

Speaker Telcser: "The House will come to order. Prayer by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "....Your Holy Word O Lord, that the effectual, fervent Prayer of a righteous man avails much. You also tell us that we are to Pray for all who exercise positions of Leadership and authority in our Nation. Be with us today as You prepare us to meet and face confidently the issues that will be placed before us. Provide us with wise counsel, that as we deliberate these issues we may be moved to resolve them with good judgement. Be a strong and staying hand in our midst and do not let us forget that all of our doings in life should ever be pleasing in Your sight. We commend ourselves now and also our Colleagues, those with us and those not able to be with us because of illness, to Your Gracious keeping. Hear us as we Pray in Your Mercy, Amen."

Speaker Telcser: "Roll Call for attendance. We've got some busy people on the floor...running around. You've got good Staff...Guys out there.The question is, shall column 1 or the Calendar pass? Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Collins from the Committee on Executive.... to which House Bills 919, 952, 1027, 1071 and 1092..er 94, were referred, returned the same back and pursuant to Rule 23 (d), the Bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Randolph from Revenue, to which House Bill 808 was referred, returned the same back, and pursuant to Rule 23 (d), the Bill was ordered tabled. That's it. That's all Arthur. Any Introductions? Any Agreed Resolutions? House Bill 1984, Timothy Simms, an Act...Amends an Act relating to custody and disposition of property held by law enforcement agencies, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution, 391, Yourell, House Resolution 392, Yourell. House Joint Resolution 59, ...ah....ah..."



Schlickman, et al."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 391 congratulates Father John M. Kane on the occasion of the celebration of his 40th anniversary of his ordination. House Resolution 392, asks that we join with the City Council of the City of Hometown, in expression of our deepest gratitude to Sam Staycoff of ...ah...Hometown for his boundless generosity and compassion for his fellow man. And House Resolution...er...House Joint Resolution 59, commends Arthur V. Huffman, renowned State Criminologist, on his retirement from the Department of Corrections, and Mr. Speaker I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has asked to move for the adoption of Agreed Resolutions, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted. ...Stand at ease for a minute or two while our two Leaders confer. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will you have the official record to please indicate that Representative Lemke, B. B. Wolfe, and Representative Krause are absent due to illness?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, that will be Journalized. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Journal show, Mr. Speaker, that Representatives Granata, Borchers and Speaker Blair are absent because of illness."

Speaker Telcser: "And that will be Journalized also. Representative Capuzi, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Capuzi: "I've got a motion. I'd like to table all these Bills on Third Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "We're going to try to go to House Bills, Third Reading shortly. If there are any Members here



who are...ah...brave enough to have their Bill called on Third now, do you want to come up here and give me the number and I'll be happy to call it. Okay, House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 1906."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1906, an Act to create the Illinois Banking Study Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1906 is exactly what it says. It's a House....er it's a study Commission to study the Banking laws of the State of Illinois and to report back to the next General Assembly. It's composed of sixteen Legislative Members, eight from the House, eight from the Senate, four appointed by the Speaker, four appointed by the Minority Leader, four by the President of the Senate, four by the Minority Leader in the Senate. Eight public Members, two appointed by each of those. I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1906 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Bob Dunne 'aye'. On this question...107 'ayes', ...Geo-Karis 'aye'. 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1419."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1419, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Licensing Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill was on the Agreed Calendar but I took it off for a clarifying Amendment. I would ask leave of the House to....ah..."



send this back to ...ah....Second Reading for the purposes of adding Amendment #1 to 1419."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1419 appears on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Matijevich, amends House Bill 1419, page 1, line 17 and soforth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, all this Amendment does is state that the audits that are made are available for public inspection, but that the individual person asking for such audits would have to pay the actual cost of duplicating the same. It's a clarifying ...ah... language and I would move the adoption of Amendment #1, to House Bill 1419."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has asked to move for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1419, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. This Bill has been read a third time, Representative Matijevich, do you wish to call it now, 'Sir?'"

Matijevich: "Well, since it's on the Agreed Calendar, I think we can call it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ah....Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill ...ah...was a recommendation of the Health Care License....Commission, calling for Manpower Utilization Committee and an....an annual independent audit of hospitals that receive State or Federal funds. I solicit your favorable support of this Bill, House Bill 1419."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."



Juckett: "Ah... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "What is the purpose for requiring an audit? From the State standpoint, does the Federal Government require an audit?"

Matijevich: "Well, the ...ah...evidently there are some requirements butah...the...ah...the Price Council...I believe it is, the agency recommended to our Commission that....ah...audits are necessary for the State to determine how we can cut costs. If we cut one dollar ...ah...per person...per...per hospital...we can save over a billion dollars in a year. Ah...I think it is something like a billion eight hundred thousand dollars. So there is no way that we can ever evaluate the...ah..high cost of hospital care....ah....without some...ah...accountability to independent audit. I don't know of anybody that opposes this and we alsoI think ...in effect would be helping those hospitals who so often complain that they have got to make this audit and that audit and this audit. This would be an independent audit that would be available and I think would go a long way toward helping the people in the State of Illinois in reducing health care costs.

Juckett: "So, in other words you're after finding out whether a hospital makes a profit? If it does make a profit, how much of a profit and whether that profit is excessive for the services rendered?"

Matijevich: "Well, I....I...really thinkah...Representative Juckett, you hit the nail on the head. Now, whether you agree with me or not, thatah....whether that's laudable ...I think when State and Federal funds are ... are used, that is a laudable purpose.....laudable purpose and we do this because we are giving them funds, either Federal or State, so that they would be subject to



the audit in their procedures, et cetera."

Juckett: "Now, why is this tied in with this...ah...inner disciplinary...ah...section? Why are these two tied together?"

Matijevich: "Well, because it all relates to the same purpose in trying to reduce costs....and the Manpower Utilizes.... Utilization Committee ...ah...written into the Bill is that its purpose is to maximize the efficiency of the hospital which in turn would reduce the cost. So that's ...that's why it's all related into one Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Douglas: "John, ah...this sounds like a very laudatory idea. However, I wonder in the...with the passage of a Bill like this, how far we're going to get ...really in accomplishing the things you mentioned in your answers to Representative Juckett. I've always had a great concern about the fact that hospitals do as they please, charge as they wish and have so little control over their ultimate operational costs. Now, what...what will this Bill, along with other things that are being done ...including ...ah...including rules and regulations that are passed down from various governmental and private agencies do to lower the costs ...ah...of patient care every day. Now, I assume that's what you're saying...it will do. But how do we....in passing a Bill like thisget back from the hospitals and how do we in turn have some influence on the hospitals to make sure that ...that manpower utilization ...which is dismally ignored today in most of the hospitals, they waist manpower like mad, how are we really going to accomplish with this and other things the ultimate job of getting ...getting fees and



getting costs down every day. Do you....are you optimistic that that's going to happen as a result of this kind of a Bill or a law?"

Matijevich: "Well,.....ah....first of all, let me say, Mr. Speaker, if there is a debate on this, I'd like to pull it out.....except this is on the Agreed Calendar and I thought there wouldn't be any debate, but let me answer that by saying that I think that unless there's some accountability that there is no way that we can reduce cost and I feel that this at least is a step where..... wherein we can determine whether there is accountability or not. I am pessimistic that if we don't have Legislation such as this, if we can ever get at reduction of costs. So that would be my answer to you, Dr. Douglas, I really think that this is a....a.....a real...giant stride in the right direction."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, does the Gentleman wish to close? The question is shall House Bill 1419 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Telcser 'aye'. On this question there are 100 'ayes', 6 'nays', and this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Record Representative Choate as voting 'aye'....and Telcser. House Bill 1723."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1723, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Nursing Home Administrators Licensing Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1723....."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, please, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "We had a hold on it, but I guess we're all right now."



Unknown: "Yeah, that's what I thought."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "We did have, Jerry and I was informed that it was released and everybody was in agreement. Yeah. House Bill 1723 deals with the Nursing Home Administrator's Licensing Act. It makes clarifications in the Act, provides for procedures and clarifies that the college or formal education training is equivalent toah...experience. There was some problem ..ah...in the administration of this and we worked it out between the industry, the department and all those concerned and I would ask your vote.....an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1723 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Porter 'aye'. On this question there are 120 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ...ah...with consultation of the Leadership it was decided that Committees would not be held today and those Committees that were scheduled for today will be held Friday...ah....beginning at 1 o'clock. The 2 o'clock Committees will meet at 1 o'clock. The 4 o'clock Committees at 3 o'clock. The full.....er.....the 4 o'clock er.....4 hour meetings....er Committee meetings will be at 1 o'clock. This in an effort to get the Calendar licked today...hopefully."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Capuzi, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Capuzi: "How about our ..how about our Committee Meetings for tomorrow? Are they going to be scheduled as they are?"

Speaker Telcser: "Here is what we're hoping to do....ah....."



I believe Representative Walsh has cleared this with Representative Choate. What we'd like to do today is stay on Third Readings all day long and try and get those Bills called and taken care of, other than Appropriation Bills. There are currently 80 Bills on the Order of Third Reading, including Appropriation Bills. Now, we understand that the weather.....it might be a rainy day. Now, if toward the end of the day we find out that the ball game is going to be postponed, we'd like to stay in until 9 or 10 o'clock this evening and whip the Third Readings. All right? Now, if we have to....ah... if we have to adjourn for the ball game, which of course has priority, ...ah....ah...then we're going to...then we're going to have to come in tomorrow and stay until 10 in the evening. Ah...and maybe we'll adjust those Committees, but right now, Lou, until we know what the ...the weather is going to be like ...I can't answer that question.....Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Well, the problem that I would haveI'm sure.... because I didn't receive some notification of witnesses that are ...ah...scheduled to be here tomorrow..tomorrow evening ...on my....on my Committee and ah....."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, but we'll know by late this afternoon, so that you can call them...."

Capuzi: "Call 'em?"

Speaker Telcser: "Call up witnesses in Chicago and tell them to come or not to come. Is that...."

Capuzi: "Well, as long as we know....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Sponsors of the Bills, I mean....."

Capuzi: "Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, the Coach just informs me that we'll know by noon if we're going to play or not. So then we'll know what tomorrow's schedule would be in terms of Committees. Is it okay? Shall I finish this? Now, if we could all really try and...ah....help each other whip



the Calendar and ...and try and keep the explanation of votes down...our talking...and...you know....let's vote and try and move this along. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, am I to understand that as of this morning the decision was made to ...ah..change all of the Committee hearings that were going to be held today, to Friday...without notifying any of the Chairmen in advance?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, that's essentially right. It was impossible to make it before this morning because we didn't know how close we would come to beating the Calendar yesterday. We were hopeful that we would be able to complete the House Bills in the House yesterday...unfortunately we were unable to and in looking the Calendar over it was determined that we couldn't do it today either, if we adjourned at 2 o'clock."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, back to House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 1810."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1810, McCourt, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Alcoholic Liquors, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, this Bill is similar to a Bill that passed the House unanimously last Session but failed in the last days in the Senate due to a technicality which has now been corrected. And then, paragraph 127 of Chapter 43, it's applicable only to a Home Rule Community, in that it clarifies the existing law in line with the new Constitution. And I would urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1810 pass? All those in favor



...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, what...I'm...I'm confused, I read this Bill yesterday...ah...and it seems to say that a Home Rule Unit can open...authorize the opening of a ...ah...like say a coffer that...within the prescribed limit or the prescribed limit applies to a Home Rule Unit, or what?"

McCourt: "I'm sorry, I didn't quite understand what you said, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is this Amendment #2 on the Bill? It says 'this Amendatory Act is not a limit upon any Home Rule Unit?'"

McCourt: "Correct. Amendment #2 is the only Amendment on the Bill. It's just to clarify that ...ah...ah...this is the only Section of the Act that we are saying ...ah...we're not saying that any of the other Sections of the Act does not apply to the Home Rule Unit either. It's just a clarification that your staff suggested."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1810 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Telcser 'aye'. Now, who wishes to explain their vote. Geo-Karis 'aye'. Grotberg 'no'. Schraeder 'aye'. Londrigan 'aye'. Representative Shea, did you say.... Bluthardt 'aye'. I'm sorry, Bluthardt 'no'. Katz 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt wish to explain his vote? You need two more. Lechowicz 'aye'. Molloy 'aye'. Now, let's get a new Roll Call the Clerk tells me. Now, if we can all stay on these switches we can save a lot of time. The question is, shall House Bill 1810 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Now, he's got a few better going. Where is the RepresentativeCampbell 'aye'....WashburnNow, the switches are still open now. Now, have all voted who



wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt to explain his vote."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, if I could just explain this, possibly a little more in depth. Under the Constitution...this new Constitution...ah...it gives to a Home Rule Community the manners of...that are ...anything as far as governmental affairs is their prerogative. In the...in Chapter 43, it mentions that a restaurant ...ah...that might sell alcoholic beverages cannot be within a hundred feet of a church or school et cetera. This...."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Have you completed Representative McCourt? Do you wish to continue to explain your vote, Sir? Give him....Representative McCourt have you completed your explanation?"

McCourt: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 92 'ayes', 27 'nays'. This Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1383.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1383, Lemke, a Bill for an Act prohibiting the assignment of wages, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill which prohibits wage assignments in the State of Illinois...ex....with the one exception...that credit unions will be able to use them. Mr. Lemke is not here to present his Bill, he did tell me however, that...that the State half of AF of L CIO strongly supports this Legislation and that it is his feelings and the feelings of many people in the State of Illinois that the wage assignment no longer serves a very useful purpose in Illinois. I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from



Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah....what is the need for this Bill? You know the purpose of wage assignments like many other things is for the people to be able to borrow money by using some form of security. Now,...ah..I don't know of any particular abusers of wage assignments, nobody ever has to sign one. I think they are a useful tool to people who want to use them who have ...ah...their wages as security There are plenty of safeguards in the present law about the use of them, that you have to give notice and soforth. I don't know of any abuses. So, I'd say this Bill ought to be defeated because I think it's a bad Bill for the people who are obtaining money on credit."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative....
Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "A question for the Sponsor."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Leinenweber: "What is the justification for the exception for
the credit union?"

Beatty: "Well, I'm not actually quite certain, it's not my
Bill.....ah....It's Mr. Lemke's. I think the problems....
the reason for the Bill initially was that there had been
abuses and...ah...people had been coerced into signing
wage assignments and in the instance of a credit union
I think they're not thought to be in the same category as
an employer ...that these are actually members own
associations where they borrow money and that they....
normally do not....ah...react as harshly to the employee
in the...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Martin."

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen....I rise in support
of this Bill. Having been in credit and collection for
some 20 years before coming to the General Assembly, I
know of the widespread abuse of wage assignments. Some-
time even to the point of persons committing suicide. I'd



like to cite to you an example of why this Legislation is important. I remember working for a clothing firm... that had a habit of charging two dollars down and two dollars a week. With a Gentleman with a very low income which is the only way he could buy because of the wages that he was earning ...ah..he could not afford to pay cash....and wanting to have some of the necessities of life he had to buy on credit. I remember one day selling a used television for a company that I was forced to sell for....I had no job....the ...television was a used television. It was sold to someone for two dollars down, two dollars a week for four hundred dollars....and as since it was bought by car load so consequently it was thirty times the amount of what it should of cost. After two weeks the man was not able to make the two dollar payment for some reason or the other...and his wages were assigned. Most people who sign wage assignments in this State and especially in the Northern part of Illinois, they sign some blan....blank wage assignments. Loss of jobs after one or two wage assignments ...you're fired. So therefore, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a very important piece of Legislation, I do wish you would listen to my plead for those persons who on their jobs cannot afford to get more than one or two wage assignments. Credit is necessary, but I think that persons who are buying on credit should be a little bit better screened. I think that....ah...those persons who cannot afford to buy on credit on the two dollar down ...two dollars a week ... which we have in Chicago...especially....ah...Mr. Speaker if I could have some attention, please? Most people who ...who take wage assignments as a security of payment are mostly known as 'stickup artists with a pencil'. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I ask your support for this very important piece of Legislation. House Bill 1383."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative



Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's really two reasons which I stand to oppose this Bill. One of the reasons is that this is obviously special interest Legislation. There is no earthly reason that can be given why the wage assignment process should be excluded from the Illinois law and at the same time the credit union should be allowed to continue to process. If it is bad it is bad and no amount of allowing one particular interest group to maintain it will make it any better or any worse. However I'm more concerned with the second reason that I am opposing this Bill and that has to do with the basic right that it is stopping people to use another element for credit security. Certainly there have been abuses in this as there have been in all other forms of credit, but I don't think that you can generalize by specific instances. I think a number of people have used this form of security when obtaining loans ...I don't think it's been abused...at least in the generality...and it's something that should not completely be wiped off our books for the benefit of those few instances where there have been some problems. For those two reasons I am opposing this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill. I agree with the previous Speaker that this is special interest Legislation and I see no reason why some should be prohibited from taking wage assignments and others being allowed to and that's my reason for being opposed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, there has been adequate debate and this



has come up before other Sessions and I at this time move that the ...move the Previous Question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the Previous Question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Representative Beatty to close."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is special interest Legislation. It's Legislation to help the poor working man who has difficulty in getting credit, who really has economic problems. The Bill is offered to eliminate some of the abuses of this type. The fact that he has one ally where he can get a loan at a decent rate and be treated on a friendly basis. The credit unions still retains the wage assignments, does that make it a bad item? This is a good Bill, it is supported by the AF of L CIO, here in the State of Illinois, it's an abuse and this Bill will remove the abuse. I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall house Bill 1383 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Catania 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 69 'ayes', there's 46 'nays', the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Since Mr. Lemke isn't here I'd like to put this on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "You have that right, Sir. It'll be put on Postponed Consideration. House Bill 1543. Is Representative Berman on the floor? Here he is, okay. House Bill 1543."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1543, a Bill for an Act in relation to the restoration, reconstruction or refurbishment of State-owned historic sites, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm handling this Bill for Representative



'Bud' Krause...ah...the Bill provides that reconstruction, restoration and refurbishment of State-owned and historic sites would be under the jurisdiction of the...ah... State Historian. I'd be glad to answer any questions and I would solicit a favorable response."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1543 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Leon and Catania 'aye'. On this question there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Brandt also wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. House Bill 1448."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1448, Berman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you.....ah....Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1448...ah..is a Bill which would extend the time for which free annexation agreements may be entered into from five years to ten years. This is a Bill that's been worked out between the...ah.....ah..... Municipal League and the Industry. It passed out of the Cities and Villages Committee without a dissenting vote. I would solicit your affirmative vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Berman, what is the reason for extending theah....term from five years to ten years?"

Berman: "It's been brought to our attention the reason for the need for the Bill was that in many of these preannexation agreements the...ah...undertakings by the developers are so extensive that the period of time of five years has not been sufficient. I point out that this is strictly a permissive Bill....that it does require the corporate



authorities and the...ah....developers to enter into it. All it does is say....instead of restricting them to a five year contract ...it's actually a ten year contract, or anything less that the corporate authorities soughtto...."

Palmer: "Can you negotiate for a one year contract?"

Berman: "Oh, yes, it's just not to exceed. It's the same language as the previous statute, all it does is give them more leeway as far as the total length of time of time of the preannexation agreement."

Palmer: "Well, the only concern that I would have with something like this is on a preannexation agreement which accompanies a....a....an annexation...ah...the municipality may expend a goodly sum of money in doing so and then of course at some later date....ah...the developer or whoever it might be wants to...ah...if he wants to get off of the hook on the thing after the City has expended money into it he looks for a technicality and then starts to work from there. Files a suit in court and then goes on from there. I just wonder whether or not it's really fair to taxpayers within a municipality."

Berman: "Ah....Ronie, I don't think that this Bill in itself...ah...really...ah...addresses itself to that...to that situation. I think that the exposures of a....a... improper developer or an unethical developer would exist whether there was a five year agreement or a ten year agreement. I think that all the safeguards that would have existed previously would exist under this Bill and I don't think that we're ...ah..necessarily opening the door to any ...ah.....ah...situation that would not be proper."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise to oppose this piece of Legislation because



I think extending the time limit from five to ten years would have an adverse effect as far as the municipality is concerned. Any preannexation agreement entered into now in most cases ...ah...the municipality is hardpressed to get an agreement of any sizable amount...any sizable.... context unless they go the full five years. So if this is extended to ten years they'd be obliged to ...ah.. carry out the agreement over a ten year period. In many cases the municipality is required to enter into the agreement with a corporation in regard to annexation of property and the further development. They have to ah...limit themselves their authority for a period of time. They may have to give some tax concessions, they may have to give some licensing concessions, they may have to enter into some changes in subdivision codes, subdivision regulations, which they are all allowed by preannexation agreement and the s.....sooner they can get out of this particular arrangement the better it is for them. The longer they oblige to be held to this type of thing of course the more adverse effect it has upon the people in the municipality and a very good annexation agreement that would be taxed....increase the tax for the municipality might very well go down the drain if it has to be extended to ten years and I strongly urge the opposition to this Legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Legislation. As a Member of the Cities and Villages Committee in the House, it came out of Committee with a unanimous vote.....not one dissenting vote. There were witnesses that testified in favor of the Bill and simply all it does is extend the preannexation agreement from five to ten years which allows both the municipality and the other signer of the



agreement the developer to do what is supposed to be done in order to increase the assessed valuation of the property to be annexed....and this of course benefits all the taxpayers. There has been no abuse that I know of of the five year period and I see no reason why we can't extend it to ten years. I would hope that you would give a green light to this very....very good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "...Indicates he will."

Duff: "I'd like to get a little more clarity on the effect .. that this would haveah...if....a builder or developer entered into an annexation agreement would it not ah...frequently involve agreement relative to the building of sewers, streets and schools and parks?"

Berman: "Yes."

Duff: "And then under this... the builder would be able to delay and perhaps have a period of ten years in which to meet his obligations to build schools, parks, sewers and streets?"

Berman: "Not necessarily."

Duff: "But it could?"

Berman: "Well, anything could be done because you're talking about a negotiated contract."

Duff: "So if a municipality wanted a developer to come in and to increase its taxes or for whatever purpose it...it might be under a circumstance where it would want to negotiate long term agreements along those lines."

Berman: "I think all we're doing here Bryan is to allow a little more flexibility in negotiations between the municipalities and the developer."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Duff: "It seems to me that ...ah...under the great difficulties



that we're having in the ...urban and 'ex-urban' areas ...with rapid growth and the problems of the municipalities, the problems of flooding, the problems of schools, ...ah. and often time the ...the relatively unstable.... ah...financial circumstances of the kind of a builder that would have to enter into an agreement that would.... that he would need ten years with which to comply...to such important items as building schools and streets and sewers.....ah...that kind of person that would need ten years to accomplish his purposesit would seem to me would be seriously subject to question as to whether or not he was financially stable in the first place. It also seems to me that many developers over a period of ten years could find themselves in considerably differentah....fiscal position than when they entered into the agreement and regardless of what the municipality might want or what the agreement might be, some ten years later the builder or developer would possibly be unpredictably, absolutely unable to comply and if that would happen the homeowners ...ah..who had relied upon that agreement would be put into a very stressful and impossible situation. I think this is not a good piece of legislation for that reason and I would oppose it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the point that's being missed here is that this is merely extending it from five to ten years. The municipality can still enter into a one year contract if it so desires. In representing municipalities any attorney will tell you that we are now dealing with some very...very big developments. It'll take a ten year period in order ...which to work out the negotiations. For example the building of a sanitary disposal plant, in which the builder has to have some kind of 'payback'



over a period of time and five years is just not long enough. Let's give the municipalities some room to move here and let's pass this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the...ah.... Sponsor and then I would like to make a statement. I would like to know why he excluded his own municipality if it was such a good idea?"

Speaker Telcser: "Do you wish to....ah....The Gentleman wants to know why you've excluded your municipality from the Bill."

Berman: "When he says 'my municipality', what does he mean?"

Skinner: "Well, I believe Amendment #1 is a Home Rule Amendment and if this is good for any city it ought to be good for all cities."

Berman: "Ah, Mr. Skinner, that was a Committee Amendment that ah....was placed on by the Committee ...it was not offered by me."

Skinner: "Well, I wonder why you accepted it?"

Berman: "I want to pass the Bill."

Skinner: "I'd like to speak against this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Skinner: "You'll notice that at the present time the cities can freeze fees for five years for developers. This has happened in my home municipality this very year..they made a five year agreement with 'Robina-Ladd Enterprises' to build a rather large subdivision that will probably increase the size of my city by 20 percent. Unfortunately the subdivider refused to go along with an increase in sewer hook on fees which was passed two months after the agreement. The subdivider agreeder...the subdivider argued that ..ah...by signing a preannexation agreement for five years they were exempt from any increases in fees or charges that the city might impose. Now, the



city is being intimidated by the threat of a law suit if they enforce the increased fees on 'Robina-Ladd'. Now, it seems to me that by extending this to ten years it's just an excuse to further force the ...the people living in the city at the present time to subsidize ... the new residents of the city on services that are found not to be paying their own way. I think that anybody that's interested in education ought to be against this Bill. One reason is that in the year that the pre-annexation agreement is ...ah...is negotiateda city may not have yet reached a point where it is requiring developer donations for schools. What if, two months after the agreement is made, the city f....the city fathers finally came up the viewpoint that they ought to have some responsibility for the total tax bill for the total taxpayer of the city and thereafter force developer donations through various means. Through pre-annexation agreement. They will find a way to write developer donations for ten year periods and that is much too long. Ah...In a ten year period a subdivider could double the size of my city and it seems to me that in any large development they can easily cut it into sections and in fact most subdividers do cut it into sections and come in with one addition one year, another addition another year. It just does not seem to be an overwhelming argument in favor of this Bill and because there are numerous arguments against, I would urge its defeat.

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, this subject has been discussed thoroughly and I therefore move the Previous Question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the Previous Question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman's motion prevails. Representative



Berman to close."

Berman: "Ah...Thank you, Mr. Speaker, in closing I would point out that number onethis Bill is strictly a permissive authorization to local communities to ...to enter into preannexation agreementsnot limited to five years in duration and allowing them to negotiate for ten years. Now, I believe that all of the objections that have been raised may be well founded but really have nothing to do with this Bill. Their objections deal with the responsibility and the action taken by the local corporate authorities in their negotiating with this developer for the annexation agreement. If the local authorities are on the ball and put in certain safeguards, as far as development of schools at periodic progress steps, as far as construction of sewers at periodic intervals, there is nothing wrong with allowing them to have this Bill that would allow them where there is a large development put in, where we're talking about a substantial improvement with a substantial increase in tax values, that will benefit the municipalities, there is nothing wrong with allowing the municipalities to have the discretion of entering into ten year contracts. This Bill again, is...sub..... endorsed by the Municipal League, it's endorsed by the developers and it was passed out by the Committee without a dissenting vote and I solicit your affirmative vote.

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1448 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Redmond to explain his vote."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Legislation. I've had some experience as a municipal attorney and that five year limitation is very disasterous. The purpose of the preannexation agreement was to afford the homeowners and the municipalities some degree of protection, to insure that



public improvements would be put in and that adequate zoning would be availed of. Now, if that annexation agreement expires at the end of five years you are no longer protected by any understanding that there might have been between the parties....and you leave yourself open to hostile zoning, you leave yourself open to a lot of difficulty. I only wish that this extended not only to the Home Rule units but also to the non-Home Rule units. I've had occasion to use this in the past and I think that this Bill is very much needed....and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar to explain his vote."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I rise to explain my vote as I rarely do, but I feel very strongly about this Bill. I have had the privilege of being....participating in three ...preannexation agreements of sizable magnitude. It just seems to me that for the average, ordinary, preannexationone year or six months is adequate. And the more important it is to the community the longer time you have to have. Now, to go beyond the five year period, you're going into a situation in which you cannot review this thing because bear in mind when you enter an agreement you loose control. You're obliged to follow the agreement. What you do is ...you have to give concessions on licenses, you have to give concessions on building fees, you have to give concessions in zoning and concessions in planning. When you do these things you have to enter the agreement for that period of time. Now, in eight or ten years the board, the village or city council who made the agreement may be gone by the board and there may be a need for review. It means that the community has lost the leverage that they have ordinarily over a long period of time and the chances of it working adverse to them certainly is



there. It just seems to me that it's proper to be able to do these things on a shorter term and any development that requires longer than five years to be sure that it is the proper one, has to have very serious consideration. I think that this iswe're.....we're ...in a situation here where we're going adverse to what local government wants. Now, I respect the authority of ..."

Speaker Telcser: "Could you conclude your remarks, Sir."

Mahar: ".....Municipal League but I still think we have to go against it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I respectfully disagree with the last speaker. This annexation agreement doesn't have to contain anything. It's an agreement, that's all that it is, between a landowner and a ...ah...who has contiguous property and municipality. They can put anything in there they want. It...can work both ways. It can work to the benefit of the municipality to bring land of high valuation to add to their property tax rolls or it can work to the benefit of the developer. I would say that it must work to the benefit of both or they won't enter into the agreement. All this is ...is permissive...it expands to a certain extent the latitude that the parties may go to in entering into this agreement....and I think it's a fine Home Rule type of idea that gives more power to municipalities to control their own destiny. I'd like to see seven more green lights up there to put this Bill through."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote. I think the previous speaker, Representative Leinenweber is right on the button there. He's talking about strictly a permissive authorization for people to negotiate reasonable



contracts. That's what we're talking about in this Bill. I agree that it's not very often that you may find a development that would want to...or require a ten year contract. But I can assure you that there are many areas in our growing suburban communities where a large development would be very attractive to the existing community. That would be a great windfall to the existing taxpayers of that community. That the amount of commitment that the developer is willing to put in ..requires ten years and justifies ten years. All we're saying is that we're allowing the mu...the corporate authorities to contract, and to negotiate, and to enter into an agreement that does justify a ten year period. There's nothing mandatory about this and all we're doing is saying to the mu...corporate authorities that we have faith in them, that they are for the most part elected officials, that we are going to watch very closely and that we're saying to them that this is going to be good for your taxpayers, good for your voters, that if ten years is what is necessary, we're going to give you the authority to do so. That's all this Bill does and I think there is nothing wrong with it. The people that we're giving this authority to still allo.... requires a responsiveness to their constituency and I would again solicit just four more green votes up there so we can get this good Bill out."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I find this Bill rather confusing. If we're talking about a ten year development then we're talking about a development that will probably take the municipality out of the non-Home Rule classification and put them in a Home Rule classification and therefore any such agreement would not be necessary. Another thing that bothers me is what if after seven or eight years....certain commitments have



not been met, does that give the person who has the next preannexation agreement the right to disconnect? Because I don't know the answers to these questions, I'm going to ask to be voted present."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Bluthardt as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, because I don't think we're voting on the honor system. If we don't take another Roll Call and it goes down to 89...below 89 votes I am going to request a verification."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Berman: "Before we have the verification I'd like to poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. On this question there are 90 'ayes', 40 'nays', a verification has been requested. First, the Clerk will read the names of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats so we can expedite this verification as fast as possible. Read the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes, Blade, Bluthardt, Borchers, Campbell, Capparelli,"

Speaker Telcser: "Bluthardt..present....Bluthardt wishes to be voted 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Clabaugh, Collins, Davis, Day, Deavers, Ralph Dunn, Fary, Fleck, Friedland, Gibbs, Granata, Hanahan, Hirschfeld, G. L. Hoffman, J. D. Jones, Keller, Krause, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lemke, Martin, McCormick, Mugalian, Murphy, Patrick, Philip, Piotrowicz, Rayson, Redmond, Rose, Ryan, Schisler, Sevcik, 'Ike' Sims, Stiehl, Telcser, Thompson, Totten, Walters, B. B. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, Representative Redmond, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Redmond: "I should have been recorded as 'aye', if I was on my



switch."

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Redmond recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Redmond as voting 'aye'. Representative Martin, for what purpose do you rise?"

Martin: "How am I recorded, Mr....."

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Martin...."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Martin: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'aye'. Are there any other inquiries, on the absentees?"

Clerk Selcke: "Who's that Lady? Martin?"

Speaker Telcser: "Martin. Currently there are 92 'ayes' and 40 'nays'. Now, the Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats and raise their hand when their name is called. The Clerk will read the affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Arrigo, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, DiPrima, Douglas, Ewell, Farley, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, R. H. Holloway, D. L. Houlihan, J. M. Houlihan, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Leon, Londrigan...."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, do you seek recognition, Sir? Now, proceed, Mr. Clerk.....One second now..... Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't like to have any Member to do what I'm about to do and that's interupt the House's business for an introduction, however these people are leaving the Balcony and their good, close, personal friends and workers in Senator Partee's Ward, a group of people in



the right rear Balcony. Friends of Senator Partee."

Speaker Telcser: "...Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not the tallest man in this House, and I can't see over the center aisle. I wonder if the Gentleman could perhaps kneel."

Speaker Telcser: "Will the Gentleman please be in their seats, raise your hands or stand up when your name is called? Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "McClain, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Nardulli, North, Pappas, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schlickman, Schneider, Sharp, Shea, W. T. Simms, Soderstrom, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Tipword, VonBoeckman, Wall, Washington, Williams, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded,"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Kempiners: "Would you make that 'present' please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'.

Now, Representative Fleck, wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Day wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Clabaugh wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "Well, Mr. Speaker, my questions have been answered, to my satisfaction, I change my 'present' vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Bluthardt as voting 'aye'. Representative Stiehl wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Now, does the Gentleman wish to persist



in his verificationRepresentative Gene Hoffman... wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Would you please change my vote from 'no', to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Geo-Karis as voting 'aye'. Representative Skinner,"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, if those who are traditionally supposed to be protectors of schools don't care, I don't care."

Speaker Telcser: "Oooooo...Representative Peters wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Washburn and Dave Jones 'aye'. Okay, Totten and Kent 'aye'. On this question there are 101 'ayes', 40 'nays'Anderson 'aye', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1189."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1189, Ryan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Soil and Water Conservation District Law, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan. Representative Ryan, do you wish to explain your Bill, Sir?"

Ryan: "I had to be the electrician for a minute, I got my switch fixed now and my 'mike'. House Bill 1189 is simply a clarification Bill. Presently the law requires the municipality in a Soil and Water Conservation District to submit a copy of the petitioners proposal for zoning and drainage to the district. The District is then required to render an opinion on the impact of such a variance and...ah...of concern to the district. Now, the General Council of the Illinois Municipal League and the various municipal attorneys interpret the term opinion to be advisory and not necessarily a binding limitation...on the request of variance. So in order to clarify the law and to avoid any misunderstanding we've just made a language change here that the opinion of the district shall be advisory only. And I would move for a green light on this



particular Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Representative Ryan, would you answer a few questions ...please?"

Ryan: "I'll give it a try."

Pappas: "Ah....first question is, is this your first Bill?"

Ryan: "Yes. It certainly is."

Pappas: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1189 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Take the record. Sorry about that Choate. The question is, shall House Bill 1189 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Fleck 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', one 'nay' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Duff: "I didn't know how Representative Ryan was recorded on that though?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, he was lucky, he got a second Roll Call."

Unknown: "He didn't vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ryan, you were not recorded as voting on that Bill, Sir."

Ryan: "Yes, I know, Mr. Speaker, I ...ah...I didn't want to try and influence anybody ...because it didn't look like I had too much influence, but now that I've got the vote, would you record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. House Bill 1871.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1871, Merlo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to request ...returning House Bill 1871 to the Order of Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, 1871 will return to Second Reading. Will the Clerk read the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Terzich, amends House Bill 1871, on page 1....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have ah.....Amendment #1 Tabled and...ah...recommend the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The ...ah...Amendment is Tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Terzich....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, while this Bill is on Second Reading, ... I request a Fiscal Note?"

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Wolf will explain this to the Majority Leader."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Here's where we are, on Second Reading, Amendment #1 has been Tabled and the Gentleman has offered Amendment #....."

Terzich: "No....Amendment #1 is to be amended...ah....by Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, then Amendment #1 has been Tabled the Gentleman is offering Amendment #2....Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise Sir?"

Shea: "Amendment #2....the Bill....ah...Amendment #1 is the Bill. Amendment #2 amends Amendment #1. So it's Amend..... Amendment #2...."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, the Gentleman



put a motion to"

Shea: "That's right..."

Speaker Telcser: "...table #1..."

Shea: "....I made a mistake...ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "...do you....Well, now it's Tabled. Do you want to move to reconsider the vote by which it was Tabled?"

Shea: "All right...reconsider, please."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was Tabled. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Amendment #1 is now back on the Bill, ..on the Order of Second Reading. What the Gentleman wants to do is offer Amendment #2 to amend the Bill as Amended. Now, Representative Wolf....Okay, now the Gentleman has moved to adopt Amendment #1 to House Bill 1871, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment #1 is now adopted. Now, Representative Walsh has requested a Fiscal Note. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf....."

Wolf: "Just for clarification first, I think we already did adopt Amendment #1 the other day, did we not?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, but the Gentleman rose, Representative Wolf and put a motion to Table it....now we're back where we started....we're in good shape."

Wolf: "Now, may I address myself to Amendment 2?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes.....Representative Walsh has requested a Fiscal Note, Representative Terzich has indicated that you could...ah...explain to Representative Walsh why there is.....or is not a need for that note. I...."

Wolf: "All right, I would respectfully ask the Majority Leader to withdraw that request for a Fiscal Note at this time. We have gotten together on this particular Bill. The Amendment #2 will take it back to the ceiling of \$250, which is presently the pension and just allows them to



take the excess of the 4 percent interest earned to
...ah take care of it. There has been a problem in the
past where we have an annual 2 percent income right now..
which there has been some question as to whether or not
these firemen are going to get the 2 percent plus the
minimum of \$250 a month and...ah..I'm satisfied that this
will take care of the problem....without raising the
amount above \$250 a month...and I would request that
you would withdraw that ..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "I withdraw the request."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has withdrawn the re-
quest. Is there further discussion? If not the Gentle-
man's offered move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to
House Bill 1871 as amended. All those in favor of the
Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed
'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further
Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a
Third time, now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Merlo, relative to House Bill 1871."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this has been
agreed upon and I ask favorable consideration of this
Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is,
shall House Bill 1871 pass? All those in favor signify
by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all
voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 130
'ayes', one 'nay'. And this Bill having received the
Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House
Bill 1859."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1859, a Bill for an Act to amend
...Section of the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1859
...ah..amends the portion of the Revenue Act having to do



with counties over 1,000,000 population. And is merely a technical Amendment when notices are given for a change of assessments by the assessor. It used to say in a language...s..s ..and in the present language that it has to be given to the owner. Many times because of difficulty or because of trust or other reasons the owner is not easily ascertainable. All this says that the notice to the person to whom the last bill was mailed...is sufficient notice of notice by the assessor. It's a more efficient way of handling this thing and therefore not having any problems which come on and which avoids much more expense....And I ask for adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1859 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question ... 109 'ayes', 2 'nays'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1877."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1877, Maragos, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1877 is a Bill which gives additional support and proper training for people who are involved in the assessment processes of the State of Illinois. What it does is give the...as the Department of Local Government Affairs given additional \$50 per annum compensation to people who will take the courses of study and training to be conducted annually throughout the State for them to become better prepared to do their job as assessors. It's a good Bill and it shouldneeds...and I ask for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Maragos, if a county government decides to...."



conduct a court, using the instructors of the Illinois Property Tax Institute, which is the agency that certifies Illinois assessing officers for purposes of this Statute... Ah...would it be possible for the Institute to come in and give a test without having conducted the course officially?"

Maragos: "This does not....this does not change the present law in that regard, Representative ..ah..."

Skinner: "Well, do you believe"

Maragos: "All this....all this....that should be...but this does not touch on ...this Bill does not touch on that question....All this does is raise the amount of money for the person whose getting that benefit from \$250 per annum to \$300 per annum. That's all it does, it just raises the compensation to ...for the officers ... taking that course."

Skinner: "Well, in the Synopsis it says that these courses shall be conducted annually in Cook County and in various other locations throughout the State."

Maragos: "Yes."

Skinner: "At the present time there is one course conducted for the CIAO....."

Skinner: "It is held in Bloomington every summer. And assessors and potential assessors ...those running for Township Assessor, for instance, have been excluded from this course in favor of industry representatives and I wonder if that is the intent of your Bill?"

Maragos: "No, the ...the intent of the Bill ...primarily the gist of this Bill...is to raise it from \$250 to \$300. And it sayswhat is permissive now....to allow the courts to be in Cook County and in other various locations. Now, who is going to conduct...normally these have been conducted by the Department of Local Government Affairs... and the law does not change that fact as to who is going to conduct the courses."

Skinner: "Well, it says here.'it requires them to hold them in



Cook County annually'."

Maragos: "And.....other locations...so there is more than one ...because Cook County having the amount of the traffic that it does and for the northern part of the State..it is the most centrally located for other purposes; but it does not"

Skinner: "In other locations. Does this mean more than one other location?"

Maragos: "Yes, because it has...it has the word...plural.... locations, it doesn't just have the word location...itself."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Maragos: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1877 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? McAvoy and Grotberg 'aye'. Take the record. Take the record. Capuzi 'aye'. Record Representative Palmer as voting 'aye'. On this question 118 'ayes', 2 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1928. ...Er....928...928.....yeah....928."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 928, Leon, a Bill for an Act making appropriation ..ah..to the Department of Public Health, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leon."

Leon: "Ah...Thank you, Mr. Speaker...ah...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 928 is an appropriation to the Department of Public Health to make a refund to the Plumbing Contractors, for an Act which was declared unconstitutional. This Bill received a unanimous approval by the Appropriation Committee, and I'll be very happy if I can get this same kind of a vote on the floor of this House. "

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 928 pass? All those in favor signify



by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Now, if the Members... ..on this question there are 135 'ayes', no 'nays', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We'd like not to call Appropriation Bills today, since they are exempt. So, if the Members could kind of hold off one more day for 'em. House Bill 1497."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1497, Cunningham, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedures, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill didn't have very many Sponsors when it started out, but I am authorized to say that my friend, Mentor and benefactor, the Minority Leader, Representative Shea, is for the Bill. Representative Sangmeister, Representative Rayson, and I was also authorized to say that our former colleague, was on the floor here a minute ago, Representative Danny O'Brien, was for the Bill. I'm telling you what the Bill does, it is an economy move in the cost of defense of criminal cases throughout the State of Illinois. As was indicated the other day, a few years ago a county downstate was threatened with bankruptcy for the cost of defense in the 'Stamps' Murder Case', at that time Representative Shea wrote the law in its regard to the responsibility of the various governmental units to pay the cost of these defenses. And since this time it has become an increasing burden in many downstate counties to furnish the degree of defense the Supreme Court decision requires. What we seek by this Bill is that the State and Counties shall share the cost of these defenses and in the hope that that proceeding will hold down unnecessary expense. As I have indicated, in many



counties it becomes a real financial burden. I know of no opposition to the Bill and would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Washington: "Representative Cunningham, I would like to join your list of supporters..."

Cunningham: "Delighted..."

Washington: "If you will answer one question. Ah...The thing that bothers me, Roscoe, is that involving the Court of Claims, in the attorneys fees might discourage attorneys from representing indigent clients. Now, if you can assure me that won't be the case I'll gladly support this Bill."

Cunningham: "I am proud to make that assurance. You remember when this was discussed in Judiciary II, and the Amendment that was required by Representatives Rayson and Sangmeister is that the County must pay its share forthwith as they go along and it was expected that that proceeding would safeguard the...the attorneys against an unreasonable delay which might delay which might deminish their enthusiasm for the defense. I would like to have you as a Sponsor too."

Washington: "I wasn't aware ...I wasn't aware of that Amendment but once again you have a good Bill, Roscoe, I'll support it."

Cunningham: "Bless you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1497 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Houlihan.....J. Houlihan, 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Just in explaining my vote I thought it was almost going



to cough that Bill to death."

Speaker Telcser: "Wall 'aye'. Wolf and McAvoy 'aye'...J. J. Wolf. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 116 'ayes', 6 'nays', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Roscoe, you're running so well, we'll call your next one. How is that? It's 1615."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1615, A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Banking Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker I'm reluctant to proceed with this Bill....unless Representative Chapman is on the floor or within the sound of my voice. I can...."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, she is on the floor."

Cunningham: "Fine. This is a Bill to protect the rights of single women in the fine art of borrowing money from the bank. There are many banks in this world who have the regrettable habit of requiring single women to have endorsements when they do not do so for men. I'm authorized to say that Eugenia is for the Bill and many of my Bills she has been decisively against. I will appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1615 pass? All those in favor signify byI'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Would the Gentleman respond to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "Does the fact that a....a person is a single female.... ah...mean that they cannot require a cosigner?"

Cunningham: "No, it does not, as the Bill says, Mr. Majority Leader, they can require a cosigner if they would make the same requirement of a single male. The point is, and it's



true, that too often banks just engage in a ...the regrettable policy of insisting on a cosigner for a single female. It puts them on a parody and there is nothing discriminatory in favor of 'em..it just equalizes ... the situation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Roscoe, does this mean that if I go the bank for a loan I might have to bring my father with me?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, I'm sure they wouldn't require any surity whatever for aah...borrower such as Thee."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 1615 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, now here's another chance for all you people who do believe that you can...Legislate equal rights to show you believe. Yes, I see one hundred of you. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish. Take the record. Deavers 'aye'. On this question 110 'ayes', 9 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1243."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1243, a Bill for an Act to Amend Sections of an Act in relation to...a...ah...regulation and registration of real estate brokers, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1243 is designed to combat the social evil of panic peddling and block busting. The Bill provides that the definition of panic peddling, which is currently contained in Chapter 38, the Criminal Code, is placed in Chapter



114 1/2, the Brokers and Salesmans Act. Additionally certain technical and procedural changes are made in the current system of nonsolicitation in order to make that system effectivewhich it is not at the present time.

I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill simply says that theyreal estate people shall not solicit for the...ah...listings and ... ah...the Gentleman has confined the ...ah...area in which they may not solicit to the City of Chicago and I'm in the real estate business and frankly I could care less. We solicit not at allin the City of Chicago....for listings, although we have an office there. And, ...ah... I'd just like to point this out, Mr. Speaker that ah...we're all concerned here with social evils and we're concerned with ...righting all the wrongs that exist in the world and to approach this particular wrong.... that is the blight that is in some portions of the City of Chicago and certainly in other places....and to say that we can correct this and to suggest that we can correct it or do anything about it in even the smallest possible way ...by lean.....leaning on a particular industry or trade is to put our heads in the clouds and not face things realistically. This Bill will have nothing whatever to do with solving any of the problems of the City of Chicago, any of the racial problems or other problems there. We go a lot deeper than something like this to do that. This will mean absolutely nothing and what it will do is ...it will prevent people from making an honest living. There's nothing inherently wrong with soliciting for a listing. I don't think anyone here can say that there is anything wrong with soliciting for a listing. And none of the problems in the City of Chicago



stem from listings being solicited. People it seems want to run away when there is a racial change in their neighborhood and this is not going to prevent it. Now, I would suggest to you that we here ought to address ourselves to the problem in some more meaningful way than scratching the surface or attempting to scratch the surface with something like this. I suggest that this is a very bad Bill, it infringes on individual liberties, it should be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Representatives of the House I have been enjoying the leadership of my Majority Leader sitting in front of me for a long time, but I've never heard anyone so dead wrong on this subject...And ...ah..I think he has been living in the suburbs too long. One of the principal causes of ...of the flight... flight from the neighborhood ...of people who have lived there all of their lives and maybe their parents and their grandparents before them...is because of the unscrupulous soliciting carried on by certain realtors within the City of Chicago. This is an extremely important Bill and it's needed. And I urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos....All right....he doesn't seek recognition. Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just very briefly, just to join with the comments made by the Sponsor of this Legislation. And the comments made by Representative Dunne in regard to the real need for this kind of Legislation. I ask the House to give it its sincere consideration and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."



Holloway: "Now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if there ever was a Bill that was unnecessary, this is it. Now, I think the Speaker or rather the Majority Leader has hit it right on the head. Ah... this idea that you can....by Legislation....keeping restrictions on one professional groupah...is all wrong..it's un-American....it...it ...it continues to keep the caldron boiling....ah...in an area that ...ah....where we should let it simmer down....Ah...When people have something to sell and someone wants to buy it they are going to buy it. I don't care how many laws you put on the books. There's much more that could be said but I...just earnestly hope that the Members of the House will give a resounding 'no' vote to this type of Legislation in the hope that this is the last time we'll see an effort made to pass a Bill such as this.

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Now, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Day: "Representative Madigan, I notice in the digest here that this Bill would require the Department of Registration and Education to maintain files of people who did not want to be solicited by a realtor?"

Madigan: "Representative Day, this is done now....by the Illinois Commission on Human Relations and it's my thought that theHuman Relations Commission is hardly the agency to police real estate brokers and salesmen. In reality the proper agency for this function is the Department of Registration and Education."

Day: "What is the purpose of these...this list...of these files? What's the reason for it?"

Madigan: "The reason is to allow the homeowner, who is harassed 24 hours a day ...by a profit seeking real estate broker ...or salesman ..to be left alone. It's almost identical



to a situation where a homeowner places a sign in front of his house which states no peddlers wanted. No solicitations. That's a perfect analogy."

Day: "Well, how does the solicitor know that the person he is attempting to solicit has registered his ...his name with the Department of Registration?"

Madigan: "These lists are made available to the brokers.... the brokers and salesmen for their perusal....just as it is now. This system is in existence now, today.... statewide. This Bill would make it only apply to the City of Chicago."

Day: "Has there been any prosecutions?"

Madigan: "Yes,

Day: "And the realtors have lost their license?"

Madigan: "No. One matter is on appeal right now."

Day: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Duff: "On page four, lines one, two, three and four. Well, actually Section 19. It doesn't seem to make sense. It says 'soliciting for sale, lease, listing or purchase any residential real estate within the State of Illinois on the grounds of loss of value due the present or perspective entry into the vicinity of the property involved of any person or persons of any particular race.' Lines two...three....there's something missing...'entry into the vicinity of the property involved of any person or persons of any particular race.'"

Madigan: "Brian, if you're referring...I believe you're referring to page four, lines 1 through 5 or 1 through 4."

Duff: "One through 4, yeah..."

Madigan: "That's identical language to what is presently contained in Chapter 38 of the Criminal Code. That's the already accepted definition of 'panic peddling' as adopted



by this General Assembly."

Duff: "Well, where's the...."

Madigan: "It's identical to language contained in House Bill 707 which has already passed this House this Session."

Duff: "Well, where are the controlling words? I mean it says 'soliciting for sale, lease, listing or purchase of any residential real estate within the State of Illinois on the grounds of loss of value due to the present or perspective entry into the vicinity and'...right up to there it makes sense...and then it says 'of the property involved of any person or persons of any particular race!'"

Madigan: "It may be a problem in the draftsmanship which could be cured in Enrolling and Engrossing."

Duff: "Well, what does it mean? Or, what is it intended to mean?"

Madigan: "It's intended to mean, that where a real estate broker or salesman plays upon the fears of a community in order to make exorbitant profitthat....that's illegal."

Duff: "Well, I can see that in Sections 20, 21 and 22. But, I...I...I....can't find any sense at all in Section 19."

Madigan: "Well, the language is on the books now. I don't know why, it's on there."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to on a....Point of Personal Privilege....acting as Minority Leader temporarily.....to introduce the eighth grade graduating class in Highland Park, Immaculate Conception School, Served by Representative Murphy, Deuster and myself. And Father Garbin, with them, Immaculate Conception School, eighth grade class from Highland Park, the graduating class....stand up please."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Rep-



representative Maragos."

Maragos: "The matter's been debated long enough, Sir. I move the Previous Question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved the Previous Question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan to close."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would in closing wish to respond to certain remarks of the distinguished Majority Leader. He seemed to indicate in his remarks that the Bill was depressive upon the real estate industry. I would like to point out for the edification of all present that this Bill was developed in cooperation with the Southwest Realty Board, which is the realty board in my Legislative District. And the Bill contains three items which were suggested by their realty board. Number one, there is a certification available for the real estate broker; number two, there is a life span placed upon the life of the notice forms; and number three, any expression of tax or any intent automatically negates the effect of the notice form. I submit that it's a good Bill, it's much needed in my community and I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1243 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos to explain his vote."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill corrects the present laws ...which I happen to be the Sponsor of a few years back. ...And the fact that these ...lists still exist in the law and are very cumbersome and are very expensive. By this measure we are trying to make it more efficient ...less expensive to the State and still correct the problem. And in one other area it is limited only to the City of Chicago where the problem is acute and not to any other area in the State where there might be some other question arise. We need your support



because this is a Bill in the right direction, it makes it more corrective in the area where it needs to be corrected. There will be less expense for the State because it will save about \$150,000 for administration in the State because it will be put in the right department instead of present department that it is. Please look at this very carefully and I...we solicit your 'aye' vote on this measure."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting in favor of this Bill because I find it very similar to the Federal Law that prevents the delivery of pornography by mail. If one places ones name on a list that is filed with the Federal Government. Seems to be a similar remedy and I think it will solve the.....solve the problem the Sponsor points out."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne to explain his vote."

Dunne: "Well, very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out to the House that the requirements of this Bill are very similar to the requirements that were drawn into the Bill that creates a new code for administry realtors, that's House Bill 707 which had unanimous support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would suggest that this is not the approach to take if we want to end the reprehensible practice of 'block busting!'. The Bill's developed for.....are the Fair Housing Bills, and Bills like House Bill 709 which really will provide fair housing for everyone in the State of Illinois. There aren't any black people sponsoring this Bill, this Bill is sponsored by the people who live on the southwest 'lily-white' side of Chicago. This is not the way to go. I ask you to join me in voting 'no'."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah...there sometimes comes a time when you would call a spade a spade. Now, I remember years ago when 'open occupancy' ...ah...was the great thing here in the House. And, ah...the Democratic side used to depend on the Republican side to kill those Bills and the Republican side of the House always accommodated. And, those of us who had to work out in the community were always having our face washed with the ...with the proposition that the Republicans were always knocking down 'open occupancy'. Now, if this Bill is going to pass, let's see to it that it passes with all those 'green' Democrat lights. So, that for once, those of us who work in the interest of the Republican Party in the black community can point out that it was the Democratic Party that passed this Bill. This is strictly a backlash Bill in terms of Chicago politics and I don't care what kind constitutional and administrative props you put behind it, that's what it is. And that's the way it's going to be construed in the whole City of Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, anybody who has witnessed 'panic peddling' ...ah....should be well aware of what a vicious racket it is. And since when is it ...ah..bad to have people's rights protected? If a person doesn't want to be solicited it should be his right. There is nothing ...ah...bad about having a real estate broker or anyone go in and solicit the area. All he has to do is just check the lists and respect the rights of other people...and why this is supposed to be a 'backlash Bill' I...I....certainly don't know. Since when is people's rights a 'backlash Bill'? Let's give



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah...there sometimes comes a time when you would call a spade a spade. Now, I remember years ago when 'open occupancy' ...ah...was the great thing here in the House. And, ah...the Democratic side used to depend on the Republican side to kill those bills and the Republican side of the House always accommodated. And, those of us who had to work out in the community were always having our face washed with the ...with the proposition that the Republicans were always knocking down 'open occupancy'. Now, if this Bill is going to pass, let's see to it that it passes with all those 'green' Democrat lights. So, that for once, those of us who work in the interest of the Republican Party in the black community can point out that it was the Democratic Party that passed this Bill. This is strictly a backlash Bill in terms of Chicago politics and I don't care what kind constitutional and administrative props you put behind it, that's what it is. And that's the way it's going to be construed in the whole City of Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Warzich."

Warzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, anybody who has witnessed 'panic peddling' ...ah....should be well aware of what a vicious racket it is. And since when is it ...ah..bad to have people's rights protected? If a person doesn't want to be solicited it should be his right. There is nothing ...ah...bad about having a real estate broker or anyone in and solicit the area. All he has to do is just check the lists and respect the rights of other people...and why this is supposed to be a 'backlash Bill' I...I...I don't know. Since when is people's rights a 'backlash Bill'? Let's give

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



'em the right to ...ah...not be solicited, let's have these 'panic peddlers' or these real estate people ...if they want to go in and solicit an area...all they have to do is pick up a telephone and find out who wants to be and who doesn't. And, I urge support of this by every Member of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A portion of my district is a district wherein persons have moved into an area they were sold houses by a reputable real estate broker but I see nothing in this Bill ...nothing in this Bill that will protect the homebuyer when he moves into an area and is being discriminated against. I have to agree with my colleague on the other side of the aisle that this is nothing but a 'backlash Bill' and I wish some of my colleagues on this side of the aisle would join me in voting no on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Ah..Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hadn't planned to speak on this Bill, I was simply going to vote 'no'.....and sit here, because I've spoken against every so-called 'blockbusting Bill' that has come before this House. But, I think I would be remiss in my responsibility if I didn't join Representative Jones, Representative Holloway and Representative Catania in pointing to just what this Bill does and doesn't do. Now, for years we've tried to pass out of this House fair housing laws which would take the pressure off of those whites who live on the black periphery and permit blacks and other minorities who've been shut out of the housing market to move where their economic means permitted them to live. And, it is very unfortunate and I don't say this with maliceit's very unfortunate that the very people who are sponsoring



this Bill always led the fight against fair housing. Now, I have a Bill called House Bill 709. A Workers Residential Rights Act, and that what it is, which is on Postponed Consideration and it seems to me that the people who are sponsoring this Bill should have 'wakened' up and supported 709. But, I'm positive ...I'm positive that not a single sponsor on this Bill voted for 709 and if there is I'll apologize to them personally. I'm simply saying that they don't even know where their best interests lie. They are fighting the desire on the part of the minorities to avail themselves of property throughout the county and the state and the city. They are frustrating the free alienation of property in this country and in this State by consistently refusing to vote to support fair housing and worker residential rights Bills. I repeat, as Representative Holloway said 'this Bill' and I want to make it very clear, 'this Bill has racial overtones', racial overtones of the worst sort. I think it should be defeated and I think if these people want to cease from the steady tide of movement of people who want the free alienation of land so they can live near their jobs and work and raise their children and educate them and get the finer points of life like everyone else does, then they should go back home and simply tell their constituents one simple fact. The tide is moving and we can't stop it. And if we're going to have racial peace in this country, and in this State and in the City of Chicago, we have got to stop frustrating the litigimate ambitions of those people who want property. I would suggest that they also say that I voted for House Bill 709 because I think it will help restore racial peace."

Speaker Telcser: "Could you please...."

Washington: "And I'm going to vote against every block busting Bill because it does just the opposite. I urge you to vote 'no'."



Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, in response to the previous speaker, I would like to point out that I did support House Bill 709 and that I am also a Cosponsor of this particular Bill. We are not attempting to frustrate the legitimate ambitions of the people of this State as to where you are going to live. What this Bill is attempting to do is to frustrate the criminal ambitions of unscrupulous real estate brokers who are praying upon the fears and who are engaged in 'panic peddling'. This is a fundamentally important Bill. It is very important to the people in the City of Chicago and I would earnestly urge that you vote in support of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to explain my vote, I think that this Bill goes in the absolute opposite direction from what we should be about the business of doing here in the State of Illinois. My district happens to boarder on that so called Chinese Wall in the City of Chicago.....Ashland Avenue. That is the boarder of my Western boundries of my district... and if any of you downstaters would ever want to come up to Chicago and see what is in fact a Berlin Wall within a City, come up and take a look at the Western Boundries of the 29th District which is the east side of Ashland Avenue and then look across to the Eastern boundry on the other side of the street. You can see right there the makings of what will ultimately be what was expressedin some of the earlier reports during the 1960's....two societies...one white and one black. If that is the kind of society that we want to leave our legacy for our coming generation I would suggest to you, support this Bill. If you believe



that a person should be able to rise as far as his ambitions and his work will take him in this society, then you willoppose this kind of Legislation. I believe that this is a horrible Bill, it's a horrible precedent to set this kind of Legislation in the 1970's if this was 1935 I'd say you were doing the right thing. So if you want to come along and in the current generation I'd....I would solicit a 'no' vote on this particular bad Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've heard some of the black Representatives from the City talking about this having racial overtones. Well, of course the real estate that is in question is in an area that is a changing area and in this sense there are racial overtones. However, in my opinion the basic reason for this Bill is to get a seller, normally a white seller a proper price for his piece of real estate. Not to have him place in a position where he is beat down and to take the home that he's spent twenty or thirty years in and to give it away to a 'panic peddler'. And, then what does the 'panic peddler' do? He boosts the price to an extremely high price and sells it to some black family and who has suffered? The black family and the white familyand the unscrupulous real estate broker has made one hell of a big profit. We can talk about race, certainly when you have black and white people living adjoining each other there is a racial point involved but the real issue of the Bill is are we going to let the brokers make the money on 'panic peddling' or are we going to give white people a fair price for their real estate on this southwest side of Chicago and in the other area that's changing? I urge a 'yes' vote for this Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a Point of Personal Privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Maragos: "I am a Cosponsor of the Bill.....6....5...years ago which this Bill is trying to correct. I was the first man in my district to vote for open.....housing....I was the first person in my district that went ahead of the area because I wanted to believe in racial equality and I believe in a viable city. I do not like the tag because I am a supporter of this Bill that I have any racial overtones. I want to state also to Mr. Washington that I did support House Bill 709. And, the many Legislators who I hear....I still live in a area where I have black neighbors and white neighbors and I want to keep that City a viable City like it is and have no question of racial overtones. Even though this may be an artificial method at least it shall slow down the process of making the City a one race City and the suburbs become lily-white:I'll support every piece of Legislation but give us a hand to slow down the process that this one does. It is equitable....we see time and again, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House where the blacks or the whites ...spoilers come in...and it is amazing when it comes to the fringe areas....where there is racial boundries...all of a sudden you hear about two or three hundred brokers come in there who never had before and have a concerted effort to change it from blackfrom white to black instead of having an orderly process of having this done in a manner which would be its natural course by the scare tactics ...by everything else....and what concerns me is the older people who are on pension who cannot even buy other homes, it's the white people who want to stay with...their black neighbors



and are being forced out because their scared every day because of their tactics. This is a Bill that tries to keep artificial boundries alive in order to give the people an opportunity to live together. Personally it has nothing to do with any racial overtones on my part because I say I still live in an area which is a mixed area ...I have very good neighbors.....I want to stay there as long as God allows me but the only thing is... this is not an artificial thing and I'm very sad that they've made this into a racial overtone Bill because it is not that fact and I rise on a point of Personal Privilege because I do not like the remark...to say that the Sponsor of this Bill or the Cosponsor of this Bill are racially motivated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, I'd just like to say this Mr. Speaker, that we have laws in Illinois, the City of Chicago, other municipalities relating to the scurrilous practice of 'block busting', the fomenting of race and all of these intended evil practices. They are not too workable it's true. Many of our laws are not too workable in this society in which we live, which is strained because of the races aspect of society...but when we have block busting laws which on the surface seem good but perhaps are a guise to keep black people out. As the case in the City of Markham, where I took their ordinances to the Supreme Court of Illinois, as in the case of the City of Harvey now, I'm suggesting that this kind of Legislation may legitimatize the interest of owners so that they can feel that they are not racial in any way but yet they can have a tranquility in their neighborhood without any intrusions of black people moving in. I'm suggesting when the owner can say 'please don't bother me, here's my name on a list', I'm suggesting this is a tacit way,



where the white people can work with their white brokers to do their white thing and to keep the black man out. I suggest that this Bill has many bugs if not apprehensions. And, I think that a good vote is 'red'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "It's....It's.....Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, it's clear to me that there is very strong feelings on this issue on both sides. I would suggest that those who are interested in this Bill and those who are very interested in the Workers Residential Rights Bill get together and possibly we can have a joint Roll Call on both of those Bills. Until they get a chance to discuss this I suggest the Bills not go anywhere, I'll vote 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Houlihan as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Now, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I live out in 'lily-white' Lake County at a place called Sylvan Lake, but for the last 10 years of my life prior to that I lived in an integrated....half white, half black community in Washington, D. C. and I believe very much that people of all races can live together in peace and harmony. However, in order to do that you have a lot of obstacles to overcome. And you have to do everything you can when you're living together...blacks and whites.. hoping for community life and happiness to avoid every source of irritation, every despicable practice that you identify that causes hostility and bitterness between the races must be stamped out.....and I wanted to explain that my green light is up here in that spirit because I feel that the insidious practice that some disreputable real estate people engage in causes disharmony between the



races and it's hard enough for us to get together as
 brothers and sisters and the people of good will when
 we have those who are praying on the underlying fears
 and suspicions. And I'm voting for this Bill and I urge
 others to put a green light up there, simply to do
 something to make it possible in the areas where there
 is tension and friction and to get rid of practices ...
 ..ah...that prey on the bad side of human nature. And I
 would urge many of you to put the green light up for that
 reason and in that spirit."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Now, Ladies and
 Gentlemen, there are a number of you who are still seek-
 ing recognition, we've been on this Bill for almost one-
 half hour...ah...the latest report is ...by the way...is
 that the baseball diamond is too muddy to play. If I
 would have the approval of Representative Choate, Rep-
 resentative Walsh, I'll stay here 'til 1 o'clock in the
 morning...because we're going to beat this Calendar to-
 day. I'll continue to recognize everyone who wants to
 explain their votes, but remember you're going to pay for
 it in the wee hours of the morning. The Gentleman from
 Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've already explained my vote....
 but like...."

Speaker Telcser: "....Sir, you don't have that right any longer."

Dunne: "Well, wait,I'm not...."

Speaker Telcser: "What's your point, Sir?"

Dunne: "On a Point of Personal Privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your Point."

Dunne: "As Representative Maragos says. We have been accused
 rather directly, those of us who support this Bill, that
 we live in a 'lily-white' southside, as one Member
 represented and others have accused us of being racist.
 And I'd like to point out to those Membersthe 29th
 and the 28th District...that are against this Bill, I'd



like to relate to them...what is said over the phone when one of these unscrupulous realtors calls. Might call Mrs. Dunne, or Mrs. Houlihan, or Mrs. Madigan, if they call during the day and we're down here, they say..'hey, do you know that those blacks are coming into your neighborhood, those racists, those murderers, those hoods, with their 'hoody' children', this is what they say. And you're voting to support those kind of people? That's what you're doing the way you're talking on this Bill. I don't understand it."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Jones, do you seek recognition, Sir? No? Okay. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. All right. I know there will be a verification request. All right. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 42 'nays', a couple of Members are seeking recognition for a verification. That is their right. Ah...The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, do you seek....ah..."

Washington: "A verification..."

Speaker Telcser: "A verification...now, ...Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise? "

Madigan: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, the Gentleman has first requested a poll of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats while the absentees are polled. Will the Clerk please read the names of the absentees?"

Clerk Selcke: "Borchers, Capparelli, Chapman, Davis, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Epton, Ewell, Fary, Gibbs, Granata, Gratberg, Hart, Gene Hoffman, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Hyde, Kennedy, Krause, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Lemke, Lundy, McGah, McLendon, Kenny Miller, Molloy..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Miller as voting 'Present'....Kenny Miller."

Clerk Selcke: "Mugalian, Murphy, Patrick, Philip, Redmond, Rose, Schlickman, Sevcik, Ike Sims, Stedelin, Telcser,



...hard enough for us
...masters and the people
...are praying on
... And I'm voting for
... green light up the
... it possible in
... restriction and to get
... on the bad side
... of you to put the
... that spirit."

... all voted who were
... are a number of
... we've been on the
... the latest report
... diamond is too
... approval of Representative
... I'll stay here
... we're going to
... to recognize
... but remember
... of the morning
... Representative Robert Dunn
... I've already

... Sir, you don't
... I'm not...."

... your point,
... Personal Privilege
... your Point."

... Representative Maragos said
... those of us who
... 'ly-white' south
... others have acc
... point out to the
... district... that are

Thompson, Walters, Washburn, B. B. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, how is Representative Kucharski recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, that's the way he wants it. Now, are there questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook...Repre...Oh, I'm sorry, that was the absentees....All right, anyone else wish to change their vote...or come in a Roll Call? All right, the Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats and raise their hand when your name is called.Will the Clerk please read the Affirmative Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop, Anderson, Arnell, Arrigo, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Calvo, Campbell, Capuzi, Carter, Choate, .."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washington, for what purpose ..ah...proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Collins, Craig, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, R. L. Dunne, Ebbesen, Farley, Fennessey, Flinn, Friedland Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hill, Jimmy Holloway, D. L. Houlihan, Huskey, Jacobs, Dave Jones, Juckett, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kriegsman, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Londrigan, Madigan, Mahar, Maragos, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McPartlin, Merlo, Tom Miller, Nardulli, North, Palmer, Pappas, Pierce, Peters, Polk, Randolph, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Skinner, Springer, Stone, Terzich, Tip sword, Waddell, Wall, Williams, J. J. Wolf, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there questions of the affirmative Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Hmnnnnnn...Monroe Flinn."



Speaker Telcser: "Is Monroe Flinn on the floor? ...How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Dyer?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dyer?...She is in her seat."

Maragos: "Is Representative Boyle on the Floor? He is in his seat."

Maragos: "Arrigo?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Maragos: "Carter?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Carter on the Floor? R. Carter....How is this Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Bradley?"

Speaker Telcser: "Bradley?...Representative Bradley on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Brummet?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Maragos: "Calvo?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman is in his seat....he is in his seat."

Maragos: "Campbell?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Campbell? Is Representative Campbell on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye' "

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Collins?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Collins on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "He is....."

Speaker Telcser: "There he is....he's on the Floor, he's in



the back."

Maragos: "Garmisa?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Maragos: "Ebbesen?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ebbesen is standing up by his seat."

Maragos: "Hanahan?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hanahan is standing in the aisle, by Representative Choate. Are there further questions?"

Maragos: "J. Holloway?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative J. Holloway on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Kucharski?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is he there, is Representative, ...oh, he'sis Representative....I'm sorry....leave Representative Holloway on the Roll Call. Couldn't see him back there."

Maragos: "Kucharski?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kucharski is standing in the aisle."

Maragos: "Gibbs?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Gibbs on the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Maragos: "David Jones?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman is on the Floor....Now, Representative Campbell has returned to the floor. Put him back on the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Schoeberlein?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Schoeberlein on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Wall?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is standing in the back."



Maragos: "Waddell?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schoeberlein, I'm told is up here in the Balcony with some constituents, I assume, put him back on the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Put him back?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure."

Maragos: Waddell?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Waddell is in his seat."

Maragos: "Stone?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Stone on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Maragos: "Tom Miller?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Maragos: "Anderson?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat. Representative Sevcik, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Sevcik: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative Philip, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Philip: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Philip: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Are there ... Representative Washburn indicates he wishes to be recorded voting 'aye'. Now, are there further questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Representative Springer?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

Washington: "Representative McAuliffe?"



Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative McAuliffe on the floor?
Here he is right in the aisle. Any further questions?"
What do you have, Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "88 'ayes', 40 'nay'."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm going to announce it, don't worry. On
this question there are 88 'ayes', 48 is it...42 'nays',
this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional
Majority....Representative Madigan, for what purpose
do you rise?....."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second. Representative, Macdonald,
for what purpose do you rise?"

Macdonald: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Macdonald recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'nay'."

Macdonald: "Record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as 'aye'. This question....
are there further questions? None Harold? Representative
Stiehl, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stiehl: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'nay'."

Stiehl: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jones or Washington are there
any further questions? Turn on Representative Washington."

Washington: "Representative Sharp?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat. On this questions there
are 90 'ayes', 40 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and this
Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is here-
by declared passed. Representative Madigan, for what
purpose do you rise? Representative Terzich, for what
purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Terzich: "Ah....Mr. Speaker I make a motion that we reconsider
the vote of ...ah...House Bill 1249...er....1243...."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Table."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that that motion be tabled. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Gentleman's motion is tabled. House Bill 1323. Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "Point of Personal Privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point...."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, certain remarks were made on the floor of this House relative to this Bill and relative to the Sponsors of this Bill by people who I always thought were members of the same organization that I am a member of. I, and the Cosponsors of this Bill are very concerned about those remarks and we would like in the future to sit down and try to reach an amiable agreement relative to this Bill because it's going over to the Senate where we would hope to pass it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Washington: "I don't know to whom that remark was directed, but let me tell you this, I've been a member of the Democratic Party all my adult life, but if the Democratic Party is part and parcel to this kind of Legislation at the same time is frustrating our own ambitions to get reasonable property near our jobs then the Democratic Party and I part. I want to make that clear. And the only thing that we can talk about if we sit down is 'how in the hell to straighten up the Democratic Party', if that's where they stand."

Speaker Telcser: "Any more remarks from the Democrats? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House



I don't think any other Member on this side of the aisle is more true Democratic than I. And, I resent..I resent the fact that one of my colleagues would jump up and the....members of the same party, jump up and oppose this type. Legislation because the Party that I belong to I believe do not support this type of Legislation....and I will always, as long as I'm a Member of this House oppose this type of Legislation, I don't care from where it comes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman, Representative Barnes seeks recognition on a Point of Personal Privilege, I assume."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems to me that some Members on the left side of this House seems to be traveling under the delusion that the Democratic Party is something of their own purview. I have no apologies for being a Democrat. I believe I have delivered in my own area more often than many of the so-called Democrats that are sponsors of this kind of Legislation. I make no apologies about it and I say consistently with that, if it is the policy of the Democratic Party to develop two societies, one white and one black, well I say for the Members of my party, that travel under that delusion, 'shame on your house'. And, I will always oppose it, I oppose it now and will in the future and I'm saying to those Gentlemen that feel the necessity to come here to save some consternation about me representing the interests of the people that..... that elect me here...that this is what I will always do what I will always strive to do...and if that is in conflict with the Party, so be it."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1323....."

Speaker Telcser: "Take that out of the record, the Sponsor is make.....an appointment.....House Bill 1531."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1531, Palmer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, presently, municipalitiesah...can cause the demolition or repair of dangerous and unsafe buildings....or uncompleted or abandoned buildings by sending a statutory notice to the persons of recordas might be evidenced by the ...in the Recorder of Deed's Office or the Torrens Office, as in Cook County and then if repairs are not made the Building Commissioner makes the report.....then tells the persons what to do....if the repairs are not made within a certain length of time then the municipality has the right to go ahead and make those repairs or demolish the buidings. Obviously in urban areas this.... is of great benefit to eliminate slum buildings and I might say that slum buildings appear in all areas of urbanah....of urban areas.....all parts of urban areas. Now, once this is done, the...they can file the suit in the Circuit Court and then the Judge again tells them what to do or what not to do and if the Municipality does demolish or repair and the....providing they take the proper statutory steps they can get a ...'lend' against the real estate for that amount of money expended. House Bill 1531 only adds to that the cost the Municipality has to expend for attorneys fees and court costs in and above these proceedings. In some areas where you have corporateah....ah...attorneys...corporation council, of the Municipalities it will parhaps make some difference, but the overwhelming part of the Municipalities in this State hire their Municipal attornys and pay them out of the taxpayers funds for doing this kind of work and not as part of any retainer. This Bill seeks to secure to the Municipalities those moneys that they have to lay out for the purpose ofof repairing or demolishing buildings. I think it is a good Bill and I ask for your favorable consideration."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Rayson: "You,....you in fact alluded to what concerns me, ...ah...say the City of Chicago, the Corporation Council's Office ...do I understand that they will then be able to charge attorneys fees as part of this elaborate network of...ah...investigation of repair work...and the court can award that to the...ah...Corporation Council's Office of Chicago, the taxpayers there are no different than the taxpayers any other place. Now, just briefly, my illusions and apprehensions ...if you will....suggest that this would be a tool that wouldn't be used too wisely in the City of Chicago at least. To go into the slumlord.... yes, it has rational, but many many people are poor and many many people are not slumlords, and to effect all kinds of repairs of which there are many,....ah....ah... I think we are getting a new volume of law, at least in the City of Chicago, so ...ah...although I favor your concept and I wish it could be worked out I just kind of fear it's got complicated consequences for the moment."

Palmer: "Well, in reply to Representative Rayson, I can only tell you, Sir, that there are many areas ...many parts of your District that do this....ah... ah...that you.... they spend their money for this type of thing it's only fair that if you can get the cost of the carpenter and the any.....the other persons that perform those services back and then there's no...logically there's no reason why you shouldn't get the cost of the proceedings. Ah.. I don't think there is anything great here to concern yourself with. A great number of these buildings are owned by absentee landlords ...ah...the person that lives on the place normally and usually....normally keeps it up....but the problem that most of us have ...ah..



are with the absentee landowners...and this is an additional thing to....ah...at least get the money back that the city expended."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, ah...just a last comment, this Bill is good for lawyers but maybe not for poor people."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "A...question for the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "...Indicates he'll yield."

Hunsicker: "Romie, where do.....supposed to get their money back from, property owners?"

Palmer: "No.....yes, it's made a lein against the real estate the same as the money that....that...where the Municipality has to repair...the money is there that they pay out to the person that does the repairs, they would get their money back byor at least ...there's now no assurance you're going to get your money back but at least it's made a lein against the real estate. At some point the real estate is going to be sold and the money should then be....re....the amounts expended should then be redeemed and go back to the Municipality."

Hunsicker: "This include all Municipalities in the State of Illinois?"

Palmer: "I couldn't hear, would you repeat the question, Representative."

Hunsicker: "Does this include all the Municipalities in the State of Illinois?"

Palmer: "Yeah, it does."

Hunsicker: "What about the ones that already have a city attorney hired and they pay them a yearly salary. Mention attorney fees in here for recovery."

Palmer: "It would take some of the money back to the city for the moneys that the Municipality has to expend in legal fees and costs ...ah...in getting the work done....And I would suggest it's no different than the expenditure



of money for the carpenter and for the other people that provide for the repairs."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holloway."

Holloway: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Holloway: "Ah...Representative ...ah...Palmer, these attorney fees....ah...does the court ...ah...have discretion or is it mandatory under this Bill?"

Palmer: "...On page 2, line 7,...!the cost of such demolition or repair in court costs and attorneys fees incurred by such Municipality and so forth are recoverable from the owner ..owners'. Now, that presupposes that in the ...ah...whatever happens in the...in the case ..if the court decrees that the building be repaired then of course the council can ask for attorneys fees for the court to award the attorneys fees and court costs...Ah if the council does not ask then it would not...But it's there for the asking, so to speak."

Holloway: "Now, these matters are brought in chancery are they not? And with the primary intent of demolition?"

Palmer: "They are.....yes, most ofthey're chancery proceedings ...at least in Cook County. They have a housing court but the threat there of course are the fines that each day that I believe set up by the ordinances of the City of Chicago. The threat there is the imposition of the fine unless they do that. In chancery the court can award ...can order directly the repair or demolition of the building."

Holloway: "If the owner of the premises demolished happened to owe a mortgage on the...on the premises ...ah..would they be exempted from the additional cost of attorneys fees?"

Palmer: "No, the ...I think that the ...ah..under the present law the...the...ah...the Municipality can go against the



owner or the mortgagee or the lein holder of record. Now, if it's a mortgage the...the mortgage document itself provides forah..the cost of ...or provides I believe that the...mortgagee can go ahead and make the repairs and add it to the cost or to the 'yes' cost of the mortgage. Now, if the ...if the owner makes the repairsah..on the building then of course ...ah... that's that. If the mortgagee makes...makes and the mortgagee usually goes after the owner to make those repairs because if the owner doesn't the mortgagee wants to secure his interest in the property he can do it himself. If none of them do it, then finally the Municipality can do it. And that's the way it works."

Holloway: "Just one more question. Would this judgment for attorneys fees apply to a resident owner as well as an absentee owner?"

Palmer: "It would apply to all."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Romie, could you take this out of the record for a minute, I have a few questions I'd like to ask you about it?"

Palmer: "Well, I'd be glad to accommodate you."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 1457."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1457, Yourell, a Bill for an Act to create the Animal Care Organization Study Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you.....Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1457 provides to be created an Animal Care Organization Study Commission, that is directed and authorized to study all aspects of animal shelters, humane societies and similar organizations in the State of Illinois. In its study the Committee is



charged with the responsibility of considering a method of disposing of unwanted animals, the conditions of boarding of animals and of the humane treatment of animals. I think yesterday was placed on each Members desk a series of articles that appeared in the Chicago Today, newspaper indicating their indept study of what has been going on in some of the humane societies and shelter careah....ah...organizations that have to do with the treatment, boarding and the disposing of animals. So, I ...I...I think this is a timely Commission and I would ask for your favorable response."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1457 pass? All those in favor signify by vcting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mann, 'aye'. Take the record. On this question 112 'ayes', one 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1671. If you want to come on the Roll Call tell the Clerk, huh."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1671, Getty, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Junior College Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1671 passed in the Higher Education Committee un-animouslyah...ah...I know of no opposition to it. It is a Bill which permits ...ah...the Junior College Board to set reasonable standards for the security personnel which they hire and establishes minimal State standards and I solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1671 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Yourell and Mann and



Bill Walsh 'aye'. On this question 126 'ayes', one 'nay' Hudson 'aye', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1474.

Is Representative Matijevich on the floor? Take it out of the record. House Bill 1489.....



1.

Telcser: "House Bill 1498."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1498, Ryan. A Bill for an Act relating to wastewater land treatment sites. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave to go back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendments."

Telcser: "Any objection? Hearing none, House Bill 1498 returned to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Ryan. Amends House Bill 1498..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I would like to have leave to table Amendment #1."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1498."

Ryan: "What Amendment has the Clerk got there?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 2."

Telcser: "The Clerk has Amendment #2. You wish to table #1, Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "Yes, table 1 and adopt #2."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1. The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1 of House Bill 1498. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted, is tabled. Are there further Amendments? Amendment #2. Start reading it, Jack."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Ryan. Amends House Bill 1498..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Ryan: "Certainly."

Shea: "Now is the Amendment #2, is this the Amendment that went on ah... Senator McBroom's Bill in the Senate?"

Ryan: "Yes, this ah... this makes 1498 and 928, Senate Bill 928, identical. It's the same, the same Bill as McBroom would have in the Senate."

Shea: "All right, now I got a letter from Sena... er... from ah..."



2.

President John Egan of the Sanitary District and I discussed with Mr. Beaupre on this Amendment. It's my understanding that with this Amendment they have no opposition to the Bill."

Ryan: "I didn't understand you, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I discussed this Amendment with Representative Beaupre and my understanding from President Egan is that the Sanitary District has no opposition to this Bill in its amended form."

Ryan: "No, that's correct. There were many hours spent negotiating this particular Amendment and everybody is happy with it."

Shea: "And I want to thank you for your help."

Ryan: "And I want to thank you."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1498. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Now the Bill has been read a third time. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, well ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House ah... House Bill 1498 is ah... is a Bill to set up for the disposal of sludge and ah... wastewater and it's ah... Everybody seems to be in agreement as Representative Shea has indicated here. It sets up a steering committee and ah... ah... controls the ah... the disposition of this stuff that everybody's happy with. So I would move for the ah... passage of this Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "I don't understand exactly what the Bill is about ah... Would the Sponsor tell me more about the kind of restrictions it puts on or kind of guidelines? Tell me what it does ah..."

Ryan: "Well, Representative Schneider, this is to control the, the wastewater and the sludge distribution downstate out of Chicago and ah... the Sanitary District. There was a proposal made in one of the counties in my district that ah... it caused a lot of uproar with the citizens. It was the Corps of Engineers wanted to come in and take ah... some 860 square mile area and displace some 25,000 people and some 1,700 homes and ah... ah... without any control or regulation at all."



3.

Schneider: "Well that sounds like the ah... Corps of Engineers, but what about areas that were trying to recover and use floods to enhance the land in terms of its ah... viability for growth..."

Ryan: "That's right and this will not affect those, those counties or areas that want to. It just sets up the definitions for sludge and standards that are going to be set by the E.P.A. It's got a 15 member steering committee that's going to be appointed and ah..."

Schneider: "The appointments are by whom and..."

Ryan: "There are 5 that'll be appointed by the County Board of the receiving county. Three by the ah... applicant. Two by the President of the University of Illinois and one each by the Director of the E.P.A., Conservation, the Department of Transportation, Agriculture and Public Health."

Telcser: "Any further discussion? The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, ah..."

Telcser: "Indicates he'll yield."

Dyer: "He'll yield. I'm confused about this, too, particularly since I don't have the Amendment right here. Ah... and I'm not sure what that does. The synopsis on the original Bill says that permission has to be gotten from the County Board through which ah... you know, pipes might run in transporting sludge from one, from its source of origin down to its destination. Is that still in the Bill?"

Ryan: "No, that was one of the objections of the Sanitary District and this has been eliminated."

Dyer: "Thank you very much."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is shall the House Bill... The Gentleman from... The question is shall House Bill 1498 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde, to explain his vote."

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to vote for this Bill, but I think we ought to very careful ah... to ah... preclude the principal of reciprocity applying here. If somebody from say, Will County, were to come into Cook County and feel the urge to go to the bathroom ah... they might either have to ah... bite on the bullet and wait



4.

till they get back home because if they don't want our sludge, we don't want theirs. Thank you."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Ryan says that's a deal. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 115 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1474."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1474, a Bill for an Act creating the Health Care Commission, defining its powers and duties, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the...the House, Ah...House Bill 1474 is a Health Care Study Commission. As some of you know this session we had the Health Care Licenser Study Commission of which a fierce.....of Bills came out. Most of which have been passed, there's still one on the Postponed Consideration Calendar. Anybody wants any information on that Bill, I'll talk to them personally and draw them a whole picture of the history of that Bill, butah..this Bill would be an extension of that Bill but ...ah..this Bill would be an extension of that Commission and it would study the status of Health Legislation Regulation and the cost in Illinois as it effects the Health Care delivery system and study the full utilization in allied health manpower...and study the problems of entry into and career progression in the health care field, possible changes in the health and allied...health care training systems to protect the public health and study the problems related thereto. Now, Speaker,Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, a little while ago.....yesterday....we passed some Legislation that had to do with the aged. We passed some Legislation that had to do with the humane treatment of animals and I think that we as a Legislative body ought to have the mechanism to make sure that we are studying as diligently as we can. A system to provide for the health care of our citizens. In my estimation too much of the system that we have really isn't health care, it is sick care. We do not try to prevent illnesses. We do not provide...try to provide try to prevent sickness and we are living in a day when I think the American Hospital Association set in 1970



that health care is an inherent right of each individual of all the people in the United States. We have gone from 7th to 16th in the prevention of infant mortality...this civilized society that we have. We have gone from 6th to 8th in female life expectancy, but you women are doing much better than us men because us men have gone from 10th to 24th in male life expectancy, in the countries of the world. One dollar out of every 14 dollars, more than any other country goes for health care. I say that's a misnomer it goes toward sick care. If we cut, in this country, the length of average hospital stay by one day we can save almost two billion, it is estimated that we can save \$1,700,000 a year if we can cut that average length of hospital stay. I think, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that we ought to have a Commission to continue the problems in health care. And, I think many of you know my position with regard to Commissions. If I felt that the Committee system in this House ...in this Legislation ...were viable to study this I would say no, but I don't think it is and I would ask for your favorable support of House Bill 1474."

Speaker Talcoer: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House....I have no particular knowledge of this Commission except to know that they spent a great deal of money in the last year. Something over a hundred thousand dollars. The product we have been discussing the last few days in a few Billsah...over which there is considerable controversy, but it seems to me that in the area of health care where we have a committee as closely related as this subject, the Human Resources Committee, that this matter should be turned over to the Human Resources Committee and that ...that an interim study of the question of health care, health care licenser et cetera,



can very adequately and a lot more reasonably be taken up by the Human Resources Committee under the Chairmanship of Chairman Capuzi. I....ah...really think that... ah...this is going far.....too far...in....ah...spending this kind of money ...ah...for the kind of product that we received and I would suggest that we defeat this Bill I see that Representative Katz is here, he sponsored this Bill...ah...last year and I think probably has different feelings on it now than he did then and I would be interested to hear what he had to say, but in the meantime I feel that this is not a proper commission and that we should defeat this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "The Majority Leader, having asked my view concerning the recreating of the commission, I would say to the Members of the General Assembly that in my opinion the Commission should not be recreated....Ah...I originally sponsored the Bill with reference to this Commission, the strange and curious course of events, I did not end up a Member of the Commission until the Commission had been organized, officers elected, without notification to the public members of the Commission, subsequently after this had been done I became a member of the Commission. Ah....I would say to you that while I have generally as you have perhaps observed, supported the Legislative recommendations of the Commission, I have been somewhat distressed at some of the events that have occurred with reference to the operation of the Commission. The Commission was a very expensively funded Commission....ah...they had spent large sums of money by our standardsa great deal of money...with no accountability to the Members of the Commission...ah... staff has been added, Members of the Commission do not even know the salaries that are paid or voted on the



the people who have been named as staff Members of the Commission. Having nothing to do with any connection that I have to it, I believe that this absence of financial accountability is something that we would not encourage....indeed even tolerate...in the executive branch and I have been a little distressed that it has occurred in a Commission that I originally sponsored. It has also been a source of concern to me that rather than unifying the difficult health care field, it has caused controversy in the health care field. The two largest organizations in the health care field, the Hospital Association and the Medical Society very strongly oppose the recreation of the Commission. That seems to me to be a very unhappy situation. It is not as might be inferred for the good reason I think that, in my opinion, it indicates that there has not been the kind of relationship within the Commission in which the major groups in the State work together for the advancement of health care. I am not prepared to say that because the Illinois Hospital Association and the Illinois Medical Society opposed the recreation of the Commission that that's a plus for the Commission. In my opinion the Illinois Hospital Association, the Illinois Medical Society are responsible groups, we rely to a considerable extent upon them for the maintenance of a delivery of good health care in the State. I think it is somewhat of a travesty that the major groups in the field opposed the recreation of the Commission. I believe that it would serve the cause of health care generally for the unpleasantness that has been associated with some aspects of that Commission to be allowed to remain dormant. I think to give another hundred thousand dollars to a Commission that in my opinion has without accountability spent almost that amount of money ...would be irresponsible on our part. So having been asked by the Majority



Leader my views on this Commission and having told you that to some extent I ended up in a situation in which the Bill that I sponsored became a Commission to which I was not appointed until after it was organized I still support their Legislation when I felt it was good Legislation and I have been consistently supporting Representative Matijevich's Bills, but in my opinion it would be irresponsible to set up this Commission in the way that they seek to do so, we are gradually moving toward giving Legislative Committees the power to do that which Committees do in the Congress and elsewhere. Commissions are frowned upon, that is the purpose of Legislative Committees. We have a Committee system, we have bodies that are set up in Illinois that do have excellent public representation that could do the work here set up. So, that, I find myself then without any question in my own mind of voting against this Bill. It is not a fact incidentally as far as I know, that the Commission itself voted to recreate itself, at least at the meetings that I attended at the end when the subject of proposed Legislation was being debated and discussed I never heard the proposal made. I didn't have any idea how much the Commission was spending because financial reports were never made as far as I know during the course and I communicated with other Members even though frankly I was pretty sore about the events that took place. And, my participation was effected by the jaundice view I took to the events that had occurred with me being the 'butt' of much that had occurred. But, objectively without reference to any personal views I will have to vote against the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."



Hudson: "John, I just wanted to ask one or two questions. The first one was translated in terms of economics, what this might mean....in the way of cost to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois and....number two, if in your opinion it isn't possible to become ...ah...over Commissioned, over controlled....and ah...in a sense over protective where we other agenciesah....that can do the same job....and in your opinion, the time perhaps is coming when we ought to run these proposals through a sieve or a screen and determine their absolute necessity to the citizens in terms of what it will cost our taxpayers and make our judgments on those basis. Also, I would like to ask you ...I realize I am compounding one question on another here.....but you mentioned the absolute right or right of a citizen for services of this kind and I don't know of any society where the ...ah..citizen has an absolute right to everything at state expense except under some socialistically controlled form of government. I'm sure you don't want that and I don't want it and I don't think any of us want it. So I through out these questions for your response."

Matijeovich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, let me try to be brief to those four questions, I believe it was.....Ah...first of all I stated that the American Hospital Association claimed that we have an inherent right to....of each individual....to health care...I didn't say that the State must provide that health care, Representative Hudson, but I tend to agree with the American Hospital Association even though the Illinois Hospital Association opposes this Bill. I disagree as to the cost of the Commission. That goes before the Appropriations Committee. I don't know ...ah...what will happen to that particular cost. I just think that there ought to be a viable agency to study the real problems that we have in health care. Now, I happen to disagree with the



Gentleman from Glencoe, I think he only attended four meetings and I can understand Harold's real emotional problem with relation to this Bill....that happens to be a political problem on this side of the aisle. I have a feeling after what happened to 1414 that this Bill became law and was signed by the Governor I wouldn't be a Member of the Commission. I say that frankly..... because I don't think my Minority Leader would pick me to that Commission.....after the problems that I had with 1414 and him relative to the Bill. Now, Harold, you and I ... I think will be in the same position then, but I don't think that ought to deter the importance of Health Care Legislation. Now, contrary to what Harold Katz saidand I attended practically all of the meetings. The health care profession got along real well in the advisory Committees they did real well, there were so many problems they saw each others problems in a different light because no other agency had brought them together... and I think they did a magnificentmagnificent job... in health care Legislation. Ah....I think that ...ah..

Speaker Telcser: "Representative...."

Matijevich: "...If it weren't for the fact that was a Member of that Commission and saw that it could work....now..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich...are you answering Representative Hudson's questions?"

Matijevich: "I think I am answering both him and Harold Katz'. Now, here is the material I got in the Commission. And there is some of it missing....Representative Dyer...I will tell you....."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, would Representative Richard Walshfor what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Walsh: "I have a point of order, Mr. Speaker.... Who's time is this charged to?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, it is charged to Representative Hudson's time unless he tells me his questions have been answered



and then"

Walsh: "Well, how much time does Representative Hudson have?"

Speaker Telcser: "His time just expired, in fact. Representative Hudson indicates his questions have been answered. Okay.....Douglas, no.....Well, there's some Members who seek....Representative Polk, the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Polk: "That stack of material that you have there, do you have an audit on the....the hundred thousand dollars that they spent last year?"

Matijevich: "Well, you know the Commission is still in existence and there will be a complete financial audit and.....and I wasn't chairman of the Commission...I think Representative Dyer will....was at the meeting when I made a motion that we follow a budget and that it be followed closely. I'm not the chairman of the Commission,....and as to that all the money spent for that...."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker....he answered my question....."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay...Now, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative MatijevichRepresentative Dyer, do you wishthe Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar.....(Tape failure.....mike off.)....."

Dyer: ".....Fellow Members of this Commission but I really would find it hard to justify funding this Commission for another two years at a hundred thousand dollars. In the light of some of the funding of some of the other Commissions on which I serve I think it is ...this is...is...is a high fund....I am still waiting for detailed accounting....I look forward to the precise accounting. I have general budget figures provided by the executive director and I look forward to more preciseprecise answers. Now, I have a rule in politics and in Legislationnever be negative about something



unless you can propose a constructive alternative', and my constructive alternative on this is to say that this Commission has come up with some very sound Legislative proposals. The meat of their Legislative proposals is in House Bill 1414 which is on Consideration Postponed. The 1414 increases the roll of the consumer on the examining Committees under the Department of R and E, also it sets up a Health Professional Career Board composed of Representatives from the various professional organizations. And, with the Chairman of the Comprehensive Health Planning Agency on this board. What I would urge Members of this General Assembly to do is when Representative Matijevich takes 1414 off of Consideration Postponed, "I would urge you to pass this Legislation then you will have a continuing, a statutory body, who can continue the kind of study of the whole health care delivery field which this Commission has tried to do and would try to do if it were reactivated. If you will pass 1414 then I would think you would not need 1474. I would urge Representative Matijevich to take this out of the record for now pending the passage of 1414. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "Representative Dyer, I appreciate those remarks and I'm not going to take up...I've taken up too much time of the House....all...all I can say is that ...and I apologize for that....all I can say that if this Commission, if Representative Katz were the Chairman of it and it were recreated...they'd have spent a hundred thousand dollars, it was his idea to put that amount on it, there'd have been no question. Now, there seems to be some question. I...ah...move....I ah....ask for your favorable support....on 1474."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 1474 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed



by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 52 'ayes', 50 'nays' and this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Schneider and Maragos 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, in the Speaker's Gallery to our right and to the Speaker's left is Mayor Tom Ryan of Kankakee with Mrs. Ryan and their daughter and our Colleague George Ryan, they are brothers.

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 1882."

Clerk O'Brien: House Bill 1882, Walters.....



1.

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1882, Walters, a Bill for an Act to amend 'The Criminal Code'. Third Reading of the Bill.

1882, Taylor, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Banks and Banking. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

G. W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, may I have ah.. Representative Taylor is ah.. ah... at Alderman Claude Holman's Services today and he asked me to handle this Bill ah.. and another Bill for him, 1884.. And, with leave of the House, I would like permission to hear 1882 and 1884 together. I... I think, they're interrelated and I'd like for one Roll Call to suffice for both Bills, unless somebody wants them on two Roll Calls."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there any objections? Ah.. the gentleman..... Objections are heard, Representative Shea. Just take House Bill 1882."

G. W. Shea: "Ah.. House Bill 1882 ah.. says that when control of a Bank is sold or changed, then it must be approved by the Commissioner of Banks in the State of Illinois. Ah.. it came out of the Banking Commission and I don't know of... if, or I mean, out of the ah... Mr. McAvoy's Commission. I didn't know of any opposition to it in Committee. Ah.. and I would ah... answer any questions that I could for the Members of the House."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from ah.. DuPage, Representative Philip."



J. Philip: "Yes ah.., will the Representative yield for a question?"

G. W. Shea: "I'll try."

J. Philip: "Yeah ah.., I'd like to know the reason or the theory behind this. Ah.. when other Corporations, that are licensed by the State, ah.. transfer ownership, ah.. they certainly don't have to get the permission from the State of Illinois. I... What... What's the reason? What's the cause?"

G. W. Shea: "I think, you'll find that this is very similar to the Legislation we passed for Insurance Companies. And, I think, that Representative Epton's Commission could probably give you the background on it. But, when you have such Industries as Insurance and Banking that are so vital to the health and economic well-being of a State, and that the people are so vitally interested in, and is such an integral part of their economic well-being, that the State, I think, does have a right to make sure that those people in control are the kind of people that will do that job."

J. Philip: "Are... Are you saying, Representative, that when an Insurance Company decides to ah.. sell, that the State has to okay the selling of that Insurance Company?"

G. W. Shea: "I... I... I'd like to ask Representative Epton. I think, that's it says, when you sell control of an existing Insurance Company, that has to be approved by the Department. Could I ask Representative Epton to answer that?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representa-



3.

tive Epton will respond."

- B. E. Epton: "I think, that Representative Shea is alluding the fact that in both instances, before an Insurance Company or a Bank can do business in the State of Illinois, they must be approved by either the Director of Insurance or the Commissioner of Banks. Accordingly, if that concept is valid, when an Insurance Company changes hands' control, they again must get the approval of the Director of Insurance to continue operation. In the case of a Bank, however, that isn't true. Today, someone can organize a Bank and participate, and then if they decide to sell to new ownership, the ah.. Insur... the ah.. Banking Commissioner has no authority. So what they're trying to do, as Representative Shea indicated, is to have the same type of operation as the Department of Insurance. Since they have the initial right to check on those who organize the Bank, they feel it's only proper that they should have some decision as to the parties who might purchase the Bank."
- J. Philip: "Yeah ah.., thank you, Representative. I've got another question. What are ah... What does this Bill in regards to ah... Bank.... to Savings and Loans? Are we going to allow Savings and Loans to change hands without the authority of that Department? And, how about currency exchange? Are we including currency exchanges?"
- G. W. Shea: "Ah.. Mr. Philip, this Bill was put in at the request of the Department. I know of no Legislation to control Savings and Loans or currency exchanges. Maybe some-



4.
body else on the Floor does. Ah.. I think, that this is a good piece of Legislation. I think, that it's a step in the right direction. Ah.. there was no opposition to it in Committee. And ah... This.... This...."

J. Philip: "Thank you ah.., Representative Shea."

G. W. Shea: "This is what you'd refer to as a 'White-Hat Bill', I think."

J. Philip: "I.. I hadn't noticed. Then Mr. Speaker, may I speak on the Bill?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

J. Philip: "Yeah, it's kind of interesting to me. I.. I don't know of any problem. I know that there's been some problems in the Insurance Area and I can see the justification. If there's a problem in the Banking Area, I'm certainly not aware of it. I certainly think that ah.. we've had more problems in the Savings and Loans Area. We've had some problems with currency exchange. I don't see any ah.. any okay. And ah..., Mr. Speaker, I would suggest to the Membership that we ought to object to this Bill. It certainly ah... ah... is taking away from the free enterprise system."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAvoy."

W McAvoy: "In answer to ah.. ah... my good friend, Mr. Philips, in reference to the Savings and Loans Associations, we've passed Legislation here that they would have authority over when the merges were made or when they'd move from one



5.

vicinity to another. So, there is Legislation that is.... that is protecting that interest of the people."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Ah.. the gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

R. G. Day: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

R. G. Day: "Gerry, ah.. I don't have a copy of this Bill in front of me, but can... can you tell me whether there are any ah.. ah.. guidelines ah.. spelled out in this Bill as to ah... that would be binding on the ah... Commissioner so far as approval? I mean, does he have absolute discretion on this... on this matter or...."

G. W. Shea: "No. That's why I asked to hear both Bills together. House Bill 1884 provides for the ah... Board of Bank and Trust Companies to set up the rules and regulations by which the Commissioner will operate. And then, it says further the... the Board will review ah.. anytime that the Commissioner would prohibit a change in ownership, then it would be up to the Board to review that so that there would not be any absolute discretion on one man to say 'yes' or 'no'."

R. G. Day: "One other question. Ah... I know that there are some ah... small... small town banks ah.. around the State that are controlled by one person. And ah..., how would this ah.. affect the situation where control of the bank changed as a result of someone's death? Supposing that... Supposing he died ah.. without a Will and his heirs in-



6.

herited all of his stock in the bank and.., and thereby, gained control of the bank. Now, would this mean that the ah... that the Commissioner of Banks and Bankings could come in and say, 'Well, we've come to the conclusion that you are not qualified to operate this Bank and you'll have to sell your stock or dispose of it in some way or... or stop the operation'?"

G. W. Shea: "Well, I... I think, all that the Commissioner... Can I read this portion of the Bill, Mr. Day and I hate to take up the time of the House? But, it says that, 'before a change may occur in the outstanding stock of any State Bank, which will result in control or change in control of the Bank, the Commissioner shall be of the opinion in find that the general character of its' proposed management'...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "One... One moment. Representative Epton, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

B. E. Epton: "If I may ah..., Representative Shea, was not in the Committee when this point was raised. And, with his permission, I could simply answer your question. The answer is 'yes'. The... The ah.. Commissioner could say to the heirs, 'No, you can not run this Bank. You are not fit'. And ah., then the heirs would have to put the stock on the market. However, they would have, under the rules suggested, a reasonable time to get a... a bidder. However ah., the answer to question is 'yes'. They could restrict the heirs."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Okay.."



7.

The gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

A. Jaffe: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just wanted to answer Representative 'Fate's' question with regard to currency exchanges. Currency exchanges do have to be OK'd for a change of ownership from the Department of Financial Institutions. I think, that this is a Peoples' Bill. It's a good Bill. And, I think, that we ought to vote for it."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in.. in view of the ah.. answer given by Representative Epton to Representative Day's question, it seems to me that ah.. there's a little more to this Bill... ah.. these Bills that meets the eye. Now, there's a distinction between a Bank and a Currency Exchange. A Currency Exchange issues money order to people ah.. for cash and ah.. these people have to... to ah.. have to ah... ah... be assured that that money order is going to be good when they go to cash it or go to pay their electric bill or what have you. Ah.. with a Bank, the only protection really that a... that a person needs in dealing with a Bank is when he makes a deposit. And, that deposit is ah.. presently guaranteed with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to the amount of twenty thousand dollars. Now, that's the only protection people need in dealing with Banks. It shouldn't make any difference to them who owns the Bank, ah.. who



happens to be running it, whether ah.. a person in control passes away and his Wife becomes the... the ah.. ah.. controlling party. Ah.. the... the Owner of... of a Bank may not know too much about operating it, but he probably has good operating personnel ah.. or the Bank wouldn't be successful. Now, there may be some good points to this Bill. Ah.. it's been referred to as a 'White-Hat Bill' and ah... ah... I happen to have.... personally have some interest in Banks, but I... I can't see the... the need for it here, especially in view of Representative's answer. So, Mr. Speaker, I desire to be recorded ah.. as voting 'present'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Now, will the Clerk please record Representative Richard Walsh as voting 'present' on this Bill? Now, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, to close."

G. W. Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Fouse, I think, that this is a good Bill. And, when it comes to ah... personal interest in a Bill, I too, own some bank stock. I don't think, it's controlled by a long shot. But, I think, that this is the kind of Bill that's important to the people of the State of Illinois. If a Bank is going to change hands, in the first instance, in order to license a Bank in the State of Illinois, it has to be approved by the Commissioner of Banking and the applicants have to be approved and be people that will give good banking service to the people of this State. Now, I don't think, that



anybody would suggest that four or five people could go out and start of Bank, once they get it... ah.. get the stock sold and... and the bank going, that they should be able to turn around and sell it to anybody ah.. without some sort of control to protect the people of this State. I think, that this is a good piece of Legislation and I'd ask for the favorable support of the House."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 1882 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Now, record Representative Richard Waish as voting 'present'. Friedland.. 'present'. Bluthardt.. 'present'. Grotberg.. 'present'. Getty.. 'present'. Schoeberlein.. 'present'. For the number of you on 'presents', we could save time ah.. All right now, if you want to be recorded as voting 'present', why don't you come here and tell the Clerk? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, there are.... Oh no! The Clerk tells... Wait a second now. The Clerk tells me that we have a bad Roll Call. We have to take it again. The machine is ah.. got a little jam or something. Ah.. by the way, I understand that ah.. ah.. the Baseball Game is still in limbo. And, they're out at the field trying to drain off the water or ah.. do something with it. And ah.., Coach Deavers tells us that 1:00 O'Clock will have the answer. Is that right, Gil? He still says 1:00 O'Clock. What's your Assistant ah.., Pete Miller, going to say? Okay, the House will have to be at ease for



10.

five or ten minutes. They've got a big operation going on here. Ah.. so, if we'll just be at ease and maybe in that time, the Coach can tell us what's going to be with the Ball Game. By the way, we've only dealt with nineteen Bills this morning. We've got around forty-five more to go today. Want to go with an oral Roll Call for awhile? Well, the... the ah.. ah.. It'll take us about five or ten minutes to get this thing done. Okay.. Ladies and Gentlemen, now we're going to have to take a new Roll Call. We have all of the 'presents' recorded on House Bill ah.. 1882. Let's give the Sponsor a little extra courtesy. Do you wish to make anymore remarks in close, Representative Shea?"

G. W. Shea: "Well ah.., again, Mr. Speaker, I think, that this is a good Bill for the people of the State of Illinois. I don't think, it's an unnecessary restriction on Banks nor or the people that own Bank Stocks. Ah.. it only talks about when control passes of the Bank and I would appreciate the support of the House."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 1882 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. This is just on 1882. R. Walsh.. 'present'. All of the 'presents' are already recorded. The gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone, to explain his vote."

P. Stone: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, there is absolutely nothing wrong with this Bill as I can see it. I sat throught the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

11.

Hearing ah.. ah.. in Banks and Savings and Loans with ah.. Representative Epton who has spoken in favor of the Bill. There were seventeen Members of the Committee, all thought it was a good Bill. No one appeared against it. All this Bill does, as I see it and as the Members of the Committee saw it when it was before us, all the Bill does sta... it states that before the control of a State Bank can change, the new ownership must be approved by the State of Illinois. And, the reason for this simply is to keep unsavory characters from having someone who has a good reputation from forming a State Bank. And immediately after it's formed, they can sell it to people who should not be in the Banking Business. This is a good Bill. It's simply for the protection of the People of the State of Illinois to see to it that the Bank, that is chartered by the State of Illinois is kept in the hands of people who should be in the Banking Business. It has nothing to do with currency exchanges. It's a good Bill and should receive your vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Your switch is still open, I think. It's locked. Lemke.. 'aye'. On this question, there are 94 'Ayes', 11 'Nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1884."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "House Bill 1884."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1884. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act related to banks and banking. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

G. W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, 1884 is a companion Bill to 1882. This would provide.. the support would provide the rules and regulations to the Commissioner for the implementation.. implementation of 1882, and if he refused to grant the owners.. the new owner of stock the right to control the bank, it would be subject to review by this Board. I ask for a favorable Roll Call vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is 'shall House Bill 1884 pass?' All those in favor will signify by voting 'Aye', the opposed by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wished? Fleck, 'Aye'. Take the record. R. Walsh, 'present'. Lemke, 'Aye'. On this question there are 101 'Ayes', 3 'Nays', 1 answering 'present', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared 'passed'."



Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 1150."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1150, Washington, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to beauty culture, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, House Bill 1150 simply provides that the...inspectors of beauty culture shops shall be registered Beauty Culturists. There's an effective date on this Bill of July 1975 and the director of the Department of Registration Education indicates that by that time the Department will be full geared and ready to provide this kind of service which hopefully will uplift the entire industry. The Bill passed out of the Committee without any opposition, and I know of none and I ask for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1150 pass? All those in favor....the Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Ah...the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "Are you going to do this with all....just the beauty culturists....one....these are beauty shops? Is this what you are talking about? And, that....are...."

Washington: "Inspectorship covers to the best of my knowledge all of the shops in the State as well as institutions which ...ah...train andin the field of beauty culture....yes."

Dunn: "The effective date is July 1st, 1975?"

Washington: "July, 1975, two years from now."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Polk: "Mr. Washington, do you have the...ah....endorsement of



of the beauty schools and the culture....culturists?"

Washington: "Yes, I have received support ...ah...ah...from the beauty schools....some beauty schools and several of the beauty culture associations on the endorsing this Bill. I don't know, Representative Polk, of any opposition to it."

Polk: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, one question of the Sponsor.....Representative Washington, at the present time, when they inspect licensed....professional licensessuch as beauty culture....is it not also the obligation of the inspector to inspect barber shops...ah...beauty shops...funeral homes and other such? Are theyare they....the policy going to be in the future going to be that there'll be an inspection procedureah...for each profession, Harold?"

Washington: "I can't.....I won't presume upon the....ah...director but it is my impression that we're going in that ...in that direction."

Simms: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Yes, Mr.....will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "What is the job of an inspector, when he inspects one of these shops?"

Washington: "The job of the inspector is to make certain that the beauty shop, the establishment meets all the health and safety requirements set down by the Department. To make certain that the quality of the machinery is up to par. To make certain that the person who is actually doing the work is a licensed beautician. To make certain that there are no 'fly by night' operators. To just in



a sense protect, safeguard ...and make certain that the industry as such is functioning along the standards of the lines set down by the Department to guarantee that the buying public is not ...ah...ah...hoodwinked...that they are not harmed in the use of the various chemicals that are used on the scalps and faces and so forth and so on.....It is quite an involved job as you probably know."

Juckett: "Isn't it true though that the inspector does not in fact test the individual as to his ability or to her ability of being a beauty operator?"

Washington: "No, that's not the function of the inspector, that's the function of the examining board."

Juckett: "Well, then I would see no reas.....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, because the inspector does not go into the ability of the individual to be an operator, I would see no reason for the inspector to be a beauty operator. I think the inspectors now are well-trained, they know exactly what to look for, they have a long check list which they use and which they must fill out and complete in order for the individual to remain in the business and they go over this check list with the individual and I think that this is just another ...ah.. Bill to create opportunities for beauty operators and beauty school operator....operator trainees to get more people into their business and I think a 'no' would be a very good vote for this type of a Bill"

Washington: "The last Gentleman stated everything which is the complete opposite of the intent, language and purport of this Bill. This is not an attempt to create anything other than to uplift the standards, to make certain that the beauty culture operators are giving the public the kind of service to which they are entitled to. Until the one or two Gentlemen that arose on this floor, I wasn't aware that there was any opposition. The entire industry supports it, the Department of Education and Registration



agrees with it. There are other disciplines under the Department of Education and Registration which are going in the same direction. I would assume that the State Legislature would want to guarantee in every way possible that the buying public would be safeguarded. You're talking about a very complicated field. I can't begin to name the kind of cosmetics that are used on the scalps of people. The hot combs, the dryers, all the paraphernalia and the machinery et cetera that is used by a competent operator. It seems to me to follow, 'as night does day'.....that anybody that is going to inspect these operations should have a first hand indepth thorough knowledge of 'em. That's the only purport of this Bill and it is because of that that I think the ...we've had so much support of it. I urge your consideration to a needed ...ah...reform in that area and I would remind you once again that this is two years in the offering the effective date is July 1975."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1150 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell to explain his vote."

Caldwell: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen I merely wanted to point out that on my work on the Registration and Regulations Committee we find that when it comes to inspecting and policing the real estate business we have realtors, the building and architects, they are architects. I see nothing wrong with this Bill, as a matter of fact this is a step in the right direction, the people who are engaged in this trade are the ones who know how best to....to....police it or regulate it, if you please. And....I would hope that we get enough red...er...green lights on that board to pass this Bill. This is needed Legislation and it's across the board. It's to....to... regulate an industry that's with us...has been with us..."



and will be with us all the time. I certainly hope we canah..get enough votes to pass this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my 'no' vote. I just talked to Representative Washington and if it doesn't pass I think he'll amend it to make it effective July the 1st, 1977 and it would be a darn good Bill then. There'll be a different Governor, perhaps. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington to explain his vote."

Washington: "In response to Representative Dunn, I have no qualms about making the effective date 1977. That isn't the issue, really. The issues on all of these various disciplines have to be upgraded. I'm not going to waste any more timeput it on Postponed Consideration, will you."

Speaker Telcser: "It will be on Postponed Consideration. Is.. ah.....is 1151 a companion Bill, Harold? "

Washington: "Based on that vote, I hope not. It's a separate Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh! I see, okay. House Bill 1151."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1151, an Act to amend the Illinois Beauty Culture Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Ah....This Bill does two things to the Illinois Beauty Culture Act, it increases the Board of Examiners from 5 to 7, which means they can expedite the handling of the exams more propitiously and it also does something else which is common to most boards and departments throughout the State, it sets up an advisory board ...of 9 individuals appointed by the Director of the Department of



Education and Registration. The thrust of this Bill is more less in line with that of the preceeding Bill and the purpose is simply to make certain that the director in handling all the various disciplines under his department is getting a broad spectrum of input from the industry as such. Everyone in the industry wants this Bill. The Departmentah...agreed that it would be useful to assist the Director in bringing in this kind of information. It passed out of the Committee without a dissenting vote. I started to say, I know of no opposition, on the floor of the House, let me simply say that I hope there is no opposition on the floor of the House and I ask for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh.....There you go Harold."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I'm opposed. I really feel very sincerely that to add another layeran advisory board to the Director of the Department of Registration and Education and beauty culture matters is just absolutely absurd. To get the picture correctly you've got to understand that there is already an examining board. This examining board certainly is free to advise the director in matters regarding beauty culturethere's absolutely no need whatever to put another layer...an advisory board on here andah...it just makes the whole thing absurd. Now, licensing generally, Mr. Speaker ought to be looked at very carefully, there are 181 licensed occupations....ah in this State. And, of these 1819 of them rejected no one in 1971, the last year for which we have any figures. One of these was beauty culture. Now, I suggest to you that if the examining and licensing of beauty culturists resulted in nobody getting...being refused a license in 1971 and presumably their record is substantially the same for other years. Then, what is the point



in licensing beauty culturists at all. There must have been some people who were incapable of passing the examination or a reasonable examination taking it. Now, I suggest that we're licensing in this State more and more and we have a responsibility to look into it. We're licensing more and more for the licensee and less and less for the public....and I think this is a good time to turn that situation around and decline an advisory board to the Director of Beauty Culturists. This is absurd and the Bill should be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington to close."

Washington: "I don't understand the position of the Majority Leader nor did the House understand his position when he enunciated almost the same words two weeks ago and he was resoundingly defeated when I put this Amendment on. It seems to me the Majority Leader doesn't have a quarrel with this Bill he has a quarrel with the whole licensing procedure. That's a fait accompli. That's the Law of the State. He should have taken up that cudgel some time ago and if he is going to go after the licensing process it seems to me what he should do is come in here with a series of Bills to revise, reform or what have you along the line of his own sentiments....But, he's talking about....he's not talking about this Bill. This Bill simply says that there shall be an advisory board of 9 members to advise the.....ah...ah...Director of the Department of Education and Registration. Other disciplines do it, teachers for example, every code department in this State has an advisory board and for a very sound reason, because no matter how competent the chief administrator may be he's dealing in a discipline field, he needs input from people who know something about the field, he has the discretion of appointing people of his own choosing. Presumably he will get



a cross section of a the various interest groups throughout the State to advise him. I think it's a step in the right direction because all you're saying when you vote for a Bill like this is that the people in the industry should have a right to assist in determining on an advisory level only, how their industry should be operated. This is a progressive forward sighted movement and I ask you for the same support that you gave when you supported the amendment which brought this Bill into the shape I assume you wanted it in and I ask for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1151 pass?

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Lemke 'aye'....Lemke has lost his switch...his thing is locked and....Pierce 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 80 'ayes', 22 'nays', the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "I've tried to save the time of the House but I know these are good Bills. I'm satisfied that there are people sleeping at their switches and so I'm going to ask for a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, there are currentlyah ...80 'ayes' 22 'nays'....the Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Members please be in their seats. Will the Clerk read the names of the absentees. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'm wondering if you might ring the bell? It seems that at the time, just before we performed open heart surgery on our little machine up there that some of our Members may have gone off of the floor for a few minutes and I'd just like to make sure they're back up here."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Clerk's got the Roll Call, will you please read the names of the absentees? Douglas 'aye'."



Clerk Selcke: "Arrigo, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Borchers, Campbell, Caparelli, Capuzi, Collins, Cunningham, Davis, Day, Deavers..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Day as voting 'aye'.
Record Representative Beaupre as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Duff, Dyer..."

Speaker Telcser: "Duff, 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Epton, Fary, Gibbs, Granata, Harpstrite, Hill, Hirschfeld, R. Holloway, Hunsicker, Huskey,What does Huskey want?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you rise? Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: "Dave Jones, Juckett, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Londrigan, Macdonald...."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Macdonald as voting 'aye'.....Londrigan wishes to be voted 'aye'. Let 'em finish the absentees, All right? Proceed Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Mann, McAuliffe, McCormick, McGrew..."

Speaker Telcser: "One second, record Representative Mann as voting 'aye'. J. M. Houlihan wishes to be voted 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "He's already recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "You can only do it once, Jim...Merlo, record Representative Merlo as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Tom Miller, Molloy,"

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Molloy as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Murphy,..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative McGah as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "He is already voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Out there trying to get me....Now, let the Clerk please.....finish the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Porter, Randolph..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Porter as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Rayson, Redmond..."



Speaker Telcser: "Record Rayson as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Rigney, Rose, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Ike Sims, Soderstrom,..."

Speaker Telcser: "Soderstrom 'aye'...."

Clerk Selcke: "Stiehl, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Totten, Walters, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Capuzi and Barry as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "May I inquire as to how many 'aye' votes there are?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk informs me there are 93."

Walsh: "I request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, let me finish up some more Representative Peters wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Laurino 'aye'. Terzich 'aye'. Redmond 'aye'. Keller 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Who's that?"

Speaker Telcser: "Keller."

Clerk Selcke: "He is already recorded as voting. Yep."

Speaker Telcser: "Hill, 'aye'. Beatty 'aye'..."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Who was the last one."

Speaker Telcser: "Beatty. If the Members would only vote when we take the Roll Call, you'll be much happier about it at some wee hour of the morningone of these nights."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay, Arthur, now we've got 99."

Speaker Telcser: "There are currently 99 Members voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "22 'nays'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman indicates he no longer wishes a....ah...poll of the absentees. The question there are 99 'ayes', 21 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1323."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1323, Jacobs, a Bill for an Act to



to amend the Savings and Loan Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1323 amends the Savings and Loan Act and it provides for the....that an association may invest in participating interests in mortgage loans of the type which the association would be authorized to make if a bank or a credit union organized under the laws of the State of Illinois or some authorized person or association is the other participant. This Bill was heard in Committee. There was no opposition to this Bill. The....a. ...ah...Commissioner of the Savings and Loan Commission testified in favor and I ask a favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1323 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Capuzi 'aye'. On this question 114 'ayes', no 'nays', Palmer 'aye',and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Now, House Bill 1585.



6-5-73
 Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1323 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Capuzi 'aye'. On this question 114 'ayes', no 'nays'. Palmer 'aye'; and this bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1585."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 1585, a bill for an act envi . . . to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentleman of the House, at this time I will ask leave to have . . . ah . . . House Bill 1585 moved back from the order of Third Reading to the order of Second Reading for purposes of an amendment?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1585 will be brought back to the order of Secoud Reading."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment Number 3, Getty, amends House Bill 1585 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, at this time, I would ask leave to move to table . . . ah . . . Amendments Number 1, and with the consent of the sponsor, Representative Telcser, of Amendment Number 2, also Amendment Number 2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has moved to table Amendment Number 1 and 2. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The amendments are tabled. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment 3's already been read."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendment Number 3 already has been read. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, Amendment Number 3 strikes everything after the enacting clause and incorporates in it the basic provisions of the original bill together with Amendments Numbers 1 and 2 with some additional clarifying language and substantially cleans up some . . . ah . . . language problems in the bill, makes some clarifications in Sections 39 and 40; and I move



for the adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered the adoption of Amendment Number 3 to House Bill 1585. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The amendments adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. The bill's been read a third time, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1585 provides for the State of Illinois to take over the Federal Water Pollution Discharge Standards so it can issue permits that would be required to be issued by the Federal Government if we did not take this action. It further permits the State to acquire . . . ah . . . 1.4 million dollars in Federal funding . . . ah . . . as part of the package in acquiring . . . ah . . . this . . . ah . . . responsibility that would otherwise be a duplication by having both Federal and State permits required. Ah . . . I know of no opposition to this bill presently . . . ah . . . it has been amended to satisfy the requirements of the Attorney General; and it had eleven proponents in committee. I know of no groups opposed to it; and I ask for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there . . . the gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Representative Getty, is . . . under this bill will there be only one permit required?"

Getty: "There will be no require . . . there . . . there will only be one permit required for this particular thing, that is, for Water Discharge Standards."

Palmer: "I understand that."

Getty: "If we don't pass this, you would have both a Federal and a State permit required."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Would the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Day: "Representative Getty, could you tell me, are the present State requirements any more stringent than those required by the Federal



Government?"

Getty: ". . . but he's speak . . . do you mean as the law is, not as the bill is?"

Day: "As law is now prior to this bill, does the State require anything more in the way of . . . ah . . . restrictions on these discharges than the Federal act does?"

Getty: "The . . . the State law as this bill would have it would be no more stringent than the Federal standards."

Day: "I understand what we're doing here is adopting the Federal standards as State law, is this correct?"

Getty: "That's correct."

Day: "Now, how about the present State law?"

Getty: "There are some areas where there is a difference under . . . under present State law that is not effected by this bill."

Day: "I see."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Now, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty, to close."

Getty: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, this is a very important measure. I, certainly, do, earnestly, solicit your support in passing it. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1585 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Yourell 'aye'. Take the Record. Telcser 'aye'. On this question 129 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1804."



Clerk Selcke: "Who was that? 1804....House Bill 1804, Boyle, amends the Game Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1804 is a package Bill, it's the former Conservation, Game Code Bill....ah...this Bill does exactly what the Digest says that it does....with one ramification and that is that the Digest is in error
...when the....ah...Digest says it extends the authority to enter and examine buildings, private or public markets and common carriers. What the Bill actually does is it exemptsah...sealed common carriers from the search provisions of the Game Code. Ah....the Bill passed out of Committee 18.....some of you who were concerned about the dogs....ah... running at large, you'll find that in this Bill. It makes it an offense to molest any nest of anyah...bird at any time and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall.....Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Hoffman: "Is the Digest correct that you are adding crows to the protected species list?"

Boyle: "No,ah...crows....what this....what the Bill does ...ah..Gene, is if you look on page 5 of the Bill it puts crows in under the category of migratory game birds and it allows the Department, by administrative order, to regulate the crow season. But, it doesn't protect crows. Somebody else raised that. I think Representative Dunn had that same question, I checked with the Department and it does not, and I repeat that, does not exempt 'em from hunting crows.....or shooting crows."



Hoffman: "To the best of your knowledge are crows migratory birds?"

Boyle: "Well, I'm certainly as not well versed on ...on crows as I amhunting dogs and I really don't know."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1804 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question ...133 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1831."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1831, Catania, an Act in relation to State Aid for Probation services, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask leave of the House to return House Bill 1831 to Second Reading to be amended."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, 1831 on the order of Second Reading, will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Catania, amends House Bill 1831,"

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Amendment #2 to House Bill 1831 places the probation subsidy program in the administrative office of the Illinois courts instead of the Department of Corrections. It provides thatah...supervision programs which shall be recommended to be adopted under the provisions of this Bill are spelled out ...ah...suggestions are spelled out that is....it also provides that probation subsidy cannot be merely to increase the number of probation officers in any given probation programs and it provides that the amount of subsidy per reduction from the expected rate of commitment will be adjusted each



year according to the cost of living change. Further, it provides that the Probation Subsidy Act..shall not take effect until July 1st 1975. I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion on the Amendment?"

The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Will the Lady yield for a question, please?"

Catania: "Yes."

Tipsword: "What is the probation subsidy that is now provided and what would it be under this Amendment?"

Catania: "Well, the probation subsidy itself is provided in the Bill. Amendment is proposed to meet the objections that were raised in Committee and after this Bill was voted out of Committee to bring the Bill into conformity with existing probation programs and proposed probation programs. I'll explain more about ^{it} when I actually explain the whole Bill."

Tipsword: "You mean there is no currently...there isn't currently a subsidy for probation?"

Catania: "That's right."

Tipsword: "What is the difference now that you make in this from 1060 which we passed yesterday?"

Catania: "1060 proposes to establish a statewide probation system which is not now in effect. House Bill 1831 is a permissive Bill. It provides that counties or circuits, if the statewide probation system is in effect, can really be very creative and innovated in proposing their own supervision programs which will be approved according to standards that will be set by the administrative office of the Illinois courts."

Tipsword: "Does it then provide that whatever any single county wishes to do innovatively will be supported through your subsidy by the other counties of the State?"

Catania: "It provides thatif a statewide probation system is in effect that circuits will submit these programs.



There are twenty circuits in the State, an average number of five counties each, if the statewide system is not in effect, counties will submit these programs and as I said if the Illinois administrative office, the courts, that sets the standards and approves these programs. So it retains local control but it does have a statewide standard setting provision."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to this Amendment.

It appears to me from the explanation of this Amendment that what this does is guarantee that there should be no uniformity. In the probation services of the State of Illinois nor in the qualifications of probation officers.

Catania: "Well, I feel that this^{is} hardly what this Amendment does. Ah...The Amendment really does not address itself to uniformity or lack of uniformity. The Amendment, as a matter of fact, places the administration or the system with one central office, which certainly is a move to really establish standards and thereby set that degree of uniformity."

Tipsword: "I'm sorry I was interrupted, while I was speaking to the Bill I wanted to further say what it appears to me that it provides thatah...differing areas of the State could set up whatever they think might be aa useful thing....under probation or some experimental program and by virtue of this the General Revenue of the State of Illinois and all of the taxpayers, no matter what circuit or what county they reside in would be paying for this innovation or this experimentation. I would submit that it appears to me that we need to have some kind of uniformity in this kind of area and that the taxpayers of the State are not being taken by some other county. It....However, I have just been asked... the...the interruption that I received when I was speaking to the Bill, it was the request of the Majority



Leader that I might ask you if you would hold this Bill up until he might have a chance to speak with you about this Amendment."

Catania: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear that last request."

Tipsword: "You were interrupted too. I think....while I was speaking the Minority Leader asked me if I would request of you that you might hold this Bill up until he has a chance to examine the Amendment or to discuss the Amendment with you."

Catania: "You have any idea how long that might be?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Just until I have an opportunity to look at the Amendment and check with the Department." Five minutes."

Catania: "Five minutes? Certainly."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's take that out of the record. That Bill.....you want to....Okay, that Bill is now on Second Reading. Okay, we'll leave it there. Skinner, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, since it's on Second Reading I wonder if I'd be in order to ask for a Fiscal Note? I have a feeling this Bill ends up funneling more of the State's money just proportionately to Cook County and I'd like to make sure, one way or the other."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Catania, I'd suggest you pay attention to this now. Now your Bill is on Second Reading, Representative Skinner has requested a Fiscal Note and one of the rules if a request is made for a Fiscal Note, before it can be movedyou gotta provide that note."

Catania: "I happen to have a Fiscal Note which I will file with the Clerk."

Speaker Telcser: "Very good, I'm glad to hear that, great. That Bill will be left on Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1273, Farley, a Bill for an Act



to amend the Savings and Loan Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1273 addresses itself to the Facility Bill. The 77th General Assembly passed the Facility Bill whereas the inner city associations were enabled to relocate into more prosperous suburban areas and yet maintain a facility at their old location to serve those old neighborhoods. Weak associations were permitted to merge with stronger associations and also maintain a facility. It was hoped that the Facility Legislation would make it possible for strong associations to assist neighborhoods served by weak associations and for inner city associations to relocate into suburbs and gain strength which would be utilized to fortify the facilities. However, the Facility Legislation has proven to be somewhat faulty and that mortgages cannot be applied for, processed or granted at the facility. As a result facilities are not able to achieve their proposed purpose and as they cannot use the suburban money in the facility and because of the inability to make mortgages at that facility. This Bill would correct this glaring deficiency and would be a progressive move of major importance to the Savings and Loan Industry in metropolitan areas and throughout the State. I solicit and urge a favorable vote. Thank you."

MURPHY IN CHAIR:

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunn, for what reason do you rise?....Oh! The Gentleman fromBarry....Barry.....Okay."

Barry: "I would like to ask if the Sponsor'd yield to a question."

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."



Barry: "Is it true that this is a Branch, Savings and Loan Bill? Does this allow branching for Savings and Loan?"

Farley: "No. No, it doesn't."

Barry: "It doesn't allow them to put another facility a 100 miles away? "

Farley: "They can.....they can under the existing statutes have a facility.....of course this is governed by the existing law now."

Barry: "I thought this was a Savings and Loan Branch Banking Act."

Farley: "No, no,I'm sure it's not."

Barry: "All I had to go onwas the Illinois Bankers Association said that it was a bad Bill, it was BankingBranching....I wanted to point that out, Mr. Chairman, I wasn't sure at all that it was, but, thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not....ah...ah.. the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAvoy."

McAvoy: "Will the Sponsor of the Bill yield to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

McAvoy: "Bruce, ...ah....you say that not.....is not a branch program?"

Farley: "No, it is not."

McAvoy: "Well, it is a branch program, for the simple reason that you are going to be able to process mortgages at the.....at this institution.....where the old institution when you move into the new one. "

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I can't hear the question."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, let's have a little quiet, please. Repeat your question, Representative McAvoy."

McAvoy: "The question I'm asking is this, you did say this is not branch.....like branch banking or branch Savings and Loan. Well, now under the Federal Savings and Loan Program, when they move out to new territory, and leave the old institution there, but they don't process mortgages?"

Farley: "That's correct, this Bill would....."



McAvoy: "This would give the authority....."

Farley: "Yes."

McAvoy: "Well, then that would be Branch Banking."

Farley: "Well, it's not considered Branch Banking, it has nothing to do with banks. It's in the Savings and Loan Industry."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piotrowicz."

Piotrowicz: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

in reading the Digest breakdown on this Bill, it does say and I quote from the Digest, 'provide that the Commissioner may adopt regulations providing for a facility which constitutes as exception to limitation on branches or offices as provided under the Illinois Banking Act', and it also ends up saying that, 'provides that the business conducted at the facility can include the processing of mortgages'.

What I suggest, for your consideration, is that this Bill does allow for Branch Banking of the Savings and Loan and even more than that, it allows those large Savings and Loan Associations that are in a financial position to expand throughout the county or throughout the city, I would think, it would allow them to do this and also gives them, I think, unfair advantage over the small Savings and Loans who have served the various communities throughout the city. I, after serious consideration on this measure, it is a Branch Banking situation for Savings and Loans and ask for opposition to this measure."

Speaker Murphy: "Is the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Arnell...No.....The Lady from Lake, Representative

Geo-Karis is recognized."

Geo-Karis: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. Wouldn't this

Bill take 107 votes, to pass? Inasmuch as Article XIII, Section 8, of the Constitution saysSection 7.....I would.

Section 8....Branch Banking....this is what you're doing



in effect.....if you pass this Bill. It's Section 8, Article XIII, of the Constitution. I submit, Mr. Speaker I think we need 107 votes. I'd like to have a ruling from the Chair." If you'd like, I'll bring it up there."

Unknown: "They're reading the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Yeah, but this is the Constitution."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Geo-Karis, all they've done is added two thingson line 19 on the Bill, consolidation on bulk sales and line 23, processing mortgages and it's the ruling of the Chair.....takes 89 votes..... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Murphy: "The previous question has been moved. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have and the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Farley may close the debate."

Farley: "Well,.....well, Mr. Speaker, in response to some of the things that was said.....all this Bill is going to do isbe able to let the facility....Savings and Loan process mortgages....and it will help the older facilities or those facilities that are located in older neighborhoods. There's been a great rush out to the suburban areas and of course the ...the...Savings and Loan Industry follows the trend of the population. Now, the inner city or the areas in the older neighborhoods still need to be able to finance and process mortgages for that particular area. And, this Bill would allow them to do that...and I solicit a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The...ah...Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea is recognized."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, perhaps this is a misunderstood Bill. In the last Session of the General Assembly, I handled in this House, for the



administration, a Bill to permit facilities. All this does is amend that law and say that in those facilities that stay in the inner cities ...that they can process mortgages within the inner cities. Now, if you want to do something for those people that live within the inner city, let 'em get a chance to get their mortgages right where they live. That's all that this Bill does. Instead of ...Savings and Loan Association 'A'....has a facility in the inner city and the main branch of it now out in Berwyn or Cicero or up in Skokie or out in Schaumburg.... let 'em...or Oak Lawn as 'Buzz' just said, let 'em have the opportunity to process the mortgage in the city without forcing those people to go from the inner city out to Schaumburg or Oak Lawn to get their loan. I think that perhaps that somebody has misunderstood this Bill. If they'd take the time to read it...we passed the Facility Bill in the last Session of the General Assembly. At the behest of the then administration. All this does is let that facility that's left in the inner city process mortgages."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I concur in what the previous speaker just said. And, actually for those of you who are fearful of branch banking for savings and loans, let me say this, that starting July 1st it is my understanding that the Federal Savings and Loans will have the opportunity of branch banking or branch savings and loan whatever you want to call it. And, actually what this Bill does is it just gives the savings and loan and impliments that facility Bill which we passed in the last Session and this says it gives them an opportunity to make mortgages at that facility. And really that's all it says and I would favor your support."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative



Barry."

Barry: "Please record me as 'present'."

Speaker Murphy: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'.... please.... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative ...ah. Arnell."

Arnell: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have the Bill in front of me and I have to concur with the previous speaker. The present Statute states, 'no business shall be done at a facility except receiving deposits, cashing and issuing checks, drafts and money orders, changing money, and receiving payments on existing indebtedness.' This Bill just adds two words 'processing mortgages'. I see no harm in this and I urge your support."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey is recognized."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the savings and loans, when I got out of service in 1945, I had no money, I had no place to turn, I went to the banks they didn't want to talk to me. I went to a savings and loan, they gave me a GI Bill.....for 4 percent, they served a need. They've been servicing needs every since World War II or even before but mainly since World War II, they've come in their own. I think a vote against this Bill is going to be a vote against progress. They've helped everyone of us in some walk of life and if we try to hamstring 'em. Where they move out we want to help our suburban area grow. I know the area I represent needs help, needs more savings and loan and if we can get the people from the ...with the experience from the city, we certainly welcome them and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Did the Lady from Adams, Representative Kent wish recognition?"

Kent: " 'Aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On the



....ah...Representative Maragos, 'present'. On this question there are 61 'ayes' and 42 'nays'...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Farley is recognized."

Farley: "May I have leave to put this on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman requests leave. I hear no objection so the Bill is on Postponed Consideration. What is the reason the Gentleman from Kane.....arise,.... Representative Waddell?"

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, on a Point of Personal Privilege, and at the pleasure of the Speaker and of the General Assembly, as provided by law ...the Data Information System Commission must report to you and I would like to be so noted.....that we have done with the report that is on your desk." Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Thank you. Ah....we are told that the decision will not be made on the ball game now until 4 o'clock. They've got some men out there sanding it and they have made no decisions at this time, yet."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1728, Porter, a Bill for an Act Amending the Municipal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave that the Bill be returned to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Murphy: "Any objections? Leave is granted." Proceed. The Bill is on Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Porter, Amends House Bill 1728 and so forth."

Porter: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, the Amendment is a ..technical one merely changing the language ...ah...in one paragraph of the Bill and I move its adoption."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is on the Amendment. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "John, excuse me....you have two Amendments



already which....ah....changed the Bill totally, right?"

Porter: "Pardon me, Glen."

Schnieder: "You struck thethe first Amendment has stricken everything after the Enacting Clause and you submitted a new Bill, right?"

Porter: "Yes. Amendment 1 is basically the Bill with these two additional Amendments....ah....changed some language."

Schnieder: "All right. What is the language change on 3, I have 2 but not 3."

Porter: "Well, all right, the language change ...ah...is in on page 2, subparagraph 5 at the bottom of the page and it merely takes out the last sentence and adds the words ...in place of that 'subject to applicable statutory limitations'...it does nothing to change the substance of the Bill at all."

Speaker Murphy: "Are there any further questions? If...If not the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dick Walsh is recognized."

Walsh: "John, can you refer to your Digest and tell me if the Bill as described in the Digest ...ah...is what we're presently voting on?"

Porter: "Just a second..."

Walsh: "I don't have the Bill or the Amendments in front of me and I wonder if you might refer to the Digest and tell me if it's correct and if not...."

Porter: "The description in the Digest is substantially what the Bill is about, yes."

Walsh: "Now....ah....how does this relate to...ah...the..."

Porter: "Could we adopt the Amendment first, Dick?"

Walsh: "Well,...ah..."

Porter: "Or, do you want to discuss that?"

Walsh: "I'm just trying to....yeah...I'd like to discuss that because....the...this looks pretty much like the special service district Bill that we acted upon and looks something like the Bill that Representative Bluthardt had..."

Porter: "Dick, if you would permit me to adopt the Amendment..."



and then address myself the the Bill, I think I can explain that....to you and to the other Members."

Walsh: "The Amendments you say are just technical?"

Porter: "Yes....the Amendments are only technical....right."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Porter: "All right, now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1728 provides that Municipalities may use a central business district redevelopment Commission as a vehicle for downtown renewal and what the Bill does is set forth powers that the municipalities may exercise in respect to downtown redevelopment which includes the designation of an area after public hearings to be redeveloped, the approval of a plan or a proposal of such redevelopment, the exercise of the power of eminent domain....for the acquisition of property, application for and the acceptance of appropriate State and federal grants. The borrowing of funds as are necessary in the issuance of revenue or general obligation bonds, subject to applicable statutory limitations. The sale or lease or improvement of real property and the creation of a...redevelopment Commission to act as agent for the municipality in carrying out those powers. This Bill passed the Cities and Villages Committee 14 to nothing with one voting present and I know of no opposition to it. It contains a specific provision that it is not a limitation on Home Rule Units....and I ask the the House's favorable consideration."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dick Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, John, once again....ah...in language that I asked earlier, if you could indicate....distinguish in some way what this Bill does from those other Bills to



which I alluded."

Porter: "Yes, ...ah...the other Bills were ...in my understanding designed to ...to create a special district within which ...ah...there would be special assessment taxing powersah..and they would be exercisedah...to tax the district itself.....and there was objection.... I believebased upon the fact that those taxing powers would not be subject to referendum. This Bill has nothing to do with the crea.....creation of special taxing districts it has only to do with the creation of a redevelopment commission to carry out powers that probably already exist under the home rule provisions of the Consitution. Very frankly the Billah...yearly defines what the powers are and is intended to preclude a long and costly and delaying court interpretation of home rule powers in this area. It's my opinion and the opinion of most of the experts who deal with municipal law that home rule units already have all the powers that are contained in this Bill. But, the purpose of it is to....ah...define by statutes that the powers exist and therefore avoid a long and expensive and delaying court interpretation if a municipality should wish to undertake this sort of a program."

Walsh: "All right, but this Bill applies to all municipalities, not just home rule units, does it not?"

Porter: "Yes, that's true."

Walsh: "So,.....ah....to say that it's something that home rule units now have the power to do doesn't answer the problem because you're giving additional power to non-home rule units."

Porter: "That may.....that may be true....so it does have that additional possible effect but I can't frankly imagineah...any circumstances where non-home rule unit is likely to undertake a redevelopment program of the type and vision. It's possible but it's very unlikely."



Walsh: "Are you granting additional tax....ah....taxing powers?"

Porter: "Nope....taxing powers are not changed in any way at all."

Walsh: "The only thing that they might not have ...ah...that they might not have now that they would have under this Bill would be power of eminent domain?"

Porter: "What....I didn't hear that?"

Walsh: "Well....what....what additional power are you granting, that's the question."

Porter: "I don't think that we're granting any additional powers....ah...to home rule units, as I said."

Walsh: "Well, what additional powers to non-home rule units?"

Porter: "Well, I assume that all the powers granted would.... would be new in respect to non-home rule units...."

Walsh: "All the powers that you enumerated in your presentation."

Porter: "I'm sorry, I can't hear you, Mr. Speaker, can we have some order?"

Speaker Murphy: "Please can we have some quiet in the house?"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this appears to be a very significant Bill....ah....apparently not as to home rule units but certainly as the non-home rule units because it is a grant of new authorityof new powers at least to non-home rule units if not home rule units. And, once again in asking what additional powers are extended to non-home rule units ...those would be the or 8 powers which you enumerated in your original presentation. Is that right?"

Porter: "Yes, I think that would be true."

Walsh: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I guess....ah...one of my concerns, John, is how dis... how does the commission determine the kind of property they would consider for appropriate development or redevelopment?"



Porter: "Well, the Bill provides that they would designate an area after public hearings for redevelopment....and... and it would then be up to them to adopt ...a plan or a proposal for redevelopment and go ahead with the program that they had invisioned."

Schneider: "Could our logic on this kind of a proposition carry us to a point where we say that it will be..... enhance the downtown area of some community to the point where we might want to avoid ...ah...traffic problems and have say....outlying expressways developed so as to avoid ...ah..heavy truck traffic or...ah..congestion of business nature...you know...busy traffic....ah...therefore leading to the possibility that....after long and extensive study we have decided that it might be a good idea to build an expressway from one part of town to another...in fact to have a cross-town expressway?"

Porter: "A cross-town expressway? No, I....I think that thisthis....ah....has nothing to do with a cross-town expressway, believe me....ah...this Bill is a Bill that ah....came out of the...a...ah..Appropriation Council for the City of Evanston in considering their problems in redeveloping a downtown that is becoming too old and ...and needs revitalization. Ah...."

Schneider: "I didn't mean the cross-town....I was just suggesting that from one part of town to another part of town you know....is really across town. But, I wonder, John if maybe...if you really could develop some kind of prohibition against something that could be construed that way...because I know it's difficult to develop a piece of Legislation for one's own area such as in your case, Evanston, and then have that as part of the Statutes, and then find other communities using it to their purposes. Now, whatever they may be, I'm sympathetic to redevelopment of decaying centers of cities of all sizes including my own and I wonder if perhaps such a prohibition or a



good language could be developed to protect us against this because I do note that you also haveah...the authority to dispose of federal money that they're directedtoward that municipality...ah...state funds and so forth and ...ah...I'm not really paranoid on that topic at all but it is a....kind of a touchy ...subject this term and I wonder if maybe you could something with that?"

Porter: "Well, as I say, Glen, I...I don't think there is anything in this Bill in reference to the home rule unit.... that gives 'em any greater powers than what they already have. It's a merely Bill in that respect. Ah....It merely defines what they probably already have without taking the matter to court."

Schnieder: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter may bring his remarks to a close....and close the debate."

Porter: "Well, as I said, I don't know any opposition to the Bill, it is a merely Bill in a sense that itah.... allows for powers that already exist...ah...by all experts that I know of under municipal law. But, it does define 'em statutorily or attempts to do so and I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dick Walsh is recognized."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I indicated earlier, this really is a very significant Bill. Especially as to non-home rule units because....ah.... although it isn't certain just what powers home rule units may have in some of these areas we can assume they have all the powers enumerated ...it's quite clear that non-home rule units do not have all of these powers and I think we should specifically note that this would extend



to non-home rule units the power to issue ...a...ah... general obligation bonds ...ah...without referendum. It ...ah...ah....number 5, in the Enumeration of Powers on page 2 is to borrow funds as if....as.....the municipality may be deemed necessary for the purpose of business district development and redevelopment and in this connection such obligational revenue bonds as it shall be deemed necessary. So, they can issue general obligation bonds it would seem to me....ah...without a referendum and for that reason if....if for no other....I believe this is a bad Bill and should not be passed."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter to explain his vote."

Porter: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, ...ah...Representative Walsh has not read the entire provisions ...that says...'to issue such general obligation or revenue bonds as it shall deem....be deemed necessary subject to applicable limitations'...there are already statutory limitations in the law in respect to non-home rule units. I think his point is badly taken. I think it's also badly taken to say that it has anything to do with the cross-town expressway or anything like that. This is a Bill that has no effect like that and I would urge all of you to vote in favor of it."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am casting my vote for this Bill and would certainly urge everyone of you from downstate areas that have cities such as we have in Waukegan with questionably run down downtown areas to reconsider your votes on this if you're casting a red light up there or if you are not voting, to certainly cast a green light for this. This may be the most important bit of municipal Legislation that we passed this Session. It'll give an opportunity



to areas that are deteriorating because of outlying shopping centers, for a second chance or a second lease on life. Many of our municipalities do want to regenerate their downtown areas but without the authority to fund these it's physically impossible. We in Waukegan have got this very problem and I've looked into the problem...I find that the State of Colorado has got a similar Bill that they've just passed and it's having an immensely beneficial effect upon all of their outlying areas, their old business and downtown areas. I certainly hope you'll reconsider if you're voting against this and if you're not voting cast your light for it."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, briefly to explain my vote. I think the importance of this Bill has already been pointed out but I'd like to emphasize it and that is, this is a self-help Bill. This isn't a Bill to ...ah...provide State Aid to communities to help redevelop their downtown areas. This is a Bill that says, you have the authority to do it yourself. It seems to me this is the most salutary kind of Bill we can pass. We give to local communities the expressed explicit authority to help redevelop their own downtown areas. They don't ask for State money, they don't ask for State help, they want to do it on their own all they want from us is the authority to be able to do it. Now, there are many many towns in the State which have deteriorating downtown areas and it seems to me that it's in everybody's interest, not only the residents of those towns but everybody in the State...to have those cities and towns and villages be able to do that on their own. And, that's why I'm voting to support this Bill, I think it's a good Bill, I think there has been a lot of



extraneous and irrelevant ...ah...criticisms or...or... quibbles raised about the Bill. It really has nothing to do with its central purpose and hope we can get some more green votes up on that board. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Did you wish to be recognized, Representative Walsh, from Cook County? W. Walsh.?"

Walsh: "Ah..Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I simply am not satisfied with the Gentleman's explanation that...ah...general obligation bonds that may be issued by this commission are subject to a referendum. The Bill doesn't say that at all, it says that the....they are subject to any statutory limitations hereafter imposed by the General Assembly upon cities and villages. Now, I would interpret that to mean that there is an amount limitation that can...be imposed, not a limitation thatthe bonds must be issued subject to a referendum. It seems to me that ...ah...this would permit ...ah...non-home rule cities and villages to issue bonds without a referendum and I ...general obligation bonds without a referendum and I think that's just dead wrong. Now, I would submit that maybe the Gentleman could take this out of the record, we could go over it a little bit, this doesn't seem to be his intention, but it sure looks to me like that's what the Bill does and I wonder if the the Gentleman would take the Bill out of the record?"

Speaker Murphy: "On this question there are 99 'ayes', 25 'nays' and this Bill haveing received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Lake, for what purpose do you rise?"

Greisheimer: "Mr. Speaker having voted on the prevailing side I would move to reconsider."

Speaker Murphy: "The Lady from Lake."

Geo-Karis: "I move that we lay it on the Table."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is on the motion to lay on the Table. All in favor signify by saying 'aye',



contrary 'no'. The 'ayes' have and the motion is Tabled.
House Bill 1350."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1350, Skinner, a Bill for an Act
to amend the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative
Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1350 is an attempt to get
the Department of Local Governmental Affairs in....to
conduct their sales ratio studies, which are studies com-
paring assessments with sales prices for given years
on a timely basis. Right now they're about three years
behind in reporting their statistics and they're completely
useless to local officials for that reason. What this
Bill does is say that after a phasing period of two years
that statistics...have to be completed and reported to
the local government within six months after the end of
the calendar year. It also says that ...ah...these stat-
istics have to be printed in abooklet...in booklet
form two months after they have been repeated ..er.....
that is reported to the local Assessor and County Clerk.
...they become public information."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Can I ask you....have you discussed this with the
Department, Cal?"

Skinner: "Sure."

Shea: "And, what do they say? Do they think that they can
gear up to this feasibly?"

Skinner: "They say with a phasing period they can. Now, they
have asked for a larger phasing period than I thought
was reasonable, they asked for a two year delay after
the end of the calendar year and I still think that is
totally unreasonable. They're....they have been com-
puterized for about six years now and ...ah...with the
budget increases that the Appropriations Committee is
giving this Committee....this particular office of the



Department I see no reason why they can't meet the schedule that has been laid down in the Bill. The Bill gives them a year.....to do this and Iyou have to get them on an annual basis or they are not going to get it done for ...absolutely years after the end of the calendar year."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook...."

Shea: "One other thing,...as I understand ...like say....for ...for this year, real estate taxes for '72 ..er for '72 are now being paid this year, all right, now,and the multiplier was put on say in March...by the c.c.....c by the Department....ah...the multiplier is usually furnish- by the county by either March or April. Are you telling me that for the '72 taxes when this Bill is fully impli- mented, that for the....for '72, that year, all the sales would have to be computed and supplied to the local assessor by March 1 of that next year?"

Skinner: "No. It gives six months after the end of the calendar year. It says that they have to be....just.... let's just take this year. Ah...well it's not....yeah, let's just take this year, this is the '73 calendar year, this would mean that ...without the phase-in that I have put in at the request of the Department, the report would have to be out to the county by July....July 1st. This would mean that many small counties would not have to run their own sales ratio studies and it would expedite in the intra-county equalization of assessments."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not the question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Run the totalizer, Jack. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes' and 2 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1770."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1770, Polk, a Bill for an Act to



amend the Child Labor Law, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk is recognized."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not a merely Bill, this removes exemption of minors under the age of 10 years old to be engaged in agricultural work. This Bill came out of the Spanish Speaking Commissionsah...study over the summer. At the present time.....no minor under 16 years of age...has restrictions placed on them, they are not permitted to work for gainful occupation in different types of work such as hotels, offices, stores, laundries and so forth. What we're attempting to do is to say that no child undernow under the age of 10 can be employed for farm labor. Now, this is directly related to the type of families that do...come in and do....ah...the migrant labor type of work. We do not have any opposition from any organized groups at this time. The canners have indicated that they actually don't want the children in the fields because they're causing more damage than they are actually picking the tomatoes and I would certainly appreciate your support on this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Miller: "Ben,.....Ben....the only problem I have here is one..
...you used the word employment. I assume a child working for his father in agri...on the farm is not employed. Ah...Mr. Miller, this states that aa person working for his parents or his grandparents or relative ..a..may ...may continue to work..ah...I drove a tractor before I was ten years old on a farm...and would be able to do so, and could continue to do so if this Bill passes?"

Polk: "That's correct. It does not effect the ..ah...relationship



to the home."

Miller: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Polk: "Yep."

Schneider: "Hey, Ben is this applicable during school time also, September?"

Polk: "Yes, this...this....the original Bill states that children are not allowed to work during school hours. Basically this reflects ...ah...during the summer when the children are not in school."

Schneider: "Now, what's the present age limitation on the law?"

Polk: "On farm working?"

Schneider: "Yeah."

Polk: "There is none."

Schneider: "So that....now, this the child is 10 years old? I think..."

Polk: "We're saying under 10.....10 years and under."

Schneider: "So this is a....kind of a exemption below 10 but from 10 and above they can work in the fields and things like that?"

Polk: "Well, 10 and above can work in the fields, yes. But, we're trying to keep the 5, 6, 7, and 8 and 9 year olds out of the fields, frankly."

Schneider: "I don't know....thank you.....just speaking to the Bill quickly, I don't know how you deal with a problem like this. I think it's....ah...kind of disgraceful that we would allow children to work ...ah...extensive hours under difficult situations. I guess you have to support the Bill because without it you wouldn't have a limitation at all."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gene Hoffman."



Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my question is related to the one raised by Representative from Whiteside....but to extend it outside the family. For example, ...ah...ah...working for a neighbor on the farm for wages. For example, I worked ...ah...for my neighborah...watch....yeah....worked for my neighbor at a very young age and was paid for it. Now, would this prevent this form happening?"

Polk: "If your son is 7, 8 or 9, yes this would prevent him from working in the fields, as an agricultural worker, yes."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk may close the debate."

Polk: "Ladies and Gentlemen I think it's time in the State of Illinois that we address ourselves to this ...this type of a problem and let us be one of the first states to impliment a Child Labor Law Act which says that people or children under the age of 10 should be precluded from working in the fields and I sincerely appreciate your assistance on this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 6 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Yourell 'aye'. House Bill 1594."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1594, Schraeder, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is just a....kind of a housekeeping thing a few changes in the Fish Code Regulations and it's recommended by the Department of Conversat....Conservation. And there was an Amendment attached to it which just was...ah...suggested



by the Bureau....under Mr. Boblitt, to bring it into conformity and....it was on the Consent Calendarit was on the Agreed list of Bills last Friday, had one objection was all. There's nothing wrong with it and I ask your vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Are there any further discussion? If not the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Shea 'aye'. Shea 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 127 'ayes' and 3 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1829."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1829, Catania, a Bill for an Act to protect potentiality of human life, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1829 ismy attempt to formulate for the State of Illinois an abortion law which will be a realistic one. My request to the Legislative Reference Bureau was that a Bill be drafted that would conform to the Supreme Court decision, but that would protect what I regard to be the right of fathers and the rights of the live born fetus to life. House Bill 1829 has been amended three times in attempts to clarify these provisions to protect the rights of fathers and the rights of the live born fetus. Now, the Supreme Court decision, which I am trying to have copies of distributed right now says very specifically that the rights of fathers were not considered in either the Georgia Case or the Texas Case, so that Legislation can still be drafted protecting fathers rights. What House Bill 1829 does is to say that a woman has to file an affidavit with the abortion facility where she is going to have the abortion performed stating that she has informed the fatherif he is living and if he can be



be located, within 10 days of her coming to the abortion facilities to get the abortion....of her intention to have the abortion and that she has told him the address and the name of the abortion facilities. If he wishes to prevent the abortion he can do so by filing a statement with the abortion facilities saying that he accepts complete financial responsibility for the pregnancy and child-birth and that he accepts parental responsibility for the first 18 years of the child's life. I've done this as I say to provide recourse to those men who I think have a right to see to it that their children are born. If they feel strongly that these are their children and they want... they do want to have parental responsibility during those 18 years, they have this provision in the law. Now, with regard to the rights of the live born fetus. The Bill provides that if the abortion was sought, in other words it was not an emergency abortion to protect the life of the mother or the life of the fetus, that the right to parenthood really of the live born fetus is waived by the parents that seek the abortion say that the child becomes a ward of the State....so that it's quite clear who is going to bear the expense of preserving the life of the viable fetus. It's going to be the responsibility of the State....so that the resuscitation and incubation equipment that has to be available for the preservation will be used and the cost will be paid for by the State. I'll be happy to answer any questions about the Bill. And, as I said, there were 3 Amendments, and....I would like to point out that it....it does have much of the same language as the Department of Health Bill, but it does go further in dealing with the rights of fathers and the rights of live born fetuses."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lemke is recognized."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker, can I have a question of the Sponsor?"



Speaker Murphy: "She indicates she will, yes."

Lemke: "I notice in your synopsis you provide for penalties for violation of the Act. What are these penalties?"

Catania: "The penalties are that any person on ...who uses an instrument... medicine, drug or other substance to procure an abortion, not under the provisions of the Act, is guilty of a Class 4 felony. There are provisions to protect the conscience of those who might be requested to help in performing abortions on or in undergoing an abortion and there's a penalty for violating the rights of those people who object to either participating in or undergoing an abortion. There is a penalty for failing to submit the sort of report that has been requested by the Department which is a statistical report on abortions performed in health authorities throughout the State."

Lemke: "What protection do you offer the hospital or the doctor or the nurse or some person who refuses because of conscience to perform an abortion. What protection do they have?"

Catania: "Anyone who violates the right of such person to refuse to participate is answerable in civil damages."

Lemke: "Can they be forced to perform an abortion?"

Catania: "No, they cannot."

Lemke: "Is there a provision in there to prevent this?"

Catania: "Yes."

Lemke: "From forcing to perform an abortion?"

Catania: "That's right."

Lemke: "And when are these abortions supposed to take place?"

: In what...in what trimester?"

Catania: "In conformity with the Supreme Court decision it says that an abortion during the first trimester of the pregnancy shall be performed in a hospital or other health facility licensed by the State of Illinois. After the first trimester they must be performed in hospitals where resuscitation and incubation equipment for newborn infants is available and



in such cases the medical personnel are required to use such equipment to attempt to save the life of the infant provided that it shows signs of life which are spelled out. No abortion shall be performed after the second trimester except as necessary for the preservation of the life or health of the female or the fetus. And, I added the fetus because in cases of RH incompatibility, diabetes and similar instances sometimes an abortion is necessary to protect the life of the fetus. It's not always medically necessary just to protect the life of the mother."

Lemke: "Does the Supreme Court decision talk about the second trimester?"

Catania: "The Supreme Court decision says there cannot be interference in the first trimester. It says that after the first trimester there can be provisions to protect the potentiality of human life."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker and Colleagues, I'd like to speak against this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Lemke: "I do not think that we should take the life of an individual who is first born....and when we look at pictures of a 12 week old fetus....this is of man....I'm getting sick and tired of people here protecting the rights of murderers and voting one way to save a life of a man who has proven himselfno good. But, to deny the rights of a ...of a baby to have no rights....and was born at the inception into his life. And I refuse to vote for any Bill and I.....as I said before I refuse to do what the Supreme Court says, I think it's the Legislators duty to do ...when the Supreme Court makes an error ...to write laws to remedy these errors that are made by the Supreme Court and I urge you all to vote against this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."



Douglas: "Will the Sponsor please yield for a question or two?"

Catania: "Yes."

Douglas: "Ms. Catania, you have on page one...and I discussed this with you, I don't know whether you mentioned it....a statement that ...ah...the Department of Public Health ...presumably at some future time can determine that someone other than a physician is qualified to perform an abortion. Then on line 27 you say that no abortion may be performed except by a physician. Now, how do you resolve this apparent conflict and do you ...are you proposing that there should be a basis where non-physicians should do abortions?"

Catania: "I think Representative Douglas, that there is a trend towards permitting non-physicians, if they are qualified to perform abortions in the first trimester and on line 14, page 1, the definition of physician begins so that wherever the word is used thereafter in the Bill that definition applies....so that when it is used again on line 27, that's the definition that applies."

Douglas: "All right. Next question, on page 2, where you've added, by an Amendment, in ...or the life of the fetus. Under what circumstances would an abortion be performed to preserve the life of the fetus. I'm not sure I understand ...ah...what you mean by that."

Catania: "Ah...I think I just mentioned in attempting to answer Representative Lemke's question, that sometimes an abortion is necessary ...an abortion in the medical sense, which is induced ...ah...expulsion of the product of conception, to protect the life of the fetus. In the case of RH incompatibility where the fetus is infecting ..damaged by being retained in the womb. Or in other cases where there are medical reasons for removing the fetus."

Douglas: "All right, now, if I may, ...just one or two more questions. Ah...Ah...you mentioned in your Amendment.... Item 'z' on page 2, if after reasonable search the father



cannot be found. Is this clear, not being a lawyer, of course you're not one either, but is it clear under the law what this means? If after reasonable search the father cannot be found. How does that decision get made? You know, you've raised a very difficult question which I hope you can resolve in my mind at least about the question of ...of the roll of the father....ah...I...I think that there's athere's a certain amount of validity here in a father at a certain point having a roll to play in a decision of whether or not an abortion should be done, but what if the guy is gone somewhere and you can't find him. Are you going to penalize the mother and the baby by this term 'reasonable search'?"

Catania: "Well, this is exactly the problem that I've sought to solve with that position. I...asked the lawyers in the Legislative Reference Bureau to draft it as clearly as they could so that this could not be used either to victimize the woman or to make itah...difficult for the father to prevent the abortion if in fact he was willing to accept responsibility. Ah...."

Douglas: "Ah..Mr. Speaker, ..and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is areally...no matter how you....feel on this...on this ...very sensitive issue, this is an.... a....very, very bad Bill. And I'd like to address myself to the Bill as well as I can and as quickly as possible. First of all it is utterly deceptive in the Synopsis and do not be fooled by thatanyone who's concerned at all about Supreme Court Ruling ..to say that this is written in line with the Supreme Court ruling because it just simply is not. It's obviously an effort to ...to challenge the Supreme Court ruling, which I think is a valid thing if this is the intent of the Sponsor, but it does not in any way go along with it. For example...ah...it...it...const... it...constantly refer...it says on page two that an abortion... an abortion in the first trimester should be done in a



hospital...ah...and while I happen to think it's an excellent idea...it unfortunately goes against the Supreme Court ruling. Ah...there are references in here which would impose enormous penalties on the woman, on an innocent person standing by in an operating room who would be affected by the penalties inflicted on the people under this law. On page 2 it says that the medical personnel performing or assisting in the abortion are required hereby to use such equipment, and it clearly means if they don't do it they're subject to the penalties. But the worst thing by far ...Susan....I just can't stand on the Floor of this Legislature and let this go. No matter how you feel...to say that...that on page 3, that if a woman makes the decision for whatever reason, to have an abortion done, and that child is born alive, you've said here that that child shall be deemed to be abandoned and the father and the mother consenting to the abortion thereby lose all rights, control, and authority over and are removed from any obligations for such child. What a horrendous act it would be for us to say to a mother, if for whatever reason she has decided to have an abortion that if her child is born alive that we the Representatives of the people take that child away from her. In my Bill which we've worked out very very carefully...at least it says that the court appoints a guardian ad litem to take the roll of protecting the child against a mother and father who very well maybe shouldn't have the child but to authorize under law that that child would be taken away from that mother and father I think is a...would be a sinful kind of act. I would ask you....I would ask you to recognize that this Bill sincere though as it may be, doesn't do either, it doesn't protect the right of the fetus, it doesn't protect the right of the mother, it absolutely ignores the ruling of the Supreme Court, it's bad in every sense and I'd hope that you'd vote against it "



Speaker Murphy: "The Lady from Cook may close the debate."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

The problem of course with this Bill is that it does attempt to conform with the Supreme Court decision, yet it also attempts to recognize the rights of everyone involved in what is clearly a very anguished situation...that of an abortion. Now, consequently it tends to be opposed by those who don't like the Supreme Court decision as well as those who do. This, I think is a reasonable approach to getting a law that will be able to stay on the books and we can live with. It does have a severability clause at the end so that if anything is found unconstitutional the rest of the law can stand. Now, specifically with regard to Representative Douglas's point and then perhaps with regard to some others I feel that certainly the medical personnel in hospitals should be required to use every possible means that they can find to preserve the life of a live born fetus. And, I find something of a conflict in the statements of the previous speaker who feel that these people should not be compelled to use said equipment to save the life of that child yet he feels that the parents should have the right to have the child if it does in fact survive. Now, I feel that it is imperative that that equipment be used to save the life of the child. Also, I feel that the parents should decide whether they want the child or not...and if they should decide to seek an abortion, I think clearly it means that they do not want the child. Also, I want to be sure that the resuscitation and incubation equipment is used...and I think it is important to make it very clear who's going to pay for the cost of the use of that very...very expensive life support equipment. If the child becomes a ward of the State, clearly it is going to be paid for and the hospital personnel is not going to be afraid to use it and they are going to do everything in their power to save the



life of that live born fetus. Which under the provisions of this Bill has all the rights of a person. I think this is an excellent Bill, I think it is a reasonable approach to the delemma we find ourselves in, I find it's a great source of personal difficulty, I've sought to draft something we can all live with and I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting, 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson is recognized."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm sorry I didn't get a chance to ask one question because this must be a good Bill because it suggests a rapist can deny a woman getting an abortion, if he rapes her....ah...but I don't know."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This abortion Bill is very much like many others and the only thing that's different about is it that the Lady Sponsor who developed it has gone far beyond into an area of sensitivity and addressed herself to a couple of problems that other people have just ignored. One is this, that ...ah...the primary emphasis here is on the responsibility during the first trimester ...trimester of a woman...and she delicately balances the roll of the man and the woman saying that the major thing is the woman is the one with the child and she ought to make the primary decision. And, secondly she's gone on to respect what most people have been talking about all year and that is the right of the unborn and she has added the word fetus which is really important. And, the other thing it's responded to the ...ah..experience that many of us have had and I have the same thing, my wife and I have RH incompatability and we had the prospect with our last daughter of considering an abortion not for the purpose of ending life but for the purpose of saving life.



And I'm sorry to see all of those red lights which indicate I don't think people really understand the importance of this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 81 'aye' votes and (unintelligible)... 'nay votes and this Bill having... for what reason does the Lady from Cook arise, certainly not postponement..?"

Catania: "May I have Postponed Consideration, please?"

Speaker Murphy: "Oh, my dear God,...I hear no objection, the Lady may have Postponed Consideration."

Catania: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "House Bill 1822....."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1822, Walters, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker,"

Speaker Murphy: "Madison, I'm sorry, Representative Walters."

Walters: "I believe it may be that I have to answer (tape failure...unintelligible)...I think it's a little bit different than the last one, I hope the vote's a little bit different also. 1822 is a simple Bill that you've received some mail on I believe and the thrust of this Bill is, we in the State of Illinois declare it to be the States public policy that human life commences at conception of pregnancy and that this human life is a person within the meaning of the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution. This Bill will protect all unborn human life throughout this development subordinate only to the saving of the life of the mother. House Bill 1822 will provide equal protection of the law to the unborn in the State of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to read a couple small quotes from Modern Medicine, May issue, May the 14th, 1973 '33,000 doctors spoke out on abortion', and some of the



quotes were as follows, very simply, 'a 42 year old pediatrician said 'it is totally incongruous...in the same hospital a team of medical personnel focuses all its expertise to save a...premature or immature infant. While in the next suit....next suite a fetus ...non-person, non-human being perhaps more mature and having a better chance of survival is tossed into an 'OB' bucket.' The second quote that was in the Chicago Tribune the other day was by a 57 year old general practitioner who said and quote, 'I would no more consider destroying a fetus than I would a Supreme Court Justice! I can't even imagine how any medical doctor who has ever held a four week old fetus in his hand doesn't see that it is a human being.' The last quote I'd like to read is a typical reaction...came from a doctor who was in favor of the Supreme Court Decision but he commented. 'I feel that to have a child born without continuous ..maternal care or father or family upbringing is an injustice to the child, still I fear that legalized abortion opens the door to further government control of life itself.' One of the problems that we have had with this Bill in discussing with various Members is the Constitutionality. Does this Bill adhere to the Constitution of the United States with the new Supreme Court decision? I'd like to refer to you the Attorney General of the State of Missouri, he happens to be an ordained Episcopalian minister. He was taken to task by the recent article in the Saint Louis Post Dispatch and I'd like to read to you his answers to the Saint Louis Post Dispatch about Constitutionality. He said quote 'Every member of the Missouri Bar subscribes to an oath that he will present no defense to a court which he does not believe to be honestly debatable under the law of the land. As in the case whenever the Constitutionality of the Missouri Statute is challenged in the courts it is the duty of the Attorney General to defend the suit. This would be true regardless of his personal views on the Statutes.The..



Constitutionality of the Missouri Anti-abortion Statute which has been in litigation since 1970, I am a defendant in this litigation. I have defended the Statutes to the best of my ability and I have never raised any point which I do not believe honestly debatable.' Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, he goes on to say, 'In the Texas and Georgia cases were decided in some fashion without medical evidence being presented on whether the unborn child is a human life. Therefore, the Supreme Court of the United States did not and could not resolve the question, given the posture of those cases. It is my legal position that if a medical evidence can be produced and I believe it can, showing that an unborn child is human life, the State has a compelling interest in protecting life.' I do not believe it is unethical for an attorney to exhaust every legal remedy available to him, to press every debatable argument he has and to appeal the judgment of trial courts with which he takes issue. Instead it is my view that this is what our adversary system is all about, this is what our courts are for and I do not believe that an attorney should be asked to refrain from utilizing the judicial process because that process is time consuming or because his position may be unpopular or in conflict with a particular editorial policy. I would like to bring you up to date that in the United States Congress last week Senator James Buckley and 5 other United States Senators have introduced a Constitutional Amendment which says abortions except to save the life of the mother would end under the Constitutional Amendment proposed today by Senator Buckley of New York. The Amendment would establish that fetuses are persons entitled to Constitutional legal protection. In its recent decision on abortion the Supreme Court held that the fetus are not persons in the whole sense and that they do not ... possess the the capability of meaningful life. Buckley took issue with the courts finding and said the terms of



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the Amendment was specifically established that unborn children are persons within the meaning of the fifth and fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution. In closing may I ask that a month ago or so we passed out of this House House Resolution 113 by Representative Robert Dunne, which asked Congress to do the same thing that Senator Buckley has undertaken and that received 120 green votes. Now, I say to you Ladies and Gentlemen of this House in Illinois it's our turn to tell the Federal Courts in Illinois that we in Illinois, in the House of Representatives believe in the protection of the unborn in Illinois and I ask for your green light. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lemke."

Lemke: "Just to explain my vote. Quote a little poetry from the 'Nirod', the Bohemian Catholic paper. Entitled, 'To the Members of the U. S. Supreme Court who Decreed that Murder is Legal'.

ABORTION

When you legalize abortion
 I just thought what might have been,
 If abortion had been legal back in 1610;
 Let us turn histories pages
 and assume that way back when,
 Abortion was the legal way
 to murder unborn men.
 Think of Washington and Lincoln
 Think of Jefferson and Lee,
 What if Nixon's folks decided
 Richard Nixon shouldn't be.
 Think again of your own Mother,
 And you nestled in her womb,
 And they suddenly decided



Let abortion seal your doom.
 When I read about abortion
 In my heart I wonder why
 You can't vote and so determine
 That an unborn child should die.
 Christ, the Son of God, the Father,
 Who was conceived and only He,
 May decide if a child shall perish
 Lest God's word be yours to decree.' "

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explanation of my vote. Some years ago when my father was in the hospital and laboring under the tedium of the circumstances and quite bored, a nurse was trying to cheer him up and so she suggested a conundrum, and she said, 'What has four wheels and flies; !....and barks like a dog?' He couldn't figure it out and when she finally told him it was a garbage truck that has four wheels and flies, he was puzzled that it barked like a dog and shehe asked her why did she put it in and he said, she said, 'just to make it hard'. My point is, you shouldn't be looking at every-one of these Bills on this complicated subject to be a total answer. I voted for a referendum because I said I think the court was....wrong and the people had a right to speak I think the last Bill that was before us is the best Bill. The last one before this was the best of all these Bills because of the complex effort to respond to the court, and I think that this Bill has a very ...good purpose to test the Supreme Court decision and developmental decision which it did not make and that is, when does a life start? And as long as they continue to avoid that subject, this Legislature has the necessity to make them test it under a court decision."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton



is recognized."

Epton: "Well, I'm just....I'm just wondering, Mr. Speaker, why when Mr. Lemke, Representative Lemke got up and read that poem, why 37 Democratic lights went off...green lights went off when he got to Nixon?"

Speaker Murphy: "On this question, on this question there are 101 'ayes', and 24 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1655. Is Representative Hart on the Floor? Hart? Yes."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1655, an Act to amend the Coal Mining Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Hart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill would....Bill would provide that the situation of political contributions by State Mine Inspectors is a misdemeanor instead of a felony as the Act now provides. There is no other Department in the State with such restrictions and this will be more in line with thewhat should be. The Amendment that was put on the Bill would open up the position of Member of the Mine Examining Board so that some of those that have been laid off due to the mine closings could be eligible. I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', and 2 'nays' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Berman 'aye'. Representative Porter 'aye'. House Bill 1673."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1673, an Act relating to the location and construction and modification of water wells and so forth, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative



Sangmeister is recognized."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the present law of the State of Illinois, we have two Acts, one Act relates to location, construction and modification of water wells. We have another Act which relates to the installation of water well pumps and equipment. These two Acts are obviously very closely related. The Illinois Department of Public Health has come to the conclusion they have to both be under one Act. It was presented to the Committee and it was passed 18 to nothing and it merely puts the two Acts together. It is also agreed to by the Department of Registration and Education with a small Amendment they put on it. I know of no objections and request your favorable vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Flinn is recognized."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Sangmeister: "Surely."

Flinn: "Thethe present Acts....the way they are presently written as opposed to your proposal here, Representative Sangmeister?"

Sangmeister: "Well, the reasons given by the Illinois Department of Public Health is that it will provide for the right of entry for inspection purposes, locate full time health departments may be designated as agents for the Department in making inspections and investigations....and further that authority is granted the Department to give written notice of violation to owners or persons involved to abate violations and to require abatement of violations. In other words to put some teeth into the Act as well."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller is recognized."

Miller: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question or two?"

Speaker Murphy: "Yes."

Miller: "Up in my area, Sir, we have many shallow wells. The



type in whichah...you can drive down, what is called a sand point and get good water at say 20 to 25 feet. Now, we're having real difficulty in this area because plumbers cannot put down that type of a point in my County. Now, so they have.....unless they have a special license, now, under this proposal, can a plumber or a person who is authorized to construct or...ah...authorized to put in a pump under this new proposal, is he now authorized under the same license to drive a point down like I described?"

Sangmeister: "Well, as I see the Act, there is nothing Representative Miller that changes the prior law in regard to that at all. So I really don't know the answer to your question, but if they were underah...an obligation before or regulation, they are still underneath it, this doesn't change it any. So, whatever the situation was before will not be changed by this Act. I'll talk to you about this in a little bit."

Miller: "All right."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion?"

Sangmeister: "I would like to clarify.....Representative

Miller: Is he asking me to take this out of the record?"

Miller: "No."

Sangmeister: "Okay."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Will may close the debate."

Sangmeister: "I just ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye'.....those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Shea 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 130 'ayes' and no 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1313.



1.

Murphy: "House Bill 1313."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1313. Duff. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to notices. Third Reading of the Bill."

Murphy: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff is recognized."

Duff: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1313 responds to a serious doubt that home rule units, which include many cities and villages in the State, as well as the County of Cook, could legally avoid publication requirements, by simply requesting an ordinance to delete, alter or water down such publications, thereby denying citizens within those units of their right to know. You'll agree, I'm sure, that if any of these units decide to tamper with matters of public interest, the human cry would be forthcoming in short order. However, since the New Constitution contains the phrase 'powers and functions of home rule units shall be construed literally' it is in the public interest to be absolutely certain that their right to know is not impaired. Real estate assessment lists, personal property lists, changes in real estate assessments, delinquent real estate taxes, delinquent special assessment lists, notices of zoning hearings, bid notices, local election notices, referenda, bond issues, condemnation, eminent domain privileges, etc. are just too important to tamper with. Most important, House Bill 1313 does nothing to add to the publications requirements. It does not increase rates. It does not increase costs. It does nothing that is not being done now. A citizen in a home rule village, city or county, should not be a second class citizen. His right to know should be equal to that of any citizen in the state. Publication laws not in the Statutes were put there by Legislators from every corner in Illinois. Over a period of 155 years and after much deliberation. This legacy belongs to the State Legislature and should stay here. House Bill 1313 is supported by the Illinois Press Association, the Taxpayers Federation of Illinois, the Cook County Suburban Publishers Association and all accredited Chicago newspapers. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Murphy: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. Turn on Representative Shea's light, will you please? Oh, he yields to Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



2.

this is a very excellent Bill, and I rise in support of it, because every newspaper in my district and many other districts in the State of Illinois are in absolute agreement with every part of this Bill. They want this Bill. They need the Bill. All of the Chicago newspapers are in favor of it, and I suggest you give it a green light, because this is one of the finest newspaper bills to come out of the General Assembly since I've been here for seven years."

Murphy: "Any further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, how many votes does it take to pass this Bill?"

Murphy: "Ah...your question was how many votes on this? 89."

Shea: "Since it is a limitation on home rule units, could the Speaker please, for the purposes of this record, enunciate to me why it takes only 89 votes and not a three-fifths majority?"

Murphy: "Under Article III of Section 6, Article VII, Section 6, 'because it is granting concurring powers' and not under Section C where it is limiting or denying powers, so it takes 89 votes."

Shea: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I respectfully disagree with your ruling, and will file a written protest."

Murphy: "That is your privilege. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "I simply want a clarification of your Ruling, Mr. Speaker. Now, under what Section are your Ruling that this takes only 89 votes?"

Murphy: "Under Article VII, Section 6I."

Washington: "Section 6I, which provides home rule units exercise and perform concurrently."

Murphy: "That is correct."

Washington: "And where Legislation permits that then it is not a violation or infringement upon the home rule unit."

Murphy: "It is not a denial or a limitation."

Washington: "And that's in keeping with the previous ruling by the Speaker on a Bill which he handled himself?"

Murphy: "It's in keeping with a Ruling I'm making now at least."

Washington: "Thank you."

Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook may close the debate."



3.

Duff: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill which does protect the public and its right to know. It does equalize and make the right of the home rule units and all others in the State the same. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Murphy: "The Question is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 123 'ayes', and 4 'nays', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."



Speaker Murphy: "House Bill 1211."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1211, Hyde, a Bill for an Act to amend the Savings and Loan Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde is recognized."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is the product of the Commissioner on Savings and Loans and his Staff and it is an effort to provide a fund out of which to ...ah...reimburse and to protect ...ah...depositors who have lost their life savings in savings and loans that go bankrupt or through criminal activity or otherwise and I am speaking of the City Savings and Loan, depositors lose their life savings and what the Bill does and it is complicatedah...right now most savings and loans in the State are Mutual Savings and Loans and that means that their earnings and the funds deposited are the only moneys that they have to deal with and to make loans. Now, if they can convert into Stock Associations they then may sell stock and add to the money available to them in their operation. This is very desirable. Now, the Federal Government has had a moratorium on this type of conversion from a mutual to a stock company and it is anticipated this moratorium will soon be raised and it will then be most advantageous economically for Savings and Loans to transfer from mutual to stock associations. Now, what this Bill does is provide a tax of 10 percent on the fair market value of the stocks that will be issued to the depositors in a schedule ...scheduled order and this tax will go into a special fund to reimburse people whose savings have been wiped out because of defalcation and the defaults of savings and loans that.....did not have insurance previously. Now you know the Federal Court's Judge Robson, has entered an order finding that the State was negligentah..in the administration and supervision of the records of the City Savings and really the...ah....ah...the possibility of a judgment being entered against the State is



very real. What this does is provide a fund out of which these people can be paid. It really is simple justice.... ah....and I would urge your support for this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "There is a note that a Fiscal Note is applicable. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir."

Maragos: "Henry, what will this do regarding the State's ah....ah....as far as administrative costs go? If any?"

Hyde: "Sam, I honestly don't know and I recognize that it will require personnel to administer and it will require the expenditure of some funds. Now, ...ah...the Secretary of State's Office is interested in this as well as the Commissioner of Savings and Loans and I could only say that this aspect of it is going to be discussed at length in the Senate and it was their recommendation to pass it out of the House and that problem would be dealt with in the Senate."

Maragos: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Mugalian: "Ah...Representative Hyde, I...I...understand what this Bill does is"

Speaker Murphy: ".....Let's have a little quiet so that the Gentlemen can hear."

Mugalian: "I understand this Bill essentially provides for the conversion of a mutual savings and loan which is about the only kind we have in the State, to a Stock Company. Is that correct?"

Hyde: "Ah....That's correct."

Mugalian: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not the question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting



'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Maragos is present. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', and 5 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. And one 'present'. Anybody that has any Bills they want called now they should come up and tell the Chair so we can call their Bill. House Bill 1576."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1576, Hyde, amends the Savings and Loan Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde is recognized."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the second part of the Commissioner of Savings and Loans approach to the problem of restoring ...ah...depositors in State Institutions whose life savings were wiped out, through negligence...and ...ah...through maybe worse in the supervision and administering of these savings and loans. Now, as you may know, abandoned property, money and other tangible assets that are left in a savings and loan over a period of some 15 years are then deemed to be abandoned and they are then transferred to the State Retirement.... State Employees Employment Fund. This Bill cuts the period of time down to 7 years instead of 15 ...ah...that they are considered to be abandoned, it provides a formula or procedure by which depositors may reclaim their assets if they in fact weren't abandoned ...ah...and then it says that after this period....ah...the property will be transferred to this fund that I spoke of in connection with the last Bill ...ah..out of which the depositors will be repaid their lost savings. Now, it is estimated the first year this would produce about \$500,000 and then every year thereafter about \$100,000. While it does take away from the State Employees Retirement Fund....ah...this money....ah... at the same time it is the responsibility of this Legislature to fund the State Employees Retirement Fund and this



provides a source from savings and loans assets ...ah...to pay back savings and loans depositors. I don't think it will make any substantial impact on the State Employees Retirement Fund and it to is a method whereby people who have been wiped out because of negligence of the State to ...ah...to achieve simple justice by getting some of their money back. This is a very small procedure but nevertheless it is some progress toward restoring these people and I would urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer. "Mr. G"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir."

Griesheimer: "Henry, I was wondering ...ah...who makes the determination as to negligence on the State's part in these cases? I've heard a great deal said about the city savings and loan situation ...that the State was negligent. I've yet to see any law suit make the determination. Negligence as I understand it is civil determination by the court. I just don't see why the State of Illinois should just on... be accused ...ah...of being negligent and therefore have to pay without a full civil hearing on it."

Hyde: "Well the answer to that is twofold. First of all there was an extensive investigation of this situation by the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission and they have filed a very detailed report and the pages of that fairly bristle with examples of, let's say, ineptitude....ah...ah. on the part of State Officials who were supervising the savings and loan. But moreover....ah...ah....Ron, the Federal court has made that determination, Judge Irwin Robson, in a suit before him ...ah...found that the State was negligent and liable. The problem with that is ...ah. its broad sweeping...ah..impact....the Attorney General is hoping to narrow that judgment to just the city savings and loan situation but the fact is a Federal Judge has made a



specific finding of negligence on the part of the State. Our problem is, how do we restore these? And, there is a Bill pending for direct appropriation out of General Revenue that's one way, but this way...ah...provides another way that won't impair General Revenue. This provides a small fund out of savings and loan's assets or assets deposited in savings and loan to start repaying some of these people and I won't burden you with some of their stories of pensions and life savings wiped out."

Griesheimer: "Are there any other precedence in any of the other 50 states where the state guarantees the deposits in savings and loans?"

Hyde: "No, and I don't think that's a fair statement. The State isn't guaranteeing these deposits. But there is a substantial...there's substantial evidence and a judicial finding of State culpability...in not watching very carefully the operations of this savings and loan. People rely on the legend on the door 'under State supervision', to their great loss in this case."

Speaker Murphy: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Henry, ...ah...will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir."

Shea: "I....I didn't...ah...I was going to ask you in the last Bill but I wanta make sure I understand it. The.....a....ah...money to create this fund is a premium ...ah..tax on savings and loans? "

Hyde: "No, Sir. This is this is a money first....."

Shea: "No....no....no....in the last Bill."

Hyde: "The last Bill would be a tax on the fair market value of stock to be issued by a savings and loan when it converts from a mutual to a stock association."

Shea: "All right, now. Is there a limitation on that fund?"

Hyde: "No, Sir. And, that ...a...ah...is one of the problems with it which I...I...have stated. I'm sure the Senate



will address itself to because ...ah...I imagine when we bring our laws up to date to require ...ah...insurance on these savings and loan, the need for this will no longer exist. So I don't view this as an ongoing thing at all, I think there should be a cutoff date or ~~someway~~ of ...ah... ah...altering this fund when the need for ^{it}/~~no~~ longer exists."

Shea: "All right, now, does this operate just prospectively or both prospectively and retrospectively?"

Hyde: "Well, the Bill operates prospectively ...ah...in the ... in its...in gathering the assets. However, it's my expectation and understanding that the people entitled to claim from the fund will include city savings."

Shea: "And you expect this Bill then to createah...about \$500,000 in the first year and \$100,000 a year thereafter."

Hyde: "Those are the figures given to me by Commissioner Lanagan and his counsel Mr. Palmer. "

Shea: "All right, but....and then what in effect we're going to have to do is make up that money someplace along the line. Is that correct?"

Hyde: "Well, not necessarily. I...I...I ..really don't know where we're going to get the money....ah...that Judge Robson has said the State owes these people, but this is a start. This will create a fund....ah...and it won't come out of General Revenue at all it comes out of assets deposited and abandoned in savings and loan associations. The other Bill will provide a fund if and when the Federal Government lifts the moritorium. Now, what the take will be on that, Jerry, I don't know. Nobody knows. Ah...it's justah...something you'll have to look at and I'm sureah...modify once it gets started."

Shea: "Yeah, what I'm saying is though, \$500,000 that this will take in the first year of operation will have to be made up by this General Assembly out of General Revenue.... ah...with regards to the State Pension, is that right?"

Hyde: "Well, I don't see that at all. I think the State



Retirement Fundah...the funding for that isah... the responsibility of the General Assembly, that's true. It's 500,000 that the fund won't get, butah....these abandoned properties that go into that fund seems to me an equally justifiableclaim for them would be people who have lost their savings because of the negligence of State Officials in supervising savings and loans. I don't say that was the only reason they lost their money. There was criminal activity. But, we've been wrestling, Jerry, for years with with....."

Shea: "Henry, I was on the crime commission with you.....I'm just trying to ...ah...get this thing in perspective for myself and maybe some of the other Members of the House.. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. Any further discussion...The question is....Oh! The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller is recognized."

Miller: "One question of the Sponsor. It has to do with abandoned funds in buildings or savings and loan."

Hyde: "Right."

Miller: "Does this include Federal Savings and Loans?"

Hyde: "No, Sir. We have no jurisdiction over them."

Miller: "Well, there is some in the banking area and I just wanted to be sure, Thank you very much."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Representative Hyde, what constitutes an abandoned depository account?"

Hyde: "It's spelled out in the Bill....ah....but primarily where there's been no activity whatsoever for 15 years, now it's reduced to 7.Ah....But there are many protections requiring notifications and ah...that sort of thing. If somebody has deposited money and ah...for 7 years there has been no activity in the account there are many procedures of safeguard so that your money won't be



preempted if you want to do it. It is technical and complicated but the Bill does cover that."

Hoffman: "If these procedures are implemented as far as establishing.....ah.....where these people are....that the accounts are drawn on, and the funds are turned into the commission and utilized for the purpose of (unintelligible) What recourse does the depositor have?"

Speaker Murphy: "Are we through the debate?"

Hyde: "Yeah, there is recourse, sure."

Speaker Murphy: "All right."

Hyde: "The Gentleman, I couldn't understand him, Sir. The Gentleman asked what recourse the depositor would have after 8 years and there is adequate recourse in the...the...the claim....ah...ah...simply files the claim with the Department and the Department ...ah....it takes several years after the 7 years to process this through. So, I would say it would take a good 10 years before your claim would.....you would not have a claim...But I can assure you there is adequate safeguard in here and I'll hand you the Bill to look at, to protect a person who for 7 years maybe did not have any activity in his account. There's only a presumption of abandonment at the end of 7 years and there is a simple way to get your money back if in fact it has not been abandoned."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Hyde, have you concluded your closing debate? All right. The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Choate 'aye'. Representative Grotberg 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 7 'nays', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Caldwell 'aye'. Representative Hoffman.....wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Now, has anybody else got any House Bills....ah...



that they wish called? If you don't call them now, hope you understand they're probably going to die.....if you don't call 'em. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, I sent up House Bills 1532 and 1640."

Speaker Murphy: "House Bill 1532."

Schoeberlein: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and...."

Speaker Murphy: "Just a minute, 'til it is read, Sir."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1532, an Act in relation to the payment of wages due nongovernmental employees, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1532 establishes the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act. It will permit the Director of Labor to assist employees in the collection of wages and wage supplements.....fringe benefits ... due them up to 1000 per employee, where the employer is improperly withholding the amount. Now, this Bill passed the House two years ago 138 to 4 and it was one of the Bills that was placed in the bottom drawer somewhere and....ah... the Senate never took action upon it. This is to help the employee who needs help, who perhaps doesn't have money for....."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Schoeberlein: "Certainly."

Jaffe: "Does this now mean that the State can get into the wage assignment business?"

Schoeberlein: "No, it does not. It may...assistah..... an employee in the collection of money."

Jaffe: "If.....if someone ...if someone now wants.....as evidence



the State Employee, they cannot levy a wage assignment nor can they levy against their salary, at the present time, that's correct, is it not?"

Schoeberlein: "Yes."

Jaffe: "Under this Bill they would be able to do it, is that not correct?"

Schoeberlein: "The Director may.....assist the employee."

Jaffe: "I don't understand what that means?"

Schoeberlein: "He has investigatory powers and to assist the employee to get the money from the from the...ah..... (unintelligible) he is continuously taking somebody. It isn't (unintelligible), General Electric, or Western Electric or anyone like that. It's the chiseler we're after."

Jaffe: ".....It seems to me ...unless I'm vastly mistaken and you haven't answered my question, so I think that probably I'm ...correct. I think that this puts the State into the wage assignment business wherein we....we were not in that business before hand. A little while ago we passed on a Bill to do away with wage assignments and now what you are telling us in essence ...that the State has the right to get into the wage assignment business. Now, unless you can convince me that that's incorrect, I think that that is correct and I think that this Bill is then a bad Bill. And, I think that we should vote against it....because you haven't answered my question."

Schoeberlein: "Well, I'll try and answer the best of ability. The...ah....ah...Director has the authority to take the case to court where the employer refuses to pay as ordered by him."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. On this question, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Barry 'aye'. I couldn't recognize you over there, Sam. Ah....McGrew, 'aye'. That right? Have all voted who wish? Representative Emil Jones 'aye'. The Clerk will



take the record. Telcser 'aye'. On this question there are 98 'ayes' and 12 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.

Now, we just have an announcement, the word has come in... that the Ball Game will be played tonight."

Floor: "Yeah.....yeah....."

Speaker Murphy: "Not the best of conditions but they say it's tolerable. Ah....the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan is recognized."

Houlihan: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, are we calling Bills on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Murphy: "No, I don't believe we'll reach that order of business tonight. But, you're recognized for what ever you 'wanta'."

Houlihan: "I'd like to make a Motion."

Speaker Murphy: "What is your Motion?"

Houlihan: "My Motion is to reconsider the vote which 1791.... ah...was defeated. It was a Bill that came off Saturday, I voted on the prevailing side but I've ...ah...in examining theboth the context of the Bill and also the Roll Call, notice that there were quite a few people that were not here, that were unable to vote. I figure that is is an important Bill and I therefore move to reconsider that vote."

Speaker Murphy: ".....The Gentleman has made the motion to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 1791 was defeated. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh is recognized."

Walsh: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I raise the question as to whether this Motion is timely?"

Speaker Murphy: "Timely.....in what fashion, Representative Walsh? If he wishes to waive ...ah...Rule 64, because the motion wasn't filed in time, he can do that. It will still take 89 votes."

Walsh: "Well, let's see, are you suggesting, Mr. Speaker, that ...ah...he would suspend the provisions of Rule 64?"



Speaker Murphy: "Possibly he might have to do that in order to make this motion.....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I think he asked for leave of the House. Is there objection?"

Speaker Murphy: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett is recognized."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, he asked if there was objection, and I think there are objections, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Murphy: "Objections, yes. All right....the Gentleman...
....ah.."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, as I understand it then I should move to suspend the appropriate Rule?"

Speaker Murphy: "Rule 64, yes.....64-A...if you wish..."

Houlihan: "64-A."

Speaker Murphy: "Do you make that motion?"

Houlihan: "I move to suspend that appropriate Rule, ..64-A."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. The Gentleman has moved to.....
suspend Rule 64-A. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman is recognized."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, is this Bill still within our control?"

Speaker Murphy: "Yes, it is."

Schlickman: "Oh, I'm sorry, thank you...."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is on the motion to suspend Rule 64-A. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from McHenry is recognized. Representative Skinner. Oh....All right, for what reason the Gentleman from Cook arise again? Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we..ah....suspend 64-A, how then will the Gentleman be able to reconsider the vote?"

Speaker Murphy: "Because in Rule 64-A, you're suspending the fact that he had to make that motion or file a motion on that day."

Juckett: "If we thenif we then suspend....he has no right



to reconsider it. Because that is the rule to reconsider."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the Gentleman didn't state his motion correct. He wanted to move to amend the appropriate partial portion of 64-A, relating to timeliness."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rule that ...ah...I would ...ah"

Speaker Murphy: "We aren't ruling anything, Mr. Juckett. ... Proceed. Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "I would suggest that he should follow the rules of House if he is going to Amend, and that would require submitting it to the House Rules Committee, or going through the procedure of the Rules."

Speaker Murphy: "He is not Amending, he is suspending. All right, the question is on the motion to suspend ...the appropriate portion...the partial portion of Rule 64-A. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan is recognized."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, let me explain to you the Bill in explaining my vote for suspending thisthis Rule so that we can reconsider it. I think it is a very important Bill and it amends the Act concerning compensation for County officers. If we are to have efficient and proper County officers elected. I think we ought to keep pace with some of the raises in inflation that deal with these officers. I think that this...that this Bill ought to have a fair hearing by all the Members of the House and not just give the Members who were present on Saturday. I believe it's so important that we ought to suspend the Rule to give it an up or down vote today."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Knox is recognized. Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to make a Parliamentary Inquiry. It seemed to me that he was speaking to the Bill not to his motion."

Speaker Murphy: "Well, he is completed now...Representative



Hill is recognized.....The Gentleman from Kane."

Hill: " Mr. Speaker, I was going to explain to the Gentleman, how these people can get a raise. He was talking to the Bill and I think I should elaborate on that. Being from Chicago he probably doesn't understand...."

Speaker Murphy: "We're voting on the motion, now...Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I'll get to it later....."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Yourell, 'aye'. On this question there are 90 'ayes' and 32 'nays', this motion having received theah...Majority....is hereby....that Rule is hereby declared partially suspended....and ...ah...for the Gentleman's purpose. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan." For what reason the Gentleman from McHenry rise....Representative Skinner?"

Skinner: "I think I may have a Point of Order. Doesn't this require 107 votes?"

Speaker Murphy: "No, 89 votes."

Skinner: ".....Majority....are you sure?"

Speaker Murphy: "That's correct. For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson arise?"

Rayson: "A point of inquiry. It seems like when you are on the order of Third Reading and you ask leave to go to another order it requires 107 votes."

Speaker Murphy: "The Speaker can change order at any time that he wishes to do so. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan is recognized."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, having suspended that Rule I believe now we ought to have a discussion of the Bill for everyone's benefit. I understand that Jack Hill wants to educate me on a few matters. And, I would like toah....have leave to have Representative Boyle who is the Cosponsor of this Bill to explain him the details of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, now, if the man is going to make



a motion, don't you want the motion....."

Houlihan: "Yeah, I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I now move to reconsider that vote. I was getting a little ahead of myself."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, now, the Gentleman's motion now is to reconsider the vote by which 1791 failed. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner is recognized."

Skinner: "A point of Parliamentary Inquiry. Before the vote is announced, could you tell us how many votes it takes?"

Speaker Murphy: "89 votes."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? All right, the Clerk will take the record. All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I'm sorry I was not here Saturday but I think this is a good piece of Legislation because it needs to get a minimum standard. And if we're trying to get the best quality people we have to pay 'em the prime price. We always want an increase in our salaries, I don't see why these individuals should not also be properly motivated and given a good jump. So I therefore ask for the minimum in this area should be brought up. And I ask for your support and a couple more green lights on this....."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain to these.....the Chicago Democrats.... that the Board of Supervisors in all of these downstate counties can raise these salaries by a vote of the Board of Supervisors. What we are going to do with this Bill here is to just forget about the taxpayers in these counties and say that these county officers are going to get a raise regardless of those elected officials that serve on the County Boards in the various counties. Now, you just don't understand this and I think that a 'no' vote is proper."



Speaker Murphy: "Representative Lauer, 'aye'. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle is recognized."

Boyle: "Well, in explaining my vote in answering the distinguished Representative Jack Hill, ...ah...as a practical matter there is no question that the board can but in most of the downstate counties, particularly the smaller counties now the county boards always pay the minimum and they refuse to pay the maximum. And, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these minimums have not been changed since 1967 and they can't go into effect during the term of office until 1975. During this period of time the cost of living index has gone up 42 percent. And, this House passed House Bill 723....ah...on May the 24th 1973 of this year, which raised the minimum for sheriffs to \$17,000. Now, I submit to this House that it's clearly unfair to have sheriffs being paid \$17,000 as the minimum, we raised it for the sheriffs, we did it for the sheriffs....and..and not for the other county officials. You're going to have a situation in Illinois where you have sheriffs being paid \$17,000 and recorders being paid \$9,000. The circuit clerks being paid \$9,000. And, I certainly hope that some of those Members who are absent from the Roll Call would give us enough votes to reconsider this question where we can debate it further and ...and bring it out before the House because on Saturday when this Bill was voted on many of you weren't here and there weren't very many people on the floor at that time and I'd hope some of my friendsah...from Chicago and the northern part of the State where we supported Legislation beneficial to them would give us enough votes to get 89 so we could reconsider this question."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is absolutely the responsibility of the county



boards. The county elected officers back home will be scot free on this, they'll point their fingers at we Members down here and say to the supervisors, 'we didn't have anything to do with this'. It takes the responsibility off of the supervisors, they can tell the people back home, 'the Legislature did this, our hands are clean we can do nothing about it'. Now, if you want to take the heat for that, instead of the local elected officials, that's all right with me but I'm not waiting for this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "In explaining my vote I'd just like to answer Representative Boyle, who mentioned the Sheriff's Pay Billah...House Bill 723 that was passed out of here. Let me say that 723 was a bad Bill also. Just as bad or worse as House Bill 1791. Passing two bad Bills doesn't make one good pay situation for anybody. They're both bad Bills. 723 should have been defeated also."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, have all voted who wish? The Clerk is taking the record, all right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, before you announce the Roll Call I'd like to poll the absentees."

Speaker Murphy: "Request for polling the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Beatty,"

Speaker Murphy: "The Roll Call at this time is 85 'ayes', and 33 'nays'."

Clerk Selcke: "Beatty, Bluthardt,..."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Bluthardt, 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Borchers, Capparelli, Carter, Catania, ...ah.. she wants to vote 'no'....Clabaugh,....Clabaugh 'aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Clabaugh 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Collins, Davis, Day, ..."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Day, 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Deavers, Ralph Dunn, Fary, Garmisa, Granata,



Griesheimer, Harpstrite, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, ..."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Hoffman votes 'no'. Representative Ron Hoffman."

Clerk Selcke: "D. Houlihan, Huskey..."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?.....How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Murphy: "Not voting. Representative Houlihan 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Houlihan 'aye'.....Let's see, Lechowitz...."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster...."

McMaster:. "I would just like to point out that following this we are going to ask to varify the affirmative vote. I hate to take the time of the House but...ah.I think that what...the procedure we're going through is a little unorthodox."

Speaker Murphy: "I hope that no one is voting anyone elses switches because I agree with Representative McMaster. Let's vote the ones on the floor and save ourselves time. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Let's see, where did I quit at....Huskey, Kempiners..."

Speaker Murphy: "How is Representative Huskey recorded? Not voting."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Murphy: "How does he wish to vote?'No'..... Representative Huskey, 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kempiners..."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Please record me as voting 'no' on this motion."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Kempiners, 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kent, Klosak, Kozubowski,....."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kozubowski...."



Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Murphy: "As not voting."

Kozubowski: "Record me 'aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Kozubowski is voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski,...."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Kriegsman, 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kucharski, Leinenweber, Matijevich,"

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Matijevich 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "McCourt, Molloy, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick,
Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rigney, Rose,
Schneider, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Timmony Simms, Ike Sims,
Springer, Stiehl, Telcser,"

Speaker Murphy: "Ah....Representative Springer....where did he
go.....the Gentleman from Randolph...Representative

Springer: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Springer, 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Stiehl, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, J. J. Wolf,
B. B. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Beatty is recognized....How is the Gentleman recorded?
Representative John Beatty?"

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Beatty: "Would you vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman votes 'aye'. The Gentleman from
Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, do you have how much time we've already
spent on this issue?"

Speaker Murphy: "No, you can keep that, Mr...."

Juckett: "You seem to be good on that on other issues."

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk. That is a thought. There
are 92 'ayes', and 39 'nays', on this issue....and the
Gentleman from Knox is recognized ...Representative
McMaster."

McMaster: "Did you say 92 'ayes'?"

Speaker Murphy: "92 'ayes', yes, Sir."



McMaster: "Then I'm going to ask for a verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the Clerk, the Members will be in their seats and the Clerk will proceed to verify the affirmative vote."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arrigo, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Campbell, Capuzi, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Cox, Craig, Day, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fleck, Flinn, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Hanahan, Hart, Jimmy Holloway, D. L. Houlihan, J. M. Houlihan, Hyde, Jacobs, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan Lundy,"

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Lundy...Representative from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "I assume since my name was called I'm on the affirmative Roll Call, would you change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Murphy: "Change the Gentleman's vote from 'aye', to 'no'.....Representative from Cook, Representative Totten is recognized."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, Point of order, would you please ask the Members on the affirmative Roll Call...to be in their seatsit is difficult with them all in the aisle."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, will the Gentlemen please be in their seats for the verification. Now that's one of the rules of the House. Let's be in our seats."

Clerk Selcke: "Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Martin, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Murphy, Nardulli, Peters, Redmond, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Springer, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Tip sword, VonBoeckman, Wall, Washburn, Washington, Williams, Yourell."

Speaker Murphy: "For what reason the Representative from Lake,



Representative Pierce arise?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Pierce: "Please vote me 'aye' on this excellent motion."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Pierce is 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute now...."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, now, the Gentleman from Knox,
Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah...Mr.Beatty."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Beatty is in his chair....
Right here, he is in his chair."

McMaster: "Beaupre?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

McMaster: "Representative Deuster?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Deuster on the Floor? How
is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call.....Repres-
entative Deuster has just came on the floor, put him back
on."

McMaster: "Representative Campbell?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Campbell on the Floor? How
is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Representative Kennedy?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Kennedy on the Floor? How
is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Ah...Representative LaFleur?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative LaFleur on the Floor?"

Unknown: "He left."

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Representative Londrigan?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

McMaster: "Representative Martin?"

Speaker Telcser: "She is in her seat."

McMaster: "McAvoy?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAvoy is in the aisle."

McMaster: "Redmond?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Redmond on the Floor? How is
the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Representative VonBoeckman?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative VonBoeckman on the Floor?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye".

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call.....Wait, here
is VonBoeckman, put him back on."

McMaster: "Representative Taylor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Taylor is in his seat."

McMaster: "Ah.....Representative Murphy?"

Speaker Telcser: "He just walked back to the Speakers Office,
do you want to.....leave him on the Roll Call."

Unknown: "You gotta be on the Floor to vote!"

McMaster: "Representative Brummet?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

McMaster: "Representative Alsup?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

McMaster: "Representative Keller?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Keller on the Floor? How is
the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Who else have we got? Representative Hanahan?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Hanahan on the Floor? How



is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call.....Wait a second

now, Representative Campbell has returned to the Floor.

Put him back on."

McMaster: "Representative Bradley?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Bradley on the Floor? How

is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Theah...Gentleman is recorded as voting

'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Representative Merlo?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Merlo is right here."

McMaster: "Representative Emil Jones....E. Jones?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Jones on the Floor? How

is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Laurino, Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is on the Floor, he is over here."

McMaster: "Representative Lauer, did we call him?"

Speaker Telcser: "Who?"

McMaster: "Lauer."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Lauer on the Floor? How

is the.....how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call.....Representative

Emil Jones has returned."

McMaster: "Representative Harold Washington?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is right here."

McMaster: "Representative Fary?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

McMaster: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Farley is on the Floor."



McMaster: "Did we.....I don't see Representative Deuster on the Floor here."

Speaker Telcser: "We verified him, he was on the Floor."

McMaster: "He was?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes he was."

McMaster: "Representative Springer?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Springer on the Floor? There he is back there."

McMaster: "Representative McAuliffe?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAuliffe on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Are there further questions....."

McMaster: "Did we get....ah...Representative McAvoy?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes we did."

McMaster: "McPartlin?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative McPartlin on the Floor? How was the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman was recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

McMaster: "Representative Nardulli?"

Speaker Telcser: "He is in his seat."

McMaster: "I think we've got enough. Would you give me a count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah.....just a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, have you completed the Affirmative questions, Representative McMaster?"

McMaster: "No, we haven't, but I think we've got enough, I hate to take up the time if we have enough.....Representative Hart?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, right now there are 84 'ayes', how many 'nays'?.....On this question there are 84 'ayes', 40 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion to reconsiderthe vote by which House Bill 1791 was defeated,



fails."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, we'll go back to a couple more House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 1640."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1640, an Act to amend Section 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 of Act to promote the public Health and comfort of persons employed by providing and so forth, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is another Bill that passed two years ago and was buried in the Senate. This Bill pertains to hours of labor, the part time worker and lunch periods and the temporary emergency employees and the hours of work and number of weeks in a year that can be declared emergency. Again this is one that is real helpful and the Department of Labor would like it and I would ask....ah... for your support for this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1640 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Telcser 'aye'. This question there are 93 'ayes', 2 'nays', Geo-Karis 'aye', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority Shea and McGrew, 'aye'. Why don't you come up to the Clerk.....is hereby declared passed. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Fleck: "I just rise to make an announcement, there has been a Page who has been walking up and down the aisle here for 20 minutes with two teas, lemon and sugar, and I'd like the Member who ordered that so he could take 'em off his hands and he could get back to his regular duties."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hunsicker: "Just like to ask the Sponsor a question, whether



this one days rest in seven is going to apply to the Members of the General Assembly?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, the Gentleman from Moultrie Representative Stone is recognized with respect to an Agreed Motion."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like to ask leave to waive the appropriate Rule so that Senate Bill 1176 may be advance to Second Reading without reference to Committee. This is a merely Bill, it merely appropriates \$25,000,000 to the Department of Revenue so thatah...the Department can continue to make income tax refunds. I've checked this with theah.. Majority....both the Majority and the Minority and I ... as far as I know there is no objection."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 31 so that Senate Bill 1176 can be put on the Order of Second Reading without reference. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Takes 107 votes. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, I'm not explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, but following up that announcement I'd like to observe that it was Representative Giddy Dyer who made the order for the ice tea and it seems with the ERA movement, you can't tell any of us apart."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "Just as an observation, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I suggest that Representative Fleck has been down here too long."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question...117 'ayes', 4 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Do you want to know.... Let's read the Bill a second time.....all rightone second....the Gentleman fromI'm sorry....read the Bill a first time....But first, the Gentleman from Cook,



Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker I wonder if I could interupt for just one moment to introduce the Father of Colleague Bob Dunne, Mr. Edward Dunne, who is in the rear Gallery, on the Republican side."

Clerk Selcke: "Do you want me to read the Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "Let's read that Senate Bill for the first time. Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

McGrew: "I would like to make the same motion with respect to Senate Bill 5....."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, now, wait a minute.....wait a second now. Let's read this Senate Bill a first time please."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1176, a Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation...Department of Revenue, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Representative McGrew..... Second Reading. Have you discussed this with Representative Walsh?"

McGrew: "No, but...."

Speaker Telcser: "I suggest you do that, Sir. You're not going to get the votes....Now, we're going back to House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 1030, is Representative Rayson on the Floor? Take that out of the record. House Bill 1314."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1314, Madigan, a Bill for an Act to Amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1314, Madigan, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Chicago



Sanitary Districtby statutory authorization possesses the power ..ah...of quick take condemnation for purposes of sewer projects. House Bill 1314 would extend this power for purposes of flood control projects. The usual due process requirements are set out in the Bill. An ordinance for the approval must be adopted by the board of trustees, Resolution scheduling the project must be adopted and lastly an ordinance must be adopted stating that the negotiations for the purchase of the land have ... proved to be unsuccessful. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1314 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Record Representative Robert Dunn as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Geo-Karis 'present'. Take the record. On this question there are 79 'ayes', 14 'ayes'...er... 14 'nays', Beatty 'aye'. Porter 'aye'. Pierce 'aye'. Well, let's take another Roll Call. If the Members will P L E A S E....use their switches. The question is, shall House Bill 1314 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Record Representative Robert Dunne and Representative Geo-Karis as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, we're going through this Bill real fast but I would like to suggest to the Members who are happily jumping on the band wagon that quick takes under the eminent domain provision is a rather dangerous precedent to set. And, we keep chip....chipping away and chipping away at the ...ah...safeguards for land owners....ah...in this eminent domain proceedings...pretty soon there is not going to be any safeguards left. I would suggest a no vote."



Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, by the looks of that board we are at it again. Voting people that are aren't here and I'd suggest they get off or go through another lengthy Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "That's a good point, I hope that the Members who are here are voting their own switches only. Because there will be a verification. Take the record...Take the record.....On this question there are 83 'ayes', 25 'nays'. Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Bluthardt: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Bluthardt: "You know, I've never voted for quick take before, this is the first time. We in Cook County and the suburban areas especially have one awful problem and that's with floods. I think that we ought to make an exception this time...I think we have an agency that has been directed toto....direct its attention to ...to the solving our flood conditions...they are not going to be able to do it without giving them the tools to do it with and I think in this instance we ought to support the sanitary district and give them quick take for flood control."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Record Representative Yourell as voting 'aye'....if he has not done so already.....you're already on 'Buz'. Is Arrigo on? Record Representative Arrigo as voting 'aye'. Does anyone else seek recognition? What have you got, Fred?"
Now, this....Representative Sims for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Simms: "Would you change that to 'aye', please?"



Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'.

Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote. This
this is a.....a Bill directly related to a very im-
 portant package of powers that was passed through this
 House at 4 o'clock in the morning in 1971, which gives
 enormous ability for the metropolitan sanitary district
 to go into the definition of sewage...sewers...and
 municipalities rights to conduct the loan activities and
 I think that in light of the discussions that have been
 going on in this House on land use and zoning and municipal
 controls....that this Bill is getting very...very....
 slight consideration considering the importance of it.
 And, I have never asked this but, I would think that it
 would be well if the Gentleman would take it out of the
 record for a while so some people might have a chance to
 peruse it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, record Representative
 McAuliffe as voting 'aye'. Now, this question.....
 Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mann: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Mann recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Mann: "Aye".

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Representative
 Peters, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Clerk Selcke: "Peters.....Piotrowicz."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, what is the count now?"

Speaker Telcser: "Right now there are 87 'ayes'."

Peters: "How am I voted, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Peters recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Peters: "Change it to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Change it to 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook
 Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there was a very serious



request that the Gentleman take this out of the record. It's awfully close, there'll be a verification and I don't think that the Bill can stand a verification. Ah...I repeat that request, would you take it out of the record, Mike, and let us look at it?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Be more than willing to do that Bill, but I would only request that we reconsider it today. Okay, take it out of the record."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 1976."

Clerk Selcke: "Add one onto that.....House Bill 1976, Day, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections 1 and so forth...an Act to revise the law in relation to construction of Statutes, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day.....Is the Gentleman on the Floor? Is Representative Day on the Floor?.....Take it out of the record. Now, are there any other Members who wish to have their Bills called on Third Reading? I see Rayson has House Bill 1030."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1030, an Act creating a Commission on Recycling and defining its powers and duties, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a Bill that creates a Commission on Recycling to study the reduction of wastes, establish efficient ways of collecting ...ah...facilities and recycling materials, particularly manned by a degradable productah...solid wastes..... and it has life support, I know of no opposition. I urge a good vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1030 pass? All those in favor signify



by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 83 'ayes', there is 3 'nays', Mann 'aye', Kuzubowski 'aye', Gee.....ah....I don't know why the Members can't be on their switches, their..... Farley 'aye', Caldwell 'aye', Jones 'aye', ..."

Clerk Selcke: ".....Jones?....."

Speaker Telcser: "Emil.....Simms 'aye'....."

Clerk Selcke: "Which Simms?....."

Speaker Telcser: "Timothy.....Simms, LaFleur 'aye',....."

Clerk Selcke: "LaFleur...."

Speaker Telcser: "LaFleur 'aye'.

Clerk Selcke: "Let's see...two, four, six..seven....yeah... yeah....we got..Brandt 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "McCornick 'aye'. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 3 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?" Representative Choate is in the back, I don't know, whose microphone are you on, Clyde?.....Representative Stedelin."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to introduce a very distinguished visitor, the wife of the President of Southern Illinois University, Mrs. David Pierce.....in the rear balcony, here."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 1692."

Clerk Selcke: "Which one?"

Speaker Telcser: "1692."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1692, Rayson, amends an Act relating to public utilities, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a Bill that says any utility companyah...that makes a rate application before the ICC, to first make an affirmative statement in the application that they've complied withah....State Policemen Control Centers ...or....."



...ah...if they haven't complied to show show good faith as to why they haven't complied. Now, this is not punitive at all to them, but is just an informational affirmative allegation to suggest that this should be a concern that might be germane to these kinds of proceedings. I urge a favorable vote."

MILLER IN CHAIR.....

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, in the Digest it says that this Bill is assigned to Interim Study Calendar, I'd like an explanation."

Rayson: "What was his question?"

Speaker Miller: "Did you have a question, Mr. Schraeder, I'm sorry."

Schraeder: "Yes, the Digest says that this was sent to a Study Committee."

Clerk Selcke: "Well, here it is right here."

Speaker Miller: "Well the Clerk has the Bill up here...on the Clerks records."

Rayson: "All right...."

Speaker Miller: "So I assume the Digest is incorrect. All right, is there further discussion? All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, I don't think we should dismiss what's contained in the Digest so lightly. Number 1, was it referred to the Interim Study Calendar? Number 2, has it ever been referred to and heard by a Committee?"

Clerk Selcke: "We're checking it out."

Speaker Miller: "The Clerk's Office will be checked on this right away. For what purpose, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea arise?"

Shea: "I think there is a point here, because this Bill was subject to a Motion the other day by Representative Rayson



to take from the Committee. It was the ruling of the Chair that it needed 89 votes. Now, Representative Schlickman raises a very interesting question, if that Bill was assigned to Interim Study Commission, it takes 107 votes of this House to get it out of that Committee onto the Floor. Is that right, Gene?.....And, I would very much be interested in finding out what happened."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson, to inform us."

Rayson: "I moved to discharge the Committee. It was im..... It wasn't assigned to Interim, that was a mistake, a total mistake.....and it shouldn't be on the Calendar this week on Interim. It's up for Third Reading."

Selcke: "Our records indicate he is absolutely right."

Speaker Miller: "The Clerk's records indicate that this Bill is rightly on Third Reading.....All right, is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1692 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. Record Mr. Pierce as 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Want to roll it Fred? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Just one moment, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian to explain his vote."

Mugalian: "Very briefly to explain my vote, this looks like a white hat Bill that doesn't cost anybody anything. We're interested in environment, we know that the utility companies are those that can make a great impact on our environment and this merely says that they're to tell us what they're trying to do to clean up the environment and to keep it clean. It costs us nothing and it's a very important symbolic Bill. I urge that you all vote 'yes'."

Speaker Miller: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson to explain his vote."

Rayson: "Ah....I'm going to explain my vote because there was some demurrers raised with regard to what the Digest said on the status of the Bill. It's up for Third Reading. It



merely says ..utility companies must affirm.....to the State if they are in compliance with the pollution control standards, for rate increases. It doesn't mean they are going to be punished for anything, it's an informational kind of allegation and it....and this is the kind of a Bill I think that should be passed, particularly with many utilitiesah....suggest are friends of the environment and...ah...we're not trying to press them butsuggest that it's an important Bill that....that.... ah...should help, I think, control our environment in years hence."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I'm wondering if the Sponsor'll yield for a question?"

Speaker Miller: "Proceed, Sir."

Shea: "Lee, a public utility would be something similar to West Town or Evanston Bus Company, is that correct?"

Rayson: "No, ...ah...the Bill, I don't have in front of me... but it would narrow itself to thoseah...utilities who make rate application increase."

Shea: "Well, those bus companies are public utilities within the meaning of the Act and go in for rate increases, is that correct?"

Rayson: "Yes, but I think it....ah...it ...we're not talking about ...these are utilities ofah....that require a certificate of convenience...we're not talking about a local transit district. We're talking about Commonwealth Edison,ah...Illinois Bell...."

Shea: "Yeah, but as I read the Digest....is...is....you know they're public utilities....and you tell me in todays state of economicsah...how West Town or Evanston or United Motor Coach....ah...you know....could meet some of the things under the criterion of this Bill....that's all I'm asking."

Rayson: "Well, as I say, the Bill....ah...ah...."

Shea: "It doesn't cover those?"



Rayson: "As far as I know it doesn't."

Shea: "Well, they are public utilities."

Rayson: "Well, but we....but we...ah...it doesn't cover it."

Shea: "Is it....."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm casting an 'aye' vote for this Legislation. I think perhaps the merits of this Bill have a great deal and far intent. For one thing if you turn on your television set and read the high cost public relations campaign that the utilities companies put on you'd think that they were the cleanest people in the world.....as far as environment....probably in many cases just the opposite is true. I think this Bill serves a good purpose....to tell when they are asking for a rate increase it seems like they are doing it on a continuing basis, that they have to state the true facts, as to...ah... exactly what they are doing to try to clean up the environment and to comply with the environmental and pollution guide lines. I think it's a darned good Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, to clear up a question that was raised earlier, ...a....ah...the Public Utilities Act is different from anything that would be mass transit or anything of this nature would only relate to the specific public utilities in this Act. Now, I think this...ah....Bill is a very good Bill. It, in fact provides that the public utilities would ...a....ah...show cause at the commission hearings that they are complying with all the rules and regulations that we have. In fact it may save the State investigative money. And, I think it certainly is a...an excellent Bill, I commend the Sponsor for its introduction and I would like to see many more green lights up there. I think everyone should be green."



Speaker Miller: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Might I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Miller: "The Chair has been very lenient up here we're on explanation of votes...."

Schraeder: "All right then I won't ask the question then. It doesn't make any difference."

Speaker Miller: "All right, Sir. Have all voted who wish?"

Schraeder: "I'd like to explain my vote, though."

Speaker Miller: "Yes, Sir. You have that right."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Sir. I would just like to point out that in the City of Peoria, we finance..the Public Transit System. We're now going into the field of public transportation in the metropolitan area of Chicago. And, I dare say, we can't afford to buy new busses and I'm quite concerned. I think this is a utility in the intent of the Bill and I would say this, if we're forced into buying new busses I'm coming down to Springfield very shortly with the request the State give us some aid. I think this is a bad Bill, it forces us in a very...very bad situation."

Speaker Miller: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. Mr. Mann, the Gentleman from Cook."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this Bill is being misunderstood. ...Ah...we know about all the slogans such as 'concern for your environment'...etc. etc. All we're asking...ah...these companies to do is to put their money where their mouth is. We're just asking them to do the things they say they are now doing. What could possibly be wrong with that kind of Legislative request? If in fact they are doing what they say they are for environment, why not then give this Bill an 'aye' vote? So I think the fears of many of you areah...without any justification. And, for those of you who really know what the utilities are doing, you ought to vote 'aye', to encourage them to do the right thing. This is a good Bill



and....ah...Mr. Speaker...I think we ought to have another Roll Call."

Speaker Miller: "On this question there are 70 'ayes' and 4 'nays', and this Bill having failedthe Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson....."

Rayson: "I respectfully request a poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Miller: "Well, the Gentleman has that right. It's getting very late but, proceed, Mr. Clerk and call the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Arrigo, Barry, Bluthardt,..."

Speaker Miller: "Record Bluthardt as 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brummet, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Cox, Davis, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunn, Ebbesen, Farley, Fary,"

Speaker Miller: "Just a moment, for what purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson, arise?"

Rayson: "Well, first, this Bill has been terribly misunderstoodand..."

Speaker Miller: "We'rewe're...we're on calling the absentees now, Mr. Rayson..."

Rayson: "Well, my point is...he's going so fast that I don't think these people have a chance to ruminate over this matter."

Speaker Miller: "Well, they've heard discussion for some timeproceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Flinn, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Gibbs, Giglio, Granata, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Herschfeld, J. Holloway, Hudson,"

Speaker Telcser: "Hudson wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Hunsicker, ...is that Hunsicker 'no'?"

Speaker Telcser: "Hunsicker wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Dave Jones, Juckett..."



Speaker Telcser: "Juckett wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kennedy, ..."

Speaker Telcser: "Kennedy wishes to be recorded as voting ... 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kent, Klosak, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Leon, Londrigan, Macdonald, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McCormick, McMaster, McPartlin, Miller-- ..Kenny, Tom Miller, Murphy, Neff, North,"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Rayson: "What's the count right now?"

Speaker Telcser: "You've got 71.....er.....70 votes right now....I believe...."

Rayson: "Well, rather than take the time I....ask for leave to put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "You have that right, Sir. Put it on Postponed Consideration.House Bill 1314, now, I guess there is an agreement on that Bill. Well, Madigan just came up to me and said, 'call it'. We assured him we'd call it today."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1314, an Act to amend Section 16 of an Act to create sanitary districts and to remove obstructionsThird Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wouldn't go so far as to say that there is an agreement but I would like to ...call the Bill and have it heard and take a Roll Call on the Bill. I've already spoken to the Bill....However, let me reiterate that it only applies to the Chicago Metropolitan Sanitary District. They already have this power for sewer projects and the Bill would provide that it would be granted for flood control projects also. Additionally the property owners rights to a judicial hearing are not



abrogated at all. Those remain exactly the same. The only issue that is postponed is the question of compensation. I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "Now, are we talking about underground pipes or sewers or are we talking about retention basins?"

Madigan: "Bob, it would be my understanding that this Bill would address itself to retention basins."

Juckett: "Now, do you know where these retention basins would be located?"

Madigan: "I don't have that with me. I have other Bills which are currently pending in the Appropriations Committee, which set out in detail the specific areas where they propose to build the project."

Juckett: "Do you have any idea how large these retention basins would be?"

Madigan: "No, I would not be in a position to answer that."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think here we're talking about a very ...very valuable power which most governments do not have and we're talking about taking large sections of land out of circulation and being used for retention basins. Now, the State of Illinois has ...ah...extensive programs along the DesPlaines River, along the North Branch of the Chicago River to do the same thing. And, they are not exercising the quick take powers, they're getting agreements of the people who own the land ...ah...to sell the land or to trade the land and...in order to do this same thing. And, if the State can do it this way, I don't see any reason why the sanitary district can't do likewise and I think this would be a bad precedent to give this extreme power to a municipality and I would urge you to vote 'no'."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with due concern for the address of the last speaker, we in the City of Chicago whose furniture floats in our basement during a storm are very concerned with these retention basins be formed. So many of us are injured and I solicit your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Representative Kosinski hit it right on the head, when he talked about the need for quick....quick relief from the flooding problem in suburban Cook County and in Chicago. Now, all we're trying to do is to get the show on the road. And, I was surprised at Representative from the northwest side and the suburban area, because I don't know how his district was during the spring but I can tell you that many of my areas were under water. That we are now completing a deep tunnel project on 39th Street and with the completion of that project we hope to solve many of the flooding problems out in the western and southwestern suburban areas. And, I think this Bill will go a long way toward solving some of the flooding problems we have in suburban Cook County and I'd ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "He..indicates he will."

McClain: "Mike, just one question. Does the State at this time have this kind of power for quick takes maneuver for flood control?"

Madigan: "Mike, I really don't know but I don't think so. It just doesn't seem to me that the State is involved in flood control projects, where local sanitary districts



would be."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Miller.....Tom Miller."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House and particularly Representatives from the Suburban areas....I'd like to express my concern to this particular Bill. We have in the 10th District a quarry, operated by the Materials Service Corporation, and I attended a meeting a year ago where there....where there was a representative of the Metropolitan Sanitary District who was outlining to a group of people the distinct possibility of taking the quarry and using it as a retention basin....for the combined sewer system of the Chicago areas to run that into that quarry in periods of heavy rainfall. And, I think it's a very dangerous proposition to give quick takes to the Metropolitan Sanitary District. For that reason I intend to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representatsive Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mike, is this just another vehicle to create the 'Cross-town Expressway'?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan to close."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, other ...ah...speakers to this Bill have very adequately expressed the need for the Bill. The need concerns flood control projects ...those of you from the suburban...Chicago suburban area are well aware of that problem. Please let me impress upon you that the judicial right of the property owner are not changed because an action is taken for quick take rather than through normal condemnation. Their right to a hearing before a judge is retained there is no difference in that area at all. I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1314 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the



opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Rep-Schlickman to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I call to your attention that the law as it presently exists restricts the quick take to only easements to only easements....for sewer improvement projects. By this Bill we're not only extending the quick take authority to flood control matters, but for the acquisition of property for flood control matters. Tying this in with the deep tunnel program of the Metropolitan Sanitary District, we're talking about something very ...very .. substantial ...and absence of further showing I must be recorded as voting 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Schlickman as voting 'present'. Record Representative R. Dunne as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Phil Collins to explain his vote."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker in explaining my vote, I...I.... would urge all Members to get on behind this Bill and vote for it. I know that my District is not only in Chicago but is also a suburban area which ...ah...we have serious problems and grave flooding problems. We need this....we need this Legislation to be able to allow the sanitary district to solve the problems that are washing away so many of our homes ...ah...and as Representative Kosinski said, floating their furniture in their basement. I ran for the sanitary district some about 10 years ago and I know the....the....great potential but also the ...the problems facing this district and the money that must be expended in construction of new ...ah... flood control facilities. Deep tunnel facilities and all We, we are spending millions of dollars now and it is not adequate yet and I would urge that you join Representative Madigan and some of the others voting for this Bill to



pass this much needed Bill. This really is....this is crisis Legislation....when it comes to Cook County.... As I said not only in the City of Chicago where I have resided but also in the suburban area where I now reside. The....the flooding in the...this last series of storms was....was....unbelievable and the damage that it did out south, I can only assume that it was the same north and west. I...I...as Representative BluthardtI have never been a great advocate ofquick take provisions, but I certainly think that this is....this is much needed Legislation and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Hirschfeld wishes to be voted 'aye'. Porter, 'aye', Mahar 'aye'. Now, you know we've taken five Roll Calls on every Bill, now, if the Members wish to vote they ought to be in their seats. Now, I'm going to take one more Roll Call, I'm going to recognize no one to explain their votes. All right. The question is, shall House Bill 1314 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? You're going to loose it.....If you want to get on....you better get on now. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 84 'ayes', 27 'nays', and this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional MajorityRepresentative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "....'Aye' for Representative Palmer. This Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional MajorityOh!....you wish to postpone it? The Gentleman wishes Postponed Consideration. And, he has that right. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker,purpose of an announcement...Ah... the Subcommittee on House Bill 1324, which has been waiting for the adjournment in order to meet, will meet



as scheduled inah...ah...at 7:30 in D-1, I believe."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Randolph."

Randolph: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,ah...I'd like the appropriat....appropriate Rule suspended so I may have House Bill 1971 heard in Appropriations Committee tomorrow. I've checked with the Chairman and the Leadership of both sides of the aisle and it is agreeable."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved for the suspension ofRule 18 for the purposes of hearing a Bill in Appropriations....ah...tomorrow. All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'!.....107 votes....Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 121 'ayes', no 'nays', and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Ah....Mr. Speaker, then it's my understanding that the Committee Meetings will meet tomorrow? Is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Capuzi, we don't know right now how we are going to handle the Committees tomorrow. Now, we've got to whip this Calendar and I just now talked with Representatives Walsh and Choate. We're going to do our best to work tomorrows Committees either in or around them. We haven't made that decision yet. Simply because there is no way to predict how much work we'll be able to get done tomorrow. Now, that ball game was scheduled for tonight, we're going to have to adjourn ... the...the grounds are ready. And, I don't think that I can give any of you a fair....time....Representative Choate or Walsh....do you wish to...."

Capuzi: "Well, the only problem that I have...I received several calls about witnesses coming in from Chicago, and I'd hate like hell to tell 'em to come down here and



then we're not going to have a Committee meeting. That's my opinion."

Speaker Telcser: "Are they for Senate Bills, or for House Bills?"

Capuzi: "Senate Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, then why don't you just put 'em over for a week, Lou."

Capuzi: "Well, that's OK, well that's what I want to know... Ok."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "What Committee are we talking about?"

Speaker Telcser: "Lou was talking about Human Resources...."

Capuzi: "I'm talking about Human Resources...."

Speaker Telcser: "With Senate Bills....they're Senate Bills."

Capuzi: "If I have to put them over I'll put 'em over for one week and then get....get....get on with it..."

Speaker Telcser: "I'd suggest that....if...the..ah....Majority Leader and the Membership would agree, I would suggest that inasmuch as we want to clear the Calendar and we are going to clear it, the way I understand it, tomorrow. Ah...ah...inasmuch as we want to do that, that we would hold Senate Bills for another week, Representative Capuzi, and I'd think that would meet your"

Capuzi: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise in a Point of Personal Privilege. They've got the same problem. We have some Committees set for tomorrow. This is my fourth term down here, I've never missed a day of Session since I've been elected, I've got news for you, I'm going back home Thursday night, come rain or shine, we're down here to do the people's business. I'd like to see the ball game too but I don't think the damn ball game is more im-



portant than passing Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "I agree with you. The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I certainly hope that time will be allotted for the Appropriations Committee to meet tomorrow at their regular time, which is 2 o'clock in A-1. Now, House Bills will be heard tomorrow, if we're allotted the time. And, then we hope to meet again at 8 o'clock on Thursday morning to take up the Senate Bills. So, you Sponsors that have Senate Bills need not be present tomorrow and would notify your...witnesses, but hopefully all of the House Bills we have scheduled will be heard tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I would like to make an announcement The Transportation Committee Meeting, which was to meet at 4 o'clock this afternoon, will meet tomorrow morning at 8:30. I hope that all the Committee Members have got their notices and all the Members that have Bills, that are Sponsoring Senate Bills will be there at 8:30 in M-5."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there further announcements? The Gentleman from...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "I wonder if the Leadership will be good enough to answer this inquiry. Perhaps the Majority or the Minority LeaderI see they're in a huddle....Representative Walsh, Representative Choate,, the question has been raised by many of the Members and we just want to know whether or not you've considered it, rather than get in a time crunch again, some have asked whether or not we couldn't go ahead with our Committee Meetings tomorrow afternoon, and then come back into Session tomorrow evening and finish up so that way we have no



problem with the completion of the Calendar. Has that been discussed and eliminated?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh is coming over to his microphone."

Walsh: "I was with the Minority Leader when that ...ah.... suggestion was made, Bernie, and he said he thought that was a good idea and I do to. We will ...ah...go in tomorrow at ...ah...9 o'clock in the morning. At which time we will go through the Bills that happen to be House Bills, that have not been called on the Calendar and we will do them consecutively. Now, the Sponsors who are not here tomorrow, to have their Bills heard, and the Members who are not here to vote on those Bills, are not doing the job for which they were elected. So, everyone is expected to be here tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock, promptly. Now, we will work until approximately 2.....at which time we will adjourn for Committee Meetings. We will reconvene at 6:30. At 6:30 we will resumeah...the Postponed Consideration Bills, and we will conclude that business tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah..Mr. Speaker, back to the important business now, the ball game going to be held tonight and it's going to be held at Iles Park, which is at Sixth and Ash Street, Ash is about two blocks south of South Grand or about a block....er....or a mile south of the Capitol."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could hold for just a second, in light of that last ruling, I wonder if the Chairman of the Transportation Committee is now going to change the meeting back 'till the afternoon?" Clarence, we gotta be in here at 9 o'clock, now."

Neff: "It is my understanding that we may not have Committee



Meetings tomorrow afternoon, and also we will be..... conflict with other Committee Meetings and some of the Members wouldn't be able to be there. I would like to change that to 8 o'clock if I could get permission?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's OK, you're the Chairman. We have a suggestion for 7:30, Clarence.....6 o'clock....."

Neff: "Ah...ah...Mr. Speaker, I would like to change that in lieu of starting in the Session at 9 o'clock, the Transportation CommitteeI would appreciate all being in Room M-5 at 8 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question, of the Majority Leader..."

Speaker Telcser: "Bill, you have a question."

Bradley: "Bill, regarding the statement you made, the Sponsors of Legislation that are not here, and we're calling upon them in numerical order, are you going to go back to them or are we going to take some action, that they are Tabled or sent to an Interim Study, or what type of action would you take. Are we going to come back Thursday and call them again or are going....."

Walsh: "No, there...there...there will be a motion tomorrow to Table all House Bills on the Calendar. So unless a Bill is reassigned to a Committee and referred from there to athe Interim Study Calendar, why....it will be Tabled."

Bradley: "What about the Postponed Bills, then, are you going to try to get to the Postponed also?"

Walsh: "Yes, we are."

Bradley: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh..... All right, now, the Clerk also tells me, Bill, ..he needs a little bit of perfunctory time, so...."



Walsh: "Oh! Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recess, for the purpose of"

Speaker Telcser: "Do we have to suspend any rules?"

Walsh: "Oh!.....Yes.....I move to suspend the provisions of Rule ...Rule 36-B.....ah....the Deadline Bill, for the purpose of extending the deadline on House Bills in the House, to tomorrow, June 6th."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 37-D.....36-D....and 37, so that the Bills on the Calendar can stay alive until tomorrow. All....Through tomorrow....These are both House and Senate Bills. House and Senate Bills. And Constitutional Amendments....All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Well we need six more votes....or every Bill on the Calendar will die in about 2 minutes. Are there enough.....Okay, the Clerk's got enough.....On this question there are 110 'ayes', the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh.

Walsh: "Ah,Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recess for the purpose of going into a Perfunctory Session, and that we adjourn following the Perfunctory Session until 9 o'clock tomorrow morning for a regular Session."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Gentleman's Motion prevails, the House stands in recess for a Perfunctory Session."

Clerk Selcke: "Messages from the Senate, by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I'm asked concurrence of the House...Senate Bill 1035, 1041, 1042, 1044, 1048, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1096, 1102, 1103, 1104, 1130,



1176, passed the Senate June 5th. Edward Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I am asked concurrence of the House, Senate Bills 213, 287, 401, 421, 440, 4....., 532, 573, 574, 590, 611, 632, 633, 666, 609 er.....666, 909, 938, 1001, 1020, 1022, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1043, 1045, 1046, and 1047, passed the Senate June the 5th, Edward Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Oh! Mr. Speaker.....I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the Househa...ha....I'm reading something that isn't even here.....ha...ha...with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles. House Bills 12, 57, 58, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 129, 156, 157, 165, 166, 167, 186, 191, 202, 254, 282, passed the Senate June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 24 together with the following Amendment....that the Senate has Amended June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 25, together with the following Amendment, passed the Senate as Amended, June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 35, together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate June the 4th, 1973, Edward E.



Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 130, together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate as Amended, June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 143, together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate as Amended, June the 4th, 1973. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 160, together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate as Amended June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 245, together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate as Amended, June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to a Bill with the following title, Senate Bill 457, concurred in by the Senate, June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has passed the Bill of the following title, the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Bills 285, 286, 377, 549, 664, 832, 963, passed the Senate June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bills of the following titles, the passage of which I'm directed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Bills 257, 283, 351, 369, 381, 418, 616, 694, 914, 923,



925, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1149, 1181, passed the Senate June the 4th, 1973, Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 1985, Clabaugh, et al., appropriates \$50,000 to the Department of Conservation, First Reading of the Bill. Ah.....Senate Bills, First Reading, ...ah...wait a minute !till I find them. Oh, here they are on the first page. Hand them up to me Jack. Oh, Yeah. 1060, when was it read? Oh, hell yes, man alive, Mr. Duff, what do you think we are? Senate Bill 89, Amends the Pension Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 132, Amends an Act relating to evidence and depositions, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 166, an Act to provide for acquisition, performing arts authority, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 228, Amends the Highway Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 246, Amends the Highway Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 366, Amends the Insurance Code, First Reading of the Bill, Senate Bill 388, Amends the Insurance Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 389, Amends the Insurance Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 390, Amends the Insurance Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 402, Amends the Bulk Milk Tank Operators Licensing Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 466, Amends an Act relating to land owners, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 561, Amends the Mobile Home Park Licensing Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 588, Amends an Act regarding mental health, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 647, Amends the Park District Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 772, Amends the Illinois Harness Racing Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 773, Amends the Harness Racing Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 780, ...AddsJunior College Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 793, Amends an Act, concerning trust companies, First Reading of the Bill.



Senate Bill 806, Amends the Motor Fuel Tax, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 900, Amends the Public Aid Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 901, Amends the Public Aid Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 902, Amends the Public Aid Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 903, creates the Health Facility Accounting Cost Reporting Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 916, Amends the Juvenile Court Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 947, Amends the Unified Code of Corrections, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 977, Amends the Civil Administrative Code, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 995, An Act to add Section 3.1 and so forth for the Impounding and Disposition of Stray Animals Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1012, An Act authorizing the Director of Conservation to convey certain real estate, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1055, Amends the School Code, First Reading of the Bill. Got any more, Jack? Okay. General Resolutions. House Resolution 391, J. M. Houlihan. House Resolution 392, Getty. House Joint Resolution 66, Grotberg. All right, I'll say it so it goes on tape.....
I now move that the House stand adjourned until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning. House adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1		Speaker Telcser	House come to order
1		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
1		Speaker Telcser	Roll Call for attendance
1		Clerk Selcke	Committee Reports. H.B. 1984. First.
1		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions
1		Clerk Selcke	
2		Speaker Telcser	
2		Wm. Walsh	
2		Speaker Telcser	Resolution adopted
2		Choate	Excused absences
2		Speaker Telcser	
2		Wm. Walsh	Excused absences
2		Speaker Telcser	
2		Capuzi	
3		Speaker Telcser	
3		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1906. Third.
3		Shea	
3		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1906. Passed.
3		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1419. Third.
3		Speaker Telcser	
4		Matijevich	Leave to return to 2nd.
4		Speaker Telcser	Leave
4		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
4		Speaker Telcser)	Amendment adopted.
4		Matijevich)	H.B. 1419.
4		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
5		Juckett)	
6) Matijeovich)	
6		Speaker Telcser	
7		Douglas)	
7) Matijeovich)	
7		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1419 passed.
7		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1723. Third.
7		Speaker Telcser	
7		Juckett	
7		Speaker Telcser)	
8) Shea)	
8		Juckett)	
8		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1723 passed.
8		Wm. Walsh	Announcement
8		Speaker Telcser	
8		Capuzi)	
9) Speaker Telcser)	
9		Hoffman, R.)	
9) Walsh, Wm.)	
10		Speaker Telcser	
10		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1810. Third.
10		Speaker Telcser	
10		McCourt	Sponsor
11		Speaker Telcser	
11		Shea)	
11) McCourt)	
11		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1810



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
11		Speaker Telcser)	
12		McCourt)	
12		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1810 passed.
12		Clerk O'Brien)	H.B. 13183. Third.
12		Speaker Telcser	
12		Beatty	Lemke's Bill
12		Speaker Telcser	
13		Hart	
13		Speaker Telcser	
13		Leinenweber)	
13		Beatty)	
13		Speaker Telcser	
14		Martin	
14		Speaker Telcser	
15		Griesheimer	
15		Speaker Telcser	
15		Hunsicker	
15		Speaker Telcser	
15		Maragos	Moves previous question
16		Speaker Telcser	
16		Beatty	To close
16		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1383
16		Beatty	Postponed consideration
16		Speaker Telcser	
16		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1543. Third.
16		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
17		Berman	
17		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1543 passed.
17		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1448. Third.
17		Speaker Telcser	
17		Berman	
18		Speaker Telcser	
18		Palmer)	
18		Berman)	
19		Speaker Telcser	
19		Mahar	
19		Speaker Telcser	
19		Yourell	
20		Speaker Telcser	
20		Duff)	
20		Berman)	
21		Duff	
21		Speaker Telcser	
22		Sangmeister	
22		Speaker Telcser	
22		Skinner)	
23		Berman)	
23		Skinner	
23		Speaker Telcser	
23		Flinn	Moves previous question
23		Speaker Telcser	
24		Berman	
24		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
25		Redmond	Support
25		Speaker Telcser	
25		Mahar	
26		Speaker Telcser	
26		Leinenweber	
26		Speaker Telcser	
27		Berman	
27		Speaker Telcser	
28		Bluthardt	Explain vote-present
28		Speaker Telcser	E.B. 1448
28		Skinner	Verification request
28		Speaker Telcser	
28		Berman	Poll absentees
28		Speaker Telcser)	
28		Clerk O'Brien)	Reads absentees
28		Speaker Telcser	
29		Speaker Redmond	
29		Speaker Telcser	
29		Martin	How recorded?
29		Clerk O'Brien	
29		Martin	Record 'aye'
29		Speaker Telcser	
29		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative Roll Call
29		Speaker Telcser	
29		Clerk O'Brien	
30		Choate	
30		Clerk O'Brien	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
30		Speaker Telcser	
30		Skinner	
30		Speaker Telcser	
30		Clerk O'Brien	
30		Speaker Telcser	
30		Kempiners	
30		Clerk O'Brien	
30		Speaker Telcser	
30		Bluthardt	
31		Speaker Telcser	
31		Geo-Karis	
31		Speaker Telcser	
31		Skinner	
31		Speaker Telcser	Vote adopted
31		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1189
31		Ryan	
31		Speaker Telcser	
32		Pappas)	
)	
32		Ryan)	
32		Speaker Telcser	Vote adopted
32		Duff	
32		Speaker Telcser	
33		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1871.
33		Terzich	Return to 2nd.
33		Clerk O'Brien	
33		Terzich	Table and adopt #2
33		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
33		Walsh	
34		Speaker Telcser	
34		Shea	
34		Speaker Telcser)	
34		J. J. Wolf)	
35		Speaker Telcser	
35		Walsh	
35		Speaker Telcser	
35		Merlo	
35		Speaker Telcser	Vote passed
35		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1859.
36		Maragos	
36		Speaker Telcser	Passed
37		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1877
37		Speaker Telcser	
37		Maragos)	
37		Speaker Telcser)	
38		Skinner	
38		Speaker Telcser	Passed
38		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 928
38		Speaker Telcser	
39		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1497.
39		Speaker Telcser	
39		Cunningham	
40		Speaker Telcser)	
40		Washington)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
40		Cunningham)	
)	
40		Washington)	
40		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1497
40		Shea	
41		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1497 passed
41		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1615. Third.
41		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
41		Cunningham)	
)	
41		Speaker Telcser)	H.B. 1615
)	
41		Walsh)	
)	
41		Cunningham	
42		Speaker Telcser	
42		Kempiners	
42		Speaker Telcser	
42		Dyer	
42		Speaker Telcser	Passed
42		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1243
42		Madigan	
43		Speaker Telcser	
43		Walsh	
44		Speaker Telcser	
44		Dunne	
44		Speaker Telcser	
44		Peters	
44		Speaker Telcser	
45		Holloway, Robert	
45		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
45		Day)	
)	
45		Speaker Telcser)	
45		Madigan)	
)	
45, 46		Day)	
46		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
46		Duff)	
46		Madigan)	
)	
46		Duff)	
47		Duff)	
)	
47		Speaker Telcser)	
47		Pierce)	
)	
47		Speaker Telcser)	
48		Maragos	Move?
48		Speaker Telcser	
48		Madigan	
48		Speaker Telcser	
48		Skinner	
49		Speaker Telcser	
49		Dunn	
49		Speaker Telcser	
49		Catania	
50		Speaker Telcser	
50		Holloway	
50		Speaker Telcser	
51		Terzich	
51		Speaker Telcser	
51		Jones	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
52		Speaker Telcser	
52		Washington	
53		Speaker Telcser	
53		Houlihan	
53		Speaker Telcser	
53		Barnes	
54		Speaker Telcser	
54		Beatty	
55		Speaker Telcser	
55		Maragos	
56		Speaker Telcser	
56		Rayson	
56		Speaker Telcser	
57		Houlihan	
57		Speaker Telcser	
57		Deuster	
58		Speaker Telcser	
59		Dunn	
59		Speaker Telcser	
59		Madigan	Poll absentees
60		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
60		Speaker Telcser)	Verify Roll
)	
61, 62, 63		Maragos)	
63		Philip)	
)	
63		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
63		Clerk Selcke)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
64		Speaker Telcser	
64		Clerk Selcke	
64		Speaker Telcser	88 'aye' 42 'nay'
64		Clerk Selcke	
64		Speaker Telcser	
64		Macdonald)	Vote 'aye'
)	
64		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
64		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
64		Stiehl)	Vote 'aye'
)	
64		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
64		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
64		Speaker Telcser	
64		Washington	
64		Speaker Telcser	1243 passed
64		Terzich	Reconsider vote
65		Speaker Telcser	
65		Madigan	Table
65		Speaker Telcser	Motion is tabled
65		Madigan)	Point of personal privilege
)	
65		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
65		Washington	
65		Speaker Telcser	
65		Jones	
66		Speaker Telcser	Point of personal privilege
66		Barnes	
66		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1323
66		Speaker Telcser	Out of the record



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
66		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1531. Third.
66		Speaker Telcser	
67		Palmer	
68		Speaker Telcser)	
68		Rayson)	
68		Palmer	
69		Rayson	
69		Speaker Telcser)	
69		Hunsicker)	
69		Palmer)	
69		Hunsicker)	
70		Speaker Telcser)	
70		Holloway)	
70		Palmer)	
70, 71		Holloway)	
71		Speaker Telcser	
71		Mann	
71		Palmer	
71		Speaker Telcser	Out of record
71		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1457. Third.
71		Speaker Telcser	
71		Yourell	
72		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1457 passed.
72		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1671. Third.
72		Speaker Telcser	
72		Getty	
72, 73		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1671 passed.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
74		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1498.
74		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1498. Third.
74		Speaker Telcser	
74		Ryan	Leave back to 2nd
74		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
74		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2-H.B. 1498
74		Speaker Telcser	
74		Ryan	Leave to table Amendment #1
74		Speaker Telcser	Move to table Amendment #1
74		Lyan	What Amendment does Clerk have?
74		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
74		Speaker Telcser	You wish to table #1?
74		Ryan	Table #1 and #2
74		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted, tabled
74		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
74		Speaker Telcser	
74		Shea)	
)	
74, 75		Ryan)	
75		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted. 1498. Third.
75		Ryan	H.B. 1498
75		Speaker Telcser	
75		Schneider)	
)	
76		Ryan)	
76		Speaker Telcser	
76		Dyer)	
)	
76		Ryan)	
76		Hyde	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
77		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1498.
78		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1474. Third.
78		Speaker Telcser	
78		Matijevich)	
79		Speaker Telcser	
79		Walsh	
80		Speaker Telcser	
80, 81, 82		Katz	
82		Speaker Telcser	
82		Hudson	
82		Speaker Telcser	
83		Hudson	
83		Matijevich)	
84		Speaker Telcser)	
84		Walsh)	Point of order
85		Speaker Telcser)	
85		Polk)	
85		Speaker Telcser)	
85		Matijevich)	
85		Polk)	
85		Speaker Telcser	
85		Dyer	
86		Speaker Telcser	
86		Matijevich	
86		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1474 lost
87		Walsh	Introduction
87		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1882.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
88		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1882. Third.
88		Speaker Telcser	
88		Shea	Hear 1884 with 1882
88		Speaker Telcser	Objections.
88		Shea	H.B. 1882
88		Speaker Telcser	
89		Philip)	
)	
89		Shea)	
89		Speaker Telcser	
90		Epton	
90		Philip)	
)	
90		Shea)	
91		Speaker Telcser	
91		McAvoy	
92		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
92		Day)	
92		Shea)	
)	
92		Day)	
93		Speaker Telcser	
93		Epton	
93		Speaker Telcser	
94		Jaffe	
94		Speaker Telcser	
94		Walsh	
95		Speaker Telcser	
95		Shea	
96		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1882



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
97		Shea	
97		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1882
97		Stone	
98		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1882 passed.
99		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1884.
99		Speaker Telcser	
99		Shea	
99		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1884 passed.
100		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1150. Third.
100		Speaker Telcser	
100		Washington	
100		Speaker Telcser)	H.B. 1150
)	
100		Dunn)	
)	
100		Washington)	
)	
100		Dunn)	
)	
100		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
100		Polk)	
)	
101		Washington	
101		Polk	
101		Speaker Telcser	
101		Simms)	
)	
101		Washington)	
101		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
101		Juckett)	
)	
102		Washington)	
)	
102		Juckett)	
)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
103		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1150
103		Caldwell	
104		Speaker Telcser	
104		Dunn	
104		Speaker Telcser)	
104		Washington)	Postponed consideration
104		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1151
104		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1151. Third.
104		Speaker Telcser	
104		Washington	
105		Speaker Telcser	
105		Walsh	
106		Speaker Telcser	
106		Washington	
107		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1151
107		Washington	Poll of absentees
107		Speaker Telcser	
107		Shea	Ring the bell.
107		Speaker Telcser)	
108, 109		Clerk Selcke)	Polls absentees
109		Speaker Telcser	Passed.
109		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1323. Third.
110		Speaker Telcser	
110		Jacobs	H.B. 1323.
110		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1323. Passed.
111		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1585. Third.
111		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
111		Getty	Return to order of 2nd.
111		Speaker Telcser	So ordered.
111		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3-H.B. 1585.
111		Speaker Telcser	
111		Getty	Table Amendment #1 & #2
111		Speaker Telcser	Amendments are tabled
111		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
111		Speaker Telcser	
111		Getty	
112		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3-H.B. 1585 adopted.
112		Getty	
112		Speaker Telcser	
112		Palmer)	
)	
112		Getty)	
)	
112		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
112, 113		Day)	
)	
113		Speaker Telcser	
113		Getty	
113		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1585 passed.
114		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1804. Third.
114		Speaker Telcser	
114		Boyle	
114		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
114		Hoffman)	
)	
114		Boyle)	
)	
115		Hoffman)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
115		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1804. Passed.
115		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1831. Third.
115		Speaker Telcser	
115		Catania	Return to 2nd for amendment
115		Speaker Telcser	Leave.
115		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2.
115		Speaker Telcser	
115		Catania	
116		Speaker Telcser	
116		Tipsword)	
116, 117, 118		Catania)	
118		Speaker Telcser	
118		Choate	
118		Catania	
118		Speaker Telcser	
118		Skinner	Fiscal note
118		Speaker Telcser	
118		Catania	Will file fiscal note
118		Speaker Telcser	Bill will be left on 2nd.
118		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1273
119		Farley	
119		Speaker Murphy	
119		Barry	
119		Speaker Murphy	
120		Barry)	
120		Farley)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
120		Speaker Murphy)	
120		McAvoy)	
120		Farley)	
120, 121		McAvoy)	
121		Speaker Murphy	
121		Piotrowicz	
121		Speaker Murphy	
121, 122		Geo-Karis	
122		Speaker Murphy	
122		Ycurell	Move previous question
122		Speaker Murphy	
122		Farley	
122		Speaker Murphy	
122		Shea	
123		Speaker Murphy	
123		Tuerk	
123		Speaker Murphy	
124		Barry	Record 'present'
124		Speaker Murphy	
124		Arnell	
124		Speaker Murphy	
124		Huskey	
124		Speaker Murphy	
124		Kent	'Aye'
124		Speaker Murphy	64 'aye' 42 'nay'
12		Farley	Postponed consideration
125		Speaker Murphy	Placed on postponed consideration



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
125		Waddell	Point of personal privilege
125		Speaker Murphy	Ball game at 4 o'clock
125		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1728. Third.
125		Speaker Murphy	
125		Porter	Leave to return to 2nd.
125		Speaker Murphy	Leave granted
125		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3-H.B. 1728.
125		Porter	
125		Speaker Murphy	
125		Schneider	
126		Porter)	
)	
126		Schneider)	
126		Speaker Murphy	
126		Walsh)	
)	
126, 127		Porter)	
127		Speaker Murphy	Amendment adopted. Third.
127		Porter	
127		Speaker Murphy	
127		Walsh)	
)	
128, 129		Porter)	
129		Speaker Murphy	
129		Walsh)	
)	
129		Porter)	
129		Speaker Murphy	
129		Schneider)	
)	
130, 131		Porter)	
131		Spaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
131		Porter	merely Bill
131		Speaker Murphy	
131		Walsh	
132		Speaker Murphy	
132		Porter	
132		Speaker Murphy	
132		Griesheimer	
133		Speaker Murphy	
133		Lundy	
134		Speaker Murphy	
134		Walsh	
134		Speaker Murphy	1728 passed.
134		Griesheimer	Move to reconsider
134		Speaker Murphy	
134		Geo-Karis	Move to lay it on the table
134		Speaker Murphy	Motion tabled.
135		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1350. Third.
135		Speaker Murphy	
135		Skinner	
135		Speaker Murphy	
135		Shea)	
135		Skinner)	
136		Speaker Murphy	
136		Shea	
136		Skinner	
136		Shea	
136		Speaker Murphy	1350 passed.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
136		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1770. Third.
137		Speaker Murphy	
137		Polk	
137		Speaker Murphy)	
137		Miller)	
137		Polk	
138		Miller	
138		Speaker Murphy	
138		Schneider)	
138		Polk)	
138		Speaker Murphy	
139		Gene Hoffman	
139		Polk)	
139		Speaker Murphy)	1770 passed.
139		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1594. Third.
139		Speaker Murphy	
139		Schraeder	
140		Speaker Murphy	1594 passed.
140		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1829. Third.
140		Speaker Murphy	
140		Catania	
141		Speaker Murphy)	
141, 142		Lemke)	
142		Catania)	
142, 143		Lemke)	
143		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
144		Douglas)	
)	
144, 145, 146		Catania)	
147		Speaker Murphy	
147		Catania	
148		Speaker Murphy	
148		Rayson	
148		Speaker Murphy	
148		Deuster	
149		Speaker Murphy	
149		Catania	Postponed consideration
149		Speaker Murphy	Postponed consideration
149		Catania	
149		Speaker Murphy	H.B. 1822
149		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 1822. Third.
149		Speaker Murphy)	
)	
149, 150, 151		Walters)	
152		Speaker Murphy	
152		Lemke	
153		Speaker Murphy	
153		Duff	
153		Speaker Murphy	
154		Epton	
154		Speaker Murphy	1822 passed.
154		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1655. Third.
154		Hart	
154		Speaker Murphy	1655 passed.
154		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1673. Third.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
154		Speaker Murphy	
155		Sangmeister	
155		Speaker Murphy)	
155		Flinn)	
155		Speaker Murphy)	
155		Miller)	
156		Sangmeister	
156		Miller	
156		Speaker Murphy	
156		Sangmeister)	
156		Miller)	
156		Speaker Murphy	H.B. 1673 passed.
157		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1313. Third.
157		Speaker Murphy	
157		Duff	
157		Speaker Murphy	
157		Yourell	
158		Speaker Murphy)	
158		Shea)	Disagrees with ruling
158		Washington)	
158, 159		Speaker Murphy)	
160		Speaker Murphy	H.B. 1211.
160		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1211. Third.
160		Hyde	
161		Speaker Murphy	
161		Maragos)	Fiscal note applicable?
161		Hyde)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
161		Speaker Murphy)	
161		Mugalian)	
161		Hyde)	
161		Mugalian)	
161		Speaker Murphy	1212 passed.
162		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1576. Third.
162		Speaker Murphy	
162		Hyde	
163		Speaker Murphy	
163		Griesheimer)	
163, 164		Hyde)	
164		Speaker Murphy	
164		Shea)	
164, 165		Hyde)	
166		Shea	
166		Speaker Murphy	
166		Miller)	
166		Hyde)	
166		Speaker Murphy	
166		Hoffman	
166		Hyde	
167		Hoffman	
167		Speaker Murphy)	
167		Hyde)	
167		Speaker Murphy	1576. Passed.
168		Schoeberlein	H.B. 1532 & 1640
168		Speaker Murphy	H.B. 1532.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
168		Schoeberlein)	
168) Speaker Murphy)	
168		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1532. Third.
168		Speaker Murphy	
168		Schoeberlein)	
168) Speaker Murphy)	
168, 169) Jaffe)	
169		Speaker Murphy	H.B. 1532. Passed.
170		Houlihan)	Bills on postponed consideration?
170) Speaker Murphy)	
170		Walsh)	
170) Speaker Murphy)	
171		Shea)	
171) Speaker Murphy)	
171		Juckett	
171		Speaker Murphy)	
171) Houlihan)	
171) Schlickman)	
171) Speaker Murphy)	
171		Juckett)	
171, 172) Speaker Murphy)	Rule 64-A
172		Houlihan	
172		Speaker Murphy	
172		McMaster	
172		Speaker Murphy)	
173) Hill)	
173		Skinner	Point of order



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
173		Speaker Murphy)	
173		Skinner)	
173		Rayson	Point of inquiry
173		Speaker Murphy	
173		Houlihan)	
173, 174		Speaker Murphy)	
174		Skinner)	Point of parliamentary inquiry
174		Speaker Murphy)	
174		Maragos	
174		Speaker Murphy	
174		Hill	
175		Speaker Murphy	
175		Boyle	
175		Speaker Murphy	
175		Hunsicker	
176		Speaker Murphy	
176		McMaster	
176		Speaker Murphy	
176		Houlihan	Poll the absentees
176		Speaker Murphy)	
176, 177		Clerk Selcke)	Polls absentees
177		McMaster	
177		Speaker Murphy)	
177		Clerk Selcke)	
177		Kempiners	Vote n'no'
177		Speaker Murphy)	
177		Clerk Selcke)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
177		Kozubowski))	Vote 'aye'
178		Speaker Murphy)	
178		Clerk Selcke))	Continues poll
178		Speaker Murphy)	
178		Springer))	Vote 'aye'
178		Speaker Murphy)	
178		Beatty))	Vote 'aye'
178		Speaker Murphy)	
178		Juckett))	
178		Speaker Murphy)	
178		McMaster	
178		Speaker Murphy))	
179		McMaster)	Verification of affirmative roll
179		Speaker Murphy	
179		Clerk Selcke	Affirmative Roll Call
179		Speaker Murphy	
179		Lundy	Vote 'no'
179		Speaker Murphy	
179		Totten	Point of order.
179		Speaker Murphy	Everyone in their seats
179		Clerk Selcke	Continues affirmative
179		Speaker Murphy	
180		Pierce	How recorded?
180		Clerk Selcke	Not voting
180		Pierce	Vote 'aye'
180		Speaker Murphy	
180		Clerk Selcke	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
180		Speaker Telcser)	
180		McMaster)	Questions affirmative roll
180, 181, 182, 183		Clerk Selcke)	
183		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1791 motion fails
184		McMaster	
184		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1640
184		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1640. Third.
184		Speaker Telcser	
184		Schoeberlein	
184		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1640 passed.
184		Fleck	
184		Speaker Telcser	
184		Hunsicker	
185		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Motion.
185		Stone	S.B. 1176 advanced to 2nd
185		Speaker Telcser	
185		Fleck	
185		Speaker Telcser	
185		Yourell	
185		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
186		Walsh	Introduction
186		Clerk Selcke	
186		Speaker Telcser	
186		McGrew	
186		Speaker Telcser	
186		Clerk Selcke	S.B. 1176. First Reading.
186		Speaker Telcser	Second Reading.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
186		McGrew	
186		Speaker Telcser	House Bills Third Reading.
186		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1314. Third.
186		Speaker Telcser	
186		Clerk Selcke	Repeats H.B. 1314. Third.
186		Speaker Telcser	
186		Madigan	
187		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1314
187		Lauer	
188		Speaker Telcser	
188		Waddell	
188		Speaker Telcser	Vote own switches
188		Bluthardt)	
188		Speaker Telcser)	
188		Simms	How recorded
188		Speaker Telcser	
188		Clerk Selcke	
188		Simms	Vote 'aye'
189		Speaker Telcser	
189		Duff	
189		Speaker Telcser	
189		Mann	
189		Speaker Telcser	
189		Clerk Selcke	
189		Mann	'Aye'
189		Speaker Telcser	
189		Clerk Selcke	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
189		Peters	What is count?
189		Speaker Telcser	
189		Peters	How voted?
189		Speaker Telcser	
189		Clerk Selcke	
189		Peters	'Aye'
189		Speaker Telcser	
189		Walsh	Request take out of record
190		Speaker Telcser	
190		Madigan	Out of record
190		Speaker Telcser	Out of record
190		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1976. Third.
190		Speaker Telcser	
190		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1030. Third.
190		Speaker Telcser	
190		Rayson	
191		Speaker Telcser)	H.B. 1930
)	
191		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
191		Speaker Telcser	91 'aye' 3 'nay'. Passed.
191		Choate	
191		Speaker Telcser)	H.B. 1692
)	
191		Clerk Selcke)	H.B. 1692. Third.
)	
191		Speaker Telcser	
191		Rayson	
192		Speaker Miller)	
)	
192		Schraeder)	Interim Study Calendar
)	
192		Rayson)	
)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
192		Schlickman	Questions of Interim Study.
192		Clerk Selcke	
192		Speaker Miller	
192		Shea	
193		Speaker Miller	
193		Rayson	Mistake. Should be on Third.
193		Clerk Selcke	
193		Speaker Miller	Rightly on Third Reading. H.B. 1692.
193		Mugalian	
193		Speaker Miller	
193		Rayson	
194		Speaker Miller)	
194		Shea)	
194		Rayson)	
194, 195		Shea)	
195		Speaker Miller	
195		Simms	
195		Speaker Miller	
195		McGrew	
196		Speaker Miller)	
196		Schraeder)	
196		Speaker Miller	
196		Mann	
197		Speaker Miller	
197		Rayson	Poll of absentees
197		Speaker Miller	Call the absentees



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
197		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
197		Speaker Miller)	
)	
197		Rayson)	
)	
197		Speaker Miller)	
)	
197		Clerk Selcke	Continues poll
197		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
197, 198		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
198		Rayson)	What's the count? Postponed
)	
198		Speaker Telcser)	Postponed consideration
)	
198		Clerk Selcke	H.B. 1314. Third.
198		Speaker Telcser	
198		Madigan	
199		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
199		Juckett)	
)	
199		Madigan)	
)	
199		Juckett)	
)	
200		Speaker Telcser	
200		Kosinski	
200		Speaker Telcser	
200		Shea	
200		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
200		McClain)	
)	
200		Madigan	
201		Speaker Telcser	
201		Miller	
201		Speaker Telcser	
201		Ron Hoffman	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
201		Speaker Telcser	
201		Madigan	
201		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1314.
202		Schlickman	
202		Speaker Telcser	
202		Collins	
203		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1314
203		Palmer	'Aye'
203		Speaker Telcser	H.B. 1314 fails. Postponed Cons.
203		Totten	Announcement on 1324
204		Speaker Telcser	
204		Randolph	
204		Speaker Telcser	Suspension Rule 18. Prevails
204		Capuzi)	
)	
204, 205		Speaker Telcser)	
205		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
205		Choate)	
)	
205		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
205		Capuzi)	
)	
205		Wolf	Point of personal privilege
206		Speaker Telcser	
206		Washburn	Announcement .
206		Speaker Telcser	
206		Neff	Announcement
206		Speaker Telcser	
206		Epton	Inquiry
207		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
207		Walsh	Announcement
207		Speaker Telcser	
207		Walsh	Announcement
207		Speaker Telcser	
207		Dunn	Question
207		Neff	
208		Speaker Telcser	
208		Neff	Change meeting
208		Speaker Telcser)	
208		Bradley)	
208		Walsh)	
208		Bradley)	Postponed Bills?
208		Speaker Telcser)	
208		Walsh)	Rule 36-B
209		Speaker Telcser	
209		Walsh	House recess for Perfunctory
209		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails. Recess.
209, 210, 211, 212		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Senate
213		Clerk Selcke	House adjourned.



A Roll Call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Webber Borchers - illness;

Representative Ralph C. Capparelli - no reason given;

Representative Richard A. Carter - no reason given;

Representative Corneal A. Davis - business;

Representative John G. Fary - no reason given;

Representative Peter C. Granata - illness;

Representative James G. Krause - illness;

Representative Isaac R. Sims - no reason given;

Representative Robert L. Thompson - business;

Representative Bernard B. Wolfe - illness;

Hon. W. Robert Blair - illness.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SEVENTIETH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 5, 1973

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHUR A. TELCSER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES