HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDREDTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

OCTOBER 27, 1971

11:00 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR,

SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR



A roll call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative John P. Downes - illness;

Representative Benedict Garmisa - illness;

Representative Oral 'Jake' Jacobs - illness;

Representative Charles F. Keller - illness;

Representative Frank J. Smith - illness.



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The House will be in order and the doorkeeper will see that those not entitled to the floor are removed therefrom. The invocation this morning will be given by Dr. Johnson, the House Chaplain."
- Dr. John Johnson: "We pray. Almighty God, without whose goodness and strength we are not able to live, we thank you for all of the things you have given us to enjoy and have: health and strength, food and shelter, the love and care of home, the joys of friendship, the opportunities for work and service. Empty us of selfishness as we go about the tasks before us in this session. Increase our sense of responsibility, stir up our zeal, deepen our convictions as they reflect your will, preserve us from impatience and a sense of futility when our views are misunderstood, give us renewed diligence when we tire, both physically and emotionally, from the strain of the day's calendar. Bless the successes we win and use them to further the prosperity and peace of all our people. Hear us as we pray with the confidence that you are not only able to hear, but to answer. And now we ask you to hold your hand of mercy and healing over the Fredrica, the wife of Representative Bruce Douglas, who will undergo surgery tomorrow. Bless the medical skill of those who will minister to her and according to your will of grace, grant her speedy recovery. Amen."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Roll call for attendance. Committee
reports."



Fredric B. Selcke: "Mr. Juckett. . . "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One, one moment, please. For what purpose does the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce rise?"

Daniel M. Pierce: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, may the Journal show that Representatives Garmisa, Downes, Jacobs and Smith are excused for illness."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Journal will so show." Fredric B. Selcke: "Mr. Juckett, from the Committee on Executive, to which House Bills 3642 and 3643 were referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the amendments do be adopted, that the bills, as amended, do pass and that it be re-referred to the Appropriations Committee. Mr. Juckett, from the Committee on Executive, to which House Bill 3661 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bill do pass and that it be re-referred to the Appropriations Committee. Mr. Juckett, from the Committee on Executive, to which House Bill 3736 was referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and that the bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Regner, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 3642, 3643 and 3661 were referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and that the bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Regner, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 3068, 3543, 3621, 3622, 3702, 3721, 3722, 3723, 3724 and 3725 were referred,



reported the same back with the recommendation that the bills do pass. Mr. Regner, from Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 3039, 3572, 3720 were referred, reported the same back with amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and that the bill, as amended, do pass. No further committee reports."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of bills of the following titles: House Bill 254, 336, 500, 840 and 1275. Passed by the Senate, October 26, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Governor's amendment to a bill of the following title: House Bill Number 844. Concurred in by the Senate, October 26, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Governor's amendment to a bill of the following title: House Bill Number 845. Concurred in by the Senate, October 26, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the Governor's amendment to a bill of the following title: House Bill Number 1842. Concurred in by the



Senate, October 26, 1971. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I'd like to move as many of these bills on second reading to third reading as we can today, so I would suggest that those of you those of you who have bills on second reading if you want them to be considered for advancement to third, that you notify the Chair, and I suggest that we have some order in the chamber. All right, House Bills second reading. All right, 3735."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3735. Bill for an act to amend Sections 11-4 and 11-7 of 'The School Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 1611."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1611. Bill for an act to amend Section 8-10 of the 'Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 1611 on page 1, line 1, by striking 'Section 8-10' and inserting in lieu thereof 'Sections 7-12, 7-14, 8-9, 8-10 and 10-14'; and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J.
  Wolf."
- Jacob John Wolf: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House,
  Amendment Number One to House Bill 1611 makes a substantial
  type of change in the bill. It is now becoming a bill for
  the placement of names on the ballot in order which is
  based on that which is done for the Judicial Retention



Ballot and I move the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3552."

Fredric B. Selcke: "What?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "3552."

- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3552. A bill for an act to amend Section 7-10 of 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any from the floor? Third reading. 3560."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3560. Bill for an act to amend the 'Election Code'. Second reading of the bill.

  No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor?"
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, J. J. Wolf. Amend
  House Bill 3560 on page 1, line 1 and 6, by striking '7-10
  and 8-8' and inserting in lieu thereof '7-10, 8-8 and
  10-3'; and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J.
  Wolf."
- Jacob John Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,

  Amendment Number One to House Bill 3560 specifies that the

  minimum and maximum number of signatures would only be used

  in the election following immediately following a census

  and thereafter would revert back to the requirement that it



be one half per cent of the vote cast in the primary of that particular party. This amendment also makes provisions for independent candidates to circulate petitions specifying the proper number for independence, and I move its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Daniel M. Pierce: "Will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates that he will."

Daniel M. Pierce: "After reapportionment that we're in now,

in December, 13 through 20, will it be a standard number of

signatures for Representative and General Assembly petitions,

Jacob John Wolf: "Ah, no, what this, well the bill provides for the General Assembly, a member of either party in the primary election following a reapportionment year, it will not be at least 200 and not more than 1,000 signatures to get on the ballot and only in the election following a reapportionment, because we don't really have any precinct lines yet. And after that it will revert back to the one half of one per cent of the primary vote cast in that particular party in the preceding election."

Daniel M. Pierce: "Thank you."

is that what this bill provides?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."
Samuel C. Maragos: "Would the sponsor yield to a question?"
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates that he will."

Samuel C. Maragos: "Representative Wolf, why do you have a



maximum ceiling on this, we understand why the minimum, but why the maximum?"

Jacob John Wolf: "Well, it's normally done for other races, such as Ward Committeeman or County Chairman and a representative of the Chicago Board of Election Commissioners was in the committee and he felt that it would be good to hold to that. I was flexible as far as the maximum goes, but because it was done in other offices, we just held that and gave enough leeway from 200 to 1,000 and from 500 to 1,500 for Congressional contests, which gives enough leeway for a candidate."

Samuel C. Maragos: "All right, thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 1555."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1555. A bill for an act to amend 'The Illinois Income Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. Two committee amendments. Amendment Number One, Amend House Bill 1555 on page 2, line 12, by striking 'beginning on or after January 1, 1972', and inserting in lieu thereof 'ending after June 30, 1971'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Charles J. Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendments One and

Two, particularly one, makes this bill effective the taxable
year beginning June 30th, 1971, and the Committee Amendment
Number Two makes the earnings of National Banks doing bus-



iness in. . ."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait, we're we're just on one, one at a time."
- Charles J. Fleck: "I move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Will the sponsor yield?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Can we go back and make it for this period of time even though the bill isn't signed until after the period of time?"
- Charles J. Fleck: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear the whole question then."
- Gerald W. Shea: "We're we're attempting to go back and say a taxable year starting June 30, 1971, which would make it retrospective in its operation."
- Charles J. Fleck: "This is the taxable year 1971 presently.

  We're not making anything retroactive regarding the tax

  because we're in that year. We're just this legislation

  will create the year beginning, instead of going back to

  January 1st, we're taking June 30th as the taxable year.

  We're giving them six months, three, free from any tax

  state tax on the national bank profit. That's all it does.
- Gerald W. Shea: "All right, now I understand that there's a bill presently before Congress to allow states to tax their income. Without that bill will this have any effect?"



- Charles J. Fleck: "There is a bill which has been passed in Congress, in particular, it was passed, Public Law 91-156 and it went into affect in 1969."
- Gerald W. Shea: "There's another one pending, too, isn't
   there?"
- Charles J. Fleck: "There is one pending, but no action has been taken on it. The present law states that if the state legislatures take affirmative action that the National Bank profits may be taxed by the State Income Tax,..."

  Gerald W. Shea: "Thank you."
- Charles J. Fleck: ". . . And that's the law that we're working under now."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?"
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment Number Two. Amend
  House Bill 1555 on page 2, line 13, by striking 'located
  within' and inserting in lieu thereof 'earning or receiving
  income in'."
- Charles J. Fleck: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment Number Two merely provides that any national bank which might have a branch office in this state or is earning income in this state is taxable to that amount under state income tax. I move adoption of Committee Amendment Number Two."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? The gentleman from



Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

Bernard B. Wolfe: "Will the gentleman yield for a question Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Bernard B. Wolfe: "Ah, Representative Fleck, the effect of the amendment is to tax earning and profits received within the state, rather than in the location, in other words, if there is a branch in the state that has no earnings or income of any kind, they would not be subject to, is that the point of the amendment?"

Charles J. Fleck: "Yes, it is."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading.

  3652."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3652. Bill for an act to amend Section 2a and 12 of the beauty of the act relating to the practice of beauty culture. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3611."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3611. Bill for an act to amend Sections 225 of the 'Revenue Act of 1939'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3717."



- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3717. Bill for an act to amend Section 25 and to add Section 25.34 to an act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3651."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3651. Bill for an act to amend 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill.

  One Committee Amendment. Amend House Bill 3651 in line 13, by striking the words 'his parents' and inserting in lieu thereof 'anyone other than himself'; and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "3-... The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."
- John C. Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the committee amendment in question was an amend ment to clean up the language of the bill because of a mistake made by the Legislative Reference Bureau. It was discussed in committee and I don't think there would be any particular problem with this particular amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Is there a further amendment?"
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Hirschfeld. Amend House Bill 3651, in line 13, by striking the words 'his parents' and inserting in lieu thereof 'anyone other than himself'; and in line 14, by striking the words 'his par-



- ents' and inserting in lieu thereof the words 'the party
  claiming him as an exemption'; and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."
- John C. Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. . "
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Just just one one moment. Yeah, there is a problem here, do you want to come up, Mr. Hirschfeld?

  For what purpose does the gentleman from Christian, Mr.

  Tipsword rise?"
- Rolland F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, ah, I certainly don't want to slow up the procedures of the House, but just a matter of inquiry. Have has this bill and other bills recently filed been distributed on most of the members' desk? I find that I don't have it. The last one that I had was 3545."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Clerk advises me that they have been distributed. We'll have one of the pages look in your book that to see that it's up-to-date. For what purpose does the gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld rise?"
- John C. Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll move to table Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 3651."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there objection? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."
- Arthur E. Simmons: "I thought that One had been adopted and



\O'\

Howard W. Carroll: "Will the sponsor yield to a question,
please?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Howard W. Carroll: "John, would you explain what the difference was between this one and the committee amendment? Would you please explain the difference between this and the committee amendment? You said it was just one line different."

John C. Hirschfeld: "The only difference between this amendment and the amendment that was in the Committee, Representative Carroll, was that Representative Rayson raised a question in the committee as to the affidavit form it didn's swear state where you were swearing you were voting to, so we added the words 'where we are voting in this state, county and precinct'. That's the only change."

Howard W. Carroll: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. All right, 810."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 810. A bill for an act to amend Sections 2-2, 2-3 and so forth of 'The Juvenile Court Act'. Second reading of the bill. Three committee amendments. Amendments One and Two were tabled in committee. Committee Amendment Number Three. Amend House Bill 810 by striking line 1 through 4 on page 1 and inserting in lieu thereof the following, and so forth."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."

Harold Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this amendment and all subsequent amendments dealing with this series of about 500 bills were agreed upon in committee after study in a sub-committee dealing with the Code of Corrections. There is no opposition to any of these amendments, and what it amounts to is that for the next twenty minutes Mr. Fred Selcke and I will have a colloquy between us. I move adoption of the committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Do you want to do it on the House floor? All right, is there discussion concerning Amendment Number Three to Committee Amendment Number Three to House Bill 810? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Is there further amendments? Third reading. 811."

Harold Washington: "Mr. Speaker."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House bill. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait, wait a minute, for what purpose does. . ."

Harold Washington: "There's one more amendment Mr. Selcke." Hon. W. Robert Blair: "On 810?"

Harold Washington: "There's amendment number four also to be adopted."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Clerk says he does not have it here, up here."



- Harold Washington: "Will you hold that bill on second, we'll have to go get that amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, let's take 8-, with leave, let's take 810 back to second reading, awaiting amendment number four, and we'll move on to 811."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait, wait, for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons rise? Did you have an inquiry?"
- Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, it had to do with Amendment Number Four in the last bill. Strange thing about it, it says Amendment Number Four, amend Amendment Number Three, and I was going to question that procedure, but it was taken out of the record."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, we're back on House Bill 810 on Amendment Number Four."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Four. Amend Amendment Number Three to House Bill 810 on page 1, line 5, by inserting '4-2' and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."
- Harold Washington: "I move adoption of Amendment Number Four to House Bill 810."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."
- Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the first time in my memory that we've ever amended an amendment. We



usually use a word 'amend House Bill so and so as amended,'
rather than amend Amendment Number Three, and I wonder if
we're going into a different practice now?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the point is well taken.

The amendment number four now reads 'Amendment Number Four,

Amend House Bill 810 as amended'. All right, now is there
discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the
amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have
it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 811."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 811 Bill for an act to create a comprehensive code of corrections in relation to diagnose and evaluation of offenders and persons charged with offenses, the sentencing, correction and parole of offenders, compensation of certain victims of crimes and violence and establish a commission to make the compensation awards, and establishment of Division of Probation Services within the administrative office of the Illinois Courts, to be administered by it and to repeal certain acts and parts of acts herein named. Second reading of the bill Four committee amendments. Committee amendments number one and two were tabled in committee. Amendment Number Three, Amend House Bill 811 by striking everything after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following, and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr.Harold Washington."



- Harold Washington: "This is another agreed amendment in the Judiciary Committee and also the sub-committee of the Judiciary Committee. I move adoption of Amendment Number Three to House Bill 811."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? The question is shall Amendment Number Three be adopted? All those in favor shall vote 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Four. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, wait just a minute, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Arthur E. Simmons: "This Number Four also tends in the wording, I think it should be changed."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Clerk has already changed it, I think the. . ."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Four, Amend House Bill 811, as amended onpage 1, line 15, by inserting immediately after line 14 the following and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."
- Harold Washington: "I move adoption of Amendment Number Four to House Bill 811."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor say 'Yeas', all those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it, and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 1976."



- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1976. Bill for an act to amend Sections 2-7, 2-11 and so forth of the 'Criminal Code of 1961'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 1976 on page 1, line 33, by inserting 'death or to' immediately after 'sentence to'; and by inserting 'in a penitentiary' immediately after 'imprisonment'; and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

  Joseph G. Sevcik: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of

  Amendment Number One to House Bill 1976."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is hereby adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 1977 through 1990."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1977, a bill for an act to amend Section 12 and 38 of the 'Uniform Code of Uniform Narcotic Drug Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 1978, a bill for an act to amend Section 4 of an act to regulate the possession, delivery, sale or exchange of hypodermic syringes and needles. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 1979, a bill for an act to amend Section 6 of the Illinois Antitrust Act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 1980, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act in relation to Blind Persons accompanied by a guide dog in place of public commodation. Second reading



of the bill. No committee amendments. 1981, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act in relation to the use of stationery or any organization of any state supported university, college or other institution of higher education. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments 1982, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act to prohibit solicitation, inducement, sale of purchase of real estate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin or ancestry. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 1983, a bill for an act to amend Section 4 of an act prohibiting sale or use of certain compounds for the purpose of the user of inducing intoxication condition. Second reading of the bill. No committee amend 1984, a bill for an act to amend Section 7 of an act to provide protection for the public safety by regulating the sale, offering or exposing for sale the retail or use of air rifles, defining air rifles and posing duties state highway police and so forth. Second reading of the No committee amendments. 1985, a bill for an act to amend Section 14 of an act relating to acquisition, possession, transfer of firearms and so forth. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. House Bill 1986 a bill for an act to amend Section 7 of an act regulating the right to board certain aircraft and so forth. reading of the bill. No committee amendments. bill foran act to amend Section 8 of 'The Illinois Public Demonstration Law'. Second reading of the bill. No com-



mittee amendments. 1988, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act in relation to legislative conduct and prescribing penalties a for violation thereof. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 1989, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act to prohibit the knowledge of, destruction or mutilation of registration certificate and so forth. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 1990, a bill for an act to amend Sections 102-16 and so forth of the 'Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. All right, House Bills 3082 through 3534, except for those bills indicated under the note which have amendments, and we'll go to those after the clerk goes through these."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3082, House Bill 3083, House Bill 3084, 3085, 3086, 3088, 3089, 3090, 3091, 3092, 3094, 3095, 3096, 3097, 3098, 3099, 3100, 3101, 3102, 3103, 3104, 3105, 3106, 3107, 3108, 3109, 3110, 3111, 3112, 3113, 3114, 3115, 3116, 3117, 3118, 3119, 3120, 3121, 3122, 3123, 3124, 3125, 3126, 3127, 3128, 3129, 3130, 3131, 3132, 3133, 3134, 3135, 3136, 3137, 3138, 3142, 3143, 3144, 3145, 3148, 3149, 3150, 3151, 3152, 3153, 3155, 3156, 3157, 3159, 3160, 3161, 3162, 3163, 3164, 3165, 3166, 3167, 3168, 3169, 3170, 3171, 3172, 3173, 3174, 3175, 3176, 3177, 3178, 3179, 3180, 3181, 3182, 3183, 3184, 3185, 3186, 3187, 3188, 3189, 3190, 3191,



3192, 3193, 3194, 3195, 3197, 3199, 3201, 3203, 3205, 3207, 3208, 3209, 3210, 3211, 3213, 3213, 3214, 3215, 3216, 3217, 3218, 3219, 3220, 3222, 3223, 3224, 3225, 3226, 3227, 3228, 3229, 3230, 3231, 3232, 3233, 3234, 3235, 3236, 3237, 3238, 3240, 3241, 3242, 3243, 3244, 3245, 3246, 3247, 3248, 3249, 3253, 3254, 3255, 3257, 3258, 3259, 3260, 3261, 3262, 3263, 3264, 3265, 3266, 3267, 3268, 3269, 3270, 3271, 3272, 3273, 3274, 3275, 3278, 3280, 3283, 3284, 3288, 3290, 3291, 3292, 3293, 3294, 3297, 3298, 3302, 3303, 3304, 3306, 3307, 3308, 3309, 3310, 3311, 3312, 3313, 3314, 3315, 3316, 3317, 3318, 3319, 3320, 3321, 3322, 3323, 3324, 3326, 3327, 3328, 3329, 3331, 3332, 3333, 3335, 3336, 3338, 3340, 3341, 3342, 3344, 3346, 3347, 3349, 3350, 3351, 3352, 3354, 3357, 3358, 3359, 3360, 3362, 3364, 3366, 3369, 3370, 3371, 3372, 3373, 3375, 3376, 3377, 3378, 3379, 3380, 3381, 3382, 3383, 3384, 3385, 3387, 3388, 3391, 3392, 3393, 3394, 3395, 3396, 3397, 3398, 3399, 3400, 3401, 3402, 3403, 3404, 3406, 3407, 3408, 3409, 3410, 3411, 3412, 3413, 3414, 3415, 3416, 3418, 3419, 3422, 3424, 3425, 3426, 3427, 3431, 3432, 3433, 3434, 3435, 3436, 3438, 3439, 3440, 3442, 3446, 3449, 3450, 3451, 3452, 3455, 3456, 3457, 3458, 3459, 3461, 3463, 3466, 3467, 3468, 3469, 3470, 3472, 3473, 3474, 3476, 3477, 3480, 3483, 3485, 3486, 3488, 3489, 3490, 3491, 3492, 3493, 3494, 3495, 3496, 3497, 3498, 3499, 3502, 3503, 3505, 3506, 3508, 3509, 3510, 3511, 3513, 3514, 3515, 3516, 3517, 3519, 3520, 3522, 3525, 3529, 3530, 3531, 3532, and 3534. Second reading of the bills. No committee amendments."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. All right, now then, we'll go down through those House Bills starting under the note commencing with 3087, because these have amendments. These are the Statutory Revisionary Bills."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3087, a bill for an act to amend 'The Illinois Fertilizer Act of 1961'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 3087, page 1, line 30, by striking 'less than \$200.00 nor', and inserting in lieu thereof 'less than \$200.00 nor' and on page 1, line 31, by striking '\$400.00' and inserting in lieu thereof '\$500.00'."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, ah, all right, ah, Amendment Number One to House Bill 3087, the House Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."
- Joseph G. Sevcik: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I move adoption of the Amendment Number One."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Is there any further amendment?"
- Joseph G. Sevcik: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, we have about 100 amendments here, they're all technical penalty amendments and I'm wondering if we if there is a rule that we can suspend and adopt them at all at one time?"
- Hon, W. Robert Blair: "Well. . ."
- Joseph G. Sevcik: "I understand if we have 89 votes we can."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, I don't think that's a good practice, and I think that we should adopt each of these amendments, but I think we'll just let your explanation stand, if I understand you correctly, the explanation you gave to the amendment on 3087, stand as the explanation for each amendment on this series of bills and I'll simply ask for discussion and then move the adoption of the amendment, and that'll speed it up, but instead of doing it in one fell swoop, I think it would be preferable to do it in this fashion. All right, third reading on 3087. 3090."

- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3090, a bill for an act to amend Section 8 of the 'Economic Poison Law'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', third reading. Any further amendments, a little fast there, any further amendments? Third reading. 3093."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3093, a bill for an act to amend Section 111.20 and 12 and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments, or any discussion?

  All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say

  'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3119
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3119, a bill for an act to amend Section 3 of an act to regulate the shooting of domestic pigeons, fowl or other birds and so forth. Second



- reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3139."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3139, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act prohibiting the solicitation of legal business for remuneration and provide a penalty therefore and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it. Any further amendments? Third reading 3140."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3140, a bill for an act to amend Section

  26 of an act to revise the law in relation to 'Criminal

  Jurisprudence'. Second reading of the bill. One committee

  amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments or any discussion?

  All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say
  'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading.

  3141."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3141, a bill for an act to amend Sections 42-j, 43a, 43b, 53 and 79 of an act relating to aeronautics and repealing a certain act therein named."

Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment, "



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3146."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3146, a bill for an act to amend Section
  `l of an act to prohibit carrying away parts of an aircraft
  in a crash and providing penalty violation thereof. Second
  reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3147."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3147, a bill for an act to amend Section 12, 14 and 14.1 of 'The Bail Bond Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3154."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3154, a bill for an act to amend Section
  4 of the "Sale of Exchange Act.' Second reading of the bill.
  No, one committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? 'All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Third reading. 3158."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3158, a bill for an act to



- amend Sections 8, 15, 17, 24 of an act to revise law in relation to the Illinois-Michigan Canal and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted.

  Third reading. 31-, 3196."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3196, a bill for an act to amend Section 9-306.01 'Uniform Commercial Code'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: | "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted.

  Further amendments? Third reading. 3198."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3198, a bill for an act to amend Sections 5 and 6 of an act concerning discrimination, on account of race, color or creed, and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted.

  Further amendments? Third reading. 3200."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3200, a bill for an act to amend Sections 3 of an act concerning the purchases by Illinois Institutions of commodities grown or produced in other states. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further, third reading. 3202."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3203, a bill for an act to add Sections 121, 122, 123 to an act revising law in relation to Criminal Jurisprudence. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3204."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3204, a bill for an act to amend Sections 12 and 25 in an act that provides law in relation to coroners. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3206."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3206, a bill for an act to amend Sections 65, 66, 80 and 81 of 'The General Not For Profit Corporation Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any fur-



ther amendments? Third reading, 3221."

- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3221, a bill for an act to Sections 25.12 and so forth of an act to revise the law in relation to county. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3239."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3239, a bill for an act to amend Section 7 of the 'Sanitary District Act of 1917'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3250."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3250, a bill for an act to amend Sections 2 and 5 of an act concerning the hours of employment of females in certain occupations. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments? Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3251."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3251, a bill for an act to amend Section 7 of an act to promote the public health in



- comfort of persons by providing for one day of rest in seven. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3252."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3252, a bill for an act to amend Section 19 of an act to regulate the employment of children, repeal an act herein named, Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of this amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendment? Third reading. 3256."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3256, an act for an act to amend Section 5 of an act relating to the manufacture of butterine and ice cream. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3276."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3276, a bill for an act to amend Section 28 of the 'Professional Engineers Act'.

  Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? All those



- in favor of adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3277."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3277, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 and 2 of an act to prevent oppressive garnishment and the transferring of claims for the purpose of depriving debtors of their exemption rights. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3279."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3279, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act to require protective covering or fencing on or about dangerous excavations. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3281."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3281, a bill for an act to amend Section 36 of the 'Fish Code' of Illinois. Second reading of the bill. One Committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third Reading. 3282."



- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3282, a bill for an act to amend Section 5 of an act providing for the placing of the United States National Flags on school houses and on other public buildings and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the adoption of the amendment? All those in favor of the adoption say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3285."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3285, a bill for an act to amend Section 3 of an act to regulate the manufacture of and sale of oleo margarine and other substances and mixtures and compounds made in imitation or semblance of butter.

  Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion? The question is shall the amendment be adopted? All those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', and the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3286."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3286, a bill for an act to amend Section 6 of an act to regulate the sale of oleo margarine and other substances and so forth. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion on the committee amendment? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor vote 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay',



- the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3287."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3287, a bill for an act to amend Section 13 of an act regulating the handling, sale and processing of eggs, and egg products and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3289."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "3289, a bill for an act to amend Section 8 and 13 of an act to prevent the preparation, manufacture, packing, storing or distributing of food intended for sale or sale of food under insanitary, unhealthy and unclean conditions or surroundings and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3291."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3291, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 and 2 of an act regulating the sale of milk, to add penalties therefore. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question



- is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3295."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3295, a bill for an act to amend Section 19 of an act relating to the license of dairy plant operators. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3296."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3296, a bill for an act to amend Section 8 of the 'Bulk Milk Tank Operators' Licensing Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas' the opposed 'Nay', the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 3299."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3299, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act in relation to kosher meat and meat preparation. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in



- favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3300."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3300, a bill for an act to amend Sections 5, 9 and 19 of the 'Meat and Poultry Inspection Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3301."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3301, a bill for an act to amend Sections 5 of the Illinois Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor vote 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3305."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3305, a bill for an act to amend Section 10 of an act to provide for the creation of intensive forest fire protection districts and so forth Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments. One committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question



- is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor vote 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3325."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3325, a bill for an act to amend Section 19 and 22 of an act to define license and regulate the business of making loans of \$800.00 and less and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3330."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3330, a bill for an act to amend Section 6 of an act concerning leases and real estate. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3334."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3334, a bill for an act to amend the sections of an act revising law in relation to mechanics lien. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3337."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3337, a bill for an act to amend Sections in an act revising law in relation to practice and treatment of human ailements. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3339."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3339, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act in relation to physical therapy. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3343."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3343, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act to regulate the practice of chiropody \_ in the State of Illinois. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question



- is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3345."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3345, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act for the prevention of blindness. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? All right, the question is shall the committee amendment be adopted?

  All those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed say 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

  Third reading. House Bill 3348."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3348, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act requiring leasees, representatives, successors, or signers of leases of recorded coal or mineral leases, and so forth. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? All right, the question is shall the committee amendment be adopted?

  All those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed say 'Nay', and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

  Third reading. House Bill 3353."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3353, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act 'The Illinois Vehicle Code'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon: W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? All those in



- favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3355."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3355, a bill for an act to amend sections 5 of an act in relation to the use of an assumed name for conduct or transaction of business in the state.

  Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 336-, 3356."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3356, a bill for an act to amend Section2 of an act relating to use of the word 'Army' or 'Navy' or other names denoting the branch of the United States government. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', and the committee amendment is adopted. Third reading. House Bill 3361."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3361, a bill for an act to amend section 4 of an act to prevent fraudulent and corrupt practices. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor



- say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3365."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3365, a bill for an act to amend section 4 of an act relating to signatures in making uniform laws in relation thereto. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor vote 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill . . . We'll interrupt just a moment. What does the gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld arise?"
- John C. Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I might interrupt just one moment. In the Republican gallery just to the rear and to the right, I'd like to introduce the parents of some of the members and the ninth grade civics class in St. Matthews Junior High School in Champaign, taught by Sister Michael Ann, and also taught by me on occasion when I happen to be back in the area."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bill 3367.".

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3367, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act requiring labeling previously used lubricant. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor vote 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3368."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3368, a bill for an act to amend Section 6 of an act relating to promotion and safety of use of liquified petroleum gas in containers. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3374."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3374, a bill for an act to amend Sections of an act in relating to employment of convicts and prisoners. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3386."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3386, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act requiring hospitals to render hospital emergency service. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill3389."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3389, an bill for an act to amend Section 27 of 'The Waterwells Contractors License Act'.

  Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3390."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3390, a bill for an act to amend Section 26 of 'The Illinois Waterwell Pump Installation Contractors License Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3405."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3405, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act concerning public utilities. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor



- say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3417."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3417, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act preventing frauds upon travelers and owners of any railroad, steamboat, or other conveyance of transportation of passengers. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3420."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3420, a bill for an act to amend Section 1 of an act to punish the crime of stealing, or removal of journal bearings fixtures or attachments from locomotives. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3421."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3421, a bill for an act to amend Section 6 of an act requiring for the inspection of equipment and operation of safety appliances on railroads engaged in moving transportation. Second reading of the bill



One committee amendment."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3423."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3423, a bill for an act to amend Section 4 of an act making a duty railroad operating whole or in part within the State of Illinois, provides first medical aid. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3428."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3428, a bill for an act to amend
  Section 5 of an act regulating sampling and inspection of grain.
  Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3429."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3429, a bill for an act to amend Section 6 of an act regulating supervision, instruction and weighing of grain. Second reading of the bill. One



committee amendment."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3430."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3430, a bill for an act to amend Section 4 of an act relating to the receipt, shipment and transportation and weight of grain. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3436."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3436, a bill for an act to amend Sections of the 'Illinois Income Tax Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3437."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3437, a bill for an act to amend Sections of an act to tax gifts, inheritance, transfers of points of interest, second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3441."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3441, a bill for an act to amend Section 50 of an act to impose tax upon persons in the business of making sales of service. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3443."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3443, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act in relation to the tax upon persons engaged in the business of selling cigarettes. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3445."
- Jack O'Brien: \*House Bill 3445, a bill. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I'm sorry, it's 3444."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3444, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act in relation to tax upon the privilege of



- selling cigarettes. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3445."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3445, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act in relation to tax on persons engaged in the business of distributing tobacco products. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3447."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3447, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act in relation to tax on persons engaged in the business of distributing, supplying, furnishing or selling gas for the use of consumption. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3448."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3448, a bill for an act to amend



- sections of an act in relations to a tax on persons and business of distributing or selling electricity. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3453."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3453, a bill for an act to amend sections of 'The Illinois Highway Code'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3454."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3454, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act in relation to the construction of a system of toll highways. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3460."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3460, a bill for an act to amend section 14 of 'The Illinois Security Law'. Second reading



of the bill. One committee amendment."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3462."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3462, a bill for an act to amend section 11 of an act relating to the sale, representation, or advertising of goods, wares or merchandise to prevent fraudulent sale thereof. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3464."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3464, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act to prevent the sale of newspapers, magazines, periodicals or other publications, from which the title pages or cover of other identical publication has been removed. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further discussions or amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3465."



- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3465, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act relating to the manufacture and sale of articles constructed in whole or part of gold or silver. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3471."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3471, a bill for an act to amend
   Section 5 of an act to regulate the sale of second hand
   watches. Second reading of the bill. One committee amend ment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. /House Bill 3475."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3475, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act for regulation of auction sales. Second reading of the bill. No, one committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is passed. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3478."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3478, a bill for an act to amend



Section 3 of an act to prohibit certain advertising in relation to eye examination. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is passed. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3479."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3479, a bill for an act to amend Section 15 of the 'Sales Finance Agency Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further discussions or amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3481."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3481, a bill for an act to amend
  Section 24 of 'The Motor Vehicle Retail Installment Sales
  Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3482."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3482, a bill for an act to amend
   Sections of 'The School Code'. Second reading of the bill.
   One committee amendment."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3484."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3484, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act to revise the law in relation to the seat of government. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3487."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3487, a bill for an act to amend Section 3 of an act to give preference to veterans of the United States Military and Naval Service. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3500."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3500, a bill for an act to amend sections of the 'Illinois Governmental Ethics Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is



- shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3501."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3501, a bill for an act to amend sections to an act in relation to the investigation and prevention of fires. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say "Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3504."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3504, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act describing the color and labeled gasoline. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3507."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3507, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act to establish military and naval code for the State of Illinois. Second reading of the bill.

  One committee amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is



- shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3512."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3512, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act to permit use of public highways, streets and alleys and roads. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3518."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3518, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act to prohibit the use of telephone, telegraph lines for the sending of messages that are obscene. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3521."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3521, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act to provide for revising the law in relation to toll bridges. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is



- shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3523."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3523, a bill for an act to amend sections of an act 'The Township Zoning Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3524."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3524, a bill for an act to amend Sections of an act to prohibit counterfeiting or imitation of trade marks. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3526."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3526, a bill for an act to amend Section 25 of 'The Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."

  Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the



- amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3527."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3527, a bill for an act to amend Section 15 of an act providing for the regulation of privately operated colleges, junior colleges and universities. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3528."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3528, a bill for an act to amend Section 26 of an act in relation to regulation of business vocational schools. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. House Bill 3533."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3533, a bill for an act to amend sections of the 'Weight and Measure Act'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the committee amendment be adopted? Those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third



- reading. House Bill 3737."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3737, a bill for an act to amend sections of 'The Illinois Controlled Substance Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3738."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3738, a bill for an act to amend sections of the 'Cannabis Control Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3739."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3739, a bill for an act to amend Section 2 of an act to prohibit solicitation or inducement of sale or purchase of real estate. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 1991."
- Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1991, a bill for an act to amend Sections 8, 9 and 19 of an act in relation to sexually dangerous persons, providing for their commitment, provision, detention and supervision. Second reading of the bill.

  One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 1991 on page 1, by striking lines 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 and inserting in lieu thereof, Section 8 and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, who's handling this amendment? All right, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."



- Harold Washington: "I move adoption of Committee Amendment Number one to House Bill 1991."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 1992 through 1999."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1992, a bill for an act to amend Section 14 of an act providing for the licensing, and regulating detectives and detective agencies.

Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any from the floor? Third reading."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1993, a bill for an act to amend Section 28 of an act providing for the licensing and regulating detection of deception examiners and making appropriation connection therewith. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1994, a bill for an act to amend Section 3 of an act relating to safety devices for protection of aerial exhibitors. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Any from the floor? Third reading."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1995, a bill for an act to amend Section 3 of an act in relation to use of container and the labeling thereon. Second reading of the bill. No



committee amendment."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1996, a bill for an act to amend Sections 1-11, 1-12 and 15-1 of an act to revise the law in relation to the admission, detention, care and treatment of persons who are mentally ill and who are in need of mental treatment and who are mentally retarded. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further amendments? Third reading."

  Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1997, a bill for an act to

  amend Section 17 of an act codifying the powers and duties

  of the Department of Mental Health and repealing certain

  acts herein named. Second reading of the bill. No com
  mittee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1998, a bill for an act to amend Sections 3.03 and 3.08 of the 'Drug Addiction Act'. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1999, a bill for an act to amend Section 25 of 'Psyschologist Registration Act'.

  Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 3700."



Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3700, a bill for an act requiring the disclosure of economic interest by and political contributions and expenditures made to or for the benefit of certain public officials and candidates prohibiting certain financial transactions by or on behalf of public officials and candidates for public office, prescribing penalties for its violation repealing an act herein named. Second reading of the bill. Seven committee amendments. Committee amendments one, two, and five tabled in committee. Committee Amendment Number Three, amend House Bill 3700 on page 5, by inserting between lines 11 and 12 the following: Section 2-16. 'Corporation' means any corporation incorporated for profit'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Amendment Number Three which is under consideration to 3700, ah, has in the definition section, a definition of the word 'corporation' as used in the act to make it clear that we are referring to for profit corporations and not the not the not the not for profit corporation, and I would urge the adoption of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Lindberg, may, will the sponsor yield?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Gerald W. Shea: "Will you explain what corporations this would remove from the bill?"



- George W. Lindberg: "This would not remove corporations from the bill. There is a reference in the bill under Article V that refers to corporations and unions from being prohibited from making political contributions and the purpose of this amendment is to clarify that wherein we mention the word 'corporation' we mean the for profit corporation and not the not for profit corporation."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Could you tell me the reason for this why you want to exclude not for profit corporations from making campaign donations?"
- George W. Lindberg: "That is not the purport of the amendment. The amendment says that a corporation where used in this act refers to for profit corporations. If this amendment is adopted, that would clarify that in Article V, where we prohibit corporations from making contributions, we are speaking of for profit corporations and we would continue to permit not for profit corporations, some of which may be organized for political purposes, they would be permitted under this section to make political contributions, as it stands now, but purely for profit corporations and unions would be prohibited from making political contributions."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Well, are most of the cooperatives, like
   milk cooperatives, are they not for profit corporations?"
- George W. Lindberg: "I can only presume that they are not for profit corporations."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Well, this wouldn't prohibit what I think



reported to be an article or reported an article that reported how that after certain dairy practices were changed by the federal government, that there were some \$2,500,000 from certain not for profit corporations funneled into the Presidential campaign. That would not prohibit this then would it?"

- George W. Lindberg: "No, I'm glad you raised that point, because of the use of the word 'corporations' and 'unions' in Article V, is an absolute strict prohibition of contributions by them. But as you know in the other provisions of Article V, we would require the milk cooperatives and other not for profit corporations to make regular quarterly reports of their receipts and contributions for political purposes, so that information would be available to the public under this bill."
- Gerald W. Shea: "But you would not prohibit, like the cooperatives, etc. from making contributions but you would prohibit the unions, right?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Yes, and for profit corporations." Gerald W. Shea: "Thank you."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."
- Jacob John Wolf: "Ah, would the sponsor yield to a question? George, if I'm understanding this correctly, I have a situation in my neighborhood. There is a neighborhood hardware store, which is a family corporation. As far as I know, the husband and wife and the brother are the owners.



Now, routinely, they usually give me a \$10.00 or \$20.00 contribution in an election campaign. Now, I am precluded, as I understand it, from accepting that contribution, because they are in fact a corporation?"

- George W. Lindberg: "Yes, they they under the bill of that, they would be prohibited from making a contribution from the corporate treasury. They could make individual contributions to you, but not from the corporate funds or from the union treasury, for example."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."
- Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman, the other gentleman from McHenry yield to a question?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The other gentleman from McHenry, yes."

  Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Lindberg, a few years back

  I introduced a House Bill that would have made non-profit
  corporations with federal tax exemptions disclose any amount
  of political activities that they undertake. And specifically I make reference to the Better Government Association.

  With this amendment exempting the non-profit corporations,
  would this continue to allow a federally tax exempted nonprofit organization from con-, would it allow them to continue making contributions on behalf of state orientated
  political candidates?"
- George W. Lindberg: "As not for profit corporations, they would not be prohibited from making political contributions, but under the other provisions of this section, or this



Article, they would be required to make regular report of their income and expenditures for political purposes." Thomas J. Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the . House, we're overlooking an awful big factor here on nonprofit corporations being allowed to continue and I say continue because of knowledge, personal knowledge, of a non-profit corporation in the State of Illinois, who has a federal tax exemption from entering into the political arena with contributions and help towards candidates being elected. Now this, this is a great oversight, I think, in this bill, if we continue to allow some non-profit organization who solicit funds from other people, very various backgrounds, no essential reason to be a non-profit corporation, outside of wearing the banner of a white hat, when they can continue to ask people for contributions to their organization and allow the people with the information such as this to take it off your income tax and then for that organization to turn right around and enter into the political arena, either by direct contribution or through some subterfuge of endorsements, and I'm talking about specifically the endorsement procedure that advertising in newspapers, that a person can contribute, take off from their federal income tax that contribution, and yet allow this organization to continue to function. that Representative Lindberg, and I don't know if you've ever heard of this before, but I happen to have in possession a receipt of a letter signed by the Chairman of the Board of



the Better Government Association, back in 1963 or 1962, when he solicited funds to re-elect a U. S. Senator. And the very last sentence in that paragraph was 'Remember contributions made to this Better Government Association can be tax deducted'. Now, I think you're overlooking something in allowing a non-profit organization from in an exemption with this amendment from continuing to do things like this."

- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, if I might respond, I think that's in the nature of a question, and the answer is that the Ethics, House Ethics and Government Committee, that issue is not brought to our attention. It is my personal opinion that I would not want to prohibit notfor-profit corporations, for example, who may want to support Representative Hanahan of the Democrat Party, from being able to do that. Representative Hanahan's point is something that should be taken up with the Internal Revenue Service and not with regard to this particular bill. If people are getting tax exemptions and yet making political contributions, I think that is a matter for the IRS and is irrelevant to this particular bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."
- W. Timothy Simms: "Ah, would the sponsor yield for a question?

  Representative Lindberg, in this amendment, is there any—
  thing that prohibits a committee that is formed for educa—
  tional purposes that receives its funds from corporate funds



from individual companies or from organized labor from making direct contributions to a candidate?"

- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, first, I'd like to state that we're getting ahead of ourselves here, ah, you're discussing Article V of this particular bill, and all we have here is an amendment relating to for profit corporations being prohibited from making contributions. Now, the answer to your question, I would say, is that if the not-for-profit committee has as part of its by-laws, the ability to engage in political activities, then it would be my conclusion that they could not accept contributions from labor organizations or for-profit corporations for the purpose of political activities."
- W. Timothy Simms: "Even though that committee may be established for educational purposes?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Yes, as long as their by-laws permit them to engage in political funding and activity of that nature, ah, I would say that's true."
- W. Timothy Simms: "Thank you."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien."
- Daniel J. O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield to a question?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."
- Daniel J. O'Brien: "George, there've been a few questions asked here and there's been quite a bit of discussion, but I still haven't satisfied myself that the question has been answered, the question why we must distinguish between



profit and non-for-profit corporations. I still haven't had that question answered. Ah, what was the reason behind this?"

George W. Lindberg: "The reason behind this is that it was the feeling of the commission, and I would stand corrected if any member of the commission would like to also respond, it was the objection of the Ethics and Government Commission with regard to contributions to have contributions made to individuals and not by for-profit or unions, for-profit corporations or unions, under this philosophy. If you are a stock holder in a corporation and the funds of that corporation are being used for a political purposes, which you may disagree with, you have actually no voice in that particular matter, whereas an individual, you have a strong voice as to whom you will give political funds. In a union of course, the situation is even more egregious, because if you don't like the way the union is spending your money politically, your alternative is only to resign from the union and then of course, you're out of a job."

Daniel J. O'Brien: "Well, how does that differ from a member or contributor to a non-for-profit corporation? I don't see the distinction at all."

George W. Lindberg: "Because in the by-laws for a non-forprofit corporation, you are on notice of the fact, when you join that corporation or contribute to it, you are on notice of the fact that they engage in political activities, and that's the theory."



- Daniel J. O'Brien: "Well, how are you on notice more in a non-for-profit corporation than you are in a for-profit corporation, the by-laws can be specific in that point, regardless of what type of corporation it is. And if you're a member of a non-for-profit corporation, the money is being spent without your authorization, the same as it would be were you a member of a union or a for-profit corporation."
- George W. Lindberg: "Again, the only theory I can rely on in answer to your question is that we want to restore the opportunity for funding for campaigns to be by individuals and not by entities and we feel that a not-for-profit corporation is much closer to an individual contribution than having a huge corporation making it. An example would be a foundation that would wish to..."
- Daniel J. O'Brien: "George,. . .why don't you just limit to individuals?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Well, I think we're doing that through this bill."
- Daniel J. O'Brien: "In view of what has been brought out, the advantages of, attached advantages, the shelter that is available through non-for-profit corporations, do you think it is still beneficial to make the delineation between the non-for-profit and for-profit and not to require just individuals, or limit it to just individuals making contributions."

George W. Lindberg; "Well, I. . . "



- Daniel J. O'Brien: "If you want individuals, you want to limit it to individuals, why don't we say so?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Well, quite frankly, I wouldn't have any personal objection to that procedure, it's just the fact that I know a lot of political organizations are organized as not-for-profit corporations, and the principle reason is to limit their liability, and I don't think that that's an anti-social activity, if you will, and I'd be glad to consider that as an amendment to that statute next Spring, but right now, I think this is far as the Committee was willing to go, and I think it ought to be adopted."
- Daniel J. O'Brien: "Well, you say that members of a union can make contributions as individuals, why not, why can not members of not-for-profit corporations do the same thing?"

  George W. Lindberg: "As individuals they can."
- Daniel J. O'Brien: "Well, why don't we limit that to their participation as individuals and not in the name of a non-

for-profit corporation?"

- George W. Lindberg: "What we what we have with a not-forprofit corporation is a group of individuals joining together for one or more purposes, one of which might be for influencing political candidates elections and I see nothing wrong with that."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."
- Charles W. Clabaugh: "I'd like to ask the sponsor a question, Mr. Speaker."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman from, he
  indicates he'll yield."
- Charles W. Clabaugh: "I sat through, Representative, I sat through the entire hearing of your committee here last Thursday afternoon and I never did get an answer, except with a lot of if, ands and buts. Can, is a political or is a labor union by your bill, prohibited from contributing to a candidate's campaign?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Yes, under the present bill, they. . ."

  Charles W. Clabaugh: "All right, I have another question to

  ask. Could it set up one of these phony organizations like

  most of them have and through it by advertising, make con
  tribution while advertising material and so on, would that

  be permitted under your bill?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Yes, it would be permitted under the bill, but, the distinction is that union dues are mandatory and contributions to a committee, theoretically, at least, would not be mandatory, and you would not possibly lose your job for not contributing to that not-for-profit organization."
- Charles W. Clabaugh: "One final question, is any for-profit corporation prohibited from contributing to a candidate's campaign?"
- George W. Lindberg: "That is right."
- Charles W. Clabaugh: "Then you are saying labor can through one of these devious arms contribute and influence a campaign, but that a corporation for profit can not."



George W. Lindberg: "Well, I'm saying that the stock holders of a corporation, or the officers of or directors of a corporation would have the same opportunity to organize a political committee as the officers, directors or members of a union would be able to do."

Charles W. Clabaugh: "They would be permitted to create a one of these arms, one of these non-for-profit. . ."

George W. Lindberg: "A non-for-profit. . .yes."

Charles W. Clabaugh: "Yes, thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

Bernard B. Wolfe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates that he will."

Bernard B. Wolfe: "Ah, Representative Lindberg, your amendment is Section 2.16 is a definition of the word 'corporation' as it is used in House Bill 3700, is that correct?" George W. Lindberg: "That is correct."

Bernard B. Wolfe: "Now, I'm, the amendment that I'm looking at says 'corporation means any corporation incorporated for profit'. Do we mean only a corporation incorporated for profit, or does is this inclusive, in other words, it means other corporations but it also means any corporation incorporated for profit. Is your intent to exclude all other corporate entities in the State of Illinois, other than those corporations organized under the Business Corporation Act for Profit?"



- George W. Lindberg: "Well, I got lost in your question there.

  The objective here is to implement Article V wherein we prohibit corporations as such from making political contributions. This amendment would identify what we mean by corporations as being for-profit corporations, therefore, not-for-profit corporations could make contributions."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "All right then, I'm not referring to the section in which you use the term 'corporation', I'm only referring to the amendment that is on the floor for discussion right now, and your amendment says, and I believe you want to say, and that's just what I'm trying to determine, corporation is used in this act means only a corporation incorporated for profit. Is this the thrust of this amendment?"
- George W. Lindberg: "That is correct."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "All right, but the amendment does not say that."
- George W. Lindberg: "Yes it does, it says 'corporation means any corporation incorporated for profit'."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "Well, all right. . .well if it means any, it could also include other corporations in the State. Now under our present statutory law, under the Business Corporation Act', we have the not, the profit corporations. Under the Non-Profit Corporation Act, we have those corporations which are organized not for profit. Now, you intend to include, then, any non-profit corporation organized under the Non-Profit Act whether or not that corporation



- complies with federal regulation, whether or not it is exempt, and so forth, is that correct?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Yes, I guess it is, that we depend on the federal government to regulate the issue of whether you are going to be given a tax exemption or not. That is not our particular problem here."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "No, but what I'm saying is that any nonfor-profit corporation is organized under out state statute
  does not have to necessarily comply with the federal tax
  regulations, only if they seek an exemption. We have many,
  as you know, non-profit corporations in the State of Illinois that do not qualify 'as such a non-profit' under federal regulations. Now, is that correct?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, I almost hate to ask this, but would you repeat the essence of your question?"
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "The essence of my question is that under the present Not-For-Profit Act in the State of Illinois, we have no qualifications in that statute concerning exemption from federal regulations. This must be done by the so-called 'non-profit corporation' where they seek to get such an exemption. So in essence, we have in the State of Illinois, non-profit corporations qualifying under our act which do not qualify under federal exemptions."
- George W. Lindberg: "Well, obviously, the federal rule would prevail."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "All right, now your answer to Representative Clabaugh indicated that a profit corporation could



- organize under our state law, a not-for-profit corporation, but. . ."
- George W. Lindberg: "No, the corporation could not, I pointed out that the individuals, who are both citizens and employed by the corporation, would have the same latitude as officials of labor unions or members of labor unions. If they want to form such an corporation or such a not-for-profit corporation, they are not under this bill prohibited from doing so, although they must meet the other requirements of Article V with regard to regular reporting of political income and expenditures."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "All right, but that does not answer my question, would it be possible. . ."
- George W. Lindberg: "Wait, wait. . . "
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "Would it be possible for a profit corporation. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde rise?"
- Henry J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah, we're having a rather on-going debate rather than asking one or two questions and I would respectfully object to this procedure."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, your, that's quite in order. Now if the gentleman wants to conclude his ten minutes, ah, of discussion on the matter, without a pursuing dialogue, he may."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "Well, I thought, Mr. Speaker, that I was strictly on the amendment and determining to ascertain both



the definition of the amendment, and as you know, my remarks have been confined solely to questions concerning the intent and meaning of this definition that is now before this House, and its effect on 'the non-profit corporations' which presumably are excluded from this amendment, the meaning of this amendment, and if that is not in order, I'm not debating the. . ."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes you are and I've ruled on it and if you want to proceed with closing your remarks you may, you have less than five minutes."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "Well, in conclusion, Mr. Speaker, from the answers received on the floor, that one, the amendment as now written, does not do what the sponsor intends it to do, and two, any non-profit corporation organized under our state law, whether it be under the affiliation of a labor union or a profit corporation, could be organized and circumvent the intent of Section 5 of the act."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matij-evich."
- John S. Matijevich: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I only want to speak on the amendment briefly, I have no questions to ask. As a member of the House Ethics and Government Commission, frankly, this particular section didn't impress me at all, in a bill that I thought was really going to the problem. In fact, I think the whole matter of whether corporations or unions can or can not contribute to political campaigns, has much to do about



nothing, because really if we want to write an ethics bill. let's be practical and write something that is enforceable and can work. Now, we have some precedent to show that this particular section can't work and his amendment makes it worse, I believe, because what his amendment is doing is really subverting what I think is the intent of the ethics bills and that is to keep everything in the open, to let the sunshine in and with that light that the public can determine what is the campaign spending and what is not. think anybody can see by the amendments that we can't subvert by devious means, phony organization, phony corporations. If we really want truth in legislation in this matter, allow the corporations and the unions to contribute as long as the public knows what they are contributing. see nothing wrong in that. I'd rather have it that way than have x-y-z corporation, the individuals contributing, when in truth, when the truth is that x-y-z corporation is contributing. I'd rather have the public know that a certain labor union is contributing. Why hide the fact? I think the whole section is as much to do about nothing and this particular amendment, I think, makes it worse, and I would ask you to vote against the amendment."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg to close."
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I would simply point out that I am aware that there are amendments addressing themselves specifically to the issue in Article V as to



whether unions and corporations should or should not be permitted to use funds in their treasury for political contributions. This particular amendment would simply identify that in fact we mean for-profit corporations if, after today, that prohibition is still in the act. So, I would ask that you support this amendment so that this point is clear."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', all right, all those in favor of the adoption of the amendment will vote 'Yeas', those opposed 'No'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Scariano."
- Anthony Scariano: "May I be recorded as 'Present' on this amendment?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'Present'.

  On this question there are 58 'Yeas' and 57 'Nays' and the amendment is adopted."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Four. Committee Amendment Number Four, amend House Bill 3700 on page 7, by striking line 8 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'required by this Article shall be prepared in 2 parts and filed as required by Section 4-5, (a) The statement required to be filed with the Secretary of State and the county clerk shall list. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, this is quite a long



amendment and I think it would be much more clearly understood if I explained it. The House Ethics and Government Commission recommended that all public officials and candidates for elected offices and appointees earning \$20,000 or more be required to make full total disclosure of their sources of incomes and amounts, assets and amounts, liabilities and amounts. It has always been my posture that we should adopt the provision that we have in Rule 68 of the Supreme Court Rules which provides the two tier type of discussion, ah, disclosure. Now, the reason for that is this, that we have perhaps thousands of people, whose main occupation is some profession or business in their community, and they are serving in a myriadof positions in local government throughout the state. As a matter of fact, without them, the concept of local government would absolutely disappear, I'm afraid. For that reason, in the Judiciary II Committee last Thursday, I moved the adoption of Amendment Number Four, which provides for a two tier type disclosure. Now, by this amendment, every elected public official, judge, candidate for office and persons making over \$20,000.00 per year in government service would be required to file a statement with the County Clerk and the Secretary of State, which details the identity of the sources of all their income during their reporting period, without stating the amount thereof, the same provision would apply with regard to assets. You would have to describe them, again under the penalty for perjury, in detail, but



you would not have to state the amounts, and so forth with liability. Additionally, however, you would be required under this first disclosure, pursuant to this amendment, to disclose the change, the dollar change in your net worth, during the reporting period. So that if a person, for example, suddenly became a quarter of a million dollars richer or a hundred thousand dollars richer during the reporting period, that would be evidence in his public disclosure. The amendment goes on then to provide for the second level of disclosure, which would incorporate all of the details of the first disclosure, together with the amount and dollar values of all the income, assets and liabilities. jective again is to retain total financial disclosure, in confidence, in the Board of Ethics, so that we can encourage people to continue serving in these largely uncompensated positions in local government, zoning boards, planning commissions and the like. Now in the event that a court order is issued for the disclosure of the second statement, the Board of Ethics, created under this amendment, would have to make this statement available to the court. Also, if an impeachment proceeding was brought against an executive or judicial officer, they would have to make the second statement available. And also if the General Assembly were to discipline one of its members, it would be necessary. And, finally, if one of the members wanted his statement to be disclosed, or any part thereof, he would ask that it be disclosed by the State Board of Ethics. And, finally,



the Board of Ethics itself, in the public interest by a majority vote, can make the amounts and identity available. The amendment also provides for the creation of the twelve member Board of Ethics. No member of that Board of Ethics may be employed or serving in a public official capacity in the State of Illinois. The appointments are made by the Chief Justice, the Chairman of the Courts Commission, the Chairman of the Judicial Inquiry Board, and the fourth, a from that department of government, would be chosen by those three appointees. Then, the Governor, Secretary of State, Treasurer, and Public Auditor or Controller, as the case may be, would make one appointment each for the second group of four, and finally the legislative leaders, which we're all familiar with here, would each make one appointment. So the board would be 12 people, there is no reference to partisanship in the amendment. They may be all Republicans or Democrats or a mixed bag, or independents for that matter. That then is the essence of this extremely important amendment, and I would urge the adoption of the amendment.".

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "Would the sponsor of the amendment, Mr. Lindberg, yield to a couple of questions?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "Representative Lindberg, you indicated that this was a position set forth now in these amendments



that had been considered previously, apparently by the committee that prepared this proposed House Bill 3700, and was this actually a proposal that was submitted to this this committee at any time?"

- George W. Lindberg: "No, I thought that I made it clear that the commission did not consider, nor recommend this particular proposal, but it had been my particular desire from the first time that we started talking about full economic disclosure. The amendment, however, was adopted by a substantial majority in Judiciary II where the bill went after we had recommended out our draft out of the House Ethics and Government Commission."
- Rolland F. Tipsword: "Representative Lindberg, as I recall in our discussion in the committee, several times different parts of this proposed ethics code and other suggestions for the ethics code raised the suggestion that perhaps we should have a regulatory body of some kind. And I note that now this does incorporate a Board of Ethics and the majority of the committee opposed, including any kind of regulatory agency in this code of ethics, and as I recall, if I'm not incorrect, that it was specified that this code should be a self-regulating kind of code, so I'm wondering why this Board of Ethics is now included?"
- George W. Lindberg: "The code remains principally a selfexecuting document. There was a great concern by those people with whom I discussed this two tier reporting system, that unless we created a board that would be charged with



the safe keeping of these documents in their sealed envelope, that they might otherwise be available to people who somehow had gained access to the sealed envelope, opened them and without any authority whatsoever, make those disclosures. So, the Board of Ethics under this particular act, as you know, Mr. Wexler was going to prepare the actual form for reporting that we were going to adopt as the form. I suspect that that document was on the air plane that went down, because I have never gotten it, so this is the best thing I can do is to create the Board of Ethics, which would give them the opportunity to, they would be required to draw the form that is necessary, which is a rather ministerial task, and also to provide for the safe keeping of the documents on full disclosure, and to determine at what point these documents shall become public or made available to the General Assembly or the Court." Rolland F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, may I address myself to the amendment, please?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed, sir."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "I thank the sponsor of the amendment for his answer to my questions. I think I should point out two or three things to the members of this body, that seem very clear to me from reading this amendment and from my experience on the committee in the time we were holding meetings and drafting this measure. I can't at the moment, I haven't made up my mind whether I'm for this amendment or opposed to it, because I find so many radical and drastic



changes in it. First of all, this makes a very radical and drastic change, in that it no longer requires the reporting of the amount in public disclosure that anyone owns, so that a person that owns 3,000 shares of stock in a large public corporation and a person who has inherited one share of stock from Aunt Minnie in that corporation. is going to look exactly the same on the report that is noted, that is made known to the public, and certainly, it appears to me that the amount of their interest might be substantially important to the public if we're going to have real disclosure in the State of Illinois. In addition this two tier proposal is completely new and is a radical change in the Code of Ethics that has been so widely publicized throughout the State of Illinois, and has changed completely by this two tier provision, and also by the incorporation of this Board of Ethics. We have heard so very much that this is to be a code that is to be taken completely and wholely out of politics and I would wish that that would be the case. I think by the creation of this Board of Ethics we have inserted politics right back. into the Code of Ethics again. For by this code, if you will note, while no person who is the holder of public office may serve on that Board of Ethics, that Board of Ethics is almost entirely composed of appointees of purely political individuals, and I would suggest that at the very worst, that board could be so political, that a majority of it, pursuant to the terms that are incorporated



herein as Section 4-5 now, that a majority of that board by its own political action could disclose for persons with whom they are not in political sympathy, and fail to disclose for persons with whom they are in political sympathy, by their majority vote, items that would be filed under this two tier provision that are to be held in confidence until that board would so wish to disclose I think that's a very radical change and is taking us right back into the areas that the committee had hoped to get away from. I think that it can be very very dangerous to information that is necessary to the people of the State of Illinois, both on the basis of this board and on the basis of two tier disclosure. I would also like to point out and I think that maybe I'm incorrect, and I should perhaps ask Representative Lindberg, referring to page 3 of the amendment in Section 4-5b, it refers to the statement prepared under sub-section b of Section 42 which I think on page 1, line 13 and 14 that Section was changed from b to 2 and this might make it perhaps meaningless, if it were adopted, and that particular language in the amendment is not changed. I would call upon everyone to very carefully reconsider this amendment and if this amendment is adopted, to carefully reconsider the bill, for I would suggest by the adoption of this amendment, we have a radically and completely new bill with a complete change in direction and complete change in direction and intent from that which came out of the committee which prepared



this Code of Ethics."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Arthur L. Berman: "Would the sponsor yield for a question?"
Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates he will."

- Arthur L. Berman: "Ah, George, referring you to page 3 of the amendment, this is in line with some of the questions raised by Representative Tipsword, you provide that the sealed envelope could be disclosed by order of court. Could you explain what you mean by that?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, the the provision of a court order in would relate to the usual discovery proceedings in connection with a suit instigated under this particular act, or under the conflict of interest statutes of the State of Illinois, or wherever the complainant or plaintiff is able to establish a relevancy between that statement and the particular action that has been filed."
- Arthur L. Berman: "Well, if I may direct myself to the amendment, this amendment, many of us on Judiciary II, did not have a chance to review very much before the amendment was adopted last week. Ah, in general, I was in support of the theory behind the two tier system, but I might point out that I think that the language in here requires some clarification, because I think that just the wording that your sealed envelope with the values of your holdings and debts, etc., would be required to be disclosed by order of court, is really too broad of language. I think that that would



encompass any type of a suit where any member, any public official would be sued on a contract claim, having nothing to do with the Conflict of Interest or Ethics or his public position, and on a citation for speeding, this Judicial Inquiry Board could be required to disclose the contents of your sealed envelope. I have pending, I will submit an amendment, that will specify and delineate that this board could only disclose by subpoena of the Judiciary Inquiry Board, and that would relate to proceedings involving judges, and I would also point out to the members of this body, that I am also having an amendment submitted that would limit the disclosure of your sealed envelope by a resolution adopted by the Board of Ethics majority, only in cases pending before that Board of Ethics, concerning an ethics question. Otherwise, I think you would be putting into this board a great deal of leverage that could be used against a public official by a politically motivated board. I would support the concept of Amendment Number Three, I'm sorry, Amendment Number Four, with these limitations in it and in closing, I would like to ask the sponsor if he would have any objections, to this amendment which I'm speaking of that would delineate the, George, would you have any objection to an amendment that would further delineate the times when this board could reveal the sealed contents of the envelope to in two situations where under number one, by subpoena of the Judicial Inquiry Board and under number two, that it would be a resolution adopted by the majority



- of the Board of Ethics in a pending case before the Board of Ethics, would you support that delineation to this amendment?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Yes, I think those are very constructive suggestions and I'd like to withdraw this amendment, Mr. Speaker, for the moment, and have my Aide work with Mr. Berman while and see if we can get this amendment in the proper condition. I think those are very good points."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "Ah, before the Chief Sponsor withdraws the amendment, . . . "
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, he's he's ask permission to withdraw it already."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "Well, I'm objecting at this time, because if there's going to be amendments to it, I've got some suggestions to make that they ought to consider to implement this amendment and to define some of the terms that are not defined. George, would you hold it a minute and let me make a comment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Representative Lindberg, do you persist in your request? To withdraw the amendment?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, ah, I I I don't believe that it would be wise to discuss Representative Wolfe's points at this time. If he would like to discuss it with my legislative aide, maybe we could work it out too, but now, I'd like to withdraw this amendment and go on with the balance



of the amendments."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the gentleman has asked to have Amendment Number Four withdrawn. Are there further amendments?"
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Six. Amendment Number Five having been tabled in committee, Committee Amendment Number Six, Amend House Bill 3700 on page 11, in line 27, by striking 'all' and inserting in lieu thereof 'the total of all'; and in line 30, by inserting after 'contribution' the phrase 'of \$100.00 or more'."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Lindberg."
- George W. Lindberg: "Mr. Speaker, this is a rather innocuous amendment, in my opinion. We have in the code the provision that there is no requirement in the reporting section of political contributions to candidates, no requirement to report the identity of individuals who contribute \$100.00 or less. This particular amendment would extend that exemption to contributions to political committees so that any contribution of \$100.00 or less to a political committee would likewise not be required to be identified, although it must be accounted for."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall Amendment Number Six to House Bill 3700 be adopted? All those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment Number Seven. Amend House Bill 3700 on page 6, line 13, by striking the words 'No candidate or political committee of a'; and on page 6, by striking lines 14 through 19; and on page 6, line 20, by striking the word 'elections'; and on page 6, line 22, by striking the figure '\$2,500.00' and inserting in lieu thereof the figure '\$1,500.00'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, the House Ethics and Government Commission gave a considerable amount of time to the issue of whether or not limitations on campaign spending should be part of this particular bill. matter of fact, if there was any dispute among the committee members, this was the only one that raised a problem. Ah. nonetheless, the commission resolved the issue by agreeing to Representative Berman's proposal, that this bill include a limitation as to General Assembly members only. In that they could not accept contributions or could not spend more than an amount more than equivalent to ten cents per population of their district, which as most of us realize, would be roughly \$18,600.00 per election or a total of \$37,400.00 for an election year. In addition to that, he asked that we adopt a provision that no single contribution be permitted to exceed \$2,500.00. When this proposal was touched on in Judiciary II on Thursday, Amendment Number Seven was adopted, which strikes all reference to campaign spending



limitations and imposes a \$1,500.00 maximum on any single contribution. I personally don't have a attitude on this amendment, but since it was recommended by Judiciary II, I would ask for the adoption of Amendment Number Seven to House Bill 3700."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman." Arthur L. Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the adoption of Amendment Number Seven. As RepresentativeLindberg indicated, the principle of a campaign limitation was adopted by the House Ethics and Government Commission. Now, we held public hearings, the Commission did, and a number of outstanding individuals in the political arena testified before that commission and I asked each of those witnesses in public whether they would support the concept of an overall campaign limitation amendment; with perhaps one exception, there was unanimity that the concept of overall campaign limitation was a good one. My recommendation to the commission was to set a principle because it really wasn't a limitation in the actual sense, because of the high level that the amendment would still permit for campaign spending. The ten cent limitation would still permit any candidate for the legislature to spend in the primary \$18,600.00 to be elected, and again in a general election \$18,600.00 to be elected. The important point of this amendment was to establish for ourselves the principle that campaign spending is becoming out of reach for all those except people that are supported by large



financial interests or people with large personal wealth. I would like to refer you to a editorial which appeared in the Chicago Tribune on Tuesday, September 21st, which states that in the last twenty years, cost of political campaigns have tripled, there is no indication that they still won't go higher next year, unless something be done about it. Many prospective candidates and knowledgeableobservers fear this trend threatens to discourage all but the rich or heavily subsidized candidates from running for public office. Public support of some kind of limit on campaign spending would appear to be strong. A Gallup poll after last years' Congressional elections indicated that 8 in 10 Americans favored limits favored limits on political spending. Now, the amendment which was adopted by the commission, would not really limit anybody except a very very rare situation where a person wanted to spend more than \$18,000.00 or could spend more than \$18,000.00 to be elected to the legislature. I don't think that the ten cent limitation is really a limitation. But I think the principle is important. I think the principle is one that we as a responsible body should take the first step in recognizing that the principle of campaign limitations should start with us and that we should adopt in this Ethics Code a concept whereby limitation of spending is recognized. This concept has been supported, as I indicated by the Chicago Tribune and was also supported in an editorial on WBBM TV which was aired on October 3. I urge the members



of this body to take an important step toward the principle an important important principle, as it affects our offices as it affects the General Assembly only to impose a limitation on what it's going to cost to run for the General Assembly. It's an important first step towards establishing some realistic limits on what one wants to spend or can spend to achieve public office. I urge the opposition to the adoption of this amendment number seven. I solicit your 'No' vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff." Brian B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, as the only member of the commission, Ethics Commission, who voted against this particular limitation and as a member of the Judiciary Committee who voted for this amendment, I would like to explain that position in response to the objections of Representative I am in favor of campaign limitations and the concepts that the cost of campaigning are running beyond where they should in many areas. This is I believe, in the public consciousness, particularly true at the national and perhaps state level. And at the same time I can not agree with Representative Berman that there was unanimity among those people who testified on campaign limitations as respects House Bill 3700. This particular bill. there are some very serious problems involved in putting this limitation in this bill in this way. The first one is, of course, the constitutional possibility. The concept of this bill is has been that it would be across the board and



applied to all public officials in all every detail. is the only single instance, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in which there has been any effort made to make this bill apply to only any particular area, in this instance to the members of this body. I think that it's a serious question as to whether it should be put on conceptually as well as constitutionally. Further, I believe that there was no testimony given before the Ethics and Government Commission on the problem of different campaign structures. There was no comment made. Very inadequate testimony on the number of areas that many of us are familiar with. For example, it clearly is a different type of campaign structure to run a campaign in let's say a city where your district comprises of perhaps one and a half or two wards. As distinct from a district where you may your district may be comprised of eleven, twelve or fourteen counties. In the one instance where you have no access to because of the level of the campaign attention to the media and in another instance where you might to have to be advertising in as many as twenty different weekly newspapers. Further more, I feel that the public could rightfully feel that a limitation like this in some respects would be self-serving and not in the public interest by this body. The reason I say that is because in some instances it would very clearly benefit the incumbent. The incumbent has the opportunity to make news, make press, get on on the radio or television and put in bills and get publicity. The non-incumbent does



not. The only representative from this House who testified before the Ethics and Government Commission testified that had he not been able to spend the money he did, he would not have been able to oppose and win over a large body of volunteer political people. This, in other words, would deprive us of the opportunity of having a person challenge the party. And this is in the benefit of the people to have an independent on occasion be able to stand up and go the to the people getting large numbers of small contributions. I am in favor of the portion of the amendment that does require a limit on any single contribution. Ladies and gentlemen, I urge you to vote for this amendment."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."
  Richard O. Hart: "Ah, will the sponsor of the amendment yield
  to a question?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, he will,...will the gentleman
  from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg yield, yield to Mr. Hart?"
  George W. Lindberg: "Yes, yes."
- Richard O. Hart: "In this amendment, Representative, as I understand it, one of the things that you are attempting to do is to put a limit on an individual contribution of not to exceed \$1,500.00."
- George W. Lindberg: "The amendment adopted in Judiciary II

  if adopted by the body here, the only limitation to campaign

  spending would be that no candidate for the General Assembly

  could accept more than \$1,500.00 from one person."
- Richard O. Hart: "Well, take the situation where I contributed



\$1,500.00, would there be anything from prohibiting my wife from contributing \$1,500.00?"

George W. Lindberg: "I would say that there would not."

Richard O. Hart: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, may I be heard briefly on the amendment?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, proceed."

Richard O. Hart: "With all deference to the sponsor of the bill and the sponsor of the amendment and the commission that had the hearing in the Judiciary Committee, I believe that this amendment is typical of the shot gun approach that we're being confronted with in this bill. And I certainly believe that regardless of the pressure that are upon us in various places and sources, that we ought to consider first to defeat this amendment and second to delay this bill until we have had adequate time to study the matter, and I don't care if it's July the 1st of next year. I'd much rather adopt or consider adopting a bill that's had consideration and study by the entire body than to be rushed into adopting an amendment and then a bill which to me is nothing but a shot gun approach full of loop holes and in the end run, in the end is going to make us look a lot sillier than we already look."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J.
Wolf."

Jacob John Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's no doubt about it that no matter what type of an ethics bill that this legislative session produces, it's



going to be full of loop boles because there's always the way out for those who want to find a way out. However, I think it's a flimsy excuse to say that we should have a limit on the amount of money, ten cents a voter, included, and vote against an amendment because it doesn't contain such a provision. Because as Representative Berman fullwell knows, either himself or I or any member of this body .. could spend a liberal amount of money in our campaign and there is nothing to prohibit union men for John Doe, business men for John Doe and a numerous other individual people who on their own initiative will mail out 500 or 1,000 post+ cards in your behalf and I suggest that you wouldn't stop them if you could, and so it's ludicrous for us to even consider that there can be some kind of a spending ceiling and limiting the amount of money that a candidate can spend in his campaign, because we, as individuals, may not spend it, but those with wealthy friends can continue to raise campaign funds and spend a lot of money in the campaign, and those of us who don't have funds will continue to have low budget campaigns. I think this is a good as a amendment as we can get and I support it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Harry Yourell: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I, this may be a little bit out of order, but I would ask if the gentleman who rose, Representative Berman, who read the article supposedly from Chicago Tribune reflecting their position on political spending, would answer a question for me?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Tribune?"

Harry Yourell: "Yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, . . "

Harry Yourell: "No, Mr. Berman, Representative Berman, not the Tribune."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, this is a little out of the
 ordinary, but we'll take a moment, the gentleman from Cook,
 Mr. Berman."

Arthur L. Berman: "Yes."

Harry Yourell: "The question I would like to ask Representative Berman is was the article that you read supposedly or allegedly from the Tribune, identified by authorship?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Only, only to the extent that it appeared on its editorial page."

Harry Yourell: "But there was no name of an editorial writer attached to the article, is that correct?"

Arthur L. Berman: "As is usual with editorials, no."

Harry Yourell: "Yes, well then I suggest that this body disregard that article because it is unethical in my judgment to write on a subject that is not identified and this body had recourse to the author of the article."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. J.
O'Brien."

Daniel J. O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, may I address my-self to the amendment?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed."

Daniel J. O'Brien: "Just one or two comments. In answer to



Representative Duff stating that this may be unconstitutional as it is confined to only state legislators. think that half a loaf is certainly better than none. This is a start. I think that we would all agree that spending limitation for campaigns should be extended to state and national office holders, but I think that this is a start. I think it is a shallow argument to say that we should not have it because it is too limited. It is a good thing, we should have it on whatever level we can to begin with. Secondly, it has been admitted by everyone, I think, that there are numerous loop holes on the \$2,500.00 limitation on contributions, yet, the sponsor of the amendment insists that the loop holes should not cause the defeat of the amendment On the other hand, ten cent limitation for each person in the district, ten cent limitation on campaign spending, it has been suggested that this portion, that this proposal not be adopted, because of the susceptibility to loop holes to it being evaded. It seems to me that the combination of a \$2,500.00 limitation on spending on contributions and a ten cent limitation per person on spending are two proposals acting conjunctively will limit the abuses and spending in campaign, limit the abuses that result from one special interest coming up with \$10,000.00, \$20,000.00 or \$30,000.00. I think we should have a ten cent limitation, I urge the defeat of this amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Perry, Mr. Cunning-ham."



- William J. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it, the previous question has been moved. The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg, to close."
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, let me make my position clear on this amendment and also the to the members. If the members adopt this amendment, then the only provision in this bill for campaign spending limitation will be \$1,500.00 from a single individual. If you defeat this amendment, then you will invoke that part of the bill that limits the expenditures to roughly \$18,600.00 per election, per candidate for the General Assembly. I would agree with whatever the action of the body is on this particular amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah, all those in favor of the adoption of the amendment number seven. Two, three, four, five, all those in favor of the adoption of the amendment will vote 'Yeas', and the opposed 'No'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Robert E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker, it was my amendment and I wonder if I can explain my vote."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, you know I asked that question twice, Mr. Mann, and if there a was any, you know, go



ahead."

Robert E. Mann: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I merely wanted to indicate the to the members of the House, the reason for my offering my amendment. First of all, I felt that if we were going to have campaign limitation spending, it ought to apply to everybody in the State. We have situations where men hold offices that pay \$35,000.00 or \$40,000.00 a year, and spend a million dollars to get elected. Now, we don't spend that kind of money and I don't think it's constitutional or even wise to limit it merely to legislative members. Secondly, I think there's sufficient avenues especially in view of the adoption of the earlier amendment with regard to not-for-profit corporations for incumbent members to raise as much money as they desire and I just don't see that we need this extra protection, and for this reason until we make campaign spending uniform throughout the State, I think that we are doing an unwise thing and perhaps something that is not even constitutional will stand a court test, so I would hope that you would reconsider your position on campaign limitations."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "On this question, there are 60 'Yeas', and 79 'Nays', and the amendment is lost."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah, Floor Amendment Number Eight, Lindberg. Amend House Bill 3700 by adding new Section 7-4 and Section 7-5 as follows: 7-4, Section 7-4: The Board has the authority to make rules relating to the filing of the



statements of economic interest pursuant to Section 4-2(b) of this Act. The Board shall also make rules and regulations relating to the administration of its business pursuant to this Act. Section 7-5: The Board shall prepare a standard disclosure form, which shall be used by all persons covered by this Act. . ."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker,. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea rise?"
- Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, is it possible, because this is such a very important piece of legislation, that we could have copies of these amendments on our desk so we may see where they fit in the bill prior to the time we vote on them?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "There's no requirement under the rules for that,"
- Gerald W. Shea: "I'm certainly well realize that there's no requirement under the rules, I'm asking that if it's possible to do this."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "You have one on your desk, I suggest

  You look at it. The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."

  George W. Lindberg: "Mr. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Richard Walsh rise?"
- Richard A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I, as one member, feel



much the way the Minority Whip does, I don't happen to have those amendments, I've been to the Clerk's desk and hastily read them as they've been brought up. I know that members of the commission and of the committee apparently are very familiar with them, but they have the amendments themselves or have had them discussed, even co-sponsors of the bill have referred to specific sections. I would also like to join with Representative Shea and urging that we do have these amendments put on our desks."

- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."
- George W. Lindberg: "Mr. Speaker, I'll withdraw Amendment Number Eight and have it reproduced."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, take it out of the record."

  Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Nine, Choate. Amend

  House Bill 3700 on page 5, line 33, by inserting after the word 'his' the following word 'official'."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich rise?"
- John S. Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I have a point of order now. If we skip one amendment now, isn't it possible that we may have conflicting amendments and we don't know what's going to happen to Amendment Number Eight, for example, aren't we going to develop a conflict here of the possibility of it?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No."



John S. Matijevich: "No?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's right, no."

John S. Matijevich: "Beautiful, beautiful."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, this is Mr. Choate's amendment, it's being handled by Mr. Berman, for what purpose does the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg, rise?"

George W. Lindberg: "Ah, reiterating Representative Shea's motion, we don't I don't have a copy of this amendment, at least I don't know if the other members would like one, but I would like to see one."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Arthur L. Berman: "It's a one word amendment, but I'll be glad to withdraw it unless you'd like to hear it first."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "I waive my objection."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, . . . "

Arthur L. Berman: "Amendment. . . "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah. . ."

Arthur L. Berman: "May I proceed, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It's not a valid objection, because there's no rule that requires it, so, now, do you want to proceed with discussing it?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, go ahead."

Arthur L. Berman: "In behalf of the Minority Leader, Amendment Number Nine inserts the word 'official' before the word



'duties' on page 5, line 33. The way the bill reads before this amendment, it says 'that no public official may receive compensation other than that provided by law for the performance of his duties'. The amendment merely inserts the word 'official' before the word 'duties', so that it would read 'that no public official may receive compensation other than that provided by law for the performance of his official duties'. It's a one word clarification, I think it still complies with the content of the original wording and I would request it's adoption. Page 5, line 34."

- George W. Lindberg: "Mr. Speaker, I would join in urging the members to adopt the Minority Leader's amendment, it is a good amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."
- Charles W. Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, do I read then that you're ruling out any outside income for any duties performed?"

  Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well,..."
- Charles W. Clabaugh: "After all, we starve to death at \$17,500.00, paying half of it for hotel bills."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."
- Arthur L. Berman: "Representative Clabaugh, I'm in full agreement with you. All that this amendment says, Charlie, is that you can't be paid for your official duties. In other words, no body can pay you for being a legislator except the salary you get for being a legislator. Without this



amendment, the interpretation could be that you couldn't receive compensation for anything else that you do. I want to clarify that so that you can earn some outside income if we're still able to after we adopt this bill, but the purpose of this amendment is still within the framework that your salary as a legislator is the only compensation that you receive that you can receive for your legislative duties."

- Charles W. Clabaugh: "Thank you for your explanation, but there's so much lawyer talk in here, that we non-lawyers had better watch and there's a lot more of it on page 7, that I want to be heard on later on, after all these amendments are in."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

  Clyde L. Choate: "Well, Mr. Clabaugh, as one lawyer, nonlawyer to another non-lawyer, it does just exactly the
  opposite of what you were thinking."
- Hon. W.Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons:"
  Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know why this
  should be necessary, it's in our oath of office that we'll
  not accept any money or remuneration of any kind for any
  action, so I don't know why we have to have it in this
  bill."
- Clyde L. Choate: "Well, there's much of the things that have been enclosed in 3700 at least intended in our oath of office, and if we're going to consider other portions of House Bill 37, 3700 rather, then there's nothing wrong with



making this explicit and spelling it out as far as the statute is concerned and I I think the Chief-Sponsor agrees Mr. Simmons, that, and I agree with you that there's a heck of a lot that's included in the entire bill, incidentally, that's included in our oath of office, and I move for the adoption, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."
Harold A. Katz: "Ah, would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates that he will."

Harold A. Katz: "What concerns me is that with the inendment it would say that we can not accept compensation, only for the performance of our official duties. Let us say that a legislator would be lobbying for someone, someone would want a legislator to lobby something through the legislature. It seems to me that that is not our official duty and that by putting that amendment on, it would permit a legislator to do it. It would seem to me that what the statute should say is that we can not accept compensation for performing anything in connection with legislative duties. That seems to me to be what we are saying and my question is why not do it that way?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Well, in response, Representative Katz,
I call your attention to the immediately following the
section immediately following, the section in question,
which prohibits compensation for lobbying to members of the
General Assembly, so that's covered by Section 3-7, this



- amendment merely delineates Section 3-6, I believe."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, any further discussion?

  All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say

  'Yeas', opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment
  is adopted. For what purpose does the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg rise?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to request the Chair that after this particular amendment has been adopted, if we could return to Amendment Number Eight which has now been distributed?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, we'll go back to Number Eight. Number Nine was adopted. Back to Number Eight."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Eight, Lindberg. Amend House Bill 3700 by adding new Section 7-4 and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."
- George W. Lindberg: "Mr. Speaker, the purpose of Amendment
  Number Eight is to make it clear that we are permitting the
  State Board of Ethics which will be created under Amendment
  Number Four or its counterpart, to prescribe the particular
  form which all of us and all public officials will be required to file, both the first public form and the second
  Board of Ethics form, and also to make rules and so forth
  for the conduct of their business. I think it's a fairly
  standard provision. I think it's a fairly standard provision. I think it's important that the Board have this
  authority and I would urge the adoption of this amendment."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry. Either this could be made a part of Amendment Number Four, or we will be putting language in, and if Amendment Number Four for some reason fails, then we are talking about a board that's not created, so I don't know how this could be a proper amendment at this point, because there is no board under the amendment under the bill now."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."
- George W. Lindberg: "Well, Mr. Speaker, pending your ruling on the question, I would simply say that obviously if this amendment is adopted I would withdraw it if the Amendment Number Four or its counterpart is not adopted."
- Gerald W. Shea: "All right."
- George W. Lindberg: "I would then move that this amendment
   be reconsidered and tabled if that happens."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Well, why couldn't we just make it part of Amendment Number Four?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Mr. Speaker, the amendment is in proper form, and it does fit in the bill without any problem, but it's just that. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I understand that. Well, at this time I don't think that your objection is timely, and we'll proceed to hear the discussion with regard to Amendment Number Eight."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Thank you."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."
- George W. Lindberg: "Well, ah, Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of this amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "On the motion to adopt, may I say a few words?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Surely."
- Bernard B. Wolfe: "Ah, the amendment is a general broad amendment for rule making relating one, to the filing of a statement, and two, to make rules and regulations relating to the administration of its business pursuant to this act, and now, I'm confused as to what the business of the board is, because one statement was made by the Chief Sponsor that the board only had ministerial functions to except and receive the fillings, and no other duties. .."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One, one one moment, please, for what purpose does the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg rise?"
- George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Representative Simmons has made a good point as to a conflict in this amendment, and it should be a part of Amendment Number Four, so I will withdraw Amendment Number Eight."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, what you're saying is that there is a conflict between this amendment and between four which four has not been adopted yet."
- George W. Lindberg: "I know, Mr. Speaker, what we are in the



process of doing now is recasting what was Amendment Number Four, and this particular amendment should be recast into that recast at the same time, so. . . "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, areyou requesting that it
 be taken out of the record?"

George W. Lindberg: "Yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, take take Eight out of the record."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Ten, Choate. Amend House Bill 3700 on page 6, by inserting between lines 22 and 23 the following: Section 3-11, no state official may acquire, or own while in his term of office. . . " Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate." Clyde L. Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have consistently and late days and especially in the executive committee and in the committee that heard House Bill 3700 last week, stated that I was going to vote for a Code of Ethics and I'm reminded of a statement that I made in either 65 or 67, when the present disclosure law that is on the statutes today was passed. When I, at that time explained my vote, and said at that time that  ${\tt I}$ personally had nothing to that I owned or that I was involved in that I was afraid to make a part of the public record, and immediately after the enactment of that law, I was one of the members who did disclose as far as the present code is concerned, my holdings throughout the State of Illinois, and laid it on the face of the Journal for



public scrutiny if they so desired. It has been no secret in this state in my district or any place else, as far as I am personally concerned, that I did have holdings and I do have holdings in businesses that are regulated by the State of Illinois. And it has been no great surprise to the people of my district or to other peoples who are intimately acquainted with me or who have talked to me about it, or who took the time or the effort and that goes for the news media to look at the House Journal and see what I happen to own. So this amendment does apply to me, in particular, and it applies to any other official who may acquire or own while in his term of office or within three years after his term expires, prohibits him from having any financial interest in any business regulated by the State of Illinois. Now, when I said I was going to vote for a Code of Ethics, I told the Chairman of that Ethics and Government Committee and I told the members of that committee, that if we were going to have ethics, at least I wanted to vote for the strongest ethics piece of legislation that could come out of this General Assembly. have also said consistently that there are three things that I do not feel you can regulate by law. You can not legislate honesty, you can not legislate morals and you can not legislate religion, but if it happens to be that the majority of this General Assembly in both the House and the Senate and the news media in the state and a great majority of the general public, do desire a Code of Ethics for their



public officials to abide by, then I will help pass that particular Code of Ethics. There is only one thing I want understood. And I know that the Chairman believes, because I've talked to him that I'm sincere in this effort and I am. As he by his own admission, has said that there is loop holes in this particular bill and there's loop holes in other particular bills, and at the outset it doesn't treat all peoples alike in all instances, and I would hope that when we finally arrive at the legislation that is going to pass this House and the Senate, and be signed by the Governor, that it will apply to all people to have a something to do with public confidence. And this amendment is something that is stringent, I think. It is one that is going to say to the General Assembly, yes, we can, if we did not, we can have faith in our public officials, because it says in non-legal language, that no state official may acquire or own while in his term of office or within three years after his term expires, any financial interest in any business regulated by the State of Illinois. And I think, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that this strengthens House Bill 3700 and I will be one of the first. if this becomes a part of this bill, and when this bill becomes law, to divide myself of holdings that I have that are regulated by the State government."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have absolutely no



quarrel with, what I believe, is the intention of the Minority Leader, in offering this amendment. I do question how, however, the definition of regulated, a a business regulated by the state, because based on my experience down here, virtually every business is regulated by the State of Illinois. Now, Representative Wolfe has an amendment that we're working on right now that would define specifically the industries that are closely regulated by the State and so I don't have objection to this amendment, which is just now been delivered to me and I just believe that it would mean that no member of the of government may invest in any business in the State of Illinois. I think that is the import of this amendment and so on that basis I'd have to reject this particular amendment, although I am in favor of the concept which I think the sponsor has in mind. Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah. . . "

George W. Lindberg: "Also, Mr. Speaker and Mr. Minority

Leader, I would point out that we do use the word in the

code public official, I note that the this particular amendment uses the word 'state official' and the question would

then arise as to whether you are including local government agencies, such as the county, municipal and district

level, or whether or not you intend it should mean just

state officials, as we conceive of it. So I think there

are two important defects in this important amendment, and

I would suggest that it either be defeated or withdrawn
and redrafted."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "Mr. Speaker, inasmuch as the Chairman and the, not the Chairman, the sponsor has said that he is in sympathy with what I am trying to do, but he might think that the amendment is not drafted correctly, I would ask Mr. Speaker to withhold this amendment for just a moment and let me sit down with Representative Lindberg and see if we can't agree on the language. Okay?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, take Ten out of the record, and we'll go to Eleven."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Eleven, Houlihan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe rise?"

Bernard B. Wolfe: "Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege, ah,..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "State your point."

Bernard B. Wolfe: "My name was mentioned in debate."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "By whom?"

Bernard B. Wolfe: "By Representative Lindberg."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Okay."

Bernard B. Wolfe: "Now, this is the first time in four terms that I have risen on a point of personal privilege, but I think that the comments of Representative Lindberg as Chief Sponsor of House Bill 3700 was certainly to the point of the issue before this House. Now, no amendment is the select work and individual ownership of any member of this House. When it's submitted, it becomes an amendment to a



bill which affects every member of the House, and this particular amendment and the defects that it points up the problems faced by this House working out and ethics legislation which is both effective, meaningful, fair, constitutional and within the guidelines required by both the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of Illinois. Now what we intend to do can well be misguided if each of these amendments is not submitted to the other members of the House, and we don't get the full thinking of the House with respect to the amendments and with respect to what it's going to do to House Bill 3700, and I would respectfully ask the Chief Sponsor, who is now conducting small conferences on Amendment Number Four, Seven, Nine and we don't know how many more coming before this body to not only consider the proposed amendments, but to follow the procedures suggested by other members of the House in submitting these amendments to the other members who are not part of the conference so they may be aware of the effect and the intent of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Amendment Number Eleven."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Eleven. Amend House Bill 3700. . ."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, the Chair recognizes the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, in lightof the amendments that have been offered and those that I know are going to be offered, I wonder if the Speaker might permit



us to stop at this point and pick up early in the morning at whatever hour we set, with this bill on the order of second reading, so that we have a chance to get these amendments into shape and so that I'll have an opportunity to look at them as well as the other members."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, what we'll do is to leave 3700 now and any members that have amendments that they are going to propose for adoption, if they would submit them to the Clerk so that they can be numbered. We will have the Clerk's office make copies of them and they will be on the desk over night. 2485."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2485, a bill for an act to amend Section 7-12 and 8-9 of 'The Election Code'. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 2485 on page 1, line 1, by striking 'Section 7-12 and 8-9' and inserting in lieu thereof 'Section 8-10'; and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the amendment to the Election Code that I have here would deals with the placing of the names on the ballot of Representatives and Senators. It would amend the bill to read 'First, the names of all incumbents. If there be more than one incumbent they shall be listed in the order of length of service in the house to which they seek nomination; if seniority is the same, the position of each will be determined by lot conducted by the State Electoral



- <u>Board'</u>. I believe this is a fairest and best way to resolve the position on the ballot, and I would recommend that you vote 'Yeas' on the adoption of this committee amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Madison, Mr.
  Kennedy."
- Leland J. Kennedy: "Ah, I can't hear what he's talking about, ... Mr. Speaker."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Okay, let's get the noise down. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."
- Arthur E. Simmons: "I said that Committee Amendment Number
  One to House Bill 2485 would determine how candidates for
  Representative and Senator would be placed on the ballot
  in the primary and general election. Under this amendment, first the names of all incumbents would be placed
  there. If there would be more than one incumbent, they
  would be placed in the order of the length of their service in the house to which they seek nomination and after
  that the non-incumbents would be listed. I think it's a
  good amendment and I recommend adoption of Committee Amendment Number One."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas; the opposed 'Nay', the 'Yeas' have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 3707."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3707, a bill for an act to amend Section 17.01 and 22.02(a) to and to amend Sections



- 2, 3, 17 and so forth of 'Water Conservation District Law'.

  Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend

  House Bill 3707 on page 1, in lines 2 and 7 by striking

  '23' and inserting in lieu thereof '23, 26b, 26b.1'; and
  so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Blades."
  Ben C. Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  the committee amendment is the language that was used in
  House Bill 1858 which we passed out of here. I move the
  adoption of this amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yes', the opposed say 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted.

  Further amendments? Third reading."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Calvo. Amend House Bill 3707 on page 9, line 31, by adding after the period the following: 'no sub-district director may receive compensation under this sub-section on any day for which he receives compensation under Section 21 of the Act'."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Horace L. Calvo: "No."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Blades." Ben C. Blades: "Ah, Mr. Calvo's amendment, Mr. Speaker, how
  - ever, I will move the adoption of the amendment, it's a good amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of



the amendment say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Three. Amend House Bill 3707 on page 1, line 1, by striking 'Sections 17.01 and 22.02a' and inserting in lieu thereof 'Section 22.02a', and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Blades."

Ben C. Blades: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House, this is an amendment worked out with the Municipal

League so that the majority of the governing body may pet
ition their municipality into a soil conservation district.

I move the adoption."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted.

  Further amendments? Third reading. 2900."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2900, a bill for an act to amend. . ."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall rise?"
- Harber H. Hall: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are delighted with the presence of our distinguished colleague Edward Madigan of his wife and eight ladies from Logan County, and I'd like to have Evelyn Madigan stand up in the gallery so we can recognize her."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2900,..."

Harber H. Hall: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."



- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 2900, a bill for an act to amend Sections 7-1, 7-9 and so forth of 'The Election Code' Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend House Bill 2900 on page 18, by inserting after line 19 the following: 'Section 2. This amendatory act takes effect upon its becoming a law'."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Stark, Mr. Nowlan."

  James D. Nowlan: "Mr. Speaker and Members, this amendment

  which was unanimously agreed to by the Constitutional Implementation Committee simply provides effective date language which has the effect of stating the legislation would become law, or would take effect upon the coming law if passed by three-fifths of the House, and I ask that we adopt this amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, will the fact that the bill will now read 'this amendatory act takes effect upon its becoming law', is there any requirement that it takes three-fifths vote to be in the bill or will that just be a statement by the Chair?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well,..."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Because this does in effect, Mr. Speaker, the amendment provides for it becoming law, prior to the date that would normally take place, and I believe in order for it to pass, it will need a three-fifths vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, this is a language that is being



placed in the bill now, where in order for them to become effective immediately, a three-fifths vote will be required and the Reference Bureau has been putting that only in the synopsis, the three-fifths, and I don't think that's essential as far as the bill is concerned, but it will take a three-fifths vote on third reading if this amendment is adopted."

Gerald W. Shea: "Thank you."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. 3663."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3663, a bill for an act to amend Sections 1 and 4 in relation to hearings before a commission. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3636, a bill for an act to provide for the exclusive exercise by the State of the power to regulate certain professions, vocations and occupations. Second reading of the bill. Two committee amendments. Committee Amendment Number One. Amend House Bill 3636, on page 1, line 15, by striking '2.24' and inserting in lieu thereof '2.29' and so forth."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

  Brian B. Duff: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, ah, Amendment Number One to



House Bill 3636 adds to the list of occupations and professions and regulation at the State level, the Insurance Code of Illinois. I move passage of amendment number one."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, is the gentleman's amendment

- in order at this time? I believe that the bill as it was introduced and came out of committee, the last paragraph is 2.24 and the gentleman's amendment, Amendment Number One, seeks to add a 2.30."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington rise?"
- Harold Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of inquiry."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Concerning this matter?"
- Harold Washington: "Concerning the procedures of the House today, Mr. Speaker."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, let me finish with this matter will you, and then we'll get to that."
- Harold Washington: "All right, thank you."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It appears that there's a transposition and what has been reported as Amendment Number One
  is in reality Amendment Number Two and Amendment Number Two
  is really Amendment Number One. So, with that explanation,
  if the Clerk would read what Amendment Number One which
  the Committee adopted was, then we'll proceed from there."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Committee Amendment Number One, Amend House Bill 3636 on page 1, line 15, by striking '2.24' and



inserting in lieu thereof '2.29'; and on page 3, by adding below line 14 the following: 'Section 2.25. The 'Nursing Home Administrators Licensing Act', approved September 22, 1969, as now and hereafter amended. Section 2.26. 'An Act in relation to the practice of barbering, to prescribe penalties for the violation thereof, and to repeal an Act therein named', approved July 18, 1947, as now or hereafter amended. Section 2.27. An Act relating to the practice of beauty culture', approved June 30, 1925, as now or hereafter amended. Section 2.28. 'An Act to provide for the licensing and regulating detectives and detective agencies' approved\_June 26, 1933, as now or hereafter amended. Section 2.29. 'An Act for the regulation of the business of horseshoeing', approved June 28, 1915, as now or hereafter amended. Section 3. If any provision of this Act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the Act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this Act are severable.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Brian B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

House, this adds to the bill the Nursing Home Administrators,

the practice of barbering, but not barbers, and beauty culture. Also the regulating and licensing of detectives in

detective agencies and horseshoeing. This brings, I think,

completely the list of occupations that are under the



- Registration and Education Department. I move the adoption of Amendment Number One."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."
  Gerald W. Shea: "I'm wondering if the sponsor would yield
  for a question?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff?
  Yes."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Ah, Mr. Duff, as I understand the purpose of House Bill 3636, that is to take away from units of home rule certain powers granted to it under the constitution and make the state the exclusive regulatory body in certain instances, is that correct, sir?"
- Brian B. Duff: "That is substantially correct, sir, except as a matter of interpretation, the way the constitution was written in this area reserves for the state action, the privilege of maintaining as it has now those powers, so it is not exactly accurate to say that this takes away from home rule units any power, but rather than it maintains at the state level those powers which are already at the state level."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Well, the reason why I'm, as I understand 3636, you say that at the present time municipalities such as Chicago have the opportunity to license real estate brokers, and in that they have attempted to provide against block busting and panic pedaling. Now, as I understand this bill, you would prohibit the City of Chicago from regulating brokers and therefore prohibiting the city from



doing anything in the block busting and panic pedaling area, is that correct, sir?"

Brian B. Duff: "No, that's not entirely correct. As, it might make it valuable if I tried to make this clear to you how this would work. There's nothing in this bill which says that the State of Illinois can not, when it has maintained exclusive licensing powers of certain occupations and professions, could not then indeed, as it has before, delegate to the municipalities those powers. It does not, for example, include into those areas of state regulation which we have already done, including block busting bills which have been before this house this year for state regulation."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "Mr. Speaker, on just a real short point of

personal privilege, and it is a privilege for me to present

to me this body a former member, a former leader and now

the Chairman of the Cook County Board of Commissioners,

former member of the House, George Dunne."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Arthur L. Berman: "Ah, he's not through,"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Turn Shea back on."

Gerald W. Shea: "Now, Mr. Duff, you intend in the amendment to be the the state to be the sole regulatory power with regards to detectives and detective agencies. At the present time, many municipalities are charged with the responsibility of regulating detectives and detective agencies



that passed ordinances, etc. to do this. There's been quite a to do lately and some of our Chicago metropolitan press about these detectives and detectives agencies, or the guards that work for them, etc., carrying guns around and the caliber of people that are employed by them. Now you tell me that you want the state to be the sole regulatory body of these people?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Duff."

- Brian B. Duff: "Ah, in fact, I'm sure the Representative from Cook, and the Assistant Minority Leader, will recall that this year we have passed bills at the state level on these very subjects."
- Gerald W. Shea: "I realize that we've passed them on the state or where in the state is a regulatory body, but the constitution permits that the state and home rule units to exist or co-extensively regulate these agencies. Now, you're telling me that you want to make the State the sole regulating power in this arena over these people, is that correct?"
- Brian B. Duff: "No, sir, that is not correct. The only way that the state and the municipalities under this provision of the constitution can co-exist and can both have the privileges of regulating if is if this amendment and this bill are passed, and under that determination, then it is possible for the state to continue as it has then done in the past, to allow municipalities power of regulation in those areas which the State has announced its preemption or



its priority of concern."

- Gerald W. Shea: "But, you're attempting under this bill and this amendment to preempt the home rule units from any regulation of detectives and detective agencies, is that correct?"
- Brian B. Duff: "I'm sorry, Representative Shea, but I could
  not hear your question."
- Gerald W. Shea: "If Amendment Number One to House Bill 3636 is adopted and House Bill 3636 passes both chambers and is signed into law, home rule units of government would be preempted from acting in the field of licensing detectives and detective agencies and would be prohibited from any regulation, because you are putting the entire act of regulation under the state."
- Brian B. Duff: "No, that is not correct, and the reason it's not is because the only way the state may maintain any privilege in this area is to pass this bill and these amendments at this time. If the state does not pass this bill, then the state will not have the authority. Now, if the state passes this bill at this time, it may then, as it always has in the past, continue to delegate to municipalities pertinent and appropriate power."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Well, would you have any objections then to this bill stating that this power shall be co-extensive with the state and home rule units of government?"
- Brian B. Duff: "If this bill were changed in its wording, it will confuse its direct relationship with the constitution



as it is drawn."

Gerald W. Shea: "Well ..."

- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."
- Henry J. Hyde: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker, I think the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader is engaging in dialogue and debate rather than asking one or two questions to illuminate his position on this matter, and if he, and so I object to this continuing dialogue."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I agree with that, and if the gentleman cares to finish in the time he has remaining, well, he may do so."
- Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Duff, will you hold this bill on second reading long enough for me to prepare an amendment to make sure that the home rule unit and the state have co-extensive power in this act?"
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

  Brian B. Duff: "Representative, excuse me, the Representative's suggestion, as I'm trying to articulate and clarify for him is that wordings of co-extensive would not be pertinent to the constitution, but would be debilitating in the effect of what we're trying to accomplish. So, I would be delighted under normal circumstances to hold the bill to accomplish that, except that the very nature of the terms make it an impossible thing in terms of drafting this bill constitutionally, as it is already drafted. Now, . . . "

  Gerald W. Shea: "If you'd hold it. . ."

Brian B. Duff: "that the that the bill has been able to be



discerned for several days now, including over the weekend, was heard in committee, and there being no, and I've
talked to minority staff members about the bill, and there
having been said no objection up to this time that this was
even a problem, I'm reluctant to hold the bill."

- Gerald W. Shea: "You can amend it tomorrow and I'd appreciate
   it if you would."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there further discussion."

  The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."
- Arthur L. Berman: "Is there, on a point of inquiry, are we proceeding with the motion to adopt Amendment Number One, Brian?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes."

Arthur L. Berman: "Well, if would the sponsor yield?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeah, he indicates he will."

- Arthur L. Berman: "All right, ah, Representative Duff, is there pending at the present time any companion bills to this House Bill that would retain within the home rule unit the ability to regulate any of the categories that are presently included in House Bill 3636?"
- Brian B. Duff: "I'm not sure of that. In the Senate, there are some bills that have been submitted this week. It is my understanding that they have not been assigned to committee. Are those the bills to which the Representative has reference?"
- Arthur L. Berman: "No, I meant, do you have any control over any companion bills to this?"



Brian B. Duff: "No."

Arthur L. Berman: "All right, if I may address myself to the amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, proceed."

Arthur L. Berman: "I think that there are certain categories of occupations that properly would be within the exclusive purview of the regulation of the State of Illinois only. But I would like to call the attention of the members of this House to one of the categories, thank you, Mr. Speaker to one of the categories that would be added to this bill under Amendment Number One, and that is the category under Nursing Homes. The Nursing Home operators. I think that we're taking a very dangerous step here by urging the adoption of Amendment Number One that would put Nursing Home Operators solely under the exclusive regulation of the state in licensing of the State of Illinois. In the not too distant past, we have seen instances of great, you might even call them, scandals, involving the operation of nursing homes in the Chicago area and in other parts of the State of Illinois. If there was a companion bill pending, over which the principal sponsor of this bill had had equal control, so that upon passage of this bill, the city of Chicago, or the county of Cook, or other home rule units could continue to regulate the operation of nursing homes within their jurisdiction for the protection of the patients in those homes, I don't think I would raise this objection, without a companion bill, with the adoption of



Amendment Number One, there would be no regulation on a local level of nursing homes that affect the day to day lives of thousands and thousands of the sick, the affirm and the elderly. Until we have some safeguards, Mr. Speaker, some safeguards to protect these people on a local regulatory basis, I would urge the opposition and a 'No' vote on the motion to adopt Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, care to close?"

Brian B. Duff: "Thank You, Mr. Speaker, ah, I might make two points in reference to the points just made, the first is the clarification again. I think perhaps the respected Representative from Cook misunderstands still the import of this bill. If it is not passed, it means that the state surrenders the right to license. If it is passed, it means that the State maintains the right and can still delegate to the municipalities. The only way that we can have what was earlier referred to as coextensive is to pass this. I might further point out that I have been informed that just last week the city of Chicago admitted some board and saw nursing homes unconstitutional by Judge Egan. Now, I urge the adoption of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is. . . you want a roll call. All right, on this question, all those in favor vote 'Yeas', and the opposed 'No'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."



Samuel C. Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise instead of speaking on the issue, a point of personal privilege, to introduce at this time one of the guests in the gallery, the Honorable John Stroger, County Commissioner of Cook County, he's up there with his staff."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right. . . "

Samuel C. Maragos: "And I vote 'No'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take the record. On this question, there are 83 'Yeas' and 56 'Nays' and the amendment is adopted."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two. Amend House Bill 3636 as amended, in Section 2, by striking '2.29' and inserting in lieu thereof '2.30'; and by inserting immediately before Section 3 the following: 'Section 2.30. The 'Illinois Insurance Code', approved June 29, 1937, as now or hereafter amended'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea rise?"

Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, at this time I would request that the sponsor of this piece of legislation a fiscal note to find out the additional cost to the state in this field and I believe that's pursuant to 50, Rule 42 and I make note of the fact that Rule 42e says 'that in the event a fiscal note has been requested by any members, a bill shall be held on second reading until the fiscal note has been supplied or determined to be inapplicable."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

from Cook, Mr. Duff rise?"

- Brian B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, just a point of inquiry in terms of the motion. I would like to ask the mover in what particular area of this bill does he see any thing at all that involves any appropriation? This is a bill related to powers."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman. . . frankly, this matter is one that I'm going to hold this bill on second reading. If you want to address yourself to the second amendment, you may do so. The rule provides that the bill will be held there until a fiscal note is supplied or determined to be inapplicable and the Chair will make that determination after we adjourn today. Now, do you want to proceed with Amendment Number Two?"
- Brian B. Duff: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment Number Two to House Bill 3636 adds the 'Insurance Code' to the bill. I move adoption of the amendment to this bill."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment vote 'Yeas', the opposed 'No' Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 88 'Yeas' and 48 'Nays' and the amendment is adopted. Maragos 'No'. Are there any further amendments? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Harry Yourell: "On a point of personal privilege. I'd like to introduce in the gallery one of the commissioners of the



Cook County, John Stroger and Committeeman. . . "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are you both from the same district,
you and Mr. Maragos?"

Harry Yourell: "I couldn't let him preempt me, though."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh, all right, we'll go through with it."

Harry Yourell: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "3614."

committee amendment, please."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3614, a bill for an act to add Section 25.05-8 to the act to revise law in relation to counties. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amendment Number One, Amend House Bill 3614 on page 1, lines 9 and 10 by striking 'each county with a population of less than 1,000,000 inhabitants' and inserting in lieu thereof 'any non-home rule county'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."W. J. Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have

· it and the amendment's adopted. Further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Amend House Bill 3614 on page 1, line 1, by inserting immediately after 'add' the following: 'amend Section 25.05 and to'; and by striking lines 6 through 8 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: 'Section 1. Section 25.05 of 'An Act to revise the law in relation to': .."



- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."

  W. J. Murphy: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
  the House, this is a a mostly the big part of this is the
  housekeeping amendments to this bill and the other part of
  it changes the decimal point to from .003 to .03. I move
  the adoption of this amendment."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment's adopted. Any further amendments? Third reading. The ah, for what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann rise?"
- Robert E. Mann: "Ah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, on the order of third reading appears House Bill 2532, and I'd ask leave to bring it back to second reading for the purposes of some amendments."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there leave to bring those bills back? All right, the Clerk will read the amendments."
- Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One. Amend House Bill 2532 on page 5 by striking lines 8 through 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: <a href=""">'shall be afforded to all citizens of Illinois and so forth!"</a>
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."
- Robert E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like that amendment second, could you read the other amendment first, Fred, please?"
- Fredric B. Selcke: "All right, sure. Amendment Number One,
  Mann. Amend House Bill 2532 on page 3 by striking lines
  18 through 21 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:



'(c) Upon the adoption by the Illinois Pollution Control

Board of a total waste management plan as provided herein
on no pollutants which would prevent the attainment of the
objectives of the total management plan as set forth in
Section 4 (a) of this Act shall be deposited, discharged or
allowed to run off into Lake Michigan or any other water body
within the State of Illinois from sources originating within
the land adjoining Lake Michigan.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Robert E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment Number One, is as

Amendment Number Two, was worked out after a considerable
amount of time, with the Majority Leader and his staff, I
believe that both of these amendments represent a sincere
attempt on my part and on the part of the Majority Leader
to make the bill more workable without emasculating it or
denuding it in any way, and Mr. Speaker, without going into
the details of each item of the amendment, I would say that
what we do in these amendments is to redefine certain words,
make them more workable, make them more understandable, and
make the total bill more acceptable, and Mr. Speaker, I
would urge adoption of Amendment Number One."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number Two, Mann. Amend House Bill 2532 on page 5, by striking lines 8 through 11 and in-



serting in lieu thereof the following: 'shall be afforded to all citizens of Illinois, and so forth'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Robert E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker, what I have said with regard

to amendment number one, applies equally to amendment number two, and I would urge its adoption."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment number two say 'Yeas' those opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment's adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. The gentleman from Cook, ah, Lake, Mr. Conolly."

John H. Conolly: "On. . . "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose do you rise?"

John H. Conolly: "On third reading, there appears House Bill 2648, I request you take this back to the order of second reading for purposes of an amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there objection? If not, we'll take it back, and. . . read the amendment."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment Number One, Conolly. Amend
House Bill 2648 on page 2, by striking line 4 and inserting
in lieu thereof the following: 'construction area, (c)
provide notice,...'."

John H. Conolly: "Mr. Speaker, it's merely technical nature basically, and I plan to leave it on second reading for a few days, on third, so everyone will have an opportunity to see it, so as to speed up the House. I move the adoption of the amendment."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments? Third reading. 25-. Resolutions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Resolution 429, Brummet, et.al.

House Resolution 431, J. H. Kleine. House Resolution 432,
J. J. Wolf. House Resolution 433, Hyde, et.al. House

Resolution 434, Blair, et.al. House Joint Resolution 98,

Madigan, et.al."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde." Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these are the agreed resolutions. House Resolution 431 congratulates Sergeant Gunner Swalgren upon his retirement from the police force at Lake Bluff. House Resolution 432 congratulates Father Stanislaus and Father Joseph of St. Hyacinth Church, on their combined total of 99 years of priesthood. House Resolution 433 congratulates Richard K. Grout, Dennis W. Joyce, Frederick J. Kobald, Jr. and Steven C. Lampa on their attainment of Eagle Scout Rank. House Resolution 434 wishes a very happy birthday, I believe it's his 84th to Fred Selcke. I'm sorry, it doesn't say what the year is, I misread that. House Resolution 429 congratulates Paul Hibbs on the dedication of a new DuQuoin High School. And House Joint Resolution 98 is a memorial resolution to Adrienne C. Savickas, beloved wife of our esteemed colleague, Senator Frank D. Savickas. I now move adoption of the agreed resolutions."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the agreed resolutions say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No', the 'Yeas' have it and the agreed resolutions are adopted. Further resolutions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Resolution 430, Randolph, et.al. 'Whereas, the distinguished public servant and scholar, Representative Henry Hyde, is to receive much deserved recognition from his Alma Mater (Loyola University) for both his great legislative leadership and admirable interest in higher education; and Whereas, Representative Hyde is a scholar of the first order whose great erudition and creativity are always apparent in his presentations and in his defenses of the majority position; and Whereas, this gentleman of great faith has espoused and lived by the principles he learned at his Alma Mater throughout his existence in this life; therefore, it is resolved, by the House of Representatives of the Seventy-seventh Illinois General Assembly that Henry Hyde is recognized by us, as well as the institution of higher learning at which he matriculated, as a true gentleman and scholar, who is, indeed, a credit to Loyola University.'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Randolph."

Paul J. Randolph: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is
a congratulatory resolution for Henry Hyde and deserved recognition for from the Alma Mater, Loyola University, and
I urge the immediate adoption of this resolution."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those, you're anticipating. . . "



- Henry J. Hyde: "I was just going to suggest that we send to committee, I have a lot of witnesses that I'd like to bring up on that."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment, the resolution, say 'Yeas', the opposed 'No' the 'Yeas' have it, the amendment is adopted, or the resolution is adopted. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."
- Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to suspend Rule 46 to place the following bills on second reading, second day, all of which were reported from committee this morning, favorably: House Bills 3039, 3068, 3543, 3572, 3621, 3622, 3642, 3643, 3661, 3702, 3720, 3721, 3722, 3723, 3724, 3725, and 3736."
- Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, is there objection? All right, if not, then unanimous consent is given to have that rule suspended and those bills will appear on the calendar tomorrow on the order of second reading. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."
- Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have an important announcement. We will be in Friday, because of the fact that Friday is the last day for passage of House Bills and we have some important bills on second reading as yet and so we'll have a full working day tomorrow and a full working day Friday. I now move, Mr. Speaker, that this House stand in recess until the hour of 9:30 A.M. tomorrow morning."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."



Corneal A. Davis: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, immediately upon adjournment Senator John W. Carroll, Chairman of the Legislative Advisory Committee on Public Assistance will meet with the entire committee to discuss the transfers of monies from the dental assistants to the category for programs and also the hospitals that might be closed and other related subjects. You're welcome to remain, this may take a little while, but you're welcome to remain. Thank you very much."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All right, we'll be in adjournment now until 9:30 A.M. tomorrow morning."

Adjourn at 3:40 O'Clock P.M.

10/27/71 skn.

