37th Legislative Day

- Speaker Ammons: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their seats. Good afternoon, Honorable Members of the General Assembly. We'll be led with the invocation by Wayne Padget. Mr. Padget."
- Wayne Padget: "Let us pray. Eternal and almighty God, we thank you for this occasion to gather as your people and to contemplate the challenges and opportunities that lie ahead for this great state. In the midst of diversity, God, give us the gift of unity. Make us worthy of the mission in which you have called us as citizens of this great State of Illinois. God, grant us to live in harmony with one another in mutual respect and love. May you bless and grant to our Governor, all of its Leaders, and all of its Members the spirit of courage, spirit of justice, prudence, and discernment. Keep them in safety and health. May they lead your people to victories over injustice, poverty, and everything that is harmful to the dignity and sanctity of all human life. May your sovereign hand be upon this state and may it prosper in abundance from north to south. This we pray in your son's name, Amen."
- Speaker Ammons: "Amen. Thank you. We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Keicher."
- Keicher et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United
 States of America and to the republic for which it stands,
 one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice
 for all."
- Speaker Ammons: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Harris is recognized to report any excused absences of the Democratic side of the aisle."

37th Legislative Day

- Harris: "Madam Speaker, let the record reflect there are zero excused absences on the Republican... or on the House side today."
- Speaker Ammons: "There are no Members absent on the Democratic side. Representative Welter will be recognized for the absences on the Republican side of the aisle."
- Welter: "Madam Speaker, let the record reflect that all Republicans are present and ready to work."
- Speaker Ammons: "Wonderful. Thank you, Representative Welter. Have all recorded themselves who wish? Have all recorded themselves who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 118 Members present, none absent, and we're ready for business. A quorum is present. Thank you, Members. An announcement. This is just a reminder of House Rule 51.5. Please remember to wear a face covering that covers the nose and mouth, except when necessary for eating or drinking. This includes when speaking on the microphone at your desk and prior to Session. Again, please remember to wear a face covering, including while speaking on the microphone, as pursuant to House Rule 51.5. Thank you, Members. Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports."
- Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Carroll, Chairperson from the Committee on Consumer Protection reports the following committee action taken on May 18, 2021: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 267. Representative Slaughter, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary Criminal reports the following committee action taken on May 18, 2021: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 259; Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 1861. Representative Moeller,

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2021: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 236, House Resolution 265, Floor Amendment(s) 3 to Senate Bill 512, Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 555, Floor Amendment(s) 1 to Senate Bill 700, Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 2137. Representative Mussman, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education: School Curriculum & Policies reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2021: do pass Consent Calendar is Senate Bill 517; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 to Senate Bill 654. Representative D'Amico, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Vehicles & Safety reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2021: do pass Consent Calendar is Senate Bill 1542, Senate Bill 1545. Representative Mah, Chairperson from the Committee on Health Care Licenses reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2021: do pass Consent Calendar is Senate Bill 1078; do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2661; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 3 to House Bill 690; Floor Amendment(s) 2 to Senate Bill 2172. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 305, offered by Representative Haas, is referred to the Rules Committee."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. Leader Butler, for what reason do you rise?"

Butler: "Thank you, Madam Chair. I have an inquiry. I don't see the chair of the Redistricting Committee nor the vice-chair of the Redistricting Committee on the floor. So, maybe I can ask Leader Harris. I don't see anyone from the Redistricting Committee at all on the Democrat side on the floor. The

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Governor just stated, in his press conference, that he does not know what data is being used for maps. So, I'm wondering, number one, when the maps will be introduced. And number two, we have... we have the Executive Committee that's now in recess roll call but the Redistricting Committee has not met. So, do we know what the plan is for the maps? And do we plan on having a recess committee hearing, oh, I don't know, maybe over the weekend? Maybe Sunday? A Sunday night hearing. Today is Wednesday. So, I don't know if, Leader Harris, if you can address since none of the Redistricting Committee's here... are here. Do we know when the maps will be dropped? When the 'transparent' hearing will be on that map? Will it be on Sunday night?"

Speaker Ammons: "Leader Butler, can we get back to you when our chair of Redistricting is on the floor?"

Butler: "You know, I've heard that answer for about three months now. I've asked a variety of questions, all sorts of questions, about the data that's being used, about transparency on the maps, what the public portal's going to be. I get that answer every single time. We demand answers on these questions and you guys have not answered them the entire time. We'd like to know when the maps are going to be drawn... dropped and what the process is. It's May 19. We have 12 days 'til the end of May and we get no answers. The committee people aren't even on the floor here. I did see the chair walking across to the Stratton Building with two Democratic Members when I walked in the building today. I assume going to the map room. But we get no answers. So, yes, please, as

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

soon as possible… as soon as possible, while we're in Session, we would like answers to those questions."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Leader. Representative Batinick...

Leader Batinick, for what reason do you rise?"

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. For a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Ammons: "Please state your point, Sir."

Batinick: "This wonderful young man who sits next to me normally, and I can't find right now, his name is Dane Thull. And it is his, legitimately, 29th birthday today. Just wanted to say happy birthday to the man that does the work for us."

Speaker Ammons: "Happy birthday. Thank you. Representative Morrison, for what reason do you rise, Sir?"

Morrison: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Ammons: "Please state your point."

Morrison: "Thank you. As we're in compliance with the mask mandate here on the floor, and since we're spending a lot more days down here, it's important to replace your mask occasionally if you're not able to wash it. I do have extras down here. These are from the Census Bureau, and they say 'Make It Count'. And it's a really, really good important message to remember for all of us here in Springfield that everybody should be counted in the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Luft...

Representative Luft, for what reason do you rise, Sir?"

Luft: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Personal privilege."

Speaker Ammons: "Please state your point."

Luft: "I stand today to take just a moment to recognize my district director back in Pekin, Leslie Leitner, who worked with me at

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

city hall. When I was elected to State Rep, I asked her to come and run my district office. It took a while for her to say 'yes' going from municipal to State Government, but I convinced her to go on this journey with me. I just want to thank her for her hard work, her quick learning. She makes my life so much easier. She makes sure I'm fed. She makes sure I get to the gym to relieve some stress. She has received more compliments in my district for her help, my constituents, than I personally have myself. I feel it's a must for all of us to recognize staff down here and in our districts who help us, who are part of making this happen. We are all recognized publicly because we're elected officials, and I feel that they should be recognized as well publicly for their part in what we do. So, I would ask everybody just to take a quick second for a round of applause to all of our district staff who make our lives easier."

Speaker Ammons: "Representative Caulkins, for what reason do you rise, Sir?"

Caulkins: "Good morning. A point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Sir. Please state your point."

Caulkins: "Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize a fallen police officer who was murdered last night in Champaign. I know you join me, and Representative Marron join me in this tribute. Last night, at approximately 3 a.m., Officer Chris Oberheim and another Champaign County... city police officer responded to a call for help at a domestic violence dispute at the Town Center Apartments. Upon arriving at the scene and proceeding to offer help, both officers were immediately

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

attacked. Officer Oberheim was murdered. His partner was shot. The suspect was also killed. Officer Chris Oberheim was 44 years old. He carried badge #703. He resided in Monticello with his wife, who is a nurse, and four daughters. One daughter is in college. One daughter is due to graduate from high school this weekend. And two younger girls. Officer Oberheim began his career as a police officer in the City of Decatur. In 2008, he transferred to Champaign to serve and protect that community. Officer Oberheim was professional servant and devoted to public service. His heroism, his bravery and commitment to his fellow citizens cannot be underplayed. This is another tragic event and words cannot express the sadness, the sorrow that we all feel for his family. And we will continue to honor Officer Oberheim in the coming days and years. His memory will last. He will serve as a reminder to all of us that the role that the law enforcement community plays in protecting us cannot ever be minimized. And so, Madam Speaker, I ask for a moment of silence as we offer our prayers to the family of Officer Chris Oberheim, the members of the Champaign's Police Department, and all of those who were his friends and neighbors."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. The Body will take a moment of silence. Thank you, Body. And thank you, Representative Caulkins. Representative Stuart, for what reason do you rise?"

Stuart: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Ammons: "Please state your point, Ma'am."

Stuart: "Thank you. On the note of recognizing the folks that help us back home, my wonderful in-district Kara Candler is

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

celebrating a birthday today. So, if she's listening, I just want to let her know that I'm thinking about her, and I hope she has a happy birthday. Thanks."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. Representative Mason, for what reason do you rise?"

Mason: "Point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Ammons: "Please state your point, please."

Mason: "Thank you. I want to add my condolences to the family of Officer Oberheim and extend best wishes to his partner and to the Champaign County police force. If you notice, folks, they were killed going to a domestic violence call. And from talking with..."

Speaker Ammons: "Members. Members, please rise."

Mason: "...officers in my district and all over, we know that domestic violence calls..."

Speaker Ammons: "Members... Representative Mason, one second, please. Would the Body please rise?"

Mason: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Representative. You may continue."

Mason: "Domestic violence calls are among the most dangerous for law enforcement. And I just call on this Body to do more to recognize domestic violence and the lengthy impacts that it causes to families, to children, to law enforcement. We have to do more. We have to attack it at its root causes. We have to do more to help offenders and to keep... to keep perpetrators from having these weapons that then are used on law enforcement and on family members. It has gone too far. There are too many people being killed. The cycle of violence has

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

to end and I ask everyone to work with me to make that happen. Thank you."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Members. We will start with Senate Bills on Third Reading. We will start with Senate Bill 109, Leader Gabel. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 109, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Ammons: "Representative... Leader Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you. Thank you, Madam Speaker. So, this Bill makes a few changes to the POLST law. It takes out a requirement for a witness, which most other states do not have. Only one other state has it. And it will bring Illinois into alignment with national standards for POLST forms. The Bill was brought to me by a... by a number of physicians who work in this field and is supported by numerous organizations. The Illinois State Medical Society, the Bar Association, Illinois Hospice and Palliative Care, and it is also supported by the... by the catholic hospitals. I appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ammons: "Representative Frese, for what reason do you rise?"

Frese: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Ammons: "She indicates that she will yield."

Frese: "Representative Gabel, in regards to the POLST, that's a pretty important document, is it not? Pretty important document."

Gabel: "It's a document that people can choose to sign at the end... near the end of their life."

Frese: "So, it's near the end of their life, there's some critical decisions that need to be made. And since Illinois has had a

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

POLST agreement, we have always included, I believe... what is it, line... do you have it in front of you? Is it line 4, line 6, a place for the signature of the person filling out the POLST and also a signature of s witness, correct?"

Gabel: "Correct."

Frese: "And you want to change that to eliminate the witness signature?"

Gabel: "Correct."

Frese: "And that..."

Gabel: "It has proven to be difficult at various times and people then have not been able to have their wishes known."

Frese: "So, it's... how difficult is that? I mean, is... how specific...
do I have to qualify or be certified to be a witness?"

Gabel: "Well, what happens is people are either in the hospital or oftentimes they're in a nursing home, and nursing homes don't allow any random person to be a witness. And the hospitals don't either. So, it's difficult to find them at that time."

Frese: "So, a witness can be a friend of the family? It could be a family member?"

Gabel: "Yeah, it can be a family member. It can be a friend. But they have to be present. They have to be..."

Frese: "Could be a clergy?"

Gabel: "They have to be present. Yes."

Frese: "Yeah, and I think about every hospital that I've ever been in has members of the clergy that are always on... in the hospital making rounds, doing something. So, I can't buy the argument that there's just not going to be people around so that's why we need to eliminate it. How many signatures do

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

you need on a... say if you're filling out a document like a will?"

Gabel: "I don't know."

Frese: "I think... I think you need two. Is that... if anybody would confirm that. I think you need two to sign for a will. How about if you get married? Do you have to have a witness if you're signing a marriage certificate? Do you know, Representative? Need a witness for that?"

Gabel: "I don't know. You tell me. Do you?"

Frese: "I'm just thinking..."

Gabel: "I mean, I get your point. I understand your point, but this is... nobody has to fill this out. They don't have to fill this out. This is a choice to fill out this form."

Frese: "And it's not a small decision to make, is it?"

Gabel: "No, it isn't."

Frese: "It's a very important..."

Gabel: "But it is someone's choice."

Frese: "It is very, very important. And a lot of times people will turn to someone who they have faith in to confide in them, to say, am I making this the right decision? Can... and I think I am. Can you confirm it?"

Gabel: "And they... they will still have that opportunity to speak to their family members, to speak to anybody they'd like to before they sign it. Nobody's pressuring anyone to sign this form. People can take as long as they would like."

Frese: "So, there's no pressure. There's no certification that I need to be a witness."

Gabel: "Well, the providers..."

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Frese: "Family member and a clergy member could be there to sign it, but yet, we want to eliminate that witness signature. I would think, at times like that, it's probably consoling for the individual to have someone there to sign with them to show that, yes, I'm making the right decision. That can be... and I think we're taking that away from them if we take that witness signature away. Can you... could you see that point of it, Representative?"

Gabel: "I... we're not taking any decision away from them."

Frese: "No, we're not."

Gabel: "This allows them to make their own decision at that time.

They are available. They can speak with whoever they want to,
their family, their friends. I have been to many, many, many
hospital rooms and nursing homes in the last few years."

Frese: "So have I."

Gabel: "Well... and I will tell you that for many of them, there is no one visiting them. There is no one that comes to the hospital. No family, no friends, no one."

Frese: "Okay. If I could, I'll just go to the Bill, I believe. I guess I would reach out to all my colleagues on the floor, 117 other Members. If it was your mother, your father, if it was your significant other, would you want to be there for them and show your sign or support by being able to have your name with theirs sign on as a witness? This is a very, very important document. And I think it behooves us to take into consideration the will of the person who has to sign that and their loved ones who would also like to be there to sign it with them. I would encourage further work on this. I know several other options were offered and declined. I think

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

there's probably work we could do to still reach a decision.

I would encourage a 'no' vote. Thank you very much."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. Representative Reick is recognized."

Reick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ammons: "She indicates that she will."

Reick: "Thank you. Representative, the form that we have in place right now requires a witness signature. Is that correct?"

Gabel: "It requires two signatures from health care professionals, signature of the patient, and right now it has its place for a witness. Which, as I said, 40 other states, only 1 of the other states has it."

Reick: "We're not worried about other... 40 other states. We're worried about Illinois."

Gabel: "Well, we're worried about..."

Reick: "Here's the question..."

Gabel: "We're also worried about being able to use... being accepted into the national POLST forms."

Reick: "I... I have a question... I have a question for you. What has changed since that form was originally done and drafted to now, where we don't think that we need a witness signature?"

Gabel: "Providers have been using this form and they have found it inadequate. They have found that it prevents someone from having that form be fully... fully responded to because it doesn't have... because they don't have a witness to sign it. So, therefore, the form will be... not be valid."

Reick: "I... I find it..."

Gabel: "And so, people's last wishes will not be implemented."

Reick: "I find it very difficult for someone who is in a position, like in a hospital or a nursing home, or someplace like that,

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

to not be able to come up with a witness, be it the janitor walking by the door come in and say, would you please sign to attest to the fact that this person is signing this document. You don't need to determine whether or not that person is signing it for any particular purpose, just the fact that it's being signed by a... by that person."

Gabel: "Sir... Sir, you would..."

Reick: "And I guess the… no, I have another point, please. The other question I have is, witnesses usually are hesitant to sign a document or to witness something where they think the person doing the signing maybe is not of the mental capacity or the… or that to knowingly know what they're signing. What you're doing is you're taking away another layer of protection to a person who may not have the mental capacity to do this kind of thing, don't you think?"

Gabel: "The doctor would not ask somebody that doesn't have the mental capacity to sign a form like this."

Reick: "The doctor is maybe at..."

Gabel: "Doctors are only... they only will ask... one of the requirements is that the person knows what they're doing and wants to sign the form. There is... either way, either they want life saving measures or not. But it... the person has to be fully cognitive and able to sign the form."

Reick: "And, of course, we are believing the fact that... you know, I trust my doctor. I trust pretty much every doctor. But at the same time, there are antidotal stories of doctors who are doing things that are contravention of their patients' best interests. And I think that taking away the guidelines or the guardrail of somebody... to the Bill. Taking away the guardrails

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

of somebody who is in the last stages of their life, when life is so precious that it's so short, and it's so precious at that point. I cannot imagine signing a... having a doctor tell me to sign a document without having someone attest to the fact that this was my final wish. I strongly urge a 'no' vote to this Bill. Just because 40 other states have done it does not mean that we should. I strongly urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Ammons: "For further discussion, Representative Keicher is recognized for a question."

Keicher: "Madam, will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Ammons: "She indicates that she will."

Keicher: "All right. Representative, I have a couple questions from a personal situation that I'd like clarification on this. My grandfather, Donald Soderquist, about the most stubborn Swede you would ever want to meet, was suffering from cancer in 2006 through 2008. Had gone through a number of sufferings. He had executed a health care power of attorney with his instructions. Later, towards the end of his illness, he was rushed to the emergency room with internal bleeding. His health care power of attorney could not be located. The doctors advised that they should go ahead and execute a pulling of the plug and allow him to die peacefully. A lack of clarity with his final understanding that could not be located, triggered my mother, his eldest daughter, to suggest that they continue to administer lifesaving treatment to him. He'd expressed to the doctor in that room, in a set... in a setting surrounded by machines keeping him alive, that he

37th Legislative Day

- didn't wish to continue on. What would have happened in that case in my grandfather's matter?"
- Gabel: "If he had signed a POLST form?"
- Keicher: "If he was in the hospital and was approached with the doctor's guidance that they should no longer continue the lifesaving treatments."
- Gabel: "If he desired to sign the form, he would sign the form.

 And at this time, they would look for a witness to agree to sign the form as well and another health care provider."
- Keicher: "Okay. And what would've been the context and the evaluation that those providers would've looked at? Would they have offered his expressed desire to go ahead and end those treatments?"
- Gabel: "If that was his desire. I believe it's if you are going to get life CPR and other lifesaving measures."
- Keicher: "Okay. So, what about this situation where we're in for internal bleeding and we're seeking medical attention through the course of days?"
- Gabel: "Unless there's a POLST form, my understanding is that they are required to do whatever is necessary to..."
- Keicher: "And that POLST form could be executed bedside in a situation like I described?"
- Gabel: "If he's of sound mind."
- Keicher: "So, this is where my struggle is on this. And, folks, I think this is a very important realization to have. My mother, through the sadness of an eldest daughter, watching her father suffer and not having the certainty of knowing what his final wishes were through his health care power of attorney, made the decision to continue lifesaving

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

treatments. My grandfather survived that day, survived that hospital stay and lived another six years, vibrantly, and got to visit and see four additional great-grandchildren and two marriages in his family. I have concerns with this Bill because of gaps like this, where someone going through it in the midst should have a family member or prior authorization witnessed outside of the confines of the current medical environment that they find themselves in. I encourage the Body to save our elderly, who may not be thinking straight and defer to their family, to please vote 'no' against this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no further discussion, the Chair recognizes Representative Gabel to close."

Gabel: "So, thank you. And thank you for all that discussion. As I said, the patient would have to be of sound mind. And it is unlikely that a doctor would suddenly say, do you want this form or not, at a moment like that. If someone has severe internal bleeding, to me, they would not be cognitively available to sign a form like this. This Bill was brought to me by physicians in the field who have found it to be a barrier. And... and as I said, it doesn't match what other states do and is not part of the national POLST form. So, people who come here with a POLST form from other states, it is not valid here. As I said, there are very... numerous organizations, health care organizations who have supported ... who support this. Again, the Illinois Organization of Nurse Leaders, Academy of Family Physicians, Advocate Aurora Health Care, Northwestern Medicine, Illinois State Medical Society, and various others. You know, it's always a difficult decision

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

and no one takes this lightly. People take this very seriously. But, as I said, people want to be able to make their own decisions and sometimes having a witness is a barrier to making their own decision. So, please, let's align this with what 40 other states have done and allow people to make their own decisions about life and death. Thank you. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ammons: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 109 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Thank you. Next, we'll move to Senate Bill 116, Representative Morgan. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 116, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Ammons: "Representative Morgan is recognized."

Morgan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Good afternoon, everyone. Senate Bill 116 has several components. It began with the efforts to make sure that corporations, in the age of COVID, were able to meet with their boards virtually. This has expanded to adopt several changes that the Illinois Secretary of State has been looking to make as part of ordinary course of updating some of their business corporation laws. Frankly, from my experience, this is going to make it easier for businesses to be able to adjust to different changes as they go. This allows temporary changes and interim changes to be

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

made more easily. It allows businesses to change their decision making as they go, based on any number of considerations. It reduces some of the fees that we have for businesses. So, again, this is supportive for businesses throughout the State of Illinois. And I welcome any questions."

Speaker Ammons: "Is there any discussion? I... Representative Mazzochi is recognized."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ammons: "He indicates that he will."

Mazzochi: "Representative Morgan, how many businesses have you set up using the limited liability corporation form?"

Morgan: "That's a great question. In my private practice, probably a few dozen."

Mazzochi: "All right. How many LLCs have you set up to protect minority stakes of members to prevent against abuses by majority members or managerial powers?"

Morgan: "None."

Mazzochi: "All right. How many have you actually been involved in the winding up, again, to try to protect minority interests and particular LLC entities?"

Morgan: "I'm sorry, Representative. I couldn't hear your question."

Mazzochi: "Yeah. How many... how many LLCs have you been involved with where you have to actually engage in the winding up efforts and you've had to litigate disputes involving those efforts?"

Morgan: "It was hard hear... engaging in which part? I couldn't hear that."

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Mazzochi: "The revocation, or dissolution, or winding up process associated with the LLCs."

Morgan: "Handful over the years."

Mazzochi: "Okay. And you've litigated those?"

Morgan: "I have not. I'm not a litigator."

Mazzochi: "All right. Well, if you look..."

Morgan: "Or a former federal prosecutor."

Mazzochi: "Well, if you look at pages, I believe it's around 25 to 26 of the Bill, particularly page 25, line 16 to 18, it allows a majority of members or the managers to revoke a termination, correct?"

Morgan: "Correct."

Mazzochi: "Now, oftentimes, in operating agreements, you will have restrictions on... well, actually, in an LLC corporate form, the manager is not required to be a member, right?"

Morgan: "Could you repeat that question?"

Mazzochi: "Yeah. It's common in LLCs for the manager of the LLC to not necessarily be a member of the LLC, true?"

Morgan: "Correct."

Mazzochi: "Okay. So, you have a situation here where a manager could take steps to engage in a revocation process even though the members disagree the way in which this is written."

Morgan: "Yeah. And, Representative, we did have this discussion, as you know. For the rest of the Body, we did have this conversation and discussion in committee. And one of the things that the Illinois Secretary of State emphasized is that the discussion of minority shareholder rights is an important one and one that we all agree needs to be a broader conversation. But the dissolution provision... the termination

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

provision that we're talking about here would not be the appropriate place that those discussions and those negotiations would be generally happening, in the view of the Secretary of State."

Mazzochi: "Yeah. And it's nice that... that the representative from the Secretary of State's Office thinks that. But in practical reality, when you actually do get into a situation involving disputes, the whole reason why you have all of these different steps set forth in terms of winding up, dissolution, termination, revocation steps is because there are frequently disagreements. Not only how they're carried out, but who actually gets to carry them out. So, number one, this part of the statute where you've got the members or the manager can make decisions means that you can have ... you could comply with the statute but you could have diametrically opposed outcomes. And that's not something that can actually be resolved in the winding up stage. It arises precisely because the manager may have a difference of opinion when it comes to the majority of the members. You also agree that the language does not differentiate when it comes to membership between voting members and nonvoting members, right?"

Morgan: "Correct. It addresses members and managers separately.

Right."

Mazzochi: "Right. And there are many instances in LLCs where you will craft them where you will have people who will have... who will be unit owners who are nonvoting and unit owners who are voting, right?"

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Morgan: "So, Representative, again, I think it's important to talk about why we're doing this and what this is dealing with.

This is dealing with a change..."

Mazzochi: "Well, I'd like you to just answer my question, yes or no."

Morgan: "Well, part of the answer to your question is that that is not really the function of this change. So, it's important to talk about why we're changing this legislation. In this legislation, the change has to do with when a LLC changes the direction that they have moved forward with the Secretary of State. It allows them, which we don't currently have in statute, does not allow them right now, to change their mind with regards to termination. It allows a company, an LLC, to change when they have a majority of votes from the members or managers, as you discussed, to change. A number of states have..."

Mazzochi: "But..."

Morgan: "Hold on. A number of states have that provision. And the point of doing this is to make it easier for businesses as they... as you know, because of the litigation in the businesses you've been involved with, to be able to change direction of a company based on any number of factors that you and I can't contemplate."

Mazzochi: "Well, here..."

Morgan: "This makes it easier for businesses to be able to adapt to that."

Mazzochi: "But see it doesn't because when the language that you're using is introducing ambiguity, it actually makes it harder to figure out what is legally permissible and what is

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

not. Now, I agree with you that there's other states that have revocation language. And maybe if we were using some of the better states that actually have more clean and clear language, I wouldn't have an objection to this. But that's the whole point, you're using bad language on this term that is not going... that is actually going to create more problems in many instances than it solves because you're not clear on who actually has the line of authority. Let me ask you this. Do you believe that Delaware is often viewed as the gold standard for corporate law?"

- Morgan: "Representative, if you have any questions about his legislation, I'm happy to answer it."
- Mazzochi: "No, I'm asking you. You're an attorney. Weren't you taught in law school that everybody flocks to Delaware because, usually, their corporate law and their chancery court is so good?"
- Morgan: "Representative, I'm here to answer any questions about this legislation."
- Mazzochi: "All right. Well, one of the things that I raised during committee is that other states, particularly Delaware, which everyone usually looks to as a model, has a provision in it that says, 'unless the operating agreement says otherwise, here's a process for termination'. And that's a very important distinction. Because when you say that whatever you want to do on this revocation process is still going to be controlled by the operating agreement, that is a provision that allows you to then figure out who actually has control over the managers, who has control over the members, who's a voting member, who's a nonvoting member. Delaware has that language.

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

And I told you, if you had that type of provision in here that would resolve a lot of the concerns. But you refuse to put that in. Is that correct?"

Morgan: "I rely on the experts, it's the Illinois Secretary of State that deal with thousands of corporations on a weekly and monthly basis that you and I do not."

"They deal with managerial issues. The Illinois Mazzochi: Secretary of State does not have knowledge in how to interperate... how to draft operation agreements, how to interpret operating agreements and things that people put structurally into operating agreements to make sure that minority members are not going to get screwed over by a majority. And what this particular Bill is doing is actually making... it's taking things that people carefully negotiate in the context of an operating agreement, particularly if you're a nonvoting member, if you're a voting member, if you're an investor member, if you're a passive investor, if you're an active investor. The State of Illinois is basically putting a hammer on this for what should be a very fine tuned process that adequately balances a whole host of issues. So, to the Bill. I have drafted, also, hundreds, if not thousands, of LLC operating agreements. I would never, ever advise my clients to allow the State of Illinois to take precedent on this type of thing to the exclusion of the operating agreement. If we want to actually encourage people to incorporate in Illinois, which is what supposedly we've been trying to do by lowering fees and trying to clean up our statutes, we shouldn't actually make out law less impactful and give members in LLCs less protections than they would get

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

in other states, particularly if they're a minority member. So, I urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Ammons: "For further discussion, Representative Chesney is recognized."

Chesney: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ammons: "He indicates that he will."

Chesney: "Thank you. Representative, does this legislation have any impact on taxes or fees?"

Morgan: "Yes. This reduces fees."

Chesney: "Okay. And is there any business groups that are opposed to this? Is there any..."

Morgan: "Not that I'm aware of."

Chesney: "...any opposition at all?"

Morgan: "Other than the discussion that we had in committee, I'm not aware of any opposition."

Chesney: "Thank you."

Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Morgan to close."

Morgan: "Thank you, Madam Chair. I urge an 'aye' vote, largely because this is going to help a tremendous number of businesses in the State of Illinois. We talk a lot about making things easier for businesses. This reduces fees. This makes it easier for businesses to adapt to a changing world and a changing workplace. This is going to help businesses in Illinois. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ammons: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 116 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the
voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

record. On this question, there are 100 voting 'aye', 15 voted 'nay', and 1 voting 'present', and 2 'no' votes (sic-not voting). Having received the Constitutional Majority, this Bill is declared passed. Next, we'll move to Senate Bill 139. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 139, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Ammons: "Representative Williams is recognized."

Williams, A.: "Thank you so much, Madam Speaker. This Bill is an initiative of the Cook County Clerk and does two basic things. It provides for gender-neutral language to be utilized on a marriage certificate if the parties so choose. Number two, it allows consistency in the changing of gender on a marriage certificate, which may be appropriate with a transgender couple. So, right now, many counties do already provide for these things, but some do not. So, this just simply provides consistency throughout the state so that people can modify their... their birth... I'm sorry, their marriage certificates to accommodate these very important changes to families. Happy to ask... answer any questions."

Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no Members seeking recognition on this, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 139 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There being 78 'ayes', 30 'nays', and 1 voting 'present', this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Move to Senate Bill 337. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 337, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Stuart is recognized for Senate Bill 337."
- Stuart: "Thank you. Senate Bill 337 just simply allows for the hiring of court security officers in our Appellate Court system."
- Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no Members seeking recognition on this Bill, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 337 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There being 116 voting 'yay', 1 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present', this Senate Bill, receiving the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Next, we'll move to Senate Bill 501. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 501, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Wheeler is recognized for Senate Bill 501."
- Wheeler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 501 simply updates and improves the way that townships with duplicate names are handled in the State of Illinois."
- Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no Members seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 501 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting in 'favor', 1 voting 'nay'. And the Bill

37th Legislative Day

- has received the Constitutional Majority, and hereby declared passed. Next, we will move to Senate Bill 515. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 515, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Williams is recognized for Senate Bill 515."
- Williams, A.: "Thank you so much, Madam Speaker. This Bill provides that, for municipalities that opt to utilize the privatized water company, they are not limited to just the very large two companies that exist. But this changes the bar to allow valuation at the fair market value for public utilities that are smaller, giving municipalities an option to go with better affordable, more pro-consumer options when it comes to water companies. Happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Ammons: "No Members seeking recognition at this time. The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 515 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 117 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Next, we will move to Senate Bill 676. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 676, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Gong-Gershowitz is recognized for Senate Bill 676."

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Gong-Gershowitz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 676 is a simple Bill supported by the Secretary of State's Office that would allow the Secretary of State to grant a 90-day extension of a temporary driver's license. Currently we only issue 2 temporary extensions for a total of 180 days and then the driver cannot renew after that time. This would allow us to issue a third extension for 90 days, which would enable those who have already qualified and been granted a temporary driver's license but whose license has expired while waiting for paperwork from the Federal Government, that would just confirm their continued eligibility. While this Bill is not limited to immigrants who are lawfully eligible for a temporary driver's license, it most often applies in a situation where somebody has already qualified and received a temporary driver's license. But because of backlog and paperwork at the federal level, their driver's license expires before they have an opportunity to renew. This is a simple solution to ensure that people are lawfully behind the wheel. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Representative. Leader Butler is recognized. For what reason do you rise?"

Butler: "A few questions of the Sponsor, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. She indicates she will yield."

Butler: "Representative, I know you and I have talked offline a little bit about this. I just want to clarify because we have a little bit of, I think, confusion in committee. You weren't able to be in committee, so somebody else presented it for you. So, this... this applies mostly to people who are in this country legally, who have... are conditional permanent... or

37th Legislative Day

- looking for conditional permanent residency. Is that correct?"
- Gong-Gershowitz: "That's correct. So, in order to be eligible for a temporary driver's license, you have to show that you have lawful presence. So, these are folks who have already demonstrated their eligibility for a temporary driver's license but sometimes their paperwork is held up due to backlog at the federal level. And then, they... you know, their driver's license expires. And, currently, we don't have a way to extend it past 180 days."
- Butler: "And so, this kind of makes us comply with what state...
 excuse me, Federal Law now, the process for federal... going
 through the conditional status for permanent residency,
 correct? They've extended the timeline for that. So, we're
 just kind of catching up to what the feds timeline is for
 that. Is that correct?"
- Gong-Gershowitz: "Yeah. And this really doesn't impact the immigration case at all. This just enables folks who are here lawfully to drive behind the wheel lawfully while they're waiting for their paperwork to come in from the Federal Government."
- Butler: "And this has nothing to do with undocumented driver's license or anything like that? This... correct?"
- Gong-Gershowitz: "This is not the TVDL, no."
- Butler: "Exactly. And just let... my last... I know Representative Caulkins, I think, had a similar idea on this. And so, it's a similar thing that he wanted to see put through as well, right?"

37th Legislative Day

- Gong-Gershowitz: "Yes. This would actually help Representative Caulkins' constituent. It's just a simpler way to go about it without giving the Secretary of State a whole bunch of extra things to do."
- Butler: "Okay. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Ammons: "Leader Butler... I mean, I'm sorry. Thank you, Leader Butler. Leader Brady is recognized for a question."
- Brady: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Ammons: "She indicates that she will."
- Brady: "Representative, does this Bill address, at all, those who may be visiting in our state, here in Illinois, from a different country and are driving on what they have in the way of a... equivalent driver's license or some form of driver's license in our state temporarily while they may be here visiting, let's say, a family member for instance? Does this address that at all?"
- Gong-Gershowitz: "Yeah, sorry, Leader Brady. I'm just trying to understand your question. This would not impact somebody who would be trying to drive with it... a foreign driver's license. Typically... I mean, you have to have a driver's license issued by the Secretary of State of Illinois to drive lawfully in Illinois, unless, you know, there is... this provision doesn't impact, I don't think, the situation you're talking about."
- Brady: "So, if they're here driving in Illinois with what is an equivalent driver's license from whatever country they may be visiting from, and this Bill does not address that in any way, shape, or form for a temporary license, even if they're only here visiting a family member, let's say, for a month or

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

something like that? So, this Bill does not address that at all?"

Gong-Gershowitz: "It does not address that at all."

Brady: "And are you aware of what does address that particular scenario of how someone drives on a license from a different country and may be driving in Illinois, does not either understand or have any experience in the rules of the road in Illinois, but yet, is somehow allowed to drive on the roads of Illinois with whatever they have in the way of a license from their country?"

Gong-Gershowitz: "Yeah. So, honestly, that's beyond the scope of this Bill. And so, I don't have an answer to that particular question."

Brady: "Okay."

Gong-Gershowitz: "I know, for example, if I travel overseas and I rent a car when I'm on vacation, there are, sometimes, some reciprocity laws that will allow people on vacation to rent a car and... you know, as a tourist. But that's not what this is for."

Brady: "Got it."

Gong-Gershowitz: "We don't give Illinois driver's licenses to people in that situation."

Brady: "This is when there's been a process... then your legislation's talking about something that's already in the process of application for a license?"

Gong-Gershowitz: "Yeah. So, this is... this is limited to Illinois residents. So, you have to prove that you are an Illinois resident in order to get an Illinois driver's license."

Brady: "Yeah, I'm..."

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Gong-Gershowitz: "So, this would not..."

Brady: "I'm not... not illiterate. I can understand what you're saying, okay? All right. So, your Bill, in no way, shape, or form addressed what my question was."

Gong-Gershowitz: "No, it is not."

Brady: "Okay. All right."

Gong-Gershowitz: "It's beyond the scope of this Bill."

Brady: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Ammons: "Representative Caulkins is recognized. For what reason do you rise?"

Caulkins: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Ammons: "She indicates that she will."

Caulkins: "To the Bill. This Bill is for people who are here legally. It is a good Bill. It will help them solve a problem that didn't exist before. And that... that problem was that you couldn't get... you could get through this immigration process. Now that the backlog and the COVID is taking months, and months, and months to get through the immigration process, the people that are here legally applying for legal status end up losing their driving privileges. This corrects that. These people will be able to continue to drive, go to work, get their kids to and from school. This is a good Bill, and I appreciate Representative Gong-Gershowitz carrying this Bill. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no other Members recognized... requesting to be recognized at this time, Representative Gong-Gershowitz to close."

Gong-Gershowitz: "I request an 'aye' vote."

37th Legislative Day

- Speaker Ammons: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 676 pass?'
 All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there 117 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'nay'. This Bill has received the Constitutional Majority, and is hereby declared passed. We'll move to Senate Bill 2079. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2079, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Gong-Gershowitz for Senate Bill 2079."
- "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 2079 Gong-Gershowitz: amends the current VOICES Act to outline protocols for law enforcement agencies for U visa immigration certifications related to victims of qualifying crimes under Federal Law. The goal is to enhance consistency and transparency between enforcement and victims, with respect certification requests are processed and decided throughout the state. The U visa program was created by Congress in 2001, under the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Prevention Act, to build trust and ensure that immigrant communities feel safe to report crime and cooperate with law enforcement. In order for an immigrant survivor of crime to qualify for a U or a T visa, they must first obtain a signed certification from the relevant law enforcement agency affirming the applicant's willingness to cooperate in the investigation or the prosecution of the case. As such, local law enforcement agencies play a huge role in determining who is eligible for

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

a U visa, and they do not all currently adhere to the same standards, which leads to confusion and erodes trust. Senate Bill 2079 does three things to improve consistency and transparency in the certification process. Senate Bill 2079 requires that a designating certifying... designated certifying official the process to certification requests either issue a signed certification or a written denial. Provides an opportunity and a time frame for appeal. And allows victims of crime to seek equitable relief for the... from the Circuit Court without needing to exhaust administrative remedies. This is an initiative of the Cook County State's Attorney's Office to encourage victims of crime to report and assist in the investigations and prosecutions of criminal activity. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Ammons: "Is there any discussion? Leader Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. This Bill is supported by Kim Foxx of the Cook County State's Attorney's Office and it is opposed by the Sheriffs' Association. Vote accordingly."

Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Gong-Gershowitz... the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2079 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'yay', 46 voting 'nay'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Next on the Calendar is Senate Bill 2107. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2107, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Halpin is recognized for Senate Bill 2107."
- Halpin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill, as you know, several years ago we passed and created the Downstate Police Officers' Pension Investment Fund and the Firefighters' Pension Investment Fund to consolidate the funds for investment purposes. Those individual funds now have employees, and this Bill allows them to participate in the IMRF pension system. I know of no opposition. Happy to answer any questions. I'd ask for a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. Seeing no Members seeking recognition on this Bill, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2107 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 118 Members voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2129. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2129, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Cassidy. Out of the record. Next, we'll move to Senate Bill 2201. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2201, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Speaker Ammons: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 2278. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2278, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Ammons: "Representative West is recognized for Senate Bill 2278."

West: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 2278 is an initiative of my Senate Sponsor and the United Way of Rock River Valley. The intent is to create a board similar to the 708 Board, governed by the Illinois Community Mental Health Act with the purpose of overseeing funds from the Special Retailers' Occupation Tax For Public Safety, Public Facilities, Mental Health, Substance Abuse, Transportation. As we know, our 708 Board are mental health authorities that passed through referendum and are funded by a property tax levy. This is a focus on a referendum that we had on the ballot May of 2020, right when the pandemic started, to increase our sales tax to fund mental health programs and resources. And it passed overwhelmingly by 64... at 64 percent. So this... Senate Bill 2278 amends the Counties Code to require every county to provide that counties that have imposed this referendum has a seven member mental health board to oversee the use of the funds. During committee, I had a couple of questions from the Representative from Shelbyville, and wanted to let you know that I have your answer. How many counties will this affect? Winnebago County, and Winnebago County only. The county that I represent. And how are the individuals selected? We have retired chief executives of hospitals on this board and mental health

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

advocates. So, the board is made up of those who are focused on mental health. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote and would entertain any questions you may have."

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. Is there any discussion?

Representative Halbrook is recognized. For what reason do you rise?"

Halbrook: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A question of the Sponsor." Speaker Ammons: "He indicates he will yield."

Halbrook: "Thank you, Representative, for answering my questions.

I know we had questions in committee and we followed up on the House floor. So, I appreciate your continued conversation. Just want to kind of bring through and kind of talk about my reasoning for my vote in committee. I was concerned that this... creating this new board, or new panel, is kind of duplicative because we already have a 708 Board and a... potentially a county health board. And so, I was just kind of concerned that it was kind of increasing the size of government. And I was also concerned about the potential of not being able to find enough panelists in some of our smaller counties, which are still kind of concerns of mine. Just a question I've got for you here. Is... this is not creating another tax, correct?"

West: "Correct."

Halbrook: "Right. That tax is already there if the voters in that county approve that by referendum, correct?"

West: "Correct."

Halbrook: "All right. I just wanted to be clear. Representative, you probably... you're going to see some different colored lights on this side, maybe red or green, but I appreciate

37th Legislative Day

- your answering my questions and for the discussion. Thank you."
- West: "Thank you, Sir."
- Speaker Ammons: "Seeing no other Members seeking recognition, Representative West to close."
- West: "Thank you so much. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Ammons: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2278 pass?'
 All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 3 of the Calendar, is Senate Bills on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read Senate Bill 64."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 64, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. The Bill was read for a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read Senate Bill 71."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 71, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 80. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 80, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

37th Legislative Day

- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 81, Representative Mason. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 81, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 153, Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 153, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 259, Representative Burke. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 259, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. The Bill was read for a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 265, Representative Barbara Hernandez. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 265, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 267, Representative Guzzardi. Out of the record. Senate Bill 336, Representative Stuart. Out of the record. Senate Bill 340, Representative LaPointe. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 340, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee

37th Legislative Day

- Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative LaPointe."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. I'm sorry. Representative LaPointe, would you please explain the Amendment?"
- LaPointe: "The Amendment to Senate Bill 340 changes the extension of the sunset."
- Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. Representative LaPointe moves adoption of Floor Amendment #... Floor Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those say opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 521, Representative Zalewski. Out of the record. Senate Bill 525, Representative Halpin. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 525, a Bill for an Act concerning labor relations. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 573, Representative D'Amico. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 573, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 626, Representative Cassidy. Out of the record. Senate Bill 632, Representative Burke. Out of the record. Senate Bill 636, Representative Gong-Gershowitz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 636, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 685, Representative West. Out of the record. Senate Bill 730, Representative Didech. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 730, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 755, Representative Scherer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 755, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. The Bill was read for a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 805, Representative Greenwood. Representative Greenwood, Senate Bill 805. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 805, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 808, Representative Guzzardi. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 808, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

37th Legislative Day

- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 812, Representative Mussman. Out of the record, please. Senate Bill 813, Representative Mayfield. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 813, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 820. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 820, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 825, Representative Smith. Out of the record. On page 4, there's Senate Bill 267, Representative Guzzardi. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 267, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 626, Representative Cassidy. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 626, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note has been requested."
- Speaker Ammons: "Hold this Bill on the Order of Second, please."
- Clerk Bolin: "The fiscal note that has been requested has been filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. On page 6 of the Calendar, is Senate Bill 1590... 61... 1561, Representative Gong-Gershowitz.

 Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

37th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1561, a Bill for an Act concerning human rights. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1632, Representative LaPointe. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1632, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. The Bill was read for a second time, previously.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1646, Representative Marron. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1646, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. The Bill was read for a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 has been adopted. A fiscal note has been requested on the Bill and has not yet been filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Hold on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 1667, Representative Welter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1730, Representative Didech. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1730, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1799, Representative Willis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1799, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

37th Legislative Day

- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1845, Representative Harper. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1846, Representative Hernandez. Representative Lisa Hernandez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1920, Representative Stuart. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1920, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. The Bill was read for a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1965, Representative Ford. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1966, Representative Mayfield. Please read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1966, a Bill for an Act concerning mental health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2007, Representative Guzzardi. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2007, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Guzzardi."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Guzzardi for Floor Amendment #1."
- Guzzardi: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Members. Floor
 Amendment 1 contains various technical changes to the Bill
 that represent a compromise between the proponents and the
 various public health agencies."
- Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Representative Guzzardi. Third Reading. Oh, I'm sorry. Representative Guzzardi moves

37th Legislative Day

- adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2007. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. And in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Thank you. Third Reading. Senate Bill 2066, Representative Zalewski. Out of the record. Moving to page 8, Senate Bill 2122, Representative Slaughter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2133, Representative Harris. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2150, Representative Niemerg. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2150, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2153, Representative Zalewski. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2153, a Bill for an Act concerning nursing. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2179, Representative Williams. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2179, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2183, Representative Zalewski. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2183, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No

37th Legislative Day

- Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2193, Representative Ortiz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2193, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2194, Representative Evans. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2235, Representative Davis. Out of the record. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2235, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2240, Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2240, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. On page 9 of the Calendar is Senate Bill 2265, Representative Hammond. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2265, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Hammond."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Hammond for Floor Amendment 1."

37th Legislative Day

- Hammond: "Thank... thank you, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 is strictly a technical Amendment changing the, 'including, but not limited to, a licensed practical nurse', and 'licensed practical nurse will mean advanced practice registered nurse, a registered nurse, or a licensed practical nurse.' Thank you."
- Speaker Ammons: "Thank you, Representative Hammond.

 Representative Hammond moves for the adoption of Floor

 Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2265. All those is favor say
 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. And in the opinion of the

 Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any
 further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2290, Representative Mayfield. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2290, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Mayfield."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Mayfield."
- Mayfield: "Floor Amendment 3 makes two technical changes. Rather than an amount of \$50, it provides for an amount up to \$50. And it provides language that creates a special fund within the state treasury. Ask for movement."
- Speaker Ammons: "Representative Mayfield moves adoption of Floor Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 2290. All those in favor vote 'aye'... I'm sorry. All those is favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. And in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'

37th Legislative Day

- have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2370, Representative Slaughter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2531, Representative DeLuca. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2531, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2664, Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2664, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Ammons: "Third Reading. Representative Bourne, for what reason do you rise, Ma'am?"
- Bourne: "Thank you, Madam Chair. An inquiry of the Chair."
- Speaker Ammons: "State your inquiry."
- Bourne: "Thank you. I don't see the chairwoman of the Redistricting Committee on the floor anymore, but I do see Members of the Redistricting Commission. So, I just wanted to ask, again, if there are any answers from the Chair from the previous questions we've asked about the data being used, when the maps will be dropped, or any other details you would like to share, in the name of transparency, with this Body or the public?"

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Speaker Ammons: "Thank you for your inquiry. We will pass that on to the chair when they are available. Thank you."

Bourne: "Okay. Thank you. Then a point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Ammons: "Please state your point."

Bourne: "Thank you. To the Body. Today we heard from the Governor in his press availability at the Illinois Department of Transportation that, 'I have not seen what the Democrats have come up with, ' in regards to a map. And, 'I don't actually know all of the data being used.' So, if we have heard from this chamber, from the Governor, from advocates across this state, from 55 advocacy organizations who have asked for transparency, asked for the data being used... we've heard about this transparent open process, and yet, the Governor, who I expect you all are expecting will sign the maps, 'hasn't seen the map and doesn't actually know all of the data being used.' If we are going to have a truly transparent process where the people of Illinois are able to have any kind of meaningful input, we at least have to know what data is being used to draw the maps. We continue to ask on this floor. We have asked in committee. And we have still had no answers. And my guess is we're not going to get an answer until there is a Bill filed in the House or the Senate, who knows. And even then, we may not know all of the data being used. This is a mockery of the process. The people of Illinois deserve better. I would ask... this is a formal request for the data that you are using to draw the legislative maps behind your closed doors. Thank you."

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Speaker Ammons: "Representative Davis, for what reason do you rise, Sir?"

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is an announcement to all the Members in the chamber. I'm looking for Representative Butler. Send him a text if he's anywhere nearby and maybe he'll just appear on the floor at some point. But I take this opportunity to announce the formation of ... the formation of what is called the Illinois Statehouse Taiwan Friendship Caucus. It is an effort to continue to build relationships with the Country of Taiwan. Oh, there he is. Thank you. I, along with Representative Butler ... I guess that's for you, not so much me. Okay. Well, that's okay though. We are announcing the formation of the Illinois Statehouse Taiwan Caucus, where we'll have the opportunity to build relationship with the Country of Taiwan. Over the years, if you look back through legislative history, you'll see that I introduced Resolutions to continue to support their efforts. They are a democracy, and I think that's very important to note. So, they have asked that we work in collaboration to establish a caucus. There is a sister caucus being established on the other side of the building, in the Senate. And so, to commemorate the establishment and the announcement of this caucus, Representative Butler and I would like to invite any Member that would like to come, obviously, to be a part of our caucus. And there will be a little... a something a little formal to follow, there's a Resolution that I think I will introduce to kind of ... to establish the caucus, if you will. And we're looking for any Member that wants to be on the Resolution as an inaugural Member of the establishment of the

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

caucus, of course, to let me know so we can file all the names appropriately. But to commemorate today's event, as we're announcing in this chamber and they're announcing in the Senate chamber, all Members... and you should have this email. Your secretary should have this email by now, sent by my secretary, Liz Kelley, to celebrate the event, along with the Senate Members. We're inviting you to meet Johnson Chiang, who's the Director General of the Taipei Economic and Cultural Office of Chicago, which is their diplomatic office here in the Midwest. He is in town with a few of his colleagues. And we are inviting you to come to the Kiku Japanese Steak and Seafood restaurant located at 3050 Lindbergh Boulevard in Springfield. So, again, everyone is welcome to come to celebrate the event. Whether you want to be a part of the caucus or not, I think they would welcome the opportunity to meet Members of the Illinois House. So, again... and that reception will be from 6:00 to 8:00 tonight. Again, 6:00 to 8:00 tonight at the Kiku Japanese Steak and Seafood restaurant, 3050 Lingbergh. So, we're welcoming everyone to come and join us as we celebrate the establishment of the Illinois Statehouse Taiwan Friendship Caucus. Myself and my cochair, Representative Butler, extend that invitation to you. So, we hope to see you later this evening. Thank you very much, Madam Chair."

Speaker Ammons: "Mr. Clerk, committee announcements."

Clerk Hollman: "The following committees will be meeting this afternoon. Meeting at 3:00 is Labor & Commerce in Virtual Room 2, Economic Opportunity & Equity in Virtual Room 3, State Government Administration in Virtual Room 1. Meeting at 4:00

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

is Energy & Environment in Virtual Room 1, Immigration & Human Rights in Virtual Room 2, Higher Education in Virtual Room 3."

Speaker Ammons: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 303, offered by Speaker Welch. House Resolution 304, offered by Representative Haas. House Resolution 306, offered by Representative Windhorst. House Resolution 307, offered by Representative Meier. And House Resolution 308, offered by Representative Buckner."

Speaker Ammons: "Leader Harris moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those is favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. And in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk, please read the Adjournment Resolution. Okay, never mind. And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Harris moves for the House to stand adjourned until Thursday, May 20, at the hour of 1 p.m. All those is favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned."

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Report. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive reports the following committee action taken on May 19, 2021: do pass Consent Calendar is Senate Bill 2270; do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 826; do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 101, Senate Bill 166, Senate Bill 170, Senate Bill 208, Senate Bill 215, Senate Bill 257, Senate Bill 280, Senate Bill 321, Senate Bill 539, Senate Bill 680, Senate Bill 1784, Senate Bill 2017, Senate

37th Legislative Day

5/19/2021

Bill 2226, Senate Bill 2294, Senate Bill 2338, Senate Bill 2406, Senate Bill 2408; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 3 to Senate Bill 84, Floor Amendment(s) 1 to Senate Bill 1655, and Floor Amendment(s) 1 to Senate Bill 1697. House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 4085, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. House Bill 486, offered by Representative Slaughter, a Bill for an Act concerning education. First Reading of these House Bills. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 633, offered by Representative Conroy, a Bill for an Act concerning education. First Reading of this Senate Bill. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."