HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

TWENTY-SIXTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

MARCH 14, 1973

10:00 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



A Roll Call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Richard A. Carter - illness;
Representative Peter C. Granata - illness;
Representative Joseph G. Sevcik - illness;
Representative John F. Wall - illness.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

- K. Miller: "The House will be in Order. Prayer by Joe Cary."
 Cary: "Let us pray. Let us meditate upon your laws, oh Lord,
 as we contemplate the laws here. Amen."
- K. Miller: "Any messages?"
- F. B. Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes,
 Secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the
 House of Representatives the Senate has passed a Bill with
 the following title, passage of which I am instructed to
 ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 136. Senate
 Bill 230. Passed by the Senate, March 13, 1973. Edward
 Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to
 inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following
 Joint Resolution. House Joint Resolution 19, concurred
 in by the Senate, March 13, 1973. Edward Fernandes,
 Secretary. No further messages."
- K. Miller: "Just before Representative DiFrima moves that we recess before ten o'clock, I would like to say that I'm very happy to be able to receive a message from the Senate: I hope one day to be able to send them one from myself. Representative DiFrima moves that we recess until ten o'clock this morning."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The House will be in Order. The invocation will be by Doctor Johnson."

Doctor Johnson: "We pray. Thanks be to you this day, Oh

God, for the devine compassion which continually cares for
us, for your guidance which is ours despite our frequent
weakness, for the gift of your courage which comes despite
our frequent cowardness, for your abounding love, which
has assurred us despite our abundance self-love. Thanks
be to you this day, Oh God, for the laughter of friends
and colleagues who can share our joys, for the jest of
gallant soles, who can call us back from our sorrows and
for the encouraging word for proponent or opponent which
makes our job all the more rewarding. Thanks be to you
this day, Oh God, above all for your promise to be with
us and to bless all who put their trust in you. In the
name of our redeemer and our Lord, we pray. Amen."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, will the Records show that Representatives

Granata, Sevcik and Wall are absent because of illness?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate.

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, would you please have the Records show that Representative Richard Carter is absent because of illness?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Record will so indicate. Introductions. First Reading."



- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 660. Stedelin et al. Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to oil, gas, coal and other service and underground resources. First Reading of the Bill."

 Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, we're going to go to House Bills, Second Reading, and I'm going to ask ah.... Mr.

 Davis ah... to be the temporary Speaker, while we're on Second Readings."
- C. Davis: "House Bills Second Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 1. Bill for an Act to promote fair practices in the conduct of election campaigns for political office in the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill will be taken out of the Record. House Bill 56. I'm sorry, House Bill 10. Bill for an Act to amend Section 3-405 and 6-606, the Illinois Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any amendments from the House? Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 14. A Bill for an Act to amend

 Section 10 of the Environmental Protection Act. Second

 Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any Amendments from the floor of the House? Third
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 15. A Bill for an Act to amend
 Section 204 of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading
 of the Bill. This Bill has been read ah... a Second time.

 Did we adopt Amendment 1? Did we adopt Amendment 1, Mr.
 Pierce?"

Pierce: "Yes, we adopted Amendment 1 and the Bill is awaiting



on Second Reading for me to ah it's been read a Second time for me to file the fiscal note that was requested by a gentleman from the other side, so I believe as soon as the fiscal note is filed with the Clerk's office it would go to Third Reading without being read again."

- C. Davis: "Take it out of the record. Next Bill."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 28. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Is Mr. Schneider here?"
- C. Davis: "Representative Schneider on the floor? Take it out of the record."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 29. Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code of 1967. Second Reading of the Bill.

 This Bill will be taken out of the record, too."
- C. Davis: "Take it out of the Record."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 48. Is Mr. Caldwell here?"
- C. Davis: "Representative Caldwell. Representative Stone?"
- Stone: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment, the Committee Amendment that was offered to that Bill is defective. I'd like to take it out of the Record until tomorrow, and we'll withdraw Amendment No. 1 and offer Amendment No. 2."
- C. Davis: "To 48?"

Stone: "Yes Sir."

- C. Davis: "All right. Take it out of the Record and we'll offer it tomorrow. Next Bill."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 53. A Bill for an Act to require
 Is the gentleman in his seat?"



- C. Davis: "Representative Schlickman. Is Representative Schlickman in his seat? Is there an Amendment to the Bill? Take this Bill out of the Record. He's not there. Ladies and gentlemen of the House, we're now going down in numerical order, consecutive order, numerical sequence and every bill is on the calendar, and if you're the sponsor, you ought to be in your seat so that we can proceed. Next Bill. Call the next Bill."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 74. A Bill for an Act in relation to medical treatment administered solely for the purpose of prolonging human life."
- C. Davis: "Where's Ewell, he's not over there is he? Not in his seat. Take it out of the Record. Representative Ewell's not in his seat."
- F. B. Selcke: "125 out of the record."
- C. Davis: "125 out of the record."
- F. B. Selcke: "Deuster, is he here?"
- C. Davis: "Representative Pierce, did you desire to be recognized? Next Bill."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 134. Bill for an Act to amend
 Section 6, an act that provides a law in relation to
 marriages. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
 Amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any amendments from the floor of the House? Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 140. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill.



No Committee Amendments."

- C. Davis: "Any Amendments from the Floor of the House?"
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Kosinski. Amend House Bill 140, on page 2, Section 203 in line 19, by striking 'from Federal Income Tax' and inserting in lieu thereof 'for Federal Income Tax purposes'."
- C. Davis: "Is that all the Amendments? Gentleman from Cook,

 Representative Kosinski."
- Kosinski: "Ladies and gentlemen of the House, it's a nonsubstantive amendment. Ah... at the recommendation of the Department of Revenue and I recommend its acceptance."
- C. Davis: "Any further discussion on the Amendment? The question is, shall the Amendment be adopted? All in favor, let it be known by saying 'aye'. The 'ayes' have it and it is so ordered. Any further amendments? Bill will be advanced to Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 149. Bill for an Act to amend the
 Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No
 Committee Amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any Amendments from the Floor of the House?"
 Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 151. Bill for an Act creating the
 Illinois Insurance Law Study Commission, defining its
 powers and duties. Second Reading of the Bill. No
 Committee Amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any Amendments from the floor of the House. Third Reading."



- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 169."
- C. Davis: "Just a minute. Mr. Getty, the gentleman from Cook." Getty: "Mr. Speaker, an Amendment has been submitted ah... on this, and I have spoke to the co-sponsor who handled

it in the Judiciary II Committee, Representative Fleck, and he agreed with me this morning to hold it."

C. Davis: "Are you talking about 169?"

Getty: "That's correct."

- C. Davis: "169. You're going to hold amendments? We'll take it out of the record, then."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 171. Bill for an Act to amend
 Section 3 of an Act, defining the powers and duties to
 local government agencies and so forth. Second Reading
 of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment No. 1.
 Amend House Bill 171, page 1, line 31, by deleting the
 employee and inserting in lieu thereof 'a part-time employee'; on page 2 by deleting all of line 2 and inserting
 in lieu thereof the following and so forth."
- C. Davis: "The gentleman from Cook.... from Will, Mr. Kempiners."
- Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that there is
 another Amendment to be proposed by Representative Terzich
 and I will subscribe to his Amendment."
- C. Davis: "Will you move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1, you subscribe to?"
- Kempiners: "Yes, I'll move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1?"



- C. Davis: "All in favor of the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1, let it be known by saying 'aye'. 'Ayes' have it and the Committee Amendment is adopted. Any other Committee Amendments?"
- F. B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment No. 2. Amend House Bill 171, on page 2 by adding after line 19, the following:

 'This amendatory act of 1973 does not apply to any home-rule unit."
- C. Davis: "Do you wish to explain the amendment?"

Kempiners: "I move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2."

- C. Davis: "The gentleman moves the adoption of Committee
 Amendment No. 2. Any discussion from any member of the
 House? The question is, shall the Committee Amendment
 No. 2 be adopted? All in favor, let it be known by
 saying 'aye'. 'Ayes' have it and it is so ordered."
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 3. Terzich. Amend House Bill 171 as amended in Section 3, by striking 30 wherever it appears and inserting in lieu thereof the following 20.
- C. Davis: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."
- Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment No. 3 simply changes the ah...

 number of hours from 30 to 20 hours, and ah... I believe

 it has the approval of the Sponsor, and I vote for adoption

 of Amendment 3."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

 Any discussion? All in favor, let it be known by saying
 'aye'. 'Ayes' have it and it is so ordered." Third

 Reading."



- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 172. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment No. 1, amend House Bill 172 on page 2 by adding after line 15 the following and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."
- Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There are two Amendments.

 One is a homerule amendment and the other ah... indicates

 that this legislation is not to ah.... limit full-time

 employees who work over 30 hours a week."
- C. Davis: "Take them one at a time. Is this Amendment No. 1, it's a homerule amendment."
- Kempiners: "Homerule is Amendment No. 1. Right. I move its
 adoption."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption. Any discussion from the floor? Amendment No. 1. All in favor, let it be known by saying 'aye'. Nay? 'Ayes' have it and it is so ordered."
- F. B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment No. 2 amends House Bill
 172 on page 1, line 20 by deleting and inserting in lieu
 thereof apart-time and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."
- Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this defines a full-time employee as one who works more than 30 hours per week and I move its adoption."
- C. Davis: "Moves the adoption of Committee Amendment 2. Any discussion? All in favor of the adoption of Amendment



No. 2, let it be known by saying 'aye'. 'Ayes' have it so ordered. Move it to Third Reading. You got another one? Any Amendments from the Floor of the House?"

- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 3. Terzich. Amend House Bill
 172 as amended in Section 3 by striking 30 and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, this just changes the definition from 30 hours to 20 hours and has approval of the Sponsor.

 I move for adoption of Amendment No. 3."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

 Any discussion? All in favor, let it be known by saying 'aye' on adoption of Amendment No. 3, or opposes 'nay'.

 'Ayes' have it, and so ordered. Third Reading. Next
 Bill."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill...."
- C. Davis: "Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea.
- Shea: "I wonder if the Sponsor of 172 would yield to a question?"
- C. Davis: "He indicates he will. We've moved it up to Third
 Reading." Mr. Kempiners, will you yield to a question

Kempiners: "Yes I will, Mr. Speaker."

C. Davis: "He indicates he'll yield."

Shea: "Is this Bill substantially what the Digest says it

does. It limits the insurance contribution to ten percent?

Kempiners: "To 10% of a part-time employee salary and part-

time as being defined as one who works less than 20 hours



a week."

Shea: "What does it do to full-time employees?"

Kempiners: "It doesn't do anything to them. It gives the
 municipality still.... If a municipality has a health or
 life insurance policy, it can pay the full premium. It
 does not affect full-time employees."

Shea: "In other words, this is just limiting part-time people?'
Kempiners: "Right, as being defined 20 hours a week or less."

Shea: "All right, thank you."

C. Davis: "All right, next Bill."

Selcke: "House Bill 174. Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue
Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

C. Davis: "Any Amendments from the Floor of the House."

Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Pierce. Amend House Bill 174,

page 1, line 1, by deleting and so forth."

C. Davis: "Mr. Pierce, the Chair recognizes."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to Table Amendment
No. 1, which was ah... offered but not adopted last week.

Representative Shea pointed out some deficiencies in it
so I move to Table Amendment No. 1 and I will then offer

Amendment No. 2."

- C. Davis: "The gentleman moves to Table Amendment No. 1. Any objection from the Floor of the House. So ordered. Amendment No. 2."
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Pierce. Amend House Bill 174, page 1, line 1 and so forth."



C. Davis: "Gentleman.. Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, ah.. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 does two things: It first makes it clear that ah.. the condominiums and this is a bill that provides that condominiums and cooperatives shall be assessed at no higher rate than single family homes and shall Charles Share and be assessed in the same manner. It provides that the condominiums must be owned or occupied. That was suggested by ah.. Representative Richard Walsh in Committee. And secondly it corrects the problem that Representative Shea pointed out with Amendment #1 last week. It makes it clear that the condominium must be a residential condominium so that it won't apply to industrial or office building condominiums. Ah.. Amendment #2 takes care of both these problems and as the sponsor of the bill, I would like too move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 174."

C. Davis: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2.

Any discussion from any Member on the Floor of the House?

Any discussion? All in favor of adoption of Amendment #2

let it be known by saying 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

- C. Davis: "The 'ayes' have it and so ordered. Any further amendments? Any amendments from the floor of the House? Third Reading:"
- F. B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bill 185 is held. House Bill 186.
 Bill for an Act to add Section 49.19 (a) and so forth,



to the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second
Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee
Amendment #1. Amend House Bill 186, page 2, line 17
by striking line 17 through 19 and so forth."

C. Davis: "Representative Telcser do you have an amendment?

This is a Committee Amendment. Do you move adoption of
the Committee Amendment? Gentleman moves the adoption
of the Committee Amendment. Any discussion from any
member on the floor of the House? All in favor, let
it be known by saying 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

- C. Davis: "Aye's have it and so ordered. Any further amendments?"
- F. B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment #2. Amend House Bill 186 on page 3, line 4, by inserting immediately after the period the following and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #2. Any discussion? All in rayor, let it be known by saying 'aye'. Aye's have it and so ordered. Third Reading. Gentleman may proceed. Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege

- C. Davis: "The Gentleman raises to a point of person privilege
 Would you please give him your attention? You may
 proceed, Representative Deavers."
- Deavers: "In the balcony, we have two classes of fifth grade students from my home district, Hudson, Illinois, and I'd



Mrs. Newman and Miss Bethel. Chaperons, Mrs. Simmons,
Mrs. Wilson and Mrs. Knobley. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

- C. Davis: "Will the class please stand?"
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bills 188 and 189 are held. House Bill 200. A bill for an Act to amend Section 12 and 13 (a) of Article VI and Section 1 of Article IX of an act relating to alcoholic liquors. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah... one committee amendment. Amend House Bill 200 on page 1.
- line 25 by striking 18 and inserting in lieu thereof

 the following 19 on page 2 line 16 by striking 18 inserting
 in lieu thereof the following 19 and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter.

 Representative Jaffe, you're the sponsor of the bill.

Do you move the adoption of the amendment?"

- Jaffe: "Yes. This is the 18-year old beer bill. beer and wine bill. In the committee and it's with some changes to 19 years of age and I'm goirg to move that we do adopt that amendment."
- C. Davis: "The Gentleman moves adoption of that amendment.

 Do you wish to be heard, Representative Porter? Any

 discussion from any member of the floor of the House?

 Ah.. Representative Juckett."
- Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor of the bill or amendment answer a few questions?"
- C. Davis: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question? He indicates he will."

Pierce: "Yes, I'm the sponsor of the bill but I'm not the sponsor



the amendment. But I'm willing to answer any questions."

Juckett: "Okay. Now my understanding is when the bill was

introduced, it was to lower the drinking age to 18 for

all people."

Pierce: "No. That's not correct. It's for beer and wine only at 18."

Juckett: @ "Beer and wine?"

Pierce: "Right."

Juckett: "3.2?"

Pierce: "No. Not 3.2."

Juckett: "Any beer."

Pierce: "Yeah."

Juckett: "Stronger or weaker. And this amendment does what?"

Pierce: "Raises the age to 19 years of age."

Juckett: "Or it just lowers it down to 19 rather than to 18."

Pierce: "Which ever way you want to put it, Bob."

Juckett: "Okay. Are you in favor of the amendment?"

Pierce: "AH.. I was not in favor of the amendment. I voted
against it in Committee. However, I accepted the wisdom
of the Committee and I ah.. told them that I would move
to adopt it on the floor and I am so moving at the present
time."

Juckett: "Well, now was the original concept because we were
ah.. they have the right to vote at 18 and they have all
these other rights and that's why you wanted it at 18?"

Pierce: "That's why I voted against the amendment in Committee

That's correct."



- Juckett: "But you're willing to accept the amendment now and raise it to 19."
- Pierce: "Bob, I've said it 12 times already. Yes, I am
 willing to accept it."
- Juckett: "Okay. I think the sponsor has explained it pretty well."
- C. Davis: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the amendment. Any further discussion? Representative McGrew. A little order, please."
- McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I sat here, I sometimes thought that perhaps I could take everyone out.. I've many times thought&I could buy everyone in the House who was listening to me supper on my \$32. I feel this amendment is very important. We are talking about changing it from 18 to 19. I'm a former school administrator and I feel very strongly that 18 year olds in high school should not be buying alcoholic beverages. I feel that 19 year olds, the vast majority of them are out of school and we don't need this influence. We don't need students leaving school, coming back to athletic events and that J. Server 产结性、连续操作或强力。 sort of thing when they are semi-enebreated and there's 这种1900時間發展結構 200 nothing that the school administrators, the School Board or any other persons can do about it. I think it's very important. I think everyone should consider this I think it makes a realistic bill. Thank you.
- C. Davis: "Any further discussion from the Floor of the House.

 Ouestion is shall we adopt Amendment #1? Those in favor,



let it be known by saying 'aye'. The 'ayes' have it and so ordered. Pardon me, I didn't you. Representative Juckett, I didn't see you. Pardon me."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, you did ask for those in favor but you did not ask for anybody who was against."

C. Davis: "All opposed."

Members: "No".

C. Davis: "Five Members demand a roll call? Well, let's hear

it again. All in favor let it be krown by saying 'aye'."

Members: "Aye".

C. Davis: "Opposed, 'nay'."

Members: "NO."

C. Davis: "The 'ayes' have it and so ordered." Third . and J.

T. B. Paloka. "Modsa Bil



- C. Davis: "Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "Ah.. House bill 205 is held. House Bill 211. Ah.. a bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939, Second Reading of the bill."
- C. Davis: "Wait just a minute. Representative Juckett, do you wish to be heard?"
- Juckett: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker. I guess a point of parliamentary information. Would it be possible when we're doing those oral roll calls ah.. for you to look straight ahead. I noticed that you were sort of turning to the right and maybe the hearing wasn't exactly correct. And I think it would help in the future."
- C. Davis: "Thank you very much. The Speaker stands to be corrected."
- F. B. Selkce: "Ah.. House Bill 211. Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939, Second Reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any amendments from the Floor of this House.
- F. B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bill 227. A bill for an Act creating a Day Care Study Commission defining its powers and duties.

 Second, Reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any amendments from the Floor of the House?
 Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 232. Bill for an Act to provide for the licensing of State Health Facilities by amending certain acts herein named. Second Reading of the bill.

 Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amend House Bill



- 232, page 2, line 25 by striking January 1, 1974 and inserting in lieu thereof July 1, 1975 and on page 2, by striking line 27 and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Representative Juckett?"
- Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Committee

 Amendment #1."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. Any discussion? All in favor let it be known by saying 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

- C. Davis: "Opposes. The 'ayes' have it and so ordered."

 Any further amendments."
- F. B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment #2. Amend House Bill 232 on page 1, line 16 by striking the period and adding the following and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Representative Juckett."
- Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Committee

 Amendment #2."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment
 - #2. Representative Juckett. Jaffe. Jaffe."
- Jaffe: "We would just like to know what the amendment actually does, Mr. Speaker."
- C. Davis: "Would you explain the amendment? Mr. Juckett, would you explain the amendment?"

Juckett: "Is this the one on the hospital, Fred?"

- C. Davis: "This is number 2."
- F. B. Selcke: "Yeah. Creditation of hospital."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

- Juckett: "Right. This is for the creditation or licensing of the University of Illinois hospital. And the bill requires licensing and we are also putting in that if the ah.. hospital is accredited by the joint Commission on Accreditation that this would meet our licensing standard because the standards for accreditation are far superior to our standards for licensing."
- C. Davis: "Any further discussion? Gentleman moves the adoption of the amendment. All in favor, let it be known by saying 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

- C. Davis: "Opposes. "Ayes' have it and somordered. Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 238. Bill for an Act prohibiting any home rule unit or any other unit of local government from charging a fee for the use of beaches which border on Lake Michigan. Second Reading of the bill. One Committee Amendment. Amendment #1. Amend House Bill 238 on page 1, line 7, by striking the word 'man' and inserting inclinational thereof and some forth."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."
- Ewell: "Ah. Mr. Speaker. I've been informed by one of

 Members that there's an amendment that he'd like to

 place on the bill. Could you pull it out of the record?"
- C. Davis: "Take it out of the record. Next bill."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 239. Bill for an Act creating the urban area Recreational Study Commission defining its



powers and duties. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 239, page 1, line 13 by striking the word, 'occurs' and so forth."

C. Davis: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell. Ray? Mr. Ewell. What about it? Do you move adoption?"

Ewell: "I move adoption of the Committee Amendment."

C. Davis: "The gentleman moves the adoption of the Committee

Amendment #1. Any discussion from the floor? All in:

favor of the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 let it

be known by saying 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

- C. Davis: "The opposers.' 'Ayes' have it. So ordered. Third
 Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 273. Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act, Second Reading of the bill."
- C. Davis: "Oh, wait a minute. I think he says take it out.

 Representative Neff, do you want to take it out of the record? He did say that. Take it out of the record."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 276. Bill for an Act to amend the vital records act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- C. Davis: "Representative Borchers? Ah.. Committee.. any amendments from the Floor of the House? None? Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 286. Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee



Amendments."

- C. Davis: "Any amendments from the Floor of the House? None? Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 292. Bill for an Act relating to the reporting of cases of suspected lead poisoning investigation by the Department of Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."
- C. Davis: "Representative Kempiners from Will. Isn't he there? Take it out of the record until he comes back, I guess. He's not there."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 296. Is Fennessey here? Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to authorize certain counties to incur indebtedness and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. One.. three Committee Amendments.

 Committee Amendment #1. Amend House Bill 296.."
- C. Davis: "Representative Fennessey?"
- Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, Pepresentative Honsicker has another amendment he may want to offer. It's not ready at this time. So I ask you to take it out of the record for the time being."
- C. Davis: "Take it out of the record. Next bill."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 306. Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 306, page 1, line 16 by inserting after the period the following and so forth.
- C. Davis: "Representative Fennessey? Do you move adoption of the amendment?"



Fennessey: "I move the adoption of the Committee Amendment."

C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? All in favor let it be known by saying 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

- C. Davis: "Opposers? The 'ayes' have it. So ordered. Any further amendments? Third Reading. No further amendments."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 307. Is Hanahan here? Hanahan here?"
- C. Lavis: "Regresentative Hanahan back there? Is Representative Hanahan in the rear there? Take it out of the record then."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 355. Bill for an Act to regulate the advertising of gasoline prices. Second Reading of the bill. No Committee Amendments."
- C. Davis: "Any amendments from the Floor of the House? Dr. Douglas, any more? Any amendments from the Floor of the House? Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill.."
- C. Davis: "No. Pardon me., Back up. Mr. Pierce?"
- Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I know wyou were looking for Representative
 Hanahan and I just wanted you to know that he was at the
 Equal Rights Amendment Breakfast this morning at the
 Presbyterian Church. I saw him there eating with the
 ladies and I think they've just about turned him around.
 When he comes back we can check with him."



- C. Davis: "Did they baptize him and convert him and baptize him?"
- Pierce: "They're still there working on him. I saw him after breakfast eating away on his eggs benedict."
- C. Davis: "Is that in connection with the bill? Yeah.

All right. Go right ahead, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, the latest report on Representative Hanahan
is he's doing well after they pumped his stomach."

C. Davis: "Third Reading. Next bill."

of an amendment."

- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 371. Bill for an Act. Where'd Skinner go?"
- C. Davis: "Representative Skinner on the Floor? 371?" Oh, here he is."
- F. B. Selcke: "Bill for an Act to amend Section 3 (b) of the Revenue Act from 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 371 on page 1, line 13 by deleting 7500 and inserting in lieu thereof 8500 and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Representative Skinner do you move for the adoption of the amendment? You do not. Representative Phillips?

 Do you wish to be recognized, Representative Phillips?

 No one moves the adoption of the amendment. Will you explain the amendment? Representative.. oh, there he is. Back there. Representative Phillips." The Chair
- Phillips: JAh.. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House



3050

Amendment #1 to House Bill 371 raises the minimum salary for the Supervisors of Assessment about \$1,000. Half of that is paid by the State of Illinois and half of it is paid by the local county. I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 371."

C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 371. Any discussion? All right. Go ahead. Representative Skinner is recognized."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I am opposed to this amendment for the reason that I do not believe that the General Assembly should mandate the increase of any local salary without having to pay the entire cost of that local salary. This is a very narrow objection. It's based on principle but nevertheless, for that reason I shall vote no."

C. Davis: "Any further discussion? Any further discussion?

There's a division on the amendment. The question is all in favor of Amendment #1 let it be known by saying

Members: "Aye.

- C. Davis: "Mr. Walsh. The Leader. The Majority Leader.
- W. D. Walsh: "Yes. I would request a roll call and hope to be joined by four other members."
- C. Davis: "Roll call on adoption of Amendment #1. Are you ready to vote. All those in favor will let it be known by voting 'aye'. And the opposers 'no' on Amendment #1.

 371. Take the roll. Have all voted who wish? The Chair



recognizes Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it looks like I ought to explain my vote again. I'm votin against this because the original bill is designed only to raise the maximum salaries of Supervisors of Assessments and to put them into conformity with the salaries now allowed for elected.

County officials. The amendment will raise the minimum salary for Supervisors of Assessments by \$1,000 for each population division across the board. And I do not believe that the General Assembly should raise the minimum salaries for any local official without providing the full amount of money for that local official The county governments are strapped as it is now. For that reason I shall vote no."

C. Davis: "Representative Phillip. Do you wish to explain your vote?"

once again better explain my vote. And first of all
I should make it obvious this is a Committee Amendment.
It got, the Committee adopted it if I remember correctly,
10 to 5. And what this amendment does in affect is ah.
raise the salary of the Supervisors of Assessment. Now
in counties downstate, this would bring it into line
with the rest of the county officials. It would bring
them to elected officials. Now in affect what this guy
is is the unofficial assessor for the county. And it's
a very important responsibility. We have a very, very



hard time of finding qualified people. And what this does in affect is raise their salaries \$1,000 a year. Fifty per cent of this is paid by the State of Illinois. And 50% paid by the county. So what you're talking about per county is \$500. You're really not talking about a thing. And I move the adoption and I ask for your support on this Committee Amendment."

C. Davis: "Any further discussion? Take the record. On this question.. Oh, Representative McMasters. Representative McMasters?"

McMasters: "Mr. Speaker, is it too late to explain my vote?"

C. Davis: "No. The roll call hasn't been announced. You

may explain your vote."

McMasters: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House, I'm afraid there's a little bit of a misunderstanding
of this Committee Amendment. It was the feeling of the
Committee that the salary allowable salary range for
Supervisors of Assessments should be of the same range
as other elected county officials within the various
counties. Ah.. this is not necessarily a pay raise. It is
an allowance for them to be in the same pay range as other
county officials. The County Board still has the right
of choice as to what salary they set for them. The
same as they have the right of choice at to what salary
they set for them. The same that they have the right
of choice for any other elected county official. This is
something that is set by the County Board. It is their



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

responsibility and I don't believe that there are but very few Supervisor of Assessment in any of the counties who are paid the minimum. This is a special job that requires some expertise. It takes knowlege. I think it is a good amendment and I would hesitate to see it beaten this way. I think there's a lot of misunderstanding, Mr. Speaker."

C. Davis: "Representative Pappas, I have an.. The Chair recognizes Pappas. Explain his vote."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, is it too late to have my vote
 recorded? I'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

recorded. Record Representative Pappas as voting 'aye'.

Representative.. Wait a minute. No. No. No. No. Next.

Representative Calvo, you're next."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask either the sponsor or Mr. McMasters a question. When we talk about putting the Supervisor of Assessments salaries in line with other county officials, how much of the other county officials other than the State's Attorney is the State of Illinois paying? How much of the salary of the County Clerk and the ah.. other county officials is the State of Illinois paying?"

C. Davis: "Will you answer that Mr. McMasters?"

McMasters: "Well, Mr. Calvo. I'm quite sure you're just as

aware as I am that the State of Illinois does not share

in the ah.. salary of any of the elected officials with the



exception of the State's Attorney. It does share in the salary of the Supervisor of Assessments."

- Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think the problem here is, I would like to see the Supervisor of Assessment's salary be in line with the other county officials. But then it should be paid in the same manner the other county officials are paid by the county who wishes to give them that increase. Thank you."
- C. Davis: "Are you recorded on the roll?"
- Calvo: "Yes. I would like to change my vote from 'yes' to 'present' because of this particular problem."
- C. Davis: "Representative Calvo changes from yes to present.
- Ah.. Representative Telcser, just one minute and then.." Telcser: "Yeh. Mr. Speaker, it appears that there are a number of members who wish to change their vote. Perhaps we could have a new roll call."
- C. Davis: "All right. Back it up. We'll have a new roll call Now the question is on House Bill.. Amendment #1 to House Bill 371. We're going to have another roll call. We're backing it up. We're going to have another roll All in favor will vote 'aye'. The opposers, of course, will vote 'nay'. The green lights and the red Have all wited lights. Now let's give it a vote now. who wish? Who wishes to be heard? Who wants to vote. I want to vote. Eugene Barnes, vote me 'aye'. Representative Fennessey do you wish to be heard? Explain your vote." "No. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question."



Fennessey:

ASSEMBLY

C. Davis: "Mr. McMasters, would you yield for a question? He's not the sponsor. Pate. Phillip, would you yield for a question? Representative Pate? Representative Phillip would you yield for a question? That's what I'm trying to do. Would you yield for a question?" Phillip: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I will if I can hear the question." C. Davis: "All right. Representative Fennessey would like to ask you a question."

Fennessey: "Representative Phillips, will this amendment prohibit counties from paying their Supervisor of Assessment more money than the other county officials?"

Phillip: "What this amendment does is raise the minimum.

That's all it does. It doesn't have anything to do with the maximum at all. It raises the minimum a \$1,000 per county. For that \$1,000, the state pays 50% of it and the county pays 50% of it. So in affect what it does, in each county it would cost \$500 more per year to pay the Supervisors of Assessment. It doesn't do anything with the maximum at all in this amendment."

"And they are permitted to pay more to Supervisor of Assessments than the rest of the county officials

if they so decide.

"They could possibly get paid the exact same amount as elected county officials."

C. Davis: "Do you wish to explain your vote, Representative McMasters? All right. Representative McMasters and then Representative Skinner, explain your votes."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, ah... in explaining my vote, let me say that originally the supervisors of assessments were at a different, lower salary range as far as minimum and maximum than other elected county officials. The intent of this Bill was to raise the permissible maximum to the same level as the permissible maximum for other elected county officials. The amendment to the Bill is the one that changes the minimum, which formally under supervisor assessments was lower by approximately a thousand dollars, than the minimum of other elected county officials. So the amendment brings the minimum also in line with the permissible salaries of elected county officials."

C. Davis: "Thank you. The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "There is perhaps another aspect that one could look at on this Bill, and that is, do you trust your local county board? This is... the Bill as it is unamended, allows the county board complete option as to what to pay their supervisor of assessments. If this amendment is adopted, they will have to raise the minimum salaries of the supervisor of assessments by a thousand dollars, whether they want to or not. And it seems to me in keeping with the home rule movement in Illinois that we shouldn't force local counties to raise their local officials salaries."

Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to explain my vote. Supervisor of Assessments are



"Gentleman from Wayne, Representative Blades."

simply not getting their jobs done. I think this should be the counties prerogative to pay them what they're worth and if you look at the multiplyer in the counties that have a multiplyer, they're simply not getting the job done. So I think this prerogative of what they get should comply with the county. I vote 'no'."

C. Davis: "Ah... the question is... Who wants to be heard? Oh, no, who else wants to? Representative Neff, do you wish to explain your vote?"

Neff: "Yes."

C. Davis: "Chair recognizes Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah...
in explaining my vote, I'm voting 'no' for the reason that
I think that we're doing something to the counties that
should be left up to them as far as raising the minimum.
Ah... we studied this legislation. Mr. Skinner brought it
to us and we listened to the people in his particular
area of why they needed the raise and in our discussions,
we had no intentions of raising the minimum on this, and
I still think the minimum should be left and let the
counties have this option, if they do, even though they're
not, probably none of them paying the minimum right now.
I think that's an option they should have and therefore
I'm opposing this amendment."

C. Davis: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, do you wish to be recognized to explain your vote?"



- Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, there's been some misleading talk on the other side; that is, comparing the pay of their elected officials. The supervisor of assessments is not an elected official. We're not talking about an elected official here. We're talking about an appointed official. The sponsor of this Bill opposes the amendment and I agree with them. The Bill is good, because the Bill gives the counties the option of raising the maximum salary or the salary if they wish, but doesn't require them to. The amendment requires them to, and I'm voting 'no' on the amendment."
- C. Davis: "Everyone voted who wished? Now, the Speaker is going to reserve the right to change his vote to 'no'. Will you change my vote to 'no'. All voted who wished? Take the Record please, and change mine before you take the Record. On this question, the 'ayes' are 54 and the nays are 70, and the amendment, having failed, is lost. Any further amendments. Third Reading if there are no further amendments. Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "Bills 373 and 374 are held. House Bill 390.

 A Bill for an Act to provide for a bonus for Illinois residents who are held as prisoners of war in South East Asia. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments
- C. Davis: "Any Committee Amendments from the Floor?"
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. J. J. Wolf. Amend House Bill 390 on page 1, line 9, by adding or portion thereof after the word 'month."



- C. Davis: "Representative Wolf, J. J. Wolf, is he there. Yea."
 Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment No. 1
 ah... was suggested in committee and it merely adds that
 it would be for each month or fraction thereof. And I
 move its adoption."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

 Any discussion? All in favor, let it be known by saying
 'aye'. The opposes 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments? If not,

 Third Reading of the Bill. Now Ladies and Gentlemen of
 the House, we're going to revert back to the original
 order and all of the Bills that we missed, we will call
 them now in order. So if you were not present on the floor
 when we called your bills, please give us your attention.
 All right, ah.... Hanahan is here now."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 1 is held. House Bill 15 is held.
 Schneider."
- C. Davis: "What about 28, was that held?"
- F. B. Selcke: "The gentleman is not here."
- C. Davis: "Schneider is not here? There he is."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 28. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. On Committee Amendment. Amendment No. 1. Amend House Bill 28 by deleting lines 27 through 30 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Representative Schneider.



- Schneider: "Ah... just one second, please, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Walsh. On the fiscal note that was requested for the Bill. It has been prepared and it is not yet on file with the Clerk, but I can tell you the contents of it that would expedite matters."
- C. Davis: "I am only acting speaker, but I cannot start making exceptions."
- Schneider: "Yea, I'd like to move the adoption of the amendment which was a Committee Amendment and in explaining it, it extends the ah... exemption to children that are involved or dependents rather who are in institutions, and that addition amounts to about 25,000, rather 3,000 children."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

 Any discussion? All in favor of the adoption of Amendment

 No. 1, let it be known by saying 'aye', the opposes by

 the 'nays'. 'Ayes' have it and Amendment No. 1 is adopted.

 What about the fiscal note? Any further Amendments? Thirs

 Reading. He'll have to file the fiscal note, is that

 right? 'Yeow, all right?"
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 29 is held. House Bill 48. Mr.

 Caldwell are you going to take this. Oh, pardon me, Mr.

 Barnes. House Bill 48. A Bill for an Act to amend the

 Fair Employment Practices Act. Second Reading of the Bill
- C. Davis: "He ask that we hold it. Did you asked that we hold that? All right, he asked that it be held."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 53."
- C. Davis: "Representative Schlickman."



- F. B. Selcke: "Bill for an Act to require certain unincorporated political groups to obtain approval of established political parties. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee

 Amendments. Amendment No. 1. Amend House Bill 53 by deleting Lines 14, 15 and 'so forth."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."
- Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 53 is an Act to require certain unincorporated political groups to obtain approval of established political parties as to use of their names and to file the same to the Secretary of State. This is an election reform measure, designed to bring about accountability and responsibility in the field of political fund raising. Amendment No. 1 is one in a series of three amendments, which are nonsubstantive in nature. Amendment No. 1 provides that in addition to filing the name of the unincorporated association with the Secretary of State, that the name of the officers and directors should also be filed. Furthermore, Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 53, there is a provision that upon the disillusion of the unincorporated political assiciation, that a report shall be filed with the Secre-一门首才 计连路 人类的 tary of State. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Any.... Representative Shea?"

Shea: "Gene, this isn't a Committee Amendment, right?'



Schlickman: "All three of these are Committee Amendments and they were adopted unanimously by the Election Committee."

Shea: "All right."

C. Davis: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I have a question. I don't know if it affects the Amendment or the Bill, but maybe you can answer it. I understand the Bill requires a county chairman's approval before an unincorporated group can raise funds in the name of the party. Now what if our township, my township, Deerfield township, democratic committee, which is a statutory committee, made up of the precinct committeemen, elected precinct committeemen from the Township, what if they try and go out and raise some funds and maybe at the time, the county chairman is of a different faction or something, would the Bill prohibit the ah.... Deerfield Township democratic committee, made up of the 25 elected precinct committeemen in the Township from raising funds, the Deerfield Township Democratic Committee?"

- Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the gentleman's question goes to the substance of the Bill, not to Amendment No. 1. And what I will do, is to confer personally with the gentleman, instead of taking up the time of the floor."
- C. Davis: "All right, any further discussion on Amendment No. 1? The gentleman moves the adoption. All in favor of adoption of Amendment No. 1, let it be known by saying 'aye'. The opposes by the same sign. The 'ayes' have it.



The Amendment is adopted."

- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Amend House Bill 53, on line 9, by inserting immediately after 'State Office' the following and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Mr. Schlickman."
- Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment No. 2, Committee Amendment, provides that State officers shall include State Representatives. The remainder of the Amendment contains certain grammatical changes which are of a nonsubstantive nature. I move, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, for adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 53."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

 Any discussion? All in favor of Adoption of Amendment

 No. 2, let it be known by saying 'aye'. Opposes by the

 same sign. 'Ayes' have it. So ordered. Amendment No. 2

 is adopted."
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 3. Amend House Bill 53 on line
 7 by deleting the comma and by deleting line 8 and inserting
 in lieu thereof the following, which solicits contributions
 for any."
- Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment
 No. 3, like Amendments No. 1 and 2, is nonsubstantive in
 nature. Some language change for clarity. I move for its
 adoption."
- C. Davis: "The gentleman from Cook moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Any further discussion? All in favor



- let it be known by saying 'aye'. The opposes 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 74. Bill for an Act in relation to medical treatment administered solely for the purpose of prolonging human life. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment No. 1. Amend House Bill 74 on page 1, line 19, by striking 19 through 21 and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."
- Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I move adoption of Committee Amendment
 No. 1."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Any discussion from the floor? All in favor, let it be known by saying 'aye'. The opposes 'nay'. 'Ayes' have it and Amendment No. 1 is adopted."
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Amend House Bill 74, page 1, line 29, by striking line 29 and inserting in lieu the following and so forth."
- C. Davis: "Representative Ewell."
- Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2."
- C. Davis: "Gentleman from Cook moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Any discussion? Representative Wolf, do you want to ask a question?"



- Wolf: "Yes, I'd just like.... I couldn't quite hear the explanation, Mr. Speaker, and it also seems like we have an awful lot of noise. I think there are a lot of people who aren't entitled to the Floor in the aisles."
- C. Davis: "Will you clear the aisles, please? The gentleman raises the question that there are a lot of people who are not entitled to the floor. Will you please clear the aisle. Now he says he didn't hear the explanation. Would you, Representative Ewell, would you explain the amendment to the gentleman. Just a minute, he's coming over there."
- Wolf: "The side aisles, too, if you please, Mr. Speaker."
- C. Davis: "The gentleman raises the question that there are members in the side aisles who are not entitled to the Floor. Will you please remove yourselves from the Floor if you're not entitled to it. Representative Ewell, he will explain it now."
- Ewell: "Committee Amendment No. 2 was suggested by the Committee.

 It deals with the assiptation of the document and it suggests that we have at least a physician and one other

 sperson to witness the particular assiptation."

Wolf: "Thank you.

C. Davis: "Any further... anyone want to be heard on Amendment No. 2? All in favor of Amendment No. 2, let it be known by saying 'aye'. The 'ayes' have it. And what about the 'nays'? Ayes have it and it is so ordered. Any further amendments? I don't mean to steam-roll my soal brother's amendment, but.... Third Reading of the Bill.



- F. B. Selcke: "Is Bluthardt here?" 169 has to be held. 185.

 Is Berman here? 205. Is Schneider here? 238. Ewell

 wants that held. Give me 205 back. House Bill 205. Bill

 for an Act to commend School Code. Second Reading of the

 Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 205 on

 page 1 by inserting after line 19 the following: The

 Board shall not be required to grant a maternity leave and

 so forth."
 - C. Davis: "Chair recognizes Representative Schneider to explain the amendment."
 - Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I believe there are a number of Amendments that are going to be offerred on the Bill in addition to mine. I think Representative Brinkmeier has prepared one, and I do think that Representative Polk.... I think Representative Polk is also preparing an amendment, so I think what I'll do is hold that Bill until its ready."
 - C. Davis: "Take it out of the Record."
 - F. B. Selcke: "House 292. Bill for an Act relating to reporting of cases of suspected lead poisoning and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 292, on page 2 by striking lines 23 through 28 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."
 - C. Davis: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."
 Kempiners: "Ah.... this one Amendment to my House Bill 292,
 which is a lead poisoning substances control act, ah....
 changes the wording on the label which must be on a can



of paint to ah.... meet the wording required by the Federal Government and I move its adoption."

C. Davis: "The gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 292. Any discussion? All in favor let The 'aves' it be known by saying 'aye', the opposes. have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments. Third Reading. Ah... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Give me your attention for just a minute. Please. Give me your attention for just a minute. Before I surrender this gavel to the Speaker, let me thank him sincerely from the bottom of my heart, for the privilege he has accorded me to preside over this General Assembly. Let me say this, I was borne of humble parents in the delta of Mississippi. My grandfather was a slave and I knew him well. Talked to him many times. To think that in this country and in this State, it was the will of the Speaker of this House to but the gavel in the hands of the grandson of a slave is no small honor. I sincerely and greatly appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Thank you very much, Mr. Davis. All right, we'll go to House Bills Third Reading now." What purpose does the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, rise?"

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I had one Bill I was waiting for
to be called at Second Reading. House Bill....House
Bill 307. It has two amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: ,"Oh, I'm sorry. House Bill 307. All



right, we'll go back to Second Reading and the Clerk will call that Bill."

- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 307. Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendments No. 1. Amend House Bill 307, page 4, line 12, by deleting sections and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."
- Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Amendment is the technical amendment handed to me by the Reference Bureau and it was an error in drafting the Bill and an oversight, including some language that the Amendment should the Bill should have in it. I move its adoption."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there discussion?

 Question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

 The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"
- F. B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment No. 2. Amend House Bill 307, page 1, lines 1 and 5, by striking 11-13.01 and so forth."
- Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment would mandate the intention of the legislation that the school districts would mandatorily at the... reduce the twelve cent rate for levying the transportation tax on the six cents. I move its adoption."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? Question's on the adoption of Amendment No. 2. All those in favor will say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. And the 'ayes' have it and the



Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading.

Now for Third Reading."

F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 6. Bill for an Act to amend Section 17 of an Act to revise the law in relation to promissory notes, bonds, due bills and other instruments in writing. Third Reading of the Bill."

Washington: "Take it out of the record."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "You want to take it out of the Record?"
Washington: "Yes, please."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, take 6 out."

F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 56. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. North."

North: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
Bill 56 amends the Motor Vehicle Code. Provides that a

vehicle owner not be required to display on his vehicle
the plate or tax or license number issued by the city,

village or town of his residence if his vehicle is displaying corresponding item issued by the place that it
has its base. This is ah... Motor Vehicle Laws Commission
recommendation, and ah.... I would appreciate your support
on House Bill 56."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman... Any further discussion?

Question is shall House Bill 56 pass? All those in favor

will vote 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. Ah... gentleman from



Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question? Ah.... Pat,
ah... in Chicago where we have a relatively high vehicle
tax, ah... what would this do....."

North: "I can't hear you, Representative Berman."

Berman: "O'kay. In Chicago where there's a relatively high vehicle tax, ah.... what would this mean as far as the possibility of avoiding a residence of Chicago avoiding paying that vehicle tax?"

North: "There would be no change on that."

Berman: "Well, are we giving a status of allowing a person to determine that even though he resides in Chicago, that he can say that his car is registered someplace else and avoid these taxes?"

North: "No, the whole thrust of this Bill is that ah... it's where the vehicle is principally garaged from where it is principally dispatched. It's where the situs."

Berman: "Is that according to the registration of the vehicle?

North: "Yes, it would be where the vehicle is registered."

Berman: "All right, thank you very much."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 104 'ayes' and 6 'nays', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."

F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 59. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 59 changes... relieves the judgement debtor of Illinois Safety Responsibility Law, following discharge in bankruptcy. Now under the present Illinois law, a discharge in bankruptcy, which includes a discharge as to the judgement for an accident involving a vehicle does not relieve the driver from the requirements to make filings of future proof under the Illinois Safety Responsi bility Act. However, United States Supreme Court in an Arizona case involving a statute identical, identical with the Illinois Statute, ruled that the discharge was de-The Office fective and the State Law was unconstitutional. of the Secretary of State has implemented that decision administratively, and House Bill 59 conforms the law to the Supreme Court decision, and the Federal Court of Appeals in Chicago have already introduced this order Dequiring the Secretary of State to conform to that Supreme Court Decision. I would appreciate your favorable support on this Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? Question is, shall
House Bill 59 pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', and
the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Skinner
'present'. Clerk will take the Record. Borchers 'aye'.
This question, there are 124 'ayes', no 'nays' and this
Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is
hereby declared passed."



F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 62. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. What this does is define the two-truck and sets out the requirements of identification, equipment it has and insurance. It exempts a combination of tow-truck and vehicle it's towing as far as weight limitations et cetera. I'd appreciate your support of this measure. The equipment involves brushes and shovels to clean up the debris on a pavement when they go out and there's a wreck and also makes it comply as far as insurance is concerned, and I would appreciate your support on this measure."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion?" All right, the question is, shall House Bill 62 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished. Lundy 'present'. Clerk will take the Record.

 On this question, there are 128 'ayes' and no 'nays' and this Bill; having received... one present,... This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 69. A Bill for an Act to authorize Secretary of State to issue identification cards to every applicant. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Ah...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait a minute, gentleman from Cook, Mr.



The Clerk had a little problem there. He thought you were Merlo, ah... Toby."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, at this time, I would request that unanimous consent of the House to bring back House Bill 69 to the Order of Second Reading for Amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there objection? Hearing none, take the Bill back to Second Reading and read the Amendment."

Merlo: "And now Mr. Speaker I would ask that you recognize Representative Tobias Barry for the Amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 3. Barry. Amend House Bill 69, page 3, line 26 and so forth."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Merlo's Bill, as you may or may not recognize, is the Bill that allows for the Secretary of State to produce identification cards somewhat comparable to what we anticipate will be driver licenses with photographs thereon, and ah.... in anticipation of People who do not drive, needing such kinds of identification for check cashing and so on, Mr. Merlo has developed this Bill. Originally the Bill called for a \$5.00 fee. We in the Appropriations Committee thought that in light of the use and the need, particularly for People who are non-drivers, that perhaps they could not afford that kind of fee, we ah cut the fee, therefore, by this Amendment to rather bare-bone dollars; that is \$2.00 for the issuance and renewal or corrective ah. needs for the Secretary of States Office. They think they



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

can live with it, so in effect we have an agreed amendment reducing the cost of this identification card down from \$5.00 to \$2.00 by this Amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh.

Walsh: "Will the gentleman yield to a question? This identification card calls for a picture, colored picture, as well as other information. Do you know what the cost of this will be to the State?"

Barry: "About fifty cents, we anticipate from the experience in other States."

Walsh: "The processing of this card, with the colored picture and everything will cost fifty cents? That seems unrealistically low."

Barry: "Well, the cost if fifty cents, determined from other
States. Also determined from the Public Aid Department.

However, I'm sure you recognize, Bill, that there are other
incidental costs that cannot be included until there has
been some experience. I would anticipate one day that it
will be sixty or sixty-five or whatever, but at the outset,
the best information that ah... the Secretary of States
Office and Mr. Merlo, I believe, can ah... verify this,
it's a cost something probably less than fifty cents, but
it doesn't include all of the associated costs; for example, the purchasing of ah... card systems and that sort
of thing in the offices that they must experience before
they know the cost. The taking of the picture and the cost
of it is fifty cents."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well... Toby, I just don't see how it can be that cheap, and if it is that cheap, why is it \$2.00 and why was it \$5.00, but what I would like to do, and what perhaps what should have been done ah... when the Bill first went through, but this gives me an opportunity, after this Amendment is adopted, I would ah... request a fiscal note before the Bill is advanced back to Third Reading."

Barry: "All right. To answer you the best I can and I don't know what the reason for \$5.00 was. Probably to be sure it would cover costs that you're concerned with. However,

it would cover costs that you're concerned with. However I do know, that ah... some of the fellow, I believe, on Motor Vehicles, have checked into what has been done in State of Oregon or Washington, and they have determined that the cost of the picture, its production, was no more than fifty cents very recently."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Natijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I have a technical point of order.

The Bill was on Third Reading, ah... Bill Walsh didn't

ask for a fiscal note, and I really think that his request
does come late. We gave leave of the House for the pur
pose of this one Amendment and I feel, Mr. Speaker, that
his request for a fiscal note does come late. I would
like to get a rule on that point."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I think the gentleman's request is timely. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, I perhaps could clarify the question that



Representative Walsh did submit to Representative Barry. The \$5.00 fee was first brought about with the thought that it would bring additional revenues to the State and of course, in appropriations, the Committee thought that here we are attempting to do something for the young, the elderly, the physically handicapped, the minority groups, persons with difficulty in speaking English and the non-drivers. And in our estimation, it was these People who would perhaps be less able to pay the \$5.00 fee and we thought it should be a service rather than a revenue producing thing for the State of Illinois, and that is why it was reduced to \$2.00."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Coles, Mr. Cox."

Cox: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of this Amendment yield for a question? Representative Barry, ah... does your Amendment also include ah.... the ah.... blood type?"

Barry: "No, my Amendment marely reduces the fee from \$5.00 to \$2.00 and the fee generally to \$2.00 when it was \$3.00 for renewal and otherwise. In other words, my Amendment mandates that the fee for any purpose in regard to this Bill is \$2.00."

Cox: "Your Amendment does not put the picture on?"

Barry: "I think the Bill in its original form does include a picture and I didn't change that. All I've done by my Amendment is reduce the fees wherever necessary down to \$2.00 across the board."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."
Grotberg: "Would the Sponsor yield, Toby, on the Amendment.

Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Ah... this question has to do with the Bill in total. I hope I'm not out of order, Toby, but in running this past the Secretary of State and what are the implications with motor vehicles when the plan is to have the photo identification driver licenses project under way? I'm thinking of the total mechanical process. Is there any sign of being able to do it all under one process?"

Barry: "I would anticipate so and of course, I think you know that the Secretary of State has authority to study and implement the photograph on the licenses now. I would anticipate that they might be done together with the same camera so to speak and so on, but I would defer, if necessary, to the Sponsor of the Bill."

Grotberg: "I understand. You've satisfied me, and Mr. Pappas is indicating that there is a ducktailing of capability."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lawrence, Mr.

Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question? Toby, parrish the thought, but it occurs to me that you may have weakened the original bill by this Amendment. It was noted that the Sponsor's original Bill won the applaude of most of the news media as being a fine, needed piece of legislation, but all of the news media that commended the Bill pointed out that it increased



revenue for the State of Illinois, and this particular year, it's thought to be a worthwhile objective to increase the revenue. There is one aspect in the cost of this Bill that we ought to give serious consideration. agree with Representative Walsh, when it seemed implausible that for fifty cents, that you could perform all of the functions involved, but if we even conceded that point, we should consider the possibility that this particular proposal opens a wide new sector of responsibility by the State. You have each emphasized the fact that it could be used in cashing of checks. It doesn't take a very ingeneous lawyer to conclude that if it were used in cashing checks there is a possible liability to the State of Illinois as guaranteeing the identity of the person that canceled that check. Maybe we lawyers.... Maybe we lawyers are going a little far-fetched in that proposition, but the thought occurs to me that we should not ... we should not reduce the price of this particular Bill, or this card, because in doing so, we are removing the only redeeming argument for it, that it makes revenue for the State, and thereby lessens the burden on all of the taxpayers. no on your amendment.

you and the news media, and we think we are providing a service, with no liability on the Secretary of State, to further answer you, and the purpose of the entire subject,



I believe, is to provide a service, and not to produce revenue and we respectfully disagree with you and the news media, if you think we are pushing this Bill for the purpose of producing revenue."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "The longer I am here, the more I realize that the

news media plays an important part in the General Assembly.

However, it does not control many votes. To me, the jest

of this particular amendment is that it helps the People

that do not have the money. The People that need this

identification are the non-drivers, the handicapped and the

elderly. It's my understanding, though knowing many of the

these people in these circumstance, that they do not have

the additional revenue and that is the entire thrust of

this Amendment. Thank you."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion on the gentle-, man's Amendment? All right, the question is on its adoption. All those in favor of the adoption of this Amendment, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill will stay on the Order of Second Reading while the Sponsor has a fiscal note prepared. O'kay? All right, now back to Third Reading."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 99. A Bill for an Act to mamend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McGah."



McGah: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House
Bill 99 gives the Board of Trustees of a Village the right
to raise or lower the salary of the Village President at
any time, except during his term of office. Ah... the
previous law, the salary had to be raised or lowered at
least four months prior to the election. I solicit your
support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah... Any further discussion:

Question is, shall... here we go, the Gentleman from Kane,

Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I wonder if the Speaker would yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, he indicates he will."

Hill: "Or the Sponsor, pardon me. In regard to your piece of legislation, I believe now we have pending a Bill where the legislature could not raise their salaries, I believe it's approximately four months before being sworn in. Ah...

isn't this sort of in conflict with that idea?"

McGah: "It could be in conflict ah..., Representative Hill, with the concept of it. It certainly is not in conflict with the law. We're talking about he salary of a Village President only, and the home rule units can already do this, and we have the home rule amendment on the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question? Would the
Amendment allow the Village President's salary to be
increased after the election, but prior to his swearing

in on the new term?"



McGah: "Yes, it would. The laws the same for ah... the Mayor of a City now. It's bringing it into conformity."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? Yes, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? Does this now take care of all local governmental officials as trustees, presidents, mayors, alderman, and all local officials of the same basis?"

McGah: "This Bill applies to the Village Presidents only, because it's the only place in the law where we have the four month restriction. Trustees salaries can...."

Mahar: "All others have been removed then in the last year?"

McGah: "Yes, this bring... this is the only one that has this

four month restriction."

Mahar: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah... gentleman care to

McGah: "No, I just solicit your support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the question's on the adoption of.... or the question is shall House Bill 99 pass? All those in favor, will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, in most cases, the Village President are a honorary position, and I would say throughout Illinois in most of your home rule villages, they all have village managers or professional people



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

to run their village. Now, four months before the ah...

President of a Village files for an election, his wages are known. After he's filed and he has no opposition, then it's easy for him to raise his wages to almost any amount. It just happened in my town. They've ah... They have raised the village president's wages to \$20,000 in a town that has a Village Manager. If this Bill passes, it will become legal. If the Bill doesn't pass, ah... then he goes back to his regular wages as part-time Villages

President, which it should be in most big cities it runs from five to seven thousand dollars, so it's just another legalized method of raping the taxpayer, so I vigorously oppose the Bill and I therefore vote 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, in response to the last gentleman who spoke against this Bill, I would remind him that the Village Board voted that increase and as a Member of that Village Board, it would be interesting to know how he cast his vote on that issue, so the President cannot raise his salary without the advice and consent of the Village Board. This is not an individual act by one individual. It requires the majority of those voting on that issue on the Village Board."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "I would like to ask for a point of personal privilege
I would like to inform the ah... the ah... gentleman that
asked how I voted, my vote was 'no', Mr. Speaker."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record." Gentleman from Cook.... Huskey 'no'.

 J. J. Wolf 'present'. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 33 'nays', one 'present', and this Bill, having received a constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed."
- F. B. Selcke: "122 will be held. House Bill 124. Bill for an Act authorizing and directing the Division of Water Resource Management, Department of Transportation, to make an examination and survey of shoreline of Lake Michigan. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 I would ask leave of the Chair to return this Bill to

 Second Reading for Amendments please."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there objection? Hearing none, the Bill will be taken back to the Order of Second and the Clerk will read the Amendment."
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1. Lundy. Amend House Bill
 124, on page 2, line 9, by deleting 'processes' and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy.
- Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent of the House to ah... Table Amendment No. 1."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah... the Gentleman offers and moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 and then he moves to table the Amendment. All those in favor of the



gentleman's motion to Table, say 'aye', the opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it and Amendment No. 1 is tabled."

F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2. Lundy. Amend House Bill 124, on page 2, line 9, by deleting 'processes' and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment No. 2 is a corrected version of Amendment No. 1. purpose of it is to deal immediately with a problem which is an immediate problem. As the Members of the House may know, House Bill 124 authorizes a study of the causes and the cures for Lake Michigan erosion. In its present form, however, the Bill does nothing about what is a very pressing problem, and that is, property damage and even danger to human life which is right now, resulting from that erosion. What this Amendment would do is to add to the Bill a new subject to be studied and that is, means of preventing further property damage to homes and other buildings and preventing or minimizing danger to human life, resulting from the continuing erosion of Lake Michigan. In addition, the Bill would change the reportin deadline for reports under the Bill, reports relating to the dangers of property damage and danger to human life, to a date 120 days after the date of which funds are made available under the Act. Now Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an important amendment. To those Lake Shore communities, which are now experiencing Lake Michigan erosion, property is being damaged right now and human



life, indeed, is being endangered right now, and what we need is not only a study which will give us long-term answers to Lake Michigan erosion, but studies which will give us recommendations for immediate action to counter the property damage and danger to human life which is occurring right now. Therefore, I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Lundy: "Two more points, Mr. Speaker. The Division of Water

Resource Management has reviewed this Amendment, has informed me that it will require, in its opinion, no additional appropriations to include these new subjects in
the study, and that the Division has no objection to this
Amendment. I also believe that the Sponsor of the Bill
has agreed to accept it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as Sponsor of this Bill, I would just very briefly like to say that I concur in Amendment 2. I think that it does speed up the reporting process of the study on Lake Michigan and it does look into the additional factor of developing preventative methods for curing the long-term erosion problem, so I would add my endorsement to this Amendment and I will be voting for it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."



Shea: "I might have misunderstood something, but where is the Appropriation for this Study?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "In replying to that question, it is in a separate companion Bill. House Bill 123. It has been delayed.

It was referred to the Transportation Committee, and it was only discovered as being referred to the wrong committee last week, and they've now changed it, it will be coming up in Appropriations next week for hearing."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, ah. .. Mr. Speaker, may I direct a question to the Chair?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right."

Shea: "Again, we're running into this problem of where we have.
... now that we can't have appropriations in substantive
law in one Bill, we've got to have it in two. We report
out a Bill like this Commission Bill and it goes on the
Calendar, and then the Appropriation Bill goes to Appropriations and that has no time limit on when it must come
out of Committee, so we have a lot of these Bills we don't
know if we're going to fund them, and yet we've got these
Bills on the Calendar, that are subject to a limitation,
and I might look and see if we have something in the rules
to cover that."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, as a practical matter, a.... I
would.... that the Member ought to try to ah... handle
both of the Bills so that they move along together. I
appreciate the fact that there is a timing problem and



and the only way I could suggest from a technical standpoint, we might be able to work that out in the Rules

Committee tomorrow morning. Why don't we discuss that
and perhaps we have earmarked substantive bills, earmarked
in a sense that there is a companion appropriation bill,
that the Rule ought to be changed to make the same time
provision apply to the substantive bill that applies to
the appropriation. I think the only question would be
is that it is definitely earmarked as a compansion bill."

hea: "Because many times, the Appropriations Committee will hold the Appropriations, these miscellaneous appropriations to the end, to see how the fiscal affairs of the State balance out prior to the time, like on Commission Bills and water Bills, etc., and I'm just fearful that we might either lose some Bills because we don't have the funding for them, or pass Bills and then end up without the funding for them."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I think your point is well taken. I suggest that we ah... amend the Rules to ah... provide along the lines that I discussed." All right, any further discussion? Question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 2. All those in favor will say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Put it back on Third Reading. Go back to Third Reading and pick up the next Bill."

F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 133. An Act to Amend Section 3 of



- an Act in relation to Joint Election of State Attorneys by two or more counties. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman's not in his seat. Mr. Hart, here? All right, ah... take it out of the Record. Take 137 out. Mr. Epton's here."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 153, Bill for an Act to amend
 Section 18 of an Act in relation to the regulation of
 rivers, lakes and streams in the State of Illinois. Third
 Reading of the Bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton."
- Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this
 Bill has become before the House...."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, proceed." All right, the gentleman would like for it to be taken out of the Record and we'll proceed to 156."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 156. Bill for an Act to amend

 Sections of an Act to provide for the exercise and right

 of eminent domain. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."
- Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I might have leave of the House to consider House Bills 156 and 157 together, because they're companion Bills?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there leave? Hearing no objection, read 157."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 157. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the right of eminent



domain. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirsch-feld."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen Hirschfeld: of the House, many years ago when the State of Illinois passed the 'Quick-Take Statute', it was decided that for constitutional purposes that an extra 25% would have to be deposited in each county at the time that land was taken, primarily from the farmers. This outmoded concept is long sense been held constitutional by the courts in Illinois or elsewhere, and so the purpose of House Bill 156, as amended by Representative Hart, is to recoup to the Revenue Funds of the State of Illinois the additional 25% which is on deposit in approximately 101 counties in the State of Illinois, which ah.... supplies several millidns of dollars, that could be recouped in the State of Illinois this year. House Bill 157 tried to take care of an injustice that has existed since the Quick-Take Statute was put into effect. As the law now stands, if a gentleman's LOWER TO THE BOOK STREET STREET STREET STREET land is taken in the year 1970, for example, under a Quick Take Statute, and the Fair value of the land is determined to be \$100,000 and it takes four years for that item to come to trial, at which time the Court awards the land owner \$150,000, he receives no interest on the money, even though he has not had the use of his land for the intervening four years. House Bill 157 would award the land owner interest on the money.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

prior to the trial. I don't know of any opposition on either of these Bills, and I would appreciate a favorable roll call."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. All right, the question is, shall these two Bills pass? All those in favor, will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the Clerk will take the ah.... two roll calls. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On each of these questions, there are 141 'ayes' and 1 'nay', and each of these Bills, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Schoeberlein 'aye'."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 158. Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Schneider."
- Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House,

 I would like to combine 158 and 159. They are compansion

 Bills."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there objection? Hearing none, read 159."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 159. An Act in relation to preventio of certain communicable diseases. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Schneider: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House,
 House Bills 158 and 159 are Bills deleting statutory references to requirements of immunization of diseases and placing them at the discretion of the Department of Public
 Health. It appears to me that this kind of legislation is



a medical question and not a statutory one, and therefore it would allow them to make adjustments as they saw fit to require certain immunizations. Presently they can add diseases against in which immunization should take place and they do need, it seems to me, the authority to delete those which are not necessary. Last year, for example, we did delete small pox and this term already, we have deleted rubella, and it appears to me that if its going to be a continuing problem, that we go through the Illinoi: House and the Senate to make adjustments on medical questions, so these Bills propose to take them out of statutory requirements, place them in the hands of the Public Health Department and allow them to make those The Bill passed over-welmingly in Committee. I know of no opposition to the Bills and they are supported by the Director of Public Health.

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? The question is, shall these two Bills pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the Clerk will take two roll calls.

All right... have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. Dyer 'aye'. Shea 'aye'. Thompson 'aye'. Kosinski "aye'. Harpstrite 'aye'. Telcser 'aye'.

McAuliffe 'aye'. McAuliffe changes from 'no' to 'aye'. Campbell 'aye'. 144 'ayes', 1 'nay'. Each of these two Bills, having received a Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed."



F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 160. An Act to enlarge the corporate limits of Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson." Rayson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill to annex 120 acres in the south tip to the Metropolitan Sanitary District which 120 acres had previously been in the District for many, many years and was disconnected by Court Order in 1965. The property changed hand, the Village of Madison wants this territory annexed to the Village and in the Metropolitan Sanitary District. The Metropolitan Sanitary District has offerred much advice and counsel with regard to their facilities to make this contormanous thing for the Village of Madison in South Cook County. There's no opposition to the Bill. It's a legal procedure whereby this property could be annexed to the Metropolitan Sanitary District. Therefore, I urge a favorable vote. It came out of Committee 9 to nothing. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. The gentleman from McHenry,
Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "Will the affluents from this part of the Metropolitan

Sanitary District be part of the 12 feet of water which

will be dumped over 79,000 acres of McHenry County land,

if the Corps of Engineers' plan is put into effect?"



Rayson: "Ah.... no. Absolutely."

Skinner: "Where will it go?"

Rayson: "Well, there is no effluent here. It's just part of
the rest of the Village's service by the Metropolitan
Sanitary District. That's all. They have their own
facilities there, and their own connections there."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: / "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Will it then go to Will County instead?"

Rayson: "No, absolutely 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's good. All right, any further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 160 pass?

All those in favor, will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Huskey 'aye'. You're going to have to check his switch. Have all voted who wished? Jake Wolf 'aye'. Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 125 'ayes', seven 'nays', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."

F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 162. Bill for an Act to amend
Section 4 of an Act in relation to acquisition of the
Illinois and Mississipi Canal in the use and in administration thereof of State Parks. Third Reading of the Bill."
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Know, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House, ah... House Bill 162 was a result of problems that

have arisen to local government as far as repair and up
keep of bridges across the Illinois-Mississippi Canal. It



seems that ah... this has become the responsibility of local government and it is a responsibility that they have very little money to handle. There are some 80 bridges over the Illinois-Mississippi Canal. was turned over to the State so that the State might use it as a recreational waterway, and I think the purpose is good. I think it is a fine State park for the People of the State of Illinois. Ah... it is the responsibility of the Department of Conservation to take care of that State It seems that when these bridges become bad and they're all in that process, the local government can much more cheaply ah... fix the problem by putting in a culvert or tube. For this reason, it ruins it as a recreational waterway. Therefore, I think it should be the responsibility of the Department of Conservation to take care of these bridges and maintain them, and that is the purpose of this Bill. The responsibility would be with the Department of Conservation, not the Department of Transportation. I urge your support.'

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion. Question is, shall House
Bill 162 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the
opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Barry 'aye'.
Huskey 'aye'. Lauer 'aye'. Mann 'aye'. Berman 'aye'.
Kozubowski 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will
take the Record. Leinenweber 'aye'. On this question,
there are 153 'ayes', no 'nays', and this Bill, having
received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed



- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 165. Bill for an Act to vacate, extinguish, ban, release an easement for highway purposes in Henry County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah.... well, you know the problem.

 The Rules say you're suppose to be in your own seat when
 you do it, so as much as we would like to accommodate you,
 I think we ought not to start it."
- Barry: "Mr. Speaker, a compansion bill is 166. May they be considered together? May I have leave please?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there objection? Hearing none, read 166."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 166. A Bill for an Act to vacate, extinguish, ban or release easement for highway purposes in Henry County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

 Hon. W. Ro-ert Blair: "All right, the gentleman from Bureau,
- Hon. W Ro-ert Blair: "All right, the gentleman from Bureau,
 Mr. Barry."
- Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these are two standard vacations of property in Henry County at the south end of that city as a result of a highway improvement. There are some very odd shaped, two very odd-shaped parcels, one involved in each of these two Bills, which will allow for a vacation of the easement back to the property owners. It's been approved by the City fathers in Kewanee and everybody else that I know of and it's a problem really for the State of Illinois to try and maintain these two ah... very irregularly shaped pieces of properly alongside the highway. I know of no opposition.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

There is no compensation being paid, I don't think any reason therefore, and I would recommend the passage of these two Bills."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bills 165 and 166 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take two roll calls. Have all voted who wished? Huskey 'aye'. Skinner 'aye'. Jake Wolf 'aye'. Take the Record. McLendon 'aye'. And these questions, there are 152 'ayes'. Thompson 'aye'. No 'nays', and these Bills, having received a Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. House Bill 170."
 - F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 170. An Act creating the Environmental Protection Agency Legislative Study Commission Act, defining its powers and duties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, you will no doubt excuse me if I tell you that after nearly three hours yesterday, I'm still not sure I have my voice back, which might be a god-send. First of all, I want to bring out again and repeat that none of us of the many individuals who signed this Bill have any intention of destroying the EPA. I'm sure we are all in favor of what the basic things they're trying to do. It is the things that they are doing that is putting the EPA into disrepute and hurting the EPA. The ridiculous Rules and



Regulations and activities that have hurt the People of the State of Illinois and the EPA that I am trying to correct and do something about. I would like to tell you that yesterday you heard, and so I will not repeat, the materials and some of the problems concerning grain farmers The problems in the elevators of the State of Illinois, and their importance to the People. The importance of livestock operators and those who deal and handle our meats in our basic form. Now, I'll skip all of that. heard that yesterday. I'd like now to go to industry. I would like to read you from the ah.... Members of a Committee of industry, what they have to say in relation to the EPA. Mr. Speaker, will you hammer that gavel. You're not going to learn anything by talking about what you did last night. The EPA is entirely enforcement oriented. And not willing to discuss problemes objectively with industry and work out solutions. Industry has no one to go to for discussion of its problems without selfdiscrimination and fear of enforcement action. Two, the Act is so rigid, Section 31, and requires the agency to issue a formal complaint once they discover a violation. Three, the Agency and Board appeared to lack understanding regarding the effect and result or costs resulting from their decision. Four, every action is considered Arthur Telcser: Blain: "Representative Epton, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?'

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for a point of personal privilege.



Arthur Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Epton: "I don't think that there's anything more disconcerting to get up to speak about a Bill and to have the constant bedlam that runs throughout this Assembly. I don't think it does justice to the Speaker, and it certainly does not do justice to the House. The decorum here is really so bad, that it would be my suggestion that the Sponsor of this Bill simply stand at his microphone and remain silent until we can hear what he has to say. I think that if the House is delayed in completing its function, perhaps some of the Members will realize that the only way we can properly vote for or against these Bills, is to hear what is going on, and Mr. Speaker, I respectfully suggest that you allow the Sponsor of the Bill to wait until there's order before he proceeds."

Arthur Telcser: 3 at 1 think your point is very well taken,
Representative Epton."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and Representative Epton, I wish to thank you both. It is very disconcerting to be in this position. Number 4. Every action is considered as an adversary and responsibile industrial personnel are suspects without just cause. I will not read the rest of it. It's too long, but that is more or less the jest of a Committee, representing many prominent companies on the Board of the markets in New York and Chicago. Their Committee met and that was their decision. Here is an article from the newspapers, and I'll just read one paragraph



to give you the jest. 'Trustees and lawyers of more than 20 different sanitary districts met Thursday at the Holiday Inn at the 48th Annual Conference of the Illinois Association of Sanitary District' and the headline 'Pollution Agency criticized'. I will not go through the entire list of criticisms. They are numerous. I do not want to take the time. Here is an industry in Decatur, one of our The Mueller Company, its president. Indusmajor ones. trial discomfort outlined by Thurston. He is President of the Company. Here is one just the other day from Morton, Illinois. EPA ban may halt much Morton construction. There it is. Another one from the Staley Company. In my community, employing nearly 4,000 people. Here is a picture of the paperwork and the number of the employees necessary to fill out forms only on their air-conditioning. It cost them, and here is the article, over \$20,000 for that item alone Now, here is a... from the Illinois Manufacturers Association, many pages. I will not read them. I don't want to take your time. I want your It says, the headline, 'Good grief, 151 comattention. panies, averaging \$17,000 each, to fill out State pollution paper work!, and then it goes on down to say further matters and number of employees necessary and that many as the Staley Company, many of the questions that they have to fill out, have nothing to do with Pollution. Another matter, a letter to Clarence Neff from one of his township commissioners, in relation to landfills



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

one paragraph - 'My, problem is that at the present time, we do not have a place to dump the refuse at the landfill where we dump because it was closed up by the EPA. Now the rest of the letter is pointing out that the EPA, after closing up the dump, and the People began to dump along the roads in the township, they're going after the township road commissioner threatening to take him to Court cause he's not picking up the material along the roads. Here is, from the Illinois Coal Operative Association, State of Illinois. Just a few lines. 'Is the militant an arbitrary administration of Illinois' Pollution Control apparatus, based on...."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Borchers, would you try to conclude your remarks?"

Borchers: "I just have two more to go. And each one I'm just taking out a paragraph, but I thought in the explanation of Bill, there was no cime limit."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Stone, for what pur-

pose do you rise, Sir?"

Stone: "I was wondering, Mr. Speaker, what the red lights meant?"

Arthur Telcser: "Well I just ask Representative Borchers to

conclude his remarks, because his time has run out.

Stone: "May I make a parliamentary inquiry?"

Arthur Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Stone: "How much time are we allowed to present a Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Ten Minutes."



Stone: "Pardon me?"

Arthur Telcser: "Ten Minutes."

Stone: "Would that include the presentation and closing both?"

Arthur Telcser: "No, ten minutes to explain the Bill. Ah...

five minutes to close, two minutes to explain your vote."

Stone: "Thank you."

Borchers: "Just two more. This is from the ah... South Coal
Operators and it says that if this is an Environmental
Protection Agency practicing brinkmenship and endangering
the economic welbeing of the entire state. I dumped quite
of bit of that to help you all out. And now here is a
list of so far of those companies that have left entirely
because of the Pollution Control Board or anyway, there
are one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine,
ten companies have left Illinois because of the actions
of the Environmental Protection Agency. One of them
employed 200 men."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Pappas, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, I think you're being a little over kind.

The man has had at least twenty minutes to explain a

Bill, and there's an old saying down here, that a man can
talk his Bill to death."

Arthur Telcser: "He has concluded his remarks. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it appears that once more, my distinguished colleague and I are



GENERAL ASSEMBLY STATE OF ILLINOIS

engaged on an environmental matter, and I would hope that the Speaker would allow me the same latitude, ah... although I don't intend to speak more than ten minutes, but I would like some latitude in addressing myself to this question." Arthur Telcser: "Just turn the ten minute light on right now." Mann: "All right. Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, during my twelve years here, I have opposed very few commissions and the only reason I oppose this one is that it has a built in bias in it. Usually, when we introduce a commission bill, we say the Bill will study the Environmental Protection Act. I would have no opposition to that even though Mr. Borchers is the Sponsor. But listen to the language in the Act. He says the Commission shall take special cognizance of and including this report. is required to submit under this Act all instances in which the Rules and Regulations of the Board has caused undue or unreasonable hardships. Who's going to decide whether it's undue or unreasonable. Now if I were a leader making appointments to this Commission, I would only appoint Members who are opposed to the Environmental Protection Act. So in effect, what my distinguished colleague is asking the House to do, is pass a Commission which will destroy the EPA, and you should know this as you vote on this Commission. If you were to say quite simply, I appoint sixteen members to study the EPA and to report back, I would support the Bill. But when he builds in a



bias into it, his own bias, and the bias of the proponents of the EPA, how can we vote for a Commission which starts out with a bias position. Commissions theoretically are suppose to study something. And no matter how controversial the issue, through the years, our commissions have responsibly studied the matters, but you've got to have People on this Commission who are on both sides of this issue, not just against the EPA. Not just committed to destroying the Environmental Protection Agency in the State of Illinois, and Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, as I listen to the gentleman, all he was talking about was the abuses of the EPA. He says nothing about its strength. He says nothing about its role in protecting our environment. Now is the gentleman saying that there's nothing good about the EPA. Is the gentleman saying that we can't trust Mary Lee Lahey, one of the outstanding conservationists in the State. Is the gentleman saying that we can't trust anybody on the Pollution Control Board. Anybody on the Institute for the Environmental Quality. That this is a bad conspiracy to destroy the farming interests of the State of Illinois. None of usis accept this, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. we want is an objective study, and I'll vote for an objective study, but I'm not going to vote for a loaded study, and this Commission is loaded against the EPA, and Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, let's not take an Act of the EPA in the thinly disguised form and camoflauged



form of a Commission. The purpose of this Commission is to destroy the EPA. What I would like to do is to have the EPA studied, and I would hope and I would know that the Speaker and the Leadership on both sides of the aisle will have a balanced Commission, not just composed of People who are against EPA and representing special interests. Like the opponents of scenic rivers. Like the opponenents of the Lake Michigan Bill of Rights. We know that there are some land gougers and grabbers. We know that there are People in this State who will destroy the environment for a half a dollar. We know they're all over the place. But we don't want them on this commission.

And Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, until this Bill is amended, so that it is an objective Commission, I respectfully ask that you vote 'no' on this Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Calvo: "Mr. Borchers, do you have a fiscal note on this Bill."

Borchers: "No, but I am going to put one on. Yes, excuse me,

I have it. I have a ah... companion bill that will not..

come up in the Appropriation Committee for \$25,000 for the use of this Commission."

Calvo: "What's this? Wait a minute. I didn't understand it

Mr. Speaker. What was your answer?"



Borchers: "No fiscal note was requested."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think we're out of order to vote on this Bill at Third Reading if it doesn't have a fiscal note. There's no question about an expenditure of funds."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I believe he's out of order. He should have asked for it on Second Reading, and there is a Bill for \$25,000 that is in front of the Appropriations Committee for this purpose."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Calvo, have you concluded your remarks? Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I do have a point of order. Just a while ago, Representative Shea brought up the same point where we had a substantive Bill and we didn't know where the Appropriation Bill was, and I think the Speaker at that time said the Rules Committee was going to meet tomorrow to try and resolve this and I think, really, that to live up to that point, that the Speaker ruled on, we ought to hold this until this matter is resolved, so that we don't have a bill here and the appropriation bill somewhere else. It's going to be resolved tomorrow and I think that we ought to hold this Bill while that rule is being worked out."

Arthur Telcser: "Well, Representative, in response to your point, ah... the Chair would say that that question would be up to the Sponsor. Under the rules, I call the Bills in numerical sequence. If the Sponsor wishes to put it



off until tomorrow or after the Rules meeting, that would be up to him, and so if he wishes to respond. Would you state your answer again?"

parchers: "I said my position here is always to fight things out."

qualcser: "Is there further discussion? Representative Calvo has not completed his remarks, so...."

(Balvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if we're going to fight this out, without not knowing what it's going to cost or without having the appropriation bill before us, I would urge you not to vote for this Bill, although I am not proposed to a Study Commission, of the EPA. However, I think the vehicle to do that is before the Committee tomorrow afternoon, in House Joint Resolution 14, which provides for a Study Commission that will continue to study these problems, not just for one year, but as long as it's necessary and will be the type of Commission that we need to do the job. I have to agree with Mr. Mann to some extent in his remarks, that this is not the type of Commission to do the job, and if we're going to study this matter, I voted for this Bill in Committee, by the way, but if we're going to study this matter I don't think we can do it in one year. We can't come up with all these answers in one year. These people have been studying this for years and years and years. And the best vehicle is House Joint Resolution 14, which will be heard in Committee tomorrow, and which I'll be happy to



support, and would ask the Members on the Floor to support. But let's not vote hurridly for this Bill, when we
don't even know what's going to happen to the Appropriation
Thank you."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty." Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. rise in support of Mr. Borchers Bill to create this ah study commission. We've heard all kinds of legislation being proposed on this particular subject. Many of us are not sure, really as to just what is going on in this area. We hear that the ah... People now in control are running pretty rough shot over the farm community and over other areas of industry in our State. I see no harm in having a study group study this to be composed of People appointed by a varied group here in the House and in the Senate. I don't know why you can anticipate that this group is going to be blased against the Commission. think we should determine just what the facts are and have I think the Members are astute enough to dea Report. termine from a Report as to whether or not the Members Mr. Borchers has indicated that he have been biased. believes it's going to cost about \$25,000. I think this is a fair enough estimate for the Members to come in and support this Bill, which will give all of us here a much better idea as to just what is going on in the Environmental Protection area, and so I find that ah.... my Mr. Borchers and I urge all to do so position to support



Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative, will you yield for a question?"

Borchers: "Yes, I don't know the question."

Jaffe: "Webber, could you tell me if there has ever been a

Commission formed like this to study the Racing Board?"

Borchers: "No, not to my knowledge."

Jaffe: "Has there ever been a Commission like this formed to

study the Illinois Commerce Commission?"

Borchers: "I can't answer that one, either."

Jaffe: "Well, has there ever been a Commission like this one

formed to study the Department of Insurance."

Borchers: "Well, I believe there has been one on the Insurance

Yes. But, however, what's wrong with this as an idea?"

Jaffe: "Well, Weber, because...."

Borchers: "After all, there's a first for everything."

Jaffe: "Well, if I may speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Jaffe: "It becomes quite obvious from the last series of Bills, despite the protestation of the sponsor, that the EPA is definitely the target over here. I think that this Commission does nothing more than to intimidate the EPA and is really the first step toward this dismantling of the EPA. For Weber's information, "Sam Maragos sponsored a Commission such as this to Study the Illinois Commerce Commission two years ago, and that was killed in Committee.



Couldn't get out of this legislature. So I'm going to GENERAL ASSEMBLY

take the position until we have a Commission to study the Illinois Commerce Commission to study the Illinois Commerce Commission, until we have a Commission to study the Department of Insurance until we have a Committee to study the Racing Board, I'm going to urge a 'no' vote on this particular Bill, and I think we ought to all realize that this is the first step for dismantling the EPA."

Arthur Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The gentleman's motion prevails, and the gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers, to close the debate."

Borchers: "Ah... Mr. speaker and fellow members of the House, it was a lot of advice. It's difficult to try and keep track of what's going on. Ah... I would like to point out just a couple of things. The Representative Mann ah... accused me or perhaps others of making this ah... a Committee that would be prejudiced. Well, there's no trugh to it. If you read the Bill, you will see that the Speaker of the House chooses one-fourth of the Members of this Commission. The Minority Leader, Clyde Choate, chooses one-fourth of the Members of the Commission. President Pro Tem of the Senate chooses one-fourth of the commission and the Minority Democratic Leader of the Senate chooses one-fourth. I do not believe that these



gentlemen are of that nature. I think they would appoint a fair and honest Commission, and I would solicit your support."

Arthur Telcser: "Question is, shall House Bill 170 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos to explain his vote."

"Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I do not fault Maragos: the idea of investigating any executive body of the State of Illinois, no matter what form it is taken or what area it is concerned with. The fact that has been pointed out earlier in debate, I had also sponsored legislation to pass to investigate the Commerce Commission and a couple other bodies, which did not succeed. The thing that concerns me, however, about this particular piece of legislation, it says it locks it in, and I agree with Representative Mann's proposal that it is already becoming a concluding Commission before it even gets organized. It has come to some conclusions already before it has time to investigate and that is a question when it says, 'the Commission shall take special cognizance of and report on all instances in which the rules of the Board or Agency have caused undue By nature, it has hardship to individuals' and so en. already judged the case, and therefore, I feel that it cannot be an impartial commission or a impartial board to investigate unless, when it already goes in with locked ideas as to what conclusions there are going to be. And



for those reasons, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am voting 'no' on this particular Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."
Rayson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just briefly to explain my
vote. I have the apprehension of some of the built-in
bias, but I don't think that's persuasive in itself, because it's objective. But I think a better way to get out
of the dilemma is to come in with a permanent Commission
Study, concerning the EPA and all its problems. The
Legislature is here to stay. The EPA is here to stay.
And our environment is here to protect, and I hope to
stay. And so I suggest the ideas to do away with this
Bill, let the gentleman come in with a permanent study,
so it's ongoing like other problems that meet the test of
other further legislative scrutiny."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my vote, I think we should all realize that the entire subject of treating the environment and protecting the environment is a controversial one from the standpoint of governmental regulation. It's a new field.

And it seems to me that ah... that this Agency, having been in existence for a short period of time, that we should ah... as a legislative body, ah... kind of review the history of the Commission, since its organization, and certainly there's nothing wrong in this Legislature, investigating undue hardships, ah... regardless of where



been enough controversy about this that it would be well for the people of this State to have an opportunity to be heard ah. in a legislative body. And it would be helpful to this legislative body to have an investigation and a study such as this. And ah. may be that we wouldn't agree with the ah. results or the recommendations of this commission but nevertheless I think that if there is any hardship ah. brought about by the administration of this act it would be well for the legislature to take a look at it. So I'm going to vote 'aye'."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer." Lauer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this subject of environmental protection and the activities of the Pollution Control Board have been controversial. And it seems to me that we have a situation that tempers are being frayed. Both the temper of the public and the temper of this House and I also suspect that on the other side of the rotunda tempers are somewhat frayed. It seems to me that the wise thing to do is to put a study into the hands of a calm, cool, collected commission where without any sort of emotional display and quite frankly out of the limelight of publicity a calculating look can be taken at what is actually happened. We hear all sorts of scare stories. That is, the EPA has done The Pollution Control Board has done that. We hear this.



all sorts of little formless fears that really have no place if we're going to take a sober, sane look at this. And remove the emotionalism that has been brought about by this whole area of the environment. I think it's time that we stop the emotional approach and took a more studied, more careful look. I strongly urge an 'aye' vote."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams." Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I would like to point out to the Members here of the reason I will have to vote 'no on this bill. While in essence, I could support the bill I would like to bring to the attention of the Members here that in a very short time we will have before us a House.. it's a Joint Resolution No. 14. Which will create a special joint Committee on the environment of the General Assembly which will be composed of eight members from each side. And while this bill that we are talking about now is undoubtedly going to cost. our taxpayers some money if we support the House Resolution No. 14, it will accomplish the same thing at no cost. So I must vote 'no' on this bill. Thank you."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."
Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
it seems perfectly fitting to me that there be a Legislative
Study Commission for the subject of looking over the
shoulder of the Environmental Protection Agency. We did,



afterall, a few years ago provide this.. the Environmental Study Committee and we certainly.. they in that few years have ah.. evoked considerable controversy. Now we've got Study Committees for the ah.. Commission.. Commission on Business and Economic Development. We've got three of four of them looking over the shoulder of the Department of Mental Health. None of those Departments have been as controversial it seems to me as the subject of environment. So we created this ah.. the problem by our enactment. It certainly is appropriate it seems to me that we look over their shoulder. And ah.. I see nothing at all wrong with this Commission. I think it's entirely fitting that we should have it."

A. Telcser: "Ah.. the Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis.

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I don't think this is a bad bill at all. And I'm

certainly sure that it's not intended to curtail any

constructive efforts of the EPA. Some of us have very

much been in favor of environmental protection. But we

also believe that we have to have a common sense approach

about it. And if there is any improvement to be made,

I certainly think a legislative commission like this can

do.it. Therefore, I rise to explain my vote as 'yes'."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
to explain my vote. It seems that there is a great deal



of emotionalism on the Floor of the House about the bill. But I think common sense should dictate a few things. And I'd like to suggest that what we're talking about are three areas... Environmental Protection Agency, the Pollution Control Board and the Institute of Environmental Quality. For the past three or four years, these units have spent literally millions of dollars of the taxpayers money in studying some and going into the very things that the bill here proposes that the legislature goes into. Now it doesn't seem to me that \$25,000 will be enough money to really get at this thing. And I would suggest that perhaps the better thing that we should do is wait until we get a continuing commission on this. Further, I think that the. the appraisal of the results of each of these agencies would be better if we waited a while. That is to explain my 'no' vote. And I would urge others to vote 'no' also."

A. Telcser: "The lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I rise to support Representative Borchers' bill. I have

not been able to support some of the others unfortunately

because I think they have been too extreme in trying to

remedy the problems that we are trying to reach. As many

of you know, I was with the Environmental Protection

Agency and I was with the Public Information part of

the Environmental Protection Agency. I am very much

in support of all three of the agencies and rather than



GENERAL ASSEMBLY STATE OF ILLINOIS

feeling that this particular bill would detrimental to the agencies, I think that it is important for us to have communication. And quite the contrary, I think that this commission would make it well-understood what these agencies are trying to do. And what their accomplishments have been. I don't think in any way that this will undermine the strength or the ah.. authority of any of the agencies. And I strongly support. strongly in support of this particular bill. Thank you."

A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to support.. to speak in support of this bill because there is nothing wrong with the EPA that a little common sense and experience wouldn't help. I have been involved in three situations that the EPA has been involved in. One was the plant in Pekin, Illinois. And the findings of the court resulted in the straw that broke the camel's back. And because of the six-months period that they would not go along with in the plant they shut the plant down and 200 men are out in the streets walking for a job. The next was the elevator down at Weldon which we all heard about the other day. Young, inexperienced people in the hearing simply did not know how to handle a problem. And the third was more recent. Up at Mark, Illinois, a little old 77-year old Italian who came to this country 60 years ago and was as close to slavery as you could



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE

get working down in those coal mines of Mark.. He was down there chipping away at that 42-inch seam not because he was making a lot of money, but because the coal that he was getting out of that whole, the bowels of the earth as John L. Lewis used to call it, was building America. And so when the coal mine closed down and the old man didn't know anything about relief rolls.. went around finding jobs here and there and managed to save enough money to buy the slack pile across from his home, he bought that because it was like the pyramids of Egypt. It was a monument of blood, sweat and tears that he produced in that coal mine. And now the EPA wants to fine him \$1500 for having an unsightly sight around that abandon coal mine. I say this commission will do nothing but support the Environmental Protection Agency."

A. Telcser: "Have all voted the wished? Gentleman from ah.. Wayne, Representative Blades."

Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to ask anyone who's voting red up there what is wrong with the General Assembly looking into any state agency to see how they spend the money that we give them. It has been done numerous times before. This procedure is nothing new. Although I do. also want to say that the accusation made by some former speakers on this bill that the committee is loaded, simply is not true. Now I have a. I really have a plainer way of saying



what the guy is doing than what I'm putting it modest when I say that the statement that he made isn't true.

Why the.. the committee has not been picked yet and will be picked as other committees have been picked by the Leadership in the House and the Senate. And, again, I say that's the modest way and I have a stronger way of saying what I'm trying to say." Thank you."

- A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative James
 Houlihan."
- Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've questioned this bill on two basis as I see it.

 One, of its possible bias and the second one of timeliness on whether we should evauluate the EPA now. I would like to suggest the third consideration as to whether we should do this in a commission or rather whether we should fond the sub-committee that would be an active, working sub-committee as the committee to look into this and evaulate it by the legislature. And I offer that for your consideration and I vote no for the other two reasons in addition to that reason."
- A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."
- Borchers: "Ah.. fellow members of the House. In relation to the cost, it doesn't matter whether it's by this resolution which I'm in complete favor of or through this commission.

 It approaches the same problem in just two different ways. One, if my bill isn't put through with the appropriat



we save \$25,000 perhaps more or less who knows that would come out of the general funds of the House or maybe the Senate athat is at the disposal of the Speaker, the Minority Leader, the President pro-tem of the Senate and the Minority Leader in the Senate. But this money would be an additional amount which will be appropriated out of the general funds of the State of Illinois. Financially, this commission is a better way to go. However, the resolution has advantages too. It cannot be vetoed by the Covernor. And I admit. This might be vetoed by the Governor. The resolution cannot. But there both the same in the context. And I think we should pass them both and see what happens. That whichever vehicle is the logical one financially, politically for the best interest of the EPA and the people.. that's the way we should go. But the only way to do it is by passing this bill. So I solicit your support.

- A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record.

 Record Representative Hirschfeld as voting present.

 On this question there are 78 'ayes', Representative

 Borchers for what purpose do you rise?"
- Borchers: "A request that this bill be put on postponed consideration."
- A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for permitting me time to get back



to my desk. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I indicated later and I should say to the House that ah ... in my emotional outburst yesterday I was not reflecting in any way upon the presiding temporary speaker, Representative Miller, for whom I have the highest regard and respect. But I was speaking to an issue ah.. at which ah.. there was a certain bill and it wasn't even close, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, and we are not an exhibition hall here. auThis is not a tryout camp. We don't run bills up the flag pole and ah.. it cost \$1500 for us to ah.. take up the time of the House. And Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we had long, adequate debate. And nothing happened. You know, I can understand it. So ah.. Webber, I'm not trying to take your control away, but it seems to me that if we're going to get into a practice, Mr. Speaker, of running every bill up the flag pole we'll never get out of here. And the cost of running this legislature is going to be. so prohibted not only won't we have annual sessions but we won't even have any sessions. So, Mr. Speaker, I think that a fine line has to drawn here. I'm not suggesting that we withdraw the right ah.. for ah.. ahh to postpone a bill because I'm sure I'll ask for it on many occassions myself. Ah.. one thing about the bill itself, one gentleman said that we want a calm and cool appraisal of the bill. May I say for the benefit of the new members.. may I say for the benefit of the new



GENERAL ASSEMBLY : STATE OF ILLINOIS

members that when there's language in a bill that says that we want to get rid of the abuses, the commission is controlled by that language. You must operate within.

- A. Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? William Walsh."
- Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, we have a motion before us to postpone consideration. The Gentleman on the other side is not speaking to that motion."
- A. Telcser: "Representative Walsh, your point is well-taken.

 Ah.. Representative Borchers has asked leave to have
 the bill put on the order of postponed consideration.
 Is there objection? Okay. Hearing no objection, the
 bill will be put on the order of postponed consideration.
 Introduction and First Reading of Bills."
- F. B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bill.."
- A. Telcser: "Representative Borchers for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
- Borchers: "Just one point of personal privilege since my name was mentioned.."
- A. Telcser: "State your point."
- Borchers: "I would just like to point out to many members
 who have been in the House for some time, that many a time
 Representative Mann has requested the same thing I have
 and it was granted without any debate."
- A. Telcser: "The Clerk will proceed with First Reading of the House Bills."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Bill 661. Gene Hoffman, et al. Bill for



an Act to add Article to the School Code creating a State
Board of Education. First Reading of the Bill. House
Bill 66...."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Wolfe: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to a point of parliamentary inquiry."

Arthur Telcser: "State your point, Sir?"

Wolfe: "And a point of order."

Arthur Telcser: "State them both."

Wolfe: "Yesterday, when the question of postponement came up,
Rule 38 was invoked, and as I read Rule 38, Postponed
Consideration, it indicates that any Member of this House,
when a Bill is on Third Reading, may, before the vote is
announced, move that that Bill be placed on the Order of
Postponed Consideration. Now the inquiry that I have is
is this an absolute right of the Sponsor, or is that right
subject to a vote of the House if leave is not given to
that Sponsor?"

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Wolfe, did you have something else to say?"

Wolfe: "Yes, in addition to that, I wanted to make one other comment, and I think this is most important for every member of the House, since we've had some conflicting rulings, and I think it sah... urgent enough that we understand what the Rule means and what we can do in connection with our own Bills, and I want to comment on Rule 63, after we



get a ruling on 78."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Wolfe, did you wish the Chair to comment on your inquiry, or were you just making an observation?"

Wolfe: "No, this was.... I wasn't recognized when the matter was on the Floor in that Order of business, and I think when Representative Borchers made his motion, under Rule 38, to Postponed Consideration, you asked the House if he had leave, and my inquiry is, under Rule 38, is this an absolute right of the Member or must he have unanimous the rule doesn't say this and I'm asking for an implication ruling, does it mean that he has to get the unanimous consent of the House; otherwise, it's submitted to a motion?"

Arthur Telcser: "Well, Representative Borchers asked for leave.

There were no objections stated. Ah... he was....."

Wolfe: "What if an objection had been stated?"

Arthur Telcser: "If an objection had been stated, he would then

have to put a motion."

Wolfe: "Well, that Rule doesn't say that. The Rule says if
the Sponsor.... the Sponsor may do this and it doesn't
refer to any objections or vote to over-rule the objection
as we have in the other rules and I would suggest a clarification of 38. Now let's go to 63. In the same context,
a Member of this House, asked under a motion to postpone,
under Rule 63, and he was ruled out of order because it
was indicated that Rule 38 preceded the ah.... requirements



under 63 and that it only applies to...."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Wolfe, there's a Rules Committee meeting tomorrow morning, at 9:00. Perhaps we could take up both of these matters to save time of the Floor."

Wolfe: "Fine, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telcser: "First Reading of Bills."

Selcke: "House Bill 662. Gene Hoffman. Makes Appropriation, State Board of Education. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 663. Choate. Property Tax Freeze Bill. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 664. Choate et al. Appropriates \$30,000,000 to the General Revenue Fund. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 665. Porter et al. Amends the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 666. Skinner et al. Amends the First Reading of the Bill. 667. Rayson Revenue Act. et al. Creates Mass Transit Assistance Act. Pirst Reading of the Bill. 668. Ralph Dunne et al. Amends Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. 669. Keller. Amends the Act to designate certain areas as State Parks, Memorials 如此解剖人类企业不同。 Parkways. First Reading of the Bill. 670. Palmer et al. Transfers jurisdiction of Illinois and Michigan Canal from Department of Transportation to the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill. 671. Wall. Amends General Assembly Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill. 672. Schraeder et al. Provides for reimbursement to taxing bodies. First Reading of the Bill.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY STATE OF ILLINOIS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Schraeder et al. Appropriates money to the Dept. of Local Government Affairs. First Reading of the Bill. Rayson et al. Appropriates \$24,000,000 to Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill. 675. Rayson. Amends the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. 676. Yourell. Hand Gun Transfer Act. First Reading of the Bill. 677. Dave Jones et al. Appropriates \$217,400 for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Clerk of the Supreme Court. First Reading of the Bill. 678. Day et al. Amends Act on construction of statutes. First Reading of the Bill. 679. Ron Hoffman et al. Adds to the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Berman et al. Amends the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. 681. Jaffe et al. Amends Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. First Reading of the Bill. 682. Lundy et al. Amends Municipal Code. 683. Schraeder. priates \$122.97 to Elwood C. Sparks. First Reading of the Bill. 684. Boyle et al. Appropriates money to Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Fund. First Reading of the Bill. 685. Pierce et al. Amends School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Arthur Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

F. B. Selcke: "House Resolution 99. Gene Hoffman et al. House Resolution 100. Kriegsman et al. House Resolution 101.

Lemke et al. House Resolution 102. Lemke. House Resolution 104. Yourell. House Resolution 107. Choate et al.

House Joint Resolution 20. W. D. Walsh et al. I'll read



this one because it's the adjournment resolution. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly, State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, March 15, 1973, the House of Representatives stand adjourned until Tuesday, March 20, 1973 at 9:30 O'Clock AM, and the Senate stand adjourned until Tuesday, March 20, 1973 at 11:30 O'Clock AM. House Joint Resolution 21. Schlickman et al. House Joint Resolution 22. Flinn et al."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these are the Agreed Resolutions, and the first one, House Resolution 99, commends the Fenton High School Wrestling Team which has recently won the State Wrestling Championship and was introduced by a wrestler himself, Representative Gene Hoffman. House Resolution No. 100 commends all the great basketball teams in the 45th Legislative District; these being the Talluka Wildcats, the LaSalle-Peru Cavilers, the Washington Panthers and the Pekin Chinks. House Resolution No. 101 commends Latimer Chatlika, who is the last surviving member of the American Camp No. 30, Spanish American War Veterans, Department of Illinois. House Resolution 102, by Representative Lemke, commends Alias L. Lesko of Chicago, who has recently been honored for fifty years in scouting by Cub Scout Pack 3418. And House Resolution 104, by Yourell, commends Mrs. Eva Scott Hood,



of Arcola, Illinois on her 100th birthday. And House Resolution 107. by Choate, commends the Ridgeway Eagles who scored a great victory Tuesday night, March 13. by winning the Class A high school basketball supersectional game at Carbondale and I wonder if ah.... this should be an Agreed Resolution. I wonder if everybody agrees that it was a great victory. House Joint Resolution 21, by Representative Schlickman, ah... changes the reporting date of the Advisory Commission on the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission to April 1, 1973. House Resolution ... House Joint Resolution 22, Representative Flinn, ah.. changes a name of a bridge crossing the Mississippi River, the Poplar Street Bridge will be permanently changed to the Veterans Bridge, and House Joint Resolution No. 20 is the Adjournment Resolution, and to repeat, when we adjourn tomorrow, March 15, we will convene on Tuesday, March 20 at 9:30 AM in perfunctory session, at 10:00 O'Clock in Regular Session, and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Arthur Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted." Announcements. Gentleman from LaSalle, Representative Soderstrom."

Soderstrom: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I would like to remind the Members of the Education Committee, Elementary and Secondary Divisions, that we do



have a recessed meeting tomorrow, Thursday, at 8:45 in Room C-1. The Chair would appreciate your attendance. We're going to consider Representative Cunningham's House Bill 83."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the

Committee on Human Resources will meet at 2:00. Please be

prompt. We have quite a few bills to hear, and that

will be in Room C-1."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I would leave to add as sponsors to House Bill 200, ah... Representatives Getty and Skinner."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jake Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, I would like to ah... make an announcement, Mr.

Speaker that the Veterans Affairs, Personnel and Pension,
which is scheduled for 2:00 PM in Room M-5 will be in
Room M-4, instead of Room M-5, which I understand the
Industrial Affairs Committee will be meeting in Room M-5,
because they need the space."

Arthur Telcser: "Messages from the Senate."

F. B. Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes,

Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the

House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the

House of Representatives to pass the Bill with the following

title, House Bill 89, together with the following Amend
ments thereto, and the adoption of which I'm instructed to



ask concurrence of the House."

Hon. W. R. Blair: "Gentleman...ah...."

- F. B. Selcke: "Action taken by the Senate... ah... passed the Senate as amended, March 14, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."
- Hon. W. R. Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa."

 Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

 I would asked that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments on House Bill 89."
- Hon. W. R. Blair: "All right, the gentleman has asked the House nonconcur with respect to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 89. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the message will be sent to the Senate so advising that the House does nonconcur. Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein."
- Schoeberlein: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Public Utilities Committee will meet tomorrow thirty minutes after adjournment in M-4. Now we have two Bills that will be reported dead tomorrow if they are not heard, because we did not have a quorum at 4:00 O'Clock a week ago. And we would like every member of the Public Utilities Committee to be in M-4 thirty minutes after adjournment tomorrow."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Blades."

Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah..

I would like permission to suspend the appropriate rule
to hear House Bill 285 in the Agricultural and Natural



Resources Committee tomorrow. We heard the witnesses on this last week. The Sponsor held it over to amend, and ah... we feel to get this posted on our posting, so I would now move that Rule 18 be suspended in order that we might hear House Bill 285 in the Committee tomorrow."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now, wait, he's asking to suspend ah... Rule 18, and that requires ah... 107 affirmative votes.

No leave on affirmative votes. All right, the gentleman's motion then is to suspend ah.... All those in favor, will

motion then is to suspend ah.... All those in favor, will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no', and this will require 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 126 'ayes', no 'nays' and the gentleman's motion prevails. Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Blades."

Blades: "Representative Sharp, thank you very much."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Walshburn."

Walshburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, the Appropriations Committee will meet at 2 O'Clock in Room A-1. We will not hear any Bills today. However, Director Hovey of the Bureau of the Budget has been invited to appear and explain the document to us, so I invite anyone who wishes just to sit in on this informational meeting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann. I'm sorry. What purpose do you rise?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, very quickly, a point of personal privilege.

The Majority Leader explained to me the Rule, regarding

Postponed Consideration; that is, they may only be postponed



GENERAL ASSEMBLY STATE OF ILLINOIS

once. Therefore, I would like to apologize to Mr. Borchers. Ah.... I now know that he can only continue it once, and I'm sorry I suggested he was taking up the time of the House. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah... the gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to move Senate Bill 88

to Second Reading, without being referred to Committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I'll tell you, let's.... what we're

doing, so you will understand, we're waiting now for the

Senate to send us a message that they refuse to recede from

Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 89, and request

a Conference Committee. That will be back over here in

just a few minutes, so we can just ah... we'll read these

Senate Bills, First Reading. We'll get to your's and then

you can make your Motion on that, Mr. Deavers." So, Mr.

Walsh.... the Gentleman from Cook, Mx. William Walsh, did

you have a question?"

Walsh: "Well.... while we're at ease a little bit, I just wanted to asked unanimous consent to have the name of Representative Dick Hart added as a co-sponsor of House Bill 372."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah... hearing no objection, he'll be added." All right, now read the Senate Bills first."
- F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 19. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 22. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois



Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill
23. Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. First
Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 70. An Act in relation
to county zoning. First Reading of the Bill. Senate
Bill 88. An Act to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics
Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah.... Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers, now."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a Motion that we move

Senate Bill 88 on to Second Reading and bypass Executive

Committee or the Committee that might be assigned to."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, is that Bill ah... the same Bill as the House Bill 184?"

Deavers: "That is correct."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That was already heard in the House, then in Committee."

Deavers: "Yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O'kay, that's the reason you're requesting that. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I have a question, Mr. Speaker, to the Sponsor of the Motion. Where is this House Bill, and why do we have to duplicate this with a Senate Bill and move it, if your House Bill is going to be adopted by the Senate?"

Deavers: "That's a good question."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "His House Bill is on the order of concurrence, with a Senate Amendment on it, on the calendar. Hanahan: "That's what I'm suggesting. Why not just hold this



Bill in advance while we have the other Bill as a Conference Committee thing. I don't understand why we need another vehicle."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, might I suggest that you ah... withdraw your motion. I will go to the Order of Concurrences. Would you like to move on this Concurrence?' Deavers: "I withdraw the Motion. I do not want to concur at this time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh, well, then maybe you want to keep your Motion in there, then. Do you want to.... All right the Gentleman explains that ah... he prefers for his reasons ah.... not to want to take action to either concur or nonconcur with Senate Amendment No. 1 to 184. But he says he would like to ah... attempt to ah... advance ah... Senate Bill 88 to second reading without reference. Ah... is that correct, Mr. Deavers?"

Deavers: "All right, so.... Gentleman from McHenry, Mr.
Hanahan, care to pursue that?"

Hanahan: "All right, then, I would like to place an objection,

Mr. Speaker. Having a Senate Bill, as long as the State

Senate continues to act arbitrarily like they have in the

past, on House Bills, killing Bills in past sessions, and

acting very arbitrarily, I move to object then to this

motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, then any further discussion.

The question's on the gentleman's motion to ah... suspend
the Rules so that this matter may be advanced to the



Order of Second without reference and that requires....

Gentleman from Henry.... from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, is the motion now before the
 House on suspension of the Rules to bypass committee. "
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes."

Hanahan: "Then I would like to speak to that motion, that I object to the Motion, that the Senate arbitarily handles House Members' bills in the manner that they so see fit. I see no real great reason at this moment to take this Bill and not run it through a Committee, as long as the House Member's bill is being held up in whatever... for whatever reason it's being held up. I have no great I'm in no great hurry, as long as people are knocked off of the ballot all over the State, that this Bill is not going to become law in enough time to save them, that I see no real great reason to bypass the Committee structure."

Non. W. Robert Blair: "All right, then, the question... on this question.... The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of this Bill yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Representative, is Senate Bill 88 identical to the House Bill that we previously passed?"

Deavers: "The Senate version has an Amendment on there that takes it from 30 days to 10 days. The Second Amendment allows that the Governor's appointments in the first four



GENERAL ASSEMBLY STATE OF ILLINOIS

months also be granted the same privilege."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, if I may, I should like to address myself to this motion. It has been the practice of this House, and one with which I have agreed, that a Senate Bill, which is identical, identical to a House Bill that we have previously passed, be advanced to the Order of Second Reading without referral to Committee. But inasmuch as House Bill.... Senate Bill 88 is not, not identical to a House Bill that we previously passed, then I will vote no on this motion."

- Deavers: "Let me say this, the basis of the Bill is identical Representative Schlickman. The Amendment is the only change."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, then we're now ah... on the question. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no', and this requires 107. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."
- Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, I think there's some confusement on this, by Representative Deaver's answer, and that is, the fact as I understand it, the Bills were identical bills. And the reason they are not identical now is because the Senate has amended the House Bill, but the Bills themselves were identical. So this Bill, in fact, has been heard in front of Committee."
 - Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

 Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I think there's a little confusion here



A STATE OF

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

- as to what the Sponsor of the Senate Bill 88 is attempting to do here."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's attempting to advance it to the Order of Second, without reference to Committee."
- Maragos: "All right, it has nothing to do with concurrence or anything like that."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, huh, ah... we're on the Order of Senate Bills, First Reading, and it is Senate Bill 88, and ah... he's made his motion. Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."
- Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to explain my 'green vote', and call to the attention of the Members of this side of the aisle that this is a similar Bill to a House Bill that we passed out of here ah.... last week or the week before and it is a Bill that addresses itself to the problem of ah... the ahili... candidates filing for office and ah ... not ah... being aware of the fact that they were also to fill out their Ethic Statement, so that they would be eligible to hold office if elected, and all we're asking here today is to move this Bill along without reference. It has been heard ah... prior to ah... the House Bill had been heard in Committee and passed out, and we'd just like to move this Bill along. It's exactly the same thing, and so I urge the Members on this side of the aisle to support it. We'd certainly appreciate it from any of the downstate areas anyway that we seem to have more of a problem down



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

in the downstate areas than up in Chicago, so you would help us a great deal if you would give us some support on this Motion."

K. Miller: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well... I'm afraid because of the remarks of Representative Schlickman, and at the time he said them, I agreed with him absolutely that if the Bill were different than the Bill that was before the House or passed the House, then it should go to Committee. There is some confusion. As Representative Murphy pointed out, the Bill that is before us now, Senate Bill 88 is identical in every respect with the Bill on the same subject that has passed the House. For that reason, there is simply no justification for sending this Bill to Committee and unduly ties up the Committee and takes their time. I might also point out that this motion takes 107 votes, so we're going to have to get some more votes up there for this to be done."

K. Miller: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "That's a belabored point, Mr. Speaker, but no demonstrable evidence has been put before this House to say that there's an emergency action that has to be taken care of here. If this Bill passes or not or this motion is accepted or not, this is not going to solve the problem of taking people off the ballot that has already been taken off the ballot. I think it's a mood point, and shouldn't be cluttering up the House with motions."



K. Miller: "Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Deavers and I have been talking about this piece of legislation for the last few days. I have some interests in both of these Bills. We and I and Senator Hall from his District ah... who is the Chief sponsor of this Bill, have been discussing this to some length, and since both Bills will be exactly the same once the Amendment on the House Bill is concurred in, I think it would be foolish to send this Bill to Committee and we should advance it to second and let it go from there."

- K. Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Record Schoeberlein as 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, take the Record. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."
- Schlickman: "Just a slight point of personal privilege. The Majority Leader has conceded I was right and he was wrong."
- K. Miller: "On this roll, there are 96 'ayes' and 7 'nays' and the gentleman's motion does not prevail. It loses."
- F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 105. An Act to provide for the summons for grand or petit jury duty. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 108. An Act to authorize the Department of Transportation to vacate, abandon and distinguish an easement in Jackson County, Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 140. An Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill



141. An Act to provide for the manner of levying or imposing taxes. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 151. An Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 164. An Act in relation to control maintenance of and by purchase of land and construct the necessary buildings for Public grave yards. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 226. An Act to vacate, extinguish, abandon, release an easement in White County, Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 227. An Act to vacate, extinguish, abandon, release an easement for highway purposes in Whiteside County, Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 81. An Act to enlarge the corporate limits of Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago. First Reading of the Bill."

- K. Miller: "The General Resolutions." Just a moment, please.
- T. D.For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar, arise?"
- Mahar: "I wanted to speak to Senate Bill 81. I wanted to asked that the appropriate rules be suspended to allow that to go to Second Reading without reference."
- K. Miller: "Do you desire to make a motion to that affect?"
- Mahar: "I move to that affect."
- K. Miller: "Ah...."
- Mahar: "I'll withdraw that Motion."
- K. Miller: "All right, the gentleman withdraws that motion."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Resolution 103. Fleck et al. House Resolution 105. Keller. House Resolution 106. Pierce."



K. Miller: "Death Resolutions."

- F. B. Selcke: "House Resolution 108. Huskey et al. !Whereas for many years before his death, Edward Rowlaugh gave freely and unselfishly of his time and energy to improve the quality of his community; and whereas Edward earned the respect and admiration of all who knew him for his exeplemary efforts in founding the Work Community Chest in 1968; and for his devotion to the betterment of his community and State; and whereas when his accomplishments and outstanding contributions on behalf of others are measured according to their lasting beneficial affect on the community, the dimensions of Edward's character, foresight and ability are fully appreciate; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that we highly commend Edward for his outstanding contributions in founding the Work Community Chest, and even though he is no longer with us, accord well merited recognition for his exemplanary efforts on behalf of the community and that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be forwarded to Mary Rowlaugh, Publisher of the Worth Palace Park Reporter. House Resolution 109. Sharp et al. 'Whereas this Body
- K. Miller: "Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, with regard to death resolution."
 Walsh: "I move the adoption of the death resolution."
- K. Miller: "The question is on the adoption of this death



resolution. All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'nay'.

The Motion is carried. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

F. B. Selcke: "House Resolution 109. Sharp et al. Whereas this Body was deeply grieved to learn of the untimely death of Mr. Frank Carlson, and whereas he faithfully served the interests of the working man in Wood River, East Alton communities, as Business Manager of the Pipe Fitters, Plumbers Local 553; and president of the Illinois Pipe Trades; and whereas his career blossumed rapidly, moving from an obscure pipe fitters helper to the head of the local pipe fitters union and the position which he held for 28 years; whereas his ability as a labor organizer and negotiator and union promoter was quickly recognized by the international union leadership; and whereas under his authority, Local 553, emerged strong enough to give jobs to hundreds of pipe fitters throughout the midwest; and whereas he leaves a proud heritage to mourn his passing in the persons of his wife, Clara, his daughter, Mrs. Thomas Wayland, his stepson, Daniel M. Michael, two sisters, Mrs. Elizabeth King and Mrs. Clara Salz, three grandchildren; he will also be sincerely missed by his friends and associates and by the entire Wood River, East Alton communities; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives, 78th General Assembly, that we express our deep regret and sorrow upon the death of Mr. Frank Carlson; that his achievements in the labor field will be long remembered and serve as an inspiration for union officials; and we



extend our sincere sympathy to the Members of the bereaved family that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be forwarded to his widow, Mrs. Clara L. Carlson."

- K. Miller: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp, with respect to this Resolution."
 Sharp: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of this Resolution."
- K. Miller: "The question is on the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted."
- F. B. Selcke: "House Resolution 110. Stone. 'Whereas the State of Illinois has lost one of its finest citizens, David C. Balm, 39, of Urbana, a Professor of Law at the University of Illinois, who died March 2, 1973 at Wesley Memorial Hospital Chicago, and whereas Professor Balm a 1959 graduate of Harvard University Law School and former law clerk to Illinois Supreme Court Justice, Walter V. Schaeffer, was a brilliant attorney who made outstanding contributions to teaching scholarship government, his community and his family; and whereas Professor Balm, contributed to the education of many attornies in his ten years of service on the University of Illinois Law School Faculty, from 1963 to 1973, and whereas Professor Balm, one of the Nation's foremost scholars in the field of local government law, rendered distinguished public services counsel to the local government committee of the Illinois



Constitutional Convention of 1970, playing a major part in shaping the innovative local government article of the 1970 Constitution; whereas Professor Balm served the Urbana-Champaign community with distinction, founding the local Boys Club, to which he held a life membership and participating in local politics, including services campaign, Champaign County coordinator for U.S. Adali Stevenson's 1970 campaign; be it therefore resolved by the House of Representatives, 78th General Assembly, State of Illinois, that the Members of this Body note with great sadness the death of an outstanding citizen of Illinois, David C. Balm, and express our deepest sympathies to his widow, Alice Balm, and to his childred, Gregory David and Karen Alice, and be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Preamble be forwarded to Mrs. Alice Balm and to the College of Law at the University of Illinois."

- K. Miller: "The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, with respect to this Resolution."
- Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in behalf of my colleague, Representative Stone and the other sponsors of this Resolution,

 I would ask for unanimous consent for the suspension of
 the appropriate rules so that this Resolution might be
 adopted."
- K. Miller: "Is there leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Now, Mr. ah... Choate moves that this Resolution be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion is adopted." The Chair



recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, a couple of quick announcements. The

Industrial Affairs Committee, which is scheduled to meet
at two o'clock will meet in Room M-5 instead of Room M-4,
and the Committee on Pensions and Personnel will meet in
Room 4 instead of Room 5. That's Industrial Affairs in
M-5 and Pensions and Personnel in M-4, and I move, Mr.

Speaker, that the House adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning in Perfunctory Session and 10 o'clock regular session.

K. Miller: "Question's on the Motion to adjourn. All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House stands adjourned until 9:30 tomorrow morning Perfunctory, 10 o'clock tomorrow morning, Regular Session.'

