

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-THIRD LEGISLATIVE DAY

MAY 28, 1974

1:00 O'CLOCK P. M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. We have a visiting clergy today and he'll be introduced by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the invocation will be given today by the Reverend Frank Marshall, who is pastor of Calvary Baptist Church in Hillsboro, Illinois. Mr. Marshall."

Reverend Marshall: "Let us pray. Our Father in Heaven, we truly believe in Thee. The command is to be still and to know that Thou art the Lord. I want to thank you for this personal reality that each of us in this auditorium can have. As we pray today, I trust it is not because of tradition, or something designed by men; but that we hunger for your leadership among us. Give this Body of elected officials a right view of their work. May they see the people of Illinois and long to share in their successes. May at times they put the will of others and the will of God above party and personality...For Jesus' sake and for others we pray. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance.....The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "My colleague, Representative McLendon, ...dedicating a school after General B. O. Davis, his father-in-law. He'd like to be officially excused. He'll return as soon as the dedication is over. Jim McLendon."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, may the Journal Representative Michael Madigan excused because of illness."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. McMaster, from Counties and Townships, to which House Bill 2490 was referred, report same back with recommendations the Bill 'do pass'. Mr. McMaster, from Counties and Townships, to which House Bills 2212 and 2213 were referred, reported same back with recommendations



the Bills 'do pass' and be rereferred to Appropriations.

We got any messages or anything? Introductions, First Reading, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Introductions, First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2844, Hill, et al., amends the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Commission on Intergovernmental Cooperation, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2845, Dyer, et al., amends Promisory Notes Act and Schcol Code Change, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Let's do Agreed Resolutions now."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 960, Choate, et al.; House Resolution 961, Kelly, et al.; House Resolution 963, Juckett; House Resolution 964, Geo-Karis; et al.; House Resolution 965, Shea, et al.; House Resolution 967, Williams, et al."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these are the Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 960 congratulates our colleague, Representative Peggy Smith Martin, on the occasion of her sixteenth birtaday. House Resolution 961, commends the Park Forest Rotary Club for the contributions they have made. House Resolution 963, congratulates Richard L. Yepsen of Park Ridge, who will receive the Eagle award in the Boy Scouts of America. House Resolution 964, congratulates .....now this is one of Adeline Geo-Karis's and it congratulates our colleague Herb Huskey, on the occasion of his 58th Birthday, Congratulations, Peggy and Herb. House Resolution 965, has some substance to it and it provides that the Legislative Investigating Commission be directed to undertake an investigation of the process of assigning project priorities for the dispersal of monies by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. House Resolution 967, congratulates Kelvin Kraemer on the occasion of his becoming an Eagle



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Scout and Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.....the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mrs. Geo-Karis, for what purpose does the Lady rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's only befitting that we all join in singing Happy Birthday to Herb Huskey..... Happy Birthday to Herby.....'Happy Birthday to you. Happy Birthday to you. Happy Birthday dear Herby, Happy Birthday to you....And, many more."

Speaker Blair: "On the order of Consideration Postponed appears HJRCA-30, with which the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh desires recognition."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 37 (c), which provides that an item may be on the Calendar just 30 days in order that HJR Constitutional Amendment 30 may stay on the Calendar for two more weeks and expire instead of today, on June 11th. I cleared this matter with the Leadership on both sides of the aisle and would ask Mr. Speaker, that we use the Attendance Roll Call, in approving this."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Now, maybe....Mr. Shea."

Shea: "What's the date of expiration? It was yesterday, wasn't it. Oh! Okay, I thought maybe you blew it yesterday."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there objection to using the Attendance Roll Call as a basis to suspend the appropriate rule so that HJRCA-30 may remain alive on the Calendar? All right, hearing no objection, then, leave will be granted. Resolution. Death Resolution."

Clerk Selcke: "Death Resolution, Tuerk, et al., House Resolution 962. In respect to the memory of Mr. Russell H. Ziegler."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh,



4.

moves the adoption of House Resolution 962, Death Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Our plan for the balance of the day is to ....while, for the next half or so, to go and address ourselves to say....Senate Bills, Third Reading. Get those out of the way as quickly as possible. So then, recess for conference, then back on the Floor for House Bills Second and Third Reading. Okay. All right, now, let's go into these Senate Bills, Third Reading, then and the first one is 166, Mr. Calvo here? Metropolitan East Exposition and Performing Arts Authority. You want your Bill on Third Reading there? Senate Bill 166? Do you want to call it or do you want to hold it? Mr. Friedland on the Commission for Residential Property Development Study. Mr. Friedland? Do you want your Senate Bill 678? No? Mr. Madigan, on 1020? Madigan on 1021. Mr. LaFleur on your 1149.....do you want your 1149, Senate Bill 1149? Leo, do you want that? Oh! All right, we'll keep on going. Mrs. Dyer, how about 1241? Do you want your appropriation for expense of the Commission on Children? Do you want to call that? All right. Read....it a Third Time."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1241, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Commission on Children. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Dyer.....DuPage, sorry....I moved you a little Northeast."

Dyer: "The Appropriation Bill for the Commission on Children, you will notice is just exactly the same as it was last year. There's a reduction in the travel item. There is one area where the five percent cost of living increase was agreed upon and necessitated. It passed the Appropriations Committee overwhelmingly and I solicit your favorable vote."



Speaker Blair: "All right. Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1241 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Borchers, just push your button."

Borchers: "May I ask just one question? This is the Children and Family Services, right?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah."

Borchers: "I'd just like to point out, I'll vote for it but I would just like to point out that right now in our State as a result of the actions of Jermoe Miller, the head of the Department of Family and Children Services, there's hardly a home for children in the State of Illinois, that is not on the verge of having to close or go broke. For example, in my district the Kemmerer Home have been reduced approximately one-third of the children in the last five months by the policies of Jerome Miller. I would like to mention, in Champaign, the Cunningham Home, in Quincy, the Chaddock Home, 'Catwell Hall', Webster Hall, the..... Home in Bloomington. I know we're going to have to put in the money, but I think it's time we looked very closely to what is happening in this particular department....."

Speaker Blair: "One minute. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, rise?"

Pierce: "Point of Order."

Speaker Blair: "Point of Order."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman's talking on the appropriation for the Department of Children and Family Services, which is still back in Appropriations Committee. This is the Appropriation for the Commission on Children. It's not Jerome Miller's department and I think he just rushed back to his seat and talked on the wrong Bill. As much as I enjoy hearing the Gentleman from Macon's theories on children. He's speaking on the wrong Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record."



SPEAKER MURPHY IN CHAIR.....

Speaker Murphy: "On this question there are 136 'ayes', no 'nays', one voting 'present'....,137 'ayes' and none 'present'. Wipe the 'present' out. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1258. Is Representative Giorgi here? We'll skip this and come back to it. Oh! Yes, he's here. Representative Giorgi. 1258."

Clerk Selcke: "Give me the Bill. Senate Bill 1258, Giorgi, an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Legislative Reference Bureau, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi. Senate Bill 1258."

Clerk Selcke: "No, there he goes. He's going home."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1259. Is Representative McPartlin on the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "No."

Speaker Murphy: "Take that one out of the record. 1268, Shea, Representative Shea, yes, he's on the Floor."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1268, an Act making an appropriation to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual appropriation and I'd ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The Gentleman, Representative Dick Walsh."

Walsh, Dick: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that the Gentleman owes us a little better explanation. It's an annual appropriation, but ....for what? It would be helpful if the Sponsors of these Appropriation Bills would



indicate the amount of the appropriation and for our information, what it was last year. That request has been made before and it would seem to me that the Chair has indicated to the Sponsors that they should do so.

Speaker Murphy: "Your point is well taken, Representative Walsh. We'll make an exception and let Representative Shea answer you if he can. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an appropriation of \$11,250,000 from the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Reconstruction Fund, to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority for the payment of principle and interest on the bonds for McCormick Place for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1974. I believe it's the same amount as last year."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Alsop."

Alsop: "I was merely wanting to correct Webber a while ago on his misinterpretation of the Bills. I'm sorry."

Speaker Murphy: "The....the Gentleman from Champaign.... recognition, Representative Hirschfeld....Your light is on....Any further discussion. All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there're 160 'ayes', no 'nays', one voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed....McGrew, 'aye'. Lauer, 'aye'. Senate Bill 1270."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1270, Stone, an Act making an appropriation to the Board of Trustees of the State Universities Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone. Representative Stone is out of his seat. Take it from the record. Is Representative Hill here? Representative Hill is not here. 1274, Representative Lechowicz, he was just here. There he is, he's here."





Clerk Selcke: "Which Bill? Which one?"

Speaker Murphy: "1274."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1274, Lechowicz, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of Court of Claims, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz...No, 1274."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1274 is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Court of Claims. It's for \$892,000. It was amended, corrective Amendment on page 1, line 23, by deleting \$142,000 and increasing it to \$156,520. If there is any question I'd be happy to answer it. The Bill came out unanimously in the Appropriation Committee 24-0."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Gibbs: "What's the difference in the appropriation for these expenses for the Court of Claims from the previous year?"

Lechowicz: "In 1974 the appropriation for the Court of Claims was \$726,800. Fiscal '75 request is \$892,000. The Bill makes the appropriation for the following categories. \$150,000 for payment of crime victim's claims. \$500,000 for payment of other awards. \$157,520 for operating expenses of the courts."

Gibbs: "There's an increase of about how much, then. \$130,000?"

Lechowicz: "Well, as you know, the Crime Victim's Claim Act, we do not have a corresponding working figure of what to anticipate...and that is one of the reasons why there was an increase in the budget."

Gibbs: "What is the amount of the increase?"

Lechowicz: "Approximately \$150,000."

Gibbs: "\$150,000 over the previous year?"



Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Gibbs: "And, that's solely because of the Crime Victim's Compensation Bill?"

Lechowicz: "And other awards."

Gibbs: "And....what?"

Lechowicz: "Other awards....which normally go through the Court of Claims."

Gibbs: "That's all then."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Tom Miller, 'aye'. Sangmeister 'aye'. Representative J. Houlihan, 'aye'. Representative Pat North, 'aye'. Representative Macdonald, 'aye'. Representative Jim Taylor, 'aye'. Representative Patrick, 'aye'. Representative Stiehl, 'aye'. Representative Borchers, 'aye'. Now let's stay awake and vote after this. Representative Borchers 'aye'. All right. The Clerk will take the record. 115 'aye', no 'nay', one voting 'present'.... this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Washburn, 'aye'. House Bill 1271."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1271, an Act making appropriations to the Teachers' Retirement System, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Senate Bill, is a supplemental appropriation for \$1,645,100 for the Downstate teachers' Pension Fund and I'd appreciate your vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not the question is, shall this Bill pass. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. By voting 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', no 'nays', and



10.

the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1270.....Senate Bill 1270."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1270, an Act making an appropriation to the Board of Trustees of the State Universities Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone.....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz is going to explain the Bill."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1270 appropriates \$159,200 from the State Pension Fund to the Board of Trustees for the State Universities Retirement System, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1975. The operating expense of the system amounting to, in fiscal '75, are paid by the colleges and universities using the system. The only amount received directly from State appropriations is its share of the distribution of payout on the State Pension Fund and that's what this Bill calls for. The dollar amount of \$159,200. I ask for your support of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I was trying to get recognition. I wanted to ask the Sponsor a question. .... Is there a grade in pro.....in this one, Ted?"

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry 'Jake', I didn't hear the question."

Wolf: "Does this Bill, for this State Pension funding.... have they amended that or have they included one and a half percent grade-in for full level funding. Do you know?"

Lechowicz: "Yes they have."

Wolf: "Okay. Thank you."



Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 119 'ayes', no 'nays', the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1275. Grotberg 'aye'.....Representative Geo-Karis, 'aye'..... on that last Bill. Senate Bill 1275.....Come down and see the Clerk if you want to vote 'aye' now. We're on the next Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1275, an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the General Assembly Retirement System, Third Reading of the Bill.....Merlo..."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative John Merlo.....Representative Merlo.....he's....Oh! Representative Lechowicz is going to take it. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1275 appropriates \$510,600 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Trustees of the General Assembly Retirement System. \$1600 from the State Pension Fund representing the annual allocation of the excess assets in the State Pension Fund. This money is used to reduce the unfunded liabilities of the General Assembly Retirement System. And, \$595,000 from the General Revenue Fund for the State Contributions to the General Assembly Retirement System. Of this amount, not more than \$35,000 shall be expended for administrative purposes. This Bill came out of the Appropriations Committee 24-0. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 136 'ayes', and no 'nays', one voting 'present'.....Kennedy 'present'..... I mean 'aye'.....137 'aye' and no 'nays'.... one vot-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ing 'present'. This Bill having received the 'Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1279."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate 1279, Chapman, an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the University Civil Service Merit Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman, Chapman: "No. Not that one."

Speaker Murphy: "Do you want it out of the record?"

Chapman: "Out of the record....please."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1278."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1278, an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employees' Retirement System. Third Reading of the Bill. Jimmy Holloway."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer wish recognition? Is your button lit? Okay. Representative Holloway. James Holloway. Representative Lechowicz, do you want to take this, Senate Bill 1278.....for Representative Holloway?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1278 appropriates \$299,400 for the expenses of the State Employees' Retirement System. There was one Amendment to the Bill. It was a technical Amendment which changed an incorrect total on Section 1 of the Bill. If you read the Bill the ....on page 1, line 24, the total was \$120,800 and the correct total should be \$121,448. This Bill again passed out of the Committee, properly amended, by a vote of 19 to nothing. I ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? ll in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.....'nay'."



Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 136 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1281."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1281, an Act making an appropriation for certain retirement benefits for teachers, Third Reading of the Bill....Jack Hill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill,"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1281 is a supplemental appropriation of \$250,000 to the Chicago Teachers' Pension and Retirement Fund. I'd appreciate your vote."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? Representative Lauer is recognized."

Lauer: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Lauer: "Representative Hill, how much increase in the benefits of the Teachers' Retirement does this include?"

Hill: "I don't know what the increase, but this is for the supplemental benefits and it represents the dollar amount necessary to raise the retirement benefit of each person who had retired prior to June 30th of 1961,...to a level of \$50 per year for each credible service year. Each retiree may not receive a supplemental benefit which exceeds \$22.50 per year. We just passed the one for the downstate teachers and this one is for the Chicago teachers."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Murphy: "Proceed."

Lauer: "I am very happy to be in support of this Bill as well as the increase of the downstate teachers Bill but I suggest, Representative Hill, that the amount is not yet sufficient and perhaps in the next Session of the General Assembly that it should be more."

Hill: "I'd like to inform you that that is a different Bill. This has nothing to do with what you're talking about."



Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jake Wolf."

Wolf: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Wolf: "Did you say that this appropriation Bill was amended, in the Appropriations Committee, to provide for..."

Hill: "No, I didn't say anything like that. It was not amended in the Appropriations Committee. It was passed out of Appropriations Committee. Everyone attending the meeting voted for it."

Wolf: "But, did you say it provides for some kind of a minimum payment?"

Hill: "That is correct. For those that retired prior to June 30th, 1961."

Wolf: "What kind of a minimum retirement does that provide... for?"

Hill: "It will provide a level of \$50 per year for each credible service year."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf wish recognition? Dyer 'aye'."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to explain my vote of 'present'. I'm voting present because I'm just not familiar with this particular Bill. I had no idea it was in and until I find out more, I just can't vote for it."

Speaker Murphy: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 148 'ayes', no 'nays', one voting 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Maragos, do you want to handle that? Senate Bill 1259."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1259, an Act making appropriations to the Legislative Audit Commission, Third Reading of



the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the appropriations for the Legislative Audit Commission. It's \$81,300 for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Commission. There was a Senate Amendment on..."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative McPartlin is off.....are you on....You're on now, I think..."

McPartlin: "...There was a Senate Amendment on this Bill which was actually a cost of living ...Amendment that included some \$3400 to \$3900. It's only a \$500 increase which was approved by the Appropriations Committee and I would appreciate your support on this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Announce the Roll Call first....."

Speaker Murphy: "I haven't announced the Roll Call yet."

Pierce: "Oh! Okay."

Speaker Murphy: "We haven't even taken the record yet."

Pierce: "Okay, then, I'll interrupt the Roll Call. Mr. Speaker from our district, the 32nd District, we have the Immaculate Conception School, Highland Park, eighth grade class, represented by Representative Deuster, Murphy, and Pierce, in the Speaker's Gallery. The eighth grade class, of the Immaculate Conception School Highland Park. The eighth grade class."

Speaker Murphy: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 140 'aye'... 140 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1320. Representative Lechowicz, when that's read, will you take that Bill? 1320."





Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1320, an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1320 calls for an appropriation of \$75,000 to the Supreme Court to pay current salaries of the official shorthand reporters. We amended it in Committee. Increased it by an additional \$40,000.... for the supplementary appropriation for court reporters. The Bill came out of Committee 20-0 and I ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', no 'nays'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1321. Mann 'aye', on the last Bill. 1321."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1321, an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1321, again is a ....appropriates \$125,000 to the Supreme Court for current payments for transcription fees and shorthand reporters. We amended the supplementary appropriation for transcription fees by \$35,000 in the Appropriations Committee because we did....made that corrective Amendment in a previous Bill. The number of transcribed reports ...the proceedings paid by the State increased faster than was anticipated....fiscal '74....and in the large part, increase was due to transcriptions for indigent individuals found guilty in delinquency pro-



ceedings that were transcripts and misdemeanor cases where all jail sentences could be imposed. According to the administrative office of the Illinois Courts, the expenditure for reporter fees was approximately \$287,000 as of May 1 of '74. The original deficiency request is reduced to reflect the current estimate and it was amended accordingly. This Bill came out of committee with a vote of 20-0. I would ask for your favorable response."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Beaupre: "I don't quite understand why these two Bills aren't together. You've got 1320 and 1321, which apparently deal with the same subject matter? Could you explain that?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, I can't answer that. But, we did correct it in the appropriation committee. As far as one as far as transcription and the other one as far as court reporters are concerned. And, I just gave you the....according to the administrative offices for the Illinois courts, what their anticipated efficiency would be....and it was amended accordingly."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Williams 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', one 'nay' 4 voting 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1347."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1347, Davis, an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Fair Employment Practices Commission."



Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen Senate Bill 1347 does not change the total appropriation. It was brought about because the commission's appropriation Bill was divisionalized for the first time last year and some adjustments had to be made. This Bill came out of the Appropriations Committee with a unanimous vote. Doesn't change the amount one bit."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. 'Aye' for Representative Epton.....Epton, 'aye'. Is there any.....Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', 3 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1356."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1356, Grieman, ...is he here? A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Institute of Environmental Quality, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Grieman."

Grieman: "Mr. Speaker, that Bill 1356, amends the fiscal year 1974 appropriation for the Institute of Environmental Quality. It transfers approximately \$6000 between the line items and does not change the bottom line. It passed the Appropriation Committee by a vote of 20-0. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett is recognized."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Juckett: "In your first Bill here, does this ....what line



line items does it transfer money from?"

Grieman: "It transfers it from some contractual ....some services that were not used and makes a slight increase in salary adjustments which were authorized apparently. As well as Retirement Fund and other things relating to employment. The services, however, were not cut down. They have the same quality of service and the same number of programs."

Juckett: "It increased the salaries and the contribution to Social Security from contractual.....?"

Grieman: "From the services that they had....yeah..... machines they used less, other items they used less...."

Juckett: "Now, what are they going to do without those contractual items?"

Grieman: "They testified before the committee that those items were not used to the same extent, Mr. Juckett, that they were ....that they had anticipated."

Juckett: "Now, was the increase in the ....the personal service items and the ....contributions for Social Security ....was that a result of the ....cost of living increase that was authorized?"

Grieman: "That's correct."

Juckett: "Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion, if not the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Must have a bad Bill there, Representative. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 148 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1376."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1376, an Act making an additional appropriation to the Illinois Legislative Council, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative



Deavers,"

Deavers: "Senate Bill 1376 appropriates \$35,625 to the Legislative Council for the expenses of moving to and furnishing new offices in the State Office Building.... and I move for its passage."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record, Juckett 'aye'. On this question there are 134 'aye' and no 'nay'....this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Maragos 'aye' on the last Bill. 1378..... Bluthardt 'aye'....."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1378, an Act making a supplemental appropriation for certain ordinary and contingent expenses of State Government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker this has to do with the supplemental appropriation for the expenses of State Government and I would ask for its support."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?.....How much is involved and who gets it?"

Speaker Murphy: "Do you wish to reply to that, Representative Maragos?"

Maragos: "Would you pass it until I get the Bill out because I just got in from a committee meeting....,so I haven't had the Bill in front of me...."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1379."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1379, an Act making a supplemental appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement, Third Reading of the



Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Chairman, this is a significant Bill. It's a supplemental appropriation of \$25,000 to the Department of Law Enforcement...and it's for the repayment of the cost of expediting criminals from beyond the limits of the State of Illinois to the local governmental units. It was enthusiastically and unanimously endorsed by the appropriation Committee...and there are no known reputable opponents to it."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? I guess you're right, Roscoe. The question is, shall this Bill pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', 4 'nays', and one voting 'present',.... this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Lawrence wish recognition?"

Cunningham: "I was in error, Mr. Chairman, there were four reputable opponents. I didn't intend in any to reflect in their judgment."

Speaker Murphy: "Roscoe, you've got more votes than usual. You're getting better all of the time. We'll go back to Senate Bill 1378. It's been read a Third time..... Representative Maragos is answering a question for Representative Juckett."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in answer to the question by Representative Juckett, House Bill 1378 appropriates \$200,000 to be given to the State Comptroller for reimbursing the counties under the Juvenile Court Act. And, it becomes effective immediately under the law."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Juckett."



Juckett: "How many counties are involved?"

Maragos: "As far as I know, all of the counties in the State."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think it's only one county, it's only Cook County. And, they don't have the money going through the judges up there and all of the other counties, I'm told, the judges in that county, handle this amount of money. So, maybe the Sponsor could shed a little more light on this."

Maragos: "The State Comptroller has the control of this to reimburse the county. If anyone wants to adopt this system they can become eligible for it. But, this..... we're not going to be able to change the substantive provisions of the Juvenile Court Act out of this appropriation. This merely appropriates what has been substantively done. So, the fact that Cook County benefits .....any other county can benefit if they adopt the same system as well. But, each county is determined to use its own way of fighting these particular ..... administering these particular Acts. But, the fact it's for Cook County is not in itself sufficient to vote against this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this money is a supplemental appropriation to a program passed when we passed the Juvenile Court Act back in 1969. It allows the State to supplement the employees working in juvenile court work, up to \$300 a month, in each of the counties. The money goes directly to the county treasurers. It's applicable in every county in the State."

Speaker Murphy: "Does that answer your question or problem.... Representative Juckett? Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'.. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record."



On this question there are 146 'ayes', no 'nays', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1279.....1279."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1279, Chapman, an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the University Civil Service Merit Board, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1279 appropriates \$391,000,000 for the fiscal year to the University Civil Service Merit Board for its ordinary and contingent expenses. I would ask for a....."

Clerk Selcke: " Turn her on.....turn her on... "

Speaker Murphy: "Is she on? You're on again Jean."

Chapman: ".....I would appreciate support for this measure please. Who wants to hear anything more about it?"

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Romie Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield to a question."

Speaker Murphy: "Jean will yield."

Chapman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Murphy: "She indicates she will."

Palmer: "The Digest indicates it's \$391,800, an I .....wrong. ....on that...?"

Chapman: "Oh! I beg your pardon. I beg your pardon. You are right.....I increased.....somewhat....the appropriation for this Merit Board."

Palmer: "Well.....what...How much is appropriated?"

Chapman: "It was amended in the Senate to \$391,800."

Palmer: "It's the same way. All right. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'.....Have all voted who wish? Davis 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 116 'ayes', 4 'nays', one voting 'present'. This Bill having received





the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Maragos 'aye'. Senate Bill 1421 is not on your Calendar. It was left off by an accident but it is supposed to be on today's Calendar. So the next Bill is Senate Bill 1421."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1421, an Act making supplemental appropriations for certain distributive funds of the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Mann 'aye' on the last Bill. Okay. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1421 provides for an appropriation of \$67,000,000 for a deficiency in the Department of Public Aid. With the addition of this \$67,000,000 the appropriation for '74 is still \$4,000,000 less than it was in '73.....and as most of you know and have read that it is important that we get this Bill out of the House today so that the Senate can concur in the Amendment tomorrow or otherwise their checks will not be going out next week. And, I would appreciate your favorable support."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Murphy: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "I realize that this perhaps is not directed to the right person but it seems to me that the Walker administration is rather consistently underestimated what welfare expenditures would be and I'm wondering if you have any indication from the Department of Public Aid whether next year they intend to include the extra amount of money which presently at least project privately to me will be needed to pay the bills or are they going to come back next spring and come in for another supplemental?"

Campbell: "Well I can't anticipate what is going to happen but I do know that the '75 fiscal appropriation Bill is being



heard in the Senate at the present time. And, what Amendments are being offered, I really don't know."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it would seem....if I may speak on the Bill, Mr. Speaker.....It would seem to me that to be intellectually honest, at least one branch of government should be intellectually honest, and if the executive branch continues to underestimate the amount that will be spent on welfare, it seems to me that the Legislature ought to have the good sense to pass the Bill that will pay for the full year's Bill and then if the Governor wishes to be hypocritical he can apply a reduction veto and then let him come back to us next spring and tell us that he was wrong."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House..."

Speaker Murphy: "Could I have a little quiet. You know we're spending quite a little money here and....I think just out of respect for all we're spending we ought to be a little quiet..."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I do appreciate your thoughtfulness on this matter....and I do want to say a word or two on behalf of my fellow Representative, Chuck Campbell. I promised him that I wouldn't say anything against his Bill....but, I just wanted him to know I'm thinking a lot about it and I wish I hadn't promised him. ....And, I would like to predict that unless we do something about these Gentlemen over in that place, that Department, that we're going to have it shortly up around two billion dollars a year....and I predict that within two years."

Speaker Murphy: "We're glad you kept your word and didn't speak about it....Representative Borchers...Ha..Ha.... The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when I came down to the Legislature four years



the question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. The Gentleman from Winnebago....'no': Thank you. Have All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record, On this question there is 116 'ayes', 10 'nays', 6 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Giorgi, you want Senate Bill 1258 called? No?.....Okay. Senate Bill, Second Reading, Senate Bill 1260. Is Representative Barry in his seat. Are you going to handle that, Representative Lechowicz? No?.....Take that out of the record. Senate Bill 1349. Representative Schraeder. Is Representative Schraeder on the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah. ....Senate Bill 1349, an Act to amend Sections 1, 2, 3 and 4 of an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill....One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1349, page 6, and so forth."

Speaker Murphy: "One second, Representative Schraeder, Representative Flinn, I'm real sorry, did you want recognition? The Gentleman from St. Clair..."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I only wanted to correct something that took place when Representative Skinner was speaking, he was speaking on the other Bill as if this was the first deficiency Appropriation and as a member of the Legislative Advisory Committee on Public Aid, I recall a deficiency appropriation every year. I think that the record should be noted that Representative was wrong in assuming that this was the first deficiency appropriation in Public Aid. That's all I wanted to talk about earlier."

Speaker Murphy: "Forgive me, Representative Flinn, I absolutely overlooked that. Representative Skinner, from McHenry,



do you wish to reply to that?"

Skinner: "Yes....yes, Mr. Speaker, my name having been used in debate, I would rise to a point of personal privilege. The point I'm trying to make is that Dr. Edelman has told me that the present budget does not include approximately \$70,000,000 that he knows is going to be needed next year. Now, either we're going to be honest or we're going to be dishonest. Now, if we are going to be a bunch of hypocrits, it seems to that the people in the State of Illinois ought to know it. Now, I'm willing to give the Governor the benefit of the doubt on this supplemental appropriation that we just passed. on Public Aid, but if a supplemental appropriation comes in next year and we've not included about \$70,000,000 .....\$60 to 70,000,000 more than was in his budget this year, it would seem to me that the present administration would stand, at least in my mind, as a bunch of hypocrits."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea.

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's very simple. If the Gentleman feels the budget is \$70,000,000 short, let him just put in an Amendment to add \$70,000,000."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. We'll proceed to the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder. We're on..... The Gentleman from McHenry wishes to reply, I guess."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if I might. I keep being referred to in debate, Mr. Speaker and I would rise to a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I thought we were on Senate Bill 1349 which has nothing to do with Public Aid."



Speaker Murphy: "Well, we are, Representative Lechowicz, but."

Lechowicz: "....And, you call the Sponsor....of the Bill.."

Speaker Murphy: "I passed by Representative Flinn in accident and he has made a statement and we are now answering the statement. So finish your statement, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the point I am attempting to make and I will continue to attempt to make, is that I am not the one that has said an extra \$70,000,000 will be needed next year, it is the ....it is Mr. Edelman, who is the Walker Administration Spokesman on Public Aid. Now, if he is going to tell me in private that we need another \$70,000,000 than it seems to me that the Walker Administration ought to have the good guts to stand up and say in public that they are going to need another \$70,000,000 next year and when and if that deficiency appropriation comes, I hope this Body,...those of us who remain in this Body, will have the guts enough to stand up and say 'no'."

Speaker Murphy: "I will proceed to the Amendment. Representative from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, there is an Amendment from the Committee I believe."

Speaker Murphy: "A Committee Amendment? You move its adoption?"

Schraeder: "I move its adoption."

Speaker Murphy: "And the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos...."

Maragos: "I'd like to know from either the Sponsor or the Appropriations Committee, what does the Amendment do? Because I have some hard questions to ask of the Department of Corrections in this whole area."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn, can you answer that question?...Representative Washburn, can you answer the question that has been



raised about this Amendment? Number 1 to Senate Bill 1349; what does the Amendment do, it is a Committee Amendment?"

Washburn: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it grants.....reduces the transfers by about \$50,000. And, it restores the original amount to the Illinois State Penitentiary.....and the Pontiac Branch, Personal Services, and the Juvenile Reception and Diagnostic Center commodities.....Just reduces the transfer by about \$50,000....to those funds."

Speaker Murphy: "Does that answer the question, Representative Maragos?"

Maragos: "I'd like to ask, does it have to do anything with the division of the community centers?"

Washburn: "None at all. Pontiac State Penitentiary and Joliet."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, at this time, I would like to go on record to state that this is not the time to bring this up because we really are amending an appropriations Bill, but I would like the whole House to know that there have been about 25 Legislators from the Chicago area and other parts of the State who have asked the Director of the Department of Corrections for an appointment to discuss the policy issues dealing with the communications and community centers and as of this date we have not received the courtesy of a reply....And, I'm putting the Department of Corrections on notice that if they have any representatives in the House today, that I'm going to fight the appropriation Bill when it comes to Third Reading until we get some satisfactory answers in this whole area as to what they are doing with the community centers and with their regionalization programs. So, I'm just putting the House on notice at this time and if there are any members from the Department of Corrections I would like them to also know that I speak for about 20



other State Representatives at this time."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the ...I want to clarify it in my own mind that the question asked by the previous speaker is absolutely correct. Now, does the Department of Corrections...is this their entire appropriation,..or, ...are there some coming through that has to do with other aspects of their operation?"

Washburn: "No, this is not their ordinary and contingent expense appropriation. This isn't for the year. It is just a transfer of funds in the current year."

Caldwell: "Okay."

Washburn: "No, this isn't their full year's appropriation Bill. It will follow later."

Caldwell: "Thank you. What I wanted....just briefly, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to add to the statement of Representative Maragos and indicate that there are a number of Legislators both in the House and the Senate who are going to take a very close look at the appropriations dealing with the Department of Corrections because we ....somewhat upset because they're about 50 community.... committees scattered throughout the State where the Director has issued a new edict which puts them practically out of business and we do not believe that these volunteer agencies which have served the State in the area of delinquency prevention for some 40 years ...should be automatically dispensed with....because of a new system which is titled...'A Regional System'...and there seems to be no appropriate place for the use of these voluntary agencies. And, we are going to be looking at it very closely and are hopeful that the differences can be reconciled before the budget comes before this Body. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



Peters....Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise on my myself really, to concur with the statements made by Representative Maragos and Representative Caldwell in regard to our interest in the attempt by the Department of Corrections to change what we feel to be a very vital and important program in the City of Chicago. I, for one, am very saddened and perturbed at the fact that the administrators and executive directors of the agencies in question have not been able to receive proper answers from the department nor have their letters, nor, to my knowledge letters from individual legislators been answered to our satisfaction in terms of answering our questions. I am not opposed to this particular appropriation, it is merely a transfer. However, I fully intend to vote 'no' on this appropriation to give some indication to the Department of Corrections of my personal feeling in regard to their attempt."

Speaker Murphy: "Does the Gentleman from Peoria wish to close the debate? Representative Brummet, do you wish recognition?"

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, I have a question of the Sponsor. I don't know whether he can answer it or not. But, in transferring this fund, will this help out their credit situation. I have found out that they are very lax on paying their bills to their suppliers and in more than one time I run into it and the Legislators are getting blamed for it and say they are not getting us our money. Now, I'm quite sure we've made the appropriation, as we should have in the past, and it's not our fault and I for one am getting sick and tired of getting blamed for it. Thank you."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. The Gentleman from ....Oh! Representative D'Arco, do you wish recognition?"





D'Arco: "Yes, Mr. Speaker.....I would like to ask Representative Schraeder if he will grant me permission to send this Bill back to Second Reading, once it is on Third Reading, for purposes of adding an Amendment?"

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Peoria wish to reply to that?"

Schraeder: "I don't have any objection. But I'd want to know what it's about. I wouldn't want him to cut the appropriation to pieces....if he's got some suggestion that's fruitful I'd be most happy to do so."

D'Arco: "Well, the Amendment would have to do with the \$500,000 grant in aid that was appropriated to the Department. We wanted to make it more specific and make sure the money goes to the community committees that they said it would go to."

Schraeder: "That particular appropriation is in the main appropriation and not the Amendatory appropriation. So that's a different appropriation entirely....That's a different appropriation for the department. That's not in this one."

D'Arco: "Right. Exactly."

Schraeder: "So, it couldn't be amended in this Amendment."

D'Arco: "Exactly. That's correct."

Speaker Murphy: "Now, you've already spoke on this once, Representative Maragos. I beg your pardon? Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "The only reason Mr. D'Arco asked Mr. Schraeder is to make sure that the grant-in-aids are not considered in this and there has to be an additional Amendment and he should have that courtesy if he was going to do it. That's why he's asking him. He's not trying to cut the appropriation, but he wants to make sure that if there are any grant-in-aid programs that they are locked in. And, I'm sorry....Thank you for the privilege.."

Speaker Murphy: "All right. The Gentleman from Peoria, Rep-



representative Schraeder, moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Contrary 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill 1349 on page 5 by deleting line 14 and inserting in lieu there of the following....and so forth.."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment that would change the Juvenile R and D Center title to the River Oaks Correctional Center and provide for a dollar amount transfer to the River Oaks Correctional Center ... formerly the juvenile facility and I move its adoption."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Will County, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to Amendment #2. And, I'd like to give the House...Members of the House a little better background on the reason why Amendment #2 has been proposed. Back in December of 1973, the Walker Administration announced that they planned to change over the Juvenile Reception and Diagnostic Center in Joliet, to an adult minimum security facility, a so-called Vienna of the North. This was done without any prior consultation with any local officials, or any of the residents of the City of Joliet. They've taken a....the proposed changeover involves a juvenile center located in a residential area between two grade schools. One parochial and one public grade school. It also is directly across the street from one of the public parks of the Joliet Park District. The City of Joliet citizenry through public hearing expressed unanimous opposition to the changeover, which includes the Chief of Police, the Fire Department, the City Council of the City of Joliet, all of the area Legislators and just about every governmental official in the City of Joliet. There's absolutely no one for the changeover, nevertheless



the proposal was to be put into effect by January. Because of the pressure it was postponed until after the primary election where again without any warning whatsoever the planned changeover was reinstated. The City of Joliet filed a suit to restrain the changeover.... the State from making this changeover because of an alleged zoning violation. The court in...several weeks ago, entered a temporary injunction restraining the State from making the changeover because of the alleged zoning violation. That temporary injunction is still in effect. It was attempted to have been lifted last Friday, the court refused to do so, the matter will go to trial on June 4th. I certainly feel that it's precipitous for this House to adopt Amendment #2 to this Bill, 1349, which would in effect release money to pay for a changeover which is restrained by courts. The Comptroller, because of the provisions of the finance of the Finance Act has refused to honor vouchers because of the fact that this General Assembly has appropriated money for a juvenile center and not for an adult minimum security center. Consequently, I think that while a court of this State has enjoined the State from making this changeover, that we certainly ought not, while that injunction is in effect, to make...to pass this Amendment which in effect will give General Assembly approval of this State changeover. An approval which is totally and absolutely against the wishes of each and every single citizen and governmental official of the City of Joliet. Therefore, I earnestly urge a 'no' vote on Amendment #2."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Kempiners is recognized."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Leinenweber has given excellent reasons to vote 'no' on Amendment 2....but as another Representative from



Joliet and a Representative from whose district this institution is in, I'd like to add one more point. And, that is, the people of Joliet don't feel that the Walker administration has been entirely open with them on the changeover of this diagnostic center from a youth to an adult facility. And, I think there is one message that the people have made very clear in the past few years is that they want straight answers from the people in government. When they ask a question they want to be told yes or no or when they ask a question, when is this going to occur they want to be told it's going to occur by our timetable that says ....this date or that date.... not be told the decision hasn't been made yet and then the next day the decision is announced....and that's what has occurred in this instance. One day an official of the Department of Corrections said we haven't made a decision and then the next day it was announced. The press didn't know this was coming and the people of Joliet didn't know this was coming and I say, 'let's give the Walker Administration a message', and that is we want straight answers to our questions and I urge a 'no' vote on Amendment #2 to this Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "Is there any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Peoria may close the debate....Oh! Representative Sangmeister, I'm sorry....The Gentleman from Will."

Sangmeister: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I also would like to add a voice from this side of the aisle to the defeat of this particular Amendment. The Department of Corrections is attempting to put another Vienna in Joliet. It's just that simple. Now, I have no objections to the way Vienna is run but having been over in Vienna a long time ago it is located such that it can be properly operated. This is no place in Joliet for another Vienna and I ask from this side of the aisle to give us some help in de-



feating this Amendment."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Peoria may close the debate."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, apparently there seems to be some controversy. I'd like to clear the air on a few of them. First of all the speaker on the other side of the aisle mentioned the fact that the people in the Joliet area did not know this was going to happen. Let me refresh the Gentleman's mind. The Joint Senate-House Committee on corrections, under the leadership of Senator Graham, a Member of the Republican Party, met in Joliet at the wishes of the Joliet community. This problem was discussed there, they knew in advance, even before the members of the commission did, it was well aired, and so the commission on the...on the advise of the corrections ... are trying to get transfer of funds to pay people's salary. Now, if you're talking about holding people's salary in abeyance because we have something that some individual may oppose, then I think we're wrong. We've got State employees that are waiting for their pay and probably won't get paid if we refuse to supplement this or change this appropriation Amendment. So, I would say in closing that this was at a public hearing, there's no doubt, there's no behind the door secrecy. This has been ironed out in the Senate, Senator Graham, a Republican who was Chairman of that Commission did an excellent job on presentation. The people in Joliet have no need to be worried. The Department of Corrections have programs such as these throughout the State. They happen to be in my community they are in other parts of the State and we're trying to eliminate crime and corruption....I think by this type of program the Department of Corrections has instituted, is well worth while. I think the people being transferred there, that will be paid these wages, are



people who are career people, and do a good job for the State of Illinois and I for one don't feel we should hold up their salaries and I would on that basis, ask for approval of this Amendment #2 of Senate Bill 1349."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Peoria moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1349. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. A Roll Call vote is being taken. The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Yes, I'd like to explain why I'm voting for this Amendment, if I may? As I understand it, this Amendment is going to pay the salaries of the people at this institution. We're really putting the pressure in the wrong place. I certainly disagree with a number of things sometimes that the Department of Corrections does, but I don't think that we need to vent our rath on some innocent employees of the State of Illinois. And, that's why I'm voting 'aye' and I would hope that some other people would vote 'aye' too. Put the pressure where it belongs."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder to explain his vote."

Shraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'll be very brief because I don't want to delay the House, but apparently the problem here is not with the appropriation or the transfer of funds, it goes beyond that, and I have had my differences with the Governor's office administration, but I don't think we should use the employees of the State of Illinois as pawns in a political situation. So, until we get that rectified, I'd like to take this out of the record."

Speaker Murphy: "Take it out of the record.....Wait....wait....wait a minute, we can't take that out of the record. All right, we've adopted Amendment #1, to Senate Bill 1349, this is Amendment 2, if you wish to withdraw Amendment 2, is that what you wish to do? Withdraw Amendment



2 at this time; and, hold this Bill on Second Reading? Leave?.....Leave. Senate Bill 1377. Is Representative Barry on the Floor? Do you want to handle that, Representative Lechowicz? All right."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1377, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Legislative Council, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Murphy: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1260. Do you want to handle that one, Representative Lechowicz? 1260. Senate Bill 1260."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1260, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Murphy: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1380."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1380, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation....."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1. This increases the appropriation by approximately \$450,000. This appropriation ...it's \$481,000....This appropriation appropriates the money to pay the approved claims by the Court of Claims and I 'd move for the adoption of the Amendment. These were additional claims approved by the courts between the introduction of the Bill in the Senate and when it arrived in the House."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, amends Senate Bill 1380 on page two and so forth..."

Speaker Murphy: "Any discussion? If not the Gentleman from Cook moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1380. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Signify by voting 'aye'."



And, those opposed by voting 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading, Senate Bill 1400, Is Representative Gene Hoffman on the Floor? Representative Berman, will you handle this? Senate Bill 1400. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative....."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1400, a Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations....."

Speaker Murphy: "Hold that.....wait.....take that out of the record....Senate Bill 1400, take it out of the record, Senate Bill 1422. Is Representative Campbell on the Floor? Chuck? Senate Bill 1422."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1422, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Public Aid, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Murphy: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1451."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 4151, Ryan, a Bill for an Act to amend sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Attorney General. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Murphy: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1463."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1463, Hanahan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to make appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the Lieutenant Governor, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Murphy: "Are there any Amendments to that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Murphy: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. With leave of the House, we will return to Senate Bills, Second Reading.....Third Reading rather. Senate Bill 1258. Do I have leave? I hear no objections. Go





back to Senate Bill 1258. Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1258, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Legislative Reference Bureau, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Murphy: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that Senate Bill 1258 be adopted as read. No Amendments. It funds the Reference Bureau."

Speaker Murphy: "Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall this Bill pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nay'. Senate Bill 1258. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 128 'ayes' and no 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Speaker 'aye'. Mann 'aye'. Tell it to him. Mann 'aye'. Macdonald 'aye'. Who's that back there? Holloway 'aye'. Dick Walsh 'aye'. Jaffe 'aye'. The House will be at ease for just a few minutes. ....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz. Do you want something? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bill Walsh, William Walsh."

Walsh, William: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand in recess for thirty minutes for the purpose of a Republican conference in Room M-3."

Speaker Murphy: "Representative Choate....Recognized .... right here in the middle aisle."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker....and I hope that you Democrats will listen just a second. The Democrats will have a conference in Room M-5. Room M-5....,and hopefully we will be out within thirty minutes. So, if you'll come to M-5 immediately for a Democratic Conference....it will be appreciated."

Speaker Murphy: "All right....The House will be recessed for



purposes of conferences on both sides of the aisle..... until the hour of 3:40 p.m. The Gentleman from Cook Representative Walsh, did you wish to make an announcement?

Walsh: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, just an introduction.....that the Lake Zurich Junior High School is in the Gallery to the rear and they are represented by the Acting Speaker, Representative Murphy and Representative Deuster and Representative Pierce....."

Speaker Murphy: "Thank you. All right. The Republicans in Room M-3, Democrats Room M-5."

RECESS.....

Clerk O'Brien: "Softball practice has been canceled due to the rain."

Clerk Selcke: "I have a pair of spectacles that were found in the men's washroom. If anybody lost their glasses."

Speaker Blair: "All right. We'll be coming back in in just about five minutes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The House will come to order. We'll go on Committee Reports now 'til we get settled down."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Washburn, from Appropriations, to which House Bill 2352, 2367 and 2405 were referred, report same back with Amendments thereto with recommendations the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended 'do pass'. Mr. Washburn, from Appropriations, to which Senate Bills 1285, 1316, 1318 and 1319 were referred, report the same back with the recommendations the Bills 'do pass'. Mr. Washburn, from Appropriations, to which Senate Bill 1322 was referred, report the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendations the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended 'do pass'. No further Committee Reports.."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, before we go on with further business,



Representative Kent has an introduction of a very special guest.....we have with us this afternoon. Representative Kent."

Kent: "I would like to introduce to you the 'Miss Dairy Princess of Illinois', LuAnn Free. She is from Liberty, Illinois and her Representatives are Representative Mike McClain, Representative Gale Schisler and Mary Lou Kent. Would you like to say anything."

LuAnn Free: "As the Illinois State Dairy Princess, I represent all the Illinois dairymen and I'd like to give you all a great big 'hello' and just remember June is Dairy Month....is coming up and we'd like all of you to go out to lunch and have a glass of milk. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Members are aware....we're going to come in Session tomorrow at 10:30 in the morning. I know when the Republican Conferenced we discussed a later hour....but we will be coming in at 10:30 tomorrow morning at which time we will take up RTA and other related matters. Okay. The Chairmen of the various committees are now meeting with ....the Leadership from both sides of the aisle trying to rearrange the committee schedule which were originally planned for tomorrow morning and for the rest of the day we'll be on House Bills ...Second and House Bills Third....and try and get this Calendar whipped a little bit. And, we'll be....we also have Committees for today but we'll try and get out of here at a reasonable hour today.... so your committees can still meet. Are they coming....After adjournment today. Whatever.... Representative Capuzi, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Human Resources, which is supposed to meet tomorrow afternoon at four will be postponed and the meeting will be held the following Wednesday....at four."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Randolph, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Randolph: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Revenue Committee will not meet tomorrow dueing to so many conflicts. The Rules Committee and Appropriaitons. We will meet today, immediately after the Session, just to hear the out of town witnesses that our present. We will postpone all other Bills until next Wednesday, at 3 p.m., next Wednesday afternoon at 3 p.m....We will not be in Session next Monday or Tuesday....So, we'll have our Revenue hearing at 3 p.m. next Wednesday."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, the Motor Vehicle Committee .....Motor Vehicles Committee will meet at 9:30 tomorrow morning, in Room M-4.....Room M-4."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you arise?"

Bluthardt: "For purposes of an announcement. The Elections Committee will meet this evening immediately following adjournment. If you'll get there in a hurry, we only have two Bills, we should be out of there in an hour or two, so M-5, immediately following adjournment today."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask leave of the House to make a correction on a Bill that we arranged to go before Rules Committee. It should be House Bill 2689 and it's posted as House Bill 2699. I would ask leave of the House to make this correction noted so it will appear appropriately before the Rules Committee at their next meeting."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman will be given leave. Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"



Mann: "Is the light on?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for the purpose of Tabling House Resolution 914 regarding the renewal of the contract of Dr. Redmond. The objectives and goals of the Resolution have been achieved. Dr. Redmond's four year contract has not been renewed and the Board has indicated that it will be....will conduct a nation wide search for a successor. I'd also like to remind Members of the House who didn't read the Resolution, that while we sought a successor for a more dynamic Leadership, we did pay our appreciation to Dr. Redmond for his service and his good intentions.....Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Hearing no objections, House Resolution 914 will be canceled. ....Is that 914, Representative Mann?"

Mann: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pierce: "For an announcement, Mr. Speaker. The House Energy Crisis Investigating Committee will have a dinner meeting this evening at the State House Inn, in one of the rooms at the State House Inn.....at 7 p.m. or immediately after adjournment if we go that long. But, it looks like we'll be through prior to 7 p.m.....That's at the the State House Inn, the House Energy Crisis Investigating Committee. A very important meeting. "

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like leave of the House to suspend the appropriate Rule so that House Bills 24, 25 and 26.....under Special Session #1 could be heard during the next executive Committee Meeting which is 9 o'clock in the morning. They were inadvertently left off and not posted and I



have the sanction of the Chairman of the Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ebbesen, I think perhaps you should put your Motion when we go into the Special Session...."

Ebbesen: "Is that right after this....Regular Session?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes....When we adjourn the Regular we'll go into Special, and then you should put your Motion,"

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Neff, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker....there will be....I'd like to announce, a Transportation Committee Hearing will be held as soon as we adjourn in Room M-5. I hope we can all attend. We only have three Bills. They are all important Bills and I would ask that all of the Members of the Transportation Committee....please try to be there as soon as we adjourn in Room M-5."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now, the Appropriations Committee was originally scheduled for 9 o'clock in the morning but we're coming back into Session earlier than we had anticipated so the Appropriations Committee will have to meet at 8 o'clock in the morning in Room D-1. And, I wish you would pay attention because I'm going to ask leave to have the following Bills heard tomorrow that haven't previously been posted. Now, I'll read the Bill number and the Sponsor. The following Bills will be heard tomorrow. House Bill 2351, 2361 and 2365 which we didn't get to today and I'm asking leave to hear the following Bills. House Bill 2000, Schraeder; House Bill 2001, Schraeder; House Bill 2069, Polk; House Bill 2106, Randolph; 2504, Waddell; 2505, Waddell; 2507, Epton; 2786, Randolph; 2717, McGrew; 2802, Berman; and House



Bills 1114 and 1115, Boyle; 2501, Schneider; and, Senate Bill 1317, Sevcik. So those Bills will be heard tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock in Room D-1 and I ask that the Sponsors of the Bills be there and the Membership be there promptly at 8. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18, for the purposes of hearing the Bills which he stated in the Appropriations Committee tomorrow morning. Are there any objections to using the Attendance Roll Call as the Affirmative Roll Call? Hearing none, then it will be the Roll Call. We're going to use the Attendance Roll Call as the Affirmative Roll Call to suspend the Rule for Representative Washburn's Motion. Representative Soderstrom, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Soderstrom: "Mr. Speaker, just an announcement to the Members of the Education Committee, Elementary and Secondary Division. We will meet today as soon as this Session is over.....in Room C-1, State Office Building. The Chair would appreciate your attendance."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further announcements? If not, let's get to House Bills, Second Reading. Representative Jones for what purpose do you rise?"

Jones, J.D.: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to make an announcement about the report of the Senior Citizen's Tax Relief Subcommittee of the Revenue Committee, which is on your desk for study. And, included in there are two Bills, one that improves the circuit breaker, it lowers the trigger figure. And, the other one is the deferred plan where senior citizens could defer taxes until the time of their death and then it be paid by the estate. So, all of those who'd like to be .....join as Sponsors, we have a sheet here, and so does Representative Lundy and Representative Skinner."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGrew, for what purpose do



you arise, Sir?"

McGrew: "I rise to make a Motion, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 (b) to hear House Bill 1693, in the Motor Vehicles Laws Commission. I've talked to Mr. Juckett about this, it's a school bus safety Bill. I've also talked to Dick Walsh and the Leadership on this side of the aisle.....would like to have it heard tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman's moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purpose of hearing House Bill 1693 in the Motor Vehicle Committee, Representative Shea, the Gentleman from Cook."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't quite understand Mr. Randolph's announcement with regard to Revenue. Are we hearing all Bills, no Bills or..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Shea, let me get Representative McGrew's thing out of the way first."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections to using the Attendance Roll Call as the Affirmative Vote, with respect to Representative McGrew's Motion. Hearing none, Rule 18 is suspended and House Bill 1693 will be posted in the Motor Vehicle Committee for hearing tomorrow morning. Now, is Representative Randolph on the Floor? Paul,.....Representative Ranolph.....Do you want to answer Representative Shea's inquiry? He's coming up there to talk to you Gerry. Are there any further announcements? Okay. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 1501, a Bill for an Act to amend Section 2 and to add Section 2.1 and so forth to the Department of Revenue....First Reading of the Bill. Senatè Bill 1539, an Act making a supplemental appropriation for paying of new Members of the General Assembly, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose





do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, on Senate Bill.....was that 1501....  
the first one?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah.....1501..."

Giorgi: ".....which is a Fary and Giorgi Bill.....I'd like to  
move for the....suspension of the appropriate Rule to  
put that Bill on Second Reading because it is a transfer  
within the Department of Revenue to help the Lottery  
Bill get started."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative  
Walsh."

Giorgi: "It is okay...."

Speaker Telcser: "Have you cleared it 'Zeke'?"

Giorgi: "It doesn't matter, Bill doesn't care."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative  
William Walsh."

Clerk Selcke: "Advance it to Second."

Walsh: "Is this the appropriate....will the Gentleman yield  
to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Giorgi "It passed...within the Revenue Department .....for  
the Lottery Bill.....'Bill'."

Walsh: ".....Well, yeah.....I object to that, I think that  
Bill ought to go to the .....this is the appropriation  
for that. Is that correct?"

Giorgi: "It's a transfer within the Department of Revenue,  
to implement the Lottery....."

Walsh: "Yeah, I think that ought to go to the appropriations  
Committee, I think they have an obligation to look at  
that and I certainly object to the Gentleman's Motion  
to suspend the Rule."

Giorgi: "Well, then, would I have your permission to get it  
heard tomorrow or posted so it would be heard next week?"

Walsh: "I don't see any need for doing that. There's time  
enough for the six and a half day posting rule to be



adhered to. I see no reason.....this is a Senate Bill, not a House Bill. This can be heard next week.....or even the week after next and be acted upon..."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, House Bills, Second Reading....I'm sorry, I thought you were finished.....'Zeke', did you want....Representative Giorgi....are you sure....Okay, House Bills, Second Reading....House Bill 2056,"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill, 2056, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections 3 and 7 of Crime Victim's Compensation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment, Amends House Bill 2056, page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, this is Amendment #1 put on in Committee and I move its adoption....to House Bill 2056."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2056....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman...."

Schlickman: "May we have an explanation of the Amendment?"

Rayson: "The explanation is this, ....the Attorney General's Office and the judges of the Court of Claims met and suggested that there would be some basis to improve this Bill and this Bill was filed last fall. We put on this one Amendment and then the concensus of the committee was to put on another Amendment revising this. Now, for example; under the Victim of Crimes Act, you have one year to report or file your intention to file a claim....They suggested three months, we rechanged it by Amendment #2 ....to six months. ...There were some other changes that don't seriously effect the Bill but they seem to be helpful in the administration of the Act."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schlickman...."

Schlickman: "You made reference to Amendment #2 and I think we're voting upon....or about to vote on Amendment #1, aren't we?"



Rayson: "See if you can find that?.....Yes, ...."

Schlickman: "What is Amendment #1?"

Rayson: "Well, Amendment #1 changes the time of filing from one year to three months.....the intent to file.....It changes the language with regard to .....who is a family householder that is exempt from the provisions of the Bill.....And, that's also changed in Amendment #2 to comp..... Changed back to its original condition. They thought that former spouses should also be exempt from the Bill and that's contained in Amendment #1."

Schlickman: "Well, you're describing the Bill as it's contained in the Digest, before your Amendment. I'd still like to know what Amendment #1 contains."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Has he answered your questions, Representative Schlickman?"

Rayson: "Amendment #1 says you could....instead of one year to file your intent to file complaint....file them three months.....It also said that a former spouse is considered part of the family for those to be exempt from coverage under the Act. These are going to be changed by Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2056. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Rayson, amends House Bill 2056 page one and so forth..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, this is an additional Amendment put on the Bill. It changes somewhat....Amendment #1, to the extent that Representative Schlickman questioned about, in two provisions. One with regard to what is considered family people not entitled to coverage under the Act....."



and changing the date of notice to show intent to file, from six months . . . . er. . . . from three months to six months. And, I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2056, all in favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2129."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2129, Katz, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections 103 and 4. . . . point zero three, of the Regional Transportation Authority Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. . . . 2133."

Clerk Selcke: "I've got it right here. 2133. . . . Totten, A Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 2340."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2340, Craig, amends the Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 2340. . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's offered to move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 2340. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2349."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2349, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Aging. . . . Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. . . . amends House Bill 2349 on page



one and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Redmond."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2349 is the appropriation for the Department of the Aging. There is a Committee Amendment and I would move for the adoption of the Committee Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2349, all in favor 'aye', opposed 'no',...the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 2355,"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2355, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of certain agencies of State government, Second Reading of the Bill, Five Committee Amendments. Amendment #1, amends House Bill 2355 page one line ten and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah. Committee Amendment #1,"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan with the Amendment."

Ryan: "Amendment #1 to House Bill 2355 reduces the appropriation to the Department of Public Health to the sum of \$275,630. I would move for the adoption of Amendment of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2355."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2355. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #2 amends House Bill 2355, page one by deleting line 29..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan....Amendment #2, Representative."

Ryan: "Yes, Amendment #2 increases the appropriation to local



health governments by \$950,000 and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2355. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, we're amending appropriation Bills and I can't hear what's going on. Now, is this a Committee Amendment?"

Speaker Telcser: "Right."

Clerk Selcke: "Did we adopt that Amendment?"

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion, signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. No? Wish a Roll Call? The amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #3, amends House Bill 2355, page 4 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Amendment #3 to House Bill 2355, appropriates \$350,000 for new referendum county and multiple health departments in the State and I move for the adoption of Amendment #3. "

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill"

Hill: "I believe you have the wrong Amendment. Don't you want to Table Amendment #3? That's the one that sets up \$350,000 for the various health departments in the State of Illinois and I was led to believe you were going to Table that one.....and bring in an Amendment for \$100,000"

Ryan: "I don't know who led you to believe that I was going to..."

Hill: "Pardon..."

Ryan: "I don't know who led you to believe that....Representative Hill. Not me. This is a Committee Amendment."

Hill: "This is true, but isn't this the Amendment that will



appropriate money for the various county health departments?"

Ryan: "Yes, there's some 16 different new agencies that could be voted on this year and this is to give them money to .....seed money... start up money for any new health departments that may start up."

Hill: "Well, this is true and I was led to believe that I had an agreement that instead of the \$350,000, that you had agreed to \$100,000 seed money."

Ryan: "Who did you have that agreement with, Representative Hill?.....You thought this was for \$100,000, is that it?"

Hill: "I was led to believe that the Amendment that you have here for \$350,000.....would be dropped and another Amendment introduced for \$100,000. Because very little of this money could be utilized this year. These elections are going to take place in November and consequently none of the taxes would be brought in until the following fiscal year."

Ryan: "Yes, after speaking here with my assistant, in the appropriations staff, evidently somebody has made an agreement, Representative Hill and I will move to Table Amendment #3."

Hill: "Table Amendment #3? Thank you."

Ryan: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved to Table Amendment #3. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'....The Amendment is Tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Committee Amendment #4, amends House Bill 2355, on page four..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Amendment #4 to House Bill 2355 increases the appropriation by \$323,950 to replace supply and equipment destroyed in a recent fire. That is also a Committee



Amendment and I move for the adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered for adoption Amendment #4 to House Bill 2355. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no',...The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #5, amends House Bill 2355 on page three by striking ...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Amendment #5 divides the federal funds received by the Department of Public Health....other areas of operations and grants. It doesn't change the total amount. It's just a shift and I move for the adoption of Amendment #5.....Also a Committee Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion. The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 2355. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #6, Ryan, amends House Bill 2355, page four by inserting....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Amendment #6 is a compromise to Amendment #3 and is reduced to \$100,000 and I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 2355. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'....The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #....."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait...wait....wait....wait..Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? We're holding it in limbo with....."

Clerk Selcke: "Did they adopt six?"





Speaker Telcser: "Well,.....okay....And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #7, Ryan...amends House Bill 2355 page two by inserting between lines 34 and...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Amendment #7 adds \$250,000 for the trauma center operation to the Public Health Bill and I move for the adoption of Amendment #7."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "On Amendment #7, this appropriation appears in the Governor's Traffic Safety Coordinating Committee already and these funds come out of the road fund and if you adopt this particular Amendment that Amendment would come out of the General Revenue Fund and these funds are already provided for .....the trauma centers....in the Governor's Traffic Safety Coordinating Committee..... and I would oppose this Amendment."

Ryan: "What Bill are these funds in.....Representative Hill?"

Hill: "I was told that they are already.....it's a line item appropriation in the Governor's Traffic Safety Coordinating Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, do you seek recognition?"

Peters: "No."

Ryan: "I think this should be left in here and I ....I'm going to move for the adoption of this Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I was just going to point out the fact that it is not a Committee Amendment, Amendment #7.....And I have to concur with Representative Hill in that this item is appropriated in a



budget that requires that the money be expended from the Road Fund. And, I would hope that this House would defeat Amendment #7 to this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Sponsor of the Amendment a question."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicated he'll yield."

Giorgi: "...Ryan, are you doing away with the trauma center in Rockford with this Amendment?"

Ryan: "I didn't hear you, Zeke."

Giorgi: "Are you doing away with the trauma center in Rockford with this Amendment?.....Does Senator North know what you're doing?"

Ryan: "I can't understand you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman inquires whether or not this Amendment will do away with the trauma center in Rockford and if Simms and North know about it?"

Ryan: "Absolutely not...Mr. Giorgi. I'd never take the trauma center away from your area."

Giorgi: "That's right...."

Ryan: "I wouldn't be a part of that."

Giorgi: "Who are you taking it away from?"

Ryan: "Won't take it away from anybody. It's to continue the operation of the trauma centers. That's what the Amendment is for."

Giorgi: "How does your Amendment do anything then. Tell me what your Amendment does?"

Ryan: "I can't understand you, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Tell me what your Amendment does then...if it doesn't move it away from the.."

Ryan: "It provides \$250,000 to continue the operation of the trauma center program."

Giorgi: "And, how much was in there last year for the same operation?"



Ryan: ".....\$250,000..."

Giorgi: "Very good, Ryan."

Ryan: "And that includes Rockford."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Shea: "When you...when this Bill was introduced originally, it had the \$250,000 in it, is that correct?"

Ryan: "No, I don't believe it did."

Shea: "All right. So, you're putting in 250 ....,is that correct?"

Ryan: "That's what I'm trying to do, yes."

Shea: "Are you taking this out of General Revenue, or the Road Fund?"

Ryan: "Out of General Revenue."

Shea: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't want this issue to become confused. First of all,....First of all I'd like to point out to you that this amount of money is already in an appropriation Bill. And, in that Bill the funds will come out of the Road Fund. And, now, with this Amendment, what Representative Ryan is trying to do is to put it in this piece of Legislation and take it out of the General Revenue Fund. Now, this is a line Amendment....or rather a line item, in an appropriation Bill already. So, consequently, it provides for these funds and I oppose this particular Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Representative Ryan to close the debate."

Ryan: "Well this is the proper place for this to be funded from, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



Last year there was some talk of discontinuing the operation of the trauma center. This is the public health Bill and I believe that this is the place for this money to be appropriated and handled...through the Public Health Department...and, I move for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 2355."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 2355. All those in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. ....Let's get on the Roll Call. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill to explain his vote."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I have tried to explain to you, this \$250,000 appropriation is a line item appropriation in another Bill and what you're doing, if you vote for this Amendment, is to take \$250,000 out of the General Revenue Fund to provide for the fund, whereas, in the other appropriation Bill you're taking it out of the Road Fund and this is properly where it should come from, And, I would appreciate very much if you would vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "In explanation of my 'no' vote, anyone of us who has had any experience with the trauma centers well recognizes that their value is to save lives on the highway. It's exactly what the system has done to the credit of Governor Ogilvie. And, certainly we've been taking money out of the Road Fund for that specific purpose. It has done a fabulous job .... to the extent of reducing ....even before the 55 mile limit...reducing the death rate on our Illinois highways, by about 8 percent. We've done a job that has been copied by the rest of the State. All of the States, I might suggest to you,



and as I say, to the credit of Governor Ogilvie, for having had the . . . .expertise about him to suggest that this is what we had to do. So, it really makes little sense to expend General Revenue Fund monies, when the Road Fund monies are available for road fund injuries, and deaths that we are trying to save. I respectfully suggest that this just dips into a budget where we shouldn't be fiddling. We've got an agreement among both sides of the aisle, so far as I could determine as a member of appropriations, so far as the budget is concerned, generally, I don't think we ought to be mixing it up with playing political games, with dipping for Road Fund money . . . .from such a budget where it logically belongs."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, anyone else wish to explain their vote? The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think it just makes common sense that we should have the Public Health Department and doctors running the trauma centers rather than a bunch of road engineers. The proper place for this appropriation is in the Public Health Budget and not with a bunch of highway builders. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've just been informed that fiscal year '74 there was \$1,466,600 appropriated in the DOT budget for the operation of trauma centers. This year there is \$1,289,400 for the same operation because the federal funds are only available for three years. The additional \$250,000 is to pick up the slack that we've lost here. And, this isn't any kind of skulduggery and any way to take any money out of the General Revenue Funds, it's



only to keep the trauma centers in operation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters. .... I'm sorry, were you finished, Representative Ryan?....Were you? All right. Has everybody... Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there is 75 'ayes', 72 'nays', 3 answering 'present'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea.....Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hill: "I request that you poll the absentees and verify the Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has ask for a poll of the absentees. As the score comes out of the machine, the Clerk will read the names of the absentees. The names. Mr. Clerk, could you record Representative Skinner as voting 'aye'. Skinner 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is already recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Skinner was already recorded as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Carter, Dee, DiPrima, Douglas, Epton, ..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Epton as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Ewell, Fleck, Giglio, Hanahan, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Emil Jones, Katz, Klosak, Madigan..."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Katz as voting 'no'. Katz, 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Martin, McAvoy, McGah, McLendon, Pappas, Schisler, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Springer, Taylor, Wall Yourell.....Katz, 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Representative Macdonald, for what purpose do you rise?"

Macdonald: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Macdonald recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'present'."

Macdonald: "Vote me 'aye'."



Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'aye', Representative Jones, for what purpose do you rise, Sir, Emil Jones."

Jones, Emil: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Jones, Emil: "Record me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Representative Taylor wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Taylor 'no'....."

Clerk Selcke: "We've now got 77 'ayes', 75 'nays'...."

Speaker Telcser: "There are currently 77 'ayes' and 75 'nays'. Verification has been requested. Will the Clerk please read the Affirmative Roll Call, Clerk..."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Bluthardt, Borchers, Campbell, Capuzi, Clabaugh, Collins, Cunningham, Day...."

Speaker Telcser: "One.....go ahead, Mr. Clerk...."

Clerk Selcke: "Deavers, Deuster, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunn, Dyer, ...Huh....Ebbesen, Epton, Friedland, ...."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute, Mr. Clerk, Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise, Sir."

Hill: "I have agreed with the Sponsor of the Amendment to hold the Bill on Second Reading....until we can work this out and I would like to discontinue the verification."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Gentleman has asked for leave to take the question of Amendment #7 out of the record. If there are no objections, the Bill will remain on the order of Second Reading, with Amendments 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 are adopted. ...."

Clerk Selcke: "Seven is adopted..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, technically 7 is adopted too, by the way.....What? I didn't declare it adopted. No it's not. It's out of the record, No....Amendments 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 have been adopted. Number 4 was Tabled



we're taking action on #7 out of the record,...I had declared it adopted since we're on a verification of a Roll Call. Right."

Clerk Selcke: "Do you want to leave the Roll Call in? What are we doing?.....You're withdrawing Amendment 7."

Speaker Telcser: "For the record Amendment #7 is being withdrawn....at this time. At the request of the Sponsor, 2358 will not be called....House Bill 2360."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait just a minute.....We got all this garbage to clean up.....Well, we're taking the Bill out of the record....at this point...."

Speaker Telcser: "They want to take it all out of the record at this point....right."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2360, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Historical Library, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amendment #1 amends House Bill 2360, page 1, line 10....."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ....House Bill 2360 is the regular expenses of the Historical Libraries. It provides \$1,727,500....Committee Amendment #1 is a simple transfer. It does not change the amount in any way, shape or form. It just .....as I understand it, makes it a little bit easier for the auditors. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2360. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no' the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments Third Reading. House Bill 2362."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2362, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office





of the Governor, Second Reading of the Bill, One Committee Amendment. Amendment #1, amends House Bill, Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move that Amendment #1 be tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved to Table Amendment #1 to House Bill 2362. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the Amendment is Tabled. Further Amendments?

Third Reading. At the request of the Sponsor 2416 will not be called. House Bill 2506."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2506, Epton, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Insurance Laws Study Commission, defining its powers and duties, Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 2506 on page 1, by inserting after line 27 the following."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners. Is that your Amendment, Sir?"

Kempiners: "That's right, Mr. Speaker. This is my Amendment, And, all it does is add something to the Bill that was inadvertently left out in drafting and it adds that the Commission which supervises the policies and operations of the Department of Insurance and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Amendment #1.... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea..."

Shea: "This is the Insurance Study Laws Commission Bill?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Shea: "And, what's that little Amendment you want to offer?"

Kempiners: "It adds....Subparagraph 3,...the policies and operations of the Department of Insurance. It's a listing of the ....different activities that the Commission would supervise and I was told that it was inadvertently left out in the drafting....of the Bill,



It was adopted by the Committee,"

Shea: "Is it in the present Legislation?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Shea: "In other words, it was a technical Amendment?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Shea: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's offered to move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2506. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no', the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading, 2522..."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2522, a Bill for an Act to amend Section 4.03 and 4.04 of the Regional Transportation Authority Act....Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 2653.

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2653, Schlickman. Amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 2754.....No?"

Unknown: "At the request of the Sponsor 2754 will not be called."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 1277, Representative McMaster on the Floor? No..... Do you want that called. Take it out of the Record, Representative Skinner on the Floor? Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Katz: "I'm sorry, I thought you called me.."

Speaker Telcser: "No. I said McMaster..... Representative Skinner on the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah. There he is."

Speaker Telcser: "You don't want it called? Okay. You want yours called, Don? No?.....RTA....RTA...No, we don't



want any RTA. The Transportation Bills will be called tomorrow. "

Clerk Selcke: "Hyde..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hyde, do you want your Bill called? House Bill 2267."

Clerk Selcke: "Give me the Bill. House Bill 2267, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2267 provides a little tax reciprocity. As you know, if you don't pay your real estate taxes on time, you are assessed a penalty. This Bill provides that when a court order ...orders a refund of your taxes that have been paid under protest, that you also should get interest on the money that the county has collected from you, at the rate of 6 percent from the year of the date of the final tax payment, under protest....Mr. Speaker, Mr. Skinner has advised me he has an Amendment to which I do not object and so if he wishes to proceed then, if you will strike what I have just said and ...I'd ask that this Bill be taken back to Second Reading for purposes of Mr. Skinner's Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 2267 will be put on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment,"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Skinner, amends House Bill 2267, page 4 by deleting line 32 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment which is going to require the payment of interest on protested taxes, to the taxpayer, if the taxpayer wins. Now, it occurred



to me that given....the shape the Bill is in now, that the county may not end up with the money....if the county treasurer decides to distribute to the tax districts. So, what we're attempting to do is .... whoever ends up with the money, has to pay the interest. And, that is what Amendment #4 does."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion?"

Skinner: "I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Are you saying....in Cook County, if this Bill goes through, some of the taxes...or the interest could run \$5,000,000. Now, if ....say the collector pays out to a school board....the money...and then next year, when they anticipate what their revenue will be, and they have to deduct this then the interest, not only the principle, but the interest gets deducted form the schools."

Skinner: "That is correct."

Shea: "So, that we don't know from year to year that these budgets that we're passing...we are ever going to end up with this kind of money...."

Skinner: "There is that situation right now. If money is distributed to a tax district and a protest is won, that exceeds the amount that is retained by the County Treasurer, then the next year the tax district has to cough up the money and that of course is as unpredictable as the courts."

Shea: "Yes, but it doesn't include interest."

Skinner: "Of course not, there is no one trying to hide that this is going to cost somebody more money,

Shea: "Yeah...and it is going to cost our taxing districts more money and what it's going to do is...and I've heard the wail and cry particularly from my friends downstate, where the counties are getting hurt....that



they need more money. And, now we're just turning around and taking it away from them...and from the local school districts. I think this is a bad Amendment."

Skinner: "As a matter of fact, what this does, is protect county government from the possibility of having to pay the additional interest...and I believe the previous Gentleman is speaking more to the merits of the Bill than to the merits of the Amendment...and it seems to me that if we do want to protect county governments from having to pay an extra amount of money, if the county treasurer opts to distribute the money then this Amendment is an absolute necessity."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Beaupre: "Representative Skinner, by this Amendment, aren't we in effect ...shifting the burden of paying that interest...from the tax protest fund, which is an interest bearing fund, to the local taxing district, which has no capability of investing the funds to bear interest....or at least theoretically they'll be using the funds....and to expend them for their purposes."

Skinner: "Well, I think there are two premises that are somewhat faulty in that presumption. The First is that the County would retain the funds ...in a tax protest fund....as the Bill is now amended, the County Treasurer may opt to distribute all of the taxes, paid under protest, to the tax districts....and, if they did distribute all of the money to the tax districts, under the unamended version, if Amendment #4 is not adopted...then, the County would have to cough up the six percent interest and it would have absolutely no money to have been invested over the period of time of the protest. The second part of your question...had



to do with whether the local tax districts could induct the money after it had been distributed...and of course there are no prohibitions for school districts to invest money at the present time....Any school district worth its salt could get more than 6 percent interest on a per annum basis."

Beaupre: "I voted for this Bill in Committee, and I think it's a good Bill, but it seems to me that the Amendment creates a problem for it....One of these problems being that in fact there is a tax protest fund in each and every county, is that not correct.....which earns interest?"

Skinner: "In most counties, the protest fund is not particularly large...."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman From Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "I wonder if the Sponsor of the Amendment would yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Deuster: "I have two questions. One, was this Amendment considered in Committee or.....is this something that was drafted after the Committee considered the main Bill?"

Skinner: "Well, the idea was considered in Committee, And, the idea is that whoever ends up with the protested tax money should end up paying the interest. But, until this Amendment was offered, that would not be the result of the Bill"

Deuster: "The second question is, do I understand that the Sponsor of the Bill is in support of your Amendment?"

Skinner: "That is correct."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not ... Representative Skinner wish to close?"

Skinner: "Well, no, I think it's been fully discussed."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 2267, ....all in favor.....do you want a Roll Call, Sir? All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. ....Roll Call, want to do some business tonight.....Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner to.....explain..."

Skinner: "Yeah....I want to make sure that everybody understands we're not voting on the Bill here. What we're voting on is the concept that who should end up paying the interest on protested taxes. Now, if you want the county to have to pay it, whether or not they retain the protested money, you certainly ought to vote 'no'. ...But, if you think whoever ends up with the protested tax money ought to have to pay the interest on it, then you ought to be voting 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 65 'ayes', 55 'nays' and Amendment #4 to House Bill 2267 is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? Third Reading.....Does Representative Hyde....do you wish? Representative Mugalian, for what purpose do you rise? Well, let's see if the Sponsor wants to have have it called? Representative Hyde, do you wish to have the Bill called on Third Reading?"

Hyde: "Third Reading, yes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Bill's been read a third time and the Gentleman from Cook Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill simply is an effort to apply a little economic reciprocity between the taxpayer who pays his taxes under protest and possibly two or three years later the court adjudicates the fact that his



taxes have been over assessed and he's entitled to some money back. This simply says that he should get interest on that money that he has paid in taxes and he has paid too much. The reverse of that, of course, is true if the taxpayer does not pay enough, he is assessed interest....and penalties, and so what's good enough for the taxing bodies ought to be good enough for the taxpayer. This is an effort to give the taxpayer who has been taxed too highly some interest on that money that has been held, whether by the county treasurer or because the county treasurer has the flexibility to distribute it to the taxing bodies without waiting for the adjudication. That's his problem, but at least the taxpayer can get some interest on that money that has been illegally and wrongfully taken from him and held by the taxing body. Now, a protest fund of one percent is provided for in the law. The county treasurer can withhold these monies, at least one percent, he can invest them and earn up to ten percent interest on them. So, this is simply an effort to get for the taxpayer, some interest on the money that has been taken from him by the tax collector. Now, I'd like to point out....one of the hopeful features of this Bill is that the assessment of taxes may become less arbitrary in the future once it is determined that a wrongful assessment will result in some interest paid to the taxpayer. Secondly, I suspect that there will be much speedier determination of tax liabilities since it is learned that interest will be due to the taxpayer. More importantly, I think we should be aware that the federal courts, right now in Chicago, have a case pending...it's on appeal...before the seventh circuit of the seventh district of the U. S. Court of Appeals, which provides that the failure by the taxing bodies to pay interest on this



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



money may well result in an injunction against collecting any money...as being unconstitutional. Now, this has already been decided in the federal court, by Judge McMillen in the case of U. S. versus Livingston, at 179 said supplement, at page 15. So, really, by passing this Bill, we might well save the State Statutes from the federal government saying we're not giving equal protection of the laws to taxpayers. I say that this is under litigation, the federal district court has so held, and it is now in the U.S. Court of Appeals. And, so to avoid federal injunctions and joining the tax collecting, I think we save our statute, I think we provide an incentive for more speedy and equitable tax assessment and most important we give the taxpayer interest on his money as long as everybody is entitled to penalties and interest and so I would respectfully urge that you vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to oppose this Bill and I think it's a technical Bill that many of you may not fully understand. This Bill does not concern, in most part, homeowners who are paying taxes on their home. This Bill does not really effect the overassessment of homes by your assessor. This Bill refers to paying interest on successful tax protests...tax protests refer to a term of art in the business. Tax protests are generally and almost exclusively filed by your railroads and your very large real estate property owners. What they do is they take the levy ordinances and in some cases the appropriation ordinances of all the towns, the villages, the townships, the school boards, those that must file levys and appropriation ordinances and there may be hundreds of them in the quadrant effected. What they



do is they go line by line and they file a very complicated lawsuit that can be handled only by a few specialists, and they say 'we object to line 38 because it combines two appropriations in one'. It is almost impossible and most municipal and school board attorneys will tell you that it is practically impossible to prepare a levy ordinance that will not be attacked by the railroads and the very large industrial and commercial real estate tax owners. What happens then is that a small village is faced with the alternative of defending a suit brought by this large taxpayer, which will cost him much more than the few dollars that are at stake for that municipality. But, when you add up the hundreds of municipalities and other tax revenue raising bodies, you'll find that there is a windfall to the very large taxpayer. They already get an advantage that I think is unfair and redounds to the disadvantage of the homeowners. What this Bill would do.....would be to compound the unfairness and and give to these very technical tax protest filers interest on the money. It would deprive the schools and the other taxing bodies of a proportion of their funds. I suggest that this is an ill-conceived..... Bill and it should be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunre."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to support this fine Bill. And, I think the last speaker is in error when he says that this would not benefit the homeowners. I know a great many homeowners in my district file a ....pay their taxes under protest and many of those protests have been found to be in order and it's only fair....it's only fair if a public body and if an assessor of a county finds the protest to be in order that the taxpayer, whether he's large or small....receive this benefit interest on that money..."



and this is an excellent Bill...and a benefit to the homeowner."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that I supported in the Revenue Committee because I felt that it did justice. It ...as originally proposed...provided in affect....that out of tax protest funds, which could earn interest, if a local county treasurer decided to invest those funds and make them interest bearing funds....that those who successfully appealed their taxes were to be paid that interest back. Now there's a very small percentage of those protests that indeed succeed and I think that it is right and just that those ...whether they be large taxpayers or small taxpayers, get the benefit of the money that they've already placed in the hands of the county treasurer and be paid back that interest, but I do think that the Skinner Amendment has created a real problem for this Bill. I think it's made it a bad Bill. It's made it a bad Bill because what we're proposing now is that we have our local school districts and our local taxing bodies out of the funds that they use and expend for the services that they render, a pay-back in effect the large corporations and the large railroads and the large taxpayers who have the money and have the funds as pointed out by Representative Mugalian, that interest back....It seems to me that we're putting ourselves in a very bad position in regard to our local school districts and our local taxing bodies and we've turned what was a good and equitable and just Bill into a very bad Bill....and if this Bill could be put in shape so that, in effect, it set up a protest fund and mandatorily required that a protest fund be made available so that those funds could earn interest without hurting our school



districts, then I would be for it, but as it stands now, we're telling our local school districts and our local taxing bodies....to pay back these large taxpayers at a high rate of interest and I would urge you vote against the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's unbelievable and really unthinkable that some of these individuals who have spoken today will considered that an individual who has attack an illegal....an illegal tax or tax rate or tax procedure should not receive some interest on the money...just because they happen to be a corporation or just because they don't happen to be a small homeowner. Now is it okay for the small homeowner to pay the illegal tax? That's in effect what you're saying. And, I know one of the speakers who is a municipal attorney, I just can't believe that he would want the homeowners in his area to pay an illegal tax and then on the same breath, that he would want the .....he would not want any interest to be paid on that illegal tax when it's refunded. It just seems inconceivable.....that their system of justice is so bias and so bad that they would condone illegal taxes just because government has put it on. Where is your justice for everybody? Where is your justice for the people? Where is your justice to remove illegal taxes? Where is your justice to remove illegal tax rates? That's what Representative Hyde is trying to do. He's trying to protect all of the people. And, if it is illegal for one it is illegal for all. And, if it is available for one, it's available for all. That's what he's trying to do. Don't try and ruin the little taxpayer. You're denying them the right. You're denying the little guy.....in your zeal to destroy the big guy."



Just unbelievable that you would do something like that. And, I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems funny from where we look at a Bill ....who it helps or who it hurts. But, I think Representative Mugalian pointed out that it's very few of the big corporations that can hire the specialists to go in with the really big rate protest and that's who we're going to be helping with part of this...is the specialists. And, then when you look and you think...particularly my colleagues from downstate....that you hear that our county boards don't have enough money, they lost the three percent collection fee and you're trying to figure out how to get that back. Now here's another place where you're taking some county revenue away. You're taking whatever their interest potential is away from them and you know there's only one way you're going to make that up, they'll be down here asking you to raise real estate taxes.....And I'm sure everyone of us will be opposed to that. And, then the Skinner Amendment, the Skinner Amendment in effect said, the schools are going to have to pay some more of it. And, you know what's going to happen? They'll be down here telling us ....not only is the full funding not enough, but they need rate increases....and that we've got to give them more State Aid. I think this is a terrible Bill. The Skinner Amendment made it worse and I think it should be soundly defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well all of this notoriety is going to my head. There were.....there were.....there were two Skinner Amendments this time.....and let's talk about both



of them. The first one said....gave the county treasurer another option. At the present time the law says....'taxes paid under protest MAY be withheld by the county treasurer...all of them may be withheld or one percent of all of the taxes levied in the county'. Which is one 'hell of a lot of money', and usually exceeds the amount paid under protest. Well, the first Amendment on this thing....on this Bill ....said the county treasurer would have a third option. He shall be able to distribute all of the money that is paid under protest. That means the schools can get all of the money. All right. The thrust of this Bill is that anyone who pays taxes under protest and wins shall be given six percent interest on the money that he wins. The second Amendment that I offered .... that was adopted....says that whoever ends up with the money is going to have to pay the interest. Now, if that doesn't make sense, I can't imagine what would. Certainly you don't want county government to have to end up paying the six percent interest if the county treasurer has decided to give the money to the local schools and the schools have the use of the money that's an illegal tax for the period of one or two years, they sure as 'hell' should be made to pay the interest on it. If we're going to have the interest. Now, the comment has been made, the little people can't be made to pay taxes under protest. In my county it costs a ten dollar retainer fee to file your taxes under protest with some of the local lawyers. In addition they take a rather heavy contingency fee 50 percent of whatever is won. But, that still allows for meaningful rebates to be made from illegal taxes. And, I'd like to point out, in this past year, my father's property got a rebate of about \$70. Now, that's a meaningful rebate on a \$700 bill. It's ten

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

percent of the total. So, I would suggest that Representative Hyde's Bill is an equitable Bill and certainly my Amendments do not make it worse but they make it workable and without them they ....the Bill would not be workable."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde to close."

Hyde: "Well thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a few brief comments on some of the remarks that have been made. It has been said that the little person cannot file a protest because of the cost involved. I would submit that the little person shouldn't have to pay illegal taxes either. And, I would submit that if this Bill becomes law, the authorities that impose taxes might be a little more careful in the manner in which they do it. Secondly, the adjudication might be considerably speedier if the interest is mounting on the taxes that are paid under protest. I also might point out ..... in response to Mr. Mugalian's remarks and Mr. Shea's remarks that I am informed by the deputy... former Deputy County Treasurer of Cook County, that thousands....not hundreds....but thousands of homeowners pay their taxes under protest. And, Mr. Piotrowicz.... Representative Piotrowicz....held that office and he informed me that while he was the Assistant County Treasurer, he had a lot of experience in this field and that thousands of homeowners paid their taxes under protest. I also would point out that there is no fee for paying your taxes under protest. You simply notify the collector and they put a stamp on your payment. I am amused really at the distinction made between the large ...illegal tax....the large payer of an illegal tax....the the small payer of an illegal tax. Equal protection of the laws.... it seems to me....should benefit both the small and the large taxpayer.



To Mr. Beaupre's objection, which is a very valid question, I simply point this out, the ideal way to handle this would be for the county collector to keep one percent in a protest fund and to earn the maximum interest that he can. However, we have given those tax collectors the flexibility to distribute taxes to 'hungry' if you will, school boards who need the money and want it now. Now, as the lesser of two evils, if the.....board or taxing body that needs the funds now, wants to invade that protest fund....Okay, but they then run the risk of later having to pay back that illegal tax plus interest. Sure that's a hardship on a school board but they wanted the money, they wanted to invade the tax protest funds and it was to their advantage at the time to run that risk to do so. That's the price to be paid for collecting an illegal tax and giving to the taxpayer ...who has had his money held by the school board ultimately ....or the county treasurer....at no interest to him. So, I agree, it isn't the best of all possible worlds, but if the taxing body wants the money now, and wants the tax collector to invade the protest funds, then sobeit. He's had the benefit and he should pay the interest. Lastly, Mr. Shea, my dear good friend, pointed out about how this was going to cost the taxpayers money. May I direct your leisure reading to the Digest, to look at House Bill 2746, which was filed by the Democratic Leadership, I don't know where the Bill is going but it says....increases penalty for delinquent taxes from one percent to two percent and provides that fifty percent of the interest collected shall be distributed to the taxing bodies and fifty percent shall be paid into the county treasury. So, it seems to me that the Democratic Leadership, and God knows.....I don't want to polarize on this Bill.... but, it seems to me that they have sought to increase





the penalties. So, let's strike a blow for the little taxpayer and the big taxpayer or anybody who's had to pay an illegal tax and has had to wait for two or three years to get his money back. I respectfully submit your affirmative ...request your affirmative vote on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 2267 pass?"

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, and in commenting also on the remarks made by Representative Mugalian, which....to a degree, were true. The large corporations and your railroad by and large as a matter of regular business practice, in paying their taxes, file protest. They file protest because of various taxing districts....in computing how much they are going to need generally... end up overstating ...how much money they end up needing. After the cases are heard, sure, the large corporations and the railroads do receive a refund....a rebate, but in the meantime, although thousands of homeowners...individual homeowners also file these rebates, there are countless thousands upon thousands upon thousands upon thousands of homeowners...small homeowners who are paying taxes which are higher than they ought to end up paying....are not familiar with the protest procedure, don't want....really or don't have the opportunity to go through the legal steps and end up really paying a tax higher than they ought to be paying. They are the people who are being penalized...in this. Sure, the large corporations and the railroads get theirs back, but in the meantime the small homeowner is paying an awful lot more because the taxing bodies initially state their taxes higher than they are supposed to be



stated. A Bill of this nature ....in my estimation... hopefully would bring the various taxing bodies around ....around to setting up a proper kind of tax system so that extra money which comes from the homeowners wouldn't be coming from them as it is today. I think this is a very good Bill. And, it ought to receive the approval and the green light vote of everyone in this Assembly who is in fact generally interested with some reform of our tax structure and in fact giving individual homeowners a ....a rebate on their taxes by applying this kind of a law."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote on this I would like to point out one thing which I hope all of the attorneys on the floor already know. Under Illinois Law, when a debt is outstanding and due, it becomes payable and if it has to be adjudicated, you can go into court - and obtain a judgment for it and thereafter, if you succeed in obtaining the judgment you have a right to collect interest on this. It seems that Representative Hyde's Bill does nothing more than to bring the law, with regard to governmental control, in line with the law which applies to all individuals with regard to debt, indebtedness or money withheld....and give the individual the opportunity to collect this additional six percent. I think this is one good reason for supporting it. I would like to just....very briefly.... make one statement with regard to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader's comment with regard to the position of counties on this matter. I would suggest that if you follow his theories through, with regard to the need for money on the county level, you would have to give the embezzler the right to invest the money during



the period of time he is holding it. Allowing him to keep the interest before you take the money back. I believe the counties are in the same position....And, in fact they are doing something illegal when they collect the money....maybe equated to embezzlement..... and then we are saying that they can collect the interest on it rather than the person who it truly belonged to. I think that if we are going to strike any fairness here, it's been suggested by Representative Hyde, we must give this right to the individual taxpayer and all of those many people who file their taxes under protest, in every county in this State, each and every year."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, I've heard many words here on this Bill. On first blush it appears to be a good Bill of equity.....and suggests to right something that's been wrong in our system for all of these years. But, really when you look at it, Representative Mugalian is correct. This does not apply to a homeowner who pays his Bill under protest. Now, one of the cruel hoaxes where I come from is when people are frustrated with their tax bill....some Savings and Loans and some other people say pay 75 percent under protest get it stamped and wait around and hope to get some sort of a rebate. This is a cruel hoax, it doesn't apply to these people. It might apply to a wise taxpayer, who wishes to file the proper papers for a tax rate protest or sees a proper tax lawyer for this purpose, but no homeowner who has a \$500 bill and hopes that from three to five years later he may get \$20 back, is going to hire a lawyer for this purpose. It will have to wend its way to some sort of tax specialists or invariably .....and perhaps I might even question the



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

ethics, it would end up with the attorneys for the railroads. So, that this is really not a very buttressing factor to homeowners who are very frustrated. It really is designed to help those corporations, more particularly the railroads, who file these suits all of the time, who file appeals on these suits and get their little rate of return and that helps them keep in business with the real estate tax laws in Illinois. So, for the people here, I'd just like to say, this Bill hardly ....at all....applies whatsoever to the homeowner who is frustrated from high taxes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this good piece of Legislation which Representative Hyde is Sponsoring. As I look upon the board of 79 votes, I fail to see some of those liberal philosophers of political philosophy who have so long been crying for equal rights and equality and justice for all of the taxpayers in the State of Illinois. Because their votes are not evident. Is there any distinction between those that have and those that have not.....in the eyes of the law? If it is right or if it is just as Representative Juckett has indicated. Then it's only right that we enact the same provisions and give the same rights to the small taxpayer....as that of the rights of the large taxpayer...and the people of the State of Illinois, whether they are rich or poor, have the rights under the law to be compensated for injustice. And government can levy the same type of injustice as those of other human beings. And, for these reasons I think that we need another 12 or 15 votes to give the 89 votes necessary to give justice for all the taxpayers of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."



Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to explain my vote, one of the things that the poor homeowner has to consider to file a protest...to follow through in court is his cost and the fact that there is nothing to gain if he loses. Just because he's a small person, I think it's high time we considered his rights. I'm sick and tired of hearing only about what good the corporations could do themselves because of their legal staff. I think, at least if we pay the interest back for a taxpayer who deserves to get his money back the homeowner.....then I think we're doing some justice. I think the Bill is good. And, God knows I don't always agree with the Sponsor, but this is one time I urge everyone to give him 89 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, not very often do we provide the opportunity for the little people of this State to get at their government. This Bill provides that opportunity. In Cook County there's a one percent reserve set aside, of the tax monies collected, for these purposes and other purposes. Now, if you figure your interest at treasury bill rates, there's more than ample monies to pay back to the little person the six percent interest that this Bill sets forth. I'm not too impressed about ....with the argument about the big corporations and the railroads being the ones to file their protests. Obviously they do....they do it as a matter of course. Insofar as the railroads are concerned, they are not assessed on the basis that other corporations or the people are assessed. They are assessed at a much lower rate. The amount that they would get would probably be inconsequential. Nevertheless it does provide the opportunity



for the little guy to get at the government. And, get at the government in the way that the government knows will hurt the most and that's at the treasury. If we do it this way, if we provide them with the opportunity perhaps we can straighten out many things insofar as appropriation ordinances and levy ordinances, illegal assessments and otherwise that we haven't been able to do before. I say it's a good Bill, it's a consumer Bill, and I'm greatly concerned and greatly interested in the attitude of many of those who have spoken against this Bill here, insofar ....in relation to the consumerism that's involved.....being for all the other kind of consumer Bills, but not being for this particular one. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote I think we have to correct what the distinguished Representative from Tinley Park stated.... that homeowners do not file these protests. And, that's simply not true. As Representative Hyde and Representative Piotrowicz have pointed out, thousands and thousands of homeowners file this tax protest and it doesn't cost them a cent to file it...It doesn't cost them a cent to file it. So you're very simply..... this is just a very simple proposition. You're going to give interest to those people who have been found by an assessors office to have been paying an illegal tax. This is a great Bill and a great 'aye' vote for you to take back to your district and campaign on. It's a very simple fair Bill for the consumer. I urge your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as much as



I admire the Sponsor of this Bill, I still feel compelled to get up here and speak against it. I think that perhaps the concept is meritorious but the practice would be horrendous. When I think of weighing the benefits to the little taxpayer, the sixty cents or a dollar or a dollar sixty, you might get back in interest, some four or five years from now, after a protest has been processed, as against the tremendous amount of money that would come back to the railroads who admittedly, as the last speaker mentioned, filed their protest as a matter of course. Incidentally, they file their protest as a matter of course....They take advantage of the technicalities of the law. I recall, in one instance in Schiller Park, where the railroad filed an objection based on the fact that the Village Clerk had filed a duplicate original of the tax levy ordinance rather than the duplicate as provided by law. That's the type of technicalities the railroad attorneys take advantage of. They file them automatically. They tie up thousands and thousands of dollars of local government taxes....tie them up for years....They succeed in getting some money back, mostly on technicalities, not on erroneous tax rates, as somebody had tried to point out because by experiences at tax rates, if they are over and above that provided by law, that the county clerk in extending the tax rate will reduce that to the maximum amount permitted by law. We talk about the poor homeowner who files his protest. ....How many homeowners who file a protest ever proceed to conclusion of that protest. If they don't ....it's my understanding, if they don't proceed and follow up the protest, that their protest will be dismissed. So, those who file protests do not necessarily gain by filing such a protest. And, they can't afford an attorney to pick up an extra ten or twenty or fifty dollars. It just



wouldn't pay. So, I think that if you analyze this Bill you've got to find that it's only going to benefit, to the great extent, the large corporations, and especially the railroads and because of that.....

I oppose it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I don't want to beat a dead horse. I'm just amazed though that some people think they came down here to represent a taxing body and not the citizens of their district. I don't think they paid attention when Representative Peters stated that thousands of individual taxpayers and homeowners file their taxes under protest and many of them do get tax refunds. The Assessor of Cook County told my assistant that in one year 11,500 certificates of error are filed by the assessor himself, on behalf of the taxpayers.....not a lawyer or anybody like that. And, of that, about 3,000 taxpayers got refunds. Now, I don't care if it's a railroad, I don't care if it's J. Paul Getty, or if it's Willie Brown, if your taxes are high, they've been illegally assessed and the governing bodies hold your money.....when you get your money back, you ought to get from them what they take from you.....and that's interest. Now, why is it a one way street? Why does the taxing bodies have to soak you, the taxpayer, interest.....if you don't pay on time or you underpay, but when they dip into your pocket more than they ought to, more than the law says they should, why you greatfully and humbly..... with your head in your hand....get your money back, three years later, without interest. Now, what is this hatred toward the large corporate taxpayer? If they pay taxes illegally, who's benefited? Is that some big deal? They ought to get interest back on their





money too because the cost of doing business gets handed on to the consumer. The fact is, this doesn't benefit the 'biggies' any more than the 'smallies' it's a principle that's at stake ...for the people. Reciprocity. Now, I'm telling you this matter is in the federal courts. The present procedure does not provide equal protection of the law. This would require equal protection of the law and that principle is important. I ask you, if you care about the people, and if you care about the principle of reciprocity, mutuality and fairness, you ought to give the taxpayer, no matter who he is or what hat he wears...interest on the money that has been illegally collected and held by the taxing body. The courts will adjudicate more quickly. The assessor will assess more fairly and this is just. And, that's my explanation of vote."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now we've taken about an hour on this matter. Do you still want to..... you all want to go....we can stay until ten o'clock. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I just wanted to say, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that if by any chance there should be 89 green votes I would like to ask for a verification."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: " Mr. Speaker....a point of personal privilege. Since my name was used in debate....the name Getty was used in the argument....I think that I ought to say that ....I'm a very distant relative of J. Paul Getty and for that reason I'll vote 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 86 'ayes' and 27 'nays', 17 answering 'present'.....Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise?"



Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Gentleman has asked for a Poll of the Absentees. As soon as it comes out of the machine the Clerk will call the absentees. You never know where they're going to come from 'Do....'.....they turn up in strange places. Representative Murphy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Murphy: "I got to take a minute, Mr. Speaker, to tell Mr. ....Representative Getty something about ....a joke..... The fellow was standing close to the grave and he was crying so hard and they said 'you must have been very close to the deceased' and he said 'no, I'm not, that's why I'm crying.....'.....He was a very wealthy man."

Clerk Selcke: "Barnes, Berman, Boyle, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, ....Carter, Chapman, DiPrima, Douglas, Ewell, Farley, Fennessey, Gibbs, Grieman, Hanahan, Holloway... 'Jimmy', Huskey, Jaffe, Kosinski, Krause, Lauer, Lechowicz, Leon, Lundy, Madigan, Mann, Marages, Martin, Matijevich, McGah, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Nardulli, Pappas, Rose, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schneider, Sevcik, Ike Sims, Terzich, Tipsword, Washington, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Where is Representative Hyde? Want to let it go? On this question there are 86 'ayes'.....How many 'nays'?"

Clerk Selcke: "...27 'nays'...."

Speaker Telcser: "...27 'nays', 18 answering 'present' and this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. House Bill 2287. Eugenia, do you want your phone? ....2287."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2287, an Act to amend Section 30-15.2 and so forth of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker....House Bill 2287 amends the Higher



Education Student Assistance Act. There are essentially four changes. One is to permit the Scholarship Commission to receive, hold and disburse federal funds. A second is to extend state scholarship entitlement to students where there is a need for a fifth academic year only in order to obtain a bachelor's degree. Then, importantly, it would permit for the first time, except where this is possible with veterans, for needy students who are part-time students to be eligible to receive assistance from the Scholarship Commission. This measure was approved in the House last year and did not quite make it through the Senate, then lost. And again, an important part of this measure is the increase from \$1300 to \$1350 for the maximum award which the Scholarship Commission can extend to needy, full-time students ... and this of course will assist only those students who elect to attend private colleges and universities and does provide in a period of inflation, an opportunity for students to exercise their freedom of choice. As they decide the college or university to which the student would want to attend. I ask for your support for this measure. Albin Webber of the Federation of Independent Illinois Colleges and Universities says that I may indicate complete Federation endorsement for the Bill in its present form. Also the Illinois Trustees ...Community College Trustees Association....The Illinois Community College Board.... support this measure. I urge you to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2287 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that my record in support of various educational .....programs ....and education as a whole, is a



very good one. I want to explain my vote on this particular measure.....for this reason;...there are hundreds of students in my district, middle income students, children and families whose fathers and mothers earn 12----13----14 thousand dollars a year who may have two or three children in the family and because of their particular economic situation..... having made 10----12---13 thousand dollars a year, the scholarship commission indicated that they are not eligible. We have program upon program to aid and assist those who are on ....in a poverty line in terms of receiving education, but it seems to me that in terms of every program that we have initiated, there are no programs that are really directed towards that family in the middle class, who is really feeling the crunch. That family that's making 12 thousand dollars a year that has two or three children and is finding it extremely difficult to finance, not only their livelihood but to finance their children's education. I am going to vote 'no' on this Bill, not because I am against the idea of scholarships, but because I think we have to start developing some programs to help those middle income students and I think, Mrs. Chapman in all respect, they need the help first before we start giving help to fifth and sixth and seventh and eighth year individuals who want to further their education. My vote is a protest vote and it's 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 122 'ayes', 11 'nays', 4 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative ....The Gentleman from Union.... Representative Choate, with respect....Representative Choate, do you want to put a motion with respect to the motion on the Calendar? He's on the phone....."



Choate: "Mr. Speaker, inasmuch as it appears we're going to get out of here pretty shortly, those motions that are alive on the Calendar that might expire today, I would move that the proper rules be suspended so that they would be alive when we come back in Session tomorrow.

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of rules 67 for the purpose of having the Motions appearing on the Calendar today, that would normally die, extended for one day. Is there leave to use the Attendance Roll Call as the Affirmative Roll Call on this question? Hearing no objections.....that will be the Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh.....Would you record Representative Choate as voting 'aye' on Representative Chapman's Bill. The last one.....and Maragos..."

Walsh, William: "Mr. Speaker, first of all a reminder to the Members of the Rules Committee....that the Rules Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 9:30 in room M-5. And, now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House Stand adjourned until ....10:30 a.m. tomorrow...."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's just hang on a second now. Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise? We're still going to go to Special Session."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, the Motor Vehicles Committee will meet tomorrow morning.....9:30.....9:30 a.m., Room M-4."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like unanimous consent of the House, if I may, to have House Bill 2126, which had a technical error in posting, because we had a Wednesday meeting and a Tuesday meeting to be heard in Judiciary II tonight. For suspension of the Rules."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has asked leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes of hearing ...."



Duff: ".....2126..."

Speaker Telcser: "...House Bill 2126 in Judiciary ..."

Duff: "....Two..."

Speaker Telcser: "Judiciary II."

Duff: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: "Hearing no objections, the Attendance Roll  
Call will be used as the Affirmative Roll Call."

Duff: "Thank you."

Spekaer Telcser: "Now, Representative Fleck, did you have  
a matter, Sir?"

Fleck: "We've already taken care of that, haven't we?"

Speaker Telcser: "Should I adjourn? I haven't declared it.  
No? Representative Fleck, do you have a matter? We  
still haven't technically adjourned yet."

Fleck: "Well, Mr. Speaker, all I want to do is ....get  
unanimous consent of the House to suspend the Rule so  
that the House and Senate can create a Committee to  
draft proponents....draft statements for and against  
the Joint Resolution which was passed regarding the  
amendatory veto which will be on the ballot in November.  
What we have to do by Statute is create a Committee in  
the House and in the Senate to present drafts of reasons  
why it should be voted on and why it should be voted  
against...And, I want to suspend the Rules to move it to  
Second so that we can get this thing done. It is a  
matter of formality. Representative Choate and Rep-  
resentative Blair are both Cosponsors of it and I...."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has moved to suspend  
the provisions of Rule....41 for the purpose of having  
.....What is it a House Joint?"

Fleck: "House Joint Senate Resolution 105..."

Speaker Telcser: "....Senate Resolution 105, placed on the  
order of Second Reading, without reference to a Committee.  
Has it been read a first time yet?....He just explained  
it. He just explained it....Okay, are there any objec-



tions to using the Attendance Roll Call as the Affirmative Roll Call? Hearing none. House Joint Resolution 105 will appear on the Calendar without reference to Committee. All right the Gentleman now moves for adoption of .....Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to make an announcement. I can hold it."

Speaker Telcser: "Would you please. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck now moves to adopt House Joint Resolution #105. All in favor of adoption signify by ....saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Is this expending money?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fleck, will this Resolution spend money?....Representative Fleck, will this Resolution spend money?....."

Fleck: "I'd just like to make an announcement...there's a subcommittee....."

Speaker Telcser: "No...No...No...No....Will you're Resolution cost....."

Fleck: "No.....not a nickel.....not a nickel..."

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the Resolution is adopted. Now, Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "To make an announcement, Mr. Speaker. The Judiciary Committee will meet immediately after adjournment. I know there are a number of Committees to meet. We would appreciate it if the Members would come and help us make a quorum.....and then they can...some of them, I know, will have to attend other meetings."

Speaker Telcser: "Any other announcements? Representative Fleck?"

Fleck: "I'd just like to announce that the Subcommittee in



Judiciary I will meet at 8:30, in Room G-2 instead of G-1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh moves that the House do stand adjourned until the hour of 10:30 tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The House stands adjourned."





HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRTY-SECOND LEGISLATIVE DAY

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

MAY 28, 1974

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLATR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "First Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asked leave that the Attendance Roll Call, Regular Session, be used as the Attendance Roll Call, First Special Session. No objections? That will be the Attendance Roll Call. The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like leave of the House to expend the appropriate rule such that House Bill 24, 25 and 26, Special Session Number One, can be heard in Executive Committee tomorrow morning and I have the agreement with the Committee Chairman, Representative Collins."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes hearing Special Session House Bills 24, 25, and 26...in the Executive Committee. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call.... Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. What's supposed to be posted in the Executive Committee tomorrow?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman is asking leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for purposes of having, Special Session Number One, House Bills 24, 25 and 26, posted for hearing in the Executive Committee."

Lechowicz: "We've got a number of Bills already...in fact, there's about nineteen that are scheduled and I would have to object."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has.....Representative Lechowicz....do you persist in your objection, Sir? Representative Lechowicz, are you objecting, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Is the Chairman from Executive still here?"

Speaker Telcser: "No."

Lechowicz: "I'll withdraw my objections for the time being."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, hearing no objections, the Attendance



Roll Call will be used for the Affirmative Roll Call. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh.....moves that the First Special Session stand adjourned until the Regular Session adjourns tomorrow. Okay. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the First Special Session is now .... stands adjourned."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.		Speaker Blair	House in Order
		Dr. Johnson	
		Rev. Frank Marshall	Invocation
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance
		Davis	McLendon is absent
		Speaker Blair	
		Pierce	Madigan is absent
		Speaker Blair	Committee Reports
2.		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee Reports
		Speaker Blair	Introduction, First Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads 1st Reading Bills
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Blair	
3.		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions are adopted
		Geo-Karis	Sing Happy Birthday to Rep. Herb Huskey...
		Speaker Blair	HJRCA #30
		Walsh, W.	Suspend Rules for HJRCA#30
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Death Resol. HR 962
4.		Speaker Blair	HR 962 is adopted
			Senate Bills, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1241



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Dyer	Explains SB-1241
5.		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	Supports
		Speaker Blair	
		Pierce	Point of Order
		Speaker Blair	
		<u>MURPHY IN CHAIR</u>	
6.		Speaker Murphy	HR-962 is passed...
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1258
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR...SB-1258
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1268
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	Explains SB-1268
		Speaker Murphy	
7.		Walsh, R.	Better explanation?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	Explains further
		Speaker Murphy	
		Alsup	
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1268 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1270
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR...SB-1270
8.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1274
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1274
		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Gibbs	Yield?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Gibbs )	Question
		)	
9.		Lechowicz )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1274 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1271
		Spekaer Murphy	
		Hill	Explains SB-1271
		Spekaer Murphy	SB-1271 is passed
10.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1270
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1270
		Speaker Murphy	
		Wolf )	Question
		)	
		Lechowicz )	Discussion
11.		Speaker Murphy	SB-1270 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1275
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1275
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1275 is passed
12.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1279
		Speaker Murphy	
		Chapman	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1278
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1278
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1278 is passed



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
13.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1281
		Speaker Murphy	
		Hill	Explains SB-1281
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lauer )	Yield?
		)	
		Hill )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
14.		Wolf, J. J.)	Yield
		)	
		Hill )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
		J. J. Wolf	Explains 'present' vote.
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1281 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1259
		Speaker Murphy	
		McPartlin	Explains SB-1259
		Speaker Murphy	
		McPartlin	Explains SB-1259
		Speaker Murphy	
15.		Pierce	Introduction
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1281 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1320
		Speaker Murphy	
16.		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1320
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1320 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1321
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1321



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
17.		Speaker Murphy	
		Beaupre )	Yield?
		)	
		Lechowicz )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1321 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1347
18.		Speaker Murphy	
		Davis	Explains SB-1347
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1347 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1356
		Speaker Murphy	
		Greiman	Explains SB-1356
		Speaker Murphy	
19.		Juckett )	Yield?
		)	
		Greiman )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy]	SB-1356 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1376
		Speaker Murphy	
20.		Deavers	Explains SB-1376
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1376 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1378
		Speaker Murphy	
		Maragos	Explains SB-1378
		Speaker Murphy	
		Juckett	Yield?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Maragos	Pass it for awhile Doesn't know
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR SB-1378





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
21.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1379
		Speaker Murphy	
		Cunningham	Explains SB-1379
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1379 is passed
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Murphy	Back to SB-1378
		Maragos	Answers Juckett
		Speaker Murphy	
22.		Juckett )	
		)	
		Maragos )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1378 is passed
23.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1279
		Speaker Murphy	
		Chapman	Explains SB-1279
		Speaker Murphy	
		Palmer )	
		)	
		Chapman )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1279 is passed
24		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1421
		Speaker Murphy	
		Campbell	Explains SB-1421
		Speaker Murphy	
25.		Skinner )	Yield?
		)	
		Campbell )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Borchers	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Borchers	Continues...
		Speaker Murphy	
26.		Hirschfeld	"Supports"
27.		Speaker Murphy	SB-1421 is passed Senate Bills, 2nd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1349
		Speaker Murphy	
		Flinn	Correct Skinner
		Speaker Murphy	
28.		Skinner	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Skinnser	Personal privilege
		Speaker Murphy	
29.		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Skinner	Continues
		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Moves CA #1
		Speaker. Murphy	
		Maragos	What's it do?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Washburn	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Murphy	
30.		Maragos )	Discussion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Washburn)	Discussion
		Maragos	
		Speaker Murphy	
31.		Caldwell. )	
		)	
		Washburn )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
32.		Peters	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Brummet	
		Speaker Murphy	
33.		D'Arco )	Take back to 2nd
		)	
		Schraeder )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Murphy	CA#1 is adopted
34.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Murphy	
35.		Leinenweber	Opposes
		Speaker Murphy	
36.		Kempiners	Opposes
		Speaker Murphy	
		Sangmeister	Opposes
37.		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Moves adoption
38.		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Catania	Supports
		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Explains vote....TOOR
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR...SB-1349
39.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1377
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1260
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1380
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	Explains CA #1
40.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads CA #1
		Speaker Murphy	CA- #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1422
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1451
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1463
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading Senate Bills, Third
41.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1258
		Speaker Murphy	
		Giorgi	Moves adoption
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1258 is passed
		Speaker Murphy	House at ease
		Walsh, W.	Moves House stand in recess..
		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Choate	Democratic meeting
		Speaker Murphy	Recess until 3:40
42.		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Clerk O'Brien	Softball practice
		Clerk Selcke	Glasses found
		Speaker Blair	Five minutes
		Speaker <u>TELCSEER IN CHAIR</u>	
		Speaker Telcser	House in order Committee Reports
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee Reports
43.		Speaker Telcser	
		Kent	Introduction
		Dairy Princess	Speech
		Speaker Telcser	Schedule
		Capuzi	Announcement
44.		Speaker Telcser	
		Randolph	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Leave to correct Bill #.
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
45.		Mann	To Table HR-914
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Pierce	Announcement



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
46.		Ebbesen	Suspend rules for HB-24, 25, 2
		Speaker Telcser	Wait 'til First Special
		Neff	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
47.		Washburn	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Soderstrom	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
48.		McGrew	Motion to suspend rules- HB-1693
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	McGrew's Motion granted Senate Bills, First R.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bills, 1st.
		Speaker Telcser	
49.		Giorgi	Suspend Rules for SB-1501
		Speaker Telcser	
50.		Walsh. W. )	Objects
		)	
		Giorgi )	
		Speaker Telcser	House Bills, Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2056
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Moves CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schlickman )	Explain, please?
		)	
		Rayson )	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1, is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 is adopted Third Reading
52.		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2129
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2133
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2340
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Moves adoption of CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted Third Reading
53.		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2349
		Speaker Telcser	
		Redmond	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2355
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	eads CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ryan	Explains Com. Am. #2
54.		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Can't hear!
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2...is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA #3
		Speaker Telcser	
55.		Hill )	Aren't you gonna table?
		)	
		Ryan )	Discussion...moves to table.
		Speaker Telcser	CA #3 is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #4
		Speaker Telcser	
56.		Ryan	Explains CA #4
		Speaker Telcser	CA #4 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA #5
		Speaker Telcser	CA #5 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #6
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA 6
		Speaker Telcser	CA #6 is adopted
57.		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #7
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill )	Opposes
		)	
		Ryan )	





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Opposes..Not a Com. Am.
58.		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi )	
		)	
		Ryan )	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	
		Speaker Telcser	
60.		Ryan	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Explains 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
61.		Barry	Explains 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
62.		Ryan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Poll absentees and verify
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	
		Macdonald	Vote me 'aye'..
63		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones, Emil	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Jones, Emil	Vote me 'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	77 'ayes'.....75 'nays'..
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Affirmative Roll
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	
64.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2355...TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2360
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2362
65.		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Table CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is Tabled Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2506
		Speaker Telcser	
66.		Kempiners	Explains CA 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea )	Inquiry
		)	
		Kempiners )	Discussion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1..is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2522
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2653
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading House Bills..Third
67.		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2267
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hyde	Taken back to Second
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2267..back on Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
68.		Skinner	Explains Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea )	Opposes
		)	
69.		Skinner )	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
70.		Beaupre )	
		)	
		Skinner )	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Explains vote
71.		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #4 is adopted Third Reading
		Hyde	
		Speaker Telcser	
72.		Hyde	Explains HB-2267
73.		Speaker Telcser	
74.		Mugalian	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
75.		Beaupre	Opposes
76.		Speaker Telcser	
77.		Juckett	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
78.		Skinner	Supports
79.		Speaker Telcser	
80.		Hyde	To close on HB-2267
81.		Speaker Telcser	
82.		Peters	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
83.		Griesheimer	Explains Vote
		Speaker Telcser	
84.		Rayson	Explains vote "no"...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Simms	Explains vote... 'for'..
		Speaker Telcser	
85.		Geo-Karis	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
86.		Palmer	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Explains vote... 'yes'..
		Speaker Telcser	
87.		Bluthardt	Explains vote..
88.		Speaker Telcser	
89.		Hyde	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	Possible verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Getty	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
90.		Hyde	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Murphy	
		Clerk Selcke	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2267 is lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2287
		Speaker Telcser	
91.		Chapman	Explains HB-2287
		Speaker Telcser	
92.		Peters	Explains vote.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2287 is passed
93.		Choate	Moves Rules be suspended for Motions..
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Walsh, W.	Moves House stand adj.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
94.		Duff	Suspend Rules for HB-2126
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Fleck	Suspend Rules HJR-105
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
95.		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	HJR#105 is adopted
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
96.		Speaker Telcser	House stands adjourned
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION IN ORDER.....			
1.		Speaker Telcser	First Special ..in order
		Ebbesen	Leave to suspend Rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	What?????



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz )	Objects..withdraws
		)	
2.		Speaker Telcser)	Leave granted
		FIRST SPECIAL ADJOURNED.....	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.		Speaker Blair	House in Order
		Dr. Johnson	
		Rev. Frank Marshall	Invocation
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance
		Davis	McLendon is absent
		Speaker Blair	
		Pierce	Madigan is absent
		Speaker Blair	Committee Reports
2.		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee Reports
		Speaker Blair	Introduction, First Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads 1st Reading Bills
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Blair	
3.		Walsh, W.	Moves adoption of Agreed Resolutions
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions are adopted
		Geo-Karis	Sing Happy Birthday to Rep. Herb Huskey...
		Speaker Blair	HJRCA #30
		Walsh, W.	Suspend Rules for HJRCA#30
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	Leave granted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Death Resol. HR 962
4.		Speaker Blair	HR 962 is adopted Senate Bills, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1241





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Dyer	Explains SB-1241
5.		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	Supports
		Speaker Blair	
		Pierce	Point of Order
		Speaker Blair	
		<u>MURPHY IN CHAIR</u>	
6.		Speaker Murphy	HR-962 is passed...
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1258
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR...SB-1258
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1268
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	Explains SB-1268
		Speaker Murphy	
7.		Walsh, R.	Better explanation?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	Explains further
		Speaker Murphy	
		Alsup	
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1268 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1270
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR...SB-1270
8.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1274
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1274
		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Gibbs	Yield?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Gibbs )	Question
9.		)	
		Lechowicz )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1274 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1271
		Spekaer Murphy	
		Hill	Explains SB-1271
		Spekaer Murphy	SB-1271 is passed
10.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1270
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1270
		Speaker Murphy	
		Wolf )	Question
		)	
		Lechowicz )	Discussion
11.		Speaker Murphy	SB-1270 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1275
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1275
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1275 is passed
12.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1279
		Speaker Murphy	
		Chapman	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1278
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1278
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1278 is passed



## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: 5/28/74

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
13.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1281
		Speaker Murphy	
		Hill	Explains SB-1281
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lauer)	Yield?
		)	
		Hill )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
14.		Wolf, J. J.)	Yield
		)	
		Hill )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
		J. J. Wolf	Explains 'present' vote.
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1281 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1259
		Speaker Murphy	
		McPartlin	Explains SB-1259
		Speaker Murphy	
		McPartlin	Explains SB-1259
		Speaker Murphy	
15.		Pierce	Introduction
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1281 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1320
		Speaker Murphy	
16.		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1320
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1320 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1321
		Speaker Murphy	
		Lechowicz	Explains SB-1321



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
17.		Speaker Murphy	
		Beaupre )	Yield?
		)	
		Lechowicz )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1321 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1347
18.		Speaker Murphy	
		Davis	Explains SB-1347
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1347 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1356
		Speaker Murphy	
		Greiman	Explains SB-1356
		Speaker Murphy	
19.		Juckett )	Yield?
		)	
		Greiman )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy]	SB-1356 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1376
		Speaker Murphy	
20.		Deavers	Explains SB-1376
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1376 is passed
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1378
		Speaker Murphy	
		Maragos	Explains SB-1378
		Speaker Murphy	
		Juckett	Yield?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Maragos	Pass it for awhile Doesn't know
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR SB-1378



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
21.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1379
		Speaker Murphy	
		Cunningham	Explains SB-1379
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1379 is passed
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Murphy	Back to SB-1378
		Maragos	Answers Juckett
		Speaker Murphy	
22.		Juckett )	
		)	
		Maragos )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1378 is passed
23.		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1279
		Speaker Murphy	
		Chapman	Explains SB-1279
		Speaker Murphy	
		Palmer )	
		)	
		Chapman )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1279 is passed
24		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1421
		Speaker Murphy	
		Campbell	Explains SB-1421
		Speaker Murphy	
25.		Skinner )	Yield?
		)	
		Campbell )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Borchers	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Borchers	Continues...
		Speaker Murphy	
26.		Hirschfeld	"Supports"
27.		Speaker Murphy	SB-1421 is passed Senate Bills, 2nd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB-1349
		Speaker Murphy	
		Flinn	Correct Skinner
		Speaker Murphy	
28.		Skinner	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Skinnser	Personal privilege
		Speaker Murphy	
29.		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Skinner	Continues
		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Moves CA #1
		Speaker Murphy	
		Maragos	What's it do?
		Speaker Murphy	
		Washburn	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Murphy	
30.		Maragos	Discussion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Washburn)	Discussion
		Maragos	
		Speaker Murphy	
31.		Caldwell )	
		)	
		Washburn )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
32.		Peters	
		Speaker Murphy	
		Brummet	
		Speaker Murphy	
33.		D'Arco )	Take back to 2nd
		)	
		Schraeder )	Discussion
		Speaker Murphy	
		Maragos	
		Speaker Murphy	CA#1 is adopted
34.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Explains Amendment #2
		Speaker Murphy	
35.		Leinenweber	Opposes
		Speaker Murphy	
36.		Kempiners	Opposes
		Speaker Murphy	
		Sangmeister	Opposes
37.		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Moves adoption
38.		Speaker Murphy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Catania	Supports
		Speaker Murphy	
		Schraeder	Explains vote...TOOR
		Speaker Murphy	TOOR...SB-1349
39.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1377
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1260
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1380
		Speaker Murphy	
		Shea	Explains CA #1
40.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads CA #1
		Speaker Murphy	CA- #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1422
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1451
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1463
		Speaker Murphy	Third Reading Senate Bills, Third
41.		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB-1258
		Speaker Murphy	
		Giorgi	Moves adoption
		Speaker Murphy	SB-1258 is passed
		Speaker Murphy	House at ease
		Walsh, W.	Moves House stand in recess..
		Speaker Murphy	





## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

DATE: May 28, 1974

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Choate	Democratic meeting
		Speaker Murphy	Recess until 3:40
42.		Walsh, W.	Introduction
		Clerk O'Brien	Softball practice
		Clerk Selcke	Glasses found
		Speaker Blair	Five minutes
		Speaker <u>TELCSER IN CHAIR</u>	
		Speaker Telcser	House in order Committee Reports
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Committee Reports
43.		Speaker Telcser	
		Kent	Introduction
		Dairy Princess	Speech
		Speaker Telcser	Schedule
		Capuzi	Announcement
44.		Speaker Telcser	
		Randolph	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Leave to correct Bill #.
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
45.		Mann	To Table HR-914
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Pierce	Announcement



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
46.		Ebbesen	Suspend rules for HB-24, 25, 26
		Speaker Telcser	Wait 'til First Special
		Neff	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
47.		Washburn	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Soderstrom	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
48.		McGrew	Motion to suspend rules HB-1693
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	McGrew's Motion granted Senate Bills, First R.
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Senate Bills, 1st.
		Speaker Telcser	
49.		Giorgi	Suspend Rules for SB-1501
		Speaker Telcser	
50.		Walsh. W. )	Objects
		)	
		Giorgi )	
		Speaker Telcser	House Bills, Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2056
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Moves CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schlickman )	Explain, please?
		)	
		Rayson )	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1, is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2 is adopted
52.			Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2129
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2133
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2340
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Moves adoption of CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted
			Third Reading
53.		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2349
		Speaker Telcser	
		Redmond	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted
			Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2355
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	eads CA #2
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ryan	Explains Com. Am. #2
54.		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Can't hear!
		Speaker Telcser	CA #2...is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA #3
		Speaker Telcser	
55.		Hill )	Aren't you gonna table?
		)	
		Ryan )	Discussion...moves to table.
		Speaker Telcser	CA #3 is tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #4
		Speaker Telcser	
56.		Ryan	Explains CA #4
		Speaker Telcser	CA #4 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA #5
		Speaker Telcser	CA #5 is adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Reads CA #6
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Explains CA 6
		Speaker Telcser	CA #6 is adopted
57.		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #7
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill )	Opposes
		)	
		Ryan )	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ryan	Moves adoption
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Opposes..Not a Com. Am.
58.		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi )	
		)	
		Ryan )	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	
		Speaker Telcser	
60.		Ryan	To close
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Explains 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
61.		Barry	Explains 'no' vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
62.		Ryan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Poll absentees and verify
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Macdonald	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	
		Macdonald	Vote me 'aye'..
63		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones, Emil	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Jones, Emil	Vote me 'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	77 'ayes'.....75 'nays'..
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Affirmative Roll
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	
64.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2355...TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2360
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Explains CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2362
65.		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Table CA #1
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1 is Tabled Third Reading
		Clerk Selkce	Reads HB-2506
		Speaker Telcser	
66.		Kempiners	Explains CA 1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea )	Inquiry
		Kempiners )	Discussion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	CA #1..is adopted Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2522
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2653
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading House Bills..Third
67.		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2267
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hyde	Taken back to Second
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2267..back on Second
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
68.		Skinner	Explains Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea )	Opposes
		)	
69.		Skinner )	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
70.		Beaupre )	
		)	
		Skinner )	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	Explains vote
71.		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #4 is adopted Third Reading
		Hyde	
		Speaker Telcser	
72.		Hyde	Explains HB-2267
73.		Speaker Telcser	
74.		Mugalian	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
75.		Beaupre	Opposes
76.		Speaker Telcser	
77.		Juckett	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Opposes
		Speaker Telcser	
78.		Skinner	Supports
79.		Speaker Telcser	
80.		Hyde	To close on HB-2267
81.		Speaker Telcser	
82.		Peters	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
83.		Griesheimer	Explains Vote
		Speaker Telcser	
84.		Rayson	Explains vote "no"...





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Simms	Explains vote... 'for'..
		Speaker Telcser	
85.		Geo-Karis	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
86.		Palmer	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Explains vote... 'yes'..
		Speaker Telcser	
87.		Bluthardt	Explains vote..
88.		Speaker Telcser	
89.		Hyde	Explains vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	Possible verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Getty	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker telcser	
90.		Hyde	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Murphy	
		Clerk Selcke	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2267 is lost
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB-2287
		Speaker Telcser	
91.		Chapman	Explains HB-2287
		Speaker Telcser	
92.		Peters	Explains vote.



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2287 is passed
93.		Choate	Moves Rules be suspended for Motions..
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Walsh, W.	Moves House stand adj.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
94.		Duff	Suspend Rules for HB-2126
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
		Fleck	Suspend Rules HJR-105
		Speaker Telcser	Leave granted
95.		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	HJR#105 is adopted
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	
96.		Speaker Telcser	House stands adjourned
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION IN ORDER.....			
1.		Speaker Telcser	First Special ..in order
		Ebbesen	Leave to suspend Rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	What?????



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz )	Objects..withdraws
2.		Speaker Telcser)	Leave granted
		FIRST SPECIAL ADJOURNED.....	

