137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Mayfield, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education: Licensing, Administration & Oversight reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 3536. Representative Gabel, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2447, Senate Bill 2808; recommends be adopted is House Resolution 1046, House Resolution 1071, Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2913, Senate Joint Resolution 50. Representative Thapedi, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Civil reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 3103, Senate Bill 3488. Representative Riley, Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2514. Representative Hoffman, Chairperson from the Committee on Labor & Commerce reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 904. Representative Soto, Chairperson from the Committee on Health Care Licenses reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2904. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Business & Occupational Licenses reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 3 to House Bill 3877. Representative Costello, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture &

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Conservation reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2493. Representative Chapa LaVia, Chairperson from the Committee on Energy reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2591. Representative D'Amico, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Vehicles & Safety reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2518. Representative Welch, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is House Resolution 1051, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2969. Representative Andrade, Chairperson from the Committee on Cybersecurity, Data Analytics, & IT reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 1 to House Bill 5093. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Business & Occupational Licenses reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 4 to House Bill 3877. Representative Mayfield, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education: Licensing, Administration & Oversight reports the following committee action taken on May 23, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2344, Floor Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 3220. Committee Report. Representative Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: recommends be adopted,

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 2913, Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 3022."

Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. We shall be led in prayer today by Representative Dave Severin. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off cell phones, and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Severin."

Severin: "Let's pray. Lord, again we thank You for this day and the opportunity to serve You and to serve this state. I thank You for each Legislator that's in this House today. Thank You for the visitors that are here. And Lord help us to honor You each day in our lives. And I pray if there's anybody in this room today that is hurting, whether it be in their mind or body, or spirit, that You would touch them. Give us wisdom and direction this day, and each day in our lives. And help us to be cognizant of the fact that You are the blessings in our lives. And we thank You again for that. In Your name. Amen."

Speaker Lang: "We'll be led in the Pledge by Representative Ives."

Ives - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United

States of America and to the republic for which it stands,

one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice

for all."

Speaker Lang: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Currie."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Wheeler."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representatives Chapa LaVia and Riley are excused today."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Wheeler, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representatives Fortner and Wehrli are excused today."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 113 Members answering the roll and we do have a quorum. The Chair recognizes Mr. Brady."
- Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Lang: "You may proceed, Sir."
- Brady: "Ladies and Gentlemen, please welcome to Springfield, my Page for the day, Mr. Nick Sleevar. Who is an incoming seventh grader at Bloomington Jr. High School. Give him a nice Springfield welcome please."
- Speaker Lang: "Welcome to the Illinois House chamber. Thank you very much for being here. Representative Bryant."
- Bryant: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Proceed please."
- Bryant: "I have with me today my Page who comes from my favorite town in southern Illinois, Murphysboro. This is Bailey. Bailey has her mom and dad up in the gallery. Sitting to my left, Rachel and Roberto, and her little sister Bella. And met her grandfather last year at a Latino celebration in Cobden. Great worker in the migrant community there. And I'm very happy to have Bailey with us today. I'd appreciate it if you would give her a roaring House welcome."
- Speaker Lang: "Great to have you with us today, to you and your family. Thank you very much. Mr. Butler."
- Butler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Butler: "On behalf of the Springfield contingent, Representative Scherer and Representative Jimenez, I would like to invite everyone to the Lincoln Presidential Museum this evening for a wonderful chance to view the new exhibit. Which is the... from Illinois to the White House. It celebrates our four presidents from Illinois, Lincoln, Grant, Reagan, and Obama. It's a great exhibit. I would invite everyone to be there from 6:00 to 7:30 tonight. You're more than welcome to join us. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Mr. Bennett."

Bennett: "Good morning, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed please."

Bennett: "I have two wonderful Pages for the day, if they would stand up. Rodney Billerbeck who is from FFA. He's Section 10 FFA reporter, National Honor Society. And also Brittany Billerbeck. Brother and sister. Brittany is Section 10 FFA Vice President and also an honorary Department of Illinois American Legion Junior Auxiliary State Vice President. And we're very glad to have them here. They're from Columbia Illinois. And if I may say, mom is up in the gallery. Barb, where are you, please? She's waving up there behind me. She's retired from nursing after 24 years. Would you please help me give them a warm Springfield welcome, please."

Speaker Lang: "Welcome aboard. Thank you for joining us. Mr. Davidsmeyer."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "You may proceed."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Davidsmeyer: "Today I have a Page from Bluffs, Illinois. He's going into the seventh grade. He said he's not sure he's ready for school to be over and he's not sure he's ready for summer. So I'm not sure if that's like your average kid, but he wants to be in school. This is Kyler Spencer. If we could give him a warm Springfield welcome I'd appreciate it."

Speaker Lang: "Thanks for being in the House chamber with us today. Representative Stuart."

Stuart: "Thank you. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed."

Stuart: "Thank you. I would like to introduce some Pages that actually myself and Monica Bristow are sharing today. If these young ladies could stand up. I have five women from troop... Girl Scout Troop 370. They will all be juniors at Edwardsville High School next year. They are bridging from Senior Girl Scouts to Ambassador Scouts. And whenever they do such a bridge, they always celebrate with a special trip and this is what they decided to make their special trip. So from right to left, I have Isabella Doyle, who is a member of the swim team. Lauren McGarr, who plays field hockey. Colleen McCracken, who's a flute player. Emily Kloostra, who's a member of first robotics. And Kylie Shomaker, who's also a member of the swim team. So send them on a lot of errands and give them a warm Springfield welcome. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you all for being joining us today. We appreciate it. Mr. Cavaletto."

Cavaletto: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Go right ahead, Sir."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Cavaletto: "Thank you. I'd like to introduce my wife Connie, who's in the audience with my legislative aid Tabitha, who's served me well over the years. I appreciate you very much Tabitha and all you've done. And my son Jason. Thank you very much for coming to the Capitol today."
- Speaker Lang: "Thanks for being here with us. Mr. Harris. What's right with Illinois, Sir?"
- Harris, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And brief point of personal privilege. Thank you. I'd like to note the fact that each year an organization called *character.org*, and it's state affiliates, select schools and districts that demonstrate a dedicated focus on character development programs and a positive impact on academic achievement, student behavior, school climate, and their communities. The schools that were selected around the nation were found to be exemplary models of character development. And the school from Illinois that made the list is none other than the Belleville Public School District 118. Congratulations to them."
- Speaker Lang: "Big congratulations. Thank you, Mr. Harris.

 Representative Scherer."
- Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The first thing that I would like to do is to reiterate what Representative Butler said about the event tonight at the Abraham Lincoln Museum. And again, I wanted to remind everyone that I have the forms to fill out for a membership if you'd like to pick one up, you could take it with you and turn it in this evening. I also, on a different point of personal privilege, would like to give kudos to the IHI Turbo, which is in Shelbyville. And myself and other Representatives in the area are very pleased

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

to hear how well they're doing. I know a lot of people from our community drive there for work and they're looking to expand and hire more employees. And they're even trying to find housing. So that's always a good thing when we're bursting at the seams like that. This is a very progressive business that has come to central Illinois and things just keep getting greater. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Wallace, for what reason do you rise?"

Wallace: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "You may proceed."

Wallace: "Today is Red Nose Day. It is a day dedicated to focusing on ending childhood hunger. I think it's very fitting and timely given the fact that yesterday we had a Bill that could help with such a measure. And I won't belabor the point, it's a shame that that particular Bill only had a partisan Roll Call when there are hungry children, and children who live in poverty, all across our great state. Throughout the debate I talked a little bit about my own son's experience with Ritz Crackers and apple juice. And as a measure of good faith, and because sometimes we're here long hours, I want to say if anyone gets hungry and needs a snack I have some Ritz Crackers and some apple juice for them. Please feel free to stop by and get it. I do want to note that in the 42nd District there are 1,077 children who live in extreme poverty. In the 48th District there are 915 children who live in extreme poverty. In the 100th District there are 1,638 children who live in extreme poverty. And in the 109th District there are 1,793

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

children who live in extreme poverty. I will probably share more throughout my duration in the General Assembly over the next few days, but I do hope that everyone takes seriously the issue of childhood hunger and childhood poverty. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phillips."

Phillips: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Wallace, Representative Wallace... over here. Please check your records next time on that partisan Roll Call. There was one Republican that voted for that Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Under the Order of Resolutions on Supplemental Calendar #1, House Resolution 1071, Mr. Welter. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Resolution 1071, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we pledge to join with Megan Bugg in bringing increased visibility to the often hidden epidemic of childhood cancer."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welter."

Welter: "Mr. Speaker, Members of this Body, I have with me today Megan Bugg, who is a Page for the day, but more importantly we have her down here in support of House Resolution 1071. It recognizes Megan Bugg from Coal City, Illinois. And supports the much needed funding for childhood cancer research. Megan is here on the floor with me, and her father, Dr. Kent Bugg, and her grandparents, Sandra and Jim Bugg are with us in the gallery as well. Megan is no ordinary 17 year old teenager. No, in fact, I believe she is extraordinary in many different ways. This smart, loving, determined young lady is fighting for her life. And even when the odds are against her, she has

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

kept a positive attitude and a desire to insure that children in the future no longer have to experience what she had to. That no parents must take their child to chemotherapy treatments and emergency room visits. And that no family loses their child to this terrible disease. Megan was first diagnosed with Stage 4 ARMS, a soft tissue childhood cancer, on December 26, 2014, when she was just 13 years old. Her parents saw a lump on her left forearm on Christmas Day. And after visiting with her pediatrician, the next day she was sent to Central DuPage Hospital where she was diagnosed. Megan's oncologist said that she was one of the worst cases that they had ever seen. Since that time, Megan has gone through 90 weeks, 90 weeks of intense chemotherapy and most of those chemotherapy drugs she got were developed over 20 years ago. Because there have been virtually no new childhood cancer chemotherapy drugs discovered, in large part due to the lack of funding. For most children fighting childhood cancer, like Megan, their treatments are the same as they were from the 1970's. But during that same time our country has made tremendous advances in the treatments for breast cancer, prostate cancer. Why? In large part because of the money allocated by the Federal Government to finding a cure. Megan shared some startling information with me during our first visit. Like did you know that only 3.8 percent of federal funding for all cancer research is dedicated to childhood cancer? Although some might justify that small amount of money by saying that childhood cancer is rare compared to other cancers, such as breast cancer, prostate cancer. We believe that, when you consider the total number

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

of productive years saved by curing childhood cancer, we need more funding for the kids. The average age that a person gets prostate cancer is 66 years old. But the average age of childhood cancer is only six years old. If the kids are really our future of our country then we have to do more to save them from the nightmare of fighting childhood cancer. And I call it a nightmare because if you talk to any of the survivors, any of the families that have gone through this, it is not easy and it can feel like a nightmare at times. Megan has lost way too many friends to this disease and it has to stop. We can do better. Besides 90 weeks chemotherapy, Megan's own personal story includes over 125 radiation treatments, 3 surgeries, and a 9 day stay in the intensive care unit where she almost died due to an infection caused my chemotherapy treatments. And countless side effects from the treatments including permanent nerve damage in her feet, nausea, hair loss, heart damage, continuing stomach issues, memory loss, and hormone damage. Including the fact that she very well may be infertile. Most recently Megan has relapsed for the third time. As she now has a tumor in her chest near her aorta, that her doctors are currently working to determine how to treat. Because treatments for childhood cancer are so old and so limited, Megan is out of chemotherapy options and will most likely have to count on a clinical trial for treatment. However, because funding is so limited, there is only one clinical trial across the entire country that she qualifies for. Not only has Megan suffered a great deal herself, but she has watched far too many of her friends and their families suffer from this disease. So here we are today.

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Megan has made it her mission to raise awareness and funding to support childhood cancer, so no more kids have to go through what she has gone through. Megan's father, Dr. Bugg, who joins us as our local school superintendent. And he started a blog not long after Megan was diagnosed. It's called Megan Bugg's journey. Where he has shared their stories, the ups and downs. As a community we have followed along for years now. Sometimes laughing with them, and also crying with them along the way. There are times it's hard to read but I can tell you with complete certainty there are more times this blog has inspired our community by the... by the determination that Megan has displayed. Megan has partnered with Lurie's Children Hospital in Chicago to support important and promising research on childhood cancer being conducted by Dr. Walterhouse. Megan has engaged our entire community to help raise funds. To date Megan has raised over \$83 thousand to support his research. Her goal right now is 100 thousand. But think of that, think of this so the only trial in this country that can potentially save this girl's life she is raising funds and may become part of those trials. She is literally raising money to save her life. Although Megan is excited and honored to do her part to end this awful disease, she knows she can't do it alone. The victims of childhood cancer should not be responsible for raising the money to discover their own treatments. The kids need our help and they especially need the help of our Federal Legislators to focus on the importance of curing childhood cancer. Megan believes, and so do I, that our country has shown that when we put our minds to something we can accomplish anything. We must do better

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

for these kids and we must make funding and new trials a priority at the federal level. And that's why this... this calls to action to our Federal Legislators to take a look at, and more appropriately fund, childhood cancer research. I respectfully ask for your support on House Resolution 1071. And for supporting the 43 kids each day in our country who learn the devastating news that they are about to travel the same road that Megan has for the past three and a half years. So if you would, please join me in welcoming Megan to her capitol and for the amazing work that she is doing to raise awareness for childhood cancer and the need for resources in fighting this disease."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Evans."

Evans: "To the Resolution. First, I'd like to say, wow. Megan, you are a... you are my hero. You know, I am a cancer survivor as well, Megan, but I didn't go through what you went through. You are truly a champion. You're standing next to one of the taller Members of our General Assembly, Mr. Welter, but you are a giant in this building. You inspire us. And continue to fight. We're praying for you, we're pushing you along. We're your cheering section. You know, we clap for you and usually with these Resolutions it's a lot of emotions and a moment of silence but we clap with you. I'll clap for you for the rest this year. And know up in Chicago you've got a Representative in me. And all of us throughout the State of Illinois there's this clapping and cheering for you. And further, to the Resolution, I'd like to say it is important to reach out to our federal partners and let them know that ... what we think. And when it comes to childhood cancers please

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

know that we talk about prostate cancer, we talk about breast cancer and they get a lot of the attention. But our children are important. Cancer comes in a variety of forms and we want to let our research partners know, our federal partners know keep the fight on. Because we want to keep our Megan's here. Megan, we want to see you grow. We know what the chances are but we don't care about chances. We care about hope and we care about belief. So keep fighting, girl. We love you. We appreciate you. And stay strong. I'll be over there to get my selfie with you because you are truly my inspiration. So thank you so much. And again, all the best to you and your family and all the strength to you."

- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Parkhurst."
- Parkhurst: "Thank you. To the Resolution. I am proud to call Megan one of my constituents. She is an impressive young lady. I salute her courage, drive, and determination. She saw a need for funds to fight childhood cancer and stepped up to the plate to address that need. She hit it out of the park by raising over \$83 thousand to help her doctor research a cure for her cancer. I urge all of us to help Megan fund and fight childhood cancer. Megan, thank you for all that you do. You have the heart of a lion. God bless you."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Representative. Thank you for all you do, Megan, and all you have done. We appreciate you. Mr. Welter."
- Welter: "I would ask that all Members are added as a Sponsor to this."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman asks that all Members be added as Sponsors. Is there leave? Leave is granted. All Members will be added as Sponsors. Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Congratulations, Megan. House Resolution 1124, Representative Phelps Finnie. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "House Resolution 1124, offered by Representative Phelps Finnie. Be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate the Gallatin County Varsity Team on winning the Worldwide Youth in Science and Engineering State Championship for the third year, and we wish them many more successful seasons."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Phelps Finnie."

Phelps Finnie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm so proud. To my left up in the gallery, if you would all stand, students from the Gallatin County School. This is again, I think I've mentioned this before, this is where my clinic is where I'm a nurse practitioner. And also this is the school where my children attend. So I'm super proud of these... these kids. These are... they are great students, super intelligent. This is the third year in a row that Gallatin County School has won the WYSE State Championship. So let me list... I'm gonna list some of their accomplishments. Kiley Brown was fourth in biology. David Matthews placed fifth in math. And let me list the names of all these students. Michaela Springer, Kenna Rife, Dylan Boggs, Nathan Shults, Dylan Garrison, Aaron Walters, David Matthews, Kiley Brown, Madison Raymer, Konner Gross, Abbie

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Keasler, Kallie Keasler, Blake Seely, Jayde Pennington and JV members Brock Seely, Will Henson, and Chris Tedford. One of their coaches, Lindsay Adams, is here with them today as well as some of their parents. And these are fine students and they're like family to me. So please give them a good Springfield welcome."
- Speaker Lang: "Thanks for joining us today. Those in favor of the Resolution say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Chair recognizes Leader Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representative Wallace is excused for the remainder of the day."
- Speaker Lang: "What's going to happen with the juice and the crackers? Oh you're leaving them. Okay. Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, an announcement from the Chair. Mr. Demmer."
- Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Republicans request an immediate caucus."
- Speaker Lang: "And it shall be no surprise to anyone the Democrats with also caucus immediately. We'll go to our respective rooms immediately. Clerk will be in Perfunctory Session while we're in caucus. And we anticipate being back on the floor approximately 2:30, Ladies and Gentlemen. The House is in recess 'til the call of the Chair."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Committee Reports. Representative Zalewski, Chairperson from the Committee on Revenue & Finance reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 3085; do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 849, Senate Bill 1437, Senate Bill 1979, Senate Bill

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

3141; recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 123. Representative Greg Harris, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations-Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 2 to House Bill 5868. Representative Riley, Chairperson from the Committee on State Government Administration reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 3560; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 3 to House Bill 5593. Representative Rita, Chairperson from the Committee on Tollway Oversight reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 133. Representative Chapa LaVia, Chairperson from the Committee on Veterans Affairs reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: recommends be adopted House Joint Resolution 127. Representative Gabel, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 3062, Senate Bill 3081, Senate Bill 3179; do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 2662; recommends be adopted is House Resolution 972, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Resolution 2952. Representative Turner, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Criminal reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 337, Senate Bill 2387, Senate Bill 2557; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2599, Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3404. Representative Evans, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Regulation, Roads & Bridges reports the

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 2804; recommends be adopted is House Joint Resolution 116, House Joint Resolution 122. Representative Crespo, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education: School Curriculum & Policies reports the following committee action taken on May 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2350. Representative Kifowit, Chairperson from the Committee on Government Transparency reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2638. Representative Thapedi, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Civil reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2432. Representative D'Amico, Chairperson from the Committee on Transportation: Vehicles & Safety reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2482, Senate Bill 2522; do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 2339; recommends be Amendment 2 to Bill adopted is Floor Senate 263. Representative Willis, Chairperson from the Committee on Fire & Emergency Services reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 2598. Representative Martwick, Chairperson from the Committee on Personnel & Pensions reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 2707; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3119. Representative Yingling, Chairperson the Committee on Government Consolidation Modernization reports the following committee action taken on

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- May 24, 2018: do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 2459. Representative Daniel Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 34, Senate Bill 336, Senate Bill 2436, Senate Bill 2481, Senate Bill 2661, Senate Bill 3131, Senate Bill 3304; do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 2362, Senate Bill 2540, Senate Bill 2641, Senate Bill 2696, Senate Bill 2858, Senate Bill 3387, Senate Bill 3452; recommends be adopted is House Resolution 887, House Resolution 1078, Floor Amendment 1 to Bill 2421. Introduction of Resolutions. Resolution 1126, offered by Representative Mussman. House Resolution 1127, offered by Representative Connor. House Resolution 1128, offered by Representative Durkin. And House Joint Resolution 135, offered by Representative McSweeney. These are referred to the Rules Committee."
- Speaker Lang: "The House will be in order. The Chair recognizes the Majority Leader."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record show that Representatives Kelly Burke and Larry Walsh should be excused for the rest of the day."
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Leader. On page 4 of the Calendar under House Bills-Third Reading, there appears House Bill 4290. Mr. Costello. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4290, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Costello."
- Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Body. This Bill deals with the oldest bill in the backlog of bills that we

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

have in the State of Illinois. This bill is actually one that dates back to 2011, under the Quinn administration. It's been through the legal process. It went through arbitration and an independent arbitrator. That arbitrator ruled that the state should pay the bill. It went through the Circuit Court process. It went through the Appellate Court process. And it went to the Supreme Court. And all three of those courts said that the State of Illinois should pay this bill. Many of you here are aware, and many of you voted on a Bill in 2014, that paid approximately 45 percent of the back pay that's owed to a number of groups. This Bill would represent the remaining 55 percent that is owed to these groups. These groups are the INA, AFSCME, IFT, the PBA, Police Benevolent Association, the Laborers, and SEIU. If you look at what we're talking about here, in chronological order, this Bill should be at the top of the list of what the State of Illinois should be paying. And I would argue to anyone on this floor that in the private sector, if you would look at this scenario, it's actually a felony and it's called theft of services. These people, these workers, blue collar members of the State of Illinois have performed a service and they have not been paid on it. And I would argue that we should pass this Bill and pay the remaining 55 percent that we owe these members. And I would be open for any questions. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davidsmeyer."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. This is something that we've been trying to pass. I know I've been trying to pass this since we... since I first came in years ago. I want to thank Representative Costello for bringing

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

this forward and working this. If you recall, this was... this was an instance where, I believe, Governor Quinn worked or negotiated a contract that gave raises and this Body did not fund those raises. The... I want to reiterate something that Representative Costello said, and that is this is our oldest bill. This is a different kind of promise because it has to be appropriated. And I think it's way past time that we do this. Let's do this and let's move forward. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Butler."

Butler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I would just like to thank the Sponsor. Representative Costello has worked very hard and Representative Davidsmeyer as well. Since he had a previous similar, actually identical Bill to this. As has been said, this is the oldest bill on the books that we have here in Illinois. And there's not a day that I go by representing the capital city that I hear from people who are owed money who are hardworking state employees that have been owed money since... this has been out there since 2011. Every time I go to Lincoln, Illinois and talk to people who work at Lincoln Correctional Center, Logan Correctional Center, they talk about the back pay, when it's going to happen. So this is taking care of something that has been out there for far too long that should have been done long before I was in the General Assembly. But I'm proud to be able to vote today to take care of this problem for my constituents to get them paid what they're owed for the work that they have done. So Mr. Costello thank you for your work on this and I certainly would urge an 'aye' vote on this."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, can we just... give us a little history lesson as to how... I heard from one Representative that these were raises that Governor Quinn extended to the state workers but then that the General Assembly decided not to appropriate for. And so they obviously didn't agree. But I don't know... is that an accurate representation of where this particular part of the back pay came from? Or where did this come from?"

Costello: "So this would represent, like I said, the period of 2011 to 2015. Yes, you're correct, that this was a contractual agreement that Governor Quinn made on this particular pay."

Breen: "Okay. But the..."

Costello: "I would also tell you something that's interesting to know about this scenario is certain members of certain agencies were paid and certain members were not paid. Which is you know, even makes it more troubling in my mind."

Breen: "Right. And then as I understand the legal history of this, the courts have said, I mean, just kind of paraphrasing the... that yes, Governor Quinn extended your raises, but if the General Assembly doesn't appropriate then you have no cause of action against the state to collect that money. There's no way to do it unless the General Assembly actually exercises its authority under the Constitution to set aside funds to pay the monies. Is that an accurate representation?"

Costello: "I would say its accurate, but here's how I would say it. I would say that the courts have said and ruled that these people are owed this money. And we as a General Assembly, and

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

our Body, has to make the appropriation before they can be paid."

Breen: "Fair enough. And the cost of this is how much?"

Costello: "So the cost of this is \$63,255,750."

Breen: "And is it intended that this money would be part of next year's budget process? Or would it be..."

Costello: "It would actually be part of 2018. And this is actually an appropriation."

Breen: "So it would be an appropriation in 2018 for these expenses. Do we have available revenues to pay for these?"

Costello: "There are available revenues as I think you may know. I think there is..."

Breen: "I don't have a revenue estimate. So I actually don't know if there are available revenues or not."

Costello: "Okay. And I understand that. But I think COGFA would say that there are a couple hundred million dollars of monies that has been found that was not expected."

Breen: "Okay. Thank you, Representative for the answers to the questions."

Costello: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ives: "So we're going back to 2011. Is that FY2011 or year 2011 when this first occurred... these raises were guaranteed?"

Costello: "So it's actually July 1 of 2011. So that would be the beginning of the new fiscal year."

Ives: "So that would be fiscal year '12, correct?"

Costello: "Yes."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Ives: "Okay. So the raises get agreed to, the General Assembly in FY12, which is 2011, does not... does not appropriate the money for it?"
- Costello: "So, I would tell you there's been a couple of different scenarios. In that you're correct. If you would look back to 2015 the last time that we brought this Bill up, it was voted on and passed on the floor. That particular piece was vetoed by the Governor."
- Ives: "Okay. That was the last time. But yet these raises actually were negotiated for and won by the union. And I know when I was on Wheaton City Council, whatever we agreed to we paid our union and we budgeted for it. So these were negotiated for. They won these raises. And in FY12 they were not appropriated. In FY13 they were not appropriated. In FY14, which would be year 2013, they were not appropriated. In FY15, which is year 2014, they were not appropriated."

Costello: "So..."

Ives: "I'm... I'm on the right track though, right? I'm on the right
track."

Costello: "Well so in... actually in 2014, 45 percent of the contractual back pay was appropriated."

Ives: "Okay. But you left off 55 percent. Correct?"

Costello: "Absolutely."

Ives: "Okay. My point is Democrat control in FY12. Democrat control in FY13. Democrat control 100 percent in FY14. FY15, supermajorities. Your side failed to put it in the budget and you failed to pay these state workers the contractually obligated raises that your Democrat Governor negotiated for. So let's put this in the right perspective, Mr. Costello."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Costello: "Jeanne, first of all I would tell you, when you're pointing please point elsewhere because I have worked for, as well as a number of people on your side of the aisle, a number of people on our side of the aisle, have worked to make sure this is paid for many, many years."
- Ives: "I get it. I understand. However, it's your party that was in 100 percent control '13 and '14. Look I sat here as part... under the super minority where we couldn't do anything. And you had 100 percent control and you did not solve this problem. Now, I remember distinctly waving my checkbook last year on the House Floor when you guys raised our income taxes 32 percent."
- Costello: "Which I voted against. So not you."
- Ives: "I understand. But you as a Body, you largely Democrats. And then in the matter of two hours, passed out an enormous budget and you didn't budget... not you, broadly the folks who voted for the budget, did not vote for contractually guaranteed raises. You know, after a 32 percent tax increase you couldn't even find it in your budget to come up with the \$63 million back then, last year."
- Costello: "Jeanne, this is... this is your opportunity to do the right thing and pay these contractually obligated payments to people who have provided service to the state and have not been paid for it."
- Ives: "Thank you very much. 'Cause in a previous campaign that I was running a few months ago, this issue came up a lot and I know it means a lot to people who live in areas that provide a lot of state services to. So I... I understand this issue. However, this is not the only separate appropriation Bill

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

that we are going to be faced with in the next couple of days for one off appropriations. Here's what I would say, you still are in control of the budget. And oh by the way, rank and file members like me, who are increasingly... and I'm going to agree to it, becoming irrelevant as lame duckers, I'm telling you right now we have no idea what you're negotiating for in your... on the budget. We have no idea. Rank and file has no idea. Not even the revenue estimate. "

Costello: "So..."

Ives: "Okay. Now wait a minute here. So these one off ideas that we're going to appropriate money when we're eight days away from finishing our work and having a budget is nonsense. If you want your \$63 million to pay these state workers, put it in your FY19 budget and be done with it. Be done with it. Do not do this, because when you're asking us to separately appropriate for one line item, which I'm happy to do. If you want to go through every single line item in the budget, I am happy to sit with you and painstakingly decide 'yes' or 'no'. But I don't get to do that. So don't ask me to do this. You put it in your budget because guess what? I want a balanced budget. I want it on time. And, I want you to have to prioritize. So if this is the Democrat's priority this year, then find the \$63 million, shove it into the budget, and pass it that way."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bryant."

Bryant: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege on this. Because I was employed by a state agency in 2011 and 2014, I have a potential conflict of interest. I'll be voting 'present'."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Representative Scherer."

Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. In the 98th General Assembly one of my top priority Bills was this very Bill, the ASFME back pay. And it did enable 45 percent of the amount owed to be paid back. And it was very disappointing that we didn't pay 100 percent of it because that's what needed to be done. So now at this point, in the 100th General Assembly, I'm happy to see that this bipartisan Bill is finally, prayerfully going to come to fruition. And the hard workers of the State of Illinois, who have this money rightfully due, will finally be paid what they should have been paid years ago. So as my dad would say, once again the right thing isn't the easy thing and because maybe we didn't do it last year when we should have, because of a veto or whatever. It's time, it's time, it's time to finally put this to rest and do the right thing. I strongly urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hays."

Hays: "I call the previous question."

Speaker Lang: "Gentleman calls... moves for the previous question.

Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

And the previous question is put. Mr. Costello to close."

Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I said, this is the oldest back bill in the State of Illinois. It has broad bipartisan support and I ask for its passage. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Rita. Please take the record. There are 98 voting 'yes', 10 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Phelps Finnie is recognized."

Phelps Finnie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Phelps Finnie: "I just wanted to be able to say that... about this back pay. My grandpa was a janitor at a bank for 65 years. And I think about him when I think about these blue collar workers. And I'm so glad we were able to pass this piece of legislation. Right is right and wrong is wrong. And it's right to pay people what they're owed. And in this House, as we try to get our fiscal house in order, this was a priority and I'm very proud to be a part of it. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Phillips."

Phillips: "Yes, Sir. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to go on record saying that, you know, I have a... some DOC workers in my district and they came to me and I gave them my word that I would vote for this because I thought it was the right thing to do. However, with that said, I'd like to also go on record saying that Representative Ives, next set to me, she made a great point. And it was outstanding and I wish we would have had this conversation earlier. Because it should have actually been done the way she talked about. So thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Lang: "Proceed, Sir."

Batinick: "I just wanted to make note that the Governor did send out an AV on I think the 72 hour Bill and I was hoping that

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

we might see that for a full throated debate on the floor and urging for that. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Wheeler."

Wheeler, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed."

- Wheeler, K.: "Thank you. I'd like to echo my housemate's comments there that the Governor did put forth an AV that would try to run down the middle of... respecting both sides priorities. That's something we don't often do here. I know it hasn't been called for an AV or even in committee, but I'd appreciate a discussion on that at some point in time. And I think it would be healthy for all of us to go through that. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Page 3 of the Calendar under House-Bills Second Reading. House Bill 5750, Representative Phelps Finnie. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 5750, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment # 1, offered by Representative Phelps Finnie, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Phelps Finnie on the Amendment."
- Phelps Finnie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Floor Amendment... hang on just a second. Yes. This is just a technical Amendment to make sure... we wanted to make sure we were going to get the federal match. And so this is just a language change to make sure we get the federal matching dollars on the broadband for schools."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment... sorry to interrupt, Representative. Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 5750, a Bill for an Act concerning appropriations. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Phelps Finnie."

Phelps Finnie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So this is an initiative just to make sure there is \$16 million appropriated in the Infrastructure Fund. And this would be shifting this so schools can use this for broadband expansion. Be happy to take any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, when did this... I see you want to appropriate here. We're a couple of days from the end of Session. We're appropriating in the current fiscal year? Is that what you're doing? Oh, I'd like to move for Standard Debate.

Phelps: "It will be FY19."

Breen: "Move from Standard Debate if that's needed, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "You'll get your Standard Debate, Sir. Please proceed."

Breen: "Thank you. Go ahead."

Phelps: "FY19."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Breen: "It should be in the FY19 budget that we are now negotiating with the Leaders and the Governor. Why would we pull a line item out when we're not even sure how much revenue we've got and there's a whole budget process going on? How would we... why would we appropriate for this before we appropriate for, I don't know, funds for school resource officers? Before we appropriate for funds for children's health care, or for cancer treatments, or for any of the other items? How about public schools? Why would we do this first?"

Phelps Finnie: "I think that's a fair question. My understanding is this money has just been sitting there not used and we want to put it to good use. And I can tell you from my district... I've been passionate about this. We have counties without broadband and we can't expect our children to learn to their fullest ability without that kind of technology."

Breen: "To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, it might be a great idea, but we have a process called the negotiation process. If it's such a great idea then it should go into the budget that is being negotiated right now by the four caucuses and the Governor together and done in that manner. I have no idea why we're doing this today when we are in the midst of dealing with... we're bumping up against the Third Reading deadline for regular Bills that are not related to next years budget. And until I see a budget, and how this fits into a budget, there's no way I think anybody can support this particular line item and at this particular amount. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Ives."

Ives: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. So I think it's important that people understand exactly what this is all

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

about. This is over \$16 million appropriation for expansion of broadband trying to capture the 10 percent match that you get at the Federal Government. So the Federal Government would pay \$6.3 million, ISBE, the state taxpayers, would pay \$6.3 million. But the rest of the money, the 80 percent, supposed to come from individual schools districts. And in fact that's largely what's happened whenever we've used this money before. Is that school districts have found it within their budget to pay this 80 percent. So now what this Bill does is it says that these 100 special districts will... the ... the state taxpayers will pick up the entire amount of their 80 percent that they're supposed to pay in. Now I have the list of the 100 schools. And I went through and I found out the average per student spent. Well the first school district on the list is, of course, Joppa-Maple Grove Unit District 38, which is actually in the Sponsors district. And the average spent per student in that district, according to the ISBE website is \$13,163. They spend more than my district in Wheaton does per student. In fact, they spend more than most districts in the entire state. They spend more per student than the average... the average that we pay in the state per student, which is about 12,800. But they're not the only ones. You've got this one, Lostant Community School District 425, \$21 thousand. And yet we're expected to pick up their share of 80 percent for their broadband expansion when they're spending \$21,000 per student. And I could go on, and on, and on. There is another one here for 19 thousand. Sure, they're some people on here that are spending 6,800 per student and certainly they maybe need some new support. But they're

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

getting additional support through the evidence based model of funding. And those funds were just distributed. This is, again, a one off appropriation when this should be part of a budget negotiation. And certainly we should not be picking up the 80 percent for these school districts that decided on their own not to expand broadband. Especially some of these, and there's multiple ones of them, spending way above the state average. I absolutely urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Batinick: "Quick clarifying question. You said the money is sitting there. Is this a special account or is this part of GRF?"

Phelps Finnie: "Yeah. It's other state funds of the School Infrastructure Fund."

Batinick: "Thank you. I appreciate that."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Pritchard: "Representative, why is the urgency to invest this money in these 100 school districts right now?"

Phelps Finnie: "That's a great question. This is the last year that we can get the federal grant for that 10 percent matching."

Pritchard: "And why is it important that districts have fiber connected to their school and their classrooms?"

Phelps Finnie: "Sure. So we know that education more and more is pushing towards technology. You know, teaching... our textbooks

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

are getting old. We can't even afford to get new textbooks. If we can teach through online services that's going to be a huge advantage for our students."

Pritchard: "And is it true there's also online classes that districts in more rural areas, that don't have the teaching staff, can avail themselves of offering the students?"

Phelps Finnie: "Absolutely."

Pritchard: "And where are most of these 100 districts located?"

Phelps Finnie: "Much affects my district, inner city Chicago has some as well. And I know there are a few other areas in the state, plus rural."

Pritchard: "And do you know why, as one of the previous questioners asked, these districts have chosen not to invest in this connection with fiber?"

Phelps Finnie: "So I can't really speak specifically to that. I know that for my area it was not a choice. They don't have enough funds. We've cut about everything in our schools that we can cut. And so for my schools I can speak and say that this was not a choice to not invest in this."

Pritchard: "Do we know if this 100 list will now connect all of the school districts with fiber?"

Phelps Finnie: "Yes."

Pritchard: "So, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is really moving our state forward. We need to be preparing our young people for the 21st Century technology and the kinds of jobs they're going to have when they graduate. We need to be providing them with the tools that it's going to take. And the evidence based model funding provides some dollars for each school district to maintain and to implement this system. But the

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

key is having connectivity and this Bill would help provide that for the rest of our school districts. I would urge an 'aye' support."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Mitchell."

Mitchell, C.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll just go straight to the Bill. Representative, this is an excellent piece of legislation. To the Gentlelady from Wheaton, we spend more money on kids who need more help. This is another example of the ways in which districts like mine and districts like Representative Phelps Finnie have some of the same struggles, the same challenges. This is a chance to potentially save money by implementing blended learning and online programs that would allow for more programing in far flung rural districts. It's an initiative that deserves support and comes from other state funds. Representative, please add me as a cosponsor and congratulations."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Ford: "Representative, we talked about this Bill in committee, and I asked a question if this would help the City of Chicago and any parts of the 8th District. Did anything change about that? Will this help the City of Chicago or any parts of the 8th District?"

Phelps Finnie: "I can't speak to your district specifically, Mr. Ford. I'm sorry."

Ford: "So I'll tell you. I represent the Austin Community. I represent Oak... parts of Oak Park, parts of Berwyn, parts of

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

LaGrange, LaGrange Park, North Riverside, and a little bit of Proviso, and Brookfield. It's all north."

Phelps Finnie: "Okay."

Ford: "Do you think this represents any of the region that I just spoke of?"

Phelps Finnie: "I would not want to misrepresent, so I can't tell you that it would. I don't have a map in front of me, I'm sorry."

Ford: "You remember I said that I would support this Bill because it's important that we work together to support communities that's not in our district but to do the right thing. Do you remember that in committee? I said that I would do that."

Phelps Finnie: "I'm sorry, I do not remember that, Representative Ford."

Ford: "Well I supported your Bill to come out of committee on the notion that we will learn to work together. But this is a teachable moment because yesterday I had a Bill that affected Cook County and it did not hurt your district, but it could have helped my district, and you voted 'no'. And this is a teachable moment because it made no sense for you to vote 'no' because the Bill didn't cost anything. But this Bill is going to cost money. But I want to do the right thing for the people of the State of Illinois. But I have to call to your attention that it is not right for you to vote against a Bill that didn't cost the state any money, but it did help Cook County repair infrastructure if money became available. So, for the record, I'm going to vote for your Bill because it's the right thing to do. But in the future you should be conscious and realize that you should be supporting other

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

people's initiatives in their district, if that's what they're calling for, if it doesn't impact the state at least."

Phelps Finnie: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Wheeler."

Wheeler, K.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Wheeler, K.: "Representative, this shows that it is the school infrastructure fund from which these monies would be allocated. Is that correct?"

Phelps Finnie: "The money is already appropriated... actually there's 22 million sitting there right now."

Wheeler, K.: "I just wanted to verify this wasn't GRF money.

That's correct, right?"

Phelps Finnie: "I'm sorry?"

Wheeler, K.: "I just wanted verify this is not General Revenue Funds at all?"

Phelps Finnie: "Other state funds."

Wheeler, K.: "Other state funds. See, had you actually appropriated from General Revenue Fund, I'd be obligated under duty to ask you if there is a revenue estimate somewhere inside this Bill. So I thought I'd just for the sake of consistency and clarity, I'd ask you those questions. And I appreciate the answers. Thank you."

Phelps Finnie: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Hoffman: "Representative, it's my understanding that the majority of this money is going to be used in rural... and rural areas, and areas that are downstate. Is that correct?"

Finnie: "That's correct."

Hoffman: "And you represent downstate, right?"

Finnie: "Yes."

Hoffman: "And it'll help your school districts immensely if you pass this Bill?"

Finnie: "Yes."

Hoffman: "So to the Bill, Mr... Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to say that I support this wholeheartedly. It is going to help all schools districts throughout the state, but the most it's going to help are Representatives who represent areas that are downstate and rural areas. Now it used to be, when I first started here, it wasn't so much Republicans and Democrats as it was regional Representatives who stood up and represented and voted for their region. So I would submit to you, if you're a Republican and you have a rural area and you're in downstate Illinois, you should support this Bill because it's going to help your school districts and your children who are in those schools immensely."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Phelps Finnie to close."

Phelps Finnie: "Thank you. I appreciate all of the comments, even some that were a little tough to hear. I do appreciate that and appreciate people being honest with me about how they feel. This is extremely important for especially southern Illinois that I represent. And when I took that oath to come here and serve, I promised the people of the 118th District in Southern Illinois that I would do my best for them. And

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

that's what I'm doing here today. Our children, we feel like, all of Southern Illinois has been left behind for some time. Our kids are hurting. Our people are hurting. And this is my best effort to be able to help our children, the most precious and vulnerable in our communities. And I am proud to stand here today to do it and I humbly ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 91 voting 'yes', 15 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4890, Mr. Davis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 4890, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 4890, again, despite what you see on the board, relative to the Village of Posen. It was a gut and replace Amendment that we adopted yesterday for the Midlothian Park District to give them permission to go above what would be their statutory debt limit to sell bonds in a higher incriminate based on what their voters had indicated that they would like for them to do. So again, statutorily they could sell them in \$5 million increments. They want to do a \$13 million bond issuance for updates to their parks. So giving them the ability, through this piece of legislation, allows them to go and get those bonds all at once to save their community a little money in

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

the process. We kind of thoroughly debated the Amendment yesterday. Don't know if Mr. Breen is going to have any additional questions, but nevertheless I'll be ready for them. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "And guess what? Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, I again want to return to the issue of the Village of Posen. Which is wear is their TIF? You said it was moved to another Bill so that this Bill could deal with Midlothian. Why couldn't you put Midlothian on their own Bill and leave the Village of Posen be and give them their TIF district?"

Davis: "Well I appreciate you care... that you care about the Village of Posen so very much."

Breen: "I do."

Davis: "I really do. So when this was brought to me I think we had passed one of our deadlines in terms of being able to do so. So the question was, if we want to try to help them this Session how could we do it? And so what I had did, even before this came up, is that I had asked for an Amendment to House Bill 4129, which is a TIF Bill for the Village of Caseyville, by Representative Stuart here. She and Senator Haynes said that I could add the Posen TIF language to that Bill. So that Bill has passed and is coming back to this chamber on concurrence. And so I then was able to use this Bill as the vehicle for the Midlothian Park District."

Breen: "Fair enough. Okay. And then the Bill itself though, just so everyone remembers, it does extend the limit placed on the

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

park district, by the Park District Code. So it is going to be allowed to do a principal indebtedness of more than the limit 2.875 percent. But it's a \$13 million bond. It was approved by a referendum amongst the general primary electorate. And you've got a little bit of an issue because you want to go for a longer period of a time to get a lower rate for the bond. Is that an accurate statement of what the Bill does?"

Davis: "Yes. That is an accurate statement."

Breen: "Okay. Thank you."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Please record yourselves. Sente. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 55 voting 'yes', 51 voting 'no'. And the Gentleman asks for Postponed Consideration. House Bill 5868, Mr. Ford. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 5868, a Bill for an Act concerning health. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Ford, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move for the adoption of House Floor Amendment #1. It's the Amendment...

House Floor Amendment #2, thank you, Tim. It makes the Bill agreed and what it does it strikes the underlying in Bill's Medicaid provision and removes the Bill's requirement that the Department of Insurance rate community based agencies

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

making certain coverages requirements optional. And make other changes including the definition of substance abuse. I move for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 5868, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. The Bill is agreed. DHS is in support and to my knowledge there is no opposition. And it allows for people to use their coverage for treatment facilities, housing standards, and transitional housing for individual case management and job training placement. I move for adoption of House Bill 5868."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, just so that we're clear, your Amendment 2 removed the… let's see… okay. The Chamber of Commerce are they a neutral or are they a proponent of your Bill?"

Ford: "They're proponents. In fact, they were a part of the negotiations."

Breen: "Okay. And then the Department of Human Services is also a proponent of your Bill, not merely neutral. Is that correct?"

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Ford: "They were a proponent and they helped write it."

Breen: "Okay. And then just so the folks know what your Bill does, it allows a policy... it allows an insurance company to offer certain substance use disorder care, various recovery housing, and things like that. What else does it do though in specific?"

Ford: "It's permissive, also. It just allows the insurance companies to cover it. So it doesn't mandate it."

Breen: "Fair enough. Thank you for the answers to my questions."

Ford: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor vield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Bellock: "Thank you very much. Representative Ford, so I just wanted to go over what the first Amendment did. It took away the mandate on this. Correct?"

Ford: "Correct."

Bellock: "Okay. Because we really agree with the Bill, but I know that there was some problems and that..."

Ford: "The second one took away the mandate. The second Amendment. Yes."

Bellock: "Okay. The second one took away the mandate. I couldn't get that on my analysis. And with that change, then that allowed the Chamber of Commerce to take away their opposition to the Bill?"

Ford: "That's correct."

Bellock: "And DH... all the other agencies are okay with the Bill also?"

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Ford: "Yes."

Bellock: "Great. Thank you very much."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Switches haven't moved, Members. Please take the record. There's 107 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Members, we are now going to page 13 of the Calendar, Senate Bills—Second Reading. If one your Bills is there please be ready. Senate Bill 263, Representative Mah. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 263, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Turner."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mah, do you want to handle the Amendment for Mr. Turner?"

Mah: "Yes. So..."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Mah: "The Amendment is a gut and replace Amendment that adds language from a Bill previously passed in the House already."

Speaker Lang: "Are you talking about 1 or 2?"

Mah: "Two."

Speaker Lang: "Well, first we have one. Want to withdraw Amendment 1?"

Mah: "Yes."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, withdraw Amendment 1. Mr. Clerk."

137th Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Mah."
- Speaker Lang: "And you've explained Amendment 2. Is that correct?" Mah: "Correct."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 482, Mr. Zalewski. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1531, Mr. Harris. Greg Harris. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1628, Representative Moeller. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1628, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendment. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2271, Mr. Frese. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2271, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second... this Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2332, Representative Lilly. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2332, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. A fiscal note has been requested but not filed at this time."

137th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Bill will be held on the Order of Second Reading. Senate Bill 2341, Mr. Thapedi. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2341, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Second... this Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2344, Mr. Martwick. Mr. Martwick. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2407, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2407, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. Amendment 2 was adopted previously. No Floor Amendments... no further Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2493, Mr. Halbrook.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2493, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day.

 No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Costello, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Costello on the Amendment. Mr. Costello."
- Costello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment explains supplemental deer feeding from a period of February 1 to August 15. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

137th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2546, Mr. Guzzardi. Mr. Guzzardi. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2546, a Bill for an Act concerning education. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. A state mandates note has been requested but not filed at this time."
- Speaker Lang: "Bill will be held on the Order of Second Reading.

 Senate Bill 2562, Mr. D'Amico. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2562, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2619, Representative Willis. Representative Willis. Out of the record. Senate Bill... Senate Bill 2899, Mr. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2899, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2904, Representative Feigenholtz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2907, Representative Barbara Wheeler. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2907, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2952, Mr. McAuliffe.

 Please read the Bill."

137th Legislative Day

- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2952, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative McAuliffe, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. McAuliffe."
- McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 would add veterinarians to the advisory council for the PNP's. And ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3119, Mr. Zalewski.

 Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3119, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Zalewski, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Zalewski."
- Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to adopt Floor Amendment #2 which I believe deals with issues related to the inserting of 45 into the Section regarding a disabled firefighter and keeps the underlying Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

137th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3108, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3108, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Welch, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."
- Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We do have Floor Amendment #1 which is a technical Amendment that we promised to bring back.

 I ask for approval."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 3220, Mr. Welch. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3220, a Bill for an Act concerning education. This Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Welch, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Welch."
- Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #4 makes the Bill agreed between ISBE and the proponents. I'd ask for approval."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Lang: "Third Reading."

137th Legislative Day

- Speaker Currie: "Representative Currie in the Chair. Senate Bill 3249. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Moeller."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate... Senate Bill 3249, a Bill for an Act concerning education. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. Notes have been requested but not filed at this time."
- Speaker Currie: "Hold the Bill on Second Reading. Representative Christian Mitchell on Senate Bill 3404. Move the Bill. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3404, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Christian Mitchell, has been approved for consideration."
- Speaker Currie: "Representative Mitchell."
- Mitchell, C.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill just extends out to 10 years the requirement for retaining rape kits. There was no opposition in committee. I'd ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Currie: "All in favor of the Motion say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion is adopted. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
- Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. And now we have Senate Bill 3022, Representative Lang. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 3022, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. This Bill was read a second time on a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Lang, has been approved for consideration."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Currie: "Representative Lang."

Lang: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment simply changes the effective date."

Speaker Currie: "All in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Currie: "Third Reading. Representative Lang back in the Chair."

Speaker Lang: "Ladies and Gentlemen, we are moving to Senate Bills-Third Reading. Page 4 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 65, Representative Williams. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 65, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill deals with the issue of bifurcated closings... real estate closings. So when a piece of real property is sold, the seller customarily pays for the owner's title insurance policy, which protects the buyer from liens against the property as well as other anticipated claims. Long standing practice in Illinois is that the title company which issues the owner's policy also issues the lenders policy. This Bill will modify that to provide the public policy considering the bifurcated title insurance policies is a single transaction for purposes of the sale and purchase of residential real property. Happy to take any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, your Bill applies where? You know, 'cause it's got a limited geographic application, right?"

Williams: "Give me a minute. This applies with... in counties with 500 thousand inhabitants or above."

Breen: "So the Bill only applies in Lake, Kane, DuPage, Will, and Cook?"

Williams: "Yes. It applies to the traditionally... the collar counties."

Breen: "Fair enough. And the harm, at least the consumer harm, that you're seeking to remedy is lenders requiring a buyer of property from using the lenders title insurance instead of getting a potentially cheaper policy as a secondary policy off of the seller's policy that they would normally be expected to purchase?"

Williams: "Exactly."

Breen: "Okay. I know that there are questions about the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, which is a Federal Act which demands that no seller of property shall require that the title insurance covering the property purchased by the buyer from any particular title company. How do you answer the questions or the charges that your Bill would violate that particular restriction?"

Williams: "Well that's a great question, Representative. First and foremost, as you know as a practicing attorney, federal preemption of State Laws is generally disfavored except where there is a direct conflict. We don't believe there is a direct conflict there. Secondly, RESPA doesn't actually say the party paying for title insurance gets to choose the company.

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

It only addresses the common problem, from say 50 years ago, where form contracts were used by sellers, which mandated the used of a particular title company. So under this initiative, the party's right to choose under Section 18.1 of the Title Insurance Act protects buyers from what we would call abusive lender conduct."

Breen: "And then there were a few questions from the opposition I'd just like to get your answers too on the record. You know, there was a worry, or a concern I guess, IDFPR is opposed. And one question that I had heard was well why are we remedying this since IDFPR has not received any complaints on this particular issue?"

Williams: "Well I will say... speaking of proponents, there were some changes in the Senate that we made in response to the Illinois Land Title Association as well as the realtors concerns. So I would characterize the department's objection as more of a philosophical difference. And I do not believe that in practice it's going to have the impact that IDFPR fears it will."

Breen: "Fair enough. And to the Bill. The aim of the Bill appears to be good in that we want to help buyers from being taken advantage of. And I was told it's often out of state lenders that are... as one of the many sheets that buyers have in their package of purchase papers. They would possibly very early in a transaction waive their right or somehow waive their right to get title from the seller... to get title insurance from the seller's policy as a secondary policy instead going with a more expensive option from out of state. I will say, I still have concerns about the Bill. I think that those concerns, if

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

this Bill does what it intends to do, which is to either slowdown that process or give buyers a little extra consumer protection, then the Bill would achieve a good end. I... for me myself, I will be voting in favor of the Bill but there are still questions. And the Bill... there are still questions as to whether the Bill will do exactly what is needed. I think that the chances are more in favor that it will than what... that it won't. In a complicated area of law with some federal pre-emption issues I know that it has to thread a needle. I think that it threads the needle, but again that's a pretty technical legal argument in contention. I'm looking forward to hearing what the other Representatives have to say about it."

Speaker Lang: "This Bill is on the Order of Short Debate. We've had the Sponsor and a respondent. Representative Williams to close."

Williams: "Well I..."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Williams to close."

Williams: "Well I want to thank Representative Breen,
Representative Thapedi... hold on one second. I can... I can take
it out of the record while we settle the issue of the debate
because I'm happy to have a further discussion if there are
Members that wish to."

Speaker Lang: "If the Sponsor wishes more debate I'm happy to accommodate her."

Williams: "Please."

Speaker Lang: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you very much. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

137th Legislative Day

- Batinick: "Even for somebody in the real estate field, that doesn't deal with as much on the legal end, the title issue is a very confusing one. And I remember my very younger years I grew frustrated because whenever I was looking at title policies whether I was buying a property or selling a property, I was paying the larger amount. And so I did a little bit more investigating on this. So my concern is this removes the ability for a bifurcated closing just in the five or so counties in the Chicagoland area, correct?"
- Williams: "It doesn't remove that possibility, it just changes the default to provide that as the default. So as in any real estate transaction, the parties can agree to utilize a different process. So if you're advised by your attorney, or if you so choose after looking at the documents to proceed in a different manner, you can conduct a transaction in that manner. So nothing is prohibited there. It just... it just codifies the default process as to what the current practice is. The practice has been evolving over time as mortgage lenders have decided the title business is one they'd like to divert and direct to certain companies for whatever reason that might be. So it just changes or just codifies the default I would say, nothing different."
- Batinick: "Okay. When you say codifies the default, does that mean that if I'm a... if I'm a buyer can I not request a bifurcated closing immediately then? I still have the ability to do that?"
- Williams: "Well unless the buyer and seller agree otherwise this Bill does require the title insurance the same company issues title for both parties. As is, we believe, already

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- contemplated by State and Federal Law. But again, it's room... there's room for disagreement and agreement of the parties as in any other part of the transactions... part of the deal."
- Batinick: "Okay. And then this says that the... this was suggested by professional title network. Can you tell me a little bit about that company and who their clients are? And why they were suggesting this?"
- Williams: "I don't have this information, but I know that during my experience in the Judiciary Committee they've been an active and interested party in terms of lending policy. And I didn't hear from anyone else on the issue."
- Batinick: "Okay. I guess... I guess my concern is I see one title network, one title company, that's asking for what appears to be a potential consolidation of title services. And I'm wondering if there's other title companies that are going to be... that are going to be hurt by this while they get benefited. And that's why I asked the question."
- Williams: "That's a good question, but I would simply argue that the title companies in my experience are fairly sophisticated. And I think if they had concern about legislation moving we probably would have heard from them based on the length of time the Bill's been out there."
- Batinick: "Okay. Yeah. I'll be honest, I'm surprised you haven't heard from them because I've had several contact... contact me and their concerned about the bifurcated closing. It's a complicated Bill I just urge everybody to read the analysis on their own. Thank you for your answers... thank you for the answers to my questions."

Williams: "Thank you."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, can you please

tell me did you... does this Bill apply to Cook County?"

Williams: "This will apply to Cook County. Yes."

Flowers: "All right. I thought... I didn't hear that. Thank you

very much for the clarification. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Reick."

Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Reick: "Representative, I've got some questions... in the issue of bifurcating these title policies, generally speaking when you do a loan... when you do a transaction where sellers provide the owners policy, the title insurance, insuring title up to the date and time of closing, and then the subsequent loan policy is issued, both parties use the same title search. The issue of a bifurcated title search would seem to me to require a redundancy of title searches and therefore would drive up the cost of title especially to the buyer. Because the buyer's title charge is for title insurance going forward from the moment of transaction. So I fail to see how bifurcating these transactions will do anything but drive up costs to buyers."

Williams: "We're actually moving away from the bifurcated transactions. So I think we might be on the same page here. Current Illinois Law, which in practice contemplates the use of one title insurance companies for exactly the reason you're articulated, requires the title company that issues to also give sellers a closing protection letter. This protects the escrow funds. It's a condition of the title company acting as an escrow agent in residential transactions. So I feel like

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

we might be coming from the same place, unless I'm misunderstanding your question."

Reick: "If we're talking... are we talking about requiring the same title company? What happens if you've got multiple sellers in a single transaction? Like somebody's selling off a bunch... somebody's buying up a bunch of different farm land... pieces of farmland?"

Williams: "I... you know, I don't know how that would work. I think just like any other component of a closing, there would be... there would require individual titles to be purchased. I'm sure that, again, could be waived or agreed to by the parties depending on how it works. I mean, I'm guessing they would be different pins and different parcels if they were sold to separate buyers. So I'm supposing that an individual policy would be required. But I can't say that for certain because I've not done that sort of closing. I'm not familiar with that sort of closing."

Reick: "Very good. This is complicated."

Williams: "Yes."

Reick: "And I've done a lot of title work in my time and this is a very complicated issue. I do have a hard time thinking that a bifurcated closing, where each side gets its own title work is going to drive down cost... or is going to save people money. I will say, to the Bill, that I am not going to be able to vote on this Bill as I am an agent for a state title company. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Thapedi."

Thapedi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

137th Legislative Day

- Thapedi: "Representative, thank you for taking this on. I know that we vetted this out quite a bit in the Judiciary Committee. I do want to get a little bit of clarification though especially considering that this actually applies to real estate deals that are being closed in Cook County. So hypothetically I think we know how a real estate transaction works. That once the deal is done, the attorney review period is over, the inspection period is over the seller will actually order the title. And they provide that title to the buyer and the buyer then forwards it on to lender. The lender looks at it and makes sure that everything is clear. Now hypothetically, if the buyer wants to use a different title company, under this Bill, what happens?"
- Williams: "Under this Bill if there's agreement between the parties it's my understanding that that's absolutely permissible. I do know that you do closings as part of your practice. So I'd actually defer to your opinion on this issue on the hypothetical you just submitted. But I'm guessing it's an agreement and would be permitted."
- Thapedi: "So it would be permitted? So that even though that the seller has actually ordered title from say title company X and the buyer gets that title from title company X and decides that he or she wants to go to title company Y, they would be able to close the transaction at title company Y?"
- Williams: "I think you're correct. The problem that the bifurcated... some of the problems that, as I understand it, that bifurcated transactions can result in, in addition to the consumer issue that we discussed earlier, is the potential that one company may be willing to close in under different

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

conditions than another title company. So sometimes that can delay or cause problems for a consumer. And that is another reason that I think traditionally in the areas we practice, and especially in the collar counties, this is not favored. As you know from the discussion, the local custom and border areas is a bit different and has not been subject to the kind of more aggressive lender captive title companies efforts to get the titles issued by their own policies, more of a local custom thing. So we're responding to kind of the trend to have the mortgage lenders attempt to issue title by their own chosen company. If that makes sense?"

Thapedi: "Very good. And one of the theories as to why this Bill was really brought to light was because we found out that many lending institutions are actually acquiring title companies, which can quite frankly be a disadvantageous to buyers that are actually borrowing money from that same lending institution. Isn't that accurate?"

Williams: "Well said, and that's exactly the crux of the issue.

Thank you for sharing that."

Thapedi: "All right. Thank you, Representative. I fully support your Bill. Job well done on a very difficult issue."

Williams: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Chair recognizes Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the record reflect that Representative Halpin is excused for the rest of the day?"

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Williams to close."

Williams: "I think we've discussed this extensively. Thank you for your attention. But I want to give a special thanks to my colleagues who spent some quality time on this fascinating

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

topic. That includes Representative Andersson, Representative Breen, Representative Halpin, and Representative Thapedi. Thank you for your help and helping to work on this complex issue."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 60 voting 'yes', 44 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 211, Mr. Moylan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 486, Leader Currie. Out of the record. Senate Bill 544, Mr. Andersson. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 544, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Andersson."

Andersson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 544 is an attempt to resolve an issue that has been dealt with with the City of Chicago and the County of Cook regarding their municipal filing fees. In 101 of the counties of the State of Illinois municipalities are not charged any filing fees. That is different in Cook County where they are charged the full amount of filing fees. This is an attempt at compromise that the City of Chicago has proffered to have it instead be a front end filing which can be quite expensive for their collections. Instead it's a back end filing at a reduced amount. Ultimately this will improve the collection process in the County of Cook for delinquent payments but at the same

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

time reduce the overall amount that is being charged to defendants because if we charge the full filing fee they would be responsible for that payment ultimately. So we're actually bringing down the fee amounts in the County of Cook. Be happy to answer any questions and would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Davis. Hernandez. Rita. Williams. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 64 voting 'yes', 39 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 558, Mr. Connor. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 558, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Connor."

Connor: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd ask to move this to Second for the Floor Amendment please."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Connor, the only Amendment we have has been adopted."

Connor: "I apologize. Thank you, Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lang: "So shall we leave it on Third for you, Sir?"

Connor: "Yes. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

Connor: "The... SB558 is a trailer Bill to correct a section of the new law that went into effect on January 1 that inadvertently eliminated the ability for prosecutors to secure an ex parte emergency order of protection for victims in criminal cases. So last year this Assembly passed the Public Act to

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

consolidate orders of protections, civil no contact orders, and stalking no contact orders, make it easier for prosecutors to seek these orders for victims during criminal proceedings. Unfortunately, ex parte orders of protection were left out of the revised law. So we were contacted by the Cook County State's Attorney's Office to basically ask for the ability to do these orders again. So at this point, Senate Bill 588, it restores those ex parte emergency orders of protection and makes a few technical changes to conform to other recently passed laws. I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, I'm wondering about the... in our analysis it talks about the Kane County Circuit Court decision from April 8 of this year that found the Protective Order Statute unconstitutional on its face because it did not require notice to response or hearing before issuing the protective order. Does your Bill impact that or in any way take account of that particular court decision?"

Connor: "At this point I believe that the intent is to go forward working with all the stakeholders prior to a ruling in that particular case. There is every confidence that a higher court ruling is going to come down that reverses that decision. But at this point we're committed to working with stakeholders moving forward with that decision not... not having been finalized at this point."

Breen: "And then Representative, I was... I'm confused as to what your Bill needed to change. Did we, in our original Bill back...

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

PA 100-199, did we remove the ability of a respondent to rebut the prima facie evidence of the offense? What... what did... what did we do that needed to be fixed with your Bill here?"

Connor: "My understanding... ex parte emergency orders were removed from the Criminal Code inadvertently in the adoption of that legislation last year."

Breen: "But we're also putting back in the ability of folks to contest the order. So we actually had... appear to have violated due process in the first instance by not allowing respondents to address the particular order. Right?"

Connor: "Well, I mean, the Criminal Code has always had... excuse me. The Civil Code has always had these ex parte orders with basically the limited duration being the reason that these don't create significant issues. Obviously the orders are referred to as emergency orders. It's not until the plenary orders go into effect, where there has been an opportunity for a hearing, that the situation is addressed. So... but the problem is is that we didn't have that with the Criminal Code."

Breen: "But the... so the Members of the Body here can have confidence that the rights of victims of the various ills that are remedied by protective orders are being protected here but also the rights of respondents who have been accused of these... those ills also have their due process rights respected on both sides with your Bill?"

Connor: "Correct. Yes, with this particular legislation.

Absolutely."

Breen: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Connor to close."

137th Legislative Day

- Connor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Basically this corrects an issues. It is going to once again permit prosecutors to get ex parte orders on behalf of victims. And I'd urge an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 105 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 574, Representative Cassidy. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 574, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Cassidy."
- Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 574 addresses concerns about safety and security for certain folks in the system, particularly human trafficking victims. It creates an opportunity for a judicial bypass of the publication requirement for name change. The judge has to hear the reason and establish that the person's safety would require such a change. The Bill came out of the Senate unanimously and I know of no opposition. And I ask for your support."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative... excuse me, Representative. Representative Williams, do you rise on this Bill? No. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Flowers. Willis. Please take the record. There are 104 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Representative Williams."

Williams: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lang: "Proceed please."

Williams: "I just wanted to note that I was remised in not thanking my colleague, and soon to be counselor, Thaddeus Jones for his help also on the complicated title Bill. Congratulations and best of luck on the bar. He just graduated from Loyola law School on Saturday."

Speaker Lang: "Congratulations, Representative. Another lawyer.

Senate Bill 1453, Representative Mussman. Please read the
Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1453, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Mussman."

Mussman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1453 will repeal the Illinois Interagency Coordinating Council, which was established in 1990, because they haven't met since 2012 and they haven't issued a report since 2009. And it simply moves their responsibilities over to the already meeting Employment and Economic Opportunity for Persons with Disabilities Task Force. I'm happy to answer any questions."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Lilly. Christian Mitchell. Please take the record. There are 106 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Bill 1829, Representative Gordon-Booth. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1829, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Gordon-Booth."

Gordon-Booth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1829 is a Bill that passed the Senate unanimously. This piece of legislation is looking to solve an issue that the preschool program known as Preschool For All is dealing with in terms of... in community based settings. The mixed delivery model is one of the systems greatest assets but unfortunately many community providers have disproportionally been affected by this statewide teacher shortage. The difficulty in finding lead teachers who hold a professional education license is related to a broader teacher shortage issue but it's also unique to early childhood because of this mixed delivery model. So this Bill is looking to deal with some of the teacher licensing issues. I'm open for any questions. There is no opposition to this Bill. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lilly. Please take the record. There are 107 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2270, Representative Feigenholtz. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2270, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Feigenholtz."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Chair and Members of the Body. Senate Bill 2270 is a gut and replace that reflects work that Representative Skillicorn did in the Executive Committee on a piece of legislation regarding enhancing the issue of animals and when a law enforcement officer and when they can be removed. The Veterinary Association worked on this Bill, as did the Farm Bureau, and are now neutral. And there is no opposition. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Lilly. Please take the record. There are 107 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2345, Leader Currie. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2378, Mr. Cabello. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2378, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cabello."

Cabello: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 2378 is an initiative of the Sponsor, Kwame Raoul, and it amends the Police and Community Relations Improvement Act. Provides that each law enforcement agency shall adopt a written policy for the intermural review of a office involved shooting. It will help hopefully alleviate some of the questions that people have because the policy then shall be available for inspection under the Freedom of Information Act. I know of no opponents and I would respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote."

137th Legislative Day

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Zalewski. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. There are 107 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2439, Representative Ammons. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2439, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Ammons."
- Ammons: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2439 prohibits the suspension of a professional license for being delinquent on a student loan and allows the person an opportunity to retain their license to continue to address their student loan or any other debt owed to the state. It passed the Senate 54 to 0. And I ask for an 'aye' vote out of the chamber."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 104 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2469, Representative Moeller. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2469, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Moeller."
- Moeller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Body. Senate Bill 2469 amends the Respite Program Act and requires the

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Department on Aging to submit to the Governor and General Assembly the estimated demand for respite services over the next 10 years. There is no opposition. Would be happy to take any questions."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 108 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2471, Mr. Cavaletto. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2471, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Cavaletto."

Cavaletto: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The reasons for the Bill, the recent differences in how they... you define a volunteer has made the application of a volunteer covered by the Volunteer Emergency Worker Job Protection Act difficult. This Bill accepts the U.S. Department of Labor definition as found in the Fair Labor Standards Act and it applies what is known as a 20 percent rule. A volunteer who has compensated no more than 20 percent of what a career firefighter makes in that area. There are probably over 40 thousand volunteers and over 75 thousand firefighters. So we'd like to keep the dedicated volunteers in the communities so they can retain and do their jobs. So I ask for an 'aye' vote for this Bill, Senate Bill 2471."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mah. Mitchell. Please take the record. There are 106 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2527, Mr. Swanson. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2527, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Swanson."

Swanson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What this Bill does it provides that high school students should be allowed to enroll in unlimited amount of dual credit courses and earn an unlimited amount of academic credits from dual credit courses."

'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voting 'yes', O voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2544, Mr. Yingling. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2561, Mr. Carroll. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2569, Representative Scherer. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2569, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Scherer."

Scherer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Today I'm presenting Senate Bill 2569. It's a wonderful economic opportunity for impoverished area of Springfield. It's a TIF Bill. And I have all the letters. Request an 'aye' vote."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question there are 99 voting 'yes', 6 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2572, Representative Harper. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2572, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Harper."

Harper: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am pleased to present Senate Bill 2572 which would require students in grades K through 12 to participate in 150 minutes of physical education a week rather than 3 times per week. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, I... just so that we're clear on the... on the effect of your Bill, your legislation would repeal part of the school funding reform compromise which was last year's SB1947. Is part of that compromise the PE class requirements reduced from daily to three days per week? So that is an accurate statement, isn't it?"

Harper: "Yes, Representative. This Bill is an attempt to reinstate the PE requirements which were changed as a part of that school funding reform. And so we're putting them back to where they used to be."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Breen: "And then your... the opponents to the Bill I have on my analysis as the Illinois Statewide School Management Alliance, which represents the school districts, the Governor's Office, ED-RED, the Large Units District Association, the Legislative Education Network of DuPage, the Illinois High School District Organization, the South Cooperative Organization for Public Education. Is that... are those all opponents to your Bill and are there any others?"

Harper: "I do not believe that those are all current opponents. I think they may have been at one time. However, we have been working to remove opposition. I know that the biggest thing that folks may still have an opposition to is just that it has not been clarified that our Bill... our 150 minutes of physical education does not need to be met if there is a shortened school week. And so that was the only point that there was opposition on. However, current statute speaks to that and my Bill does not change that. So..."

Breen: "But Representative, just in terms of the opponents, you haven't amended your Bill at all during this process right?

It came over from the Senate. You've run it... I'll move for Standard Debate if we... if that's required."

Speaker Lang: "Your request is acknowledged, Sir."

Breen: "Thank you. Representative, so you're saying you had opponents that are gone but you had a committee hearing.

There's never... there's not been an amendment."

Harper: "They've... they amended this in the Senate."

Breen: "In the Senate though..."

Harper: "Yes."

Breen: "...but not in the House?"

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Harper: "Correct."

Breen: "All Right. Okay. And I'm informed the opposition is still there. I pulled up the, you know, the various witness slips from your committee hearing on May 17 and they all still seem to be there or at least a whole heck of a lot of them do. So... to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, we had a hard fought compromise. There's been no reason offered why the compromise needs to be undone particularly as to critical portions of it. You know, there's no... we've not banned PE. There's still a requirement. There's a strong requirement. I believe it's the strongest in the country. There is no need though to go back on the deal that was struck after so many months and years of negotiations and back and forth in the late part of last year. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Andersson."

Andersson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I had originally intended to vote 'yes' on this Bill because I do like physical education and I support it. I did not realize, until the Floor Leader indicated, that this was a component of the School Funding Reform Compromise. So unfortunately to those... those people lobbied me on it I'm going to have to flip on this and I apologize for that. But it's not right to undo the education reform Bill that we did last year. There's been an attempt to do that with the increase to 40 thousand dollars for teacher's salary which violated the concepts there and again here. I think we need to let the new formula run for a while before we start tweaking it. So this is mostly an apology to those individuals who saw me at The Rail and I said 'yes' now I have to be a 'no'. My apologies but thank you."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "I rise in support of the Lady's Bill. Studies have shown that when physical ed is in the schools grades go up and crime goes down. And who would not want that. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bourne."

Bourne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Bourne: "Thank you. Representative, is there anything in your Bill... one of the concerns that folks have raised is that it will change the scheduling that schools will have to do. Not only the schedule that they currently have set up but the schedule in case there were a shortened week. We've heard that there's flexibility in that but I don't see that in your Bill."

Harper: "Again, the current statute makes it so that the school does not have to meet the 150 minute requirement if they have a shortened week."

Bourne: "I'm looking at..."

Harper: "And so my Bill does nothing to change that. It's still...

I want to clarify that that is still the case even with my
Bill. So if school doesn't meet enough times a week to fulfill
the 150 minute requirement then they won't be held to that."

Bourne: "Do you know what the standard class time is right now for PE class? It is 30 minutes, 50 minutes, in those 3 out of 5?"

Harper: "It varies. I think it varies based on how schools do it.

I know some schools have 45 minute classes but some schools they may not have it every day and so they may have a longer

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

period maybe once a week. So I think it varies for different schools and school districts."

Bourne: "Yeah. I think you're absolutely right. And that was one of the parts of the School Funding Reform Compromise that we talked about was allowing schools flexibility in scheduling in order to make this a priority in their school districts. However, I think this Bill takes a lot of that flexibility away. One of my superintendents texted me and was very much opposed to this Bill. And we've just gotten word that the School Management Alliance is still very much opposed to this Bill also. She said we scheduled a teacher plan time during this PE. And if this Bill were passed it would actually require her to hire more staff and to completely redo their school schedule. And there are no funds appropriated with that. So she's saying this is another unfunded mandate on top of changing our scheduling, which it's a little late to do that she says. Our kids already get more PE than three days a week. So they're going above and beyond what's in statute but if this were imposed they would have to redo their schedule, it would cost the district more, and the teacher prep time that's scheduled during that time would have to change as well. So again, this is another unfunded mandate. On top of that this goes against a lot of the compromises that were made in the School Funding Reform Bill. We talked a lot about mandate relief with PE. We didn't get that but we did get some schools districts flexibility through this Bill. I understand the intentions. School districts can already give 150 minutes of PE if that's their priority. They can go to their school boards and ask for it and

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

superintendents. This is just another way that Springfield is saying this is what we think is right. Your school districts can already do this if they want to. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Lang: "We've already had three in opposition. Mr. Pritchard do you rise in support or opposition? Sorry, Sir. Representative Bellock, do you rise in support or opposition?"

Bellock: "Support."

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

"Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And to the Bill. I've been a long proponent of physical education in schools. I taught briefly in schools. I felt physical education was really important to the kids on a daily basis. But besides that as working a lot in health care over the last several years one of the major issues that we've had is obesity in children and that's why I feel that this Bill is... I agree that the school funding Bill. I don't like to take something out of it but there were those of us that didn't agree with this part of it but we still wanted to support that Bill last year. But I feel that this is important. I also feel as far as mental health that we talk about especially mental health in children that physical exercise is extremely important in maintaining a good feeling for children during the day. It gives them a break from some of their stress factors that they have during the school period. I also, Mr. Speaker, have some legislative intent to read into the Bill. If that's okay?"

Speaker Lang: "Please proceed."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Bellock: "Thank you very much. There shall be a definite school policy regarding credit earned each semester in physical education with provisions for allowable variables and special cases. Appropriate activity related to physical education shall be required of all students at least 150 minutes per institution a week except when an appropriate medical excuse is submitted. A school board may choose to allow for student exemptions as permitted in the School Code. And if a student nonattendance day is scheduled for a day that would otherwise include physical education, or the school building is not open to students, physical education for that day does not need to be made up. Thank you."
- Speaker Lang: "We've exhausted our speakers for this Bill.

 Representative Harper to close."
- Harper: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to reiterate that this Bill would expand the PE requirement but allows districts flexibility over when to schedule the PE minutes. And as Rep Bellock noted, and as the Center for Disease Control recommends, the recommendation is now 150 minutes for PE for elementary students and 225 minutes for middle and high school students. We are only asking for the minimum that the Center for Disease Control recommends for our students' health. I encourage an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 62 voting 'yes', 44 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2578, Mr. Martwick. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2578, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Martwick."

Martwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 2578 provides that if a person is certified by a medical doctor to be under a legal disability, has no spouse, blood relative, or other person providing care for him or her, has no guardian of his or her estate, and is confined to a Medicare approved state certified nursing home or to a publicly owned and operated nursing home, hospital, or mental institution that the Cook County Pension Fund may pay the benefit directly to the nursing home, hospital, or mental institution to be used for the sole benefit of that person under legal disability. I know of no opposition. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, what... our notes show that the... this will effect less than five annuitants annually. Why do we need to give the Pension Fund this new power to pay out monies to different entities?"

Martwick: "Well, I mean, it's... they need the power to be able to do it in these five cases and any other cases that might arise. So you're dealing with annuitants from the Pension Fund that are severely disabled, you know, they've already been certified as... by... and there's no one else to pay this

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

to. They don't have a guardian. So this allows them to... to get that payment directly to the nursing home to make sure that the care is being provided properly for these people."

Breen: "And to do so without requiring a court guardianship over the individual. Is that right?"

Martwick: "That is correct."

Breen: "Fair enough. So in theory it could save money for the individual, for the court system, and the like?"

Martwick: "That's the hope."

Breen: "And it won't cost any additional funds?"

Martwick: "That is correct."

Breen: "Okay. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Question of the Speaker... or the Sponsor."

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Brady: "Representative, does this specifically state what can be used that that was used for to pay to whom? Providers or what specifically does the money go towards?"

Martwick: "So these are people who are already in... as I said, they would be in a Medicare approved state certified nursing home or publicly owned nursing home, hospital, or mental institution. In this instance their benefit level is so low it's probably not even covering the full cost of their care. And so it's just allowing us to expedite that payment directly to them."

Brady: "So I just want to be clear. It's just moving the payment towards the provider whether long term care facility or whoever's providing the care. Is that correct?"

Martwick: "That is correct."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Brady: "I mean, we're not talking pharmacist bills or ... "

Martwick: "No."

Brady: "We're talking just the care provider from a long term care facility that they reside in. Is that correct?"

Martwick: "Yes, Sir."

Brady: "Okay. Thank you."

Martwick: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Representative, what protection is in place for the person who may have been the guardianship over this person that's in the nursing home..."

Martwick: "So in this..."

Flowers: "...or the benefactor of his or her pension?"

Martwick: "So in this instance, Representative, this would only pertain to people who have no spouse, blood relative, or other person providing or caring for them and has no guardian of their estate."

Flowers: "I guess what... what type of paperwork, what affidavit, who would authenticate that?"

Martwick: "Right. So... so this is a person who, as I mentioned, would be in a long term care facility, mental institution, long term care hospital. So it would be, you know, all of the ministerial, the paperwork would be handled by that institution."

Flowers: "There has to be a public guardian. There has to be someone to authentic the paperwork for the pension to be able to pay off the hospital bill, the nursing home, or what... the mental health facility and not just arbitrarily upon this persons death. Which one would get paid first and are there

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

other bills to be out there that needs to be paid? So how is... how will that be..."

Martwick: "This isn't in the instance of death. This is where they're continuing to live. And again, these are, as the Floor Leader pointed out, it would apply to five individuals currently. So it's a very a limited scope. And the idea would be that, you know, these are instances where... where these annuitants are such low dollar annuitants that their... what their getting from the fund is not enough to cover the cost of their long term care but that way... so everything is already being used for that purpose. All of those dollars already being used. So we're just making sure that the payment is expedited and it's going directly there. It's to save..."

Flowers: "I understand what you're saying that you... you just said that this is already being used to make these payments but yet you're also saying this Bill will be covering those payments. So if it's already being used to make it then upon that person's death I'm sure, just like the social security check, it'd be the last to check to come in. But then what happens to if there's monies left over?"

Martwick: "I don't know. I believe that would be a matter for their estate to be handled. I don't know. I mean on a pension there wouldn't be money left over. Right?"

Flowers: "There might be. I don't know."

Martwick: "No. I don't believe so. Upon death your pension is ended I believe."

Flowers: "No. Because it could've been... your pension could have been left to someone and you have to be able to identify."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Martwick: "So that would have to... that would have to be handled by... so if there is excess contributions. So in other words if the person had not withdrawn the amount that they contributed, so if there was an excess contribution, that money could go then but that would be handled by a probate court or through the execution of a will. So that would be handled very separately from this."

Flowers: "You know, Representative, I understand exactly what it is that you're trying to do. And I understand that a pension if the person has no spouse then there is no benefactor but I just wanted to make sure that this senior citizen who's, you know, in this situation that whatever they have is protected and it's not abused. And I just did not see that protection in your legislation."

Martwick: "Understood. Yes."

Flowers: "Thank you very much. I appreciate you answering the questions. I can't say that I'm satisfied but thank you."

Martwick: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Martwick to close."

Martwick: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Ammons. Flowers. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 105 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'... excuse me, 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2585, Mr. Breen. Please read the Bill."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2585, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill addresses the issue of the way that we get records. The companies can get drivers records from the Secretary of State's Office. Under current law the Secretary of State can charge a \$12 fee for a driver's record. And so what's happening though is that companies are requesting say 95 percent of the information in a driver's record and then just combining that with other publicly available information so they can avoid paying the \$12 fee. So what this does is insures that when you ask for this information, or any part of it, that you're still going to pay the \$12 fee. So it's a Secretary of State imitative. The State Police Command Officers Association supports. I know of no opposition."

'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Ammons. Please take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2620, Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2620, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."

Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an agreed upon Bill that requires state agencies to give notice to the Capital

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Development Board for any type of building and construction code changes so that they can have a statewide code... that they're doing regarding statewide code before filing with JCAR so that the CDB website can be a one stop shop for all building code changes updates. Local municipalities and counties already require by law to notify the CDB when these changes take place and this would make it much easier. There's no cost at all to the state for this. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Conyears-Ervin. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 106 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2629, Representative Willis. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2629, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Willis."

Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is... a Bill that would allow the Fire Protection Districts to put in place their own Open Meetings Act training, very similar to what we do right now for schools boards and park districts. They would also be allowed to, if they so choose, to do the open meetings training through the Attorney General's Office. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Members, please

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

record yourselves. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 101 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2631, Mr. Sosnowski. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2631, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Sosnowski."

Sosnowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you for Members of the cheering gallery. I appreciate that. I'm here on behalf of Senate Bill 2631 which makes some changes to Illinois Dental Practices Act. Several technical changes in regards to definitions and licensure. The main change is just minimizing or dropping the requirement for a dental assistant to obtain an expanded function dental assistant status. It drops it from 40 to 30 hours which is consistent. This was a previous requirement by the department. I know of no opposition. It's an agreed upon Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Greenwood. Lilly. Mayfield. Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. There are 106 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2640, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2640, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This would allow State Troopers as well as Secretary of State Police, Department of Natural Resources, and the Fire Marshall Arson Investigators to buy their... their weapon upon their retirement and their badges. They have to be in good standing and then they would pay a replacement cost. I know of no opposition."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are 106 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2642, Mr. Hoffman. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2642, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "This would amend the licensure requirements for retired peace officers seeking to be a private security job in order to make the process easier. It would be effective immediately. I know of no opposition."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hurley. Please take the record. There are 105 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2654, Representative Hammond. Please read the Bill."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2654, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Representative Hammond."
- Hammond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2654 requires the Department of Public Health to develop, provide, and publish informational materials for school districts regarding influenza and influenza vaccinations. They must include it if they currently provide any information on public health issues."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Andrade. Please take the record. There are 101 voting 'yes', 4 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2658, Mr. Olsen. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2658, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Olsen."
- Olsen: "This Bill provides that provisional educator endorsement for license will be valid for three years for a service member or their family. I ask for your support."
- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Gentleman's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record. There are… excuse me. There are 105 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Bill 2675, Representative Phelps Finnie. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2675, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Phelps Finnie."

Phelps Finnie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So this Bill is going to create state hub zones. Currently we have federal hub zones which basically just underutilized business owns mostly in rural areas. There are several in inner city Chicago. And I've spoken with my fellow Representatives that have that in their district and we want to create a state hub zone."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, what is a hub zone under this Illinois Procurement Code Amendment?"

Phelps Finnie: "That's a good question. Hub zone is historically underutilized business zone."

Breen: "And then what does that designation allow a hub zone then to do?"

Phelps Finnie: "Sure. So currently under this, which is a federal program, it provides that three percent of all federal contracting dollars would be utilized in an eligible hub zone."

Breen: "And so does this have a cost to state such that we are changing the way that we do our state contracting by naming more hub zones?"

Phelps Finnie: "So this... so what we're just wanting to do is they currently have the federal hub zone we want to create a state

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

hub zone. It wouldn't be any more state dollars it would simply say for whatever percent we decide, once the rules are written for this, whatever percent that would be of state funds would go to these hub zones."

Breen: "Okay. So state contract dollars would be required once the procurement officer establishes rules? You're going to have a certain amount of state dollars going to small businesses within these hub zones that are selected pursuant to your Bill?"

Phelps Finnie: "That's correct."

Breen: "Okay. So does that... how... I mean so we're trusting the Chief Procurement Officer to just establish the rules and do it in the same way as the Federal Law or are they pretty much allowed to do it any way they see fit?"

Phelps Finnie: "That's a great question. So they'll have to be certified by the Federal Government before they could qualify on our state. So it'll be the same eligibility criteria. It will simply be the percent of state procurement funds that will be kind of the negotiating piece."

Breen: "Okay. So again, if... fair enough. So they will... we will use the federal standard, businesses in those zones will get preference for state dollars and contracting. And so that... that's really... that's the extent of the Bill?"

Phelps Finnie: "That's exactly right."

Breen: "Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Connor. Crespo. Tabares. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 95

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

voting 'yes', 11 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2693, Mr. Pritchard. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2693, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Pritchard."

Pritchard: "Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill modifies the educator testing section of our code to give the student that is pursuing a teaching license another option in when they take the basic skills test that they can take it later in their education than is the current practice where they take it at the beginning of their education. I would ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lilly. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 98 voting 'yes', 8 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2713, Mr. Bennett. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2713, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Bennett."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2713 creates the Greenways and Trails Advisory Council under the Recreational Trails of Illinois Act. It defines the role of the Greenways and Trail Council and separates the powers of the Greenways and Trails Council, the Off-Highway Vehicle Advisory Council, and the Off-Highway Vehicle Usage Stamp to make clear that

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

they are three distinct programs under the Recreational Trails of Illinois Act. This is an initiative of the Department of Natural Resources, ABATE of Illinois, Illinois Horseman's Council, and the Illinois Federation for Outdoor Resources supports. I move for an 'aye' vote please."

- Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Conyears-Ervin. Please take the record. There are 105 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Hoffman is recognized."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the record reflect that Representative Tabares is excused for the remainder of the day?"
- Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. Senate Bill 2721, Mr. Olsen. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2721, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Olsen."
- Olsen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill is not about dogs in cars. This Bill is a... a multistate... seven states have agreed to a multistate compact to standardize key elements of a licensing process for money service businesses. This will save money for businesses but keep consumer protections in place. I ask for your support."
- Speaker Lang: "Mr. Moylan is recognized. Do you have questions of this Gentleman, Sir?"

Moylan: "No."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Changed... you are lucky. Those in... Mr. Sauer does have a question, however."

Sauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Yes."

Sauer: "Does this involve paw printing of any kind?"

Olsen: "It does not."

Sauer: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Well that was better than Moylan. I'll give you that. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Flowers. Please take the record. There are 103 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2752, Mr. Stewart. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2752, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill,"

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Stewart."

Stewart: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 2752 is a Department of Agriculture initiative. It just makes some technical changes to definitions to the Meat and Poultry Inspection Act. Know of no opponents and I ask for your support."

Speaker Lang: "Well you may think there is no opponents but the Chair recognizes Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "Representative, what precise word are you redefining with your Bill?"

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Stewart: "Well if you look at the definition there's a whole laundry list of definitions that bring them in line with the Federal Government."

Breen: "But what's the word?"

Stewart: "Federal definitions. Read it."

Breen: "Adulterated."

Stewart: "Adulterated. Exactly."

Breen: "Does that have something to do with the fidelity of the meat or the food meat product?"

Stewart: "Meat or poultry."

Breen: "Meat or poultry. Fair enough then. That's... fair enough. Fair enough. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "That's it, Sir? Good work. Those in favor of the Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please take the record, Mr. Clerk. There are 103 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2765, Representative Jesiel. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2765, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Jesiel."

Jesiel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2765 will codify the move of the Tobacco Enforcement Program from the Illinois Liquor Control Commission to the Department of Human Services. So DHS has been successfully administrating the program since last summer via an IGA and this legislation will complete the transfer. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Conyears-Ervin. Moeller. Zalewski. Please take the record. There are 104 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2777, Representative Bellock. Please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2777, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Bellock."

- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 2777 amends the Illinois Controlled Substance Act and it provides that controlled substance prescriber's continuing education requirements must have three of their CE hours be on safe opioid prescribing practices prior to them renewing their prescription registration. This does not increase the number of hours it just says that they must include three hours on opioid prescription due to IDFPR seeing such a rise in the problem with opioid prescribing."
- 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Costello. Guzzardi. Martwick. Smith. Please take the record. There are 105 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2838, Representative Mayfield. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2619, Representative Willis. Mr. Clerk."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2619, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. But notes have been requested on the Bill and have not been filed."

Speaker Lang: "Chair recognizes Representative Willis."

Willis: "I'd like to call for the notes to be considered inapplicable please."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Batinick on the Motion."

Batinick: "This is, I would assume, a state mandate and why would the note be inapplicable? I'm sorry. Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Of course, Sir."

Batinick: "Thank you."

Willis: "Because we've already passed a Bill similar to this that didn't go to on this... I just feel that they're inapplicable.

Let's just go with that."

Speaker Lang: "Are you... is that pretty good now, Mr. Batinick?
No?"

Batinick: "Well... how about home rule because the Bill that you said that passed that was similar wasn't for home rule communities and I believe this Bill is for home rule communities, correct?"

Willis: "It is for home rule. But I don't think waiting for the note is going to make any difference for it."

Batinick: "Okay. Inquiry of the Chair. Would this require 71 to pass?"

Speaker Lang: "We're on Second Reading, Sir."

Batinick: "Okay. Just... a Roll Call vote would be great."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Speaker Lang: "Thank you, Sir. The Lady moves that both the home rule note and state mandates note be held inapplicable. Those in favor of the Lady's motion will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? On this question, there are 57 voting 'yes', 46 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And the Lady... the Lady's Motion is acceptable... is approved. And the notes are held inapplicable. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Motions."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 5341, Representative Gordon-Booth. Please read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, please place this Bill on the Order of Second Reading and read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5341, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. The Bill was read for a second time on a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #3 has been adopted. Floor Amendment #4 is offered by Representative Gordon-Booth."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Gordon-Booth."

Gordon-Booth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Amendment 4 is a gut and replace Amendment that removes all opposition from the Bill. I'd like to discuss the Bill on Third. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Amendment say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Lang: "Third Reading. Please read the Bill."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 5341, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Representative Gordon-Booth."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 5341 is the Gordon-Booth: Fair Access to Employment Bill. There's been a lot of bipartisan work by this Body over the last few years and to right many of the wrongs in the criminal justice system. For decades if you made a mistake you were locked out of the American Dream and handcuffed to despair. This Bill is another attempt to give people who have made a mistake another chance at having hope in their lives. What we're looking to do with this legislation is to create a more streamlined process that would ensure that courts don't have a blanket prohibition when considering outstanding fines and fees in regards to both sealing and expunging petitions for fees that are... that are not waived. This Amendment brings the ... excuse me ... this Bill, and the Amendment that we just adopted, brings the Illinois State's Attorney and the Clerk's Association neutral on the Bill. This is a critical piece of legislation for many communities like mine and many others around this state. I'm open for any questions. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, just to clarify we're now to Floor Amendment 4. And so did you... as best I can tell you've removed the expungement portion but you do have the sealing. Is that correct?"

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Gordon-Booth: "So essentially what the Amendment does is the Amendment doesn't allow fines and fees to be a prohibition as it relates to sealments but it can continue to be somewhat of a challenge for expungements. And that is because of the way in which expungements and sealments are handled. As you likely know as a member... as a former member of law enforcement when you have a sealment it just simply isn't available to the public but law enforcement will still have access to those records."

Breen: "And I... and I don't think I was a member of law enforcement.

I was once a special Assistant State's Attorney but that's,
you know, a while ago. But okay..."

Gordon-Booth: "Wrong person."

Breen: "Fair enough. Not every Republican was a former member of law enforcement. So... but... and to be clear your Amendment also says that the outstanding legal obligation which, you know, since we're... the idea would be that you can't deny that petition for sealing because of an outstanding legal financial obligation that would not include restitution. So you've exempted that."

Gordon-Booth: "Correct."

Breen: "So if there is restitution that can be taken in to account?"

Gordon-Booth: "Correct."

Breen: "And that's because restitution goes right to the victim it's not necessarily reimbursing a court system or another unit of government. And as well are there any opponents to the Bill as amended now?"

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Gordon-Booth: "No. So the Amendment, House Amendment 4, which we just adopted, removed the opposition from the Illinois State's Attorney Association as well as the Clerk's Association. At one point in committee there was some questions that IML as well as the Chiefs had but after conversation they learned that this was not what they thought it was and they were very comfortable with this legislation."

"Thank you, Representative. To the Bill. Ladies and Breen: Gentlemen, this Bill itself has been worked hard and I don't believe that the provisions are objectionable. At the same time we continue on a place where we're working and attempting to be bipartisan on the Republican side on these various issues of criminal justice, and of rightly getting folks back to work after a criminal conviction, but we're still not getting much cooperation in return. And so we still have some very significant challenges that our Members are facing because they're not getting the attention that their Bills deserve that we believe that if it was a fair up and down vote held would be passed on broad bipartisan margins but for whatever reason a decisions been made by the Majority Caucus to hold up our Bills. And so with that ensuring to and put that objection on the record. And I don't see objectionable about this Representative's Bill in particular. I just wish that we could get more bipartisanship and more bipartisan Bills put forward. Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves. Andrade. Conyears-Ervin. Cassidy. Conroy.

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

DeLuca. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There are 63 voting 'yes', 39 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 2345, Leader Currie. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2345, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Speaker, Members of the House. This merely requires school districts to post on the report card whether or not the children participate in the social survey that is made available by the State Board of Education for free and applies to youngsters in 8th, 10th, and 12th grades. The Bill was unanimously supported in the Senate and in House committee. I'd be happy to answer your questions. And I'd be very grateful for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Breen."

Breen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lang: "Sponsor yields."

Breen: "And Representative, I see on our analysis that the Statewide School Management Alliance is opposed to your Bill. Is that accurate?"

Currie: "They believe that it's time to rewrite the requirements for the school report card."

Breen: "Right. And..."

Currie: "And they don't consider that changes along the way are particularly helpful. But I think this is an important change to make now. I don't know when there is a schedule for redrafting the school report card but I think for parents and the community to know whether we are paying attention to what

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

our young people think are issues in their lives. I think that's a good thing for us to know."

Breen: "And but just to be clear, so this survey... this is merely...

this is called the Illinois Youth Survey. It's an optional
program where you can give a... I believe it's a confidential
test or an assessment to all of the students in your school
district..."

Currie: "Right."

Breen: "...which then the data is aggregated, goes back to some other place?"

Currie: "I think... yeah. And I believe its 8th grade, 10th grade, and 12th grade. And these surveys are free from the State Board of Education."

Breen: "Right. And they... but... I guess I should ask a question. I mean, normally I would consider the school report card to be a measure of, you know, how much are my schools spending, how well are they educating their kids and what have you. So whether they've taken a survey assessment does seem a little bit far afield from what the report card that we have on the books. It seems a little far afield from where we are currently with what require on the report card."

Currie: "I think the importance is that the survey may give the school a sense of where their youngsters are in respect to the issues that are important in their lives. Are they being bullied? Are they comfortable with their ability to get along with their peers? I mean these are self-evaluations. They are confidential. But it seems to me there is some value in the teachers, the school community knowing what's on the minds of the 8th graders, the 10th graders, and the 12th graders."

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Breen: "All right. And now your Bill wouldn't require that the results of the survey be put in the report card..."

Currie: "No."

Breen: "...but just merely whether the school district..."

Currie: "No."

Breen: "...did it or didn't?"

Currie: "No. Just yes or no. Yes. Tick the box."

Breen: "Okay. Thank you. To the Bill. You know the Lady's Bill is... it certainly, I think, the intention is good. At the same time I know for many of us every time we talk to superintendents they all continue to say please don't put another mandate on us. And so those are the two competing factors to weigh in making your decision on this Bill. And I'll be glad to hear any other debate. But again, those are your two factors. Do you throw another mandate on or do we tell the public whether or not the local school district is using the Illinois Youth Survey? Thank you."

Speaker Lang: "Those in favor of the Lady's Bill will vote 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Please record yourselves, Members. Lilly. Please take the record. There are 79 voting 'yes', 26 voting 'no'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, committee announcements."

Clerk Hollman: "The following committees will be meeting upon adjournment. The Executive Committee is meeting in Room 114. Environment is meeting in Room 118. Revenue & Finance is meeting in Room 122. And also the Rules Committee will meet

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

immediately upon Session. The Rules Committee will be meeting immediately."

Speaker Lang: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

- Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 1121, offered by Representative Welch. House Resolution 1122, offered by Representative Welch. House Resolution 1123, offered by Representative Bryant. House Resolution 1125, offered by Representative Ford."
- Speaker Lang: "Leader Currie moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Currie moves that the House stand adjourned until Friday, May 25 at the hour of 9:30 a.m. Those in favor say 'yes'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned until Friday, May 25 at the hour of 9:30 a.m."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order.

 Representative Bennett is recognized for a Member Statement concerning House Resolution 1055."
- Bennett: "Thank you. Speaker, I'd like to read today a Resolution on behalf of Paul Ritter who is a tremendous teacher in the Pontiac Township High School. This Resolution begins as such.
 - WHEREAS, The members of the Illinois House of Representatives wishes to recognize Paul Ritter for his outstanding and distinguished work regarding the reintroduction of alligator snapping turtles back into their natural habitats, with the goal of eventually bringing the species back to sustainable levels in Illinois; and

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

- WHEREAS, Paul Ritter, along with Dr. Brady Barr of National Geographic, designed and developed the Operation Endangered Species-Alligator Snapping Turtle program, which has received numerous grants from both public and private organizations, including State Farm Insurance and the Illinois Wildlife Preservation Fund; and
- WHEREAS, Paul Ritter has worked extensively with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources in their own alligator snapping turtle program, which includes partnerships with zoos and other animal organizations; and
- WHEREAS, Paul Ritter's work originates from his intense love of biology, which he demonstrates daily in his job, inspiring others as a biology teacher at Pontiac Township High School in Pontiac, Illinois; and
- WHEREAS, Both Paul Ritter's turtle project and his teaching skills have received numerous awards, such as the 2011 National Science Teachers Association Outstanding Environmental Educator of the Year; and
- WHEREAS, Paul Ritter graduated from high school in Mendota before earning a Bachelor of Science in Zoology and a Master of Science in Secondary Science Education from Eastern Illinois University; and therefore, be it
- RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDREDTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we recognize Paul Ritter's important efforts to restore the population of the alligator snapping turtle back to healthy levels, and we admire his steadfast drive to inspire the future generation to be good stewards of the environment; and be it further advised and

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

RESOLVED, That a suitable copy be delivered to Paul Ritter as a symbol of our respect and esteem. Thank you."

Clerk Hollman: "Second Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 34, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 336, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 337, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 849, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 904, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 1437, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 1979, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 2339, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 2350, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2362, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 2387, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2432, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 2436, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Senate Bill 2447, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2459, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 2481, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Senate Bill 2482, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 2514, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 2522, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 2540, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2546, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2557, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law (sic-not meant to be read into the record). Senate Bill 2591, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. Senate Bill 2598, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 2638, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 2641, a Bill for an

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 2661, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2662, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2696, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2707, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2804, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 2808, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2858, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3062, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3081, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. Senate Bill 3085, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3103, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 3131, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3141, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3179, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3304, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 3387, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Senate Bill 3452, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Senate Bill 3488, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 3536, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3560, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Second Reading of these Senate Bills. These will be held on the Order of Second Reading. Introduction-First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 20, offered by Representative Currie, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 200, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. First Reading of these Senate Bills. Introduction-First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 5886, offered by Representative Durkin, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill

137th Legislative Day

5/24/2018

5887, offered by Representative Wehrli, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. House Bill 5888, offered by Representative Olsen, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 5889, offered by Representative Wehrli, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 5890, offered by Representative Batinick, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. House Bill 5891, offered by Representative Costello, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. First Reading of these House Bills. Committee Report. Representative Zalewski, Chairperson from the Committee on Revenue & Finance reports the following committee action taken on May 24, 2018: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 211. Committee Report. Representative Daniel Burke, Chairperson from the Committee on the Executive reports the following committee action take on May 24, 2018: do pass short debate is Senate Bill 3052. Second Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 3052, a Bill for an Act concerning business. This Bill will be held on the ... Second Reading of this Senate Bill. This Bill will be held on the Order of Second Reading. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."