

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED SECOND LEGISLATIVE DAY

OCTOBER 29, 1971

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR,

SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR



A roll call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative George M. Burditt - no reason given;

Representative Louis F. Capuzi - no reason given;

Representative Bruce L. Douglas - family illness;

Representative John P. Downes - illness;

Representative 'Giddy' Dyer - no reason given;

Representative J. Horace Gardner - no reason given;

Representative Benedict Garmisa - illness;

Representative Peter C. Granata - no reason given;

Representative Oral 'Jake' Jacobs - illness;

Representative Louis Janczak - no reason given;

Representative Lillian Karmazyn - no reason given;

Representative Henry J. Klosak - no reason given;

Representative Pete Pappas - no reason given;

Representative Leland H. Rayson - no reason given;

Representative William A. Redmond - illness;

Representative Matt Ropa - no reason given;

Representative Anthony Scariano - no reason given;

Representative Frank J. Smith - illness;

Representative Harold D. Stedelin - illness;

Representative John W. Thompson - no reason given;

Representative Bernard B. Wolfe - no reason given;

Representative Michael F. Zlatnik - no reason given.



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The House will be in order. The invocation this morning will be given by the House Chaplain, Dr. Johnson."

Dr. John F. Johnson: "We pray. Lord, it's been a busy week. I lift my heart to you this morning in sincere thanksgiving for your gracious hands which daily sustain me. I thank you for the blessing of health, the soundness of mind and senses, for the strength you gave to perform faithfully the duties of my office. And, now when my work becomes difficult, help me to think of it as a labor which under your blessing is done for the benefit of others. When the week's work is done, grant me the joy and satisfaction of knowing that I have done my best with the energies and the abilities which you have provided. Sustain me now, this day, Oh Lord, and grant that through my words and counsel, my decisions and my vote, the commonwealth may be prospered and protected. In the name of the Savior I pray. Amen."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Roll call for attendance. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Daniel M. Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, may the Journal show that Representatives Garmisa, Downes, Redmond, Smith, Jacobs and Stedelin are excused due to illness and Representative Douglas due to major surgery that his wife underwent yesterday."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. House Bills second reading."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House. . ."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bill 3063."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3063, a bill for an act to add Section 6b to an act to revise the law in relation to marriages. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Barnes. Amend House Bill 3063 on page 1, by striking line 12 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'at the request of the examining physician if the physician determines such a test to be necessary,' and on page 1, line 15 by striking everything after the word 'given' and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'and shall record the,' and on page 1, line 16 by inserting immediately after the period the following: 'In the case of sickle-cell testing, all positive results shall be filed with the examining physician and the Department of Public Health.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Eugene M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 3063 is a bill to amend the marriage act to require among other tests given now a retesting for sickle-cell anemia. Ah, Amendment Number One was worked out with the Illinois State Medical Society and the Department of Public Health in terms of giving them the method of recording the results of these tests along with the way that other serology tests are recorded at this time. I move the adoption of Amendment Number One."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment Number One say 'Yeas' the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Barnes. Amend House Bill 3063 on page 1, by inserting after line 16 the following: 'Section 2. This amendatory act takes effect upon becoming a law.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Eugene M. Barnes: "Amendment Number Two is merely a clean-up amendment to add wording to the legislation, for the law the bill to become law immediately upon the passage of this legislation, and I move the adoption of Amendment Number Two."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment Number Two say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3039."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3039, a bill for an act to amend Sections 1-101 and so forth of an act in relation to the tort immunity of local public entities and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3039 on page 14, Section 3, line 20, delete '\$15,000,000.00' and insert in lieu thereof '\$1,000,000.00'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Rose."

Thomas C. Rose: "Mr. Speaker, this reduces the appropriation



from \$15,000,000.00 to \$1,000,000.00. This is a committee amendment and I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment Number, Floor Amendment Number Two, Hart. Amend House Bill 3039 on page 12, line 26, by striking 'one year' and inserting in lieu thereof 'two years'; and by striking lines 28 through 30 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Richard O. Hart: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment, I believe that it has the approval of the sponsor. It would extend the Statute of Limitations from one year to two years and would provide a sixty day notice prior to the filing of a suit. It also makes some technical corrections in the bill. I move for the adoption of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment Number Two. All those in favor of the adoption say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3040."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3040, a bill for an act to amend Sections 6, 8 and 22 of and to add Section 25 to an act to create a court of claims to describe its powers and



duties and repeal an act herein named. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3066."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3066, a bill for an act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release easement for highway purposes held by the State of Illinois over and through certain land located in woodford County, Illinois. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3043."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3043, a bill for an act to add Section 2.1 to an act to provide for the manner of proposing amendments to the constitution and submitting the same to the electors of this State. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Rose. Amend House Bill 3043 by striking lines 12 through 23 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'Section 2.1. The'; and in line 26, by inserting immediately before the period the following: 'submitted pursuant to Article XIV, Section 3, of the Constitution to amend Article IV of the Constitution.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Rose."

Thomas C. Rose: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a clerifying amendment to which I agreed with the committee to put on on second reading. It has the approval of the



sponsor, I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment Number One say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3045."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3045, a bill for an act to amend. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take it out of the record. Does. . . 3545."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3545, a bill for an act to amend Sections 22, 37, 39 and 40 of 'Environmental Protection Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3588."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3588, a bill for an act to amend 'The Insurance Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3596."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3596, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 and 12 of 'The Probate Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Day, Amend House Bill 3596 on page 2, line 22, by striking 'and' and inserting in lieu thereof 'or'."





Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Robert G. Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is an amendment which was suggested and agreed to in committee and it puts the bill in the shape that it should be in. I move the adoption of Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment Number One say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3597."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3597, a bill for an act to amend Sections 3-808 of 'The Illinois Vehicle Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. One committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Robert G. Day: "Ah, Mr. . . ."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Two committee amendments. Amendment Number One, Amend House Bill 3597 on page 2, by striking all of lines 13 through 15; and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Robert G. Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have discovered a technical defect in Committee Amendment Number Two, and I would like to move at this time that Committee Amendment Number Two be tabled."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, to keep things in order, perhaps we had better address ourselves to the amendment that was read, which was Amendment Number One, and you can, then we'll take up your motion to table Number Two. One



has been read."

Robert G. Day: "I would move the adoption of Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment Number One, say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment Number Two. Amend House. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Robert G. Day: "I'd move to table Committee Amendment Number Two."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, does the gentleman have leave? All right, . . ."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Three, Day. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, rise?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, could the sponsor tell us why he wants to table the Committee amendment?"

Robert G. Day: "Yes, the reason for this is that Committee Amendment Number Two makes an insertion after line 20 and before line 21 and that insertion should be before line 20 and after line 19."

Gerald W. Shea: "And is your amendment. . ."

Robert G. Day: "It doesn't make sense otherwise."

Gerald W. Shea: ". . . number three going to take care of that?"



Robert G. Day: "Amendment Number Three will take care of that sir."

Gerald W. Shea: "And is there any other change in Amendment Number Three?"

Robert G. Day: "No other change."

Gerald W. Shea: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment Number Three say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Three, Day, Amend House Bill 3597, on page 1, by striking line 11, and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Right, we adopted Amendment Number Three."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Four, Pappas. Amend House Bill 3597 on page 1, lines 1 and 5 by striking 'Section 3-808' and inserting in lieu thereof 'Sections 3-808 and 8-102'; and on line 6 by changing 'is' to 'are'; and so forth. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North."

Frank P. North: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Pappas ask me to handle this for him. What this does is to correct an error on House Bill 1601 that was passed out this last session to bring into the same category on Chapter 8 or in Section 8 and Section 9 of the State Responsibility Law. It's just a technical



error."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Ah, would the sponsor yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. North, I see where this law was held unconstitutional by a three-judge court yesterday. Now, will this change any of the action that you want to take on this bill?"

Frank P. North: "Ah, I'm unaware of that Representative Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "You'll find that a three-judge federal court with a two to one decision held this unconstitutional and held that there should be immediate reinstatement of the driving privileges of those people involved in it and I suggest that you might want to hold this on second reading and look and see how you want to handle the situation."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, . . ."

Frank P. North: "Ah, well, no, ah, then I'll just move to table the amendment if that's the case. I'm unaware of the three-judge panel, the ruling on that. No, I'll just table. . ."

Gerald W. Shea: "No, I have no objection to the amendment, thinking that you might want to keep the bill in its amended form alive as a vehicle to conform it to whatever they might want to change it so that we could put those laws back in shape."

Frank P. North: "Yeah, I know it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, why don't you keep it on there



and I mean adopt it and move it to third and if you've got a problem about it over the week-end, you can correct it on Monday, bring it back."

Frank P. North: "Very good."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeah. Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3598."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3598, a bill for an act to make an appropriation to the Logan Printing Company. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3572."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3572, a bill for an act to make an appropriation and distribution thereof of certain local governmental entities. Second reading of the bill. One Committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3572 on page 1, by deleting . . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Houlihan."

John J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment Number One provides the formula for the distributing of income tax money to units of municipalities who are deprived of it for one reason or another. I ask for the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment Number One say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is



adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3600."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3600, a bill for an act to amend Sections 1 and 2 of the 'Bingo License Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 3600 on page 1, line 13, by striking 'civic'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fary."

John G. Fary: "Mr. Speaker, this is a committee amendment. The word 'civic' was not intended to be put into this bill, it was meant for raffles and chances, so we ask that it be deleted in committee. I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3621."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3621, a bill for an act to declare a regional state office building project to be in the public interest. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 2263."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2263, a bill for an act to amend Section 9 of 'The Sanitary District Act of 1911'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Conolly. Amend



House Bill 2263 on page 1, lines 1, 2 and 7, by striking 'Sanitary District Act of 1911' and inserting in lieu thereof 'North Shore Sanitary District Act'; and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Conolly."

John H. Conolly: "Mr. Speaker, this just amends this act to put a limit on the amount of bonds to be sold and changes the title and makes it correct. I move the adoption of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of, the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Cunningham."

William J. Cunningham: "Mr. Conolly, would you go over that explanation again, it never got down this far."

John H. Conolly: "This amendment does two things. First of all, it changes the title of the act which was changed by legislation last session from 'The Sanitary District Act of 1911' to the 'North Shore Sanitary District Act'. Then in the other part, it puts a limit on the amounts of bonds to be sold to \$50,000,000.00, and the bill that I have now had no amendment. I mean had no limit."

William J. Cunningham: "The current bill has no limit whatsoever, is that correct? And your amendment puts a limit of \$50,000.00 on North Shore?"

John H. Conolly: "\$50,000,000.00 and . . ."

William J. Cunningham: "\$50,000,000.00 . . ."

John H. Conolly: "Right, and it puts that limit on there."

William J. Cunningham: "Thank you, sir."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Could you tell me, Mr. Sponsor, why you introduced a bill that absolutely takes off the limit and then want to limit it to \$50,000,000.00?"

John H. Conolly: "I introduced this bill by request of the One Sanitary District that is affected by this bill and we, I have decided to amend it just to do what the Environmental Pollution Agency has ordered them to do and they have ordered them to sell up to \$50,000,000.00 worth of bonds, so that is what I have put the limit on so that North Shore Sanitary District can comply with the order of Environmental Pollution Control Board."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, the old act is a five per cent limitation. Then you introduced a bill that sought to take off that limitation."

John H. Conolly: "I introduced a bill to comply with the lawyers of the in their interpretation of the new constitution."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, as I understand it, the EPA passed out of this House under which they were order to sell the bonds. Do you remember the act or do you want one of your people. . ."

John H. Conolly: "I was co-sponsor of that act."

Gerald W. Shea: "All right, that act says that under an order of the EPA that there is no limitations anymore statutorily if there is an order."

John H. Conolly: "I completely concur with you, but the





lawyers for the district do not."

Gerald W. Shea: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3622."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3622, a bill for an act to provide for the rentals to the Illinois Building to be constructed in East St. Louis, Illinois. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3071."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3071, a bill for an act to amend Section 2-7 of 'The Juvenile Court Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3064."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3064, a bill for an act to amend 'The School Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Schneider. Amend House Bill. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

J. Glenn Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment Number One is a series of corrections in the bill which were



worked out between the Illinois State Medical Society and representatives of the Public Health from Chicago and the State, and they are concurred in by all these individuals, and I do move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3064. All in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Schneider. Amend House Bill 3064 on page 3, by inserting after line 9 the following: 'Section 2. This amendatory act takes effect upon becoming a law.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

J. Glenn Schneider: "Amendment Number Two establishes the emergency provision, Mr. Speaker. I also move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 3064. All in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3071. House Bill 3077."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3077, a bill for an act to amend 'The Criminal Code of 1961'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"



Third reading. House Bill 3543."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3543, a bill for an act to make an appropriation to Homer C. Vandenburg. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3571."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3571, a bill for an act to amend Section 19, 20, 23-1 of 'The Revenue Act of 1939'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3080."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3080, a bill for an act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement of land for highway purposes in Henry County, Illinois. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill. . . for what purpose does the gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry, rise?"

Tobias Barry: "I just want to explain, Mr. Speaker, that this bill and the next bill which I hope you will call, 3081, are just standard easements. I'm moving them along in the interest of not losing them, so to speak, but I may have to pull them back for amendment later on dollar amount."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Third reading. House Bill 3081."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3081, a bill for an act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement of for highway purposes in Henry County, Illinois. Second reading



of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3633."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3633, a bill for an act to amend Section 11 in an act to revise the law in relation to State Treasurer. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3633 on page 1, line 11 by striking 'funds' and inserting in lieu thereof 'moneys in the fund'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative McPartlin, Representative Leon."

John F. Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this amendment merely provides a clarification instead of just funds, it will read moneys in the fund, and I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3633. All in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3634."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3634, a bill for an act to amend Section 6 (r) of the 'Civil Administrative Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3635."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3635, a bill for an act to amend Section 3 of an act to revise law in relation to



State Treasurer. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "I thought that was -36 but it was -35."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Right. 3635, third reading. House Bill 3639."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3639, a bill for an act to in relation to the operation of appointing authorities consisting of members of the General Assembly. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, William Cunningham. Amend House Bill 3639 by striking lines 10 through 17 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Perry, Representative Cunningham."

William J. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when we establish certain appointive authority one being the members of the General Assembly for these special districts, we neglected to set up the mechanics of the machinery to do so. This is a cleared amendment on both sides of the aisle with those that's interested in the subject, and I ask that it be approved at this time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment



Number One to House Bill 3639. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, William Cunningham. Amend House Bill 3639 on page 1 by inserting below line 17 the following: 'Section 3. This Act takes effect upon its becoming a law.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Perry, Representative Cunningham."

William J. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, inasmuch as appointments are now currently needed, this is an emergency clause, strictly emergency."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 3639. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3640."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3640, a bill for an act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement for highway purposes in Greene County, Illinois. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3641."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3641, a bill for an act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement for highway purposes in Jersey County, Illinois. Second reading"



of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3642."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3642, a bill for an act to make Section 5 of 'The Fair Employment Practices Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Thomas J. Hanahan: "There should be a committee amendment adopted in the Executive Committee, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh, yes, the Clerk will read the amendment."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment, Committee Amendment Number One Amend House Bill 3642 on page 2, line 17, by striking '\$15,000.00' and inserting in lieu thereof '\$7,500.00'; and on page 2, line 19 by striking '\$10,000.00' and inserting in lieu thereof '\$5,000.00'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "This amendment reduces the amount that was prescribed in the bill for salaries of the commissioners from \$15,000.00 to \$7,500.00 and the commissioners from \$10,000.00 to \$5,000.00 per year. I move the adoption of this committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment



Number One to House Bill 3642. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3643."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3643, a bill for an act to make an appropriation to 'Fair Employment Practices Commission'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Committee Amendment Number One. Amend House Bill 3643 on page 1, line 5, by striking '\$27,500.00' and inserting in lieu thereof '\$13,750.00'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "This complies with the provision of the Amendment Number One to 3642 making the appropriation to \$13,750.00. I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3643. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3544."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3544, a bill for an act to authorize the conveyance of real property located in Crawford County, Illinois, by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois, the Board of Education, School District #203 of Crawford County. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."





Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Third reading. House Bill 3646."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3646, a bill for an act to make certain additional appropriations to the Board of Regents. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3646 on page 1, by striking lines 7 through 34 and on page 2, by striking lines 1 through 9 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Henss."

Donald A. Henss: "Mr. Speaker, this is not my amendment.

I'm opposed to it. I'll move to table the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman has moved to table Amendment, the gentleman has moved to table Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3646. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to table signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I have to stand in opposition to tabling Amendment Number One, which was offered in the Appropriations Committee and it passed and I didn't hear any explanation for the reason for the table, but when this amendment was offered to House Bill 3646, we're not taking any of the money away from the original bill. The only difference being that the sum of \$1,383,000.00 which was actually received by the Board of Regents Income Fund, was done, I think in a very unjust manner, and now



what they want to do is to distribute the money that they raised improperly for a salary increase that was provided within their budget of four and a half per cent to the teachers. What Amendment Number One to House Bill 3646, did, and still does, was to distribute this money back to the students who were charged improperly. So I have to stand in complete opposition of tabling Amendment Number . . . One to House Bill 3646."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Henss, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Donald A. Henss: "Mr. Speaker. . ."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the advancement of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3646, for its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Regner, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

David J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order. This bill is appropriations for the fiscal year 1972. The amendment states that on line 10, it's an increase for fiscal year 1971, and I'd therefore like to ask the ruling of the Chair if this ruling is even germane to this bill, since the amendment does refer to the fiscal year 1971, which ended last June 30th."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, if the House will be at



ease for a moment, I'll have the Clerk show me the amendment. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, just a point of clarification on Amendment Number One, which Representative Regner is referring to, it's on line 10, it reads that 'the student's contribution to the Board of Regents Income Fund resulting from the collected tuition increase for Fiscal Year 71.' So, the point should be that this money was raised in the fiscal 71 and the reimbursement will be from this same amount of money that was raised at that time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, the Chair will rule that the Amendment was germane and proper. Well, Representative Lechowicz, in order that your motion is properly put, my parliamentarian informs me that your motion should properly be a motion to table Representative Henss' motion. Do you wish to re, Representative Henss, for what purpose do you rise?"

Donald A. Henss: "Mr. Speaker, this appears to be a matter which will be debated, and I, in order to clarify the procedure, if you wish I can hold my motion to table until it has been debated and at the appropriate time I would then like to make a motion to table Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, Representative Lechowicz, are you agreeable to that? No, Mr. Lechowicz?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "No, Mr. Speaker."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Borchers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Webber Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, Fellow Members of the House, I'd like to introduce the Mt. Zion High School class from our district, right over here."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "State your point, sir."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Now, one gentleman made a motion to table. Another made a motion to table his motion to table. Would I be in order to table his motion to table his motion to table?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Henss has moved to table Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3646. Representative Lechowicz has offered a subsequent motion to adopt Amendment Number One to House Bill 3646. Representative Henss, for what purpose do you rise?"

Donald A. Henss: "It'd be my suggestion that at this point that we have a state of the record, simply that Representative Lechowicz has moved to adopt and we can enter into our debate and at the appropriate time I would move to table, which I would believe would cut off the debate. And, I would, Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recognized for the purpose of explaining the background of this bill and of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "You will be recognized, sir, when we



debate Representative Lechowicz's motion. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, to clerify the point of this bill, is it possible to find out what the committee recommended on this bill?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I'm, Representative Shea, I could only respond by saying during the course of this debate, I hope that that point does come out. We will be debating Representative Lechowicz's motion."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, it seems that all, as I read the rule book, all committees must report back to the floor of this House, do it either recommended do pass, recommend do pass as amended, and since this is a committee amendment, I would think that that committee report would show do pass as amended, and I would like to get that to find out if that is what the record so shows."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Henss, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Donald A. Henss: "Well, I'd like to explain what has taken place, Mr. Speaker, if I might, at this point, and if there are further questions or amendments, then we could enter into them, but I think that the membership needs some background on this matter. Can I be recognized for that purpose?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, you may, sir, and this will be the debate on Representative Lechowicz's motion."

Donald A. Henss: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, I am opposed to this amendment. It's my bill. The amendment was adopted in committee while we were temporarily a bit short of vote. I'll have to say that I was doing some counting as I sat out in the audience before my bill was called, and as I walked up to present my bill, eleven members walked out, seven of them happened to be Republicans and before we got the horses all lined up, we were out-voted. But the amendment has reversed the thrust of the bill, and to give you some of the background, I'd like to say that the bill, itself, is for an appropriation to the Board of Regents. The amount of it is \$1,300,000.00 approximately, and this is the amount of money that will be generated from increasing the tuition of students in the three Universities that are under the Board of Regents, that's Northern, I.S.U. and Sangamon State. The tuition increase has gone into effect, and the money will come from the University Income Fund. It will not come from General Revenue. There are no tax funds involved in this bill. Now, the General Assembly does not control tuition levels, they never have. But it is necessary for the General Assembly to appropriate the money which the students have paid in before that money can be spent and in the past, this type of appropriation from the University Income Fund has been handled routinely. This year, however, there is a dispute. Some Democrats have decided that the money which was generated by this increase in tuitions should be returned to the students, and as I say, while some of the



members were absent from committee, this amendment was adopted to this bill, reversing the thrust of my bill, and appropriating the money for a return of it to the students who had already paid it in. Now, if we return this money, we would lose \$450,000.00 in federal matching funds for student loans. In addition, \$205,000.00 of this state appropriation will go directly to students for employment in university jobs and for loans for those students. That is direct aid of cash to students in the amount of \$655,000.00. And, of course, the additional money which does not go to them directly in cash, is for their benefit, in the form of hiring staff, buying books, equipment and instructional materials. There are approximately 44,000 students involved. The amendment would require the administrator of these three universities to track down these 44,000 students and return the \$25.00 or \$30.00 or so in increase to each one of them, whatever it happens to be. Now, I've received some letters as the Chairman of the Higher Education Committee, and I've met with students who have talked to me personally. Not one of these 44,000 students has asked me as Chairman of the Higher Education Committee for the return of any tuition money which has been paid to these three institutions. They want their money spent for their education. These are serious students who are going to school for an educational purpose, they want an education, they want the student jobs that this money would afford, and they want the library books and they want the equipment.



This is the kind of mail that I have been receiving and I assume that some of the rest of you have been receiving the same kind of mail. They want an education. Now, this bill on third reading would require a vote of sixty per cent. Obviously, I can not pass the bill on third reading without bi-partisan support. You have one theory on that side of the aisle, we have another. I'm asking that my bill be put on third reading in the form that I want it in. Just as your bill will be on third reading in the Senate in the form you want it in. Because you already have one bill to do the same thing that you're asking to do with your amendment. That bill is pending in the Senate. You don't need two bills. Certainly not under circumstances where I need your vote and you need ours. In addition, Mr. Speaker, I would say this. That the amendment that was adopted in committee was technically deficient. It only deals with two things, that would be the amount of the refund and the manner in determining that refund. Both are wrong in the amendment. You have the wrong amount, the Board of Regents had not collected \$1,300,000.00. Your amendment would force the universities to leave the tuition increase in effect, in order to return the money to the students, so I say to you that the amount of your appropriation is wrong and if you will read the Senate Bill which is on the same subject, you find that the amount is substantially less, in the appropriation than in the amendment which you now offer. Also, you are dealing in the wrong fiscal





year. I assume that you are talking about the tuition increase which went into effect this fall. This has been the subject of some considerable controversy, and you are you should have been talking about fiscal '72 and not fiscal '71 as you have it throughout the amendment. At the appropriate time, Mr. Speaker, I will move to table Amendment, Committee Amendment Number One, I would not want to cut off the debate and will hold that motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain the amendment as I read it and how it was presented in the committee. And if I have your approval, I'd like to go into it at this time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what we're trying to do here is sustain the works of the Appropriations Committee and as the committee adopted this amendment. The record shows if I recall correctly, the vote was 12 to 10. And basically what this amendment does, it's a sub-, this was supposedly a supplement of appropriation for the authorization to spend funds from the tuition increase. The Board of Regents was the only governing body in the higher educational system, which one, passed a tuition increase for a student and two, gave the faculty a four point six per cent pay increase. They did this at a time when the budgetary situation was unclear.



They further ignored the possibility of a crisis within the Illinois Scholarship Commission. Being a creature of the Governor, it seems strange to me that the Board of Regents would show such fiscal irresponsibility at the very time that the Governor was calling for fiscal responsibility. As far as Representative Henss' statements, in the dollar amounts in this bill, it's exactly the same as it called for, \$1,383,867.00 based upon the premise that this unauthorized tuition increase was going to be collected at a full year. The amendment, what it does, it also works on the same premise, and if this being the case, if they only collected it for six months, that amount of money would be returned to the students. I ask for your full support of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Champaign, Representative Bradley, or McLean, Representative Bradley."

Gerald A. Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support the Chairman of the Higher Education Committee on this particular issue. It seems to me that it was the feeling of this General Assembly last spring that the students going to our institutions of higher education should accept more of the financial responsibility and the financial cost of their own education. With this in mind, it was suggested to every one of the boards of the institutions, that a tuition increase would be necessary so that the students in their family would be picking



up approximately one quarter of their educational cost. The only board that saw fit to, after initiating the tuition increase, the only board that went ahead and collected that increase, on the suggestion of this body, was the Board of Regents. Now, we can't ask the boards every other year to act like a yo-yo and collect it one minute and not collect it the next. We must be consistent with what we do. We can not say collect it and then return it. And that's what is happening with the amendment in the Appropriations Committee. The Board of Regents had the courage to go ahead and collect the money from the students. The students are not complaining about it. They want the money used for their education. They accept the responsibility that they should pick up a larger share of the cost of the education. Especially in the higher education. And now they've collected the money and an almost impossible job would be imposed upon the Board of Regents in returning the funds to the students. Some of the students who are not in school who who did register last September, some of them have dropped out and would be a very very difficult and costly a very costly situation to return the funds. So, I urge everybody on this side of the aisle that believes that the students and the faculty and the students and the parents of the students should pick up more of the cost of the higher education, to support the Chairman of the Higher Education Commission on this particular proposition. Thank you."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, just to review, Representative Henss has moved that the Committee Amendment to House Bill 3646 be tabled. Proper motion for Representative Lechowicz to put, which he did, is that that motion lie upon the table. Now, that was not a debatable motion, however, the Chair gave us leeway to attempt to clear up the confusion. The question will now be, Representative Lechowicz's motion to table Representative Henss' motion. All those in favor, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Simmons."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that gets back to my question. Mr. Henss' question, ah, motion, was to table and Mr. Lechowicz made a motion to table his motion to table and I ask if if that is proper, do I have the right to make a motion to table this gentleman's motion to table and we could go on ad infinitum and I question the correctness of entertaining a motion to table a motion to table."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Henss' original motion was to table an amendment, Representative Simmons. Representative Lechowicz made a motion that that motion lie upon the table. Now, it seems to me that we would be voting on the same issue time after time after time, certainly. I think Representative Lechowicz's motion is in order with properly put and I think that we could entertain it. Now, the question is on Representative Lechowicz's motion to table. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed by saying 'No'. All right, all those in favor of



Representative Lechowicz's motion signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question the 'Yeas' are 64, the 'Nays' are 87, Representative Lechowicz's motion is lost. We now revert that, Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "I humbly request a verification."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "A verification has been requested by Representative Lechowicz. The affirmative roll call will be verified first."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah, . . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Clerk please read the affirmative roll call."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah, Alsup, Arrigo, Barnes, Barry, Berman, Boyle, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Capperelli, Carrigan, Carroll, Jimmy Carter, Richard Carter, Chapman, Choate, Colitz, Corbett, Craig, Davis, DiPrima, Ewell, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Giorgi, Hamilton, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Holloway, Houlihan, Jaffe, Kosinski, Krause, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lenard, Leon, Londrigan, M. Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Markert, Matijeovich, McDermott, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, D. O'Brien, O'Hallaren, Pierce, Shaw, Shea, Taylor, Terzich, R. Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Harold Washington, Welsh, Frank Wolf, Yourell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there questions of the affirmative roll call? The gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Henss."



Donald A. Henss: "Representative Alsup."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Alsup on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Arrigo."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Arrigo on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Barnes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Barnes on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Carrigan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Carrigan is in his

seat."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative DiPrima."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative DiPrima on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded voting 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Holloway."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Holloway on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded voting 'Yeas'."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Londrigan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there further. . . Representative Londrigan on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative McPartlin."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative McPartlin is on the floor."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Terzich."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Terzich on the floor? There he is coming up the center aisle."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Yourell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Yourell on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Here he comes right, oh, no, Yourell, take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Krause."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's standing in the back."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Boyle."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Boyle on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Caldwell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's sitting in his seat."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Dick Carter."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is the Representative Richard Carter  
on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Corbett."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Corbett is in his  
seat."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative D. O'Brien."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative, he's in his seat."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Jacobs."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, Representative Jacobs has been  
sick all week."

Fredric B. Selcke: "He's recorded as not voting."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Yourell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's on the floor, he's right here."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Taylor."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Taylor on the floor?  
How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recording as voting  
'Yeas'."





Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Simms."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Simms on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Mann."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Mann on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Donald A. Henss: "Representative Scariano."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Scariano on the  
floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there further questions of the  
affirmative roll call?"

Donald A. Henss: "Are there further, no, no further questions."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any further questions on  
this roll call? Are there further questions?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, at the correct time,  
I'd like to have the negative votes verified."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman has requested a verifi-  
cation of the negative roll call. Would the Clerk please  
read the negative roll call."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Anderson, Bartulis, Blades, Bluthardt,



Borchers, Bradley, Brenne, Burditt, Campbell, Clabaugh, P. W. Collins, Conolly, Cox, L. Cunningham, R. Cunningham, W. Cunningham, Day, Duff, Dyer, Epton, Fleck, Friedland, Gardner, Gibbs, Glass, Graham, Hall, Harpstrite, Henss, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Houde, Hudson, Hunsicker, Hyde, Janczak, Jones, Juckett, Katz, Kipley, Kleine, Klosak, Lauterbach, Lehman, Lindberg, Ed Madigan, McClain, McCoimick, McDevitt, McMaster, Meyer, Kenny Miller, Peter Miller, Moore, Murphy, Neff, North, Nowlan, G. O'Brien, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Randolph, Regner, Rose, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Shapiro, Simmons, W. T. Simms, Soderstrom, Springer, Telcser, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Genoa Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, Representative Lechowicz, the Speaker will have to leave the floor right now, I hope you won't have to question his. . . Are there questions of the negative roll call?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Anderson."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat, sir."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Brenne."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Brenne is in his seat."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Burditt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Burditt, how is the gentleman recorded?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Is Representative Conolly."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Conolly on the floor? Yes, he's standing in the aisle with his children."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Roscoe Cunningham."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Cunningham on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Bill Cunningham, William Cunningham."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Bill Cunningham on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Fleck, oh, I'm sorry, he's right there. Representative Gardner."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Gardner on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Glass."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Houde."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Houde on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Janczak."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Janczak on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Klosak."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Klosak on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Peter Miller."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative McDevitt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative McDevitt on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Pappas."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Pappas on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Philip."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "That's all, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Okay. Ah, ah, would you please put Representative Mann back on the roll call, he has returned to the floor. Representative Alsup, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

John W. Alsup: "I'd like to be recorded as voting 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record Representative Alsup as voting 'Yeas'. Keller, 'Yeas'. We're getting you on the roll call now, Representative. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Otis G. Collins: "May I ask how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Collins, I just put you on as voting 'Yeas'."

Otis G. Collins: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative McAvoy, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Walter McAvoy: "How am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as voting 'No'.

On this question, there are 57 'Yeas' and 80 'Nays' and Representative Lechowicz's motion fails. And now we'll revert back to Representative Henss' motion to table Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3646. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to table signify by voting 'Yeas' and the opposed by voting 'No'. The gentleman's



motion prevails. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3647."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3647, a bill for an act to add Sections 11, 12, 13 and 14 to an act in relation to state revenue sharing with local government entities. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3648."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3648, a bill for an act to amend 'The Municipal Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3653."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3653, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3061."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3061, a bill for an act to relating to the right of inmates in penal institutions to receive visitors. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3061, page 1, line 1, by striking 'penal' and inserting in lieu thereof 'The Illinois State Penitentiary'; and on page 1, line 2, by striking 'institutions'; and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."



Romie J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Cunningham is not here and ask that I explain this. All it does is to delete the words 'penal institutions' and insert in there 'The Illinois State Penitentiary', and it also has a provision that the prisoner must have a been living according to the rules of the constitution, must be in good standing before he is entitled to the privilege. I move the adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3061. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 36-, no 3654."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3654, a bill for an act to providing for grants to protect witnesses in criminal investigations and prosecutions. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3654 on page 1, by striking lines 9 through 18 inclusive and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'Section 2. The Illinois Law Enforcement Commission, and so forth.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Harold Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the witness protection act and this amendment simply changes the disbursing agent from the Department of Law Enforcement to the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission."



It was passed out of the committee unanimously, and I know of no opposition, and I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3654. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?  
... Third reading. House Bill 3660."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3660, a bill for an act to amend Sections 2-121 and 2-150 of 'The Illinois Pension Code'.  
Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor?  
Third reading. House Bill 3661, Representative Hanahan."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3661, a bill for an act to amend Section one of an act concerning fees and salaries.  
Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment.  
Amend House Bill 3661 on page 1, Section 1, line 15, by striking \$45,000.00 and inserting in lieu thereof \$55,000.00."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this amendment puts in the Governor's salary into the state officers salary act that was omitted in the original introduction of the bill. I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to





House Bill 3661. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3664."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3664, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act that provides for the exercise of the right of eminent domain. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3671."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3671, a bill for an act to amend 'The Criminal Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3672."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3672, a bill for an act to make lawful the conducting of raffles and chances by certain non-profit organizations and by requiring licensing and prescribing regulations therefore. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Fary. Amend House Bill 3672 on page 1, line 6, by striking 'Secretary of State' and inserting in lieu thereof 'Department of Revenue'; and by striking lines 7 through 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: and so forth."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Fary."

John G. Fary: "Ah, this amendment, Mr. Speaker, would relieve the Secretary of State from implementation of the bill and would put it in the hands of the Department of Revenue. Originally, this has been laying on my desk for a number of months. Many months. I did not intend to introduce this bill, but when our good old faithful brother, Brude Douglas put in the off track betting bill and the lottery bill, it is going to stir up a lot of commotion among certain people. I thought I had better throw in raffles and chances and let's let shake it up real good. The other, in the amendment, also, we are changing the word from 'games' to 'drawings'. Those are the only substantive changes, Mr. Speaker. I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3672. All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed by saying 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3674."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3674, a bill for an act to amend Section 7-1, -10, -12, and 16-6.1 to repeal Article IX of 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Lindberg. Amend House Bill 3674, on page 1, by striking line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'An Act to amend Sections and so forth.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment Number One, first of all, let me state that House Bill 3674 is the machinery to implement judicial elections. I don't know if members of this House are aware of the facts that the statutes now are for all practical purposes, devoid of a procedure for the election of judges. As you know, if we do not implement the 12b of the constitution, then the Supreme Court will make the appointments of all vacancies created by judges who have decided not to retain their seats. I'm advised that there may be as many as 40, so it's a rather important bill. The amendment that I am proposing here essentially puts the bill that was introduced into proper condition and would require all judges who do not intend to seek retention in 1972 to file a their determination of the fact with the Secretary of State not later than seven days before the first day of filing in December. That means that these judges would have to make up their minds now rather than under the present statute, which says that they have ah not later than six months before the November election to do so. Now, with this amendment, the judge, the candidates



for those vacancies can file at the same time that we file and they can run in the party primary as we do in March, and in the general election in November, so I would urge the adoption of this amendment which is for the purpose of putting this bill in proper condition to effectuate that schedule."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "George, were you talking about the bill or the amendment? I don't see anything in the amendment, or were you giving us a little campaign speech on the bill?"

George W. Lindberg: "Was that a question or a rhetorical statement?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, I was just wondering, you seemed so anxious to get a judicial vacancy up in the second district and I I'm very curious as to what you're running for, Senate, Judge, or Secretary of State?"

George W. Lindberg: "Thanks for the commerial."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman. . ."

Gerald W. Shea: "Seriously, have you discussed with Mr. Edd in the Secretary of State's office, and you'll find that he's a very good employee, George, have you discussed with him what kind of machinery and what would be involved in contacting the judicial officers throughout the state with regards to the retention."

George W. Lindberg: "Well, I have never found that the judges are remiss in following legislative matters that affect



their tenure, salaries, pension and so forth. I have a feeling that when this bill passes and is signed by the Governor, that the judges will know very shortly the situation. In addition to that, the court administrator has assured me that the moment the bill is signed, and even while it is still being considered by the Governor, he will alert all the members of the judiciary to the bill."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, that's assuming that the Governor doesn't use that now famous amendatory veto to change a comma or something."

George W. Lindberg: "Well, that's always possible, of course."

Gerald W. Shea: "Could you tell me why you put judicial officers in the place you do on the ballot?"

George W. Lindberg: "Ah, which particular judicial officer are you talking about?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, the way I read the amendment, you say President of the United State, State Officers, and then Judicial Officers, so that we would then have in Cook County, where we would probably elect 30 officers or judges, this time, we would have 30 judges appearing before members of the Congress, before members of the General Assembly, instead of the way it used to be and I just am curious as to why you did that."

George W. Lindberg: "No, I would have no if that's your only hang up and I'm sure it is , I would have no objection either to correcting this amendment on the Clerk's desk



which is a relatively simple matter or having it changed in the Senate. In come cases, Judicial Districts are bigger than Congressional Districts, that would be the Appellate and Supreme, but certainly the Circuits are smaller than Congressional Districts for the most part. And I would think that they would follow after Congressional Officers."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, I just wanted to bring that to your attention."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? If not, the gentleman has moved to adopt the Amendment Number One to House Bill 3674. All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by saying 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3677."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3677, a bill for an act to add Section 14.2 to an act provide for the exercise of eminent domain. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3678."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3678, a bill for an act to add Section 14.3 to an act to provide for the exercise of right of eminent domain. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3577."



Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3577, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act in relation to the employment of persons committed to a county jail, house of corrections or work house. Second reading of the bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 3577 on page 2, line 3, by inserting after the word 'prisoner' the following: ', but only for violation of work release conditions,'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Eugene F. Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3577 amends the county work release program for misdemeanor by the bill, as it was originally introduced, the supervisor of work release, would have the authority to release an individual from work release up to three days. By this amendment, we restrict that suspension right for condition, for violation of conditions of work release. This is a committee amendment and I would move for its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3577. All those in favor of the adoption, . . . Representative Shea, do you seek, signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to tell the sponsor that I may have an amendment on Monday that I would like to



discuss with him and perhaps he'll bring the bill back and amend it. I have been informed that in the condition that this is now in, it will cause us some problems up in Cook County, and they're working on an amendment up there right now."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bill 3681."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3681, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 3681 on page 1, line 9, by inserting the word 'only' immediately after the word 'vote' and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Fred J. Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker; Members of the House, this is a rather lengthy amendment and I think it would be well if I explain that really what this lengthy amendment does is put this bill, 3681, which is a non-resident voter bill, back into the shape that it was in House Bill 1226, when it left here in May, with a affirmative vote of 157 to 0. By some misunderstandings in the Senate and so forth, it gets killed in the last days of the session. This bill is identical as the one passed out in May on an unanimous roll call. I move the adoption of the amendment to this House Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3681. All in favor of the adoption





signify' by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3683."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3683, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Philip Collins. Amend House Bill 3683 on page 1, line 1 by striking '7-9.1' and substituting in lieu thereof, the words and figures: and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Phil Collins."

Philip W. Collins: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment Number One to House Bill 3683 amends 'The Election Code' to include public housing authorities in the section dealing with hotels and housing lodges, and I would move for the adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? Is, . . . If not, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Phil, could you kind of explain what the amendment does just a little better."

Philip W. Collins: "Ah, yes, Representative Shea, as you know, Section 6-56 represents, requires reports from owners, managers and operators of hotels, lodging houses, rooming houses and furnished apartments, and this merely requires the Chairman of Public Housing authorities to do the same



thing."

Gerald W. Shea: "And, could you tell us what would be involved."

Philip W. Collins: "What would be involved?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Yes, in terms of what the Chairman of the Housing Authority would have to provide."

Philip W. Collins: "He'd have to provide the name and descriptions of residents of public housing of of of, developments, just the same as hotel operators must at the present time."

Gerald W. Shea: "In other words, 40 days before the election ah. . ."

Philip W. Collins: "Yes, 40 days before the election, and not less than 35."

Gerald W. Shea: ". . .the Chairman of a local housing authority would have to provide a list of all residents of that housing authority to the Board of Election Commissioners, is that true?"

Philip W. Collins: "That's correct, that's true."

Gerald W. Shea: "And could you tell me how much it would cost the Chairman, say in a small city like Chicago, with many hundreds or thousands of people living in public housing to put this kind of a program into operation."

Philip W. Collins: "Ah, I think you'd have to ask Mr. Swible that, I don't know."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, you know, this is another one of those operations where we give somebody a duty that costs many



many dollars and no means of paying for it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any further discussion?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Ah, I'd like a roll call vote on the adoption of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Do you wish to close? Something tells me you would be, Representative Collins, I don't know what. Do you wish to close the debate, Representative Collins?"

Philip W. Collins: "Ah, well, Mr. Speaker, just briefly. I ask for your support on this amendment. Obviously, the potential for abuse here is the same here as it might be in hotels, lodging houses, etc., I think that this is a good amendment, an amendment designed to insure a clean elections. If the potential for abuse exists in hotels and other such areas, I think it certainly is in public housing authorities or developments. I think that the cost of good government may be expensive, but whatever the cost, it does insure that everyone's vote will be counted and counted only once and I would ask for your support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3683. Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Carl T. Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, are you discussing 3683?"

Philip W. Collins: "Yes, an amendment to 3683."

Carl T. Hunsicker: "I just said in the case of a vacancy in the office of delegate to a state or national convention, in order that it shall discourage all the duties of the



office of delegates. It doesn't sound to me that this section pertains to that."

Philip W. Collins: "Ah, well. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are you asking a question, Representative Hunsicker?"

Carl T. Hunsicker: "Yes, I just wondering if the this is the bill that is under discussion?"

Philip W. Collins: "Ah, well, by this amendment the bill undergoes a slight change."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3683. All those in favor signify by . . . Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Gerald W. Shea: "I think, sir, that Representative Hunsicker raised a very good point. I think that he ask that you to find if the amendment was germane, and have you ruled on that?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, the gentleman didn't ask me that."

Philip W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, if I may suggest, we are striking section 7-9.1 and adding section 6.56, 6-62, 6-63, and 6-65 and I believe that that would be germane."

Gerald W. Shea: "I will admit, Representative Collins, we're all within the four corners of the election code, but I think we're getting from National Delegates back to something, a requirement on the local boards of elections, and I'm just wondering how much something like this might cause property taxes to go up."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Shea, the Chair will rule that we are in the four corners of the election field and that it is germane. Now, the gentleman has offered, Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Arthur L. Berman: "I'd like to refresh the Chair's memory. At the end of the session in June, when Representative McLendon has a bill within the four corners of the school code, an amendment was offered concerning the School Code Formula, the Chair ruled that it was not germane to the particular section that the bill originally dealt with, and I think that the question is the same in this one."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, if, Mr. Speaker, if it's any assistance to the Chair, that very point was brought up across the rotunda where the distinguished Lieutenant Governor was ruling, and he certainly ruled that germane, ah, over there, so if it's any help to you, there is a very liberal germaneness rule that obtains across the rotunda, and. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "And we like to be liberal, Representative Hyde. Now, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3683. All those in favor of the adoption signify by voting. . . Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Well, first, fortunately, we are in the House of Representatives, and not in the Senate. Number



two, I think the Lieutenant Governor has also ruled that we can amend the Governor's amendatory veto, which is also contrary to what the rulings of what this House are. Now, if you don't, I'd just like to ask respectfully of the Chair that, and I'm sure the Chair recalls, the question on Representative McLendon's bill is in June, which was within the four corners of the School Code, there was an amendment regarding the School Formula, I would like to have an explanation from the Chair, if it sees fit, as to how that ruling would differ from the question before us today."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Berman, the Chair has made a ruling. That is the ruling and we will now put the question of the adoption of the amendment to House Bill 3683. The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3683. All those in favor of the adoption will signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'NO'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question the 'Yeas' are 76, the 'Nays' are 65, and the gentleman's motion to adopt the amendment to House Bill 3683 prevails. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 701."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 701, a bill for an act to amend Sections 3-2 and so forth of 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 701 on page 1. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."



David J. Regner: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after Amendment Number One was adopted in Committee, I found three technical errors in the bill. I have another amendment on the Clerk's desk, which is exactly the same, except that it does correct these three errors. I therefore move to table Amendment Number One to House Bill 701."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman has moved to table Amendment Number One to House Bill 701. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to table Amendment Number One signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is tabled. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Regner, Amend House Bill. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

David J. Regner: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a quite lengthy amendment. This is another one of the foreign residents voter bills. In the original bill I had it set that the foreign residents would be allowed to vote for all offices. This was lost in the Senate at one time and various other forms of this particular legislation have been passed in the House since the 75th General Assembly and lost in the Senate. What the amendment does is allows the foreign residents to vote for President, Vice-President, and U. S. Senator and all statewide constitutional officer elections and it just goes that



far, and it puts all the election authority and operation in the hands of the County Clerks, which in the amendment is termed the Election Authority. I therefore move the adoption of the Amendment to House Bill 701."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 701. All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed by saying 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3686."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3686, a bill for an act to make an appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3695."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3695, a bill for an act to amend Section 16-3 and so forth of the 'Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3696."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3696, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No Committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3697."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3697, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code. Second reading of the bill. No





committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3698."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3698, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code.' Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3699."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3699, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3702."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3702, a bill for an act to make an appropriation to Nora Carter. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3704."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3704, a bill for an act to create 'The Illinois Valley Regional Port District' and to assign its power and duties. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3708."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3708, a bill for an act to amend Section 917 of 'The Illinois Income Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3709."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3709, a bill for an act to amend 'Retailers Occupation Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3710."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3710, a bill for an act to amend 'The Cigarette Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3711."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3711, a bill for an act to amend 'The Cigarette Use Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3712."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3712, a bill for an act to amend 'The Message Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3713."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3713, a bill for an act to amend 'The Gas Revenue Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3714."



Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3714, a bill for an act to amend 'The Public Utilities Revenue Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3715."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3715, a bill for an act to amend an act relating to the 'Alcoholic Liquor Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 2685."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2685, a bill for an act to make an appropriation to the Illinois Department of Law Enforcement for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the race track security forces. Second reading of the bill. Two committee amendments. Amendment Number One. Amend House Bill 2685 by striking lines 11 through 21 and by inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'For Personal Services, \$43,000.00 and so forth.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

David J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment does two things, one, it reduces the appropriation from \$216,500.00 to \$123,800.00 and it makes the effective date of the act January 1, 1972 and I move for its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment



Number One to House Bill 2685. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment Number Two. Amend House Bill 2685 on page 1, line 8, by striking the words 'to the Department of Law Enforcement', and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

David J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment creates the race track security revolving funds for the operation of race track security and I move for its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number Two to House Bill 2685. All those in favor signify by saing 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3727."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3727, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code.' Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Shea. Amend House Bill 3727 on page 1, by adding after the period on line 14 the following: 'A county board or board of election commissioners', and so forth."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "I move for the adoption of Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there. . ."

Gerald W. Shea: "I would like to explain what it does. Ah, when the commission and the reapportionment of the Congress and House of Representatives and Senate, legislative reapportionment took place on the basis of census tracks, we find that in many areas of the state that the county board and/or the election authorities are going to be required to redraw precincts. And it was my understanding at the time the commission passed the redistricting map, they talked in terms of providing at least a little money to the local authorities to provide for the redrawing of the precinct, rather than cause property taxes to go up and this amendment would give the local election authorities \$50.00 a precinct for each precinct that has to be redrawn."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Leland J. Kennedy: "I wonder if Representative Shea would yield for a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Leland J. Kennedy: "Jerry, does that mean that there will be boundary changes in our district, or does this just mean precinct changes?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Ah, I'm sorry, I didn't hear you, Leland."



Leland J. Kennedy: "Well, I mean will there be boundary changes?"

Gerald W. Shea: "No, no, this is just within a district where they have to change precinct lines so they don't overlap into two or three districts."

Leland J. Kennedy: "Well, if you do that, won't there be boundary changes?"

Gerald W. Shea: "No, the boundaries are already set by either legislative or judicial action. This will just change the precincts within those boundaries."

Leland J. Kennedy: "Oh."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3727. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, McMaster. Amend House Bill 3727 on page 1, by striking line 10 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'commissioners shall, at any time before December 1, 1971, redraw'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

A. Thomas McMaster: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when we originally drew this bill, we tried to give the county clerks and the election commissioners as much time as possible to redraw the boundaries. Since then it was brought to my attention that precinct committeemen



must file at the same time that we do in December. For that reason, I am amending the bill to have these precinct boundaries redrawn by December 1, to give them ample time to file for precinct committeemen within their precinct.

I move for the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 3727. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3734."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3734, a bill for an act to amend 'The Revenue Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 3736."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3736, a bill for an act to amend an act to amend Section 1 of an act in relation to the compensation of Sheriffs, Coroners, County Treasurers, County Clerks and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3736 on page 1, line 25 by inserting immediately after 'coroners' the following: 'in counties with less than 50,000 inhabitants'; and on page 2, line 24, by inserting immediately after 'coroners' the following: 'in counties with less than 50,000 inhabitants'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Wayne, Representa-



tive Blades."

Ben C. Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is a committee amendment on that."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Do you wish to move for the adoption of that amendment?"

Ben C. Blades: "Right, the amendment will make this act applicable to counties under 50,000 population, which was the intent of the bill. I move the adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3736. All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 2416."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2416, a bill for an act to amend Sections 15 and y of and to add Sections 27b, c, d and e to an act to create Sanitary Districts in certain localities and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 2416 on page 1, line 16, by striking 'not more than'; and on page 2, by striking lines 9, 10, and 11, and inserting in lieu thereof 'their election' and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Horace L. Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the committee amendment which was just read, completely does away with my bill as it was introduce. It ob-





literates it and puts the statute back exactly as it was before the change. Therefore, I would move to table Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman has moved to table Amendment Number One to House Bill 2416. All those in favor of the gentleman's motion to table signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is tabled. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill. With leave of the House, we'll go to the order of House Bills third reading, so that members can return them to the order of second reading for amendment and have them in the proper order of business on Monday for the passage stage. Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Aaron Jaffe: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "State your point."

Aaron Jaffe: "Ah, the Speaker has requested for the past three days for us to request any bills that we have on second reading. For three days now, I've requested a bill 2508 be called. As of now, it has not been called and I'd like to know the reason why."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Jaffe, I can only tell you that House Bill 2508 will be called in time for to meet the calendar deadline."

Aaron Jaffe: "Well, it seems to me that when we were scratching around for bills to call, we could have called this particular bill, Mr. Speaker."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, it's the Chair's prerogative, Representative Jaffe, to call the bills in their proper order according to the total running of the House of Representatives, and you can be sure that the Chair will call it in proper order. House Bill 2397. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, in am I right in understanding the constitution that we need 89 members in the Chamber to conduct any business?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Carroll, for what purpose do you rise?"

Howard W. Carroll: "Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry. When you ask leave of the House to go to third, is it your intention to return back to second? If not, I would have an objection at this time of your going to third."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It. . ."

Howard W. Carroll: "According to the rules."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It is the hope of the Chair that we return to the order of second reading, sir."

Howard W. Carroll: "Is it the intention of the Chair?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bill 2397."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2397, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act fixing the payment, salaries, of State's Attorneys and their Assistants and so forth. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."



Romie J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like permission to return House Bill 2397 to the order of second reading for the purpose of an amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman has ask leave to return House Bill 2397 to the order of second reading for the purpose of an amendment? Are there no objections? Hearing none, the bill will be returned to the order of second reading."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2397, Amendment Number One, Palmer, Amend House Bill 2397, by striking line 24 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: '20,000 inhabitants, not less than \$8,000.00 and so forth.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Romie J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the amendment to House Bill 2397 amends salary schedules for State's Attorneys for all counties in Illinois except Cook County. Under the amendment, the the amendment sets up three classifications. Those counties up to 20,000 population, those counties from 20,000 to 80,000 population, and those counties over 80,000 population, excepting Cook County. The pay schedule then would be minimum of \$8,000.00 for those counties of 20,000 or less, up to \$15,000.00 and from 20,000 up to 80,000 the pay schedule would be not less than \$10,000.00 or more than \$15,000.00, and for those over 80,000 the salary would be \$20,000.00 flat, but would also provide for those counties



over 80,000 that the State's Attorney can not be engaged in the private practice of law. Now, this is the county's contribution to the State's Attorneys salary. I'll ask for the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 2397. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 2587."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, House Bill 2587, a bill for an act to create the 'Tri-County Regional Port District' and to define its powers and duties. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Lauterbach."

Wilbur H. Lauterbach: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I ask leave of the House to take House Bill 2587 from third reading back to second for purpose of amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Does the gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, leave will be granted and the bill will be returned to the order of second reading. Are there any amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah, Amendment Number One, Lauterbach. Amend House Bill 2587 by striking 'Tri-County' and inserting in lieu thereof 'Central Illinois' and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representa-



tive Lauterbach."

Wilbur H. Lauterbach: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this amendment changes the name of the proposed port district from Tri-County to Central Illinois Regional Port District and changes the area from three counties to the area directly involved in the proposed development. The area now consists of all of Limestone Township, Hollis Township, Pekin Township, and a portion of Cincinnati Township. It is the desire of this district to help move Illinois forward to the great potential, the Illinois Inland Water System, on the Illinois, and keep this state first as the nation's leading export state. I move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 2587."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 2587. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 2683."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2683, a bill for an act to amend 'The Civil Administrative Code'. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

David J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker, I believe I have an amendment on the Clerk's desk on this bill, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman has asked



leave of the House to return House Bill 2683 back to the order of second reading for the purposes of amendment.

Hearing no objection, the bill will be returned to the order of second reading. Are there any amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Regner. Amend House Bill 2683 by adding on page 5, line 6. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

David J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment merely changes the effective date of the bill to January 1, 1972, and I move for its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 2683. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? The gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeovich."

John S. Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, no, but I just wanted to interrupt to introduce ah Mrs. Bob Craig who presently surprised him last night by showing up at his surprise party. She's right here in the Democratic side."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Third reading. House Bill 2684."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2684, a bill for an act to amend 'The Horse Racing Act'. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

David J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, I ask leave of the House, to return House Bill 2684 to second reading for purposes of an amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Hearing no objection, the bill will be returned to the order of second reading. Are there any amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Regner. Amend House Bill 2684 by adding on page 6, line 16, the following: 'Section 2. This Act shall take effect January 1, 1972'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Regner."

David J. Regner: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment merely changes the effective date to January 1, 1972, and I move for its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a request of the sponsor, Mr. Regner on '2684, there is an amendment to that that I brought to his attention that I haven't had an opportunity to talk to him this morning to see if he is going to adopt it or not. I was wondering if he would hold that bill on second reading for just a few



minutes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, then, we'll leave House Bill 2684 on the order of second reading for a short while. House Bill 1849."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1849, a bill for an act to amend 'The Cigarette Use Tax Act'. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Rep-, Representative Hall, do you have the amendments for this, I'll just take this out of the record for now. All right, now, with leave of the House, we'll go to the order of postponed consideration, so that we can bring bills back to the order of second reading for the purpose of amendment. House Bill 20-, he is not in his seat. House Bill 2422."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2422, a bill for an act to ah. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Gene L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, ah, thank you Mr. Speaker. With leave of the House, I'd like to bring House Bill 2422 ah back to second reading for the purpose of an amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Does the gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, House Bill 2422 will be returned to the order of second reading for the purpose of an amendment."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah, . . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Hoffman, do you know what number this amendment is, the Clerk doesn't know. . ."





Gene L. Hoffman: "Ah, number two there."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right. . ."

Gene L. Hoffman: ". . .there's one amendment on it. . ."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah, Amendment Number Two, Hoffman.

Amend House Bill 2422 as amended on page 1 by striking lines 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Gene L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment Number Two to House Bill 2422 removes the entire bill. And in its place inserts legislation which would permit the school districts that have entered into joint agreements to build Area Vocational High Schools. Ah, to issue bonds against their levy of 5¢ for five years for building purposes. Now, it's a little complicated. This particular amendment was drawn up by Chapman and Cutler. I've talked with some people on both sides of the aisle about it. It affects primarily the vocational the joint agreements for vocational purposes in the Danville and the DuPage County area. I would like to have this amendment adopted so everyone could have a copy of it on their desk before we consider it on third reading. So, I would move the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 2422."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 2422. All those in favor of the



adoption of the amendment signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill. Appearing on the order of postponed consideration is House Bill 2763, for which purpose the gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff is recognized."

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like permission of the House to bring 2763 back to the order of second reading for the purposes of amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 2763 will be returned to the order of second reading for the purposes of an amendment. Are there amendments?"

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, I offer Amendment Number One to House, ah, Amendment Number Five to House Bill 2763. Amendment Number Five is a responsive to some of the questions that were raised when this bill was brought up on third reading during the Spring. It substantially changes the bill. There was concern about the fact that in the powers of the Attorney General under the regulating of franchising, there were too many new fields being entered into. Essentially, what this does is rewrite the entire act and set up a disclosure system, by which the offering of a franchise may be must be disclosed 72 hours before in writing to the person who is expected to purchase. Further, a filing must be made with the Attorney General's Office and he has fifteen days to determine whether or not that filing



is in conformity with the act. After that fifteen days, ah, or during that fifteen days if he does that, it may be appealed for a hearing and if the result of the hearing is not to the liking of the submitter, then it may, there, it may be taken to court. It gives the Attorney General's office investigative powers so that he may inquire into the substance and accuracy of statements made in this field. I move the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Carroll."

Howard W. Carroll: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates that he will."

Howard W. Carroll: "Mr. Duff, this amendment is in effect a substitute bill, is it not?"

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, this, amendment is responsive to the questions that were raised on the bill, and they were many as you recall. Ah, sir, you asked some of them yourself, as did others, and so we took the bill and substantially rewrote it, but maintained in it the integrity and the necessity of disclosure of fraudulent offerings to franchises."

Howard W. Carroll: "No, my question is you've actually struck everything that was in the bill after the enacting clause and rewrote a new bill in which you incorporated many of the provision of the old bill, is that correct?"

Brian B. Duff: "We struck everything after the enacting clause



and rewrote the bill in accordance with the objections raised by you and others."

Howard W. Carroll: "All right, and it runs some 24 pages, is that right?"

Brian B. Duff: "That's correct."

Howard W. Carroll: "And you've in fact changed the title of the bill, and ah, many purposes in the bill, is that correct?"

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, without really doing anything in direct contradiction of what the bill was in, it is still the same bill."

Howard W. Carroll: "Ah, all right, my question is would you be willing to send this back to committee for a full and complete hearing as the bill now reads?"

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, I think not, because this was the result of a great deal of effort made through the course of the summer at your request, sir, and we fulfilled it."

Howard W. Carroll: "Ah, all right. You said a few times that this has met my objection, and of course, you did hand this to me yesterday or the day before and we had offered and still offer to work with you and with the Attorney General's office to come up with a workable solution. My quick reading of it, and it's only quick because we haven't had time to study this new 24 page bill, is that it does not meet the things that we had discussed in June, it retains. . ."

Brian B. Duff: "Is this a question? Sir?"



Howard W. Carroll: "It may be."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, Representative Carroll, are you asking a question, or. . ."

Howard W. Carroll: "Well, I will then like to address myself to the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed, sir."

Howard W. Carroll: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This particular amendment which runs 24 pages is in effect the new bill. It has changed the purpose and scope of what was intended in the original bill, House Bill 2763. As I think was clear in June in both committee and on the floor, I personally, nor to my knowledge, does anybody on this side of the aisle, oppose the concept of regulating and properly regulating franchises. And if there has been a fraudulent operation in this field, something that must be protected and defended, however, we did discuss at that time and we still discuss, the concept of giving to any constitutionally elected official those powers that are beyond the scope of his constitutional duties and powers, and I would refer to in this instance, to a quote from our Attorney General, William Scott, at the National Conference of Attorney Generals, that neither he nor any other attorney general should have these types of powers, the types of powers that have been included in this bill. This was distributed over the summer in that National Legislator magazine that we get, and it's quoted as so stating. I don't think he wants these powers, I don't think, I think this bill is much too broad.



It retains the rule making powers as I quickly read it. It retains the rule making powers with potential criminal penalties. This is beyond the scope of the authority and this was abhorrent to many members of this Legislature. That without prior hearing or prior notice an attorney general, regardless of party, could promulgate rules of which you would have no prior knowledge and if you did not follow those rules you'd be subject to criminal penalty. He has created in and of himself, or this bill does, the tri-party form of the tri division that our constitution distributes between the executive, legislative and judicial. He has taken all three functions, or they are given to him in this bill. He has said that these are not his powers. He has said it publicly. We should not be giving him these powers, we can not give it to them. I would urge that this amendment be time to be given adequate consideration. I would urge at this time that this amendment be held or defeated for the purposes of studying it so that we can come up with a concrete proposal."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck."

Charles J. Fleck: "I would like to remind Representative Carroll and the other members of this body especially in regard to the rule making powers of the attorney general's office, that the legislature in its wisdom saw fit to pass the Consumer Fraud Act which empowers the Attorney General with the precisely these powers, so I don't see any great



concern in this area and I don't know why it should be an out thrust into the area of new legislation when I haven't seen a misuse of the rule making power under that act."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Carroll, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Howard W. Carroll: "On personal privilege, my name was mentioned in debate."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "State your point."

Howard W. Carroll: "As Mr. Fleck mentioned this was in the Consumer Fraud's bill, very similar provisions and that is the exact bill that the Attorney General of Illinois and the other Attorney Generals were speaking to at this conference that the powers in there were too broad. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Carroll, you are discussing the amendment and not a point of personal privilege."

Howard W. Carroll: "This is the point he mentioned. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "State your point, sir."

Howard W. Carroll: "That was my point, he. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Glass."

Bradley M. Glass: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I have had a chance to review the amendment briefly and to discuss this with the sponsor. And I think the the objections that are raised by Mr. Carroll are not significant. I notice that the under this bill as amended there is an administrative review proceeding provided, so



that any determination of the Attorney General is subject to judicial review. And I think with that in the bill it would certainly protect any person who feels that he is aggrieved by a decision of the Attorney General. On the other hand if you believe as I do and I think most of us do that there is an important concern here to be protected and that is investments of many small businessmen in franchises and giving them the protection they need and I think we have to give the Attorney General the tools that he needs and that is what this bill provides and I urge your support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, gentlemen, I don't think anybody does not want to give the right to the Attorney General to work in the field of franchises. I think what we're talking about here is that a sponsor's had a bill on the calendar for many months. He comes today, the last day for hearing bills on second reading and says here's an amendment, some 20 pages long, an entirely new act, take it or leave it. And then he could have done this several ways, he could have submitted a new bill and sent it to committee or ask to get this bill back to committee. If we have any belief in the committee system, why doesn't he just let it go to committee?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we





discussed this bill quite thoroughly in the Judiciary I Committee in its original form. With the remarks of the previous speaker, Mr. Shea, in that we're now faced with a 20 page amendment and not knowing the full impact of the amendment. Therefore, I would like to ask a few questions of the sponsor of the amendment if I may at this time, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he'll yield."

Samuel C. Maragos: "I would like to know whether what powers does the Attorney General have under this new amendment to bring in records and subpoena the franchisee or supposedly the wrong-doer."

Bradley M. Glass: "Ah, he may subpoena duces tecum to get in the records that he needs to have."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Does he have those powers under the to go to regular court proceedings, why does he have to go through his administrator procedures?"

Bradley M. Glass: "Would you please say that again."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Does he have presently under the laws presently in effect the right to do this by court order without having to set up a new administrator procedure?"

Bradley M. Glass: "Ah, the change that would made here was specifically to allow him to do it under his present organization. You'll notice for example that the appropriation's been taken out, all the jobs that caused concern were taken out, and what we really done here is cut back the powers in accordance with the objections that were made earlier by



Representative Wolfe and Carroll and as a matter of fact it was not today or yesterday that this was done. This bill was given to these gentlemen Monday of this week, this amendment was, and we have discussed it quite at some length through the course of last Spring and now and it is not a new and un- or surprising subject. These are changes that the very changes that were requested."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Well, ah, I would like to ask further, because to me it's a new amendment, I don't even have a copy of the amendment before me, Mr. Duff, and that's why I'm asking the questions, and I think as a member of the Judiciary Committee which discussed it previously, I should have some information about it before I vote for or against it. What does it do regarding the prosecution? Who's going to do the prosecuting at these cases at the administrative hearing?"

Brian B. Duff: "Well, the the, I understand your question, because it was part of the earlier objection. Ah, in the bill that we're trying to amend, the present powers allow the Attorney General's Office under the bill to do the investigation, the prosecution and the judging and so forth. This bill does not. This bill allows the Attorney General to make a determination that a disclosure does not meet the standards of the act. At that point in time, the person, and he must do that in fifteen days of the filing of the disclosure, if his determination is that the offering is fraudulent or not in keeping with the terms of the act, at



least, he may make a ruling that this disclosure does not meet the terms of the act. At that point in time, the person making the disclosure may request a hearing. That hearing is under the standard administrative procedures under the other powers that are held by the Attorney General's office for hearings under consumer fraud and if the determination of the hearing is not to the liking of the person who is bringing the appeal for hearing, it may be appealed in the normal judicial process before the courts."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Ah, I would like to ask further, at what point and how is disclosure going to be determined, just by forms and information being filed by the respondent in this case or would it be a semi-informal hearing before he would give a ruling. . . ."

Brian B. Duff: "There would be a disclosure statement, I refer you to Page 4, Line 22, . . . ."

Samuel C. Maragos: "I don't have a copy of the amendment, that's why I'm asking you."

Brian B. Duff: "I'll read it to you. 'Disclosure statement means a written document received by the Attorney General which contains the information required by Section 5 and I will refer to that for you, if you like, and other such information, as may be required by rule or regulation pertinent to this act'. Now then, under Section 5, the disclosure statement is detailed. It requires for example ah the name and address, the condition, the financial condition of the franchisor, the nature of the franchise to be offer-



ed. . ."

Samuel C. Maragos: "In other words, what you're telling us Mr. Duff, is that the fact that all the information is on a form that has to be filed and he'll make a ruling on the form of information as he determines without any hearing."

Brian B. Duff: "Well, not, well, he will make a ruling, that is right, as to whether or not it needs the act as are so many other rulings made by administrative bodies and by the Attorney General under his present powers."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, may I speak on the question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed sir."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to state to the members that for what I understand this amendment to be, at the present state, it is even more abominable than it was originally in the act, now, because at least before they would give you a hearing and then determine whether you met the requirements. Here they could do it just by filing a form and you be faced with self-defecting and ruining your own opportunities as a citizen to do business in this state. Further more, I happen to know that there is a fiscal note on this thing and how much more this would require in money and taxes even under our new appropriation mentioned in this amendment. I still think that a fiscal note is in line, because we don't know what how much more staff the Attorney General will have to hire to process this particular section of the act, and in view of



these things, these still are the same objections and I think that we should give it more time and more study and not to rush it through this week, and therefore, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I vote, I ask that we defeat this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Aaron Jaffe: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, because this is a new act, because it is a 24 page act, and none of us have had a chance to read it, I will now make a motion that under 71 to recommit this particular bill to committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Jaffe, what rule did you cite, sir?"

Aaron Jaffe: "71."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, . . ."

Aaron Jaffe: "I believe it's 71a."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Chair will rule, sir, that your motion is not in proper order. This bill which is under consideration, which is House Bill 2763 has once already been committed to the proper committee. Now, your motion to recommit is not in order simply because recommitting a bill is not listed under Rule 71a, therefore, your motion is out of order. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I wish a point of order."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "State your point."



Samuel C. Maragos: "I asked for a I asked for a question to my objection to the thing I asked also to the fiscal note not having produced, and I ask at this time for ruling on my demand for fiscal note."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Jaffe, we're going to rule on Representative Maragos's question. I've already ruled on your, sir. Representative Maragos, the bill has been read a second time, the rule requires that the fiscal note has to be requested before second reading, I would therefore rule that your request is not timely, sir. Representative Maragos."

Samuel C. Maragos: "I could not request, because I didn't know about the amendment until a minute ago, how come, it's not the second reading of the amendment, it's the first reading of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, . . ."

Samuel C. Maragos: "And I insist that the ruling is incoherent."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Amendments aren't read a second time Representative Maragos."

Samuel C. Maragos: "I know that, sir."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct your attention to the laws of the State of Illinois and in that requiring certain types of bills to have fiscal notes, I would read you Section 7 of that act. And I think if the



parliamentarian would look at that it talks about when bills are amended and to the amendment requiring appropriations of state monies that it would then require a fiscal note. I would also like to direct the attention of your parliamentarian to the new constitution which now prohibits us from appropriating any money in a bill other than an appropriation bill. You can not make a substantive law change in an appropriation, so that there would have to be a separate appropriations bill and I'd also direct your parliamentarian's attention to Section 3 of that act which says 'whenever the sponsor of any measure is of the opinion that no fiscal note is necessary, either member,' and it talks about a voting requirement, so I would like to have a ruling of if a fiscal note is necessary or not."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Will the House stand at ease, please, while the Chair looks over the bill. Representative Shea, House Bill 2763 with the adoption of Amendment Number Five would have no fiscal implication to the state by which its functions would be carried out by the Attorney General within the framework of his present budget. There is no framework with Amendment Number Five and I would therefore rule that a fiscal note is not necessary relative to this bill. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Ah, if the Chair please, I'd yield to Representative Shea, I think he. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, as I read our House Rules and



maybe your parliamentarian can check Rule 42e says 'in the event a fiscal note has been requested by any member, a bill shall be held on the order of second reading until the fiscal note has been supplied or determined to be inapplicable, and I believe that it would be determination and then applicability is by the House and I think Section 3 of the statute says that you need a vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Shea, as you know House Bill 2763 has been read a second time, it was in fact on the order of third reading, but it is now. . ."

Gerald W. Shea: "I have it back on second reading and being substantially amended and the amendment has not been placed on the bill yet."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It has been read a second time, the Chair has ruled before and will rule again that the request is not timely. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 71, I would now move that Amendment Number One be committed to the Judiciary I Division Committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Chair will rule, Representative Berman, that Amendments are not referred to Committee, I would therefore say that your motion is out of order."

Arthur L. Berman: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, if I might call the attention of the Chair and the parliamentarian to Roberts Rules of Order, page 140, on a motion to commit, that any question before the House including amendments."





Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Berman, the Chair will rule, sir, that your request is out of order, simply because the provisions of Rule 71a committing an amendment is not a proper motion, the House rules have precedence over Roberts Rules and I would therefore say that your request is out of order. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'd now at this time move that the amendment lie on the table."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos, seconded by Representative Jaffe, has moved that that amendment or has moved that Representative Duff's motion lie on the table. It is not a debatable motion. So, all those in favor of Representative Maragos' motion to table will signify. . . Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Gerald W. Shea: "I make a motion to postpone the debate and roll call on that question until Monday."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Shea, your motion is not in order simply because Representative Maragos' motion takes precedence. Now the question before the House of Mr. Maragos' motion to table. All those in favor of Representative Maragos' motion to table will signify. . . Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Samuel C. Maragos: "In view of the ruling, I've now withdrawn my motion to lie on the table."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, now, we'll do third. . .



Now, Representative Shea, do you wish to restate your motion, sir?"

Gerald W. Shea: "I wish to postpone the vote on Amendment Number Five to House Bill 2763 until Monday, November the 1st at 2:00 O'Clock P.M. in the afternoon."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion on the gentleman's motion? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff?"

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, I make a motion that that motion lie upon the table."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff has made a motion that Representative Shea's motion lie upon the table. And it is not debatable. All those in favor of Representative Duff's motion to table signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed by saying 'No', if you're with Representative Duff, you vote 'Yeas'. Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff to explain his vote."

Brian B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'm at a loss to understand why my amendment to this bill that we've talked about for so many months which is responsive to the request of the person making these motions and has been in their hands since Monday of this week, can not reasonably add it to this bill so that it can be debated on third reading in a form that I, the sponsor, would like to put it in."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."



Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, for the reason that we have not had an opportunity to look at this amendment, is the reason why we are hesitating to support it or not support it for the time being, that's why we asked for this postponed consideration, that's why we asked for these to be maneuvered because we have not had an opportunity to study this amendment, and I haven't even seen a copy of it yet, and that's the reason I'm asking the House to defeat this motion to table and postpone until we get an opportunity to study this amendment. It may be the best amendment very natoriously, but until we know what it's about, I think it's unfair for this House to vote on it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 72 'Yeas' and 70 'Nays' and the gentleman's motion to table Representative Shea's motion prevails. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Gerald W. Shea: "What was the vote, 72 to 70?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, sir, I don't know which side would lose more, but. . .the gentleman, . . .Representative Duff's motion prevails. Now, now the question is shall Amendment Number Five to House Bill 27, . . .the gentleman from Cook, Representative Carroll."

Howard W. Carroll: "Mr. Speaker, not to belabor the point but under Rule 71a I would move that that motion lie upon the table. That the motion to vote on the amendment, that the amendment lie upon the table."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe a motion had been made to adopt this amendment and I would I would move that we would proceed on Mr. Duff's motion to adopt and I think that the motion that the gentleman made comes too late."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Chair will rule that the motion to table is in order, Mr. Simmons, and not debatable. The question is shall Representative Carroll's motion to table Representative Duff's motion to adopt Amendment Number Five to House Bill 2763 prevail. All those in favor of Representative Carroll's motion signify by voting 'Yeas', and the opposed by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question the 'Yeas' are 72, the 'Nays' are 75 and the gentleman's motion to table is lost. The question is shall Amendment Number Five to House Bill 2763 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting, by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who. . . record Representative Bill Walsh as voting 'Present'. Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, to explain his vote."

Brian B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote. I still am at a loss to understand the objections to this response to the request of the gentlemen who are objecting



to it. We have worked many months on this bill. This is bill is in behalf of thank you. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Thank you. On this question the 'Yeas' are 75, the 'Nays' are 71 and the Amendment Number Five to House Bill 2763 is adopted. Third reading. Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Samuel C. Maragos: "Verification of the roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, do you persist in your request, Representative Maragos?"

Samuel C. Maragos: "I withdraw."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Thank you, sir. It. . .okay, with leave of the House, we'll go back to the order of House Bills second reading. House Bill 3637."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3637, a bill for an act to amend Sections 8-3-13 of the Tourism Convention and other special events promotion act. Second reading of the bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 3637, on page 2, line 30, by striking 'by the State Treasurer', and inserting in lieu thereof the following: and on page 2, line 33, by striking 'State Treasurer' and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'Auditor of Public Accounts or State Comptroller as the case may be.' "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Leon."

John F. Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House this is a clarification amendment. I have consulted with



Mr. Hanley in the last few minutes and we have agreed that there may be further amendments necessary to comply with their requirements. If this bill is advanced, if this amendment is adopted and this bill advanced to third reading, I have agreed to call it back to second and agree with whatever amendments the Department of Revenue may bring forth. And I move the adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3637. All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3021."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3021, a bill for an act to amend Sections 3-2 of 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 3021 on page 1, lines 11 through 25, by striking everything after the period in line 11 and all of lines 12 through 25; and by inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'In determining whether an applicant's address. . . !'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Mrs. 'Giddy' Dyer: "Ah, very briefly, this amendment simply presents an affidavit that every prospective registrar to vote shall swear to under an oath stating that this, the address he is giving, is his permanent address, for voting purposes and that he will not claim any other residences, or vote from any other residences, for voting purposes. It



was voted overwhelmingly that it do pass out of Constitution Implementation Committee and I move for the passing of the committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the Lady has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3021. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed by saying 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Representative Dyer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mrs. 'Giddy' Dyer: "Ah, I was wondering if the Speaker was going to call House Bill 3625, which is under the same subject?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "We're going down the ah the calendar, Representative Dyer, and I'm sure we'll come to it, but in due course."

Mrs. 'Giddy' Dyer: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bill 3045."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3045, a bill for an act to add Section 4-1 to an act to revise the law in relation to County Treasurers. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. House Bill 2475."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2475, a bill for an act to regulate the lobbying activity of state employees. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, McCormick. Amend House Bill 2475 on page 1, line 1, by striking 'the' and inserting in lieu thereof 'certain'; and on page 1, line 1, by striking 'of state' and by striking all of lines 2 and inserting in lieu thereof 'and to require economic disclosure ancillary thereto.'; and by striking lines 7 through 13 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'Section 1. Definitions., and so forth. "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

C. L. McCormick: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2475, I think, with this amendment we can be able to secure an amendment on that bill that will do what the speaker had to rule was not germane to 3700 only I think that we can do it a little more easily for the people involved, because we're not fixing it where there's a penalty clause in the bill. Now, what this amendment actually does is changes the title of the bill and if I can keep my figures straight here, making an act to regulating certain lobbying activities and to require economic disclosure. As you go through the amendment, it identifies what a person is, it identifies all public officials who are elected to any office in the State of Illinois, in counties, municipalities, school districts, units of local government and any salaried officer. Now, it also any company or other business entity which publishes a newspaper





of general circulation or operates a commercial radio, television or cable broadcasting station or facility in this state shall file with the Board of Elections by January the 1st of each year a report which will include the amount of advertising in dollars purchased to support or oppose or influence the election for or against any candidate for office, state or local. The amount of advertising in dollars purchased in support or oppose any referendum and by who and the amount. The amount of advertising in purchase of dollars in support of a candidate by a political committee or in opposition to a candidate by a political committee or any other committee. Any person who owns ten per cent or more in a newspaper, television, radio cable broadcasting station shall file, with the Board of Ethics by January 1st of each year, their statement of ethics, if you want to call it that, financial disclosure, now then, this particular action is covered the wording in this section here where they don't get it all mixed up, and start saying that it isn't right, it is the exact wording of the Ethics Act that you want to call it that of 1967. It means the companies themselves, the officers of the companies, or the holding companies that might own these particular interests. It also covers some more things down here that I'll get down to. The economic, and also it includes the economic interest that they might, their spouse or their children have. In other words, what we're trying to do here, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, is



while we're going through this clean up in state government and I think it's long overdue and we're all in favor of it, we're trying now to bring into conformity everybody in Illinois that has anything to do with the influence of public opinion, the influence of the legislature under control, not control, that's a bad word, somebody said, but under the fish bowl look for the rest of the people of Illinois. And now, this also covers this is also something else I wanted to get across here if I don't lose my place Mr. Speaker, ah, I did tell you that there is no penalty clause in this and in Section 4, in Section 4, you read that section very closely and really and truly all it is is a statement of public policy and I think that it's important because it covers all of those people that I just read and it is worded from the act of 1967 and it you know it's just a broad enough thing to make this government of ours operate in a fine and sufficient manner. Now, then is it necessary? I'd be glad to tell you more of why it's necessary? If I said enough yesterday, Mr. Speaker, I'd simply move the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

W. Timothy Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rule from the Chair if this amendment is germane to this particular bill?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, it's the ruling of the Chair that after reading the bill and seeing that it confines itself solely to the registered lobbyists, we shall



be deemed to resigned such a position that they are state employees at the time which they are registered lobbyists. Inasmuch as this proposal amendment strikes virtually everything in the bill and adds an entirely different aura of of regulations, it is going to be the Chair's ruling that this particular proposed amendment is not germane. The gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

C. L. McCormick: "You know, my luck just doesn't hold out this week does it? Did you say it does not? Did I hear you right, Mr. Speaker? I've been to the ear doctor, you know."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes."

C. L. McCormick: "All right. Now, Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to argue with you on that point. First of all, I wouldn't have the votes to do it, and that's the reason I'm not going to argue with you. Second, second, the second reason though Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask and I don't want to do it on the point of personal privilege, and I can do that and still be covered by the law, your rule, and that is the law here, isn't it? I would like to have five minutes of the time of this House to go over a couple of things that I think are real vital and real important as far as the field of government is concerned here that pertains to me and I could come under a personal rule, but I don't want to do it, because I've never asked anybody here on the floor of the House to stand up and ask for being hurt for something that the press has said, but I think that I'm have five minutes or a few minutes if it's necessary, if



the members of the House will give."

Voices: "Yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, yes, please."

C: L. McCormick: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know that some people think that you just get bruised up once in a while and you can't take it, but I'm not bruised up a bit in the world. But to show you what I'm trying to get at, I want to go back several years ago. I want to go back when the world's biggest newspaper was the biggest lobbyist that this floor had when we were trying to get not when we, when Paul Powell was trying to get McCormick Place. Do you reporters up there that are here a long time ago remember how your people worked real tough and hard because we wanted McCormick Place in Chicago. The downstate people didn't want it too well, but they finally sold Paul Powell on it, didn't they? How did you do it, Mr. Tribune? I'll tell you how you did it, because you supported him. Supported him, as long as you needed him and then let's go down the way a little farther. I can remember 1956 I want you to remember this, because this is what I've been talking about for two or three days. I was a little penny ante county clerk from one of the smallest counties in Illinois. Nobody ever heard of me, and we had reapportionment and I decided that maybe some of the people in southern Illinois ought to have a Republican voice in this House. And I run for that office and Paul Powell, my neighbor and the Democratic Chairman, of Johnson County



to find a Republican opponent from Saline County in opposition to me, and you know this Clyde Choate, because you sit there. His name was Sullivan. He's a good friend of mine today. We had a real hard knock down drag out fight, Mr. Speaker, and when the thing was over I won barely 159 votes. Now, I was the County Clerk of Johnson County, and it was a Republican County and the Republican County Chairman was my friend and Paul Powell was the Democratic Chairman and the third member of the Election Board, the canvassing board, and there was a controversy about the way we counted the votes in Johnson County, if you remember. And because I had the majority on that board, I ordered 26 votes open and counted by the Election Officials and I got three out of every one of them. Paul Powell opposed them and tried every way in the world to keep them from happening. And in order to focus attention on the race in southern Illinois when they filed their election contest, Paul Powell called the Tribune and he had come on short, he had Johnson Kanady who represented them here come to Vienna and he stayed in that district for days, and he wrote day by day articles in the newspaper, and he said permanent registration has broken down Johnson County. He went by and visited with Paul in his home and he found a dead man not voted, but a dead man on the voting roster that I'd forgot to scratch off. He even implied in his paper that we voted him dead. He couldn't realize that he was in Johnson County instead of Cook. Then Ladies and Gentlemen, we come



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

down, I'm telling you this as a fact, then when I won the election I come up here and then we got into the 1959 race for Speaker of this House. And in the Alan Dixon was able to afford through this House the judicial amendment at 3:00 A.M. or way up in the night time and you remember, us hayseeds from southern Illinois was fighting it and the press was pressing for it and Representative Pollack was the leader at the time and he was able to twist enough arms outside of the session in this House, whose arms had already been twisted by the judges of the State of Illinois and they were able to pass it and Representative Pollack turned around to me and Johnny Miller and he said that takes care of you hayseeds down there, doesn't it? And the next year he come up for Speaker of the Illinois House and I said I'll vote for any Republican, but I won't vote for him. And then what happened? I got a call from George. And he asked me to vote for Pollack for Speaker and I told him this, I said I don't think you have the right to ask me that because only a year ago or less your newspaper sent a man to southern Illinois and he read wrote articles all over my end of the state and he said the things you need to do if you live down there is to buy a ticket out and a one way ticket at that. I don't feel that your advice will be welcome in my house. And I came back up here and I said if it takes my vote, I will not vote for him to make him bigger. No body misunderstands C. L. McCormick, I said if it doesn't take my vote, I'll do it to make you happy, and I



did. And what I'm trying to get across to you and then you come along here a little bit further and just to show you to show you the way that you're handled in this House, it says here house softens ethics measure after floor fight. Now, I want you to get this. Representative C. L. McCormick, Republican Representative from Vienna, at least they're calling me a Republican, I'm surprised at that. A long time Powell crony, I never in my life, in death or in life, said that I wasn't a friend of Pauls, but a crony, I just didn't know what it meant. I asked the girls down at the Post Office to dig the dictionary down and it says you're intimate if you're a crony, I knew that we were probably intimate with our wife, but I'd never gone that far with Paul. And then I want to reminisce a little bit farther and from the time he couldn't name the Speaker of the Illinois House, from that day forward, he was not only anti-Powell, but he was anti-C.L., because I wouldn't buckle down like some of the other people in this House do, every time they're running up and down this aisle. And even you, Mr. Speaker, sometimes fail to pitch them off of the floor when they should be pitched off, and that isn't criticism, that's been done for many years, here, too. Now, I just want to bring it to a close here pretty soon. I was a Republican County Chairman in Johnson County as long as I wanted to be. I'm on the Republican County Committee now. The only time that a Democrat wins in Johnson County is when we have happen to get one of our fellows in and he



gets his finger in the till and gets caught. And then let's go back to 1964 when the Percy-ites in this state ran up and down the state and said that you don't need Elmer Hoffman to carry that state down there, said C.L. will take care of that for Powell, and he was a Democratic Chairman and I was Republican. Look over the State of Illinois you Republicans and see what counties Paul Powell carried that are Republican, but he didn't carry Johnson County. But he lost Johnson County because I'm a Republican and it was my county. He didn't carry his home precinct, either, Clyde, because I was the Committeeman on the Republican ticket. In all of my relationship with him in my life, it was only from friend to friend and from neighbor to neighbor. And everybody in this House knows that I voted that way all of my life. Now, as far as that is concerned, Paul never carried Johnson County in his life, so there was no cronyism there, George, and I want to tell everybody in this House one more thing, whenever you go back home to your people, I just wonder if you can go back home and really claim to be a real Representative to the people, or are you representing the paper? Have you ever stopped to think. . . have you ever stopped to think your life, what your first and foremost duty is? Well, maybe I'm all criss-crossed on it. Maybe I'm the wrong one on it, maybe I am, but I have never yet cast a vote in this House that bothered me a bit whether the press thought it was right or wrong. The only thing that I have ever done in my life is to vote like I





the people of the 59th District wanted me to vote  
I didn't believe they wanted me to, a position that  
I had to take that I could go back home and explain  
why they were wrong. Well, Mr. Speaker, we're going  
honesty in Illinois elections, we're going to have  
in Illinois House members, we're going to have hon-  
in the press members someday, but let me tell you this  
you'll add up in the State of Illinois, the Illinois  
Association, and if you'll stand all in a room and  
you'll stand in a room all the members of this General  
Assembly, you'll not have a one more crook in the House  
in you've got in the members of the press. And one thing  
that you won't do if you call it like it is, you won't  
tell stories that are not true. I don't care what you  
say about anybody. Everybody's entitled to be called a  
thief if he's a thief, but there's no use to say something  
like that. Mr. Speaker, I think this House is making a mistake  
if it is not covering everybody if we're going to get in  
the fish bowl. And I think it's wrong to say it, and may-  
be being a Baptist makes a little different you know. Us,  
Baptists believe that once you get it, you've always got  
it. Now, you Methodists you have to get renewed every day  
and I think you Catholics have to hunt the priest every  
week in a while. We've got ahead of you. Maybe, maybe  
Mr. Speaker, what we've got to do is to have an old time  
renewal around here and invite Billy Graham or Cardinal  
Loyola or somebody like that and bring them down here and all

## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of us get religion. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further amendments? Third reading. 2119."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2119, a bill for an act to require certain disclosures by persons appointed as public members of any board, commission, committee or any advisory board in any branch of state government. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, J. J. Wolf. Amend House Bill 2119 on page 1, line 3, by striking the word 'state'; and on page 1, line 9, by striking after the word 'government' the following: 'or any unit of local government,' and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Jacob John Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, ah this amendment is an amendment to the bill sponsored by Representative Matijevidch. I suggested this in committee and he thought it was a good idea and so it is an agreement between us. This bill originally requires persons appointed as public members of any board, commission, committee or advisory board on a state level to disclose their income and occupational interest. This amendment would extend that to local county government as well and I move adoption of Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, any further discussion? All those



in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 2158."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2158, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 2158 on page 25 by adding after line 30 the following: 'Section 2. This amendatory Act of 1971 shall take effect upon its becoming a law'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon."

John F. Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment provides for an emergency enactment of this legislation if adopted. I move the adoption of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 2508."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2508, a bill for an act to provide for the termination of township government in corporate capacity pursuant to the referendum that provides for the transfer of powers and functions and the assumption of its debt by county which such township is located. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 2508 in the title, by striking everything after the word 'ACT' and inserting in lieu thereof the following: and so forth."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Aaron Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Committee Amendment that includes consolidation and merger as well as termination to conform to the constitution and I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Third reading. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Was that last bill 2108?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "2508, 2508. 3623."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3623, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Hart. Amend House Bill 3623 on page 1, lines 1 and 6, by inserting '7-4 and 7-8' immediately before '7-10' and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Richard O. Hart: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment is mostly technical in nature, it is similar to the amendment that Representative Lindberg put on his similar bill earlier. Ah, providing for primary election of judges. I move for the adoption of Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? All those in



favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Hart. Amend House Bill 3623. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart.

Richard O. Hart: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment would provide the candidate for the office would have these 200 qualified voters on his petition for office. I move for the adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3624."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3624, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of and to add Section 1.1 and 2.2 to an act relating to the vacancies in the office of judge. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3625. 2684."

Fredric B. Selcke: "26- 26- ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "What is the amendment number? All right, this is Amendment Number Two."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Lechowicz."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment Number Two to House Bill



2684 is an amendment that I discussed with the chief sponsor of the bill, Representative Regner, and also the people from State Police and they are in complete concurrence with the amendment. It does two things, it rechanges the wording in the bill on page 3, line 2 from the word 'that' to 'shall' to insure that every track in the state shall be covered by this act. And the second thing that it does it creates the track security revolving fund. The race track security revolving fund shall have to have the power to collect the funds from the licensees for the cost of race track security services provided by the Department of Law Enforcement and it shall have the power to disburse the funds to the Department of Law Enforcement to cover costs incurred and providing security services to the licensees. I move for the adoption of amendment number two."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "I can't seem to find the bill on my calendar."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It's on postponed consideration. It was brought back earlier to the order of second, I'm sorry it's on third, it was brought back earlier to second for Amendment Number One and then it was adopted and then it was held on second for this amendment. Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3625."



Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3625, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Day. Amend House Bill 3625 on page 1, by striking lines 16 and 17 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'A permanent abode is that place which he considers his home as'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Robert G. Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an amendment that was agreed to by the sponsors of this bill after the committee hearings and ah what it does is to change the definition of the permanent abode as set forth in the act to simply say that a permanent abode is that place which he, referring to the registrant, considers his home as against any other abode the person may live in, maintain or use, whether that other abode is in this state or elsewhere. I think that the amendment brings the language of this bill within the perue of the Supreme Court Decision on this subject, and I would move that it be adopted."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 3625. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', and



the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Dyer. Amend House Bill 3625, on lines 1 and 5 by striking 'Section 3-2' and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Mrs. 'Giddy' Dyer: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my amendment to House Bill 3625 is virtually the same wording as that in 3021. Its purpose is to prevent dual registration. It provides an affidavit form for the registrant to swear that the address given is indeed the permanent residence that he swears to vote at any other residence. I move for the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 3625. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3571."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3571, a bill for an act to we've already had that, it's gone."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It's already gone. With leave of the House, we'll go to third reading, so that we can take a couple bills back for the purpose of amendment. Well, we missed a couple and the sponsors are back on the floor. House Bill 1851."

Fredric B. Selcke: "That's been done."





Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to return House Bill 1851 back to the order of second reading for the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1851 will be returned to the order of second reading for the purpose of an amendment."

George W. Lindberg: "Now, Mr. Speaker. . ."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Amendment Number One, Hall.

Amend House Bill 1851 on page 1, line 1, by striking 'add Section 10-22.29b to' and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McLean, Representative Hall."

Harber H. Hall: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah, Amendment Number One to 1851 is an amendment that I think conforms to the new 1970 constitution of Illinois, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of sex. In this particular case in our schools. My amendment states as follows: 'where an intramural or interscholastic athletic program is involved, no pupil may be excluded from the program solely on account of sex. However, this does not prohibit the reasonable exclusion of girls from participation in contact sports, such as football, basketball and wrestling.' I've talked to the minority leader and he ah knows of this amendment and the sponsor of the bill agrees



with the amendment. I've talked with the Chairman of the Constitutional Implementation Committee and he's I believe in favor of this amendment and I ask the House to approve this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall the Amendment Number One to House Bill 1851 be adopted? All those in favor signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Lindberg, seek recognition?"

George W. Lindberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would now ask leave to be relieved as chief sponsor of this bill and ask that Representative Hall become the chief sponsor."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Journal will show that Representative Hall is now the principal sponsor of House Bill 1851. On the order of postponed consideration appears House Bill 1464 for which purpose the Lady for Cook, Mrs. Chapman is recognized."

Mrs. Eugenia Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to return House Bill 1464 to the order of second reading for the purposes of amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 1464 will be returned to the order of second reading. Read the amendment."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Chapman. Amend House Bill 1464 by striking lines 13 and 14 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'may grant personal leave



at full pay.' and by striking the period at the end of line 17 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: '; however, personal leave shall be construed to include religious holidays that occur on days when school is in actual session.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Mrs. Eugenia Chapman: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, this makes a permissive bill out of what was formerly an amendatory bill providing for personal leave for teachers. I move the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 1464."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the Lady has offered Amendment Number One to House Bill 1464 be adopted? All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. With leave of the House, we'll go to House Bills third reading. There may be a few bills here that we can act on this afternoon. Representative Wolf do you want your bills called? On the order of third reading, House Bill 3552."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3552, a bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Jacob John Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this bill is, I guess we could call a merely bill, it merely



changes the legal age for the circulating of a nominating petition in view of the fact that 18 year olds can now vote and sign the signature, it just doesn't stand like it makes much sense to me, to continue the language on a nominating petition saying that the circulator of a nominating committee petition must be 21. This bill will change the age to 18 and I ask for your favorable vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Daniel M. Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is a good bill. I've got one concern. Some of our members may have had their petitions printed and on the bottom it says that the circulator swears that he's over the age of 21 and I have a question as to whether this bill would make those petition forms invalid or whether they'd still be valid if the circulator was 21 years of age or over. Could the sponsor answer that question?"

Jacob John Wolf: "Well, as I read the code, I can't find the language right now, but that thought did occur to me before Dan, because the petitions that I had picked up in the Secretary of State's Office say 21. I haven't used them. When it's outlined in the Election Code, how the language is to read, it says substantially the same language, and I think that one word would be the key to it, as long as the nominating petition states that you know, we, the undersigned, etc., etc., you know, as long as the language is substantially the same, I'm sure we'll be accepted."



Daniel M. Pierce: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I do think that the members before filing the petitions could strike out the over 21 and put substitute 18 either by typewriter or by ink and with the form with the statute on the circulator, and so I think that this bill should be supported, but I am a little concerned that fortunately, I am sure our Index Division in the Secretary of State wouldn't challenge it. It may be that someone's opponent would possibly challenge that point but I agree with your interpretation of the law. I'm a little concerned, and I would advise the members, probably, if they're using those forms that Index Division is passing out that says circulators are over 21, should this bill pass and be signed by the Governor that they change that by typewriter or even by hand to read over 18."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? If not, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf to close the debate."

Jacob John Wolf: "Ah, I just found the particular portion in the 'Election Code' that answered that question. And it says that unless a petition for nomination has been filed in his behalf as providing this article in substantially the following form, and then it goes on. And I think, Representative Pierce, that your suggestion would be good to strike out 21 and insert in lieu thereof 18 on any petitions that are filed. I just move the adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"



Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have some visitors from my district and I would like to introduce them to the House, Mr. John Drocose and some students from Nazareth Academy."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The question is shall House Bill 3552 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed 'No'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Maragos, 'Yeas'. On this question the 'Yeas' are 106, the 'Nays' are 6, and this bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Ray Welsh, 'Yeas'. Now, we thought we would take a break to take to say hello to a very good friend of all of ours, ah, he had a press conference this morning and the Minority Leader and I and some of his very close friends from the House went down and visited him in his new offices and suggested that he come up here and say hello to his very many friends here. He is now the Secretary of State and was the former Speaker of the House. Our friend, John Lewis."

John W. Lewis: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There are moments in ones life that that are hard to explain. When the Speaker and the Leadership members from both sides of the aisle just recently visited me in the office I told them that I don't know of anything that could mean more to me or has ever meant as much to me as their visit to see me. And at their invitation that I am up here. And the moment that I have now to say a few words to you never in my life, in my whole political career has



anything meant more to me than this reception that you have given me. And let no one be misled and let no one doubt the future of this State. Or the future of this Nation and this House of Representatives composed of the fine men and women that are seated here today. I think that without question or without doubt the years that I was privileged and honored being a member of this body that that period is something that will be the dearest to me and I know that there are times that there are difficulties, I don't think anyone realizes, I don't think anyone can realize until they actually have the experience of the responsibility that rests on one. But each of you each of you as you are seated in your seats represent thousands of people and this is representative government, and again I want to give you my sincere thanks for this moment and as I close, I want to say one thing, that question that was asked me, during the press conference that I had this morning, and this question which wasn't the first time it was asked, about whether or not I was going to resign and I felt that that had been answered in my statement, but I'd gladly answer it again. My answer was this, as Secretary of State, I will call the House to order in 1973, for the purpose of electing a Speaker. Again, my sincere thanks. This has meant, you will never know how much this has meant to me. And I'll look forward to seeing you in January of 1973. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bill 3560."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3560, a bill for an act to



amend 'The Election Code'. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Jacob John Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd just like to point out that House Bill 3560, although it doesn't state on the calendar, is also an emergency measure requiring a sixty per cent vote. The reapportionment of the legislative districts in many instances has divided precincts within counties and within cities, and as you know the reapportionment was done by census track and not by precinct and the way the election law now reads that we must have one half of one percent of the primary vote that number of signatures in order to qualify to get on the ballot. This presents a great problem for boards of election commissioners and the secretary of state insofar as we really don't have precincts, it's very difficult to know when the precincts are divided, which portion of the precinct voted in which election and so in order to alleviate this problem so that we can all get our petitions properly filed, this bill took a minimum number of signatures of 200 and a maximum number of signatures of 1,000 required for members of the General Assembly and for not less than 500 nor more than 1,500 signatures for a candidate for Congress only in the election, the first primary following the reapportionment. And I think this is necessary, I've discussed it with the Minority Leadership and with Don Edd in the Secretary of State's Office and I think





it's very necessary in order that we can get our petitions filed, and I would move your favorable support of this bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

John C. Hirschfeld: "Ah, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question, please."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he'll yield."

John C. Hirschfeld: "Representative Wolf, ah would you does the bill still state that the petition for state representative must not contain more than 1,000 signatures?"

Jacob John Wolf: "Would you repeat that, I've got a little distraction."

John C. Hirschfeld: "Does the bill still state that the petition for state representative must contain not more than 1,000 signatures?"

Jacob John Wolf: "Yes. Not less than 200 nor more than 1,000. That is only in the first primary following a reapportionment year, then it reverts back after this election, once we have precinct lines established, it goes back to the old way for every other election where you are required to have one half of one per cent of the electors. . ."

John C. Hirschfeld: "Well, I'm just curious why you have to prohibition against more than a thousand signatures, that there's going to be a great number trying to get more than a thousand signatures, but I think some candidates attempt to perform part of their campaign by getting as many as



possible signatures on a petition as possible, and here we've got a prohibition against apparently too many signatures and I'm wanting the reason for this."

Jacob John Wolf: "Well, the reason was and I'm going to defer in a moment to Representative Shea, there's nothing to preclude you from going out and getting a half a million signatures, just pick out the best 200 to 1,000 and file them. I mean, I think most people do, but I think Representative Shea could carry that out for you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you look at some of the districts in the state and look at the number of registered voters in one party or the others, you'll find that, I think in my district, there are something like 4,000 or 5,000 registered primary voters, and if two people went out and got all of those primary signatures, you could certainly knock any other candidate off the ballot because you'd have a duplication of signatures, so I think that this way we'll insure as many people in a race as we can."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? If not, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf, care to close the debate, and he indicates that he does not. The question is shall House Bill 3560 pass? This will take 107 votes, Ladies and Gentlemen. All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed signify by voting 'No'. Voting, I'm



sorry. Have all voted who wished? Take the record.

Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Gerald W. Shea: "It's got third reading up there, isn't it second?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question the 'Yeas' are 132, the 'Nays' are 2 and this question having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jacob John Wolf: "Ah, just a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. We just voted on 3552, if my memory correctly.

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That had 107 votes."

Jacob John Wolf: "107. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bill 3735."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3735, a bill for an act to amend 'The School Code'. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh."

Charles W. Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I cling to this body the day that I ask unanimous consent to introduce this bill and advance it to second reading without reference to a committee, I pointed out that we made an error in this School Problem Commission recommendation and left out one word in the section 11-4 and 11-7 which absolutely nullified any chance of any school district reorganizing. We simply left out the word 'voting' and this is a corrective bill. The error that we had made was



discovered and reported to me by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction and we drew this bill to correct it to make it as we intended it to be. I've talked to the leadership on both sides, I know of no objection in the Superintendent's Office has discussed it with many members on that side, and I'd appreciate the 107 votes necessary, as it's an emergency measure."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, when this bill which is now law and which Representative Clabaugh now seeks to amend, was before this House, I opposed it at that time. I opposed it because I did not like the means that it took or that it changed for consolidation of school districts. I rise at this time to support this amendment to this bill and I rise to support it for this reason. I still don't like the means that we go by for consolidation, I don't think it's protects some of the rural property owners, but unfortunately, we repeal the law as it then was, we have this now, and unfortunately without these changes we have a completely ineffective consolidation law for schools in the State of Illinois. We must have this so we can have an effective real means of some consolidation, so I would rise to support this amendment that would bring that bill into what I think is the line that we need to give us some chance of consolidating a school district in the State of Illinois."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? If not, the gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh, to close the debate."

Charles W. Clabaugh: "Roll call, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The question is shall House Bill 3735 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No'. This will take 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, the 'Yeas' are 135, the 'Nays' are 1 and this bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Bluthardt, 'Yeas'. House Bill 3652."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3652, a bill for an act to amend an act relating to the practice of beauty culture. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Wall."

John F. Wall: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3652 clarifies a bill that we have passed here in the previous session and was signed into law by the Governor, but the Department of Registration and Education felt that it was discriminatory, because there was terminology that referred only to shampoo girls and which they felt that we were discriminating against shampoo girls and male shampoo people, and so this amends the law and it reads, it changes terminology from shampoo girl to shampoo assistant, which means male or female. And it also clarifies or has a clarifying provision because in the original law



the department interpreted the law to read that you had to have three licensed beauty operators in order to have one shampoo assistant. My amendment here clarifies that and says that if you have one beauty operator in a one man shop, you may hire one shampoo girl who does not have to be a beauty culturist. So I ask for your favorable support."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 3652 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No', and it takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question the 'Yeas' are 130 and the 'Nays' are 0 and this question having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. With leave of the House, we'll go back to the order of second reading, to pick up a bill. House Bill 3068."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, ah, the Clerk informs me that. . . the Clerk informs me that on House Bill 3652 we had a bad roll call, let's take it over again. We'll go back to third for a moment and take the roll call. Shall House Bill 3652 pass with the emergency clause? All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No'. It'll be 107. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, the 'Yeas' are 130, the 'Nays' are 0 and this bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Now, back on the order of second reading, House Bill 3068."



Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3068, a bill for an act making an appropriation to Walter Edward Duff. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. Get back on the order of third reading appears House Bill 3732."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3732, a bill for an act to amend sections of 'The Unemployment Compensation Act'. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Romie J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3732 is the agreed unemployment compensation act. The advisory board met this summer, as a matter of fact, September 16, and came up with this bill. Now, it has the agreement of the other side of the aisle, and what it does is raise the maximum for about fifteen per cent. There are some other changes in there that are reflects the current economic problem, due to the adjusted state experience factor for 1972-73. It disqualifies academic personnel for periods during the academic periods or terms. That's during the vacation or sabbatical leave that requires notices to former employees of filing. Again there is about a fifteen per cent increase across the board and I if the effective date is February 6 and it will take 107 votes, I request your consideration favorably."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question



is shall House Bill 3732 pass with the emergency clause? All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No'. . . Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question the 'Yeas' are 120, the 'Nays' are 0 and this bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed with the emergency clause. House Bill 3574."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3574, a bill for an act making an appropriation to James W. Farrow. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. . . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, take it out of the record. Here he comes."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 3574 is an appropriation bill for a Veteran of World War II who was not given his veteran's pension. The total amount is \$445.00. There's an emergency clause on it, and I would solicit your support of 107 votes. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 3574 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, the 'yeas' are 131, the 'Nays' are 0 and this bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed





with the emergency clause. House Bill 3680."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3680, a bill for an act to amend an act relating to highway advertising control.

Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick."

C. L. McCormick: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this bill, 3680 is the result of long, hard study by the highway department, by the different people on that side of the aisle over there and on this side of the aisle with the bill board people, everybody is concerned with trying to work out that would meet the criteria of the federal government to keep Illinois from losing approximately \$30,000.00 a year, do you want to call it a fine, exactly, but a fee for not complying. Now, we've been through this several times before and we could never work out a bill that was agreeable to everybody concerned. Now the bill is very technical but I do want to tell you this. This is a letter from the Illinois Business and Highway Advertising People and that's the organization that represents downstate and this is to confirm our agreement for the support of House Bill 3086, also from the Cook County people we have the same type of letter endorsing this bill. Now, this means this means we've come to the place in Illinois where we all recognize the bill board people, the General Assembly, the Highway Department, the administration where we have to have a bill that will comply in order for



Illinois to continue receiving this some \$30,000,000.00 of money. Many, already the Department of Transportation has notified the Governor that as of the first day as 60 days from the first day from October, Illinois will be considered in non-compliance with the federal act, so this is real important that we pass this bill today and go to the Senate and Mr. Speaker, I think everyone understands it basically, and if they get too technical, I think I have some people here that I think could answer the question, if it's possible to satisfy you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

Carl T. Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as Representative McCormick has said we've had similar legislation such as this before several times during the past ten years. Now we have \$30,000,000.00 dangled in front of our noses in the state, if we enact this legislation to rule outdoor advertising off the interstate highway. I can not conscientiously vote for such a proposal. According to my estimation, this is discriminatory. Number One, interstate highways bypass communities and local businessmen are prohibited from erecting signs to advertise their wares along these routes. Number Two, in the rural areas, the property owners denies the rental place. Interstate I-55 is to be relocated in part of my district, and if this bill is enacted, the small communities will die on the vine, as only a few restaurant chains and motels will be



allowed to advertise along the interstate. For this reason, I'm going to be forced to vote against the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Robert S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Robert S. Juckett: "Now, I received that letter, too, and it indicated that the industry and the federal government and the state government were all in agreement. And I've been trying to read through the many pages of the amendment but could you just in a capsule form tell me how far back from the highway can people advertise on their own property or what kind of an agreement everybody is reaching here?"

C. L. McCormick: "Well, at the present time we passed, if you remember, in the -65 session of the General Assembly, we passed for I believe. . ."

Robert S. Juckett: "I'm sorry, I wasn't here in that session."

C. L. McCormick: "ah, Governor Kerner's administration, . . . well, Governor Kerner's administration, we passed 'The Bill Board Act' at that time and we restricted it to the federal system of highways in Illinois. And my recollection is 660 feet back was the bill board act at that time. Now, what we were trying to do at that time, Representative Juckett, was to hold onto the bonus that was involved, in something like what? \$12,000,000.00 or \$5,000,000.00 they tell me. \$5,000,000.00. And and as you know, the Congress passed in the sixth, something like 65, the beautification



act. And we had this coasting on that and every year been getting if you want to call it extensions and complying. But, now then we have received, it's almost like expecting to go to court, and when you finally receive the summons you know you're going to go and now that Illinois has received the notice that will be in non-compliance within sixty days of the first of the month, so what we have attempted to do is to cover the 17,000 mile of highway in Illinois and bring it into compliance with the least possible hardship on the industry and still bring it into compliance."

Robert S. Juckett: "Now, then, now, I take it that the real reason for this bill is the fact that if we don't comply with what the people, the appointed people in Washington say we have to do that we're going to lose our monies to be used for our highways, is that correct?"

C. L. McCormick: "No, that isn't exactly correct. You are partially right. If we don't comply with what our elected representatives in the Congress of the United States passed under the last administration, then we will lose this."

Robert S. Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I find it very repugnant to my sense of justice that we here in the State of Illinois have to go hat in hand begging to Washington to get our money back which we have paid and for every dollar we get back, we pay \$1.76. Now, the distinguished member from Johnson County is indicated that our elected representatives have passed the bill. Yes, they did pass the bill in Washington, but



all of the bureaucrats have written the rules and regulations with which we now have to comply if we want to get our money back and I think we should read through some of these things and they're talking about numbers of signs which can be erected in certain distances from enterchanges for instance, one of them says 'only six such signs'. Who is going to make the determination as to which sign of the six is going to be erected? Suppose you have ten people that want to put a sign up. Somebody's got to make that determination and again the private enterprise is being eroded to the ultimate and great wisdom of government. The great white father or whatever term you want to use is going to continue to make decisions that affect your life and mine, and I don't care if the industry has agreed to it, because I think they're agreeing to a principle which will destroy them and every other industry and I for the life of me can't understand why we, here in the General Assembly, just because a bureaucrat tells us we're going to take away your money if you don't agree with what we think you ought to do. I think we're out of our minds if we agree to such things and I think it's about time we stand up on our own two feet and say the General Assembly of the State of Illinois is going to decide what is best for the State of Illinois and not some bureaucrat sitting in Washington, 700 or 800 miles away that probably has never been in the State of Illinois, doesn't know the wishes of the people of the State of Illinois and I think it's a crime that we abdicate



our responsibilities to such people and I urge the defeat of this bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig."

Robert Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like to ask the sponsor to hold these bills. But now when they get to talking about all of the federal money we're going to be losing, I've served on the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission for a number of years and I think you people remember that the federal government made the same cry about how much money we were going to lose, federal money, if we didn't have motor vehicle inspection. And the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission as you people will so well remember that we've had many bills in here for inspection bills, and we couldn't come to any agreement, so we did appoint a Commission of the Sub-Committee of the Commission to make a study of motor vehicle inspections, because they came to the feeling that if we were moving somewhat forward, that the monies wouldn't be taken, maybe. And we had never lost one penny. Now then, we've had bills in this General Assembly that motor vehicle inspection would have cost the tax-payers anywhere from \$2,000,000.00 a year as high as one program that they had around \$15,000,000.00 to \$20,000,000.00 a year. And today we still don't have motor vehicle inspection and I happen to be at a national meeting and they had decided that motor vehicle inspection was not the total answer for the deaths on the highways today and they're not



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

making a force or forcing the issue of motor vehicle inspection. So I'm saying to all of you, I think we were mighty fortunate in it in the wisdom of this General Assembly in not hurrying up and jumping on the band wagon and having a vehicle inspection program put into effect that would be with the State of Illinois and would cost the tax-payers several millions of dollars every year by maybe walking a little slowly and saying this. And I say that I would like for the sponsor to hold these bills at least until next Monday since we have set the deadline up for passage, but at the present time I'm going to have to oppose these bills, because I'm I don't feel that at the present time that I'm satisfied on my own mind that with this legislation and I'd urge the people to take a long look and at least wait until Monday or Tuesday whenever we pass for final passage."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Webber Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and Fellow Members of the House."

Frankly, I don't give a hoot about \$30,000,000.00 that we may lose from the federal government. I'm more interested in the preservation of the rights of the people as against the bureaucracy, and this is just another loss of our freedom, so I urge everyone to vote 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Clarence E. Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have great respect for the last three previous



speaker, but this is important to the State of Illinois, and \$32,000,000.00 as we might put it isn't hay, it's money that is needed on the roads and I want to commend Representative McCormick for the time that he's put in on these bills, working with the different groups, all the people that were involved in it and that would affect, now we have these bills in shape so that I'm sure everybody can live with, and they're aren't going to hurt the any people affected, business people or otherwise, in the shape we have them now and I think these bills would be making a real mistake if we don't vote them out as a do pass."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

John S. Matijevich: "Would the sponsor yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

John S. Matijevich: "Ah, C.L., somewhere along the line I read about a study, I've always been bothered about the beautification and I think that there is some ugliness on our highways. Of course, I'm not as bothered about that as the proliferation of an electric sign. The neon signs in some of our communities. But somewhere I read that where on these expressways they found that by having signs we relieve the monotony of drivers and that actually it may be safer to have signs on our highways. Have you heard about this? I mean I've read about it somewhere along the line."

C. L. McCormick: "Well. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Johnson, Mr. Mc-





Cormick."

C. L. McCormick: "Might I answer, might I answer his question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes."

C. L. McCormick: "Representative, you know when you get into any kind of legislation it makes no difference what it is you can always raise a point such as yours. That it might relieve the monotony to have the signs on the boards. I can also say that it would relieve the monotony if you had a pretty girl in the car with you. You see what I mean? And it'd be much much better to have the pretty girl in the car with you than to have her on the board."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion? The gentleman care to close?"

C. L. McCormick: "Yes, sir, I'm ready to close."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Okay."

C. L. McCormick: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as far as having a hearing on this bill, we had the hearing downstairs in transportation and there was quite a lot of controversy at the time and if everybody that was present remembers that I ask the Department, I ask the sign board people, I ask everybody concerned to meet with them the following Monday or Tuesday and work out all of the things that could be worked out on the bill and see if we couldn't come up to it. Now, Clarence Neff was Chairman of the Committee, he was quite worried about it and the at the time I was very surprised to hear Representative Hunsicker, because we accepted the very thing that I thought



What he wanted accepted in the bill and that that was the understanding that we had in your office up there, Representative Neff, that we had the thing worked out to where it was absolute minimum. Now, if if and everybody in this room knows that I, if anybody, had the most to do with killing a bill in '67, that I thought was wrong, because I didn't think it was necessary, and I was dead wrong in '67. And we're now to the point where this bill is final, it's necessary and I would appreciate, now that we can do it, that we can pass this bill today. I'd appreciate your 'Yeas' vote."

Voice: "Roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The question is shall this bill pass?"

All those in favor vote 'Yeas' and the opposed 'No'. Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

A. Thomas McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed."

A. Thomas McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Back in my county, we have gone through the problem of trying to write a sign ordinance to regulate the size, distance of signs from the interstate highway. A number of signs permitted per mile of highway, distance and number of signs at intersections and we've found that at that time there were no guidelines by which we could get legislation at the state level. I certainly feel that this

is a necessary bill. We need the federal money for our highway system. It is not doing away with signs. It is permitting signs. We have all seen areas and other places where you drive through an area where you can see nothing in the way of scenery from the highway except a solid row of bill boards. Ladies and Gentlemen, I submit to you that we do not want this to occur in our state and I think we should take some action that will help to prevent this. I urge your vote for this bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Welsh, Welsh."

Raymond J. Welsh, Jr.: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recorded as voting 'Present'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as voting 'Present'. Have all voted who wished? All right, take the record. On this question, . . . The ah gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

C. L. McCormick: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, ah, I know that it says 89 votes up there and I think I reasonably know that if you try to run the total down that they probably wouldn't all be here. I think the bill is very important, I think it is very vital, I can't understand why the people from Cook County that weren't on the board there because they already have that with their ordinances in the City of Chicago and also in the County of Cook and also in many of the other metropolitan areas of Illinois. I would ask Mr. Speaker that you not postpone consideration, I would ask that maybe



you could take it out of the record? Or have we gone too far for that?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, he's asking for unanimous consent, so is there objection? All right, we'll take it out of the record. Ah, for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis rise?"

Corneal A. Davis: "Mr. Mr. Speaker, may I just interrupt for just a moment, from the distinguished gentleman from Cook, Representative Otis Collins or some Americans in the gallery from the West Garfield Elementary School, if they'll stand I'm sure that the Speaker will allow the House to recognize them."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, with the leave of the House we'll get back to now to we think we just about finished with reading of house bills on second reading. If any of you have any on there that you want us to call, you'd better let us know now, because Monday will be the last day for third reading. All right, 66-, 3682."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3682, a bill for an act to amend Section 17-2 of 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Collins. Amend House Bill 3682 by striking line 1 and inserting. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Philip W. Collins: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah because of reapportionment and because of



the fact that some districts now overlap county lines, ah legislation was needed to create new representative districts. Amendment Number One to House Bill 3682 would do just that. This amendment is agreed and it was worked out by agreement with the Speaker and the Minority Leader, and I would ask for your support of Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Okay. Discussion? All those in favor of adoption of Amendment Number One say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Choate. Amend House Bill 3682 as amended, in the title and in Section 1 before the word 'follows', by striking Section 8-5.2 and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment Number Two does is simply discharge our legislative duties as far as the implementation of the constitution is concerned, regarding the filling of legislative vacancies if and when a member is deceased or for some reason or other is removed from office. When the Governor vetoed the bill that Representative Rose and Senator Laughlin and myself and others worked on in the last session to provide this implementation, it spelled out that the principal reason for vetoing was because we made no provision in his way of thinking to as far as an independent, if one was elected to this legislature, being elected, if



he was elected and had to be replaced that we did not have to make the proper provision for the replacing of an independent candidate. Now, in the amendment which we're considering, we explicitly spell out how an independent would be replaced if and when one would be elected. We would say first that if he became affiliated with one of the two major political parties after election to this House or to the Senate, then he would be replaced by the party of which he became affiliated with. Now, if he remained a true independent, then he would be replaced by an independent, one who had not voted in any primary election of any political party, during the preceding six years. So we do specifically spell out the objections that the Governor talked about when he vetoed the implementation bill that we passed in the last session of the General Assembly, and I would respectfully request Mr. Speaker, that we pass this amendment and add it to House Bill 3682."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, speaking to the amendment, ah, I ah strongly urge the members of this House not to adopt this amendment. Ah, there are several objections to it, initially, it says when a vacancy shall occur, but there's no specification as to when a vacancy does occur, when the time commences to run, ah when the Governor first learns of it or when the Representative district learns of it or when the person resigns or when he makes formal notification of his resignation or



when his death occurs, there's no specification on that point and I think that's an error. Secondly, I think we are playing Russian roulette with the bill that we are under consideration now, because one of the major constitutional problems is still present in this amendment, in that it continues Cook County's vote as weighted and yet downstate the county chairman get one vote and this will may well violate the uniformity requirement of our new constitution. In addition, line 7 on page 4 refers to Section 8-5.2, however, the amendment we just adopted repealed Section 8-5.2 and therefore this amendment would be inconsistent certainly with the previous amendment and for those reasons and the reason that the bill is too important to put this amendment on which might well run the risk of another gubernatorial veto, I would urge defeat of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "I only want to point out to the Majority Leader, the distinguished Majority Leader, that one part of your argument, I can't fault you with being wrong in, because the amendment was changed, prior to, I mean after you saw it and that is repealing the Section that you just spoke of, it does not do that in its final drafting. So, ah, I mean the one that's on the Clerk's table. Now, Mr. Speaker, as far as the vacancy itself is concerned, that's a constitutional question and the distinguished Majority Leader knows that and we all know that. Now, as far as



playing Russian roulette with it, and that it might do something to this bill that is important, we also realize that the Governor has amendatory veto powers and he doesn't have to veto the entire bill if he doesn't want to, he can take out one part of it if he so desires. Now, we would be by adopting this amendment, discharging again our duties as far as making it possible to replace members of this legislature in accordance with the constitution under which we are living today. There's nothing big or strange about this amendment. It only says that we shall replace members who have vacated their seats for some reason or another. It says that we shall do what the constitution wants us to do, implement it to the extent that this does become possible, that the people in those districts will have representation in this body regardless if one of their members had died, or vacated his seat. I say, Mr. Speaker, this amendment is in the interest of good government in the State of Illinois, good government in the interest of the people from all of the districts, from the standpoint that we guarantee them representation in this House and in the Senate. That's all that the amendment does and I would think that we would want to discharge our duties and I would think that we would want to adopt this amendment. I would encourage the membership to vote 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House. . .oh, for what purpose does the gentleman from Union, Mr. Shea rise? Ah, Cook. Okay. The question is





ah shall the House adopt Amendment Number Two. All those in favor will vote 'Yeas' and the opposed 'No'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Ah, for what purpose does the gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone rise?"

Paul Stone: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting No."

Paul Stone: "Mr. Speaker, may I change that to Yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, on this question there are 72 'Yeas' and 70 'Nays'. The, for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff rise?"

Brian B. Duff: "Verification, please, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, verify the affirmative vote."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Alsup, Barnes, Barry, . . . I can't stop, . . . Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Carrigan, Carroll, Jimmy Carter, Richard Carter, Choate, Colitz, Otis Collins, Corbett, Craig, W. Cunningham, Davis, DiPrima, Ewell, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Giorgi, Hamilton, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Holloway, Houlihan, Jaffe, Katz, Keller, Kennedy, Kosinski, Krause, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lenard, Leon, Londrigan, M. Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Markert, McClain, McDermott, McGah, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Murphy, D. O'Brien, O'Hallaren, Pierce, Schisler, Shaw, Shea, Ike Sims, Stone, Terzich, R. Thompson, VonBoeckman, H. Washington, Welsh, Frank Wolf, Yourell."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there questions of the affirmative vote? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, Representative Barnes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Who?"

Brian B. Duff: "Barnes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
... 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not in his seat, take him off  
the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Barry."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Boyle."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Calvo."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."



Brian B. Duff: "Representative Carter, Jim Carter."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Richard Carter."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative DiPrima."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record. Wait, put  
Calvo and Barry back on. And Brinkmeier."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Corbett."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Carrigan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Fennessey. We take that back,  
he's back there."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Ray Ewell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Giorgi."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Holloway."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Keller."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now, wait a minute, I don't see him.  
Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Laurino."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gen-. . .there he is."

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, Representative Londrigan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Maragos."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting



'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Mann."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Schisler."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Ike Sims."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Harold Washington."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting  
'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Yourell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."



Brian B. Duff: "Ah, Representative Rayson."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Brian B. Duff: "Ah, . . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, any further question of the affirmative? Of the affirmative?"

Brian B. Duff: "Representative Schneider."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Brian B. Duff: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "What. . ."

Brian B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, excuse me, one more, I I apologize, it was just suggested. Representative Scariano."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Brian B. Duff: "I have no further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill, for what purpose do you rise?"

John Jerome Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I request that you verify the negative vote roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No."

John Jerome Hill: "Yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, verify the negative."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Anderson, Bartulis, Blades, Bluthardt, Borchers, Brenne, Campbell, Clabaugh, Philip Collins, Conolly, L. Cunningham, Day, Duff, Dyer, Epton, Fleck, Fried-



land, Gibbs, Glass, Hall, Harpstrite, Henss, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Houde, Hudson, Hunsicker, Hyde, Jones, Juckett, Kahoun, Lauterbach, Lehman, Lindberg, Ed Madigan, McAvoy, McMaster, Meyer, Kenny Miller, Peter Miller, Moore, Neff, North, Nowlan, G. O'Brien, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Randolph, Regner, Rose, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Shapiro, Simmons, Soderstrom, Springer, Telcser, Tuerk, Waddell, Walsh, Wall, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Genoa Washington, J. J. Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Graham, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Elwood R. Graham: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah. . ."

Elwood R. Graham: "I vote 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as voting 'No'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Yeah."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there questions of the negative roll call? The gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

John Jerome Hill: "Schlickman."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Schlickman on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Moore."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Moore on the floor?"



How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Gene Williams, or Hoffman, rather."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Hoffman on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Williams."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Williams on the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "McDevitt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Houde."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Madigan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mike?"

John Jerome Hill: "Ed."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

John Jerome Hill: "Rep- . . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Randolph."





Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Who is that fellow in his seat? Is that. . .no, take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Janczak."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Janczak. The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Washburn."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Washburn on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "R. Cunningham."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Gibbs."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The, how is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Gibbs on the floor? Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Burditt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Zlatnik."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Not voting."



John Jerome Hill: "Capuzi."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah, not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Granata."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Karmazyn."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the lady recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "North."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative North on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "L. Cunningham."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "L. Cunningham is in his seat."

John Jerome Hill: "W. Cunningham."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat."

John Jerome Hill: "Pappas."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Kleine."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Kleine on the floor?"

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Kipley."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How. . ."

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Nowlan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, Representative Nowlan's in his seat. Who was that Representative?"

John Jerome Hill: "Kahoun. Kahoun."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Kahoun is in his seat. Representative Gibbs has returned, Mr. Clerk, would you put him back on the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Miller. Miller."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Ken Miller is in his seat, sir."

John Jerome Hill: "Pete Miller."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Pete, looks like he's in his seat. Is Representative, yes. . .how is the gentleman recorded as voting?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Epton."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Epton on the floor? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "That's it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Meyer, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

J. Theodore Meyer: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

J. Theodore Meyer: "Very good, very good."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Brinkmeier, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Robert E. Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The, how is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'Yes'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there further questions, Representative Hill?"

John Jerome Hill: "Yes, Pate Philip."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Elwood Graham."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Graham on the floor?"

He just went on the roll call a couple of minutes ago. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the roll call."

John Jerome Hill: "Gardner."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative McAvoy, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Walter McAvoy: "How am I recorded?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

John Jerome Hill: "Tuerk."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Tuerk on the floor?"

There he is over on your side, felbws."

John Jerome Hill: "Cox."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is Representative Cox recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The Representative is recorded as not voting."

John Jerome Hill: "Hirschfeld."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's on the floor."

John Jerome Hill: "Springer."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Springer's in his seat."

John Jerome Hill: "J. W. Thompson."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is Representative Thompson on the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Chapman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mrs. Eugenia S. Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the lady recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The lady is recorded as not voting."

Mrs. Eugenia S. Chapman: "I vote 'Yeas'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the lady as voting 'Yeas'."

John Jerome Hill: "Harpstrite."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's in his seat."

John Jerome Hill: "Rep- . . ."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Edward E. Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order. Now, we are here verifying a roll call to determine whether or not a man was present and actually did vote, and I don't think we can verify it any better than to have that man get up asking how he voted and ask to be recorded. Now, that man was recorded, he should not be taken off the roll call. I ask for a ruling on that. Now, that's on Representative Elwood Graham."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ruling must be Representative Bluthardt that the gentleman has to be on the floor at the time of the verification. Are there further questions? Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Rolland F. Tipsword: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "Vote me, 'Yeas', please."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as voting 'Yeas'. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Brian B. Duff: "Is Representative Calvo still on the floor?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, he is."

Brian B. Duff: "Caldwell, I'm sorry."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Clyde L. Choate: "Now the gentleman that is asking these questions had his so-called day in court when he verified the



affirmative vote and you have passed that order of business of verifying the affirmative vote, the vote has been verified, negative and affirmative, and I would suggest that the Clerk tally the vote and announce the results."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Philip W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, if I understand the motion to verify is not to verify the affirmative or negative, but its a motion to verify the roll call, so whether we've passed the affirmative or not, I would suggest that we could go back to it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise?"

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Choate's point is correct and I'm willing to concede it is, you ought to take Mrs. Chapman off because she came on the verification, the affirmative after it was completed. Now, you can't have it both ways, gentlemen, how do you want it? Mr. Tipsword as well."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Clyde L. Choate: "I'm glad that the distinguished Majority Leader agrees with me, at least in part. Those peoples name was not called on the verification, so they were not verified. They do have an opportunity to vote, and you full-well know it until the roll call is announced."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise?"



Henry J. Hyde: "I submit, Mr. Speaker, that there is no final verification until you announce the vote, both affirmative and negative. You have not done so, therefore the verification is a continuing procedure; call it reverification if you want, but until you announce the vote, there is no finality to the verification procedure."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Clyde L. Choate: "I was wondering if the Clerk had tallied the vote. I'm sure he has, as he's quite confident and efficient in his duties there, and I would suggest that the Speaker announce the roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Hyde, for the for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Henry J. Hyde: "Well, if the Speaker has not announced the tally, I would like to know how Mr. Caldwell is reported as voting? And if he is. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise, sir? Ed Madigan."

Edward R. Madigan: "Ah, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'No'."

Edward R. Madigan: "I'd like to be recorded as voting 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as voting 'No'."

Now, an inquiry has been made as to how Representative Caldwell has voted. Would the Clerk please tell us how he has voted."





Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'Yes'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is he in his seat? Take him off the roll call. Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise?"

Henry J. Hyde: "How is Mr. Houlihan recorded as voting?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "He is recorded as voting 'Yeas'."

Henry J. Hyde: "I suggest he be taken off the roll, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "On this. . . Representative Houlihan was not taken off the roll call. On this question, the . . . Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Clyde L. Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I want to change my vote from 'Yeas' to 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as voting 'No'."

Clyde L. Choate: "Now, we're ready for a roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Clerk. . ."

Clyde L. Choate: "Does that make it 60 to 58 now?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "On this question the 'Yeas' are 58, the 'Nays' are 60 and the gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment Number Two to House Bill 3682 fails. The gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise?"

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I now move the vote by which this amendment was lost lie upon the table, ah, be reconsidered."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Philip W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I move that motion lie upon the table."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the gentleman's motion to. . ."

Voice: "Roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The question is on Representative Collins' motion to table. All those in favor of the gentleman's motion to table signify by voting 'Yeas', the opposed by voting 'No'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the 'Yeas' are 65 and the 'Nays' are 76, and the gentleman's motion to table fails. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Philip W. Collins: "To request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Clyde L. Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that this House stand adjourned until Monday at 1:00 P.M."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, that is not a debatable motion. The question is shall Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise?"

Henry J. Hyde: "Well, I submit that to foreclose the verification, when which was requested prior to the gentleman's motion, to fore-, a point of order, I have a point of order."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman, Representative Shea,



please. The gentleman has is not debating the question of adjourning, he's debating a question of parliamentary inquiry or a point of order. Now, Representative Hyde has requested a point of order, I think he's entitled to that point, he's not debating an issue."

Henry J. Hyde: "Now, Mr. Speaker, as I was trying to point out, the gentleman is is foreclosing a verification of a vote by making his motion to adjourn and in so doing the attendance or non-attendance will change and there will be no verification of the last vote. I submit that that is improper and that the verification ought to proceed and following the verification you can entertain his motion to adjourn."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman has put a proper motion. I've consulted with the parliamentarian, it is not debatable. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Philip W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, is the motion properly put? It was stated at Monday at 1:00, he did not specify a date."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The rules indicate that a motion to adjourn need not be to a time certain."

Philip W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I suggest this was an attempt to make it a time certain and was not well stated."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that inas-



much as we're going to extend the time for hearing on various bills next week that this bill remain on second reading until Monday and then we can get on about our business."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, then you're withdrawing your motion to adjourn. All right, we'll leave the bill on second reading. 2780 through 2790."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2780, a bill for an act concerning public utilities. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2781, a bill for an act to amend 'The Illinois Gas Pipeline Safety Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2782, a bill for an act to amend 'The Illinois Motor Carrier Property Tax'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2783, a bill for an act to amend 'The Electric Supplier Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2784, a bill for an act to increase the power of railroad, union, depot and terminal companies. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2785, a bill for an act to add Section 4 to an act requiring railroads and railroad companies to provide their employees on trains with adequate supply of water. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2786, a bill for an act to inrelation to fencing and operating railroads. No committee amendments. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2787, a bill for an act in regard to the danger at



railroad crossings. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2788, a bill for an act to in relation to crossing one railroad by another. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2789, a bill for an act to protect persons and property from danger of crossings at junctions of railroads. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 2790, a bill for an act to add Section 10 to an act concerning the use of eminent domain. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "28- . . .any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 2802."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2802, a bill for an act to add Section 1a to the Credit Union Act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 2803."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2803, a bill for an act to add Section 1a to the Financial Planning and Management Service Act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "2806 through 2817."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2806, a bill for an act to add Section .01a of an act in relation to the definition, licensing and regulation of community currency exchanges. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2807, a bill for an act to add Section 29a of the 'Uniform Dis-



position of Unclaimed Property Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2808, a bill for an act to add Section 2a to the 'Illinois Development Corporation Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2809, a bill for an act to add Section 7 to an act authorizing foreign corporations, including banks in the fiduciary capacity. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2810, a bill for an act to add Section 1a to the State General Exchange Act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2811, a bill for an act to add Section 8a and Section 8 to an act in relation to the buying and selling of foreign exchange. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2812, a bill for an act to add Section 13a to an act to provide for the incorporation, and management of regulation of pawners society. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2813, a bill for an act to add Section 19 to an act to provide for and regulate administration of trusts by trust companies. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2814, a bill for an act to add Section 7 to an act authorizing foreign corporations to act and to share a capacity. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2815, a bill for an act to add Section 83 of the 'Illinois Banking Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 2816, a bill for an act to add Section 1-2a of the 'Illinois State Zone Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3650."

Fredric B. Selcke: "3650. House Bill 3650, a bill for an act to relating to closed circuit television and certain athletic events. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. Ah, for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis, rise?"

Corneal A. Davis: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of privilege for the point of introduction, a short introduction, please. Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have the privilege of presenting to the members of this House of Representatives of the State of Illinois and the land of Lincoln, some proud young Americans from Lverage School of Maywood, Illinois, in the east gallery and in the north gallery if they'll stand."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, there's just four or five items here and we'll be on our way. Ah, on the Speaker's table on the order of concurrences appears H.B. 255, on which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Anderson."

Merle K. Anderson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment Number One cut from \$25,000.00 to \$15,000.00, a saving of \$10,000.00 from appropriation to the Recreational Lake in Northern Illinois. This bill, the original bill was sponsored by Representative Brinkmeier,



Representative Shapiro and myself. And so I would move that the House concur with Senate Amendment Number One to House Bill 255. And I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, but I couldn't hear Representative Anderson's explanation of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman want to re-explain the amendment."

Merle K. Anderson: "What didn't he hear? Ah, I may start over and say again that the Senate Amendment Number One to House Bill 255 cuts \$10,000.00 off the appropriation to make a feasibility study of a Recreational Lake in Northern Illinois. And this bill is sponsored by Representative Brinkmeier, Shapiro and myself. And I would move that the House now concur with Senate Amendment Number One to House Bill 255. I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment Number One to House Bill 255? All those in favor will vote 'Yeas' and the opposed 'No'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, the 'Yeas' are 124 and the 'Nays' are 0 and the House concurs in Senate Amendment Number One to House Bill 255. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



the passage of a bill of the following title, House Bill 1311. Together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate, as amended, October 28, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary of the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of bills of the following titles, House Bill 813, House Bill 1202. Passed by the Senate, October 28, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary of the Senate. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following preamble and joint resolution, to-wit: House Joint Resolution 98. Concurred in by the Senate, October 28, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary of the Senate. No further messages."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask for unanimous consent to suspend the provisions of Rule 93b so that I may orally move to extend the to suspend that portion of Rule 32c reading October 29, final date for third reading and passage of House Bills and that date be extended until November 2, which is Tuesday, so the thrust of my motion will be to extend until next Tuesday the final date for third reading and passage of House Bills. So I now move to suspend the provisions of 93b so that that motion may be made."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there objection? Unanimous consent having been given, 92b has been suspended



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

for the purpose of the gentleman requested, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "I now move that the Rule 32c be suspended in so far as it pertains to October 29, final date for third reading and passage of House Bills. And that that final date be changed unto November 2."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Which is next Tuesday."

Henry J. Hyde: "Which is next Tuesday."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there objection? Hearing none, then unanimous consent will be given to change that part of Rule 32c to read November 2, 1971, rather than October 29. Introduction and first reading. Introduction and first reading of House Bills."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3740, Lindberg. A bill for an act appropriating to the Board of Ethics. First reading of the bill. House Bill 3741, Schneider. A bill for an act to convey certain real estate belonging to the State of Illinois and located in DuPage County to the Forest Preserve District of DuPage County. First reading of the bill. House Bill 3742, Jaffe. A bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. First reading of the bill. House Bill 3743, Fleck. A bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act in relation to the effective date of laws. First reading of the bill. House Bill 3744, McCormick. An act to regulate certain lobbying activities and to require economic disclosure ancillary thereto. First reading of the bill."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Does the gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick rise to inquire of the Chair whether or not the bill is germane? To the business before the House?"

C. L. McCormick: "No, no, Mr. Speaker, I would like to have the appropriate rule suspended and have unanimous consent of this House to advance this bill to have it read the first time, which it has been done, and have it advanced to the order of second reading if it would be pleasing to the House. I have talked with the leadership on both sides of the aisle and I'll be glad then to open it up on second reading for anybody's amendments, all the discussion, and I would pray that the Chair would have plenty of time next week."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the . . ."

C. L. McCormick: "I need unanimous consent."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there leave? All right, the rules have been suspended for the purpose of requested and the bill having been read a first time will be advanced to the order of second reading without reference to committee. Agreed resolutions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Resolution 442, Springer, et.al. House Resolution 443, Maragos, et.al."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, these are the agreed resolutions. House Resolution 443 is a death resolution memorializing Terry Charles Green. House Resolution 444 is a memorial resolution to Walter C. Klingelhofer. And I move



adoption of the agreed resolutions."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the agreed resolutions are adopted. Further resolutions?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Resolution 437, Hudson."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman, in Executive, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that this House do stand in recess until the Hour of 1:00 O'Clock P.M. this coming Monday, November 1."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the House is adjourned until Monday at 1:00 O'Clock P.M."

Adjourn at 3:15 O'Clock P.M.

10/29/71  
skn.

