

1.

Doorkeeper: "Checking. Now, all not entitled to this House Chamber, will you please retire to the Gallery and those that's got House floor passes are entitled to the floor, and nobody is not, and Honorary Pages will have to go to the balcony and no full privileges at this time."

Speaker Murphy: "The House will be in Session. The prayer by Reverend Johnson."

Reverend Johnson: "Oh, we pray. Ever gracious God, we implore Your benediction upon us as we turn to the business of this day and this week. You know our weaknesses and our frailties, and yet in spite of these, we ask You to use us to accomplish those things that will under Your blessing promote the well being of our people. May we think and act and speak in ways which will glorify and magnify Your name, reflect the best interests of those whom we represent, and bring honor and dignity to this Chamber of Government. Fill us anew with Your Spirit so that we may at the end of this Session rise up and acknowledge You once more as our refuge and strength, a mighty help in time of trouble. We pray in the name of Christ our Lord. Amen. Have a good day."

Speaker Murphy: "Thank you. Roll Call for attendance. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, would you please have the official Record to indicate that Representative Krause, Representative B. B. Wolfe, Representative Rayson and Representative Lenke are absent due to illness, and Representative Redmond is officially excused from this side of the aisle."

Speaker Murphy: "The Record will show that. The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller, K.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may the Journal show that Speaker Blair, Representative Borchers and Representative Granata may be excused because of illness."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Murphy: "Let the Record show that. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . House . . . Senate Joint Resolution #40 . . . ah . . . Miller, 'Resolved by the Senate of the Seventy-Eighth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring, herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Friday, June 1, 1973, the Senate adjourn until Monday, June 4, 1973, 12 o'clock noon, Central Daylight Savings Time; and when the House of Representatives adjourns on Saturday, June 2, 1973, the Senate adjourn until Monday, June 4, 1973, 10 o'clock a.m., Central Daylight Savings Time'."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller, K.: "I move the adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolution. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Resolution is adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . House Resolution . . . ah . . . 388, McClain, et al."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller, K.: "Mr. . . Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 388 is a congratulatory Resolution honoring Will Davis, political editor of the Quincy Herald-Whig. He's leaving his post now to accept new employment in Missouri. I think there was a comment on the floor on this last week. Now that it's in Resolution form, and I move the adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of this Agreed Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes have it, the Resolution is adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Speaker Murphy: "Senate Bills, First Reading."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2 . . . ah . . . I'm trying to find where I am . . . Senate Bill 225, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 321, . . . ah . . . a bill for an Act to authorize the delegation of health tasks to physicians' assistants and so forth. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 663, an Act to revise and to regulate travel agents and so forth. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 731, an Act relating to participation by the Department of Agriculture in the funding of Chicago Metropolitan Area River Basin Plan and so forth. Ah . . . First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 737, amends the Chicago Sanitary District Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 738, amends the Chicago Sanitary District Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 739, amends the Chicago Sanitary District Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 744, amends the Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 745, amends the Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 782, creates the Bi-state Development District. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 783, appropriates the expenses of the Bi-state Development. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 928, . . . ah . . . an Act in relation to waste water land treatment sites. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 981, amends the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1025, an Act creating Handgun Study Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1027, amends the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. We got any more?"

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . We'll now move to Motions, and on the Calendar on the order of Motions is a Motion filed by Representative Polk in regards to House Bills 1767, --68 and --69 and --71. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Polk."



Polk: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, the purpose for moving these . . . ah . . . back to Second Reading was that the Committee . . . I discussed this with the Committee Chairman and the minority representation for . . . from that Committee . . . ah . . . they had . . . it was agreed these four Motions . . . these four Bills would be put to Interim Study Committee, but at the time, we didn't have sufficient number of Members there to do so; and I would move that they be moved back to Committee for the purpose of sending them to Interim Study Committee."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "I'm . . . I'm sure that I didn't hear the Gentleman correctly . . . ah . . . he meant to say move them back to the Committee for a . . . for an Interim Study Committee Report, he did say move them back to Second Reading, but he does mean to Committee."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. The question is on . . . ah . . . the Gentleman's Motion to move these four Bills back to Committee. Ah . . . All in favor . . . is there leave of the House for this? Leave. Leave is granted. The Representative from Cook, Representative Juckett, did you have a Motion to make?"

Juckett: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I have a like situation of House Bill 1726. I would like to have it . . . ah . . . be referred back to the Committee and then put on an Interim Study Committee, and I would move to suspend the proper rule in order to do that."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have no objection to the Motion, but I do have a parliamentary inquiry. Can we by floor action, not only recommit a Bill to Committee, but put it on the Interim Study Calen-



dar or by recommitting it to Committee, will the Committee itself by the three-fifths vote have to place it on the Interim Study Calendar?"

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "My understanding up to this point, we've recommitted Bills to the Committee on Assignment, they have then sent it to the Committee, and you can talk to the Committee Chairman. I think that . . . ah . . . Mr. Schlickman is right, it takes, I think, two-thirds of the vote of the Committee to commit it, does it not, Gene?"

Schlickman: "I simply wanted to make sure that Members didn't feel that by action on the floor automatically have it put on the Interim Study Calendar. There's still follow-through on their part that's required."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Representative from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now, I'm well . . . well aware of that, but I wanted to ask leave of the House so that the rule which meant that all House Bills were killed as of a certain date that rule . . . ah . . . would be suspended so that when we put the Bill back in Committee, the Bill wouldn't automatically be dead, and then have the Committee do the assigning to Interim Study Committee."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Representative from Cook, Representative Jerry Shea."

Shea: "Well, Bob, if you can get 107 votes this morning, you can suspend the rule."

Speaker Murphy: "What Committee does that go back into, Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "Well, my understanding is it would go to Committee on Committees . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "For reassignment."

Juckett: ". . . and they would reassign back to the Committee,



and then that Committee would take action provided the Bill has been dead by action of the rules of the House."

Speaker Murphy: "What . . . yeah, what Committee was it in before, Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "It was in . . . ah . . . R. and R., Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Johnny Wall, would you want to . . . ah . . . would you want to hear that and put it in Subcommittee or whatever you're going to do with it tomorrow?"

Wall: "Are you . . . are you referring to Representative Juckett's Bill?"

Speaker Murphy: "Yes."

Wall: "Yeah, sure."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the question is on the suspension of the Rule 18. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 126 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Motion having received the majority is, hereby, declared adopted. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig, is recognized."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have talked with the Leadership on both sides of the aisle pertaining to Senate Bill 930, which referred to Higher Ed' Committee, and I would like to move to discharge to Committee and place this Bill on Second Reading without rest."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the question is on the Gentleman's Motion. What's the number of that Bill? Ah . . . Representative Craig, what's the number of that Bill? Representative Craig?"

Craig: "Senate Bill 930. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor indicate whether



or not this is identical to a House Bill previously passed?"

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Craig. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Ah . . . No, this is not identical. This is . . . pertains to the district in one area in my district, and I think possibly the only one in the state is a doughnut district in Paris, Illinois, which involves the annexation to a Junior College District; and the Paris is what we call the hole-in-the-doughnut and the other district all the way around it, encircling it, is petitioning to go in another Junior College. Paris wants to go to Danville, the other college area wants to go to Lakeland, and this will permit Paris to go to Danville and the other to go where they want to go."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh."

Calbaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I have no opinion whatsoever on this Bill, but with the number we have here this morning, I don't think we ought to be . . . ah . . . releasing . . . releasing Committees, taking Bills away from Committees. Obviously, there's . . . our passing a Committee now. I don't think we have . . . I doubt if we have a quorum in the room."

Speaker Murphy: "I think, Representative Craig, we'd better take this out of the Record. Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sevcik, for what reason do you arise?"

Sevcik: "Well, I have House Bill 1133, and I've talked to the Leadership on the other side of the aisle and I also talked to you, Mr. Speaker, it's on Third Reading and I'd like to have it called at the present time. I'd like . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "Do we have leave for that? All right, no objections, so House Bill . . . what is . . . what is that



House Bill? . . ."

Sevcik: "1133."

Speaker Murphy: ". . . 1133. Third Reading. All right, Third Reading, House Bill 1133."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, and Gentle . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . House . . . let me read it, Joe . . . House Bill 1133, Sevcik, a Bill for an Act to amend the Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1133 is the result of a major investigation conducted by the Illinois Legislative Investigation Commission into the phase area of the Cities' Saving Association. It is designed to protect Savings and Loan investors from the sort of manipulations and deceit practiced by the . . . ah . . . C. Oran Mensick. The Bill has the endorsement of the Savings and Loan Commissioner, John Lanagan, and the Illinois Savings and Loan League. It passed the Banks and Savings Committee without a dissenting vote. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion on this Bill? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 110 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. For what reason does the Lady from Lake arise?"

Geo-Karis: "How am I, excuse me, how am I recorded, Sir. I . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "How is the Lady recorded?"

Geo-Karis: ". . . I took the wrong number."



Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative . . . ah . . . with leave of the House, we'll move back to Motions again. The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Clerk Selcke: "930 is out of the Record."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to ask leave to suspend the appropriate rules to House . . . Senate Bill 1185, which is a \$7,000 deficiency for the Illinois Public Employees' Pension Laws Commission could be heard in the Appropriation's Committee this week; Senate Bill 1185."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Is leave granted to the Gentleman? The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "If I understood the Motion correctly it was simply that Senate Bill 1185 would be heard in Committee this week. I would . . . ah . . . first ask the Chairman, how many House Bills there is? He's not anticipating putting that ahead of hearing House Bills that . . . ah . . . we must get out of this House, I would assume."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "House Bills are going to have top priority."

Choate: "Thank you, then I have no objections."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Then there are no objections. Does he have leave? So ordered. Ah . . . Representative Rigney, for what reason do you arise?"

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have . . . ah . . . permission of the House to have House Bill 1973 . . . ah . . . introduced and heard in Revenue Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman . . . it's on . . . ah . . . it's been read a first time here . . ."

Rigney: "Yes, it has."



Speaker Murphy: ". . . all right, the Gentleman asks leave to have House Bill 1973 heard in Revenue tomorrow.

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, as I understand it, the Representative is asking that the six and a half day rule be suspended so that a revenue Bill may be heard in Committee tomorrow morning; and I simply suggest that perhaps he describe the Bill so that we know what we're voting upon."

Speaker Murphy: "That's a proper request. The Representative from Stephenson, Representative Rigney."

Clerk Selcke: "Who's that . . . Rigney."

Rigney: "House Bill 1973 would set up an escrow fund for personal property taxes so that all personal property taxes that are being paid on farm equipment and farm inventory and also property taxes that are . . . were to be exempt under the \$5,000 exemption . . . ah . . . all of these would be considered to have been paid under protest. So in the event that we do have a reversal by the Illinois Supreme Court that these people who have paid these personal property taxes would get their money back."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I have no . . . no quarrel with the concept, but there's one thing I'd like to ask the Gentleman. As I understand it, the Department of Local and Governmental Affairs has got to set up some means or manner of the \$5,000 exemption, because as I understand that . . . ah . . . the state will reimburse the counties for that loss. Is that correct?"

Rigney: "I . . ."

Shea: "That isn't just a straight exemption, you got to as an individual go in and claim it on a form to be approved by the Department of Local and Governmental



Affairs, and based on that is what the state is going to make the reimbursement on, and there may be some problems with that . . . with that question."

Rigney: "... Well, Mr. Shea, do you know . . . ah . . . will the individual taxpayer get his money back?"

Shea: "I . . . I have no idea because . . ."

Rigney: "What I . . ."

Shea: ". . . he's got to . . . he's got to . . . the individual taxpayer has got to first go in and prove that he's got the exemption, you know, he's got to apply for it; and as I understand the law, no individual is concerned with personal property tax in this state as an individual anymore."

Rigney: ". . . Well, this is true, but . . . ah . . . the \$5,000 exemption applies to all personal property taxpayers, individuals, corporations and so forth, and I did a little checking in my own county, and I found out that only two taxpayers to date have paid under protest. Apparently, many are not aware that the potentially could have a refund coming; and I'm thinking about the small corporations and so forth . . . ah . . . that are located within our various communities, they would be entitled to a refund, and I'm just trying to support them."

Shea: "Well, I . . . I understand your problem, and I'd like to do the best I can to help them, and I have no problem, but I think you'd better talk to somebody like Kenny Miller about the way they sent this thing up."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Are you objecting? No. Representative Schlickman. All right, the Gentleman have leave for his Motion? Hearing no objections, it is so granted. The Chair thinks we need a Roll Call on . . . ah . . . that last Motion, instead of leave of the House. House Bill 1973 be heard in Committee tomorrow. So all in



favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'; and it needs 107 votes. Ah . . . Again, I remind you it needs 107 votes to perform this leave of the House. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Motion . . . these two Motions having received the majority are, hereby, declared adopted. House Bills on Third Reading. House Bill 771."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Do you want to ring the bell, Murph'? House Bill 771, Waddell, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Registration and Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've cleared this with both sides of the aisle to have it called. This is an appropriation Bill that concerns itself with the new science of weather modification and its control. This Bill takes \$15,000, it's appropriated to the Department of Registration and Education so that we in the State of Illinois can have control over the new science of seeding the clouds. The Bill itself and 770 that you had here before went out of here 144 to nothing, and this is merely the appropriation for that. I enlist your favorable support."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is on House Bill 771; will this Bill pass? All in favor signify by saying 'aye' . . . ah . . . voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 125 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 807."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 807, Barry, appropriation for the expenses of the Legislative Council. Third Reading of



the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 . . . ah . . . this is the annual appropriation for the
 Legislative Council, it's some \$10,000 less than a
 prior year. I'd ask your approval please."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question
 is, shall this Bill pass? All those in favor signify
 by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have
 all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record.
 On this question there are 121 'ayes' and no 'nays';
 this Bill having received the constitutional majority
 is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1570."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1570, Barry, appropriation to
 the Department of Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative
 Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
 . . . ah . . . this is the appropriation Bill for what
 we call . . . ah . . . M.I.D., the legislative services
 by computers. The same appropriation as the previous
 year, \$525,000."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not,
 the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor
 signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.
 Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record.
 On this question there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays'; this
 Bill having received the constitutional majority is,
 hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1729."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1729, J. M. Houlihan, an Act to
 limit the traded-in tangible personal property exemption
 in the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act and so forth.
 Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.



Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've talked with . . . ah . . . both sides of the aisle. This . . . the revenue Bill was suggested by the Department of Revenue, and it . . . ah . . . is not controversial and it has one element in language, which we're working on . . . ah . . . Willard Ice is working on it, we'll clean it up in the Senate; . . . ah . . . and I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunn. Back here, Representative Dunn, up on the aisle."

Dunn: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the General Assembly, I'd like for the Sponsor to yield for a question, please."

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "Representative Houlihan, is this the Bill they talked about in Revenue Committee that . . . ah . . . would not allow the farmers to trade a tractor in for . . . ah . . . an automobile or something like that?"

Houlihan, J.: "Ah . . . Ralph, yeah, this was the Bill that was talked about and then we amended it . . . ah . . . according to . . . with Kenny Miller's suggestion to solve that problem; and I don't think that problem exists now."

Dunn: "Fine, thank you. I'm not going to vote for it. I . . . ah . . . not sure it's cleaned up. It seems like it might affect farm areas in the . . . in downstate. I'm sure it won't affect Chicago people who don't have tractors to trade in for automobiles or who don't haul any grains in town and trade it for an automobile; but I think downstate it may have a problem. I . . . I . . . I'm going to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller, would you wish to maybe clarify that?"

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, all this Bill does now is to say



that if a farmer, this is an example, it could apply other places, if a farmer trades in say 500 bushels of beans for a tractor, he is going to be required to pay the sales tax on the full cost price of the tractor. In other words, it's not on the difference between the value of the beans and the value of the tractor. Now, I think the language is . . . is substantially clear now on the . . . where the Amendment has been introduced so that it will not in any way interfere with the other types of trade . . . ah . . . similar, for example, if a farmer trades a plow in for a tractor, he only is going to pay sales tax on the difference. Just like the law is now; but there's been . . . ah . . . many, many cases, I understand, from the Department of Revenue where a . . . a farmer has been trading grain, it's something that has nothing to do with sales tax, in on farm machinery, and he has been . . . ah . . . charged only a tax on the food that he pays. Now, this is not right. We all recognize it isn't right because, as I said a moment ago, the grain has . . . is not involved in any way with sales tax. So, therefore, rather than selling his grain, getting the cash and buying the tractor, he's trying . . . trying by this method to avoid the payment of sales tax that's properly due the State of Illinois. Now, I think every Member on this House knows that . . . that I'm very strongly in favor of protecting in every case the rights of farmers. I . . . I think my record here in five terms shows that; and I think this . . . this Bill is all right, Mr. Speaker; and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, is recog . . ."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Miller is absolutely right, and what this boils down to and what the Amendment that Representative Houlihan worked out actually does is it makes



it absolutely clear to the Department of Revenue and other people involved. As an example, to continue on what Representative Miller said, if this farmer sells the 500 bushel of beans and goes in and buys that tractor, he pays sales tax on the complete and absolute retail price of that tractor, and if he, as an example, trades an older tractor in on a tractor, he pays the difference; but if he goes and sells that older tractor and then and goes and buys that new tractor, he still pays the sales tax on the complete retail price of that tractor. All this does is make it clear . . . ah . . . to the Department of Revenue and . . . and those involved as far as the sales tax is concerned. It's in the interest of the General Revenue of the state, and it's in the interest of the collecting . . . collecting agencies as far as sales tax is concerned. It's not penalizing a soul."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, being an implement dealer and an automobile dealer, I am somewhat familiar with this that this has been done. I think there's nothing wrong with this Bill. I've seen cases where farmers have traded in soy beans, for example, on a new tractor and only paid the difference. I don't think is fair to the general public; and, therefore, I think it's a good Bill and should be supported."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 111 'ayes' and 8 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ebbesen 'aye'. McCourt 'aye'. Ah . . . And House Joint Resolution, we'll move to House Joint Resolution 31."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 31, Barry, et al."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe this is non-controversial, primarily it's the annual Resolution for the continuation of . . . ah . . . of our legislative electronic prophecies for the printing of Bills, Amendments, et cetera; but there are a couple of minor changes, one of which is that the Chairman of that Commission, 20-man Commission, will now be chosen by the Members as opposed to the temporary Chairman being the operator of M.I.D. as it was in the past. One other aspect of it is that we add three Members. We raised it from 17 to 20 Members. Three Members of the Inter-governmental Cooperation Commission, a majority and a minority Member and an executive secretary, thereof; I ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Representative Barry, this Resolution has an Amendment on it. Do you wish to move for the adoption of the Amendment?"

Barry: "Yes, I would move the adoption of the Amendment, firstly."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the question is on the adoption of the Amendment . . . Amendment to House Joint Resolution 31. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Now, the question is on the Amendment. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'."

Barry: "On the Resolution . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "On the Resolution, House Joint Resolution 31. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 118 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill have . . . this Resolution having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."



Back on House Bills, Third Reading; House Bill 1807."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1807, McCourt, a Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt, is recognized."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a . . . a merely Bill. It merely allows the municipality to use motor fuel tax funds for repair and maintenance of alleys. At the present time, they can use these funds besides the normal street maintenance for sidewalk repair, for the bicycle signs and bicycle paths, and this just gives the local community a little more flexibility in maintaining the community up to today's standard and I . . . ah . . . hope I can get your support."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', 3 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1562."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1562, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to civil administration of State Government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1562 is a Bill that's designed to amend the Civil Administration Code to allow to the Department of General Services' Information Division to sell information services it provides to various interested parties. It previously gave this information away, and here's an opportunity for the Department to make some money on its own to help . . . ah . . . our fiscal . . ."



situation. This Bill has been cleared with both sides of the aisle. It's a non-controversial matter, and I'd appreciate your favorable response."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Grotberg, is recognized."

Grotberg: "It's Kane, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . May I ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Yourell . . . ah . . ."

Grotberg: ". . . As . . . as a promoter of the Direct Mail Program . . ."

Speaker Murphy: ". . . he yields."

Grotberg: ". . . does this include the selling of state mailing lists?"

Yourell: "No, this is . . . this is a prostitutes' Bill, they're going to sell now what they used to give away."

Grotberg: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Oh, oh. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 101 'ayes', 11 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Simms 'aye'. House Bill 1838."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1838, Merlo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am the Sponsor of 1838 and a series of 13 related pension Bills that were heard by the Veterans' Affairs and Pension Committee. The Committee recommended that all 13 Bills be placed on the Consent; but at the request, however, of one of the Members, I . . . ah . . . have reason to request that they be removed from the Consent Calendar."



However, to date only Amendments will be offered to two of the thirteen Bills. As a result, Mr. Speaker, if there are no objections, and in the interest of time, I would like to request leave of the House to hear the other 11 Bills together. I can assure the Members of the House that they are clarifying Bills."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question? John, are . . . these are the . . . the Bills that you do not intend to amend at this time?"

Merlo: "This is correct, Representative Wolf."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Representative Merlo. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would like to have heard at this time, Bill . . . House Bills 1838, 1840, 1841, 1842, 1869, 1870, 1872, 1873, 1874, 1889 and 1915; and now, Mr. Speaker, these Bills as I mentioned before have been on the Consent Calendar and they are approved, 10 of them are approved by the Veterans' Affairs, pardon me, the Illinois . . . ah . . . Pension Laws' Commission."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall these . . . oh they have to be read . . . all right, these 10 Bills will be read by the Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1840, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1841, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1842, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1869, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1870, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1872, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the



Bill. House Bill 1873, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1874, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1889, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1915, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the question is, shall these Bills pass? This is all on one Roll Call. The Gentleman . . . Representative Bradley is recognized."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just have one question, John, in 18 . . . ah . . . 1840. You're just changing the word, it says in the synopsis, from 'through' to 'to', but 'through' to 'to' on what?"

Merlo: "Well, the way it reads here, 'The terms used in this Article shall have the same meaning described in them in Section 18.104 through . . . through 18.118, except when the concepts otherwise require'. It's just a clarifying Amendment, the way I understand it, . . . ah . . . Bradley."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall these Bills pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 134 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and these Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 834."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 834, Kempiners, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill which will provide a means for . . . ah . . . people that are in one Park District



contiguous with another to be annexed from one and go into another. This is where the Park Districts are on two contiguous . . . ah . . . communities . . . ah . . . The Bill has been amended so that it is supported or at least . . . ah . . . an Amendment was placed on it written by the Illinois Association of Park Districts and has their support. Ah . . . I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 120 'ayes' . . . 120 'ayes', no 'nays'; these . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1560."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1560, Hirschfeld, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a very simple Bill. In the last Session of the General Assembly, we passed a law, I believe it was introduced by Representative Pierce, which requires special education privileges for those three years of age and over. Unfortunately, we never passed any type of legislation requiring the children to be registered at three years of age so we can discover which children need the services. All this Bill will do is to require registration of children three years of age or older and I have been asked to put it in by the superintendents of the various educational regions. I'd appreciate an affirmative vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question



is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 113 'ayes' and 10 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ah . . . Representative Friedland, what was the number of that Bill?"

Friedland: "782."

Speaker Murphy: "House Bill 782."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 782, Friedland, a Bill for an Act to amend the Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 782 is a Municipal Problem's Commission Bill, it has bi-partisan . . . ah . . . sponsorship, and it has one . . . ah . . . Amendment offered by the Department of Revenue to clean it up a little bit; and I'd urge your adoption of the Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative W. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, this . . . this seems to be kind of a . . . ah . . . from the synopsis that I have, seems to be kind of significant. Ah . . . Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Is it correct that this . . . ah . . . amends the Income Tax Act and excludes interest on . . . ah . . . state and local governmental bonds . . . ah . . . in arriving at base taxable income for . . . ah . . . state income tax purposes?"

Friedland: "Ah . . . You got it; but it's been amended. It's better. You'll love . . . you'll love . . ."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't agree that it's a good



Bill. I . . . ah . . . respectfully submit that . . . ah . . . this is not a very good idea, and that the unfortunate part of it is it does for the . . . to the state income tax what the exemption on municipal bonds does for the Federal Income Tax that permits the rich to get richer . . . ah . . . and does not tax those people who are best able to pay a tax. So I would . . . ah . . . urge that you vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I'd appreciate to have the Bill taken out of the Record, and I will check with the Majority Leader."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, we'll take the Bill out of the Record. House Bill 926."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 926, R. K. Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman, R.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 926 amends the Illinois Municipal Code by changing in the statute presently the word 'penalty' to 'service charge'. There's one Amendment that deletes one paragraph, and the reason we are changing the one word is now under the Federal interpretation. The service charge is tax deductible, and we're doing it for this reason; and I solicit your support."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Merlo 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', 2 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. The House will



be at ease for about five minutes. House Bill 1810."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1810, McCourt, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt, is recognized. Representative Choate, he's not on the floor. Take it out of the Record. All right, House Bills, Third Reading. Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's my pleasure to introduce in the . . . ah . . . balcony to my right, on the Republican side, the lovely wife of . . . ah . . . colleague, Don Deuster, Kay Deuster, and their two little children. Oh, I beg your pardon, they're over here."

Speaker Murphy: "They're in the Speaker's Gallery, to the left of the Speaker. Now, of course, this is . . . we're on our . . . our last run down on the House Bills on Third Reading, and so we certainly hope that the Members will stay on the floor so that they can be called; and the Member will be there when the Bills are called. We're a little light yet, but . . . ah . . . we want to give everybody a fair hearing, but we do have to clear this Calendar. So we ask for your cooperation in this respect."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 950, Maragos."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the Record, he's not here."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 966, Telcser; out of the Record."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "How about . . . appropriations . . . are we going to have to call them? He gave me a list. House Bill 1288, Rose."

Speaker Murphy: "Is Representative Rose on the floor? Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1430, Deuster, a Bill for an Act to amend the Toll Highway Authority Act. Third Reading



of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Grundy on the floor? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative John Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill has been called up several times. There's a companion appropriation Bill, and we're waiting for that. So I would request that it be taken out of the Record."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1091, Merlo."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo, on the floor? Take it out of the Record for the moment."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1431 . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to make a parliamentary inquiry that might be helpful to other Sponsors who may have Bills similarly situated. It is my understanding, and I'd request the ruling of the Chair that Bills . . . ah . . . that have a companion appropriation Bill are not ex . . . do not have to be handled today and are . . . and are exempt from the time limitation; is that correct, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Murphy: "The Chairman of the Appropriation's is off the floor? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Union . . . oh . . . no, that is correct. The . . . ah . . . Representative Washburn is right here. That is correct."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1431, Berman."

Speaker Murphy: "He's not here. Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1095, Palmer."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Palmer is not here, take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1760, Telcser."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Telcser, is he on the floor?"



Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1060, Duff . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1405, Blair . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Union, are you handling 1405 for the Speaker? 1405."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . creates service for the Aging Act."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading . . ."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would be happy to handle this Bill, although the Speaker of the House, Robert Blair, is the principle Sponsor. This Bill was brought about through a detailed study of a group of people headed by the Lieutenant Governor, Neil Hartigan, to create a new Department of Aging and Aged to benefit the unfortunate people of this state who fall within that crat . . . category. It will be administered by them. It will not cost any new monies. It will do something that I feel . . . ah . . . this Legis . . . this state has long been neglectful about doing; but before we vote the Bill on . . . ah . . . Third Reading, we do have an Amendment that we want . . . we would like to take this Bill back to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment, but I wanted the Membership to be aware of what the Bill did prior to putting on this clarifying Amendment. So I would ask, Mr. Speaker, that the Bill be called back to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any objections? Leave is granted."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Blair, amends House Bill 1405 by deleting line 1 and 2, inclusive in their entirety, inserting in lieu, thereof, the following and so forth."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Representative Choate. Is there any discussion?"



Choate: "Wait . . . wait a minute."

Speaker Murphy: "Oh, the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would now ask that the House bear with me and table Amendment #3 so that we might put Amendment #4 on."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is on favoring of Amendment #3. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is tabled."

Choate: "Now, actually what had happened, Mr. Speaker, as far as the salary for the . . . ah . . . Director of this new Department was concerned. In one Section, it said that his salary would be \$30,000, and another Section it said it would be \$25,000. An . . . Amendment #4 clarifies that and makes the Bill consistent to the extent that the salary in all Sections, when you mention the Director, will be \$30,000; and I would move . . . ah . . . for the adoption of Amendment . . . House Amendment #4 to House Bill 1405."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is on the adoption of . . . ah . . . Amendment #4. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading.. Now, do you wish to proceed with the Bill?"

Choate: "Now, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . the remarks that I made to draw the House's attention to this Bill . . . ah . . . I will not reiterate. I will say that . . . ah . . . the Bill come out of Committee unanimously. I'm sure that everyone on the floor is aware of the contents of the Bill because of a statement made by the Speaker of the House, myself, and others concerning this very worthwhile piece of legislation, I would ask that the House give an overwhelming vote of support in behalf of this legislation as well as the aged and aging in the State of Illinois."



Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre, is recognized."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a matter of extreme importance, and I don't want to take up the time of the House to give you the prepared speech that I had on this matter. Ah . . . But Lieutenant Governor Hartigan did ask me, since I was in favor of . . . of the measure, to speak on it. I would merely like to point out to you that there are some 20 . . . some 32 programs that are now being handled by some 20 departments of the state, most of these are several programs involving Federal funds on a matching basis of 9 to 1. This new department will coordinate and handle the new funds that are to come out of the Aging Act that was the result of Amendments to . . . ah . . . Federal legislation in this area. This is a fine piece of legislation. We are finally directing ourselves to the needs of the elderly in the State of Illinois; and I urge the adoption of this measure. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates that he will. The Gentleman from Union."

Schlickman: "Representative, you made the statement that by the creation of this new department, it won't cost the state or the taxpayers any money. However, I do not that a fiscal note . . . ah . . . may be applicable according to the Reference Bureau, so that it appears that at least some people think that the passage of this Bill will have fiscal implications. I wondering if you could comment on that?"

Choate: "Well, there's already better than \$3,000,000 allocated in the department that handle these matters at the present



time, that will be transferred as well as certain key personnel into the new agency. I've also been advised by ones who are much more knowledgeable in this matter than I am that by the creation of the new department we will be susceptible at least to much more Federal funding with the possibility that it would actually cost less in the long run than we're spending at the present time."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I may direct myself to the Bill, and my comment in no way reflect upon the needs of our Senior Citizens. Ah . . . I join with all Members of the House in being concerned about their plight, and anxious . . . ah . . . to support their interests. However, I think we ought to look at this not so much . . . ah . . . substantively in terms of our Senior Citizens, but we should look at it in terms of the effect on the structure of State Government. There is in Illinois . . . there is among the other 49 states, there is at the Federal level a crying need for executive reorganization; and the new thrust, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, of the executive reorganization is to reduce . . . is to reduce the number of persons who are reporting directly to the Chief Executive officer, which in this case is the Governor of the State of Illinois. Now, the previous Governor, Governor Ogilvie, was very much concerned about the need for executive reorganization in Illinois; and after three years of service as Governor, he caused to be created a Task Force to look . . . to look at this monumental task of attempting to organize and administer State Government; and he appointed an individual with management experience, and this individual spent many, many months studying the composition form and structure of Illinois State Government; and the result of his efforts was a report entitled, 'Beyond Bureaucracy'. I'm afraid, Mr.



Speaker and Members of the House, that if we follow the approach that is contained in this Bill, we're simply going to compound an already compounded problem, and I think in the process we may inadvertently defeat the purpose of this Act. I must in terms of striving for efficient executive organization which will result in effective efficient services to the people of the State of Illinois oppose the approach that's contained in this Bill, and as a consequence, will vote 'no'."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you; Mr. Speaker, in contrast to the previous Speaker, I stand to urge the support of this legislation. Having worked with the . . . ah . . . Lieutenant Governor and many of the aspects that have resulted in this report. I know the background and the research that has gone into it. I know that the legislative background that gave rise to this legislation was well thought out, well produced, and coming from an area which this statistically has one of the greatest populations of Senior Citizens. I'm very please to rise in support of this fine piece of legislation."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis . . . the Lady from Lake, that's . . . that . . . got me confused."

Geo-Karis; "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm in full agreement of the prior Speaker, because I do feel that this Bill has its purpose is to provide a comprehensive and coordinated service system for the state aging or senior population, and I think it will give higher priority to those persons in greatest need, and does conduct studies and research of the needs and problems of the aged; and I think it inserts participation by the aged in the planning and operation of all phases of the system, and I think it's a very needed



Bill. It's high time we remembered our adult Senior Citizens, they've made their contribution, we still have to make ours, and I'm for this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Murphy: "The previous question has been moved. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman from Union may close the debate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I tend to agree in part with the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, that there is too much bureaucracy, not only in Federal Government today, but, yes, it State Government; but I will tell you this, that if your visits on . . . at home on the weekends like my visits at home on the weekends now, you are constantly asked questions by the aged and aging in this state to help solve their problems. They have to go to various departments, various state agencies today to find out whether black lung is applica . . . applicable in their case, where they get their old age retirement, how they can talk to someone about unemployment compensation, how they can talk to someone about a blind pension, all of the services that is supported by this state. I know that the Speaker, and I've talked for a long time before we decided that a new department in this area was of the utmost importance to these people, and I'm saying to you today, if there's a segment of our society in this, it's the people we're talking about here today that is deserving of a little special attention, the ones that helped make Illinois what it is today, the ones that helped make America what it is today, and yet when they come to Springfield, or they write to Springfield or they call to Springfield, they're settled from agency to



another agency. I say to you that this is a step in the right direction to help these people by cr . . . the creation of this department; and I would urge a favorable vote by the Membership, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, a brief explanation of my vote, and I know it's not necessary, but I just want to remind this Body that this a step forward and it's contrary to one that the Governor recently took when he announced that the Governor's Committee for Senior Citizens was no longer going to speak on behalf of Senior Citizens; and I think that this is imperative. It's the voice of the Legislature saying, 'It's time that the Senior Citizens are represented in State Government'; and I'm very happy today to cast a 'yes' vote on this legislation."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grothberg."

Grothberg: "Mr. Speaker, very quickly, I'm proud to cast my first conflict of interest vote. I operate a Senior Citizens residence for the Lutheran Welfare Services of Illinois in St. Charles, and nothing would give me greater pleasure that they called to task for voting green on this issue."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. Murphy 'aye'. On this question there are 144 'ayes' and 3 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1091, Merlo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative . . .



. . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, for what reason do you arise?"

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Is this on the Priority of Call, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Murphy: "This one was just passed about five minutes ago, ten minutes ago, they're off the floor, yes."

Walsh, W.: "Okay."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1091 as presented to you at this time of the day is the result of the suggestion and recommendations of Congressman Derwinski, Congressman Rostenkowski, and the formula as set forth in the Bill was worked out by the Election Committee of this House, chaired by Representative Bluthardt. The effects of the Bill is to insure that the election to fill a congressional vacancy would take place within 115 days of the issuance of the writ of election by the Governor. The two major points of the Bill are that the Governor would be required to issue the writ of election within five days of the vacancy and that no special election would be held if the vacancy occurs within 180 days of a regular scheduled General Election; and, Mr. Speaker, this Bill has the support of both Republican as well as Democratic Congressman; and I might mention Congressman Railsback, Congressman McClory, Congressman O'Brien, Congressman Young, Congressman Hanrahan, Congressman Michel, Congressman Findley, Congressman Derwinski and Congressman Rostenkowski. It also has the support of an editorial of the Daily News dated 4/22/73; and I ask your favorable consideration of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative W. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could ask the Gentleman to take this Bill out of the Record. We have



several hours before . . . ah . . . House Bills in the House die, and . . . ah . . . we have another one dealing with a similar subject that we'd certainly like to talk to the Gentleman and some of the other Members over there about, and I think we might be in a better position to talk with them if this were . . . ah . . . out of the Record."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've delayed this Bill for a number of days. I do honestly feel that this certainly should not be held any longer, basically because it does have a bipartisan support. It's quite obvious that the Congressmen that have been elected out of this State of Illinois feel that it's a needed piece of legislation; and, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would rather that we have a vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Further discussion? Ah . . . The Representative from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I fail to see where this is so all-fired important, inasmuch as we do have legislation and the methods of filling congressional vacancies; and as far as I know, there are no congressional vacancies open in Illinois now, including the Collins . . . which . . . ah . . . vacancy that is presently about to be filled by special election. We don't have a method for filling vacancies in the General Assembly. That's the important thing. Where are the priorities that we must lessen the time in which a congressional vacancy can be filled and yet have no legislation whatsoever as to when a General Assembly vacancy should be filled, and we do have a vacancy in the General Assembly, and some people are being cheated, are not being properly represented down here because of politics being played with House Bill 452. Talk about editorial support, 452 has editorial support, too; but it doesn't



a Democratic support. We're going to play politics with this, are we? I had 11 commitments on that other side last week when 452 was pulled back for consideration, 9 of them took a walk when they got word from the Governor that he didn't want this passed, he didn't want a method for filling the vacancy because perhaps we would be able to override a veto of his in Fall. That's ridiculous, he's supposed to be a non-political Governor; and if that's non-political, he's a phony Governor."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, arise?"

Yourell: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I think we're discussing the merits of House Bill 1091, and not House Bill 1452, 452."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Proceed."

Bluthardt: "May I have the mic' please?"

Speaker Murphy: "Representative . . ."

Bluthardt: "I agree with you, we're discussing it, but I think it's also important that we see that this is the difference here, that there is politics being played with one. I intend to vote for this Bill. I'd be a hypocrite if I didn't; but I think when you all vote for 452, you're being hypocritical too."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Close . . . and then I'll explain my vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, W. Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, I . . . I agree with everything that the Chairman of the Election's Commission . . . Committee just said, except for his conclusion, that he intends to vote for it. I intend to vote for it too, but not at the moment. It just seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that the people on the other side of the aisle are being absolutely irresponsible and are . . . are not addressing



themselves to where the problem really lies. We have a vacancy in this Body, we have about 200,000 who are not properly represented. The Constitution mandates that we address ourselves to getting . . . to providing a procedure so that these people may be represented. There is no vacancy in Congress, there are . . . doesn't promise to be a vacancy after tomorrow when Lar Daley is elected. I would . . . I think our . . . I think our request of the Gentleman to take this out of the Record for the balance of the day or until about 5 o'clock this evening was entirely reasonable; and I would ask anybody who is also reasonable to withhold their vote on this matter until later in the day when, hopefully, we can provide for the mechanism for getting a replacement for our departed colleague, Ben Blades."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, wish recognition?"

Choate: "Well, it always depends on what the Session is all about, but when the Session is all about, and whose ox is being gored. I can well remember when the Gentlemen that just finished speaking turned a deaf ear, turned a deaf ear to the pleads and the cries for help from this side of the aisle only less than two short years ago. Now, now, he wants to say that he is being responsible and these people on this side are not being responsible, and I was amazed to hear the other Gentleman saying that the Governor had sent up word because evidently the Governor had said something to him that he hasn't said to some of us. I don't know why he's trying to drag . . . drag the Governor into this because the Governor doesn't have a vote on this side of the aisle, but he does have the prerogative of signing a Bill into law or not signing it into law; and I have had no indication whether he would veto or whether he would sign a Bill of this nature into law. Legislative vacancies for both of those



Gentlemen's information, if they're not aware of some of the legislation pending, is provided for in the new Election Code, provided for in the new Election Code. Why are they getting so disturbed about something that was asked for by Republican and Democratic Members both from the United State's House of Representatives? Why are they becoming so disturbed about a request on a bipartisan nature from the halls of Congress as far as the legislative vacancy is concerned. I don't know what their motive is. I don't know why they want to oppose this legislation. I don't know why they inject the Governor into a debate that is the primary concern of this House of Representatives and the State Senate, must be a little fearful of the man on the second floor and the reputation that he has amongst the majority of the people of this state, which is a good reputation, which is a reputation that the people are sustaining his position in these halls of the Legislature. I think that we should vote this Bill out, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland, is recognized."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Murphy: "The previous question has been moved. All in favor of the previous question signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo, may close the debate."

Merlo: "In answer to some of the questions, Mr. Speaker, where the inference was that there are provisions in the present statute that would set the guidelines for a special election. I want to make this very clear that the reason that this Bill was introduced is the fact that today in our statute there's no provision as to the time the Government . . . Governor is required to issue a writ of election, which means, of course, that



he is free to call the election to suit his own pleasure or his whim. Secondly, I don't know how an argument could be presented with the thought in mind that this is political. I have at least 10 letters in my file here from Republican Congressmen that want this, who more than they would know the importance of a piece of legislation of this type? Today, or tomorrow rather, I believe George Collins' vacancy will be filled. A period of 180 days will have elapsed, and yet the national average is 103 days; and one other point, there's such a very difference between this and what the Republican Leadership has mentioned. This is to call a special election for the filling of a vacancy. It is not for an appointed vacancy; and, therefore, I really in all fairness ask your support of this most important Bill to the people of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, is recognized."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Minority Leader said that we did not address ourselves to this matter a year ago, and it all depends on whose ox is being gored, et cetera. I'd like to remind him and anyone else who is listening that we did pass a legislative vacancy Bill two years ago. We addressed ourselves to the problem when a problem didn't even exist. We were mandated at that time by the Constitution to do it, just as we are now. If you will recall, Mr. Minority Leader, what happened was that the Governor vetoed it. Now, we are doing it again. We are addressing ourselves to the problem again, and we're hoping that the Governor will not veto it if we can get the votes from your side of the aisle. Now, I suggest to you that our request is reasonable, that this Bill be



held until we consider the legislative vacancy Bill where this unquestionably a great amount of emergency. Now, I implore you not to vote 'yes' on this Bill, don't necessarily vote 'no', but don't vote 'yes' on this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas, is recongized."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, once each Session I feel it's my duty to stand up and to complain when this kind of activity takes place. I consider myself to be as much of an independent as I consider myself to be a Member of one party. I ran as an independent, and everytime I talk about independence, someone tells me about the virtues of the great two-party system in the United States. We here we have an example of childishness in the two-party system. We won't give this time because you didn't give last time. Well, I don't particularly feel that this is any credit to the way this House operates, because we won't give in now because you didn't give in last month; and we're never going to solve the problem. Last Session, the Republicans were obviously guilty because they didn't want to fill a space across the rotunda. Now, I consider us just as guilty because we're not cooperating in filling a space on this side of the rotunda. Who's going to be next in this utterly foolish game? All we do is look foolish in the eyes of the citizens of the state, and I think we ought to wake up and cut out this nonsense. Somebody's going to have to break the ice. I vote 'present'."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Phil Collins."

Collins: "That's a tough one to follow, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I join the Majority Leader and Representative Bluthardt. Ah . . ."



I'm not impressed by arguments of what may or may not have happened in the past; but the truth is . . . ah . . . what happened is just what the Majority Leader said. We did pass a Bill assuming . . . providing for the legislative . . . ah . . . vacancies and it was vetoed by the Governor, that was not the fault of the Members of this House. We did act in a responsible fashion in passing that Bill; and I don't know who changed votes off the floor of the House on Representative Bluthardt's Bill last week, whether it was the Governor or someone else, but I know that there votes up there; but then when it came to the final Roll Call, somehow these votes had mysteriously disappeared. Somebody chased them off, whether it was the Governor or someone else; but it's unimportant, but as I think that we have overlooked the constitutional mandate that says that we will pass legislation providing for the filling of legislative vacancies; and I, for one, stood here and begged last week to put votes up on that board to pass that Bill and provide for that . . . ah . . . that legislative vacancy Bill. So I don't think that it's unreasonable that the . . . the Majority Leader would make a simple request of the other side that before we put votes up on the board on this Bill that we do sit down and provide for the filling vacancies of not only in Congressional seats, but in legislative seats. We do have this constitutional mandate that tells us that we must provide for these vacancies. I think it's reasonable that sometime this day the Sponsor of this Bill, the Sponsor of the other Bill, Representative Bluthardt, and any other . . . ah . . . Members of the Leadership, who are vitally interested in both Bills should sit down and make certain that we do provide for filling of vacancies in both . . . ah . . . in both the Congress and in the Legislature; and I would reiterate the Majority Leader's request, we



merely hold this Bill 'til a little later in the day so we can insure that the citizens of the state will be able to provide for representation, both in Washington and in Springfield."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "In explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, I feel that I might be able to get one more vote and that is Representative Douglas by assuring him that this will not affect an independent candidate. The independent candidate will file under the present laws. So maybe I'll have maybe four, who knows?"

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address my remarks to the Sponsor of this legislation and the Members on the other side of the aisle. As long as it appears that we are maybe playing games here a little bit this morning, may I make a suggestion, . . . ah . . . Mr. Sponsor, . . . and that is that we enter into an agreement here today that we pass both of these Bills, one is on Postponed Consideration. Let's have your side of the aisle agree Mr. Bluthardt to call his Bill off of Postponed Consideration and then consider, and this is very important, that these then be considered as companion Bills, and let's vote both of these Bills out of this House."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt. Representative Bluthardt, do you got him on?"

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I . . . I feel it incumbent to explain my vote because I have committed myself to the Gentleman to vote for this Bill, and once I give a commitment, I intend to live up to it. I hope there are now other Republicans on this side who made commitments, and . . . and don't feel obligated to vote



for this Bill. I'm going to vote for the Bill. I want to point out though that some reference was made to . . . ah . . . the words from the Governor. I was told by a most respected Member on that side of the aisle that he got the words directly from the Governor; and he apologized me . . . to me for taking a walk. I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Roll the . . . Roll the board, will you please. You haven't got enough, I know that. We can take it out of the Record, do you wish to Postponed Consideration. The call is on the board. Do I hear leave? All right, Consideration Postponed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1431, Berman, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1431 is a Bill which gives recognition to a limited number of school districts throughout the state who have operated . . . ah . . . on a unit basis, but whose combined population between the high schools and the grammar schools that feed into them . . . ah . . . would qualify them for a density bonus. Now, through a great extent with the passage of Representative Hoffman's School Aid Formula, the school district that would benefit under this or would probably take advantage of it is probably greatly reduced; but I think that the recognition of the effort that these districts put forth . . . ah . . . is entitled to some recognition and that is the reason for the introduction of House Bill 1431. Ah . . . There is . . . ah . . . 2, 4, 6 high schools, only 6 high school districts in the state that this would apply to, and I would appreciate an affirmative vote on House Bill 1431."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from



McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Murphy: "Indicates he will."

Skinner: "Could you please tell us which 6 school districts are involved?"

Berman: "Highland Park 113, Glenhard 87, Elmhurst 88, Oak Park River Forest 200, Nile 219 and Evanston 202."

Skinner: "May I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Skinner: "I would hope that Representative Hoffman would stand up and tell us about the negative attributes of this Bill, but since his home district is involved, I can see why he can't. The . . . ah . . . density bonus is just another way to funnel money out of the General of Aid Formula that would go to everybody, but didn't go to the . . . these specially picked districts. The density . . . the definition of density is . . . is involved in the number of students in a district. It has nothing whatsoever to do with district . . . with density. It has to do with the . . . with the number of students in a school district. Just to give you an example of the absurdity of the word 'density', one of the school districts in my legislative district, District 300, stretches from Hampshire to Carpentersville, and can surely not be considered a very dense district. In fact, it's one of the most rural districts, as I . . . one of the districts of the most farmland in my entire district. Ah . . . It seems to me this is a special interest Bill, and I think it should be defeated."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett, is recognized."

Juckett: "Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "How much will this Bill cost the State of Illinois?"

Berman: "The maximum exposure if all districts involved were



to take advantage of it, is 4.5 million."

Juckett: "Is this in the Governor's budget?"

Berman: "Well, the Governor has no budget on schools, if you mean if it's in Doctor Bakalis' budget, the answer is 'no'."

Juckett: "The Governor includes the Superintendent's budget when he submits his budget in his budget book, was it in that budget book?"

Berman: "Well, Representative Juckett, if you would have read the budget message regarding schools, the Governor disclaims the . . . or did not indicate any . . . ah . . . absorption of Doctor Bakalis' figure in his budget. That was . . . my answer."

Juckett: "So it's not in the Superintendent's budget, and it's not claimed by the Governor?"

Berman: "That's what my answer was, no."

Juckett: "Okay, well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and Mr. Speaker of the House, this is another attempt to try and circumvent the law and . . . ah . . . a special interest for the Sponsors of Evanston High School District. I think this is one of the worst kind of Bills. It perpetuates a bad situation. It extends a bad situation. It doesn't do anything for quality education. It doesn't look to quality education, and it's just bad all the way around; and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt, is recognized."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, the previous Speaker would lead one to believe that in Evanston we do not know what quality education is; and we all know that this is ludicrous. In our district, we have one of the highest standards for grammar school and high school districts in the state, if not in the country; and it's been nationally recognized. All this Bill does is to give some equity to an inequitable situation. If



we were a unit district in Evanston, we would qualify for the density factor; but because we, the people in Evanston, chose not to be unit district, chose how to determine their own affairs, we are being prejudice by . . . in a very inequitable manner. Now, if anything we as a House of Representatives is to do is to see that our people are properly represented and where inequities exist to eliminate them; and I urge all of you, whether you be Democrats or Republicans, whether you be from upstate or downstate, to recognize the inequity that exists here and to give this Bill a green light. This is not for one community, this is for the kids of the State of Illinois; and I solicit your support."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was interested to hear the Gentleman from Maine Township criticize this Bill on the basis that it's a special interest Bill. Ah . . . It seems to me the other day we heard a Bill . . . Bill about bus companies that was criticized on precisely the same ground. Maybe his position is that it's okay for buses, but it's not okay for school kids. Ah . . . I think this is a good Bill. Certainly one of the high school districts in my district would benefit; but so as the Sponsor pointed would other high school districts; and so as the last Speaker pointed out . . . ah . . . do dual districts which . . . ah . . . have consolidated their elementary and their high school districts. Now, it seems to me this is only fair if you have a unit district, you get the density bonus; if you have a dual district, you need it just as much; and if you can qualify on the same basis as unit districts, it seems to me that it's only fair that the dual districts ought to get it as well. I urge an 'aye' vote."



Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. Wolf. No, is there any further discussion? If not, the question is . . . oh, if not, the Sponsor may close the debate."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would point out that House Bill 1431, not only gives recognition to a number of areas that have had superior educational . . . ah . . . programs, and that we are providing here in an attempt not to penalize them under the old School Formula, but would also provide some incentive as far as the reorganization of some of these . . . ah . . . far-flung elementary districts to bring them under a single high school district, which is part of the program that has been espoused in studies made during the past two years. I think that the recognition of this . . . the dollar figure, I think . . . ah . . . although O.S.P.I. gave me this prin . . . print-out, . . . ah . . . is misleading because, as I indicated previously, I don't think that there are many districts that would actually benefit more under the old formula and House Bill 1431 as compared to how they would fare under Representative Hoffman's school Bill. So I think the fiscal impact is minimal, but I think the idea is a sound one; and I solicit and 'aye' vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative W. Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, I . . . I want to be excused for taking the time of the House again, but I simply cannot let a Bill like this come before us and not say a word. This is absolutely absurd. In the first place, as somebody has pointed out, there is not necessarily a relationship between density and educational problems, that to begin with; but there is certainly no relationship whatever to coterminous school districts and density and problems."



That is an absolute absurdity, and as was pointed out to me, of some 700 dual districts in the state, this picked up 7 of them, 5, 6 or 7, one of those, to give this special benefit to for the reason and for the exclusive reason that it benefits one school district in the state, one school district in the state is the one they're aiming at, and we all know which one that is. This is absurd, this is taking advantage of the school children of the State of Illinois for the benefit of a special interest group; and it . . . this Bill should be defeated."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? Have all voted who wish? Run the Record, would you please? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this . . . the Gentleman from Cook wish recognition?"

Berman: "I would ask that it be posted on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Murphy: "He asks leave for Postponed Consideration. Be on Postponed Consideration, leave is granted. House Bill 1612."

Clerk O'Brien. "House Bill 1612, Duff, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Appellate Defender Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Who's that? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, is recognized."

Duff: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1612 is responsive to a mandate of the United States Supreme Court to the effect that it is now necessary to furnish under Supreme Court decisions within the last year counsel to indigents who are accused of misdemeanors for which they can go to jail. Now, the burden of this on the state courts, the counties is conceivably very heavy. There's been a great deal of thought given as to possible methods to solve it. Ah . . . There is no question at all that it must be done. The burden on



the counties would be enormous and on local circuits, and we have thought to find a way by which an option according to need could be created for the Circuit Courts and the counties who would want to avail themselves of assistance from the state. House Bill 1612 uses the agency of the public appellate defender and says that 'If a Circuit with the majority of the vote of the judges in the circuit should ask that agency, then that agency could supply a public defender to that circuit as needed'. I would appreciate a fu . . . I'd be happy to answer questions on it; and if there none, I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "A question of the . . . Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, a question of the Sponsor, would you yield?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Grotberg: "Brian, I'm a Cosponsor of this Bill, and proud to be so. The facts are this Bill could conceivably save counties some money, am I correct on that?"

Duff: "Yes."

Grotberg: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty, is recognized."

Getty: "Ah . . . Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Murphy: "Indicates he will. Proceed."

Getty: "Ah . . . Representative Duff, has the appellate defender's office included . . . ah . . . this in his budget?"

Duff: "Ah . . . This isn't in this year's appropriation. Ah . . . As you can see from the terms of the Bill, this to be on an available service basis. The . . ."

Getty: "Well, the . . ."

Duff: ". . . they do, however, like the idea."

Getty: ". . . will this have any substantial fiscal impact to



the state?"

Duff: "I would say that it would eventually, but not initially."

Getty: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff, is that . . . Winnebago has a defender now. They seem to be able to handle their own problems. How is this going to penalize them?"

Duff: "Well, it won't penalize them a bit. They can continue to do so."

Giorgi: "What's the need for the Bill?"

Duff: "Well, there are many counties that aren't as lucky as Winnebago."

Giorgi: "You mean they don't have authority to create their own defender's office?"

Duff: "They don't have the money."

Giorgi: "Well, then, in other words, then the Bill . . . the state . . . you're saying that subtly that the state is going to pick up the tab for additional public defenders throughout the State of Illinois, is that what you're saying?"

Duff: "Well, it's the intention if they use it within the capacity of the public defender's office."

Giorgi: "And then would . . . then will the attorney that's appointed go in the Circuit Court of Claims and ask that his fee be paid . . . ah . . . because the counties won't be able to afford them?"

Duff: "No, this is in order to avoid that."

Giorgi: "This is in order to avoid it? What's this going to cost? Ah . . . know, the top of your head, yes."

Duff: "Well, as I . . . as I answered initially to the previous Representative who asked that question, eventually I think it could cost some money; initially I don't think it's going to have major significance or major impact on any of the present appropriations."



Giorgi: "Well . . . one last question, how is it being handled now, this problem?"

Duff: "Ah . . . It isn't being handled and never had to be handled, this is only responsive to Supreme Court decisions within the last year."

Giorgi: "Isn't the case now where the judges appoint for indigent people the necessary legal counsel so that they . . . their problems are taken care of, isn't that the case now?"

Duff: "That's been true under felonies. I would refer you to . . . ah . . . 'Gideon versus Waynewright', which . . . ah . . . case was passed some time back and has been responded to. More recently, 'Artisinger versus Eamlin', which is the California case of last summer before the United States Supreme Court. It's the reason for our having to act on this now."

Giorgi: "Is this at the request of the State Appellate Defender's office?"

Duff: "Ah . . . I had discussed it with them. They . . . ah . . . are reluctant to come and make a specific request for it. They do like the idea, however."

Giorgi: "In other words, they haven't formerly approved it?"

Duff: "Well, I proposed it to them, they didn't propose it to me; and they didn't . . . they do like the idea."

Giorgi: "Well, I . . . but you . . . they didn't approve it, officially?"

Duff: "Well, they're . . . they're ready to execute it and they told me that they would be willing to."

Giorgi: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Lechowicz: "Brian, two previous Speakers asked you for the



cost of this program, and you said the eventual cost may be excessive. What are the eventual costs?"

Duff: "Well, that's a very difficult thing to . . . ah . . . determine, Representative Lechowicz, because this is an optional selection here, and there is not a great deal of awareness of the need. The cases are new, but the cases are instant. I mean, we are . . . we are asked to do this under . . . ah . . . for example, the one case I referred to you before, 'Artisinger versus Hamlin' . . . ah . . . from last summer 1972, requires the appointment of counsel for an indigent dependant in any case like this, where the imprisonment may be more than six months."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, may I address myself just briefly to the Bill. This House passed the State Appellate Defender's budget last week, and in the Appropriation's Committee, the budget was thoroughly reviewed. In fact, it was commended as far as the dollar amount that they requested or the dollar amount that was requested for fiscal '74. At no time did they state the need for this specific Bill; and I would hope that this General Assembly in its wisdom would wait until this respective agency pointed out the definite needs and also the definite dollar costs. It would cost the taxpayer of the State of Illinois before we pass this legislation. I would hope that the Members of this General Assembly would not . . . at this time not vote for this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? Representative . . . ah . . . from Cook, Representative Duff, may close the debate."

Duff: "I understand, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the concern that is expressed there; but the provisions are clear that this is a permissive situation which will help the counties, and it is not a situation which we



can wait under, we are under a mandate. If we don't do this, it must be done in a way which will immediately put a burden on any court, on any circuit or a county . . . ah . . . that has the demand put upon it and this will be a considerably more efficient and considerably . . . ah . . . less expensive way to do it."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Ah . . . Representative from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a program which I found to be most worthwhile, and I thought that many people and . . . who had urged me to vote for this Bill, and I had felt that I probably would. However, I find this is one of those programs that was again funded with well meaning funds coming into the State of Illinois and now we see being transferred over for the state now to finance with the possibility that if it becomes mandatory, that the financing is going to be greater and greater. So, consequently, I'm going to ask that I be recorded 'present' on this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Record the Gentleman as 'present'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, to explain his vote."

Duff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I . . . will explain my vote in order to respond to the comment that was just made. This isn't a transfer over of funds that have been made available. When I said this is mandated, this is mandated . . . mandated by the United States Supreme Court decision that says that the court must do this, the courts of the state or the Bar Associations or somebody are required to offer these legal services. Now, there isn't any question about that. The only question is how to do it. Now, if you look at the alternative ways of how to do it, I . . . I would only say to you that those that



we considered and those that were . . . ah . . . suggested to us, . . . ah . . . we found to be inadequate or even more uncertain or even more burdensome to local . . . ah . . . counties. Ah . . . I really do believe that since we must do this, and this is the only chance we're going to have to do it, that it would be a favorable . . . ah . . . it would be a good idea to pass it. Now, I will say this in light of the . . . ah . . . questions that were raised by Representative, the Minority Spokesman of the Appropriation's Committee. Ah . . . If he would like . . . if we can pass this Bill, and we find some fiscal implications that are important to it, I will hold this Bill in the Senate and put an . . . a Bill for his consideration. I really do feel, however, that this is a most important subject, and we will not have for some time another opportunity to cope with it."

Speaker Murphy: "Record Representative Deavers as voting 'aye', would you please? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, it's still Kane. Ah . . . I . . . I just can't understand wha . . . where all those lights . . . no lights at all on a Bill like this, as we're trying to upgrade the system of . . . of justice in the State of Illinois to the upgrading of parole, now through the upgrading of the public defender function . . . ah . . . the . . . it's a people's Bill. The people will either . . . if there are fiscal implications, and I presume maybe there are downstream, that it will be a transfer of the function . . . on the overload function from the county to the state. It's still going to cost no matter where it's done. This is an improvement of service. For God's sake, where are those people who are concerned about the young people that . . . that are running into this problem in the inner-city, in the suburbs, in the urban situation in which I live . . . ah



. . . it . . . we have a crying need for just such thing, and I don't understand why people back off it. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "I agree with the previous Speaker. I don't understand the resistance to this Bill either. The Supreme Court has mandated that something be done in the area, and obviously something should be done whether or not the Supreme Court expresses itself or not. In effect, what you're saying is that . . . this Bill is saying that they want a guarantee brought before the Bar of Justice has an opportunity to avail themselves and exhaust all possible remedies. If we can't see that, we can't see anything. It's a very good Bill. I simply don't understand the opposition to it, and I'd urge you to . . . to cast a 'yes' vote for this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have always been an advocate of providing legal services for indigents; and for that reason, I want to re . . . to explain my vote. It seems to me that the real question on this Bill is not whether or not the services are going to be performed in accord with the Supreme Court directors, but who's going to pay for them; and what this Bill does, if I understand it correctly, is it will indeed shift the burden of paying for public defenders, both on the Circuit Court level and on the Appellate Court level, to the State of Illinois. If we're prepared to do that, I think we ought to approach it from a direct point of view and put in such a Bill; but so say we're going to allow the majority of Circuit Court judges from each circuit to decide whether or not these services are to be paid for by the county or by the



state, I don't think there's any question what decision they're going to make, and we're going to end up footing the Bill; and I would suggest a 'no' vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Please record me as 'present'."

Speaker Murphy: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'. For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook arise, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to save the House some time. I haven't put a Bill on Postponed Consideration yet this year . . . ah . . . we took one out of the Record the other day, however, but . . . ah . . . I don't have the votes to pass this right now. This is a good Bill, this is a well-considered solution, and because . . . ah . . . I think that some people would like to help and probably explain their votes and so forth. I appreciate that; but because it is a good Bill, I would request to take it out of the Record or put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Murphy: "Does the Gentleman have leave?"

Duff: "So I may have an opportunity to talk to some of the Gentlemen that have raised questions."

Speaker Murphy: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Well, now, there's nothing unusual about putting it on Postponed Consideration. We've been doing it all Session. I personally don't approve of it, but that's what we've been doing, so why not this? The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "I was not objecting to Postponed Consideration, inasmuch as . . . ah . . . Representative Merlo was not allowed to take his out of the Record. I would suggest that if you want to delay action on it, that you put it on Postponed Consideration, the same as you did Representative Merlo's."



Speaker Murphy: "That's exactly what we're doing, Representative Choate. So leave has been granted, put it on Postponed Consideration. Next Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "32 . . . House Bill 16 . . . ah . . . 32, R. L. Dunne, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask permission to take . . . ah . . . this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendments."

Speaker Murphy: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted, proceed."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #2 . . . Dunne, amends House Bill 1632 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, first of all, I want to table Amendment #1, and then call Amendment # . . . #'s 2 and 3."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman moves . . . or . . . ah . . . to table Amendment #1. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is tabled."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, amends House Bill 1632 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook."

Dunne: "Amendment #2, merely amends the Bill so that it conforms with the provisions that are in the existing Act that allow a veteran to have scholarships to public schools, and . . . ah . . . I move for its adoption."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman moves for adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Dunne, amends House Bill 1632



on page 1, line 21, and so forth."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook."

Dunne: "Amendment #3, the purpose for Amendment #3 is to conform the Bill with a Bill that was passed out . . . ah . . . Gene, what was the number of that Bill? . . . to conform with House Bill 353, which passed out of this House earlier. I must say I don't, personally, agree with the . . . ah . . . the Amendment, but it does . . . ah . . . it does . . . the purpose of it is to conform with the passage of a previous Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "And do you move the adoption?"

Dunne: "Reluctantly move for the adoption of this."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the question is on the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Ah . . . I would ask the Sponsor to hold it now for just a few minutes until I have an opportunity to look at the Amendments, maybe we can help, I don't know."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "May I address a question to the Speaker . . . to the Mem . . . to the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Washington: "I was under the impression that you were going to put an Amendment dealing with the question of part-time students. Now, did the first Amendment do that or . . ."

Dunne: "Yes, Harold, that took care of it. The part-time students are now included."

Washington: "That was the first Amendment?"

Dunne: "Yes."

Washington: "Would you address yourself to the language. I can't . . . I can't find the Amendment."



Dunne: "Well . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "The Amendment #2, you mean, don't you?"

Dunne: ". . . all I did was strike the line . . . the new Amendment, Harold, strike the line that . . . that includes graduate students. The next line is no longer struck . . ."

Washington: "Fine, fine, thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, Representative Dunne, are you going to accommodate Representative Choate's request? We'll come back to it in several minutes. All right, just hold that Bill. We'll take it out of the Record for a moment."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 6 . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "Third Reading on that Bill and then take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 1639, Philip, creates the Franchise Disclosure Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Unknown: "Out of the Record."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1777, Hart . . . ah . . . let's see, Choate . . . Clyde, are you going to handle 1777 for Hart? . . . House Bill 1777, an Act to amend Sections 1, 2, 6, 9 and 13 of and to add Section 6.1 and so forth to an Act for the regulation of mass gatherings. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "I'm . . . I just ask the Clerk to hold it . . . ah . . . a second because it isn't my Bill and let me familiarize myself with it."

Clerk Selcke: "All right, all right. 1288?"

Speaker Murphy: "I forgot that one, yeah."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1288, Rose, a Bill for an Act to



amend the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Rose."

Rose: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill permits Road Districts and townships to spend their share of M.F.T. for the maintenance, for the maintenance only, of non-M.F.T. constructed roads within their particular districts. Ah . . . Cities and counties presently have this authority. It's permissive on the part of the Road District or township. It passed the Committee unanimously, supported by the Department of Transportation. I appreciate your support."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Murphy 'aye'. On this question there's 110 'ayes' and 3 'nays'; and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . House Bill 1777, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act for the regulation of mass gatherings. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, is recog . . ."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Bill does simply is exactly what the Clerk read in the Act. Ah . . . It . . . it does make Amendment to the Act for the regulation of mass gatherings. Number 1, it changes the defi . . . definition of mash . . . mass gatherings from 25% of the participants remaining overnight to 1,000 or more remaining overnight. It provides that the advertising promoting or conducting a mass gathering with . . . without a permit from the department. It's changed from a Class A misdemeanor to a Class 4 felony. Now, a Class A mis-



demeanor only carries \$1,000 maximum fine; and out-of-state extradition for a misdemeanor has not been recognized by other states. A Class 4 felony carries a maximum fine of \$10,000 and or a jail sentence of one to three years. Now, the principle reason for this change as stated by Representative Hart, the principle Sponsor, it's simply because an out-of-state promoter can come in to the confines of the State of Illinois and for . . . if he gets anything at all for having a meeting without a permit from the department, without a permit from the department, the most he would get would be a \$1,000 fine, and he could care less about that. So, consequently, because of the lack of extradition . . . ah . . . they come in . . . out-of-state promoters can come within the confines of the State of Illinois, do the things without receiving a permit that . . . I'm sure we all do not want done and go home scott free. These Amendments were deemed necessary from the experience of the mass gatherings held on Bull Island, White County, Illinois, on Labor Day weekend of 1972. Ah . . . The department approved of this because it only strengthens . . . strengthens the department's hands as far as health facilities are concerned. I would encourage the Membership . . . ah . . . giving it a favorable vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 108 'ayes' and 2 'nays'; and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Duff 'aye'. Yourell 'aye'. Hudson 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "18 . . . House Bill 1814, Katz, is he here? Mr. Katz?"

Speaker Murphy: "Is Representative Katz on the floor? Take



it out."

Clerk Selcke: "No. 1816, give me that one. Houlihan.
House Bill 1816, . . . ah . . . J. M. Houlihan, a Bill
for an Act to add Article 5A to the Illinois Governmental
Ethic's Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative J. Houlihan from Cook
County . . . Representative from Cook, he's not here,
take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "Which one? Yeah, that's passed, yeah, 1832.
McPartlin. House Bill 1832, McPartlin, a Bill for an
Act to provide for the order to public funds by the
state. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,
House Bill 1832 is the Illini . . . Illinois State
Auditing Act. This Bill is a product of work extending
over two Legislative Sessions. The basic purpose of the
Bill is to implement the audit provisions of the new
1970 Constitution. This Bill replaces the present
Illinois Auditing Act with a comprehensive system of
post audit. It also implements the new office of Auditor
General, which is now a constitutional office under
the new Constitution. I would ask for your support on
this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question
is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting
'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Be right with you
in just a minute. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk
will take the Record. On this question there are 115
'ayes', no 'nays'; and the Bill having received the con-
stitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House
Bill 1632."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1632, an Act to amend the School
Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1632 is a Bill that passed out of Higher Education unanimously, and the purpose . . . there are two principle purposes of this Bill, is to allow a veteran to use his tuition grants under the existing Act to attend private universities, as well as the public universities in the State of Illinois; and the second important purpose is greatly, greatly . . . ah . . . lessens the paperwork involved in applying for these grants; and that simply is the Bill. It's good for the veteran; and I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Harlan . . . Harlan. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1839, Merlo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to request leave to return House Bill 1839 to the order of Second Reading for Amendment please."

Speaker Murphy: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave is granted, proceed."

Merlo: "And now, Mr. Speaker, pardon me."

Clerk Selcke: "Amend . . . Amendment #1, Terzich, amends House Bill 1839 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 . . . ah . . .



amends House Bill 1839, which will allow present retirees of the Chicago Fire Department of a minimum benefit from \$250 to \$325 effective . . . ah . . . July . . . January 1 '72 up to July 1, 1974. Ah . . . It also amends the Bill whereby the costs, which will be approximately \$100,000, would be made by the excess earnings of their funds, which is currently at \$142,000,000. The excess earnings over 4% at the present time amounts to \$3,217,000. So this . . . ah . . . adjustment . . . ah . . . would be approximately 3% of the money which is available to underwrite this. There'll be no addition on . . . in taxes and I urge adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative . . ."

Schneider: "Bob, is that written into the Bill about using excess earnings to pay off the additional costs? Is it? Okay."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. Wolf is recognized."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would arise in opposition as the Chairman of the Veteran and Pension's Committee. This Bill was ostensibly . . . ostensibly the same as House Bill 1715, which was introduced by another Representative, and which by agreement was put in the Interim Calendar; and seeing as we have one Bill in the Interim Calendar, which we weren't prepared to pass out before, I can't see any sound reason for bypassing Committee . . . ah . . . attaching an Amendment on another Bill at Second Reading and then try to pass it out. So I would oppose the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the numbers in here are substantially the same as if the retired fireman received under legislation passed by this House some two years ago, except in that



Bill there were two differences than in this Bill. One, that there was no provision for funding the increment for the retired men; and, two, there was no provision in the Bill that the increment that they already received would be paid to them in addition to their . . . ah . . . to the minimum that we in this Assembly said they should get. I think that this Bill corrects those two problems that was put in at the behest of the Firemen's Pension Board . . . ah . . . I think it's a good Bill and should be adopted."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Ah . . . The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Now, the Gentleman wish to proceed with it on Third Reading? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, I won't belabor the group. I think it's a good Bill and a good Amendment, and I ask your favorable consideration."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no' . . . voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, once more I'm going to . . . to plead to the Members of this General Assembly not to pass a duplicate of a Bill that we put into the Interim Study Committee. Now, this Bill, of course, is going to increase the minimum pension from \$200 to \$325 a month over a period of three years. I . . . it's a bad practice. We've also put another Bill in Interim Study Commission, which I believe . . . ah . . . one of the Representatives on that side of the aisle had for



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the downstate teachers. Now, in some cases it was pointed out that the downstate teachers don't even get \$110 a month minimum. We put that in the study. Now, we want to raise up and guar . . . guarantee \$325 a month . . . ah . . . minimum pension which will take effect by July 1, 1974. Now, they're going to come along with another Bill, 1871, which is going to do the same thing for the Chicago policemen, and this will effect something like about 1,500 or 1,600; and I just want to point out to all the Members that . . . that both of these various municipal pension increases, they're tied to a local tax levy, and I can't see how you can get down here and vote for a tax freeze and then vote to increase your local tax levy."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to mention that there is no additional taxes on this. All this does is that's there is a group of people, which their average age is 75 or 76, they total 401 people; and . . . ah . . . they have funds . . . like I said, now, this is the earnings in excess of 4%, amounts to \$3,000,000, and . . . ah . . . these amounts are going to diminish. After these people reach the maximum age, it stipulates here that . . . ah . . . it is estimated at such decreases for the fir . . . first few years after 1974 will be between 12 and 15% and will increase thereafter. In other words, these people are going to die off. That the . . . ah . . . there's more than a sufficient amount of money, and it happens to be that this is one of the better run pension funds in the . . . in the state, and . . . ah . . . I certainly . . . I cannot see any harm in this Bill that's being paid for, there's no increase in the tax levy. Ah . . . So the . . . the money is there. It . . . It is requested, and it's a one-shot deal.



There's no . . . not going to be any more people added to this, that the amount of people that are affected by this will decrease, and these are these . . . ah . . . these poor firemen that have retired some years ago that have not had the increment that the . . . ah . . . present pension benefits afford, and they're stuck at that amount, and this is a . . . a reasonable request. The previous Speaker stated that it's at \$200, this is false, at the present the maximum is \$250. So we're talking about \$70 in . . . a \$75 increase over a year and a half period, and they have the money to pay for it, and . . . ah . . . it's not going to affect anybody's taxes; and I would urge your support on this fine Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt, is recognized."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, in a . . . similar Bill that Representative Wolf mentioned, the Illinois Public Employees Pension's Law Commission said, 'This proposal is extremely objectionable, it is discriminatory, it violates the basic concept of the firemen's annuity and benefit fund and would disrupt the existing standards and formula. That's number 1; number 2, the actuarial reserved efficiency as of 1970 for the Chicago firemen was \$127,000,000. Now, if there is additional funds that can be put from these earnings in excess of 4%, let them be put into this actu . . . actuarial reserve fund to reduce the existing deficiency; and third, I would . . . it seems to me that the only ones that should be voting for this, are the Representatives from the City of Chicago, because as all of you know from Chicago we are being plagued by the taxpayers who cannot afford any additional tax increases; and if they keep the taxpayers in Chicago, then we'll be picking up this bonanza that you're giving to a few people in the pension system; and I urge your 'no' vote or to stay off."



Speaker Murphy: "The . . . ah . . . didn't you explain your vote, Representative Terzich? For what reason do you arise?"

Terzich: "Well, in . . . in reply to . . . ah . . . Representative McCourt . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "Yes, but you were on the order of explanation of votes. Now, you did explain your vote, didn't you?"

Terzich: ". . . well, the figures he gave were incorrect."

Speaker Murphy: "Does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, recently I was appointed as a Member of the Illinois Public Employees Pension Laws' Commission, and it seems like the . . . every one of our meetings there has been injected the thought that we should bring about some uniformities in pension funds. I honestly feel that this has certainly set forth that direction. In reply to that other Gentleman, and I forgot who it was, one of the Representatives from the other side, this is entirely different. What we're requesting in this Amendment, there is funding for the monies that are to be allocated for this change. So there is a difference; and I ask your favorable consideration of this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Murphy 'aye'. On this question there are 89 'ayes' and 13 'nos'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Stone 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "I request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the Members will be in their seats. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo, for what reason do you arise?"



Merlo: "May I request a poll of the absentees first, please?"

Speaker Murphy: "All right. The motion is in order, the Clerk will call the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, yeah. Anderson. Arnell. Borchers. Campbell. Catania. Clabaugh. Cunningham. Day. Deavers. Deuster. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Epton. Fary. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Granata. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Harpstrite. Hart. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. R. Holloway. Huskey. Hyde. Dave Jones. Juckett. Katz. Kent."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde, wish to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Hyde. Kent. Klosak. Krause. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Leinenweber. Lemke. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. McAvoy. McCormick. McGah. McGrew. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. North. Palmer. Pappas. Philip. Peters. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. Rigney. Sangmeister. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Sevcik. Skinner. Stiehl. Telcser. Wall. R. Walsh. Walters. Williams. B. B. Wolf. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo, for what reason do you arise?"

Merlo: "Would you take this out of the Record, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Murphy: "We can move . . . ah . . . we can Postpone Consideration if you wish?"

Merlo: "All right, then proceed with the verification."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, proceed with the verification, Mr. Clerk. Representative Taylor, for what reason do you arise, the Gentleman from Cook. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Murphy: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Taylor: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed . . . ah . . . proceed with the



verification."

Clerk Selcke: "No, he's . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian . . . Williams, Representative Williams, I'm sorry."

Williams: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Murphy: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Williams: "Well, vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Now, proceed with the verification."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, do you want to tell them . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "The current status of this Bill has 93 'ayes' and 13 'nays', and the Clerk will proceed with the verification."

Clerk Selcke: "Aisup. Arrigo. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Chapman. Choate. Collins. Cox. Craig. Cox, Cox wants recognition."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Cox, is recognized."

Cox: "Mr. Speaker, will you change my 'aye' vote to 'present' please?"

Speaker Murphy: "Record the Gentleman as 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: "Davis. DiPrima. Douglas. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ewell. Farley. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Hanahan. Hill. Jimmy Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Lechowicz. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Madigan. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McClain. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Patrick. Pierce. Rose. Ryan. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder.



Sharp. Shea. Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Soderstrom."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, for what reason do you arise, Representative Beaupre? Representative Nardulli, for what reason do you arise?"

Nardulli: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Nardulli: "Vote me 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Stedelin."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative from Peoria, Representative Day, for what reason do you arise?"

Day: "Ah . . . How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Clerk Selcke: "Taylor."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo, for what reason do you arise?"

Merlo: "It's a bad morning, I'll take Postponed Consideration please."

Speaker Murphy: "Does the Gentleman have leave for Postponed Consideration? All right, leave is granted, it's on Postponed Consideration."

Clerk Selcke: "Where's the Bill? Oh . . . is this the next one? House Bill 1843, whose is that, Garmisa, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Garmisa, is recognized."

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1843 amends the Illinois Municipal Code to extend the jurisdiction of corporate authority to place this within one and a half miles of the corporate limits for the purpose of enforcing health and quarantine ordinances and regulations. Right now, the jurisdiction extends to places within one half



mile of the corporate limit. I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative W. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, on our right and to the left of the Speaker is the family of our colleague, George Ryan, his lovely wife, Lora Lynn, triplet daughters, her son and . . . ah . . . a neighbor girl. Would they stand up?"

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . On this question there are 96 'ayes' and 8 'nays'; and this Bill having got the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1859, Maragos, not here. What's the next one, Shalkie? House Bill 1856, Kozubowski, an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1866 amends the Judicial Retirement Act to allow judges, who were in service on July 1 of 1972, to apply for service credit towards retirement. It . . . ah . . . includes the . . . ah . . . members of the Municipal Court of the City of Chicago who have served us prior to Jan . . . January 1 of 1964. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Murphy: "Indicates he will."

Lundy: "Well, . . . ah . . . how is the funding of this . . . I . . ."



handled. In other words, is there any additional cost to the system?"

Kozubowski: "No, the cost is minimal to this program."

Lundy: "Do you have any figures on what it . . ."

Kozubowski: "I don't have exact figures, but the people who would benefit by this are very limited in number. It's a small number of individuals; and I might just add that before they can participate, they would have to put in their appropriate share, plus 4% per annum, before they'd be allowed to participate."

Lundy: "All right, that's what I was concerned about. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this pension Bill has been approved by the Illinois Pension Laws' Commission, so I believe it deserves your . . . our vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this there are 115 'ayes' and 6 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1871, . . . ah . . . Merlo, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, would you take this Bill out of the Record, please?"

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "Maragos not here. House Bill 1886, Berman, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading



of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this Bill is a Bill that went through the Pension Committee and that . . . ah . . . is an outgrowth of the recommendation of Governor Ogilvie's Commission on School Business Management. It sets up a schedule for mandatory retirement of the Civil Service employees of the Chicago Board of Education. I would solicit your affirmative vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 100 . . . 100 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill . . . ah . . . wait a minute, give me 1899, yeah, we're out of order . . . there, we forgot . . . House Bill 1899, Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act impounding and disposition of stray animals. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli, is recognized."

Capparelli: "I'd like to table this Bill at this time, please."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman asks leave to table House Bill 1899. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Bill is tabled."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1901, Kelly, amends an Act in relation to probation Officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, is recognized."

Choate: "I'd like to ask for a verification of that last Roll



Call."

Speaker Murphy: "Well, you've asked. Proceed."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask your favorable support of House Bill 1901, which gives a \$1,200 a year annual salary increase to probation officers in Cook County; and I solicit your support on this particular Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, is recognized."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill would mandate a minimum salary and I just for the life of me can't see why we here should be mandating minimum salaries . . . ah . . . in Cook County or any other county, and . . . ah . . . the point was made earlier about the great majority of the Members here voting for a tax freeze, these people will be paid from property taxes, and it . . . ah . . . is pretty doggone inconsistent for us to vote for a tax freeze and at the same time mandate minimum salaries. Ah . . . I . . . I would suggest that this is a Bill that we ought to be looking at rather carefully, and in view of our previous action . . . ah . . . certainly not support."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I'd like to ask a rhetorical question that perhaps the Sponsor . . . ah . . . will respond to, and the rhetorical question is, what part of the \$1,200 increase will be born by the state?"

Speaker Murphy: "We're on a Roll Call vote now, we can't answer any questions on this. Do you wish to speak against the Bill, Representative Schlickman?"



Schlickman: "I just thought that perhaps the Sponsor in explaining his vote could answer my rhetorical question."

Speaker Murphy: "Very good. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this . . . ah . . . will not be affected by the tax freeze, it is one of the loopholes that we built into the tax freeze. Since it is a . . . since it will be a mandated increase from the Legislature, the local government will be able to raise taxes in order to pay for them. Since I am in favor of the concept of the tax freeze, and voted against the Amendment, I will also vote against this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly, is recognized."

Kelly: "I think this . . . ah . . . this Bill here is very . . . ah . . . it's easy to understand. It's whether or not you want to recognize the efforts that are being brought forth by the probation officers in Cook County. To answer the question that was raised earlier by Representative Schlickman, the amount would be . . . ah . . . cost the State of Illinois \$128,000. Well, we spend quite a bit of money in the State of Illinois; and this is, of course, another increase. However, it seems to me that this . . . this is in line with some of the . . . many of the other Bills and legislation which we've passed earlier; and I would like to . . . ah . . . ask for your support of this Bill, and recognize the work that these fine people have done in the . . . in the State of Illinois and in Cook County."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jerry Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wish the Majority Leader would look at the Bill once again. It does not require the county to pay any money. It just increases the maximum salary allowed



to be paid by the county, \$1,200 a year in each of three categories. If he reads it, it will say, 'such as for . . . ah . . . probation officers not be less than \$6,200 a year', and then it said, 'they shall not exceed \$6,200'; it's not mandatory legislation. It's permissive legislation and needs action by the . . . the county board in order to limit this action."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Ah . . . Will the Sponsor yield for a question? As . . . as I understand this Bill from the synopsis, it is limited to Cook County, and I'm wondering why we need this in view of the fact that Cook County is a home rule unit. My understanding is that home rule units had the right to set their own salary schedules."

Speaker Murphy: "Are you through, Representative Day?"

Day: "I . . . I asked a question, I was waiting for an answer, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "Well, there . . . it's on Roll Call vote, so we have no answer for you. Is there any further discussion? Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kelly, for what reason do you arise?"

Kelly: "I'd like to ask . . . ah . . . Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman asks for leave for Postponed Consideration. Leave is granted, it's on Postponed Consideration."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1903, Yourell, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Is Representative Yourell on the floor? Yes. Representative Yourell? House Bill 1093, take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1904, Yourell."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the Record."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1908, Taylor, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relating to probation officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1908 is a permissive salary increase for probation officers. It simply allows the county boards to increase salaries up to the limit of this Bill. It does not require any salary increase. The decision is left up to the county board. The raise is authorized by the classes of county and by population. I solicit your support for House Bill 1908."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? No, this isn't his Bill. Representative Taylor's Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, is recognized."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it looks like the objection applies to this Bill that applied to the other one . . . ah . . . we're increasing salaries in . . . ah . . . for Cook County that . . . ah . . . really shouldn't be increased or can be increased perhaps by the county itself. I . . . I would say that this Bill probably ought to be . . . ah . . . postponed just as the other one was."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Representative Harpstrite 'aye'. Any further . . . any further discussion? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill does not require any increases, it only makes it possible for the county boards in those areas that wishes to raise the salary that they can have that option."



I think this is a good Bill. This applies to all of the counties. I don't see no reason for . . . the objection in that Bill; and I do solicit your support."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record . . . Harpstrite 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor. Murphy 'aye'."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like three more votes, I need two now, since Murphy went on."

Speaker Murphy: "Do you wish to . . ."

Taylor: "There was no opposition to this Bill in Committee. I certainly don't see any reason for it, and . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Very briefly to explain my 'aye' vote, Mr. Speaker, I think originally when we were talking on this Bill there was some concern over here of . . . ah . . . similarity to the last Bill; but if the . . . if the Bill does merely permit county boards the . . . ah . . . the liberty of raising . . . ah . . . probation salaries, probation officers' salaries, well then I think that they should have that prerogative. If they went to take the chance of . . . ah . . . of answering to their voters, well, that's their prerogative. I think we ought to give . . . ah . . . the Gentleman an 'aye' vote on this."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Representative Dunn is voting 'aye'. The Representative from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . I don't believe I'm recorded. I'd like to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Representative Geo-Karis 'aye'. The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Cox, 'aye'. Representative Phil Collins 'aye'. Representative Piotrowicz 'aye'. Representative Bob Day 'aye'. Representative Bluthardt 'aye'. Ah . . . Representative Donald Deuster 'aye'. All right, what is the score? Representative Hill 'aye'. Representative Grotberg 'aye'."



Representative Polk 'aye'. Representative Skinner 'present'. On this question there are 97 'ayes', 8 'nays' and 1 voting present; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 9 . . . 1920, Geo-Karis, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if I may have leave to take the . . . this Bill to Second Reading . . . Reading so that I can put an appropriate Amendment to it."

Speaker Murphy: "Hearing objections? Leave is granted. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends House Bill 1920 on page 1, line 12, by deleting . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Geo-Karis, proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . it amends lines 14 through 17 by deleting them and . . . ah . . . putting, therefore, the following language, 'eavesdropping is a Class 4 felony, if any of the eavesdropping devices used in the commission of the offense are not registered under Section 14-8 of this Act at the time of the offense'; and then also on page 1 by deleting 19 . . . lines 19 through 21 and inserting the following: 'device, as defined in Section 14-1, except commercial recorders, wire, tape or other electronic recording instruments manufactured and intended solely for entertainment or business purposes not related to investigations, must be registered with the Attorney General. The registration shall include the name and . . .'; and the word 'such' in line . . . ah . . . page 1 on line 23, immediately after the word 'any'; and . . . ah . . . also it amends it on page 2 by deleting lines 1 through 4 and stating that . . . ah . . . the following: 'Any person



who acquires after December 31, 1973, an eavesdropping device of a type required to be registered under this Section must register . . ."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Just a minute, Representative Geo-Karis, for what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, arise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; when the Lady gets done, I'd like a question on the Amendment."

Speaker Murphy: "You'd better be careful how you question her, you'll have to grapple her."

Geo-Karis: ". . . 'within 48 hours after acquiring it', and then, 'The Attorney General shall promulgate'; and in lines . . . ah . . . on page 2 by inserting after line 17 the following: 'It is the responsibility of the Attorney General to administer and enforce this Section'. Ah . . . I move the adoption of this Amendment #2."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if the Sponsor would respond to a question?"

Geo-Karis: "Be happy to."

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Deuster: "Yes, . . . ah . . . I wanted to ask whether the Bill as amended with this Amendment would . . . would apply to a device that's being manufactured in New York. It costs about two cents; it's selling for a \$1, and it's called the 'Watergate Bug'. You put this on your telephone. Now, it's an eavesdropping device, but it doesn't work. I was wondering whether this Bill is drafted in such a way that it only applies to eavesdropping devices that work?"

Geo-Karis: "No, the Bill is drafted in such a way with the . . . ah . . . with the blessings of the Illinois Bureau of Investigation and the State Police so that it would



apply to whoever has one in possession and as to whether it applies to 'Watergate Bug' . . . ah . . . I would think it would be a matter of interpretation."

Speaker Murphy: "The Representative from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Lady yield to a question on the Amendment?"

Geo-Karis: "I'd be delighted to yield to Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Adeline. Adeline, why do . . . why are giving this power to the Attorney General instead of Law Enforcement?"

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Because they thought it would be in . . . in better position for the . . . ah . . . Attorney General to do it, and both the I.B.I. and the State Police preferred it that way and felt that more would be gained in an effort towards more expeditious and more . . . ah . . . equitable way of doing it."

Lechowicz: "Well, don't we have . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I also talked . . . I also talked, if I may, I'm sorry, with Representative Holloway, who was in the Attorney General's office, and I showed him my Amendment too."

Lechowicz: ". . . well, don't we have a certain amount of registration now that goes to Law Enforcement and this would probably be more apropos in Law Enforcement than the Attorney General's office?"

Geo-Karis: "Both departments thought that . . . that in view of the type of restriction it is and what it pertains to that the best . . . ah . . . department that would be able to . . . ah . . . administer would be the Attorney General's office, Ted."

Lechowicz: "Would you agree to register with both agencies?"

Geo-Karis: "I have no objection, if you'd like I'll be glad to make a recommendation to that effect to the Senate."

Lechowicz: "All right, fine, thank you."



Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Would the Lady yield for a question? Adeline, who has access to the registration information?"

Geo-Karis: "The . . . under the Bill, the . . . ah . . . well, it would have the lawful authority to withhold the access."

Jaffe: "Well, wou . . ."

Geo-Karis: "There would have to be a reason, I mean, you can't . . . ah . . . you know, bother . . . ah . . . the Attorney General's office, and I presume that would be taken care of by Amendment . . ."

Jaffe: "... well, but . . . but would anybody in the public sphere have any access to it? Would the press have access to this information, would the public have access to it . . . could we have access to it?"

Geo-Karis: ". . . I would think so if they have a court order, Aaron. I would think so."

Jaffe: "Well, would it or wouldn't it? I mean, you say you think so. Would it or . . ."

Geo-Karis: "Well, I would say so as long as there's a court order to that effect, because . . ."

Jaffe: "In other words, no one can get information unless they have a court order? Is that . . . is that what you're saying?"

Geo-Karis: "Pardon me?"

Jaffe: "You're saying that no one can get that information unless they have a court order?"

Geo-Karis: "I'm saying, Aaron, that I followed the statute in Chapter 38, Section 14, on this particular Bill, and whatever is allowed in that statute as to who can get information, I would . . . I feel it would apply to this too. Would you like to see a copy of the sta . . ."

Jaffe: "Well, I . . . I would like for you to get that



information because I think it ought to be . . . open up not just the Attorney General's office, nor to the governmental agency, but to the public in general."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Ah . . . Mrs. Geo-Karis, I wonder what the reason for the disparity and the penalty . . . ah . . . is between a registered and an unregistered bugging device that the . . . ah . . . if you've got an unregistered device, you get a Class 4 felony, if you get a registered bugging device, you get a Class A misdemeanor. What . . . Why the differentiation?"

Geo-Karis: "The differentiation is, if it's registered, it is a misdemeanor if you're caught using it . . . ah . . . but if it's not registered, and you're caught using it, then it's a bigger offense."

Boyle: "Well, it seems to me that . . . ah . . . it's a situation where you're darned if you do and you're darned if you don't. I mean, these people have these things. They register them to bug, don't then? I mean, isn't that the whole purpose of a bugging device? Don't you . . . don't you register them to bug; and what . . . what makes it a better bug if it's registered bug then if it's not a registered bug?"

Geo-Karis: "Don't oppose me, don't oppose me, don't oppose me, please. Ah . . . I'm sorry, the Gentleman . . . well, my purpose is this. I'm trying to discourage unauthorized snooping. If people who have a sophisticated license know that they have to have them registered by the serial number . . . ah . . . with the Attorney General's office or if the Body prefers to have them registered both the I.B.I. and the Attorney General's office, I think it would be an effort to discourage people who have no business doing any unauthorized snooping if they know they have to have registered eavesdropping electronic



devices of a sophisticated nature because there'll be a record of it."

Jaffe: "Well, what would be the effect of this Bill if the Amendment was defeated or was taken off the Bill?"

Geo-Karis: "Well, if the Amendment was taken off the Bill, it would . . . ah . . . the Amendment provides that these other devices like, and I said part of the Amendment said, 'except commercial recorders, wires, tape or other electronic recording instruments manufactured and intended solely for entertainment or business purposes not related to investigation must be registered with the Attorney General'; now, if you take my Amendment off, then your tape recorders that you have at home be unsophisticated electronic devices that we have . . . ah . . . are going to be also included in here."

Jaffe: "Well, here's the point. I mean, many times people in their offices tape record conversations because they want to try to remember . . . ah . . . what's going on, and . . . ah . . . as I read the Bill, would it not be . . . ah . . . a tape recorder would then become an unregistered . . . ah . . . device, and even if you advised the person that . . . ah . . . that you were tape recording the conversation, you'd have an unregistered bugging device and be guilty of . . . ah . . . a Class A misdemeanor."

Geo-Karis: "But . . . ah . . . Representative Boyle, by my Amendment, I'm excluding the commercial recorders . . . tape or electronic recording instruments on it."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor respond to a question?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes."

Schneider: "Ah . . . Now, who . . . we're registering devices that are wire-tapping devices, does it include . . ."



ah . . . governmental devices, let's say, . . . ah . . . there is authorization for the Attorney General to bug or for any other governmental agency, are those devices also being registered?"

Geo-Karis: "They would all have to be if they . . . if they're used without authority, they're used without authority; that's all."

Schneider: "You would . . . you would include state officials that would use the device?"

Geo-Karis: "Certainly, if it . . . registered with the Attorney General's office."

Schneider: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Will the Speaker yield to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "I think . . . well, get Representative Geo-Karis back in the . . . ah . . . Chair and . . ."

Polk: "Mrs. Geo-Karis, I happen to have a automatic recorder on my telephone at home, would this have to be reco . . . ah . . . be registered?"

Geo-Karis: "I don't think that would be one of the things registered according to the statutes."

Speaker Murphy: "Okay."

Polk: "Did you . . . did you say it would not have to be registered?"

Geo-Karis: "I do not believe so."

Polk: "You do not think so?"

Geo-Karis: "That's on your own telephone."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ike Sims."

Sims: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the previous question."

Speaker Murphy: "The previous question has been moved. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'; the 'ayes' have it; and the Lady from Lake, Representative



Geo-Karis, may close the debate on the Amendment."

Geo-Karis: ". . . the Amendment passed, and so . . . I respectfully request . . . Ladies and Gentlemen, your support for this Bill. I got the idea for it from a reporter who was in my district because he felt . . . this passed already, yeah . . . to adopt the Amendment? Oh, I beg your pardon."

Speaker Murphy: "You're moving the adoption of the Amendment."

Geo-Karis: "I move the adoption of the Amendment this Body so desires."

Speaker Murphy: "Did . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Choate wish . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Choate, the Gentleman from Union wish recognition?"

Choate: "The Gentleman from Choate, Mr. Union."

Speaker Murphy: "Mr. Union . . ."

Choate: "The reason I had my hand up was because at . . . at the offset it appeared that the House was misinformed at what we were voting on. We're not voting on the Bill at this time, and I intend to support the Bill. I don't really like the Amendment. I'm not going to support the Amendment, but I am going to support the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "All right, the question is on the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', contrary 'no'. The 'nos' have it, and the Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read on Third Reading, has it, Mr. Clerk? Proceed, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I do feel that this is a good Bill designed to prevent and discourage people who want to mind other people's business without lawful authority. I think it's high time this Legislature went on Record and supported such a Bill, and I respectfully ask your support."



Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Murphy 'aye'. Representative Robert Mann 'aye'. On this question there's 124 'ayes' and 3 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 782, Friedland, a Bill for an Act to amend the Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah . . . House Bill 782 is a bi-partisan attempt, it's a Municipal Problems' Commission measure, we've discussed briefly before. It would . . . ah . . . amend the Income Tax Tax to provide that the . . . ah . . . State Income Tax would . . . returns would be the same as on the Federal concerning interests on bonds. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Ah . . . Just one question of the Gentleman if he'll yield . . . yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Choate: "Ah . . . Could you tell me . . . ah . . . Representative Friedland, how much revenue this would cost the General Revenue of the State of Illinois?"

Friedland: "Ah . . . Mr. Minority Leader, according to a . . . a fiscal note, which was obtained for an identical Bill, House Bill 1338, . . . ah . . . the cost to the state would be a little over \$1,000,000 or 1/7,000th of the state budget."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from



Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a Bill which will amend the State Income Tax and open the door . . . ah . . . to subsequent Amendments, either in the nature of increasing exemptions, providing for deductions or providing for credit. Now, it's justified on the grounds that it'll be of assistance to units of local government, and that it only will cost the state \$1,000,000. I suggest, respectfully, to you that one of the merits of the State Income Tax, well, there are a number of merits, one of the merits was its relative simplicity, and the fact that the base for it was the adjusted gross income taken off the Federal Income Tax return. If we open the door to this kind of thing, we are going to bringing closer the day when we have deductions for various items, credits for various items, and the ultimate result, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, will be increasing the State Income Tax rate. I have much, much regard and respect for the Sponsor of this Bill, however, I cannot in conscience vote for this Bill. I will be required to vote 'no' in the interest of the taxpayers of the state."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Would the Sponsor respond to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Yourell: "Ah . . . John, what . . . what happens in the area of a municipi . . . municipal corporation that has . . . ah . . . outstanding deals or revenue bonds presently? Are those . . . is this Bill retroactive to pick up those bonds also?"

Friedland: "I'm not sure, Representative Yourell. There was a technical Amendment which was adopted at the urging of the Department of Revenue, and I'm not . . . I don't know the answer to that question."



Yourell: "Well, . . . ah . . . may I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Yourell: "Yes, I . . . I'm convinced that any municipal corporation that has these outstanding indebtedness, whether it be in revenue bonds or deal bonds, that this Bill does go back and picks up those . . . ah . . . those bonds, and the Bill being retroactive is going to cost the State of Illinois just a tremendous amount of money and not the . . . ah . . . not the figure that was . . . ah . . . indicated by the Sponsor. So I would suggest . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that you do take a good look at this Bill because if you pass this Bill and find out later that all of those bonds that are outstanding now the retro . . . that this Bill does have a retroactive effect on those bonds; and I suggest you vote 'no'."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Pat North."

North: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support this Bill. I think this is a . . . ah . . . a reasonable request. I see where the income tax is no sacred cow. I also understand that . . . ah . . . there are going to be measures coming in to raise the exemption from \$1,000 up to \$1,400; and I think the administration has indicated that desire. I think that this goes a long way in helping . . . ah . . . municipal governments to advantageously market these bonds at a lower interest rate with the result in the . . . a savings to taxpayers and municipalities. I think if there is a question as Representative Yourell has indicated a flaw in the Bill that makes it retroactive, that this certainly can be taken care of in the . . . ah . . . Senate, and I would think that the Sponsor would certainly acquiesce to that demand. This is a product



of the Municipal Problems' Commission, and after extensive hearings, the Commission on a nonpartisan basis did think that this was a reasonable request, and I would urge your support of this particular measure."

Speaker Murphy: "Ah . . . Representative Paul Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think if you will carefully examine this Bill, you will find that there's one class of people, and one class of people only, that it helps substantially, and that is the banks; and I think you'll find that all of the people of Illinois would be hurt, and I believe that a 'no' vote on this would be quite proper."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think before you vote on this you could . . . should consider what Governor . . . Governor Ogilvie said before the Seventy-Sixth General Assembly when he first introduced the income tax proposal, and I quote 'this is a tax that will have no loopholes. Our search for equity in this tax has led us to eliminate special deductions, which have all too frequently in the federal returns in other states permitted undue advantage. We will tax all incomes fully, including capital gains, interests and dividends'; and then before the Committee that heard the Bill . . . ah . . . George Raney the Assistant Director of the Bureau of the Budget testified when talking about such things as exemptions and deductions, He says, 'one of our primary objectives was to develop a statute that could be easily understood and dealt with, not only by administration and so-called experts, but also by the taxpayers and the judiciary', and he goes on, 'the major deviation from the Federal Income Tax determination we made with regards to the tax was our decision to increase the exemptions from \$600 as it was



then and to \$1,000 and not to permit the many deductions that the federal tax now permit'. We take that for various reasons, but paramount among them was, we knew the tax that should be enacted was a flat-rate tax and we wanted within the constraints of that to make a tax that bore as lightly as possibly on the lower income group; and I . . . I believe this is a very serious consideration, and I urge your 'no' vote."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland, to close the debate."

Friedland: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill merely attempts to do for the State Income Tax what is already being done for the Federal and it's an attempt to help the municipalities of the State of Illinois, and I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Murphy: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 52 'ayes' and 50 'nays'; and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 844, R. L. Dunne, a Bill for an Act relating to informed consent by positions performing abortions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. L. Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as many of us have heard now on several Bills that the result of the recent Supreme Court ruling, we are without any law in the state with respect to the regulation of abortion. This Bill merely calls for the same type of infor . . . informed consent to the surgeon as required to secure for the patient for any form of surgery that



he might perform, and I ask for its favorable approval by the House."

Speaker Murphy: "Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Ah . . . Representative Beatty 'aye'. Representative J. Houlihan 'aye'. Representative John Griesheimer 'aye'. Representative Juckett, for what reason do you arise? Representative Juckett 'aye'. Representative LaFleur 'aye'. Representative Pappas 'aye'. Representative Choate 'aye'. Representative Day 'aye'. Representative LaFleur, we've got you. Representative Harpstrite 'aye'. Representative Piotrowicz 'aye'. Representative Springer 'aye'. Representative McLendon, the Gentleman from Cook."

McLendon: "How am I recorded please?"

Speaker Murphy: "How is the Gentleman recorded? Right here, Representative McLendon."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

McLendon: "Yes. Please record me 'no', please."

Speaker Murphy: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Representative Deavers 'aye'. Beg your pardon? On this question . . . on this question there are 94 'ayes', 12 'nays'; and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 887, Deuster, a Bill for an Act relating to local improvements. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, is recognized."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a simple, good government Bill that was reported out of the Committee on Cities and Villages by a vote of 15 to nothing, with no 'present' on May 11; and there was one small Committee Amendment, which I'll



describe. This Bill would broaden the definition of local improvement in the County Local Improvement Act to allow our counties to provide broader range of services for the people of Illinois, and House Bill 887 describes those various additional services, sanitary sewers, walks, street lights and so forth; and I would be glad to respond to any questions. I urge your favorable support of the Bill. The one Committee Amendment struck the word 'service', so this is simply confined to facilities. It does not deal with the services, just facilities; and I urge your support of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett, is recognized."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "What powers do the counties now have in regard to this matter?"

Deuster: "Well, this Bill makes no change in their powers . . . ah . . . of course, the new Constitution provides that counties may . . . ah . . . authorize, I suppose the development of services in special areas. The, for example, Lake County has a Public Works Department as . . . ah . . . and is engaged in various public works out in our county. . . . ah . . . but this makes no change in the power . . . ah . . . as such. It simply broadens the definition to include more . . . more local improvements."

Juckett: "In other words, you would expand the list of improvements that they can do to the . . . to include those which are listed in the Bill?"

Deuster: "That is correct."

Juckett: "What do the town . . . what power do the townships have, and would you be circumventing the town from doing this?"



Deuster: "Well, I don't believe so . . . ah . . . the Bill doesn't relate to township power. I don't think it has an effect . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "Well, would the . . . would you then be eliminating the town from doing this?"

Deuster: "Not in the least."

Juckett: "Well, by . . . if the county did it, then there would be need for the coun . . . the town to do it."

Deuster: "Well, I think in government, we have a lot of levels of government can do things; and if one level of government shows a little initiative and steps forward with this, the Federal Government, the Municipal Government, the Township Government or the County Government, why . . . ah . . . fine, and this just happens to deal with one level. I'm sure that if there were another Bill somewhere authorizing the same thing to the townships, why that would be fine too. We're just trying to broaden the area in which our local government, to strengthen our local government so they can serve the needs of the people."

Juckett: "How would the . . . how would these improvements be paid for?"

Deuster: "The same way all the other local improvements are paid for, and I believe, although I'm not . . ."

Juckett: "Special assessments?"

Deuster: ". . . I'm not an expert on county finances, but it's my understanding that they're paid for by special assessments."

Juckett: "Would this be done by referendum of those people wanting . . . ah . . . that the county wanted to impose it upon or would the county make the decision that these people are going to have a sewer, or a sidewalk or a light, and then apply a special assessment against it?"

Deuster: "Ah . . . There's no change in the existing law,



whatever that is, and I . . . I think the counties have this power. Generally, I might say this that generally the county is responding to the demands of the people. For example, in 'Silvan Lake' where I live in Lake County, we wanted a sewer system around our little lake, and so we petitioned the county and urge them and they developed it and we're paying for it; and we're very happy with that; and this would just broaden that area of responsiveness on the part of the County Government."

Juckett: "Well, now, you . . . you said that they . . . ah . . . you petitioned for a sewer system, and this is one of the provisions you've got in the Bill. So if they were able to do that, they certainly don't need the Bill. Now, in your opinion, do . . . don't you think that this would . . . ah . . . discourage people from seeking incorporation or annexation to a municipality? If these are some of the services that a municipality can give . . ."

Deuster: "Ah . . . I . . . I suppose so, but I think more and more . . . ah . . . if we have expanding population areas that are not confined to municipalities, or counties or even states . . . ah . . . I think it's a fine Bill that . . . ah . . . broadens the ability of our counties to respond, and . . . ah . . . if other levels of government would like the same authority, why . . . ah . . . that ought to be given. I don't see anything evil in the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Repre . . . Representative Hill."

Hill: "I wonder if the Sponsor will yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Hill: "This . . . this appears to be far-reaching. You even have in here gas mains, I don't understand why you would have something like this."



Deuster: "Yes, well, it's my understanding that the utilities, who are interested in this subject, have looked at this and have no objection to it, and it's my understanding there might be some areas where this would be a necessity that the county might . . . ah . . ."

Hill: "I guess the . . ."

Deuster: ". . . I was going to say, the . . ."

Hill: ". . . all right."

Deuster: ". . . Committee took a look at this and reported the Bill out unanimously. I thought that some . . . it seems to me that if there is some serious objection to . . . ah . . . some subject, why maybe the Senate can clear up, but nothing came up in the Committee on it; and it was the thought that there may be some areas in the state where they would like the county to have this authority."

Hill: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I understand this piece of legislation, this is a horrible Bill. I don't believe that anyone in this House could present a Bill like this that could go further to harm the taxpayers of counties. It seems to me that they could do practically anything under this piece of legislation without a referendum vote; and upon that could also float bonds for the issuing of . . . not issuing, but constructing of gas mains; and I don't know what they would do with those gas mains after they would construct them, unless they would turn around and sell them to the public utilities when he told me that the public utilities were in favor of this; and it seems to me that if the public utilities would be in a favor of a Bill like this, then they have in mind the purchase of that gas main then at a much lower cost of installation. This is terrible and you should vote 'no' against it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the



Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, to close."

Deuster: "I think in closing maybe I might just read a couple of parts of the Bill so you can see how . . . ah . . . I think it's relatively innocent, although helpful. Presently, under the existing law, the local improvement law for the county allows them to improve, widen or extend any street, highway, road, boulevard, sidewalk or other public place, and I've added one word, 'alley'. I don't know who in the world can object to having the county authority to . . . ah . . . to work on one little additional thing 'alley'; and then further on in addition to grading and paving . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hill: "Now, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not the first time this Gentleman has done this . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "What . . . what has he done?"

Hill: ". . . He says that he has only changed one word. Now, if you get this Bill out, you will see that he has added many, many words to this."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill, one moment, please. Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I wish the Gentleman would provide a little courtesy, I was in the middle of a talk. I was about three sentences down here describing the Bill, and . . . ah . . . you take and remark . . . my remarks there. I wish that perhaps you would have the patience to wait until I'm finished, and then if you want to explain your vote further, I'll be delighted because I don't want to pass any bad Bills any more than you do, but I think the Bills ought to be considered on their merit and I think all the Members are entitled to hear what's in the Bill; and I'm simply trying to do



that."

Speaker Telcser: "I think, Representative Deuster, your point is very well taken, Sir."

Deuster: "Thank you, I appreciate that very much, and I also appreciate the constructive criticism of the Gentleman on the other side of the aisle, because if, indeed, this is a bad Bill, it deserves to be lost; but if it's a good Bill, we ought to know about it and pass it. Now, it goes on to say . . . ah . . . presently, in the law it says 'grading or paving', and then I put in there 'repaving and resurfacing', figuring that if you're going to pave something, you ought to have the authority to repave it or fix it up; and then it does add after 'storm sewers' the words 'sanitary sewers . . . sewers', and after 'water mains', it adds the words . . . it adds 'water mains and gas mains', as the Gentleman indicated 'street lights and all necessary pertinences thereto', and also it . . . it includes 'improving and repairing these things after they're once installed'; and . . . ah . . . I think this is a . . . ah . . . a good Bill that is responsive to the growing needs in the urban and suburban counties particularly to help the people solve their problems and to cooperate with the people in providing these public improvements; and I urge your support of this Bill . . . ah . . . simply on its merits. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 887 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Cox 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, wish to explain his vote?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, allowing county governments the power to special assess is not a . . . is not a bad idea. In fact, it's a fairly good idea for things like roads, and I really don't see anything wrong with sewers or water



maines, or street lights or sidewalks, but I really have to agree with the Speaker before me who . . . who asked the question which I haven't heard the answer to, why gas mains. Ah . . . I could very easily vote for this if the gas main were taken out, but I don't know why it's in there."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 37 'ayes', 40 'nays'; and this Bill having . . . the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster . . ."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I agree that there word 'gas main' was a problem here, but I think we're well enough along in the Session. So there is no point in putting this on Postponed . . . Postponed Consideration, so I think we'll accept the vote, and I thank you."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 904, Philip, a Bill for an Act amending . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Take that out . . . take that out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 959, Craig, a Bill for an Act creating the Dairy Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Craig."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Clerk O'Brien: "Here he comes."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, I have one of these Bills, #961, got to go to Appropriation's. I'd like to hold this series to keep them together."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, take that out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "960 . . . House Bill 1002, Porter, a Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . your Digest does not reflect the present condition of House Bill 1002. There are two Amendments, Amendment 1 and 2, and the Bill is now in the condition that was suggested by Members of the Agriculture and Natural Resources' Committee when it was passed by the Committee with 12 'aye' votes, 3 'nay' votes and 3 'present' votes. The Bill presently sets standards for lawful steel-jaw traps, providing an offset of 1/8 inch between the jaws, no teeth, no trap larger than 12 inches and for the jaws to be wrapped with a rubber protective covering. The Bill further provides that the Department of Conservation will provide information on how to modify existing traps to meet the standards of the Act and provides an effective date of July 1, 1974, which is an extra year with which to bring existing traps into compliance. Finally, Amendment 2 provides that there shall be no sell or importation of steel-jaw traps not meeting the standards of the Bill after that year has elapsed. Now, the Bill in this form will not affect anybody carrying on the business or hobby of trapping. It will only take a little time and very little money to modify existing traps to meet standards. These traps so modified have been tested extensively in California and have been found to hold exactly the same as existing traps, whether on the land or the water, they hold; but they do not break the animal's leg, nor cause pain or injury to him. I personally have received over 1,000 pieces of individual, unsolicited mail, telegrams, calls from all over the State of Illinois in favor of this Bill. I have received two letters and one call against it. I think the people of Illinois want this legislation, and I urge you to vote in favor of



it."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Just one moment, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to introduce some people in the Gallery behind me, they are down here in recognition of Adult Education Day as proclaimed by the Governor, and I just . . . ah . . . would like to thank them for taking time to come down and seeing us in the House. They were here from Representative Arrigo's district and Carter's."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of this Bill yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

McClain: "Ah . . . John, really up until yesterday, I didn't think anyone would be against this piece of legislation, and . . . and I, too, was totally in favor of it. However, yesterday I received a letter from a person in Manito, Illinois, and very articulately expressed some very con . . . very real concerns about this. For instance, that . . . ah . . . how does the Department of Conservation feel about your piece of legislation?"

Porter: "The department took no position on it one way or the other. They did not testify at all, and in fact, there was no one testifying at the hearing . . . ah . . . before Agriculture and Natural Resources against the Bill."

McClain: "Well, there . . . the opinion expressed by the writer from yesterday's letter expressed the concern that if we take away this kind of . . . ah . . . and I'm not talking about the teeth in the steel trap kind of a . . . ah . . . trap, but I'm talking about the use of this kind of material, that we'll have a . . . ah . . . an



increase in amount of diseases with an increase in muskrats and other kinds of things. Have you looked at any kind of . . . into any kind of problems such as this?"

Porter: "All right, I'm glad you asked that, Mike. This modified trap catches exactly the same number of animals that an unmodified trap catches. I've got one . . . I've got one here. It's . . . it's a number 3 trap, it's been modified in accordance with the suggestions of the Bill. It'll hold exactly the same way that a trap that's not modified. It merely hold them in a humane way. Now, the Bill . . . the Bill does not address itself to other types of traps, and that ought to be realized, the conobar . . . bear type . . . type trap is not included in this Bill. That's an instant-kill trap. This only deals with leg-hold or steel-jaw traps."

McClain: "Okay, one last question. Did the hunters and fishermen . . . ah . . . as a crowd take a stand on your piece of legislation?"

Porter: "Ah . . . I . . . I got a letter from the Secretary of the Illinois Trapper's Association, but he, apparently, was not writing necessarily for the Association. I don't know."

McClain: "In favor or against?"

Porter: "His was the only letter I got against."

McClain: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I have one question of the Sponsor. John? Ah . . . My question is as your Bill is now written, does it prohibit . . . just the use or also possession, and the reason I'm asking that question is I happen to have a couple of traps. I've never trapped in my life, but I do have some hanging up at . . . at home just on . . . on a wall for decoration. Now, then is that illegal then



for me to have such a trap in my possession?"

Porter: "No, I . . . I'm glad you asked that question. I should've addressed myself to it. No, the possession aspect was taken out just for the reason you mentioned. It does not forbid possession of . . . ah . . . the plain steel-jaw traps that we have now."

Wolf: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to address myself to the Bill. Ever since the Bill was introduced some time ago, I've been informed by the constituents in my district, and I . . . of their opposition, at least the farm populous and the people who do the trapping, and I would hope that every downstate Member would take a look at this piece of legislation, and I'm sure that you're aware that when we do allow trapping in Illinois that it does control the animals on our farm ponds and in our creeks. It helps control the damage done to the crops . . . ah . . . up and down Illinois. Now, the trap that you're talking about, the reason that the animal will probably chew off his paws to escape is . . . ah . . . it doesn't make any difference whether it's injured or not. He's going to attempt to escape, no matter whether he's in the trap that you hold there or the trap that they're presently using. He's going to try to get away, and he's going to chew his paw off. Ah . . . Without this . . . ah . . . without trapping with this . . . with this particular trap and . . . ah . . . we would possibly have diseased animals in Illinois. We would have animals who would be possibly starving and you're taking away the control of the population of the animals that we have in our creeks and in our ponds and all throughout Illinois, and we certainly do, you're sitting there shaking your heads, they trap



thousands, and thousands and thousands of these animals; and I certainly urge a 'no' vote on these . . . ah . . . on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff. Representative Neff."

Neff: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm . . . ah . . . sure that my good friend, Representative Porter, has good intentions on this Bill. I think it's a Bill that's very unnecessary. Now, he says that he has on a couple of letters, and I'm sure that's right on one letter, I believe it was, and . . . ah . . . opposed to it. Well, my mail is just the opposite. I have two letters opposed to it, and I have several telegrams and several letters that . . . ah . . . that are strongly opposed to it, and only one . . . one or two letters for it. Ah . . . I just received this mornings mail a letter from a 14 year old chil . . . child, and he says, 'Please read this in front of the House . . . ah . . . today. The House Bill on the . . . in the Seventy-Eighth General Assembly of the State of Illinois permitting the use of certain amount of traps . . . certain types of traps and so forth, I hope the House will all vote against it. Many of us . . . most children use this money that they make in trapping to . . . ah . . . help them on their spending money', and this is true just what this 14 year old boy says. Many farm children do use this trapping money; and . . . ah . . . as far as the Illinois Trappers' Association, I just received a telegram from them . . . ah . . . supposedly representing 7,000 trappers. The President, this is from the President, he says this Bill . . . he says this Bill will . . . ah . . . will be ineffective this type of trap . . . ah . . . trap Mr. Porter has recommended we use. It will not hold the animals. It'll be . . . it makes the trap quite expensive and many of the trappers will go out of



business. Also, I'd like to bring up that the Illinois Agriculture Association is strongly opposed to this Bill, as well as the trappers, the farmers are opposed to it, and . . . ah . . . they brought out here many a young people that do trap to make a little money are opposed to it. Ah . . . There's no question that this will make extra cost on these traps . . . ah . . . this temporary wrapper as Mr. Porter will probably mention or has mentioned is something that will last only a very limited time. This will be continued pro . . . progress . . . process in rewrapping those, and . . . ah . . . I think, therefore, that this is a Bill that is very unnecessary and should definitely be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, very much. I rise to support this Bill, and I'd like to remind those Gentleman who have spoke against this Bill and those who have said they received mail from their constituents. I doubt very seriously if any of those people know anything at all about the Amendment, which Representative Porter put on this Bill to make it a very good and effective and humane for the citizens of the State of Illinois. In no way will this Bill hurt the trappers. In no way will it stop trapping in Illinois with the intent of Bill. That was not the intent whatsoever. The only intent of this Bill is to make trapping in the State of Illinois a humane process, and I think it's an excellent Bill; and you should read the Amendments and vote 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: ". . . tion for the Sponsor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he'll yield."

Ewell: "Representative Porter, after we catch this animal, what



do we do to them?"

Porter: "Pardon me, I'm sorry, Ray, I didn't hear you."

Ewell: "After we catch this animal with this humane process what happens to the animal?"

Porter: "I don't . . . I don't know the answer to your question. The Bill has nothing to do with what happens to them afterwards."

Ewell: "Now, the question is, you use these traps to catch animals. Once they catch them, what do they do? Take them home as pets or do they, well, kill them?"

Porter: "Probably they kill them, but it . . . if they use this type of trap, they could take them home as pets because their legs wouldn't be broken."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, did . . . is Representative Choate seeking recognition? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Arnell: Representative Choate, did you . . . one minute, Don, did you seek recognition, Representative Choate?"

Choate: "I did, but I'll ask it on explanation of my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Arnell."

Arnell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter, to close."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, I . . . as Representative Walters said, I doubt that the people who have called or written really realize that the Bill is in an entirely different form than when it was originally introduced. It provides merely for a humane trap, and I think the real point here is that the injury is . . . the question of injury to the animal isn't so much the question, it's really the question of the degradation of human spirit that results when a person unnecessarily treats . . . treats innocent



animals cruelly. There's no need for it. It can easily be modified, and . . . ah . . . I urge your vote in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1002 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to explain my vote. We heard this Bill in Committee. I think we were very thorough in Committee and suggested several Amendments to Representative Porter. I happen to be one of those farm boys that did trap when I was a youngster, and I certainly recognize the right and the need for young people in rural areas to trap. I also . . . many of us in Committee explained the necessity of being able to use the traps that are still left on the farms, perhaps my kids have used traps that I had when I was a youngster, and there are still traps around that we wanted them to be able to use. Representative Porter did make the necessary Amendments to this Bill to permit the young people to continue to use these traps that they have around there by very simple alterations. I have one question in my mind, however, whether that rubber materials in the jaws of the trap would be wrapped in would be suitable to hold animals such as muskrats when they are trapped under water. Perhaps with the water around, why the animal's leg would . . . ah . . . slip out much easier from a rubber-wrapped . . . ah . . . trap than would the field. For that reason, I am hesitant to vote for this Bill, and like to be . . . would like to be recorded as voting 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take . . . take the Record. Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you arise,



Sir? Nice to have you with us today."

Cunningham: "Nice to be here, Mr. Speaker. I wanted to point out that this is a terrible Bill and the decision is very bad to all of those who make their living trapping. It's no argument to say to preserve some sport for children as one of the prior Speakers has mentioned. There are many who trap for livelihood. When you vote for this Bill, you're voting to put those people on public aid. From time to time we all pontificate about our concern about the public aid rolls. Now, is an opportunity to do something, to put our vote behind our mouths. Let's leave the people that trap still have a way to pur . . . pursue their particular sport and adventure. The way this Bill is drawn, you could require mouse traps and rat traps to have this same foam rubber cushion, and they're just as much logical reason for putting foam rubber cushions on mouse traps and on rat traps as putting on an instrument to destroy sufficiency. You should . . . I urge you're wrong."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', 26 'nays', Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Bradley: "Huh?"

Speaker Telcser: "This Bill having received the . . ."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to take the time of the House. I'd like to verify the Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Roll Call currently stands at 110 'ayes' and . . . 111 'ayes', 26 'nays'. Now, is there . . . now, Representative Hudson wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Geo-Karis 'aye'. The Gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll . . ."

Bradley: "I withdraw the request, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has withdrawn the request. On



this question there are 113 'ayes', 26 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Now, I'm going to go back and pick up some House Bills that we passed over. I'd like to call House Bill 1060."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1060."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, there are many of the Members of the House waiting for their Bills to be called, and I think you ought to continue on as you have been because 1060 has been up a half a dozen times at bat, and some of us have been patiently waiting. Let's get . . . go on through once, and then come to those that we're overlooked."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Chair earlier . . ."

Giorgi: "And if I have to make a motion, I'll move . . . I'll move to that effect."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Chair earlier today . . . ah . . . went back and picked up Bills, two of which 1091 and 1431 were handled in the same fashion, and that's the Bill we called. Do you wish to put a motion, Sir?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Sir. I request we respectfully move that . . . that you continue on the Roll Call as you have been doing and then come back to begin the Roll Call later on. I move that in a form of a motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been trying to get somebody from the Governor's office to come meet with Representative Duff since this morning. I've been in touch with them, and they've been trying to get him just a few minutes ago; and I'm sure that a few minutes are . . . isn't going to make that much difference. If he wants to go ahead . . . ah . . . there's a motion by Representative Giorgi,



I don't . . . ah . . . Brian, can you wait for five minutes or do you want to go?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry that I didn't understand what the Representative said, but as far as waiting is concerned, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Brian, one moment, the Gentleman asked if you would wait five minutes. So you know what he asked."

Duff: "Sure, you can be sure I'll wait five minutes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman'll wait five minutes."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1030, Rayson."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Rayson on the floor? Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1057, Craig."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, you've seemed to ignore a motion that I've made."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm doing what you asked, I went on to the next Bill."

Giorgi: "Well, . . . condition . . . my motion, I re . . . I reaffirm my earlier motion that you go on through the list as you're doing on the . . . 622 priority and finish that before you get back to other Bills, that's my motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giorgi."

Speaker Telcser: "We're trying to get a ruling from the Chair, Representative Giorgi, as to how many votes your motion will require. I think it'll take about 178 . . . no, okay, that motion will take 107 votes. Let my Parliamentarian check out . . . Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Matijevich: "I'm not under . . . I'm not sure I understand



Representative Giorgi's motion, but really I don't think he needs a motion because under Rule 37A, I think all the Bills are supposed to be called in numer . . . numerical order . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, and we're . . . we're calling them in numerical order, however, Representative Matijeich . . ."

Matijeich: ". . . What . . . what's his argument?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . ah . . . the point is during the course of the day, a Sponsor hasn't been on the floor or he asked to take it out of the Record for a few moments, and we have . . . ah . . . under the provisions of the rules . . . ah . . . gone back . . . ah . . . and backed up a Bill or two to pick up that Sponsor when he gets on the floor or when he . . . well, when he has worked something out with another Member . . ."

Matijeich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think you're being kind to Members, but I . . . I don't know if you're operating under the rules by doing that."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . I . . . I checked with the Parliamentarian, she tells me I am, now."

Matijeich: "What . . . what rule? I'm . . . I'm looking at . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . The Parliamentarian informs me that under provisions of Rule 37A, we have gone back . . . ah . . . to pick up Bills that have been called under the . . . ah . . . series that those Bills were already called in numerical sequence and that that fulfills the numerical sequence' intent of the rule."

Matijeich: "Well, if Ann said it, it must be right."

Speaker Telcser: "Ann said it, yeah, Ann said it. I take all my orders from Ann. Okay, 107 votes. Now, the Gentleman has moved that the Speaker remain in numerical sequence and not go back and pick up those Bills which . . . ah . . . a Sponsor has been trying to work out with



one side of the aisle or the other. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative . . . is that right, Zeke?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I don't mind, you know, the concurrent Committees that are occurring on the floor of the House, but I think you ought to go through the schedule once and then go back and pick up those Bills because many of us have been waiting for our Bill to be called. So my motion is that you not desist from this numerical calling that you're doing until you've done that. That's my motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I think I've stated it."

Giorgi: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, this takes 107 votes, is that correct, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "That . . . that's correct, Sir."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . I'd just like to speak briefly on the Gentleman's motion that today being the last day for House Bills in the House, the . . . ah . . . final last day, hopefully, we have been going back, and this is by agreement with the Leadership, going back to pick up Bills that were of a higher priority; that is of an earlier priority than . . . ah . . . some of the later ones as the Sponsor's appeared or as the Speaker indicated when the Sponsor asked to have it taken from the Record briefly . . . ah . . . we have been going back and picking them and I'm sure there are several instances of Bills that were handled in that way today without any objection at all. Now, I thought with that agreement that . . . ah . . . we can certainly do it with . . . ah . . . with this Bill, just as we've done it with all of the others handled in that way; and I . . . if the Gentleman persists in his motion, I would . . . ah . . . urge everyone to vote 'no' on the Gentleman's motion."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, with regard to the order of Bills that the Speaker called, I would respectfully suggest that the Speaker . . . that the motion made by the Gentleman from Winnebago is unnecessary. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, from my reading of the rules, that the Speaker does not have authority to jump from Bill to Bill without doing it in the order that the Bills appear on the Calendar and that for the Speaker to go back to a Bill, he must seek the leave of the House. Now, the Speaker may at his discretion go from one order of business to another order of business, but according to my reading of the rules, within an order he cannot . . . he does not have the discretion without the leave of the House . . . ah . . . to change. So I respectfully suggest that . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Winnebago wants, he has in the rules now."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Representative Schlickman, Representative Giorgi thinks they'll work this out with the Leadership so we can call the next Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1057, Craig, a Bill for an Act to amend the Soil and Water Conservation District Laws: . . . Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the Soil and Water Conservation Bill which provides for the control of soil erosion and sediment damage for the land, and the rivers and streams of our state. This will be worked out in the guidelines, be worked out with the Soil and Water Conservation people, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Pollution Control Board and other state agencies. It will give advice and guidelines to be carried out, and they'll try to have these ready to go



by December 31 of 1974. I'd like to urge your support of this measure."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when this Bill comes up for voting shortly, I, frankly, at this moment don't know how I'm going to vote. However, I do think that the Members of the House should be appreciative as to what they will be voting on. Now, it's been stated many times that landuse is the most vital environmental issue today; and I think if you look at this Bill and read it, and you can't look at the Bill itself, you must look at Amendment #1 to the Bill, which completely repeals everything in the Bill and by the Amendment we now have the Bill, but I think if you look at it, you can easily conclude that this Bill will make the scenic . . . scenic river's Bill and the Lake Michigan Bill of rights look like child play. Now, to appreciate and understand what's in the Amendment, and it's an Amendment to the Soil and Conservation District Act, you've got to take into account what conser . . . what soil and water conservation districts are; and let me tell you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that there are a number of peculiarities with regards to soil conserva . . . soil and conservation districts. Number 1, they may not, need not be contiguous. I don't know if any other local governmental unit, which by its geographic composition, need not be contiguous. Number 2, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, with regards to the creation of a soil and conservation district, yes, it's by referendum. However, only the . . . the only person who can vote in the referendum for the creation of a soil and water conservation district are those who are landowners in the district, not those who are electors within the district; and even, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if the majority of



the landowners within a district want a soil and conservation district to be created, whether or not that district will be created, depends on the judgment or discretion of the State Department of Agriculture; and after there has been a referendum, assuming that a majority of the landowners desire . . . ah . . . that a district be created, it's left to the judgment of the Department of Agriculture whether or not the attitude . . . whether or not the attitudes of the property owners in the district are conducive to the creation of a district, and the department also has the discretion of looking at the wealth . . . looking at the wealth of the landowners and determining whether or not the district is to be created. Now, among the powers that a soil and conservation district has is that of land use planning, carrying out preventive and control measures and requiring contributions from property owners for services that the district provides, something, if you will, in the nature of a special assessment; and furthermore, the district has the authority to require of landowners by agreement and covenants as to what they are going to do with their land. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, soil and conservation districts also have the authority to adopt land use regulations. Now, these land use regulations are subject to referendum, they also are subject to review and comment by the State Department of Con . . . ah . . . Agriculture. Now, these land use regulations of a soil and conservation district will involve land classification, the requiring of property owners to engage in engineering and construction as prescribed by the soil and conservation district. The soil and Conservation district has the power under ordinance . . . ah . . . to determine the particular methods of cultivation and even by ordinance has the authority to determine when land shall be retired from use. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, last year



we passed a Bill that carried the authority of soil and conservation districts even further. We required by law that any landuse regulations by municipalities or counties that involve a part of a soil and conservation district shall be subject to review and comment by the soil and conservation district. Now, with that fact on, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I should like to call your attention to the content of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. The Amendment changes the purposes and policies of the Act; and in changing the purposes and policies of the Act, says that the authority of landuse regulations by soil and conservation districts shall extend to urban and non-urban land, goes into housing, industrial and commercial development, street, highways, recreation areas . . . ah . . . et cetera; and then the Amendment goes further. It provides authority to the Department of Agriculture to establish a state-wide land-use plan; and to develop standards which will be imposed upon the soil and conservation district; and these standards, if not complied with by soil and conservation districts, will then be imposed by the Department of the Agriculture directly, and if there isn't, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, a soil and conservation district through which the Department of Agriculture can determine how . . . determine that . . . ah . . . and insure the implementation of its standards, the Department of Agriculture can select that unit of local government that will implement the state-wide land use plan of the Department of Agriculture. Now, Mr. Speaker, the Bill also creates an Advisory Committee; and I wonder with the Soil and Conservation Act already having an Advisory Committee to the State Department of Agriculture, a second one is required. In conclusion, Mr. Speaker, what I'd like to do is pose a series of questions to the Sponsor of this Bill, and I would appreciate his answering because



I'm, again, not sure how I'm going to vote on this Bill. Number 1, Representative, why establish another Advisory Committee when one already exists. That's number 1. Number 2, what will be the relationship between the zoning, the landuse regulation of a soil and conservation district and the landuse regulations of another unit of local government, municipality, county or township if we're downstate. I asked a question where there's a conflict . . . where there's a conflict between the landuse policies and practices of a municipality or county and that of a soil and conservation district, which set of land use regulations and policies will control?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion?"

Schlickman: "I asked some questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry. Does the Gentleman wish to respond?"

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, he made about a 15 minute dissertation of what he was talking about the Bill. Now, then as far as the Bill itself is concerned, these guidelines will be set up as a . . . with the various agencies . . . working together. Now, if a municipality lies within a area where a soil and conservation district is not included in this municipality, they will work with the municipality in that area. If the area lies two soil and water conservation districts, they will work with both of the various districts on this. As far as the landuse, I think this is something that should be concerned with if you want clean rivers and sediment keep it as low as possible that you should have some plans to what . . . to give to someone to see what you can do to prevent this erosion and the soil washing away regardless of whether you're a farmer or a builder or who; and I think this is still the best way to work with this to try to get the guidelines set up and to give the people and the landowners and the home-



owners a chance to be explained what is going to be done in the various districts of this state."

Speaker Telcser: "No, the Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman spoke for 10 minutes on the Bill and wouldn't that be his entire time without asking additional questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, it would be, Sir. I believe he's concluded anyway, but do you wish to speak to the Bill? Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish to close, Representative Craig?"

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment please. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, inasmuch as the Lake Michigan Bill of Rights . . . ah . . . was mentioned by Representative Schlickman, I did want to ask Bob if . . . ah . . . if there are some analogies here in terms of landuse . . . is this a landuse Bill?"

Craig: "I would say that they would be concerned to keep soil from soil erosions and sediment control, yes, in that nature. I mean, they . . . these guidelines will be set up, not by just the soil and water people alone."

Mann: "Well, in other words, the point I'm making is that . . . ah . . . the Bill I couldn't get out of Committee and the Bill that you are probably going to pass, it does provide for state planning for soil . . . soil conservation, is that right?"

Craig: "For soil and . . . soil and sediment control, yes, soil erosion and sediment control planning."

Mann: "And it's put under the state, is that correct?"

Craig: "Well, the state will work with the guidelines promoted to . . . with these various soil and water conservation districts, and if a municipality is not in that district, they will work with the municipality."



Mann: "But who will form the plans in the first instance?"

Craig: "I would say the soil and water conservation people would be the ones who would . . . ah . . . have the requirements at the state level."

Mann: "That's right, and then . . . then the local people will have to follow the mandate of the state people, is that right?"

Craig: "I didn't get your question, Bob."

Mann: "And then the local people will have to follow the mandate of the state people?"

Craig: "Well, the state . . . there'll be hearings held locally though first before there's any mandate made by the state."

Mann: "Well, well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "I'd like to hold this Bill for a minute and maybe we can get a few of these things straightened out."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1058, Kosinski, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a piece of legislation to outlaw the so-called 'junk gun' or Saturday Night Special. This imposes a direct prohibition on the sale of a class of handguns much used in crime without affecting, and I repeat without affecting, quality handguns useful to police, honest citizens for the purpose of defense, hunting or recreation or collection. Coverage of the Bill would be limited to those handguns which possess a frame or receiver that is of . . . a dye casting of zinc alloy or any other non-homogenous metal which melts at a temperature of less than 800 degrees Fahrenheit. Federal gun control Acts of



1968 was supposed to effectively curtail the use of the Saturday Night Specials, but covers only import. These low quality guns are not being produced inside the United States. While attracted to the uninformed or the useful buyer, these unsafe and unreliable handguns are of no legitimate use to lawmen, or collectors, or target shooters, or farmers, or hunters; that they are widely used because of their low cost in juvenile crime. Cosponsors of the measure are those who are . . . are both those who have consistently defended the sportsman and homeowner and those who are alarmed about the problem of urban crime. Rifle and shooting organizations, which normally appeared against gun reg. . . legislation in Judiciary II, appeared as proponents to this Bill. I solicit your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is an excellent Bill. Ah . . . We all know . . . ah . . . that guns kill people. We all know that the more guns we have, the more people that are killed; and the Saturday Night Special is a gun that's been used to kill more people easier than any other weapon, not only in our urban areas, but across the country. We've seen our great . . . great leaders assassinated, we've seen crime, we've seen accidental shootings, we've seen the whole panoply of damage that the Saturday Night Special . . . ah . . . can cause. It's difficult to control. It should not be offensive to the hunters and the sportsman because of the nature of the weapon. Ah . . . I would say that this is a must Bill. If you really believe in law and order, it's a Bill that we've got to pass, and we need it badly, not only in Chicago, but across the state. It will in no way inhibit the sportsman in the use of their weapon; and I urge a very strong vote. In Chicago there's a



tremendous need for . . . ah . . . this Bill. I urge a strong 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, those of you who have served in previous Sessions know that there has not been a more adamant person in opposition to gun control legislation than I have been. But if you will look closely at this piece of legislation, just like Representative Mann has said, this Bill will in no way hurt the collector of firearms, it will in no way hurt the person who comes from the area of the state of which I do where there is many hunters. This is not going to hurt the sportsman, it's not going to hurt the collector, it's not going to hurt the fellow who hunts. This Bill is designed to do one thing and one thing only, help control crime in the major cities of this state to the extent that they cannot any longer be able to walk into one of these shady joints and purchase what is known as the Saturday Night Special, a gun that would have no use other than for illegal purposes because it's not a worthy gun to start with. It's not a hardy gun. It's not a gun that will sustain continued use. It's not a gun that . . . those of us who are interested in hunting and collecting even want to have on our premises. If you want to legitimately outlaw a gun that should have never been brought about to start with, this is the way to do; and I intend to suppo . . . support this piece of legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish to close? The question is . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "I thank the Majority Leader, and I thank all the Gentleman who cosponsored this Bill on both sides of the aisle; and I now solicit your vote."



Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1058 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld, to explain his vote."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my 'aye' vote, let me say this that the basic principle behind my vote is out of deference to the Sponsor, who I consider one of the outstanding law and order Legislators in this General Assembly, and I'm going to vote 'aye' for this Bill on that basis; but basically I'm opposed to the concept of outlawing guns or controlling guns or anything along that line because I think it smacks . . . goes smack in the face of what this country is held best for many, many years in other areas. It seems to me that the British people have got the right approach to this system that you make crimes punishable by greater punishment that was committed with weapons than you do those that are not; and I can think of the great train robbery of many years ago now where millions of dollars were taken, but because of the fact that weapons were not used, the people got off relatively lightly; whereas, if they had used weapons, they would have had life sentences. So I think the concept is a bad one, but I think the Sponsor is a good one, and the Bill deserves support providing we don't go any further than this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm somewhat ambivalent about this . . . ah . . . Bill. My name is on it, I don't recall what induced me to put my name on it, but I won't back up for that, suffice to say that I wish I hadn't. What the proponents of this Bill is saying is that if you have 'X' number of dollars, you can have a gun in this state; but if you can't acquire



that amount of money, then you can't have a gun. It' class legislation, pure and simple, no matter how you cut it. My attitude about guns is that you either outlaw all guns or outlaw none. I don't see why we should pick on a certain class of guns. Now, I've heard the statement here, I've read it in the press, and I've heard other people make the statement that these guns are the main guns used in crime. I don't know that that's true. I've never seen the statistics. I'd like to see them. I really would. It's been brewed about through the press for months that the so-called Saturday Night Specials are the main guns used in street crimes. I just don't know that; but I do know one thing, that it's blatant class legislation; and I would think that this Assembly would exercise some courage if they said, 'Abolish all guns or abolish none'. I wish to be recorded as voting 'present' as I am usually recorded in all class, C-L-A-S-S legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Just briefly, Mr. Speaker, because of my respect for Representative Washington. We have tried in past Sessions to outlaw all guns. Ah . . . This attempt has been made . . . ah . . . on every occasion in my 12 years in this Assembly; but sometimes you can't get the entire loaf so you take a half a loaf, and I think in passing this Bill, we're going to save countless lives . . . ah . . . across this state. There's just no reason for people to be able to have the capacity to produce their own guns to kill people; and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Record Representative Caldwell as voting 'present'. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 21 'nays', 2 answering



'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Springer, do you want your Bill called, Sir? Okay, call the Bill please."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1108, Springer, a Bill for an Act to repeal an Act relating to firearms. Third Reading of the Bill."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1108 repeals the Gun Registration Act of the State of Illinois. In the continued controversy over the gun controls that we just had, one 'serious' point appears to have been overlooked. Gun control seemed to bear little relation to the homicides, and I'll give you some statistics. The F.B.I.'s Uniform Crime Report gives some interesting examples. For instance, the State of Hawaii requires registration of all firearms, yet it experienced a whopping 50% increase in homicides in '71 against 1970 last year for which the full comparative figures are available. Similarly, New York State, which long has boasted of its Sullivan anti-gun law, experienced a hefty 26% increase in homicide for the same '70 and '71 period. New York State, it should be noted, had more homicides in '71, in fact, than any other state, 1,817; though California had more people. On the other hand, such bastions of anti-gun law laxative as South Dakota, Oregon, Idaho, Arizona and Utah, all registered, sharp decreases in homicides in '70 and '71. South Dakota had a remarkable 68% decrease. Oregon was down 27%. Idaho had a 27% decrease. Arizona was down 26%; and Utah was down 16%. Getting back to the State of Illinois, in Chicago the situation has been somewhat analogous. In 1965, when Chicago had no gun registration law, there were 393 murders in the city. In '71, when every Chicagoan by law for three years had been required to register his firearms, Chicago had 824 murders; more



than twice as many; and ironically, a greater percentage of homicides, 65%, was committed in Chicago by firearms in 1971 after registration went into effect, and in 1965, 50% when no such registration was required. Another interesting Chicago figure is this, during the same '65-'61 . . . '71 period, robbery/killings, the commission of murder in the course of a robbery soared a fantastic 87½%. This present Bill has been enforced, the Registration Act, for five years. It has not decreased crime. In a way, the peace officers say it does help to a certain extent; and I've done a telephone survey in the downstate counties, and practically every individual that was arrested, was arrested for another felony, and then the gun . . . ah . . . having not a gun registration card was added to the penalty. I urge your affirmative vote on House Bill 1108."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, is . . . is my Digest correct? Was this referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is that where the Bill was heard, Representative Springer? Yes, the Gentleman . . ."

Jaffe: "I . . . I would suggest that . . . ah . . . whether that Bill . . . ah . . . refers to any other Committee, it would have been killed; and I think that it was referred to that Committee only to get it out, and I would suggest to everybody that we realize what's going on and vote this Bill down."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in full support of this legislation. We've got a law here that can't enforce . . . at least isn't being enforced, I know about a year ago I talked to



the department that was registering these guns, and they estimated that only 25% of the guns in the State of Illinois were being registered. So there we have a Bill . . . a law here that isn't being enforced. It's ridiculous to carry it on the books; and, therefore, it should be . . . ah . . . repealed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; Representative Springer to close."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in regards to Representative Jaffe . . . ah . . . as far as the coming out of Agricultural and Conservation Committee. The reason I think it was assigned there is the fact that the Game and Fish Fund from the individual revenue of the Gun Owners' Registration Act acquired \$745,650. There's one other point I want to point out that the prohibi . . . friends of mine, who desire ardently to protect the right of the American citizen to keep and bear arms for self defense, cite yet another telling argument against gun controls. They point out that just as prohibition made the manufacture of hijacking and the sale of alcoholic beverages a most profitable underworld business, the virtual prohibition of handguns in such large cities as Washington, New York, Chicago, already has made illicit gun runnings 'remudative' to the hoodlum element. I appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1108 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Okay, record McCormick as voting 'aye', and LaFleur as voting 'aye'. Mann 'no'. Brinkmeier 'aye'. Giorgi 'aye'. Now, . . . ah . . .



Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm going to take another Roll Call, but let's get on it. We've still got . . . ah . . . well over a hundred Bills to consider, and we're really falling way short of our mark. The question is, shall House Bill 1108 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, wish to explain his vote?"

McGrew: "Ah . . . I would like to be recorded as 'present' on this."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'.

Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Kosinski 'present'. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 51 'nays', 2 answering 'present' . . . Representative Springer, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Springer: "Mr. Speaker, may I . . . ah . . . request that House Bill 1108 put on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Telcser: "That is your privilege, Sir. Put it on

Postponed Consideration. Call the next Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1109, Tom Miller, an Act to create Little Calumet River Flood Control Coordinating Commission and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1109 creates the Little Calumet River Flood Control Coordinating Commission composed of 12 Legislative and 4 public Members. The purpose of the Commission is to study, examine and coordinate all plans and policies in relation to flood control in the Little Calumet River drainage basin. The Bill further repeals an Act which created a Commission on operation of Little Calumet River, which was devoted primarily to pollution abatement along the Little Calumet River and its tributaries. A compaion appropriation's Bill for \$5,000 to fund the



Commission is presently in the Appropriation's Committee and will likely become a part of an omnibus Bill covering appropriations for several Commissions. House Bill 1109 received unanimous support of the Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources by a 16 to nothing vote, and your favorable consideration will be considerably appreciated."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1108 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Take the Record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', Grotberg 'aye', 3 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."



HOUSE BILL 1122

JUNE 4, 1973 - THIRD READING

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1122, Schlickman, an Act relating to Land Use Planning. Third Reading of the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

E. F. Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1122 is the Local Government Land Use Planning and Management Act. Basically, it's a codification of existing Zoning Law insofar as Municipalities, Counties and Townships are concerned. House Bill 1122 is the product of intensive work effort by a Legislative Study Commission consisting of ten Legislators and four public members. It included past and present Local Government Officials and Municipal Attorneys. I should like to state, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the very outset, that this Bill has absolutely nothing, has absolutely nothing to do with State Zoning. Nor, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, does it require any Governmental Unit to zone, if it doesn't want to. Furthermore, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, and I think this is very important, the Bill places no limits on Home Rule Units. What House Bill 1122 does is to take fifty year old 'Zoning Enabling Act' and bring them up-to-date in light of zoning experience and land use needs at the local level and in light of past judicial decisions. Now, some of the basic and major features of House Bill 1122 are as follows: It replaces the existing



'Zoning Enabling Act' with one Act providing uniformity of substance and authority and procedure. In other words, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, a landowner's rights are the same throughout the State. Number two, by this Bill Local Governments can not arbitrary or capricious in their land use decision. First, they must establish a comprehensive land use plan. And, after the plan is adopted, all land use decisions must fit within the plan. House Bill 1122 requires that Local Government Zoning Administrators shall be knowledgeable in the subject of land use control ordinances and relevant State Statutes and possess capacities for the operation of a public office. House Bill 1122 also provides that all affected property owners must be given notice of hearings on proposed changes in land use. Generally speaking, the purposes of House Bill 1122 are to protect the rights of landowners and to insure that our land, that most basic and indispensable natural resource that we have, is protected and conserved for the benefit of all, today and tomorrow. Quoting Will Rogers, 'We better take care of our land. They ain't making anymore of it'. House Bill 1122, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House is founded on the traditional principle the land use policy and practice is primarily a local matter. Unlike the existing 'State Enabling Zoning Act', it strikes a balance as to the interest and rights of property owners, the public and local government officials. House Bill 1122 is not objected to, was not objected to by anyone in Committee. And, the Agricultural



and Natural Resource Committee reported this Bill out with a recommendation, do pass, without one dissenting vote.

And, among the Groups, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that have endorsed House Bill 1122 is the Illinois Agriculture Association. I respectfully solicit your support."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenwever."

H. D. Leinenwever: "Ah.. never mind, Mr. Speaker. He answered my question. I wanted... What I wanted to do was find out what the Illinois Agriculture Association ah.. was... position was on this Bill. And, he's told me they are in favor of it."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

M. L. Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

M. L. Flinn: "Representative Schlickman, what happens to the present ordinances... Township Ordinances ah.. and the Ordinances by the Counties right now, that are in existence right now?"

E. F. Schlickman: "Those ah.. Municipalities and Counties, that have zoning ordinances today will..., those ordinances will stay in effect. The only requirement will be that, if a County, a Municipality or a Township, which has elected through its governing bodies, to enact Zoning Ordinances without basing those Zoning Ordinances upon a land use plan,



they will have five years in which to come with a land use plan."

M. L. Flinn: "If they do not, then what happens to the present ordinances?"

E. F. Sclickman: "If within five years, a Municipality, a Township or a County, that is presently exercising zoning authority, does not come up with a comprehensive general plan, then if anybody contests a provision ah.. of their ordinances, the burden will be upon the Units of Local Government ah.. to show ah.. Constitutionality."

M. L. Flinn: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

E. E. Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, while this Bill does have some good concepts about it, I think, overall, it's a bad Bill and it should not be enacted. We talk about a Statute that's been on the Books for fifty years, dealing with Zoning. That means we have fifty years of Case Law, almost every sentence, every comma, every paragraph of the Statute has been interpreted by the Courts. And, it would be a shame, in my opinion, to throw those decisions out and ah.. adopt new Legislation and start Court proceedings again to determine just what the Statute means. I think, it's bad. It seems strange, too, that a Bill like this would go to the Agriculture Committee and not to Cities and Villages or the Executive Committee. There was a Meeting, just Saturday, of ah.. Municipal Attorneys in Champaign and



of the Executive Board of the Illinois Municipal League and they voted unanimously to oppose this Bill. Mainly, because of those reasons. I think, that ah.. those good portions of this Bill can easily be taken into the present Statute on Zoning by Amendment. And, I think, we ought to propose those Amendments next year. But, I think, right now, we'd making... we're making a mistake by enacting this Bill into Law. And, I urge you to vote against it."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman.... Oh! The gentleman from ah.. Madison, Representative Calvo, still seek recognition, Sir?"

H. L. Calvo: "Well, I did have a question. Ah.. Gene, is there any specific guidelines in your Bill concerning what type of land use plan or ah.. what type of zoning ordinance they must have? You said that you'd have a uniform ordinance. And, that anyone, who now had an ordinance, it would be valid provided they either base it on a comprehensive land use plan or adopted one within five years. Is that correct?"

E. F. Schlickman: "What I said, was this, ah.. Representative, that we have unified the procedural aspect. You'll find in the 'Municipal Code' one set of procedures to the exercise of land use regulation by Municipalities. You'll find a different procedural program in the 'County Act' and you'll find a third procedural program in the 'Township Act'. Now, what we're doing is simply taking the best of all three and developing one procedural program so that a property owner, who may be in ah.. one part of the State, will be ah.. secured



the same rights, procedurally, as the property owner in another part of the State. Now, with regard to the comprehensive general plan, upon which ah.. land use regulation should be based, we simply provide for the ah.. rudiments ah.. of what constitute the comprehensive general plan. And, any Planning Commission, any ah.. Zoning Board of Appeals, regardless of the size of the Municipality, Township or County, ah.. will have no difficulty in putting ah.. together a comprehensive general plan. What we're attempting to do, and want to accomplish, ah.. by this Bill, is to provide a mechanism by which people at the local level can determine ah.. the future destiny of their Municipality, Township or County."

H. L. Calvo: "Gene, is there any specific language in your Bill concerning particular guidelines such as ah.. flood plane or storm water programs or anything of that kind?"

E. F. Schlickman: "Well,....."

H. L. Calvo: "Yes or No. If there is, fine. If there isn't...."

E. F. Schlickman: "Yeah.. With regard to the Zoning Ordinance, we say that, if a Unit of Local Government had within its boundaries a flood plane, has an airport, has a historical site, that Unit of Local Government should take this unique situation into account. But, we don't tell the Unit of Local Government how it shall take it into account. We simply want, by encouragement, for the Unit of Local Government to acknowledge that they do have an unique situation. How they do it, by what provisions they do it, ah.. is left to their



discretion."

H. L. Calvo: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Will the Sponsor yield... yield for a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Ah.. Gene, is this one of the Bills that some of us met with you about a month ago?"

E. F. Schlickman: "Yes."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Well, didn't you tell me, at that time, that before you would consider bringing it up on the floor, that you would give us each a chance circularize our Areas? Before we took any action on it?"

E. F. Schlickman: "This Bill was introduced on April 11th. And, on April 25, it was assigned to the Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources. And, on May 22, it was reported out with a recommendation, do pass, by the ah.. Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee without a dissenting vote. Ah.. today is ah.. June 4th, I believe."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Well, maybe I misunderstood you. But, if I might ask you one more question. The way that the synopsis reads in the Book, it says that ah.., 'this will not be a limit on any Home Rule Unit'. Is that still the ah.. story on this Bill?"

E. F. Schlickman: "Yes, Mam."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Alright.. And, with all your Amendments, you



would be repealing Laws authorizing zoning by Counties and Municipalities and Townships. Is that right?"

E. F. Schlickman: "What we are doing is taking the fifty year old existing 'Zoning Enabling Act', consolidating them into one Act with uniform substantive ah.. procedural provisions and making them applicable to all Units of Local Government."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "In other words, you are taking the right of zoning, am I correct, away from the Non-Home Rule Counties and Municipalities?"

E. F. Schlickman: "Absolutely not. Absolutely not."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Well, if you repeal the Laws, authorizing these, wouldn't you be taking that right away when you want the State to do it?"

E. F. Schlickman: "We are providing.... We are providing, through this Bill, 1970 Land Use Authority to Home Rule... to Non-Home Rule Units."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Alright.. Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak on the Bill, if I may."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Proceed."

A. J. Geo-Karis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to speak against this Bill for the following reasons. Number one, we are taking prerogative away from the Local Governments to know what their needs are. We are penalizing the Non-Home Rule Municipalities by making this Law, if it's passed, apply to them. Whereas, the Home Rule Municipalities can do as they wish. I feel it's a bad Bill of the circumstances, and therefore, I speak against



it."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. And, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, to close."

E. F. Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 1122, as I indicated at the outset, is the product of intensive work effort by a Legislative Study Commission, created by the General Assembly in 1969. And, on that Commission, there were fourteen Members, ten of the Legislature and four public members, all of whom had either past or present Local Government experience, some of whom were Municipal Officials. Now, what the Bill does is to update, to modernize the land use authority that Units of Local Government have. And, that's all that it does. We are not changing the basic traditional principles by which Units of Local Government engage in land use ah.. policy and regulation. We are helping Units of Local Government with regards to their critical land use needs and problems. Now, with regards to the comments made by the gentleman from Cook, the ah.. Mayor of the Village of Schiller Park, he's correct. About three weeks... three or four weeks ago, the Board of the Municipal League voted to oppose this Bill. But, I'd like to call to your attention the circumstances under which



they did it. The Executive Secretary of the Board with the agenda stated to the Board, 'Well, now we come to Schlickman's Bill'. And, without even looking at the Bill, the Municipal League Board of Director voted against it. They didn't even crack it. Now, last Friday and Saturday, the Municipal League had a Meeting in Champaign. And, I got a call Saturday, told by a subordinate of the Staff that the Board had elected to continue to oppose. They confirmed their previous ignorance. And, the justification given was, 'It's new. We don't want to learn all over again'. Well, what they're saying, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is that the deficiencies, the deficiencies that exist in the present ordinances and which have been compensated by judicial court-made decision is what they want to continue to rely on. And, it's only the Municipal Attorneys that know what's in those Court Decisions. It's not the people of the State of Illinois. There's a mystique with regards to land use planning and management that certain special interests in the State want to maintain for their own private domain. This is a Bill that will help Units of Local Government with regard to the primary responsibility that they have of conserving our natural land use resources in the best interest of citizens throughout the State. And, I seek your support of this much needed Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The question is, 'shall House Bill 1122 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The gentleman from Kane, Representa-



tive Grotberg, to explain his vote."

J. E. Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's with a great deal of pleasure that I rise to support Mr. Schlickman's Bill of which I pleaded with him to become a Co-Sponsor. I speak, probably, only for myself representing a Fox River Valley Area that is inundated with zoning problems from County and Municipality levels, none of them, of course, want to give up their autonomy in this area nor does Mr. Schlickman's Bill preclude that. But, there are not enough resources, at the County level and in many of the smaller Municipality levels, to bring intelligent land use and the resources... the technical resources necessary for that to bring good judgement to the... to the great Zoning Committees that we have in our various Municipalities. I would plead for 'green' lights up there to let us start getting underway on where the no-no's of State-wide land use lie and how to bring them about in a sensible order so that our local newspapers are not filled with zoning fights from week to week to week, people burning their good hearts out for lack of resources on what has to be an answer to mankind's existence in this busy State of Illinois. And, for you Downstaters, I do believe, that this will be nothing but help to you as.. as it's done in the broad context of this great Bill. I'm pleased to turn on a 'red' light and urge everyone.... or a 'green' light and everyone here to do the same."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."



A. T. McMaster: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, ah.. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I tried to be recognized, Mr. Speaker on the order of Questions of the Sponsor. I realize that we're busy and I forgive you for overlooking me."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Thank you, Tom. He.. He'll answer your question anyway."

A. T. McMaster: "I will try to do it by the means of explanation of vote. Ah.. as I understand the ah.. Legislation before us, a County such as mine, where we have gone through a joint City-County Plan and completely rewritten our Zoning Ordinance approximately five years ago, we would be ah.. not considered under this Bill. We would be okay. Ah.. however, I do not entirely agree with the concept of this Legislation. I know that the I.A.A. is in support of it. And, ordinarily, I agree with the I.A.A. But, it so happens that I feel that this Legislation is merely an attempt to force Counties, that do not have Zoning Ordinances, into having zoning of some sort, if not at their own level, at the State level. And, for this reason, I feel that I'm going to have to oppose this Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all.... Oh! The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenwever."

H. D. Leinenwever: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting 'aye' and I certainly wish that other people would do so. However, ah.. I've been involved in ah.. Municipal ah.. Law Work for a number of years and I've done quite a bit of zoning work. And, 99.9 percent of all Law and Zoning has to do with princ-



ples of Constitutional Law and not on the specific wording of the Statutes. So certainly, this will not, by any way, shape or form, repeal the Fifth Amendment or the ah... the Illinois Constitutional ah.. Equivalent of the Fifth Amendment Rights to not have your property taken without due process of Law. I certainly feel that this is a step in the right direction, a step to ah.. bring ah.. some order to what is ah.. ah.. has been a morass of conflicting ah.. ideas in regulations throughout the State. And, I certainly wish that there were more 'green' lights on there. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Now, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, to explain his vote."

E. F. Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, before I came to Springfield and had the honor of serving in this Body, I served for five years as a Village Trustee. And, an interest to me, as a Member of the Village Board, was the matter of land use planning and regulation. And, it was one of the things that caused me to run for the Legislature so that I could provide assistance to my fellow village trustees. Now, by this Bill, we are helping, believe me, we are helping Units of Local Government to satisfy their traditional responsibility in conserving... preserving our land resources. Now, I'm not going to ask for consideration postponed on this Bill. I'm going to let it go 'up' or 'down'. But, I'm going to say something to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is a growing movement throughout the United States,



and there's a movement here within the State of Illinois, as reflected by House Bill 1057 which the Sponsor took out of the record, for State-wide Zoning. Just last week, Senator Jackson's Bill was reported out of Committee, 'The National Land Use Policy Act'. And, that Act, and I'm told and advised that it will pass the Senate, and probably, will pass the House and the President will probably approve it, probably will be in effect by January or February of 1974. And, what that Law will do is impose upon all of the States, as a condition for Federal funding, to involve themselves in local land use policy and practice. I suggest to you that the best defense for the exercise of Home Rule is a good defense. And, what this Bill provides, the Units of Local Government are the tools and vehicle by which they can satisfy the responsibilities that they have to themselves and to their citizens at the local level."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

R. E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to personally commend Representative Schlickman, not so much for introducing this Bill, although it's an excellent Bill, but for the tremendous amount of work he did in preparing this Bill through his Commission. Now, those of you, who feel very jealous ah.. of local control, and you have that right to do so, should also remember that traditional ah.. circumstances, which happen when we unreasonably resist State control. We ultimately get a Federal Bill and Federal con-



trol which is much, much more burdensome and much more selective insofar as the rights of local control are concerned. And, if ever there was a time to pass a Bill which will enable us to respond to the ultimate and absolutely certain passage of more stringent Federal Legislation with regard to land use, it's this Bill. And, those of you, who are for local control, ought to be supporting this Legislation. And, I vote 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 69 'Ayes', 29 'Nays'... Porter.. 'aye'. Peters.. 'aye'. And, this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional majority is hereby declared lost. Now, with respect to a motion, the gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, is recognized. And, will the Members please pay attention?"

C. L. Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I.. I wish that the Members would pay attention because it has to do... has to do with the category of Bills that we're working on at the present time. I've discussed this with the Leadership on both sides of the aisle and I mean for the motion to be for one reason and one reason only so that we might move in a little faster fashion than we're doing at the present time. And, that is to give... because of the absence of Members and because some Members are still attempting to work out ah.. agreements on.. on some of the Bills that are in this particular area of call, which is the House Bills - Third Reading - Priority of Call, because of Bills being asked to be taken out of the record



until some type of agreement is attempted to be worked, because of the absence of some Members, as I previously explained, it was . . . is my thought that we might suspend the . . . the appropriate rules in regards to this one . . . one group of Bills only so that the Speaker might move back and forth in this particular group of Bills and call Bills that the Members have request . . . requested to be called and move along without this other delay action of taking them out of the Record and asking if the Sponsor is on the floor; and I would, therefore, move, Mr. Speaker, that the appropriate rules governing the Priority of Call be suspended for today that these Bills . . . that the Speaker might move around in this group of Bills and call Bills that Members have asked to be called."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 37 for the purposes of having the Speaker . . . ah . . . handle the House Bills which are on the Priority of Call . . . ah . . . that was Rule 37A. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Now, so the Membership understands it, this is only for the House Bills which will have to be out of here by the 6th, when we deal with Senate Bills, we'll go back to the Priority Call in numerical order. On this question there are 132 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion prevails. House Bill 1471."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1471, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1471 is the annual unemployment compensation increase Bill and it



was worked out in Committee and this is the Bill we had a little confusion on the Amendment, and the Chairman of the Industrial Affairs Committee straightened the House; and all it provides for is an average of a 16% increase across the board because of a high cost of living and the increased medical costs and so on; and I urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Mr. Giorgi has explained this Bill that is a 16% increase. I want to commend him for the reasonableness at which he approached this Bill, and I would hope that any input that I made on this Bill would certainly bring me out of the Dark Ages, at least up to the Nineteenth Century. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Someone wish to close the debate? The question is, shall House Bill 1741 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Walters 'aye'. Take the Record. Springer 'aye'. On this question there are 129 'ayes', no 'nays', Duff 'aye' and Gibbs 'aye'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1060."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1060, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Uniform Code of Corrections . . . Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1060 is a Bill to provide a professional start . . . state-wide probation service. A few days ago we had a majority of the House in favor of this



Bill. At that time, at the request of some Members on the other side of the aisle, we took the subject out of the Record with the agreement of many who preferred to vote 'present' at that time pending discussions. In these few days, my great respect for the opposition to this system of state-wide professional probation has increased many fold. The fact is that efforts to compromise any further has been unsuccessful. Perhaps this is because the Bill itself is already a concensus, and as the result of many, many, many compromises over a period of two years. Some I know have received calls from . . . ah . . . Cook County probation officers in objection to this . . . very fact of these calls is perhaps an indication in itself of the need for taking probation officers out from under the yoke of political direction. I would remind the Members that the Bill has the endorsement of the Chicago Tribune, Daily News, Sun Times, Chicago Today, as well as many other newspapers, televisions and radio stations. Perhaps more importantly, it is fully endorsed by the Illinois Probation Officers' Association, with representation in every circuit of Illinois. Other supporters include the Chicago Crime Commission, John Howard Association; I have a letter here endorsing it from Peter Bensicks, the former head of the Department of Corrections. I've been authorized to say that Donald Page Ward, the head of the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission, also supports the Bill. The Bill is the result of long effort on the part of the counsel and the diagnosis and evaluation of criminal defendants. I have explained the Bill before at great lengths, and so at this time, I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. M. Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, . . . ah . . . I'm in favor of this Bill. I think that it's a step in the right direction. It has been or was part of the Unified . . . ah . . . Code of Criminal Corrections that was . . . ah . . . it was deleted. There has been a great deal of discussion on it. I . . . ah . . . differ with the previous Speaker though, the Sponsor of this Bill, in that it is perfect. I don't believe it's perfect, and there have been some serious . . . ah . . . questions raised; but I believe that the people are trying to work . . . work out a unified . . . unified and professional probation system, are willing to discuss this in the Senate, willing to work on additional suggestions. I would like to suggest that it may not be perfect, but it is a very good vehicle. It is, in fact, a very large step in the right direction. I would urge everyone's support for this Bill, but I would like to take issue with the fact that it has all of its kinks worked out. There's always room for improvement, and on this Bill, there's some additional room for improvement; but this is a major step forward, a step forward that we must make and one that I would urge a favorable consideration of all the Members of this House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to just take this opportunity to thank those Members that kept this Bill in the House the other day so that we could try to negotiate . . . ah . . . some sanity into it. In its present form, I'm still opposed to the Bill. I've had numerous calls this morning from the juvenile probation officers in Cook County about what they think is the effect . . . ah . . . on the probation system at the juvenile court and on how this will effect state-wide probation. I will say, however, that Mr. Duff and I have talked to a Representative from



the Governor's office and he's . . . ah . . . said that there is in his opinion room for some changes in this Bill, that he'd be happy to sit down and work them out in the Senate if it gets there; and I said I'd be very happy to; and I will say one thing, Mr. Duff, . . . ah . . . I know you've tried, but your . . . as my good friend . . . ah . . . Senator . . . former Senator . . . ah . . . McGlooin said about working with Senator Arrington . . . ah . . . you've been like a steel plate in a battleship. So I still will continue to vote 'no' on the Bill, and if it gets over to the Senate, I certainly intend to do a lot of work to try to get it in what I think is the shape of a good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, wish to close?"

Duff: "I am most complimented at being referred to in the same vein as Senator Arrington. I do believe that it's a good Bill, and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1060 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, very briefly in explaining my 'no' vote. At no time did the Sponsor of the Bill say where the additional state money is coming from to . . . ah . . . where the fiscal implementation of this Bill, and I'll remain voting 'no' until that time."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this . . . Murphy 'aye' . . . on this question there are 108 'ayes', 50 'nays'; and this Bill having received . . . LaFleur 'aye' . . . a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1639. Tom Miller 'aye'."



Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1639. A Bill for an act relating to sale of franchise. Third Reading of the Bill."

A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

J. Philip: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.."

A. A. Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

E. J. Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, did we ah.. waive the necessary Rules to.."

A. A. Telcser: "Yes, we did, Sir. Representative Choate made the motion. That's how we got to your Bill, Zeke."

E. J. Giorgi: "Alright."

A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

J. Philip: "Now, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1639, as amended, creates the Illinois Franchise Disclosure Act. A law to regulate the franchise in the State of Illinois. This Bill seeks to protect the franchise investor. The franchise method of doing business has grown by leaps and bounds. There are over 1/2 million franchise outlets in the United States doing over 90 billion dollars annually in sales. I'd like to give you a couple of examples. We have White Hen, which is a quick order food store, Duro-Clean, which is a carpet cleaning franchise, and of course, you're all



familiar with McDonalds, the drive-in hamburger place, Arnold Palmer's, which of course, is cleaners, and we could go on and on and on. In some cases, the criminal element in persons of highly questionable character and background have gotten into the franchise business. There's an urgent need to protect the franchise investors. House Bill 1639, as amended, provides these protections. 1) The franchise form was filed with the prospective franchisee three days prior to execution a copy of their disclosure statement, agreements relating to the sale of franchise, and.. if the cost exceeds 100 dollars. 2) Disclosure must contain names and addresses of the corporation, Chief Executive officer, plus a notice of any convictions of felony and/or pending civil action for the past five years. 3) The Attorney General may use the disclosure statement of the Federal Government or other state in lieu of this act. 4) Franchisor must file a disclosure statement with the Attorney General or he can't operate in the State of Illinois. 5) Franchisor must file a copy of his advertising five days prior to the first publication of this advertising. 6) A hundred dollar fee for filing in Illinois, and twenty-five dollar fee for filing of amendments. The enforcement-- the Attorney General must notify the franchisor in writing at least 10 days, and provide an opportunity for hearing before the suspension of his business. 8) For the conviction of



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

this act, civil penalty should not exceed \$10,000, criminal penalty maximum Class Six penalty. 9) The Attorney General may make public or private investigation inside or outside the State of Illinois, and has the power to subpoena for the purpose of investigation criminal or civil. 10) All Attorney General decision shall be subject to judicial review pursued to the Administrative Review act of 1945. In closing might I say, there are eleven states that have already enacted this type of franchise disclosure act. This act is modelled after California and Wisconsin. The effective date is January 1, 1974, allowing sufficient time to prepare and file disclosure statements meeting the requirements of this act. I have not only worked with the Attorney General's office and the franchise industry, but I'm very happy to report the International Franchise Association has endorsed House Bill 1639 as amended, and feel as we do. The Illinois Franchise Disclosure Act will serve as a model for other states, considering franchise regulations, and I ask your favorable consideration."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

S. M. McGrew: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

S. M. McGrew: "Ah.. Representative Philip, I have a little question here, in regards to the Bill. As I look at it, it says that



enforcement in the office of the Attorney General ah.. Why so?"

J. Philip: "Ah.. because it makes a consistent and coordinated effort in one office."

S. M. McGrew: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear a word."

J. Philip: "Because it makes a consistent and coordinated effort in one office."

S. M. McGrew: "Well, it seems to me that we've set up a pastordation of the Secretary of the State is the ah.. person that is in charge of filing systems and in charge of ah.. regulations and rules and this sort of thing, and it kind of puzzles me that all of a sudden we've started putting things under the office of the Attorney General."

J. Philip: "Ah.. yeah. This is for security. A franchise is not a security. And let me tell you what's happened. Since the Attorney General has opened up a consumer fraud division in office, when somebody buys a franchise or has problems with a franchise or a business, do you know who they call? They call the Attorney General's office, the consumer fraud division. And it happens continually, continually, everyday, every week, every month. They don't call the Secretary of State's office. They happen to think and believe it's in the Attorney General's office."

S. M. McGrew: "Well, ok, I just.. It just seems to me, especially



this session, I've noticed that ah.. we have a proprience of legislation that gives more power to the Attorney General and less to other areas, ah.. perhaps unjustifiably so. It seems to me that most of this legislation is coming from that side of the aisle. Ah.. is that a.. a misnomer or am I reading things into.. that aren't there?"

J. Philip: "Well, this is consumer protection, we're talking about, and I might point out that the leader in this area is the Great Attorney General in the State of Illinois. It has been."

S. M. McGrew: "Thank you."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Gentleman from ah.. Cook, Representative Maragos."

S. C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

S. C. Maragos: "I want.. I just.. maybe this question was asked before, Representative Philip, but what there a personal note attached to this?"

J. Philip: "No. I had talked to the Attorney General's office about that, as I stated ah.. in my presentation there. There's a hundred dollar fee ah.. plus if you amend the disclosure, it would be \$25. They seem to think that they can handle the filing in their office without that much additional. And if



they do have a problem, they can always add it to their budget."

S. M. Maragos: "Isn't it true, ah.. Representative Philip, that it's going to be.. the Attorney General's office is going to be the judge, prosecutor and jury, that they're going to have to have a much larger staff in that area of franchising and fraud department than they do now?"

J. Philip: "Well, let me just say this. That the experience.."

S. M. Maragos: "All I want is a 'yes' or 'no'."

J. Philip: "Well, I'm trying to answer the question. Well, of course we're not going to be the judge and jury, because you know what ends up.. it'll end up in the court, ah.. if the Attorney General decides to prosecute. Let me just say this. In California the experience has been, the first year, that ah.. office is pretty well swamped with people filing. Then after, it really slows down. And I think the past history has been in California, they have.. they average about 80 filings a year. Now, I don't think it will be that heavy in Illinois, Representative, but I could be mistaken."

S. M. Maragos: "Ah.. Representative Philip, you compared ah.. this ah.. Bill with the same laws in California and other states. Isn't it true that California, that the Attorney General's office does not do the judging, or the administering, only the investigating and legal end of it? And it's up to the security division, and whatever may be of that state



to handle it."

J. Philip: "Yes, that's because in California it's a registration. In Illinois, It's a disclosure."

S. M. Maragos: "So what is the difference of what department? What's.. why would the difference of disclosure or registration have to do with what.. what segment of the state ah.. office is administering? I cannot see why the Attorney General should be also the judge and jury."

J. Philip: "I think that it boils down to coordination. And that's been one of the problems and that's been the experience in other states. Ah.."

S. M. Maragos: "Did you not receive an amendment to put this.. the actual administration of this act in the security division of the Secretary of State's office?"

J. Philip: "May I say this, Representative, that about three weeks or a month ago, I was told about an amendment. I finally got the amendment, I think it was last Friday or Saturday. I've had the Attorney General's office look at it, and at this point they do not agree to the amendment."

S. M. Maragos: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak on the Bill."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

S. M. Maragos: "This is a third attempt made by the office of the Attorney General to put this form of legislation on the books. It's gone through the Judiciary I Committee for three



times that I know of that I've been working on the committee, and the reason in the last couple of years that it did not pass out of committee this year or succeed for reasons unknown, but it did succeed to get on the Floor of the House. We have no objections to the idea of trying to prevent franchise frauds from taking place in the State of Illinois. All of us are in agreement that there are cheaters in that field and that they have to be taken care of. However, they should not be taken care of by using a sledge hammer in order to beat down a tack. This should not be done in the Attorney General's office because these Bills and this type of legislation changes the nature of the Attorney General's office. Nowhere in the history of the constitutional powers of the Attorney General does it say that he is going to administer and judge the same.. the same ah.. violations that he is also prosecuting. We do not say that the Attorney General's proper position is to prosecute, however, should be either the Secretary of State or another division that will have to administer or have the hearings on these particular violations. It is not the purpose of the act that I am against, but the nature and the way that it is proceeding that is Bad. You are making again the Attorney General, you are taking him out of constitutional proper procedure and its proper function, and making him a judge as well as a prosecutor. And I think that it's a



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

precedent to set up, and it also means that it's going to put up another big pay load and payroll on the Attorney General's office because we have not got any statistics from him as to what it's going to cost for the fiscal year. Therefore, I think it's a very bad Bill and we should vote 'No' in its present form."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

G. L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question."

All those in favor will vote 'Aye' and the opposed 'No', and the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip, to close."

J. Philip: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I've stated before, there are now eleven states that have enacted some type of disclosure act. And I wish you could go through the files of the Attorney General to see the complaints about people of Illinois who have been hoodwinked and cheated out of money. Now to just give you an example of one, a weak franchise that sells hair pieces, who sold a person \$5,000 worth of wigs. The guy couldn't sell \$200 worth of wigs and he went back to talk to them to get his money back, to return the wigs, and he couldn't find them. And we could have example after example of wrong doings and misusing of franchise. And I certainly think this is a step in the right direction. As I've said, we've worked it out with the



Attorney General's office with people in the franchise business. I don't know how many hours that I have spent working out amendments to this Bill to make it satisfactory to the industry. The people in the industry happen to think that mechanically it will probably be the most effective legislation in the United States, and I ask for your favorable consideration."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The question is 'shall House Bill 1639 pass?' Those in favor will signify by voting 'Aye', the opposed by voting 'No'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

G. L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explanation of my vote, it's kind of interesting to me to hear people talk about who is supposed to do what particular job. It seems to me what we should be concerned about is the people of the State of Illinois. And the people in the State of Illinois who have been defrauded or who are in jeopardy of being defrauded. It doesn't make any difference to me who does it, just so the job gets done. And it seems to me the reasonable place to go is to the Attorney General and to the consumer fraud division. I've had numerous complaints brought to me by my constituents about consumer fraud and areas which were not covered particularly in this area, but the area of real estate. I think both of these areas should be covered, and it doesn't make any difference who does it,



just so the job gets down."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rob Holloway."

R. H. Holloway: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Bill. Ah.. this Bill ah.. fills a need. Ah.. previous speakers have commented about the Attorney General being the judge and jury. Well, every department of State Government ah.. ah.. administers laws and brings ah.. violators into court for prosecution. And you know who makes the decision of whether or not ah.. ah.. the thing goes to court is the assistant attorney general assigned to that ah.. division. It seems to me that it doesn't make any difference that ah.. this act should be administered by the Attorney General who ah.. who makes the final decision anyway. It's a good Bill; it fills the need. I vote 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

C. L. Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to point out to those Members who maybe aren't knowledgable of it, that Senator Howie Carroll has a package of legislation in the Senate devoting his very attention to this important problem. Still without placing it in the hands of, as Representative Maragos just stated a moment ago, of one man making the judge, jury, and prosecutor all at the



same time. I would think that we would want to take a look at those Bills of Senator Carroll's prior doing.. to doing what it appears that we're about to do here today. That's the reason I oppose this Bill."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

T. S. Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have to agree with the Minority Leader. In conjunction with the fiscal interpretation of this Bill, we're talking about ten additional jobs in the Attorney General's office, nine of which would be a complete duplication of the security division of the Secretary of State's office. I'm saying yes, let's supervise these people in the Secretary of State's office, and have the enforcement in the Attorney General's office. Unfortunately, this Bill does not do this. And for this reason I'll have to oppose this measure."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

T. M. Getty: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've given this legislation very careful consideration. Just this afternoon, I've spoken with the chief sponsor concerning it. I think it's a very important piece of legislation, and I think that there is no question that legislation in this area is very necessary in this State. However, I feel it is



completely inappropriate to have the Attorney General duplicating what the Secretary of State's office can very properly handle and placing him in the position of the prosecutor, the gatherer of the facts, and the judge in many cases of the same kind. For these reasons, I will ask to be recorded as 'present'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'.

The Gentleman from Cook,-Representative Fleck."

C. J. Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am keenly interested how my friends on the other side of the aisle, and not all of them I know, have taken quite an interested political view in these consumer packages which come from our side. Now that does not mean that I think the Members of this House would vote against a democratically sponsored con.. consumer Bill. I remember we voted for Representative Matijevich's package setting up the new office of the State Government on consumer matters. But I am surprised at their short-sidedness. They are as short-sided as the swamp water carp when it comes to matters of this type. This power might be rested in the Attorney General for the next three years, but we don't know what side of the aisle is going to have the Attorney General's office. I also have to commend one of the freshman on the other side for learning the view of political reality on some of these



matters, but let's face facts. This Bill does not deal with securities, and it shouldn't properly be in the Secretary of State's office. The Secretary of State is placed in the same position on a number of things. He will revoke a license. He will then have his own hearing officer hear an application for a temporary driving permit, and he will be the judge, jury in that case. Now, there's no difference there than in the Attorney General's office. Now, we're going to be pursuing a matter later on, possibly, in the circuit courts by administrative review. It should properly be in the Attorney General's office, not in the Secretary of State's office."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

S. M. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the last speaker served with me last year in the Judiciary I Committee as well as many others who are speaking for these Bills. And he knows that the intention in my remarks are not politically motivated, but they are constitutionally motivated. He knows we passed quite a series of the Attorney General's ah.. consumer bills last year. We also voted for them, no matter what side of the aisle we were on. And we helped pass them in the House and some of them became law. This Bill did not pass last year because of the question of constitutionality and whether we were changing the nature of the Attorney General's



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

office. And again, we are just opening up a new section of the Attorney General's office which by Constitution and by tradition has never been a function of that office. And this is not the right way to deal with this subject. And if you'll just see Section XV of Art. of the Constitution of Article V, it says 'The Attorney General shall be the legal officer of the State'. It doesn't say he shall be the judge or the prosecutor. So I want to ask at this time, please consider the step, and I will.. will always help to make consumer legislation possible, but not in this form because you're changing.. you're going from one end to another area which may even be more dangerous than the one you're trying to cure."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

H. Washington: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, notwithstanding all of the problems with the Bill, and there are numerous problems, I was prepared to support this Bill but it was brought to my attention on page 2 of the Bill, in terms of definition of franchise, that it would only cover those franchises in which the fee was \$100 or more. It seems to me that's a fatal flaw in the Bill and lets all of the scrupulous franchise operators off the hook. They could charge a fee of \$90, escape the whole coverage of the



act, and then come up with a contract with the purchase of \$10,000 worth of goods, and a thousand dollars per month. And they would continue to do all these things which this Bill proports to stop. In short, what I'm saying is this. That language under the definition section of a franchise is too loose. It should be more rigid. It should deal with the amount of goods per pay to a franchise holder. That language permits the most scrupulous franchise operators to avoid the act completely. For that reason, notwithstanding the fact that I could live with the question of the amount of people that would go to the Attorney General's office, because I think the Attorney General has done a darn good job over the years. It bothers me not that he has this power, but I think if he is going to have this power, he's going to have to be circumscribed much more clearly, and he shouldn't have this kind of discretion, and I'm going to have to vote 'No'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record."

On this question.. the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piotrowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

P. P. Piotrowicz: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

P. P. Piotrowicz: "Vote me 'Aye'."

Jack O'Brien: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'Aye'."



Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

S. C. Maragos: "At the proper time I would like to ask for verification."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "I assumed that is what you had in mind, Sir." OK. At the present time there are 89 'Ayes' and 32 'Nays'. Verification of the affirmative.. And one answering 'present'. Ah.. Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

J. Philip: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to poll the absentees."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked.. has requested a poll of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats. The Clerk will read the names of the absentees first."

Jack O'Brien: "Alsop. Arrigo. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman.. Blades. Borchers. Boyle. Brinkmeier."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Wait one second. Representative Boyle wishes to be recorded as voting 'present'."

Jack O'Brien: "Brinkmeier. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Chapman. DiPrima. Ewell. Fary. Flinn. Granata. Hanahan. Hart. D.L. Houlihan. J.M. Houlihan. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Katz. Kelly. Kraus. Laurino. Lemke. Londrigan. Madigan.. Martin. McAvoy. McClain. McGah. McLendon. Merlo. Mugalian. Murphy. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Pierce. Rayson. Redmond. Schlisler. Sharp. Ike Sims. Stedelin. Tipword. Williams. B.B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."



Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Representative McLendon, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

J. A. McLendon: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will you please record me as voting 'present'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'.
 Alright. Will the Members please be in their seats. When your name is called, please raise your hand. A verification of the affirmative roll call has been requested. We are currently at 89 'Ayes', 32 'Nays', 3 answering 'present'.
 Will the Clerk please read the affirmative roll call."

Jack O'Brien: "Anderson. Arnell. Barry. Bluthardt. Campbell. Catania. Clabaugh. Collins. Cox. Cunningham. Day. Deavers. Deuster. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R.L. Dunn. Dyer."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

G. W. Shea: "Could you ask the Members to be in their seats, please?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "I've asked that a number of times. Members please be in your seats, and raise your hands if the ah.. your name is called."

Jack O'Brien: "Ebbesen. Epton. Fleck. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Gibbs. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Harpstrite. Hill. Hirschfeld. G. L. Hoffman. R. K. Hoffman. R. H. Holloway. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. J.D. Jones. Juckett. Kempiners."



Kent. Klosak. Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer.
 Leinenweber. Lundy. Macdonald. Mahar. Mann. Matijevich.
 McAuliffe. McCormick. McCourt. McMaster. K.W. Miller.
 T.H. Miller. Molloy. Neff. North. Philip. Piotrowicz.
 Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Schlickman.
 Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sevcik. W.T. Simms.
 Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stiehl. Telcser. Terzich.
 Totten. Tuerk. Waddell. Wall. R.A. Walsh. W.D. Walsh.
 Walters. Washburn. J.J. Wolf."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "OK. There appears not to be any questions of the affirmative.. Ah.. Gentleman from Cook.. Who is it, Representative Maragos or Berman, which one? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos has a question of the affirmative roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "Ah.. Mr. Barry?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative Barry on the Floor?
 There he is, right next to you."

S. C. Maragos: "Bluthardt?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Catania."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "She's in her seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Clabaugh."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "There he is in the back of the Chamber."

S. C. Maragos: "Day."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Representative Day, is that the one you questioned, Sir? He's in his seat. Wait a moment, please.

Representative Ralph Dunn, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "How is Representative Ralph Dunn recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

S. C. Maragos: "Ah.. Deavers."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's standing there by the aisle, Sir."

S. C. Maragos: "Ah.. Deuster. Is he back there?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's standing there in the aisle."

S. C. Maragos: "Ebbesen."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Hill."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Representative Hill? Jack Hill? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "Ah.. Juckett."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative Juckett on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "Klosak."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Kucharski."



Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative Kucharski on the Floor?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "McCormick."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative McCormick on the Floor?
He's in his seat, Sir."

S. C. Maragos: "Ah.. McMaster."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Matijevich."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative Matijevich on the Floor?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "Polk."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Schlickman. I see him now. Springer."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Wall."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative Wall on the Floor? There
he is, right ah.. in the other aisle."

S. C. Maragos: "Capuzi."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is the Gentleman in his seat? How is
the Gentleman recorded?"



Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

S. C. Maragos: "Philip Collins."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative Collins on the Floor?
How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "Douglas."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's standing right here."

S. C. Maragos: "Duff."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "He's.. he's on the Floor."

S. C. Maragos: "Friedland."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Is Representative Friedland.. He's in
his seat."

S. C. Maragos: "Harpstrite."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "OK. There.. He's in his seat. Represen-
tative Collins has returned."

S. C. Maragos: "Kent."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Give the Clerk a chance to have Collins
back on. Is Kent on the Floor? How is the Lady recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Take her off of the roll call."

S. C. Maragos: "Palmer."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Palmer? Is Representative Palmer recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."



S. C. Maragos: "What is the count at the present time, Mr. Speaker?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Representative Pappas. Do you have any further questions, Representative Maragos? Do you have any further questions? Questions.. you have questions?"

S. C. Maragos: "I have no further questions."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Wait a second. Representative Kucharski has returned to the Floor."

E. F. Kucharski: "Yes. How am I recorded?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Want to put Representative Kucharski back on the roll call?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman was taken off."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Put the Gentleman back on the roll call. Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

H. Huskey: "How am I recorded?"

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'Aye'."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "Alright. He tells me Juckett just came back on the Floor. Put Juckett back on the roll call. "On this question there are 86 'Ayes', 32 'Nays'. Representative Pappas indicates he wants to vote 'Aye'. 87 'Ayes'. I don't know how many 'Nays'. 2 answering 'present', and this Bill.. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

J. Philip: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to take the time of the House



but I'd like to ask for pos.. postponed consideration."

Rep. A. A. Telcser: "OK. You have that right, Sir. This Bill will be put on the order of postponed consideration.

Representative Shea, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

G. W. Shea: "There's an amendment. Ah.. just let it go back, I'll talk to him."



Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 1239."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1239, Keller, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to state forests. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Keller. Is Representative Keller on the floor? No? Take it out of the Record. House Bill 1412."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1412, Pappas, a Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1418 . . . ah . . . deletes one sentence from the Illinois Nursing Act. By doing this it will . . . ah . . . increase the nurse's credibility and will promote the use of at least one allied health professional, the nurse, for primary and preventive care. This Bill is supported by the Illinois Nurse's Association, the Illinois Inter-professional Council, the Health Care Licensing Commission. It passed out of the Committee on a unanimous vote, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1412 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Ebbesen 'aye'. Bluthardt 'aye'. Take the Record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1414."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1414, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to enact a Health Care Licensure Act. Third Reading of the . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, House Bill 1414 creates a Health Care Licensure Division within the Department of Registration and Education eliminating the fragmented complicated license systems in favor of a fluid structured system of health care licensing within the department. It also provides for a centralized computerized registration system containing relevant information for the administration of the various health care professions governing statutes and a health care grievance bureau a mechanism where the . . . whereby the department through mediation and conciliation, guidance or advice can resolve complaints by patients or health professionals against health professionals. The Bill also provides that various health care professions with . . . with equal representation on a career board serve in a coordinating body of health professionals to consider proficiently equivalency standards and to . . . in the main work together cooperatively in the interest of health care . . . ah . . . also the Bill provides that a consumer in health related professions shall . . . shall serve on the Examining Committee. The consumer would not in any way participate in any . . . in any way in the deli . . . determination of the examinations. Also the license fees of the professionals shall go into a Health Care Licensure Fund. Ah . . . I have a lot of information on this . . . ah . . . Bill, but I will wait. If there are any questions in regard to it, otherwise, I solicit your favorable support for House Bill 1414."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a good Bill. It did . . . the Bill is an accountability for all . . . ah . . . provi . . . health care providers. We need that accountability . . . ah . . . and I think it's a good reflection on the Commission which . . . ah



. . . John was Chairman of, and I . . . or least Co-Chairman, excuse me, Bob McPartlin; but in any event, it's a good Bill and ought to be supported."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish to close the debate?"

Matijevich: "I'll take a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1414 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the . . . oh, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "I'll tell you one thing, if I was a sore-head, I'd walk out the back door and vote 'no'; but I'll tell you one thing, I'll vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Porter 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote. Frankly, I called for a . . . ah . . . Roll Call vote because I . . . I heard no questions about the Bill and evidently there's no opposition. The only opposition to the Bill that I can gather is from the . . . ah . . . Medical Society . . . ah . . . and . . . ah . . . and I can answer that . . . the criticism of the Medical Society. I could go into all of those. It . . . I can't understand that Pate, as far as being a sore-head . . . ah . . . I don't know what you're talking about really; . . . ah . . . but . . . but maybe you do, I don't know. Ah . . . You'll have to come over and talk to me about that; but . . . ah . . . the Director of the Department of Registration is in support of this Bill. Ah . . . Dean Barringer came into Committee in support of it. The Department of Public Health is in support of the Bill. Ah . . . Fred 'Eulich' testified in Committee in behalf of it. The Comprehensive Health Planning is in



favor of the Bill. Doctor Mark Leper, the Coordinator of Health Services for the Governor, is in support of the Bill. The optometrists are for the Bill. Doctor Buckart testified in Committee for it. The Executive Director, the Osteopathic Association, is in behalf of the Bill. The Comprehensive Health Planning Association in Chicago, the Office of Health Economics, the Dental Hygienists Association, the Chiropractors came in support of the Bill; and, frankly, if you're . . . if you're . . . if you're going by what the Medical Society talked about in . . . in the Bill, I can answer that letter that they provided for . . . ah . . . to you. They say that the Illinois State Medical Society supports the creation of a Health Division in Registration and Education Department. That's what it would do. They're . . . they're in support of it. They . . . They say that they are in support of the assignment of health professional licensees. That's what we do. They say, however, it goes far beyond this, but they didn't . . . don't tell you how far it goes beyond it because they really don't know and they really haven't studied the Bill. They also talk about Section 2.1, which has to do with the centralized computer system. All the Association . . . all the Advisory Committees were in favor of that. They also talk about . . . ah . . . I'll tell you what they're concerned about. Really what they don't want, is they don't want on . . . anyone on the Examining Committee but their own peers; and I have the . . . I think all of you recognize . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevec, would you conclude your remarks please, Sir?"

Matijevec: ". . . Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a . . . ah . . . Roll of the absentees . . . a call of the absentees on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has requested a poll



of the absentees. Will the Clerk please read the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsop. Anderson. Blades. Borchers. Brandt."

Speaker Telcser: "One . . . Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "I . . . I know it's unusual, but it was done all last week, could I take this out of the Record, by . . . my Minority Leader asked me if I could take this out of the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "It's all right. Are there leave? Are there objections? No, there are objections being heard, you can put it on Postponed Consideration. Okay."

Matijevich: "Well, when the hell am I going to get a shot at it though? No, no, no."

Speaker Telcser: "Everyone's got to get a shot, John."

Matijevich: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I don't know if I'll get a shot at it. Now, I think much of this has developed over some misunderstanding that happened five minutes ago that I don't know a thing about. Now, I don't know a thing about it. I'd like . . . I'd like to have the same courtesy, since there is a misunderstanding, that so many had last week to take it out of the Record. This is too important a Bill for some political misunderstanding to get involved in this thing."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Philip had the consideration of having his Bill put on Postponed Consideration, I think we could extend the same generosity to the man from Lake."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "The only difference being is that Representative



Philip asked to Postponed it and Representative Matijevich has not made this request before to my personal knowledge, and I don't . . . I . . . actually there'll be no difference anyway because we are assuring everyone at least another call on thier Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, objections are still being heard. Do you wish to put it on Postponed Consideration?"

Matijevich: "I'd like to move the appropriate . . . ah . . . waive the appropriate rule to take this Bill out of the Record. I'll tell you why, Mr. Chairman, I think there is a misunderstanding, and I don't want to see powerhouse like the Medical Society kill this good Bill. That's why . . . I don't want another shot at it when it might be late in the day when nobody's here. I want a shot at it when there's no political consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Philip, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Philip: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, maybe we can come to some kind of meeting of the minds, and perhaps I can take mine off for Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, wait a second. The Gentleman has asked . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in the interest of running this place in a halfway orderly fashion, I don't think either of them should be on Postponed. If the Gentleman wants further consideration of his Bill, and if . . . it's a time-honored tradition that when there has been a Roll Call on it, when the board has been used and it's been put on Postponed Consideration and not taken from the Record. So I suggest that the Gentleman move to Postponed Consideration and be done with it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Representative Matijevich,



are you going to persist in your motion . . ."

Matijevich: "Well, yes, because our honored tradition was violated all day Friday, and I don't think I'm any worse or any better than any other Member of this House floor, and that's the only reason I say that. Now, if this were a nothing Bill, I'd go along with everybody else; but it's not a nothing Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, then . . . Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lechowicz: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Now, Representative Matijevich, do you wish to persist in suspending Rule 38, which . . . all right, now, okay, Representative Hill, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hill: "How am I voting?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Hill recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hill: "Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative Molloy, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? Representative Molloy, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Molloy: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Molloy: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McLendon."

McLendon: "Mr. Speak . . . Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

McLendon: "Vote me 'present', please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."



Representative Douglas, do you seek recognition?"

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I'm only concerned and somewhat confused as I was rising to explain my vote a while ago. This confusion took place, and is it too late at this moment, I'm not interested in taking up the time of the House, unless because of very limited debate on this Bill . . . ah . . . this Bill ends up in trouble without adequate discussion on the floor; and I . . . I'm not looking to take up time. I have a feeling from what I've learned in walking around the floor that there are other factors involved here which may lead to the demise of what I consider to be a good piece legislation; and may I explain my vote, or is it too late to do that?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, it is getting pretty late, we've got an awful lot of Bills on this Calendar, and Representative Matijeich, I'm going to have to declare this Bill lost if you don't wish to put it on Postponed. Now, on this question there are 75 . . ."

Matijeich: "I . . . I thought I had a motion, I . . . wait . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . 34 'nays' . . ."

Matijeich: ". . . I thought I had a motion."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . what do you wish to do, Representative? Do you wish to put it on Postponed Consideration? Now, I'm prepared to declare the Bill lost, Sir. I want to give you every opportunity."

Matijeich: "Ah . . . I'll . . . I'll put it on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Postponed Consideration. Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

McGrew: "Just for the Record, I would like it to indicate that I resent your lack of recognizing us to vote on this very important matter."

Speaker Telcser: "I . . . the Chair didn't see you, Repre-



sentative McGrew. House Bill 1421."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1421, Dyer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Sorry, Getty."

Dyer: ". . . and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . first I would like to move . . . ah . . . House Bill 1421 . . . ah . . . back to Second for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1421 is returned to the order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Dyer, amends House Bill 1421 on page 1, line 1, by deleting . . . elimination of . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to table Amendment #1 and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady has moved . . ."

Dyer: ". . . present Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . ah . . . the Lady has moved to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1421. All in favor of the Lady's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Dyer, amends House Bill 1421 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Now, Amendment #2 was put on at the request of the Committee of Registration and Regulation; and Amendment



#2 takes everybody out of this Bill, it takes the pharmacists out, the chiropractors out, the physical therapists, everybody out, just down to doctors, those under the Medical Practice Act and nurses; and then I will explain what the Bill does."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Dyer: "I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1421. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Dyer, amends House Bill 1421 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: ". . . record . . . ah . . . at this time, I do not wish to present Amendment #3. Could you take it out of the Record, just Amendment #2 that we want adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Do . . . do you wish to withdraw Amendment # . . . ah . . ."

Dyer: "Yeah, I'd like to withdraw Amendment #3 at this time."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . okay, that will be withdrawn. Are there further Amendments?"

Dyer: "That's all."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. Now, the Bill has been heard . . . ah . . . read a third time. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Now, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with malice towards none and with charity for all, I would like to present this very simple Bill. House Bill 1421 is a product of speaking of a lot of people who are concerned about the shortage of doctors and nurses in the State of Illinois; and it has come to the attention of all of us that one way of assuring that you are or I could get health care when we need it,



is to simply re . . . waive the requirement for citizenship just for doctors and for nursing. I want you to think about that for a minute. If you had been Representative Hanahan and his group at the St. Nicholas Hotel the other night and had been overcome by carbon monoxide, I don't think it would've made one bit of difference to you whether the nurse or the doctor who helped you out was a citizen of the United States or not. What you wanted and what you would have needed at that time is simply a qualified person who is competent to pass our exams. I . . . I solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. Carter."

Carter: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this . . . ah . . . Bill, 1421, I think that Representative Dyer has explained . . . ah . . . a portion of the Bill, but I think the main thrust of this Bill is in the fact that the nurses and the doctors that we're talking about have been brought here through the Department of Immigration. They have filed all the necessary prerequisites to become members of this . . . the . . . the State of Ill . . . the United States, they have . . . ah . . . passed the . . . ah . . . rigid . . . ah . . . responsibility towards being citizenship and towards citizenship, and now they come here and they rub up against the opposition of the Department of Registration and Education in that they have delayed the issuance of . . . of their green cards, that is the card which allows them to be here in the first place. This is the problem that has . . . that has plagued . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Repre . . . one moment, please, Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, the noise level in the House is such that I can't hear a what's going on here, and I'm quite



interested in this Bill. I . . . I frankly would like to hear the discussion."

Carter: ". . . thank you very much . . . the delay is in the Department of Immigration. That is to say, . . . ah . . . when a person is allowed to come to this country, they are to be issued a green card, as the card which allows them to work. Now, these nurses have been constricted from the Philippine Islands and other places in . . . ah . . . Europe and they come here and after having worked here for several years, it is found that the Department of Registration and Education requires that they present a green card before they are allowed to continue work. Now, this, of course, is something that we're trying to alleviate because . . . ah . . . these nurses and doctors are sorely needed . . . ah . . . in the hospitals . . . ah . . . throughout the City of Chicago and also throughout the State of Illinois. There is a pla . . . there is also a . . . ah . . . the . . . one of the hospitals, the Veteran's Hospital on Taylor Street, is threatening to close simply because there is not enough nurses . . . ah . . . there . . . to . . . to . . . to handle the case loads for veterans. So I say that this is a very, very necessary Bill, and I . . . ah . . . solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "She indicates she will."

Brinkmeier: "Do I understand you now that this would apply only to nurses and to doctors?"

Dyer: "Ah . . ."

Brinkmeier: "Okay, may I address myself very briefly?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Dyer: ". . . That's correct."



Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's been my good fortune the past six years to serve on the Advisory Council to Comprehensive Health Planning. I'm not speaking for that agency, but it certainly is my opinion that this legislation is badly needed and I certainly would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous . . . Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you arise, Sir, J. J. Wolf?"

Wolf: "Well, I had one fast question. I think it's kind of important, if I might have the privilege of asking it?"

Speaker Telcser: "If the Gentleman withdraws his motion? He indicates he will."

Wolf: "All right, just one question of the Sponsor. Now, a few days ago, Representative Fleck had a Bill in here . . . ah . . . which passed this House, I was probably one of the few who voted against it, . . . ah . . . allowing the Department of Registration and Education to give . . . ah . . . their examinations in foreign languages. Now, I'm only concerned with this particular Bill, insofar, as the doctors who practice psychiatry goes because one of the elements of a good psychiatrist is that there must be communication between the doctor and the patient. I don't much care whether he's a citizen. But I think that the patient has to be able to understand that doctor in order to get help and we have found in our state mental institutions that particular problem with these limited . . . ah . . . doctors who don't understand English too well have a very bad communication with the psychiatric pa . . . patient. I think it's a bad policy. Now, of course, we



don't know that that other Bill is going to be passed by the Senate and signed into law, but I just . . . pose it for that."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms, has moved the previous question. All in favor signify . . . Representative Simms, there are some people out there who look very interested in answer . . . do you wish to persist in your motion or do you wish to persist?"

Simms: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; and the previous question has been moved. Now, the Gentleman . . . now, the Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope that each one of you who still have a question will ask it as you explain your vote . . . ah . . . in answer to the question of . . . by Representative Wolf . . . ah . . . in the first place, I don't think the particular type of practice that he was talking about comes under the Medical Practice Act . . . ah . . . so it would not be . . . it would not . . . this would not effect that. Secondly, I think Representative Juckett will verify the fact that he has an excellent Bill which says that doctors who are dealing with mental patients . . . ah . . . if they cannot . . . if they're not proficient in English, they must be in the process of learning . . . ah . . . the English language so that they can communicate with their patients. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, could I have a little order . . . this . . . I just want to emphasize that this in no way affects the necessity for each doctor or nurse under this Act to pass qualifying exams. This in no way affects that. They must be competent, they must be proficient, they must



qualify, but what we're saying in this Bill is that we need a sufficient number of qualified doctors and nurses to serve people in Illinois. Right now, there are only 13,000 doctors and 40,000 nurses for the whole 11,000,000 people in the State of Illinois. In the western part of Lake County, there's only $\frac{1}{2}$ of a doctor for every 1,000 people. Now, when you have an accident in Lake County, you don't want to be attended by $\frac{1}{2}$ of a doctor. So I there . . . urge you please to vote 'yes' on this good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1421 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wall, to explain his vote."

Wall: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1421 is a very good piece of legislation. This Bill passed out of Committee on Registration and Regulations by almost a unanimous vote, it had one dissenting vote. All I want to say is that several years ago under Governor Ogilive the 32 professions were working . . . were going to be exempted from the . . . from citizenship requirements; but the professions came in and objected to all the other profession come in . . . except the . . . the Medical Practice Act which means the doctors and the nurses. Now, they were the only two people . . . the only two professions . . . I see we've got enough votes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm not going to say anymore."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hate to rise when there's enough votes on this Bill already, but I do have . . . I did have some questions that was pertinent to this Bill; and since the motion to ask questions that are relatively simple were cut off, I had . . . and



I'm forced to make this little talk. It seems to me that if you remember just a short while back there was a Legislative Investigating Commission that went to Peoria State Hospital to investigate a death there. There were some very serious charges made, strictly against the administrator of the hospital by the Commission, the Legislative Commission. Those charges were left stand . . . and they basically pertained to groups of doctors who were practicing there who could not speak English, apparently were on a temporary permit to practice psychiatry, and I don't understand how anyone can practice psychiatry with a patient and can't even talk English. Now, it would seem to me that . . . that the good mass of proper questions, this Bill may be in fault, and I'd have to vote 'no' on the basis of that matter."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote . . . ah . . . I served as a Member of that Commission, and we're not dealing with the same thing. For example, those physicians at Peoria State Hospital that were working under a permit were United States citizens, and they had taken the Illinois examination and were unable to pass that examination. This Bill here deals with the rights simply of waiving the residency requirements. The physicians or nurse would still have to pass the Illinois examination which is comprehensive. So . . . ah . . . citizenship, basically, has nothing to do with it, and those at Peoria State Hospital interesting enough although they did not have Illinois licenses, they were U.S. citizens."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentle-



men of the House. One of the questions I would have raised is what is the . . . ah . . . reciprocity clause for the United States and whatever country that these people are coming from? I'm sure that an American doctor and an American nurse would not be able to practice in these countries without the provisions that . . . ah . . . we're putting into here. What we're doing here is we're saying that a doctor or a nurse coming over here does not have to be a citizen of the United States and does not even have to declare an intent to be a citizen of the United States. Now, this is ridiculous. We're saying this in the part of health care. To the Lady of DuPage, I would say, 'Well, probably not all of you are hurt so that half doctor can take care of the half that's hurt'; but this is ridiculous. What is wrong with requiring an individual who is making a profit or is working here to even have to declare that they're going to be a citizen? Are we so ashamed of our country that we don't want them to become citizens? Just let them come in here and operate? We've gone a long way and this is a shameful day if this kind of action were to pass this House today. What's wrong with having an intent to become a United States citizen? I'm proud of being one. I would assume that all of you are also."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 21 'nays' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1427."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1427, Martin, a Bill for an Act relating to furnishing of health care services. Third Reading . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Martin."

Martin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to return House Bill 1427 back to Second Reading



for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, bring that back to the order of Second Reading."

Martin: "Ah . . . On line 8, after 'our' the comma is taken out . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . one . . . one minute, please, Representative . . . ah . . . Martin. Now, the Clerk has to read the Amendment first, then we'll get to you."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1427, Martin, Amendment 1, amends House Bill 1427 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Martin."

Martin: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, on line 8, the comma is moved after 'our', and on line 9, 'necessary are desirable' has been stricken or taken out. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1427. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Ah . . . Representative Martin, could you explain what that does to the original Bill, please?"

Martin: "Ah . . . It takes out . . . ah . . . 'necessary and desirable' and it goes on to say, 'to insure that . . . that they are qualified to practice their profession in science the health services for which they have demonstrated such qualifications!'"

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1427. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, the Lady from Cook, Representative Martin."

Martin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 1427 is a freedom-of-choice Bill which will permit any person seeking health services complete freedom of



choice in selecting the persons he wishes to avail him or herself to. What we're doing here is actually on a state level reenforcing the A.T.W. position that chiropractors should be compensated for providing health services. The short supply of M.D.'s need people like osteopath/chiropractors protected by such a stand. With the passage of House Bill 1414, which includes proficiency and equivalency testing and supplementary education, plus continuing education, there would be no reason to believe that a chiropractor or an osteopath treatment would be anything less than safe and competent. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1427 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record . . . 98 'ayes', 11 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Schraeder 'aye'. House Bill 1382. Representative Martin, for what purpose do you arise?"

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, you have left out my Bill #1439."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Minority Leader asked to have this one called, and I'm going to do him a favor. So why don't you consult with him."

Martin: "Thank you, I surely will."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1382, Hill, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise? Well, we just completed her Bill, she gave three or four to call, and . . . ah . . . House Bill 1439. House Bill 1439."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1439, Martin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Martin."

Martin: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen. Ah . . . This Bill adds two licensed practical nurses onto the governing board. Ah . . . The reason being is that there are 40,000 L.P.N.'s in the State of Illinois, and they have no representation on the board that governs them, and no control of license revocation or educational courses that they are required to take, have no control over continuing education, and L.P.N.'s are the people who most often deal directly with the patients. L.P.N.'s is very often a beginning of the career ladder in the health profession for minority groups. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1439 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', Brinkmeier 'aye', 5 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority . . . Gibbs 'aye' . . . is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1573."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1573, J. J. Wolf, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to private employment agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . this Bill passed . . . ah . . . I believe with the unanimous vote of the Registration and Regulation Committee . . . ah . . . I think the Digest is quite sufficient in explaining what this is. It . . . It helps tighten up the language between persons seeking employment and employment agencies, and I would certainly ask your . . . support."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1573 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 131 'ayes', 1 'nay'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Now, the next one is a companion I think to this. House Bill 1574. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just wanted you to know that the reason I'm so agreeable with my green light on is the fact that I spilled coffee on my switch and it's stuck. Until further notice thought, leave it green."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. House Bill . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1574, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Choate wants his Bill called, Gene."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . J. J. Wolf, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to private employment agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, this is not actually a companion Bill, but it does tie in with the Bill we previously passed. Ah . . . This is a licensing Act for . . . ah . . . counsellors who are employed by employment agencies that they somewhat familiarize themselves with the law so they can be aware when they send people out for jobs."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 14 . . . 1574 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', Walsh . . . Bill Walsh 'aye', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill



1382."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1382, Hill, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to wage deductions. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "House Bill 1382 amends Act relating to wage deductions and prohibits the discharge of an employee by reason of the fact that attorneys have been subjected to deduction orders for any three times or less. I'd appreciate a vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Jack, is this . . . do you know if his wages are garnishing or whatever they call it? Is this what you're talking about?"

Hill: "Yeah."

Kempiners: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any dis . . . the Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Ah . . . Representative Hill, is . . . are these three separate . . . ah . . . wage deduction orders for three separate indebtedness upon which wage deduction orders may lie?"

Hill: "It's three or less separate indebtedness within any 12 month period."

Leinenweber: "In other words, you could have numerous . . . ah . . . wage deduction orders . . . ah . . . for each of these indebtedness within the three-month period?"

Hill: "They have to be separate ones. The original Bill calls for five, and I agreed with Representative Tuerk to amend it down to three."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1382 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'.



Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Duff 'aye'.
On this question there are 121 'ayes', 7 'nays'; and
this Bill having received the constitutional majority is,
hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1239. Is Repre-
sentative Keller on the floor? House Bill 1239."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1239, Keller, a Bill for an Act
to amend an Act relating to State Forests. Third Reading
of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative
Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
this merely names a forest preserve in my district. I'd
appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Is there any
discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 1239
pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the
opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take
the Record. On this question there are 136 'ayes', no
'nays'; and this Bill having received the con. . . Gene,
do you want to change that light?"

Schlickman: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Schlickman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Schlickman: "Thanks, Jack."

Speaker Telcser: "Hill 'aye'. Garmisa 'aye'. Mann 'aye'.

On this question there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays', Bluthardt
'aye'; and this Bill having received the constitutional
majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 1222."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1222, Garmisa, a Bill for an Act
to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading
of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House, House Bill 22 is . . . ah . . . the Department



of Conservation would like to see passed. Ah . . . This is legislation that would change the period by which the Department of Conservation may lease concessions on the property under its jurisdiction. Presently, the period may not exceed 10 years. Under this Bill, the period would be increased to 40 years. There were some objections raised in Committee in regards to the control of these leases, and . . . ah . . . my lawyer, Kenny Boyle, drew up an Amendment by which the . . . ah . . . the Bill is now amended so that the Members of the General Assembly would have the final say over to what leases should be let out and submitted. I would ask your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, do you seek recognition? Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, it just seems to me that . . . ah . . . 40 years is an awful long time for the state to lease something as . . . ah . . . relatively simple as a concession at a . . . ah . . . at a state park or state owned property. Ah . . . It seems to me that the only justification for a long-term lease is where the lessee is going to build something of considerable value, and even then the leave should be renegotiated. Now, I suppose there's some condition in here for renegotiation in this lease . . . ah . . . in this . . . ah . . . in this Bill. If there isn't, it's a good deal worse than I thought; but it's pretty bad as it is because . . . ah . . . there is just simply no justification for tying the state to a . . . to a single lease for a period of as long as 40 years; and I suggest that the present 10 years is certainly long enough and the lessee can make whatever commitment he has to make to good service in that time, and . . . ah . . . come out all right on his lease and is certainly eligible for renegotiating that



lease as the end of 10 years, but it seems to me the taxpayers are served best by having a number of people bid on the . . . on the lease after the 10 years, rather than just be tied to a single lessee. So I urge you to vote 'no' on this Bill. I think it's unreasonable."

Speaker Telcser: "I told him. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know if the Majority Leader read Amendment #1 to this lease, but it says, 'provided, however, that the authority to negotiate a lease for a period exceeding 10 years, but not exceeding 40 years, may be granted with the consent of the General Assembly'. So what we're in effect saying here is that a lease beyond a 10-year period up to the 40-year period can only be negotiated after the consent of the General Assembly as given to do that. Now, you might want to wonder why we never want to negotiate a 40-year lease, and I'm sure many of Ladies and Gentlemen have gone down to Southern Illinois and looked at the fine, fine lakes we're developing down there, and I just came back from Missouri, and I saw what a tremendous job that state is doing in developing recreational areas, and giving the economy of that state a boost in the arm. Now, the Department of Conservation would like to get in a position where it could lease land for development by private developers to go ahead and try to make this lake area worthwhile for recreational purposes in the State of Illinois. Now, if you look at Starved Rock, we built the lodge there. If you look at Zion, we built the lodge there. All we're saying is here we can get the State of Illinois out of the capital development area, get some private enterprise into the capital development area and develop some of the economically depressed areas of this state and make some recreational areas in this state feasible for the people up



north to go down to the southern part of their state and enjoy some of the most beautiful country I've ever seen; and that's all this Bill says, and I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I take somewhat of a different viewpoint to this type of legislation. I think if you look around in the past at what has happened on many of these concessions, political party county chairmen have received the concessions in the varying states . . . ah . . . varying state parks, and usually it runs beyond the term of any one Governor. In our own county, a previous Democratic Chairman now has this. So I would think that perhaps the Republican Members of the House . . . ah . . . should give care . . . careful consideration to this legislation, that we're not going to lock in Democratic County Chairmen for 40 years in these concessions."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to . . . ah . . . echo the sentiments of . . . ah . . . Jerry Shea. Ah . . . For years now we have tried to get private capital to come in on . . . on state parks and make the millions of dollars of investment that are necessary in order to put the improvements on our parks. We can't expect private capital to come in unless we give these folks a reasonable or a long-term period of time in which to amortize or pay off their capital investments. Now, this is not a bad Bill, and I would point out to the last Representative that . . . ah . . . two years ago in the Legislature a Gentleman from his side of the aisle had a similar measure to allow the then Governor of the State



of Illinois to enter into a long-term lease, and I was for that Bill . . . ah . . . the same as I'm for this Bill provided that there was some authorization given to the Legislature to take a look at these leases; and I would point out to him as I would point out to the distinguished Majority Leader that . . . ah . . . this is not an attempt on the part of the Walker administration or the Democrats to lock Democrat County Chairmen in on these leases because they have to go through the Legislature; and if a Democrat County Chairman gets locked in on a 40-year lease, it would be the fault of the Republicans and the Legislature in the House and Senate to let this happen. I think that it's important to the Legislature, I think it's important to us as Members of the General Assmibly and particularly those of you who have state parks in your area that the Legislature be given a . . . some sort of authority to have a lessee . . . lessee at these leases, and I think that this is a good Bill. It gives this authority to the Legislators, it gives the authority to the individual members from the district to look at these leases. It allows you to have something to say about whose running the concessions in your state park. We got to let these people, if we expect them to invest millions of dollars, we've got to give them a long-term period of time to get their costs back, and you simply can't get it back on a 10-year lease, as it is now; and I'd urge the Membership to support this. It's a good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in support of this legislation. Ah . . . Starved Rock was mentioned and that happens to be in my district, and I know that they needed a swimming pool there for a number of years. Families . . . ah . . . come to the lot and



inquire about staying overnight and . . . ah . . . they don't have a swimming pool, so they'll go on maybe to the Holiday Inn or some of these other private motels; and this is one way, I think, we could have some capital development without the state providing the funds. I think it's good legislation, I support it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Garmisa, to close."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm surprised at the opposition that the Majority Leader of this House to this type of legislation. What's happening nowadays, in the Illinois parks, we do not have the facilities to keep our own Illinois dollars right here in Illinois. If we were to supply in our parks, in our forests, the types of facilities by which our Illinois dollars would stay here and not go to Michigan, not go to Wisconsin, not go to Missouri, and be able to put up the type of facility that could be financed with private capital, we would then be able to keep our people right here in Illinois and visiting these depressed areas that are so sorely in need of this type of facility; and, Ladies and Gentlemen, I urgently ask for your support of this fine Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1222 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms, to explain his vote."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'no' vote, there's no provision in this Bill for renegotiation of the lease after a . . . ah . . . a period of time as there are in many leases to give municipalities and other governmental units . . . ah . . . time . . . after a certain length of time to renegotiate the contents of the . . . of the lease. I think the people of the State of Illinois are going to come out on the short end of this measure. Also,



it's political. I think anybody with . . . ah . . . can realize it's happened in the past, whether it's a Republican administration or a Democratic administration, it's a political Bill; and this is simply nothing to . . . but to continue that practice of handing out these funds on a patronage basis; and I think that the people of the State . . . ah . . . of the State of Illinois will be at the short end because of these leases cannot be re-negotiated. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, very seldom do I agree . . . ah . . . with this sort of a proposition, but if you lived in Southern Illinois and you see the beautiful park land and the lands that the State of Illinois owns or holds title to that are not developed and it will never be developed by state funds because we're spread too thin, use the areas . . . areas that are . . . ah . . . potential gold mines for the people of Illinois, lakes that are already built that can't be developed, parks that are built that can't be used because the facilities are not there; you would see that this is a good Bill. I supported this Bill . . . virtually the same Bill under the Ogilvie administration when they started making a full program for the public lands in Illinois and this is a carry-on program, and I think it's a good one, and it's . . . ah . . . I don't care if a Democrat County Chairman wants to come down to Ferne Clyffe and tie up 40 years, if he'll tie up the money to make Ferne Clyffe a fine park. I don't care who the county chairman is that goes north of Ina where we've got 100 water . . . 100 acre lake of clear water and developed it just so it's developed for the people of Illinois to use, instead of going to Kentucky Lake. I think it's a good Bill and I'm for it."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the meat of this was hit by Representative Simms, Tim Simms, when he said that . . . when he said that there's no provision in here whatever for renegotiation. Now, this is totally irresponsible for us to pass a Bill for a 40-year period and not provide for renegotiation of the lease. There's simply no way of predicting what's going to happen 40 years from now. It's very difficult to predict five years from now. The people . . . the taxpayers of this state are going to lose a fortune with this type of legislation; and I'd like to point out further that there's nothing to prohibit the General Assembly now from entering into or approving legislation to enter into a lease with somebody for some specific purpose. It would have to be a very unusual one, but it's possible for us to do it, or to do it for 50 or 100 years. Ah . . . But there's no justification whatever to pass enabling legislation such as this for the General Assembly to . . . ah . . . enter into leases with somebody on a general basis. This is bad legislation, and I urge its defeat."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "It's really amusing to me, Mr. Speaker, for them to talk about renegotiation and these contracts being led on a political basis. Representative McCormick is absolutely right, and you talk about renegotiation and awarding onto other political basis, well, if we had the renegotiation that you're talking about, a 10-year contract that was just recently let in our district, maybe it should be renegotiated because it was let on a political basis, and I haven't said a thing about it because the man's doing a good job in that state park. He's making



money for the state, he's bring about renovations as far as that city is concerned, making an outstanding place as far as this state is concerned, and it's high time, it's high time that we passed legislation of this nature so that Kentucky, with all of their lakes and all of their facilities that they got, will know that they have a competitor from the State of Illinois. This is good legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record.

On this question there are 101 'ayes', 28 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 904."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 904, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip."

Philip: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 904 as amended amends the Illinois Banking Act. Under the pres . . . present statute, 'permissible to have a drive-in facility 1,500 feet from the bank', all this does is add a walk-in facility 1,500 feet from the bank. I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 904 . . . the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Now, the way I understand the Bill, and I haven't read it, but I've had some questions from the people of my area, Pate, that you're talking about walk-in facilities only which would accommodate the larger metropolitan areas of the state. Their objections was that if you extend the law in the manner in which you intend to do, that it would clu . . . include drive-in facilities for the smaller areas where they could have a drive-in, rather



than a walk-in, is that correct? Did you amend it according to those lines?"

Philip: "Ah . . . Representative Choate, . . . ah . . . the reason behind this Bill, and I didn't talk to the Metropolitan bankers at all, I don't . . . I don't know what their position is, I know one thing, the Illinois bankers, the lobbyists, tell me they are for this Bill; and in . . . how it came to me was that there was a bank in a shopping center that had a drive-in facility right at the bank, and there were people in the shopping center who wanted a walk-in facility inside the shopping center so they wouldn't have to walk the 1,500 feet to the bank; and that's the reason behind the bank. I know of no objections from the banking industry at all. In fact, they're very favorable for it. Now, what this would do for the metropolitan area I haven't the slightest idea, and I could care less."

Choate: "Pate, I . . . I share your views there, but it is not all of the bankers that maybe agree with what you just said because it was bankers, downstate bankers, that brought this to my attention, the effect . . . to the effect that walk-in facilities would allow it to be moved a certain footage away from the bank and drive-in facilities could not be constructed that same footage . . ."

Philip: "Well, let me say this . . . ah . . . the law right now, Representative Choate, is 1,500 feet for drive-in. All this does is say you can have a walk-in facility at the same distance. Now, I understand, and I don't . . . I don't know what the banks are, but there's some banks that are already doing it, which quite frankly I can't . . ."

Choate: "In other . . . in other words . . . in other words, what you're saying is it gives walk-in facilities the same privilege that drive-in facilities have already had?"



Philip: "That's correct."

Choate: "Ah . . . They could have one of each, I guess."

Philip: "Let me say this, Representative, their objection was the 5,000. The original Bill said 5,000. So it expanded it from 1,500 to 5,000. I amended it in Committee back to 1,500, and that's the way it sets."

Choate: "Well, . . . well, answer me this then, would it give them under the wordage of your . . . ah . . . Amendments, would it give them one of each if they desired?"

Philip: "Yes, that's correct."

Choate: "Would it . . ."

Philip: "It would allow you to have a drive-in and a walk-in at the same facility, 1,500 feet away from the bank."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there fur . . . the Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "Ah . . . Phil', are you sure you're not startin' branch banking now. Do you mean that you can't have a . . . ah . . . you can't walk into a drive-in place now?"

Philip: "That is my understa . . . understanding of the law, and let me just say this, I am on Record . . . ah . . . if you would check my record, and I used to serve on the Banks, Savings and Loan Committee, I have not been for branch banking. The majority of the bankers in my district are not for branch banking. As for your information, I don't happen to own any bank stock or any stock in trusts. So I have no personal interest in a bank."

Dunn: "Thank you. I just wanted to be sure that this wasn't a branch banking start, and I thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"



Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Lauer: "Pate, why would they want to . . . a walk-in facility less than a quarter of a mile from the . . . bank?"

Philip: "I'll tell you what the reason, and it's to my knowledge, it is only one bank that I know of that has this situation. They have a bank at the outskirts of the shopping center. At their bank facility, they have a drive-in facility. What they want to do because they are about 1,500 . . . less than 1,500 feet from the shopping center is open a . . . oh, I suppose you'd call it a cage or a small office inside the shopping center, and serve the people within that shopping center. I don't know of any other bank that has that circumstance to my knowledge, certainly no other bank in DuPage County."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Now, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman, G.: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Gentleman's motion prevails, and the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philip, to close."

Philip: "As I stated before, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't happen to own bank stock . . . ah . . . in trust or otherwise. It came from a request from a small bank in my district that had a very unusual situation. They've had many requests from people in businesses within the shopping center to do business within the shopping center. They are less than 1,500 feet from that shopping center; and I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 904 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Record Telcser as voting 'present'. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, I wanted to ask the Sponsor a question, so I'll ask him by explanation of vote; but if I'm incorrect, I'd like to be corrected. It seems to me that you can have a bank . . . ah . . . a main branch of the bank sitting in location A and you can go north 1,500 feet and set up a drive-in facility under the current law; and if we pass this particular Bill, you can go south another 1,500 feet and set in a walk-in facility. Now, if that's not true, I'd like to hear from the Sponsor. I wanted to ask that question before debate was called off; and if it's not true, I'd like to like to know exactly how many . . . for lack of a better term, I'll use the word, 'branches' a main bank can have under this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Okay, one . . . Representative Choate . . . the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "He never answered the question, but I want to vote . . . vote 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he doesn't indicate he's going to answer the question. Now, record Representative Choate as voting 'present'. Oh, I'm sorry. Turn on Representative Philip to answer . . ."

Philip: "Yeah, I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. I certainly do want to answer the question. Now, the lawyer for this particular bank and the lobbyist for the Illinois banking industry tell me that is incorrect, Representative Hirschfeld. Now, I'm going to have to take their word. I say this is a very unusual situation because within the bank's location itself is drive-in facility; and all this allows them to do is put a walk-in 15 . . . not more than 1,500 feet away; and the way I read the Bill, and the . . . what they tell me. It does not allow you to put another facility the other direction for drive-ins."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Getty wishes to be voted



'present'. All right, now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Vote me 'present!'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Shea 'present'.

McPartlin 'present'. Representative Philip, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Philip: "I would just like to bring to the Membership's attention page 6 of House Bill 904, and line 6 under E, 'Not more than one such facility shall be established or maintained by a bank at any one time'. So if you'd read the Bill, it couldn't be any clearer."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Lechowicz . . . okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "A question of the Sponsor, is that one drive-in facility or one walk-in facility or one of each?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, we're on explanation of votes. The . . . the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, I would like to explain my vote and . . . ah . . . Pate, Pate, I'm not trying to prove that I'm not a sorehead. What . . . but what I'm trying to say is really the only problem with this Bill as I see it some weren't satisfied that you could . . . couldn't go in all directions. That you can only go in one direction because you can only have one facility. Now, I don't know why people that are pedestrians that have to walk are any different than people that have to drive an automobile. Ah . . . That . . . two . . . two facilities, one drive-in and one walk, but I don't think you ought to discriminate against those who walk. Now, I believe that the Federal Savings and Loans' Association are allowed this right now. If they aren't, I know some that are in violation. So I don't think the banks ought to be put in any different light than the Federal Savings and Loans' Association. It is a convenience in the shopping



centers, as I see it, it is in our area. I think it's a good Bill, and, Pate, I'm not trying to help you to try to get a vote back back on my Bill; but I think it's a good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Representative Friedland, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, vote me 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'. Representative . . . ah . . . Miller, do you seek recognition for a vote or . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Miller, for what purpose do you arise?"

Miller, T.: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to explain my vote. What this Bill does is allow a bank to create a walk-in facility if they so chose. Under the present Act, it had to be a drive-in facility. A drive-in facility can always be used for pedestrian traffic, but if a bank wants a pedestrian walk-in branch within 1,500 feet, this Bill will do it; and if we permit him to drive-in . . . and if a bank wants a walk-in facility and not a drive-in, this Bill will do it, and I think it's a good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Ryan 'present'. The Gentleman from Perry, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you. A question, a parliamentary inquiry, if this is branch banking, it takes three fifths of those voting, or a majority of those elected, and I think this is a branch that . . . ah . . . that the Representative is talking about . . . would like to make a point, please."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, it looks like he's not going to pass it anyways."

Dunn: "Okay, well, it takes three fifths or a majority of those . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, all right, now, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell, Campbell, on the Republican side."



Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been one of those, too, downstaters that have always opposed branch banking, and as a Member of the Savings and Loan Committee, I was quite concerned about this Bill at the time that it came up, and particularly the 5,000 feet; and it was amended, reverted back to 1,500 feet, which is present for the drive-in facility; and I talked to the Banker's Association, who previously had been in opposition to this Bill, and they were now in support of the Bill and had no objection to it whatsoever, and I can't understand why we don't have more green lights up there; and I'd urge all of you to get on green."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I'd like to explain my vote. We have a . . . in Central Illinois and Southern Illinois many shopping areas outside of the main part of town and we need this piece of legislation. I'm only sorry that it doesn't call for the 5,000 feet, instead of the 1,500; but today we've already passed legislation that allows agreements up to 40 years so you people up in the northern part of the state can come down and . . . and visit the southern part and . . . ah . . . enjoy the scenery, and you passed a Bill to take away the traps, so you have plenty of wild animals down . . . now, let's . . . let's have it possible for you to come down and cash your checks and spend some money."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Lechowicz 'present'. Sangmeister 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 75 'ayes', 24 'nays', the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Phi . . . ll answering 'present'."

Philip: "Well, I guess we all have to have bad days, I'd like to have leave of the House for Postponed Consideration."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, this is put on the order of Postponed Consideration. Okay, now, we're approaching the time in which we wanted to adjourn . . . ah . . . wait a second, now, did we suspend the rules? Right . . . all right, we're going to . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh . . . ah . . . oh, I'm sorry, oh, I'm sorry . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan, with respect to a motion."

Madigan: "Mr. . . . ah . . . Speaker, on the Calendar, Senate Bills, First Reading, appears Senate Bill 744 and Senate Bill 745 identical Bills, these have already been passed by the House of Representatives. I have conferred with Representative Wolf, the Chairman of the Appropriation's Committee; and I would move that these Bills be placed on the order of Second Reading without reference to Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Could . . . could we hold that and do that tomorrow . . . ah . . . so we could look at them, Mike?"

Madigan: "Are they still going to be on the Calendar, First Reading?"

Walsh, W.: "Yeah, they probably will if they're assigned . . ."

Madigan: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Are you going to wait until tomorrow? All right, now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, with respect to a motion."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 36D, the deadline date, so that . . . ah . . . Bills, House Bills that are on the Calendar now and have not been acted upon, whether they be on Third Reading or on Postponed Consideration, . . . ah . . . the deadline for them will . . . ah . . . expire tomorrow, June 5th, and I so move, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has . . . the Gentleman



has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 36D and 37 so that the House Bills on the Calendar which expire either by the . . . ah . . . 30-day rule . . . ah . . . or by the rules of the deadline by suspended. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by . . . when was your date, . . . certain, Bill? . . . until . . . ah . . . until midnight of June 5th, that will be tomorrow. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. It takes 107 votes. Until tomorrow's legislative day, Geo'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', 2 'nays'; the Gentleman's motion prevails. All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Now, the Clerk tells me, Representative Walsh, I'd like a few minutes in a Perfunct to read Senate Bills, First Reading."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, there's a death Resolution for a former Member there on which we would like to adjourn. I wonder if the Clerk would read that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 390, W. T. Simms, et al, 'Whereas, the House has learned with regret of the death, on June 3, 1973, of one of its distinguished former Members, the Honorable David Hunter, Jr. of Rockford, who retired in 1960 after serving 19 consecutive terms in the House; and, whereas, Mr. Hunter began his legislative career in 1922 when he was first elected to the House of Representatives from the district then comprising the counties of Winnebago and Ogle, and, over the ensuing 38 years, he compiled an outstanding record of solid and constructive legislative accomplishment; and, whereas, for many years, Mr. Hunter as Chairman of the House Committee on Roads and Bridges, and he was instrumental in the passage of legislation that gave Illinois its first major network of state highways; and, whereas, his quiet but effective manner as a legislator, his great ability,



his forthright integrity and his tireless devotion to duty gained him the respect, admiration and affection of all who were privileged to know and work with him; and, whereas, he leaves surviving his widow, the former Alice G. Catlin, five sons and seven daughters, and 48 grandchildren; and, whereas, his passing is a severe loss, not only to the members of his immediate family and to Rockford and Winnebago County, but to the entire State of Illinois; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Seventy-eighth General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we express our profound sorrow at the death of the Honorable David Hunter, Jr.; that to his bereaved family we extend our heartfelt sympathy; and that a suitable copy of this Resolution be forwarded to Mrs. Hunter!."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Members of the House, I won't detain you but a moment, but I can't let this opportunity to say a word about my friend and the friend of everyone who served in this House with Dave Hunter, I served with him from 1939 to 1960. Just after I was elected and before I came down, I was talking from a man who was from our district who was a former Majority Leader of this House, and he told me, I shall never forget, he said, 'if you . . . when you get down there you look up Dave Hunter, and if you tie your wagon to his star, you won't make many mistakes'. Well, in the times that I did tie my wagon to his star, I didn't make a mistake. He was a great man, always sat over in this corner and we were all disappointed when Dave didn't run again. I have only these words to say that, 'he was one of the great men that served in this House.'"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."



Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's our intention to adjourn out of respect for Representative Hunter . . . ah . . . however, the House is going to go into a recess for now. So I would move that the House recess for the purpose of a perfunctory Session, and that when the adjournment is made that it be made out of respect for Representative Hunter, and that . . . ah . . . we will be back tomorrow morning at 9:30 for regular Session."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House do now stand in recess for purpose of a perfunctory Session. adjournment until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed by saying 'no'. The House stands in recess."

Clark O'Brien: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 195, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 322, a Bill for an Act to provide for the expenses of the Illinois Investigation Commission. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 387, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Third Reading . . . First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 406, a Bill for an Act relating to the occupational, safety and health. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 454, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Printing Contracts' Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 462, a Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 464, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. . . . Bill 465, a Bill for an Act to amend the Electric Supplier Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 570, a Bill for an Act relating to tax on businesses furnishing gas. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 605, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 606, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. First Reading of the



Bill. Senate Bill 665, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 669, a Bill for an Act relating to special district activities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 711, a Bill for an Act relating to Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 910, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Service Plan Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1010, a Bill for an Act re . . . amending an Act relating to rate of interest. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1021, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to taxes on governmental units. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1050, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice's Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1051, a Bill for an Act to create the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1184, a Bill for an Act transferring funds of Junior College Board. First Reading of the Bill. Wait a minute, we've got one general Resolution. The House now stands adjourned until 9:30 pursuant to House Resolution 390."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

JUNE 4, 1973

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
1		Doorkeeper	All not entitled to the House Floor
		Murphy	The House will be in Session
		Rev. Johnson	Prayer
		Murphy	Roll Call for attendance
		Choate	Absentees
		Murphy	
		Miller, K.	Absentees
		Murphy	Record will so show, Agreed Resolutions
		Selcke	Reads Resolutions, Senate Joint #40
		Murphy	
		Miller, K.	Moves adoption of Resolution
		Murphy	Senate Joint Resolution #40 adopted
		Selcke	House Resolution 388
		Miller, K.	Moves adoption of Resolution
		Murphy	Resolution adopted
		Selcke	Senate Bills, First Reading
		Murphy	
		Selcke	Reads Senate Bills a first time
		Murphy	Move to Motions in regards to House Bills 1767, 1768, and 1771
		Polk	Moves Bills be moved back to Committee
		Murphy	
		Choate	Explains
		Murphy	Leave is granted
		Juckett	Moves House Bill 1726 be moved back to Committee to be placed on the Interim Study Committee
		Murphy	
		Schlickman	Parliamentary inquiry
		Murphy)	
)	
		Shea)	Discussion
)	
		Schlickman)	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Murphy	
		Juckett	Statement
		Murphy	
		Shea	Takes 107 votes to suspend rule
		Murphy	Question
		Juckett	Answer
		Murphy	
		Juckett	
6		Wall	
		Murphy	Motion adopted
		Craig	Moves to place Senate Bill 930 on Secor
		Murphy	
		Schlickman	Question of Sponsor
7		Murphy	
		Craig	Answer
		Murphy	
		Clabaugh	
		Murphy	
		Sevcik	Asks that House Bill 1133 be called now
8		Murphy	House Bill 1133, Third Reading
		Selcke	Reads House Bill 1133
		Murphy	
		Sevcik	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1133 passed
		Geo-Karis	How am I recorded
		Selcke	She is recorded as 'aye'
		Murphy	Move back to Motions
		Washburn	Leave to suspend rule to hear Senate Bill 1185
		Murphy	
		Choate	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Washburn	House Bills have top priority
		Choate	Have no objections
		Murphy	Leave granted
		Rigney	Leave to have House Bill 1973 introduced and heard in Revenue Committee tomorrow
10		Murphy	
		Schlickman	Suggest Sponsor describe the Bill
		Murphy	
		Rigney	Describes the Bill
		Murphy	
		Shea	Question
11		Rigney)	
		Shea)	Discussion
		Rigney)	
		Shea)	
		Murphy	Leave granted
12		Selcke	House Bill 771, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Waddell	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 771, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 807, Third Reading
13		Murphy	
		Barry	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 807, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1570, Third Reading
		Barry	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1570, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1729, Third Reading
		Murphy	
14		Houlihan, J.	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	
		Dunn	Will the Sponsor yield

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
14		Murphy	Indicates he will
		Dunn	Question
		Houlihan, J.	Answer
		Dunn	Not going to vote for this
		Murphy	
		Miller	Speaks to the Bill, urges 'aye' vote
15		Murphy	
		Choate	Explains the Bill, it's a good Bill
16		Murphy	
		Neff	Good Bill should be supported
		Murphy	House Bill 1729, passed
17		O'Brien	House Joint Resolution 31
		Murphy	
		Barry	Explains the Resolution
		Murphy	Resolution has an Amendment on it
		Barry	I would move adoption of Amendment first
		Murphy	Amendment adopted
		Barry	
		Murphy	House Joint Resolution 31, passed
18		O'Brien	House Bill 1807, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		McCourt	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1807, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1562, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Yourell	Explains the Bill
19		Murphy	
		Grotberg	Asks Sponsor a question
		Yourell	
		Murphy	House Bill 1562, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1838, Third Reading

age	Time	Speaker	Information
		Murphy	
		Merlo	Explains the Bill
20		Murphy	
		Wolf, J.J.	Will Sponsor yield
		Murphy	
		Merlo	Asks to hear House Bills 1838, 1840, 1842, 1869, 1870, 1872, 1873, 1874, 1889 and 1915
		Murphy	The Bills will have to be read
		O'Brien	Reads the Bills
21		Murphy	One Roll Call on all of these Bills
		Bradley	Question
		Merlo	
		Murphy	House Bills 1838, 40, 41, 42, 69, 70, 72, 73, 74, 89 and 1915, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 834, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Kempiners	Explains the Bill
22		Murphy	House Bill 834, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1560, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Hirschfeld	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1560, passed
23		Friedland	'782'
		Murphy	House Bill 782
		O'Brien	House Bill 782, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Friedland	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Will Sponsor yield
		Murphy	Indicates he will
		Walsh, W.	Question
		Friedland	Answer

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Walsh, W.	Would urge a 'no' vote
24		Murphy	
		Friedland	Take House Bill 782 out of the Record
		Murphy	
		O'Brien	House Bill 926, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Hoffman, R.	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 926, passed reading
25		O'Brien	House Bill 1810, Third Reading
		Murphy	Take that Bill out of the Record
		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Murphy	House Bills, Third Reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 950
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 966
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1288
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1430, Third Reading
26		Murphy	
		Deuster	Explains the Bill, asks to take out of the Record
		Murphy	Take it out
		O'Brien	House Bill 1091
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1431
		Murphy	
		Deuster	Parliamentary inquiry
		Murphy	That's correct
		O'Brien	House Bill 1431
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1095

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1760
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
27		O'Brien	House Bill 1060
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1405
		Murphy	
		O'Brien	
		Murphy	
		Choate	Explains Bill, leave to take it back to Second Reading
		Murphy	Leave granted
		O'Brien	Reads Amendment #4
		Murphy	
28		Choate	Moves to table Amendment #3
		Murphy	Amendment #3 tabled
		Choate	Explains Amendment #4
		Murphy	Amendment #4 adopted, Third Reading
		Choate	Explains House Bill 1405
29		Murphy	
		Beaupre	Speaks to the Bill
		Murphy	
		Schlickman	Will Sponsor yield
		Murphy	Indicates he will
		Schlickman	Question
		Choate	Reply
30		Schlickman	May I direct myself to the Bill
		Murphy	
		Berman	Urges support
		Murphy	
		Geo-Karis	Speaks for the Bill
32		Murphy	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
32		Yourell	Moves previous question
		Murphy	
		Choate	To close
33		Murphy	
		Kempiners	Explains vote
		Murphy	
		Grotberg	Explains vote
		Murphy	House Bill 1405, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1091, Third Reading
		Murphy	
34		Walsh, W.	Question
		Murphy	
		Merlo	To explain the Bill
		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Asks Sponsor to take Bill out of Record
35		Murphy	
		Merlo	Would rather take a vote
		Murphy	
		Bluthardt	
36		Murphy	
		Yourell	
		Murphy	Proceed
		Bluthardt	
		Murphy	
		Choate	
		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Requests Bill be taken out
37		Murphy	
		Choate	We should vote this Bill out
38		Murphy	
		Friedland	Move previous question

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
38		Murphy	Previous question moved
		Merlo	To close
39		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Asks Bill be held
40		Murphy	
		Douglas	Votes 'present'
		Murphy	
40 and 41		Collins, P.	Joins with Walsh and Bluthardt
42		Murphy	
		Merlo	To explain his vote
		Murphy	
		Miller, K.	Addresses remarks to the Sponsor
		Murphy	
		Bluthardt	Committed to vote 'aye'
43		Murphy	Consideration postponed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1431, Third REading
		Murphy	
		Berman	Explains Bill
		Murphy	
44		Skinner	Will Sponsor yield
		Murphy	Indicates he will
		Skinner	Question
		Berman	Reply
		Skinner	
		Murphy	
		Juckett	Will Sponsor yield
		Murphy	Indicates he will
		Juckett	Question
		Berman	Reply
45		Juckett)	
)	
		Berman)	Discussion

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Murphy	
		McCourt	Speaks for House Bill 1431
46		Murphy	
		Lundy	Urges 'aye' vote
47		Murphy	
		Berman	To close
		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Speaks against the Bill
48		Murphy	Clerk will take the Record
		Berman	Leave to put on postponed consideration
		Murphy	Leave granted
		O'Brien	House Bill 1612, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Duff	To explain the Bill
49		Murphy	
		Grotberg	Question of the Sponsor
		Murphy	
		Grotberg	Question
		Duff	Reply
		Murphy	
		Getty	Will Sponsor yield, question
		Duff	Reply
		Getty)	
)	
		Duff)	Discussion
50		Murphy	
		Giorgi	Question of Sponsor
		Duff	Answer
		Giorgi)	
)	
		Duff)	Discussion
51		Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Will Sponsor yield

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Murphy	Proceed
		Lechowicz	Question
52		Duff	Reply
		Lechowicz	May I address myself to the Bill
		Murphy	
		Duff	To close
53		Murphy	
		Tipsword	Speaks to the Bill, votes 'present'
		Murphy	
		Duff	To explain his vote
54		Murphy	
		Grotherg	Speaks on the Bill
55		Murphy	
		Washington	Speaks for the Bill
		Murphy	
		Beaupre	Speaks on the Bill, urges a 'no' vote
56		Murphy	
		Barry	Votes 'present'
		Murphy	
		Duff	Leave to put House Bill 1612 on postponed consideration
		Murphy	
		Duff	
		Murphy	
		Choate	
57		Murphy	Leave granted
		Selcke	House Bill 1632, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Dunne, R.L.	Leave to take Bill back to Second
		Murphy	Leave granted
		Selcke	Amendment #2
		Murphy	

ge	Time	Speaker	Information
57		Dunne, R.L.	Leave to table Amendment #1
		Murphy	Amendment #1 tabled
		Selcke	Amendment #2
		Murphy	
		Dunne	Explains Amendment
		Murphy	Amendment #2 adopted
		Selcke	Amendment #3
58		Murphy	
		Dunne	Explains Amendment
		Murphy	
		Dunne	Moves adoption
		Murphy	Amendment #3 adopted, Third Reading
		Choate	Sponsor please hold Bill
		Murphy	
		Washington	Question of Sponsor of Amendment
		Murphy	Proceed
		Washington	Question
		Dunne	Reply
		Washington)	
		Dunne)	Discussion
59		Murphy	Hold House Bill 1632 on Third Reading and take it out of the Record
		Selcke	House Bill 1639, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Unknown	Take it out of the Record
		Selcke	House Bill 1777, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Choate	Hold this Bill
		Selcke	
		Murphy	
		Selcke	House Bill 1288, Third Reading

age	Time	Speaker	Information
60		Murphy	
		Rose	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1288, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1777, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Choate	Explains the Bill
61		Murphy	House Bill 177, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1814
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
62		Selcke	House Bill 1816, Third Reading
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		Selcke	House Bill 1832, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		McPartlin	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1832, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1632, Third Reading
63		Murphy	
		Dunne	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1632, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1839, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Merlo	Leave to return House Bill 1839 to Second Reading
		Murphy	Leave granted
		Selcke	Amendment #1
		Murphy	
		Terzich	Explains the Amendment
64		Murphy	
		Schneider	
		Murphy	
		Wolf, J.J.	Opposes Amendment #1
		Murphy	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Shea	Speaks on the Amendment
65.		Murphy	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
		Merlo	Asks favorable consideration of Bill
		Murphy	
		Wolf, J.J.	Speaks against House Bill 1839
66		Murphy	
		Terzich	Explains and speaks for House Bill 1839
67		Murphy	
		McCourt	Speaks against House Bill 1839
68		Murphy	
		Terzich	
		Murphy	
		Merlo	
		Murphy	House Bill 1839, passed
		Walsh	Requests verification
		Murphy	
69		Merlo	Request poll of absentees first
		Murphy	Call the absentees
		Selcke	Calls the absentees
		Murphy	Hyde 'aye'
		Selcke	Continues poll of absentees
		Murphy	
		Merlo	Take House Bill 1839 out of the Record
		Murphy	We can postpone consideration
		Merlo	Proceed with the verification
		Murphy	
		Taylor	
		Selcke	
		Taylor	
		Murphy	
70		Selcke	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
70		Murphy	
		Williams	How am I recorded
		Selcke	He's recorded not voting
		Williams	Record me 'aye'
		Murphy	Proceed with verification
		Selcke	Proceeds with the verification
		Murphy	
		Cox	Change me from 'aye' to 'present'
		Murphy	
		Selcke	Proceeds with the verification
71		Murphy	
		Nardulli	Vote me 'aye'
		Murphy	
		Day	
		Selcke	
		Murphy	
		Selcke	
		Murphy	
		Merlo	Put House Bill 1839 on Postponed Consideration
		Murphy	Leave granted
		Selcke	House Bill 1843, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Garmisa	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Murphy	House Bill 1843, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1856, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Kozubowski	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	
		Lundy	Will Sponsor yield

age	Time	Speaker	Information
		Murphy	Indicate he will
		Lundy	Question
73		Kozubowski	Reply
		Lundy)	
		Kozubowski)	Discussion
		Lundy)	
		Murphy	
		Leon	Speaks for the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1866, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1871, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Merlo	Will you take this Bill out of the Record
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		Selcke	House Bill 1886, Third Reading
74		Murphy	
		Berman	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1886, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1899, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Capparelli	Leave to table this Bill
		Murphy	House Bill 1899 is tabled
		Selcke	House Bill 1901, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Choate	Verification of last Roll Call
75		Murphy	Proceed
		Kelly	Explains House Bill 1901
		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Speaks against the Bill
		Murphy	
		Schlickman	Explains his vote
		Murphy	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
76		Schlickman	
		Murphy	
		Skinner	Speaks against the Bill
		Murphy	
		Kelly	Asks support of this Bill
		Murphy	
		Shea	Speaks for House Bill 1901
77		Murphy	
		Day	Will Sponsor yield
		Murphy	
		Day	
		Murphy	
		Kelly	Leave to place Bill on Postponed Consideration
		Murphy	Leave granted
		O'Brien	House Bill 1903, Third Reading
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1904
		Murphy	Take it out of the Record
78		O'Brien	House Bill 1908, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Taylor	Explains the Bill
		Murphy	
		Walsh, W.	Speaks against the Bill
		Murphy	
		Taylor	Asks support of Bill
79		Murphy	
		Taylor	
		Murphy	
		Dunn	Explains 'aye' vote
		Murphy	
		Geo-Karis	votes 'aye'

age	Time	Speaker	Information	
80		Murphy	House Bill 1908, passed	
		O'Brien	House Bill 1920, Third Reading	
		Murphy		
		Geo-Karis	Leave to return Bill to Second Reading	
		Murphy	Leave granted	
		O'Brien	Amendment #2	
		Murphy		
		Geo-karis	Explains Amendment	
	81		Murphy	
			Lechowicz	
		Murphy		
		Geo-Karis	Asks adoption of Amendment #2	
		Murphy		
		Deuster	Will Sponsor yield	
		Murphy		
		Deuster)	Question	
)		
		Geo-Karis)	Reply	
82		Murphy		
		Lechowicz)	Will Sponsor yield--Question	
)		
83		Geo-Karis)	Discussion	
		Murphy		
		Jaffe	Question of the Sponsor	
84		Geo-Karis	Reply and discussion	
		Murphy		
		Boyle	Questions the Amendment	
85		Geo-Karis	Reply and discussion	
		Murphy		
		Schneider	Will Sponsor yield	
86		Geo-Karis	Reply and discussion	
		Murphy		
		Polk	Will Sponsor yield	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Murphy	
		Polk	Question
		Geo-Karis	Reply and Discussion
		Murphy	
		Sims	Moves the previous question
		Murphy	
87		Geo-Karis	To close
		Murphy	
		Geo-Karis	
		Murphy	
		Choate	Supports Amendment
		Murphy	Amendment #2 to House Bill 1920 lost
		Geo-Karis	Speaks to the Bill
88		Murphy	House Bill 1920, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 782, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Friedland	To explain the Bill
		Murphy	
89		Schlickman	Speaks on House Bill 782
		Murphy	
		Yourell	Will Sponsor yield
		Murphy	Indicates he will
		Yourell)	Question
)	
		Friedland)	Reply and discussion
		Murphy	
90		North	Supports House Bill 782
		Murphy	
91		Stone	urges 'no' vote
		Murphy	
		McCourt	Urges 'no' vote
		Murphy	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
92		Friedland	To Close
		Murphy	House Bill 782, lost
		O'Brien	House Bill 844, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Dunne, R.L.	To explain the Bill
93		Murphy	
		McLendon	How am I recorded
		Murphy	House Bill 844, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 877, Third Reading
		Murphy	
		Deuster	To explain the Bill
94		Murphy	
		Juckett	Will the Sponsor yield
		Murphy	Indicates he will
		Juckett)	Question
95		Deuster)	Reply and discussion
96		Telcser	
		Hill	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	Indicates he will
		Hill	Question
		Deuster	Reply and discussion
97		Hill	Speaks against House Bill 877
		Telcser	
98		Deuster	To close
		Telcser	
		Hill	
		Telcser	
		Hill	
		Deuster	Asks for a little courtesy
99		Telcser	Point well taken
		Deuster	Urges support of the Bill

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	
		Skinner	To explain his vote
100		Telcser	
		Deuster	Comment
		Telcser	House Bill 877, lost
		O'Brien	House Bill 904, Third Reading
		Telcser	Take that out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 959, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Craig	Hold this Bill for a series
		Telcser	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1002, Third Reading
101		Telcser	
		Porter	To explain the Bill
102		Telcser	
		Shea	Introduction
		Telcser	
		McClain	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	Indicates he will
		McClain)	Question
		Porter)	Reply and discussion
103		Telcser	
		Wolf, J.J.	Question of Sponsor
104		Telcser	
		Bradley	Asks to address himself to the Bill
105		Telcser	
		Neff	Speaks on House Bill 1002
106		Telcser	
		Walters	Supports the Bill
		Telcser	
			Question of Sponsor

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
107		Porter)	
)	
		Ewell)	Discussion of House Bill 1002
)	
		Porter)	
		Telcser	
		Choate	
		Telcser	
		Arnell	Moves previous question
		Telcser	
		Porter	To close
108		Telcser	
		McMaster	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Cunningham	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Bradley	
		Telcser	
		Bradley	Verify the Roll Call
		Telcser	
		Bradley	Withdraws his request
		Telcser	House Bill 1002, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1060
		Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Telcser	
		Giorgi	Motion
		Telcser	
		Shea	
111		Telcser	
		Duff	
		Telcser	
		Duff	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	
		Duff	
		O'Brien	House Bill 1030
		Telcser	Take it out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1057
		Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Telcser	
		Giorgi	Reaffirms motion
		O'Brien	
		Telcser	
		Matijevich)	Discuss motion
112		Telcser)	
		Matijevich)	
		Telcser)	
113		Giorgi	Speaks on his motion
		Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	
		Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Speaks on the Gentleman's motion
114		Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Telcser	
		O'Brien	House Bill 1057, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Craig	Explains the Bill
115		Telcser	
116 - 117		Schlickman	Speaks on the Bill
118		Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Telcser	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Craig	Responds to Schlickman's questions
119		Telcser	
		Stone	
		Telcser	
		Craig	
		Telcser	
		Mann)	Questions Sponsor
		Craig)	Answers
		Mann)	
)	
		Craig)	Discussion
)	
		Mann)	
)	
		Craig)	
120		Craig	
		Telcser	Take House Bill 1057 out of the Record
		O'Brien	House Bill 1058, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Kosinski	Explains the Bill
121		Telcser	
		Mann	Speaks for the Bill
122		Telcser	
		Choate	Supports the Bill
		Telcser	
		Kosinski	To close
123		Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	To explain his vote
		Telcser	
		Washington	Explains his vote
124		Telcser	
		Mann	Explains his vote
		Telcser	House Bill 1058, passed
125		O'Brien	House Bill 1108, Third Reading

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
126		Springer	Explains the Bill
		Telcser	
		Jaffe	
		Telcser	
		Neff	Supports the Bill
127		Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Moves previous question
		Telcser	
		Springer	To close
		Telcser	
128		McGrew	Record me 'present'
		Telcser	
		Springer	Requests Postponed Consideration
		Telcser	
		Selcke	House Bill 1109, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Miller, T.	Explains the Bill
129		Telcser	House Bill 1109, passed
		Selcke	House Bill 1122, Third Reading
		Telcser	
130-131		Schlickman	Explains the Bill
		*Spec. Req.	
132		Telcser	
		Leinenweber	
		Telcser	
		Flinn	Question of Sponsor
		Schlickman	Answer
133		Flinn)	
)	
		Schlickman)	Discussion of House Bill 1122
		Telcser	
		Blüthardt	Speaks against the Bill
134		Telcser	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
135		Calvo) Schlickman)	Question of Sponsor Discussion of House Bill 1122
136		Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	
		Geo-Karis) Schlickman)	Discussion on House Bill 1122
137		Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Speaks against Bill
138		Telcser	
		Maragcs	Moves previous question
		Telcser	
		Schlickman	To close
139		Telcser	
140		Grotberg	Explains vote
		Telcser	
141		McMaster	Question of Sponsor and explains vote
		Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Explains vote
142		Telcser	
		Schlickman	Explains vote
143		Telcser	
		Mann	Explains vote
144		Telcser	House Bill 1122 failed
		Choate	Motion to suspend appropriate rules
145		Telcser	Motion prevails
		O'Brien	House Bill 1471; Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Giorgi	Explains the Bill
146		Telcser	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	House Bill 1471 passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1060, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Duff	Explains the Bill
147		Telcser	
		Houlihan, J.	Speaks for the Bill
148		Telcser	
		Shea	Speaks against House Bill 1060
149		Telcser	
		Duff	To close
		Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Explains vote
		Telcser	House Bill 1060, passed
150	*Spec. Req.	O'Brien	House Bill 1639, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Philip	
		Telcser	
		Giorgi	
		Telcser	
151		Philip	Explains House Bill 1639
152		Telcser	
		McGrew	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	Indicates he will
		McGrew)	
153) Philip)	Discussion on House Bill 1639
154		Telcser	
		Maragos	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	
		Maragos)	
) Philip)	Discussion on House Bill 1639
155			
156		Maragos	Wants to speak on the Bill

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	Proceed
157		Maragos	Speaks on the Bill
158		Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	Moves previous question
		Telcser	
		Philip	To close
159		Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	Explains vote
160		Telcser	
		Holloway, R.	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Choate	Explains vote, opposes Bill
161		Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Getty	Explains vote
162		Telcser	
		Fleck	Explains vote
163		Telcser	
		Maragos	Explains vote
164		Telcser	
		Washington	Explains vote
165		Telcser	Have all voted wo wish
		Piotrowicz	How am I recorded
		O'Brien	
166		Maragos	Asks verification at proper time
		Telcser	Verification of the affirmative
		Philip	Poll the absentees
		Telcser	
		O'Brien	Polls absentees
		Telcser	Boyle asks to be recorded 'present'

age	Time	Speaker	Information
		O'Brien	Continues poll of absentees
167		Telcser	
		McLendon	Record me 'present'
		Telcser	Will Clerk call the affirmative roll
		O'Brien	Affirmative Roll Call
		Telcser	
		Shea	
		Telcser	
		O'Brien	Continues Affirmative Roll call
168		Telcser	
		Maragos)	Questions affirmative roll call
) Telcser)	
169		Maragos	Continues to question affirmative roll
170		Maragos	Continues to question affirmative roll
171		Maragos	Continues to question affirmative roll
172		Telcser	
		Maragos	I have no further questions
		Telcser	
		Kucharski	How am I recorded
		Telcser	
		O'Brien	
		Telcser	
		Huskey	
		O'Brien	
		Telcser	
		Philip	Asks for Postponed Consideration
		Telcser	
		Shea	
174		Telcser	
		O'Brien	House Bill 1239, Third Reading
		Telcser	Take it out of the Record

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		O'Brien	House Bill 1412, Third Reading
		Pappas	Explains the Bill
		Telcser	House Bill 1412, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1414, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Matijevecich	Explains the Bill
175		Telcser	
		Mann	Speaks for the Bill
176		Telcser	
		Matijevecich	Asks for a favorable Roll Call
		Telcser	
		Philip	
		Telcser	
		Matijevecich	Explains vote
177		Telcser	
		Matijevecich	Poll the absentees
		Telcser	
178		O'Brien	Polis absentees
		Telcser	
		Matijevecich	Take it out of the Record
		Telcser)	
)	
		Matijevecich)	Discussion
		Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Telcser	
		Choate	
179		Telcser	
		Matijevecich	Asks Bill be put on Postponed Consideration
		Telcser	
		Philip	
		Telcser	

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Walsh, W.	
		Telcser	
180		Matijevich	
		Lechowicz	
		Telcser	
		Hill	
		O'Brien	
		Telcser	
		Molloy	
		Telcser	
		McLendon	
		Telcser	
		O'Brien	
181		Douglas	
		Telcser	
		Matijevich	Put House Bill 1414 on Postponed Consideration
		Telcser	
		McGrew	
		Telcser	
182		O'Brien	House Bill 1421, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Dyer	Leave to move House Bill 1421 back to Second for an Amendment
		Telcser	Leave granted
		O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Telcser	Amendment #1 tabled
		O'Brien	Amendment #2.
		Telcser	
		Dyer	Explains Amendment #2
183		Telcser	Amendment #2 adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #3

age	Time	Speaker	Information
183		Dyer	Take Amendment #3 out of the Record
		Telcser	Third Reading
		Dyer	Explains House Bill 1421
184		Telcser	
		Carter	Supports this Bill
		Telcser	
		Lauer	
185		Carter	Continues speaking for the Bill
		Telcser	
		Brinkmeier	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	Indicates she will
		Brinkmeier	
		Dyer	
		Brinkmeier	May I address myself to the Bill
		Telcser	
186		Brinkmeier	Speaks for the Bill
		Telcser	
		Simms	Moves previous question
		Wolf	One fast question
		Telcser	
		Wolf	Question
187		Telcser	
		Simms	
		Telcser	Gentleman moves previous question
		Dyer	To close
188		Telcser	
		Wall	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Schraeder	Explains vote
189		Telcser	
		Simms	Explains vote

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	
		Juckett	
190		Telcser	House Bill 1421, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1427, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Martin	Take Bill back to Second for Amendment
191		Telcser	Leave granted
		O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Telcser	
		Martin	Explains Amendment
		Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted, Third Reading
		Martin	Explains House Bill 1427
192		Telcser	House Bill 1427, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1382
		Telcser	
		O'Brien	House Bill 1439, Third Reading
193		Telcser	
		Martin	Explains the Bill
		Telcser	House Bill 1439, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1573, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Wolf, J.J.	Explains the Bill
194		Telcser	House Bill 1573, passed
		Schlickman	
		O'Brien	House Bill 1574, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Wolf, J.J.	Explains the Bill
		Telcser	House Bill 1574, passed
195		O'Brien	House Bill 1382, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Hill	Explains the Bill

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	
		Kempiners	Question
		Hill	Answer
		Kempiners	
		Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Questions
		Hill	Answers
		Telcser	House Bill 1382, passed
196		O'Brien	House Bill 1239, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Keller	Explains the Bill
		Telcser	
		Schlickman	
		Telcser	
		O'Brien	
		Telcser	House Bill 1239, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 1222, Third Reading
		Telcser	
		Garmisa	Explains the Bill
197		Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Speaks against the Bill
198		Telcser	
		Shea	Speaks for the Bill
199		Telcser	
		Simms	Speaks on the Bill
		Telcser	
		Boyle	Supports the Bill
200		Telcser	
		Fennessey	Supports the Bill
201		Telcser	
		Garmisa	To close

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	
		Simms	To explain his vote
202		Telcser	
		McCormick	Explains vote
203		Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Urges defeat of the Bill
		Telcser	
		Choate	Speaks in support of the Bill
204		Telcser	House Bill 1222, passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 904, Third REading
		Telcser	
		Philip	Explains the Bill
		Telcser	
		Choate	Speaks on the Bill and questions Sponsor
205		Philip	Answers questions
		Choate)	
)	
		Philip)	Discussion
206		Telcser	
		Dunn, R.	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	Indicates he will
		Dunn, R.)	Questions
)	
		Philip)	Answers and discussion,
		Telcser	
		Lauer	Will Sponsor yield
207		Telcser	Indicates he will
		Lauer	Question
		Philip	Answer
		Telcser	
		Hoffman, G.	Moves previous question
		Telcser	
		Philip	To close

Page	Time	Speaker	Information
		Telcser	
208		Hirschfeld	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Choate	
		Telcser	
		Philip	Answers Choate's question
		Telcser	
209		Shea	
		Telcser	
		Philip	
		Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Question of Sponsor
		Telcser	
		Matijevich	Explains vote
210		Telcser	
		Friedland	votes 'present'
		Telcser	
		Miller, T.	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Dunn, R.	Parliamentary inquiry
		Telcser	
		Dunn, R.	
		Telcser	
211		Campbell	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Bradley	Explains vote
		Telcser	
		Philip	Asks for Postponed Consideration
212		Telcser	
		Madigan	Motion

age	Time	Speaker	Information
		Walsh, W.	Hold Motion
		Madigan	
		Walsh, W.	
		Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Motion to suspend 36-D and 37
		Telcser	Motion prevails
213		Walsh, W.	Death Resolution
		O'Brien	House Resolution 390
214		Telcser	
		Clabaugh	Speaks on House Resolution 390
		Telcser	
215		Walsh, W.	Moves recess and then adjournment
		Telcser	House Stands in recess
		O'Brien	Senate Bills, First Reading 195, 322, 387, 406, 454, 462, 464, 465, 570, 605, 606, 665, 669, 711, 9.0, 1010 1021, 1050, 1051, 1184.
		O'Brien	The House now stands adjourned until 9:30 pursuant to House Resolution 390.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SIXTY-NINTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 4, 1973

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A Roll Call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Webber Borchers - illness;

Representative John G. Fary - no reason given;

Representative Peter C. Granata - illness;

Representative James G. Krause - illness;

Representative Leroy Lemke - illness;

Representative Romie J. Palmer - no reason given;

Representative Leland H. Rayson - illness;

Representative William Redmond - business;

Representative Bernard B. Wolfe - illness;

Hon. W. Robert Blair - illness.

