139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 28, 2018: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 35, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 337, Floor Amendment 1 325... correction... 2350, Floor Amendment 1 to to Senate Bill Senate Bill 2387, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2481, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2540, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2617, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2667, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3103, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 3139, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3560; recommends be adopted are the Motions to Concur with Senate Amendment 1 House Bill 1042, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1336, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1443, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2617, Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 4129, Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 4234, Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 4242, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4340, Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 4472, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4516, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4569, Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 4724, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4735, Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 4768, Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 4771, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4799, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4821, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 5141, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 5175, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 5247, Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 5752, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 5856. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 452, offered by Leader Durkin, a Bill for

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

an Act concerning education. First Reading of this Senate Bill. Introduction of Resolutions. Senate Joint Resolution 65, offered by Representative Bill Mitchell, is referred to the Rules Committee."

- Speaker Turner: "Members are asked to be at their seats. We shall be led in prayer today by Lee Crawford, the Pastor of the Cathedral of Praise Christian Center in Springfield. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones, and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance."
- Pastor Crawford: "Let us pray. Most gracious and sovereign God in heaven, who art the God of all wisdom, the God of all power, the God of all presence, and the God of all creation, we invoke Your blessing today upon this august Assembly, upon the Speaker of this House, upon its Leaders, all of its Members, and upon all of their families. Oh God, today may they be empowered with Your wisdom, that they not be unwise and understanding in knowledge. Oh God, may they be led by Your spirit today that they not be confused or misguided. God, may they find their strength in You, that they not feel weakened, burdened, or exhausted. It is today that I ask that Your grace, Your mercy, and Your peace would be with them as they go forth. This we pray, Amen."
- Speaker Turner: "We shall be led in the Pledge... the Pledge of Allegiance today by Representative Bennett."
- Bennett et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Turner: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. I have no excused House Democrats to report today."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you. Representative Wheeler."

Wheeler, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representative Ives is excused today."

Speaker Turner: "With 115 Members present, a quorum is established. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Introduction of Resolutions. Senate Joint Resolution 58, offered by Representative Davidsmeyer, is referred to the Rules Committee. Committee Reports. Representative Barbara Flynn Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 28, 2018: recommends be adopted is a Motion to Concur with House... Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 128."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Currie."

Currie: "For a Motion. Thank you, Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Hold... hold on, Leader Currie. Representative Carroll, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Carroll: "Thank you very much. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Carroll: "All right. Well, I have three Pages today. Two, I'm very biased about, one I'm kind of biased about. First, I'd like to introduce Jaylan Stotts, who's a fourth grader at Carl Sandburg here in Springfield. He is the son of my secretary, Jennifer Davis. So, this is Jaylan right... I don't know if you can see him or not. All right. Number two, and this is where the biased part begins. I have a 10-year-old... my 10-year-old son, Asher Carroll, who is a fourth grader at Shabonee School

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

in Northbrook and is very glad to be here today. So, say hello, Asher; he's over here with the blonde hair. And last, but not least, I have my daughter who just turned eight years old as of today, who... who is a second grader at Hickory Point School in Northbrook. So, please give them a warm Springfield welcome and a happy birthday to my daughter, Brooke."

Speaker Turner: "Happy birthday, and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Scherer, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Scherer: "Today, I have two special Pages for the day. I have Sadie Meek and Parker Meek and they are here visiting on this special Memorial Day. So, please welcome two of my six grandchildren."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Stuart, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Stuart: "Thank you. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Stuart: "Thank you. I don't have any Pages but up in the gallery I have five of my very favorite people in the whole world. If they would stand up. So, I have my... my dad, my mom, Jim and Carol Kobak from Jersey City, New Jersey and then my brother Jim Kobak from Peru, New York, my sister Marcy Kobak from New York City and my husband, Steve, who lives with me obviously in Edwardsville. If you guys could welcome them to Springfield."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Turner: "Welcome. Representative Halpin, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Halpin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Representative."

Halpin: "I'd also just asked to welcome my family to Springfield.

First my wife, Mary Ann, and a happy belated birthday. She
turned... years old last week. And my daughter Natalie is here
with my son, Will, as well. Just wish them a warm Springfield
welcome."

Speaker Turner: "Welcome to your Capitol. Leader Bellock, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Bellock: "I'd also like to introduce to the Body my Page for the day, Neola Edwin and she comes from Aurora. She's in fifth grade at Avery Coonley School. And she was just telling me that she likes to play basketball, but she also plays competitive chess, which is kind of interesting. So, her family is up there. They just came from the Abraham Museum... Abraham Lincoln Museum. And I'd like to ask everybody to just give them a warm welcome. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Welch, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, too, would like to join in welcoming some great folks to Springfield. Representative

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Ford, and Representative Lilly, and I have some good friends who are here, they're up in the gallery. Mr. Clayborn Wade, his wife, Phelloniece Wade and their children, Stacy, McKenzie, Connor and their three-month-old beautiful baby, Chloe is here in Springfield. Let's give them a warm Springfield welcome."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, and welcome to Springfield. Welcome to your Capitol. Representative David Harris, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Harris, D.: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I always try to put in a good news story about Illinois at the start of the Session and I'm going to do that today in a little bit different way. And if I could just have a tap on the gavel for a second, Mr. Speaker? I'm from suburban Chicago and my newspaper... my local newspaper is the Daily Herald, a fine newspaper, outstanding newspaper. And I'm hoping that they're listening today because on the front page of their newspaper today was a salute to veterans. And Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm a veteran, as you know, there are other veterans on the floor and we appreciate that. But we are today celebrating the 150th anniversary of Memorial Day. Veterans have their own day in November. This is the 150th celebration of Memorial Day, which was started by General John Logan, an Illinois General who went on to become a United States Senator. And he issued, as the Commander in Chief of the Grand Army of the Republic, what he called General Order #11 designating this day as

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Memorial Day declaring it for the purpose... 'the purpose of strewing with flowers or otherwise decorating the graves of comrades who died in defense of their country during the late rebellion and whose bodies now lie in almost every city, village, hamlet, and churchyard in the land'. So today is the day to remember those who served in uniform but unfortunately did not come back. Those 750 thousand individuals from the Civil War, those 116 thousand doughboys from World War I, the 405 thousand GIs in World War II, the 54 thousand in Korea, the 58 thousand in Vietnam, the 4500 in Iraq and the 2350 who put on the uniform of this country, did their service, made the ultimate sacrifice, and did not come back. That's... that's bad news, I understand. But it is good news, not just for Illinois, it's good news for our country that we have people who are willing to do that. And today, Memorial Day, is the day we remember those people. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Severin, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Severin: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Severin: "I also have a Page with me today. His name is Mr. Henry Meinert; he's going to be in seventh grade at Sesser-Valier. And believe it or not at Halloween he played President Trump. And also he would like to be a train engineer someday, he thinks maybe he's going to get into politics. And his grandparents are up in the gallery, we'd like to welcome them. We'd like to welcome Henry Meinert. And just because he's going to be a train engineer someday, I happen to have

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

something for him. Here we go. Welcome him, please. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Mitchell, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Mitchell, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Mitchell, B.: "Here on... beside me is my Page for the day. He's... we like to call this here on this side of the House, this is the Bellini day. This is a Bellini Memorial Day because Seiger Dunston is a stepson of Nick and a son of Shannon Miller Bellini. And so I'd like... and he is in seventh grade at Blessed Sacrament. He plays baseball. And, too, Representative Bellock she said her Page plays chess. Seiger was whispering to me, he... he's awfully good at checkers. So... he's a good checker player. And I also introduce Giana. Giana. Giana Bellini is the daughter, she's two years old, of Nick and Shannon Bellini. So, give my folks a big welcome."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, and welcome to your Capitol.

Representative Bryant, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Bryant: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Representative."

Bryant: "I'd like to continue in the line of discussion on General John A. Logan. And I appreciate Representative Harris talking about the 150th anniversary today of Memorial Day and realizing that General John A. Logan was credited with... with beginning Memorial Day. He's a native son of Murphysboro,

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

born in Murphysboro. What's unique about General Logan is prior to the Civil War I think we would have labeled him today a racist. In fact, when he served in Congress he... he passed a... a Code known as the Black Code, which prohibited Americans from entering Illinois and blocked... blocked the passage of a Bill that would have... later would have allowed African Americans to testify in court. As the Civil War progressed, General Logan, in seeing what was happening in the South, definitely became someone who wanted to see slavery ended... ended. And as... later as a... as a Senator, he helped to pass the 13th, 14th and 15th Amendments to the Constitution. And... and then a little bit later in life he ran for Vice President, but the really unique thing about his political career was he... he ran... he was nominated as a Presidential candidate by Frederick Davis... sorry... by Frederick... Frederick Douglass. In fact, Frederick Douglass supported... continued his support for John A. Logan in a speech and to quote Douglass he said, But what of John A. Logan? I will tell you if there is any statesman on this continent now in public life to whose courage, justice, and fidelity I would more fully and unreservedly trust the cause of the colored people of this country or the cause of any other people, no man has been bolder or truer to the cause of the cause of the colored man and of the country than has John A. Logan. There is no nonsense about him. I endorse him to you with all my might, mind and strength and without a single shadow of a doubt. And whereas... and... and later today there will be a... a Resolution passed honoring Memorial Day but also honoring John A. Logan as the founder of Memorial Day and all of his accomplishments.

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

And I... I think he's a great testament to us that sometimes we can have a very... poor ideas and thoughts in our early life and then, as we progress, we can learn to... to love our fellow man in a way that we didn't in our earlier life. So, I applaud the life of John A. Logan and... and his foresight in establishing Memorial Day. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Sauer, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Sauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Sauer: "Many of you in the chamber may have received a flyer from me about tomorrow. Tomorrow, May 29, we're going to have our second annual Foster Care Awareness Day at the Capitol. We'll have DCFS as well as an organization from my district called, Let It Be Us, here celebrating families, volunteers and children who are in foster care. It's wonderful to have so many families visiting today on Memorial Day, have friends here. Let's try and find forever families for the children that are in the protective care of the state. Join me tomorrow, 9:30 in the rotunda for this celebration. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Leader Currie. Leader Currie on a Motion."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. I move to suspend the posting requirements so that the following measures can be heard in the appropriate committee: Senate Bills 20, 200, 452, 682, 1737, 2356, 2358, 2365, 2367, 2844, 2892, 2921, and 3186, and House Resolutions 910, 911, 960,

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- and Senate Joint Resolutions 9, 56, 58, 59, 60, 62, 65, 70, and 73."
- Speaker Turner: "Leader Currie moves that we suspend the posting requirements. Seeing no objection, the posting requirements are waived. And now, Mr. Wheeler."
- Wheeler, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Republicans request an immediate caucus."
- Speaker Turner: "The... the Republicans request an immediate caucus.

 And we will be at ease to the call of the Chair."
- Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. Chair... was going to recognize Leader Currie but changed his mind. Page 6 of the Calendar, Senate Bills-Third Reading. Senate Bill 3086, Mr. Halpin. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3086, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Halpin."

Halpin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill... or sorry, Senate Bill 3086 is an initiative of the Illinois Municipal League affecting Joint Action Water Agencies and Joint Sewage Treatment Agencies to allow the boards of those agencies to allow... to have a... another designated city official rather than just the mayor, or the county executive, or the township executive. These bodies are a creature of intergovernmental agreement and right now by statute their boards consist of the executive elected officials of each of those member organizations. And so, this will allow greater flexibility for each of those municipalities in composing this board. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, currently when we're going to levy taxes... when we're going to levy taxes on folks through a Municipal Joint Action Water Agency or a Municipal Joint Sewage Treatment Agency we require that the members of that board be elected officials. Is that correct? That's a current statement of law?"

Halpin: "So, on... on the Joint Sewage treatment Agencies, I don't believe that they have the power to levy taxes. On the Joint Water Agencies they have a limited ability to levy taxes but only if the intergovernmental agreement allows for it. And then only if a front end referendum takes place among the member municipalities."

Breen: "Right. And then... but what we're going to do here is put individuals who are appointed but they're not elected officials. How... how would they even be..."

Halpin: "They're..."

Breen: "...appointed to that board?"

Halpin: "...they're going to be selected by either village ordinance or county ordinance whatever may apply for that particular municipality member of the agency."

Breen: "So that could be any... it could be a staff person at the village or... or anyone?"

Halpin: "Or a city administrator, correct."

Breen: "City administrator."

Halpin: "Or a trustee. That's correct."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Breen: "But... and then those individuals would have the ability to set water rates, set sewage rates, and possibly levy taxes even though they've never been elected by any... any..."

Halpin: "Well..."

Breen: "...member of the general public?"

Halpin: "They'd be able to... to set rates for the participating members, that's correct. They would not be able to levy taxes without the voters having approved that in the first instance."

"Fair enough. And just to the Bill. I... I very briefly Breen: served on a... what I believe was a municipal joint action board, but I was an elected official as a village trustee. So, the people had already put their trust in... in me through an election. So, I... I certainly share the... the concerns of some of the folks who were on the committee that we're handing the ability to propose taxes, the ability to set rates for water and sewer, these vital services that we require. Putting those in the hands of unelected individuals, city administrator, a city water director could set the rates on his own without the input of the elected officials of the municipality. It doesn't seem like ... oh and I'd like to ask for Standard Debate."

Speaker Lang: "Standard Debate will be approved, Sir."

Breen: "Thank you. So, I would respectfully, just based on those concerns unless there's some countervailing reason why this needs to be approved, I don't see how we can approve this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Wehrli for two minutes."

Wehrli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."
- Wehrli: "So, these positions... are these positions of the... are these individuals that may be appointed. Are they... would they be compensated in any way?"
- Halpin: "Not that I'm aware of, no."
- Wehrli: "Not that you're aware of? A yes or a no?"
- Halpin: "I... I believe it's a no. There's no... there's no provision for compensation within the statute that creates these agencies."
- Wehrli: "Okay. And with the passage of this Bill, would this prohibit... if a municipality still wanted to have these people elected, would it prohibit those elections?"
- Halpin: "No. It would allow... what it does is allows the member municipality, as a whole by a vote of the board, to determine whether someone else will be appointed by ordinance. So, it... it's all within the power of each local participant to the joint agency."
- Wehrli: "So, it... it would be up to that board to decide if they want to decide for themselves or put it out on the ballot for the... the people that they represent to allow their input on. Is that correct?"
- Halpin: "Well, there's... I mean... there's no provision in the statute to allow... or to... to require or permit the... the local municipality to put that on the ballot. I believe if they wanted to put their own local referendum on the ballot they could under current law, but it... it wouldn't be a binding... it wouldn't be a binding vote."
- Wehrli: "So, this removes the ability of somebody to run for that office? I could no longer get petitions signed and go file

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

for this office. It would have to be through the appointment of a board..."

Halpin: "No, no."

Wehrli: "...is the only way I could get this position?"

Halpin: "No. So... so current members are not elected to the board of the agency. Current... the current makeup of that board is the elected mayor, or the elected township supervisor, the elected executive of each member municipality."

Wehrli: "Okay. And when it comes to the levy, do they have direct oversight of a tax levy? I know you talked with the Representative from Lombard about fees, but what about a tax levy?"

Halpin: "So, in the... in the case that the local community... or in the case that the intergovernmental agreement, basically the document that forms the agency in the first place, if that agreement allows a levy..."

Speaker Lang: "Please finish your answer, Sir. And then please bring your remarks to a close, Mr. Wehrli."

Wehrli: "Thank you..."

Halpin: "...and if the voters then go to referendum first to approve it, at that point that levy would... would kick in and the board would have control over that."

Wehrli: "Thank you. To the Bill. I would just recommend we vote 'no' on this one. It's... I don't think it's ready to be out here yet."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Batinick for two minutes."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't think I'll need that long. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Batinick: "A real quick question. What's the reason for the change? It... there's not a really good reason on our analysis here and I haven't heard one yet."

Halpin: "So... so my understanding is, it gives each local municipality a little bit more flexibility. In some cases the mayor may serve on additional boards and... and commissions and it would free up... or make that more flexible. In other cases I could foresee a municipality wanting to have someone with a little bit more expertise on the board, such as a city administrator or a... you know, a former trustee or a trustee that has a water background or something along those lines."

Batinick: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Halpin to close."

Halpin: "This is a... it's a good Bill. It allows flexibility for our local governments. And I'd ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Ladies and Gentlemen, please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 72 voting 'yes', 43 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3093, Representative McCombie. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3093, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative McCombie."

McCombie: "Thank you, Speaker. SB3093 passed the Senate with no opposition. The Bill is now neutral with the county assessor. It is a local issue. The Bill is really a clarification of

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

existing law. The Rock Island County Assessor was having a... the new Rock Island County Assessor was having some differences of opinion on the passage of a Bill that was done here on the 92nd General Assembly. He did not believe that the law was clearly stated as it was enacted in 2001. And this is just cleaning up that language. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those... sorry. Leader Currie is recognized."

Currie: "Yeah... thank you, Speaker. Sorry, but can I add Representative Ammons to the excused list today?"

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Leader. Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 111 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3105, Mr. Stewart. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3105, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Stewart."

Stewart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 3105 would permit a child protective investigator to request assistance from local law enforcement. This is the DCFS initiative to ensure child protective investigators are able to receive assistance from law enforcement when responding to high-risk, potentially violent, reports of child abuse or neglect. This hopefully would prevent another incidence, which occurred unfortunately in my district, that took the life a DCFS investigator last fall. This Bill passed

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

the Senate unanimously. It's supported by AFSCME Council 31. And I know of no opponents."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Cassidy. Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3106, Mr. Davis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3106, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members... Ladies... Ladies and Davis: Gentlemen of the House. With regard to Senate Bill 3106, the Comptroller currently runs a smart business program on which... under which the Comptroller is responsible for outreach, education, notification, and online database, and reporting the total dollars paid to minority-owned, women-owned, disabled-owned, and small businesses. Senate Bill 3106 requires the BEP Council and the State Chief Procurement Officers to provide the necessary information for the Comptroller to complete, in this case, her reporting requirements under the Act. The Bill also adds a Comptroller appointee in an advisory role to the BEP Council. And finally, the Amendment requires constitutional officers to aspire to the same goals under BEP as other agencies and to report on them annually. And Mr. Speaker, for the purposes of legislative intent to clarify the role of CMS and the BEP Council, I want to assert on the record that neither CMS or

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

the BEP Council has operational responsibility including goal compliance operations for any constitutional officers contract goal setting program other than for the Governor's contract goal setting program. Any constitutional officers contract goal setting program other than the Governor's shall be independent of the Business Enterprise Program established under the Business Enterprise for Minorities, Female... Females and Persons with Disabilities Act, which is 30 ILCS 575. Be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Thank you. Representative, I want to just... just to clarify, with your Bill, you are requiring that some of the data on the utilization of businesses owned by women, minorities, persons with disabilities be posted on the internet. So, it's just a... it's just reporting data. And then in a second part you are merely requiring that they actually then send the names and information for vendors who are certified for the program to the relevant government agencies 'cause apparently the names and information are not being forwarded appropriately. Is that the... the issue?"

Davis: "Well, first of all, it's... the information is given to the Comptroller's Office so that the Comptroller can report that information. And again, this was an ability given to several Comptrollers ago. And this Comptroller is just wanting to make sure that they get the information regardless of what was or was not happening. We're just trying to ensure that they receive the information from these entities."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Breen: "Right. The various agencies' Chief Procurement Officers need to forward the information to the Comptroller to assist in working the program that currently exists?"

Davis: "Well, CMS, not agencies. CMS, the Chief Procurement Officers. So, not every agency. I believe only CMS is to report that information."

Breen: "Okay. And then the only other thing the Bill does is to add the Comptroller or that person's designee to the Business Enterprise Council?"

Davis: "As a... in an advisory role."

Breen: "In an advisory role. Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Davis: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please record yourselves. Conyears-Ervin. Please take the record. There are 112 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3108, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3108, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3108 is a very important initiative brought to us by the Heartland Alliance. Human trafficking is a form of modern slavery that affects 20.9 million people around the world. It affects every community and has many faces. From the woman at the nail salon who has been stripped of her passport and is being held

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

against her will, to the teenager forced into prostitution, trafficking survivors suffer physically, emotionally, and financially at the hands of their traffickers. Senate Bill 3108 provides that victims of involuntary servitude in labor trafficking, rather than only victims of the sex trade, with a civil cause of action against the persons who are responsible or profited from those acts. I would ask for strong support by this General Assembly and vote 'yes' on Senate Bill 3108."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3109, Mr. Guzzardi. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3116, Representative Feigenholtz. Representative Feigenholtz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3119, Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3119, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this Senate Bill." Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3119 is a Bill in three parts. The first is an initiative of IMRF, which clarifies that a police chief that returns to service as a public safety director cannot reenter for the purposes of collecting a pension. The second piece narrows a Bill that I did in the last Session dealing with Bedford Park and Tier 1 firefighters going into SLEP. This is a narrower version of that Bill. And then the third piece of the Bill deals with

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

evaluations of firefighters who are experiencing PTSD. It affectively says that they don't have to go... if they're 45 years or older, they don't have to go for an evaluation of their PTSD symptoms every year because it can be traumatic to relive the reasons for the PTSD. That... if the city council approves, they can just get the diagnosis the first time and... and not have to be reevaluated every year. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, I see… at least on our analysis it looks like the Illinois… Illinois Municipal League may be opposed to the Bill. What… what's the nature of their opposition if they are still opposed?"

Zalewski: "Yeah, I... Peter, they seem to be opposed to the idea that the PTSD part is a slippery slope to eroding away evaluations of benefits. I... I take strong umbrage with that idea. I think it's misguided to have to have firefighters go through this evaluation every year. But that's the nature of the IML's opposition."

Breen: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Wehrli."

Wehrli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Wehrli: "Mike, help me understand what... what the limitations are going to be if somebody comes back to the same municipality.

Does it allow them to get back into... to get into IMRF or does

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- it get them into a 401(k)? What... what exactly are we doing here?"
- Zalewski: "My understanding is that... that they would be... if they have collected an IMRF pension after having earned the pension in the same municipality, they're prohibited from returning to IMRF for the purposes of the public safety director position."
- Wehrli: "Would this Bill allow them to receive a pension from a...

 a downstate police or fire pension system and then go to the
 same municipality and collect an IMRF pension?"
- Zalewski: "No, I... I don't think it does."
- Wehrli: "Okay. Another part of this Bill would allow those that are suffering from a certain type of disability to not have to go back on a yearly basis. Is this the first time that we would allow such exemptions to occur?"
- Zalewski: "For the purposes of evaluating a mental disorder, do
 you mean?"
- Wehrli: "No, I mean... are there any other disabilities that people that have suffered those disabilities in the line of duty don't have to go back on a yearly basis?"
- Zalewski: "I..."
- Wehrli: "Is PTSD going to be the first thing that we allow for someone to not go back for a yearly evaluation?"
- Zalewski: "I... we don't... we're not sure, Grant. But my... I don't want to give you bad information on the record. I don't know if this is the only time we've ever done this."
- Wehrli: "Okay. Cause I... to the Bill. My concern there would be...
 as well I'm sympathetic to the cause and these people gave
 wonderful testimony in committee, it's the slippery slope

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

argument. I mean, we're going to allow it for one thing and then where does it stop? It's the, you know, foot in the door and it... it's... we keep going down that path and pretty soon we don't have anybody..."

Speaker Lang: "Please bring... you're finished, Sir?"

Wehrli: "For you, Lou, I'll be finished."

Speaker Lang: "For me? Bless your heart. Representative Willis."

"Thank you. To the Bill. Many of you were greeted by a young woman that actually worked on the PTSD part, her father suffered from this from a very traumatic incident that he had to deal with as a firefighter. And she came down here about a month ago with her mom and I think talked to many of us explaining why this was so important, especially in their family, that her father had this incident happen to him at an early age and every year had to go and relive the trauma that caused this terrible thing to happen to him and continued to relive and relive. I think this is a good piece of legislation. It does require the pension board to totally sign off on it. This is something that if we're truly going to be a compassionate Body, this is a part that we need to look at. And so, I would urge the Body to remember when Gracie came and talked to many of us. And this is a component of the Bill that can help the many Gracies of the world and their families to heal and move on with this. I strongly urge this Body to vote 'aye' on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski to close."

Zalewski: "I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 106 voting 'yes', 4 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Stewart is recognized."

- Stewart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you let the record reflect that I intended to vote 'yes' on Senate Bill 3106? Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Record will reflect your intention, Sir. Senate Bill 3120, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3120, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

- Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3120 is an agreed Bill that is an initiative of the Illinois Health Care Association, which seeks to clarify that end of life medical providers are a part of the fourth class of priority claims against a descendant's estate but are not subject to the \$800 cap. Again, this Bill has a number of proponents. I'm not aware of any opposition. I would ask for support."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3134, Mr. Olsen. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3134, a Bill for an Act concerning flood control. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Olsen."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Olsen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill creates a commission to study flood control practices in the Fox River Valley. I know of no opposition and ask for your support."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Carroll. Thank you, Sir. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3135, Mr. Bennett. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3135, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bennett."

- Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Senate Bill 3135... flip my page here. This Bill clarifies that a permit is not required for commercially available algaecide to be used on private ponds that are located completely on private property and are not connected to any surface water, groundwater, or other drinking water sources. Algecides are available at any hardware store and are used to get rid of algae overgrowth, and also assist pond esthetics by providing a blue color to the pond. And let me note, please, the Illinois Lake Management Association, the Illinois Environmental Council, and the IEP are neutral on this Bill. And I'd like to move for an 'aye' vote, please."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 114 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'.

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3136, Leader Currie. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3136, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. This measure came to me from a former colleague who was also later a Member of the Illinois State Senate. A young man that she's known since birth is a State Police... a State Trooper, an Illinois State Police Trooper, the job he always wanted, a job he very much enjoys. Several years ago, Mr. Cokins stopped somebody for illegal something over on the side of the ride, he found himself hit by a drunk driver. He ended up with 15 broken bones, 8 surgeries, and good deal of therapy later. For the last two years, he has been back on the job, not just desk duty, he's doing the real thing. The bad thing that happened to Mr. Cokins... Trooper Cokins is that he failed a random marijuana drug test. And under current State Police rules that means he must be fired. This measure would say that for marijuana use neither in the Department of Corrections nor in the Illinois State Police would there be required firing of someone in this situation. It was pretty clear that for Trooper Cokins this was a one-time proposition, not somebody who is a regular user. We've checked other state agencies. I can't find any state agency and I can't find, even in the Chicago Police Department, for example, that there is a mandatory requirement that somebody be fired if they fail a marijuana test. So, I hope you will join me in saying that

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

this failure would no longer, in the Department of Corrections and in the Department of State Police, require a firing of an individual who had failed this kind of test. I'd be happy to answer your questions and I would be very grateful for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Moeller. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 61 voting 'yes', 50 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Bennett is recognized."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege, if I may?"

Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Bennett: "Thank you, Sir. Up in the gallery are two very special ladies, Emily and Grace Lattz, which is off to the back of my right. You may have heard a Bill just a few moments ago, Representative Zalewski talked about Senate Bill 3119. And they mentioned about an individual who had PTSD. This is the mother, Emily and the daughter, Grace that have been here in committee. They have been here a number of times here watching to see what was happening on the House Floor and today the Bill passed. I'd like to thank each person that did vote for this. I do thank for our staff as well. Would you please help me also give them a warm House welcome from Springfield, please?"

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Speaker Lang: "Welcome. Thank you for joining us today. We appreciate it. Senate Bill 3138, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3138, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

- Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The... Senate Bill 3138 is an initiative of the Illinois Student Assistance Commission. It provides that the personal identity and address of an applicant or recipient of a scholarship, grant or other financial assistance administered by ISAC are exempted from inspection and copying under the Freedom of Information Act. This is specifically designed to protect a person's identity. I'm not aware of any opposition. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mayfield. Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3139, Representative Fine. Please read the Bill. Representative, we hear you have a Floor Amendment. So, we will put this on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3139, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted.

 Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Fine."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Speaker Lang: "Representative Fine on Amendment 3."
- Fine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 3 fixes... it's a technical fix for another piece of legislation that formed a task force that did not have a date and it just adds the date to the legislation."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3143, Representative Jimenez. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3143, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Jimenez."
- Jimenez: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This Bill will add to an existing annual report that CMS submits to the Governor's Office and the General Assembly on state leases. It will include in a component relative to making recommendations for exercising the option to purchase clause in those leases. An analysis will be conducted to determine such a recommendation. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Moeller, Tabares. Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3148, Mr. Davis. Please read the Bill."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3148, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 3148 is an initiative of the Secretary of State... the Secretary of State's Office with regard to the restricted driving permit if you're on the breathalyzer machine to start your car, for lack of a better way of putting it. The new proposal allows for a two-year restricted driving permit for DUI offenders who are on the BAIID Program rather than the current one-year permit. The petitioners will save a substantial amount of time and effort, which is generally time spent in the Secretary of State's hearing process if they are able to drive on a permit for two years. So, the permit process does already allow for a review. And what they'd like to be able to do is for those who are abiding by the rules, doing what they're supposed to do, they'd like to be able to offer them a two-year time frame on the per... on the BAIID Program versus the current one-year program. But at any time they do have their ability to bring someone in if they... if the reports are coming back, if it looks like they're failing to do what the program reasonably suggests. I'll be more than happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, the... just to be clear. Your Bill has no financial impact because folks still have to pay an annual

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

fee. It's just that the permit itself will be issued for a period of two years instead of one year?"

Davis: "Well, yes. But you say no financial impact, it might actually even save a few dollars. So, for those that are allowed to extend out for the two years, then that's less time that they have to spend coming into the Secretary of State's Office. And those personnel that hear those particular cases could save them a little time and effort as well."

Breen: "Okay. So, there could be a savings in Secretary of State staff time of processing these various..."

Davis: "Right."

Breen: "...applications?"

Davis: "Absolutely."

Breen: "All right. Thank you."

Davis: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3156, Mr. Mitchell. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3156, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mitchell."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill concerns

Compliance Commitment Agreements entered into with the

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency and various

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

corporations. These are currently FOIA able. What this Bill would do is require that those Compliance Commitment Agreements be posted on the website. I know there's been concern, and I'm sure the chamber has talked to some folks, about wanting to do something about duplicative litigation. While that is certainly a worthwhile public policy goal, it exceeds the scope and content of this current Bill. This is just a transparency measure. It's says that something that's currently FOIA able should be posted online by the agency. I would ask for its... your support. And I will take any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Representative McDermed."

McDermed: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

McDermed: "Representative, you said that this information is available to members of the public right now?"

Mitchell, C.: "So, I'm saying... so right now, Representative, an interested party... 'cause I know one of the concerns about this is that it could be a way to create more opportunities for litigation. An interested party can already FOIA this information right now."

McDermed: "So, rather than having to FOIA, they'd be able to look on the EPA website and this would be there at their fingertips?"

Mitchell, C.: "As could any other taxpayer, yes."

McDermed: "Okay. There has been some concern that this would create more litigation. What would your response to that be?"

Mitchell, C.: "So, my response to that, Representative, is that anybody who is motivated enough to want to litigate on a

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

particular issue based on a particular CCA would already be motivated enough to file a FOIA request and get that information right now. So, I don't think that argument hunts. I can also tell you, Representative, that there is a conversation that's going to happen between the Illinois Environmental Council and the chamber with regard to the current state of environmental litigation. Again, that will occur outside of the scope of this Bill. But I think... I'm acknowledging that it's a reasonable concern. I just don't believe that this Bill makes litigation more likely."

McDermed: "So, this Bill doesn't create any private right of actions..."

Mitchell, C.: "No. There is..."

McDermed: "...with respect to these environmental matters?"

Mitchell, C.: "No, Representative, it does not change existing law."

McDermed: "Thank you. To the Bill. Many of you realize that I've been in... here in the General Assembly trying to bring some protection for the taxpayers of Will County with respect to some quarries that are taking heaven knows what and putting them in their quarries. And in point of fact, there are some CCA agreements with some of these quarry owners throughout the state. And I think that it would be a really good thing if these CCA agreements for these folks that have, in fact, violated this so-called clean construction debris regime that we have set up in..."

Speaker Lang: "Please bring your remarks to a close."

McDermed: "Thank you. I think it would be great if these CCAs with these offenders would be more readily available to the

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

folks whose groundwater is being affected by these so-called clean construction debris sites. I think this a good Bill. Vote 'yes'."

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? D'Amico, Burke. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 110 voting 'yes', 4 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3195, Mr. Unes. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3195, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Unes."
- Unes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3195 simply abolishes a task force that has not met in over two years. It's an initiative of DPH. I know of no opponents and ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke, Sente. Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3201, Mr. Breen. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3201, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 3205, Mr. Connor. Please read the Bill."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3205, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Connor."

Connor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3205 is an initiative of the Illinois Venture Capital Association and the Treasurer's Office. It is to expand the Technology Development Accounts Program, which started in 2002 and has been subsequently changed and upgraded in 2005, and 2011, and last... and 2016. Basically, this encourages venture capital companies to invest in technology firms that are bringing their business here to Illinois or keeping their business here in Illinois. It's a win-win for Illinois taxpayers. And I'd urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3212, Representative Williams. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3212, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In 2017 the Body passed a law that said that you could get a \$750 deduction in the case of the one-time flooding issue in 2016. This Bill provides that you can share that information with township officials in terms of property tax assessments. But I will say, nothing... we're already allowed to do that; this just puts it in a

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

different Section of the statute. So, no words were actually changed. It just was moved from the FOIA statute and put in a different statute where it makes more sense and it's easier to find."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Carroll. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 112 voting 'yes', and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3214, Mr. Bennett. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3214, a Bill for an Act concerning solar sites. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bennett."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 3214 it's an initiative of the Environmental Law and Policy Center that allows solar sites to obtain a certification from the Department of Natural Resources designating the site as pollinator-friendly with the intention of increasing the amount of habitats for game birds, song birds, honey bees, monarch butterflies, and other insects. It also improves storm water management and soil and reduces storm water runoff and erosion, increases its habitat. It's good for the environment and the agriculture. And basically it's also proposed... the proponents of the initiative: the Environmental Law and Policy Center and also the Illinois Environmental Council. I move for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

139th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lilly. Please take the record. There are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Long is recognized."
- Long: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record reflect that I intended on voting 'no' on Senate Bill 3136."
- Speaker Lang: "The record will reflect your intention. Senate Bill 3215, Mr. Walsh. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3215, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Walsh."
- Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 3215 amends the Property Tax Code to establish an alternative procedure through which certificates of sale can be assigned to the county's delinquent tax program following certain sales in error. This is an initiative of the Illinois County Treasurer's Association. They claim by streamlining this process, through which certificates of sales are assigned to the county delinquent tax program, counties may obtain administrative savings and the owner shall have additional time to redeem a certificate of their property. Be happy to answer any questions. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. There are 113 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill,

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3220, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3220, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3220 is a... an agreed Bill with the IFT and the Illinois State Board of Education. It creates a... creates transparency with a public hearing process before the State Board of Education if a for profit university seeks approval after they've been denied... after denial has been recommended by the SEPLB Board, which is made up of a bunch of professional educators. I would ask for approval of this Bill. I'm not aware of any opposition."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, I see that there were... that was at least one opposing vote in committee and on my analysis it appears there were a number of opponents at various... process... parts during this process. What... what's the nature of the remaining opposition?"

Welch: "I am not aware of any remaining opposition. The Amendment that was approved and became the Bill, Amendment #4, removed all opposition, Leader Breen. And in fact, I received numerous text messages from those who were originally opposed thanking me for working and getting the Bill to this point. And again, this is... and the Bill was heavily negotiated with IFT and ISBE, which removed all opposition."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Breen: "Okay. So Representative, just... just so that I'm... I'm clear. The... there is a current process that will be changing. What... what are you changing specifically about the current process? Because it seems you're saying that there'd now be an appeal of the denial? What... what's ... what's wrong with the current process? Is there no appeal right currently to the... to the board or what... what's the issue?"
- Welch: "Well, let's... let's be clear what the SEPLB Board is. It's a board that meets once every two months to go over licensure issues. And this board is made up of education professionals from our universities, from our teachers unions, and various other organizations. They do not have the power to make a binding decision. They... what they do is make a recommendation to ISBE. Under the current process, if they recommend denial of a for profit university like American... American Intercontinental University, like they did recently..."

Speaker Lang: "Please complete your answer, Sir."

- Welch: "...ISBE can just put it on their agenda, bury a needle in a haystack and move this thing without much notice. This would create a separate public hearing just for that particular issue."
- Breen: "Which... and... and I... as I understand, the Governor's Office is still opposed 'cause they don't see the need for the... the process. So, that was just something that had... had been a concern. I've seen that."
- Welch: "That's news to me. And I can't believe the Governor's Office is opposed to transparency."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Breen: "I don't know that they framed their opposition in that way or that the current process was merely adequate to the task. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Olsen."

Olsen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Olsen: "Thank you. Representative, I recall this in committee and I wanted to get this on... on the floor. This Bill was intended to increase transparency. In the first Section, it discusses the State Board convening a public hearing immediately preceding its next regularly scheduled board meeting. As I discussed in committee, my... my concern was that the next regularly scheduled board meeting would be at a time that could... for example, if the board meeting... the next regularly scheduled board meeting was the following day, would the hearing still happen at that time if it was... if the board meeting, the next regularly scheduled board meeting, was the following day?"

Welch: "And... and thank you for asking that same question on the floor. We just don't believe that that scenario is possible because the SEPLB Board meets on a Friday, you know, once every two months. And the State Board of Education, their regular meeting is during the week. They would never have a regular meeting on a Saturday. So, I... it's..."

Olsen: "So, Representative, would the..."

Welch: "...it doesn't appear to be an issue because IFT and ISBE agreed to the... to the sequence of events."

Olsen: "And would the public hearing still have to adhere to the requirements of the Illinois Open Meetings Act?"

139th Legislative Day

- Welch: "They... they would still have to comply with the 48-hour notice."
- Olsen: "That... and I think that's the critical point here. This is a transparency Bill. And it's critical, I think, to get that on the record that we are not trying to force something to happen more quickly. This is in order to allow the public more time to discuss this, to see this and to give input. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis to close. Mr. Welch to close. Excuse me.

 I was just talking to Mr. Davis."
- Welch: "I've been confused with him before. I ask for an 'aye' vote, Sir. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 104 voting 'yes', 8 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3109, Mr. Guzzardi. Mr. Guzzardi. Mr. Guzzardi. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3109, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Guzzardi."
- Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill, Sir, makes a few changes to the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation. It clarifies State Law so that current practice at IDFPR will be in line with Federal Law. It allows the department to use the ITIN number to issue licenses and it removes some legacy language from the Pharmaceutical...

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Pharmacy Practice Act. There's no known opposition to the Bill. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Move for Standard Debate."

Speaker Lang: "Standard Debate."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, you're seeking to amend the Civil Administrative Code, the School Code, and the Attorney Act to provide that we shall issue licenses to those in this country no matter their immigration status or citizenship status. Can you answer me a question which is, how can someone swear an oath to uphold the Constitution and the laws of the country when they may have broken the law or may be awaiting court hearing on a violation of that law because they're not legally in the country?"

Guzzardi: "Couple things, Representative. First of all, this is already current practice at IDFPR. They don't check people's citizenship status when they issue professional regulations. We want to simply make sure that that current practice is in line with Federal Law, which is why we introduced this new language. Second of all, to answer your question, we... you know, we passed legislation pertaining to the Attorney Practice Act a couple of years ago. You know, we're mostly talking about DACA recipients, Representative, people who are brought to this country, you know, when they were children."

Breen: "DACA is a separate issue. So that's... this is the problem.

This Bill has no reference to DACA. DACA is a different issue.

At least as I understand the way that works, a person was

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

brought here by their parents. They didn't violate any laws. And so, we're trying to deal with that specific segment of individuals with that earlier Bill. This Bill has no limits."

Guzzardi: "Right."

Breen: "So someone who has violated the Immigration Laws can then come into the coun... can then come and get a license of any type with your Bill, including an attorney who has to swear to uphold the Constitution of the laws which they themselves may have violated..."

Guzzardi: "Right."

Breen: "...in... in extremist..."

Guzzardi: "Representative, as you know, these... the only way you can work in this country is if you have legal work authorization, which is a... which is given by the Federal Government. So this is not pertaining to people's ability to practice their profession; it's simply pertaining to their ability to receive a license to do so. And I just have to remind you, again, that this is already happening at all of these agencies as we sit here today. We're simply codifying current practice."

Breen: "To the Bill. This is... whatever the representation of current practice is, the fact is it is absolutely in violation of our oaths of office as attorneys. Just as the current state of Federal Law, current Federal Law bars folks who are not legally in the country from gaining any license at the state level. You have to pass a specific law to overrule that Federal Law. You're allowed to do that at the state level. That is what is occurring here. So we're literally going to say that folks that broke Federal Law now deserve state

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

licensure. This is a massive departure from our current state of understanding of the laws. It's a massive departure from the state of understanding the laws of our people. It's a rever..."

Speaker Lang: "Please bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Breen: "...it is a reversal of Federal Law that was well considered.

There is no reason to pass this Bill, which has nothing to do with DACA but instead has to do with folks who are... who made decisions to be here illegally. Please, please vote 'no'. And do not change what is current policy that is good policy for our state."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Andersson."

Andersson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Andersson: "Thank you. Will, I always appreciate the work that you do in this chamber, but this one I... I'm going to be a little repetition... repetitious with the Floor Leader. My concern is over the Attorney Act. It's not an agency that governs that. It's the Supreme Court and the Attorney Registration Disciplinary Commission. You're suggesting this is already existing practice within the Bar Associations within the ARDC and the Supreme Court?"

Guzzardi: "That's my understanding, yes. That they..."

Andersson: "Really? Did... did you talk to the Supreme Court?"

Guzzardi: "...they... There is no opposition from any of these agencies to this legislation."

Andersson: "Did you talk to the ARDC?"

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Guzzardi: "I... they have not filed... All the agencies that pertain to this legislation have no position on the Bill, Representative."

Andersson: "So, explain to me, again, what you meant by this is just getting them a license, but they don't... still don't have authorization to work unless they're legally here?"

Guzzardi: "So, I was referring to the... the IDFPR portion of the Bill, although..."

Andersson: "Ah. Well, then we don't have to deal with that because I'm dealing with the attorneys' provision of the Act. So, when we get a license, we go through no less than three steps where we have to certify ourselves as being lawful. First when we apply, we are different than nurses perhaps or doctors who aren't charged with upholding the law but we are. So first, we've got that. Second, we go through a thing called character and fitness. And notwithstanding the bad reputations that sometimes attach to attorneys, we're very concerned about the ethics that we uphold. This would require a second violation when they would not tell... not disclose that. And third..."

Guzzardi: "So... so, can I jump in here, Representative?"

Andersson: "...and third..."

Guzzardi: "Go ahead."

Andersson: "...when we raise our hand and we swear our oath the day... the moment we become attorneys, we are now breaking that oath. How do you address that?"

Guzzardi: "I would say that people who would be breaking that oath by swearing it, ought not to swear, Representative."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Andersson: "The... so they shouldn't do it? So, you're saying you're going to set up a system that you encourage nobody to use?"

Guzzardi: "Representative, I... I think we've... this... this

Legislative Body has already agreed that there are certain

people who do not have legal... who are not citizens..."

Speaker Lang: "Please finish the answer, Sir."

Guzzardi: "...citizens who are... who are not citizens or legal permanent residents, like DACA recipients. We've already opened the door for those people to become attorneys because we believe that there is reason to allow them to practice in the state. This simply codifies that in Federal Law."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Andersson, please complete your remarks."

Andersson: "Thank you, Mr... Mr. Speaker. I understand your point about DACA. DACA is a unique situation, as the Floor Leader indicated. That's not what your Bill is limited to. I cannot support this. You are invading an area that is not the Legislature's purview. And you are setting up a system by which attorneys are required to lie. I urge a vote 'no'."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Skillicorn for two minutes."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. What concerns me here is that if we add some new language and we add a situation where we went... have people that cannot legally work in the United States yet can get a license. Furthermore, Illinois is not an e-verify state. So, many states have the e-verify requirement to make sure someone is legally able to work in the United States. This is not about immigration; this is not about DACA. This is about being able to work in the United States and then have a license to work. So, we might grant someone a professional license yet they do not

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

have the ability to practice a profession in the United States. This seems to be duplicitous; it seems to be wasteful. And it seems to be a symptom of one of the problems that we have here in Illinois. And it's that we go through this rigmarole; we go through extra steps. Yet these people, who I may have... be sympathetic to their plight, but they are not legally able to work in the United States. So, we're going to have staff time; we're going to have employees do background checks, do investigations and then issue them a license for something they can never do until the Federal Government acts. So, if you want to change immigration laws, that's fine. Lobby the Federal Government to change the immigration laws. But this is not the time or the place to do this. We should work on our own issues and let the Federal Government work on theirs. Thank you very much."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Guzzardi to close."

- Guzzardi: "Thank you. As a reminder, this is codifying existing practice at IDFPR and other agencies and aligning us with Federal Law. I urge an 'aye' vote."
- 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 56 voting 'yes', 51 voting 'no'. And the Gentleman wishes Postponed Consideration. Page 8 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 3222, Representative Hurley. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3222, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Lang: "Representative Hurley."

- Hurley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3222 appoints two individuals to the Illinois Workforce Innovation Board who identify themselves as person with intellectual or developmental disabilities and who are affiliated with community-based organizations who support individuals with disabilities. I am here for any questions and would appreciate a 'yes' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. D'Amico, Davis, Guzzardi, Tabares. Mr. D'Amico. Please take the record. There are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3236, Mr. Olsen. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3236, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Olsen."
- Olsen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill provides that a school report card should include the data about the administrative costs for a school district. I ask for your support."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3246,

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Representative Bryant. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3255, Mr. Bennett. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3255, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bennett."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In summary, Senate Bill 3255, it adds the terms Pre-Hospital Physician Assistant, a PHPA, and a Pre-Hospital Advanced Practice Registered Nurse, which is a PHAPRN to the Emergency Medical Services Systems Act. The Bill allows the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation to establish the scope, the educational licensure, parameters for these new hospitals credentials. And this is the important part also, it allows them to practice in rural ambulance services. The legislation is agreed upon between the Department of Public Health, Department of Financial and Professional Regulation, the Illinois Physician Assistants Association, and the American Nurses Association Illinois Chapter. I know of no opponents. And I move for an 'aye' vote, please."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 113 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no' 0. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3261, Mr. Smith. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3261, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Smith."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. SB3261 amends the Abandoned Mobile Homes Act to authorize mobile home park owners and operators to... to destroy and remove abandoned homes on their properties. It received no opposition in the Senate. And I urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote... Sorry, Mr. Breen. A little late, but I'm going to recognize you. Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, is this your first Bill?"

Smith: "No, it is not."

Breen: "Thank goodness. 'Cause somebody just tapped me and said it was, but... so I will let you be. So, thank you. It looks like a good Bill."

Smith: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Andrade. Please take the record. There are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3263, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3263, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3263 is an initiative of the Illinois State Police. It's pretty much a cleanup Bill. It removes the authority of the director of State Police to

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

appoint auxiliary officers, which they haven't used... They don't have any auxiliary officers. And it makes two changes to the Commission on Police Professionalism. Specifically, it extends the sunset date six months and it replaces the Law Enforcement Training Standards Board with ISP as the entity for providing administrative support. I'm not aware of any opposition to this Bill. I'd ask for support."

Speaker Lang: "Seems like your seatmate has a question. Mr. Ford is recognized."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "No. Gentleman yields."

Ford: "Representative, do you think we... it seemed like we came to Springfield today for you. How many Bills have you passed today?"

Welch: "If you vote 'yes' for this, Representative, this'll be number five."

Ford: "I think that might be historic."

Welch: "Can I... can I count on your vote?"

Ford: "I'm going to think about it. If the Speaker... is that... is this historic? Does this role have a historic..."

Speaker Lang: "I have no comment whatsoever."

Ford: "No comment."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3276, Representative Cassidy. Please read the Bill."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3276, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Cassidy."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 3276 adds clarifying language to existing statutory language around the required advisement in court for anyone who is taking a plea who... that might impact their immigration status. It simply adds enforcement language that was left out. I know of no opposition."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, I just want to make sure what you're doing is adding to our statute the requirement to advise a defendant at their arraignment that if you are not a citizen of the United States and you are hereby advised of the conviction of the offense for which you have been charged may have the consequences of deportation, exclusion of admission to the United States, or denial of naturalization of the laws of the United States. That's what you're doing?"

Cassidy: "No, that's actually under current law. There's just no enforcement language in the current law. This adds the enforcement language that allows the plea to be withdrawn."

Breen: "Right. So, what you would be doing is... I mean, is... it's my understanding that it's current law though that that... if that admonishment is not given, there are... there is some ability of a defendant to then have their plea withdrawn or what have you?"

139th Legislative Day

- Cassidy: "They... the... it's only within 30 days. It doesn't really give folks in that situation time to act if they've not been given their... the required advisement."
- Breen: "But... and what... your Bill does 30 days or generally you can withdraw your plea at any time?"
- Cassidy: "So currently, regardless of advisement, any defendant can withdraw a plea within 30 days. This brings this in line with other situations like this and gives them more time to withdraw their plea if they haven't been given the proper advisement. It was simply left out in the original draft. And there's no opposition to the Bill. Even the prosecutors are okay."
- Breen: "Fair enough, Representative. Just wanted to... to check on that though 'cause that was my understanding of the existing law."
- Cassidy: "Right. The existing law is... the 30 days applies to any defendant, whether they've had any advisement offered or not. This... this brings this in line with other types of directions like this, which gives them more time because the stakes are higher."
- Breen: "Right. So, it... it allows the defendant at any time if not admonished to withdraw their plea?"
- Cassidy: "With... within two years."
- Speaker Lang: "Please bring your remarks to a close, Mr. Breen."
- Breen: "Thank you, Representative."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Conroy, Harris. Mr. Clerk, please take

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

the record. On this question, there are 56 voting 'yes', 57 voting 'no'. And the Lady asks for Postponed Consideration. Senate Bill 3285, Representative Bristow. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3285, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bristow."

Bristow: "Hi. This... thank you, Mr. Speaker. This creates the Illinois Home Grown Business Opportunity Act, which establishes resources for businesses and municipalities located geographically close to the border... bordering states. DCEO will oversee the program and will responsible for developing an economic plan to assist businesses and municipalities. I ask for an 'aye' vote on this..."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor... Excuse me for interrupting you. Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke, Guzzardi, Halpin. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3290, Representative Gabel. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3290, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As we're all familiar with the expansion of MCOs, and we know that there have been some problems with billing and denials, this legislation attempts

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

to address it. It requires HFS to provide the same information to the nursing homes enrolled in an MCO at the same time that it provides that information to the MCOs so they both have the same information. Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3291, Mr. Evans. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3291, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Evans."

Evans: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and the great Members of this Assembly. This Bill amends the Illinois Aeronautics Act. This... this Bill makes an exclusive power and function of the State of Illinois of the oversight for unmanned aircrafts or we call them drones, but the correct term is 'unmanned aircraft'. If this Bill passes, IDOT will adopt rules through JCAR to address any area of dealing with these unmanned aircraft that's not already preempted by FAA Federal Aeriation (sic-Aviation) Administrative Rules and consistent with FAA Rules. This may, and should, include identifying critical local infrastructure and defining the appropriate use on public property. Request your support."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Yes. For the purpose of legislative intent, I have a few questions. Representative, will you yield?"

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman yields."

Hoffman: "Will the Sponsor yield for questions? That's the first question I had. I'm reading from the paper."

Speaker Lang: "You already did that once, Sir."

Hoffman: "I did that on my own, didn't I? Under Senate Bill 3291, will rules and restrictions adopted by the state concerning safety and operation requirements for operations conducted by a commercial entity operating within all FAA Rules, authorizations, and exemptions be affected?"

Evans: "Thank you for your question. No, they will not. First, Federal Law has established that the FAA has the sole authority to regulate the national air space. Secondly, extensive rules and regulations have been adopted by the FAA concerning safety and operation requirements that apply to all operators. These will not change."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Representative."

Evans: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Wheeler."

Wheeler, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Wheeler, K.: "Representative, this is completely unrelated to the... the Bill we looked at last week. Is that correct?"

Evans: "Yes. And I'm glad it wasn't run on that day... that we ran it today. This is totally separate."

Wheeler, K.: "All right. So, to be clear, this doesn't have the same issues or concerns that many Members raised that day then, correct?"

Evans: "No. That day is dealing with policing. This is dealing regular everyday usage and the fact that we want uniformity

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

throughout the state with regards to regulating these to start off. And maybe in the future we'll get more specific through working with JCAR, through working with the department. We just didn't want a mishmash of rules all over the state."

Wheeler, K.: "No, I appreciate that very much. And thanks for bringing this Bill, Representative."

Evans: "Thank you for the support."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Williams: "Just because I've been thinking so much about drones lately, do you know if the rules will include any protections of privacy concerns, even though they don't have the... obviously the civil liberties issues, in terms of flying drones over a backyard or another private event? Not that it would be used for law enforcement purposes but generally there's still a privacy consideration. Do you know if that will be part of the rules and regulations?"

Evans: "And I want to be clear, I don't want to get into the law enforcement 'cause this has nothing to do with law enforcement."

Williams: "Absolutely not."

Evans: "This about private usage. Right now, the FAA is already outlined guidelines. I think all we'll be doing is allowing the state to do whatever the FAA is required. Some of your concerns have already been addressed by Congress and the Federal Administration. I think the hope is to work with JCAR to develop appropriate rules and I think they will. I've talked to the department; they want to work with all local

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

municipalities to figure out the best route to... to address this. I've talked to the City of Chicago. They created an ordinance, but that ordinance was trumped by the FAA ruling. So, everyone's trying to figure this out and the best way to do it within every region and municipality. We want to allow the department, hopefully, to... to do their job."

Williams: "Okay. Great. I just think it's important to remember, even if law enforcement is not implicated in this Bill, the drones may contain flying cameras and privacy considerations are relevant regardless. Thank you for your answers."

Evans: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bryant."

Bryant: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Sponsor yield..."

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Bryant: "...yield for a question? Representative, I have a Bill to keep drones from flying over correctional facilities so that they can't drop in contraband including weapons and drugs.

Would this help or hurt that effort?"

Evans: "I think the... what we should do is... after we pass this Bill, hopefully I can get your support, that we work with IDOT to restrict flying over correctional facilities. I think that would address that issue."

Bryant: "So, your Bill would control whether or not someone could fly... fly a drone over a correctional facility?"

Evans: "Not specifically. My Bill..."

Bryant: "IDOT would control that?"

Evans: "Yeah. Well, IDOT will have the authority to make those rules that's not already covered by FAA. I'll look through all of the federal rules. I'm pretty sure that the rules

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

through the Federal Government already will restrict the behavior in which you're trying to regulate. I'm pretty sure, but we can... I can double-check that. But I'm... but the federal rules were pretty extensive. I don't have the... all of the federal rules. I have some of them here in Part 107 of the Safety Operational Rules. I didn't... I don't see specifically where you can't operate over a facility, but I'm pretty sure that's covered under the rules. We can... I can double-check that for you."

Bryant: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Kifowit."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Kifowit: "In our analysis it says that the City of Chicago is exempt. So, if all the other municipalities in the State of Illinois are... are to fall under IDOT's purview, who is going to regulate or oversight the City of Chicago because they seem to be exempt from this legislation?"

Evans: "Yeah. I think first we have to keep in mind that the federal regulations probably would cover 95 percent of drone operations. The Federal Government... right now, the city is working on an ordinance, I think. Chicago is a... is a unique intention, It's their and I've talked space. Representatives in Chicago, to work with IDOT, but we have to just still keep in mind Chicago is a little unique as far as ... I mean, it's the largest city. So, we want to, you know, I kind of work with Chicago; they've got to figure it out. But for the most part, it'll... it'll be similar to what's going on in Aurora or any other city."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Kifowit: "Well, I guess I fail to see that with regards to the drone issue why the City of Chicago could not fall under the purview of IDOT just like every other city in the State of Illinois does?"

Evans: "Yeah, well, they've already committed to working with IDOT. So, I think the issue you may have is an issue that I don't think is going to be a really a large issue in this situation. CDOT wants to work with IDOT. Everybody's trying to figure this drone thing out. Once we set some key standards and parameters, I think we can go into the details, but right now it's just a new thing. I think it's important to allow IDOT to take control, set the rules and then we'll move forward from there."

Kifowit: "And that's just my quick question, what if the City of Chicago passes conflicting information against IDOT and the rest of the state? They're allowed to..."

Evans: "Yeah. They already attempted to do that. So, Alderman Burke in Chicago passed an ordinance when this issue first started to bubble up. But they're going to be redoing the ordinance because I think folks..."

Speaker Lang: "Please bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Evans: "Yeah. Right now the look is for uniformity. So, I think the issue that you're... you're rising is just not one that's a true issue."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Batinick: "I just wanted to... Representative, I just wanted to follow up on what the previous Representative from Oswego

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

mentioned. Why is Chicago exempt from this Bill? You said because they're unique?"

Evans: "Yeah. Well, I think when you're setting rules like this we... we kind of want to examine them. If we can get uniformity throughout the entire state, I believe Chicago will follow suit. But because Chicago is, again, it is the largest city, I think we want to kind of get this done first through IDOT. I... my understanding from working with the Representative from Chicago is that CDOT wants to work with IDOT for uniformity. It's just figuring this larger piece out."

Batinick: "Okay. I mean, I just... I just think this is a lesson for us. Plainfield's unique. Oswego's unique. Shorewood's unique. I mean, I'm going to be in supportive of your Bill. I... just like the previous Representative had mentioned, I see no reason to exempt Chicago. I think it actually makes it difficult 'cause we're going to have a State Law and people with drones are going to be operating by the State Law and they're going to have to figure out where the city border is. And there's going to be different... different rules there. And the idea of having a Bill like this is so that there's uniformity throughout the state. So, I do... I will be supporting your Bill, but I think it's a little bit of a tragedy that you exempted Chicago."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Butler."

Butler: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Please state your inquiry."

Butler: "Could you inform the Body as to how many votes it would take for passage of this legislation?"

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Lang: "I... I have asked the parliamentarian and we'll get back to you, Sir. Do you have any questions of the Sponsor?

Mr. Wehrli."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. Some of the confusion coming with this drone legislation is... is we're confusing geographical maps. Airspace maps are different than land maps. So, most of Chicago right now currently is what's in... what's called a no-fly zone. Drones under current Federal Law cannot be operated within 5 nautical miles of any airport. So when I hear Plainfield, Clow Airport, that airspace would actually extend over Plainfield. So right now, we already have Federal Law dictating where these drones can and cannot flown. This just simply says that instead of the municipalities coming along with a hodgepodge of regulations which may or may not be enforceable anyway because they may conflict, most likely conflict with Federal Law, that if we're going to do anything within the State of Illinois that it goes through the state level and not the local municipality level. As someone who is a... I spent 12 years as a corporate pilot; I'm an amateur drone pilot. These laws are very thorough and they're very confusing. And when you start layering on different map layers, it gets even more confusing. So, this to me is a step in the right direction. It allows those amateur users of, quite honestly, remote controlled drones to do them in a legal, responsible way. Please keep in mind that most major metropolitan areas with an airport it's illegal to fly a drone now as it is because you're within 5 nautical miles of an airport. This is a good way to address

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

a problem that's only going to become exacerbated as time moves on. I strongly urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Evans to close."

Evans: "Thank you. And I request your support."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted... sorry. Mr. Clerk, can you dump the Roll Call? In answer to your question, the parliamentarian advises this Bill will require 60 votes. Thank you. Now, those in favor the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? I'm not sure it's going to get the 60, Mr. Butler. Those in favor of the... Excuse me. There are 112 voting 'yes', 4 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair recognizes Mr. Butler."

Butler: "Can we do that every Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lang: "We can try, Sir. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have a series of Bills that came out of Rules that have Amendments. If your Bill has an Amendment, you might be on this list. Please pay attention. Let's move through these expeditiously. Senate Bill 35, Mr. Welch. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 35, a Bill for an Act concerning government. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Welch."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."

Welch: "Mr. Speaker, Floor Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment that addresses the concerns by police."

139th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. But a states mandates note has been requested and has not been filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 337, Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 337, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Willis."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."
- Willis: "This Amendment goes through and takes in some of the concerns that IRMA had and it also takes in some clarifications of definitions. I would like to debate this on Third Reading. And respectfully request the Amendment be adopted."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen, are you... questions on Second Reading, Sir?"
- Breen: "I... yes. Question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."
- Breen: "Representative, it was... looking at the Amendment here it's a pretty substantive Amendment. Why was it sent directly to the floor instead of run through the typical committee process?"
- Willis: "My understanding is it does not take away the integrity of the Bill. It's just clarification of definitions and pulled a certain Section out. So, that is why it was sent direct to the floor, Sir."

139th Legislative Day

- Breen: "Well, to the Amendment. With all due deference to the Majority, this is the sort of thing... it's such a significant serious issue it deserves full committee approval. So, that's just something I've... lodge that objection here. But again, this is the sort of thing that we should be doing in committee and not just running straight to the floor."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2350, Mr. Carroll.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2350, a Bill for an Act concerning education. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Carroll."
- Speaker Lang: "No running, Mr. Carroll. Mr. Carroll is recognized."
- Carroll: "Thank you very much. Sorry about running in the chamber.

 Hopefully you'll forgive me at this hour?"
- Speaker Lang: "No."
- Carroll: "Basically, this is allowing for a slight change in the language that instead of requiring students do it would make it optional for certain kids that might have some emotional concerns. So this Amendment was... was approved through committee."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2387, Representative Mussman. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2387, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Mussman."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mussman."

Mussman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. So the Amendment just creates consistency as we had talked about during the committee hearing. And moves this to a Class A misdemeanor."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, I just want to make sure what... what you are doing is a penalty enhancement from the current violation as a crime or petty offenses up to a Class A misdemeanor?"

Mussman: "So, the original Senate version actually made it a... I think it was a Class 4 felony. So we're actually creating more parity with the FOID Act... inconsistencies between the Criminal Code and the FOID Act. So it removes the provision that made it the Class 4 felony, which is what we discussed in the committee hearing. Sorry."

Breen: "Fair enough. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2481, Mr. Riley. Mr. Riley. Please read the Bill."

139th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2481, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Riley."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Riley."
- Riley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 just makes some corrections... actually some changes to the Bill and it occurred through our negotiations. And essentially there were two provisions that we just rolled back to the way that they were originally."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. But several notes have been requested on the Bill and have not been filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 2540, Mr. Crespo. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2540, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Crespo."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Crespo. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 2617, Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 2667, Mr. Turner. Mr. Turner. Mr. Turner. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2667, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Turner."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Turner."
- Turner: "Thank you. Floor Amendment #2, this only the provision of the Bill requiring an enterprise zone applicant to

139th Legislative Day

- demonstrate... to encourage the participation and hiring of minorities, women, and persons with disabilities. I ask for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3103, Representative Mah. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3103, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Mah."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Mah."
- Mah: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment is simply a correction of a drafting error. There are two items; one is the addition of the word 'or' between two paragraphs and then there is the change of the letter 'e' to a letter 'c'."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3139, Representative Fine. Please read the Bill. I'm told we've already adopted this Amendment. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3560, Mr. Connor. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 3560, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Connor."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Connor."

139th Legislative Day

- Connor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment makes some technical clean-up changes but also makes some changes of significance involving reporting, the ability to suspend the VPP Program, timelines and how reports are formatted. So that... that's it."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, moving to Page 10 on the Calendar, Senate Bills-Second Reading, we're going to call some of these Bills. The first one is Senate Bill 336, Representative Cassidy. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3... excuse me... Senate Bill 482, Mr. Zalewski. Out of the record. Senate Bill 849, Mr. Zalewski. Slowly, slowly coming down the aisle. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 849, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 904, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman. Senate Bill 904, Mr. Clerk, but Mr. Hoffman is not in the chamber. Out of the record. Returning to Senate Bill 849, Mr. Zalewski. Please read the Bill on Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 849, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."
- Zalewski: "Mr. Speaker, please move this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading."

139th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please move this Bill to the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 1437, Mr. Moylan. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1437, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. The Bill was... the Bill was read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1437, Mr. Moylan, on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1437, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Moylan."
- Moylan: "Yes. House Committee Amendment #1 becomes the Bill. This Amendment extends the current irrit... [unintelligible] of the standard income tax exemption to 2023. And I respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."
- 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. There's 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2332, Representative Lilly. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2332, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2339, Mr. Andrade.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2339, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

 No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill... Please read the Bill,
 Mr. Clerk, on Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2339, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Andrade."

Andrade: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2339 is a Bill that will move for the victims. This Bill will move the standard to protect victims of the State of Illinois. The current knowledge standard in the possession of a stolen motor vehicle law knowing a criminal law has been raised requires an officer establish that an offender has conscious awareness that a vehicle is stolen or converted in order to charge and convict for possession of stolen motor vehicle when the offender is caught driving a stolen vehicle. Whether the car was taken in a carjacking or stolen from the victim in a theft, when the offender is later caught driving the stolen vehicle he or she is generally able to plead ignorance or have a legitimate claim the car was loaned to him or her and avoid charges for possession of stolen motor vehicle. The current practice allows repeat carjackers and car thieves to avoid meaningful accountability so long as he or she can escape from the carjacking or theft without apprehension. I imagine there will be questions, Mr. Speaker."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Andersson for two minutes."

Andersson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Can we move this to Standard Debate, please?"

Speaker Lang: "Certainly."

Andersson: "Thank you. By the way, I'm pretending to be the Floor Leader."

Speaker Lang: "Good... well, it looks good on you, Sir."

Andersson: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Andersson: "Thank you. I'm told I was pretending before too, so. Thank you. Actually, I want to speak to the Bill. I rise in opposition to the Bill. And... and there's something fundamental about this Bill that I think we have to realize, is we are changing the nature of criminal law and how we prosecute people with this Bill. There has been a philosophy about the law, especially about criminal law, that is from time and immemorial. And that is, that we would rather see 10 quilty people go free than we imprison 1 innocent man. This Bill dumps that on its head. The Bill removes the requirement to prove by a reasonable doubt that the... the defendant knowingly possessed the stolen motor vehicle. Now, you just have to prove by a reasonable... beyond a reasonable doubt that a reasonable person should have known. Well, should have known is not the same thing as actually known. By my reckoning, we do not put people in jail 'cause they should have known. We put people in jail because they did something intentionally. This is a felony. This is something we have to take serious. You add to that the fact that when you do that with minors, now we're going to put them in jail at least for 40 hours,

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

possibly longer. Every study anywhere has shown that the more often we incarcerate our youth the more likely they're going to become a part of that system. So, we're exposing them to the very virus that we want to avoid. And last, this is clearly a due process violation. What it does is it creates a class of people, youth, versus a class of other people, people who are older, and say for the older folks, no problem you don't have to go to jail you can bail out. Younger people no option you must go to jail. That's a violation. It has to be strictly scrutinized and you have to find that it's done in the least restrictive means possible. Every..."

Speaker Lang: "Please bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Andersson: "Thank you, no. Every aspect of the Bill that I pointed out is a flaw and violates our fundamental tenets of what we believe criminal law is supposed to do. I urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Cassidy."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. In my previous life, I worked in the Office of the Cook County State's Attorney; I managed all of our grant funded programs. There was a program that was funded under the Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Council, which might sound familiar to some of y'all because it's one of the funds that got swept in... during the budget standoff. The folks that worked on that grant during the time I was there, the 10 years I administered that grant, it seemed like all they ever did was carjackings. Never once, in all the years that I worked with these... with these prosecutors, did one of them say to me, you know, I can do my job better if we changed the law. They felt like the law

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

worked pretty well. And the reality is, the problem is not a problem with our law; it's not a problem with prosecution. It's a problem with policing. Nine out of ten carjackings don't result in an arrest. This Bill is not about that. This is a... this is an attempt to look like we're doing something... well, we are doing something. We're putting more black and brown youth in jail. We are holding youth in... in detention causing permanent harm to their lives. This is a terrible Bill and isn't an answer for a problem that is real. And the answer to that problem is better policing and better response in our communities, not greater penalties and more detention of youth, and more destroyed lives. I strongly urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mitchell."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll also go straight to the Bill. I am not, based on my voting record, opposed to penalty enhancements in all cases. I voted for a Bill to increase penalties for illegal gun offenders and a Bill that's going to apparently reduce crime in a couple of years according to the superintendent. But this is something beyond that. Representative Andersson highlighted the due process violations that are pretty inevitable here. But beyond that, we're retaining youth for 40 hours. We are subjecting them to a mental health screening that based on our backlog at the Cook County Jail, not to speak to other jails, could take many, frankly, weeks if not over a month. This is very bad public policy to get at a very real problem. And there is probably a way to do this more narrowly tailored that does not involve detention that's a due process violation, but

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

this is not it. This is not going to necessarily do anything about carjacking. What it will do is ensure that we have more black and brown youth spending more time in prison, which is finishing school for criminals. Though I understand that the city's got a real problem they're trying to solve here, I would have to urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Skillicorn. Do you speak in favor or in opposition, Sir?"

Skillicorn: "Opposition."

Speaker Lang: "The number of people to speak in opposition has expired. Mr. Andrade to close."

Andrade: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to cover a couple points. Amendment 1 removal... remove... Amendment 1 removed the removal of the detainee of the youth for hijacking or aggravated... vehicle hijacking for the possession of a stolen motor vehicle. Currently, this Bill would move the current standard as what is the current practice right now as if ... as a juvenile was caught with a gun on a school. So, please remember, Amendment 1 removed the detention for possession of stolen motor vehicle. I know people have mentioned that, but it removed the detention of a stolen... the possession of stolen motor vehicle. That is not in the Bill in its current state. Secondly, if the defendant is able to substantiate his or her reason for driving the car and the trier of fact finds the jurisdiction reasonable, the court or jury can, of course, find the defendant not guilty. So we must... they must give a reasonable excuse, a reasonable alibi. It does not... does not under any circumstances provide or shift the burden of proof. It does not. Also, this Bill will provide

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

for once in... victims a chance to be heard on this House Floor. There's been many Bills that have not been heard and many Bills from both sides of this aisle that have been buried. So, I ask that we pay attention. The Senate has spoken 56-0. And it is now our chance to speak on this side for the victims. This Bill will move this General Assembly to what I feel has been lacking. But I ask for your support and I ask for your 'aye' vote in this matter. Thank you very much. I respectfully request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 85 voting 'yes', 29 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2344, Mr. Martwick. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2344, a Bill for an Act concerning education. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Martwick."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Martwick."

Martwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Floor Amendment #3 speaks to the… changes the cost of students attending a special ed co-op. In one specific instance in this one specific co-op where a school withdraws it requires the… it requires them to sort out the costs by agreement of the party and if not then the Illinois State Board of Education would then decide the costs for the student attending the co-op. I ask for its adoption."

139th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Representative Wallace is recognized."
- Wallace: "I'm sorry. I rise for a point of personal privilege.

 Actually, a parliamentary question."
- Speaker Lang: "Whichever it is, you may proceed."
- Wallace: "Thank you. So, I just have a question about process in regards to the last Bill. I'm concerned about where it was initially assigned to committee. And I'm also concerned about the notes that were filed. I'm still waiting on notes on legislation. But this was such a swift turnover, I'm hoping that they can get to some of my Bills as well."
- Speaker Lang: "Was that a question, Representative?"
- Wallace: "Well, it's a statement and a question. I'm really trying to understand how it moved so quickly? And what is the delay in the note process for other individual's legislation?"
- Speaker Lang: "Well, the notes come from state agencies, Representative. So, we're not in charge of those."
- Wallace: "Yes, I understand that. I also had a process question prior to that in terms of assignment and where it went in terms of committee?"
- Speaker Lang: "Your... your question is on the record. I'm not one that can answer that question."
- Wallace: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Lang: "Senate Bill 904, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."

139th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 904, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. A fiscal note has been requested on the Bill, as amended, and has not been filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."
- Clerk Bolin: "Committee Reports. Representative Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 28, 2018: recommends be adopted and referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 34, and Floor Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 904; approved for consideration and referred to Second Reading is Senate Bill 1707; and recommends be adopted the following Motions to Concur: Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 1010, Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 2984, Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 4259, Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 4650, Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 5573, Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 5588, Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 5632, Senate Amendment #2 for House Bill 5770, and Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 5784."
- Speaker Lang: "Page 12 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 2432, Mr. Martwick. Mr. Martwick. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2447, Mr. Yingling. Mr. Yingling. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2459, Mr. Sauer. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2459, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2459, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sauer."

Sauer: "Thank... Why, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill actually...
pardon me... this Bill amends the Drainage Code to permit Lake
County to dissolve the Seavey Drain. It also has an Amendment
in... in the Bill, which is agreed upon and was agreed upon by
committee. And what that would do is it amends the Sanitary
District Code and permits Lake County Regional Sanitary
District to dissolve if the county and the district so wish.
I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Flowers, Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2482, Mr. Carroll. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2482, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2482, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Carroll."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

- Carroll: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill basically requires school buses transporting children under 18 years of age to have an operational stop signal arm and flashing light system.

 I ask for a 'yea' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Arroyo, Soto. Please take the record. There are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2514, Mr. Stewart. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2514, a Bill for an Act concerning health. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2514, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Stewart."

Stewart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 2514 makes some technical changes to the Smoke Free Illinois Act. I know of no opposition..."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor..."

Stewart: "...and I'd ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Sorry, Sir. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Burke. Please take the record. There are 115 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having

139th Legislative Day

- received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2522, Representative Wallace. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2522, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. But a fiscal note has been requested and has not been filed."
- Speaker Turner: "Please hold the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 2540, Mr. Crespo. Out of the record. Chair recognizes Representative Wallace. For what reason do you rise?"
- Wallace: "I'd like to Motion to move the fiscal note inapplicable to Senate Bill 2522."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative, this is not the time for those Motions. There will be an opportunity for you. Senate Bill 2546, Mr. Guzzardi. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2546, a Bill for an Act concerning education. The Bill was read for a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. But several notes have been requested on the Bill and has not... have not been filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Please hold the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 2591, Mr. Meier. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2591, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

 No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2591, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Meier."

Meier: "Yes. Senate Bill 2591 is a Bill I've worked on for about the last 16 months. It will require solar energy developers to enter into an Agricultural Impact Mitigation Agreement with the Illinois Department of Agriculture 45 days prior to the solar farm establishment. This is the same AIMA that we use for our transmission lines, our pipelines, and our wind farms. It involves the construction and the deconstruction of these facilities. And it does not take away any power of the counties. They can set stricter regulations if they so desire. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke, Smith. Please take the record. There are 116 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2598, Mr. Crespo. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2598, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time previously.

Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments.

No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2598, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Crespo."

139th Legislative Day

- Crespo: "Thank you, Speaker. House Bill... Senate Bill 2598 allows a Home Rule municipality to disconnect property held within the fire protection district if the Home Rule municipality provides services to at least 80 percent of the territory within the municipality. This is basically to help one of my towns, Hanover Park to have complete responsibility for all aspects of fire and EMS services within their corporate boundaries. An Amendment was filed that removed all opposition to the Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke. Please take the record. There are 113 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2638, Mr. DeLuca. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2638, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2638, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. DeLuca."
- DeLuca: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Previously, on April 17, we passed House Bill 4104 unanimously out of this chamber. And at the time, during debate, there was some discussion about an opinion that we were waiting for from the Attorney General's Office and why

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

the Illinois Municipal League had some opposition with the Bill. So there was some concerns. This is the new Bill, Senate Bill 2638 that came from the Senate that addressed some of those issues. Since April 17, we have now received the opinion from the Attorney General's Office. This Bill is an agreed Bill between the Comptroller's Office and the Illinois Municipal League along with other groups. And this Bill clarifies that local governments can continue to file their annual audit statements using cash basis accounting, unless they switch to the accrual basis after June 30, 2019. If a local government files its statement on an accrual basis after this date, then all future filings must occur on an accrual basis. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Kifowit."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Kifowit: "This was in my committee and... and we had a thorough debate on that. And I just wanted to state for the record and ask the Sponsor to, again, reiterate that... and clarify that local governments can choose and not be mandated whether to submit their annual audit statements to the Comptroller on the cash basis or the accrual basis."

DeLuca: "Yes, Representative Kifowit, that is correct. The only way a local government can be compelled to submit their annual audit statement to the Comptroller using the accrual basis is if a local government chooses to file its audit statement using the accrual basis in audit year 2019 or thereafter."

Kifowit: "Is... is there any connection or... or tie-in with the generally accepted accounting principles? That was the basis

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

in committee that they were focusing on that could compel or force local governments to change if there are future changes to... to the GAAP?"

DeLuca: "Yes. This legislation is intended to preserve a local government's ability to choose between filing their annual audit statement using either the cash or accrual basis of accounting. If there is a change in generally accepted accounting principles, the intent is that the decision to make a corresponding change affecting local governments in Illinois would reside with the General Assembly."

Kifowit: "So, in essence, if a local government is using cash basis, they want to continue to do it into the future, they are allowed to do that under your law?"

DeLuca: "Yes, correct. That is the intent of the Bill."

Kifowit: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Olsen."

Olsen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I just want to state that fundamentally I have some concerns with moving to... moving away from the accrual basis. I think the accrual basis is... is much more prudent. And I believe that local governments should be using the accrual basis. However, the state is on a cash basis accounting and I don't think it would right for us to require something of local governments that we're not willing to do ourselves. So, I will be supporting this Bill, but I'd suggest that the state and all governmental entities move toward the accrual basis. I think that would be a best practice. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Burke. Please take the record. There are 113 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2641, Mr. Turner. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2641, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. The Bill was read for a second time previously. Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2641, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Turner."

Turner: "I believe there's an additional Amendment. Is there not an additional Amendment to this Bill?"

Speaker Lang: "My understanding is that there's an Amendment in Rules, Sir, which is not out of Rules. So, we'll hold this... do... do you want to move this back to Second or leave it here and move it later?"

Turner: "Move the Bill back to Second."

Speaker Lang: "All right. We'll put the Bill back on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 2661, Mr. Martwick. Mr. Martwick. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2661, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read a second previously. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

139th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2661, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Martwick."
- Martwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Bill 2661 is an initiative of the Treasurer. It's intended to modernize safekeeping provisions and to give the Treasurer more flexibility in some investments and decisions on collateral. It expands Treasurer's ability to do safekeeping. It allows them some expanded collateral and it allows them some expanded investment authority. I know of no opponents. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Smith. Please take the record. There are 114 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2662, Representative Mussman. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2662, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2662, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Mussman."
- Mussman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate
 Bill 2662 will create the task force on Human Services
 Contracting Act. Our state relies heavily on the nonprofit

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

community organizations to provide services to our residents. The budget impasse exacerbated problems that had already been brewing for some time, such as late payments. The task force will create a forum where government agencies and a variety of these service agencies can identify problems and solutions to improve our contracting partnerships so we can work cost effectively and efficiently serve our residents. There are six target areas the task force will focus on and issue a final report by January 1 of 2020. So, it is designed with a goal and a sunset in mind. And I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, what... why is it that your task force includes seven members from the Majority and six members from the Minority when it's my understanding we had a standard practice with task forces that we have even numbers of members?"

Mussman: "So admittedly, that was a decision made by the Senate and I simply didn't make any changes to that."

Breen: "Well, we're the House. And we normally try to operate in a more bipartisan manner than the Senate does apparently."

Mussman: "And that's fine, but I was not aware of the discrepancy.

And we can certainly talk about that, but this is the way the

Bill stands now."

Breen: "Will you run a trailer Bill to even out the legislative representation?"

139th Legislative Day

- Mussman: "Next year, if we feel that's necessary, I'm sure that we can examine that."
- Breen: "Do you feel that it is unnecessary that we be evenly represented in a bipartisan manner on task forces such as, this apparently very important task force or... or is it something that Democrats need an advantage on so that they can put their finger on the scale for the results?"
- Mussman: "So, again, the task force will simply issue a report and it will be up to the Governor and the General Assembly what they do with that information. So, if we feel that the information in the report is in some way biased when it comes, then certainly we can react to that."
- Breen: "And then who appoints the... it says at least two but no more than three members that represent organizations or agencies that provide research, analytics, and fiduciary analysis."
- Mussman: "Pardon? Was there a question?"
- Breen: "Yes. Who appoints the two or three members that represent organizations or agencies that provide research, analytics, et cetera?"
- Mussman: "I'm... I'm sorry, you're trailing off at the end?"
- Breen: "Who appoints the two or three members that represent organizations or agencies that provide research, et cetera?"
- Mussman: "So, admittedly... so, I don't see that written in the analysis. We would have to go back and check the text for that."
- Breen: "So, we don't even know who's going to appoint the members of the committee... of the task force?"

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Mussman: "So, it's written into the legislation. Admittedly, that was not in my analysis. I didn't realize that was going to be an issue today."

Breen: "So, you weren't prepared to present your Bill today?"

Mussman: "Not... not that particular question, no."

Breen: "Okay. You heard that question from me? Okay."

Mussman: "I did."

Breen: "I did trail off just... just a touch."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen, I neglected to put the timer on, but you need to bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Breen: "Well, I would just make a request to the Representative that since we don't know who actually appoints the members of the task force and there has been a discrepancy between the Parties, which is absolutely out of keeping from our normal practice on task forces, this Bill should be pulled from the record. And if it isn't, would... certainly don't see how we could vote for it."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think it's in... in our analysis it... it does say who appoints them. But it says the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House appoint some and then the Minority... I know, but the... oh, the advocacy ones? Sorry. I thought you were referring to the other. But that was an oversight by our side of the aisle when we did not pick up on the imbalance of the Minority and Majority of Parties on the task force."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mussman to close."

Mussman: "So, I simply feel that I... I appreciate the concerns for the detail. I admit that they were written in. I... I did not

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

have that information at hand at the moment. But this is an important thing that we're going to be doing is trying to negotiate between our service providers and our actual government agencies to improve and streamline and improve efficiencies. So, I do appreciate an 'aye' vote."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 66 voting 'yes', 40 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2696, Representative Barbara Wheeler. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2696, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2696, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Wheeler."

Wheeler, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2696 authorizes the DNR to convey tracts of property in Lake County to Fox Waterway Agency. It is an initiative of DNR. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Wehrli."

Wehrli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Wehrli: "Representative, is this your last Bill?"

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Wheeler, B.: "I hope not."
Wehrli: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Andrade, Burke, Cassidy. Please take the record. There are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2707, Mr. Davidsmeyer. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

- Clerk Bolin: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1141, offered by Representative Stewart. House Resolution 1143, offered by Representative Jones. House Resolution 1145, offered by Representative Mayfield. House Resolution 1146, offered by Representative Fine. And House Resolution 1147, offered by Representative Bryant."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned 'til Tuesday, May 29 at the hour of 10:30 a.m. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned 'til Tuesday, May 29 at 10:30 a.m. Happy Memorial Day."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Costello, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture & Conservation reports the following committee action taken on May 28, 2018: do pass as amended Short Debate is House Bill 4188. Representative

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

Riley, Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration reports the following committee action taken on May 28, 2018: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 5877; recommends be adopted is a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3040, a Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 4594. Representative Conroy, Chairperson from the Committee on Mental Health reports the following committee action taken on May 28, 2018: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 912. Representative Turner, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Criminal reports the following committee action taken on May 28, 2018: do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill recommends be adopted is the Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2063, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 4888. Second Reading of House Bills. House Bill 4188, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. House Bill 5877, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Second Reading of these House Bills that will be held on the Order of Second Reading. Second Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 1758, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. This Bill will be held on the Order of Second Reading. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 5894, offered by Representative Wheeler, Barbara, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 5895, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5896, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5897, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act

139th Legislative Day

5/28/2018

concerning education. House Bill 5898, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5899, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5900, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5901, offered Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5902, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5903, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act House Bill 5904, offered concerning education. Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5905, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. House Bill 5906, offered by Representative Crespo, a Bill for an Act concerning education. First Reading of these House Bills. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."