

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRED FORTY-SECOND LEGISLATIVE DAY

MAY 25, 1972

11:00 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR,

SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A roll call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

- Representative John B. Brandt - illness;
- Representative James Y. Carter - illness;
- Representative Bruce L. Douglas - illness;
- Representative John P. Downes - illness;
- Representative J. Horace Gardner - death;
- Representative Thomas R. Houde - no reason given;
- Representative Lillian Karmazyn - no reason given;
- Representative Harold A. Katz - no reason given;
- Representative Henry J. Klosak - illness;
- Representative Robert F. Mann - no reason given;
- Representative Walter McAvoy - no reason given;
- Representative Michael H. McDermott - illness;
- Representative Robert F. McPartlin - no reason given;
- Representative Gale Schisler - death in the family;
- Representative Edward J. Shaw - death;
- Representative Isaac R. Sims - no reason given;
- Representative James C. Taylor - no reason given;
- Representative Michael F. Zletnik - no reason given;



Doorkeeper: "All those person who are not entitled to the floor, please retire to the Gallery. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The House will be in order. The invocation this morning will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. John Johnson: "Lord God of our fathers, lest we forget that you open your hand to satisfy the desires of your people, and that we are sustained each day solely by your compassion, let us begin this session by remembering with thanksgiving the bounty you have bestowed upon our State. We thank you, O God, for the endowments of nature, the riches of the deposits of coal and ore, the goodness of our soil, the cattle on a thousand hills; our fruitful plains, our abundant pastures, our industries, schools, and libraries; our arts, our sciences, and all our labors. You have richly blessed us with the fruits of the earth. Grant now that we are mindful enough to repeat the words of the Psalmist: 'O give thanks to the Lord, for he is good; and his mercy endures forever'. Amen."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah.. may the record show, Mr. Speaker, that Representatives Brandt, J. Y. Carter and Downes are absent because of illness?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Journal will so show. For what purpose does the gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig, rise?"

Craig: "Will the records please show that Mr.. Representative Gale Schisler is absent due to a death in his family?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 62, adopted by the Senate, May 24, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 1353. Passed by the Senate, May 24, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Committee Reports."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Mr. Randolph, from the Committee on Revenue, to which House Bills 4384, 4621, 4628, 4640, 4649, 4670, 4671, 4672, 4673 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Regner, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 4084, 4252, 4260, 4420, 4505, 4522, 4614, 4617 were referred, reported the same back with the Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and that the Bills, as amended, do pass. Mr. Regner, from the Committee on Appropriations, to which House Bills 4094, 4310, 4457, 4488, 4499, 4615, 4616, 4653 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. No further



Committee Reports."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Senate Bills Third Reading. Senate Bill 1358."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1358, an Act making supplemental appropriations for certain distributive expenditures of the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Genoa Washington."

G. Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1358 makes a supplemental appropriation of \$129,000,000 to the Department of Public Aid to cover expenses of income maintenance and medical costs for the poor of Illinois. By May 31, the Department's matched appropriation will be entirely exhausted ? ? ? have been used to sustain the services to the present time. ? ? ? have exceeded projections on which the fiscal year, 1972 Record was based. The grant programs to the aged, dying and disabled to families with children and in general assistance. These subsequent increases in recipient cases have brought about a deficiency in monies appropriated for Medical Assistance which accounts for more than fifty percent of the requested deficiency appropriations. The deficiency amounts by programs are aged, blind and disabled, \$15,400,000, aid to families with dependent children, \$18,000, general assistance, \$21,900,000, medical assistance, \$75,700,000, making a total of \$129,000,000. The passage of this Bill is critical to meet the needs of the most vulnerable of our



State. The Bill becomes effective upon passage. I urge my colleagues to vote 'aye' for the passage of this ah.. Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further discussion? The question... The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He ah.. indicates that he will."

Shea: "Genoa, as I read the Bill, it has \$75,700,000 in for general.. ah.. for medical assistance under Article V of the 'Public Aid Code'. Is that correct?"

G. Washington: "It is."

Shea: "Now, can you tell me, at the time that we passed the original appropriation Bill, was there a line item vetoed by the Governor in this category reducing the amount in the original appropriation?"

G. Washington: "I believe that that is true."

Shea: "Do you know how much the Governor originally cut out of this portion of the program?"

G. Washington: "I don't have the figures, Representative Shea. But, I believe that something was cut out."

Shea: "So, in other words, the Governor cut an area in the medical assistance program and now finds himself in a position where he's got to put it back in. Is that about where we're at?"

G. Washington: "I believe that, at one time, it was hoped that it would be possible to reduce the amount of the appropriation. And, actually, the amount has been reduced in some



categories. The medical assistance program is one of those in which it was believed that it could be reduced. But, circumstances over which none of us had any control has prevented it from being reduced any more than the \$75,700,000 in the Bill."

Shea: "Now, with regards to the ah.. \$21,900,000 in the general assistance area, at one time, Director Weaver transferred from this category to a category... another one of the categorical programs some \$20,000,000. Do you know if that transfer is still in effect or did he transfer that money back into the general assistance program?"

G. Washington: "Ah.. I believe that it was transferred back."

Shea: "Well, could you tell me why would he and the Governor make an administrative decision to transfer the money out? Why did they transfer it back? Did they find that they didn't have enough money in the account to pay the obligations?"

G. Washington: "I am not informed as to that point, ah.. Representative Shea. And, I, with all due respect to you, I would suggest that his excellency would be the best source ah.. of the answer to that question."

Shea: "Thank you, Representative."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

R. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we are honored today to have the students from the West Salem and Bone Gap Grade Schools in the East and Rear Balcony. I hope



they'll stand up. Grover Burkitt is Principal. Welcome."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from ah.. McHenry,
Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "He indicates that he will."

Hanahan: "Representative, at this late date, June... almost
June, we've got one month left. How much money is owed right
now at of this date in the deficiency on ah.. public aid?"

G. Washington: "Ah.. I am not informed as to any that is
presently owed. But, it is necessary to pass this supple-
mental appropriation Bill in order to prevent the condition
of which you're speaking to come to pass."

Hanahan: "Yeah.. Could you.. Could you... Could you, please,
Sir... What I'm curious about is with one month left before
the July 1st cut off."

G. Washington: "I can't understand you."

Hanahan: "What I'm... What I'm curious about is with one
month left before the cut off of July 1st, how do we know
what is going to be owed in the ah.. out of the appropria-
tion? In other words, why did we not take and.. and amend
the regular appropriation Bill to include this amount of
money and expend it after July 1st if we don't owe anything
as of June 1st?"

G. Washington: "I ah.. understand that the money is necessary
now in order to pay these supplemental grants. I believe
the ah.. synopsis of the ah.. of the Bill indicates ah..
that. It says, 'makes a supplemental appropriation to the



Department of Public Aid for certain distributive expenditures to complete the fiscal year ending June 30th'. So, it is for the purpose of completing the expenditures for the fiscal year that this appropriation is being asked."

Hanahan: "Well, I.. I know it's not your fault, Sir, you know, as a House Sponsor of a Senate Bill, to have those answers at your fingertips. But, it seems very odd that, you know, we continue to increase this appropriation to Public Aid and we continually need the increases. I'm not saying that it's not necessary, but it's the way we're going about it. Instead of somebody being truthful, factual and coming in and saying, 'this amount of money is needed today in the budget for the next fiscal year'. I wonder if this amount is going to be included in the anticipation of next year. And, as long as we're at June 1st, why we just didn't include it in the fiscal year of '73's budget so that we could expend it that way instead of a special Bill?"

G. Washington: "I believe that ah.. there are many views of the best method of ah.. handling this. It appears that those who are responsible presently for its handling have chosen this method. And, I believe, that I'm in a position to assure you that for next year these items will be taken into consideration and will reflect this situation that exists now that causes us to come and ask for a supplemental appropriation."

Hanahan: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representa-



tive Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, could we have some order in the House? We're talking about \$129,000,000 of supplemental appropriation on Public Welfare that is of concern to every constituent that any of us has in this House. I can hardly hear them and, I think, this is a matter of great importance."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Livingston, Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to speak to the Bill. One year ago, I voted against the \$61,000,000 deficiency appropriation that was before this House. I stated at that time, in 1972, in all probability, it would be at least a hundred million. I was wrong. Today, they're asking for \$29... \$129,000,000. Next year, I'll predict they'll need \$200,000,000 unless firm steps are taken and some welfare reforms enacted. Now, I know that I've been accused of being a hard thick-skin Legislator who has no sympathy for the poor. But, I emphatically deny this. I am not opposed to aid for the sick, the disabled, the blind and the elderly. I am opposed to aid for the greedy, not the needy. I did a little investigating in my home town last winter and I'm going to relate what I found. I'm sure that if any of you will take the time to investigate that you'll find the same holds true in your respective community and we will never whip the public aid problems as long as these practices exist. Now, listen real closely. My Wife, going through the food line in the food market,



saw a couple with a cart full of groceries at the checkout counter. They had two pounds of gainesburger dog food for which they had to pay cash for. And, these sell for 97 cents in our town. So, that's \$2.04. Now, I know that they used food stamps to pay for their groceries but they had to pay cash for the gainesburger. The clerk told my wife that the day before a little boy came in with a note from his mother wanting a can of this and some cans of that and also four cheap ribeye steaks. Now, this was paid for with food stamps. And, the clerk told my Wife, 'I'm working and we can't afford cheap ribeye steaks like that'. And, I told this story to the garage man who put my snow tires on about a week before Christmas. He said that his wife was going through the checkout line and she saw a man with dog food. He was told that he had to pay for that in cash. So, he took it back to the food.. or to the counter where he got it.. to the shelf and he came back with a small roast for his dog paid for by food stamps. Now, I know Illinois Public Aid doesn't pay for food stamps but they come from the Federal Government and they're still taxpayers money. Another man told me that he saw a lady go out with some groceries that she had paid for in the same way and saw her get into a brand-new 1972 Chevrolet. A teller at a bank told me about a lady who came in to purchase food stamps and then went down several windows and deposited \$2,000 in her savings account. At the Homebuilder's Association Breakfast recently, which many of you attended, a builder



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

from my District informed me that he was building a home for a lady who is on Illinois Public Aid. I said, 'My goodness. How do you get your money?'. He said, 'Illinois Public Aid pays part of a monthly payment and HUD from the Federal Government pays the other part'. Now, Gentlemen and Ladies, this is the last straw when public aid recipients can build new homes by the sweat of people who labor for a living. We will never solve the public aid problem with this kind of a program that we presently have. Abraham Lincoln said over a hundred years ago, 'We can not build up the poor by tearing down the rich'. For these reasons, I'm going to have to vote 'no' again on this deficiency appropriation."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and Fellow Members of the House, I don't want to repeat some of the observations of Representative Hunsicker. I, too, feel that we owe the old, the blind, the poor that ah.. whose husbands have left or died or ah.. or with children, ah.. help. But, I want to repeat that I have many stories similar to those of Mr. Hunsicker. Hardly ah.. month goes by that a woman in my community does not call me and say that, 'Today.. Today or now, I have just left the supermarket where I saw the woman ahead of me purchasing and paying with food stamps food that I couldn't afford for my family. My husband works in Caterpillar or ah.. in Staleys or in the Mueller



Company. I cannot afford it. When these things are going on, I think it's time we vote 'no' upon these appropriations that are being handed out wholesale. I'm going to predict that within one year, based on the percentage.. the projected percentage of increase in welfare costs, it's going to be one billion five hundred million in the year 1973, 1,500,000,000. I want to take up a little bit about.. ah.. mention something that ah.. about HUD and welfare and ah.. welfare stance. In our Area, they are actually buying and selling welfare stamps. You can see right outside of where to go to get them, at the Brentwood and the ah.. Fairview Plaza Area. They ah.. ah.. In relation to the ah.. HUD and welfare in our town, they have just... they have housing authorities who just authorized the building of a hundred and eighty-five houses in scattered sites throughout the community. These houses are brick veneer. They are going to be better than the houses next door that the men and the women and children live in that are producing and paying for this tax load. They're going to be better! Here will be a man... Here will be a man working hard in one of our factories, paying for his own home, paying for a six or seven percent interest and next door to him will be a family moved in, paying maybe three percent interest and have a better house, paid for through our welfare and our Federal Government through HUD. Well, I don't want to keep on on this because, as I said, the story is so incredible, so tremendous in its various facets. No man could cover it

all. But, it's something that we've got to meet and.. and stop. So, I say that we should vote 'no' to show that we are displeased with this hundred and thirty-five millions of dollars in addition because it's going to be twice that much a year from now and just in deficiencies."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, before I get into the merits of the Bill and discussion and ask the Sponsor some questions, I would also like to have an item of personal privilege to introduce a class of Burnham School who is up.. up in the Balcony. Mr. ah.. Paul Conolly and Mrs. Mary Stahlmäck and a few others adults there who are representing a wonderful class of school, ah.. Burnham School, which is a District of Mr. Collin's, Mr. Lenard and Myself, from Burnham, Illinois. It's a suburb of the City of Chicago. Would they please rise? Let's give them a big hand. Mr. Speaker..."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Maragos, would you proceed, please?"

Maragos: "Yes, now, I'd like to, if I may, Mr. ah.. Speaker and Members of the House, as the Sponsor of this Bill some questions regarding this ah.. deficiency appropriation."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Maragos: "Representative Washington, could you please ah..., unfortunately I was not here at the time you explained the purpose of this Bill. And, therefore, I would like to get



some further explanation, if I may, as to why were these deficiencies brought about ah.. and why do we need this particular additional expenditure to be made on their behalf? Would you please explain?"

G. Washington: "I believe ah.. Representative Maragos, that the expenditures that are required by the Law that we passed in the General Assembly are more than the money that has been appropriated for those same purposes. In order to keep the integrity of the State that we're asking for this deficiency."

Maragos: "But, isn't it true also, Mr. Washington, that there's been an added ah.. that more people have been put on the rosters because of the bad economic conditions that were not anticipated at the time that the original budget was set up?"

G. Washington: "That may be one of the elements that entered into the increase in the number of applicants for public assistance. That could well be."

Maragos: "Does this supplemental appropriation cover all phases of the welfare program?"

G. Washington: All that is known to the Department at this time."

Maragos: "Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Peter Miller."

P. Miller: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it isn't too often that I rise to oppose Members of the Admini-



stra.... ah.. Appropriation Team supported by the Administration or supported by my Colleague. However, I think, that I would be remiss in my duty to the people of the Eighteenth District who pay these heavy burdens of taxation and for people, as I term, the taxeers. The taxpayers are sick and tired of deficiencies. They want the people to get back on the.. the paying of the taxes and get back on the work program. And, if you keep adding to deficiencies, you're creating a monstrosity that's going to ultimately cause a tax revolt in this State. On the Wally Philip's Show, just the other day, I heard a lady call Wally Philip and say that she was in a supermarket and in front of her was a woman with food stamps. She followed this lady out into the parking lot after she had purchased the food, saw her get into a huge cadillac and it had a license plate from Pennsylvania. Now, that's like adding insult to injury, pouring salt into the wounds of this taxpayer. And, Wally Philips is going to investigate this, I understand. Now, if we do not begin to curtail these deficiencies and place these people back on work program so that they can get into the habit of paying taxes and lay off the backs of the people in my District and other Districts who have sympathy, who are concerned that we have to take care of education. We have to take care of the handicapped, the mental institutions, the highways. And, if we're going to continuously increase deficiencies for the people who are on our backs, you're going to kill the goose that laid the golden egg.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

And, all I can say is, that I've said repeatedly here, that this is getting to be a colossal joke. We must... We must, at some point, oppose deficiencies. And, I'm asking my Colleagues to go back. We've created human relations offices. We've created so many things here for the ones who are suppose to be the unfortunate, who can't find work in the highest period of prosperity in our Nation. We're having increase upon increase for welfare. Can't these people get on the work programs. I voted for education. I even voted for Malcom X College because I felt you got to either educate these people or else you'll feed them. Now, I am going to oppose this deficiency because, I think, at some point, we must stand stiff in our facts and say 'no'. And, I think, if you send this back and ask them to ah.. and we.. as a warning for the future. Somewhere we must stop giving away increases upon increases and deficiencies upon deficiencies. And, I cannot, in all good conscience, support this deficiency. I'm going to oppose it when the vote comes up. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "I have a question or two of the Sponsor, please."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he will yield."

Barry: "Genoa, I sat with some people who provide health services to ah.. our medically infirmed people who ah.. used to be in our State Institutions. And, I don't know which of the categories that ah.. of the Bill before us, whether it's



the medical assistance under Article V or general assistance for medically indigent. Which one.. Which one of those categories would provide the monies ah.. to the ah.. long term care facilities ah.. to care for people that were formally in our State Institutions? Could you tell me?"

G. Washington: "I'm informed and believe and on that information believe and state that it is one of the medical assistance programs."

Barry: "Well, it's probably not too important. My point is, Genoa, ah.. do you know what is built into the appropriation Bill in the way of dollars per month to the long term care facilities? Now, I say that because the people I sat with last evening, I think, you know that a great many of them our in town, people that I sat with told me that they were getting \$200.00 per month to care for these people. And, of course, the reason for the switch ah.. several years ago was to ah.. get Federal monies for that purpose. But, they're getting a total of \$200.00 per month ah.. for the care of people that were transferring from the Institutions ah.. to the private homes. And, they say they can't stand it any longer. And, I.. I think you know that ah., in the past, we used to ah.. it used to cost us 4 or 5 dollars a day for the care of the same person in the Institutions. I think my question is, are we sure that we've got enough money here ah.. considering the fact that a lot of these ah.. long term care facilities are doing to be turning down transfers? There's no obligation involved for them to accept.. ah..



accept the transfers. And, I just wonder what kind of money per month we're going to allow these people in the future? And, what kind of shape we'll be in if they decide they don't want them?"

G. Washington: "Well, Representative Barry, the questions that you raised have already been anticipated within the Department and are presently under study. But, there are so many variables in the program making it impossible at this time to have specific answers. But, I can assure you, your concern is also the concern of the Department. It is under study by the experts. And, I'm sure that they will do their best endeavors to come up with an answer that would be satisfactory to all persons concerned."

Barry: "One.. One last question. What.. What amount is allotted in this budget per patient or per resident per month in a long term private facility that is transferred ah.. ah.. persons that are transferred...."

G. Washington: "I.. I'm sorry. I've lot you. Will you please start again?"

Barry: "Okay.. Alright.. How much per month is going to be allowed by this appropriation to a long term care facility for the care of a patient that formally was in an Institution?"

G. Washington: "I ah.. believe that that amount varies with these variables of which I've spoke. That is why it is impossible, at this time, to fix the amount."

Barry: "Well, can you give me a range? Is it \$200.00 to



\$400.00 a month or.. or ah.. just what? I understand from the people that I sat with at dinner last evening that they're getting only \$200.00 a month and they can't afford to stay in business. And, therefore, will be refusing such patients in the future. Can you tell me if there were any increases built into this?"

G. Washington: "I believe that as close as it is possible for me to come down to that, ah.. the figure that I would use, one that isn't necessary, just plucked out of the air, would be \$200.00 a month to begin with, subject, of course, to these variables of which I've spoke that could alter it from case to case."

Barry: "Thank you very much."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington, to close the debate.. Does someone seek recognition? The gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Yeah.., Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could ask one or two questions of the Sponsor?"

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Caldwell: "Ah.. Representative Washington, ah.. my concern is ah.. we're asking for \$135,000,000 supplemental part of this budget. And, I recall last November when ah.. all of the welfare program was about to close down. Ah.. I know that ah.. some transfers were made and ah.., eventually after several emergency meetings across the State, the welfare program continued. Well, could you tell me where that money



came from and ah.. if it has been ah.. replaced ah.. from where it was borrowed?"

G. Washington: "Ah.. I believe, Representative Caldwell, that there is interchange ability between the programs. In.. In the opening statement, I believe I stated ah.. that the Administration had utilized all of the transfer ability that was permitted under the present Law. But, notwithstanding that, we find ourselves at the point with this deficiency. But, the amount is no longer \$135,000,000. It was that. But, economies have been factious since the Bill was drawn. And, I believe, that we are asking now for \$6,000,000 less. And, it is constantly under review and as it can be reduced, it will be. But, these are minimum figures."

Caldwell: "Well, I think that our concern here in the Legislature is that with our experience in reference to public aid generally that ah.. Mr. Weaver and his Department should have, by this time, have sufficient experience to come in and ask for whatever is needed so that we could ah.. get it all in one lump sum rather than have these emergency situations, allow the Department or the Governor or somebody to be ah.. tapping ah.. ah.. various ah.. funds that were suppose to be untouchable. And then, we ah.., at this time of the year, we come in for deficiency appropriations. And then pretty soon, they'll be asking for the regular budget. What I'm suggesting is that does this present Bill spell out what in the.. in the view of the Department. Ah.. you have enough money. I'm not worried about Pete Miller and some



of these other people harping because ah.. the public aid budget is not ah.. black proposition. It's ah.. It's ah.. monkey on the back of the State as I've said for the last six years down here. And, what I'm suggesting is that we quit using the public aid budget for a whipping board in giving some of our friends on your side of the aisle the opportunity to get up here and.. and do a little political campaigning. Now, ah.. I ah.. don't want you to feel that I'm picking on you but, I think, you're being used over there to.. to come in here and asking for, you say, for a \$6,000, 000 budget and get a half a dozen guys up over there and.. and.. and make their campaign speeches and go back and get re-elected next November. So, my question...."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I think that this... the gentleman on the other side is entirely out of order. He's not talking about the merits of the Bill. And, I think, he should be reminded to confine his remarks to the Bill."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Would you please confine your remarks to the Bill, Representative Caldwell?"

Caldwell: "I'll be happy to, Mr..."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Thank you, Sir."

Caldwell: "I'll be happy to, Mr. Speaker. I think I've been down here now this is ah.. I'm in my sixth year. And, at least, every term, we arrive in the General Assembly at the point where we are now. And, I'm getting sick and tired of.."



of.. of some of our friends on the other side of the aisle, particularly, using the public aid and welfare budget to.. to launch their campaigns, particularly since the new Constitution provides that it's not going to be so easy. So, what I would like to see Representative Washington do is to have the Department of somebody.. of some of the specialists over there from the Governor's Office or somebody's office..."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Miller, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

P. Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I ah.. gather that he's referring to me. I ah.. I was the only one that made a talk against it so far. And, he's talking about campaign. I'm not a candidate for re-election. So, he's out of order when he says that I'm using this as a campaign issue. I think that he.. he should be admonished for referring to me."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Representative Caldwell, do you wish to proceed, Sir?"

Caldwell: "Sir, I stand to be corrected. I think that I did read in the paper a couple of days ago where the ah.. Representative Miller, who has served in both sides ah.. in both sides of this General Assembly, is going to make a very gracious gesture in order for us to retain the expertise of the ah.. Majority Leader. I want to commend him for that. And ah.., wonder what he's got up his sleeve now. Now, let's get back to the ah.. I simply want Representative Washington, Mr. Speaker, to ah.. maybe get some concrete figures. I think the Members of this General Assembly, when you come in



here and ask for a deficit, when we almost ah.. broke up the Assembly beginning last November and extend it over a period of several months where we had to.. those up in Chicago get up before day and had emergency meetings and there were all sorts of ah.. ah.. ah.. threats andsoforth. And, there were marches here to Springfield. And, I think, that we ought to cut this foolishness out of the public aid and welfare. And, I want to re-emphasize the fact that I said last Fall, and I repeat it. There are more poor white folks in Illinois than there are poor black folks. And, I think we ought to cut out this foolishness. Always, when the public aid budget comes up, somebody gets up and makes a speech and says that all of their blacks are lazy and.. and ah.. looking for a free ride. I think that we better get down to business and get on with the ah.. ah.. business that the people elected us for and stop this foolishness."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Graham. Did you seek recognition, Representative Graham?"

Graham: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question, please."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the gentleman's motion prevails. Representative Washington is recognized to close the debate."

G. Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it isn't a pleasant thing to recognize the fact that within our State that there are persons who need the passage



of this deficiency Bill. The passage of this Bill is critical even to life and death of many of our citizens. And, I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The question is, 'shall Senate Bill 1358 pass?'. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, in relation to ah.. explaining my vote, I would like to point out that approx... a little over 40,000 people came here to this State in 1971 to get on our welfare rolls. I predict that approximately 50,000, because the word is spreading throughout the South particularly, ah.. will come into this year of 1972 to the State of Illinois to get on our welfare rolls. Now, they say some leave. The records show that that is true, but the records show that we still have this predominance of vote.. of people coming in. Now, I have to bring out a few figures and I am sorry that I have to do this. Because of what was said is one of the reasons I'm voting. Based on projection, a present increase in the City of Chicago, there will be fifty.. over fifty percent black by 1981 or thereabouts. On the present situation, eighty-two and a half percent of them will be on welfare. I think that the people of Chicago should take a good look at themselves in what's going to happen if this continues as it is now in the future. In relation to Institutions, I would like to point out that thousands have been taken out of the Institutions and put into the communities



and are being paid for as has been stated already on the floor. I would like also to point out that not one cent has been reduced from the budget of the Institutions in which these people have been taken out of and put on the welfare rolls. The Institutions, like Jacksonville and others are getting just as much money and more than before. There's been no transfer of funds from these Institutions to the welfare department to help make up for these thousands and thousands of people that welfare has put on, namely in the State of Illinois. And, this is Law. And, I think, we should go all out and vote 'no' certainly til the end.. towards the end of the.. of the Session to show our displeasure."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, there are 44 'Ayes'... Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "Representative Davis asked for recognition."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "Did you want recognition, Sir? Did you want recognition, Representative Shea?"

Shea: "I yield to Representative Davis."

Rep. Arthur A. Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I hold in my hand this book. I've heard a lot of these talks this morning. But, if you'd take a little time to read it, it says, 'The Holy Bible' and this Nation was founded on it. No question about it. And, the survival of it rests right in it. This Book says, despite all of the



arguments you've heard here this morning, 'The poor you will have with you always'. From every State, the Holy Bible. And, I'm sure you subscribe to the Judea Christian Principle that this Nation was founded upon. From every State, from every town, from every village, 'The poor you will have with you always'. We're pouring millions, and there's no question about it, millions, millions, to try to make people of foreign Nations love us. I know.. I don't know whether we'll ever be able to make them love us. But, in all admiration, charity begins at home. All of these texts remind me of a story that they tell about a businessman. A businessman rushed into a Hotel Manager, and he had a big bouquet of flowers in his hand, and he said in a sympathetic tone, 'These flowers are for the switchboard operator'. The Manager of the Hotel looked up at him and said, 'I'm very pleased. Thank you, Sir. I'm sure she'll appreciate the compliment on her fine service, you bringing her these flowers'. The businessman roared and said, 'Listen, I'm not talking about any flowers. I thought she was dead'. I thought that this argument that has been raised here this morning was dead. We have a Public Aid Advisory Committee. And, we haven't had a Special Session called in this Legislature. And, it cost a lot of money for Special Sessions.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One.. One moment, please. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde, rise?"

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, we have ah.. a lot of matters on the Calendar. The gentleman's two minutes are up and I would suggest



that he ah.. terminate his remarks."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes.. You're.. You're ah.. Your point is well taken and your two minutes are up. On this question, there are 44 'Ayes' and 26 'Nays' and this Bill having failed to receive a Constitutional majority is hereby declared lost. House Bills Third Reading. House Bill 1569."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 1569, an Act to license regulate Collection Agencies and provide for the Administration in an Act to create an Advisory Board to provide for penalties and make an appropriation in connection therewith. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from Johnson, ah.. Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Oh! I didn't know that I was on. The fact is that I'm not sure that I am on. Mr. Speaker, I've been up here a long time. You know, there's times when you should do something and times when you shouldn't and I don't know whether this is the time that you should or shouldn't. But, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill.. I've worked on this Bill now since last... Oh! I don't know when it was introduced, last April, I believe, a year ago. It's been a year. And, we've worked hard with.. in the Committee and we've worked with the ah.. Credit Collection Agencies Organizations themselves. And, we have ah.. worked with the Department of Financial Institutions in working out this program. And, I know of no.. no place in the State of Illinois where there needs to be regulations for the creditable



ah.. operation of these Agencies in any other field that's needed as bad as this. And, if you don't believe it, if you'll go out into the Areas in Illinois where you have unreliable credit collection agencies operating and see the way and the methods that they use to make the people come to their knees and.. and to cause the hardships, I think you would agree. What this Bill does, it set up the ah.. the licensing regulation of the Agency. It appoints a Committee or a Board to supervise this under the.. the ah.. the Department of Financial Institutions. And, I ah.. would appreciate very much the passage of this Bill, if it could be done today."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from ah.. Franklin, Mr. Hart, is recognized on the Bill."

Hart: "That's the purpose for which I got up. Ah.. due to the fact that there's so much noise in here, I missed about the last half of the explanation. I wonder if Mr. McCormick could repeat it?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The ah.."

Hart: "And, then I would like to ask some questions."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman ah.. from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Now, first of all, Dick, in setting this up, we first set it up for a Board of five people. And, then, in.. in meeting with some of the objections, I think of ah.. some of the people that Representative Collins is close to and maybe Representative Kipley over here. It was determined



that we needed to amend the Bill to make it six and.. and include a Member on there of the people that actually print these ah.. ah.. some of the forms that they use in the collection business. Then, in addition to that, ah.. we passed an Amendment that was requested by ah.. Representative Shea and.. and eliminating the Home Rule Units of Government in Illinois. Now, the thing that I say is real important, and it's very unusual for a group of people to come into the General Assembly and ask to be regulated. But, what happens is that in Illinois, you or I today can go out and set us up a Collection Agency or.. or ah.. and.. and we can go to collecting bills. We can use twist arm methods. We can do anyway we want to. We can threaten people ah.. with any means that we want to do. Now, this doesn't have anything to do with the legal aspects as far as what the Attorneys have, if you read the Bill. And ah.., I.. I just think that it'll clean that particular ah.. business up in Illinois and make it a legitimate business all the way along."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, on the Bill."

Hart: "Ah.. Well, C. L., as I understand it, Amendment No. 2 exempted Home Rule ah.. Units. Who's going to license ah.. Home Rule..., you know.. you know..., Home Rule Units? Who's going to license these people?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Of course, if I could of had exactly what I wanted, I wouldn't had that ah.. particular thing in the Bill. You



know that. The only thing that I'm thinking is that if we set this up, and I.. I thought I had it here, there's not very many Home Rule Units in Illinois that are going to participate in this anyway. And, I think, if we could pass it like this, if we have to do it this way to pass it and get it set up, I believe, sincerely that the rest of the State that either the Local Units or Home Rule Units will follow the pattern or maybe next Session, we'll come back in and there will be enough feeling that the Home Rule Units will want to give up that particular Section of the Law."

Hart: "Well, I... Now, You.. You accepted the Amendment on the Home Rule Units?"

McCormick: "I didn't hear you."

Hart: "I say did you accept the Amendment on the Home Rule Units?"

McCormick: "I accepted the Amendment because ah.. I felt that I would have that to do."

Hart: "I don't remember any ah.. debate about it on the floor."

McCormick: "Well, it was on the floor or it couldn't be announced..."

Hart: "Well, I know. But, I mean, if you'd of asked for some help on it, you might of got some because well, ah.. I will ah.."

McCormick: "Maybe I failed on that particular thing."

Hart: "Now ah.., secondly."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, one moment, please. Ah.. Are you.. Well.. Just.. Just a minute.. Just a moment now. Are you



seeking recognition? Alright.. Just.. Just.. Just.. Now, just a moment.. Just.. Just.. Just a moment. Just a moment. There is a two minute ah.. explanation of vote. And, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde, raised the question and the time clock showed that your two minutes had been used up. And, I announced the vote. Now, there is a procedure on the Rules whereby a person can ah.. have his vote recorded and ah.., if you care to proceed with that, why we will entertain you at that time. Now, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know if this is ah.. Scene II of Act I of the charade that we're seeing here this morning. But, I do know that the Rules ought to apply to everybody. I don't care how senior they are. I don't care what District they represent. They get two minutes to explain their vote, not twenty-two minutes and not twelve minutes. And, the Rules ought to apply equally to everyone. And, that's.. And, that is what.. That is what your Ruling is. And, I.. I would like to further point out that that Bill was defeated because the Democrats did not vote, not the Republicans."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick, ah.. proceed."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, ah.. may I ask that you take my Bill out of the record?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Alright.. The gentleman.. Does the gentleman have leave? Alright.. We'll.. We'll take that out of the record. Now, for what purpose does the



gentleman from ah.. ah.. Cook, Mr. ah.. Shea, rise?"

Shea: "Might I have an opportunity to answer the Majority Leader?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Ah.. it's a little unusual, but go ahead."

Shea: "It's a little unusual, Mr. Speaker, when a man is up explaining his vote and getting ready to vote that he's not recognized nor asked how he's voted."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now, I've already explained that very clearly. There was a point of order raised by the Majority Leader that that gentleman's time had run out on the explanation of vote. The 'red light' above me showed that. And, just as the Majority Leader said, the Rules apply uniformly here. And, the vote had been taken and I announced it."

Shea: "But, Mr.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now,..."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 3608."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3608, a Bill for an Act to implement and regulate lottery within the State. Second Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman is not in his seat. Take it out of the record. Oh! Take it back. He's back. Put it back in."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. three Committee Amendments. Amendment No. 1, amend House Bill 3608 on page 1, line 2, by in-



serting immediately before the period the following: 'and to make an appropriation in connection therewith'; and so forth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Committee Amendment No. 1. The gentleman from ah.. Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Committee Amendment No. 1 was suggested by the Executive Committee and it was Committee Amendment No. 2 which was suggested by the Appropriations Committee. But, I'd like to move that both of those Amendments be adopted and then tabled because I have Committee.. I have Amendment No. 3 which will clean up those first two and get rid of the first two. So, I move that Committee Amendment No. 1 and Committee Amendment No. 2 be adopted and then tabled."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, is recognized on the Bill."

Hart: "Ah.. Zake, ah.. before you do that, I think there's a serious question now that's going to arise about Section VII, Article ah.. ah.. of the Constitution about appropriating and ah.. legislating ah.. substantively in the same Bill. And ah.., I wonder if you want to give some thought, you know, I'm interested in this Bill too, ah.. in favor of it. I wonder if you want to give some thought to, perhaps, leaving the appropriation off of this Bill and introducing a separate Bill. Perhaps ah.., getting leave to advance it without reference ah.. to have a companion appropriation Bill to this lottery Bill and ah.. ah.. avoid any possible ah.."



complications that we might run into, ah.. assuming this Bill got pass the Governor's desk. I think that this is ah.. a ah.. a very real question and I'd hate to see this matter go up or down constitutionally on that particular thing when it can be easily solved."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'm very well familiar with the ah.. thoughts injected by Representative Hart. I've listened to quite a number of these Bills in the Committee on appropriation and I feel that ah.. he has a good very valid point. But, I feel that if my Bill is allowed to get out of the House eventually that I could take care of that little problem in the Senate and then solve the whole thing on concurring Committees so that ah.. I don't think that the Bill would be in jeopardy that way. I'd like to see... I'd like to renew my motion to ah.. table Amendment No. 1 and 2, Committee Amendments, and move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3, please."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Any further discussion? Alright.. The gentleman has offered... The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Simmons: "I ah.. I would like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Giorgi: "Certainly."

Simmons: "In what way does Amendment No. 1 that you tabled differ from Amendment No. 3 that you seek to adopt?"

Giorgi: "Well, there's quite a number of items, Art, in Amendment No. 1 that I'm ah.. The Amendment No. 1 didn't com-



pletely clean up the problem as suggested by the Executive Committee."

Simmons: "Well, I've read them both and there identical. The ones I have."

Giorgi: "Yes, but Art, I'm going to table Amendment No. 1 and 2. And, then you have a copy of Amendment No. 3 on your desk so that you can peruse it at your leisure."

Simmons: "I have... I have Amendment No. 3 on my desk, at least that what it says it is."

Giorgi: "You can't have Amendment No. 3 because it hasn't been adopted yet or.. or ah.."

Simmons: "What is this?"

Giorgi: "That's not the Amendment."

Simmons: "It says, 'Amendment No. 3'."

Giorgi: "To what Bill?"

Simmons: "3608."

Giorgi: "That's not my Amendment. You shouldn't have a copy of Amendment No. 3 in your hands, even though you are a privileged character."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "There are three Committee Amendments the Clerk says."

Giorgi: "No, Sir. Where did they get the third one."

Fredric B. Selcke: "'Offered in Appropriations by Representative Giorgi'."

Giorgi: "Read it, will ya? Read it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well,... Well, why don't we ah.."

Giorgi: "Read Amendment No. 3, would you, Clerk?"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait.. Wait a minute.. Now, wait a minute. Let's do it one at a time. Is there.. Is there ah.. The question before us is on Committee Amendment No. 1 and 2. And, I understand that you want to offer and move to table those. Now, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons, is raising questions concerning Amendments 1 and 2?"

Simmons: "I asked him why he wanted to table No. 1 when it's identical to No. 3 that I have a copy of on my desk?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Ah.. Amendment No... Committee Amendment No. 1 is identical with Committee Amendment No. 3. Committee Amendment No. 1 was ah.. ah.. adopted in ah.. Executive and was offered by Garmisa. And, Amendment No. 3 was offered by the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi in Appropriations and it was adopted. So, 1.. 1 and 3 are.. are the same, identical. Now ah.., the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons, did you have any further questions concerning..."

Simmons: "Well, I would think that if he wants to table No. 1 and 2, he also ought to table No. 3 and go with a No. 4."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Ah.. ah.. Does the ah.. gentleman want to ah.. do what he suggested?"

Giorgi: "Exactly.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The question is then ah.. the gentleman from Winnebago ah.., Mr. Giorgi, ah.. moves ah.. the adoption of Committee Amendment 1, 2 and 3 and then asks leave to have those three Amendments tabled. Does he have leave? Alright.. Ah.. those Amendments are tabled."



Now, are there further Amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 4, Giorgi, amend House Bill 3608 on page 1, line 2, by inserting immediately before the period the following: 'and making an appropriation in connection therewith'; and in line 10, by inserting immediately before the period the following: 'and for replacement', andsoforth."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I am informed that Amendment No. 3 is an exact duplicate of Amendment No. 1. So, there's nothing lost there. But, the Amendment No. 4 picks up Amendment No. 1 and 2 and it does what the Executive Committee and the Appropriations Committee wanted them to do. It cleans up the language and it has corrected some errors and it insures that the money will go from the lottery... ah.. the net income from the lottery will go into the Common School Fund and in the Fund to make up some of the tax loses suffered by the Local Governments in the Bills that are going to be forthcoming from ah.. Representative Nowlan, Representative Blades and the ah.. chances that were found Constitutional by the Supreme Court ah.. Monday morning. Now, Mr. Speaker, we have tabled 1, 2 and 3 and we're moving for the adoption of Amendment No. 4."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 4. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third



Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bill 1130."
Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1130, an Act to amend Sections
12-10 and so forth of the 'Illinois Public Aid Code'. Second
Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from ah.. Cook,
Mr. Moore."

Don A. Moore: "Well, wait.. Wait a minute.. Alright.. When
we were on this order ah.. the other day, we adopted 1 and
2 and.. and then held the Bill on second. For what purpose
does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I'm wondering if the Sponsor might hold
this for a few minutes. Representative Krause is off the
floor. And, on the First Amendment, ah.. I had some ques-
tions about it. Then, Director Weaver got ahold of me and
sat and talked to me for a few minutes. I don't know if he
saw you this morning. But, I'd like to talk to you about
our conversation with him."

Don A. Moore: "Well, Mr... Mr. Speaker, I ah.. have worked out
an Amendment with Representative Krause. Ah.. apparently,
he is not on the floor. I have no objection to holding it
until he returns because he does understand the Amendment
and is in favor of it. So, we'll hold it for a moment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Take.. Are you taking that out of the
record now. Okay.. Back to House Bills Second Reading.
4408."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 4408, a Bill for an Act to pro-
vide for the time and deposit of fees collected pursuant to



Law by any elected or appointed official or Local Government.
Second Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis, rise?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask you to grant me the privilege of calling a Democratic Caucus so that we can get together to see where we are in this thing."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well,..."

Davis: "Well, I'm just asking for it."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, I...."

Choate: "Are you asking me to call a Conference of the Democratic Members?"

Davis: "Yes, Sir. I'm asking for Conference."

Choate: "If the ah.."

Davis: "A Conference."

Choate: "If the Speaker would allot me a Room, ah.. I would be most happy to call a Conference of the Democratic Members. And, I would suggest that we will be no more than thirty minutes."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The ah.. I'm advised that Room M5 ah.. is available. And ah., pursuant to the Minority Leader's request, ah.. we will ah.. recess now until the hour of 1:00 P.M. for the purposes of a Democratic Conference."

Choate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask the Democratic Members to come to Room M5 immediately so that we might get back on the floor of the House."



RECESS AT 12:24 O'CLOCK P.M.

RETURN AT 1:00 O'CLOCK P.M.

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde.

The House will be in order."

Hyde: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. it gives me great pleasure to introduce, in the Gallery, the brand-new Counsel of Austria to ah.. the State of Illinois and to Chicago who is in our fair State ah.. very newly. And, this is his very first trip to Springfield and he's ah.. very impressed and I hope he stays that way. Mr. Edward Adeler, the Counsel of Austria."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Resolution 679, ah.. Capparelli, et.al."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have one.. only one Agreed Resolution. Ah.. and this one congratulates ah.. two Chicago Policemen, Joseph Ahrens and ah.. Benedict Wiecla.. Wi.. Wieclawek, is the best that I can do with that ah.. who recently captured a suspect.. two suspects in the murder of a young man ah.. in Chicago with excellent police work. And ah.., this congratulates them. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolution."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Agreed Resolution say 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes have it and the Agreed Resolution is adopted. Are there further Resolutions? Announcements? The gentleman from ah..



Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, an announcement of importance to ah.. everyone. Monday, ah.. will be a Holiday and ah.. on Tuesday of next week, the only activity will be ah.. the Hearing of the Monday,.. the regular Monday Committee. They will be heard on Tuesday instead, ah.. Tuesday Committees on Wednesday and Wednesday Committees on Thursday. So, the regular weekly Committees will be pushed ah.. forward or backward as you choose one day. But ah.., Monday Committees will be heard on Tuesday, Tuesday on Wednesday and Wednesday on Thursdays. Now, the first full Session next week will be at 9:30 A.M. on Tuesday morning. I'm sorry, Wednesday morning.. Wednesday morning at 9:30 A.M. will be the first full Session. Wednesday morning. Now ah.., another problem, we have a soft ball game ah.. that is scheduled for Tuesday night. However, since we are going in at 9:30 A.M. on Wednesday morning, ah.. I would hope that we would have enough people down here Tuesday night ah.. for the soft ball game."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes ah.., on the order of further Resolutions ah..."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Joint Resolution *136, Hyde. RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE SEVENTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that when the House adjourns on Thursday, May 25, 1972, it stand adjourned until Wednesday, May 31, 1972, at 9:30 A.M., and when the Senate adjourns on Thursday,



May 25, 1972, it stand adjourned until Tuesday, May 30, 1972 at 12:00 o'clock noon."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the Joint Resolution say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Adjournment Resolution is adopted. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Granata."

P. Granata: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I now want to make a motion if you'd take your copy of all Bills, I would now move that all House Bills and Resolutions, carried on the daily Calendar and within the control of the various Committees of the House be tabled pursuant to House Rules 32(c) and 32(d). I now move that they all be tabled."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Now, we'll have the Clerk proceed to read ah.. these numbers. You all should have these sheets before you. And, if there are any questions, ah.. as that list is read, I will recognize you for a point. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that there were some deletions or additions made to the list that was available to us last night."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Ah.. that's correct."

Shea: "Ah.. is there a supplement to that list?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Those.. Those.. Those.. Up.. The up-to-date lists has been given to the Staff on your side. Proceed."

Shea: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Now ah.., read slow, Mr. Clerk. So,



if there's any question and.. and say where these things are position-wise ah.. when you start off."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 559, 1602, 1938, 2597, 3718, 3720, 3721, 3722, 3723, 3724, 3725...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, House Bills 3721 and 22 are Appropriation Bills. I assume that the reason that those are being stricken from the Calendar is because the Sponsor has requested that that be done."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's correct."

Shea: "Thank you."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. 4451. House Bills Third Reading. House Bill 355..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Now, the supplemental list has House Bill 4465 as.. with a line through it. That means that that was removed from the list?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes.. Yes, he did not read that."

Shea: "Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That was an exempt Bill."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bills Third Reading. 355, 734, 735, 1064, 1106, 1107, 1134, 1175, 1203, 1338, 1612, 1701, 1712, 1717, 1783, 1784, 1924, 2161, 2225, 2384, 2412, 2415, 2468, 2580, 2594, 2603, 2637, 27...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One.. One.. One moment.. The gentleman



from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "With regards to House Bill 2412, is that one of Mr.

McCormick's Bills that has been taken or is that still in."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "I.. I guess you can hear me. This thing doesn't come on. Yeah.. Mr. Speaker, on 2412, that was the Bill that Local Governments needed in order to ah.. comply with some of this ah.. grants on.. on ambulance service. And, I think, that 2412 should be an exempt Bill. Now, the other Ambulance Bills, we've reached an agreement on them that they be ah.. ah.. studied in another Committee. But, 2412 leaves it up to a vote of the people on the Revenue. So, I think, it should be an exempt Bill. It has a refer... It has a referendum attached to it, ah.. Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. We'll.. We'll ah.. identify that as an exempt Bill inasmuch as it addresses itself to a.. a tax ah.. levy or referendum situation for Local... for Local Government. That will remain on the Calendar as an exempt Bill."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bills Third Reading. 2741, 3661,..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Is Representative Conolly on the floor?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I don't see him."

Shea: "Well, 2794 and 95 through 2805, that series in there, is that an exempt series for some reason?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes ah.., I've ah.. In my judgement,



those implement the Constitution."

Fredric B. Selcke: "3800, 4144, 4145, 4146, 4147, 41..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentle... One.. One moment. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh.. William Walsh, do you have a question?"

W. D. Walsh: "I had a general question, Mr. Speaker, ah.. dealing ah.. with the definition of Bills that are exempt. I had one Bill, in particular, in ah.. on postponed consideration that ah.. seems to me would have been tabled at this time that I do not see on the list."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Well, when we get to the order of.. of ah.. consideration postponed and.. and to where that Bill is, why I'll recognize you and we'll see where we are there. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Fredric B. Selcke: "4148, 4212, 4246, 4271, 4335, 4336, 4345, 4391, 4395, 4412, 4441, 4442, 4462, 4539, 4542, 4546, 4563, 4588, 4638, 4645, and 4646. Under the heading of Motions..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. ah.. Cook, Mr. McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, on 4645 and 4646, those are considered ah.. exempt Bills also."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes.. Yes, that is correct. Ah.. apparently, it was thought that you wanted those to be included and you do not. Is that right? Alright.. They are in the category of being exempt. So, 4645 and 4646 will be left on the Calendar."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. Motions on the Calendar. House Bills 2240, 2242,"



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like to rise at this time for a point of personal privilege. Alright?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeah.. Okay.. Go ahead."

Rayson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah.. They're calling the Bills on a motion to discharge, House Bills 2240 through 2255 which ah.. Representative Matijeovich and ah.. O'Brien and a score of others ah.. tried to get through the House and have full and complete hearings. We consider this as a rather important package of protection Legislation, labelling, unit pricing, open dating andsoforth, ah.. meat and poultry inspection, credit law reform. And, we feel that we have been shortchanged, Mr. Speaker, in not having a Hearing, a full Hearing of the Executive Committee to determine the vote, a vote up or down on the matter. Now, I feel, you know, that we're entitled to this Hearing and we never got it. And ah.., I could go into long elaboration of the problem. But ah.., the fact remains, as a Member of this House, we never in this whole Session in over a year were entitled to have a vote on these matters. Now, I know that the distinguished Chairman of the Sub-Committee promised that he would have further Hearings. And, I respect the gentleman's judgement and we want further Hearings. And, with this in mind, we would hope that these Bills would remain somehow on the Calendar for this purpose. Now, I might add further, Mr. Speaker, that just this morning, a young woman from Park



Forest called me to say that she went to the store and she found that there was Pork Sausage of Oscar Meyer's which had been frozen but which had an expiration date of many days ago. And, she inquired and called me and I inquired. And, we have just learned that Oscar Meyer freezes all of their Pork Sausages from the Manufacturing Plant. And, then when it goes to the stores, it's still frozen and many people buy this and it becomes unfrozen and then they refreeze it. And, we have a serious situation with this regard. I'm only pointing this out because it's relative to these Bills that we've never had a full Hearing on and it's not that we haven't had the cooperation of the Chairman of Executive and the Chairman of the Sub-Committee other than we've had promises for the Hearing of the final vote. It's only in that regard, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that these Bills could be excluded from this motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, the... they don't fall within the category of ah.. Appropriations or Revenue or Constitutional Implementation or Reapportionment. And so, they would be caught by ah.. the Rule.. Rule 32 ah.. which said that those items.. ah.. House Bills other than those categories have to be acted upon by.. by last Monday of this week. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, I understand that, Mr. Speaker. And ah.., I suppose, that's the reason for my plaintive remarks. It suggests the frustration of not having the consideration of the full Legislative processing regarding these Bills. And,



I would ask for leave of the House ah.. to have ah.. you, Mr. Speaker, entertain a motion that these Bills be ah.. withdrawn from consideration of this motion until such time as we have the Hearing promised."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, you'd have to suspend the provisions of Rule 32 ah.. with regard.. with respect to ah.. those Bills because it will be.. because it is the Chair's Ruling that they are non-exempt Bills. So, in order for you to receive the consideration which you're requesting, ah.. ah.. there would have to be a suspension of 32 and that requires 89 affirmative votes. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully so move the suspension of Rule 32 for this purpose."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Winnebago, Mr. North."

North: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah.. on that motion, is.. is that a motion to discharge the Committee and..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, it's a ah.. it's a motion.. No, it's a motion to suspend 32 which ah.. said that via the time..."

North: "The timetable.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes.."

North: "Well ah.., the only comment that I have on that, Mr. Speaker, is.. is where do you stop and start by taking off ah.. these non-exempt Bills and.. and ah.. putting them back and leaving them on.. on the Calendar? I.. I don't think



that's the proper procedure. And I would ah.. certainly urge that ah.. this motion be defeated because you never know when to stop and when to start on all of the rest of the Bills that will be coming up for discussion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Is there any further discussion? The question is on the suspension of Rule 32. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. This requires 89 affirmative votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 48 'Ayes' and 46 'Nays' and ah.. the gentleman's motion ah.. fails. The Clerk will proceed. Ah.. the gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to introduce you to the Students of the Aero-Special Education District who are sitting in the Balcony to my right from Burbank, Illinois on behalf of Representative Kipley, Representative Yourell and Myself."

Fredric B. Selcke: "2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2433, 3773, 4299, 4344,.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Scariano."

Scariano: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry. That motion has been pending and I served notice several weeks ago that I wanted that next Legislative... on the next Legislative Day, I wanted my motion considered to take from the Committee and place it on the Calendar, House Bill 4344. Now, I've been waiting patiently every day since the time that I served



notice that this motion be called. And now, I find that through inaction on the Speaker's part, this Bill is going to be killed. Now, I think that we're entitled to a clear expression of what the policy of the Chair is. That is, do we get a Hearing on a motion or through inaction on the part of the Speaker, are these Bills going to be allowed to die? All I can do is make known my wishes to the Speaker which I did a long time ago. I think it's grossly unfair to take this kind of action when that motion was served several weeks ago."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, that Bill is a non-exempt Bill. And ah.., in order for you to ah.. ah.. ah.. accomplish what you ah.. indicate that you desire to do, it will be necessary for you to pursue the same course of action that Mr. Rayson did."

Scariano: "I.. I haven't got an answer to my parliamentary inquiry. And, that is, all I can physically do is to serve notice, bring it to the Chair and that's the only reason why it's on motion is because you've got a notice. I asked that that be called and its never been called."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "And, I'm saying to you that that's a non-exempt Bill. And, under our deadlines, ah.. it did not move out of the House by last Monday. Ah.. so you would have to suspend those provisions of 32(c) with regard to that Bill. And, that requires 89 votes which is the same amount that would be required for your motion to pass too. So, you.. you made that motion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Scariano."



Scariano: "Mr. Speaker, I haven't got an answer to the question as to why....."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, I've answered the question. The Clerk will proceed."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. 4477, 4560, Consideration Postponed."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait a minute.. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Lauterbach."

Lauterbach: "4299, Mr. Schoeberlein, I know, wants to retain that Bill. And ah.., I'm sure that they circled that as to being one that we wanted to carry through. That's a Glazing Bill. I know, but we're going to pass it out of here and send it over there. I know. They want.. "

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, that.. that is in the same category.. It's a non-exempt Bill ah.. so it would ah.. would fall under.. under the provisions of 32, having not been considered on Third Reading by last Monday. The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "I've got the same problem, Mr. Speaker, with.. with a motion to discharge Committee. And, I wonder how many votes is it going to take to pass this motion of Mr. Granata's. Is that going to take 89 votes?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, it's a simple motion ah.. to table which only requires a majority.."

Barry: "Well, then..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "This is strictly a matter between the Calendar because it's not the Chair's intent, if we did not proceed in this fashion, to call any of these matters that



ah.. we're going through. Ah.. Would the ah.. Well, we're going to run this out in an orderly manner in accordance with the Rules. Now, if you want to move with regard to suspending... If you want to suspend the Rules, you certainly are... I'll.. I'll.. I'll ah.. recognize you for that purpose. And ah.., I indicated to you that ah.. to suspend the Rules requires the same number of votes that it would require you to accomplish what you want to... what you want to do with that Bill. Ah.. Proceed."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Consideration Postponed.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, would it be possible for the Members to hold a Bill of that nature on the Calendar, not strike it, knowing full well that it's going take a motion to suspend the Rules that, perhaps, we could have that motion on another day?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yeah.. That's alright. This is only a clean-up procedure we're going through as far as the Chair is concerned. If the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Lauterbach, would like to have that motion remain on the order of Motions, we can leave it there. And ah.., if Scariano wants his left there, we can leave it there. But ah.., the ah.. ah.. The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Well, if I understand you correctly that ah.. on a request that the motion to discharge that was properly filed by the Rules on a written motion for the next Legislative Day,



do I understand, that that will remain on the Calendar?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, do you want 2240, 42 and 2255 and 2433 left on the Calendar?"

Rayson: "Yes.. Yes, I would.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.."

Rayson: "Because we've been promised further Hearings on the matter and I feel..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Alright.. I don't want it to be misunderstood though for leaving on the Calendar.. on the Calendar that that in any way affects the ultimate disposition on those Bills insofar as the Ruling by the Chair ah.. concerning whether they are exempt or non-exempt. Now, 20..40.. So, 4299.. Motion on 2240.. Right.. 2242, 2255, 2433. Alright.. That's right. Leave those on there. For what purpose does the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, rise?"

Choate: "A point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "State you point."

Choate: "The motion that Representative Scariano has.. has been discussing, am I to understand that it's still alive on the Calendar?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I've said... We're going back now to the motions and ah.. we're starting with the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson's because those are the first Bills that are on there. Now, we'll get to Mr. Scariano's situation there in just a moment."

Choate: "Alright.."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "On Rayson's 2240, 2242 through 2255



and 2433, we'll take those out from under the motion to table that's being offered today but ah.. without any ah.. indication on the part of the Chair as to what the disposition will be when we get to those matters ah.. on the Calendar. Now, does the gentleman from ah.. Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham ah.. have a similar request on his 3773?"

R. D. Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, if it would not be an aggression, we would be most grateful if it could be retained."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Leave.. Leave that ah.. on there. 4299. Okay.. Leave that on there and 4344, leave that on. Now, 4477, ah.. do you want that left?"

R. D. Cunningham: "Ah.. yes, Mr. Speaker, if we might."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "And, 4560, Mr. Barry, you want that.. do you want that left? Alright.. So, we will not include ah.. any of these motions.. the Bills on.. the categories under motions ah.. in the motion to table. Now, proceed."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Consideration Postponed. 3..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The.. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, first of all, ah.. this kind of strikes me as an exercise in futility. Aren't these Bills stricken anyway by the Rules that we've adopted? And, since the ah.. day for extending ah.. non-exempt Third Reading Bills in the House has come and gone, ah.. these Bills, in effect, are tabled anyway. Are they not?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's right."

W. D. Walsh: "Well then, I think, the question is ah.. what



is the definition of an exempt Bill. And, the thing that brings it to my mind is that there is a Bill that is on Consideration Postponed on the Calendar that ah.., in my opinion, is non-exempt and without indicating my feeling on the Bill for or against, why does not House Bill 1856 appear on the list of Bills to be tabled? Not appearing here, it would seem to me that the Bill would be exempt."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I.. I have ah.. yesterday ah.. ruled ah.. on that question and ah.. for Mr. Scariano that that was an exempt Bill."

W. D. Walsh: "For what reason?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, because of the tax levy, ah.. I ruled...."

W. D. Walsh: "It's a local tax levy..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "I understand that and the ruling has been that the Chair has made that Revenue insofar as an exempt category ah.. includes ah.. State and Local Revenue."

W. D. Walsh: "Well, that was not my understanding. Alright.. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Your welcome."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Consideration Postponed. 318, 319, 338, 385, 558, 581, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 784, 829, 1148, 1171, 1312, 1331, 1347, 1575, 1749, 20...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait.. Wait a minute, now. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, with regards to House Bill 1171, that is Mr. Katz's Bill. Mr. Katz, if he were here, I'm sure would



make a motion. He was home, sought to be brought home last night. His wife is very seriously ill. And, I ask leave ah.. of the Speaker to leave that on the Calendar subject to a motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Ah.. yes, in answer to your question ah.. without ah.. disposing on the questions of the merits of 1171 as to its being exempt or non-exempt. Ah.. we will leave now, subject to a later motion, ah.. 1171 on."

Shea: "Thank you."

Fredric B. Selcke: "2071, 2077, 2129, 2192, 2196, 2378, 2423, 2452, 2587, 2701, 2718, 3742, 4080, 4273, 4467. Second Reading. Consideration Postponed. 1116, Constitutional Amendment ah.. First Reading. Consideration Postponed. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment *12. Ah.. Bills by Committee. Executive Committee. 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, Got have it on the tape. 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 157, 309, 315, 370, 815, 1173, 1622, 1637, 1759, 1793, 1806, 2083, 2143, 2174, 2175, 2372, 2458, 2488, 2549, 2554, 2729, 2857, 2858, 3070, 3547, 3548, 3549, 3605, 3676, 3765, 3771, 4204, 4277, 4470, 4471, 4472, 4473, 4474, 4514, 4566, 4583. Elementary and Secondary Education. 3590, 3731, 4274, 4275, 4306, 4492, 4497, 4498, 4512, 4564,



4624, and 4627. Public Welfare..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One moment, please. The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Clerk, I think you read 4627. I wonder if you were in error and it should have been 4624 on the last call?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. 4624 is the last number on the.. on the fourth column. 4627 is the first number on the next column."

Barry: "Thank you."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Public Welfare. 1068, 1069, 1082, 1087, 1918, 2168, 2413, 2469, 2834, 2835, 2852, 3592, 4482.

Personnel and Veterans Affairs. Ah.. 4560, 263, 560, 1646, 2583, and 3553. Environment. 392, 394, 1803, 2262, 2616, 2677, 3069, 3589, 3693. Pensions. 4125, 4127, 4562, 1194, 1839, 2459, 2526, 2527, 2611, 2660, 2666, 2710, 3615, 3616. Higher Education. 58, 253, 589, 822, 823, 1077, 1691, 1755, 1791, 2276, 2481, 2489, 3057, 4524. Judiciary I. 159, 307, 780, 1073, 1083, 1085, 1360, 1620, 1621, 1768, 2517, 2588, 2626, 2629, 2846, 2847, 2848, 2849, 2853, 3565, 3566, 3594, 3595, 3630, 3631, 3679, 3706, 4341, 4402, 4532, 4534, 4569, 4570, 4571, 4579, 4607. Elections. 12, 15, 546, 1687, 1689, 1697, 1879, 2359, 2474, 2480, 2731, 2770, 3059, 3561, 3563, 3601, 3751, 4340, 4515, 4516, 4521. Judiciary II. 1266..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentle... Wait a minute. The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "On our lists in the Judiciary Committee on Elections, were 3770, 4242 and 4272. Are those Bills... Were they



stricken or what?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Those have been... They haven't...

They are not being included in the motions to table."

Shea: "So, in other words, 3770, 4242 and 4272 are still alive in.. in the Committee?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "No, they were tabled in the Committee according to the digest."

Shea: "Thank you."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Judiciary II. 1266, 1313, 1453, 1458, 1542, 1681, 1910, 2001, 2023, 2070, 2133, 2227, 2381, 2383, 2400, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2513, 2548, 2582, 2560, 2623, 2702, 2756, 3054, 3075, 3076, 3555, 3579, 3583, 3607, 30..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One.. One moment. The gentleman from Bureau."

Barry: "It appeared to us that you.. ah.. that the Clerk did not read 2429 and 2431."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, 31 is a Constitution Implementation and 29 was ah.. tabled in Committee. And, that's why they were not read."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. 3659, 3673, 3687, 3758, 3759, 3760, 4076, 4081, 4115, 4153, 4165, 4166, 4263, 4288, 4292, 4444, 4477, 4527, 4568. Ah.. Labor. 42, 720, 793, 2669, 2887, 3632, 4262, 4299, 4463 and 40..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentle... Wait a minute. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Lauterbach."

Lauterbach: "4299, again here is the Bill that's on the Calendar of Motions to dismiss the Committee."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Take that off the motion."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. 4464. Public Utilities. 620, 1265, 1762, 2223, 2281, 2895. Registration and Regulations. 60, 287, 646, 843, 1122, 1455, 1470, 1590, 1591, 1592, 1593, 1594, 1595, 1619, 2155, 2156, 2411, 3052, 3649, 3733, 3767, 3799, 4151, 4216, 4416, 4417, 4501. Credit Regulation. 1563, 2109, 2153, 2743, 2744, 2745, 2746, 2747, 2748, 2749, 2750, 2751, 2752, and 2851. Insurance. 1136, 1361, 2211, 2212, 2571, 2753, 2832, 3535, 3536, 3537, 3538, 3539, 3540, 3541, 3542, 3578, 3593, 4344, 4398, 4399, 4400, 4538. Agriculture. 4421. Banks and Savings and Loan. 273, 2031, 2122, 2123, 2279, 2649, 2650, 2736, 2737, 2754, 3050, and 4325. Transportation. 155, 156, 2418, 2420, 2068, 2419, 2136, 4362, 4596, 4597, 2757 and 4195. Conservation. 3053, 3568, 4545, 361, 1540, 2688 and 2735. Counties and Townships. 153, 265, 340, 591, 681, 791, 862, 1059, 1191, 1192, 1207, 1222, 1223, 1690, 1698, 1699, 1700, 1729, 1805, 1890, 2187, 2193, 2194, 2314, 2345, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2593, 2613, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2698, 3656, 3657, 3658, 3762, 4287, 4424, 4515, 4516, 4520 and 4580. Cities and Villages. 511, 532, 533, 570, 1143, 1465, 1558, 1645, 2081, 2082, 2661, 2773, 3612, 3685, 3775, 3778, 4404 and 4526. Ah.. Transportation. 156, 408, 2068, 2419, 2603, 30.. Excuse me. 3603, 3627, 3791, 3804, 4193 and 4347. Ah.. Motor Vehicle. 578, ah.: 576, 576, 1388, 1389, 1804, 1888, 2025, 2125, 2126, 2410, 2559, 2620, 2771, 3065, 3550, 3558, 3567, 3569, 3591, 3716, 3780, 4186, 4342, 4365 and 4548. That's it, Mr. Speaker."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Any further questions? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I didn't hear. In Judiciary II, I have a Resolution ah.. dealing with ah.. pornography. Well, I'd like to ask very much, Mr. Speaker, that this be ah.. kept out of the ah.. Hatchet ah.. Department that Mr. Granata had ah.. recommended a little while ago. I know that Mr. Granata wouldn't go for this type of thing. And ah.. ah.., when it was originally submitted, there was ah.. one ah.. dissent, one objection to the Resolution. It's ah.. House Resolution No. 331."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. It was not read. So, it would still be there. Alright.. The.. Are we ready now to have the motion? The gentleman from Granta... Oh! The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Granata, renews..."

Granata: "I now renew my motion, striking all Bills where there was no objection."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. All those.. All those in favor vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 129 'Ayes', and no 'Nays' and this Bill having... or and the ah.. motion to table prevails. Alright.. Alright.. Ah.. Committee Reports and Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. Mr. Rose, from the Committee on Constitution Implementation, to which House Bill 3743 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Rose, from the Committee on Constitution Implementation, to which Senate Bill 1290 was referred,



reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and that the Bill, as amended, do pass."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 24.. 4276. Passed by the Senate, May 25, 1972. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Introductions."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Ah.. House Bill 4677, Blair, et.al. Ah.. a Bill to make an appropriation for the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House. First Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Further Messages from the Senate."

Fredric B. Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 72. Adopted by the Senate, May 25, 1972, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. There are some Senate Bills on First Reading. 1489. Want those held? Alright.. Hold those. For what purpose does the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, rise?"



Choate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, under the provisions of Rule 64, I would like to, at this time, Mr. Speaker, not having voted on House Bill... Senate Bill 1358, I would like to request unanimous consent of this House to have my vote recorded on the prevailing side... on the negative side, which would be the negative side."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The gentleman ah.. is requesting under the provisions of Rule 64 ah.. that he ah.. have unanimous consent ah.. having been recorded as 'present' on the Roll Call for Attendance, but ah.. having not voted with respect to Senate Bill 1358 to have his vote cast ah.. in the negative. And, the vote on that measure was 44.. 45 'Ayes', 26 'Nays' and 106 'Not voting'. Now, is there leave? The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, what purpose do you rise?"

Borchers: "I object to an unanimous Roll Call."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Yeah.. I'm going to withdraw my motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. He.. he withdraws his ah.."

Borchers: "I withdraw my motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "I object, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would then ask to.. I would then move to suspend the provisions of Rule 64 so that I might have the privilege of casting a vote in the negative on House Bill... or Senate Bill 1358."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, does the gentleman have leave for that purpose? Well, hearing no objection, the Rule is suspended ah.. for the purpose for which the gentleman made the request. Now, you're being added as a 'no' vote on Senate Bill 1358."

Choate: "Yes, Sir. That's my request."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to know how you can do that plus the fact that, I believe, it was last week that Mr. Rayson attempted the same thing?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, the gentleman asked if he ah.. could have consent to suspend the provisions of that Rule. And, I asked if he had leave and there were no objections. The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Ah.. the fact that you sent Mr. Telcser down here to engage me in conversation, which was successful, I did not hear that. And, I still object."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, I didn't send Mr. Telcser out there to do anything. The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Ah.. I do believe that the gentleman's objection is a wee bit tardy, Mr. Speaker. May I ask of the Chair, am I now recorded as voting 'no' on Senate Bill 1358?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes.. Yes.."

Choate: "Then now, Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side of Senate Bill 1358, I would desire to make a motion and I would like to be heard very briefly on that motion."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. State your motion."

Choate: "My motion, Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side and pursuant to Rule 73, I now hereby move to reconsider the vote by which Senate Bill 1358 failed to pass. And, I would, as I said, Mr. Speaker, would like to be heard just briefly in regards to my motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Proceed."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House,..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Wait one moment.. For what purpose does the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, rise? I'm sorry..

Mr. ah.. The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, rise?"

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I make a motion to table that motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, when the gentleman concludes his remarks, I'll ah.. ah.. hear on that motion. The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. I do not think that's debatable."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The.. The question is.. For what purpose does the gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld, rise?"

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully request a ah.. a Ruling from the Chair because I do distinctly remember that recently ah.., a parliamentary inquiry, ah.. Representative Rayson did ask to go on the Roll to be with the prevailing side. And then, later moved to reconsider the vote. And, while the Chair, at that time, permitted Representative



Rayson to go on and be with the prevailing side, it did not permit Representative Rayson to ah.. move to reconsider and said that that was out of order. It would seem to me that the same fact would apply here."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, the gentleman's ah.. point is.. is well taken that that was the way that the Chair has previously ruled that ah.. sixty ah.. that Rule 64 does only permit the addition of.. of a vote ah.. if it does not change the result previously announced and if there is an attempt made to ah.. go route. And, then move on the basis of that ah.. to reconsider and the end result of that being a change in the result of the Roll Call. It would appear to the Chair that that would be a subversion of the.. of the Rule. The ah.. gentleman from ah.. Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're talking about what I consider a different set of circumstances entirely. And, I believe that if you'll read Rule 73, 64 incidentally prior to my motion under Rule 73. If I recall correctly, the difference being in Representative Rayson's position the other day and my own was the fact that he was possibly still operating under Rule 64 because I think there was a Roll Call taken. In this instance, Rule 64 was suspended so it is now, at least my interpretation, no longer adaptable as far as the action that I am requesting under Rule 73, which clearly provides for the motion to reconsider the vote by which this Bill was defeated. The other set of circumstances are simply this, that we're not talking about frivolous activities of this House. We're not talking about things other



than the fact that there is 953,263 people affected by this piece of Legislation. We're talking about the fact, Mr. Speaker, that the Illinois Public Aid Commission has advised us that they..."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "One.. One.. One moment. For what purpose does the gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Juckett, rise?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I think there's a point of order in ah.. to be made here. He's not discussing his motion to ah.. be recorded or his motion to reconsider. He's discussing the merits of the Bill which have absolutely nothing to do with his request of the Chair."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, the Chair ah.. ah.. rules that the gentleman's point is well taken. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, just so I get myself clear where we are in parliamentary procedure at this juncture. At the present time, Representative Choate is recorded as voting 'no' on Senate Bill 1358. Is that correct, Sir?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That is correct."

Shea: "Now, he is not recorded as voting 'no' because or under the provisions of Rule 64, but he is.. but he is recorded as voting 'no' because the provisions of Rule 64 were waived. Is that correct, Sir?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "They were with respect to allowing him to ah.. ah.. ah.. cast his vote."

Shea: "Now, does...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "But, at.. at that point, no objection



was raised ah.. by ah.. Mr. Waddell and Mr. Hirschfeld."

Shea: "Under the provisions... Under the provisions of Rule 73?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That. There was ah..., in essence, a subversion of.. of Rule 64, even though it had been ah.. defended by leave. And,... And, they correctly stated that the Chair on.. on that issue had ah.. ruled ah.. previously that, if there is a.. a fail to allow that a.. at a later time after the Bill has been considered, that while that vote in itself would not change the result that, if it is the springboard, so to speak, for a motion to reconsider and then that motion to reconsider would prevail that, in essence, by casting that vote for the purposes of being able to make the motion to reconsider, you would end up having a subversion of Rule 64. And,... And, the Chair has ruled that ah.. that that point is well taken."

Shea: "Alright.. Now, Rule 64 is suspended."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "That's right."

Shea: "Alright.. Now, if Rule 73 were now suspended, would that change...."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Inasmuch, Mr. Speaker, as you evidently think that there was subversion in mind when I made the request to have unanimous consent under the provisions of Rule 64 to be recorded as voting 'no', I'm certain now that there is no question in anyone's mind on the floor of this House as to what my intentions are. And, would you rule then, Mr.



Speaker, if I requested the suspension of Rule 64 and 89 Members of this House gave me that prerogative or did not give me that prerogative, would that not settle the whole thing? 89 Members of this House, I thought, ah.. did decide the course that they wish to take."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, there was.. there was.. there was no objection so there was leave which is in essence unanimous consent. Ah.. the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that what he is really objecting to is your ruling that he can not now reconsider because of pass rulings. And, that his only alternative would be under Rule 78 to appeal the Ruling of the Chair."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Ah.. I would like to advise my learned friend on the other side, who made this suggestion, that that might be my alternative that never in twenty-six years have I made a motion to appeal the Ruling of the Chair, Representative Juckett. And, I'm not about to do so today."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that your Ruling, Mr. Speaker, under Rule 64 is absolutely correct with respect to the fact that having given permission to a Member to be added to a Roll Call under that Rule that so long and I'm quoting from the Rule, 'as such vote does not change the result previously announced,



that he then has a valid recorded vote'. Now, I.. I don't know what you're ruling was previously, Mr. Speaker, or under what circumstances you ruled with respect to that situation. But, it seems to me, that once the House and the Speaker permits a Member, under Rule 64, to be recorded, 'without changing the result of that vote', which it does not change because you announced what the vote was, then under Rule 73(a) that Member, having been recorded, if he complies with Rule 73(a), can make the motion to reconsider. The only circumvention would be is that, if he takes an action which changes the result under Rule 64 that that would be circumvention of that particular Rule. But, once having been recorded, and he proceeds under Rule 73(a), it would seem to me that he could make a motion pursuant to that Rule."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "For what ah.. purpose does the gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall, rise?"

Hall: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move that the vote by which House Bill ah.. or Senate Bill 1358 was defeated be reconsidered."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The.. Under the provisions of Rule 73(a), a Member, who voted on the prevailing side on a recorded vote on a Bill or matter, still within the control of the House, may on the same day move to reconsider.. Alright.. So, on the gentleman's ah.. motion, all those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this ques-



tion, there are 110 'Ayes' and 19 'Nays' and the gentleman's motion ah.. prevails. Alright.. Now, we're back on the Bill.. Senate Bill 1358. The gentleman from Cook, ah.. Mr. Genoa Washington, ah.. For what purpose does the gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, rise?"

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, when you're recognized, you may.

The gentleman from Genoa... ah.. from Cook, Mr. Genoa Washington is the chief Sponsor and ah.. I feel that he ought to have recognition first."

G. Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was amply discussed this morning and, I believe, that the facts pertinent to it were sufficient for all to vote intelligently and were recorded at that time. At this time, I would just ask in the name of the people who need this deficiency, the blind, the poor, the ill for an 'aye' vote because the money for our public assistance is running out, I believe, today.. And, without it, misery ah.. will prevail. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope that the first Roll Call on this Bill does not escape you or the Press. Because in a maneuver that was obviously intended to embarrass the Governor and the Administration, in fact, backfired. And, now that has been amply errored, I suggest that we get on with the Roll."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. ah.. Union,



Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that, if the gentleman was talking about any activity that was participated by any Member of this aisle, it was not.. was not intended to embarrass the Governor or embarrass anyone. I suggest to him that he doesn't know exactly what was being thought of over here as well as I'm sure that he does not know what was being thought of by other Members, maybe even on his side of the aisle. And, I would say, in the explanation of my stand on this piece of Legislation, that I've been around here just about, not quite as long as anyone in this House and we've seen little games when tempers get flaring and we've seen little games being played before. And, if you stay around here, you're going to see games being played in the future and you're going to see tempers flare in the future and you're going to a.. a.. a division as far as the thinking of Members are concerned and you're going to see all of these things happen time and time again as they've happened in the past. But, the one thing that I would suggest is that when we're partaking in the games, when we're doing the things that sometimes our tempers lead us to do and even when we're doing the things that we feel we are dedicated to do, that let's not become involved in human misery. Let's not let our tempers and our games lead us in the direction that we would penalize probably some unfortunate peoples of this State. And, that's why, Mr. Speaker, that I attempted to make the motion that I attempted to make. And, that's



why I intend to vote 'aye' on the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from ah.. Cook,
Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
ah.. I do agree with the distinguished Minority Leader that
ah.. games are sometimes played in this ah.. House and it's
really unfortunate. Ah.. I would suggest that ah.. on this
occasion the choice of ah.. whether or not to play games
was made by the other side of the aisle and they picked a
very poor Bill to play games over. Ah.. thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. DuPage, Mr.
Hoffman."

G. Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, being a tempered person and having
other games to play, I move the previous question."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the gentleman's
motion say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the
previous question has been moved. Ah.. the gentleman from
ah.. Cook, Mr. Genoa Washington, to close."

G. Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
this Bill has been amply debated. I think everybody had an
opportunity to have on his mind all of the pertinent facts.
And, I just ask you in the name of the people on public
assistance to give this Bill an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The question is, 'shall Senate
Bill 1358 pass?'. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and
the opposed 'no'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I intend



to vote 'aye' on this Bill as I did a little while ago. But, perhaps, tempers flared on both sides of the aisle. Perhaps, games were being played on that side or this side. But, again, I will vote 'aye' so that we will meet our responsibilities."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. ah.. Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and Fellow Members of the House, I ah.. don't want to hurt the old, the blind, those that really need it more than anyone else here does. I just want to point out that we will go bankrupt in this State unless we really take action constructively to do something about it and about this problem, this is going to inevitably happen. Now, ah.. we can't allow these people to be hungry. I don't want to vote 'no', but I'm going to do it symbolically. I hope that only 89 votes will show on that Board just enough to pass it. I think some of you... we should look at it and some of you, who ah.. agree with what I've said and others have said will see to it that only 89 votes will be there. We've got to show the Welfare Department that there are areas that money can be saved. They should demand their share, for example, as I mentioned before, of the monies that they should gain from the Mental Health that the Mental Health is not giving to them but keeps shoving off on them all of these people and other reasons. Let's just give them the 89 votes, no more, no less."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Hall."



Hall: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there are undoubtedly 'red' votes on the Board today from.. cast by people who would like to show a symbolic 'no'. But, my 'red light' ah.. reflects a feeling that I have that the amount of money that we are appropriating for Public Aid is too high. And, I have a sincere feeling that this Legislature could reduce the total amount but constructive work and I'm willing to be a part of a team that would do such work. I have a resentment ah.. Mr. Speaker, for ah.. the people who draw food stamps and sell them and buy beer. I have a resentment of the people ah.. who.. whose husbands are employed and who are on public aid ah.. just as if ah.. they were destitute when, in fact, they are not. I see these occasions happening and these situations arising and my constituency tells me of these. I ah.. have ah.. ah.. repugnancy ah.. ah.. when students ah.. ah.. collect somehow and sell these food stamps and use them ah.. when they are not in ah.. a situation of dyer public ah.. aid need. And, I think ah.. the General Assembly and the Governor combined can find solutions ah.. to some of these ah.. excesses in the area of Public Aid that will reduce the cost of Public Aid to the point where we are not continually coming back each year and ah.. finding a deficiency of ah.. on the order of \$150,000,000 over and above the previously appropriated ah.. \$750,000,000 or.. or a billion. So ah.., Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm casting a sincere ah.. vote of 'no' in hopes that we could defeat this Bill and go to work and reduce ah.. ah.. some of the excesses in



the shortcomings of our Public Aid System."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from ah.. Cook,
Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure that all of us are concerned, not only as far as the Department of Public Aid, but all of the Departments as far as the expenditures that are requested from the General Revenue Funds of the State of Illinois. I think that in 1969, the record will prove that this General Assembly adopted a Resolution requesting that the Federal Government assume and establish Public Aid criteria for all the States within the United States in conjunction with having a standardized assessment... or standardized cost for every State. This would eliminate the necessity of having people move from one area to another just to get a basic amount of money for.. for living. Now, Senate Bill 1358 was reviewed thoroughly by the Appropriations Committee and, in turn, the breakdown on Senate Bill 1358 for the \$129,000,000 deficiency is \$13,400,000 for aid to the aged, blind and disabled. Aid to dependent children is \$18,000,000. Medical Assistance is \$75,700,000. General Assistance and local aid to the medically indigent is \$21,900,000, which gives you a total of \$129,000,000. I think this Bill is needed. Very happy to see that it has been reconstructed. I vote 'aye'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Livingston, Mr. ah.. Hunsicker."



Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this morning, it was stated in the debate that the Good Book says that the poor you will always have with you. This is very true. We all know that. By the same token, the Good Book also says, 'in the sweat of thy brow, thy shalt eat bread'. And, to me, this means if you are able, you should work a little. The charges were also made that the abuses were against the black as public aid recipients. I want to say in all sincerity, the instances that I was speaking about in the abuses of Public Aid to the so called poor were not.. were all white with the exception of one and this one was getting a new home. Now, when young people in their early twenties can receive public aid by simply declaring a need, be white, black, green or yellow, the public aid roll will continue to expand and the cost will mushroom. Public Aid is six months behind in listing the number on the roll. The last report that I have was from November 1971. At that time, there were around 919,000 on the Illinois Public Aid rolls. It was stated just a few moments ago that it is now 953,000. How fast do you think that these aid rolls will grow if we ever allow eighteen year olds to marry without parental consent? I vote 'no'."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I intend to vote like I was trying to vote ah.. once before here today. And ah.., I was waiting to hear the words from



you, Sir, 'Have all voted who wished?'. I did not hear those words. If you.. If you did say them, I beg your pardon, but I did not hear them. And, when I tried to pull my switch, and found out that I wasn't going to be able to vote for these poor people, I did become excited. Now, let me tell you why... Let me tell you why. All of you know that medical costs have gone up. We have the Advisory Committee on Public Aid and we try to do this budget so that we wouldn't have to ask for a deficiency. But, one of the reasons is medical costs. You go over and talk to Senator Carroll. The other reason is inflation is kind of hard now when you make up a budget to tell just what you need. Now, you're going to save money by passing this Bill. Because if you didn't pass this Bill, his Excellency, the Governor of this State, I'm sure would call us back into a Special Session. And, the people would be burdened in this State with paying for a Special Session and.. and it would cost you much more money. So, I'm going to vote 'aye'. This is the way that I intended to vote and I'm not a part of playing politics with human misery and suffering. The only time I get on this floor is when you talk about denying people the right to work who want to work. Or, the other thing you do, when you talk about cutting off people who need and who have no high paid lobbyists, nobody down here to buttonhole us."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Blades."

Blades: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I too resent every penny that is wasted by the Public Aid Department



or by any other Department in the State. But, as a human being and as a Member of this General Assembly and as a Member of the ah.. ah.. as a citizen of the State of Illinois, I also feel that I have a moral obligation to help the needy. I'm going to help the needy with my vote if.. if it so be. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. J. O'Brien."

D. J. O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to make one brief comment in explaining my affirmative vote. There's been much criticism on the floor of the misuse of welfare funds, public aid, mental health and public health funds. I think, perhaps, our criticism is more properly directed at those Agencies of State Government that don't where their funds are being expended.. spent. They're not competent in administering their programs. They're not competent in trying to relieve people of the need of welfare. They're not competent in assuming responsibility for the administration of medical care, employment and feeding hungry children. The buck has been passed from mental health to public health to public aid. Nobody wants to assume the responsibility for these people. No one wants to assume the responsibility for spending the money. There are certainly people who are misusing public funds to their own benefit. I think this is rather the fault of us and the fault of the State Department. We cannot deny for one minute that there are



hungry children, there are sick, elderly and emotionally and physically infirmed who need our help. They need this money. And, if we are to economize, I say, let us not take the money from those who do need it, and those who are trying to get out from underneath the patronizing system of welfare in Illinois, those who want to improve themselves. Let us rather correct the Administration of our State Departments so that we know where the State money is going and so that it does some good. I'm happy to vote 'yes' to this appropriation."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller."

P. J. Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for years and years that I've been here, I've seen this creeping paralysis. The deficiencies have doubled. In my predictions, it will triple. And, if you just given them everytime an increase, the budgets are increasing and we're becoming a dumping ground, Illinois is for all these States because we.. we've been paying a much higher rate than most States. In the States South, they give them a bus ticket and send them down and dump them in Illinois and our people have to stand the tax burden, bear this on their backs. And, all I'm saying is that the people in my.. our District, the Eighteenth District are overwhelmingly opposed to keep giving these tax increases. I'm saying that the Bill that we passed in this House and sent over to the Senate which was defeated over there and tabled would have provided that mini... that municipalities have to provide



six hours work_a day for these welfare recipients. The Bill was defeated. And then, you say, 'why don't we give them work?', when they kill a Bill that would require six hours of work a day. And say, instead of putting more payrollers on, put on the welfare recipients so we get something for this tax dollar, get some work for this. Now, I think that we must show the taxpayers a desire to show them that we are trying to cut back. If you keep this up, there's going to be tax revolt and I'm going to predict it. I vote 'no'.

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are ah..

106 'Ayes' and 35 'Nays' and this Bill having received a Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bills ah.. Second Reading. 'Senate Bill 1130.'"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 1130, a Bill for an Act to amend the 'Illinois Public Aid Code'. Second Reading of the Bill."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Amendments 1 and 2 have been adopted. They gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Moore."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 3, Moore; amend Senate Bill 1130 andsoforth."

Moore: "Alright.. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. at this time, Mr. Speaker, after working with ah.. Representative Krause and ah.. Representative Shea as far as a proposed Amendment, I would like to move at this time to table Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1130."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The gentleman asked for leave to table Amendment No. 1? Alright.. You have that. Amendment No. 1 to table. Proceed. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Moore."

Moore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment No. 3, Mr. Speaker, is the same Amendment as the Amendment No. 1, but it deleted a portion of the statute that Representative Shea had an objection to dealing with the Department of Children and Family Services. I, therefore, move at this time, Mr. Speaker, the adoption of Amendment No. 3, to House Bill 1130."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Simmons: "Is Amendment No. 2 going to stay on the Bill?"

Moore: "Yes, it does, Representative Simmons."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, is this a House Bill or a Senate Bill?"

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "It's a Senate Bill on Second Reading. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Moore."

Moore: "Yes, I move the adoption of Amendment No. 3, Mr. Speaker."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Alright.. Ah.. Agreed Resolutions. Ah.. the gentleman from ah.. Cook, ah.. Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have one further Agreed Resolution. It's Senate Joint Resolution



72 which ah.. requests the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission to ah.. make an inquiry into the.. the allegations of ah.. an alleged kickbacks by the.. an architectural firm for work on the Dixon Mounds Museum rehabilitation project.

I move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution No. 72."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in.. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Can I offer an... Can I offer a little bit of an Amendment to your Resolution there? I think you'll find the last 'Whereas' clause, should it not have 'Whereas the Senate.. the House concurring therein?'. Thank you."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The Clerk will write that in. All those in favor of the adoption of the Agreed Resolution, as amended, say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. Are there further Resolutions? For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Glass, rise?"

Glass: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 4362 was one of the Bills which was tabled. Ah.. it is a Bill which is in Sub-Committee in Transportation Committee. I've spoken to the Chairman of that Committee ah.. ah.. and there is a good chance that ah.. hearings will be held on this Bill. It has to do with an area-wide Transportation ah.. Authority in the six County area surrounding Chicago. And, I would like to ask ah.. leave of the House ah.. to remove that Bill from the list of tabled Bills so that if we can ah.. set public hearings on the Bill, ah.. it will still



be alive."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, ah.. without comment on that, I would just mention that there are three Bills ah.. all in essentially the same significance with different points of view in the same status. And, if it would happen to one, I think, it should happen to all three."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that if the gentleman is not the Sponsor of those Bills, that it would be the prerogative of the Sponsor to ask that they be held off of the tabling motion. And, I also suggest that if we're just going to keep on adding and adding and adding, we might as well not even have the motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Ah.. I am not the Sponsor of any of those Bills. I am the Co-Sponsor of one of them and the Sponsor is not present. I only made that suggestion as a courtesy to him."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, what the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Glass is asking is that if that Bill, No. 4362, ah.. if he can leave to have it removed from that list of Bills which was earlier tabled. Is there objection? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Simmons."

Simmons: "A parliamentary inquiry. I believe that Bill is included in Representative ah.. Granata's motion."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Yes, that's what I was referring to."

Simmons: "And ah.., when we have to reconsider the vote,..."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Well, I asked for an unanimous consent and ah.. there was no objection. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave of the House, in the absence of Representative Randolph who is the Sponsor of ah.. House Bill 2136 over which I am the first Co-Sponsor, for the same leave."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Is there objection? Hearing none, then that Bill will be ah.. removed from the tabled list. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I object."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. Objection has been heard. The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, objected on the question of unanimous consent. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser. He's over in Mr. Randolph's seat."

Telcser: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I find Representative Lechowicz's objections quite surprising in view of the fact that just a short while ago, Representative Shea asked for the same courtesy for one of Representative Katz's Bills. I would certainly think that, in view of the fact that we gave your side of the aisle that courtesy, you would give us the same opportunity."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "We did. We ah.. If I recall correctly, ah.. Representative Glass got his Bill and, I believe, Representative Katz is home ill. I don't know about all of the other Representatives, but ah.. I still object."



Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from ah.. Cook, Mr. Duff "

Duff: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I'm at a loss ah.. at the moment.

Ah.. there are three Bills on a very important area of Mass Transportation Planning. Ah.. one of the Bills is rather all encompassing in its concept to consider what should be done. One Bill refers only to the Chicago C.T.A. and one Bill, which we just received consent to consider further, ah.. considers all Mass Transportation except the City of Chicago. I'm not proposing that this particular Bill be heard as a partisan thing but as a part of a major subject. And, Representative Randolph, not being here, has put it in an uncomfortable position. I am a Co-Sponsor of this Bill. And, in his absence, it would seem to me that I would have the right to ask that for him. Ah.. if that is the basis upon which the Representative objects, then I would ask him to reconsider the objection. If he's objecting on the merits of the Bill, I have no comment."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The ah.. gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Randolph was here this morning at the time that the list was read. If Representative Randolph desired to take his Bill, I don't think anybody would have any objection. Now, we'll be back here on Tuesday or Wednesday. And, at that time, if Representative Randolph so desires, then I think we should consider it then. With regards to Representative Duff's suggestion that he was a Co-Sponsor, it's my understanding that the Chair is still allowing Bills to



be introduced. And, if he feels that it's necessary, he can put in a Bill on that subject matter and no doubt could be sent to Committee and be heard. So, therefore, ah.. I'm wondering if you might, Brian, might not want to withdraw that now and we take that up on Wednesday when we return and when Representative Randolph is here."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'd be glad to withdraw. Ah.. it was simply an effort to extend a courtesy to my Sponsor of the Bill, Representative Randolph."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "Alright.. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah.. because of our schedule next week, ah.. there is concern that the turnout at the softball game Tuesday night will be light. So, I do want to remind you that ah.. there is a softball game Tuesday evening at 6:00 P.M. at Iles Park. Inasmuch as we will be in next Wednesday morning on the floor at 9:30, ah.. those of you that plan to come down Tuesday evening, perhaps, could come down a little earlier to turn out for the game. Ah.. I now move, Mr. Speaker, that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 9:30 A.M., next Wednesday morning."

Hon. W. Robert Blair: "All those in favor of the motion to adjourn say 'aye', opposed 'no', and the House stands adjourned."



ADJOURNMENT AT 3:35 O'CLOCK P.M.

5/25/72
mes.

