

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIFTY-SIXTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

MAY 16, 1973

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

W. Robert Blair: "The House will be in order and the invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Johnson: "We pray. Almighty and merciful God, we thank you for your goodness to us especially for the revolution of your will and grace. This morning we ask you to grant health and prosperity to all who are in authority in our land and do them with grace to rule and administer to enact legislation and to perform judgement in accordance with your pleasure that righteousness and peace may be maintained among us. Grant that we may lead peaceful lives in discreet, uprightness, and honesty. To that end take us and all of our citizens into your care and keeping this day. Watch over our people and the borders of our state so that all of our actions may prove pleasing in your sight. Hear us for the sake of your mercy we pray. Amen."

W. Robert Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. Message from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following title, the passage of which of instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 279, 301, 313, 324, 325, 327, 328, 329, 330 passed the Senate May 15, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed the Bills of the following



A Roll Call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Peter C. Granata - illness;

Representative Henry J. Klosak - illness.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

title, the passage of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Senate Bill 142, 331, 332, 344, 345, 346, 353, 358, 362, 1147 passed the Senate May 15, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

W. Robert Blair: "Committee Reports."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Mr. Harpstrite, from Agricultural and Natural Resources, to which House Bills 1082 and 1134 were reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted, those amended do pass. Mr. Harpstrite, from Agricultural and Natural Resources, to which House Bills, 1212, 1250, 1279, 1617 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Harpstrite, from Agricultural and Natural Resources, to which House Bill 1449 was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted, those amended to pass. Mr. Harpstrite, from Agricultural and Natural Resources, to which House Bill 1450 was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted, those amended do pass and be re-referred to Appropriations. Mr. Harpstrite, from Agricultural and Natural Resources, to which House Bills 1204 and 1501 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Collins, from Executive, to which House Bill 1841 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the



Bill do pass. Mr. Collins, from Executive, to which House Bills 395, 923, 1535, and 1536 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Bluthardt, from Executive, to which House Bills 899, 1156, and 1295 were referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted, those amended do pass. Mr. Bluthardt, from Executive, to which House Bill 1157 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass to be re-referred to Appropriations. Mr. Bluthardt, from Executive, to which House Bills 1176, 1259, 1262, 1264, 1267 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Bluthardt, from Executive, to which House Bill 1672 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Bluthardt, from Executive, to which House Joint Resolution 30, reported the same with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted. Mr. Bluthardt, from Executive, to which Senate Bill 121 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Collins, from Executive to which House Joint Resolution 25 was referred, returned the same, pursuant to Rule 23 (d). The House Joint Resolution was ordered tabled. Mr. Jones, from Higher Education, to which House Bills 816, 856, 917, 921, 1107, 1434, 1435 and 1628 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Jones,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

from Higher Education, to which House Bill 855, 1173 were referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted, those amended do pass. Mr. Jones, from Higher Education, to which House Resolution 168 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted."

W. Robert Blair: "Introductions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah... House Bill 1939, Barnes. Appropriates \$500,000 to the Department of Transportation and \$1,500,000 to the Capital Development Board, First Reading of the Bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Agreed Resolutions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Resolution 307, Williams. House Resolution 308, John Wall et al. House Resolution 309, Champman et al. House Resolution 311, Skinner. House Joint Resolution 46. Borchers."

W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, these are the agreed resolutions and House Resolution 307 commends Mr. Van C. Hester, who for 32 years has been Superintendent of the Franklin Park ah... Public Schools and he is being commended upon his retirement. House Resolution 308, congratulates the Rev. Walter H. Chelminski ah... who recently celebrated his 40th anniversary of his ordination to the priesthood. House Resolution 309, commends Mr. Richard Chierico, a member of the faculty of



Elkgrove High School and a teacher of United States History and Political Science. House Resolution 311, by Representative Skinner, ah... congratulates Dave and Phylis Muffleman and their son David John ah... on the good work they've done in McHenry County. House Joint Resolution 46 ah... requests Governor Danial Walker to declare June 3, 1973 to be Illinois Missing In Action Day to promote the memory of 1300 americans who are among the missing in southeast Asia and Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah... discussion on the Agreed Resolutions? Alright, then the question is ah... all those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Alright, ah... we're going to go to Second Reading to start the day. If you'll notice ah... on the calendar for the first time ah... there is ah... indicated on Third Reading a priority of call ah... that reflects the action that was taken by the House on the adoption of the Rules changes ah... by the resolution ah... a couple of days ago. There will be a similar priority of call starting tomorrow on Second Reading so ah... we'll porceed now on Second Reading with the next Bill to be called on Second."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 790. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

W. Robert Blair: "Is Mr. Palmer here? Take it out of the



record. Ah.... 802, Choate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 802, Choate. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill, One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 802 on line 1 by deleting the words, 'an appropriation' inserting the lieu thereof the word, 'appropriation' and so forth."

W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, ah... Amendment 1 changes the word from 'an appropriation' to the word 'appropriations' which was a typographical error and in line 4 by inserting immediately before the period the words, 'and for completing the rehabilitation of the House Chambers.' These were on ah... line 11, Section 2, the sum of \$150,000 or so much thereof is customary to appropriate to the Secretary of State for expenses necessarily incurred in completing the rehabilitation of the House Chambers, which I think that we are all familiar with. If we are not, I would be happy to explain it, but I move for the adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say aye, opposed no, the ayes have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Mrs. Stiehl, no. Mr. Stone? For what purpose does the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, arise?"

Geo-Karis: Point of information, Mr. Speaker. I have a bill



up on the House Bill Second Reading and I have two Amendments, and am I in order at this time to go in to it or should I wait?"

W. Robert Blair: "Well, I suggest that we wait until we call the bill, we're coming down the calendar, now."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill...give me 806."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Stone back there? Yeh."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 806. Stone. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

W. Robert Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Barnes?"

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Barnes....Mr. Stone?"

Stone: "Yes, I would like 806 held, if I may, Mr. Speaker. I do have an Amendment, but it is not ready."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright. Take 806 back to the order of Second Reading and leave it there until Mr. Stone gets ready."

Fred Selcke: "Is Barnes there? I can't see. Is Mr. Barnes here? No. Give me the next one. B. B. Wolfe. He ain't here either."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Wolfe's not here. 820, Mr. Londrigan is here. Wait a minute. Mr. Georgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Georgi: "Mr. Speaker, could you call 819, or...B. B. Wolfe's 819?"



W. Robert Blair: "Are you handling that for him?"

Georgi: "Yes sir."

W. Robert Blair: "Oh, go back and pick up 819."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 819. A Bill for an Act to amend the Professional Service Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

W. Robert Blair: "Any Amèndments from the floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 820. Londrigan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 820 on page 1, line 14 through 22 by deleting the words "of a type approved by the Secretary of State and so forth".

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Londrigan?"

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, this Committee Amendment merely clarifiès that the change is made from the Secretary of State to the Department of Transportation. And I move the acceptance of this Amendment."

W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is on the adoption. All those in favor say aye, opposed no, the ayes have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Londrigan. Amend House Bill..

W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment merely changes the effective day from July 1st, 1974 to January 1, 1975,



in accordance with the understanding at the Committee meeting and I move its adoption."

W. Robert Blair: "On the Gentleman's motion. All those in favor of the adoption, say aye. Opposed no, the ayes have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Yourell, not here? Mr. Waddell? Mr. Waddell here? Mr. Juckett?"

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 830. Juckett. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code....Third Reading of the Bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Juckett."

Fred Selcke: "One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 830 page 1, line 8 by leaving the words "in automobile" and so forth."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. A....House Bill 830 is a bill for the handicapped and there were some technical amendments from the Department of Transportation and this Amendment brings it into conformity with federal regulations and the State regulations."

W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say aye, opposed no, the ayes have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third REading."

Fred Selcke: "831. Juckett. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 831...."

W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."



Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. House Bill 831 makes corrections in the Mental Health Code. The Amendment makes further Amendments within the a...Mental Health Code and I would urge the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 831."

W. Robert Blair: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield to a question?"

W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Catania: "A...Representative Juckett, when this bill was in the Human Resources Committee, I believe you agreed to change the provision that deals differently with husband and wives so that it would deal with them in the same way. Has this been done?"

Juckett: "Well, what I agreed to was that I would check and see whether there was a need for the different ...in the wording, and I've a...what the wording was, if I can get the Amendment right here, a...what the wording was and the problem that was involved with it is that it said that no wife is liable under this act, for clothing, transportation, or other incidental expenses of a husband who willfully fails to support...to contribute to her support for a period of five years immediately preceding the hospitalization, and the new wording that was added was that no husband is liable to this act for the clothing, transportation, or other incidental expenses of a wife who



willfully failed to domicile with him. We checked with the Department; we've checked with others and there is some concern of the courts if they start changing the terminology and history of support and the one is termed in the support angle and the other one is termed in the domicile angle. And we felt until the court makes the determination, as it presently stands, I think that the distinction is not that which would disturb anybody, but if there was a change in the support portion as there might well be under some of the decisions, then we would come in and change it and make it the same, but there is a purpose for the distinction and I think the distinction ought to stand."

Catania: "Well, Representative Juckett, no matter what the Department says, we do have a Constitution and Section 18, Article 1, says the equal protection of the law shall not be denied or abridged on account of sex by the State or the units of local government and school districts. And I fail to see how you are not really trying in the face of the Illinois State Constitution with this Amendment that you are proposing to House Bill 831."

Juckett: "Well, as the bill is originally written or as the statute now stands, the statute does not comply with that Section of the law which you just quoted because there is a discrimination against husbands and what it says is that the wife is not liable for it, and we are putting in the Amendment, that the husband is not liable under certain



conditions. Now, granted that they are not exactly the same conditions because 1, they cover the same items. They cover the items of clothing, transportation, or other incidental expenses. One is for the wife, one is for the husband. The only condition is is on the first its the wife, if the husband has refused to support her. And on the second its the husband if the wife has refused to domicile."

Catania: "Well, I appreciate...."

Juckett: "So we tried to eliminate any distinction as now presently recognized in the State. Now if the court comes in with a distinction, and says that the wife is completely liable for the husband, even though the husband is not handicapped, is not a...in any way...behind the wash, then we would be willing to make the change, but we haven't done that at this point and I think this amendment equalizes the husband's responsibilities with the present wife's exemption."

Catania: "Well, Representative Juckett, I certainly appreciate your intentions, however, I think, as the legislature in the State of Illinois, we have an obligation to obey the dictates of the Constitution, and I don't think that your Amendment does this."

W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment: All those in favor say aye, opposedalright the Lady's request for the roll call, she joined in that by a...the Lady is joined in her



request for a roll call. Mr. Skinner. Mr. Schneider. I think 5. Mr. Hirschfeld. Mr. Douglas. Alright, now we've got 5. The question is on the adoption of the Amendment, all those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wished? Please state the motion? The motion is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed no. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Alright, the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 60 ayes and 18 nays, and Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Juckett. Amend House Bill 831 on page 2 by striking line 16 and so forth."

W. Robert Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment was offered to me by the Department of Children and Family Services and it would require that the Department of Mental Health would give a report of the minor's record as they are being discharged from mental health facilities. And I would urge the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 831."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say aye, opposed, no. The ayes have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 832. Juckett. A Bill...."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright leave 831 on Second Reading, apparently....oh, leave 832 on Second."



Fred Selcke: "House Bill 803. Stiehl. An Act amending the amount of debt payable from tax receipts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

W. Robert Blair: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 804."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 804. Stiehl. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 40 of an Act to provide for in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 804 on page 2 by deleting line 17 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Lady from Sinclair, Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 804 would place home rule counties in the same position as home rule municipalities. Section 6K of Article 7 of the Constitution grants home rule counties the right to issue bonds. I move the adoption of the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 803... 804. All those in favor will signify by saying aye, opposed, no, and the amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Is Representative Barnes on the floor? Okay, is Representative Barnes on the floor? Representative Yourell for 823 and 824? House Bill 826."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 826. Waddell. A Bill for an Act to



amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

There are no Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Is Representative Calvo on the floor? No, strike 42 and 43. House Bill 846."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 846. Timothy Simms. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No. Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 847."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 847. North. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 847, page 1, line 23... "

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North." Representative North, do you wish to have your Amendment adopted?"

North: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment No. 1 puts back in on page 1, line 23, the word soley, that's all it does. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman has move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House bill 847. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed by no. The Amendment is adopted. Is there further Amendment? Third Reading." Whoops!"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. J. J. Wolf. Amend House Bill



847, page 1, line 5 by deleting ..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf." Representative Wolf, do you wish to move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 847, sir?"

The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment No. 2." The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just like to know what that Amendment was I was moving."

Arthur Telcser: "Have the Amendments been distributed? The Clerk informs me they are printed and on the members desks. Two and one half pages of Amendments. Do you want us to hold this bill on Second, Representative North, or a..."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I can't seem to find the Amendment and there has been so many that we are kind of confused so why don't we just move it to Third."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, third reading. House Bill 849."

Fred Salcke: "House Bill 849. Fennessey. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment No. 1. Amendment House Bill 849, page 2 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Committee Amendment No. 1 as the language is not correct."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 849. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed no,



and the Amendment is adopted....a...tabled." Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Committee Amendment No. 2. Amend House Bill 849, on page 2 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2. It just corrects the language in line 13, it changes of less to or more. I move for its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 849. All in favor signify by saying aye, opposed, no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 3. Fennessey. Amend House Bill 849, page 2, line 9 by striking Secretary of State and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "This Amendment is really Amendment No. 1 with the correct language. Because it deletes wording Secretary of State and adds the word Department. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House bill 849. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the Amendment is adopted. Are



there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 850.

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 850. Walters. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 854."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 854. Schraeder. A Bill for an Act in relation to of relatives by public officials. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Schraeder. Amend House Bill 854, page 2, line 24 by inserting immediately before the period the following, not to prohibit the promotion of relatives solely on the basis of merit."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment and two similar Amendments were drafted at the suggestion of the members of the committee and I move their adoption. Amendment No. 1 will let an employee, relative, presently working on the payroll to be promoted in order with his qualification and back ground, and that Amendment should be adopted. I move so, Mr. Chairman."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 854, all in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further



Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Schraeder. Amend House Bill 854, page 2, line 10, and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Amendment No. 2 is another suggestion from the committee it would put anyone making less than \$5000 per annum, would be allowed the employ, and this would take care of summer help and anyone in a lesser capacity and I move the adoption of this Amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 854. All in favor of the adoption, signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 3. Schraeder. Amend House Bill 854, page 1, and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "This Amendment, again, was at the suggestion of the committee which would allow a principal of a school who may have his relative, a teacher who is certified in the public school system, and I move its adoption, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 854. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill



857."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 857. Stone. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 5 of the General Not For Profit Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 867."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 867. Ewell. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 22.42 of an Act relating to aeronautics, Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 871."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 871. Kosinski. A Bill for Act to amend the Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Representative Getty, do you wish to have your bill called? House Bill 873."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 873. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary contingent expense of the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. Second Reading of the Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Alright, take that out of the record. Wait a second. You don't want that called? Okay, let's take that out of the record. House Bill 875."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 875. Merlo. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Board of Trustees General Assembly Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill.. No committee amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. The sponsor has asked that 877 be held. House Bill 879."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 879. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. The sponsor has requested that 888 be held. House Bill 881."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 881. Flynn. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 882."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 822. Collins. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 884."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 884. Collins. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 885."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 885. Collins. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No. committee amendments."



Arthur Telcser: " Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 886."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 886. Terzich."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Terzich on the floor?"

Well, let's take that out of the record. House Bill 888.

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 888. Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Houe Bill 889."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 889. Timothy Simms. A Bill for an Act in relation to wrongful use and disposition of credit cards. Second Reading of the Bill. Apparently Committee Amendment No. 1 was tabled in committee. Is that right? Committee Amendment No. 2. Amend House Bill 889, page 9, line 8 by deleting of and inserting in lieu thereof for, or, and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, a...Winnebago, Representative W. T. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment No. 2 corrects some technical difficulties in the bill that were enacted by the Reference Bureau. It was just to correct those difficulties. I move for the adoption of committee amendment No. 2."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 889. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion



signify by saying aye, the opposed, no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 3, Simms. Amend House Bill 889, page 12, by inserting after line 28, the following and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative W. T. Simms."

Simms: "This Committee Amendment also is to correct some technical difficulties from the bill and as worked out in committee. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 889. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion, signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 892."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 892. Hanahan. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? You want it out of the record? Take it out of the record. Representative Getty, do you wish 900 to be called. House Bill 900."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 900. Getty. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 900, page 1 and



so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment No. 1 amends the bill to provide that security guards may carry a gun in a locked case to and from work and I move the adoption of the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment No. 1 to House Bill 900. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 905."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 905. Gene Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 907."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 907. Totten. A Bill for an Act to amend the Governmental Ethics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 908."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 908. Totten. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to statement of candidacy by certain and certain acts...wait a minute, Ralph, I want to talk to you. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 908, page 1, by deleting



line 5 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 908 just amends the Municipal Code to make it applicable under this bill so that the Municipal Code and the Election Code and the School Code are in line and I move its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of committee amendment No. 1 to House Bill 908. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 911."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 911. McCormick. Can't take it, the amendment's not printed."

Arthur Telcser: "The Amendment isn't printed the Clerk informs me, so we can't consider that right now. House Bill 913."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 913. Polk. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Oh, amendment No. 1, Polk. Amend House Bill 913, page 1, line 15, by inserting the following and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk."



Polk: "Mr. Chairman, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 913 is merely an Amendment, it adds the effective date that this legislation would go into effect if passed by this General Assembly and I move for its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 913. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the amendment is adopted. Is there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 915."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 915. Madigan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment No. 1, Amend House Bill 915 on page 1 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mike Madigan."

Madigan: "Hold this on Second Reading, would you please?"

Arthur Telcser: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 916."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 916. Duester."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Duester."

Fred Selcke: "I haven't read it yet, Arthur. A Bill for an Act to provide certain appointive powers to county boards by amending acts herein named. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 916 on page 91 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Duester."



Duester: "Amendment No. 1 is a committee amendment which would remove the County Highway Superintendent's from the provision of this Act and I move the adoption of committee amendment No. 1"

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 916. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Flynn. Amend House Bill 916 by inserting the words have no township official and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sinclair, Representative Flynn."

Flynn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 916 adds the words, but no township official is eligible for such appointment. And this has to do with such appointments such as the fire boards and water boards and so forth. We normally assumed that this was already in the Act but it is not so we are taking this opportunity to add it in. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House bill 916. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 3. Duester. Amend House Bill



916 on page 78 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative
Duester."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment No. 3 was suggested and recommended by
Representative Pate Philips. It simply provides that
the ...we leave the law the way it is with respect to
border reviews. I move the adoption of amendment No. 3.

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has
offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to
House Bill 916. All in favor of the adoption signify by
saying aye. The opposed no, the amendment is adopted.
Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 4. Duester. Amend House Bill
916..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative
Duester."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment No. 4 was suggested by
a member of the other body from the Senate. Senator
Jack Schaffer of McHenry County, it would simply conform
the law with respect to the interest rates on county
bonds making the rate of interest to 7% instead of 6%.
I move the adoption of that amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has
offered to move the adoption of amendment No. 4 to House
Bill 916. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying
aye, the opposed no. The amendment is adopted. Are there



further amendments? Representative Duester, do you seek recognition sir?"

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Borchers has spoken to me about a possible further amendment. In order to accomodate the Gentleman, I would like to ask that House Bill 916 be kept on Second Reading."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, we'll leave 916 on the order of Second Reading and we've gone as far with the adoption of Amendment No. 4. Second Reading, House Bill 918."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 918. Beaupre. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Registration and Education. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 918, page 8, line 12, by deleting 155,000 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Karkakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 918 is a committee amendment. It is consistent with the recommendations of both the Republican and Democratic staff. It reduces the appropriation by \$142,500. I move the adoption of the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 918. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. Houe Bill 928."



Fred Selcke: "House Bill 928. Leon. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Health and so forth. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative... Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 936."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 936. Polk. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 941."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 941. DiPrima. A Bill for an Act to add Section 61.5-1 to an Act to provide for a law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill, No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill...I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 916. Amendment No. 4 was added onto it and I'm wondering if we could go back to that bill. I know its on Third Reading."

Arthur Telcser: "No, its on Second."

Shea: "Its on Second. Could we go back to that bill? I would like to inquire from the sponsor about something there."

Arthur Telcser: "Alright. Let's see, 941 there were no amendments. So that's Third Reading on 941. Is that okay



with Representative Duester? Do you want to wait a minute, Jer, until we get it from upstairs? Alright, now Larry, we've moved 941 to....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Getty's got an amendment he wants to put on. I don't see him here. Let's hold it up until he comes in, will you?"

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, we'll hold 941, and take it out of the record. Okay 947."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 947. Barry. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 947, page 1, and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "I move the adoption of the Committee Amendment that reduces the appropriation to allow for an adjustment of State aid."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House bill 947. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye. The opposed, no and the amendment is adopted. Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 948. Barry. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading." House Bill 950."



Fred Selcke: "House Bill 950. Maragos. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?
Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Maragos: "I don't mind this bill going to Third Reading except that I may bring it back to Second Reading for Amendments later, is that alright? If I have leave of the House to bring it....how many votes would I need to bring back to Second Reading for Amendments?"

Arthur Telcser: "We can leave it on Second, Representative, if you wish? Want to send it to Third? It would just be leave."

Maragos: "Alright I'll leave. Put it to Third Reading, and then I can bring it back to Second Reading for Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Thank you. A...Third Reading. Okay, he's talking to Representative Shea. Maybe it won't be necessary. House Bill 963. "

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 963. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to add Sections to an Act qualifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment No. 1. Amend House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Committee



Amendment No. 1 changes two words that were improper in the original bill. It changes "offer" to "affirm", "received" to "review". I move to adopt Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 963."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 963. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Committee Amendment No. 2. Amend 963 on..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Amendment No. 2 is offered by the Illinois Association for the Mentally Retarded. It excludes certain facilities for care of the mentally retarded from one provision of the bill. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 963."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 963. All in favor of the adoption, signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. Representative Shea, did you want to go back to that bill? Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Representative Duester has come over and we are dis-



cussing it, so if you'll just hold it, we'll go back to it."

Arthur Telcser: "A...he's going to go back to it....A couple of sponsors came back on the floor. Let me go back up to the next column and pick up House Bill 790."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 790. Palmer. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Holloway. R. Holloway. Amend House Bill...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."

Holloway: "A...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is merely an amendment that equalizes the contribution of the firemen across the State lines, and I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea,"

Shea: "This isn't a Committee Amendment, is it, Mr. Holloway?"

Holloway: "No, it isn't."

Shea: "Would you explain what it does again, then please?"

Holloway: "It simply equalizes the contribution to the Pension Fund by the downstate firement to equalize the contribution by the firemen in counties other than Cook."

Shea: "Well, we don't have a copy of the amendment here. Has it been distributed yet?"

Holloway: "Yes it has."

Shea: "Does this increase the contribution of the firemen from



5% to 7%? Is that what it does?"

Holloway: "Yes."

Shea: "What does this do to municipal rates? How are their rates bases? On contribution?"

Holloway: "Yes."

Shea: "So what will it do to the municipalities contribution?"

Holloway: "As far as I know, it will do nothing to it. This is the ah... the contribution made by the firemen themselves."

Shea: "Alright, this increases by 40% the contribution of the firemen, is that correct?"

Holloway: "Downstate."

Shea: "Yes."

Holloway: "Yes."

Shea: "Alright, now how is the municipal contribution based on this? In.... in.... at least in the City of Chicago? There is a multiplier of or ah.... or 2.24 times the salary or the contribution of the firemen. Now how does a municipality make it's contribution in these funds? A fixed dollar amount or a multiplier?"

Holloway: "The municipality ah...is not effected at all. This amendment is directed entirely to the contribution of the firemen themselves."

Shea: "Well, you know ah... I'm curious ah... this never went to Committee, why are we asking the firemen, the individual firemen to contribute 40% more towards their...."

Holloway: "Well, the thrust of this Bill is to allow downstate



firemen to retire with the same percentage ah... compensation as the firemen in Chicago. Presently they're retiring with 65%, where as in Chicago and Cook County it is 75%."

Arthur Telcser: "Wait a second now. The gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf may be unable to enlighten this discussion."

Wolf: "The amendment.... the suggestion.... it was suggested in Committee when we passed this Bill out that that would be some ah... further amendments.... possibly proposed and we passed the Bill for Representative North for ah... increased benefits for downstate firemen ah.... so this would..... they are asking for retirement equal to the Chicago Fire Fighters, but there is a 2% discrepancy in the amendments contribution."

Shea: "But Jay, this is something that you know about. Thank you very much."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 790. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed by saying 'no', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. Alright, Yourell is not here.
Philip: House Bill 801."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 801, Duff. A Bill for an Act to create a Commission on Life Science and Public Policy. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 812."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 812, Barnes. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. Yourell is not here. House Bill 832."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 832. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Committee Amendment 1 was tabled in Committee. Committee Amendment 2 amends House Bill 832 on page 2 by striking...."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment 2 ah... makes further clarifications in the Bill and I would ah... move the adoption of Committee Amendment 2 to House Bill 832."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 832. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 909."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 909, Blair: "A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 909 on page 3, line 7, by"

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment 1 changes the word 'commission' to 'authority'. I move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 964."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 964. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment 2. Amend House Bill 964, page 1, lines 17, 18 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment 2 was suggested by the Committee strictly as a gramatical error that was ah....found by ah... Dick Walsh. I move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 964."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 964. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading." The next one will be nay, we'll wait till next time for mine. Ah.... House Bill 969."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 969, Juckett. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. Now ah.. let's go back to House Bill 916, which was left on Second. Representative Shea wanted to get



this one taken care of. 916. Representative Duester, Representative Borchers has just brought an amendment up to the Clerk's desk for 916.... are you listening Representative Duester? It has not been printed so we cannot act on it now, so do you want me to take it out of the record?"

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, the distinguished Minority Leader had requested that we have an opportunity to reconsider and discuss Amendment 4 ah...that's so much for Amendment 4 ah... Representative Borchers is going to offer Amendment 5 and since it has not been printed ah.. I would like the Bill to be kept on the order of Second Reading following our reconsideration of Amendment 4."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I think that Mr. Tipsword wants to talk to Amendment 4 more than I do."

Arthur Telcser: "So that the Members are aware, ah... we're on House Bill 916 on the order of Second Reading. Amendment 4 has been adopted. The Members wish to have a further discussion on it. The gentleman from Christain, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, I thank Representative Shea.....Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, I thank Representative Shea for raising this question. I was called off the floor when this amendment was up for consideration and the amendment makes only one change in the law that provides that there will be an



increase from 6% annually to 7% annually in the bonds that may be issued under Section 48, by the county in payment of or in exchange for vouchers that ah... various people may hold from the county in various counties throughout the state. I question whether or not there is any need that this interest rate be raised the 1%. I have no instances called to my attention where counties that have issued these bonds have issued these bonds have been unable to sell them because of the 6% limitation on the interest rates. I greatly fear, has I have voiced in this House before on many other occasions, that merely raising the interest rate because we anticipate that sometime in the future ah... it might be necessary ah... it merely gives ah..... or creates a reason for raising the interest rate because when the interest rate goes up, that's the rate that that.... ah... generally unless there is ah.... a very good market at the time for for ah... bond sellers, which we don't really have a really fantastic good market for them where they can really get good competition, I think that it is going to push the interest rate up 1% even though that's not what they're paying at the present time, ah... it's going to raise the taxes for our county residents and that means generally the raising of the real estate taxes in all of the counties in the state to pay bonds as they are issued by another 1%. So I would certainly urge the Members of this House to consider ah... this Amendment 4 very carefully and the possi-



bility of removing it as an amendment from this House Bill 916."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Lake, Representative Duester."

Duester: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, ah.. I want to thank the gentleman from ah.... making the House aware of one of the problems with Amendment 4. I wanted to explain that I had offered Amendment 4 as a courtesy to Senator Jack Schaffer from McNery County who had brought this to my attention. Apparently this is the only legislative vehicle moving and they ah.. look around for a Bill and found mine. I have no personal interest in this, however, on behalf of Senator Schaffer, I would like to explain the reason for the change ah... I'm advised that there is an ¹⁰⁴² in that ah... it's possible under the Local County Improvement Act for ah... ten annual installments to be ah... authorized at an interest rate of 7%. On the other hand there is another Section of the law that says the ah.. bonds can only be 6% and the letter which I have here indicates that although it is true as the gentleman said, ah... presently 6% is adequate. There is a feeling and a real possibility that the interest rates may increase so they wanted to be... have a little foresight and look ahead and I'll change that ¹⁰⁴⁴ and also increase from 6% to 7% and so with that explanation I would like to leave the decision on this amendment to the



will of the Members. As I say ah.. to the Representative on the other side of the aisle ah.... I'm offering it as a courtesy to a Member of the Senate."

Arthur Telcser: "O'kay, ah... the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea ah... having voted on the prevailing side, moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment 4 to House Bill 916 was adopted. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The gentlemans motion prevails and now the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea moves that Amendment 4 to House Bill 916 be tabled. All in favor of the gentlemans motion to table signify by saying 'aye', the opposed, 'no' and the amendment is tabled and now with the request of the Sponsor ah... we'll leave the Bill on the order of Second Reading with Amendment 3 adopted and Amendment 4 has now been tabled and Amendment 5 is on the Clerk's desk and copies are being printed. At some point in time we will return. House Bill 977."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 977, Berman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 977 page 3, line 8 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Committee Amendment 1 merely adds the qualifying words ah...'program approved by the Superintendent of Public Instruction' to House Bill 977 and



I move the adoption of Committee Amendment 1."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment 1 to House Bill 977. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed by saying 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 978."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 978, Matijevich. A Bill for an Act...the Illinois Trade and Drugs Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? The gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I gave an amendment, but I don't believe that it's printed yet. I gave an amendment to the Clerk."

Arthur Telcser; "Well, if it's not printed yet, we'll take it out of the record. House Bill 979."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 978, out of the record. House Bill 979, Matijevich. A Bill for an Act relating to pharmacists, pharmacies and fee splitting. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 982."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 982, Telcser."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Douglas will take care of it."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah... Telcser....."

Arthur Telcser: "It's a Committee Amendment. There is a floor



amendment that we printed that is on everybody's desk. I took care of it this morning. The Committee Amendment is distributed on the floor."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 982. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Control Substance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 982, page...."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment 1."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment 1 to House Bill 982. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment 2, Ryan. Amend House Bill 982, page 1, line 2 by inserting 'immediately' and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 982."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea,"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, are these Committee Amendments to this Bill?"

Arthur Telcser: "Amendment 1 was a Committee Amendment."



Shea: "Is 2 a Committee Amendment?"

Arthur Telcser: "No, now Amendment 2 is a floor amendment that was discussed in Committee ah.. late in the evening ah.... the Reference Bureau could not get the amendment ready and Representative Ryan is now offering it and I'm sure he'll explain it and....."

Shea: Alright, this is a Controlled Substance Act, which is a ah.... a canibus control and ah... these are the Bills that came out of the Crime Commission two years ago."

Arthur Telcser: "No, no, no....."

Shea: "Well, that's... I'm concerned.... what?"

Arthur Telcser: "This doesn't deal with the Canibus Control Act. It deals with triplicate forms ah....on prescriptions for amphetamines."

Shea: "I... I understand, but it's in that Bill, isn't it? It's in that Act. We're getting into the hassel again, Art of you know.... we assign one Bill and we sent another one, ah.... 177 back to the floor with alot of re-writing in it."

Arthur Telcser: "Ya, I recall that, but....."

Shea: "Ya, I thought that maybe you'd recall that."

Arthur Telcser: "But this is totally unrelated to that."

Shea: "Well, I'm curious as to what we're doing here."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, Representative Ryan, do you wish to explain the amendments?"

Ryan: "Well, in my amendment... in the original Bill ah..., Representative Shea, the licensed practical nurses were



omitted from the... from the Bill to handle controlled substances, and all my amendment does it to put licensed practical nurses back in."

Shea: "Alright, now then.... in other words, they can now handle these items that we call controlled...."

Ryan: "Right, barbiturates and ect."

Shea: "Alright."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 982. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments. Third Reading. Oh.... I'm sorry. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, point of order."

Arthur Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, previously House Bill 909 was read a Second time and an amendment was adopted. I looked for the amendment on my desk and couldn't find it. Could you indicate to me whether or not the amendment was reproduced and placed on the Members desks?"

Arthur Telcser: "Alright, first let me say that 982 was ah... Third Reading for 982. O'okay. Now ah....the Clerk informs me that the amendment that was adopted to 909 ah... was a Committee Amendment, which was distributed to the Members."

Schlickman: "Alright, could you do this favor for me? I don't have a copy. Could the Clerk indicate to me as to whether



or not on page 2 of the Bill, line 29....."

Arthur Telcser: "O'okay Gene, could you wait a second? It's upstairs, we'll have to fetch it down. Now I'm going to read another Bill or two and then I'll get back to you. O'okay? House Bill 991. Is Representative Katz on the floor? No? He is not on the floor. House Bill 992."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 992, Tuerk. A Bill for an Act to add Section 27.01 to an Act concerning Public Utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading." House Bill 994. Is Representative B.B.Wolf on the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 994, B.B.Wolf. A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailors Occupations Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading." House Bill 995."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 995, A Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation Department of General Services. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 998."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 998. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for a cost of living increase and compensation of certain state employees. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 998 on page 1, line 1, by deleting...."



Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Committee Amendment to the State Employees Cost of Living Assessment and approved by the committee 23 to nothing. I move the adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 998. All in favor of the adoption, signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Third Reading. Yeh, House Bill 999."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 999. Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Alright, Representative Schlickman, Jack's going to bring that out to your desk so you can look at it.. Okay? House Bill 1000."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1000. Epton. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Got any amendments on this? Huh? No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? You got three amendments? Take it out of the record. House Bill 1006."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1006. Ron Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Code. No Committee Amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1007."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1007. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1013."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1013. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor. Third Reading. House Bill 1029."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1029. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor.?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Rayson. Amend House Bill"

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, this is, in effect, a committee amendment. It was ironed out in committee. We had no time to get the amendment because of the gush of bills, and as a help for clarify amendment to this bill, and I urge the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1029."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House



Bill 1029. All in favor of the adoption signifying by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1036." The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "With this, do we do away with publication?"

Arthur Telcser: "Turn on Rayson."

Rayson: "No, its an alternate extra service in divorce proceedings."

Shea: "Yeh, but right now, if you can't get personal service, you require publication? What you are saying in this bill if I read it right is that if you don't get service by the Sheriff, what you do is then send it certified mail, and if somebody receipts for it, it is good service. Is that right?"

Rayson: "That's right, but it applies only for indugents who can't pay for publication."

Shea: "Let me ask you a question. I think the indugent can have the fees waived under court rules now. I know you've done some work for the church federation and so has my wife and everytime she handles an indugent thing for the church federation, she goes in and gets all the fees waived."

Rayson: "Well, that's true. But this is an alternative way to effect service of process in divorce proceedings. That's all we're offering and we're not doing away with publication."

Shea: "Okay."

Rayson: "Thank you."



Arthur Telcser: "Third Reading. House Bill 1036. Representative Matijeovich, do you want that out of the record?"

Matijeovich: "No, no."

Arthur Telcser: "Oh, okay."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1036. Matijeovich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like unanimous leave to table House Bill 1036."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked leave to table House Bill 1036. Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1036 will be tabled. House Bill 1038."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1038."

Arthur Telcser: "There is an amendment that has not been printed yet? We'll have to give it a pass. House Bill 1039."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1039. Geo-Karis. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "A...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Committee Amendment was the amendment on home rule. Municipalities under home rule are excluded from this bill in the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House



Bill 1039. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Geo-Karis. Amend House Bill...

Arthur Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1039, members of this legislature and Mr. Speaker, a...simply adds the words.... amends House Bill 1039 on page 1, line 14, by deleting the word "other" and inserting in lieu thereof, the word "subsequent" and on page 2, line 7, it adds the following "the lien may be enforced by proceedings to foreclose as in case of mortgages or mechanics lien. Suit to foreclose this lien shall be commenced within two years after the date of filing Notice of Lien."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1039. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1040."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1040. Schneider. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1044."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1044. R. Holloway. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1050."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1050. Blair. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to meetings. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 1050..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Wills; Speaker Blair."

Blair: "A...Mr. Speaker, this bill a...makes it clear that the State Open Meetings Law applies to home rule units. The Amendment provides there that that will be a minimum requirement for home rule units and if they desire to enact ordinances that would prescribe more stringent requirements that they may do so."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1050. All in favor of the adoption, signify by saying aye, the opposed, no; the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1052."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1052. Craig. A bill for an act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 1052..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Craig. Is the Gentleman on the floor? Take it out of the record. House Bill 1053."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1053. Brinkmeier. A Bill for an Act to provide for the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No. Committee Amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Brinkmeier. Amend House Bill 1052...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I particularly would like to call to the attention of the elementary and secondary education committee members as well as the co-sponsors of this bill. This is a substantial change because of what we are doing with this amendment is going from judicial districts to congressional districts in establishing our educational districts around the State. I think it would provide for minority representation. Provide for more visibility. I think it is a very good amendment and I would urge your adoption of it."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1053. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1054."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1054. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this committee



amendment is merely a housekeeping amendment. There was one sentence misplaced or actually deleted from the bill and we just added that sentence which showed how the ballot form would be presented. I move for the adoption of the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1054. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments. Third Reading. Okay, let's go back to House Bill 1000."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1000. Epton. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Epton. Amend House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, in the passage of this bill which occurred after five hours of debate in committee, we did not go into detail as to the question of motorcycles, motor driven vehicles, and also antique vehicles. The feeling of everybody in both public and industry is that it isn't essential to have motorcycles or motor driven vehicles, or the antique vehicles, which are seldom utilized in the no fault legislation. And this amendment excludes those type of vehicles from the bill and I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1."



Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Would the sponsor yield?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Berman: "Bernie, why the distinction? I can understand antique vehicles because they are not driven except in parades, supposedly. But why exclude motorcycles?"

Epton: "The cost of including motorcycles in this provision would cause a...."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I can't hear the response. Could we have some order, please?"

Epton: "Under the terms of the no fault legislation included in this bill, the cost to the owner of a motorcycle would be so prohibitive, it would probably exceed the cost of the motorcycle itself. There are first party coverages available to them and all of the States in the Union, without exception, that have passed no fault legislation, this problem has come up. And even in Washington, they have seen fit to exclude motorcycles and motor driven vehicles. It is purely a practical matter which should, in no way, effect the bill itself. Particularly, since the number of motorcyclists obviously are minimal in any State."

Berman: "I'm looking at the second part of your amendment."

Epton: "Yes."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I...Bernie, do you have a copy of this amendment?"

Epton: "Yes I do. The one that strikes out just the word



"mandatory". Is that what you are referring to?"

Berman: "Yes, that doesn't seem to fit in the bill."

Epton: "No, that has nothing to do with the ...that has to do with subrogation between insurance companies on the bill and we give them the right to exclude claims under 500 dollars. That is the only effect of that. ... between inter-company arbitration."

Berman: "Where do you see the word....oh, I see, I see."

Epton: "Okay?"

Berman: "Thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "A..Mr. Epton, have you had any idea what the premiums would be if you did not amend that bill to include a...."

Epton: "Yes, the premiums cost to the motorcyclist and the motor drive vehicle would probably exceed the cost of the motorcycle."

Maragos: "Is that because of the actuarial tables of the lack of safety of motorcycles?"

Epton: "In all probability, I don't know just who or how they determine it, but the testimony throughout the United States has resulted in those type of figures from different insurance companies who said this is what we would charge if it were mandatory to insure a motorcycle."

Maragos: "Alright, what in effect will this do to your bill that as far as the vehicle and motorcycle owners, will they excluded entirely from any coverage, or a..."



Epton: "This simply excludes them from the mandatory no fault coverage that my bill would call for. For example, motorcyclists today would still get the same type of insurance presently available."

Maragos: "And would the a...alright, but the a...would it effect the negates of the no fault provisions as to those people?"

Epton: "Only as to the motorcyclist himself. If wouldn't..."

Maragos: "But not to the responsibility of the third parties?"

Epton: No, there would be no change in that."

Maragos: "Alright, thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "A couple of questions if you will, Mr. Sponsor. Taking out motorcycles and the antique automobiles, do you envision that that is going to give you any constitutionality problem.....by just picking and choosing and taking out some motor driven vehicles?"

Epton: "Well, obviously, Counselor Barry, you have read the Cavelli decision, but very simply, we don't think the exclusion of these two small segments of the population would in any way effect the constitutionality. On the other hand if it does, the only persons, the only ones who would be jeopardized by this exclusion would be the insurance industry who would be faced with certain policy provisions without the benefit of any toward exemption so that people could only gain even if the Supreme Court



would rule against it. Another thing, we've noticed, there is no severability clause, is there?"

Epton: "Yes there is sir."

Barry: "Is there one there, we've missed it. And as to you Amendment No. 1, specifically, the deletion of lines 3 and 4 on page 14, it would seem to me that that might increase costs, because as I understand it, we are dealing with the subrogation of the \$500, are we not?"

Epton: "It should not. The thought was it would avoid, as it presently stood, my bill has this \$500 threshold, and therefore there would be no litigation under, with bill less, not in excess of \$500. By the same token, insurance industry was mandated to subrogate even if it was \$100 difference, so that we felt it would lower the cost by not making it mandatory for them to subrogate a claim, let's say of \$197 or if they paid the \$197, they wouldn't necessarily have to go against the wrong - doer for that amount. They could if they choose to."

Barry: "But is it optional. I don't read that it is optional. with the deletion of these two lines?"

Epton: "Well we took out the mandatory which of course, takes away the provisio that they must subrogate. This was the intent of it. If, I should add, that if there is any clarification in that aspect, either here or in the Senate, we'll certainly change it, but that is the intent of it, to lower the cost."

Barry: "I still have my doubts about the constitutionality



and I'll withhold my decision."

Epton: "Well, I'd appreciate your voting for this anyhow, because, as I say, even if your correct, this amendment would only add to the features of your bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of No. 1 to House Bill 1000. All those in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed by saying no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Londrigan. Amend House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a very important amendment to a very important bill. What we are seeking here to do is to have the alternative right to sue for permanent and injury in a claim for pain and suffering. As we have seen, when the last bill went through in the last session, we strenuously asked that pain and suffering be included, but no amendment were accepted and this was one of the reasons why the bill was unconstitutional. There can be no rhyme or reason why pain and suffering is fixed to medical expense. If a person has a whiplash injury or craced ribs, I know of one suit where there was only a \$35 medical bill, but they recovered \$3500 in the suit because of the pain and suffering. Now there is no logic, no reason, to peg pain and suffering onto a medical bill. It just cannot be done,



and for this reason, it should not be done. I've asked myself many times, why is the insurance industry who always was against no fault, now favor no fault? I've found out the reason is that they found out what they knew all along that on their health and accident policy, they could determine their profits and losses because they had limits. But some of them were losing on their automobile policies because they do not have these medical limits so what they did is take the no fault concept, put limits on it, which is called the threshold, and that will permit them to insure their own profits. But what are they doing? In order to do this, they are taking away from the people the alternative right to sue. Now everybody favors no fault in that we want to give the people fast, immediate recovery for their medical expenses and their out of pocket and so forth. Everybody agrees with that. But we have been saying for sometime, the lawyers, especially, there is no reason to give up your alternative right to sue. If they do not do right by you and your pain and suffering permanent injury, why can't you still sue? You can and this is what we have been striving to do many years, and finally, I think we are getting our point through. Lawyers had been blamed last time, and I am a lawyer, of course, but this time insurance companies came in and admitted all fees have nothing to do with this. Remember again, we are only talking about the bodily injury part of your insurance policy. Just your body injury which



is a small part, so when they're telling you you may have savings, you're savings at the most, and they will admit, will only be \$4 or \$5 a policy, and there is no guarantee in this law or any law that you have any savings. So what they're doing is taking away from the people the right to sue. Now lawyers favor almost all points of the no fault doctrine. They favor no fault sponsors one insurance that will pay medical hospital promptly regardless of fault, and all lawyers support it. No fault sponsors want insurance that will pay disability benefits promptly to disabled wage earners regardless of fault. All lawyers support this. No fault sponsors want smaller cases handled by arbitration in court. Lawyers support this. No fault sponsors favor compulsory insurance. No fault sponsors favor limiting multiple payments and medical and disability benefits. Also, they want uninsured motorists insurance made compulsory. Lawyers, as a body, support every one of these no fault concepts. The only one in this big and important issue is the threshold concept taking away from people there alternative right to sue. Now this is what the insurance industry wants. But, what they are attempting to do in this very important area is put on the threshold concept that will set an arbitrary medical limit, take away from the blameless accident victim, there present rights to recover damages for pain and suffering. The threshold proposal is morally and legally wrong. There is absolutely no reason as a matter of principle, for the



insurance industry to ask a blameless automobile accident victim to give up 80% of its present rights that the insurance can make possibly more profit and can, maybe, save \$4 or \$5 a year on insurance payment. It is wrong in principle for a person who has medical bills of \$499 to get nothing, nothing for pain and suffering, while one who has bills of \$501 can and often does, receive \$2000 to \$3000 for pain and suffering. This is class legislation without reasonable justification, directed at an unorganized group in our society, who at this time, does not even know that they are future blameless accident victims whose rights are being destroyed. So what we are seeking to do here today is to make sure that this bill is constitutional. I maintain that if we accept this amendment to include paid and suffering, that this bill will go out of this House with an overwhelming majority. Everyone will favor it and it will be best possible piece of legislation for the people. I ask your acceptance."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my colleague across the aisle is quite correct in almost all of this statements. The fact is that we agree on almost all of the provisions of this bill. The one unfortunate difference, however, really is the heart of this bill and that is the elimination of this threshold. There is no question that individuals



are giving up a certain right in the return for the hope the premiums will be reduced. I submit, however, that there is no possible way that we can obtain a reduction in the premium unless some of these minor claims are removed from the courts and the insurance companies do not have to make payments. I think most of you know that to suggest that this is an insurance company bill is not exactly accurate. The members of my committee, as well as those of you on the floor of the House, time and again have heard me take after the insurance industry which I think is a rather heartless organization. I think in many cases, they should be properly chastized. I have never had a brief for them. And I think that their profits, in many cases, are questionable. But in this case, I'm not interested in the insurance industry, I'm interested in the people. The vast majority of the people who may have to give up a small right in return for which they will get payments expedited and hopefully, a reduction in their premium. Now I submit that what Representative Londrigan is trying to do is embody in Representative Maragos' bill. Those of you who have a very honest difference of opinion as to whether the threshold should be achieved or should not, should wait and allow the passage of this bill as I personally have it or the defeat of this bill on third reading. And Representative Maragos' bill, you have a bill which is extremely similar to this one, with the exception of this



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

threshold, so that the Insurance Committee deliberately gave you these two choices to go ahead and pass this amendment at this time, would take away your choice, it would leave you with only one bill, which inevitably would add to the cost of the insurance premium. Although I respect the motivation of the sponsor of this amendment, I submit that it is ill advised and this bill should go up or down on third reading as the commission and the sponsor presently envisions it. I solicit your vote against this bill...this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I concur with the statements of Representative Epton, and I'd like to emphasize one additional point. The distinguished Representative from the other side, referred several times to the savings of \$4 or \$5, I think he used, and I'm not quite...I don't quite understand where he gets these figures because I see in results in other States of this concept where substantially more savings will result from a no fault bill. Now I want to emphasize, at this point, I don't necessarily support this particular no fault bill, but I do want to emphasize that there are substantial savings for the citizens of Illinois when we passed out a good no fault bill and a threshold of some level is essential to generate these savings and if some of the more urban areas of this State were people with particularly



two cars, and so forth, they are paying upwards close to a \$1000 a year in insurance premiums and this is going to generate a lot more savings than just \$4 or \$5, so don't damage this bill by adopting this amendment, folks."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to speak in support of this amendment that has been offered at this time. This amendment gives back to the people of this State of the Illinois who are injured the rights that they have had to collect for what has been, not a minor part in recovery, but has been a major part of their entitlement to recovery for injuries that they have suffered heretofore in Illinois. I think we may be blinding ourselves solely on the basis of premium, and not thinking at all about those people who are severely injured in automobile accidents. Those people have to be restored to health. Those people who are going to spend months in bed. Those people who have been maimed and injured. And I would submit that they are not going to be taking advantage of this right that they have always had, to sue for their pain and suffering unless they have really incurred pain and suffering for which they have been reimbursed. The bill does provide for them their basic medical coverage. One of the most immediate needs that they have after an accident. But if we do not amend this bill, we are denying to them what the people



finally found, after a few months of the short lived operation of our last no fault, to have been a great hoax upon them. Many people who were in accidents suddenly found out under the last no fault bill that we had that this major right that was theirs to recover for the pain and for the suffering that they endured as a result of an injury, had been taken away from them. They must have this right to sue, they must have this right to recover for an additional injury that is theirs and I strongly urge the members of this House to adopt this amendment. This is not an amendment for the lawyers. It would require a lawyer to bring their law suit, of course, but it is not an amendment for the lawyers, but an amendment for the injured people of the State of Illinois to have a right to recover for part of their injury that otherwise, this bill would deny to them."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to rise to further add my comments in support of this most important amendment. I think this is a practical matter. We must talk to our insurance representatives, because when you pin them down on this no fault bill, they actually tell you that the insurance industry isn't about to lower any premiums and I think this is a facade that has been carried on too long. I was out just the other night speaking with an Allstate



Representative, he told me point blank that the insurance industry is not going to lower its premiums. The entire project is being carried on to more facilitate payment of money to claimants, which ultimately would cut out one third of the cost which namely, would otherwise be attorneys fees. It might be a legitimate thing so we can get the cost of medical expenses back in the hands of claimants, but I think, too, at the same time, cut out the rights to recover from pain and suffering, which is a legitimate cost in any claim in a personal injury action would destroy some of the fundamental rights that were created in the Constitution. Let's be very honest about this. The insurance companies are backing this bill for one reason. They are companies in business to make money by the passage of the bill as it now stands, they are going to make more money. I don't fault them for this, I think that they are like any other commercial enterprise, they should be allowed to make money. Let's not destroy our rights as given to us under the Constitution for the sake of one industry. I urge you to support this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from...oh, I got you once already, Sam. Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan, to close."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, we are talking about a limited



~~will~~ here. We are putting in your right to sue on
~~permanent~~ permanent injury and for pain and suffering. Always
~~remember~~ remember that you will be paid your medical expenses
~~and~~ your loss of wages. Nobody is going to sue then,
~~unless~~ unless they have a very serious claim. However, if you
~~do not~~ put in this amendment, you, members of your family,
~~and~~ the people in your district, can have a lawsuit and
~~a recovery~~ recovery of many thousands of dollars; ten, twenty,
~~thirty~~, forty thousands of dollars and they can't be
~~limited~~ limited to their medical expenses, \$300 or \$400 dollars.
~~After~~ this has happened to you and your friends and they
~~ask~~ you why did you vote for such a bill. When the
~~insurance~~ insurance industry, as you just heard, told you there will
~~be no~~ reduction in premiums, we are attempting to do here
~~is to~~ say through all of us the alternative right to sue
~~if we~~ are not satisfied and this...on permanent injury
~~and~~ pain and suffering. I repeat that if this amendment
~~is~~ accepted, this no fault with all of the other good
~~measures~~ will sail through this House and we will have one
~~that~~ will be Constitutional. I ask your acceptance."

Arthur Walcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the
~~adoption~~ adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1000. Do you
~~wish~~ wish a roll call? All those in favor of the Gentleman's
~~motion~~ motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no.
~~The~~ Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sorry that
~~all~~ of you didn't follow this discussion, but apparently,



by those lights up there, you are missing the point completely in that many of these arguments may be perfectly valid. They should come on Third Reading. If you don't vote no on this at the present time for all practical purposes, and this is certainly not a threat, I'm just saying to you, there is no point in pursuing this bill. This cuts the bill entirely. That means that you are going to have a bill in front of you, you'll have two bills with no threshold, both of which are almost identical with the exception of the benefits. If this amendment is put on, I would have no choice but to table the bill. Now I can understand your desire to defeat the bill, and that might be right and proper, but to do it at this stage, is taking away from the members of this House, many of whom are presently not listening, the right to determine. You have letters today from constituents asking a 3000 threshold, a 5000 threshold, a 1000 threshold. I would simply call to your attention, this is not an insurance company bill. The insurance industry isn't supporting this bill. In a way, I resent the remarks of my colleagues who make that statement. I have never carried water on any bill for anybody and you clowns who indicate otherwise, better get that through your head. When I present a bill, its my bill, and its not industry's and its not the trial lawyers. And from now on you lawyers who get up and put words in my mouth, are going to have to respond, and I



won't take it from a freshman or a senior. This is not an industry's Bill and it is not a trial lawyers Bill and if you vote this amendment on, which is your previlage, you've defeated this Bill at Second Reading, which is also your previlage. If you do, you'll simply prove what many of the people of the State of Illinois think about many of the people in this House. Again I respectfully urge that you vote 'no'."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my 'green' light up there, I want to say that I have the highest regard for the Sponsor of this Bill and it's true that he is not anybodys tool. It is true that he has got the highest integrity and that he in good conscience feels that this Bill of his is the best way to approach this problem, but he and I have differed on the question of constitutionality and I'd say to him ah..., not to withdraw his Bill because this will be more constitutional after this amendment. The whole crux of the thing is has the Supreme Court of Illinois has stated is the question is whether any arbitrary ah... impetiment to file a law suit in the State of Illinois is constitutional and I think that this amendment would make it constitutional and that is why I'm voting for it. Not in anyway to masquerade his Bill because he has many other points in the Bill as compared to mine that are



different and he knows it and there are.... it's still a good Bill even with the amendment, inspite of what his statement, but I can say that I have a high regard for him, but I think the question of constitutionality is a great question of all of us here."

Arthur Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 58 'ayes' and 45 'nays' and the gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment 2 to House Bill 1000 prevails. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "In veiv of this vote and the manner of which the Bill is presented I ask leave to table House Bill 1000."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has ask leave to table House Bill 1000. Are there any objections? The gentleman from Cook Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully ask the Sponsor of this Bill to hold off and not to be rash because of this particular situation. The still of the Bill can be an avenue of approach, which I would like to support if other things happen. Please do not kill this Bill and that is why I object to giving you unánamous leave at this time."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "If I may respond, I take no offense with Representative Maragos or Representative Londrigan, the fact that I consider this dirty pool and it's part of the game."



This Bill came out of Committee with the understanding that we would give this House two alternatives and if the House is stupid enough to ignore those alternatives, I'm a Member of this Body and I will go along. I insist that this Bill be tabled."

Arthur Telcser: "O'kay, the gentleman has received leave and House Bill 1000 will be tabled. Representative Terzich has returned to the floor. House Bill 886."

Jack O'brien: "House Bill 886. A Bill for an Act to permit public employees to enter into deferred compensation plan. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 886....."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, this is a home rule amendment and I move adoption of the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 886. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted, Are there further amendments? Third Reading. O'kay, I understand that House Bill 1038 the amendments have been distributed so will the Clerk please read House Bill 1038 on the order of Second Reading?"

Jack O'Biren: "House Bill 1038, Schraeder. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code, Second Reading of the



Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder. Oh, I'm sorry."

Jack O'Brien: No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 1, Schraeder. Amends House Bill 1038."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Hosue, this amendment is the additional language for the ah.... and would grant to the previous Bill a 10% salary over and above the regular commission rate for the Chief of the Board of Election Commissioners and I would move its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1038. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed by saying 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 2, Bradley. Amends House Bill 1038 on page 1...."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, Amendment 2 to House Bill 1038 it ah....it ah... brings back the minimum salaries instead of increasing them as the Bill originally did, ah... it will bring the minimums back to where they were before."



So the minimum will be the same and the maximum will be increased and it ah.... what it does in effect is it allows the county ah... officers to make the decision ah.. of the County Board.. whether they will give an increase in salaries or not. Otherwise there would be a mandate that salaies would be increased ah... so I am offering Amendment 2 to House Bill 1038."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would urge defeat of this amendment as it was not a part of the Committee's recommendation in that.... in the Committee of Elections. There was discussions on it and I would like my Bill to remain as it was in Committee with the one amendment that was just adopted then.... but I would ask for support of the General Assembly in defeating this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1038. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Do you wish a Roll, Representative Bradley? Or do the Members wish a Roll Call?"

Bradley: "I would like to close too, ah... Mr. Chairman or Mr. Speaker, if I may."

Arthur Telcser: "I'..... I'm sorry. Let the gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley close."



Bradley: "I would like to remind everybody on the floor of the House that if we do not amend this ah... and take the second reading.... or the Second Reading..... the Second Amendment to this Bill, we're mandating that the Election Commissioners and the Chief Clerks ah.... get a pay raise. Now what I'm doing with the amendment is leaving the minimum as it presently is and increasing.... or not with my amendment, but the increase in the original Bill and the maximum so that the County Boards at their discretion if they wish, they can give the Commissioners and the Chief Clerk a pay raise. Otherwise, we are not providing the money to the counties to provide these increases in salaries, but again we are doing something that we usually do and we mandate a salary increase without providing the fund. So I urge you to give some thought and give your support for Amendment 2 so that we are not mandating pay increases. Thank you very much."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1038. All those in favor of the gentlemans motion signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. I think the 'ayes' have it. Now is somebody wants a Roll Call.... O'kay, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1055."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1055, Tipword. An Act to increase burial expense allowance under the Workman's Compensation Disease Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee



Amendment. Amends House Bill 1055 on page 5....."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Christian, Representative
Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, this was
an amendment that was adopted in.. in ah... Committee
in Labor and Industrial Relations, which reduces from
\$2500 to \$2000 ah...the proposed amount that should be
provided for ah.... death benefits under Workmans Com-
pensation to bring it more in line with the cost of
funeral expenses today. I would urge that the House
adopt this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has
offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill
1055. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying
'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted.
Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House
Bill 1059."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1059, D.L. Houlihan. A Bill for
an Act to amend the School Boards and Welfare Centers
Sponsored Community School Lunch Program. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Is
Representative Houlihan on the floor? Here he is. Are
there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. House
Bill 1064."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1064, Carter. A Bill for an Act
creating the Study Commission. One Committee Amendment."



Amends House Bill 1064 on page 1....."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Carter."

Carter: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Committee Amendment 1."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment 1 to House Bill 1064. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1072."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1072, Stone. A Bill for an Act to amend the Industrial Project Revenue Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1074."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1074, Hanahan. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Fair Employment Practive Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 1, Caldwell. Amends House Bill 1074...."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Cladwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Chairman, an amendment was offered in Committee and ah... I move it's adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."



Schlickman: "What does the Amendment do?"

Caldwell: "Have the Clerk read the Amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "The Clerk will read it to you."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Amends House Bill 1074 on page 1, line 16, by striking the words "without regard to" and on page 1 by striking line 17 and inserting "in lieu thereof "to", and on page 1, line 18, by striking the word "sex" and inserting in lieu thereof "race, color, religion, sex, national origin, ancestry, and on page 1, line 20, by striking the word "he" and inserting in lieu thereof "the employer" and on page 1, line 20 by striking the word "opposite" and on page 1, line 21, by striking the word "sex" and inserting in lieu thereof "and other race, color, religion, sex, national origin or ancestry" and on page 1, line 21, by striking the word "equal" and inserting in lieu thereof "substantial, equal or similar" and on page 1, line 22, by striking the word "performance" and inserting in lieu thereof "performance" and on page 1, line 24 through 30 (striking) and inserting in lieu thereof "conditions provided, however, that nothing in this Act shall be constructed to prohibit the implementation of I. a seniority system or II. merit system or III. a system which measures earnings by quality of production or for a differential base of any factor other than race, color, religion, sex, national origin or ancestry, which such system as administered without regard to race, color, or religion, sex, national origin or ancestry."



Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Now don't get personal, Representative Schlickman, you know. Do you want to know what that amendment does? Representative Caldwell, do you wish to a....."

Caldwell: No, I just wanted to emphasize the fact that in the committee the State Chamber of Commerce offered this amendment which was accepted by the committee and the Representative of the State Chamber, Mr. Mallachard, explained it. Its been so long, I guess that it wasn't familiar to him, but this amendment is alright and was accepted by the committee."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, wishes to ask a question, I presume?"

Schlickman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this bill started out as an anti-sex discrimination bill, relative to wages, but from what I heard, goes considerably further. And I'm wondering without having a copy of the amendment before me, if we could be told something more about the bill than simply that it was offered by the State Chamber of Commerce."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein, who is the Chairman of the Committee."

Schoeberlein: "I'm not Chairman of the Committee, but I'm a member of the Committee. And I just want to say these amendments were agreed on in committee that Mr. Hanahan, Representative Hanahan, would present them on the floor."

Arthur Telcser: "Does that answer your question, Representative? They were agreed." Does the Gentleman from McHenry, Repre-



sentative Hanahan, wish to a....,"

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the amendment to the Equal Pay Bill, that's House Bill 1074, to bring in under Fair Employment Practices Act, the charges of unequal pay for equal work, regarding sex, is the bill before us. This amendment was to clarify the language for that intent and purposes that was offered by the State Chamber, and in no way does the bill purport to go any further than to guarantee female and male employees equal pay for equal work. The language that the State Chamber recommended was with in mind of the R.O.C and the F.O.P. Federal Acts in defining whether or not a charge of discrimination on equal pay could be leveled and that's what this amendment does."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1074. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1077."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1077. Hanahan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment NO. 1. Hanahan....."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is a home rule amendment to



House Bill 1077. And it does one other thing besides exempting the home rule cities and counties, it allows... it reduces the amount of legal speed limit on transit mixes with this agreement of this bill, if it passes into law, for the weights of cement mix, transit mix. I move its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "I move its adoption. The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1077 All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed by saying no, the amendment is adopted: Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1080."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1080. Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1092."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1092. Berman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1098."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1098. Craig. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1099."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1099. Jaffe. A Bill for an Act to



amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1099 on page 1, line 20, by striking 19 and inserting in lieu thereof 18 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, this is just a committee amendment that aids the service man and I would move its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1099. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1100."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1100. Deavers. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide into law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1100 on page 4, line 16, by adding after the period the following, provided however, that all such land shall be within the boundaries of the State of Illinois."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I have Amendment No. 2 which I would like to replace the Committee Amendment with No. 2, and the only difference between...to table the amendment, committee amendment, and the only difference in the two amendments is the fact that I added the word "contiguous" at the request of Representative Krause."



Arthur Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has move that Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1100 be tabled. Now, Gentlemen, on that question, the Gentleman has moved that Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1100 be tabled. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion that he table, signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is tabled. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Deavers, Amends House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, REpresentative Deavers."

Deavers: "I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1100."

Arthur Telcser: "Do you want to explain it again. Do you want an explanation, Representative Schneider?"

Deavers: "Its the same as the Committee Amendment except the word, added the word "contiguous."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Now, we are saying, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the county board is going to authorized to acquire recreational property outside of the county if its contiguous? Right, to the county. Is it outside the park district?too? I mean.."

Deaver: Glen, the only thing we are referring to that all the land that they are going to police must be contiguous so we don't have one lake and we go 25 miles and have another lake, but everything that we are going to place in the



other county, must be contiguous to the land within my county."

Schneider: "But if its two counties that have property and there are two county boards, how do you work out an arrangement on that situation?" Like DuPage and Kane County are next to one another, the County Board of DuPage decided to acquire park property, what's the relationship of Kane County to DuPage County in that question?"

Deavers: "What we are doing here, to give you an example, in McLean County we have a new lake called Evergreen Lake. Part of it is in Woodford County, but the land is in Woodford County owned by McLean County, the lake district, so what we want to do for the purpose of recreation, is the fact that if we develop it we want the right to police the part of the lake that is in Woodford County."

Schneider: "Alright, thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1100. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1102."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1102. Mahar. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third



Reading. House Bill 1111. Is Representative Skinner on the floor? Okay, House Bill 1111."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1111. Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. SEcond REading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1111 on page 1, line 13, by inserting immediately after the period, the following: no more than two or three members may be of the same...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner. "

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, the amendment merely provides for a partisan balance on this board. No more than two may be from one party. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of House Bill 1111, amendment No. 1, all in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Skinner. Amends House Bill 1111 on page 1, line 9, by inserting after the word..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment No. 2 is at the request of the Department of Local Government Affairs. This bill would create an assessors review board, which would supervise the supervisors of assessments when complaints were made. The bill provides for a three member board, one who would be the director of the Department of Local Government



Affairs, the second of which would be the chairman of the property tax appeal board, and third of which would be an assessor from the field. The director of the Department of Local Government Affairs would like to...and the chairman of the property tax appeal board would like to be able to designate someone to sit in their place and that is what this amendment accomplishes. I would move the adoption of the amendment No. 2."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House bill 1111. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1116."

Jack O'Brien: House Bill 1116. Capuzi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second REading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill...House Bill 1117."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1117. Pappas. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second REading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1118."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1118. Pappas. A Bill for an Act to amend the Use Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No, committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third



Reading. House Bill 1119."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1119. Pappas. A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1120."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1120. Craig. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1120 on page 1, line 23, by inserting immediately before the second word, or the following and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "I move for the adoption of the amendment. Its a clarification amendment and I move for its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1120. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1121."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1121. Fennessey. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1121..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Fennessey." Is Representative Fennessey on the floor? Take that out of the record. House Bill 1126."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1126. North. A Bill for an Act to



Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1; North. Amends House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

North: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, Amendment No. 1 merely cleans up some of the language and this was at the suggestion of the Insurance Committee, in conjunction with their staff and I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1."

ARTHUR Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1126. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed, no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1143."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1143. Barry. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Wait one second, Mr. Clerk. Representative Maragos has just arisen on a point of personal privilege. He has asked that I introduce our good friend, Lieutenant Governor Neil Hartigan, Sam, I want you to know that while Neil was on the podium here, I asked him if he wanted to say a few words. And he said he's not sure, he's got a bill to pass through the House yet, and after he does, he'll give us a little word. So we'd better pass this bill. He'll



be back with us, but I did make him the offer, Sam.
Is Representative Barry on the floor? Oh here he is.
House Bill 1143."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1143, Barry. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill, No. committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Barry. Amends House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this merely clarifies, as requested in committee, that nominations determined, pardon me, nominations made to Superintendent of Public Instruction are merely advisory and it is within his control to make the decision. Also, the same amendments makes it very clear that any organization with under 20,000 membership may make nominations and I move the adoption of the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment No. 1 to House bill 1043. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed by saying no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1161."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1161. Craig. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from...are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1166."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1166. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1166 on page 1, line 16..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, Members of the House. Committee Amendment No. 1 states that no benefits under the bill shall accrue to persons who are eligible for supplemental surety and the income under title 16 of the Social Security Act. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1166."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1166. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Matijevich..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, this a...the a...at the suggestion of the Committee, amendment No. 2 changes the effective date of the Act to January 1, 1974, so that is consistent with the effective date of the provision of the Social Security Act. I move for the



adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1166,"

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1166. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments. Third Reading. House Bill 1172.

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1172. Schlickman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1172 on page 1 in line 12 and 13 by deleting the word "city, village, incorporate," and inserting in lieu thereof "unit of local government or school district and so forth".

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, House Bill 1172 as it was introduced applied to municipalities. It now applies to all units of local government and school districts. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1172. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1191."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1191. Schneider. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One committee amendment. Amends House Bill 1191 on page 1,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

line 15, by deleting the words "in x-ray, if appropriate," and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "and if appropriate, an x-ray."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The language clarifies the responsibility of the technician, rather not the technician, but the question of whether or not an x-ray or an arm test is needed. It is just to clarify, there is no difference in the substance."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1191. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1206."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1206. Choate. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmens Compensation Act. Second reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1206 on page 45 by deleting lines 26 through 35 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Do you want that out of the record? Alright, take it out of the record." Yeh, the sponsor has requested that 1210 also be kept out of the record. House Bill 1258."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1258. Kent. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to investments of federal savings and loan associations. Second Reading of the Bill. One



Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1258 by"

Arthur Telcser: "The Lady from Adams, Representative Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Speaker, this amendment just changes the word from person to individual so that there will be no doubt as to the fact that both married men and women are a part of this legislation. I urge the adoption of this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1258. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1272."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1272. R. A. Walsh. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1288."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1288. Rose. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1288 on page 1, by deleting...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Rose. Is Representative Rose on the floor? Take that out of the record. Is Representative Mann on the floor? Okay, we'll skip 1298, 1299. House Bill 1319."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1319. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act relating to the fire safety and health care facilities. Third Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

House Bill 1319, on page 2, line 16 by inserting...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "This was an amendment that was offered by the Illinois Association for the Mental Health and approved by the entire committee and it referred to safety code provision under the federal act. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1319."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of House Bill 1319, amendment No. 1. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third REading. Is Representative Douglas on the floor? There he is. House Bill 1334, Representative Douglas."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1334. Douglas. ..."

Arthur Telcser: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 1339."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1339. Craig. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1344."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1344. Chapman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill"

Arthur Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."



Chapman: "Mr. Chairman, this is a clarifying amendment and makes it clear that districts which desire to charge a parent for children who are enrolled in such schools may continue to utilize that option. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1344."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1344. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. Let's see. House Bill 1344. We did, I'm sorry. House Bill 1359."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1359. Bluthardt. A Bill for an Act to amend sections of the Illinois Municipal Code."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Bluthardt on the floor? Take it out of the record." House Bill 1370."

Jack O'Brien: House Bill 1370. G. L. Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Hart. Amends House Bill 1370 on page 2 on line 30...."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative G. Hoffman on the floor? Well, he's not on the floor, either. Take that out of the record. House Bill 1373."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1373. Schnieder. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading
House Bill 1403."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1403. Madigan. A Bill for an Act
to provide for the planning..."

Arthur Telcser: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 1359.
The sponsor has just come back."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1359. Bluthardt. A Bill for an Act
to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Schlickman..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, there are two amendments to be
offered to this bill. Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 2.
Both were discussed in committee in terms of being offered
on the floor. Inadvertently, amendment No 1 was labled
No. 1, whereas it should have been labeled No. 2 and
Amendment No. 2 should be amendment No. 1. May we have
leave of the House to consider Amendment No. 2 first?"

Arthur Telcser: "Are there any objections, there shouldn't be
it was a clerical error, so we'll consider amendment No.
2 which is really amendment No. 1. Is that right?"
We'll read amendment No. 1 which is labeled amendment No.
2."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2, Bluthardt. Amendments House



Bill 1359 on page 1, line 12 by,..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1359 primarily makes the bill applicable to home rule and non-home rule communities, municipalities. That is the thrust of amendment No. 2. There are a few spelling corrections contained in amendment No. 2, but I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. Representative Shea, you know Amendment Nos. 1 and 2 are reversed."

Shea: "Well, I assume what we are talking about now is Representative Bluthardt's amendment NO. 2, which is going to be amendment No. 1. Ed, does this bill include now home rule units or not?"

Bluthardt: "With that amendment it would include both home rule and non-home rule."

Shea: "Well, I thought before, everybody said it included home rule units. Now are you amending it to include home rule units?"

Bluthardt: "Before it was indicated that this bill would be amended to include home rule and non home rule, but prior to that it only covered non-home rule municipalities."

Shea: "Alright."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman



has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1, this is going to be 1, to House Bill 1359. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?' Now we're going to read Amendment No. 2, which is labeled Amendment No. 1."

Jack O'Brien: "A...Schlickman's amendment No. 1. Amendments.."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this amendment is patterned after the Municipal Annexation Law of the State and its an amendment that was agreed to in committee and an amendment which served as a condition by which this bill was reported out of committee, What the amendment simply does is to provide that in 51% of the electors and 51% of the property owners object to the ordinance, then the ordinance will be void. I move for its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1359. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed, no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. Okay, House Bill 140....you wanted that out of the record. Wait a second. House Bill 1406,"

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1406. Tuerk.. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1430."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1430. Schoeberlein. A Bill for an Act to amend the Toll Highway Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1472."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1472. Neff. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 1472 on page 5, line 16, by inserting the word size....deleting the word size and inserting the word in lieu there "so forth".

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff." Representative Neff? Representative Neff? Clarence, baby. Representative Neff, do you wish to move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1472?"

Neff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the amendment. This was a committee amendment to House Bill 1452."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment 1 to House Bill 1452. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed by saying no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. Now Representative Hoffman is back and House Bill 1370."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1370. G. L. Hoffman, A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the



Bill. No. Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 1. Hart. Amends House Bill 1370 on page 2, on line...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "The Amendment merely provides that the Superintendent of Public Instruction shall not approve any reimbursements on his claims if the claims do not conform with the standards set forth in the Act. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1370. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed. no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Okay, now, Representative Barry says he'd like those two bills called, Representative Choate's House Bill 1206."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1206. Choate. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmens Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1206 on page 45...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is merely a technical amendment that was offered in committee and adopted as part of this vehicle for workmens



compensation. There will be another similar amendment and I'll ask the bill be held on Second Reading. I'll ask now that this amendment be adopted."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1206. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The amendment is adopted. The bill will remain on Second, is that right? House Bill 1207."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1207. Choate. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmens Occupational Disease Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment...."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "The same is on 1207 please."

Arthur Telcser: "Discussion? The Gentleman has move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1207, All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? This bill will remain on Second Reading. House Bill 1475."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1475. Juckett. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Comprehensive Health Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1493."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1493. Juckett. A Bill for an Act



licensing residential facilities for mentally retarded.
Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
House Bill 1494."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1494. Juckett. A Bill for an Act
licensing residential facilities for the mentally retarded,
Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third
Reading. Representative Craig has come back onto the floor
so we can go back and pick up House Bill 1052,"

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1052. Craig. A Bill for an Act to
amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill.
One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1052 on page
1, line 18, by deleting the words "Division of Highways"
and inserting in lieu there of the word "Department, and
so forth".

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative
Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, and members of the House, I'd like to
table Amendment No. 1. I'd like to ask leave to table
Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1052,"

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has
move to table Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1052. All in
favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying aye,
the opposed no....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
William Walsh."

Walsh: "Is Amendment No. 2 a Committee Amendment?"



Craig: "One was the Committee Amendment."

Walsh: "You're tabling a Committee Amendment?"

Craig: "I'm tabling a Committee Amendment which will be absorbed in Amendment No. 2, Bill."

Walsh: "What's the difference between the Committee Amendment and Amendment No. 2?"

Craig: "Table, I mean, the Committee Amendment No. 1 refers to the Department...the Secretary of State on getting permits, and this is, the Secretary of State didn't want these..the planning of these permits, the Department of Transportation did. And Amendment No. 2 takes care of changing it to the Department of Transportation of getting these permits and clarifies the length restriction which the Department of Transportation and the Secretary of State both have agreed to."

Arthur Teleser: "Okay, all in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying aye, the opposed, no, and Amendment No. 1 is tabled. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 2. Craig. Amends House Bill 1052 on page 1, line 1, by deleting Section 15-....."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Amendment No. 2 does what I said a while ago. It transfers the issuance of permits from the Secretary of State to the Department of Transportation and clarifies length restriction. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2."



Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1052. All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 3, Craig. Amends House Bill 1052 ..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Amendment No. 3 makes a change, in the original bill it said Illinois manufacturers allows all manufacturers, not just Illinois manufacturers, to make these moves within the State of Illinois. All manufacturers of mobile homes, not just Illinois manufacturers. And I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 3."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1052. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 4."

Arthur Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 4, Craig. Amends House Bill 1052 as amended on page 1 by striking lines 21 through 32 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, Amendment No. 4



is an Amendment that the Department of Transportation requested which makes changes from blanket permits to single permits of \$17 and they are good for only single permits, ^{not} blanket permits. And I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 4 which the D.O.T requested.

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 1052. All in favor of the adoption, signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1504."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1504. Washburn. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Superintendent of Public Instructions. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1505."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1505. Washburn. A Bill for an Act to provide for the appropriation to the Teacher's Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are the amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1506."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1506. Washburn. A Bill for an Act to provide for the Teachers Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1534."



Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1534. Kosinski. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1534 on page 1, by deleting 34 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Kosinski on the floor? Take that out of the record. House Bill 1538."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1538. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 1. Matijevich..."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chair....a, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, after this bill passed unanimously from the Committee, I talked to a county official and I thought I ought to have a further protection. House Bill 1538 provides that either registered or certified notice be sent to delinquent property owners before tax bills. The Amendment insures that the cost of such mailing shall attach as a lien against the real property, so that none of the cost is born by the taxpayers. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1538."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1538. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying



'aye' and the opposed by saying 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. We will hold ah... 1549. House Bill 1584."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1584, Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 1, Matijevich. Amends House Bill 1584 on page 2, by deleting lines 32 and 33 and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this ah... amendment was at the suggestion of the Committee Chairman and the Members of the Committee. Amendment #1 changes the original provisions of the Bill, which eliminates monthly dollar maximums annuities to retain maximum dollar amounts which are consistent with the amounts in the Chicago Teachers Retirement System. I move for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1584."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1584. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments. Third Reading. O'okay now, the ah.. amendment has been printed to House Bill 1334 so the Clerk will read it a second time."



Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1334, Douglas. A Bill for an Act establishing standards under which abortions may be performed. Secnd Reading of the Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, ah...."

Jack O'Brien: "One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1334 on page 1, by deleting lines 7 through 33 and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment 1."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 1334. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed by saying 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Douglas. Amends House Bill 1334...."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment 2 ah... would do what was requested by the Committee when the Bill was heard and simply add the word 'control' in Section 1 after the word abortion, which makes a more obvious and a clearer distinction of what the intent of the Bill is. I move for the adoption of Amendment 2."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has



offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1334. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed by saying 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 1592."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1592, Schneider. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schneider. Amends House Bill 1592 on page 1 by deleting lines 31 through 33 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, again it's a clarifying amendment on the language. It's just puts the verb in the subject matter in agreement. I ask for its adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1592. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments. Third Reading. House Bill 1610."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1610, Tuerk. A Bill for an Act to add Section 26.1 to an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Arthur Telcser: "Are there amendments on the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 1620.

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1620. A Bill for an Act to promote fair practice and conduct of election campaigns for political offices. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 1620 on page...."

Arthur Telcser: The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, Amendment 1 to House Bill 1620 does two things. First it deletes a phrase ah.... the phrase is supporting a candidate or candidates for nomination or election for a state offices from lines 9 and 10 on page 6 of the Bill. This phrase is inconsistent with the definition of political committee ah... in Section 1-7 of the Bill as in the definition makes this phrase unnecessary. So the amendment would strike that phrase. It also amends Section 7-1 to make the criminal penalty applicable only to Article 4. Article 4 is the Article that has to do with reporting contributions and expenditures to the State Board of Elections. Ah... this is ah.. of course the main thrust of the Bill. Ah... this change was suggested by ah... Representative Katz. It's ah... it's in response to complaints ah.. that we heard on House Bill 1 ah... that strong penalties might jeopardize well intentioned campaign volunteers who ah... were working for a candidate, but who made a simple error out of ignorance of the pro-



visions of the Act and so we ah... to prevent this happening we ah... stuck that portion of the Bill that would make the strong penalties applicable only to that Section on ah.. reporting, which is ah... the ah... main thrust of ah... House Bill 1620. Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1620."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to House Bill 1620. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment 2, Collins, amends House Bill 1620 on...."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, Amendment 2 is a consolation of suggestions that were offered and agreed to in the Elections Committee. Ah... the first thing that it does is add the phrase 'or candidates' to the definition of political committee to make sure that political committees acting on the behalf of more than one candidate must also comply with the Act. It also extends the application of the Act to include candidates for the offices of supreme, appellate, ah... and circuit judges. It reduces from 10 to 3 the number of years that the State Board of Elections must perserve the reports and statements ah... it receives. The three



1346

year provision will be ah...consistent with the Statute of Limitations ah... in the Act. It also requires that each person examining a report filed by a political committee to first file out an identification form. It requires the board to send a duplicate original ah... of the I.D. form to the political committee who's report has been examined. This is the same procedure that is now followed ah... for those examining our ethics statements. Ah... the amendment further authorizes the board to charge each person examining a report a reasonable fee to cover the cost of providing an I.D. form and copies and of notifying the appropriate political committee of such an examination. As I stated ah.. this amendment ah... was ah... a consolation of a number of suggestions offered in committee and agreed to by the committee and I move for the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1620."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 2 to House Bill 1620. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment 3, Lundy. Amend House Bill 1620 on page 13, line 6 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy." Is Representative Lundy on the floor? Do you wish to put Amendment 3 to House Bill 1620?"



Lundy: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, the intention of this amendment is to close a potential loop hole in the Bill, which is to ah... the Bill prohibits contributions made in the name of another person but it does not prohibit anonymous contributions. Therefore ah.. conceivably a candidates campaign to be entirely financed by contribution which were as far as the enforcement authority is concerned ah... anonymous contributions, which would certainly, it would seem to me, subvert the purpose of the Act.in ah... which is full disclosure."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I... I have no real objection to this amendment, however, I would think that if anyone misrepresented a contribution in their report and stated that it was anonymous and if it was not truly an anonymous ah.. contribution ah.. then he would be subject to the penalty provision of the Bill, but even going on beyond that to a more practical application of the amendment; if a candidate receives an anonymous contribution what does he do with it? If he can't accept it and can't give it he doesn't know who to give it back to ah... I really think that we have a problem in just how to handle the anonymous contribution. I'm all for plugging a loop hole if one does exist and I'm certainly in sympathy with the thrust of the amendment, I just have the



practical problem of how do I handle an anonymous contribution is it comes in?"

Arthur Telcser: "Do you wish to respond, Representative Lundy?"

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, I would just say in response to the gentlemen comment, ah.. it seems to me that we've given the ah... board substantial powers to issue rules and regulations under the Act and that certainly a ah.. procedure could be worded out to handle truly anonymous contributions. On the other hand, it seems to me, that if we leave the Bill in it's present form without amending it, we are simply encouraging donors to make anonymous contributions. They know that they can do that ah... that their not covered under the Act and that ah... there is really no restriction on candidates accepting them and ah... it seems to me that we would be promoting evasion of the very salutary purposes of this Bill. So I urge the adoption of the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 3 to House Bill 1620. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment is adopted. Representative Hyde, did you seek recognition? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, I thought that the amendments were through. I have an amendment to this Bill ah.. and it isn't ready yet, so I'm wondering if you could keep this on Second at least until tomorrow so that my amendment



might be presented."

Arthur Telcser: "If the Sponsor wishes to ah... it's.....
is there an amendment number four? Well, you want to
get Amendment 4 and then you can talk to the Sponsor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment 4, Hart. Amend House Bill 1620
on page 1, line 2 by deleting the word 'political' and
inserting in lieu thereof the word 'public' and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Franklin, Representative
Hart."

Hart: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the
House. This Bill would amend..... this amendment would
make this Bill apply to all elected officials and not
merely to those that were inumerated in the Bill as it
was introduced. I see no reason to ah... limit ah.. the
thrust of the Bill to State Representatives and State
Senators and State Candidates. If the purpose of the
Bill is to find out who is contributing to the campaigns
of elected officials, then there is no reason, in my
judgement, to ah... make a cut off at the state level. I
think that there is just as much opportunity for ah... the
need for this Bill at the local level, for Sheriffs, States
Attorneys, Couty Clerks and so forth and there is for
State Representatives and State Senators. The second part
of the amendment would reduce the amount that needs to
be contributed from \$100 to \$25. I believe that it would
more likely catch most of the contributions that are made
rather than to leave open the opportunity for multi \$100



contributions ah.. done to circumvent the thrust of the Bill. I move for the adoption of the amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, ah... I'm a Co-Sponsor of this Bill ah...I'm a little nervous about being on a Bill like this, but ah.. on the other hand ah... this amendment now is going to make it ah.. it's over doing it again and it's going to make it impossible, it's going to make it so good that this Bill is going to be defeated. Consequently, I think this is a bad amendment and it should be defeated."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I must rise to oppose this amendment. While I think that a part of it is laudatory in that ah... the gentleman wished to bring all elected officials in under this Act, I think that at best ah... it's premature. We know that this is a new concept. It's ah... a new Act in the state and I think that it is going to take a shake down cruise to iron out the bugs. So for that reason, ah.. we've restricted the application to State Elected Offices and of course, these are the offices, most subject to large and potentially influential campaign contributions and expenditures and I think it reasonable that we start here. I would hope that at some date in the not too distant



future that we would extend the Act to include all elected officials. As a matter of fact, I would hope that the gentleman would introduce a separate Bill to do just that and I would be most happy to join him in Sponsorship of such a Bill. Now in Amendment 2 ah...we did expand the Act ah.. to include Judges and I think that that is about as far as we should go at the present time and I would ask that the Bill be left in the form that the Sponsors of the Bill ah... wish to present it in. Ah... furthermore, I think that the threshold of \$100 is more reasonable than the amendment suggested ah...a threshold of \$25. The purpose of this Act of disclosure is to provide that substantial contributions and expenditures be disclosed. I don't think that anything ah... in the area of \$25.00 contribution would be considered substantial enough to have any real influence on anybody. It ah... it not only would cause alot of needless ah...paper work ah.. I believe to require this ah...report, but also it might cause alot of people who would give small contributions to candidates merely out of friendship ah... your immediate circle of friends ah... ect., might have some reluctance to be put on a list that might be made public or made ah... or maybe even subject them to ah.. to some kind of mailing list in the future. I don't think that the \$25.00 level is realistic. In discussion of the Bill prior to the introduction of House Bill 1 ah... a number of fugures were considered and really the thought has been that \$100 is the



best figure that we've been able to come up with. A number of people suggested that \$100 was too low and perhaps it should be higher. I don't agree, but there are those that feel that the \$100 should be raised to \$250 or even \$500, but I really don't believe that we should reduce this figure to \$100 at this time. I think that we are getting down to the nickel and dime category and into needless reporting and I urge the Members of this House to support the Sponsors of this Bill and defeat this amendment and to keep this level at what I think is a realistic level for reporting."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to join with my fellow Co-Sponsor, Representative Collins ah.. in his opposition to this amendment. What we're after here is disclosure of campaign contributions and ah...those of a substantial nature and frankly I think that reducing this threshold from \$100 down to \$25 ah... accomplishes nothing other than a great deal more bookkeeping in so far as reporting is concerned and does nothing meaningful as so far as disclosures of potential conflict of interest. The \$100 after all is an aggregate figure. So if you had received say ah...4 or 5 contributions in the amount \$25 from a single source, ah... those would have to be disclosed. In addition, the Bill does require ah...recording by the office holders of contributions that have been received less than a \$100 so he has to maintain a record of that



himself and I think that this is a step by step process and I think that the extension from elected state officers, including Members of the House and the Senate to Members of th Judiciary is the proper first step and I'm just afraid that this type of amendment would end up being a crippling amendment in so far as probability of passage of this Bill when it reaches Third Reading, so I would urge the Members to vote 'no' on this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hofiman: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, there is no Bill or no concept that can't stand improvement and I rise to support this amendment. If we're going to approach this proposition this problem on a basis of disclosing possible inequities or conflicts, I think that we oughta go the whole route. Let's do it and conform to the amendment, include all of the elected officials, eliminate all the possible acts that might be happening back in the district. If we talking about public confidence, let's restore it. Let's go all the way and let's take the issue of the contributions. Let's disclose these all the way down to \$25. There is no reason to diffentiate and permit a person to contribute \$99.00 and be excluded from this. I rise to support this amendment and I think that if we're going to make a good Bill and try to restore public confidence then we should take all of it into consideration and I solicit your support on this amendment."



Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly rise to oppose this amendment of ah... of good friend, Representative Hart. We had an opporstunity to pass a disclosure Bill in House Bill 1 and it was argued somewhat persuasively that it had too many restrictions. It offended so many areas and so many interests that that Bill lost. I think that we oughta clean up our own House. I agree that eventually that all elcted official should be required to disclose their campaign contributions. but let us show to the people of the State of Illinois that we're willing to show ours and make a first step and have it only applicable only to state officers and especially to the Members of the Legislature. I think that this may be a test of our good will and our intention. I suggest that this amendment be defeated."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this amendment. I think what we're we're going to do if we adopt this amendment is to substantially increase the opposition to a Bill of this nature and it is about time that the legislature adopt a Bill ah... to help clean up campaign disclosure. If we include local officials ah.. in this Bill, we're going to be overwhelmed with requests not to support this piece



of legislation and we'll have a lobbying group like we've never seen before. I think that it's better if we let local units of government regulate their own campaign expenditures and start adopting programs of their own at those levels of government rather than have us do it at a state level. After all, there is no monopoly on wisdom down here. Let's set an example and I'm sure those will follow. I urge the defeat of this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I reluctantly rise to oppose the amendment of ah... my friend ah... the reason I do so is to fold really. I ceratainly respect the sentiments of the Sponsor of the amendment in attempting to strengthen this Bill, but let me point out that as to the coverage of public officials other than state officials, the Bill all ready requires disclosure by political committees which support candidates for state offices and other offices and therefore many of the political committees which support candidates for local office, county and municipal office will already be required to make disclosure under the Bill. If those committees also support candidates for state office. Secondly, as the the \$25.00 the Sponsor of the amendment indicated that part of the reason for that was to cut off the possibility of multiple small contributions which would be less than \$100. The \$100 figure is an annual



aggregate amount so that if a number of small contributions were made which total \$100.00, they would have to be reported. The fact that they were made in small amounts would not exempt them from disclosure because the \$100.00 amount is a annual aggregate amount." So I urge opposition to this amendment, although I respect the Sponsor's desire to strenthen the Bill, I will vote 'no' on it."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rise enthusiastically to support this amendment. In my particular case ah... I represent a fairly prosperous Rebulican District and I had a rather expensive Primary and General Election. I can tell you that even though there is supposed to be alot of fat cats in Lake County, most of my contributions raged from \$25 and \$75. So if you pass a Bill with a \$100 limit, you're not going to let the people know where this Representative got his money and I think if you're going to have an Ethics Bill and you're going to be honest, we'll let's go at it. I think \$25 is an area ah... is the threshold that we oughta support." Secondly, if there were an amendment to require everyone who held a cocktail party or a coffe hour or some....all the ladies that addressed envelopes and worked their hearts out in your campaign, that oughta be on the Bill too, because we oughta find out who has influence over the Representatives. And further more, there is no



feeling in... on my part that corruption is confined only to State Representatives and State Senators. I think that this oughta apply to everybody. It's a good amendment and I urge it's strong support."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "As I understand the amendment, it would extend the application of this Bill to all public officials. In describing the public officials below the state level you restricted your discussion to county officials. By public officials, are you going down to the local level to include village trustees, city alterman, ah... park district trustees, library district trustees, ect?"

Hart: "Ah... yes. It would apply to all elected officials and as you know ah... for instance if you're talking geographically ah...many local officials have larger districts then we do. For instance the county officials in Cook maybe even some of the township officials, represent a larger area then ah... a State Representative or a State Senator. In my area, of course, it's just the opposite. We have much larger districts than the local officials, but the thrust of the amendment would be that anybody that is elected by the people would be bond by this Act."

Arthur Telcser: "Have you completed, Representative Schlickman?"



O'kay the gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this amendment. I don't see why we should single out the legislature ah... to be any different than any of the other public officials in Illinois. Why should we have to be the only ones to file these disclosures and nobody else does? If it's good for us, then it's good for everybody in Illinois and that's debatable. The Bill is good for everybody, but this amendment will make it apply to all public officials and not just us. Why should we be just picking on ourselves? Doesn't make sense to me. I think that we should make it apply to all of the officials in Illinois. I rise in support of this amendment. Thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, I... I think that this amendment should be opposed and opposed strongly. We're bringing people in here... many people are elected officials in our local areas that draw no salary and to ask these people to be tied into this I think is ridiculous. I think we're going to get to the place that many of the good people, the business people that serve on many of these elected offices are going to refuse to serve and I wondering who we're going to have serve on these particular areas on ah... local government. I ah... I therefore think that this would be effective. I think



that this would be the death of this Bill if we added this amendment on it and I strongly oppose it."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, then the gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart to close."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I think that this amendment would make a much better Bill out of this Bill and I ... I intend to vote for this Bill ah... and hopefully in this form. The problems with House Bill 1 basically was that it had ridiculous restriction on how much of your own money that you could spend. This ah... concept of exposing to the public the names of persons who contribute to your campaign, in my judgement, has ah.. one purpose of finding out who is contributing to the campaigns of elected officials. It's just as important to find out who is contributing to the campaigns of local officials as it is to State Representatives and State Senatos and so forth. The trouble with House Bill 1620 without this amendment, is that it's full of loop holes. There are plenty of ways that you can get around reporting these contributions by just having a ah.... dissemination of these contributions. Personally, I think that all contributions oughta be reported even if they are lower than \$25.00, but I feel that \$25.00 is a starting point, but to answer the arguments of the Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Collins, ah... he seems to think that it would be too much trouble to keep track of these contributions, I would say, 'Who



are we trying to accomadate and what are we trying to do with this Bill?' We're already setting up the structure for keeping track of the contributions and I don't think that it's important to say that it's going to be more work for the Treasurer of your Committee than it was under this Bill. It interesting to note that ah... in the newspapers that the cocktail party planned ah.. in the near future for a gentleman ah... who is also a Sponsor of this Bill, ah... the level of \$50,00 is going to be held here in Springfield. This is the kind of thing that I think is happening that we should try to ah... find out who is contributing to these campaigns and ah... at a \$100 ah... just as I say ah... a bunch of loop holes ah.. leaves all kinds of room for maneuvering around the Bill. So I think that we oughta make this Bill apply realistically to the.... to the ah... conflicts of interests that can arise from political contributions. I'm for it. I'm for making it a Bill that ah..really has some meaning to it and I believe that with the adoption of this amendment that we will have a true Campaign Disclosure Bill that will bring to light the names of those persons who are contributing to the campaigns of elected officials at whatever level they may be throughout the state and I urge the adoption of the amendment and I believe that it would be in order if we had a Roll Call on this vote."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption Amendment 4 to House Bill 1620. All in favor of the



adoption signify by voting 'aye' and the opposed by voting 'no'. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Wlash."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I think that we oughta look very carefully to what we are doing here. We're in the process of strenthening this Bill to death. If we include every local official, everybody on this floor knows that we're not going to get people to run for the school board and the village trustee offices and those other offices that are so close to the people and so important and are in effect public service and not offices for gain. We better be very carefull about this vote because if you are voting 'green' on this amendment, everybody here knows that what you are doing is you're voting to kill this Bill. If you want to kill the Bill and if you don't want any Campaign Disclosure Act to come out of this Session, then vote 'green' and if you feel like we should have and that the public demands a Pulbic Disclosure Act, then vote 'no' on this amendment and let's get one."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen, I'm voting 'green' on this particular issue and I think that we all oughta take a good hard look at it. Now I suggest to the Members of this Body that when you talk about campaign contributions, you've got to consider those \$50.00 tickets and



even those \$25.00 tickets that everyone may or may not have to purchase. Now I know for myself that I don't every want to sit on this side of the aisle and have leadership over here selling us cocktail tickets or buffet tickets or anything else and I want to protect all of the Membership. I think that if we're going to talk about protecting the public, you gotta be fair and you have to be right. You have to call the money where it comes from. Representative Hart is on the right track and this is the only way that you're going to get a strong and substantial Bill. To do anything to the contrary is a little bit heretical."

Arthur Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I think that it's been quite properly pointed out by a number of previous speakers that this amendment will effectively amend the Bill to death and I would hope that that's not the purpose of the amendment, but I'm afraid that that is what it would do. Also, other speakers, including the last one, have dwelled on the \$25.00 and \$50.00 tickets level as something that should be reported and I think that they are all over looking the point of the Bill. The Bill now requires the reporting of an aggregate amount ah... an aggregate annual amount in excess of \$100.00. So if someone is going to purchase or contribute \$25.00 or \$50.00, ah... they are not going to



able to avoid a larger contribution merely by stringing any contributions out because they must report after the aggregate has gone over \$100.00 so I think that we have effectively in the Bill considered the larger contributions which might be ah.. inclined to influence some candidate or office holder. I don't think that we have to bother with the \$25.00 or the lower level of the friendly contribution that is given by acquaintances and this is not what we're seeking to ah... fair out by this Disclosure Act. We're seeking to try and find the contribution that may or may not be of influence upon a candidate for office and I think that the Bill as written effectively does just that. I'd hate to see this good Bill hampered and maybe ah... fatally injured because of an amendment, which I'm sure is well intentioned but I don't believe goes to the intentions of the Bill and I do believe that we should do everything that we can ah.. to defeat this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well, I guess it's one of the oldest tactics in the world to argue that an amendment would kill the Bill and it oughta be defeated on that purpose, but I can assure the Members of this House and anybody else that cares to listen that I have nothing but the best intentions with this amendment. I'm sincere with it. I intend to vote for it as I am doing now and I intend to vote for the



bill with the amendment on there. The argument about the cumulative \$100 contribution is just a spaceless because there is just room for loopholes for dissemination of contributions among families, for instance, or other groups at the level of \$100 which just effectively makes this bill really no good at all because that is too high a level to try to catch anybody if that is what the purpose is. There is absolutely nothing wrong with people contributing to the campaigns of elected officials. And that is not the purpose of the amendment or the purpose of the bill to fair it out as Mr. Collins would say, those persons. The purpose of the bill is so the public can find out who is contributing to the campaigns of their elected officials. And they can make their own determination as to whether or not those contributions are legitimate or for friendly purposes or for other purposes and I think that we need to close the loophole that exists in this legislation in order to make a true determination and find out who is contributing to the campaigns and for what purpose and the other part of the amendment as far as making all the candidates, make it applicable to them, I'm not interested in whether there for it or not, but we can't - there's no way that we can enforce the disclosure of campaign contributions on the local level unless we make it statutory, and mandatory on those candidates. There not going to do it themselves. I'm interested in doing something to preserve the integrity of government,



and I'm not interested in persuading those local candidates who may not want to be bound by this. If they want us to be bound, and I think most of them do, I think they ought to be bound to. I think it applies equally, the logic of bill applies equally to all candidates."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. IN explaining my aye vote, I think we overlooked one thing. Any individual who decides to seek public office at that juncture in time has to realize that he is going to ask constituents to place their confidence in him and vote for him. At that time he has to realize that this is two way street. He also has to impart confidence back to these people. Why do we look at these red votes and say this is something that is going to kill the bill and its not. At the time that you decide that you are going to run for public office, all things being equal, the public has the right to know where your support is coming from and not to make it class legislation by saying some have to conform and some do not. Public opinion is at a point today where all people want to know what their public officials are standing for and what they are involved in, where their support is coming from, and I believe it goes from the State House to the White House, and all the way down to the Court House. I solicit your vote."



Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "The Speakers who are speaking in favor of this amendment have very good points. All logic points to the fact that everyone should have to reveal, if anybody has to reveal. But so far, I've only heard two of them pledge that they are going to vote for the final bill if this amendment gets tacked onto it. When the other, let's see, how many are there now? When the other 78 members say they are going to vote in favor of this thing, then I'm going to believe that this is not a hypocritical attempt to get the bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Anyone else? Have all, have all voted who wished? Take the record. Representative Collins for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I request a poll of the absentees."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, the roll call currently stands at 78 ayes, 77 nays, the members please be in their seats, the Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees."

Fred Selcke: "Campbell. Chapman. Day. Ralph Dunn."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Chapman wishes to vote no. Record Representative Chapman as voting no."

Fred Selcke: "Friedland. Gibbs. Granata. Gene Hoffman. J. Houlihan. Huskey."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Dunn, do you seek recognition sir?"

Dunn: "Vote me no."



Arthur Telcser: "Record Representative Ralph Dunn as voting no."

Fred Selcke: "Juckett. Klosak. Martin. McClain."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative McClain, for what purpose do you rise?"

McClain: "Please vote me aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting aye. He wants aye, vote him aye."

Fred Selcke: "McGrew. Sevcik. Shea. Stedlin. Stone. Tipsword. J. J. Wolf."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise, sir? REcord the Gentleman as voting aye. Okay, is there anything else? Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

McGrew: "Please record me as no."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting no. Representative Huskey, did you seek recognition? Record Representative Huskey as voting no. Representative Hart, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Hart: "I think we ought to have a verification. I'm sure if I don't request it, the other side will, depending upon the ultimate outcome of this thing. So at this time..."

Arthur Telcser: "I never would have imagined that this would be requested."

Hart: "I want a verification of both affirmative and negative roll calls."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Collins, did you seek recognition sir?"



Collins: "Well, the Gentleman said that the other side would request a verification, I just wanted to say to him that if he won't, I won't."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, that sounds pretty good. What do you say, Representative."

Hart: "No deal."

Arthur Telcser: "No deal. Okay. Representative Caparelli, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Caparelli: "How was I recorded?"

Arthur Telcser: "How was the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Do you wish to change your vote sir? Okay. Will the members please be in their seats for a verification. We are currently at 80 ayes, 81 nays. Now will the members please be in their seats. We'll take the affirmative roll call first, is that right Mr. Clerk?"

Fred Selcke: "Yeh."

Arthur Telcser: "That's 80 ayes, and 81 nays. Will the members please be in their seats. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe you have to take the negative vote, because they are in the majority, so they go first."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, will the members please be in their seats. And the Clerk will read the negative vote first. Well the Clerk informs me that the affirmative vote be



taken first. I mean, what difference does it make?

Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise sir?

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, on this vote, the negative vote is the prevailing side and is the one that should be verified."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, the request was made to verify both of them."

Shea: "I understand that, but the tradition in the House has been to verify the prevailing side first. And I'll think you'll find the rule is very specific to that point."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, it seems, Representative Shea, if you read Rule 50, Rule 50 implies simply that the negative vote shall be verified in the same manner as the affirmative vote. Well read Rule 50, its right there. So if the Clerk will please proceed unless Representative Shea wishes to make further inquiry. Oh, it won't hurt, what's the difference. The affirmative roll call. Representative Shea?"

Shea: "Well, I realize that you want to try to have a little time to get your votes back, but I think still, the tradition has been that you verify the prevailing side. But you know, you have the gavel, so we can't do much."

Arthur Telcser: "Thank you very much. Will the Clerk please proceed to read the affirmative roll call."

Fred Selcke: "Alsup. Arrigo. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Borchers. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Caparelli. Carter. Choate. Craig. Davis. Duester. DiPrima. Ewell. Farley. Fary,



Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Garmisa. Getty. Giglio.
 Georgi. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld.
 Ron Hoffman. J. Holloway. B. Houlihan. Hudson.
 Hunsicker. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. Keller. Kelly.
 Kennedy. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman.
 Laurino. Lechowicz. Lemke. Leon. Madigan. Maragos.
 McAuliffe. McClain. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo.
 Nardulli. Patrick. Pierce. Redmond. Rigney. Schisler."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Rigney, for what purpose do
 you rise, sir?"

Rigney: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Rigney: "Change the aye to no please."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman has voting no."

Fred Selcke: "Schisler. Schraeder. Sharp. Ike Sims.
 Taylor. Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. VonBoeckman,
 Waddell. Walters. Washington. Yourell."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Stedlin, for what purpose do
 you rise, sir?"

Stedlin: "How am I recorded?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Stedlin: "Record me aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting aye. Are
 there questions of the affirmative vote. The Gentleman
 from Cook, Representative Phillip Collins."



Collins: "Representative Caldwell."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Caldwell on the floor? Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Calvo?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Calvo on the floor? Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Craig?"

Arthur Telcser: "Who is that? Craig?"

Collins: "Craig."

Arthur Telcser: "He's on the floor."

Collins: "Representative Davis?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Davis on the floor? Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Farley?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Farley on the floor? Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Flinn?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Flinn on the floor? Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Fennessey?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's on the floor."

Collins: "Representative James Holloway?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Holloway on the floor? Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Keller?"

Arthur Telcser: "Did you say Bob Holloway or Jim Holloway?"

Collins: "Jim Holloway."



Arthur Telcser: "Yeh, he was off the floor, take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Charley Keller?"

Arthur Telcser: "Yeh, Representative Keller on the floor? Take him off the roll call. Now I see Representative Calvo has come back on the floor. Want to put him back on the roll call?"

Collins: "How's he voting?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Collins: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye and was taken off because he was absent."

Arthur Telcser: "He has returned so we'll put him back on."

Collins: "Representative Kosinski?"

Arthur Telcser: "And now Keller is off the roll call, Representative Kosinski? Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Laurino?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Laurino is in his seat."

Collins: "Representative McPartlin?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative McPartlin on the floor? Take him off the roll call. Representative Hart for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Hart: "Before you strike them from the call, I think it would be a good idea to ask how they were recorded because I'm assuming that he is challenging the aye vote, but I don't have track of it, only the Clerk does and I think it should be determined before they are removed from the roll call, at least how they voted so I can keep track of the numbers."



Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I assure you I'm not challenging the no vote."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay."

Hart: "I know you are not intending to, but I'd like to be able to keep track myself here."

Collins: "Representative Kelly?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Schisler?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Schisler on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye. Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Schraeder?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Schrader on the floor? He's in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Taylor?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Taylor on the floor? He's in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Thompson?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Thompson on the floor? There he is in his seat."

Collins: "Representative VonBoeckman?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative VonVoeckman is in his seat."

Collins: "Representative Yourell?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Yourell on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"



Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Beatty's here. A...Representative Brandt?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Brandt on the floor?"

He is in his seat."

Collins: "Bradley?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Bradley on the floor?"

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off of the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Brummet?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Brummet is on the floor?"

Collins: "Representative Garmisa?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Garmisa on the floor?"

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Collins: "Representative Sharp?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Sharp is standing here in the aisle."

Collins: "Representative Alsup?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Alsup on the floor? Is he there? Oh, here he is, he's on the floor."

Collins: "No, Arrigo is here, I see him. Representative Beaupre?"

Arthur Telcser: "Here he is on the floor."

Collins: "Representative Fleck?"



Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Fleck on the floor? There he is on the aisle."

Collins: "Representative Gibbs?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Gibbs on the floor? The Gentleman is not recorded, he's not voting. Okay, while you're still...I'm sorry, did you have more questions, sir?"

Collins: "Representative Kriegsman?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Kriegsman...he's in his seat."

Collins: "And...Friedland."

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Friedland on the floor? The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Collins: "That's it. Thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Flinn for what pur...Representative Flinn has returned to the floor. Record the Gentleman as voting aye. Representative Keller, aye. Okay I'll go slow now. Flinn, aye. Keller, aye. Bradley, aye. Holloway, aye. J. Houlihan, aye. J. Houlihan wishes to vote aye. Okay. Representative Stone wishes to vote aye. Now does the Gentleman wish to persist in the verification of the negative vote? Or does he concede that...."

Hart: "Yes, and I'd like to also respond to Representative Skinner now. At this point, he is determined the answer to his question at this point I think it would be in order for him to get on the roll call as an aye vote."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Friedland, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"



Friedland: "How am I recorded?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is Representative Friedland recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Friedland: "Vote no."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting no.

Representative Schisler, for what purpose do you rise,
sir?"

Schisler: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is presently recorded as not
voting. He was taken off the roll."

Schisler: "Please record me as aye."

Arthur Telcser: "REcord the Gentleman as voting aye.

Put Representative Garmisa back on the roll call. Okay
Sparky. Okay, now we'll proceed with the verification
of the...oh, we got you off the lunch counter huh?
Kosinski and Yourell, aye. Representative Hart for what
purpose do you rise, sir?"

Hart: "A...my tally shows 59 ayes, is that correct?"

Arthur Telcser: "Let's see what the Clerk has: The Clerk gets
78 ayes."

Hart: "Well, he's got a better chance to do right than I have."

Arthur Telcser: "He's got a sharper pencil. Okay, so we're at
78 ayes, 81 nays. I'm sorry there are 89 nays right now,
78 ayes. Okay now will the members please be in their seats
for a verification of the negative roll call. Okay the
Clerk needs a moment to kind of get his figures straightened



out, before we start the negative roll call. Okay, the Clerk says he's ready. Will the members please be in their seats for the verification of the negative roll call."

Fred Selcke: "Anderson. Arnell. Bluthardt. Boyle. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Clabaugh. Collins. Cox. Cunningham. Deavers. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Grotberg. Harpstrite. R. Holloway. Huskey. Hyde. Dave Jones. Katz. Kempiners. Kent. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Leinenweber. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Mahar. Mann. Matijevich. McAvoy. McCormick. McCourt. McGah. McGrew. McMaster. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. Malloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Philip. Piotrovich. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Timothy Simms. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stiehl. Telcser. Totten. Tuerk. Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh. Washburn. Williams. B. B. Wolfe. Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, before we get on to the questions of the negative, do you want to put Representative Davis back on the roll call as voting aye. Okay, also Caldwell, aye. Okay, Davis aye. Caldwell, aye. Farley, aye. Representative Shea for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Shea: "What is the count before..."

Arthur Telcser: "83 to 81 right now, isn't it?"



Shea: "81-81?"

Arthur Telcser: "There are 83 pags, and 81 yeas, right?"

Shea: "Well, there were 78-81, where did the other two come from? You announced 78-81 and I didn't know anybody got up..."

Arthur Telcser: "Wait a second now. Friedland got on the roll call a few minutes ago. He was not recorded as voting. And then Rigney changed his vote. I don't know if that was in that time period or not."

Fred Selcke: "Rigney went from yes to no."

Shea: "Thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, we are at 83-81 right now. The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart, do you wish to question the negative vote?"

Hart: "Representative Merle Anderson?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Anderson is in his seat."

Hart: "Representative Boyle?"

Arthur Telcser: "Oh there he is."

Hart: "Representative Catania?"

Arthur Telcser: "She's in her seat."

Hart: "Alright. Representative Rocky Cunningham?"

Arthur Telcser: "Rocky Cunningham is in his seat."

Hart: "Deavers?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Deavers is standing by the rail. Hanging over the rail."

Hart: "Representative Duff?"



Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Duff on the floor?"

How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Hart: "A, Robert Dunne?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat. He's in his seat kind of
looking things over,"

Hart: "Mrs. Dyer?"

Arthur Telcser: "She's in her seat."

Hart: "Mr. Ebbesen?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's back there right by his seat,"

Hart: "Mr. Grotberg."

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. Harpstrite?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. R. Holloway."

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat sir, right next to Represen-
tative Neff."

Hart: "Mr. Hyde?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Hyde?... Representative
Hyde is in his seat."

Hart: "Mr. Dave Jones, hey, I see him now."

Arthur Telcser: "He's right here."

Hart: "Representative Kucharski?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's standing up right by his seat."

Hart: "LaFleur. Mr. LaFleur?"



Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative LaFleur on the floor?"

How is Representative LaFleur recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Hart: "Representative a...Mrs. Macdonald?"

Arthur Telcser: "She's in her seat."

Hart: "Representative Mahar?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Hart: "Representative Matijevich?" There he is. Representa-
tive McCormick from the 59th District."

Arthur Telcser: "He's standing right there by his seat."

Hart: "Representative Capuzi."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Capuzi is in his seat and
Representative LaFleur has returned. So put Representative
LaFleur back on the roll call."

Hart: "Representative McCourt?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative McCourt on the floor? How
is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Arthur Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Hart: "Representative McGah."

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Hart: "Representative Malloy?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Hart: "Representative Pappas."

Arthur Telcser: "He's standing there by the rail,"



Hart: "Representative Philip?"

Arthur Telcser: "Is Representative Philip on the floor?
Pate Philip? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Arthur Telcser: "There he is, I'm sorry. He's back there
by the center aisle."

Hart: "Mr. Randolph?"

Arthur Telcser: "Mr. Randolph is sitting in his seat.
Representative Sevcik, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Sevcik: "Vote me no."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting no.
Representative McPartlin for what purpose do you rise
sir?"

McPartlin: How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is, at the present time, recorded
as not voting. He originally voted aye, but was taken off
the roll."

McPartlin: "Put me on as aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Vote the Gentleman aye. Representative
William Walsh, for what purposedo you rise sir?"

Walsh: "Well now, Mr. Speaker, we have verified the aye votes.
If this Gentleman can be put on as voting aye, then we
should be able to take people off who have voted aye who



are no longer here and I can think of a couple. Now we gotta do it one way or the other and I ask the chair for a ruling."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, Representative, I discussed that the Speaker, when he was up here, and I'm informed that the procedure is that once someone is here and verified that they are here and they leave, then they are still going to be on the roll call. But until we've announced the roll call as people come back on the floor, we should still put them either yes or no."

Walsh: "Well, how about people that leave the floor? Can we take them off?"

ARTHUR TELCSER: "Well, no. I understand that the Speaker made a prior ruling that once you are here and verified with whatever your vote is, if you wander off the floor then you will not be taken off."

Walsh: "I don't like the ruling, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, neither do I. Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise?"

McGrew: "How am I recorded?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "McGrew. The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

McGrew: "After much discussion, I would like to be recorded as I."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting aye. Representative Day, for what purpose do you rise sir?"



Day: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Arthur Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Day: "I'd like to be recorded as no."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting no."

Fred Selcke: "Well, let me figure here now."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Hart, for what purpose do
you rise sir?"

Hart: "I've not concluded my challenges."

Arthur Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry, I thought you had."

Hart: "Representative Ryan?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Hart: "Representative Tim Simms."

Arthur Telcser: "He's right here in the aisle."

Hart: "Skinner."

Arthur Telcser: "He's standing right at his seat."

Hart: "Representative Richard Walsh?"

Arthur Telcser: "He's standing up at the back wall sir."

Hart: "Representative Williams."

Arthur Telcser: "Jack Williams? He's back there."

Hart: "That is all the challenges that I have."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Juckett, for what purpose
do you rise, sir?"

Juckett: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."



Juckett: "Vote me no please."

Arthur Telcser: "Vote the Gentleman as voting no, ' On this question there are ...How is Representative Shea recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

ARTHUR Telcser: "How? Representative Shea wishes to be recorded as voting aye." Representative Murphy, do you seek recognition sir? Representative Kriegsman? How is Representative Kriegsman recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting no. On this question there are 83 ayes, 84 nays, and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 1620 fails. Are there further amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 5. Hyde. Amend House Bill 1620, page 13 by inserting after line 9 and before line 10, the following and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment No. 5 is the essence of simplicity. I simply provides that no person shall solicit a contribution directly, or indirectly, from any person whom the soliciter knows to be an employee of the State of Illinois. The next part of the amendment simply provides that the penalties that remain for the rest of this bill will also apply to this Section. I respectfully move adoption of Amendment No. 5."



Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm really not sure whether this amendment is germane to the bill. However, the intent of the sponsor of the bill is clear and I think...I would concur that a...no one would favor coercion of any State employees to make any contributions to any campaign, and I would concur with the Gentleman and urge the adoption of Amendment No. 5."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Will the sponsor of the amendment yield to a question or two?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Miller: "Henry, I just have a question that I...I'm not opposing the amendment, I'm concerned about the language. Now when it says employee of the State of Illinois, I'd like a little clarification of that, if I might, for my own personal knowledge. Does that include those persons who are working for local governments of some type who where the money, or the source of the money is coming from the State Treasury. Now I suppose a school teacher is not technically an employee of the State of Illinois, even though State funds are involved, but how about a State's Attorney who is elected by the people. He is an employee I suppose of the local area, but he secures State's funds, Now is he an employee of the State of Illinois, and you



might think of other instances where this question might arise. Can you give me an answer on this, Sir?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir. In my opinion, a reasonable interpretation would mean someone who's on the state payroll. Anyone who is on the state payroll would be covered. I... I ... that would be my interpretation of that language. Now someone who is the beneficiary of state funds ah.. indirectly, I wouldn't say it covered, but somebody who's on the state payroll."

Miller: "Well, the State's Attorney would be excluded under this?"

Hyde: "That's right."

Miller: "Cause they do get a state check."

Hyde: "Well, I don't know... if they do get a check form the State of Illinois, then they are an employee of the state, although I... I have never considered them as such, but I'm not concerned about State's Attorneys being solicited for contributions, frankly."

Miller: "In other words, your interpretation of this is strickly and employee as used in this propsed amendment is someone who gets a state check?"

Hyde: "That's right. I would just like to emphazise that it says solicit. I'm not barring... this amendment doesn't seek to bar anybody from contribution on a voluntary basis or purchasing a ticket on a voluntary basis. It is the solicitation of them and the implied coercion that I am seeking to proscribe by this amendment."



Miller: "Well, it actually.... it's actually knowingly soliciting from a state employee is the crux of the matter, isn't that right?"

Hyde: "Well, I wouldn't want anybody convicted of a crime, unknowingly so ah... I think that's implicit."

Miller: "Thank you very much."

Arthur Telcser: There are still some people that want to speak. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he'll yield."

Washington: "Representative Hyde, the phrasing is 'solicit directly or indirectly ah... from a state employee.' Now we know what direct means, but would you give me an example of what you mean by indirect solicitation of a state employee?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir. An example of indirect ah... I should think would be ah...the ah... selling of tickets to affairs and that sort of thing; which while it's not a direct contribution it is an indirect contribution to the political campaign."

Washington: "Well, let me give you a hypothetical situation. Suppose a friend of yours said that he would sell say ah... 50 tickets for you and that friend went and sold those tickets to a state employee, under indirect solicitation would that not wrap up the principal under your Bill?"



Hyde: "Yes, that's the activity that I wish to proscrib. That's right."

Washington: "But suppose that it was done unknowingly, not withstanding your response to Representative Miller. As I understand your Bill, the principal would then be guilty of a violation of this Act, even though he did not know or even condone the solicitation of a friend of his that was selling tickets."

Hyde: "Well, as your know, Representative Washington, guilty knowledge is an essential element of every crime and I would think that that would be an excellent defense should anybody be silly enough to prosecute anyone under those circumstances."

Washington: "But the possibility is there and that's what disturbs me. Is it possible for you to draft that and.... or redraft that in such a way that it makes it very clear what you mean by indirect. I know that's not easy to do and I don't want to put you on, Henry, but that language disturbs me because the indirect aspect of it can simply get out of hand and you've got a vindictive State's Attorney, he might well give an innocent party a hard time just on that basis, not withstanding the fact that he would have to prove up his case."

Hyde: "Well, I discussed how to cover the situation that I had in mind with the Reference Bureau and it was felt that an indirect contribution....we're not talking about the solicitation unknowingly, but the contribution un-



knowingly. I'm sorry... I mean the contribution indirectly, that's what we're talking about. Now an indirect contribution, ah.. it seems to me rather clear. The purchase of tickets to a political affair. You could say that that money is going to gain admission to where your going to get some liquor and snacks ah... and it wasn't a contribution, but it certainly was an indirect contribution. I really don't see the problem."

Washington: "There is one other minor problem and that is the Bill as written, or as you read it, lends itself to setting up a public official for blackmail situation and I think ah.. it's quite evident in what you said. I ah.. I must say that the Bill is dangerous. I understand what you are trying to get at, but it simply goes too far."

Arthur Telcser: "O'okay, let me take a moment now to introduce to the Members ah... a group study exchange team from India who are here in the United States and in Illinois today and are sponsored by the Rotary Club of America. The gentlemen are here from India. O'okay ah... the gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, while we're on the order of introductions, I'd like to introduce a group in the left balcony in the Speaker's gallery ah...from the Evanston Junior League, representing the first and the eleventh district and I notice we have one of our Members up there, representing the eleventh district with the ladies."



Arthur Halcyon: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative
~~Mr.~~ Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Arthur Halcyon: "He indicates that he will."

Wolfe: "Henry, are you paying attention?"

Hyden: "Yes, Sir."

Wolfe: "You and I are pretty good friends, Henry. If I send
~~you~~ a letter to come to my dinner, you know.... am I
~~in~~ violation?"

Hyden: "Yes, if you want me to buy a ticket you are."

Wolfe: "Ya, I mean to buy a ticket. If ah... a testimonial
~~letter~~ is being ~~sent~~ in an off election year by a group
~~of~~ citizens and state employees are invited, ah.. would
~~that~~ be a violation?"

Hyden: "Yes, Sir and ah... if your inviting me to buy a ticket,
~~I~~ think that our friendship is somewhat limited."

Wolfe: "No, I'm not ~~invit~~.... ah... Henry, you know let's
~~send~~ it."

Hyden: "No, the point, Mr. Wolfe, is that employees of the
~~state~~ are very acceptable to coercion by State Officials."

Wolfe: "O'okay, but ~~you~~ don't say coercion or you don't say
~~that~~ and you ~~don't~~ use the words that we all know what
~~you~~ driving at and I think every Member of this House
~~will~~ support any coercive demand measure against any
~~state~~ employees to make any kind of a contribution what-
~~ever~~, but in ~~the~~ context of the simplicity of this
~~amendment~~, I'm ~~very~~ fearful that we're going to open up



alot more doors than we're going to close and I would suggest the wording of this amendment be very carefully drawn so that we reach the crux of the problem without really effecting friends of ours who are in state who might even unsolicited buy a ticket to a dinner, give a check and that evidence would be sufficient perhaps to convict a candidate or convict ah... an incumbant. That's all I'm saying."

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Wolfe, notifying somebody that an affair will be held is one thing, soliciting them to buy tickets or to make a contribution is another thing. This does not attack notifying somebody that an affair is being held and that tickets cost a certain amount of money, but it is the soliciting of somebody to make a contribution to somebody else's affair that is proscribed by this Bill because state employees are susceptible to being coerced."

Wolfe: "Henry, do you know that in the law the word 'solicit' has even been held to mean that if you have a marked key or a sign out in front of hall or a theater that that is a solicitation? Now that's been held under a series of cases, as you well know and all I'm saying is that the one word, solicit, standing alone could lead to alot of difficulty."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea,"

Shea: "Henry, did I hear you say that you were a state employee?"

Hyde: "Yes, Sir. I'm on the state payroll."

Shea: "Are you an employee of the state though?"



Hyde: Well, I don't know. I would consider myself one, yes."

Shea: "O'kay, I was curious about that. What happens if somebody comes up, Henry, and wants to buy two tickets to your dinner, gives you a check ah..."

Hyde: "Well, if I haven't solicited them, I would say that that's fine."

Shea: "Well, that's fine except the next day they go to wherever you report under this Bill and say that you put the pressure on me ah... here's my cancelled check and you made him do it."

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Shea, if someone wants to purger themselves and lie ah... you can be subject to any amount of criminal prosecution. There's an ole saying that you can sue the Bishop of Boston for bastardy, it doesn't mean that you're going to collect."

Shea: "Henry, you're very good at the sayings, but I just wonder if your realize how far you're going with this little amendment."

Hyde: "Well, I think I know where I'm going and ah.. I submit that the language is ah... rather clear and plain and one more thing, Mr. Shea, on the matter of being a state employee, we are under the State Employees Insurance Program, which is very persuasive in making me know whether I'm a state employee or not."

Arthur Telcser: "The lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Just a point of information to the Sponsor of the amendment. Henry, the way I understand it and the way



this reads and ah... if anyone wants to volunteer to buy tickets, that's all right, right?"

Hyde: "Absolutely."

Geo-Karis: "O'kay, thank you."

Arthur Telcser: Is there further discussion? Does the gentleman wish to close the debate? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 5 to House Bill 1620. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the amendment..... do you wish a Roll Call. The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 5 to House Bill 1620. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye' and the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? I'm sorry, the gentleman from McHenry, Representative McGrew. Did you seek recognition, Representative McGrew? No? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 90 'ayes' and 49 'nays' and the gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment 5 to House Bill 1620 prevails. Are there further amendments? Representative McLean, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

McLean: "Ah... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Collins and I have been talking about ah... placing another amendment on this Bill, which would require the Office of the Secretary of State to notify candidates as to the rules and regulations ah...accompanying this Bill and he has agreed to do that in the Senate and I'm amenable to that."



Arthur Telcser: "O'kay, Third Reading. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Collins: "Well, I.....I had merely risen, Mr. Speaker, to concur with the remarks of Representative McLean. I think that his point is well taken. He has a mandatory language that may have to take some working on and we have agreed that we will attach that amendment in the Senate if the Bill gets over there and ah... I heartedly indorse what he says."



Arthur Telcser: "House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 580."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 580. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this ah... Bill was up yesterday and there was a momentary pause and ah... we reflected and we came back with amendment ah... too late to put on yesterday. I would ask leave of the House to return ah.... this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Does the gentleman have leave? Hearing none, House Bill 580 will be returned to the Order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the amendment?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #1, Rayson. Amend House Bill 580, page 1 and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "The amendment says that an illegitimate who obtains paternal adjudication during a lifetime of a decedent is legitimate for inheritance purposes. I would move for the adoption of the amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Does that become the entire Bill now ah.... is that what



doing?"

Rayson: "Yes."

Hart: "So that.... so the only thing that your Bills does now is to make those persons who have been ah... adjudicated by a court proceedure ah... then they would be eligible for inheritance as a child?"

Rayson: Yes, to eliminate some of the problems some people have on proceedings."

Hart: "Thank you very much."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman has offered to ah.... the gentleman from Whiteside Representative ah... nope? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 580. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed, 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Third Reading. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 580, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson is recognized. It has been read a third time."

Rayson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As Representative Hart questioned ah... this amendment then becomes the Bill. This Bill started out to say that illegitimates have the right to inherit without distinction. As you know, the law in Illinois says that the illegitimate may inherit from its maternal side only. We've knocked that out of the Bill by saying that in the Section with regard to illegitimates, ah... if one can prove paternity prior



to the death of the decedent, that is sufficient prove for a claim for ah... inheritance purposes. It's a good Bill, Mr. Speaker and it's long in coming and I would urge a favorable vote on House Bill 580."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? I would like to have you go over once more on what this amendment does now. Did I understand you to say that ah...in order for an illegitimate to inherit under are inheritance laws, he must establish that the paternity prior to the death of the person in question, is this right?"

Rayson: "It's ah... in so far as he might inherit from the paternal side, yes. The law recognizes the right on the maternal side, otherwise."

Miller: And this would also apply as far as collateral on the paternal side."

Rayson: "On the paternal side so long it flows from adjudication prior to the death of the decedent. So we don't get into any hang-ups whatsoever on procedural matters after death. It's a prior death matter and....."

Miller: And you said adjudication prior do death?"

Rayson: "Prior to death, yes."

Miller: "Alright, alright."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."



Holloway: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Holloway: "Is there ah... anything in this Bill that ah... would effect the present statute of limitations as it applies in the Paternity Act?"

Rayson: "No, and ah...it really wouldn't apply because is a prior act anyway. As long as there is a prior adjudication, whenever it might occur, but as long as it is prior to the death of the decedent, it could be used to show proof of claim ah... on the paternal side for inheritance purposes, ah.... that's all."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the gentleman wish to close?"

Rayson: "Well, all I can say in closing is that I worked this amendment out with Representative Shea and Representative Katz and we feel that it meets the objections of some and ah... it's a good amendment because the thrust in the direction is to allow all human beings to have the right of inheritance as they rightly should. I urge a favorable vote."

Arthur Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 580 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and the opposed by voting 'no'. Telcser, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Peters, 'aye'. Jim Houlihan, 'aye'. Lemke, 'aye'. Telcser, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 131 'ayes' and 3 'nays' and this Bill having received the constitutional



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

majority is hereby declared passed,' O'okay on the order of motions, for what purpose does the gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman rise?"

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have House Bill 1320 emergency appropriation called today."

Arthur Telcser: "You're moving to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 so that House Bill 1320 can be heard in Appropriations today? Is that your motion, Sir?"

VonBoeckman: "No, Sir. This is an emergency appropriations Bill and the Bill is on Third Reading and I'd like to have it heard today."

Arthur Telcser: "Sorry, o'okay. Ah.... o'okay, let me go back to House Bills, Third Reading. Now the gentleman has moved that the provisions of Rule 37 be suspended so that the Speaker can go out of numerical order for the purposes of hearing House Bill 1320, which appears on the order of Third Reading. All those in favor.... is there any discussion? All those in favor of the gentlemans motion signify by voting 'aye' and the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 107 votes. J.J.Wolf, and Telcser, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 128 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the gentlemans motion prevails.' On the Order of Third Reading, House Bill 1320."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1320. An Act making the supplemental appropriation for certain and ordinary



contingent expenses for the Department of Labor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, this is a supplemental appropriation to meet the payroll for the Department of Labor for the months of May and June. I would appreciate your support."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would just like the Membership to understand that this is an emergency Bill for the factory inspectors for the Department of Labor that would not be paid unless we pass the Bill. I'd like to point out to the General Assembly that the House of Representatives were aware of this need since last February and somehow the the Bureau of the Budget didn't see fit to come in before the General Assembly before we have to suspend rules, not only once, not twice, but three times to pass an emergency Bill that they were aware of since last February. I just consider the actions of the Bureau of the Budget not in keeping with good fiscal responsibility that they seem to get newspaper notoriety for and blame the legislature for not acting responsible at times. The Bureau of the Budget was very well aware and I publically criticize the Bureau of the Budget's actions, but not at the expense of the 120 factory



inspectors that are... that are need of getting paid so we could pass this Bill, but I think it is in error for the newspapers to say that the Bureau of the Budget at anytime is acting with some God given strength in saying that they can come before the legislature at anytime and ask for a deficiency such as this. I think that the Bureau of the Budget ought to clean up their own house. I think they ought to do their job and recommend to the legislature in ample time any deficiency that are needed. I'm going to vote 'aye' on this Bill, but we do have a letter from the Department of Labor that they were in error and they should have been in earlier. The Department of Labor is not at fault. They notified the Bureau of the Budget in February and I'm tired of seeing the Executive Branch of government being exonerated easily by the Legislative Branch by just passing willy nilly their Bills because they got themselves in fat bind. I'm going to vote 'aye', but I still think that the Bureau of the Budget ought to do it's job."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Livingston, Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, it's rather interesting to me to see the deficiency appropriation that has to be voted now. I well remember last year, before we adjourned the first of July, the Budget cutting that was done on the other side of the aisle because we didn't need the money. Now at the end of the year



we have to have it and I just want the House to know that."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker, if we defeat this Bill we might eliminate duplication of inspection of factory. The federal government inspects factories and there is a whole lot of question in my minds that we need all this duplication anyway. So if we just eliminate state factory inspection maybe that would be the best way to answer that solution and just defeat this Bill and not pay them."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Juckett: "Ah... you've indicated that there's a need for these factory inspectors. What are their duties and why were they not included in the original budget figure?"

VonBoeckman: "Well, to answer your question; number one, there is no federal factory inspectors. What happened is that the federal government subsidizes the state factory inspectors to inspect ah.. laws that prevail on the federal level and we're talking about OSEA, which was just passed previously and we were expecting a forthcoming of OSEA funds, which ah... aren't here now, but yet we have these people that are on the state payroll that do ah... exercise ah... the same prerogatives on the federal level and ah... there is no federal inspector so we do need state inspectors



and we do need the money to pay them."

Juckett: "When were these individuals hired?"

VonBoeckman: "They have been on the payroll for a great number of years. There is vacancies in the Department as of now, but these people have been on the payroll for a great number of years."

Juckett: "Well, if they've been on the payroll for a great number of years, where is their salary budgeted for prior to becoming these inspectors?"

VonBoeckman: "Well, the budget was so much on federal funds and so much on State funds appropriated last year. Well, the federal funds haven't got here yet and that's the whole story. And until they do, we can't let these people that work for the State go unpaid. "

Juckett: "Well, if they were already in a budgeted position, and they already had a salary for that position, then why are additional State funds needed on top of there already budgeted State funds for their jobs?"

VonBoeckman: "Well if you will listen to what I just previously said, the State funds were budgeted and the federal funds that were supposed to be allocated to this Division were in the budget. The federal funds have not been paid to this State, so therefore, we in the State have to supplement the money."

Juckett: "Okay, now you indicated that they were on the budget for some time. What was their position in the budget prior to becoming State inspectors?"



VonBoeckman: "Well OHSHO is a new Act that has just been enacted by the Congress. Therefore, it authorizes the State inspectors in various States to implement the OHSHO Act. But the funds aren't here."

Juckett: "Well, I understand that, I understand that we passed the OHSHO Act in this General Assembly or in the last General Assembly, and so the Act was in effect in Illinois, and I do understand that Illinois was taking it so that we could inspect our own facilities and the federal inspectors would stay out."

VonBoeckman: "Right."

Juckett: "But you indicated that these are long time State employees. And if they are long time State employees, they were in budgeted positions in the Department of Labor prior to OHSHO, what happened to those positions?"

VonBoeckman: "Well, according to the provisions, the other... they were transferred into this new Act. So they were previous long time employees, they were transferred from the original State inspection that they were in to the federal inspection and we are to implement the program."

Juckett: "Okay, if we were to receive, what...50% funding from the federal? ...for part of their salaries?"

VonBoeckman: "I can't honestly answer that."

Juckett: "You don't know what percentage the federal government would ...was supposed to supply?"

Von Boeckman: "No, I can't answer that question."



Juckett: "How much of a percentage of this is this appropriation as compared to their regular appropriation for this job?"

VonBoeckman: "Well, I'd like to add that there is only 25,000 dollars left in the budget[?] a...for this year and we needed the 152,000 to maintain the salaries until the end of June."

Juckett: "And there are no other funds in the Department of Labor from the jobs that they vacated?"

VonBoeckman: "No."

Juckett: "The Governor filled those jobs with other people?"

VonBoeckman: "No."

Juckett: "What happened to the money?"

VonBoeckman: "Well, again, I...I...I don't know how I can really drive my point across to you, ..."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. I object to this dialog. I think the sponsor has answered his question, and I think there is no purpose to that further dialog. "

Arthur Telcser: "I think your point is well taken sir. Does the Representative wish to conclude his questioning.. confine his remarks, or..."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it is fairly clear that we don't really know where the funds are coming, we don't know where the funds are, and in many respects, we don't know where the funds are going, and probably to quote the distinguished scholar



on the other side of the aisle, we have a purpose which is very pallel to 1492. And I think that we ought to be a little bit more careful in the expenditure of our State funds. We have been down the primrose path again by the federal government in saying that if you'll take over these jobs, then we are going to dangle a carrot in front of you and we're going to help pay for it = but you'll have the responsibility. And again, it...it...it really shows up the fact that I think that we hear in the State of Illinois know how to run our State one heck of a lot better than any federal bureaucrat ever thought of. And I'm just fearful we're going to vote these funds out blindly, and on the assurance of the Representative that these 125 or so factory inspectors are going to be without a job because we haven't provided for them. It isn't we that haven't provided for them. Its the federal government who have not acknowledged their duty, and not come through with their responsibility. But it was our fault in the first place in believing the federal government. I think we can do anything better than they can, and I think maybe we ought to teach the federal government, we ought to teach our State government a little lesson. Maybe we should withhold these funds for a little bit, We are already past one pay period in the month of May, and if they can survive this one, maybe they can survive another one. And maybe they'll learn that they shouldn't get us out on a plank and then saw it off. And maybe we can learn at the same time.



So rather than following the lead of our distinguished labor leader from McHenry. Instead of just passively going along with this, I think maybe we ought to give a no and let's see what happens to the federal government. Maybe they'll start doing their duty, but if they can get us to do what they're supposed to do, they won't lift a finger to help us, so I would urge a no vote on this rather innocuous miserably bad bill."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I want to explain my vote."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'll explain my vote too, if I have to."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "'Question."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying aye, all opposed signify by saying no. The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman, to close."

VonBoeckman: "All I want to say is that we have made a commitment to implement this program and I for one, and I'm sure all you other legislators better take heed, that I would rather have State inspectors inspecting the factories of the State of Illinois, than federal inspectors. I feel we must meet the payroll for these State employees



and I urge your yes vote."

Arthur Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 1320 pass? All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1320 in its original form ask for an appropriation of \$191,000 for personal services in the safety inspection and education division in the Department of Labor. This Division is responsible to inspect factories mercantile establishments and all other industrial and commercial establishments in the State where goods are manufactured or sold. After review of the proposed bill, we reduced that amount to \$152,000, this is for a remaining pay period. In 1973, we appropriated \$925,000 with the intention of having federal funds come in and I think this is a good bill and deserves the support of the House."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kucharski."

Kucharski: "This is a responsible request by the Department of Labor and it is money that is needed to do the fine job that they have been doing in the past and I recommend a yes vote."

Arthur Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Kempiners, aye. On this question there are 121 ayes, 8 nays and this bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Tuerk aye. On motions,



The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Merlo, with respect to a motion."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, two bills, House Bill 1889 and 1950 are part of a series of bills that are scheduled to be heard today in the Pension and Veterans Committee. However, they were inadvertently omitted in posting. I, therefore, Mr. Speaker, move that the appropriate rule be suspended so that they can be heard today. I do have the approval of the Chairman. 1889 and 1950."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the provisions of Rule 18 be suspended for the purposes of hearing 1889 and 1950 heard in the Pensions and Personnel Committee this afternoon. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "One question with regard to House Bill 1950. When was it introduced? 15? One five. Thank you Jack."

Arthur Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Jones, aye. On this question there are 117 ayes, no nays Maragos, aye. And the Gentleman's motion to suspend Rule 18 provision prevailed. Motions...motions, okay. The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Chairman of the Committee on Assignments has been ill and my bill was just recently assigned, House Bill No. 1920



I would like to have it heard by the Executive Committee, and I would like to ask the consideration of this Body to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for this bill can be heard in the Executive Committee tomorrow. I have received the blessings, you might say, and the okay from the Chairman of the Executive Committee, Mr. Collins."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "A...Mr. Speaker, this certainly looks like a good bill to me, I'm co-sponsor on it, but unfortunately the bill was introduced after the last day for introduction. Well, is there revenue in it, it didn't look that way to me. You have to pay \$2 to register them. Okay, fine."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave of the House to be recorded as voting aye on House Bill 312. It will not change the result, I was off the floor when this bill was passed."

ARTHUR Telcser: "Does the Gentleman have leave... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. "

Shea: "When was it passed, Phil?"

Collins: "May 8th."

Shea: "Is that within the time? Isn't there something about you can get it the next day?"

Collins: "I...I just caught up to it Jerry."

Shea: "Pardon me?"



Collins: "I don't know, I just caught up to it."

Shea: "I think there is a rule saying you can do it the next day, but I think after that you can't do it, can you?"

Collins: "With unanimous consent, can I not do it?"

Shea: "What is that, the minimum wage, Phil?"

Collins: "Yes."

Shea: "Is that a labor bill, Phil, or what?"

Collins: "It would appear to be in that area."

Arthur Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis says...a...moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes of hearing House Bill 1920 in Executive Committee tomorrow, or on Thursday. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record.

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, which is an aye. I trust the sponsor will contact the Illinois Bureau of Investigation and advise us that there is a hearing tomorrow."

Arthur Telcser: "She indicates that she will do that. She says she already has. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Likewise, I have House Bill..."

Arthur Telcser: "Let me...let me finish this one first."

Grotberg: "Oh, I'm sorry."



Arthur Telcser: "On this question, there are 128 ayes, 2 nays, the the Lady's motion to suspend the provisions in relation to Rule 18 prevail." Now, the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry for the intrusion on the previous vote. I have House Bill 1749 that I would like to request leave of the House to suspend Rule 18 to have it posted for tomorrow's meeting of the Executive Committee. Its a simple transfer of land for the State of Illinois."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purpose of having House Bill 1749 heard....The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Wonder if the sponsor would yield?"

Arthur Telcser: "By all means."

Hill: "What is this property and who is the easement for?"

Grotberg: "Its a transfer from the Geneva School for Girls to the City of Geneva for a sewer. Its a easement for access on a new sewer program."

Hill: "Is Northern Illinois Gas involved in this?"

Grotberg: "Not to my knowledge. Its the City of Geneva."

Hill: "Well, I won't object then."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, as with the other bill, Mr. Speaker, I hope the effected agency, in this case, the Department of



Corrections, will be notified before hand."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman indicates he'll do that.

The Gentleman has moved that the provisions of Rule 18 be suspended for the purposes of having House Bill 1749 heard in the Executive Committee this week. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion, signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Cox, aye. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 122 yeas, 2 nays, and the Gentleman's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 prevailed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The principle of House Bills 1468 and 1469, I would ask leave to table those bills."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman asked leave to table House Bills 1468 and 1469. Hearing no objections the bills will be tabled. The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Rose."

Rose: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've discussed this motion with the leadership on both sides of the aisle, I therefore move to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 so that Judiciary I may hear House Bill 1683 through 1690. 1683 through 1690, this afternoon. It is the intention of the sponsor to ask that they go to the interim study calendar."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any objection? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes of hearing House Bills 1683 through 90 in Judiciary this afternoon. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the



opposed by voting no.' Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Thompson, aye. On this question there are 128 ayes, no nays, and the Gentleman's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 prevailed. The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Kellerson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kellerson: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to suspend Rule 18 to have House-Joint Resolution No. 20 heard in Executive tomorrow. I talked to the committee Chairman over there and he's okayed it."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion?' The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. We spent over an hour on this specific resolution last week."

Kellerson: "Ted, this is going to be put in interim. That's what I want to do with it."

Lechowicz: "Okay, no objection."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the provisions of Rule 18 be suspended for the purposes of having House Resolution, House-Joint Resolution No. 20 heard in committee this week. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? He says he wants to put this in the interim, have you put it in the interim study commission, Phil. Keller, yeh.' Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this questions there are 114 ayes, no nays, and the



Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask unanimous consent to be recorded as voting aye on House Bill 1481 and I will not change the result. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Again, I raise the same question. On what date did the bill pass?"

Arthur Telcser: "Do you wish to respond Representative Lundy? I have no idea when it was."

Lundy: "It was passed on May 3rd."

Shea: "Well what do the rules say about getting on and off votes?"

Arthur Telcser: "The rules provide you can change the vote on the date the bill is passed, I believe."

Shea: "Or its within the next day, isn't it."

Arthur Telcser: "Right."

Shea: "So you've got to suspend that rule?"

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman would have to move to suspend that rule. Do you wish to suspend the rule, sir?"

Shea: "So moved. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Matijevich: "If nobody else is going to object, I'm going to object. I think the rule is a good rule. It gives you another day you are here, but I think we violate that rule, we are actually doing a favor to those who do not attend sessions like they should, and I'm going to object to that



and I would hope that we live up to that rule."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, there have been a number of objections to grant the Gentleman leave. He now wants to put a motion to suspend the rule that deals with that, and then I assume he'll make some kind of motion to get his name on the roll call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, as I remember, Mr. Speaker, that was a very close vote on that bill, and I don't know, I'd like to look at the journals, could he hold this off until tomorrow."

Lundy: "Its not going to change a lot from today to tomorrow."

Arthur Telcser: "Do you wish to do that? He indicates he'll do that. Alright, let's take that Gentleman's motion out. Okay, are there further motions? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I request the consent of the House that House Bill 1346 be heard in Education tomorrow. I have discussed this with Chairman Soderstrom, he has graciously consented to it. Representative McGrew is the co-sponsor. The bill has the effect of permitting those that have taught 20 years to be paid at the B.S. scale rate."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "A...we don't have any note on it over here, Roscoe. Did you talk to Representative Choate or somebody over here?"

Cunningham: "No, Representative McGrew is co-sponsor on it, the bill is 1346, the effect of it is to let B.S. rate apply with teachers that have taught 20 years."



Shea: "Well, that went right over my head. I'd like to take a look at it."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there any further motions? Okay.

Introduction and First Reading of House Bills."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 1940, Dyer, et al. Appropriate \$92,214 for expense on a commission for instruction of technology. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1941. Shea. Amends the Illinois Securities Law. First Reading of the Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to suspend the provisions of Rule 26(b). This bill, 1941, is introduced and it technically should lay on the Speaker's table. I discussed it with the leadership on both sides of the aisle, and the Committee Chairman of the Committee it would be assigned to to introduce the bill and have it assigned Committee. It amends the Illinois Securities Law. Its been requested by the Secretary of State's office, so that Illinois can be in line with other States when we come to the clearing house instead of the certificates in the stock bond community."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 26 for the purposes of having House Bill 1941 referred to a committee for hearing. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion, signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. 107. The parliamentarian



informs me that this takes 107 votes. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. Lemke, aye. Roscoe Cunningham, aye. Beatty, aye. Giglio, aye. Let's take another roll call, okay? All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 116 ayes, no nays, and the Gentleman's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 26 prevails. Do you wish to put a further motion, sir? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "This bill has been assigned to Judiciary I which meets this afternoon, and I'd like leave of the House to suspend Rule 18 so that it may be posted and heard in Judiciary I this afternoon. I discussed it with the Chairman and the leadership on both sides."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the Rule 18 provisions for the purposes of hearing House Bill 1941 this afternoon in Judiciary. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wished? Cox, aye. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Two questions about this bill, Mr. Speaker. Number 1, when was it introduced? Well, three questions. When was it introduced? Has it been printed? And what's it all about?"



Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I thought I explained it. Its an amendment to the Securities Law that says clearing corporations as defined in Section 8102 of the Uniform Commercial Code is now or hereinafter amended. Its one of the definitions. It has nothing to do with..."

Schlickman: "special service district?"

Shea: "Nothing at all."

Schlickman: "When was it introduced and has it been printed?"

Shea: "It was introduced just a few minutes ago, it has not been printed and there will be sufficient copies for the members of committee and I'll bring you over one personally."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much."

Arthur Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 120 ayes, no nays, and the Gentleman's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 prevail. Now wait a second now, that's the first, okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan, with respect to a motion."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I have a bill, 1396, which I thought I had posted. I must have inadvertently missed it. I've spoken with the Chairman. He indicated that there were other matters pending and that he would not be opposed to hearing the bill today if we could get leave of the House, to a...a...suspend the proper rule.. I'd ask for a favorable consideration of this motion."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea,"



Shea: "What does the bill do?"

Houlihan: "This bill, Jerry, is a bill which, it doesn't demolish the Assistant Minority Leader, it deals with retail sales of meat and necessitites, and it pertains to contracts which prohibit the sale of necessitites in a specific time when a retail store is open."

Shea: "Did Representative Hanahan look at it. Is this, this is the bill to allow the meat sales?"

Houlihan: "Yes."

Shea: "And when do you want to get it posted for?"

Houlihan: "For this afternoon, And Representative Shea, there is another bill dealing with this matter, but not in the same way, and its posted for today."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Is this the bill that is identical to Senator Natch's that was killed infamously by a vote of about 9 to 1 in the Senate committee?"

Houlihan: "No it was not. One of the specific provisions that was most objectionable was removed."

Hanahan: "I think the whole bill is objectionable, but I'll give you a shot at it."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentlemen from...No? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 so that House Bill 1396 can be heard in Industry and Labor Committee this afternoon. Or Industrial Affairs this afternoon. All in favor of the



Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. It will take 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 108 ayes. McClain and Yourrell aye. There are 110 ayes, no nays. Arrigo aye. Pate Philip aye. Telcser aye. And the Gentleman's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, 'with respect to a motion."

Ewell: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman, I'd like to have the appropriate rules suspended to hear House Bill 1679 in the Human Resources Committee. I have talked to the Chairman and also to the leadership on both sides of the aisle."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purpose of hearing House Bill 1679 in ...what committee was that Ray?"

Ewell: "Human Resources."

Arrhut Telcser: "Human Resources this afternoon. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 124 ayes, 1 nay, and the Gentleman's motion to suspend Rule 18 prevails. Okay, no further motions. Senate Bills First Reading. Is Representative....Oh, I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."



Cunningham: "I wanted to yield to Representative McGrew to join in my motion for my bill there."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, that's why I was trying to get your attention. I didn't want to speak on the other motion. A...I think we have an excellent opportunity to hear a good bill and I would like to join Representative Cunningham in one of the rare opportunities to say that this is an excellent bill and it needs one little amendment and it is an agreed bill. Bill 1346."

Arthur Telcser: "1346? What committee did you wish to have it..

McGrew: "Elementary and Secondary Education."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the rules in relation to the purposes for having House Bill 1346 heard in the House Elementary Education Committee. All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. 118 votes. 107 votes, I'm sorry. Representative McGrew, does that committee meet today or tomorrow? Thursday, tomorrow. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 124 ayes, no nays, and the Gentleman's motion to suspend the Rule 18 prevails. Senate Bills First Reading. Okay, did I get everybody's motion now? Okay. Senate Bills First Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bills First Reading. Senate Bill 145. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 148. An Act to amend certain



Acts to enable political parties to nominate county candidates. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 200. An Act making appropriations for the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 210. An Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 245. An Act to amend the Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 256. An Act authorizing the Department of Transportation to convey land in Will County. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 263. An Act to amend the Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 264. An Act to amend the Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 267. An Act to amend the Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 497. An Act in relation to the issuance of Revenue Bonds. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 501. An Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 510. An Act to amend Section 5 of an Act to establish the Northeastern Illinois University. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 511. An Act making appropriations of the Board of Governors State College and Universities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1141. An Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent distributors funds Department of Public Aid. First Reading of the Bill. " "

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell."



Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've cleared this with both sides of the aisle and also with the committee chairman, and this is a bill transferring funds within the Department of Public Aid, and I'd like to a...suspend the rules, the provisions of Rule 18 so that Senate Bill 1141 can be heard in the Appropriations Committee this afternoon."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, have you discussed those with the Committee on Assignments, are they going to refer it? So Senate Bill 1141 has already in effect, been referred to the Appropriations Committee. The Gentleman has now moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 so that Senate Bill 1141 can be heard in Appropriations this afternoon. Is there any discussion? All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. 107 votes. Leinenweber, aye. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 123 ayes and no nays and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, one brief announcement before we adjourn. The room number for the Counties and Townships Committee meeting is not on the calendar. For those of you on that committee, the room that the committee will be held in is M-4. And that will be immediately after adjournment. Also, I am informed that the Committee on Industrial Affairs will meet on the House floor and not in Room M-5. Now, Mr.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker, I move that the House adjourn until 9:30 A.M., tomorrow. Industrial Affairs will meet on the House floor at 5:30, this afternoon."

Arthur Telcser: "Okay, now Bill while you discuss this matter with Jim, let's take a death resolution, is that alright?"

Fred Selcke: "House Resolution 312. McMaster. In honor of the memory of Dr. Charby G. Humback."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMasters. The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of the Death Resolution, all those in favor of House Resolution 312, all in favor....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "What does it do?"

Arthur Telcser: "Its a Death Resolution." All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion, signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williar Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning for regular session."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 9:30 tomorrow morning, All in favor signify by saying aye, the opposed no, the House stands adjourned until the hour of 9:30 A.M. tomorrow morning."

