

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED FIFTY-FIFTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 22, 1972

12:00 O'CLOCK NOON

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR,

SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A roll call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

- Representative John H. Conolly - no reason given;
- Representative Raymond W. Ewell - illness;
- Representative J. Horace Gardner - death;
- Representative John Henry Kleine - no reason given;
- Representative Henry J. Klosak - illness;
- Representative Elmo McClain - death;
- Representative Michael H. McDermott - illness;
- Representative Leland H. Rayson - no reason given;
- Representative Edward J. Shaw - death;
- Representative John W. Thompson - no reason given;
- Representative James VonBoeckman - illness;
- Representative Gale Williams - illness.



1.

Doorkeeper: "All who are not entitled to this House Chamber, will you please retire to the Gallery. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The House will be in order and those persons not entitled to the floor will be removed therefrom. The invocation this afternoon will be the Reverend Arthur Smith, the assistant Pastor of the First Presbyterian Church, Springfield."

Rev. Arthur Smith: "Let us pray. Dear Lord God we seek your power and strength at the beginning of this session today. Perhaps we are angry, maybe bored anxious, or even fearful. Our moods, our thoughts, our concerns, they shift and change from day to day. Take the totality of our lives, the strong, the weak, the compassionate, the hateful, the good, the bad, the true, and the false, all of the elements that make us who we are and what we are. Take these our lives and use them this day, good Lord, in the working out of your purpose among us. In the name and to the glory of Christ the Lord. Amen."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Roll call for attendance. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Madigan."

M. J? Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the records show that Representative McDermott and Representative Ewell are excused because of illness?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The record will so indicate. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representative



that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 1324 together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 1464 together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 3018 together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 3031 together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the Passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 3626, together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives to pass the bill with the following title; House Bill



3747, together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 3779, together with the following amendments.

Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives to pass the bill with the following title; House Bill 3781; together with the following amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 4208, together with the following amendments.

Passed the Senate as amended, June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 4219 together with the following amendment.

Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives to pass the bill with the following title; House Bill 4396, together with the following amendments.

Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House

GENERAL ASSEMBLY



of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 4423, together with the following amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 4552, together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 4640, together with the following amendment. Passed The Senate as amended June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their amendments to the bill with the following title; House Bill 4149, I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate requests a committee conference consisting of five members from each House to consider differences. Action taken by the Senate June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their amendments to the bill with the following title: House Bill 4260, I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate requests a committee conference consisting of five members from each House to

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



consider the difference. Action taken by the Senate June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their amendment to the bill with the following title; House Bill 4445, I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a conference committee consisting of five members from each House. Action taken by the Senate June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 1742, 2733, 3602, 4086, 4089, 4176, 4375, 4376, 4377, 4378, 4379, 4380, 4381, 4382, 4547, 4595, 4610, 4647, and 4650. Passed the Senate June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House to pass the bills with the following title; House Bill 3611, 3646, 3766, 4074, 4162, 4167, 4177, 4181, 4207, 4637. Passed the Senate June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 4209, 4289, 4300, 4419, 4429, 4447, 4454, 4469, 4592, passed the Senate June 21, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, I have some conference committees that I want to appoint members to. Senate Bill 1271, Schlick-

man, Gene Hoffman, Phillip Redmond Stone. House Bill 4149,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Pappas, North, Neff, Berman, Craig. House Bill 3639; Cunningham, Friedland, McMaster, Yourell, Krause. House Bill 3682; Phil Collins, Blair, Hyde, Choate, Shea. House Bill 2916; Collins, Phil; Blair, Hyde, Choate, Shea. House Bill 3544; Roscoe Cunningham, Borchers, Gibbs, Brummitt, Keller. House Bill 4270; Blades, Philip, Jones, Holloway, McPartlin. House Bill 3190; Sevcik, Duff, Palmer, Harold Washington, O'Halleren. House Bill 4445; Burditt, Hall, Shapiro, Stone, Douglas. Senate Bill's Second reading. Senate Bill 1425."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1425. An act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Revenue. Second reading of the bill. Two committee amendments. Committee amendment no. 1, amend Senate Bill 1425 on page 1, section 1, line 14 by deleting \$87,800 and inserting in lieu thereof; \$62,800. And on page 1, section 1, line 20 and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, William Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, This committee amendment no. 1 is a reduction of \$170,000 from the appropriation from the Department of Revenue. It has been agreed upon and I move the adoption of committee amendment no. 1 to House Bill 1425."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah...Committee amendment no. 2. Amend Senate Bill 1425 on page 7, line 3 by deleting \$85,000,000 and inserting in lieu thereof; \$95,000,000."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, this is an increase of \$10,000,000 for refunds for the income tax. This too was agreed upon between the leadership and the Department. And I move the adoption of committee amendment no. 2."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Senate Bill 1598."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1498. An act to add section 10 and 11 to, and to amend Sections 2 and 8 of an act in relations to Safety inspections andsoforth. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 1599."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1599. An act to amend the Workman's Compensation Act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. 1600."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1600. An act to add sections 22 and 23 to, and to amend sections 3, 4, 6 and 17 of the Health and Safety Act. Second reading of the bill. No



committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Third reading. 1601."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bills 1601. An act to amend the Workman's Occupational Disease Act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Third reading. 1602."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1602. An act to repeal an Act requiring Illinois Employers to report certain occupational injuries, illnesses, fatalities to the Department of Labor. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any from the floor? Third reading. 1602.... Oh, Alright. Third reading. Senate Bills third reading. Senate Bill 915."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 915. Bill for an act to amend an act relating to the Fees and Salaries. Third reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah...cook, Mr. Carroll."

Howard w. Carroll: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and gentlemen of the House I think many of you know the effect of Senate Bill 915. It deals with the area of appointed members of the Judiciary. None of the elected members of the Judiciary are part of this bill. All elected members of the Judiciary were put into that Commission that was created by our bill. This deals with the area of the associate judges. The reason we have asked that this be called at this time, at this stage



of the proceedings is that the associate judges have been given what the Federal Rules Consider, a promotion. They went from majistrate to associate judges when the new constitution went into effect. They not only received a title change, they received substantial jurisdictional changes. They no longer are limited in their general jurisdiction, the only limit is on felonies. They new have a specific term of office, four years, instead of serving at the whims and wills of the circuit courts. They, in doing this, I now considered to have received the promotion, and in order for them to receive an adequate compensational increase, they have to do it.....Clerk has indicated a problem, Mr. Speaker, can we take it from the record?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, take it from the record. Senate bills second reading. 1593."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1593. Bill for an act to make an appropriation to the Expenses of the Illinois Bi-Centennial Commission.. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor? Third reading. 1454."

Fredric B. Selcke: Senate Bill 1454. An act authorizing the sales of certain real property in Cook County. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment no. 1, L. Cunningham. Amend Senate Bill 1454 on page 2, line 14 by inserting directly

after, beginning with the following; except for the south
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



17 feet to be retained for highway purposes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Boone, Mr. Cunningham."

Lester Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of amendment no. 1 ah...to Senate Bill 1454."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill. Alright, Mr. Hill. Oh...any further discussion... Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Arthur E. Simmons: "It just changes, it was 15...something on the board. Are we dealing with ah...Senate Bill 1454?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's right."

Arthur E. Simmons: "That's a Mental Health Bill?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "We're dealing with Senate Bill....1454. The clerk indicates its an authorization for the sale of land in Cook County."

Arthur E. Simmons: "According to ah...the digest, authorize the Department of Mental Health to sell a quick claim deed. I believe that would be the same thing."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, is there any ah...discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. 1362."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1362. An act to amend sections 2,3,4 and 5 of an act to provide for the transportation of school children in certain metropolitan areas. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"



Third reading. 1558."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1558. An act to amend sections 14 of an act in relation of the Illinois State Penetentiary. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Are there any amendments from the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment no. 1, Hunsicker. Amend Senate Bill 1558 on page 1, line 3 by deleting and inserting in lieu thereof; to make an appropriation therefore on page 2 by inserting after line 7 the following; 'section 2, the sum of \$100,000 or so much thereof that may be necessary as appropriated to the Department of Corrections to administer this act."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

Carl T. Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, I move amendment no. 1 be adopted."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Arthur L. Berman: "Point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. This amendment appears to be adding an appropriation to a bill. Now if...first question is, is this ah...proper form in view of our past practice of seperating the appropriation from the substance of bills, and number 2, if its adopted with the bill have to go back to appropriations committee?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "On your first point ah...it would appear that basically the amendment, insofar as its an appropriation, would be for the purposes for which the bill itself, has been submitted. But on your second point, it has been the Chair's ruling that ah...if appropriations are placed ah... on bills on second reading, that they will be referred to ah..."



the appropriations committee for consideration."

Arthur L. Berman: "Mr. Speaker, My next question is ah...I think the bill's an important bill and I am just wondering if this is an amendment which the ah...Department of Corrections ah...has requested?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

Carl T. Hunsicker: "That is correct. The Department of Corrections has requested this."

Arthur L. Berman: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1558 say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Alright, Senate Bill's third reading. Senate Bill 915. It has been read a third time, the Gentleman from Carrol is recognized."

Howard W. Carroll: "Mr. Speaker, this time I move that the bill be brought back to the order of second reading for purpose of tabling an amendment that seems to be inconsistent according to the clerk."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, does the gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection take the bill back to second. Now..."

Howard W. Carroll: "We now move that you table amendments #'s 4, 5 and 6. The one's that were adopted yesterday were 7 and 8 there seems to be an inconsistency between 3, 4, 5 and 6 and the clerk advises us the enrolling and grossing would be better since we tabled 4, 5, and 6. I would do move."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the gentleman's asked consent to table 4, 5 and 6. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Simmons."

Arthur E. Simmons: "If that is accomplished then we will have 7 and 8 left?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The two amendments that were put on yesterday, 7 and 8, will be on the bill on third reading. But he's now moving to table...he tabled 3 yesterday, and now he's moving to table 4, 5, and 6. Is there objection. Alright, hearing none, Unanimous consent is given and the those amendments are tabled. Now, back to third reading on the bill. Senate Bill 915. Alright, we'll come back to that in just a moment then. 1323. Senate bill's second. 1323."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1323. An act to provide for the Ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Mental Health Planning Board.....Second reaing of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1323 on page 1, line 10, by deleting \$37,000'"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah..cook, Mr. Juckett."

Robert S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1323 reduces the appropriation by some \$6400 and I would move the adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1323."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Is there discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? ~~Third reading. Alright now back to~~



Senate Bill 915. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Carroll."

Howard W. Carroll: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, once again we're back..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Simmons."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Ah...amendment no. 7 and 8 were adopted yesterday and I haven't seen a copy of the amendment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Clerk advises me that they have been distributed."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Could I have a copy of them?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes. Does Mr. Carroll want to go ahead and explain his bill ah...while we're finding some copies of the amendment for Mr. Simmons. The clerk advises me that they have been distributed and are on the desk."

Howard W. Carroll: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 915 is the bill for the salaries for the newly created associate judges. Now the salaries of the elected judiciaries are not contained in this bill as there was a feeling that they were a part of that committee that would have been created. These are not elected members of the judiciary but rather appointed by the circuit courts. The reason that we have asked to move this at this time is that these particular category of judges, this particular category, now called associate judges, had prior to the new constitution that went into effect July 1st, been called majistrates. When the new constitution went into effect, not only did they get a title change and became associate judges, but they were given a four year term in office as opposed to serving at the will of the circuit court. They've had expanded duties. They are not longer limited in



their jurisdiction in other than cases of major felonies. Prior to this there were great limitations on their duties. They have also been, by rule, ah...they can no longer engage in any other outside ah...types of practice in which they could have made any money, or income, such as many of them were real estate brokers, insurance salesmen or things of that nature. By Supreme Court Rule they can no longer engage in those types of activities. So that they are limited entirely to that salary that we provide for them as associate judges. The ah...Federal Wage, Price Freeze guidelines have indicated that they would be entitled to any type of raises the legislature deems fit to give them, in that they received a promotion, within the meanings of the Federal guidelines. That a promotion ah...because of not only a title change, but jurisdictional changes and the term of years that they now serve, is one of the exempted categories. But this particular body ah...it is our understanding, has to give them such an increment before July 1st. That if they were to go through a budgetary year, or in the case of unions, if they were to go through a contract year, under the new title and be considered a promotion, if they did not receive any type of pay increase, that it could not in later years be considered a promotion to allow them to go beyond the 5.5 guidelines. I think many members of the legislature, if not most, agree that this particular category of our judiciary, has long been underpaid. That the discrepancy between them... That the discrepancy between this particular category and the



other categories of General jurisdiction have long had too much of a difference between their pay. A difference of, in some cases, as much as \$15,000 a year. What we have asked for by this bill, and I might add that this category of judges has the availability now, under the new constitution, of hearing 94.8% of all cases assignable in any county. And being that, that they are doing a major portion of the work load, and since they can't have any other income, ah...we felt that this particular category was definately entitled to a raise, and the Federal guidlines made the raise necessary at this stage of our proceedings. We have suggested that those few non-lawyer majistrates that are left beraises for a total salary of \$20,000 a year. That the lawyer majistrates, now called associate judges, be raised to a total salary of \$23,500 a year. That in any single county circuit there shall be an add on of \$4500 a year for those single county circuits. There are two single county circuits in this state. I think the need is there, I think that its clear that they are doing the job, I think that only the fact of the Federal conditions calling it a promotion require us to act now rather than waiting for some future commission to report at some future date. I would urge a favorable vote of the membership."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? Gentleman from cook, Mr. Simmons."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, I have nothing, no complaint about the intent of the bill. But I wanted to inquire, ah..."



Number 7, strikes everything after the enacting clause, and then goes on to say what the bill will do. But, number 7 says as amended, and of course we no longer have the amendments, but I presume that is correct. But it ah...It changes something up on the top of the bill, above the enacting clause by removing sections 3 and 3.1. And I wonder if that is correct?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. O'Brien."

G. M. O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, and members of the House, I've always been troubled a little bit about these add ons, and I do feel very disturbed about the fact that we haven't done anything for the court of claims judges. But those considerations aside, I think Representative Carroll has a sound bill, and I believe we should support it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah...Would you like to restate your point, Mr. Simmons ah...?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, ah...number...amendment no. 7, strikes everything after the enacting clause, and virtually rewrites the bill. However, as I read it, I just got it. I didn't have time to analyse it. The changes in amendment no. 7, other than the new bill, I find in the bill now, above the enacting clause, so it would be alright."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright. Any further discussion? Gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

C. L. McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I know we discussed this the other day, and talked a little bit about the possibility of working on this kind of a pay



increase for what we call a majistrate. And some of the consensus was that if wasn't done before July the 1st that they might come under the control of the pay board. And I don't know whether that's true or not, the only thing that I do know, the only things that comes to my mind is, if we're going to take care of state salaries on a piecemeal basis, each and every group of judges. majistrate, circuit, Supreme, Court, Then we go off into the Departments and we go off into the Governor and into the Secretary of State and all of the rest of the people that are elected officers in the state of Illinois. I don't believe that its good business for the people to start doing it on a piecemeal basis. And I believe sincerely, and I'm honest about this, and I know that the newspapers are talking about legislative pay raises, that I don't think is ever in the mill. And you talk about coming back after the election. I don't care if we would do this thing today, for appoint our commission and get everybody in the state on an equal basis. I think then, I'd be happy to do it before the November elections. But I think that it is wrong, I think it's a mistake to start doing it on a piecemeal basis, and I think the members of the House ought to look a long way before we start into the courts. And I will agree to this; That this part of the court structure, if there is any of them that are underpaid, is this group. And I feel, thought, the overriding issue is to get this thing set on an even keel. And we know ourselves that the Chief justice said the other day that the judges

shouldn't have to come to the legislature and lobby it for their salary. And if a high level commission of people outside of government are appointed to look over the tax structure, I mean the salary structure of the state, salaries in Illinois, that I believe that all of the people are satisfied than to see the judges run down. And twist arms and do everything that's possible to get their bill through, and the devil with everybody else. And I think, Mr. Speaker, that it might be a good idea to wait for the report of the commission."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton."

Bernard E. Epton: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House,

I don't recall anytime I've risen to the floor to disagree with my good friend C.L. McCormick . I think, however, that one point was over looked inadvertently, by him, and that's the fact that the commission bill that he is referring to specifies, particularly, elected officials. As Representative Carroll indicated these are not elected officials they are appointed. They are not included in our commission bill. This is not a deviation piecemeal for judges, all of the judges that are elected are in the commission bill. These gentlemen are not. My good friend also mentioned the fact that he wasn't aware of whether or not the price wage regulations would freeze these majistrates. The answer very simply is, I can reassure him, it does effect them. They could not, regardless of how well intentioned we might be, regardless of how well intentioned the commission might be, these gentlemen could not get the raise that they, that we are seeking to



give them at a later date. We either give it to them now, or they will not get it, regardless of the merit of their cause. I submit that these gentlemen do a job. I should indicate that this is , does not effect my brother. I would like to specify that he is not an associate circuit judge at this time. So it is not a conflict of interest, although I must confess that one of my former partners will benefit. The fact remains that in cook county, as well as the rest of the state, we are earnestly seeking competent men to administer justice. I think it's a sorry reflection upon all of us when we refuse to pay elected officials, or appointed officials that which is their due. These men have a tremendous responsibility, and I implore you, ladies and gentlemen, to vote in favor of this bill at this time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, there is nobody on the floor of the House that was more instrumental than at the time the Governor vetoed the pay raise for majistrates this session, the earlier part of this year. I was the man who made the objection to Representative McDevitt's motion to override, or to concur with the Governor's veto recommendation that would have left the majistrates out of a pay raise. I don't think I have to explain to any member of this House that I am very much in the forefront, and in favor of, our judges in all political and elected and appointed personnel receiving an adequate salary for an adequate days work. I don't think I have to go into too much confusion

in this House to tell you what's going on. We have a

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



commission bill that effects appointed and elected officials. And I beg to differ with the previous speaker, that the judges, the circuit majistrates, would come within the curvue of that commission bill, if its resurrected in the Senate. But I'd like to report to you members of The General Assembly, in the House, that if we pass this bill, and let me tell you I think I know a little bit about bargaining, if we pass this bill, right now, as its presented to us, you can forget about your commission, you can forget about setting a salary for George Lindberg, or Dean Barringer, for Comptroller by a commission. You can forget about setting salaries for the various Code Directors and Code Departments, and the commission members at adequate salaries commensurate with their work. YOU can forget about setting the Attorney General's salary any higher than what it is right now, a pittance compared to what he has to pay to higher competent attorney's. He receives a salary of \$30,000 a year. You can forget about increasing the salary of the Secretary of State, which happens to be \$30,000 a year. Because if this bill passes at the end, there isn't going to be any more discussion on pay bills. A small segment of those who need increases of reevaluations are going to be satisfied, the press is going to put it back in the obituary columns, and you can forget about anyone getting any salary increases, whether they be in the executive, the judiciary, or the legislative branches of government next year. Now I plead with you members of the General Assembly, in this House, that not like Representative Schlickman charged



yesterday, I did not appoint myself as your bargaining agent. But I'd like to see Representative Schlickman's record on voting on pay raises in the past, brought up before this House. I'd like to see where he stood when it took guts to stand, for whether it be increases for the General Assembly or for increases for just working people in the Universities or other areas of state government. I say to you members of the General Assembly, it takes some guts some times to do what's right, and I have as many friends in the majistrate circuits in this state as most of you, and as specially as many of you lawyers. You talk about conflicts of interest. I wonder if the officers of the courts are going to vote on this bill? I just suggest to you members of the General Assembly, not a no vote. I'm not looking to see you go on record saying that majistrates don't deserve a pay increase, because I join you, I believe they do, but I ask you to lay off. I ask you to vote present or not vote at all, in other words. So that it don't get 89 votes. And maybe the Senate will come to its senses on our commission bill over there. When they see that their pet projects aren't just handed over here willy nilly by this House. Its always odd that the House of Representatives has to be the part of the General Assembly that bends. It never seems to be the Senate. And this is exactly what the issue is here. Right now the Senate is refusing to take off the table the commission bill. We do not have the votes to do it. But they will find the votes, I'm sure, if this bill does not get its necessary 89



votes. I urge you, members of the general assembly in the House, not to vote for this bill. To vote present, and to allow the commission to do the job, and I hope the members of the press serve on the commission. And I resented the fact that one of the questions asked of me on TV this morning was whether any deal was made with the Supreme Court judges on whether or not their was a deal made with whom they appoint, where it looked favorable on the members of the General Assembly salary increase. I say to you I hope members of the press serve on this. And I'd like to see their reports. Ladies and gentlemen I urge you to consider what's happening here, and don't be taken in by a need that has to be fulfilled, a pay raise. I'm for it. And I say to you that under the wage, price freeze, that the judges of the circuit majistrates could receive their increases in November, or in September just as well as they can in July. That there is exemptions under the wage, price freeze in the Governmental sector and our interpretations are that it would not pertain to judges, or legislators, or executive members of the government and that they could receive pay increases as the budgets are allowed. If they're doing expanded work they could get the increases. And I just want to remind you on yesterday's vote; that alot of green lights that were on there for the judges increases for the court of claims, alot of those green lights that were up there were noticeably absent when it came to pay increases for members of the General Assembly last year. And I'd just



like to remind those members, that its awful odd, to me and other members sitting here, that you'd vote for pay increases for the court of claims judges, and hypocritically except your salary increases that were voted by some of the members with guts, and didn't turn down the \$17,500 salary that you received, but yet you'll vote against our system of bringing a little bit of equity in salaries for members of the General Assembly and other state offices. I just suggest an absent vote on this bill will be a very godway of voting at the present time."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Eugene E. Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in a point of personal privilege, I should like to call to the attention of the Gentleman from McHenry that rule 88 of this House provides that a member shall not name another members, or use offensive language in debate. I have respect for the gentleman from McHenry, I appreciate that he has always voted his conscience, as I trust he appreciates that I always vote my conscience."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, the remarks of the gentleman who just spoke are really quick in my conscience, because yesterday, I mentioned Representative Berman's name about that school bonding bill that he voted no on, and I want this House to know that I'm not sorry I did that yesterday. But more to the point, Mr. Speaker, at hand, I do think that this House sometimes does get lost in comparing one will with action pending on other or similar bills, rather than considering



an issue on the merit. And I think this issue that is presented by the distinguished gentleman from Cook, ah...who is proposing the passage of this bill, does deserve to be supported ah... on the merits. And I don't think that our judgement should be effected by the status of other legislation that is pending across the rotunda. The fact is that these men that will get these pay increases, should get this bill passed, are a unique group. They are the really working judges, they are appointed, not elected, and while I have the highest respect for the distinguished gentleman from McHenry's grasp of the wage, price freeze relations, I respectfully dissent from his interpretation. And it is my conviction that unless the raise is given now, before the end of the fiscal year, they will be limited by the wage price freeze guidelines. And so, I think these men who are appointed and not elected are different from the elected circuit judges. I concur that the salary commission ought to review all elected ah...officials, including judges, and agency heads, and directors of departments, but these men on their merits do deserve this increase. If the quality of justice is not to suffer but is to be improved, we must pay them a wage commensurate with the work they do because we are underpaid, possibly its our own fault, but I...there's no excuse for underpaying the working bench. And that's what these men are. So I would hope that you would vote in support of Senate Bill 915. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Mr. D. J. O'Brien."



D. J. O'Brien: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. I'm confused with the illogic of the notion that payraises must be passed across the board. It seems to me, as one acquainted with the labor movement, that the Carpenters would not object because the plaster's are entitled to a raise if they are bargaining for it. It seems to me, that if a raise is deserved it should stand on its own merit, individually, and face any criticism that might come because it is passed. Whether it be for the legislature, whether it be for the judiciary, or the executive, or the administrator or the various departments. I don't see the logic of holding a deserved pay raise, because there are other pay raises coming in the future. If it has merit, let's vote on it, let's pass it, and let's decend it. As Henry Hyde has said, these judges do the work, the bulk of the work, if we are to have quality men in these positions, we must make the pay raise comensored with the work and the sacrifices that an associate judge must take upon himself to take that position. I would urge that we consider this particular raise on the merits' of the men that are associate judges in this state. And not consider the legislative pay raise, not consider the executive pay raise, but consider this on its merit and vote it out yes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah...gentleman from cook, Mr. Duff."

Brian B. Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I feel, having been through a rather prolonged discussion, yesterday on pay raises on the court of claims which I think is somehow connected here, that



its important to know that in the debate so far, and earlier, it was mentioned that the commission bill, that the representative from McHenry talked about, does not cover, does not cover...does only cover elected people. And these persons involved in this pay raise are not elected. It would be entirely inconsistent of me, I'm sure, to have fought so hard yesterday for that other bill, and then today to go against this. Its not really unfair, it seems to me, for us to look only at ourself interest, when we are discussing whether or not there should be a pay raise for these appointed persons for whom we have the responsibility to consider adequate compensation."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Terzich."

Robert M. Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been moved. Does the gentleman from cook, Mr. Carroll care to close?"

Howard W. Carroll: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I think that in the debate a few collatoral issues have come up. Not that they were unanticipated, I think that we must look, though at that which we are about to do, or that that we are considering to do. AH...C.L. I can't agree with you. I don't think that your arguments about the commission or about the Federal guidelines, or about the piecemeal approach is the same arguements that Representative Hanahan made, are



really applicable in this case. This specifically is not within the amendment that was adopted to the commission bill. The commission bill, which originally dealt with only the Supreme Court, was amended to include all elected judges. These people, these people that we are dealing with in 915, are the appointed members of the judiciary. They are the non-elected members of the judiciary. They are the now associate judges which were prior to this called magistrates. They would not fall within the commission bill that finally wound up in the Senate. I disagree with the interpretation on the Federal wage price freeze guidelines. It's fairly clear in those guidelines that other than in cases of promotion, you are limited in salary, I think everyone understands that. The question is, when is the promotion effective? And it's our understanding from the Federal Commission, that a promotion to be effective has to be done within the next fiscal period, be it a budget, be it a contract, or whatever the situation might be. The person serves for an entire fiscal period, without any wage increments, without any increase in benefits. That person has not received a promotion is not eligible to go beyond what the Federal Government has established as these guidelines. This particular category, when they were associate judges, were passed up a few times, when other members of the judiciary got the raises. I think the important factor in this issue, what are we willing to pay for competent men to serve on the bench? I don't think we should be willing to say that we want competent people to



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

serve in this very vital area of our judiciary, the area that deals with the majority of the people type cases, and ask them to receive a salary just slightly above what a beginning law student can get coming out of their first graduation from law school. We were told in the judicial advisory committee counsel, that law firm members of that counsel were paying starting salaries within \$2000 of what down-state associate judges were getting. I don't feel that we should be...we can attract competent people at that level. I think the question here is this one category, that should be done by July 1st, that is not part of the commission. I think everybody agrees that what we're asking for is not out of line. That the amount of increase that we are talking about is definitely what they're entitled to and what they would deserve. I would hope we would have sufficient 'aye' votes to pass this over to the Senate for concurrence."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The question is shall Senate Bill 915 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Richard O. Hart: "Please record me as present."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as present. Gentlemen from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Tobias Barry: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I sought attention so that I could ask the question of the posture of this bill. I don't know at this point, primarily, because I was off the floor, whether there's going to be an additional \$4500 increase in DuPage County or not? If

so that means that the magistrates, now called associate
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



circuit judges in DuPage County in this bill, are going to get a \$9500 increase. Now I think we'd better consider what we're about. I signed a conference committee report the other day that suggests to small counties in this state, that if they want a corinor, a full time corinor, they can pay him as little as \$1,000 a year, and we're about to give some judges here an increase of \$5000 in most counties, and \$9500 apparently in DuPage. Now we're talking about the former majistrates who applied for the position, seeking the appointment, knowing what the pay was when they did so. And this gobbly-gook about the federal guidelines is just that. There's nothing wrong with re-appointed judges as necessary, when and if an independent commission suggests that the General Assembly shall be worth, and so that the judges pay should be just and so, some times later this year, this year, whenever. None the less from my point of view in down state Illinois, one think that erks the hell out of people, is the fact that we sit here dictating to counties and dictating to the public generally what salary should be. We now have an opportunity to allow an independent group of people to determine what salaries should be. And I think that that's the route we ought to go. Let the disinterested commission do the job, let not the judges, incedentally who claim they have 123 votes for this bill, tell us what to do now as they usually do. I know alot of majistrates who don't want this pay increase, because they think that a fair way to do it is the manner in which I suggest. Now I'd like to be recorded

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



present. and I hope this bill does not get 89 votes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Glass."

Bradley M. Glass: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen, in explanation of my 'aye' vote I would like to remind members of the House that one of the main reasons why the judicial pay raise bill did not pass last year was because of the failure of including the pay increase for majistrates. I think we recognized at that time as we should now, that the majistrates are underpayed. And I will agree with the previous speaker, and disagree with Representative Barry, ah...that the question really is are we paying them adequately for the work they're doing. Certainly its important work. We want attract competend people to the bench. We're not going to do it by underpaying them. I think these judges who are doing essentially the same kind of work as the full judges, are clearly underpayed and we should not permit that situation to go on, and I would urge some more green lights.'

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Berman."

Arthur L. Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, to explain my 'aye' vote. I think that we have a situation here that if we don't have more green lights we are allowing a great inequity to take place. There are judges here who, I don't think there's anyone here in this House, who would dispute the fact these are the hardest working judges in the whole judicial system. They handle the heaviest case loads. They should have gottenttheir raise last year. And if we allow



these questions regarding the Federal guidelines, or the scope of our commission, and if these are questions that very might possible result in their not being able to get a pay raise, I think that the equity of the situation dictates that we recognize their efforts, their justifications, for this kind of a raise. I think it has very little to do with the balance of the scope of the commission, which I think is well founded, I think this is a very, its not an exception, its an entirely different category, and I would urge additional green lights."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Palmer."

Romie J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I think its the duty of the legislature to correct inequities where we see them. And I think that the differential, pay differential between circuit court judges in this state, and the majistrates of this state, which approximate some \$10,000 is an inequity which this legislature should correct without reference to a commission to study, cr to do our own work. Those of us who are members of the bar will know what the situation is. In cook county approximately 90% of the work of the decisions that are made, people coming before the courts are made by these majistrates. Now this is something we can very well ponder about and so forth, but the inequity has existed, and I feel that now's the time that we should correct this. I should also like to remind the members of the legislature that we did something about the state's attorneys sometime ago and it passed out of this



House, its passed out of the Senate, we did ask for more pay there for those people. We're not asking for the elected judges, we're not asking for the state elected officials or anyone else. This is just one area in which we believe, many of us believe, and in which an inequity does exist which this legislature and every member thereof could correct. What is said about the wage and price controls I believe to be true, and I think that whatever the commission, if it is amended that this bill were to fail, whatever the commission might come up with, the associate judges would still be paid less than what they really deserve. I ask a favorable vote, I ask that you search your conscience on this and vote favorably for it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The clerk will take the record. Alright, at this time there are 93 'ayes' and 6 'nays'. And the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan is recognized."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully request a verification of the affirmative roll call, there is many members that were voted on that roll call that were not present."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the gentleman from cook, Mr. Carroll's asking if you'll hold your motion until there has been a poll of the absentees. So..poll the absentees and then we'll verify."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Barry, Bartulis, Blades, Borchers, Bradley, Brumitt, Caldwell, Capuzi, Carrigan,



Choate, Conolly, Corbet, Craig, Roscoe Cunningham, DiPrima, Douglas, Ewell, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Gibbs, Giorgi, Granata, Hamilton, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Holloway, Hudson, Hunsicker, Jacobs, Janzak, Jones, Juckett, Kahoun, Karmazyn, Katz, Keller, Klein, Klosak, Krause, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lenard, Ed. Madigan, Markert, McCormick, McDermott, Meyer, Murphy, Peirce, Rayson, Regner, Schisler, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Shapiro, T. Simms, Ike Simms, Springer, Stedelin, Taylor, Jack Thompson, Art Thompson, Tipsword, Teurk, Von Boekman, Waddell, W. Walsh, Washburn, Williams."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: Alright, proceed with the verification."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Arrigo, Barnes, Berman, Bluthardt, Boyle, Brandt, Brenne, Burditt, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Carroll, Jimmy Carter, Richard Carter, Chapman, Clabaugh, Colitz, Otis Collins, Phil Collins, Cox, L. Cunningham, W. Cunningham, Davis, Day, Downes, Duff, Dyer, Epton, Fleck, Garmisa, Glass, Graham, Hall, Henss, Hirschfeld, Houde, Houlihan, Hyde, Jaffe, Kennedy, Kipley, Kosinski, Lauterbach, Lehman, Leon, Lindberg, Londrigan, M. Madigan, Mann, Maragos, McAvoy, McDevitt, McCah, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Peter Miller, Moore, North, Nowlan, D. O'Brien, G. O'Brien, O'Hallaran, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Randolph, Redmond, Ropa, Rose, Scariano, Schlickman, Sevcik, Shea, Simmona, Smith, Soderstrom, Stone, Telcser, Terzich, Wall, R. Walsh, Walters, G. Washington, H. Washington, Welsh, Frank Wolf, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell, Zlatnik, Mr. Speaker,:"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Anderson."

Merle R. Anderson: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Merle R. Anderson: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman 'aye'. Alright,
the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Calvo."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not in his seat, take him off the
record."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Phil Collins."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not in his seat, there he is back
in the back."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Brenne."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Burditt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "J.Y. Carter."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative McDevitt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not here, take him off the record."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Lauderbach."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Lauterbach."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yea, lauterbach is here."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative M.J. Madijan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Maragos."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative North."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative G. M. O'Brien."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not in his seat, take him off the record."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Palmer."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Randolph."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Schlickman:"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Wolf, Frank Wolf."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Yourell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back in the back."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Shea."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh...G. M. O'Brien is back on the floor so put him back on the record. Now who was the last one?"

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative SHea."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Oh...alright, he's not on the floor so take him off the record."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Leon."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Shea's back, put him back on."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative Glass?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Wanted to make sure of that. Representative



Gibbs."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's on the floor."

Thomas J. Hanhan: "Representative Brochers."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's not here. Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Shapiro."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Representative D. O'Brien Also."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's down here. Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Shapiro."

David C. Shapirp: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentlemen recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting!"

David S. Shapiro: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record him 'aye'. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Lewis A.H. Caldwell: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lewis A.H. Caldwell: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record him 'aye'. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Taylor."

James C. Taylor: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

James Taylor: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman 'aye'. The gentleman

from cook, Mr. Laurino."

William J. Laurino: "How am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Hows he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

William J. Laurino: "Vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as jaye'. Gentleman
from Marion Mr. Stedelin."

Harold D. Stedelin: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record him as 'aye'. Gentleman from
Grundy Mr. Blades, 'aye'. Gentleman from Grundy, Mr.
Washburn 'aye'. Giorgi, for what purpose does the gentleman
rise?"

E.J. Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, can you tell me if a member of the
bar association voted against this bill?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Tobias Barry: "Are you the only one out of the fifty, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Richard O. Hart: "I'm a member of the Bar Association and I
voted against it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from lake, Mr. Pierce."

Daniel M. Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I think the gentleman from Winnebago
Mr. Giorgi, didn't vote against the bill either, I dont
know what he's talking about."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tolland F. Tipsword: "'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Tipsword, 'aye'. Alsup, 'aye'. Lenard
'aye'. Gibbs, Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Gibbs."



W. Joseph Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, How am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

W. Joseph Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, I'm a member of the Illinois Bar Association and I vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, Gibbs'aye'. Have all voted who wished now? Murphy? Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."

W. J. Murphy: "I really wanted to hear what the total count was."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, where are we now? Alright we are at 102 'ayes', and 6 'nays'."

W. J. Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, vote me 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record Murphy 'aye', Record Simms 'aye', Janzak, 'aye', Philip.....Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Philip."

James Philip: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "O-K, I'll recognize that, but we haven't announced the vote yet. Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Eugene Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Hows the gentleman recorded."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Eugene Hoffman: "I'm a member of the American Historical Association and I vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record Gene Hoffman, 'aye'. Hows the Gentleman from cook, Mr. Granata recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record him 'aye'. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Capuzi, 'aye'. The lady from cook, Mr. Karmazyn, record her as 'aye'. The gentleman from cook, Mr. Katz, 'aye'."



Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you Rise."

John S. Matijevich: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

John S. Matijevich: "Im, a taxpayer, keep it as no."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah...Fayette, Mr. Brumitt."

Don. E. Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, I'm a member of the Southern Illinois Fertilizer association and I vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. Schisler, 'aye'. Alright, now has everybody voted? Gentleman.... Gentleman from cook, Mr. Robert Thomposon, 'aye'. And Mr. Harpstrite, 'aye', Now has everybody voted? On this question there are 114 'ayes', Flinn says 'aye'. 115 'ayes', 6 'nays', 3 present, 4 present. And this bill having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Now the gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Philip asks that....."

James Philip: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider Senate Bill 915."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. D.J. O'Brien."

D. J. O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, I move that that motion lie upon the table."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the ah...motion is layed on the table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Senate Bills second reading. Senate Bill 1335."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1335. A bill for an act to authorize the Department of Conservation to purchase, except gifts, second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1335 on page 2, by deleting lines 12 through 14."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Ken Boyle: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Committee amendment no. 1 merely deletes lines 12 through 14. It takes the appropriation out of ah...Senate bill 1335, and the appropriation is in 1576. And I'd move the adoption of committee amendment no. 1."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Harold Washington: "Mr. Speaker, due to the noise, I didn't hear the substance of the amendment. Could it be explained again please?"

Ken Boyle: "Harold, committee amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1335 deletes lines 12 through 14 which takes the appropriation out of the 1335 bill and the appropriation is now vested in 1576, which is the companion bill. And that's all committee amendment no. 1 does is deletes the appropriation from 1335."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate bill 1335. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment no. 2, Boyle, Amend Senate Bill 1335



on page 2, on page 1, by deleting lines 1 through 6 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following; ' an act in relation to the reclamation of certain surface mine lands. And amending an act named herein in connection therewith, by deleting lines 9 through 34 on page 1, and line 1 through 14 on page 2, in"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Macoupin , Representative Boyle."

Ken Boyle: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment no. 2 creates the orphan lands reclamation act. It authorizes the Department of Conservation to acquire by purchase, exchange, or eminent domain orphan lands which are designed to be land surface mines, prior to august 10, 1961. No such property can be purchased at a price higher than the fair market value as determined by an independent appraisal. It sets forth in order of priorities, and provides that after the land is reclaimed by the state, the land may be given to local government units for public purposes. It may be leases to them, it may be held by the state, or it may be sold to the highest bidder at a public sale. The state is provided in the amendment, that the state shall continue to reimburse local government units for real property taxes on the orphan lands. And it also, the amendment authorizes the Department of Conservation ah...it sets up a land reclamation advisory counsel of nine members to advise the Department of Conservation. It provides that prior to the commencement of reclamation under the act, the director of the

Department of conservation shall file a proposed plan with the
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



county clerk of the county containing the land to be effected. Then the county board has the right to propose the use of the land after it is reclaimed. And the proposal of the county board will be considered by the director. Briefly this is what amendment no. 2 does. And I move the adoption of this amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Simmons."

Eugene E. Simmons: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, amendment no. 2, ah...covers the same page and line as number 1. I believe that number 2 should have as amended. That may take care of it. Its on page 2, line 14. Both amendments cover the same line."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Your' correct Representative Simmons, we'll just label number 2 as amended. O-K? And the clerk has already done so. The gentleman from Marion, Representative Stedelin."

Harold D. Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the sponsor just one question or two?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Harold D. Stedelin: "Ah...Kenny, orphan land on page 2 line 24 and 25 is defined as all land surface mines prior to August the 10th, 1961. And this takes in land that may be in timber or grazing land. Also lands creating no pollution problems. Also land that is under ownership, and is paying taxes. Ah... don't you believe that the term orphan lands should be amended to lands in which no taxes are payed. And the land that has been defaulted to public ownership?"



Ken. Boyle: "Well, first of all Harold the ah...there's a provision in the bill that provides that the state will reimburse the local units of government for any tax ah...which is lost, and the term orphan land is not, I don't think, intended to be a word of art. There's an order of priority set up in the bill that determined what lands will be reclaimed. First of all particular attention must be given to surface mine land which are determined to be sources of air, land, and water pollution. So this is the prime thrust of the bill is to get at these ah...surface mined lands prior to August 10, 1961. Then secondly, the lands are to be proportionately spread throughout the state of Illinois with emphasis on the economically depressed areas. And thirdly, the order of priorities determines that the desirability of returning the land to a productive use to increase the property tax base, and to promote public parks and wild life refuges. So, this is one of the priorities that is to be determined by the Director, it is the desirability to returning the land to a productive use to increase the property tax base. So this will take care of losses to local revenue plus the fact that, if land is reclaimed the state will pay to the county the fair market, the tax based upon the fair market value as assessed in the year prior to the time that the land is reclaimed."

Harold D. Stedelin: "Well, Kenney, ah...you didn't answer the question. You didn't tell me why, but that's alright. Now one more question. Also why was the pollution problem caused



by the old abandoned mine shafts. For instance, it's in your county that causing a world and world of polution. Why wasn't that in the amendment. You told me that it would be to start with, and you'd also tell me you'd call me in when you called the amendment, but I never heard anything about it. "

Ken Boyle: "Well, let me say this, Representative Stedelin. I would have been happy to ah...have solved the problems that are caused by underground coal mines, certainly the abandoned slag piles, as you know, are a tremendous problem in this state. But I think this is a step in the right direction. And I'd certainly be in favor of expanding the purposes of this act at a later time to ah...include the polution caused by the underground coal mines in the slag piles. But I think that ah...sometimes Harold you've got to take a half a loaf until you can get a meal."

Harold D. Stedelin: "Well, with this the same, or an entirely new bill. We'll just hear more about it on third reading. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion. Gentleman has offerd to move the adoption of amendment no. 2 to House Bill 1335. All those in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Representative Boyle, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Ken Boyle: "I'd also ask leave at this time to call 1576."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "That is the Chair intent, Sir, the



companion bill. Third reading. Senate Bill 1576."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1576. A Bill for an act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation for the administration of the Strip Mine acquisition Act. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1576 on page 1, section 1, line 7 by deleting \$5,000,000 and inserting in lieu thereof; \$1,000,000. And on page 1, section 1, line 8 by inserting after the word 'appropriated from the Orphan Land Reclamation Funds. And on page 1, section 1, line 10 by deleting the words; 'the strip mines acquisition act, and inserting in lieu thereof; 'the orphan lands reclamation act...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle:"

Ken Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I've discussed this with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee and at this time I would like to ask unanimous consent to table committee amendment no. 1. There's some problems, technical problems with the drafting, which we feel have been cured by amendment no. 2. I so move to table committee amendment no. 1."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "ò-k. The Speaker will determine it procedurally. All in favor to adopt amendment no. 1 say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. The gentleman now moved to table committee amendment no. 1 to House Bill 1576. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to table signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is tabled. Are there further amendments?"



Jack O'Brien: "Amendment no. 2, Boyle, amend Senate Bill 1576 on page 1, line 1, by inserting after the word; 'appropriation', the following; 'from the Orphan Lands Reclamation Funds'. And on line 3, by striking the words; 'the strip mine acquisition', and inserting in lieu thereof; 'the orphan lands reclamation. And on line 7 by striking \$5,000,000 and inserting in lieu thereof, \$1,000,000. And on line 8 by inserting after the words; appropriated, the following; 'for the orphan lands reclamation funds. And on line 10 by striking the words 'strip mines', and inserting in lieu thereof, 'the orphan lands reclamation'. And by adding after line 11 the following; 'section 2, this act takes effect upon become a law.'"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle: "

Ken Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, amendment no. 2 provides for the appropriation for 1335 which is been reduced to \$1,000,000. And I move the adoption of amendment no. 2."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

R. Bruce Waddell: "Question of the sponsor, please?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he'll yeild."

R. Bruce Waddell: "Could you tell me how much of this is going to be used for actual conservation purposes? Rather than just the word reclamation."

Ken Boyle: "Well, I would imagine that ah...this is ah...the money that we intend to start this bill with to effectuate



the bill. I can't tell you exactly to the penny how much of this is going to be used in administration and how much is going to be used in ah...the acquiring of the land. The administration hasn't furnished me with those figures."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

A.T. McMaster: "Will the sponsor of this amendment yield to a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

A. T. McMaster: "Kenney, I think we all know of the purpose of this bill. I'm a little bit concerned with the million dollar appropriation. Is this intended as a revolving fund that will eventually be coming back to the state when this land is reclaimed, and made available perhaps for sale or for reasonable use?"

Ken. Boyle: "Mac, ah...the ah...I've been advised that the problem we have here with the continuing appropriation ah...has been solved. And this is one of the objections that I had in answer to your question, the money must be appropriated by the General Assembly each year. This is not a situation that's self executing or self funding. We have to come here and appropriate the money each year."

A. T. McMaster: "Alright, thank you Kenny. I appreciate that. I do feel I would like to make it known to you and to all of the House members, certainly that I do not feel that the general public should be saddled with the cost of reclaiming this land if the money is not going to be returned to us."



As members of the general public and taxpayers of this state. Certainly we all recognize that the mines themselves laid waste to this land prior to reclamation laws. And now it is my feeling that we should, as a state, start reclamation with the understanding that this land would be....that this land would be reused and that the money that it cost us as taxpayers to reclaim the land, would be coming back to us and certainly would increase the value of the taxing bodies and the local governmental areas, and would be beneficial to them in that manner. I think I'm going to vote for your bill Kenny, or for Senator Nupo's bills, with that understanding. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "is there further discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment no. 2 to Senate Bill 1576. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Representative Hirschfeld, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

John C. Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, before we get into another bill I would like to take this opportunity to introduce to the House ah...in the Gallery behind me, my seat mates better half, Mrs. Jeanne Walters, who also happens to be the lovely mother of nine children, three of whom are with her today; Theresa, Linda, and Michael. I wonder if they'd stand please?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "On the order of consideration postponed, appears House bill 4680, for which purpose the gentleman

from Johnson, Representative McCormick is recognized."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



C. L. McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, House Bill 4680 of course has been discussed quite extensively on the floor of the House. But in order to review what it does, it effectively freezes the amount of taxes that can be collected on property in the state of Illinois for two years. As of January the 1st, and until January the 1st, 1975. This bill sets up the only means, or one of the best means that I know of, of assuring the people in the state of Illinois that they can still own a home. That they can still pay their taxes. Now I know that there are....I never told you in the beginning that the bill was perfect. I never told you in the beginning that it didn't need some amendments in order to make it work effectively in the state of Illinois. And I'm telling you now that I have excepted five amendments that have been asked that did take care of certain situations such as the increase in the school enrollement in areas where the population is increasing. And the school enrollements increasing. I've agreed, I have agreed to extend that to the Junior colleges in the state of Illinois. I have also agreed that in the areas of the fast growing city or county, cities or villages that we would put an amendment on that would give them the advantage of new property or increased valuation of property because of improvements. And Mr. Speaker, I believe that this bill is something that the people in this state of Illinois are entitled to. Now I know that there's some people in this state that don't want taxes froze at any rate. I know that there's people in the state of Illinois



that would be glad to tax and tax and tax as long as they can keep spending it any way in the world they want to. I know also that I have letters from people in the state of Illinois that tell you that they cannot hardly pay their taxes. I had a letter here this morning from a lady over in Alton Illinois. And I just...its a short...just a few words. Dear Representative McCormick; and this certainly isn't my area. Taxes are getting so high on my farm that last year I payed \$788.42. This year my taxes are \$963.91. I am a widow and I have 159 acres. How can I pay this. Or is there anything that can be done? Ladies and gentlemen there is nothing that can be done unless this General Assembly decides that there has to be something done. And I know, I know that in the two year period of time, that we've asked for this freeze, that we as the General Assembly can look at the total picture in Illinois and see what has to be done to stop the ever-rising real estate taxes in Illinois. Now number 2. I just saw in the paper this morning where the Mills/Nixon bill for help to the cities, to the state and to the counties in local government, to the tune of \$30,000,000, was over a few years, and its effective last January 1972. It has over 38 vote majority in the House of Representatives to pass, and everybody in this room knows that the cities, and the villages aren't going to get the major share of this money. Isn't it time that we, as people in this General Assembly stop to think about the people back home, not just the village president, not just the president of the school

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



board, but the man and the woman in the street that works for a living in the factory, the man that pays the bill, the man that has to pay the payments on his house. You know now a house that you could pay for in 20 years, ten years ago, it takes you 33 years even with a government loan at low interest to pay for one now, even a small home. I'm asking you people in this House of Representatives today, to remember one thing and one thing only, that we exist here in Springfield by the money that we take out of the pockets of the working man and the working woman in Illinois. It isn't right for my mayor, it isn't right for my school board president to start crying on my shoulders when we in the General Assembly passed the Income Tax and we sent home \$550,000,000 to local governments besides 3 1/2 or better billion dollars to the schools in this state. Ladies and gentlemen, if ever in your life that you had compassion, don't have it on C.L. McCormick, have it on the man back home that has to work for a living. And I'll be glad to tell you this, if you pass this bill in this House today, I will talk to you about other amendments that's needed to make it effective. I will talk to you about letting us put on the new property that some of you people in the suburbs have been worried about. You can put the new property on, and you can levy it to the amount of the new property. I will be willing to do anything to save the homes of Illinois."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Lawrence, R. D. Cunningham."



R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, How meloncoly that adult men and women, otherwise decisive in the affairs of life, would have to wressle so long with their conscience, would have to engage in such anguishing soul searching before they had the nerve to stand up and cast a vote, an 'eye vote, for a bill that embodies everything that's true, honest, just, of good report. I would say to you, C.L., on behalf of the homeowners of the 54th district, God Bless you for you splendid efforts. We wish you complete success that this bill deserves. I know not what kind of constituents those of you who voted 'no', last monday, have in your districts. But I want to tell you, in my district the homeowners and embattled taxpayers, will never be fooled by politicians who are too timid to stand up and loudly proclaim that we have reached the limit of endurance in property taxes. I hope that your constituents, And I believe that they're the same as mine, and I hope the message has gotten to you, and you will find the courage to stand up and proudly vote 'aye', what a historic day this 22nd of June, 1972 will be for the citizenry of this state, when we pass this bill. Send it over the Senate and they pass it, and then it goes on to our great and good Governor. And then let us hope that that Great and good Govern or hears the anguished cry of the taxpayers of this state, and proceeds to reduce every inflated appropriation bill that we have passed in this House by 5%. Through his amendatory veto. In so doing, ladies and gentlemen he will remove the spector



of increases income tax that lumes evermore menicingly over the 78th General Assembly. And he will earn for himself, the coveted title of taxpayers best friend. But first, things first and now is the time to vote for this bill. I haven't read this amendment, but I hope that it hasn't reduced it to the point that it has no more of a wollop to save the system we believe in . I urge everyone to vote 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

B. B. Wolfe: "Will the sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

B. B. Wolfe: "Mr. McCormick, have these amendments, have they been placed on the bill?"

C. L. McCormick: "Yes, sir."

B. B. Wolfe: "That you spoke about? Now is there an amendment placed on the bill which would freeze ah...the rate as well as the assessments?"

C. L. McCormick: "The bill doesn't freeze the level of assessment. The bill, as it is now, freezes the amount of money that can be extended. Now, like I told you in my talk, I had ah... already excepted Representative Scariano's amendment to allow the schools to take the increase in this assessed valuation. If this bill passes this House today, before it passes even second reading. There'll be an amendment prepared that allows the cities, the villages and also the Junior Colleges to take advantage of new property or improved property in the assessed valuation according to the percentage of the increase."



- B. B. Wolfe: "Yes, I don't want to interrupt, but that is not answering my question. As I take it, the answer to the question on whether the rate level, and the assesement has been frozen? You're answer is no?"
- C. L. McCormick: "That's right."
- B. B. Wolfe: "Alright, now, have we placed an amendment on the bill which would freeze state taxes?"
- C. L. McCormick: "No, I don't think so, and I don't believe I have seen one presented."
- B. B. Wolfe: "Alright,"
- C. L. McCormick: "It might be a good idea, Representative Wolfe, for you to ah...do that."
- B. B. Wolfe: "Alright, now you say in your closing that you would vote for anything and do anything that would help the ah.. property home owners of the State of Illinois who may be effected by this bill. Am I correct?"
- C. L. McCormick: "Yes, sir, and to answer what you're going to ask me next, I can....."
- B. B. Wolfe: "You know what I'm going to ask you next? And that is 4267, House Bill which gave every home owner in the State of Illinois a direct tax savings, which this bill does not do, and you voted no on that bill, and you spoke eloquently against giving the home owners of the state of Illinois, which there are 2,661,000 a direct tax benefit in the year of 1973, which could not be taken away from them. And which, under this bill, maybe taken away from them because of the ah...concept of the bill and the direction of the so called, freeze."



C. L. McCormick: "You're absolutely right, and I told you at the time I thought your concept was good, but I thought you were about 3 or 4 or 5 years ahead of your time, because I didn't think that the ah...what you were doing there was money provided to supplement it. And...And you know that was the reason, too, Representative."

B. B. Wolfe: "Alright, now the income tax that was presented to the State of Illinois in 1969, and ah..which has an 8 to 5 ratio ah...freeze placed in the constitution because that was the concept that we passed in this House in 1969. I was just looking at some figures from the Bureau of the Budget concerning what the income tax is doing, and I find out that of the billion dollars that is being collected under the income tax that the individuals are paying about \$843,000,000,000 and corporations are paying about \$173,000,000 on that 8 to 5 ratio. Now, are we going to be effected in this bill by the same kind of a ratio with respect to, Industrial and Commercial Real Estate as opposed to Real Estate owned by these small home owners for which you cry and plead?"

C. L. McCormick: "Well, your question is very long and I imagine it would take about 30 minutes to analyze it, but I would say no, we're not."

B. B. Wolfe: "Do you have any figures supporting the distribution of the freeze with respect to commercial industrial and multi-dwelling properties as opposed to what the freeze will do for these home owners throughout the state?"

C. L. McCormick: "The freeze is not meant to reduce any tax today,

and you know that Representative Wolfe. The freeze is meant

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



to call a halt to the increase year by year of the real estate tax in the state of Illinois, and give people like you and I that ought to be doing our job down here anyway, an opportunity an opportunity to look at the whole tax structure and make it right for the people of Illinois."

B. B. Wolfe: "Well, I..I don't know about people like you and I, but I hear from real estate tax payers every day in my district and particularly the home owners, and what you said was 4 or 5 years ahead of its times, was due four or five years ago and these people want that kind of relief today. They're not looking for a promise under a bill for a so called freeze, which in my opinion will shift the taxes ah...and not give, so called, tax relief. To me, I've got a three dollar bill here. This bill looks to me as phony as this three dollar bill. And unless you get some amendments in there to correct some of these inequities, I don't think that I could support it, even though I believe in the concept of freezing these taxes on a realistic basis and providing for every taxpayer real estate or other wise, in the state of Illinois. Realistic relief, and not election year relief."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

A. T. McMaster: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

A. T. McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, and C.L., believe me, I find it difficult as one of the poor little old taxpayers in the state of Illinois, to follow the

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



empassioned pleas that have occurred before my appearing here. But I do want you to know this, C. L., I have every intention of voting for your bill. I think the concept is very good and I intend to vote for it. But I do have a little problem up in my own district that I would like to have answered before I do cast my vote on this. We have a city in my district, who has been required, mandated, by the environmental protection agency to make improvements upon its Sanitary Lagoon. Now this city must be able to pay for this, C.L., they have not levied for it in 1972. But they are going to have to raise the money in 1973 to pay for this. Are you making an allowance in your bill to permit them to increase their levy, and pay for this work that has been forced upon them by the Environmental Protection Agency? This is the question I want answered, C.L."

C.L. McCormick: "Alright, now in order to answer that question, I may have to discuss a couple of things that we discussed with Representative Shea the other day. In trying to work out an agreement bill, I met with Representative Shea and he proposed three or four different things in his discussion. One of those were ah...absolutely certain that, if there was a bonding interest, would we agree that that would be in it? And I agree, and also in the sanitary district, in Chicago, there was a change because of a tax levied in '72, and we agreed to that amendment. And in his amendment that he never did get prepared, we agreed that any ah...thing that was forced on the local communities by the environmental



protection agency, would be exempt from this tax. And that will be in the bill before it goes any further than second reading in the Senate. And I would have been glad to have called it back here today, and had it done and then advanced it again, but, as I told you, I waited for, since last ah... Thursday and then last Monday and Tuesday and Wednesday, and even up until 2:00 today for the amendment that I had agreed to. And it never was forthcoming, so the time is laid, and I will tell you in the presence of the House, and all of Illinois through the press, that that amendment is a good amendment and should be included in the bill."

A. T. McMaster: "C.L., I'm quite sure that ah...you know that I will take your word without the presence of 177 other gentlemen. Certainly between you and I, your word is sufficient. And I feel that you have given me your promise that ah... in a case such as I am talking about, where the city has had this problem forced upon the, that you will make allowances by amendment if that is necessary, in your bill to permit this money to be raised by the people. And they are taxpayers also C.L. And certainly it is a job that they have to do, must do by mandate from the EPA, and certainly we must give them the right to do this. We cannot allow that city to be strangled by various injunctions of not adding sewers, connections or one thing or another. And certainly they must be protected, and this is what I am attempting to do. Thank you C.L."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative

Scariano."



Anthony Scariano: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the sponsor would ah...answer a couple of questions for me?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Anthony Scariano: "Ah...C.L., you made references to the Junior Colleges, now they don't have the same exemption that the common schools do in the present."

C. L. McCormick: "You gave me an amendment and I told you that if we didn't get the bill called back to second reading with Representative Shea's amendment, if we got an agreement, that I would see that that amendment was on the bill over in the Senate, or else it won't be moved."

Anthony Scariano: "Alright, now. The other question is; you also talked to me about an amendment to freeze rates, assessments and multipliers, but to permit local taxing bodies to take advantage of either new construction or annexation or both."

C. L. McCormick: "Now that is, if I may answer, that is virtually the agreement that we reached, understand the agreement we discussed with Representative Shea. Now I have no objection to doing it either one of two ways, Anthony, and if you'll listen to me real close so there'll be no misunderstanding. I have no objection to freezing the multiplier for two years, I have no objection to taking it back to a one year moritorium instead of a two year moritorium. I have no objection if we also freeze the rate and freeze the assessments, provided that any increase in new property or im proved property be allowed to have the city, village or the governmental unit

to raise their levy, if you want to call it that, its a levy,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



in proportionate amounts. In other words, if they had a 10% increase in valuation by new property that they could increase the 10% levy rate."

Anthony Scariano: "Well how about annexation? Are you willing to extend that to annexation too?"

C. L. McCormick: "Annexation's alright with me."

Anthony Scariano: "Well alright, I'm....."

C. L. McCormick: "I'll work with you, or I'll work with the municipal league, I'll work with the city attorney's the mayers. The purpose of this isn't a political bill, the purpose of this is to give us a stop time and make us look at what we're doing in the state of Illinois, and that's the reason that I don't think that you ought to let a factory build a ten million dollar factory and not assess it, or just leave it go for two years or one year, which ever we come up with. I think it should be on the books and I think we ought to have an extension, and I'm happy to except that."

Anthony Scariano: "now, just one more question. The municipal league has been talking about an amendment, I don't know if they discussed it with you, but they indicated to me that they were seeking an amendment that, in the event, a local taxing body wants to avail itself of increased valuation by a three fifths votes of its membership that they be permitted to do that. Do you have any feeling on that kind of an amendment?"

C. L. McCormick: "Yes sir, I do. And I think that if we make the amendment, if we make the amendment like I told you, to



allow them to take advantage for one year or two, which ever we can come up to agreement by second reading, to take on all the new property or the increases valuation because of improvements in property that that will do the job just as well as the other. And I think that if you eliminate the freeze by the three fifths vote in the city counsel, or the school board, I think you have killed this bill deader than it can be."

Anthony Scariano: "Well, then you are willing to accept the amendments which we've discussed, except this municipal league amendment, before the bill is voted on in the Senate?"

C. L. McCormick: "I will guarantee that, and if you will help me with the amendments, I will see to it that it isn't called on second reading until those amendments are presented. Or are ready to present."

Anthony Scariano: "Alright, C.L., you know that I've helped you with these amendments, and I'll continue to help you."

C. L. McCormick: "And if they are not adopted in the Senate, it has to come back here, and I'll not ask for concurrence unless they're adopted."

Anthony Scariano: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Webber Borchers: "Ah...C.L. Ah...Mr. Speaker, may I ask that sponsor a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."



Webber Borchers: "C.L., within the hour I've been in conference with the, by phone, with the mayor of decatur and the corporation counsel, and our city is just a little alarmed, or our mayor was. And I want to make certain that our reassurance to him was proper. Now ah...we in the last year have had two referendums, one referendum was for the passing of our city bus line."

C. L. McCormick: "When was that referendum?"

Webber Borchers: "Ah...just a moment, C.L., that we have...that Representative Tipword, Representative Alsup and myself along with conjunction with Senator McCarthy, just want to make certain that you will except this amendment, ah...on in the Senate that we are protected. We also passed a referendum that...establishing our Junior College, yes, now both of these aren't safe, the rates have been set by the referendum but we've not extended the taxes as of 1972 or of course, prior years. Now you will except the amendment that this will not effect the position of our Junior College and our ah...our ah...our ah...Bus lines?"

C. L. McCormick: "I think ah...the reason I asked you the date of your referendum is amendment five says; in any case in which a unit of local government, or a school district was created after June 1st 70, and before June 1st, 72....you may already be covered, are you Representative Tipword? If you're not it should be."

Webber Borchers: "I'm not certain, exactly, of the date of the referendum held, but we have an amendment that will take care

of this proposition and we dont need to worry about that."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



C. L. McCormick: "I agreed to that previously, and I agree to it here."

Webber Borchers: "Alright, thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I just wish to clarify ah... for Representative Borchers and for Representative McCormick, that the amendment that Representative Borchers is holding is the one that I had prepared and the one that Representative McCormick and I went over with together, and we determined that the previous amendment did not cover that, and Representative McCormick had previously agreed to it. And I wanted him to know that that's the one that we went over the other day."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Robert G. Day: "Would the speaker yield for a question?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Robert G. Day: "C.L. ah...I spoke to you about an amendment which would cover the situation where a county has ah...been able to reduce its general fund levy ah...over a period of years ah...because of the income tax money which it has received. But probably, next year, due to the loss of collection fees on taxes, would have to increase that rate by the amount of ah...the cost of collecting taxes. And it's my understanding that this amendment would also be agreeable to you, ah... when this bill goes to the Senate. Is that correct?"



C. L. McCormick: "That's absolutely true. We've already gone over it and I've agreed to that."

Robert G. Day: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Juckett."

Robert S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, its very interesting that this bill is called right after lunch, because I think we're now getting our desert. Its called pie in the sky. I think that this bill is leading us down the path that nobody knows where we're going to be. And then everyone's going to wonder how we got there. I talked with the sponsor of the bill as far as the possibility of freezing the rate. And he suggested also that we should freeze the assessments. I thought that was a good idea, but in our particular area in cook county, if we took the position of freezing assessments then those many assessments by the cook county assessor which are incorrect would then not be able to be changed and corrected and put to a realistic value. And I cried along with the rest of you when he told about the poor farm widow, who only owned 155 acres and her tax bill was only some \$900 dollars. If you took a valuation, an average valuation of the land in Illinois, you'd probably come out of a land valuation of some \$80,000. And I would gladly surrender my property for that kind of a tax bill, because in my home area it wouldn't be \$900 it would be well over \$2000 in taxes that they would be paying. But you notice that this bill is for two years.



And its for the taxes which are payable in '74 and '75. Now couldn't we be playing a cruel hoax in an election year of passing a bill now, which the 78th General Assembly can revoke, because it would not have taken effect until after they meet. But what after two years? What is going to happen in the local school districts and the local government units? After the two years? Are they going to sit idly by and mourn for the past two years of the taxes of which they've lost? I doubt very much whether they will. On the other hand, I would imagine that they would make up those lost taxes very easily. But a more incidious point is if this freeze does stay, what happens to the local property tax? The local property tax over which each and every home owner does have power to control. Does have power over their local government, their school boards and their city and other local units of government has power over them to regulate. You can imagine if a city raises their tax in a very high manner, they'll say throw the rascals out and believe me, in my area, they can do it very well. But are we instead phasing out the local property tax, as many of the people in Washington want to do. You know they've been dangling before us a carrot, and they have it tied to what they call a value added tax, and they tell us, freeze your tax rates, start reducing your local property tax and we will supply you the money to run your government. Ah...yes that's really nice, they will take the burden off of your local property tax, and they'll supply you with the money. But ladies and gentlemen of the House, Where



does that money come from? Does it just come from some magic pot some where? No, I suggest that that money comes from your pockets and your taxpayers pocket. And are we on the state level, now going to do the same thing? Are we going to say, freeze your tax rate then eliminate them, and we'll make up for the money? Now we did that a couple of years ago, we said we'll help you out by giving you a share of the income tax, we've given 1/12 to the cities and counties, we've given some 60 or 65% of the income tax take to the schools. And still they want some more. But we're going to eliminate the property tax, and you can bet your bottom dollar, just as you're sitting here today, that the income tax is going to rise from 2 1/2 % on individuals, to lord only knows where. And from the corporations from 4 % on up. And I should ask you to ask yourselves, are we really the ones to set the example? In telling our local government units, freeze your taxes, but let us on the state continue with ours. Now my first session was down here in '67, Our state budget was under \$6,000,000,000. But that was \$6,000,000,000 for one year, for two years. And now we have a budget of over \$6,000,000,000 for one year. I would urge you to let's show the people by example, and by deed and not by flowery words, what we really mean. If we're intent on showing the people that we're worried about their tax dollars, then let's cut out budget first, and then show the local governments that they've got to cut theirs. But we stand as a bunch of hypocrites if we say to the local governments, boys you've got to cut yours. Do as we say, but don't do as we do. Cause,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



we're raising our taxes, and we're telling the local guys, lower yours. A bunch of hypocrits we are if we pass this kind of a bill today, and I urge you to vote no."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Edward E. Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, and members of the House, I felt just like Bob Juckett did, yesterday. I don't feel that way anymore. I don't see the boogymen there that he sees now. Because we have the assurance of the sponsor that there's going to be an amendment put on this bill, at least one, that would one; freeze the multiplier, two; freeze the rates, and three; permit the assessments of any additional and new property, new improvements and construction so that the municipality will benefit in the increase in assessed valuation. Now we're talking about freezing rates. I think for all practical purposes, outside of the city of Chicago, Corporate rates have been frozen since 1951, can't be changed without a referendum. Actually we're not talking about a hell of a lot here. Excuse the French, but that's how I feel about it. We're really, the only thing that we're effectively freezing is the multiplier. This is not going to strangle the cities, and villages, and the other tax structures of this state. I can except this bill now, with the promise of C.L. that these amendments will be placed on the bill, and I would urge the support of this bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from cook, Representative Shea."



Gerald W. Shea: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, This bill freezes the dollar amount that governments can tax. We sit here in Springfield, and we say to the local units of government; 'buy voting machines and by 1974 every county of over 40,000 must have voting machines.' But we don't give you the money to pay for it. Now we say, not only don't we give you the money, but we won't give you any way to raise it. We mandate communities to raise Police and Fire Salaries, but now we say, there'll be no money to pay for that so what do you do, you reduce the number of Firemen and Policemen you have. We've passed pension bills out of here, increasing the pension benefits for retirees, and now we're saying there's no way to raise the money for that. This bill in its present form will hurt and strangle units of local government. And I just think, in its present posture, its a bad bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Glass."

Bradley M. Glass: "Thank you Mr. Speaker ladies and gentlemen. We sit down here in the Illinois Legislature with virtually unbridled authority to levy new taxes and to increase taxes. We have a responsibility to provide services to the state of Illinois from these tax revenues. Now, by this bill what we're saying to people at the local level is; 'you are to provide services at your level, and yet we're not going to give you any more money to do it.' Now I said this a couple of days ago and I say it again; 'this is bigbrotherism, pure and simple. It is an assumption by us, and I think an

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



arrogant one, that we know what is better for people at the local level, than they do themselves. In the last year, I think, most of the school districts and other units of local government have observed the 5.5% guidelines ah...set forth by the wage, price freeze. And Yet, even with these guidelines, there will be increases in salaries. Yet, there will be no new money to pay for those increases and that's going to mean, ladies and gentlemen, the laying off of more and more employees and Teachers in order to meet those requirements. Now that... It may be easy for you to say that that's what these units of local government ought to do, but I submit to you that we're making that kind of a broad decision for all the local governments in the state. We have no basis for doing that. I think the key to this bill, and the key to my decision for continuing opposition to it, was illustrated when Representative Scariano asked C.L. McCormick whether he would except the amendment proposed by the Municipal League to permit units of local government, by a three fifths vote, to take advantage of the increase assessments of property within their jurisdiction and his answer, I believe, in the negative, was that that would in effect do away with House Bill 4680. But I suggest to you that that answer illustrates the point I'm trying to make. I would do away with local decision making. I don't think we're ready for that, this is not responsible government. We ought to cut our own services, and cut our own taxes. Not make an assumption for people at the local level, that we know what is best for them. Let's remember that the best kind of government is government that's closest to the people, let's leave

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



it there and not pass this bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Pelcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Schlickman."

Eugene E. Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, like the gentleman from Johnson, I too yesterday, read the newspaper. And what I read in the newspaper, described the gentleman from Johnson, as the most outstanding orator of the House of Representatives. But I respectfully suggest, ladies and gentlemen, that at this time, we not consider eloquence, we not consider rhetoric, but we consider the contents, the substance of the bill that is here before us now, and upon which we are being asked to vote. We are, gentleman, ladies, Mr. Speaker, dealing with the local tax. A tax which is the foundation of local services. And the fact that we're dealing with the local tax, and we're dealing with local services, was underscored by the ruling of the Speaker of this House, that 107 votes are required to intrude into the area of home rule. Now local governmental officials are just as concerned as we at the state level, regarding taxes, their high levels. And they are willing to except, Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, a reasonable approach to this matter. The reasonable approach being one of moritorium of a tax rate, not the extention of the rate, not the collection of the rate. As this bill now stands, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, we are going to strangle growing units of government in the state of Illinois. Now I have seen bills before this house that smack of discrimination.



but I have not seen a bill today, that was so discriminatory as this one. We have discrimination between the city of Chicago and other units of government. We have discrimination between stable and fast growing units of government. We are discrimination between common schools and other units of government. The gentleman from Johnson, the sponsor of this bill, has indicated that he'll except amendments in the Senate, well, as a member of this House, a co-equal branch of local government, I respectfully, but very sincerely, deplore the practice of promising what's going to happen in the Senate. We are responsible to the people of the state of Illinois to vote on measures that are before us, as they are contained before us. I don't think any member of this House can make any assurance of what's going to happen in the Senate. And if this bill passes in its present form, Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I can make you this assurance, that we're going to be back in the fall to correct the folly that's contained in House Bill 4680, and I respectfully solicit its defeat."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Kahoun."

Raymond J. Kahoun: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The gentleman's motion prevails, and the gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick to close the Debate."

C. L. McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House,



I don't want to prolong the debate very much longer, but I do think that a couple of things need to be said. Two people in Illinois are vital to the rest of the people in this state, probably moreso than any other two. One of them is the Governor of Illinois. Governor Ogilvie said, in fact, real estate property tax levies have become increasingly high at such a rate that the burden on individual home owners is becoming intolerable. We cannot delay any longer, we should stop and act immediately. And then on the bottom paragraph it says; 'however, I am convinced that we must act and act now to put a stop to the increasing rise in property taxes. Passage of this bill is just the first step. Further more, it is my hope, that in the near future we shall be able to take actions which will guarantee a reduction in these real estate tax levies. That, ladies and gentlemen is, first of all, the whole picture of this program, is to find a way to reduce real estate tax levies in the state of Illinois, not just for the year, but to make it to where a home owner can own. Now then I noticed here, in the Chicago Sun Times, Tuesday, June the 6th, at a news conference in the city hall, the Mayor said at a city hall press conference, the mayor said; 'we are talking about rolling back the increase in state taxes. We came to the conclusion that some of the first things we should do in all government, is to start to look at our own expenditures. Some people think there is an exhaustible supply of money to meet governmental expenses. And you and I know that isn't true. And you and I know that to pay a property

tax bill, is something that lands with severe impact. Ladies

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



and gentlemen, I believe the mayor is right. I believe the Governor is right, and I believe the time is right for us to give the people of Illinois an opportunity to stop the rise to give us the time in the General Assembly, to take some action that's necessary to do the job all over Illinois. I would appreciate a very very favorable vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Question is, shall House Bill 4680 pass?"

All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The gentleman from cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe, to explain his vote."

B. B. Wolfe: "There are only two things in life that are certain; that's death and taxes. And as well intentions as our colleague McCormick's ah...promptings may be in this area, this bill should not leave this House unless it's in the form that this House decides on a deliberative process that it should be in. And because of this, I believe its irresponsible for us to send the bill to the Senate on the promise of ah...at least, I heard a half a dozen, or a dozen amendments. I believe in the concepts of holding the taxes, I believe further in the concept of reducing taxes in certain areas. Particular the home owner real estate taxes, and because I believe in these concepts, and believe because I cannot vote a yes or not for this bill. Record me as voting present."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative B. B. Wolfe as voting present. Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Richard O. Hart: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, briefly in explaining my vote. It gauls me a little bit to



hear the persons to oppose this say that the bill doesn't go far enough, or that's its big brotherism. Now really, a whole lot of the problems have been created, to which this bill draws attention, was the fact the legislature here in Springfield and in Washington, has been big brotherish to local government for so long, that they put them into a bind into terms of what todo. And they say that we ought to put an amendment on here to feeze the state taxes. Well, if you'll read the newspapers, you'll know that that's already been done. Governor Ogilvie has promised that there will be no new taxes during his administration. So the freezing of state taxes is an accomplished fact. Now we can't do anything about freezing them in Washington, because we have no jurisdiction over that, but what can we do? We can give the taxpayer a confidence that somewhere he has the hope that someone is listening to his plight. You know, our candidate for Governor, Dan Walker, recently walked over the state of Illinois listening and listening. And that's what we ought to be doing is listening to the people back at home. And one of the things that was most talked about to Dan Walker, I'm told, was the pocket book issue. The plight of the taxpayers, that there should be no increase in taxes. That his taxes are going up and up and up. And they want it stopped. And ah...here is the opportunity presented to us by this bill to show that we are listening. And as I say to give the taxpayer hope that somewhere, someone is listening. And no just talking about it, but in fact, is going to do something about it. And I'm

glad to join my colleague in the 59th district, Representative

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



McCormick in voting 'aye', on this bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones."

John David Jones: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, when this bill was up for previous consideration I read into the record, the pitiful letter that I received from Mrs. Moss of Springfield, who wrote me about her difficulty in saving her home as she struggled to pay the increased property taxes on it. The Illinois State Journal ran a feature story on Mrs. Mosses plight, and as a result I received more mail from folks with the same difficulty. And here's a letter from a gentleman who concluded his letter with this statement; 'I know you're not in my district, but you're the only one that seems to be doing something for the older citizens. Now we know that is not so, but I do believe, that we want to assure Mr. Hudson Stanton, that there are at least 89 of us here who do care, and want to do something about it. I urge more 'aye' votes on that board."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record, Representative O'Hallaren as voting 'present.' Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley."

Gerald W. Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I would like to explain my yes vote. In hopes that we would get just one more green light up there. Its been, many things have been said on the House floor here today, regarding tax relief and taxes. And taxes in my estimation, this year, is the number one issue in this campaign election year. And I would like to say that we have taken pretty good care of local government in the last three years. We would

GENERAL ASSEMBLY



like to remind you that some 12 1/2 percent of the state income tax, that was collected, was returned to local government. The only relief given in my particular area, to the taxpayers when the cities and counties received this extra money, was a car sticker fee of \$5.00 that was repealed about a year and a half after the funds were coming back to the city of Bloomington. I don't consider this very much tax relief. The counties and the cities have been mandated to do certain things in so far as increased pay for the Firemen and Policemen, and some retirement funds that we have mandated down here that they do. But we have supplies, we have supplied them the money to do these things. The people are saying we want tax relief and this is the first bill that I think does give them some relief and I'm very happy that the sponsor introduced this bill, and I'm very happy to support it. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMasters."

A. T. McMaster: "Ah...parliamentary inquiry Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "State your point sir?"

A. T. McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, when this bill was before us several days ago, I believe it was explained to us that if the bill did not receive 107 votes it would not effect Home Rule units of government. If it did achieve over 89 votes, 89 or over, it would effect the other units of government. I'm wondering if this ruling still stands?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Yes it does, Sir. The bill would be

declared passed with 89 votes or more, if it had received less

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



than 107 it would not effect Home Rule counties. Should it receive over 107 then, of course, it would effect all counties.

Is that is? Do you wish to proceed Representative McMaster?"

A. T. McMaster: "Well, at that time I had the understanding from the sponsor, that, if it did not effect all governments in the State of Illinois, he wouldhold the bill. I am very concerned that if we pass legislation such as this, that it must effect the whole state, not only partially."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen, many of us think that this is a very important bill and we find it very important for our taxpayers wherever we may come from. And especially, I notice, in looking at the board on this side of the aisle, that its very important to us from the downstate portions of Illinois. And it means very much to us. I wonder where my friend is, who ordinarily sits in front of me, Mr. Shea. I see that he's voting no. I recall yesterday, when Mr. Shea needed some bills very bad for the Chicago School District, and I think he had alot of help here on this side of the aisk. And Gerry, We'd appreciate alot of help this time, because, this means just as much to us. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

James T. Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this bill because I look at it as the beginning step to tax reform.

We all continually promise in the campaign years, tax reform.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS



than 107 it would not effect Home Rule counties. Should it receive over 107 then, of course, it would effect all counties.

Is that is? Do you wish to proceed Representative McMaster?"

A. T. McMaster: "Well, at that time I had the understanding from the sponsor, that, if it did not effect all governments in the State of Illinois, he would hold the bill. I am very concerned that if we pass legislation such as this, that it must effect the whole state, not only partially."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Rolland F. Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen, many of us think that this is a very important bill and we find it very important for our taxpayers wherever we may come from. And especially, I notice, in looking at the board on this side of the aisle, that its very important to us from the downstate portions of Illinois. And it means very much to us. I wonder where my friend is, who ordinarily sits in front of me, Mr. Shea. I see that he's voting no. I recall yesterday, when Mr. Shea needed some bills very bad for the Chicago School District, and I think he had alot of help here on this side of the aisle. And Gerry, We'd appreciate alot of help this time, because, this means just as much to us. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

James T. Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to support this bill because I look at it as the beginning step to tax reform.

We all continually promise in the campaign years, tax reform.
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



at this time, I vote present."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Brian B. Duff: "I rise to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. We've clearly been put in an uncomfortable squeeze to have to be put in a position of voting against motherhood and limiting taxes in election year. And yes I find that it's so discriminatory in so many ways as was expressed before, particularly because it is clear, if an 'aye' vote is cast it may ah... not get 107 and in that effect it would only effect non home rule communities. If it gets 107 it would effect all so we don't know the purpose of our vote. In the recent primary election, nine counties in Illinois voting down home rule. And yes if this bill is passed then the,,,,, and the non home rule communities are the one's who cannot ah... have an effect on getting additional revenues, then there will be great pressure throughout Illinois on other municipalities to become home rule communities. So that they may have the opportunity to find additional taxes from other sources. It's an illusion and a mirage, for us to feel that we can push down the ping pong balls in one part of the box and not have them pop up in others. I am very reluctant to have to vote no on this. I understand that the voters will not be happy with it. But our responsibility is to be true to ourselves, to be true to the long term interest in the voters, and I vote no."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Hall."



Harber H. Hall: "Mr. Speaker, four years ago, when this state adopted an income tax, after much argument, discussion, a lengthy sixty-some page document, as I recall, it provided for 1/12 or 8 1/2% to be returned to local governments to do with as they saw fit. Many of us on both sides of this aisle, in working on the revenue committee, had thought that a better control of this revenue sharing portion of the income tax bill would be to insure that it, the money returned would go to reduce property taxes. This was not adopted in various amendments. It was not ah...adopted by the House or the Senate and therefor, the local governments now spend this money in any way they see fit. This means that at the same time that various taxing bodies, throughout the state, were able to add on to their tax bills by further increasing their levies. This has got to stop, and I am not in love with all the provisions of this particular bill we're considering today, but I believe it is a step that will insure that this body, and the body on the other side of the rotunda, will get down to business and find the solution for fund to operate local government. It will give us the year, or possibly two years, in which to do this. And therefore, I now vote 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Palmer."

Romie J. Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, it seems to be that in the year 1972 that the people are giving a message. They want to be candidates for, presidential candidates, made big hay out of the one big message, and

GENERAL ASSEMBLY



that was send them a message. And all over this state the people are talking about taxes and tax relief. In looking back, and thinking back on this problem, this state legislature has reacted to a great number of requests over a period of time from local government. We have been the reacting agency. We have responded since 1967, to the cries of the school districts for more money. We have responded to the cries of the municipalities for more money. We have responded to the City of Chicago, and the PTA in particular, for more money. But if we're to get the job done, if we're to reach any degree of responsibility, I submit, we have to stop it at the local level. Now we have to make some adjustment, true. And the sponsor of this bill has indicated that those adjustments would be made. I am voting for this bill because I feel that this is the way to do it. That if we do not stop in this year, 1972, that in the year 1973 they will be back for more money. Now I feel that the two year moritorium will give us a chance to study some of the effects, the taxation effects or fiscal effects, of taxation in the State of Illinois. Again the legislature only responds as a responding agency, and we try to do what we can do. Sometimes, perhaps, we over react in certain ways, but we do respond. Now I would suggest that perhaps we ought to study this question of taxation on the local system, and I feel that the ah...two year moritorium will do this. I urge...and I might say one further thing, it would seem to me that the effect of this bill, if we're to do this, is not going to mean anything at all unless we



get 107 votes. If it is not applied to home rule municipalities, in the one home rule county in this state, then it is not of any great effect at all. Because those people can additionally levy, or levy additional taxes, and if they don't receive those taxes, if their needs are greater, or for any reason they want more services, then they will be back to the state legislature to ask for more money. I feel that this is the way to do it, I feel that we need 107 votes on this bill. I appreciate a yes vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative R. Walsh."

R. A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, briefly in explaining my vote. I would hope that all people here would understand just what this bill provides in so far as it effects the greatest body of people in the State of Illinois, that is the citizens in the city of Chicago. The bill, in its present form, does not apply to the Chicago Corporate Fund, because there's no tax rate limitation, there's a specific exemption in the bill for the Chicago Corporate Fund. So the three and one half million people who reside in the city of Chicago are for all practical purposes, not effected by this bill. Now it is suggested that well, it applies to the Board of Education tax levy. I submit that it does not apply to that because, as we all know, the school board and the city of Chicago are co-terminists. The city can, and I'm sure would if this bill were to become law, utilize its income tax money as it should have for some



time, by making it available to the board of education. Which is an instruments of city government in Chicago, not an independent unit of government as it is in the rest of the state. So ladies and gentlemen whether this bill gets 89 vots or 107 votes, its not going to apply to the city of Chicago. Its going to effect the rest of the state. And I would like to say that the people in my district feel they have good local government in so far as the villages and school districts are concerned. There's some questions about county government, there's not much they can do about it whether this bill passes or does not pass. I think the only responsible thing to do, in a situation such as this, is to vote no. And I so vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Webber Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow members of the House, I'll take but a moment. I wish you'd listen to a letter I received this morning. I'm not going to read the whole of the letter, I want to read just four ideas that I've taken out amongst others in this letter. The first idea of this letter is; I quote 'I took over our farm in 1958, I believe, the taxes have risen steadily. Our income has gone the other way. The second idea; 'Our government is grabbing dollars, spending them in a way it would, I would not dare to do. And then the third idea; 'They come from Niatic township in our district. Its a small farming area. Our teachers are mostly rent. They pay not taxes. Now they have none to pay for



personal property taxes. They are exempt. But we have to continue to pay. And the last idea I'd like you to consider is this; 'this is my home, and why I should be deprived of it, I do not know.'

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Edward E. Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, I hope the speaker will understand that my yes vote is a contingent vote. Its contingent on 107 or more votes. Otherwise its going to be present. I'd like to say this. All I can see here is a freeze on the possible inflationary increase ah... of assessments due to inflation. When we freeze the multiplier we're saying that the rate is going to be the same as last year and the same as this year and it shall not be any differer for the next two years. I don't see where this is any great ah...deterrent to local government. I hear statements about local government being irresponsible, I think its as responsible as this House right here and the Senate across the Chambers. I don't know of any case where a local government has exceeded its power to tax based on those levies that were authorized by this legislature. But Mr. Speaker, will you see that, if there is not 107, I am voted present."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from cook, Representative Peter Miller wish to explain his vote?"

P.J. Miller: "Mr. Speaker, the sponsor of the bill is not on the floor, and...Oh fine. I wanted to pay him a compliment because I think this concept is great. And its late incoming,



and I know that the...when the tax ceiling was removed from Chicago, we had a big howl by the taxpayers. And I want to compliment, C.L. McCormick, for the concept of this bill. I'm very happy to vote 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Brenne."

Lynn G. Brenne: "I would like to vote present."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Brenne as voting present. Have all.....Well let's get a call first before you gentlemen will vote present. Rep....O-K, I'll get the present votes after we take the call, huh? Alright? O-K, have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are.....Representative Kahoun, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Raymond J. Kahoun: "I'd like to ah...take a moment of the Houses time to introduce ah...Mardell Canfield, who is better known as Marty, who is ah...been the hostess at the State House Inn for many many years, who is now retiring to the Gallery back here. She said she wanted to know what you guys look like in the day time. And I also wanted to say that if anybody else wanted to get in on this roll call I'll just give them a little time."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "We thought you had a notion like that. The gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

C. L. McCormick: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, the only thing I would like is ah...not any other things, except I would like to have the absentees called so that at least we'll have a good complete



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

roll call on the defeat of this bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, I think that's proper. Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Edward E. Bluthardt: "Change my vote to present, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Bluthardt as voting present. The gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees. The number of you standing up who wish to be recorded as present, I assume you are not on the roll call, when you're name is called you could indicate you're present vote."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Arrigo, Barnes, Brandt, Brenne, Caldwell, Carroll,...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Carroll as present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Richard Carter, Oatis Collins, Conolly, Craig, William Cunningham,....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative William Cunningham as voting present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Davis, DiPrima, Dyer,...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Dyer as voting present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ewell, Gene Hoffman....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Hoffman as voting present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Hudson, Jaffe....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Hudson as voting present. Jaffe, no."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Janzak....."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Karmazyn, Kleine, Klosak, Kosinski, Laurino,.."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Kosinski, present. Laurino, Present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Lechowicz.....Lenard....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Lechowicz as voting
present. Lenard, present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Leon,....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Leon, present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ed. Madigan, M. Madigan, Maragos....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Maragos, present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "McDermott, McLendon, Merlo, O'Hallaren...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Merlo, present. O'Hallaren, present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Redmond, Ropa, Schneider....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Redmond, present. Schneider, present."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Schoeberlein, Ike Simms, Smith, Jack Thompson,
VonBoekman, Harold Washington, Williams, Frank Wolf, B. B.
Wolfe, Yourell."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Yourell as vo....
Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Harry Yourell: "Can I explain my vote?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I think we've gone beyond the point of
explanation of vote, Representative Yourell. How do you
wish to be recorded?"

Harry Yourell: "Well, I didn't vote?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, do you want to remain that way
Sir?"

Harry Yourell: "No, I want to tell you why I'm not voting."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Chair has ruled, sir, that it's beyond the point of explanation of votes."

Harry Yourell: "I'm a co-sponsor of this legislation, Mr. Speaker, and I think its important that I explain to C.L. McCormick, the chief sponsor of this bill, why I did what I did. I think that he's entitled to that response."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Clyde L. Choate: "Wouldn't be the first time, Mr. Speaker, that a member has been given this privilege of explaining his vote after the roll call was concluded."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Kahoun, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Raymond J. Kahoun: "I suggest, Representative Shea, explain that."

Clyde L. Choate: "I...I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that ah...Representative Yourell be given the privilege of explaining his vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, proceed sir."

Harry Yourell: "I think Representative Kahoun has enough explaining to do on his own. Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, as a co-sponsor of this bill, I was in full accord with the provisions and the intent of the legislation. But I made it quite plain at the time of the introduction, and the subsequent ruling of the chair, that if bill did not get 107 votes where it would effect all of the communities in my district, that I would vote no. And if we don't get 107 votes, my vote is not right now."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the gentlemen as voting 'no'.

Representative McCormick, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



C.L. McCormick: "I beleive that a verification...no no verification
If this bill does not receive 107 votes for passage on the
three fifths, then Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recognized
in order to make what ever kind of a motion I have to make
to do what I have to do to kill the bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: O-K. Representative Katz, for what
purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Harold A. Katz: "To request that I be recorded as present, Mr.
Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Katz as voting
present. Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do
you rise, Sir?"

B. B. Wolfe: "Am I recorded as present? I indicated that earlier."

Rep, Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the gentlemen recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the gentleman as voting present.
Representative McLendon, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

James=A. McLendon: "Mr. Speaker, would you record me as voting
present, pl ease?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the gentleman as votingpresent.
Record representative Caldwell as voting present. Lewy
Caldwell, now are there any further requests to be made
relative to the roll call? 101 'ayes', 26 'nays', 24 'present'.
The gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick, for
what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

C. L. McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have a moment to
make just a short statement and then to make a motion in
regard to the statement."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Proceed Sir."

C. L. McCormick: "Ladies and gentlemen of the House, this I think is a very important moment for the people of Illinois. I want to express my sincere appreciation to everyone of you downstate Democrats that worked so terribly hard to try to do what us downstate Republican's and other Republicans here was trying to do. To Freeze the tax in Illinois for two years in order to take care of the Home owner. I want you to know that it is not now, and it was not then a strictly Republican Versus Democrat situation. I want you to know further, Mr. Speaker, and members of this House, that last thursday the speaker for the Chicago Democrats on the floor of the House, asked me if I would hold it over until monday because he was vitally interested and his people were interested in trying to work out an agreement where this bill would pass. I said no, I won't do it. And he went over and he got my colleague from the district, Representative Choate, and he came over and he said; 'C.L. I beleive that Gerry is, That Representative Shea is sincere in trying to work this out. And you'll still have plenty of time to do something about this situation. And Representative Chæ te knows that on his word, I said I would do it and be glad to do it, provided that I'm a not a gonna get stimied in the Senate. And Representative Shea took me to the Senator, Senâtor Partee and his office, he said if it passes the House, it'll be advanced to second reading in the Senate, if you'll agree to the delay where the time could be worked out. I came back to the House on monday



we met, we didn't get anything done. I got a call from the leadership and I met with them on Tuesday morning, and the proposition that they proposed at that time, I talked to the people that were interested in the bill, and we expected them. And they said by the time we take a break during the day we'll have the amendments ready. And then we can all support this proposition. I waited and it didn't happen. They said wait until tomorrow. I waited until tomorrow and last night, yesterday evening I pressed and pressed for copies of the amendments, and I went back to the leader on the other side of the Aisle and Representative Choate assured me at that time that, to wait and give him an opportunity. And that if they didn't produce by 2:00 today that he said I should call the bill. Now ladies and gentlemen of this House, I want it clearly understood that I did everything in my power to compromise with Representative Shea and his city position. I was willing to do more than what I think was right. And they know on that side of the aisle, not that side of the aisle, he knows that C.L. McCormick and the other Republicans over here plus the downstate Democrats have come to the aid of them on CTA, on their schools, on every living thing that they've ever wanted. In fact, we just got through passing the soft match for CTA, which everybody that knows anything and on god's green earth, knows its nothing but a steal. We also know that we passed a \$35,000,000, that the paper said today that the School Board is not even sure that they've got guts enough to use. Ladies and gentlemen it isn't fair.



It isn't fair for all of the people in the State of Illinois to have to be murdered in their taxes because the city of Chicago doesn't want it done. Now I've been telling you I've voted for every deal that we've ever had made. I've voted to deal...for the CTA, I voted for the schools, and I understand now that the Senate has put on an amendment violating that agreement to make it, voted on by the people for the Governor's Capitol programs. Let me tell you something you fellows over there, that's interested in your CTA bill, and is interested in your \$35,000,000, I don't believe that Governor is silly enough to sign that until you deliver that Capitol bill down to his desk the way that he agreed to. And I'm a gonna tell you now, from now on out, for the rest of my life in this General Assembly, when I give you a vote for something of the city of Chicago, when you so righteously reputiated every tax payer in the rest of the state of Illinois, I'm a gonna extract something for Vienna, or Carbondale, or Cairo, or something that's really good for my district. Mr. Speaker, if I got a good roll call on the bill, have I got a good roll call on the bill? This is vital. If I haven't.....I've got to have a good roll call on the bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The vote currently stands, Representative Mr. Cormick, at 101 'ayes', 26 'nays', and 24 'present.'"

C.L. McCormick: "I want to know if I had a roll call when I make my next motion? If I...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, if you wish to have an adjournal, sir. ~~The clerk informs me that if we declare the bill lost,~~



or passed or either way, you know."

C.L. McCormick: "Now you know that my agreement, my agreement, Mr. Speaker, was that if it didn't receive 107 votes that I would not extend it over part of the state of Illinois and not all."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, there has been so much confusion on getting on the bill and getting off the bill and voting present, I wonder if we ought not have a new roll call?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear what Representative Hyde said. Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Harry Yourell: "Parliamentary inquiry. I understand ah...the ah...number of votes that are needed for passage for one section is 89 votes. Now this bill has 89 votes or more. Now I think that all you have to do is announce it or call on the sponsor to either table it or concur in your announcement that it did receive the constitutional majority and is hereby declared passed."

Rep. ARthur A. Telcser: "Representative Pappas, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Pete Pappas: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, point of information. If this bill does not receive the 107, am I then in a position to remove my name as a yes vote. Because If its not fair for everybody in the state of Illinois, I do not want to be recorded in taxing just one segment of the State of Illinois.

Would I be in a position to withdraw my name?"

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Rep. ARthur A. Telcser: "I would say yes, Sir. Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you have announced whether the bill has passed or not, and it looks like the sponsor, if he doesn't get 107 votes, is going to table. In that event you will not have a roll call record. So I would think that the thing for the sponsor to do is to postpone consideration. And you still won't get a roll call."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has postponed consideration once already, sir, and in the rules he cannot do it again."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, after, the thing is the record is taken, I don't think he can move to table a bill. Well I'd like to make a motion that the ah...roll call as it presently stands is journalized., before the statement has been made whether its passed or not."

Rep. ARthur A. Telcser: "O-K, all those in favor of the gentleman's motion, Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Harry Yourell: "I didn't get a ruling on my question, Mr. Speaker. I asked a simple question that, if it received 89 votes is it a law or is it not a law after having received a constitutional majority?"

Rep. ARthur A. Telcser: "If the bill is delcared receiving 89 votes, then it shall be declared passed but it will not affect home rule units."

Harry Yourell: "Well, when are you going to do that?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, when the gentlemen in their seats ah...no longer seek recognition for one purpose or another.

Now, Representative Simmons' motion is before us currently.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



All those in favor of Representative Simmons' motion to journalize the roll call signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. O-K, now the gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick."

C.L. McCormick: "Now then Mr. Speaker, if I say it wrong, I hope I don't, I, because of the understanding with all of the members of this House that I had, that if this bill did not apply to all of the eleven million people or twelve million people in Illinois, that I would ask to have the bill tabled. And I make that motion now."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman has moved that House Bill 4680 be tabled. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. And the gentleman's bill is tabled. O-K, we'll take a roll call. All those in favor of the gentleman's motion to table signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Hall, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Harber H. Hall: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to opportunity to explain my vote. And I would say this, that there are 100 others, to me, who voted for the previous bill ah...the record on that vote has been voted to be journalized and as such, 100 of us should believe that this should become law, and therefore I would like to see others, who feel this way, who had previously voted on the measure, voting no on this motion."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Hyde."



Henry J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm supporting the gentleman's motion to table because I think it should be made abundently clear that this bill is of no effect really, unless it covers the city of Chicago and the county of cook. In other words, home rule units. Now by failing to get 107 votes, it successfully voids covering Chicago and cook county. And that is exactly what Chicago and the cook county Democrats wanted. So by tabling this bill. the tabling is really accomplished by the cook county Democrats and I think that should be made abundently clear. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from cook, Representative R. Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

R.A. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're in very dangerous area here, and I think we ought to be very sure as to just what we're doing. Ah...the Chair has ruled that if the bill receives 89 votes ah...it passes and becomes law to all except home rule units. Now I think the gentleman is going to have to comply with the rules specifically, and it would be rule 71, and if the Chair rules that this question is still under debate, still under consideration, he has to make a motion that is covered under rule 71. And I don't know that a motion to table is one of those that is listed in there.. So ah...I don't see it, Mr. Speaker. Alright, it is, I'm sorry."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 82 'ayes', 43 'nays'.



And the gentleman's motion to table his bill prevails.

Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

John Jerome Hill: "I'd like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, I'll make it as short as possible. I voted for this piece of legislation because I thought it was going to be very good for the taxpayers of my district. It's something that they need and they need vitally. The taxes, the property taxes in my district continue to go up and up and up and the people are getting fed up on it. And the reason I voted no, not to table this piece of legislature, because if it is such a good piece of legislation then it should be enacted and let the Governor decide whether he wants to veto it or not. It seems to me that if the bill goes through with 89 votes, it is still going to cover much of the district that I represent. And even though all of the people could not benefit from it, at least some of them could. And I'd like to point out to you that this is one of the first times that Chicago Democrats could have helped the downstate Democrats in the State of Illinois, but it seems as though they put their tail between their legs and they run. It seems to me....it seems to me over a period of years that the Chicago Democrats become so greedy that they have no reason....that they have no reasoning. This is a bill that would have benefited the people that vote for us at the polls come November. And it seems to me this is one time that they should have taken this under consideration. Not only for the people of my district, but the people of all over the state of Illinois. And I can

assure you of one thing, and I can assure you of one thing,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



on this particular vote, and the vote taken last week, I'm going to have a memory like an Elephant. And I'll never forget it. And I'll never forget it. And I can assure the leader of the Chicago Democrats, that he's going to rue the day when he kept off everyone of those Chicago Democrats from this bill, and did not allow it to pass for the property tax payers of the State of Illinois. Thank you very much."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, all day I have been asked what our schedule is for tomorrow for today, for next week, and I can only say to everyone that we at this moment, are waiting a determination by the House of Lords across the rotunda which is in...seems to be in total confusion. John Swanson's presence here notwithstanding, ah...and that they really are up in the air as to what they're going to do. They are now in caucus, both the Democrats and the Republicans, meanwhile a lot of laundry remains to be done. A lot of Hotel rooms are suspended ah...in mid air as to whether we should stay tonight or not. We are in a posture of going to stay and work if that is what the Senate wants to do. We certainly aren't going to walk away from our obligations to do the job. But they haven't made up their mind whether they are capable of doing so remains to be seen. As soon as we get a determination from the leaders over there as to what they are going to do, then we will let you know. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"



Robert E. Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah..you did accord one member the privilege of explaining his vote, and I would appreciate if you would accord me that same privilege."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, we'll consider that a point of personal privilege."

Robert E. Mann: "Alright. Ah...Mr. Speaker and members of the House, no one asked me told me, or indicated that I should lay off the gentleman's bill. I'm talking about House bill 4680. And I think that ah...as a matter of fairness in terms of the allegations concerning black voting, I think now that the vote has been journalized, I think that if everyone will look at the record. We will see that there were enough members on the other side of the aisle, who either voted no or present, to have passed the bill. Now I felt from the very outset that this was a highly political bill. And the gentleman's partisan remarks after the bill, and the maneuvering in connection with the bill, reinforces that in my mind. If the gentleman really wanted the bill, why didn't he let it go over the Senate with the 89 votes he had, and let it be advanced to second reading and let some of the Republican Senators go on record with regard to the bill. I say its a highly political bill, and I think it remains that way."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Senate bill second reading. Senate Bill 1492."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1492....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take that out of the record. Senate bill second reading. Senate Bill 1538."



Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1538. Bill for an act to amend the municipal code. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend Senate bill 1538 in the House, on page 1, by striking 18 through 29, and inserting in lieu thereof the following; 'the majority of those voting on the question andsoforth.'"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: " Now Representative J. J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

J. J. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to request that rule 64 be enforced. And that's the rule that says that any member who is not recorded, may be recorded by the following day only by unanimous consent. And I hope that the rule 64 would be enforced."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "It shall be sir." The gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Washburn on the floor? The gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn. Let's take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1438. Is Representative Sevcik on the floor? No. Take it out of the record. Representative lehman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Ed. Lehman: "On a point of personal privelege, Mr. Speaker. I think that the House of commons should not deliver a message to the House of Lords, that we're going to run this show for a while and let them come over and ask us when and if we want to get out of here. Or let us know when we're going to get out of here."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Senate Bill 1509."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1509. A bill for an act to amend



an act relating to grain dealers. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from livingston.....Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. Senate Bill 1445."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1445. An act to amend section 1900 of the Unemployment Compensation act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. Senate bills second reading. Senate Bill 1440."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1440. An act to amend the Income Tax act. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third reading. Senate bills second reading. Senate Bill 1560."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1560. An act to make an appropriation for certain ordinary and contingent expenses of the State government. Second reading of the bill....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "This bill read yesterday for a second time, amendments one or two was adopted. And it was held on second. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Senate Bill 1473."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1473. An act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commission on Children. Second reading of the bill. No committee amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor?"

Third reading. Senate Bill 1538.
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1538. A bill for an act to amend the municipal code. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1538 in the House, on pg. 1 and so forth."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Grunday, Representative Washburn."

James R. Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House. Committee amendment no. 1 clarifies some language in the bill for municipalities who have never before levied an ambulance service rate. I'm moving for its adoption."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1538. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Senate Bill 1438."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1438. Bill for an act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Racing Board. Second reading of the bill. One committee amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1438 on page one....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Sevcik."

Joseph G. Sevcik: "Adoption of committee amendment no. 1. Its a reduction of \$24,000 in the total amount."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1438. all in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there

further amendments?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment no. 2, Hanahan, amend Senate Bill 1438 as amended on page 1, line 10 by striking \$946,100 and inserting in lieu thereof; '\$915,100 and so forth."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanhan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Move to adopt the amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sevcik, :

Joseph G. Sevcik: "What is the amendment, ah...this is the first time I've heard about it, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Would the gentleman care to explain the amendment?"

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, would you hold this I don't have the amendment here in front of me. I don't know what the amendment is. May be representative Lechowicz could explain the amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, I think, will explain the amendment, perhaps."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Amendment no. 2 was tried to be adopted in the appropriations committee on this bill as far as the racing board is concerned. This is to eliminate the legal counsel that they have proposed within the budget for a fee of \$24,000. While all other commissions presently governed by the state have an attorney from the attorney General's office. After much debate in the appropriations committee, and in turn without receiving proper justification by Mr. McCarter stating



that he definately needed his attorney, I asked the Attorney General why they don't have an attorney, he says they do.

But Mr. McMæcarter says he doesn't have him often enough."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "Mr. Speaker, amendment no. 2 conflicts with amendment no. 1 on line 27."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I can just interject on that if I may? The reason why it does that, because again amendment no. 1 was adopted. No I disagree, because amendment no. 1 was adopted, and that new total is \$1,578,100 and that is what is shown in amendment no. 2 on line 4 and with the reduction as being proposed in amendment no. 2 would be a new figure of \$1,493,300. I'm sorry, Art, I didn't hear you."

Arthur E. Simmons: "Look at line 27."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "On the amendment?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "Both amendments deal with line 27 of the bill. And number 2 conflicts with number 1."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Well, maybe I have a copy of the wrong amendment, but mine, it is correct, Art. I'd like the clerk ah...show me the amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Ah...Representative Hanahan has brought up the corrected amendment, ah..."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I figured there must have been some point of detention."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "o-k, we can proceed now."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Well, basically this is the, on the



Attorney within the racing board, and I'm sure the good Representative remembers the debate that we had in the appropriations committee. It also is for the investigator that they had proposed, and under debate we asked ah... we gave the IBI the complete authority to supervise and patrol the track throughout the state. And now that they're asking for an additional investigator. Also, there wasn't a justification for an account for a total cost of \$10,800. So basically this amendment is for a reduction of \$45,000. And also, naturally, the various other break downs, from travel, the social security and pension etc. This amendment was tried to be adopted in the appropriations committee. We're trying to adopt it on the House Floor. And I move for its adoption."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from cook, Representative Sevcik."

Joseph G. Sevcik: " Ah...Mr. Speaker, this amendment that's being proposed now was heard in the appropriations committee and the defeated. And I would like to entertain a motion that that amendment lie on the table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, the gentleman from cook, Representative Sevcik has moved that amendment no. 2, to Senate Bill 1438 lie upon the table. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'.....All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Proceed sir."



Thomas J. Hanahan: "I oppose the motion to table because there is no justification for the racing commission to hire its own Attorney. The Attorney General of this State, even though he is underpaid, at \$30,000 a year, still has a \$9 billion dollar budget that certainly is adequate enough to represent the Illinois racing commission. This amendment here will signify that the Attorney General, a Republican by the name of William Scott, will continue to represent all the agencies under state government. I think its incredible that we were asked to hire a separate attorney to represent the racing commission. And I urge a no vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Gentleman from cook, Representative Lechowicz, I'm sorry."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "I question the quarum on the floor."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O.....quarum call has been requested. The members who are present, please be in their seats. Representative Sevcik, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Joseph G. Sevcik: "Did you announce that roll call yet?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I'm waiting to get it right now, Sir."

Joseph G. Sevcik: "Alright, thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "This last question there are 59 'ayes' 28 'nays'. And the gentleman's motion to table prevails. Now, Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Request a verification."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"



Thomas J. Hanahan: "Request a poll of the absentees before a verification."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, sir the vote was announced, Sir, and the roll call is over."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Well, I'm asking for, somebody to ask for a verification, I believe is a courtesy that's always been extended."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "No, I don't think....a verification of the absentees, or the affirmative vote is before the vote is announced. The roll call has been announced. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?!"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, we're trying to legitimately voice the feelings on this amendment, which was opposed in the appropriations committee and was beat down stricktly on a party line vote. I humbly request that you poll the absentee before we submit to a verification."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "A point of order. I believe that you did announce the results, and that it was carried and you do not poll the absentees or verify after that is done."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "That is correct, Sir. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Well, then it goes back to my original motion, as far as questioning of quarum. I think you have to establish that first before you announce any roll calls."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Clabaugh, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"



Charles W. Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I know, not on the rules, I'm not well up on them, but there's no way in the world that you can determine whether there's a quarum here. If you do it by looking out over and counting, you might do it. But members could sit here and not answer to their roll call and not use their switch if you go to the mechanical roll call. Now I raise the question that there's no way in the world that you can determine a quarum except just from your own judgement from up there."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

B. B. Wolfe: "That may well, be, but the rules provide for a call of the House, or a quarum call. And when such a call is requested, the Chair must adopt the rule and follow the rule and determine whether there is a quarum present. Now I think there's such a motion on the floor ah..."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tobias Barry: "Just to remind the speaker, that you repeated the request for a quarum call before you announced the result."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative, now just take it easy, Guys, we'll worm out of this somehow. Representative Wolfe, for what purpose do you rise?"

B. B. Wolfe: "Did I understand the Speaker to say that, the indicated vote was 58 to 29 or 28."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Whatever it was, I don't recall."



B. B. Wolfe: "No, I know you didn't announce it, but the indication was....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I did announce it, Sir."

B. B. Wolfe: "Well, whatever it was, was that indi...what... what was the announcement, I didn't hear it?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, whatever the numbers were, I said Gentleman's motion to table prevails. Now the question is,"

B. B. Wolfe: "Well, what was the vote because that's most important under rule 72, and with respect to a quorum call." You see that determines whether or not the quorum call is in order. And from what I heard, the quorum call is in order. If we don't have 89 members voting on a resolution or a matter or a bill or a motion...then we don't have a quorum and ah... the call is perfectly in order. And I think we have to take a new vote, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, in order to get this House moving, I'll withdraw my question of a quorum, if you will be so kind and call the absentees and we'll go through a verification."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I think that's a good idea, Representative Lechowicz, Representative Sevcik, certainly agrees. And ah... Representative Simmons, for what purpose do you rise?"

Arthur E. Simmons: "Well, in order to get it off on the right foot ah...having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was lost, or tabled."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, the gentleman has offered to move to reconsider the vote by which the amendment was tabled. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. And we're kind of back where we started now. O-K, now. The question is....question is shall amendment no. 2 to House Bill 1438 be adopted. Or tabled....The question is shall amendment no. 2 to Senate bill 1438 be tabled? All those in favor of tabling will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Now does everybody understand that? If you want to vote to table you vote 'aye'. Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "I'd like to explain my vote, and why I am voting no. I'm recommending to the members of this General Assembly, again, a no vote so that this amendment may be adopted to the appropriation to the Illinois Racing Commission. If this amendment is not adopted, according to the authorization of the bill, the Illinois Racing Commission will hire their own attorney. Now, I suggest to the members of this General Assembly that this is direct conflict with the theory that we've always had, that the Attorney General of this state represents all the elected officials of Illinois. I believe Attorney General Scott is proud of the fact that he does represent all of the people, in all of the existing commissions and governments of Illinois. I heard him make this statement many times in his political campaign. Now if those who want to vote yes for this bill, who want to repudiate their Republican Attorney General, you go ahead and vote green. But

I say to you that the Illinois Racing Commission needs an
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



outside attorney, needs the attorney from the attorney General's staff to watch over what's going on, not some hired hack that they could hire on their own payroll, and not really subject the will of the people of Illinois in any way. All this amendment does is take out \$30,000 to in effect keep the Attorney General in the Illinois Racing Commission office. And I ask for a no vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Sevcik."

Joseph G. Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, This bill and this amendment was thoroughly thrashed out in the appropriations committee. I ask you resent this amendment being heard right now, because I was not even extended the courtesy of knowing that this amendment was going to be placed on here. Now the reason for the racing board hiring this counsel, legal counsel, is to have a man that has the expertise in handling the licensing and the revocations. And whatever court procedures may come up. This man is readily available, he's a full time employee for the racing board. Now if they want us to work the other way around, and hire one with the Attorney General and have him be assigned there at a higher salary, well then we'll probably have to do it that way. So I ask for a yes vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

John Jerome Hill: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I'm voting 'aye' on this particular piece of legislation

and the reason I am voting this way is that if the Attorney

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



General hasn't been able to do his job for the racing commissio. all these years, that he has been in, it seems to me that they should be given the opportunity of hiring their own Attorney. Then when we see Mr. McArthur on Television, he won't have all these various excuses of why the race tracks in the state of Illinois are not being cleaned up. And I think an 'aye' vote is a very good vote. Because then we're going to put the monkey on Mr. McArthurs back and that's exactly where it should be."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. And now, in.. respect to my good colleague, Representative Hill. I don't believe that its a good policy to hire outside attorneys for all these various commissions. I thought the General Assembly provided this power, and by statute to the Attorney Generals office, who is suppose to be the attorney for the executive branch of the elected officials and in turn the various commissions throughout the state. I think this is a bad president to start, for this reason and for the reasons that were amply, amply stated in the appropriations committee. And the amendment was defeated strictly on a straight party line vote. I would ask that people consider the statute that is presently stated, that the Attorney General is charged with the responsibility to provide these legal attorney's to the various commissions. I vote no."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."



Paul Stone: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I think from time in memorial, certain boards, commissions, and other arms of the state government have been empowered to hire their own attorneys. I think it should be this way. The governing boards for each of the universities have their own attorney, its necessary that they have one. I think that the racing commission should have their own attorney because he can then be answerable to them. This is his responsibility, he has not other obligation to other arms of the government, and he can be fully informed on the law as it relates to this particular commission. It has been done in the past, and I think its proper that it be done in this case. And I'm pleased to vote 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 85 'ayes', 67 'nays', and the gentleman's motion to table amendment no. 2, to Senate Bill 1438 prevails. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment no. 3, Barnes. Amend Senate Bill 1438 as amended on page 1, by inserting between lines 22 and 23 etc."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from cook, Representative Barnes."

Eugene M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, amendment no. 3, its an agreed amendment between the sponsor of this bill. It merely ah... sets out to allow the board to institute a program for recruitment. I move the adoption of amendment no. 3."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? If not, the



gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment no. 3 to Senate Bill 1438. All those in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. And the amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment no.4, Amend Senate Bill 1438 as amendment on page 1, by striking....Hart...on page 1, by striking line 11 etc."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Richard O. Hart: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, in this bill ah...there is an appropriation on ah... line 11 of \$109,000 which ah...describes as being for additional stewards. Now we had a pretty long discussion about this ah...amendment in our appropriations committee and it was defeated in that committee, and Mr. McCarty, or whatever his name is...The Manager of this thing, said that he didn't have the authority that he needed to hire the stewards. The procedure apparently is that for 8 days, or 3 stewards, and the State hires one, and the track pays two. And he wants to reverse the situation and have the state hire two and the track hire one on the theory that he would have more ah...authority over that three men stewardship by having the state hire them. Now I asked him if it wasn't true that ah...the state actually appointed all three of the stewards. And he said no that wasn't true. But I have ah...asked the Illinois Legislature Counsel to research this question. And the legislative counsel advises me that the Illinois Racing

Board has the authority to appoint all of the stewards at
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



a racing meet. Now I believe that the authority to appoint should give the racing board the sufficient control over that so that they can get the people that they want. All that the appropriation bill would do, would be to give the race tracks \$109,000 gift by switching the payment of the stewards from the track to the state. So what I'm attempting to do, by this amendment, is to save \$109,000 and continue to payment of the stewards in the same manner that they are now paid. There isn't any reason in the world, under the present law, that ah...the racing board couldn't have complete control over who the stewards are at the track, and if that's what they want to do, is to have more control over them, all they have to do is just to exercise the control that this legislature has already given them. And I would move for the adoption of the amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Sevcik."

Joseph G. Sevcik: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I am going to move to have this ah...amendment lie upon the table. But before I do so I would like to talk about this amendment. The racing board is asking for \$109,000 to hire an additional steward at all race tracks. The present set up is that the track now supplies 2 stewards, and the racing board supplies one. The racing board wants to have full control by having two of the stewards representing the racing board at all meets. And there only purpose is for the purpose of public policy. Its for the purpose of protecting the public. Under the present arrangement



when there is a revokation or a suspension of a license, that the racing boards feel that should be revoked or suspended, this stewards rule against them because the stewards, the two stewards are hired by the track. Now we want to get the two stewards hired by the racing board, so we can properly protect the public, and I'm going to move that that amendment lie upon the table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, gentleman from cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, what Representative Hart has stated is absolutely true. This bill was discussed at length in the appropriations committee, and an amendment was offered to it in committee. Based upon facts, this amendment reduces additional state stewards from \$109,000, it eliminates them completely. This cut is recommended, because there is really no need for more stewards. At present, there are two track stewards and one state steward at each track. If there is a need to even out the vote, the Vetrinarian on duty could be made a judge. But since there is not need for new stewards other than for voting, it would be a waste of taxpayers money to hire them. I think this is a good amendment, in fact, I recommended it to Director McCarter, that if there is a discrepancy as far as the stewards and the way they are voting, it should be a board policy to review the stewards and to review their actions at all the tracks. I hope this amendment is adopted because it would save the taxpayers \$109,500."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, it don't take pure, raw, political courage to know what we're doing right here. I don't know how many members here have any interests in race tracks and racing commissions, and how they operate and stewards. Its pure balderdash what the sponsor of the bill is saying that the state is going to hire the stewards and therefor we're going to have some more....somewhat more integrity in racing. Let me tell you members of the General Assembly, in order to be a racing stewards, whether you work for the track or the state, you have to belong to an association. You have to pass their bylaws, belong to their organization and there are a very select few. All this is a political gimick to put the men on a political payroll in stead of a track payroll and add one more person for \$109,000 out of the agricultural premium fund. Well, I'm tired of hearing in that appropriation committee county fairs around this state needing money and more money from the agricultural premium fund, and your going to squander here \$109,000 to hire some political hack that has the same qualifications as the stewards that are hired by the tracks. There is no difference between their qualifications for being a steward whether they work for the state or they work for the track. All we're doing here is making one other position available for political clout and that's all it is is a bunch of ah....bolderdash which is handed out here, and the integrity of the race track is going to be somewhat inanced because the steward is getting

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



paid from the state of Illinois versus working for a race track in Illinois. A steward is a steward. And he has to pass the qualifications the same, no matter who he's a steward for. And I say to you that if you want to spend one hundred and some thousand dollars foolishly, out of the agricultural premium fund, when your county fairs and your premiums, yet the county fairs aren't what you'd like them to be, remember how you threw your money away. I urge a no vote on this motion to table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Peter Miller."

P. J. Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, the previous speaker, my good friend Tom Hanahan, I don't believe is an authority on the racing commission or ...but I know he's an authority on unions, and I feel that I ah... am somewhat of an authority. We have union stewards, we have many of the employees of the race track are controlled by the electrical workers union, and I'm very glad that they are. We talked about the political hacks and then my ears begin to burn. Because there is no one more political in this House than he is. And I agree with him, I'm political too, or we wouldn't be here. And you know, I don't like to be a hypocrit, and have everybody get up here and say this and that about politicians, or about union stewards or racing commissions, we all know its here to stay and your not going to take it away. And even though there are those who would like to do away with the political front, I'm one that says



that you can get as good a worker out of a politician, and if he doesn't do his job...now, no point of order, I say there's nothing wrong with this....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Basically what this amendment does, Representative Miller, is what the taxpayers of Illinois are going to pay for one additional steward. They have only three stewards at the track. Or the race tracks are going to have to pay the \$109,000. In my opinion, I believe that the race tracks are in a better position to afford this type of steward. The chief steward received a salary of \$165.00 a day. The other stewards received a salary of \$100.00 a day. In my opinion, I think the race tracks should pick up the cost of two stewards. The state should have an observer there as a steward, and in turn if there is a discrepancy, let the board decide. I wish that the people would vote no on tabling this amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Miller, would you proceed sir?"

P. J. Miller: "Yes, I'm sorry he interrupted me, because he didn't raise any point of order, or objection. My ah...words on this is that I'm no authority on this....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?!"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, the reason I raised a point of order, I don't want to say anything that's politically

implied....I think they are good employees, they have alot of



merit on that basis. And I don't think that the way it was presented, or the way that it was proposed, was to say whether its a political employee or a non-political employee. I think what we should do is base our judgement on the amendment itself."

P.J. Miller: "Well, then I'll say that I don't believe in Ham stringing the racing commission or the Athletic commission or any of the commissions that are doing a job. I believe that if the authorities can't do it, how the devil can we do it. We're a jack of all trades, and probably ah...some of us are not masters of any. And all I say is that lets give them the attitude, these are the experts that are suppose to be doing the job, and if they want it lets support them. I don't believe in hamstringing any of the commission when they are doing a good job. Mr. Speaker, I support the motion to table."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

B. B. Wolfe: "Parlaimentary inquiry. Is this a motion to table before the House?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Yes, it is sir."

B. B. Wolfe: "How come we're debating it?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, we shouldn't be, but...."

B. B. Wolfe: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "We'll stretch the rules a little. O-K, the gentleman has moved that amendment no.....that amendment no. 4 to Senate Bill 1438 be tabled. All in favor of the gentleman's motion to table signify by voting 'aye', the oppos-

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



by voting 'no'. Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Richard O. Hart: "Very briefly, I think there's been some misunderstanding about this and ah...its just a question of who pays the money. There aren't going to be any more stewards, its just a question of who's going to pay for them. ANd the racing board has the authority to appoint all of the stewards, regardless of who pays for them. In the authority to appoint lies the control. So, why give a gift of \$109,000 to the race tracks, why not give it to the taxpayers. And continue the situation as it is. And urge the commission to use its authority."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Sevcik."

Joseph G. Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, the additional one steward that the race track wants to hire, is that they have control over him, not the race track. One of the previous speakers mentioned that they're all trained and they have to go through certain programs, they all belong to the stewards association, that is true, but their representing the people that they receive there paycheck from. We want them to represent the State of Illinois. And that's why we want that additional steward. This has been done in the state of California to insure the integrity of our racing program here in the State of Illinois. I ask for a green vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative

Hanahan "



Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, we're talking about a racing steward no different than an umpire at an American league ball game, or a national league ball game, or a football game. We're not talking about who he owes his allegiance to on what track because his salary is paid and set by statute. You think that a steward would be swade because his salary is received from Arlington Race Track or Kahokia Downes. More than by Michael Howlett the auditor of the State of Illinois. A steward takes a sworn oath, he belongs to an organization, he could lose his membership in the stewards organization and be disbarred from every race track in the United States if he violates his oath. This is just a matter of whether or not you want to spend your money out of the agricultural premium fund, or whether you want the race track to pay for this extra steward. There has never been a question of the integrity of the steward. The racing commission didn't justify this request. They didn't even have the guts to come in and ask for it by legislation. They back doored it through and appropriation. And I say to you if there is a question of integrity, its in the manner of the racing commissions handling of their own bills."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record....Oh...Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Before you announce the roll call, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask for a poll of the absentees and a verification."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "You shall have that sir. Take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes', 76 'nays'. Now will the members please be in their seats while the clerk polls the absentees."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Boyle, Burditt, Conolly, Dyer,....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Dyer as voting 'aye'."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Holloway, Kleine, Klosak, Ed Madigan, McDermott, McPartlin, Moore, D. O'Brien, Palmer, Schoeberlein, Jack Thompson, Tipword, Williams."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record Representative Dan O'Brien as voting 'no'. Representative McPartlin as voting 'no'. Are there any other absentees who wish to be recorded? Representative Campbell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Charles M. Campbell: "How am I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, will the members please be in their seats and the clerk will read....Record Representative Holloway as voting 'no'. So the count right now is 82 to 79. O-K, now, Representative Burditt, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? Record Representative Burditt as voting 'aye'. O-K, now the gentleman has requested a verification of the affirmative roll call. Will the members please be in their seats, while the clerk reads the affirmative roll call."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Anderson, Bartulis, Blades, Bluthardt, Borchers, Brenne, Burditt, Campbell, Capuzi, Clabaugh, Phil Collins,



Cox, L. Cunningham, R. Cunningham, W. Cunningham, Day, Duff, Dyer, Epton, Fleck, Friedland, Gibbs, Glass, Graham, Granata, Hall, Harpstrite, Henss, Hill, Hirschfeld, G. Hoffman, R. Hoffman, Houde, Hudson, Hunsicker, Hyde, Jones, Juckett, Kahoun, Karmazyn, Kipley, Lauterbach, Lehman, Lindberg, McAvoy, McCormick, McDevitt, McGah, McMaster, Meyer, K. Miller, P. Miller, Neff, North, Nowlan, G. O'Brien, Pappas, Philip, Randolph, Redmond, Regner, Rose, Schlickman, Sevcik, Shapiro, Simmons, T. Simms, Soderstrom, Springer, Stone, Telcser, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, G. Washington, Welsh, J. J. Wolf, Zlatnik, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there questions? Representative Carrigan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

James D. Carrigan: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selc ke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Do you wish to change your vote sir? Are there questions of the affirmative roll call? I suspect there are a couple."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, is Representative Brenne on the floor?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's sitting in his seat, sir."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative W. Cunningham?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Cunningham on the floor? William Cunningham. He's not in his seat, take him off of the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Fleck?"



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing in the aisle."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Friedland."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Friedland is in his seat, sir."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Gibbs?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Gibbs in his seat sir."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Glass?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Did you say glass, He's standing the aisle, sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Hall."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative Hall on the floor? Take him off of the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Harpstrite?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's in his seat. Representative Hall has just returned so put him back on the roll call."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Hirshfeld."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative Hirschfeld in his seat? Take him off."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Houde."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative Houde, he's standing right by the rail, representative Lechowicz?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Hyde."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing in the back of the chamber."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Kahoun."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Kahoun is in his seat."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative McDevitt."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative McDevitt on the floor? Take him off the roll call. I understand he's at the telephone

and he'll be here in a moment. There he is on the floor."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Ed Madigan?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is representative Madigan on the floor?
He's not voting sir."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Meyer."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Meyer is right in front
of you sir."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Pappas."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative.....Pappas is standing
in the back by the sponsor of the bill."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Randolph?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Thaddeus S. Lecowicz: "Representative Redmond."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Redmond? He's in his seat Representative."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's sitting on his desk, sir."

Thaddeus S. Lecbowicz: "Representaive Simmons."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing on the side of the camber
sir."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "That's all I have Mr. Speaker, thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Bradley, for what purpose
do you rise, Sir? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman i s recorde as voting no."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcsær: "Reoord the gentleman as 'aye'. Represent-
ative Tipsword. For what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Rolland F. Tipsword: "How I recorded?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "How is the gentleman record3d/"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting/



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'.
On this question there are 83 'ayes', 78 'nays', And the
gentleman's motion to table amendment no. 4 to Senate Bill
1438 prevails. Are there further amendments? Third reading.
Senate Bill's third reading. Senate Bill 1331."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1331. An act to provide for the
ordinary and contingent expenses of the State employees
retirement system. Third reading of the bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Randolph, Representative
Springer."

Norbert G. Springer: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the
House, Senate Bill 1331 appropriates the expenses for the
State Employees Retirement system for the fiscal year beginning
July 1 1972. Total appropriation is \$333,300. \$93,600 from
the General Revenue Fund, and \$239,700 from the State pension
Fund. I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is
shall Senate Bill 1331 pass? All those in favor signify by
voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted
who wish? Take the record. Laurino, 'aye'. On this question
there are 147 'ayes', no 'nays'. And this bill having
received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed.
Senate Bill 1355."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1355. A bill for an act to make
an appropriation to the State Treasurer for retirement on
state bonds. Third reading of the bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative
Flinn."



Monroe L. Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, what House bill 1355 would like to ask permission to move that back to second reading for the purpose of an amendment."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none Senate Bill 1355 will be sent back to the order of second reading for purposes of an amendment. I understand you want to do the same on 1356 sir?"

Monroe L. Flinn: "That's right, 1356 we have an amendment also."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Does the gentleman have leave to send that back to second reading? Hearing none the bill will be sent to second for purposes of an amendment. Will the clerk please read the amendment of 1355."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment no. 1, Flinn, Amend Senate Bill 1355 on page 2, section 4, line 3 by striking \$4,000,000 and inserting in lieu thereof; '\$8,000,000. And on line 4 by striking \$4,940,000 and inserting in lieu thereof; '\$9,940,000. And in section 5 by inserting between lines 15 and 17....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Monroe L. Flinn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, the purpose of this amendment is that it increases the Treasurer's appropriation by \$27,000,000 and whole purpose is that it was overlooked, the Transportation Bond in Series A. And the Transportation bond act, in order to pay for the ah...bond coupons and so forth, we must have the \$27,000,000 in the Treasurer's fund. So I move for the adoption of amendment no. 1."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has moved that the ah...House adopt amendment no. 1 to Senate bill 1355. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading. Senate Bill 1356. Which is now on second reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1356. Amendment no. 1, Flin....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, for explaining the amendment."

Monroe L. Flinn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, amendmentno. 1 to Senate Bill 1356 reduces the Treasurers Appropriation by \$9,000,000. And this has to do with excess money that was left over from last year. And we expect the same thing in this fiscal year. So therefor I move fore the adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1356."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of amendment no. 1 to Senate Bill 1356. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', 'opposed 'no'. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? Third reading."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Agreed Resolutions."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 752, Hudson. 753, Gibbs, 754 Pappas et. al. 755 Palmer, 756 Hill at. al.. 757, Ropa et. al. 760 Douglas Et. Al. House Joint Resolution 145, Hart. House Joint Resolution 146, Bradley."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde on the agreed resolutions."

Henry J. Hyde: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The agreed Resolutions will be those that I will read, ah...House Resolution 752, commends the American Legion ah...Illinois Department, for their sponsorship of Premier boys state. House Resolution 753, ah...congratulates Mrs. Betty Crabens of Sherman Ill. Who's rendered invaluable service to this House of Representatives as telephone operator for the Republican's ah...side of the aisle. House Resolution 754, is a death resolution expressing condolences at the death of Richard L. Larson of Rock Island. House Resolution 755 congratulates Everett Kerr, retiring from his position as Superintendent of District 130 Schools in Blue Island, Ill. House Resolution 756, congratulates Mr. And Mrs. Paul E. Carey of Elgin Illinois, celebrating their 35 wedding anniversary. House Resolution 757, commends Vito and Martisio Marzuto for the 50 years they have spent together as Husband and Wife. House Resolution 760 congratulates Mary Melany, Melane upon her contributions as a nurse. I move adoption of the agreed resolutions, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: All those in favor of the adoption of the resolutions say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the resolutions are adopted. Further resolutions?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah...House Resolution 751, Douglas. House Resolution 758, Barnes, House Resolution 759, Douglas. House Resolution 761, Douglas. House Resolution...House Joint Resolution 145, Hart. House Joint Resolution 146, Bradley."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.
Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 4218, together with the following amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 22, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.
Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the bill with the following title; on passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House; Senate Bill 1154, passed the Senate June 22, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the bill with the following title; House Bill 3776, passed the Senate June 22, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their amendments to the bill with the following title: House Bill 3648, I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a committee conference consisting of five members from each House to consider the differences. Action taken by the Senate June 22, 1972 Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to a request from the House of Representatives to a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment to Senate Bill 1271. I am further instructed to inform the House of Representatives that the committee of the Senate has appointed such a committee

on the the part of the Senate; Senators Nuvo, McCarthy, Kosinski
Nufer, and Bearing, Action taken by the Senate June 22, 1972.
Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, introductions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah...House Bill 4690, Peter Miller et.al.

Bill for an act to amend the Housing Authorities Act in the
State Houseing Act. First reading of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah...cook, Mr. Miller."

P. J. Miller: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Rule 38, I request
that the bill be advanced to second reading without reference."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah...gentleman from cook, Mr. Harold
Washington."

Harold Washington: "I have several questions, Mr. Speaker. First
of all, I didn't hear a word that was said, would he kindly
repeat that?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman ah...."

P. J. Miller: "I'll let Representative Lechowicz, cosponsor...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, Representative Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and
gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4690 reads as follows;
'Amends the Housing Authorities Act of State Housing Act.
Prohibits the acquisitions of property by Housing Authorities
and counties of over or more than one million people. If
property through which the Housing Authority presently has
title is not fully developed, and its residential units are
not at least 95% occupied. Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen
of the House, Representative Miller and I are co-sponsors on
House Bill 4690. And in addition we have 46 additional co-

sponsors. I would ask for a favorable ruling from the Speaker on the rule 38 to advance House Bill 4690, without reference to committee. The brief synopsis that I have stated is the entirety of the bill. And, in turn, with 47 co-sponsors I would imagine that we could have full and adequate discussion as we had on some other series of bills when this bill is on third or second reading. I would humbly request that the Speaker give us this leave."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from cook, Mr. Harold Washington."

Harold Washington: "Ah...parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. As I understand the motion, there are two motions being made. One for leave to file the bill, and two for leave to suspend the rules to advance to second reading without reference. If I am correct, Mr. Speaker, I ask that these be handled seriously one at a time. Because I wish to speak on the first one before we get to the second."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I thought it was the prerogative of a member of the House to introduce a bill in this session at any time. What we are asking is to, under rule 38, to advance House Bill 4690 without reference to committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, yea, now the...we have a couple of sections involved in the rules, in the procedures here. One is ah...section 32B, which provides that all bills, except bills in the exempt categories, have to be introduced by

April 24th, and it further provides that any other bill other

than those exempted, introduced shall lie upon the Speakers table. So....if you weren't making any motion, that bill just being introduced, would be placed on the Speaker's table. And there to remain. Now,....."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, if I may. Under rule 38, it reads; 'when a bill is introduced, it shall be read at large a first time, ordered, printed and referred to the proper committee for consideration, except that in cases that demonstrable emergency, a bill may be advanced without reference to committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I understand that, but 32B further limits that rule. So, what you would have to do is to address yourself. I would think, to a suspension of 32B."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, then I will move that we suspend rule 32B for the hearing of the bill, or the introduction of this bill and suspend rule 38 as well, so we could advance it to second reading."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, well, we'll....treat that as a severable motion and we will take up first ah...the gentleman's request to suspend the provisions of rule 32B. Is there discussion? Is there objection? Alright, objection has been raised to unanimous consent, the provisions of rule 93 provide that the provisions of rule 32 may be suspended only on the affirmative vote of at least 89 persons. So we will now move to that..... Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."

Harold Washington: "An additional parliamentary inquiry. Ah... reference was made to a demonstrable emergency, now, I'm hard pressed to find just where that language is, Mr. Speaker, would

you direct me to what rule that is?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, he's referring to rule 38, to which we have not yet arrived."

Harold Washington: "That will be the next step?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, if they get by this one. Ah...this is the proper sequence, it seems to me, that we have to get by 32B and then consider the motion to advance if 32B is suspended. Alright, so the gentleman's motion is to suspend the provisions of 32B so that this bill may not be....come within the restrictions insofar as the introduction and normal handling of bills. All those in favor will vote 'aye'....and ah...is there discussion? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. This is a bill asking that the Chicago Housing Authority develop the land that it presently has under title prior to any additional land could be purchased. The reason for this, we all know the state....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Just a moment, state your point Mr. Washington."

Harold Washington: "My point of order is this; the gentleman is about to launch into a substantial explanation of his vote. This is a procedural matter, am I correct in saying that you cannot address yourself to the substance by way of explanation of what's in that bill?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I think he can explain ah...the purposes for which he is seeking to suspend ah...the provisions of rule 32B. And I take it that's what he's doing and he ah..."

Harold Washington: "Then, by the same token, am I correct, Mr. Speaker, that any subsequent Speakers will have the same discretion in making reference to the substance of what is in that bill?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, in the explanation of why any member is voting, how he is, ah...I think comment is receivable by the floor, as to why you think the rules should be or should not be suspended. And I certainly would recognize you for that purpose. Alright, Mr. Lechowicz, do you want to continue your remarks."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, we all agree the need of public housing. And in turn I believe that the city of Chicago was granted, by this General Assembly, to provide the sites for public housing. The city has spent millions of dollars in conjunction with federal funds, in urban renewal for this purpose. Many sites were acquired. Many sites were raised, but unfortunately, public housing was never placed on these sites. Under this bill, it would stop the delay in the construction of public Housing. The CHA has title to these properties. And in turn it would be directed to proceed in the construction of public, much needed, public housing presentl. I would ask that the members permits this bill to be introduced at this time. Its at a time of critical need. I would ask for 89 favorable votes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah...cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Lewis A.H. Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my no vote up there, I'm quite aware of the fact that the Chicago Housing

Authority, which came into existence by acts of this legislature.

has been functioning for a long time. The city of Chicago did not abide by the rules and regulations, and until recently the city counsel vitoed sites and rendered the decisions of the Chicago Housing Authority practically null and void. As a result, most of the public housing has been located in predominantly black wards by matters of agreement, more or less, by the city counsel. Recently judge Austin's decision made it possible for the Chicago Housing Authority to by pass the city counsel and the Chicago Housing Authority is now functioning as an agency which uses public funds, and is about to do its job in the proper manner. This bill, and I don't care how many co signers it has, is an effort to bypass the order of a federal court. And I think that it is entirely presumptuous. I think its anti-social, I think its anti-Democratic and I think its illegal. And I'm certain that its un-constitutional. And I would suggest that all of the members, co-signers and otherwise, think very carefully before we get into this type of situation, because your opening up a Pandora's box, which could lead to some very ill timed actions on the part of our society in general. I would suggest that the sponsors of this bill ah...urge the...the held the city...the Chicago Housing Authority to proceed to do its job. And I would suggest that if they're going to try to nullify the order of a federal court, they ought to go into the federal court and attempt to get their justice there. This, I do not believe, falls within the purveu not the dignity of this body.. And at this late hour, Mr. Speaker, when none of us seem to know when we are going to close this session, I think that we

are taking up the time of the House on a witch hunt. And I would suggest that all of us vote no on this bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Webber Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, and fellow members of the House, I truly hesitate to say some of the things that I think ought to be said. But I believe that someone should have the nerve to say them. In the paper, either this morning or yesterday, a white girl, sixteen years old, was shot in a changing neighborhood. The rapes have increased, the crime has increased in these changing neighborhoods. And I feel that the passage of this bill will....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Just wait a minute, wait a minute..... Gentleman from cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, it was a very unfortunate situation that happend in Chicago, which was in the paper today, but I personally believe it has nothing to do with this bill. And in turn, I wish the gentleman would keep his remarks to the bill itself."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now wait a minute....for what purpose does the gentleman from cook, Mr. Harold Washington, rise?"

Harold Washington: "I also rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Most of the members in this House are inteligent enough to keep their biased premises to themselves. We seldom articulate them. But it seems to me that when a member strays so far from the excepted way of doing business in the House, that he articulates those premises, be they religious, racial or otherwise, I think its up to the body of the House to slap him down very quickly. I think the gentleman is patently out of

order."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in response to that last remark, ah..there are many things said that I don't agree with and I say many things that others don't agree with, but I think there is a distinct ring of honesty to somebody who gets up and says what they honestly think. And I prefer that really, to someone who never says what they think. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, Mr. Washington, what are you up for?"

Harold Washington: "I rise on a point of personal privilege. I don't think that last remark from the majority leader, I don't think he's justified in directing that toward me. I think every member in this House understood that I was simply trying to say, lets keep this debate on a sensible, reasonable level that gentlemen elected to this House can deal with. If you can remove it, or lower it to the level of one of the previous speakers, your going to have a real donnibrook, its gong to be unhealthy, and it will not contribute anything useful to this debate. That was my point, and I think the majority leader is completely out of line in making any such reference to me."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was not referring specifically to the last speaker, I was merely saying that I don't think its in good grace to criticize somebody for telling us what they think. I think you can criticize the substance of their

ideas but certainly I find no room for criticizing someone who expresses what is honestly in his heart. I make the difference between the person and the substance of what he says, and I mean no insidious illusions to the distinguished gentlemen from cook, Representative Washington."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, now back to the gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Webber Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow members of the House, I don't mean any illusions of race in this at all. We do have a problem of changing neighborhoods. The record speaks for itself. I feel that there are large segments of people in Chicago and downstate that have a right, and feel they have a right to protection until education, perhaps, or understanding of other peoples problems, will bring a realization amongst all, they have to live in peace. But until that time comes, and under the situation it is now, this is more likely to induce and continue as a situation that will grow evermore dangerous and more explosive in Chicago and elsewhere. And therefore, I think we should vote to ah...go for this bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the gentleman from cook, Mr. Scariano."

Anthony Scariano: " Mr. Speaker, this is the second attempt in a few weeks that we've had, and accrued one at that, of saying that a state legislative enactment can overrule a constitution. Now Judge Austin has said, and he knows where these sites are, he knows that the Chicago Housing Authority has sites, he knows that there is nothing on these sites.

~~But yet, this legislature is presumptuous enough to think~~

that they can tell Judge Austin that he's wrong and these sites are not going to be placed constitutionally, but they're going to be kept in unconstitutional locations. I think that that's the height of presumption, and I think it's the height of unconstitutionality. This legislature is performing a meaningless act, assuming that it can pass this highly objectional, obnoxious bill. Assuming that there's time to do it, your trying to tell a Federal Court that its interpretation of the constitution sustained by the Supreme Court of the United States, is wrong. And that this horse and buggy legislature is going to repeal the 14th amendment to the constitution of the United States. I think it's absolutely silly."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington."

Harold Washington: "I subscribe, Mr. Speaker members of the House, to every remark made by Representatives Caldwell and Scariano. Further more, Mr. Speaker, several weeks ago I introduced a resolution in to this House with several co-sponsors. A Resolution calling upon the Mayor of the city of Chicago, to negotiate with the concerned citizens for police reform. That resolution was referred to a committee, and it was defeated. And many of the co-sponsors of this bill defeated it on the basis that it was strictly a local matter and should be handled in Chicago. A couple of weeks later Representative Caldwell and other introduced a resolution calling upon the General Assembly to investigate the hiring practices of the Chicago police Department. There's no question but that we have jurisdiction over that, cause the FPCP act and others, and

that resolution was also defeated in committee, and many of the co-sponsor of this resolutions argued that that was a local matter. Now we have a bill which is attempting to completely emasculate the Chicago Housing Authority in terms of its authority to select sites when it deems necessary, governed, of course, through federal law by the Austin decision. All at once the logic slips. It is no longer a home situation its something that the legislature should address itself to. This matter, if it was going to come before this House, should have come a long time ago. The Austin decision was known, the bill could have been filed back in April. It should have then been sent to committee, of course, and discussed. If this bill gets by this stage and is taken off the speakers table and comes before this House, we will be doing a disservice to many many people in Chicago, who want to express their desires on it, pro and con. I think we will make a tremendous mistake if we suspend the rules and permit this to go to the speaker's table, and therefore, I vote no."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question....For what purpose does the gentleman from cook, Mr. Caldwell rise?"

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "To first of all, Mr. Speaker, ask for a poll of the absentees and then a verification of the roll call please."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, ah...roll call stands at 93 'ayes' 40 'nays'. Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees and a verification. Just a verification? Just a verification: Alright, would the clerk ah...gentleman from ah...cook, Mr.

Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Robert S. Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we're going to have a verification I think it would be in order to have a poll of the absentees."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, the normal courtesy is extended, so, if the gentleman will withhold, we'll proceed with the poll of the absentees and then go to a verification."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arrigo, Barry, Boyle, Brumitt, Calvo, Colitz, Oatis Collins, Conolly, Corbet, Craig, Roscoe Cunningham, Ewell, Fennessey, Flinn, Harpstrite, Hart, Kleine, Klosak, Krause, Lindberg, Ed Madigan, Markert, McClain, Mc Dermott, McGah, Nowlan, Rose, Schoeberlein, Shea, Stedelin, Stone, Jack Thompson, Tipword, VonBoekman, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Williams, B. B. Wolfe, Zlatnik, Mr. Speaker." B. B. Wolfe is recorded as not voting."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, now verify the affirmative vote."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Bartulis, Blades, Borchers, Bradley, Brandt, Brenne, Brinkmeier, Burditt, Campbell, Caparrelli, Capuzi, Carrigan, Carroll, Choate, Clabaugh, Phil Collins, Cox, L. Cunningham, W. Cunningham, Day, DiPrima, Downes, Fary, Fleck, Friedland, Garmisa, Granata, Hall, Hamilton, Hanahan, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Holloway, Houde, Hudson, Hunsicker, Hyde, Jacobs, Janczak, Jones, Juckett, Kahoun, Karmazyn, Keller, Kipley, Kosinski, Laurino, Lauterbach, Lechowicz, Lehman, Lenard, Leon, M. Madigan, Maragos, McAvoy, McCormick, McDevitt, Mc Master, McPartlin, Merlo, Meyer, Kenny Miller, Peter Miller, Moore, Murphy, Neff, North, D. O'Brien, O'Hallaren, Palmer."

Pappas, Philip, Randolph, Redmond, Regner, Ropa, Schisler, Sevcik, Shapiro, Simmons, T. Simms, Smith, Soderstrom, Springer, Terzich, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, Walters, Washburn, F. Wolf, J. j. Wolf, Yourell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, is Mr. Burditt here?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's right here."

Lewis A. Caldwell: "Mr. Garmisa?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How; s he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Hanahan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Hanahan."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Bartulis."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Bradley."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Capuzi."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Campbell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Phil Collins."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's....He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. DiPrima."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Maragos."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, he's here."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Kahoun."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mrs. Karmazyn."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "She's here."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Merlo."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: Mr. Meyre.:

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. O'Hallaren."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's here."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Phillips."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Sevcik."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis S. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Timothy Simms."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: Mr. Yourell."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: Mr. Kipley."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Kipley."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's He'sl there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Lehman."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: Mr. Brinkmeier."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's he recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take him off the record. Gentleman from
Winnebago, Mr. Anderson."

Merle K. Anderson: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Merle K. Anderson: "I vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record him as 'aye', gentleman from Clinton,
Mr. Harpstite."

Ben C. Harpstrite: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Ben C. Harpstrite: "I vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Fleck."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He's back there."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

B. B. Wolfe: "How am I recored, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

B. B. Wolfe: "Vote me 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as no . Gentleman
from DuPage, Mr.Redmon."

William A. Redmond: "How am I recorded? Vote me no."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as no. Gentleman
from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Roscoe Cunningham: "I intend to vote no on this bill, when it
comes on, but I think they have a right to be heard, so
vote me 'aye' no."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. 91 'ayes'.
42 'nays'. So the gentleman's motion to suspend rule 32B
ah...prevails. now are you going to ah....do what? Ha Ha,"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and ladies and
gentlemen of the House, I do appreciate the opportunity of
having this bill heard in this session of the General Assembly.
In turn I think its offered as a vehicle where the Chicago
Housing Authority can start building on the sites that they
have title to immediately without any further delay in this
housing which is long overdue. I would like to move to suspend
rule 38, Mr. Speaker, so that this bill can be advanced to
second reading without reference to committee."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, now, discussion is indicated.
Gentleman from cook, Mr.Duff. Your mike's not working.
He asked to suspend the rule, and ah...when your doing that
why you don't have to go into the internal problem. Yea, it
does in cases of demonstrable emergency, a bill may be advanced
without reference ah...to committee, but he's moved to suspend

ah...under the provisions of rule 93 which required a similar 89 votes to prevail. Now is there any discussion on the gentleman's motion? Gentleman from cook, Mr. Katz."

Harold A. Katz: "Mr. Speaker, only a few brief points. First of all it is now June 22, ah...we all want to go home. If we permit this bill to be heard now, we should permit public hearings and give people a chance to be here. We should have an opportunity to debate it on the floor. I really feel that the gentleman has now been accorded the privilege of filing his bill, I would very much urge that we not bring it out to the floor when it is very clear that there is no time, even if the bill passes the House, for it to go through, all it will do is just keep us here longer, and it would seem to me that the requirements of fairness in permitting people to come and testify should be respected. And also the need for us to terminate this session so that we can conclude it all and get back to our other pursuits. And I would urge very much that regular procedures be followed, that it be sent to committee and be heard at such time that committee hearings are scheduled at some later date. I would urge a no vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I think that number one, its a grossly unfair bill. I don't think that this bill is of any demonstrable emergency. Certainly anything of this magnitude which is aimed to change the public policy of our city and our state certainly should be heard in committee witnesses from all over this state would be happy to come here and testify pro and con. I recall that when Representative

Mann introduced a package of low income housing throughout the state, it took us more than two sessions to get anything done in that direction. And here, all of a sudden, we are now going to change the motis apparende of an agency that has existed in the city of Chicago since 1933, 31 to 33. And I think, Mr. Speaker, that it's grossly unfair. I had a bill here just two weeks ago where I attempted to have the... a committee of this House investigate the employment practices of the police department in the city of Chicago, and would you believe that I got two votes, one of those was by the co-sponsor. And I think to come right back here at the eleventh hour in the closing of this session, to introduce a bill of this nature, Mr. Speakr, I just can't understand it. I believe that we are down here to take care of the business of the state. Now this bill obviously is, as Representative Katz just indicated, cannot be enacted into law in the time that we have left. And I believe, Mr. Speaker, that it should be referred to committee. And I believe Mr. Speaker, that would be in keeping with the dignity of your office, I would urge a no vote."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Phil collons."

Philip Collins: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House a previous speaker suggested the need for public hearings on this bill. Well let me say to you there have been many public hearings throughout the city of Chicago. There are 46 co-sponsors of this bill. I happen to be one of them. And everyone of us have attended many public hearings concerning the problem evolving from the CIA controversy. We can bring you the"

testimony of the people, and we can tell you what the people have asked us when we go home. They want to know why and what and how. Why are you putting housing in areas when you already have areas designated for housing. Why are you building new buildings when you already have buildings that are looking for tenants, and they look at us and they say you are our elected Representatives, what you doing to represent us? This bill is an attempt to answer our constituents and say we are attempting to cope with a problem. It is a problem for you it is a problem for the entire community, and we are attempting now to wrestle with it. This bill, whether you agree or not, this is an opportunity for us to explore the problem for us to examine both sides, and for us to take action. I think it is reasonable, I think the emergency is obvious. We are running out of time in this current session of the legislature. The people of the city of Chicago want answers to this question, and on this problem. And we the co-sponsors of this bill are willing to now examine now, and again thoughouly this most perplexing problem. I think its perfectly reasonable that this motion should be supported and I would urge an 'aye' vote to advanced without reference."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah...cook, Mr. Scariano."

Anthony Scariano: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I have to make the point again that this is an exercise in futility. I don't think there's a lawyer in the House that agrees that this simple statutory enactment can get around the 14th amendment of the United State, pronounced by Judge

Austin, affirmed by the Supreme Court of the United States, especially that when its in the record thzt the sites are owned by the Chicago Housing Authority. That there are no developements on it. This was brought to the attention of the judge and he said you've got to scatter those sites. That's the constitution of the United States, the Supreme Court Affirmed it, and here we're taking hoursof our time and maybe more, to go through this exercise in complete, utter futility, when all your trying to do is score points in a political campaign year to show your constituents back home that your going to do something. I think your playing the greatest hoax on them. Your playing a hoax on them and your being absolutly intellectually dishonest with them when you tell them that you can do something in this legislature that the constitutional of the United State absolutely forbids. I don't care if you embossed it and engraved it in gold; the Supreme Court of the United States is going to by it. And I think this is pure political shickanary on the part of all of the sponsors of the bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from cook, Mr. Davis."

Corneal A. Davis: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I want to thank the gentleman who preceeded me for that very fine explanation. And especially to talk on the fourteenth amendment. I'm an authority on the fourteenth amendment, and I'll tell you why I'm an authority on the fourteenth amendment, I left Mississippi with my hat in my hand because more than 32 laws had been passed down there substituting something else for the fourteenth amendment."

That's why I'm here in Illinois. Millions of other black people who lived in the south are here because they substituted other things for the fourteenth amendment. Thank you, Brother Scariano, for making it clear. That's why we're here, that's why you have so many of these problems. Now all this bill is going to do, is going to trigger some talks, going to trigger some racism, going to send us out of this General Assembly in a bad humor. I have sat here and I've heard more political speeches made here, political speeches, do you hear, made here in this first yearly session that I have ever heard in all the regular sessions that we've had down here. Its purely politics, that's what it is. Somebody over there, I see the gentleman is standing now, talked about education. Let me tell you this, and I want to warn you, two of the most literate nations in the world, one of them was Germany and the other one was Japan, threw this world into one of the greatest wars. One of the most devastating wars that this whole world had been thrown into. They were literate, they had plenty of education, what was wrong? The war between Japan was the results of imbedded, inherit racism, do you hear. Nothing but deeply imbedded, inherit racism. I want to further warn you, you'll hold up your hand and you'll say one nation under god, let me tell you something men, I'm an old preacher, be not deceived, God is not mock. Whatsoever man sows, that shall he also reap. When you swear that your going to make this nation, one nation under God, and then you try to do everything you can to promote seperatism in this nation, beware, beware, God is not mocked. This is going to

generate nothing but racism. And I would say, please, please let this thing die, and let us go home and see if we can't have some love in our hearts for each other."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah...gentleman from cook, Mr. Kahoun."

Raymond J. Kahoun: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it, previous question has been moved. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Lechowicz now, to close."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. I think we all were elected as a member of this General Assembly, to do what in our opinion, is the best interest for the people of Illinois. And in turn, whether it takes a day, a month, six months, nine months, or a year Gentlemen, we're in annual session. I, for one, am a member of the appropriations committee, and I'm very happy and proud of that fact, but I think of any committee that serves in this house, we probably spend more hours in debate, and in consideration of the bills than any other committee in this General Assembly. And in turn, we've never shirked our responsibilities for the proper time to be spent. I am not one to advocate that we adjourn today, tomorrow, or next week. I think we have a job to do, and we should do it. I ask for a suspension of the rules, rule 38, for the reason that the bill has stated is the bill. Except for one area. It requires hearing notices to be sent by the Chicago Housing Authority, which at the present time, they only have to send one notice. Actual it amends section A3A of the bill, and it reads; 'before any proposed new construction of a specific project, or proposed

rehabilitation project is commenced by a local Housing Authority, a public hearing, gentlemen, must be held by the local Housing Authority affording interested persons residing in the area, an opportunity to be heard. Presently the way it is in the Illinois Revised statutes now, this is not the law. It reads; 'there shall be prominent notice of the time and place of the hearing published, at least five times, not more than thirty or less than 15 days before the hearing, in one or more newspapers published in the municipality of the project. This notice shall contain the particular site, and location to be effected as well as a brief statement of what is proposed in the project, sufficient for readers to understand the significance of the project to them.' This is not the law, presently, ladies and gentlemen. In the rest of the bill I explain upon this introduction, and for the suspension of the first rule to have the bill heard. There had been many public hearings in Chicago, ladies and gentlemen, on the proposed sites by the CHA. People are in a quandry when this General Assembly gave the authority to the city counsel of the city of Chicago on these sites. And then in turn a Federal Judge takes this authority away from them. This bill requires that any proposed sites should be built on property that is presently held by the Chicago Housing Authority in title. And in turn, I hope that the House would afford me the opportunity of putting this bill on second reading, second legislative day. I ask for a favorable roll call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the question is; shall the provision of rule 38 be suspended so that House Bill 4690 may be advanced

to the order of second reading without reference to committee. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. This takes 89 votes. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Douglas."

Bruce L. Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and members of the House if we move this bill through to second reading on the floor of the House, it will be a terrible error and I think that it will not bode well for the appearance in the eyes of people of this state for the members of this General Assembly. This, the social significance of this bill warrents the kinds of hearings that those of us, that those....the social significance and the impact of this bill warrents that hearings be held in committee. Its impossible at this eleventh hour of this session for hearings to be held adequately for us to.....Well Mr. Speaker, I've been reminded that the vote is favorable, and I think I'll keep my big mouth shut."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from cook, Mr. Madigan."

M. J. Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to explain my yes vote. I think that it is indeed regretible that this debate and the issue of public low income housing has degenerated to the point where it is obviously become a question of one race as against another race. It is regretible, because public housing, as initially conceived by the Federal Government, was never intended to be meant for occupation by excludesevly white people or exclusively black people. The intent of congress in creatin public low income housing was to provide housing for those regardless of race who could not afford decent housing. And the congress therefor, funded the programs many many years ago.

Immediately subsequent to World War II. However, in the meanti

a small group of people in Chicago, whose intent it is to remake society with the help of the government, filed a law suit in the federal courts. And in those federal courts one appointed judge, who must never return to the people to account for his actions, has decided that that small group of people and himself, will remake society as it is presently constituted. And the height of hypocrisy in their actions today, is that the present order, which we are operating under, does not even allow for the voice of the people to be heard. There is no provision for public hearings. The only allowance for public comment is that it is to be done by letter. And I submit to you that the reason that that Federally appointed judge does not want public hearings, is that he knows that the vast majority of people in cook county, are against the proposal of the American Civil Liberties union, and that particular judge has ratified it. And it is indeed incredible that private citizens all throughout Chicago, have been forced to expend their own money to conduct public hearings, simply because a small group of people in Chicago, and one Federally appointed judge had decided to remake society."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The....clerk will take the record. 63 'ayes', and 47 'nays'. And the gentlemen's motion to advance without reference ah...fails. Now....Gentleman from cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, I know that the appropriations committee will be meeting tomorrow morning, and I was wondering if the executive committee is meeting or any other meeting,

where this bill could be heard?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, I have, following the vote on your motion to suspend rule 38, have referred the matter to the executive committee, which is a policy consideration, and I don't know whether the executive committee has any meetings scheduled or not tomorrow. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Juckett."

Robert S. Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would schedule a meeting for tomorrow morning at 8:30 in order to....I understand we're coming in at 10:30 and this would give two hours for the discussion on this resolution. The only question, Mr. Speaker, is where could we hold it. I understand that room 212 right now is being reserved for the appropriations committee, and I would prefer that it would be held in a room where we could have order and decorum. It would be a little difficult on the House floor, with everyone else holding. Are any of the rooms available?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, we're getting the cart before the horse, I guess. There'd have to be some appropriate action taken to suspend the rules again....."

Robert S. Juckett: "I would also ask that the rule 17 be suspended so that the immediate hearing in the executive committee tomorrow morning at 8:30."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, now on this question, now wait a minute. On this question is there objection? Alright, objections been heard so we'll have to have a vote. Alright the question is shall rule 17 be suspended ah...so that, which is the notice rule, so that this bill, 4690, may be set in

hearing in the House Executive Committee tomorrow. All those in favor will...I tell you, in order to facilitate the proceedings, as you all know, the motions to suspend are not debatable. And I think that it would be preferable to have explanation of vote, which I'll recognize you on in order to move this thing along. So...Gentleman from cook, Mr.

Harold Washington, yes."

Harold Washington: "This takes 89 votes, am I correct?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It takes ah...89 members or two thirds of the members voting. Alright, the question then is, shall rule 17 be suspended? All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Gentleman from ah...cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, frankly, I can recall no bill in the last few weeks that has not been accorded the courtesy of the suspension of this rule to be heard ah...and this seems to be unique in this respect. Now the opponents of this bill who are very strident in their ah...opposition have asked for hearings. Now in insisting that the 7 day notice rule be followed here, which is, as I say a unique situation, we haven't had it in several weeks, ah...I think they're denying a hearing to this bill and their trying to kill this bill through the back door. And I don't think that's fair, I think every member of this House has had the courtesy of having bills postponed ah...taken out of the record, and having the rules suspended so they can get an earlier committee hearing. This is simply a device to kill this bill. It may not work, we may just be

in session that long to hear this bill, I don't know. But I would submit that courtesy dictates, a bill ought to rise or fall on the merits and ah...I think the gentleman who sponsored this bill ought to be accorded the courtesy that every other sponsor has had in the last few weeks and let this rule be suspended."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from union, Representative Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I concur with the majority leader. I've attempted to be fair as far as members on the introductions of bills, resolutions, and various other matters in which they are interested. Now to be quite frank with you, I'm probably not going to vote for this bill when and if it reaches the order of third reading, but I would say to the membership that exactly what the majority leader said, we have afforded this opportunity and this courtesy to members in the past weeks, time and time again. And although I probably, as I said, am not going to support this bill, I still say to you that the members who want this bill to have an opportunity to be heard, have an opportunity to amend it if they desire to do so, have it go through the committee in the morning. I think they should be afforded that opportunity."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Harold A. Katz: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I do appreciate the majority leader and the minority leader's view about accomodating the members of the General



Assembly. There are about ten million or so, citizens of Illinois who also have a right to know when bills are to be heard and have an opportunity to be here to make known their views. I think occasionally we should look at our constitution which requires that notice be given to the public, of hearings that are held before our committees. I assume that if someone comes in with the bill today, or tomorrow, or next Tuesday as a matter of courtesy, we would afford them the right to go through, and again ignore the rights of 10 million people to be heard. And so I would urge that our greater interest would be in terms of fairness and fair procedures. That this be scheduled with due notice for everyone so they will have an opportunity to be heard. And if, in fact, there is not time for that to be done the fault was only in those who sponsored it, they could have come in with their bill sooner. They will now have to stand in line, it would seem to me, and give the public a chance to come in at a time when they have a right to know that the bill is up, and let them make known their views. This is not a private procedure, but a public one. We have a constitutional obligation, and an obligation growing out of fairness to let people come before our committees and to express their views before we take action on legislative matters."

Rep. Arthur A; Telcser: "Gentleman from cook, Representative Peter, Miller."

P. J. Miller: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, as one of the co-sponsors of this important piece of legislation, for 22 years, I don't think I've ever, ever voted against the wishes of a member to

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



have a bill heard, or to control this legislation. I think I demonstrated that the other day on the ERA, or the women's lib bill, and which I got patted about from some members after I left here, I took it like a man. I took my beating. I took my lickin' more than once. But I voted to allow Malcolm X college to become a school and support him. I voted for further legislation to help ah...the gentleman who are protesting very vigorously: I have people in my district whose homes, 70,000 dollar homes, and they have planned housing right next door to these homes. Now those people, are they afforded the opportunity to be heard here, yes, through me, through you. All over Chicago, how are these people going to be heard if they aren't going to be heard through you. We don't give them a hearing through us, then this legislature is a mockery, and my leaving here will not hurt me in any shape, it's going to hurt those people. But afford me the opportunity to represent my people adequately. Give me that hearing tomorrow morning, please."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Eugene M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House it seems to me that some of the members have a very short memory. It seems to me just last fall my colleague to my right offered a number bills in this legislature and tried to suspend the rules and get them to be heard on the question of welfare. And many of these members who said that they had never voted against a bill being heard, did so on that occasion. Now I submit to you, I would not oppose a sponsor of a bill having

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



the opportunity of having his bill heard. But as was pointed out earlier, there are a great many people that live in the city of Chicago, and I believe that the last census said something like 3 1/2 million. I'm more than sure that on such short notice, that it would be impossible for many of them to come down here overnight, to have this heard in committee tomorrow morning. Now it seems to me that the sponsor of this bill, just a short time ago, stated the fact when he was trying to suspend the rules to get this bill introduced, that we were in continuous session. And that he was willing to come back next week, or the week after to deal with the business of the people of the state. Well, I wonder why, if he was willing at that time to come back next week or the week after, to deal with the business of the people of the state, yet once he got that suspension of the rules to introduce the bill, it must be rushed to be heard tomorrow morning. It seems to me, and though I may be a new one around here, but it seems to me that this is quite a bit of what I have heard when on at the end of the session. I've heard speakers speak today who had never spoke on any issue in this House that I can remember since being down here. And it seems to me that we're hearing a great deal, a great deal if you will, of sound and fury signifying absolutely nothing, and the people of this state, the great people of the city of Chicago will not be fooled. We can hear this bill in its proper course next week and have a full hearing without trying to ram something down the throat of many of the

Representatives in this House. Thank you."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Thomas J. Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I believe we're on a motion to suspend the rule so a bill could be heard. And it seems to be very fashionable just a week ago for the word courtesy to be used on Hanahan and Hyde by the press, that we were discourteous in refusing another long and tedious debate on the equal rights amendment, for objecting to another postponed consideration motion, which is a similar courtesy as the question is put before this House today. All of a sudden I could look up at the board there and notice the same people, the same votes up on that board who demanded courtesy on their oxen that was being gored. I wonder where courtesy starts and stops? Or when its fashionable? I hope the press reminds the people of when courtesy is in fashion. Only on certain issues maybe. But I say to you, take a look at the roll call that's there. Look at how some members are voting, but it was different on equal rights amendments."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Cornel A. Davis: "Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I have to reply to that because its totally in error. When we talk about the women's rights. Totally in error, the distinguished gentleman is totally in error. Rule 17 sets up the manner in which standing committee can hear any rule or resolution, shall be held, shall be held unless notice



thereof is posted on the day when the House hold its session. And it goes on to describe totally the procedure by which bills are heard. Now the procedure that has been mentioned here with the women's rights all together different. A motion was made to postpone consideration on the bill that had been heard. I think we ought to get the record straight. On a bill that had been heard, and I made the statement that time and time again, we have permitted the sponsors of bills to postpone consideration. And I think that's what the press recorded. That there was objection to the bill being postponed. This is altogether different, this objection is to a bill being heard because there are rules, standing rules on procedure by which a bill is being heard. And as far as I'm concerned, I want to further tell you, that as a member of the black minority, I'm going to further tell you, that if wasn't for the press I don't know what would happen to us in this nation."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. O-K, on this question...Maragos 'aye'.....right now we stand at 92 'ayes', 45'nays'. I'm sure they'll be a request of somesort, ah...Representative Caldwell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "At the proper time Mr. Speaker, I would like a verification of the roll call."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Alright, does the gentleman wish a poll of the absentees first? You don't wish to poll the absentees sir? Representative Miller, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"



P. J. Miller: "I wish you would poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Alright, there'll be a poll of the absentees first. Will the members please be in their seats. We will then procede to a verification of the affirmative roll call."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Alsup, Arrigo, Boyle, Brinkmeier, Brunnitt, Carrigan, Oatis Collins, Conolly, Corbet, Craig, Duff, Ewell, Fennessey, Flinn, Gibbs, Hart, Henss, Holloway, Keller, Kleine, Klosak, Krause, Ed Madigan, McDermott, Nowlan, D. O'Brien, Rose, Schisler, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Shea, Soderstrom, Jack Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoekman, Williams, Zlatnik."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, is there any one who was polled in the absentees who wished to vote whom I didn't see? O--K then, Representative Washington has requested...or Representative Caldwell has requested a verification of the affirmative roll call. Will the members please be in their seats. Clerk please proceed to read the affirmative roll call."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Anderson, Barry, Bartulis, Blades, Borchers, Bradley, Brandt, Brenne, Burditt, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carroll, Choate, Clabaugh, Phil Collina, Cox, L. Cunningham, R. Cunningham, W. Cunningham, DiPrima, Downes, Fary, Fleck, Friedlan, Garmisa, Granta, Hall, Hamilton, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hill, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Houde, Hudson, Hunsicker, Hyde, Jacobs, Janczak, Jones, Juckett, Kahoun, Karmazyn, Kipley, Kosinski, Laurino, Lauterbach, Lechowicz, Lehman, Lenard, Leon, Lindberg, M. Madigan, Maragos, Markert, McAvoy, McCormick, McDevitt, McMaster, McPartlin,



Meyer, Kenny Miller, Peter Miller, Moore, Murphy, Neff, North,
 G. O'Brien, O'Hallaren, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Randolph,
 Regner, Ropa, Sevcik, Shapiro, Timothy Simms, Smith, Springer,
 Terzich, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, Walters, Washburn, Fr. Wolf.
 J. J. Wolf, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Are there questions of the affirmative
 roll call?"

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, is Boyle?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "I'm sorry, Representative Caldwell,
 who did you call first sir?"

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Boyle?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative Boyle on the floor?
 He;s not voting, sir."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "What about Corbett?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Which one, J.Y. Carter or...?"

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Corbett."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Oh, corbett. How's the gentleman
 recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Mr. Calvo."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Choate."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's standing in the rear of the chamber."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Cox."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is representative Cox on the floor?
 There he is in his seat, sir."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Blades."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Blades on the floor?"

Representative Blades, how's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative DiPrima."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is...He's standing up right by his seat."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Ah...Representative Ah...Fleck."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Fleck, he's sitting in my seat."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Springer."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Springer on the floor? Representative Blades has returned, you want to put him back on the roll call? Is Representative Springer on the floor? How is Representative Springer recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Regner."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative Regner on the floor? How's the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative G. Hoffman."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative G. Hoffman on the floor? There he is in the rear of the chamber."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Brandt."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative Brandt, he's standing by his seat sir."



Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Yourell."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Yourell on the floor?
How is the gentleman recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Take him off the roll call."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative leon."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's here in the middle aisle, sir."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative Lehman here?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He's sitting in his seat, sir."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "What about Representative Shea."

Rep. ARthur A. Telcser: "How's the gentleman recorded. I believe
he was one of the absentees."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Did the Speaker vote."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The Speaker voted, but he's back in
his office. I can get him if you wish sir. Do you want
me to get him?"

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "No, I wouldn't do that. What about Mr.
Arrigo?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Arrigo on the floor?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Representative John Henry Kleine,?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is the gentleman recorded as voting?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting. "

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Did Representative Garmisa vote?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is representative Garmisa recorded?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is Representative Garmisa on the floor?
Take him off the roll call."

Lewis A. H. Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: " Representative Lechowicz, for what
purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry....

I'd like a verification of the negative vote, but while we're
doing that....I'd like your parliamentarian to look at the
House rules, under rule #17, just above B, page 23, its toward
the end of the paragraph. It reads; 'no notice by posting
shall be required as to any meeting held within one week
prior to June 30th in any regular session, but such meetings
shall be announced on the floor of the House. Ladies and
gentlemen I didn't ah...state this rule earlier because, always,
as a courtesy to any member of this house, and we've done it
consistently on other bills, the rules have been waved and
the bill was heard in committee. I tried to advance the bill
to second reading because of the fact that I explained the bill
and in turn I thought that in the synopsis and in the explain-
ation, it was of a committee of the whole. But in turn that
was motion was defeated. I'd like to have the parliamentarian
take a look at the rule, and in turn I'd like to also poll the
negative votes."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Did you want me to rule on your point
sir, first...or did you want to? O-K, the rules states that
not posting shall be required for any meeting prior to one
week before june 30. Today, of course, is more than one week,
sir, so...at this time the rule would not be applicable."



Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "It would tomorrow though."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, that's right. Representative Scariano, did you seek recognition, sir?"

Anthony Scariano: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to raise the point of order that section 7 of article 4 of the constitution specifically, clearly, and unmistakably provides that committee of each house, joint committees of the two houses, and legislative commissions shall give reasonable public notice of meetings, including statements of subjects to be considered, and I submit this is not reasonable notice, we're here, its after six O'clock, and your asking for a meeting tomorrow morning. I don't think that reasonable notice under the constitution of the state of Illinois."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Thaddeus S. Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker there was an announcement on this House floor that there was going to be an executive committee meeting tomorrow morning. And in turn, we asked that this bill be considered by the executive committee tomorrow morning. I ask that the Speaker, in turn, reflect that it is exactly one week, June 30th, tomorrow, June 23rd when the bill will be heard in committee."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well...Representative Wolfe, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

B. B. Wolfe: "Mr. Speaker, if the rules permitted me, I would introduce, in the Gallery, Mrs. Berman, Sandra Berman, and the two Children, Adam and Marcy. And its Arthur Berman's

anniversary today, the 12th anniversary. And I think we

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



ought to give Arthur And Sandra a hand of congratulations. Ah...sitting next to Sandra is the Speaker of my House, Harriett."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Well, here's Representative Yourell has returned, and put him back on the roll call. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Eugene M. Barnes: "In all due respect, Mr. Speaker, but it seems that some time ago, we were in the middle of a roll call. Now it seems if we're going to just, you know, lie around and wait for everybody to be found, you know, we can just stay here all night and wait until you get back to ever the home townes are where they are...it seems that we should announce that roll call, and get on with the business, if that's what we're here fore."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Mr. Clerk would you give me the roll call please? There are 89 'ayes', 45 'nays! And the gentleman's motion to suspend rule 17 prevails. Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Harry Yourell: "A point of information, Mr. Speaker, I think ah... it might be a good idea for those of us who don't find what is going on here too interesting, and retired to our state offices in the state office building, throughout the day and after six o'clock when we are in session in the evenings, when we do have to return for a verification instructions should be given that we don't have to run all around the damn building trying to get in and out of the state office building. Now the tunnell is closed after sic o'clock, and there's only'



one door in the state office building that's open. Now ah... I know that we're suppose to be here when we are in session, but I think the Speaker ought to have some arrangements to have that tunnel kept open so that we can get back here for a verification. And that's why I'm so late."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Committee Reports"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Mr. Regner from the committee on appropriations which Senate Bill 1319, 1406, 1542, 1433, were referred reported the same back with recommendation the amendments be adopted and the bills amended ~~and~~ do pass. Mr. Regner from appropriations which Senate Bill 1337, 1564 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation the bills do pass. No further committee reports."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Rep....Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Robert S. Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, as a result of that last vote the executive committee will meet at 8:30 a.m. tomorrow morning in room 5...M5 for the purpose of hearing the bill. And I would urge all committee members to be there. And anybody else that is interested in that bill. We will start sharply at 8:30 a.m."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Clyde L. Choate: "Well, I...I thought also, Mr. Speaker, that ah...the chairman was going to request permission to have a couple of resolutions heard at that executive committee also in the morning?' No, its two other resolutions, George. One



for Representative Bradley, and one for Representative Hart."

Robert S. Juckett: "Mr. Minority Leader, Representative Bradley's resolution was referred to Judiciary two and I don't know anything about Representative Hart's. That was also referred to Judiciary two."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Now, Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

James R. Washburn: "Mr. Speaker, following the custom of the last several days, I can ask for the suspension of rule 17 so that Senate Bill 1361, 1550, 1558 can be heard in the appropriations committee at nine a.m. tomorrow in room 212. I repeat Appropriations in room 212 at 9:00 a.m. in the morning."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman...did you ask leave sir? Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections leave will be granted. O-K, Representative Lindberg, for what purpose do you rise?"

George W. Lindberg: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Judiciary II will meet at 9:30 in room M4 for the consideration of House Joint Resolutions 145 and 146."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I introduced House Resolution 739 ah...the day before yesterday. I wasn't advised as to which committee it was referred to, and I'd like to know if its one of these committee's holding a hearing so we could try to have it heard tomorrow morning."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The clerk informs me its still on the Speakers table. Is that right, ah....Mr. Selcke? Then it

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



was not yet referred. Is that your question Representative Berman?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah...this is a resolution that does effect a large portion of our state. It deals with insurances, insurance policies, and I would respectfully ask the Speaker if he could assign it to a committee so that we could try to have it heard."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "O-K, Representative Berman ah...we'll call into the Speaker and ask him to refer it ah..."

Arthur L. Berman: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Hall, for what purpose do you rise?"

Harber H. Hall: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask leave of the House to have two bills tabled on June 6th, returned to the Calendar. These two bills are Revenue bills, were arroniously tabled on June 6th and ah...they have been through the Revenue Committee, passed the Revenue Committee and they should be on the order of second reading."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Do you know what the numbers of the bills are, Representative Hall?"

Harber H. Hall: "1524, 1525. They're companion Revenue Bills."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Hall, could you hold your motion for a few moments while we take care of Douglas first, then we'll come back to yours? Representative Hyde, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Henry J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I would like to call your attention ah..first

of all to something that I trust you've...has already reached

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



you, that we will be in session tomorrow morning. So ah... ah...10:30 will be when we come in. I am not making that motion yet, but just for your information, as to Saturday and Sunday, ah...we do not as yet know ah...we will hopefully know tomorrow, so ah...stay loose on Saturday and Sunday. There's every indication we will be here Saturday and Sunday, but that is not as yet firmed up. And the possibility of even staying monday. So ah...that is the present indication, we will surely be here tomorrow. Now Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, with reference to Senate Bills that we previously tabled, some of which were in committee and some of which were already on the Calendar....."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Excuse me one moment. Representative Granata, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Peter C. Granata: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, since the majority leader is on his feet explaining about keeping yourself loose, I'm as loose as a goose right now. What are we going to do. Do we intend to stay here and finish up, or stay here Saturday and then go home Sunday and come back Monday for the rest of the week? We're entitled to know."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Right, the ah...as the majority leader stated, and I've been trying all day to get some positive statement out of the Senate with regard to what their intentions are and the only committment I have now from the leadership over there is that they adjourned today, they're going to be in tomorrow at 9:00, and they've advised me that the likelihood is that the Senate will stay in Saturday and Sunday and Monday



and finish up. Now I can do no more than to convey to you what those leaders convey to me. So we'll...I...I wish we could do better than that too, Mr. Granata. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "And Now, Mr. Speaker, with reference to a list that has been distributed to all of the members ah..containing bills that were previously tabled. Senate bills some of which were on third reading and some of which ah...were in committee, and some of which were on second reading. All of those bills were tabled, now this list has been prepared by the Speaker and the minority leader and it contains a number of bills to which I would add, Senate Bill 1436 and Senate Bill 1590 to the Senate Bills second reading. Now I don't intend to read these numbers off because they're all on your desks, but I now move, Mr. Speaker, that those bills, the Senate bills that are on that list ah...be taken from the Speaker's table and returned to the order of third reading and all of the others on that list that are not so identified as ah...being returned to third reading, be returned to second reading. And ah...I would also advise the membership that any of you that have Senate bills that are not on this list, if you will simply ask for recognition, and make your motion with regard to those bills, that motion will be entertained. So I now move, with reference to the bills on that list adding there too Senate Bills 1436 and 1590 that they now be taken from the Speaker's table and ah...returned to the order of third reading as indicated on the list, and second reading as indicated on the list."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "1590?"

Henry J. Hyde: "1590. Senate Bill 1590, that's on the order of second reading."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, are there questions with regard to this matter? The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall."

Harber H. Hall: "At this time Mr. Speaker, I would reiterate my request to have Senate Bill 1524 and 1525 included on this list and repeat that these are Revenue Bills and were in Revenue Committee at the time they were tabled."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, as the majority leader indicated, ah...just as soon as we get this list taken care of I'll entertain that motion to have those be brought, you know, to....."

Harber H. Hall: "Cannot these two bills be included at this point, Mr. Speaker?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, Our problem is that this is an agreed list ah...as between the minority leader and myself, that we wanted to have the motion made on. I'm going to recognize anybody else that has Senate Bills that are not included on that list ah...for purposes of moving to take their bills from the table. Alright, Mr. Mann, what do you have?"

Robert E. Mann: "Mr. Speaker, ah...I wonder what happened to House Bills that were tabled in the Senate. I think that this is a relevent ah...inquiry to be made at this time? "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah...gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "The only thing...the only thing I can answer

for, that is concerned, Mr. Speaker, is that I have requested

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



and I have sent a list of bills to the Senate, I have received notification that some of them have been agreed upon. However, I do not like to inform the gentleman, that is of this date, the one I'm sure he is particularly interested in on. I have not received a notification and it's been agreed to be readmitted."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from ah...cook, Mr. Mann."

Robert E. Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah..I ah...you know, think there are two bodies in this Assembly, sometimes I wonder, but ah...I have the general impression that by statute there are two bodies in this Assembly and it would seem to me that ah...if we are ah....being generous here and exceeding to their requests of Senate Sponsors, that they're ought to be some reciprocation. And ah...I.I...would just wonder if ah...if this might not be held ah...until we can get some kind of defensive answer on the request of House members whose bills were just arbitrarily ah...tabled? It would also give us the evening to look the list over, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Clyde L. Choate: "Well, certainly Mr. Speaker, I...I wouldn't ah...disagree with the gentleman's request, and I certainly do agree with some of the remarks he made as far as the two bodies are concerned. And ah...if the membership would like to look the list over that we have prepared, I would be agreeable to letting them stay over night. If it's agreeable with the Speaker and the members of the House, and let the membership look these bills over prior to the majority leaders motion in the morning."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah...gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker ladies and gentlemen of the House to avoid our being here on into the wee small hours this evening, I will withdraw my motion and suggest that it be taken up as the first order of business tomorrow, if that's exceptable?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, ah...this appears to be in order. Very good. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Berman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Arthur L. Berman: "Ah...to wave the posting rule regarding a resolution that's been assigned to executive, I've checked with the Chairman and the majority leader ah..and I would ask....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, is there objection to have.... do you want to tell us what the....."

Arthur L. Berman: "House Resolution 739."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, House Resolution...HR? Alright, is there leave to have that heard tomorrow. Alright, it will be heard in executive. Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Lindberg."

George W. Lindberg: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I've just been advised that I was the Sponsor of Senate Bill 13, which was tabled on ^June 6th 1972, which simply implements the ah...18 year old requirement...ah...voting requirement of the constitution of the state of Illinois and the United State and therefor, I would like to ask that that bill be taken from the table so it might be considered on the order of second reading.

I'll withdraw." GENERAL ASSEMBLY



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Thank you. The gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham. We'll be back to you tomorrow on that ah... as was indicated by the majority leader."

R.D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, would it be possible...a parliamentary inquiry. Would it be proper to move at this time, that Senate Bill 1462, which was inadvertently omitted from the agreed list of the returned to the calendar, be added to the list that has been sent around."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now, that's just what we've been going through here, we said we would take this up at the first order of business tomorrow."

R. D. Cunningham: "I understood that, but I wanted to inquire if we could add this?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I understand. Alright, the gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Eugene L. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, to raise a question. If we requested bills, or if bills which do not appear on this agreed list ah...might it be possible, or what would be the best way for those of us who requested bills that were not included, to find out why they weren't included and to deal with that particular issue maybe before we got involved in it tomorrow?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah..well, check with,....on our side, with ah...Mr. Edgar, and he worked with the staff people on Mr. Choate's side ah...and as we indicated ah...really, we are going to be recognizing members who are House Sponsors of Senate Bills that are not on this so called agreed list,

so that they may have the opportunity to make a motion, themselves

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



to take them from the table. And it would be anticipated that that would either go up or down on its own merits, that's all. Gentleman from cook, Mr. Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Edward E. Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, and members of the House, I think we'd be remissive if we left today without ah...you know, a good feeling in our hearts. Its my understanding that today is our colleagues birthday, Phill Collins, I think we ought to leave with a little love in our hearts by singing happy birthday to Phil."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, ah...feel in good....wait a minute, the gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde's got a..."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, for many years now we've listened to Mr. Tipsword, and I think he has a superb Tenor voice, but we on the Republican side have a singer of note, Representative Elwood Graham, and I think it might be appropriate if he led us in happy birthday to our colleague, Phil Collins."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, where are we? Are we having a duet, or what? Alright, Mr. Graham's going to do the honor, Mr. Tipsword, would you yield from your normal.....Alright, Mr. Graham."

Elwood, Graham: "Happy Birthday to you. Happy Birthday to you. Happy Birthday Phil Collins.....Happy Birthday to you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from cook, Mr. Phil Collins."

P. W. Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to thank you and all the members of the House, and especially my good friend Elwood

Graham. I don't think that that song has ever been given a

GENERAL ASSEMBLY



more beautiful treatment, and to Representative Bluthardt, to suggest that I can instill a love in the hearts of some. I feel I have taken on a new dimension, and I do thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah...gentleman from cook, Mr. Hyde."

Henry J. Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, I now move this House stand in recess ah...until the hour of 10:30 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright, all those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. We'll be in adjournment now until 10:30 tomorrow."

ADJOURNMENT AT 6:39 P.M. O'CLOCK

6/22/72

mdw

