 and I would appreciate



your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1400 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 157 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering present, and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1501."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1501. An Act to amend Section 2 and to add Section 2.1 to an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move Senate Bill 1501 back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment, which I think is up on the Clerk's desk."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, Senate Bill 1501 is on Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Giorgi. Amend Senate Bill 1501 on page 1..."

Speaker Telcser: "...The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi..."

Giorgi: "...no change in the total, just corrections, and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 1501 on page 5, line 5, by deleting \$24,418,204 and inserting in lieu thereof \$24,418,024. And on page 5, line 6, by deleting \$22,360,209 and inserting in lieu thereof, \$22,360,029, and on page 5, line 14, by deleting the



words 'as nearly as possible'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Representative Giorgi, there are a number of Members who are asking if you'll explain your Amendment."

Giorgi: "Pull it out of the record."

Speaker Telcser: "Pull it out of the record? Alright, let's take this entire thing out of the record, it will stay on Third Reading. Okay, Zeke? It's on Third Reading. He just said so, Clyde. We'll put it on Third Reading for a moment. I'm informed by the Clerk that Senate Bill 1422 is an appropriation Bill, but it's in error not being in bold type. Is that right? Alright, Senate Bill 1422 is an appropriation transfer, it should be in bold type. It's in error so Senate Bill 1422."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1422. An Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Legislative Advisory Committee approved Bill and it's a transfer of \$720,000 to cover the social security and retirement of the employees on the Cook County merger last session. I'd appreciate your favorable support, there's no new money involved."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for a question? I understand these are for the Cook County employees that became State employees?"

Campbell: "That is correct."

Juckett: "Are these the same employees that are striking?"

Campbell: "That I..that I can't tell you."

Juckett: "But you are aware that the Cook County Department, or



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Giorgi is correct that there were technical errors in the Bill and should have been corrected in ...(tape trouble)... and we are catching up with them now."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1501. All in favor...is there any further discussion? All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed, 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I move for the adoption of Senate Bill 1501."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1501. An Act to amend Section 2 of an Act to add Section 2.1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1501 pass. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 27 'nays', 9 answering present and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Shea: "Since this Bill transfer appropriation and seeks to become a law immediately, does it need three-fifths or 89?"

Speaker Telcser: "89 votes, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Telcser: "A constitutional majority."

Shea: "In other words, your ruling is that because it has an



earlier effective date, then it's before July 31st, it only requires 89. Is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "That is correct, sir."

Shea: "Alright."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Merlo votes 'aye' on the last Roll Call. Yourell, 'aye'. Senate Bills' First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 1235. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Toll Highway Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1332. An Act to amend an Act relating to local government units. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1355. Reappropriation to the State Treasurer. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1383. An Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1395. An Act in relation to State finance. First Reading of the - Bill. Senate Bill 1467. A Bill for an Act to establish appellate court. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1493. A Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1494. Amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1495. Amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1550. Amends the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1560. Appropriation to the Governor's Traffic Safety Coordinating Committee. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1562. Amends the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1609. An Act to provide for the planning of the Illinois Health Facility. First Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture to which House Bill 2220 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass. Senate...House...a...Mr. Harpstrite from the Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources to which House Bill 2734 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive from which House Joint Resolution 93 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Resolution as amended be adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Bills 24, 25, 2500, and 2660 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Bill 2651 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills, as amended, do pass to be re-referred to Appropriations. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Bills 2652, 2712, 2713, 2817, and 2818 were referred reported same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass and be re-referred to Appropriations. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Bill 2381 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Joint Resolution 98 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 899 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution do not be adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 937 was referred reported the



same back with the recommendation do be adopted.

Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 938 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution do be adopted.

Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 975 was reported, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution do be adopted.

Mr. Blair from the Committee on Rules to which House Bills 2163, 2208, 2218, 2219, 2221, 2599, 2619, 2683, 2774, 2834, 2843, were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills be referred to the Committee on Assignment and the Bills be assigned to a standing Committee. Mr. Blair from Committee on Rules to which Senate Bills 831, 839, and 917 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills be returned to the calendar on the order of Third Reading. Mr. Blair from Rules to which Senate Bill 1227 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill be referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills for assignment to a standing Committee. No further Committee Reports."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Appropriations Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 8:30 in Room 400. I would like leave to hear the following Bills in addition to those that were already posted for tomorrow. I would like leave to hear these Bills tomorrow in addition to those that were already posted. House Bills 2090, 2341, 2669, 2702, 2703, 2762, 2793, 2207, 2280, 2281, 2304, 2483, 2804, 2810, 2849, 2850, and 51. House Bills 2199, 2200, 2607, 2675, 2839, 2853 and 54. Tomorrow morning at 8:30 in Room 400."



Speaker Telcser: "Are there objections to using the attendance Roll Call of the affirmative vote for the Gentleman's motion? Representative Ebbesen for what purpose do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.."

Speaker Telcser: "...are you objecting to the Gentleman using the Roll Call? Okay, then the attendance Roll Call will be used as the affirmative Roll Call for Representative Washburn's motion. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like leave of the House..."

Clerk Selcke: "...take the next mike...take the next mike, Joe."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like leave of the House to suspend the appropriate Rules to have Senate Bill 1395 posted and I've checked with the Committee Chairman, this is on the twelve-payment Bill that was passed out of the Senate 51 to nothing and I'd like to have it heard in Elementary and Secondary Education tomorrow afternoon."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there objections to the attendance Roll Call...the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate. Representative Ebbesen, could you explain what the Bills do in substance for Representative Choate."

Ebbesen: "Yes, this is the Bill that spells out the twelve payments of transferring the money from General Revenue to the common school fund and then eventually, it is now paid in twelve payments. There has been controversy over this, it will call for a ten equal payment...in ten months and an eleventh payment of one-sixth rather than one-twelfth to assure that all school districts are fully funded by the...a...a..and receive each month, the appropriate amount of money to run the schools throughout the State."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "This is Senate Bill 1395, Representative Ebbesen?"

Ebbesen: "That's correct."

Choate: "The synopsis is not necessarily correct in the House Journal because there was many Amendments adopted to conform to the thinking of other people. Is that correct?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, that is correct."

Choate: "I have no objection."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, hearing no objections, then the attendance Roll Call will be used as the affirmative Roll Call for the purpose of suspending Rule 18 with respect to Senate Bill 1395 being heard in the Elementary and Secondary Education Committee. Is there anything else. Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the applicable Rule in order that Bills that would die on the calendar today do not and be given one day extra life so that the expiration date will be tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections to using the attendance Roll Call as the affirmative Roll Call for the Gentleman's motion to suspend the provision of Rule 37 for the purposes of extending Bills and Resolutions on the calendar for one more day? Hearing no objections that will be the affirmative Roll Call. Okay, on the order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 32. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe, moves that the House do not adopt.."

Jaffe: "...we request a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Mr. Clerk, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe, with respect to House Bill 32, on the order of Conference Committee Reports, indicates



that the conferees cannot agree and move that the House do not adopt the Conference Committee Report and that a second Conference Committee be adopted. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed, 'no'. And...Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Well Mr. Speaker, the Conference Committee Report indicates that they want a second Conference Committee appointed. Now if we reject that then a second Conference Committee will not be appointed. Is that what you want?"

Jaffe: "I think that Bob is correct. The Conference Committee Report..."

Speaker Telcser: "...oh, then Representative Jaffe, you want to adopt the Conference Committee Report."

Jaffe: "...right, right, that's exactly right."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman..."

Jaffe: "...alright, our motion is that we adopt the Conference Committee Report and that a second Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman moves that the House adopt the Conference Committee Report in respect to House Bill 32. Alright, you want to take that out of the record until tomorrow? Let's take it out of the record now. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House adjourn until 12:00 noon tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves that the House stand adjourned until 12:00 noon, tomorrow. All in favor of signify 'aye', the opposed, 'no'. House stands adjourned until 12:00 o'clock noon. First Special Session will now come to order. The Members will please be in their seats. Representative Walsh asks leave to have the



attendance Roll Call used as the Roll Call for the First Special Session. Are there any objections? Hearing none, that will be the attendance Roll Call. Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Collins from Executive to which First Special Session House Bills 24 and 27 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which First Special Session House Bills 25 and 26 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. No further Committee Reports."

Speaker Telcser: "Anything else, Mr. Clerk? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider, now moves that the First Special Session stand adjourned until adjournment of the regular session tomorrow. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed, 'nd. The First Special Session stands adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1		Speaker Blair	House in order	
		Dr. Johnson	Invocation	
		Speaker Blair	Roll call for attendance	
		Speaker Blair	Messages from Senate	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads messages	
		Speaker Blair	Committee reports	
		Walsh, W.	Rep. Macdonald absent	
		Speaker Blair		
2		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee reports	
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate	Representative Fennessey absent also Rep. Schisler	
		Speaker Blair	Journal will indicate	
3-4		Clerk Selcke	Continues with reports. Correction in the Calendar	
		Speaker Blair	House Bills, 2nd reading	
5		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2107	
		Speaker Blair		
		Molloy	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2117	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reaing	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2181	
		Speaker Blair		
		Mahar	Explains CA #1	
6		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2296	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 1114	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Speaker Blair		
		Boyle	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 1115	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Hill	Table HB 2459	
7		Speaker Blair	HB 2459 is tabled	
		Walsh, W.	Motion for House to stand in recess.	
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate	Democratic conference	
		Speaker Blair	House stands in recess	
		Speaker Blair	House in order	
		Speaker Blair	House Bills, 2nd	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2303	
		Speaker Blair		
8		Washburn	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair		
		Maragos		
		Washburn	Discussion on CA #1	
9		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2	
		Speaker Blair		
		Washburn	Explains CA #2	
		Speaker Blair		
		Maragos	Can't hear!	
		Speaker Blair		
		Washburn	Explains again	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
10		Speaker Blair		
		Giorgi	Which commission?	
		Speaker Blair		
		Washburn		
		Giorgi	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
11		Lechowicz	Opposes	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea	Yield?	
		Speaker Blair		
		Shea		
12-13		Washburn	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair	HB 2303 TOOR	
14		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2391	
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Blair	Floor amendment	
		Skinner	Explains floor amendment #1	
		Speaker Blair		
		Mugalian	Don't understand	
		Speaker Blair		
		Skinner	Explains again	
15		Mugalian	Discussion	
		Skinner	Moves adoption	
		Speaker Blair	Floor Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2437	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> 4.
		Speaker Blair	
16		Wolf, J.J.	Moves CA #1
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2484
		Speaker Blair	
		Hoffman, G.	Explains Floor amendment #1
17		Speaker Blair	FA #1 adopted, 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	reads HB 2501
		Speaker Bliar	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	reads HB 2519
		Speaker Blair	
		Jones, D.	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2606
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading
18		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2616
		Speaker Blair	
		McCormick	Hold it?
		Speaker Bliar	Discussion. 2616, 2617, 2618 TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2628
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2629
		Speaker Bliar	
19		Juckett	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Blair	
20		Palmer	Yield?
		Juckett	Discussion



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2654 TOOR. 2671	
		Speaker Blair		
		Juckett	Explains CA #1 to 2671	
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2673	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2691	
		Speaker Blair		
21		Skinner	Explains Floor amendment #1	
22		Maragos	Something else!	
		Speaker Blair	FA #1 is adopted	
		Maragos		
		Speaker Blair	Discussion	
		Lechowicz	Request a fiscal note	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Rule 32 (e)	
		Speaker Blair	Fiscal note will be filed	
		Berman		
23		Speaker Blair	3rd reading HB 2691	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2692	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2741	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2743	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2744	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2752	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2753	
24		Spaker Blair		
		Berman	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2769	
		Speaker Blair		
25		Juckett	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair	CA 31 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2	
		Speeaker Blair		
		Juckett	Explains CA #2	
		Speaker Blair		
		Berman	Yield?	
		Speaker Blair		
		Berman		
		Juckett	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
		Grotberg		
		Juckett	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
26		Palmer		
		Juckett		
		Speaker Blair		



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			7.
		Leinenweber	Yield?
		Juckett	Discussion
		Speaer Blair	CA #2 adopted, 3rd reading
27		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2785
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2796
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2797
		Speaker Blair	
		Springer	Explains CA #1 to 2797
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2825
		Speaker Blair	
28		Collins	Held on 2nd?
		Speaker Blair	Take HB 2825.... TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2826
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
		Speaekr Blair	
		Getty	Amendments distributed?
		Speaker Blair	Not printed yet
		Getty	Discussion held on 2nd
		Speaker Blair	
29		Clerk Selcke	reads HB 2833
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Explains floor amendment #1



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Speaker Blair		
		Hunsicker	Yield?	
		Speaker Blair		
		Hunsicker	Question	
		Shea	Discussion	
30		Speaker Blair		
		Walsh, W.		
		Shea	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair	HB 2833 held on 2nd	
31		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2844	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2848	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 320	
		Speaker Blair		
		Douglas	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2518	
		Speaker Blair		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1	
		Speaker Blair		
32		Cunningham	Explains Amendment #1	
		Speaker Blair	A little order!	
33		Cunningham	Continues	
		Speaker Blair		
34		Leinenweber	Opposes	
35		Speaker Blair		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
		Hart	Supports	
		Speaker Blair		
		Kennedy	Supports	
		Speaker Blair		
		Krause	Supports	
36		Speaker Blair		
		Kriegsman		
		Speaker Blair		
		Fleck	Opposes	
		Speaker Blair		
37		Cunningham	To close	
38		Speaker Blair		
39		McMaster	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
		Grotberg	Explains vote	
40		Speaker Blair		
		Taylor	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair		
		Cunningham	Explains vote	
		Speaker Blair	Amemdment #1 fails	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2	
		Speaker Blair		
41		Hart	Explains Amemdment #2	
		Speaker Blair		
		Cunningham		
		Speaker Blair		
		Choate		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Speaker Blair		
		Geo-Karis	Yield?	
		Speaker Blair		
		Geo-Karis	Question	
42		Hart		
		Speaker Blair		
		Hart	Roll call vote	
		Speaker Blair		
		Rigney	Question	
		Hart	Discussion	
		Speaker Blair		
43		Fleck		
		Speaker Blair	Amendment #2 fails, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2545	
		Speaker Blair		
		Neff	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Blair	CA #1 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2546	
		Speaker Blair	TOOR HB 2546	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2634	
		Speaker Blair	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2089	
		Speaker Blair		
44		Garmisa	Explains CA #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
45		Hirchfeld	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Hirschfeld	Question	
		Garmisa		
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 adopted	
		Yourell	Moves to adjourn	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion lies upon the table	
		Yourell	Removes motion	
		Speaker Telcser		
46		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser	Roll call on motion to adjourn	
		Clerk Selcke	Choate moves to reconsider	
			Reads Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Garmisa	Explains CA #2	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 adopted	
47		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #3	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Garmisa	Explains #3	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.	Vote in the committee?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Garmisa	Yeild to Representative Neff	
		Speaker Telcser		
48		Neff	No desending votes	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Garmisa	Explains CA #3 again	
		Speaker Telcser		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
49		Walsh, W.	Request for fiscal note	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Garmisa		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh		
		Garmisa		
		Speaker Telcser	CA #3 adopted	
50		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #4	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Garmisa	Explains CA #4	
		Speaker Telcser	CA #4 adopted	
		Garmisa	Just filed fiscal note	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.	Still hold?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Walsh, W.		
		Garmisa	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.		
		Speaker Telcser		
51		Choate	Speaks to W. Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser		
52		Yourell	Introduction of Choate's daughter	
		Speaker Telcser		
53		Walsh, W.		
		Garmisa	Moves to go to 3rd	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	13.
		Speaker Telcser		
54		Juckett	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
55		Juckett		
		Garmisa	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman	Point of order	
		Speaker Telcser	Point well taken	
		Walsh, W.	Remove objection	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Garmisa		
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading	
56		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2815	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads FA #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lundy	Moves to table Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 tabled	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lundy	Explains Amendment #2	
		Speackr Telcser		
57		Fleck	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
58		Choate		
		Lundy		
		Speaker Telcser		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
		Shea	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea	Question	
		Lundy		
		Shea	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
59		Sims	Question	
		Lundy		
		Speaker Telcser		
60		Schraeder	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schraeder	Question	
		Lundy		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lundy	Continues	
		Schraeder	Discussion	
61		Speaker Telcser		
62		Miller, T.	Yield?	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Miller, T.	Question	
		Lundy		
		Miller, T.	Discussion	
		Miller, T.	Speaks on the Amendment	
		Speaker Telcser		
63		Terzich	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
64		Walsh, R.	Opposes	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Maragos	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lundy	To close	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 prevails, 3rd	readin
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2833	
		Speaker Telcser		
65		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea	Moves Amendment #1	
66		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd	readin
		Clerk Selcke	reading. House Bills, 3rd Reads HB 2348	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Boyle	Explains HB 2348	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2348 adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2351, 3rd	
		Speakr Telcser		
67		Walsh, R.	Inquiry	
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
		Walsh, R.	Dissent from ruling	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Duff	Join Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	Include R.L. Hoffman	
68		Sangmeister	Explains HB 2351	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2351 passed	
69		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2352	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Craig	Explains HB 2352	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2352 passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2356	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington	Explains HB 2356	
		Speaker Telcser		
70		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Taylor	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington	Explains vote	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2356 passed	
71		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2358	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mugalian	Moves adoption	
		Speaker Telcse	Passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2360	
		Speaker Teldser		
		McGrew	Back to 2nd	
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
72		McGrew	Explains Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Washburn	Please hold it	
		Speaker Telcser		



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 7-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			17.
		McGrew	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR HB 2360, 3rd
		McGrew	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2367
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	Explains HB 2367
		Speaer Telcser	HB 2367 passed
73		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2405
		Speaker Telcser	
		Taylor	Explains HB 2405
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2405 passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2416
		Speaker Telcser	
74		Krause	Take back to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #6
		Speaker Telcser	
		Krause	Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Please explain
		Speaker Telcser	
75		Grotberg	Explains Amendment #6
		Speaker Telcser	
76		Lauer	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	18.
		Lauer	Question	
		Grotberg	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Grotberg	To close	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #6 adopted, 3rd reading	
		Krause	Move adoption of HB 2416	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2416 passed	
77		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2505	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Waddell	Hear 2504 and 2505 together	
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2504	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Waddell	Explains Bills	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2504 and 2505 passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2717	
		Speaker Telcser		
78		McGrew	Explains HB 2717	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hudson	Question	
		McGrew	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser		
79		Totten		
		Speaker Telcser		
		McMaster	Supports	
		Speaker Telcser		
80		Neff	Supports	



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	19.
		Speaker Telcser		
		McGrew	To close	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2717 passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2786	
		Speaker Telcser		
81		Randolph	Explains HB 2786	
		Speaker Telcser	HB 2786 passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 2802	
		Speaker Telcser		
82		Berman	Explains HB 2802	
		Speaker Telcser	H 2802 passed	
		Walsh, W.	Jumping around Calendar	
		Speaker Telcser	Picking up Bills	
		Skinner		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Yourell		
83		Speaker Telcser		
		Sevcik		
		Speaker Telcser		
84		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner		
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner	Makes motion to suspend rules	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Shea		



TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner	Discussion	
		Shea		
		Speaker Telcser		
85		Jones, D.		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Jones, D.	Makes motion with Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails	
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills, 3rd	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 1260	
		Barry	Moves adoption	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 1260 passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 1377	
		Speaker Telcser		
86		Barry	Moves adoption	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 1377 passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 1400	
		Speaker Telser		
		Hoffman, G.	Explains SB 1400	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 1400 is passed	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 1501	
		Speaker Telcser		
87		Giorgi	Back to 2nd	
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Giorgi	Moves adoption Amendment #1	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 6-10-74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	21.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1 again	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Giorgi	Pull it out!	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 1501 TOOR	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 1422	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Campbell	Explains SB 1422	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Juckett	Yield?	
88		Campbell	Discussion	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 1422 passed. SB 1501	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
89		Giorgi	Explains Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
90		Washburn		
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted	
		Giorgi	Moves adoption	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 1501	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 1501 passed	
		Shea	Inquiry	
		Speaker Telcser	Discussion	
91		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bills, 1st	
		Speaker Telcser		
92-93		Clerk Selcke	Committee Reports	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	22.
		Speaker Telcser		
94		Washburn	Motion to hear Bills	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion granted	
		Ebbesen	Leave to hear a Bill posted	
		Speaker Telcser	Choate's wants explanation	
		Ebbesen	Explains	
95		Speaker Telcser		
		Choate		
		Ebbesen		
		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted on motion	
		Walsh, W.	Moves to suspend rule	
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted	
		Jaffe	Request 2nd Conference Committee report on HB 32	
		Speaker Telcser		
96		Juckett		
		Jaffe	Wants to adopt CCR	
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR until tomorrow	
		Walsh, W.	Moves to adjourn	
		Speaker Telcser	House stands adjourned	
		Speaker Telcser	1st Special Session	
97		Speaker Telcser	Committee reports	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee reports	
		Speaker Telcser	1st Special is adjourned	



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRTY-SEVENTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

JUNE 10, 1974

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 10, 1974

4:30 O'CLOCK P. M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. 2303. Oh, ah.. on these bills, now, we're going to try to move them as fast as we can. Any of you who don't get on the roll call because you're not in your seats or for whatever other reason, kindly come up to the Clerk and indicate if you want to get on the roll call, instead of my taking the time to announce it."

F. Selcke: "House Bill 2303, Washburn. Appropriation expenses or explanations paid.

Third Reading of the bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave to have this bill returned to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of amendment."

W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman asks to have leave. Alright, no objections, back on Second."

Washburn: "Now, this is the omnibus commission bill that ah.. several chairmen have presented resolutions or ah.. amendments to, so here's your opportunity."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 13, Shea. Amend House Bill 2303, as amended, and so forth."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this provides \$65,000 to the Status of Women Commission and I move for the adoption of the amendment. And I know there are several amendments that follow that reduce it substantially. Ah.. I know there's some question. I ask my colleagues, particularly across the aisle, to look again and



think about whether spending \$25,000 or \$30,000 to try to accomplish something isn't worth it."

W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. Ah.. Mr. Jake Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I understand that ah.. part of the money in the \$65,000 is a request of funds to study pension plans within Illinois and, in my opinion, it's questionable. The Illinois Public and Pension Laws Commission is, of course, charged by this legislature with studying all matters related to public employees pensions and if the purpose is to study ah.. private, then we've got another thing going here. Ah.. first of all, do we have.. what kind of jurisdiction do we have on private pension plans and, since most of the companies large enough to have pension plans operate in more than Illinois, they are covered by Federal statutes because of the interstate nature of their business. Now, at this time, in Washington, Congress is considering major pension reform proposals and if the question this measure would consider ah.. anything in there if would probably be much better addressed at the Federal level. Now, the Commission that would receive this appropriation also lacks subpoena powers. Ah.. so it would probably have great difficulty in gaining cooperation of businesses voluntarily, but in addition to all these points, this Body, last June, authorized the Insurance Study Commission to probe pensions. This Committee has already been funded and is working on a report. Now, Roger Bixby, a former legislative from ah.



my committee has a great experience in the field of pensions. He is working on this. He is the Executive Director, and it is only logical that if any further investigation is going to be made concerning this problem that it be conducted by the Insurance Commission which has the expertise which we, by resolution last June, ah.. sponsored by ah.. Representative Merlo and others, have directed them to do. And, so, if we're talking about appropriating a lot of money for duplication ah.. just to keep people anew, to me is sneer lunacy and I would say reject this proposal."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Schraeder. 2303. Amendment No. 13."

Schraeder: "Someone mentioned \$30,000 and the amendment I have has \$65,000, I just wondered what's the correct figure."

W. Robert Blair: "Oh, I see. Oh.. we went up.. Mr. Washburn, perhaps, could explain it. I understand that ah.. there was an earlier amendment that put on.. that raised ah.. the amounts and now this.. that's not right? Okay. Now, Mr. Washburn ah.. Number 4 was withdrawn. Was that right? Well, let's.. Alright, right now, ah.. the enrolled and engrossed bill on Third Reading, which is where we are, has the appropriation for the Commission on Status of Women at \$10,000. Alright, is there any question about where we're starting from. On all amendatory action that was taken up until today, the net result is that right now, as we're considering the bill, the Commission on Status of Women has an appropriation of \$10,000 in it. Well, that's where the bill is right now. Mr. Washburn."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

4

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, what we did in Appropriations for those that had sought more money, we amended the bill back down for every commission to the 1974 level. That's the purpose of that amendment. Every figure in this bill is now at the 1974 level and everybody can go from there."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. I would like to support Representative Shea's very good amendment and I would like to speak particularly to the question raised by Representative J. J. Wolf. Ah.. there is no subcommittee for the Status of Women ah.. Commission that is called a Pension Study Committee. That would be only one thing of the Subcommittee on Employment which is chaired by Mrs. Miriam Ringo of the Personnel Department of the Secretary of State. And she has suggested she.. we're all aware of the fact that that the study of public pensions is the prerogative of the Pension Laws Study Committee, but it has come to her attention that many private companies do have discriminatory policies in pension plans. We are certainly delighted to cooperate, anytime, with the Pension Laws Study Commission, in fact, I remember coming to testify on behalf of Senate Bill 576 when it was before your commission. There is no conflict here whatsoever. It's filling out an area that has not so far been covered. It will go into many other areas of employment as far as the status of women in employment is



5

concerned. There's no other commission of this General Assembly that's touching this subject. I want to point out to you that we are mandated, under the 1970 Illinois Constitution, to bring out laws into conformity with this constitution. Now, we must do that whether or not the Equal Rights Amendment is ever ratified or not. So, we have compiled.. or we have done a computer printout of all the laws in Illinois that must be brought into conformity. Some are in the area of education, some are in employment, some are in other areas.. private areas. But we need good legal counsel. We need help on this commission in doing a good job in these statutes do decide whether they should be eliminated or whether the benefits conferred should be broadened to include both men and women. For those of you who haven't.. don't have it handy, we have extra copies here of the 1973 Report of the Status of Women Commission. I recommend for your reading the report that was done by the Higher Education Subcommittee on the Status of Women Commission. The charts and the tables showing the salary differential for men and women in faculty, in civil service, at all levels of hiring in our universities is very enlightening. This subcommittee has been directed to do a followup survey this year to see if there's been any improvement in this situation since the original hearing last year. All of these things take money. You have a.. a itemized copy of our proposed budget. Representative Brinkmeier, I am sure, can answer any further questions on



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

6

it. So, I strongly urge you to support Representative Shea's amendment."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. now the ah.. Gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to voice opposition to this ah.. amendment. I would think that there's been a number of studies with regard to pensions and insurance. Representative Merlo has a resolution to charge the Pension Laws Commission to review this situation. We have the Insurance Study Commission. We also have the Pension Laws Commission. And I would suggest that ah.. anything that they would do in this area has nothing to do with the State of Illinois. Most of these private pension plans are interstate and should be dealt with on the Federal Government level which is presently being done in Federal legislation. In addition, if they are concerned about finding out what the problems, I would suggest they ah.. could get the service for nothing by checking with the Legislative Council and I would ah.. urge your vote against this amendment."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. Mr. Ron Hoffman, Gentleman from Cook."

R. Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I, too, rise to oppose this amendment. As we were concerned with the extraordinary increase in the appropriation. Last year's appropriation was \$10,000. Last session's appropriation was \$10,000. Now the appropriation seeks to go to \$65,000. That's an extra-



ordinary increase for any commission expenditures. We did seek to get questions answered relative to the activities of the commission and line item expenditures. For the questions that were put to the chairman of the subcommittee, I think we received unsuitable answers as to the direction of the subcommittee and the expenditures, line by line. I would oppose this amendment as we have held the line as have the previous chairmen to this commission have held the line on expenditures and has performed meritorious service with this commission. And I feel that this attempt to raise an extraordinary amount of funds for one commission should be resisted by this House and I would oppose this commission appropriation and amendment and solicit your support."

W. Robert Blair: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Mr. Shea's amendment is a good amendment.. please support it.

I'm delighted to hear Representative Hoffman state that the Commission on the Status of Women has performed meritorious service to ah.. the State. Indeed, it has. I'd like to take just a few moments to tell you a little bit about the Commission on the Status of Women, first authorized by the Illinois General Assembly in 1963. In ah.. 1961, President John Kennedy, because of his concern for providing opportunity and equality for all, set up a National Commission on Women. The chairman of this commission was Eleanor Roosevelt, a beautiful choice, for a



commission to study American women. The report which came out after Eleanor Roosevelt died in 1963 was called The American Woman and the contents of it shocked everyone, because people in a land of equality and freedom learned that married women did not have property rights, that married women could not control their own property, that women did not have the equal opportunity to serve on juries, and that was only part. I could take more of your time to tell you of the shocking discoveries in the report The American Women. In response, all over the United States, legislators and governors authorized State commissions on the status of women and Senator Esther Saperstein introduced into this House a proposal for a Commission on the Status of Women. It has passed. I have been a member of this commission, now, for a fourth session. And, indeed, it has done a lot of good, has provided a lot of information. It's recommendations have been responded to by this legislature except one, and that is that year after year after year this commission has tried to get a decent appropriation. And year after year after year this legislature has seen fit to refuse a decent appropriation for this commission. So, in one session we did not even have funding and in every other session this commission has limped along with \$10,000, while other commissions, as you know, have a budget considerably in excess of \$10,000. And this week we all sat quietly by and, without a question, we raised commission appropriations



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

from \$25,000 to \$50,000, and some \$15,000 to \$50,000, and some \$50,000 to \$100,000. Amounts far in excess of the amount which is being asked for here, and no one asked the first question. We all voted 'aye'. And it was only when we came to this request for a decent appropriation so we could have an Executive Director and an office and a phone, like so many other commissions, and that we could engage in some research studies that we have wanted to do and have not been able to do because we did not have the funds, that people spoke up. And Representative Shea brought this out, took it out of the record so we would have an opportunity to try to resolve this. And, if you've looked at your desks, you've seen what's happened. This commission is, apparently, of such concern for us, we should be grateful of such concern of so many people that we have had five amendments introduced. Have you ever seen a commission heckled in this way? Harrassed in this way? By having five separate amendments? And if you've noticed there's an Amendment 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18."

W. Robert Blair: "One minute, one minute, one minute."

Chapman: "I ask you to vote 'aye' to give this commission.."

W. Robert Blair: "Shut her up a minute, will you. Now, I'm going to let you continue, but somebody's raising a point of order. I know you're going pretty well and I hope you don't loose your momentum, but ah.. Mr. Fleck and Mr. Hoffman, did you have a point of order."



Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I was just hopeful that she would keep her comments to this amendment and not these others that we might be coming to in the future."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, would the lady ah.. confine herself to the ah.. subject matter before the House."

Chapman: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I do not speak very often on the Floor of this House and when I speak it's because I care.. thank you.. When I speak it's because I care about something and I care about this appropriation. I want this commission to have the kind of a funding that other commissions have. This money will be well spent. I assure you that it will be well spent. And I ask for your support. And for your opposition to any other amendment. Please vote 'aye' on Representative Shea's amendment."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for a point of personal privilege. I wonder if we shouldn't address ourselves to a more important matter right now. It is my understanding that a few moments ago the court in Lake County declared the RTA unconstitutional and it seems to me we have to have emergency legislation we've got to work on. Thank you."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, now, we have ah.. Mr. ah.. Hoffman and Mr. Fleck ah.. you want to go at the same time or.. Which one, Mr. Fleck, it doesn't make any difference."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ah.. am perplexed by the sponsorship of this amendment. And the only way I can figure out that the



Honorable Assistant Minority Leader sponsored this amendment was that some members of that commission must have performed the Dance of the Seven Viels for him some night. However, looking at the appropriation under this amendment as compared to some of the other commissions, I think we should take grave concern. This commission has worked honorably and capably and ably under the leadership of Senator Saperstein on an appropriation of \$10,000. To suddenly say that Senator Saperstein didn't do a good job and they need \$65,000 is ludicrous. I don't see anything more insidious about the discriminations against the women of this country as there are against people of Spanish speaker origin whose appropriation is only \$35,000. They surely face more discrimination. If we're going to give a \$65,000 appropriation to this commission, we might as well start a commission for midgets, drawfs, and albinos, because they have more problems than women do in this society do, also. If you will note from the pass out yesterday, \$20,000 is going to be paid to the Executive Director and then they've got something underneath there called the Clearing House Director that's going to be paid \$15,000. I might apply for that Assistant Directorship. It looks like a pretty good job to me. I don't think that over a 600% increase in the appropriation for any commission is proper. This commission has done its job under \$10,000. Esther Saperstein, who is also Chairman of the Mental Health Commission, I served with her



17

Vice-Chairman, did a credible job for that commission. And it seems to me that this appropriation is only answering the second call of that group of people whose motto is: Me, me, me, mine, mine, mine, more, more, more, and any anyone who votes for this amendment is nuts, nuts, nuts."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question. If we're gonna spend.... if we're gonna spend all this time on matters like this, we're never gonna get out of here. I move the previous question."

W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hoffman." Ah.... you moved the previous question? Ah... all those in favor of the previous question say 'aye', the opposed, 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been moved. I'll recognize those of you who want to be heard on explanation. Ah... you want a Roll Call vote? All those..... question is on the adoption of Amendment #13. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Alright, ah... Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I yeild to Representative Hart. I was only rising as my name was metioned in debate. But I will take this opportunity to explain my vote. I think many of the commissions, as all of us have participated in them, have laudible purposes. And as we look at some of the appropriations for some of these commissions, and I allude to Representative Fleck's reference to Mental Health, where they are..... with the non-going appropriation of \$10,000, have



12

done a commendable job. And I too, would certainly sing the praises of Senator Saperstein for the very very good job that she has done with this commission before. And I think in a matter of being practical, as far as considering all of the Appropriation Bills, and considering the efforts of the legislature to work within the revenues that are presently being generated, I think this is a complete rip-off for a special, certain segment that is trying to foster a certain project. And I think that at this time here, let's do the commendable thing and put this commission in the same consideration as all the other commissions that we do sit on and hold the line on the appropriations and let's get on with the business of the House."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah... Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Chairman and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rise to speak in opposition to this amendment to raising of the funds to \$60,000. I believe that these funds would be better used, if on all of the commissions, we could begin to trim down and use that money for staff for each of the legislatures. And I resent anybody that would suggest that this is an anti-womens vote, or an anti-good cause in terms of improving the lot of women in state government. I feel very strongly that we can do the job effectively through the Committee. For example; on the Higher Education Committee, Jack Beaupre chaired a sub-committee, which looked into the practice of discrimination in athletics. That committee.. ah.. that sub-committee did a very



thorough job, a very complete and comprehensive report was issued and some results have been made known to the rest of the General Assembly. And, this morning, we passed a resolution on this matter. I would think we would be much more effective if we would try to deal with these particular problems through the committees that are already established. That we would fund this at an appropriate level and not try to duplicate things like ah.. Representative Wolf's Pension Laws Committee. Therefore, I would ask for a no vote."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. Mr. ah.. Greisheimer."

Greisheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I think maybe it's appropriate that the few of us that have been supporting the Equal Rights Amendment, and it just happened by a quirk of nature to be male, should stand up on this issue. I intend to continue my support on the Equal Rights Amendment, but I think that the comments of Representative Houlihan are very well taken in this case. Ah.. I cannot see the reason for this vast increase in money on a particular issue which now brought to my attention I think violates the provision of Section 18 of the Illinois State Constitution, wherein it states that equal protection of the law shall not be denied or abridged on account of sex. And at this point in time, I think, again, those people who have laudibly trying to pass the Equal Rights Amendment are beginning to forget that this is equal



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

rights for both sex, and I see no commission or anything else that protects the rights of males. I think that would be ludicrous. I similarly think that this entire commission is ludicrous. I think it would be better to propose an amendment to abolish their appropriation. They seem to work pretty well without an appropriation. I'm still going to vote for the Equal Rights Amendment, but I would suggest to those of you that are voting for this vast increase in money to ah.. help only one segment of our ah.. society, mainly the women, should consider the implications of the Constitution of the State of Illinois and I vote 'no'."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House; I may surprise some of my colleagues in what I'm about to say, but I think - where we have a problem such as this, a commission studying the status of women, my greatest criticism of the commission is the fact that there are not all the women in all the aspects and the philosophies of the females of the state represented on the commission. I think that if this commission is given this money that they purposely, that they purposely include in their administration of this commission those females who are opposed to the Equal Rights Amendment as a manner of ending all the ills of our society. So, I'm going to surprise a few people by saying there's two things I'd like to see with this commission. One, that all female's thoughts and ideas concerning the Equal Rights Amendment are considered for appointment to and serving as



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

an administrator or commissioner or what have you in the executive directorship be considered for those jobs. Number two, I charge this commission that's given this amount of money that they go down into government and they look at some of those so-called Men For ERA that happen to be elected political figures. Such as the Governor of this State, such as the Attorney General of this State, who go around beating their breasts saying that they're for equal rights and they're for all the things that the Equal Rights Amendment stands for and then you look into their departments, you look into their administration, and you don't find any females in their employ, in the administrative level, you just hear the rhetoric, the political campaign promises that they're going to.. they're for Equal Rights Amendment. But, take a look at their cabinets. Take a look at their administrators. Those who put in the real philosophy of administration and see how many females are in Attorney General Scott's.."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. you're out of time. Okay, Mr. ah.. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my 'no' vote, I am wondering where are the crusaders that are trying to protect the women that are secretaries to the House of Representatives who get \$600 a month. Where are the crusaders to help them with these \$20,000 and \$15,000 bureaucratic jobs to create another bureaucrat to help us fill these wastepaper baskets with



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

with another report that we don't have time to read all the reports we get now. So, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I vote 'no'."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. I'm sure we all know the purpose of the Commission on the Status of Women and their Fiscal 74 appropriation was approximately \$10,000. Their Fiscal 75 request was a request of \$35,000. I'm not going to speak in behalf of the commission or against the commission. I'm just going to tell you that of the \$10,000 they received for Fiscal 74, they had an expenditure as of March the 31st 1974 of \$1,175.00. That's \$1,175.00. So, if you can justify the increase that's your business, I can't. I vote 'no'."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, a point of information."

W. Robert Blair: "Yes."

Friedland: "Would voting 'present' on this issue be compared to kissing your sister."

W. Robert Blair: "I don't have a sister, so I can't answer that. Ah.. Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's been my privilege during the past eight years to serve on many hard-working commissions as a member of the legislature. I'd like to mention to you one thing, I've been on this



commission now for about three years and there isn't a single commission that I'm familiar with that works as hard and is as dedicated as this particular commission. I don't think they should be penalized, and I say 'they' because I'm not taking credit for the work that's been done. I've helped a little maybe, but I don't think they should be penalized for their frugality simply because they were able to get by on a \$10,000 budget and because they were scrimping and saving and not getting the things done that they would like to have done and should have been doing. So, I would say, too, also, to those of you who are opposed to the ERA Amendment, if you really feel you can beat that amendment, and we aren't going to have ERA, then there's even a greater need for a greater appropriation to see that these people have the type of help that they need. I would urge a green light."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to point out that I was elected to chair this commission shortly before the point at which ah.. Mr. Lechowicz said that the commission had not spent its appropriation. The commission did not meet very often in 1973 because all the members weren't appointed. And, in the statutes, if you check it, you'll see that we can't really function unless we have all of our members. We would like to become a full-fledged working commission. And, I would like to read to you, if I may, what we are



mandated to do by the statute that was approved by the General Assembly. We are mandated to study the status of women in this State and make recommendations for constructive action in all of the following areas: employment policies and practices, Illinois labor laws, legal rights, the family and the employed woman, expanded programs to help women as wives, mothers and workers, women as citizen volunteers and education. The statute goes on to say the commission may survey activity in the area of status of women carried on by any commission, agency or department of the Federal Government or any State or any private organization or association and may cooperate with any such body...."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. I very seldom rise in opposition to ah.. legislation, but the question was asked ah.. why the increase and I'd like to gaze into the crystal ball and I can see ah.. if ERA passes, we have got another department to finance in the future and I assure you that it won't be \$65,000 when this happens, it will be a half a million dollars and a million dollars and I can see nothing but mushrooming organization here and I vote 'no'."

W. Robert Blair: "Mrs. Chapman. Alright, you don't want to talk huh? Okay. Have all voted who wish. The Clerk will take the record. Alright, on this question there are ah.. 73 'nays' and 60 'yeas' and the amendment fails." Further



amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 14, Fleck."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Fleck ah.. asks leave to table. No objection and Amendment 14 is tabled."

F. Selcke: "Amendment 15, Ron Hoffman."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. No. 15, gentleman asks leave to table. No objections. 15 is tabled."

F. Selcke: "Number 16, Hudson. No. 17, Juckett."

W. Robert Blair: "Table? Alright, leave to table 17. No objection. It's tabled."

F. Selcke: "No. 18, Juckett."

W. Robert Blair: "18, Juckett. Leave to table.. Table."

F. Selcke: "No. 19, Boyle."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Boyle."

F. Selcke: "Is he here."

W. Robert Blair: "Who's handling that for him."

F. Selcke: "Amend House Bill 2303, page 1, line 30, and so forth."

W. Robert Blair: "What's it do?"

F. Selcke: "It changes line 30 from \$30,000 to \$40,000."

W. Robert Blair: "Election Laws Commission. Who's handling the Election Laws Commission. Moves the appropriation from \$30,000 to \$40,000. Somebody here from the Election Laws Commission? Collins. Mr. Collins here on the floor. Mr. Boyle has an amendment to move the Election Laws Commission from \$30,000 to \$40,000. You want to be heard on that. Is there any problem. Okay, ah.. alright. ah..



Should I explain that to them. Ah.. Mr. Phil Collins:
Collins: "Ah.. yes, Mr. Speaker, ah.. I would move for the
adoption of Amendment.. is it Number 1?"

F. Selcke: "19."

Collins: "Oh, ah.. Amendment 19 to House Bill 2303. This would
ah.. increase the appropriation to the Election Laws Com-
mission from \$30,000 to \$40,000. And I would move its
adoption."

W. R. Blair: "Is there discussion. Question is on the adoption.
All those in favor.. Ah.. Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Would the sponsor yield to a question. Ah.. Phil,
ah.. do you know why you're asking for a \$10,000 increase?"

Collins: "Ah.. I'm not entirely sure, but I would assume it's
because of the additional work required on the recountification.
The ah.. proposed new code has been referred back to the
Election Laws Commission. The consolidation bill has been
referred back, also, and I would assume it is for the
additional costs ah.. ah.. because of this, but honestly
I'm not sure. This is not my amendment. It is Represen-
tative Boyle's."

W. Robert Blair: "Can anybody from the Appropriations Committee
shed any light on this? Ah.. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ah.. the recountification,
last year we had a procedure established that on any of
the commissions that we would appropriate the money for
recountification only when the legal people for the state,
the people that drafted up our bills, would not be able to..



would not have the time to do it during the summer. Because it was our intention that instead of having 72 people on the staff downstairs and ah.., in turn, maybe after we adjourned, we'd cut it down to 37, that we would.. this is to provide some work for those 77 people in reviewing the countification of the existing laws instead of appropriating money to the various commissions. And, there was always a clause that, if and when the Reference Bureau could not assume this responsibility, then, in turn, the money could be expended for recountification of the existing laws. Now, I looked at the amendment briefly, Phil, and I didn't see it in there. Ah.. I could be wrong. Well, I don't think it's in the bill, either, anymore, but we should probably prepare that amendment, Bud. Thank you."

Collins: "Well, if you'll recall, the original recountification effort cost a great deal more money than the proposed \$10,000 we're asking in addition here. Ah.. this would be a very small ah.. increase in the approp.. ah.. commission budget. Ah.. I was caught at a disadvantage because this is Representative Boyle's amendment and he wasn't here and the Speaker asked me to move for its adoption. I ah.. I wasn't prepared to speak on it."

Lechowicz: "Well, why don't we take it out of the record. I'm sure we could take care of it in the Senate if necessary."

Collins: "Well, I can walk across to the Senate and check with the Chairman of the Election Laws Commission if you can ah.. if we can hold it for a minute."



W. Robert Blair: "Alright, why don't we take it out of the record, now, and leave it on Second Reading. Mr. Washburn. I think we're at the point where we better take it out of the record now and leave it on Second Reading while we're seeing what the situation is. Ah.. on 2654, Mr. Arnell wants that. He's not here. He wants that held, I think. Mr. Grotberg, you want to hold 2541? That's the Circuit Clerks. Mr. Grotberg's not here? Take that out. Mr. Fleck wants 2815 held. 2268, Mr. Friedland. 2268."

F. Selcke: "House Bill 2268, Friedland. Amend Senior Citizens Disabled Persons Personal Property Tax, Third Reading of the Bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2268 ah.. amends the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Personal Property Tax Relief Act to replace real estate taxes with the mobile home privilege tax for any claimant of that act that resides in a mobile home. I'd appreciate your favorable consideration."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, is there further discussion. Question is shall House Bill 2268 pass. All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I've got one question of the sponsor, if I may. Ah.. does this affect home rule units?"

Friedland: "I'm not sure, Representative Lechowicz, in looking at the ah.. This was similar to ah.. a similar provision

